

PROGRESS SOFTWARE CORP /MA

Form 10-Q/A

December 19, 2006

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q/A

(Mark One)

☒ **Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the Quarterly Period Ended February 28, 2006**

OR

☐ **Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Commission File Number: 0-19417
PROGRESS SOFTWARE CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

MASSACHUSETTS
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

04-2746201
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

14 Oak Park
Bedford, Massachusetts 01730
(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip code)
Telephone Number: **(781) 280-4000**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

As of March 31, 2006, there were 41,091,000 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$.01 par value per share, outstanding.

Table of Contents

Explanatory Note Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements

We are amending our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended February 28, 2006, originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on April 7, 2006 (the Original Filing), to restate our consolidated financial statements and the related disclosures.

On August 29, 2006, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors concluded that the actual measurement dates for determining the accounting treatment of certain stock option grants differed from the measurement dates we used in preparing our consolidated financial statements, and that our annual and interim consolidated financial statements, including the reports of our independent registered public accounting firm thereon, and our earnings releases and similar communications for the year ended November 30, 1996 and subsequent periods, should no longer be relied upon.

On November 28, 2006, our Board of Directors concluded that our consolidated financial statements for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and each of the years during the three year period ended November 30, 2005 (including all interim periods) should be restated to record additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense, and related tax effects, resulting from stock options granted during fiscal years 1996 to 2005 that were incorrectly accounted for under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). This decision was based on the determination that the actual measurement dates for determining the accounting treatment of certain stock option grants differed from the measurement dates we used in preparing our consolidated financial statements. Our decision to restate our financial statements was based on the facts obtained by an internal investigation into our stock option accounting. This investigation was initiated voluntarily by our Board of Directors in May 2006 as a result of media and analysts' reports regarding stock option grant practices of numerous companies, including Progress Software, as well as investor inquiries. The investigation was conducted initially under the direction of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which included an independent director who also served on the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. In September 2006, our Board of Directors created a Special Committee (the Special Committee) of the Board of Directors, consisting only of independent directors who had never served on the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, to continue the investigation. The investigation was jointly conducted by our outside legal counsel and by special legal counsel retained by the Special Committee, which counsel had no prior relationship with us or our management.

For more information regarding the proceedings of the Special Committee and the restatement, see Part 1, Item 2,

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements of this report, the Explanatory Note - Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements at the beginning of our amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed on December 18, 2006, and Item 7,

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements of that report.

In our restated financial statements, we recorded a reduction of stock-based compensation expense of \$0.5 million for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and additional stock-based compensation expense of \$0.8 million for the three months ended February 28, 2005. As a result of the errors in determining measurement dates, we also recorded payroll withholding tax-related adjustments for certain options formerly classified as Incentive Stock Option (ISO) grants under Internal Revenue Service regulations. We recorded estimated payroll withholding tax liabilities of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and 2005, respectively, in connection with the disqualification of such ISO tax treatment. The stock-based compensation expenses, including the aforementioned withholding tax adjustments and income tax expense adjustments, increased net income by \$0.1 million for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and decreased net income by \$0.8 million for the three months ended February 28, 2005.

We also restated our balance sheet as of February 28, 2006 to reclassify auction rate securities to short-term investments from cash and equivalents and the related purchases and sales of such securities in the statements of cash flows.

All the information in this Form 10-Q/A is as of February 28, 2006 and does not reflect any subsequent information or events other than the restatement and related matters as discussed in notes 2 and 9 to the consolidated financial statements appearing in this Form 10-Q/A. Specifically, we are not reconfirming, updating or otherwise endorsing as

Edgar Filing: PROGRESS SOFTWARE CORP /MA - Form 10-Q/A

of the date hereof any financial guidance for fiscal 2006, including any previous projections of revenue, income from operations, effective tax rates or other results of operations, or other forward-looking information restated in this report. For the convenience of the reader, this Form 10-Q/A sets forth the Original Filing in its entirety. However, the following items have been amended solely as a result of, and to reflect, the restatement, and no other information in the Original Filing is amended hereby as a result of the restatement:

2

Table of Contents

Part I - Item 1 - Financial Information

Part I - Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Part I - Item 4 - Controls and Procedures

Part II - Item 1 - Legal Proceedings

Part II - Item 1A - Risk Factors

Part II - Item 6 - Exhibits

In accordance with applicable SEC rules, this Form 10-Q/A includes updated certifications from our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, and 32.1.

PROGRESS SOFTWARE CORPORATION
FORM 10-Q/A
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2006
INDEX

PART I **FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets of February 28, 2006 (as restated) and November 30, 2005</u>	5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (as restated) for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and 2005</u>	6
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (as restated) for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and 2005</u>	7
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	27
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	28

PART II **OTHER INFORMATION**

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	29
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	29
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	33
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	33
	<u>Signatures</u>	34
	<u>EX-31.1 Section 302 Certification of C.E.O.</u>	
	<u>EX-31.2 Section 302 Certification of C.F.O.</u>	
	<u>EX-32.1 Section 906 Certification of C.E.O. & C.F.O.</u>	

Table of Contents**PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)***(In thousands)*

	February 28, 2006 (as restated, see Note 2)	November 30, 2005
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and equivalents	\$ 53,586	\$ 40,398
Short-term investments	153,523	226,022
Total cash and short-term investments	207,109	266,420
Accounts receivable, net	77,592	66,592
Other current assets	17,862	11,813
Deferred income taxes	17,589	16,379
Total current assets	320,152	361,204
Property and equipment, net	46,489	42,816
Acquired intangible assets, net	76,182	47,213
Goodwill	153,419	84,974
Deferred income taxes	14,433	20,442
Other assets	4,986	5,066
Total	\$ 615,661	\$ 561,715
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion, long-term debt	\$ 265	\$ 262
Accounts payable	12,815	11,654
Accrued compensation and related taxes	28,867	41,653
Income taxes payable	2,132	306
Other accrued liabilities	28,887	22,737
Remaining purchase price due to Actional shareholders	18,129	
Short-term deferred revenue	124,871	99,697
Total current liabilities	215,966	176,309
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,870	1,938
Long-term deferred revenue	6,263	5,068
Deferred income taxes	3,639	3,580

Commitments and contingencies

Shareholders' equity:

Common stock and additional paid-in capital; authorized, 100,000 shares; issued and outstanding, 40,575 shares in 2006 and 40,436 shares in 2005	164,198	161,727
Deferred compensation		(5,706)
Retained earnings, including accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$1,795 in 2006 and \$2,181 in 2005	223,725	218,799
Total shareholders' equity	387,923	374,820
Total	\$ 615,661	\$ 561,715

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)***(In thousands, except per share data)*

	Three Months Ended	
	Feb. 28, 2006 (as restated, see Note 2)	Feb. 28, 2005 (as restated, see Note 2)
Revenue:		
Software licenses	\$ 42,780	\$ 37,555
Maintenance and services	61,141	60,167
Total revenue	103,921	97,722
Costs of revenue:		
Cost of software licenses	2,210	1,957
Cost of maintenance and services	14,231	14,154
Amortization of acquired intangibles for purchased technology	1,524	1,145
Total costs of revenue	17,965	17,256
Gross profit	85,956	80,466
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	42,644	38,734
Product development	18,927	16,661
General and administrative	13,198	10,961
Amortization of other acquired intangibles	1,383	852
Acquisition-related expenses	1,534	
-		
Total operating expenses	77,686	67,208
Income from operations	8,270	13,258
Other income (expense):		
Interest income and other	1,795	890
Foreign currency loss	(1,098)	(1,551)
Total other income, net	697	(661)
Income before provision for income taxes	8,967	12,597
Provision for income taxes	3,058	4,051
Net income	\$ 5,909	\$ 8,546

Earnings per share:

Basic	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.23
Diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.22

Weighted average shares outstanding:

Basic	40,499	36,573
Diluted	43,057	39,544

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)***(In thousands)*

	Three Months Ended Feb. 28, 2006 (as restated, see Note 2)	2005 (as restated, see Note 2)
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 5,909	\$ 8,546
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	2,084	2,214
Amortization of capitalized software costs	44	68
Amortization of acquired intangible assets	2,907	1,997
Stock-based compensation	5,943	811
Deferred income taxes	(1,136)	(260)
Tax benefit from stock options	504	1,277
In-process research and development	900	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable, net	(5,285)	(7,363)
Other current assets	(3,677)	713
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(19,930)	(11,022)
Income taxes payable	985	(102)
Deferred revenue	16,553	17,325
Net cash provided by operating activities	5,801	14,204
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of investments available for sale	(86,961)	(38,831)
Sales and maturities of investments available for sale	159,460	20,375
Purchases of property and equipment	(4,878)	(2,106)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(62,033)	
Increase in other non-current assets	26	81
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	5,614	(20,481)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Issuance of common stock from stock plans	4,166	6,696
Excess tax benefit from stock options	374	
Payment of long-term debt	(65)	(63)
Repurchase of common stock	(3,361)	(5,757)
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,114	876
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	659	404
Net increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents	13,188	(4,997)
Cash and equivalents, beginning of period	40,398	58,439

Cash and equivalents, end of period	\$ 53,586	\$ 53,442
-------------------------------------	-----------	-----------

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

7

Table of Contents

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1: Basis of Presentation

We have prepared the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regarding interim financial reporting. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the restated audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2005. In the opinion of management, we have prepared the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements on the same basis as the restated audited financial statements, and these financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results of the interim periods presented. The operating results for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full fiscal year.

Note 2: Restatement of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements

On November 28, 2006, our Board of Directors concluded that our consolidated financial statements for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and each of the years during the three year period ended November 30, 2005 (including all interim periods) should be restated to record additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense, and related tax effects, resulting from stock options granted during fiscal years 1996 to 2005 that were incorrectly accounted for under GAAP. This decision was based on the determination that the actual measurement dates for determining the accounting treatment of certain stock option grants differed from the measurement dates we used in preparing our consolidated financial statements.

We determined the actual grant or measurement date for options by determining when the last step in the process necessary to complete a grant took place. We considered receipt of an executed unanimous written consent from each member of the Compensation Committee as the last required step. After comparing the grant or measurement dates that we historically used during fiscal years 1996 through 2005 to the actual grant dates identified by determining when the last step in the process necessary to complete a grant took place, we determined that certain options were granted at an exercise price below the fair market value of our common stock on the actual grant date.

In the accompanying restated financial statements, we recorded a reduction of stock-based compensation expense of \$0.5 million for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and additional stock-based compensation expense of \$0.8 million for the three months ended February 28, 2005. The change in the amount of stock-based compensation expense in the three months ended February 28, 2006 also included adjustments resulting from implementing an enhanced IT system to measure and recognize the fair value of equity awards. As a result of the errors in determining measurement dates, we also recorded payroll withholding tax-related adjustments for the exercise of certain options formerly classified as Incentive Stock Option (ISO) grants under Internal Revenue Service regulations. These options were determined to have been granted with an exercise price below the fair market value of our common stock on the actual grant date, and thus did not qualify for ISO tax treatment. Because these options did not qualify for ISO tax treatment, we should have withheld additional taxes on exercise of those options. We recorded estimated payroll withholding tax liabilities of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and 2005, respectively, in connection with the disqualification of such ISO tax treatment. We have included in the estimated payroll withholding liabilities an estimate of a gross-up in income for any payments to be made on behalf of employees. The stock-based compensation expenses, including the aforementioned withholding tax adjustments and income tax expense adjustments, increased net income by \$0.1 million for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and decreased net income by \$0.8 million for the three months ended February 28, 2005.

Table of Contents

We also restated our balance sheet as of February 28, 2006 to reclassify auction rate securities totaling \$17.0 million to short-term investments from cash and equivalents and we restated net cash used for investing activities within the consolidated statement of cash flows for each period.

Statement of Operations Adjustments

The following is a summary of the adjustments to our previously issued statements of operations for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and 2005.

(In thousands, except per share data)

Three Months Ended,	Feb. 28, 2006			Feb. 28, 2005		
	(as reported)	(adjustments)	(as restated)	(as reported)	(adjustments)	(as restated)
Revenue:						
Software licenses	\$ 42,780		\$ 42,780	\$37,555		\$37,555
Maintenance and services	61,141		61,141	60,167		60,167
Total revenue	103,921		103,921	97,722		97,722
Costs of revenue:						
Cost of software licenses	2,214	(4)	2,210	1,951	6	1,957
Cost of maintenance and services	14,250	(19)	14,231	14,036	118	14,154
Amortization of acquired intangibles for purchased technology	1,524		1,524	1,145		1,145
Total costs of revenue	17,988	(23)	17,965	17,132	124	17,256
Gross profit	85,933	23	85,956	80,590	(124)	80,466
Operating expenses:						
Sales and marketing	42,797	(153)	42,644	38,329	405	38,734
Product development	19,007	(80)	18,927	16,399	262	16,661
General and administrative	13,347	(149)	13,198	10,652	309	10,961
Amortization of other acquired intangibles	1,383		1,383	852		852
Acquisition-related expenses, net	1,534		1,534			
Total operating expenses	78,068	(382)	77,686	66,232	976	67,208
Income from operations	7,865	405	8,270	14,358	(1,100)	13,258
Other income (expense):						
Interest income and other	1,795		1,795	890		890
Foreign currency loss	(1,098)		(1,098)	(1,551)		(1,551)
Total other income, net	697		697	(661)		(661)

Income before provision for income taxes	8,562	405	8,967	13,697	(1,100)	12,597
Provision for income taxes	2,783	275	3,085	4,383	(332)	4,051
Net income	\$ 5,779	\$ 130	\$ 5,909	\$ 9,314	\$ (768)	\$ 8,546
Earnings per share:						
Basic	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.25	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.23
Diluted	\$ 0.14		\$ 0.14	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.22
Weighted average shares outstanding:						
Basic	40,499		40,499	36,573		36,573
Diluted	42,465	592	43,057	39,721	(177)	39,544

Table of Contents**Balance Sheet Adjustments**

The following is a summary of the impact of the financial statement adjustments on our previously reported consolidated balance sheets as of February 28, 2006.

(In thousands, except share data)

	(as reported)	As of Feb. 28, 2006 (adjustments)	(as restated)
Assets			
Current assets:			
Cash and equivalents	\$ 70,586	(17,000)	\$ 53,586
Short-term investments	136,523	17,000	153,523
Total cash and short-term investments	207,109		207,109
Accounts receivable	77,592		77,592
Other current assets	17,862		17,862
Deferred income taxes	22,967	(5,378)	17,589
Total current assets	325,530	(5,378)	320,152
Property and equipment, net	46,489		46,489
Intangible assets, net	76,182		76,182
Goodwill	153,419		153,419
Deferred income taxes	14,433		14,433
Other assets	4,986		4,986
Total	\$621,039	(5,378)	\$615,661
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity			
Current liabilities:			
Current portion, long-term debt	\$ 265		\$ 265
Accounts payable	12,815		12,815
Accrued compensation and related taxes	26,377	2,490	28,867
Income taxes payable	1,993	139	2,132
Other accrued liabilities	28,887		28,887
Remaining purchase price due to Actional shareholders	18,129		18,129
Short-term deferred revenue	124,871		124,871
Total current liabilities	213,337	2,629	215,966
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,870		1,870
Long-term deferred revenue	6,263		6,263

Deferred income taxes	3,639		3,639
Shareholders' equity:			
Common stock, \$.01 par value, and additional paid-in capital;	150,358	13,840	164,198
Retained earnings	245,572	(21,847)	223,725
Total shareholders' equity	395,930	(8,007)	387,923
Total	\$621,039	(5,378)	\$615,661

Table of Contents**Note 3: Stock-based Compensation**

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R). This Statement is a revision of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123), and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25), and its related implementation guidance. SFAS 123R requires a company to measure the grant date fair value of equity awards given to employees in exchange for services and recognize that cost over the period that such services are performed. We adopted SFAS 123R on December 1, 2005 using the modified prospective transition method.

Prior to adopting SFAS 123R, we accounted for stock-based compensation under APB 25, as permitted by SFAS 123. Under APB 25, we recorded stock-based compensation expense associated with below-market grants of stock options but generally did not otherwise record any stock-based compensation. We have applied the modified prospective method in adopting SFAS 123R. Accordingly, periods prior to adoption have not been restated to conform to FAS 123R and are not directly comparable to periods after adoption. Under the modified prospective method, compensation cost recognized in the three months ended February 28, 2006 includes (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of December 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123, less estimated forfeitures, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted and vested subsequent to December 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R, less estimated forfeitures.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, we presented all excess tax benefits related to stock compensation as cash flows from operating activities in the Statement of Cash Flows. SFAS 123R requires the cash flows resulting from these tax benefits to be classified as cash flows from financing activities. In the first quarter of fiscal 2006, the excess tax benefit from the exercise of stock options was \$0.4 million and was classified as cash flows from financing activities. Had we used the fair value method prescribed by SFAS 123R to measure compensation related to stock options and awards to employees in prior periods, pro forma net income and pro forma earnings per share would have been as follows:

(In thousands, except per share data)

Three Months Ended Feb. 28,	2005
Net income, as currently reported	\$ 8,546
Add: stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of tax	558
Less: stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards, net of tax	(2,823)
Pro forma net income	\$ 6,281
Basic earnings per share:	
As reported	\$ 0.23
Pro forma	\$ 0.17
Diluted earnings per share:	
As reported	\$ 0.22
Pro forma	\$ 0.16

Table of Contents

The stock-based employee compensation expense that is reflected in the Statement of Operations in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 was approximately \$5.9 million. The total income tax benefit recognized in the Statement of Operations for the first quarter of fiscal 2006 for share-based payments was approximately \$1.6 million.

We have three shareholder-approved stock option plans: the 1992 Incentive and Nonqualified Stock Option Plan (1992 Plan), the 1994 Stock Incentive Plan (1994 Plan) and the 1997 Stock Incentive Plan (1997 Plan). These plans permit the granting of stock awards to officers, members of the Board of Directors, employees and consultants. Awards under the 1992, 1994 and 1997 Plans may include stock options (both incentive and nonqualified), grants of restricted stock, unrestricted grants of stock, grants of stock contingent upon the attainment of performance goals and stock appreciation rights. Prior to fiscal 2005, no awards other than incentive and nonqualified stock options had been granted under the foregoing plans. The options granted prior to fiscal 2005 generally vest over five years and had terms of ten years. Subsequent option grants generally vest over five years and have terms of seven years. A total of 19,540,000 shares are issuable under these plans, of which 302,000 shares were available for grant at February 28, 2006.

We have an employee stock purchase plan which permits eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock at 85% of the lesser of the market value of such shares at the beginning of a 27-month offering period or the end of each three-month segment within such offering period. A total of 3,200,000 shares are issuable under the employee stock purchase plan, of which 473,000 shares were available at February 28, 2006.

In 2002, the Board of Directors approved and adopted the 2002 Nonqualified Stock Plan (2002 Plan), for which the approval of shareholders was not required. Executive officers and members of the Board of Directors are not eligible for awards under the 2002 Plan. Awards under the 2002 Plan may include nonqualified stock options, grants of restricted stock, unrestricted grants of stock, grants of stock contingent upon the attainment of performance goals and stock appreciation rights. Prior to fiscal 2005, no awards other than nonqualified stock options had been granted under the 2002 Plan. The options granted prior to fiscal 2005 generally vest over five years and had terms of ten years. Subsequent option grants generally vest over five years and have terms of seven years. A total of 6,500,000 shares are issuable under the 2002 Plan, of which 401,000 shares were available for grant at February 28, 2006.

In 2004, the Board of Directors approved and adopted the 2004 Inducement Stock Plan (2004 Plan), for which approval of shareholders was not required. We intend that the 2004 Plan be reserved for persons to whom we may issue securities as an inducement to become employed by us pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Nasdaq Stock Market. Awards under the 2004 Plan may include nonqualified stock options, grants of restricted stock, unrestricted grants of stock, grants of stock contingent upon the attainment of performance goals and stock appreciation rights. No awards other than nonqualified stock options have been granted under the 2004 Plan. The options granted prior to fiscal 2005 generally vest over five years and have terms of ten years. Subsequent option grants generally vest over five years and have terms of seven years. A total of 700,000 shares are issuable under the 2004 Plan, of which 63,000 shares were available for grant at February 28, 2006.

Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, we issued 190,000 shares of restricted stock under the 1997 Plan and the 2002 Plan. The restricted stock generally vests over two years. Approximately 3,000 shares were forfeited and approximately 2,000 shares were vested as of February 28, 2006.

A summary of stock option activity under all plans is as follows:

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Number of Shares		Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share
Options outstanding, December 1, 2005	9,459	\$	17.75
Granted	378		28.23
Exercised	(184)		14.82
Canceled	(46)		19.10
Options outstanding, February 28, 2006	9,607	\$	18.22
Options exercisable, February 28, 2006	5,456	\$	15.42

The weighted average remaining contractual term and the aggregate intrinsic value for options outstanding at February 28, 2006 were 6.4 years and \$111.2 million, respectively. The weighted average remaining contractual term and the aggregate intrinsic value for options exercisable at February 28, 2006 were 5.6 years and \$78.0 million, respectively.

A summary of the status of our restricted stock awards as of February 28, 2006 is as follows:

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Number of Shares		Weighted Average Grant date Fair value
Restricted stock awards nonvested, December 1, 2005	188	\$	30.81
Granted			
Vested			30.81
Forfeited	(3)		30.81
Restricted stock awards nonvested, February 28, 2006	185	\$	30.81

We estimate the fair value of each option award issued under the Plans on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes based option-pricing model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of our common stock. We base the expected term of the options on our historical option exercise data taking into consideration the exercise patterns of the option holder during the option's life. We base the risk-free interest rate on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant for a term equivalent to the expected life of the options.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended Feb. 28,	
	2006	2005
Stock Purchase Plan:		
Expected volatility	26.6%	38.3%
Risk-free interest rate	2.9%	2.0%
Expected life in years	1.2	1.9
Expected dividend yield	None	None
Stock Options:		
Expected volatility	30.1%	37.3%
Risk-free interest rate	4.6%	3.7%
Expected life in years	4.6	4.8
Expected dividend yield	None	None

Based on the above assumptions, the weighted average estimated fair value of options granted in the first quarter of fiscal years 2006 and 2005 was \$9.36 and \$8.76 per share, respectively. We estimate forfeitures related to option grants at an annual rate of 7% per year. The weighted average estimated fair value for shares issued under the ESPP in the first quarter of fiscal years 2006 and 2005 was \$6.42 and \$5.67 per share, respectively.

Other reasonable assumptions about these factors could provide different estimates of fair value. Future changes in stock price volatility, life of options, interest rates, forfeitures and dividend practices, if any, may require changes in our assumptions, which could materially affect the calculation of fair value.

Total gross unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock awards amounted to \$44.5 million at February 28, 2006. The shares associated with this unrecognized expense have a weighted average remaining vest period of 2.9 years.

Note 4: Earnings Per Share

We calculate basic earnings per share using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. We compute diluted earnings per share on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the effect of outstanding stock options using the treasury stock method. The following table provides the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share on an interim basis:

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended Feb. 28,	
	2006	2005
Net income	\$ 5,909	\$ 8,546
Weighted average shares outstanding	40,499	36,573
Dilutive impact from outstanding stock options	2,558	2,971
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	43,057	39,544
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.23
Diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.22

Note 5: Income Taxes

We provide for income taxes during interim periods based on the estimated effective tax rate for the full fiscal year. We record cumulative adjustments to the tax provision in an interim period in which a change in the estimated annual effective rate is determined.

Table of Contents**Note 6: Comprehensive Income**

The components of comprehensive income include net income, foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized gains and losses on foreign exchange hedging contracts and unrealized gains and losses on investments. The following table provides the calculation of comprehensive income on an interim basis:

(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended Feb. 28,	
	2006	2005
Net income	\$5,909	\$8,546
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax	359	95
Unrealized gains on foreign exchange hedging contracts, net of tax		622
Unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net of tax	27	(56)
Total comprehensive income	\$6,295	\$9,207

Note 7: Segment Information

Based upon the aggregation criteria for segment reporting, we have two reportable segments: Application Development and Deployment, which primarily includes the OpenEdge Division and DataDirect Technologies, and Enterprise SOA Infrastructure, which includes Sonic Software and Real Time. We aggregated our segment data based on similar utilization characteristics, such as deployment and integration, of the primary products in each operating unit. We do not manage our assets, capital expenditures, interest income or provision for income taxes by segment. We manage such items on a company basis.

At the end of fiscal 2005, we changed the composition of our reporting segments from previous disclosures. We restated the fiscal 2005 segment disclosure to conform to the current presentation.

The following table provides revenue and income from operations from our reportable segments on an interim basis:
(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended Feb. 28,	
	2006	2005
Revenue:		
Application Development and Deployment	\$ 91,894	\$86,423
Enterprise SOA Infrastructure	13,847	12,116
Reconciling items	(1,820)	(817)
Total	\$103,921	\$97,722
Income (loss) from operations:		
Application Development and Deployment	\$ 30,490	\$26,579
Enterprise SOA Infrastructure	(6,805)	(7,433)
Reconciling items	(15,415)	(5,888)
Total	\$ 8,270	\$13,258

Amounts included under reconciling items represent inter-segment sales, which are accounted for as if sold under an equivalent arms-length basis arrangement, amortization of acquired intangibles, stock-based compensation, acquisition-related expenses and certain unallocated administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

Total revenue by significant product line, regardless of which segment generated the revenue, is as follows:
(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended Feb. 28,	
	2006	2005
DataDirect	\$ 11,905	\$ 8,555
Sonic and Real Time	16,056	13,192
Progress OpenEdge and other	75,960	75,975
Total revenue	\$ 103,921	\$ 97,722

Note 8: Acquisitions of NEON and Actional

On January 20, 2006, we acquired for a combination of cash and stock, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, the stock of Actional Corporation (Actional) for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$29 million, net of cash acquired. Actional is a leading provider of Web services management software for visibility and run-time governance of distributed IT systems in a service-oriented architecture. The purpose of the acquisition was to broaden the Sonic product line. Upon the closing of the transaction, Actional became part of our Sonic operating unit. We accounted for the acquisition as a purchase, and accordingly, we included the results of operations of Actional in our operating results from the date of acquisition. Transaction costs related to this acquisition totaled \$0.4 million of direct acquisition costs. We paid the purchase price in cash from available funds. Approximately \$18.1 million of the total purchase price remained to be paid at February 28, 2006. We expect to make these payments by the end of our second quarter.

On January 30, 2006, we acquired, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, approximately 91% of the outstanding shares of common stock of NEON Systems, Inc. (NEON), and we acquired the remaining outstanding shares of common stock of NEON on February 2, 2006. The aggregate purchase price of the acquisition was approximately \$51 million, net of cash acquired. The purchase price also included the value of in-the-money stock options and warrants. NEON is a provider of mainframe integration products and services. The purpose of the acquisition was to broaden the product offerings of DataDirect. Upon the closing of the transaction, NEON became part of our DataDirect operating unit. We accounted for the acquisition as a purchase, and accordingly, we included the results of operations of NEON in our operating results from January 30, 2006, the date of acquisition. Transaction costs related to this acquisition included \$0.7 million of expenses related to a facilities closure and \$0.8 million of direct acquisition costs. We paid the purchase price in cash from available funds.

Included in acquisition-related expenses is \$0.9 million of in-process research and development from the acquisition of NEON, which was expensed when the acquisition was consummated because the technological feasibility of several products under development at the time of the acquisition had not been achieved and no alternate future uses had been established. Research and development costs to bring the acquired products to technological feasibility are not expected to have a material impact on our future results of operations or cash flows. The value of in-process research and development was determined based on an appraisal from an independent third party.

Table of Contents

For both acquisitions we obtained valuations from independent appraisers for the amounts assigned to intangible assets. The preliminary allocation of the purchase prices as of February 28, 2006 was as follows:

(In thousands)

	Total	Life (in years)
Assets and liabilities, including cash	\$ 4,801	
Acquired intangible assets	31,709	1 to 10 years
Goodwill (not deductible for tax purposes)	68,256	
In-process research and development	900	
Deferred tax liability	(6,121)	
Total purchase price	99,545	
Less: cash acquired	(19,383)	
Less: unpaid value of purchase price	(18,129)	
Net cash paid	\$ 62,033	

Pro forma financial information has not been presented, as the historical operations of NEON and Actional are not significant to our consolidated financial statements.

Note 9: Subsequent Events

On June 23, 2006, we received written notice that the Boston, Massachusetts office of the Securities and Exchange Commission is conducting an informal inquiry into our option-granting practices during the period December 1, 1995 through November 30, 2002. The informal inquiry has been expanded to cover periods through the present. The SEC has requested testimony from certain of our officers and documents relating to our stock option practices for the period under investigation. We have produced responsive documents and are in the process of producing additional documents. We are unable to predict accurately what consequences may arise from the SEC inquiry. We have already incurred, and expect to continue to incur, significant legal and accounting expenses arising from the inquiry. The inquiry could also divert the attention of our management and harm our business. If the SEC institutes legal action, we could face significant fines and penalties and be required to take remedial actions determined by the SEC or a court. Although we have filed certain restated financial statements that we believe correct the accounting errors arising from our past option-granting practices, the filing of those financial statements will not resolve the pending SEC inquiry. The SEC has not reviewed our restated financial statements, and any future review could lead to further restatements or other modifications of our financial statements.

On July 19, 2006, we received a staff determination letter from the Nasdaq Stock Market stating that our failure to timely file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended May 31, 2006 was a violation of Nasdaq rules and that our securities would be delisted unless we requested a hearing. We requested a hearing, and this request stayed the delisting pending the outcome of the hearing. On October 13, 2006, we received a similar staff determination letter with respect to our failure to timely file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended August 31, 2006. The outcome of the hearing resulted in our delisting being deferred until November 30, 2006 based on our ability to meet certain conditions, including the filing of our delayed and restated financial statements by that date and providing Nasdaq with information regarding the results of our internal investigation. We have provided the Nasdaq Hearing Department with certain requested information. On November 29, 2006, we requested an extension of time until December 15, 2006 to file our delayed and restated financial statements. On December 15, 2006, we requested another such extension until December 18, 2006. The Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel has not granted us a formal written extension of the above conditions. If Nasdaq does not grant our requested extension, or if we do not meet any extended deadline for those filings or if Nasdaq is not satisfied with the result of our internal investigation, our common stock may be delisted.

On August 17, 2006, a derivative complaint styled *Arkansas Teacher Retirement System, Derivatively on Behalf of Progress Software Corporation, v. Joseph Alsop et al.* was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts by a party identifying itself as one of our shareholders purporting to act on our behalf against our directors and certain of our present and former officers. We are also named as a nominal defendant. The complaint alleges violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5, breaches of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment arising from an alleged option backdating scheme. The complaint seeks monetary damages, restitution, disgorgement, rescission of stock options, punitive damages and other relief. A motion to dismiss the derivative complaint has been filed and is pending. We have also received derivative demands relating to substantially the same allegations from three purported shareholders, including the plaintiff that filed the derivative complaint. On November 30, 2006, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint. The ultimate outcome of these complaints could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. We expect to incur additional legal expenses arising from the derivative action, including the advancement of legal expenses to our directors and officers in connection with the derivative action. We have indemnification obligations to our directors and officers, and the outcome of the derivative or any other litigation may require that we indemnify some or all of our directors and officers for expenses they may incur in defending the litigation and other losses.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations **Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 contains certain safe harbor provisions regarding forward-looking statements. This Form 10-Q/A, and other information provided by us or statements made by our directors, officers or employees from time to time, may contain forward-looking statements and information, which involve risks and uncertainties. Actual future results may differ materially. Statements indicating that we expect, estimate, believe, are planning or plan to are forward-looking, as are other statements concerning future financial results, product offerings or other events that have not yet occurred. There are several important factors that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from those anticipated by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include those described in Part II, Item 1A of this Form 10-Q/A under the heading Risk Factors. Although we have sought to identify the most significant risks to our business, we cannot predict whether, or to what extent, any of such risks may be realized. We also cannot assure you that we have identified all possible issues which we might face. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements that we make.

Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements

On August 29, 2006, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors concluded that the actual measurement dates for determining the accounting treatment of certain stock option grants differed from the measurement dates we used in preparing our consolidated financial statements, and that our annual and interim consolidated financial statements, including the reports of our independent registered public accounting firm thereon, and our earnings releases and similar communications for the year ended November 30, 1996 and subsequent periods, should no longer be relied upon.

On November 28, 2006, our Board of Directors concluded that our consolidated financial statements for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and each of the years during the three year period ended November 30, 2005 (including all interim periods) should be restated to record additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense, and related tax effects, resulting from stock options granted during fiscal years 1996 to 2005 that were incorrectly accounted for under GAAP. This decision was based on the determination that the actual measurement dates for determining the accounting treatment of certain stock option grants differed from the measurement dates we used in preparing our consolidated financial statements.

Our decision to restate our financial statements was based on the facts obtained by an internal investigation into our stock option accounting. This investigation was initiated voluntarily by our Board of Directors in May 2006 as a result of media and analysts' reports regarding stock option grant practices of numerous companies, including Progress Software, as well as investor inquiries. The investigation was conducted initially under the direction of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which included an independent director who also served on the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. In September 2006, our Board of Directors created the Special Committee of the Board of Directors, consisting only of independent directors who had never served on the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, to continue the investigation. The investigation was jointly conducted by our outside legal counsel and by special legal counsel retained by the Special Committee, which counsel had no prior relationship with us or our management.

Our option grants were made by means of unanimous written consents executed by the Compensation Committee. During fiscal years 1996 through 2005, we used as the measurement date for our option grants the dates which appeared on the unanimous written consents. However, during the period from December 1995 through July 2005, the Compensation Committee generally did not execute those written consents on the dates appearing on those consents. Instead, the consents were generally executed by the Compensation Committee after the dates stated on the consents. During fiscal years 1996 through 2002, we generally selected the dates used as the grant dates retrospectively. Particularly for our annual grants, which represented the largest number of options granted each year, we chose as the grant date a date on which the closing price of our common stock was at or near the lowest price for the quarter in which the annual grant was made.

For our large annual grants made between December 2002 and November 2004, we used as the measurement date the date reported by our Section 16 officers as the grant date on their Forms 4. Generally, however, as of the reported grant date, we had not made a final determination of the number of options to be granted to individual recipients other

than our Section 16 officers. In addition, we generally selected the reported grant date by choosing the date when our common stock price was the lowest between the second business day before the filing date of the Forms 4 and the filing date.

We determined the actual grant or measurement date for options by determining when the last step in the process necessary to complete a grant took place. We considered receipt of an executed unanimous written consent from each member of the Compensation Committee as the last required step. After comparing the grant or measurement dates that we historically used during fiscal years 1996 through 2005 to the actual grant dates identified by determining when the last step in the process necessary to complete a grant took place, we determined that certain options were granted at an exercise price below the fair market value of our common stock on the actual grant date.

For more information regarding the proceedings of the Special Committee and the restatement, see the Explanatory Note - Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements at the beginning of our amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed on December 18, 2006, and Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements of that report.

In the accompanying restated financial statements, we recorded a reduction of stock-based compensation expense of \$0.5 million for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and additional stock-based compensation expense of \$0.8 million for the three months ended February 28, 2005. The change in the amount of stock-based compensation expense in the three months ended February 28, 2006 also included adjustments resulting from implementing an enhanced IT system to measure and recognize the fair value of equity awards. As a result of the errors in determining measurement dates, we also recorded payroll withholding tax-related adjustments for the exercise of certain options formerly classified as Incentive Stock Option (ISO) grants under Internal Revenue Service regulations. These options were determined to have been granted with an exercise price below the fair market value of our common stock on the actual grant date, and thus did not qualify for ISO tax treatment. Because these options did not qualify for ISO tax treatment, we should have withheld additional taxes on exercise of those options. We recorded estimated payroll withholding tax liabilities of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and 2005, respectively, in connection with the disqualification of such ISO tax treatment. We have included in the estimated payroll withholding liabilities an estimate of a gross-up in income for any payments to be made on behalf of employees. The stock-based compensation expenses, including the aforementioned withholding tax adjustments and income tax expense adjustments, increased net income by \$0.1 million for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and decreased net income by \$0.8 million for the three months ended February 28, 2005.

Table of Contents

On November 28, 2006, our Board of Directors authorized us to mitigate the unfavorable personal tax consequences under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. Options determined to have been granted with an exercise price below the fair market value of our common stock on the actual grant date and vesting subsequent to December 2004 result in nonqualified deferred compensation for purposes of Section 409A, and holders are subject to an excise tax on the value of the options in the year in which they vest. We have determined that options to purchase approximately 3.1 million shares of our common stock held by current and former employees may be subject to adverse tax consequences under Section 409A.

In order to mitigate the unfavorable personal tax consequences under Section 409A, we intend to offer holders of these options the opportunity to amend their affected options. Specifically, we expect to conduct a tender offer pursuant to which we will offer to amend the affected options to increase the exercise price to the fair market value of our common stock on the revised grant date, and to give the option holders (excluding certain executive officers and employees) a cash payment for the increase in the exercise price.

We expect to provide to option holders who are eligible for the tender offer, and to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, a formal Offer to Amend, a related Letter of Transmittal and other documents describing the tender offer in detail. Eligible option holders should read these tender offer documents carefully when they are available because they will contain important information about the tender offer. Eligible option holders can obtain the tender offer documents, when available, and other related documents filed with the Commission for free at the Commission's web site (www.sec.gov) or at no cost from us.

We also intend to enter into option amendment agreements containing similar terms with a limited number of individuals for whom the deadline for such an amendment is December 31, 2006. We will account for the impact of the tender offer and these option amendment agreements as a stock option modification under SFAS 123R and recognize additional stock-based compensation expense, with a corresponding offset to additional paid-in capital, over the vesting period of the modified options. We will record a liability for the present value of the expected cash payments, with a corresponding reduction in additional paid-in capital, and recognize interest expense through the period up to each payment date.

We also plan to compensate holders of exercised options for the consequences of Section 409A and plan to take steps to compensate holders for the loss of value arising from the cancellation of vested, in-the-money options from May 2006 to the filing of our restated consolidated financial statements, including any consequences arising under Section 409A. We estimate that we will incur additional compensation expense of \$0.7 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006 in connection with these actions.

The accompanying discussion in Results of Operations below reflects the effects of the restatement described above.

Table of Contents

Related Proceedings

On June 23, 2006, we received written notice that the Boston, Massachusetts office of the Securities and Exchange Commission is conducting an informal inquiry into our option-granting practices during the period December 1, 1995 through November 30, 2002. The informal inquiry has been expanded to cover periods through the present. The SEC has requested testimony from certain of our officers and documents relating to our stock option practices for the period under investigation. We have produced responsive documents and are in the process of producing additional documents. We are unable to predict accurately what consequences may arise from the SEC inquiry. We have already incurred, and expect to continue to incur, significant legal and accounting expenses arising from the inquiry. The inquiry could also divert the attention of our management and harm our business. If the SEC institutes legal action, we could face significant fines and penalties and be required to take remedial actions determined by the SEC or a court. Although we have filed certain restated financial statements that we believe correct the accounting errors arising from our past option-granting practices, the filing of those financial statements will not resolve the pending SEC inquiry. The SEC has not reviewed our restated financial statements, and any future review could lead to further restatements or other modifications of our financial statements.

On August 17, 2006, a derivative complaint styled *Arkansas Teacher Retirement System, Derivatively on Behalf of Progress Software Corporation, v. Joseph Alsop et al.* was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts by a party identifying itself as one of our shareholders purporting to act on our behalf against our directors and certain of our present and former officers. We are also named as a nominal defendant. The complaint alleges violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5, breaches of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment arising from an alleged option backdating scheme. The complaint seeks monetary damages, restitution, disgorgement, rescission of stock options, punitive damages and other relief. A motion to dismiss the derivative complaint has been filed and is pending. We have also received derivative demands relating to substantially the same allegations from three purported shareholders, including the plaintiff that filed the derivative complaint. On November 30, 2006, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint. The ultimate outcome of these complaints could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. We expect to incur additional legal expenses arising from the derivative action, including the advancement of legal expenses to our directors and officers in connection with the derivative action. We have indemnification obligations to our directors and officers, and the outcome of the derivative or any other litigation may require that we indemnify some or all of our directors and officers for expenses they may incur in defending the litigation and other losses.

NASDAQ Delisting Notice

On July 19, 2006, we received a staff determination letter from the Nasdaq Stock Market stating that our failure to timely file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended May 31, 2006 was a violation of Nasdaq rules and that our securities would be delisted unless we requested a hearing. We requested a hearing, and this request stayed the delisting pending the outcome of the hearing. On October 13, 2006, we received a similar staff determination letter with respect to our failure to timely file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended August 31, 2006. The outcome of the hearing resulted in our delisting being deferred until November 30, 2006 based on our ability to meet certain conditions, including the filing of our delayed and restated financial statements by that date and providing Nasdaq with information regarding the results of our internal investigation. We have provided the Nasdaq Hearing Department with certain requested information. On November 29, 2006, we requested an extension of time until December 15, 2006 to file our delayed and restated financial statements. On December 15, 2006, we requested another such extension until December 18, 2006. The Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel has not granted us a formal written extension of the above conditions. If Nasdaq does not grant our requested extension, or if we do not meet any extended deadline for those filings or if Nasdaq is not satisfied with the result of our internal investigation, our common stock may be delisted.

Overview

We develop, market and distribute software to simplify and accelerate the development, deployment, integration and management of business applications. Our mission is to deliver software products and services that empower partners and customers to improve their development, deployment, integration and management of quality applications worldwide. Our products include development tools, databases, application servers, messaging servers, application

management tools, data connectivity products and integration products that enable the highly distributed deployment of responsive applications across internal networks, the Internet and occasionally-connected users. Through our various operating units, we market our products globally to a broad range of organizations in manufacturing, distribution, finance, retail, healthcare, telecommunications, government and many other fields.

We derive a significant portion of our revenue from international operations. In the first quarter of fiscal 2006, the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against most major currencies, primarily the euro and the British pound, negatively affected the translation of our results into U.S. dollars. In the first quarter of fiscal 2005 as well as in fiscal years 2002 through 2004, the weakening of the U.S. dollar against most major currencies, primarily the euro and the British pound, positively affected the translation of our results into U.S. dollars.

Table of Contents

Adoption of SFAS 123R

Effective December 1, 2005, we adopted SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R). SFAS 123R requires us to measure the grant date fair value of equity awards given to employees in exchange for services and recognize that cost over the period that such services are performed. Prior to adopting SFAS 123R, we accounted for stock-based compensation under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25), as permitted by SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123). In transitioning from APB 25 to SFAS 123R, we have applied the modified prospective method. Accordingly, periods prior to adoption have not been restated to conform to SFAS 123R and are not directly comparable to periods after adoption. Under the modified prospective method, compensation cost recognized in periods after adoption includes (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of December 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123, less estimated forfeitures, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to December 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R, less estimated forfeitures. The stock-based employee compensation cost that is reflected in net income in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 was approximately \$5.9 million. The total income tax benefit recognized in our statement of operations for the first quarter of fiscal 2006 for share-based payments was approximately \$1.6 million. Total gross unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock awards amounted to \$44.5 million at February 28, 2006. The shares associated with this unrecognized expense have a weighted average remaining vest period of 2.9 years. For fiscal 2006, total stock-based compensation expense, including amounts from the issuance of restricted shares and amounts from stock options using the fair value provisions of SFAS 123R, is estimated to be approximately \$23 million.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, we presented all excess tax benefits related to stock compensation as cash flows from operating activities in our statement of cash flows. SFAS 123R requires the cash flows resulting from these excess tax benefits to be classified as cash flows from financing activities when realized. In the first quarter of fiscal 2006, the tax benefit from the exercise of stock options was \$0.4 million, which was classified as cash flows from financing activities.

For more information about stock-based compensation, including valuation methodology, see Note 3 of Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q/A and - Critical Accounting Policies Stock-Based Compensation below.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We make estimates and assumptions in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenue and expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. However, actual results may differ from these estimates.

We have identified the following critical accounting policies that require the use of significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. This listing is not a comprehensive list of all of our accounting policies. For further information regarding the application of these and other accounting policies, see Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, as well as the notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q/A.

Revenue Recognition Our revenue recognition policy is significant because revenue is a key component affecting results of operations. In determining when to recognize revenue from a customer arrangement, we are often

Table of Contents

required to exercise judgment regarding the application of our accounting policies to a particular arrangement. For example, judgment is required in determining whether a customer arrangement has multiple elements. When such a situation exists, judgment is also involved in determining whether vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair value for the undelivered elements exists. While we follow specific and detailed rules and guidelines related to revenue recognition, we make and use significant management judgments and estimates in connection with the revenue recognized in any reporting period, particularly in the areas described above, as well as collectibility. If management made different estimates or judgments, material differences in the timing of the recognition of revenue could occur.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of customers to make required payments. We establish this allowance using estimates that we make based on factors such as the composition of the accounts receivable aging, historical bad debts, changes in payment patterns, changes to customer creditworthiness and current economic trends. If we used different estimates, or if the financial condition of customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, we would require additional provisions for doubtful accounts that would increase bad debt expense.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets We have goodwill and net intangible assets of \$229.6 million at February 28, 2006. We assess the impairment of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets on an annual basis and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. We would record an impairment charge if such an assessment were to indicate that the fair value of such assets was less than the carrying value. Judgment is required in determining whether an event has occurred that may impair the value of goodwill or identifiable intangible assets. Factors that could indicate that an impairment may exist include significant underperformance relative to plan or long-term projections, changes in business strategy, significant negative industry or economic trends or a significant decline in our stock price or in the value of one of our reporting units for a sustained period of time. We utilize cash flow models to determine the fair value of our reporting units. We must make assumptions about future cash flows, future operating plans, discount rates and other factors in our models, as do the third parties that provide valuation reports to us. Different assumptions and judgment determinations could yield different conclusions that would result in an impairment charge to income in the period that such change or determination was made.

Income Tax Accounting We have a net deferred tax asset of \$28.4 million at February 28, 2006. We record valuation allowances to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. We consider scheduled reversals of temporary differences, projected future taxable income, ongoing tax planning strategies and other matters in assessing the need for and the amount of a valuation allowance. If we were to change our assumptions or otherwise determine that we were unable to realize all or part of our net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would be charged to income in the period that such change or determination was made.

Stock-Based Compensation We account for stock-based compensation expense in accordance with SFAS 123R. Under SFAS 123R, stock-based compensation expense reflects the fair value of stock-based awards measured at the grant date, is recognized over the relevant service period, and is adjusted each period for anticipated forfeitures. We estimate the fair value of each stock-based award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The Black-Scholes option valuation model incorporates assumptions as to stock price volatility, the expected life of options, a risk-free interest rate and dividend yield. Many of these assumptions are highly subjective and require the exercise of management judgment. Our management must also apply judgment in developing an estimate of awards that may be forfeited. If our actual experience differs significantly from our estimates and we choose to employ different assumptions in the future, the stock-based compensation expense that we record in future periods may differ materially from that recorded in the current period.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table provides certain income and expense items as a percentage of total revenue, and the percentage change in dollar amounts of such items compared with the corresponding period in the previous fiscal year:

	Percentage of Total Revenue		Period-to-Period Change 2006 Compared to 2005
	Three Months Ended Feb. 28, 2006	Feb. 28, 2005	
Revenue:			
Software licenses	41%	38%	14%
Maintenance and services	59	62	2
Total revenue	100	100	6
Costs of revenue:			
Cost of software licenses	2	2	13
Cost of maintenance and services	14	14	1
Amortization of acquired intangibles for purchased technology	1	1	33
Total costs of revenue	17	17	4
Gross profit	83	83	7
Operating expenses:			
Sales and marketing	41	40	10
Product development	18	17	14
General and administrative	13	11	20
Amortization of other acquired intangibles	1	1	62
Acquisition-related expenses	2		
Total operating expenses	75	69	16
Income from operations	8	14	(38)
Other (expense) income, net	1	(1)	205
Income before provision for income taxes	9	13	(29)
Provision for income taxes	3	4	(25)
Net income	6%	9%	(31)%

Revenue. Our total revenue increased 6% from \$97.7 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$103.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Our revenue increased primarily due to increased volume of software license and maintenance sales from all of our major product lines, partially offset by the year-over-year impact of exchange rates.

Total revenue would have increased by 11% if exchange rates had been constant in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 as compared to exchange rates in effect in the first quarter of fiscal 2005.

Revenue from our Progress OpenEdge product line was essentially flat at \$76.0 million in each of the first quarters of fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2006. Revenue derived from our Sonic and Real Time product lines increased 22% from \$13.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$16.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Revenue from our DataDirect product line increased 39% from \$8.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$11.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

Software license revenue increased 14% from \$37.6 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$42.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Software license revenue would have increased by 18% if exchange rates had been constant in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 as compared to exchange rates in effect in the first quarter of fiscal 2005.

The increase in software license revenue was primarily due to growth from the DataDirect and the Sonic and Real Time product lines. These product lines accounted for 39% of software license revenue in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 as compared to 34% in fiscal 2005. Software license revenue from indirect channels, including application partners and OEMs, increased in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 as compared to the first quarter of fiscal 2005.

Software license revenue from the Progress OpenEdge product set increased year over year, primarily within the deployment and management products and the database products.

Table of Contents

Maintenance and services revenue increased 2% from \$60.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$61.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Maintenance and services revenue would have increased by 6% if exchange rates had been constant in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 as compared to exchange rates in effect in the first quarter of fiscal 2005. Excluding the impact of changes in exchange rates, the increase in maintenance and services revenue was primarily the result of growth in our installed customer base, renewal of maintenance agreements and an increase in professional services revenue.

Total revenue generated in markets outside North America increased 5% from \$55.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$57.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 and represented 56% of total revenue in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 and 55% of total revenue in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Revenue from two of the three major regions outside North America, consisting of EMEA and Latin America, each increased in fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005. Revenue from the third major region outside North America, Asia Pacific, decreased slightly in fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005. Total revenue generated in markets outside North America would have represented 57% of total revenue if exchange rates had been constant in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 as compared to the exchange rates in effect in the first quarter of fiscal 2005.

We anticipate total revenue in the second quarter of fiscal 2006 to be in the range of \$108 million to \$110 million, representing an increase of 8% to 10% as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2005. This revenue expectation assumes the continued success of our application partners and other channel partners, continued improvement in our ability to generate new business in end user accounts and continued growth and success from the newer product lines of DataDirect and Sonic and Real Time. This revenue expectation also includes expected amounts from the recently completed NEON and Actional acquisitions. However, many factors, including external factors such as geopolitical issues or a significant strengthening of the U.S. dollar against currencies from which we derive a significant portion of our business, could negatively impact this revenue expectation.

Cost of Software Licenses. Cost of software licenses consists primarily of costs of product media, documentation, duplication, packaging, electronic software distribution, royalties and amortization of capitalized software costs. Cost of software licenses increased 13% from \$2.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$2.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006, and remained the same as a percentage of software license revenue at 5%. Cost of software licenses as a percentage of software license revenue may vary from period to period depending upon the relative product mix. However, we expect the cost of software licenses to range from 5% to 8% of the related software license revenue in a given period.

Cost of Maintenance and Services. Cost of maintenance and services consists primarily of costs of providing customer technical support, education and consulting. Cost of maintenance and services remained the same at \$14.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 and in the first quarter of fiscal 2006, and remained the same as a percentage of maintenance and services revenue at 23%. Our technical support, education and consulting headcount increased by 2% from the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

Amortization of Acquired Intangibles for Purchased Technology. Amortization of acquired intangibles for purchased technology primarily represents the amortization of the value assigned to technology-related intangible assets obtained in business combinations. Amortization of acquired intangibles for purchased technology increased from \$1.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$1.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The increase was due to amortization expense associated with the acquisitions of Apama and EasyAsk in the second quarter of fiscal 2005 and NEON and Actional in the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

Gross Profit. Our gross profit increased 7% from \$80.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$86.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The gross profit percentage remained the same at 83% of total revenue in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 as compared to the first quarter of fiscal 2005.

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses increased 10% from \$38.7 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$42.6 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006, and increased as a percentage of total revenue from 40% to

Table of Contents

41%. The increase in sales and marketing expenses was due to the addition of sales and marketing personnel and related expenses resulting from acquisitions and to the adoption of SFAS 123R. Sales and marketing expenses included \$0.3 million stock-based compensation in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 as compared to \$2.2 million of stock-based compensation in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Our sales support and marketing headcount increased by 8% from the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

Product Development. Product development expenses increased 14% from \$16.7 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$18.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006, and increased as a percentage of revenue from 17% to 18%. The dollar increase was primarily due to headcount-related expenses from the development teams associated with the recently acquired Apama, NEON and Actional products and the adoption of SFAS 123R. Product development expenses included \$0.2 million of stock-based compensation in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 as compared to \$1.4 million of stock-based compensation in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Our product development headcount increased 29% from the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses include the costs of our finance, human resources, legal, information systems and administrative departments. General and administrative expenses increased 20% from \$11.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$13.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006, and increased as a percentage of revenue from 11% to 13%. The dollar increase was primarily due to headcount-related costs, transition and integration costs associated with acquisitions, and the adoption of SFAS 123R. General and administrative expenses included \$0.3 million of stock-based compensation in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 as compared to \$1.9 million of stock-based compensation in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Our administrative headcount increased 14% from the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

Amortization of Other Acquired Intangibles. Amortization of other acquired intangibles primarily represents the amortization of value assigned to non-technology-related intangible assets obtained in business combinations. Amortization of other acquired intangibles increased from \$0.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$1.4 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The increase was due to amortization expense associated with the acquisitions of Apama and EasyAsk in the second quarter of fiscal 2005 and Neon and Actional in the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

Acquisition-Related Expenses. Acquisition-related expenses for the first three months of fiscal 2006 include \$0.6 million of expenses for retention bonuses to Apama and EasyAsk employees who joined us in fiscal 2005 and \$0.9 million of in-process research and development from the acquisition of NEON, which was expensed when the acquisition was consummated because the technological feasibility of several products under development at the time of the acquisition had not been achieved and no alternate future uses had been established. Research and development costs to bring the acquired products to technological feasibility are not expected to have a material impact on our future results of operations or cash flows. The value of in-process research and development was determined based on an appraisal from an independent third party.

Income From Operations. Income from operations decreased 38% from \$13.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$8.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 and decreased as a percentage of total revenue from 14% in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to 8% in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The decrease was primarily due to the adoption of SFAS 123R and the increase in amortization of acquired intangibles and other acquisition-related expenses. If we are able to meet our forecasted revenue target and expenses occur as planned for the remainder of the fiscal year, we expect income from operations as a percentage of revenue to be between 10% and 11% for all of fiscal 2006. Income from operations increased from \$26.6 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$30.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 in our Application Development & Deployment segment, which primarily includes OED and DataDirect Technologies. Losses from operations decreased from \$7.4 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$6.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 in our Enterprise SOA Infrastructure segment, which includes Sonic and Real Time. See Note 7 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for a reconciliation of income from operations for each segment to consolidated income from operations.

Table of Contents

Other Income. Other income increased 205% from (\$0.7) million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to \$0.7 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The increase was primarily due to an increase in interest income resulting from slightly higher interest rates, higher average cash and short-term investment balances and lower foreign exchange losses.

Provision for Income Taxes. Our effective tax rate increased from 32% in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 to 34% in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. We estimate that our effective tax rate will be approximately 34% for all of fiscal 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2006, our cash and short-term investments totaled \$207.1 million. The decrease of \$59.3 million since the end of fiscal 2005 resulted primarily from cash paid for the acquisitions of NEON and Actional.

We generated \$5.8 million in cash from operations in the first three months of fiscal 2006 as compared to \$14.2 million in the first three months of fiscal 2005. The decrease in cash generated from operations in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 over the first quarter of fiscal 2005 was primarily due to higher year-end compensation payouts as compared to amounts from the previous period.

Accounts receivable increased by \$11.0 million from the end of fiscal 2005. Accounts receivable days sales outstanding, or DSO, increased by 2 days to 67 days at the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2006 as compared to 65 days at the end of fiscal 2005 and increased by 11 days from 56 days at the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2005. The increase in DSO is primarily related to the impact of maintenance renewal billings, as the first quarter of each fiscal year typically has the highest portion of such billings relative to the full year and to the impact of assuming opening accounts receivable balances from recent acquisitions. We target a DSO range of 60 to 80 days.

We purchased property and equipment totaling \$4.9 million in the first three months of fiscal 2006 as compared to \$2.1 million in the first three months of fiscal 2005. The purchases consisted primarily of computer equipment and building and leasehold improvements.

In September 2005, the Board of Directors authorized, for the period from October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2006, the purchase of up to 10,000,000 shares of our common stock, at such times that we deem such purchases to be an effective use of cash. We purchased and retired approximately 119,000 shares of our common stock for \$3.4 million in the first three months of fiscal 2006 as compared to approximately 265,000 shares of our common stock for \$5.8 million in the first three months of fiscal 2005.

We received \$4.2 million in the first three months of fiscal 2006 from the exercise of stock options and the issuance of ESPP shares compared to \$6.7 million in the first three months of fiscal 2005.

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, we used cash to complete two acquisitions. Both of these acquisitions were accounted for as purchases, and accordingly, the results of operations of the acquired companies are included in our operating results from their respective dates of acquisition. In each case, the purchase price was paid in cash, except for the remaining portion of Actional shares, from available funds:

On January 20, 2006, we acquired for a combination of cash and stock, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, the stock of Actional Corporation for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$29 million, net of cash. At February 28, 2006, approximately \$18.1 million of the purchase price remained to be paid, a portion of which has since been satisfied through the issuance of 460,011 shares of our common stock.

On January 30, 2006, we acquired, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, approximately 91% of the outstanding shares of common stock of NEON Systems, Inc., and we acquired the remaining outstanding shares of common stock of NEON on February 2, 2006. The aggregate purchase price of the acquisition was approximately \$51 million, net of cash acquired.

Table of Contents

We include standard intellectual property indemnification provisions in our licensing agreements in the ordinary course of business. Pursuant to our product license agreements, we will indemnify, hold harmless, and agree to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party, generally business partners or customers, in connection with certain patent, copyright or other intellectual property infringement claims by third parties with respect to our products. Other agreements with our customers provide indemnification for claims relating to property damage or personal injury resulting from the performance of services by us or our subcontractors. Historically, our costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims relating to such indemnity agreements have been insignificant. Accordingly, the estimated fair value of these indemnification provisions is immaterial.

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted, which arise in the ordinary course of business. While the outcome of these claims cannot be predicted with certainty, management does not believe that the outcome of any of these legal matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

We believe that existing cash balances together with funds generated from operations will be sufficient to finance our operations and meet our foreseeable cash requirements (including planned capital expenditures, lease commitments, debt payments, potential cash acquisitions and other long-term obligations) through at least the next twelve months.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our only significant off-balance sheet commitments relate to operating lease obligations. We have no off-balance sheet arrangements within the meaning of Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K. Future annual minimum rental lease payments are detailed in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended November 30, 2005.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to a variety of market risks, including changes in interest rates affecting the return on our investments and foreign currency fluctuations. We have established policies and procedures to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.

Exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates to our investment portfolio. We have not used derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. We seek to place our investments with high-quality issuers and have policies limiting, among other things, the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. We seek to limit default risk by purchasing only investment-grade securities. Our investments have an average remaining maturity of less than two years and are primarily fixed-rate instruments. In addition, we have classified all of our debt securities as available for sale. This classification reduces the income statement exposure to interest rate risk if such investments are held until their maturity date. Based on a hypothetical 10% adverse movement in interest rates, the potential losses in future earnings, fair value of risk-sensitive instruments and cash flows are immaterial.

We enter into foreign exchange option and forward contracts to hedge certain transactions of selected foreign currencies (mainly in Europe and Asia Pacific) against fluctuations in exchange rates. We have not entered into foreign exchange option and forward contracts for speculative or trading purposes. We base our accounting policies for these contracts on the designation of the contracts as hedging instruments. The criteria we use for designating a contract as a hedge include the contract's effectiveness in risk reduction and matching of derivative instruments to the underlying transactions. We generally recognize market value increases and decreases on the foreign exchange option and forward contracts in income in the same period as gains and losses on the underlying transactions. We operate in certain countries where there are limited forward currency exchange markets and thus we have unhedged transaction exposures in these currencies. The notional principal amount of outstanding foreign exchange option contracts at February 28, 2006 was \$100.2 million. There were no unrealized market value gains on such contracts at February 28, 2006. We also hedge net intercompany balances. We generally do not hedge the net assets of our international subsidiaries. The foreign exchange exposure from a 10% movement of currency exchange rates on our financial position is not significant. Based on a hypothetical 10% adverse movement in all foreign currency exchange rates, our revenue would be adversely affected by approximately 6% and our net income would be

Table of Contents

adversely affected by approximately 20% (excluding any offsetting positive impact from our ongoing hedging programs), although the actual effects may differ materially from the hypothetical analysis.

The table below details outstanding forward contracts, which mature in ninety days or less, at February 28, 2006 where the notional amount is determined using contract exchange rates:

(In thousands, except exchange rate data)

Functional Currency:	Exchange Foreign Currency for U.S. Dollars (Notional Amount)	Exchange U.S. Dollars for Foreign Currency (Notional Amount)	Notional Weighted Average Exchange Rate*
Australian dollar		\$ 362	1.35
Brazilian real	\$ 1,522		2.17
Euro		11,584	0.84
Japanese yen	3,808		115.56
South African rand	515		6.21
U.K. pound		7,786	0.57
	\$ 5,845	\$ 19,732	

* expressed as
local currency
unit per U.S.
dollar

Item 4. Controls and Procedures**Background of Restatement**

On August 29, 2006, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors concluded that the actual measurement dates for determining the accounting treatment of certain stock option grants differed from the measurement dates we used in preparing our consolidated financial statements, and that our annual and interim consolidated financial statements, including the reports of our independent registered public accounting firm thereon, and our earnings releases and similar communications for the year ended November 30, 1996 and subsequent periods, should no longer be relied upon.

On November 28, 2006, our Board of Directors concluded that our consolidated financial statements for the three months ended February 28, 2006 and each of the years during the three year period ended November 30, 2005 (including all interim periods) should be restated to record additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense, and related tax effects, resulting from stock options granted during fiscal years 1996 to 2005 that were incorrectly accounted for under GAAP. This decision was based on the determination that the actual measurement dates for determining the accounting treatment of certain stock option grants differed from the measurement dates we used in preparing our consolidated financial statements.

Our decision to restate our financial statements was based on the facts obtained by an internal investigation into our stock option accounting, conducted initially by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors, and subsequently by a Special Committee of our Board consisting entirely of non-employee directors who had not served on the Compensation Committee of our Board. The Special Committee, advised by our outside legal counsel and special legal counsel, in consultation with management, concluded that nearly all option grants from December 1995 through July 2005 were accounted for improperly, and concluded that stock-based compensation associated with nearly all

grants was misstated in fiscal years 1996 through 2005 and in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The Special Committee identified several practices, including the retrospective selection of grant dates and the completion subsequent to the grant date of the allocation of individual awards, which caused errors related to stock option grant measurement dates and stock-based compensation. The Special Committee concluded, based on its review of the facts and circumstances surrounding our option grant practices, that management knew that relevant accounting rules required us to record stock-based compensation charges when we made below fair market value option grants, but did not apply those rules correctly or assure that they were being applied correctly and therefore failed to record necessary accounting charges. The Special Committee further concluded that there was no evidence to indicate that the practices that caused errors related to stock option grant measurement dates and stock-based compensation resulted from willful misconduct. During the second half of fiscal 2005, prior to the commencement of the investigation by the Audit Committee and the Special Committee, we revised our stock option grant practices. The revised grant process includes, among other things, fixed grant dates during the year, review by the Compensation Committee of a preliminary grant list in advance of the fixed grant date and a final approval by the Compensation Committee of the final list of grant recipients on the fixed grant date.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, including the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) in connection with the original filing of our Form 10-Q in April 2006. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide a reasonable level of assurance that the information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the requisite time periods.

Subsequent to the evaluation made in connection with the original filing of our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 28, 2006 and in connection with the restatement and the filing of this Form 10-Q/A, our management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, re-evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and concluded that, because of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting discussed below, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of February 28, 2006.

Notwithstanding the material weakness discussed below, our management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has concluded that the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A present fairly, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Specifically, our management determined that we had a material weakness resulting from the failure to design and implement controls necessary to provide reasonable assurance that historical measurement dates for stock option grants to employees were appropriately determined; accordingly, the measurement dates used for certain option grants were not appropriate, and the Company's accounting for those grants was not in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and, beginning December 1, 2005, Financial Accounting Standard No. 123(R), Share-based Payments. This material weakness resulted in the restatement

Table of Contents

described in Note 2 to the restated consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A. Our management determined that this control deficiency was a material weakness, based upon the actual misstatements identified, the potential for additional material misstatements to have occurred as a result of the deficiency, and the lack of other mitigating controls.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended February 28, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. We believe that subsequent to February 28, 2006, we completed our remediation of the aforementioned material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. During the second half of fiscal 2005, prior to the commencement of the investigation by the Audit Committee and the Special Committee, we revised our stock option grant practices. The revised grant process includes, among other things, fixed grant dates during the year, review by the Compensation Committee of a preliminary grant list in advance of the fixed grant date and a final approval by the Compensation Committee of the final list of grant recipients on the fixed grant date. Additionally, our management has corrected its understanding of the accounting principles included in APB 25 and as a result, our previous accounting for stock-based compensation has been corrected in the restated consolidated financial statements appearing in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q/A.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On June 23, 2006, we received written notice that the Boston, Massachusetts office of the Securities and Exchange Commission is conducting an informal inquiry into our option-granting practices during the period December 1, 1995 through November 30, 2002. The informal inquiry has been expanded to cover periods through the present. The SEC has requested testimony from certain of our officers and documents relating to our stock option practices for the period under investigation. We have produced responsive documents and are in the process of producing additional documents. We are unable to predict accurately what consequences may arise from the SEC inquiry. We have already incurred, and expect to continue to incur, significant legal and accounting expenses arising from the inquiry. The inquiry could also divert the attention of our management and harm our business. If the SEC institutes legal action, we could face significant fines and penalties and be required to take remedial actions determined by the SEC or a court. Although we have filed certain restated financial statements that we believe correct the accounting errors arising from our past option-granting practices, the filing of those financial statements will not resolve the pending SEC inquiry. The SEC has not reviewed our restated financial statements, and any future review could lead to further restatements or other modifications of our financial statements.

On July 19, 2006, we received a staff determination letter from the Nasdaq Stock Market stating that our failure to timely file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended May 31, 2006 was a violation of Nasdaq rules and that our securities would be delisted unless we requested a hearing. We requested a hearing, and this request stayed the delisting pending the outcome of the hearing. On October 13, 2006, we received a similar staff determination letter with respect to our failure to timely file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended August 31, 2006. The outcome of the hearing resulted in our delisting being deferred until November 30, 2006 based on our ability to meet certain conditions, including the filing of our delayed and restated financial statements by that date and providing Nasdaq with information regarding the results of our internal investigation. We have provided the Nasdaq Hearing Department with certain requested information. On November 29, 2006, we requested an extension of time until December 15, 2006 to file our delayed and restated financial statements. On December 15, 2006, we requested another such extension until December 18, 2006. The Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel has not granted us a formal written extension of the above conditions. If Nasdaq does not grant our requested extension, or if we do not meet any extended deadline for those filings or if Nasdaq is not satisfied with the result of our internal investigation, our common stock may be delisted.

On August 17, 2006, a derivative complaint styled *Arkansas Teacher Retirement System, Derivatively on Behalf of Progress Software Corporation, v. Joseph Alsop et al.* was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts by a party identifying itself as one of our shareholders purporting to act on our behalf against our directors and certain of our present and former officers. We are also named as a nominal defendant. The complaint

alleges violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5, breaches of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment arising from an alleged option backdating scheme. The complaint seeks monetary damages, restitution, disgorgement, rescission of stock options, punitive damages and other relief. A motion to dismiss the derivative complaint has been filed and is pending. We have also received derivative demands relating to substantially the same allegations from three purported shareholders, including the plaintiff that filed the derivative complaint. On November 30, 2006, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint. The ultimate outcome of these complaints could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. We expect to incur additional legal expenses arising from the derivative action, including the advancement of legal expenses to our directors and officers in connection with the derivative action. We have indemnification obligations to our directors and officers, and the outcome of the derivative or any other litigation may require that we indemnify some or all of our directors and officers for expenses they may incur in defending the litigation and other losses. We are subject to various other legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted, which arise in the ordinary course of business. While the outcome of these other claims cannot be predicted with certainty, management does not believe that the outcome of any of these other legal matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves certain risks and uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. The following discussion highlights some of these risks.

Our revenue and quarterly results may fluctuate, which could adversely affect our stock price. We have experienced, and may in the future experience, significant fluctuations in our quarterly operating results that may be caused by many factors. These factors include:

- changes in demand for our products;

- introduction, enhancement or announcement of products by us or our competitors;

- market acceptance of our new products;

- the growth rates of certain market segments in which we compete;

- size and timing of significant orders;

Table of Contents

budgeting cycles of customers;

mix of distribution channels;

mix of products and services sold;

mix of international and North American revenues;

fluctuations in currency exchange rates;

changes in the level of operating expenses;

the amount of our stock-based compensation;

changes in our sales incentive plans;

completion or announcement of acquisitions by us or competitors;

customer order deferrals in anticipation of new products announced by us or our competitors; and

general economic conditions in regions in which we conduct business.

Revenue forecasting is uncertain, in large part, because we generally ship our products shortly after receipt of orders. Most of our expenses are relatively fixed, including costs of personnel and facilities, and are not easily reduced. Thus, an unexpected reduction in our revenue, or failure to achieve the anticipated rate of growth, would have a material adverse effect on our profitability. If our operating results do not meet our publicly stated guidance, if any, or the expectations of investors, our stock price may decline.

Our international operations expose us to additional risks, and changes in global economic and political conditions could adversely affect our international operations, our revenue and our net income. We typically generate between 55% and 60% of our total revenue from sales outside North America. Political instability, oil price shocks and armed conflict in various regions of the world can lead to economic uncertainty and may adversely influence our business. If customers' buying patterns, such as decision-making processes, timing of expected deliveries and timing of new projects, unfavorably change due to economic or political conditions, there will be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results. Other potential risks inherent in our international business include:

longer payment cycles;

greater difficulties in accounts receivable collection;

unexpected changes in regulatory requirements;

export restrictions, tariffs and other trade barriers;

difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;

political instability;

reduced protection for intellectual property rights in some countries;

seasonal reductions in business activity during the summer months in Europe and certain other parts of the world;

economic instability in emerging markets; and

potentially adverse tax consequences.

Any one or more of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our international operations, and, consequently, on our business, financial condition and operating results.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could continue to have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. Because a majority of our total revenue is derived from international operations that are primarily conducted in foreign currencies, changes in the value of these foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar may adversely affect our results of operations and financial position. In the first six months of fiscal 2005, as well as in fiscal years 2002 through 2004, the weakening of the U.S. dollar against most major currencies, primarily the euro and the British pound, positively affected our results. However, since the middle of fiscal 2005 the U.S. dollar has strengthened against most major currencies and negatively affected our results, and further unfavorable changes in foreign currency exchange rates may occur. We seek to reduce our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by entering into foreign exchange option and forward contracts to hedge certain transactions of selected foreign currencies (mainly in Europe and Asia Pacific). Our currency hedging transactions may not be effective in reducing any adverse impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Further, if for any reason exchange or price controls or other restrictions on the conversion of foreign currencies were imposed, our business could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Technology and customer requirements evolve rapidly in our industry, and if we do not continue to develop new products and enhance our existing products in response to these changes, our business could be harmed. Ongoing enhancements to our product sets will be required to enable us to maintain our competitive position. We may not be successful in developing and marketing enhancements to our products on a timely basis, and any enhancements we develop may not adequately address the changing needs of the marketplace. Overlaying the risks associated with our existing products and enhancements are ongoing technological developments and rapid changes in customer requirements. Our future success will depend upon our ability to develop and introduce in a timely manner new products that take advantage of technological advances and respond to new customer requirements. The development of new products is increasingly complex and uncertain, which increases the risk of delays. We may not be successful in developing new products incorporating new technology on a timely basis, and any new products may not adequately address the changing needs of the marketplace. Failure to develop new products and product enhancements that meet market needs in a timely manner could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

We are substantially dependent on our core product, Progress OpenEdge. We derive a significant portion of our revenue from software license and maintenance revenue attributable to our core product line, Progress OpenEdge, and other products that complement OpenEdge and are generally licensed only in conjunction with OpenEdge. Accordingly, our future results depend on continued market acceptance of OpenEdge, and any factor adversely affecting the market for OpenEdge could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

Higher costs associated with some of our newer products could adversely affect our operating margins. Some of our newer products, such as the Sonic and Real Time product sets, require a higher level of development, distribution and support expenditures, on a percentage of revenue basis, than the OpenEdge or DataDirect product lines. If revenue generated from these products grows as a percentage of our total revenue and if the expenses associated with these products do not decrease on a percentage of revenue basis, then our operating margins will be adversely affected.

We may make acquisitions or investments in new businesses, products or technologies that involve additional risks, which could disrupt our business or harm our financial condition or results of operations. As part of our business strategy, we have made, and expect to continue to make, acquisitions of businesses or investments in companies that offer complementary products, services and technologies, such as the acquisitions of DataDirect and Persistence in fiscal 2004, Apama and EasyAsk in fiscal 2005 and our recently completed acquisitions of Actional and NEON in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. These acquisitions or investments involve a number of risks, including the risks of assimilating the operations and personnel of acquired companies, realizing the value of the acquired assets relative to the price paid, distraction of management from our ongoing businesses and potential product disruptions associated with the sale of the acquired company's products. These factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results. Consideration paid for any future acquisitions could include our stock. As a result, future acquisitions could cause dilution to existing shareholders and to earnings per share.

We recognize a substantial portion of our revenue from sales made through third parties, including our application partners and original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), and adverse developments in the businesses of these third parties or in our relationships with them could harm our revenues and results of operations. Our future results also depend upon our continued successful distribution of our products through our application partner and OEM channels. Application partners utilize our technology to create their applications and resell our products along with their own applications. OEMs embed our products within their software products or technology devices. The activities of these third parties are not within our direct control. Our failure to manage our relationships with these third parties effectively could impair the effectiveness of our sales, marketing and support activities. A reduction in the sales efforts, technical capabilities or financial viability of these parties, a misalignment of interest between us and them, or a termination of our relationship with a major application partner or OEM could have a negative effect on our sales and financial results. Any adverse effect on the application partners' or OEMs' businesses related to competition, pricing and other factors could also have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

Table of Contents

The market for enterprise integration and messaging products and services in which our Sonic Software subsidiary participates is rapidly evolving and highly competitive, and failure of our Sonic ESB and other enterprise infrastructure products to achieve and maintain market acceptance could harm our business. We are currently developing and enhancing the Sonic product set and other related new products and services. The market for enterprise application integration, Web services, messaging products and other Internet business-to-business products is highly competitive. Many potential customers have made significant investments in proprietary or internally developed systems and would incur significant costs in switching to the Sonic product set or other third-party products. Global e-commerce and online exchange of information on the Internet and other similar open wide area networks continue to evolve. If our Sonic products are not successful in penetrating these evolving markets, our results of operations will be adversely affected.

The software industry in which we participate is intensely competitive, and our inability to compete effectively would harm our business. We experience significant competition from a variety of sources with respect to the marketing and distribution of our products. Many of our competitors have greater financial, marketing or technical resources than we do and may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements or to devote greater resources to the promotion and sale of their products than we can. Increased competition could make it more difficult for us to maintain our market presence or lead to downward pricing pressure. The marketplace for new products is intensely competitive and characterized by low barriers to entry. As a result, new competitors possessing technological, marketing or other competitive advantages may emerge and rapidly acquire market share.

In addition, current and potential competitors may make strategic acquisitions or establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties, thereby increasing their ability to deliver products that better address the needs of our prospective customers. Current and potential competitors also may be more successful than we are in having their products or technologies widely accepted. We may be unable to compete successfully against current and future competitors, and our failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results.

We rely on the experience and expertise of our skilled employees, and must continue to attract and retain qualified technical, marketing and managerial personnel in order to succeed. Our future success will depend in a large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled technical, managerial and marketing personnel. There is significant competition for such personnel in the software industry. We may not continue to be successful in attracting and retaining the personnel we require to develop new and enhanced products and to continue to grow and operate profitably.

Our success is dependent upon our proprietary software technology, and our inability to protect it would harm our business. We rely principally on a combination of contract provisions and copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to protect our proprietary technology. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our products or to obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. Policing unauthorized use of our products is difficult. The steps we take to protect our proprietary rights may be inadequate to prevent misappropriation of our technology; moreover, others could independently develop similar technology.

We could be subject to claims that we infringe intellectual property rights of others, or incur substantial cost in protecting our own technology, either of which could harm our business, financial condition or results of operations. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others, or to defend against claims of infringement. Although we believe that our products and technology do not infringe on any existing proprietary rights of others, third parties could assert infringement claims in the future, and such claims might be successful. Such litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources, whether or not we ultimately prevail on the merits. Such litigation could also lead to our being prohibited from selling one or more of our products, cause reluctance by potential customers to purchase our products, or result in liability to our customers and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

The loss of technology licensed from third parties could adversely affect our ability to deliver our products. We utilize certain technology that we license from third parties, including software that is integrated with internally

Table of Contents

developed software and used in our products to perform key functions. This technology, or functionally similar technology, may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms in the future, or at all. The loss of any significant third-party technology license could cause delays in our ability to deliver our products or services until equivalent technology is developed internally or equivalent third-party technology, if available, is identified, licensed and integrated.

Our common stock price may continue to be volatile, which could result in losses for investors. The market price of our common stock, like that of other technology companies, is highly volatile and is subject to wide fluctuations in response to quarterly variations in operating results, announcements of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors, changes in financial estimates by securities analysts or other events or factors. Our stock price may also be affected by broader market trends unrelated to our performance. As a result, purchasers of our common stock may be unable at any given time to sell their shares at or above the price they paid for them.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Items 2(a) and 2(b) are not applicable.

(c) Stock Repurchases

(in thousands, except per share data)

Period:	Total Number Of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased As Part of Publicly Announced Plans Or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (2)
Dec. 1, 2005 Dec. 31, 2005				9,919
Jan. 1, 2006 Jan. 31, 2006	108	\$ 28.17	108	9,811
Feb. 1, 2006 Feb. 28, 2006	11	\$ 27.96	11	9,800
Total	119	\$ 28.15	119	9,800

(1) All shares were purchased in open market transactions.

(2) In September 2005, the Board of Directors authorized, for the period from October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2006, the purchase of up to

10,000,000
shares of our
common stock.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed or furnished as part of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A:

Exhibit No. Description

- | | |
|------|--|
| 2.1 | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of January 18, 2006 by and among Progress Software Corporation, Actional Corporation, ACTC Acquisition Corp., certain stockholders of Actional Corporation and Standish O Grady, as representative of certain stockholders of Actional Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our current report on Form 8-K dated as of January 17, 2006) |
| 10.1 | Letter agreement dated November 15, 2005 with Joseph W. Alsop regarding Fiscal 2005 Stock Option Grant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K dated as of November 15, 2005) |
| 31.1 | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act Joseph W. Alsop |
| 31.2 | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act Norman R. Robertson |
| 32.1 | Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PROGRESS SOFTWARE CORPORATION

(Registrant)

Dated: December 18, 2006

/s/ Joseph W. Alsop
Joseph W. Alsop
Chief Executive Officer (Principal
Executive Officer)

Dated: December 18, 2006

/s/ Norman R. Robertson
Norman R. Robertson
Senior Vice President, Finance and
Administration and Chief Financial
Officer (Principal Financial
Officer)

Dated: December 18, 2006

/s/ David H. Benton, Jr.
David H. Benton, Jr.
Vice President and Corporate Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)