Duke Energy CORP Form 10-K February 28, 2014

	UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION									
	WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549									
FORM 10-K										
(Mark										
One)	ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES									
					GE ACT OF 19		210 04			
			For the lisca	и репос е	ended Decemb	er 31, 20) 13 Or			
	TI	RAN	SITION REPORT PURS		SECTION 13 GE ACT OF 19	•	d) OF THE SECURITIES			
					n period from	to)			
Commi			Registrant, State of Inc Address of Princip Teleph		tive Offices, a		IRS Employer Identification No.			
1-32853			DUKE ENERO (a Delawa 550 Sou Charlotte, 704	20-2777218						
file numl	Registrant, State of Incorporation or Organization, Address of Ommission Principal Executive Offices, and Telephone Number 1-4928 DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC (a North Carolina limited liability company)					Registrant, State of Incorporation or Organization, Address of Principal Executive Offices, and Telephone Number DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. (a Florida corporation) 299 First Avenue North				
	52	26 S	outh Church Street			St. Pete	rsburg, Florida 33701			

	Charlotte, North Carolina 28202-1803			704-382-3853
	704-382-3853			59-0247770
	56-0205520			
1-15929	PROGRESS ENERGY, INC.		1-1232	DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.
	(a North Carolina corporation)			(an Ohio corporation)
	410 South Wilmington Street			139 East Fourth Street
	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601-1748			Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
	27001-1740			704-382-3853
	704-382-3853			31-0240030
	56-2155481			31-0240030
1-3382	DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC.		1-3543	DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.
	(a Nauth Cavalina agus action)			(an Indiana corporation)
	(a North Carolina corporation)			1000 East Main Street
	410 South Wilmington Street			
	Raleigh, North Carolina			Plainfield, Indiana 46168
	27601-1748			704-382-3853
	704-382-3853			35-0594457
	56-0165465			
	OF OUR DITIES RESISTEDES BY	IDOL: A:	IT TO 0505	TON 40(P) OF THE ACT
	SECURITIES REGISTERED PU	IK20AI	II IO SECT	ION IZ(B) OF THE ACT:

		SECTION 12(R)	
. DELTIC LEDELI	DIDELIVE IV	1 C.C. 1 11 181 19101	/ \L LLL \/\'\\\\

<u> </u>	TIED I ONOOMINI TO DECTION	IE(B) OF THE MOT.	
Registrant	Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered	
Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy)	Common Stock, \$0.001 par value	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.	
Duke Energy	5.125% Junior Subordinated Debentures due January 15, 2073	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.	
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy Carolinas)	All of the registrant's limited liab interests are directly owned by I	• • •	
Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy)	All of the registrant's common so Duke Energy.	tock is directly owned by	
Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (Duke Energy Progress)	All of the registrant's common so Duke Energy.	tock is indirectly owned by	
Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (Duke Energy Florida)	All of the registrant's common so Duke Energy.	tock is indirectly owned by	

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy All of the registrant's common stock is indirectly owned by Duke Energy.									
Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Duke All of the registrant's common stock is indirectly owned by									
Energy Indiana)	, (= 6		Duke Ene	-			July 5 1111		
SECURITI	ES REGI	STERE	D PURSUA	NT TO S	ECTION 12(G) OF	THE A	CT: No	ne	
Indicate by check ma Securities Act.	rk if the re	egistran	t is a well-k	known sea	asoned issuer, as d	efined	in Rule	405 of	the
Duke Energy	Yes x	No "		D	uke Energy Florida	Yes	S x	No	
Duke Energy									
Carolinas	Yes x	No "		D	uke Energy Ohio	Yes		No x	
Progress Energy	Yes "	No x		D	uke Energy Indiana	Yes	s I	No x	
Duke Energy									
Progress	Yes x	No "							
Indicate by check ma		egistran	t is not requ	uired to fil	e reports to pursua	nt to S	ection 1	3 or Se	ction
15(d) of the Exchange		م دا دادا	II ve eietve e	ta \					
Yes "No x (Respons	se applica	ibie to a	ııı registran	lS.)					
Indicate by check ma	rk whothe	or the re	aictrante (1) have file	ad all roporte roquir	od to h	o filod b	v Sooti	on 12
or 15(d) of the Securi									
period that the registr									'
requirements for the					ana (<u>=</u>) nao boon (,abjoot	10 04011	9	
Indicate by check ma	rk whethe	er the re	gistrants h	ave subm	itted electronically a	and po	sted on	their	
corporate website, if a			•		-				to
Rule 405 of Regulation								r such	
shorter period that the	e registra	nt was r	equired to	submit ar	d post such files). `	∕es x l	No "		
Indicate by check ma			•	•		•			
contained herein, and			•		•	•			
information statemen	ts incorpo	orated b	y reference	ın Part II	of this Form 10-K	or any	amendr	ment to	this
Form 10-K.	l/o	N	o		Duka Enavary Ela	<u></u>	V	No "	
Duke Energy	Yes				Duke Energy Flo		Yes x		
Duke Energy Carolina) "		Duke Energy Oh		Yes x	No "	
Progress Energy	Yes) "		Duke Energy Ind	lana	Yes x	No "	
Duke Energy Progres	s Yes	S X IN	o "						
la alla ata da cala a alaman		D. d			C		- 41 (')		<u> </u>
Indicate by check ma			•••	•					,,
non-accelerated filer, "accelerated filer" and				-		-			
Large accelerated file									/ -
_argo accolorated illi	51 A 7100	<u> </u>		4555101	alog mor omalici	, opoit	9 50111	יני וגיק.	
Indicate by check ma	rk whetha	er Duke	Energy Ca	rolinas P	rogress Energy Di	ıke Fn	erav Pro	narece	Duke
Energy Florida, Duke									

filers, non-accelerated filers, or smaller reporting companies. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer x Smaller reporting	company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are a shell company (as defined in Rule Exchange Act). Yes $^{\circ}$ No x	12b-2 of the
Estimated aggregate market value of the common equity held by nonaffiliates of Duke Energy at June 30, 2013.	47,550,155,353
Number of shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding at February 25, 2014.	706,455,305
Portions of the Duke Energy definitive proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of to ran amendment to this Annual Report are incorporated by reference into PART III, Iter 13, and 14 hereof. This combined Form 10-K is filed separately by seven registrants: Duke Energy, Duke Energy Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio and Indiana (collectively the Duke Energy Registrants). Information contained herein relating registrant is filed by such registrant solely on its own behalf. Each registrant makes no reto information relating exclusively to the other registrants. Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida,	Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy to any individual epresentation as
Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana meet the conditions set forth in General Instructions I(1) Form 10-K and are, therefore, filing this form with the reduced disclosure format specific Instructions I(2) of Form 10-K.	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED December 31, 2013

Item	Page
CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION	
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	
PART I. 1. BUSINESS DUKE ENERGY General Business Segments Geographic Regions Employees Executive Officers Environmental Matters DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS PROGRESS ENERGY DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA DUKE ENERGY OHIO DUKE ENERGY INDIANA	
1A.RISK FACTORS	19
1B.UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	24
2. PROPERTIES	25
3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	29
4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	30
PART II. 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTER AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	RS 31

6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	33
7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULT OF	S
	OPERATIONS	34.
7A	.QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	67
8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	72
9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL	
	DISCLOSURE	215
9A	.CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	215
	ART III.	
10	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	215
11	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	216
12	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND	
	RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	216
13	. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	216
14	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	216
.		210
	ART IV. . EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	
	SIGNATURESEXHIBIT INDEX	218 220 Exhibit-1

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This document includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are based on management's beliefs and assumptions. These forward-looking statements, which are intended to cover Duke Energy and the applicable Duke Energy Registrants, are identified by terms and phrases such as "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "estimate," "expect," "continue," "should," "could," "may," "plan," "project," "predict," "potential," "forecast," "target," "guidance," "outlook," and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to be materially different from the results predicted. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in any forward-looking statement include, but are not limited to:

- State, federal and foreign legislative and regulatory initiatives, including costs of compliance with existing and future environmental requirements or climate change, as well as rulings that affect cost and investment recovery or have an impact on rate structures or market prices;
- The ability to recover eligible costs, including those associated with future significant weather events, and earn an adequate return on investment through the regulatory process;
- The costs of decommissioning Crystal River Nuclear Station Unit 3 (Crystal River Unit 3) could prove to be more extensive than are currently identified and all costs may not be fully recoverable through the regulatory process;
- The risk that the credit ratings of the company or its subsidiaries may be different from what the companies expect;
- Costs and effects of legal and administrative proceedings, settlements, investigations and claims;
- Industrial, commercial and residential growth or decline in service territories or customer bases resulting from customer usage patterns, including energy efficiency efforts and use of alternative energy sources, including self-generation and distributed generation technologies;
- Additional competition in electric markets and continued industry consolidation;
- Political and regulatory uncertainty in other countries in which Duke Energy conducts business;
- The influence of weather and other natural phenomena on operations, including the economic, operational and other effects of severe storms, hurricanes, droughts and tornadoes;
- The ability to successfully operate electric generating facilities and deliver electricity to customers;
- The impact on facilities and business from a terrorist attack, cyber security threats, data security breaches, and other catastrophic events;
- The inherent risks associated with the operation and potential construction of nuclear facilities, including environmental, health, safety, regulatory and financial risks;

- The timing and extent of changes in commodity prices, interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates and the ability to recover such costs through the regulatory process, where appropriate, and their impact on liquidity positions and the value of underlying assets;
- The results of financing efforts, including the ability to obtain financing on favorable terms, which can be affected by various factors, including credit ratings and general economic conditions;
- Declines in the market prices of equity securities and fixed income securities and resultant cash funding requirements for defined benefit pension plans, other post-retirement benefit plans, and nuclear decommissioning trust funds;
- Changes in rules for regional transmission organizations, including changes in rate designs and new and evolving capacity markets, and risks related to obligations created by the default of other participants;
- The ability to control operation and maintenance costs;
- The level of creditworthiness of counterparties to transactions:
- Employee workforce factors, including the potential inability to attract and retain key personnel;
- The ability of subsidiaries to pay dividends or distributions to Duke Energy Corporation holding company (the Parent);
- The performance of projects undertaken by our nonregulated businesses and the success of efforts to invest in and develop new opportunities;
- The effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by accounting standard-setting bodies;
- The impact of potential goodwill impairments;
- The ability to reinvest retained earnings of foreign subsidiaries or repatriate such earnings on a tax-free basis; and
- The ability to successfully complete future merger, acquisition or divestiture plans.

In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the events described in the forward-looking statements might not occur or might occur to a different extent or at a different time than the Duke Energy Registrants have described. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made; the Duke Energy Registrants undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise that occur after that date.

Glossary of Terms

The following terms or acronyms used in this Form 10-K are defined below:

Term or Acronym	Definition
the 2006 Plan	Duke Energy's 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan
the 2010 Plan	Duke Energy's 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan
the 2012 Settlement	Settlement agreement in 2012 among Duke Energy Florida, the OPC and other customer advocates
the 2013 Settlement	Settlement agreement in 2013 among Duke Energy Florida, the OPC and other customer advocates
ACI	Activated carbon injection for control of mercury emissions
AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
Aguaytia	Aguaytia Integrated Energy Project
ALJ	Administrative Law Judge
ANEEL	Brazilian electricity regulatory agency
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Bison Insurance Company Limited
BPM	Bulk Power Marketing
Brunswick	Brunswick Nuclear Station
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAIR	Clean Air Interstate Rule
Catawba	Catawba Nuclear Station
Catawba Riverkeeper	Catawba Riverkeeper Foundation, Inc.
CCR	Coal Combustion Residuals
CCS	Carbon Capture and Storage

CT	Combustion Turbine
Cinergy	Cinergy Corp. (collectively with its subsidiaries)
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
COL	Combined Construction and Operating License
CPCN	Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity
CRC	Cinergy Receivables Company, LLC
CRES	Competitive Retail Electric Supplier
Crescent	Crescent Resources LLC
Crystal River Unit 3	Crystal River Nuclear Station – Unit 3
CSAPR	Cross-State Air Pollution Rule
DB	Defined Benefit (Pension Plan)
D.C. Circuit	U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia
DECAM	Duke Energy Commercial Asset Management, Inc.
DEGS	Duke Energy Generation Services, Inc.
DEIGP	Duke Energy International Geracao Paranapenema S.A.
DENR	Department of Environment and Natural Resources
DEPR	Duke Energy Progress Receivables Company, LLC
DERF	Duke Energy Receivables Finance Company, LLC
DETM	Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, LLC
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOJ	U.S. Department of Justice
DSI	Dry sorbent injection for control of acid gas emissions
DSM	Demand Side Management
Duke Energy	Duke Energy Corporation (collectively with its subsidiaries)
Duke Energy Carolinas	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
Duke Energy Florida	Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.

Duke Energy Kentucky...... Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.

Duke Energy Ohio...... Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Duke Energy Progress, Inc.

Duke Energy Registrants..... Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke

Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and

Duke Energy Indiana

Duke Energy Retail...... Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC

Duke Energy Vermillion...... Duke Energy Vermillion II, LLC

DukeNet Communications Holdings, LLC

DWQ...... North Carolina Division of Water Quality

EE..... Energy efficiency

EIP..... Progress Energy's Equity Incentive Plan

Electric Settlement...... Settlement agreement in 2013 among Duke Energy Ohio and all

intervening parties

ELG..... Effluent Limitation Guidelines

EPA...... U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

EPC...... Engineering, Procurement and Construction

EPS..... Earnings Per Share

ERISA..... Employee Retirement Income Security Act

ESOP..... Employee Stock Ownership Plan

ESP..... Electric Security Plan

ETR..... Effective tax rate

FASB..... Financial Accounting Standards Board

FERC..... Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

Florida Progress Corporation

FPSC...... Florida Public Service Commission

FRR..... Fixed Resource Requirement

FTR..... Financial transmission rights

Funding Corp..... Florida Progress Funding Corporation

GAAP...... Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States

Gas Settlement...... Settlement agreement in 2013 among Duke Energy Ohio, PUCO

Staff and intervening parties

GBRA...... Generation Base Rate Adjustment recovery mechanism

GHG...... Greenhouse Gas

Global......U.S. Global, LLC

GWh..... Gigawatt-hours

HAP..... Hazardous Air Pollutant

Harris..... Shearon Harris Nuclear Station

HB 998...... North Carolina House Bill 998

IAP..... State Environmental Agency of Parana

IBAMA...... Brazil Institute of Environment and Renewable Natural

Resources

Iberoamericana de Energia Ibener, S.A.

IBNR...... Incurred but not yet reported

IFRS...... International Financial Reporting Standards

IGCC...... Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle

INPO...... Institute of Nuclear Power Operations

IRP...... Integrated Resource Plan

IRS...... Internal Revenue Service

ISO...... Independent System Operator

ITC..... Investment Tax Credit IURC..... Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Investment Trusts..... Grantor trusts of Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana JDA..... Joint Dispatch Agreement KPSC..... Kentucky Public Service Commission kV..... Kilovolt kWh..... Kilowatt-hour Lee Nuclear Station..... William States Lee III Nuclear Station Duke Energy Florida's proposed nuclear plant in Levy County, Levy..... Fla. Legacy Duke Energy Directors Members of the pre-merger Duke Energy board of directors LIBOR..... London Interbank Offered Rate MATS..... Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (previously referred to as the Utility MACT Rule) Mcf..... Thousand cubic feet McGuire..... McGuire Nuclear Station MGP..... Manufactured gas plant MISO..... Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. MMBtu..... Million British Thermal Unit Moody's..... Moody's Investor Service, Inc. MTBE..... Methyl tertiary butyl ether MTEP..... MISO Transmission Expansion Planning MW..... Megawatt MVP..... Multi Value Projects MWh..... Megawatt-hour NCAG..... North Carolina Attorney General

NCEMC..... North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation NCRC..... Florida's Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause NCSC..... North Carolina Supreme Court NCUC..... North Carolina Utilities Commission NC WARN..... N.C. Waste Awareness and Reduction Network NDTF..... Nuclear decommissioning trust funds NEIL..... Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited NMC..... National Methanol Company NOL..... Net operating loss NO_v..... Nitrogen oxide Non-GHG..... Non Greenhouse Gas NPNS..... Normal purchase/normal sale NRC..... U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NSPS..... New Source Performance Standard NSR..... **New Source Review** NWPA..... Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 NYSE..... New York Stock Exchange Oconee..... Oconee Nuclear Station OPC..... Florida Office of Public Counsel OPEB..... Other Post-Retirement Benefit Obligations ORS..... South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff OUCC..... Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor OVEC..... Ohio Valley Electric Corporation the Parent..... **Duke Energy Corporation Holding Company** PJM..... PJM Interconnection, LLC Progress Energy, Inc. Progress Energy.....

PSCSC...... Public Service Commission of South Carolina

PSD..... Prevention of Significant Deterioration

Public Staff....... North Carolina Utilities Commission Public Staff

PUCO...... Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

QF...... Qualified Facilities

QSPE...... Qualifying Special Purpose Entity

QUIPS...... Quarterly Income Preferred Securities

Relative TSR...... TSR of Duke Energy stock relative to a pre-defined peer group

REPS...... Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard

Robinson Nuclear Station

RPM...... Reliability Pricing Model

RSP...... Rate Stabilization Plan

RTO...... Regional Transmission Organization

SAFSTOR...... Safe Storage Configuration

SCOA...... Sumitomo Corporation of America

SEC...... Securities and Exchange Commission

Segment Income...... Income from continuing operations net of income attributable to

noncontrolling interests

SO₂...... Sulfur dioxide

Spectra Energy...... Spectra Energy Corp.

Spectra Capital...... Spectra Energy Capital, LLC (formerly Duke Capital LLC)

S&P...... Standard & Poor's Rating Services

SSO...... Standard Service Offer

Subsidiary Registrants....... Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy

Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke

Energy Indiana

Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc.

L.V. Sutton combined cycle facility Sutton..... the Trust..... FPC Capital I Trust TSR..... Total shareholder return Duke Energy Corporation Employee Benefits Trust VEBA I..... Vermillion..... Vermillion Generating Station VIE..... Variable Interest Entity VSP..... Voluntary Severance Program WACC..... Weighted Average Cost of Capital

WVPA.....

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

DUKE ENERGY

General

Duke Energy Corporation (collectively with its subsidiaries, Duke Energy) is an energy company headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina, subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Duke Energy operates in the U.S. primarily through its direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy Carolinas), Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (Duke Energy Progress) (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas), Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (Duke Energy Florida) (formerly Florida Power Corporation d/b/a Progress Energy Florida), Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio), and Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Duke Energy Indiana), as well as in Latin America. When discussing Duke Energy's consolidated financial information, it necessarily includes the results of its six separate subsidiary registrants, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy), Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, which are collectively referred to as the Subsidiary Registrants. All of these entities, along with Duke Energy, are collectively referred to as the Duke Energy Registrants.

The Duke Energy Registrants electronically file reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxies and amendments to such reports.

The public may read and copy any materials the Duke Energy Registrants file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at http://www.sec.gov. Additionally, information about the Duke Energy Registrants, including reports filed with the SEC, is available through Duke Energy's website at http://www.duke-energy.com. Such reports are accessible at no charge and are made available as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Business Segments

Duke Energy conducts its operations in three business segments; Regulated Utilities, International Energy and Commercial Power. The remainder of Duke Energy's operations are presented as Other. Duke Energy's chief operating decision maker regularly reviews financial information about each of these business segments in deciding how to allocate resources and evaluate performance. For additional information on each of these business segments, including financial and geographic information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

The following sections describe the business and operations of each of Duke Energy's reportable business segments, as well as Other.

regulated utilities

Regulated Utilities conducts operations primarily through Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Indiana, and the regulated transmission and distribution operations of Duke Energy Ohio. These electric and gas operations are subject to the rules and regulations of the FERC, the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC), the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (PSCSC), the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC), the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO), the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (IURC), and the Kentucky Public Service Commission (KPSC).

Regulated Utilities serves 7.2 million retail electric customers in six states in the Southeast and Midwest regions of the United States. Its service area covers approximately 104,000 square miles with an estimated population of 21 million people. Regulated Utilities serves 500,000 retail natural gas customers in southwestern Ohio and northern Kentucky. Electricity is also sold wholesale to incorporated municipalities, electric cooperative utilities and other load-serving entities.

The following table represents the distribution of billed sales by customer class for the year ended December 31, 2013.

		Duke E Carol	nergy inas ^(a)		nergy ress ^(a)		nergy rida ^(b)		nergy Ohio ^(c)		nergy iana ^(d)
Resident	ial	32	%	29	%	49	%	36	%	27	%
General	service	32	%	25	%	39	%	38	%	25	%
Industria		25	%	18	%	8	%	24	%	31	%
Total reta	ail sales	89	%	72	%	96	%	98	%	83	%
Wholesa	le sales	11	%	28	%	4	%	2	%	17	%
Total sal	es	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%
(a) (b)	Primary general service sectors include healthcare, education, financial services, information technology and military buildings. Primary industrial sectors include textiles, chemicals, rubber and plastics, paper, food and beverage, and auto manufacturing. Primary general service sectors include tourism, healthcare and agriculture. Primary industrial sectors include phosphate rock mining and processing, electronics design and manufacturing,										
(c) (d)	and citrus and other food processing. Primary general service sectors include healthcare, education, real estate and rental leasing, financial and insurance services, and wholesale trade services. Primary industrial sectors include aerospace, primary metals, chemicals and food.										
	building materi	als, food a	ınd bev	/erage, an	d chen	nicals.					

The number of residential, general service and industrial customers within the Regulated Utilities service territory is expected to increase over time. However, growth in the near-term is being hampered by the current economic conditions. Average usage per residential customer is

expected to remain flat for the foreseeable future. While total industrial sales increased in 2013 when compared to 2012, the growth rate was modest when compared to historical periods.

Seasonality and the Impact of Weather

Regulated Utilities' costs and revenues are influenced by seasonal patterns. Peak sales of electricity occur during the summer and winter months, resulting in higher revenue and cash flows in these periods. By contrast, lower sales of electricity occur during the spring and fall, allowing for scheduled plant maintenance. Peak gas sales occur during the winter months. Residential and general service customers are most impacted by weather. Estimated weather impacts are based on actual current period weather compared to normal weather conditions. Normal weather conditions are defined as the long-term average of actual historical weather conditions.

The estimated impact of weather on earnings is based on the number of customers, temperature variances from a normal condition and customers' historic usage levels and patterns. The methodology used to estimate the impact of weather does not and cannot consider all variables that may impact customer response to weather conditions such as humidity and relative temperature changes. The precision of this estimate may also be impacted by applying long-term weather trends to shorter term periods.

Degree-day data are used to estimate energy required to maintain comfortable indoor temperatures based on each day's average temperature. Heating-degree days measure the variation in weather based on the extent the average daily temperature falls below a base temperature. Cooling-degree days measure the variation in weather based on the extent the average daily temperature rises above the base temperature. Each degree of temperature below the base temperature counts as one heating-degree day and each degree of temperature above the base temperature counts as one cooling-degree day.

Competition

Retail

Regulated Utilities' businesses operate as the sole supplier of electricity within their service territories, with the exception of Ohio, which has a competitive electricity supply market. Regulated Utilities owns and operates all of the facilities necessary to generate, transmit and distribute electricity. Services are priced by state commission approved rates designed to include the costs of providing these services and a reasonable return on invested capital. This regulatory policy is intended to provide safe and reliable electricity at fair prices. Competition in the regulated electric distribution business is primarily from on-site generation of industrial customers and distributed generation, such as rooftop solar, at residential, general service and/or industrial customer sites.

Regulated Utilities is not aware of any proposed legislation in any jurisdiction that would give its retail customers the right to choose their electricity provider or otherwise restructure or deregulate the electric industry.

Although there is no pending legislation at this time, if the retail jurisdictions served by Regulated Utilities become subject to deregulation, the recovery of stranded costs could become a significant consideration. Stranded costs primarily include the generation assets of Regulated Utilities whose value in a competitive marketplace may be less than their current book value, as well as above-market purchased power commitments from qualified facilities (QFs). QFs are typically small power production facilities that generate

power within a utility company's service territory for which the utility companies are legally obligated to purchase the energy at an avoided cost rate. Thus far, all states that have passed restructuring legislation have provided for the opportunity to recover a substantial portion of stranded costs.

Regulated Utilities' largest stranded cost exposure is primarily related to Duke Energy Florida's purchased power commitments with QFs, under which it has future minimum expected capacity payments through 2025 of \$3.5 billion. Duke Energy Florida was obligated to enter into these contracts under provisions of the Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act of 1978. Duke Energy Florida continues to seek ways to address the impact of escalating payments under these contracts. However, the FPSC allows full recovery of the retail portion of the cost of power purchased from QFs. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies" for additional information related these purchased power commitments.

In Ohio, Regulated Utilities conducts competitive auctions for electricity supply. The cost of energy purchased through these auctions is recovered from retail customers. Regulated Utilities earns retail margin in Ohio on the transmission and distribution of electricity only and not on the cost of the underlying energy.

Wholesale

Regulated Utilities competes with other utilities and merchant generators for bulk power sales, sales to municipalities and cooperatives, and wholesale transactions. The principal factors in competing for these sales are price, availability of capacity and power, and reliability of service. Prices are influenced primarily by market conditions and fuel costs.

Increased competition in the wholesale electric utility industry and the availability of transmission access could affect Regulated Utilities' load forecasts, plans for power supply and wholesale energy sales and related revenues. Wholesale energy sales will be impacted by the extent to which additional generation is available to sell to the wholesale market and the ability of Regulated Utilities to attract new customers and to retain existing customers.

Energy Capacity and Resources

Regulated Utilities owns approximately 50,000 megawatts (MW) of generation capacity. For additional information on Regulated Utilities' generation facilities, see Item 2, "Properties."

Energy and capacity are also supplied through contracts with other generators and purchased on the open market. Factors that could cause Regulated Utilities to purchase power for its customers include generating plant outages, extreme weather conditions, generation reliability, growth, and price. Regulated Utilities has interconnections and arrangements with its neighboring utilities to facilitate planning, emergency assistance, sale and purchase of capacity and energy, and reliability of power supply.

Regulated Utilities' generation portfolio is a balanced mix of energy resources having different operating characteristics and fuel sources designed to provide energy at the lowest possible cost to meet its obligation to serve retail customers. All options, including owned generation resources and purchased power opportunities, are continually evaluated on a real-time basis to select and dispatch the lowest-cost resources available to meet system load requirements.

Recently Completed Generation Projects

Regulated Utilities completed its generation fleet modernization program in 2013. The additional capacity from this program has allowed Regulated Utilities to retire or plan to retire older, less efficient capacity. The following table summarizes the generation projects constructed and placed in service during the past three years.

				Commercial		Cost
		Megawatts	Fuel	Operation	(in	millions)
Duke Energy Carolinas	Cliffside Unit 6	825	Coal	2012	\$	2,100
Duke Energy Carolinas	Buck Combined Cycle	620	Natural Gas	2011		675
Duke Energy Carolinas	Dan River Combined Cycle	620	Natural Gas	2012		675
Duke Energy Progress	H.F. Lee Combined Cycle	920	Natural Gas	2012		725
Duke Energy Progress	Smith Combined Cycle	1,084	Natural Gas	2011		575
Duke Energy Progress	L.V. Sutton Combined Cycle	625	Natural Gas	2013		575
Duke Energy Indiana	Edwardsport IGCC	618	Coal	2013		3,550
Total		5,312			\$	8,875
	_					

Potential Plant Retirements

The Subsidiary Registrants periodically file Integrated Resource Plans (IRP) with state regulatory commissions. The IRPs provide a view of forecasted energy needs over a long term (15-20 years) and options being considered to meet those needs. The IRPs filed by the Subsidiary Registrants in 2013 and 2012 included planning assumptions to potentially retire certain coal-fired generating facilities earlier than their current estimated useful lives. These facilities do not have the requisite emission control equipment, primarily to meet U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations that are not yet effective. These facilities total approximately 2,447 MW at five sites. Duke Energy continues to evaluate the potential need to retire these coal-fired generating facilities earlier than the current estimated useful lives, and plans to seek regulatory recovery for amounts that would not be otherwise recovered when any assets are retired. For additional information related to potential plant retirements see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters."

Sources of Electricity

Regulated Utilities relies principally on coal, natural gas and nuclear fuel for its generation of electricity. The following table lists sources of electricity and fuel costs for the three years ended December 31, 2013.

			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>				Cos		Deliver per Net		- Fuel
			Generation by Source ^{(a)(e)}								Kilowatt-hour Generated (Cents)(a)(e)				
		2	013		2	012		20)11		2013		2012		2011
Coal ^(b)		35.7	%		39.1	%		52.6	%		3.67		3.55		3.17
Nuclear	r (b)	28.7	%		30.8	%		33.0	%		0.66		0.62		0.55
Oil and gas ^(b)		21.3	%		14.0	%		1.2	%		4.18		4.03		5.89
	s (cost-based on ed average) ^(b)	85.7	%		83.9	%		86.8	%		2.79		2.55		2.21
	lectric and solar(c)	1.5	%		0.8	%		0.9	%						
Total ge	eneration	87.2	%		84.7	%		87.7	%						
Purchas intercha	sed power and net ange ^(d)	12.8	%		15.3	%		12.3	%						
	ources of energy	100.0	%		100.0	%		100.0	%						
(a)	Statistics include	Statistics include Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida beginning July 2, 2012.													
(b)	Statistics related	Statistics related to all fuels reflect Regulated Utilities' ownership interest in jointly owned generation facilities.													
(c)	Generating figure off-peak periods.	Generating figures are net of output required to replenish pumped storage facilities during													
(d)	Purchased power	r include	s rer	newa	able ene	rgy p	urch	nases.							
(e)	Includes the effect are excluded from							t (JDA) a	and N	/litig	ation S	ales	s. Mitiga	tion	sales

Coal

Regulated Utilities meets its coal demand through a portfolio of long-term purchase contracts and short-term spot market purchase agreements. Large amounts of coal are purchased under long-term contracts with mining operators who mine both underground and at the surface. Regulated Utilities uses spot-market purchases to meet coal requirements not met by long-term contracts. Expiration dates for its long-term contracts, which have various price adjustment provisions and market re-openers, range from 2014 to 2016 for Duke Energy Carolinas, 2014 to 2018 for Duke Energy Progress, 2014 to 2016 for Duke Energy Florida, and 2014 to 2025 for Duke Energy Indiana. Regulated Utilities expects to renew these contracts or enter into similar contracts with other suppliers as existing contracts expire, though prices will fluctuate over time as coal markets change. Coal purchased for the Carolinas is primarily produced from mines in Central Appalachia, Northern Appalachia and the Illinois Basin. Coal purchased for Florida is primarily produced from mines in Central Appalachia and the Illinois Basin. Coal purchased for Indiana is primarily produced in Indiana and Illinois. Regulated Utilities has an adequate supply of coal under contract to fuel its projected 2014 operations and a significant portion of supply to fuel its projected 2015 operations. Coal inventory levels have begun to normalize during the past year as weather patterns have trended closer to historical averages, combined with improving economic indicators and higher natural gas prices, which are resulting in higher coal-fired generation. Significantly colder than normal temperatures in December 2013 and January 2014 continued the trend of higher natural gas prices and increased coal-fired generation.

11

The current average sulfur content of coal purchased by Regulated Utilities is between 1.5 percent and 2 percent for Duke Energy Carolinas, between 1.5 percent and 2 percent for Duke Energy Progress, between 1 percent and 2.5 percent for Duke Energy Florida, and between 2 percent and 3 percent for Duke Energy Indiana. Regulated Utilities' environmental controls, in combination with the use of sulfur dioxide (SQ) emission allowances, enable Regulated Utilities to satisfy current SO₂ emission limitations for its existing facilities.

Nuclear

The industrial processes for producing nuclear generating fuel generally involve the mining and milling of uranium ore to produce uranium concentrates, and services to convert, enrich, and fabricate fuel assemblies.

Regulated Utilities has contracted for uranium materials and services to fuel its nuclear reactors. Uranium concentrates, conversion services and enrichment services are primarily met through a diversified portfolio of long-term supply contracts. The contracts are diversified by supplier, country of origin and pricing. Regulated Utilities staggers its contracting so that its portfolio of long-term contracts covers the majority of its fuel requirements in the near-term and decreasing portions of its fuel requirements over time thereafter. Near-term requirements not met by long-term supply contracts have been and are expected to be fulfilled with spot market purchases. Due to the technical complexities of changing suppliers of fuel fabrication services, Regulated Utilities generally sources these services to a single domestic supplier on a plant-by-plant basis using multi-year contracts.

Regulated Utilities has entered into fuel contracts that cover 100 percent of its uranium concentrates, conversion services, and enrichment services requirements through at least 2014 and cover fabrication services requirements for these plants through at least 2018. For future requirements not already covered under long-term contracts, Regulated Utilities believes it will be able to renew contracts as they expire, or enter into similar contractual arrangements with other suppliers of nuclear fuel materials and services.

Oil and Gas

Oil and natural gas supply for Regulated Utilities' generation fleet is purchased under term and spot contracts from various suppliers. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana use derivative instruments to limit a portion of their exposure to price fluctuations for natural gas. Regulated Utilities has dual-fuel generating facilities that can operate with both fuel oil and natural gas. The cost of Regulated Utilities' oil and natural gas is either at a fixed price or determined by market prices as reported in certain industry publications. Regulated Utilities believes it has access to an adequate supply of oil and gas for the reasonably foreseeable future. Regulated Utilities' natural gas transportation for its gas generation is purchased under term firm transportation contracts with interstate and intrastate pipelines. Regulated Utilities may also purchase additional shorter-term transportation for its load requirements during peak periods. The Regulated Utilities natural gas plants are served by several supply zones and multiple pipelines.

Purchased Power

Regulated Utilities purchased approximately 11.7 million megawatt-hours (MWh), 19.8 million MWh and 19.0 million MWh of its system energy requirements during 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively, under purchase obligations and leases and had 3,800 and 4,500 MW of firm purchased capacity under contract

during 2013 and 2012, respectively. These amounts include MWh for Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida for all periods presented. These agreements include approximately 398 MW of firm capacity under contract by Duke Energy Florida with certain QFs. Regulated Utilities may need to acquire additional purchased power capacity in the future to accommodate a portion of its system load needs. Regulated Utilities believes that it can obtain adequate purchased power to meet these needs. However, during periods of high demand, the price and availability of purchased power may be significantly affected.

Gas for Retail Distribution

Regulated Utilities is responsible for the purchase and the subsequent delivery of natural gas to retail customers in its Ohio and Kentucky service territories. Regulated Utilities' natural gas procurement strategy is to buy firm natural gas supplies and firm interstate pipeline transportation capacity during the winter season and during the non-heating season through a combination of firm supply and transportation capacity along with spot supply and interruptible transportation capacity. This strategy allows Regulated Utilities to assure reliable natural gas supply for its non-curtailable customers during peak winter conditions and provides Regulated Utilities the flexibility to reduce its contract commitments if firm customers choose alternate gas. In 2013, firm supply purchase commitment agreements provided approximately 100 percent of the natural gas supply.

Inventory

Generation of electricity is capital intensive. Regulated Utilities must maintain an adequate stock of fuel and materials and supplies in order to ensure continuous operation of generating facilities and reliable delivery to customers. As of December 31, 2013, the inventory balance for Regulated Utilities was \$3,043 million. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," for additional information.

Dan River Ash Basin Release

On February 2, 2014, a break in a stormwater pipe beneath an ash basin at Duke Energy Carolinas' retired Dan River steam station caused a release of ash basin water and ash into the Dan River. On February 8, 2014, a permanent plug was installed in the stormwater pipe stopping the release of materials into the river. Duke Energy Carolinas estimates 30,000 to 39,000 tons of ash and 24 million to 27 million gallons of basin water were released into the river.

Duke Energy cannot reasonably estimate the cost associated with remediation of this release at this time. Other costs related to the Dan River release and other ash basins, including regulatory directives, natural resources damages, future lawsuits, future claims, long-term environmental impact costs, long-term operational changes, and costs associated with new laws and regulations cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

Nuclear Matters

Regulated Utilities owns, wholly or partially, 12 nuclear reactors located at seven stations. Nuclear insurance includes: nuclear liability coverage; property, decontamination and premature decommissioning coverage; and replacement power expense coverage. Joint owners reimburse Regulated Utilities for certain expenses associated with nuclear insurance in accordance with joint owner agreements. The Price-Anderson Act requires plant owners to provide for public nuclear liability claims resulting from nuclear incidents to the maximum total financial protection liability, which currently is \$13.6 billion. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies — Nuclear Insurance," for more information.

Regulated Utilities has a significant future financial commitment to dispose of spent nuclear fuel and decommission and decontaminate each plant safely. The NCUC, FPSC and PSCSC require Regulated Utilities to update their cost estimates for decommissioning their nuclear plants every five years.

The following table summarizes the fair value of nuclear decommissioning trust fund (NDTF) balances and cost study results for Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, and Duke Energy Florida.

	Carolinas		cember 31, 2013		Dec							Year of
Duke Energy	Carolinas			December 31, 2012				Dec	commissioning Costs ^{(a) (b)}			Cost Study
		\$	2,840		\$	2,354			\$	3,420		2013
Duke Energy	Ouke Energy Progress 1,539					1,259				3,000		2009
	uke Energy Florida 753					629			1,083		2013	
			the most rec commission									
			ary Registra ble for deco									

The NCUC, FPSC and PSCSC have allowed Regulated Utilities' to recover estimated decommissioning costs through retail rates over the expected remaining service periods of their nuclear stations. Regulated Utilities believes the decommissioning costs being recovered through rates, when coupled with the existing fund balance and expected fund earnings, will be sufficient to provide for the cost of future decommissioning. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations," for more information.

The Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (as amended) (NWPA) provides the framework for development by the federal government of interim storage and permanent disposal facilities for high-level radioactive waste materials. The NWPA promotes increased usage of interim storage of spent nuclear fuel at existing nuclear plants. Regulated Utilities will continue to maximize the use of spent fuel storage capability within its own facilities for as long as feasible.

Under federal law, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is responsible for the selection and construction of a facility for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. Delays have occurred in the DOE's proposed permanent repository to be located at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.

Until the DOE begins to accept the spent nuclear fuel, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida will continue to safely manage their spent nuclear fuel. With certain modifications and additional approvals by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), including the expansion of on-site dry cask storage facilities, spent nuclear fuel storage facilities will be sufficient to provide storage space for spent fuel through the expiration of the operating licenses, including any license renewals, for all sites except Shearon Harris Nuclear Station (Harris) and Crystal River Unit 3. Under current regulatory guidelines, Harris has sufficient storage capacity in its spent fuel pools through the expiration of its renewed operating license. Crystal River Unit 3 was retired in 2013, with plans to place the facility in SAFSTOR (extended storage) prior to final decommissioning. An on-site dry cask storage facility will be installed to accommodate storage of all spent nuclear fuel until the DOE accepts the spent nuclear fuel.

The nuclear power industry faces uncertainties with respect to the cost and long-term availability of disposal sites for spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive waste, compliance with changing regulatory requirements, capital outlays for modifications and new plant construction, the technological and financial aspects of decommissioning plants at the end of their licensed lives, and requirements relating to nuclear insurance. Nuclear units are periodically removed from service to accommodate normal refueling and maintenance outages, repairs, uprates and certain other modifications.

Regulated Utilities is subject to the jurisdiction of the NRC for the design, construction and operation of its nuclear generating facilities. The following table includes the current expiration of nuclear operating licenses.

Unit	Year of Expiration
Duke Energy Carolinas	·
Catawba Unit 1	2043
Catawba Unit 2	2043
McGuire Unit 1	2041
McGuire Unit 2	2043
Oconee Unit 1	2033
Oconee Unit 2	2033
Oconee Unit 3	2034
Duke Energy Progress	
Brunswick Unit 1	2036
Brunswick Unit 2	2034
Harris	2046
Robinson	2030
Duke Energy Florida	
Crystal River Unit 3 ^(a)	2016
Duke Energy Florida has requested the NRC to operating license as a result of the retirement of	

The NRC issues orders with regard to security at nuclear plants in response to new or emerging threats. The most recent orders include additional restrictions on nuclear plant access, increased security measures at nuclear facilities and closer coordination with intelligence, military, law enforcement and emergency response functions at the federal, state and local levels. As the NRC, other governmental entities and the industry continue to consider security issues, it is possible that more extensive security plans could be required.

Regulation

State

The NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC, PUCO, IURC and KPSC (collectively, the state utility commissions) approve rates for retail electric and gas service within their respective states. The state utility commissions, except for the PUCO, also have authority over the construction and operation of Regulated Utilities' generating facilities. Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) issued by the state utility commissions, as applicable, authorize Regulated Utilities to construct and operate its electric facilities, and to sell electricity to retail and wholesale customers. Prior approval from the relevant state utility commission is required for Regulated Utilities to issue securities. The underlying concept of utility ratemaking is to set rates at a level that allows the utility to collect revenues equal to its cost of providing service plus earn a reasonable rate of return on its invested capital, including equity.

Each of the state utility commissions allows recovery of certain costs through various cost-recovery clauses, to the extent the respective commission determines in periodic hearings that such costs, including any past over or under-recovered costs, are prudent. The clauses are in addition to approved base rates.

Fuel, fuel-related costs and certain purchased power costs are eligible for recovery by Regulated Utilities. Regulated Utilities uses coal, oil, hydroelectric, natural gas and nuclear fuel to generate electricity, thereby maintaining a diverse fuel mix that helps mitigate the impact of cost increases in any one fuel. Due to the associated regulatory treatment and the method allowed for recovery, changes in fuel costs from year to year have no material impact on operating results of Regulated Utilities, unless a commission finds a portion of such costs to have been imprudent. However, delays between the expenditure for fuel costs and recovery from ratepayers can adversely impact the timing of cash flows of Regulated Utilities.

The following table summarizes base rate cases approved and effective in the past three years.

	_	Annual Increase		Retur Ec	n on	Equity omponent of Capital Structure		Effective Date	Other
Duke Energy Carolinas 2013 North Carolina Rate Case ^(a)	\$	234		10.2	%	53	%	September 2013	(b)
Duke Energy Carolinas 2013 South Carolina Rate Case ^(a)		118		10.2	%	53	%	September 2013	(c)
Duke Energy Carolinas 2011 North Carolina Rate Case		309		10.5	%	53	%	February 2012	
		93		10.5	%	53	%		

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

1	ı				1	i i			ī	1	1 1	1 1		
1	gy Carolinas 2011									February				
	olina Rate Case									2012				
	gy Progress 2012	470		400	٥,			٥,				(1)		
	lina Rate Case ^(a)	178	1	10.2	%		53	%		June 2013		(d)		
	gy Ohio 2012 Electric	40		0.04	0/		- 0	0/		M 0040				
Rate Case	011 0010 11 1	49	'	9.84	%		53	%		May 2013				
	gy Ohio 2012 Natural			0.04	0/		- 0	0/		December		(-)		
Gas Rate C		-		9.84	%		53	%		2013		(e)		
Settlement	gy Florida 2013 FPSC			10.5	%		49	%		October		(f)(h)		
				10.5	70		49	70		2013		(f)(h)		
Settlement	gy Florida 2012 FPSC	150	,	10.5	%		49	%		January 2013		(g)(h)		
Settlement		130	'	10.5	/0		43	/0		2013		(9)(11)		
(a)	Rates will increase ov	er a two o	or thre	ee vear	perio	d as	appro	ved b	v the	NCUC and I	PSC	SC.		
()	Annual increase amou													
(b)	Terms of this rate cas									ses over the	refue	eling		
	cycle rather than when	cle rather than when the outage occurs, (ii) a \$10 million shareholder contribution to												
		ling energy assistance to low-income customers, (iii) an annual reduction in the												
		costs of removal of \$30 million for each of the first two years, and (iv) no												
	additional base rate in													
(c)	Terms of this rate case include (i) recognition of nuclear outage expenses over the refueling													
		when the outage occurs, (ii) an approximate \$4 million shareholder												
		agencies providing energy assistance to low-income customers and for												
		pment, (iii) a reduction in the regulatory liability for costs of removal of \$45 at year, and (iv) no additional base rate increases to be effective before												
	September 2015.	ır, arıu (ıv,) 110 a	auiliona	ıı Das	erai	e more	ases	ט ט	e ellective be	eiore			
(d)		a includa	(i) ro	cognitio	n of n	urcle:	ar outs	200	vnor	ses over the	rofue	alina		
(u)	Terms of this rate case include (i) recognition of nuclear outage expenses over the refueling cycle rather than when the outage occurs, (ii) a \$20 million shareholder contribution to													
	agencies providing energy assistance to low-income customers, and (iii) a reduction in the													
	regulatory liability for costs of removal of \$20 million for the first year.													
(e)	Although the PUCO a									alf of the reve	nue			
,	request was approved to be recovered in various riders, including recovery of costs related to													
	former manufactured gas plants (MGP). Recovery of \$56 million of MGP costs via a rider was											er was		
	approved in Novembe	er 2013. T	he ric	ler is ef	ective	e in N	March	2014						
(f)	Terms of this settleme													
		recovery of Crystal River Unit 3 beginning in 2014, and (iii) full recovery of Crystal River Unit 3,												
	not to exceed \$1,466	million, pl	us the	e cost to	build	d a d	ry cas	k sto	rage	facility, begin	ning	no		
()	later than 2017.													
(g)	Terms of this settleme													
(h)	Capital structure inclu	des defer	red in	come t	ax, cı	ıston	ner de	oosits	s and	i investment t	ax cı	edits.		
				<u> </u>										

For more information on rate matters and other regulatory proceedings, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters — Rate Related Information."

Federal

The FERC approves Regulated Utilities' cost-based rates for electric sales to certain wholesale customers, as well as sales of transmission service. Regulations of FERC and the state utility commissions govern access to regulated electric and gas customers and other data by nonregulated entities and services provided between regulated and nonregulated energy affiliates. These regulations affect the activities of nonregulated affiliates with Regulated Utilities.

Regional Transmission Organizations (RTO). PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM) and Midcontinent Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (MISO) are the Independent System Operators (ISO) and FERC-approved RTOs for the regions in which Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana operate. PJM and MISO operate energy, capacity and other markets, and, through central dispatch, control the day-to-day operations of bulk power systems.

Duke Energy Ohio is a member of PJM and Duke Energy Indiana is a member of MISO. Transmission owners in these RTOs have turned over control of their transmission facilities, and their transmission systems are currently under the dispatch control of the RTOs. Transmission service is provided on a region-wide, open-access basis using the transmission facilities of the RTO members at rates based on the costs of transmission service.

Environmental. Regulated Utilities is subject to the jurisdiction of the EPA and state and local environmental agencies. For a discussion of environmental regulation, see "Environmental Matters" in this section.

See "Other Issues" section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion about potential Global Climate Change legislation and other EPA regulations under development and the potential impacts such legislation and regulation could have on Duke Energy's operations.

INTERNATIONAL ENERGY

International Energy principally operates and manages power generation facilities and engages in sales and marketing of electric power, natural gas, and natural gas liquids outside the U.S. Its activities principally target power generation in Latin America. Additionally, International Energy owns a 25 percent interest in National Methanol Company (NMC), a large regional producer of methanol and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) located in Saudi Arabia. International Energy's ownership interest will decrease to 17.5 percent by the end of 2016. The investment in NMC is accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

International Energy's customers include retail distributors, electric utilities, independent power producers, marketers, and industrial and commercial companies. International Energy's current strategy is focused on optimizing the value of its current Latin American portfolio and expanding the portfolio through investment in generation opportunities in Latin America.

For information on International Energy's generation facilities, see Item 2, "Properties."

Competition and Regulation

International Energy's sales and marketing of electric power and natural gas competes directly with other generators and marketers serving its market areas. Competitors are country and region-specific but include government-owned electric generating companies, local distribution companies with self-generation capability and other privately owned electric generating and marketing companies. The principal elements of competition are price and availability, terms of service, flexibility and reliability of service.

A high percentage of International Energy's portfolio consists of baseload hydroelectric generation facilities, which compete with other forms of electric generation available to International Energy's customers and end-users, including natural gas and fuel oils. Economic activity, conservation, legislation, governmental regulations, weather, additional generation capacities and other factors affect the supply and demand for electricity in the regions served by International Energy.

International Energy's operations are subject to both country-specific and international laws and regulations. (See "Environmental Matters" in this section.)

COMMERCIAL POWER

Commercial Power owns, operates and manages power plants and engages in the wholesale marketing and procurement of electric power, fuel and emission allowances related to these plants as well as other contractual positions. Commercial Power's generation operations consist primarily of Duke Energy Ohio's coal-fired and gas-fired nonregulated generation assets located in the Midwest region of the United States and wind and solar generation located throughout the United States. The asset portfolio has a diversified fuel mix with baseload and mid-merit coal-fired units as well as combined cycle and peaking natural gas-fired units.

Generation from the coal-fired and gas-fired assets is dispatched into the PJM wholesale market. These assets earn energy and capacity revenue at market prices. Duke Energy Ohio is a PJM Fixed Resource Requirement (FRR) entity through May 31, 2015. As an FRR entity, Duke Energy Ohio is obligated to self-supply capacity for the Duke Energy Ohio load zone. Commercial Power has economically hedged its forecasted coal-fired generation and a significant portion of its forecasted gas-fired generation for 2014. Commercial Power also has long-term economic hedges in place for a portion of expected coal and gas generation through 2017 and 2018, respectively. Capacity revenues are 100 percent fixed in PJM through May 2017.

Energy and renewable energy credits generated by wind and solar projects are generally sold at contractual prices. Contracts are executed with load serving entities, which, in most instances, have obligations under state-mandated renewable energy portfolio standards or similar state or local renewable energy goals. Most contracts have a term which approximates the estimated useful life of the underlying generation project. In addition, Commercial Power operates and develops transmission projects.

For information on Commercial Power's generation facilities, see Item 2, "Properties."

Commercial Power also has a retail sales subsidiary, Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC (Duke Energy Retail), which is certified by the PUCO as a Competitive Retail Electric Supplier (CRES) provider in Ohio. Duke Energy Retail serves retail electric and gas customers in Ohio with energy and other energy services at competitive rates.

Capacity Rider Filing

On August 29, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio applied to the PUCO for the establishment of a charge for capacity provided pursuant to its obligations as an FRR entity. The charge, which is consistent with Ohio's state compensation mechanism, is estimated to be approximately \$729 million, and reflects Duke Energy Ohio's embedded cost of capacity. On February 13, 2013, the PUCO denied Duke Energy Ohio's request.

Midwest Generation Exit

On February 17, 2014, Duke Energy Ohio announced that it had initiated a process to exit its nonregulated Midwest generation business. Considering a marketing period of several months and potential regulatory approvals, Duke Energy Ohio expects to dispose of the nonregulated Midwest generation business by early to mid-2015. In the first quarter of 2014, Duke Energy Ohio will reclassify approximately \$3.5 billion carrying value of its Midwest generation business to assets held for sale and expects to record an estimated pretax impairment charge of \$1 billion to \$2 billion to reduce the carrying value to estimated sales proceeds less cost to sell.

Other Matters

Commercial Power is subject to regulation at the federal level, primarily from the FERC. Regulations of the FERC govern access to regulated electric customer and other data by nonregulated entities, services provided between regulated and nonregulated energy affiliates, and Commercial Power's investments in transmission projects. These regulations affect the activities of Commercial Power.

For more information on rate matters, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters — Rate Related Information."

Commercial Power is subject to the jurisdiction of the EPA and state and local environmental agencies. (For a discussion of environmental regulation, see "Environmental Matters" in this section.)

See "Other Issues" section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion about potential Global Climate Change legislation and other EPA regulations under development, and the potential impacts such legislation could have on Duke Energy's operations.

Market Environment and Competition

The market price of commodities and services, along with the quality and reliability of services provided, drive competition in the wholesale energy business. Commercial Power's main competitors include other nonregulated generators and wholesale power providers.

Sources of Electricity

Commercial Power relies on coal and natural gas for its generation of electric energy.

Coal

Commercial Power meets its coal demand through a portfolio of purchase supply contracts and spot agreements. Large amounts of coal are purchased under supply contracts with mining operators who mine both underground and at the surface. Commercial Power uses spot-market purchases to meet coal requirements not met by supply contracts. Expiration dates for its supply contracts, which have various price adjustment provisions and market re-openers, range through 2018. Commercial Power expects to renew these contracts or enter into similar contracts with other suppliers for the quantities and quality of coal required as existing contracts expire, though prices will fluctuate over time as coal markets change. The majority of Commercial Power's coal is sourced from mines in the Northern Appalachian and Illinois basins. Commercial Power has an adequate supply of coal to fuel its projected 2014 operations. The majority of Commercial Power's coal-fired generation is equipped with environmental controls. As a result, Commercial Power is able to satisfy the current emission limitations for SO₂ for existing facilities.

Gas

Commercial Power is responsible for the purchase of natural gas to its gas turbine generators. In general Commercial Power hedges its natural gas requirements using physical and financial contracts. Physical gas is purchased in the spot market and under long-term contracts to meet generation needs.

OTHER

The remainder of Duke Energy's operations is presented as Other. While it is not an operating segment, Other primarily includes unallocated corporate interest expense, certain unallocated corporate costs, Bison Insurance Company Limited (Bison), Duke Energy's wholly owned, captive insurance subsidiary, contributions to the Duke Energy Foundation, and other investments in businesses the Company is in various stages of exiting or winding down. On December 31, 2013, Duke Energy sold its interest in DukeNet Communications Holdings, LLC (DukeNet) to Time Warner Cable, Inc. Following the repayment of existing DukeNet indebtedness at closing, transaction expenses and other purchase price adjustments, Duke Energy received cash proceeds of approximately \$215 million.

Bison's principal activities as a captive insurance entity include the indemnification of various business risks and losses, such as property, business interruption, workers' compensation and general liability of subsidiaries and affiliates of Duke Energy.

Regulation

Certain entities within Other are subject to the jurisdiction of state and local agencies.

16

Geographic Regions

For a discussion of Duke Energy's foreign operations see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations" and Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

Employees

On December 31, 2013, Duke Energy had 27,948 employees. A total of 5,548 operating and maintenance employees were represented by unions.

Executive Officers

Lynn J. Good 54	Vice Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer. Ms. Good assumed her current position in July 2013. Prior to that, she served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since 2009. Prior to that, she served as President, Commercial Businesses since November 2007. Prior to that, she served as Senior Vice President and Treasurer since December 2006; prior to that she served as Treasurer and Vice President, Financial Planning since October 2006; and prior to that she served as Vice President and Treasurer since April 2006, upon the merger of Duke Energy and Cinergy.
Dhiaa M. Jamil 57	Executive Vice President and President, Duke Energy Nuclear. Mr. Jamil assumed his current position in March 2013. Prior to that, he served as Chief Nuclear Officer since February 2008. He also served as Chief Generation Officer for Duke Energy from July 2009 to June 2012. Prior to that he served as Senior Vice President, Nuclear Support, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC since January 2007.
Julia S. Janson 49	Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary. Ms. Janson assumed her current position in December 2012. Prior to that she had held the position of President of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky since 2008. She also held the position of Senior Vice President of Ethics and Compliance and Corporate Secretary for Duke Energy after its merger with Cinergy.
Marc E. Manly 61	Executive Vice President and President, Commercial Businesses. Mr. Manly assumed his current position in December 2012. Prior to that he had held the positions of Chief Legal Officer since April 2006, upon the merger of Duke Energy and Cinergy. He also held the position of Corporate Secretary from December 2008 until December 2012.
Brian D. Savoy 38	Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer. Mr. Savoy assumed his current position in September 2013. Prior to that he held the position of Director, Forecasting and Analysis since 2009. He held the position of Vice President and Controller of the Commercial Power segment from 2006-2009.
B. Keith Trent 54	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Regulated Utilities.

Mr. Trent assumed his current position in December 2012. He previously held the position of Executive Vice President, Regulated Utilities upon the merger with Progress Energy in July 2012 and prior to that, President, Commercial Businesses from July 2009 until July 2012. Prior to that he served as Group Executive and Chief Strategy, Policy and Regulatory Officer since May 2007.

Prior to that he served as Group Executive and Chief Strategy and Policy Officer since October 2006 and prior to that he served as Group Executive and Chief Development Officer since April 2006, upon the merger of Duke Energy and Cinergy.

Jennifer L. 47 Weber **Executive Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer.** Ms. Weber assumed her current position in January 2011. Prior to that she served as Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer since November 2008. Prior to that she served as Senior Vice President of Human Resources at Scripps Networks Interactive from 2005 to 2008.

Lloyd M. Yates 53

Executive Vice President, Regulated Utilities. Mr. Yates assumed his current position in November 2012. Prior to that, he was named Executive Vice President, Customer Operations in July 2012, upon the merger of Duke Energy and Progress Energy. Mr. Yates served as Chief Executive Officer, Duke Energy Progress, Inc. from July 2007 until June 2012.

Steven K. 55 Young Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Young assumed his current position in August 2013. Prior to that, he served as Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller. He assumed the role of Chief Accounting Officer in July 2012. He assumed the role of Controller in December 2006. Prior to that he served as Vice President and Controller since April 2006, upon the merger of Duke Energy and Cinergy.

Executive officers serve until their successors are duly elected or appointed.

There are no family relationships between any of the executive officers, nor any arrangement or understanding between any executive officer and any other person involved in officer selection.

Environmental Matters

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations with regard to air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. Duke Energy is also subject to international laws and regulations with regard to air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. Environmental laws and regulations affecting the Duke Energy Registrants include, but are not limited to:

- The Clean Air Act (CAA), as well as state laws and regulations impacting air emissions, including State Implementation Plans related to existing and new national ambient air quality standards for ozone and particulate matter. Owners and/or operators of air emission sources are responsible for obtaining permits and for annual compliance and reporting.
- The Clean Water Act which requires permits for facilities that discharge wastewaters into the environment.
- The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, which can require any individual or entity that currently owns or in the past may have owned or operated a disposal site, as well as transporters or generators of hazardous substances sent to a disposal site, to share in remediation costs.
- The Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, which requires certain solid wastes, including hazardous wastes, to be managed pursuant to a comprehensive regulatory regime.
- The National Environmental Policy Act, which requires federal agencies to consider potential environmental impacts in their decisions, including siting approvals.

See "Other Issues" section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion about potential Global Climate Change legislation and the potential impacts such legislation could have on the Duke Energy Registrants' operations. Additionally, other recently passed and potential future environmental laws and regulations could have a significant impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations, cash flows or financial position. However, if and when such laws and regulations become effective, the Duke Energy Registrants will seek appropriate regulatory recovery of costs to comply within its regulated operations.

For more information on environmental matters involving the Duke Energy Registrants, including possible liability and capital costs, see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies—Environmental." Except to the extent discussed in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies," compliance with current international, federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise protecting the environment, is incorporated into the routine cost structure of our various business segments and is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the competitive position, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Duke Energy Registrants.

Duke Energy Carolinas

Duke Energy Carolinas generates, transmits, distributes and sells electricity in portions of North Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Energy Carolinas' service area covers approximately 24,000 square miles and supplies electric service to 2.4 million residential, commercial and industrial customers. For information about Duke Energy Carolinas' generating plants, see Item 2, "Properties." Duke Energy Carolinas is subject to the regulatory provisions of the NCUC, PSCSC, NRC and FERC.

Substantially all of Duke Energy Carolinas operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Duke Energy Carolinas operates one reportable business segment, Regulated Utility. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

Progress Energy

Progress Energy, Inc. is a public utility holding company primarily engaged in the regulated electric utility business. Headquartered in Raleigh, North Carolina, and subject to regulation by the FERC, it owns Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida. When discussing Progress Energy's financial information, it necessarily includes the results of Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida.

Substantially all of Progress Energy's operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Progress Energy operates one reportable business segment, Regulated Utilities. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

Duke Energy Progress

Duke Energy Progress generates, transmits, distributes and sells electricity in portions of North Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Energy Progress' service area covers approximately 34,000 square miles, and supplies electric service to approximately 1.5 million residential, commercial and industrial customers. For information about Duke Energy Progress' generating plants, see Item 2, "Properties." Duke Energy Progress is subject to the regulatory provisions of the NCUC, PSCSC, NRC and FERC.

Substantially all of Duke Energy Progress' operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Duke Energy Progress operates one reportable business segment, Regulated Utility. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

Duke Energy Florida

Duke Energy Florida generates, transmits, distributes, and sells electricity in portions of Florida. Duke Energy Florida's service area covers approximately 20,000 square miles and supplies electric service to approximately 1.7 million residential, commercial and industrial customers. For information about Duke Energy Florida's generating plants, see Item 2, "Properties." Duke Energy Florida is subject to the regulatory provisions of the FPSC, NRC and FERC.

Substantially all of Duke Energy Florida's operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Duke Energy Florida operates one reportable business segment, Regulated Utility. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

Duke Energy Ohio

Duke Energy Ohio is a public utility that provides service in portions of Ohio and Kentucky. References herein to Duke Energy Ohio include Duke Energy Ohio and its subsidiaries. Duke Energy Ohio is subject to the regulatory provisions of the PUCO, KPSC and FERC.

Business Segments

Duke Energy Ohio operates two business segments: Regulated Utilities and Commercial Power. For additional information on each of these business segments, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

The following is a brief description of the nature of operations of each of Duke Energy Ohio's reportable business segments.

REGULATED UTILITIES

Regulated Utilities transmits and distributes electricity in Ohio. Regulated Utilities also generates, transmits and distributes electricity in Kentucky. Regulated Utilities also transports and sells natural gas in Ohio and Kentucky. Duke Energy Ohio applies regulatory accounting to substantially all of the operations in its Regulated Utilities operating segment.

Duke Energy Ohio's Regulated Utilities service area covers 3,000 square miles and supplies electric service to 830,000 residential, commercial and industrial customers and provides regulated transmission and distribution services for natural gas to 500,000 customers. See Item 2, "Properties" for further discussion of Duke Energy Ohio's Regulated Utilities generating facilities.

COMMERCIAL POWER

Commercial Power owns, operates and manages power plants and engages in the wholesale marketing and procurement of electric power, fuel and emission allowances related to these plants, as well as other contractual positions. Commercial Power's generation operations consist primarily of coal-fired and gas-fired nonregulated generation assets located in the Midwest region of the United States. The asset portfolio has a diversified fuel mix with baseload and mid-merit coal-fired units as well as combined cycle and peaking natural gas-fired units. Generation from the coal-fired and gas-fired assets is dispatched into the PJM wholesale market. These assets earn energy and capacity revenue at market prices. See Item 2, "Properties", for further discussion of Duke Energy Ohio's Commercial Power generating facilities.

On February 17, 2014, Duke Energy Ohio announced that it had initiated a process to exit its nonregulated Midwest generation business. Considering a marketing period of several months and potential regulatory approvals, Duke Energy Ohio expects to dispose of the nonregulated Midwest generation business by early to mid-2015. In the first quarter of 2014, Duke Energy Ohio will reclassify approximately \$3.5 billion carrying value of its Midwest generation business to assets held for sale and expects to record an estimated pretax

impairment charge of \$1 billion to \$2 billion to reduce the carrying value to estimated sales proceeds less cost to sell.

Duke Energy Ohio is a PJM FRR entity through May 31, 2015. As an FRR entity, Duke Energy Ohio is required to self-supply capacity for the Duke Energy Ohio load zone.

See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for further discussion related to regulatory filings.

In 2013, 2012, and 2011 Duke Energy Ohio earned approximately 37 percent, 36 percent, and 24 percent, respectively, of its consolidated operating revenues from PJM. These revenues relate to the sale of capacity and electricity from all of Duke Energy Ohio's nonregulated generation assets in 2013 and 2012 and its gas-fired nonregulated generation assets in 2011.

Duke Energy Indiana

Duke Energy Indiana generates, transmits and distributes electricity in portions of Indiana. Duke Energy Indiana's service area covers 23,000 square miles and supplies electric service to 800,000 residential, commercial and industrial customers. See Item 2, "Properties" for further discussion of Duke Energy Indiana's generating facilities, transmission and distribution. Duke Energy Indiana is subject to the regulatory provisions of the IURC and FERC.

Substantially all of Duke Energy Indiana's operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting. Duke Energy Indiana operates one reportable business segment, Regulated Utility. For additional information regarding this business segment, including financial information, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments."

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to other disclosures within this Form 10-K, including Management's Discussion and Analysis – Matters Impacting Future Results for each registrant in Item 7, and other documents filed with the SEC from time to time, the following factors should be considered in evaluating Duke Energy and its subsidiaries. Such factors could affect actual results of operations and cause results to differ substantially from those currently expected or sought. Unless otherwise indicated, risk factors discussed below generally relate to risks associated with all of the Duke Energy Registrants. Risks identified at the Subsidiary Registrant level are generally applicable to Duke Energy.

Regulatory, Legislative and Legal Risks

The Duke Energy Registrants' regulated electric revenues, earnings and results are dependent on state legislation and regulation that affect electric generation, transmission, distribution and related activities, which may limit their ability to recover costs.

The Duke Energy Registrants' regulated utility businesses are regulated on a cost-of-service/rate-of-return basis subject to statutes and regulatory commission rules and procedures of North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, Ohio, Indiana and Kentucky. If the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated utility earnings exceed the returns established by the state utility commissions, retail electric rates may be subject to review and possible reduction by the commissions, which may decrease the Duke Energy Registrants' future earnings. Additionally, if regulatory bodies do not allow recovery of costs incurred in providing service on a timely basis, the Duke Energy Registrants' future earnings could be negatively impacted.

If legislative and regulatory structures were to evolve in such a way that the Duke Energy Registrants' exclusive rights to serve their regulated customers were eroded, their future earnings could be negatively impacted.

Deregulation or restructuring in the electric industry may result in increased competition and unrecovered costs that could adversely affect the Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations or cash flows and their utility businesses.

Increased competition resulting from deregulation or restructuring legislation could have a significant adverse impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations, financial position, or cash flows. Retail competition and the unbundling of regulated electric service could have a significant adverse financial impact on the Duke Energy Registrants due to an impairment of assets, a loss of retail customers, lower profit margins or increased costs of capital. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the extent and timing of entry by additional competitors into the electric markets. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict if or when they will be subject to changes in legislation or regulation, nor can they predict the impact of these changes on their financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Duke Energy Registrants' businesses are subject to extensive federal regulation that will affect their operations and costs.

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to regulation by FERC, NRC, EPA and various other federal agencies. Regulation affects almost every aspect of the Duke Energy Registrants' businesses, including, among other things, their ability to: take fundamental business management actions; determine the terms and rates of transmission and distribution services; make acquisitions; issue equity or debt securities;

engage in transactions with other subsidiaries and affiliates; and pay dividends upstream to the Duke Energy Registrants. Changes to federal regulations are continuous and ongoing. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the future course of regulatory changes or the ultimate effect those changes will have on their businesses. However, changes in regulation can cause delays in or affect business planning and transactions and can substantially increase the Duke Energy Registrants' costs.

The Dan River ash basin release could impact the financial condition of the Duke Energy Registrants.

There is uncertainty regarding the extent and timing of the costs and liabilities relating to the Dan River ash basin release, including the amount and extent of any civil or criminal penalties, and resulting litigation. These uncertainties are likely to continue for an extended period and may cause costs to increase. Thus, the Dan River ash basin release could have a material adverse impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Furthermore, releases of a similar nature at any of the Duke Energy Registrants' other ash basins could also result in a material adverse impact to their financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations requiring significant capital expenditures that can increase the cost of operations, and which may impact or limit business plans, or cause exposure to environmental liabilities.

The Duke Energy Registrants are subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations affecting many aspects of their present and future operations, including air emissions, water quality, wastewater discharges, solid waste and hazardous waste. These laws and regulations can result in increased capital, operating, and other costs. These laws and regulations generally require the Duke Energy Registrants to obtain and comply with a wide variety of environmental licenses, permits, inspections and other approvals. Compliance with environmental laws and regulations can require significant expenditures, including expenditures for cleanup costs and damages arising from contaminated properties. Failure to comply with environmental regulations may result in the imposition of fines, penalties and injunctive measures affecting operating assets. The steps the Duke Energy Registrants could be required to take to ensure their facilities are in compliance could be prohibitively expensive. As a result, the Duke Energy Registrants may be required to shut down or alter the operation of their facilities, which may cause the Duke Energy Registrants to incur losses. Further, the Duke Energy Registrants' regulatory rate structure and their contracts with customers may not necessarily allow for the recovery of capital costs incurred to comply with new environmental regulations. Also, the Duke Energy Registrants may not be able to obtain or maintain from time to time all required environmental regulatory approvals for their operating assets or development projects. Delays in obtaining any required environmental regulatory approvals, failure to obtain and comply with them or changes in environmental laws or regulations to more stringent compliance levels could result in additional costs of operation for existing facilities or development of new facilities being prevented, delayed or subject to additional costs. Although it is not expected that the costs of complying with current environmental regulations will have a material adverse effect on the Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations or cash flows due to regulatory cost recovery, no assurance can be made that the costs of complying with environmental regulations in the future will not have such an effect.

The EPA has proposed new federal regulations governing the management of coal combustion by-products, cooling water intake structures, wastewater and carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions. These regulations, as well as new regulations or legislative actions resulting from the Dan

River ash basis release, may require the Duke Energy Registrants to make additional capital expenditures and increase operating and maintenance costs.

Duke Energy's investments and projects located outside of the U.S. expose it to risks related to the laws, taxes, economic and political conditions, and policies of foreign governments. These risks may delay or reduce Duke Energy's realization of value from its international projects.

Duke Energy currently owns and may acquire and/or dispose of material energy-related investments and projects outside the U.S. The economic, regulatory, market and political conditions in some of the countries where Duke Energy has interests may impact its ability to obtain financing on suitable terms. Other risks relate to its customers' ability to honor their obligations with respect to projects and investments, delays in construction, limitations on its ability to enforce legal rights, and interruption of business, as well as risks of war, expropriation, nationalization, renegotiation, trade sanctions or nullification of existing contracts and changes in law, regulations, market rules or tax policy.

Operational Risks

The Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations may be negatively affected by overall market, economic and other conditions that are beyond their control.

Sustained downturns or sluggishness in the economy generally affect the markets in which the Duke Energy Registrants operate and negatively influence electricity operations. Declines in demand for electricity as a result of economic downturns in the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated electric service territories will reduce overall sales and lessen cash flows, especially as industrial customers reduce production and, therefore, consumption of electricity. Although the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated electric business is subject to regulated allowable rates of return and recovery of certain costs, such as fuel, under periodic adjustment clauses, overall declines in electricity sold as a result of economic downturn or recession could reduce revenues and cash flows, thereby diminishing results of operations. Additionally, prolonged economic downturns that negatively impact the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations and cash flows could result in future material impairment charges to write-down the carrying value of certain assets, including goodwill, to their respective fair values.

The Duke Energy Registrants also sell electricity into the spot market or other competitive power markets on a contractual basis. With respect to such transactions, the Duke Energy Registrants are not guaranteed any rate of return on their capital investments through mandated rates, and revenues and results of operations are likely to depend, in large part, upon prevailing market prices. These market prices may fluctuate substantially over relatively short periods of time and could reduce the Duke Energy Registrants' revenues and margins, thereby diminishing results of operations.

Factors that could impact sales volumes, generation of electricity and market prices at which the Duke Energy Registrants are able to sell electricity are as follows:

- weather conditions, including abnormally mild winter or summer weather that cause lower energy usage for heating or cooling purposes, respectively, and periods of low rainfall that decrease the ability to operate facilities in an economical manner;
- supply of and demand for energy commodities;

- transmission or transportation constraints or inefficiencies that impact nonregulated energy operations;
- availability of competitively priced alternative energy sources, which are preferred by some customers over electricity produced from coal, nuclear or gas plants, and customer usage of energy-efficient equipment that reduces energy demand;
- natural gas, crude oil and refined products production levels and prices;
- ability to procure satisfactory levels of inventory, such as coal, gas and uranium; and
- capacity and transmission service into, or out of, the Duke Energy Registrants' markets.

Natural disasters or operational accidents may adversely affect the Duke Energy Registrants' operating results.

Natural disasters (such as electromagnetic events or the 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan) or other operational accidents within the industry (such as the San Bruno, California natural gas transmission pipeline failure) could have direct significant impacts on the Duke Energy Registrants as well as on key contractors and suppliers. Such events could indirectly impact the Duke Energy Registrants through changes to policies, laws and regulations whose compliance costs have a significant impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations and cash flows may be negatively affected by a lack of growth or slower growth in the number of customers, or decline in customer demand or number of customers.

Growth in customer accounts and growth of customer usage each directly influence demand for electricity and the need for additional power generation and delivery facilities. Customer growth and customer usage are affected by a number of factors outside the control of the Duke Energy Registrants, such as mandated energy-efficiency measures, demand-side management goals, distributed generation resources and economic and demographic conditions, such as population changes, job and income growth, housing starts, new business formation and the overall level of economic activity.

Certain regulatory and legislative bodies have introduced or are considering requirements and/or incentives to reduce energy consumption by certain dates. Additionally, technological advances driven by federal laws mandating new levels of energy efficiency in end-use electric devices or other improvements in or applications of technology could lead to declines in per capita energy consumption.

Advances in distributed generation technologies that produce power, including fuel cells, micro-turbines, wind turbines, and solar cells, may reduce the cost of alternative methods of producing power to a level competitive with central power station electric production utilized by the Duke Energy Registrants.

Some or all of these factors, could result in a lack of growth or decline in customer demand for electricity or number of customers, and may cause the failure of the Duke Energy Registrants to fully realize anticipated benefits from significant capital investments and expenditures which could have a material adverse effect on their financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Furthermore, the Duke Energy Registrants currently have energy-efficiency riders in place to recover the cost of energy-efficiency programs in North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, Ohio and Kentucky. Should the Duke Energy Registrants be required to invest in conservation measures that result in reduced sales from effective conservation, regulatory lag in adjusting rates for the impact of these measures could have a negative financial impact.

The Duke Energy Registrants' operating results may fluctuate on a seasonal and quarterly basis and can be negatively affected by changes in weather conditions and severe weather.

Electric power generation is generally a seasonal business. In most parts of the U.S., and other markets in which Duke Energy operates, demand for power peaks during the warmer summer months, with market prices typically peaking at that time. In other areas, demand for power peaks during the winter. Further, extreme weather conditions such as heat waves or winter storms could cause these seasonal fluctuations to be more pronounced. As a result, in the future, the overall operating results of the Duke Energy Registrants' businesses may fluctuate substantially on a seasonal and quarterly basis and thus make period-to-period comparison less relevant.

Sustained severe drought conditions could impact generation by hydroelectric plants, as well as fossil and nuclear plant operations, as these facilities use water for cooling purposes and for the operation of environmental compliance equipment. Furthermore, destruction caused by severe weather events, such as hurricanes, tornadoes, severe thunderstorms, snow and ice storms, can result in lost operating revenues due to outages; property damage, including downed transmission and distribution lines; and additional and unexpected expenses to mitigate storm damage. The cost of storm restoration efforts may not be fully recoverable through the regulatory process.

The Duke Energy Registrants' sales may decrease if they are unable to gain adequate, reliable and affordable access to transmission assets.

The Duke Energy Registrants depend on transmission and distribution facilities owned and operated by utilities and other energy companies to deliver electricity sold to the wholesale market. FERC's power transmission regulations, as well as those of Duke Energy's international markets, require wholesale electric transmission services to be offered on an open-access, non-discriminatory basis. If transmission is disrupted, or if transmission capacity is inadequate, the Duke Energy Registrants' ability to sell and deliver products may be hindered.

The different regional power markets have changing regulatory structures, which could affect growth and performance in these regions. In addition, the ISOs who oversee the transmission systems in regional power markets have imposed in the past, and may impose in the future, price limitations and other mechanisms to address volatility in the power markets. These types of price limitations and other mechanisms may adversely impact the profitability of the Duke Energy Registrants' wholesale power marketing business.

Fluctuations in commodity prices or availability may adversely affect various aspects of the Duke Energy Registrants' operations as well as their financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to the effects of market fluctuations in the price of natural gas, coal, fuel oil, nuclear fuel, electricity and other energy-related commodities as a result of their ownership of energy-related assets. Fuel costs are recovered primarily through cost-recovery clauses, subject to the approval of state utility commissions.

Additionally, the Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to risk that counterparties will not be able to fulfill their obligations. Disruption in the delivery of fuel, including disruptions as a result of, among other things, transportation delays, weather, labor relations, *force majeure* events, or environmental regulations affecting any of these fuel suppliers, could limit the Duke Energy Registrants to operate their facilities. Should counterparties fail to perform, the Duke Energy Registrants might be forced to replace the underlying commitment at prevailing market prices possibly resulting in losses in addition to the amounts, if any, already paid to the counterparties.

Certain of the Duke Energy Registrants' hedge agreements may result in the receipt of, or posting of, derivative collateral with counterparties, depending on the daily derivative position. Fluctuations in commodity prices that lead to the return of collateral received and/or the posting of collateral with counterparties negatively impact liquidity. Downgrades in the Duke Energy Registrants' credit ratings could lead to additional collateral posting requirements. The Duke Energy Registrants continually monitor derivative positions in relation to market price activity.

Potential terrorist activities or military or other actions, including cyber attacks and data security breaches, could adversely affect the Duke Energy Registrants' businesses.

The continued threat of terrorism and the impact of retaliatory military and other action by the U.S. and its allies may lead to increased political, economic and financial market instability and volatility in prices for natural gas and oil, which may have material adverse effects in ways the Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict at this time. In addition, future acts of terrorism and possible reprisals as a consequence of action by the U.S. and its allies could be directed against companies operating in the U.S. or their international affiliates. Information technology systems, infrastructure and generation facilities such as nuclear plants could be potential targets of terrorist activities or harmful activities by individuals or groups. The potential for terrorism has subjected the Duke Energy Registrants' operations to increased risks and could have a material adverse effect on their businesses. In particular, the Duke Energy Registrants may experience increased capital and operating costs to implement increased security for their cyber systems and plants, including nuclear power plants under the NRC's design basis threat requirements. These increased costs could include additional physical plant security and security personnel or additional capability following a terrorist incident.

Information security risks have generally increased in recent years as a result of the proliferation of new technologies and the increased sophistication and frequency of cyber attacks and data security breaches. The utility industry requires the continued operation of sophisticated information technology systems and network infrastructure, which are part of an interconnected regional grid. Additionally, connectivity to the Internet continues to increase through smart grid and other initiatives. Because of the critical nature of the infrastructure, increased connectivity to the Internet and technology systems' inherent vulnerability to disability or failures due to hacking, viruses, acts of war or terrorism or other types of data security breaches, the Duke Energy Registrants face a heightened risk of cyber attack. In the event of such an attack, the Duke Energy Registrants could (i) have business operations disrupted, property damaged, customer information stolen and other

private information accessed (ii) experience substantial loss of revenues, repair and restoration costs, implementation costs for additional security measures to avert future cyber attacks and other financial loss, and (iii) be subject to increased regulation, litigation and reputational damage.

Failure to attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce could unfavorably impact the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations.

Certain events, such as an aging workforce, mismatch of skill set or complement to future needs, or unavailability of contract resources may lead to operating challenges and increased costs. The challenges include lack of resources, loss of knowledge base and the lengthy time required for skill development. In this case, costs, including costs for contractors to replace employees, productivity costs and safety costs, may rise. Failure to hire and adequately train replacement employees, including the transfer of significant internal historical knowledge and expertise to new employees, or future availability and cost of contract labor may adversely affect the ability to manage and operate the business, especially considering the workforce needs associated with nuclear generation facilities. If the Duke Energy Registrants are unable to successfully attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce, their financial position or results of operations could be negatively affected.

Duke Energy's investments and projects located outside of the U.S. expose it to risks related to fluctuations in currency rates. These risks, and Duke Energy's activities to mitigate such risks, may adversely affect its cash flows and results of operations.

Duke Energy's operations and investments outside the U.S. expose it to risks related to fluctuations in currency rates. As each local currency's value changes relative to the U.S. dollar, the value in U.S. dollars of Duke Energy's assets and liabilities in such locality and the cash flows generated in such locality, expressed in U.S. dollars, also change. Duke Energy's primary foreign currency rate exposure is to the Brazilian Real.

Duke Energy selectively mitigates some risks associated with foreign currency fluctuations by, among other things, indexing contracts to the U.S. dollar and/or local inflation rates, hedging through debt denominated or issued in the foreign currency and hedging through foreign currency derivatives. These efforts, however, may not be effective and, in some cases, may expose Duke Energy to other risks that could negatively affect its cash flows and results of operations.

The costs of retiring Duke Energy Florida's Crystal River Unit 3 could prove to be more extensive than is currently identified.

Exit costs to wind down operations and ultimately to retire and decommission the plant could exceed estimates and, if not recoverable through the regulatory process, could adversely affect Duke Energy's, Progress Energy's and Duke Energy Florida's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's membership in an RTO presents risks that could have a material adverse effect on their results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

The price at which Duke Energy Ohio can sell its generation capacity and energy is dependent on a number of factors, which include the overall supply and demand of generation and load, other state legislation or regulation, transmission congestion, and its business rules. As a result, the prices in day—ahead and real—time energy markets and RTO capacity markets are subject to price volatility.

Administrative costs imposed by RTOs, including the cost of administering energy markets, are also subject to volatility. PJM conducts Reliability Pricing Model (RPM) base residual auctions for capacity on an annual planning year basis. The results of the PJM RPM base residual auction are impacted by the supply and demand of generation and load and also may be impacted by congestion and PJM rules relating to bidding for Demand Response and Energy Efficiency resources. Auction prices could fluctuate substantially over relatively short periods of time. Duke Energy Ohio cannot predict the outcome of future auctions, but if the auction prices are sustained at low levels, its results of operations, financial condition and cash flows could be adversely impacted.

The rules governing the various regional power markets may also change, which could affect Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's costs and/or revenues. To the degree Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana incur significant additional fees and increased costs to participate in an RTO, their results of operations may be impacted. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana may be allocated a portion of the cost of transmission facilities built by others due to changes in RTO transmission rate design. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana may be required to expand their transmission system according to decisions made by an RTO rather than their own internal planning process. While RTO transmission rates were initially designed to be revenue neutral, various proposals and proceedings currently taking place by the FERC may cause transmission rates to change from time to time. In addition, RTOs has been developing rules associated with the allocation and methodology of assigning costs associated with improved transmission reliability, reduced transmission congestion and firm transmission rights that may have a financial impact on Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana.

As a members of an RTO, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are subject to certain additional risks, including those associated with the allocation among RTO members, of losses caused by unreimbursed defaults of other participants in the RTO markets and those associated with complaint cases filed against an RTO that may seek refunds of revenues previously earned by RTO members.

Nuclear Generation Risks

Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida may incur substantial costs and liabilities due to their ownership and operation of nuclear generating facilities.

Ownership interest in and operation of nuclear stations by Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida subject them to various risks. These risks include, among other things: the potential harmful effects on the environment and human health resulting from the operation of nuclear facilities and the storage, handling and disposal of radioactive materials; limitations on the amounts and types of insurance commercially available to cover losses that might arise in connection with nuclear operations; and uncertainties with respect to the technological and financial aspects of decommissioning nuclear plants at the end of their licensed lives.

Ownership and operation of nuclear generation facilities requires compliance with licensing and safety-related requirements imposed by the NRC. In the event of non-compliance, the NRC may increase regulatory oversight, impose fines, and/or shut down a unit, depending upon its assessment of the severity of the situation. Revised security and safety requirements promulgated by the NRC, which could be prompted by,

among other things, events within or outside of the control of Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida, such as a serious nuclear incident at a facility owned by a third party, could necessitate substantial capital and other expenditures, as well as assessments to cover third-party losses. In addition, if a serious nuclear incident were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations and financial condition of Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida.

Liquidity, Capital Requirements and Common Stock Risks

The Duke Energy Registrants rely on access to short-term borrowings and longer-term capital markets to finance their capital requirements and support their liquidity needs. Access to those markets can be adversely affected by a number of conditions, many of which are beyond the Duke Energy Registrants' control.

The Duke Energy Registrants' businesses are financed to a large degree through debt. The maturity and repayment profile of debt used to finance investments often does not correlate to cash flows from their assets. Accordingly, as a source of liquidity for capital requirements not satisfied by the cash flow from their operations and to fund investments originally financed through debt instruments with disparate maturities, the Duke Energy Registrants rely on access to short-term money markets as well as longer-term capital markets. The Subsidiary Registrants also rely on access to short-term intercompany borrowings. If the Duke Energy Registrants are not able to access capital at competitive rates or at all, the ability to finance their operations and implement their strategy and business plan as scheduled could be adversely affected. An inability to access capital may limit the Duke Energy Registrants' ability to pursue improvements or acquisitions that they may otherwise rely on for future growth.

Market disruptions may increase the cost of borrowing or adversely affect the ability to access one or more financial markets. Such disruptions could include: economic downturns, the bankruptcy of an unrelated energy company, capital market conditions generally, market prices for electricity and gas, terrorist attacks or threatened attacks on their facilities or unrelated energy companies, or the overall health of the energy industry. The availability of credit under Duke Energy's revolving credit facilities depends upon the ability of the banks providing commitments under such facilities to provide funds when their obligations to do so arise. Systematic risk of the banking system and the financial markets could prevent a bank from meeting its obligations under the facility agreement.

Duke Energy maintains a revolving credit facility to provide back-up for its commercial paper program and letters of credit to support variable rate demand tax-exempt bonds that may be put to the Duke Energy Registrant issuer at the option of the holder. The facility includes borrowing sublimits for the Duke Energy Registrants, each of whom is a party to the credit facility, and financial covenants that limit the amount of debt that can be outstanding as a percentage of the total capital for the specific entity. Failure to maintain these covenants at a particular entity could preclude Duke Energy from issuing commercial paper or the Duke Energy Registrants from issuing letters of credit or borrowing under the revolving credit facility.

The Duke Energy Registrants must meet credit quality standards and there is no assurance they will maintain investment grade credit ratings. If the Duke Energy Registrants are unable to maintain investment grade credit ratings, they would be required under credit agreements to provide collateral in the form of letters of credit or cash, which may materially adversely affect their liquidity.

Each of the Duke Energy Registrants' senior long-term debt issuances is currently rated investment grade by various rating agencies. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot ensure their senior long-term debt will be rated investment grade in the future.

If the rating agencies were to rate the Duke Energy Registrants below investment grade, their borrowing costs would increase, perhaps significantly. In addition, their potential pool of investors and funding sources would likely decrease. Further, if the short-term debt rating were to fall, access to the commercial paper market could be significantly limited. A reduction in liquidity and borrowing availability could ultimately impact the ability to indefinitely reinvest the earnings of Duke Energy's international operations, which could result in significant income taxes that would have a material effect on its results of operations.

A downgrade below investment grade could also require the posting of additional collateral in the form of letters of credit or cash under various credit, commodity and capacity agreements and trigger termination clauses in some interest rate derivative agreements, which would require cash payments. All of these events would likely reduce the Duke Energy Registrants' liquidity and profitability and could have a material effect on their financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Non-compliance with debt covenants or conditions could adversely affect the Duke Energy Registrants' ability to execute future borrowings.

The Duke Energy Registrants' debt and credit agreements contain various financial and other covenants. Failure to meet those covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in accelerated due dates and/or termination of the agreements.

Market performance and other changes may decrease the value of the NDTF investments of Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida, which then could require significant additional funding.

Ownership and operation of nuclear generation facilities also requires the maintenance of funded trusts that are intended to pay for the decommissioning costs of the respective nuclear power plants. The performance of the capital markets affects the values of the assets held in trust to satisfy these future obligations. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida have significant obligations in this area and hold significant assets in these trusts. These assets are subject to market fluctuations and will yield uncertain returns, which may fall below projected rates of return. Although a number of factors impact funding requirements, a decline in the market value of the assets may increase the funding requirements of the obligations for decommissioning nuclear plants. If Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida are unable to successfully manage their NDTF assets, their financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be negatively affected.

Poor investment performance of the Duke Energy pension plan holdings and other factors impacting pension plan costs could unfavorably impact the Duke Energy Registrants' liquidity and results of operations.

The costs of providing non-contributory defined benefit pension plans are dependent upon a number of factors, such as the rates of return on plan assets, discount rates, the level of interest rates used to measure the required minimum funding levels of the plans, future government regulation and required or voluntary contributions made to the plans. The Subsidiary Registrants are allocated their proportionate share of the

PART I

cost and obligations related to these plans. Without sustained growth in the pension investments over time to increase the value of plan assets and, depending upon the other factors impacting costs as listed above, Duke Energy could be required to fund its plans with significant amounts of cash. Such cash funding obligations, and the Subsidiary Registrants' proportionate share of such cash funding obligations, could have a material impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

25

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES	<u> </u>						
TILIWI Z. PHOPENTIES	,						
REGULATED UTILITI	ES			l	1 1		
The following table pro	vides information re	lated to Regulated	Utilities' elect	ric gener	ation statio	ons as of	
December 31, 2013. T							
				Total			
				MW		Owners	
Facility	Plant Type	Primary Fuel	Location	Capacity	Capacity	Inter	<u>rest</u>
Duke Energy							
Carolinas	Niveleen	Uluaniana	0.0	0.500	0.500	100	0/
Oconee	Nuclear	Uranium	SC	2,538	2,538	100	%
Catawba ^(a) McGuire	Nuclear Nuclear	Uranium Uranium	SC NC	2,258 2,258	435 2,258	19.25 100	
Belews Creek			NC NC		i i		
	Fossil Steam Fossil Steam	Coal	NC NC	2,220	2,220	100	
Marshall J.E. Rogers	Fossil Steam	Coal Coal	NC NC	2,078 1,377	2,078 1,377	100	
Bad Creek		Water	SC	1,360	1,360	100	
Dau Greek	Hydro Combustion	vvaler	30	1,360	1,360	100	
Lincoln	Turbine	Gas / Oil	NC	1,267	1,267	100	
Allen	Fossil Steam	Coal	NC	1,127	1,127	100	
, morr	Combustion	0001	110	1,127	1,121	100	
Rockingham	Turbine	Gas / Oil	NC	825	825	100	
Jocassee	Hydro	Water	SC	780	780	100	
Dan River	Combined Cycle	Gas	NC	637	637	100	
Buck	Combined Cycle	Gas	NC	631	631	100	
	Combustion						
Mill Creek	Turbine	Gas / Oil	SC	596	596	100	
W.S. Lee	Fossil Steam	Coal	SC	370	370	100	
Cowans Ford	Hydro	Water	NC	325	325	100	
Keowee	Hydro	Water	SC	152	152	100	
	Combustion						
W.S. Lee	Turbine	Gas / Oil	SC	82	82	100	
Distributed	Danassalala	01	NO			400	
generation	Renewable	Solar	NC	8	8	100	
Other small hydro (25 plants)	Hydro	Water	NC / SC	663	663	100	
Total Duke Energy	Tiyaro	vvalei	140 / 30	003	003	100	
Carolinas				21,552	19,729		
Duke Energy							
Progress							
Roxboro ^(b)	Fossil Steam	Coal	NC	2,432	2,342	96.30	%
Brunswick ^(b)	Nuclear	Uranium	NC	1,870	1,527	81.67	

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Smith	Combined Cycle	Gas / Oil	NC	1,102	1,102	100	
Harris ^(b)	Nuclear	Uranium	NC	928	778	83.83	
H.F. Lee	Combined Cycle	Gas / Oil	NC	920	920	100	
	Combustion						
Wayne County	Turbine	Gas / Oil	NC	863	863	100	
	Combustion						
Smith	Turbine	Gas / Oil	NC	813	813	100	
	Combustion						
Darlington	Turbine	Gas / Oil	SC	789	789	100	
Robinson	Nuclear	Uranium	SC	741	741	100	
Mayo ^(b)	Fossil Steam	Coal	NC	727	609	83.83	
L.V. Sutton	Combined Cycle	Gas / Oil	NC	622	622	100	
Asheville	Fossil Steam	Coal	NC	376	376	100	
	Combustion						
Asheville	Turbine	Gas / Oil	NC	324	324	100	
	Combustion						
Weatherspoon	Turbine	Gas / Oil	NC	129	129	100	
Walters	Hydro	Water	NC	112	112	100	
	Combustion						
L.V. Sutton	Turbine	Gas / Oil	NC	61	61	100	
	Combustion						
Blewett	Turbine	Oil	NC	52	52	100	
Other small hydro (3							
plants)	Hydro	Water	NC	110	110	100	<u> </u>
Total Duke Energy							
Progress				12,971	12,270		<u> </u>
Duke Energy Florida							
Crystal River	Fossil Steam	Coal	FL	2,291	2,291	100	%
Hines	Combined Cycle	Gas / Oil	FL	1,912	1,912	100	
Bartow	Combined Cycle	Gas / Oil	FL	1,074	1,074	100	
Anclote	Fossil Steam	Gas / Oil	FL	1,011	1,011	100	
	Combustion			,-	, -	(c)	
Intercession City ^(c)	Turbine	Gas / Oil	FL	986	986	(-)	
•	Combustion						
DeBary	Turbine	Gas / Oil	FL	636	636	100	
Tiger Bay	Combined Cycle	Gas / Oil	FL	205	205	100	
•	Combustion						
Bartow	Turbine	Gas / Oil	FL	177	177	100	
	Combustion						
Bayboro	Turbine	Oil	FL	174	174	100	
	Combustion						
Suwannee River	Turbine	Gas / Oil	FL	155	155	100	
	Combustion	Τ					
Turner	Turbine	Oil	FL	134	134	100	
Suwannee River	Fossil Steam	Gas / Oil	FL	129	129	100	
Higgins	Combustion	Gas / Oil	FL	105	105	100	

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	Turbine						
	Combustion						
Avon Park	Turbine	Gas / Oil	FL	48	48	100	
University of Florida	Combustion						
Cogeneration	Turbine	Gas	FL	46	46	100	
	Combustion						
Rio Pinar	Turbine	Oil	FL	12	12	100	
Total Duke Energy							
Florida				9,095	9,095		
Duke Energy Ohio							
East Bend ^(d)	Fossil Steam	Coal	KY	600	414	69	%
Woodsdale	Combustion	Gas / Propane	ОН	462	462	100	
	Turbine						
Miami Fort (Unit 6)	Fossil Steam	Coal	ОН	163	163	100	
Total Duke Energy Ohio				1 225	1 020		
				1,225	1,039		
Duke Energy Indiana							
Gibson ^(e)	Fossil Steam	Coal	IN	3,132	2,822	90.10	%
Cayuga ^(f)	Fossil Steam	Coal / Oil	IN	1,005	1,005	100	70
Wabash River ^(g)	Fossil Steam	Coal / Oil	IN	676	676	100	
Edwardsport	Fossil Steam	Coal	IN	595	595	100	
Luwarusport	Combustion	Coal	IIN	393	393	100	
Madison	Turbine	Gas	ОН	576	576	100	
Madison	Combustion	Guo	011	070	070	100	
Vermillion ^(h)	Turbine	Gas	IN	568	355	62.50	
VOTTIMIOTIV	Combustion	Guo		000	000	02.00	
Wheatland	Turbine	Gas	IN	460	460	100	
Noblesville	Combined Cycle	Gas / Oil	IN	285	285	100	
Gallagher	Fossil Steam	Coal	IN	280	280	100	
J. G.	Combustion						
Henry County	Turbine	Gas / Oil	IN	129	129	100	
	Combustion						
Cayuga	Turbine	Gas / Oil	IN	99	99	100	
	Combustion						
Connersville	Turbine	Oil	IN	86	86	100	
	Combustion						
Miami Wabash	Turbine	Oil	IN	80	80	100	
Markland	Hydro	Water	IN	45	45	100	
Total Duke Energy							
Indiana				8,016	7,493		
Total Regulated				E0 0E0	40 606		
Utilities				52,859	49,626		
Totals By Plant	+	+					
Type							
Nuclear				10,593	8,277		

Miles of 345 KV 1,000 700 Miles of 230 KV 2,600 3,300 1,700 700 Miles of 100 to 161 KV 6,800 2,600 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric 0 0 0 0 0	
Combustion Turbine	
Renewable 3,547 3,547 1,547	
Renewable Total Regulated Utilities S2,859 49,626 Utilities S2,859 Utilities Util	
Total Regulated Utilities	
Utilities	
(a) Jointly owned with North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1, North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency. (b) Jointly owned with North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency. (c) Duke Energy Florida owns and operates Intercession City Station Units 1-10 and 12-14. Unit i jointly owned with Georgia Power Company. Georgia Power Company has the exclusive right output of this unit during the months of June through September. Duke Energy Florida has the exclusive right to the output of this unit for the remainder of the year. (d) Jointly owned with The Dayton Power and Light Company. (e) Duke Energy Indiana owns and operates Gibson Station Units 1-4 and owns 50.05 percent of operates Unit 5. Unit 5 is jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. and Indian Municipal Power Agency. (f) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion (IC). (g) Includes Wabash River IC. (h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association. The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and distribution properties as of December 31, 2013. Duke Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Progress Florida Ohio Indiana U Electric Transmission Lines Miles of 525 KV 600 300 200 Duke Energy Indiana U Electric Transmission Lines Miles of 345 KV 1,000 700 Miles of 100 to 161 KV 6,800 2,600 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric	
Membership Corporation and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency.	
Membership Corporation and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency.	
(b) Jointly owned with North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency. (c) Duke Energy Florida owns and operates Intercession City Station Units 1-10 and 12-14. Unit 1 jointly owned with Georgia Power Company. Georgia Power Company has the exclusive right output of this unit during the months of June through September. Duke Energy Florida has the exclusive right to the output of this unit for the remainder of the year. (d) Jointly owned with The Dayton Power and Light Company. (e) Duke Energy Indiana owns and operates Gibson Station Units 1-4 and owns 50.05 percent of operates Unit 5. Unit 5 is jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. and Indian Municipal Power Agency. (f) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion (IC). (g) Includes Wabash River IC. (h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association. The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and distrib properties as of December 31, 2013. Duke	
jointly owned with Georgia Power Company. Georgia Power Company has the exclusive right output of this unit during the months of June through September. Duke Energy Florida has the exclusive right to the output of this unit for the remainder of the year. (d) Jointly owned with The Dayton Power and Light Company. (e) Duke Energy Indiana owns and operates Gibson Station Units 1-4 and owns 50.05 percent of operates Unit 5. Unit 5 is jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. and Indian Municipal Power Agency. (f) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion (IC). (g) Includes Wabash River IC. (h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association. The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and district properties as of December 31, 2013. Duke Energy	
output of this unit during the months of June through September. Duke Energy Florida has the exclusive right to the output of this unit for the remainder of the year. (d) Jointly owned with The Dayton Power and Light Company. (e) Duke Energy Indiana owns and operates Gibson Station Units 1-4 and owns 50.05 percent of operates Unit 5. Unit 5 is jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. and Indian Municipal Power Agency. (f) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion (IC). (g) Includes Wabash River IC. (h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association. The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and distribution of the provides as of December 31, 2013. Duke Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Florida Ohio Indiana UElectric Transmission Lines Miles of 525 KV 600 300 200 Miles of 345 KV 1,000 700 Miles of 100 to 161 KV 6,800 2,600 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric	11 is
exclusive right to the output of this unit for the remainder of the year. (d) Jointly owned with The Dayton Power and Light Company. (e) Duke Energy Indiana owns and operates Gibson Station Units 1-4 and owns 50.05 percent of operates Unit 5. Unit 5 is jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. and Indian Municipal Power Agency. (f) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion (IC). (g) Includes Wabash River IC. (h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association. The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and distribution properties as of December 31, 2013. Duke Duke Energy Energy Energy Energy Florida Ohio Indiana UElectric Transmission Lines Miles of 525 KV 600 300 200 Electric Transmission Lines Miles of 345 KV 1,000 700 Miles of 100 to 161 KV 6,800 2,600 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric	
(d) Jointly owned with The Dayton Power and Light Company. (e) Duke Energy Indiana owns and operates Gibson Station Units 1-4 and owns 50.05 percent of operates Unit 5. Unit 5 is jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. and Indian Municipal Power Agency. (f) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion (IC). (g) Includes Wabash River IC. (h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association. The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and distributoroperties as of December 31, 2013. Duke Energy Ener)
(e) Duke Energy Indiana owns and operates Gibson Station Units 1-4 and owns 50.05 percent of operates Unit 5. Unit 5 is jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. and Indian Municipal Power Agency. (f) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion (IC). (g) Includes Wabash River IC. (h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association. The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and distrib properties as of December 31, 2013. Duke Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Florida Ohio Indiana U Electric Transmission Lines Miles of 525 KV 600 300 200 Miles of 345 KV 1,000 700 Miles of 130 to 69 KV 2,600 3,300 1,700 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 I Total conductor miles of electric	
operates Unit 5. Unit 5 is jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. and Indiar Municipal Power Agency. (f) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion (IC). (g) Includes Wabash River IC. (h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association. The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and distrib properties as of December 31, 2013. Duke Duke Energy	
Municipal Power Agency. (f) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion (IC). (g) Includes Wabash River IC. (h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association.	
(f) Includes Cayuga Internal Combustion (IC). (g) Includes Wabash River IC. (h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association. The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and distribution of the properties as of December 31, 2013. Duke Energy Carolinas Progress Duke Energy Florida Duke Energy Plorida Duke Plorida Duke Energy Plorida<	ıa
C	
Wabash River IC.	
C.	
(h) Jointly owned with Wabash Valley Power Association. The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and distribution properties as of December 31, 2013. Duke Duke Energy Ene	
The following table provides information related to Regulated Utilities' electric transmission and distribution properties as of December 31, 2013. Duke	
Duke Duke Energy Energ	$\overline{}$
Duke Duke Energy Energ	
Duke Duke Energy Energy Energy Florida Duke Energy	JULION
Energy Carolinas Energy Progress Energy Florida Energy Ohio Indiana U	
Energy Carolinas Energy Progress Energy Florida Energy Ohio Indiana U	Total
Carolinas Progress Florida Ohio Indiana U Electric Transmission Lines 600 300 200 1,000 700 Miles of 345 KV 1,000 700 700 700 Miles of 230 KV 2,600 3,300 1,700 700 700 Miles of 100 to 161 KV 6,800 2,600 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric 0	
Electric Transmission Lines 5 200 300 200 300 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 100 to 161 KV 6,800 2,600 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric 0	
Miles of 525 KV 600 300 200 1,000 700 Miles of 345 KV 1,000 700 700 700 Miles of 230 KV 2,600 3,300 1,700 700 700 Miles of 100 to 161 KV 6,800 2,600 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric 0<	
Miles of 345 KV 1,000 700 Miles of 230 KV 2,600 3,300 1,700 700 Miles of 100 to 161 KV 6,800 2,600 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric 0<	1,100
Miles of 230 KV 2,600 3,300 1,700 700 Miles of 100 to 161 KV 6,800 2,600 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric 0	1,700
Miles of 100 to 161 KV 6,800 2,600 1,000 700 1,400 1 Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric 0 0 0 0	8,300
Miles of 13 to 69 KV 3,100 2,300 800 2,500 Total conductor miles of electric <t< td=""><td>2,500</td></t<>	2,500
Total conductor miles of electric	8,700
	2,7 30
	2,300
Electric Distribution Lines	,
	1,700
	0,000
Total conductor miles of electric	0,000
distribution lines 102,300 67,600 41,400 19,500 30,900 26	0,000
Number of electric transmission and	
Miles of gas mains	

		Lagai	rilling. Du		norgy ov	J	. 0	, , ,						
Miles o	f gas service lines								6,10	0			6,1	00
	ntially all of Regulated												_	
	nergy Carolinas', Dul		_			gy F	Florida's, D)uke	Ener	gy O	hio's a	and	Duke	
<u>Energy</u>	Indiana's various ser	ries of Fir	st Mortga	ge B	onds.									
		-												
INTERI	NATIONAL ENERGY	<u> </u>												ı
T. ()		1.192	1. (<u> </u>					
	lowing table provides													
Stations	s as of December 31,	2013. 11	e www als	piay		lab	ie below a	e ba	aseu (JII 50	Jillille	T	Dacity	I
								-	Total	0	vned			
									MW		MW	b _v	ners	hin
Facility	I		Primar	v Fu	el		Location	Cap				ľ	Inter	-
•	panema ^(a)			Wat			Brazil		,275		,089		92	%
Egenor			Water /				Peru	_	622		622		100	,,
	Colorados		Water				Argentina		576		524		91	
			Water / D				- I g - I - I - I							
DEI Ch	ile			Ga			Chile		380		380		100	
DEI EI :	Salvador		Oil /			Е	l Salvador		328		296		90	
DEI Gu	ıatemala	0	il / Diesel	/ Co	al	(auatemala		356		356		100	
Electro	quil			Dies	el		Ecuador		192		163		85	
Aguayti	ia			Ga	as		Peru		170		170		100	
Total Ir	nternational Energy							4	,899	4	,600			
(a)	Includes Canoas I an	d II, whic	h are join	tly o	wned wit	h Co	ompanhia I	Bras	ileira	de A	lumin	io, a	s well	as
	the wholly owned Pa	lmeiras a	nd Retiro	sma	ll hydro p	olant	ts.							
	tional Energy also ow													tely
	0 metric tons of meth						etric tons of	f MT	BE. A	Appro	oximat	ely 4	10	
percent	t of methanol is norm	ally used	in the M I	BE p	productio	n.								
СОММ	ERCIAL POWER					1								ı
Tl (- II		· f 4'		14- 6	<u> </u>					4.		4		,
	lowing table provides ber 31, 2013. The M\								_			tions	s as o	T
Decem		v dispiay	ea in the	labie	below a	leb	aseu on si	וווווג	lei ca	paci	ιy.			
									Total	0	vned	-		
					Primary				MW		MW	ον	ners	hin
Facility	I	PI	ant Type		Fuel		Location	Cap				Γ.	Inter	•
	nergy Ohio		<u> , p o</u>					<u> </u>		<u> </u>	,			
Stuart ^{(a}		Fos	sil Steam		Coal		ОН	2	,308		900		39	%
Zimmer			sil Steam		Coal	1	ОН		,300		605	\top	46.5	
	g Rock		ed Cycle		Gas		ОН		,226	1	,226		100	
	Fort (Units 7 and		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>											
8) ^(a)		Fos	sil Steam		Coal		ОН	1	,020		652	\perp	64	
Beckjor	1/-\/-\		sil Steam		Coal		ОН		802		543			

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Conesville ^{(a)(b)}	Fossil Steam		Coal		ОН		780		312	40	
Washington	Combined Cycle		Gas		ОН		617		617	100	
Fayette	Combined Cycle		Gas		PA		614		614	100	
Killen ^{(a)(b)}	Fossil Steam		Coal		ОН		600		198	33	
	Combustion										
Lee	Turbine		Gas		IL		568		568	100	
	Combustion										
Beckjord	Turbine		Oil		ОН		188		188	100	
	Combustion										
Dick's Creek	Turbine		Gas		OH		136		136	100	
	Combustion										
Miami Fort	Turbine		Oil		OH		56		56	100	
Total Duke Energy Ohio						10	,215	6	,615		
Duke Energy Renewables											
Los Vientos Windpower	Renewable		Wind		TX		402		402	100	%
Top of the World	Renewable		Wind		WY		200		200	100	
Notrees	Renewable		Wind		TX		153		153	100	
Campbell Hill	Renewable		Wind		WY		99		99	100	
North Allegheny	Renewable		Wind		PA		70		70	100	
Laurel Hill Wind Energy	Renewable		Wind		PA		69		69	100	
Ocotillo	Renewable		Wind		TX		59		59	100	
Kit Carson	Renewable		Wind		CO		51		51	100	
Silver Sage	Renewable		Wind		WY		42		42	100	
Happy Jack	Renewable		Wind		WY		29		29	100	
Shirley	Renewable		Wind		WI		20		20	100	
Highlander	Renewable		Solar		CA		21		21	100	
Bagdad	Renewable		Solar		AZ		15		15	100	
TX Solar	Renewable		Solar		TX		14		14	100	
Washington White Post	Renewable		Solar		NC		12		12	100	
Other small solar	Renewable		Solar		Various		44		44	100	
Total Duke Energy											
Renewables							,300		,300		
Total Commercial Power						11	,515	7	,915		
Totals By Plant Type											
Fossil Steam						6	,810	3	,210		
Combined Cycle							,457		,457		
Combustion Turbine							948		948		
Renewable						1	,300	1	,300		
Total Commercial Power							,515		,915		
							,		,		
(a) Jointly owned with O	hio Power Compar	ny ar	nd/or The	Day	ton Power	· & L	ight (Comi	oany.		
(b) Station is not operate											
(c) Beckjord Unit 4 with				retire	ed on Febr	uar	y 17,	2014			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,, -, -						,				
<u> </u>											

Sweetv	vater wind projects	locate	d in ⁻	Гех	as	, the	e 29	9	M۷	V c	ap	aci	ty	DS Corn	erst	one v	vind	pr	oje	cts	loca	ated	in
Kansas	s and the 13 MW ca	pacity	IND	U S	Sola	ar H	loldi	ทยุ	g J\	٧. (Co	mn	ıe	rcial Pow	er's	share	e in	the	ese	pro	ojec'	ts is	
440 M\	٧.																						
																		T					
OTHER	₹															-							
In addition to the above facilities, Commercial Power owns an equity interest in the 585 MW capacity Sweetwater wind projects located in Texas, the 299 MW capacity DS Cornerstone wind projects located in Kansas and the 13 MW capacity INDU Solar Holding JV. Commercial Power's share in these projects is 440 MW. OTHER Duke Energy owns approximately 5.2 million square feet and leases 2.9 million square feet of corporate, regional and district office space spread throughout its service territories and in Houston, Texas.																							
	<u>. </u>	-	-						26						-								

62

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For information regarding legal proceedings, including regulatory and environmental matters, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" and Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies — Litigation" and "Commitments and Contingencies — Environmental."

Ash Basin Litigation

North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources Enforcement Actions

In the first quarter of 2013, environmental organizations sent notices of intent to sue to Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress related to alleged groundwater violations and Clean Water Act violations from coal ash ponds at two of their coal-fired power plants in North Carolina. The North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) filed enforcement actions against Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress alleging violations of water discharge permits and North Carolina groundwater standards. The case against Duke Energy Carolinas was filed in Mecklenburg County Superior Court. The case against Duke Energy Progress was filed in Wake County Superior Court. On October 4, 2013, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and DENR negotiated a proposed consent order. The consent order assesses civil penalties (approximately \$100,000 in the aggregate) and imposes a compliance schedule requiring Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress to undertake monitoring and data collection activities toward making appropriate corrective action to address any substantiated violations. On February 10, 2014, DENR asked the court to postpone consideration of the consent order while DENR reviews Duke Energy Carolinas' and Duke Energy Progress's coal ash ponds in light of the release that occurred at Dan River on February 2, 2014. On February 20, 2014, DENR informed the court it will make a recommendation on the proposed consent order by March 21, 2014. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies - Litigation - Duke Energy Carolinas" for additional information related to the Dan River release.

On August 16, 2013, the DENR filed an enforcement action against Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress related to their remaining plants in North Carolina, alleging violations of the Clean Water Act and violations of the North Carolina groundwater standards. The case against Duke Energy Carolinas was filed in Mecklenburg County Superior Court. The case against Duke Energy Progress was filed in Wake County Superior Court. Both of these cases have been assigned to the judge handling the enforcement actions discussed above. Catawba Riverkeeper Foundation, Inc. (Catawba Riverkeeper) moved to intervene in the Duke Energy Carolinas case. Southern Environmental Law Center, on behalf of several environmental groups, moved to intervene in the Duke Energy Progress case. On November 17, 2013, the court granted, in part, Catawba Riverkeeper's and Southern Environmental Law Center's motions to intervene, allowing them full party status as to certain plants, but granting only permissive intervention for the remaining plants.

Catawba Riverkeeper Foundation, Inc. v. Duke Energy Carolinas

On June 11, 2013, Catawba Riverkeeper filed a separate action in the United States Court for the Western District of North Carolina. The lawsuit contends the state enforcement action discussed above does not adequately address issues raised in its notice of intent to sue. On August 1, 2013, Duke Energy Carolinas filed a motion to dismiss this case in light of North Carolina's diligent prosecution in the state enforcement actions. Catawba Riverkeeper filed objections to the Magistrate's recommendation of dismissal on

December 18, 2013.

Cape Fear River Watch, Inc., Sierra Club, and Waterkeeper Alliance v. Duke Energy Progress

On September 12, 2013, Cape Fear River Watch, Inc., Sierra Club, and Waterkeeper Alliance filed a citizen suit in the Federal District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina. The lawsuit alleges unpermitted discharges to surface water and groundwater violations. Duke Energy Progress filed a motion to dismiss this lawsuit on November 5, 2013.

For additional information, see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies."

Avian Mortalities

On November 22, 2013, Duke Energy entered into a settlement with the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) related to the incidental deaths of golden eagles and other migratory birds resulting from turbine collisions at four wind farms in Wyoming. Terms of the agreement include two misdemeanor violations of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, payment of \$1 million in fines and restitution, five years' probation, and implementation of a migratory bird compliance plan. The agreement includes a ten-year non-prosecution agreement for future incidental deaths at four facilities. Duke Energy undertakes adaptive management practices designed to avoid and minimize additional avian impacts.

Brazilian Transmission Fee Assessments

On July 16, 2008, Duke Energy International Geracao Paranapanema S.A. (DEIGP) filed a lawsuit in the Brazilian federal court challenging transmission fee assessments imposed under two new resolutions promulgated by the Brazilian electricity regulatory agency (ANEEL) (collectively, the Resolutions). The Resolutions purport to impose additional transmission fees on generation companies located in the State of Sao Paulo for utilization of the electric transmission system. The fees were retroactive to July 1, 2004 and effective through June 30, 2009. The charges were based upon a flat-fee that failed to take into account the locational usage by each generator. DEIGP's additional assessment under these Resolutions amounts to approximately \$57 million inclusive of interest through December 2013. Pending resolution of this dispute on the merits, DEIGP deposited the disputed portion of the assessment into a court-monitored escrow, and paid the undisputed portion to the distribution companies. In a decision published on October 2, 2013, the trial court affirmed an additional fine imposed by ANEEL on April 1, 2009 for DEIGP's failure to pay the disputed portion of the assessment. DEIGP appealed the trial court's ruling and deposited \$10 million into a court-monitored escrow.

Brazilian Regulatory Citations

In September 2007, the State Environmental Agency of Parana (IAP) assessed seven fines against DEIGP, totaling \$15 million for failure to comply with reforestation measures allegedly required by state regulations in Brazil. On January 14, 2010, DEIGP received a notice that one of the fines was subsequently increased, on grounds that DEIGP is an alleged repeat offender; however, in 2012 the decision to increase the amount of that fine was reversed. DEIGP filed administrative appeals with respect to all the fines. Between 2009 and 2012, four of the fines, in

the total amount of \$9 million, were judged to be valid in the administrative courts. DEIGP challenged those administrative rulings in the Brazilian state courts, by filing judicial actions for annulment and also requested its payment obligations be enjoined pending resolution on the merits. In one of the four cases, the court granted DEIGP's request for injunction, and subsequently ruled on the merits in favor of DEIGP. The plaintiff filed an appeal. In two of the four cases, the court granted DEIGP's request for injunction, and a decision on the merit is pending. In the fourth case, DEIGP's request for injunction was denied; however, DEIGP was granted permission to deposit the total amount of the fine in the court registry and to suspend entry of the debt in the state tax liability roster.

Additionally, DEIGP was assessed three environmental fines by the Brazilian federal environmental enforcement agency, Brazil Institute of Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA), totaling approximately \$1 million for improper maintenance of existing reforested areas. DEIGP believes that it has properly maintained all reforested areas and has challenged these assessments.

Gibson Notice of Violations

Pursuant to Notices of Violation dated June 23, 2011 and July 16, 2013, the EPA has asserted that, on several occasions between August 1, 2008 through March 31, 2013, Duke Energy Indiana's Gibson steam station violated opacity limits contained in its Title V permit. Duke Energy Indiana expects to enter into a settlement agreement with the EPA in the first quarter of 2014, which would require payment of a civil penalty of \$199,000.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

This is not applicable for any of the Duke Energy Registrants.

31

	. MARKET FO SUER PURCH								ITY, R	ELA	ATE	D STO	CKF	101	_DER N	IAT	TEF	₹S
	nergy's commons As of February																	/mbol
20.17.7	- to or r obradily	1	1			σ αρρισί			,	Ĭ	<u> </u>		1				<u> </u>	
Comm	on Stock Data	by G	uarter															
			•		2	013								2	012			
		Div	idends							C	Divi	idends						
		De	clared		S	tock Pri	се	Ran	ge ^(a)		De	clared		St	ock Pri	ice	Ran	ige ^(a)
	•	Pei	r Share			High			Low		S	Per hare ^(b)			High			Low
First Qı	uarter	\$	0.765		\$	72.68		\$	64.44		\$	0.750		\$	66.33		\$	62.01
Second	d Quarter ^(c)		1.545			75.46			64.62			1.515			70.20			60.57
Third C)uarter					72.01			64.16						69.87			63.03
Fourth	Quarter		0.780			73.53			66.05			0.765			65.90			59.63
(a)	Stock prices	repre	esent the	e int	ra-d	dav high	and	ol b	v stock	pric	ce.							
(b)	On July 2, 20 executed a cone-for-three presented.	012, ione-fo	mmedia r-three	tely reve	pri erse	or to the stock s	clo plit.	se c All	of the m	erg are a	er v amo	ounts fo	r are	е рі	esente	d as	if t	
(c)	Dividends in dividends in																	

Duke Energy expects to continue its policy of paying regular cash dividends; however, there is no assurance as to the amount of future dividends as they depend on future earnings, capital requirements, and financial condition, and are subject to declaration by the Board of Directors.

Duke Energy's operating subsidiaries have certain restrictions on their ability to transfer funds in the form of dividends or loans to Duke Energy. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" for further information regarding these restrictions.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Duke Energy will provide information that is responsive to this Item 5 in its definitive proxy statement or in an amendment to this Annual Report not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report, in either case under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters," and possibly elsewhere therein. That information is incorporated in this Item 5 by reference.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities for Fourth Quarter of 2013

There were no repurchases of equity securities during the fourth quarter of 2013.

Stock Performance Graph

The performance graph below illustrates a five year comparison of cumulative total returns of Duke Energy Corporation common stock, as compared with the S&P 500 Stock Index and the Philadelphia Utility Index for the five-year period 2008 through 2013.

This performance graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 invested on December 31, 2008, in Duke Energy common stock, in the S&P 500 Stock Index and in the Philadelphia Utility Index and that all dividends are reinvested.

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K
PART II
NYSE CEO Certification
NTSE GEO GET UNICATION
Duke Energy has filed the certification of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANC	CIAL	DATA												
(in millions, except per-share amounts)		2013			2012			2011			2010			2009
Statement of Operations ^(a)														
Total operating revenues	\$	24,598		\$	19,624		\$	14,529		\$	14,272		\$	12,731
Operating income	·	4,982		·	3,126			2,777			2,461		·	2,249
Income from continuing		•			,			·			,			
operations		2,659			1,746			1,713			1,320			1,073
Net income		2,676			1,782			1,714			1,323			1,085
Net income attributable to														
Duke Energy Corporation		2,665			1,768			1,706			1,320			1,075
Common Stock Data														
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders ^(b)														
Basic	\$	3.74		\$	3.01		\$	3.83		\$	2.99		\$	2.46
Diluted		3.74			3.01			3.83			2.99			2.46
Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders ^(b)														
Basic	\$	3.77		\$	3.07		\$	3.83		\$	3.00		\$	2.49
Diluted		3.76			3.07			3.83			3.00			2.49
Dividends declared per														
share ^(b)		3.09			3.03			2.97			2.91			2.82
Balance Sheet														
Total assets	\$	114,779		\$	113,856		\$	62,526		\$	59,090		\$	57,040
Long-term debt including capital leases and redeemable preferred stock of subsidiaries, less current maturities		38,152			36,444			18,679			17,935			16,113
(a) Significant transact River Unit 3 and nu Statements, "Regu Consolidated Finar 2012 and 2011 cha (IGCC) project (see of goodwill and oth	iclea lator ncial arge e No er a	ar develop ry Matters Statemer s related t ote 4 to the ssets.	mei "); (nts, o th	nt co ii) th "Aco e Eo onsc	osts (see I ne 2012 m quisitions, dwardspo olidated Fi	Note erge Dis rt In nane	e 4 f er w pos tegr cial	to the Co vith Progr sitions and rated Gas Stateme	nsol ess d Sa sifica nts);	ida En Iles atio an	ted Finar ergy (see of Other n Combi ad (iv) 20	ncia e No r Asa ned 10 ii	l sets Cyc mpa	2 to the s"); (iii) cle airment
(b) On July 2, 2012, im one-for-three revers														

	the one-for-three re presented.	ver	se stock s	plit	had	been effe	ctiv	e at	the begi	nniı	ng c	of the ear	liest	t pe	riod

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's Discussion and Analysis includes financial information prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the U.S., as well as certain non-GAAP financial measures such as adjusted earnings, adjusted earnings per share and adjusted segment income, discussed below. Generally, a non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of financial performance, financial position or cash flows that excludes (or includes) amounts that are included in (or excluded from) the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. The non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed as a supplement to, and not a substitute for, financial measures presented in accordance with GAAP. Non-GAAP measures as presented herein may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

The following combined Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is separately filed by Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. However, none of the registrants makes any representation as to information related solely to Duke Energy or the Subsidiary Registrants of Duke Energy other than itself.

DUKE ENERGY

Duke Energy Corporation (collectively with its subsidiaries, Duke Energy) is an energy company headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. Duke Energy operates in the U.S. primarily through its wholly owned subsidiaries, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, as well as in Latin America.

When discussing Duke Energy's consolidated financial information, it necessarily includes the results of the Subsidiary Registrants, which, along with Duke Energy, are collectively referred to as the Duke Energy Registrants.

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Executive Overview

Merger with Progress Energy

On July 2, 2012, Duke Energy merged with Progress Energy, with Duke Energy continuing as the surviving corporation, and Progress Energy becoming a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida, Progress Energy's regulated utility subsidiaries, are now indirect wholly owned subsidiaries of Duke Energy. Duke Energy's consolidated financial statements include Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida activity beginning July 2, 2012.

Immediately preceding the merger, Duke Energy completed a one-for-three reverse stock split with respect to the issued and outstanding shares of Duke Energy common stock. All share and per share amounts presented herein reflect the impact of the one-for-three reverse stock split.

For additional information on the details of this transaction including regulatory conditions and accounting implications, see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Dispositions of Businesses and Sales of Other Assets."

2013 Financial Results

The following table summarizes adjusted earnings and net income attributable to Duke Energy for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

							V		Endos			ahar 2:					Ш	
							Ye	ears	Ended			nber 3	Ι,					
			20	013					2	012					2	<u>011</u>		
						Per						Per						Per
(in mi	llions, except				C	diluted					(diluted					d	liluted
•	nare amounts)	1	Amount			share		Α	mount			share		Δ	mount			share
Adjust	ted earnings ^(a)	\$	3,071		\$	4.35		\$	2,483		\$	4.32		\$	1,943		\$	4.38
Net in attribu	come Itable to Duke																	
Energ	У		2,665			3.76			1,768			3.07			1,706			3.83
(a)	See Results or reconciliation																	
	reconciliation	טו נו	ils non-c	JAA	P II	папсіа	IIIIE	ası	ire to ne	ווו	COII	e allino	ulai	лe	lo Duke		ergy	•
																	1 1	

Adjusted earnings increased from 2012 to 2013 primarily due to the inclusion of a full year of Progress Energy results in 2013, the impact of the revised rates, net of higher depreciation and amortization expense and lower allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC). Adjusted earnings increased from 2011 to 2012 primarily due to the inclusion of Progress Energy's results beginning July 2012, and the impact of the 2011 Duke Energy Carolina's rate cases.

See "Results of Operations" below for a detailed discussion of the consolidated results of operations, as well as a detailed discussion of financial results for each of Duke Energy's reportable business segments, as well as Other.

2013 Areas of Focus and Accomplishments

In 2013, Duke Energy was focused on completing the fleet modernization program, achieving constructive outcomes in its rate cases, resolving key issues – including the future Crystal River Unit 3 nuclear station, improving nuclear fleet performance, and realizing merger integration plans.

Completing the Fleet Modernization Program

During 2013, Duke Energy completed its \$9 billion fleet modernization program. This program added approximately 6,600 MWs of new combined-cycle natural gas and state-of-the-art coal capacity in North Carolina, South Carolina and Indiana. This new generation will replace up to 6,700 MW of older coal and oil plants, already retired or scheduled for retirement by 2015. The Edwardsport IGCC and Sutton combined-cycle natural gas plant in Wilmington, North Carolina, were placed in commercial service in June and November, respectively.

At Edwardsport, Duke Energy has been testing, tuning and optimizing the unit. All major technology systems have been validated. Performance testing was delayed in January by extreme weather, which also caused some equipment issues that are being resolved. The Edwardsport IGCC project is expected to achieve its full operational capabilities later this year and to be completed within the revised cost estimate of \$3.5 billion.

Achieving Constructive Outcomes in Rate Cases

Duke Energy reached constructive regulatory outcomes in all five of its general rate cases to recover investments made to modernize its fleet. When fully implemented, the base rate cases will add approximately \$600 million in annualized revenues, while keeping customers' retail priced below national averages.

Resolving Key Issues

Duke Energy also made the decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3, resolved insurance claims with its insurance provider, Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited (NEIL), and obtained approval from the FPSC of a comprehensive settlement. This settlement agreement addressed cost recovery of the nuclear unit, Crystal River 1 and 2 coal units, and the proposed Levy Nuclear Station (Levy). The settlement agreement also provides for new generation in the latter half of this decade to meet customer demand.

Improving Nuclear Fleet Performance

In 2013, Duke Energy's nuclear fleet achieved a capacity factor of 92.8 percent, the 15h consecutive year with a capacity factor over 90 percent. Duke Energy has made targeted investments at nuclear stations to bring the entire fleet to consistent level of excellent performance. In particular, the Robinson Nuclear Station (Robinson) completed a record continuous run of 531 days before beginning a scheduled refueling outage in September. This complemented the record of continuous runs achieved at Oconee Nuclear Station Units 2 and Unit 3.

Realizing Merger Integration Plans

Duke Energy expects to exceed its original targets for fuel and joint-dispatch savings, which benefit customers in the North Carolina and South Carolina. Through 2013, Duke Energy has recorded approximately \$190 million of cumulative fuel and joint-dispatch savings since the merger closed. In addition, approximately 65 percent of the total guaranteed savings of \$687 million have been contractually locked-in or generated.

Duke Energy is also realizing cost synergies by eliminating duplicative functions and has exceed the original target of five to seven percent in non-fuel operating and maintenance savings. Duke Energy is on pace to deliver about nine percent, or approximately \$550 million, of non-fuel operating and maintenance

expense in 2014.

2014 Objectives

Duke Energy is dedicated to the energy experience that customers value and trust. Duke Energy strives for leadership and excellence that benefit customers, shareholders and employees. Objectives for 2014 are:

- Continue to grow a zero-injury culture and deliver top-decile safety results,
- Develop and engage employees,
- Deliver new value by improving the customer experience and advancing more flexible regulatory models.
- Establish a rigorous process for managing business and financial performance to deliver customer value at a competitive price,
- Successfully complete 2014 integration milestones and continue innovative use of technology to deliver value,
- Achieve 2014 financial goals, including delivering adjusted diluted EPS guidance range of \$4.45
 \$4.60, and advance viable future growth opportunities for regulated and nonregulated businesses, and
- Serve as a respected leading voice in helping to shape national and state energy policies.

Due to the forward-looking nature of the adjusted diluted EPS range, information to reconcile this non-GAAP financial measure to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure is not available at this time, as Duke Energy is unable to forecast all special items, the mark-to-market impacts of economic hedges in the Commercial Power segment, or any amounts that may be reported as discontinued operations or extraordinary items for future periods.

Results of Operations

In this section, Duke Energy provides analysis and discussion of earnings and factors affecting earnings on both a GAAP and non-GAAP basis.

Management evaluates financial performance in part based on the non-GAAP financial measures, adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted earnings per share (EPS). These items are measured as income from continuing operations after deducting income attributable to noncontrolling interests, adjusted for the dollar and per share impact of special items and mark-to-market impacts of economic hedges in the Commercial Power segment. Special items represent certain charges and credits, which management believes will not be recurring on a regular basis, although it is reasonably possible such charges and credits could recur. Mark-to-market adjustments reflect the impact of derivative contracts, which are used in Duke Energy's hedging of a portion of the economic value of its generation assets in the Commercial Power segment. The mark-to-market impact of derivative contracts is recognized in GAAP earnings immediately as such derivative contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting or regulatory treatment. The economic value of generation assets is subject to fluctuations in fair value due to market price volatility of input and output commodities (e.g. coal, electricity, natural gas). Economic hedging involves both purchases and sales of those input and output commodities related to generation assets. Operations of the generation assets are accounted for under the accrual method. Management believes excluding impacts of mark-to-market changes of the derivative contracts from adjusted earnings until

settlement better matches the financial impacts of the derivative contract with the portion of economic value of the underlying hedged asset. Management believes the presentation of adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted EPS provides useful information to investors, as it provides them an additional relevant comparison of Duke Energy's performance across periods. Management uses these non-GAAP financial measures for planning and forecasting and for reporting results to the Board of Directors, employees, shareholders, analysts and investors concerning Duke Energy's financial performance. The most directly comparable GAAP measures for adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted EPS are Net Income Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation and Diluted EPS attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders, which include the dollar and per share impact of special items, mark-to-market impacts of economic hedges in the Commercial Power segment and discontinued operations.

Management evaluates segment performance based on segment income. Segment income is defined as income from continuing operations net of income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Segment income, as discussed below, includes intercompany revenues and expenses that are eliminated in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Management also uses adjusted segment income as a measure of historical and anticipated future segment performance. Adjusted segment income is a non-GAAP financial measure, as it is based upon segment income adjusted for special items and mark-to-market impacts of economic hedges in the Commercial Power segment. Management believes the presentation of adjusted segment income provides useful information to investors, as it provides them with an additional relevant comparison of a segment's performance across periods. The most directly comparable GAAP measure for adjusted segment income is segment income, which represents segment income from continuing operations, including any special items and mark-to-market impacts of economic hedges in the Commercial Power segment.

See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Business Segments," for a discussion of Duke Energy's segment structure.

Overview

The following table reconciles non-GAAP measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measure.

										0010		
				`	Yeai	Ende	a De	ecembe	r 31	, 2013	I	
								Total				Per
	Re	gulat ed	terna	tion &	omn	nercia l	Rep					Diluted
(in millions, except per	-,	9									Duke	
share amounts)	Į	Utilities	E	nergy		Power	Seg	gments		Other	Energy	Share
Adjusted segment income	\$	2,776	\$	408	\$	15	\$	3,199	\$	(128)	\$ 3,071	\$ 4.35
Crystal River Unit 3												
charges		(215)						(215)			(215)	(0.31)
Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger										(184)	(184)	(0.26)
Nuclear development charges		(57)						(57)			(57)	(0.08)
Litigation reserve										(14)	(14)	(0.02)
Economic hedges									·			
(Mark-to-market)						(3)		(3)			(3)	(0.01)

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Asset sales						(15)		(15)		65		50		0.07
Segment income (loss)	\$	2,504	\$	408	\$	_	\$	2,909	\$			2,648		0.07
Income from Discontinued	Ψ	2,304	Ψ	700	Ψ	(3)	Ψ	2,909	Ψ	(201)		2,040		
Operations												17		0.02
Net Income Attributable to														0.02
Duke Energy											\$	2,665	\$	3.76
											Ψ	2,000	Ψ	0.70
				,	Voal	Endo	4 D	ecembe	r 21	2012				
<u> </u>					i cai	LIIGE	u De	Cembe	1 3	1, 2012				Per
								Total						Pei
	Re	gulat ed	tern:	ation @	hmn	nercia l	Ren						ı	Diluted
(in millions, except per	110	galatuu				101014	.cp	ortable				Duke		Jiiatoa
share amounts)		Utilities	E	Energy		Power	Sec	ments		Other	ı	Energy		Share
Adjusted segment income	\$		\$	439	\$	93	\$	ř i	\$			2,483	\$	4.32
Edwardsport impairment	_	_,,,,,		,,,,				_,_,_	· ·	(100)	· ·	_,	7	
and other charges		(402)						(402)				(402)		(0.70)
Costs to achieve Progress		(10-)						(15=)				(10-)		(311 5)
Energy merger										(397)		(397)		(0.70)
Economic hedges														
(Mark-to-market)						(6)		(6)				(6)		(0.01)
Democratic National						` '		` ,				, ,		,
Convention Host														
Committee support										(6)		(6)		(0.01)
Employee severance and														
office consolidation		60						60				60		0.11
Segment income	\$	1,744	\$	439	\$	87	\$	2,270	\$	(538)		1,732		
Income from Discontinued														
Operations												36		0.06
Net Income Attributable to														
Duke Energy											\$	1,768	\$	3.07
				,	Yea	Ende	d De	ecembe	r 31	I, 2011				
														Per
								Total						
	Re	gulat ed	terna	ation 6 k	mn	nercia	Rep	ortable					I	Diluted
(in millions, except per			_	_		_		_				Duke		
share amounts)	_	<u>Utilities</u>	_	nergy				ments	_	Other		Energy	_	Share
Adjusted segment income	\$	1,316	\$	466	\$	186	\$	1,968	\$	(25)	\$	1,943	\$	4.38
Edwardsport impairment		/ · · = = \						(/ / = =\		()
and other charges		(135)						(135)				(135)		(0.30)
Emission allowance						(= 1)		(5.4)				(= 4)		(0.40)
impairment						(51)		(51)				(51)		(0.12)
Costs to achieve Progress										/=		/- />		(0.40)
Energy merger										(51)		(51)		(0.12)
Economic hedges						/4\		(4)				(4)		(0.04)
(Mark-to-market)	_	1 101	_	400	*	(1)	*	(1)		(70)		(1)		(0.01)
Segment income	\$	1,181	\$	466	\$	134	\$	1,781	\$	(76)		1,705		
												1		

Income fro	om Discontinued s							
Net Incom Duke Ene	e Attributable to						\$ 1,706	\$ 3.83

The variance in adjusted earnings for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 2012, was primarily due to:

- The inclusion of Progress Energy results for the first six months of 2013;
- Increased retail pricing and riders resulting primarily from the implementation of revised rates in all jurisdictions; and

• Lower operating and maintenance expense resulting primarily from the adoption of nuclear outage cost levelization in the Carolinas, lower benefit costs and merger synergies.

Partially offsetting these increases was:

- Higher depreciation and amortization expense;
- Lower AFUDC;
- Lower nonregulated Midwest gas generation results; and
- Incremental shares issued to complete the Progress Energy merger (impacts per diluted share amounts only).

The variance in adjusted earnings for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 2011, was primarily due to:

- The inclusion of Progress Energy results beginning in July 2012; and
- Increased retail pricing and riders primarily resulting from the implementation of revised rates in North Carolina and South Carolina for Duke Energy Carolinas.

Partially offsetting these increases was:

- Unfavorable weather in 2012 compared to 2011;
- Higher depreciation and amortization expense;
- Lower nonregulated Midwest coal generation results; and
- Incremental shares issued to complete the Progress Energy merger (impacts per diluted share amounts only).

Segment Results

The remaining information presented in this discussion of results of operations is on a GAAP basis.

Regulated Utilities

			Years	s Er	ndec	l Decen	nbei	r 31,			
(in millions)	2013		2012			ariance 013 vs. 2012			2011		/ariance 2012 vs. 2011
Operating Revenues	\$ 20,910	\$	16,080		\$	4,830		\$	10,619	\$	5,461
Operating Expenses	16,126		12,943			3,183			8,473		4,470
	7		15			(8)			2		13

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Taxes Income Tax Less: Incon to Noncontr Segment In	Income me and net pense fore Income x Expense me Attributable trolling Interest		4,791 221 986 4,026 1,522			3,152 341 806			1,639			2,148	#	7	1,004
Other Income Expense, no Interest Expense, no Interest Expenses Income Taxes Income Energies Income Energies Income Energies Income Expenses In	me and net pense fore Income x Expense me Attributable trolling Interest		221 986 4,026			341						۷,140	+	\dashv	1,004
Expense, n Interest Exp Income Bef Taxes Income Tax Less: Incom to Noncontr Segment In Duke Energ GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales GWh sales GWh sales	pense fore Income x Expense me Attributable trolling Interest		986 4,026						(120)						
Interest Exp Income Bef Taxes Income Tax Less: Incont to Noncontr Segment In Duke Energ GWh sales GWh sales GWh sales GWh sales	pense fore Income x Expense me Attributable trolling Interest		986 4,026									274			67
Income Bef Taxes Income Tax Less: Incom to Noncontr Segment In Duke Energ GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales GWh sales GWh sales	rfore Income x Expense me Attributable trolling Interest		4,026			000			180			568	+	+	238
Taxes Income Tax Less: Incom to Noncontr Segment In Duke Energ GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales GWh sales GWh sales	x Expense me Attributable trolling Interest		-						100			300	+	\dashv	200
Income Tax Less: Incon to Noncontr Segment In Duke Energ GWh sales GWh sales GWh sales GWh sales	me Attributable trolling Interest		-			2,687			1,339			1,854			833
Less: Inconto Noncontro Noncontro Segment In Duke Energy GWh sales Duke Energy GWh sales GWh sales GWh sales	me Attributable trolling Interest					941			581			673	+	7	268
to Noncontrol Segment In Duke Energical GWh sales GWh sales Duke Energical GWh sales GWh sales	trolling Interest					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							\top	T	
Segment In Duke Energ GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales															
Duke Energ GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales	ncome		-			2			(2)				_	_	2
GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales		\$	2,504		\$	1,744		\$	760		\$	1,181	_	\$	563
GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales													4	4	
Duke Energ GWh sales ^g Duke Energ GWh sales ^g	gy Carolinas'														
GWh sales Duke Energ GWh sales			85,790			81,362			4,428			82,127	4	4	(765)
Duke Energ GWh sales	0,														
GWh sales			60,204			58,390			1,814			56,223	+	4	2,167
			07.074			00.440			(400)			00.570			(4.405)
Duke Energ			37,974			38,443			(469)	_		39,578	+	\dashv	(1,135)
	gy Ohio GWh		04.557			04 044			010			04.000			(570)
sales	la all a sa a		24,557			24,344			213			24,923	+	\dashv	(579)
Duke Energ GWh sales	• •		22 715			22 577			138			22 101			396
			33,715			33,577			130			33,181	+	\dashv	390
GWh sales	lated Utilities		242,240			236,116			6,124			236,032			84
Net proport			272,270			230,110			0,124			200,002	+	\dashv	04
capacity in			49,607			49,654			(47)			27,397			22,257
	operation		10,001			10,001			(17)			27,007	+	\forall	
2 e Ir	ncludes 781 an 2012, respective entered into as p nterim FERC M results in the tak	ely, part litiga ole a	associated of FERC's ation are re above.	d wit s ap eflec	th in prov cted	terim firm al of the r in the Oth	powers nerg	er s er w egm	ale agre vith Prog nent, and	emer gress d are	nts Er no	(Interim FE nergy. The in t included in	RC mpa n the	Mi cts	tigation) s of the
re M	ncludes 904 an respectively, ass Mitigation are re able above.	soci	ated with t	the I	Inter	im FERC	Mitig	gatic	n. The i	mpac	ts	of the Interi	m F		
)	For Duke Energ GWh sales for tl Energy and Pro	ĥе у	ear endec	l De			•								
(d) F	or Duke Energ	y Fl		3W h	ı sal	es for the	year	en	ded Dec	embe	er S	31. 2011. ar	nd 1	8.3	348
	GWh sales for the Energy and Pro	•				nber 31, 20	•								

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to 2012

Regulated Utilities' results were positively impacted by 2012 impairment and other charges related to the

Edwardsport IGCC plant, higher retail pricing and rate riders, the inclusion of Progress Energy results for the first six months of 2013, a net increase in wholesale power revenues, and higher weather normal sales volumes. These impacts were partially offset by higher income tax expense, Crystal River Unit 3

charges, lower AFUDC equity and higher depreciation and amortization expense. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$4,339 million increase due to the inclusion of Progress Energy for the first six months of 2013,
- A \$434 million net increase in retail pricing primarily due to revised rates approved in all jurisdictions;
- A \$76 million net increase in wholesale power revenues, net of sharing, primarily due to additional volumes and charges for capacity for customers served under long-term contracts; and
- A \$72 million increase in weather-normal sales volumes to retail customers (net of fuel revenue) reflecting increased demand.

Partially offset by:

• A \$132 million decrease in fuel revenues (including emission allowances) driven primarily by (i) the impact of lower Florida residential fuel rates, including amortization associated with the settlement agreement approved by the FPSC in 2012 (2012 Settlement), (ii) lower fuel rates for electric retail customers in the Carolinas, Florida and Ohio, and (iii) lower revenues for purchased power, partially offset by (iv) increased demand from electric retail customers. Fuel revenues represent sales to retail and wholesale customers.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$3,393 million increase due to the inclusion of Progress Energy for the first six months of 2013,
- A \$346 million increase in impairment and other charges in 2013 primarily related to Crystal River Unit 3 and Levy. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information, and
- A \$102 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense primarily due to a decrease in the reduction of the cost of removal component of amortization expense as allowed under the 2012 Settlement.

Partially offset by:

- A \$600 million decrease due to 2012 impairment and other charges related to the Edwardsport IGCC plant. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information, and
- A \$120 million decrease in fuel expense (including purchased power and natural gas purchases for resale) primarily related to (i) the application of the NEIL settlement proceeds in Florida, including amortization associated with the 2012 Settlement; (ii) lower purchased power costs in (a) the Carolinas, primarily due to additional generating capacity placed in service in late 2012 and market conditions, (b) Ohio, primarily due to reduced sales volumes, and (c) Indiana, reflective of market conditions; partially offset by (iii) higher volumes of natural gas used in electric generation due primarily to additional generating capacity placed in service; (iv) higher prices for natural gas and coal used in electric generation; and (v)

higher volumes of coal used in electric generation primarily due to generation mix.

Other Income and Expenses, net. The decrease is primarily due to lower AFUDC equity, resulting from major projects that were placed into service in late 2012 and the implementation of new customer rates related to the IGCC rider, partially offset by the inclusion of Progress Energy for the first six months of 2013.

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily driven by the inclusion of Progress Energy for the first six months of 2013.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 37.8 percent and 35 percent, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to an increase in pretax income and a reduction in AFUDC equity.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 as Compared to 2011

Regulated Utilities' results were positively impacted by the inclusion of Progress Energy results beginning in July 2012, higher net retail pricing and rate riders and decreased operating and maintenance expenses. These impacts were partially offset by additional charges related to the Edwardsport IGCC plant, unfavorable weather, and increased depreciation and amortization.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$4,918 million increase in operating revenues due to the inclusion of Progress Energy beginning in July 2012;
- A \$352 million net increase in retail pricing and rate riders primarily due to revised retail rates resulting from the 2011 North Carolina and South Carolina rate cases implemented in the first quarter of 2012, and revenues recognized for energy efficiency programs; and
- A \$293 million increase in fuel revenues (including emission allowances) driven primarily by higher revenues in Ohio for purchases of power as a result of the Ohio Electric Stabilization Plan (ESP), higher fuel rates for electric retail customers in all jurisdictions, and higher revenues for purchases of power in Indiana and the Carolinas, partially offset by decreased demand from electric retail customers in 2012 mainly due to unfavorable weather conditions, and lower demand and fuel rates in Ohio and Kentucky from natural gas retail customers. Fuel revenues represent sales to retail and wholesale customers.

Partially offset by:

• A \$155 million decrease in electric and gas sales (net of fuel) to retail customers due to unfavorable weather conditions in 2012 compared to 2011. For the Carolinas, weather statistics for cooling degree days in 2012 were less favorable compared to 2011, while

cooling degree days in Ohio and Indiana were favorable in 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. For the Carolinas, Ohio and Indiana, weather statistics for heating degree days in 2012 were unfavorable compared to 2011.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$3,845 million increase in operating expenses due to the inclusion of Progress Energy beginning in July 2012;
- A \$378 million increase due to additional charges related to the Edwardsport IGCC plant that was under construction. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information;
- A \$277 million increase in fuel expense (including purchased power and natural gas purchases for resale) primarily related to higher purchases of power in Ohio as a result of the new Ohio ESP, higher volumes of natural gas used in electric generation, higher coal prices, higher purchased power costs in Indiana and the Carolinas, partially offset by lower volume of coal used in electric generation resulting from unfavorable weather conditions and lower coal-fired generation due to low natural gas prices, lower prices for natural gas used in electric generation, and lower gas volumes and prices to full-service retail gas customers; and
- A \$105 million increase in depreciation and amortization primarily due to increases in depreciation as a result of additional plant in service and amortization of regulatory assets.

Partially offset by:

• A \$99 million decrease in operating and maintenance expense primarily due to the establishment of regulatory assets in the first quarter of 2012, pursuant to regulatory orders, for future recovery of certain employee severance costs related to the 2010 voluntary severance plan and other costs, and lower storm costs, partially offset by increased costs associated with the energy-efficiency programs.

Other Income and Expense, net. The variance was driven primarily by the inclusion of Progress Energy beginning in July 2012.

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily driven by the inclusion of Progress Energy beginning in July 2012.

Income Tax Expense. The variance is primarily due to an increase in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were 35 percent and 36.3 percent, respectively.

Matters Impacting Future Regulated Utilities Results

Appeals of recently approved rate cases are pending at the North Carolina Supreme Court. The North Carolina Attorney General (NCAG) and NC Waste Awareness and Reduction Network (NC WARN) dispute the rate of return, capital structure and other matters approved by the NCUC. The outcome of these appeals could have an adverse impact to Regulated Utilities' financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

On February 2, 2014, a break in a stormwater pipe beneath an ash basin at the retired Dan River steam station caused a release of ash basin water and ash into the Dan River. On February 8, 2014, a permanent plug was installed in the stormwater pipe stopping the release of materials into the river. For additional information related to the ash basin release, see "Other Issues" in this section.

International Energy

					Years	En	ded	Decer	nbe	er 3	1,		
								riance				_	riance
(in millions)		0010			0010		20	13 vs.			0011	20	012 vs.
(in millions)	Φ.	2013	H.,	ф	2012		φ	2012		Φ	2011	Φ	2011
Operating Revenues	\$,	\$	1,549		\$	(3)		\$	1,467	\$	82
Operating Expenses		1,000			1,043			(43)			946		97
Gains (Losses) on Sales of Other													
Assets and Other, net		3						3			(1)		1
Operating Income		549			506			43			520		(14)
Other Income and Expense, net		125			171			(46)			203		(32)
Interest Expense		86			76			10			47		29
Income Before Income Taxes		588			601			(13)			676		(75)
Income Tax Expense		166			149			17			195		(46)
Less: Income Attributable to													
Noncontrolling Interests		14			13			1			15		(2)
Segment Income	\$	408	,	\$	439		\$	(31)		\$	466	\$	(27)
Sales, GWh		20,306		2	20,132			174			18,889		1,243
Net proportional MW capacity in													
operation		4,600			4,584			16			4,277		307

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to 2012

International Energy's results were negatively impacted by an extended outage at NMC and unfavorable exchange rates in Latin America, partially offset by the acquisition of Iberoamericana de Energía Ibener, S.A. (Ibener) in 2012 and higher average prices and lower purchased power costs in Brazil. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$67 million decrease in Brazil due to weakening of the Real to the U.S. dollar,
- A \$53 million decrease in Central America due to lower average prices and volumes, and
- An \$18 million decrease in Argentina as a result of unfavorable exchange rates.

Partially offset by:

- A \$67 million increase in Brazil due to higher average prices, net of lower volumes, and
- A \$65 million increase in Chile as a result of asset acquisitions in 2012.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$65 million decrease in Central America due to lower fuel costs, partially offset by higher purchased power and coal consumption, and
- A \$20 million decrease in Brazil due to weakening of the Real to the U.S. dollar and lower purchased power partially offset by higher variable costs.

Partially offset by:

A \$36 million increase in Chile as a result of acquisitions in 2012.

Other Income and Expenses, net. The decrease was primarily driven by a net currency remeasurement loss in Latin America due to strengthening of the dollar, and lower equity earnings at NMC as a result of lower MTBE average prices and lower volumes due to extended maintenance, partially offset by lower butane costs.

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily due to the Chile acquisitions in 2012, partially offset by favorable exchange rates and lower inflation in Brazil.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to a decrease in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 28.3 percent and 24.8 percent, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate is primarily due to a higher proportion of earnings in countries with higher tax rates.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 as Compared to 2011

International Energy's results were negatively impacted by unfavorable exchange rates in Brazil, a 2011 Peru arbitration award, and lower margins in Central America, partially offset by higher average prices and volumes in Brazil and higher average prices in Peru. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$53 million increase in Central America as a result of higher volumes due to a full year of commercial operations of the Las Palmas II plant and favorable hydrology,
- A \$24 million increase in Peru due to higher average prices, and
- A \$10 million increase in Argentina due to higher volumes as a result of favorable hydrology, partially offset by unfavorable exchange rates.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

• A \$76 million increase in Central America due to higher fuel costs and consumption as a result of increased dispatch.

Other Income and Expense, net. The variance was primarily driven by the absence of a \$20 million arbitration award in Peru.

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily due to lower capitalized interest in Central America and Brazil, as well as higher inflation partially offset by favorable exchange rates in Brazil.

Income Tax Expense. The variance in tax expense is primarily due to a decrease in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were 24.8 percent and 28.9 percent, respectively.

Commercial Power

						Τ				
			Year	s E	nde	ed Decemi	ber 3	1.		
(in millions)	2013		2012		\	/ariance 2013 vs. 2012		2011		Variance 2012 vs. 2011
Operating Revenues	\$ 2,145	\$	2,078		\$	67	\$	2,491	9	(413)
Operating Expenses	2,178		1,981			197		2,300		(319)
(Losses) Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net	(23)		8			(31)		15		(7)
Operating (Loss) Income	(56)		105			(161)		206		(101)
Other Income and Expense, net	13		39			(26)		21		18
Interest Expense	64		63			1		87		(24)
(Loss) Income Before Income Taxes	(107)		81			(188)		140		(59)
Income Tax Benefit	(104)		(7)			(97)		(2)		(5)
Less: Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests			1			(1)		8		(7)
Segment (Loss) Income	\$ (3)	\$	87		\$	(90)	\$	134		\$ (47)
Coal-fired plant production, GWh	18,467		16,164			2,303		17,378		(1,214)
Gas-fired plant production, GWh	15,052		17,122			(2,070)		12,021		5,101
Renewable plant production, GWh	5,111		3,452			1,659		3,132		320
Total Commercial Power production, GWh	38,630		36,738			1,892		32,531		4,207
Net proportional MW capacity in operation	7,915		8,094			(179)		8,325		(231)

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to 2012

Commercial Power's results were negatively impacted by lowerPJM capacity revenues and lower income from the renewables portfolio and gas-fired generation assets. These impacts are partially offset by higher income tax benefits and higher income from the coal-fired generation assets. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$102 million increase in net mark-to-market revenues on non-qualifying power and capacity hedge contracts, consisting of mark-to-market gains of \$96 million in 2013 compared to losses of \$6 million in 2012:
- A \$68 million increase for the gas-fired generation assets driven primarily by higher power prices, partially offset by decreased volumes; and
- A \$67 million increase due to higher volumes in the renewables portfolio.

Partially offset by:

- An \$85 million decrease in PJM capacity revenues related to lower average cleared capacity auction pricing; and
- An \$81 million decrease due primarily to the sale of non-core businesses in 2012.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$109 million increase in fuel expenses from the gas-fired generation assets driven by higher average natural gas prices per million British Thermal Units (MMBtu), partially offset by decreased natural gas volumes; and
- A \$96 million increase in net mark-to-market fuel expenses on non-qualifying fuel hedge contracts, consisting of mark-to-market losses of \$99 million in 2013 compared to losses of \$3 million in 2012.

(Losses) Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net. The variance is attributable to a loss recognized on the sale of certain renewable development projects in 2013 and a gain on the 2012 contribution of certain renewable assets to a joint venture.

Other Income and Expense, net. The variance is primarily due to the sale of non-core businesses in 2012, lower interest income and lower equity earnings from the renewables portfolio.

Income Tax Benefit. The variance was primarily due to a decrease in both pretax income and manufacturing deductions combined with higher production tax credits in 2013. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 97.2 percent and (9.5) percent, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate for the period was primarily due to a pretax loss in 2013 compared to pretax income in 2012.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 as Compared to 2011

Commercial Power's results were negatively impacted by the net impact of the expiration of the 2009-2011 ESP and the impact of competitive market dispatch for the coal-fired assets, lower Duke Energy Retail earnings, and lower PJM capacity revenues. These impacts were partially offset by lower operating expenses, lower impairment charges, and increased margins from the gas-fired generation assets. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$285 million decrease for the coal-fired generation assets driven primarily by the expiration of the 2009-2011 ESP, net of stability charge revenues under the 2012-2014 ESP, partially offset by participating in the PJM wholesale energy market in 2012;
- A \$116 million decrease for Duke Energy Retail resulting from lower volumes and unfavorable pricing;
- A \$39 million decrease for the gas-fired generation assets driven primarily by lower power prices, partially offset by increased volumes;
- A \$27 million decrease due primarily to the termination of certain non-core operations at the end of the first quarter of 2011 and a reduction of coal sales volumes as a result of lower natural gas prices;
- An \$18 million decrease in PJM capacity revenues related to lower average cleared capacity auction pricing in 2012 compared to 2011 for the gas-fired generation assets, net of an increase associated with the move of the coal-fired generation assets from Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO) to PJM in 2012; and
- An \$8 million decrease in net mark-to-market revenues on non-qualifying power and capacity hedge contracts, consisting of mark-to-market losses of \$6 million in 2012 compared to gains of \$2 million in 2011.

Partially offset by:

- A \$64 million increase from participation in competitive retail load auctions; and
- A \$17 million increase from higher production in the renewables portfolio.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by:

- A \$140 million decrease in operating and maintenance expenses resulting primarily from the prior year recognition of MISO exit fees; lower transmission costs, prior year station outages, and 2011 regulatory asset amortization expenses;
- An \$88 million decrease primarily from the 2011 impairment of excess emission allowances as a result of the EPA's issuance of the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR);
- An \$85 million decrease in fuel expenses from the gas-fired generation assets driven by lower natural gas costs, partially offset by increased volumes;
- A \$19 million decrease in fuel used due primarily to the termination of certain non-core operations at the end of the first guarter of 2011 and from lower natural gas prices;
- A \$15 million decrease due to the receipt of funds in 2012 related to a previously written-off receivable associated with the Lehman Brothers bankruptcy;
- A \$15 million decrease in purchased power to serve Duke Energy Retail customers; and
- A \$13 million decrease in fuel used for the coal-fired generation assets driven primarily by lower generation volumes.

Partially offset by:

A \$54 million increase in purchased power to serve competitive retail load auctions.

Other Income and Expense, net. The variance is primarily due to the sale of certain Duke Energy Generation Services, Inc. (DEGS) operations and higher equity earnings from the renewables portfolio.

Interest Expense. The variance is primarily due to higher capitalized interest on wind construction projects.

Income Tax Benefit. The variance in tax benefit is primarily due to a decrease in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were (9.5) percent and (1.4) percent, respectively.

Matters Impacting Future Commercial Power Results

On February 17, 2014, Commercial Power announced that it had initiated a process to exit its nonregulated Midwest generation business. Considering a marketing period of several months and potential regulatory approvals, Commercial Power expects to dispose of the nonregulated Midwest generation business by early to mid-2015. In the first quarter of 2014, Commercial Power will reclassify approximately \$3.5 billion carrying value of its Midwest generation business to assets held for sale and expects to record an estimated pretax impairment charge of \$1 billion to \$2 billion to reduce the carrying value to estimated sales proceeds less cost to sell.

In 2013, a FERC Administrative Law Judge issued an initial decision holding that Commercial Power is responsible for certain MVP costs, a type of Transmission Expansion Planning (MTEP) cost, approved by

MISO prior to the date of Commercial Power's withdrawal. The initial decision will be reviewed by FERC. If FERC upholds the initial decision, Commercial Power intends to file an appeal in federal court. If Commercial Power ultimately is found to be responsible for these costs, a portion of these costs may not be eligible for recovery, resulting in an adverse impact to its financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. "Regulatory Matters." for additional information.

Changes or variability in assumptions used in calculating fair value of the renewables reporting unit for goodwill testing purposes including but not limited to, legislative actions related to tax credit extensions, long-term growth rates and discount rates, could significantly impact the estimated fair value of the renewables reporting unit. In the event of a significant decline in the estimated fair value of the renewables reporting unit, goodwill and other asset impairment charges could be recorded. The carrying value of goodwill and intangible assets associated with proposed renewable projects within Commercial Power's renewables reporting unit was approximately \$84 million at December 31, 2013. In addition, management periodically reviews individual projects within Commercial Power's renewables portfolio to evaluate ongoing alignment with the strategic direction of the business. A determination that a project is no longer consistent with the business strategy and a decision to divest of a project or projects could result in an impairment charge.

Other

							Ш				
			Years	s Er		l Decer riance		r 3 ⁻	1,	Va	riance
					_)13 vs.				_)12 vs.
(in millions)	2013		2012			2012			2011		2011
Operating Revenues	\$ 163	\$	74		\$	89		\$	44	\$	30
Operating Expenses	461		704			(243)			133		571
(Losses) Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net	(3)		(7)			4			(8)		1
Operating Loss	(301)		(637)			336			(97)		(540)
Other Income and Expense, net	131		16			115			49		(33)
Interest Expense	417		297			120			157		140
Loss Before Income Taxes	(587)		(918)			331			(205)		(713)
Income Tax Benefit	(323)		(378)			55			(114)		(264)
Less: Loss Attributable to											
Noncontrolling Interests	(3)		(2)			(1)			(15)		13
Net Expense	\$ (261)	\$	(538)		\$	277		\$	(76)	\$	(462)
									·		· ·

43

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to 2012

Other's results were positively impacted by lower charges related to the Progress Energy merger, the sale of DukeNet, and increased current year activity from mitigation sales related to the Progress Energy merger. These impacts were partially offset by increased interest expense, lower income tax benefit and the Crescent Resources LLC (Crescent) litigation reserve in 2013. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by increased activity from mitigation sales related to the Progress Energy merger and higher premiums earned at Bison as a result of the addition of Progress Energy.

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by lower charges related to the Progress Energy merger, and prior year donations, partially offset by the Crescent litigation reserve in 2013 and unfavorable loss experience at Bison as a result of the addition of Progress Energy.

Other Income and Expense, net. The variance was driven primarily by a gain on the sale of Duke Energy's 50 percent ownership in DukeNet in 2013.

Interest Expense. The variance was due primarily to the inclusion of Progress Energy for the first six months of 2013 and additional debt issuances.

Income Tax Benefit. The variance was primarily due to a decrease in pretax loss. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 55.1 percent and 41.1 percent, respectively.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 as Compared to 2011

Other's results were negatively impacted by charges related to the Progress Energy merger and higher interest expense. These negative impacts were partially offset by higher income tax benefit due to increased net expense and higher returns on investments that support benefit obligations. The following is a detailed discussion of the variance drivers by line item.

Operating Revenues. The variance was driven primarily by higher premiums earned at Bison as a result of the addition of Progress Energy and mark-to-market activity at Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, LLC (DETM).

Operating Expenses. The variance was driven primarily by charges related to the Progress Energy merger and higher current year donations. These negative impacts were partially offset by lower JV costs related to DETM.

Other Income and Expense, net. The variance was driven primarily by current year impairments and prior year gains on sales of investments, higher interest income recorded in 2011 following the resolution of certain income tax matters related to prior years and reversal of reserves related to certain guarantees Duke Energy had issued on behalf of Crescent in 2011. These negative impacts were partially offset by higher returns on investments that support benefit obligations.

Interest Expense. The variance was due primarily to higher debt balances as a result of debt issuances and the inclusion of Progress Energy interest expense beginning in July 2012.

Income Tax Benefit. The variance is primarily due to an increase in pretax loss. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were 41.1 percent and 56.0 percent, respectively.

Matters Impacting Future Other Results

Duke Energy previously held an effective 50 percent interest in Crescent. Crescent was a real estate joint venture formed by Duke Energy in 2006 that filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in June 2009. On June 9, 2010, Crescent restructured and emerged from bankruptcy and Duke Energy forfeited its entire 50 percent ownership interest to Crescent debt holders. This forfeiture caused Duke Energy to recognize a loss, for tax purposes, on its interest in the second quarter of 2010. Although Crescent has reorganized and emerged from bankruptcy with creditors owning all Crescent interest, there remains uncertainty as to the tax treatment associated with the restructuring. Based on this uncertainty, it is possible that Duke Energy could incur a future tax liability related to the tax losses associated with its partnership interest in Crescent and the resolution of issues associated with Crescent's emergence from bankruptcy.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Duke Energy Carolinas is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

	Ye	ears Ended	Dec	cember 31,		
(in millions)	2013			2012	Va	riance
Operating Revenues	\$ 6,954		\$	6,665	\$	289
Operating Expenses	5,145			5,160		(15)
Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net				12		(12)
Operating Income	1,809			1,517		292
Other Income and Expense, net	120			185		(65)
Interest Expense	359			384		(25)
Income Before Income Taxes	1,570			1,318		252
Income Tax Expense	594			453		141
Net Income	\$ 976		\$	865	\$	111

The following table shows the percent changes in GWh sales and average number of customers for Duke Energy Carolinas. The below percentages for retail customer classes represent billed sales only. Total sales includes billed and unbilled retail sales, and wholesale sales to incorporated municipalities and to public and private utilities and power marketers. Amounts are not weather normalized.

Increase (decrease) over prior year	2	013			2	012
Residential sales	2.3	%			(7.2)	%
General service sales	1.0	%			(0.4)	%
Industrial sales	0.4	%			0.9	%
Wholesale power sales	62.1	%			4.0	%
Total sales	5.4	%			(0.9)	%
Average number of customers	0.7	%			0.6	%
i i		î	1	î î		

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to 2012

Operating Revenues. The variance was primarily due to:

- A \$104 million increase in fuel revenues driven primarily by higher natural gas prices and increased sales volumes. Fuel revenues represent sales to retail and wholesale customers;
- A \$98 million increase in retail rates in North Carolina and South Carolina;
- A \$44 million increase in weather-normal sales volumes to retail customers primarily due to higher demand; and
- A \$32 million increase in wholesale power revenues, net of sharing, primarily due to a new customer in 2013, increased capacity charges, and additional volumes for customers served under long-term contracts.

Operating Expenses. The variance was primarily due to:

- A \$111 million decrease in operations and maintenance expenses primarily due to lower costs associated with the Progress Energy merger, decreased corporate costs, lower outage and non-outage costs at generation plants and the levelization of nuclear outage costs, partially offset by the establishment of regulatory assets in the first quarter of 2012, pursuant to regulatory orders for future recovery of certain employee severance costs related to the 2010 voluntary severance plan and other costs; and
- A \$31 million decrease in impairment charges related to the merger with Progress Energy. These charges relate to planned transmission project costs for which recovery is not expected, and certain costs associated with mitigation sales pursuant to merger settlement agreements with the FERC.

Partially offset by:

• A \$118 million increase in fuel expense (including purchased power) primarily related to higher sales volumes and increased prices of natural gas used in electric generation, net of change in fuel mix, partially offset by decreased purchased power due to additional generating capacity placed in service late 2012.

Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net. The variance is due to recognition of gains on the sale of emissions allowances in 2012.

Other Income and Expense, net. The variance is primarily due to lower earnings from AFUDC equity, resulting from major projects placed into service in late 2012, partially offset by higher deferred returns on completed projects prior to their inclusion in customer rates.

Interest Expense. The variance is primarily due to deferrals of debt costs on completed projects prior to their inclusion in customer rates in September 2013, partially offset by lower AFUDC debt due primarily to certain major projects that were placed into service in late 2012.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax book income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 37.8 percent and 34.3 percent, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate is primarily due to the impact of lower AFUDC equity.

Matters Impacting Future Duke Energy Carolinas Results

Appeals of recently approved rate cases are pending at the North Carolina Supreme Court. The NCAG and NC WARN dispute the rate of return, capital structure and other matters approved by the NCUC. The outcome of these appeals could have an adverse impact to Duke Energy Carolinas' financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

On February 2, 2014, a break in a stormwater pipe beneath an ash basin at Duke Energy Carolinas' retired Dan River steam station caused a release of ash basin water and ash into the Dan River. On February 8, 2014, a permanent plug was installed in the stormwater pipe stopping the release of materials into the river. For additional information related to the ash basin release, see "Other Issues" in this section.

PROGRESS ENERGY

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Progress Energy is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

	Year	s Eı	nde	d Decen	nbe	r 31,	
(in millions)	2013			2012		Va	ariance
Operating Revenues	\$ 9,533		\$	9,405		\$	128
Operating Expenses	7,918			8,266			(348)
Gains (Losses) on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net	3			(2)			5
Operating Income	1,618			1,137			481
Other Income and Expense, net	94			130			(36)
Interest Expense	680			740			(60)
Income Before Income Taxes	1,032			527			505
Income Tax Expense	373			172			201
Income from Continuing Operations	659			355			304
Discontinued Operations, net of tax	16			52			(36)
Net Income	675			407			268
Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	3			7			(4)
Net Income Attributable to Parent	\$ 672		\$	400		\$	272
				·			

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to 2012

Operating Revenues. The variance was primarily due to:

- A \$167 million increase in base revenues at Duke Energy Florida as allowed by the 2012 Settlement;
- A \$136 million increase in wholesale sales at Duke Energy Progress (excluding fuel revenues) primarily due to a new customer contract that began in January 2013, an amended capacity contract that began in May 2012 and favorable weather conditions;
- A \$117 million increase at Duke Energy Progress due to revised rates in North Carolina;

- A \$57 million increase in nuclear cost-recovery clause revenues at Duke Energy Florida primarily due to an increase in recovery rates related to the Crystal River Unit 3 uprate project, prior period true-ups, and Levy as allowed by the 2012 Settlement; and
- A \$24 million increase (net of fuel revenue) in GWh sales to retail customers at Duke Energy Progress due to higher weather normal sales volumes to retail customers.

Partially offset by:

• A \$387 million decrease in retail fuel revenues at Duke Energy Florida primarily due to the impact of lower residential fuel rates and a decrease in GWh retail sales due to weather and lower usage.

Operating Expenses. The variance was primarily due to:

- A \$482 million decrease in retail fuel expense at Duke Energy Florida primarily due to the application of the NEIL settlement proceeds including amortization associated with the 2012 Settlement, lower system requirements, and the prior year establishment of a regulatory liability for replacement power in accordance with the 2012 Settlement;
- A \$136 million decrease in operations and maintenance expenses at Duke Energy Progress
 primarily due to lower costs associated with the merger with Duke Energy and the levelization of nuclear
 outage costs;
- A \$71 million decrease in operations and maintenance expenses at Duke Energy Florida primarily due to the deferral of Crystal River Unit 3-related expenses, in accordance with the 2012 Settlement, lower costs associated with the merger with Duke Energy, and the prior year write-off of previously deferred costs related to the vendor not selected costs for the Crystal River Unit 3 containment repair. These were partially offset by the prior year reversal of accruals in conjunction with the placement of Crystal River Unit 3 into extended cold shutdown in accordance with the 2012 Settlement and higher charges associated with related settlement matters; and
- A \$32 million decrease in impairment charges at Duke Energy Progress related to the merger with Duke Energy. These charges relate to planned transmission project costs for which recovery is not expected, and certain costs associated with mitigation sales pursuant to merger settlement agreements with the FERC, partially offset by a current year impairment charge resulting from the decision to suspend the application for two proposed nuclear units at Harris.

Partial	ly offset	bv:
---------	-----------	-----

- A \$212 million increase in impairment and other charges at Duke Energy Florida. In 2013, Duke Energy Florida recorded charges primarily related to Crystal River Unit 3 and Levy. In 2012, Duke Energy Florida recorded impairment and other charges related to the decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information; and
- A \$138 million increase in depreciation and amortization at Duke Energy Florida primarily due to higher nuclear cost-recovery amortization related to Levy and a decrease in the reduction of the cost of removal component of amortization expense as allowed under the 2012 Settlement.

Other Income and Expenses, net. The variance was primarily due to lower AFUDC equity resulting from to major projects placed in service in late 2012 and the retirement of Crystal River Unit 3.

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily due to the deferral of debt costs recorded on the retail portion of the retired Crystal River Unit 3 assets, partially offset by the charge to interest expense on the redemption of Progress Energy's 7.10% Cumulative Quarterly Income Preferred Securities (QUIPS) in January 2013.

Income Tax Expense from Continuing Operations. The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 36.2 percent and 32.7 percent, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate is primarily due to the impact of lower AFUDC equity and the Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) dividend deduction being recorded at Duke Energy in 2012.

Discontinued Operations, net of tax. The variance was primarily due to the impact of the U.S. Global, LLC (Global) settlement in 2012. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies," for additional information.

Matters Impacting Future Progress Energy Results

An appeal of a recently approved rate case is pending at the North Carolina Supreme Court. The NCAG and NC WARN dispute the rate of return, capital structure and other matters approved by the NCUC. The outcome of this appeal could have an adverse impact to Progress Energy's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Duke Energy Progress is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

	Years Ended December 31,										
(in millions)		2013		2012		Va	ariance				
Operating Revenues	\$	4,992		\$	4,706		\$	286			
Operating Expenses		4,061			4,197			(136)			
Gains on Sales of Other Asset and											
Other, net		1			1						
Operating Income		932			510			422			
Other Income and Expense, net		57			79			(22)			
Interest Expense		201			207			(6)			
Income Before Income Taxes		788			382			406			
Income Tax Expense		288			110			178			
Net Income		500			272			228			
Preferred Stock Dividend Requirement					3			(3)			
Net Income Attributable to Parent	\$	500		\$	269		\$	231			

The following table shows the percent changes in GWh sales and average number of customers for Duke Energy Progress. The below percentages for retail customer classes represent billed sales only. Total sales includes billed and unbilled retail sales, and wholesale sales to incorporated municipalities and to public and private utilities and power marketers. Amounts are not weather normalized.

Increase (decrease) over prior year	20)13	20	012
Residential sales	4.0	%	(8.2)	%
General service sales		%	(1.8)	%
Industrial sales	1.1	%	(1.0)	%
Wholesale power sales	7.6	%	25.9	%
Total sales	3.1	%	3.9	%

Average numb	verage number of customers				0	.9	%		(8.0	%

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to 2012

Operating Revenues. The variance was primarily due to:

- A \$136 million increase in sales (excluding fuel revenues) to wholesale customers primarily due to a new customer contract that began in January 2013 and an amended capacity contract that began in May 2012;
- A \$117 million increase due to revised rates in North Carolina; and
- A \$24 million increase (net of fuel revenue) in GWh sales to retail customers due to higher weather normal sales volumes to retail customers.

Operating Expenses. The variance was primarily due to:

- A \$136 million decrease in operations and maintenance expenses primarily due to lower costs associated with the merger with Duke Energy and the levelization of nuclear outage costs; and
- A \$32 million decrease in impairment charges primarily related to the merger with Duke Energy. These charges relate to planned transmission projects for which recovery is not expected, and certain costs associated with mitigation sales pursuant to merger settlement agreements with the FERC. These charges were partially offset by a current year impairment charge resulting from the decision to suspend the application for two proposed nuclear units at Harris.

Partially offset by:

• A \$29 million increase in fuel expense (including purchased power) primarily due to higher non-recoverable purchased power costs and increased sales volumes, partially offset by lower fuel expense due to generation mix as a result of retiring certain coal-fired plants and adding one new natural gas-fired generating plant.

Other Income and Expense, net. The variance was primarily due to lower AFUDC equity due to major projects that were placed into service in late 2012.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 36.5 percent and 28.7 percent, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the impact of lower AFUDC equity.

Matters Impacting Future Duke Energy Progress Results

An appeal of a recently approved rate case is pending at the North Carolina Supreme Court. The NCAG and NC WARN dispute the rate of return, capital structure and other matters approved by the NCUC. The outcome of this appeal could have an adverse impact to Duke Energy Progress's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Duke Energy Florida is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

Years Ended December 31,										
201				2012	2		ariance			
\$	4,527		\$	4,689		\$	(162)			
	3,840			4,062			(222)			
	1			2			(1)			
	688			629			59			
	30			39			(9)			
	180			255			(75)			
	538			413			125			
	213			147			66			
	325			266			59			
				2			(2)			
\$	325		\$	264		\$	61			
		2013 \$ 4,527 3,840 1 688 30 180 538 213 325	2013 \$ 4,527 3,840 1 688 30 180 538 213 325	2013 \$ 4,527 \$ 3,840 1 688 30 180 538 213 325	2013 2012 \$ 4,527 \$ 4,689 3,840 4,062 1 2 688 629 30 39 180 255 538 413 213 147 325 266 2 2	2013 2012 \$ 4,527 \$ 4,689 3,840 4,062 1 2 688 629 30 39 180 255 538 413 213 147 325 266 2	2013 2012 Value \$ 4,527 \$ 4,689 \$ 3,840 4,062 \$ 1 2 \$ 688 629 \$ 30 39 \$ 180 255 \$ 538 413 \$ 213 147 \$ 325 266 \$			

The following table shows the percent changes in GWh sales and average number of customers for Duke Energy Florida. The below percentages for retail customer classes represent billed sales only. Wholesale power sales include both billed and unbilled sales. Total sales includes billed and unbilled retail sales, and wholesale sales to incorporated municipalities and to public and private utilities and power marketers. Amounts are not weather normalized.

Increase (decrease) over prior year		013	2	012
Residential sales	1.4	%	(5.1)	%
General service sales	(0.5)	%	(1.0)	%
Industrial sales	1.5	%	(2.5)	%
Wholesale power sales	(13.8)	%	(34.2)	%

Total sales						(1.	.2)	%		.9)	%		
Average number of customers						1	.1	%			C	8.0	%

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to 2012

Operating Revenues. The variance was primarily due to:

• A \$387 million decrease in retail fuel revenues primarily due to the impact of lower residential fuel rates and a decrease in GWh retail sales due to weather and lower usage.

Partially offset by:

- A \$167 million increase in base revenues as allowed by the 2012 Settlement, and
- A \$57 million increase in nuclear cost-recovery clause revenue due to an increase in recovery rates primarily related to the Crystal River Unit 3 uprate project, a prior period true-up and Levy as allowed by the 2012 Settlement.

Operating Expenses. The variance was primarily due to:

- A \$482 million decrease in retail fuel expense primarily due to the application of the NEIL settlement proceeds including amortization associated with the 2012 Settlement, lower system requirements, and the prior year establishment of a regulatory liability for replacement power in accordance with the 2012 Settlement, and
- A \$71 million decrease in operations and maintenance expenses primarily due to the deferral of Crystal River Unit 3-related expenses in accordance with the 2012 Settlement, lower costs associated with the merger with Duke Energy, and the prior year write-off of previously deferred costs related to the vendor not selected for the Crystal River Unit 3 containment repair. These were partially offset by the prior year reversal of accruals in conjunction with the placement of Crystal River Unit 3 into extended cold shutdown in accordance with the 2012 Settlement and higher charges associated with related settlement matters.

Partially offset by:

• A \$212 million increase in impairment and other charges. In 2013, Duke Energy Florida recorded impairment and other charges primarily related to Crystal River Unit 3 and Levy. In 2012, Duke Energy Florida recorded impairment and other charges related to the decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information; and

PART II

• A \$138 million increase in depreciation and amortization primarily due to higher nuclear cost-recovery amortization related to Levy and a decrease in the reduction of the cost of removal component of amortization expense as allowed under the 2012 Settlement.

Other Income and Expense, net. The variance was primarily due to lower AFUDC equity due primarily to the retirement of Crystal River Unit 3.

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily due to the deferral of debt costs recorded on the retail portion of the retired Crystal River Unit 3 regulatory asset beginning January 1, 2013.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 39.6 percent and 35.7 percent, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the impact of lower AFUDC equity and lower impairment charges.

DUKE ENERGY OHIO

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Duke Energy Ohio is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

		V	ears Ended	Dec	cember 31			
(in millions)	2013 2012						Va	ariance
Operating Revenues	\$	3,245		\$	3,152		\$	93
Operating Expenses		2,999			2,810			189
Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net		5			7			(2)
Operating Income		251			349			(98)
Other Income and Expense, net		4			13			(9)
Interest Expense		78			89			(11)
Income Before Income Taxes		177			273			(96)
Income Tax Expense		75			98			(23)
Net Income	\$	102		\$	175		\$	(73)

The following table shows the percent changes in Regulated Utilities' GWh sales and average number of customers for Duke Energy Ohio. The below percentages for retail customer classes represent billed sales only. Total sales includes billed and unbilled retail sales, and wholesale sales to incorporated municipalities and to public and private utilities and power marketers. Amounts are not weather normalized.

Increase (decrease) over prior year		2	013			2	012
Residential sales	1.5	%			(3.3)	%	
General service sales		0.8	%			(2.6)	%
Industrial sales		0.2	%			0.6	%
Wholesale power sales		20.9	%		((35.9)	%
Total sales		0.9	%			(2.3)	%
Average number of customers		0.4	%			0.5	%
			1	i i	1 1		

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to 2012

Operating Revenues. The variance was primarily driven by:

- A \$68 million increase in net mark-to-market revenue on non-qualifying power and capacity hedge contracts, consisting of mark-to-market gains of \$70 million in 2013 compared to losses of \$2 million in 2012:
- A \$68 million increase for the gas-fired generation assets driven primarily by higher power prices, partially offset by decreased volumes;
- A \$41 million increase in rate riders and retail pricing primarily due to rate increases in 2013;
- A \$21 million increase for the coal-fired generation assets driven primarily by increased volumes, partially offset by lower realized power prices, including the impact of hedge settlements; and
- A \$13 million increase related to favorable weather conditions.

Partially offset by:

- An \$85 million decrease in PJM capacity revenue related to lower average cleared capacity auction pricing; and
- A \$41 million decrease in regulated fuel revenues primarily driven by reduced sales volumes, partially offset by higher fuel costs.

Operating Expenses. The variance was primarily driven by:

- A \$109 million increase in fuel expense for the gas-fired generation assets driven by higher natural gas costs, partially offset by decreased natural gas volumes;
- A \$96 million increase in net mark-to-market fuel expense on non-qualifying fuel hedge contracts, consisting of mark-to-market losses of \$99 million in 2013 compared to losses of \$3 million in 2012; and
- A \$41 million increase in property and other taxes driven primarily by an Ohio property tax settlement recorded in 2012.

Partially offset by:

• A \$42 million decrease in regulated fuel expense driven primarily by lower purchased power expense and reduced volumes, partially offset by higher fuel costs.

Other Income and Expenses, net. The decrease was primarily due to lower AFUDC equity and lower interest income.

Interest Expense. The decrease was primarily due to lower average debt balances in 2013 compared to 2012.

Income Tax Expense. The variance was primarily due to a decrease in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 42.2 percent and 36 percent, respectively. The change in the effective tax rate was primarily due to a decrease in pretax income and a decrease in the manufacturing deduction in 2013.

Matters Impacting Future Duke Energy Ohio Results

On February 17, 2014, Duke Energy Ohio announced that it had initiated a process to exit its nonregulated Midwest generation business. Considering a marketing period of several months and potential regulatory approvals, Duke Energy Ohio expects to dispose of the nonregulated Midwest generation business by early to mid-2015. In the first quarter of 2014, Duke Energy Ohio will reclassify approximately \$3.5 billion carrying value of its Midwest generation business to assets held for sale and expects to record an estimated pretax impairment charge of \$1 billion to \$2 billion to reduce the carrying value to estimated sales proceeds less cost to sell.

In 2013, a FERC Administrative Law Judge issued an initial decision holding that Duke Energy Ohio is responsible for certain MVP costs, a type of MTEP cost, approved by MISO prior to the date of Duke Energy Ohio's withdrawal. The initial decision will be reviewed by FERC. If FERC upholds the initial decision, Duke Energy Ohio intends to file an appeal in federal court. If Duke Energy Ohio ultimately is found to be responsible for these costs, a portion of these costs may not be eligible for recovery, resulting in an adverse impact to its financial position, results of operations and cash flows. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information.

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Basis of Presentation

The results of operations and variance discussion for Duke Energy Indiana is presented in a reduced disclosure format in accordance with General Instruction (I)(2)(a) of Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

	Ye	ears Ended	Dec	cember 31,		
(in millions)	2013			2012	Va	ariance
Operating Revenues	\$ 2,926		\$	2,717	\$	209
Operating Expenses	2,193			2,792		(599)
Operating Income (Loss)	733			(75)		808
Other Income and Expense, net	18			90		(72)
Interest Expense	170			138		32
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	581			(123)		704
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	223			(73)		296
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 358		\$	(50)	\$	408

The following table shows the percent changes in GWh sales and average number of customers for Duke Energy Indiana. The below percentages for retail customer classes represent billed sales only. Total sales includes billed and unbilled retail sales, and wholesale sales to incorporated municipalities and to public and private utilities and power marketers. Amounts are not weather normalized.

Increase (decrease) over prior year	2	013		2012
		1		
Residential sales	3.2	%	(4.8) %
General service sales	0.5	%	(0.5) %
Industrial sales	(0.3)	%	1.	7 %
Wholesale power sales	(1.4)	%	7.9	9 %
Total sales	0.4	%	1.2	2 %
Average number of customers	0.7	%	0.0	3 %
				1

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to 2012

Operating Revenues. The variance was primarily driven by:

- A \$155 million net increase primarily related to updates to the IGCC rider, and
- A \$43 million increase in fuel revenues (including emission allowances) due to an increase in fuel rates as a result of higher fuel and purchased power costs.

Operating Expenses. The variance was primarily driven by:

- A \$600 million decrease due to 2012 impairment and other charges related to the Edwardsport IGCC plant, and
- A \$40 million decrease in depreciation expense due to a regulatory order related to the Edwardsport IGCC settlement agreement.

Partially offset by:

A \$43 million increase in fuel costs primarily driven by higher fuel and purchased power costs.

Other Income and Expenses, net. The variance was primarily driven by a \$70 million decrease in AFUDC equity primarily due to updates to the IGCC rider in January 2013.

Interest Expense. The variance was primarily driven by a \$30 million decrease in AFUDC debt primarily due to updates to the IGCC rider in January 2013.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit). The variance was primarily due to an increase in pretax income. The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 38.4 percent and 59.5 percent, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax was primarily due to pretax income in 2013 compared to pretax loss in 2012 primarily resulting from the Edwardsport IGCC project impairment and the impact of AFUDC equity in 2013 that reduced the tax expense compared to higher AFUDC in 2012 that increased the tax benefit.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Preparation of financial statements requires the application of accounting policies, judgments, assumptions and estimates that can significantly affect the reported results of operations and the amounts of assets and liabilities reported in the financial statements. Judgments made include the likelihood of success of particular projects, possible legal and regulatory challenges and anticipated recovery of costs.

Management discusses these policies, estimates and assumptions with senior members of management on a regular basis and provides periodic updates on management decisions to the audit committee of the Duke Energy board of directors. Management believes the areas described below require significant judgment in the application of accounting policy or in making estimates and assumptions that are inherently uncertain and that may change in subsequent periods.

Regulatory Accounting

A substantial majority of Regulated Utilities, Duke Energy's regulated operations, meet the criteria for application of regulatory accounting treatment. As a result, Duke Energy records assets and liabilities that would not be recorded for nonregulated entities. Regulatory assets generally represent incurred costs that have been deferred because such costs are probable of future recovery in customer rates. Regulatory liabilities generally represent obligations to make refunds, or reduce rates, to customers for previous collections or for costs that have yet to be incurred.

Management continually assesses whether recorded regulatory assets are probable of future recovery by considering factors such as applicable regulatory environment changes, historical regulatory treatment for similar costs in Duke Energy's jurisdictions, litigation of rate orders, recent rate orders to other regulated entities, and the status of any pending or potential deregulation legislation. If future recovery of costs ceases to be probable, asset write-offs would be recognized in operating income. Additionally, regulatory agencies can provide flexibility in the manner and timing of the depreciation of property, plant and equipment, recognition of nuclear decommissioning costs and amortization of regulatory assets or may disallow recovery of all or a portion of certain assets. Total regulatory assets for Duke Energy were \$10,086 million and \$11,741 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Total regulatory liabilities were \$6,265 million and \$5,740 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For further information, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters."

As required by regulated operations accounting, significant judgment can be required to determine if an otherwise recognizable cost is considered to be an entity specific cost recoverable in future rates and therefore a regulatory asset. Significant judgment can also be required to determine if revenues previously recognized are for entity specific costs that are no longer expected to be incurred and are therefore a regulatory liability.

Regulatory accounting rules also require recognition of a loss if it becomes probable that part of the cost of a plant under construction (or a recently completed plant or an abandoned plant) will be disallowed for ratemaking purposes and a reasonable estimate of the amount of the disallowance can be made. For example, if a cost cap is set, the amount of the disallowance is a result of a judgment as to the ultimate cost of the plant. Other disallowances can require judgments on allowed future rate recovery. As discussed in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters," during 2012 and 2011 Duke Energy Indiana recorded charges of \$631 million and \$222 million, respectively, related to the Edwardsport IGCC plant. In 2013, Duke Energy Florida recorded a charge of \$295 million related to the retired Crystal River

Unit 3 Nuclear Station. Also as discussed in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions and Sales of Other Assets", Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress recorded disallowance charges in 2012 in order to gain FERC approval of the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress guaranteed total fuel savings to customers in North Carolina and South Carolina of \$687 million over the five years in order to gain NCUC and SCPSC approval of the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy. Based on current estimates of future fuel costs, Duke Energy anticipates that it will meet the guaranteed fuel savings. However, if actual fuel costs are higher than expected, Duke Energy could record a charge for the unmet guaranteed savings.

Goodwill Impairment Assessments

Duke Energy's goodwill balances by segment are included in the following table.

	Dece	mbe	er 31	<u> </u>
(in millions)	2013		J. U.	2012
Regulated Utilities	\$ 15,950		\$	15,950
International Energy	326			353
Commercial Power	64			62
Total Duke Energy goodwill	\$ 16,340		\$	16,365

During 2012, Duke Energy recorded \$12,469 million of goodwill associated with the merger with Progress Energy. This goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the acquisition date, and was allocated entirely to the Regulated Utilities segment. The remainder of Regulated Utilities' goodwill relates to the acquisition of Cinergy in April 2006.

Duke Energy allocates goodwill to reporting units, which are a subset of the business segments and are determined based on how the segment is managed. Duke Energy is required to test goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level at least annually and more frequently if it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. Duke Energy performs its annual impairment test as of August 31.

Application of the goodwill impairment test requires management judgment, including determining the fair value of the reporting unit, which management estimates using a weighted combination of the income approach, which estimates fair value based on discounted cash flows, and the market approach, which estimates fair value based on market comparables within the utility and energy industries. Significant assumptions used in these fair value analyses include discount and growth rates, future rates of return expected to result from ongoing rate

regulation, utility sector market performance and transactions, projected operating and capital cash flows for Duke Energy's business and the fair value of debt.

Estimated future cash flows under the income approach are based to a large extent on Duke Energy's internal business plan, and adjusted as appropriate for Duke Energy's views of market participant assumptions. Duke Energy's internal business plan reflects management's assumptions related to customer usage and attrition based on internal data and economic data obtained from third-party sources, projected commodity pricing data and potential changes in environmental regulations. The business plan assumes the occurrence of certain events in the future, such as the outcome of future rate filings, future approved rates of returns on equity, anticipated earnings/returns related to significant future capital investments, continued recovery of cost of service, the renewal of certain contracts and the future of renewable tax credits. Management also makes assumptions regarding operation, maintenance and general and administrative costs based on the expected outcome of the aforementioned events. In estimating cash flows, Duke Energy incorporates expected growth rates, regulatory and economic stability, the ability to renew contracts and other factors, into its revenue and expense forecasts.

One of the most significant assumptions that Duke Energy utilizes in determining the fair value of its reporting units under the income approach is the discount rate applied to the estimated future cash flows. Management determines the appropriate discount rate for each of its reporting units based on the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) for each individual reporting unit. The WACC takes into account both the after-tax cost of debt and cost of equity. A major component of the cost of equity is the current risk-free rate on twenty-year U.S. Treasury bonds. In the 2013 impairment tests, Duke Energy considered implied WACCs for certain peer companies in determining the appropriate WACC rates to use in its analysis. As each reporting unit has a different risk profile based on the nature of its operations, including factors such as regulation, the WACC for each reporting unit may differ. Accordingly, the WACCs were adjusted, as appropriate, to account for company specific risk premiums. For example, Duke Energy Ohio's transmission and distribution reporting unit generally would have a lower company specific risk premium as it does not have the higher level of risk associated with owning and operating generation assets nor does it have significant construction risk or risk associated with potential future carbon legislation or pending EPA regulations. The discount rates used for calculating the fair values as of August 31, 2013, for each of Duke Energy's domestic reporting units ranged from 5.4 percent to 7.4 percent.

For Duke Energy's international operations, a country specific risk adder based on the average risk premium for each separate country in which International Energy operates was added to the base discount rate to reflect the differing risk profiles. This resulted in a discount rate for the August 31, 2013 goodwill impairment test for the international operations of 10.6 percent.

The underlying assumptions and estimates are made as of a point in time. Subsequent changes, particularly changes in the discount rates, authorized regulated rates of return or growth rates inherent in management's estimates of future cash flows, could result in future impairment charges.

The majority of Duke Energy's business is in environments that are either fully or partially rate-regulated. In such environments, revenue requirements are adjusted periodically by regulators based on factors including levels of costs, sales volumes and costs of capital. Accordingly, Duke Energy's regulated utilities operate to some degree with a buffer from the direct effects, positive or negative, of significant swings in market or economic conditions. However, changes in discount rates may have a significant impact on the fair value of equity.

As of August 31, 2013, all of the reporting units' estimated fair value of equity exceeded the carrying value of equity by more than 10 percent.

The fair value of Commercial Power's Renewables reporting unit is impacted by a multitude of factors, including legislative actions related to tax credit extensions, long-term growth rate assumptions, the market price of power and discount rates. As of December 31, 2013, the Renewables reporting unit's estimated fair value of equity exceeded the carrying value of equity. Duke Energy continues to monitor these assumptions for any indicators that the fair value of the reporting unit could be below the carrying value, and will assess goodwill for impairment as appropriate.

Long-Lived Asset Impairment Assessments

Property, plant and equipment is stated at the lower of historical cost less accumulated depreciation or fair value, if impaired. Duke Energy evaluates property, plant and equipment for impairment when events or changes in circumstances (such as a significant change in cash flow projections, the determination that it is more likely than not an asset or asset group will be sold, or a regulating body with authority to set rates Duke Energy charges to customers approves an order disallowing recovery of costs incurred or to be incurred) indicate the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The determination of whether an impairment has occurred is based on an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets, as compared with their carrying value, except when applied to regulated plant costs that are disallowed for ratemaking purposes. The impairment for a disallowance of costs for regulated plants under construction, recently completed or abandoned is based on discounted cash flows. See "Regulatory Accounting" for information related to accounting for rate regulated operations.

Performing an impairment evaluation involves a significant degree of estimation and judgment in areas such as identifying circumstances that indicate an impairment may exist, identifying and grouping affected assets, and developing the undiscounted future cash flows associated with the asset. If an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment recognized is determined by estimating the fair value of the asset and recording a loss if the carrying value is greater than the fair value. Additionally, determining fair value of the asset requires probability weighting future cash flows to reflect expectations about possible variations in their amounts or timing and the selection of an appropriate discount rate. Although cash flow estimates are based on relevant information available at the time the estimates are made, estimates of future cash flows are, by nature, highly uncertain and may vary significantly from actual results. For assets identified as held for sale, the carrying value is compared to the estimated fair value less cost to sell to determine if an impairment loss is required. Until the assets are disposed of, their estimated fair value is re-evaluated when circumstances or events change.

When determining whether an asset or asset group has been impaired, management groups assets at the lowest level that has discrete cash flows. For regulated entities, the lowest level with discrete cash flows is generally the operating utility level.

When it becomes probable that regulated generation, transmission or distribution assets will be abandoned, the cost of the asset is removed from plant in service. The value that may be retained as an asset on the balance sheet for the abandoned property is dependent upon

amounts that may be recovered through regulated rates, including any return. As such, an impairment charge could be offset by the establishment of a regulatory asset if rate recovery is probable.

As discussed further in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions, Dispositions, and Sales of Other Assets," in the first quarter of 2014, Duke Energy Ohio announced it had initiated a process to exit its nonregulated Midwest generation business. As a result, Duke Energy expects to classify the Midwest generation business as held for sale and record an estimated pretax impairment charge of \$1 billion to \$2 billion in the first quarter of 2014. As discussed further in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Acquisitions, Dispositions, and Sales of Other Assets," in the third quarter of 2012, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress recorded certain impairment charges in conjunction with the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy. As discussed further in Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets," in the third quarter of 2011, Commercial Power recorded \$79 million of pretax impairment charges related to CAA emission allowances that were no longer expected to be used as a result of the issuance of the final CSAPR. These impairment charges are recorded in Goodwill and Other Impairment Charges on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Accounting for Loss Contingencies

Preparation of financial statements and related disclosures require judgments regarding the future outcome of contingent events. Duke Energy is involved in certain legal and environmental matters arising in the normal course of business. Estimating probable losses requires analysis of multiple forecasts and scenarios that often depend on judgments about potential actions by third parties, such as federal, state and local courts and other regulators. Contingent liabilities are often resolved over long periods of time. Amounts recorded in the consolidated financial statements may differ from the actual outcome once the contingency is resolved, which could have a material impact on future results of operations, financial position and cash flows of Duke Energy.

For further information, see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies."

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

The calculation of pension expense, other post-retirement benefit expense and net pension and other post-retirement assets or liabilities require the use of assumptions and election of permissible accounting alternatives. Changes in assumptions can result in different expense and reported asset or liability amounts, and future actual experience can differ from the assumptions. Duke Energy believes the most critical assumptions for pension and other post-retirement benefits are the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the assumed discount rate. Additionally, medical and prescription drug cost trend rate assumptions are critical to Duke Energy's estimates of other post-retirement benefits.

Duke Energy elects to amortize net actuarial gains or losses in excess of the corridor of 10 percent of the greater of the market-related value of plan assets or plan projected benefit obligation, into net pension or other post-retirement benefit expense over the average remaining service period of active covered employees. Prior service cost or credit, which represents the effect on plan liabilities due to plan amendments, is amortized over the average remaining service period of active covered employees.

Duke Energy maintains non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans. The plans cover most U.S. employees using a cash balance formula. Under a cash balance formula, a plan participant accumulates a retirement benefit consisting of pay credits based upon a percentage of current eligible earnings based on age and years of service and current interest credits. Certain employees are covered under plans that use a final average earnings formula.

Duke Energy provides some health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees on a contributory and non-contributory basis. Certain employees are eligible for these benefits if they have met age and service requirements at retirement, as defined in the plans.

For both pension and other post-retirement plans, Duke Energy assumes its plan's assets will generate a long-term rate of return of 6.75 percent as of December 31, 2013. The expected long-term rate of return was developed using a weighted average calculation of expected returns based primarily on future expected returns across asset classes considering the use of active asset managers, where applicable. U.S. equities are held for their high expected return. Non-U.S. equities, debt securities, hedge funds, real estate and other global securities are held for diversification. Investments within asset classes are to be diversified to achieve broad market participation and reduce the impact of individual managers on investments. In September 2013, Duke Energy adopted a de-risking investment strategy for its pension plan assets. As the funded status of the Duke Energy and Progress Energy pension plans increase, over time the allocation to return-seeking assets will be reduced and the allocation to fixed-income assets will be increased to better manage Duke Energy's pension liability and reduce funded status volatility. Based on the current funded status of the plans, the asset allocation for the Duke Energy pension plans has been adjusted to 60 percent fixed-income assets and 40 percent return-seeking assets and the asset allocation for the Progress Energy pension plans has been adjusted to 55 percent fixed-income assets and 45 percent return-seeking assets.

The assets for Duke Energy's pension and other post-retirement plans are maintained in a master trust. Duke Energy also invests other post-retirement assets in the Duke Energy Corporation Employee Benefits Trust (VEBA I). The investment objective of VEBA I is to achieve sufficient returns, subject to a prudent level of portfolio risk, for the purpose of promoting the security of plan benefits for participants. VEBA I is passively managed.

Duke Energy discounted its future U.S. pension and other post-retirement obligations using a rate of 4.7 percent as of December 31, 2013. Discount rates used to measure benefit plan obligations for financial reporting purposes reflect rates at which pension benefits could be effectively settled. As of December 31, 2013, Duke Energy determined its discount rate for U.S. pension and other post-retirement obligations using a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to match the timing of projected benefit payments. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates the present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected.

Future changes in plan asset returns, assumed discount rates and various other factors related to the participants in Duke Energy's pension and post-retirement plans will impact future pension expense and liabilities. Duke Energy cannot predict with certainty what these factors will

be in the future. The following table presents the approximate effect on Duke Energy's 2013 pretax pension expense, pension obligation and other post-retirement benefit obligation if a 0.25 percent change in rates were to occur.

	No	Qual on-Qual P	l Pei		Oth	ner Pos	t-re		ment
(in millions)		+0.25%		-0.25%	+	-0.25 %		-(0.25%
Effect on 2013 pretax pension expense									
Expected long-term rate of return	\$	(18)	\$	18	\$	(1)		\$	1
Discount rate		(16)		16		(4)			4
Effect on benefit obligation at December 31, 2013									
Discount rate		(194)		200		(23)			24

Duke Energy's U.S. post-retirement plan uses a medical care trend rate which reflects the near and long-term expectation of increases in medical health care costs. Duke Energy's U.S. post-retirement plan uses a prescription drug trend rate, which reflects the near and long-term expectation of increases in prescription drug health care costs. As of December 31, 2013, the medical care trend rates were 8.5 percent, which grades to 5.00 percent by 2021. The following table presents the approximate effect on Duke Energy's 2013 pretax other post-retirement expense and other post-retirement benefit obligation if a 1 percentage point change in the health care trend rate were to occur.

	Other Post-retirement Plans									
(in millions)	+1.0% -1									
Effect on 2013 other post-retirement expense	\$	25		\$	(20)					
Effect on other post-retirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2013	at 40									
					,					
For further information, see Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Employee Benefit Plans."										

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Sources and Uses of Cash

Duke Energy relies primarily upon cash flows from operations, debt issuances and its existing cash and cash equivalents to fund its domestic liquidity and capital requirements. Duke Energy's capital requirements arise primarily from capital and investment expenditures, repaying long-term debt and paying dividends to shareholders. Duke Energy's projected primary sources and uses for the next three fiscal years are included in the table below.

(in millions	s)		2014			2015			2016
Uses:									
Capital exp	enditures		5,825-6,125			6,850-7,450			7,175-8,175
Debt matur	ities ^(a)		2,170			2,470			1,870
Dividend pa	ayments		2,225			2,270			2,315
Sources:									
Cash flows	from operations	\$	7,370		\$	7,930		\$	8,150
Debt issuar	nces		3,160			3,475			2,800
(a) Excludes capital leases and securitized receivables maturities in 2016 expected to be renewed. Amount represents Duke Energy's financing plan, which accelerates certain contractual maturities.									

The Subsidiary Registrants generally maintain minimal cash balances and use short-term borrowings to meet their working capital needs and other cash requirements. The Subsidiary Registrants, excluding Progress Energy, support their short-term borrowing needs through participation with Duke Energy and certain of its other subsidiaries in a money pool arrangement. The companies with short-term funds may provide short-term loans to affiliates participating under this arrangement. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Debt and Credit Facilities," for additional discussion of the money pool arrangement.

Duke Energy and the Subsidiary Registrants, excluding Progress Energy, may also use short-term debt, including commercial paper and the money pool, as a bridge to long-term debt financings. The levels of borrowing may vary significantly over the course of the year due to the timing of long-term debt financings and the impact of fluctuations in cash flows from operations. Duke Energy's current liabilities frequently exceed current assets resulting from the use of short-term debt as a funding source to meet scheduled maturities of long-term debt, as well as cash needs, which can fluctuate due to the seasonality of its business.

Credit Facilities and Registration Statements

Master Credit Facility Summary

Duke Energy has a master credit facility with a capacity of \$6 billion through December 2018. The Subsidiary Registrants, excluding Progress Energy each have borrowing capacity under the master credit facility up to specified sublimits for each borrower. Duke Energy has the unilateral ability at any time to increase or decrease the borrowing sublimits of each borrower, subject to a maximum sublimit for each borrower. The amount available under the master credit facility has been reduced to backstop the issuances of commercial paper, certain letters of credit and variable-rate demand tax-exempt bonds that may be put to the Duke Energy Registrants at the option of the holder. The table below includes the current borrowing sublimits and available capacity under the master credit facility.

	Т	1		П		T			T			ī		Т			
	+																
	4	-			ı	- 1			C	е	mber 31, 20	13		- 1			
(in millions)			Duke Energy		Duke Energy (Parent)			Duke Energy Carolinas			Duke Energy Progress		Duke Energy Florida		Duke Energy Ohio		Duke Energy Indiana
Facility size ^(a)	,	\$	6,000	\$	2,250		\$	1,000		\$	750	\$	650	9	650	\$	700
Reduction to backstop issuances																	
Notes payable and commercial paper ^(b)			(450)					(300)									(150)
Outstanding letters of credit			(62)		(55)			(4)			(2)		(1)				
Tax-exempt bonds			(240)					(75)							(84)		(81)
Available capacity	-,	\$	5,248	\$	2,195		\$	621		\$	748	\$	649	9	566	\$	469
(a) Represents t includes \$10									е	r	31, 2013. Th	ie	Duke Ene	rg	y Ohio su	blir	nit
(b) Duke Energy	Duke Energy issued \$450 million of commercial paper and loaned the proceeds through the money												proceeds	th	rough the	m	

PremierNotes

Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Duke Energy has an effective Form S-3 with the SEC to sell up to \$3 billion of variable denomination floating rate demand notes, called PremierNotes. The Form S-3 states that no more than \$1.5 billion of the notes will be outstanding at any particular time. The notes are offered on a continuous basis and bear interest at a floating rate per annum determined by the Duke Energy PremierNotes Committee, or its designee, on a weekly basis. The interest rate payable on notes held by an investor may vary based on the principal amount of the investment. The notes have no stated maturity date, are non-transferable and may be redeemed in whole or in part by Duke Energy or at the investor's option at any time. The balance as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, was \$836 million and \$395 million, respectively. The notes are short-term debt obligations of Duke Energy and are reflected as Notes payable and commercial paper on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

pool to Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Indiana. The balances are classified as long-term borrowings within Long-term Debt in Duke Energy Carolinas' and Duke Energy Indiana's Condensed

Shelf Registration

In September 2013, Duke Energy filed a Form S-3 with the SEC. Under this Form S-3, which is uncapped, the Duke Energy Registrants, excluding Progress Energy may issue debt and other securities in the future at amounts, prices and with terms to be determined at the time of future offerings. The registration statement also allows for the issuance of common stock by Duke Energy.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

Duke Energy's projected capital and investment expenditures for the next three fiscal years are included in the table below.

(in millions)	2014		2015		2016
Regulated Utilities	\$ 4,850	\$	6,075	\$	6,500
Commercial Power, International Energy and Other	975		775		675
Total committed expenditures	5,825		6,850		7,175
Discretionary expenditures	300		600		1,000
Total projected capital and investment expenditures	\$ 6,125	\$	7,450	\$	8,175

Duke Energy continues to focus on reducing risk and positioning its business for future success and will invest principally in its strongest business sectors. Based on this goal, the majority of Duke Energy's total projected capital expenditures are allocated to the Regulated Utilities segment. The table below includes the components of projected capital expenditures for Regulated Utilities for the next three fiscal years.

1	2014		2	015		2016
New generation	\$ 200		\$	975		\$ 1,175
Environmental	400			250		250
Nuclear fuel	525			525		575
Major nuclear	350			375		325
Customer additions	425			450		475
Grid modernization and other transmission and distribution projects	125			450		525
Maintenance	2,825		3,	050		3,175
Total projected Regulated Utilities capital and investment expenditures	\$ 4,850		\$ 6,	075		\$ 6,500

DEBT MATURITIES

The following table shows the significant components of Current maturities of long-term debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Duke Energy Registrants currently anticipate satisfying these obligations, primarily with cash on hand and proceeds from additional borrowings.

			1
			4

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	Maturity		_	mber 31,
(in millions)	Date	Interest	Rate	 2013
Unsecured Debt				
	February			
Duke Energy (Parent)	2014	6.300	%	\$ 750
Progress Energy (Parent)	March 2014	6.050	%	300
	September			
Duke Energy (Parent)	2014	3.950	%	500
Tax-exempt Bonds				
	January			
Duke Energy Progress	2014	0.105	%	167
Other				387
Current maturities of long-term debt				\$ 2,104

DIVIDEND PAYMENTS

Duke Energy has paid quarterly cash dividends for 88 consecutive years and expects to continue its policy of paying regular cash dividends in the future. There is no assurance as to the amount of future dividends because they depend on future earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and are subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Over the past several years, Duke Energy's dividend has grown at approximately two percent annually, slower than overall earnings growth. The Board of Directors continues to target a payout ratio of 65 percent to 70 percent, based upon adjusted diluted EPS. Once the dividend is within the target payout ratio, Duke Energy believes it has the flexibility to grow the dividend at a pace more consistent with earnings growth.

Dividend and Other Funding Restrictions of Duke Energy Subsidiaries

As discussed in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements "Regulatory Matters", Duke Energy's wholly owned public utility operating companies have restrictions on the amount of funds that can be transferred to Duke Energy via dividend, advance or loan as a result of conditions imposed by various regulators in conjunction with merger transactions. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida also have restrictions imposed by their first mortgage bond indentures and Articles of Incorporation which, in certain circumstances, limit their ability to make cash dividends or distributions on common stock. Additionally, certain other Duke Energy subsidiaries have other restrictions, such as minimum working capital and tangible net worth requirements pursuant to debt and other agreements that limit the amount of funds that can be transferred to Duke Energy. At December 31, 2013, the amount of restricted net assets of wholly owned subsidiaries of Duke Energy that may not be distributed to Duke Energy in the form of a loan or dividend is less than 25 percent of Duke Energy's consolidated net assets. Duke Energy does not have any legal or other restrictions on paying common stock dividends to shareholders out of its consolidated equity accounts. Although these restrictions cap the amount of funding the various operating subsidiaries can provide to Duke Energy, management does not believe these restrictions will have any significant impact on Duke Energy's ability to access cash to meet its payment of dividends on common stock and other future funding obligations.

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

The relatively stable operating cash flows of Regulated Utilities compose a substantial portion of Duke Energy's cash flows from operations. Regulated Utilities' cash flows from operations are primarily driven by sales of electricity and natural gas and costs of operations. Weather conditions, commodity price fluctuations and unanticipated expenses, including unplanned plant outages and storms can affect the timing and level of cash flows from operations. Duke Energy provides the liquidity support for Commercial Power's coal-fired and gas-fired assets that are dispatched into the PJM wholesale market. Commercial Power has economically hedged a portion of its forecasted generation through 2018 with various counterparties, and a substantial portion of these contracts require daily posting of margin, which can be significant. Duke Energy believes it has sufficient liquidity resources through the commercial paper markets, and ultimately, the master credit facility, to support these operations. Cash flows from operations are subject to a number of other factors, including, but not limited to, regulatory constraints, economic trends and market volatility (see Item 1A. "Risk Factors." for additional information).

At December 31, 2013, Duke Energy had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$1.5 billion, of which \$1.1 billion is held by entities domiciled in foreign jurisdictions and is forecasted to be used to fund the operations of and investments in International Energy. Undistributed foreign earnings associated with International Energy's operations are considered indefinitely reinvested. As a result, no U.S. tax is recorded on such earnings. This assertion is based on management's determination that the cash held in International Energy's foreign jurisdictions is not needed to fund the operations of its U.S. operations and that International Energy either has invested or has intentions to reinvest such earnings. While management currently intends to indefinitely reinvest all of International Energy's unremitted earnings, should circumstances change, Duke Energy may need to record additional income tax expense in the period in which such determination changes. The cumulative undistributed earnings as of December 31, 2013, on which Duke Energy has not provided deferred U.S. income taxes and foreign withholding taxes is approximately \$2.4 billion. The amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability related to these undistributed earnings is estimated at between \$300 million and \$375 million. See Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Income Taxes," for additional information.

DEBT ISSUANCES

Depending on availability based on the issuing entity, the credit rating of the issuing entity, and market conditions, the Subsidiary Registrants prefer to issue first mortgage bonds and secured debt, followed by unsecured debt. This preference is the result of generally higher credit ratings for first mortgage bonds and secured debt, which typically result in lower interest costs. Duke Energy Corporation primarily issues unsecured debt.

Duke Energy's capitalization is balanced between debt and equity as shown in the table below. The 2014 projected capitalization percentages exclude purchase accounting adjustments related to the merger with Progress Energy.

	Projected 2014		tual 013		tual 2012
Equity	52 %	50	%	50	%
Debt	48 %	50	%	50	%

Duke Energy's fixed charges coverage ratio, calculated using SEC guidelines, was 3.0 times for 2013, 2.5 times for 2012, and 3.2 times for 2011.

Restrictive Debt Covenants

Duke Energy's debt and credit agreements contain various financial and other covenants. The master credit facility contains a covenant requiring the debt-to-total capitalization ratio to not exceed 65 percent for each borrower. Failure to meet those covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in accelerated due dates and/or termination of the agreements or sublimits thereto. As of December 31, 2013, Duke Energy was in compliance with all covenants related to its significant debt agreements. In addition, some credit agreements may allow for acceleration of payments or termination of the agreements due to nonpayment, or to the acceleration of other significant indebtedness of the borrower or some of its subsidiaries. None of the debt or credit agreements contain material adverse change clauses.

Credit Ratings

Duke Energy and certain subsidiaries each hold credit ratings by Fitch Ratings, Inc. (Fitch), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) and Standard & Poor's Rating Services (S&P). Duke Energy's corporate credit rating and issuer credit rating from Fitch, Moody's and S&P, respectively, as of February 13, 2013 is BBB+, A3 and BBB+, respectively. As of February 13, 2014, the Duke Energy Registrants' have stable outlooks from Fitch, Moody's and S&P.

The following table includes the Duke Energy and certain subsidiaries' Senior Unsecured Credit Ratings as of February 13, 2014.

			T
·	S&P	Moody's	Fitch
Duke Energy Corporation	BBB	A3	BBB+
Duke Energy Carolinas	BBB+	A1	Α
Progress Energy	BBB	Baa1	BBB
Duke Energy Progress	BBB+	A1	А
Duke Energy Florida	BBB+	A3	A-
Duke Energy Ohio	BBB+	Baa1	A-
Duke Energy Indiana	BBB+	A2	A-
Duke Energy Kentucky	BBB+	Baa1	A-

Credit ratings are dependent on the ability to meet our debt principal and interest obligations when they come due, which is a measure of the strength of the current balance sheet. If, as a result of market conditions or other factors, Duke Energy and certain other subsidiaries are unable to maintain current balance sheet strength, or if earnings and cash flow outlook materially deteriorates, credit ratings could be negatively impacted.

Cash Flow Information

The following table summarizes Duke Energy's cash flows for the three most recently completed fiscal years.

	<u> </u>		Years E	nde	d Decemb	er 31,		
(in millions)	<u> </u>	2013			2012		1	2011
Cash flows provided by (used in):	1							
Operating activities	\$	6,382		\$	5,244		\$	3,672
Investing activities		(4,978)			(6,197)			(4,434)
Financing activities		(1,327)			267			1,202
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash								
equivalents		77			(686)			440
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		1,424			2,110			1,670
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	1,501		\$	1,424		\$	2,110
OPERATING CASH FLOWS	1 1		<u> </u>	Ī				
The following table summarizes key compone recently completed fiscal year.	nts of D	Duke Ener	gy's ope	eratin	g cash flo	ws for	the th	ree most
	<u> </u>	,	Vears F	nded	d Decemb	er 31		
(in millions)		2013			2012			2011
Net income	\$	2,676		\$	1,782		\$	1,714
Non-cash adjustments to net income		4,876			3,769			2,628
Contributions to qualified pension plans		(250)			(304)			(200)
Working capital		(920)			(3)			(470)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	6,382		\$	5,244		\$	3,672
For the year ended December 31, 2013 comp	ared to	2012, the	variano	e wa	ıs driven p	rimaril	ly by:	
A \$2,001 million increase in n inclusion of Progress Energy' rates and lower operation an	s result	s for first	six mont	ths of	f 2013 and	d the in		
	<u> </u>							
A \$917 million decrease in opworking capital, mainly due to accruals, net of current year poverallocation of the Carolina OUT TO THE PORT OF THE POR	the tin	ning of rec ots and res	eivables serve red	s and	l accruals, ons and th	lower ne prion	incent r year	ive
NEIL proceeds.								
NEIL proceeds.								

3 3		<u> </u>						
An approximately \$1,210 million (depreciation and amortization other Progress Energy merger Energy's results beginning July Carelina rate appears and the ca	relate y 2, 20	her Edwar ed costs), i 012 and th	dsport esulti e impa	charging from	jes, severa n the inclu	ance e	xpense f Prog	e and ress
South Carolina rate cases, net	oi un	lavorable	weam	er. I	1	1 1		
 A \$560 million increase in ope working capital, mainly due to and prior year refund of North overcollection of North Carolin 	an inc Caroli	rease in c na overco	urrent llected	year v I fuels	acation and	nd ince currer	entive a nt year	accruals
 A \$100 million increase in concontributions for Progress Energy 				spons	ored pens	ion pla	ns due	e to
INVESTING CASH FLOWS								
The following table summarizes key componer recently completed fiscal years.	nts of I	Duke Ener	gy's ir	vestin	g cash flo	ws for	the thr	ee mos
			Years	Ende	d Decemb	oer 31,		
(in millions)		2013			2012			201
Capital, investment and acquisition expenditures	\$	(5,607)		\$	(5,958)		\$	(4,464
Available for sale securities, net		173			(182)			(131
Proceeds from sales of equity investments and other assets, and sales of and collections on notes receivable		277			212			11
Other investing items		179			(269)			4
Net cash used in investing activities	\$			\$	i '		\$	(4,434
The primary use of cash related to investing ac detailed by reportable business segment in the			, inves	stment	and acqu	isition	expen	ditures,
			.,		<u>. </u>			
<u> </u>			<u>Years</u>	Ende	d Decemb	er 31,		
(in millions)		2013			2012		. 1	201
Regulated Utilities	\$			\$, , ,		\$	3,71
Commercial Power		268			1,038			49
International Energy		67			551			11
Other		223			149			14
Total capital, investment and acquisition expenditures	\$	5,607		\$	5,958		\$	4,46
For the year ended December 31, 2013 compa	ared to	2012, the	varia	nce wa	as driven p	orimari	ly by:	
 A \$581 million variance in rest issuance related to the Chilean 								

	collateral in 2013,			1	1			1	
				_			<u> </u>		
•	A \$355 million increase in								, net of
	purchases due to the inve	estment of e	excess ca	sh heli	d in for	eign jurisc	dictions	and	
•	A \$351 million decrease i	•			•	•		•	•
	to lower spending on Duk	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••	•	•	_	
	modernization program as		jects were	comp	oleted,	net of exp	enditui	res on	Progres
	Energy's maintenance pro	ojects.					1 1	1	
		1.1	0044 11				<u> </u>		
or the yea	ar ended December 31, 2012 co	ompared to	2011, the	varia I	nce wa	as driven p	orimarii I	y by:	
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
•	A \$1,490 million increase								
	to the inclusion of Progres								
	expenditures on renewab								
	spending on Duke Energy projects near completion		imrastruc	lure II	iodem	ization pro	gram a	as thes	se
	projects flear completion	anu		l					
	A ¢440 million in orono in	, vo otvioto d		میناید ط	+0		dabtia		a valatad
•	A \$440 million increase in to Chilean hydro acquisiti		casn prim	ariiy d	ue to a	a secured	debt is	suanc	e related
	lo Chilean Hydro acquisiti	OH.							
	IC CACH FLOWS						<u> </u>	ļ	
-INANCIN	IG CASH FLOWS			1			1 1	Ī	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	,	<u> </u>	1 (1	<u> </u>		
	ing table summarizes key comp	onents of L	Juke Ener	gysti	nancın	g casn 110	ws for	tne tni	ree most
ecently co	ompleted fiscal years.						<u> </u>		
				V # -	C se el e	d Dagarah	01	ļ	
/!!!!!	- \			<u>rears</u>	Ende	d Decemb	oer 31,		0011
(in million			2013			2012			2011
	of common stock related to		•		Δ.	00		ф	07
	benefit plans	\$	9		\$	23		\$	67
	of long-term debt, net		840			1,672			2,292
	able and commercial paper		93			278			208
Dividends	•		(2,188)			(1,752)			(1,329)
	ncing items		(81)			46			(36)
•	used in) provided by financing								
activities		\$	(1,327)		\$	267		\$	1,202
For the yea	<u>ar ended December 31, 2013 c</u>	ompared to	2012, the	<u>varia</u>	nce wa	as driven p	orimaril	y by:	
•	A \$832 million decrease i	n net issua	nces of lo	ng-teri	m debt	, primarily	due to	the ti	ming of
	issuances and redemption	ns betweer	ı years, re	sulting	g from	the compl	etion o	f majo	r
	construction projects,							ı	
,	A \$436 million increase ir	quarterly o	dividends	primar	ily due	to an inc	rease ii	n com	mon
	shares outstanding, resul								
	dividends per share from	\$0.765 to \$	0.78 in th	e third	l quarte	er of 2013	. The to	otal ar	nual
	dividend per share was \$							ota. a.	

•	A \$185 million decrease in pro						/able a	and co	mmercial
	paper, primarily due to change	25 111 5	non-tenn v	WOIKIII	y capi	lai neeus.	1	ı	
For the year e	nded December 31, 2012 compa	ared to	2011, the	varia	nce wa	as driven p	orimari	ly by:	
•	A \$620 million decrease in ne	t issua	inces of lo	ng-teri	n debi	, primarily	due to	the ti	ming of
	issuances and redemptions be	etweei	n years an	d					
•	A \$420 million increase in qua	arterly	dividends	primar	ily due	to an inci	rease	in com	mon
	shares outstanding, resulting	from th	ne merger	with P	rogres	s Energy	and ar	n incre	ase in
	dividends per share from \$0.7	'5 to \$	0.765 in th	e third	quart	er of 2012	. The t	otal a	nnual
	dividend per share was \$3.03	-			•				
These decreas	ses in cash provided were partia	lly offs	et by:						
•	A \$70 million increase in proc	eeds f	rom net iss	suance	es of n	otes payal	ble an	d com	mercial
	paper, primarily due to the Pre	emierN	lotes prog	ram, n	et of p	aydown of	f comr	nercia	paper.
			63						

Summary of Significant Debt Issuances

The following tables summarize the significant debt issuances (in millions).

								,	Ye	ar End	ed	De	ecemb	er	31.	2013			
Issuance Da	Matu te D	ırity Date		est			Duke Energy Parent)		E	Duke nergy gress			Du Ene	ıke	E	Duke nergy diana			Duke Energy
Unsecured D	ebt																		
January 2013		073	5.125			\$	500	\$;			\$			\$			\$	500
June 2013 ^(b)	June 2	018	2.100	%			500											<u> </u>	500
August 2013 ⁽		gust 023	11.000	%															220
October 2013	Octo	ober 023	3.950	%			400												400
Secured Deb	ot																		
February 2013 ^{(f)(g)}	Decem 2	nber 030	2.043	%															203
February 201	3 ^(f) June 2	037	4.740	%															220
April 2013 ^(h)	April 2	026	5.456	%															230
December 2013 ⁽ⁱ⁾	Decem 2	nber 016	0.852	%						300									300
First Mortga	ge Bonds																		
March 2013 ^(j)	March 2	043	4.100	%						500									500
July 2013 ^(k)	July 2	043	4.900	%												350			350
July 2013 ^{(k)(l)}	July 2	016	0.619	%												150			150
September 2013 ^(m)	Septem 2	nber 023	3.800	%									300						300
September 2013 ^{(m)(n)}	March 2	015	0.400	%									150						150
Total Issuan	ces					\$	1,400	\$;	800		\$	450		\$	500		\$	4,023
()			0015		<u> </u>		<u> </u>								<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Ļ	
Cumu comm	Callable after January 2018 at par. Proceeds Cumulative Quarterly Income Preferred Secu commercial paper and for general corporate he QUIPS.							es (C)U	IPS) ar	nd	to r	epay a	a po	ortio	on of o	uts	tan	_
(b) Proce	eds were us ing the repa										s a	and	for ge	ener	ral (corpora	ate	pur	poses,
(c) Proce	eds were us s to half of the	ed to	o repay S	\$20	0 m	illic	n of cur	rent	m	aturitie						te inclu	ude	d a	bove
(d)																			

	The debt is floating rate based on a consumer price index and an overnight funds rate in Brazil. The debt is denominated in Brazilian Real.												
(e)	Proceeds were used to repay commercial paper as well as for general corporate purposes.												
(f)	Represents the conversion of construction loans related to a renewable energy project issued in December 2012 to term loans. No cash proceeds were received in conjunction with the conversion. The term loans have varying maturity dates. The maturity date presented represents the latest date for all components of the respective loans.												
(g)	The debt is floating rate. Duke Energy has entered into a pay fixed-receive floating interest rate swap for 95 percent of the loans.												
(h)	Represents the conversion of a \$190 million bridge loan issued in conjunction with the acquisition of lbener in December 2012. Duke Energy received incremental proceeds of \$40 million upon conversion of the bridge loan. The debt is floating rate and is denominated in U.S. dollars. Duke Energy has entered into a pay fixed-receive floating interest rate swap for 75 percent of the loan.												
(i)	Relates to the securitization of accounts receivable at a subsidiary of Duke Energy Progress; the proceeds were used to repay short-term debt. See Note 17 for further details.												
(j)	Proceeds were used to repay notes payable to affiliated companies as well as for general corporate purposes.												
(k)	Proceeds were used to repay \$400 million of current maturities.												
(I)	The debt is floating rate based on 3-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and a fixed credit spread of 35 basis points.												
(m)	Proceeds were used for general corporate purposes including the repayment of short-term notes payable, a portion of which was incurred to fund the retirement of \$250 million of first mortgage bonds that matured in the first half of 2013.												
(n)	The debt is floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR plus a fixed spread of 14 basis points.												

							oor F		d Das		hor 21	20	12			
											ber 31,		ī			
		1			Duke				gress		Duke		Duke		Duke	Darler
Issuance	Maturity	Inte			Energy		nergy				Energy		nergy		nergy	Duke
Date	Date		<u>Rate</u>	(1	arenty	arc	oiinas	(Pa	arent)	Pr	ogress	Г	orida	in	diana	Energy
Unsecure	a Debt															
March 2012 ^(a)	April 2022	3.15	%	\$		\$		\$	450	\$		\$		\$		\$ 450
August 2012 ^(b)	August 2017	1.63	%		700											700
August 2012 ^(b)	August 2022	3.05	%		500											500
Secured D																
April	September	0.04	0/		000											000
2012 ^(c)	2024	2.64	%		330											330
December 2012 ^(d)	March 2013	2.77	%		203											203
December 2012 ^(d)	March 2013	4.74	%		220											220
December 2012 ^(e)	June 2013	1.01	%		190											190
December 2012 ^(e)	December 2025	1.56	%		200											200
	gage Bonds															
March 2012 ^(f)	March 2042	4.20	%												250	250
May 2012 ^(g)	May 2022	2.80	%								500					500
May 2012 ^(g)	May 2042		%								500					500
Septembe 2012 ^(h)	September 2042		%				650									650
November 2012 ⁽ⁱ⁾	November 2015	0.65	%										250			250
November 2012 ⁽ⁱ⁾	November 2042	3.85	%										400			400
Total Issua	ances			\$	2,343	\$	650	\$	450	\$	1,000	\$	650	\$	250	\$ 5,343

⁽a) Proceeds were used to repay current maturities of \$450 million

⁽b) Proceeds were used to repay current maturities of \$500 million, as well as for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of commercial paper.

⁽c) Proceeds were used to reimburse construction costs for DS Cornerstone, LLC joint venture wind projects. Debt was subsequently deconsolidated upon execution of a joint venture. See Note 17 for

	further details.														
(d)	Proceeds were used to fund the existing Los Vientos wind power portfolio.														
(e)	Debt issuances were executed in connection with the acquisition of Ibener. Both loans were collateralized with cash deposits equal to 101 percent of the loan amounts. See Note 2 for further details.														
(f)	Proceeds were used to repay a portion of outstanding short-term debt.														
(g)	Proceeds were used to repay current maturities of \$500 million, a portion of outstanding commercial paper and notes payable to affiliated companies.														
(h)	Proceeds were used to repay current maturities of \$420 million, as well as for general corporate purposes, including the funding of capital expenditures.														
(i)	Proceeds will be used to repay current maturities of \$425 million, as well as for general corporate purposes.														

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Duke Energy and certain of its subsidiaries enter into guarantee arrangements in the normal course of business to facilitate commercial transactions with third parties. These arrangements include performance guarantees, stand-by letters of credit, debt guarantees, surety bonds and indemnifications.

Most of the guarantee arrangements entered into by Duke Energy enhance the credit standing of certain subsidiaries, non-consolidated entities or less than wholly owned entities, enabling them to conduct business. As such, these guarantee arrangements involve elements of performance and credit risk, which are not always included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The possibility of Duke Energy, either on its own or on behalf of Spectra Energy Capital, LLC (Spectra Capital) through indemnification agreements entered into as part of the January 2, 2007 spin-off of Spectra Energy Corp (Spectra Energy), having to honor its contingencies is largely dependent upon the future operations of the subsidiaries, investees and other third parties, or the occurrence of certain future events.

Duke Energy performs ongoing assessments of their respective guarantee obligations to determine whether any liabilities have been incurred as a result of potential increased non-performance risk by third parties for which Duke Energy has issued guarantees.

See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Guarantees and Indemnifications," for further details of the guarantee arrangements.

Issuance of these guarantee arrangements is not required for the majority of Duke Energy's operations. Thus, if Duke Energy discontinued issuing these guarantees, there would not be a material impact to the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Other than the guarantee arrangements discussed above and normal operating lease arrangements, Duke Energy does not have any material off-balance sheet financing entities or structures. For additional information on these commitments, see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies."

Contractual Obligations

Duke Energy enters into contracts that require payment of cash at certain specified periods, based on certain specified minimum quantities and prices. The following table summarizes Duke Energy's contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2013.

			Payı	men	ıts [Due By I	Peri	od			
(in millions)	Total	Le	ess than 1 year (2014)			3 years (2015 & 2016)			-5 years (2017 & 2018)		ore than 5 years (2019 & beyond)
Long-term debt ^(a)	\$ 38,740	\$	2,007		\$	5,409		\$	4,355	\$	26,969
Interest payments on long-term debt ^(b)	24,082		1,632			2,972			2,675		16,803
Capital leases ^(c)	2,302		171			336			342		1,453

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Operation	ra locaca(c)		1,769			175			306			254			1,034
	ig leases(c)		1,769			1/5			306			254			1,034
Purchas	e obligations: ^(d)														
	Fuel and purchased power ^(e)		26,893			5,163			6,787			4,099			10,844
	Other purchase obligations ^(f)		6,193			4,400			646			305			842
Nuclear	decommissioning		-,			,									-
	nual funding ^(g)		912			52			105			92			663
	ntractual cash		_												
obligatio		\$	100,891		\$	13,600		\$	16,561		\$	12,122		\$	58,608
			,		·	,		·				,		·	,
(a)	See Note 6 to the Cor	ารด	lidated Fin	anc	ial	Statemer	nts.	"De	bt and C	redi	t Fa	acilities."			
(b)	Interest payments on	var	iable rate (debt	t ins	struments	s we	ere (calculate	d us			er 3	31, 2	2013
(2)	interest rates and hold											0	:	"	A
(c)	See Note 5 to the Cor											•			
	in the table above inc												eres	SUI	ales
(-1)	stated in the lease ag														
(d)	Current liabilities, exc	•					_			•			_		5
()	reflected in the Consc														
(e)	Includes firm capacity	•	•	•				•••			•				
	electricity transmissio					•									•
	contracts and contrac					•				•		•			
	the price paid is base									•					
	For certain of these a														
	entered into payment		•	_				erpa	arties tha	t pe	rmi	t Duke Er	nerg	y to	offset
	receivables and paya														
(f)	Includes contracts for			•					•		-				
	includes contractual o	_	•	_		O . I									
	generation plants and		•												
	major maintenance of			_		•					•	•			
	certain wind facilities					-									
	certain open purchase				es	that are _l	orov	/ide	d on den	nand	d, fo	or which the	he t	imi	ng of
	the purchase cannot b														
(g)	Related to future annu	ıal '	funding ob	oliga	tior	is to nucl	ear	dec	commissi	onir	ng t	rust fund	(NC	TF)
	through nuclear powe	r st	ations' re-l	licer	nsin	g dates.	Am	oun	ts throug	jh 20)17	include N	Nort	h C	arolina
	jurisdictional amounts	tha	at Duke En	erg	уΡ	rogress r	etai	nec	l internall	ly ar	nd is	s transitio	nin	g to	its
	external decommission	nin	g funds pe	er a	200	8 NCUC	ord	ler.	The trans	sitio	n o	f the origi	nal	\$13	31
	million must be compl	ete	by Decem	nber	31	, 2017, a	nd a	at le	ast 10 pe	erce	nt r	nust be ti	ans	sitio	ned
	each year. See Note	9 to	the Conso	olida	atec	d Financia	al S	tate	ments, "	Ass	et F	<u>Retiremen</u>	t Ol	blig	ations."
(h)	Uncertain tax position														
, ,	predict when open inc	com	e tax year	s wi	ill cl	ose with	con	nple	eted exar	nina	tior	ıs. See Ñ	ote	22	to the
	Consolidated Financia	al S	tatements	, "In	cor	ne Taxes	3."								
(i)	The table above exclu							nm	ental rem	nedi	atio	n. asbest	os-	rela	ted
()	injuries and damages				_										
	Statements, "Commit							•							
	of when cash paymer				_										
	premiums that are ne						•								
	the Consolidated Fina		•						•				•		
	and other post-retiren									_		, .	_	•	
İ	Tana danar poot romon	.511	. Somethic pr	٠, ١٥	,50				2 00110011	Jack	-	aiioiai	Jiu		. J ,

"Employee Benefit Pla Statements, "Asset Re Consolidated Financia cash payments are ur Credits recorded on the determined based prire	etire al Si ncer ne C	ment Obligatements, tain. Also consolidate	gati "Re exc ed E	ions egu lude Bala	s") and re latory Ma ed are De ince Shee	gula atter eferr ets s	ator s") red sinc	y liabilition because Income ce cash p	es (: the Tax ayr	see am es a nen	Note 4 to nount and and Inves ts for inc	the time	e ning ent ⁻	of the Γax
•														

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Risk Management Policies

Duke Energy is exposed to market risks associated with commodity prices, interest rates, equity prices and foreign currency exchange rates. Duke Energy has established comprehensive risk management policies to monitor and manage these market risks. Duke Energy's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are responsible for the overall approval of market risk management policies and the delegation of approval and authorization levels. The Finance and Risk Management Committee of the Board of Directors receives periodic updates from the Chief Risk Officer and other members of management on market risk positions, corporate exposures, and overall risk management activities. The Chief Risk Officer is responsible for the overall governance of managing commodity price risk, including monitoring exposure limits.

The following disclosures about market risk contain forward-looking statements that involve estimates, projections, goals, forecasts, assumptions, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. Please review Item 1A, "Risk Factors," and "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information" for a discussion of the factors that may impact any such forward-looking statements made herein.

Commodity Price Risk

Duke Energy is exposed to the impact of market fluctuations in the prices of electricity, coal, natural gas and other energy-related products marketed and purchased as a result of its ownership of energy related assets. Duke Energy's exposure to these fluctuations is limited by the cost-based regulation of its operations in its Regulated Utilities segment as these operations are typically allowed to recover substantially all of these costs through various cost-recovery clauses, including fuel clauses. While there may be a delay in timing between when these costs are incurred and when these costs are recovered through rates, changes from year to year generally do not have a material impact on operating results of these regulated operations.

Price risk represents the potential risk of loss from adverse changes in the market price of electricity or other energy commodities. Duke Energy's exposure to commodity price risk is influenced by a number of factors, including contract size, length, market liquidity, location and unique or specific contract terms. Duke Energy employs established policies and procedures to manage risks associated with these market fluctuations, which may include using various commodity derivatives, such as swaps, futures, forwards and options. For additional information, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Derivatives and Hedging."

Validation of a contract's fair value is performed by an internal group separate from Duke Energy's deal origination function. While Duke Energy uses common industry practices to develop its valuation techniques, changes in its pricing methodologies or the underlying assumptions could result in significantly different fair values and income recognition.

HEDGING STRATEGIES

Duke Energy closely monitors risks associated with commodity price changes on its future operations and, where appropriate, uses various commodity instruments such as electricity, coal and natural gas forward contracts to mitigate the effect of such fluctuations on operations. These instruments are also used to optimize the value of the nonregulated generation portfolio. Duke Energy's primary use of energy commodity derivatives is to hedge the generation portfolio against exposure to the prices of power and fuel.

The majority of instruments used to manage Duke Energy's commodity price exposure are either not designated as hedges or do not qualify for hedge accounting. These instruments are referred to as undesignated contracts. Mark-to-market changes for undesignated contracts entered into by regulated businesses are reflected as regulatory assets or liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Undesignated contracts entered into by unregulated businesses are marked-to-market each period, with changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments reflected in earnings.

Duke Energy may also enter into other contracts that qualify for the NPNS exception. When a contract meets the criteria to qualify as an NPNS, Duke Energy applies such exception. Income recognition and realization related to NPNS contracts generally coincide with the physical delivery of the commodity. For contracts qualifying for the NPNS exception, no recognition of the contract's fair value in the Consolidated Financial Statements is required until settlement of the contract as long as the transaction remains probable of occurring.

GENERATION PORTFOLIO RISKS

Duke Energy is primarily exposed to market price fluctuations of wholesale power, natural gas, and coal prices in the Regulated Utilities and Commercial Power segments. The Duke Energy Registrants optimize the value of their wholesale and nonregulated generation portfolios. The portfolios include generation assets, fuel, and emission allowances. Modeled forecasts of future generation output and fuel requirements are based on forward power and fuel markets. The component pieces of the portfolio are bought and sold based on models and forecasts of generation in order to manage the economic value of the portfolio in accordance with the strategies of the business units. For the Regulated Utilities segment, the generation portfolio not utilized to serve retail operations or committed load is subject to commodity price fluctuations. However, the impact on the Consolidated Statements of Operations is partially offset by mechanisms in these regulated jurisdictions that result in the sharing of net profits from these activities with retail customers. The Commercial Power nonregulated generation portfolio dispatches all of its electricity into unregulated markets on a day-ahead and real-time basis and receives wholesale energy margins and capacity revenues from PJM. Commercial Power has economically hedged its forecasted coal-fired generation and a significant portion of its forecasted gas-fired generation for 2014. Commercial Power also has long-term economic hedges in place for a portion of expected coal and gas generation through 2017 and 2018, respectively. Capacity revenues are 100 percent fixed in PJM through May 2017. International Energy generally hedges its expected generation using long-term bilateral power sales contracts when favorable market conditions exist and it is subject to wholesale commodity price risks for electricity not sold under such contracts. International Energy dispatches electricity not sold under long-term bilateral contracts into unregulated markets and receives wholesale energy margins and capacity revenues from national system operators. Derivative contracts executed to manage generation portfolio risks for delivery periods beyond 2014 are also exposed to changes in fair value due to market price fluctuations of wholesale power, fuel oil and coal. See "Sensitivity Analysis for Generation Portfolio and Derivative Price Risks" below, for more information regarding the effect of changes in commodity prices on Duke Energy's net income.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS FOR GENERATION PORTFOLIO AND DERIVATIVE PRICE RISKS

The table below summarizes the estimated effect of commodity price changes on Duke Energy's pretax net income, based on a sensitivity analysis performed for the nonregulated generation portfolio. Forecasted exposure to commodity price risk for the Regulated Utilities segment is not anticipated to have a material adverse effect on Duke Energy's results of operations in 2014. The following commodity price sensitivity calculations consider existing hedge positions and estimated production levels, as indicated in the table below, but do not consider other potential effects that might result from such changes in commodity prices.

Summary of Sensitivity Analysi	s for Genera	tion Po	rtfolio	o and	Deriva	ative I	Price	Risks	(in m	nillion	าร)
		Generation Portfolio Risks for 2014 As of December 31,(a)				Ş	Sensitivities for Derivativ Beyond 2014 As of December 31, ^(b)				
Potential effect on pretax net in assuming a 10% price change i		2013			2012			2013			2012
Forward wholesale power prices (per MWh)		11		\$	34		\$	158		\$	103
Forward coal prices (per ton)		4			11						
Gas prices (per MMBtu)		6			21						
price changes on hedged. Amounts potential impact of generation. Amou	Amounts related to forward wholesale prices represent the potential impact of commodity price changes on forecasted economic generation which has not been contracted or hedged. Amounts related to forward coal prices and forward gas prices represent the potential impact of commodity price changes on fuel needed to achieve such economic generation. Amounts exclude the impact of mark-to-market changes on undesignated contracts relating to periods in excess of one year from the respective date.										
(b) Amounto ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	Amounts represent sensitivities related to derivative contracts executed to manage generation portfolio risks for periods beyond 2013. Amounts exclude the potential impact of commodity price changes on forecasted economic generation and fuel needed to achieve such forecasted generation.										
generation portfoli commodity price o	o risks for per changes on fo	riods be	yond	2013	. Amou	nts ex	clud	e the po	otentia	al imp	

Interest Rate Risk

Duke Energy is exposed to risk resulting from changes in interest rates as a result of its issuance of variable and fixed-rate debt and commercial paper. Duke Energy manages interest rate exposure by limiting variable-rate exposures to a percentage of total debt and by monitoring the effects of market changes in interest rates. Duke Energy also enters into financial derivative instruments, which may include instruments such as, but not limited to, interest rate swaps, swaptions and U.S. Treasury lock agreements to manage and mitigate interest rate risk exposure. See Notes 1, 6, 14, and 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," "Debt and Credit Facilities," "Derivatives and Hedging," and "Fair Value Measurements."

The paragraph below summarizes the potential effect of interest rate changes on the Duke Energy Registrants' pretax net income, based on a sensitivity analysis performed as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

At December 31, 2013, Duke Energy had no notional amounts of fixed-to-floating hedges outstanding and no pre-issuance hedges outstanding. The weighted average interest rate on \$5,677 million of long-term and

short-term variable interest rate exposure that has not been hedged at December 31, 2013 was 1.45 percent.

These amounts were estimated by considering the impact of the hypothetical interest rates on variable-rate securities outstanding, adjusted for interest rate hedges, short-term and long-term investments, cash and cash equivalents outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. The change in interest rate sensitivity for Duke Energy is primarily due to changes in short-term debt balances and cash balances. If interest rates changed significantly, Duke Energy would likely take actions to manage its exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, the sensitivity analysis assumes no changes in Duke Energy's financial structure.

Marketable Securities Price Risk

As described further in Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," Duke Energy invests in debt and equity securities as part of various investment portfolios to fund certain obligations. The vast majority of investments in equity securities are within the NDTF and assets of the various pension and other post-retirement benefit plans.

PENSION PLAN ASSETS

Duke Energy maintains investments to help fund the costs of providing non-contributory defined benefit retirement and other post-retirement benefit plans. These investments are exposed to price fluctuations in equity markets and changes in interest rates. The equity securities held in these pension plans are diversified to achieve broad market participation and reduce the impact of any single investment, sector or geographic region. Duke Energy has established asset allocation targets for its pension plan holdings, which take into consideration the investment objectives and the risk profile with respect to the trust in which the assets are held.

A significant decline in the value of plan asset holdings could require Duke Energy to increase funding of its pension plans in future periods, which could adversely affect cash flows in those periods. Additionally, a decline in the fair value of plan assets, absent additional cash contributions to the plan, could increase the amount of pension cost required to be recorded in future periods, which could adversely affect Duke Energy's results of operations in those periods.

NDTF

As required by the NRC, NCUC, PSCSC and FPSC, subsidiaries of Duke Energy maintain trust funds to fund the costs of nuclear decommissioning. As of December 31, 2013, these funds were invested primarily in domestic and international equity securities, debt securities, fixed-income securities, cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments. Per the NRC, Internal Revenue Code, NCUC, PSCSC and FPSC requirements, these funds may be used only for activities related to nuclear decommissioning. The investments in equity securities are exposed to price fluctuations in equity markets. Duke Energy actively monitors its portfolios by benchmarking the performance of its investments against certain indices and by maintaining, and periodically reviewing, target allocation percentages for various asset classes. Accounting for nuclear decommissioning recognizes that costs are recovered through retail rates; therefore, fluctuations in equity prices do not affect their Consolidated Statements of Operations as changes in the fair value of these investments are deferred as regulatory assets or

regulatory liabilities pursuant to an Order by the NCUC, PSCSC and FPSC. Earnings or losses of the fund will ultimately impact the amount of costs recovered through retail rates. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Asset Retirement Obligations" for additional information regarding nuclear decommissioning costs. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Investments in Debt and Equity Securities" for additional information regarding NDTF assets.

Foreign Currency Risk

Duke Energy is exposed to foreign currency risk from investments in international businesses owned and operated in foreign countries and from certain commodity-related transactions within domestic operations that are denominated in foreign currencies. To mitigate risks associated with foreign currency fluctuations, contracts may be denominated in or indexed to the U.S. Dollar and/or local inflation rates, or investments may be naturally hedged through debt denominated or issued in the foreign currency. Duke Energy may also use foreign currency derivatives, where possible, to manage its risk related to foreign currency fluctuations. To monitor its currency exchange rate risks, Duke Energy uses sensitivity analysis, which measures the impact of devaluation of the foreign currencies to which it has exposure.

Duke Energy's primary foreign currency rate exposure is to the Brazilian Real. The table below summarizes the potential effect of foreign currency devaluations on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statement of Operations and Consolidated Balance Sheets, based on a sensitivity analysis performed as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Summary	of Sensitivity Analysis for Foreign Currer	ncy Risk	(S							
	A	Assuming 10 percent devaluation in the currency exchange rates in all exposure currencies								
		As of December 31,								
(in million	s)	2013				2012				
Income Statement impact ^(a)		\$	(20)		\$	(20)				
Balance Sheet impact ^(b)			(140)			(150)				
(a)		Amounts represent the potential annual net pretax loss on the translation of local currency earnings to the U.S. Dollar in 2013 and 2012, respectively.								
(b)	Amounts represent the potential impact to the currency translation through Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) on the Consolidated Balance Sheets									

OTHER ISSUES

Fixed Charges Coverage Ratios

The Duke Energy Registrants' fixed charges coverage ratios, as calculated using SEC guidelines, are included in the table below.

Years Ended December 31,							

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	2013		2012	2011
Duke Energy	3.0		2.5	3.2
Duke Energy Carolinas	4.2		3.7	3.7
Progress Energy	2.1		1.6	2.1
Duke Energy Progress	3.6		2.2	4.2
Duke Energy Florida	2.7		2.3	2.8
Duke Energy Ohio	2.8		3.4	3.4
Duke Energy Indiana	4.1		0.1	2.2
(a) Includes the	results of Progress Energy beg	inning on Ju	ly 2, 2012.	

Dan River Ash Basin Release

On February 2, 2014, a break in a stormwater pipe beneath an ash basin at Duke Energy Carolinas' retired Dan River steam station caused a release of ash basin water and ash into the Dan River. On February 8, 2014, a permanent plug was installed in the stormwater pipe stopping the release of materials into the river. Duke Energy Carolinas estimates 30,000 to 39,000 tons of ash and 24 million to 27 million gallons of basin water were released into the river.

Duke Energy cannot reasonably estimate the cost associated with remediation of this release at this time. Other costs related to the Dan River release and other ash basins, including regulatory directives, natural resources damages, future lawsuits, future claims, long-term environmental impact costs, long-term operational changes, and costs associated with new laws and regulations cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

Global Climate Change

The Duke Energy Registrants' greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions consist primarily of CQ₂ with most coming from their fleet of coal-fired power plants in the U.S. In 2013, the Duke Energy Registrants' U.S. power plants emitted approximately 134 million tons of CO₂. CO₂ emissions from Duke Energy's international operations were approximately 3 million tons. The Duke Energy Registrants' future CO₂ emissions will be influenced by variables including new regulations, economic conditions that affect electricity demand, and the Duke Energy Registrants' decisions regarding generation technologies deployed to meet customer electricity needs.

The Duke Energy Registrants do not anticipate any of the states in which they currently operate fossil-fueled electric generating units to implement requirements to reduce CO_2 emissions absent a federal requirement to mandate reductions in GHG emissions. On June 25, 2013, the President of the United States issued a memorandum directing the EPA to propose CO_2 emissions requirements for existing fossil-fuel

electric generating units by June 1, 2014, and to finalize the guidelines for states to develop their own regulations for implementing the guidelines by June 1, 2015. The memorandum directed the EPA to require state to submit their implementation regulations for approval by June 30, 2016.

The Duke Energy Registrants are taking actions that will result in reduced GHG emissions over time. These actions will lower the Duke Energy Registrants' exposure to any future mandatory GHG emission reduction requirements or carbon tax, whether a result of federal legislation or EPA regulation. Under any future scenario involving mandatory GHG limitations, the Duke Energy Registrants would plan to seek recovery of compliance costs associated with their regulated operations through appropriate regulatory mechanisms.

The Duke Energy Registrants recognize certain groups associate severe weather events with climate change, and forecast the possibility these weather events could have a material impact on future results of operations should they occur more frequently and with greater severity. However, the uncertain nature of potential changes of extreme weather events (such as increased frequency, duration, and severity), the long period of time over which any potential changes might take place, and the inability to predict these with any degree of accuracy, make estimating any potential future financial risk to the Duke Energy Registrants' impossible. Currently, the Duke Energy Registrants plan and prepare for extreme weather events they experience from time to time, such as ice storms, tornados, hurricanes, severe thunderstorms, high winds and droughts.

The Duke Energy Registrants routinely take steps to reduce the potential impact of severe weather events on their electric distribution systems. The Duke Energy Registrants' electric generating facilities are designed to withstand extreme weather events without significant damage. The Duke Energy Registrants maintain an inventory of coal and oil on site to mitigate the effects of any potential short-term disruption in fuel supply so they can continue to provide customers with an uninterrupted supply of electricity. The Duke Energy Registrants have a program in place to effectively manage the impact of future droughts on their operations.

Other EPA Regulations Recently Published and Under Development

The EPA has issued and is in various stages of developing several non-greenhouse gas (non-GHG) environmental regulations that will affect the Duke Energy Registrants. These include the final Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) for hazardous air pollutants, which is effective beginning in 2015, as well as proposed regulations for cooling water intake structures under the Clean Water Act 316(b), coal combustion residuals, and steam effluent limitation guidelines. As a group, these non-GHG environmental regulations will require the Duke Energy Registrants to install additional environmental controls and accelerate retirement of some coal-fired units. While the ultimate regulatory requirements for the Duke Energy Registrants from the group of EPA regulatory actions will not be known until all the rules have been finalized, for planning purposes, the Duke Energy Registrants currently estimate the cost of new control equipment that may need to be installed to comply with this group of rules could total \$4.5 billion to \$5.5 billion, excluding AFUDC, over the next 10 years. This range includes estimated costs for new control equipment necessary to comply with the MATS of \$525 million to \$625 million. The Duke Energy Registrants also expect to incur increased fuel, purchased power, operation and maintenance, and other expenses in conjunction with the non-GHG regulations. The Duke Energy Registrants are planning to retire coal-fired generating capacity that is not economic to bring into compliance with the EPA's regulations. Beyond 2013, total planned and potential retirements could exceed 2,400 MW of coal-fired generating capacity. The Duke Energy Registrants also expect to incur costs for replacement generation as a result of the potential coal-fired power plant retirements. Until the final regulatory requirements of the group of EPA

regulations are known and can be fully evaluated, the potential compliance costs associated with these EPA regulatory actions are subject to considerable uncertainty. Therefore, the actual compliance costs incurred and MW to be retired may be materially different from these estimates based on the timing and requirements of the final EPA regulations.

For additional information, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Regulatory Matters" and Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Commitments and Contingencies."

Nuclear Matters

Following the events at the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power station in Japan, Duke Energy conducted thorough inspections at each of its seven nuclear sites during 2011. The initial inspections did not identify any significant vulnerabilities, however, Duke Energy is reviewing designs to evaluate safety margins to external events. Emergency-response capabilities, written procedures and engineering specifications were reviewed to verify each site's ability to respond in the unlikely event of station blackout. Duke Energy is working within the nuclear industry to improve safety standards and margin using the three layers of safety approach used in the U.S.: protection, mitigation and emergency response. Emergency equipment is currently being added at each station to perform key safety functions in the event that backup power sources are lost permanently. These improvements are in addition to the numerous layers of safety measures and systems previously in place.

In March 2011, the NRC formed a task force to conduct a comprehensive review of processes and regulations to determine whether the agency should make additional improvements to the nuclear regulatory system. On July 13, 2011, the task force proposed a set of improvements designed to ensure protection, enhance accident mitigation, strengthen emergency preparedness and improve efficiency of NRC programs. The recommendations were further prioritized into three tiers based on the safety enhancement level. On March 12, 2012, the NRC issued three regulatory orders requiring safety enhancements related to mitigation strategies to respond to extreme natural events resulting in the loss of power at a plant, ensuring reliable hardened containment vents and enhancing spent fuel pool instrumentation.

On August 30, 2012, the NRC issued implementation guidance to enable power plants to achieve compliance with the orders issued in March 2012. Plants were required to submit implementation plans to the NRC by February 28, 2013, and complete implementation of the safety enhancements within two refueling outages or by December 31, 2016, whichever comes first. Each plant is also required to reassess their seismic and flooding hazards using present-day methods and information, conduct inspections to ensure protection against hazards in the current design basis, and re-evaluate emergency communications systems and staffing levels.

Duke Energy is committed to compliance with all safety enhancements ordered by the NRC in connection with the March 12, 2012, regulatory orders noted above, the cost of which could be material. Until such time as the NRC-mandated reassessment of flooding and seismic hazards is complete the exact scope and cost of compliance modifications to Duke Energy's sites will not be known. With the NRC's continuing review of the remaining recommendations, Duke Energy cannot predict to what extent the NRC will impose additional licensing and safety-related requirements, or the costs of complying with such requirements. Upon receipt of additional guidance from the NRC and a collaborative

industry review, Duke Energy will be able to determine an implementation plan and associated costs. See Item 1A, "Risk Factors," for further discussion of applicable risk factors.

New Accounting Standards

See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" for a discussion of the impact of new accounting standards.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

72

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy)	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	74
Consolidated Statements of Operations	75
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	76
Consolidated Balance Sheets	77
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	78
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity	79
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy Carolinas)	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	80
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	81
Consolidated Balance Sheets	82
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	83
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member's Equity	84
Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy)	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	85
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	86
Consolidated Balance Sheets	87
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	88
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity	90
Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (Duke Energy Progress)	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	91
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	92
Consolidated Balance Sheets	93
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	94
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity	95
Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (Duke Energy Florida)	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	96
Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	97
Balance Sheets	
Statements of Cash Flows	99
Statements of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity	100
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio)	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	101
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	102
Consolidated Balance Sheets	103
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	104
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity	105
Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Duke Energy Indiana)	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	106

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	107
Consolidated Balance Sheets	108
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	109
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity	110
Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	
Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	111
Note 2 – Acquisitions, Dispositions and Sales of Other Assets	118
Note 3 – Business Segments	121
Note 4 – Regulatory Matters	125
Note 5 – Commitments and Contingencies	134
Note 6 – Debt and Credit Facilities	143
Note 7 – Guarantees and Indemnifications	148
Note 8 – Joint Ownership of Generating and Transmission Facilities	148
Note 9 – Asset Retirement Obligations	149
Note 10 - Property, Plant and Equipment	151
Note 11 - Goodwill and Intangible Assets	152
Note 12 – Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates	155
Note 13 – Related Party Transactions	155
Note 14 – Derivatives and Hedging	156
Note 15 – Investments in Debt and Equity Securities	168
Note 16 – Fair Value Measurements	174
Note 17 – Variable Interest Entities	182
Note 18 – Common Stock	186
Note 19 – Severance	186
Note 20 – Stock-Based Compensation	187
Note 21 – Employee Benefit Plans	189
Note 22 – Income Taxes	204
Note 23 – Other Income and Expenses, Net	210
Note 24 – Subsequent Events	211
Note 25 – Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)	211
73	

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Duke Energy Corporation

Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Duke Energy Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 28, 2014

75

DUKE ENERG	GY CO	DRPORAT	ΓΙΟΝ							
CONSOLIDATED STA				ONS						
		Υ	Years Ended December 3							
(in millions, except per-share amounts)		2013		2012		2011				
Operating Revenues										
Regulated electric	\$	20,439		\$ 15,621	\$	10,589				
Nonregulated electric, natural gas, and other		3,648		3,534		3,383				
Regulated natural gas		511		469		557				
Total operating revenues		24,598		19,624		14,529				
Operating Expenses										
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased										
power - regulated		7,108		5,582		3,309				
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased				1						
power - nonregulated		1,822		1,722		1,488				
Cost of natural gas and coal sold		254		264		348				
Operation, maintenance and other		5,910		5,006		3,770				
Depreciation and amortization		2,808		2,289		1,806				
Property and other taxes		1,299		985		704				
Impairment charges		399		666		335				
Total operating expenses		19,600		16,514		11,760				
(Losses) Gains on Sales of Other Assets and		(10)								
Other, net		(16)		16		8				
Operating Income		4,982		3,126		2,777				
Other Income and Expenses										
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		122		148		160				
Gains on sales of unconsolidated affiliates		100		22		11				
Other income and expenses, net		262		397		376				
Total other income and expenses		484		567		547				
Interest Expense		1,546		1,242		859				
Income From Continuing Operations Before										
Income Taxes		3,920		2,451		2,465				
Income Tax Expense from Continuing		4 004		705		750				
Operations		1,261		705		752				
Income From Continuing Operations		2,659		1,746		1,713				
Income From Discontinued Operations, net of		17		36		4				
tax Net Income		2,676		1,782		1,714				
Less: Net Income Attributable to		2,070		1,702		1,714				
Noncontrolling Interests		11		14		8				
Net Income Attributable to Duke Energy		- ''		1 14						
Corporation	\$	2,665		\$ 1,768	\$	1,706				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_,		1,		.,				
				1						

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Earnings Per Share - Basic and Diluted					
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders					
Basic	\$ 3.74	\$	3.01	\$	3.83
Diluted	\$ 3.74	\$	3.01	\$	3.83
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders					
Basic	\$ 0.03	\$	0.06	\$	
Diluted	\$ 0.02	\$	0.06	\$	
Net Income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders					
Basic	\$ 3.77	\$	3.07	\$	3.83
Diluted	\$ 3.76	\$	3.07	\$	3.83
Weighted-average shares outstanding					
Basic	706		574		444
Diluted	706		575		444

	DUKE ENE	RGY (ORPOR	ATION	J								
	CONSOLIDATED STATEMI					E INCOM	IE						
			,	Years	Ended	d Decemi	ber 31						
(in millions)			2013			2012		2011					
Net Income		\$	2,676		\$	1,782		\$	1,714				
Other Compreh	ensive Loss, net of tax												
Foreign currency	translation adjustments		(197)			(75)			(149)				
Pension and OP	EB adjustments ^(a)		38			19			(49)				
Net unrealized g hedges ^(b)	ain (loss) on cash flow		59			(28)			(57)				
Reclassification hedges	into earnings from cash flow		1			(1)			4				
Unrealized (loss) available-for-sale) gain on investments in escurities		(4)			14			12				
Reclassification available-for-sale	into earnings from e securities		4			(5)			(4)				
Other Compreh	ensive Loss, net of tax		(99)			(76)			(243)				
Comprehensive			2,577			1,706			1,471				
Less: Compreh to Noncontrolli	ensive Income Attributable ng Interests		5			10			1				
Comprehensive Energy Corpora	e Income Attributable to Duke ation	\$	2,572		\$	1,696		\$	1,470				
		·	•			·			·				
(a)	Net of \$17 million tax expense in 2013, \$9 million tax expense in 2012 and \$23 million tax benefit in 2011. See Note 21 for additional information.												
(b)	Net of \$20 million tax expense in 2013, \$6 million tax expense in 2012 and \$31 million tax benefit in 2011.												
						_							
	0 11 1 0		·										

	DUKE ENERGY CORP	ORATIC)NI						
	CONSOLIDATED BALAN								
		•	Dec	cember 31,	ember 31,				
(in millions)			2013			2012			
ASSETS									
Current Assets									
Cash and cash equiv	/alents	\$	1,501		\$	1,424			
Short-term investme			44			333			
Receivables (net of a	allowance for doubtful accounts of \$30								
\	3 and \$34 at December 31, 2012)		1,286			1,516			
Restricted receivable	es of variable interest entities (net of								
allowance for doubtfo	ul accounts of \$43 at December 31,								
2013 and \$44 at Ded	cember 31, 2012)		1,719			1,201			
Inventory			3,250			3,223			
Regulatory assets			895			737			
Other			1,821			1,688			
То	tal current assets		10,516			10,122			
Investments and O	ther Assets								
Investments in equity	/ method unconsolidated affiliates		390			483			
Nuclear decommissi	oning trust funds		5,132			4,242			
Goodwill			16,340			16,365			
Other			3,539			2,904			
Тс	otal investments and other assets		25,401			23,994			
Property, Plant and	Equipment								
Cost			103,115			100,391			
Accumulated deprec	iation and amortization		(33,625)			(31,969)			
Generation facilities	to be retired, net					136			
Ne	et property, plant and equipment		69,490			68,558			
•	and Deferred Debits		•						
Regulatory assets			9,191			11,004			
Other			181			178			
	otal regulatory assets and deferred								
de	ebits		9,372			11,182			
Total Assets		\$	114,779		\$	113,856			
LIABILITIES AND E	QUITY								
Current Liabilities									
Accounts payable		\$	2,391		\$	2,444			
Notes payable and c	ommercial paper		839			1,057			
Taxes accrued			551			459			
Interest accrued			440			448			
Current maturities of	long-term debt		2,104			3,110			
Regulatory liabilities	<u>.</u>		316		\top	156			

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Other	2,003	2,355
Total current liabilities	8,644	10,029
Long-term Debt	38,152	36,351
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities		
Deferred income taxes	12,097	10,490
Investment tax credits	442	458
Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs	1,322	2,520
Asset retirement obligations	4,950	5,169
Regulatory liabilities	5,949	5,584
Other	1,815	2,221
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	26,575	26,442
Commitments and Contingencies		
Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries		93
Equity		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 2 billion shares		
authorized; 706 million	1	1
and 704 million shares outstanding at December 31, 2013 and		
2012, respectively		
Additional paid-in capital	39,365	39,279
Retained earnings	2,363	1,889
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(399)	(306)
Total Duke Energy Corporation	(333)	(000)
shareholders' equity	41,330	40,863
Noncontrolling interests	78	78
Total equity	41,408	40,941
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 114,779	\$ 113,856

DUKE ENERGY C	ORP	ORATION				
CONSOLIDATED STATEME			FLOW	S		
		Years	s Ende	d Decemb	per 31,	
(in millions)		2013		2012		2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income	\$	2,676	\$	1,782	\$	1,714
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash						
provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation, amortization and accretion						
(including amortization of nuclear fuel)		3,229		2,652		2,026
Equity component of AFUDC		(157)		(300)		(260)
Severance expense				92		
FERC mitigation costs				117		
Community support and charitable						
contributions expense		34		92		
Gains on sales of other assets		(79)		(44)		(19)
Impairment of other long-lived assets		400		586		335
Deferred income taxes		1,264		584		602
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		(122)		(148)		(160)
Voluntary opportunity cost deferral				(101)		
Accrued pension and other post-retirement						404
benefit costs		307		239		104
Contributions to qualified pension plans		(250)		(304)		(200)
(Increase) decrease in						
Net realized and unrealized						
mark-to-market and hedging transactions		1		60		(48)
Receivables		(281)		39		(40) 2
Inventory		(31)		(258)		(247)
Other current assets		(35)		140		185
Increase (decrease) in		(00)		140		100
Accounts payable		73		131		41
Taxes accrued		77		(142)		27
Other current liabilities		24		295		(254)
Other assets		(384)		(129)		12
Other liabilities		(364)		(139)		(188)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u> </u>	6,382		5,244		3,672
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES	<u> </u>	5,50 <u>2</u>		5,277		5,072
Capital expenditures	<u> </u>	(5,526)		(5,501)		(4,363)
Investment expenditures		(81)		(6)		(50)
Acquisitions		(-1)		(451)		(51)
Cash acquired from the merger with Progress Energy				71		(0.)

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(6,142)	(4,719)	(3,194)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of			
available-for-sale securities	6,315	4,537	3,063
Net proceeds from the sales of equity investments and			
other assets, and sales of and collections on notes			
receivable	277	212	118
Change in restricted cash	167	(414)	22
Other	12	74	21
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,978)	(6,197)	(4,434)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from the:			
Issuance of long-term debt	3,601	4,170	2,570
Issuance of common stock related to			
employee benefit plans	9	23	67
Payments for the:			
Redemption of long-term debt	(2,761)	(2,498)	(278)
Redemption of preferred stock of a subsidiary	(96)		
Notes payable and commercial paper	93	278	208
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(15)	(25)	(26)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	9	76	
Dividends paid	(2,188)	(1,752)	(1,329)
Other	21	(5)	(10)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing			
activities	(1,327)	267	1,202
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	77	(686)	440
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,424	2,110	1,670
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,501	\$ 1,424	\$ 2,110
Supplemental Disclosures:			
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 1,665	\$ 1,032	\$ 813
Cash (received from) paid for income taxes	(202)	72	26
Merger with Progress Energy			
Fair value of assets acquired		48,944	
Fair value of liabilities assumed		30,873	
Issuance of common stock		18,071	
Significant non-cash transactions:			
Accrued capital expenditures	594	684	409

			_						_	DL	JKI	E	ENER	G١	Y	CORP	OF	RATION	V							_
<u> </u>			П	П		 	CON	<u>1S</u>	<u>96</u>	LIDATE	<u>D</u> :	<u>S</u>	TATEM	<u>IE</u>	N	TS OF	C	HANG	ES	IN EQL	JIT	Υ		 	П	_
									+				Duke Energy Corporation Shareholders Accumulated Other Comprehensive													+
	Comi ons) ^{Si}		m	me	on ck		ditional Paid-in Capital			letained arnin gs i	ļ¢ι	ur		A	_C	Cash ai lfable	nre (L:	ealized Gains osses) on or-Sale			ρ¢	Common kh olde cso Equity	ոէւ			1
Bala at Dece 31, 2010	nce mbe				1	8	21,023		\$	1,496	3			9				\$ (17)	9			\$ 22,522		3 131		\$ 2
Net incor	ne									1,706						·						1,706		8		
Othe comp (loss incor	rehei	nsive											(142)			(53)	Ī	8		(49)		(236)		(7)		
inclu divid	nces,															ζ/						V /				
and	loyee						109															109				
Com stock divide										(1,329)												(1,329)				
Char in nonc	nges control	lling																						(39)		

interd in	rest sidiaries ^(a)																				
Bala at	ance ember		Э	1	\$	21,132	\$	1,873		\$ (45)	9	6 (71)	95	B (9)	\$	(109)	\$ 22,772	\$	93	4	6 4
Net incor			Ψ		14	21,102	- 4	1,768	Ť	(40)	+	, , , ,		(0)	*	(100)	1,768	 	12		
Othe	er prehensiv s)	е						• ; •		(71)		(29)		9		19	(72)		(4)		
stock issue in conn with the	ed nection gress rgy					18,071											18,071				
stock issua inclu divid reinv and empl bene	nmon k ances, uding dend vestment oloyee efits				<u> </u>	76											76				
stock	nmon k dends							(1,752)									(1,752)				(
from	ontrolling																		76		

in		l		I	İ	I	I	I	 		I	υ, 	1	1	- 			I		I		l	I	ı
"																								
DS				Ì																				
Corn	erstone,																							
LLC(nsolidati	 	H	\dashv	+		+	+		H	+		+		\vdash	+	${f H}$		H	+	${\mathbb H}$		+	H
of	NSOliuali	اا																						
DS				Ì																				
Corn	erstone,			Ì																				
LLC(c)	\bot	H	4	\downarrow		4	_		Н	-		4		4	<u> </u>	\coprod		ert		\parallel	(82)	\downarrow	
Chan	iges			Ì																				
in nonce	ontrolling	,																						
inter		1		Ì																				
in																								
				Ì																				
subo	dieriee(a	\		Ì																		(47)		
Balar	diaries ^{(a}	+	H	-	+		+	+		${\mathbb H}$	+		+		H		H		H	1	H	(17)	+	H
at	lice			Ì																				
	mber			Ì																				
31,																								
2012	704	1	\$	1	¢	39,27	Ω	\$	1,889		Φ.	(116)	¢	(100)	9		9	(90)	,	40,863	\$	78	¢	2
Net	70-	+	Ψ	1	Ψ	33,27	9	Ψ	1,003	H	Ψ	(110)	4	(100)	,	P	۱	(30)	H	40,000	Ψ	70	Ψ	+
incom	ne			Ì																				
		\bot	H	_	+		4	-	2,665	Ц	+		\downarrow		\sqcup	<u> </u>	\coprod		ert	2,665	\parallel	11	\bot	Н
Other				Ì																				
comp (loss)	rehensiv	/9																						
incor	٨	- 1										1	- 1				l i		•	ı				1 1
	ne											(191)		60		<u> </u>		38		(93)		(6)		
Com	ne mon	+			+							(191)		60				38		(93)		(6)	<u> </u>	
Com	mon	<u> </u>										(191)		60				38		(93)		(6)	1	
Comr stock issua	mon mon inces,											(191)		60				38		(93)		(6)		
Comr stock issua includ	mon mon nces, ding											(191)		60				38		(93)		(6)		
Comr stock issua	mon mon nces, ding											(191)		60				38		(93)		(6)		
Comr stock issua includ divide	mon nces, ding											(191)		60				38		(93)		(6)		
Comr stock issua include divide	mon mon nces, ding											(191)		60				38		(93)		(6)		
Comr stock issua includ divide reinve	mon inces, ding end											(191)		60				38		(93)		(6)		
Comrestock issuational divides divides and emple	mon inces, ding end estment oyee fits											(191)		60				38				(6)		
Comrestock issuational included divided and empty tenes and empty tenes and empty tenes and empty tenes and empty tenes and empty tenes and tenes	mon inces, ding end estment oyee fits	2				8	6					(191)		60				38		(93)		(6)		
Communication Co	mon inces, ding end estment oyee fits mon	2				8	6					(191)		60				38				(6)		
Comrestock issua included divided and employed benerations of the contract of	mon inces, ding end estment oyee fits mon	2				8	6					(191)		60				38				(6)		
Communication Co	mon inces, ding end estment oyee fits mon	2				8	6		(2,188)			(191)		60				38		86		(6)		
Comrestock issua included divided and employed benerations of the comment of the complex of the	mon inces, ding end estment oyee fits mon inces, ding end	2				8	6		(2,188)			(191)		60				38				(6)		
Comrestock issua included divided and employed benear took divided Premon	mon inces, ding end estment oyee fits mon inces, ding end	2				8	6					(191)		60				38		86		(6)		(
Comrestock issua included divided and employed benear Stock divided Prem	mon inces, ding end estment oyee fits mon inces, ding end	2				8	6					(191)		60				38		86		(6)		(

redemption of preferred stock of subsidiaries														
Contribution from noncontrolling interest													9	
Changes in noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries ^(a)													(14)	
Balance at December 31, 2013 706	\$	1	\$ 39,365	\$ 2,363	(307)	\$ (40)	47	\$	(52)	4	41,330	47	78	\$

(a) Includes \$15 million, \$23 million and \$26 million in cash distributions to noncontrolling interests in 2013, 2012 ar 2011, respectively.

(c) Refer to Note 2 for further information on the deconsolidation of DS Cornerstone, LLC.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

80

⁽b) For the year ended December 31, 2012, consolidated net income of \$1,782 million includes \$2 million attributab preferred shareholders of subsidiaries. Income attributable to preferred shareholders of subsidiaries is not a component of total equity and is excluded from the table above.

PART II

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in member's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 28, 2014

DUKE ENERGY	/ CAF	ROLINAS.	LLC				
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPE				HENSIV	E INC	OME	
		Υ	ears Ended	l Decem	ber 31	,	
(in millions)		2013		2012			2011
Operating Revenues	\$	6,954	\$	6,665		\$	6,493
Operating Expenses							
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased							
power		1,982		1,864			1,944
Operation, maintenance and other		1,868		1,979			1,904
Depreciation and amortization		921		921			814
Property and other taxes		374		365			340
Impairment charges				31			12
Total operating expenses		5,145		5,160			5,014
Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net				12			1
Operating Income		1,809		1,517			1,480
Other Income and Expenses, net		120		185			186
Interest Expense		359		384			360
Income Before Income Taxes		1,570		1,318			1,306
Income Tax Expense		594		453			472
Net Income		976		865			834
Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax							
Reclassification into earnings from cash flow hedges		1		2			3
Unrealized gain on investments in							<u> </u>
available-for-sale securities				1			
Comprehensive Income	\$	977	\$	868		\$	837

DUKE ENERGY CARO	LINAS	IIC			
CONSOLIDATED BALAI					
		Dec	ember 3	31,	
(in millions)		2013			2012
ASSETS					
Current Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	23		\$	19
Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$3	·			•	
at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012)		186			188
Restricted receivables of variable interest entities (net of					
allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6 at December 31,					
2013 and December 31, 2012)		673			637
Receivables from affiliated companies		75			3
Notes receivable from affiliated companies		222			382
Inventory		1,065			1,062
Regulatory assets		295			221
Other		309			218
Total current assets		2,848			2,730
Investments and Other Assets					
Nuclear decommissioning trust funds		2,840			2,354
Other		1,000			934
Total investments and other assets		3,840			3,288
Property, Plant and Equipment		Í			
Cost		34,906			34,190
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(11,894)			(11,437)
Generation facilities to be retired, net					73
Net property, plant and equipment		23,012			22,826
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits		,			,
Regulatory assets		1,527			1,727
Other		46			71
Total regulatory assets and deferred		-			
debits		1,573			1,798
Total Assets	\$	31,273		\$	30,642
LIABILITIES AND MEMBER'S EQUITY		Í			·
Current Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	701		\$	599
Accounts payable to affiliated companies		161			128
Taxes accrued		147			114
Interest accrued		97			96
Current maturities of long-term debt		47			406
Regulatory liabilities		65			78
Other		393			412

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Total current liabilities		1,611		1,833
Long-term Debt		8,089		8,035
Long-term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies		300		300
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities				
Deferred income taxes		5,706		5,181
Investment tax credits		210		215
Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs		161		221
Asset retirement obligations		1,594		1,959
Regulatory liabilities		2,576		2,102
Other		676		924
Total deferred credits and other liabilities		10,923		10,602
Commitments and Contingencies				
Member's Equity				
Member's Equity		10,365		9,888
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(15)		(16)
Total member's equity	_	10,350		9,872
Total Liabilities and Member's Equity	\$	31,273	\$	30,642

DUKE ENERGY C		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
CONSOLIDATED STATEM	ENTS	OF CASH	FLOW	<u>S</u>		
			<u>s Ende</u>	d December	er 31,	T
(in millions)		2013		2012		2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income	\$	976	\$	865	\$	834
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash						
provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization (including		4 4 6 7		4 4 40		4 000
amortization of nuclear fuel)		1,167		1,143		1,020
Equity component of AFUDC		(91)		(154)		(168)
FERC mitigation costs				46		
Community support and charitable contributions expense		14		EC		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		14		56		(4)
Gains on sales of other assets and other, net				(12)		(1) 12
Impairment charges Deferred income taxes		534		479		564
		334		(101)		364
Voluntary opportunity cost deferral				(101)		
Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs		38		41		32
Contributions to qualified pension plans		30		71		(33)
(Increase) decrease in						(33)
Net realized and unrealized						
mark-to-market and hedging						
transactions		(9)				(91)
Receivables		(12)		22		22
Receivables from affiliated		` '				
companies		(72)		(1)		88
Inventory		(9)		(128)		(177)
Other current assets		(1)		46		144
Increase (decrease) in						
Accounts payable		58		(51)		120
Accounts payable to affiliated						
companies		33		(28)		(39)
Taxes accrued		4		(12)		12
Other current liabilities		(40)		165		(170)
Other assets		(102)		(117)		(46)
Other liabilities		(77)		(126)		(249)
Net cash provided by operating activities		2,411		2,133		1,874
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Capital expenditures		(1,695)		(1,908)		(2,272)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities		(2,405)		(2,481)		(2,227)
		2,363		2,445		2,179

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Proceeds from sales and maturities of					
available-for-sale securities					
Change in restricted cash					2
Notes receivable from affiliated companies	160		541		(584)
Other	(24)		(12)		(13)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,601)		(1,415)		(2,915)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	100		645		1,498
Payments for the redemption of long-term debt	(405)		(1,177)		(7)
Distributions to parent	(499)		(450)		(299)
Other	(2)		(6)		(15)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing					
activities	(806)		(988)		1,177
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4		(270)		136
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	19		289		153
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 23	\$	19	\$	289
Supplemental Disclosures:					
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 336	\$	385	\$	337
Cash received from income taxes	(7)		(38)		(223)
Significant non-cash transactions:					
Accrued capital expenditures	199		194		209
			_		

DUI	KE EN	NERGY C	AROLI	NΑ	S, LLC	;						
CONSOLIDATED STA							BER'S	EQUI	ГҮ			
				A	Accum	ulate	ed Oth	er				
			C	o	mpreh)	ensi Los		ome				
	M	ember's			Net ses on n Flow		Unrealized Losses on able-for-Sale					
(in millions)		Equity			edges			urities		Total Eq		
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	8,938		\$	(20)		\$	(2)		\$	8,916	
Net income		834									834	
Other comprehensive income					3						3	
Distributions to parent		(299)									(299)	
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	9,473		\$	(17)		\$	(2)		\$	9,454	
Net income		865									865	
Other comprehensive income					2			1			3	
Distributions to parent		(450)									(450)	
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	9,888		\$	(15)		\$	(1)		\$	9,872	
Net income		976									976	
Other comprehensive income					1						1	
Distributions to parent		(499)		Ī							(499)	
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	10,365		\$	(14)		\$	(1)		\$	10,350	

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of

Progress Energy, Inc.

Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Progress Energy, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Progress Energy, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 28, 2014

PROGRES	S EN	ERGY, IN	C.			
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OF				REHENSIV	E INCOME	
		Y	ears Ende	d Decemi	ber 31,	
(in millions)		2013		2012		2011
Operating Revenues	\$	9,533	\$	9,405	\$	8,948
Operating Expenses						
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased						
power		3,851		4,304		4,043
Operation, maintenance and other		2,247		2,445		2,060
Depreciation and amortization		883		747		701
Property and other taxes		557		570		562
Impairment charges		380		200		3
Total operating expenses		7,918		8,266		7,369
Gains (Losses) on Sales of Other Assets and						
Other, net		3		(2)		4
Operating Income		1,618		1,137		1,583
Other Income and Expenses, net		94		130		52
Interest Expense		680		740		725
Income From Continuing Operations Before						
Income Taxes		1,032		527		910
Income Tax Expense From Continuing						
Operations		373		172		323
Income From Continuing Operations		659		355		587
Income (Loss) From Discontinued Operations,		10		50		(5)
net of tax		16		52		(5)
Net Income		675		407		582
Less: Net Income Attributable to				_		_
Noncontrolling Interests	_	3	Φ.	7	Φ.	/
Net Income Attributable to Parent	\$	672	\$	400	\$	575
Net Income	\$	675	\$	407	\$	582
Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income, net of	Þ	0/3	Φ	407	Ψ	362
tax						
Pension and OPEB adjustments ^(a)		9		(2)		39
Net unrealized loss on cash flow hedges ^(b)				(5)		(87)
Reclassification into earnings from cash flow				(0)		(07)
hedges ^(c)		(1)		8		8
Reclassification of cash flow hedges to regulatory		'-'				
assets ^(d)				97		
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of		8		98		(40)
tax Comprehensive Income	\$	683	\$	505	\$	542
	Ψ	003	Φ	505	Ψ	542

(a)	Net of \$27 million tax expense in 2011.										
(b)	Net of \$56 million tax benefit in 2011.										
(c)	Net of \$6 million tax expense in 2012 and \$5 million tax expense in 2011.										
(d)	Net of \$62 million tax expense in 2012.										

	PROGRESS ENERG	Y INC.			
	CONSOLIDATED BALAN		ETS		
			Dec	ember 31,	
(in millions)			2013		2012
ASSETS					
Current Assets					
Cash and cash equ	uivalents	\$	58	\$	231
Receivables (net o	f allowance for doubtful accounts of \$14				
at December 31, 2	013 and \$16 at December 31, 2012)		528		790
Restricted receival	oles of variable interest entities		417		
Receivables from a	affiliated companies		4		15
Notes receivable fr	om affiliated companies		75		
Inventory			1,424		1,441
Regulatory assets			353		256
Other			726		510
	Total current assets		3,585		3,243
Investments and	Other Assets				
Nuclear decommis	sioning trust funds		2,292		1,888
Goodwill			3,655		3,655
Other			804		530
	Total investments and other assets		6,751		6,073
Property, Plant ar	nd Equipment				
Cost			36,480		35,146
Accumulated depre	eciation and amortization		(13,098)		(12,512)
Generation facilitie	s to be retired, net				63
	Net property, plant and equipment		23,382		22,697
Regulatory Asset	s and Deferred Debits				
Regulatory assets			4,155		5,292
Other			96		100
	Total regulatory assets and deferred				
	debits		4,251		5,392
Total Assets		\$	37,969	\$	37,405
LIABILITIES AND	EQUITY				
Current Liabilities					
Accounts payable		\$	836	\$	1,066
Accounts payable	to affiliated companies		123		30
	ffiliated companies		1,213		455
Taxes accrued			105		83
Interest accrued			181		192
Current maturities	of long-term debt		485		843
Regulatory liabilitie			207		28
Other			896		1,090

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Total current liabilities	4,046	3,787
Long-term Debt	13,630	13,311
Long-term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies		274
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities		
Deferred income taxes	3,283	2,558
Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs	765	1,608
Asset retirement obligations	2,562	2,413
Regulatory liabilities	2,292	2,469
Other	527	707
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	9,429	9,755
Commitments and Contingencies		
Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries		93
Common Stockholder's Equity		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 100 shares authorized and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012		
Additional paid-in capital	7,467	7,465
Retained earnings	3,452	2,783
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(59)	(67)
Total common stockholder's equity	10,860	10,181
Noncontrolling interests	4	4
Total equity	10,864	10,185
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 37,969	\$ 37,405

PROGRESS	ENERG	Y, INC.				
CONSOLIDATED STATE	EMENTS	OF CASH	FLOW	S		
		Year	s Ende	d Decemb	er 31,	
(in millions)		2013		2012		2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income	\$	675	\$	407	\$	582
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash						
provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation, amortization and accretion						
(including amortization of nuclear fuel)		1,041		897		850
Equity component of AFUDC		(50)		(106)		(103)
Severance expense				38		
FERC mitigation costs				71		
Community support and charitable						
contributions expense		20		36		
Losses (gains) on sales of other assets		2		(16)		(5)
Impairment charges		380		146		3
Deferred income taxes		616		263		353
Amount to be refunded to customers				100		288
Accrued pension and other post-retirement	t					
benefit costs		172		179		124
Contributions to qualified pension plans		(250)		(346)		(331)
(Increase) decrease in						
Net realized and unrealized						
mark-to-market and hedging						
transactions		55		7		(10)
Receivables		(148)		49		167
Receivables from affiliated						
companies		11		(15)		
Inventory		17		(71)		(210)
Other current assets		(156)		2		(111)
Increase (decrease) in						
Accounts payable		(81)		175		(64)
Accounts payable to affiliated						
companies		93		30		
Taxes accrued		22		25		(16)
Other current liabilities		61		81		67
Other assets		(243)		(25)		(67)
Other liabilities		(115)		(87)		98
Net cash provided by operating activities		2,122		1,840		1,615
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Capital expenditures		(2,490)		(2,366)		(2,256)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities		(2,558)		(1,374)		(5,017)

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Proceeds from sales and maturities of			
available-for-sale securities	2,513	1,325	4,970
Insurance proceeds		7	79
Change in restricted cash		24	(24)
Notes receivable from affiliated companies	(75)		
Other	13	102	36
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,597)	(2,282)	(2,212)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from the:			
Issuance of long-term debt	845	2,074	1,286
Issuance of common stock related to			
employee benefit plans		6	53
Payments for the:			
Redemption of long-term debt	(1,196)	(962)	(1,010)
Redemption of preferred stock of subsidiaries	(96)		
Payments of short-term debt with original maturities			
greater than 90 days		(65)	
Proceeds from issuance of short-term debt with original			
maturities greater than 90 days		65	
Notes payable and commercial paper		(671)	667
Notes payable to affiliated companies	758	455	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(3)	(7)	(7)
Dividends paid		(445)	(734)
Other	(6)	(7)	(39)
Net cash provided by financing activities	302	443	216
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(173)	1	(381)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	231	230	611
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 58	\$ 231	\$ 230
Supplemental Disclosures:			
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 678	\$ 784	\$ 793
Cash received from income taxes	(167)	(4)	(78)
Significant non-cash transactions:			
Accrued capital expenditures	255	375	380
Asset retirement obligation additions		837	(4)
Capital expenditures financed through capital			
leases		140	

PROGRESS ENERGY, INC.																							
CON	SC	DLIDATE	Đ	S	TATEME	ΞŅ	ΙŢ	S OF C	HA	1	IGES II	N (CO	ММО	N S	ST	оскног	_D	ER	'S E	วเ	JIT	Υ
										Accumulated Other													
						1					Compro Lo Net	os	S	sive nsion									
(in millions)	С	ommon Stock		ı	litional Paid-in Capital			etained rnings		or	osses. Cash Flow ledg es		Re				common ho l tlens: Equity						Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	7,332		\$	11		\$	2,805		\$	(63)		\$	(62)		\$	10,023		\$	4		\$	10,027
Net income ^(a)								575									575			3			578
Other comprehens (loss) income	iv()									(79)			39			(40)						(40)
Common stock issuances, including dividend																							
reinvestmen and employee benefits	t	86			5												91						91
Common stock dividends								(628)									(628)						(628)
Distributions to noncontrollir interests																				(3)			(3)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	7,418		\$	16		\$	2,752		\$	(142)		\$	(23)		\$	10,021		\$	4		\$	10,025
Net income ^(a)						-		400						(5)			400			3			403
											100			(2)			98						98

Other comprehens income (loss)	iv	e																
Common stock issuances, including dividend																		
reinvestmen and employee benefits	t	18			13									31				31
Common stock dividends							(369)							(369)				(369)
Distributions to noncontrollin interests																(2)		(2)
Recapitaliza for merger with Duke	tic				7 426											, ,		, ,
Energy Other		(7,436)	H	+	7,436								_			(1)		(1)
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$			\$	7,465	\$	2,783		\$ (42)	\$	(25)		\$	10,181		\$ 4	\$	10,185
Net income							672							672		3		675
Other comprehens (loss) income	iv	e					072		(1)		9			8		<u> </u>		8
Premium on the redemption of preferred stock of subsidiaries							(3)							(3)				(3)
Distributions to noncontrollin							\-/							\ -1				
interests			igdash	4		_		_				\sqcup	_		\square	(3)		(3)
Other Balance at December	\$;	\$	7,467	\$	3,452		\$ (43)	\$	(16)		\$	10,860		\$ 4	\$	10,864

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

31,	2013																							
	attrik cons subs	outab olida idiari	le to pre ted net es. Inco	eferr inco ome	red ome att	shareh e of \$58 ributab	nolo 32 le '	de mi to	rs of sul Ilion inc	osio lud ed s	dia e	aries. F d \$4 mi	or Ilid	th on	e year attribu	er tak	nde ole	07 millioned Decenton to preferies is not	nb re	er d s	31, 2 share	01 ho	1, Ide	ers of

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of

Duke Energy Progress, Inc.

Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Progress, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Duke Energy Progress, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 28, 2014

DUKE ENERG	Y PP	OGRESS	, INC.					
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OF	'ERA	TIONS AN	ID COM	PR	EHENSI	E INC	ОМЕ	
		Υ	ears En	dec	d Decem	ber 31	,	
(in millions)		2013			2012			2011
Operating Revenues	\$	4,992	,	\$	4,706		\$	4,547
Operating Expenses								
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased								
power	<u> </u>	1,925			1,895			1,755
Operation, maintenance and other	<u> </u>	1,357			1,494			1,191
Depreciation and amortization		534			535			514
Property and other taxes		223			219			211
Impairment charges		22			54			3
Total operating expenses		4,061			4,197			3,674
Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net		1			1			3
Operating Income		932			510			876
Other Income and Expenses, net		57			79			80
Interest Expense		201			207			184
Income Before Income Taxes		788			382			772
Income Tax Expense		288			110			256
Net Income		500			272			516
Less: Preferred Stock Dividend Requirement					3			3
Net Income Available to Parent	\$	500	,	\$	269		\$	513
							·	
Net Income	\$	500		\$	272		\$	516
Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income, net of tax								
Net unrealized loss on cash flow hedges ^(a)					(4)			(43)
Reclassification into earnings from cash flow hedges					4			5
Reclassification of cash flow hedges to regulatory assets ^(b)					71			3
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of					7 1			
tax					71			(38)
Comprehensive Income	\$	500		\$	343		\$	478
(-) New of the control of the contro								
(a) Net of \$28 million tax benefit in 20	/11. 	<u> </u>	ı					
Net of \$46 million tax expense in (b) 2012.								
(0)								
			•					

DUKE ENERGY PROGR	ESS, IN	<u></u> С.		
CONSOLIDATED BALANC				
		Dec	cember 31,	
(in millions)		2013		2012
ASSETS				
Current Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	21		\$ 18
Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$10				
at December 31, 2013 and \$9 at December 31, 2012)		145		458
Restricted receivables of variable interest entities		417		
Receivables from affiliated companies		2		5
Inventory		853		828
Regulatory assets		127		77
Other		296		236
Total current assets		1,861		1,622
Investments and Other Assets				
Nuclear decommissioning trust funds		1,539		1,259
Other		443		251
Total investments and other assets		1,982		1,510
Property, Plant and Equipment				
Cost		22,273		21,184
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(8,623)		(8,185)
Generation facilities to be retired, net				63
Net property, plant and equipment		13,650		13,062
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits				
Regulatory assets		1,384		1,845
Other		32		29
Total regulatory assets and deferred				
debits		1,416		1,874
Total Assets	\$	18,909		\$ 18,068
LIABILITIES AND COMMON STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY				
Current Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	420		\$ 542
Accounts payable to affiliated companies		103		76
Notes payable to affiliated companies		462		364
Taxes accrued		37		23
Interest accrued		70		69
Current maturities of long-term debt		174		407
Regulatory liabilities		63		10
Other		392		507
Total current liabilities		1,721		1,998
Long-term Debt		5,061		4,433

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities		
Deferred income taxes	2,557	2,162
Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs	321	715
Asset retirement obligations	1,729	1,649
Regulatory liabilities	1,673	1,538
Other	222	387
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	6,502	6,451
Commitments and Contingencies		
Preferred Stock		59
Common Stockholder's Equity		
Common stock, no par value, 200 million shares authorized; 160 million shares outstanding at December 31, 2013 and	0.450	0.150
2012	2,159	2,159
Retained earnings	3,466	2,968
Total common stockholder's equity	5,625	5,127
Total Liabilities and Common Stockholder's Equity	\$ 18,909	\$ 18,068

DUKE ENERGY PF						
CONSOLIDATED STATEM	<u>ENTS</u>	OF CASH	FLOW	<u>S</u>		
			<u>s Ende</u>	d December	er 31,	
(in millions)		2013		2012		2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income	\$	500	\$	272	\$	516
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash						
provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation, amortization and accretion				.=.		
(including amortization of nuclear fuel)		685		676		654
Equity component of AFUDC		(42)		(69)		(71)
Severance expense				18		
FERC mitigation costs				71		
Community support and charitable						
contributions expense		20		36		
Gains on sales of other assets and other, net		(1)		(1)		(3)
Impairment charges		22				3
Deferred income taxes		368		164		262
Accrued pension and other post-retirement						
benefit costs		72		70		43
Contributions to qualified pension plans		(63)		(141)		(217)
(Increase) decrease in						
Net realized and unrealized						
mark-to-market and hedging		(0)		(0.5)		(0.0)
transactions		(9)		(25)		(23)
Receivables		(88)		2		84
Receivables from affiliated				(4)		
companies		3		(4)		8
Inventory	+	(26)		(58)		(182)
Other current assets	+	(39)		(24)		116
Increase (decrease) in	-	(1.5)				()
Accounts payable		(18)		149		(22)
Accounts payable to affiliated				4		(45)
companies		27		47		(45)
Taxes accrued		15	+	(5)		(4)
Other current liabilities	+	(86)		23		40
Other assets		(74)		(28)	_	(38)
Other liabilities		(78)		(6)		16
Net cash provided by operating activities		1,188	-	1,167		1,137
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		(()		// /
Capital expenditures		(1,567)		(1,525)		(1,426)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	4	(901)		(582)		(572)
		856		532		515

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Proceeds from sales and maturities of					
available-for-sale securities					
Notes receivable from affiliated companies					2
Other	4		9-		12
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,608)		(1,484)	(1,469)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	845		988	3	495
Payments for the:					
Redemption of long-term debt	(451)		(502)	(2)
Redemption of preferred stock	(62)				
Notes payable and commercial paper			(188)	185
Notes payable to affiliated companies	98		333	3	31
Dividends to parent			(310)	(585)
Dividends paid on preferred stock			(3)	(3)
Other	(7)		(3)	1
Net cash provided by financing activities	423		315	5	122
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	3		(2)	(210)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	18		20)	230
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 21	Ç	18	3	\$ 20
Supplemental Disclosures:					
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 217	(249	9	\$ 199
Cash (received from) paid for income taxes	(94)		19	9	(97)
Significant non-cash transactions:					
Accrued capital expenditures	166		232	2	270
Asset retirement obligation additions			698	3	(4)
Capital expenditures financed through capital					` ′
leases			140)	

DU	JKE E	NERGY	PROGI	RE:	SS, INC.				
CONSOLIDATED STATEMEN	TS OF	CHANG	SES IN	CC	OMMON	STOCKE	OLDER	S' EQUIT'	1
						Comp L	mulated other rehensiv		
(in millions)	C	ommon Stock			etained arnings	(Losses on Cash Flow Hedges		Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	2,130			3,083		(33)	\$	
Net income					516				516
Other comprehensive loss							(38)		(38)
Stock-based compensation expense		18							18
Dividend to parent					(585)				(585)
Preferred stock dividends at stated rate					(3)				(3)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	2,148		\$	3,011	((71)	\$	5,088
Net income					272				272
Other comprehensive income							71		71
Stock-based compensation expense		11							11
Dividend to parent					(310)				(310)
Preferred stock dividends at stated rate					(3)				(3)
Tax dividend					(2)				(2)
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	2,159		\$	2,968	Ç	6	\$	5,127
Net income					500				500
Premium on the redemption of preferred stock					(2)				(2)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	2,159		\$	3,466	,	S	\$	5,625

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of

Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Duke Energy Florida, Inc. at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 28, 2014

DUKE ENER	GY F	LORIDA, IN	NC.			
STATEMENTS OF OPERATION				VE INCOME		
		Ye	ars Ende	d Decembe	r 31,	
(in millions)		2013		2012		2011
Operating Revenues	\$	4,527	\$	4,689	\$	4,392
Operating Expenses						
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased						
power		1,927		2,409		2,288
Operation, maintenance and other		898		969		883
Depreciation and amortization		330		192		169
Property and other taxes		327		346		351
Impairment charges		358		146		
Total operating expenses		3,840		4,062		3,691
Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net		1		2		2
Operating Income		688		629		703
Other Income and Expenses, net		30		39		30
Interest Expense		180		255		239
Income Before Income Taxes		538		413		494
Income Tax Expense		213		147		180
Net Income		325		266		314
Less: Preferred Stock Dividend Requirement				2		2
Net Income Available to Parent	\$	325	\$	264	\$	312
Net Income	\$	325	\$	266	\$	314
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax						
Net unrealized loss on cash flow hedges ^(a)		(1)				(23)
Reclassification into earnings from cash flow		(.,				(20)
hedges				1		
Reclassification of cash flow hedges to regulatory assets ^(b)				26		
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of						
tax		(1)		27		(23)
Comprehensive Income	\$	324	\$	293	\$	291
(a) Net of \$15 million tax benefit in 20	11.	I I		1		1
(b) Net of \$16 million tax expense in 2	2012.					

DUKE ENERGY FLORID	A. INC.			
BALANCE SHEET				
		Decer	mber 31,	
(in millions)		2013		2012
ASSETS				
Current Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	16	\$	131
Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4 at				
December 31, 2013 and \$7 at December 31, 2012)		375		318
Receivables from affiliated companies		3		20
Notes receivable from affiliated companies				207
Inventory		571		613
Regulatory assets		221		179
Other		182		172
Total current assets		1,368		1,640
Investments and Other Assets				
Nuclear decommissioning trust funds		753		629
Other		252		182
Total investments and other assets		1,005		811
Property, Plant and Equipment				
Cost		13,863		13,432
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(4,252)		(4,072)
Net property, plant and equipment		9,611		9,360
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits				
Regulatory assets		2,729		3,321
Other		44		48
Total regulatory assets and deferred debits		2,773		3,369
Total Assets	\$	14,757	\$	15,180
LIABILITIES AND COMMON STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY				
Current Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	333	\$	412
Accounts payable to affiliated companies		38		44
Notes payable to affiliated companies		181		
Taxes accrued		66		48
Interest accrued		46		55
Current maturities of long-term debt		11		435
Regulatory liabilities		144		18
Other		445		516
Total current liabilities		1,264		1,528
Long-term Debt		4,875		4,885
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities				

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Deferred income taxes	1,829		1,518
Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs	286		610
Asset retirement obligations	833		764
Regulatory liabilities	618		787
Other	255		255
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	3,821		3,934
Commitments and Contingencies			
Preferred Stock			34
Common Stockholder's Equity			
Common Stock, no par; 60 million shares authorized; 100			
shares outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012	1,762		1,762
Retained earnings	3,036		3,037
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1)		
Total common stockholder's equity	4,797		4,799
Total Liabilities and Common Stockholder's Equity	\$ 14,757	\$	15,180

	DUKE ENERGY F						
1	STATEMENTS OF	CAS	H FLOWS	ı	1	ı	I
					<u> </u>		
				rs Ende	d Decemb	oer 31,	T
(in millions)			2013		2012		2011
	ROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income		\$	325	\$	266	\$	314
	econcile net income to net cash						
provided by oper			005		407		474
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	iation, amortization and accretion		335		197		174
	component of AFUDC		(8)		(37)		(32)
	nce expense				6		(5)
	on sales of other assets and other, net		(1)		(2)		(2)
	nent charges		358		146		
	d income taxes		368		142		234
	t to be refunded to customers				100		288
	d pension and other post-retirement						
benefit			79		71		52
	utions to qualified pension plans		(133)		(128)		(112)
(Increas	se) decrease in						
	Net realized and unrealized						
	mark-to-market and hedging						(10)
	transactions		55		73		(13)
	Receivables		(44)		37		91
	Receivables from affiliated				(40)		(0)
	companies		17		(13)		(6)
	Inventory		42		(13)		(28)
	Other current assets		(109)		22		(160)
Increas	e (decrease) in						
	Accounts payable		(22)		21		(45)
	Accounts payable to affiliated		(0)				(==)
	companies		(6)		30		(37)
	Taxes accrued		18		15		(8)
	Other current liabilities		159		51		16
Other a	ssets		(154)		8		(7)
Other li	abilities		(74)		(94)		46
Net cas	h provided by operating activities		1,205		898		765
CASH FLOWS F	ROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Capital expenditu	ires		(915)		(809)		(813)
Purchases of ava	ailable-for-sale securities		(1,656)		(791)		(4,435)
Proceeds from sa	ales and maturities of				T		
available-for-sale	securities		1,658		791		4,438
Insurance procee	eds				7		76

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Notes receivable from affiliated companies	207	(207)		
Other		9		27
Net cash used in investing activities	(706)	(1,000)		(707)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt		642		296
Payments for the:				
Redemption of long-term debt	(435)	(10)		(309)
Redemption of preferred stock	(34)			
Payments of short-term debt with original maturities greater than 90 days		(65)		
Proceeds from issuance of short-term debt with original maturities greater than 90 days		65		
Notes payable and commercial paper		(233)		233
Notes payable to affiliated companies	181	(8)		
Dividends to parent	(325)	(170)		(510)
Dividends paid on preferred stock		(2)		(2)
Other	(1)	(2)		1
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(614)	217		(291)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(115)	115		(233)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	131	16		249
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 16	\$ 131	\$	16
Supplemental Disclosures:				
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 201	\$ 266	\$	287
Cash (received from) paid for income taxes	(84)	24		(83)
Significant non-cash transactions:				
Accrued capital expenditures	88	139		106
Asset retirement obligation additions		139		

D	UKE E	ENERG\	/ FLOF	RIDA	A, INC.					
STATEMENTS OF CH	IANG	ES IN C	ОММС	N S	STOCK	HOLE	DER'S	EQUIT	Υ	
								ulated Other ensive Loss		
	Co	ommon			etained		or	osses Cash Flow		Total
(in millions) Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	Stock 1,750			arnings 3,144		\$	ledges (4)	•	Equity 4,890
Net income	φ	1,750		Ф	314) P	(4)	a a	314
Other comprehensive loss					314			(23)		(23)
Stock-based compensation expense		7						(20)		7
Dividend to parent		,			(510)					(510)
Preferred stock dividends at stated					(0.0)					(0.0)
rate					(2)					(2)
Tax dividend					(1)					(1)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	1,757		\$	2,945		\$	(27)	\$	4,675
Net income					266					266
Other comprehensive income								27		27
Stock-based compensation expense		5								5
Dividend to parent					(170)					(170)
Preferred stock dividends at stated rate					(2)					(2)
Tax dividend					(2)					(2)
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	1,762		\$	3,037		\$		\$	4,799
Net income					325					325
Other comprehensive loss								(1)		(1)
Dividend to parent					(325)					(325)
Premium on the redemption of preferred stock					(1)					(1)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	1,762		\$	3,036		\$	(1)	\$	
								\-\'\-\'\		,,

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

DUKE ENERG	GY O	HIO, INC).			
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPER				EHENSIV	E INCOME	
	•	Υ	ears Ende	ed Decem	ber 31,	
(in millions)		2013		2012		2011
Operating Revenues						
Regulated electric	\$	1,368		\$ 1,386	\$	1,518
Nonregulated electric and other		1,364		1,295		1,105
Regulated natural gas		513		471		558
Total operating revenues		3,245		3,152		3,181
Operating Expenses						
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power - regulated		429		475		380
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased						
power - nonregulated		1,020		832		653
Cost of natural gas		152		142		209
Operation, maintenance and other		774		797		885
Depreciation and amortization		354		338		335
Property and other taxes		265		224	 	260
Impairment charges		5		2		89
Total operating expenses		2,999		2,810		2,811
Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net		5		·		5
Operating Income		251 4		349		375
Other Income and Expenses, net				13		19
Interest Expense		78		89		104
Income Before Income Taxes		177		273		290
Income Tax Expense		75		98		96
Net Income Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax		102		175		194
Pension and OPEB adjustments ^(a)		1		27		(6)
Comprehensive Income	\$	103		\$ 202		
Net of \$8 million tax expense in (a) 2012.						

DUKE ENERGY C	HIO, INC.			
CONSOLIDATED BALA	ANCE SHEET	S		
		Decembe	er 31,	
(in millions)	Г	2013		2012
ASSETS				
Current Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	36	\$	31
Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of				
\$2 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012)		121		108
Receivables from affiliated companies		121		82
Notes receivable from affiliated companies		57		1
Inventory		229		227
Regulatory assets		57		46
Other		270		221
Total current assets		891		716
Investments and Other Assets				
Goodwill		920		921
Other		232		204
Total investments and other assets		1,152		1,125
Property, Plant and Equipment				
Cost		11,143		10,824
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(2,908)		(2,698)
Net property, plant and equipment		8,235		8,126
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits				
Regulatory assets		471		579
Other		14		14
Total regulatory assets and deferred				
debits		485		593
Total Assets	\$	10,763	\$	10,560
LIABILITIES AND COMMON STOCKHOLDER'S				
EQUITY				
Current Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	319	\$	318
Accounts payable to affiliated companies		77		62
Notes payable to affiliated companies		43		245
Taxes accrued		167		159
Interest accrued		17		14
Current maturities of long-term debt		47		261
Regulatory liabilities		27		39
Other		110		87
Total current liabilities		807		1,185
Long-term Debt		2,141		1,736

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities		
Deferred income taxes	2,012	1,853
Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs	58	157
Asset retirement obligations	28	28
Regulatory liabilities	262	254
Other	186	181
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	2,546	2,473
Commitments and Contingencies		
Common Stockholder's Equity		
Common stock, \$8.50 par value, 120,000,000 shares authorized; 89,663,086 shares outstanding at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	762	762
Additional paid-in capital	4,882	4,882
Accumulated deficit	(375)	(477)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	-	(1)
Total common stockholder's equity	5,269	5,166
Total Liabilities and Common Stockholder's Equity	10,763	\$ 10,560
	İ	

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FL In millions) CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES Let income Indigustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided yoperating activities: Depreciation and amortization Equity component of AFUDC Gains on sales of other assets and other, net Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies Taxes accrued		\$ Ended	342 (6) (7) 2 61 (11) (5) 29	\$	338 (5) (5) (5) 190 14 (48)
let income djustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided y operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Equity component of AFUDC Gains on sales of other assets and other, net Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	2013 102 357 (1) (5) 5 98 17		2012 175 342 (6) (7) 2 61 11		338 (5) (5) (5) 190 14 (48)
let income djustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided y operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Equity component of AFUDC Gains on sales of other assets and other, net Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	2013 102 357 (1) (5) 5 98 17		2012 175 342 (6) (7) 2 61 11		338 (5) (5) (5) 190 14 (48)
let income djustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided y operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Equity component of AFUDC Gains on sales of other assets and other, net Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	102 357 (1) (5) 5 98 17	\$	342 (6) (7) 2 61 11	\$	194 338 (5) (5) (5) 89 190 14 (48)
let income Idjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided y operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Equity component of AFUDC Gains on sales of other assets and other, net Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	357 (1) (5) 5 98 17	\$	342 (6) (7) 2 61 11	\$	338 (5) (5) 89 190 14 (48)
djustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided y operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Equity component of AFUDC Gains on sales of other assets and other, net Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable to affiliated companies	357 (1) (5) 5 98 17	3	342 (6) (7) 2 61 11	•	338 (5) (5) 89 190 14 (48)
y operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Equity component of AFUDC Gains on sales of other assets and other, net Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	(1) (5) 5 98 17		(6) (7) 2 61 11		(5) (5) 89 190 14 (48)
Depreciation and amortization Equity component of AFUDC Gains on sales of other assets and other, net Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	(1) (5) 5 98 17		(6) (7) 2 61 11		(5) (5) 89 190 14 (48)
Equity component of AFUDC Gains on sales of other assets and other, net Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	(1) (5) 5 98 17		(6) (7) 2 61 11		(5) (5) 89 190 14 (48)
Gains on sales of other assets and other, net Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	(5) 5 98 17		(7) 2 61 11		(5) 89 190 14 (48)
Impairment charges Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	5 98 17		2 61 11 (5)		14 (48) (8)
Deferred income taxes Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable to affiliated companies	98 17 17		(5)		190 14 (48)
Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	17		(5)		(48)
benefit costs Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	17		(5)		(48)
Contributions to qualified pension plans (Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	17		(5)		(48)
(Increase) decrease in Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable to affiliated companies			`		(8)
Net realized and unrealized mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies			`		
mark-to-market and hedging transactions Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies			`		
Receivables Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies			`		
Receivables from affiliated companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	(15)		29		10
companies Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies					. 0
Inventory Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies					
Other current assets Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	(39)		61		98
Increase (decrease) in Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	(3)		15		11
Accounts payable Accounts payable to affiliated companies	(1)		(62)		(24)
Accounts payable to affiliated companies					
companies	13		5		(33)
Taxes accrued	15		(22)		1 1
	1		(24)		8
Other current liabilities	14		(21)		(3)
Other assets	(6)		6		(56)
Other liabilities	(73)		(116)		47
Net cash provided by operating activities	496		444		818
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(55.5)		(= , ,)		(
<u> </u>	(434)		(514)		(499)
let proceeds from the sales of other assets	11		82		
lotes receivable from affiliated companies	(56)		400		79
Change in restricted cash					(26)
Other	1		6		(3)
Net cash used in investing activities CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(478)		(26)	-	(449)

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	450			
Payments for the redemption of long-term debt	(258)	(556)		(9)
Notes payable to affiliated companies	(202)	245		
Dividends to parent		(175)		(485)
Other	(3)			(4)
Net cash used in financing activities	(13)	(486)		(498)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5	(68)		(129)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	31	99		228
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 36	\$ 31	\$	99
Supplemental Disclosures:				
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 71	\$ 93	\$	100
Cash paid for (received from) income taxes	9	18		(102)
Significant non-cash transactions:				
Accrued capital expenditures	27	31		43
Transfer of Vermillion Generating Station to Duke Energy Indiana		28		

		DU	KE E	ENE	RGY OH	IO,	INC.						
CONSOLIDATED STAT	ГЕМ	ENTS (OF C	HAI	NGES IN	CC	MM	ON STO	CKI	HOL	DER'S	EQUI	Υ
									Acc	cum	ulated Other		
								C	omp	In	ensive icome (Loss)		
					ditional						ension and OPEB		
(in millions)	Со	mmon Stock			Paid-in Capital	Α	ccur	nulated Deficit			elated ments		Tota Equity
Balance at December 31,					_								
2010	\$	762		\$	5,570		\$	(846)		\$	(22)		\$ 5,464
Net income								194					194
Other comprehensive loss											(6)		(6)
Dividends to parent					(485)								(485)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	762		\$	5,085		\$	(652)		\$	(28)		\$ 5,167
Net income								175					175
Other comprehensive income											27		27
Transfer of Vermillion Generating Station to Duke Energy Indiana					(28)								(28)
Dividends to parent					(175)								(175)
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	762		\$	4,882		\$	(477)		\$	(1)		\$ 5,166
Net income								102					102
Other comprehensive income											1		1
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	762		\$	4,882		\$	(375)		\$			\$ 5,269

To the Board of Directors of

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.
Charlotte, North Carolina
We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. and subsidiary (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.
We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. and subsidiary at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Charlotte, North Carolina

DUKE ENER	GY INE	IANA. II	NC.			
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OP				HENSIV	E INCOME	
		Υ	ears Ended	l Decem	ber 31,	
(in millions)	2013 2012					2011
Operating Revenues	\$	2,926	\$	2,717	\$	2,622
Operating Expenses						
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased						
power		1,131		1,088		986
Operation, maintenance and other		649		655		647
Depreciation and amortization		342		389		391
Property and other taxes		71		81		82
Impairment charges				579		234
Total operating expenses		2,193		2,792		2,340
Operating Income (Loss)		733		(75)		282
Other Income and Expenses, net		18		90		97
Interest Expense		170		138		137
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes		581		(123)		242
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)		223		(73)		74
Net Income (Loss)		358		(50)		168
Other Comprehensive Loss, net of tax						
Reclassification into earnings from cash flow						
hedges		(2)		(2)		(1)
Comprehensive Income (Loss)	\$	356	\$	(52)	\$	167

DUKE ENERGY INC	NANA INC			
CONSOLIDATED BALA		S		
		Decemb	er 31,	
(in millions)		2013		2012
ASSETS				
Current Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	15	\$	36
Receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of				
\$1 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012)		22		33
Receivables from affiliated companies		151		104
Notes receivable from affiliated companies		96		
Inventory		434		380
Regulatory assets		118		126
Other		125		12
Total current assets		961		691
Investments and Other Assets				
Other		269		163
Total investments and other assets		269		163
Property, Plant and Equipment				
Cost		12,489		12,012
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(3,913)		(3,692)
Net property, plant and equipment		8,576		8,320
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits		•		Í
Regulatory assets		717		810
Other		25		24
Total regulatory assets and deferred				
debits		742		834
Total Assets	\$	10,548	\$	10,008
LIABILITIES AND COMMON STOCKHOLDER'S		·		
EQUITY				
Current Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	206	\$	173
Accounts payable to affiliated companies		56		60
Notes payable to affiliated companies				81
Taxes accrued		57		61
Interest accrued		56		53
Current maturities of long-term debt		5		405
Regulatory liabilities		16		11
Other		88		154
Total current liabilities		484		998
Long-term Debt		3,641		3,147
Long-term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies		150		150

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities			
Deferred income taxes		1,171	853
Investment tax credits		140	142
Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefit costs		163	186
Asset retirement obligations		30	37
Regulatory liabilities		782	741
Other		48	46
Total deferred credits and other liabilitie	es	2,334	2,005
Commitments and Contingencies			
Common Stockholder's Equity			
Common Stock, no par; \$0.01 stated value, 60,000,000 shares authorized; 53,913,701 shares outstanding at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012		1	1
Additional paid-in capital		1,384	1,384
Retained earnings		2,551	2,318
Accumulated other comprehensive income		3	5
Total common stockholder's equity		3,939	3,708
Total Liabilities and Common Stockholder's		10,548	10,008
Equity	\$		\$

DUKE ENERGY II	NDIAN	IA, INC.				
CONSOLIDATED STATEME	NTS (OF CASH I	LOWS			
		Years	r 31,			
(in millions)		2013		2012		2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income (loss)	\$	358	\$	(50)	\$	168
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash						
provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization		346		393		395
Equity component of AFUDC		(15)		(84)		(88)
Impairment charges				579		234
Deferred income taxes		304		(74)		(63)
Accrued pension and other post-retirement						
benefit costs		25	\bot	15		23
Contributions to qualified pension plans						(52)
(Increase) decrease in						
Net realized and unrealized						
mark-to-market and hedging		(0.0)				
transactions		(30)				
Receivables		3		6		25
Receivables from affiliated		(4-)				
companies		(47)		52		63
Inventory		(53)		(50)		(64)
Other current assets		(40)		(25)		13
Increase (decrease) in						
Accounts payable		32		18		(14)
Accounts payable to affiliated		(4)		(10)		_
companies		(4)		(12)		5
Taxes accrued		(30)		(27)		29
Other current liabilities		(5)		6		(16)
Other assets		(16)		6		47
Other liabilities		(84)		(37)		(72)
Net cash provided by operating activities		744		716		633
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Capital expenditures		(545)		(718)		(1,066)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities		(11)		(17)		(11)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of						
available-for-sale securities		7		18		8
Notes receivable from affiliated companies		(96)				115
Change in restricted cash						6
Other		(3)		(1)		(5)
Net cash used in investing activities		(648)		(718)		(953)

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	498	250	
Payments for the redemption of long-term debt	(405)	(7)	(14)
Notes payable to affiliated companies	(81)	(219)	300
Dividend to parent	(125)		
Other	(4)	(2)	(4)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(117)	22	282
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(21)	20	(38)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	36	16	54
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 15	\$ 36	\$ 16
Supplemental Disclosures:			
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 194	\$ 130	\$ 130
Cash paid for income taxes	46	57	90
Significant non-cash transactions:			
Accrued capital expenditures	73	67	110
Transfer of Vermillion Generating Station from Duke Energy Ohio		26	

		DUK	E EN	<u>IER</u>	GY IND	IANA	I, IN	C.						
CONSOLIDATED STA	ТЕМЕ	NTS	OF C	HA	NGES II	N CC	MM	ON ST	ЭСК	HOL	DER'S	EQU	IT	'
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									<u> </u>
									Ac		ulated			
											Other			
									omi	hrohe	ensive			
								,	70111		come			
											(Loss)			
•										Gains				
		Additional												
											osses)			
							_			on	Cash			
	Commor			Paid-in			Retained					To		
(in millions)		Stock		Capital			F	arnings		н				
Balance at December 31,		HOCK			Capitai			arriings			edges			Equity
2010	\$	1		\$	1,358		\$	2,200		\$	8		\$	3,567
Net income	1			T	,		Ť	168						168
Other comprehensive loss											(1)			(1)
Balance at December 31,														
2011	\$	1		\$	1,358		\$	2,368		\$	7		\$	3,734
Net loss								(50)						(50)
Other comprehensive loss											(2)			(2)
Transfer of Vermillion														
Generating Station from Duke														
Energy Ohio					26									26
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	1		\$	1,384		Φ	2,318		\$	5		Φ	3,708
Net income	φ			φ	1,304		φ	358		φ	5		φ	358
Other comprehensive loss								338			(2)			(2)
Dividend to parent								(125)			(2)			(125)
Balance at December 31,								(123)						(123)
2013	\$	1		\$	1,384		\$	2,551		\$	3		\$	3,939
		-		.	-,51		· ·	_,,		7				

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. -

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Index to Comb	ine	d N	ote	s T	ō (Cor	iso	lida	ate	d Fi	nan	cial	Sta	tem	ents	S									
The notes to the									ater	nen	ts a	re a	con	nbin	ed p	res	enta	tion	. Th	e fo	llow	ing I	ist ir	ndica	ates
the registrants to	W C	hich	า th	e n	ote	s a	ppl	у.		1	ı	ı	ı	1		ı	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	ı	I
										<u></u>		<u> </u>													
	Applicable Notes trant													-	0.1	-		0.4	0.5						
Registrant	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Duke Energy Corporation	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC						•							•			•			•					•	
Progress Energy, Inc.						•	•																		
Duke Energy Progress, Inc.										•	•		•	•		•				•		•			•
Duke Energy Florida, Inc.		•				•		•																	•
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.		•				•		•														•			•
Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations and Basis of Consolidation

Duke Energy Corporation (collectively with its subsidiaries, Duke Energy), is an energy company headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina, subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Duke Energy operates in the U.S. and Latin America primarily through its direct and indirect subsidiaries. Duke Energy's subsidiaries include its wholly owned subsidiary registrants, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy Carolinas); Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy); Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (Duke Energy Florida); Duke Energy

Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio) and Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Duke Energy Indiana). When discussing Duke Energy's consolidated financial information, it necessarily includes the results of its six separate subsidiary registrants (collectively referred to as the Subsidiary Registrants), which, along with Duke Energy, are collectively referred to as the Duke Energy Registrants.

On July 2, 2012, Duke Energy merged with Progress Energy, with Duke Energy continuing as the surviving corporation. Progress Energy became a subsidiary of Duke Energy and Progress Energy's regulated utility subsidiaries, Duke Energy Progress (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.) and Duke Energy Florida (formerly Florida Power Corporation d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.), became indirect subsidiaries of Duke Energy. Duke Energy's consolidated financial statements include Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida activity beginning July 2, 2012. The impacts of acquisition accounting from Progress Energy's merger with Duke Energy were recorded by Duke Energy and were not reflected on the financial statements of Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida. See Note 2 for additional information regarding the merger. On July 2, 2012, just prior to the close of the merger, Duke Energy executed a one-for-three reverse stock split with respect to the issued and outstanding shares of Duke Energy common stock. All per-share amounts included in this Form 10-K are presented as if the stock split had been effective from the beginning of the earliest period presented.

The information in these combined notes relates to each of the Duke Energy Registrants as noted in the Index to the Combined Notes. However, none of the registrants makes any representation as to information related solely to Duke Energy or the subsidiaries of Duke Energy other than itself.

These Consolidated Financial Statements include, after eliminating intercompany transactions and balances, the accounts of the Duke Energy Registrants and subsidiaries where the respective Duke Energy Registrants have control. These Consolidated Financial Statements also reflect the Duke Energy Registrants' proportionate share of jointly owned generation and transmission facilities.

Duke Energy Carolinas is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of North Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Energy Carolinas is subject to the regulatory provisions of the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC), Public Service Commission of South Carolina (PSCSC), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and FERC. Substantially all of Duke Energy Carolinas' operations qualify for regulatory accounting.

Progress Energy is a public utility holding company headquartered in Raleigh, North Carolina, subject to regulation by the FERC. Progress Energy conducts operations through its wholly owned subsidiaries, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida. Substantially all of Progress Energy's operationsqualify for regulatory accounting.

Duke Energy Progress is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of North Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Energy Progress is subject to the regulatory provisions of the NCUC, PSCSC, NRC and FERC. Substantially all of Duke Energy Progress' operations qualify for regulatory accounting.

Duke Energy Florida is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of Florida. Duke Energy Florida is subject to the regulatory jurisdiction of the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC), NRC and FERC. Substantially all of Duke Energy Florida's operations gualify for regulatory accounting.

Duke Energy Ohio is a public utility that provides service in portions of Ohio and Kentucky. Operations in Kentucky are conducted through its wholly owned subsidiary, Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. (Duke Energy

Kentucky). Duke Energy Ohio's principal lines of business include transmission and distribution of electricity and the sale of and/or transportation of natural gas. Duke Energy Ohio also generates and sells power into wholesale energy markets. Duke Energy Ohio conducts competitive auctions for retail electricity supply in Ohio whereby the energy price is recovered from retail customers. Duke Energy Kentucky's principal lines of business include generation, transmission and distribution of electricity, as well as the sale of and/or transportation of natural gas. References herein to Duke Energy Ohio include Duke Energy Ohio and its subsidiaries, unless otherwise noted. Duke Energy Ohio is subject to the regulatory provisions of the Public Utilities Commission of

112

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Ohio (PUCO), Kentucky Public Service Commission (KPSC) and FERC. Duke Energy Ohio applies regulatory accounting to a portion of its operations.

Duke Energy Indiana is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of Indiana. Duke Energy Indiana is subject to the regulatory provisions of the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (IURC) and the FERC. Substantially all of Duke Energy Indiana's operations qualify for regulatory accounting.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Other Current and Non-Current Assets and Liabilities

Other within Current Assets includes the current portion of deferred tax assets, which are disclosed in Note 22. Additionally, the following are included in Other within Current Assets or Current Liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Duke Energy Registrants at December 31, 2013 and 2012. The amounts presented exceeded 5 percent of current assets or 5 percent of current liabilities unless otherwise noted.

		Dece	mber 31,	<u> </u>
(in millions)	Location	2013		2012
Duke Energy				
Accrued compensation	Current Liabilities	\$ 621		\$ 725
Duke Energy Carolinas				
Accrued compensation	Current Liabilities	\$ 198		\$ 203
Collateral liabilities	Current Liabilities	120		105
Progress Energy				
Customer deposits	Current Liabilities	\$ 349		\$ 342
Accrued compensation	Current Liabilities	214		304

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

		Current				
Derivative lia	abilities	Liabilities				221
Duke Energ	y Progress					
		Current				
Customer de	eposits	Liabilities	\$	129	\$	120
		Current				
Accrued com	npensation	Liabilities		121		160
Duke Energ	y Florida					
	-	Current				
Customer de	eposits	Liabilities	\$	220	\$	222
		Current				
Accrued com	npensation	Liabilities		65		95
		Current				
Derivative lia	abilities	Liabilities		+		127
Duke Energ	y Ohio					
<u> </u>	•	Current				
Collateral as	sets	Assets	\$	122	\$	99
Duke Energ	y Indiana					
		Current				
Federal inco	me taxes receivable	Assets	\$	56	\$	_
		Current				
Accrued com	npensation ^(a)	Liabilities		25		23
		Current				
Collateral lia	bilities ^(a)	Liabilities		40		37
		Current				
Derivative lia	abilities	Liabilities				63
	Does not exceed 5 perd	cent of Total current liab	oilities on the	Consolidate	ed Balance	Sheets
(a)	at December 31, 2012.					
	•					

Preferred Stock

In March 2013, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida redeemed all series of their outstanding preferred stock at prices ranging from \$101.00 to \$110.00 per share for Duke Energy Progress and \$101.00 to \$104.25 per share for Duke Energy Florida plus accrued dividends for all series. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida redeemed the shares for \$62 million and \$34 million, respectively.

Discontinued Operations

For the year ended December 31, 2013, Duke Energy's and Progress Energy's Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax was primarily due to tax benefits related to prior sales of diversified businesses. For the year ended December 31, 2012, Duke Energy's and Progress Energy's Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax was primarily related to resolution of litigation associated with Progress Energy's former synthetic fuel operations and reversal of certain environmental indemnification liabilities for which the indemnification period expired during 2012. See Note 5 for more information regarding the former synthetic fuel operations.

Amounts Attributable to Controlling Interests

Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax presented on the respective Consolidated Statements of Operations for Duke Energy and Progress Energy is attributable to controlling interests for all periods presented. Other comprehensive income presented on Progress Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income are attributable to controlling interests for all periods presented.

Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

In preparing financial statements that conform to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the U.S., the Duke Energy Registrants must make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Regulatory Accounting

The majority of the Duke Energy Registrants' operations are subject to price regulation for the sale of electricity and gas by state utility commissions or FERC. When prices are set on the basis of specific costs of the regulated operations and an effective franchise is in place such that sufficient gas or electric services can be sold to recover those costs, the Duke Energy Registrants apply regulatory accounting. Regulatory accounting changes the timing of the recognition of costs or revenues relative to a company that does not apply regulatory accounting. As a result, Regulatory assets and Regulatory liabilities are recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Regulatory assets and liabilities are amortized consistent with the treatment of the related cost in the ratemaking process. See Note 4 for further information.

Regulated Fuel Costs and Purchased Power

The Duke Energy Registrants utilize cost-tracking mechanisms, commonly referred to as fuel adjustment clauses. These clauses allow for the recovery of fuel and fuel-related costs and portions of purchased power costs through surcharges on customer rates. The difference between the costs incurred and the surcharge revenues is recorded as an adjustment to Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power — regulated or Operating Revenues — Regulated electric on the Consolidated Statements of Operations with an off-setting impact on regulatory assets or liabilities.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of acquisition are considered cash equivalents. At December 31, 2013, \$1,086 million of Duke Energy's total cash and cash equivalents is held by entities domiciled in foreign jurisdictions and is forecasted to be used to fund international operations and investments.

Restricted Cash

The Duke Energy Registrants have restricted cash related primarily to collateral assets, escrow deposits, and variable interest entities (VIEs). Restricted cash balances are reflected in Other within Current Assets and in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, Duke Energy had restricted cash totaling \$307 million and \$574 million, respectively.

Inventory

Inventory is used for operations and is recorded primarily using the average cost method. Inventory related to regulated operations is valued at historical cost. Inventory related to nonregulated operations is valued at the lower of cost or market. Materials and supplies are recorded as inventory when purchased and subsequently charged to expense or capitalized to property, plant and equipment when installed. Reserves are established for excess and obsolete inventory. The components of inventory are presented in the tables below.

	1			- 1			1						1	T			T	
					Ī		Dec	em	be	r 31, 2	013	3						
(in millions)		Duke Energy	C		Duke Energy rolinas		ogress Energy			Duke nergy gress			Duke nergy lorida	E	Duke nergy Ohio			Duke nergy diana
Materials and		3,					37			<u> </u>								
supplies	\$	1,901		\$	654	\$	854		\$	567		\$	287	\$	117		\$	193
Coal held for electric generation		1,018			374		334			187			147		65			238
Oil, gas and other fuel held for electric generation		331			37		236			99			137		47			3
Total inventory	\$	3,250		\$	1,065	\$			\$	853		\$	571	\$	229		\$	434
- otal miromory	_	- 0,=00		Ť	1,000		-, -= -		Ť			_ -	<u> </u>	Ť			Ť	
							Dec	em	be	r 31, 2	012	2						
		Duke			Duke					Duke			Duke	F	Duke nergy		Er	Duke nergy
		Duke		F	Energy	Pr	ogress		F	nergy		F	nergy		licigy			leigy
(in millions)		Energy			rolinas		Energy	F		gress			lorida		Ohio		Inc	diana
Materials and supplies	\$	1,691		\$	535	\$	768		\$	499		\$	269	\$	135		\$	161
Coal held for electric generation		1,187			488		392			232			160		82			216
Oil, gas and other fuel held for electric generation		345			39		281			97			184		10			3
~	\$			Φ	1,062	\$	1,441		\$	828		\$	613	\$	227	\vdash	\$	380
Total inventory	Φ	3,223	\vdash	Φ	1,062	Ф	1,441		Φ	028	Н	Φ	013	Φ	221		Φ	300
	1																	

Investments in Debt and Equity Securities

The Duke Energy Registrants classify investments into two categories — trading and available-for-sale. Both categories are recorded at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading securities are included in earnings. For certain investments of regulated operations such as the Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund (NDTF), realized and unrealized gains and losses (including any other-than-temporary impairments) on available-for-sale securities are recorded as a

regulatory asset or liability. Otherwise, unrealized gains and losses are included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI), unless other-than-temporarily impaired. Other-than-temporary impairments for equity securities and the credit loss portion of debt securities of nonregulated operations are included in earnings. Investments in debt and equity securities are classified as either current or noncurrent based on management's intent and ability to sell these securities, taking into consideration current market liquidity. See Note 15 for further information.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

114

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Ohio perform annual goodwill impairment tests as of August 31 each year at the reporting unit level, which is determined to be an operating segment or one level below. Duke Energy, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Ohio update these tests between annual tests if events or circumstances occur that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value.

In 2012, Progress Energy changed its goodwill impairment testing date from October 31 to August 31 to better align its annual goodwill impairment testing procedure with those of Duke Energy. The change had no impact on goodwill. Neither the change in the goodwill impairment testing date nor the merger resulted in any changes to the Progress Energy reporting units.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are included in Other in Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Generally, intangible assets are amortized using an amortization method that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible asset are consumed, or on a straight-line basis if that pattern is not readily determinable. Amortization of intangibles is reflected in Depreciation and amortization in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Intangible assets are subject to impairment testing and if impaired, the carrying value is accordingly reduced.

Emission allowances permit the holder of the allowance to emit certain gaseous by-products of fossil fuel combustion, including sulfur dioxide (SO_2) and nitrogen oxide (NO_x). Allowances are issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at zero cost and may also be bought and sold via third-party transactions. Allowances allocated to or acquired by the Duke Energy Registrants are held primarily for consumption. Carrying amounts for emission allowances are based on the cost to acquire the allowances or, in the case of a business combination, on the fair value assigned in the allocation of the purchase price of the acquired business.

Renewable energy certificates are used to measure compliance with renewable energy standards and are held primarily for consumption.

See Note 11 for further information.

Long-Lived Asset Impairments

The Duke Energy Registrants evaluate long-lived assets, excluding goodwill, for impairment when circumstances indicate the carrying value of those assets may not be recoverable. An impairment exists when a long-lived asset's carrying value exceeds the estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. The estimated cash flows may be based on alternative expected outcomes that are probability weighted. If the carrying value of the long-lived asset is not recoverable based on these estimated future undiscounted cash flows, the carrying value of the asset is written-down to its then-current estimated fair value and an impairment charge is recognized.

The Duke Energy Registrants assess fair value of long-lived assets using various methods, including recent comparable third-party sales, internally developed discounted cash flow analysis and analysis from outside advisors. Significant changes in commodity prices, the condition of an asset or management's interest in selling the asset are generally viewed as triggering events to re-assess cash flows. See Note 11 for further information.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at the lower of depreciated historical cost net of any disallowances or fair value, if impaired. The Duke Energy Registrants capitalize all construction-related direct labor and material costs, as well as indirect construction costs such as general engineering, taxes and financing costs. See "Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) and Interest Capitalized for information on capitalized financing costs. Costs of renewals and betterments that extend the useful life of property, plant and equipment are also capitalized. The cost of repairs, replacements and major maintenance projects, which do not extend the useful life or increase the expected output of the asset, are expensed as incurred. Depreciation is generally computed over the estimated useful life of the asset using the composite straight-line method. Depreciation studies are conducted periodically to update composite rates and are approved by state utility commissions and/or the FERC when required. The composite weighted-average depreciation rates, excluding nuclear fuel, are included in the table that follows.

		Years Ended December 31,									
		2013		2	012		2	011			
Duke Energy	2.8	%		2.9	%		3.2	%			
Duke Energy Carolinas	2.8	%		2.8	%		2.6	%			
Progress Energy	2.5	%		2.6	%		2.3	%			
Duke Energy Progress	2.5	%		2.7	%		2.1	%			
Duke Energy Florida	2.4	%		2.5	%		2.4	%			
Duke Energy Ohio	3.3	%		3.2	%		3.5	%			
Duke Energy Indiana	2.8	%		3.3	%		3.4	%			

In general, when the Duke Energy Registrants retire regulated property, plant and equipment, original cost plus the cost of retirement, less salvage value, is charged to accumulated depreciation. However, when it becomes probable a regulated asset will be retired substantially in advance of its original expected useful life or is abandoned, the cost of the asset and the corresponding accumulated depreciation is recognized as a separate asset. If the asset is still in operation, the net amount is classified as Generation facilities to be retired, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. If the asset is no longer operating, the net amount is classified in Regulatory Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The carrying value of the asset is based on historical cost if the Duke Energy Registrants are allowed to recover the remaining net book value and a return equal to at least the incremental borrowing rate. If not, an impairment is recognized to the extent the net book value of the asset exceeds the present value of future revenues discounted at the

incremental borrowing rate.

115

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

When the Duke Energy Registrants sell entire regulated operating units, or retire or sell nonregulated properties, the original cost and accumulated depreciation and amortization balances are removed from Property, Plant and Equipment on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Any gain or loss is recorded in earnings, unless otherwise required by the applicable regulatory body.

See Note 10 for further information.

Nuclear Fuel

Nuclear fuel is classified as Property, Plant and Equipment on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Nuclear fuel in the front-end fuel processing phase is considered work in progress and not amortized until placed in service. Amortization of nuclear fuel is included within Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power – regulated in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Amortization is recorded using the units-of-production method.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) and Interest Capitalized

For regulated operations, the debt and equity costs of financing the construction of property, plant and equipment are reflected as AFUDC and capitalized as a component of the cost of property, plant and equipment. AFUDC equity is reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations as non-cash income in Other income and expenses, net. AFUDC debt is reported as a non-cash offset to Interest Expense. After construction is completed, the Duke Energy Registrants are permitted to recover these costs through their inclusion in rate base and the corresponding subsequent depreciation or amortization of those regulated assets.

AFUDC equity, a permanent difference for income taxes, reduces the effective tax rate when capitalized and increases the effective tax rate when depreciated or amortized. See Note 22 for additional information.

For nonregulated operations, interest is capitalized during the construction phase with an offsetting non-cash credit to Interest Expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Asset Retirement Obligations

Asset retirement obligations are recognized for legal obligations associated with the retirement of property, plant and equipment. Substantially all asset retirement obligations are related to regulated operations. When recording an asset retirement obligation, the present value of the projected liability is recognized in

the period in which it is incurred, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The liability is accreted over time. The present value of the liability is added to the cost of the associated asset and depreciated over the remaining life of the asset.

The present value of the initial obligation and subsequent updates are based on discounted cash flows, which include estimates regarding timing of future cash flows, selection of discount rates and cost escalation rates, among other factors. These estimates are subject to change. Depreciation expense is adjusted prospectively for any changes to the carrying amount of the associated asset. The Duke Energy Registrants receive amounts to fund the cost of the asset retirement obligation for regulated operations through a combination of regulated revenues and NDTF. As a result, the net of amounts recovered in regulated revenues, earnings on the NDTF, accretion expense and depreciation of the associated asset is deferred as a regulatory asset or liability.

Obligations for nuclear decommissioning are based on site-specific cost studies. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress assume prompt dismantlement of the nuclear facilities after operations are ceased. Duke Energy Florida assumes Crystal River Nuclear Station – Unit 3 (Crystal River Unit 3) will be placed into a safe storage configuration until eventual dismantlement begins in approximately 60 years. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida also assume that spent fuel will be stored on site until such time that it can be transferred to a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) facility.

See Note 9 for further information.

Revenue Recognition and Unbilled Revenue

Revenues on sales of electricity and gas are recognized when service is provided. Unbilled revenues are recognized by applying customer billing rates to the estimated volumes of energy delivered but not yet billed. Unbilled revenues can vary significantly from period to period as a result of seasonality, weather, customer usage patterns and meter reading schedules.

Unbilled revenues are included within Receivables and Restricted receivables of variable interest entities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as shown in the following table.

	Dec	emb	er 31	,
(in millions)	2013	3		2012
Duke Energy	\$ 937	7	\$	920
Duke Energy Carolinas	323	3		315
Progress Energy	189)		187
Duke Energy Progress	120)		112
Duke Energy Florida	69)		74
Duke Energy Ohio	59	5		47
Duke Energy Indiana		5		3

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Additionally, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana sell, on a revolving basis, nearly all of their retail and wholesale accounts receivable, including receivables for unbilled revenues, to an affiliate, Cinergy Receivables Company, LLC (CRC) and account for the transfers of receivables as sales. Accordingly, the receivables sold are not reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. See Note 17 for further information. These receivables for unbilled revenues are shown in the table below.

								- 0-							
				December 31,											
(in millions)				1		13			-		2012				
Duke Energy Ohio				\$		89			\$		90				
Duke Energy Indiana					1	44					132				
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts															
Allowances for doubtful accounts are pr	esented in	the followi	ng table.	•											
			De	cembe	er 3	1,									
(in millions)		2013				2012				2	2011				
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts															
Duke Energy	\$	30			\$	34				\$	35				
Duke Energy Carolinas		3				3					3				
Progress Energy		14				16					27				
Duke Energy Progress		10				9					9				
Duke Energy Florida		4				7					18				
Duke Energy Ohio		2				2					16				
Duke Energy Indiana		1				1					1				
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - VIEs											ſ				
Duke Energy	\$	43			\$	44				\$	40				
Duke Energy Carolinas		6				6					6				
							1								

Derivatives and Hedging

Derivative and non-derivative instruments may be used in connection with commodity price, interest rate and foreign currency risk management activities, including swaps, futures, forwards and options. All derivative instruments except those that qualify for the normal purchase/normal sale (NPNS) exception are recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at their fair value. Qualifying derivative instruments may be designated as either cash flow hedges or fair value hedges. Other derivative instruments (undesignated contracts) either have not been designated or do not qualify as hedges. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of cash flow hedges is recorded in AOCI. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of a fair value hedge is offset in net income by changes in the hedged item. For activity subject to regulatory accounting, gains and losses on derivative contracts are reflected as regulatory assets or liabilities and not as other comprehensive income or current period income. As a result, changes in fair value of these derivatives have no immediate earnings impact.

Formal documentation, including transaction type and risk management strategy, is maintained for all contracts accounted for as a hedge. At inception and at least every three months thereafter, the hedge contract is assessed to see if it is highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows or fair values of hedged items.

See Note 14 for further information.

Captive Insurance Reserves

Duke Energy has captive insurance subsidiaries that provide coverage, on an indemnity basis, to the Subsidiary Registrants as well as certain third parties, on a limited basis, for various business risks and losses, such as property, workers' compensation and general liability. Liabilities include provisions for estimated losses incurred but not yet reported (IBNR), as well as estimated provisions for known claims. IBNR reserve estimates are primarily based upon historical loss experience, industry data and other actuarial assumptions. Reserve estimates are adjusted in future periods as actual losses differ from experience.

Duke Energy, through its captive insurance entities, also has reinsurance coverage with third parties for certain losses above a per occurrence and/or aggregate retention. Receivables for reinsurance coverage are recognized when realization is deemed probable.

Unamortized Debt Premium, Discount and Expense

Premiums, discounts and expenses incurred with the issuance of outstanding long-term debt are amortized over the term of the debt issue. Call premiums and unamortized expenses associated with refinancing higher-cost debt obligations used to finance regulated assets are amortized. Amortization expense is recorded as Interest Expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and is reflected as Depreciation, amortization and accretion within Net cash provided by operating activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Loss Contingencies and Environmental Liabilities

Contingent losses are recorded when it is probable a loss has occurred and can be reasonably estimated. When a range of the probable loss exists and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, the minimum amount in the range is recorded. Unless otherwise required by GAAP, legal fees are expensed as incurred.

Environmental liabilities are recorded on an undiscounted basis when environmental remediation or other liabilities becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. Environmental expenditures related to past operations that do not generate current or future revenues are

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

expensed. Environmental expenditures related to operations that generate current or future revenues are expensed or capitalized, as appropriate. Certain environmental expenditures receive regulatory accounting treatment and are recorded as regulatory assets.

See Notes 4 and 5 for further information.

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

Duke Energy maintains qualified, non-qualified and other post-retirement benefit plans. Eligible employees of the Subsidiary Registrants participate in the respective qualified, non-qualified and other post-retirement benefit plans and are allocated their proportionate share of benefit costs. See Note 21 for further information, including significant accounting policies associated with these plans.

Severance and Special Termination Benefits

Duke Energy has an ongoing severance plan under which, in general, the longer a terminated employee worked prior to termination the greater the amount of severance benefits. A liability for involuntary severance is recorded once an involuntary severance plan is committed to by management, or sooner, if involuntary severances are probable and can be reasonably estimated. For involuntary severance benefits incremental to its ongoing severance plan benefits, the fair value of the obligation is expensed at the communication date if there are no future service requirements, or over the required future service period. From time to time, Duke Energy offers special termination benefits under voluntary severance programs. Special termination benefits are recorded immediately upon employee acceptance absent a significant retention period. Otherwise, the cost is recorded over the remaining service period. Employee acceptance of voluntary severance benefits is determined by management based on the facts and circumstances of the benefits being offered. See Note 19 for further information.

Guarantees

Liabilities are recognized at the time of issuance or material modification of a guarantee for the estimated fair value of the obligation it assumes. Fair value is estimated using a probability-weighted approach. The obligation is reduced over the term of the guarantee or related contract in a systematic and rational method as risk is reduced. Any additional contingent loss for guarantee contracts subsequent to the initial recognition of a liability is accounted for and recognized at the time a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated. See Note 7 for further information.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation represents costs related to stock-based awards granted to employees. Duke Energy recognizes stock-based compensation based upon the estimated fair value of awards, net of estimated forfeitures at the date of issuance. The recognition period for these costs begin at either the applicable service inception date or grant date and continues throughout the requisite service period, or for certain share-based awards until the employee becomes retirement eligible, if earlier. Compensation cost is recognized as expense or capitalized as a component of property, plant and equipment. See Note 20 for further information.

Income Taxes

Duke Energy and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return and other state and foreign jurisdictional returns. The Subsidiary Registrants entered into a tax-sharing agreement with Duke Energy and income taxes recorded represent amounts the Subsidiary Registrants would incur as separate C-Corporations. Deferred income taxes have been provided for temporary differences between GAAP and tax bases of assets and liabilities because the differences create taxable or tax-deductible amounts for future periods. Deferred taxes are not provided on translation gains and losses when earnings of a foreign operation are expected to be indefinitely reinvested. Investment tax credits (ITC) associated with regulated operations are deferred and amortized as a reduction of income tax expense over the estimated useful lives of the related properties.

Positions taken or expected to be taken on tax returns, including the decision to exclude certain income or transactions from a return, are recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not the tax position can be sustained based solely on the technical merits of the position. The largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being effectively settled is recorded. Management considers a tax position effectively settled when: (i) the taxing authority has completed its examination procedures, including all appeals and administrative reviews; (ii) the Duke Energy Registrants do not intend to appeal or litigate the tax position included in the completed examination; and (iii) it is remote the taxing authority would examine or re-examine the tax position. The amount of a tax return position that is not recognized in the financial statements is disclosed as an unrecognized tax benefit. These unrecognized tax benefits may impact the financial statements through increasing income taxes payable, reducing income tax refunds receivable or changing deferred taxes.

Tax-related interest and penalties are recorded in Interest Expense and Other Income and Expenses, net, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

See Note 22 for further information.

Accounting for Renewable Energy Tax Credits and Grants

When Duke Energy elects either an ITC or a cash grant on wind or solar facilities, it reduces the basis of the property recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets by the amount of the ITC or cash grant and, therefore, the ITC or grant benefit is recognized through reduced depreciation expense. Additionally, certain tax credits and government grants received provide for initial tax depreciable base in excess of the book carrying value equal to one half of the ITC or government grant. Deferred tax benefits are recorded as a reduction to income tax expense in the period that the basis difference is created.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Excise Taxes

Certain excise taxes levied by state or local governments are required to be paid even if not collected from the customer. These taxes are recognized on a gross basis. Otherwise, the taxes are accounted for net. Excise taxes accounted for on a gross basis as Property and other taxes in the Consolidated Statements of Operations were as follows.

	Years Ended December 31,												
(in millions)		2013			2012			2011					
Duke Energy	\$	602		\$	466		\$	293					
Duke Energy Carolinas		164			161			153					
Progress Energy		304			317			315					
Duke Energy Progress		115			113			110					
Duke Energy Florida		189			205			205					
Duke Energy Ohio		105			102			109					
Duke Energy Indiana		29			33			31					

On July 23, 2013, North Carolina House Bill 998 (HB 998) was signed into law. HB 998 repeals the utility franchise tax effective July 1, 2014. The utility franchise tax was 3.22 percent gross receipts tax on sales of electricity. The result of this change in law will be an annual reduction in excise taxes of approximately \$160 million for Duke Energy Carolinas and approximately \$110 million for Duke Energy Progress. HB 998 also increases sales tax on electricity from 3 percent to 7 percent effective July 1, 2014. HB 998 requires the NCUC to adjust retail electric rates for the elimination of the utility franchise tax, changes due to the increase in sales tax on electricity, and the resulting change in liability of utility companies under the general franchise tax.

Foreign Currency Translation

The local currencies of most of Duke Energy's foreign operations have been determined to be their functional currencies. However, certain foreign operations' functional currency has been determined to be the U.S. Dollar, based on an assessment of the economic circumstances of the foreign operation. Assets and liabilities of foreign operations whose functional currency is not the U.S. Dollar, are translated into U.S. Dollars at the exchange rates in effect at period end. Translation adjustments resulting from changes in

exchange rates are included in AOCI. Revenue and expense accounts are translated at average exchange rates during the year. Gains and losses arising from balances and transactions denominated in currencies other than the local currency are included in the results of operations when they occur.

Dividend Restrictions and Unappropriated Retained Earnings

Duke Energy does not have any legal, regulatory or other restrictions on paying common stock dividends to shareholders. However, as further described in Note 4, due to conditions established by regulators in conjunction with merger transaction approvals, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana have restrictions on paying dividends or otherwise advancing funds to Duke Energy. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, an insignificant amount of Duke Energy's consolidated Retained earnings balance represents undistributed earnings of equity method investments.

New Accounting Standards

The new accounting standards that were adopted for 2013, 2012 and 2011 had no significant impact on the presentation or results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Duke Energy Registrants. Disclosures have been enhanced to provide a discussion and tables on derivative contracts subject to enforceable master netting agreements and a table of quantitative disclosures about unobservable inputs. See Notes 14 and 16 for further information.

There are no Accounting Standards Updates that have been issued but not yet adopted as of December 31, 2013, that are expected to significantly impact the presentation or results of operations, cash flows or financial position or disclosures of the Duke Energy Registrants.

2. ACQUISITIONS, DISPOSITIONS AND SALES OF OTHER ASSETS

ACQUISITIONS

The Duke Energy Registrants consolidate assets and liabilities from acquisitions as of the purchase date, and include earnings from acquisitions in consolidated earnings after the purchase date.

Merger with Progress Energy

On July 2, 2012, Duke Energy completed its merger with Progress Energy, a North Carolina corporation engaged in the regulated utility business of generation, transmission and distribution and sale of electricity in portions of North Carolina, South Carolina and Florida. As a result of the merger, Progress Energy became a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy.

The merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy provides increased scale and diversity with potentially enhanced access to capital over the long term and a greater ability to undertake the significant construction programs necessary to respond to increasing environmental regulation, plant retirements and customer demand growth. Duke Energy's business risk profile is expected to improve over time due to the increased proportion of the business that is regulated. Additionally, cost savings, efficiencies and other benefits are expected from the combined operations.

Purchase Price

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Total consideration transferred was based on the closing price of Duke Energy common shares on July 2, 2012, and was calculated as shown in the following table.

(dollars in millions, except per share amounts; shares in thousands)	
Progress Energy common shares outstanding at July 2, 2012	296,116
Exchange ratio	0.87083
Duke Energy common shares issued for Progress Energy common shares outstanding	257,867
Closing price of Duke Energy common shares on July 2, 2012	\$ 69.84
Purchase price for common stock	\$ 18,009
Fair value of outstanding earned stock compensation awards	62
Total purchase price	\$ 18,071

Progress Energy's stock-based compensation awards, including performance shares and restricted stock, were replaced with Duke Energy awards upon consummation of the merger. In accordance with accounting guidance for business combinations, a portion of the fair value of these awards is included in the purchase price as it represents consideration transferred in the merger.

Purchase Price Allocation

Fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed was determined based on significant estimates and assumptions, including Level 3 inputs, which are judgmental in nature. Estimates and assumptions include the projected timing and amount of future cash flows, discount rates reflecting risk inherent in future cash flows, and future market prices.

Additionally the February 5, 2013 announcement of the decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3 reflects additional information related to facts and circumstances existing as of the acquisition date. See Note 4 for additional information related to Crystal River Unit 3. As such, Duke Energy presents assets acquired and liabilities assumed as if the retirement of Crystal River Unit 3 occurred on the acquisition date.

The majority of Progress Energy's operations are subject to the rate-setting authority of the FERC, NCUC, PSCSC, and FPSC and are accounted for pursuant to U.S. GAAP, including the accounting guidance for regulated operations. Rate-setting and cost recovery provisions currently in place for Progress Energy's regulated operations provide revenues derived from costs, including a return on investment of assets and liabilities included in rate base. Except for long-term debt, asset retirement obligations, capital leases,

pension and other post-retirement benefits (OPEB) plans, and the wholesale portion of Crystal River Unit 3, fair values of tangible and intangible assets and liabilities subject to these rate-setting provisions approximate their carrying values. Accordingly, assets acquired and liabilities assumed and pro forma financial information do not reflect any net adjustments related to these amounts. The difference between fair value and pre-merger carrying amounts for long-term debt, asset retirement obligations, capital leases and pension and OPEB plans for regulated operations were recorded as Regulatory assets.

The excess of purchase price over estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed was recognized as goodwill at the acquisition date. The goodwill reflects the value paid primarily for long-term potential for enhanced access to capital as a result of increased scale and diversity, opportunities for synergies, and an improved risk profile. Goodwill resulting from the merger was allocated entirely to the Regulated Utilities segment. None of the goodwill recognized is deductible for income tax purposes, and as such, no deferred taxes have been recorded related to goodwill.

The completed purchase price allocation is presented in the following table.

(in millions)	
Current assets	\$ 3,204
Property, plant and equipment	23,141
Goodwill	12,469
Other long-term assets	9,990
Total assets	48,804
Current liabilities, including current maturities of long-term debt	3,593
Long-term liabilities, preferred stock and noncontrolling interests	10,394
Long-term debt	16,746
Total liabilities and preferred stock	30,733
Total purchase price	\$ 18,071

The purchase price allocation in the table above reflects refinements made to preliminary fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of December 31, 2012. These refinements include adjustments associated with the retirement of Crystal River Unit 3. The changes resulted in an increase to Goodwill of \$2 million, an increase to the fair value of Current liabilities, including current maturities of long-term debt of \$12 million, a decrease to Property, plant and equipment of \$138 million, a decrease to Other long-term assets of \$4 million and a decrease to Long-term liabilities, preferred stock and noncontrolling interests of \$152 million. These refinements had no impact on the amortization of purchase accounting adjustments recorded to earnings during the year ended December 31, 2013, or for the six months ended December 31, 2012.

Pro Forma Financial Information

The following unaudited pro forma financial information reflects the consolidated results of operations of Duke Energy and the amortization of purchase price adjustments assuming the merger had taken place on January 1, 2011. The unaudited pro forma financial information has been presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the consolidated results of operations that would have been achieved or future consolidated results of operations of Duke Energy.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Non-recurring merger consummation, integration and other costs incurred by Duke Energy and Progress Energy during the period have been excluded from pro forma earnings presented below. After-tax non-recurring merger consummation, integration and other costs incurred by both Duke Energy and Progress Energy were \$413 million and \$85 million for the years ended 2012 and 2011, respectively. The pro forma financial information also excludes potential future cost savings or non-recurring charges related to the merger.

		Yea	ars Ende	d De	cem	ber 31,
(in millions, except per share amounts)			2012			2011
Revenues		\$	23,976		\$	23,445
Net Income Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation			2,417			2,397
Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share			3.43			3.41

Accounting Charges Related to the Merger Consummation

The following pretax consummation charges were recognized upon closing of the merger and are included in the Duke Energy Registrants' Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2012.

(in millions)	ı	Duke Energy		Duke Energy I		Energy		Progress Energy		_		_			Duke nergy gress	Eı	Duke nergy orida	E	Duke nergy Ohio	E	Duke nergy diana
FERC Mitigation	\$	117	\$	46		\$	71	\$	71	\$		\$		\$							
Severance costs		196		63			82		55		27		21		18						
Community support, charitable																					
contributions and																					
other		169		79			74		63		11		7		6						
Total	\$	482	\$	188		\$	227	\$	189	\$	38	\$	28	\$	24						
							_														

FERC Mitigation charges reflect the portion of transmission project costs probable of disallowance, impairment of the carrying value of the generation assets serving Interim FERC Mitigation, and mark-to-market losses recognized on power sale agreements upon closing of the merger. Charges related to transmission projects and impairment of the carrying value of generation assets were recorded within Impairment charges in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Mark-to-market losses on interim power sale agreements was recorded in Regulated electric operating revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Subsequent changes in fair value of interim power sale agreements over the life of the contracts and realized gains or losses on interim contract sales are also recorded within Regulated electric operating revenues. The ability to successfully defend future recovery of a portion of transmission projects in rates and any future changes to estimated transmission project costs could impact the amount not expected to be recovered.

In conjunction with the merger, in November 2011, Duke Energy and Progress Energy each offered a voluntary severance plan (VSP) to certain eligible employees. VSP and other severance costs incurred were recorded primarily within Operation, maintenance and other in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note 19 for further information related to employee severance expenses.

Community support, charitable contributions and other reflect (i) the unconditional obligation to provide funding at a level comparable to historic practices over the next four years, and (ii) financial and legal advisory costs incurred upon the closing of the merger, retention and relocation costs paid to certain employees. These charges were recorded within Operation, maintenance and other in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Impact of Merger

The impact of Progress Energy on Duke Energy's revenues and net income attributable to Duke Energy in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 was an increase of \$4,943 million and \$368 million, respectively.

Chilean Operations

In December 2012, Duke Energy acquired Iberoamericana de Energía Ibener, S.A. (Ibener) of Santiago, Chile for cash consideration of \$415 million. This acquisition included the 140 Megawatt (MW) Duqueco hydroelectric generation complex consisting of two run-of-the-river plants located in southern Chile. Purchase price allocation consisted primarily of \$383 million of property, plant and equipment, \$30 million of intangible assets, \$57 million of deferred income tax liabilities, \$54 million of goodwill and \$8 million of working capital. In connection with the acquisition, a \$190 million six-month bridge loan and a \$200 million revolving loan under a credit agreement were executed with a commercial bank. Both loans were fully collateralized with cash deposits, and therefore no net proceeds from the financings existed as of December 31, 2012. The \$190 million bridge loan was classified in Current maturities of long-term debt and the related cash collateral deposit was classified as Current Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012. The revolving loan is classified as Long-term Debt and the related cash collateral deposit is classified as Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In April 2013, the six-month bridge loan executed in connection with the acquisition was replaced with a nonrecourse secured credit facility with a term of thirteen years, and the cash collateral related to the six-month bridge loan was returned to Duke Energy. See Note 6 for additional discussion related to the bridge loan conversion.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Midwest Generation Exit

On February 17, 2014, Duke Energy Ohio announced that it had initiated a process to exit its nonregulated Midwest generation business. Considering a marketing period of several months and potential regulatory approvals, Duke Energy Ohio expects to dispose of the nonregulated Midwest generation business by early to mid-2015. In the first quarter of 2014, Duke Energy Ohio will reclassify approximately \$3.5 billion carrying value of its Midwest generation business to assets held for sale and expects to record an estimated pretax impairment charge of \$1 billion to \$2 billion to reduce the carrying value to estimated sales proceeds less cost to sell.

Vermillion Generating Station

On January 12, 2012, after receiving approvals from the FERC and IURC on August 12, 2011 and December 28, 2011, respectively, Duke Energy Vermillion II, LLC (Duke Energy Vermillion), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy Ohio, completed the sale of its ownership interest in Vermillion Generating Station (Vermillion) to Duke Energy Indiana and Wabash Valley Power Association (WVPA). Upon closing of the sale, Duke Energy Indiana held a 62.5 percent interest in Vermillion. Duke Energy Ohio received net proceeds of \$82 million, of which \$68 million was paid by Duke Energy Indiana. Following the transaction, Duke Energy Indiana retired Gallagher Units 1 and 3 effective February 1, 2012.

As Duke Energy Indiana is an affiliate of Duke Energy Vermillion, the transaction was accounted for as a transfer between entities under common control with no gain or loss recorded and did not have a significant impact to Duke Energy Ohio's or Duke Energy Indiana's results of operations. Proceeds received from Duke Energy Indiana are included in Net proceeds from the sales of other assets on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Cash paid to Duke Energy Ohio is included in Capital expenditures on Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana recognized non-cash equity transfers of \$28 million and \$26 million, respectively, in their Consolidated Statements of Common Stockholder's Equity on the transaction representing the difference between cash exchanged and the net book value of Vermillion. These amounts are not reflected in Duke Energy's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows or Consolidated Statements of Equity as the transaction is eliminated in consolidation.

Proceeds from WVPA are included in Net proceeds from the sales of other assets, and sale of and collections on notes receivable on Duke Energy's and Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The sale of the proportionate share of Vermillion to WVPA did not result in a significant gain or loss upon close of the transaction.

Wind Projects Joint Venture

In April 2012, Duke Energy executed a joint venture agreement with Sumitomo Corporation of America (SCOA). Under terms of the agreement, Duke Energy and SCOA each own a 50 percent interest in the joint venture (DS Cornerstone, LLC), which owns two wind generation projects. Duke Energy and SCOA also negotiated a \$330 million, Construction and 12-year amortizing Term Loan Facility, on behalf of the borrower, a wholly owned subsidiary of the joint venture. The loan agreement is non-recourse to Duke Energy. Duke Energy received proceeds of \$319 million upon execution of the loan agreement. This amount represents reimbursement of a significant portion of Duke Energy's construction costs incurred as of the date of the agreement. DS Cornerstone, LLC was initially consolidated with the sale to SCOA because of a guarantee provided by an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy. With the expiration of the guarantee in 2012, DS Cornerstone, LLC was deconsolidated.

Sales Of Other Assets

During 2012, Duke Energy received proceeds of \$187 million from the sale of non-core business assets within the Commercial Power segment for which no material gain or loss was recognized.

3. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Duke Energy evaluates segment performance based on segment income. Segment income is defined as income from continuing operations net of income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Segment income, as discussed below, includes intercompany revenues and expenses that are eliminated in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Operating segments are determined based on information used by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and evaluate the performance.

Products and services are sold between affiliate companies and reportable segments of Duke Energy at cost. Segment assets as presented in the tables that follow exclude all intercompany assets.

Duke Energy

Duke Energy has the following reportable operating segments: Regulated Utilities, International Energy and Commercial Power.

Regulated Utilities conducts operations primarily through Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Indiana, and the regulated transmission and distribution operations of Duke Energy Ohio. These electric and gas operations are subject to the rules and regulations of the FERC, NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC, PUCO, IURC, and KPSC. Substantially all of Regulated Utilities' operations are regulated and, accordingly, these operations qualify for regulatory accounting treatment.

International Energy principally operates and manages power generation facilities and engages in sales and marketing of electric power, natural gas, and natural gas liquids outside the U.S. Its activities principally target power generation in Latin America. Additionally, International Energy owns a 25 percent interest in National Methanol Company (NMC), a large regional producer of Methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) located in Saudi Arabia. The investment in NMC is accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Commercial Power owns, operates and manages power plants and engages in the wholesale marketing and procurement of electric power, fuel and emission allowances related to these plants as well as other contractual positions. Commercial Power's generation operations consist primarily of Duke Energy Ohio's coal-fired and gas-fired nonregulated generation assets located in the Midwest region of the U.S. and wind and solar generation located throughout the U.S. The asset portfolio has a diversified fuel mix with baseload and mid-merit coal-fired units as well as combined cycle and peaking natural gas-fired units. In addition, Commercial Power operates and develops transmission projects.

The remainder of Duke Energy's operations is presented as Other. While it is not an operating segment, Other primarily includes unallocated corporate interest expense, certain unallocated corporate costs, Bison Insurance Company Limited (Bison), Duke Energy's wholly owned, captive insurance subsidiary, and contributions to the Duke Energy Foundation. On December 31, 2013, Duke Energy sold its interest in DukeNet Communications Holdings, LLC (DukeNet) to Time Warner Cable, Inc. See Note 12 for further information.

								Year	Enc	led	Decen	nber	31	. 2013				
											Total			,				
	Re	gι	ılat erd t	err	ational	Со	mr	nercial	R	epc	rtable							
(in ı	millions)	Ut	ilities		Energy			Power	S	eg	ments			Other	ΕI	mir	nations	Total
	affiliated enues ^{(a)(b)(c)}	28),871	,	\$ 1,546		\$	2,106		(48	4,523		\$	75		\$		\$ 4,598
	rsegment enues		39					39			78			88			(166)	
	Total revenues	28),910		\$ 1,546		\$	2,145		₩	4,601		\$	163		\$	(166)	\$ 4,598
Inte	rest expense	\$	986		86		\$	64		\$	1,136		\$	417		\$	(7)	\$1,546
	oreciation and ortization		2,323		100			250			2,673			135				2,808
Equ of	ity in earnings																	
	onsolidated iates		(1)		110			7			116			6				122
	ome tax ense (benefit)		1,522		166			(104)			1,584			(323)				1,261

r_			1 1		1	П		1 1			-	-		1	т г			-	1		1 1	
	ment	(a)(f)(d)		.		400			(0)			0 000			/OC4					11,	40
	me ^{(a)(b)(c)(d)}	(6)(1)(9)	2,504	╄	H	408	+		(3)	 		2,909		++	(261	4	+		+	+	2,648
	back controlling																					
	est compo	nei	nt																			
IIICI	cst compo	1101	"																			11
Inco	me from																					
	ontinued																					
•	rations, net	of																				
tax			-H		-	H									+		_	+				17
	income		-H		_	H									+		_	+			\$ 2	2,676
Сар																						
	stments	nd																				
	enditures a uisitions	IIU	¢.	5,049	۱.	\$	67		\$	268		•	5,384		\$	22	2	\$			©	5,607
	ment asset	_		9,884	_	+++	4,998	_	Ψ	6,955	_	_	1,837			<u>22</u> 2,75	_	Ψ	18	ρ	-	1,779
oeg		<u>ა</u>	- 3	,00-	+	H	7,990	+		0,950	+		1,007		+	<u> </u>	-	+	10	+	+ +	1,113
(a)	In May 201	13		o En	oro	I I	hio ir	nnlo	m	ntod	rovic	od c	uctom	or r	atos a	nnr	2000	d by	tha DI) Th	ic
(a)	increase in	-			_	•		•										•				
	rates.	ıιρι	2010	riogi	aiai	ou	Otilitie	<i>,</i> 0. c	500	, 14010	1 10	n aa	antionia		oma		2000	<i>a</i> t tii	O TOVIC	Jou	ouoti	,,,,
(b)	In June 20	13	. Duł	ke Er	ner	vc	Progre	ess	imr	oleme	nted	revi	sed cu	ıstoı	ner ra	ates	app	rove	ed by th	ne N	1CU	<u> </u>
()	This increa																					
	customer r					•																
(c)	In September 2013, Duke Energy Carolinas implemented revised rates approved by the NCUC and																					
	the PSCS0					e in	npacts	Re	egu	lated	Utilit	ies.	See N	ote	4 for a	addit	iona	al inf	ormati	on a	abou	t the
	revised customer rates.																					
(d)																						
	for addition																					
	Regulated																					
	Nuclear St		•		,		_								•			_				
	Energy Floinformation			•				•			•	-		ua (Levy)	Site	. Se	ем	ole 4 10	or a	aaiii	mai
(f)	Other inclu									_				arac	e End	rav	Soc	. No	tos 2 a	and	25 f	or.
	additional i										_			JI CS	S LIIC	yıgy.	000	INO	163 2 6	ariu	25 10	וע
	Other inclu													int	erest	in D	ıkel	Vet	See N	lote	12 f	or
(9)	additional i		_								9) (1010111		0.000		J. (0)	101.	0001	.0.0		01
							II. I	,	Yea	ar End	ded	Dec	embei	31	2012	2						
													otal									
	R	equ	ulate	ldter	na	tio	nalCo	mm	ner	cial	Rep	orta	ble									
(in r	nillions)	U	tilitie	es	Eı	ner	gy		Pov	wer		gme			Othe	Elir	nina	atio	ns		Tota	ıl
Una	ffiliated																					
reve	nues	\$	6,04	2	\$,54	49	,	\$,0	20	\$	19,6	11	\$	13		\$			\$	9,624	Ļ
	rsegment																					
reve	nues		3	8					\perp	58			96		47			(143	3)			
	Total																					
	revenues		6,08			,54				78		19,7		\$	60			(143	3)	_	9,624	_
		\$	80	6	\$	'	77	;	\$	63	\$	9	46	\$	296		\$			\$,242	2
						l			1			l				1 1			I I			

Intere: expen																											
and	eciation		1,8;	27			99	9			228			2,15	54			13	15							2,28	9
Equity earnin	/ in		1,0								LLO			Σ, ι	<i>y</i> 1			- 10	,,,,							-,20	
affiliat Incom			((5)			134	1			14			14	13	-			5	+						14	8
expen (bene	nse fit)		9,	42			149	9			(8)			1,08	33			(378	3)							70	5
Segm incom			1,74	44			439	9			87			2,27	70			(538	3)							l 1,73	2
Add b	ack ontrolling st		,											,				`									4
Incom	ne from ntinued tions,																										6
Net in																									\$,78	2
expen and	al ments nditures sitions	\$	4,2	20		\$	55°	1		e t	,038		\$	5,80	ng		\$	14	.9		\$				\$	5,95	g l
Segm	ent																				Ψ	4.	70				
assets	S	9	8,10	62		ť	,406	Ď		6	,992	${\mathbb H}$		10,56	50			3,12	26	+		1.	70		113	3,85	6
(a) Regulated Utilities recorded charges related to Duke Energy Indiana's IGCC project. See Note 4 for additional information about these charges. Regulated Utilities also recorded the reversal of expenses of \$60 million related to a prior year Voluntary Opportunity Plan in accordance with Duke Energy Carolinas' 2011 rate case. See Note 19 for additional information about these expenses.																											
	other inclusion addition																res	s E	nerç	Jy.	Se	e No	otes	s 2	and	25	
	or addition	iui			atre			<i>x</i> (()			gora		Ĭ			<u>. </u>											
	Year Ended December 31, 2011																										
		F	Rea	 ula	ted	Inte	erna	tior		င်ဝ	mme Po	rcia		Rep		ota Ible											
	illions)		$ \Gamma$	tilit		7		ner					_	Segn	nent	s ^{(a}	1)	Οt	her	ΕI	mi	nați	ion	s		To	otal
Unaffi reveni				 60,5	386		Ф	1,46	37		\$ 2	,480			14,	533	3	\$	(4)			\$				\$4 ,5	20
Interse	egment		1	μ,ς			Ψ	1,40	,,		Ψ Ζ,			1			+	φ					/a = :	\dagger		Ψ#,	22
reveni	ues				33							11			1	44			48			((92))			

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Г	_		П																	_
Total revenues	\$(),619			1,467		\$	2,491		\$	14,577	\$			\$	(92)		\$	I,529	
Interest expense	\$	568		\$	47		\$	87		\$	702	\$	157		\$			\$	859	
Depreciation and											1,703									
amortization		1,383			90			230			1,700		103					1	,806	
Equity in earnings																				
of unconsolidated																				
affiliates					145			6			151		9						160	
Income tax																				
expense (benefit)		674			196			(2)			868	(116)						752	
Segment											1,781									
income ^{(a)(b)}		1,181			466			134			1,701		(76)					-	,705	
Add back												_							_	
noncontrolling																				
interest component																			•	
			H																8	-
Income from																				
discontinued																				
operations, net of tax																			4	
			H															φ-	,714	H
Net income	\vdash		H															Ф	,/14	
Capital investments																				
expenditures and																				
acquisitions	4	B,717		\$	114		\$	492		\$	4,323	Φ	141		\$			Φ	1,464	
acquisitions	Ψ	0,717	H	Ψ	114		Ψ	432			59,455	Ψ	141		Ψ			Ψ	1,404	
Segment assets	4	7,977			4,539			6,939		1	J9, 4 55	2	961			110		62	2,526	
Ĭ	T		П		,			,												П
(a) Regulated Utilit	ties	s reco	rde	ed cl	harges	re	late	ed to Di	ıke l	Ene	erav Inc	liana	a's IG	CC) proje	ect. Se	ee l	Note	4 for	П
additional infor					_										٥, ٥,٥	· · · · · ·				
(b) Commercial Po							_		vn th	ne c	carrying	ı val	ue of	ce	rtain e	missi	on			
` '					_						, .			55			J.1			
	allowances. See Note 11 for additional information about these charges.																			
The following table	inc	ludes	inf	orm	ation h	טע מ	neo	graphic	sec	mr	ent									┧
	Τ		Π	<u> </u>		ΪŤÌ	T	<u> </u>		,\ T			ПТ							\top

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(in million	s)		U.S.		Ar	Latin nerica ^(a)			nsolidated				
2013													
Consolidat	ed revenues	\$	23,053		\$	1,545		\$	24,598				
Consolidat	ed long-lived assets		78,581			2,781			81,362				
2012													
Consolidat	ed revenues	\$	18,078		\$	1,546		\$	19,624				
Consolidat	ed long-lived assets		79,144			2,467			81,611				
2011													
Consolidat	ed revenues	\$	13,062		\$	1,467		\$	14,529				
Consolidat	ed long-lived assets		45,920			2,612			48,532				
(a)	Change in amounts of long-lived assets in Latin America includes foreign currency translation adjustments on property, plant and equipment and other long-lived asset balances.												

Duke Energy Ohio

Duke Energy Ohio has two reportable operating segments, Regulated Utilities and Commercial Power.

Regulated Utilities transmits and distributes electricity in portions of Ohio and generates, distributes and sells electricity in portions of Kentucky. Regulated Utilities also transports and sells natural gas in portions of Ohio and northern Kentucky. It conducts operations primarily through Duke Energy Ohio and its wholly owned subsidiary, Duke Energy Kentucky.

Commercial Power owns, operates and manages power plants and engages in the wholesale marketing and procurement of electric power, fuel and emission allowances related to these plants, as well as other contractual positions.

The remainder of Duke Energy Ohio's operations is presented as Other. While it is not considered an operating segment, Other primarily includes certain governance costs allocated by its parent, Duke Energy. See Note 13 for additional information. All of Duke Energy Ohio's revenues are generated domestically and its long-lived assets are all in the U.S.

	Ι	1				V	00"	Endad F	200		or 21	20	12				
						<u>Y</u> (ear	Ended I Total		emb	er 31,	, <u>∠∪</u> 	13				
		Red	gulated	C	hmr	mercial	Rei	portable									
(in milli	ons)		Jtilities	0	J			egments			Other	Eli	mir	ations			Total
•	ited revenues ^(a)	\$			\$	1,480	\$			\$			\$			\$	3,245
	ment revenues	,	,			32		32		•			·	(32)		Ť	
	Total revenues	\$	1,765		\$		\$			\$			\$			\$	3,245
	expense	\$			\$	_	\$	· · ·		\$			\$	` '		\$	78
	ation and	Ť			7	-	_			Ť			_			7	
amortiza			200			154		354									354
Income	tax expense																
(benefit)	•		91			(14)		77			(2)						75
Segmer	nt																
income/	consolidated net																
income			151			(20)		131			(29)						102
	expenditures		375			58		433									433
Segmer	nt assets		6,649			4,170		10,819			99			(155)			10,763
(a)	Duke Energy Ohi																
	PJM Interconnect segment. These in nonregulated gen	reve	nues re	late	to t												wer's
						V			<u> </u>		- 1 O 1	20	10				
						<u> </u>	ear	Ended I Total		emb	er 31,	, <u>20</u>	_				
		Ro	gulated	٠,	hmr	morcial	Rai	notai portable									
(in milli	ons)		Jtilities	0	ļ	Power		egments			Other	Eli	min	ations			Total
•	ited revenues ^(a)	\$			\$	1,407	\$			\$			\$			\$	3,152
	ment revenues		1			51	·	52						(52)			
	Total revenues	\$	1,746		\$	1,458	\$	3,204		\$			\$	_ `		\$	3,152
	expense	\$			\$		\$	-		\$			\$			\$	89
Depreci	ation and																
amortiza	ation		179			159		338									338
Income	tax expense																
(benefit)			91			25		116			(18)						98
Segmer																	
	consolidated net		450			50		000			(0.4)						475
income			159			50		209			(34)						175
	expenditures		427			87		514			117			(100)			514
Segmer	nt assets I		6,434			4,175		10,609	-		117	-	-	(166)		_	10,560
	Duke Energy Ohi PJM in 2012, all o the sale of capac	of w	hich is ir	nclu	ıdec	d in the	Cor	nmercial	Pον	ver	segme	ent.	The	ese reve	enue	s re	elate to
											- 50		_ 9			آ	
					-	Y	ear	Ended [Dec	emb	er 31.	20	11				
(in milli	ons)													ations			Total

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

		gulated Utilities		mr		Rep	Total portable gments									
Unaffiliated revenues(a)	\$	1,474		\$	1,707	\$	3,181		\$			\$			\$	3,181
Intersegment revenues					4		4						(4)			
Total revenues	\$	1,474		\$	1,711	\$	3,185		\$			\$	(4)		\$	3,181
Interest expense	\$	68		\$	36	\$	104		\$			\$			\$	104
Depreciation and amortization		168			167		335									335
Income tax expense (benefit)		98			6		104			(8)						96
Segment income/consolidated net income ^(b)		133			78		211			(17)						194
Capital expenditures		375			124		499			(**)						499
Segment assets		6,293			4,740		11,033			259			(353)			10,939
(a) Duke Energy Oh PJM in 2011, all the sale of capac (b) Commercial Pow carrying value of	of w ity a er re	hich is ir and elect ecorded	nclu tricit cha	idec ty fr arge	I in the om Cores during	Con nme g th	nmercial ercial Pov e year er	Pov wer nde	wer 's no d De	segme onregu ecemb	ent. <u>late</u> er 3	The ed go	ese reve eneration 2011, to	enue on a wri	es re	elate to ts.
carrying value or	Cert	ain einis	510	II di	iowaiic	د ی. ،	SEE MOLE	; 11	101	auuill	Jilai	11110	Jillallo	11.		

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Carolinas, PROGRESS ENERGY, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana

Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana each have one reportable operating segment, Regulated Utility, which generates, transmits, distributes and sells electricity. The remainder of each company's operations is classified as Other. While not considered a reportable segment for any of these companies, Other consists of certain unallocated corporate costs. Other for Progress Energy also includes interest expense on corporate debt instruments of \$300 million, \$304 million and \$324 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. The following table summarizes the net loss for Other for each of these entities.

	Year	s Er	าde	d Decer	nbe	r 31	,
(in millions)	2013			2012			2011
Duke Energy Carolinas	\$ (97)		\$	(169)		\$	(46)
Progress Energy	(241)			(379)			(273)
Duke Energy Progress	(46)			(139)			(18)
Duke Energy Florida	(24)			(58)			(16)
Duke Energy Indiana	(16)			(27)			(12)

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Progress earned approximately 10 percent of its consolidated operating revenues from North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation (NCEMC) in 2013. These revenues relate to wholesale contracts and transmission revenues. The respective Regulated Utility and Regulated Utilities operating segments own substantially all of Duke Energy Carolinas', Progress Energy's, Duke Energy Progress', Duke Energy Florida's and Duke Energy Indiana's assets at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

4. REGULATORY MATTERS

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The Duke Energy Registrants record regulatory assets and liabilities that result from the ratemaking process. See Note 1 for further information.

The following tables present the regulatory assets and liabilities recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

					Dec	en	nbe	er 31, 20	013	3					
(in millions)	Duke Energy	C	Duke Energy arolinas		ogress Energy			Duke Energy ogress			Duke Energy Florida	E	Duke nergy Ohio		Duke nergy diana
Regulatory Assets															
Accrued pension and OPEB	\$ 1,723	,	\$ 347	\$	750		\$	269		\$	438	\$	120	\$	219
Retired generation facilities	1,748		68		1,619			241			1,378				61
Debt fair value adjustment	1,338														
Asset retirement	1,608		123		786			389			397				

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

obligations	1		1				1		Ī						
Net regulatory															
asset related to															
income taxes		1,115		555	331		113			218			72		157
Hedge costs							_								
and other															
deferrals		450		98	318		165			153			5		29
Demand side		100			1		1								
management															
(DSM)/Energy															
efficiency (EE)		371		140	152		140			12			79		
Vacation		<u> </u>					1	H							
accrual		210		82	55		50						7		13
Deferred fuel		94		02	37		6	H		31			14		43
Nuclear		34			31		- 0			31			14		43
		000		40	000		77			145					
deferral		262		40	222		77			145					
Post-in-service															
carrying costs															
and deferred															
operating		450		450	407		10			440			0.4		4-4
expenses		459	-	150	137	\vdash	19	H	-	118			21		151
Gasification															
services															
agreement															
buyout	1	75							_					-	75
Transmission															
expansion															
obligation	ļ	70											74		
Manufactured															
gas plant															
(MGP)		90											90		
Other		473		219	101		42			60			46		87
Total regulatory	,														
assets		10,086		1,822	4,508		1,511			2,950			528		835
Less: current															
portion		895		295	353		127			221			57		118
Total															
non-current															
regulatory															
assets	\$	9,191	\$	1,527	\$ 4,155		\$ 1,384		\$	2,729		\$	471	\$	717
	İ		1		Ĺ			П	Ť	-					
	1		1		1			H	+						
		<u> </u>			Der	emb	er 31, 2	01?	<u>_</u>						
	1	I	1	Duke	Dec	- IIIK				Duke			Duke		Duke
		Dulca			.0020		Duke		_			_		_	
(in millions)		Duke		Energy	ogress		Energy			nergy			nergy		nergy
(in millions)		Energy	<u> </u>	rolinas	Energy I	H	rogress	H		lorida	\vdash		Ohio	ın	diana
Regulatory															
Liabilities	1														

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Costs of removal		\$	5,308	Ą	2,423	Ą	2,008		9	1,637		\$	371	\$	241	\$	645
Amounts to		Ψ	3,300	Ψ	2,723	Ψ	2,000		Ψ	1,007		Ψ	371	Ψ	271	Ψ	043
refunded to																	
customers			151				120						120				31
Storm reserv	ve		145		20		125						125				
Accrued																	
pension and																	
OPEB			138												21		77
Deferred fue	el		177		45		132						132				
Other			346		153		114			99			14		27		45
Total regulat	tory		0.005		0.044		0.400			4 700			700		000		700
liabilities			6,265		2,641		2,499			1,736			762		289		798
Less: curren	ונ		316		65		207			63			144		27		16
portion Total		-	310		65		207			03			144				10
non-current																	
regulatory																	
liabilities		\$	5,949	\$	2,576	\$	2,292		\$	1,673		\$	618	\$	262	\$	782
										•							
							Dec	en	nbe	r 31, 20	012)					
					Duke					Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke
			Duke		Energy		ogress		E	Energy			Energy	Е	nergy		nergy
(in millions))		Energy	Ca	rolinas		Energy		Pro	ogress			-lorida		Ohio	In	diana
Regulatory																	
Assets																	
Accrued																	
pension and OPEB	1	\$	3,306	\$	602		1,650		\$	769		\$	754	\$	225	\$	325
Retired		Ψ	3,300	Ψ	002		1,000		Ψ	703		Ψ	7 54	Ψ	223	Ψ	323
generation																	
facilities			1,781				1,720			128			1,592				61
Debt fair val	ue		Í										j				
adjustment			1,472														
Asset																	
retirement																	
obligations			1,461		48		713			372			341				
Net regulato	-																
asset related			1 070		701		401			175			226		00		150
income taxe		-	1,373		731		401			175			226		82		158
Hedge costs and other	`																
deferrals			710		88		550			240			310		9		63
		\dashv								121	H		0.0		94		- 55
IDSM/EE			322		[()/										74		
DSM/EE Vacation		1	322		107		121			121					34		
DSM/EE Vacation accrual	+		245		85	\$	65			65					94 7		13

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	1								-		 		_			_		
Nuclear deferral		142					142					142						
Post-in-service																		
carrying costs																		
and deferred																		
operating																		
expenses		122			27										19			76
Gasification		122							-						10			70
services																		
agreement		95																95
buyout - · ·		95	-						-									90
Transmission																		
expansion																		
obligation		72	\sqcup					_	_						72			
MGP		77													77			
Other		401			260		77			52		26			39	L		93
Total regulatory									T									
assets		11,741			1,948		5,548			1,922		3,500			625			936
Less: current		Í			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				T	,		,						
portion		737			221		256			77		179			46			126
Total		, , ,										170						
non-current																		
regulatory																		
assets	\$	11,004		\$	1,727	\$	5,292		Φ	1,845	Φ	3,321		\$	579		\$	810
455815 1	φ	11,004	\vdash	Φ	1,/2/	Φ	5,292		Φ	1,045	Φ	3,321		Φ	579		Φ	010
			\sqcup															
							Dec	em	be	r 31, 20								
					Duke					Duke		Duke			Duke			
		Duke			Energy		ogress		E	Duke Energy	E	Energy		E	nergy			Duke nergy
(in millions)		Duke Energy							E	Duke	E			E				nergy
(in millions) Regulatory					Energy		ogress		E	Duke Energy	E	Energy		E	nergy			nergy
					Energy		ogress		E	Duke Energy	E	Energy		E	nergy			nergy
Regulatory					Energy		ogress		E	Duke Energy	E	Energy		E	nergy			nergy
Regulatory Liabilities	\$	Energy			Energy rolinas		ogress		Pro	Duke Energy	E	Energy		E \$	nergy			nergy
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal	\$	Energy		Cai	Energy rolinas		ogress Energy		Pro	Duke Energy ogress	F	Energy Florida			nergy Ohio		In	nergy <u>diana</u>
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be	\$	Energy		Cai	Energy rolinas		ogress Energy		Pro	Duke Energy ogress	F	Energy Florida			nergy Ohio		In	nergy <u>diana</u>
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to	\$	4,827		Cai	Energy rolinas		ogress Energy 2,048		Pro	Duke Energy ogress	F	Energy Florida 401			nergy Ohio		In	nergy diana 624
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to customers	\$	4,827 290		Cai	Energy rolinas		ogress Energy 2,048		Pro	Duke Energy ogress	F	Energy Florida 401 259			nergy Ohio		In	nergy <u>diana</u>
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to customers Storm reserve	\$	4,827		Cai	Energy rolinas		ogress Energy 2,048		Pro	Duke Energy ogress	F	Energy Florida 401			nergy Ohio		In	nergy diana 624
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to customers Storm reserve Accrued	\$	4,827 290		Cai	Energy rolinas		ogress Energy 2,048		Pro	Duke Energy ogress	F	Energy Florida 401 259			nergy Ohio		In	nergy diana 624
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to customers Storm reserve Accrued pension and	\$	4,827 290 125		Cai	Energy rolinas		ogress Energy 2,048		Pro	Duke Energy ogress	F	Energy Florida 401 259			inergy Ohio 236		In	624
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to customers Storm reserve Accrued pension and OPEB	\$	4,827 290 125		Cai	Energy rolinas 1,928		2,048 259 125		Pro	Duke Energy ogress	F	Energy Florida 401 259			nergy Ohio		In	nergy diana 624
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to customers Storm reserve Accrued pension and OPEB Deferred fuel	\$	4,827 290 125 103 55		Cai	1,928		2,048 259 125		Pro	Duke Energy ogress 1,503	F	401 259 125			236		In	624 31
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to customers Storm reserve Accrued pension and OPEB Deferred fuel Other		4,827 290 125		Cai	Energy rolinas 1,928		2,048 259 125		Pro	Duke Energy ogress	F	Energy Florida 401 259			inergy Ohio 236		In	624
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to customers Storm reserve Accrued pension and OPEB Deferred fuel Other Total regulatory liabilities		4,827 290 125 103 55		Cai	1,928		2,048 259 125		Pro	Duke Energy ogress 1,503	F	401 259 125			236		In	624 31
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to customers Storm reserve Accrued pension and OPEB Deferred fuel Other Total regulatory liabilities Less: current		4,827 290 125 103 55 340 5,740		Cai	1,928 45 207 2,180		2,048 259 125 10 55 2,497		Pro	1,503 1,503	F	259 125 20 805			236 18 293		In	624 31 68 29
Regulatory Liabilities Costs of removal Amounts to be refunded to customers Storm reserve Accrued pension and OPEB Deferred fuel Other Total regulatory liabilities		4,827 290 125 103 55 340 5,740		Ca \$	1,928 45 207		2,048 2,048 259 125 10 55 2,497 28		\$ \$	Duke Energy ogress 1,503	F	401 259 125			236 18		In	624 31 68

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Total non-cu regula liabiliti	urrent itory es										

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Descriptions of regulatory assets and liabilities, summarized in the tables above, as well as their recovery and amortization periods follow. Items are excluded from rate base unless otherwise noted.

Accrued pension and OPEB. Accrued pension and OPEB represent regulatory assets and liabilities related to each of the Duke Energy Registrants' respective shares of unrecognized actuarial gains and losses, unrecognized prior service cost, and unrecognized transition obligation attributable to Duke Energy's pension plans and OPEB plans. The regulatory asset or liability is amortized with the recognition of actuarial gains and losses, prior service cost, and transition obligations to net periodic benefit costs for pension and OPEB plans. See Note 21 for additional detail.

Retired generation facilities. Duke Energy Florida earns a reduced return on a substantial portion of the amount of regulatory asset associated with the retirement of Crystal River Unit 3 not included in rate base and a full return on a portion of the retired plant currently recovered in rates. Once included in base rates the amount will be amortized over 20 years. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress earn a return on the outstanding balance with recovery periods ranging from five to 10 years. Duke Energy Indiana earns a return on the outstanding balances and the costs are included in rate base.

Asset retirement obligations. Represents future removal costs associated with asset retirement obligations for nuclear facilities. No return is earned on these balances. The recovery period runs through the decommissioning period of each nuclear unit, the latest of which is estimated to be 2097. See Note 9 for additional information.

Net regulatory asset related to income taxes. Regulatory assets principally associated with the depreciation and recovery of AFUDC equity. Amounts have no impact on rate base as regulatory assets are offset by deferred tax liabilities. The recovery period is over the life of the associated assets.

Hedge costs and other deferrals. Amounts relate to unrealized gains and losses on derivatives recorded as a regulatory asset or liability, respectively, until the contracts are settled. The recovery period varies for these costs, and currently extends to 2027.

DSM/EE. The recovery period varies for these costs, with some currently unknown. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, and Duke Energy Florida are required to pay interest on the outstanding liability balance. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida collect a return on the outstanding asset balance. Duke Energy Carolinas collects a return on the outstanding balance in South Carolina.

Vacation accrual. Generally recovered within one year.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Deferred fuel. Deferred fuel costs represent certain energy costs that are recoverable or refundable as approved by the applicable regulatory body. Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana earn a return on under-recovered costs. Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana pay interest on over-recovered costs. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress pay interest on over-recovered costs in North Carolina. Recovery period is generally over one year. Duke Energy Florida amount includes capacity costs.

Nuclear deferral. Includes (i) amounts related to levelizing nuclear plant outage costs at Duke Energy Carolinas in North Carolina and South Carolina, and Duke Energy Progress in North Carolina, which allows for the recognition of nuclear outage expenses over the refueling cycle rather than when the outage occurs, resulting in the deferral of operations and maintenance costs associated with refueling and (ii) certain deferred preconstruction and carrying costs at Duke Energy Florida as approved by the FPSC associated with Levy, expected to be recovered in revenues by the end of 2017.

Post-in-service carrying costs and deferred operating expenses. Represents deferred depreciation and operating expenses as well as carrying costs on the portion of capital expenditures placed in service but not yet reflected in retail rates as plant in service. Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana earn a return on the outstanding balance. Duke Energy Ohio amounts are included in rate base. For Duke Energy Indiana, some amounts are included in rate base. Recovery is over various lives, and the latest recovery period is 2067.

Gasification services agreement buyout. The IURC authorized Duke Energy Indiana to recover costs incurred to buyout a gasification services agreement, including carrying costs through 2018.

Transmission expansion obligation. Represents transmission expansion obligations related to Duke Energy Ohio's withdrawal from Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO).

MGP. Represents remediation costs for former MGP sites. In November 2013, the PUCO approved recovery of these costs through 2018. Duke Energy Ohio does not earn a return on these costs. See Note 5, Commitments and Contingencies, for additional information.

Debt fair value adjustment. Purchase accounting adjustment to restate the carrying value of Progress Energy debt to fair value. Amount is amortized over the life of the related debt.

Costs of removal. Represents funds received from customers to cover the future removal of property, plant and equipment from retired or abandoned sites as property is retired. Also includes unrealized gains

on NDTF investments.

Amounts to be refunded to customers. Represents required refunds to retail customers by the applicable regulatory body. The refund period is through 2016 for Duke Energy Florida and through 2017 for Duke Energy Indiana.

Storm reserve. Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Florida are allowed to petition the PSCSC and FPSC, respectively, to seek recovery of named storms. Funds are used to offset future incurred costs.

Restrictions on the Ability of Certain Subsidiaries to Make Dividends, Advances and Loans to Duke Energy

As a condition to the approval of merger transactions, the NCUC, PSCSC, PUCO, KPSC, and IURC imposed conditions on the ability of Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Kentucky and Duke Energy Indiana to transfer funds to Duke Energy through loans or advances, as well as restricted amounts available to pay dividends to Duke Energy. Certain subsidiaries may transfer funds to the parent by obtaining approval of the respective state regulatory commissions. These conditions imposed restrictions on the ability of the public utility subsidiaries to pay cash dividends as discussed below.

Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida also have restrictions imposed by their first mortgage bond indentures and Articles of Incorporation which, in certain circumstances, limited their ability to make cash dividends or distributions on common stock. Amounts restricted as a result of these provisions were not material at December 31, 2013.

Additionally, certain other subsidiaries of Duke Energy have restrictions on their ability to dividend, loan or advance funds to Duke Energy due to specific legal or regulatory restrictions, including, but not limited to, minimum working capital and tangible net worth requirements.

Duke Energy Carolinas

Duke Energy Carolinas must limit cumulative distributions subsequent to mergers to (i) the amount of retained earnings on the day prior to the closing of the mergers, plus (ii) any future earnings recorded.

Duke Energy Progress

Duke Energy Progress must limit cumulative distributions subsequent to the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy to (i) the amount of retained earnings on the day prior to the closing of the merger, plus (ii) any future earnings recorded.

Duke Energy Ohio

Duke Energy Ohio will not declare and pay dividends out of capital or unearned surplus without the prior authorization of the PUCO. Duke Energy Ohio received FERC and PUCO approval to pay dividends from its equity accounts that are reflective of the amount that it would have in its retained earnings account had push-down accounting for the Cinergy Corp. (Cinergy) merger not been applied to Duke Energy Ohio's balance sheet. The conditions include a commitment from Duke Energy Ohio that equity, adjusted to remove the impacts of push-down accounting, will not fall below 30 percent of total capital.

Duke Energy Kentucky is required to pay dividends solely out of retained earnings and to maintain a minimum of 35 percent equity in its capital structure.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Indiana

Duke Energy Indiana must limit cumulative distributions subsequent to the merger between Duke Energy and Cinergy to (i) the amount of retained earnings on the day prior to the closing of the merger, plus (ii) any future earnings recorded. In addition, Duke Energy Indiana will not declare and pay dividends out of capital or unearned surplus without prior authorization of the IURC.

The restrictions discussed above were less than 25 percent of Duke Energy's net assets at December 31, 2013.

Rate Related Information

The NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC, IURC, PUCO and KPSC approve rates for retail electric and gas services within their states. Nonregulated sellers of gas and electric generation are also allowed to operate in Ohio once certified by the PUCO. The FERC approves rates for electric sales to wholesale customers served under cost-based rates (excluding Ohio and Indiana), as well as sales of transmission service.

Duke Energy Carolinas

2013 North Carolina Rate Case

On September 24, 2013, the NCUC approved a settlement agreement related to Duke Energy Carolinas' request for a rate increase with minor modifications. The North Carolina Utilities Commission Public Staff (Public Staff) was a party to the settlement agreement. The parties agreed to a three-year step-in rate increase, with the first two years providing for \$204 million, or a 4.5 percent average increase in rates, and the third year providing for rates to be increased by an additional \$30 million, or 0.6 percent. The agreement is based upon a return on equity of 10.2 percent and an equity component of the capital structure of 53 percent. The settlement agreement (i) allows for the recognition of nuclear outage expenses over the refueling cycle rather than when the outage occurs, (ii) a \$10 million shareholder contribution to agencies that provide energy assistance to low-income customers, and (iii) an annual reduction in the regulatory liability for costs of removal of \$30 million for each of the first two years. Duke Energy Carolinas also agreed not to request additional base rate increases to be effective before September 2015. New rates went into effect on September 25, 2013.

On October 23, 2013, the North Carolina Attorney General (NCAG) appealed the rate of return and capital structure approved in the agreement. On October 24, 2013, the NC Waste Awareness and Reduction

Network (NC WARN) also appealed various matters in the settlement. On December 11, 2013, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress, along with the Public Staff, filed a Motion to Consolidate this appeal with other North Carolina rate case appeals involving Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress. Both the NCAG and NC WARN filed responses with the North Carolina Supreme Court (NCSC) contesting consolidation. All parties are awaiting a ruling from the NCSC. Duke Energy Carolinas cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

2013 South Carolina Rate Case

On September 11, 2013, the PSCSC approved a settlement agreement related to Duke Energy Carolinas' request for a rate increase. Parties to the settlement agreement were the Office of Regulatory Staff, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Incorporated, the South Carolina Energy Users Committee, Public Works of the City of Spartanburg, South Carolina and the South Carolina Small Business Chamber of Commerce. The parties agreed to a two-year step-in rate increase, with the first year providing for approximately \$80 million, or a 5.5 percent average increase in rates, and the second year providing for rates to be increased by an additional \$38 million, or 2.6 percent. The settlement agreement is based upon a return on equity of 10.2 percent and a 53 percent equity component of the capital structure. The settlement agreement (i) allows for the recognition of nuclear outage expenses over the refueling cycle rather than when the outage occurs, (ii) approximately \$4 million of contributions to agencies that provide energy assistance to low-income customers and for economic development, and (iii) a reduction in the regulatory liability for costs of removal of \$45 million for the first year. Duke Energy Carolinas also agreed not to request additional base rate increases to be effective before September 2015. New rates went into effect on September 18, 2013.

2011 North Carolina Rate Case

On January 27, 2012, the NCUC approved a settlement agreement related to Duke Energy Carolinas' request for a rate increase. The Public Staff was a party to the settlement. On October 23, 2013, the NCUC reaffirmed the rate of return approved in the January 27, 2012 settlement agreement, in response to an appeal by the NCAG. On November 21, 2013, the NCAG appealed the reaffirmed order. On December 11, 2013, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress, along with the Public Staff, filed a Motion to Consolidate this appeal with other North Carolina rate case appeals involving Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress. Both the NCAG and NC WARN filed responses with the NCSC contesting consolidation. All parties are awaiting a ruling from the NCSC. Duke Energy Carolinas cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

William States Lee III Nuclear Station

In December 2007, Duke Energy Carolinas applied to the NRC for a Combined Construction and Operating License (COL) for two Westinghouse AP1000 (advanced passive) reactors for the proposed William States Lee III Nuclear Station (Lee Nuclear Station) at a site in Cherokee County, South Carolina. Submitting the COL application did not commit Duke Energy Carolinas to build nuclear units. Through several separate orders, the NCUC and PSCSC concurred with the prudency of Duke Energy Carolinas incurring certain project development and pre-construction costs, although recovery of costs is not guaranteed. Duke Energy Carolinas has incurred approximately \$382 million, including AFUDC through December 31, 2013. This amount is included in Net property, plant and equipment on Duke Energy Carolinas' Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Lee COL application is impacted by the ongoing NRC activity to address its Waste Confidence rule. The Waste Confidence rule is a generic finding by the NRC that spent fuel can be managed safely until ultimate disposal. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia (D.C. Circuit) remanded the rule to

the NRC. The NRC determined that no final licenses for new reactors would be issued until the remand is appropriately addressed. Based upon current timelines from the NRC, licenses would not be issued until November 2014 at the

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

earliest. The COL is also impacted by the time required to fully respond to an NRC request for additional information addressing seismic hazard evaluation resulting from recommendations of the Fukushima Near-Term Task Force.

Duke Energy Progress

2012 North Carolina Rate Case

On May 30, 2013, the NCUC approved a settlement agreement related to Duke Energy Progress' request for a rate increase. The Public Staff was a party to the settlement agreement. The parties agreed to a two-year step-in rate increase, with the first year providing for a \$147 million, or a 4.5 percent average increase in rates, and the second year providing for rates to be increased by an additional \$31 million, or a 1.0 percent average increase in rates. The agreement is based upon a return on equity of 10.2 percent and an equity component of the capital structure of 53 percent. The settlement agreement (i) allows for the recognition of nuclear outage expenses over the refueling cycle rather than when the outage occurs, (ii) a \$20 million shareholder contribution to agencies that provide energy assistance to low-income customers, and (iii) a reduction in the regulatory liability for costs of removal of \$20 million for the first year. New rates went into effect on June 1, 2013.

On July 1, 2013, the NCAG appealed the NCUC's approval of the rate of return and capital structure included in the agreement. NC WARN also appealed various matters in the settlement. On December 11, 2013, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress, along with the Public Staff, filed a Motion to Consolidate this appeal with other North Carolina rate case appeals involving Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress. Both the NCAG and NC WARN filed responses with the NCSC contesting consolidation. All parties are awaiting a ruling from the NCSC. Duke Energy Progress cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

L.V. Sutton Combined Cycle Facility

Duke Energy Progress completed construction of a 625 MW combined cycle natural gas-fired generating facility at its existing Sutton Steam Station in New Hanover County, North Carolina. Sutton began commercial operations in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Harris Expansion

On February 19, 2008, Duke Energy Progress applied to the NRC for a COL for two Westinghouse Electric AP1000 reactors at Harris. On May 2, 2013, Duke Energy Progress requested the NRC to suspend its review activities associated with the COL. As a result of the decision to suspend the COL applications, Duke Energy Progress recorded a pretax impairment charge of \$22 million during the second quarter of 2013. This charge represents costs associated with the COL, which are not probable of recovery. On September 16, 2013 and January 30, 2014, respectively, the NCUC and PSCSC approved the deferral of the respective retail portion of the COL costs. Approximately \$47 million is recorded in Regulatory assets on Duke Energy Progress' Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013.

Wholesale Depreciation Rates

On April 19, 2013, Duke Energy Progress filed an application with FERC for acceptance of changes to generation depreciation rates and in August filed for acceptance of additional changes. These changes will affect the rates of Duke Energy Progress wholesale power customers that purchase or will purchase power under formula rates. Certain Duke Energy Progress wholesale customers filed interventions and protests. FERC accepted the depreciation rate changes, subject to refund, and set the matter for settlement and hearing in a consolidated proceeding. FERC further initiated an action with respect to the justness and reasonableness of the proposed rate changes. Duke Energy Progress cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Duke Energy Florida

FPSC Settlement Agreements

On February 22, 2012, the FPSC approved a settlement agreement (the 2012 Settlement) among Duke Energy Florida, the Florida Office of Public Counsel (OPC) and other customer advocates. The 2012 Settlement was to continue through the last billing cycle of December 2016. The agreement addressed four principal matters: (i) the Crystal River Unit 3 delamination prudence review then pending before the FPSC, (ii) certain customer rate matters, (iii) Duke Energy Florida's proposed Levy cost recovery, and (iv) cost of removal reserve.

On October 17, 2013, the FPSC approved a settlement agreement (the 2013 Settlement) between Duke Energy Florida, OPC, and other customer advocates. The 2013 Settlement replaces and supplants the 2012 Settlement and substantially resolves additional issues, including (i) matters related to Crystal River Unit 3, (ii) Levy, (iii) Crystal River 1 and 2 coal units, and (iv) future generation needs in Florida.

Refer to the remaining sections below for further discussion of these settlement agreements.

Crystal River Unit 3

In September 2009, Crystal River Unit 3 began an outage for normal refueling and maintenance as well as an uprate project to increase its generating capability and to replace two steam generators. During preparations to replace the steam generators, workers discovered a delamination, or separation, within the concrete at the periphery of the containment building, which resulted in an extension of the outage. The concrete delamination was caused by redistribution of stresses in the containment wall that occurred when an opening was created to accommodate the replacement of the unit's steam generators. In March 2011, work to return the plant to service was suspended after monitoring equipment identified a new delamination. The second delamination occurred in a different section of the outer wall after repair work was completed and during the late stages of retensioning the containment building. Crystal River Unit 3 remained out of service while Duke Energy Florida conducted an engineering analysis and review of the second delamination and evaluated possible repair options.

Subsequent to March 2011, monitoring equipment detected additional changes and further damage in the partially tensioned containment building. Duke Energy Florida developed a repair plan, which had a preliminary cost estimate of \$900 million to \$1.3 billion.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

On February 5, 2013, following the completion of a comprehensive analysis and an independent review by Zapata Incorporated, which estimated repair costs to be between \$1.49 billion and \$3.43 billion depending on the repair scope selected, Duke Energy Florida announced its intention to retire Crystal River Unit 3. Duke Energy Florida concluded it did not have a high degree of confidence the repair could be successfully completed and licensed within estimated costs and schedule, and that it was in the best interests of Duke Energy Florida's customers and joint owners, and Duke Energy's investors to retire the unit. On February 20, 2013, Duke Energy Florida filed with the NRC a certification of permanent cessation of power operations and permanent removal of fuel from the reactor vessel. In December 2013, Duke Energy Florida filed an updated site-specific decommissioning study and plan with the NRC and FPSC. The study resulted in a decommissioning cost estimate of \$1,180 million, including amounts applicable to joint owners, under the safe storage (SAFSTOR) option. Duke Energy Florida's decommissioning study assumes Crystal River Unit 3 will be in SAFSTOR configuration, requiring limited staffing to monitor plant conditions, until the eventual dismantling and decontamination activities occur in 60 years. This decommissioning approach is currently utilized at a number of retired domestic nuclear power plants and is one of three generally accepted approaches to decommissioning approved by the NRC.

Duke Energy Florida maintains insurance coverage through Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited's (NEIL) accidental property damage program on an actual cash value basis. The NEIL coverage generally does not include property damage to or resulting from the containment structure. However, coverage does apply to decontamination and debris removal if required following an accident to ensure public health and safety or if property damage results from a terrorism event.

Duke Energy Florida worked with NEIL for recovery of applicable repair costs and associated replacement power costs throughout the duration of the Crystal River Unit 3 outage. On April 25, 2013, NEIL paid Duke Energy Florida \$530 million related to the Crystal River Unit 3 delaminations. Duke Energy Florida has received a total of \$835 million in insurance proceeds from NEIL related to the Crystal River Unit 3 delaminations. Duke Energy Florida recorded a regulatory liability of \$490 million upon receipt of the April 2013 NEIL settlement proceeds. This amount is being refunded to retail customers through Duke Energy Florida's fuel clause. Proceeds received from NEIL and the related refunds to retail customers are presented in Operating Activities on Duke Energy Florida's Statements of Cash Flows.

The 2013 Settlement resolves substantially all remaining issues in the FPSC proceeding related to the review of Duke Energy Florida's decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3, the mediated resolution of insurance claims with NEIL, and the costs spent to repair Crystal River Unit 3; the uprate project; and the components of the regulatory asset to be recovered in rates beginning no later than 2017 via a separate base rate component.

As a result of retiring the unit, Duke Energy Florida is required to refund \$100 million to retail customers through its fuel clause in accordance with the 2012 Settlement (retirement decision refund). Duke Energy Florida recorded a Regulatory liability in the third quarter of 2012 related to these replacement power obligations.

Duke Energy Florida has reclassified all Crystal River Unit 3 investments, including property, plant and equipment, nuclear fuel, inventory, and other assets to a regulatory asset. The 2012 Settlement authorized Duke Energy Florida to defer the retail portion of all Crystal River Unit 3-related costs incurred subsequent to retirement including, but not limited to, operations and maintenance and property tax costs in a regulatory asset. A regulatory liability must also be established to capture the difference between (i) actual incurred operations and maintenance and property tax costs in a given year and, (ii) the amount included in customer rates as established in Duke Energy Florida's most recent fully litigated base rate proceeding, effective 2010. Beginning in February 2013, the retail portion of operations and maintenance costs, payroll taxes, property taxes, and depreciation associated with Crystal River Unit 3 were deferred to a regulatory asset. Duke Energy Florida deferred \$134 million of these costs to Regulatory assets through December 31, 2013. The 2013 Settlement terminates the regulatory asset and/or liability treatment for operation and maintenance and property tax expenses incurred after December 31, 2013.

Duke Energy Florida agreed to forego recovery of \$295 million of Crystal River Unit 3 regulatory assets in accordance with the 2013 Settlement. This excludes amounts related to the uprate project. Duke Energy Florida recorded a \$295 million pretax charge in the second quarter of 2013 for this matter. This amount is included in Impairment charges on Duke Energy Florida's Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Duke Energy Florida is allowed to accelerate cash recovery of approximately \$130 million of the Crystal River Unit 3 regulatory asset from retail customers from 2014 through 2016 through its fuel clause. Duke Energy Florida will begin recovery of the remaining Crystal River Unit 3 regulatory asset, up to a cap of \$1,466 million from retail customers upon the earlier of (i) full recovery of the uncollected Levy investment or (ii) the first billing period of January 2017. Recovery will continue 240 months from inception of collection of the regulatory asset in base rates. The Crystal River Unit 3 base rate component will be adjusted at least every four years. Included in this recovery, but not subject to the cap, are costs of building a dry cask storage facility for spent nuclear fuel. The return rate will be based on the currently approved AFUDC rate with a return on equity of 7.35 percent, or 70 percent of the currently approved 10.5 percent. The return rate is subject to change if the return on equity changes in the future. Construction of the dry cask storage facility is subject to separate FPSC approval. The regulatory asset associated with the uprate project will continue to be recovered through the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause (NCRC) over an estimated seven-year period beginning in 2013.

Through December 31, 2013, Duke Energy Florida deferred \$1,310 million for rate recovery related to Crystal River Unit 3, which is subject to the rate recovery cap in the 2013 Settlement. In addition, Duke Energy Florida deferred \$323 million for recovery costs associated with building a dry cask storage facility and the original uprate project, which is not subject to the rate recovery cap discussed above. Duke Energy Florida does not expect the Crystal River Unit 3 regulatory asset to exceed the cap prior to full cash recovery from its retail customers.

The following table includes a summary of retail customer refunds agreed to in the 2012 Settlement and the 2013 Settlement.

			Dece	emb	er 31, 2	013			

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

								Remai	ning A	۱m	ount to	be l	Refu	nded
(in millions)		Total			unded o date			2014			2015			2016
2012 Settlement refund ^(a)	\$	288		\$	129		\$	139		\$	10		\$	10
Retirement decision refund		100			-			-			40			60
NEIL proceeds		490			326			164			-			-
Total customer refunds		878			455			303			50			70
Accelerated regulatory asset recovery		(130)			ı			(37)			(37)			(56)
Net customer refunds	\$	748		\$	455		\$	266		\$	13		\$	14
(a) See discussion un	der (Custome	er Ra	te M	atters s	ection	า be	elow.	<u> </u>	-	1		Ī	

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Florida is a party to a master participation agreement and other related agreements with the joint owners of Crystal River Unit 3, which convey certain rights and obligations on Duke Energy Florida and the joint owners. In December 2012, Duke Energy Florida reached an agreement with one joint owner and extended a settlement offer to the other joint owner related to all Crystal River Unit 3 matters. Duke Energy Florida recorded a charge of \$45 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 related to the December 2012 settlement and settlement offer. In January 2014, Duke Energy Florida reached an agreement in principle with the remaining joint owner regarding resolution of matters associated with Crystal River Unit 3 based on condition precedents that must be met in order to carry out the agreement. Duke Energy Florida recorded a charge of \$57 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 related to the January 2014 agreement. The significant majority of these amounts were included in Operations, maintenance and other on the Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Customer Rate Matters

Pursuant to the 2013 Settlement, Duke Energy Florida will maintain base rates at the current level through the last billing period of 2018, subject to the return on equity range of 9.5 percent to 11.5 percent, with exceptions for base rate increases for the recovery of the Crystal River Unit 3 regulatory asset beginning no later than 2017 and base rate increases for new generation through 2018, per the provisions of the 2013 Settlement. Duke Energy Florida is not required to file a depreciation study, fossil dismantlement study or nuclear decommissioning study until the earlier of the next rate case filing or March 31, 2019. The 2012 Settlement provided for a \$150 million increase in base revenue effective with the first billing cycle of January 2013. Costs associated with Crystal River Unit 3 investments were removed from retail rate base effective with the first billing cycle of January 2013. Duke Energy Florida is accruing, for future rate-setting purposes, a carrying charge on the Crystal River Unit 3 investment until the Crystal River Unit 3 regulatory asset is recovered in base rates. If Duke Energy Florida's retail base rate earnings fall below the return on equity range, as reported on a FPSC-adjusted or pro-forma basis on a monthly earnings surveillance report, it may petition the FPSC to amend its base rates during the term of the 2013 Settlement.

Duke Energy Florida is refunding \$288 million to retail customers through its fuel clause, as required by the 2012 Settlement.

Levy

On July 28, 2008, Duke Energy Florida applied to the NRC for a COL for two Westinghouse AP1000 reactors at Levy. Various parties filed a joint petition to intervene in the Levy COL application. On March 26, 2013, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board issued a ruling that the NRC had carried its burden of

demonstrating its Final Environmental Impact Statement complies with the National Environmental Policy Act and applicable NRC regulatory requirements.

In 2008, the FPSC granted Duke Energy Florida's petition for an affirmative Determination of Need and related orders requesting cost recovery under Florida's nuclear cost-recovery rule, together with the associated facilities, including transmission lines and substation facilities.

Under the terms of the 2012 Settlement, Duke Energy Florida began retail cost recovery of Levy costs effective in the first billing cycle of January 2013 at the fixed rates contained in the settlement and continuing for a five-year period, with true-up of any actual costs not recovered during the five-year period occurring in the final year. This amount is intended to recover the estimated retail project costs to date including costs necessary to obtain the COL and any engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) agreement cancellation costs. The 2012 Settlement provided that Duke Energy Florida will treat the allocated wholesale cost of Levy as a retail regulatory asset and include this asset as a component of rate base and amortization expense for regulatory reporting. The consumer parties agree to not oppose Duke Energy Florida continuing to pursue a COL for Levy.

On January 28, 2014, Duke Energy Florida terminated the EPC. Duke Energy Florida may be required to pay for work performed under the EPC and to bring existing work to an orderly conclusion, including but not limited to, costs to demobilize and cancel certain equipment and material orders placed. Duke Energy Florida is allowed to recover reasonable and prudent EPC cancellation costs from its retail customers. If Duke Energy Florida, at its own discretion, decides not to pursue the COL prior to March 31, 2015, it agrees to credit customers \$10 million as a reduction to fuel costs.

In accordance with the 2013 Settlement, Duke Energy Florida ceased amortization of the wholesale allocation of Levy investments against retail rates. In the second quarter of 2013, Duke Energy Florida recorded a pretax charge of \$65 million to write-off the wholesale portion of Levy investments. This amount is included in Impairment charges on the Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Recovery of the remaining retail portion of the project costs will occur over five years from 2013 through 2017. Duke Energy Florida has an ongoing responsibility to demonstrate prudency related to the wind down of the Levy investment and the potential for salvage of Levy assets. As of December 31, 2013, Duke Energy Florida has a net uncollected investment in Levy of approximately \$264 million, including AFUDC. Of this amount, \$50 million is included in Regulatory assets, \$117 million related to land and the COL is included in Net, property, plant and equipment, and \$97 million is included in Regulatory assets within Current Assets on the Balance Sheets.

Crystal River 1 and 2 Coal Units

Duke Energy Florida has evaluated Crystal River 1 and 2 coal units for retirement in order to comply with certain environmental regulations. Based on this evaluation, those units will likely be retired by 2018. Once those units are retired Duke Energy Florida will continue recovery of existing annual depreciation expense through the end of 2020. Beginning in 2021, Duke Energy Florida will be allowed to recover any remaining net book value of the assets from retail customers through the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause. On December 31, 2013 Duke

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Energy Florida filed a petition with the FPSC to allow for the recovery of prudently incurred costs to comply with the Mercury and Air Toxics Standard through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause.

New Generation

Duke Energy Florida currently projects a significant need for additional generation to offset the impact of retirement of Crystal River Unit 3 as well as the possible retirement of Crystal River 1 and 2 coal units. The 2013 Settlement establishes a recovery mechanism for additional generation needs. This recovery mechanism, the Generation Base Rate Adjustment (GBRA), will apply to (i) the construction, uprate of existing generation, and/or purchase of up to 1,150 MW of combustion turbine and/or combined cycle generating capacity prior to the end of 2017, and (ii) the construction of additional generation of up to 1,800 MW to be placed in service in 2018 upon FPSC approval of a need determination. The GBRA allows recovery of prudent costs of these items through an increase in base rates, upon the in-service date of such assets, without a general rate case at a 10.5 percent return on equity. On October 8, 2013, Duke Energy Florida issued a request for proposals to evaluate alternatives for an additional generation facility. Duke Energy Florida is currently reviewing bids received on December 9, 2013.

Cost of Removal Reserve

The 2012 Settlement and the 2013 Settlement provide Duke Energy Florida the discretion to reduce cost of removal amortization expense up to the balance in the cost of removal reserve until the earlier of its applicable cost of removal reserve reaches zero or the expiration of the 2013 Settlement. Duke Energy Florida may not reduce amortization expense if the reduction would cause it to exceed the appropriate high point of the return on equity range. Duke Energy Florida recognized a reduction in amortization expense of \$114 million, \$178 million, and \$250 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 respectively. Duke Energy Florida had no cost of removal reserves eligible for amortization to income remaining at December 31, 2013.

Duke Energy Ohio

Capacity Rider Filing

On August 29, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio applied to the PUCO for the establishment of a charge for capacity provided pursuant to its obligations as a Fixed Resource Requirement (FRR) entity. The charge, which is consistent with Ohio's state compensation mechanism, is estimated to be approximately \$729 million, and reflects Duke Energy Ohio's embedded cost of capacity. On February 13, 2014, the PUCO denied Duke

Energy Ohio's request.

2012 Electric Rate Case

On May 1, 2013, the PUCO approved a settlement agreement (the Electric Settlement) related to Duke Energy Ohio's electric distribution rate case. All intervening parties signed the Electric Settlement. The Electric Settlement provides for a net increase in electric distribution revenues of \$49 million, or an average increase of 2.9 percent, based upon a return on equity of 9.84 percent. Revised rates were effective in May 2013.

2012 Natural Gas Rate Case

On April 2, 2013, Duke Energy Ohio, the PUCO Staff, and intervening parties filed a settlement (the Gas Settlement) with the PUCO related to a gas distribution case. The Gas Settlement provides for no increase in base rates for gas distribution service. The Gas Settlement left unresolved the recovery of environmental remediation costs associated with former manufactured gas plants (MGP). The Gas Settlement is based upon a return on equity of 9.84 percent.

On November 13, 2013, the PUCO issued an order approving the Gas Settlement and allowing for the recovery of \$56 million of MGP costs, excluding carrying costs, to be recovered over a five-year period beginning in 2014. On February 19, 2014, the PUCO denied intervening consumer groups' motion to stay implementation of its order, or, in the alternative, to implement the MGP rider subject to refund. Intervening groups have provided notice of their intent to appeal the PUCO's decision to the Ohio Supreme Court. Duke Energy Ohio cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Generation Asset Transfer

On April 2, 2012 and amended on June 22, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio and various affiliated entities filed an Application for Authorization for Disposition of Jurisdictional Facilities with FERC. The application seeks to transfer, from Duke Energy Ohio's rate-regulated Ohio utility company, the legacy coal-fired and combustion gas turbine assets to a nonregulated affiliate, consistent with the ESP stipulation approved by the PUCO on November 22, 2011. The application outlines a potential additional step in the reorganization that would result in a transfer of all of Duke Energy Ohio's Commercial Power business to an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy. The process of determining the optimal corporate structure is an ongoing evaluation of factors, such as tax considerations, that may change between now and the transfer date. In conjunction with the transfer, Duke Energy Ohio's capital structure will be restructured to reflect appropriate debt and equity ratios for its regulated operations. The transfer could instead be accomplished within a wholly owned nonregulated subsidiary of Duke Energy Ohio depending on final tax structuring analysis. The FERC approved the application on September 5, 2012. Duke Energy Ohio agreed to transfer the legacy coal-fired and combustion gas turbine assets on or before December 31, 2014.

Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) Realignment

Duke Energy Ohio including Duke Energy Kentucky, transferred control of its transmission assets from MISO to PJM, effective December 31, 2011.

On December 22, 2010, the KPSC approved Duke Energy Kentucky's request to effect the RTO realignment, subject to a commitment not to seek double-recovery in a future rate case of the transmission expansion fees that may be charged by MISO and PJM in the same period or overlapping periods.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

On May 25, 2011, the PUCO approved a settlement between Duke Energy Ohio, Ohio Energy Group, The Office of Ohio Consumers' Counsel and the PUCO Staff related to Duke Energy Ohio's recovery of certain costs of the RTO realignment via a non-bypassable rider. Duke Energy Ohio is allowed to recover all MISO Transmission Expansion Project (MTEP) costs, including but not limited to Multi-Value Project (MVP) costs, directly or indirectly charged to Duke Energy Ohio retail customers. Duke Energy Ohio will not recover any portion of the MISO exit obligation, PJM integration fees, or internal costs associated with the RTO realignment, and the first \$121 million of PJM transmission expansion costs from Ohio retail customers. Duke Energy Ohio also agreed to vigorously defend against any charges for MVP projects from MISO.

Upon its exit from MISO on December 31, 2011, Duke Energy Ohio recorded a liability for its exit obligation and share of MTEP costs, excluding MVP. This liability was recorded within Other in Current liabilities and Other in Deferred credits and other liabilities on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balance of Duke Energy Ohio's recorded obligations related to its withdrawal from MISO.

		Bala	ance at							Bala	nce at
(in millions)			ember 1, 2012		ision / ments		Redu	Cash ctions			ember 2013 ^(a)
Duke Energy	/ Ohio	\$	97	\$	2		\$	(4)		\$	95
(a)	As of December 31, 20 Ohio's Consolidated B			ecorde	d as a	Regul	atory a	isset or	Duke	Energ	lУ

MVP. MISO approved 17 MVP proposals prior to Duke Energy Ohio's exit from MISO on December 31, 2011. Construction of these projects is expected to continue through 2020. Costs of these projects, including operating and maintenance costs, property and income taxes, depreciation and an allowed return, are allocated and billed to MISO transmission owners.

On December 29, 2011, MISO filed a tariff with the FERC providing for the allocation of MVP costs to a withdrawing owner based on monthly energy usage. The FERC set for hearing (i) whether MISO's proposed cost allocation methodology to transmission owners who withdrew from MISO prior to January 1, 2012 is consistent with the tariff at the time of their withdrawal from MISO, and, (ii) if not, what amount of, and methodology for calculating any MVP cost responsibility should be. On July 16, 2013, a FERC

Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued an initial decision. Under this initial decision, Duke Energy Ohio would be liable for MVP costs. Duke Energy Ohio filed exceptions to the initial decision, requesting the FERC overturn the ALJ's decision. After reviewing the initial decision, along with all exceptions and responses filed by the parties, the FERC will issue a final decision. Duke Energy Ohio fully intends to appeal to the federal court of appeals if the FERC affirms the ALJ's decision. Duke Energy Ohio cannot predict the outcome of these proceedings.

In 2012, MISO estimated Duke Energy Ohio's MVP obligation over the period from 2012 to 2071 at \$2.7 billion, on an undiscounted basis. The estimated obligation is subject to great uncertainty including the ultimate cost of the projects, the annual costs of O&M, taxes and return over the project lives and the allocation to Duke Energy Ohio.

Duke Energy Indiana

Edwardsport IGCC Plant

On November 20, 2007, the IURC granted Duke Energy Indiana a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) for the construction of a 618 MW IGCC power plant at Duke Energy Indiana's existing Edwardsport Generating Station in Knox County, Indiana with a cost estimate of \$1.985 billion assuming timely recovery of financing costs related to the project. On January 25, 2008, Duke Energy Indiana received the final air permit from the Indiana Department of Environmental Management. The Citizens Action Coalition of Indiana, Inc., Sierra Club, Inc., Save the Valley, Inc., and Valley Watch, Inc., all intervenors in the CPCN proceeding (collectively, the Joint Intervenors), appealed the air permit. A settlement related to the air permit was reached on August 30, 2013. The air permit was not impacted by the provisions of the settlement.

Duke Energy Indiana experienced design modifications, quantity increases and scope growth above what was anticipated from the preliminary engineering design, which increased capital costs for the project. As a result, the projected cost estimate increased throughout construction of the project and various revised estimates were filed with the IURC. In October 2012, Duke Energy Indiana revised its latest projected cost estimate to \$3.15 billion (excluding AFUDC).

On December 27, 2012, the IURC approved a settlement agreement (2012 Edwardsport settlement) related to the cost increase for the construction of the project, including subdockets before the IURC related to the project. The Office of Utility Consumer Counselor (OUCC), the Duke Energy Indiana Industrial Group and Nucor Steel-Indiana were parties to the settlement. This settlement agreement resolved all then pending regulatory issues related to the project. The settlement agreement, as approved, capped costs to be reflected in customer rates at \$2.595 billion, including estimated AFUDC through June 30, 2012. Duke Energy Indiana is allowed to recover AFUDC after June 30, 2012, until customer rates are revised, with such recovery decreasing to 85 percent on AFUDC accrued after November 30, 2012. Duke Energy Indiana also agreed not to request a retail electric base rate increase prior to March 2013, with rates in effect no earlier than April 1, 2014.

The IURC modified the 2012 Edwardsport settlement as previously agreed to by the parties to (i) require Duke Energy Indiana to credit customers for cost control incentive payments the IURC found to be unwarranted as a result of delays that arose from project cost overruns and (ii) provide that if Duke Energy Indiana should recover more than the project costs absorbed by Duke Energy's shareholders through litigation, any surplus must be returned to the Duke Energy Indiana's ratepayers.

Over the course of construction of the project, Duke Energy Indiana recorded pretax charges of approximately \$897 million related to the Edwardsport project, including the settlement agreement

discussed above. Of this amount, pretax impairment and other charges of \$631 million were recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012. These charges were recorded in Impairment charges and Operations, maintenance and other on Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The Joint Intervenors appealed the IURC order approving the 2012 Edwardsport settlement and other related regulatory orders to the Indiana Court of Appeals. A final decision is anticipated mid-2014.

The project was placed in commercial operation in June 2013. Costs for the Edwardsport IGCC plant are recovered from retail electric customers via a tracking mechanism, the IGCC Rider.

Other Regulatory Matters

Merger Appeals

On January 9, 2013, the City of Orangeburg and NC WARN appealed the NCUC's approval of the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy. On April 29, 2013, the NCUC granted Duke Energy's motion to dismiss certain exceptions contained in NC WARN's appeal. On November 6, 2013, the North Carolina Court of Appeals heard oral arguments on the appeals. A decision from the North Carolina Court of Appeals is pending.

Progress Energy Merger FERC Mitigation

In June 2012, the FERC approved the merger with Progress Energy, including Duke Energy and Progress Energy's revised market power mitigation plan, the Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA) and the joint Open Access Transmission Tariff. The revised market power mitigation plan provides for the acceleration of one transmission project and the completion of seven other transmission projects (Long-term FERC Mitigation) and interim firm power sale agreements during the completion of the transmission projects (Interim FERC Mitigation). The Long-term FERC Mitigation is expected to increase power imported into the Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress service areas and enhance competitive power supply options in the service areas. These projects are expected to be completed in 2014. On August 8, 2012, FERC granted certain intervenors' request for rehearing for further consideration.

Following the closing of the merger, outside counsel reviewed Duke Energy's mitigation plan and discovered a technical error in the calculations. On December 6, 2013, Duke Energy submitted a filing with the FERC disclosing the error and arguing that no additional mitigation is necessary. On February 4, 2014, The City of New Bern, North Carolina filed comments to Duke Energy's filing. Duke Energy's response to New Bern was filed on February 19, 2014. Duke Energy cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Planned and Potential Coal Plant Retirements

The Subsidiary Registrants periodically file Integrated Resource Plans (IRP) with their state regulatory commissions. The IRPs provide a view of forecasted energy needs over a 10-20 year period, and options being considered to meet those needs. The IRPs filed by the Subsidiary Registrants in 2013, 2012 and 2011 included planning assumptions to potentially retire certain coal-fired generating facilities in South Carolina, Florida, Indiana and Ohio earlier than their current estimated useful lives. The facilities do not have the requisite emission control equipment, primarily to meet EPA regulations that are not yet effective.

The table below contains the net carrying value of generating facilities planned for early retirement or being evaluated for potential retirement included in Property, plant and equipment, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

			1															
								Do	cembe	r 21	20	12						
						Duke		De	Cembe	131	, 20	Duke			Duke			Duke
			Duke					Dra	ogress			Duke nergy			Duke Energy			Duke Energy
			Energy			Energy Iinas ^(b)			ergy ^(c)			orida ^(c)			Chio ^(d)			iana ^(e)
Canasi	t. (in 1/1/1/)				aiu						1 10						IIIC	
	ty (in MW)		2,447			200			873			873			706			668
	ning net																	
book va	`	_						_									_	
millions	s) ^(a)	\$	260		\$	14		\$	113		\$	113		\$	10		\$	123
(a)	Included in Balance Sh		• •	nt ar	nd e	quipme	nt, ı	net a	as of De	ecen	nber	· 31, 20	13,	on t	he Cor	soli	date	d
(b)	Includes Le 2014. Duke conjunction	Ene	ergy Caro	linas	s ex	pects to	o ret	ire c	or conve	ert th	hese	units b	oy D	ece	mber 2	020		gas in
(c)	Includes Cr	ysta	I River Ur	nits '	1 an	d 2.												
(d)	Includes Be retired on F														Station	ı Un	it 4 v	was
(e)	Includes Wa conversion conjunction	abas to ga	sh River U as. Duke	Jnits Ene	2 th	nrough Indiana	6. V	Vaba nmi	ash Riv tted to i	er U retire	Init 6 e or	is beir conver	ng e t the	valu se ι	units by	/ Jui		
	nergy contin e current est																	
	erwise recove																	
	ing costs on			•												_		•
	be assured.	1611	iaiiiiig DC	JUK 1	aiu	c s, cou	iu D	c su	DJ e Gi id	iull	J1 C 1	eguiaic	луа	ıppı	ovais a	iiu t	11616	IUIE
carinot	T assured.																	

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

General Insurance

The Duke Energy Registrants have insurance and reinsurance coverage either directly or through indemnification from Duke Energy's captive insurance company, Bison, and its affiliates, consistent with companies engaged in similar commercial operations with similar type properties. The Duke Energy Registrants' coverage includes (i) commercial general liability coverage for liabilities arising to third parties for bodily injury

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

and property damage; (ii) workers' compensation; (iii) automobile liability coverage; and (iv) property coverage for all real and personal property damage. Real and personal property damage coverage excludes electric transmission and distribution lines, but includes damages arising from boiler and machinery breakdowns, earthquakes, flood damage and extra expense, but not outage or replacement power coverage. All coverage is subject to certain deductibles or retentions, sublimits, exclusions, terms and conditions common for companies with similar types of operations.

The Duke Energy Registrants self-insure their electric transmission and distribution lines against loss due to storm damage and other natural disasters. As discussed further in Note 4, Duke Energy Florida maintains a storm damage reserve and has a regulatory mechanism to recover the cost of named storms on an expedited basis.

The cost of the Duke Energy Registrants' coverage can fluctuate year to year reflecting claims history and conditions of the insurance and reinsurance markets.

In the event of a loss, terms and amounts of insurance and reinsurance available might not be adequate to cover claims and other expenses incurred. Uninsured losses and other expenses, to the extent not recovered by other sources, could have a material effect on the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations, cash flows or financial position. Each company is responsible to the extent losses may exceed limits of the coverage available.

Nuclear Insurance

Duke Energy Carolinas owns and operates the McGuire Nuclear Station (McGuire) and the Oconee Nuclear Station (Oconee) and operates and has a partial ownership interest in the Catawba Nuclear Station (Catawba). McGuire and Catawba each have two reactors. Oconee has three reactors. The other joint owners of Catawba reimburse Duke Energy Carolinas for certain expenses associated with nuclear insurance per the Catawba joint owner agreements.

Duke Energy Progress owns and operates the Robinson Nuclear Station (Robinson) and operates and has a partial ownership interest in the Brunswick Nuclear Station (Brunswick) and Harris. Robinson and Harris each have one reactor. Brunswick has two reactors. The other joint owners of Brunswick and Harris reimburse Duke Energy Progress for certain expenses associated with nuclear insurance per the Brunswick and Harris joint owner agreements.

Duke Energy Florida manages and has a partial ownership interest in Crystal River Unit 3, which has been retired. The other joint owners of Crystal River Unit 3 reimburse Duke Energy Florida for certain expenses associated with nuclear insurance per the Crystal River Unit 3 joint owner agreement.

In the event of a loss, terms and amounts of insurance available might not be adequate to cover property damage and other expenses incurred. Uninsured losses and other expenses, to the extent not recovered by other sources, could have a material effect on Duke Energy Carolinas', Duke Energy Progress' and Duke Energy Florida's results of operations, cash flows or financial position. Each company is responsible to the extent losses may exceed limits of the coverage available.

Nuclear Liability Coverage

The Price-Anderson Act requires owners of nuclear reactors to provide for public nuclear liability protection per nuclear incident up to a maximum total financial protection liability. The maximum total financial protection liability increased to a total of \$13.6 billion. This amount is adjusted every five years for an inflationary provision. Total nuclear liability coverage consists of a combination of private primary nuclear liability insurance coverage and a mandatory industry risk-sharing program to provide for excess nuclear liability coverage above the maximum reasonably available private primary coverage. The United States Congress could impose revenue-raising measures on the nuclear industry to pay claims.

Primary Liability Insurance

Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida have purchased the maximum reasonably available private primary nuclear liability insurance as required by law, which currently is \$375 million per station.

Excess Liability Program

This program provides \$13.2 billion of coverage per incident through the Price-Anderson Act's mandatory industry-wide excess secondary financial protection program of risk pooling. This amount is the product of potential cumulative retrospective premium assessments of \$127 million times the current 104 licensed commercial nuclear reactors in U.S. Under this program, licensees could be assessed retrospective premiums to compensate for public nuclear liability damages in the event of a nuclear incident at any licensed facility in the U.S. Retrospective premiums may be assessed at a rate not to exceed \$19 million per year per licensed reactor for each incident. The assessment may be subject to state premium taxes.

Nuclear Property Coverage

Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida are members of NEIL, which provides insurance coverage for nuclear facilities under three policy programs: the primary property insurance program, the excess property insurance program and the accidental outage insurance program.

Pursuant to regulations of the NRC, each company's property damage insurance policies provide that all proceeds from such insurance be applied, first, to place the plant in a safe and stable condition after a qualifying accident, and second, to decontaminate the plant before any proceeds can be used for decommissioning, plant repair or restoration.

Losses resulting from non-certified acts of terrorism are covered as common occurrences, such that if non-certified terrorist acts occur against one or more commercial nuclear power plants insured by NEIL within a 12-month period, they would be treated as one event and the owners

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

of the plants where the act occurred would share one full limit of liability. The full limit of liability is currently \$3.2 billion. NEIL sublimits the total aggregate for all of their policies for non-nuclear terrorist events to approximately \$1.83 billion.

Primary Property Insurance

This policy provides \$500 million of primary property damage coverage. The deductible per occurrence is \$3 million for Catawba, and \$10 million for the remaining nuclear facilities. This policy also has a 10 percent deductible provision excess of these deductibles for natural catastrophe damage.

Excess Property Insurance

This policy provides excess property, decontamination and decommissioning liability insurance of \$2.25 billion for Catawba, \$750 million each for Oconee, McGuire, Brunswick, Harris and Robinson; and \$560 million for Crystal River Unit 3. All nuclear facilities except for Catawba and Crystal River Unit 3 also share an additional \$1 billion insurance limit above their dedicated underlying excess. This shared additional excess limit is not subject to reinstatement in the event of a loss.

Crystal River Unit 3's primary and excess property insurance is on an actual cash value basis. NEIL coverage does not include property damage to or resulting from the containment structure except coverage does apply to decontamination and debris removal, if required following an accident, to ensure public health and safety or if property damage results from a terrorism event.

NEIL sublimits property damage losses to \$1.5 billion for non-nuclear accidental property damage.

Accidental Outage Insurance

This policy provides replacement power expense coverage resulting from an accidental property damage outage of a nuclear unit. Coverage amounts decrease in the event more than one unit at a station is out of service due to a common accident. Initial coverage begins after a 12-week deductible period. Coverage continues at 100 percent of the weekly limits for 52 weeks and 80 percent of the weekly limits for the next 110 weeks.

The Catawba units are insured for up to \$4 million per week. The McGuire units are insured for up to \$4 million per week. The Oconee units are insured for up to \$3 million per week. The Brunswick units are insured for up to \$3 million per week. The Robinson

unit is insured for up to \$2 million per week. The accidental outage policy limit is \$490 million for McGuire and Catawba, \$378 million for Oconee, \$406 million for Brunswick, \$364 million for Harris, and \$308 million for Robinson.

NEIL sublimits the accidental outage recovery to the first 104 weeks of coverage not to exceed \$328 million from non-nuclear accidental property damage.

Potential Retroactive Premium Assessments

In the event of NEIL losses, NEIL's board of directors may assess member companies retroactive premiums of amounts up to 10 times their annual premiums for up to six years after a loss. The current potential maximum assessments for Duke Energy Carolinas are \$42 million for primary property insurance, \$36 million for excess property insurance and \$29 million for accidental outage insurance. The current potential maximum assessments for Duke Energy Progress are \$33 million for primary property insurance, \$32 million for excess property insurance and \$14 million for accidental outage insurance. The current potential maximum assessments for Duke Energy Florida are \$6 million for primary property insurance and \$4 million for excess property insurance.

The maximum assessment amounts include 100 percent of Duke Energy Carolinas', Duke Energy Progress', and Duke Energy Florida's potential obligations to NEIL for their share of jointly owned reactors. However, the other joint owners of the jointly owned reactors are obligated to assume their pro rata share of liability for retrospective premiums and other premium assessments resulting from the Price-Anderson Act's excess secondary financial protection program of risk pooling, or from the NEIL policies.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Duke Energy is subject to international, federal, state, and local regulations regarding air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal, and other environmental matters. The Subsidiary Registrants are subject to federal, state, and local regulations regarding air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. These regulations can be changed from time to time, imposing new obligations on the Duke Energy Registrants.

The following environmental matters impact all of the Duke Energy Registrants.

Remediation Activities

The Duke Energy Registrants are responsible for environmental remediation at various contaminated sites. These include some properties that are part of ongoing operations and sites formerly owned or used by Duke Energy entities. These sites are in various stages of investigation, remediation, and monitoring. Managed in conjunction with relevant federal, state, and local agencies, activities vary with site conditions and locations, remediation requirements, complexity, and sharing of responsibility. If remediation activities involve joint and several liability provisions, strict liability, or cost recovery or contribution actions, the Duke Energy Registrants could potentially be held responsible for contamination caused by other potentially responsible parties, and may also benefit from insurance policies or contractual indemnities that cover some or all cleanup costs. Liabilities are recorded when losses become probable and are reasonably estimable. The total costs that may be incurred cannot be estimated because the extent of environmental impact, allocation among potentially responsible parties, remediation alternatives, and/or regulatory decisions has not yet been determined. Additional costs associated with remediation activities are likely to be incurred in the future and could be significant. Costs are typically expensed as Operation, maintenance and other in the Consolidated Statements of Operations unless regulatory recovery of the costs is deemed probable.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table contains information regarding reserves for probable and estimable costs related to the various environmental sites. These reserves are recorded in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(in millions)	E	Duke nergy	Er	Duke nergy olinas		gress nergy	Er	Duke nergy gress		Duke nergy lorida	E	Duke nergy Ohio	Er	Duke nergy diana
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$		\$		\$		\$	12	\$	23	\$	50	\$	11
Provisions / adjustments		6				10		1		9		5		1
Cash reductions		(33)		(1)		(22)		(2)		(20)		(27)		(3)
Balance at December 31, 2011		61		12		23		11		12		28		9
Provisions / adjustments		39		1		19		5		14		5		3
Cash reductions		(25)		(1)		(9)		(2)		(7)		(18)		(4)
Balance at December 31, 2012		75		12		33		14		19		15		8
Provisions / adjustments		26				4		(1)		5		20		1
Cash reductions		(22)		(1)		(10)		(5)		(5)		(8)		(2)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	79	\$	11	\$	27	\$	8	\$	19	\$	27	\$	7

Additional losses in excess of recorded reserves that could be incurred for the stages of investigation, remediation and monitoring for environmental sites that have been evaluated at this time are presented in the table below.

(in millions)	
Duke Energy	\$ 74
Duke Energy Carolinas	29
Progress Energy	5
Duke Energy Progress	2
Duke Energy Florida	3
Duke Energy Ohio	35
Duke Energy Indiana	5

Regulations

Clean Water Act 316(b)

The EPA proposed a cooling water intake structures rule on April 20, 2011. The proposed rule advances one main approach and three alternatives. Based on the main approach proposed, most, if not all of the steam electric generating facilities the Duke Energy Registrants own are likely affected sources unless retired prior to implementation of the 316(b) requirements.

The revised deadline for issuance of the final 316(b) rule is April 17, 2014. If the rule is finalized as proposed, modifications to affected power plant cooling water intake structures could be required by mid-to-late 2017. The Duke Energy Registrants are unable to predict the outcome of this rulemaking, but the impact could be significant.

Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR)

On August 8, 2011, the final Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) was published in the Federal Register. The CSAPR established state-level annual SO_2 budgets and annual seasonal NO_x budgets that were to take effect on January 1, 2012.

On August 21, 2012, the D.C. Circuit Court vacated the CSAPR. The court also directed the EPA to continue administering the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). The CAIR requires additional reductions in SO_2 and NO_x emissions beginning in 2015. On June 24, 2013, the U.S. Supreme Court (Supreme Court) granted the EPA's petitions for a writ of certiorari. The Supreme Court is likely to issue its decision on the merits by mid-2014.

The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of the proceedings. Continued compliance with CAIR pending the outcome of the rehearing process will not result in the Duke Energy Registrants adding new emission controls.

Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR)

On June 21, 2010, the EPA proposed a regulation under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, related to CCR or coal combustion byproducts associated with the generation of electricity. The EPA proposal contains two regulatory options whereby CCRs not employed in approved beneficial use applications would either (i) be regulated as hazardous waste or (ii) continue to be regulated as non-hazardous waste.

On October 29, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia directed the EPA to provide the Court, within 60 days of the Order, a proposed schedule for completing the CCR rulemaking. On January

29, 2014, the EPA filed a consent decree agreeing to issue the final rule by December 19, 2014. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of this rulemaking, but the impact could be significant.

Steam Electric Effluent Limitation Guidelines

On June 7, 2013, the EPA proposed Steam Electric Effluent Limitations Guidelines (ELGs). The EPA is under a court order to finalize the rule by May 22, 2014. The EPA has proposed eight options for the rule, which vary in stringency and cost. The proposed regulation applies to seven waste streams, including wastewater from air pollution control equipment and ash transport water. Most, if not all of the steam electric generating facilities the Duke Energy Registrants own are likely affected sources. Compliance is proposed as soon as possible after July 1, 2017, but may extend until July 1, 2022. The Duke Energy Registrants are unable to predict the outcome of the rulemaking, but the impact could be significant.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Greenhouse Gas New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

On January 8, 2014, the EPA proposed a rule to establish carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions standards for new pulverized coal, IGCC, natural gas combined cycle, and simple cycle electric generating units commencing construction on or after the date the proposal appears in the Federal Register. Future coal and IGCC units will be required to employ carbon capture and storage technology to meet the proposed standard.

The Duke Energy Registrants do not expect a material impact on their future results of operations or cash flows based on the EPA's proposal. The final rule, however, could be significantly different from the proposal. It is not known when the EPA might finalize the rule.

On June 25, 2013, the President of the United States issued a memorandum directing the EPA to propose CO₂ emissions requirements for existing fossil-fueled electric generating units by June 1, 2014, and to finalize the guidelines for states to develop their own regulations for implementing the guidelines by June 1, 2015. The memorandum directed the EPA to require states to submit their implementation regulations for approval by June 30, 2016.

The Duke Energy Registrants are unable to predict the outcome of this rulemaking, but the impact could be significant.

Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS)

The final MATS rule, previously referred to as the Utility MACT Rule, was issued on February 16, 2012. The final rule establishes emission limits for hazardous air pollutants from new and existing coal-fired and oil-fired steam electric generating units. The rule requires sources to comply with emission limits by April 16, 2015. Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), permitting authorities have the discretion to grant up to a one-year compliance extension, on a case-by-case basis, to sources that are unable to complete the installation of emission controls before the compliance deadline. Strategies to achieve compliance with the final rule will include installing new air emission control equipment, developing monitoring processes, fuel switching, and accelerating retirement of some coal-fired electric-generating units. For additional information, refer to Note 4 regarding potential plant retirements.

Several petitions for review of the final rule were filed with the D.C. Circuit Court. A decision is expected in the first half of 2014. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of the litigation or how it might affect their compliance with the MATS requirements.

Refer to the table below for a summary of estimated costs to comply with the MATS regulations.

Estimated Cost and Impacts of EPA Rulemakings

The ultimate compliance requirements for MATS, Clean Water 316(b), CCRs and ELGs will not be known until all the rules have been finalized. For planning purposes, the Duke Energy Registrants currently estimate the cost of new control equipment that may need to be installed on existing power plants to comply with these EPA regulations could total \$4.5 billion to \$5.5 billion, excluding AFUDC, over the next 10 years. The table below includes estimated costs for new control equipment necessary to comply with the MATS rule, which is the only rule that has been finalized.

(in millions)			
Duke Energy	\$ 525	to	\$ 625
Duke Energy Carolinas	40	to	50
Progress Energy	25	to	40
Duke Energy Progress	10	to	15
Duke Energy Florida	15	to	25
Duke Energy Ohio	35	to	50
Duke Energy Indiana	425	to	485

The Duke Energy Registrants also expect to incur increased fuel, purchased power, operation and maintenance, and other expenses, and costs for replacement generation for potential coal-fired power plant retirements as a result of these EPA regulations. The actual compliance costs incurred may be materially different from these estimates based on the timing and requirements of the final EPA regulations. The Duke Energy Registrants intend to seek rate recovery of amounts incurred associated with regulated operations in complying with these regulations. Refer to Note 4 for further information regarding potential plant retirements and regulatory filings related to the Duke Energy Registrants.

Litigation

Duke Energy

Dan River Ash Basin Release

On February 2, 2014, a break in a stormwater pipe beneath an ash basin at Duke Energy Carolinas' retired Dan River steam station caused a release of ash basin water and ash into the Dan River. On February 8, 2014, a permanent plug was installed in the stormwater pipe stopping the release of materials into the river. Duke Energy Carolinas estimates 30,000 to 39,000 tons of ash and 24 million to 27 million gallons of basin water were released into the river. Duke Energy Carolinas continues to work with local and state officials responding to this event. On February 10, 2014, Duke Energy received a subpoena for the production of documents, issued by the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of North Carolina in connection with a criminal investigation related to the release. A second subpoena was issued by the same United States Attorney on February 18, 2014, which expanded the document production to cover all fourteen of the North Carolina facilities with coal ash ponds.

It is not possible to predict whether Duke Energy will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, it might incur in connection with these matters.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Progress Energy Merger Shareholder Litigation

On May 31, 2013, the Delaware Chancery Court consolidated four shareholder derivative lawsuits filed in 2012. The Court also appointed a lead plaintiff and counsel for plaintiffs and designated the case as *In Re Duke Energy Corporation Derivative Litigation*. The lawsuit names as defendants eleven members of the Duke Energy board of directors who were also members of the pre-merger Duke Energy board of directors (Legacy Duke Energy Directors). Duke Energy is named as a nominal defendant. The case alleges claims for breach of fiduciary duties of loyalty and care in connection with the post-merger change in CEO. The case is stayed pending resolution of the *Nieman v. Duke Energy Corporation, et al.* case in North Carolina.

On August 3, 2012, Duke Energy was served with a shareholder Derivative Complaint, which was transferred to the North Carolina Business Court (*Krieger v. Johnson, et al.*). The lawsuit names as defendants, William D. Johnson and the Legacy Duke Energy Directors. Duke Energy is named as a nominal defendant. The lawsuit alleges claims for breach of fiduciary duty in granting excessive compensation to Mr. Johnson. A decision on a motion to dismiss made by the Legacy Duke Energy Directors remains pending.

Two shareholder Derivative Complaints, filed in 2012 in federal district court in Delaware, were consolidated as *Tansey v. Rogers*, *et al.* The case alleges claims for breach of fiduciary duty and waste of corporate assets, as well as claims under Section 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act. Duke Energy is named as a nominal defendant. On May 17, 2013, the judge granted defendants' motion to stay the litigation until a decision is rendered on the motion to dismiss in the *Nieman v. Duke Energy Corporation*, *et al.* case in North Carolina.

Duke Energy, the Legacy Duke Energy Directors and certain Duke Energy officers are also defendants in a purported securities class action lawsuit (*Nieman v. Duke Energy Corporation, et al*). This lawsuit consolidates three lawsuits originally filed in July 2012, and is pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina. The plaintiffs allege federal Securities Act and Exchange Act claims based on allegations of materially false and misleading representations and omissions in the Registration Statement filed on July 7, 2011, and purportedly incorporated into other documents, all in connection with the post-merger change in CEO. The claims are purportedly brought on behalf of a class of all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Duke Energy securities between June 11, 2012 and July 9, 2012. On July 26, 2013, the Magistrate Judge recommended the District Court Judge deny the defendants' motion to dismiss. On October 2, 2013, the District Judge heard defendants' objections to this recommendation. A decision is pending on the motion to dismiss.

It is not possible to predict whether Duke Energy will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, it might incur in connection with these lawsuits.

Alaskan Global Warming Lawsuit

On February 26, 2008, the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska, filed suit in the U.S. Federal Court for the Northern District of California against various defendants including Duke Energy. On May 20, 2013, the plaintiffs' Petition for Certiorari to the Supreme Court was denied, ending the case.

Price Reporting Cases

A total of five lawsuits were filed against Duke Energy affiliates and other energy companies and remain pending in a consolidated, single federal court proceeding in Nevada.

Each of these cases contain similar claims, that defendants' allegedly manipulated natural gas markets by various means, including providing false information to natural gas trade publications and entering into unlawful arrangements and agreements in violation of the antitrust laws of the respective states. Plaintiffs seek damages in unspecified amounts.

On July 19, 2011, the judge granted a defendant's motion for summary judgment in two of the remaining five cases to which Duke Energy affiliates are a party. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit subsequently reversed the lower court's decision. On August 26, 2013, the defendants, including Duke Energy, filed a petition for certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court, which remains pending.

It is not possible to predict whether Duke Energy will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, it might incur in connection with the remaining matters. However, based on Duke Energy's past experiences with similar cases of this nature, it does not believe its exposure under these remaining matters is material.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Crescent Resources Litigation

On September 3, 2010, the Crescent Resources (Crescent) Litigation Trust sued Duke Energy along with various affiliates and several individuals, including current and former employees of Duke Energy, in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Texas.

On November 15, 2013 the parties reached a settlement. Duke Energy recorded a net pretax charge of \$22 million to Operations, maintenance and other in its Consolidated Statements of Operations related to the settlement in 2013.

Brazil Expansion Lawsuit

On August 9, 2011, the State of São Paulo sued Duke Energy International Geracao Paranapenema S.A. (DEIGP) in Brazilian state court. The lawsuit claims DEIGP is under a continuing obligation to expand installed generation capacity in the State of São Paulo by 15 percent pursuant to a stock purchase agreement under which DEIGP purchased generation assets from the state. On August 10, 2011, a judge granted an ex parte injunction ordering DEIGP to present a detailed expansion plan in satisfaction of the 15 percent obligation. DEIGP has previously taken a position the expansion obligation is no longer viable given changes that have occurred in the electric energy sector since privatization. DEIGP submitted its proposed expansion plan on November 11, 2011, but reserved objections regarding enforceability. No trial date has been set. It is not possible to predict whether Duke Energy will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, it might incur in connection with this matter.

Duke Energy Carolinas

New Source Review (NSR)

In 1999-2000, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) on behalf of the EPA filed a number of complaints and notices of violation against multiple utilities, including Duke Energy Carolinas, for alleged violations of the NSR provisions of the CAA. The government alleges the utilities violated the CAA by not obtaining permits for certain projects undertaken at certain coal plants or installing the best available emission controls for SO_2 , NO_x and particulate matter. The complaints seek the installation of pollution control technology on various generating units that allegedly violated the CAA, and unspecified civil penalties in amounts of up to \$37,500 per day for each violation. Duke Energy Carolinas asserts there were no CAA violations because the applicable regulations do not require permitting in cases where the projects undertaken are "routine" or otherwise do not result in a net increase in emissions.

In 2000, the government sued Duke Energy Carolinas in the U.S. District Court in Greensboro, North Carolina. The EPA claims 29 projects performed at 25 of Duke Energy Carolinas' coal-fired units violate the NSR provisions. Duke Energy Carolinas asserts the projects were routine or not projected to increase emissions. The parties filed a stipulation in which the United States dismissed with prejudice 16 claims. In exchange, Duke Energy Carolinas dismissed certain affirmative defenses. The parties filed opposing motions for summary judgment on the remaining claims. In November 2013, the Court denied Duke Energy's motion for summary judgment. A decision on the DOJ's motion for summary judgment remains pending. Duke Energy requested leave to file another motion for summary judgment on alternative grounds. That motion for leave, as well as the Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, remains pending.

It is not possible to predict whether Duke Energy Carolinas will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, it might incur in connection with this matter. Ultimate resolution of these matters could have a material effect on the results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Duke Energy Carolinas. However, the appropriate regulatory recovery will be pursued for costs incurred in connection with such resolution.

Asbestos-related Injuries and Damages Claims

Duke Energy Carolinas has experienced numerous claims for indemnification and medical cost reimbursement related to asbestos exposure. These claims relate to damages for bodily injuries alleged to have arisen from exposure to or use of asbestos in connection with construction and maintenance activities conducted on its electric generation plants prior to 1985. As of December 31, 2013, there were 96 asserted claims for non-malignant cases with the cumulative relief sought of up to \$24 million, and 31 asserted claims for malignant cases with the cumulative relief sought of up to \$11 million. Based on Duke Energy Carolinas' experience, it is expected that the ultimate resolution of most of these claims likely will be less than the amount claimed.

Duke Energy Carolinas has recognized asbestos-related reserves of \$616 million at December 31, 2013 and \$751 million at December 31, 2012. These reserves are classified in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities and Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. These reserves are based upon the minimum amount of the range of loss for current and future asbestos claims through 2033, are recorded on an undiscounted basis and incorporate anticipated inflation. It is possible Duke Energy Carolinas may incur asbestos liabilities in excess of the recorded reserves.

Duke Energy Carolinas has third-party insurance to cover certain losses related to asbestos-related injuries and damages above an aggregate self-insured retention of \$476 million. Duke Energy Carolinas' cumulative payments began to exceed the self-insurance retention in 2008. Future payments up to the policy limit will be reimbursed by the third-party insurance carrier. The insurance policy limit for potential future insurance recoveries indemnification and medical cost claim payments is \$897 million in excess of the self-insured retention. Receivables for insurance recoveries were \$649 million at December 31, 2013 and \$781 million at December 31, 2012. These amounts are classified in Other within Investments and Other Assets and Receivables on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Duke Energy Carolinas is not aware of any uncertainties regarding the legal sufficiency of insurance claims. Duke Energy Carolinas believes the insurance recovery asset is probable of recovery as the insurance carrier continues to have a strong financial strength rating.

Progress Energy

Synthetic Fuels Matters

Progress Energy and a number of its subsidiaries and affiliates are defendants in lawsuits arising out of a 1999 Asset Purchase Agreement. Parties to the Asset Purchase Agreement include U.S. Global, LLC

(Global) and affiliates of Progress Energy.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

In a case filed in the Circuit Court for Broward County, Florida, in March 2003 (the Florida Global Case), Global requested an unspecified amount of compensatory damages, as well as declaratory relief. In November 2009, the court ruled in favor of Global. In December 2009, Progress Energy made a \$154 million payment, which represented payment of the total judgment, including prejudgment interest, and a required premium equivalent to two years of interest, to the Broward County Clerk of Court bond account. Progress Energy continued to accrue interest related to this judgment.

On October 3, 2012, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeals reversed the lower court ruling. The court held that Global was entitled to approximately \$90 million of the amount paid into the registry of the court. Progress Energy was entitled to a refund of the remainder of the funds. Progress Energy received cash and recorded a \$63 million pretax gain for the refund in December 2012. The gain was recorded in Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

On May 9, 2013, Global filed a Seventh Amended Complaint asserting a single count for breach of the Asset Purchase Agreement and seeking specific performance. A trial is scheduled to commence in the second quarter of 2014.

In a second suit filed in the Superior Court for Wake County, N.C., *Progress Synfuel Holdings, Inc. et al. v. U.S. Global, LLC* (the North Carolina Global Case), the Progress Energy Affiliates seek declaratory relief consistent with their interpretation of the Asset Purchase Agreement. In August 2003, the Wake County Superior Court stayed the North Carolina Global Case, pending the outcome of the Florida Global Case. Based upon the verdict in the Florida Global Case, Progress Energy anticipates dismissal of the North Carolina Global Case.

Progress Energy does not expect the resolution of these matters to have a material effect on it results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida

Spent Nuclear Fuel Matters

On December 12, 2011, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida sued the United States in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims. The lawsuit claims the DOE breached a contract in failing to accept spent nuclear fuel under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 and asserts damages for the cost of on-site storage. Claims for all periods prior to 2006 have been resolved. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida assert damages of \$84 million and \$21 million, respectively, for the period January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2010. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida may file subsequent damage claims as they incur additional costs. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Duke Energy Ohio

Antitrust Lawsuit

In January 2008, four plaintiffs, including individual, industrial and nonprofit customers, filed a lawsuit against Duke Energy Ohio in federal court in the Southern District of Ohio. Plaintiffs alleged Duke Energy Ohio conspired to provide inequitable and unfair price advantages for certain large business consumers by entering into non-public option agreements in exchange for their withdrawal of challenges to Duke Energy Ohio's Rate Stabilization Plan (RSP) implemented in early 2005. A ruling is pending on the plaintiffs' motion to certify this matter as a class action. It is not possible to predict whether Duke Energy Ohio will incur any liability or to estimate the damages which may be incurred in connection with this lawsuit.

Asbestos-related Injuries and Damages Claims

Duke Energy Ohio has been named as a defendant or co-defendant in lawsuits related to asbestos exposure at its electric generating stations. The impact on Duke Energy Ohio's results of operations, cash flows or financial position of these cases to date has not been material. Based on estimates under varying assumptions concerning uncertainties, such as, among others: (i) the number of contractors potentially

exposed to asbestos during construction or maintenance of Duke Energy Ohio generating plants, (ii) the possible incidence of various illnesses among exposed workers, and (iii) the potential settlement costs without federal or other legislation that addresses asbestos tort actions, Duke Energy Ohio estimates that the range of reasonably possible exposure in existing and future suits over the foreseeable future is not material. This assessment may change as additional settlements occur, claims are made, and more case law is established.

Duke Energy Indiana

Edwardsport IGCC

On December 11, 2012, Duke Energy Indiana filed an arbitration action against General Electric Company and Bechtel Corporation in connection with their work at the Edwardsport IGCC facility. Duke Energy Indiana is seeking damages of not less than \$560 million. An arbitration hearing is scheduled for October 2014. Duke Energy Indiana cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Other Litigation and Legal Proceedings

The Duke Energy Registrants are involved in other legal, tax and regulatory proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which involve significant amounts. The Duke Energy Registrants believe the final disposition of these proceedings will not have a material effect on their results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

The table below presents recorded reserves based on management's best estimate of probable loss for legal matters discussed above and the associated insurance recoveries. The reasonably possible range of loss for all non-asbestos related matters in excess of recorded reserves is not material.

		 Decer	mber 31,	
(in millions)		2013		2012
Reserves for Legal and Other Matters	(a)			
Duke Energy ^(b)	\$	824	\$	846
Duke Energy Carolinas ^(b)		616		751
Progress Energy		78		79
Duke Energy Progress		10		12
Duke Energy Florida ^(c)		43		47
Duke Energy Indiana		8		8
Probable Insurance Recoveries(d)				
Duke Energy ^(e)	\$	649	\$	781
Duke Energy Carolinas ^(e)		649		781
	pective Consolidated Balan iabilities and Other within C			ferred
(b) Includes reserves for	or asbestos-related injuries a	and damages	claims.	
(c) Includes workers' co	ompensation claims.			
(d) Classified in the res and Other Assets a	pective Consolidated Balan nd Receivables.	ce Sheets in (Other within Inv	estments
(e) Relates to recoverie	es associated with asbestos	-related injurie	es and damage:	s claims.

142			

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

OTHER COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

General

As part of their normal business, the Duke Energy Registrants are party to various financial guarantees, performance guarantees, and other contractual commitments to extend guarantees of credit and other assistance to various subsidiaries, investees, and other third parties. These guarantees involve elements of performance and credit risk, which are not fully recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and have unlimited maximum potential payments. However, the Duke Energy Registrants do not believe these guarantees will have a material effect on their results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Purchase Obligations

Purchased Power

Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, and Duke Energy Ohio have ongoing purchased power contracts, including renewable energy contracts, with other utilities, wholesale marketers, co-generators, and qualified facilities (QFs). These purchased power contracts generally provide for capacity and energy payments. In addition, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, and Duke Energy Ohio have various contracts to secure transmission rights.

The following table presents executory purchased power contracts, excluding contracts classified as leases.

				Minin	nu	m l	Purch	as	e A	Amou	nt a	at I	Decer	nb	er	31, 201	3	
(in millions)	Contract Expiration	2014		2015			2016			2017			2018	1	he	reafter		Total
Duke Energy Progress ^(a)	2019-2022	\$ 36		36		\$	36		\$	37		\$	37		\$	69		\$ 251
Duke Energy Florida ^(b)	2014-2025	288		295			295			288			303			2,139		3,608
Duke	2014-2015	250		97														347

Ener Ohio	gy (c)																				
(a)	Contr	ac	ts represent	100) pe	ercen	t o	f n	et plar	nt c	out	put.									
(b)	Contr	ac	ts represent	bet	we	en 2	pe	ce	ent and	11	00	perce	nt	of ı	net pla	ant	οι	ıtput.			
(c)	Contr	ac	ts represent	bet	we	en 1	pe	ce	ent and	d 2	4 p	ercen	t o	f ne	et plar	nt c	out	out.			

Operating and Capital Lease Commitments

The Duke Energy Registrants lease office buildings, railcars, vehicles, computer equipment and other property and equipment with various terms and expiration dates. Additionally, Duke Energy Progress has a capital lease related to firm gas pipeline transportation capacity. Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida have entered into certain purchased power agreements, which are classified as leases. Consolidated capitalized lease obligations are classified as Long-term debt or Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Amortization of assets recorded under capital leases is included in Depreciation and amortization and Fuel used in electric generation – regulated on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The following table presents rental expense for operating leases. These amounts are included in Operation, maintenance and other on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	•	Years E	nded Decen	nber 31	,
(in millions)	2	2013	2012		2011
Duke Energy	\$	321	\$ 232	(\$ 104
Duke Energy Carolinas		39	38		43
Progress Energy		225	232		104
Duke Energy Progress		153	164		88
Duke Energy Florida		72	68		15
Duke Energy Ohio		14	14		19
Duke Energy Indiana		22	20		24

The following table presents future minimum lease payments under operating leases, which at inception had a non-cancelable term of more than one year.

					D	ece	mt	oer 31,	20 ⁻	13					
(in millions)	Duke Energy		Duke nergy olinas		ogress Energy			Duke Energy ogress			Duke Energy Florida		Duke nergy Ohio	En	Duke ergy liana
2014	\$ 175	\$	34	\$	93		\$	55		\$	39	\$	12	\$	18
2015	159		29		89			51			39		11		15
2016	147		24		90			51			39		8		12
2017	137		20		89			50			39		7		9
2018	117		15		78			40			38		5		7
Thereafter	1,034		67		773			459			314		18		8
Total	\$ 1,769	\$	189	\$	1,212		\$	706		\$	508	\$	61	\$	69
											·				

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

The following	tab	le prese	nts	fut	ure mi	nim	num	ı lease ı	oay	me	nts und	der	cap	oital lea	ses				
								D	ece	emk	er 31,	201	3						
(in millions)	ı	Duke Energy	0		Duke nergy olinas			ogress Energy			Duke Energy ogress			Duke Energy Florida		Er	Duke nergy Ohio	En	Duke ergy liana
2014	\$	171		\$	6		\$			\$	20		\$	26		\$	9	\$	5
2015		167			6			47			20			27			7		4
2016		169			6			47			21			26			6		4
2017		166			6			46			21			26			3		2
2018		176			6			45			21			25			3		2
Thereafter		1,453			25			475			261			213			2		28
Minimum annual payments		2,302			55			707			364			343			30		45
Less amount representing interest		(786)			(27)			(454)			(275)			(179)			(3)		(30)
Total	\$	1,516		\$	28		\$	253		\$	89		\$	164		\$	27	\$	15

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

6. DEBT AND CREDIT FACILITIES

Summary of Debt and Related Terms

The following tables summarize outstanding debt.

																	Τ
						D	ecen	nber 3	31. 20)13							T
(in millions) Unsecured debt,	Ave Int	ghted erage erest Rate		Duke nergy	Er	Duke	Prog	gress	Er	Duke nergy gress	Er	Duke nergy orida	En	Duke ergy Ohio	En	Duke ergy liana	,
maturing 2014 - 2073	5.18	%	183	,550	\$1	,157	\$	I,150	\$		\$	150	\$	805	\$	744	
Secured debt, maturing 2014 - 2037	2.69			2,559	1	400	1	305	•	305	1		•		•		
First mortgage bonds, maturing 2015 - 2043 ^(a)	4.90	%	17	',831	e	5,161		B,450		l,125	۷	l,325		900	2	319	
Capital leases, maturing 2014 - 2051 ^(b)	5.23	%	1	,516		30		327		148		179		27		20	
Other debt, maturing 2027	4.77	%		8										8			
Tax-exempt bonds, maturing 2014 - 2041 ^(c)	1.28	%	2	2,356		395		910		669		241		479		573	
Notes payable and commercial paper ^(d)	1.02	%	1	,289													

Mone		1					T	$\overline{}$					
	•												
	ntercompany					300		,213	462	181	43	15	
borrov Foir w			 			300	+	<u>,८13</u>	402	181	43	115	U
	alue hedge ng value												
adjust	•				9	9							
	ortized debt			 		 	+	\dashv			 		+
	unt and												
	um, net ^(e)			-	,977	(16)		(27)	(12)	(9)	(31)	(10))
Total	•			4	-	8,436		,328	5,697	5,067			
	term notes		\Box		, , , , ,	1,130		,		,,,,,,			
	le and												
	ercial paper												
				ļ	(839)		+						
	term money _i	looo							,,,,,				
borrov			Τ				(1)	213)	(462)	(181)	(43)	1	_
	nt maturities			/0	104	/43\		40E\	4-74	/4 41	/43	,,	_
	g-term debt			(2	,104)	(47)	+ + +	485)	(174)	(11)	(47)	 	5)
debt ^(f)	long-term			200	8,152	60 300	400	,630	\$5,061	\$1,875	\$ 141	\$ 79	.
uebl'')				3	v, 132	\$3,389	 •••	,030	क्ष्ण,∪ठ।	₹,0/3	<u> 49,141</u>	φ/9	1
(a)	Cubatantial	ly all al	o otrio		, fixed	assats ar	_ mort		Lundarma	rtaaga ba	nd indent		+
(a)	Substantial												+
(b)	Duke Energadjustment												
													'
						re not acc	·Alintar	d for a	e lageae in	their fina	ncial etate	amante	J
			-					d for a	s leases ir	their fina	ncial state	ements	
(c)	because of	grandf	atheri	ng pr	ovisio	ns in GAA	P.						_
(c)	because of Substantial	grandfa	atheri x-exe	ng pr mpt b	ovisio oonds	ns in GAA are secur	P. ed by f	first mo	ortgage bo	nds or let	ters of cre	edit.	ts
(c) (d)	because of Substantial Includes \$4	grandfa ly all ta 150 milli	atheri x-exe ion th	ng pr mpt b at wa	ovision conds as clas	ns in GAA are secur sified as L	.P. ed by f .ong-te	first mo	ortgage bo	onds or let Consolida	ters of cre	edit. Ice Shee	ts
	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the	grandfally all ta 150 milli existence	atheri x-exe ion thace of I	ng pr mpt b at wa long-t	ovision conds as clas term c	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit	P. ed by fong-te	first mo erm De at back	ortgage boothebt on the k-	onds or let Consolida se comme	ters of cre ted Balan rcial pape	edit. ice Shee	
	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the 6 balances, a	grandfally all tax 150 milli existendalong wi	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du	ng pr mpt b at wa long-t ike Ei	covision conds as class term con nergy's	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar	P. ed by f ong-te ties than nd inte	first mo erm De at back int to re	ortgage bo ebt on the k-stop thes efinance th	onds or let Consolida se comme	ters of cre ted Balan rcial pape	edit. ice Shee	
(d)	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the	grandfally all tax 150 milli existence along wi weighte	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave	ng pr mpt b at wa long-t ike Ei erage	covision conds as clasterm cr term cr nergy's days	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit	ed by fong-testies that interpolates was a contract to the contract of the con	first mo erm De at back nt to re 49 day	ortgage bo bebt on the k-stop thes efinance th	onds or let Consolida se comme nese balar	ters of created Balan rcial pape nces on a	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr	m
	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the 6 balances, a basis. The	grandfa lly all ta 150 milli existend along wi weighte gy inclu	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$	ng pr mpt b at wa long-t ike Ei erage	covision conds as clas term cr nergy's days 7 millio	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch	ed by for the street of the st	first mo erm De at back nt to re 49 day ccount	ortgage booten the control on the control on the control of the co	onds or let Consolida se comme nese balar	ters of created Balan rcial pape nces on a	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr	m
(d)	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the 6 balances, a basis. The Duke Energ	grandfa lly all ta 450 milli existend along wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ ergy. S	ng pr mpt k at wa long-t ike Ei erage 2,067 See N	covision conds as clas term clas nergy's days 7 million lote 2 to	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additio	ed by food by	first mo erm De at back nt to re 49 day ccount ormati	ortgage booten the second the sec	onds or let Consolida se comme nese balar ments rela	ters of created Balan rcial paper nces on a lated to the	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr	m
(d) (e)	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the 6 balances, a basis. The Duke Energ	grandfa lly all ta: 450 milli existenda long wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene 1,966 m	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ argy. S	ng pr mpt b at wa long-t ike Er erage 52,067 See N for Di	covision conds as class term conergy; e days 7 million lote 2 in uke Er	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additionergy, \$40	ed by food by	first moerm Deat back nt to re 49 day ccount ormation for	ortgage book on the control of the c	onds or let Consolida se comme nese balar ments rela	ters of created Balan rcial pape nces on a ated to the	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr	m
(d) (e)	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the 6 balances, a basis. The Duke Energ with Progre Includes \$1	grandfa lly all ta: 450 milli existenda long wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene 1,966 m	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ argy. S	ng pr mpt b at wa long-t ike Er erage 52,067 See N for Di	covision conds as class term conergy; e days 7 million lote 2 in uke Er	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additionergy, \$40	ed by food by	first moerm Deat back nt to re 49 day ccount ormation for	ortgage book on the control of the c	onds or let Consolida se comme nese balar ments rela	ters of created Balan rcial pape nces on a ated to the	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr	m
(d) (e)	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the 6 balances, a basis. The Duke Energ with Progre Includes \$1	grandfa lly all ta: 450 milli existenda long wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene 1,966 m	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ argy. S	ng pr mpt b at wa long-t ike Er erage 52,067 See N for Di	covision conds as class term conergy; e days 7 million lote 2 in uke Er	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additionergy, \$40	ed by food by	first moerm Deat back nt to re 49 day ccount ormation for	ortgage book on the control of the c	onds or let Consolida se comme nese balar ments rela	ters of created Balan rcial pape nces on a ated to the	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr	m
(d) (e)	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the 6 balances, a basis. The Duke Energ with Progre Includes \$1	grandfa lly all ta: 450 milli existenda long wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene 1,966 m	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ argy. S	ng pr mpt b at wa long-t ike Er erage 52,067 See N for Di	covision conds as class term conergy; e days 7 million lote 2 in uke Er	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additionergy, \$40	ed by force of the second seco	first more that back a	ortgage book on the control of the c	onds or let Consolida se comme nese balar ments rela	ters of created Balan rcial pape nces on a ated to the	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr	m
(d) (e)	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the 6 balances, a basis. The Duke Energ with Progre Includes \$1	grandfa lly all ta 450 milli existence along wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene 1,966 m Progress	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ argy. S	ng pr mpt k at wa long-t ike Er erage 2,067 See N for Dr ergy a	covision conds as class term conergy; e days 7 million lote 2 in uke Er	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additionergy, \$40	ed by force of the second seco	first more that back a	ortgage book on the second the se	onds or let Consolida se comme nese balar ments rela	ters of created Balan rcial pape nces on a ated to the	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr	m
(d) (e)	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the 6 balances, a basis. The Duke Energ with Progre Includes \$1	grandfa lly all ta 450 milli existence along wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene l ,966 m Progress Weig Ave	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ rgy. S illion i s Ene	ng pr mpt k at wa long-t ike Ei erage 32,067 See N for Di ergy a	covision conds as clas as clas de days 7 million lote 2 f uke Er and Du	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additio nergy, \$40 ke Energy	ed by for the lies that interpreted in the lies are are nal information of million of the lies are are nal information of million of the lies are are nal information of million of the lies are are nal information of the lies are are nal information of the lies are nal information of the lies are nal information of the lies are naturally	first more at back at	ortgage book sebt on the second t	conds or let Consolida se comme nese balar ments rela rgy Caroli consolidate	ters of created Balan rcial paper nces on a attention the nas and \$ attention depth of the nas attention depth of the	edit. ice Shee er long-terr e merger	m
(d) (e) (f)	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the e balances, a basis. The Duke Energ with Progre Includes \$1 million for F	grandfa lly all ta 450 milli existence along wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene l ,966 m Progress Weig Ave	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ argy. S illion i s Ene	ng pr mpt k at wa long-t ike Ei erage 2,067 See N for Di ergy a	covision conds as class term connergy; days 7 million lote 2 days uke Er and Du	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additio nergy, \$40 ike Energy	ed by force of the second seco	first more at back on to re 49 day occount on for ress re mber :	ortgage book sebt on the sefinance theys. ting adjust on. Duke Ene elated to constant and the sefinance theys. Duke Energy Dukes Energ	ments related by Carolicated by Caro	ters of created Balan rcial paper nces on a lated to the lated to the lated VIEs.	edit. ce Shee r long-terr e merger 3300	n Duke
(d) (e) (f) (in mi	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the e balances, a basis. The Duke Energ with Progree Includes \$1 million for F	grandfa lly all ta 450 milli existence along wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene l ,966 m Progress Weig Ave	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ rgy. S illion i s Ene	ng pr mpt k at wa long-t ike Ei erage 2,067 See N for Di ergy a	covision conds as class term connergy; days 7 million lote 2 days uke Er and Du	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additio nergy, \$40 ke Energy	ed by force of the second seco	first more at back on to re 49 day occount on for ress re mber :	ortgage book sebt on the second t	ments related by Carolicated by Caro	ters of created Balan rcial paper nces on a lated to the lated to the lated VIEs.	edit. ice Shee er long-terr e merger) uke
(d) (e) (f) (in mi	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the obalances, a basis. The Duke Energy with Progree Includes \$1 million for F	grandfa lly all ta 450 milli existence along wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene l ,966 m Progress Weig Ave	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ argy. S illion i s Ene	ng pr mpt k at wa long-t ike Ei erage 2,067 See N for Di ergy a	covision conds as class term connergy; days 7 million lote 2 days uke Er and Du	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additio nergy, \$40 ike Energy	ed by force of the second seco	first more at back on to re 49 day occount on for ress re mber :	ortgage book sebt on the sefinance theys. ting adjust on. Duke Ene elated to constant and the sefinance theys. Duke Energy Dukes Energ	ments related by Carolicated by Caro	ters of created Balan rcial paper nces on a lated to the lated to the lated VIEs.	edit. ce Shee r long-terr e merger 3300) uke
(d) (e) (f) (in mi) Unsecondaturi	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the e balances, a basis. The Duke Energ with Progree Includes \$1 million for F	grandfa lly all ta 450 milli existend along wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene I,966 m Progress Weig Ave Int	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ argy. S iillion s Ene ghted erage terest Rate	ng pr mpt k at wa long-t ike Ei erage 2,067 See N for Di ergy a	covision conds as class term connergy; endays 7 million dote 2 million dote 3 mil	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additio nergy, \$40 ake Energy L ke Energy Carolir	ed by force of the second seco	first more at back on to re 49 day occount on for ress re mber :	ortgage book sebt on the section the section the section the section adjust on. Duke Energiated to compare the section adjust on. Duke Energiated to compare the section adjust on. Duke Energy Progress	ments related by Energy Carolicated by Energy Flori	ters of created Balan rcial paper nces on a lated to the lated to the lated VIEs.	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr E merger 3300 Ike Irgy Ind Ind	Duke
(e) (f) (in mi Unsec maturi 2039	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the e balances, a basis. The Duke Energ with Progre Includes \$1 million for F	grandfa lly all ta 450 milli existence along wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene l ,966 m Progress Weig Ave	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ argy. S iillion s Ene ghted erage terest Rate	ng pr mpt k at wa long-t ike Ei erage 2,067 See N for Di ergy a	covision conds as class term connergy; days 7 million lote 2 days uke Er and Du	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additio nergy, \$40 ake Energy L ke Energy Carolir	ed by force of the second seco	first more at back on to re 49 day occount on for ress re mber :	ortgage book sebt on the section the section the section the section adjust on. Duke Energiated to compare the section adjust on. Duke Energiated to compare the section adjust on. Duke Energy Progress	ments related by Carolicated by Caro	ters of created Balan rcial paper nces on a lated to the lated to the lated VIEs.	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr E merger 3300 Ike Irgy Ind Ind	Ouke
(d) (e) (f) (in mi) Unsecondaturi 2039 Secur	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the obalances, abasis. The Duke Energy with Progree Includes \$1 million for F Butter of the control of the contro	grandfa lly all ta 450 milli existend along wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene I,966 m Progress Weig Ave Int	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ argy. S iillion s Ene ghted erage terest Rate	ng pr mpt k at wa long-t ike Ei erage 2,067 See N for Di ergy a	covision conds as class term connergy; endays 7 million dote 2 million dote 3 mil	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for additio nergy, \$40 ake Energy L ke Energy Carolir	ed by force of the second seco	first more at back on to re 49 day occount on for ress re mber :	ortgage book sebt on the section the section the section the section adjust on. Duke Energiated to compare the section adjust on. Duke Energiated to compare the section adjust on. Duke Energy Progress	ments related by Energy Carolicated by Energy Flori	ters of created Balan rcial paper nces on a lated to the lated to the lated VIEs.	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr E merger 3300 Ike Irgy Ind Ind	n Duke
(e) (f) (in mi) Unsecondaturi 2039 Secur	because of Substantial Includes \$4 due to the e balances, a basis. The Duke Energ with Progre Includes \$1 million for F	grandfa lly all ta 450 milli existend along wi weighte gy inclu- ess Ene I,966 m Progress Weig Ave Int	atheri x-exe ion the ce of I ith Du ed-ave des \$ rgy. S illion s Ene ghted erage erest Rate	ng pr mpt k at wa long-t ike Ei erage 2,067 See N for Di ergy a	covision conds as class term connergy; endays 7 million dote 2 million dote 3 mil	ns in GAA are secure sified as L redit facilit s ability ar to maturit on in purch for addition nergy, \$40 ake Energy L ke Energy Carolir 22 \$1,1	ed by force of the second seco	first more at back on to re 49 day occupion for ress re mber 3	ortgage book but on the sefinance theys. ting adjust on. Duke Energlated to compare the selated to compare the sel	ments related by Energy Carolicated by Energy Flori	ters of created Balan rcial paper nces on a lated to the lated to the lated VIEs.	edit. Ice Shee Ir Iong-terr E merger 3300 Ike Irgy Ind Ind	Ouke

8 8 -79 5
8 -79 5
8 .79 5
8 .79 5
-79 5
-79 5
-79 5
-79 5
245 2
:45 2
245 2
245 2
245 2
1 1
2
32)
32) 242 3.7
42 37
\dashv
4=>
45) (8
21) ///
61) (40
36 \$ 2
<u> </u>
ures.
ing
to power
s because
edit.
nce Sheets
er balance
basis. Th
ir t s

	Duke Energy with Progre														djus	tme	ent	s re	lat	ed '	to t	he	me	erge	er	
(f)	Includes \$8 consolidate		r D	uke	Ene	rgy	y a	nd	\$3	00	mill	ior	n for	Duk	e E	nei	rgy	Ca	roli	nas	s re	elate	ed	to		

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt

The following table shows the significant components of Current maturities of long-term debt on the respective Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Duke Energy Registrants currently anticipate satisfying these obligations primarily with cash on hand and proceeds from additional borrowings.

	Maturity		_	Decer	nber 31,
(in millions)	Date	Interest	Rate		2013
Unsecured Debt					
	February				
Duke Energy (Parent)	2014	6.300	%	\$	750
Progress Energy (Parent)	March 2014	6.050	%		300
	September				
Duke Energy (Parent)	2014	3.950	%		500
Tax-exempt Bonds					
	January				
Duke Energy Progress	2014	0.105	%		167
Other		_			387
Current maturities of long-term debt				\$	2,104

Maturities and Call Options

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table shows the annual maturities of long-term debt for the next five years and thereafter. Amounts presented exclude short-term notes payable and commercial paper and money pool borrowings for the Subsidiary Registrants.

	I																		
							Do			er 31, 2	001	2							
					Duke		De	Cei	מווו	Duke	_	<u>ა</u>	Duke			Duke			Duke
			Duke	۱ ا	Energy	P	rogress		١,	Energy			Energy			Energy			Energy
(in mi	llions)	E	nergy ^(a)		rolinas	•	Energy			ogress			Florida			Ohio			ndiana
2014	,	\$		\$		\$			\$			\$	11		\$	47		\$	5
2015			2,634		507		1,264			702			562			157			5
2016			2,975		756		614			302			12			56			480
2017			1,342		116		265			3			262			2			3
2018			3,235		1,505		603			59			544			3			153
There	after		25,899		5,505		10,884			3,995			3,495			1,923			3,150
Total																			
long-te	erm																		
debt,	-																		
includi	•																		
curren maturi		\$	38,189	\$	8,436	\$	14,115		\$	5,235		\$	4,886		\$	2,188		\$	3,796
			_							_			_			_			
	Exclude Energy.						ccounting mation.	ac	djus	stments	rel	ate	d to the	m	erg	er with	Pro	gre	SS

The Duke Energy Registrants have the ability under certain debt facilities to call and repay the obligation prior to its scheduled maturity. Therefore, the actual timing of future cash repayments could be materially different than as presented above.

Short-term Obligations Classified as Long-term Debt

Tax-exempt bonds that may be put to the Company at the option of the holder and certain commercial paper issuances and money pool borrowings are classified as Long-term debt on the Consolidated Balance

Sheets. These tax-exempt bonds, commercial paper issuances and money pool borrowings, which are short-term obligations by nature, are classified as long term due to Duke Energy's intent and ability to utilize such borrowings as long-term financing. As Duke Energy's master credit facility and other bilateral letter of credit agreements have non-cancelable terms in excess of one year as of the balance sheet date, Duke Energy has the ability to refinance these short-term obligations on a long-term basis. The following tables show short-term obligations classified as long-term debt.

				T 1							1	
						<u> Pecemb</u>	er 3	1, 201	<u> 3</u>		T	
						Duke			Duke			Duke
			Duke			Energy		E	Energy			Energy
(in millions)			Energy		Caı	olinas			Ohio			<u>ndiana</u>
Tax-exempt b	oonds	\$	471		\$	75		\$	111		\$	285
Notes payabl	e and commercial paper		450			300						150
Secured debt	<u>(</u> (a)		200									
Total		\$	1,121		\$	375		\$	111		\$	435
						ecemb	er 3	1, 201	2			
					D	uke		D	uke		D	uke
		D	uke		En	ergy		En	ergy		En	ergy
(in millions)		Er	ergy		Card	olinas		0	hio		Ind	liana
Tax exempt b	oonds	\$	471		\$	75		\$	111		\$	285
Notes payabl	e and commercial paper		450			300						150
Secured debt			200									
DERF(b)			300			300						
Total		\$	1,421		\$	675		\$	111		\$	435
			,					T				
(a)	Instrument has a term of	ess th	an one y	/ear v	vith th	ne right	to ex	tend	the ma	turity	date	for
	additional one-year period	ds with	a final r	natur	ity da	ite no la	ater th	nan D	ecemb	er 20	26.	
(b)	Duke Energy Receivables									ed lin	nited	liability
	company of Duke Energy	Caroli	nas. Se	e Not	e 17	for furth	ner in	forma	ıtion.			
												İ

Summary of SIGNIFICANT Debt ISSUANCES

The following tables summarize significant debt issuances (in millions).

								Yea	r En	de	ed D	ece.	nbe	er 3	31, 2013	3		
		Intore							uke			D	uke		Duke			
		Interes						Ene	ergy			Ene	ergy	<u>'</u>	Energy		[Duke
Issuance Date	Maturity Date	Ra	erest Energy Rate (Parent					rog	ress			C)hio		<u>Indiana</u>		En	ergy
Unsecured Debt																		
January 2013 ^(a)	January 2073	5.125	%		\$	500		\$			\$			\$			\$	500
June 2013 ^(b)	June 2018	2.100	%			500												500
August 2013(c)(d)	August 2023	1.000	%															220

Octo	ber 2013 ^(e)	October 2023	3.950	%			400							T		T		400
	ured Debt	10010201 2020	0.000	,,			100									1		
	0 = 0	December																
Febr	uary 2013 ^{(f)(g)}		2.043	%														203
	uary 2013 ^(f)	June 2037																220
April	2013 ^(h)	April 2026	5.456	%														230
		December																
Dece	ember 2013 ⁽ⁱ⁾	2016	0.852	%					3	300								300
First	t Mortgage Bonds																	
Marc	ch 2013 ^(j)	March 2043	4.100	%						500								500
July	2013 ^(k)	July 2043	4.900	%											350			350
July	2013 ^{(k)(l)}	July 2016	0.619	%											150			150
		September																
	ember 2013 ^(m)		3.800	_								300						300
Sept	tember 2013 ^{(m)(n)}	March 2015	0.400	%								150						150
Tota	l Issuances				Š	\$	1,400		\$ 8	300	\$	450		\$	500	9	; 4,	023
(b)	the QUIPS. Proceeds were use including the repay									s an	d for	gener	al co	rp	orate p	urp	ose	 S,
(b)	Proceeds were use including the repay									s an	d for	gener	al co	rp	orate p	urp	ose	es,
(c)	Proceeds were use applies to half of the	e instrument. Th	ne rem	aini	ing	h	alf ma	tur	es ir	ո Au	gust :	2018.						
(d)	The debt is floating debt is denominate			ume	er p	ori	ce ind	ex	and	an (overn	ight f	unds	ra	te in Br	azi	l. T	he
(e)	Proceeds were use	ed to repay comr	mercia	l pa	ре	r a	as well	as	s for	gen	eral c	corpoi	rate _l	oui	rposes.			
(f)	Represents the cor December 2012 to The term loans hav for all components	term loans. No o	cash p	roc es.	ee	ds	were	red	ceive	ed in	conj	unctio	on wi	th	the con	ve	rsio	
(g)	The debt is floating for 95 percent of the		rgy ha	s e	nte	re	d into	a p	pay 1	fixed	l-rece	ive flo	oatin	g i	nterest	rat	e sı	wap
(h)	Represents the cor Ibener in Decembe conversion of the b Energy has entered	nversion of a \$19 r 2012. Duke Er ridge loan. The	nergy r debt is	ece flo	eive atii	ed ng	incren rate a	ne Inc	ntal d is c	prod deno	eeds mina	of \$4 ted in	0 mi U.S	llio . d	n upon ollars. [Duk	ке	of
(i)	Relates to the secur	ritization of acco	ounts r	есе	eiva	ab	le at a	SL	ubsic	diary	of D	ıke E	nerg					
(j)	Proceeds were use purposes.													ge	eneral c	orp	ora	ıte
(k)	Proceeds were use	d to repay \$400	millio	n of	CL	ırr	ent ma	atu	ırities	s.								
(I)	The debt is floating spread of 35 basis		3-mont	h L	one	do	n Inte	ba	ank (Offer	ed R	ate (L	IBO	R)	and a f	ixe	d cr	edit
(m)	Proceeds were use payable, a portion of that matured in the	ed for general co of which was inc	urred															onds

that matured in the first half of 2013.

(n)	The c	debt is floati	ng rate	e ba	se	d o	n 3-n	nor	nth LIBOR p	olu	s a fix	ed s	pread	of 1	4 bas	sis poi	nts.			
									Year Er											
Issua Date	ince	Maturity Date	Inter R	est ate		En	Duke ergy rent)		Duk ₽ Energy Carolinas	E		E	Duke nergy gress	En	Duke ergy orida	Er	Duke nergy diana		Ouke ergy	
Unse	cure	d Debt																		
Marcl 2012		April 2022	3.15	%		\$		\$		\$	450	\$		\$		\$		\$	450	
Augu: 2012		August 2017	1.63	%			700												700	
Augu: 2012		August 2022	3.05	%			500												500	
Secu	red D	ebt																		
April 2012 ⁽		September 2024	2.64	%			330												330	
Dece 2012		March 2013	2.77	%			203												203	
Dece 2012		March 2013	4.74	%			220												220	
Dece 2012		June 2013	1.01	%			190												190	
Dece 2012			1.56	%			200												200	
		gage Bond	S																	_
March 2012		March 2042	4.20	%													250		250	
May 2012	(g)	May 2022	2.80	%									500						500	
May 2012		May 2042	4.10	%									500						500	
2012	(h)	September 2042	4.00	%					650										650	
Nove 2012	(i)	2015	0.65	%											250				250	
2012	(i)	<u> </u>	3.85	%											400				400	
Total	Issua	nces				\$	343	\$	650	\$	450	\$	1,000	\$	650	\$	250	\$	343	4
()							<u> </u>		1 111 6	.									\longrightarrow	4
(b)	Proce	eeds were u eeds were u oses, includi	sed to	rep	oay	cu	rrent	m	aturities of S	\$5	00 mill			ll as	for g	enera	l corpo	orate)	_
(c)	Proce proje	eeds were ucts. Debt was er details.	used to	o re	imb	ours	se co	ns	truction cos	ts	for DS					•				
(d)		eeds were u	sed to	fur	nd t	he	exist	ing	Los Viento	s	wind p	owe	er portf	olio.						

(e)		issuances eralized wi s.													•											rthe	ər
(f)	Proceeds were used to repay a portion of outstanding short-term debt. Proceeds were used to repay current maturities of \$500 million, a portion of outstanding																										
(g)	Proceeds were used to repay current maturities of \$500 million, a portion of outstanding commercial paper and notes payable to affiliated companies.																										
(h)	commercial paper and notes payable to affiliated companies.																										
(i)	Proce	eeds will be	used	to re	pay	cur	rer	nt r	nat	turi	itie	s o	f \$	425	m	illio	n, a	s w	ell :	as f	or	ge	ner	ral co	rpo	orat	:e

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Available Credit Facilities

Duke Energy has a master credit facility with a capacity of \$6 billion through December 2018. The Subsidiary Registrants, excluding Progress Energy each have borrowing capacity under the master credit facility up to specified sublimits for each borrower. Duke Energy has the unilateral ability at any time to increase or decrease the borrowing sublimits of each borrower, subject to a maximum sublimit for each borrower. The amount available under the master credit facility has been reduced to backstop the issuances of commercial paper, certain letters of credit and variable-rate demand tax-exempt bonds that may be put to the Duke Energy Registrants at the option of the holder. The table below includes the current borrowing sublimits and available capacity under the master credit facility.

					Ī										
						D	ec	ce	mber 31, 2	01	3				
(in millions)		Duke Energy	Duke Energy (Parent)			Duke Energy Carolinas			Duke Energy Progress		Duke Energy Florida		Duke Energy Ohio		Duke Energy Indiana
Facility size ^(a)	Ü	6,000	\$ 2,250	ÿ	\$	1,000		\$	750	9	650	\$	650	Ç	700
Reduction to backstop issuances															
Notes payable and commercial paper ^(b)		(450)				(300)									(150)
Outstanding letters of credit		(62)	(55)			(4)			(2)		(1)				
Tax-exempt bonds		(240)				(75)							(84)		(81)
Available capacity	Ç	5,248	\$ 2,195	Ç	\$	621		\$	748	9	649	\$	566	Ç	469

⁽a) Represents the sublimit of each borrower at December 31, 2013. The Duke Energy Ohio sublimit includes \$100 million for Duke Energy Kentucky.

(b)	Duke Energy	iss /	sued \$450) r	nillion of co	om	mercial pap	er	8	and loaned	th	e	proceed	ls	tŀ	ro	ugh the	n	าด	ney
	pool to Duke	En	ergy Card	oli	nas and Di	uke	Energy Inc	dia	เท	a. The bala	ιn	С	es are cla	as	SS	ifie	d as lor	ıg	-te	erm
	borrowings v	vith	in Long-te	err	n Debt in D	Duk	ce Energy C	ar	0	linas' and [Dυ	ık	e Energy	/ I	n	dia	na's Co	no	Эb	nsed
	Consolidated	d Ba	alance Sh	e	ets.															
														T						

Other Debt Matters

In September 2013, Duke Energy filed a registration statement (Form S-3) with the SEC. Under this Form S-3, which is uncapped, the Duke Energy Registrants, excluding Progress Energy, may issue debt and other securities in the future at amounts, prices and with terms to be determined at the time of future offerings. The registration statement also allows for the issuance of common stock by Duke Energy.

Duke Energy has an effective Form S-3 with the SEC to sell up to \$3 billion of variable denomination floating-rate demand notes, called PremierNotes. The Form S-3 states that no more than \$1.5 billion of the notes will be outstanding at any particular time. The notes are offered on a continuous basis and bear interest at a floating rate per annum determined by the Duke Energy PremierNotes Committee, or its designee, on a weekly basis. The interest rate payable on notes held by an investor may vary based on the principal amount of the investment. The notes have no stated maturity date, are non-transferable and may be redeemed in whole or in part by Duke Energy or at the investor's option at any time. The balance as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$836 million and \$395 million, respectively. The notes are short-term debt obligations of Duke Energy and are reflected as Notes payable and commercial paper on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$811 million and \$734 million, respectively, of debt issued by Duke Energy Carolinas was guaranteed by Duke Energy.

Money Pool

The Subsidiary Registrants, excluding Progress Energy receive support for their short-term borrowing needs through participation with Duke Energy and certain of its subsidiaries in a money pool arrangement. Under this arrangement, those companies with short-term funds may provide short-term loans to affiliates participating in this arrangement. The money pool is structured such that the Subsidiary Registrants, excluding Progress Energy separately manage their cash needs and working capital requirements. Accordingly, there is no net settlement of receivables and payables between money pool participants. Duke Energy (Parent), may loan funds to its participating subsidiaries, but may not borrow funds through the money pool. Accordingly, as the money pool activity is between Duke Energy and its wholly owned subsidiaries, all money pool balances are eliminated within Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Money pool receivable balances are reflected within Notes receivable from affiliated companies on the respective Subsidiary Registrants' Consolidated Balance Sheets. Money pool payable balances are reflected within either Notes payable to affiliated companies or Long-term debt payable to affiliated companies on the respective Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Restrictive Debt Covenants

The Duke Energy Registrants' debt and credit agreements contain various financial and other covenants. The master credit facility contains a covenant requiring the debt-to-total capitalization ratio not exceed 65 percent for each borrower. Failure to meet those covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in accelerated due dates and/or termination of the agreements. As of December 31, 2013, each of the Duke Energy Registrants were in compliance with all covenants related to its significant debt agreements. In

addition, some credit agreements may allow for acceleration of payments or termination of the agreements due to nonpayment, or acceleration of other significant indebtedness of the borrower or some of its subsidiaries. None of the significant debt or credit agreements contain material adverse change clauses.

Other Loans

148

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

During 2013 and 2012, Duke Energy and Duke Energy Progress had loans outstanding against the cash surrender value of life insurance policies it owns on the lives of its executives. The amounts outstanding were \$571 million, including \$48 million at Duke Energy Progress and \$496 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The amounts outstanding were carried as a reduction of the related cash surrender value that is included in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

7. GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS

Duke Energy and Progress Energy have various financial and performance guarantees and indemnifications, which are issued in the normal course of business. As discussed below, these contracts include performance guarantees, stand-by letters of credit, debt guarantees, surety bonds and indemnifications. Duke Energy and Progress Energy enter into these arrangements to facilitate commercial transactions with third parties by enhancing the value of the transaction to the third party. At December 31, 2013, Duke Energy and Progress Energy do not believe conditions are likely for significant performance under these guarantees. To the extent liabilities are incurred as a result of the activities covered by the guarantees, such liabilities are included on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On January 2, 2007, Duke Energy completed the spin-off of its natural gas businesses to shareholders. Guarantees issued by Duke Energy or its affiliates, or assigned to Duke Energy prior to the spin-off, remained with Duke Energy subsequent to the spin-off. Guarantees issued by Spectra Energy Capital, LLC, formerly known as Duke Capital LLC, (Spectra Capital) or its affiliates prior to the spin-off remained with Spectra Capital subsequent to the spin-off, except for guarantees that were later assigned to Duke Energy. Duke Energy has indemnified Spectra Capital against any losses incurred under certain of the guarantee obligations that remain with Spectra Capital. At December 31, 2013, the maximum potential amount of future payments associated with these guarantees was \$205 million, the majority of which expires by 2028.

Duke Energy has issued performance guarantees to customers and other third parties that guarantee the payment and performance of other parties, including certain non-wholly owned entities, as well as guarantees of debt of certain non-consolidated entities and less than wholly owned consolidated entities. If such entities were to default on payments or performance, Duke Energy would be required under the guarantees to make payments on the obligations of the less than wholly owned entity. The maximum potential amount of future payments required under these guarantees as of December 31, 2013, was \$285

million. Of this amount, \$15 million relates to guarantees issued on behalf of less than wholly owned consolidated entities, with the remainder related to guarantees issued on behalf of third parties and unconsolidated affiliates of Duke Energy. Of the guarantees noted above, \$102 million of the guarantees expire between 2015 and 2033, with the remaining performance guarantees having no contractual expiration.

Duke Energy has guaranteed certain issuers of surety bonds, obligating itself to make payment upon the failure of a wholly owned and former non-wholly owned entity to honor its obligations to a third party. Under these arrangements, Duke Energy has payment obligations that are triggered by a draw by the third party or customer due to the failure of the wholly owned or former non-wholly owned entity to perform according to the terms of its underlying contract. At December 31, 2013, Duke Energy had guaranteed \$92 million of outstanding surety bonds. Of this amount, \$54 million, expire in 2014, the remaining expires between 2015 – 2021.

At December 31, 2013, Duke Energy had \$457 million of unused bank-issued stand-by letters of credit to secure the performance of wholly owned and non-wholly owned entities to a third party or customer.

Duke Energy and Progress Energy has issued indemnifications for certain asset performance, legal, tax and environmental matters to third parties, including indemnifications made in connection with sales of businesses. At December 31, 2013, the estimated maximum exposure for these indemnifications was \$117 million, the majority of which expires in 2017. Of this amount, \$7 million has no contractual expiration. For certain matters for which Progress Energy receives timely notice, indemnity obligations may extend beyond the notice period. Certain indemnifications related to discontinued operations have no limitations as to time or maximum potential future payments.

The following table includes the liabilities recognized for the guarantees discussed above. These amounts are primarily recorded in Other within Deferred Credits and other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As current estimates change, additional losses related to guarantees and indemnifications to third parties, which could be material, may be recorded by the Duke Energy Registrants in the future. The decrease in 2013 was mainly due the expiration of guarantees. Accruals and expenditures were not material.

			Decem	ber 31,	
		2013	}	2012	
Duke Energy		\$	24	\$	41
Progress Energy			9		25
Duke Energy Florid	a		3		17
		-			

8. Joint Ownership of Generating and Transmission Facilities

The Duke Energy Registrants hold ownership interests in certain jointly owned generating and transmission facilities. The Duke Energy Registrants are entitled to shares of the generating capacity and output of each unit equal to their respective ownership interests. The Duke Energy Registrants pay their ownership share of additional construction costs, fuel inventory purchases and operating expenses, except in certain instances where agreements have been executed to limit certain joint owners' maximum exposure to the additional costs. The Duke Energy Registrants share of revenues and operating costs of the jointly owned

generating facilities is included within the corresponding line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Each participant in the jointly owned facilities must provide its own financing, except in certain instances where agreements have been executed to limit certain joint owners' maximum exposure to the additional costs.

149

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table presents the share of jointly owned plant or facilities included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. All facilities are operated by the Duke Energy Registrants unless otherwise noted.

		<u> </u>		Decembe	er 31,	201	3			
	Owne	rship Share	Pla	operty, ant and ipment	_		nulated eciation	Cc	W	uction ork in
Duke Energy Carolinas										
Catawba Nuclear Station (Units 1 and 2) ^{(a)(b)}	19.25	%	\$	887		\$	498		\$	
Duke Energy Progress										
Mayo Station ^{(a)(c)}	83.83			856			303			104
Shearon Harris Nuclear Station ^{(a)(c)}	83.83			3,620			2,018			67
Brunswick Nuclear Station ^{(a)(c)}	81.67			1,921			1,005			176
Roxboro Station (Unit 4)(a)(c)	87.06			754			473			13
Duke Energy Florida										
Crystal River Nuclear Station (Unit 3) ^{(a)(d)}	91.78									
Intercession City Station (Unit P11) ^{(a)(e)}	66.67			25			13			
Duke Energy Ohio										
Miami Fort Station (Units 7 and 8) ^{(f)(g)}	64.0			624			232			1
W.C. Beckjord Station (Unit 6) ^{(f)(h)}	37.5									
J.M. Stuart Station(f)(h)(i)	39.0			823			281			16
Conesville Station (Unit 4) ^{(f)(h)(i)}	40.0			318			49			3
W.M. Zimmer Station ^{(f)(h)}	46.5			1,358			574			4
Killen Station ^{(f)(g)(i)}	33.0			308			139			2
East Bend Station(a)(g)	69.0			447			240			13

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	Transmission facilities ^{(a)(h)}	Various				96			49			
Duke	Energy Indiana											
	Gibson Station (Unit 5)(a)(j)	50.05				308			160			2
	Vermillion ^{(a)(k)}	62.5				154			61			
	Transmission and local facilities ^{(a)(j)}	Various				3,726		1	,582			
Intern	national Energy											
	Brazil - Canoas I and II ^(I)	47.2				266			83			
(a)	Included in Regulated Utilitie	s segment.						<u> </u>				
(b)	Co-owned with North Carolir Municipal Power Agency.	a Municipa	al Pow	er Aç	gency	/ Numbe	er 1, NC	CEMC	and I	Piedr	mont	
(c)	Co-owned with North Carolir	a Eastern	Munic	ipal F	owe	r Agenc	у.					
(d)	All costs associated with Cry Consolidated Balance Sheet Note 4 for additional informa Ocala, Orlando Utilities Com Authority, Utilities Commission	s of Duke I tion. Co-ow mission, Ci	Energy ned with the second the se	y, Pro vith S Gaine	ogres Semir Ssville	s Energ ole Elec e, City of	y and E tric Co Leesb	Duke I opera ourg, k	Energ ative, I Kissim	y Flo nc., (mee	rida. S City of Utility	f /
(e)	Co-owned with Georgia Pow output of the unit during the	•	-	_			•	as exc	clusive	e righ	its to	the
(f)	Included in Commercial Pow	er segmen	t.									
(g)	Co-owned with The Dayton I	Power and	Light (Com	oany.	ı						
(h)	Co-owned with The Dayton I	Power and	Light (Com	oany	and Ohi	o Powe	er Cor	mpany	/.		
(i)	Station is not operated by Du	ıke Energy	Ohio.									
(j)	Co-owned with WVPA and Ir	ndiana Mur	icipal	Pow	er Ag	ency.						
(k)	Co-owned with WVPA.											
(l)	Included in International Ene	rgy segme	nt. Co	o-owr	ned v	vith Com	panhia	Bras	ileira	de Al	umini	0.

9. Asset Retirement Obligations

Asset retirement obligations recognized by Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida relate primarily to decommissioning nuclear power facilities, asbestos removal and closure of landfills at fossil generation facilities. Asset retirement obligations at Duke Energy Ohio relate primarily to the retirement of gas mains, asbestos removal and closure of landfills at fossil generation facilities. Asset retirement obligations at Duke Energy Indiana relate primarily to obligations associated with asbestos removal and closure of landfills at fossil generation facilities. Duke Energy also has asset retirement obligations related to the removal of renewable energy generation assets in addition to the above items. Certain of the Duke Energy Registrants' assets have an indeterminate life, such as transmission and distribution facilities, and thus the fair value of the retirement obligation is not reasonably estimable. A liability for these asset retirement obligations will be recorded when a fair value is determinable.

THE IOII	lowing tac	ле ј	bresent	S CI	ian	ges in th	еп	abi	illy asso	OCIA	ilea	with as	sei	rei	iremer	IL O	DIIC	jations	Š.	

(in mill	lions)		Duke Energy			Duke Energy rolinas			ogress Energy			Duke Energy ogress			Duke nergy lorida		Er	Duke nergy Ohio			Duke nergy diana
Balanc			1,936		\$	1,846		\$			\$			\$			\$			\$	43
Acquisi	itions ^(a)		3,062			_			_	_		_	_		-			_			_
Accreti expens			173			118			86			64			22			1			1
Liabiliti settled	es		(15)			(3)			(2)			(2)			-	_		-	_		(10)
Revision estimat cash flo	tes of ows ^(c)		(4)			(2)			234			_			234			-	_		(1)
Liabilition incurrect current	d in the year ^(d)		24			-	_		837			698			139			_	_		4
Baland Decem 2012 ^(e)	ber 31,		5,176			1,959			2,420			1,656			764			28			37
Acquisi			4			_			_			_	_		_			_	_		_
Accreti expens	se ^(b)		239			122			113			80			33			2			_
Liabiliti settled	es		(12)			_	_		(12)			_	_		(12)			_	_		_
Revision estimate cash floor	tes of		(449)			(487)			49			1			48			(2)			(7)
Balanc Decem 2013 ^(e)	ber 31,	\$	4,958		\$	1,594		\$	2,570		\$	1,737		\$	833		\$	28		\$	30
` '	Represer 2 for add					•	atio	ns i	resultino	g fro	om	the mer	gei	r wi	th Pro	gre	ss E	Energ	y. S	See	Note
(b)	Substant Duke Ene	ially ergy	all acc	reti late	on e	expense			•												
(c)	For Progi Unit 3.				nd [Duke En	erg	y F	lorida, t	he	am	ounts re	elat	e to	the re	etire	eme	nt of	Cry	stal	River
(d)	For Progr spent nuc assumpti	clea	ır fuel di	spo	osal	recorde	ed i	n th	ne third	qua	rte										te to
(e)	Balances in Other of and Duke	at curr	Decemb ent liab	oer ilitie	31, es o	2013 a	nd :	201	2, inclu	de S	\$8										
(f)	Amounts site-spec	for	Duke E	ner	gy,	Duke E										ар	rima	arily re	elat	e to	the

				150						

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The Duke Energy Registrants' regulated operations accrue costs of removal for property that does not have an associated legal retirement obligation based on regulatory orders from state commissions. These costs of removal are recorded as a regulatory liability in accordance with regulatory accounting treatment. The Duke Energy Registrants do not accrue the estimated cost of removal for any nonregulated assets. See Note 4 for the estimated cost of removal for assets without an associated legal retirement obligation, which are included in Regulatory liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Nuclear Decommissioning Costs

Use of the NDTF investments are restricted to nuclear decommissioning activities. The NDTF investments are managed and invested in accordance with applicable requirements of various regulatory bodies, including the NRC, FERC, NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The fair value of assets legally restricted for purposes of settling asset retirement obligations associated with nuclear decommissioning are \$4,769 million and \$2,477 million for Duke Energy and Duke Energy Carolinas at December 31, 2013, respectively, and \$3,941 million and \$2,053 million for Duke Energy and Duke Energy Carolinas at December 31, 2012, respectively. The NDTF balances for Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida represent the fair value of assets legally restricted for purposes of settling asset retirement obligations associated with nuclear decommissioning. The NCUC, PSCSC and FPSC require updated cost estimates for decommissioning nuclear plants every five years.

The following table summarizes information about nuclear decommissioning cost studies.

(in millions)		Req	Annual Funding uirement			nissioning Costs ^{(a)(b)}	Year of Cost Study
Duke Energy Ca	rolinas	\$	21		\$	3,420	2013
Duke Energy Pro	ogress		14			3,000	2009
Duke Energy Flo	rida					1,083	2013
	Represents cost per the mos including costs to decommiss contamination.						studies,
` ,	Includes the Duke Energy Rejoint owners are responsible	•		•	•	•	

reactors.				

Nuclear Operating Licenses

Operating licenses for nuclear units are subject to extension. The following table includes the current expiration of nuclear operating licenses.

	T	1	
Unit	<u> </u>		Year of Expiration
Duke Energy Carolina	is		
Catawba Unit 1			2043
Catawba Unit 2			2043
McGuire Unit 1			2041
McGuire Unit 2			2043
Oconee Unit 1			2033
Oconee Unit 2			2033
Oconee Unit 3			2034
Duke Energy Progres	S		
Brunswick Unit 1			2036
Brunswick Unit 2			2034
Harris			2046
Robinson			2030
Duke Energy Florida			
Crystal River Unit 3 ^(a)			2016
(a)	Duke Energy Florida has requested the N operating license as a result of the retirem		Crystal River Unit 3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	·	

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

10. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The following tables summarize the property, plant and equipment.

Г	1	I	1	T	-			<u> </u>	ī	1		1		1				
								1	D	ecember 3	31	, 20	13					
(in millions)		U	nated seful Life ears)			Duke Energy		Duke Energy Carolinas		Progress: Enengo	eı	g₩	ne			Duke Energy Ohio		Duke Energy Indiana
Land	,				\$		\$		\$					21	\$	137	\$	
Plant - Regulated					Ť	-,	· ·		Ť		<u> </u>		_		7		Τ.	
Electric generation, distribution and transmission		-	125			78,272		30,018		31,7929	,1	901	2,6	01		3,925		11,594
Natural gas transmission and distribution	12	-	67			2,138										2,138		
Other buildings and improvements	2	-	100			1,397		447		610	2	82	3	15		190		159
Plant - Nonregulated																		
Electric generation, distribution and transmission		_	100			6,267										4,017		
Other buildings and improvements	9	-	100			2,512										5		
Nuclear fuel						2,458		1,446		1,0121	,0	12						

Construction in 3,595 1,741 873 631 238 166 307 2016 307	Equipment	1		22			1,557		287		621	2	57		94		317		146
3,595 1,741 873 631 238 166 307	Construction in	<u> </u>	-	33			1,557		201		021	3	97		94		317		140
Dither 5 -33 3,438 570 867 418 294 248 178 Total property, plant and squipment (100) 103,115 34,906 36,4882,2738,853 11,143 12,489 Total accumulated depreciation regulated (bi(s)(d) (31,659) (11,894) (13,0968,6234,252) (2,160) (3,913) Total accumulated depreciation nonregulated (6)(d) (1,966) (1							3 595		1 741		873	6	31	ر ا	18		166		307
Total property, plant and agujument(s)(s) Total accumulated depreciation regulated(s)(s)(s) Total accumulated depreciation regulated(s)(s)(s) Total accumulated depreciation regulated(s)(s)(s) Total net property, plant and agujument state state state and sequipment state state state state state and sequipment state stat		5	_	33									1		1	_	1		
plant and gaupment(a) 103,115 34,906 36,4822,2733,853 11,143 12,489 Total accumulated depreciation - gaupted (b)(c)(d) (31,659) (11,894) (13,098,6234,252) (2,160) (3,913) Total accumulated depreciation - lornegulated (c)(d) (1,966) (1,966) (748) Total net property, plant and aguipment \$ 69,490 \$ 23,012 \$ 23,3823,550 9,811 \$ 8,235 \$ 8,576		Ť					0,400		070		007	•		-	<u> </u>				
equipment(a)(d) 103,115 34,906 36,4822,273,8,853 11,143 12,489 Total accumulated depreciation - regulated (b)(c)(d) (31,659) (11,894) (13,098),623)4,252) (2,160) (3,913) Total accumulated depreciation - ronregulated (c)(d) (1,966) (1,966) (748) Total net property, lant and equipment \$69,490 \$23,012 \$23,3823,\$50 9,\$11 \$8,235 \$8,576	plant and																		
Total accumulated depreciation - gegulated (live) (31,659) (11,894) (13,0989,5234,252) (2,160) (3,913) Total accumulated depreciation - consolidated view of the property, plant and aquipment \$69,490 \$23,012 \$23,3823,550 9,511 \$8,235 \$8,576 (748) Total net property, plant and aguipment \$69,490 \$23,012 \$23,3823,550 9,511 \$8,235 \$8,576 (748) Total captulated (live) (1,966	equipment ^{(a)(d)}						103,115		34,906		36,48202	,2	731	3,8	63		11,143		12,489
regulated (bicid) (31,659) (11,894) (13,0988,6234,252) (2,160) (3,913) Total accumulated depreciation - nonregulated (c)(d) (1,966) (748)	Total accumulated						,							Ĺ					
Total accumulated depreciation - nonregulated (c)(d) Total net property, plant and equipment	depreciation -																		
depreciation - nonregulated(c)(d) (1,966) (1,966) (748) (748) (104	regulated ^{(b)(c)(d)}						(31,659)		(11,894)		(13,09 83 ,	62	30/	,2	52)		(2,160)		(3,913)
Contained Cont	Total accumulated																		
Total net property, clant and acquipment	depreciation -																		
plant and equipment	nonregulated ^{(c)(d)}						(1,966)										(748)		
Sequipment Seq	Total net property,																		
(a) Includes capitalized leases of \$1,606 million, \$53 million, \$148 million, \$180 million, \$96 million, and \$30 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively, primarily in regulated plant. The Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida amounts are net of \$60 million, an insignificant amount and \$57 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases. (b) Includes \$1,118 million, \$681 million, \$438 million and \$438 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively. (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. Duke D	plant and																		
million, and \$30 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively, primarily in regulated plant. The Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida amounts are net of \$60 million, an insignificant amount and \$57 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases. (b) Includes \$1,118 million, \$681 million, \$438 million and \$438 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively. (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. Estimated Useful Life Duke Energy Carolinas Duke Duke Duke Duke Energy Carolinas Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Carolinas Set of \$1,678 million and \$1,368 \$1,368 \$378 \$618 \$30 \$39 \$136 \$90 Plant - Regulated \$1,368 \$73,181 \$29,269 \$30,2508,099 \$2,041 \$3,774 \$8,622 Natural gas transmission and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and the progress in the progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Pr	equipment					\$	69,490	\$	23,012	\$	23,3823	,\$	50	9,€	11	\$	8,235	\$	8,576
million, and \$30 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively, primarily in regulated plant. The Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida amounts are net of \$60 million, an insignificant amount and \$57 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases. (b) Includes \$1,118 million, \$681 million, \$438 million and \$438 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively. (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. Estimated Useful Life Duke Energy Carolinas Duke Duke Duke Duke Energy Carolinas Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Energy Carolinas Set of \$1,678 million and \$1,368 \$1,368 \$378 \$618 \$30 \$39 \$136 \$90 Plant - Regulated \$1,368 \$73,181 \$29,269 \$30,2508,099 \$2,041 \$3,774 \$8,622 Natural gas transmission and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and distribution and the progress in the progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Pr																			
Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively, primarily in regulated plant. The Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida amounts are net of \$60 million, an insignificant amount and \$57 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases. (b) Includes \$1,118 million, \$681 million, \$438 million and \$438 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively. (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. Estimated Useful Life Duke Energy Carolinas Duke Duke Duke Energy In millions) Estimated Useful Sensor Sens	(a) Includes capital	ized	116	eases	0	f \$	31,606 mill	ior	າ, \$53 millio	n,	\$328 million	on	, \$	148	3 m	illio	n, \$180 n	nill	ion, \$96
Progress, Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively, primarily in regulated plant. The Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida amounts are net of \$60 million, an insignificant amount and \$57 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases. (b) Includes \$1,118 million, \$681 million, \$438 million and \$438 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively. (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. Estimated Useful Life Duke Energy Carolinas Duke Duke Duke Energy In millions) Estimated Useful Sensor Sens	million, and \$30	mil (lio	n at D)u	ke	Eneray. [Du	ke Enerav (Са	rolinas. Pr	OC	ires	s l	Ξne	erav	. Duke E	ne	rav
in regulated plant. The Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida amounts are net of \$60 million, an insignificant amount and \$57 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases. (b) Includes \$1,118 million, \$681 million, \$438 million and \$438 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively. (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy En																			
are net of \$60 million, an insignificant amount and \$57 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases. (b) Includes \$1,118 million, \$681 million, \$438 million and \$438 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively. (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. December 31, 2012 Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy En									• •										-
amortization of capitalized leases. Includes \$1,118 million, \$681 million, \$438 million and \$438 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively. Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. Duke Energy																			iourits
Includes \$1,118 million, \$681 million, \$438 million and \$438 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively. (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. December 31, 2012								ou	ni and \$57	Ш	illion, respe	ec	live	eıy,	OI	acc	umuialec	1	
nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Progress, respectively. (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. December 31, 2012 Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy E								_		. .	100 ''''								
respectively. (c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. December 31, 2012 Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy Energy Carolinas Energy Energy Energy Carolinas Energy Energy Energy Carolinas Energy Energy Energy Carolinas Energy Energy Energ	` '																		
Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of \$40 million, \$4 million, \$21 million and \$5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. December 31, 2012 Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy E		Juke	9 E	=nerg	у,	D	uke Energ	y (Carolinas, F	'ro	gress Ene	erg	у а	nd	Dι	ike	Energy P	ro	gress,
million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. December 31, 2012 Duke Energy Duke Ene																			
respectively. (d) Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. December 31, 2012 Estimated Useful Life (Years) Energy Carolinas Energy Energy Carolinas Electric generation, distribution and transmission and distribution 12 - 60 2,026	` '	ulat			-			_											
Includes gross property, plant and equipment cost of consolidated VIEs of \$1,678 million and accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy. December 31, 2012 December 31, 2012 Duke Energy Carolinas Duke Energy Energy Carolinas Energy Car	l Imillion at Duke																		
Accumulated depreciation of consolidated VIEs of \$175 million at Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy Duke Energy Energy Energy Carolinas Energy Ene																			
Comparison Com	respectively.	Ene	rg	y, Du	ke	E	nergy Car	oli	nas, Duke I	Ξn	ergy Ohio	aı	nd I	Dи	ke	Ene	ergy India	na	.,
Estimated Useful Life (Years) Duke Energy Carolinas Energy	respectively. (d) Includes gross	Ene orop	rg	y, Dul	ke an	t a	inergy Car	oli ne	nas, Duke I	En on	ergy Ohio solidated \	aı /II	nd I	Ou of S	ke §1,0	Ene 	ergy India	na	.,
Estimated Useful Life (Years) Duke Energy Carolinas Energy	respectively. (d) Includes gross	Ene orop	rg	y, Dul	ke an	t a	inergy Car	oli ne	nas, Duke I	En on	ergy Ohio solidated \	aı /II	nd I	Ou of S	ke §1,0	Ene 	ergy India	na	.,
Estimated Useful Life (Years) Duke Energy Carolinas Energy	respectively. (d) Includes gross	Ene orop	rg	y, Dul	ke an	t a	inergy Car	oli ne	nas, Duke I	En on	ergy Ohio solidated \	aı /II	nd I	Ou of S	ke §1,0	Ene 	ergy India	na	.,
Useful Life (Years)	respectively. (d) Includes gross	Ene orop	rg	y, Dul	ke an	t a	inergy Car	oli ne	nas, Duke I	En on	ergy Ohio solidated \	aı /II	nd I	Ou of S	ke §1,0	Ene 	ergy India	na	.,
Useful Life (Years)	respectively. (d) Includes gross	Ene orop	rg	y, Dul	ke an	t a	inergy Car	oli ne	nas, Duke I	on on	solidated \nillion at D	/II uk	e E	of Sine	ke \$1,0 ergy	Ene 	ergy India	na	.,
Life (Years)	respectively. (d) Includes gross accumulated de	Ene orop pre	rg er cia	rty, pla	ke an	t a	inergy Car	oli ne	nas, Duke I	on on	solidated \nillion at D	/II uk	e E	of Sine	ke \$1,0 ergy	Ene 	ergy India	na	.,
(in millions) (Years) Energy Carolinas Energy rest or da Ohio Indiana Land \$ 1,368 \$ 1,368 \$ 378 \$ 618 \$ 30 \$ 39 \$ 136 \$ 90 Plant - Regulated \$ 1,368 \$ 378 \$ 618 \$ 30 \$ 39 \$ 136 \$ 90 Electric generation, distribution and transmission \$ 73,181 \$ 29,269 \$ 30,2508,009 2,041 \$ 3,774 \$ 8,622 Natural gas transmission and distribution \$ 60 \$ 2,026 \$ 2,02	respectively. (d) Includes gross accumulated de	Ene orop epre	rg er cia	rty, plaation o	ke an	t a	inergy Car	oli ne	nas, Duke I nt cost of co /IEs of \$175	on on on on on on on on	solidated \nillion at D	ar /II uk	e E	Ou of Sine	ke \$1,6 ergy	678	million a	na nd	,
Sample S	respectively. (d) Includes gross accumulated de	Ene orop epre	rg er cia	rty, pla ation of ated seful	ke an	t a	inergy Car	ne	nas, Duke I nt cost of co (IEs of \$175		solidated \nillion at D	/III uk 31	=s (c) = E	Du of Sine	ke \$1,0 ergy	578	million a	nd	, Duke
Plant - Regulated	respectively. (d) Includes gross accumulated de	Ene prepre	rg er cia im Us	rty, pla ation of	ke an	t a	inergy Car and equipn insolidated	ne	nas, Duke Int cost of co IEs of \$175 Duke Energy		solidated \nillion at D	ar VIE uk 31	e E	of Sine	ke 31,0 (rg)	578 '.	million and Duke Energy	nd	Duke Energy
Electric generation, distribution and transmission 2 - 138 73,181 29,269 30,2508,00912,041 3,774 8,622 Natural gas transmission and distribution 12 - 60 2,026 2,026 2,026	respectively. (d) Includes gross paccumulated de (in millions)	Ene prepre	rg er cia im Us	rty, pla ation of	ke an	t a	nergy Car and equipn ensolidated Duke Energy	ne	nas, Duke I nt cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas	5 n	solidated \nillion at D ecember : Progress Energy	ar VIII uk 31 Du	nd I	of sine	ke \$1,6 ergy	Ene	million and Duke Energy Ohio	nd	Duke Energy Indiana
generation, distribution and transmission 2 - 138 73,181 29,269 30,2508,00912,041 3,774 8,622 Natural gas transmission and distribution 12 - 60 2,026 2,026 2,026	respectively. (d) Includes gross accumulated defined to the second se	Ene prepre	rg er cia im Us	rty, pla ation of	ke an	t a	nergy Car and equipn ensolidated Duke Energy	ne	nas, Duke I nt cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas	5 n	solidated \nillion at D ecember : Progress Energy	ar VIII uk 31 Du	nd I	of sine	ke \$1,6 ergy	Ene	million and Duke Energy Ohio	nd	Duke Energy Indiana
distribution and transmission 2 - 138 73,181 29,269 30,2508,00912,041 3,774 8,622 Natural gas transmission and distribution 12 - 60 2,026 2,026 2,026 2,026	respectively. (d) Includes gross paccumulated de la complete de l	Ene prepre	rg er cia im Us	rty, pla ation of	ke an	t a	nergy Car and equipn ensolidated Duke Energy	ne	nas, Duke I nt cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas	5 n	solidated \nillion at D ecember : Progress Energy	ar VIII uk 31 Du	nd I	of sine	ke \$1,6 ergy	Ene	million and Duke Energy Ohio	nd	Duke Energy Indiana
transmission 2 - 138 73,181 29,269 30,2508,0992,041 3,774 8,622 Natural gas transmission and distribution 12 - 60 2,026 2	respectively. (d) Includes gross paccumulated de la la la la la la la la la la la la la	Ene prepre	rg er cia im Us	rty, pla ation of	ke an	t a	nergy Car and equipn ensolidated Duke Energy	ne	nas, Duke I nt cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas	5 n	solidated \nillion at D ecember : Progress Energy	ar VIII uk 31 Du	nd I	of sine	ke \$1,6 ergy	Ene	million and Duke Energy Ohio	nd	Duke Energy Indiana
Natural gas transmission and distribution 12 - 60 2,026 2,026	respectively. (d) Includes gross paccumulated de la la la la la la la la la la la la la	Ene Esti	rg er cia im Us	rty, pla ation of	ke an	t a	nergy Car and equipn ensolidated Duke Energy	ne	nas, Duke I nt cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas	5 n	solidated \nillion at D ecember : Progress Energy	ar VIII uk 31 Du	nd I	of sine	ke \$1,6 ergy	Ene	million and Duke Energy Ohio	nd	Duke Energy Indiana
transmission and distribution 12 - 60 2,026 2,026 2,026	respectively. (d) Includes gross paccumulated definitions) Land Plant - Regulated Electric generation, distribution and	Esti	erg Der Cia Im Us	ty, pla ation of nated seful Life ears)	ke an	t a	Duke Energy 1,368	ne	nas, Duke Int cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas 378	5 n	ecember 3 Progress Energy Ohio	AIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	e E	Du of sine	ke \$1,0 ergy 	Ene	Duke Energy Ohio	nd	Duke Energy Indiana
transmission and distribution 12 - 60 2,026 2,026 2,026	respectively. (d) Includes gross paccumulated definitions) Land Plant - Regulated Electric generation, distribution and	Esti	erg Der Cia Im Us	ty, pla ation of nated seful Life ears)	ke an	t a	Duke Energy 1,368	ne	nas, Duke Int cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas 378	5 n	ecember 3 Progress Energy Ohio	AIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	e E	Du of sine	ke \$1,0 ergy 	Ene	Duke Energy Ohio	nd	Duke Energy Indiana
and distribution 12 - 60 2,026 2,026 2,026	respectively. (d) Includes gross paccumulated de la composition d	Esti	erg Der Cia Im Us	ty, pla ation of nated seful Life ears)	ke an	t a	Duke Energy 1,368	ne	nas, Duke Int cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas 378	5 n	ecember 3 Progress Energy Ohio	AIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	e E	Du of sine	ke \$1,0 ergy 	Ene	Duke Energy Ohio	nd	Duke Energy Indiana
12 - 60 2,026 2,026	respectively. (d) Includes gross paccumulated de la composition del composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition del composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la	Esti	erg Der Cia Im Us	ty, pla ation of nated seful Life ears)	ke an	t a	Duke Energy 1,368	ne	nas, Duke Int cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas 378	5 n	ecember 3 Progress Energy Ohio	AIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	e E	Du of sine	ke \$1,0 ergy 	Ene	Duke Energy Ohio	nd	Duke Energy Indiana
2 - 100 1,319 444 609 283 318 125 149	respectively. (d) Includes gross paccumulated defined accumulated	Esti (im U:	ty, pla ation of nated seful Life ears)	ke an	t a	Duke Energy 1,368	ne	nas, Duke Int cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas 378	5 n	ecember 3 Progress Energy Ohio	AIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	e E	Du of sine	ke \$1,0 ergy 	Ene	Duke Energy Ohio 136	nd	Duke Energy Indiana
	respectively. (d) Includes gross paccumulated defined accumulated	Esti (im U:	ty, pla ation of nated seful Life ears)	ke an	t a	Duke Energy 1,368	ne	nas, Duke Int cost of co /IEs of \$175 Duke Energy Carolinas 378	5 n	ergy Ohio solidated \ nillion at D ecember : Progress Energy 618	Jie Jie 31	nd I	Du of Sine	ke 51,(erg)	Ene	Duke Energy Ohio 136	nd	Duke Energy Indiana

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Other buildin and improvement																	
Plant -																	
Nonregulated																	
Electric																	
generation,																	
distribution a	nd																
transmission	2	-	100		6,055										3,870		
Other buildin	gs																
and																	
improvement	s 9	-	90		2,940										191		
Nuclear fuel					2,127		1,277		850	8	50						
Equipment	1	-	34		1,448		279		604	3	36		90		255		141
Construction in																	
process					6,655		1,996		1,424	9	46	4	74		204		2,836
Other	5	-	60		3,272		547		791	3	80	2	70		243		174
Total property,																	
plant and																	
equipment ^{(a)(d)}					100,391		34,190		35,14 2 31	,1	841	3,4	32		10,824		12,012
Total accumulate	d																
depreciation -																	
regulated(b)(c)(d)					(29,471)		(11,437)		(12,512/8),	18	5()	,0	72)		(1,995)		(3,692)
Total accumulate	d																
depreciation -																	
nonregulated(c)(d)					(2,498)										(703)		
Generation																	
facilities to be																	
retired, net	_				136		73		63		63					Ц	
Total net property	/,																
plant and					00 550	_	00.000	_	00.00	_				_	0.400	_	0.000
equipment		L		\$	68,558	\$	22,826	\$	22,6973	₽,	62	9,\$	860	\$	8,126	\$	8,320
(a) Includes cap																	
million, and \$					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0,		•	_				0,	•		0,
Progress, Du						-	• •										-
in regulated p				-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•								ounts
are net of \$4				_		ou	nt and \$48	mı	illion, respe	ec.	live	eıy,	OI	acc	umulated	1	
amortization						<u></u>	illian and fi	200	n million of	_	201	ımı	ılat	<u> </u>	omortizat	·i o r	o of
(b) Includes \$85			, .		, .		•										
nuclear fuel a		.	Energ	y, D	uke Ellerg	у	Jaroillias, F	10	gress Ene	ıg	y a	Πū	Du	Ke i	Ellergy P	10(gress,
respectively. (c) Includes according		~	d ama	rtion	ation of oar	\i+c	alizad laaca	<u> </u>	of \$24 milli	on	<u></u> Φ	2 r	nilli	on.	¢12 milli	an.	and ¢5
(c) Includes accomillion at Dul																	
respectively.		,ı Ç	yy, Dui	√G L	-nergy Car	UII	nas, Duke I	_''	ergy Offic	aı	iu I	اuر	NG I	_116	igy iiiula	ııa	,
(d) Includes gros		10	rty nla	ant 4	and equipm	ne	nt cost of co	าท	solidated \	/ -	-c /	of G	R1 F	558	million a	nd	
accumulated															minon a	iu	
assamaiated	33510	Ĭ		<u> </u>			υ υ, φισο			<u>۰.,</u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	İ			

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

The following table presents capitalized interest, which includes the debt co	mp	one	ent	of AFUDC) .	
	Υ	ear	's E	Ended Dec	cei	mber 31,
(in millions)	20	13		2012		2011
Duke Energy	\$	90	\$	177	\$	166
Duke Energy Carolinas		41		72		78
Progress Energy		19		41		35
Duke Energy Progress		16		23		20
Duke Energy Florida		3		18		15
Duke Energy Ohio		12		15		9
Duke Energy Indiana		9		39		33

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

11. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill

The following tables present goodwill by reportable operating segment for Duke Energy and Duke Energy Ohio.

153

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Ener	rgy										
(in million	s)		F	Regulated Utilities	In		ational Energy	Con	nmercial Power		Total
Balance at 2012	t December 31,										
Goodwill			\$	15,950		\$	353	\$	933	\$	17,236
Accumulate charges	ed impairment								(871)		(871)
2012, as a	December 31, djusted for ed impairment			15,950			353		62		16,365
Acquisition	(a)			2			(5)		2		(1)
	change and other			(2)			(22)				(24)
	t December 31,										, ,
Goodwill				15,950			326		935		17,211
Accumulate charges	ed impairment								(871)		(871)
2013, as a	December 31, djusted for ed impairment		\$	15,950		\$	326	\$	64	\$	16,340
				,							ŕ
(a)	Amounts represent Regulated Utilities, renewables acquisi price adjustments r	the Ch	ilean I Comn	nydro acqu nercial Pow	isition /er. Se	at Ir e No	nternatio ote 2 for	nal Ene	rgy and a	minor	
Duke Ener	rgy Ohio										
(in million	s)										Total

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	gulated Jtilities	Con	nmercial Power	
Balance at December 31, 2012				
Goodwill	\$ 1,137	\$	1,188	\$ 2,325
Accumulated impairment charges	(216)		(1,188)	(1,404)
Balance at December 31, 2012, as adjusted for				
accumulated impairment charges	921			921
Foreign exchange and other changes	(1)			(1)
Balance at December 31, 2013				
Goodwill	1,136		1,188	2,324
Accumulated impairment charges	(216)		(1,188)	(1,404)
Balance at December 31, 2013, as adjusted for accumulated impairment charges	\$ 920	\$		\$ 920

Progress Energy

Progress Energy had Goodwill of \$3,655 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, for which there are no accumulated impairment charges.

Impairment Analysis

As the fair values of the reporting units of Duke Energy, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Ohio exceeded their respective carrying values at the date of the annual goodwill impairment analysis, no impairment charges were recorded.

In addition, at December 31, 2013, goodwill for the Renewables reporting unit within Commercial Power was analyzed for impairment primarily as a result of the expiration of wind production tax credits at the end of 2013. Based on results of the fourth quarter 2013 impairment analysis, the fair value of the Renewables reporting unit exceeded its carrying value and no impairment was recorded. The fair value of the Renewables reporting unit is impacted by a multitude of factors, including legislative actions related to tax credit extensions, long-term growth rate assumptions, the market price of power and discount rates. Management continues to monitor these assumptions for any indicators that the fair value of the reporting unit could be below the carrying value, and will assess goodwill for impairment as appropriate.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Intangible Assets

The following tables show the carrying amount and accumulated amortization of intangible assets.

														T	
					D	ece	mb	er 31,	20	13					
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Er	Duke nergy linas	Pr	ogress Energy		Er	Duke nergy gress		Eı	Duke nergy orida		Duke Energy Ohio	Er	Duke nergy diana
Emission allowances	\$ 63	\$	1	\$	21		\$	3		\$	18	\$	20	\$	21
Renewable energy certificates	82		16		64			64					2		
Gas, coal and power contracts	180												156		24
Wind development rights	86														
Other	76														
Total gross carrying amounts	487		17		85			67			18		178		45
Accumulated amortization - gas, coal and power contracts	(73)												(60)		(13)
Accumulated amortization - wind development rights	(12)														
	(24)														

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Accumulated																		
amortization -																		ı
other																	\dashv	
Total accumulated																		
amortization		(109)														(60)	_	(13)
Total intangible																		ı
assets, net	\$	378		\$	17		\$	85		\$	67		\$	18	\$	118	\$	32
								De	ece	mb	er 31,	20	12					
					Duke						Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke
		Duke		Er	nergy	ı	Pro	gress		Er	nergy		Eı	nergy		Energy	Ε	nergy
(in millions)		Energy	d	arc	linas		E	nergy		rog	gress		FI	orida		Ohio	In	diana
Emission																		
allowances	\$	80		\$			\$	26		\$	4		\$	22	\$	24	\$	29
Renewable																		
energy certificates																		ı
9,		18			14			2			1							
Gas, coal and																		ı
power contracts		295														272		24
Wind																		ı
development																		
rights		111																
Other		91														10		
Total gross																		
carrying amounts		595			14			28			5			22		306		53
Accumulated																		
amortization -																		ı
gas, coal and																		ı
power contracts		(180)														(168)		(12)
Accumulated																		
amortization -																		ı
wind development																		ı
rights		(9)																
Accumulated																		ı
amortization -																		ı
other		(34)														(9)		
Total accumulated																		·
amortization		(223)														(177)		(12)
Total intangible																		
assets, net	\$	372		\$	14		\$	28	L	\$	5	L	\$	22	 \$	129	\$	41
	-																	
Impairment of Em	-																 	

Impairment of Emission Allowances

Accumulated

On August 8, 2011, the EPA's final rule to replace CAIR was published in the Federal Register. As further discussed in Note 5, CSAPR established state-level annual SO_2 and NO_x caps that were required to take effect on January 1, 2012, and state-level ozone-season NO_x caps that were to take effect on May 1, 2012. CSAPR did not utilize CAA emission allowances as the original CAIR provided. Under CSAPR, the EPA was expected to issue new emission allowances to be used exclusively for purposes of complying with CSAPR cap-and-trade program. After this ruling was published in 2011, Duke Energy evaluated the effect

of CSAPR on the carrying value of emission allowances recorded at its Regulated Utilities and Commercial Power segments. Based on the provisions of CSAPR, Duke Energy Ohio had more SO₂ allowances than were needed to comply with the continuing CAA acid rain cap-and-trade program (excess emission allowances). Duke Energy Ohio incurred a pretax impairment of \$79 million in 2011 to write down the carrying value of excess emission allowances held by Commercial Power to fair value. The charge is recorded in Goodwill and other impairment charges on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Statements of Operations. This amount was based on the fair value of excess allowances held by Commercial Power for compliance under the continuing CAA acid rain cap-and-trade program as of September 30, 2011.

Amortization Ex	pense								
The following tabl rights and other in	e presents amortization expens stangible assets.	se for ga	s, coal a	nd pov	ver cor	ntracts, v	vind de	evelop	ment
			1		Decer	nber 31,			
(in millions)			2013			2012			2011
Duke Energy		\$	13		\$	14		\$	10
Duke Energy Ohio)		8			12			8
Duke Energy India	ana		1			1			1

The table below shows the expected amortization expense for the next five years for intangible assets as of December 31, 2013. The expected amortization expense includes estimates of emission allowances consumption and estimates of consumption of commodities such

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

as gas and coal under existing contracts, as well as estimated amortization related to the wind development projects. The amortization amounts discussed below are estimates and actual amounts may differ from these estimates due to such factors as changes in consumption patterns, sales or impairments of emission allowances or other intangible assets, delays in the in-service dates of wind assets, additional intangible acquisitions and other events.

(in millions)	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Duke Energy	\$ 43	\$ 19	\$ 17	\$ 16	\$ 16
Progress Energy	4	3	2	1	1
Duke Energy Progress	1				
Duke Energy Florida	3	3	2	1	1
Duke Energy Ohio	11	9	9	9	9
Duke Energy Indiana	22	1	1	1	1

12. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

EQUITY METHOD INVESTMENTS

Investments in domestic and international affiliates that are not controlled by Duke Energy, but over which it has significant influence, are accounted for using the equity method. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying amount of investments in affiliates with carrying amounts greater than zero approximated the amount of underlying equity in net assets.

The following table presents Duke Energy's investments in unconsolidated affiliates accounted for under the equity method, as well as the respective equity in earnings, by segment.

			Years Ended December 31,													
		2013					2012								2	2011
		Equity in					I I I -				quity in				quity in	
(in millions)	Inve	estments		е	arnings		II	nves	tments		е	arnings			е	arnings

Regulated Utilities	\$ 4	\$			\$ 5	\$	(5)		\$ _
International Energy	82		110		81		134		145
Commercial Power	252		7		219		14		6
Other	52		6		178		5		9
Total	\$ 390	\$	122		\$ 483	\$	148		\$ 160

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, Duke Energy received distributions from equity investments of \$144 million, \$183 million and \$149 million, respectively, which are included in Other assets within Cash Flows from Operating Activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Significant investments in affiliates accounted for under the equity method are discussed below.

International Energy

Duke Energy owns a 25 percent indirect interest in NMC, which owns and operates a methanol and MTBE business in Jubail, Saudi Arabia.

Commercial Power

Investments accounted for under the equity method primarily consist of Duke Energy's approximate 50 percent ownership interest in the five Catamount Sweetwater, LLC wind farm projects (Phase I-V), INDU Solar Holdings, LLC and DS Cornerstone, LLC. All of these entities own solar or wind power projects in the United States. Duke Energy also owns a 50 percent interest in Duke American Transmission Co., LLC which builds, owns and operates electric transmission facilities in North America.

Other

As of December 31, 2012, investments accounted for under the equity method primarily included a 50 percent ownership interest in DukeNet, which owns and operates telecommunications businesses. On December 31, 2013, Duke Energy completed the sale of its ownership interest in DukeNet to Time Warner Cable, Inc. After retiring existing DukeNet debt and payment of transactions expenses, Duke Energy received \$215 million in cash proceeds and recorded a \$105 million pretax gain in the fourth quarter of 2013.

13. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Subsidiary Registrants engage in related party transactions, which are generally performed at cost and in accordance with the applicable state and federal commission regulations. Refer to the Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Subsidiary Registrants for balances due to or due from related parties. Amounts related to transactions with related parties included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income are presented in the following table.

		Years	Ende	d Decem	ber 31	,	
(in millions)	2013			2012			2011
Duke Energy Carolinas							
	\$ 927		\$	1,112		\$	1,009

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Corporate governance and shared service expenses(a)						
Indemnification coverages(b)		22		21		21
Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA) revenue(c)		121		18		
Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA) expense(c)		116		91		
Progress Energy						
Corporate governance and shared services provided by Duke Energy ^(a)	\$	290	\$	63	\$	
Corporate governance and shared services provided to Duke Energy ^(d)		96		47		
Indemnification coverages ^(b)		34		17		
JDA revenue ^(c)		116		91		
JDA expense ^(c)		121		18		
Duke Energy Progress						T
Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a)	\$	266	\$	254	\$	203
Indemnification coverages ^(b)		20		8		
JDA revenue ^(c)		116		91		
JDA expense ^(c)		121		18		
Duke Energy Florida						T
Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a)	\$	182	\$	186	\$	160
Indemnification coverages ^(b)		14		8		
Duke Energy Ohio						
Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a)	\$	347	\$	358	\$	401
Indemnification coverages(b)		15		15		17
Duke Energy Indiana						
Corporate governance and shared service expenses ^(a)	\$	422	\$	419	\$	415
Indemnification coverages(b)		14		8		7
(a) The Subsidiary Registrant governance and other cost Duke Energy and Progress costs are primarily related fees, as well as other third maintenance and other or Comprehensive Income. State of the St	sts by unconss Energy. Coll to human red- d-party costs on the Consol See Note 21	solidated corporated esourced s. These lidated S for addi	d affiliates the governance s, employee amounts are statements of tional inform	at are con e and othe benefits, I e recorded Operation ation.	isolidated aff or shared ser egal and acc I in Operations and	vices counting n,
(b) The Subsidiary Registrant through Bison, Duke Ener expenses are recorded in Statements of Operations	gy's wholly Operation,	owned c mainten	aptive insura ance and oth	ınce subs	idiary. These	9
(c) Effective with the consum Energy, Duke Energy Car JDA. The JDA allows the reduce customer rates. Re	olinas and E collective di	Duke Ene spatch o	ergy Progres f power plan	s began to ts betwee	o participate n service ter	in a ritories to

	Operating Revenues and expenses from the purchase of power under the JDA are recorded in Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.
(d)	Progress Energy charges a proportionate share of corporate governance and other costs to unconsolidated affiliates that are consolidated affiliates of Duke Energy. Corporate governance and other shared costs are primarily related to human resources, employee benefits, legal and accounting fees, as well as other third-party costs. These charges are recorded as an offset to Operation, maintenance and other in the Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

In addition to the amounts presented above, the Subsidiary Registrants record the impact on net income of other affiliate transactions, including rental of office space, participation in a money pool arrangement, other operational transactions and their proportionate share of certain charged expenses. See Note 6 for more information regarding money pool. The net impact of these transactions was not material for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 for the Subsidiary Registrants.

As discussed in Note 17, certain trade receivables have been sold by Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana to CRC, an affiliate formed by a subsidiary of Duke Energy. The proceeds obtained from the sales of receivables are largely cash but do include a subordinated note from CRC for a portion of the purchase price.

In January 2012, Duke Energy Ohio recorded a non-cash equity transfer of \$28 million related to the sale of Vermilion to Duke Energy Indiana. Duke Energy Indiana recorded a non-cash after-tax equity transfer of \$26 million for the purchase of Vermillion from Duke Energy Ohio. See Note 2 for further discussion.

Duke Energy Commercial Asset Management (DECAM) is a nonregulated, direct subsidiary of Duke Energy Ohio. DECAM conducts business activities including the execution of commodity transactions, third-party vendor and supply contracts, and service contracts for certain of Duke Energy's nonregulated entities. The commodity contracts DECAM enters are accounted for as undesignated contracts or NPNS. Consequently, mark-to-market impacts of intercompany contracts with, and sales of power to, nonregulated entities are reflected in Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. These amounts totaled net expense of \$6 million and net revenue of \$24 million and \$18 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Because it is not a rated entity, DECAM receives its credit support from Duke Energy or its nonregulated subsidiaries and not the regulated utility operations of Duke Energy Ohio. DECAM meets its funding needs through an intercompany loan agreement from a subsidiary of Duke Energy. DECAM also has the ability to loan money to the subsidiary of Duke Energy. DECAM had an outstanding intercompany loan payable of \$43 million and \$79 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. This amount is recorded in Notes payable to affiliated companies on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

14. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The Duke Energy Registrants use commodity and interest rate contracts to manage commodity price and interest rate risks. The primary use of energy commodity derivatives is to hedge the generation portfolio against changes in the prices of electricity and natural gas. Interest rate swaps are used to manage interest rate risk associated with borrowings.

All derivative instruments not identified as NPNS are recorded at fair value as assets or liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral related to derivative instruments executed under master netting agreement is offset against the collateralized derivatives on the balance sheet.

Changes in the fair value of derivative agreements that either do not qualify for or have not been designated as hedges are reflected in current earnings or as regulatory assets or liabilities.

Commodity Price Risk

The Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to the impact of changes in the future prices of electricity, coal, and natural gas. Exposure to commodity price risk is influenced by a number of factors including the term of contracts, the liquidity of markets, and delivery locations.

Commodity Fair Value and Cash Flow Hedges

At December 31, 2013, there were no open commodity derivative instruments designated as hedges.

Undesignated Contracts

Undesignated contracts may include contracts not designated as a hedge, contracts that do not qualify for hedge accounting, derivatives that do not or no longer qualify for the NPNS scope exception, and de-designated hedge contracts. These contracts expire as late as 2018.

Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress have entered into firm power sale agreements, which are accounted for as derivatives, as part of the Interim FERC Mitigation in connection with Duke Energy's merger with Progress Energy. See Note 2 for further information. Duke Energy Carolinas' undesignated contracts are primarily associated with forward sales and purchases of electricity. Duke Energy Progress' and Duke Energy Florida's undesignated contracts are primarily associated with forward purchases of natural gas. Duke Energy Ohio's undesignated contracts are primarily associated with forward sales and purchases of electricity, coal, and natural gas. Duke Energy Indiana's undesignated contracts are primarily associated with forward purchases and sales of electricity and financial transmission rights.

Volumes

The tables show information relating to the volume of the outstanding commodity derivatives. Amounts disclosed represent the notional volumes of commodity contracts excluding NPNS. Amounts disclosed represent the absolute value of notional amounts. The Duke Energy Registrants have netted contractual amounts where offsetting purchase and sale contracts exist with identical delivery locations and times of delivery. Where all commodity positions are perfectly offset, no quantities are shown.

<u> </u>				<u> </u>	T T	T T	
			Dec	cember 31, 20	13		
	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy		Energy	Energy	Energy
Electricity							
(Gigawatt-hours) ^{(a}	71,466	1,205	925	925		69,362	203
Natural gas (millions of decatherms)	636		363	141	222	274	
	030		303	141	222	214	
			Dec	cember 31, 20	<u> </u>		
	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy		Energy	Energy	Energy
Electricity							
(Gigawatt-hours) ^{(a}	52,104	2,028	1,850	1,850		51,215	97
Natural gas (millions of decatherms)	528		348	118	230	180	
(a) Amounts a Energy.	t Duke En	nergy Ohio incl	ude intercomp	any positions	that eliminat	e at Duke	

Interest Rate Risk

The Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to changes in interest rates as a result of their issuance or anticipated issuance of variable-rate and fixed-rate debt and commercial paper. Interest rate risk is managed by limiting variable-rate exposures to a percentage of total debt and by monitoring changes in interest rates. To manage risk associated with changes in interest rates, the Duke Energy Registrants may enter into interest rate swaps, U.S. Treasury lock agreements, and other financial contracts. In anticipation of certain fixed-rate debt issuances, a series of forward starting interest rate swaps may be executed to lock in components of current market interest rates. These instruments are later terminated prior to or upon the issuance of the corresponding debt. Pretax gains or losses recognized from inception to termination of the hedges are amortized as a component of interest expense over the life of the debt.

Duke Energy has a combination foreign exchange, pay fixed-receive floating interest rate swap to fix the US dollar equivalent payments on a floating-rate Chilean debt issue.

The following tables show notional amounts for derivatives related to interest rate risk.

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	D	ecemb	or ?	21	2013					De		mh	er 31,	20	12				
<u> </u>		CCCIIID		ΤĹ	Duke					De			ei 31,	20	12	Duke			Duke
(i.e		Duke		Eı	nergy		Duke	F	•	gress		Er	Duke nergy		E	Energy			nergy
(in millions)		Energy	1		Ohio		Energy			nergy	H	roç	gress			Ohio		ın	diana
Cash flow hedges (a	\$	798		\$		\$	1,047		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Undesignated contracts		34			27		290			50			50			27			200
Fair value hedges							250									250			
Total notiona amount	al \$	832		\$	27	\$	1,587		\$	50		\$	50		\$	277		\$	200
(a) Duke Energy million at De													_	terr	n d	ebt of \	/IE:	s of	\$584

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy

The following table shows the fair value of derivatives and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets where they are reported. Although derivatives subject to master netting arrangements are netted on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, the fair values presented below are shown gross and cash collateral on the derivatives has not been netted against the fair values shown.

	ı				Decer	nbe	r 31				
		2	013	}					012		
(in millions)		Asset		Li	ability			Asset		Lia	ability
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments											
Commodity contracts											
Current liabilities: other	\$			\$	1		\$			\$	2
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other											1
Interest rate contracts											
Current assets: other								2			
Investments and other assets: other		27						7			
Current liabilities: Other					18						81
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other					4						35
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging											
Instruments		27			23			9			119
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging											
Instruments											
Commodity contracts											
Current assets: other		201			158			41			2
Investments and other assets: other		215			131			106			50
Current liabilities: other		13			153			106			407
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other		5			166			2			255
Interest rate contracts											
Current liabilities: other					1						76
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other					4						8

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging							
Instruments	434		613		255		798
Total Derivatives	\$ 461	\$	636	\$	264	\$	917

The tables below show the balance sheet location of derivative contracts subject to enforceable master netting agreements and include collateral posted to offset the net position. This disclosure is intended to enable users to evaluate the effect of netting arrangements on financial position. The amounts shown were calculated by counterparty. Accounts receivable or accounts payable may also be available to offset exposures in the event of bankruptcy. These amounts are not included in the tables below.

	+										\vdash
					December	31,					
				ve Asse			_		Liabilit		igwdap
(in mi	llions)	Cı	urrent ^(a)	Non-(Current(b)		Cı	ırrent ⁽ የ\	on-Curr	ent ^(d)	
Gross	amounts recognized	\$	214	\$	233		\$	322	\$		
	amounts offset		(179)		(138)			(192)		(155)	
Net an	mount subject to master g		35		95			130		144	
Amou nettin	nts not subject to master				14			4		11	
	mounts recognized on the blidated Balance Sheet	\$	35	\$	109		\$	134	\$	155	
					December	· 31.	2012				
			Derivati	ve Asse				rivative	Liabilit	ies	
(in mi	illions)	Cı	urrent ^(a)	Non-0	Current(b)		Cı	urrent(¶	on-Curr	ent ^(d)	
Gross	amounts recognized	\$	127	\$	96		\$	402	\$	295	
Gross	amounts offset		(114)		(54)			(151)		(90)	
Net a	mounts subject to master g		13		42			251		205	
Amou nettin	nts not subject to master g		22		19			166		54	
	mounts recognized on the blidated Balance Sheet	\$	35	\$	61		\$	417	\$	259	
(a)	Included in Other within C	urrent	Assets on	the Con	solidated	Bala	nce Sh	neet.			
(b)	Included in Other within In	vestm	ents and (Other As	sets on the	e Co	nsolida	ted Bal	ance She	eet.	
(c)	Included in Other within C	urrent	Liabilities	on the C	onsolidate	ed Ba	alance	Sheet.			
(d)	Included in Other within D Sheet.	eferre	d Credits a	and Othe	r Liabilitie	s on	the Co	nsolidat	ted Balar	nce	
											\Box

The following table shows the gains and losses during the year recognized on cash flow hedges and the line items on the Consolidated Statements of Operations where such gains and losses are included when reclassified from AOCI.

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

				Years En	ded [Decembe	r 31,	
(in millions)				2013		2012		2011
Pretax Gains (L	osses) Recorded in AOCI							
Interest rate con	tracts ^(a)	\$		79	\$	(23)	\$	(88)
Commodity cont	racts			1		1		
Total Pretax Ga	ins (Losses) Recorded in AOCI	\$		80	\$	(22)	\$	(88)
Location of Pre AOCI into Earni	tax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from ngs							
Interest rate co	ntracts							
Interest expense		\$		(2)	\$	2	\$	(5)
Total Pretax Ga Earnings	ins (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into	\$		(2)	\$	2	\$	(5)
(a)	Reclassified to earnings as interest expense	ove	r th	e term of th	ne rela	ited debt		
			Т	\Box	+	\Box	+	

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

There was no hedge ineffectiveness during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and no gains or losses were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness during the same periods.

At December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, \$59 million and \$151 million, respectively, of pretax deferred net losses interest rate cash flow hedges were included in AOCI. A \$4 million pretax gain is expected to be recognized in earnings during the next 12 months as interest expense.

The following table shows the gains and losses during the year recognized on undesignated derivatives and the line items on the Consolidated Statements of Operations or the Consolidated Balance Sheets where the pretax gains and losses were reported.

	•	Years En	ded	Decemi	ber 31.	
(in millions)	2013			2012		2011
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Recognized in Earnings						
Commodity contracts						
Revenue: Regulated electric	\$ 11		\$	(23)		\$
Revenue: Nonregulated electric, natural gas and other	43			38		(59)
Other income and expenses				(2)		
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power-regulated	(200)			(194)		
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power - nonregulated	(100)			2		(1)
Interest rate contracts						
Interest expense	(18)			(8)		
Total Pretax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	\$ (264)		\$	(187)		\$ (60)
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities						
Commodity contracts ^(a)						
Regulatory assets	\$ 10		\$	(2)		\$ (1)

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Regulatory	liabilities		15			36			17
Interest rat	te contracts ^(b)								
Regulatory	assets		55			10			(165)
Regulatory	liabilities								(60)
	x Gains (Losses) Recognized as Assets or Liabilities	\$	80		\$	44		\$	(209)
(a)	Reclassified to earnings to mat	ch rec	overy thro	ough th	ne fuel	clause.			
(b)	Reclassified to earnings as inte	erest ex	xpense o	ver the	term	of the rela	ated d	ebt.	
					•				·

Duke Energy Carolinas

The following table shows the fair value of derivatives and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets where they are reported. Although derivatives subject to master netting arrangements are netted on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, the fair values presented below are shown gross and cash collateral on the derivatives has not been netted against the fair values shown.

		•		Decei	nbe	er 31	,			
	2	2013	3				2	012	<u> </u>	
(in millions)	Asset		Ë	ability			Asset		Lia	ability
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments										
Commodity contracts										
Current liabilities: other				1						6
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other				1						6
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments				2						12
Total Derivatives	\$		\$	2		\$			\$	12

The tables below show the balance sheet location of derivative contracts subject to enforceable master netting agreements and include collateral posted to offset the net position. This disclosure is intended to enable users to evaluate the effect of netting arrangements on

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

financial position. The amounts shown were calculated by counterparty. Accounts receivable or accounts payable may also be available to offset exposures in the event of bankruptcy. These amounts are not included in the tables below.

															Г
						Decem	her	1 31	2013					H	r
			Deri	vativ	e As			J I,		erivativ	e I i	abiliti	es	\vdash	r
(in mil	llions)	Curr	ent ^(a)			Current ⁽	(b)		_	urrent ^{(q}	_				Γ
•	nts not subject to master	\$			\$				\$			\$	1		
	nounts recognized on the				<u> </u>				<u> </u>	-					Γ
	lidated Balance Sheet	\$			\$				\$	1		\$	1	\vdash	L
						Decem	ber	31,	2012						Ĺ
			Deri	vativ	e As	sets			De	erivativ	e Li	abiliti	es		Ĺ
(in mil	llions)	Curr	ent ^(a)		Non-	Current	(b)		C	urrent ^{(q}	Non	-Curr	ent ^(d)		Ĺ
Amour netting	nts not subject to master	\$			\$				\$	6		\$	6		
	nounts recognized on the lidated Balance Sheet	\$			\$				\$	6		\$	6		
(a)	Included in Other within C	irrent /	Accata	s on t	ho Co	neolidat	ad B	ala	nce S	hoot				Н	F
(b)	Included in Other within In										lan	ce She	et e		r
(c)	Included in Other within C											oc on		Н	r
(d)	Included in Other within Do Sheet.											l Balar	nce		
line ite and lo	llowing table shows the gain ems on the Consolidated States sses are included when recla	ements assified	s of O I from	perat AOC	tions :	and Com	preh	ens	sive Ir	ncome v	vhe	re suc	h gair	ns	_
	s on cash flow hedges reclas and 2012 were not material.	sified a	at Duk	ke En	ergy	Carolinas	s dur	ing	the y	ear end	ed [Decen	nber 3	31,	

		Ye	ears	Enc	led	L Decen	be	r 31 ,		
(in millions)		2	013			201	2		20	11
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings										
Interest rate contracts										
Interest expense	\$		(3)		\$	(3)	\$	((5)
Total Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings	\$		(3)		\$	(3)	\$	((5)

For the years ended December 31, 2013, Duke Energy Carolinas had \$23 million of pretax deferred net losses on settled interest rate cash flow hedges remaining in AOCI. A \$5 million pretax gain is expected to be recognized in earnings during the next 12 months as interest expense.

The following table shows the gains and losses during the year recognized on undesignated derivatives and the line items on the Consolidated Statements of Operations or the Consolidated Balance Sheets where the pretax gains and losses were reported.

	Years	Ende	d Decem	ber 3	1,
(in millions)	2013		2012		2011
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Recognized in Earnings					
Commodity contracts					
Revenue: Regulated electric	\$ (12)	\$	(12)	\$	
Total Pretax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	\$ (12)	\$	(12)	\$	
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities					
Interest rate contracts					
Regulatory assets	\$	\$		\$	(94)
Regulatory liabilities					(60)
Total Pretax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities	\$	\$		\$	(154)

Progress Energy

The following table shows the fair value of derivatives and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets where they are reported. Although derivatives subject to master netting arrangements are netted on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, the fair values presented below are shown gross and cash collateral on the derivatives has not been netted against the fair values shown.

		December 31,										
		2013						2012				
(in millions)			Asset		L	iability			Asset		Liability	

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments								
Commodity contracts								
Current liabilities: other			\$	1	\$		\$	2
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other				4				1
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments				5				3
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments								
Commodity contracts								
Current assets: other		3		2		3		
Investments and other assets: other		2		1		8		
Current liabilities: other		11		105				231
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other		4		91				195
Interest rate contracts								
Current liabilities: other								11
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		20		199		11		437
Total Derivatives		20	\$	204	\$	11	\$	440
								·

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The tables below show the balance sheet location of derivative contracts subject to enforceable master netting agreements and include collateral posted to offset the net position. This disclosure is intended to enable users to evaluate the effect of netting arrangements on financial position. The amounts shown were calculated by counterparty. Accounts receivable or accounts payable may also be available to offset exposures in the event of bankruptcy. These amounts are not included in the tables below.

	December 31, 2013											
		Deriv	ative Ass	ets		De	rivative	Liabiliti	es			
(in millions)	Cu	rrent ^(a)	Non-	Current(b)		Cı						
Gross amounts recognized		15	\$	5		\$	107	\$	93			
Gross amounts offset		(13)		(4)			(17)		(10)			
Net amount subject to master netting		2		1			90		83			
Amounts not subject to master netting									4			
Net amounts recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheet		2	\$	1		\$	90	\$	87			
	December 31, 2012											
	Derivative Assets Derivative Liabilities											
(in millions)	Cu	rrent ^(a)										
Gross amounts recognized		3	\$	8		\$	244	\$	192			
Gross amounts offset							(22)		(36)			
Net amounts subject to master netting		3		8			222		156			
Amounts not subject to master netting									4			
Net amounts recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheet		3	\$	8		\$	222	\$	160			
(a) Included in Other within Current Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.												

Included	in Other within Inv	/estm	nents an	d Oth	ner As	ssets	on	the	e Co	nsc	lida	ate	d B	alan	ice (She	et.		
Included	in Other within Cu	ırrent	Liabiliti	es on	the (Cons	olic	late	ed Ba	alar	nce	Sł	neet	t.					
	in Other within De	eferre	d Credit	ts and	d Oth	er Lia	abili	ities	s on	the	Сс	ns	olic	lated	d Ba	alar	ісе		
Sheet.			T	ı	1	ı				_									
•	•				_	•		_							_				
															Cons	soli	date	ed	
e Sheet w	here such gains a	nd lo	sses are	nclu	ıded	when	re	cla	SSITIE	ed t	ron	า A	<u>.OC</u>	il		<i>-</i>			
											<u> </u>	_				Ш	ᆜ		
											Er	<u>ide</u>	ed L			er	<u>31,</u>		
								ı	20	13			- 1	20	12	\vdash		201	1
•		in A	<u>oci</u>										_				\vdash		
							\$			1			\$		1		\$		3)
																	\sqcup	(14	<u>1)</u>
							\$			1			\$	(1	10)		\$	(14	4)
		sses	s) Recla	ssifie	ed fro	om													
																	\square		
st rate cor	ntracts																\sqcup		
•							\$						\$	(1	14)		\$	(1	3)
	ins (Losses) Recl	lassif	fied fro	n AO	CI in	to													
_							\$						\$	(1	14)		\$	(1	3)
			•	ssifie	ed fro	om													
		abiliti	ies ^(D)													\vdash	\vdash		
							_				-		_			 			
							\$				-		\$	(15	59)		\$		
		ogniz	zed as F	Regul	atory	<i>'</i>	•						_	/4 5	-0/		_		
or Liabii	Ities						\$						Ф	(15	9)	\vdash	\$		
	Poolassified to or	rninc	ne ac int	oroct	ovno	nco	240	r th	o to	m	of t	ho	rol	atad	dol				
																	lori,	(Otiv (_
																		alive	;5
				·o	. 90. \		. 00		J	~ ···	J	,	- 01	.0 1	.og	s.a.	. J. y		
													寸				一		
	lincluded Sheet. lowing tab ms on the e Sheet w lions) Gains (Lo odity contr t rate contra	Included in Other within Cullincluded in Other within Desheet. Iowing table shows the gains as on the Consolidated State e Sheet where such gains a self-bear sheet where such gains a self-bear sheet where such gains a self-bear sheet where such gains a self-bear sheet where such gains and (Losses) Recorded odity contracts that contracts (a) Pretax Gains (Losses) Recorded on of Pretax Gains and (Losses) retax Gains (Losses) Recorded on of Pretax Gains (Losses) Recorded on of Pretax Gains and (Losses) retax Gains (Losses) Recorded on of Pretax Gains (Losses) Recorded on the self-bear sheet gains (Losses) Recorded on the self-bea	Included in Other within Current Included in Other within Deferre Sheet. Itowing table shows the gains and ms on the Consolidated Statemer e Sheet where such gains and locations. Itions) Gains (Losses) Recorded in Active Contracts (a) Pretax Gains (Losses) Recorded on of Pretax Gains and (Losses) nto Earnings Included in Other within Deferre Sheet. It rate contracts (a) Pretax Gains (Losses) Recorded on Active Contracts (a) It expense Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassificates (Losses) Reclassificates (Losses) Recogniz	Included in Other within Current Liabilities Included in Other within Deferred Credit Sheet. Included in Other Sheet. Included in Other Sheet.	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Included	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Olincluded in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Sheet. Included in Other Sheet. In	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Cons Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Sheet. Idowing table shows the gains and losses during the year ms on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Cole Sheet where such gains and losses are included where such gains and losses are included where such gains and losses are included where state contracts Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Constant of Operations and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Operation Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When Liabilities on the Constant of Credits and Included When	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolic Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Sheet. Idowing table shows the gains and losses during the year recens on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Come Sheet where such gains and losses are included when recens sheet where such gains an	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidate Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Sheet. Illowing table shows the gains and losses during the year recogns on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprete Sheet where such gains and losses are included when reclated in the Sheet where such gains and losses are included when reclated in the Sheet where such gains and losses are included when reclated in the Sheet where such gains and losses are included when reclated in the Sheet where such gains and losses are included when reclated in the Sheet where such gains and losses are included when reclated in the Sheet where such gains and Included when reclated in the Sheet where such gains and Included in the Sheet where such gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings and Interest expense such gains (Losses) Reclassified from the Sheet where such gains (Losses) Reclassified from the Regulatory Assets or Liabilities (b) Into Sheet with the merger, Progress Energy no long for regulated operations as cash flow hedges. As a derivatives as of the date of the merger were reclassified from the sheet with the merger were reclassified for the merger were reclassified derivatives as of the date of the merger were reclassified derivatives as of the date of the merger were reclassified derivatives as of the date of the merger were reclassified from the Consolidation of the Sheet Labilities (b) Into Sheet Labilities (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c)	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balincluded in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on Sheet.	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balar Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Sheet. Illowing table shows the gains and losses during the year recognized on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive esheet where such gains and losses are included when reclassified for trate contracts and Included in AOCI (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (Included in AOCI) In order of Pretax Gains (I	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other Within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet. Included in Other Within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consheet on the Co	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Shell Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income Sheet where such gains and losses are included when reclassified from A Sentent Pretax Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI Sentent Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI Sentent Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI Sentent Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI Sentent Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Into Earnings Sentent Contract Sentent Contract Sentent	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheel Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolid Sheet. It is a contracts in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income the Sheet where such gains and losses are included when reclassified from AOC Into Into Into Into Into Into Into Into	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other Within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on th	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance B	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Leaded December and Included Income of Consolidated Statements of Consolidated State	Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contracts and It is a contract and I	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other within Balance Sheet. Included in Other Within Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Included in Other ACCI in Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other Within Balance Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Repulse on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Repulse on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Repulse on the Consolidated Sheet. Included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Sheet Sheet where such gasselfed from AOCI in Consolidated Sheet Sheet Wall Sheet

There was no hedge ineffectiveness during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, and no gains or losses have been excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness during the same periods.

At December 31, 2013, and 2012, \$61 million and \$65 million, respectively of pretax deferred net losses on derivative instruments related to interest rate cash flow hedges were included as a component of AOCI. A \$5 million pretax loss is expected to be recognized in earnings during the next 12 months as interest expense.

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table shows the gains and losses during the year recognized on undesignated derivatives and the line items on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income or the Consolidated Balance Sheets where the pretax gains and losses were reported.

			V 0 0 11 0	Endos	l Docomi	21						
(in millions)		2013	rears	Ended	l Decemb 2012	Jersi,	ı	2011				
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses)		2013			2012			2011				
Recognized in Earnings												
Commodity contracts												
Operating revenues	\$	11		\$	(11)		\$	1				
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power		(200)			(454)			(297)				
Other income and expenses, net					7			(59)				
Interest rate contracts												
Interest expense		(17)			(8)							
Total Pretax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	\$	(206)		\$	(466)		\$	(355)				
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities												
Commodity contracts ^(a)												
Regulatory assets	\$	10		\$	(171)		\$	(502)				
Interest rate contracts(b)												
Regulatory assets		18			6							
Total Pretax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities	\$	28		\$	(165)		\$	(502)				
(a) Reclassified to earnings to mat	Reclassified to earnings to match recovery through the fuel clause.											
(b) Reclassified to earnings as inte	erest ex	pense o	ver the	eterm	of the rela	ated de	ebt.					

Duke Energy Progress

The following table shows the fair value of derivatives and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets where they are reported. Although derivatives subject to master netting arrangements are netted on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, the fair values presented below are shown gross and cash collateral on the derivatives has not been netted against the fair values shown.

		Ī								1
			<u> </u>		Dece	mber	31,			
			2013	3				2012	2	
(in millions)		Asset		Li	iability		Asset		L	iability
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments										
Commodity contracts_										
Current liabilities: other	\$			\$	1	\$			\$	1
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other										1
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments					1					2
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments										
Commodity contracts(a)										
Current assets: other							1			
Investments and other assets: other		2			1		1			
Current liabilities: other		2			40					85
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other		2			29					68
Interest rate contracts _										
Current liabilities: other										11
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		6			70		2			164
Total Derivatives	\$	6		\$	71	\$	2		\$	166
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
(a) Substantially all of these co	ntract	s are r	ecord	ded a	s regula	tory as	ssets or li	<u>abilitie</u>	es.	
										<u> </u>

The tables below show the balance sheet location of derivative contracts subject to enforceable master netting agreements and include collateral posted to offset the net position. This disclosure is intended to enable users to evaluate the effect of netting arrangements on financial position. The amounts shown were calculated by counterparty. Accounts receivable or accounts payable may also be available to offset exposures in the event of bankruptcy. These amounts are not included in the tables below.

				Decembe	r 31	. 2013				
		Deriva	tive Ass				erivative	Liabilit	ties	
(in millions)	Cur	rent ^(a)	Non-	Current(b)		Cı	urrent ⁽ ¶\	on-Cur	rent ^(d)	
Gross amounts recognized	\$	3	\$	3		\$	41	•	30	
Gross amounts offset		(3)		(3)			 			
Net amount subject to master netting							38		27	
Net amounts recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheet	\$		\$			\$	38	9	27	

							Dece	mb	er 3	1. 2	012			1 1			
				Deriv	/ative	e Ass		·····		<u> </u>		eriv	ativ	e Lia	biliti	ies	
(in milli	ions)		Cur	rent ^(a)	1		Curre	nt(t	0)					Non-()
•	•	ecognized	\$			\$		1			\$	т —	97		\$		Ħ
	mounts o												(2)			(7)	
Net am	ounts sub	ject to master											· · ·			, 1	
netting				1				1					95			62	
		gnized on the															
Consoli	dated Bal	ance Sheet	\$	1		\$		1			\$		95	++	\$	62	Н
					<u> </u>				<u> </u>								
<u>(a)</u>	+	in Other within Cu															
(b)		in Other within Inv													Sh	eet.	$\vdash \vdash$
(c)		in Other within Cu) _ !		\dashv
(d)	Included Sheet.	in Other within De	ererrec	ı Credi	เร an	a Oth	er Lia	JIIIQ	ies c	n th	ie C	ons	olida	ated E	salai	тсе	
	Sheet.								1								
The follo	Owing tab	le shows the gains	s and	loccac	durin	na the	vear	rocc	ani.	700	on c	ach	ı flov	N had	200	and t	ho.
	_	Consolidated Stat				_	•		_						_		
		n which such gain															-
									\	/ear	s Eı	nde	d D	ecem	ber	31,	
(in milli	ions)									2013	3			2012	1		201 ⁻
Pretax	Gains (Lo	sses) Recorded	in AC	CI													
Interest	rate cont	racts ^(a)						\$					\$	(7)		\$	(70
Total P	retax Gai	ns (Losses) Reco	orded	in AO	CI			\$					\$	(7)		\$	(70
		ax Gains and (Lo	sses	Recla	ıssifi	ed fro	om										
	nto Earnii							_									
Interes	t rate cor	ntracts															
	expense							\$					\$	(5)		\$	(7
		ns (Losses) Recl	lassifi	ed fro	m AC	OCI in	to							(=)			
Earning		0-! / -		. D I-	:c:			\$					\$	(5)		\$	(7
		ax Gains and (Lo ory Assets or Lia			ISSITI	ea tro	om										
	t rate con		abilitie	53 (*)				\dashv									
	tory assets						+	\$					\$	(117)	+	\$	
		ns (Losses) Rec	naniz	ed as I	Reali	lator	,	Ψ				\dashv	Ψ	(117)	+	Ψ	
	or Liabili		-gill2	ou us i	legu	.a.o.	'	\$					\$	(117)		\$	
								十				7	*	()		-	
(a)		Reclassified to ea	arning	s as in	teres	t expe	ense d	ver	the	tern	n of	the	rela	ted de	ebt.		
(b)		Effective with the														rate	
,		derivatives for req	gulate	d opera	ations	s as c	ash flo	ow	hedo	ges.	As a	a re	sult	, the p	reta	x los	ses
		on derivatives as	of the	date o	of the	merg	er we	re r	ecla	ssifi	ed f	rom	ı AO	CI to	Reg	ulato	ry
 		assets.						-				- 1	ı		1	<u> </u>	
-	1		1		I	1		\perp		<u> </u>	\perp	,	\perp	 	-		
									1		- 1	1			1		1 1

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

There was no hedge ineffectiveness during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and no gains or losses have been excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness during the same periods.

The following table shows the gains and losses during the year recognized on undesignated derivatives and the line items on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income or the Consolidated Balance Sheets where the pretax gains and losses were reported.

			Years	Ende	d Decem	ber 31,	<u>.</u> I					
(in millions)		2013			2012			2011				
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses)												
Recognized in Earnings												
Commodity contracts_												
Operating revenues	\$	11		\$	(11)		\$	1				
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased												
power		(71)			(115)			(60)				
Interest rate contracts_												
Interest expense		(13)			(6)							
Total Pretax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	\$	(73)		\$	(132)		\$	(59)				
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities												
Commodity contracts(a)												
Regulatory assets	\$	(6)		\$	(55)		\$	(140)				
Interest rate contracts ^(b)												
Regulatory assets		13			6							
Total Pretax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities	\$	7		\$	(49)		\$	(140)				
Hegulatory Assets of Liabilities	Ψ			Ψ	(43)		Ψ	(140)				
(a) Reclassified to earnings to mate	Reclassified to earnings to match recovery through the fuel clause.											
(b) Reclassified to earnings as inte						ated de	ebt.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<u></u>										

Duke Energy Florida

The following table shows the fair value of derivatives and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets where they are reported. Although derivatives subject to master netting arrangements are netted on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, the fair values presented below are shown gross and cash collateral on the derivatives has not been netted against the fair values shown.

				Dece	mber :	31.				
		2	013					2012)	
(in millions)		Asset	L	iability			Asset		Li	iability
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments										
Commodity contracts										
Current liabilities: other	\$		\$			\$			\$	1
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments										1
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments										
Commodity contracts ^(a)										
Current assets: other		3		2			2			
Investments and other assets: other							7			
Current liabilities: other		9		64						146
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other		2		63						123
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		14		129			9			269
Total Derivatives	\$	14	\$	129		\$	9		\$	270
(a) Substantially all of these co	ntract	s are re	corded as	l s regula	l l itory as	sets	s or lia	bilitie	s.	

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The tables below show the balance sheet location of derivative contracts subject to enforceable master netting agreements and include collateral posted to offset the net position. This disclosure is intended to enable users to evaluate the effect of netting arrangements on financial position. The amounts shown were calculated by counterparty. Accounts receivable or accounts payable may also be available to offset exposures in the event of bankruptcy. These amounts are not included in the tables below.

					1		T				\neg		
					D	01	0010				_		
		\$ 12 \$ 2 \$ 66 \$ 63 \$ 63 \$ (10) \$ (2) \$ (15) \$ (7) \$ 51 \$ 56 \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 51 \$ \$ \$ 56 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$											
	1	<u> </u>				Derivative Liabilities Current(h) Curr							
(in milli		_											
Gross a	ımounts recognized	\$	12	\$	2		\$	66	\$	63			
Gross a	ımounts offset		(10)		(2)			(15)		(7)			
Net amo	ount subject to master		2					51		56			
Net amo	ounts recognized on the												
Consoli	dated Balance Sheet	\$	2	\$			\$	51	\$	56			
					Decembe	r 31,	2012						
			Deriv	ative Ass	ets		De	erivative Liabilities Gurrent(Non-Current(d) 5 66 \$ 63 (15) (7) 51 56 51 \$ 56 erivative Liabilities current(Non-Current(d) 5 147 \$ 123 (29) 127 94 Sheet. dated Balance Sheet. es Sheet.					
(in milli	ions)	Cu	rrent ^(a)	Non-	Current(b)		Cı	urrent(¶)	on-Curr	ent(d)			
Gross a	mounts recognized	\$	2	\$	7		\$	147	\$	123			
Gross a	mounts offset							(20)		(29)			
Net amo	ounts subject to master		2		7			127		94			
	ounts recognized on the dated Balance Sheet	\$	2	\$	7		\$	127	\$	94			
(a)	Included in Other within Co	urrent	Assets	on the Co	nsolidated	Balaı	nce SI	neet.	•				
(b)	Included in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.												
(c)	Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.												
(d)	Included in Other within Do	eferre	d Credit	s and Oth	er Liabilitie	s on t	the Co	onsolidat	ted Balar	nce			

	•	le shows the gains Consolidated State				_	•		_						_			
Balance	Sheets i	n which such gains	s and	losses	are ir	nclud	ed wh	er	recla	ıssi	fied	fror	n A	OCI.				
									Y	'ea	<u>rs E</u>	nde	ed E)ecem	<u>ber</u>	· 31	,	
(in milli										201	3			2012			_ 2	<u> 2011</u>
Pretax	Gains (Lo	osses) Recorded	in A	<u>oci</u>														
Commo	dity contr	acts					\$	`			1	,	\$	1		\$		(3)
Interest	rate cont	racts ^(a)												(2)				(35)
Total P	retax Gai	ns (Losses) Reco	orde	d in AO	CI		\$	`			1		\$	(1)		\$		(38)
	on of Pret	ax Gains and (Lo	sses	s) Recla	ssifie	ed fro	om											
	t rate cor	*																
Interest	expense						\$	`					\$	(2)		\$		(1)
Total P		ns (Losses) Recl	assif	fied fro	m AO	CI in	to	Ç					\$	(2)		\$		(1)
		ax Gains and (Lo	sses) Recla	ssifie	ed fro	om							, ,				
	t rate cor																	
Regulat	tory asset	S					4	3				ļ	\$	(42)		\$		
	retax Gai tory Asse	ns (Losses) Recl ets	assif	fied fro	m AO	CI to	9	3					\$	(42)		\$		
														//				
(a)		Reclassified to ea	rning	gs as int	erest	expe	nse o	ve	r the t	terr	n of	the	rela	ated de	bt.		•	
(b)	a) Reclassified to earnings as interest exp					ergy F	-lorida ash flo	a n ow	o lono hedg	ger es.	desi As a	igna a re	ates sult	intere t, the p	st r reta	ax lo		
İ															1			

There was no hedge ineffectiveness during the years ended December 31,2013, 2012 and 2011, and no gains or losses have been excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness during the same periods.

The following table shows the gains and losses during the year recognized on undesignated derivatives and the line items on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income or the Consolidated Balance Sheets where the pretax gains and losses were reported.

	•	Years E	nded	l Decemb	oer 31	,	
(in millions)	2013			2012			2011
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Recognized in Earnings							
Commodity contracts_							
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power	\$ (129)	\$		(339)		\$	(237)
Interest rate contracts_				·			·

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Interest expense			(5)			(2)		
Total Pretax (Lo Earnings	sses) Gains Recognized in	\$	(134)		\$	(341)	\$	(237)
	ax Gains and (Losses) Regulatory Assets or							
Commodity con	ommodity contracts ^(a)							
Regulatory asset	Regulatory assets		16		\$	(116)	\$	(362)
Interest rate cor	tracts_							
Regulatory asset	S		5					
Total Pretax Gai Regulatory Asse	ns (Losses) Recognized as ets or Liabilities	\$	21		\$	(116)	\$	(362)
								·
(a)	Reclassified to earnings to ma	tch rec	overy thro	ough th	ne fuel	clause.		_

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Ohio

The following table shows the fair value of derivatives and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets where they are reported. Although derivatives subject to master netting arrangements are netted on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, the fair values presented below are shown gross and cash collateral on the derivatives has not been netted against the fair values shown.

		<u> </u>	Decei	mber	31	•			
	2	2013				•	012		
(in millions)	Asset	l	iability			Asset		Lia	ability
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments									
Interest rate contracts_									
Current assets: other	\$	9	8		\$	2		\$	
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments						2			
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments									
Commodity contracts_									
Current assets: other	186		163			31			4
Investments and other assets: other	202		130			81			51
Current liabilities: other	1		36			106			132
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	2		56						4
Interest rate contracts _									
Current liabilities: other			1						1
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other			4						7
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	391		390			218			199
Total Derivatives	\$ 391		390		\$	220		\$	199

The tables below show the balance sheet location of derivative contracts subject to enforceable master netting agreements and include collateral posted to offset the net position. This disclosure is intended to enable users to evaluate the effect of netting arrangements on financial position. The amounts shown were

calculated by counterparty. Accounts receivable or accounts payable may also be available to offset exposures in the event of bankruptcy. These amounts are not included in the tables below.

			1			1		1				П
								1				
						Dece	mber 31	2013	L }			
			Deriva	tive	Asse			_	erivati	ve Li	abilit	ies
(in millions)		Cu	rrent ^(a)	_					rrent ^(c)	_		
Gross amounts	recognized	\$			\$			\$			\$	
Gross amounts	offset		(165)			(132)			(173)			(143)
Net amount sul	oject to master netting		21			73			26			43
Amounts not su	ubject to master netting								1			4
Net amounts re Consolidated B	ecognized on the salance Sheet	\$	21		\$	73		\$	27		\$	47
						Dece	mber 31	2012	<u> </u>			
			Deriva	tive	Asse			· ,	erivati	ve Li	abilit	ies
(in millions)		Cu	rrent ^(a)	No	ո-Cu	rrent ^(b)		Cu	rrent ^(c)	Nor	ı-Cu	rrent ^(d)
Gross amounts	recognized	\$	137		\$	81		\$	136		\$	55
Gross amounts	offset		(110)			(51)			(125)			(51)
Net amounts sunetting	ubject to master		27			30			11			4
Amounts not su	ubject to master netting		2						1			7
Net amounts re Consolidated B	ecognized on the	\$	29		\$	30		\$	12		\$	11
		Ψ.			Ψ.			<u> </u>			Ψ.	
(a)	ncluded in Other within	Curr	ent Ass	ets o	n the	Conso	lidated E	Balanc	e Shee	t.		
(- /	ncluded in Other within Sheet.	Inve	stments	and	Othe	er Asset	ts on the	Cons	olidated	d Bala	ance	
(c) I	ncluded in Other within	Curr	ent Liab	ilities	s on	he Con	solidate	d Bala	nce Sh	eet.		
(d)	ncluded in Other within Sheet.	Defe	rred Cr	edits	and	Other L	iabilities	on th	e Cons	olidat	ed B	alance

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

There were no gains or losses on cash flow hedges recorded or reclassified at Duke Energy Ohio for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There was an immaterial amount of losses on cash flow hedges reclassified at Duke Energy Ohio for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The following table shows the gains and losses during the year recognized on undesignated derivatives and the line items on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income or the Consolidated Balance Sheets where the pretax gains and losses were reported.

		L					
	Years	Enc	led	Decer	nbe	r 31	,
(in millions)	2013			2012			2011
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Recognized in							
Earnings							
Commodity contracts_							
Revenue: Nonregulated electric, natural gas and other	\$ 44		\$	76		\$	(26)
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power -							
nonregulated	(100)			2			(1)
Interest rate contracts_							
Interest expense	(1)			(1)			(1)
Total Pretax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	\$ (57)		\$	77		\$	(28)
Location of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as							
Regulatory Assets or Liabilities							
Commodity contracts_							
Regulatory assets	\$		\$	2		\$	1
Regulatory liabilities				(1)			
Interest rate contracts_							
Regulatory assets	4						(4)
Total Pretax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory							
Assets or Liabilities	\$ 4		\$	1		\$	(3)

Duke Energy Indiana

The following table shows the fair value of derivatives and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets where they are reported. Although derivatives subject to master netting arrangements are netted on

the Consolidated Balance Sheets, the fair values presented below are shown gross and cash collateral on the derivatives has not been netted against the fair values shown.

		Decem	iber 31	1,			
201	3			20)12		
Asset	Lia	ability		Asset		Lial	bility
\$ 12	\$		\$	10		\$	
							63
12				10			63
\$ 12	\$		\$	10		\$	63
\$	12	\$ 12 \$	\$ 12 \$	\$ 12 \$ \$	\$ 12 \$ \$ 10 12 10	\$ 12 \$ \$ 10 12 10	\$ 12 \$ \$ 10 \$ 12 10

The tables below show the balance sheet location of derivative contracts subject to enforceable master netting agreements and include collateral posted to offset the net position. This disclosure is intended to enable users to evaluate the effect of netting arrangements on financial position. The amounts shown were calculated by counterparty. Accounts receivable or accounts payable may also be available to offset exposures in the event of bankruptcy. These amounts are not included in the tables below.

	ī			I	1	1			I I	<u> </u>	ı	\top
					Decembe	r 21 ·	2012					+
			Doriv	ative Ass	1	131,	т —	rivative	ı I i	abilities		+
(in milli	ons)	Cur	rent ^(a)		Current ^(b)					-Current	·(d)	t
•	mounts recognized	\$	12	\$	<u>Janone i</u>		\$		· · ·	\$		t
	mounts offset		(1)							<u> </u>		T
	ount subject to master		11									Ī
Net amo	ounts recognized on the dated Balance Sheet	\$	11	\$			\$			\$		
												I
					Decembe	r 31,	2012					
			Deriv	ative Ass	ets		De	rivative	Lia	abilities		
(in milli	ons)	Cur	rent ^(a)	Non-	Current(b)		Cı	urrent(¶)	lon	-Current	(d)	
Amounts	s not subject to master	\$	10	\$			\$	63		\$		
	ounts recognized on the dated Balance Sheet	\$	10	\$			\$	63		\$		
(a)	Included in Other within Cu	irrent .	Assets	on the Co	nsolidated	Balar	nce Sl	neet.				
(b)	Included in Other within Inv	/estm	ents an	d Other A	sets on th	e Cor	nsolida	ated Bal	anc	e Sheet.		
(c)	Included in Other within Cu	ırrent	Liabilitie	es on the	Consolidate	ed Ba	lance	Sheet.				
(d)						•						Ī

		n Other within De	eferre	d Credi	ts an	d Oth	er Lia	abili	ties	on t	he	Cons	solic	dated	l Ba	alar	nce	
line items	on the (Consolidated Stat	emen	ts of O	perat	ions a	•		_						_			
									,	Yea	rs	Ende	ed [Dece	mb	er	31,	
(in millio	on of Pretax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from nto Earnings et rate contracts t expense \$ 3 \$ 3 \$ 2 Pretax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into																	
		•	sses) Recla	assifi	ed fro	om											
Interest r	rate con	tracts																
Interest e	xpense							\$			3		\$		3		\$	2
Total Pre Earnings		ns (Losses) Rec	assif	ied fro	m AC	OCI in	ito	\$			3		\$		3		\$	2
			1				1								Τ			

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The following table shows the gains and losses during the year recognized on undesignated derivatives and the line items on the Consolidated Balance Sheets where the pretax gains and losses were reported.

	•	Years E	nded	Decen	ber 31	Ι,	
	2013			2012			2011
\$	1		\$			\$	
\$	1		\$			\$	
\$			\$	2		\$	(2)
	16			35			17
	34			4			(67)
\$	50		\$	41		\$	(52)
n recov	l verv thro	uah the	e fuel	clause			
					lated d	ebt.	
30. 0/10	21.000						
	\$	\$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 50 \$ 1 recovery through the second s	\$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1	\$ 1 \$ \$ \$ 16 \$ \$ 50 \$ \$ a recovery through the fuel of the state of th	\$ 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

CREDIT RISK

Certain derivative contracts contain contingent credit features. These features may include (i) material adverse change clauses or payment acceleration clauses that could result in immediate payments, (ii) the posting of letters of credit or termination of the derivative contract before maturity if specific events occur, such as a credit rating downgrade below investment grade.

The following tables show information with respect to derivative contracts that are in a net liability position and contain objective credit-risk related payment provisions.

												-
				De	ece	mbe	er 31, 2	201	3			
(in millions)	E	Duke nergy		ogress Energy			Duke nergy gress			Duke nergy Iorida	Е	Duke nergy Ohio
Aggregate fair value amounts of derivative instruments in a net liability position	\$	525	\$	168		\$	60		\$	108	\$	355
Fair value of collateral already posted		135		10						10		125
Additional cash collateral or letters of credit in the event credit-risk-related contingent features were triggered		205		158			60			98		47
				De	200	mbe	er 31, 2	201				
(in millions)	E	Duke nergy		ogress Energy		E	Duke nergy gress		E	Duke nergy lorida	E	Duke nergy Ohio
Aggregate fair value amounts of derivative instruments in a net liability position	\$	466	\$	286		\$	108		\$	178	\$	176
Fair Value of Collateral already posted		163		59			9			50	·	104
Additional cash collateral or letters of credit in the event credit-risk-related contingent features were triggered		230		227			99			128		2

The Duke Energy Registrants have elected to offset cash collateral and fair values of derivatives. For amounts to be netted, the derivative must be executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting agreement. Amounts disclosed below represent the receivables related to the right to reclaim cash collateral and payables related to the obligation to return cash collateral under master netting arrangements.

			De	ecemb	per 31,			
		20	13			20	12	
(in millions)	Receiv	vables	Payal	bles	Receiv	ables	Paya	ables
Duke Energy								
Amounts offset against net derivative positions_	\$	30	\$		\$	73	\$	
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions		122				93		
Progress Energy								
Amounts offset against net derivative positions_	\$	10	\$		\$	58	\$	
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions						1		
Duke Energy Progress								
Amounts offset against net derivative positions_	\$		\$		\$	9	\$	
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions								
Duke Energy Florida								

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Amounts offset against net derivative positions_	\$ 10	\$	\$	49	\$	
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions				1		
Duke Energy Ohio						
Amounts offset against net derivative positions_	\$ 19		\$	15	\$	
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions	115	\$		92		
Duke Energy Indiana						
Amounts offset against net derivative positions_		1				
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions	\$ 1	\$	\$		\$	
			•			·

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

15. Investments in Debt and Equity Securities

The Duke Energy Registrants classify their investments in debt and equity securities as either trading or available-for-sale.

TRADING SECURITIES

Investments in debt and equity securities held in grantor trusts associated with certain deferred compensation plans and certain other investments are classified as trading securities. The fair value of these investments was \$18 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$33 million as of December 31, 2012.

AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES

All other investments in debt and equity securities are classified as available-for-sale securities.

Duke Energy's available-for-sale securities are primarily comprised of investments held in (i) the NDTF at Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida, (ii) grantor trusts at Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana related to OPEB plans, (iii) Duke Energy's captive insurance investment portfolio, and (iv) Duke Energy's foreign operations investment portfolio.

Duke Energy holds corporate debt securities that were purchased using excess cash from its foreign operations. These investments are classified as Short-term investments on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and are available for current operations of Duke Energy's foreign business. The fair value of these investments was \$44 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$333 million as of December 31, 2012.

Duke Energy classifies all other investments in debt and equity securities as non-current, unless otherwise noted.

NDTF and Grantor Trust

The investments within the NDTF at Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida and the Duke Energy Progress, Duke Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana grantor trusts (Investment Trusts) are managed by independent investment managers with discretion to buy, sell, and invest pursuant to the objectives set forth by the trust agreements. The Duke Energy Registrants have

limited oversight of day-to-day management of these investments. As a result, the ability to hold investments in unrealized loss positions is outside the control of the Duke Energy Registrants. Accordingly, all unrealized gains and losses associated with debt and equity securities within the Investment Trusts are considered other-than-temporary impairments and are recognized immediately. Pursuant to regulatory accounting, substantially all realized and unrealized gains and losses associated with investments within the Investment Trusts are deferred as a regulatory asset or liability. As a result, there is no immediate impact on earnings of the Duke Energy Registrants.

Other Available for Sale Securities

Unrealized gains and losses on all other available-for-sale securities are included in other comprehensive income until realized, unless it is determined the carrying value of an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired. If an other-than-temporary impairment exists, the unrealized loss may be included in earnings based on the criteria discussed below.

The Duke Energy Registrants analyze all investment holdings each reporting period to determine whether a decline in fair value should be considered other-than-temporary. Criteria used to evaluate whether an impairment associated with equity securities is other-than-temporary includes, but is not limited to, (i) the length of time over which the market value has been lower than the cost basis of the investment, (ii) the percentage decline compared to the cost of the investment, and (iii) management's intent and ability to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value. If a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary, the investment is written down to its fair value through a charge to earnings.

If the entity does not have an intent to sell a debt security and it is not more likely than not management will be required to sell the debt security before the recovery of its cost basis, the impairment write-down to fair value would be recorded as a component of other comprehensive income, except for when it is determined a credit loss exists. In determining whether a credit loss exists, management considers, among other things, (i) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis, (ii) changes in the financial condition of the issuer of the security, or in the case of an asset backed security, the financial condition of the underlying loan obligors, (iii) consideration of underlying collateral and guarantees of amounts by government entities, (iv) ability of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments, and (v) any changes to the rating of the security by rating agencies. If a credit loss exists, the amount of impairment write-down to fair value is split between credit loss and other factors. The amount related to credit loss is

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

recognized in earnings. The amount related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. There were no credit losses as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. There were no other-than-temporary impairments for debt or equity securities as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. Other available-for-sale securities were reflected as a component of other comprehensive income in 2013 and 2012.

	1	I	1		l	1	1	1		ı .			l I			$\overline{}$	
DUKE ENERGY																	
DOKE ENERGY	+															H	
The following table	ores	ents the	es	tima	ated 1	air	value	of in	/estn	nent	s in availa	ble-f	or-sal	e securitie	S.		
		De	ce	mbe	er 31.	20	13				D	ecei	mber :	31, 2012			
•		Gross	т —		ross	i e					Gross	T		Gross			
	Unr	ealized	Un				Estim	ated		U	nrealized		U	nrealized	Esti	m	ated
	H	lolding		Hol	ding			Fair			Holding			Holding			Fair
(in millions)		Gains		Lo	sses		V	/alue			Gains			Losses		٧	<u>alue</u>
NDTF																	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	_		\$	_		\$	110		\$	_		\$	_		\$	105
Equity securities	┪,	1,813		۳	10			,579		Ψ	1,132		Ψ	19		_	837
Corporate debt securities		8			6			400			21			1			338
Municipal bonds		2	-		6			160			12			1		-	194
U.S. government bonds		7			12			730			24			1			625
Other debt securities		22			2			154			10			1			164
Total NDTF		1,852			36		5	133			1,199			23		4,	263
Other Investments											·						
Cash and cash equivalents		_			_			21				_					17
Equity securities		29			_			91			10						63
Corporate debt securities		1			1			99			2		_	_			381

		1	_				1	1	·	1	1						1
	ipal bonds		2			2			79			4			1		70
_	government																
bonds	3		-	_		_	_		17			_	_		-		23
Other																	
securi	ities		-	_		8			111			1			6		115
Total	Other																
Inves	tments ^(a)		32			11			418			17			7		669
Total	Investments	\$	1,884		8	47		\$,551		\$	1,216		\$	30	4	\$,932
(a)	These amounts	s ar	e record	ded	in (Other	wit	hin In	vestr	nents	and	Other As	sets	on th	e Consolic	dated	
` ′	Balance Sheet																
The ta	able below sum	ma	rizes the	n c	atu	rity d	ate	for de	ht se	curitie	25			I			
1110 10	Die belew sam	ma	11200 111	<u> </u>	ata	inty Gt	alo	101 40	<i>,</i> Dt 00	Carre	 						
															Doo	omb	er 31.
(in mi	illions)														Dec	CIIID	er 31, 2013
	•																
	n one year or le															•	
	fter one throug		-	<u>s</u>													431
	fter five throug	n 1() years														426
	ifter 10 years																804
Total																1	\$,750
Realiz	zed gains and l	200	oo whic	h w	ioro	ململم		ـ اـ ـ ـ .									
			25, WITH	/II V	vere	aeie	1111	inea c	n a s	pecifi	c ide	entificatior	ı ba	sis, tro	om saies o	t Duk	е
Energ	ıy's available-fo									pecifi	c ide	entificatior	ı ba	sis, tro	om sales o	f Duk	ке
Energ	•									pecifi	c ide	entificatior	n ba	sis, fro	om sales o	t Duk	(e
Energ	•									pecifi	c ide				om sales o		(e
	ıy's available-fo									pecifi	c ide		s Er			31,	2011
(in mi	y's available-fo									pecifi		Years	s Er	nded [December 2012	31,	2011
(in mi	y's available-fo illions) zed gains									pecifi	c ide	Years 201 20	s Er 3		December 2012 117	31,	2011
(in mi	y's available-fo									pecifi		Years	s Er 3	nded [December 2012	31,	2011
(in mi Realiz Realiz	illions) zed gains zed losses									pecifi		Years 201 20	s Er 3	nded [December 2012 117	31,	2011
(in mi Realiz Realiz	illions) zed gains zed losses									pecifi		Years 201 20	s Er 3	nded [December 2012 117	31,	2011
(in mi Realiz Realiz	illions) zed gains zed losses									pecifi		Years 201 20	s Er 3	nded [December 2012 117	31,	2011
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC	illions) zed gains zed losses E ENERGY DLINAS	er-sa	ale secu	ıritie	es w	vere a	as f	ollows	S.		\$	Years 201 20 6	s Er 3 9	\$	2012 117 19	\$31,	2011
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC	illions) zed gains zed losses	er-sa	ale secu	ıritie	es w	vere a	as f	ollows	S.		\$	Years 201 20 6	s Er 3 9	\$	2012 117 19	\$31,	2011
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC	illions) zed gains zed losses E ENERGY DLINAS	er-sa	ents the	ees	es w	vere a	as f	ollows	S.		\$	Years 201 20 6	ss Er 33 99 55	s for-sal	December 2012 117 19 e securitie	\$31,	2011
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC	illions) zed gains zed losses E ENERGY DLINAS	er-sa	ents the	ees	tima	ated f	as f	ollows	S.		\$	Years 201: 20: 6: 6: s in availab	ss Er 33 99 55	s for-sal	2012 117 19 e securitie	\$31,	2011
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC	illions) zed gains zed losses E ENERGY DLINAS	res	ents the De Gross	e es	es w	er 31,	as f	value	of in	vestm	\$ nents	Years 201: 20: 6: s in availab Gross	s Er 3 9 55	sfor-sal	2012 117 19 e securitie 31, 2012 Gross	\$31, \$	2011
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC	illions) zed gains zed losses E ENERGY DLINAS	rese	ents the Gross ealized	e es	tima mbee	ated f	as f	ollows	of in	vestm	\$ nents	Years 201: 20: 6: s in availal Gross nrealized	s Er 3 9 55	sfor-sal	December 2012 117 19 e securitie 31, 2012 Gross Inrealized	31, \$ \$.	2011 79 37
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC	illions) zed gains zed losses E ENERGY DLINAS	rese	ents the De Gross ealized lolding	e es	mbe Grea	er 31,	as f	value	of in	vestm	\$ nents	Years 201: 20: 6: 6: Sin availab Gross nrealized Holding	s Er 3 9 55	sfor-sal	2012 117 19 e securitie 31, 2012 Gross Inrealized Holding	31, \$ \$ S.	2011 79 37
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC	illions) zed gains zed losses E ENERGY DLINAS ollowing table p	rese	ents the Gross ealized	e es	mbe Grea	ated f	as f	value	of in	vestm	\$ nents	Years 201: 20: 6: s in availal Gross nrealized	s Er 3 9 55	sfor-sal	December 2012 117 19 e securitie 31, 2012 Gross Inrealized	31, \$ \$ S.	2011 79 37
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC The fo	illions) Eed gains Eed losses EENERGY DLINAS Dllowing table p	rese	ents the De Gross ealized lolding	e es	mbe Grea	er 31,	as f	value	of in	vestm	\$ nents	Years 201: 20: 6: 6: Sin availab Gross nrealized Holding	s Er 3 9 55	sfor-sal	2012 117 19 e securitie 31, 2012 Gross Inrealized Holding	31, \$ \$ S.	2011 79 37
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC The fo	illions) Eed gains Eed losses EENERGY DLINAS Dllowing table p	resc Jnre	ents the Gross ealized lolding Gains	e es	tima nbe Grea Hol Lo	er 31, ross lized ding sses	as f	value	of in	vestm	\$ uents	Years 201: 20: 6: 6: Sin availab Gross nrealized Holding	s Er 3 9 55	for-sal	2012 117 19 e securitie 31, 2012 Gross Inrealized Holding Losses	31, \$ \$.	2011 79 37
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC The fo	illions) zed gains zed losses E ENERGY DLINAS bllowing table p	rese	ents the Oross ealized lolding Gains	e es	mbe Grea	ated for ser 31, ross lized ding sses	as f	value 13 Estim	of invalue	vestm	\$ nents	Years 201: 20: 6: Gross Gross Grealized Holding Gains	s Er 3 9 55	sfor-sal	December 2012 117 19 e securitie Gross Inrealized Holding Losses	\$ 31,	2011 79 37 natec Fair Value
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC The fo	illions) Eed gains Eed losses EENERGY DLINAS Dllowing table p illions) Eand cash alents 7 securities	resc Jnre	ents the Gross ealized lolding Gains	e es	tima nbe Grea Hol Lo	er 31, ross lized ding sses	as f	value 13 Estim	of in	vestm	\$ uents	Years 201: 20: 6: 6: Sin availab Gross nrealized Holding	s Er 3 9 55	for-sal	2012 117 19 e securitie 31, 2012 Gross Inrealized Holding Losses	\$ 31,	2011 79 37
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC The fo	illions) zed gains zed losses E ENERGY DLINAS Dillowing table p illions) and cash alents zecurities orate debt	resc Jnre	ents the Oross ealized lolding Gains	e es	tima nbe Grea Hol Lo	er 31, ross lized ding sses	as f	value 13 Estim	of invalue	vestm	\$ uents	Years 201: 20: 6: Constant available of the series of the	s Er 3 9 55	for-sal	December 2012 117 19 e securitie Gross Inrealized Holding Losses	\$ 31,	2011 79 37 37 nated Fair Value
(in mi Realiz Realiz DUKE CARC The fo	illions) zed gains zed losses E ENERGY DLINAS Dillowing table p illions) and cash alents zecurities orate debt	resc Jnre	ents the Oross ealized lolding Gains	e es	tima nbe Grea Hol Lo	ated for ser 31, ross lized ding sses	as f	value 13 Estim	of invalue	vestm	\$ uents	Years 201: 20: 6: Gross Gross Grealized Holding Gains	s Er 3 9 55	for-sal	December 2012 117 19 e securitie Gross Inrealized Holding Losses	\$ 31,	2011 79 37 natec Fair Value

			_	·		g.			10.97	-			111 10 10	-	-11				
	government																		
bonds			3			7			354				10	_					304
Other																			
secur			22			2			146				9	_		2		-	135
	NDTF		1,004			22		2	,834				632	_		8		2,	361
Other	Investments																		
Other	debt																		
secur	ities					1			3							1			3
	Other																		
	tments ^(a)					1			3							1			3
Total	Investments	\$	1,004		\$	23		\$,837		\$		632		\$	9		\$,	364
	These amount Balance Shee able below sun	s.										0 10	ther Asse	ets	on th	e Consolid	date	d	
				•															
																Dec	em	be	r 31,
(in mi	illions)																•		2013
•	n one year or le	ess																\$	
	ifter one throug		ve vear	s														_	167
	Ifter five through																		239
	after 10 years		o you.o																<u>407</u>
Total	itor to years																		831
Total																		Ψ	00.
Realiz	zed gains and l	000	as whic	sh w	vorc	dete	rmi	ined c	nn a s	necifi	c id	Δnt	ification l	126	ie fro	m sales o	f Di	ıkc	
	y Carolinas' av									•	C IG	CIII	incation i	Jas	13, 110	Jili Sales o	ים ו	inc	,
	y caroninas av	and	1010 101	ouic	, 00	ound	-	***	<u>uo 101</u>					Ī					
											-		Veare	En/	l hah	December	21		
(in mi	illions)												2013	T		2012	<u> </u>		011
	zed gains										\$		115		\$	89		\$	71
	zed gams zed losses										Ψ		113		Ψ	6		Ψ	35
nealiz	zeu iosses												12			0			33
PROC ENEF	GRESS RGY														·				
The f	المسامع المالم	<u> </u>	onto #==		+i	ates! f	L	\ <u></u>	Of !	(O.S.t.:=-	0.21		0.40;1=1-1	٠ t -	w a = !	0.0001111111	o t -		
	ollowing table p ess Energy.	res	ents the	ees	uma	aled I	air	value	oi in	esim	ent	s ir	avallabl	e-ic	ır-salı	e securitie	S 10	ſ	
Progr	ess Energy.											<u> </u>		П					
		\vdash	D-	L	nh.	v 21	20	12	l			<u> </u>	Do-		ho= '	21 2012			
						er 31,		13						em:	iber (31, 2012		Ī	
		lln:	Gross			ross		Eatim	معمط			ln =	Gross ealized			Gross			مامط
			ealized Iolding			ıızea ding		ESUIT	nated Fair		U		lolding		U	nrealized Holding		l M	ated Fair
(in mi	illions)	"	Gains			sses		\	/alue			• 1	Gains			Losses		v	ran alue
NDTF		1	<u> </u>			2000		<u> </u>					<u> </u>	\dashv		_0000		Ť	<u></u>
		1					1	1	1									1	

Cash and cash															
equivalents	\$			\$		\$			\$			\$		9	65
Equity securities		839			4	1	,615			532			14	1	,245
Corporate debt															
securities		3			1		126			9					89
Municipal bonds		2			4		106			11			1		154
U.S. government															
bonds		4			5		376			14					321
Other debt															1
securities							8			1					28
Total NDTF		848			14	2	,299			567			15	1	,902
Other Investments															
Cash and cash															
equivalents							20								17
Municipal bonds		1					39			3					40
Total Other										_					l
Investments ^(a)	_	1				+	59			3		_			57
Total Investments	\$	849		\$	14	- 2	,358		\$	570		\$	15	1	959
(in millions) Due in one year or le Due after one throug Due after five throug Due after 10 years Total Realized gains and le Energy's available-fo	h fiv	years es, whic	:h we					pecific	c ide	entification b	pasis	, fro	Dece	9	2013 206 131 306 655
									Ī	Voore	Ende	-4 D	ecember	21	
(in millions)										2013	Lilut	JU D	2012	— ́T	2011
Realized gains									\$	90		\$	34	\$	30
Realized losses									T	46			18	Ť	33
DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS															
The following table :	roo	onto the	- CC+:	mata	vd fo	ir valua	of in	vootm:	onto	in available	for	colo	o contrition		<u> </u>
The following table p	1686	=1118 tHE	ะ ยรเเ	male	iu id	ıı value	01 111	vesiiii	ະກາເຮັ 	iii avallable	-101-	Sale	securilles). 	
				<u> </u>	24 1	1010	<u> </u>						1 0010		<u> </u>
		De	cem	per	31, 2	2013				Dec	emb	er 3	1, 2012		

(in millions)		Gross ealized lolding Gains	Un	real Hol	ross lized ding sses	I	Estim V	ated Fair		Ur	reali Holo			ı	Jnrea Ho	Gross alized Iding osses	Esti		ated Fair alue
NDTF																			
Cash and cash														l .					ı
equivalents	\$			\$			\$			\$					6			\$	
Equity securities		535			3		1	,069				337				11		_	811
Corporate debt securities		3			1			80				8							78
Municipal bonds		2			4			104				4							80
U.S. government bonds		4			3			232				13							241
Other debt																			
securities								5				1							10
Total NDTF		544			11		1	,538			(363				11		1,	275
Other Investments																			1
Cash and cash equivalents								2											3
Total Other																			
Investments ^(a)								2											3
Total Investments	\$	544		\$	11		\$,540		\$	(363		9	6	11		\$,	278
(a) Balance Sheet The table below sum		rizes the	e m	atur	rity da	ate	for de	bt se	curiti	es.									
(in millions)																	21	_	2012
Due in one year or le														+	Dec	emb	\$ \$	<u>, </u>	7
Due after one through		IO VOST															Ψ		122
Due after five through			<u> </u>																89
Due after 10 years	11 10	years												+				-	203
Total																	\$		421
lotai																	Ψ_		
Realized gains and I	osse	es. whic	ch v	vere	dete	rmi	ned o	n a s	pecif	ic ide	ntific	atio	n ba	sis. fr	om s	ales d	of Du	ke	\Box
Energy Progress' av									•					,					
										Yea	rs En	dec	l De	cemb	er 3	1,			Ш
(in millions)										2013	3			2	012		2	01	1
Realized gains							\$			58		\$			21	\$			3
Realized losses										26	<u> </u>	$oxed{oldsymbol{eta}}$			8			1	6
	1		Γ		1								1	1			1 1		Щ
DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA																			
			_																

The following table p	res	ents the		tims	ated f	air	value	of in	vestn	nent:	e in a	vailah	le-fc	nr-gal	e securiti	<u> </u>		
The following table p	1	CITICS LITE		LIIIIC	ilea i	an	Value	01 111	VCStill	ICITE	3 III a	vanab		n sai	c securit	<u> </u>		
		De	COL	nhe	r 31,	20	13					De	COM	her '	31, 2012			
		Gross			ross		<u> </u>				G	ross		ibei (Gros	9		
	Unr	ealized					Estim	ated		U	nrea			U	nrealize	-	tim	ated
		lolding			ding			Fair				ding			Holdin			Fai
(in millions)		Gains		Los	sses		V	'alue			G	ains			Losse	S	٧	alue
NDTF																		
Cash and cash																		
equivalents	\$			\$			\$	20		\$				\$			\$	10
Equity securities		304			1			546				194			4	l L		434
Corporate debt																		
securities								46				1	_				_	11
Municipal bonds								2				7	_				-	74
U.S. government					_													
bonds					2			144				1						80
Other debt								2				4						10
securities		004						3				1					+	18
Total NDTF	-	304			3			761				204	\dashv			+	+	627
Other Investments																		
Cash and cash																		
equivalents								3										1
Municipal bonds		1						39				3						40
Total Other																		
Investments ^(a)		1						42				3						41
Total Investments	\$	305		\$	3		\$	803		\$		207		\$	4	ļ	\$	668
These amount		e record	ded	in C	Other	wit	hin In	vestr	nents	and	d Oth	er Ass	ets	on th	e Consol	idat	ed	
(a) Balance sheet	<u>S.</u>		1			ı					ı					1	1	
							<u> </u>											
The table below sum	ıma	rizes the	e m	atur	ity da	ate	for de	bt se	curiti	es.					1			
															+			
(in millions)															De	cem		
(in millions)																		2013
Due in one year or le																	\$	5
Due after one throug			5															84
Due after five throug	пт	years																42 103
Due after 10 years																	φ	
Total I																	Φ	234
Poolized seine and	000	oo whi	h 11	ıoro	data	rm	inad a	n c c	nocit	ام احا	ontifi	nation	boo	ic fro	m color	Ot D	م ماري	
Realized gains and I Energy Florida's ava									•	ic id	enun(Jauon	บสร	is, irc	m sales	טו ט	uKE	;
Lifergy i londa's ava	mau	101-90	ai c (انت	ar ities	۷۷۱ د	or o as	, IOIIC	, vv 3.									
										Ţ	,	Veare	Fn	ded F	Decembe	ar 21		
(in millions)										-		2013	-		2012		Ť-	011
Realized gains										\$		32		\$	13		\$	17
i tealizeu yallis										Ψ		JZ	- [Ψ	13	<u>, </u>	Ψ	1 /

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Rea	alize	ed lo	sses										20				9		17

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

DUKE EI																		
INDIANA	\ 																	
The follow	 wing table prese	nte th	a actir	nata	d fa	ir vəlı	10 0	f inv	astma	nte	in av	vailahl	o-fo	r-ca	ام عمد	uritio		
THE IOIO	Wing table prese		COU	nate	u ia	ii vait	10 0		CStille	1113	lii a	Vallabi	<u> </u>	34	300	unitio.	J.	
			D	ecei	mbe	er 31,	201	3					Dec	emb	er 31	201	2	
			ross			iross						Gross		<u> </u>	Gros		1	
		Unrea			_	lized	E	stir	nated	U		alized		Unr	ealize		stin	nated
		Но	lding		Hol	lding			Fair		Нс	olding		H	loldin	g		Fair
(in millio	ns)	(Gains		Lo	sses		,	Value		(Gains			Losse	s	'	Value
Other In	vestments																	
Cash and																		
equivaler		\$			\$			\$			\$			\$			\$	
Equity se			24						65			9						50
Municipa						1			28			1						28
Total Otl	-																	
Investme			24		_	1		_	94		_	10		_				78
Total Inv	restments	\$	24		\$	1		\$	94		\$	10		\$		+	\$	78
	These amount	c aro r	ocord	od ir		hor w	ithir	lny	octmo	ntc	and	Othor	۸۵۵	otc	on the	Con	colic	atod
(a)	Balance Sheet		ecoru	eu II	ΙΟι	iiei w	1111111	1 11 IV	esime	1115	anu	Other	ASS	G 13	OH THE	COI	50110	aleu
()																		
The table	below summari	izes th	e mat	urity	dat	e for	deb	t sec	curities	s he	ld by	/ Duke	En	ergy	India	na.		
														<u> </u>				
																Dec	emb	er 31
(in millio	ns)																	2013
Due in or	ne year or less																\$	1
Due after	one through fiv	e year	'S															21
Due after	r five through 10	years																4
Due after	r 10 years																	2
Total																	\$	28

16. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is the exchange price to sell an asset or transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The fair value definition focuses on an exit price versus the acquisition cost. Fair value measurements use market data or assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs may be readily observable, corroborated by market data, or generally unobservable. Valuation techniques maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize use of unobservable inputs. A midmarket pricing convention (the midpoint price between bid and ask prices) is permitted for use as a practical expedient.

Fair value measurements are classified in three levels based on the fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity can access at the measurement date. An active market is one in which transactions for an asset or liability occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide ongoing pricing information.

Level 2 – A fair value measurement utilizing inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for an asset or liability. Inputs include (i) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, (ii) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, (iii) and inputs other than quoted market prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rate curves and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, volatilities, and credit spreads. A Level 2 measurement cannot have more than an insignificant portion of its valuation based on unobservable inputs. Instruments in this category include non-exchange-traded derivatives, such as over-the-counter forwards, swaps and options; certain marketable debt securities; and financial instruments traded in less than active markets.

Level 3 – Any fair value measurement which includes unobservable inputs for more than an insignificant portion of the valuation. These inputs may be used with internally developed methodologies that result in management's best estimate of fair value. Level 3 measurements may include longer-term instruments that extend into periods in which observable inputs are not available.

The fair value accounting guidance permits entities to elect to measure certain financial instruments that are not required to be accounted for at fair value, such as equity method investments or the company's own debt, at fair value. The Duke Energy Registrants have not elected to record any of these items at fair value.

Transfers between levels represent assets or liabilities that were previously (i) categorized at a higher level for which the inputs to the estimate became less observable or (ii) classified at a lower level for which the inputs became more observable during the period. The Duke Energy Registrant's policy is to recognize transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy at the end of the period. There were no transfers between levels 1 and 2 during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Transfers out of Level 3 during the year ended December 31, 2013 are the result of forward commodity prices becoming observable due to the passage of time.

Valuation methods of the primary fair value measurements disclosed below are as follows.

Investments in equity securities

The majority of investments in equity securities are valued using Level 1 measurements. Investments in equity securities are typically valued at the closing price in the principal active market as of the last business day of the quarter. Principal active markets for equity prices include

175

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

published exchanges such as NASDAQ and NYSE. Foreign equity prices are translated from their trading currency using the currency exchange rate in effect at the close of the principal active market. There was no after-hours market activity that was required to be reflected in the reported fair value measurements. Investments in equity securities that are Level 2 or 3 are typically ownership interests in commingled investment funds.

Investments in debt securities

Most investments in debt securities are valued using Level 2 measurements because the valuations uses interest rate curves and credit spreads applied to the terms of the debt instrument (maturity and coupon interest rate) and consider the counterparty credit rating. If the market for a particular fixed income security is relatively inactive or illiquid, the measurement is Level 3.

Commodity derivatives

Commodity derivatives with clearinghouses are classified as Level 1. Other commodity derivatives are primarily fair valued using internally developed discounted cash flow models which incorporate forward price, adjustments for liquidity (bid-ask spread) and credit or non-performance risk (after reflecting credit enhancements such as collateral), and are discounted to present value. Pricing inputs are derived from published exchange transaction prices and other observable data sources. In the absence of an active market, the last available price may be used. If forward price curves are not observable for the full term of the contract and the unobservable period had more than an insignificant impact on the valuation, the commodity derivative is classified as Level 3. In isolation, increases (decreases) in natural gas forward prices result in favorable (unfavorable) fair value adjustments for gas purchase contracts; and increases (decreases) in electricity forward prices result in unfavorable (favorable) fair value adjustments for electricity sales contracts. Duke Energy regularly evaluates and validates pricing inputs used to estimate fair value of gas commodity contracts by a market participant price verification procedure. This procedure provides a comparison of internal forward commodity curves to market participant generated curves.

Interest rate derivatives

Most over-the-counter interest rate contract derivatives are valued using financial models which utilize observable inputs for similar instruments and are classified as Level 2. Inputs include forward interest rate curves, notional amounts, interest rates and credit quality of the counterparties.

Goodwill and long-lived assets

See Note 11 for a discussion of the valuation of goodwill and long-lived assets.

Duke Energy

The following tables provide recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral which is disclosed in Note 14. See Note 15 for additional information related to investments by major security type.

						1		1		1	
					Dece	ember 3	1, 201	3	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
(in million	s)		Tota	al Fair Value		Level 1		Level 2		Lev	el 3
Nuclear de	commissioning trust fur	nd equity									
securities			\$	3,579		3,495	9	57		\$	27
	commissioning trust fur	nd debt									
securities				1,553		402		1,100			51
	ng and available-for-sa	e equity									
securities ^{(a}				102		91		11			
	ng and available-for-sa	e debt		000		00		077			00
securities ^{(b}				333		36		277			20
<u>Derivative</u>				145		33		70		+ _	42
Danimatina	Total assets			5,712		4,057		1,515			140
Derivative			Φ.	(321)		11		(303)			29)
	Net assets		\$	5,391	,	4,068	1	1,212		\$ 1	11
						+		+			
				D-		04 00	10	<u> </u>			
/in million	_\		Total	<u>De</u> al Fair Value	1 1	er 31, 20	12	LovelO		1 014	-1.0
(in million			101	ai Fair Value		Level 1		Level 2		Lev	ei 3
fund equity	commissioning trust		\$	2,837		2,762	9	54		\$	21
	commissioning trust		Ψ	2,007		2,702	4) <u>5</u> +		Ψ	
fund debt s	<u> </u>			1,405		317		1,040			48
Other tradi				,,,,,,				1,010			
	or-sale equity										
securities ^{(a}	u)			72		63		9			
Other tradi											
	or-sale debt										
securities ^{(b}				631		40		562			29
<u>Derivative</u>	1			103		18		22			63
	Total assets			5,048		3,200		1,687			61
Derivative				(756)		(17)		(591)			48)
	Net assets		\$	4,292		3,183		1,096		\$	13
			_			1					
(a)	Included in Other withi		Assets	and Other w	ithin Ir	vestmer	nts and	Other A	Assets	on th	те
(I-)	Consolidated Balance		1	I OH- A	-1-	1.01				l	
(b)	Included in Other withi		ents ar	ia Other Asse	ets and	s Snort-te	erm Inv	vestmen	ts on 1	ne	
(0)	Consolidated Balance	oneets.									
(c)											

Included in Other within Current Liabilities an Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Shee		er within	Deterre	ed Cre	eait	s and O	tner	
Elabilities on the consolidated Balance once	,,,,,,				T			
The following tables provide reconciliations of beginning	and e	nding bal	lances	of as	sete	s and lia	hilities	
measured at fair value using Level 3 measurements.	ana	maning ba	ances	or as.	301	s and na	Dilitio	•
	+ +	L	Decer	nher	31	2013		
I	1 1		BCCCI			atives		
(in millions)		Invest	ments	I I -		(net)		Tota
Balance at December 31, 2012		\$	98		\$	(85)	\$	13
Total pretax realized or unrealized gains (losses)		•						
included in earnings ^(a)						(42)		(42)
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:						`		
Purchases			9			21		30
Sales			(6)					(6)
Issuances	11		\-/		+	11		11
Settlements	11		(9)		T	25		16
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet	t		\-\'		\top			
as regulatory assets or liabilities			6			(3)		3
Transfers out of Level 3(b)						86		86
Balance at December 31, 2013		\$	98		\$	13	\$	111
Pretax amounts included in the Consolidated		T .						
Statements of Comprehensive Income related to Level 3	3							
measurements outstanding		\$			\$	10	\$	10
Amounts for derivatives are primarily								
(a) included in Operating Revenues.								
Transfers reflect derivative contracts								
becoming observable due to the passage of								
(b) time.								
	++							
	+			\vdash		2010		
	+ +		Decer		_			
(i.e. res illi e re e)					riv	atives		Tatal
(in millions)	++		ments		ф	(net)	Φ.	Tota
Balance at December 31, 2011	+	\$	124		\$	(39)	\$	(20)
Amounts acquired in Progress Energy Merger	++			$\vdash \vdash$	+	(30)		(30)
Total pretax realized or unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings						8		8
Total pretax gains included in other comprehensive	++			\vdash	\dashv	0		0
income			13					13
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	++		10		\dashv			10
Purchases	++		14		-	22		36
Sales	++		(2)		+		+	(2)
Issuances	++		(4)		\dashv	(15)		(15)
Settlements	++		(55)		\dashv	(32)	+	(87)
решетена	++		(33) 4	$\vdash \vdash$	+	1		(67) 5
			4			'		3

Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory assets or liabilities				Ī			
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	98		\$	(85)	\$	13
		Decen	nbei	² 31	, 2011		
			D	eriv	atives		
(in millions)	Inves	tments			(net)		Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	165		\$	(19)	\$	146
Total pretax realized or unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings					(14)		(14)
Total pretax gains included in other comprehensive income		12					12
Net purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:							
Purchases		8			8		16
Sales		(3)					(3)
Settlements		(16)			(16)		(32)
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory assets or liabilities		(42)			2		(40)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	124		\$	(39)	\$	85

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Carolinas

The following tables provide recorded balances for assets and liabilities measure at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral, which is disclosed in Note 14. See Note 15 for additional information related to investments by major security type.

			Dec	ember 3	31, 201	3		
(in millions)	7	Total Fair Value		Level 1	L	evel 2	L	evel 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund								
equity securities	\$	1,964	\$	1,879	\$	58	\$	27
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities		870		168		651		51
Other available-for-sale debt								
securities ^(a)		3		-		-		3
Total assets		2,837		2,047		709		81
Derivative liabilities(b)		(2)						(2)
Net assets	\$	2,835	\$	2,047	\$	709	\$	79
		D	ecemb	er 31, 20	012			
(in millions)	7	Total Fair Value		Level 1	L	evel 2	L	evel 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	\$	1,592	\$	1,523	\$	48	\$	21
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities		762		155		559		48
Other available-for-sale debt securities ^(a)		3						3
Total assets	\$	2,357	\$	1,678	\$	607	\$	72
Derivative liabilities(b)		(12)						(12)
Net assets	\$	2,345	\$	1,678	\$	607	\$	60

(a) In	cluded in Other within Investments and C	Other Assets or	the Co	nsolida	ted Bala	ance She	ets.
	cluded in Other within Current Liabilities						
Li	abilities on the Consolidated Balance Sh	eet.					
The following	tables provide a reconciliation of beginnir	ng and ending	oalances	of ass	ets and	liabilitie	3
measured at fa	air value using Level 3 measurements.	· ·		T T			
			Decem		•		
		Invo	stments		vatives		Total
Polonoo et Do	cember 31, 2012		72		(net) \$ (12)	\$	Total 60
	les, issuances and settlements:		12		३ (12)	 •	00
	urchases		9				9
						 	
	ales		(6)		10	+ + -	(6)
•	ettlements				10	+ + -	10
	cluded on the Consolidated Balance		6				c
	latory assets or liabilities		_		φ (O)	 	6
	cember 31, 2013	•	81		\$ (2)	\$	79
	ts included in the Consolidated						
	Comprehensive Income related to irements outstanding		6		\$ (4)	\$	(4)
Level 5 meast	mements outstanding	`	P		Ψ (+)	 	(+)
			Decem	her 31	2012		
			Decen		vatives		
		Inve	stments		(net)		Total
Balance at De	cember 31, 2011		65	+	\$	\$	65
	ains included in comprehensive income		2		Ť	 	2
	les, issuances and settlements:		_				
· ·	urchases		14				14
	suances		1		(14)		(14)
	ales		(2)		1 (1.1)	 	(2)
	ettlements		(11)		2		(9)
· ·	cluded on the Consolidated Balance		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				(0)
•	latory assets or liabilities		4				4
	cember 31, 2012		72		\$ (12)	\$	60
	00111001 01, 2012		, , <u>-</u>		Ψ (:=)	 	- 00
			Decem	ber 31	. 2011	1 1	
			2000		vatives		
(in millions)		Inve	stments		(net)		Total
	cember 31, 2010		59		\$	\$	
	les, issuances and settlements:					*	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	urchases		8				8
	ales		(3)				(3)
	cluded on the Consolidated Balance		1				1
3.4. 940 1110	and the control of th		[

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Sheet as reg	gulatory assets or liabilitie	es						
Balance at D	December 31, 2011				\$ 65	\$		\$ 65
								_

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Progress Energy

The following tables provide recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis end on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral, which is disclosed in Note 14. See Note 15 for additional information related to investments by major security type.

			Door	mb au 01	2012			
	 	1	Dece	mber 31.	2013		<u> </u>	
(in millions)	Total	Fair Value		Level 1		Level 2	L	evel 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust								
fund equity securities	\$	1,615	\$	1,615	\$	3	\$	
Nuclear decommissioning trust								
fund debt securities and other		677		233		444		
Other trading and								
available-for-sale debt securities								
and other ^(a)		58		19		39		
Derivative assets(b)		3				3		
Total assets		2,353		1,867		486		
Derivative liabilities(c)		(187)				(187)		
Net assets	\$	2,166	\$	1,867	\$	299	\$	
			Dece	mber 31	2012			
(in millions)	Total	Fair Value		Level 1		Level 2	L	evel 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust								
fund equity securities	\$	1,245	\$	1,239	\$	6	\$	
Nuclear decommissioning trust								
fund debt securities and other		643		162		481		
Other trading and								
available-for-sale debt securities								
and other ^(a)		57		17		40		
Derivative assets(b)		11				11		

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

			ı	1			T			T		
	Total assets		1,956			1,418			538			
Derivative	liabilities ^(c)		(440)						(402)			(38)
	Net assets	\$	1,516		\$	1,418		\$	136		\$	(38)
(a)	Included in Other within	Invest	ments and	Other A	Ssets	in the Co	onso	lidat	ed Bala	nce	Shee	ets.
(b)	Included in Other within Consolidated Balance S		nt Assets a	nd Othe	er with	in Investr	men	ts an	d Other	Ass	ets i	1 the
(c)	Included in Other within Liabilities in the Consoli				ther w	rithin Defe	errec	d Cre	edits and	d Oth	ner	
	ing table provides a recor at fair value using Level :			ning and	d endi						oilities	
							Deri	vativ	ves (ne	t)		
						Years	En ₀	ded	Decem	ber 3	31,	
(in millior	ns)					2013			2012			2011
Balance a	t beginning of period				\$	(38)		\$	(24)		\$	(36)
Total preta earnings	ax realized or unrealized (gains in	icluded in						1			
Purchases	s, sales, issuances and se	ettleme	nts:									
	Issuances					10			(16)			
	Settlements								4			
	es included on the Conso assets or liabilities	lidated	Balance SI	neet as		(6)			(3)			(21)
Transfers	out of Level 3(a)					34						33
Balance a	t end of period				\$			\$	(38)		\$	(24)
Operation	ounts included in the Cors and Comprehensive Inc nents outstanding				\$	11						
(a)	Transfers reflect derivate observable due to the p			oming								
L					1							

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Progress

The following tables provide recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral which is disclosed in Note 14. See Note 15 for additional information related to investments by major security type.

	I							
	<u> </u>		Dooo	mbor 21	2012			
	—		Dece	mber 31	2013		.	
(in millions)	Total	Fair Value		Level 1		Level 2	L	evel 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust								
fund equity securities	\$	1,069	\$	1,069	\$		\$	
Nuclear decommissioning trust								
fund debt securities and other		470		137		333		
Other trading and								
available-for-sale debt securities								
and other ^(a)		3		3				
Derivative assets(b)		1				1		
Total assets		1,543		1,209		334		
Derivative liabilities(c)		(66)				(66)		
Net assets	\$	1,477	\$	1,209	\$	268	\$	
			Door	mbor 21	2012			
(i.e	Tatal	5 -: \/ -	Dece	mber 31	, 2012	11 0		1.0
(in millions)	Total	Fair Value		Level 1		Level 2		evel 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust								
fund equity securities	\$	811	\$	811	\$		\$	
Nuclear decommissioning trust								
fund debt securities and other		448		119		329		
Other trading and								
available-for-sale debt securities								
and other ^(a)		3		3				
Derivative assets(b)		2				2		

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	Total assets		1,264			933			331		
Derivativ	e liabilities ^(c)		(166)						(128)		(38)
	Net assets	\$	· /		\$	933		\$	203	\$	(38)
	. 101 0.000.0	•	.,000					Ψ			(00)
(a)	Included in Other within	n Invest	ments and	Other A	ssets	in the Co	onsoli	dat	ed Balan	ce Shee	ets.
(b)	Included in Other within Consolidated Balance		nt Assets a	nd Othe	er with	in Investr	nents	an	d Other	Assets ii	1 the
(c)	Included in Other within Liabilities in the Consol				ther w	ithin Defe	erred	Cre	dits and	Other	
	wing table provides a reco d at fair value using Level			ning and	l endir	ng baland	es of	ass	sets and	liabilities	3
							Deriv	ativ	/es (net)		
						Years	End	ed	Decemb	er 31,	
(in millio	ons)					2013			2012		2011
Balance	at beginning of period				\$	(38)		\$	(24)	\$	(36)
Total pre earnings	tax realized or unrealized	gains in	ncluded in						1		<u> </u>
Purchase	es, sales, issuances and s	ettleme	nts:								
	Issuances					10			(16)		
	Settlements								4		
	ses included on the Conso y assets or liabilities	lidated	Balance Sh	neet as		(6)			(3)		(20)
Transfers	out of Level 3 ^(a)					34					32
Balance	at end of period				\$			\$	(38)	\$	(24)
Pretax ar	nounts included in the Co	nsolidat	ed Stateme	ents of							_
Operation	ns and Comprehensive Inc	come re	elated to Le	vel 3							
measure	ments outstanding				\$	11					
						n l					
(a)	Transfers reflect deriva			oming							

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Florida

The following tables provide recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral which is disclosed in Note 14. See Note 15 for additional information related to investments by major security type.

					ecemb	or 21	201	2		
	To	tal Fair		De	ECEIIID	ei 31,	201	<u> </u>		
(in millions)	10	Value		L	evel 1			Level 2	Le	vel 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	\$	546		\$	546		\$		\$	
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities and other		214			96			118		
Other trading and available-for-sale debt securities and other ^(a)		40			2			38		
Derivative assets(b)		1						1		
Total assets		801			644			157		
Derivative liabilities(c)		(116)						(116)		1
Net assets	\$	685		\$	644		\$	41	\$	
				+						
			<u> </u>	De	ecemb	er 31,	201	2		
(in millions)	То	tal Fair Value		L	evel 1			Level 2	Le	vel 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	\$	435		\$	429		\$	6	\$	
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities and other		194			43			151		
Other trading and available-for-sale debt securities and other ^(a)		43			3			40		
Derivative assets ^(b)		9						9		

	Total assets		681			475			206			
Derivativ	re liabilities ^(c)		(270)						(270)			
	Net assets	\$	411		\$	475		\$	(64)		\$	
(a)	Included in Other within In-	vestme	nts and	Othe	r Ass	ets in th	ne Co	nsoli	dated Ba	alanc	e She	ets.
(b)	Included in Other within Cu the Consolidated Balance			nd Ot	her w	vithin In	vestn	nents	and Otl	ner A	ssets	in
(c)	Included in Other within Cu Liabilities in the Consolidate				Othe	r within	Defe	rred (Credits a	and C	Other	

Duke Energy Ohio

The following tables provide recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral, which are disclosed in Note 14.

				Dece	mber 31, 2	2013			
(in mill	ions)	Total F	air Value		Level 1	L	evel 2	L	evel 3
Derivat	ive assets ^(a)	\$	96	\$	50	\$	21	\$	25
Derivat	ive liabilities ^(b)		(95)		(1)		(65)		(29)
	Net assets (liabilities)	\$	1	\$	49	\$	(44)	\$	(4)
						2010			
/::II	:	Tatal	ale Value		mber 31, 2		1 0	- 1 .	
(in mill		 	air Value 59	\$	Level 1	\$	evel 2 2		evel 3 . 9
	ive assets ^(a) ive liabilities ^(b)	\$	(38)	φ	48 (15)	Φ	(8)	\$	<u>9</u> (15)
Denvat	Net assets (liabilities)	\$	21	\$		\$	(6)	\$	(6)
(a) (b)	Included in Other within Consolidated Balance S Included in Other within	heets. Current L	iabilities an	d Other wit					n the
	Liabilities in the Consolid	dated Bal	ance Sneets	S.			1		
	owing table provides a recon red at fair value using Level 3		•	and ending	g balances	of ass	ets and	liabilities	S
					De	rivativ	es (ne	t)	
					שט	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
							•	ber 31,	
(in mill	ions)				Years E		•	ber 31,	2011
•				\$	Years E 2013		Decem	ber 31, \$	2011 13
Balance	e at beginning of period retax realized or unrealized g	ains inclu	ded in	\$	Years E 2013	nded	Decem 2012		
Balance Total pre earning	e at beginning of period retax realized or unrealized g			\$	Years E 2013 (6)	nded	2012 (3)		13
Balance Total pre earning	e at beginning of period retax realized or unrealized g is ^(a)			\$	Years E 2013 (6)	nded	2012 (3)		

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	sses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as ory assets or liabilities			(1)		2
Transfe	ers out of Level 3 ^(b)	43				
Balance	e at end of period	\$ (4)	\$	(6)	\$	(3)
(a)	Amounts for derivative are primarily included in Operating Revenues.					
(b)	Transfers reflect derivative contracts becoming observable due to the passage of time.					

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy Indiana

The following tables provide recorded balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral, which is disclosed in Note 14. See Note 15 for additional information related to investments by major security type.

			D	ec	em	ber 3	31, 20	013				
(in m	illions)	Total	Fair Value		Lev	/el 1		Le	vel 2			Level 3
Avail	able-for-sale equity securities ^(a)	\$	65		\$	65		\$			\$	
Avail	able-for-sale debt securities ^(a)		29						29			
Deriv	rative assets(b)		12									12
	Net assets (liabilities)	\$	106		\$	65		\$	29		\$	12
				ec	em	ber 3	31, 20	012				
(in m	illions)	Total	Fair Value		Le	/el 1		Le	vel 2			Level 3
Avail	able-for-sale equity securities ^(a)	\$	49		\$	49		\$			\$	
Avail	able-for-sale debt securities ^(a)		29						29			
Deriv	ative assets(b)		10									10
	Total assets		88			49			29			10
Deriv	ative liabilities ^(c)		(63)						(63)			
	Net assets (liabilities)	\$	25		\$	49		\$	(34)		\$	10
(a)	Included in Other within Investments	I and Other A	ssets on th	e C	ons	solida	ated	l Bala	nce S	hee	ets.	
(b)	Included in Other within Current Asse	ets on the Co	onsolidated	Ва	lan	ce Sl	neets	S.				
(c)	Included in Other within Current Liabi the Consolidated Balance Sheets.	lities and O	ther within [Def	erre	ed Cr	edits	and	Othe	r Lia	abi	lities on
	ollowing table provides a reconciliation sured at fair value using Level 3 measu	-	g and endin	g b	ala	nces	of a	ssets	and	liab	oiliti	es

									s (ne		
						Years	End			<u>ıbe</u>	
(in millions)					_	2013		201			2011
Balance at begi					\$	t t		\$	4	\$	
			alized gains include	d in earnings ^(a)		8		3	36		14
		suances	and settlements:				_		_		
Purchase	es					20	-		_		8
Sales							_		22		
Settleme						(30)	_	(52	2)		(21)
			Consolidated Balan	ce Sheet as							(4)
regulatory asse			<u> </u>		+	4	+	A 4		_	(1)
Balance at end	of pe	riod			\$	12	-	\$ 1	0	\$	4
				1 1' 0 ''							
		erivative	s are primarily includ	ded in Operating							
(a) Revenue	ა.							_	-		
QUANTITATIV	E INF	ORMA	TION ABOUT UNO	BSERVABLE INPUT	S	1		ı			
The following ta	able p	rovides	quantitative informa	tion about the Duke	Ene	rav Reai	strar	nts' d	eriva	tive	s
classified as Le			quantitativo illionina	anon about the bane		. 97 9.	o i. a.		oma		•
			•	December 31, 20	13						
	Faiı	r Value									
Investment		(in	Valuation								
Туре	m	illions)	Technique	Unobserv	able	Input			Ra	ng	е
Duke Energy											
Natural gas	\$	(2)	Discounted	Forward natural	_	curves	-	\$	3.07	\$	5.37
contracts		(0)	cash flow	price per MMBti							50.00
FERC		(2)	Discounted	Forward electric	city c	curves -		4	5.79	-	52.38
mitigation			cash flow	price per MWh							
power sale agreements											
Financial		12	RTO auction	FTR price - per	Med	nawatt H	OUR	(0.30)	_	13.80
transmission			pricing	(MWh)	IVIO	jawati i i	ou.	ľ	,.00)		10.00
rights (FTRs)			J 9	(*******)							
Electricity		23	Discounted	Forward electric	city o	curves -		2	0.77	-	58.90
contracts			cash flow	price per MWh							
Commodity		4	Discounted	Forward capaci	ty or	otion		3	0.40	-	165.10
capacity option			cash flow	curves - price p	er N	/IW day					
contracts											
Reserves		(22)		Bid-ask spreads		•	.				
-				volatility, probat	oility	ot defau	ılt			_	
Total Level 3	\$	13									
derivatives										 	
Duke Energy Carolinas											
Caronnas											

FERC	\$	(2)	Discounted	Forward electricity curves -	\$2	5.79	\$	52.38
mitigation			cash flow	price per MWh				
power sale								
agreements			+					
Duke Energy Ohio								
Electricity	\$	18	Discounted	Forward electricity curves -	\$2	0.77	\$	58.90
contracts			cash flow	price per MWh				
Natural gas		(2)	Discounted	Forward natural gas curves -		3.07	-	5.37
contracts			cash flow	price per MMBtu				
Reserves		(20)		Bid-ask spreads, implied volatility, probability of default				
Total Level 3	\$	(4)						
derivatives								
Duke Energy Indiana								
FTRs	\$	12	RTO auction pricing	FTR price - per MWh	\$0).30)	\$	13.80
				December 31, 2012	I		<u> </u>	
	Fair	^r Value						
Investment		(in	Valuation					
Type	mi	illions)	Technique	Unobservable Input		Ra	ng	е
Type Duke Energy	mi	illions)	Technique	Unobservable Input		Ra	ng	e
Duke Energy Natural gas	m i	(53)	Discounted	Forward natural gas curves -	\$	Ra 2.33	ng \$	e 9.99
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts		(53)	Discounted cash flow	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu		2.33		9.99
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC			Discounted cash flow Discounted	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves -				
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation		(53)	Discounted cash flow	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu		2.33		9.99
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale		(53)	Discounted cash flow Discounted	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves -		2.33		9.99
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation		(53)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves -	2	2.33		9.99
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs		(53) (23)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh	2	2.33 5.83 3.63		9.99 48.69 39.22
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs Electricity		(53)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing Discounted	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh Forward electricity curves -	2	2.33 5.83		9.99 48.69
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs Electricity contracts		(53) (23) 11 (8)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing Discounted cash flow	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh Forward electricity curves - price per MWh	2	2.33 5.83 3.63 4.82		9.99 48.69 39.22 77.96
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs Electricity contracts Capacity		(53) (23)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing Discounted	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh Forward electricity curves - price per MWh Forward capacity curves - price	2	2.33 5.83 3.63		9.99 48.69 39.22
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs Electricity contracts Capacity contracts		(53) (23) 11 (8) (3)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh Forward electricity curves - price per MWh Forward capacity curves - price per MW day	2 2	2.33 5.83 3.63 4.82 5.16		9.99 48.69 39.22 77.96 105.36
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs Electricity contracts Capacity capacity Capacity		(53) (23) 11 (8)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing Discounted cash flow Discounted	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh Forward electricity curves - price per MWh Forward capacity curves - price per MW day Forward capacity option	2 2	2.33 5.83 3.63 4.82		9.99 48.69 39.22 77.96
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs Electricity contracts Capacity contracts Capacity option		(53) (23) 11 (8) (3)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh Forward electricity curves - price per MWh Forward capacity curves - price per MW day	2 2	2.33 5.83 3.63 4.82 5.16		9.99 48.69 39.22 77.96 105.36
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs Electricity contracts Capacity capacity Capacity		(53) (23) 11 (8) (3)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh Forward electricity curves - price per MWh Forward capacity curves - price per MW day Forward capacity option curves - price per MW day Bid-ask spreads, implied	2 2	2.33 5.83 3.63 4.82 5.16		9.99 48.69 39.22 77.96 105.36
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs Electricity contracts Capacity contracts Capacity option contracts		(53) (23) 11 (8) (3)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh Forward electricity curves - price per MWh Forward capacity curves - price per MW day Forward capacity option curves - price per MW day	2 2	2.33 5.83 3.63 4.82 5.16		9.99 48.69 39.22 77.96 105.36
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs Electricity contracts Capacity contracts Capacity option contracts Reserves Total Level 3 derivatives Duke Energy	\$	(53) (23) 11 (8) (3) 3 (12)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh Forward electricity curves - price per MWh Forward capacity curves - price per MW day Forward capacity option curves - price per MW day Bid-ask spreads, implied	2 2	2.33 5.83 3.63 4.82 5.16		9.99 48.69 39.22 77.96 105.36
Duke Energy Natural gas contracts FERC mitigation power sale agreements FTRs Electricity contracts Capacity contracts Capacity option contracts Reserves Total Level 3 derivatives	\$	(53) (23) 11 (8) (3) 3 (12)	Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow RTO auction pricing Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted cash flow Discounted	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu Forward electricity curves - price per MWh FTR price - per MWh Forward electricity curves - price per MWh Forward capacity curves - price per MW day Forward capacity option curves - price per MW day Bid-ask spreads, implied	2 2 9	2.33 5.83 3.63 4.82 5.16		9.99 48.69 39.22 77.96 105.36

					<u>Dece</u>	ember 31	۱, 2	013		D	ecer	nber	31,	2012
current markets	. Fair	value of I	ong-term											
The fair value a table. Estimates	s dete	rmined ar	e not nec	essarily	indic	ative of a	am	ounts that c						•
The felicies !	ا اه ده	-l l	-fla::			. aliar -:		A				ا الم		alla:::
OTHER FAIR V	/ALUI	E DISCLO	SURES											
FTRs	\$	10	RTO au pricing	uction		FTR pric	ce -	per MWh			\$ <u></u>	3.63	\$	35.43
Duke Energy Indiana														
Total Level 3 derivatives	\$	(6)												
Reserves		(11)					•	reads, impli robability of		ult				
Natural gas contracts		5	Discou cash flo			Forward price pe		atural gas cı IMBtu	urves	-		3.30	-	4.51
Electricity contracts		(1)	Discou cash flo	ow		price pe	r M				2	5.90	-	57.50
FTRs	\$	1	RTO au pricing	uction		FTR pric	ce -	per MWh			·	7.17	\$	39.22
Duke Energy Ohio														
Total Level 3 derivatives	\$	(38)												
power sale agreements														
FERC mitigation		(11)	Discou cash flo			Forward price pe		ectricity cur	ves -		2	5.83	-	48.69
Natural gas contracts	\$	(27)	Discou cash flo			Forward price pe		itural gas ci IMBtu	urves	-	\$	4.07	-	4.45
Progress														
derivatives Duke Energy														
Total Level 3	\$	(38)												
FERC mitigation power sale agreements		(11)	Discou cash flo			price pe		ectricity cur IWh	ves -		2	5.83	-	48.69
Natural gas contracts	\$	(27)	Discou cash flo	ow		price pe	r M			-	·	4.07	-	4.45
Progress Energy														
power sale agreements			Casii ii	JVV		рпсе ре	1 10	10011						
FERC mitigation			Discou cash flo			Forward price pe		ectricity cur	ves -					

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

(in millions)	Book Value	Fair Value	Book Value	Fair Value
Duke Energy	\$40,256	\$ 42,592	3 9 ,461	\$ 44,001
Duke Energy Carolinas	8,436	9,123	8,741	10,096
Progress Energy	14,115	15,234	14,428	16,563
Duke Energy Progress	5,235	5,323	4,840	5,277
Duke Energy Florida	4,886	5,408	5,320	6,222
Duke Energy Ohio	2,188	2,237	1,997	2,117
Duke Energy Indiana	3,796	4,171	3,702	4,268

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

At both December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, fair value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts and notes receivable, accounts payable, and notes payable and commercial paper are not materially different from their carrying amounts because of the short-term nature of these instruments and/or because the stated interest rates approximate market rates.

17. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

A VIE is an entity that is evaluated for consolidation using more than a simple analysis of voting control. The analysis to determine whether an entity is a VIE considers contracts with an entity, credit support for an entity, the adequacy of the equity investment of an entity and the relationship of voting power to the amount of equity invested in an entity. This analysis is performed either upon the creation of a legal entity or upon the occurrence of an event requiring reevaluation, such as a significant change in an entity's assets or activities. A qualitative analysis of control determines the party that consolidates a VIE. This assessment is based on (i) what party has the power to direct the most significant activities of the VIE that impact its economic performance, and (ii) what party has rights to receive benefits or is obligated to absorb losses that are significant to the VIE. The analysis of the party that consolidates a VIE is a continual reassessment. Other than the discussion below related to CRC, no financial support was provided to any of the VIEs during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, or is expected to be provided in the future, that was not previously contractually required.

CONSOLIDATED VIES

The table below shows the VIEs that Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress consolidate and how these entities impact their respective Consolidated Balance Sheets.

						.04.0							
			т т			r 31, 2				1		T	
(in millions)	DERF(a)	DEPR(b)		CRC	Çin	Cap ₩	en	ew	ables		Other		Total
ASSETS													
Current Assets													
Restricted receivables of variable interest	\$ 673	\$ 416		\$ 595	\$	17		\$	18		\$		\$ 1,719

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

entities															
Other										10		89		2	101
Investments and Other Assets															
Other										51		29			80
Property, Plant and Equipment															
Property, plant and equipment, cost ^(c)												1,662		18	1,680
Accumulated depreciation and amortization												(170)		(5)	(175)
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits															
Other		1		1								34			36
Total assets		674		417			595			78		1,662		15	3,441
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY															
Current Liabilities															
Accounts payable												2			2
Taxes accrued												10			10
Current maturities of long-term debt										14		66			80
Other										10		17			27
Long-term Debt ^(d)		400		300			325			34		907			1,966
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities															
Other		1								13		333			347
Total liabilities		401		300			325			71		1,335			2,432
Net assets of consolidated variable interest															
entities	\$	273	4	117	\sqcup	\$	270		\$	7	1	327	\$	15	\$ 1,009
(a) DERF is co	l onsol	idated hy	<u> </u>	l ike Enera	<u>ν</u> (ີເຂ	rolinas ai	nd	Di	uke Fr	nera)	<u> </u> /			
(b) DEPR is co															
(c) Restricted		-													
(d) Non-recou	rse to	the gen	eral	assets o	f D	uk	e Energy	/.							
				<u> </u>								 			
								e	cer	nber 3	<u> </u>	_ <u> </u> 012			
		1						U	J ()		, 2	V 1 L			

(in millions)		DERF(a)			CRC	;	C	inCap V	enev	wab	les		(Other		Total
ASSETS																
Current Assets	П															
Restricted receivables of																
variable interest entities	\$	637		\$	534		\$	15		\$	16		\$	(1)	\$	1,201
Other								4		1:	33			2		139
Investments and Other																
Assets	Ш											4				
Other	Ш							62			14	4		2		78
Property, Plant and Equipment																
Property, plant and equipment, cost ^(b)										1.5	43			15		1,558
Accumulated depreciation and amortization										(9	18)			(5)		(103)
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits																
Other											40					40
Total assets		637			534			81		1 6	48			13		2,913
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY																
Current Liabilities																
Accounts payable	Ш										1					1
Notes payable and																
commercial paper	Н				312								-			312
Taxes accrued	Н									-	62		-			62
Current maturities of								10		4	E0					470
long-term debt Other	H							13 4			59 25		+			472
	H	300						48			25 04		ł			29 852
Long-term Debt ^(c) Deferred Credits and	H	300						40		3	04		ł			002
Other Liabilities																
Deferred income taxes	П									1:	54		t			154
Asset retirement obligation	П												Ì			
J 3											23					23
Other								10		;	39					49
Total liabilities		300			312			75		1.2	67					1,954
Net assets of consolidated													Ī			
variable interest entities	\$	337		\$	222	\vdash	\$	6		\$3	81		\$	13	\$	959
(a) DERF is consolidate	ب عط	by Duke	F	ne ne	ray Card	lin	as	and Duk	LLL (e Fr	1era	V .					
(b) Restricted as collate									(C LI	ici g	<u>y - </u>					
(c) Non-recourse to the																
1311 1333 1333 13 111	9	ac			J. Dano	<u> </u>		a1.					Ī			
	П										П		1			
			•	_											 	

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The obligations of these VIEs are non-recourse to Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress. These entities have no requirement to provide liquidity to purchase assets of, or guarantee performance of these VIEs unless noted in the following paragraphs.

DERF

On a daily basis, Duke Energy Receivables Finance Company, LLC (DERF), a bankruptcy remote, special purpose subsidiary of Duke Energy Carolinas, buys certain accounts receivable arising from the sale of electricity and/or related services from Duke Energy Carolinas. DERF is a wholly owned limited liability company with a separate legal existence from its parent, and its assets are not generally available to creditors of Duke Energy Carolinas. DERF borrows \$400 million under a credit facility to buy the receivables. Borrowing is limited to the amount of qualified receivables sold, which is expected to be in excess of \$400 million. The receivables are used as collateral for commercial paper issued through third parties. The credit facility expires in October 2016 and is reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Long-term Debt. The secured credit facility was not structured to meet the criteria for sale accounting treatment under the accounting guidance for transfers and servicing of financial assets.

The most significant activity that impacts the economic performance of DERF is the decisions made to manage delinquent receivables. Duke Energy Carolinas consolidates DERF as it makes those decisions.

DEPR

On a daily basis, Duke Energy Progress Receivables Company, LLC (DEPR), a bankruptcy remote, special purpose subsidiary of Duke Energy Progress formed in 2013, buys certain accounts receivable arising from the sale of electricity and/or related services from Duke Energy Progress. DEPR is a wholly owned limited liability company with a separate legal existence from its parent, and its assets are not generally available to creditors of Duke Energy Progress. DEPR borrows \$300 million under a credit facility to buy the receivables. Borrowing is limited to the amount of qualified receivables sold, which is expected to be in excess of \$300 million. The receivables are used as collateral for commercial paper issued through third parties. The credit facility expires in December 2016 and is reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Long-term Debt. The secured credit facility was not structured to meet the criteria for sale accounting treatment under the accounting guidance for transfers and servicing of financial assets.

The most significant activity that impacts the economic performance of DEPR is the decisions made to manage delinquent receivables. Duke Energy Progress consolidates DEPR as it makes those decisions.

CRC

On a revolving basis, CRC buys certain accounts receivable arising from the sale of electricity and/or related services from Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. Receivables sold are securitized by CRC through a facility managed by two unrelated third parties and are used as collateral for commercial paper issued by the unrelated third parties. Proceeds Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana receive from the sale of receivables to CRC are typically 75 percent cash and 25 percent in the form of a subordinated note from CRC. The subordinated note is a retained interest in the receivables sold. Cash collections from the receivable are the sole source of funds to satisfy the related debt obligation. Depending on experience with collections, additional equity infusions to CRC may be required by Duke Energy to maintain a minimum equity balance of \$3 million. There were no infusions to CRC during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. For the year ended December 31, 2011, Duke Energy infused \$6 million of equity to CRC to remedy net worth deficiencies. Borrowings fluctuate based on the amount of receivables sold. The credit facility expires in November 2016. The secured credit facility is reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Long-term Debt. CRC is considered a VIE because (i) equity capitalization is insufficient to support its operations, (ii) power to direct the most significant activities that impact economic performance of the entity are not performed by the equity holder, Cinergy, and (iii) deficiencies in net worth of CRC are not funded by Cinergy, but by Duke Energy. The most significant activity of CRC relates to the

184

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

decisions made with respect to the management of delinquent receivables. Duke Energy consolidates CRC as it makes these decisions. Neither Duke Energy Ohio nor Duke Energy Indiana consolidate CRC.

CinCap V

CinCap V was created to finance and execute a power sale agreement with Central Maine Power Company for approximately 35 MW of capacity and energy. This agreement expires in 2016. CinCap V is considered a VIE because the equity capitalization is insufficient to support its operations. Duke Energy consolidates CinCap V as it has power to direct the most significant activities that impact the economic performance of the entity, which are the decisions to hedge and finance the power sales agreement.

Renewables

Certain of Duke Energy's renewable energy facilities are VIEs due to power purchase agreements with terms that approximate the expected life of the projects. These fixed price agreements effectively transfer commodity price risk to the buyer of the power. Certain other of Duke Energy's renewable energy facilities are VIEs due to Duke Energy issuing guarantees for debt service and operations and maintenance reserves in support of debt financings. Assets are restricted and cannot be pledged as collateral or sold to third parties without prior approval of debt holders. The most significant activities that impact the economic performance of these renewable energy facilities were decisions associated with siting, negotiating purchase power agreements, engineering, procurement and construction, and decisions associated with ongoing operations and maintenance-related activities. Duke Energy consolidated the entities as it makes all of these decisions.

NON-CONSOLIDATED VIES

The tables below disclose VIEs the Duke Energy Registrants do not consolidate and how these entities impact the Duke Energy Registrants' respective Consolidated Balance Sheets.

					Dec	emb	er 31,	2013	3		
			Du	ke Ene	rgy						
(in millions)	Re	newables		Other			Total				

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

																ner			Er	Duke nergy
Dogois	robles.						\$		ф.			\$)hic)(a)		+ -	ana ^(b) 143
Receiv	ments in e	au iits	, moth	od			3		\$			Þ			Þ	11	14		Þ	143
	solidated a			ou			1	53		60			213							
Intang							-			96			96			(96			
	ments and	oth	er ass	ets						4			4							
Total a							1	53		160			313			21	10			143
	current lial	oilitie	es							3			3							
	ed credits			liabi	lities	3				15			15							
Total li	iabilities									18			18							
Net as	sets						\$ 1	53	\$	142		\$	295		\$	21	10		\$	143
(a)	Reflects C						st in	CRC.												
(b)	Reflects r	etair	ned int	eres	t in	CRC.					ı	<u> </u>		1	l	1	I			
																<u> </u>		T		
									Dec	embe	er 31.	2012	<u> </u>							
							Duk	e Ene		<u> </u>	,, , ,									
							FF													
							C	apita	ı							ı	Duk	æ		Duke
									l								erç			nergy
(in mil			keNet	Re		ables		rust ^{(c})	Oth	ner			tal			hio		+ -	ana ^(b)
Receiv		\$			\$		9	3		\$	-	-	\$	-		\$	9	7	\$	116
	ments in																			
equity	method																			
equity uncons	method solidated		118			147					27		29	92						
equity uncons affiliate	method solidated es		118			147					27 04		_	92 04			104	4		
equity uncons affiliate Intangi	method solidated es ibles		118			147							_				104	4		
equity uncons affiliate Intangi Investr	method solidated es ibles ments		118			147							_				104	4		
equity uncons affiliate Intang Investr and ot assets	method solidated es ibles ments her							9		1	2		1(11						
equity uncons affiliate Intangi Investr and ot assets Total a	method solidated es ibles ments her assets		118			147		9 9		1	04		1(04			104			116
equity uncons affiliate Intanginvestrand ot assets	method solidated es ibles ments her assets current							_		1	2		1(11						116
equity uncons affiliate Intangal Investrand ot assets Total a Other of Inabilities	method solidated es ibles ments her assets current							_		1	2 33		1(11						116
equity uncons affiliate Intangi Investrand ot assets Total a Other Inabilitie Indoor Inabilitie Indoor Inabilitie Indoor	method solidated es ibles ments her assets current es ed credits her							9		1:	2 33 3		40	04 11 07 3						116
equity uncons affiliate Intangal Investrand ot assets Other and ot	method solidated es ibles ments her current es ed credits her es							319		1:	2 33 3		40	04 11 07 3						116
equity uncons affiliate Intangi Investrand ot assets Total a Deferrand ot liabilitie Total li	method solidated es ibles ments her sassets current es ed credits her es iabilities							319 319		1:	2 33 3		40	04 11 07 3						116
equity uncons affiliate Intangi Investrand ot assets Total a Deferrand ot liabilitie Total li	method solidated es ibles ments her current es ed credits her es iabilities sets	9	118		\$			319 319 (310)		1:	2 33 3		33	04 11 07 3		\$		1	\$	
equity uncons affiliate Intange Investrand ot assets Total and ot liabilitie Total liabilitie Net as (liabilitie Index)	method solidated es ibles ments her current es ed credits her es iabilities sets		118	rete		147		319 319 (310)		1:	2 33 3 17 20		33	3 36 39		\$	20	1	\$	
equity uncons affiliate Intangal Investi and other and o	method solidated es ibles ments her es ed credits her es iabilities sets ies)	OVE	118 118 C and		inec	147 147 I intere		319 319 (310)		1:	2 33 3 17 20		33	3 36 39		\$	20	1	\$	116
equity uncons affiliate Intange Investrand ot assets Total and ot liabilitie Total liabilitie Net as (liabilitie Index)	method solidated es ibles ments her current es ed credits her es iabilities sets	OVE etair	118 118 C and	eres	inec	147 147 Lintere	st in	319 319 (310)		11	2 33 3 17 20	74 mi	33 33	11 07 3 36 39	Defe		201	1		116

signific Ohio V	ike Energ antly exc alley Elec le above	eeds t	he ca	rrying ation	g valu (OVE	es sh C), w	own hich	abov is di	ve ex	cept	for	the p	oowe	er pu	rchas	se aç	greer	ment	with	
DukeN	let																			
								-	185											

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Until December 31, 2013, Duke Energy owned a 50 percent ownership interest in DukeNet. DukeNet was considered a VIE because it has entered into certain contractual arrangements that provide it with additional forms of subordinated financial support. The most significant activities that impacted DukeNet's economic performance relate to its business development and fiber optic capacity marketing and management activities. The power to direct these activities was jointly and equally shared by Duke Energy and the other joint venture partner.

On December 31, 2013, Duke Energy completed the sale of its ownership interest in DukeNet to Time Warner Cable, Inc. For more information on the sale of DukeNet, refer to Note 12.

Renewables

Duke Energy has investments in various renewable energy project entities. Some of these entities are VIEs due to power purchase agreements with terms that approximate the expected life of the project. These fixed price agreements effectively transfer commodity price risk to the buyer of the power. Duke Energy does not consolidate these VIEs because power to direct and control key activities is shared jointly by Duke Energy and other owners.

FPC Capital I Trust

At December 31, 2012, Progress Energy had variable interests in the FPC Capital I Trust (the Trust). The Trust, a finance subsidiary, was established for the sole purpose of issuing \$300 million of 7.10% Cumulative QUIPS due 2039, and using the proceeds thereof to purchase \$300 million of 7.10% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Notes due 2039 from Florida Progress Funding Corporation (Funding Corp.). Funding Corp. was formed for the sole purpose of providing financing to Duke Energy Florida. On February 1, 2013, Duke Energy redeemed the QUIPS and subsequently terminated the Trust.

Other

The most significant of the Other non-consolidated VIEs is Duke Energy Ohio's 9 percent ownership interest in OVEC. Through its ownership interest in OVEC, Duke Energy Ohio has a contractual arrangement to buy power from OVEC's power plants through June 2040. Proceeds from the sale of power by OVEC to its power purchase agreement counterparties are designed to be sufficient to meet its operating expenses, fixed costs, debt amortization and, interest expense, as well as earn a return on equity. Accordingly, the value of this contract is subject to variability due to fluctuations in power prices and changes in OVEC's costs of business, including costs associated with its 2,256 MW of coal-fired generation capacity. As

discussed in Note 5, proposed environmental rulemaking could increase the costs of OVEC, which would be passed through to Duke Energy Ohio. The initial carrying value of this contract was recorded as an intangible asset when Duke Energy acquired Cinergy in April 2006. This amount is included in the table above for Duke Energy and Duke Energy Ohio.

In addition, Duke Energy has guaranteed performance of certain entities in which it no longer has an equity interest.

CRC

See discussion under Consolidated VIEs for additional information related to CRC.

The subordinated notes held by Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are stated at fair value and are classified within Receivables in their Consolidated Balance Sheets. Carrying values of retained interests are determined by allocating carrying value of the receivables between assets sold and interests retained based on relative fair value. The allocated basis of the subordinated notes are not materially different than their face value because (i) the receivables generally turnover in less than two months, (ii) credit losses are reasonably predictable due to the broad customer base and lack of significant concentration, and (iii) the equity in CRC is subordinate to all retained interests and thus would absorb losses first. The hypothetical effect on fair value of the retained interests assuming both a 10 percent and a 20 percent unfavorable variation in credit losses or discount rates is not material due to the short turnover of receivables and historically low credit loss history. Interest accrues to Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana on the retained interests using the acceptable yield method. This method generally approximates the stated rate on the notes since the allocated basis and the face value are nearly equivalent. An impairment charge is recorded against the carrying value of both retained interests and purchased beneficial interest whenever it is determined that an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred.

		1							r					
		D	uke	<u>En</u>	erç	gy C	Ohio		Du	ke E	Ene	ergy	y In	diana
			De	cen	nbe	er 3	1,			De	cer	nbe	er 3	81,
(in millions)			20	13			2012			20	13			2012
Receivables sold		\$	29	90		\$	282		\$	34	40		\$	289
Less: Retained interests			1	14			97			14	43			116
Net receivables sold		\$	1	76		\$	185		\$	19	97		\$	173
Key assumptions used in estimating the fair value	in 2013	and	d 20)12	is c	leta	iled in	the	fol	lowi	ing	tab	le.	
Key assumptions used in estimating the fair value	in 2013						iled in	the						diana
Key assumptions used in estimating the fair value	in 2013			En		ју С		the			Ene			diana 2012
	in 2013	D	uke	En		ју С	Ohio	the	Du	ke E	Ene		y In	
Anticipated credit loss ratio	in 2013	D 0	uke 20	En 13 %		3y C	Ohio 2012 .7 %	the	Du 0	ke E 20	Ene 13 %		y In	2012 .3 %
Anticipated credit loss ratio Discount rate	in 2013	D 0	20°	En 13 %		ду С	Ohio 2012 .7 % .2 %	the	Du 0	ke E 20 ⁻ 0.3 9	Ene 13 %		y In	2012 0.3 % 0.2 %
Anticipated credit loss ratio	in 2013	D 0	20.6	En 13 %		ду С	Ohio 2012 .7 %	the	Du 0	ke E 20	Ene 13 %		y In	2012 .3 %
Anticipated credit loss ratio Discount rate Receivable turnover rate		D 0 1	20° 0.6 ° 1.2 °	# En 13 % %	nerc	0 1 12	Dhio 2012 .7 % .2 % .7 %	the	Du 0	ke E 20 ⁻ 0.3 9	Ene 13 %		y In	2012 0.3 % 0.2 %
Anticipated credit loss ratio Discount rate		D 0 1	20° 0.6 ° 1.2 °	# En 13 % %	nerc	0 1 12	Dhio 2012 .7 % .2 % .7 %	the	Du 0	ke E 20 ⁻ 0.3 9	Ene 13 %		y In	2012 0.3 % 0.2 %

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	Years	s Ende	d Decem	ber	31,	,		Years	En	de	d Dece	mb	er	31,
(in millions)	2013		2012			2011		2013			2012			2011
Sales														
Receivables sold	\$ 2,251		\$ 2,154		\$	2,390	\$	2,985		\$	2,773		\$	2,658
Loss recognized on sale	12		13			21		11			12			16
Cash Flows														
Cash proceeds from receivables sold	2,220		2,172			2,474		2,944			2,784			2,674
Collection fees received	1		1			1		1			1			1
Return received on retained interests	5		5			12		6			7			13

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Cash flows from the sale of receivables are reflected within Operating Activities on Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Collection fees received in connection with the servicing of transferred accounts receivable are included in Operation, maintenance and other on Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. The loss recognized on sales of receivables is calculated monthly by multiplying the receivables sold during the month by the required discount. The required discount is derived monthly utilizing a three-year weighted-average formula that considers charge-off history, late charge history, and turnover history on the sold receivables, as well as a component for the time value of money. The discount rate, or component for the time value of money, is calculated monthly by summing the prior month-end LIBOR plus a fixed rate of 1.00 percent.

18. COMMON STOCK

Basic Earnings Per Share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, adjusted for distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities, by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities, by the diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other agreements to issue common stock, such as stock options, phantom shares and stock-based performance unit awards were exercised or settled. Duke Energy's participating securities are restricted stock units that are entitled to dividends declared on Duke Energy common shares during the restricted stock units' vesting period.

On July 2, 2012, just prior to the close of the merger with Progress Energy, Duke Energy executed a one-for-three reverse stock split. All earnings per share amounts included in this 10-K are presented as if the one-for-three reverse stock split had been effective January 1, 2011. The following table presents Duke Energy's basic and diluted EPS calculations and reconciles the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding to the diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding.

		Average	
(In millions, except per-share amounts)	Income	Shares	EPS

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

2013						
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — basic and diluted	\$	2,640		706		\$ 3.74
2012						
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — basic	\$	1,727		574		\$ 3.01
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Stock options, performance and restricted stock				1		
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — diluted	\$	1,727		575		\$ 3.01
2011						
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — basic and diluted	\$	1,702		444		\$ 3.83
			-		\blacksquare	
	1					

As of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, 2 million, 1 million and 3 million, respectively, of stock options and performance and unvested stock awards were not included in the dilutive securities calculation in the above table because either the option exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares during those periods, or performance measures related to the awards had not yet been met.

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, Duke Energy declared dividends of \$3.09 per share, \$3.03 per share and \$2.97 per share, respectively.

19. SEVERANCE

2011 SEVERANCE PLAN

In conjunction with the merger with Progress Energy, in November 2011 Duke Energy and Progress Energy offered a voluntary severance plan to certain eligible employees. As this was a voluntary severance plan, all severance benefits offered under this plan are considered special termination benefits under U.S. GAAP. Special termination benefits are measured upon employee acceptance and recorded immediately absent any significant retention period. If a significant retention period exists, the cost of the special termination benefits are recorded ratably over the retention period. Approximately 1,100 employees from Duke Energy and Progress Energy requested severance

Duke Energy Indiana

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

during the voluntary window, which closed on November 30, 2011. The estimated amount of future severance expense associated with this voluntary plan through 2014 are not material.

Additionally, in the third quarter of 2012, a voluntary severance plan was offered to certain unionized employees of Duke Energy Ohio. Approximately 75 employees accepted the termination benefits during the voluntary window, which closed on October 8, 2012. The expense associated with this plan was not material.

In conjunction with the retirement of Crystal River Unit 3, severance benefits have been made available to certain eligible impacted unionized and non-unionized employees, to the extent that those employees do not find job opportunities at other locations. Approximately 600 employees worked at Crystal River Unit 3. For the year ended December 31, 2013, Duke Energy Florida deferred \$26 million of severance costs as a regulatory asset. Severance costs expected to be accrued over the remaining retention period for employees identified to have a significant retention period is not material. However, these employees maintain the ability to accept job opportunities at other Duke Energy locations, which would result in severance not being paid. If a significant amount of these individuals redeploy within Duke Energy, the final severance benefits paid under the plan may be less than what has been accrued to date. Refer to Note 4 for further discussion regarding Crystal River Unit 3.

Amounts included in the table below represent direct and allocated severance and related expense

recorded by the Duke Energy Registrants, and are recorded in Operation, maintenance and other within Operating Expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Duke Energy Registrants recorded insignificant amounts for severance expense during 2011 for past and ongoing severance plans. Years Ended December 31, (in millions) 2013 2012 Duke Energy^(a) 201 34 Duke Energy Carolinas 8 63 Progress Energy 19 82 Duke Energy Progress 14 55 Duke Energy Florida 5 27 Duke Energy Ohio 2 21

18

2

(a)	Includes \$5 million million of COBRA a	-								•		-			-
plans. Amo	cluded in the table b unts for Subsidiary I r Duke Energy Ohio	Regis	trant	s do no	ot inc	lude	alloca	ted e	xpen	se or as					
(in millions	Balance at December 31, 2012			Provision /				Cash Reductions			Balance : December 3 201				
Duke Energ	ly		\$	135		\$	52		\$	(12	23)			\$	64
Duke Energ	y Carolinas			12			6			(*	3)				5
Progress E	nergy			43			49			(4	l8)				44
Duke Energ	Ouke Energy Progress			23			8			(2	20)				11
Duke Energy Florida				6			31			(*	3)				24

As part of Duke Energy Carolinas' 2011 rate case, the NCUC approved the recovery of \$101 million of previously recorded expenses related to a prior year Voluntary Opportunity Plan. This amount was recorded as a reduction to Operation, maintenance, and other within Operating Expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and recognized as a Regulatory asset on the Consolidated Balance Sheets in 2012.

20. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Duke Energy's 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2010 Plan) reserved 25 million shares of common stock for awards to employees and outside directors. Duke Energy has historically issued new shares upon exercising or vesting of share-based awards. However, Duke Energy may use a combination of new share issuances and open market repurchases for share-based awards that are exercised or become vested in the future. Duke Energy has not determined with certainty the amount of such new share issuances or open market repurchases.

The 2010 Plan allows for a maximum of 6.25 million shares of common stock to be issued under various stock-based awards other than options and stock appreciation rights.

In connection with the acquisition of Progress Energy in July 2012, Duke Energy assumed Progress Energy's 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (EIP). Stock-based awards granted under the Progress Energy EIP and held by Progress Energy employees were generally converted into outstanding Duke Energy stock-based compensation awards. The estimated fair value of these awards allocated to purchase price was \$62 million. Refer to Note 2 for further information regarding the merger transaction.

The following table summarizes the total expense recognized by each of the Duke Energy Registrants, net of tax, for stock-based compensation.

	Year	s Er	ndec	I Dece	mbe	r 31	,

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

(in millions)	2013		2012		2011
Duke Energy	\$ 52	\$	48	\$	32
Duke Energy Carolinas	13		12		17
Progress Energy	23		25		20
Duke Energy Progress	14		16		12
Duke Energy Florida	9		9		8
Duke Energy Ohio	4		4		6
Duke Energy Indiana	4		4		4

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Pretax stock-based compensation costs, the tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense, and stock-based compensation costs capitalized are included in the following table.

								Voors		nda	4 P	000	mha	<u> </u>		
(in millions)					+			Years	<u> </u>	nae	u D			131		2011
(in millions)								013			Φ		012		_	2011
Stock options	1						\$	2			\$		2		\$	2
Restricted stock unit award	S						+	49					43			27
Performance awards								34			_		33		_	23
Pretax stock-based compe							\$	85			\$		78		\$	52
Tax benefit associated with expense	ı stock-b	ased cor	npens	ation			\$	33			\$		30		\$	20
Stock-based compensation	costs c	apitalized	t					3					2			2
STOCK OPTIONS																
T. ()	<u> </u>				<u> </u>			<u> </u>								
The following table summa	rizes into	ormation	about	stock	options	s ou	itsta	nding				<u> </u>	1		ī	
		Options usands)	Weig	1	Averag Exercis Pric	e	w	eight Ren			_			rins	sic \	egate /alue ions)
Outstanding at December																
31, 2012		1,654		\$	5 ⁻	1										
Granted		310			69	9										
Exercised		(1,162)			48	8										
Forfeited or expired		(9)			4	1										
Outstanding at December 31, 2013		793			6	1				7y,	3m				\$	6
Exercisable at December 31, 2013		137			40	6	_			1y,						3
Options expected to vest			64	4				8y,						3		
						1		<u> </u>				_	-			

The exercise price of each option granted cannot be less than the market price of Duke Energy's common stock on the date of grant and the maximum option term is 10 years. The vesting periods range from immediate to three years. Options granted in 2013 and 2012 were expensed immediately; therefore, there is no future compensation cost associated with these options. The following table includes information related to Duke Energy's stock options.

				Years	Ended	Decembe	er 31,			
(in millions	s)		2013			2012	2			2011
Intrinsic val	lue of options exercised	\$	26		\$	17		\$		26
Tax benefit	related to options exercised		10			7				10
Cash receiv	ved from options exercised		9			21				74
Stock optio	ns granted (in thousands)		310			340				358
The following 2013.	ng assumptions were used to det	termine	the grant	date fa	ir value	of stock o	option	s gran	nted ir	า
Risk-free in	nterest rate ^(a)								1.0	%
Expected d	lividend yield ^(b)								4.7	%
Expected li	-							6 \	years	
Expected v	olatility ^(d)								18.1	%
(a)	The risk-free rate is based u Treasury Constant Maturity					d seven-y	ear U	J.S.		
(b)	The expected dividend yield the one-year average closing		•	ne most	recent	annualize	ed divi	dend	and	
(c)	The expected life of options	is deriv	ed from tl	he simp	olified m	ethod app	oroach	า.		
(d)	Volatility is based equally be based on Duke Energy's his prices. Implied volatility is the six months using the strike	storical v ne avera	volatility o age for all	ver the option	expecte contract	ed life usi s with a t	ng dai erm g	ly stoo reater	ck	
			1	·					•	

RESTRICTED STOCK UNIT AWARDS

Restricted stock unit awards issued and outstanding generally vest over periods from immediate to three years. The following table includes information related to restricted stock unit awards.

		Years Ended December 31,											
			2013		2012		2011						
Shares aw	arded (in thousands)		612		443		636						
Fair value	(in millions) ^(a)	\$	42	\$	28	\$	34						
(a)	Based on the market price of Duke Ene	rgy's cor	nmon stock	at the g	rant date.								

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

		W	/eighted-Av	erage
	Shares		Per Share	Grant
	(in thousands)		Date Fair	Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	1,607		\$	64
Granted	612			69
Vested	(794)			63
Forfeited	(25)			68
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	1,400			66
Restricted stock unit awards expected to vest	1,365			66

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

The total grant date fair value of shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$50 million, \$34 million and \$19 million, respectively. At December 31, 2013, Duke Energy had \$21 million of unrecognized compensation cost, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1 year and 9 months.

PERFORMANCE AWARDS

Stock-based awards issued and outstanding generally vest over three years if performance targets are met.

Certain performance awards granted in 2013, 2012 and 2011 contain market conditions based on the total shareholder return (TSR) of Duke Energy stock relative to a pre-defined peer group (relative TSR). These awards are valued using a path-dependent model that incorporates expected relative TSR into the fair value determination of Duke Energy's performance-based share awards. The model uses three-year historical volatilities and correlations for all companies in the pre-defined peer group, including Duke Energy, to simulate Duke Energy's relative TSR as of the end of the performance period. For each simulation, Duke Energy's relative TSR associated with the simulated stock price at the end of the performance period plus expected dividends within the period results in a value per share for the award portfolio. The average of these simulations is the expected portfolio value per share. Actual life to date results of Duke Energy's relative TSR for each grant is incorporated within the model.

Other performance awards not containing market conditions were awarded in 2012 and 2011. The performance goal for these awards is Duke Energy's return on equity over a three-year period. Awards are measured at grant date price.

The following table includes information related to performance awards.

	Years Ended December 31,											
	2013 2012											
Shares awarded (in thousands)		633		352		432						
Fair value (in millions)	\$	28	\$	19	\$	20						
The following table summarizes information maximum level.	on about stock-ba	ased performar	ce awaı	ds outstand	ling at t	ne						

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

		Weighted-Ave	rage
	Shares	Per Share G	arant
	(in thousands)	Date Fair V	'alue
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	2,346	\$	47
Granted	633		45
Vested	(858)		49
Forfeited	(299)		46
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	1,822		46
Stock-based performance awards expected to vest	1,646		47

The total grant date fair value of shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$42 million, \$56 million and \$33 million, respectively. At December 31, 2013, Duke Energy had \$22 million of unrecognized compensation cost, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1 year and 11 months.

21. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

DEFINED Benefit Retirement Plans

Duke Energy maintains, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, qualified, non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans. The plans cover most U.S. employees using a cash balance formula. Under a cash balance formula, a plan participant accumulates a retirement benefit consisting of pay credits based upon a percentage of current eligible earnings based on age and/or years of service and interest credits. Certain employees are covered under plans that use a final average earnings formula. As of January 1, 2014, these defined benefit plans are closed to new participants. Under these average earnings formulas, a plan participant accumulates a retirement benefit equal to the sum of percentages of their (i) highest three-year or four-year average earnings in excess of covered compensation per year of participation (maximum of 35 years), and/or (iii) highest three or four-year average earnings times years of

190

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

participation in excess of 35 years. Duke Energy also maintains, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, non-qualified, non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans which cover certain executives.

Duke Energy uses a December 31 measurement date for its defined benefit retirement plan assets and obligations.

Net periodic benefit costs disclosed in the tables below represent the cost of the respective benefit plan for the periods presented. However, portions of the net periodic benefit costs disclosed in the tables below have been capitalized as a component of property, plant and equipment. Amounts presented in the tables below for the Subsidiary Registrants represent the amounts of pension and other post-retirement benefit cost allocated by Duke Energy for employees of the Subsidiary Registrants. Additionally, the Subsidiary Registrants are allocated their proportionate share of pension and post-retirement benefit cost for employees of Duke Energy's shared services affiliate that provide support to the Subsidiary Registrants. These allocated amounts are included in the governance and shared service costs discussed in Note 13.

Duke Energy's policy is to fund amounts on an actuarial basis to provide assets sufficient to meet benefit payments to be paid to plan participants. The following table includes information related to the Duke Energy Registrants' contributions to its U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plans.

(in millions)	ļ	Duke Energy			Duke Energy rolinas		ogress Energy		Duke nergy gress		Duke Energy Florida	E	Duke nergy Ohio		Duke Energy ndiana
Anticipated Contributions	s:														
2014	\$	143		\$	42	\$	51	;	\$ 21	\$	21	\$	4		\$ 9
Contributions Made:															
2013	\$	250		\$	-	\$	250	,	\$ 63	\$	133	\$	_	_ [\$ _
2012		304			_		346		141		128		_	_ [
2011		200			33		334		217		112		48		52
QUALIFIED P	ENS	SION PL	_AN	S							·				

Components	of N	let Peri	odic	Pension	Co	sts												
						Ye	ear End	ed	De	cembe	r 3	1, 2	2013	 				
(in millions)		Duke Energy	C	Duke Energy arolinas	,		ogress Energy			Duke Energy ogress			Duke Energy Florida	E	Duke nergy Ohio			Duke Energy ndiana
Service cost	\$	167		\$ 49		\$	60		\$	22		\$	30	\$	6		\$	11
Interest cost																		
on projected																		
benefit																		
obligation		320		80			116			50			53		21			28
Expected																		
return on plan)																	
assets		(549)		(148)			(199)			(94)			(87)		(31)			(46)
Amortization																		
of actuarial																		
loss		244		60	1		101			46			49		13			24
Amortization of prior service		440		(0)						44)			(2)					
(credit) cost		(11)		(6)	_		(4)			(1)			(2)					1
Other	<u> </u>	7		2			2			1			1					1
Net periodic pension costs ^{(a)(b)}	\$	178		\$ 37		\$	76		\$	24		\$	44	\$	9		\$	19
	— •	.,,		V 0.		Ť	- 70		Ψ			Ψ		Ψ			Ψ	
		<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>		Ye	ear End	ed	De	cembe	r 3	1. 2	2012					
1				Duke		Ė				Duke	т т	-, -	Duke		Duke			Duke
		_ Duke		Energy	,		ogress			Energy			Energy	E	nergy			Energy
(in millions)	_	Energy	C	arolinas			Energy			ogress		_	Florida	. 1	Ohio			<u>ndiana</u>
Service cost	\$	122		\$ 35		\$	63		\$	25		\$	30	\$	6		\$	9
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation		307		90			127			58			56		31			30
Expected return on plan		(470)		(1.40)			(100)			(00)			(01)		(45)			(40)
assets		(472)		(146)	+		(188)			(96)	\vdash		(81)		(45)	\vdash		(46)
Amortization																		
of actuarial loss		144		45			93			37			48		10			15
Amortization of prior service cost																		
(credit)		10		1	1		9			8			(1)		1			1
Other		6		2	_	_	2			1			1					
Net periodic pension	\$	117		\$ 27		\$	106		\$	33		\$	53	\$	3		\$	9

costs	(a)(b)						l	I		ĺ	ĺ				_						
COSIS																					
								Υe	ear End	ed	De	cembe	r 3	1, 2	2011						
(in m	illions)		Duke Energy			Duke Energy rolinas		Pr	ogress Energy			Duke Energy ogress		ı	Duke Energy Florida		E	Duke Energy Ohio			Duke Energy ndiana
Servi	ce cost	\$	96		\$	37		\$	51		\$	20		\$	24		\$	7		\$	11
			232			85			132			61			57			32			30
Expedition Expedition	on plan		(384)			(150)			(182)			(91)			(78)			(44)			(45)
	tization uarial		77			37			66			25			33			7			14
of pric	tization or ce cost		6			1			7			6						1			2
Other			18			7												2			2
Net popension		\$	45		\$	17		\$	74		\$	21		\$	36		\$	5		\$	14
(a) (b)	Duke Er Decemb purchas Duke Er Decemb purchas	er 2 e ac nerg er 2	2013, 20 countin y Ohio a 2013, 20	12, a g ad amou 12, a	and jus unt and	d 2011, t <u>ments</u> s exclud d 2011,	res as: de : res	spe soc \$6 spe	ctively, ciated w million, ctively,	of i ith \$6 of i	reg <u>Du</u> mi reg	ulatory ke Ener Ilion and ulatory	ass gy' d \$' ass	set <u>'s n</u> 7 m set	amortiz nerger v nillion fo amortiz	ation with r the ation	on r Ci le y on r	resulting inergy i vears ei resulting	g fr n A nde g fr	om <u>pril</u> ed om	
Amou	unts Rec	ogr	ized in	Acc	un	nulated	0	the	r Comp	re	heı	nsive In	CO	me	and R	egı	ıla	tory As	sse	ts	
								<u> </u>		_	Ļ		Ļ								
(in m	illions)		Duke Energy			Duke Energy rolinas		Pr	ear End ogress Energy			Duke Duke Energy ogress		ĺ	Duke Energy Florida		E	Duke Energy Ohio			Duke Energy ndiana
decre	s, net ase	\$	(788)		\$	(205)		\$	(253)		\$	(109)		\$	(146)		\$	(96)		\$	(99)
other comp	mulated rehensiv ne) loss	е																			
Defer incom	red ne tax	\$	18		\$			\$			\$			\$			\$			\$	

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

benefit																
Actuarial																
gains arising																
during the																
year		(33)				(2)										
Prior year																
service credit																
arising during																
the year		(1)														
Amortization																
of prior year																
actuarial																
losses		(15)				(3)										
Reclassification	n	(10)				(5)										
of actuarial																
losses to																
regulatory																
assets		3														
Net amount		3	+													
recognized in																
accumulated																
other																
comprehensiv		(28)	\$		\$	(5)		\$			\$		\$		\$	
income	\$	(20)	Ψ		P	(5)		Ψ			Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	
					Ve	ear Fnd	ed	De	cembe	r 31		2012				
				Duke	Υe	ear End	ed	De			1, 2			Duke		Duke
		Duke	E	Duke					Duke			Duke		Duke Energy		Duke Energy
(in millions)				Duke nergy olinas	Pr	ogress		ı	Duke Energy		E		E	Duke Energy Ohio		Duke Energy ndiana
(in millions)		Duke Energy		nergy	Pr			ı	Duke		E	Duke Energy	E	Energy		Energy
Regulatory				nergy	Pr	ogress		ı	Duke Energy		E	Duke Energy	E	Energy		Energy
Regulatory assets, net				nergy	Pr	ogress		ı	Duke Energy		E	Duke Energy	E	Energy		Energy
Regulatory assets, net increase		Energy	 Car	nergy olinas	Pr	ogress Energy		I Pr	Duke Energy ogress		E	Duke Energy Florida		nergy Ohio	I:	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease)	\$	Energy	 Car	nergy	Pr	ogress Energy		ı	Duke Energy ogress		E	Duke Energy	\$	nergy Ohio		Energy
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated		Energy	 Car	nergy olinas	Pr	ogress Energy		I Pr	Duke Energy ogress		E	Duke Energy Florida		nergy Ohio	I:	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other	\$	Energy	 Car	nergy olinas	Pr	ogress Energy		I Pr	Duke Energy ogress		E	Duke Energy Florida		nergy Ohio	I:	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv	\$	Energy	 Car	nergy olinas	Pr	ogress Energy		I Pr	Duke Energy ogress		E	Duke Energy Florida		nergy Ohio	I:	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other	\$	Energy	 Car	nergy olinas	Pr	ogress Energy		I Pr	Duke Energy ogress		E	Duke Energy Florida		nergy Ohio	I:	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss	\$	Energy	 Car	nergy olinas	Pr	ogress Energy		I Pr	Duke Energy ogress		E	Duke Energy Florida		nergy Ohio	I:	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss Deferred	\$	Energy	 Car	nergy olinas	Pr	ogress Energy		I Pr	Duke Energy ogress		E	Duke Energy Florida		nergy Ohio	I:	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss Deferred income tax	⇔	9 76	 \$	nergy olinas	Pri I	ogress Energy (76)		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		\$	Duke Energy Florida	\$	Energy Ohio 22	\$	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss Deferred income tax benefit	↔ •	Energy	 Car	nergy olinas	Pr	ogress Energy (76)		I Pr	Duke Energy ogress		E	Duke Energy Florida		Energy Ohio 22	I:	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss Deferred income tax benefit Reclassification	↔ •	9 76	 \$	nergy olinas	Pri I	ogress Energy (76)		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		\$	Duke Energy Florida	\$	Energy Ohio 22	\$	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss Deferred income tax benefit Reclassificatio of actuarial	↔ •	9 76	 \$	nergy olinas	Pri I	ogress Energy (76)		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		\$	Duke Energy Florida	\$	Energy Ohio 22	\$	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss Deferred income tax benefit Reclassificatio of actuarial losses to an	↔ •	9 76	 \$	nergy olinas	Pri I	ogress Energy (76)		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		\$	Duke Energy Florida	\$	22	\$	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss Deferred income tax benefit Reclassificatio of actuarial losses to an affiliate	↔ •	9 76	 \$	nergy olinas	Pri I	ogress Energy (76)		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		\$	Duke Energy Florida	\$	Energy Ohio 22	\$	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss Deferred income tax benefit Reclassificatio of actuarial losses to an affiliate Actuarial	0 \$\frac{\pi}{n}\$	9 76	 \$	nergy olinas	Pri I	ogress Energy (76)		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		\$	Duke Energy Florida	\$	22	\$	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss Deferred income tax benefit Reclassificatio of actuarial losses to an affiliate Actuarial (gains) losses	0 \$\frac{\pi}{n}\$	9 76	 \$	nergy olinas	Pri I	ogress Energy (76)		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		\$	Duke Energy Florida	\$	22	\$	Energy ndiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease) Accumulated other comprehensiv (income) loss Deferred income tax benefit Reclassificatio of actuarial losses to an affiliate Actuarial	0 \$\frac{\pi}{n}\$	9 76	 \$	nergy olinas	Pri I	ogress Energy (76)		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		\$	Duke Energy Florida	\$	22	\$	Energy ndiana

Prior year																				
service credit																				
arising during																				
the year		(7)																		
Amortization																				
of prior year																				
actuarial																				
losses		(13)						(2)									(3)			
Reclassification	n	(10)						(-/					1				(-)			
of actuarial																				
losses to																				
regulatory																				
assets		(20)															(1)			
		(20)											-		+		(1)			
Amortization																				
of prior year		(4)						(4)									(4)			
service cost		(1)		4				(1)					4		+	4	(1)	\vdash		
Net amount													1							
recognized in																				
accumulated													1							
other																				
comprehensiv																				
income	\$	(29)		\$			\$			\$			\$			\$	(38)		\$	
Reconciliatio	n of	Funde	d Sta	atus	s to Ne	et A	۱m	ount Re	eco	gn	ized									
																T			I	
						<u> </u>	Ye	ear End	led	De	cembe	r 31.		2013						
					Duke	<u> </u>	Υє	ear End	ed	De	cembe		, 2				Duke			Duke
		Duke			Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke
(in millions)		Duke			nergy		Pr	ogress		l	Duke Energy		E	Duke nergy		E	nergy			Energy
(in millions)		Duke Energy					Pr			l	Duke		E	Duke		E				
Change in					nergy		Pr	ogress		l	Duke Energy		E	Duke nergy		E	nergy			Energy
Change in Projected					nergy		Pr	ogress		l	Duke Energy		E	Duke nergy		E	nergy			Energy
Change in Projected Benefit					nergy		Pr	ogress		l	Duke Energy		E	Duke nergy		E	nergy			Energy
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation					nergy		Pr	ogress		l	Duke Energy		E	Duke nergy		E	nergy			Energy
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at					nergy		Pr	ogress		l	Duke Energy		E	Duke nergy		E	nergy			Energy
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior					nergy		Pr	ogress		l	Duke Energy		E	Duke nergy		E	nergy			Energy
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement		Energy		Car	inergy olinas		Pr	ogress Energy		Pr	Duke Energy ogress		F	Duke Energy Florida			Energy Ohio		1	Energy ndiana
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date		8,030		Car	inergy olinas 2,028		Pr	ogress Energy 2,868		Pr	Duke Energy ogress 1,264		F	Duke Energy Florida		\$	Energy Ohio 527			Energy ndiana
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement		Energy		Car	inergy olinas		Pr	ogress Energy 2,868 60		Pr	Duke Energy ogress		F	Duke Energy Florida			Ohio Ohio 527		1	Energy ndiana
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date		8,030		Car	inergy olinas 2,028		Pr	ogress Energy 2,868		Pr	Duke Energy ogress 1,264		F	Duke Energy Florida			Energy Ohio 527		1	Energy ndiana
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost		8,030 167		Car	2,028 49		Pr	ogress Energy 2,868 60		Pr	Duke Energy ogress 1,264 22		F	Duke Energy Florida 1,309			Ohio Ohio 527		1	Energy ndiana 684 11
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost		8,030 167		Car	2,028 49		Pr	ogress Energy 2,868 60		Pr	Duke Energy ogress 1,264 22		F	Duke Energy Florida 1,309			Ohio Ohio 527		1	Energy ndiana 684 11
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost Actuarial gains		8,030 167 320		Car	2,028 49 80 (73)		Pr	2,868 60 116 (118)		Pr	Duke Energy ogress 1,264 22 50 (26)		F	Duke Energy Florida 1,309 30 53			527 6 21 (71)		1	684 11 28 (56)
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost Actuarial gains Transfers		8,030 167 320		Car	2,028 49 80		Pr	2,868 60 116		Pr	Duke Energy ogress 1,264 22 50		F	Duke Energy Florida 1,309 30 53 (75)			527 6 21		1	Energy ndiana 684 11 28
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost Actuarial gains Transfers Plan		8,030 167 320		Car	2,028 49 80 (73)		Pr	2,868 60 116 (118)		Pr	Duke Energy ogress 1,264 22 50 (26)		F	Duke Energy Florida 1,309 30 53 (75)			527 6 21 (71)		1	684 11 28 (56)
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost Actuarial gains Transfers		8,030 167 320		Car	2,028 49 80 (73)		Pr	2,868 60 116 (118)		Pr	Duke Energy ogress 1,264 22 50 (26)		F	Duke Energy Florida 1,309 30 53 (75)			527 6 21 (71)		1	684 11 28 (56)
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost Actuarial gains Transfers Plan amendments		8,030 167 320 (399)		Car	2,028 49 80 (73) (26)		Pr	2,868 60 116 (118) (7)		Pr	1,264 22 50 (26) (45)		F	1,309 30 53 (75) (17)			527 6 21 (71) (2)		1	684 11 28 (56) (2)
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost Actuarial gains Transfers Plan	\$	8,030 167 320 (399) (41) (567)		\$	2,028 49 80 (73) (26)		\$	2,868 60 116 (118) (7) (19) (161)		\$	1,264 22 50 (26) (45) (85)		\$ \$	1,309 30 53 (75) (17) (60)		\$	527 6 21 (71)		\$	684 11 28 (56)
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost Actuarial gains Transfers Plan amendments		8,030 167 320 (399) (41) (567)		\$	2,028 49 80 (73) (26)		\$	2,868 60 116 (118) (7)		\$	1,264 22 50 (26) (45)		F	1,309 30 53 (75) (17) (60)			527 6 21 (71) (2)		1	684 11 28 (56) (2)
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost Actuarial gains Transfers Plan amendments Benefits paid	\$	8,030 167 320 (399) (41) (567)		\$	2,028 49 80 (73) (26) (13)		\$	2,868 60 116 (118) (7) (19) (161)		\$	1,264 22 50 (26) (45) (85)		\$ \$	1,309 30 53 (75) (17) (60)		\$	527 6 21 (71) (2)		\$	684 11 28 (56) (2)

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

					igai i iiii	19.			· 9 y	0	ORP - F	OII		O IX						
date	Ī	I														Ī			I	
Accumulated																				
Benefit																				
Obligation at																				
measurement																				
date	\$	7,361		\$	1,875		\$	2,698		\$	1,172		\$	1,192		\$	429		\$	608
Change in																				
Fair Value of Plan Assets																				
Plan assets																				
at prior																				
measurement	_			_						_						_			_	
date	\$	7,754		\$	2,151		\$	2,647		\$	1,289		\$	1,150		\$	446		\$	627
Actual return																				
on plan		705			007			045			100			00			40			60
assets		705			207			215			108			93			43			62
Benefits paid		(567)			(170)			(161)			(85)			(60)			(39)			(33)
Transfers					(26)			(7)			(45)			(17)			(2)			(2)
Employer																				
contributions		250						250			63			133						
Plan assets																				
at																				
measurement	_	0.440		•	0.460		_	0.044		_	4 000		_	4 000		_	440		_	054
date	\$	8,142		\$	2,162		\$	2,944		\$	1,330		\$	1,299		\$	448		\$	654
Funded																				
status of plan	\$	632		\$	287		\$	205		\$	158		\$	66		\$	6		\$	22
		-					Υe	ar End	ed	De	cembe	r 3	1, 2	2012	•				•	
					Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke
		Duke			Energy		Pr	ogress		E	Energy		E	Energy		E	nergy		E	nergy
(in millions)		Energy		Ca	rolinas		ı	Energy			ogress			Florida			Ohio			ndiana
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation																				
Obligation at												\vdash				\dashv		\vdash	+	
prior																				
measurement																				
date	\$	4,880		\$	1,831		\$	2,729		\$	1,263		\$	1,179		\$	627		\$	613
Obligation	7	, = = •		*	,			,		7	,	H	7	,					7	
assumed																				
from																				
		2,850																		
acquisition								00			25			30			2			0
acquisition Service cost		122			35			63			25	L I	l	30			6			9
		122 307			35 90			127			25 58			56			31			30
Service cost		-																		

Transfers				176							П				(167)	П	
Plan				170	H						$\vdash \vdash$				(107)	\dashv	
amendments																	
		(170)		(52)			(64)			(43)			(10)				(1)
Benefits paid		(448)		(125)			(153)			(73)			(66)		(38)		(43)
Obligation at																	
measurement																	
date	\$	8,030		\$ 2,028		\$	2,868		\$	1,264		\$	1,309	\$	527	\$	684
Accumulated																	
Benefit																	
Obligation at																	
measurement date	\$	7,843		\$ 2,028		Ф	2,820		Φ	1,264		Φ	1,261	\$	501	\$	653
Change in	φ	7,043		φ 2,020		φ	2,020		φ	1,204		φ	1,201	φ	301	φ	033
Fair Value of																	
Plan Assets																	
Plan assets					H												
at prior																	
measurement																	
date	\$	4,741		\$ 1,820		\$	2,191		\$	1,091		\$	969	\$	565	\$	582
Assets																	
received from																	
acquisition		2,285															
Actual return																	
on plan																	
assets		872		280			263			130			119		86		88
Benefits paid		(448)		(125)			(153)			(73)			(66)		(38)		(43)
Transfers				176											(167)		
Employer																	
contributions		304					346			141			128				
Plan assets																	
at																	
measurement						•								_		_	
date	\$	7,754		\$ 2,151		\$	2,647		\$	1,289		\$	1,150	\$	446	\$	627
Funded																	
status of plan	\$	(276)		\$ 123		\$	(221)		\$	25		\$	(159)	\$	(81)	\$	(57)
	Ψ	(270)		Ψ 120	H	Ψ	(221)		Ψ			Ψ	(100)	Ψ	(01)	Ψ	(01)
Amounts Rec	ogn	ized in	the C	onsolid	ate	d E	Balance	Sł	nee	ets							
					, ,		De	cer	nb	er 31, 2		3					
				Duke		_				Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke
		Duke		Energy			ogress			Energy			Energy	E	nergy		ergy
(in millions)		Energy	c	arolinas T			Energy		Pr	ogress	$\vdash \downarrow$		Florida	1	Ohio	<u>lı</u>	ndiana
Prefunded		252							_			,			_		
pension ^(a) Noncurrent	\$ \$	632		\$ <u>287</u> \$	$\vdash \vdash$	\$ \$			\$ \$	158	\sqcup	\$ \$	66	\$ \$	(4)	\$ \$	75 53

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

			E	dgar Fili	ng:	Du	ike Ene	rgy	CC	JRP - F	orr	n 1	0-K				
pension liability																	
Net asset																	
recognized	\$	632	\$	287		\$	205		\$	158		\$	66	\$	6	\$	22
Regulatory																	
assets	\$	1,599	\$	377		\$	826		\$	363		\$	395	\$	48	\$	147
Accumulated																	
other																	
comprehensiv	е																
(income) loss																	
Deferred																	
income tax																	
asset	\$	(41)	\$			\$	(9)		\$			\$		\$		\$	
Prior service																	
credit		(5)															
Net actuarial																	
loss		121					21										
Net amounts																	
recognized in																	
accumulated																	
other	•																
comprehensiv loss ^(b)	₽ \$	75	\$			\$	12		\$			\$		\$		\$	
Amounts to	Ψ	70	-	1		Ψ			Ψ			Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	
be																	
recognized in																	
net periodic																	
pension																	
expense in																	
the next year																	
Unrecognized																	
net actuarial																	
loss	\$	149	\$	35		\$	71		\$	33		\$	32	\$	4	\$	7
Unrecognized																	
prior service																	
credit		(15)		(8)			(4)			(2)			(1)				
							De	cer	nbe	er 31, 2		2	-			1	
				_ Duke		_			_	Duke		_	Duke		Duke	_	Duke
(*		Duke		Energy			ogress -			Energy			Energy	6	Energy		Energy
(in millions)		Energy	Ca	rolinas I			Energy		Pro	ogress			Florida		Ohio	I	ndiana
Prefunded pension ^(a)	\$	163	\$	123		\$			\$	25		\$		\$		\$	
Noncurrent	φ	103	Φ	123	H	φ			φ	20		φ		φ		Ψ	
pension																	
liability	\$	439	\$			\$	221		\$			\$	159	\$	81	\$	57
	\$		\$		П	\$			\$	25		\$		\$		\$	(57)
	_	(=: •)	*			7	(·)		*				()		(,	_	(/

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Net as (liabili recog	ty)																				
Regul assets	•	\$	2,387		\$	582		\$	1,079		\$	472		\$	541		\$	144		\$	246
other compi	nulated rehensiv ne) loss	е																			
Deferrincom asset		\$	(59)		\$			\$	(9)		\$			\$			\$			\$	
	service	_	(00)		Ψ			<u> </u>	(0)		Ψ			Ψ			<u> </u>			Ψ	
credit			(4)																	4	
Net ad loss	ctuarial		166						26												
Net ar recogn accun other compr	mounts nized in nulated rehensiv																				
loss ^(b)		\$	103		\$			\$	17		\$			\$			\$			\$	
(a)	Included	l in (L Other w	l ithin	Inv	vestmer	nts	and	d Other	As	set	s on the	e C	ons	solidated	d B	ala	ınce Sh	eets	S.	
(b)	Exclude 2012, re	s ac	cumula	ted o	oth	er comp	orel	nen	sive inc	con	ne (of \$16 n	nilli	on	and \$9						nd
							Ļ			<u> </u>	L.	<u> </u>			(D)						
Intorn	nation f	or P	lans wi	tn A	CC	umuiat	<u>ea</u>	Re	netit O	DIIQ	gat	ion in E	:XC	es	S OT PIA	n <i>F</i>	\SS	ets			
	Decemb ess of p			, no	qu	alified p	ens	sior	n plans	had	d a	n accun	nula	ate	d benefi	t o	blig	gation			
											<u> </u>	0.1									
							l	<u> </u>	De	ece	mt	oer 31, i Duke		2	Duke			Duke			
(in mi	llions)					Duke Energy			ogress Energy			Energy Florida		I	Energy Ohio			Energy ndiana			
,	ted ben	efit d	obligatio	n	\$				2,868			1,309		\$			\$				
Accun obliga	nulated I tion	oene	efit			5,201			2,820			1,261			501			653			
	alue of p	lan	assets			4,957			2,647			1,150			446			627			

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Assumptions Used for Pension Benefits Accounting

The discount rate used to determine the current year pension obligation and following year's pension expense is based on a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to provide for projected benefit payments of the plan. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates the present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected.

The average remaining service period of active covered employees is nine years for Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana and eight years for Progress Energy, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Florida.

The following tables present the assumptions used for pension benefit accounting. For Progress Energy plans, the assumptions used in 2012 to determine net periodic pension cost reflect remeasurement as of July 1, 2012, due to the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy.

			D	uke Er	nerg	JУ				Р	rog	gress	Ene	erg	у	
			De	ecemb	er 3	31,					De	cemb	er 3	31,		
	20	13		20	12		20	11	20	13		20	12		20	11
Benefit Obligations																
Discount rate	4.70	%		4.10	%		5.10	%	4.70	%		4.10	%		4.75	%
Salary increase	4.40	%		4.30	%		4.40	%	4.00	%		4.00	%		4.00	%
Net Periodic Benefit Cost																
Discount rate	4.10	%	4.6	0-5.10	%		5.00	%	4.10	%4	.60	-4.75	%		5.55	%
Salary increase	4.30	%		4.40	%		4.10	%	4.00	%		4.00	%		4.50	%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	7.75	%		8.00	%		8.25	%	7.75	% 8	.00)-8.25	%		8.50	%
Expected Benefit Payments																
(in millions)																

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

			Duke Energy			Duke nergy olinas			ogress Inergy			Duke nergy ogress			Duke nergy lorida		E	Duke nergy Ohio		Eı	Duke nergy diana
Years endir	_																				
December :	31,	Φ	007		Φ	004		Φ.	100		Φ	00		Φ		-	Φ	00	_	Φ	47
2014		\$			\$	224		\$			\$	98		\$	68 71		\$	36	+	\$	47
2015 2016			643 640			218 212			185 190			92 93			71			35 34	+		45 46
2016 2017			633			205			191			93			77			34		-	44
2017 2018			623			196			194			91	H		80			34	1		46
2010 2019 - 2023	3		2,933			807			969			422	H		430			171	1		227
2019 - 2020	<u>, </u>		2,300			007			303			422			+30			171			221
NON-QUAI	LIFIED	PEN	ISION F	PLAN	IS						<u> </u>				I			<u> </u>			
Componer	nts of I	Net F	Periodic	Pen	sio	n Cost	s											ı			
							Ye	ar	Ended	De	ece	mber 3	31, 2	20	13				•		
•						Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke
			Duke			nergy			ogress			nergy			nergy		E	nergy			nergy
(in millions			Energy	(_	olinas			nergy			gress		_	lorida			Ohio			diana
Service cos		\$	3		\$			\$	1		\$	1		\$			\$			\$	
Interest cos																					
projected b	enefit		10						_												
obligation			13			1			7			1			1				+		
Amortizatio actuarial los			5						3			1			1						
Amortizatio			3						3						- 1				+		
prior service																					
credit	•		(1)						(1)												
Net periodio	C								. ,												
pension cos		\$	20		\$	1		\$	10		\$	3		\$	2		\$			\$	
							Υe	ar	Ended	De	ece	mber 3	31, 2	20	12						
						Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke
			Duke			nergy			gress			nergy			nergy		E	nergy			nergy
(in millions			Energy		_	olinas			nergy			gress		_	lorida		.1	Ohio	_		diana
Service cos		\$	2		\$			\$	2		\$	1		\$			\$		_	\$	
Interest cos																					
projected b obligation	enenii		12			1			8			1			2						
Amortizatio	n of		12			1			0			1								-	
actuarial los			4						5			1									
Amortizatio			•										$ \uparrow $			1			寸	1	
prior service																					
(credit)			1						(1)							[[
Net periodic	C															T					
pension cos	sts	\$	19		\$	1		\$	14		\$	3		\$	2		\$			\$	

	1	1			1	1		1 1				Т	1						
					<u></u>		<u> </u>	Щ											
			ı		$\overline{}$	ear	Ended	l De	ece	mber 3		0							
				Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke
		Duke		Energy			ogress			energy			nergy		Ε	nergy			nergy
(in millions)		Energy		arolinas	<u> </u>		nergy			gress		_	orida			Ohio			diana
Service cost	\$	1		\$		\$	2		\$	1		\$			\$			\$	
Interest cost on																			
projected benefit																			
obligation		8		1			9			2			2						
Amortization of																			
actuarial loss							3						1						
Amortization of																			
prior service cost																			
p.101 001 1100 000t		2																	
Net periodic																			
pension costs	\$	11		\$ 1		\$	14		\$	3		\$	3		\$			\$	
						Ė						Ì			Ċ				
Amounts Recog	nize	d in ∆cc	umula	ted Oth	her	Co	mpreh	ens	sive	e Incon	ne a	n/	d Rea	ulat	Or.	v Aee	ete	an	d
Liabilities	200	AU	Januar	011		- 55		J. 13	J. V (u		cg	a.ut		<i>y</i>	3	. uii	
					T								I						
					V	00"	Endod			mbor 1	1 1	<u> </u>	12						
<u> </u>				Dodoo		ear	Ended	De	ece	mber 3				Т		D. J.			Dudas
		ъ.		Duke					١.	Duke			Duke		_	Duke			Duke
(*		Duke		Energy			ogress -			Energy			nergy		E	nergy			nergy
(in millions)		Energy	U č	arolinas	<u> </u>	L	nergy		Pro	gress			orida			Ohio		ın	diana
Regulatory																			
assets, net																			
(decrease)									_	>					_			_	
increase	\$	(14)		\$ 1		\$	(16)		\$	(4)		\$	(3)		\$			\$	(2)
Regulatory																			
liabilities, net																			
increase	\$	5	,	\$		\$			\$			\$			\$			\$	
Accumulated																			
other																			
comprehensive																			
(income) loss																			
Deferred income																			
tax benefit	\$			\$		\$	1		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Actuarial losses																			
(gains) arising																			
during the year		2					(5)												
Prior year												Ī		\Box					
service credit																			
arising during the																			
year		(1)																	
Net amount	\$			\$		\$	(4)		\$			\$		T	\$			\$	
recognized in]		*			\ '.'								*				
accumulated																			
other																			
comprehensive																			
1	1	I	i I	1	1	1		1		1		- 1		- 1					

loss (income)																		Ī	
						Ye	ear	Ended	De	ece	mber 3	31, 2	20	12					
(in millions)		Duke Energy			Duke nergy olinas		Pro	ogress Energy		E	Duke nergy ogress		Е	Duke nergy lorida	E	Duke nergy Ohio		Er	Duke nergy diana
Regulatory																			
assets, net																			
increase																			
(decrease)	\$	34		\$			\$	(6)		\$	(2)		\$	1	\$			\$	
Regulatory																			
liabilities, net																			
increase	\$	(8)		\$			\$			\$			\$		\$			\$	
Accumulated																			
other																			
comprehensive																			
(income) loss																			
Deferred income				T														T	
tax benefit	\$			\$			\$	(1)		\$			\$		\$			\$	
Actuarial (gains)				Ť			7	(-)		7			7		+			Ť	
losses arising																			
during the year		(2)						3											
Net amount		(2)		1				0				H			+				
recognized in																			
accumulated																			
other																			
comprehensive																			
(income) loss	\$	(2)		\$			\$	2		\$			\$		\$			\$	
	Ψ	(2)		Ψ			Ψ			Ψ			Ψ		Ψ			Ψ	
Decembilistion o	f E	adad Ct		- 1	lot Am		t	Dagge	ni-										
Reconciliation o	i rur	iaea St	atus t	<u>'I O.</u>	vet An	101	ını	Recog	MIZ	zea					1			-1	
												Щ	_						
							ar	Ended	De	ece	mber 3		20				1		
					Duke						Duke			Duke		Duke			Duke
		_ Duke			nergy			gress			nergy			nergy	ΙE	nergy			nergy
(in millions)		Energy	C	arc	olinas		E	nergy		Pro	gress		F	lorida		Ohio		In	<u>diana</u>
Change in																			
Projected																			
Benefit																			
Benefit Obligation																			
Benefit Obligation Obligation at																			
Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior																			
Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement																			
Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date	\$			\$	16		\$	176		\$			\$	45	\$	4		\$	5
Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement	\$	335 3		\$	16		\$	1		\$	38 1		\$	45	\$	4		\$	5
Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date	\$			\$	16		\$			\$			\$	45	\$	4		\$	5
Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost	\$	3		\$			\$	1		\$	1		\$	1	\$	(1)		\$	5
Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost Actuarial losses	\$	3 13 (15)		\$	1		\$	1 7		\$	1		\$		\$			\$	5
Benefit Obligation Obligation at prior measurement date Service cost Interest cost	\$	3 13		\$	1		\$	1 7		\$	1		\$	1	\$			\$	5

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Plan	ĺ			Ī	ı					ĺ		Ī	I I	ĺ	1		
amendments																	
Transfers							(21)										
Benefits paid		(26)		(3)		(12)			(3)		(4)			+		
Obligation at		(20)		 '	7		(/			(0)		\ ./			+		
measurement																	
date	\$	304		\$ 1	5	\$	140		\$	34	\$	39	و ا ا	\$ 3	3	\$	5
Accumulated		331		* 	Ť	Ť					— •					Ť	
Benefit																	
Obligation at																	
measurement																	
date	\$	302	,	\$ 1	5	\$	140		\$	34	\$	39	!	\$ 3	3	\$	5
Change in Fair	r																
Value of Plan																	
Assets																	
Plan assets at																	
prior																	
measurement									•								
date	\$			\$		\$			\$	(0)	\$;	\$	-	\$	
Benefits paid		(26)		(;	3)		(12)			(3)		(4)			+		
Employer		00			۱		40			•		_					
contributions		26			3		12			3		4					
Plan assets at																	
measurement	\$			\$		\$			\$		\$		۱۱,	\$		\$	
date			,	Φ		Φ			Ф		Ą		 '	₽	+	Ψ	
					Ш,	Vaar	Endod			mber 3	1 20	10					
				Du		Tear	Ended		-00	Duke		Duke		Duk	_	I	Duke
		Duke		Energ	_	Dra	gress		-	nergy		nergy		Duk Energ		_	nergy
(in millions)		Energy	C	arolin			nergy			gress		lorida		Ohi	- 1		diana
Change in		_norgy					o.gj		ì	<i>y</i> g.000				T	+		alalla
Projected																	
Benefit																	
Obligation																	
Obligation at																	
prior																	
measurement																	
date	\$	160	,	\$ 1	8	\$	177		\$	39	\$	44	,	\$ 4	!	\$	5
Obligation																	
assumed from																	
acquisition		172			4		_								-		
Service cost		2			\dashv		2	Щ		1				1	\bot	+	
Interest cost		12			1	\perp	8			1		2	$\vdash \vdash$	1	\bot		
Actuarial losses	S	18					11	Ш		3		3		1	\bot	\vdash	
Plan		,_,					, . = .			,		,					
amendments	_	(5)			\perp		(12)	Щ		(4)		(2)		1	+	+	
Transfers					1									1	-		
Benefits paid		(24)		(4	1)		(10)			(2)		(2)					

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Obligation at measurement																	_			
date	\$	335		\$	16		\$	176		\$	38		\$	45		\$	4		\$	5
Accumulated Benefit Obligation at measurement date	\$	332		\$	16		\$	175		\$	36		₽	44		\$	4		\$	5
Change in Fair	φ	332		Φ	10	\dashv	Φ	173		Φ	30	+	P	44		Φ	4		Φ	5
Value of Plan Assets																				
Plan assets at prior																				
measurement																				
date	\$	(0.4)		\$	(4)		\$	(4.0)		\$	(0)	- 1	\$	(0)		\$			\$	
Benefits paid		(24)			(4)			(10)			(2)	+		(3)						
Employer contributions		24			4			10			2			3						
Plan assets at measurement																				
date	\$			\$			\$			\$			\$			\$			\$	
Amounts Recog	nizec	in the	Cons	<u>oli</u>	idated	Bal	an	ce She	ets	S	-		-		1			1		
								Decer	nb	er (31, 201	3	_	. 1						
		Duko		_	Duke))ro		nbe		Duke			uke			Duke		_	Duke
(in millions)		Duke Energy			nergy			gress		E	Duke nergy	ı	Ene	ergy		E	nergy			nergy
(in millions)		Duke Energy								E	Duke	ı	Ene			E				
Current pension	\$				nergy			gress		E	Duke nergy	1	Ene	ergy		E \$	nergy			nergy
		Energy		ar	nergy olinas		E	gress nergy		E Pro	Duke nergy gress	1	Ene Flo	ergy rida			nergy		In	nergy
Current pension liability ^(a)		Energy		ar	nergy olinas		E	gress nergy		E Pro	Duke nergy gress	1	Ene Flo	ergy rida			nergy		In	nergy
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued	\$	30 274		ar \$	inergy olinas 2 13		\$	ogress inergy 11 129		Pro \$	Duke inergy gress 2 32	! !	Flo	ergy rida 3 36		\$	nergy Ohio 3		\$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability		Energy 30		ar	inergy olinas 2 13		E	ogress inergy		E Pro	Duke nergy ogress 2	! !	Ene Flo	ergy rida 3			nergy Ohio 3		In	nergy diana
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory	\$	30 274 304		\$ \$	inergy olinas 2 13		\$ \$	ogress inergy 11 129 140		Pro \$	Duke inergy ogress 2 32	; ;	Ene Flo	ergy rida 3 36 39		\$	nergy Ohio 3		\$ \$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory assets	\$	30 274		ar \$	inergy olinas 2 13		\$	ogress inergy 11 129		Pro \$	Duke inergy gress 2 32	; ;	Flo	ergy rida 3 36		\$	nergy Ohio 3		\$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory assets Regulatory	\$	30 274 304 45		\$ \$	inergy olinas 2 13 15		\$ \$	ogress inergy 11 129 140		\$ \$ \$	Duke inergy ogress 2 32		Flo	ergy rida 3 36 39		\$ \$	nergy Ohio 3		\$ \$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory assets Regulatory	\$	30 274 304 45		\$ \$	inergy olinas 2 13 15		\$ \$	ogress inergy 11 129 140		Pro \$	Duke inergy ogress 2 32		Ene Flo	ergy rida 3 36 39		\$	nergy Ohio 3		\$ \$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory assets Regulatory	\$	30 274 304 45		\$ \$	inergy olinas 2 13 15		\$ \$	ogress inergy 11 129 140		\$ \$ \$	Duke inergy ogress 2 32		Flo	ergy rida 3 36 39		\$ \$	nergy Ohio 3		\$ \$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory assets Regulatory liabilities Accumulated other comprehensive	\$	30 274 304 45		\$ \$	inergy olinas 2 13 15		\$ \$	ogress inergy 11 129 140		\$ \$ \$	Duke inergy ogress 2 32		Flo	ergy rida 3 36 39		\$ \$	nergy Ohio 3		\$ \$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory assets Regulatory liabilities Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	\$ \$	30 274 304 45		\$ \$	inergy olinas 2 13 15		\$ \$	ogress inergy 11 129 140		\$ \$ \$	Duke inergy ogress 2 32		Flo	ergy rida 3 36 39		\$ \$	nergy Ohio 3		\$ \$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory assets Regulatory liabilities Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss Deferred income	\$ \$	30 274 304 45		\$ \$ \$	inergy olinas 2 13 15 4		\$ \$ \$	11 129 140 18		\$ \$ \$	Duke inergy ogress 2 32	; ;	FIO B	ergy rida 3 36 39		\$ \$ \$	nergy Ohio 3		\$ \$ \$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory assets Regulatory liabilities Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss Deferred income tax asset	\$ \$	30 274 304 45		\$ \$	inergy olinas 2 13 15 4		\$ \$	11 129 140 18		\$ \$ \$	Duke inergy ogress 2 32	; ;	Flo	ergy rida 3 36 39		\$ \$	nergy Ohio 3		\$ \$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory assets Regulatory liabilities Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss Deferred income tax asset Prior service	\$ \$	30 274 304 45 7		\$ \$ \$	inergy olinas 2 13 15 4		\$ \$ \$	11 129 140 18		\$ \$ \$	Duke inergy ogress 2 32	; ;	FIO B	ergy rida 3 36 39		\$ \$ \$	nergy Ohio 3		\$ \$ \$	nergy diana 5
Current pension liability ^(a) Noncurrent pension liability Total accrued pension liability Regulatory assets Regulatory liabilities Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss Deferred income tax asset	\$ \$ \$	30 274 304 45		\$ \$ \$	inergy olinas 2 13 15 4		\$ \$ \$	11 129 140 18		\$ \$ \$	Duke inergy ogress 2 32	; ;	FIO B	ergy rida 3 36 39		\$ \$ \$	nergy Ohio 3		\$ \$ \$	nergy diana 5

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

		1									_							
Net amounts																		
recognized in																		
accumulated																		
other																		
comprehensive																		
	Φ.		ΙΙ.	\$	Ш,	\$ 4		\$			ф			\$			\$	
loss	\$,	P	++	P 4		Ф			\$			Þ			Þ	
Amounts to be																		
recognized in net																		
periodic pension																		
expense in the																		
next year																		
Unrecognized																		
net actuarial loss																		
net actuariai ioss	\$	5		\$		\$ 2		\$	1		\$			\$			\$	
Lloroooggiaad	Ψ			<u> </u>		* -		Ψ	•		Ψ			Ψ			¥	
Unrecognized																		
prior service																		
credit		(1)				(1)			(1)									
						Dece	mbe	er (31, 201	12								
				Duke					Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke
		Duke		Energy		rogress		F	nergy		F	nergy		F	nergy		F	nergy
(in millions)		Energy		rolinas		Energy			gress			lorida		_	Ohio			diana
		Lileigy	1		* 	l l	H		gicoo		Ė	ioriaa			Oillo			aiaiia
Current pension	Φ.	00						Φ.	0		_	0		_			Φ.	
liability ^(a)	\$	30		\$ 3		\$ 11		\$	2		\$	3		\$			\$	
Noncurrent																		
pension liability		305		13		165			36			42			4			5
Total accrued																		
pension liability	\$	335		16		176		\$	38		\$	45		\$	4		\$	5
Regulatory				Ť				Ť			Ť			Ŧ	-		_	
assets	\$	59		\$ 3		\$ 34		\$	7		\$	9		\$			\$	2
	φ	39	· ·	p 3	+++	p 34		φ	- /		φ	9		φ			φ	
Regulatory																		
liabilities	\$	2		\$		\$		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Accumulated																		
other																		
comprehensive																		
(income) loss																		
Deferred income																		
tax asset	\$			\$		\$ (4)		\$			\$			\$			\$	
	φ			Ψ	++	ν (4)	++	φ			φ			φ			φ	
Net actuarial																		
(gain) loss		(1)				12	$\vdash \vdash$										Щ	
Net amounts																		
recognized in																		
accumulated																		
other																		
comprehensive																		
(income) loss	\$	(1)		\$		8		\$			\$			\$			\$	
	Ψ	(1)		Ψ	++	_ν	++	Ψ		\vdash	Ψ			Ψ		-	Ψ	
, , , , , , ,				1	<u> </u>	1	\perp			닏						I		
(a) Included ir	n Oth	er withir	1 Curre	<u>nt Liabi</u>	lities	on the C	<u>Cons</u>	oli	dated I	Bala	anc	e She	ets	<u>.</u>				

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Inform	ation for P	Plans	with A	ccun	nul	ated Bo	ene	efit	Obliga	atic	n i	n Exces	s of	Plan /	Ass	et	s		
				U					Dece	mb	er	31, 2013	3						
						Duke						Duke		Duke			Duke		Duke
			Duke			nergy		Pro	gress			nergy		nergy		Ε	nergy		nergy
(in mill	lions)		Energy		Car	olinas		E	nergy		Pro	gress	F	lorida			Ohio	In	diana
Project	ed benefit																		
obligati	on	\$	304		\$	15		\$	140		\$	34	\$	39		\$	3	\$	5
Accum	ulated																		
benefit	obligation		000			45			440			0.4		00					_
	1		302			15			140			34	-	39			3		5
									Dece	mb	er:	<u>31, 2012</u>	<u> </u>					ı	
						Duke						Duke		Duke			Duke		Duke
			Duke			nergy			gress			nergy		nergy		Ε	nergy		nergy
(in mill	ions)		Energy		Car	olinas		E	nergy		Pro	gress	F	lorida			Ohio	In	<u>diana</u>
Project obligati	ed benefit on	\$	335		\$	16		\$	176		\$	38	\$	45		\$	4	\$	5
Accum		· ·			7			7			7		Ť			Ŧ		Τ.	
	obligation																		
			332			16			175			36		44			4		5

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Assumptions Used for Pension Benefits Accounting

The discount rate used to determine the current year pension obligation and following year's pension expense is based on a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to provide for projected benefit payments of the plan. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates the present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected.

The average remaining service period of active covered employees is 13 years for Duke Energy and Progress Energy, nine years for Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, 12 years for Duke Energy Progress and 17 years for Duke Energy Florida.

The following tables present the assumptions used for pension benefit accounting. For Progress Energy plans, the assumptions used in 2012 to determine net periodic pension cost reflect remeasurement as of July 1, 2012, due to the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy.

																								T
								D	uke	En	erg	ıy					Р	ro	gre	SS	Ene	erg	y	
								De	ecen	nbe	er 3	1,						De	cer	nb	er 3	31,		
					2	201	3			20	12		2	011		20	13			20	12		20	011
Benef	it Obligation	ons																						
Discou	ınt rate				4.7	0 %	6		4.1	10	%		5.10	%		4.70	%		4.	10	%		4.80	%
Salary	increase				4.4	0 %	6		4.3	30	%		4.40	%			%				%		5.25	%
Net Pe	eriodic Ber	nefit (Cost																					
Discou	ınt rate				4.1	0 %	64	.6	0-5.	10	%		5.00	%		4.10	% 4	1.60)-4 .	80	%		5.60	%
Salary	increase				4.3	0 %	6		4.4	40	%		4.10	%			%				%		5.25	%
Expec	ted Benefi	it Pay	ments	3																				
						Duk	ке							uke	,	Di	uke			Dι	ıke		D	uke
/:!	Ľ)	_	Duke			erg			Pro					ergy		Ene			E		rgy		Ene	
(in mil	iions)	E	nergy		Caro	ıına	as		E	ner	gy		Prog	ress		Flor	ida			U	hio		Indi	<u>ana</u>

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Years ending December 31,													
2014	\$ 31	\$	3	\$	11	\$	2	\$	3	\$		\$	
2015	28		2		11		2		3				
2016	26		2		11		2		3				
2017	27		2		11		2		3				
2018	24		2		11		2		3				
2019 - 2023	112		6		52		13		15		1		2

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

Duke Energy provides, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, some health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees on a contributory and non-contributory basis. Employees are eligible for these benefits if they have met age and service requirements at retirement, as defined in the plans. The health care benefits include medical, dental, and prescription drug coverage and are subject to certain limitations, such as deductibles and co-payments.

Duke Energy did not make any pre-funding contributions to its other post-retirement benefit plans during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 or 2011.

Components of N	let	Periodi	c O	the	er Post	-Re	etire	ement	Ber	nefi	t Cost	s						
		T					Ye	ar End	ed	Dec	cembe	r 3	1, 2	013				
					Duke						Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke
		Duke			nergy			gress			nergy			nergy	E	nergy		nergy
(in millions)	E	nergy		_	olinas			nergy			gress			orida		Ohio	_	diana
Service cost	\$	24		\$	2		\$	18		\$	9		\$	7	\$	1	\$	1
Interest cost on																		
accumulated																		
post-retirement																		
benefit obligation		68			13			41			22			16		2		5
		00			13			41			22			10				5
Expected return on plan assets		(14)			(11)											(1)		(1)
•		(14)			(11)											(1)		(1)
Amortization of actuarial loss																		
actuariar ioss (gain)		52			3			57			34			16		(1)		1
Amortization of		<u> </u>						- 51			07			- 10		('/		
prior service																		
credit		(41)			(7)			(30)			(20)			(6)		(1)		
Net periodic		` '			, ,						•			• •				
post-retirement																		
benefit costs ^{(a)(b)}	\$	89		\$			\$	86		\$	45		\$	33	\$		\$	6
														•				

				Ye	ar Fnd	ed	Dec	cembe	r 31	2	012						
		Duke		T	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Duke	Ï	_				Duke			Duke
Duke					_								Ε				nergy
		1								_				Ohio		_	<u>diana</u>
\$ 16		\$ 2	-	\$	17		\$	8		\$	7		\$	1		\$	1
56		15			43			23			18			3			6
- 00		10			10						-10						
(17)		(10)			(2)						(2)			(1)			(1)
(11)		(10)			(-/						_/_			(- /			(- /
14		3			35			20			12			(2)			
(8)		(5)												(1)			
` /														. /			
10		7			4						3						
9		1			5			2			1						
\$ 80		\$ 13		\$	102		\$	53		\$	39		\$			\$	6
				Ye	ar End	ed	De	cembe	r 31	, 2	011						
		Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke
Duke													Ε				nergy
	Ca		3										1			_	<u>diana</u>
\$ 7		\$ 2		\$	11		\$	5		\$	5		\$	1		\$	1
35		16			41			20			18			3			7
- 55		10			71			20	1		10						
(15)		(10)			(2)						(2)			(1)			(1)
(10)		(.5)			(-/				+		\-/			\'')			\'/
(3)		2			12			5			7			(2)			2
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			1						\dashv					\-/			
(8)		(5)												(1)			
(5)		(5)												· · /			
10		9			5			1			4						
\$\frac{1}{9}	Energy 16	Simple Case	Duke Energy Carolinas	Sample Carolinas	Duke Energy Carolinas	Duke Energy Progress Energy S 16	Duke Energy Progress Energy \$ 16	Duke Energy Progress Progress Progress Progress Progress Progress Pr	Duke Energy Progress Energy Progress S 16 \$ 2 \$ 17 \$ \$ 8 8	Duke Energy Carolinas	Duke Energy Carolinas Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Fi	Duke Energy Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Energy	Duke Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy Progress Energy	Duke Energy Progress Energy Progress Progress Progress Progress Progress Project Pro	Duke Energy Progress Energy Progress Florida	Duke Energy Carolinas

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

ľ	eriodic etirement t costs ^{(a)(b)}	\$	26		\$	14		\$	67		\$	31		\$	32		\$			\$ 9
(a)	Duke Ener 31, 2013, accounting	201	2 and 2	201	1, r	espect	ivel	y, o	f regul	ator	уа	sset ar	mor	tiza	tion re	sul	ting	from	ourc	
(b)	Duke Ener 2012 and 2 adjustmen	201	1, respe	ectiv	vely	, of reg	gula	itory	y asset	am	orti	zation	res	ultii	ng fro	m p	urc			

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Amounts Reco	gni	zed in Δ	CC	um	ulated	l O	the	r Comn	reh	en	sive Ind	cor	ne	and Re	an	lato	rv Δec	set	s ar	nd
Liabilities	9'''			<u></u>		_						_			-gu		,	_		
							Υ	ear End	ded	De	ecembe	er 3	1, 2	2013						
					Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke
		_ Duke			nergy			ogress			Energy			Energy		E	nergy			nergy
(in millions)		Energy		car	olinas			Energy		Pro	ogress			Florida			Ohio		In	<u>diana</u>
Regulatory																				
assets, net																				
(decrease) increase	\$	(683)		\$	(51)		\$	(634)		\$	(388)		•	(166)		\$			\$	(6)
Regulatory	Ψ	(000)		Ψ	(31)		Ψ	(007)		Ψ	(555)		Ψ	(100)		Ψ			Ψ	(0)
liabilities, net																				
increase																				
(decrease)	\$	30		\$			\$			\$			\$			\$	3		\$	9
Accumulated																				
other																				
comprehensive																				
(income) loss																				
Deferred																				
income tax benefit	\$	2		\$			\$			\$			\$			\$			\$	
Actuarial gains	Φ			P			Ф			Ф			Ф			Ф			Ф	
arising during																				
the year		(4)																		
Prior year		\-\																		
service credit																				
arising during																				
the year		(3)																		
Amortization of																				
prior year																				
actuarial loss		1																		

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Net amount																	
recognized in																	
accumulated																	
other																	
comprehensive	_	(4)	•									•		•		_	
income	\$	(4)	\$			\$			\$			\$		\$		\$	
							Fn	1			1		010				
				Duke		<u> </u>	ear End	jea	De			1, 4	Duke		Duke		Duke
		Duke	_			Dra	ogress			Duke Energy				_	Duke nergy		Duke nergy
(in millions)		Energy		nergy olinas			Energy			ogress			nergy Iorida		Ohio		diana
Regulatory		Lifeigy	Jai	Jiiias		Ī	_iicigy			Jgi C33		Ī	ioriaa		Oillo	¨ï	aiaiia
assets, net																	
increase																	
(decrease)	\$	484	\$	(20)		\$	228		\$	170		\$	28	\$		\$	(6)
Regulatory	-		- T	\ -/		1				-		-		7		т.	\-/
liabilities, net																	
decrease	\$	(6)	\$		L	\$			\$			\$		\$	(1)	\$	(2)
Accumulated																	
other																	
comprehensive																	
(income) loss																	
Deferred																	
income tax																	
expense	\$	(2)	\$			\$			\$			\$		\$	(4)	\$	
Reclassification																	
of actuarial																	
losses to an															0		
affiliate															6		
Actuarial losses arising during																	
the year															2		
Prior year																	
service cost																	
arising during																	
the year															1		
Amortization of																	
prior year																	
actuarial loss															1		
Reclassification																	
of actuarial																	
gains to																	
regulatory																	
liabilities		4															
Net amount	\$	2	\$			\$			\$			\$		\$	6	\$	
recognized in																	
accumulated																	
other																	
comprehensive																	

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

loss		_													I	I			
Reconciliation	of F	unded	Sta	itus	to Ac	crı	ued	Other	Po	st-F	Retirem	nen	t B	enefit C	ost	s S			
							Υ	ear End	ded	De	cembe	er 3	1, 2	2013					
					Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke		Duke
		Duke		Ε	nergy		Pr	ogress		E	Energy		E	nergy		Е	nergy	Ε	nergy
(in millions)		Energy	(olinas			Energy			ogress			lorida			Ohio		diana
Change in																			
Projected																			
Benefit																			
Obligation																			
Accumulated																			
post-retirement																			
benefit																			
obligation at																			
prior																			
measurement	\$	1 704		\$	316		φ.	1 100		\$	612		\$	413		\$	48	\$	136
date Service cost	Ą	1,794 24		Ф	2		Ф	1,128 18		Ф	9		Ф	7		Φ	40 1	Ф	130
Interest cost		68			13			41			22			16			2		5
Plan		00			13			41			22			10					
participants'																			
contributions		47			15			14			6			7			3		3
Actuarial gains		(227)			(32)			(156)			(73)			(70)			(6)		(12)
Transfers		(ZZI)			(32)			(1)			(8)			(70)			(0)		(12)
Benefits paid		(132)			(36)			(60)			(26)			(31)			(6)		(14)
Plan		(102)			(00)			(00)			(20)			(01)			(0)		(1-7)
amendments		(476)			(16)			(455)			(311)			(91)					(3)
Accrued retiree					/						<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			, ,					χ-,
drug subsidy		8			3			4			2			2					2
Accumulated																			
post-retirement																			
benefit																			
obligation at																			
measurement																			
date	\$	1,106		\$	265		\$	533		\$	233		\$	253		\$	42	\$	118
Change in Fair																			
Value of Plan																			
Assets																			
Plan assets at																			
prior																			
measurement	Φ.	100		Φ.	104					Φ.			•			٨	-	•	47
date	\$	198		\$	134		\$			\$			\$	+	-	\$	7	\$	17
Actual return on		10			10												0		2
plan assets		18 (132)			13			(60)			(06)	$\vdash \vdash$		(21)	+	\dashv	(6)		(1.1)
Benefits paid Transfers ^(a)		(132)			(36)			(60)			(26)			(31)	+	\dashv	(6)		(14)
i ransiers ^(a)					(1)	<u> </u>													

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Employ contrib			83			18		46			20			24		2		10
Plan particip			47			15		14			6			7		3		2
contrib	ssets at		47			15		14			0					3		3
	rement																	
date		\$	214		\$	143	\$			\$			\$		\$	8	\$	18
<u> </u>		-				- 1 1 0	-			_ T			-		_ ¥		Ť	
							Υ	ear End	ded	De	ecembe	er 3	1, 2	2012				
						Duke					Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke
			Duke		Е	nergy	Pr	ogress		E	Energy		E	Energy	E	nergy	Ε	nergy
(in mil	lions)		Energy	•	arc	olinas	ļ	Energy		Pro	ogress		I	Florida		Ohio	In	diana
Chang																		
Projec																		
Benefi																		
Obliga																		
Accum																		
benefit	etirement																	
obligat																		
prior	ion at																	
r	rement																	
date		\$	667		\$	312	\$	841		\$	407		\$	368	\$	61	\$	135
Obligat	tion																	
	ed from																	
acquisi			977															
Service			16			2		17			8			7		1		1
Interes	t cost		56			15		43			23			18		3		6
Plan																		
particip			44			10		10			_			7		4		0
contrib			41			18		13			5			7		4		8
	ial gains		198			28 9		291			205			49		3		(2)
Transfe			(10E)					(01)			(0.4)			(22)		(16)		(10)
Benefit			(105)			(38)		(61)			(24)			(33)		(8)		(13)
Specia termina																		
benefit			9			1		5			2			1				
Plan	. 0001		-								_			•				
amend	Iments		(70)			(33)		(25)			(16)			(6)				
Accrue	ed retiree		, ,					, ,			, ,			,				
drug sı	ubsidy		5			2		4			2			2				1
Accum																		
r	etirement																	
benefit																		
obligat																		
	rement	Φ.	1 70 4		Φ	040	Φ.	1 100		ф	040		ф	440	ф	40	φ	100
date		\$	1,794		\$	316	\$	1,128		\$	612		\$	413	\$	48	\$	136

																				Т	
n / I	ge in Fair																				
	of Plan																				
Assets	S																				
Plan a	ssets at																				
prior																					
measu	ırement																				
date		\$	181		\$	120		\$	37		\$			\$	37		\$	9		\$	14
Actual	return on																				
plan as			23			12			2						2			1			2
•	ts paid		(105)			(38)			(61)			(24)			(33)			(8)			(13)
Transf			(103)			· ·						(24)									(13)
						5			(39)						(39)			(3)			
Emplo																					
contrib	outions		58			17			48			19			26			4			6
Plan																					
particip	pants'																				
contrib	outions		41			18			13			5			7			4			8
Plan a	ssets at																				
	ırement																				
date		\$	198		\$	134		\$			\$			\$			\$	7		\$	17
(a)	Progress	Fnd	aray and	1 D	uko	Enerc	11/ [lori	ida amo	unt	e re	aflect as	200	te t	hat did	not	mc	at the	def	niti	on of
(a)	plan asse					_															011 01
	Consolida						iuu	c u		ı vvı	LIIII	ı iiivesi	ше	1115	and Ot	HEI	73	SEIS UI	1 (11)	-	
-	Consolida	ileu	Dalanc	e 3	nee	₹IS.			l											- 1	
_		!			L					<u>_</u>											
Amou	nts Reco	gnız	zed in ti	ne (Cor	1SOLID	ate	d B	alance	Sh	eet	S			1					- 1	
									De	ce	mb	er 31, 2	201	3							
						Duke			De	ce	mb	er 31, 2 Duke		3	Duke			Duke			Duke
			Duke		E			Pr				Duke								<u> </u>	
(in mil	llions)					nergy			ogress		E	Duke Energy		E	Duke Energy Florida		E	Duke nergy Ohio			Duke nergy diana
(in mil	,		Duke Energy								E	Duke		E	Energy		E	nergy			nergy
Curren	nt					nergy			ogress		E	Duke Energy		E	Energy		E	nergy			nergy
Curren post-re	nt etirement		Energy		arc	nergy olinas			ogress Energy		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		E	nergy Iorida			nergy Ohio		In	nergy
Curren post-re liability	nt etirement r ^(a)	\$				nergy olinas			ogress Energy		E	Duke Energy ogress		E	Energy		E	nergy Ohio			nergy
Curren post-re liability Noncu	etirement (a)		Energy		arc	nergy olinas			ogress Energy		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		E	nergy Iorida			nergy Ohio		In	nergy
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re	nt etirement (a) errent etirement		Energy 39		arc	nergy olinas			ogress Energy 36		Pro	Duke Energy ogress 17		E	Energy Florida 16			Energy Ohio 2		In	nergy diana
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re liability	nt etirement y ^(a) irrent etirement		Energy		arc	nergy olinas			ogress Energy		Pro	Duke Energy ogress		E	nergy Iorida			nergy Ohio		In	nergy
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re liability Total a	nt etirement (a) irrent etirement / accrued		Energy 39		arc	nergy olinas			ogress Energy 36		Pro	Duke Energy ogress 17		E	Energy Florida 16			Energy Ohio 2		In	nergy diana
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re liability Total a post-re	nt etirement (a) etirement etirement deccrued etirement	\$	39 853		\$	nergy olinas 122		\$	ogress Energy 36 497		Pro	Duke Energy ogress 17 216		## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	Inergy Florida 16 237		\$	Ohio 2 32		\$	nergy diana 100
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re liability Total a post-re liability	etirement (a) errent etirement detirement detirement		Energy 39		arc	nergy olinas 122			ogress Energy 36 497		Pro	Duke Energy ogress 17 216		E	Energy Florida 16			Ohio 2 32		In	nergy diana
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re liability Total a post-re	etirement (a) errent etirement detirement detirement	\$	39 853 892		\$ \$	nergy olinas 122		S S	ogress Energy 36 497		\$ \$	Duke Energy ogress 17 216		## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	16 237 253		\$	Ohio 2 32		\$ \$	nergy diana 100
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re liability Total a post-re liability	nt etirement ((a) arrent etirement (accrued etirement (atory	\$	39 853		\$	nergy olinas 122		\$	ogress Energy 36 497		Pro	Duke Energy ogress 17 216		## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	Inergy Florida 16 237		\$	Ohio 2 32		\$	nergy diana 100
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re liability Total a post-re liability Regula	nt etirement (a) etirement (b) etirement (c)	\$	39 853 892		\$ \$	nergy olinas 122		S S	ogress Energy 36 497		\$ \$	Duke Energy ogress 17 216		## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	16 237 253		\$	Ohio 2 32		\$ \$	nergy diana 100
Current post-reliability Noncu post-reliability Total apost-reliability Regulassets	etirement (a) errent etirement / excrued etirement / eaccrued etirement / eatory eatory	\$	39 853 892		\$ \$	122 (34)		\$ \$	36 497 533 (129)		\$ \$ \$	Duke Energy ogress 17 216 233 (97)		\$ \$	16 237 253		\$ \$	Ohio 2 32		\$ \$	nergy diana 100
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re liability Total a post-re liability Regula assets Regula liabilitie	nt etirement (a) errent etirement / accrued etirement / atory satory es	\$ \$	39 853 892 (162)		\$ \$	122 (34)		S S	36 497 533 (129)		\$ \$	Duke Energy ogress 17 216 233 (97)		## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	16 237 253		\$	Ohio 2 32		\$ \$	100 100
Current post-reliability Noncu post-reliability Regula assets Regula Accum	etirement (a) errent etirement / excrued etirement / eaccrued etirement / eatory eatory	\$ \$	39 853 892 (162)		\$ \$	122 (34)		\$ \$	36 497 533 (129)		\$ \$ \$	Duke Energy ogress 17 216 233 (97)		\$ \$	16 237 253		\$ \$	Ohio 2 32		\$ \$	100 100
Current post-reliability Noncu post-reliability Regulates Regulates Accumother	nt etirement (a) etirement (b) etirement (c)	\$ \$	39 853 892 (162)		\$ \$	122 (34)		\$ \$	36 497 533 (129)		\$ \$ \$	Duke Energy ogress 17 216 233 (97)		\$ \$	16 237 253		\$ \$	Ohio 2 32		\$ \$	100 100
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re liability Total a post-re liability Regula assets Regula liabilitie Accum other compre	etirement (a) Irrent etirement (c) execrued etirement (c) execrued etirement (c) execrued etirement (d) execrued execrue	\$ \$	39 853 892 (162)		\$ \$	122 (34)		\$ \$	36 497 533 (129)		\$ \$ \$	Duke Energy ogress 17 216 233 (97)		\$ \$	16 237 253		\$ \$	Ohio 2 32		\$ \$	100 100
Curren post-re liability Noncu post-re liability Total a post-re liability Regula assets Regula liabilitie Accum other compre	nt etirement (a) etirement (b) etirement (c)	\$ \$	39 853 892 (162)		\$ \$	122 (34)		\$ \$	ogress Energy 36 497 533 (129)		\$ \$ \$	Duke Energy ogress 17 216 233 (97)		\$ \$	16 237 253		\$ \$	32 34		\$ \$	100 100

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

			_	-ug	jai i iiii	ıy.	Du	KE LITEI	у	00	/I II - I V	OIII	1 10	/-IX					
Deferred income tax liability																			
Prior service																			
credit		(5)																	
Net actuarial		(0)																	
gain		(6)																	
Net amounts		(3)																	
recognized in																			
accumulated																			
other																			
comprehensive																			
income	\$	(7)		\$			\$			\$			\$		\$			\$	
Amounts to be																			
recognized in																			
net periodic																			
pension																			
expense in the next year																			
Unrecognized																			
net actuarial																			
loss (gain)	\$	38		\$	3		\$	46			30		\$	10	\$	(2)		\$	(6)
Unrecognized				Ī									·		-				Λ-7
prior service																			
credit		(125)			(10)			(112)			(73)			(21)					
								De	се	mb	er 31, 2	201	2						
					Duke						Duke			Duke		Duke			Duke
		_ Duke			nergy			ogress			Energy			nergy	E	nergy			nergy
(in millions)		Energy	Ç	arc	olinas			Energy		Pro	ogress		F	Florida	1	Ohio		In	diana
Current																			
post-retirement	\$	50		\$			\$	47		\$	23		\$	20	\$	2		\$	
liability ^(a)	Φ	50		Φ			Φ	47		Φ	23		Ф	20	Ф			Φ	
Noncurrent post-retirement																			
liability		1,546			182			1,081			589			393		39			119
Total accrued		1,010			102			1,001			000			000					-110
post-retirement																			
liability	\$	1,596		\$	182		\$	1,128		\$	612		\$	413	\$	41		\$	119
Regulatory		,						,											
assets	\$	521		\$	17		\$	505		\$	291		\$	170	\$			\$	77
Regulatory																			
liabilities	\$	101		\$			\$			\$			\$		\$	18		\$	68
Accumulated																			
other																			
comprehensive																			
Kincomo) locc			i 1			Ī				1 1							I I	1	
(income) loss	-		┝	⊣			-								-		H		
Deferred income tax	\$	2		\$			\$			\$			\$		\$			\$	

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

liability																			
Prior se credit	ervice		(3)																
Net act gain	tuarial		(2)																
Net am recogn accuming other compressing income	ized in ulated ehensive	\$	(3)		\$			\$			\$			\$			\$		\$
(a)	Included	in C	Other wit	hin	Cu	rrent L	iab	ilitie	es on th	e C	on	solidate	d E	Bala	nce Sh	ee	ts.		

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Assumptions Used for Other Post-Retirement Benefits Accounting

The discount rate used to determine the current year other post-retirement benefits obligation and following year's other post-retirement benefits expense is based on a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to provide for projected benefit payments of the plan. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected.

The following tables present the assumptions used for other post-retirement benefits accounting. For Progress Energy plans, the assumptions used in 2012 to determine net periodic other post-retirement benefit cost reflect remeasurement as of July 1, 2012, due to the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy.

			D	uke Er	ner	gy				Р	roc	ress	Ene	erg	У	
			De	ecemb	er (31,					De	cemb	er 3	31,		
	20	13		20	12		20	11	2	013		20	12		20	11
Benefit Obligations																
Discount rate	4.70	%		4.10	%		5.10	%	4.70	%		4.10	%		4.85	%
Net Periodic Benefit Cost																
Discount rate	4.10	%	4.6	0-5.10	%		5.00	%	4.10	%4	1.60	-4.85	%		5.70	%
Expected long-term rate of return																
on plan assets	7.75	%	5.2	0-8.00	%	5.3	6-8.25	%		%	N/A	-5.00	%		5.00	%
Assumed tax rate	35	%		35	%		35	%								
Assumed Health Care Cost Trend	l Rate															
												De	ecei	mb	er 31,	,
												20	13		20	12
Health care cost trend rate assume	d for n	ext	yea	ar								8.50	%		8.50	%
Rate to which the cost trend is assu	ımed t	o d	ecli	ne (the	ult	tima	ate trer	nd ra	ate)			5.00	%		5.00	%
Year that rate reaches ultimate tren	d											2021			2020	

																	1	_	
0		. ! A .						017	•		D-4							<u> </u>	
Sensitivity to Cha	inges	s in Ass	sum	ea	Health	Ca	are	Cost I	re	na	Rates	ı						\Box	
						.,								10					
			1				<u>ear</u>	Ended	ט נ	ece	ember	<u> </u>	20					_	
				_	Duke					_	Duke		_	Duke		_	Duke	l _	Duke
/!!!!!\	_	Duke			nergy			gress			nergy			nergy		E	nergy		nergy
(in millions)		nergy		ar	olinas		片	nergy		Pro	gress		<u> </u>	lorida		1	Ohio	ın	diana
1-Percentage																			i
Point Increase																		Н	1
Effect on total																			i
service and		4.4						_			_						_		
interest costs	\$	11		\$	2		\$	7		\$	4		\$	3		\$	1	\$	1
Effect on																			1
post-retirement		40			40			-						40					
benefit obligation		42			10			20			9			10			2	Н	4
1-Percentage																			i
Point Decrease																		Ш	
Effect on total																			ì
service and																			
interest costs		(9)			(1)			(6)			(3)			(2)			-	Ш	(1)
Effect on																			i
post-retirement																			
benefit obligation		(36)			(9)			(16)			(7)			(8)			(1)	Ш	(4)
Expected Benefit	Payr	ments			· ·		1 1	· ·		1					1				
																		Ш	
					Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke		Duke
		Duke			nergy			gress			nergy			nergy		E	nergy		nergy
(in millions)	E	nergy		Car	olinas		E	nergy		Pro	gress		F	lorida			Ohio	In	diana
Years ending																			i
December 31,																		Щ	
2014	\$	85		\$	21		\$	36		\$	17		\$	17		\$	4	\$	11
2015		88			22			38			17			17			4		12
2016		89			23			38			18			17			4	ot	12
2017		89			23			38			18			17			3		11
2018		89			24			38			18			17			3		11
2019 - 2023		413			109		П	180			81			84			17	П	47
											_			-				\Box	
																		Ħ	
l .								004										ш	

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Plan Assets

Description and Allocations

Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust

Assets for both the qualified pension and other post-retirement benefits are maintained in the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust. Approximately 98 percent of the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust assets were allocated to qualified pension plans and approximately 2 percent were allocated to other post-retirement plans, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. The investment objective of the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust is to achieve reasonable returns, subject to a prudent level of portfolio risk, for the purpose of enhancing the security of benefits for plan participants.

The asset allocation targets were set after considering the investment objective and the risk profile. Equity securities are held for their high expected return. Debt securities, hedge funds, real estate and other global securities are held for diversification. Investments within asset classes are to be diversified to achieve broad market participation and reduce the impact of individual managers or investments. Duke Energy regularly reviews its actual asset allocation and periodically rebalances its investments to the targeted allocation when considered appropriate.

Qualified pension and other post-retirement benefits for the Subsidiary Registrants are derived from the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust, as such, each are allocated their proportionate share of the assets discussed below.

The following table includes the target asset allocations by asset class at December 31, 2013 and the actual asset allocations for the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust.

			Ac		Alloca ember	tion at	ì
	Ta Alloca	arget ation	2	2013		2	2012
U.S. equity securities	10	%	10	%		28	%
Non-U.S. equity securities	8	%	8	%		15	%
Global equity securities	10	%	10	%		10	%

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Global private equity securities	3	%	3	%	3	%
Debt securities	63	%	63	%	32	%
Hedge funds	2	%	3	%	4	%
Real estate and cash	2	%	1	%	4	%
Other global securities	2	%	2	%	4	%
Total	100	%	100	%	100	%

Progress Energy Master Retirement Trust

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012, assets for Progress Energy qualified pension benefits were maintained in the Progress Energy Master Retirement Trust. As of January 1, 2013, assets previously held in the Progress Energy Master Retirement Trust were transferred into the Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust. The following table includes the actual asset allocations for the Progress Energy Master Retirement Trust at December 31, 2012.

	Actual Allocation at December 31,
	2012
U.S. equity securities	20 %
Non-U.S. equity securities	14 %
Global equity securities	8 %
Global private equity securities	10 %
Debt securities	35 %
Hedge funds	9 %
Real estate and cash	1 %
Other global securities	3 %
Total	100 %

VEBAI

Duke Energy also invests other post-retirement assets in the Duke Energy Corporation Employee Benefits Trust (VEBA I). The investment objective of VEBA I is to achieve sufficient returns, subject to a prudent level of portfolio risk, for the purpose of promoting the security of plan benefits for participants. VEBA I is passively managed.

The following table includes the weighted-average returns expected by asset classes and the target asset allocations at December 31, 2013 and the actual asset allocations for VEBA I.

					lloca mber	tion at 31,	
			20	13		2	012

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	Tai Alloca	rget tion				
U.S. equity securities	30	%	29	%	23	%
Debt securities	45 4	%	29	%	32	%
Cash	25	%	42	%	45	%
Total	100	%	100	%	100	%

Fair Value Measurements

Duke Energy classifies recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements based on the fair value hierarchy as discussed in Note 16.

Valuation methods of the primary fair value measurements disclosed above are as follows:

Investments in equity securities

Investments in equity securities, other than those accounted for as equity and cost method investments, are typically valued at the closing price in the principal active market as of the last business day of the reporting period. Principal active markets for equity prices include published exchanges such as NASDAQ and NYSE. Foreign equity prices are translated from their trading currency using the currency exchange rate in effect at the close of the principal active market. Prices have not been adjusted to reflect after-hours market activity. The majority of investments in equity securities are valued using Level 1 measurements. When (i) the Duke Energy Registrants lack the ability to redeem investments valued on a net asset value per share basis at net asset value per share in the near future or (ii) net asset value per share is not available at the measurement date, the fair value measurement of the investment is categorized as Level 3.

Investments in debt securities

Most debt investments are valued based on a calculation using interest rate curves and credit spreads applied to the terms of the debt instrument (maturity and coupon interest rate) and consider the counterparty credit rating. Most debt valuations are Level 2 measurements. If the market for a particular fixed income security is relatively inactive or illiquid, the measurement is Level 3. U.S. Treasury debt is typically Level 1.

Investments in short-term investment funds

Investments in short-term investment funds are valued at the net asset value of units held at year end. Investments in short-term investment funds with published prices are valued as Level 1. Investments in short-term investment funds with unpublished prices are valued as Level 2.

Investments in real estate investment trusts

Investments in real estate investment trusts are valued based upon property appraisal reports prepared by independent real estate appraisers. The Chief Real Estate Appraiser of the asset manager is responsible for assuring that the valuation process provides independent and reasonable property market value estimates. An external appraisal management firm not affiliated with the asset manager has been appointed to assist the Chief Real Estate Appraiser in maintaining and monitoring the independence and the accuracy of the appraisal process.

 						

Duke Ene Trust	ergy Master Retirement									
110.00										
The follov	ving tables provide the fair	r value	measuren	nent amour	nts for the D	uke Ene	rgy Mast	er Retir	ement	
Trust qua	lified pension and other po	ost-ret	irement as:	sets.						
				D	ecember 31	, 2013				
(in millions) Equity securities		Total Fair Value			Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	
		\$ 2,877		\$			\$ 1,022		\$ 54	
	e debt securities		2,604		,		2,601		3	
	m investment funds		1,158		254		904			
	nip interests		307						307	
Hedge fur	•		164				111		53	
Real esta			95						95	
	ernment securities		927				927		00	
	ed investment contracts		33				321		33	
	ents bonds - foreign		19				18		1	
Cash	chis bonds foreign		58		58		- 10		•	
	ent and commercial		30		30					
	backed securities		7				7			
			,				,			
INDI DANCI	Net pending transactions and other investments									
	estments		12		7		5			
other inve		\$	12 8.261	\$	7 2.120	\$	5 5.595		546	
other inve	ets ^(a) Duke Energy Carolina		8,261 gress Ener	•••	2,120 Energy Progr	ress, Du	5,595 ke Energ	y Florid		
other inve	ets ^(a)	s, Pro e Ener t, 5 pe	gress Ener gy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3	gy, Duke E were alloc B percent, r 31, 2013. A	2,120 Energy Prograted approxrespectively, accordingly, a	ress, Du imately i of the [all Level	5,595 ke Energ 28 percer Duke Ene 1, 2 and	y Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou	a, Duke ercent, ster	
other inve	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse	s, Pro e Ener t, 5 pe	gress Ener gy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxrespectively, accordingly, absidiary Re	ress, Du imately of the D all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energ 28 percer Duke Ene 1, 2 and	y Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou	a, Duke ercent, ster	
other inve	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse	s, Proe Ener t, 5 pe ts at D bove a	gress Ener gy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxrespectively, accordingly, a	ress, Du imately of the D all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energ 28 percer Duke Ene 1, 2 and	y Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou	a, Duke ercent, ster	
other inve	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse included in the table a percentages.	s, Proe Ener t, 5 pe ts at D bove a	gress Ener gy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, absidiary Recember 31	ress, Du imately of the D all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energ 28 percer Duke Ene 1, 2 and s using th	y Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou	a, Duke ercent, ster ints	
other inventorial control of the con	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse included in the table a percentages.	s, Proe Ener t, 5 peets at D bove a	gress Ener gy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable otal Fair Value	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, absidiary Researcher 31 Level 1	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energ 28 percei 0uke Ene 1, 2 and s using th	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	a, Duke ercent, ster ints	
other inventorial control of the con	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse included in the table a percentages. ns) curities	s, Proe Ener t, 5 pe ts at D bove a	gress Ener gy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable Total Fair Value 2,993	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approx espectively, accordingly, absidiary Research 1	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energe 28 percel 20 uke Energe 1, 2 and 3 using the Level 2 1,575	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	a, Duke ercent, ster ints	
other inventorial control of the con	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse included in the table a percentages. ns) curities e debt securities	s, Proe Ener t, 5 peets at D bove a	gress Ener gy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable Total Fair Value 2,993 1,391	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, absidiary Researcher 31 Level 1	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energ 28 percei 0uke Ene 1, 2 and s using th	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	a, Duke ercent, ster ints	
other inventories Total asset (a) (in million Equity see Corporate Short-tern	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse included in the table a percentages. ns) curities e debt securities m investment funds	s, Proe Ener t, 5 peets at D bove a	gress Energy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable value 2,993 1,391 100	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, absidiary Resember 31 Level 1 1,415	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energ 28 percei 0uke Ene 1, 2 and 3 using th Level 2 1,575 1,388	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	Level 3	
(in million Equity see Short-tern Partnersh	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse included in the table a percentages. ms) curities debt securities m investment funds nip interests	s, Proe Ener t, 5 peets at D bove a	gress Energy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable 2,993 1,391 100 141	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, absidiary Resember 31 Level 1 1,415	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energe 28 percel 20 uke Energe 1, 2 and 3 using the Level 2 1,575 1,388 77	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	a, Duke ercent, ster ints	
(in million Equity see Corporate Short-tern Partnersh	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse included in the table a percentages. ms) curities e debt securities m investment funds hip interests nds	s, Proe Ener t, 5 peets at D bove a	gress Energy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable 2,993 1,391 100 141 97	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, absidiary Resember 31 Level 1 1,415	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energ 28 percei 0uke Ene 1, 2 and 3 using th Level 2 1,575 1,388	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	Level 3	
(a) (in million Equity see Corporate Short-term Partnersh Hedge fur Real esta	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse included in the table a percentages. ns) curities debt securities n investment funds nip interests nds tte trusts	s, Proe Ener t, 5 peets at D bove a	gress Energy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable 2,993 1,391 100 141 97 167	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, absidiary Resember 31 Level 1 1,415	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energe 28 percel 20 uke Energe 1, 2 and 3 using the Level 2 1,575 1,388 77 97	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	Level 3	
(in million Equity see Corporate Short-term Partnersh Hedge fur Real esta U.S. gove	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse included in the table a percentages.	s, Proe Ener t, 5 peets at D bove a	gress Energy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable 2,993 1,391 100 141 97 167 237	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, absidiary Resember 31 Level 1 1,415	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energe 28 percel 20 uke Energe 1, 2 and 3 using the Level 2 1,575 1,388 77	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	Level 3 3 3 141	
(a) (in million Equity see Corporate Short-tern Partnersh Hedge fun Real esta U.S. gove Guarante	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percent Retirement Trust asses included in the table a percentages. ns) curities debt securities in investment funds hip interests nds te trusts ernment securities es investment contracts	s, Proe Ener t, 5 peets at D bove a	gress Energy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable 2,993 1,391 100 141 97 167 237 37	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, absidiary Resember 31 Level 1 1,415	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energe 28 percel 28 percel 29 percel	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	Level 3 3 3 141 167	
(in million Equity see Corporate Short-tern Partnersh Hedge fur Real esta U.S. gove Guarante Governments)	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percen Retirement Trust asse included in the table a percentages.	s, Proe Ener t, 5 peets at D bove a	gress Energy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable 2,993 1,391 100 141 97 167 237 37 65	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, aubsidiary Resember 31 Level 1 1,415	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energe 28 percel 20 uke Energe 1, 2 and 3 using the Level 2 1,575 1,388 77 97	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	Level 3 3 3 141	
(in million Equity see Corporate Short-tern Partnersh Hedge fur Real estar U.S. government Government Cash	Duke Energy Carolina Energy Ohio and Duke 16 percent, 16 percent Retirement Trust asses included in the table a percentages. ns) curities debt securities in investment funds hip interests nds te trusts ernment securities es investment contracts	s, Proe Ener t, 5 peets at D bove a	gress Energy Indiana rcent and 8 December 3 are allocable 2,993 1,391 100 141 97 167 237 37	gy, Duke E were alloc 3 percent, r 31, 2013. A le to the Su	2,120 Energy Prograted approxespectively, accordingly, absidiary Resember 31 Level 1 1,415	ress, Du imately of the E all Level gistrants	5,595 ke Energe 28 percel 28 percel 29 percel	ly Florid nt, 35 pe rgy Mas 3 amou ese	Level 3 3 3 141 167	

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Net pending other invest	transactions and									
Total assets		\$	5,230		1,421	\$	3,457	\$	352	
(a)	Duke Energy Carolina approximately 43 per Retirement Trust ass included in the table and Duke Energy Indiana	cent, 9 ets at C above a	percent a December are allocat	nd 12 perc 31, 2012. <i>i</i> ble to Duke	ent, respe Accordingl	ctively, of t y, all Level	he Duke E 1, 2 and 3	inergy N 3 amour	ıts	
	g,									
The followin	ng table provides a reco	nciliati	on of begi	nning and	ending bal	ances of a	ssets of m	aster tri	ısts	
measured a	it fair value on a recurri le inputs (Level 3).		-	•	•					
(in millions	5)						2013		2012	
Balance at .						\$	352	\$	322	
Combination	n of trust assets						288			
	sales, issuances and s	ettleme	ents							
Purchases							25		21	
Sales							(152)		(4)	
Total gains (losses) and other							33		13	
Balance at I	December 31					\$	546	\$	352	
Progress E	nergy Master Retiren	nent Tr	ust		T			<u> </u>		
T. (1)		. ,								
	ng table provides the fa Trust qualified pension			ment amou	ints for the	Progress	Energy Ma	aster		
netirement	Trust qualified perision	asseis								
				<u> </u>	l December	21 2012				
		Т	otal Fair		<u>Jeceniber</u>	31, 2012	<u>2012</u> 			
(in millions)		Value			Level 1		Level 2		evel :	
Equity secu		\$	1,094	9		\$	733	\$		
	lebt securities	1	432	,		1	432	7		
Partnership interests			154						154	
Hedge funds			313				189		124	
U.S. government securities			515		405		110			
Governments bonds - foreign			6				6			
Cash			160		113		47			
Net pending	transactions and									
other investments			16				6		10	
Total assets ^(a)		\$	2,690		879	\$	1,523	\$	288	
(a)	Duke Energy Progres and 44 percent, respo 2012. Accordingly, al Duke Energy Progres	ectively I Level	, of the Pr 1, 2 and 3	ogress End amounts i	ergy Maste ncluded in	er Trust ass the table a	sets at Ded above are	cember	31,	

The following table provides a rec									
measured at fair value on a recurr	ing bas	is where	the dete	rmin	ation of fai	r value in	cludes s	ignificant	
unobservable inputs (Level 3).						1	1	1	
(in millions)							2013		2012
Balance at January 1						\$	288		311
Combination of trust assets							(288)		
Purchases, sales, issuances and	settleme	ents							
Purchases									13
Sales									(14)
Transfers in and/or out of level 3									(41)
Total gains (losses) and other									19
Balance at December 31						\$		9	288
VEBA I									
									1
The following tables provide the fa	<u>air value</u>	measure	ement ar	mour	nts for VEE	<u>BA I other</u>	post-ret	irement a	ıssets.
	_		 	D	ecember 3	31, 2013 		1	
	1	otal Fair							
(in millions)	_	Value		اند	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$			\$		\$,	5
Equity securities		15					15		_
Debt securities		15					15		
Total assets	\$	51		\$		\$	51		>
	_		 	D	ecember (31, 2012			
<i>a</i>	I	otal Fair							
(in millions)		Value		φ.	Level 1		Level 2	1	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$		+	\$		\$			6
Equity securities		12	+				12		+
Debt securities		16	-				16		
Total assets	\$	50	-	\$		\$	50	\$	<u> </u>

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Employee Savings Plans

Duke Energy sponsors, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, employee savings plans that cover substantially all U.S. employees. Most employees participate in a matching contribution formula where Duke Energy provides a matching contribution generally equal to 100 percent of employee before-tax and Roth 401(k) contributions, and, as applicable, after-tax contributions, of up to 6 percent of eligible pay per pay period. Dividends on Duke Energy shares held by the savings plans are charged to retained earnings when declared and shares held in the plans are considered outstanding in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share.

The following table includes pretax employer matching contributions made by Duke Energy and expensed by the Subsidiary Registrants.

(in millions)		Duke Energy		E	Duke nergy olinas		gress nergy		Duke nergy gress	Duke Energy Florida	E	Duke nergy Ohio	Er	Duke nergy diana
Years ended December 31,														
2013		\$ 134	97	\$	45	\$	45	\$	25	\$ 14	\$	3	\$	7
2012		107			37		45		24	15		4		6
2011	·	86			37		44		23	14		4		8
	·													

22. INCOME TAXES

Income Tax Expense

Components of Income Tax Expense

				Yea	ar End	ed I	Dec	embe	r 31	1, 20	013				
(in millions)			Duke					Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

			Duke nergy			nergy			gress nergy			nergy			nergy			nergy			ergy
			ı	(Card	olinas					Pro	gress		FI	orida		1	Ohio		Inc	diana
	ent income																				
taxes																					
Fede		\$	(123)		\$			\$	(221)		\$			\$	143)		\$	(21)		\$	(88)
State			(37)			11			(37)			(10)			(13)			(2)			7
Forei	gn		151																		
	current																				
	ne taxes		(9)			60			(258)			(80)		(156)			(23)			(81)
Defer	red income																				
taxes																					
Fede	ral	•	1,129			464			555			316			326			93			276
State			142			75			84			59			44			5			29
Forei	gn		14																		
	deferred																				
incon	ne taxes ^(a)	•	,285			539			639			375			370			98			305
	tment tax																				
credit	amortization		(15)			(5)			(8)			(7)			(1)						(1)
	ne tax																				
	nse from																				
contir	•																				
opera			1,261			594			373			288			213			75			223
	enefit from																				
	ntinued 		(07)						(00)												
opera			(27)						(26)												
	income tax																				
	nse included in olidated																				
	ments of	œ.	1 224		6	594		Ф	247		\$	288		ф	213		\$	75		¢	222
Opera	ations I	Ф	1,234		\$	394	\vdash	\$	347		Þ	200		\$	213		φ	75		\$	223
(a)	Includes benef million at Progr Florida, \$29 mi	ress	Ener	gy,	\$64	millio	n at E	Эúl	ke Ene	rgy	Pro	gress	, \$3	01	millio	n at	Du	_	•		3
<u> </u>														_							
				1		<u> </u>		ea	ar End	ed				_		1		<u> </u>	I		<u> </u>
						Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke
			Duke		E	nergy	В)ro	gress		Eı	nergy		Eı	nergy		Er	nergy	,	Er	ergy
(in m	illions)	F	nergy		Card	olinas			nergy		Pro	gress		FI	orida			Ohio		Ind	diana
	ent income		<u></u>	Ť			\vdash	i	99			g. 000		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			21110			u.iu
taxes																					
Fede		\$	(46)		\$	(1)	\vdash	\$	(88)		\$	(48)	\Box	\$	6		\$	26	П	\$	(27)
State		Ψ	35		Ψ	(25)	\vdash	Ψ	2		Ψ	(6)		Ψ			Ψ	11		Ψ	27
Forei			133			\20)	\vdash					(0)						11	H		
י טופוי	911		100												<u> </u>						

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Total current																						
income taxes		12	2		(26	5)		(86)			(54)	-	6			_	37	ļ		
Deferred income																						
taxes	_	+	_		40	_	-					1.04	+	-	101	-	-	-				(4-)
<u>Federal</u>		51	_		408	- 1	-		226			162		-	121		-	-	72	ļ		(47)
State		6	-		7	7	_	-	40				9	-	21		-	_	(9)			(25)
Foreign		2	0				_	-					-	-			-	_				
Total deferred			_		4.0	_						1										(- 0)
income taxes ^(a)		59	/		48	5	-	+	266			17	1	-	142		-	-	63	-		(72)
Investment tax		1,			/				(0)			/-			(4)				(0)			(4)
credit amortizatio	n	(14	F)		(6)	-	-	(8)			(7)	-	(1)		-	-	(2)			(1)
Income tax																						
expense (benefit)	'																					
from continuing operations		70	_		45	2			172			110	٦		147				98			(73)
Tax expense fron	,	1,0	-	\dashv	+3,	+	\dashv	+	114			1 ''	_	+	14/	+	+	\dashv	50	\vdash		(73)
discontinued	''																					
operations		2	4						29													
Total income tax			Ť																			
expense (benefit))																					
included in																						
Consolidated																						
Statements of																						
Operations		\$ 72	9		\$ 45	3		\$	201		9	110)		\$ 147			\$	98		\$	(73)
(a) Includes be Energy Car million at D Indiana.	olina	s, \$35	7 n	nillio	n at P	rogr	ess	Ene	ergy,	\$25	57	millio	n at	Du	ke En	erg	y F	rog	res	s, \$	25	У
				+				\perp	\dashv		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				1				<u> </u>	Щ	
								_	Н.						4.4							
			1		<u> </u>		Yea	r En	<u>ded</u>	De		mber						_			_	
					Duke						L	Duke			Duke			Dul	ке		ט	uke
				F	nergy						Fn	ergy		Fr	nergy		Fı	ner	nv.	ı	En <i>e</i>	ergy
		Duke			icigy		Pro	gre	ss			cigy			icigy			iici	9 9			,,9,
(in millions)	E	nergy		Card	linas			ner		Pr	oa	ress		FI	orida			Oh	io	ı	ndi	ana
Current income		- 31							1	T	- 3			Ť						T	Ť	
taxes																						
Federal	\$	(37)		\$	(122)		\$	(9	1)		\$	(27)		\$	(60)		\$	(95	5)		\$	95
State	ĺ	21			30			•	9		T	21			5		-		1			42
Foreign		164							十	\top	T							1	\top	\top	T	İ
Total current				1					十	\top	丁								\dashv	1	T	
income taxes		148		L	(92)			(62	2)	_[_[(6)		_	(55)			(94	4)		_ -	137
Deferred income					•						T											
taxes									\perp													
Federal		526			531			36	5			262]	214			19	4		(38)
State		56			40			2	7			6			22			(2	2)		(23)

Foreig	n		32																T		
	deferred															\top					
	ne taxes ^(a)		614			571			392			268			236			192			(61)
	tment tax															T					
credit						<i>,_</i> ,			<i>(</i>			(-)						<i>(</i> -)			(-)
	ization		(10)			(7)			(7)			(6)			(1)	4		(2)	-		(2)
Incom																					
contin	nse from																				
opera	•		752			472			323			256			180			96			74
_	enefit from		702						020			200			100						
	ntinued																				
opera	tions								(3)												
Total	income tax																				
expen																					
includ																					
	olidated																				
Stater	ments of	\$	752		\$	472		\$	320		\$	256		\$	180		\$	96		\$	74
Opera	20110	Ψ	132	$\vdash \vdash$	Φ	412	$\vdash \vdash$	Φ	320	\vdash	Φ	200	\vdash	φ	100	+	φ	90	+	Φ	/4
Duke	Energy In	 come	from	Co	ntin	uing	Ope	rat	ions b	efor	e Ir	ncome	Tax	(es							
														Υ	ears E	nd	ed	Dec	em	ber	31,
(in mi	illions)														2013						_
Dome	estic																	201	2		2011
															\$3,320			201 \$,82			2011 \$1,780
Foreiç	gn													,				_	27		
	gn ne from con	tinuin	g ope	ratio	ns l	before	e inc	om	e taxes	3					\$3,320			\$,82	27		\$1,780
		tinuin	g ope	ratio	ns l	before	e inc	om	e taxes	3					\$3,320 600			\$1,82 62	27		\$1,780 685
Incom			•		ns l	before	e inc	om	e taxes	6					\$3,320 600			\$1,82 62	27		\$1,780 685
Incom Statu	ne from con	Recor	nciliat	ion											\$3,320 600 \$3,920			\$1,82 62 \$2,45	27 24 51		\$1,780 685 \$2,465
Incom Statu	tory Rate I	Recor	nciliat	i on	ecor	nciliati	on o	of in	come			ense a	at the		\$3,320 600 \$3,920			\$1,82 62 \$2,45	27 24 51	tax	\$1,780 685 \$2,465
Incom Statu	ne from con	Recor	nciliat	i on	ecor	nciliati	on o	of in	come		 expe	ense a	at the		\$3,320 600 \$3,920			\$1,82 62 \$2,45	27 24 51	tax	\$1,780 685 \$2,465
Incom Statu	tory Rate I	Recor	nciliat	i on	ecor	nciliati	on o	of in	come	tax e	İ			U	\$3,320 600 \$3,920			\$1,82 62 \$2,45	27 24 51	tax	\$1,780 685 \$2,465
Incom Statu	tory Rate I	Recor	nciliat	i on	ecor tinu	nciliati	on o	of in	come	tax e	eml	per 31		13	\$3,320 600 \$3,920 .S. fed		al st	\$,82 62 \$,45 tatuto	27 24 51	Ι	\$1,780 685 \$2,465 rate to
Incom Statu	tory Rate I	Recor	nciliat	i on	ecor tinu	nciliati	on o	of in	come	tax e	eml			13	\$3,320 600 \$3,920		al st	\$1,82 62 \$2,45	27 24 51	Ι	\$1,780 685 \$2,465
Incom Statu	tory Rate I	Recor	nciliat	a re	ecor tinu	nciliati ing op	on o	of in	come	tax e	emk	oer 31 uke	, 20 ⁻	13 D	\$3,320 600 \$3,920 .S. fed	era	al si	\$,82 62 \$2,45 tatuto	27 24 51 Dorry 1		\$1,780 685 \$2,465 rate to
Incom Statu	tory Rate I	Recor ples propense	nciliat	a re	ecor tinu	nciliati	on operat	of in tion	come	tax e	emk	per 31	, 20 ⁻	13 D	\$3,320 600 \$3,920 .S. fed	era	al si	\$,82 62 \$,45 tatuto	27 24 51 Dorry 1		\$1,780 685 \$2,465 rate to
Statu The fo	tory Rate I	Recor ples propense	resente from	a re	ecor tinu D	nciliati ing op	on operation Yea	of in tion	come s.	tax e	emk D	oer 31 uke	, 20 ⁻	13 Denote the second	\$3,320 600 \$3,920 .S. fed	era	De	\$,82 62 \$2,45 tatuto	27 24 51 51 51 51 51	D	\$1,780 685 \$2,465 rate to
Statu The for the action (in mile) Income	tory Rate I collowing take tual tax ex illions)	Recor ples propense	resente from	a recon	D Ene	nciliati ing op uke	on coerat	of in tion ar E	come s.	tax e	emb Di	per 31 uke ergy	, 20 ⁻	13 Di	\$3,320 600 \$3,920 .S. fed	era	De	\$1,82 62 \$2,45 tatuto	27 24 51 51 51 51 51	D Ene	\$1,780 685 \$2,465 rate to
Statur The for the action of t	tory Rate I collowing take tual tax ex illions) ne tax nse,	Recor ples propense	resente from	a recon	ecor tinu D Ene	nciliati ing or uke ergy	on coerat	of in tion ar E	come s.	tax e	emb Di	per 31 uke ergy	, 20°	13 Di	\$3,320 600 \$3,920 .S. fed uke rgy	era	Di Di O	\$,82 62 \$2,45 tatuto uke rgy	27 24 51 51 51 51 51	D Ene	\$1,780 685 \$2,465 rate to uke ergy ana
Statur The for the action of t	tory Rate I collowing take trual tax ex illions) ne tax nse, uted at	Recor ples propense	resente from	a recon	ecor tinu D Ene	nciliati ing or uke ergy	on coerat	of in tion ar E	come s.	tax e	emb Di	per 31 uke ergy	, 20°	13 Di	\$3,320 600 \$3,920 .S. fed uke rgy	era	Di Di O	\$,82 62 \$2,45 tatuto uke rgy	27 24 51 51 51 51 51	D Ene	\$1,780 685 \$2,465 rate to uke ergy ana
Statur The for the action of t	tory Rate I collowing take tual tax ex illions) ne tax nse, uted at atutory	Recor ples propense	resente from	a recon	ecor tinu D Ene	nciliati ing or uke ergy	on coerat	of in tion ar E	come s.	tax e	emb Di	per 31 uke ergy	, 20°	13 Di	\$3,320 600 \$3,920 .S. fed uke rgy	era	Di Di O	\$,82 62 \$2,45 tatuto uke rgy	27 24 51 51 51 51 51	D Ene	\$1,780 685 \$2,465 rate to uke ergy ana

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

percei	nt																				_	ĺ
State																						
incom	e tax,																					
net of																						
federa	al																					
incom	e tax																					
effect			67			56			31			31			20			2			23	
Tax																						
differe	ential																					
on for	eign																					
earnin	ngs		(45)																			
AFUD	C																					
equity																						
incom			(55)			(32)			(18)			(15)			(3)						(5)	
Renev			, ,			` '			. ,												` '	
energ																						
produ																						
tax cre			(59)																			
	items,																					
net	,		(19)			21			(1)			(4)			8			11			2	
Incom	ne tax		, -,						\',			\ '-/										
expen																						
from	.00																					
contin	uina																					
opera	_	\$	1,261		\$	594		\$	373		\$	288		\$	213		\$	75		\$	223	
_	ive tax	•			Ċ			Ċ			·											
rate			32.2	%		37.8	%		36.2	%		36.5	%		39.6	%		42.2	%		38.4	%
			<u> </u>					ear	Ende	d De	CE	l mber	<u>. </u>	201	2							
						Duke		Cui	Lilaci		,00	Duke			<u>2</u> Duke			Duke			Duke	
					E	nergy					E	nergy		Er	nergy		Er	nergy		Er	nergy	
		_	Duke		_				gress		_									_		
	llions)	E	nergy		card	olinas		<u> </u>	nergy		Pro	gress		FI	orida			Ohio		Inc	diana	
Incom																						
expen																						
	uted at																					
	atutory																					
rate of					_			_			_						_			_	(45)	
percei	nt	\$	858		\$	461		\$	185		\$	134		\$	145		\$	96	-	\$	(43)	-
State																						
incom																						
net of																						
federa																						
incom						_			_						_							
effect			64			34			33			1			14			1			1	
Tax differe	_{antial}		(66)																			
	, itiai																					

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

on for earnir																						
AFUE equity incom	C C		(101)			(54)			(37)			(24)			(13)			(2)			(26)	
	wable Iy Iction		(25)			ζ- /			(=)						\ -/						\ - /	
	items,		(25)			12			(9)			(1)			1			3			(5)	
	efit)		(23)			12			(3)			(1)			•			- 0			(5)	
opera	tions	Ç	705		\$	453		\$	172		\$	110		\$	147		\$	98		\$	(73)	
Effect rate	tive tax		28.8	%		34.3	%		32.7	%		28.7	%		35.7	%		36.0	%		59.5	%
				J			Y	'ear	Ende	d De	1929	mber :	31, i	201	1							
						Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke	
						norav	.l				┏.	norav		⊏.	anrav.		C,	OPAN		Er	OPON	
			Duke		E	nergy		Pro	gress		Eı	nergy		Er	nergy		Er	nergy		Er	ergy	
,	illions)	E	Duke nergy			nergy olinas			gress			nergy gress			nergy orida			nergy Ohio			iergy diana	
Incom exper comp	ne tax nse, uted at atutory of 35			(olinas			nergy			gress <u></u>			orida							
Incomexper comp the st rate of perce incomet of federa incomet of federa incomet of the state incomet of the state incomet of the state incomet of the state incomet of the state incomet of the state incomet of the state incomet of the state incomet of the state income	ne tax nse, uted at atutory of 35 ent ne tax, if		nergy 8 863	, (Card	457		E	319		Pro	<u>270</u>		FI	orida 173			Ohio		Inc	diana 85	
Incomexper comp the st rate of state incomet of federa	ne tax nse, uted at atutory of 35 ent ne tax, f al ne tax ential reign		inergy	, (Card	olinas		E	nergy		Pro	gress <u></u>		FI	orida			<u>Ohio</u>		Inc	<u>diana</u>	
Incomexper comp the st rate of perce state incomet of federa differed on for earning AFUE equity incomexperse income the state of the s	ne tax nse, uted at atutory of 35 ent ne tax, f al ne tax ential reign ngs OC		8 863 50	, (Card	457		E	319		Pro	<u>270</u>		FI	orida 173			Ohio		Inc	diana 85	

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

tax credits															
Other items, net		(5)		28		1		(7)		1		(3)		7	
Income tax expense from continuing operations	\$	752		\$ 472		\$ 323		\$ 256		\$ 180		\$ 96		\$ 74	
Effective tax rate		30.5	%	36.1	%	35.6	%	33.2	%	36.3	%	33.1	%	30.6	%

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Valuation allowances have been established for certain foreign and state NOL carryforwards and state income tax credits that reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that will be realized on a more-likely-than-not basis. The net change in the total valuation allowance is included in Tax differential on foreign earnings and State income tax, net of federal income tax effect in the above tables.

DEFERRI TAXES	Đ																	
Net Defer	red	Income T	ax	Li	ability C	on	np	onents										
					_													
								De	се	ml	ber 31, 2	01	3					
					Duke						Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke
(in		Duke			Energy		P	rogress			Energy			Energy		Energy		Energy
millions)		Energy		C	arolinas		-	Energy		P	rogress			Florida		Ohio		Indiana
Deferred credits and other liabilities	\$			\$	56		*	136		\$	9		\$	96	⇔	(13)	⇔	9
Capital lease obligations		59		Ψ	11		9	130		Ψ	9		Ą	30	9	(13)	₽	(2)
Pension, postretirer and other employee benefits					18			341			119			145		23		54
Progress Energy merger purchase accounting) 1,184																

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

					1			T								1		1
Tax																		
credit	ts																	
and N	NOL																	
carry	forwa	ds																
,			4,307		488			1,965			396			365		165		521
Othe	r		265		15			116			39			43		20		14
Valua	ation																	
allow	ance																	
			(192)					(40)			(1)							
Total																		
defer	red																	
incon	ne																	
tax a	ssets																	
			6,517		588			2,518			562			649		195		596
	tment	s																
and c	other																	
asset	ts		(1,396)		(999)			(209)			(160)			(49)		(17)		(7)
Acce	lerate	d																
depre	eciatio	n																
rates			(12,615)		(4,400)			(3,663)			(2,528)			(1,160)		(1,937)		(1,591)
	latory		, , ,					, , ,			, , ,			, , ,		, , ,		
asset																		
and																		
defer	red																	
debits			(3,185)		(609)			(1,389)			(202)			(1,159)		(168)		(117)
Total			(0,100)		(000)			(1,000)			(202)	H		(1,100)		(100)		(111)
defer																		
incon																		
	iie																	
tax	+ :		(17.106)		(6,008)			(5,261)			(0.000)			(2,368)		(0.100)		(4.745)
liabili	ues	Н	(17,196)		(6,008)			(5,261)			(2,890)	Н		(2,308)	Н	(2,122)		(1,715)
Net																		
defer																		
incon	ne																	
tax																		
liabili	ties	\$	(10,679)	((5,420)		\$	(2,743)	Ш	\$	(2,328)	Ш	\$	(1,719)	Ш	\$ (1,927)	;	\$ (1,119)
(a)	Prima	rily	related to	cap	ital lease	ob	lig	ations an	nd c	dek	ot fair val	ue	ao	ljustment	ts.	 		

On July 23, 2013, HB 998 was signed into law. HB 998 reduces the North Carolina corporate income tax rate from a statutory 6.9 percent to 6.0 percent in January 2014 with a further reduction to 5.0 percent in January 2015. Duke Energy recorded a net reduction of approximately \$145 million to its North Carolina deferred tax liability in the third quarter of 2013. The significant majority of this deferred tax liability reduction was offset by recording a regulatory liability pending NCUC determination of the disposition of the amounts related to Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress. The impact of HB 998 did not have a significant impact on the financial position, results of operation, or cash flows of Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy or Duke Energy Progress.

The fo	ollowing table presents the expiration of tax credits and NOL carryforwa	ard	S.				
			Decer	nk	oer 31, 20)13	

(in m	illions)	١					_								Α.	ma	un	, I-	\ \ V=	irc	tion	ı Year
,			Credits												\$		un 198			oira 029		2033
			mum Tax	Cro	راب.	te									Ψ		198)28	+			efin	
			arryforward		,ui	ເວ									++		171			030	-	2033
			yforwards		٠ ١	redite(a)									++		+7 1 189			030 014		2033
			arryforward			i Guito (=)											121	+		015	+	2033
			and NOL			oforwards.									\$		307			013	+-	2000
Total		uit	s and NOL	. cai	ıı y	TOTWATUS									Ψ	т,с	507	++				
,	credits Compo	an ne	n allowan d state ca nts table.	pita	l lo	oss carry	for	wa	rds, as pı	res	en	ted in the	Ne	et C	Deferre	ed Í	nco	ome ⁻	Гах	(Lia	abili	
(b)	presen	tec	on allowand I in the Ne ards have	t De	efe	erred Inco	m	e T	ax Liabili	ty (as T	
														Ī		П	T					
									Dec		he he	r 31, 201	 2						Ш			
	l					Duke			2606	ا111ر	.J.C	Duke	_		Duke		I	Duke				Duke
(in			Duke			Energy		P	rogress			Energy		Ε	nergy		En	ergy			E	nergy
millio	ons)		Energy		C	arolinas			Energy		P	rogress		F	lorida			<u>Ohio</u>			In	diana
Defer																						
	s and																					
other liabili		\$	256		\$	64		\$	110		\$	24		\$	76		\$	(10)		\$		22
Capit		Ψ	230		φ	04		φ	110		φ	24		φ	70		φ	(10)		φ		
lease																						
	ations		60			13																(1)
Pens postre and c emple bene	etireme other oyee	nt	1,320			117			712			318			257			62				94
adjus	gy er nase unting tments	(a)	1,312																			
and N	redits NOL forward	s	3,311			447			1,536			309			91			152				340
Othe	ſ		408	\Box		22			230			82			126	H	\dagger	10				27
Valua allow	ation		(226)						(77)									(1)				
Total			6,441			663			2,511			733			550		1	213	П			482

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

defer incon asset	ne tax																			
and d	ts		(1,093)			(838)			(112)			(108)			(6)		(25)			(18)
depre rates			(11,208)			(4,289)			(2,803)			(2,178)		((592)	(1	,823)			(1,131)
asset defer																				
debits Total defer			(3,819)			(627)			(1,775)			(465)		(1	,318)		(197)			(185)
liabili			(16,120)			(5,754)			(4,690)			(2,751)		(916)	(2	,045)			(1,334)
Net defer incon liabili	ne tax	\$	(9,679)		\$	(5,091)		\$	(2,179)		\$	(2,018)		Æ.	366)	651	,832)		\$	(852)
																Α.	,002/		Ψ.	(00_)
(a)	Primar	ily	related to	capi	ta	l lease o	bliç	jati	ons and	dek	ot f	air value a	adju	ıst	ments.	1	I I		1	
Class	sificatio	on	of Deferre	T be	้อง	. Assets	: (1	iał	oilities) ii	n th	16	Consolida	ate	d l	Balance	s e	heets			
Class	sificatio	on_	of Deferre	ed T	a	(Assets	(<u>L</u>	iak	oilities) ii	า tł	ne	Consolida 	ate	<u>d </u>	Balance	e S	heets		T	
Class	sificatio	on	of Deferre	ed T	ax			iak	•			Consolida r 31, 2013		d	Balance	e S				
Class	sificatio	on	of Deferre	ed T	ax	Assets Duke		iak	•			r 31, 2013				S	heets Duke			Duke
Class	sificatio	on	of Deferre	ed T	ax	Duke		iak	•						Balance Duke		Duke	<u> </u>		
(in		on	Duke			Duke Energy			Dece	em	be	r 31, 2013 Duke Energy	3	Er	Duke		Duke nergy			Energy
(in millio	ons)	on				Duke			Dece	em	be	r 31, 2013 Duke	3	Er	Duke		Duke	<u> </u>		
(in millio	ons)	on_	Duke			Duke Energy			Dece	em	be	r 31, 2013 Duke Energy	3	Er	Duke		Duke nergy	Т Т	T	Energy
(in millio	ons) ent ts:	<u>\$</u>	Duke Energy			Duke Energy			Dece Progress Energy	em	be	r 31, 2013 Duke Energy	T T	Er	Duke		Duke nergy Ohio		\$	Energy
(in million Curre Asse Othe Investand (Asse	ons) ent ts: r etments Other ts:	\$	Duke Energy		C	Duke Energy arolinas		P	Dece Progress Energy	em	be P	Duke Energy rogress	T T	Er FI	Duke nergy orida	E	Duke nergy Ohio		\$	Energy Indiana
(in million Current Asse Othe Othe Current Cur	ent ts: r etments Other ts: r rred its and r lities:	\$	Duke Energy 1,373		C	Duke Energy arolinas 286		P	Dece Progress Energy 540	em	be P	Duke Energy Progress 229	3	Er FI \$	Duke nergy orida	\$	Duke nergy Ohio 85		\$	Energy Indiana 52
(in million Current Asse Othe Defending Othe Liabil Othe Net defer	ons) ent ts: r other ts: r rred its and r lities: r	\$	Duke Energy 1,373		C	Duke Energy arolinas		P	Dece Progress Energy	em	be P	Duke Energy rogress	3	Er FI \$	Duke nergy orida	\$	Duke nergy Ohio	;	\$	Energy Indiana

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

					Dece	em	be	r 31, 201	2						
			Duke						_				Duke		Duke
(in	Duke		Energy	F	Progress			Duke Energy		E	Duke nergy	E	nergy		Energy
millions)	Energy	(Carolinas		Energy		Р	rogress		F	lorida		Ohio		Indiana
Current Assets: Other	\$ 732	97	90	\$	359		\$	144		\$	152	\$	21	\$	1
Investments and Other Assets: Other	85				20										
Current Liabilities: Other	(6)														
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities: Other	(10,490)		(5,181)		(2,558)			(2,162)		(1	,518)	(1	,853)		(853)
Net deferred income tax liabilities	\$ (9,679)	4	5 (5,091)	\$	(2,179)		\$	(2,018)		\$,366)	\$,832)	\$	(852)

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Deferred income taxes and foreign withholding taxes have not been provided on undistributed earnings of Duke Energy's foreign subsidiaries when such amounts are deemed to be indefinitely reinvested. The cumulative undistributed earnings as of December 31, 2013 on which Duke Energy has not provided deferred income taxes and foreign withholding taxes is approximately \$2.4 billion. The amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability related to these undistributed earnings is estimated at between \$300 million and \$375 million.

UNRECOGNIZE	D T	X RENE	FITS													
			<u> </u>													
The following tak	oles r	resent ch	nange	s to uni	recoai	nized ta	ax b	ene	efits.							
	<u> </u>					1										
					Yea	r Ende	d D	ec	ember	31	. 20	013				
				Duke					Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke
			I	Energy				E	nergy		Ε	nergy	E	nergy	E	nergy
(in millions)		Duke Energy	Ca	rolinas		ogress Energy		Pro	gress		F	lorida		Ohio	ln	diana
Unrecognized									<u>g</u> . 000		İ			<u> </u>		
tax benefits —																
January 1	\$	540	\$	271	\$	131		\$	67		\$	44	\$	36	\$	32
Unrecognized																
tax benefits																
increases																
(decreases)																
Gross																
decreases — ta	<															
positions in																
prior periods		(231)		(100)		(86)			(45)			(37)		(36)		(31)
Decreases due																
to settlements		(66)														
Reduction due																
to lapse of																
statute of																
limitations		(13)				(13)						1				

Total changes		(310)			(100)			(99)			(45)			(36)			(36)			(31)
Unrecognized																				
tax benefits —																				
December 31	\$	230		\$	171		\$	32		\$	22		\$	8		\$			\$	1
						Υ	ear	Ende	d D	ec	ember	· 31	, 20)12				ı		
					Duke						Dula			Duda			Duke			Duke
				_	nergy						Duke			Duke		_	nergy		_	nergy
		Duke			nergy	ı	Pro	gress		F	nergy		F	nergy			nergy		_	ileigy
(in millions)		Energy		ar	olinas			nergy			gress			lorida			Ohio		In	diana
Unrecognized		- 37						- 31			3									
tax benefits —																				
January 1	\$	385		\$	260		\$	173		\$	73		\$	80		\$	32		\$	24
Acquisitions		128																		
Unrecognized																				
tax benefits																				
increases																				
(decreases)																				
Gross increases — tax																				
positions in																				
prior periods		29			12			23			10			12			2			6
Gross					-															
decreases — ta	X																			
positions in																				
prior periods		(4)						(72)			(19)			(52)						
Gross																				
increases —																				
current period		28			15			8			4			4			4			4
tax positions Gross		20		+	13			0			4			4			4			4
decreases —																				
current period																				
tax positions		(9)			(5)			(1)			(1)						(2)			(2)
Decreases due																				
to settlements		(13)			(11)															
Reduction due																				
to lapse of																				
statute of		(4)																		
limitations		(4)	\vdash	\dashv	4.4		\vdash	(40)			(0)			(00)	\vdash		A			
Total changes		155	\dashv	\dashv	11			(42)			(6)	\vdash		(36)	\vdash		4	\dashv		8
Unrecognized tax benefits —																				
December 31	\$	540		\$	271		\$	131		\$	67		\$	44		\$	36		\$	32
	Ψ	3.0	\forall	Ψ			Ψ			Ψ	<u> </u>		Ψ			Ψ		\dashv	Ψ	
				T																
<u> </u>																				

					Υ	ear	Ende	d D	ece	ember	31	, 20)11				
				Duke								,			Duke		Duke
(in millions)		Duke Energy		Energy rolinas	ı		gress nergy			Duke nergy gress			Duke nergy lorida	E	nergy Ohio		nergy diana
Unrecognized tax benefits — January 1	\$		\$	217		\$			\$	7 4		\$	99	\$	29	\$	21
Unrecognized tax benefits increases (decreases)																	
Gross increases — tax positions in prior periods		49		42			88			19			66		4		3
Gross decreases — ta positions in prior periods	×	(18)		(8)			(24)			(14)			(21)		(5)		(3)
Gross increases — current period tax positions		16		9			9			8			1		4		3
Gross decreases — current period tax positions		_					(8)			(4)			(4)		_		
Decreases due to settlements		(4)					(68)			(10)			(61)				
Total changes		43		43			(3)			(1)			(19)		3		3
Unrecognized tax benefits — December 31	\$	385	\$	260		\$	173		\$	73		\$	80	\$	32	\$	24
				1. 6													

The following table includes additional information regarding the Duke Energy Registrants' unrecognized tax benefits. It is reasonably possible that Duke Energy and Duke Energy Carolinas will reflect an approximate \$4 million reduction in unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months due to expected settlements. All other Duke Energy Registrants do not anticipate a material increase or decrease in unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months.

						D	eceml	ber	31, 2	2013				
					Duke									Duke
										Duke			Duke	
			Duke		Energy									Energy
						Р	rogre	ss	E	Energy	'	E	Energy	
(in mill	lions)	I	Energy	Ca	rolinas		Ener	gy	Pro	gress	i	F	lorida	Indiana

																r 1					
	nt that if re	ecogn	ized,																		
would	affect the																				
	tive tax ra																				
regula	tory liabilit	:y ^(a)			\$	128		\$	116		\$	2		\$	1		\$	1		\$	1
Amour	nt that if re	ecogn	ized,																		
would	be record	ed																			
	componer																				
discon	tinued op	eratio	ns			8															
(a)	Duke Ene	ergy,	Duke En	ergy	, C	arolina	s, F	rog	gress E	Ene	rgy	, Duke	Er	nerç	gy Prog	gres	ss,	Duke E	ne	rgy	
, ,	Florida ar																				the
	effective t	tax ra	te versu	s the	e re	egulato	ry li	abi	lity.												
OTHE	R TAX M	ATTE	RS									<u> </u>									
The fo	llowing tal	nles i	nclude ir	tere	ct	recoan	izer	d in	the C	one	olic	hated ⁽	Ctat	<u>e</u> m	ente o	f O	ner	ations ·	and	the	
	lidated Ba				Οι	recogn	1260	וווג	lile C	UHS	OIIC	Jai c u C	Jiai	CII	ienio u	1 0	per	alions	anu	LIIC	7
001130	ilidated De	liario	Officers	.																	
							V		da		\	l	. 01	_	010						
								ear	Ende	a L	ec	<u>ember</u>		, ZI		I 1		-			<u> </u>
						Duke-					_	Duke		_ ا	Duke		_	Duke		_	Duke
ļ	、		Duke			Energy 			gress			nergy			nergy		E	nergy			nergy
	llions)		Energy		aı	rolinas		느	nergy		Pro	gress			lorida			Ohio		In	<u>diana</u>
Net int																					
income																					
recogr																					
related						_															
	e taxes	\$	2		\$	2		\$	6		\$	7		\$			\$	4		\$	1
Interes																					
payabl	le related																				
to inco	me taxes		27			8			10			2			7						
							Y	ear	Ende	d D)ec	ember	31	. 20	012						
	•					Duke						Duke	_	, <u> </u>	Duke			Duke			Duke
			Duke		F	Energy		٥r٥	gress		F	nergy		F	nergy		F	Energy		F	nergy
(in mil	llions)		Energy			rolinas			nergy			gress			lorida		_	Ohio			diana
Net int	•		Liicigy	F	<u> </u>	Omias			nergy	-		g. 000		Ė	lonaa			01110		Ü	aiaiia
income																					
recogr																					
related																					
	e taxes	\$	10		\$	9		\$			\$			\$			\$			\$	2
Net int		Ψ	10	$\vdash \vdash$	Ψ	3	$\vdash \vdash$	Ψ			Ψ			Ψ			Ψ		\vdash	Ψ	
expens																					
recogr related																					
									2						2						
ILLICOLLIE	e taxes															l l					

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Interest																
receivable																
related to				_												
income taxes				7												
Interest																
payable related		_			l											
to income taxes	5	7			17			8			9		3			1
			1		 ar Ende	d C	<u>)ec</u>		_	, 20		ı		1		
				Duke				Duke			Duke		Duke		_	Duke
		_ Duke		Energy	rogress			nergy			nergy	E	nergy			nergy
(in millions)		Energy	Ca	rolinas	Energy		Pro	gress		F	lorida		Ohio		<u>In</u>	diana
Net interest																
income																
recognized																
related to	_		,				_			_						
income taxes	\$	12	9	5 5	\$ 24		\$	6		\$	22	\$			\$	
Net interest																
expense																
recognized related to																
income taxes													1			1
Interest													ı			- 1
receivable																
related to																
income taxes		8		5												
Interest				 		1									-	
payable related																
to income taxes					21			8			7		3			3
				†	<u>-</u> '						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				П	
	I	l				1									ш	

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

Duke Energy and its subsidiaries are no longer subject to U.S. federal examination for years before 2006. The years 2006 and 2007 are in Appeals. The IRS is currently auditing the federal income tax returns for years 2008 through 2011. With few exceptions, Duke Energy and its subsidiaries are no longer subject to state, local or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2004.

23. OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES, NET

The components of Other income and expenses, net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations are as follows.

	ı			1					1					1			
						Yea	ar End	ed	Dec	cembe	er 3	1, 2	2013				
					Duke					Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke
		Duke		Е	nergy	Pro	gress		Er	nergy		Eı	nergy	Е	nergy	Er	nergy
(in millions)	ı	Energy	(Card	olinas	E	nergy	ı	Prog	gress		FI	orida		Ohio	Ind	diana
Interest income	\$	26		\$	1	\$	7		\$	1		\$	3	\$	6	\$	6
Foreign exchange																	
losses		(18)															
AFUDC equity		157			91		50			42			8		1		15
Deferred returns		39			32		7			7							
Other income																	
(expense)		58			(4)		30			7			19		(3)		(3)
Other income and																	
expense, net	\$	262		\$	120	\$	94		\$	57		\$	30	\$	4	\$	18
						Yea	ar End	ed	Dec	cembe	er 3	1, 2	2012				
					Duke					Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke
		Duke		E	nergy	Pro	gress		Er	nergy		Eı	nergy	Е	nergy		nergy
(in millions)		Energy	(olinas		nergy			gress			orida		Ohio		diana
Interest income	\$			\$	11	\$			\$			\$	1	\$	10	\$	7
Foreign exchange																	
losses		(5)															

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

AFUDC equity		300			154		106			69			37		6		84
Deferred returns		24			24												
Other income																	
(expense)		28			(4)		22			9			1		(3)		(1)
Other income and																	
expense, net	\$	397		\$	185	\$	130		\$	79		\$	39	\$	13	\$	90
						Yea	ar End	<u>ed</u>	Dec	embe	er 3	31, 2	2011				
					Duke					Duke			Duke		Duke		Duke
		Duke			nergy		gress			nergy			nergy	E	nergy		nergy
(in millions)	E	Energy	(Car	<u>olinas</u>	E	nergy	I	Prog	gress		F	orida		Ohio	In	diana
Interest income	\$	53		\$	10	\$	2		\$	1		\$	1	\$	14	\$	14
Foreign exchange																	
gains		2															
AFUDC equity		260			168		103			71			32		5		88
Contingent value																	
obligations																	
mark-to-market							 >										
loss							(59)										
Deferred returns		10			10												
Other income																	
(expense)		51			(2)		6			8			(3)				(5)
Other income and																	
expense, net	\$	376		\$	186	\$	52		\$	80		\$	30	\$	19	\$	97

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC. – DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. – DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.

Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

24. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

For information on subsequent events related to acquisitions, dispositions and sales of other assets, regulatory matters and commitments and contingencies, see Notes 2, 4 and 5.

25. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

DUKE ENERGY				Ī							
DUKE ENERGY											
The following table includes the are meant to be stand-alone cald rounding and the weighting of sh	culat	tions an	d are			_		•		-	
loanding and the weighting of si	laic	issuario	,cs.	Ī							
(in millions, except per share		First			Second			Third	Fourth		
data)	(Quarter		(Quarter		C	Quarter	Quarter		Total
2013											
Operating revenues	\$	5,898		\$	5,879		\$	6,709	\$ 6,112		\$ 24,598
Operating income		1,215			821			1,743	1,203		4,982
Income from continuing											
operations		634			345			994	686		2,659
Net income		634			342			1,008	692		2,676
Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation		634			339			1,004	688		2,665
Earnings per share:											
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders											
Basic	\$	0.89		\$	0.48		\$	1.40	\$ 0.96		\$ 3.74
Diluted	\$	0.89		\$	0.48		\$	1.40	\$ 0.96		\$ 3.74

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

E(uyaı	rillig.	Duke	, LII	leigy Co	JNF	- [01111 10-	.17					
Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common														
shareholders	_	0.00		•	0.40		Φ.	4.40		Φ.	0.07		Φ.	0.77
Basic	\$			\$	0.48		\$			\$			\$	3.77
Diluted	\$	0.89		\$	0.48		\$	1.42		\$	0.97		\$	3.76
2012														
Operating revenues	\$	3,630		\$	3,577		\$	6,722		\$	5,695		\$	19,624
Operating income		495			786			1,078			767			3,126
Income from continuing														
operations		297			449			594			406			1,746
Net income		299			448			598			437			1,782
Net income attributable to Duke														
Energy Corporation		295			444			594			435			1,768
Earnings per share:														·
Income from continuing														
operations attributable to Duke														
Energy Corporation common														
shareholders														
Basic	\$	0.66		\$	0.99		\$	0.84		\$	0.57		\$	3.01
Diluted	\$	1		\$	0.99		\$	0.84		\$	0.57		\$	3.01
Net income attributable to Duke				Ť			_ T			· ·	0101		Ť	
Energy Corporation common														
shareholders														
Basic	\$	0.66		\$	0.99		\$	0.85		\$	0.62		\$	3.07
Diluted	\$			\$	0.99		\$	0.85		\$	0.62		\$	3.07
Bridted	Ψ	0.00		Ψ	0.00		Ψ	0.00		Ψ	0.02		Ψ	0.07
The following table includes unu	cual	or infre	al lor	ntlv (occurrin	a ita	me	in each		rtor	during	the t	WO 1	most
recently completed fiscal years.														11031
lecently completed need years.	, till C		0.00	70.00	00 0010	. a.	о р.	otax an		0111	01111001		<u>. </u>	
1		First			Second			Third			Fourth			
		1 1131		•	Second			mu			i oui tii			
(in millions)	l (Quarter		(Quarter		(Quarter		(Quarter			Total
2013 ^(a)	,	<u>gaarter</u>		ì	gaartor			<u>xuurtor</u>			gaartor			Total
Costs to achieve Progress														
Energy merger (see Note 2)	\$	(55)		\$	(82)		\$	(88)		\$	(72)		\$	(297)
Crystal River Unit 3 charges	Ψ	(33)		Ψ	(02)		Ψ	(00)		Ψ	(12)		Ψ	(231)
(see Note 4)					(295)						(57)			(352)
Harris and Levy nuclear					(293)						(31)			(332)
development charges (see Note														
4)					(87)									(87)
Gain on sale of DukeNet (see	-			\dashv	(01)							H		(01)
Note 12)											105			105
	\$	/EE\		\$	(464)		\$	(00)		\$			\$	
Total	•	(55)		Φ	(404)		Ф	(88)		Þ	(24)	H	Þ	(631)
2012														

(636)

Costs to achieve Progress										
Energy merger (see Note 2)										
Edwardsport IGCC charges										
(see Note 4)		(420)		_		(180)		(28)		(628)
Voluntary Opportunity Plan										
deferral (see Note 19)		101		_		_				101
Total	\$	(327)		\$ (7)		(637)	\$	(192)	\$	(1,163)
(a) Revised retail rates to Ohio, June for Duke for further information	Ener									
DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS						1				
<u> </u>		First		Second		Third		Fourth		
(in millions)		Quarter		Quarter		Quarter		Quarter		Total
2013										
Operating revenues	\$	1,729		\$ 1,591		1,919	\$	1,715	\$	6,954
Operating income		434		351		604		420		1,809
Net income		244		181		342		209		976
2012	1									
Operating revenues	\$	1,501		\$ 1,616		1,939	\$	1,609	\$	6,665
Operating income	Ψ	475		386	 	440	\vdash	216	─	1,517
Net income	+	266		211		258		130		865
	+	200		211	+	230		130		003
The fellowing table in thirds										
	1	!f	د م الله ما .					المحاشين بالحا	hla a . h a	
The following table includes unu				•	•		•	_		most
recently completed fiscal years.				•	•		•	_		most
_		mounts	discus	ssed belo	w are p	retax un	less oth	erwise r	noted.	most
_			discus	•	w are p		less oth	_	noted.	most
recently completed fiscal years.	All a	mounts First	discus	Second	w are p	retax un	less oth	erwise r Fourth	noted.	
_	All a	mounts	discus	ssed belo	w are p	retax un Third	less oth	erwise r	noted.	most Total
recently completed fiscal years. (in millions) 2013 (a)	All a	mounts First	discus	Second	w are p	retax un Third	less oth	erwise r Fourth	noted.	
(in millions) 2013 (a) Costs to achieve Progress	All a	First Quarter	discus	Second Quarter	w are p	Third Quarter	nless oth	Fourth Quarter	noted.	Total
recently completed fiscal years. (in millions) 2013 (a)	All a	First Quarter	discus	Second	w are p	Third Quarter	less oth	Fourth Quarter	noted.	Total
(in millions) 2013 (a) Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2)	All a	First Quarter	discus	Second Quarter	w are p	Third Quarter	nless oth	Fourth Quarter	noted.	Total
(in millions) 2013 (a) Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) 2012	All a	First Quarter	discus	Second Quarter	w are p	Third Quarter	nless oth	Fourth Quarter	noted.	Total
(in millions) 2013 (a) Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) 2012 Costs to achieve Progress	All a	First Quarter (22)	discus	Second Quarter \$ (35)	w are p	Third Quarter (34)	aless oth	Fourth Quarter (29)	noted.	(120)
(in millions) 2013 (a) Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) 2012 Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2)	All a	First Quarter (22)	discus	Second Quarter	w are p	Third Quarter	nless oth	Fourth Quarter (29)	noted.	(120)
(in millions) 2013 (a) Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) 2012 Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) Voluntary Opportunity Plan	All a	First Quarter (22)	discus	Second Quarter \$ (35)	w are p	Third Quarter (34)	aless oth	Fourth Quarter (29)	noted.	(120)
(in millions) 2013 (a) Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) 2012 Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) Voluntary Opportunity Plan deferral (see Note 19)	All a	First Quarter (22) (4)	discus	Second Quarter \$ (35) \$ (5)	w are p	Third Quarter (34)	s s	Fourth Quarter (29)	noted.	(120) (239)
(in millions) 2013 (a) Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) 2012 Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) Voluntary Opportunity Plan	All a	First Quarter (22)	discus	Second Quarter \$ (35)	w are p	Third Quarter (34)	aless oth	Fourth Quarter (29)	noted.	(120) (239)
(in millions) 2013 (a) Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) 2012 Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) Voluntary Opportunity Plan deferral (see Note 19) Total	\$ \$	First Quarter (22) (4) 101 97	discus	\$ (35) \$ (5)	w are p	Third Quarter (34) (184)	s \$	(29) (46) (46)	s \$	(120) (239) 101 (138)
(in millions) 2013 (a) Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) 2012 Costs to achieve Progress Energy merger (see Note 2) Voluntary Opportunity Plan deferral (see Note 19)	\$ \$	First Quarter (22) (4) 101 97	discus	\$ (35) \$ (5)	w are p	Third Quarter (34) (184)	s \$	(29) (46) (46)	s \$	(120) (239) 101 (138)

PROGRESS ENERGY										
		First			Second		Third		Fourth	
		00			3000114				· our till	
(in millions)	(Quarter		(Quarter	C	Quarter		Quarter	Total
2013										
Operating revenues	\$	2,186		\$	2,281	\$	2,766	\$	2,300	\$ 9,533
Operating income		430			114		671		403	1,618
Income (loss) from continuing										
operations		154			(13)		328		190	659
Net income (loss)		154			(17)		342		196	675
Net income (loss) attributable to		4.50			(4.7)		0.4.4		40-	
Parent		153			(17)		341		195	672
2012		0.400		_	2 2 2 2		. =			
Operating revenues	\$	•		\$		\$	2,788	- \$	2,227	\$ 9,405
Operating income		363			277		379		118	1,137
Income (loss) from continuing		4.44					151		(0)	OFF
operations		141			68		154		(8)	355
Net income		152			64		157		34	407
Net income attributable to Parent		150			63		155		32	400
raient		150			03		155		32	400
recently completed fiscal years.		First				uro pr	Third	000 011		
					seconai		I HIRO		Fourth	
(in millions)	(•	Second		Tillra		Fourth	
2013 ^(a)	⊢ ì	Quarter			Quarter		Quarter		Fourth Quarter	Total
		Quarter				C				Total
Costs to achieve the merger					Quarter	C	Quarter		Quarter	
with Duke Energy (see Note 2)	\$				Quarter	\$		\$	Quarter	\$ Total (122)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges					Quarter (33)		Quarter		Quarter (28)	\$ (122)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4)					Quarter		Quarter		Quarter	\$
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear	\$				Quarter (33)		Quarter		Quarter (28)	\$ (122)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear development charges (see Note	\$				(33) (295)		Quarter		Quarter (28)	\$ (122)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear development charges (see Note 4)	\$	(19)		\$	(33) (295) (87)	\$	Quarter (42)	\$	(28) (57)	(122) (352) (87)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear development charges (see Note	\$	(19)			(33) (295)		Quarter		(28) (57)	\$ (122)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear development charges (see Note 4) Total	\$	(19)		\$	(33) (295) (87)	\$	Quarter (42)	\$	(28) (57)	(122) (352) (87)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear development charges (see Note 4) Total 2012	\$	(19)		\$	(33) (295) (87)	\$	Quarter (42)	\$	(28) (57)	(122) (352) (87)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear development charges (see Note 4) Total	\$	(19)		\$	(33) (295) (87)	\$	Quarter (42)	\$	(28) (57)	(122) (352) (87)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear development charges (see Note 4) Total 2012 Costs to achieve the merger	\$	(19)		\$	(33) (295) (87) (415)	\$	(42)	\$	(28) (57)	\$ (122) (352) (87) (561)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear development charges (see Note 4) Total 2012 Costs to achieve the merger with Duke Energy (see Note 2)	\$	(19)		\$	(33) (295) (87) (415)	\$	(42)	\$	(28) (57)	\$ (122) (352) (87) (561)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear development charges (see Note 4) Total 2012 Costs to achieve the merger with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Florida replacement power refund (see Note 4) Crystal River Unit 3 charges	\$	(19)		\$	(33) (295) (87) (415)	\$	(42) (217)	\$	(28) (57) (85)	\$ (122) (352) (87) (561) (326) (100)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4) Harris and Levy nuclear development charges (see Note 4) Total 2012 Costs to achieve the merger with Duke Energy (see Note 2) Florida replacement power refund (see Note 4)	\$	(19) (19)		\$	(33) (295) (87) (415)	\$	(42) (42) (217) (100)	\$	(28) (57) (85) (82)	\$ (352) (87) (561)

	1									1 1			I -		
	Revised retail rates b	0031	me effec	tivo	in	lanuary	in F	lorio	la and	luna ir		Jorth Ca	rolii	na (s	ea Nota
(a)	4 for further information		ille ellec	, LI V C	111 0	iai iuai y	111 1	10110	ia aliu u	iuii e ii		NOI LIT C	ai Oili	ia (s	SEE INOIE
(α)	1 TOT TOTALLION INTOTALL	011).													
DUKE EN	ERGY PROGRESS														
			First		,	Second			Third			Fourth			
(in million	s)	(Quarter		(Quarter		(Quarter		(Quarter			Total
2013															
Operating		\$	-		\$			\$	1,430		\$	1,211		\$	4,992
Operating			212			166			303			251			932
Net incom	e T		110			77			175			138			500
2012															
Operating	revenues	\$	1,090		\$	1,090		\$	1,398		\$	1,128		\$	4,706
Operating			107			83			172			148			510
Net incom			52			31			96			93			272
	ing table includes unu														most
recently co	mpleted fiscal years.	All a	mounts	disc	uss	ed belov	w ar	e pr	etax un	less o	th	erwise r	าote	d.	
			First		,	Second			Third			Fourth			
(in million	s)	(Quarter		(Quarter		C	Quarter		(Quarter			Total
2013 ^(a)															
Costs to a	chieve the merger														
with Duke	Energy (see Note 2)	\$	(11)		\$	(22)		\$	(32)		\$	(19)		\$	(84)
	lear development														
	ee Note 4)					(22)									(22)
Total		\$	(11)		\$	(44)		\$	(32)		\$	(19)		\$	(106)
2012															
	chieve the merger														
	Energy (see Note 2)	\$	(4)		\$	(12)		\$	(180)		\$	(36)		\$	(232)
	Revised retail rates b		mo office	tivo.	in	luna in M	امطا	h Ca	rolino /	COC N		o 1 for f	urth		
(a)	information).	c cal	ine enec	, li V C	111 0	une III I	NOI (I	ii Oč	ai Uiii Ia (SEE IV	υl	C 4 101 1	uilii	GI	
(~)	omadonj.														
DUKE EN	ERGY FLORIDA														
_ 															
	l		First		,	Second			Third			Fourth			
/im pa:!!!:	·a\		Ougates:			7.1.6.45)		,)at.a			Tatal
(in million	is)		Quarter			Quarter			Quarter	\vdash		Quarter			Total
2013	rovonuoc	\$	968		\$	1 1/0		ተ	1,332	\vdash	\$	1,085		\$	4 F07
Operating Operating		Φ			Ф	•		Ф	_		Ф	•		Ф	4,527
Operating	income (loss)		221			(53)			369			151	l		688

Net income (loss)		110			(57)			197			75			325
2012	_			_			_			_				
Operating revenues	\$			\$,		\$	1,388		\$			\$	4,689
Operating income (loss)	+	255			196			207			(29)			629
Net income (loss)		128			83			100			(45)			266
The following table includes up	uou ol	or infra	au onti		0001144	a ita		in acab		rtor	during	tha t		moot
The following table includes un recently completed fiscal years														most
recently completed fiscal years	1	inounts	discu		ca belo	v ai	СРІ	Clax an		Otil	CIWISCI	10100	۸.	
<u> </u>	1	First		5	Second			Third			Fourth			
(in millions)	(Quarter		(Quarter		C	Quarter			Quarter			Total
2013 ^(a)														
Costs to achieve the merger		(0)			(4.4)			(4.0)		•	(0)			(22)
with Duke Energy (see Note 2)	\$	(8)		\$	(11)		\$	(10)		\$	(9)		\$	(38)
Crystal River Unit 3 charges (see Note 4)					(295)						(57)			(252)
Levy nuclear development	+				(295)						(37)			(352)
charges (see Note 4)					(65)									(65)
Total	\$	(8)		\$	(371)		\$	(10)		\$	(66)		\$	(455)
					, ,			, ,		·				, ,
2012														
Costs to achieve the merger														
with Duke Energy (see Note 2)	\$	(3)		\$	(8)		\$	(37)		\$	(46)		\$	(94)
Replacement power refund (se	е													
Note 4)								(100)						(100)
Crystal River Unit 3 charges											(100)			(100)
(see Note 4) Total	\$	(3)		\$	(8)		\$	(137)		\$	(192) (238)		\$	(192) (386)
Total	Ψ	(3)		φ	(6)		φ	(137)		φ	(230)		φ	(300)
(a) Revised retail rates	heca	me effec	ctive in	ր . J	anuarv	(sec	No.	te 4 for	furth	er i	informat	ion)		
(a) Horisod Fotali Fatoo	Jour	1110 01100	1	Ĭ	arradry	,000	7110	10 1 101			IIIOIIIIQI	.0.1./.		
DUKE ENERGY OHIO														
·		First		S	Second			Third			Fourth			
(in millions)	-	<u>Quarter</u>			Quarter			uarter		(Quarter			Total
2013	+			_	011		_	0.10		•	222		_	0.045
Operating revenues	\$			\$			\$			\$			\$	
Operating (loss) income	+	(17)			108			116			44			251
Net (loss) income		(21)			58			59			6			102
 2012	+		\vdash	+										
Operating revenues	\$	912	\vdash	\$	717		\$	757		\$	766		\$	3,152
Operating income	Ψ	138		Ψ	95		Ψ	42		Ψ	74		Ψ	349
Net income	+	74		1	45			14			42			175
INGLINCOME		/4		[43			14			42			1/5

				Ī										
The following table includes un	usual	or infre	auent	llv (occurrin	a ite	ems	in each	una	rter	during	the	two i	most
recently completed fiscal years														11001
								0.000		0			<u> </u>	
<u> </u>		First			Second			Third			Fourth			
(1 111)		•			•		_ ا				•			
(in millions) 2013 ^(a)	- '	Quarter 			Quarter			Quarter	1		Quarter			Total
Costs to achieve Progress		(4)		φ.	(4)		φ.	(4)		Φ.	(4)		Φ.	(16)
Energy merger (see Note 2)	\$	(4)		\$	(4)		\$	(4)		\$	(4)		\$	(16)
2012														
Costs to achieve Progress		(4)			(4)		_	(00)		Φ.	(4.0)			(00)
Energy merger (see Note 2)	\$	(1)		\$	(1)		\$	(22)	-	\$	(12)		\$	(36)
							<u> </u>		<u> </u>	_				
(a) Revised retail rates	beca	me effec	ctive i	<u>n N</u>	/lay (see	No	te 4	for furt	ner i	nto	rmation)		 	
				_										
DUKE ENERGY INDIANA														
		First		(Second			Third			Fourth			
(in millions)	1 .	Quarter		•	Quarter			Quarter			Quarter			Total
2013	+-	<u>Quarter</u>			Juai lei			dai lei			<u> zuai lei</u>			i Otai
	\$	724		\$	700		\$	755		\$	747		\$	2,926
Operating revenues	T D			Ð			Þ			Þ			Þ	
Operating income		181			168			203			181			733
Net income		90			82			104			82			358
2012				_			_							
Operating revenues	\$			\$	685		\$			\$	626		\$	2,717
Operating (loss) income		(272)			134			(30)			93			(75)
Net (loss) income		(167)			77			(19)			59			(50)
The following table includes un														most
recently completed fiscal years	. All a	mounts	discu	ISS	ed belo	w ar	e pr	etax un	less	oth	erwise r	note	d.	
		First		(Second			Third			Fourth			
		_			_			_			_			
(in millions)	1	<u>Quarter</u>	$\sqcup \bot$	(Quarter			Quarter	\sqcup	(Quarter		<u> </u>	Total
2013				_										
Costs to achieve Progress														
Energy merger (see Note 2)	\$	(4)	\vdash	\$	(5)		\$	(5)		\$	(5)		\$	(19)
2012			\vdash	-					$\vdash \vdash$				\vdash	
									\vdash					
Costs to achieve Progress	ተ	(4)		φ	(4)		ተ	(01)		ተ	/4.4.\		ሐ	(0.4)
Energy merger (see Note 2)	\$	(1)	\vdash	\$	(1)		\$	(21)	\vdash	\$	(11)		\$	(34)
Edwardsport IGCC charges		(420)						(100)			(20)			(600)
(see Note 4)		(420)			_			(180)			(28)			(628)

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Total	\$	(421)	\$	(1)	\$	(201)	\$	(39)	\$	(662)

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Duke Energy Registrants in the reports they file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) rules and forms.

Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Duke Energy Registrants in the reports they file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Duke Energy Registrants have evaluated their effectiveness of their disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of December 31, 2013, and, based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective in providing reasonable assurance of compliance.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Duke Energy Registrants have evaluated changes in internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2013 and have concluded no change has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Annual Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Duke Energy Registrants' management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(f) and 15d–15(f). The Duke Energy Registrants' internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate.

The Duke Energy Registrants' management, including their Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of their internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 based on the framework in the 1992 Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that evaluation, management concluded that its internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of December 31, 2013.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, Duke Energy's independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of Duke Energy's internal control over financial reporting.

216

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Duke Energy will provide information that is responsive to this Item 10 in its definitive proxy statement or in an amendment to this Annual Report not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report, in either case under the caption "Directors and Executive Officers," and possibly elsewhere therein. That information is incorporated in this Item 10 by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Duke Energy will provide information that is responsive to this Item 11 in its definitive proxy statement or in an amendment to this Annual Report not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report, in either case under the caption "Executive Compensation," and possibly elsewhere therein. That information is incorporated in this Item 11 by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Duke Energy will provide information that is responsive to this Item 12 in its definitive proxy statement or in an amendment to this Annual Report not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report, in either case under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters," and possibly elsewhere therein. That information is incorporated in this Item 12 by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Duke Energy will provide information that is responsive to this Item 13 in its definitive proxy statement or in an amendment to this Annual Report not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report, in either case under the caption "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions," and possibly elsewhere therein. That information is incorporated in this Item 13 by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Deloitte & Touche LLP, and the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu and their respective affiliates (collectively, Deloitte) provided professional services to the Duke Energy Registrants. The following tables present the Deloitte fees for services rendered to the Duke Energy Registrants during 2013 and 2012.

			Year E	nded Decem	ber 31, 2013		
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy ¢arolinas	Progress Energy	Duke Energy Progress	Duke	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

Types	of Fees																				
	ees ^(c)	\$	11.5		\$	4.1		\$	4.3		\$	2.5		\$	1.8		\$	1.3		\$	1.2
Audit-F	Related																				
Fees ^{(d})		2.3			0.4			0.2			0.1			0.1			-			-
Tax Fe	ees ^(e)		0.5			0.2			0.2			0.1			0.1			0.1			0.1
Total F	ees	\$	14.3		\$	4.7		\$	4.7		\$	2.7		\$	2.0		\$	1.4		\$	1.3
								Υ	ear E	nde	ed C	ecem)	be	r 31	, 2012	<u> </u>	1				
						Duke						Duke			Duke			Duke			Duke
		_	Duke															٠,			
<u>(in mil</u>		En	ergy ^(a)	gy ^(a) Carolinas Energy ^(b) Progress ^(b) Florida ^(b) Ohio Indiar														diana			
	of Fees																				
	ees ^(c)	\$	12.2		\$	4.2		\$	3.2		\$	1.7		\$	1.5		\$	2.8		\$	1.3
	Related																				
Fees ^{(d}			2.5			0.9			0.4			0.2			0.2			0.5			0.3
Tax Fe			0.9			0.3			0.2			0.1			0.1			0.2			0.1
Total F	ees	\$	15.6		\$	5.4		\$	3.8		\$	2.0		\$	1.8		\$	3.5		\$	1.7
(a)	Excludes a July 2, 201		unting t	fees	s an	d serv	/ices	s fo	r Prog	res	s Eı	nergy	reg	istra	ants pa	aid p	orio	r to the	e me	erge	r on
(b)	Includes a	ll ac	countin	g fe	es	and se	ervio	ces	paid r	orio	r to	and su	ubs	equ	ent to	the	me	rger.			
(c)	Audit Fees																		t of t	the	Duke
` /	Energy Re										•										
	of financia	sta	tement	s in	cluc	led in	qua	rte	rly rep	orts	on	Form	10-	Q, 1	or ser	vice	es th	nat are	nor	ma	lly
	provided b	•							-		_	•			_						for
	any other s		•						•												
(d)	Audit-Rela performand and divesti	се о	f an au	dit d	or re	eview	of fi	nar	ncial st									•			
(e)	Tax Fees a	are f	ees for	tax	ret	urn as	sist	anc	e and					exa	aminat	ion	ass	sistanc	e, a	nd	

To safeguard the continued independence of the independent auditor, the Duke Energy Audit Committee adopted a policy that provides the independent public accountants are only permitted to provide services to Duke Energy and its consolidated subsidiaries, including the Subsidiary Registrants that have been pre-approved by the Duke Energy Audit Committee. Pursuant to the policy, detailed audit services, audit-related services, tax services and certain other services have been specifically pre-approved up to certain fee limits. In the event the cost of any of these services may exceed the pre-approved limits, the Duke Energy Audit Committee must pre-approve the service. All other services that are not prohibited pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission's or other applicable regulatory bodies' rules of regulations must be specifically pre-approved by the Duke Energy Audit Committee. All services performed in in 2013 and 2012 by the independent public accountant were approved by the Duke Energy Audit Committee and Legacy Progress Energy Audit Committee pursuant to their pre-approval policies.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

a) Consolidated Financial Statements, Supplemental Financial Data and Supplemental Schedules included in Part II of this annual report are as follows:

Duke Energy Corporation

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Changed in Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Consolidated Financial Statement Schedule I — Condensed Parent Company Financial Information for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Progress Energy, Inc.

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

219

PART IV

Duke Energy Progress, Inc.

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

Financial Statements

Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Statements of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Notes to the Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited, included in Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes.

PA	RT	١V
----	----	----

SIGNATURES

_

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2014

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD

Lynn J. Good Vice Chairman, President and

Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

(i) /s/ LYNN J.

GOOD

Lynn J. Good

Vice Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer and Director)

(ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG

Steven K. Young

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

(iii) /s/ BRIAN D. SAVOY

Brian D. Savoy Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

1177 DII GULUIS	v) Director	S
-----------------	-------------------------------	---

William Barnet, III* James H. Carlos A. Saladrigas*

Hance, Jr.*

G. Alex Bernhardt, Sr.* John T. Herron * Philip R. Sharp*

Michael G. Browning* James B. Hyler,

Jr.*

Harris E. DeLoach, Jr.* William E.

Kennard *

Daniel R. DiMicco* E. Marie McKee*

John H. Forsgren* E. James

Reinsch*

Ann M. Gray* James T.

Rhodes*

Steven K. Young, by signing his name hereto, does hereby sign this document on behalf of the registrant and on behalf of each of the above-named persons previously indicated by asterisk pursuant to a power of attorney duly executed by the registrant and such persons, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit hereto.

By: /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG

Attorney-In-Fact

Date: February 28, 2014

SIGNATURES

_

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2014

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD

Lynn J. Good

Chief Executive Officer

- (i) /s/ LYNN J. GOODLynn J. GoodChief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
- (ii) /s/ STEVEN K.YOUNG
 Steven K. Young
 Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
- (iii) /s/ BRIAN D. SAVOY
 Brian D. Savoy
 Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)
- (iv) Directors:

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD Lynn J. Good

/s/ B. KEITH TRENT B. Keith Trent

/s/ LLOYD M. YATES Lloyd M. Yates

Date: February 28, 2014

PAF	Υ Τ Ι	I۷
-----	--------------	----

SIGNATURES

__

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2014

PROGRESS ENERGY, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD

Lynn J. Good

Chief Executive Officer

- (i) /s/ LYNN J. GOODLynn J. GoodChief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
- (ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNGSteven K. YoungExecutive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
- (iii) /s/ BRIAN D. SAVOYBrian D. SavoyVice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)
- (iv) Directors:

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD Lynn J. Good

/s/ JULIA S. JANSON Julia S. Janson

Date: February 28, 2014

PART I	٧
--------	---

SIGNATURES

__

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2014

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD

Lynn J. Good

Chief Executive Officer

- (i) /s/ LYNN J. GOOD Lynn J. Good Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
- (ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG
 Steven K. Young
 Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
- (iii) /s/ BRIAN D. SAVOY
 Brian D. Savoy
 Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)
- (iv) Directors:

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD Lynn J. Good

/s/ DHIAA M. JAMIL Dhiaa M. Jamil

/s/ JULIA S. JANSON Julia S. Janson

/s/ B. KEITH TRENT B. Keith Trent

/s/ LLOYD M. YATES Lloyd M. Yates

Date: February 28, 2014

PAF	Υ Τ Ι	I۷
-----	--------------	----

SI	GN	ΑT	UR	ES
U I	\sim 13	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$	OI I	ᆫ

__

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2014

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD

Lynn J. Good

Chief Executive Officer

- (i) /s/ LYNN J. GOODLynn J. GoodChief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
- (ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNG
 Steven K. Young
 Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
- (iii) /s/ BRIAN D. SAVOY
 Brian D. Savoy
 Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)
- (iv) Directors:

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD Lynn J. Good

/s/ DHIAA M. JAMIL

Dhiaa M. Jamil

/s/ JULIA S. JANSON

Julia S. Janson

/s/ B. KEITH TRENT

B. Keith Trent

/s/ LLOYD M. YATES Lloyd M. Yates

Date: February 28, 2014

SIGNATURES

_

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2014

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD

Lynn J. Good

Chief Executive Officer

- (i) /s/ LYNN J. GOODLynn J. GoodChief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
- (ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNGSteven K. YoungExecutive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
- (iii) /s/ BRIAN D. SAVOY
 Brian D. Savoy
 Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)
- (iv) Directors:

/s/ LYNN J. GOOD Lynn J. Good

/s/ B. KEITH TRENT B. Keith Trent

/s/ LLOYD M. YATES Lloyd M. Yates

Date: February 28, 2014

PAF	Υ Τ Ι	I۷
-----	--------------	----

SIGNATURES

_

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2014

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LYNN J. GOOD

Lynn J. Good

Chief Executive Officer

- (i) /s/ LYNN J. GOODLynn J. GoodChief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
- (ii) /s/ STEVEN K. YOUNGSteven K. YoungExecutive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
- (iii) /s/ BRIAN. D. SAVOYBrian D. SavoyVice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)
- (iv) Directors:

/s/ DOUGLAS F. ESAMANN

Douglas F. Esamann

/s/ KELLEY A. KARN

Kelley A. Karn

/s/ LLOYD M. YATES Lloyd M. Yates

Date: February 28, 2014

Part IV

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibits filed herewithin are designed by an asterisk (*). All exhibits not so designated are incorporated by reference to a prior filing, as indicated. Items constituting management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements are designated by a double asterisk (**). The Company agrees to furnish upon request to the Commission a copy of any omitted schedules or exhibits upon request on all items designated by a triple asterisk (***). Legacy Progress Energy, management contract or compensation plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this report pursuant to Item 15 (b) of Form 10-K (+).

PART IV

Exhibit	Duke	Eı	uke nergy	F	Progre		Ene	ıke ergy	En	uke ergy	En	uke ergy	En	uke ergy
Number	Energy	Cai	olina	IS	Ener	gy	Prog	jress	Flo	orida	0	hio	Ind	iana
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger between Duke Energy Corporation, Diamond Acquisition Corporation and Progress Energy, Inc., dated as of January 8, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 11, 2011, File No. 1-32853).	X												
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 4, 2006, File No. 1-32853).	X												
3.1.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2012, File No. 1-32853).	X												
3.2	Articles of Organization including Articles of Conversion (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2006, File No. 1-04928).			X										
3.2.1	Amended Articles of Organization, effective October 1, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 filed on November 13, 2006, File No.			X										

	1-04928).	L						
3.3	Amended Articles of Consolidation of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company), effective October 23, 1996, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1996 filed on November 13, 1996, File No. 1-01232).						X	
3.3.1	Amended Articles of Consolidation, effective October 1, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 filed on November 17, 2006, File No. 1-01232).						X	
3.4	Amended Articles of Consolidation of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy Inc.), effective April 20, 1995, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1995 filed on August 11, 1995, File No. 1-03543).							X
3.4.1	Amendment to Article D of the Amended Articles of Consolidation of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy Inc.), effective July 10, 1997, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(f) to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 filed on March 27, 1998, File No. 1-03543).							X
3.4.2	Amended Articles of Consolidation, effective October 1, 2006, (incorporated							X

	by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 filed on November 17, 2006, File No. 1-03543).							
3.5	Amended and Restated By-Laws of Duke Energy Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 25, 2013, File No. 1-32853).	X						
3.6	Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2006, File No. 1-04928).		X					
3.7	Regulations of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company), effective July 23, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 filed on August 13, 2003, File No. 1-01232).						X	
3.8	By-Laws of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.), effective July 23, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 filed on August 13, 2003, File No. 1-03543).							X
3.10	Restated Charter of Duke Energy Progress (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company), effective May 10, 1996, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) to				X			

	registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997 filed on August 13, 1997, File No. 1-03382).							
3.11	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Progress Energy, Inc. (formerly CP&L Energy, Inc.), effective June 15, 2000, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a)(1) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000 filed on August 14, 2000, File No. 1-03382).			X				
3.11.1	Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Progress Energy, Inc. (formerly CP&L Energy, Inc.), effective December 4, 2000, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b)(1) to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 filed on March 28, 2002, File No. 1-03382).			X				
3.11.2	Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Progress Energy, Inc. (formerly CP&L Energy, Inc.), effective May 10, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 filed on August 9, 2006, File No. 1-15929).			X				
3.12	Amended Articles of Incorporation of Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly Florida Power Corporation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991 filed on March 30, 1992, File No. 1-03274).					Х		

3.13	By-Laws of Progress Energy, Inc. (formerly CP&L Energy, Inc.), effective May 10, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 filed on August 9, 2006, File No. 1-15929).			X				
3.14	By-Laws of Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company), effective May 13, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 filed on August 7, 2009, File No. 1-15929).				X			
3.15	By-Laws of Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly Florida Power Corporation), effective September 20, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 20, 2010, File No. 1-3274).					X		
4.1	Indenture between Duke Energy Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee, dated as of June 3, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 16, 2008, File No. 1-32853).	X						
4.1.1	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 16, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 16, 2008, File No. 1-32853).	X						
4.1.2	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 26, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke	X						

	Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 26, 2009, File No. 1-32853).							
4.1.3	Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 28, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 28, 2009, File No. 1-32853).	X						
4.1.4	Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 25, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 25, 2010, File No. 1-32853).	X						
4.1.5	Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 25, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 25, 2011, File No. 1-32853).	X						
4.1.6	Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 17, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 17, 2011, File No. 1-32853).	X						
4.1.7	Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 16, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 16, 2012, File No. 1-32853).	X						
4.1.8	Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 14, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to Duke Energy Corporation's Form 8-A filed on January 14, 2013, File No. 1-32853).	X						
4.1.9	Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 13, 2013, (incorporated by reference to	X						

	Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 13, 2013, File No. 1-32853).							
4.1.10	Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 11, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2013, File No.1-32853).	X						
4.2	Senior Indenture between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as successor trustee to JPMorgan Chase Bank (formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank), dated as of September 1, 1998, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-D-1 to registrant's Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on April 7, 1999, File No. 333-14209).		X					
4.2.1	Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 3, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 3, 2007, File No. 333-146483).	X						
4.2.2	Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 5, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 6, 2007, File No. 1-04928).		X					
4.3	First and Refunding Mortgage from Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC to The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., successor trustee to Guaranty Trust Company of New York, dated as of December 1, 1927, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 7(a) to registrant's Form		X					

_			_	 _	_		 	_	
	S-1, effective October 15, 1947, File No. 2-7224).								
4.3.1	Instrument of Resignation, Appointment and Acceptance among Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Trustee, and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Successor Trustee, dated as of September 24, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6.1 to registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 3, 2007, File No. 333-146483).	X							
4.3.2	Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 1, 1949, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 7 (j) to registrant's Form S-1 filed on February 3, 1949, File No. 2-7808).	X							
4.3.3	Twentieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 15, 1964, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-B-20 to registrant's Form S-1 filed on August 23, 1966, File No. 2-25367).	X							
4.3.4	Twenty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 1, 1968, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2-B-26 to registrant's Form S-9 filed on January 21, 1969, File No. 2-31304).	X							
4.3.5	Sixtieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 1990, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-B-61 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, File No.1-04928).	X							
4.3.6	Sixty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 1, 1991, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-B-64 to registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on	X							

_			_	_	 	Ξ.	 _	_	 _	 . =
	February 13, 1992, File No. 33-45501).									
4.3.7	Eighty-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 20, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6.9 to Duke Energy Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 3, 2007, File No. 333-146483).	X								
4.3.8	Eighty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 10, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 11, 2008, File No.1-04928).			X						
4.3.9	Eighty-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 14, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 15, 2008, File No.1-04928).			X						
4.3.10	Eighty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 17, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 20, 2008, File No.1-04928).			X						
4.3.11	Ninetieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 19, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 19, 2009, File No.1-04928).			X						
4.3.12	Ninety-first Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 7, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 7, 2010, File No.1-04928).			X						
4.3.13				Χ						

	3	•	0,					
	Ninety-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 19, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2011, File No.1-04928).							
4.3.14	Ninety-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 8, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 8, 2011, File No.1-04928).		X					
4.3.15	Ninety-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 21, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 21, 2012, File No.1-04928).		X					
4.4	Mortgage and Deed of Trust between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and The Bank of New York Mellon (formerly Irving Trust Company) and Frederick G. Herbst (Tina D. Gonzalez, successor), as Trustees, dated as of May 1, 1940.				X			
4.4.1	First through Fifth Supplemental Indentures thereto (Exhibit 2(b), File No. 2-64189); the Sixth through Sixty-sixth Supplemental Indentures (Exhibit 2(b)-5, File No. 2-16210; Exhibit 2(b)-6, File No. 2-16210; Exhibit 4(b)-8, File No. 2-19118; Exhibit 4(b)-2, File No. 2-2439; Exhibit 4(b)-2, File No. 2-24624; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-27297; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-30172; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-35694; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-37505; Exhibit 2(c), File No.				X			

	g g	•					
4.4.2	2-39002; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-41738; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-43439; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-47751; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-49347; Exhibit 2(d), File No. 2-53113; Exhibit 2(d), File No. 2-53113; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-59511; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-61611; Exhibit 2(d), File No. 2-64189; Exhibit 2(c), File No. 2-65514; Exhibits 2(c), and 2(d), File No. 2-66851; Exhibits 4(b)-1, 4(b)-2, and 4(b)-3, File No. 2-95505; Exhibits 4(c)-1 through 4(c)-8, File No. 2-95505; Exhibits 4(b) through 4(h), File No. 33-25560; Exhibits 4(b) and 4(c), File No. 33-33431; Exhibits 4(b) and 4(c), File No. 33-38298; Exhibits 4(h) and 4(i), File No. 33-42869; Exhibits 4(e)-(g), File No. 33-48607; Exhibits 4(e) and 4(f), File No. 33-55060; Exhibits 4(e) and 4(f), File No. 33-60014; Exhibits 4(a) and 4(b) to Post-Effective Amendment No. 1, File No. 33-38349; Exhibit 4(e), File No. 33-50597; Exhibit 4(e), File No. 33-50597; Exhibit 4(e) and 4(f) to Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 33-57835, filed on February 24, 1995; Exhibit to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 28, 1997, File No. 1-03382; Exhibit 4(b) to Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 333-69237, filed on December 18, 1998; and Exhibit 4(c) to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 1999, File No. 1-03382; Exhibit 4(b) to Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 333-69237, filed on December 18, 1998; and Exhibit 4(c) to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 1999, File No. 1-03382). Seventy-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of Sontomber 1, 2002			X			
	September 1, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light						

4.4.3	Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 12, 2003, File No. 1-03382). Seventy-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2005, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress				X			
	Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 22, 2005, File No. 1-03382).							
4.4.4	Seventy-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2005, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2005, File No. 1-03382).				X			
4.4.5	Seventy-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 13, 2008, File No. 1-03382).				X			
4.4.6	Seventy-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 15, 2009, File No. 1-03382).				X			

4.4.7	Seventy-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 18, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2009, File No. 1-03382).				X			
4.4.8	Seventy-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2011, File No. 1-03382).				X			
4.4.9	Seventy-ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 1, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2012, File No. 1-03382).				X			
4.4.10	Eightieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 12, 2013, File No. 1-03382).				X			
4.5	Indenture (for Debt Securities) between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and The Bank of				X			

	New York Mellon (successor in interest to The Chase Manhattan Bank), as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 5, 1999, File No. 1-03382).							
4.6	Indenture (for [Subordinated] Debt Securities)(open ended) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a)(2) to Duke Energy Progress, Inc.'s (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company (d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.)) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on November 18, 2008, File No. 333-155418).				X			
4.7	Indenture (for First Mortgage Bonds) between Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly Florida Power Corporation) and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to Guaranty Trust Company of New York and The Florida National Bank of Jacksonville), as Trustee, dated as of January 1, 1944, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit B-18 to registrant's Form A-2, File No. 2-05293).					X		
4.7.1	Seventh Supplemental Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 27, 1991, File No. 33-16788).					X		
4.7.2	Eighth Supplemental Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 27, 1991, File No. 33-16788).					X		
4.7.3						Χ		

	Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(d) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 27, 1991, File No. 33-16788).							
4.7.4	Twenty-ninth Supplemental Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 17, 1982, File No. 2-79832).					X		
4.7.5	Thirty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 25, 1994, (incorporated by reference to exhibit 4(f) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on August 29, 1994, File No. 33-55273).					X		
4.7.6	Forty-first Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 1, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Duke Energy Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 21, 2003, File No. 1-03274).					X		
4.7.7	Forty-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 1, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 filed on August 11, 2003, File No. 1-03274).					X		
4.7.8						Х		

	Forty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 21, 2003, File No. 1-03274).							
4.7.9	Forty-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2004, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(m) to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed on March 16, 2005, File No. 1-03274).					X		
4.7.10	Forty-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 19, 2007, File No. 1-03274).					X		
4.7.11	Forty-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 1, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2007, File No. 1-03274).					Х		
4.7.12	Forty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 1, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly					X		

	Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 18, 2008, File No. 1-03274).							
4.7.13	Forty-ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 25, 2010, File No. 1-03274).					X		
4.7.14	Fiftieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 11, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 18, 2011, File No. 1-03274).					X		
4.7.15	Fifty-first Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 20, 2012, File No. 1-03274).					X		
4.8	Indenture (for Debt Securities) between Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, National Association (successor in interest to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), as Trustee, dated as of December 7, 2005, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to registrant's Current Report on					X		

	, and the second							
	Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2005, File No. 1-03274).							
4.9	Indenture (for [Subordinated] Debt Securities)(open ended) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a)(2) Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (formerly Florida Power Corporation (d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on November 18, 2008, File No. 333-155418).					X		
4.10	Original Indenture (Unsecured Debt Securities) between Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Successor Trustee, dated as of May 15, 1995, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to registrant's Form 8-A filed on July 27, 1995, File No. 1-01232).						X	
4.10.1	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 1, 1995, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 B to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1995 filed on August 11, 1995, File No. 1-01232).						X	
4.10.2	Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 15, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 filed on August 13, 2003, File No. 1-01232).						X	
4.11	Original Indenture (First Mortgage Bonds) between Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.						X	

	(formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Successor Trustee, dated as of August 1, 1936, (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to registrant's Registration Statement No. 2-2374).							
4.11.1	Fortieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 23, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 24, 2009, File No. 1-01232).						X	
4.11.2	Forty-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 6, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 6, 2013, File No. 1-01232).						X	
4.12	Indenture between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Successor Trustee, dated as of November 15, 1996, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(v) to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996 filed on March 27, 1997, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.12.1	Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 15, 1998, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 filed on March 27, 1998, File No.							X

	1-03543).							
4.12.2	Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 23, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 filed on November 13, 2003, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.12.3	Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 21, 2005, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7.3 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 29, 2010, File No. 333-169633).							X
4.12.4	Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 9, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 15, 2006, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.13	Original Indenture (First Mortgage Bonds) between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Successor Trustee, dated as of September 1, 1939, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 70-258).							X
4.13.1	Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 1, 1952, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 2-9687).							X
4.13.2	Twenty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 1977, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 2-57828).							X
4.13.3	Twenty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 1978, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 2-62543).							X
4.13.4								Χ

	Twenty-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 1978, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 2-62543).							
4.13.5	Thirtieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 1980, (filed as an exhibit in File No. 2-68562).							Х
4.13.6	Thirty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 30, 1984, (filed as an exhibit to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.13.7	Forty-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 1, 1990, (filed as an exhibit to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.13.8	Forty-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 15, 1991, (filed as an exhibit to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.13.9	Forty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 15, 1992, (filed as an exhibit to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.13.10	Fifty-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 30, 1999, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1999 filed on May 13, 1999, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.13.11	Fifty-seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 21, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke							Х

	Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report Form 8-K filed on August 21, 2008, File No. 1-03543).							
4.13.12	Fifty-eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 19, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8.12 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 29, 2010, File No. 333-169633-02).							X
4.13.13	Fifty-ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 23, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 24, 2009, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.13.14	Sixtieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 1, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8.14 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 29, 2010, File No. 333-169633-02).							X
4.13.15	Sixty-first Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 1, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8.15 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 29, 2010, File No. 333-169633-02).							X
4.13.16	Sixty-second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 9, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 9, 2010, File No. 1-03543).							X

4.13.17	Sixty-third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 23, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8.17 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 29, 2010, File No. 333-169633-02).							X
4.13.18	Sixty-fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 1, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(d)(2)(xviii) to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on September 30, 2013, File No.333-191462-03).							X
4.13.19	Sixty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 15, 2012, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.13.20	Sixty-sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 11, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.'s (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 11, 2013, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.14	Repayment Agreement between Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) and The Dayton Power and Light Company, dated as of December 23, 1992, (filed with registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992, File No. 1-01232).						X	
4.15	,							Χ

	Unsecured Promissory Note between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and the Rural Utilities Service, dated as of October 14, 1998, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 filed on March 8, 1999, File No. 1-03543).							
4.16	6.302% Subordinated Note between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Cinergy Corp., dated as of February 5, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 (yyy) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 filed on May 12,2003, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.17	6.403% Subordinated Note between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Cinergy Corp., dated as of February 5, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 (zzz) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 filed on May 12, 2003, File No. 1-03543).							X
4.18	Form of Duke Energy InterNote (Fixed Rate), dated as of November 13, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2012, File No. 1-32853).	X						
4.19	Form of Duke Energy InterNote (Floating Rate), dated as of November 13, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2012, File No. 1-32853).	X						
4.20				Х				

	Contingent Value Obligation Agreement between Progress Energy, Inc. (formerly CP&L Energy, Inc.) and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee, dated as of November 30, 2000, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 1, 2000, File No. 1-03382).							
4.21	Forty-second Supplemental Indenture between Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee, dated as of September 6, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 6, 2013, File No. 1-01232).						X	
4.22	Sixty-sixth Supplemental Indenture between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee, dated as of July 11, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 11, 2013, File No. 1-03543).							X
10.1	Purchase and Sale Agreement between Duke Energy Americas, LLC and LSP Bay II Harbor Holding, LLC, dated as of January 8, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 filed on May 10, 2006, File No. 1-32853).	X	X					
10.1.1	Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement between Duke	X	X					

	Energy Americas, LLC, LS Power Generation, LLC (formerly LSP Bay II Harbor Holding, LLC), LSP Gen Finance Co, LLC, LSP South Bay Holdings, LLC, LSP Oakland Holdings, LLC, and LSP Morro Bay Holdings, LLC, dated as of May 4, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.1 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 filed on May 10, 2006, File No.1-32853).							
10.2**	Directors' Charitable Giving Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-P to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992, File No. 1-04928).	X						
10.2.1**	Amendment to Directors' Charitable Giving Program, dated as of June 18, 1997, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1-1.1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 filed on March 15, 2004, File No. 1-04928).	X						
	Amendment to Directors' Charitable Giving Program, dated as of July 28, 1997, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-1.2 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 filed on March 15, 2004, File No. 1-04928).	X						
10.2.3**	Amendment to Directors' Charitable Giving Program, dated as of February 18, 1998, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-1.3 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 filed	X						

	on March 15, 2004, File No. 1-04928).							
10.3**	Duke Energy Corporation 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Form DEF 14A filed on March 28, 2003, File No. 1-04928).	X						
10.4	Agreements with Piedmont Electric Membership Corporation, Rutherford Electric Membership Corporation and Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corporation to provide wholesale electricity and related power scheduling services from September 1, 2006 through December 31, 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 filed on August 9, 2006, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.5	Asset Purchase Agreement between Saluda River Electric Cooperative, Inc., as Seller, and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, as Purchaser, dated as of December 20, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 27, 2006, File No. 1-04928).		X					
10.6	Settlement between Duke Energy Corporation, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and the U.S. Department of Justice resolving Duke Energy's used nuclear fuel litigation against the U.S. Department of Energy, dated as of March 6, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Item 8.01 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 12, 2007, File No. 1-04928).		X					

10.7	Engineering, Procurement and		Χ	1				
10.7	Construction Agreement between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Stone & Webster National Engineering P.C., dated as of July 11, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 filed on November 12, 2007, File No. 1-04928). (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.)							
10.8	Deferred Compensation Agreement between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (PSI Energy, Inc.) and James E. Rogers, dated as of January 1, 1992.							Х
10.9	Amended and Restated Engineering, Procurement and Construction Agreement between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Stone & Webster National Engineering P.C., dated as of February 20, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 filed on May 14, 2008, File No. 1-04928). (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as		X					

	amended).							
10.10	Asset Purchase Agreement between Cinergy Capital & Trading, Inc. (Capital & Trading), CinCap Madison, LLC and Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.), dated as of February 5, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(tt) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 filed on May 12, 2003, File No. 1-03543).							X
10.11**	Form of Phantom Stock Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 4, 2006, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.12	Amended and Restated Engineering and Construction Agreement between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Shaw North Carolina, Inc., dated as of December 21, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 28, 2009, File No. 1-04928).		X					
10.13	Asset Purchase Agreement between Capital & Trading., CinCap VII, LLC and Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.), dated as of February 5, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(uu) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 filed on May 12, 2003, File No. 1-03543).							X
10.14	Asset Purchase Agreement between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company) and						Х	

	Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, Allegheny Energy Supply Wheatland Generating Facility, LLC and Lake Acquisition Company, L.L.C., dated as of May 6, 2005, (incorporated by reference to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 filed on August 4, 2005, File No. 1-01232).							
10.15	Asset Purchase Agreement between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and CG&E and Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, Allegheny Energy Supply Wheatland Generating Facility, LLC and Lake Acquisition Company, L.L.C., dated as of May 6, 2005, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(kkkk) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 filed on August 4, 2005, File No. 1-03543).							X
10.16	Keepwell Agreement between Duke Capital LLC and Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (formerly The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company), dated as of April 10, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 14, 2006, File No. 1-01232).						X	
10.17	Agreements between Piedmont Electric Membership Corporation, Rutherford Electric Membership Corporation and Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corporation to provide wholesale electricity and related power scheduling services from September 1, 2006 through December 31, 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Duke Energy	X						

Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 filed on August 9, 2006, File No. 1-32853).							
Asset Purchase Agreement between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc., (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.), as Seller, and Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc., as Buyer, dated as of December 1, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 7, 2006, File No. 1-03543).							X
Purchase and Sale Agreement between Cinergy Capital & Trading, Inc., as Seller, and Fortis Bank, S.A./N.V., as Buyer, dated as of June 26, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2006, File No. 1-32853).	X						
Engineering, Procurement and Construction Management Agreement between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Bechtel Power Corporation, dated as of December 15, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed on March 13, 2009, File No. 1-03543). (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended).							X

	Formation and Sale Agreement between Duke Ventures, LLC, Crescent Resources, LLC, Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund V U.S. L.P., Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund V Special U.S., L.P., Morgan Stanley Real Estate Investors V U.S., L.P., MSP Real Estate Fund V, L.P., and Morgan Stanley Strategic Investments, Inc., dated as of September 7, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 filed on November 9, 2006, File No. 1-32853).							
10.22**	Stock Option Grant Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and James E. Rogers, dated as of April 4, 2006, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 6, 2006, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.23**	Duke Energy Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 27, 2006, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.24**	Amendment to the Duke Energy Corporation 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan between Duke Energy Corporation and Spectra Energy Corp., effective February 27, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 filed on May 10, 2007, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.25**	Amendment to the Duke Energy Corporation 2006 Long-Term	X						

Incentive Plan between Du Energy Corporation and Spectra Energy Corp., (incorporated by reference Exhibit 10.7 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form for the quarter ended Marc 2007 filed on May 10, 2007 No. 1-32853).	to 10-Q h 31,							
Engineering, Procurement Construction Agreement between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Stone Webster National Engineer P.C., dated as of July 11, 2 (incorporated by reference Exhibit 10.2 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Reson Form 10-Q for the quartended September 30, 2000 on November 9, 2007, File 1-32853). (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended).	& ring 2007, to By eport ter 7 filed No.	X						
Amended and Restated Engineering, Procurement Construction Agreement between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Stone Webster National Engineer P.C., dated as of February 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form for the quarter ended Maro 2008 filed on May 9, 2008, No. 1-32853). (Portions of exhibit have been omitted filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential	& ring 20, 5 10-Q sh 31, File the and	X						

	treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended).							
10.28	Agreement and Plan of Merger between DEGS Wind I, LLC, DEGS Wind Vermont, Inc., Catamount Energy Corporation, dated as of June 25, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 filed on August 11, 2008, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.29	Amended and Restated Engineering and Construction Agreement between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Shaw North Carolina, Inc., dated as of December 21, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed on February 26, 2010, File No.1-32853).	X						
10.30	Operating Agreement of Pioneer Transmission, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Duke Energy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 filed on November 7, 2008, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.31**	Amendment to Deferred Compensation Agreement between PSI Energy, Inc. and James E. Rogers, effective August 26, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Duke Energy Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 2, 2008, File No. 1-32853).	X						
*10.32**	Amended and Restated Duke Energy Corporation Directors'	Х						

	Saving Plan, dated as of January 1, 2014.							
10.33**	Deferred Compensation Agreement between PSI Energy, Inc. and James E. Rogers, dated as of December 16, 1992.	X						
10.34	Engineering, Procurement and Construction Management Agreement between Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (formerly PSI Energy, Inc.) and Bechtel Power Corporation, dated as of December 15, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 19, 2008, File Nos. 1-32853 and 1-03543). (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended).	X						X
10.35	Amended and Restated Engineering and Construction Agreement between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Shaw North Carolina, Inc., dated as of March 8, 2010, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 filed on May 7, 2010, File Nos. 1-32853 and 1-04928).	X	X					
10.36**	Form of Performance Award Agreement of Duke Energy Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.37**	Form of Phantom Stock Award of Duke Energy Corporation (incorporated by reference to	X						

10.38**	Exhibit 10.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011, File No. 1-32853). Form of Performance Award Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and James E. Rogers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.39**	Duke Energy Corporation Executive Severance Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2011, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.40	\$6,000,000,000 Five-Year Credit Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Duke Energy Indiana, Inc., Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., Carolina Power and Light Company d/b/a Duke Energy Progress, Inc. and Florida Power Corporation, d/b/a Duke Energy Florida, Inc., as Borrowers, the lenders listed therein, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A. and The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, as Co-Syndication Agents and Bank of China, New York Branch, Barclays Bank PLC, Citibank, N.A., Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited, New York Branch, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Documentation Agents, dated as of November 18, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's	X	X				X	X

	Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 25, 2011, File Nos. 1-32853, 1-04928, 1-01232 and 1-03543).							
10.41**	Form of Performance Award Agreement of Duke Energy Corporation under the Duke Energy Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.42**	Form of Phantom Stock Award Agreement of Duke Energy Corporation under the Duke Energy Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.43**	Form of Performance Award Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and James E. Rogers under the Duke Energy Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.44**	Employment Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and James E. Rogers, dated as of February 19, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 25, 2009, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.44.1**	Amendment, dated as of June 27, 2012, to the Employment Agreement, dated as of February 19, 2009, between Duke Energy Corporation and James E. Rogers (incorporated	X						

	by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 filed on August 8, 2012, File No. 1-32853).							
	Second Amendment, dated as of July 3, 2012, to the Employment Agreement, dated as of February 19, 2009, between Duke Energy Corporation and James E. Rogers (incorporated by reference Exhibit 10.2 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 filed on August 8, 2012, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.45**	Duke Energy Corporation 2010 Long-term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to registrant's Form DEF 14A filed on March 22, 2010, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.45.1**	Amendment to Duke Energy Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 filed on August 8, 2012, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.46	Settlement Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation, the North Carolina Utilities Commission Staff and the North Carolina Public Staff, dated as of November 28, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 29, 2012, File No. 1-32853).	X						
10.47	Settlement Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and the North Carolina Attorney General, dated as of December 3, 2012, (incorporated by	X						

reference Item 7.01 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 3, 2012, File No. 1-32853). 10.48** Retention Award Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and Lloyd Yates, dated as of July 9, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to registrant's Annual Report on
Form 8-K filed on December 3, 2012, File No. 1-32853). 10.48** Retention Award Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and Lloyd Yates, dated as of July 9, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
2012, File No. 1-32853). 10.48** Retention Award Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and Lloyd Yates, dated as of July 9, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control X Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
2012, File No. 1-32853). 10.48** Retention Award Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and Lloyd Yates, dated as of July 9, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control X Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
10.48** Retention Award Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and Lloyd Yates, dated as of July 9, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
between Duke Energy Corporation and Lloyd Yates, dated as of July 9, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
Corporation and Lloyd Yates, dated as of July 9, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control X Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
dated as of July 9, 2012, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
Exhibit 10.56 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
File No. 1-32853). 10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
10.49** Form of Change-in-Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
reference to Exhibit 10.58 to Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
Duke Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
2012 filed on March 1, 2013, File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
File No. 1-32853). 10.50** Consulting Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
10.50** Consulting Agreement between X Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
Duke Energy Corporation and John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
John R. McArthur, dated as of January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
January 1, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to
Form 10-K for the year ended
December 31, 2012 filed on
March 1, 2013, File No.
1-32853).
Award (incorporated by
reference to Exhibit 10.64 to
Duke Energy Corporation's
Annual Report on Form 10-K for
the year ended December 31,
2012 filed on March 1, 2013,
File No. 1-32853).
*10.52** Amended and Restated Duke X
Energy Corporation Executive
Cash Balance Plan, dated as of
January 1, 2014.
10.53 Purchase, Construction and
Ownership Agreement, dated
as of July 30, 1981, between
Duke Energy Progress, Inc.
(formerly Carolina Power &
Light Company) and North

	Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 3 and Exhibits, together with resolution, dated as of December 16, 1981, changing name to North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, amending letter, dated as of February 18, 1982, and amendment, dated as of February 24, 1982, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to registrant's File No. 33-25560).							
10.54	Operating and Fuel Agreement, dated as of July 30, 1981, between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 3 and Exhibits, together with resolution, dated as of December 16, 1981, changing name to North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, amending letters, dated as of August 21, 1981 and December 15, 1981, and amendment, dated as of February 24, 1982, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to registrant's File No. 33-25560).				X			
10.55	Power Coordination Agreement, dated as of July 30, 1981, between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 3 and Exhibits, together with resolution, dated as of December 16, 1981, changing name to North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency and amending letter, dated as of January 29, 1982, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) to registrant's File No. 33-25560).				X			

10.56	Amendment, dated as of December 16, 1982, to Purchase, Construction and Ownership Agreement, dated as of July 30, 1981, between Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company) and North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d) to registrant's File No. 33-25560).				X			
10.57+	Retirement Plan for Outside Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i) to registrant's File No. 33-25560).				X			
10.58+	Resolutions of Board of Directors amending the Deferred Compensation Plan for Key Management Employees of Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (formerly Carolina Power & Light Company), dated as of July 9, 1997, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b)(11) to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 filed on March 26, 1998, File No. 1-03382).				X			
10.59+	2002 Progress Energy, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan, Amended and Restated, effective January 1, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c)5 to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on March 1, 2007, File Nos. 1-15929, 1-03382 and 1-03274).			X	X	X		
10.60+	Amended and Restated Broad-Based Performance Share Sub-Plan, Exhibit B to the 2002 Progress Energy, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan, effective January 1, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10c(6) to registrant's Annual Report on			X	X	X		

	Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on March 1, 2007, File Nos. 1-15929, 1-03382 and 1-03274).							
10.61+	Amended and Restated Executive and Key Manager Performance Share Sub-Plan, Exhibit A to the 2002 Progress Energy, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan, effective January 1, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(7) to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on March 1, 2007, File Nos. 1-15929, 1-03382 and 1-03274).			X	X	X		
10.62+	Progress Energy, Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit C to registrant's Form DEF 14A filed on March 30, 2007, File No. 1-15929).			Х				
10.63+	Executive and Key Manager 2007 Performance Share Sub-Plan, Exhibit A to the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, effective January 1, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 16, 2007, File Nos. 1- 15929, 1-03382 and 1-03274).			X	X	X		
10.64+	Form of Progress Energy, Inc. Restricted Stock Agreement pursuant to the 2002 Progress Energy Inc. Equity Incentive Plan, effective July 2002, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(18) to registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed on March 16, 2005, File Nos. 1-15929 and 1-03382).			X	X			
10.65+	Form of Employment Agreement between (i) Progress Energy Service Company, LLC and Robert			Х	Х	Х		

	McGehee, John R. McArthur and Peter M. Scott III; (ii) PEC and Lloyd M. Yates, Fredrick N. Day IV, Paula M. Sims, William D. Johnson and Clayton S. Hinnant; and (iii) PEF and Jeffrey A. Corbett and Jeffrey J. Lyash, dated as of May 8, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 filed on May 9, 2007, File Nos. 1-15929, 1-03382 and 1-03274).							
10.66+	Form of Employment Agreement between Progress Energy Service Company, LLC and Mark F. Mulhern, dated September 18, 2007, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 filed on May 8, 2007, File No. 1-15929).			X				
10.67+	Form of Executive and Key Manager 2008 Performance Share Sub-Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 filed on May 12, 2008, File No. 1-15929, 1-03382 and 1-03274).			X	X	X		
10.68+	Progress Energy, Inc. 2009 Executive Incentive Plan, effective March 17, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit D to registrant's Form DEF 14A filed on March 31, 2009, File No. 1-15929).			X				
10.69+	Form of Letter Agreement executed by certain officers of Progress Energy, Inc., waiving certain rights under Progress Energy, Inc.'s Management Change-in-Control Plan and their employment agreements, dated as of January 8, 2011,			X				

	(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 8, 2011, File No. 1-15929).							
10.70+	Deferred Compensation Plan for Key Management Employees of Progress Energy, Inc., Amended and Restated, effective July 13, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 filed on November 8, 2011, File Nos. 1-15929, 1-03382 and 1-03274).			X	X	X		
10.71+	Executive and Key Manager 2009 Performance Share Sub-Plan, Exhibit A to 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, Amended and Restated, effective July 12, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 filed on November 8, 2011, File Nos. 1-15929, 1-03382 and 1-03274.			X	X	X		
10.72+	Progress Energy, Inc. Management Change-in-Control Plan, Amended and Restated, effective July 13, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d) to registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 filed on November 8, 2011, File Nos. 1-15929, 1-03382 and 1-03274).			X	X	X		
10.73+	Amended and Restated Supplemental Senior Executive Retirement Plan of Progress Energy, Inc., Amended and Restated, effective July 13, 2011, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i) to registrant's Quarterly Report on			X	X	X		

10.74+	Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 filed November 8, 2011, File Nos. 1-15929, 1-03382 and 1-03274). Form of Progress Energy, Inc. Restricted Stock Unit Award			X	X	X		
	Agreement (Graded Vesting), effective September 15, 2011.							
10.75+	Form of Progress Energy, Inc. Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Cliff Vesting), effective September 15, 2011.			Х	Х	X		
10.76	Precedent and Related Agreements between Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly Florida Power Corporation d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF")), Southern Natural Gas Company, Florida Gas Transmission Company ("FGT"), and BG LNG Services, LLC ("BG"), including: a) Precedent Agreement between Southern Natural Gas Company and PEF, dated as of December 2, 2004; b) Gas Sale and Purchase Contract between BG and PEF, dated as of December 1, 2004; c) Interim Firm Transportation Service Agreement by and between FGT and PEF, dated as of December 2, 2004; d) Letter Agreement between FGT and PEF, dated as of December 2, 2004 and Firm Transportation Service Agreement between FGT and PEF to be entered into upon satisfaction of certain conditions precedent; e) Discount Agreement between FGT and PEF, dated as of December 2, 2004;			X		X		

	f) Amendment to Gas Sale and Purchase Contract between BG and PEF, dated as of January 28, 2005; and g) Letter Agreement between FGT and PEF, dated as of January 31, 2005, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 15, 2005, File Nos. 1-15929 and 1-03274). (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended).								
10.77	Engineering, Procurement and Construction Agreement between Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly Florida Power Corporation d/b/a/ Progress Energy Florida, Inc.), as owner, and a consortium consisting of Westinghouse Electric Company LLC and Stone & Webster, Inc., as contractor, for a two-unit AP1000 Nuclear Power Plant, dated as of December 31, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 2, 2009, File Nos. 1-15929 and 1-03274). (Portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of			X		X			

	1934, as amended).			Ī	Ī				
10.78	Amendment No. 1 and Consent between Duke Energy Corporation, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Duke Energy Indiana, Inc., Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., Duke Energy Progress, Inc., Duke Energy Florida, Inc., and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated as of December 18, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2013, File Nos. 1-32853, 1-04928, 1-03382, 1-03274, 1-01232 and 1-03543).	X	X			X	X	X	X
10.79**	Employment Agreement between Duke Energy Corporation and Lynn J. Good, dated as of June 17, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 18, 2013, File No. 1-32853).	X							
10.80**	Duke Energy Corporation Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan, effective February 25, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to registrant's Current Report on Form 8- filed on May 7, 2013, File No. 1-32853).	X							
*10.81**	Duke Energy Corporation 2013 Director Compensation Program Summary.	Х							
*10.82**		X							
*12.1	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges - DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION	X							
*12.2	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges - DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS,		Х						

	LLC								
*12.3	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges - PROGRESS ENERGY, INC			Х					
*12.4	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges - DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC				X				
*12.5	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges - DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC					X			
*12.6	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges - DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.							X	
*12.7	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges - DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, INC.								Х
*21	List of Subsidiaries	Χ							
*23.1.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.	X							
*23.1.2	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.		X						
*23.1.3	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.			X					
*23.1.4	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.				X				
*23.1.5	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.					Х			
*23.1.6	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.							X	
*23.1.7	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.								X
*24.1	Power of attorney authorizing Lynn J. Good and others to sign the annual report on behalf of the registrant and certain of its directors and officers.	X							
*24.2	Certified copy of resolution of the Board of Directors of the registrant authorizing power of attorney.	X							
*31.1.1		Χ							

	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the							
	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.							
*31.1.2	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.		Х					
*31.1.3	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			Х				
*31.1.4	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.				Х			
*31.1.5	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X		
*31.1.6	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.						X	
*31.1.7	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.							X
*31.2.1	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X						
*31.2.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.		X					
*31.2.3	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			X				
*31.2.4	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.				Х			
*31.2.5	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X		
*31.2.6							X	

	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.							
*31.2.7	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.							Х
*32.1.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X						
*32.1.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.		X					
*32.1.3	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			Х				
*32.1.4	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.				X			
*32.1.5	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X		
*32.1.6	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.						Х	
*32.1.7	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.							X
*32.2.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	Х						
*32.2.2	Certification Pursuant to 18		Х					

Edgar Filing: Duke Energy CORP - Form 10-K

	U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.									
	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X				
*32.2.4	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.						X			
*32.2.5	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.							X		
	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.								X	
	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.									Х
*101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	Х		Х		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ
	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	Х		Х		Х	Х	X	X	Х
	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document	Х		Х		Х	X	X	X	X
	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document	Х		Х		Х	X	X	X	X
	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document	Х		Х		Х	Х	X	Х	X
*101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document	Х		Х		Х	Х	X	Х	Х
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					

The total amount of securities of the registrant or its subsidiaries authorized under any instrument with respect to long-term debt not filed as an exhibit does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. The registrant agrees, upon request of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), to furnish copies of any or all of such instruments to it.

Exhibit 229