

NAVISITE INC
Form 10-Q
June 09, 2005

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

Form 10-Q

☐ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended April 30, 2005

or

○ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 000-27597

NaviSite, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

*(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)*

52-2137343

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

400 Minuteman Road
Andover, Massachusetts

(Address of principal executive offices)

01810

(Zip Code)

(978) 682-8300

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 3, 2005, there were 28,487,760 shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$.01 per share.

NAVISITE, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS
Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter Ended April 30, 2005

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	2
<u>Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004 (unaudited)</u>	2
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2005 and 2004 (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended April 30, 2005 and 2004 (unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Item 2.</u>	22
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	
<u>Item 3.</u>	42
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	
<u>Item 4.</u>	43
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	43
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	
<u>Item 6.</u>	46
<u>Exhibits</u>	
<u>Signature</u>	47
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	48
<u>Ex-31.1 Section 302 Certification of CEO</u>	
<u>Ex-31.2 Section 302 Certification of CFO</u>	
<u>Ex-32.1 Section 906 Certification of CEO</u>	
<u>Ex-32.2 Section 906 Certification of CFO</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	April 30, 2005	July 31, 2004
	(Unaudited) (In thousands, except par value)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,566	\$ 3,195
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,895 and \$2,498 at April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004, respectively	13,521	16,584
Due from related party	108	101
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,435	5,967
Total current assets	18,630	25,847
Property and equipment, net	15,831	20,794
Customer lists, less accumulated amortization of \$12,146 and \$7,875 at April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004, respectively	18,784	23,151
Goodwill	46,288	45,920
Other assets	5,432	6,316
Restricted cash	1,296	1,836
Total assets	\$ 106,261	\$ 123,864
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts receivable financing line, net	\$ 20,320	\$ 20,240
Notes payable, current portion	1,310	1,551
Note payable to related party	3,000	3,000
Capital lease obligations, current portion	1,339	2,921
Accounts payable	9,373	8,285
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	14,905	23,159
Deferred revenue, deferred other income and customer deposits	3,255	3,402
Total current liabilities	53,502	62,558
Capital lease obligations, less current portion	1,432	469
Accrued lease abandonment costs, less current portion	1,543	2,782
Accrued interest	3,419	545
Deferred tax liability	1,051	
Other long-term liabilities	1,318	804
Notes payable to the AppliedTheory Estate	6,000	6,000
Notes payable, less current portion	653	1,157

Edgar Filing: NAVISITE INC - Form 10-Q

Convertible notes payable to Waythere, Inc. (formerly Surebridge), less current portion	38,467	38,467
Total liabilities	107,385	112,782
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)		
Stockholders' equity (deficit):		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; Authorized 5,000 shares; Issued and outstanding: no shares at April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; Authorized 395,000 shares; Issued and outstanding: 28,488 at April 30, 2005 and 27,924 at July 31, 2004	285	279
Deferred compensation	(942)	(1,514)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	52	15
Additional paid-in capital	453,576	452,156
Accumulated deficit	(454,095)	(439,854)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(1,124)	11,082
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 106,261	\$ 123,864

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	April 30, 2005	April 30, 2004	April 30, 2005	April 30, 2004
(Unaudited)				
(In thousands, except per share amounts)				
Revenue	\$ 26,762	\$ 20,173	\$ 83,969	\$ 65,975
Revenue, related parties	34	12	102	12
Total revenue	26,796	20,185	84,071	65,987
Cost of revenue	18,881	14,217	62,335	48,899
Impairment, restructuring and other, net	381		415	633
Total cost of revenue	19,262	14,217	62,750	49,532
Gross profit	7,534	5,968	21,321	16,455
Operating expenses:				
Product development		230	224	890
Selling and marketing	3,469	1,848	9,839	5,724
General and administrative	5,373	6,097	17,783	16,342
Impairment, restructuring and other, net	(448)	206	1,057	1,608
Total operating expenses	8,394	8,381	28,903	24,564
Loss from operations	(860)	(2,413)	(7,582)	(8,109)
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	21	18	49	115
Interest expense	(1,996)	(656)	(5,821)	(1,935)
Other income (expense), net	89	25	165	111
Loss before income tax expense	(2,746)	(3,026)	(13,189)	(9,818)
Income tax expense	(287)		(1,052)	
Net loss	\$ (3,033)	\$ (3,026)	\$ (14,241)	\$ (9,818)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.51)	\$ (0.40)
Basic and diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding	28,463	24,809	28,108	24,685

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Nine Months Ended	
	April 30, 2005	April 30, 2004
	(Unaudited)	
	(In thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (14,241)	\$ (9,818)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	11,085	9,522
Impairment of long-lived assets related to abandoned leases	760	569
Loss (Gain) on disposal of assets	(13)	26
Costs associated with abandoned leases	713	1,672
Amortization of warrants	80	304
Non-cash stock compensation	578	287
Provision for bad debts	1,820	1,902
Avasta settlement in common stock	490	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of impact of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	1,198	(877)
Due from related party	(7)	(12)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,532	(220)
Long-term assets	393	796
Accounts payable	2,509	(515)
Deferred tax liability	1,051	
Long-term liabilities	3,388	(1,456)
Accrued expenses, other current liabilities, deferred revenue, deferred other income and customer deposits	(9,739)	(4,919)
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	2,597	(2,739)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(3,305)	(1,800)
Proceeds from the sale of equipment	433	67
Net cash used for investing activities	(2,872)	(1,733)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Restricted cash	540	1,677
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	89	350
Proceeds from sale leaseback		120
Proceeds from notes payable	1,003	450
Repayment of notes payable	(1,206)	(1,581)
Net borrowings under accounts receivable line		(6,874)

Edgar Filing: NAVISITE INC - Form 10-Q

Net proceeds from modified accounts receivable line		16,000	
Payments under note to affiliates		(30)	
Payments on note payable to Waythere, Inc. (formerly Surebridge)	(800)		
Payments on capital lease obligations	(980)	(1,872)	
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(1,354)		8,240
Net increase (decrease) in cash	(1,629)		3,768
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	3,195		3,862
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,566	\$	7,630
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 2,188	\$	1,044

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

(1) Description of Business

NaviSite, Inc. (NaviSite , the Company , we , us or our) provides managed IT services to middle-market organizations. The Company deploys, manages and enables software applications and infrastructure for middle-market organizations, which include mid-sized companies, divisions of large multi-national companies and government agencies. The Company offers a full range of services including design, implementation, optimization, upgrade, application development, fully hosted and remote application management, managed services, content delivery, colocation, and Software as a Service enablement. Substantially all revenue is generated from customers in the United States.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts and results of operations of NaviSite, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding interim financial reporting. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements and thus should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in our fiscal 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on November 2, 2004. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting only of those of a normal recurring nature, necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows at the dates and for the periods indicated. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the remainder of the fiscal year ending July 31, 2005.

All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include the useful lives of fixed assets and intangible assets, recoverability of long-lived assets, the collectability of receivables and other assumptions for sublease and lease abandonment reserves.

(b) Revenue Recognition

Revenue consists of monthly fees for Web site and Internet application management, hosting, colocation and professional services. The Company also derives revenue from the sale of software and related maintenance contracts. Reimbursable expenses charged to clients are included in revenue and cost of revenue. Application management, hosting and colocation revenue is billed and recognized over the term of the contract, generally one to three years, based on actual usage. Installation fees associated with application management, hosting and colocation revenue is billed at the time the installation service is provided and recognized over the term of the related contract. Payments received in advance of providing services are deferred until the period such services are provided. Revenue from professional services is recognized on either a time and material basis as the services are performed or under the percentage of completion method for fixed price contracts. We generally sell our professional services under contracts with terms ranging up to five years. When current contract estimates indicate that a loss is probable, a provision is made for the total anticipated loss in the current period. Contract losses are determined to be the amount by which the estimated service costs of the contract exceed the estimated revenue that will be generated by the contract. Unbilled accounts

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

receivable represents revenue for services performed that have not been billed. Billings in excess of revenue recognized are recorded as deferred revenue until the applicable revenue recognition criteria are met. Revenue from the sale of software is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the product has been delivered, the fees are fixed and determinable and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. In instances where the Company also provides application management and hosting services in conjunction with the sale of software, software revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the expected customer relationship period. If we determine that collection of a fee is not reasonably assured, we defer the fee and recognize revenue at the time collection becomes reasonably assured, which is generally upon receipt of cash.

(c) Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

The Company considers all highly liquid securities with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company had long-term restricted cash of \$1.3 million and \$1.8 million as of April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004, respectively, which represents cash collateral requirements for standby letters of credit associated with several of the Company's facility and equipment leases.

(d) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from three to five years. Leasehold improvements and assets acquired under capital leases are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset. Assets acquired under capital leases in which title transfers to us at the end of the agreement are amortized over the useful life of the asset. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

(e) Long-Lived Assets, Goodwill and Other Intangibles

The Company follows the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. This statement requires that long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to undiscounted future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

The Company reviews the valuation of goodwill in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 142, goodwill is required to be tested for impairment annually in lieu of being amortized. This testing is done in the fourth quarter of each year. Furthermore, goodwill is required to be tested for impairment on an interim basis if an event or circumstance indicates that it is more likely than not an impairment loss has been incurred. An impairment loss shall be recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Impairment losses shall be recognized in operations. The Company's valuation methodology for assessing impairment requires management to make judgments and assumptions based on historical experience and projections of future operating performance. If these assumptions differ materially from future results, the Company may record impairment charges in the future.

Table of Contents

NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(f) Concentration of Credit Risk

Our financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable, obligations under capital leases, software agreements, accounts payable, and accrued expenses. As of April 30, 2005, the carrying cost of these instruments approximated their fair value. The financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of accounts receivable. Concentration of credit risk with respect to trade receivables is limited due to the large number of customers across many industries that comprise our customer base. No customer represented 10% or more of our total revenue for the nine months ended April 30, 2005. One third-party customer represented 13% of our total revenue for the nine months ended April 30, 2004. At April 30, 2005, one third-party customer represented 15% of our net accounts receivable balance.

(g) Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period of time from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. The Company reports accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), resulting from foreign currency translation adjustment, on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

(h) Income Taxes

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes . Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Table of Contents

NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(i) Stock-Based Compensation Plans

We account for our stock option plans under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and Related Interpretations and comply with the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure . We recorded stock compensation expense of approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million during the three and nine months ended April 30, 2005, respectively. The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and net loss per common share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock-based compensation.

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Nine Months Ended April 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
	(In thousands, except per share data)		(In thousands, except per share data)	
Net loss, as reported	\$ (3,033)	\$ (3,026)	\$ (14,241)	\$ (9,818)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense from the Amended and Restated 2003 Stock Incentive Plan included in reported net loss	198	69	578	287
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards	(1,989)	(1,437)	(4,348)	(4,240)
Net loss, as adjusted	\$ (4,824)	\$ (4,394)	\$ (18,011)	\$ (13,771)
Net loss per common share:				
Basic and diluted as reported	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.51)	\$ (0.40)
Basic and diluted as adjusted	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.64)	\$ (0.56)

The fair value of each stock option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, assuming no expected dividends and the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Nine Months Ended April 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
	Risk-free interest rate	3.11%	2.86%	3.10%
Expected volatility	126.55%	135.71%	126.44%	160.68%
Expected life (years)	2.11	2.48	2.11	2.75
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period	\$ 1.87	\$ 3.72	\$ 1.87	\$ 4.58

(j) Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of common and diluted common equivalent shares outstanding during the period, using either the if-converted method for convertible preferred stock and notes or the treasury stock method for warrants and options, unless amounts are anti-dilutive.

For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2005 and 2004, net loss per basic and diluted share is based on weighted average common shares and excludes any common stock equivalents, as they would be anti-dilutive due to the reported losses. For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2005, there were

8

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

176,524 and 469,402 dilutive shares, respectively, related to warrants and employee stock options that were excluded as they have an anti-dilutive effect due to the net loss during these periods. For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2004, there were 1,228,532 and 1,190,034 dilutive shares, respectively, related to warrants and employee stock options that were excluded as they have an anti-dilutive effect due to the net loss during these periods.

(k) Segment Reporting

We currently operate in one segment, managed IT services. The Company's chief operating decision maker reviews financial information at a consolidated level. The Company has determined that reporting revenue at a service offering level is impracticable.

(l) Foreign Currency

The functional currencies of our wholly-owned subsidiaries are the local currencies. The financial statements of the subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars using period end exchange rates for assets and liabilities and average exchange rates during corresponding periods for revenue, cost of revenue and expenses. Translation gains and losses are deferred and accumulated as a separate component of stockholders' equity (Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)).

(m) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123R requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on fair values. Pro forma disclosure of fair value information is no longer an alternative. The statement is effective in the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2005. Adoption is to be made using either the modified prospective method or the modified retrospective method. The modified prospective method recognizes cost based on the requirements for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and for awards granted prior to the effective date that remain unvested prior to the effective date. The modified retrospective method includes the requirements of the modified prospective method but also permits restatement of financial statements based on pro forma amounts previously recognized under SFAS No. 123. Restatement can either be for all prior periods presented or prior interim periods of the year of adoption. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of this pronouncement, which must be adopted in the first quarter of our fiscal year 2006. We currently disclose the pro forma impacts of recognizing fair value as permitted by SFAS No. 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per share in the preceding caption, Stock-Based Compensation Plans.

(3) Liquidity

As of April 30, 2005, our principal sources of liquidity included cash and cash equivalents and our financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank. We had a working capital deficit of \$34.9 million, including cash and cash equivalents of \$1.6 million at April 30, 2005, as compared to a working capital deficit of \$36.7 million, including cash and cash equivalents of \$3.2 million, at July 31, 2004.

The total net change in cash and cash equivalents for the nine months ended April 30, 2005 was a decrease of \$1.6 million. The primary uses of cash during the nine months ended April 30, 2005 included \$3.3 million for purchases of property and equipment and approximately \$3.0 million in repayments on notes payable and capital lease obligations. Our primary sources of cash during the nine months ended April 30, 2005 included \$2.6 million from operating activities, \$0.4 million in proceeds from the sale of equipment, a \$0.6 million decrease in restricted cash, \$0.1 million in proceeds associated with the exercise of stock options

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

under the employee stock option plans and \$1.0 million in proceeds from notes payable. Net cash provided by operating activities of \$2.6 million during the nine months ended April 30, 2005, resulted primarily from \$1.3 million of net changes in operating assets and liabilities and non-cash charges of approximately \$15.5 million, partially offset by the funding of our \$14.2 million net loss. At April 30, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of \$454.1 million, and have reported losses from operations since incorporation. At July 31, 2004, we had an accumulated deficit of \$439.9 million.

Our accounts receivable financing line with Silicon Valley Bank allows for maximum borrowing of \$20.4 million and expires on April 29, 2006. On April 30, 2005, we had an outstanding balance under the amended agreement of \$20.4 million. Borrowings are based on monthly recurring revenue. We are required to prepare and deliver a written request for an advance of up to three times the value of total recurring monthly revenue, calculated to be monthly revenue (including revenue from The New York State Department of Labor) less professional services revenue. SVB may then provide an advance of 85% of such value (or such other percentage as the bank may determine). The interest rate under the amended agreement is variable and is currently calculated at the bank's published prime rate plus 4.0%. The financing agreement also contains certain affirmative and negative covenants and is secured by substantially all of our assets, tangible and intangible. Following the completion of certain equity or debt financings, and provided we continue to meet certain ratios, the interest rate shall be reduced to the bank's prime rate plus 1.0%. In no event, however, will the bank's prime rate be less than 4.25%. The accounts receivable financing line at April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004 is reported net of the remaining value ascribed to the related warrants of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

At April 30, 2005, the Company had \$1.3 million in outstanding standby letters of credit, issued in connection with facility and equipment lease agreements and other credit agreements, which are 100% cash collateralized. Cash subject to collateral requirements has been recorded as restricted cash and is classified as non-current on our balance sheet at April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004.

We anticipate that we will continue to incur net losses in the future. We have taken several actions we believe will allow us to continue as a going concern, including the closing and integration of strategic acquisitions, the changes to our senior management and our bringing costs more in line with projected revenue. We will need to find sources of additional financing in order to remain a going concern. Potential sources include public or private sales of equity or debt securities and the sale of assets. We are obligated to use a significant portion of any proceeds raised in an equity or debt financing or by the sale of assets to make payments on the notes payable to Waythere, Inc., depending on the total net proceeds received by us in the financing (see Note 11(e)).

Our operating forecast incorporates material trends, such as our acquisitions, reductions in workforce and closings of facilities. Our forecast also incorporates the future cash flow benefits expected from our continued efforts to increase efficiencies and reduce redundancies. Nonetheless, our forecast includes the need to raise additional funds. Our cash flow estimates are based upon attaining certain levels of sales, maintaining budgeted levels of operating expenses, collections of accounts receivable and maintaining our current borrowing line with Silicon Valley Bank among other assumptions, including the improvement in the overall macroeconomic environment. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to meet such assumptions. Our sales estimate includes revenue from new and existing customers, which may not be realized, and we may be required to further reduce expenses if budgeted sales are not attained. We may be unsuccessful in reducing expenses in proportion to any shortfall in projected sales and our estimate of collections of accounts receivable may be hindered by our customers' ability to pay. In addition, we are currently involved in various pending and potential legal proceedings. While we believe that the allegations against us in each of these matters are without merit, and/or that we have a meritorious defense in each, we are not able to predict the final outcomes of any of these matters and the effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. If we are ultimately unsuccessful in any of these

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

matters, we could be required to pay substantial amounts of cash to the other parties. The amount and timing of any such payments could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

(4) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company recorded \$0.8 million of impairment charges during the nine months ended April 30, 2005 for property and equipment, consisting primarily of unamortized leasehold improvements, related to our facilities in Lexington, MA, Santa Clara, CA and Vienna, VA, which we have abandoned. The impairment charges are included in Impairment, restructuring and other in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (see Note 12).

(5) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment at April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004 are summarized as follows:

	April 30, 2005	July 31, 2004
	(In thousands)	
Office furniture and equipment	\$ 3,138	\$ 3,625
Computer equipment	37,028	35,117
Software licenses	10,536	10,405
Leasehold improvements	9,274	10,245
	59,976	59,392
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(44,145)	(38,598)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 15,831	\$ 20,794

The estimated useful lives of our fixed assets are as follows: office furniture and equipment, 5 years; computer equipment, 3 years; software licenses, the shorter of 3 years or the life of the license; and leasehold improvements, life of the lease.

(6) Intangible Assets

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization as of April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004 for customer lists related to prior acquisitions are as follows:

	April 30, 2005	July 31, 2004
	(In thousands)	
Gross carrying amount	\$ 30,930	\$ 31,026
Less: Accumulated amortization	(12,146)	(7,875)
Customer lists, net	\$ 18,784	\$ 23,151

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Intangible asset amortization expense for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2005 and 2004 aggregated \$1.4 million and \$4.3 million, and \$0.9 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. Customer lists are being amortized over estimated useful lives ranging from five to eight years. The amount reflected in the table below for fiscal year 2005 includes year to date amortization. Amortization expense related to intangible assets for the next five years is as follows:

Year Ending July 31,

	(In thousands)
2005	\$ 5,612
2006	\$ 5,104
2007	\$ 4,160
2008	\$ 3,272
2009	\$ 2,064

(7) Goodwill

During the nine months ended April 30, 2005, we recorded net purchase accounting adjustments of \$0.4 million to goodwill relating primarily to our acquisition of Surebridge on June 10, 2004. We perform our annual impairment analysis of goodwill in our fiscal fourth quarter.

(8) Acquisitions

Surebridge. On June 10, 2004, we completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Surebridge, Inc., or Surebridge, a privately held provider of managed application services for mid-market companies (now known as Waythere, Inc.), in exchange for two promissory notes (see Note 11) in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$39.3 million, three million shares of our common stock and the assumption of certain liabilities of Surebridge at closing. The primary reasons for the acquisition included the addition of service offerings, specific contractual relationships with PeopleSoft and Microsoft, and established contractual revenue base, as well as potential operational savings. As the primary reasons for the acquisition were not related to the tangible net assets of Surebridge, the purchase price was significantly in excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired. The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The final purchase accounting is subject to final resolution of a working capital adjustment calculation which is expected to be completed during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005. We have included the financial results of Surebridge in our consolidated financial statements beginning June 10, 2004, the date of acquisition.

(9) Investment in Debt Securities

In a privately negotiated transaction with Fir Tree Recovery Master Fund, LP and Fir Tree Value Partners, LDC, pursuant to an Assignment Agreement dated October 11, 2002 and in a series of open market transactions from certain other third-party holders, we acquired an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$36.3 million face value, 10% convertible senior notes (Interliant Notes) due in 2006 of Interliant, Inc. (Interliant) for a total consideration of approximately \$2.0 million. Interliant was a provider of managed services, which filed a petition under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the Southern District of New York (White Plains) on August 5, 2002, and we made this investment with the intention of participating in the reorganization/sale of Interliant.

On May 16, 2003, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed us as the successful bidder for the purchase of the Interliant Assets. We used \$0.6 million of the first projected distributions to be made on our Interliant Notes as partial payment for the assets acquired. As such, we have reduced the carrying value of the Interliant Notes by this amount. On September 30, 2004, the Third Amended Plan of Liquidation of Interliant and its affiliated debtors became effective. An initial interim distribution of \$0.1 million was made on account of our claim.

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

While additional distributions on our Interliant Notes are anticipated, the final amount and timing of such distributions has not been determined. It may be greater or less than the remaining carrying value, however, we have estimated the value to approximate the \$1.2 million carrying value included in Other assets on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at April 30, 2005.

(10) Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consist of the following:

	April 30, 2005	July 31, 2004
	(In thousands)	
Accrued payroll, benefits and commissions	\$ 3,731	\$ 6,580
Accrued legal	440	3,098
Accrued accounts payable	3,028	2,727
Accrued interest	1,166	659
Accrued contract termination fees	499	984
Accrued lease abandonment costs, current portion	2,300	4,269
Accrued taxes	1,202	932
Other accrued expenses and current liabilities	2,539	3,910
	\$ 14,905	\$ 23,159

(11) Debt

Debt consists of the following:

	April 30, 2005	July 31, 2004
	(In thousands)	
Accounts receivable financing line, net	\$ 20,320	\$ 20,240
Note payable to Atlantic Investors	3,000	3,000
Notes payable to the AppliedTheory Estate	6,000	6,000
Notes payable to landlord	1,352	1,908
Convertible notes payable to Waythere, Inc. (formerly Surebridge)	38,467	39,267
Other notes payable	611	
Total	69,750	70,415
Less current portion	24,630	24,791
Long-term debt	\$ 45,120	\$ 45,624

(a) Silicon Valley Bank Financing Arrangements

Our accounts receivable financing line with Silicon Valley Bank allows for maximum borrowing of \$20.4 million and expires on April 29, 2006. On April 30, 2005, we had an outstanding balance under the amended agreement of

\$20.4 million. Borrowings are based on monthly recurring revenue. We are required to prepare and deliver a written request for an advance of up to three times the value of total recurring monthly revenue, calculated to be monthly revenue (including revenue from The New York State Department of Labor) less professional services revenue. SVB may then provide an advance of 85% of such value (or such other percentage as the bank may determine). The interest rate under the amended agreement is variable and

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

is currently calculated at the bank's published prime rate plus 4.0%. The financing agreement also contains certain affirmative and negative covenants and is secured by substantially all of our assets, tangible and intangible. Following the completion of certain equity or debt financings, and provided we continue to meet certain ratios, the interest rate shall be reduced to the bank's prime rate plus 1.0%. In no event, however, will the bank's prime rate be less than 4.25%. The accounts receivable financing line at April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004 is reported net of the remaining value ascribed to the related warrants of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

(b) Note Payable to Atlantic Investors, LLC (Atlantic)

On January 29, 2003, we entered into a \$10.0 million Loan and Security Agreement (Atlantic Loan) with Atlantic, a related party. The Atlantic Loan bears an interest rate of 8% per annum. Interest is payable upon demand or, at Atlantic's option, interest may be added to the outstanding balance due to Atlantic by NaviSite. Atlantic may make demand for payment of amounts in excess of the minimum Atlantic Loan amount of \$2.0 million (Minimum Loan Amount), with 60 days notice. Atlantic can demand payment of the Minimum Loan Amount with 90 days notice. Atlantic, at its sole and absolute discretion, may advance other amounts to us such that the aggregate amount borrowed by NaviSite does not exceed the maximum loan amount, defined as the lesser of \$10.0 million or 65% of our consolidated accounts receivables. On May 30, 2003, we repaid \$2.0 million of the approximate \$3.0 million outstanding under the Atlantic Loan and on June 11, 2003, we borrowed \$2.0 million under the Atlantic Loan. At April 30, 2005, we had \$3.0 million outstanding under the Atlantic Loan. This amount is shown as Note payable to related party on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Atlantic Loan is secured by all of our receivables and is subordinated to the borrowings from Silicon Valley Bank. As of April 30, 2005, the Company had recorded accrued interest on this note in the amount of \$0.5 million.

On January 16, 2004, the Atlantic Loan was amended to extend any and all Credit Advances under the Atlantic Loan made prior to, or following, January 16, 2004, to be due on or before the earlier of (i) August 1, 2004 or (ii) five (5) business days following the closing of a financing transaction or disposition pursuant to which the Borrower receives gross proceeds of \$13.0 million. On July 13, 2004, the Atlantic Loan was amended to extend any and all Credit Advances under the Atlantic Loan made prior to, or following, July 13, 2004, to be due on or before the earlier of (i) November 1, 2005 or (ii) five (5) business days following the closing of a financing transaction or disposition pursuant to which the Borrower receives net proceeds of \$13.0 million after satisfying the mandatory prepayment obligation under those certain Notes due to Surebridge, Inc. (now known as Waythere, Inc.). On October 12, 2004, the Atlantic Loan was amended to extend any and all Credit Advances under the Atlantic Loan made prior to, or following, October 12, 2004, to be due on or before the earlier of (i) February 1, 2005 or (ii) five (5) business days following the closing of a financing transaction or disposition pursuant to which the Borrower receives net proceeds of \$13.0 million after satisfying the mandatory prepayment obligation under those certain Notes due to Surebridge, Inc. (now known as Waythere, Inc.). On January 14, 2005, the Atlantic Loan was amended to extend any and all Credit Advances under the Atlantic Loan made prior to, or following, January 14, 2005, to be due on or before the earlier of (i) May 1, 2005 or (ii) five (5) business days following the closing of a financing transaction or disposition pursuant to which the Borrower receives net proceeds of \$13.0 million and first satisfies the mandatory prepayment obligation under those certain Notes due to Surebridge, Inc. (now known as Waythere, Inc.). On April 30, 2005, the Atlantic Loan was amended to extend any and all Credit Advances under the Atlantic Loan made prior to, or following April 30, 2005 to be due on or before the earlier of (i) August 1, 2005 or (ii) five (5) business days following the closing of a financing transaction or disposition pursuant to which the Borrower receives net proceeds of \$13.0 million and first satisfies the mandatory prepayment obligation under those certain Notes due to Surebridge, Inc. (now known as Waythere, Inc.).

Table of Contents

NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(c) Notes Payable to the AppliedTheory Estate

As part of CBTM's acquisition of certain AppliedTheory assets, CBTM made and issued two unsecured promissory notes totaling \$6.0 million (Estate Liability) to the AppliedTheory Estate which are due on June 10, 2006. The Estate Liability bears interest at 8% per annum, which is due and payable annually. At April 30, 2005, we had approximately \$0.4 million in accrued interest related to this note, which is reflected within Accrued expenses on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

(d) Notes Payable to Landlord

As part of an amendment to our 400 Minuteman Road lease in the second quarter of fiscal year 2004, \$2.2 million of our future payments to the landlord of our 400 Minuteman Road facility in Andover, MA was transferred into a note payable (Landlord Note). The Landlord Note bears interest at an annual rate of 11% and calls for 36 equal monthly payments of principal and interest, with the final payment due on November 1, 2006. The \$2.2 million represents leasehold improvements made by the landlord, on our behalf, to the 400 Minuteman facility in order to facilitate the leasing of a portion of the facility (First Lease Amendment), as well as common area maintenance and property taxes associated with the space.

In addition, during fiscal year 2004, we paid \$120,000 and we entered into a separate \$150,000 note (Second Landlord Note) with the landlord for additional leasehold improvements to facilitate a subleasing transaction involving a specific section of the 400 Minuteman location. The Second Landlord Note bears interest at an annual rate of 11% and calls for 36 equal monthly payments of principal and interest, with the final payment due on March 1, 2007.

(e) Convertible Notes Payable to Waythere, Inc. (formerly Surebridge)

On June 10, 2004, in connection with our acquisition of the Surebridge business, we issued two convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$39.3 million. Interest shall accrue on the unpaid balance of the notes at the annual rate of 10%, provided that if an event of default shall occur and be continuing, the interest rate shall be 15%. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no interest shall accrue or be payable on any principal amounts repaid on or prior to the nine-month anniversary of the issuance date of the notes. We must repay the outstanding principal of the notes with all interest accrued thereon, no later than June 10, 2006. Pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement, \$0.8 million of the primary note is callable at anytime for a period of one year from June 10, 2004, the date of closing. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2005, the noteholder requested payment of \$0.8 million and the Company paid this amount during the second quarter of fiscal year 2005.

In addition, if we realize net proceeds in excess of \$1.0 million from certain equity or debt financings or sales of assets, we are obligated to make payments on the notes equal to 75% of the net proceeds.

It shall be deemed an event of default under the notes if, among other things, we fail to pay when due any amounts under the notes, if we fail to pay when due or experience an event of default with respect to any debts having an outstanding principal amount of \$500,000 or more, if we are delisted from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market, or if we are acquired and the acquiring party does not expressly agree to assume the notes. In addition, certain bankruptcy, reorganization, insolvency, dissolution and receivership actions would be deemed an event of default under the notes. If an event of default under the notes occurs, the holder shall be entitled to declare the notes immediately due and payable in full.

The notes provide that we shall not incur any indebtedness in excess of \$20.5 million in the aggregate, unless such indebtedness is unsecured and expressly subordinated to the notes, is otherwise permitted under the notes, or the proceeds are used to make payments on the notes.

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Finally, the outstanding principal of and accrued interest on the notes are convertible into shares of NaviSite common stock at a conversion price of \$4.642 at the election of the holder:

at any time following the first anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$20.0 million;

at any time following the 18-month anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$10.0 million;

at any time following the second anniversary of the closing; and

at any time following an event of default thereunder.

(12) Commitments and Contingencies**(a) Leases***Abandoned Leased Facilities*

During the nine months ended April 30, 2005, we recorded \$0.7 million of net lease impairment charges, resulting from costs associated with the abandonment of administrative space at 10 Maguire Road, in Lexington, MA, an adjustment relating to lease modifications for our Syracuse and Vienna facilities and revisions in assumptions associated with other impaired facilities. During the three months ended April 30, 2005, the Company reached a settlement with the landlord of its abandoned property in La Jolla, CA and, as a result of this settlement, the Company recorded a \$0.6 million impairment credit to operating expense during this period.

All lease impairment expense amounts recorded are included in the captions Impairment, restructuring and other in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Details of activity in the lease exit accrual by facility for the nine months ended April 30, 2005 are as follows (in thousands):

	Balance at July 31, 2004	Expense	Purchase Accounting and Other Adjustments	Payments, less accretion of interest	Balance at April 30, 2005
Lease Abandonment Costs for:					
Andover, MA	\$ 1,040	\$	\$	\$ (187)	\$ 853
La Jolla, CA	1,136	(519)		(617)	
Chicago, IL	922	24		(102)	844
Amsterdam	120		12	(132)	
Vienna, VA	548	(14)		(426)	108
San Francisco, CA	248			(248)	
Houston, TX	905	133		(348)	690
Syracuse, NY	358	88		(274)	172
Syracuse, NY	111	27		(109)	29
San Jose, CA	1,019	162		(532)	649
Atlanta, GA	230			(128)	102
Atlanta, GA	275			(248)	27
Bedford, NH	139		19	(158)	
Lexington, MA		811		(442)	369

\$ 7,051	\$ 712	\$ 31	\$ (3,951)	\$ 3,843
----------	--------	-------	------------	----------

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

We are obligated under various capital and operating leases for facilities and equipment. Future minimum annual rental commitments under capital and operating leases and other commitments are as follows as of April 30, 2005:

Description	Total	Less than 1 Year	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	After Year 5
(In thousands)							
Short/ Long-term debt	\$ 69,829	\$ 24,710(a)	\$ 45,110	\$ 9	\$	\$	\$
Interest on debt(b)	9,541	1,341	8,200				
Capital leases	3,114	1,585	1,416	113			
Operating leases	86	47	39				
Bandwidth commitments	4,957	2,992	1,551	401	13		
Maintenance for hardware/ software	385	213	166	6			
Property leases(c)(d)	50,366	11,136	9,293	8,129	6,170	3,990	11,648
	\$ 138,278	\$ 42,024	\$ 65,775	\$ 8,658	\$ 6,183	\$ 3,990	\$ 11,648

(a) Amount includes the outstanding balance on the accounts receivable financing line as of April 30, 2005.

(b) Amounts do not include interest on the accounts receivable financing line, as interest rate is variable.

(c) Amounts exclude certain common area maintenance and other property charges that are not included within the lease payment.

(d) On February 9, 2005, the Company entered into an Assignment and Assumption Agreement with a Las Vegas-based company, whereby this company purchased from us the right to use 29,000 square feet in our Las Vegas data center, along with the infrastructure and equipment associated with this space. In exchange, we received an initial payment of \$600,000 and will receive \$55,682 per month over two years. This agreement shifts the responsibility for management of the data center and its employees, along with the maintenance of the facility's infrastructure, to this Las Vegas-based company. Pursuant to this Agreement, we have subleased back 2,000 square feet of space, allowing us to continue servicing our existing customer base in this market. Commitments related to property leases include an amount related to the 2,000 square feet sublease.

With respect to the property lease commitments listed above, certain cash amounts are restricted pursuant to terms of lease agreements with landlords. At April 30, 2005, restricted cash of \$1.1 million related to these lease agreements is included on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet under the caption "Restricted cash" and consisted of certificates of deposit and a treasury note and are recorded at cost, which approximates fair value.

(b) Legal Matters*IPO Securities Litigation*

On or about June 13, 2001, Stuart Werman and Lynn McFarlane filed a lawsuit against us, BancBoston Robertson Stephens, an underwriter of our initial public offering in October 1999, Joel B. Rosen, our then chief executive officer, and Kenneth W. Hale, our then chief financial officer. The suit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The suit generally alleges that the defendants violated federal securities laws by not disclosing certain actions allegedly taken by Robertson Stephens in connection with our initial public offering. The

suit alleges specifically that Robertson Stephens, in exchange for the allocation to its customers of shares of our common stock sold in our initial public offering, solicited and received from its customers agreements to purchase additional shares of our common stock in the

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

aftermarket at pre-determined prices. The suit seeks unspecified monetary damages and certification of a plaintiff class consisting of all persons who acquired shares of our common stock between October 22, 1999 and December 6, 2000. Three other substantially similar lawsuits were filed between June 15, 2001 and July 10, 2001 by Moses Mayer (filed June 15, 2001), Barry Feldman (filed June 19, 2001), and Binh Nguyen (filed July 10, 2001). Robert E. Eisenberg, our president at the time of the initial public offering in 1999, also was named as a defendant in the Nguyen lawsuit.

On or about June 21, 2001, David Federico filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York a lawsuit against us, Mr. Rosen, Mr. Hale, Robertson Stephens and other underwriter defendants including J.P. Morgan Chase, First Albany Companies, Inc., Bank of America Securities, LLC, Bear Stearns & Co., Inc., B.T. Alex. Brown, Inc., Chase Securities, Inc., CIBC World Markets, Credit Suisse First Boston Corp., Dain Rauscher, Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., J.P. Morgan & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities, Lehman Brothers, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co., Robert Fleming, Inc. and Salomon Smith Barney, Inc. The suit generally alleges that the defendants violated the anti-trust laws and the federal securities laws by conspiring and agreeing to raise and increase the compensation received by the underwriter defendants by requiring those who received allocation of initial public offering stock to agree to purchase shares of manipulated securities in the after-market of the initial public offering at escalating price levels designed to inflate the price of the manipulated stock, thus artificially creating an appearance of demand and high prices for that stock, and initial public offering stock in general, leading to further stock offerings. The suit also alleges that the defendants arranged for the underwriter defendants to receive undisclosed and excessive brokerage commissions and that, as a consequence, the underwriter defendants successfully increased investor interest in the manipulated initial public offering of securities and increased the underwriter defendants' individual and collective underwritings, compensation, and revenue. The suit further alleges that the defendants violated the federal securities laws by issuing and selling securities pursuant to the initial public offering without disclosing to investors that the underwriter defendants in the offering, including the lead underwriters, had solicited and received excessive and undisclosed commissions from certain investors. The suit seeks unspecified monetary damages and certification of a plaintiff class consisting of all persons who acquired shares of our common stock between October 22, 1999 and June 12, 2001.

Those five cases, along with lawsuits naming more than 300 other issuers and over 50 investment banks which have been sued in substantially similar lawsuits, have been assigned to the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin (the Court) for all pretrial purposes (the IPO Securities Litigation). On September 6, 2001, the Court entered an order consolidating the five individual cases involving us and designating *Werman v. NaviSite, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 01-CV-5374 as the lead case. A consolidated, amended complaint was filed thereafter on April 19, 2002 (the

Class Action Litigation) on behalf of plaintiffs Arvid Brandstrom and Tony Tse against underwriter defendants Robertson Stephens (as successor-in-interest to BancBoston), BancBoston, J.P. Morgan (as successor-in-interest to Hambrecht & Quist), Hambrecht & Quist and First Albany and against us and Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg (collectively, the NaviSite Defendants). Plaintiffs uniformly allege that all defendants, including the NaviSite Defendants, violated the federal securities laws (i.e., Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act, Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5) by issuing and selling our common stock pursuant to the October 22, 1999 initial public offering, without disclosing to investors that some of the underwriters of the offering, including the lead underwriters, had solicited and received extensive and undisclosed agreements from certain investors to purchase aftermarket shares at pre-arranged, escalating prices and also to receive additional commissions and/or other compensation from those investors. At this time, plaintiffs have not specified the amount of damages they are seeking in the Class Action Litigation.

Between July and September 2002, the parties to the IPO Securities Litigation briefed motions to dismiss filed by the underwriter defendants and the issuer defendants, including NaviSite. On November 1, 2002, the Court held oral argument on the motions to dismiss. The plaintiffs have since agreed to dismiss the claims

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

against Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg without prejudice, in return for their agreement to toll any statute of limitations applicable to those claims. By stipulation entered by the Court on November 18, 2002, Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg were dismissed without prejudice from the Class Action Litigation. On February 19, 2003, an opinion and order was issued on defendants' motion to dismiss the IPO Securities Litigation, essentially denying the motions to dismiss of all 55 underwriter defendants and of 185 of the 301 issuer defendants, including NaviSite.

On June 30, 2003, our Board of Directors considered and authorized us to negotiate a settlement of the pending Class Action Litigation substantially consistent with a memorandum of understanding negotiated among class plaintiffs, the issuer defendants and the insurers for such issuer defendants. Among other contingencies, any such settlement would be subject to approval by the Court. Plaintiffs filed on June 14, 2004, a motion for preliminary approval of the Stipulation And Agreement Of Settlement With Defendant Issuers And Individuals (the Preliminary Approval Motion). On February 15, 2005, the Court granted the Preliminary Approval Motion in a written opinion which detailed the terms of the settlement stipulation, its accompanying documents and schedules, the proposed class notice and, with a modification to the bar order to be entered, the proposed settlement order and judgment (the Preliminary Approval Order).

A further conference was held on April 13, 2005, at which time the Court considered additional submissions but did not make final determinations regarding the exact form, substance and program for notifying the settlement class. A Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 fairness hearing concerning the settlement is currently anticipated in early January 2006. If completed and then approved by the Court, the settlement is expected to be covered by our existing insurance policies and is not expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We believe that the allegations against us are without merit and, if the settlement is not finalized, we intend to vigorously defend against the plaintiffs' claims. Due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, we are not able to predict the possible outcome of the suits and their ultimate effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Joseph Cloonan

On or about September 27, 2002, we received a demand for a wage payment of \$850,000 from our former Procurement Director, Joseph Cloonan. We rejected the demand, alleging that Mr. Cloonan's claim is based, among other things, on a potentially fraudulent contract. Mr. Cloonan also claimed \$40,300 for allegedly unpaid accrued vacation and bonuses and that he may be statutorily entitled to triple damages and legal fees. On October 11, 2002, NaviSite filed a civil complaint with the Massachusetts Superior Court, Essex County, seeking a declaratory judgment and asserting claims against Mr. Cloonan for civil fraud, misrepresentation, unjust enrichment and breach of duty of loyalty. Mr. Cloonan asserted counter claims against NaviSite seeking the payments set forth in his September 2002 demand, additional compensation claims for approximately \$50,000, and triple damages and attorneys' fees under the Massachusetts Wage Act. In February 2005, NaviSite and Mr. Cloonan filed motions for summary judgment with the Court.

On May 11, 2005, the Court granted NaviSite's motion for summary judgment on six of eight of Mr. Cloonan's counterclaims. The Court granted NaviSite's motion for summary judgment with respect to three of Mr. Cloonan's counterclaims regarding his contentions that NaviSite owed to him \$850,000, as well as three of Mr. Cloonan's counterclaims pursuant to which he was seeking additional severance and vacation pay and advancing claims under the Massachusetts Wage Act. In addition, the Court entered judgment on NaviSite's motion for declaratory judgment in favor of NaviSite, holding that the purported severance agreement between the parties is unenforceable and that Mr. Cloonan must return \$21,200 in severance pay he previously received from NaviSite in connection with the purported agreement, less any amounts he may be entitled to on disposition of the two outstanding counterclaims described below.

Table of Contents

NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

With respect to two of Mr. Cloonan's counterclaims against NaviSite, pursuant to which he is seeking an aggregate of \$35,000 in bonuses, the Court did not grant NaviSite's motion for summary judgment as the Court found that genuine issues of material fact exist. The Court made no ruling on NaviSite's claims against Mr. Cloonan for fraud and misrepresentation, unjust enrichment and breach of the duty of loyalty.

We believe Mr. Cloonan's remaining allegations are without merit and intend to vigorously defend them.

Lighthouse International

On October 28, 2002, CBTM, one of our subsidiaries, filed a complaint in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against Lighthouse International, alleging six causes of action for copyright infringement, breach of contract, account stated, unjust enrichment, unfair competition, and misappropriation and/or conversion. The total claimed damages are in the amount of approximately \$1.9 million. On or about January 16, 2003, Lighthouse filed and served its answer and counterclaimed against CBTM claiming approximately \$3.1 million in damages and \$5.0 million in punitive relief.

On June 17, 2003, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York heard oral argument on Lighthouse's Motion for an Order Compelling the Debtor (AppliedTheory) to Assume or Reject an Agreement, filed in response to CBTM's complaint, and the objections to Lighthouse's motion filed by CBTM and AppliedTheory. Lighthouse made this motion on the basis that it never received notice of CBTM assuming the AppliedTheory contract for the LighthouseLink Web site. The Bankruptcy Court declined to grant Lighthouse's motion, and instead ordered that an evidentiary hearing be conducted to determine whether Lighthouse received appropriate notice of the proposed assignment of the contract by AppliedTheory to CBTM. The Bankruptcy Court ordered that the parties first conduct discovery, and upon completion of discovery, the Bankruptcy Court would schedule an evidentiary hearing on the issues of due process and notice.

As to the U.S. District Court matter, the exchange of written discovery and the majority of depositions of witnesses have been completed. On June 15, 2004, District Court Judge Pauley determined that both parties could proceed with their respective summary judgment motions. All motion papers were to be submitted by September 20, 2004, with oral argument scheduled for October 15, 2004.

On August 4, 2004, however, upon the application of CBTM, Bankruptcy Court Judge Gerber preliminarily enjoined Lighthouse from asserting claims or counterclaims against CBTM relating to the Lighthouse contract or any assets acquired by CBTM from AppliedTheory pursuant to the sale order, except for the purpose and to the extent necessary to setoff claims brought by CBTM against Lighthouse relating to the Lighthouse contract. As a result, Lighthouse was limited to seeking only those pre- and post- bankruptcy counterclaims that may constitute as set-offs against the claims asserted by CBTM. Subsequent to issuing the injunction order, Bankruptcy Judge Gerber held several conferences urging the parties to submit their dispute to court-ordered mediation. In conjunction with the Bankruptcy Court's request, District Court Judge Pauley ordered a stay of all remaining expert discovery and motion procedures pending the participation and completion of mediation as requested by Bankruptcy Court Judge Gerber. The matter was then transferred to mediation by order of the Courts.

In September 2004, the parties selected Harvey A. Stricken, Esq. as mediator to the dispute. On October 6, 2004, the mediation was held with no particular outcome. At the suggestion of the mediator, the parties participated in a second mediation session on January 12, 2005, during which the parties successfully reached an understanding, subject to final documentation, of the terms of a proposed settlement and compromise of all disputes between them. On April 26, 2005, CBTM and Lighthouse entered into a Settlement Agreement and Release (the Settlement Agreement). Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, NaviSite and Lighthouse agreed to enter into a Services Agreement (the Services Agreement), within thirty (30) days of the date of the Settlement Agreement, pursuant to which NaviSite agreed to

Table of Contents**NAVISITE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

provide to Lighthouse and Lighthouse agreed to purchase from NaviSite \$150,000 of web-hosting or, at the election of Lighthouse, other services (other than the purchase or lease of equipment or the licensing of third party software) within a two-year period, at commercially reasonable rates. In consideration for NaviSite providing and Lighthouse purchasing the services pursuant to the Services Agreement, CBTM, NaviSite and Lighthouse released each other from all claims that any party had or may have against the other for any matter arising prior to the date of the Settlement Agreement.

Engage Bankruptcy Trustee Claim

On September 9, 2004, Don Hoy, Craig R. Jalbert and David St. Pierre, as trustees of and on behalf of the Engage, Inc. creditor trust, filed suit against us in the United States Bankruptcy Court in the District of Massachusetts. The suit generally relates to a termination agreement, dated March 7, 2002, we entered into with Engage, Inc. (a company then affiliated with CMGI, Inc.), which terminated a services agreement between us and Engage and required Engage to pay us \$3.6 million. Engage made three payments to us under the termination agreement in the aggregate amount of \$3.4 million. On June 19, 2003, Engage and five of its wholly owned subsidiaries filed petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The suit generally alleges that Engage was insolvent at the time that we entered into the termination agreement with Engage and at the time Engage made the payments to us. Specifically, the suit alleges that (i) the plaintiffs are entitled to avoid and recover \$1.0 million paid by Engage to us in the year prior to June 19, 2003 as a preferential transfer, (ii) the plaintiffs are entitled to avoid and recover \$3.4 million (which amount includes the \$1.0 million payment made prior to June 13, 2003) paid by Engage to us as a fraudulent transfer, and (iii) our acts and omissions relating to the termination agreement and the payments made by Engage to us constitute unfair and deceptive acts or practices in willful and knowing violation of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A. In addition to the foregoing amounts, the plaintiffs are also seeking treble damages, attorneys' fees and costs under Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A.

On November 19, 2004, we filed a motion to dismiss as a matter of law certain of the claims asserted in the complaint. A hearing before the Court was held on this motion on February 7, 2005, at which time the Court denied the motion on the grounds that there were material issues of facts in dispute which precluded the Court at this time from granting a motion to dismiss such claims as a matter of law. On February 28, 2005, we filed an answer to the complaint, generally denying any liability thereunder and asserted numerous defenses. We further intend to file a complaint against certain third-parties, seeking to hold such parties liable for any and all obligations that we may incur as a result of the claims asserted in the complaint. As this matter is in the initial stage, we are not able to predict the possible outcome of this matter and the effect, if any, on our financial condition except that we believe we have certain meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the complaint which we intend to assert vigorously.

(13) Income Tax Expense

The Company recorded \$0.3 million and \$1.1 million of deferred income tax expense during the three and nine months ended April 30, 2005, respectively, as compared to no deferred income tax expense during the comparable periods of fiscal year 2004. No income tax benefit was recorded for the losses incurred due to a valuation allowance recognized against deferred tax assets. The deferred tax expense resulted from tax goodwill amortization related to the Surebridge acquisition in June 2004. For tax purposes, the acquisition was accounted for as an asset acquisition. Accordingly, the acquired goodwill and intangible assets are amortizable for tax purposes over fifteen years. For financial statement purposes, goodwill is not amortized, but is tested for impairment annually. Therefore, the tax amortization of goodwill results in a taxable temporary difference, which fits the indefinite reversal criteria since it will not be reversed until the goodwill is impaired or written off, and is not offset by deductible temporary differences, such as net operating loss carryforwards. Management is presently negotiating a final working capital settlement with respect to the Surebridge acquisition, which is expected to result in a reduction of reported goodwill in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005, and a corresponding reduction in income tax expense in future periods.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that involve risks and uncertainties. All statements other than statements of historical information provided herein are forward-looking statements and may contain information about financial results, economic conditions, trends and known uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors, which include those discussed in this section and elsewhere in this report under the heading

Certain Risk Factors that May Affect Future Results and the risks discussed in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis, judgment, belief or expectation only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date hereof.

Overview

Navisite provides managed IT services to middle-market organizations. Our service offerings allow our customers to outsource the management of their information technology applications and infrastructure. By using our services, our customers are able to focus on, and apply resources to, their core business operations by avoiding the significant ongoing investments required to replicate our infrastructure, performance, reliability and expertise. Our services include:

Managed Application Services

Implementation and operational management of packaged applications including Oracle Enterprise, Enterprise One and E-Business Suite, Siebel CRM, Microsoft Business Solutions (Great Plains, Solomon, CRM), and custom e-Commerce systems

Software On Demand services using our NaviView collaborative application management system

Managed Infrastructure Services

Managed hosting and data center services

Content Acceleration

Colocation

Security

Bandwidth

We support a broad portfolio of outsourced application services including financial management, supply chain management, human resources management and customer relationship management. We provide these services to a range of vertical industries through our direct sales force and channel relationships. The vertical industries we target include finance, healthcare, manufacturing and distribution, and communications and media.

Our application support and software on demand services are facilitated by our proprietary NaviView collaborative application management platform. This platform enables us to provide highly efficient, effective and customized management of enterprise applications and information technology. Comprised of a suite of third-party and proprietary products, NaviView provides tools designed specifically to meet the needs of customers who outsource or want to provide on-demand application services.

We currently operate 13 data centers in the United States and one data center in the United Kingdom. We believe that our data centers and infrastructure have the capacity necessary to expand our business for the foreseeable future. Our services combine our developed infrastructure with established processes and procedures for delivering managed IT services. Our high availability infrastructure, high performance monitoring systems, and proactive and collaborative problem resolution systems are designed to identify and address potentially crippling problems before

they are able to disrupt our customers' operations.

Table of Contents

We currently service approximately 1,050 customers, including approximately 115 customers through our sales channel relationships. Our customers typically enter into service agreements with terms ranging up to five years, which provide for monthly payment installments, providing us with a base of recurring revenue. Our revenue increases by adding new customers or additional services to existing customers. Our overall base of recurring revenue is affected by new customers, renewals and terminations of agreements with existing customers.

A large portion of the costs to operate our data centers, such as rent, product development and general and administrative expenses, does not depend strictly on the number of customers or the amount of services we provide. As we add new customers or new services to existing customers, we generally incur limited additional expenses relating to telecommunications, utilities, hardware and software costs, and payroll expenses. We have substantial capacity to add customers in our data centers. Our relatively fixed cost base, sufficient capacity for expansion and limited incremental variable costs provide us with the opportunity to grow profitably. However, these same fixed costs present us with the risk that we may incur losses if we are unable to generate sufficient revenue.

The New York State Department of Labor has been a long-term customer of ours. Our contract with this customer is scheduled to expire in June 2005. We have been in discussions with the New York State Department of Labor regarding an extension of its contract and have received a letter from the New York State Department of Labor stating that it intends to extend the contract for two additional years. We anticipate that the quarterly revenue we receive under the extended contract term will continue at current levels; however there can be no assurance that such extension will occur or that the terms of any such extension will be similar to those of the previous agreement.

In January 2005, we formed NaviSite India Private Limited, a New Delhi-based operation which is intended to expand our international capability. NaviSite India will provide a range of software services, including design and development of custom and e-commerce solutions, application management, problem resolution management and the deployment and management of IT networks, customer specific infrastructure and data center infrastructure.

In recent years, we have grown through business acquisitions and have restructured our operations. Specifically, in December 2002, we completed a common control merger with CBTM; in February 2003, we acquired Avasta; in April 2003, we acquired Conxion; in May 2003, we acquired assets of Interliant; in August 2003 and April 2004, we completed a common control merger with certain subsidiaries of CBT; and in June 2004, we acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Surebridge (now known as Waythere, Inc.). We expect to make additional acquisitions to take advantage of our available capacity, which will have significant effects on our financial results in the future.

The audit report on our fiscal year 2004 consolidated financial statements from KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, contains KPMG's opinion that our recurring losses from operations since inception and accumulated deficit, as well as other factors, raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. While we cannot assure you that we will continue as a going concern, we believe that we have developed and are implementing an operational plan that aligns our cost structure with our projected revenue growth.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended April 30, 2005 and 2004**

The following table sets forth the percentage relationships of certain items from our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as a percentage of total revenue.

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Nine Months Ended April 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Revenue	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%	100.0%
Revenue, related parties	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Total revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenue	70.5%	70.4%	74.1%	74.1%
Impairment, restructuring and other	1.4%	0.0%	0.5%	1.0%
Total cost of revenue	71.9%	70.4%	74.6%	75.1%
Gross profit	28.1%	29.6%	25.4%	24.9%
Operating expenses:				
Product development	0.0%	1.1%	0.3%	1.3%
Selling and marketing	12.9%	9.2%	11.7%	8.7%
General and administrative	20.1%	30.2%	21.1%	24.8%
Impairment, restructuring and other	(1.7)%	1.0%	1.3%	2.4%
Total operating expenses	31.3%	41.5%	34.4%	37.2%
Loss from operations	(3.2)%	(11.9)%	(9.0)%	(12.3)%
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
Interest expense	(7.4)%	(3.3)%	(6.9)%	(3.0)%
Other income (expense), net	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
Loss before income tax expense	(10.2)%	(15.0)%	(15.6)%	(14.9)%
Income tax expense	(1.1)%	0.0%	(1.3)%	0.0%
Net loss	(11.3)%	(15.0)%	(16.9)%	(14.9)%

Comparison of the Three and Nine Months Ended April 30, 2005 and 2004**Revenue**

We derive our revenue from managed IT services. The Company offers a full range of services including design, implementation, optimization, upgrade, application development, fully hosted and remote application management, managed services, content delivery, colocation, and Software as a Service enablement. Substantially all of our revenue is generated from customers in the United States.

Total revenue for the three months ended April 30, 2005 increased 32.8% to approximately \$26.8 million from approximately \$20.2 million for the three months ended April 30, 2004. The overall growth in revenue was mainly

due to the revenue resulting from our fourth quarter fiscal year 2004 acquisition of Surebridge which contributed approximately \$8.9 million in revenue during the three months ended April 30, 2005. The increased revenue during the third fiscal quarter of 2005 was partially offset by net lost customer revenue of approximately \$2.3 million. Revenue from related parties during the three months ended April 30, 2005 totaled \$34,000, while related party revenue during the three months ended April 30, 2004 was \$12,000.

Total revenue for the nine months ended April 30, 2005 increased 27.4% to approximately \$84.1 million from approximately \$66.0 million for the nine months ended April 30, 2004. The overall growth in revenue was mainly due to the revenue resulting from our fourth quarter of fiscal year 2004 acquisition of Surebridge

Table of Contents

which contributed approximately \$29.3 million in revenue during the nine months ended April 30, 2005. The increased revenue during the first nine months of fiscal year 2005 was partially offset by net lost customer revenue of approximately \$11.2 million. Revenue from related parties during the nine months ended April 30, 2005 totaled \$102,000, while related party revenue during the nine months ended April 30, 2004 totaled \$12,000.

Gross Profit

Cost of revenue consists primarily of salaries and benefits for operations personnel, bandwidth fees and related Internet connectivity charges, equipment costs and related depreciation and costs to run our data centers, such as rent and utilities.

Gross profit of \$7.5 million for the three months ended April 30, 2005 increased approximately \$1.6 million, or 26.2%, from a gross profit of approximately \$6.0 million for the three months ended April 30, 2004. Gross profit for the third fiscal quarter of 2005 represented 28.1% of total revenue, as compared to 29.6% of total revenue for the third fiscal quarter of 2004. Total cost of revenue increased approximately 35.5% to \$19.3 million during the third fiscal quarter of 2005 from approximately \$14.2 million during the third fiscal quarter of 2004. The increase in cost of revenue of \$5.1 million resulted primarily from the costs associated with the increased revenue, an increase in amortization expense for intangible assets resulting from the 2004 Surebridge acquisition and charges associated with facility related impairments, partially offset by cost reductions related to equipment rental, hardware maintenance, and utilities. In addition, total cost of revenue for the three months ended April 30, 2004 was lowered by the inclusion of a \$1.4 million gain recognized on the termination of certain data center leases. Total cost of revenue was 71.9% of total revenue for the third fiscal quarter of 2005 as compared to 70.4% of total revenue for the third fiscal quarter of 2004.

Gross profit of \$21.3 million for the nine months ended April 30, 2005 increased approximately \$4.9 million, or 29.6%, from a gross profit of approximately \$16.4 million for the nine months ended April 30, 2004. Gross profit for the first nine months of fiscal year 2005 represented 25.4% of total revenue, as compared to 24.9% of total revenue for the first nine months of fiscal year 2004. Total cost of revenue increased approximately 26.7% to \$62.8 million during the first nine months of fiscal year 2005 from approximately \$49.5 million during the first nine months of fiscal year 2004. The increase in cost of revenue of \$13.3 million resulted primarily from the costs associated with the increased revenue and an increase in amortization expense for intangible assets resulting from the 2004 Surebridge acquisition, partially offset by cost reductions related to data center security, utilities, equipment rental, hardware maintenance and bandwidth expense. In addition, total cost of revenue for the nine months ended April 30, 2004 was lowered by the inclusion of a \$1.4 million gain recognized on the termination of certain data center leases. Total cost of revenue was 74.6% of total revenue for the first nine months of fiscal year 2005 as compared to 75.1% of total revenue for the first nine months of fiscal year 2004.

Operating Expenses

Product Development. Product development expense consists primarily of salaries and related costs.

During the three months ended April 30, 2005, the Company eliminated all dedicated product development headcount and reported no product development expense for this period. During the three months ended April 30, 2004, product development expense was \$230,000 and represented 1.1% of total revenue.

Product development expense decreased 74.8% to approximately \$224,000 during the nine months ended April 30, 2005 from approximately \$890,000 during the nine months ended April 30, 2004 and represented approximately 0.3% and 1.3% of total revenue for the first nine months of fiscal years 2005 and 2004, respectively. The decrease in product development expense of approximately \$666,000 is primarily related to decreased salary expense resulting from a decreased headcount.

Table of Contents

Selling and Marketing. Selling and marketing expense consists primarily of salaries and related benefits, commissions and marketing expenses such as advertising, product literature, trade show, and marketing and direct mail programs.

Selling and marketing expense increased 87.7% to approximately \$3.5 million, or 12.9% of total revenue, during the three months ended April 30, 2005 from approximately \$1.8 million, or 9.2% of total revenue, during the three months ended April 30, 2004. The increase of approximately \$1.6 million resulted primarily from increased salary expense and related costs, sales commissions and travel, primarily due to an increased headcount of direct selling personnel.

Selling and marketing expense increased 71.9% to approximately \$9.8 million, or 11.7% of total revenue, during the nine months ended April 30, 2005 from approximately \$5.7 million, or 8.7% of total revenue, during the nine months ended April 30, 2004. The increase of approximately \$4.1 million resulted primarily from increased salary expense and related costs, sales commissions and travel, primarily due to an increased headcount of direct selling personnel.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expense includes the costs of financial, human resources, IT and administrative personnel, professional services, bad debt and corporate overhead.

General and administrative expense decreased 11.9% to approximately \$5.4 million, or 20.1% of total revenue, during the three months ended April 30, 2005 from approximately \$6.1 million, or 30.2% of total revenue, during the three months ended April 30, 2004. The decrease of approximately \$0.7 million was primarily the result of decreases in facility rent, litigation expense and bad debt expense.

General and administrative expense increased 8.8% to approximately \$17.8 million, or 21.1% of total revenue, during the nine months ended April 30, 2005 from approximately \$16.3 million, or 24.8% of total revenue, during the nine months ended April 30, 2004. The increase of approximately \$1.4 million was primarily the result of increased salary and salary related costs, as well as increases in severance, non-cash stock compensation, property and uses taxes, expenses related to Sarbanes-Oxley compliance and bank charges related to our financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank, partially offset by decreases in facility rent, and litigation expense.

Operating Expenses Impairment, Restructuring and Other

During the three months ended April 30, 2005, the Company settled an impairment for our La Jolla, CA facility, which resulted in a \$0.6 million credit, partially offset by revised assumptions associated with our impaired facilities, and recorded a net credit of \$0.4 million for the period. Impairment, restructuring and other expense within operating expenses were approximately \$0.2 million during the three months ended April 30, 2004.

Costs associated with the abandonment of leased facilities and the impairment of property and equipment included in impairment, restructuring and other expense within operating expenses were approximately \$1.1 million during the nine months ended April 30, 2005, as compared to approximately \$1.6 million during the nine months ended April 30, 2004. The \$1.1 million charge during the first nine months of fiscal year 2005 related to revised assumptions associated with our impaired facilities, the abandonment of an administrative facility and an impairment charge for property and equipment, consisting primarily of leasehold improvements, relating to the same location. The \$1.6 million charge recorded during the first nine months of fiscal year 2004 related to the abandonment of administrative office space.

Interest Income

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2005, interest income was \$21,000 and \$49,000, respectively, and was \$18,000 and \$115,000 for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2004, respectively. The decreases were due primarily to the reduced levels of average cash on hand.

Table of Contents**Interest Expense**

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2005, interest expense increased 204% and 201%, respectively, to \$2.0 million and \$5.8 million, respectively, from the comparable prior periods of \$0.7 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. The increases from the comparative periods are primarily related to the addition of the Surebridge notes.

Income Tax Expense

The Company recorded \$0.3 million and \$1.1 million of deferred income tax expense during the three and nine months ended April 30, 2005, respectively, as compared to no deferred income tax expense during the comparable periods of fiscal year 2004. No income tax benefit was recorded for the losses incurred due to a valuation allowance recognized against deferred tax assets. The deferred tax expense resulted from tax goodwill amortization related to the Surebridge acquisition in June 2004. For tax purposes, the acquisition was accounted for as an asset acquisition. Accordingly, the acquired goodwill and intangible assets are amortizable for tax purposes over fifteen years. For financial statement purposes, goodwill is not amortized, but is tested for impairment annually. Therefore, the tax amortization of goodwill results in a taxable temporary difference, which fits the indefinite reversal criteria since it will not be reversed until the goodwill is impaired or written off, and is not offset by deductible temporary differences, such as net operating loss carryforwards. Management is presently negotiating a final working capital settlement with respect to the Surebridge acquisition, which is expected to result in a reduction of reported goodwill in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005, and a corresponding reduction in income tax expense in future periods.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of April 30, 2005, our principal sources of liquidity included cash and cash equivalents and our financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank. We had a working capital deficit of \$34.9 million, including cash and cash equivalents of \$1.6 million at April 30, 2005, as compared to a working capital deficit of \$36.7 million, including cash and cash equivalents of \$3.2 million, at July 31, 2004.

The total net change in cash and cash equivalents for the nine months ended April 30, 2005 was a decrease of \$1.6 million. The primary uses of cash during the nine months ended April 30, 2005 included \$3.3 million for purchases of property and equipment and approximately \$3.0 million in repayments on notes payable and capital lease obligations. Our primary sources of cash during the nine months ended April 30, 2005 included \$2.6 million from operating activities, \$0.4 million in proceeds from the sale of equipment, a \$0.6 million decrease in restricted cash, \$0.1 million in proceeds associated with the exercise of stock options under the employee stock option plans and \$1.0 million in proceeds from notes payable. Net cash provided by operating activities of \$2.6 million during the nine months ended April 30, 2005, resulted primarily from \$1.3 million of net changes in operating assets and liabilities and non-cash charges of approximately \$15.5 million, partially offset by the funding of our \$14.2 million net loss. At April 30, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of \$454.1 million, and have reported losses from operations since incorporation. At July 31, 2004, we had an accumulated deficit of \$439.9 million.

Our accounts receivable financing line with Silicon Valley Bank allows for maximum borrowing of \$20.4 million and expires on April 29, 2006. On April 30, 2005, we had an outstanding balance under the amended agreement of \$20.4 million. Borrowings are based on monthly recurring revenue. We are required to prepare and deliver a written request for an advance of up to three times the value of total recurring monthly revenue, calculated to be monthly revenue (including revenue from The New York State Department of Labor) less professional services revenue. SVB may then provide an advance of 85% of such value (or such other percentage as the bank may determine). The interest rate under the amended agreement is variable and is currently calculated at the bank's published prime rate plus 4.0%. The financing agreement also contains certain affirmative and negative covenants and is secured by substantially all of our assets, tangible and intangible. Following the completion of certain equity or debt financings, and provided we continue to meet certain ratios, the interest rate shall be reduced to the bank's prime rate plus 1.0%. In no event, however, will the bank's prime rate be less than 4.25%. The accounts receivable financing line at April 30, 2005 and July 31,

Table of Contents

2004 is reported net of the remaining value ascribed to the related warrants of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

At April 30, 2005, the Company had \$1.3 million in outstanding standby letters of credit, issued in connection with facility and equipment lease agreements and other credit agreements, which are 100% cash collateralized. Cash subject to collateral requirements has been recorded as restricted cash and is classified as non-current on our balance sheet at April 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004.

On June 10, 2004, in connection with our acquisition of the Surebridge business, we issued two convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$39.3 million. Interest shall accrue on the unpaid balance of the notes at the annual rate of 10%, provided that if an event of default shall occur and be continuing, the interest rate shall be 15%. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no interest shall accrue or be payable on any principal amounts repaid on or prior to the nine-month anniversary of the issuance date of the notes. We must repay the outstanding principal of the notes with all interest accrued thereon, no later than June 10, 2006. Pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement, \$0.8 million of the primary note is callable at any time for a period of one year from June 10, 2004, the date of the Surebridge acquisition closing. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2005, the noteholder requested payment of \$0.8 million and the Company paid this amount on December 31, 2004.

In addition, if we realize net proceeds in excess of \$1.0 million from certain equity or debt financings or sales of assets, we are obligated to make payments on the notes equal to 75% of the net proceeds.

It shall be deemed an event of default under the notes if, among other things, we fail to pay when due any amounts under the notes, if we fail to pay when due or experience an event of default with respect to any debts having an outstanding principal amount of \$500,000 or more, if we are delisted from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market, or if we are acquired and the acquiring party does not expressly agree to assume the notes. In addition, certain bankruptcy, reorganization, insolvency, dissolution and receivership actions would be deemed an event of default under the notes. If an event of default under the notes occurs, the holder shall be entitled to declare the notes immediately due and payable in full.

The notes provide that we shall not incur any indebtedness in excess of \$20.5 million in the aggregate, unless such indebtedness is unsecured and expressly subordinated to the notes, is otherwise permitted under the notes, or the proceeds are used to make payments on the notes.

Finally, the outstanding principal of and the accrued interest on the notes are convertible into shares of NaviSite common stock at a conversion price of \$4.642 at the election of the holder:

at any time following the first anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$20.0 million;

at any time following the 18-month anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$10.0 million;

at any time following the second anniversary of the closing; and

at any time following an event of default thereunder.

We anticipate that we will continue to incur net losses in the future. We have taken several actions we believe will allow us to continue as a going concern, including the closing and integration of strategic acquisitions, the changes to our senior management and bringing costs more in line with projected revenue. We will need to find sources of additional financing in order to remain a going concern. Potential sources include public or private sales of equity or debt securities and the sale of assets. We are obligated to use a significant portion of any proceeds raised in an equity or debt financing or by the sale of assets to make payments on the Surebridge notes, depending on the total net proceeds received by us in the financing (see Note 11(e)).

Our operating forecast incorporates material trends, such as our acquisitions, reductions in workforce and closings of facilities. Our forecast also incorporates the future cash flow benefits expected from our continued efforts to increase efficiencies and reduce redundancies. Nonetheless, our forecast includes the need to raise

Table of Contents

additional funds. Our cash flow estimates are based upon attaining certain levels of sales, maintaining budgeted levels of operating expenses, collections of accounts receivable and maintaining our current borrowing line with Silicon Valley Bank among other assumptions, including the improvement in the overall macroeconomic environment. However there can be no assurance that we will be able to meet such assumptions. Our sales estimate includes revenue from new and existing customers, which may not be realized, and we may be required to further reduce expenses if budgeted sales are not attained. We may be unsuccessful in reducing expenses in proportion to any shortfall in projected sales and our estimate of collections of accounts receivable may be hindered by our customers' ability to pay. In addition, we are currently involved in various pending and potential legal proceedings. While we believe that the allegations against us in each of these matters are without merit, and that we have a meritorious defense in each, we are not able to predict the final outcomes of any of these matters and the effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. If we are ultimately unsuccessful in any of these matters, we could be required to pay substantial amounts of cash to the other parties. The amount and timing of any such payments could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123R requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on fair values. Pro forma disclosure of fair value information is no longer an alternative. The statement is effective in the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2005. Adoption is to be made using either the modified prospective method or the modified retrospective method. The modified prospective method recognizes cost based on the requirements for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and for awards granted prior to the effective date that remain unvested prior to the effective date. The modified retrospective method includes the requirements of the modified prospective method but also permits restatement of financial statements based on pro forma amounts previously recognized under SFAS No. 123. Restatement can either be for all prior periods presented or prior interim periods of the year of adoption. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of this pronouncement, which must be adopted in the first quarter of our fiscal year 2006. We currently disclose the pro forma impacts of recognizing fair value as permitted by SFAS No. 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per share in the preceding caption, Stock-based Compensation Plans.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

We are obligated under various capital and operating leases for facilities and equipment. Future minimum annual rental commitments under capital and operating leases and other commitments, as of April 30, 2005, are as follows:

Description	Total	Less than			More than 5 Years
		1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	
(In thousands)					
Short/ Long-term debt	\$ 69,829	\$ 24,710(a)	\$ 45,119	\$	\$
Interest on debt(b)	9,541	1,341	8,200		
Capital leases	3,114	1,585	1,529		
Operating leases	86	47	39		
Bandwidth commitments	4,957	2,992	1,952	13	
Maintenance for hardware/ software	385	213	172		
Property leases(c)	50,366	11,136	17,422	10,160	11,648
	\$ 138,278	\$ 42,024	\$ 74,433	\$ 10,173	\$ 11,648

Table of Contents

- (a) Amount includes the outstanding balance on the accounts receivable financing line as of April 30, 2005.
- (b) Amounts do not include interest on the accounts receivable financing line, as interest rate is variable.
- (c) Amounts exclude certain common area maintenance and other property charges that are not included within the lease payment.
- (d) On February 9, 2005, the Company entered into an Assignment and Assumption Agreement with a Las Vegas-based company, whereby this company purchased from us the right to use 29,000 square feet in our Las Vegas data center, along with the infrastructure and equipment associated with this space. In exchange, we received an initial payment of \$600,000 and will receive \$55,682 per month over two years. This agreement shifts the responsibility for management of the data center and its employees, along with the maintenance of the facility's infrastructure, to this Las Vegas-based company. Pursuant to this Agreement, we have subleased back 2,000 square feet of space, allowing us to continue servicing our existing customer base in this market. Commitments related to property leases include an amount related to the 2,000 square feet sublease.

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. As such, management is required to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that it believes are reasonable based on the information available. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the periods presented. The significant accounting policies which management believes are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results include revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts and impairment of long-lived assets. Management reviews the estimates on a regular basis and makes adjustments based on historical experiences, current conditions and future expectations. The reviews are performed regularly and adjustments are made as required by current available information. We believe these estimates are reasonable, but actual results could differ from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition. Revenue consists of monthly fees for Web site and Internet application management, hosting, colocations and professional services. The Company also derives revenue from the sale of software and related maintenance contracts. Reimbursable expenses charged to clients are included in revenue and cost of revenue. Application management, hosting and colocation revenue is billed and recognized over the term of the contract, generally one to three years, based on actual usage. Installation fees associated with application management, hosting and colocation revenue is billed at the time the installation service is provided and recognized over the term of the related contract. Payments received in advance of providing services are deferred until the period such services are provided. Revenue from professional services is recognized on either a time and material basis as the services are performed or under the percentage of completion method for fixed price contracts. We generally sell our professional services under contracts with terms ranging up to five years. When current contract estimates indicate that a loss is probable, a provision is made for the total anticipated loss in the current period. Contract losses are determined to be the amount by which the estimated service costs of the contract exceed the estimated revenue that will be generated by the contract. Unbilled accounts receivable represents revenue for services performed that have not been billed. Billings in excess of revenue recognized are recorded as deferred revenue until the applicable revenue recognition criteria are met. Revenue from the sale of software is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the product has been delivered, the fees are fixed and determinable and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. In instances where the Company also provides application management and hosting services in conjunction with the sale of software, software revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the expected customer relationship period. If we determine that collection of a fee is not reasonably assured, we defer the fee and recognize revenue at the time collection becomes reasonably assured, which is generally upon receipt of cash.

Existing customers are subject to ongoing credit evaluations based on payment history and other factors. If it is determined subsequent to our initial evaluation and at any time during the arrangement that

Table of Contents

collectability is not reasonably assured, revenue is recognized as cash is received. Due to the nature of our service arrangements, we provide written notice of termination of services, typically 10 days in advance of disconnecting a customer. Revenue for services rendered during this notification period is generally recognized on a cash basis as collectability is not considered probable at the time the services are provided.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We perform periodic credit evaluations of our customers' financial conditions and generally do not require collateral or other security against trade receivables. We make estimates of the uncollectability of our accounts receivables and maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for potential credit losses. We specifically analyze accounts receivable and consider historical bad debts, customer and industry concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends and changes in our customer payment patterns when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. We specifically reserve for 100% of the balance of customer accounts deemed uncollectible. For all other customer accounts, we reserve for 20% of the balance over 90 days old and 2% of all other customer balances. Changes in economic conditions or the financial viability of our customers may result in additional provisions for doubtful accounts in excess of our current estimate.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets. We review our long-lived assets, subject to amortization and depreciation, including customer lists and property and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of these assets may not be recoverable. Factors we consider important that could trigger an interim impairment review include:

significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;

significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy of our overall business;

significant negative industry or economic trends;

significant declines in our stock price for a sustained period; and

our market capitalization relative to net book value.

Recoverability is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the assets were considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized would be measured by the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds their fair value. Fair value is determined based on discounted cash flows or appraised values, depending on the nature of the asset. Assets to be disposed of are valued at the lower of the carrying amount or their fair value less disposal costs. Property and equipment is primarily comprised of leasehold improvements, computer and office equipment and software licenses. Intangible assets consist of customer lists.

We review the valuation of our goodwill in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year. If an event or circumstance indicates that it is more likely than not an impairment loss has been incurred, we review the valuation of goodwill on an interim basis. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Impairment losses are recognized in operations.

Certain Risk Factors That May Affect Future Results

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of risks, some of which are beyond our control. Forward-looking statements in this report and those made from time to time by us through our senior management are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements concerning the expected future revenues, earnings or financial results or concerning project plans, performance, or development of products and services, as well as other estimates related to future operations are necessarily only estimates of future results and there can be no assurance that actual results will not materially differ from expectations. Forward-looking statements represent management's current expectations and are inherently uncertain. We do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We have a history of losses and may never achieve or sustain profitability and may not continue as a going concern.

We have never been profitable and may never become profitable. Since our incorporation in 1998, we have experienced operating losses and negative cash flows for each annual period. As of April 30, 2005, we had incurred losses since our incorporation resulting in an accumulated deficit of approximately \$454.1 million. During the nine months ended April 30, 2005, we had a net loss of approximately \$14.2 million. The audit report from KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, relating to our fiscal year 2004 financial statements contains KPMG's opinion that our recurring losses from operations since inception and accumulated deficit, as well as other factors, raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We anticipate that we will continue to incur net losses in the future. We also have significant fixed commitments, including with respect to real estate, bandwidth commitments and equipment leases. As a result, we can give no assurance that we will achieve profitability or be capable of sustaining profitable operations. If we are unable to reach and sustain profitability, we risk depleting our working capital balances and our business may not continue as a going concern.

We need to obtain additional financing, which may not be available on favorable terms, or at all.

As of April 30, 2005, we had approximately \$1.6 million of cash and cash equivalents and a working capital deficit of approximately \$34.9 million. Our outstanding balance under our Silicon Valley Bank amended accounts receivable financing agreement as of April 30, 2005 was \$20.4 million. We need to raise additional capital and such capital may not be available on favorable terms or at all. On January 22, 2004, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a Registration Statement on Form S-2 to register shares of our common stock to issue and sell in a public offering to raise additional funds. In the event we are not successful in raising capital through this public offering, we will be required to expense \$0.7 million of associated offering expenses which are presently included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets on our April 30, 2005 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. If we do not complete the planned public offering, or if we do complete the planned public offering and then use a significant portion of the net proceeds we receive to repay debt or acquire a company, technology or product, we will need to raise additional capital through various other equity or debt financings, and such capital may not be available on favorable terms or at all. If we do not raise additional capital, our business may not continue as a going concern.

Our projections for cash usage are based on a number of assumptions, including our ability to:

retain customers in light of market uncertainties and our uncertain future;

collect accounts receivables in a timely manner;

effectively integrate Surebridge and realize forecasted cash savings; and

achieve other expected cash expense reductions.

Further, our projected use of cash and business results could be affected by continued market uncertainties, including delays or restrictions in information technology spending by customers or potential customers and any merger or acquisition activity.

In recent years, we have generally financed our operations with proceeds from selling shares of our stock and borrowing funds. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available on favorable terms, or at all. In addition, even if we find outside funding sources, we may be required to issue securities with greater rights than those currently possessed by holders of our common stock. We may also be required to take other actions that may lessen the value of our common stock or dilute our common stockholders, including borrowing money on terms that are not favorable to us or issuing additional equity securities. If we experience difficulties raising money in the future, our business and liquidity will be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

The convertible promissory notes we issued in the Surebridge acquisition may negatively affect our liquidity and our ability to obtain additional financing and operate and manage our business.

On June 10, 2004, in connection with our acquisition of the Surebridge business, we issued two convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$39.3 million. We must repay the remaining outstanding principal of the notes with all interest accrued thereon, no later than June 10, 2006. On December 31, 2004, we repaid \$800,000 of the outstanding principal. In addition, if we realize net proceeds in excess of \$1.0 million from certain equity or debt financings or sales of assets, we are obligated to make a payment on the notes equal to 75% of the net proceeds.

The notes, or the prepayment obligation thereon, may adversely affect our ability to raise or retain additional capital. If we commit an event of default under any of the promissory notes, which may include a default of obligations owed to other third parties, prior to the maturity date of the promissory notes, then the holders of the promissory notes may declare the notes immediately due and payable, which would adversely affect our liquidity and our ability to manage our business. Furthermore, the promissory notes contain restrictive covenants, including with respect to our ability to incur indebtedness.

We may not realize all of the anticipated benefits of our recent acquisition of Surebridge.

In June 2004, we acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Surebridge for three million shares of our common stock and two promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$39.3 million. The success of the acquisition depends, in part, on our ability to realize the anticipated synergies, cost savings, and growth and marketing opportunities from integrating the businesses of Surebridge with the businesses of NaviSite. Our success in realizing these benefits and the timing of this realization depend upon the successful integration of the technology, personnel and operations of Surebridge. The integration of two independent companies is a complex, costly and time-consuming process. The difficulties of combining the operations of the companies include, among others:

retaining key employees;

consolidating corporate and administrative infrastructures;

maintaining customer service levels;

coordinating sales and marketing functions;

preserving the distribution, marketing, promotion and other important internal operations and third-party relationships of Surebridge;

minimizing the diversion of management's attention from our current business;

coordinating geographically disparate organizations and data centers; and

retaining key customers.

There can be no assurance that the integration of the Surebridge business with NaviSite will result in the realization of the full benefits that we anticipate in a timely manner or at all.

Our common stockholders may suffer significant dilution in the future upon the conversion of outstanding securities and the issuance of additional securities in potential future acquisitions.

The outstanding principal and accrued interest on the two promissory notes issued to Waythere, Inc. (formerly known as Surebridge, Inc.) are convertible into shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$4.642, at the election of the holder at any time following:

the first anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$20.0 million;

the 18-month anniversary of the closing if the aggregate principal outstanding under the notes at such time is greater than or equal to \$10.0 million;

Table of Contents

the second anniversary of the closing; and

an event of default thereunder.

If the promissory notes are converted into shares of common stock, Waythere may obtain a significant equity interest in NaviSite and other stockholders may experience significant and immediate dilution. Should Waythere elect to convert all of the initial principal amount of its two convertible promissory notes into shares of our common stock, Waythere would own approximately 11,287,000 shares of our common stock, which, based on our capitalization as of June 3, 2005, would be approximately 31% of our outstanding shares of common stock.

In addition, our stockholders will experience further dilution to the extent that additional shares of our common stock are issued in potential future acquisitions.

Our financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank includes various covenants and restrictions that may negatively affect our liquidity and our ability to operate and manage our business.

As of April 30, 2005, we owed Silicon Valley Bank approximately \$20.4 million under our amended accounts receivable financing agreement. The accounts receivable financing agreement generally restricts or limits, among other things, our ability to:

create or incur indebtedness;

sell, or permit any lien or security interest in, any of our assets;

enter into or permit any material transaction with any of our affiliates;

merge or consolidate with any other party, or acquire all or substantially all of the capital stock or property of another party, unless, among other things, the other party is in the same, or a similar line of business as us;

relocate our principal executive office or add any new offices or business locations;

change our state of formation;

change our legal name;

make investments;

pay dividends or make any distribution or payment or redeem, retire or purchase our capital stock; and

make or permit any payment on subordinated debt or amend any provision in any document relating to any subordinated debt.

Further, the accounts receivable financing agreement requires that we maintain EBITDA of at least \$1.00 for every fiscal quarter. The agreement defines EBITDA as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and excluding acquisition-related costs and one-time extraordinary charges.

If we breach our accounts receivable financing agreement with Silicon Valley Bank, which may be deemed to have occurred upon an event of default under the promissory notes issued in the Surebridge transaction, a default could result. A default, if not waived, could result in, among other things, us not being able to borrow additional amounts from Silicon Valley Bank and all or a portion of our outstanding amounts may become due and payable on an accelerated basis, which would adversely affect our liquidity and our ability to manage our business. A default under the accounts receivable financing agreement could also result in a cross-default under the promissory notes issued in the Surebridge transaction, thereby accelerating the repayment obligation on the notes and also allowing the holder to elect to convert the principal and accrued interest thereon into shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents

A significant portion of our revenue comes from one customer and, if we lost this customer, it would have a significant adverse impact on our business results and cash flows.

The New York State Department of Labor represented approximately 8% and 13% of our consolidated revenue for the nine months ended April 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The New York State Department of Labor has been a long-term customer of ours, but there can be no assurance that we will be able to retain this customer. Further, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain the same level of service to this customer or that our revenue from this customer will not decline or suffer a material reduction in future periods. The New York State Department of Labor is not obligated under our agreement to buy a minimum amount of services from us or designate us as its sole supplier of any particular service. This contract with The New York State Department of Labor, and its funding allowance, expires in June 2005. We have been in discussions with the New York State Department of Labor regarding an extension of its contract and have received a letter from the New York State Department of Labor stating that it intends to extend the contract for two additional years. We anticipate that the quarterly revenue we receive under the extended contract term will continue at current levels; however there can be no assurance that such extension will occur or that the terms of any such extension will be similar to those of the previous agreement. Further, The New York State Department of Labor has the right to terminate this contract at any time by providing us with 60 days notice. If we were to lose this customer or suffer a material reduction in the revenue generated from this customer, it would have a significant adverse impact on our business results and cash flows.

Atlantic Investors may have interests that conflict with the interests of our other stockholders and, as our majority stockholder, can prevent new and existing investors from influencing significant corporate decisions.

Atlantic Investors owns approximately 60% of our outstanding capital stock as of June 3, 2005. In addition, Atlantic Investors holds a promissory note in the principal amount of \$3.0 million due upon the earlier to occur of August 1, 2005, and five business days after our receipt of net proceeds from a financing or a sale of assets of at least \$13.0 million, after our satisfaction of the mandatory prepayment obligations under the promissory notes issued to Waythere. As of April 30, 2005, we had recorded accrued interest on this note in the amount of \$0.5 million. Atlantic Investors has the power, acting alone, to elect a majority of our Board of Directors and has the ability to control our management and affairs and determine the outcome of any corporate action requiring stockholder approval, regardless of how our other stockholders may vote, including the election of directors, any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets, and any other significant corporate transaction. Under Delaware law, Atlantic Investors is able to exercise its voting power by written consent, without convening a meeting of the stockholders, which means that Atlantic Investors could effect a sale or merger of us without the consent of our other stockholders. Atlantic Investors' ownership of a majority of our outstanding common stock may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control of us or discouraging a potential acquiror from attempting to obtain control of us, which in turn could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Members of our management group also have significant interests in Atlantic Investors, which may create conflicts of interest.

Some of the members of our management group also serve as members of the management group of Atlantic Investors and its affiliates. Specifically, Andrew Ruhan, our Chairman of the Board, holds a 10% equity interest in Unicorn Worldwide Holdings Limited, a managing member of Atlantic Investors. Arthur Becker, our President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of our Board of Directors, is the managing member of Madison Technology LLC, a managing member of Atlantic Investors. As a result, these NaviSite officers and directors may face potential conflicts of interest with each other and with our stockholders. They may be presented with situations in their capacity as our officers or directors that conflict with their fiduciary obligations to Atlantic Investors, which in turn may have interests that conflict with the interests of our other stockholders.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions may result in disruptions to our business or distractions of our management due to difficulties in integrating acquired personnel and operations, and these integrations may not proceed as planned.

Since December 2002, we have acquired CBTM (accounted for as an as if pooling), Avasta, Conxion, selected assets of Interliant, all of the shares of ten wholly-owned subsidiaries of CBT (accounted for as an as if pooling) and substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Surebridge. We intend to continue to expand our business through the acquisition of companies, technologies, products and services. Acquisitions involve a number of special problems and risks, including:

difficulty integrating acquired technologies, products, services, operations and personnel with the existing businesses;

difficulty maintaining relationships with important third parties, including those relating to marketing alliances and providing preferred partner status and favorable pricing;

diversion of management's attention in connection with both negotiating the acquisitions and integrating the businesses;

strain on managerial and operational resources as management tries to oversee larger operations;

inability to retain and motivate management and other key personnel of the acquired businesses;

exposure to unforeseen liabilities of acquired companies;

potential costly and time-consuming litigation, including stockholder lawsuits;

potential issuance of securities in connection with an acquisition with rights that are superior to the rights of holders of our common stock, or which may have a dilutive effect on our common stockholders;

the need to incur additional debt or use cash; and

the requirement to record potentially significant additional future operating costs for the amortization of intangible assets.

As a result of these problems and risks, businesses we acquire may not produce the revenues, earnings or business synergies that we anticipated, and acquired products, services or technologies might not perform as we expected. As a result, we may incur higher costs and realize lower revenues than we had anticipated. We may not be able to successfully address these problems and we cannot assure you that the acquisitions will be successfully identified and completed or that, if acquisitions are completed, the acquired businesses, products, services or technologies will generate sufficient revenue to offset the associated costs or other harmful effects on our business. In addition, our limited operating history with our current structure resulting from recent acquisitions makes it very difficult for you and us to evaluate or predict our ability to, among other things, retain customers, generate and sustain a revenue base sufficient to meet our operating expenses, and achieve and sustain profitability.

A failure to meet customer specifications or expectations could result in lost revenues, increased expenses, negative publicity, claims for damages and harm to our reputation and cause demand for our services to decline.

Our agreements with customers require us to meet specified service levels for the services we provide. In addition, our customers may have additional expectations about our services. Any failure to meet customers' specifications or expectations could result in:

delayed or lost revenue;

requirements to provide additional services to a customer at reduced charges or no charge;

negative publicity about us, which could adversely affect our ability to attract or retain customers; and
36

Table of Contents

claims by customers for substantial damages against us, regardless of our responsibility for such failure, which may not be covered by insurance policies and which may not be limited by contractual terms of our engagement. ***Our ability to successfully market our services could be substantially impaired if we are unable to deploy new infrastructure systems and applications or if new infrastructure systems and applications deployed by us prove to be unreliable, defective or incompatible.***

We may experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction or marketing of hosting and application management services in the future. If any newly introduced infrastructure systems and applications suffer from reliability, quality or compatibility problems, market acceptance of our services could be greatly hindered and our ability to attract new customers could be significantly reduced. We cannot assure you that new applications deployed by us will be free from any reliability, quality or compatibility problems. If we incur increased costs or are unable, for technical or other reasons, to host and manage new infrastructure systems and applications or enhancements of existing applications, our ability to successfully market our services could be substantially limited.

Any interruptions in, or degradation of, our private transit Internet connections could result in the loss of customers or hinder our ability to attract new customers.

Our customers rely on our ability to move their digital content as efficiently as possible to the people accessing their Web sites and infrastructure systems and applications. We utilize our direct private transit Internet connections to major network providers, such as Level 3, Global Crossing and XO Communications, as a means of avoiding congestion and resulting performance degradation at public Internet exchange points. We rely on these telecommunications network suppliers to maintain the operational integrity of their networks so that our private transit Internet connections operate effectively. If our private transit Internet connections are interrupted or degraded, we may face claims by, or lose, customers, and our reputation in the industry may be harmed, which may cause demand for our services to decline.

If we are unable to maintain existing and develop additional relationships with software vendors, the sales and marketing of our service offerings may be unsuccessful.

We believe that to penetrate the market for managed IT services we must maintain existing and develop additional relationships with industry-leading software vendors. We license or lease select software applications from software vendors, including IBM, Microsoft, Micromuse and Oracle. Our relationships with Microsoft and Oracle are critical to the operations and success of our recently acquired business from Surebridge. The loss of our ability to continually obtain, utilize or depend on any of these applications or relationships could substantially weaken our ability to provide services to our customers or require us to obtain substitute software applications that may be of lower quality or performance standards or at greater cost. In addition, because we generally license applications on a non-exclusive basis, our competitors may license and utilize the same software applications. In fact, many of the companies with which we have strategic relationships currently have, or could enter into, similar license agreements with our competitors or prospective competitors. We cannot assure you that software applications will continue to be available to us from software vendors on commercially reasonable terms. If we are unable to identify and license software applications that meet our targeted criteria for new application introductions, we may have to discontinue or delay introduction of services relating to these applications.

Our network infrastructure could fail, which would impair our ability to provide guaranteed levels of service and could result in significant operating losses.

To provide our customers with guaranteed levels of service, we must operate our network infrastructure 24 hours a day, seven days a week without interruption. We must, therefore, protect our network infrastructure, equipment and customer files against damage from human error, natural disasters, unexpected equipment failure, power loss or telecommunications failures, terrorism, sabotage or other intentional acts of vandalism. Even if we take precautions, the occurrence of a natural disaster, equipment failure or other

Table of Contents

unanticipated problem at one or more of our data centers could result in interruptions in the services we provide to our customers. We cannot assure you that our disaster recovery plan will address all, or even most, of the problems we may encounter in the event of a disaster or other unanticipated problem. We have experienced service interruptions in the past, and any future service interruptions could:

require us to spend substantial amounts of money to replace equipment or facilities;

entitle customers to claim service credits or seek damages for losses under our service level guarantees;

cause customers to seek alternate providers; or

impede our ability to attract new customers, retain current customers or enter into additional strategic relationships.

Our dependence on third parties increases the risk that we will not be able to meet our customers' needs for software, systems and services on a timely or cost-effective basis, which could result in the loss of customers.

Our services and infrastructure rely on products and services of third-party providers. We purchase key components of our infrastructure, including networking equipment, from a limited number of suppliers, such as IBM, Cisco Systems and F5 Networks. Our recently acquired business from Surebridge relies on products and services of Microsoft and Oracle. There can be no assurance that we will not experience operational problems attributable to the installation, implementation, integration, performance, features or functionality of third-party software, systems and services. We cannot assure you that we will have the necessary hardware or parts on hand or that our suppliers will be able to provide them in a timely manner in the event of equipment failure. Our ability to obtain and continue to maintain the necessary hardware or parts on a timely basis could result in sustained equipment failure and a loss of revenue due to customer loss or claims for service credits under our service level guarantees.

We could be subject to increased operating costs, as well as claims, litigation or other potential liability, in connection with risks associated with Internet security and the security of our systems.

A significant barrier to the growth of e-commerce and communications over the Internet has been the need for secure transmission of confidential information. Several of our infrastructure systems and application services utilize encryption and authentication technology licensed from third parties to provide the protections necessary to ensure secure transmission of confidential information. We also rely on security systems designed by third parties and the personnel in our network operations centers to secure those data centers. Any unauthorized access, computer viruses, accidental or intentional actions and other disruptions could result in increased operating costs. For example, we may incur additional significant costs to protect against these interruptions and the threat of security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by such interruptions or breaches. If a third party were able to misappropriate a consumer's personal or proprietary information, including credit card information, during the use of an application solution provided by us, we could be subject to claims, litigation or other potential liability.

Third-party infringement claims against our technology suppliers, customers or us could result in disruptions in service, the loss of customers or costly and time-consuming litigation.

We license or lease most technologies used in the infrastructure systems and application services that we offer. Our technology suppliers may become subject to third-party infringement or other claims and assertions, which could result in their inability or unwillingness to continue to license their technologies to us. We cannot assure you that third parties will not assert claims against us in the future or that these claims will not be successful. Any infringement claim as to our technologies or services, regardless of its merit, could result in delays in service, installation or upgrades, the loss of customers or costly and time-consuming litigation.

Table of Contents

We may be subject to legal claims in connection with the information disseminated through our network, which could divert management's attention and require us to expend significant financial resources.

We may face potential direct and indirect liability for claims of defamation, negligence, copyright, patent or trademark infringement and other claims based on the nature and content of the materials disseminated through our network. For example, lawsuits may be brought against us claiming that content distributed by some of our current or future customers may be regulated or banned. In these and other instances, we may be required to engage in protracted and expensive litigation that could have the effect of diverting management's attention from our business and require us to expend significant financial resources. Our general liability insurance may not cover any of these claims or may not be adequate to protect us against all liability that may be imposed. In addition, on a limited number of occasions in the past, businesses, organizations and individuals have sent unsolicited commercial e-mails from servers hosted at our facilities to a number of people, typically to advertise products or services. This practice, known as spamming, can lead to statutory liability as well as complaints against service providers that enable such activities, particularly where recipients view the materials received as offensive. We have in the past received, and may in the future receive, letters from recipients of information transmitted by our customers objecting to such transmission. Although we prohibit our customers by contract from spamming, we cannot assure you that our customers will not engage in this practice, which could subject us to claims for damages.

If we fail to attract or retain key officers, management and technical personnel, our ability to successfully execute our business strategy or to continue to provide services and technical support to our customers could be adversely affected and we may not be successful in attracting new customers.

We believe that attracting, training, retaining and motivating technical and managerial personnel, including individuals with significant levels of infrastructure systems and application expertise, is a critical component of the future success of our business. Qualified technical personnel are likely to remain a limited resource for the foreseeable future and competition for these personnel is intense. The departure of any of our executive officers, particularly Arthur P. Becker, our Chief Executive Officer and President, or core members of our sales and marketing teams or technical service personnel, would have negative ramifications on our customer relations and operations, including adversely affecting the stability of our infrastructure and our ability to provide the guaranteed service levels our customers expect. Any officer or employee can terminate his or her relationship with us at any time. In addition, we do not carry life insurance on any of our personnel. Over the past two years, we have had significant reductions-in-force and departures of several members of senior management due to redundancies and restructurings resulting from the consolidation of our acquired companies. In the event future reductions or departures of employees occur, our ability to successfully execute our business strategy, or to continue to provide services to our customers or attract new customers, could be adversely affected.

The unpredictability of our quarterly results may cause the trading price of our common stock to fluctuate or decline.

Our quarterly operating results may vary significantly from quarter-to-quarter and period-to-period as a result of a number of factors, many of which are outside of our control and any one of which may cause our stock price to fluctuate. The primary factors that may affect our operating results include the following:

- a reduction of market demand and/or acceptance of our services;
- an oversupply of data center space in the industry;
- our ability to develop, market and introduce new services on a timely basis;
- the length of the sales cycle for our services;
- the timing and size of sales of our services, which depends on the budgets of our customers;
- downward price adjustments by our competitors;

changes in the mix of services provided by our competitors;

39

Table of Contents

technical difficulties or system downtime affecting the Internet or our hosting operations;

our ability to meet any increased technological demands of our customers; and

the amount and timing of costs related to our marketing efforts and service introductions.

Due to the above factors, we believe that quarter-to-quarter or period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be a good indicator of our future performance. Our operating results for any particular quarter may fall short of our expectations or those of stockholders or securities analysts. In this event, the trading price of our common stock would likely fall.

If we are unsuccessful in pending and potential litigation matters, our financial condition may be adversely affected.

We are currently involved in various pending and potential legal proceedings, including a class action lawsuit related to our initial public offering and a claim by the trustee in the bankruptcy of Engage, Inc. If we are ultimately unsuccessful in any of these matters, we could be required to pay substantial amounts of cash to the other parties. The amount and timing of any such payments could adversely affect our financial condition.

If the markets for outsourced information technology infrastructure and applications, Internet commerce and communication decline, there may be insufficient demand for our services and, as a result, our business strategy and objectives may fail.

The increased use of the Internet for retrieving, sharing and transferring information among businesses and consumers is developing, and the market for the purchase of products and services over the Internet is still relatively new and emerging. Our industry has experienced periods of rapid growth, followed by a sharp decline in demand for products and services, which related to the failure in the last few years of many companies focused on developing Internet-related businesses. If acceptance and growth of the Internet as a medium for commerce and communication declines, our business strategy and objectives may fail because there may not be sufficient market demand for our managed IT services.

If we do not respond to rapid changes in the technology sector, we will lose customers.

The markets for the technology-related services we offer are characterized by rapidly changing technology, evolving industry standards, frequent new service introductions, shifting distribution channels and changing customer demands. We may not be able to adequately adapt our services or to acquire new services that can compete successfully. In addition, we may not be able to establish and maintain effective distribution channels. We risk losing customers to our competitors if we are unable to adapt to this rapidly evolving marketplace.

The market in which we operate is highly competitive and is likely to consolidate, and we may lack the financial and other resources, expertise or capability needed to capture increased market share or maintain market share.

We compete in the managed IT services market. This market is rapidly evolving, highly competitive and likely to be characterized by over-capacity and industry consolidation. Our competitors may consolidate with one another or acquire software application vendors or technology providers, enabling them to more effectively compete with us. Many participants in this market have suffered significantly in the last several years. We believe that participants in this market must grow rapidly and achieve a significant presence to compete effectively. This consolidation could affect prices and other competitive factors in ways that would impede our ability to compete successfully in the managed IT services market.

Further, our business is not as developed as that of many of our competitors. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, technical and market resources, greater name recognition and more established relationships in the industry. Many of our competitors may be able to:

develop and expand their network infrastructure and service offerings more rapidly;

adapt to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements more quickly;

Table of Contents

take advantage of acquisitions and other opportunities more readily; or

devote greater resources to the marketing and sale of their services and adopt more aggressive pricing policies than we can.

We may lack the financial and other resources, expertise or capability needed to maintain or capture increased market share in this environment in the future. Because of these competitive factors and due to our comparatively small size and our lack of financial resources, we may be unable to successfully compete in the managed IT services market.

The emergence and growth of a market for our managed IT services will be impaired if third parties do not continue to develop and improve Internet infrastructure.

The recent growth in the use of the Internet has caused frequent periods of performance degradation, requiring the upgrade of routers and switches, telecommunications links and other components forming the infrastructure of the Internet. Any perceived degradation in the performance of the Internet as a means to transact business and communicate could undermine the benefits and market acceptance of our services. Consequently, the market for our services will be impaired if improvements are not made to the entire Internet infrastructure to alleviate overloading and congestion.

Difficulties presented by international economic, political, legal, accounting and business factors could harm our business in international markets.

We operate a data center in the United Kingdom and revenue from our foreign operations accounted for approximately 5% of our total revenue during the nine months ended April 30, 2005. We recently expanded our operations to India, which could eventually broaden our customer service support and enable us to benefit from intellectual resources and cost savings associated with off-shore application development, support and infrastructure management. Although we expect to focus most of our growth efforts in the United States, we may enter into joint ventures or outsourcing agreements with third parties, acquire complementary businesses or operations, or establish and maintain new operations outside of the United States. Some risks inherent in conducting business internationally include:

unexpected changes in regulatory, tax and political environments;

longer payment cycles and problems collecting accounts receivable;

geopolitical risks such as political and economic instability and the possibility of hostilities among countries;

reduced protection of intellectual property rights;

fluctuations in currency exchange rates or imposition of restrictive currency controls;

our ability to secure and maintain the necessary physical and telecommunications infrastructure;

challenges in staffing and managing foreign operations;

employment laws and practices in foreign countries;

laws and regulations on content distributed over the Internet that are more restrictive than those currently in place in the United States; and

significant changes in immigration policies or difficulties in obtaining required immigration approvals.

Any one or more of these factors could adversely affect our international operations and consequently, our business.

Table of Contents***We may become subject to burdensome government regulation and legal uncertainties that could substantially harm our business or expose us to unanticipated liabilities.***

It is likely that laws and regulations directly applicable to the Internet or to hosting and managed application service providers may be adopted. These laws may cover a variety of issues, including user privacy and the pricing, characteristics and quality of products and services. The adoption or modification of laws or regulations relating to commerce over the Internet could substantially impair the growth of our business or expose us to unanticipated liabilities. Moreover, the applicability of existing laws to the Internet and hosting and managed application service providers is uncertain. These existing laws could expose us to substantial liability if they are found to be applicable to our business. For example, we provide services over the Internet in many states in the United States and elsewhere and facilitate the activities of our customers in such jurisdictions. As a result, we may be required to qualify to do business, be subject to taxation or be subject to other laws and regulations in these jurisdictions, even if we do not have a physical presence, employees or property in those states.

The price of our common stock has been volatile, and may continue to experience wide fluctuations.

Since January 2004, our common stock has closed as low as \$1.19 per share and as high as \$7.30 per share. The trading price of our common stock has been and may continue to be subject to wide fluctuations due to the risk factors discussed in this section and elsewhere in this report. Fluctuations in the market price of our common stock may cause you to lose some or all of your investment. In addition, should the market price of our common stock be below \$1.00 per share for an extended period, or if we fail to satisfy any other Nasdaq continued listing requirement, Nasdaq may delist our common stock, which would have an adverse effect on the trading of our common stock. On June 10, 2002, the listing of our common stock transferred from the Nasdaq National Market to the Nasdaq SmallCap Market because the market price of our common stock had failed to maintain compliance with the Nasdaq National Market's minimum \$1.00 per share continued listing requirement. A delisting of our common stock from Nasdaq could materially reduce the liquidity of our common stock and result in a corresponding material reduction in the price of our common stock. Also, such delisting would be a default under our convertible promissory notes issued to Waythere. In addition, any such delisting could harm our ability to raise capital through alternative financing sources on terms acceptable to us, or at all, and may result in the potential loss of confidence by suppliers, customers and employees.

Anti-takeover provisions in our corporate documents may discourage or prevent a takeover.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and our by-laws may have the effect of delaying or preventing an acquisition or merger in which we are acquired or a transaction that changes our Board of Directors. These provisions:

authorize the board to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval;

prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors;

limit the persons who may call special meetings of stockholders; and

establish advance notice requirements for nominations for the election of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted on by stockholders at stockholder meetings.

Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

We do not enter into financial instruments for trading purposes. We do not use derivative financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments in our investment portfolio or enter into hedging transactions. Our exposure to market risk associated with risk-sensitive instruments entered into for purposes other than trading purposes is not material to NaviSite. We currently have no significant foreign operations and therefore face no material foreign currency exchange rate risk.

Table of Contents**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Based on management's evaluation (with the participation of NaviSite's principal executive officer and principal financial officer) as of the end of the period covered by this report, NaviSite's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that NaviSite's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the Exchange Act)) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by NaviSite in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There was no change in NaviSite's internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, NaviSite's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings****IPO Securities Litigation**

On or about June 13, 2001, Stuart Werman and Lynn McFarlane filed a lawsuit against us, BancBoston Robertson Stephens, an underwriter of our initial public offering in October 1999, Joel B. Rosen, our then chief executive officer, and Kenneth W. Hale, our then chief financial officer. The suit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The suit generally alleges that the defendants violated federal securities laws by not disclosing certain actions allegedly taken by Robertson Stephens in connection with our initial public offering. The suit alleges specifically that Robertson Stephens, in exchange for the allocation to its customers of shares of our common stock sold in our initial public offering, solicited and received from its customers' agreements to purchase additional shares of our common stock in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. The suit seeks unspecified monetary damages and certification of a plaintiff class consisting of all persons who acquired shares of our common stock between October 22, 1999 and December 6, 2000. Three other substantially similar lawsuits were filed between June 15, 2001 and July 10, 2001 by Moses Mayer (filed June 15, 2001), Barry Feldman (filed June 19, 2001), and Binh Nguyen (filed July 10, 2001). Robert E. Eisenberg, our president at the time of the initial public offering in 1999, also was named as a defendant in the Nguyen lawsuit.

On or about June 21, 2001, David Federico filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York a lawsuit against us, Mr. Rosen, Mr. Hale, Robertson Stephens and other underwriter defendants including J.P. Morgan Chase, First Albany Companies, Inc., Bank of America Securities, LLC, Bear Stearns & Co., Inc., B.T. Alex. Brown, Inc., Chase Securities, Inc., CIBC World Markets, Credit Suisse First Boston Corp., Dain Rauscher, Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., J.P. Morgan & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities, Lehman Brothers, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co., Robert Fleming, Inc. and Salomon Smith Barney, Inc. The suit generally alleges that the defendants violated the anti-trust laws and the federal securities laws by conspiring and agreeing to raise and increase the compensation received by the underwriter defendants by requiring those who received allocation of initial public offering stock to agree to purchase shares of manipulated securities in the after-market of the initial public offering at escalating price levels designed to inflate the price of the manipulated stock, thus artificially creating an appearance of demand and high prices for that stock, and initial public offering stock in general, leading to further stock offerings. The suit also alleges that the defendants arranged for the underwriter defendants to receive undisclosed and excessive brokerage commissions and that, as a consequence, the underwriter defendants successfully increased investor interest in the manipulated initial public offering of securities and increased the underwriter defendants' individual and collective underwritings, compensation, and revenue. The suit further alleges that the defendants violated the federal securities laws by issuing and selling securities pursuant to the initial public offering without disclosing to investors that the underwriter defendants in the offering, including the lead underwriters, had solicited and received excessive

Table of Contents

and undisclosed commissions from certain investors. The suit seeks unspecified monetary damages and certification of a plaintiff class consisting of all persons who acquired shares of our common stock between October 22, 1999 and June 12, 2001.

Those five cases, along with lawsuits naming more than 300 other issuers and over 50 investment banks which have been sued in substantially similar lawsuits, have been assigned to the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin (the Court) for all pretrial purposes (the IPO Securities Litigation). On September 6, 2001, the Court entered an order consolidating the five individual cases involving us and designating *Werman v. NaviSite, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 01-CV-5374 as the lead case. A consolidated, amended complaint was filed thereafter on April 19, 2002 (the

Class Action Litigation) on behalf of plaintiffs Arvid Brandstrom and Tony Tse against underwriter defendants Robertson Stephens (as successor-in-interest to BancBoston), BancBoston, J.P. Morgan (as successor-in-interest to Hambrecht & Quist), Hambrecht & Quist and First Albany and against us and Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg (collectively, the NaviSite Defendants). Plaintiffs uniformly allege that all defendants, including the NaviSite Defendants, violated the federal securities laws (i.e., Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act, Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5) by issuing and selling our common stock pursuant to the October 22, 1999 initial public offering, without disclosing to investors that some of the underwriters of the offering, including the lead underwriters, had solicited and received extensive and undisclosed agreements from certain investors to purchase aftermarket shares at pre-arranged, escalating prices and also to receive additional commissions and/or other compensation from those investors. At this time, plaintiffs have not specified the amount of damages they are seeking in the Class Action Litigation.

Between July and September 2002, the parties to the IPO Securities Litigation briefed motions to dismiss filed by the underwriter defendants and the issuer defendants, including NaviSite. On November 1, 2002, the Court held oral argument on the motions to dismiss. The plaintiffs have since agreed to dismiss the claims against Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg without prejudice, in return for their agreement to toll any statute of limitations applicable to those claims. By stipulation entered by the Court on November 18, 2002, Messrs. Rosen, Hale and Eisenberg were dismissed without prejudice from the Class Action Litigation. On February 19, 2003, an opinion and order was issued on defendants' motion to dismiss the IPO Securities Litigation, essentially denying the motions to dismiss of all 55 underwriter defendants and of 185 of the 301 issuer defendants, including NaviSite.

On June 30, 2003, our Board of Directors considered and authorized us to negotiate a settlement of the pending Class Action Litigation substantially consistent with a memorandum of understanding negotiated among class plaintiffs, the issuer defendants and the insurers for such issuer defendants. Among other contingencies, any such settlement would be subject to approval by the Court. Plaintiffs filed on June 14, 2004, a motion for preliminary approval of the Stipulation And Agreement Of Settlement With Defendant Issuers And Individuals (the Preliminary Approval Motion). On February 15, 2005, the Court granted the Preliminary Approval Motion in a written opinion which detailed the terms of the settlement stipulation, its accompanying documents and schedules, the proposed class notice and, with a modification to the bar order to be entered, the proposed settlement order and judgment (the

Preliminary Approval Order). A further conference was held on April 13, 2005, at which time the Court considered additional submissions but did not make final determinations regarding the exact form, substance and program for notifying the settlement class. A Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 fairness hearing concerning the settlement is currently anticipated in early January 2006. If completed and then approved by the Court, the settlement is expected to be covered by our existing insurance policies and is not expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We believe that the allegations against us are without merit and, if the settlement is not finalized, we intend to vigorously defend against the plaintiffs' claims. Due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, we are not able to predict the possible outcome of the suits and their ultimate effect, if any, on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Joseph Cloonan

On or about September 27, 2002, we received a demand for a wage payment of \$850,000 from our former Procurement Director, Joseph Cloonan. We rejected the demand, alleging that Mr. Cloonan's claim is based, among other things, on a potentially fraudulent contract. Mr. Cloonan also claimed \$40,300 for allegedly unpaid accrued vacation and bonuses and that he may be statutorily entitled to triple damages and legal fees. On October 11, 2002, NaviSite filed a civil complaint with the Massachusetts Superior Court, Essex County, seeking a declaratory judgment and asserting claims against Mr. Cloonan for civil fraud, misrepresentation, unjust enrichment and breach of duty of loyalty. Mr. Cloonan asserted counter claims against NaviSite seeking the payments set forth in his September 2002 demand, additional compensation claims for approximately \$50,000, and triple damages and attorneys' fees under the Massachusetts Wage Act. In February 2005, NaviSite and Mr. Cloonan filed motions for summary judgment with the Court.

On May 11, 2005, the Court granted NaviSite's motion for summary judgment on six of eight of Mr. Cloonan's counterclaims. The Court granted NaviSite's motion for summary judgment with respect to three of Mr. Cloonan's counterclaims regarding his contentions that NaviSite owed to him \$850,000, as well as three of Mr. Cloonan's counterclaims pursuant to which he was seeking additional severance and vacation pay and advancing claims under the Massachusetts Wage Act. In addition, the Court entered judgment on NaviSite's motion for declaratory judgment in favor of NaviSite, holding that the purported severance agreement between the parties is unenforceable and that Mr. Cloonan must return \$21,200 in severance pay he previously received from NaviSite in connection with the purported agreement, less any amounts he may be entitled to on disposition of the two outstanding counterclaims described below.

With respect to two of Mr. Cloonan's counterclaims against NaviSite, pursuant to which he is seeking an aggregate of \$35,000 in bonuses, the Court did not grant NaviSite's motion for summary judgment as the Court found that genuine issues of material fact exist. The Court made no ruling on NaviSite's claims against Mr. Cloonan for fraud and misrepresentation, unjust enrichment and breach of the duty of loyalty.

We believe Mr. Cloonan's remaining allegations are without merit and intend to vigorously defend them.

Lighthouse International

On October 28, 2002, CBTM, one of our subsidiaries, filed a complaint in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against Lighthouse International, alleging six causes of action for copyright infringement, breach of contract, account stated, unjust enrichment, unfair competition, and misappropriation and/or conversion. The total claimed damages are in the amount of approximately \$1.9 million. On or about January 16, 2003, Lighthouse filed and served its answer and counterclaimed against CBTM claiming approximately \$3.1 million in damages and \$5.0 million in punitive relief.

On June 17, 2003, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York heard oral argument on Lighthouse's Motion for an Order Compelling the Debtor (AppliedTheory) to Assume or Reject an Agreement, filed in response to CBTM's complaint, and the objections to Lighthouse's motion filed by CBTM and AppliedTheory. Lighthouse made this motion on the basis that it never received notice of CBTM assuming the AppliedTheory contract for the LighthouseLink Web site. The Bankruptcy Court declined to grant Lighthouse's motion, and instead ordered that an evidentiary hearing be conducted to determine whether Lighthouse received appropriate notice of the proposed assignment of the contract by AppliedTheory to CBTM. The Bankruptcy Court ordered that the parties first conduct discovery, and upon completion of discovery, the Bankruptcy Court would schedule an evidentiary hearing on the issues of due process and notice.

As to the U.S. District Court matter, the exchange of written discovery and the majority of depositions of witnesses have been completed. On June 15, 2004, District Court Judge Pauley determined that both parties could proceed with their respective summary judgment motions. All motion papers were to be submitted by September 20, 2004, with oral argument scheduled for October 15, 2004.

On August 4, 2004, however, upon the application of CBTM, Bankruptcy Court Judge Gerber preliminarily enjoined Lighthouse from asserting claims or counterclaims against CBTM relating to the Lighthouse contract or any assets acquired by CBTM from AppliedTheory pursuant to the sale order, except for the purpose and to the extent necessary to setoff claims brought by CBTM against Lighthouse relating to the Lighthouse contract. As a result,

Lighthouse was limited to seeking only those pre- and post- bankruptcy

Table of Contents

counterclaims that may constitute as set-offs against the claims asserted by CBTM. Subsequent to issuing the injunction order, Bankruptcy Judge Gerber held several conferences urging the parties to submit their dispute to court-ordered mediation. In conjunction with the Bankruptcy Court's request, District Court Judge Pauley ordered a stay of all remaining expert discovery and motion procedures pending the participation and completion of mediation as requested by Bankruptcy Court Judge Gerber. The matter was then transferred to mediation by order of the Courts.

In September 2004, the parties selected Harvey A. Stricken, Esq. as mediator to the dispute. On October 6, 2004, the mediation was held with no particular outcome. At the suggestion of the mediator, the parties participated in a second mediation session on January 12, 2005, during which the parties successfully reached an understanding, subject to final documentation, of the terms of a proposed settlement and compromise of all disputes between them. On April 26, 2005, CBTM and Lighthouse entered into a Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Settlement Agreement"). Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, NaviSite and Lighthouse agreed to enter into a Services Agreement (the "Services Agreement"), within thirty (30) days of the date of the Settlement Agreement, pursuant to which NaviSite agreed to provide to Lighthouse and Lighthouse agreed to purchase from NaviSite \$150,000 of web-hosting or, at the election of Lighthouse, other services (other than the purchase or lease of equipment or the licensing of third party software) within a two-year period, at commercially reasonable rates. In consideration for NaviSite providing and Lighthouse purchasing the services pursuant to the Services Agreement, CBTM, NaviSite and Lighthouse released each other from all claims that any party had or may have against the other for any matter arising prior to the date of the Settlement Agreement.

Engage Bankruptcy Trustee Claim

On September 9, 2004, Don Hoy, Craig R. Jalbert and David St. Pierre, as trustees of and on behalf of the Engage, Inc. creditor trust, filed suit against us in the United States Bankruptcy Court in the District of Massachusetts. The suit generally relates to a termination agreement, dated March 7, 2002, we entered into with Engage, Inc. (a company then affiliated with CMGI, Inc.), which terminated a services agreement between us and Engage and required Engage to pay us \$3.6 million. Engage made three payments to us under the termination agreement in the aggregate amount of \$3.4 million. On June 19, 2003, Engage and five of its wholly owned subsidiaries filed petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The suit generally alleges that Engage was insolvent at the time that we entered into the termination agreement with Engage and at the time Engage made the payments to us. Specifically, the suit alleges that (i) the plaintiffs are entitled to avoid and recover \$1.0 million paid by Engage to us in the year prior to June 19, 2003 as a preferential transfer, (ii) the plaintiffs are entitled to avoid and recover \$3.4 million (which amount includes the \$1.0 million payment made prior to June 13, 2003) paid by Engage to us as a fraudulent transfer, and (iii) our acts and omissions relating to the termination agreement and the payments made by Engage to us constitute unfair and deceptive acts or practices in willful and knowing violation of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A. In addition to the foregoing amounts, the plaintiffs are also seeking treble damages, attorneys' fees and costs under Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A.

On November 19, 2004, we filed a motion to dismiss as a matter of law certain of the claims asserted in the complaint. A hearing before the Court was held on this motion on February 7, 2005, at which time the Court denied the motion on the grounds that there were material issues of facts in dispute which precluded the Court at this time from granting a motion to dismiss such claims as a matter of law. On February 28, 2005, we filed an answer to the complaint, generally denying any liability thereunder and asserted numerous defenses. We further intend to file a complaint against certain third-parties, seeking to hold such parties liable for any and all obligations that we may incur as a result of the claims asserted in the complaint. As this matter is in the initial stage, we are not able to predict the possible outcome of this matter and the effect, if any, on our financial condition except that we believe we have certain meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the complaint which we intend to assert vigorously.

Item 6. Exhibits

The Exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index immediately preceding such Exhibits are filed with or incorporated by reference in this report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NaviSite, Inc.

June 9, 2005

By: /s/ John J. Gavin, Jr.

John J. Gavin, Jr.
*Chief Financial Officer (Principal
Financial and Accounting Officer)*

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.