

Orion Marine Group Inc
Form 10-K
March 06, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-33891

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.

Delaware

26-0097459

State of Incorporation

IRS Employer Identification Number

12000 Aerospace Suite 300

Houston, Texas 77034

(713) 852-6500

Address of Principal Executive Office

Telephone number (including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share

The New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act: Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every interactive data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files): Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check One):

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act) Yes No
There were 27,119,191 shares of common stock outstanding as of March 1, 2012. The aggregate market value of the Registrant's common equity held by non-affiliates was approximately \$245.3 million as of June 30, 2011, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second quarter, based upon the last reported sales price on the New York Stock Exchange on that date.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III – Portions of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be issued on connection with the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed on or about April 2, 2012.

Table of Contents

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.

2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K

Table of Contents

<u>PART I</u>		<u>3</u>
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	<u>3</u>
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>10</u>
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>19</u>
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	<u>19</u>
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>20</u>
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosure (not applicable)</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>PART II</u>		<u>22</u>
Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>22</u>
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>24</u>
Item 7.	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>26</u>
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>35</u>
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>35</u>
Item 9.	<u>Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>35</u>
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>35</u>
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>PART III</u>		<u>37</u>
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>37</u>
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>37</u>
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>37</u>
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>38</u>
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>PART IV</u>		<u>39</u>
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		<u>41</u>

Table of Contents

PART I

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In addition to historical information, this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents incorporated by reference herein may contain forward-looking statements that are not based on historical fact. When used in this report, words such as “expects”, “anticipates”, “believes”, “seeks”, “estimates”, “plans”, “intends” and similar words identify forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Although such statements are based on management’s current estimates and expectations and currently available competitive, financial and economic data, forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain and involve risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from what may be inferred from the forward-looking statements. Some of the factors that could cause or contribute to such differences are listed and discussed in Item 1A “Risk Factors”, below and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We undertake no obligation to release publicly any revisions or updates to any forward-looking statements that are contained in this document.

Item 1. BUSINESS

General

We are a leading marine specialty contractor serving the heavy civil marine infrastructure market. We provide a broad range of marine construction services on, over and under the water along the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the West Coast, Canada, and in the Caribbean Basin. Our customers are federal, state and municipal governments as well as private commercial and industrial enterprises.

History

We were founded in 1994 as a marine construction project management business. Throughout the years, we expanded beyond the project management business, established fixed geographic operating bases and made several strategic acquisitions since 1997. We are a Delaware corporation, with our corporate offices located in Houston, Texas. The common stock of Orion Marine Group, Inc. commenced trading publicly in 2007 and is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ORN. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references herein to “Orion”, the “Company”, the “Registrant”, “we”, “us” or “our” refer to Orion Marine Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and affiliates.

Business Strategy

General

We pursue the following business strategies in order to improve our business and prospects, increase our revenue and profitability and, ultimately, enhance stockholder value:

Expand and Fill in Our Service Territory

We grow our business by seeking opportunities in other geographic markets by establishing a physical presence in new areas through selective acquisitions or greenfield expansions.

Pursue Strategic Acquisitions

We evaluate acquisition opportunities in parallel with our greenfield expansion. Our strategy will include timely and efficient integration of such acquisitions into our culture, bidding process and internal controls. We believe that attractive acquisition candidates are available due to the highly fragmented and regional nature of the industry, high cost of capital for equipment and the desire for liquidity among an aging group of existing business owners. We believe our financial strength, industry expertise and experienced management team will be attractive to acquisition candidates. Recent key acquisitions include:

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

In January 2010, we purchased T.W. LaQuay Dredging, LLC, a dredging company based in Texas which owns and operates marine construction equipment, including several large dredges.

In February 2010, we purchased a marine construction business and expanded our area of operations into the West Coast and Canada.

Continue to Capitalize on Favorable Long-Term Industry Trends

Our growth has been driven by our ability to capitalize on infrastructure spending across multiple end-markets we serve including port infrastructure, government funded projects, transportation, oil and gas, and environmental restoration markets.

Continue to Reinvest in our Core Business

Since our inception, we have focused on pursuing technically complex projects where our specialized services and equipment differentiate us from our competitors. We intend to continue to grow our fleet through the purchase and development of equipment.

-3-

Table of Contents

Financial Information About Segments

Although we describe our business in this report in terms of the services we provide, our base of customers and the geographic areas in which we operate, we have concluded that our operations comprise a single reportable segment. In making this determination, we considered that each project has similar characteristics, includes similar services, has similar types of customers and is subject to the same regulatory environment. We organize, evaluate and manage our financial information around each project when making operating decisions and assessing our overall performance.

Services Provided

We act as a single-source, turnkey solution for our customers' marine contracting needs. We provide a broad range of heavy civil marine construction services, including dredging, repair and maintenance, and other specialty services. We operate as a single reporting segment, and many of our contracts encompass multiple services within a single project. Therefore, financial reporting by service is not useful for the reader when assessing our overall performance.

Marine Construction Services

These services include construction of marine transportation facilities, marine pipelines, bridges and causeways, and marine environmental structures. We generally serve as the prime contractor for many of these types of projects and we have the capability of providing design-build services.

Marine transportation facility construction projects include public port facilities for container ship loading and unloading; cruise ship port facilities; private terminals; special-use Navy terminals, recreational use marinas and docks, and other marine-based facilities. These projects typically consist of steel or concrete fabrication dock or mooring structures designed for durability and longevity, and involve driving piles of concrete, pipe or sheet pile to provide a foundation for the port facility that we subsequently construct on the piles. We also provide on-going maintenance and repair, inspection services, emergency repair, and demolition and salvage to such facilities.

Our marine pipeline service projects generally include the installation and removal of underwater buried pipeline transmission lines; installation of pipeline intakes and outfalls for industrial facilities; construction of pipeline outfalls for wastewater and industrial discharges; river crossing and directional drilling; creation of hot taps and tie-ins; and inspection, maintenance and repair services.

Our bridge and causeway projects include the construction, repair and maintenance of all types of bridges and causeways, as well as the development of fendering systems in marine environments, and we serve as the prime contractor for many of these projects, some of which may be design-build contracts. These projects involve fabricating steel or concrete structures designed for durability and longevity, and involve driving piles of concrete, pipe or sheet pile to create support for the concrete deck roadways that we subsequently construct on the piles. These piles can exceed 50 inches in diameter, can range up to 170 feet in overall length, and are often driven 90 feet into the sea floor. We also provide ongoing maintenance and repair, as well as emergency repair, to bridges, pile supports, and fendering systems for bridges.

Marine environmental structure projects may include the installation of concrete mattresses to ensure erosion protection; construction of levees to contain environmental mitigation projects, and the installation of geotubes for wetlands and island creation. Such structures are used for erosion control, wetlands creation and environmental remediation.

Dredging services

Projects involving dredging generally enhance or preserve the navigability of waterways or the protection of shorelines through the removal or replenishment of soil, sand or rock. Dredging involves removing mud and silt from

the channel floor by means of a mechanical backhoe, crane and bucket or cutter suction dredge and pipeline systems. Dredging is integral to capital and maintenance projects, including: maintenance for previously deepened waterways and harbors to remove silt, sand and other accumulated sediments; construction of breakwaters, jetties, canals and other marine structures; deepening ship channels and wharves to accommodate larger and deeper draft ships; containing erosion of wetlands and coastal marshes; land reclamation; and beach nourishment and creation of wildlife refuges. Maintenance dredging projects provide a source of recurring revenue as active channels typically require dredging every one to three years due to natural sedimentation. The frequency of maintenance dredging may be accelerated by rainfall and major weather events such as hurricanes. Areas where no natural deep water ports exist, such as the Texas Gulf Coast, require substantial maintenance dredging. We maintain multiple specialty dredges of various sizes and specifications to meet customer needs.

Specialty Services

Our specialty services include salvage, demolition, surveying, towing, diving and underwater inspection, excavation and repair. Our diving services are largely performed in shallow water and include inspections, salvage and pile restoration and

Table of Contents

encapsulation. Our survey services include surveying pipelines and performing hydrographic surveys which determine the configuration of the floors of bodies of water and detect and identify wrecks and obstructions. Most of these specialty services support our other construction services and provide an incremental touch-point with our customers.

Industry Overview

Our services are provided to customers in diverse end markets, including port expansion and maintenance, bridges, causeways and other marine infrastructure, the cruise industry, the Department of Defense, the oil and gas industry, coastal protection and reclamation, along with hurricane restoration and repair and environmental remediation. We believe that this diversity in our customer base will enable us to utilize our resources to lessen the negative effects of any downturn in a specific end market.

Port Expansion and Maintenance

Ports and harbors are vital to trade for the U.S. economy, help position the U.S. as a leader in global trade and are essential to national security. We anticipate that U.S. ports will need to build larger dock space and deepen their channels to accommodate larger container, dry bulk and liquid cargo ships in order to remain globally competitive.

Ports located on the Gulf Coast and Atlantic Seaboard can also expect greater volume growth as the Panama Canal expansion projects should contribute to increased traffic of larger container ships from the Pacific Ocean. As a part of our existing operations, we service most major ports across the Gulf Coast and the southeast Atlantic Coast.

Bridges and Causeways

According to the American Society of Civil Engineers, as of their 2009 report, 26% of the nation's bridges are structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. As the system ages, the infrastructure cannot support the growing traffic loads, resulting in frequent delays for repairs. At the same time, the repairs become more expensive due to long-deferred maintenance.

Marine Infrastructure

The U.S. Marine Transportation System ("MTS") consists of waterways, ports and their intermodal connections, vessels, vehicles, and system users, as well as shipyards and repair facilities crucial to maritime activity. The MTS is primarily an aggregation of federal, state, local and privately owned facilities and private companies. U.S. inland and intracoastal waterways require substantial maintenance and improvement. While waterway usage is increasing, the facilities and supporting systems are aging. In addition, channels and waterways must maintain certain depths to accommodate ship and barge traffic. Natural sedimentation in these channels and waterways require maintenance dredging to maintain navigability.

Cruise Industry

An expected increase in ships and ship size has generated a need for substantial port infrastructure development, including planning and construction of new terminals and facilities, as well as on-going maintenance and repair services. These larger vessels require development of new piers and additional dredging services to accommodate deeper drafts. Our service area includes the ports of Miami, Galveston, Tampa, New Orleans, and Canaveral, and the Caribbean Basin, which includes numerous cruise facilities and is the most popular cruise destination in the North American market.

The Department of Defense

The US Navy has the responsibility for the maintenance of 39 facilities in the United States, which includes a significant amount of marine infrastructure. In recent years, Department of Defense funding shifted away from vital naval base expansion, repair and maintenance. Because of the need to maintain these strategic facilities, we believe that the US Navy will resume a more normal flow of maintenance and upgrades to its infrastructure, including its

marine facilities.

The US Coast Guard maintains more than 50,000 federal aids to navigation, which include buoys, lighthouses, day beacons and radio-navigation signals, and additionally has oversight responsibility for over 18,000 highway and railroad bridges that span navigable waterways throughout the country. As part of the Department of Homeland Security, we anticipate that US Coast Guard needs for varied marine construction services, including those listed above, will provide opportunities for us in the future.

We anticipate that the continued implementation of the U.S. Base Realignment and Closure Program (“BRAC”), will shift emphasis towards improving domestic military infrastructure, including port and other facilities located on, or near, the water. This should result in the need for increased infrastructure at the realigned facilities where personnel and equipment will be moved from facilities targeted for closure.

Oil and Gas Industry

We construct, repair and remove underwater pipelines, and provide marine construction and on-going maintenance services for private refineries, terminal facilities and docks, and other critical near shore oil and gas infrastructure. Increased levels of capital expenditures by midstream and downstream oil and gas companies in response to higher oil and gas prices should increase demand

-5-

Table of Contents

for our services.

U.S. Coastal and Wetland Restoration and Reclamation

We believe that increases in coastal population density and demographic trends will lead to an increase in the number of coastal restoration and reclamation projects, and, as the value of waterside assets rises from a residential and recreational standpoint, citizens and municipalities will do more to protect these assets via restoration and reclamation projects.

Hurricane Restoration and Repair

Hurricanes can be very destructive to the existing marine infrastructure and natural protection barriers of the prime storm territories of the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard and the Caribbean Basin, including bridges, ports, underwater channels and sensitive coastal areas. Typically, restoration and repair opportunities continue for several years after a major hurricane event. These events provide incremental projects to our industry that contribute to a favorable bidding environment and high capacity utilization in our markets.

Environmental Remediation

We believe there will be contingency funding for the protection of natural habitats, environmental preservation, wetlands creation and remediation for high priority projects in Louisiana and other areas in the markets we serve that will protect and restore sensitive marine and coastal areas, advance ocean science and research, and ensure sustainable use of ocean resources.

Customers

Our customers include federal, state and local governmental agencies in the United States, as well as private commercial and industrial enterprises in the US and the Caribbean Basin. Most projects are competitively bid, with the award going to the lowest qualified bidder. In 2011, the US Army Corps of Engineers accounted for 24% of our total revenue. Our customer base shifts from time to time depending on the mix of contracts in progress.

The following table represents concentrations of revenue by type of customer for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009.

	2011	%	2010	%	2009	%	
Federal	\$108,123	42	% \$143,697	41	% \$54,173	19	%
State	48,604	19	% 40,145	11	% 24,835	8	%
Local	40,647	15	% 41,868	12	% 82,933	28	%
Private	62,478	24	% 127,425	36	% 131,553	45	%
	\$259,852	100	% \$353,135	100	% \$293,494	100	%

Backlog

Our contract backlog represents our estimate of the revenues we expect to realize under the portion of the contracts remaining to be performed. Given the typical duration of our contracts, which ranges from three to nine months, our backlog at any point in time usually represents only a portion of the revenue that we expect to realize during a twelve month period. We include projects in our backlog only when the customer has provided an executed contract, purchase order or change order. Our backlog under contract as of December 31, 2011 was approximately \$164.5 million and at December 31, 2010 was approximately \$194.5 million. These estimates are subject to fluctuations based upon the scope of services to be provided, as well as factors affecting the time required to complete the project. In addition, many projects that make up our backlog may be canceled at any time without penalty; however, we can generally recover actual committed costs and profit on work performed up to the date of cancellation. Although we have not been materially adversely affected by contract cancellations or modifications in the past, we may be, especially in economically uncertain periods. Consequently, backlog is not necessarily indicative

of future results. In addition to our backlog under contract, we also have a substantial number of projects in negotiation or pending award at any given time.

Seasonality and Quarterly Results

Our quarterly revenues and results of operations fluctuate depending upon the mix, size, scope, and progress schedules of our projects under contract, the productivity of our labor force and the utilization of our equipment. These factors, as well as others affect the rate at which revenue is recognized as projects are completed.

Competition

We compete in our service areas of the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the Caribbean Basin, the West Coast and Canada, with several regional marine construction services companies and a few national marine construction services companies. From time to time, we compete with certain national land-based heavy civil contractors that have greater resources than we do. Our industry

-6-

Table of Contents

is highly fragmented with competitors generally varying within the markets we serve and with few competitors competing in all of the markets we serve or for all of the services that we provide. We believe that our turnkey capability, expertise, experience and reputation for providing safe and timely quality services, safety record and programs, equipment fleet, financial strength, surety bonding capacity, knowledge of local markets and conditions, and project management and estimating abilities allow us to compete effectively. We believe significant barriers to entry exist in the markets in which we operate, including the ability to bond large projects, maritime laws, specialized marine equipment and technical experience; however, a U.S. company that has adequate financial resources, access to technical expertise and specialized equipment may become a competitor.

Bonding

In connection with our business, we generally are required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide an additional measure of security for our performance under certain government and private sector contracts. Our ability to obtain surety bonds depends upon our capitalization, working capital, past performance, management expertise, and external factors, including the capacity of the overall surety market. Surety companies consider such factors in light of the amount of our backlog that we have currently bonded and their current underwriting standards, which may change from time to time. The capacity of the surety market is subject to market-driven fluctuations driven primarily by the level of surety industry losses and the degree of surety market consolidation. The bonds we provide typically are for the amount of the project and have face amounts ranging from approximately \$1.0 to approximately \$50.0 million. As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$139.0 million in surety bonds outstanding. On December 31, 2011, we believe our capacity under our current bonding arrangement was in excess of \$400.0 million in aggregate surety bonds.

Trade Names

We operate under a number of trade names, including Orion Marine Group, Orion Marine Construction, Orion Marine Contractors, King Fisher Marine Service, Orion Construction, Orion Diving & Salvage, Misener Marine Construction, Misener Diving & Salvage, F. Miller Construction, Orion Dredging Services, and in 2010, began operating under the trade names of T.W. LaQuay Dredging and Northwest Marine Construction. We do not generally register our trademarks with the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, but instead rely on state and common law protections. While we consider our trade names to be valuable assets, we do not consider any single trademark or trade name to be of such material importance that its absence would cause a material disruption of our business.

During 2011, we began to consolidate our operations under the brand name "Orion Marine Group, Inc."

Equipment

We operate and maintain a large and diverse equipment fleet, substantially all of which we own, that includes the following:

Barges — Spud barges, material barges, deck barges, anchor barges and fuel barges are used to provide work platforms for cranes and other equipment, to transport materials to the project site and to provide support for the project at the project site.

Dayboats — Small pushboats, dredge tenders and skiffs are used to shift barges at the project site, to move personnel and to provide general support to the project site.

Tugs — Larger pushboats and tug boats are used to transport barges and other support equipment to and from project site.

Dredges — 24" cutter head suction dredge (diesel), 20" cutter head suction dredge (diesel/electric), 20" cutter head suction dredges (diesel), 16" cutter head suction dredges, and 12" portable cutter head suction dredges are used to provide

dredging services at project sites

Cranes — Crawler lattice boom cranes with lift capability from 50 tons to 400 tons and hydraulic rough terrain cranes with lift capability from 15 tons to 60 tons are used to provide lifting and pile driving capabilities on project sites, and to provide bucket work, including mechanical dredging and dragline work, to project sites.

We believe that our equipment generally is well maintained and suitable for our current operations. We have the ability to extend the useful life of our equipment through capital refurbishment at periodic intervals. Most of our fleet is serviced by our own mechanics who work at various maintenance sites and facilities, including our dry dock facilities. We are also capable of building, and have built, much of our highly specialized equipment. Our strategy is to move our fleet from region to region as our projects require. We have pledged our owned equipment as collateral under our credit facility.

Equipment Certification

-7-

Table of Contents

Some of our equipment requires certification by the U.S. Coast Guard and, where required, our vessels' permissible loading capacities require certification by the American Bureau of Shipping ("ABS"). ABS is an independent classification society which certifies that certain of our larger, seagoing vessels are "in-class," signifying that the vessels have been built and maintained in accordance with ABS rules and applicable U.S. Coast Guard rules and regulations. Many projects, such as beach nourishment projects with offshore sand requirements, dredging projects in exposed entrance channels, and dredging projects with offshore disposal areas, are restricted by federal regulations to be performed only by dredges or scows that have U.S. Coast Guard certification and a load line established by the ABS. All of our vessels that are required to be certified by ABS have been certified as "in-class." These certifications indicate that the vessels are structurally capable of operating in open waters, which enhances the mobility of our fleet.

Government Regulations

Our operations are subject to compliance with regulatory requirements of federal, state and local governmental agencies and authorities including the following:

- regulations concerning workplace safety, labor relations and disadvantaged businesses;
- licensing requirements applicable to shipping and dredging; and
- permitting and inspection requirements applicable to marine construction projects.

We are also subject to government regulations pursuant to the Dredging Act, the Jones Act, the Shipping Act and the Vessel Documentation Act. These statutes require vessels engaged in the transport of merchandise or passengers between two points in the U.S. or dredging in the navigable waters of the U.S. to be documented with a coastwise endorsement, to be owned and controlled by U.S. citizens, to be manned by U.S. crews, and to be built in the U.S. The U.S. citizenship ownership and control standards require the vessel-owning entity to be at least 75% U.S.-citizen owned, and prohibit the demise or bareboat chartering of the vessel to any entity that does not meet the 75% U.S. citizen ownership test. These statutes, together with similar requirements for other sectors of the maritime industry, are collectively referred to as "cabotage" laws.

We believe that we are in material compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and have all material licenses required to conduct our operations.

Environmental Matters

General

Our marine infrastructure construction, salvage, demolition, dredging and dredge material disposal activities are subject to stringent and complex federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing environmental protection, including air emissions, water quality, solid waste management, marine and bird species and their habitats, and wetlands. Such laws and regulations may require that we or our customers obtain, and that we comply with, various environmental permits, registrations, licenses and other approvals. These laws and regulations also can restrict or impact our business activities in many ways, such as delaying the appropriation and performance of particular projects; restricting the way we handle or dispose of wastes; requiring remedial action to mitigate pollution conditions that may be caused by our operations or that are attributable to others; and enjoining some or all of our operations deemed in non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and/or criminal penalties, the imposition of remedial obligations and the issuance of orders enjoining future operations.

We believe that compliance with existing federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, or financial condition. Nevertheless, the trend in environmental regulation is to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment. In addition, we could be affected by future laws or regulations, including those imposed in response to climate change concerns. As a result, there can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of future expenditures for environmental

compliance or remediation, and actual future expenditures may be different from the amounts we currently anticipate. The following is a discussion of the environmental laws and regulations that could have a material effect on our marine construction and other activities.

Waste Management

Our operations could be subject to the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”) and comparable state laws, which impose detailed requirements for the handling, storage, treatment and disposal of hazardous and non-hazardous solid wastes. Under the auspices of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), the individual states administer some or all of the provisions of RCRA, sometimes in conjunction with their own more stringent requirements. Generators of hazardous wastes must comply with certain standards for the accumulation and storage of hazardous wastes, as well as recordkeeping and reporting requirements applicable to hazardous waste storage and disposal activities.

Site Remediation

-8-

Table of Contents

The Comprehensive, Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), also known as “Superfund,” and comparable state laws and regulations impose liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons responsible for the release of hazardous substances into the environment. Such classes of persons include the current and past owners or operators of sites where a hazardous substance was released, and companies that disposed or arranged for the disposal of hazardous substances at offsite locations, such as landfills. CERCLA authorizes the EPA, and in some cases third parties, to take actions in response to threats to the public health or the environment and to seek to recover from the responsible classes of persons the costs they incur. Under CERCLA, such persons may be subject to joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources and for the costs of certain health studies. In addition, neighboring landowners and other third parties often file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the hazardous substances released into the environment.

We currently own or lease properties that have been used by other industries for a number of years. Although we typically have used operating and disposal practices that were standard in the industry at the time, wastes may have been disposed of or released on or under the properties owned or leased by us, on or under other locations where such substances have been taken for disposal, or on or under project sites where we perform work. In addition, some of the properties may have been operated by third parties or by previous owners whose treatment and disposal or release of wastes was not under our control. These properties and the substances disposed or released on them may be subject to CERCLA, RCRA and analogous state laws. Under such laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes or property contamination, or to perform remedial activities to prevent future contamination.

Water Discharges

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, also known as the Clean Water Act (“CWA”), and analogous state laws impose strict controls with respect to the discharge of pollutants, including spills and leaks of oil and other substances, into waters of the U.S., including wetlands. The discharge of pollutants into regulated waters is prohibited, except in accordance with the terms of a permit issued by the EPA or an analogous state agency. The CWA also regulates the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the U.S., and activities that result in such discharge generally require permits issued by the Corps of Engineers. Moreover, above ground storage of petroleum products is strictly regulated under the CWA. Under the CWA, federal and state regulatory agencies may impose administrative, civil and/or criminal penalties for non-compliance with discharge permits or other requirements of the CWA and analogous state laws and regulations.

The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (“OPA”), which amends and augments the CWA, establishes strict liability for owners and operators of facilities that are sites of releases of oil into waters of the U.S. OPA and its associated regulations impose a variety of requirements on responsible parties related to the prevention of oil spills and liability for damages resulting from such spills. For instance, OPA requires vessel owners and operators to establish and maintain evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to cover liabilities related to an oil spill for which such parties are statutorily responsible. We believe we are in compliance with all applicable OPA financial responsibility obligations. In addition, while OPA requires that certain vessels be outfitted with double hulls by 2015, given the make up and expected make up of our fleet of vessels, we do not expect to incur material expenditures to meet these requirements.

In February 2009, regulations promulgated by the EPA covering certain previously exempt discharges to water from certain marine vessels became effective. The regulations provide for a general permit to cover such discharges and impose on marine vessel operators, including the Company, certain discharge, permitting, recordkeeping, reporting, monitoring, maintenance, and operating restrictions and requirements with respect to materials that are or may be discharged from certain vessels. Applicability of these restrictions and requirements is based on size and type of vessel, and they apply only to a minority of the Company’s vessels. The Company, nevertheless, is implementing such restrictions and requirements with respect to its vessels which are subject thereto, and the Company does not anticipate that such regulations or the associated permit terms, restrictions and requirements will adversely impact the

Company's business and results of operations.

Air Emissions

The Clean Air Act ("CAA") and comparable state laws restrict the emission of air pollutants from many sources, including paint booths, and may require pre-approval for the construction or modification of certain facilities expected to produce air emissions, impose stringent air permit requirements, or require the utilization of specific equipment or technologies to control emissions. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with the CAA.

Climate Change

The U.S. Congress is actively considering legislation to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases in response to climate change concerns. In addition, several states have declined to wait on Congress to develop and implement climate control legislation and have already taken legal measures to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. Passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by Congress or various states of the U.S., or the adoption of regulations by the EPA and analogous state agencies that

-9-

Table of Contents

restrict emissions of greenhouse gases in areas in which we conduct business could have an adverse affect on our operations and demand for our services.

Endangered Species

The Endangered Species Act (“ESA”) restricts activities that may affect endangered species or their habitats. We conduct activities in or near areas that may be designated as habitat for endangered or threatened species. For instance, seasonal observation of endangered or threatened West Indian Manatees adjacent to work areas may impact construction operations within our Florida market. Manatees generally congregate near warm water sources during the cooler winter months. Additionally, our dredging operations in the Florida market are impacted by limitations for placement of dredge spoil materials on designated spoil disposal islands, from April through August of each year, when the islands are inhabited by nesting colonies of protected bird species. Further, restrictions on work during the Whooping Crane nesting period in the Aransas Pass National Wildlife Refuge from October 1 through April 15 each year and during the non-dormant grass season for sea grass in the Laguna Madre from March 1 through November 30 each year impact our construction operations in the Texas Gulf Coast market. In addition, our West Coast operations are impacted by an in water work closure affecting work during the fish spawning window from mid February until mid July. We plan our operations and bidding activity with these restrictions and limitations in mind, and they have not materially hindered our business in the past. However, these and other restrictions may affect our ability to obtain work or to complete our projects on time in the future. In addition, while we believe that we are in material compliance with the ESA, the discovery of previously unidentified endangered species could cause us to incur additional costs or become subject to operating restrictions or bans in the affected area.

Employees

At December 31, 2011, we had approximately 990 employees, 252 of whom were full-time salaried personnel and most of the remainder of whom were hourly personnel. We will hire additional employees for certain large projects and, subject to local market conditions, additional crew members are generally available for hire on relatively short notice. Our employees are not currently represented by labor unions, except certain employees located in the Pacific Northwest. We consider our relations with our employees to be good.

Financial Information About Geographic Areas

The Company primarily operates in the Gulf Coast, Atlantic Seaboard, and Pacific Northwest areas of the United States. Revenues generated outside the United States, primarily in the Caribbean Basin, totaled 0.9%, 5.4%, and 14.0% of total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Our long-lived assets are substantially located in the United States.

Access to the Company’s Filings

The Company maintains a website at www.orionmarinegroup.com on which we make available, free of charge, access to the various reports we file with, or furnish to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). The website is made available for information purposes only. It should not be relied upon for investment purposes, and none of the information on our website is incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference. In addition, the public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-732-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risk Factors Relating to Our Business

We depend on continued federal, state and local government funding for marine infrastructure. A reduction in government funding for marine construction or maintenance contracts can materially reduce our results of operations.

Our operations depend on project funding by various government agencies and are adversely affected by decreased levels of, or delays in, government funding. A future decrease or delay in government funding in any of our geographic markets could delay project lettings and result in intense competition and pricing pressures for projects that we bid on in the future. As a result of competitive bidding and pricing pressures, we may be awarded fewer projects, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

A significant portion of our business is based on government contracts. Our operating results may be adversely affected by the terms of the government contracts or our failure to comply with applicable terms.

Government contracts are subject to specific procurement regulations, contract provisions and a variety of socioeconomic

-10-

Table of Contents

requirements relating to their formation, administration, performance and accounting. Many of these contracts include express or implied certifications of compliance with applicable laws and contract provisions. As a result of our government contracting and subcontracting, claims for civil or criminal fraud may be brought by the government for violations of these regulations, requirements or statutes. We may also be subject to qui tam litigation brought by private individuals on behalf of the government under the Federal Civil False Claims Act, which could include claims for up to treble damages. Further, if we fail to comply with any of these regulations, requirements or statutes, our existing government contracts could be terminated, we could be suspended or debarred from government contracting or subcontracting, including federally funded projects at the state level. In addition, government customers typically can terminate or modify any of their contracts with us at their convenience, and certain government agencies may claim immunity from suit to recover disputed contract amounts. If our government contracts are terminated for any reason, or if we are suspended or debarred from government work, we could suffer a significant reduction in expected revenue which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from a small group of customers. The loss of one or more of these customers could negatively impact our business, operating results and financial condition.

We believe that we will continue to rely on a relatively small group of customers for a substantial portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. We may not be able to maintain our relationships with our significant customers. The loss of, or reduction of our sales to, any of our major customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. See “Business — Customers” for a description of our largest customers.

We may not be able to fully realize the revenue value reported in our backlog.

We had a backlog of work to be completed on contracts totaling approximately \$164.5 million as of December 31, 2011. Backlog develops as a result of new awards, which represent the potential revenue value realizable pursuant to new project commitments received by us during a given period. Backlog consists of projects under contract which have either (a) not yet been started or (b) are in progress but are not yet complete. In the latter case, the revenue value reported in backlog is the remaining value related to work that has not yet been completed. We cannot guarantee that the revenue projected in our backlog will be realized, or if realized, will result in earnings. From time-to-time, projects are cancelled that appeared to have a high certainty of going forward at the time they were recorded as new awards. In the event of a project cancellation, we may be reimbursed for certain costs but typically have no contractual right to the total revenue reflected in our backlog. In addition to being unable to recover certain direct costs, cancelled projects may also result in additional unrecoverable costs due to the resulting under-utilization of our assets.

We may be unable to obtain sufficient bonding capacity for our contracts and the need for performance and surety bonds may adversely affect our business.

We are generally required to post bonds in connection with our contracts to ensure job completion if we were to fail to finish a project. We have entered into a bonding agreement with Liberty Mutual Surety of America (“Liberty”) pursuant to which Liberty acts as surety, issues bid bonds, performance bonds and payment bonds, and obligates itself upon other contracts of guaranty required by us in the day-to-day operations of our business. However, Liberty is not obligated under the bonding agreement to issue bonds for us. We may not be able to maintain a sufficient level of bonding capacity in the future, which could preclude us from being able to bid for certain contracts and successfully contract with certain customers, or increase our letter of credit utilization in lieu of bonds, thereby reducing availability under our credit facility. In addition, the conditions of the bonding market may change, increasing our costs of bonding or restricting our ability to get new bonding which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our business depends on key customer relationships and our reputation in the heavy civil marine infrastructure market, which is developed and maintained by our executives and key project managers. Loss of any of our relationships, reputation or chief executives or key project managers would materially reduce our revenues and profits.

Our contracts are typically entered into on a project-by-project basis, so we do not have continuing contractual commitments with our customers beyond the terms of the current contract. We benefit from key customer relationships built over time and with both public and private entities that are a part of or use the MTS. We also benefit from our reputation in the heavy civil marine infrastructure market developed over years of successfully performing on projects. Both of these aspects of our business were developed and are maintained through our executives and key project managers. We do not maintain key person life insurance policies on any of our employees. Our inability to retain our executives and key project managers would have a material adverse affect on our current customer relationships and reputation. The inability to maintain relationships with these customers or obtain new customers based on our reputation could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

To be successful, we need to attract and retain qualified personnel, and any inability to do so would adversely affect our business.

Our future success depends on our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled personnel in various areas, including engineering, project management, procurement, project controls, finance, and senior management. If we do not succeed in retaining and motivating our current employees and attracting new high quality employees, our business could be adversely affected. Accordingly, our ability to increase our productivity and profitability will be limited by our ability to employ, train and retain skilled personnel necessary to meet our requirements. We may not be able to maintain an adequate skilled labor force necessary to operate efficiently. Our labor expenses may also increase as a result of a shortage in the supply of skilled personnel, or we may have to curtail our planned internal growth as a result of labor shortages. We may also spend considerable resources training employees who may then be hired by our competitors, forcing us to spend additional funds to attract personnel to fill those positions. In addition, certain of our employees hold licenses and permits under which we operate. The loss of any such employees could result in our inability to operate under such licenses and permits, which could adversely affect our operations until replacement licenses or permits are obtained. If we are unable to hire and retain qualified personnel in the future, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We could lose money if we fail to accurately estimate our costs or fail to execute within our cost estimates on fixed-price, lump-sum contracts.

Much of our revenue is derived from fixed-price, lump-sum contracts. Under these contracts, we perform our services and execute our projects at a fixed price and where, as a result, we could benefit from cost savings, but we may be unable to recover any cost overruns. Fixed-price contracts carry inherent risks, including risks of losses from underestimating costs, operational difficulties and other factors that may occur over the contract period. If our cost estimates for a contract are inaccurate, or if we do not execute the contract within our cost estimates, we may incur losses or the project may not be as profitable as we expected. In addition, we are sometimes required to incur costs in connection with modifications to a contract (change orders) that may not be approved by the customer as to scope and/or price, or to incur unanticipated costs, including costs for customer-caused delays, errors in specifications or designs, or contract suspension or termination, that we may not be able to recover. These, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. The revenue, cost and gross profit realized on such contracts can vary, sometimes substantially, from the original projections due to changes in a variety of factors, such as:

- failure to properly estimate costs of engineering, design, material, equipment or labor;
- unanticipated technical problems with the structures or services being supplied by us, which may require that we spend our own money to remedy the problem;
- project modifications creating unanticipated costs;
- changes in the costs of equipment, materials, labor or subcontractors;
- our suppliers' or subcontractors' failure to perform;
- difficulties in our customers obtaining required governmental permits or approvals;
- changes in local laws and regulations;
- delays caused by local weather conditions; and
- exacerbation of any one or more of these factors as projects grow in size and complexity.

These risks increase if the duration of the project is long-term because there is an elevated risk that the circumstances upon which we based our original bid will change in a manner that increases costs. In addition, we sometimes bear the risk of delays caused by unexpected conditions or events.

We may incur higher costs to acquire, manufacture and maintain equipment necessary for our operations.

We have traditionally owned most of the equipment used in our projects, and we do not bid on contracts for which we do not have, or cannot quickly procure, whether through construction, acquisition or lease, the necessary equipment. We are capable of building much of the specialized equipment used in our projects, including dayboats, tenders and dredges. To the extent that we are unable to buy or build equipment necessary for our needs, either due to a lack of available funding or equipment shortages in the marketplace, we may be forced to rent equipment on a short-term basis, which could increase the costs of completing contracts. In addition, our equipment requires continuous maintenance, which we provide through our own repair facilities and dry docks, as well as certification by the U.S. Coast Guard. If we are unable to continue to maintain the equipment in our fleet or are unable to obtain the requisite certifications, we may be forced to obtain third-party repair services, be unable to use our uncertified equipment or be unable to bid on contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

In addition, our vessels may be subject to arrest/seizure by claimants as security for maritime torts committed by the vessel or us or the failure by us to pay for necessities, including fuel and repair services, which were furnished to the vessel. Such arrest/seizure could preclude the vessel from working, thereby causing delays in marine construction projects.

Table of Contents

The timing of new contracts may result in unpredictable fluctuations in our cash flow and profitability. These factors as well as others that may cause our actual financial results to vary from any publicly disclosed earnings guidance and forecasts are outside of our control.

A substantial portion of our revenues is derived from project-based work. It is generally very difficult to predict the timing and location of awarded contracts. The selection of, timing of or failure to obtain projects, delays in awards of projects, the rebidding or termination of projects due to budget overruns, cancellations of projects or delays in completion of contracts could result in the under-utilization of our assets and reduce our cash flows. Even if we are awarded contracts, we face additional risks that could affect whether, or when, work will begin. For example, some of our contracts are subject to financing and other contingencies that may delay or result in termination of projects. This may make it difficult to match workforce size and equipment location with contract needs. In some cases, we may be required to bear the cost of a ready workforce and equipment that is larger than needed at the time, resulting in unpredictability in our cash flow, expenses and profitability. If an expected contract award or the related notice to proceed is delayed or not received, we could incur substantial costs without receipt of any corresponding revenues. Delays by our customers in obtaining required approvals for their infrastructure projects may delay their awarding contracts for those projects and, once awarded, the ability to commence construction under those contracts. Moreover, construction projects for which our services are contracted may require significant expenditures by us prior to receipt of relevant payments by a customer and may expose us to potential credit risk if such customer should encounter financial difficulties. Such expenditures could reduce our cash flows and necessitate increased borrowings under our credit facilities. Finally, the winding down or completion of work on significant projects that were active in previous periods will reduce our revenue and earnings if such significant projects have not been replaced in the current period. From time-to-time we may publicly provide earnings or other forms of guidance, which reflect our predictions about future revenue, operating costs and capital structure, among other factors. Any such predictions may be impacted by these factors as well as others that are beyond our control and might not turn out to be correct.

Our business is subject to significant operating risks and hazards that could result in injury or death to persons, which could result in losses or liabilities to us.

Our safety record is an important consideration for us and for our customers. If serious accidents or fatalities occur or our safety record was to deteriorate, we may be ineligible to bid on certain work, and existing service arrangements could be terminated. Further, regulatory changes implemented by OSHA or the U.S. Coast Guard could impose additional costs on us. Adverse experience with hazards and claims could have a negative effect on our reputation with our existing or potential new customers and our prospects for future work.

Our business is subject to significant operating risks and hazards that could result in damage or destruction to property, which could result in losses or liabilities to us.

The businesses of marine infrastructure construction, port maintenance, dredging and salvage are generally subject to a number of risks and hazards, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, hurricanes, adverse weather conditions, collisions with fixed objects, cave-ins, encountering unusual or unexpected geological formations, disruption of transportation services and flooding. These risks could result in damage to or destruction of, dredges, transportation vessels, other maritime structures and buildings, and could also result in personal injury or death, environmental damage, performance delays, monetary losses or legal liability.

Our current insurance coverage may not be adequate, and we may not be able to obtain insurance at acceptable rates, or at all.

We maintain various insurance policies, including general liability and workers' compensation. We are partially self-insured under some of our policies, and our insurance does not cover all types or amounts of liabilities. We are not required to, and do not, specifically set aside funds for our self-insurance programs.

At any given time, we are subject to multiple workers' compensation and personal injury claims. We maintain substantial loss accruals for workers' compensation claims, and our workers' compensation and insurance costs have been rising for several years notwithstanding our emphasis on safety. Our insurance policies may not be adequate to protect us from liabilities that we incur in our business. In addition, some of the projects that we bid on require us to maintain builder's risk insurance at high levels. We may not be able to obtain similar levels of insurance on reasonable terms, or at all. Our inability to obtain such insurance coverage at acceptable rates or at all could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Furthermore, due to a variety of factors such as increases in claims and projected significant increases in medical costs and wages, our insurance premiums may increase in the future and we may not be able to obtain similar levels of insurance on reasonable terms, or at all. Any such inadequacy of, or inability to obtain, insurance coverage at acceptable rates, or at all, could have a

Table of Contents

material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our employees are covered by federal laws that provide seagoing employees remedies for job-related claims in addition to those provided by state laws.

Many of our employees are covered by federal maritime law, including provisions of the Jones Act (“Jones Act”), the Longshore and Harbor Workers Act, (“USL&H”) and the Seaman’s Wage Act. Jones Act laws typically operate to make liability limits established by USL&H and state workers’ compensation laws inapplicable to these employees and to permit these employees and their representatives to pursue litigation against employers for job-related injuries. Because we are not generally protected by the limits imposed by state workers’ compensation statutes, we have greater exposure for claims made by these employees as compared to employers whose employees are not covered by these provisions.

For example, in the normal course of business, we are party to various personal injury lawsuits. We maintain insurance to cover claims that arise from injuries to our hourly workforce subject to a deductible. Over the last several years, there has been an increase in suits filed in Texas due in large part to two Texas law firms aggressively pursuing personal injury claims on behalf of dredging workers residing in Texas. Aggressive medical advice is increasing the seriousness of claimed injuries and the amount demanded in settlement. During fiscal 2011, we recorded approximately \$4.6 million of expense for our self-insured portion of these liabilities. We believe our recorded self insurance reserves represent our best estimate of the outcomes of these claims. Should these trends persist; we could continue to be negatively impacted in the future.

Many of our contracts have penalties for late completion.

In many instances, including in our fixed-price contracts, we guarantee that we will complete a project by a scheduled date. If we subsequently fail to complete the project as scheduled, we may be held responsible for cost impacts resulting from any delay, generally in the form of contractually agreed-upon liquidated damages. In addition, failure to maintain a required schedule could cause us to default on our government contracts, giving rise to a variety of potential damages. To the extent that these events occur, the total costs of the project could exceed our original estimates, and we could experience reduced profits or, in some cases, a loss for that project.

We may choose, or be required, to pay our suppliers and subcontractors even if our customers do not pay, or delay paying, us for the related services.

We use suppliers to obtain necessary materials and subcontractors to perform portions of our services and to manage work flow. In some cases, we pay our suppliers and subcontractors before our customers pay us for the related services. If we choose, or are required, to pay our suppliers and subcontractors for materials purchased and work performed for customers who fail to pay, or delay paying, us for the related work, we could experience a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We extend credit to customers for purchases of our services, and in the past we have had, and in the future we may have, difficulty collecting receivables from major customers that have filed bankruptcy or are otherwise experiencing financial difficulties.

We generally perform services in advance of payment for our customers, which include governmental entities, general contractors, and builders, owners and managers of marine and port facilities located primarily in the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the Pacific Northwest, and the Caribbean Basin. Consequently, we are subject to potential credit risk related to changes in business and economic factors. On occasion, we have had difficulty collecting from governmental entities or customers with financial difficulties. If we cannot collect receivables for present or future

services, we could experience reduced cash flows and losses beyond our established reserves.

Our strategy of growing through strategic acquisitions may not be successful.

We may pursue growth through the acquisition of companies or assets that will enable us to broaden the types of projects we execute and also expand into new markets. We have completed several acquisitions and plan to consider strategic acquisitions in the future. We may be unable to implement this growth strategy if we cannot identify suitable companies or assets or reach agreement on potential strategic acquisitions on acceptable terms. Moreover, an acquisition involves certain risks, including:

- difficulties in the integration of operations, systems, policies and procedures;
- enhancements in our controls and procedures including those necessary for a public company may make it more difficult to integrate operations and systems;

Table of Contents

failure to implement proper overall business controls, including those required to support our growth, resulting in inconsistent operating and financial practices at companies we acquire or have acquired;

termination of relationships with the key personnel and customers of an acquired company;

additional financial and accounting challenges and complexities in areas such as tax planning, treasury management, financial reporting and internal controls;

the incurrence of environmental and other liabilities, including liabilities arising from the operation of an acquired business or asset prior to our acquisition for which we are not indemnified or for which the indemnity is inadequate;

disruption of or receipt of insufficient management attention to our ongoing business; and

inability to realize the cost savings or other financial benefits that we anticipate.

Future acquisitions may require us to obtain additional equity or debt financing, which may not be available on attractive terms. Moreover, to the extent an acquisition transaction financed by non-equity consideration results in additional goodwill, it will reduce our tangible net worth, which might have an adverse effect on our credit and bonding capacity.

The anticipated investment in port and marine infrastructure may not be as large as expected, which may result in periods of low demand for our services.

The demand for port construction, maintenance infrastructure services and dredging may be vulnerable to downturns in the economy generally and in the marine transportation industry specifically. The amount of capital expenditures on port facilities and marine infrastructure in our markets is affected by the actual and anticipated shipping and vessel needs of the economy in general and in our geographic markets in particular. If the general level of economic activity deteriorates, our customers may delay or cancel expansions, upgrades, maintenance and repairs to their infrastructure. A number of other factors, including the financial condition of the industry, could adversely affect our customers and their ability or willingness to fund capital expenditures in the future. During downturns in the U.S. or world economies, the anticipated port usage in our geographic markets may decline, resulting in less port construction, upgrading and maintenance. As a result, demand for our services could substantially decline for extended periods.

Any adverse change to the economy or business environment in the regions in which we operate could significantly affect our operations, which would lead to lower revenues and reduced profitability.

Our operations are currently concentrated in the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard and the Caribbean Basin and we recently expanded into the Pacific Northwest. Because of this concentration in these geographic locations, we are susceptible to fluctuations in our business caused by adverse economic or other conditions in any of these regions, including natural or other disasters.

During the ordinary course of our business, we may become subject to lawsuits or indemnity claims, which could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We have been and may from time to time be named as a defendant in legal actions claiming damages in connection with marine infrastructure projects and other matters. These are typically claims that arise in the normal course of business, including employment-related claims and contractual disputes or claims for personal injury (including asbestos-related lawsuits) or property damage which occurs in connection with services performed relating to project or construction sites. These actions may seek, among other things, compensation for alleged personal injury, workers' compensation, employment discrimination, breach of contract, property damage, environmental damage, punitive damages, civil penalties or other losses, consequential damages or injunctive or declaratory relief. Contractual disputes normally involve claims relating to the timely completion of projects, performance of equipment, design or other engineering services or project services. We may incur liabilities that may not be covered by insurance policies, or, if covered, the dollar amount of such liabilities may exceed our policy limits or fall below applicable deductibles.

A partially or completely uninsured claim, if successful and of significant magnitude, could cause us to suffer a significant loss and reduce cash available for our operations.

Furthermore, our services are integral to the operation and performance of the marine infrastructure. As a result, we may become subject to lawsuits or claims for any failure of the infrastructure that we work on, even if our services are not the cause for such failures. In addition, we may incur civil and criminal liabilities to the extent that our services contributed to any property damage or personal injury. With respect to such lawsuits, claims, proceedings and indemnities, we have and will accrue reserves in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). In the event that such actions or indemnities are ultimately resolved unfavorably at amounts exceeding our accrued reserves, or at material amounts, the outcome could materially and adversely affect our reputation, business, operating results and financial condition. In addition, payments of significant amounts, even if reserved, could adversely affect our liquidity position.

Table of Contents

Our operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations that may expose us to significant costs and liabilities.

Our marine infrastructure construction, salvage, demolition, dredging and dredge material disposal activities are subject to stringent and complex federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, including those concerning air emissions, water quality, solid waste management, and protection of certain marine and bird species, their habitats, and wetlands. We may incur substantial costs in order to conduct our operations in compliance with these laws and regulations. For instance, we may be required to obtain, maintain and comply with permits and other approvals (as well as those obtained for projects by our customers) issued by various federal, state and local governmental authorities; limit or prevent releases of materials from our operations in accordance with these permits and approvals; and install pollution control equipment. In addition, compliance with environmental laws and regulations can delay or prevent our performance of a particular project and increase related project costs. Moreover, new, stricter environmental laws, regulations or enforcement policies, including those imposed in response to climate change, could be implemented that significantly increase our compliance costs, or require us to adopt more costly methods of operation.

Failure to comply with environmental laws and regulations, or the permits issued under them, may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of remedial obligations and the issuance of injunctions limiting or preventing some or all of our operations. In addition, strict joint and several liability may be imposed under certain environmental laws, which could cause us to become liable for the investigation or remediation of environmental contamination that resulted from the conduct of others or from our own actions that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time those actions were taken. Further, it is possible that we may be exposed to liability due to releases of pollutants, or other environmental impacts that may arise in the course of our operations. For instance, some of the work we perform is in underground and water environments, and if the field location maps or waterway charts supplied to us are not accurate, or if objects are present in the soil or water that are not indicated on the field location maps or waterway charts, our underground and underwater work could strike objects in the soil or the waterway bottom containing pollutants and result in a rupture and discharge of pollutants. In addition, we sometimes perform directional drilling operations below certain environmentally sensitive terrains and water bodies, and due to the inconsistent nature of the terrain and water bodies, it is possible that such directional drilling may cause a surface fracture releasing subsurface materials. These releases may contain contaminants in excess of amounts permitted by law, may expose us to remediation costs and fines and legal actions by private parties seeking damages for non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations or for personal injury or property damage. We may not be able to recover some or any of these costs through insurance or increased revenues, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. See “Business — Environmental Matters” for more information.

Our operations are susceptible to adverse weather conditions in our regions of operation.

Our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected by severe weather, particularly along the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard and Caribbean Basin where we have concentrated operations. Repercussions of severe weather conditions may include:

- evacuation of personnel and curtailment of services;
- weather-related damage to our equipment, facilities and project work sites resulting in suspension of operations;
- inability to deliver materials to jobsites in accordance with contract schedules; and
- loss of productivity.

Our dependence on petroleum-based products increases our costs as the prices of such products increase, which could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We use diesel fuel and other petroleum-based products to operate our equipment used in our construction contracts. Decreased supplies of those products relative to demand and other factors can cause an increase in their cost. Future increases in the costs of fuel and other petroleum-based products used in our business, particularly if a bid has been submitted for a contract and the costs of those products have been estimated at amounts less than the actual costs thereof, could result in a lower profit, or a loss, on one or more contracts.

Terrorist attacks at port facilities could negatively impact the markets in which we operate.

Terrorist attacks, like those that occurred on September 11, 2001, targeted at ports, marine facilities or shipping could affect the markets in which we operate our business and our expectations. Increased armed hostilities, terrorist attacks or responses from the U.S. may lead to further acts of terrorism and civil disturbances in the U.S. or elsewhere, which may further contribute to economic instability in the U.S. These attacks or armed conflicts may affect our operations or those of our customers or suppliers and could impact our revenues, our production capability and our ability to complete contracts in a timely manner.

Table of Contents

We may be subject to unionization, work stoppages, slowdowns or increased labor costs.

We primarily have a non-union workforce. If our employees unionize, it could limit the flexibility of our workforce and could result in demands that may increase our operating expenses and adversely affect our profitability. Each of our different employee groups could unionize at any time and would require separate collective bargaining agreements. If any group of our employees were to unionize and we were unable to agree on the terms of their collective bargaining agreement or we were to experience widespread employee dissatisfaction, we could be subject to work slowdowns or stoppages. In addition, we may be subject to disruptions by organized labor groups protesting our non-union status. Any of these events would be disruptive to our operations and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We may be unable to sustain historical revenue growth rates.

We may be unable to sustain the revenue growth rates we experienced in the past, due to limits on additional growth in our current markets, less success in competitive bidding for contracts, limitations on access to necessary working capital and investment capital to sustain growth, limitations on access to bonding to support increased contracts and operations, the inability to hire and retain essential personnel and to acquire equipment to support growth, and the inability to identify acquisition candidates and successfully integrate them into our business. A sustained decrease in revenue growth could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition if we are unable to reduce the growth of our operating expenses at the same rate.

We are subject to risks related to our international operations.

We currently have on-going projects in the Caribbean Basin. International operations subject us to additional risks, including:

- uncertainties concerning import and export license requirements, tariffs and other trade barriers;
- restrictions on repatriating foreign profits back to the U.S.;
- changes in foreign policies and regulatory requirements;
- difficulties in staffing and managing international operations;
- taxation issues;
- currency fluctuations; and
- political, cultural and economic uncertainties.

These risks could restrict our ability to provide services to international customers and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Restrictions on foreign ownership of our vessels could limit our ability to sell off any portion of our business or result in the forfeiture of our vessels or in our inability to continue our operations in U.S. navigable waters.

The Dredging Act, the Jones Act, the Shipping Act and the Vessel Documentation Act require vessels engaged in the transport of merchandise or passengers between two points in the U.S. or dredging in the navigable waters of the U.S. to be owned and controlled by U.S. citizens. The U.S. citizen ownership and control standards require the vessel-owning entity to be at least 75% U.S. citizen-owned, thus restricting foreign ownership interests in the entities that directly or indirectly own the vessels which we operate. If we were to seek to sell any portion of our business unit that owns any of these vessels, we may have fewer potential purchasers, since some potential purchasers might be unable or unwilling to satisfy the foreign ownership restrictions described above; additionally, any sales of certain of our larger vessels to foreign buyers would be subject to approval by the U.S. Maritime Administration. As a result, the sales price for that portion of our business may not attain the amount that could be obtained in an unregulated market.

Furthermore, although our certificate of incorporation contains provisions limiting ownership of our capital stock by non-U.S. citizens, foreign ownership is difficult to track and if we or any operating subsidiaries cease to be 75% controlled and owned by U.S. citizens, we would become ineligible to continue our operations in U.S. navigable waters and may become subject to penalties and risk forfeiture of our vessels.

We may be vulnerable to the cyclical nature of the markets in which our customers operate, which may be exacerbated during economic downturns.

Current global economic conditions are affecting numerous industries and companies and many states are facing difficult budget decisions which could result in reduced demand for general construction projects. This reduced demand may increase the number of potential bidders in our markets and could increase the competitive environment through pressure on pricing. Budgeting decisions and constraints due to the tight credit markets may result in diversion of governmental funding from projects we perform to other uses. A weak economy may also produce less tax revenue, thereby decreasing funds for public sector projects. Lower

-17-

Table of Contents

levels of activity may result in a corresponding decline in the demand for our services, which could have a material adverse effect on our revenue and profitability.

Negative conditions in the credit and financial markets could impair our ability to operate our business, or implement our acquisition strategies

Due to the continuing instability of the credit markets in the United States and abroad, the availability of credit has continued to be relatively difficult or expensive to obtain in spite of government efforts to increase liquidity and hold or reduce interest rates. We may face challenges if conditions in the financial markets do not improve. While these conditions have not impaired the Company's ability to access credit markets and finance operations, at this time, there can be no assurance that there will not be a further deterioration in financial markets and confidence in major economies. A continuing shortage of liquidity could have an impact on the lenders under our credit facility or on our customers.

Risk Factors Related to our Accounting, Financial Results and Financing Plans

Actual results could differ from the estimates and assumptions that we use to prepare our financial statements.

To prepare financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management is required to make estimates and assumptions as of the date of the financial statements, which affect the reported values of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Areas requiring significant estimates by our management include: contract costs and profits, application of percentage-of-completion accounting, and revenue recognition of contract change order claims; provisions for uncollectible receivables and customer claims and recoveries of costs from subcontractors, suppliers and others; valuation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with business combinations; accruals for estimated liabilities, including litigation and insurance reserves; and the value of our deferred tax assets. Our actual results could differ from those estimates.

Our use of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting could result in a reduction or reversal of previously recorded revenue and profit.

In particular, as is more fully discussed in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Critical Accounting Policies," we recognize contract revenue using the percentage-of-completion method. A significant portion of our work is performed on a fixed-price or lump-sum basis. The balance of our work is performed on variations of cost reimbursable and unit price approaches. Contract revenue is accrued based on the percentage that actual costs-to-date bear to total estimated costs. We utilize this cost-to-cost approach as we believe this method is less subjective than relying on assessments of physical progress. Under the cost-to-cost approach, while the most widely recognized method used for percentage-of-completion accounting, the use of estimated cost to complete each contract is a significant variable in the process of determining income earned and is a significant factor in the accounting for contracts. The cumulative impact of revisions in total cost estimates during the progress of work is reflected in the period in which these changes become known. Due to the various estimates inherent in our contract accounting, actual results could differ from those estimates, which may result in a reduction or reversal of previously recorded revenue and profit.

An impairment charge to goodwill could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

At December 31, 2011, goodwill carried on our Consolidated Balance Sheets was \$32.2 million, representing 11.4% of our total assets of \$283.1 million. Under GAAP, we are required to review goodwill not less than annually, and if events or circumstances change between annual tests, for possible impairment based on a fair value approach of the

reporting units. In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") amended accounting guidance on goodwill impairment testing to allow companies to perform a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of its reporting units.

Changes in macroeconomic indicators, the overall business climate, a sustained decline in a reporting unit's market value, operating performance indicators, and other factors could affect our assessment of fair value, either through a qualitative approach or through direct testing, and result in an impairment charge with a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations in the period in which the charge is taken.

Failure to establish and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and stock value.

Maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting is necessary for us to produce reliable financial reports and is important in helping to prevent financial fraud. If we are unable to achieve and maintain adequate internal controls, our business, operating results and financial condition could be harmed. We are required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

(“SOX”) and the related rules of the SEC to annually assess the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting and our independent registered public accounting firm is required to issue a report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. During the course of the related documentation and testing, we may identify significant deficiencies or material weaknesses that we may be unable to remediate before the requisite deadline for those reports. If our management or our independent registered public accounting firm were to conclude in their reports that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective, this could have a material adverse effect on our ability to process and report financial information and the value of our common stock could significantly decline.

Our bonding requirements may limit our ability to incur indebtedness.

We generally are required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide an additional measure of security for our performance under certain government and private sector contracts. Our ability to obtain surety bonds depends upon various factors including our capitalization, working capital and amount of our indebtedness. In order to help ensure that we can obtain required bonds, we may be limited in our ability to incur additional indebtedness that may be needed for potential acquisitions and operations. Our inability to incur additional indebtedness could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

The downturn in economic conditions may impact our customers’ ability to pay for services and finance projects.

Our primary customers are governmental agencies in the United States, as well as a wide variety of private customers in diverse industries. It is possible that current economic conditions may affect some of our customers’ ability to access sufficient capital to finance or complete projects. Our cash flows may be adversely impacted through customer delays in payment or non-payment of our accounts receivable, or through delays or cancellations of projects awarded to us. We monitor our accounts receivable balances closely and maintain contact with our customers to assess the economic viability of projects in process.

Risks related to ownership of our common stock

The price of our common stock may be volatile.

The market price of our common stock may be influenced by many factors, some of which are beyond our control, including actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results, or those of our competitors, public announcements by us or our competitors regarding acquisitions, strategic investments, project awards, the financial market and general economic conditions, changes in stock market analyst recommendations, sales of common stock by our executive officers, directors and/or significant stockholders, as well as reactions to those described above under “Risk Factors related to our Business”.

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and of Delaware law may make it difficult for stockholders to change the composition of our board of directors and may discourage a takeover or change of control.

We are a Delaware corporation. Various anti-takeover provisions under Delaware law impose impediments on the ability of others to acquire control of us, even if a change of control would be beneficial to our shareholders. In addition, certain provisions of our charters and bylaws may impede or discourage a takeover. For example:

- our Board of Directors is divided into three classes serving staggered three-year terms;
- vacancies on the Board of Directors can only be filled by other directors;
- there are various restrictions on the ability of a shareholder to nominate a director for election; and
- our Board of Directors can authorize the issuance of preference shares.

These types of provisions in our charters and bylaws could also make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us, even if the acquisition would be beneficial to our shareholders. Accordingly, shareholders may be limited in the ability to obtain a premium for their shares.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

Item 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters is located at 12000 Aerospace, Suite 300, Houston, Texas 77034, with 10,983 square feet of office space that we lease, with an initial term expiring February 28, 2017 and with two five year extensions at our option. Our finance and executive offices are located at this facility. As of December 31, 2011, we owned or leased the following additional facilities:

-19-

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Location	Type of Facility	Size	Leased or Owned
159 Highway 316 Port Lavaca, TX	Waterfront maintenance and dock facilities, equipment yard and dry dock	17.5 acres	Owned
17140 Market Street Channelview, TX	Waterfront maintenance and dock facilities and equipment yard	23.7 acres	Owned
619 Bay View Drive Port Lavaca, TX	Waterfront maintenance and dock facilities and equipment yard; regional office	25.3 acres	Owned
931 West Main St. Port Lavaca, TX	Warehouse	2.1 acres	Owned
2705 State Hwy 146 Baytown, TX	Waterfront property	10.0 acres	Owned
5600 West Commerce Street Tampa, FL	Waterfront maintenance and dock facilities; equipment yard and dry dock	9.1 acres	Owned
12550 Fuqua Houston, TX	Regional office	16,440 square feet	Leased
1500 Main Street Ingleside, TX	Regional office	4 acres	Leased
City of Port Lavaca Port Commission Port Lavaca, Texas	Safe harbor	6.6 acres	Leased
825 Ryan Street Lake Charles, LA	Regional office	6,500 square feet	Leased
Dock Board Road Sulphur, LA	Waterfront maintenance and dock facilities; equipment yard	4.37 acres	Leased
2400 Veterans Boulevard Kenner, LA	Regional office	2,715 square feet	Leased
321 Great Bridge Blvd., Chesapeake, VA	Regional office	1,500 square feet	Leased
5440 W. Tyson Avenue Tampa, FL	Regional office	6,160 square feet	Leased

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

1110 Alexander Avenue Tacoma, WA	Waterfront maintenance and dock facilities and equipment yard	Estimated 3.5 acres	Leased
-------------------------------------	--	---------------------	--------

In January 2012, we purchased approximately 18 acres of land, including buildings and improvements in Tampa, Florida, which were formerly partially rented by us.

We believe that our existing facilities are adequate for our operations. We do not believe that any single facility is material to our operations and, if necessary, we could readily obtain a replacement facility. Our real estate assets are pledged to secure our credit facility.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Although we are subject to various claims and legal actions that arise in the ordinary course of business, except as described below, we are not currently a party to any material legal proceedings or environmental claims.

From time to time, we are a party to various other lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. These actions typically seek, among other things, compensation for alleged personal injury, breach of contract, property damage, punitive damages, civil penalties or other losses, or injunctive or declaratory relief. With respect to such lawsuits, claims and proceedings, we accrue reserves when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. We do not believe any of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows, or on our financial condition.

-20-

Table of Contents

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE (not applicable)

-21-

Table of Contents

PART II

Item MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND
5. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and trades under the symbol "ORN". We have provided to the NYSE, without qualification, the required certification regarding compliance with NYSE corporate governance listing standards.

The following table sets forth the low and high prices of a share of our common stock during each of the fiscal quarters presented, based on NYSE reports:

	Low	High
2011		
Fourth quarter – December 31	\$5.18	\$7.14
Third quarter – September 30	\$5.48	\$9.85
Second quarter – June 30	\$8.85	\$11.07
First quarter – March 31	\$10.33	\$12.86
2010		
Fourth quarter – December 31	\$11.08	\$15.88
Third quarter – September 30	\$10.75	\$14.02
Second quarter – June 30	\$13.69	\$19.80
First quarter – March 31	\$16.23	\$22.37

Holders

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately 3,783 stockholders of record including beneficial holders.

Dividends

For the foreseeable future, we intend to retain earnings to grow our business and do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock. We have not historically paid dividends and payments of future dividends, if any, will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on many factors, including general economic and business conditions, our strategic plans, our financial results and condition, legal requirements, and other factors that our board of directors deems relevant. Our existing credit facility restricts our ability to pay cash dividends on our common stock, and we may also enter into credit agreements or other borrowing arrangements in the future that will restrict our ability to declare or pay cash dividends on our common stock.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table presents certain information about our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2011:

Plan category	Column A Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and	Column B Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Column C Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

	rights		plans (excluding securities reflected in Column A)
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	2,128,906	\$9.42	1,810,973
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	—	—	—
Total	2,128,906	\$9.42	1,810,973

Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities

On May 4, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a common share repurchase program that authorizes the

-22-

Table of Contents

repurchase of up to \$40 million in open market value. The shares may be repurchased over time, depending on market conditions, the market price of the Company's common shares, the Company's capital levels and other considerations. The share repurchase program is expected to expire one year from the date the Plan was approved by the Company's Board of Directors. In the second quarter of 2011, the Company repurchased 305,500 shares at an average price of \$9.83 per share. No repurchases were made in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Performance Graph*

The following graph shows the changes, since our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ Global Market on December 20, 2007, in the value of \$100 invested in (1) the common stock of Orion Marine Group, Inc., (2) the Standard & Poor's 500 Index and (3) the Dow Jones Heavy Construction Group Index. The values of each investment are based on share price appreciation, with reinvestment of all dividends, assuming any were paid. For each graph, the investments are assumed to have occurred at the beginning of each period.

	2007	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Orion Marine Group, Inc.	100.00	94.94	61.14	133.29	73.42	42.09
S&P 500	100.00	99.31	62.56	79.12	91.04	92.96
Dow Jones US Heavy Civil Construction	100.00	103.61	46.50	53.15	68.24	56.26

Note: The above information was provided by Research Data Group, Inc.

*This table and the information therein is being furnished but not filed.

Table of Contents

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents selected financial data for each of the last five fiscal years. This selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”. These historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for any future period. Computations of basic and diluted earnings per share have been adjusted retroactively for all periods presented to reflect a 2.23 for one reverse split of the Company’s common stock in 2007.

The table below includes the non-GAAP financial measure of EBITDA. For a definition of EBITDA and a reconciliation to net income calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, please see “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” immediately below.

	Amounts in thousands, except share and per share information				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Contract revenues	\$259,852	\$353,135	\$293,494	\$261,802	\$210,360
Gross profit	10,178	65,233	62,697	50,451	50,433
Selling, general and administrative expenses	29,519	32,646	30,695	27,730	22,738
Other (income) expense, net	120	(1,254)) 438	964	118
Net (loss) income	(13,114)) 21,882	20,030	14,475	17,399
Preferred dividends	—	—	—	—	782
(Loss) income available to common shareholders	\$(13,114)) \$21,882	\$20,030	\$14,475	\$16,617
Net (loss) income per share available to common shareholders:					
Basic	\$ (0.49)) \$0.81	\$0.85	\$0.67	\$0.85
Diluted	\$ (0.49)) \$0.81	\$0.84	\$0.66	\$0.83
Weighted average shares outstanding:					
Basic	26,990,059	26,899,373	23,577,854	21,561,201	19,657,436
Diluted	26,990,059	27,165,852	23,979,943	21,979,535	19,976,683
Other Financial Data					
EBITDA	\$2,949	\$53,634	\$50,538	\$41,321	\$40,079
Capital expenditures	14,894	29,050	22,693	14,485	11,433
Cash interest expense	212	547	553	1,234	927
Depreciation and amortization*	22,092	19,458	18,536	18,848	12,592
Net cash provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	32,676	13,839	40,336	26,471	10,092
Investing activities	(14,053)) (95,755)) (21,598)) (47,337)) (9,463)
Financing activities	(2,818)) 354	60,286	33,994	(6,606)

*includes depreciation and amortization of finite lived intangible assets

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
(in thousands)					
Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$38,979	\$23,174	\$104,736	\$25,712	\$12,584

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Working capital	75,840	76,728	130,760	47,021	32,452
Total assets	283,087	307,579	273,157	186,322	133,534
Total debt	—	—	—	34,125	—
Total stockholders' equity	233,636	246,856	221,666	105,611	90,084

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We include in this Annual Report on Form 10-K the non-GAAP financial measure of EBITDA. We define EBITDA as income before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA is used as a supplemental financial measure by our management and by external users of our financial statements such as investors, commercial banks and others, to assess:

the financial performance of our assets without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;

Table of Contents

the ability of our assets to generate cash sufficient to pay interest costs and support our indebtedness;
 our operating performance and return on capital as compared to those of other companies in our industry, without regard to financing or capital structure; and
 the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return on alternative investment opportunities.

EBITDA is not a presentation made in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, net income, operating income, cash flows from operating activities or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP as measures of operating performance, liquidity or ability to service debt obligations. Because EBITDA excludes some, but not all, items that affect net income and is defined differently by different companies in our industry, our definition of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. EBITDA has important limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation.

The following table provides a reconciliation of EBITDA to our net income for the periods indicated as calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP:

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Net (loss) income	\$(13,114) \$21,882	\$20,030	\$14,475	\$17,399
Income tax (benefit) expense	(6,347) 11,959	11,534	7,282	10,178
Interest expense(income), net	318	335	438	964	118
Depreciation and amortization*	22,092	19,458	18,536	18,600	12,384
EBITDA	\$2,949	\$53,634	\$50,538	\$41,321	\$40,079

*includes depreciation, amortization of finite-lived intangible assets and amortization of deferred financing costs

Table of Contents

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain statements made in our discussion may be forward-looking. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and a number of factors could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from our expectations. See "Forward-Looking Statements" at the beginning of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional discussion of some of these risks and uncertainties. Unless the context requires otherwise, when we refer to "we", "us" and "our", we are describing Orion Marine Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Overview

We are a leading marine specialty contractor serving the heavy civil marine infrastructure market. We provide a broad range of marine construction and specialty services on, over and under the water along the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the West Coast, Canada and the Caribbean Basin. Our customers include federal, state and municipal governments, the combination of which accounted for approximately 76% of our revenue in the year ended December 31, 2011, as well as private commercial and industrial enterprises. We are headquartered in Houston, Texas.

2011 Recap and 2012 Outlook

In 2011, we continued to experience pricing pressure on margins, caused by aggressive bidding from competitors. Recovery from the unprecedented economic uncertainties of 2008 and 2009 has been slow. This effect has been seen through the shift in revenues generated by the Company's customer base, which in 2008 was comprised equally between government agencies and the private sector, while in 2011, the mix shifted to the public sector, with 76% of revenues coming from federal, state and local agencies, and 24% from the private sector, which shift is reflective of the uncertainty in the economy, especially as it relates to private investment and capital spending.

In addition, postponement of capital infrastructure projects particularly in the private sector, and failure in the governmental budgeting process to fund federal projects, significantly slowed the pace of projects put out to bid and had a negative affect on our ability to generate revenue and quickly replenish our backlog.

We responded to these pressures by implementing cost containment measures to right-size our cost structure, including reductions in our workforce, facilities and overhead, while continuing to bid responsibly.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, our operations provided cash from operations of \$32.6 million and our cash position at December 31, 2011 exceeded \$38.0 million. Our operations are not currently dependent on external sources of capital and we did not utilize the \$75 million available to us under our revolving credit facility.

We believe the economy as a whole will remain in a state of fluctuation and uncertainty throughout much of 2012. However, the waterway infrastructure continues to require maintenance, repair and improvement, and we believe demand for the Company's services has not abated, but has only been deferred.

Despite the uncertain economic and political environments, we continue to see positive long term trends in our end markets, including:

- Ports in our geographic markets have released plans for expansion as a result of recent cargo volume increases and expected future increases as larger ships begin to transit the Panama Canal;
- Good opportunities for coastal restoration and protection projects; and
-

Inland waterways continue to silt in, exacerbated by the Mississippi River flooding in the spring of 2011, and require dredging.

Our focus in 2012 will be to concentrate on our core business objectives; to manage our business effectively and efficiently, continue to build backlog while maintaining our pricing discipline; to closely monitor the costs of our operations; and to maintain our strong balance sheet.

Critical Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements contained in this report were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("US GAAP"). The preparation of these financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect both the Company's carrying values of its assets and liabilities, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

-26-

Table of Contents

Although our significant accounting policies are described in more detail in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements; we believe the following accounting policies to be critical to the judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We enter into construction contracts principally on the basis of competitive bids. Although the terms of our contracts vary considerably, most are made on a fixed price basis. Revenues from construction contracts are recognized on the percentage-of-completion method. The percentage-of-completion method measures the ratio of costs incurred and accrued to date for each contract to the estimated total costs for each contract at completion. This requires us to prepare on-going estimates of the costs to complete each contract as the project progresses. In preparing these estimates, we make significant judgments and assumptions concerning our significant cost drivers of materials, labor and equipment, and we evaluate contingencies based on possible schedule variances, production delays or other productivity factors.

Actual costs may vary from the costs we estimated. Variations from estimated contract costs along with other risks inherent in fixed price contracts may result in actual revenue and gross profits differing from those we estimated and could result in losses on projects. If a current estimate of total contract cost indicates a loss on a contract, the projected loss is recognized in full when determined, without regard to the percentage of completion. We consider unapproved change orders to be contract variations on which we have customer approval for scope change, but not for price associated with that scope change. These costs are included in the estimated cost to complete the contracts and are expensed as incurred. We recognize revenue equal to cost incurred on unapproved change orders when realization of price approval is probable and the estimated amount is equal to or greater than our cost related to the unapproved change order and the related margin when the change order is formally approved by the customer. Revenue recognized on unapproved change orders is included in contract costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts on the balance sheet. We consider claims to be amounts that we seek or will seek to collect from customers or others for customer-caused changes in contract specifications or design, or other customer-related causes of unanticipated additional contract costs on which there is no agreement with customers on both scope and price changes. Revenue from claims is recognized when agreement is reached with customers as to the value of the claims, which in some instances may not occur until after completion of work under the contract. Costs associated with claims are included in the estimated costs to complete the contracts and are expensed when incurred. Depending on the size of a particular project, variations from estimated project costs could have a significant impact on our operating results for any fiscal quarter or year. We believe our exposure to losses on fixed price contracts is limited by the relatively short duration of the contracts we undertake and our management's experience in estimating contract costs.

Long-Lived Assets

Our long-lived assets consist primarily of equipment used in our operations. Fixed assets are carried at cost and are depreciated over their estimated useful lives, ranging from one to thirty years, using the straight-line method for financial reporting purposes and accelerated methods for tax reporting purposes. The carrying value of our long-lived assets is evaluated periodically based on utilization of the asset and physical condition of the asset, as well as the useful life of the asset to determine if adjustment to the depreciation period or the carrying value is warranted. If events and circumstances such as poor utilization or deteriorated physical condition indicate that the asset(s) should be reviewed for possible impairment, we use projections to assess whether future cash flows, including disposition, on a non-discounted basis related to the tested assets are likely to exceed the recorded carrying amount of those assets to determine if an impairment exists. If we identify a potential impairment, we will estimate the fair value of the asset through known market transactions of similar equipment and other valuation techniques, which could include the use of similar projections on a discounted basis. We will report a loss to the extent that the carrying value of the impaired assets exceeds their fair values.

Goodwill

We have acquired businesses and assets in purchase transactions that resulted in the recognition of goodwill. In accordance with US GAAP, acquired goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to impairment testing at least annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the asset more likely than not may be impaired.

Goodwill recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets is subject to impairment testing at least annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the asset more likely than not may be impaired. We evaluate goodwill at the reporting unit level. We have determined that we have three reporting units, which are based on geography, and are referred to internally as “East Coast”, “Gulf Coast” and “Pacific Northwest”. Each reporting unit conducts similar business as described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which includes marine construction specialty services. This reporting unit grouping reflects our internal management structure, which is based on the geographic location of our primary field offices. Two reporting units, Gulf Coast and East Coast have goodwill and are evaluated for impairment.

At December 31, 2011, goodwill totaled \$32.2 million, of which \$22.6 million related to the Gulf Coast (including goodwill

-27-

Table of Contents

acquired from the acquisition of T.W. LaQuay), and \$9.6 million resulted from the acquisition of equipment on the East Coast in 2008.

We assess the fair value of our two reporting units based on a weighted average of valuations based on market multiples, discounted cash flows, and consideration of our market capitalization. The key assumptions used in the discounted cash flow valuations are discount rates and perpetual growth rates applied to cash flow projections. Also inherent in the discounted cash flow valuation models are past performance, projections and assumptions in current operating plans, and revenue growth rates over the next five years. These assumptions contemplate business, market and overall economic conditions. We also consider assumptions that market participants may use.

As required by the Company's policy, annual impairment assessments and testing of goodwill are performed during the fourth quarter of each year or when circumstances arise that indicate a possible impairment might exist. Based on this testing, we determined that the estimated fair value of our reporting units exceeded their respective carrying values as of October 31, 2011, goodwill was not impaired, and no events have occurred since that date that would require an interim impairment test. In the future, our estimated fair value could be negatively impacted by extended declines in our stock price, changes in macroeconomic indicators, sustained operating losses, and other factors which may affect our assessment of fair value.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the liability method prescribed by US GAAP. We evaluate valuation allowances for deferred tax assets for which future realization is uncertain. The estimation of required valuation allowance includes estimates of future taxable income. In our assessment of our deferred tax assets at December 31, 2011, we considered that it was more likely than not that all of the deferred tax assets would be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions in accordance with the provisions ASC 740-10, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement disclosure of tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, on our consolidated tax return. We evaluate and record any uncertain tax positions based on the amount that management deems is more likely than not to be sustained upon ultimate settlement with the tax authorities in the tax jurisdictions in which we operate.

Insurance Coverage, Litigation, Claims and Contingencies

We maintain insurance coverage for our business and operations. Insurance related to property, equipment, automobile, general liability and a portion of workers' compensation is provided through traditional policies, subject to a deductible. A portion of our workers' compensation exposure is covered through a mutual association, which is subject to supplemental calls.

The Company maintains two levels of excess loss insurance coverage, totaling \$100 million in excess of primary coverage, which excess loss coverage responds to most of the Company's liability policies. The Company's excess loss coverage responds to most of its policies when a primary limit of \$1 million has been exhausted; provided that the primary limit for Maritime Employer's Liability is \$10 million and the Watercraft Pollution Policy primary limit is \$5 million.

We have elected to retain a portion of losses that may occur through the use of various deductibles, limits and retentions under our insurance programs. Losses on these policies up to the deductible amounts are accrued in our consolidated financial statements based on known claims incurred and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported. We derive our accruals from known facts, historical trends and industry averages to determine the best

estimate of the ultimate expected loss. Actual claims may vary from our estimate. We include any adjustments to such reserves in our consolidated results of operations in the period in which they become known.

Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and Others

We measure the cost of equity compensation to our employees and independent directors based on the estimated grant-date fair value of the award and recognize the expense over the vesting period. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to compute the fair value of the awards of equity instruments. The Black-Scholes model requires the use of highly subjective assumptions in the computation. Changes in these assumptions can cause significant fluctuations in the fair value of the option award.

Consolidated Results of Operations

Backlog Information

Our contract backlog represents our estimate of the revenues we expect to realize under the portion of contracts remaining to be performed. Given the typical duration of our contracts, which ranges from three to nine months, our backlog at any point in

Table of Contents

time usually represents only a portion of the revenue that we expect to realize during a twelve month period. Many projects that make up our backlog may be canceled at any time without penalty; however, we can generally recover actual committed costs and profit on work performed up to the date of cancellation. Although we have not been materially adversely affected by contract cancellations or modifications in the past, we may be in the future, especially in economically uncertain periods. Consequently, backlog is not necessarily indicative of future results. In addition to our backlog under contract, we also have a substantial number of projects in negotiation or pending award at any time.

Backlog at December 31, 2011 was \$164.5 million, as compared with \$194.5 million at December 31, 2010 and was below the comparable prior year period due primarily to delays in lettings by the US Corps of Engineers. Ending backlog at December 31, 2011 represents a sequential increase of \$18.4 million as compared with backlog at September 30, 2011.

Current Year—Year Ended December 31, 2011 compared with Year Ended December 31, 2010

The following information is derived from our historical results of operations (dollars in thousands):

	Twelve months ended December 31,		2010		
	2011		Amount	Percent	
Contract revenues	\$259,852	100.0	% \$353,135	100.0	%
Cost of contract revenues	249,674	96.1	% 287,902	81.5	%
Gross profit	10,178	3.9	% 65,233	18.5	%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	29,519	11.4	% 32,646	9.3	%
Operating (loss) income	(19,341) (7.5)% 32,587	9.2	%
Other (income) expense					
Gain from bargain purchase	—	—	% (1,589) (0.5)%
Other income	(198) (0.1)% —	—	%
Interest income	(31) —	% (99) —	%
Interest expense	349	0.1	% 434	0.1	%
Other expense (income), net	120	—	% (1,254) (0.4)%
(Loss) income before income taxes	(19,461) (7.5)% 33,841	9.6	%
Income tax (benefit) expense	(6,347) (2.4)% 11,959	3.4	%
Net (loss) income	\$(13,114) (5.1)% \$21,882	6.2	%

Contract Revenues. Total revenue in 2011 decreased approximately \$93.3 million, or 26.4%, as compared with 2010.

As projects schedules progressed, we were not able to replace our backlog with new work as quickly due to:

• Postponement of capital infrastructure projects, particularly in the private sector;

• Constraints in the government budgeting process to fund federal projects, resulting in a slowdown in letting of contracts;

• Fewer contract awards received due to aggressive pricing pressure in the market.

The factors above resulted in gaps between project end dates and the remobilization of equipment to new projects. In addition, we were unable to commence work as expected on two major projects due to permitting and other factors beyond our control. These delays shifted revenue generated from these projects into later periods. Contract revenue generated from public agencies, including the US Corps of Engineers, represented 76% of total 2011 revenues, as compared with 64% in 2010. Revenue generated from the private sector was 24% and 36% in 2011 and 2010, respectively. The shift in work toward the public sector is due in part to the dredging assets purchased in 2010, which added to our capacity to bid on federal projects, as well as to the weak economy, which reduced capital expenditure spending by the private sector.

Gross Profit. Gross profit was 10.2 million, a decrease of \$55.1 million or 84.4% from the prior year, and was primarily related to increased equipment costs, including an increase in depreciation as a result of the acquisitions completed in 2010, and to maintenance and repairs, mainly on dredging assets. Gross profit was impacted additionally by underutilized equipment and to labor expense for crews idled when projects completed. We increased our estimates for liabilities related to self-insurance claims, particularly due to two non-fatal job related accidents in the year. Gross margin was 3.9% as compared with 18.5% in 2010. The variance between periods was due to the factors described above, to pricing pressure on projects in progress, and to the mix and scope of contracts in the current year as compared with the same period last year. As measured by cost, we self-performed approximately 86% of work during the period, as compared with a self-performance rate of 82% in 2010.

-29-

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expense. As compared with the prior year, selling, general and administrative expenses (“SG&A”) decreased approximately \$3.1 million or 9.6%, primarily related to cost containment measures put in place during the year, including the elimination of bonus expense (\$1.8 million), offset in part by higher costs related to our self-insured group medical plan (\$0.6 million). In 2010, transaction costs related to the business acquisitions totaled \$1.7 million.

Other Income, net of expense. Other income of \$1.3 million in 2010 resulted from a gain of \$1.6 million on the purchase of the business in the Pacific Northwest, which fair value, net of the related deferred tax liability, exceeded the purchase price. The balance related to interest expense, net of interest income.

Income Tax (Benefit) Expense. Our effective rate for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 32.6%. This differed from the Company’s statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes, net of the federal benefit and the non-deductibility of certain permanent tax items, such as incentive stock compensation expense. Our effective tax rate at December 31, 2010 was 35.3%.

Prior Year—Year Ended December 31, 2010 compared with Year Ended December 31, 2009

The following information is derived from our historical results of operations (dollars in thousands):

	Twelve months ended December 31,					
	2010		2009			
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent		
Contract revenues	\$353,135	100.0	% \$293,494	100.0	%	
Cost of contract revenues	287,902	81.5	% 230,797	78.6	%	
Gross profit	65,233	18.5	% 62,697	21.4	%	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	32,646	9.3	% 30,695	10.5	%	
Operating income	32,587	9.2	% 32,002	10.9	%	
Other (income) expense						
Gain from bargain purchase	(1,589) (0.5)% —	—	%	
Interest (income)	(99) —	% (352) (0.1)%	
Interest expense	434	0.1	% 790	0.2	%	
Other (income) expense, net	(1,254) (0.4)% 438	0.1	%	
Income before income taxes	33,841	9.6	% 31,564	10.8	%	
Income tax expense	11,959	3.4	% 11,534	3.9	%	
Net income	\$21,882	6.2	% \$20,030	6.9	%	

Contract Revenues. Total revenue in 2010 increased \$59.6 million or 20.3%, as compared with 2009, of which approximately \$31 million related to liquidation of backlog from contracts acquired in the acquisition of T.W. LaQuay Dredging. The assets of T.W. LaQuay Dredging were absorbed into the normal operations of our business and are not a separate reporting unit. The remaining increase in revenue was attributable to the size and type of projects in backlog; the productivity of our labor force, the utilization of our equipment and the progress schedules in the mix of projects between periods; all of which factor into and affect the rate at which revenue is recognized as projects are completed. Our typical project duration is between three to nine months, and comparisons of individual projects between reporting periods are not indicators of future results. In 2010, our mix of contracts due to the projects we chose to bid (and were awarded), as well as the backlog obtained from T.W. LaQuay Dredging shifted, such that 41% of 2010 revenues were generated from federally funded projects. Overall, 64% of 2010 revenues were generated from governmentally funded projects, with 36% generated from the private sector. Our customer base changes over time,

and we are not dependent on a single sector or customer for the projects we bid.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased by \$2.5 million, or 4.0% as compared with the prior year, primarily as a result of the increase in revenues. However, gross margin decreased in 2010 to 18.5% of revenues from 21.4% of revenues in 2009. The decrease was due to pricing pressure experienced on the East Coast which reduced profitability on certain jobs throughout the year. In the fourth quarter, production issues on three projects negatively impacted gross profit and margin. In addition, the mix of contracts in progress required the use of outside subcontractors, more than is historically our norm, which reduced our overall self-performance rate to 82.4% and contributed to the decrease in gross margin.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expense. As compared with the prior year, selling, general and administrative expenses (“SG&A”) increased approximately \$2.0 million or 6.4% in 2010. In the current year, \$1.7 million was related to the costs of the acquisitions in early 2010, while in 2009 approximately \$3.0 million was related to the amortization of construction contracts in backlog acquired in 2008 upon the acquisition of a dredging business along the Eastern Seaboard. Excluding these two items in each year, SG&A increased by approximately \$3.3 million, primarily related to additional overheads to support our business growth.

Other Income, net of expense. Other income of \$1.3 million in 2010 resulted from a gain of \$1.6 million on the purchase of the business in the Pacific Northwest in February 2010, which fair value, net of the related deferred tax liability, exceeded the purchase price. The balance related to interest expense, net of interest income.

Income Tax Expense. Our effective rate for the year ended December 31, 2010 was 35.3%. This differed from the Company’s statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes and the non-deductibility of certain permanent tax items, such as incentive stock compensation expense, and which in the current year included a reduction of taxable income related to the net gain on the bargain purchase of the business in the Pacific Northwest. Our effective tax rate at December 31, 2009 was 36.5%.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary liquidity needs are for financing working capital, investment in capital expenditures and strategic acquisitions. Historically, our sources of liquidity have been cash provided by our operating activities and borrowings under our credit facility.

Our working capital position fluctuates from period to period due to normal increases and decreases in operations activity. At December 31, 2011, our working capital was \$75.8 million, as compared with \$76.7 million at December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2011 we had available cash on hand and availability under our revolving credit facility of \$113.0 million.

During 2011, our operations provided cash from operations of \$32.7 million. Our operations are not currently dependent on external sources of capital, and we did not utilize our available borrowing of \$75 million under our credit facility during the year.

We expect to meet our future internal liquidity and working capital needs, and maintain our equipment fleet through capital expenditure purchases and major repairs, from funds generated in our operating activities for at least the next 12 months. We believe our cash position, combined with the capacity available under our revolving credit facility, is adequate for our general business requirements. In January 2012, we drew \$13 million on our revolving line of credit to purchase land and buildings formerly leased by us, located in Tampa, Florida.

The following table provides information regarding our cash flows and our capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in thousands)		
Cash provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$32,676	\$13,839	\$40,336
Investing activities	(14,053) (95,755) (21,598

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Financing activities	(2,818) 354	60,286
Capital expenditures (included in investing activities above)	14,894	29,050	22,693

Operating Activities. Fluctuations in cash generated by operating activities are generally the result of timing differences related to the nature and volume of contracts in any given year. Our operations provided net cash of \$32.7 million during 2011. The change between periods of \$18.8 million, as compared with 2010 was due to:

- ▲ An increase of \$2.5 million of depreciation expense related to the increase in our fleet in 2010;
- ▲ A non-cash gain in the prior year of \$1.6 million related to bargain purchase of a business;
- ▲ An increase of \$13.2 million in working capital components, as described below.

In 2011, the changes in working capital included decreases in trade accounts receivable of \$26.1 million and net underbillings on contracts of \$12.3 million, reflecting the lower revenues resulting in lower billings to customers. These sources of cash were offset in part by a decrease in trade payables of \$12.9 million due to the lower project activity and an increase in tax receivable, of \$6.3 million, resulting from the generation of a net operating loss in the period. We manage our working capital components

Table of Contents

in total, rather than by the individual elements, and fluctuations in accounts receivable and payable balances are normal within our business.

In 2010, we increased our deferred income tax liabilities related to timing differences in book and tax depreciation resulting from the 2010 acquisitions and working capital components decreased by \$35.3 million related to decreases in trade payables and increased in receivables, including \$3.1 million related to the finalization of the purchase price of businesses acquired in 2010 and \$4.6 million related to prepayment of federal income taxes.

In 2009, our accounts receivable and payable balances increased by \$2.5 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, and amounts unbilled to customers exceeded billings to customers on our contracts in progress.

Investing Activities.

We purchase capital equipment as well as perform major maintenance and upgrades of our existing fleet and construction equipment to support our construction activities. Capital expenditures to support our operations and maintain our fleet totaled \$14.9 million in 2011, which we believe is adequate to support our fleet.

In 2010, capital expenditures totaled \$29.1 million, an increase of \$6.4 million as compared with 2009, some of which related to the purchase of additional heavy lift capacity. Also in 2010, we acquired the business of T.W. LaQuay Dredging for approximately \$61 million, and expanded into the Pacific Northwest through a business acquisition totaling \$6.7 million.

In 2009, our capital expenditures totaled \$22.7 million, which included the purchase of heavy lift equipment for approximately \$5.8 million.

Financing Activities.

In 2011, we purchased 305,500 shares of our common stock into treasury for a total cost of approximately \$3.0 million, or an average of \$9.83 per share.

Financing activities in 2010 primarily related to loan costs incurred upon the entry into a new credit agreement in June 2010 and to proceeds received from the exercise of stock options.

In 2009, we completed a public offering of common stock, receiving proceeds, net of expenses, of approximately \$91.0 million. With a portion of the proceeds, we repaid the outstanding balance on our credit facility. In addition, we received proceeds from stock option exercises, including related tax benefits of \$3.4 million.

Sources of Capital

In 2010 the Company entered into a credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as sole lead arranger and bookrunner; and the lenders from time to time as party thereto.

The Credit Agreement provides for borrowings of up to \$75,000,000 under revolving and swingline loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) with a \$20,000,000 sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit. An additional \$25 million is available under the facility subject to the lenders' discretion (together, the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility matures on September 30, 2013, and is guaranteed by the subsidiaries of the Company. The Credit Facility may be used to finance working capital, repay indebtedness, fund acquisitions, and for other general corporate purposes.

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Revolving loans may be designated as prime rate based loans (“ABR Loans”) or Eurodollar Loans, at the Company’s request, and may be made in integrals of \$500,000, in the case of an ABR Loan, or \$1,000,000 in the case of a Eurodollar Loan. Swingline loans may only be designated as ABR Loans, and may be made in amounts equal to integral multiples of \$100,000. The Company may convert, change or modify such designations from time to time. Interest is computed based on the designation of the Loans, and bear interest at either a prime-based interest rate or a LIBOR-based interest rate. Principal balances drawn under the Credit Facility may be prepaid at any time, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty. Amounts repaid under the Credit Facility may be re-borrowed.

The Credit Facility contains certain restrictive financial covenants that are usual and customary for similar transactions, including;

• A Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio of not less than 1.50 to 1.00 at all times;

• A Leverage Ratio of not greater than 2.50 to 1.00 at all times;

• A minimum Net Worth of not less than \$180 million on the effective date, and at the end of each fiscal quarter thereafter,

-32-

Table of Contents

minimum net worth required as of the end of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter plus 50% of the Borrower's and its subsidiaries consolidated net income for that quarter, plus 75% of all issuances of equity interests by Borrower during that quarter.

In addition, the Credit Facility contains events of default that are usual and customary for similar transactions, including non-payment of principal, interest or fees; inaccuracy of representations and warranties; violation of covenants; bankruptcy and insolvency events; and events constituting a change of control.

The Company is subject to a commitment fee, payable quarterly in arrears on the unused portion of the Credit Facility at a current rate of 0.25% of the unused balance. As of December 31, 2011, no amounts had been drawn under the Credit Facility.

At December 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with two of its financial covenants. The Leverage Ratio, calculated at 0.32 to 1.00, was within the not greater than 2.50 to 1.00 maximum parameter, and the Company's net worth, at \$233.6 million, exceeded its minimum requirement of \$189.3 million. However, the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio was less than the minimum of 1.50 to 1.00, and the Company was not in compliance with this covenant. The Company's lenders waived compliance with the Fixed Charge Coverage ratio as of December 31, 2011. In accordance with the terms of the Credit Facility, the Company will set aside \$13 million of cash on or before March 31, 2012. This sum represents the balance of the amount drawn in January 2012 to purchase land and buildings in Tampa, Florida, as described more fully in Note 20, Subsequent Event. The Company is working with its lenders on an alternative arrangement in lieu of a cash set aside. Additionally, the Company is working with its lenders to modify its Credit Facility to provide covenant relief given the Company's current near term outlook. Notwithstanding the above, the Company expects that its cash on hand and cash generated from operations will be adequate to fund its business requirement and maintain our fleet for at least the next 12 months.

Bonding Capacity

We are generally required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide additional security to our customers for our performance under certain government and private sector contracts. Our ability to obtain surety bonds depends on our capitalization, working capital, past performance and external factors, including the capacity of the overall surety market. At December 31, 2011, we believe our capacity under our current bonding arrangement was in excess of \$400 million, of which we had approximately \$139 million in surety bonds outstanding. We believe our strong balance sheet and working capital position will allow us to continue to access our bonding capacity.

Effect of Inflation

We are subject to the effects of inflation through increases in the cost of raw materials, and other items such as fuel. Because the typical duration of a project is between three to nine months we do not believe inflation has had a material impact on our operations.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We currently have no off balance sheet arrangements, other than operating leases to which we are a party, and which are discussed above under "Bonding Capacity" and "Sources of Capital" and which arise in the normal course of business. These arrangements are not reasonably likely to have an effect on our financial condition, or results of operations that is material to investors. See Note 20 – Commitments and Contingencies of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth information about our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2011:

	Total (in thousands)	Payment Due by Period			
		< 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	> 5 years
Long-term debt obligations	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Operating lease obligations	5,709	2,801	2,393	483	32
Purchase obligations (1)	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$5,709	\$2,801	\$2,393	\$483	\$32

-33-

Table of Contents

- (1) Commitments pursuant to other purchase orders and subcontracts related to construction contracts are not included since such amounts are expected to be funded under contract billings.

To manage risks of changes in the material prices and subcontracting costs used in tendering bids for construction contracts, we obtain firm quotations from our suppliers and subcontractors before submitting a bid. These quotations do not include any quantity guarantees, and we have no obligation for materials or subcontract services beyond those required to complete the contracts that we are awarded for which quotations have been provided.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements

Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2010-28, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts was issued in December 2010. The amendments in this ASU modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance and examples, which require that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this accounting standard update did not have a material impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures.

ASU 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805) – Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations was issued in December 2010. ASU 2010-29 provides clarification as to the presentation of pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations and expands supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, non-recurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The Company will comply with the provisions of ASU 2010-29 for any future business combinations.

ASU 2011-08, Intangibles -- Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment was issued in September 2011. This accounting standard update amends accounting guidance on goodwill impairment testing, and is intended to reduce complexity and costs by allowing an entity the option to make a qualitative evaluation about the likelihood of goodwill impairment to determine whether it should calculate the fair value of a reporting unit. In addition, this amendment expands upon examples of events and circumstances that an entity should consider in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. This accounting standard update is effective for interim and annual goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. Early adoption of this standard in September 2011 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results or operations or cash flows, as it is intended to simplify the assessment of goodwill impairment.

During the periods presented in these financial statements, the Company implemented other new accounting pronouncements other than the one above that are discussed in the notes where applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading, speculation or other purposes that would expose the Company to market risk. In the normal course of business, our results of operations are subject to risks related to fluctuation in commodity prices and fluctuations in interest rates.

Commodity price risk

We are subject to fluctuations in commodity prices for concrete, steel products and fuel. Although we attempt to secure firm quotes from our suppliers, we generally do not hedge against increases in prices for concrete, steel and fuel. Commodity price risks may have an impact on our results of operations due to the fixed-price nature of many of our contracts, although the short-term duration of our projects may allow us to include price increases in the costs of our bids.

Interest rate risk

At December 31, 2011, we had no borrowings under our credit agreement. Interest expense interest related primarily to the amortization of costs associated with the entry into the credit facility in June. Our credit facility expires in June 2013. Our objectives in managing interest rate risk are to lower our overall borrowing costs and limit interest rate changes on our earnings and cash flows. To achieve this, we closely monitor changes in interest rates and we utilize cash from operations to reduce our debt position.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The information required by this Item 8 is submitted as a separate section beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

Additionally, a two-year Summary of Selected Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited) is included in “Selected Quarterly Financial Data” under Item 6 - Selected Financial Data.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain a set of disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our principal executive and financial officers have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective with reasonable assurance as of the end of such period.

Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of

-35-

Table of Contents

its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting can also be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. In making this assessment, management used the criteria described in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

Grant Thornton LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm who audited the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report, has issued a report on our internal control over financial reporting dated March 6, 2012 and expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over the financial reporting as of December 31, 2011.

Changes in Internal Control

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's quarter ended December 31, 2011, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

Table of Contents

PART III

Certain information required by Part III is omitted from this Report. We will file our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 22, 2012 (the "Proxy Statement") pursuant to regulation 14A not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report, and certain information included therein is incorporated by reference herein.

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons

The information required by Paragraph (a), and Paragraphs (c) through (g) of Item 401 of Regulation S-K (except for information required by Paragraph (e) of that Item to the extent the required information pertains to our executive officers) and Item 405 of Regulation S-K is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

The following table presents the information required by Paragraph (b) of Item 401 of Regulation S-K.

Name	Age	Position with the Company	Year Joined the Registrant
Richard L. Daerr, Jr.	67	Chairman of the Board	2007
J. Michael Pearson	64	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	2006
Thomas N. Amonett	68	Director	2007
Austin J. Shanfelter	55	Director	2007
Gene Stoever	74	Director	2007
Mark R. Stauffer	49	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	1999
James L. Rose	47	Executive Vice President	2005
Peter R. Buchler	66	Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, General Counsel and Secretary	2009

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics for our chief executive, chief financial and principal accounting officers; a code of business conduct and ethics for members of our Board of Directors; and corporate governance guidelines. The full text of the codes of ethics and corporate governance guidelines is available at our website www.orionmarinegroup.com. Although we have never done so, in the event we make any amendment to, or grant any waiver from, a provision of the code of ethics that applies to the principal executive officer, principal financial officer or principal accounting officer that requires disclosure under applicable Commission rules, we will disclose such amendment or waiver and the reasons therefore on our website. We will provide any person without charge a copy of any of the aforementioned codes of ethics upon receipt of a written request. Requests should be addressed to: Orion Marine Group, Inc. 12000 Aerospace, Houston, Texas 77034, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

Corporate Governance

The information required by Items 407(c)(3), (d)(4) and (d)(5) of Regulation S-K is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

Item SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND
12. RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year. The information required by Item 403 of Regulation S-K is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year. The information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is submitted in a separate section of this Form 10-K. See Item 5. — Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities, above.

-37-

Table of Contents

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

1. Financial Statements

The Company's Consolidated Financial Statements at December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 and the notes thereto, together with the Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on those Consolidated Financial Statements are hereby filed as part of this Report, beginning on page F-1.

2. Financial Statement Schedule

The following financial statement schedule of the Company for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 is filed as part of this Report and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company.

Schedule II – Schedule of Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

3. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
1 .01	Form of Indemnity Agreement for Directors and Certain Officers dated November 24, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.01 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 25, 2008 (File No. 001-33891)).
2 .1	Asset Purchase Agreement dated February 28, 2008, by and among OMGI Sub, LLC, Orion Marine Group, Inc., Subaqueous Services, Inc. and Lance Young (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.01 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 4, 2008 (File No. 001-33891)).
2 .2	Purchase Agreement dated January 28, 2010 by and among LaQuay Holdings., Inc and Seagull Services Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 2, 2010 (File No. 001-33891)).
3 .1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Orion Marine Group, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
3 .2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Orion Marine Group, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
4 .1	Registration Rights Agreement between Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. and Orion Marine Group, Inc. dated May 17, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
10 .1	Loan Agreement, dated June 30, 2010, between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Wells Fargo National Association and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2010 (File No. 001-33891)).
10 .2	Purchase/Placement Agreement, dated May 9, 2007, between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
† 10 .3	2005 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

- † 10 .4 Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan & Notice of Grant of Stock Option (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
- † 10 .5 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement Under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan & Notice of Grant of Restricted Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
- † 10 .6 Orion Marine Group, Inc. Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
- † 10 .7 Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
- † 10 .8 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement and Notice of Grant of Restricted Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).

Table of Contents

10.9	Orion Marine Group, Inc. 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement filed April 4, 2011 (File No. 001-33891)).
† *10.10	Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan.
† *10.11	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement and Notice of Grant of Restricted Stock under the 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan.
† 10.12	Executive Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.13	Subsidiary Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.14	Employment Agreement, dated December 4, 2009, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and J. Michael Pearson (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 10, 2009 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.15	Employment Agreement, dated January 1, 2011, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Mark Stauffer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 filed August 5, 2011 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.16	Employment Agreement, dated December 11, 2009, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and James L. Rose (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 17, 2009 (File No. 001-33891)), as amended by the First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated March 30, 2011, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and James L. Rose (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 filed August 5, 2011 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.17	Employment Agreement, dated December 11, 2009, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Peter R. Buchler (filed as exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 17, 2009 (File No. 001-33891)), as amended by the First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated June 30, 2011, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Peter R. Buchler (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 filed August 5, 2011 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.18	Schedule of Changes to Compensation of Non-employee Directors, effective for 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 filed August 6, 2009 (File No. 001-33891)).
* 21 .1	List of Subsidiaries.
* 23 .1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
24 .1	Power of Attorney (included on signature page of this filing).
* 31 .1	Certification of CEO pursuant to Section 302.
* 31 .2	Certification of CFO pursuant to Section 302.
* 32 .1	Certification of CEO and CFO pursuant to Section 906.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

* Filed herewith

** Incorporated by reference to the Company's report on Form 8K filed with the SEC on March 4, 2008

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

(b) Financial Statement Schedules

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.

March 6, 2012

By: /s/ J. Michael Pearson
J. Michael Pearson
President and Chief Executive Officer and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ J. Michael Pearson J. Michael Pearson	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director	March 6, 2012
/s/ Mark R. Stauffer Mark R. Stauffer	Chief Financial Officer Chief Accounting Officer	March 6, 2012
/s/ Richard L. Daerr, Jr. Richard L. Daerr, Jr.	Chairman of the Board	March 6, 2012
/s/ Thomas N. Amonett Thomas N. Amonett	Director	March 6, 2012
/s/ Austin J. Shanfelter Austin J. Shanfelter	Director	March 6, 2012
/s/ Gene Stoever Gene Stoever	Director	March 6, 2012

Table of Contents

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

WITH REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

December 31, 2011

-F 1-

Table of Contents

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2011

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm F 3

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 F 5

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 F 6

Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the Year Ended December 31, 2011 F 7

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 F 8

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements F 9

-F 2-

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Orion Marine Group, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Orion Marine Group, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Orion Marine Group, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Orion Marine Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 6, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP
Houston, Texas
March 6, 2012

-F 3-

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Orion Marine Group, Inc.

We have audited Orion Marine Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Orion Marine Group Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Orion Marine Group Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Orion Marine Group, Inc. has maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Orion Marine Group, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, and our report dated March 6, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP
Houston, Texas

March 6, 2012

-F 4-

Table of ContentsORION MARINE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2011 AND 2010

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$38,979	\$23,174
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, net of allowance of \$0	20,954	40,211
Retainage	5,977	10,643
Other	1,111	4,988
Income taxes receivable	13,998	7,668
Note receivable	51	90
Inventory	3,361	2,991
Deferred tax asset	1,182	1,794
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	15,112	26,103
Prepaid expenses and other	2,470	2,076
Total current assets	103,195	119,738
Property and equipment, net	146,107	155,311
Accounts receivable, long-term	1,410	—
Goodwill	32,168	32,168
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization	—	5
Other assets	207	357
Total assets	\$283,087	\$307,579
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable:		
Trade	11,977	25,519
Retainage	374	377
Accrued liabilities	9,339	12,463
Taxes payable	—	262
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	5,665	4,389
Total current liabilities	27,355	43,010
Other long-term liabilities	606	746
Deferred income taxes	21,287	16,707
Deferred revenue	203	260
Total liabilities	49,451	60,723
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock -- \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 authorized, none issued	—	—
Common stock — \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 authorized, 27,436,922 and 27,017,165 issued; 27,119,191 and 27,004,934 outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively	274	270
Treasury stock, 317,731 and 12,231 shares at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, at cost	(3,003)	—
Additional paid-in capital	157,560	154,667

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Retained earnings	78,805	91,919
Total stockholders' equity	233,636	246,856
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$283,087	\$307,579

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

-F 5-

Table of Contents

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Contract revenues	\$259,852	\$353,135	\$293,494
Costs of contract revenues	249,674	287,902	230,797
Gross profit	10,178	65,233	62,697
Selling, general and administrative expenses	29,519	32,646	30,695
Operating (loss) income	(19,341)	32,587	32,002
Other (income) expense			
Gain from bargain purchase of a business	—	(1,589)	—
Other income	(198)	—	—
Interest income	(31)	(99)	(352)
Interest expense	349	434	790
Other expense (income), net	120	(1,254)	438
(Loss) income before income taxes	(19,461)	33,841	31,564
Income tax (benefit) expense	(6,347)	11,959	11,534
Net (loss) income	\$(13,114)	\$21,882	\$20,030
Basic (loss) earnings per share	\$(0.49)	\$0.81	\$0.85
Diluted (loss) earnings per share	\$(0.49)	\$0.81	\$0.84
Shares used to compute (loss) earnings per share			
Basic	26,990,059	26,899,373	23,577,854
Diluted	26,990,059	27,165,852	23,979,943

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(In thousands, except share information)

	Common stock		Treasury stock		Additional Paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			
Balance, December 31, 2008	21,577,366	\$216	(11,646)	\$—	\$55,388	\$50,007	\$105,611
Stock-based compensation	—	—	—	—	1,614	—	1,614
Exercise of stock options	382,852	4	—	—	1,934	—	1,938
Excess tax benefits from exercise of stock options	—	—	—	—	1,476	—	1,476
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net of expenses	4,830,000	48	—	—	90,949	—	90,997
Issuance of restricted stock	62,189	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	20,030	20,030
Balance, December 31, 2009	26,852,407	\$268	(11,646)	\$—	\$151,361	\$70,037	\$221,666
Stock-based compensation	—	—	—	—	2,542	—	2,542
Exercise of stock options	82,510	\$1	—	—	668	—	669
Excess tax benefits from exercise of stock options	—	—	—	—	96	—	96
Issuance of restricted stock	82,248	\$1	—	—	—	—	1
Forfeiture of restricted stock	—	—	(585)	—	—	—	—
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	21,882	21,882
Balance, December 31, 2010	27,017,165	\$270	(12,231)	\$—	\$154,667	\$91,919	\$246,856
Stock-based compensation	—	—	—	—	2,712	—	2,712
Exercise of stock options	32,124	\$—	—	—	185	—	185
Issuance of restricted stock	400,417	\$4	—	—	(4)	—	—
Forfeiture of restricted stock	(12,784)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Purchase of stock into treasury	—	—	(305,500)	(3,003)	—	—	(3,003)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(13,114)	(13,114)
Balance, December 31, 2011	27,436,922	\$274	(317,731)	\$(3,003)	\$157,560	\$78,805	\$233,636

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this consolidated financial statement

Table of Contents

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
Cash flows from operating activities				
Net (loss) income	\$(13,114) \$21,882	\$20,030	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	22,092	19,458	18,536	
Deferred financing cost amortization	132	296	252	
Bad debt expense (recovery)	255	(285) 442	
Deferred income taxes	5,192	4,959	(1,013)
Stock-based compensation	2,712	2,542	1,614	
Gain on bargain purchase of a business	—	(1,589) —	
Loss (gain) on sale of property and equipment	60	(459) (518)
Excess tax benefit from stock option exercise	—	(96) (1,476)
Change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions of businesses:				
Accounts receivable	26,135	(5,073) (1,996)
Income tax receivable	(6,331) (5,119) 2,453	
Note receivable	39	1,246	(961)
Inventory	(370) (1,519) (734)
Prepaid expenses and other	(377) (698) 1,608	
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	10,991	(13,733) (3,640)
Accounts payable	(12,970) (7,651) 11,241	
Accrued liabilities	(2,728) 3,024	270	
Income tax payable	(262) (50) 314	
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	1,277	(3,241) (6,030)
Deferred revenue	(57) (55) (56)
Net cash provided by operating activities	32,676	13,839	40,336	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	841	827	1,095	
Purchase of property and equipment	(14,894) (29,050) (22,693)
Acquisition of T.W. LaQuay Dredging	—	(60,879) —	
Acquisition of business (net of cash acquired)	—	(6,653) —	
Net cash used in investing activities	(14,053) (95,755) (21,598)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Increase in loan costs	—	(411) —	
Payments on long-term debt	—	—	(34,125)
Purchase of treasury stock	(3,003) —	—	
Exercise of stock options	185	669	1,938	
Excess tax benefit from stock option exercise	—	96	1,476	
Proceeds from the sale of common stock, net of offering costs	—	—	90,997	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(2,818) 354	60,286	
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	15,805	(81,562) 79,024	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	23,174	104,736	25,712	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$38,979	\$23,174	\$104,736	
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:				

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest	\$212	\$547	\$553
Taxes, net of refunds	\$(4,948) \$12,149	\$9,781
Significant non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Non-cash capital expenditures	\$—	\$1,110	\$42
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements			

-F 8-

Table of Contents

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008
(Tabular Amounts in 000's, Except for Share and per Share Amounts)

1. Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Description of Business

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (hereafter collectively referred to as “Orion” or the “Company”) provide a broad range of marine construction services on, over and under the water along the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the Pacific Northwest and the Caribbean Basin. Our heavy civil marine projects include marine transportation facilities; bridges and causeways; marine pipelines; mechanical and hydraulic dredging and specialty projects. We are headquartered in Houston, Texas.

Although we describe our business in this report in terms of the services we provide, our base of customers and the geographic areas in which we operate, we have concluded that our operations comprise one reportable segment pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 280 – Segment Reporting. In making this determination, we considered that each project has similar characteristics, includes similar services, has similar types of customers and is subject to the same regulatory environment. We organize, evaluate and manage our financial information around each project when making operating decisions and assessing our overall performance.

Basis of Presentation

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the parent company, Orion Marine Group, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

2. Summary of Accounting Principles

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management’s estimates, judgments and assumptions are continually evaluated based on available information and experience; however, actual amounts could differ from those estimates.

The Company’s significant accounting policies that rely on the application of estimates and assumptions include:

- Revenue recognition from construction contracts;
- Allowance for doubtful accounts;
- Testing of goodwill and other long-lived assets for possible impairment;
- Income taxes;
- Self-insurance; and
- Stock-based compensation

Revenue Recognition

The Company records revenue on construction contracts for financial statement purposes on the percentage-of-completion method, measured by the percentage of contract costs incurred to date to total estimated costs for each contract. This method is used because management considers contract costs incurred to be the best available measure of progress on these contracts. Contract revenue reflects the original contract price adjusted for agreed upon change orders. Contract costs include all direct costs, such as material and labor, and those indirect costs related to contract performance such as payroll taxes and insurance. General and administrative costs are charged to expense as incurred. Unapproved claims are recognized as an increase in contract revenue only when the collection is deemed probable and if the amount can be reasonably estimated for purposes of calculating total profit or loss on long-term contracts. Incentive fees, if available, are billed to the customer based on the terms and conditions of the contract. The Company records revenue and the unbilled receivable for claims to the extent of costs incurred and to the extent we believe related collection is probable and includes no profit on claims recorded. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability, including those arising from final contract settlements, may result in revisions to costs and revenues and are recognized

-F 9-

Table of Contents

in the period in which the revisions are determined. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined, without regard to the percentage of completion. Revenue is recorded net of any sales taxes collected and paid on behalf of the customer, if applicable.

The current asset “costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts” represents revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed to the customer, which management believes will be billed and collected within one year of the completion of the contract. The liability “billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts” represents billings in advance of work performed.

The Company’s projects are typically short in duration, and usually span a period of three to nine months. Historically, we have not combined or segmented contracts.

Classification of Current Assets and Liabilities

The Company includes in current assets and liabilities amounts realizable and payable in the normal course of contract completion.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. At times, cash held by financial institutions may exceed federally insured limits. We have not historically sustained losses on our cash balances in excess of federally insured limits. Cash equivalents at December 31, 2011 and 2010 consisted primarily of money market mutual funds and overnight bank deposits.

Foreign Currencies

Historically, the Company’s exposure to foreign currency fluctuations has not been material and has been limited to temporary field accounts, located in countries where the Company performs work, which amounts were insignificant in either 2011 or 2010.

Risk Concentrations

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk principally consist of cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable.

The Company depends on its ability to continue to obtain federal, state and local governmental contracts, and indirectly, on the amount of funding available to these agencies for new and current governmental projects. Therefore, the Company’s operations can be influenced by the level and timing of government funding. Statutory mechanics liens provide the Company high priority in the event of lien foreclosures following financial difficulties of private owners, thus minimizing credit risk with private customers.

The following table represents concentrations of receivables (trade and retainage) at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010		
	A/R	%	A/R	%	%
Federal Government	\$5,958	22	% \$16,881	33	%
State Governments	379	1	% 3,156	6	%
Local Municipalities	6,207	24	% 11,236	22	%
Private Companies	14,387	53	% 19,581	39	%

\$26,931	100	%	\$50,854	100	%
----------	-----	---	----------	-----	---

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers accounted for 9.1% and 17.7% of total receivables, respectively. In addition, at December 31, 2011, 12.5% of total receivables was related to a federal job in which the Company was a subcontractor.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are stated at the historical carrying value, less write-offs and allowances for doubtful accounts. The Company has significant investments in billed and unbilled receivables as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. Billed receivables represent amounts billed upon the completion of small contracts and progress billings on large contracts in accordance with contract terms and milestones. Unbilled receivables on fixed-price contracts, which are included in costs in excess of billings, arise as revenues are recognized under the percentage-of-completion method. Unbilled amounts on cost-reimbursement contracts represent

-F 10-

Table of Contents

recoverable costs and accrued profits not yet billed. Revenue associated with these billings is recorded net of any sales tax, if applicable. Past due balances over 90 days and other higher risk amounts are reviewed individually for collectability. In establishing an allowance for doubtful accounts, the Company evaluates its contract receivables and costs in excess of billings and thoroughly reviews historical collection experience, the financial condition of its customers, billing disputes and other factors. The Company writes off uncollectible accounts receivable against the allowance for doubtful accounts if it is determined that the amounts will not be collected or if a settlement is reached for an amount that is less than the carrying value.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company did not have an allowance for doubtful accounts.

Balances billed to customers but not paid pursuant to retainage provisions in construction contracts generally become payable upon contract completion and acceptance by the owner. Retention at December 31, 2011 totaled \$5.9 million, of which \$3.5 million is expected to be collected beyond 2012. Retention at December 31, 2010 totaled \$10.6 million.

The Company negotiates change orders and claims with its customers. Unsuccessful negotiations of claims could result in a change to contract revenue that is less than its carrying value, which could result in the recording of a loss. Successful claims negotiations could result in the recovery of previously recorded losses. Significant losses on receivables could adversely affect the Company's financial position, results of operations and overall liquidity.

Advertising Costs

The Company primarily obtains contracts through the open bid process, and therefore advertising costs are not a significant component of expense. Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expenses totaled \$30,000 in 2011, \$63,000 in 2010, and \$39,500 in 2009.

Environmental Costs

Costs related to environmental remediation are charged to expense. Other environmental costs are also charged to expense unless they increase the value of the property and/or provide future economic benefits, in which event the costs are capitalized. Liabilities, if any, are recognized when the expenditure is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

Fair Value Measurements

We evaluate and present certain amounts included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at "fair value" in accordance with GAAP, which requires us to base our estimates on assumptions market participants, in an orderly transaction, would use to price an asset or liability, and to establish a hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to determine fair value. In measuring fair value, we use the following inputs in the order of priority indicated:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level II – Observable inputs other than Level I prices, such as (i) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; (ii) quoted prices in markets that have insufficient volume or infrequent transactions; and (iii) inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level III – Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the fair value measurement.

We generally apply fair value valuation techniques on a non-recurring basis associated with (1) valuing assets and liabilities acquired in connection with business combinations and other transactions; (2) valuing potential impairment loss related to long-lived assets; and (3) valuing potential impairment loss related to goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets.

Inventory

Inventory consists of parts and small equipment held for use in the ordinary course of business and is valued at the lower of cost or market using historical average cost. Where shipping and handling costs are incurred by us, these charges are included in inventory and charged to cost of contract revenue upon use.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Ordinary maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the useful life of the asset are expensed as incurred. Major renewals and betterments of equipment are capitalized and depreciated generally over three to seven years until the next scheduled maintenance.

-F 11-

Table of Contents

When property and equipment are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in results of operations for the respective period. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets for financial statement purposes, as follows:

Automobiles and trucks	3 to 5 years
Buildings and improvements	5 to 30 years
Construction equipment	3 to 15 years
Vessels and dredges	1 to 15 years
Office equipment	1 to 5 years

The Company generally uses accelerated depreciation methods for tax purposes where appropriate.

Dry-docking activities and costs are capitalized and amortized on the straight-line method over a period ranging from three to 15 years until the next scheduled dry-docking. Dry-docking activities include, but are not limited to, the inspection, refurbishment and replacement of steel, engine components, tailshafts, mooring equipment and other parts of the vessel. Amortization related to dry-docking activities is included as a component of depreciation. These activities and the related amortization periods are periodically reviewed to determine if the estimates are accurate. If warranted, a significant upgrade of equipment may result in a revision to the useful life of the asset, in which case, the change is accounted for prospectively.

Property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized in the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of are separately presented in the balance sheet and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or the fair value, less the costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated. No property and equipment were held for sale at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets is subject to impairment testing at least annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the asset more likely than not may be impaired. We evaluate goodwill at the reporting unit level. The Company has three reporting units, which are based on geography, and are referred to internally as "East Coast", "Gulf Coast" and "Pacific Northwest". Each reporting unit conducts similar business, which includes marine construction specialty services. This reporting unit grouping reflects our internal management structure, which is based on the geographic location of our primary field offices.

At December 31, 2011, goodwill totaled \$32.2 million, of which \$22.6 million related to the Gulf Coast (including goodwill recorded upon the purchase of T.W. LaQuay Dredging, LLC, as discussed in Note 4 below), and \$9.6 million resulted from the acquisition of equipment on the East Coast in 2008. The Pacific Northwest reporting unit does not have goodwill.

The Company assesses the fair value of its reporting units based on a weighted average of valuations based on market multiples, discounted cash flows, and consideration of our market capitalization. The key assumptions used in the discounted cash flow valuations are discount rates and perpetual growth rates applied to cash flow projections. Also

inherent in the discounted cash flow valuation models are past performance, projections and assumptions in current operating plans, and revenue growth rates over the next five years. These assumptions contemplate business, market and overall economic conditions. We also consider assumptions that market participants may use.

As required by the Company's policy, annual impairment tests of goodwill are performed as of October 31 of each year or when circumstances arise that indicate a possible impairment might exist. The first step of the October 31, 2011 goodwill impairment test resulted in no indication of impairment, and no events have occurred since that date that would require an interim impairment test. The discount rate used in testing goodwill for the impairment test was 15.25% for the Gulf Coast reporting unit and 17.0% for the East Coast reporting unit. Future cash flow projections for each reporting unit were based on management's estimates, which were conservative estimates with little short-term expected growth due to the Company's operating loss in 2011, pricing pressures and market conditions. As compared with the impairment test performed in 2010, the discount rate decreased by 175 basis points in the Gulf Coast reporting unit and by 150 basis points in the East Coast reporting unit, due to decreases in the cost of equity, expected inflation rates, and risk while the stabilized growth rate remained constant at 5%. The impairment test concluded

-F 12-

Table of Contents

that the fair value of the Gulf Coast reporting unit and the East Coast reporting unit exceeded carrying values by 7% and 11%, respectively. In the future, our estimated fair value could be negatively impacted by extended declines in our stock price, changes in macroeconomic indicators, sustained operating losses, and other factors which may affect our assessment of fair value.

Intangible assets

Intangible assets that have finite lives continue to be subject to amortization. In addition, the Company must evaluate the remaining useful life in each reporting period to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision of the remaining period of amortization. If the estimate of an intangible asset's remaining life is changed, the remaining carrying value of such asset is amortized prospectively over that revised remaining useful life.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognizes compensation expense for equity awards over the vesting period based on the fair value of these awards at the date of grant. The computed fair value of these awards is recognized as a non-cash cost over the period the employee provides services, which is typically the vesting period of the award. The fair value of options granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of restricted stock grants is equivalent to the fair value of the stock issued on the date of grant.

Compensation expense is recognized only for share-based payments expected to vest. The Company estimates forfeitures at the date of grant based on historical experience and future expectations. See Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the Company's stock-based compensation plan.

Income Taxes

The Company determines its consolidated income tax provision using the asset and liability method prescribed by US GAAP, which requires the recognition of income tax expense for the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current period and for deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity's financial statements or tax returns. The Company must make significant assumptions, judgments and estimates to determine its current provision for income taxes, its deferred tax assets and liabilities, and any valuation allowance to be recorded against any deferred tax asset. The current provision for income tax is based upon the current tax laws and the Company's interpretation of these laws, as well as the probable outcomes of any tax audits. The value of any net deferred tax asset depends upon estimates of the amount and category of future taxable income reduced by the amount of any tax benefits that the Company does not expect to realize. Actual operating results and the underlying amount and category of income in future years could render current assumptions, judgments and estimates of recoverable net deferred taxes inaccurate, thus impacting the Company's financial position and results of operations. The Company computes deferred income taxes using the liability method. Under the liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Under the liability method, the effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions in accordance with the provisions of ASC 740-10 which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement disclosure of tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, on our consolidated tax return. We evaluate and record any uncertain tax positions based on the amount that management deems is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination and ultimate settlement with the tax authorities in the tax jurisdictions in which we operate.

Insurance Coverage

The Company maintains insurance coverage for its business and operations. Insurance related to property, equipment, automobile, general liability, and a portion of workers' compensation is provided through traditional policies, subject to a deductible. A portion of the Company's workers' compensation exposure is covered through a mutual association, which is subject to supplemental calls.

The Company maintains two levels of excess loss insurance coverage, totaling \$100 million in excess of primary coverage, which excess loss coverage responds to most of the Company's liability policies. The Company's excess loss coverage responds to most of its policies when a primary limit of \$1 million has been exhausted; provided that the primary limit for Maritime Employer's Liability is \$10 million and the Watercraft Pollution Policy primary limit is \$5 million.

-F 13-

Table of Contents

Separately, the Company's employee health care is provided through a trust, administered by a third party. The Company funds the trust based on current claims. The administrator has purchased appropriate stop-loss coverage. Losses on these policies up to the deductible amounts are accrued based upon known claims incurred and an estimate to claims incurred but not reported. The accruals are derived from known facts, historical trends and industry averages to determine the best estimate of the ultimate expected loss. Actual claims may vary from our estimate. We include any adjustments to such reserves in our consolidated results of operations in the period in which they become known.

The accrued liability for self-insured claims includes incurred but not reported losses of \$3.4 million and \$4.7 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Warranty Costs

Provision for estimated warranty costs, (if any) is made in the period in which such costs become probable and is periodically adjusted to reflect actual experience. The Company historically has not been subject to significant warranty provisions. The Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 included \$0.1 million related to warranty costs.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-28, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts was issued in December 2010. The amendments in this ASU modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance and examples, which require that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this accounting standard update did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures.

ASU 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805) – Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations was issued in December 2010. ASU 2010-29 provides clarification as to the presentation of pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations and expands supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, non-recurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The Company will comply with the provisions of ASU 2010-29 for any future business combinations.

ASU 2011-08, Intangibles -- Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment was issued in September 2011. This accounting standard update amends accounting guidance on goodwill impairment testing, and is intended to reduce complexity and costs by allowing an entity the option to make a qualitative evaluation about the likelihood of goodwill impairment to determine whether it should calculate the fair value of a reporting unit. In addition, this amendment expands upon examples of events and circumstances that an entity should consider in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. This accounting standard update is effective for interim and annual goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. Early adoption of this standard in September 2011

did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results or operations or cash flows, as it is intended to simplify the assessment of goodwill impairment.

During the periods presented in these financial statements, the Company implemented other new accounting pronouncements other than those noted above that are discussed in the notes where applicable.

3. Offering of Common Stock

2009 Common Stock Offering

In August 2009, pursuant to a shelf registration statement on Form S-3, the Company completed a public offering of 4,830,000 shares of its common stock at \$19.70 per share. The Company received proceeds, net of underwriting commissions, of \$91.3 million (\$18.91 per share), and paid approximately \$548,000 in related offering expenses. The underwriters contributed \$200,000 to offset a portion of the Company's expenses. A portion of the offering proceeds was used to repay the Company's outstanding debt of approximately \$29.9 million.

-F 14-

Table of Contents

Proceeds received from the sale of securities	\$95,151	
Less:		
Underwriters' commission	(3,806)
	91,345	
Offering related expenses	(548)
Expense credit received from underwriters	200	
Total proceeds, net of expenses	\$90,997	
Use of proceeds:		
Purchase of specialized equipment	7,000	
Fund acquisitions in 2010	54,031	
Repayment of outstanding debt	29,966	
Balance retained in working capital, December 31, 2011	\$—	

4. Business Acquisitions

In 2010, the Company purchased (a) the membership interests of T.W. LaQuay Dredging, LLC ("TWLD"), a Texas limited liability company, from LaQuay Holdings, Inc. (the "Seller"), (b) all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Industrial Channel and Dock Company, a Texas Corporation, and Commercial Channel and Dock Company, a Texas Corporation (collectively, the "Channel and Dock Companies"), from Timothy W. LaQuay and Linda F. LaQuay (the principal shareholders of the Seller, the "Principal Shareholders"), and (c) certain parcels of real property located in Port Lavaca, Texas from the Principal Shareholders (collectively, the "Purchase Transactions"). TWLD and its predecessor company have operated as a marine construction and dredging company in the Gulf Coast markets since 2000. The integration of TWLD's operations and assets have added to the Company's dredging fleet and enhanced its presence in the Gulf Coast. At the closing, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Timothy and Linda LaQuay and with Charles F. Barnett for a term of one year from the Closing Date.

Upon the terms of and subject to the conditions set forth in the Purchase Agreement, the total aggregate consideration paid by the Company to the Seller and the Principal Shareholders consisted of the following:

- Cash consideration of \$52.4 million, paid to the Seller for the membership interests of T.W. LaQuay Dredging;
 - Cash consideration of \$4.5 million, paid to the Principal Shareholders for the Channel and Dock Companies and the above mentioned parcels of land; and
 - An additional \$4.0 million paid to Seller as a result of an increase in the purchase price of the membership interests by the amount of additional taxes incurred by the Seller arising from the allocation of the membership interests purchase price, as further described in Section 1060 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, as amended.
- The Purchase Agreement contained customary representations, warranties, covenants and indemnities, including certain post-closing covenants with respect to confidentiality and non-competition.

The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price:

Receivables and other short-term assets	\$6,723	
Property and equipment	\$44,925	
Accounts payable	\$(8,065)
Other accrued liabilities	\$(2,776)
Goodwill	\$20,072	
Total	\$60,879	

The purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed using estimated fair values as of the acquisition date. Working capital items primarily included trade accounts receivable, net of trade accounts payable, which due to their short term nature, the fair value was equal to carrying value. No values were assigned to the acquisition of the trade name or the value of the contracts in backlog, as such amounts were short term in duration and immaterial in fair value.

The goodwill of \$20.1 million arising from the acquisition consists primarily of the synergies and economies of scale expected from the combining of the operations of the Company and TWLD. The Company and Seller elected to treat the acquisition as an asset purchase for tax purposes. Including acquisition related costs of approximately \$1.2 million, goodwill for tax purposes was

-F 15-

Table of Contents

approximately \$21.3 million, which is amortizable over a 15 year period.

Acquisition related costs of \$1.2 million were included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Company's income statement for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2010 include results of TWLD for the period since the acquisition. Pro-forma information is presented below as if the purchase had occurred on January 1 of each reporting period:

	2010	2009
Revenue	\$356,936	\$334,271
Income before taxes	34,291	39,206
Net income	\$22,164	\$24,879
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$0.82	\$1.06
Diluted	\$0.82	\$1.04

In February, 2010, the Company expanded its business into the Pacific Northwest through the purchase of marine construction equipment items including derrick barges, cranes, hammers and ancillary equipment from a private company exiting the marine construction business, for a purchase price of approximately \$7.0 million in cash. The acquisition constitutes a business, as the assets acquired met the definition of a "self-sustaining integrated set of activities and assets capable of being conducted and managed to provide a return to investors, owners, members or participants" and include such items as (a) long-lived assets, such as marine construction equipment, (b) the ability to obtain access to necessary materials or rights, and (c) employees, which collectively are used to bid and obtain projects, which generate contract revenue through our field office in Tacoma, Washington.

The Company applied the principles of ASC 820 regarding the determination of fair values for a variety of applications, including business combinations. The Company believes that the valuation of \$9.2 million reflected the appropriate fair market value under the "in-use" valuation premise, based on the expertise of senior management, as well as reliance on outside sources, such as dealer markets, broker markets and principal to principal markets.

The fair value of the assets acquired of \$9.2 million exceeded the fair value of the purchase price of \$7.0 million. Accordingly, the acquisition was accounted for as a bargain purchase, and, as a result, the Company recognized a gain of \$1.6 million (net of all tax effects) associated with the transaction. The gain was included in the line item "Gain from bargain purchase of a business" in the 2010 Consolidated Statements of Income.

Acquisition related costs of \$0.5 million are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Company's income statement for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Discrete financial information is not available for the business prior to the acquisition.

5. Inventory

Inventory at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, of \$3.4 and \$3.0 million, respectively, consists of parts and small equipment held for use in the ordinary course of business.

6. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of financial instruments is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties. Due to their short term nature, we believe that the carrying value of our accounts receivables, other current assets, accounts payables and other current liabilities approximate their fair values. We have a note receivable in the amount of \$51,000 from a customer, which we believe that the carrying value of the note receivable approximates its fair value, and which bears interest at 10%. In addition, we have a long-term receivable in the amount of \$1.4 million, which we believe that the carrying value of this receivable approximates its fair value.

The fair value of the Company's reporting units (as needed for purposes of determining indications of impairment to the carrying value of goodwill) is determined using a weighted average of valuations based on market multiples, discounted cash flows, and consideration of our market capitalization. In 2010, the acquisitions of TWLD and our expansion into the Pacific Northwest resulted in the valuation of fixed assets and goodwill on a non-recurring basis, as presented in the table below:

-F 16-

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2010	Level 1	Level II	Level III
Assets acquired in business combinations	\$54,153	—	—	\$53,746
Goodwill and other intangibles	\$20,072	—	—	\$20,072

7. Contracts in Progress

Contracts in progress are as follows at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	2011	2010
Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts	\$232,267	\$268,603
Estimated earnings	44,261	79,208
	276,528	347,811
Less: Billings to date	(267,081)	(326,097)
	\$9,447	\$21,714
Included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet under the following captions:		
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts.	\$15,112	\$26,103
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts.	(5,665)	(4,389)
	\$9,447	\$21,714

Contract costs include all direct costs, such as material and labor, and those indirect costs related to contract performance such as payroll taxes, insurance, job supervision and equipment charges. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. An amount equal to contract costs attributable to claims is included in revenues when realization is probable and the amount can be reliably estimated.

8. Property and Equipment

The following is a summary of property and equipment at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	2011	2010
Automobiles and trucks	\$1,541	\$2,134
Building and improvements	13,520	13,026
Construction equipment	132,317	122,792
Dredges and dredging equipment	96,278	91,018
Office equipment	3,882	3,528
	247,538	232,498
Less: accumulated depreciation	(119,440)	(100,170)
Net book value of depreciable assets	128,098	132,328
Construction in progress	8,655	13,629
Land	9,354	9,354
	\$146,107	\$155,311

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 depreciation expense was \$22.1 million, \$19.4 million, and \$15.5 million, respectively, substantially all of which is included in the cost of contract revenue in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income. The assets of the Company are pledged as collateral for the Company's line of credit.

Construction in progress is stated at cost, which includes the cost of materials, labor and other direct costs attributable to the construction. No provision for depreciation is made on construction in progress until such time as the relevant assets are completed and put into use. Construction in progress at December 31, 2011 represents repairs and upgrades of barges and cranes, and refurbishment of dredges.

9. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

The table below summarizes activity related to goodwill as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

-F 17-

Table of Contents

	2011	2010
Beginning balance, January 1	\$32,168	\$12,096
Additions	—	20,072
Impairment	—	—
Ending balance, December 31	\$32,168	\$32,168

Intangible assets

The tables below present the activity and amortizations of finite-lived intangible assets

	2011	2010
Intangible assets, January 1	\$6,900	\$6,900
Additions	—	—
Total intangible assets, end of year	6,900	6,900
Accumulated amortization	\$(6,895)	\$(6,862)
Current year amortization	(5)	(33)
Total accumulated amortization	(6,900)	(6,895)
Net intangible assets, end of year	\$—	\$5

10. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities at December 31, 2011 and 2010 consisted of the following:

	2011	2010
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits	\$2,913	\$5,440
Accrual for self-insurance liabilities	3,401	4,709
Other accrued expenses	3,025	2,314
	\$9,339	\$12,463

11. Long-term Debt and Line of Credit

In June 2010, the Company entered into a credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as sole lead arranger and bookrunner; and the lenders from time to time as party thereto.

The Credit Agreement provides for borrowings of up to \$75,000,000 under revolving and swingline loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) with a \$20,000,000 sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit. An additional \$25 million is available under the facility subject to the lenders' discretion (together, the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility matures on September 30, 2013, and is guaranteed by the subsidiaries of the Company. The Credit Facility may be used to finance working capital, repay indebtedness, fund acquisitions, and for other general corporate purposes.

Revolving loans may be designated as prime rate based loans ("ABR Loans") or Eurodollar Loans, at the Company's request, and may be made in integrals of \$500,000, in the case of an ABR Loan, or \$1,000,000 in the case of a Eurodollar Loan. Swingline loans may only be designated as ABR Loans, and may be made in amounts equal to integral multiples of \$100,000. The Company may convert, change or modify such designations from time to time. Interest is computed based on the designation of the Loans, and bear interest at either a prime-based interest rate or a LIBOR-based interest rate. Principal balances drawn under the Credit Facility may be prepaid at any time, in

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

whole or in part, without premium or penalty. Amounts repaid under the Credit Facility may be re-borrowed.

The Credit Facility contains certain restrictive financial covenants that are usual and customary for similar transactions, including;

▲ Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio of not less than 1.50 to 1.00 at all times;

▲ Leverage Ratio of not greater than 2.50 to 1.00 at all times;

A minimum Net Worth of not less than \$180 million on the effective date, and at the end of each fiscal quarter thereafter, minimum net worth required as of the end of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter plus 50% of the Borrower's and

-F 18-

Table of Contents

its subsidiaries consolidated net income for that quarter, plus 75% of all issuances of equity interests by Borrower during that quarter.

In addition, the Credit Facility contains events of default that are usual and customary for similar transactions, including non-payment of principal, interest or fees; inaccuracy of representations and warranties; violation of covenants; bankruptcy and insolvency events; and events constituting a change of control.

The Company is subject to a commitment fee, payable quarterly in arrears on the unused portion of the Credit Facility at a current rate of 0.25% of the unused balance. As of December 31, 2011, no amounts had been drawn under the Credit Facility.

At December 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with two of its financial covenants. The Leverage Ratio, calculated at 0.32 to 1.00, was within the not greater than 2.50 to 1.00 maximum parameter, and the Company's net worth, at \$233.6 million, exceeded its minimum requirement of \$189.3 million. However, the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio was less than the minimum of 1.50 to 1.00, and the Company was not in compliance with this covenant. The Company's lenders waived compliance with the Fixed Charge Coverage ratio as of December 31, 2011. In accordance with the terms of the Credit Facility, the Company will set aside \$13 million of cash on or before March 31, 2012. This sum represents the balance of the amount drawn in January 2012 to purchase land and buildings in Tampa, Florida, as described more fully in Note 20, Subsequent Event. The Company is working with its lenders on an alternative arrangement in lieu of a cash set aside. Additionally, the Company is working with its lenders to modify its Credit Facility to provide covenant relief given the Company's current near term outlook.

12. Purchase of Common Shares

In May 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a common share repurchase program that authorized the repurchase of up to \$40 million in open market value. The shares may be repurchased over time, depending on market conditions, the market price of the Company's common shares, the Company's capital levels and other considerations. The share repurchase program is expected to expire one year from the date the program was approved by the Company's Board of Directors. During 2011, the Company repurchased 305,500 shares at an average price of \$9.83 per share.

13. Stock-Based Compensation

The Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors is responsible for the administration of the Company's stock incentive plans. In general, the plans provide for grants of restricted stock and stock options to be issued with a per-share price equal to the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant. Option terms are specified at each grant date, but generally are 10 years. Options generally vest over a three to five year period. At December 31, 2010, 50,329 shares were available for issuance under the Company's two stock incentive plans; the "2005 Plan" and the "2007 LTIP". In May, 2011, shareholders of the Company approved the 2011 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "2011 LTIP"), which succeeded the 2005 Plan and the 2007 LTIP, and under which the maximum aggregate number of shares available for award total 3,000,000. As of December 31, 2011, 1,810,973 shares remain available for award under the 2011 LTIP.

Restricted Stock

The following table summarizes the restricted stock activity under the the Company's equity incentive plans :

Table of Contents

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Fair Value Per Share
Nonvested at January 1, 2009	64,287	\$1.65
Granted	62,189	\$19.01
Vested	(36,771)) \$0.02
Forfeited/repurchased shares	—	\$—
Nonvested at December 31, 2009	89,705	\$1.65
Granted	82,248	\$13.86
Vested	(43,136)) \$12.31
Forfeited/repurchased shares	(585)) \$0.02
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	128,232	\$14.72
Granted	400,417	\$6.00
Vested	(63,299)) \$1.99
Forfeited/repurchased shares	(12,783)) \$15.24
Nonvested at December 31, 2011	452,567	\$7.09

Independent directors receive equity compensation each year in the form of grants with a fair value of \$60,000, with vesting in six months from the date of grant. In March 2010, certain named executive officers were granted a total of 3,539 shares with a grant date fair value of \$17.68 per share, and in November 2010, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved grants of restricted stock, totaling 61,177 shares to its named executive officers, with vesting over a three year period. The shares had a fair value on the date of grant of \$13.69. In August, 2011, awards of restricted stock, totaling 360,417 shares, with a fair value of \$6.00 per share, were granted to the Company's named executive officers with vesting over a five year period. On the same day, the Company's independent directors each received grants of 10,000 shares with a fair value of \$6.00 per share, which vest over six months.

Stock Options

The following table summarizes the stock option activity under the Company's equity incentive plans:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	1,328,340	\$8.35		
Granted	262,934	\$19.19		
Exercised	(382,852)) \$5.06		
Forfeited	(22,102)) \$11.27		
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	1,186,320	\$11.76		
Granted	217,552	\$13.78		
Exercised	(82,510)) \$8.11		
Forfeited	(12,364)) \$14.97		
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	1,308,998	\$12.30		
Granted	953,800	\$6.02		
Exercised	(32,124)) \$5.78		
Forfeited	(101,768)) \$15.68		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	2,128,906	\$9.42		

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Vested at December 31, 2011 and expected to vest	2,055,553	\$9.52	8.04	\$947
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	987,510	\$11.52	6.52	\$373

The Company calculates the fair value of each option on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model and the following weighted-average assumptions in each year:

-F 20-

Table of Contents

	2011	2010	2009	
Weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted	\$4.03	\$6.58	\$8.57	
Risk-free interest rate	1.5	% 1.5	% 1.3	%
Expected volatility	68.4	% 52.3	% 66.5	%
Expected term of options (in years)	7.5	5.4	3	
Dividend yield	—	% —	% —	%

The risk-free interest rate is based on interest rates on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues that match the contractual terms of the stock option grants. The expected term represents the period in which the Company's equity awards are expected to be outstanding, which for 2011 and 2010 is based on the exercise history.

Volatility is calculated based on the Company's historic stock price. The Company does not anticipate paying dividends in the future.

Compensation expense related to equity award grants totaled \$2.7 million, \$2.5 million, and \$1.6 million, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As of December 31, 2011, there was \$4.6 million of unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to the Company's non-vested equity awards, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.9 years.

	2011	2010	2009
Total intrinsic value of options exercised	\$143	\$799	\$5,042
Total fair value of shares vested	\$1,383	\$2,518	\$6,367

14. Income Taxes

The following table presents the components of our consolidated income tax expense for each fiscal year ended December 31:

	Current	Deferred	Total
2011			
U.S. Federal	\$(12,386) \$6,736	\$(5,650
State and local	847	(1,544) (697
	\$(11,539) \$5,192	\$(6,347
2010			
U.S. Federal	\$6,658	\$5,019	\$11,677
State and local	77	205	282
	\$6,735	\$5,224	\$11,959
2009			
U.S. Federal	\$11,484	\$(392) \$11,092
State and local	1,063	(621) 442
	\$12,547	\$(1,013) \$11,534

The Company's income tax provision reconciles to the provision at the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate for each year ended December 31 as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
Statutory amount (computed at 35%)	\$(6,812) \$11,783	\$11,048

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

State income tax, net of federal benefit	(415)	252		290
Permanent differences	576		(197)	5
Other (net)	304		121		191
Consolidated income tax provision	\$(6,347)	\$11,959		\$11,534
Consolidated effective tax rate	32.6	%	35.3	%	36.5
				%	

The Company's deferred tax (assets) liabilities are as follows:

-F 21-

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Current	Long-term	Current	Long-term
Assets related to:				
Accrued liabilities	\$1,182	\$—	\$1,482	\$—
Intangible assets		2,712		2,677
Net operating loss carryforward	—	2,457	—	
Non-qualified stock options		291		104
Other		413	312	1,206
Total assets	1,182	5,873	1,794	3,987
Liabilities related to:				
Depreciation and amortization		(25,355)		(20,652)
Goodwill and gain on purchase of a business		(1,738)		
Other	—	(67)	—	(42)
Total liabilities	—	(27,160)	—	(20,694)
Net deferred assets (liabilities)	\$1,182	\$(21,287)	\$1,794	\$(16,707)

As reported in the balance sheets:

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Net current deferred tax assets	1,182	1,794
Net non-current deferred tax liabilities	(21,287)	(16,707)
Total net deferred tax liabilities:	\$(20,105)	\$(14,913)

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets at December 31, 2011, the Company considered whether it was more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The realization of deferred tax assets depends upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which these temporary differences become deductible. As of December 31, 2011, the Company believes that all of the deferred tax assets will be utilized and therefore has not recorded a valuation allowance.

The Company recorded a net operating loss carryforward ("NOL") in 2011 of \$2.5 million for state income tax reporting purposes due to the loss sustained in the year. The Company believes it will be able to utilize these NOL's against future income and therefore no valuation allowance has been established. For federal tax reporting purposes, the Company carried the NOL recorded in 2011 back to 2009 and increased its income tax receivable accordingly.

Although the Company believes its recorded assets and liabilities are reasonable, tax regulations are subject to interpretation and tax litigation is inherently uncertain; therefore the Company's assessments can involve both a series of complex judgments about future events and rely heavily on estimates and assumptions. Although the Company believes that the estimates and assumptions supporting its assessments are reasonable, the final determination of tax audit settlements and any related litigation could be materially different from that which is reflected in historical income tax provisions and recorded assets and liabilities. If the Company were to settle an audit or a matter under litigation, it could have a material effect on the income tax provision, net income, or cash flows in the period or periods for which that determination is made. Any accruals for tax contingencies are provided for in accordance with US GAAP.

The Company believes that it has no uncertain tax positions. The Company does not believe that its tax positions will significantly change due to the settlement and expiration of statutes of limitations prior to December 31, 2012.

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction and in various states. With few exceptions, the Company remains subject to federal and state income tax examinations for the years of 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011. The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to any unrecognized tax liabilities as additional tax expense. No interest or penalties have been accrued at December 31, 2011 and 2010, as the Company has not recorded any uncertain tax positions. The Company believes it has appropriate and adequate support for the income tax positions taken and to be taken on its tax returns and that its accruals for tax liabilities are adequate for all open years based on an assessment of many factors including past experience and interpretations of tax law applied to the facts of each matter.

-F 22-

Table of Contents

15. (Loss) Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and the effect of all dilutive common stock equivalents during each period. The exercise price for certain stock options awarded by the Company exceeds the average market price of the Company's common stock. Such stock options are antidilutive and are not included in the computation of (loss) earnings per share. Antidilutive stock options outstanding were 2,128,906, 515,906 and 262,934 at December 31 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

The following table reconciles the numerators and denominators used in the computations of both basic and diluted EPS for each fiscal year ended December 31:

	2011	2010	2009
Basic EPS computation:			
Numerator:			
Net (loss) income	\$(13,114)	\$21,882	\$20,030
Denominator:			
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	26,990,059	26,899,373	23,577,854
Basic earnings per share	\$(0.49)	\$0.81	\$0.85
Diluted EPS computation:			
Total basic weighted average shares outstanding	26,990,059	26,899,373	23,577,854
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Common stock options	—	266,479	402,088
Total weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution	26,990,059	27,165,852	23,979,943
Diluted earnings per share	\$(0.49)	\$0.81	\$0.84

16. Enterprise Wide Disclosures

The Company is a heavy civil contractor specializing in marine construction. The Company operates as a single segment, as each project has similar characteristics, includes similar services, has similar types of customers and is subject to the same regulatory environment. The Company organizes and evaluates its financial information around each project when making operating decisions and assessing its overall performance.

The Company's primary customers are governmental agencies in the United States. The following table represents concentrations of revenue by type of customer for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009.

	2011	%	2010	%	2009	%	
Federal	\$108,123	42	% \$143,697	41	% \$54,173	19	%
State	48,604	19	% 40,145	11	% 24,835	8	%
Local	40,647	15	% 41,868	12	% 82,933	28	%
Private	62,478	24	% 127,425	36	% 131,553	45	%
	\$259,852	100	% \$353,135	100	% \$293,494	100	%

Revenues generated outside the United States totaled 1%, 5%, and 14% of total revenues for the years ended 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Revenue generated outside the United States in 2009 was primarily related to construction of a cruise pier and facilities in Haiti.

The Company's long-lived assets are substantially located in the United States.

Significant customers

The following customers accounted for 10% or more of contract revenues for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

-F 23-

Table of Contents

	2011	2010	2009	
US Corps of Engineers	24	% 28	% 16	%
Weston Solutions	N/A	10	% N/A	

17. Employee Benefits

All employees except the Associate Divers, the Associate Tugmasters, and union employees in the Pacific Northwest, are eligible to participate in the Company's 401(k) Retirement Plan after completing six months of service. Each participant may contribute between 1% and 80% of eligible compensation on a pretax basis, up to the annual IRS limit. The Company matches 100% on the first 2% of eligible compensation contributed to the Plan and 50% on the next 2% of eligible compensation contributed to the Plan. Participants' contributions are fully vested at all times. Employer matching contributions vest over a four-year period. At its discretion, the Company may make additional matching and profit-sharing contributions. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company contributed \$1.1 million, \$1.1 million, and \$1.0 million to the plan in each respective year.

18. Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Leases

In July 2005, the Company executed a sale-leaseback transaction in which it sold an office building for \$2.1 million and entered into a ten year lease agreement. The Company, at its option, can extend the lease for two additional five year terms. Scheduled increases in monthly rent are included in the lease agreement.

The sale of the office building resulted in a gain of \$562,000 which has been deferred and amortized over the life of the lease. The Company recognized approximately \$56,000 in each of the years ending December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Rent expense under this agreement was \$172,620, \$170,219, and \$168,504 for each of the years ending December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In 2005, the Company entered into a lease agreement for vehicles under a continuing operating lease agreement. Rental expense under this lease for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$4.6 million, \$3.6 million, and \$2.1 million, respectively.

The Company leases its corporate office in Houston, Texas under a lease with an initial term of nine years. In addition, the Company leases other facilities, including office space and yard facilities, under terms that range from one to five years. The Company also leases short-term field office space at its various construction sites for the duration of the projects.

Future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	Amount
Year ended December 31,	
2012	\$4,619
2013	2,800
2014	1,672
2015	721
2016	293
Thereafter	223
	\$10,328

Litigation

From time to time the Company is a party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. These actions typically seek, among other things, compensation for alleged personal injury, breach of contract, property damage, punitive damages, civil penalties or other losses, or injunctive or declaratory relief. With respect to such lawsuits, the Company accrues reserves when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company does not believe any of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material adverse effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

-F 24-

Table of Contents

19. Selected Quarterly Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected unaudited financial information for the eight quarters in the two-year period ended December 31, 2011. This information has been prepared on the same basis as the audited financial statements and, in the opinion of management, contains all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation.

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total Year
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
2011					
Revenues	\$79,057	\$70,899	\$54,583	\$55,313	\$259,852
Operating income (loss)	2,524	(5,265)	(9,062)	(7,538)	(19,341)
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,448	(5,340)	(9,108)	(7,461)	(19,461)
Net income (loss)	1,539	(3,216)	(6,218)	(5,219)	(13,114)
Earnings (loss) per share:					
Basic	\$0.06	\$(0.12)	\$(0.23)	\$(0.19)	\$(0.49)
Diluted	\$0.06	\$(0.12)	\$(0.23)	\$(0.19)	\$(0.49)
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total Year
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
2010					
Revenues	\$75,556	\$87,126	\$100,024	\$90,428	\$353,135
Operating income	5,471	11,164	11,481	4,471	32,587
Income before income taxes	7,602	11,008	11,403	3,828	33,841
Net income	4,781	7,009	7,098	2,994	21,882
Earnings per share:					
Basic	\$0.18	\$0.26	\$0.26	\$0.11	\$0.81
Diluted	\$0.18	\$0.26	\$0.26	\$0.11	\$0.81

20. Subsequent Event

In January 2012, the Company purchased approximately 18 acres of land, including buildings and improvements, from Lazarra Leasing, LLC. The property is located in Tampa, Florida, and was formerly partially rented by the Company.

The purchase price was \$13.4 million, and included the following terms:

The Company, at its expense, shall construct dock improvements at Seller's facility, in an amount not to exceed \$279,700. Construction shall commence within 90 days of closing.

The Company shall rent a portion of the land retained by Seller for an initial term of 20 years, with payment of \$250,000 in advance for the entire term.

Seller shall lease back three buildings for up to 24 months following the sale, with the first 12 months rent abated. Rent during the second twelve-month period, shall be at \$7.00 per square foot.

The Company drew \$13 million on its revolving line of credit to purchase the land and buildings.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and
Shareholders of Orion Marine Group, Inc.

We have audited in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) the consolidated financial statements of Orion Marine Group, Inc. and subsidiaries referred to in our report dated March 6, 2012, which is included in the annual report to security holders and incorporated by reference in Part II of this form. Our audits of the basic financial statements included the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15 (2), which is the responsibility of the Company's management. In our opinion, this financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP
Houston, Texas
March 6, 2012

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

(Dollars in thousands)

Description	Balance at the Beginning of the Period	Charged to Revenue, Cost or Expense	Deduction	Balance at the End of the Period
Year ended December 31, 2009:				
Provision for Doubtful Accounts	\$ 800	\$ 442	\$—	\$ 1,202
Year ended December 31, 2010:				
Provision for Doubtful Accounts	\$ 1,202	\$(285) \$(917) \$—
Year ended December 31, 2011:				
Provision for Doubtful Accounts	\$—	\$ 255	\$—	\$—