

BIG LOTS INC
Form 10-Q
September 06, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☐ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 29, 2017
or

○ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-8897

BIG LOTS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio

06-1119097

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

300 Phillipi Road, P.O. Box 28512, Columbus, Ohio

43228-5311

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(614) 278-6800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes☐ No☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes☐ No☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange

Edgar Filing: BIG LOTS INC - Form 10-Q

Act

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of the registrant's common shares, \$0.01 par value, outstanding as of September 1, 2017, was 42,452,285.

Table of Contents

BIG LOTS, INC.
 FORM 10-Q
 FOR THE FISCAL QUARTER ENDED JULY 29, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Part I. Financial Information</u>	<u>2</u>
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>2</u>
a) <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Thirteen and Twenty-Six Weeks Ended July 29, 2017 (Unaudited) and July 30, 2016 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>2</u>
b) <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Thirteen and Twenty-Six Weeks Ended July 29, 2017 (Unaudited) and July 30, 2016 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
c) <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at July 29, 2017 (Unaudited) and January 28, 2017 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>4</u>
d) <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Twenty-Six Weeks Ended July 29, 2017 (Unaudited) and July 30, 2016 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>5</u>
e) <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Twenty-Six Weeks Ended July 29, 2017 (Unaudited) and July 30, 2016 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>6</u>
f) <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>7</u>
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>18</u>
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>27</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Part II. Other Information</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>29</u>

Signature

29

1

Table of Contents

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

BIG LOTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Thirteen Weeks Ended		Twenty-Six Weeks Ended	
	July 29, 2017	July 30, 2016	July 29, 2017	July 30, 2016
Net sales	\$1,221,301	\$1,203,155	\$2,518,088	\$2,515,730
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation expense shown separately below)	728,801	716,732	1,501,313	1,511,626
Gross margin	492,500	486,423	1,016,775	1,004,104
Selling and administrative expenses	415,154	416,746	831,126	842,149
Depreciation expense	29,386	30,757	57,981	60,476
Operating profit	47,960	38,920	127,668	101,479
Interest expense	(1,619)	(1,494)	(2,628)	(2,128)
Other income (expense)	435	(406)	(82)	358
Income before income taxes	46,776	37,020	124,958	99,709
Income tax expense	17,656	14,305	44,326	38,335
Net income	\$29,120	\$22,715	\$80,632	\$61,374
Earnings per common share				
Basic	\$0.68	\$0.51	\$1.84	\$1.32
Diluted	\$0.67	\$0.50	\$1.83	\$1.31
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	43,136	44,402	43,749	46,434
Dilutive effect of share-based awards	428	612	373	494
Diluted	43,564	45,014	44,122	46,928
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$0.25	\$0.21	\$0.50	\$0.42

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIG LOTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	Thirteen Weeks Ended		Twenty-Six Weeks Ended	
	July 29, 2017	July 30, 2016	July 29, 2017	July 30, 2016
Net income	\$29,120	\$22,715	\$80,632	\$61,374
Other comprehensive income:				
Amortization of pension, net of tax expense of \$0, \$(223), \$0, and \$(468), respectively	—	339	—	714
Valuation adjustment of pension, net of tax benefit (expense) of \$0, \$7, \$0 and \$(544), respectively	—	(10)	—	831
Total other comprehensive income	—	329	—	1,545
Comprehensive income	\$29,120	\$23,044	\$80,632	\$62,919

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIG LOTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)
 (In thousands, except par value)

	July 29, 2017	January 28, 2017
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$56,009	\$51,164
Inventories	810,485	858,689
Other current assets	107,899	84,526
Total current assets	974,393	994,379
Property and equipment - net	523,719	525,851
Deferred income taxes	47,084	46,469
Other assets	46,268	41,008
Total assets	\$1,591,464	\$1,607,707
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$363,276	\$400,495
Property, payroll, and other taxes	84,625	81,306
Accrued operating expenses	70,092	71,251
Insurance reserves	47,710	40,269
Accrued salaries and wages	32,419	54,009
Income taxes payable	2,072	31,265
Total current liabilities	600,194	678,595
Long-term obligations	226,600	106,400
Deferred rent	57,640	56,035
Insurance reserves	57,687	56,593
Unrecognized tax benefits	17,480	15,853
Other liabilities	46,925	43,601
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred shares - authorized 2,000 shares; \$0.01 par value; none issued	—	—
Common shares - authorized 298,000 shares; \$0.01 par value; issued 117,495 shares; outstanding 42,205 shares and 44,259 shares, respectively	1,175	1,175
Treasury shares - 75,290 shares and 73,236 shares, respectively, at cost	(2,405,925)	(2,291,379)
Additional paid-in capital	608,720	617,516
Retained earnings	2,380,968	2,323,318
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	—	—
Total shareholders' equity	584,938	650,630
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,591,464	\$1,607,707

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIG LOTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	Common		Treasury		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balance - January 30, 2016	49,101	\$ 1,175	68,394	\$(2,063,091)	\$588,124	\$2,210,239	\$ (15,977)	\$720,470
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	61,374	1,545	62,919
Dividends declared (\$0.42 per share)	—	—	—	—	—	(20,290)	—	(20,290)
Purchases of common shares	(5,681)	—	5,681	(254,121)	—	—	—	(254,121)
Exercise of stock options	455	—	(455)	14,137	3,136	—	—	17,273
Restricted shares vested	244	—	(244)	7,380	(7,380)	—	—	—
Performance shares vested	13	—	(13)	394	(394)	—	—	—
Tax benefit from share-based awards	—	—	—	—	340	—	—	340
Share activity related to deferred compensation plan	—	—	—	3	9	—	—	12
Other	4	—	(4)	136	68	—	—	204
Share-based employee compensation expense	—	—	—	—	16,691	—	—	16,691
Balance - July 30, 2016	44,136	1,175	73,359	(2,295,162)	600,594	2,251,323	(14,432)	543,498
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	91,454	14,432	105,886
Dividends declared (\$0.42 per share)	—	—	—	—	—	(19,459)	—	(19,459)
Purchases of common shares	(4)	—	4	(183)	—	—	—	(183)
Exercise of stock options	118	—	(118)	3,697	686	—	—	4,383
Restricted shares vested	8	—	(8)	269	(269)	—	—	—
Performance shares vested	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tax benefit from share-based awards	—	—	—	—	170	—	—	170
Share activity related to deferred compensation plan	—	—	—	—	(3)	—	—	(3)
Other	1	—	(1)	—	—	—	—	—
Share-based employee compensation expense	—	—	—	—	16,338	—	—	16,338
Balance - January 28, 2017	44,259	1,175	73,236	(2,291,379)	617,516	2,323,318	—	650,630
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	80,632	—	80,632
Dividends declared (\$0.50 per share)	—	—	—	—	—	(22,836)	—	(22,836)
Adjustment for ASU 2016-09	—	—	—	—	241	(146)	—	95
Purchases of common shares	(2,976)	—	2,976	(143,475)	—	—	—	(143,475)
Exercise of stock options	147	—	(147)	4,619	796	—	—	5,415
Restricted shares vested	344	—	(344)	10,790	(10,790)	—	—	—
Performance shares vested	431	—	(431)	13,523	(13,523)	—	—	—
Share activity related to deferred compensation plan	—	—	—	(3)	—	—	—	(3)

Edgar Filing: BIG LOTS INC - Form 10-Q

Other	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Share-based employee compensation expense	—	—	—	—	14,480	—	—	14,480
Balance - July 29, 2017	42,205	\$ 1,175	75,290	\$(2,405,925)	\$608,720	\$2,380,968	\$ —	\$584,938

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

5

Table of Contents

BIG LOTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	Twenty-Six Weeks Ended	
	July 29, 2017	July 30, 2016
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$80,632	\$61,374
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization expense	52,722	54,587
Deferred income taxes	(520)	(12,653)
(Gain) loss on disposition of property and equipment	(67)	708
Non-cash share-based compensation expense	14,480	16,691
Excess tax benefit from share-based awards	—	(911)
Unrealized gain on fuel derivative instruments	(350)	(1,664)
Pension expense, net of contributions	—	1,911
Change in assets and liabilities, excluding effects of foreign currency adjustments:		
Inventories	48,205	41,352
Accounts payable	(37,219)	3,356
Current income taxes	(39,185)	(42,185)
Other current assets	(13,631)	(663)
Other current liabilities	(13,158)	(15,538)
Other assets	(5,351)	(5,266)
Other liabilities	13,404	10,401
Net cash provided by operating activities	99,962	111,500
Investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(53,245)	(45,247)
Cash proceeds from sale of property and equipment	1,561	219
Other	(7)	(2)
Net cash used in investing activities	(51,691)	(45,030)
Financing activities:		
Net proceeds from borrowings under bank credit facility	120,200	195,600
Payment of capital lease obligations	(2,008)	(2,245)
Dividends paid	(23,555)	(19,879)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	5,415	17,273
Excess tax benefit from share-based awards	—	911
Payment for treasury shares acquired	(143,475)	(254,121)
Other	(3)	216
Net cash used in financing activities	(43,426)	(62,245)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	4,845	4,225
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Beginning of period	51,164	54,144
End of period	\$56,009	\$58,369

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIG LOTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

All references in this report to “we,” “us,” or “our” are to Big Lots, Inc. and its subsidiaries. We are a unique, non-traditional, discount retailer in the United States (“U.S.”). At July 29, 2017, we operated 1,429 stores in 47 states. We make available, free of charge, through the “Investor Relations” section of our website (www.biglots.com) under the “SEC Filings” caption, our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”), as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). The contents of our websites are not part of this report.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements and these notes have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC for interim financial information. The consolidated financial statements reflect all normal recurring adjustments which management believes are necessary to present fairly our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows for all periods presented. The consolidated financial statements, however, do not include all information necessary for a complete presentation of financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). Interim results may not necessarily be indicative of results that may be expected for, or actually result during, any other interim period or for the year as a whole. We have historically experienced, and expect to continue to experience, seasonal fluctuations, with a larger percentage of our net sales and operating profit realized in our fourth fiscal quarter. The accompanying consolidated financial statements and these notes should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2017 (“2016 Form 10-K”).

Fiscal Periods

Our fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest to January 31, which results in fiscal years consisting of 52 or 53 weeks. Unless otherwise stated, references to years in this report relate to fiscal years rather than calendar years. Fiscal year 2017 (“2017”) is comprised of the 53 weeks that began on January 29, 2017 and will end on February 3, 2018. Fiscal year 2016 (“2016”) was comprised of the 52 weeks that began on January 31, 2016 and ended on January 28, 2017. The fiscal quarters ended July 29, 2017 (“second quarter of 2017”) and July 30, 2016 (“second quarter of 2016”) were both comprised of 13 weeks. The year-to-date periods ended July 29, 2017 (“year-to-date 2017”) and July 30, 2016 (“year-to-date 2016”) were both comprised of 26 weeks.

Selling and Administrative Expenses

Selling and administrative expenses include store expenses (such as payroll and occupancy costs) and costs related to warehousing, distribution, outbound transportation to our stores, advertising, purchasing, insurance, non-income taxes, accepting credit/debit cards, and overhead. Our selling and administrative expense rates may not be comparable to those of other retailers that include warehousing, distribution, and outbound transportation costs in cost of sales. Warehousing, distribution, and outbound transportation costs included in selling and administrative expenses were \$36.9 million and \$35.4 million for the second quarter of 2017 and the second quarter of 2016, respectively, and \$76.2 million and \$73.1 million for the year-to-date 2017 and the year-to-date 2016, respectively.

Advertising Expense

Advertising costs, which are expensed as incurred, consist primarily of television and print advertising, digital or internet marketing and advertising, and in-store point-of-purchase presentations. Advertising expenses are included in selling and administrative expenses. Advertising expenses were \$15.9 million and \$16.6 million for the second

quarter of 2017 and the second quarter of 2016, respectively, and \$35.8 million and \$36.7 million for the year-to-date 2017 and the year-to-date 2016, respectively.

Derivative Instruments

We use derivative instruments to mitigate the risk of market fluctuations in the price of diesel fuel that we expect to consume to support our outbound transportation of inventory to our stores. We do not enter into derivative instruments for speculative purposes. Our derivative instruments may consist of collar or swap contracts. Our current derivative instruments do not meet the requirements for cash flow hedge accounting. Instead, our derivative instruments are marked-to-market to determine their fair value and any gains or losses are recognized currently in other income (expense) on our consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures

The following table provides supplemental cash flow information for the year-to-date 2017 and the year-to-date 2016:

(In thousands)	Twenty-Six Weeks Ended	
	July 29, 2017	July 30, 2016
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest, including capital leases	\$2,094	\$1,782
Cash paid for income taxes, excluding impact of refunds	\$84,579	\$101,047
Gross proceeds from borrowings under bank credit facility	\$826,000	\$884,900
Gross repayments of borrowings under bank credit facility	\$705,800	\$689,300
Non-cash activity:		
Assets acquired under capital leases	\$72	\$132
Accrued property and equipment	\$13,322	\$11,179

Reclassifications

Merchandise Categories

We periodically assess, and make minor adjustments to, our product hierarchy, which can impact the roll-up of our merchandise categories. Our financial reporting process utilizes the most current product hierarchy in reporting net sales by merchandise category for all periods presented. Therefore, there may be minor reclassifications of net sales by merchandise category compared to previously reported amounts.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). This update provides a comprehensive new revenue recognition model that requires a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an amount that reflects the consideration it expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. Additionally, this guidance expands related disclosure requirements. The pronouncement was originally set to be effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. In July 2015, the FASB approved a one-year deferral of the effective date from December 15, 2016 to December 15, 2017, but allowed for early adoption as of December 15, 2016. This ASU permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. We are currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements as well as the expected adoption method. We do not currently anticipate a significant change in the timing of the recognition of our revenue or costs; although upon adoption of this standard, our principal versus agent presentation of an immaterial portion of our vendor relationships may be impacted. We currently anticipate adopting the new standard effective February 4, 2018, using the full retrospective method; however, this decision is not final and is subject to the completion of our analysis of the standard. We will continue to evaluate ASU 2014-09 through the date of adoption.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases. The update requires a lessee to recognize a liability to make lease payments and a right-of-use asset representing a right to use the underlying asset for the lease term on the balance sheet. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. We are currently evaluating both the impact that this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements and which method of adoption to employ. We do not currently anticipate early adopting this standard.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. This update makes several modifications to the accounting for

employee share-based payment transactions, including the requirement to recognize the income tax deduction excess or deficiency attributable to awards that vest or settle as income tax expense in the reporting period they vest or settle. Additionally, this update clarifies the presentation of certain components of share-based awards in the statement of cash flows. The ASU is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods.

We selected a modified retrospective method to adopt the recognition of the cumulative income tax effects and a prospective method for cash flow presentations. In the first quarter of 2017, we recorded a \$3.1 million benefit to income tax expense. For 2016, \$0.5 million of excess tax benefits were recorded to additional paid-in capital that would have been recorded as a reduction to the provision for income taxes if this new guidance had been adopted on a full retrospective basis. Additionally, we recorded an insignificant adjustment to retained earnings to change our accounting method from an estimated forfeiture rate approach to actual forfeiture approach, which accounts for forfeitures as they occur.

Table of Contents

NOTE 2 – BANK CREDIT FACILITY

On July 22, 2011, we entered into a \$700 million five-year unsecured credit facility, which was first amended on May 30, 2013. On May 28, 2015, we entered into a second amendment of the credit facility that among other things extended its expiration date to May 30, 2020 (as amended, the “2011 Credit Agreement”).

Borrowings under the 2011 Credit Agreement are available for general corporate purposes and working capital. The 2011 Credit Agreement includes a \$30 million swing loan sublimit and a \$150 million letter of credit sublimit. The interest rates, pricing and fees under the 2011 Credit Agreement fluctuate based on our debt rating. The 2011 Credit Agreement allows us to select our interest rate for each borrowing from multiple interest rate options. The interest rate options are generally derived from the prime rate or LIBOR. We may prepay revolving loans made under the 2011 Credit Agreement. The 2011 Credit Agreement contains financial and other covenants, including, but not limited to, limitations on indebtedness, liens and investments, as well as the maintenance of two financial ratios – a leverage ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio. A violation of any of the covenants could result in a default under the 2011 Credit Agreement that would permit the lenders to restrict our ability to further access the 2011 Credit Agreement for loans and letters of credit and require the immediate repayment of any outstanding loans under the 2011 Credit Agreement. At July 29, 2017, we had \$226.6 million of borrowings outstanding under the 2011 Credit Agreement and \$16.0 million was committed to outstanding letters of credit, leaving \$457.4 million available under the 2011 Credit Agreement.

NOTE 3 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

In connection with our nonqualified deferred compensation plan, we had mutual fund investments of \$29.5 million and \$24.1 million at July 29, 2017 and January 28, 2017, respectively, which were recorded in other assets. These investments were classified as trading securities and were recorded at their fair value. The fair values of mutual fund investments were Level 1 valuations under the fair value hierarchy because each fund’s quoted market value per share was available in an active market.

The fair values of our long-term obligations are estimated based on the quoted market prices for the same or similar issues and the current interest rates offered for similar instruments. These fair value measurements are classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. Given the variable rate features and relatively short maturity of the instruments underlying our long-term obligations, the carrying value of these instruments approximates the fair value.

The carrying value of accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued expenses approximates fair value because of the relatively short maturity of these items.

NOTE 4 – SHAREHOLDERS’ EQUITY

Earnings per Share

There were no adjustments required to be made to the weighted-average common shares outstanding for purposes of computing basic and diluted earnings per share and there were no securities outstanding at July 29, 2017 or July 30, 2016 which were excluded from the computation of earnings per share other than antidilutive stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, and performance share units. For all periods presented, the stock options outstanding that were antidilutive and excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share were immaterial. Antidilutive stock options generally consist of outstanding stock options with an exercise price per share that is greater than the weighted-average market price per share for our common shares for each period. Antidilutive stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, and performance share units are excluded from the calculation because they decrease the number of diluted shares outstanding under the treasury stock method. The restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, and performance share units that were antidilutive, as determined under the treasury stock

method, were immaterial for all periods presented.

Share Repurchase Programs

On February 28, 2017, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program providing for the repurchase of up to \$150 million of our common shares (“2017 Repurchase Program”). Pursuant to the 2017 Repurchase Program, we may repurchase common shares in the open market and/or in privately negotiated transactions at our discretion, subject to market conditions and other factors. Common shares acquired through the 2017 Repurchase Program will be available to meet obligations under our equity compensation plans and for general corporate purposes. The 2017 Repurchase Program has no scheduled termination date and will be funded with cash and cash equivalents, cash generated from operations or, if needed, by drawing on the 2011 Credit Agreement.

Table of Contents

During the second quarter of 2017, we acquired approximately 2.0 million of our outstanding common shares for \$94.6 million under the 2017 Repurchase Program. During the year-to-date 2017, we acquired approximately 2.7 million of our outstanding common shares for \$128.3 million under the 2017 Repurchase Program.

Dividends

The Company declared and paid cash dividends per common share during the periods presented as follows:

	Dividends Per Share	Amount Declared (In thousands)	Amount Paid (In thousands)
2017:			
First quarter	\$ 0.25	\$ 11,547	\$ 12,683
Second quarter	0.25	11,289	10,872
Total	\$ 0.50	\$ 22,836	\$ 23,555

The amount of dividends declared may vary from the amount of dividends paid in a period based on certain instruments with restrictions on payment, including restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, and performance share units. The payment of future dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, compliance with applicable laws and agreements and any other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Directors.

NOTE 5 – SHARE-BASED PLANS

We have issued nonqualified stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, and performance share units under our shareholder-approved equity compensation plans. Our restricted stock awards and restricted stock units, as described below and/or in note 7 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2016 Form 10-K, are expensed and reported as nonvested shares. We recognized share-based compensation expense of \$6.6 million and \$8.2 million in the second quarter of 2017 and the second quarter of 2016, respectively, and \$14.5 million and \$16.7 million for the year-to-date 2017 and the year-to-date 2016, respectively.

Non-vested Restricted Stock

The following table summarizes the non-vested restricted stock awards and restricted stock units activity for the year-to-date 2017:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share
Outstanding non-vested restricted stock at January 28, 2017	771,521	\$ 42.12
Granted	180,196	51.49
Vested	(311,180)	43.27
Forfeited	(9,893)	42.71
Outstanding non-vested restricted stock at April 29, 2017	630,644	\$ 44.22
Granted	24,710	48.75
Vested	(33,104)	46.11
Forfeited	(1,021)	48.99
Outstanding non-vested restricted stock at July 29, 2017	621,229	\$ 44.29

The non-vested restricted stock units granted in the first and second quarters of 2017 generally vest, and are expensed, on a ratable basis over three years from the grant date of the award, if certain threshold financial performance

objectives are probable of being achieved and the grantee remains employed by us through the vesting dates.

The non-vested restricted stock awards granted in 2013 have met the threshold financial performance objective and will vest in 2018 on the five-year anniversary of the grant date of the award.

Table of Contents

Non-vested Stock Awards to Non-Employee Directors

In the second quarter of 2017, 16,816 common shares underlying the restricted stock awards granted in 2016 to the non-employee members of our Board of Directors vested on the trading day immediately preceding our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. These awards were part of the annual compensation granted in 2016 to the non-employee members of the Board of Directors.

Additionally, in the second quarter of 2017, the chairman of our Board of Directors received an annual restricted stock unit grant having a grant date fair value of approximately \$200,000. The remaining non-employees elected to our Board of Directors at our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders each received an annual restricted stock unit grant having a grant date fair value of approximately \$135,000. The 2017 restricted stock units will vest on the earlier of (1) the trading day immediately preceding our 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, or (2) the non-employee director's death or disability. However, the restricted stock units will not vest if the non-employee director ceases to serve on our Board of Directors before either vesting event occurs.

Performance Share Units

In the year-to-date 2017, we issued performance share units ("PSUs") to certain members of management, which vest if certain financial performance objectives are achieved over a three-year performance period and the grantee remains employed by us during that period. The financial performance objectives for each fiscal year within the three-year performance period are approved by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors during the first quarter of the respective fiscal year.

As a result of the process used to establish the financial performance objectives, we will only meet the requirements of establishing a grant date for the PSUs when we communicate the financial performance objectives for the third fiscal year of the award to the award recipients, which will then trigger the service inception date, the fair value of the awards, and the associated expense recognition period. If we meet the applicable threshold financial performance objectives over the three-year performance period and the grantee remains employed by us through the end of the performance period, the PSUs will vest on the first trading day after we file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the last fiscal year in the performance period.

We have begun or expect to begin recognizing expense related to PSUs that are issued and outstanding as follows:

Issue Year	PSUs at July 29, 2017	Actual Grant Date	Expected Valuation (Grant) Date	Actual or Expected Expense Period
2015	250,471	March 2017		Fiscal 2017
2016	341,300		March 2018	Fiscal 2018
2017	271,517		March 2019	Fiscal 2019
Total	863,288			

The number of shares to be distributed upon vesting of the PSUs depends on our average performance attained during the three-year performance period as compared to the targets defined by the Compensation Committee, and may result in the distribution of an amount of shares that is greater or less than the number of PSUs granted, as defined in the award agreement. At July 29, 2017, we estimate the attainment of an average performance that is greater than the targets established for the PSUs issued in 2015. In the year-to-date 2017, we recognized \$8.1 million in share-based compensation expense related to PSUs.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity related to PSUs for the year-to-date 2017:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share
Outstanding PSUs at January 28, 2017	360,357	\$ 41.04
Granted	259,042	51.49
Vested	(360,357)	41.04
Forfeited	(7,730)	51.49
Outstanding PSUs at April 29, 2017	251,312	\$ 51.49
Granted	—	—
Vested	—	—
Forfeited	(841)	51.49
Outstanding PSUs at July 29, 2017	250,471	\$ 51.49

Stock Options

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the year-to-date 2017:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (000's)
Outstanding stock options at January 28, 2017	589,675	\$ 38.75		
Exercised	(104,987)	36.88		
Forfeited	(5,000)	36.93		
Outstanding stock options at April 29, 2017	479,688	\$ 39.18	2.2	\$ 5,424
Exercised	(41,687)	37.00		
Forfeited	—	—		
Outstanding stock options at July 29, 2017	438,001	\$ 39.39	1.9	\$ 4,835
Vested or expected to vest at July 29, 2017	438,001	\$ 39.39	1.9	\$ 4,835
Exercisable at July 29, 2017	424,562	\$ 39.47	1.9	\$ 4,652

The stock options granted in prior years vest in equal amounts on the first four anniversaries of the grant date and have a contractual term of seven years. With the adoption of ASU 2016-09, we have eliminated our annual forfeiture rate assumption.

The following activity occurred under our share-based plans during the respective periods shown:

(In thousands)	Second Quarter		Year-to-Date	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised	\$ 539	\$ 4,780	\$ 2,077	\$ 5,580
Total fair value of restricted stock vested	1,629	1,289	17,829	11,072
Total fair value of performance shares vested	—	621	21,026	621

The total unearned compensation cost related to all share-based awards outstanding, excluding PSUs issued in 2016 and 2017, at July 29, 2017 was approximately \$24.8 million. This compensation cost is expected to be recognized through May 2020 based on existing vesting terms with the weighted-average remaining expense recognition period being approximately 1.1 years from July 29, 2017.

Table of Contents

NOTE 6 – EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

We maintained a qualified defined benefit pension plan (“Pension Plan”) and a nonqualified supplemental defined benefit pension plan (“Supplemental Pension Plan”) covering certain employees whose hire date was on or before April 1, 1994. Benefits under each plan were based on credited years of service and the employee’s compensation during the last five years of employment.

On October 31, 2015, our Board of Directors approved amendments to freeze benefits and terminate the Pension Plan. The Pension Plan discontinued accruing benefits on December 31, 2015 and the termination was effective January 31, 2016. On December 2, 2015, our Board of Directors approved amendments to freeze benefits and terminate the Supplemental Pension Plan. The Supplemental Pension Plan discontinued accruing benefits on December 31, 2015 and the termination was effective December 31, 2015. During 2016, we completed the termination proceedings for the Pension Plan, including seeking and receiving a favorable IRS determination letter, conducting a lump sum offering to our active and terminated vested participants, and conducting an insurance placement for the annuity purchasers. Additionally, we funded the Pension Plan and reduced our liability thereunder to zero. In January 2017, we completed the termination proceedings for the Supplemental Pension Plan and paid all accrued balances to located participants through lump sum settlements.

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension cost for our plans were as follows:

	2016
Discount rate	1.2%
Expected long-term rate of return	3.0%

The components of combined net periodic pension cost were as follows:

	Second Quarter	Year-to-Date
(In thousands)	2016	2016
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	\$ 215	\$ 444
Expected investment return on plan assets	(397)	(781)
Amortization of actuarial loss	562	1,182
Settlement loss	139	1,255
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 519	\$ 2,100

NOTE 7 – INCOME TAXES

We have estimated the reasonably possible expected net change in unrecognized tax benefits through August 4, 2018, based on 1) expected cash and noncash settlements or payments of uncertain tax positions, and 2) lapses of the applicable statutes of limitations for unrecognized tax benefits. The estimated net decrease in unrecognized tax benefits for the next 12 months is approximately \$4.0 million. Actual results may differ materially from this estimate.

NOTE 8 – CONTINGENCIES

Shareholder and Derivative Matters

On May 21, May 22 and July 2, 2012, three shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio against us and certain of our current and former outside directors and executive officers. The lawsuits were consolidated, and, on August 13, 2012, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint captioned In re Big Lots, Inc. Shareholder Litigation, No. 2:12-cv-00445 (S.D. Ohio) (the “Consolidated Derivative Action”), which generally alleged that the individual defendants traded in our common shares based on material, nonpublic information concerning our guidance for fiscal 2012 and the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and the director defendants

failed to suspend our share repurchase program during such trading activity. The consolidated complaint asserted claims under Ohio law for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, misappropriation of trade secrets and corporate waste and sought declaratory relief and disgorgement to us of proceeds from any wrongful sales of our common shares, plus attorneys' fees and expenses.

Table of Contents

The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint, which was granted by the Court in an Opinion and Order dated April 14, 2015, pursuant to which plaintiffs' claims were all dismissed with prejudice, with the exception of their claim for corporate waste, which was dismissed without prejudice. On May 5, 2015, plaintiffs filed a Motion for Leave to File Verified Consolidated Amended Shareholder Derivative Complaint, which sought to replead the claim for corporate waste that was dismissed without prejudice by the Court, as well as a Motion for Reconsideration and, in the Alternative, for Certification of Question of State Law to the Supreme Court of Ohio. Defendants' responses to both motions were filed on May 29, 2015. On August 3, 2015, the Court granted Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Verified Consolidated Amended Shareholder Derivative Complaint, and Plaintiffs filed the amended complaint on the same date, asserting a claim for corporate waste against Jeffrey Berger, Steven Fishman, David Kollat, Brenda Lauderback, Philip Mallott, Russell Solt, and Dennis Tishkoff. On September 30, 2015, defendants filed an answer to the amended complaint.

We received a letter dated January 28, 2013, sent on behalf of a shareholder demanding that our Board of Directors investigate and take action in connection with the allegations made in the derivative and securities lawsuits described above. The shareholder indicated that he would commence a derivative lawsuit if our Board of Directors failed to take the demanded action. On March 6, 2013, our Board of Directors referred the shareholder's letter to a committee of independent directors to investigate the matter. That committee, with the assistance of independent outside counsel, investigated the allegations in the shareholder's demand letter and, on August 28, 2013, reported its findings to our Board of Directors along with its recommendation that the Board reject the shareholder's demand. Our Board of Directors unanimously accepted the recommendation of the demand investigation committee and, on September 9, 2013, outside counsel for the committee sent a letter to counsel for the shareholder informing the shareholder of the Board's determination. On October 18, 2013, the shareholder filed a derivative lawsuit captioned Brosz v. Fishman et al., No. 1:13-cv-00753 (S.D. Ohio) (the "Brosz Action") in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio against us and each of the current and former outside directors and executive officers originally named in the 2012 shareholder derivative lawsuit. The plaintiff's complaint generally alleged that the individual defendants traded in our common shares based on material, nonpublic information concerning our guidance for fiscal 2012 and the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and the director defendants failed to suspend our share repurchase program during such trading activity. The complaint asserted claims under Ohio law for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, corporate waste and misappropriation of trade secrets and sought damages, injunctive relief and disgorgement to us of proceeds from any wrongful sales of our common shares, plus attorneys' fees and expenses.

The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint, which was granted by the Court in an Opinion and Order dated April 14, 2015, which dismissed the plaintiff's claims with prejudice with the exception of his claim for corporate waste and his assertion that our Board of Directors wrongfully rejected his demand to take action against the individually named defendants. On May 5, 2015, the Court so ordered the parties' stipulation, staying plaintiff's time to seek leave to amend his complaint in order to make a request to inspect the Company's books and records pursuant to Ohio Revised Code §1701.37, and plaintiff served that request for inspection on May 8, 2015. On August 17, 2015, plaintiff filed an Amended Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint. On September 30, 2015, defendants moved to dismiss the amended complaint. On December 29, 2016, the Court denied defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint and ordered that the Brosz Action be consolidated with the Consolidated Derivative Action.

On February 10, 2014, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed in the Franklin County Common Pleas Court in Columbus, Ohio, captioned Tremblay v. Campisi et al., No. 14CV-02-1421 (Ohio Ct. Com. Pl., Franklin Cnty.) (the "Tremblay Action"), against us and certain of our current and former outside directors and executive officers (David Campisi, Steven Fishman, Joe Cooper, Charles Haubiel, Timothy Johnson, Robert Claxton, John Martin, Norman Rankin, Paul Schroeder, Robert Segal, Steven Smart, David Kollat, Jeffrey Berger, James Chambers, Peter Hayes, Brenda Lauderback, Philip Mallott, Russell Solt, James Tener and Dennis Tishkoff). The plaintiff's complaint generally alleges that the individual defendants traded in our common shares based on material, nonpublic information concerning our guidance for fiscal 2012 and the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and the director defendants failed to

suspend our share repurchase program during such trading activity. The complaint also alleges that we and various individual defendants made false and misleading statements regarding our Canadian operations prior to our announcement on December 5, 2013 that we were exiting the Canadian market. The complaint asserts claims under Ohio law for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, waste of corporate assets and misappropriation of insider information and seeks damages, injunctive relief and disgorgement to us of proceeds from any wrongful sales of our common shares, plus attorneys' fees and expenses. At the parties' request, the court stayed this lawsuit until after the judge in the federal derivative lawsuits discussed in the preceding paragraphs ruled on the motions to dismiss pending in those actions. On January 12, 2017, the Tremblay Action was voluntarily dismissed by the plaintiffs, without prejudice to refileing.

Table of Contents

On August 1, 2016, our Board of Directors passed a resolution creating a special litigation committee (“SLC”) to conduct an independent investigation and determine, in its sole discretion, whether it is in the best interests of the Company to pursue, settle, or seek dismissal of, the claims asserted in the Consolidated Derivative Action, the Brosz Action, and the Tremblay Action. The SLC is composed of three members, each of whom is a director that is not a party to any of the derivative actions and was not a member of the Board until well after the relevant time period. The SLC retained independent counsel and conducted an investigation. On October 20, 2016, the Company filed motions to stay all proceedings in the Consolidated Derivative Action and the Brosz Action pending the completion of the SLC’s investigation. The court granted the motion to stay all proceedings on December 15, 2016. As noted above, the Brosz action was consolidated with the Consolidated Derivative Action on December 29, 2016, and the Tremblay Action was voluntarily dismissed on January 12, 2017. On May 18, 2017, after concluding its investigation, the SLC filed a motion to dismiss the Consolidated Derivative Action. On May 19, 2017, the Court issued an order providing for discovery and briefing in connection with the SLC’s motion to dismiss and setting a schedule for further litigation of the merits of the lawsuit. The case is currently in discovery on the merits of the lawsuit and in connection with the SLC’s motion to dismiss.

On July 9, 2012, a putative securities class action lawsuit captioned Willis, et al. v. Big Lots, Inc., et al., 2:12-cv-00604 (S.D. Ohio) was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio on behalf of persons who acquired our common shares between February 2, 2012 and April 23, 2012. This lawsuit was filed against us, Lisa Bachmann, Mr. Cooper, Mr. Fishman and Mr. Haubiel. The complaint in the putative class action generally alleges that the defendants made statements concerning our financial performance that were false or misleading. The complaint asserts claims under sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 and seeks damages in an unspecified amount, plus attorneys’ fees and expenses. The lead plaintiff filed an amended complaint on April 4, 2013, which added Mr. Johnson as a defendant, removed Ms. Bachmann as a defendant, and extended the putative class period to August 23, 2012. On May 6, 2013, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the putative class action complaint. On January 21, 2016, the Court granted in part and denied in part the defendants’ motion to dismiss, allowing some claims to move forward. On May 27, 2016, the lead plaintiff moved for class certification (requesting a class period from March 2, 2012 through August 23, 2012) and to appoint class representatives and class counsel. Defendants opposed the motion. On March 17, 2017, the Court granted plaintiffs’ motion, certifying the class and appointing class representatives and class counsel. On March 31, 2017, the Company filed a petition pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(f) for appeal of the Court's ruling with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. That petition is currently pending. In the District Court, fact discovery was substantially completed on May 26, 2017, and the case is currently in expert discovery.

We believe that the shareholder derivative and putative class action lawsuits are without merit, and we intend to defend ourselves vigorously against the allegations levied in these lawsuits. While a loss from these lawsuits is reasonably possible, at this time, we cannot reasonably estimate the amount of any loss that may result or whether the lawsuits will have a material impact on our financial statements.

Tabletop Torches Matter

In 2013, we sold certain tabletop torch and citronella products manufactured by third parties. In August 2013, we recalled the tabletop torches and discontinued their sale in our stores. In 2014, we were named as a defendant in a number of lawsuits relating to these products alleging personal injuries suffered as a result of negligent shelving and pairing of the products, product design, manufacturing and marketing defects and/or breach of warranties. Although we believe that we are entitled to indemnification from the third-party manufacturers of the products and the company that tested the tabletop torches for all of the expenses that we have incurred with respect to these matters and that these expenses are covered by our insurance (subject to a \$1 million deductible), in the second quarter of 2015, we (1) determined that our ability to obtain any recovery from the manufacturer of the tabletop torches may be limited because, among other things, the manufacturer has exhausted its applicable insurance coverage, is domiciled outside the United States and has been dissolved by its parent and (2) became engaged in litigation with our excess insurance

carrier regarding the scope of our coverage. In the second quarter of 2015, we settled one of the lawsuits and settled another lawsuit in the third quarter of 2015. We settled an additional lawsuit in the first quarter of 2017. In the second quarter of 2017, we reached a settlement with the plaintiff in the final lawsuit. Additionally, we have brought a separate lawsuit in the United States District Court of Massachusetts against the company that tested the tabletop torch and an additional lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio against the third-party manufacturers and the company that tested the tabletop torch. In the second quarter of 2017, we reached a settlement in principle with our primary and excess insurance carriers. During the second quarter of 2015, we recorded a \$4.5 million charge related to these matters.

Table of Contents

Other Matters

We are involved in other legal actions and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. We currently believe that each such action and claim will be resolved without a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity. However, litigation involves an element of uncertainty. Future developments could cause these actions or claims to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations, and liquidity.

NOTE 9 – BUSINESS SEGMENT DATA

We use the following seven merchandise categories, which match our internal management and reporting of merchandise net sales: Food, Consumables, Soft Home, Hard Home, Furniture, Seasonal, and Electronics, Toys & Accessories. The Food category includes our beverage & grocery, candy & snacks, and specialty foods departments. The Consumables category includes our health, beauty and cosmetics, plastics, paper, chemical, and pet departments. The Soft Home category includes the home décor, frames, fashion bedding, utility bedding, bath, window, decorative textile, home organization and area rugs departments. The Hard Home category includes our small appliances, table top, food preparation, stationery, greeting cards, and home maintenance departments. The Furniture category includes our upholstery, mattress, ready-to-assemble, and case goods departments. The Seasonal category includes our lawn & garden, summer, Christmas, and other holiday departments. The Electronics, Toys, & Accessories category includes the electronics, jewelry, hosiery, toys and infant accessories departments.

We periodically assess, and potentially enact minor adjustments to, our product hierarchy, which can impact the roll-up of our merchandise categories. Our financial reporting process utilizes the most current product hierarchy in reporting net sales by merchandise category for all periods presented. Therefore, there may be minor reclassifications of net sales by merchandise category compared to previously reported amounts.

The following table presents net sales data by merchandise category:

(In thousands)	Second Quarter		Year-to-Date	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Furniture	\$259,439	\$249,276	\$622,378	\$606,333
Seasonal	232,810	216,493	416,561	401,129
Consumables	198,706	201,752	388,499	396,313
Food	182,451	189,199	379,403	391,679
Soft Home	176,440	168,373	364,514	354,530
Hard Home	97,640	101,251	188,159	201,209
Electronics, Toys, & Accessories	73,815	76,811	158,574	164,537
Net sales	\$1,221,301	\$1,203,155	\$2,518,088	\$2,515,730

NOTE 10 – COMPONENTS OF ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The following table summarizes the components of accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax:

(In thousands)	Second	Year-to-Date
	Quarter	
	2016	2016
Beginning of period	\$(14,761)	\$(15,977)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(94)	73
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	423	1,472
Net period change	329	1,545
End of period	(14,432)	(14,432)

The amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss are associated with our pension plans and have been reclassified to selling and administrative expenses in our statements of operations. Please see note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for further information on our pension plans.

Table of Contents

NOTE 11 – DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

We may enter into derivative instruments designed to mitigate certain risks, including collar contracts to mitigate our risk associated with market fluctuations in diesel fuel prices. These contracts are used strictly to limit our risk exposure and not as speculative transactions. Our derivative instruments associated with diesel fuel do not meet the requirements for cash flow hedge accounting. Therefore, our derivative instruments associated with diesel fuel will be marked-to-market to determine their fair value and the associated gains and losses will be recognized currently in other income (expense) on our consolidated statements of operations.

Our outstanding derivative instrument contracts for the second quarter of 2017 were comprised of the following:

(In thousands)	July 29, 2017	January 28, 2017
Diesel fuel collars (in gallons)	5,150	4,425

The fair value of our outstanding derivative instrument contracts was as follows:

(In thousands)	Derivative Instrument	Balance Sheet Location	Assets (Liabilities)	
			July 29, 2017	January 28, 2017
	Diesel fuel collars	Other current assets	\$ 129	\$ 135
		Other assets	403	180
		Accrued operating expenses	(632)	(1,001)
		Other liabilities	(558)	(322)
	Total derivative instruments		\$(658)	\$(1,008)

The effect of derivative instruments on the consolidated statements of operations was as follows:

(In thousands)	Derivative Instrument	Statements of Operations Location	Amount of Gain (Loss)			
			Second Quarter 2017	2016	Year-to-Date 2017	2016
	Diesel fuel collars					
	Realized	Other income (expense)	\$(213)	\$(561)	\$(499)	\$(1,362)
	Unrealized	Other income (expense)	571	184	350	1,664
	Total derivative instruments		\$358	\$(377)	\$(149)	\$302

The fair values of our derivative instruments are determined using observable inputs from commonly quoted markets. These fair value measurements are classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS FOR PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act") provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information, so long as those statements are identified as forward-looking and are accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the statements. We wish to take advantage of the "safe harbor" provisions of the Act.

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Act, and such statements are intended to qualify for the protection of the safe harbor provided by the Act. The words "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "objective," "goal," "project," "intend," "plan," "believe," "will," "should," "may," "target," "forecast," "guidance," expressions generally identify forward-looking statements. Similarly, descriptions of our objectives, strategies, plans, goals or targets are also forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements relate to the expectations of management as to future occurrences and trends, including statements expressing optimism or pessimism about future operating results or events and projected sales, earnings, capital expenditures and business strategy. Forward-looking statements are based upon a number of assumptions concerning future conditions that may ultimately prove to be inaccurate. Forward-looking statements are and will be based upon management's then-current views and assumptions regarding future events and operating performance, and are applicable only as of the dates of such statements. Although we believe the expectations expressed in forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions within the bounds of our knowledge, forward-looking statements, by their nature, involve risks, uncertainties and other factors, any one or a combination of which could materially affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Forward-looking statements that we make herein and in other reports and releases are not guarantees of future performance and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in such forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including, but not limited to, the current economic and credit conditions, the cost of goods, our inability to successfully execute strategic initiatives, competitive pressures, economic pressures on our customers and us, the availability of brand name closeout merchandise, trade restrictions, freight costs, the risks discussed in the Risk Factors section of our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, and other factors discussed from time to time in our other filings with the SEC, including Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K. This report should be read in conjunction with such filings, and you should consider all of these risks, uncertainties and other factors carefully in evaluating forward-looking statements.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date they are made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Readers are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our public announcements and SEC filings.

Table of Contents

OVERVIEW

The discussion and analysis presented below should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes. Each term defined in the notes has the same meaning in this item and the balance of this report.

The following are the results from the second quarter of 2017 that we believe are key indicators of our operating performance when compared to our operating performance from the second quarter of 2016:

Net sales increased \$18.1 million, or 1.5%.

Comparable store sales for stores open at least fifteen months, including e-commerce, increased \$20.5 million, or 1.8%.

Gross margin dollars increased \$6.1 million, while gross margin rate decreased 10 basis points to 40.3% from 40.4% of sales.

Selling and administrative expenses decreased \$1.5 million. As a percentage of net sales, selling and administrative expenses decreased 60 basis points to 34.0% of net sales.

Operating profit rate increased 70 basis points to 3.9%.

Diluted earnings per share increased to \$0.67 per share from \$0.50 per share.

Inventory increased by 0.2%, or \$1.9 million, to \$810.5 million from the second quarter of 2016.

We acquired 2.0 million of our outstanding common shares for \$94.6 million under our 2017 Repurchase Program. We declared and paid a quarterly cash dividend in the amount of \$0.25 per common share in the second quarter of 2017 compared to a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.21 per common share paid in the second quarter of 2016.

See the discussion and analysis below for additional details regarding our operating results.

STORES

The following table presents stores opened and closed during the year-to-date 2017 and the year-to-date 2016:

	2017	2016
Stores open at the beginning of the fiscal year	1,432	1,449
Stores opened during the period	8	2
Stores closed during the period	(11)	(6)
Stores open at the end of the period	1,429	1,445

We continue to expect to close 20 net stores during 2017. We have increased our expectations to now open approximately 24 stores and close approximately 44 stores during 2017.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table compares components of our consolidated statements of operations as a percentage of net sales at the end of each period:

	Second Quarter		Year-to-Date	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Net sales	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation expense shown separately below)	59.7	59.6	59.6	60.1
Gross margin	40.3	40.4	40.4	