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## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## OfficeMax Incorporated and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Operations

(thousands, except per-share amounts)
$\left.\begin{array}{lrl} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \text { June 27, } \\ \text { June 26, } \\ \text { 2010 }\end{array} \\ \text { (unaudited) }\end{array}\right)$

See accompanying notes to quarterly consolidated financial statements
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## OfficeMax Incorporated and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Operations

(thousands, except per-share amounts)

|  | Six Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 26, 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 27, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (unaudited) |  |
| Sales | \$ 3,570,428 | \$ 3,569,602 |
| Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs | 2,637,227 | 2,709,131 |
| Gross profit | 933,201 | 860,471 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |
| Operating and selling | 684,919 | 682,301 |
| General and administrative | 159,468 | 139,898 |
| Other operating expenses | 11,348 | 38,236 |
| Total operating expenses | 855,735 | 860,435 |
| Operating income | 77,466 | 36 |
| Interest expense | $(36,688)$ | $(38,667)$ |
| Interest income | 21,204 | 25,577 |
| Other income (expense), net | (35) | 2,840 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | 61,947 | $(10,214)$ |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | $(22,695)$ | 5,517 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to OfficeMax and noncontrolling interest | 39,252 | $(4,697)$ |
| Joint venture results attributable to noncontrolling interest | $(1,364)$ | 1,669 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to OfficeMax | 37,888 | $(3,028)$ |
| Preferred dividends | $(1,348)$ | $(1,538)$ |
| Net income (loss) available to OfficeMax common shareholders | \$ 36,540 | \$ (4,566) |
| Net income (loss) per common share: |  |  |
| Basic | 0.43 | \$ (0.06) |
| Diluted | \$ 0.43 | \$ (0.06) |

See accompanying notes to quarterly consolidated financial statements
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## OfficeMax Incorporated and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

(thousands, except share and per-share amounts)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 26, } \\ 2010 \\ \text { (unaudited) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 26, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Current assets: |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 521,203 | \$ 486,570 |
| Receivables, net | 503,965 | 539,350 |
| Inventories | 762,110 | 805,646 |
| Deferred income taxes and receivables | 121,554 | 133,836 |
| Other current assets | 56,431 | 55,934 |
| Total current assets | 1,965,263 | 2,021,336 |
| Property and equipment: |  |  |
| Land and land improvements | 40,902 | 41,072 |
| Buildings and improvements | 482,934 | 483,133 |
| Machinery and equipment | 786,365 | 792,650 |
| Total property and equipment | 1,310,201 | 1,316,855 |
| Accumulated depreciation | $(914,396)$ | $(894,707)$ |
| Net property and equipment | 395,805 | 422,148 |
| Intangible assets, net | 82,252 | 83,806 |
| Investment in affiliates | 175,000 | 175,000 |
| Timber notes receivable | 899,250 | 899,250 |
| Deferred income taxes | 307,138 | 300,900 |
| Other non-current assets | 171,019 | 167,091 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,995,727 | \$ 4,069,531 |

See accompanying notes to quarterly consolidated financial statements
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## OfficeMax Incorporated and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

(thousands, except share and per-share amounts)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 26, } \\ 2010 \\ \text { (unaudited) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 26, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Current portion of debt | \$ 22,898 | \$ | 22,430 |
| Accounts payable | 621,343 |  | 687,340 |
| Income tax payable | 6,661 |  | 3,389 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits | 120,791 |  | 153,408 |
| Other | 216,319 |  | 225,125 |
| Total current liabilities | 988,012 |  | 1,091,692 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 272,694 |  | 274,622 |
| Non-recourse debt | 1,470,000 |  | 1,470,000 |
| Other long-term obligations: |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits | 272,464 |  | 277,247 |
| Deferred gain on sale of assets | 179,757 |  | 179,757 |
| Other long-term obligations | 242,359 |  | 244,958 |
| Total other long-term obligations | 694,580 |  | 701,962 |
| Noncontrolling interest in joint venture | 34,558 |  | 28,059 |
| Shareholders equity: |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock no par value; $10,000,000$ shares authorized; Series D ESOP: $\$ .01$ stated value; 734,486 and 810,654 shares outstanding | 33,052 |  | 36,479 |
| Common stock $\$ 2.50$ par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; $85,013,810$ and $84,624,726$ shares outstanding | 212,535 |  | 211,562 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 991,940 |  | 989,912 |
| Accumulated deficit | $(565,699)$ |  | $(602,242)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | $(135,945)$ |  | $(132,515)$ |
| Total OfficeMax shareholders equity | 535,883 |  | 503,196 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 3,995,727 | \$ | 4,069,531 |

See accompanying notes to quarterly consolidated financial statements
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## OfficeMax Incorporated and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

## (thousands)

$\left.\begin{array}{l|cc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Six Months Ended } \\ \text { June 27, }\end{array} \\ \text { 2009 }\end{array}\right)$

See accompanying notes to quarterly consolidated financial statements
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## Notes to Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

## 1. Basis of Presentation

OfficeMax Incorporated ( OfficeMax, the Company or we ) is a leader in both business-to-business and retail office products distribution. The Company provides office supplies and paper, print and document services, technology products and solutions and office furniture to large, medium and small businesses, government offices and consumers. OfficeMax customers are serviced by over 30,000 associates through direct sales, catalogs, the Internet and a network of retail stores located throughout the United States, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and Mexico.

The accompanying quarterly consolidated financial statements include the accounts of OfficeMax and all majority-owned subsidiaries, except our $88 \%$-owned subsidiary historically based in Cuba which is accounted for as an investment due to various asset restrictions. We also consolidate the variable interest entities in which the Company is the primary beneficiary. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. These financial statements are for the thirteen-week and twenty-six-week periods ended on June 26, 2010 (also referred to as the second quarter of 2010 or the three months ended June 26, 2010 and the first six months of 2010 or the the six months ended June 26, 2010 , respectively) and the thirteen-week and twenty-six-week periods ended on June 27, 2009 (also referred to as the second quarter of 2009 or the three months ended June 27, 2009 and first six months of 2009 or the the six months ended June 27, 2009, respectively). The Company s fiscal year ends on the last Saturday in December. Due primarily to statutory reporting requirements, the Company s international businesses maintain December 31 year-ends and end their quarters on the last calendar day of the month, with our majority-owned joint venture in Mexico reporting one month in arrears.

The Company manages its business using three reportable segments: OfficeMax, Contract ( Contract segment or Contract ); OfficeMax, Retail ( Retail segment or Retail ); and Corporate and Other. Management reviews the performance of the Company based on these segments. We present information pertaining to our segments in Note 10. Segment Information.

The Company has prepared the quarterly consolidated financial statements included herein pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ). Some information and note disclosures, which would normally be included in comprehensive annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations. These quarterly consolidated financial statements should be read together with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009.

The quarterly consolidated financial statements included herein have not been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm, but in the opinion of management, include all adjustments necessary to present fairly the results for the periods. Except as disclosed within these
Notes to Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited), the adjustments made were of a normal, recurring nature. Quarterly results are not necessarily indicative of results which may be expected for a full year.

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB ) issued guidance which eliminates previous exceptions to rules requiring the consolidation of qualifying special-purpose entities, which will result in more entities being subject to consolidation assessments and reassessments. This guidance requires ongoing reassessment of whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity ( VIE ) and clarifies characteristics that identify a VIE. In addition, additional disclosures are required about a company s involvement with a VIE and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. The Company adopted the updated guidance in the first quarter of 2010. There was no impact on the Company s results of operations or financial condition.
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## Notes to Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (Continued)

## 2. Facility Closure Reserves

The Company conducts regular reviews of its real estate portfolio to identify underperforming facilities and closes those facilities that are no longer strategically viable or economically beneficial. The Company records a liability for the cost associated with a facility closure at its fair value in the period in which the liability is incurred, primarily the location s cease-use date. Upon closure, unrecoverable costs are included in facility closure reserves and include provisions for the present value of future lease obligations, less contractual or estimated sublease income. Accretion expense is recognized over the life of the required payments.

During the first six months of 2010, the Company recorded charges of $\$ 14.4$ million in its Retail segment related to facility closure, of which $\$ 13.0$ million was related to the lease liability and other costs associated with closing eight underperforming domestic stores prior to the end of their lease terms and $\$ 1.4$ million was related to asset impairments and other items. Of these charges, $\$ 1.1$ million was recorded in the second quarter of 2010.

During the first six months of 2009, the Company recorded charges of $\$ 31.2$ million related to the closing of 21 underperforming stores prior to the end of their lease terms, of which 17 were in the U.S. and four were in Mexico. Of these charges, $\$ 21.3$ million was recorded in the second quarter of 2009 and was associated with the closing of 11 stores in the U.S. and two stores in Mexico. These charges were included in other operating expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Facility closure reserve account activity during the first six months of 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

|  | Six Months Ended 2010 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LeaselContract |  |  |  |
| Terminations |  |  |  |\(\left.\quad \begin{array}{c}Other <br>

(thousands)\end{array}\right) ~\) Total

|  | Six Months Ended 2009 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LeaselContract |  |  |  |
| Terminations |  |  |  |\(\left.\quad \begin{array}{c}Other <br>

(thousands)\end{array}\right]\) Total
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## Notes to Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (Continued)

At June 26, 2010, the lease termination component of the facilities closure reserve consisted of the following:

|  | June 26, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> (thousands) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated future lease obligations | 144,424 <br> $(73,112)$ |
| Less: anticipated sublease income | $\$ 71,312$ |

In addition, the Company is the lessee of a legacy, building materials manufacturing facility near Elma, Washington. During 2006, the Company ceased operations at the facility, fully impaired the assets and recorded a reserve, which is separate from the facility closure reserve above, for the related lease payments and other contract termination and closure costs. During the second quarter, the Company signed an agreement with the lessor to terminate the lease and recorded income of $\$ 3.9$ million to adjust the associated reserve. This income is reported in other operating (income) expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Also during the second quarter, the Company contracted with a third party for the sale of the equipment, subject to a financing contingency. Assuming the equipment purchaser obtains its financing and the sale transaction closes, we would record an additional favorable reserve adjustment at the time of the transaction closing. The liabilities of the Elma facility ( $\$ 10.2$ million in total) are recorded in other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## 3. Severance and Other Charges

The first six months of 2010 included a charge recorded in our Contract segment of $\$ 0.8$ million (all in the first quarter) for severance related to a reorganization of our U.S. Contract customer service centers. During the first six months of 2009, we recorded $\$ 6.9$ million (all in the second quarter) of severance charges in the Contract segment, principally related to U.S. and Canadian sales force reorganizations. These charges are included in other operating expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As of June 26, 2010, $\$ 1.1$ million of severance charges recorded in 2010 and 2009 remain to be paid and are included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## 4. Timber Notes/Non-Recourse Debt

In October 2004, we sold our timberland assets in exchange for $\$ 15$ million in cash plus credit-enhanced timber installment notes in the amount of $\$ 1,635$ million (the Installment Notes ). The Installment Notes were issued by single-member limited liability companies formed by affiliates of Boise Cascade, L.L.C. (the Note Issuers ). The Installment Notes are 15 -year non-amortizing obligations and were issued in two equal $\$ 817.5$ million tranches bearing interest at $5.11 \%$ and $4.98 \%$, respectively. In order to support the issuance of the Installment Notes, the Note Issuers transferred a total of $\$ 1,635$ million in cash to Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (Lehman ) and Wachovia Corporation ( Wachovia ) (which was later purchased by Wells Fargo \& Company). Lehman and Wachovia each received $\$ 817.5$ million. Lehman and Wachovia issued collateral notes (the Collateral Notes ) to the Note Issuers. Concurrently with the issuance of the Installment and Collateral Notes, Lehman and Wachovia guaranteed the respective Installment Notes and the Note Issuers pledged the Collateral Notes as security for the performance of the Installment Note obligations.

In December 2004, we completed a securitization transaction in which the Company s interests in the Installment Notes and related guarantees were transferred to wholly-owned bankruptcy remote subsidiaries. The subsidiaries pledged the Installment Notes and related guarantees and issued securitized notes (the
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## Notes to Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (Continued)

Securitization Notes ) in the amount of $\$ 1,470$ million ( $\$ 735$ million through the structure supported by the Lehman guaranty and $\$ 735$ million through the structure supported by the Wachovia guaranty). As a result of these transactions, we received $\$ 1,470$ million in cash. Recourse on the Securitization Notes is limited to the proceeds of the applicable pledged Installment Notes and underlying Lehman or Wachovia guaranty, and therefore there is no recourse against OfficeMax.

On September 15, 2008, Lehman, the guarantor of half of the Installment Notes and the Securitization Notes, filed a petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York seeking relief under chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Lehman s bankruptcy filing constituted an event of default under the $\$ 817.5$ million Installment Note guaranteed by Lehman (the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note ). We evaluated the carrying value of the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note and reduced it to the estimated amount we expect to collect, $\$ 81.8$ million. On April 14, 2010, Lehman filed its Debtors Disclosure Statement with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The Disclosure Statement indicated a range of estimated recoveries for general unsecured creditors of Lehman. As our estimate is similar to the estimate included in the Disclosure Statement, we have not adjusted our estimated carrying value for the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note.

Since recourse on the Securitization Notes is limited to the proceeds from the applicable pledged Installment Notes and underlying Lehman or Wachovia guaranty, the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note and the underlying Lehman guaranty will be transferred to the holders of the Securitization Notes guaranteed by Lehman in order to settle and extinguish that liability. However, under current generally accepted accounting principles, we are required to continue to recognize the liability related to the Securitization Notes guaranteed by Lehman until such time as the liability has been extinguished. This will occur when the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note and the guaranty are transferred to and accepted by the Securitization Note holders. We expect that this will occur no later than the date when the assets of Lehman are distributed and the bankruptcy is finalized. Accordingly, we expect to recognize a non-cash gain equal to the difference between the carrying amount of the Securitization Notes guaranteed by Lehman and the carrying value of the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note in a later period when the liability is legally extinguished. The actual gain to be recognized in the future will be measured based on the carrying amounts of the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note and the Securitization Notes guaranteed by Lehman at the date of settlement.

## 5. Debt

## Credit Agreements

On July 12, 2007, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement (the U.S. Credit Agreement ) with a group of banks. The U.S. Credit Agreement permits the Company to borrow up to a maximum of $\$ 700$ million subject to a borrowing base calculation that limits availability to a percentage of eligible accounts receivable plus a percentage of the value of eligible inventory, less certain reserves. Letters of credit, which may be issued under the U.S. Credit Agreement up to a maximum of $\$ 250$ million, reduce available borrowing capacity. At June 26, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the U.S. Credit Agreement. The U.S. Credit Agreement expires on July 12, 2012.

On September 30, 2009, Grand \& Toy Limited, the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary in Canada, entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the Canadian Credit Agreement) with a group of banks. The Canadian Credit Agreement permits Grand \& Toy Limited to borrow up to a maximum of $\mathrm{C} \$ 60$ million subject to a borrowing base calculation that limits availability to a percentage of eligible accounts receivable plus a percentage of the value of eligible inventory, less certain reserves. Letters of credit, which may be issued under the Canadian Credit Agreement up to a maximum of $\mathrm{C} \$ 10$ million, reduce available borrowing capacity. Grand \& Toy Limited was in compliance with all covenants under the Canadian Credit Agreement at the end of the second quarter of 2010. The Canadian Credit Agreement expires on July 12, 2012.
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## Notes to Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (Continued)

On March 15, 2010, the Company s five wholly-owned subsidiaries based in Australia and New Zealand (the Australasian subsidiaries ) entered into a Facility Agreement (the Australasian Credit Agreement ) with a financial institution based in those countries. The Australasian Credit Agreement permits the Australasian subsidiaries to borrow up to a maximum of $\mathrm{A} \$ 80$ million subject to a borrowing base calculation that limits availability to a percentage of eligible accounts receivable plus a percentage of the value of certain owned properties less certain reserves. At the end of the second quarter of 2010, the Australasian subsidiaries were in compliance with all covenants under the Australasian Credit Agreement. The Australasian Credit Agreement expires on March 15, 2013.

Borrowings and availability under the Company s credit agreements at the end of the second quarter of 2010 were as follows:

|  | U.S. <br> Credit Agreement | CanadianCreditAgreement(millions of U.S. dollars) |  | Australasian Credit Agreement ) |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum aggregate available borrowing amount | \$ 528.1 | \$ | 41.7 | \$ | 56.6 | \$ 626.4 |
| Less: Stand-by letters of credit | (57.5) |  |  |  |  | (57.5) |
| Amount available for borrowing at the end of the second quarter of 2010 | \$ 470.6 | \$ | 41.7 | \$ | 56.6 | \$ 568.9 |
| Maximum borrowings outstanding during first six months of 2010 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |

Borrowings and availability under the Company s credit agreements at December 26, 2009 were as follows:

|  | U.S. <br> Credit Agreement | C | dian dit ment U.S. d | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum aggregate available borrowing amount | \$ 534.4 | \$ | 39.7 | \$ 574.1 |
| Less: Stand-by letters of credit | (61.1) |  |  | (61.1) |
| Amount available for borrowing at December 26, 2009 | \$ 473.3 | \$ | 39.7 | \$ 513.0 |

## Cash Paid for Interest

Cash payments for interest, excluding payments related to the timber notes, were $\$ 16.7$ million and $\$ 17.3$ million for the first six months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Cash interest payments made on the Securitization Notes are completely offset by interest payments received on the Installment Notes.

## 6. Investments in Affiliates

In connection with the sale of the paper, forest products and timberland assets in 2004, the Company invested $\$ 175$ million in the securities of affiliates of Boise Cascade, L.L.C. Due to the restructuring conducted by those affiliates, the investment is currently in Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. (the Boise Investment ). A portion of the securities received in exchange for the Company s investment carry no voting rights. This investment is accounted for under the cost method as the Company does not have the ability to significantly influence its operating and financial policies. This investment is included in investment in affiliates in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
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## Notes to Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (Continued)

Through its investment in Boise Inc., Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. indirectly owned an interest in Boise White Paper, L.L.C. OfficeMax is obligated by contract to purchase its North American requirements for cut-size office paper from Boise White Paper, L.L.C. During the first quarter of 2010, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. sold its remaining investment in Boise Inc. As a result of the sale, the Company estimated the fair value of its investment in Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. and concluded that there was no impairment to the carrying value of the investment. The Company continues to monitor and assess this investment and industry. Also as a result of the sale, Boise White Paper, L.L.C. is no longer a related party to the Company, and as such, amounts presented as related party receivables of $\$ 6.5$ million and related party payables of $\$ 37.1$ million at December 26, 2009 have been included in Receivables, net and Accounts Payable , respectively.

The Boise Investment represented a continuing involvement in the operations of the business we sold in 2004. Therefore, approximately $\$ 180$ million of gain realized from the sale in 2004 was deferred. This gain is expected to be recognized in earnings as the Company s investment is reduced.

The non-voting securities of Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. accrue dividends daily at the rate of $8 \%$ per annum on the liquidation value plus accumulated dividends. Dividends accumulate semiannually to the extent not paid in cash on the last day of June and December. The Company recognized dividend income on this investment of $\$ 1.8$ million and $\$ 1.6$ million in the second quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively, and $\$ 3.5$ million and $\$ 3.3$ million in the first six months of 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company receives distributions on the Boise Investment for the income tax liability associated with its share of allocated earnings. The Company did not receive any tax-related distributions in the first six months of 2010 and received $\$ 2.6$ million in the first six months of 2009.

## 7. Financial Instruments

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, other assets (non-derivatives), short-term borrowings and trade accounts payable approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. The following table presents the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company s other financial instruments at June 26, 2010 and December 26, 2009. The fair value of a financial instrument is the estimated amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties.

|  | June 26, 2010 |  | December 26, 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount | Fair value ( | Carrying Amount ns) | Fair value |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed-rate debt | \$ 280.2 | \$ 246.8 | \$ 280.9 | \$ 190.8 |
| Variable-rate debt | 15.4 | 15.1 | 16.7 | 16.4 |
| Timber notes securitized |  |  |  |  |
| Wachovia | \$ 735.0 | \$ 791.5 | \$ 735.0 | \$ 754.8 |
| Lehman | 735.0 | 81.8 | 735.0 | 81.8 |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Timber notes receivable |  |  |  |  |
| Wachovia | \$ 817.5 | \$ 865.0 | \$ 817.5 | \$ 823.6 |
| Lehman | 81.8 | 81.8 | 81.8 | 81.8 |
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## Notes to Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (Continued)

In establishing a fair value, there is a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The basis of the fair value measurement is categorized in three levels, in order of priority, as described below:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or financial instruments for which all significant inputs are observable; either directly or indirectly.

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable; thus, reflecting assumptions about the market participants.

The carrying amounts shown in the table are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets under the indicated captions. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments:

Timber notes receivable: The fair value of the Installment Notes guaranteed by Wachovia is determined as the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the current interest rate for loans of similar terms with comparable credit risk (Level 2 inputs). The fair value of the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note reflects the estimated future cash flows of the note considering the estimated effects of the Lehman bankruptcy (Level 3 inputs).

Debt: The fair value of the Company $s$ debt is estimated based on recent quoted market prices when available or by discounting the future cash flows of each instrument at rates currently offered to the Company for similar debt instruments of comparable maturities (Level 2 inputs).

Securitization notes payable: The fair value of the Securitization Notes supported by Wachovia is estimated by discounting the future cash flows of the instruments at rates currently available to the Company for similar instruments of comparable maturities (Level 2 inputs). The fair value of the Securitization Notes supported by Lehman is estimated based on the future cash flows of the instruments in a bankruptcy proceeding (Level 3 inputs).
For the six months ended June 26, 2010, there was no change in assets and liabilities measured at estimated fair value using Level 3 inputs.

## 8. Income Taxes

The Company or its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. Federal jurisdiction, and multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. Years prior to 2006 are no longer subject to U.S. Federal income tax examination, and the Company is no longer subject to income tax examinations in its major state jurisdictions for years before 2003.

At the time of the sale of the timberlands in 2004, we generated a tax gain and recognized the related deferred tax liability. The timber installment note structure allowed the Company to defer the resulting tax liability of $\$ 543$ million until 2020, the maturity date for the Installment Notes. Due to the Lehman bankruptcy and note defaults, the Lehman portion of the gain will be triggered when the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note is transferred to the Securitization Note holders as payment and/or when the Lehman bankruptcy is resolved. At that time, we expect to reduce the estimated cash payment due by utilizing our available alternative minimum tax credits.

As of June 26, 2010, the Company had $\$ 20.9$ million of total gross unrecognized tax benefits. During the first six months of 2010, the Company increased the unrecognized tax benefit as we derecognized amounts for
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positions relating to temporary differences. Of the total gross unrecognized benefits, approximately $\$ 6.4$ million represents the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect the Company s effective income tax rate in future periods. The Company does not anticipate any tax settlements to occur within the next twelve months.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending gross unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

|  | Amount <br> (thousands) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Balance at December 26, 2009 | 8,247 |
| Increase related to prior year tax positions | 12,966 |
| Settlement | $(329)$ |
| Balance at June 26, 2010 | $\$ 20,884$ |

During the first six months of 2010 and 2009, the Company made cash payments for income taxes, net of refunds received, as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (thousands) |  |  |
| Cash tax payments, net | $\$ 3,815$ | $\$ 11,685$ |

## 9. Postretirement Benefit Plans

The following represents the components of net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit costs (income) which are recorded in general and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations:

|  | Pension Benefits Three Months Ended |  |  |  | Other Benefits Three Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 26, 2010 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 27, } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ |  | June 26, 2010 |  | June 27, 2009 |  |
|  |  |  | (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost |  | 707 |  | 1,126 | \$ | 66 | \$ | 55 |
| Interest cost |  | 18,494 |  | 18,965 |  | 310 |  | 297 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | $(20,872)$ |  | $(18,943)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Recognized actuarial loss |  | 3,273 |  | 1,646 |  | 64 |  | 46 |
| Amortization of prior service costs and other |  |  |  |  |  | (,002) |  | (997) |
| Net periodic benefit cost (income) | \$ | 1,602 |  | 2,794 |  | (562) |  | (599) |


|  | Pension Benefits Six Months Ended |  | Other Benefits Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 26, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 27, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 26, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 27,2009 |  |
|  |  | (thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ 1,383 | \$ 2,253 | \$ | 131 | \$ | 94 |
| Interest cost | 37,107 | 37,929 |  | 605 |  | 576 |
| Expected return on plan assets | $(41,747)$ | $(38,737)$ |  |  |  |  |

Edgar Filing: OFFICEMAX INC - Form 10-Q

| Recognized actuarial loss | 6,619 | 7,037 | 112 | 96 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Amortization of prior service costs and other | 379 |  | $(2,003)$ | $(1,996)$ |
| Net periodic benefit cost (income) | $\$ 3,741$ | $\$ 8,482$ | $\$(1,155)$ | $\$(1,230)$ |
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The Company expects to fund the minimum pension contribution requirement for 2010 of approximately $\$ 3.8$ million, with cash. As of June 26, 2010, $\$ 1.8$ million in cash has been contributed.

## 10. Segment Information

The Company manages its business using three reportable segments: Contract, Retail and Corporate and Other. Management reviews the performance of the Company based on these segments.

Contract distributes a broad line of items for the office, including office supplies and paper, technology products and solutions, print and document services and office furniture. Contract sells directly to large corporate and government offices, as well as to small and medium-sized offices in the United States, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. This segment markets and sells through field salespeople, outbound telesales, catalogs, the Internet and in some markets, including Canada, Australia and New Zealand, through office products stores. All products sold by Contract are purchased from third-party manufacturers or industry wholesalers. Contract purchases office papers primarily from Boise White Paper, L.L.C., under a paper supply contract with an initial term that expires in 2016.

Retail is a retail distributor of office supplies and paper, print and document services, technology products and solutions and office furniture. In addition, this segment contracts with large national retail chains to supply office and school supplies to be sold in their stores. Retail office products stores feature OfficeMax ImPress, an in-store module devoted to print-for-pay and related services. Retail has operations in the United States, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The Retail segment also operates office products stores in Mexico through a $51 \%$-owned joint venture. All products sold by Retail are purchased from third-party manufacturers or industry wholesalers. Retail purchases office papers primarily from Boise White Paper, L.L.C., under the paper supply contract described above.

Corporate and Other includes corporate support staff services and certain other legacy expenses as well as the related assets and liabilities. The income and expense related to certain assets and liabilities that are reported in the Corporate and Other segment have been allocated to the Contract and Retail segments.

Management evaluates the segments performances based on segment income which represents operating income (loss) excluding the effect of certain operating matters such as severances, facility closures (including adjustments to legacy closure), and asset impairments, that are not indicative of our core operations.

An analysis of our operations by segment is as follows:


Three months ended June 27, 2009

| Contract | $\$ 881.7$ | $\$ 12.4$ | $\$$ | $(6.9)$ | $\$$ | 5.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retail | 776.2 | $(2.0)$ | $(21.3)$ | $(23.3)$ |  |  |
| Corporate and Other |  | $(9.6)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(9.7)$ |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\$ 1,657.9 & \$ & 0.8 & \$ & (28.3) & \$ & (27.5) & \$(4.0)
\end{array} \$(31.5)
$$
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|  | Sales |  | gment come (loss) | Other operating (income) expense, net |  | Operating income (loss) ions) |  | Interest and other, net | Pre-tax income (loss) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Six months ended June 26, 2010 | (milions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contract | \$ 1,843.5 |  | 53.1 | \$ | (0.8) | \$ | 52.3 |  |  |  |
| Retail | 1,726.9 |  | 52.6 |  | (14.4) |  | 38.2 |  |  |  |
| Corporate and Other |  |  | (16.9) |  | 3.9 |  | (13.0) |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 3,570.4 | \$ | 88.8 |  | (11.3) | \$ | 77.5 | \$ (15.6) |  | \$ 61.9 |
| Six months ended June 27, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contract | \$ 1,809.3 |  | 33.9 |  | (6.9) | \$ | 27.0 |  |  |  |
| Retail | 1,760.3 |  | 23.3 |  | (31.2) |  | (7.9) |  |  |  |
| Corporate and Other |  |  | (18.9) |  | (0.1) |  | (19.0) |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 3,569.6 | \$ | 38.3 |  | (38.2) | \$ | 0.1 | \$ (10.3) |  | \$ (10.2) |

## 11. Share Based Payments

The Company sponsors several share-based compensation plans, which are described below. The Company recognizes compensation expense from all share-based payment transactions with employees in the consolidated financial statements based on grant date fair value, with the offset recorded to additional paid-in capital. Pre-tax compensation expense related to the Company s share-based plans was $\$ 3.3$ million and $\$ 2.0$ million for the second quarters of 2010 and 2009, respectively and $\$ 5.9$ million and $\$ 3.7$ million for the first six months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Compensation expense is generally recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of grants. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for share-based compensation arrangements was $\$ 1.3$ million and $\$ 0.8$ million for the second quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively and $\$ 2.3$ million and $\$ 1.5$ million for the first six months of 2010 and 2009 , respectively.

## Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

Pre-tax compensation expense related to restricted stock and Restricted Stock Units ( RSUs ) awards was $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 1.3$ million for the second quarters of 2010 and 2009 , respectively and $\$ 3.5$ million and $\$ 2.8$ million for the first six months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The grant date fair value used to calculate compensation expense related to restricted stock and RSUs is based on the closing price of the Company s common stock on the grant date. The remaining compensation expense to be recognized related to outstanding restricted stock and RSUs, net of estimated forfeitures, is approximately $\$ 8.1$ million. The remaining compensation expense is to be recognized through the first quarter of 2013.
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A summary of restricted stock and RSU activity for the first six months of 2010 and 2009 is presented in the following table:

|  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Weighted- <br> Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share |  | Shares | Weighted- <br> Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share |  |
| Nonvested, balance at beginning of period | 1,929,945 | + | 16.24 | 2,258,961 |  | 31.07 |
| Granted | 347,356 |  | 14.45 | 726,152 |  | 4.88 |
| Vested | $(1,126)$ |  | 33.69 | $(489,682)$ |  | 27.09 |
| Forfeited | $(542,348)$ |  | 22.38 | $(574,409)$ |  | 49.70 |
| Nonvested, balance at end of period | 1,733,827 | \$ | 13.95 | 1,921,022 |  | 16.62 |

## Stock Options

Pre-tax compensation expense related to stock option awards was $\$ 1.3$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million for the second quarters of 2010 and 2009 , respectively and $\$ 2.4$ million and $\$ 0.9$ million for the first six months of 2010 and 2009 , respectively. The grant date fair value used to calculate compensation expense related to stock option awards is based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The grant date fair value for stock options granted in the first six months of 2010 (all in the first quarter) was calculated using the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of $3.15 \%$ (based on the applicable Treasury note rate for the time period options may be exercised); expected life of 3.0 years (based on the time period options are expected to be outstanding based on historical experience); and expected stock price volatility of $69.0 \%$ (based on a combination of the historical and expected future volatility of the Company s common stock). The remaining compensation expense to be recognized related to outstanding stock options net of estimated forfeitures, is approximately $\$ 6.7$ million. The majority of the remaining compensation expense is to be recognized through the first quarter of 2013.

A summary of stock option activity for the six months ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009 is presented in the table below:

|  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Weighted Avg. Exercise Price |  | Shares | Weighted Avg. Exercise Price |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | 3,249,773 | \$ | 15.14 | 1,495,795 | \$ | 31.95 |
| Options granted | 1,068,362 |  | 14.52 | 2,071,360 |  | 4.77 |
| Options exercised | $(370,022)$ |  | 4.80 |  |  |  |
| Options forfeited and expired | $(104,526)$ |  | 11.20 | $(2,100)$ |  | 29.38 |
| Balance at end of period | 3,843,587 | \$ | 16.07 | 3,565,055 | \$ | 16.16 |
| Exercisable at end of period | 1,515,470 |  |  | 1,434,362 |  |  |
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The following table provides summarized information about stock options outstanding at June 26, 2010:

| Range of Exercise Prices |  | Options Outstanding Weighted Average Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price | $\left.\begin{array}{cc}\text { Options Exercisable } \\ \text { Weighted } \\ \text { Average }\end{array}\right\}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Options Outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2.50 | 11,171 |  | \$ 2.50 | 11,171 | \$ | 2.50 |
| \$4.00 \$5.00 | 1,531,436 | 5.6 | 4.76 | 272,423 |  | 4.72 |
| \$14.00 \$15.00 | 1,057,438 | 6.6 | 14.52 |  |  |  |
| \$18.00 \$28.00 | 533,582 | 0.2 | 27.65 | 533,582 |  | 27.65 |
| \$28.01 \$39.00 | 709,960 | 2.3 | 34.29 | 698,294 |  | 34.34 |

At June 26, 2010, the aggregate intrinsic value was $\$ 16.1$ million for outstanding stock options and $\$ 2.9$ million for exercisable stock options. The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (i.e. the difference between the Company s closing stock price on the last trading day of the second quarter of 2010 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money stock options at the end of the quarter).

## 12. Shareholders Equity and Noncontrolling Interest

The following table reflects changes in shareholders equity and noncontrolling interest for the first six months of 2010.


In accordance with an amended and restated joint venture agreement, the minority owner of Grupo OfficeMax, our joint-venture in Mexico, can elect to require OfficeMax to purchase the minority owner s $49 \%$ interest in the joint venture if certain earnings targets are achieved. Earnings targets are calculated quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis. Accordingly, the targets may be achieved in one quarter but not in the next. If the earnings targets are achieved and the minority owner elects to require OfficeMax to purchase the minority owner s interest, the purchase price is based on the joint venture s earnings and the current market multiples of similar companies. At the end of the second quarter of 2010, Grupo OfficeMax met the earnings targets and the estimated purchase price of the minority owner s interest was $\$ 34.1$ million. As the estimated purchase price was greater at the end of the second quarter of 2010 than the carrying value of the noncontrolling interest, the Company recorded an adjustment to state the noncontrolling interest at the estimated purchase price, and, as the estimated purchase price approximates fair value, the offset was recorded to additional paid-in capital.
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## 13. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes the following:

|  | Three Months EndedJune 26,2010 June 27,(thousands) |  | Six Months Ended  <br> June 26, June 27, <br> 2010 2009 <br> (thousands)  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income (loss) attributable to OfficeMax and noncontrolling interest | \$ 12,949 | \$ $(17,728)$ | \$ 39,252 | \$ $(4,697)$ |
| Other comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | $(10,920)$ | 37,252 | $(6,378)$ | 25,860 |
| Amortization of unrecognized retirement and benefit costs, net of tax | 2,321 | 418 | 3,118 | 3,123 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to OfficeMax and noncontrolling interest | 4,350 | 19,942 | 35,992 | 24,286 |
| Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest | 455 | 4,390 | 1,535 | (895) |
| Comprehensive income available to OfficeMax | \$ 3,895 | \$ 15,552 | \$ 34,457 | \$ 25,181 |

## 14. Net Income (Loss) Available to OfficeMax Common Shareholders

The computation of basic and diluted income (loss) per common share for the second quarter and the first six months of 2010 and 2009 is as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|cccccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three months Ended } \\ \text { June 26, } \\ \text { June 27, } \\ \text { 2009 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Six months Ended } \\ \text { June 26, } \\ \text { 2010 } \\ \text { 2010 } \\ \text { (tune 27, } \\ \text { 2009 }\end{array} \\ \text { (thousands, except per- } \\ \text { share amounts) }\end{array}\right]$
(a) The assumed conversion of outstanding preferred stock was anti-dilutive in all periods presented, and therefore no adjustment was required to determine diluted income or average shares-diluted.
(b) Outstanding options to purchase 1.2 million shares of common stock were excluded from the computation of diluted income (loss) per common share for the periods of 2010 presented, because the impact would have been anti-dilutive as such options exercise prices were higher than the average market price during those periods.
(c) Outstanding options to purchase 1.4 million shares of common stock were excluded from the computation of diluted loss per common share for the periods of 2009 presented, as were 0.4 million and 0.7 million shares related to restricted stock units and restricted shares for the second quarter and first six months of 2009, respectively. All were excluded because the impact would have been anti-dilutive due to the losses reported for those periods.
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ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
The following discussion contains statements about our future financial performance. These statements are only predictions. Our actual results may differ materially from these predictions. In evaluating these statements, you should review Item 1A. Risk Factors of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009, including Cautionary and Forward-Looking Statements.

## Overall Summary

Sales for the second quarter of 2010 decreased $0.3 \%$ year-over-year to $\$ 1,653.2$ million, while sales of $\$ 3,570.4$ million for the first six months of 2010 were nearly equivalent to the first six months of 2009. In local currency, sales for the second quarter of 2010 declined $2.5 \%$ compared to the second quarter of 2009 and sales for the first six months of 2010 declined $3.1 \%$ compared to the first six months of 2009. The sales declines primarily reflected the continued weaker economic environment and negatively impacted both our Contract and Retail segments. Gross profit margin increased $2.1 \%$ of sales ( 210 basis points) to $25.9 \%$ of sales in the second quarter of 2010 and $2.0 \%$ of sales ( 200 basis points) to $26.1 \%$ of sales in the first six months of 2010, related to improved product margins, including the reversal of inventory shrinkage reserves due to favorable results from our annual physical inventory counts ( $\$ 14.6$ million in the second quarter and $\$ 16.9$ million in the first six months of 2010) and reduced occupancy and delivery costs in both our Contract and Retail segments. Operating expenses were negatively impacted by higher compensation expense of $\$ 15.5$ million for the second quarter of 2010 and $\$ 28.0$ million for the first six months of 2010, compared to the same periods of 2009 when we had minimal incentive compensation expense. This increase was primarily due to timing, as we did not accrue at normalized levels in the first half of last year. At that time, we did not anticipate achieving the performance targets under our incentive plans for the full year of 2009. Operating expenses were also negatively impacted by increased expenses related to long-term growth initiatives. We reported operating income of $\$ 28.1$ million and $\$ 77.5$ million in the second quarter and first six months of 2010, respectively, compared to a loss of $\$ 27.5$ million and income of $\$ 0.1$ million for the second quarter of 2009 and the first six months of 2009 , respectively. The reported net income (loss) available to OfficeMax common shareholders was income of $\$ 11.8$ million, or $\$ 0.14$ per diluted share, in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a loss of $\$ 17.7$ million, or $\$(0.23)$ per diluted share, in the second quarter 2009. The reported net income (loss) available to OfficeMax common shareholders was $\$ 36.5$ million, or $\$ 0.43$ per diluted share, in the first six months of 2010 compared to a loss of $\$ 4.6$ million, or $\$(0.06)$ per diluted share, in the first six months of 2009.

As noted in the discussion and analysis that follows, our operating results were impacted by significant items in both years. These items included charges for store closures and severance, and favorable adjustments to legacy reserves as well as gains from non-operating legacy activities. Excluding the impact of these items, our adjusted operating income was $\$ 25.3$ million and $\$ 88.8$ million for the second quarter and first six months of 2010, respectively, and was $\$ 0.8$ million and $\$ 38.3$ million for the second quarter and first six months of 2009, respectively. Excluding the impact of these items our adjusted net income (loss) available to OfficeMax common shareholders was income of $\$ 10.0$ million, or $\$ 0.12$ per diluted share, and $\$ 43.5$ million, or $\$ 0.51$ per diluted share, for the second quarter and first six months of 2010, respectively, and a loss of $\$ 3.1$ million, or $\$(0.04)$ per diluted share, and income of $\$ 14.3$ million, or $\$ 0.19$ per diluted share, for the second quarter and first six months of 2009 , respectively.
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Results of Operations, Consolidated

## (\$ in millions, except per share amounts)

|  | Three Months Ended |  | Six Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 26, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 27, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 26, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 27, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| Sales | \$ 1,653.2 | \$ 1,657.9 | \$ 3,570.4 | \$ 3,569.6 |
| Gross profit | 427.7 | 394.9 | 933.2 | 860.5 |
| Operating and selling expenses | 321.9 | 323.6 | 684.9 | 682.3 |
| General and administrative expenses | 80.5 | 70.5 | 159.5 | 139.9 |
| Other operating (income) expenses | (2.8) | 28.3 | 11.3 | 38.2 |
| Total operating expenses | 399.6 | 422.4 | 855.7 | 860.4 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ 28.1 | \$ (27.5) | \$ 77.5 | \$ 0.1 |
| Net income (loss) available to OfficeMax common shareholders | \$ 11.8 | \$ (17.7) | \$ 36.5 | \$ (4.6) |
| Gross profit margin | 25.9\% | 23.8\% | 26.1\% | 24.1\% |
| Operating and selling expenses | 19.5\% | 19.5\% | 19.2\% | 19.1\% |
| General and administrative expenses | 4.9\% | 4.2\% | 4.4\% | 3.9\% |

In addition to assessing our operating performance as reported under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), we evaluate our results of operations before non-operating legacy items and operating items that are not indicative of our core operating activities such as severance, facility closure (including adjustments to legacy closures), and asset impairments. We believe our presentation of financial measures before, or excluding, these items, which are non-GAAP measures, enhances our investors overall understanding of our recurring operational performance and provides useful information to both investors and management to evaluate the ongoing operations and prospects of OfficeMax by providing better comparisons. Whenever we use non-GAAP financial measures, we designate these measures as adjusted and provide a reconciliation of the non-GAAP financial measures to the most closely applicable GAAP financial measure. Investors are encouraged to review the related GAAP financial measures and the reconciliation of these non-GAAP financial measures to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measure. In the following tables, we reconcile our non-GAAP financial measures to our reported GAAP financial results for both 2010 and 2009.

Although we believe the non-GAAP financial measures enhance an investor s understanding of our performance, our management does not itself, nor does it suggest that investors should, consider such non-GAAP financial measures in isolation from, or as a substitute for, financial information prepared in accordance with GAAP. The non-GAAP financial measures we use may not be consistent with the presentation of similar companies in our industry. However, we present such non-GAAP financial measures in reporting our financial results to provide investors with an additional tool to evaluate our operating results in a manner that focuses on what we believe to be our ongoing business operations.

|  | OfficeMax Incorporated and Subsidiaries <br> Non-GAAP Reconciliation-Impact of Special Items on Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Operating income (milli | Three Months Ended  <br> June 26, 2010  <br> Net income Diluted <br> available to income <br> OfficeMax per <br> common common <br> shareholders share <br> s, except per-share amounts)  |  |  |  | Operating income (million |  |  | no | luted come per mmon hare unts) |
| As reported | \$ 28.1 | \$ | 11.8 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ 77.5 | \$ | 36.5 | \$ | 0.43 |
| Store and facility closure charges and other adjustments | (2.8) |  | (1.8) |  | (0.02) | 11.3 |  | 7.0 |  | 0.08 |
| As adjusted | \$ 25.3 | \$ | 10.0 | \$ | 0.12 | \$ 88.8 | \$ | 43.5 | \$ | 0.51 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | Operating income (millions, | Six Months Ended  <br> June 27, 2009  <br> Net income Diluted <br> (loss) income <br> available to (loss) <br> OfficeMax per <br> common common <br> shareholders share <br> s, except per-share amounts)  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As reported | \$ (27.5) |  | (17.7) |  | (0.23) | \$ 0.1 | \$ | (4.6) |  | (0.06) |
| Store and facility closure charges and other adjustments | 28.3 |  | 17.3 |  | 0.23 | 38.2 |  | 23.2 |  | 0.31 |
| Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1.6) |  | (0.02) |
| Voyageur Panel |  |  | (2.7) |  | (0.04) |  |  | (2.7) |  | (0.04) |
| As adjusted | \$ 0.8 | \$ | (3.1) |  | (0.04) | \$ 38.3 | \$ | 14.3 |  | \$ 0.19 |

These items are described in more detail in this Management s Discussion and Analysis.
At the end of the second quarter of 2010 , we had $\$ 521.2$ million in cash and cash equivalents and $\$ 568.9$ million in available (unused) borrowing capacity under our credit facilities. The combination of cash and cash equivalents and estimated available borrowing capacity yields $\$ 1,090.1$ million of overall liquidity. We had outstanding recourse debt of $\$ 295.6$ million (both current and long-term) and non-recourse obligations of $\$ 1,470.0$ million related to the timber securitization notes. There is no recourse against OfficeMax on the securitized timber notes payable as recourse is limited to proceeds from the applicable pledged installment notes receivable and underlying guarantees. There were no borrowings on our credit facilities during the first six months of 2010.

For the first six months of 2010 , we generated $\$ 68.0$ million of cash from operations reflecting net income, significant reductions in inventory levels and good working capital management. In the first quarter of 2010, a significant amount of incentive compensation payments were made reflecting the achievement of the 2009 incentive plan performance targets.

We invested $\$ 28.6$ million for capital expenditures in the first six months of 2010 and expect capital expenditures for the full year 2010 to be in the range of $\$ 80$ million to $\$ 100$ million.

## Outlook

Given the continued weak economic environment, we are cautious in our expectations for the remainder of 2010. In the near term, we expect to continue facing challenging global macroeconomic conditions and continued weak U.S. unemployment trends. Based on these assumptions, we anticipate that for the full year of 2010, total sales will be flat to slightly lower than in 2009, including the favorable impact of foreign currency translation, and that adjusted operating income margin rate will be higher than the prior year but significantly less than the first half 2010 year-over-year improvement. We expect increased expenses related to long-term growth initiatives to negatively impact earnings in 2010, including the third quarter. Finally, we anticipate positive cash flow from operations in 2010, although lower than in 2009, due to favorable one-time cash in-flows last year and higher working capital needs in the current year.

## Operating Results

Sales for the second quarter of 2010 of $\$ 1,653.2$ million decreased $0.3 \%$ compared to sales of $\$ 1,657.9$ million for the second quarter of 2009, while sales for the first six months of 2010 of $\$ 3,570.4$ million were nearly equal to sales of $\$ 3,569.6$ million for the first six months of 2009. Sales for the second quarter and first six months benefited from the favorable impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes, with the impact being
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less favorable in the second quarter of 2010 than in the first quarter of 2010. In local currency, the year-over-year sales decline for the second quarter of 2010 was $2.5 \%$ compared to a year-over-year sales decline of $3.6 \%$ for the first quarter of 2010. The year-over-year sales decreases occurred in both our Contract and Retail segments and resulted primarily from the continued weak economic environment.

Gross profit margin increased $2.1 \%$ of sales ( 210 basis points) to $25.9 \%$ of sales in the second quarter of 2010 and $2.0 \%$ of sales (200 basis points) to $26.1 \%$ of sales in the first six months of 2010. The gross profit margins increased in both our Contract and Retail segments, reflecting improved product margins, including the reversal of inventory shrinkage reserves due to favorable results from our annual physical inventory counts ( $\$ 14.6$ million in the second quarter and $\$ 16.9$ million for the first six months), and reduced occupancy and delivery costs.

Operating and selling expenses of $19.5 \%$ of sales in the second quarter of 2010 were flat compared to the second quarter of 2009 and increased slightly to $19.2 \%$ for the first six months of 2010 from $19.1 \%$ of sales in the first six months of 2009. Increased incentive compensation expense was partially offset by favorable trends in benefit-related items. Incentive compensation expense increased $\$ 6.2$ million and $\$ 10.9$ million for the second quarter and the first six months of 2010, respectively. The difference in compensation expense recognized in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009 was due to management s differing expectations during 2010 and 2009 regarding whether we would attain the respective years full-year incentive plan performance targets.

General and administrative expenses increased $0.7 \%$ of sales to $4.9 \%$ of sales in the second quarter of 2010 , and $0.5 \%$ to $4.4 \%$ for the first six months of 2010. The increase primarily reflected higher incentive compensation expenses (as noted above) and increased payroll and other expenses resulting from our new growth initiatives, partially offset by lower pension expense. General and administrative expense increased $\$ 9.3$ million and $\$ 17.1$ million in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 , respectively, due to incentive compensation expense.

As noted above, our results for the first six months of 2010 and 2009 include several significant items, as follows:

The first six months of 2010 and 2009 include charges recorded in our Retail segment related to store closures in the U.S. and Mexico (2009 only) of $\$ 14.4$ million and $\$ 31.2$ million, respectively, which reduced net income available to OfficeMax common shareholders by $\$ 8.9$ million and $\$ 18.8$ million, or $\$ 0.10$ and $\$ 0.25$ per diluted share for 2010 and 2009, respectively. Such charges in the second quarter of 2010 and 2009 were $\$ 1.1$ million and $\$ 21.3$ million, respectively, which reduced net income available to OfficeMax common shareholders by $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 12.9$ million, or $\$ 0.01$ and $\$ 0.17$ per diluted share for 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The first six months of 2010 and 2009 include severance charges recorded in our Contract segment consisting of $\$ 0.8$ million in the first quarter of 2010 related to a reorganization of U.S. customer service operations and $\$ 6.9$ million in the second quarter of 2009 principally related to U.S. and Canadian sales force reorganizations. The effect of these items reduced net income by $\$ 0.5$ million and $\$ 4.4$ million, or $\$ 0.01$ and $\$ 0.06$ per diluted share for 2010 and 2009 , respectively, for both the respective quarters and six-month periods.

The first six months of 2010 include income of $\$ 3.9$ million, all recorded in the second quarter, related to the adjustment of a reserve associated with our legacy building materials manufacturing facility near Elma, Washington due to an agreement with the lessor to terminate the lease. This item increased net income by $\$ 2.4$ million, or $\$ 0.03$ per diluted share, for both the quarter and six months.

The second quarter and first six months of 2009 include $\$ 4.4$ million of interest income related to a tax escrow balance established in a prior period in connection with our legacy Voyager Panel business sold in 2004. This item increased net income by $\$ 2.7$ million, or $\$ 0.04$ per diluted share.
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Other income, net for the first six months of 2009 includes $\$ 2.6$ million of income, all recorded in the first quarter, for tax distributions related to our investment in Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. This item increased net income $\$ 1.6$ million, or $\$ 0.02$ per diluted share, for the six months.
Interest income was $\$ 10.6$ million and $\$ 15.1$ million for the second quarters of 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the first six months of 2010 and 2009 , interest income was $\$ 21.2$ and $\$ 25.6$ million, respectively. The decrease was due primarily to the $\$ 4.4$ million of interest income recorded in 2009 related to the tax escrow balance discussed above. As a result of the September 2008 bankruptcy filing by Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ( Lehman ), we recorded no interest income on the Lehman portion of the timber notes receivable in 2010 or 2009. Interest expense decreased to $\$ 18.4$ million in the second quarter of 2010 from $\$ 19.3$ million in the second quarter of 2009 and to $\$ 36.7$ million in the first six months of 2010 from $\$ 38.7$ million in the first six months of 2009. The decrease in interest expense was due primarily to reduced debt resulting from debt repayments made in 2009.

For the second quarter of 2010, we recognized income tax expense of $\$ 7.3$ million on pre-tax income of $\$ 20.2$ million (effective tax expense rate of $36.0 \%$ ) compared to income tax benefit of $\$ 13.8$ million on a pre-tax loss of $\$ 31.5$ million (effective tax benefit rate of $43.6 \%$ ) for the second quarter of 2009. For the first six months of 2010, we recognized income tax expense of $\$ 22.7$ million on pre-tax income of $\$ 61.9$ million (effective tax expense rate of $36.6 \%$ ) compared to income tax benefit of $\$ 5.5$ million on a pre-tax loss of $\$ 10.2$ million (effective tax benefit rate of $54.0 \%$ ) for the first six months of 2009. The effective tax rate in both years was impacted by the effects of state income taxes, income items not subject to tax, non-deductible expenses, the mix of domestic and foreign sources of income and the low levels of profitability.

We reported net income attributable to OfficeMax and noncontrolling interest of $\$ 12.9$ million and $\$ 39.2$ million for the second quarter and first six months of 2010, respectively. After adjusting for joint venture earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest and preferred dividends, we reported net income available to OfficeMax common shareholders of $\$ 11.8$ million, or $\$ 0.14$ per diluted share, and $\$ 36.5$ million, or $\$ 0.43$ per diluted share, for the second quarter and first six months of 2010, respectively. Adjusted net income (loss) available to OfficeMax common shareholders, as discussed above, was income of $\$ 10.0$ million, or $\$ 0.12$ per diluted share, for the second quarter of 2010 compared to a loss of $\$ 3.1$ million, or $\$(0.04)$ per diluted share, for the second quarter of 2009. For the first six months of 2010 and 2009, adjusted net income (loss) available to OfficeMax common shareholders was income of $\$ 43.5$ million, or $\$ 0.51$ per diluted share, for 2010 compared to income of $\$ 14.3$ million, or $\$ 0.19$ per diluted share, for 2009.

## Segment Discussion

We manage our business using three reportable segments: OfficeMax, Contract; OfficeMax, Retail; and Corporate and Other.

OfficeMax, Contract ( Contract segment or Contract ) distributes a broad line of items for the office, including office supplies and paper, technology products and solutions, office furniture, and print and document services. Contract sells directly to large corporate and government offices, as well as to small and medium-sized offices in the United States, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. This segment markets and sells through field salespeople, outbound telesales, catalogs, the Internet and in some markets, including Canada, Australia and New Zealand, through office products stores.

OfficeMax, Retail ( Retail segment or Retail ) is a retail distributor of office supplies and paper, print and document services, technology products and solutions and office furniture. In addition, this segment contracts with large national retail chains to supply office and school supplies to be sold in their stores. Our Retail office supply stores feature OfficeMax ImPress, an in-store module devoted to print-for-pay and related services. Our Retail segment has operations in the United States, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Our Retail segment also operates office products stores in Mexico through a $51 \%$-owned joint venture.
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Corporate and Other includes support staff services and certain other legacy expenses as well as the related assets and liabilities. The income and expense related to certain assets and liabilities that are reported in the Corporate and Other segment have been allocated to the Contract and Retail segments.

Management evaluates the segments performances based on segment income which represents operating income (loss) excluding the effect of certain operating matters such as severances, facility closures (including adjustments to legacy closures) and asset impairments, that are not indicative of our core operations.

## OfficeMax, Contract

## (\$ in millions)

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \text { June 27, }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Six Months Ended } \\ \text { June 27, }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 26, |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |$)$

Contract segment sales for the second quarter of 2010 of $\$ 880.5$ million were flat compared to sales of $\$ 881.7$ million for the second quarter of 2009. A favorable impact from changes in foreign currency exchange rates offset the $4.1 \%$ decline in sales in local currencies. The U.S. Contract sales decline of $3.6 \%$ in the second quarter of 2010 was slightly improved from the $3.9 \%$ decline in the first quarter of 2010, as the rate of sales decline related to existing customers continued to decrease and sales to newly acquired customers continued to outpace the reduction in sales to lost customers. Sales to existing customers declined $6.8 \%$ in the second quarter compared to a decline of $7.9 \%$ in the first quarter. International sales declined $5.2 \%$ in local currencies in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a $2.6 \%$ decline in local currencies in the first quarter of 2010, primarily as a result of decreased sales to existing customers.

Contract segment gross profit margin increased $2.1 \%$ of sales ( 210 basis points) to $22.7 \%$ of sales for the second quarter of 2010 and $1.9 \%$ of sales ( 190 basis points) to $22.7 \%$ for the first six months of 2010 . The increases in gross profit margins occurred in both the U.S. and Internationally. U.S. gross profit margins increased due to profitability initiatives, the reversal of inventory shrinkage reserves due to favorable results from our annual physical inventory counts ( $\$ 3.7$ million in the second quarter and $\$ 5.4$ million for the first six months) and reduced occupancy expense (reflecting facility closures in 2009) as well as reduced delivery costs. International margin improvements resulted from improved product margins that were helped by profitability initiatives.

Contract segment operating, selling and general and administrative expenses increased $1.3 \%$ of sales to $20.5 \%$ of sales for the second quarter and $0.9 \%$ of sales to $19.8 \%$ of sales for the first six months of 2010 . The
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increase was primarily due to increased incentive compensation expense and costs associated with our new growth initiatives, partially offset by favorable trends in benefit-related items and lower payroll costs as a result of the reorganization of our U.S. and Canadian sales forces, and U.S. customer service operations. Incentive compensation expense increased $\$ 6.2$ million and $\$ 11.6$ million for the second quarter and the first six months of 2010, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009.

Contract segment income was $\$ 19.4$ million, or $2.2 \%$ of sales, and $\$ 12.4$ million, or $1.4 \%$ of sales, for the second quarters of 2010 and 2009, respectively, and $\$ 53.1$ million, or $2.9 \%$ of sales, and $\$ 33.9$ million, or $1.9 \%$ of sales, for the first six months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in segment income for both periods was primarily attributable to the gross margin rate improvement as well as favorable impacts from changes in foreign currency exchange rates ( $\$ 0.9$ million for the second quarter of 2010 and $\$ 5.7$ million for the first six months of 2010), which were partially offset by the higher incentive compensation expense.

## OfficeMax, Retail

## (\$ in millions)

|  | Three Months Ended |  | Six Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 26, 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 27, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 26, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 27, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| Sales | \$772.7 | \$ 776.2 | \$ 1,726.9 | \$ 1,760.3 |
| Gross profit | 227.8 | 213.4 | 514.9 | 484.4 |
| Gross profit margin | 29.5\% | 27.5\% | 29.8\% | 27.5\% |
| Operating, selling and general and administrative expenses | 213.9 | 215.4 | 462.3 | 461.1 |
| Percentage of sales | 27.7\% | 27.8\% | 26.8\% | 26.2\% |
| Segment income (loss) | \$ 13.9 | \$ (2.0) | \$ 52.6 | \$ 23.3 |
| Percentage of sales | 1.8\% | (0.3)\% | 3.0\% | 1.3\% |
| Sales by Product Line |  |  |  |  |
| Office supplies and paper | \$ 323.0 | \$ 318.6 | \$ 705.6 | \$ 702.7 |
| Technology products | 404.4 | 409.2 | 919.3 | 933.6 |
| Office furniture | 45.3 | 48.4 | 102.0 | 124.0 |
| Sales by Geography |  |  |  |  |
| United States | \$ 721.3 | \$ 737.3 | \$ 1,624.2 | \$ 1,674.7 |
| International | 51.4 | 38.9 | 102.7 | 85.6 |
| Sales Growth |  |  |  |  |
| Total sales growth | (0.5)\% | (11.1)\% | (1.9)\% | (11.1)\% |
| Same-location sales growth | (0.3)\% | (11.6)\% | (1.6)\% | (12.3)\% |

Retail segment sales for the second quarter of 2010 decreased by $0.5 \%$ to $\$ 772.7$ million from $\$ 776.2$ million for 2009, reflecting a same-store sales decrease of $0.3 \%$ and the impact of stores closed in 2009. For the first six months of 2010, sales decreased $1.9 \%$ to $\$ 1,726.9$ million from $\$ 1,760.3$ million, reflecting a same-store sales decrease of $1.6 \%$ as well as the impact of stores closed in 2009. U.S. same-store sales declined $2.1 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 compared to a decline of $3.3 \%$ for the first quarter of 2010 primarily due to continued weaker consumer and small business spending. Mexico same-store sales increased significantly ( $21 \%$ in local currency) compared to the second quarter of 2009 which had been severely impacted by the H1N1 flu epidemic. We ended the first six months of 2010 with 1,001 stores. In the U.S., we closed ten retail stores and opened none, ending the quarter with 923 retail stores. Grupo OfficeMax, our majority-owned joint venture in Mexico, opened one store and closed none, ending the quarter with 78 retail stores.

Retail segment gross profit margin increased $2.0 \%$ of sales (200 basis points) to $29.5 \%$ of sales for the second quarter of 2010 and increased $2.3 \%$ of sales ( 230 basis points) to $29.8 \%$ of sales for the first six months of 2010 . The gross profit margin increase for the quarter was primarily related to the reversal of inventory shrinkage reserves due to favorable results from our annual physical inventory counts ( $\$ 10.9$ million) and lower occupancy
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costs, which were partially offset by a mix shift to lower margin tech items, including personal computers, and increased sales incentives. For the first six months of 2010, the gross margin increase was primarily related to the reversal of inventory shrinkage reserves due to favorable results from our annual physical inventory counts ( $\$ 11.5$ million) and lower occupancy costs due to rent reductions and closed stores as well as reduced sales incentives, all of which were partially offset by a mix shift to lower-margin tech items including personal computers and printers.

Retail segment operating, selling and general and administrative expenses decreased $0.1 \%$ of sales to $27.7 \%$ of sales for the second quarter of 2010 and increased $0.6 \%$ to $26.8 \%$ of sales for the first six months of 2010. Incentive compensation expense increased $\$ 8.3$ million and $\$ 14.6$ million for the second quarter and the first six months of 2010, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2009 . Both periods of 2010 were also adversely impacted by increased expenses resulting from our new growth initiatives, but benefited from favorable trends in benefit-related items, a favorable legal settlement ( $\$ 3.7$ million) and reduced salary and benefit expense due to closed stores and store staffing reductions.

Retail segment income was $\$ 13.9$ million, or $1.8 \%$ of sales, for the second quarter of 2010, compared to a segment loss of $\$ 2.0$ million, or $(0.3) \%$ of sales, for the second quarter of 2009. Retail segment income was $\$ 52.6$ million, or $3.0 \%$ of sales for the first six months of 2010 compared to $\$ 23.3$ million, or $1.3 \%$ of sales, for the first six months of 2009. The increase in segment income for both periods of 2010 was primarily attributable to the improved gross profit margins as noted above and significant improvement in our Mexican joint venture, which were partially offset by the increased incentive compensation expense.

## Corporate and Other

Corporate and Other segment loss was $\$ 8.0$ million and $\$ 16.9$ million for the second quarter and first six months of 2010, respectively, compared to $\$ 9.6$ million and $\$ 18.9$ million for the second quarter and first six months of 2009 , respectively. Compared to the same periods of 2009, the second quarter and first six months of 2010 include $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 1.8$ million of increased incentive compensation expense, respectively, which was offset by lower pension costs.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

At the end of the second quarter of 2010, the total liquidity available for OfficeMax was $\$ 1,090.1$ million. This included cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 521.2$ million and borrowing availability of $\$ 568.9$ million. The borrowing availability included $\$ 470.6$ million and $\$ 41.7$ million relating to our U.S. and Canadian credit agreements as well as $\$ 56.6$ million related to our credit agreement associated with our subsidiaries in Australia and New Zealand ( Australasian Credit Agreement ). At the end of the second quarter of 2010, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the three credit agreements. The U.S. and Canadian credit agreements expire on July 12, 2012 and the Australasian Credit Agreement expires on March 15, 2013. At the end of the second quarter of 2010, we had $\$ 295.6$ million of short-term and long-term recourse debt and $\$ 1,470.0$ million of non-recourse timber securitization notes outstanding.

Our primary ongoing cash requirements relate to working capital, expenditures for property and equipment, technology enhancements and upgrades, lease obligations, pension funding and debt service. We expect to fund these requirements through a combination of available cash balance, cash flow from operations and, if necessary, seasonal borrowings under our credit facilities. The following sections of this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discuss in more detail our operating, investing, and financing activities, as well as our financing arrangements.

## Operating Activities

OfficeMax s operating activities provided $\$ 68.0$ million of cash during the first six months of 2010, compared to $\$ 114.2$ million of cash during the first six months of 2009, due principally to net income and significantly reduced inventories, as a result of strong management oversight, which were partially offset by
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reduced accounts payable and the payment of higher incentive compensation awards in the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in cash from operations year-over-year was due primarily to the receipt during the second quarter of 2009 of $\$ 45.0$ million from loans against our company-owned life insurance ( COLI ) policies. We ended the second quarter of 2010 with $\$ 43.5$ million less inventory than at the end of 2009 and with $\$ 34.0$ million less inventory than at the end of the second quarter of 2009, while maintaining our high in-stock levels. Accounts payable at the end of the second quarter of 2010 were $\$ 66.0$ million lower than at the end of 2009 , primarily reflecting reduced purchases and the timing of certain payments. In the first quarter of 2010, incentive compensation payments were made reflecting the achievement of the 2009 incentive plan performance targets. The targets were not achieved in 2008. Therefore, the amount of incentive payments made in 2009 was insignificant.

During the second quarter of 2009, we monetized $\$ 60.0$ million from our COLI policies by withdrawing the principal balances and borrowing against the accumulated earnings. The cash from loans of $\$ 45.0$ million was reported in cash from operations and the cash from withdrawals of $\$ 15.0$ million was reported in cash from investing activities. We continue to borrow against accumulated earnings in our COLI policies. We expect to periodically repay and re-borrow on these loans in order to manage our investments and minimize interest expense. For 2010, there has been no material change in cash flows related to these activities.

We sponsor noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering certain terminated employees, vested employees, retirees, and some active OfficeMax Contract employees. Pension expense was $\$ 3.7$ million and $\$ 8.5$ million for the first six months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. In the first six months of 2010 and 2009, we made contributions to our pension plans totaling $\$ 1.8$ million and $\$ 3.5$ million, respectively. For the full year, the minimum required funding contribution for 2010 is approximately $\$ 3.8$ million and the expense is projected to be approximately $\$ 7.1$ million.

## Investment Activities

Our investing activities used $\$ 28.0$ million of cash during the first six months of 2010 compared to $\$ 22.2$ million of cash provided during the first six months of 2009 . During the first six months of 2009 , we received $\$ 25.1$ million in cash related to a tax escrow balance established in a prior period in connection with our legacy Voyageur Panel business sold in 2004 and $\$ 15.0$ million related to withdrawals from COLI policies. We invested $\$ 28.6$ million for capital expenditures in the first six months of 2010 compared to $\$ 18.6$ million in the first six months of 2009. We expect our capital investments in 2010 to total between $\$ 80$ and $\$ 100$ million, primarily for technology infrastructure investments and upgrades. We expect store openings for 2010 to be less than five by our joint venture in Mexico.

## Financing Activities

Our financing activities used $\$ 4.4$ million of cash during the first six months of 2010, compared to $\$ 20.5$ million during the first six months of 2009, as we had no significant debt repayment requirements in the first six months of 2010.

## Financing Arrangements

Our debt structure consists of credit agreements, note agreements and other borrowings. Information regarding our debt structure is included below. We lease our store space and certain other property and equipment under operating leases. These operating leases are not included in debt; however, they represent a significant commitment.

## Credit Agreements

On July 12, 2007, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement (the U.S. Credit Agreement ) with a group of banks. The U.S. Credit Agreement permits the Company to borrow up
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to a maximum of $\$ 700$ million subject to a borrowing base calculation that limits availability to a percentage of eligible accounts receivable plus a percentage of the value of eligible inventory less certain reserves. There were no borrowings outstanding under our U.S. Credit Agreement at the end of, or during, the first six months of 2010. Letters of credit, which may be issued under the U.S. Credit Agreement up to a maximum of $\$ 250$ million, reduce available borrowing capacity. Stand-by letters of credit issued under the U.S. Credit Agreement totaled $\$ 57.5$ million at the end of the first six months of 2010. Also at the end of the first six months of 2010, the maximum aggregate borrowing amount available under the U.S. Credit Agreement was $\$ 528.1$ million and availability under the U.S. Credit Agreement totaled $\$ 470.6$ million. At June 26, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the U.S. Credit Agreement. The U.S. Credit Agreement expires on July 12, 2012.

On September 30, 2009, Grand \& Toy Limited, the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary in Canada, entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the Canadian Credit Agreement ) with a group of banks. The Canadian Credit Agreement permits Grand \& Toy Limited to borrow up to a maximum of $\mathbf{C} \$ 60$ million subject to a borrowing base calculation that limits availability to a percentage of eligible accounts receivable plus a percentage of the value of eligible inventory, less certain reserves. There were no borrowings outstanding under our Canadian Credit Agreement at the end of, or during, the first six months of 2010. Letters of credit, which may be issued under the Canadian Credit Agreement up to a maximum of $\mathbf{C} \$ 10$ million, reduce available borrowing capacity under the Canadian Credit Agreement. There were no letters of credit outstanding under the Canadian Credit Agreement at the end of the first six months of 2010. The maximum aggregate borrowing amount available under the Canadian Credit Agreement was $\$ 41.7$ million ( $\mathrm{C} \$ 43.9$ million) at the end of the first six months of 2010 and was fully available. Grand \& Toy Limited was in compliance with all covenants under the Canadian Credit Agreement at the end of the first six months of 2010. The Canadian Credit Agreement expires on July 12, 2012.

On March 15, 2010, the Company s five wholly-owned subsidiaries based in Australia and New Zealand (the Australasian subsidiaries ) entered into the Australasian Credit Agreement with a financial institution based in those countries. The Australasian Credit Agreement permits the Australasian subsidiaries to borrow up to a maximum of A $\$ 80$ million subject to a borrowing base calculation that limits availability to a percentage of eligible accounts receivable plus a percentage of the value of certain owned properties, less certain reserves. There were no borrowings outstanding under our Australasian Credit Agreement at the end of, or during, the first six months of 2010. The maximum aggregate borrowing amount available under the Australasian Credit Agreement was $\$ 56.6$ million ( $\mathrm{A} \$ 66.6$ million) at the end of the first six months of 2010 and was fully available. The Australasian subsidiaries were in compliance with all covenants under the Australasian Credit Agreement at the end of the first six months of 2010. The Australasian Credit Agreement expires on March 15, 2013.

## Timber Notes/Non-recourse debt

In October 2004, we sold our timberland assets in exchange for $\$ 15$ million in cash plus credit-enhanced timber installment notes in the amount of $\$ 1,635$ million (the Installment Notes ). The Installment Notes were issued by single-member limited liability companies formed by affiliates of Boise Cascade, L.L.C (the Note Issuers ). In order to support the Installment Notes, the Note Issuers transferred $\$ 1,635$ million in cash to Lehman and Wachovia Corporation ( Wachovia ) (\$817.5 million to each of Lehman and Wachovia) who issued collateral notes to the Note Issuers and guarantees on the performance of the Installment Notes. In December 2004, we completed a securitization transaction in which the Company s interests in the Installment Notes and related guarantees were transferred to wholly-owned bankruptcy remote subsidiaries. The subsidiaries pledged the Installment Notes and related guarantees and issued securitized notes (the Securitization Notes ) in the amount of $\$ 1,470$ million. The pledged Installment Notes receivable and Securitization Notes payable were scheduled to mature in 2020 and 2019, respectively. The Securitization Notes have an initial term that is approximately three months shorter than the Installment Notes. We expected to refinance our ownership of the Installment Notes in 2019 with a short-term secured borrowing to bridge the period from initial maturity of the Securitization Notes to the maturity of the Installment Notes. The subsidiaries were expected to earn approximately $\$ 82.5$ million per year in interest income on the Installment Notes receivable and expected to
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incur annual interest expense of approximately $\$ 80.5$ million on the Securitization Notes. Recourse on the Securitization Notes is limited to the proceeds from the applicable pledged Installment Notes and underlying Lehman or Wachovia guaranty. As a result, there is no recourse against OfficeMax, and the Securitization Notes have been reported as non-recourse debt in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On September 15, 2008, Lehman filed for bankruptcy. Lehman s bankruptcy filing constituted an event of default under the $\$ 817.5$ million Installment Note guaranteed by Lehman (the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note ). We evaluated the carrying value of the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note and reduced it to the estimated amount we expect to collect ( $\$ 81.8$ million). Measuring impairment of a loan requires judgment and estimates, and the eventual outcome may differ from our estimate by a material amount. The Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note has been pledged as collateral for the related Securitization Notes, and therefore it may not freely be transferred to any party other than the indenture trustee for the Securitization Note holders. Accordingly, the ultimate amount to be realized on the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note depends entirely on the proceeds from the Lehman bankruptcy estate, which may not be finally determined for several years. On April 14, 2010, Lehman filed its Debtors Disclosure Statement with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The Disclosure Statement indicated a range of estimated recoveries for general unsecured creditors of Lehman. As our estimate is similar to the estimate included in the Disclosure Statement, we have not adjusted our estimated carrying value for the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note.

Since recourse on the Securitization Notes is limited to the proceeds from the applicable pledged Installment Notes and underlying Lehman or Wachovia guaranty, the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note and the underlying Lehman guaranty will be transferred to the holders of the Securitization Notes guaranteed by Lehman in order to settle and extinguish that liability. However, under current generally accepted accounting principles, we are required to continue to recognize the liability related to the Securitization Notes guaranteed by Lehman until such time as the liability has been extinguished, which will occur when the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note and the related guaranty are transferred to and accepted by the Securitization Note holders. We expect that this will occur no later than the date when the assets of Lehman are distributed and the bankruptcy is finalized. Accordingly, we expect to recognize a non-cash gain equal to the difference between the carrying amount of the Securitization Notes guaranteed by Lehman and the carrying value of the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note in a later period when the liability is legally extinguished. The actual gain to be recognized in the future will be measured based on the carrying amounts of the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note and the Securitization Notes guaranteed by Lehman at the date of settlement.

At the time of the sale of the timberlands in 2004, we generated a tax gain and recognized the related deferred tax liability. The timber installment note structure allowed the Company to defer the resulting tax liability of $\$ 543$ million until 2020, the maturity date for the Installment Notes. Due to the Lehman bankruptcy and note defaults, the Lehman portion of the gain will be triggered when the Lehman Guaranteed Installment Note is transferred to the Securitization Note holders as payment and/or when the Lehman bankruptcy is resolved. At that time, we intend to reduce the estimated cash payment of $\$ 127$ million due by utilizing our available alternative minimum tax credits.

## Disclosures of Financial Market Risks

## Financial Instruments

Our debt is predominantly fixed-rate. At June 26, 2010, the estimated current fair value of our debt, based on quoted market prices when available or then-current interest rates for similar obligations with like maturities, including the timber notes, was approximately $\$ 630.4$ million less than the amount of debt reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As previously discussed, there is no recourse against OfficeMax on the securitized timber notes payable as recourse is limited to proceeds from the applicable pledged installment notes receivable and underlying guarantees. The debt and receivable related to the timber notes have fixed interest rates and the estimated fair values of the timber notes are reflected in the following table.
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The following table provides information about our financial instruments outstanding at June 26, 2010 and December 26, 2009. The following table does not include our obligations for pension plans and other post retirement benefits, although market risk also arises within our defined benefit pension plans to the extent that the obligations of the pension plans are not fully matched by assets with determinable cash flows. We sponsor noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering certain terminated employees, vested employees, retirees, and some active OfficeMax employees. As our plans were frozen in 2003, our active employees and all inactive participants who are covered by the plans are no longer accruing additional benefits. However, the pension plan obligations are still subject to change due to fluctuations in long-term interest rates as well as factors impacting actuarial valuations, such as retirement rates and pension plan participants increased life expectancies. In addition to changes in pension plan obligations, the amount of plan assets available to pay benefits, contribution levels and expense are also impacted by the return on the pension plan assets. The pension plan assets include OfficeMax common stock, U.S. equities, international equities, global equities and fixed-income securities, the cash flows of which change as equity prices and interest rates vary. The risk is that market movements in equity prices and interest rates could result in assets that are insufficient over time to cover the level of projected obligations. This in turn could result in significant changes in pension expense and funded status, further impacting future required contributions. Management, together with the trustees who act on behalf of the pension plan beneficiaries, assess the level of this risk using reports prepared by independent external actuaries and take action, where appropriate, in terms of setting investment strategy and agreed contribution levels.

|  | June 26, 2010 <br> Fair <br> value <br> (millions) |  |  | December 26, 2009 <br> Carrying <br> Amount | Fair <br> value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Debount |  |  |  |  |  |

We conduct regular reviews of our real estate portfolio to identify underperforming facilities, and close those facilities that are no longer strategically or economically viable. We record a liability for the cost associated with a facility closure at its estimated fair value in the period in which the liability is incurred, which is the location s cease-use date. Upon closure, unrecoverable costs are included in facility closure reserves in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and include provisions for the present value of future lease obligations, less contractual or estimated sublease income. Accretion expense is recognized over the life of the payments.

During the first six months of 2010, we recorded pre-tax charges of $\$ 14.4$ million ( $\$ 1.1$ million in the second quarter) in our Retail segment related to the closing of eight underperforming domestic stores prior to the end of their lease terms. During the first six months of 2009, the Company recorded pre-tax charges of $\$ 31.2$ million related to the closing of 21 underperforming stores prior to the end of their lease terms, of which 17 were in the U.S. and four were in Mexico.

At June 26, 2010, the facility closure reserve was $\$ 71.4$ million with $\$ 18.9$ million included in current liabilities, and $\$ 52.5$ million included in long-term liabilities. The majority of the reserve represents future lease obligations of $\$ 144.4$ million, net of anticipated sublease income of approximately $\$ 73.1$ million. Cash payments relating to the facility closures were $\$ 11.6$ million and $\$ 12.8$ million in the first six months of 2010 and 2009, respectively.
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In addition, the Company is the lessee of a legacy, building materials manufacturing facility near Elma, Washington. During 2006, the Company ceased operations at the facility, fully impaired the assets and recorded a reserve, which is separate from the facility closure reserve above, for the related lease payments and other contract termination and closure costs. During the second quarter, the Company signed an agreement with the lessor to terminate the lease and recorded pre-tax income of $\$ 3.9$ million to adjust the associated reserve. This income is reported in other operating (income) expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Also during the second quarter, the Company contracted with a third party for the sale of the equipment, subject to a financing contingency. Assuming the equipment purchaser obtains its financing and the sale transaction closes, we would record an additional favorable reserve adjustment at the time of the transaction closing. The liabilities of the Elma facility ( $\$ 10.2$ million in total) are recorded in other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## Contractual Obligations

For information regarding contractual obligations, see the caption Contractual Obligations in Item 7. Management siscussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009. At June 26, 2010, there had not been a material change to the information regarding contractual obligations disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009.

In accordance with an amended and restated joint venture agreement, the minority owner of Grupo OfficeMax, our joint-venture in Mexico, can elect to require OfficeMax to purchase the minority owner s $49 \%$ interest in the joint venture if certain earnings targets are achieved. Earnings targets are calculated quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis. Accordingly, the targets may be achieved in one quarter but not in the next. If the earnings targets are achieved and the minority owner elects to require OfficeMax to purchase the minority owner s interest, the purchase price is based on the joint venture searnings and the current market multiples of similar companies. At the end of the second quarter of 2010, Grupo OfficeMax met the earnings targets, and the estimated purchase price of the minority owner s interest was $\$ 34.1$ million. As the estimated purchase price was greater at the end of the second quarter of 2010 than the carrying value of the noncontrolling interest, the Company recorded an adjustment to state the noncontrolling interest at the estimated purchase price, and, as the estimated purchase price approximates fair value, the offset was recorded to additional paid-in capital.

## Off-Balance-Sheet Activities and Guarantees

For information regarding off-balance-sheet activities and guarantees, see Off-Balance-Sheet Activities and Guarantees in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009. At June 26, 2010, there had not been a material change to the information regarding off-balance-sheet activities and guarantees disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009.

## Seasonal Influences

Our business is seasonal, with Retail showing a more pronounced seasonal trend than Contract. Sales in the second quarter and summer months are historically the slowest of the year. Sales are stronger during the first, third and fourth quarters that include the important new-year office supply restocking month of January, the back-to-school period and the holiday selling season, respectively.

## Environmental

For information regarding environmental issues, see the caption Environmental in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009.

## Table of Contents

## Critical Accounting Estimates

For information regarding critical accounting estimates, see the caption Critical Accounting Estimates in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009. There have been no significant changes to the Company s critical accounting estimates during the first six months of 2010.

## New and Recently Adopted Accounting Policies

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance which eliminates previous exceptions to rules requiring the consolidation of qualifying special-purpose entities, which will result in more entities being subject to consolidation assessments and reassessments. This guidance requires ongoing reassessment of whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity (VIE ) and clarifies characteristics that identify a VIE. In addition, additional disclosures are required about a company $s$ involvement with a VIE and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. We adopted the updated guidance in the first quarter of 2010. There was no impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For information regarding market risk see the caption Disclosures of Financial Market Risks herein and in Item 7. Management siscussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009. At June 26, 2010, except as disclosed herein, there had not been a material change to the information regarding market risk disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company s management, with the participation of the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act )) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, these officers have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective for the purpose of ensuring that material information required to be included in this quarterly report is made known to them by others on a timely basis and that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

## (b) Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act, during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in litigation and administrative proceedings arising in the normal course of our business. In the opinion of management, our recovery, if any, or our liability, if any, under pending litigation or administrative proceedings would not materially affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. For information concerning legal proceedings, see Note 16, Legal Proceedings and Contingencies, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009, and see Item 1. Legal Proceedings in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 27, 2010.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

For information regarding risk factors, see Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009. There have been no significant changes to the Company s risk factors during the first six months of 2010 except for changes to the following risk factor to reflect the sale by Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. of its remaining investment in Boise, Inc. in 2010.

Our investment in Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. subjects us to the risks associated with the forest products industry. When we sold our paper, forest products and timberland assets, we purchased an equity interest in Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. This continuing interest in Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. subjects us to market risks associated with the forest products industry. This industry is subject to cyclical market pressures. Historical prices for products have been volatile, and industry participants have limited influence over the timing and extent of price changes. The relationship between supply and demand in this industry significantly affects product pricing. Demand for building products is driven mainly by factors such as new construction and remodeling rates, business and consumer credit availability, interest rates and weather. The recent falloff in U.S. housing starts has resulted in lower building products shipments and prices. The supply of building products fluctuates based on manufacturing capacity. Excess manufacturing capacity, both domestically and abroad, can result in significant variations in product prices. Our ability to realize the carrying value of our equity interest in Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. is dependent upon many factors, including the operating performance of Boise Cascade, L.L.C. and other market factors that may not be specific to Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. due in part to the fact that there is not a liquid market for our equity interest. Our exposure to these risks could decrease our ability to compete effectively with our competitors, who typically are not subject to such risks.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.
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ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS
Information concerning our stock repurchases during the three months ended June 26, 2010 is below. All stock was withheld to satisfy our tax withholding obligations upon vesting of restricted stock awards.
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Maximum Number } \\ \text { (or Approximate }\end{array} \\ \text { Dollar Value) of } \\ \text { Shares (or Units) } \\ \text { that May Yet Be }\end{array}\right]$

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

## ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION
None

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Required exhibits are listed in the Index to Exhibits and are incorporated by reference.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

OFFICEMAX INCORPORATED
/s/ Bruce Besanko
Bruce Besanko

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Administrative Officer (As Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)
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## OFFICEMAX INCORPORATED

## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Filed with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter Ended June 26, 2010

## Exhibit

Number
3.1(1)
3.2(2) Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended to February 12, 2009.
10.1(3) 2003 OfficeMax Incentive and Performance Plan (as Amended and Restated effective as of April 14, 2010).
31.1* CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2* CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32* Section 906 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer of OfficeMax Incorporated.

* Filed with this Form 10-Q.
(1) Exhibit 3.1 was filed under the exhibit 3.1.1 in our Registration Statement on Form S-1 dated November 4, 2009, and is incorporated herein by reference.
(2) Exhibit 3.2 was filed under the exhibit 3.2 in our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2009, and is incorporated herein by reference.
(3) Exhibit 10.1 was filed under Appendix A to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed March 4, 2010, and is incorporated herein by reference.

