Baltic Trading Ltd Form 10-K March 03, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-K

x Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or

o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-34648

BALTIC TRADING LIMITED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Republic of the Marshall Islands 98-0637837

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

299 Park Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, New York
(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (646) 443-8550

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each
Exchange on
Which Registered

Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share

New York Stock

Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

Indicated by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of the registrant's voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant on the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, computed by reference to the last sale price of such stock of \$3.71 per share as of June 30, 2013 on the New York Stock Exchange, was approximately \$84.3 million. The registrant has no non-voting common equity issued and outstanding. The determination of affiliate status for purposes of this paragraph is not necessarily a conclusive determination for any other purpose.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of March 3, 2014: common stock, \$0.01 per share — 51,168,896 shares and Class B stock, \$0.01 per share — 6,356,471 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of our Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after December 31, 2013, are incorporated by reference in Part III herein.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

OVERVIEW

We are a New York City-based company incorporated in October 2009 in the Marshall Islands to conduct a shipping business focused on the drybulk industry spot market. We were formed by Genco Shipping & Trading Limited (NYSE: GNK) ("Genco"), an international drybulk shipping company that also serves as our Manager. Our fleet currently consists of four Capesize vessels, four Supramax vessels and five Handysize vessels with an aggregate carrying capacity of approximately 1,095,000 deadweight tons ("dwt"). The average age of our current fleet is approximately 3.9 years, as compared to the average age for the world fleet of approximately 9 years for the drybulk shipping segments in which we compete. After the expected delivery of four Ultramax newbuildings that we have agreed to acquire, we will own a fleet of 17 drybulk vessels, consisting of four Capesize, four Ultramax, four Supramax and five Handysize vessels with a total carrying capacity of approximately 1,351,000 dwt. Our fleet contains five groups of sister ships, which are vessels of virtually identical sizes and specifications. We believe that maintaining a fleet that includes sister ships reduces costs by creating economies of scale in the maintenance, supply and crewing of our vessels.

On July 2, 2013, we entered into agreements to purchase two Handysize drybulk vessels from subsidiaries of Clipper Group for an aggregate purchase price of \$41 million. The Baltic Hare, a 2009-built Handysize vessel, was delivered on September 5, 2013 and the Baltic Fox, a 2010-built Handysize vessel, was delivered on September 6, 2013. We funded a portion of the purchase price of the vessels using proceeds from our registered follow-on common stock offering completed on May 28, 2013. For the remainder of the purchase price, we drew down \$22 million under our secured loan agreement with DVB Bank SE (the "\$22 Million Term Loan Facility"). Refer to Note 7 – Debt in our consolidated financial statements for further information regarding this credit facility.

On October 31, 2013, we entered into agreements to purchase two Capesize drybulk vessels from affiliates of SK Shipping Co. Ltd. for an aggregate purchase price of \$103 million. The Baltic Lion, a 2012-built Capesize drybulk vessel, was delivered on December 27, 2013, and the Baltic Tiger, a 2011-built Capesize vessel, was delivered on November 26, 2013. We funded a portion of the purchase price of the vessels using proceeds from our registered follow-on common stock offering completed on September 25, 2013. For the remainder of the purchase price, we drew down \$44 million under our secured loan agreement with DVB Bank SE (the "\$44 Million Term Loan Facility"). Refer to Note 7 – Debt in our consolidated financial statements for further information regarding this credit facility.

On November 13, 2013, we entered into agreements to purchase up to four 64,000 dwt Ultramax newbuilding drybulk vessels from Yangfan Group Co., Ltd. for a purchase price of \$28 million per vessel, or up to \$112 million in the aggregate. We agreed to purchase two such vessels, to be renamed the Baltic Hornet and Baltic Wasp, and obtained an option to purchase up to two additional such vessels for the same purchase price, which we exercised on January 8, 2014. These vessels are to be renamed the Baltic Mantis and the Baltic Scorpion. The purchases are subject to completion of customary additional documentation and closing conditions. The Baltic Hornet and Baltic Wasp are expected to be delivered to us during the third and fourth quarters of 2014, respectively. The Baltic Scorpion and the Baltic Mantis are expected to be delivered to us during the second and third quarters of 2015, respectively. We intend to use a combination of cash on hand and future cash flow from operations as well as commercial bank debt to fully finance the acquisition of these four Ultramax newbuilding drybulk vessels.

We seek to leverage the expertise of Genco and its management to pursue growth opportunities in the drybulk shipping spot market. To pursue these opportunities, we operate a fleet of drybulk ships that transports iron ore, coal, grain, steel products and other drybulk cargoes along worldwide shipping routes. We currently operate all of our vessels on spot market-related time charters, short-term time charters or in vessel pools trading in the spot market. We may also consider operating vessels in the spot market directly based on our view of market conditions. We have

financed our fleet primarily with equity capital and with our revolving credit facility (the "2010 Credit Facility"), the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility. Depending on market conditions, we aim to grow our fleet through timely and selective acquisitions of vessels. We expect to fund acquisitions of additional vessels using equity and debt financing. We intend to distribute to our shareholders on a quarterly basis all of our net income less cash expenditures for capital items related to our fleet, other than vessel acquisitions and related expenses, plus non-cash compensation, during the previous quarter, subject to any additional reserves our Board of Directors may from time to time determine are required for the prudent conduct of our business. We have declared dividends for the past three years even though the application of the formula in our policy would not have resulted in a dividend, although we may not continue to do so. Please see below under "Dividend Policy."

Refer to page 5 for a table of all vessels that have been or are expected to be delivered to us.

Our operations are managed, under the supervision of our Board of Directors, by Genco as our Manager. In 2010, we entered into a long-term management agreement (the "Management Agreement") pursuant to which our Manager and its affiliates apply their expertise and experience in the drybulk industry to provide us with commercial, technical, administrative and strategic services. The Management Agreement is for an initial term of approximately fifteen years and will automatically renew for additional five-year periods unless terminated in accordance with its terms. We pay our Manager fees for the services it provides us as well as reimburse our Manager for its costs and expenses incurred in providing certain of these services.

On May 28, 2013, we closed an equity offering of 6,419,217 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$3.60 per share. We received net proceeds of approximately \$21.6 million, after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. Additionally, on September 25, 2013, we closed an equity offering of 13,800,000 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$4.60 per share. We received net proceeds of approximately \$59.5 million after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. Also, on November 18, 2013, we closed an equity offering of 12,650,000 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$4.60 per share. We received net proceeds of approximately \$55.1 million after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. Pursuant to the Management Agreement, for so long as Genco directly or indirectly holds at least 10% of the aggregate number of outstanding shares of our common stock and Class B stock, Genco will be entitled to receive at no cost an additional number of shares of Class B stock equal to 2% of the number of common shares issued, other than shares issued under the our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. As a result of the equity offerings on May 28, 2013, September 25, 2013 and November 18, 2013, Genco was issued 128,383, 276,000 and 253,000 shares, respectively, of Class B stock, which represents 2% of the number of common shares issued.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements, and other documents with the SEC, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Also, the SEC maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including us, that file electronically with the SEC. The public can obtain any documents that we file with the SEC at www.sec.gov.

In addition, our company website can be found on the Internet at www.baltictrading.com. The website contains information about us and our operations. Copies of each of our filings with the SEC on Form 10-Q and Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports, can be viewed and downloaded free of charge after the reports and amendments are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. To view the reports, access www.baltictrading.com, click on Investor Relations, then SEC Filings. No information on our company website is incorporated by reference into this annual report on Form 10-K.

Any of the above documents can also be obtained in print by any shareholder upon request to our Investor Relations Department at the following address:

Corporate Investor Relations Baltic Trading Limited 299 Park Avenue, 12th Floor New York, NY 10171

BUSINESS STRATEGY

Our strategy is to employ a fleet of drybulk vessels primarily in the spot market and to grow our business through accretive vessel acquisitions. As detailed below, our strategy largely relies on blending certain complementary elements of vessel employment with a capital structure that supports our operations. For example, we believe our

focus on the drybulk spot market and our quarterly dividend policy is attractive to investors because it provides them exposure to the trends of the drybulk shipping industry. We have used equity and debt to finance our fleet and seek to maintain relatively low leverage. Key elements of our business strategy include:

Deploy our vessels primarily in the spot market. We seek to provide shareholders with the opportunity to invest in a company with a strategic focus on the drybulk market by deploying our vessels on voyage or time charters or in vessel pools that are related to the spot market. The spot market is volatile and holds the potential for significant increases or decreases in shipping rates over time. Upward movements in spot rates have the potential to increase our revenues, and we will have opportunities to take advantage of these upswings by not locking our vessels into long-term, fixed-rate time charters. Conversely, our revenues may decline if the spot market does, and we will not benefit from the stabilizing effect of fixed-rate time charters. The spot market may be affected by whether the global economy declines or recovers, particularly with respect to economies outside the United States such as China and India, which have been the primary

drivers of drybulk trade in recent years. Further, while economic health is one factor influencing demand, supply of drybulk vessels is also an important factor affecting spot market rates. An undersupply of drybulk vessels could lead to higher spot market rates despite weak economic conditions, while an oversupply of drybulk vessels could lead to lower spot market rates despite strong economic conditions as is the case under current market conditions.

Maintain a strong balance sheet. We have used equity and debt to finance our fleet, and we seek to maintain relatively low leverage going forward. When market conditions permit, we may use equity financing to reduce our outstanding debt. We believe our goal of keeping our leverage relatively low will help offset the volatility risk of trading our vessels in the spot market. We also believe this approach will help us capitalize on opportunities if the spot market improves as well as reduce the impact debt covenant restrictions and scheduled debt payments would have on our business if the spot market remains weak or further declines.

Return a substantial portion of our cash flow to shareholders through quarterly dividends. Our dividend policy is to pay quarterly dividends to our shareholders approximately equal to our net income minus cash capital expenditures for vessels, other than vessel acquisitions and related expenses plus non-cash compensation. In recent quarters, our Board of Directors determined to declare a dividend based on our cash flow, liquidity, and capital resources, even though the application of our policy would have resulted in a lesser dividend or no dividend. See "Dividend Policy" herein. We intend to finance future vessel acquisitions through equity financing as debt financing as market conditions permit. By paying dividends in this manner, our goal is to make our common stock more attractive to investors to enhance our ability to conduct equity financings. We paid dividends of \$0.05, \$0.24 and \$0.45 per share during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Strategically expand the size of our fleet. We intend to acquire modern, high-quality drybulk carriers through timely and selective acquisitions of vessels. Since our initial public offering, upon the delivery of four Ultramax vessels that we have agreed to acquire, we will have grown the number of vessels in our fleet by approximately 283% and the capacity of our fleet by approximately 139%. Going forward, we expect to fund acquisitions of additional vessels using equity and debt financing while seeking to maintain relatively low leverage. We believe that opportunities exist for acquiring vessels at favorable prices, given the relatively low prices currently prevailing in the market.

Continue to operate a high-quality fleet. We intend to maintain a modern, high-quality fleet that meets or exceeds stringent industry standards and complies with charterer requirements through our technical managers' comprehensive maintenance program. Currently, we operate a fleet of thirteen modern vessels built in shipyards with reputations for constructing high-quality vessels. We believe that owning a modern, high-quality fleet is more attractive to charterers, reduces operating costs and fuel consumption and allows our fleet to be more reliable, which improves utilization. In addition, we have recently initiated a fuel efficiency upgrade program for certain of our vessels, which consists of the installation at their next scheduled drydocking of propulsion efficiency mechanisms and trim optimization software that we believe will enhance fuel savings, reduce emissions and increase the future earnings potential of these vessels. Finally, our technical managers maintain the quality of our vessels by carrying out regular inspections, both while in port and at sea.

Maintain an efficient management structure with low operating cost. Under the Management Agreement, Genco coordinates and oversees the technical management of our fleet and utilizes qualified third-party independent technical managers. We believe that Genco is able to do so at a cost to us that is lower than what could be achieved by performing the function in-house. Genco's management team actively monitors and controls vessel operating expenses incurred by the independent technical managers by overseeing their activities. We believe this can enhance the scalability of our business, allowing us to expand our fleet without substantial increases in overhead costs. We also believe we may realize cost benefits based on the combined size of Genco's fleet and our fleet from the extensive network of sellers of vessel supplies, crewing companies, insurers, and other service providers that Genco and its management team have built over the years.

Capitalize on our management's experience and reputation. We intend to continue to capitalize on the reputation of the management at Genco and our company for high standards of performance, reliability and safety, and maintain strong relationships with major international charterers and other owners, many of whom consider the reputation of a vessel owner and operator when entering into charters and asset sales.

OUR FLEET

The table below summarizes the characteristics of our vessels that have been or are expected to be delivered to us:

Edgar Filing: Baltic Trading Ltd - Form 10-K

Vessel	Class	Dwt	Year Built
Baltic Bear	Capesize	177,717	2010
Baltic Wolf	Capesize	177,752	2010
Baltic Lion	Capesize	179,185	2012
Baltic Tiger	Capesize	179,185	2011
Baltic Hornet	Ultramax	64,000	2014 (1)
Baltic Wasp	Ultramax	64,000	2014 (1)
Baltic Scorpion	Ultramax	64,000	2015 (1)
Baltic Mantis	Ultramax	64,000	2015 (1)
Baltic Cougar	Supramax	53,432	2009
Baltic Jaguar	Supramax	53,474	2009
Baltic Leopard	Supramax	53,447	2009
Baltic Panther	Supramax	53,351	2009
Baltic Breeze	Handysize	34,386	2010
Baltic Cove	Handysize	34,403	2010
Baltic Wind	Handysize	34,409	2009
Baltic Fox	Handysize	31,883	2010
Baltic Hare	Handysize	31,887	2009
	-		

Built dates for vessels delivering in the future are estimates based on guidance received from the sellers and respective shipyards.

FLEET MANAGEMENT

Genco provides us with commercial and strategic management of our fleet. Commercial management involves negotiating charters for vessels, managing the mix of various types of charters, such as time charters and voyage charters, and monitoring the performance of our vessels under their charters. Strategic management involves locating, purchasing, financing and selling vessels.

We utilize the services of Genco and reputable independent technical managers for the technical management of our fleet. We currently contract with Wallem Shipmanagement Limited ("Wallem") and Anglo-Eastern Group ("Anglo"), independent technical managers, for our technical management. Technical management involves the day-to-day management of vessels, including performing routine maintenance, attending to vessel operations and arranging for crews and supplies. Members of our Genco New York City-based management team oversee the activities of our independent technical managers. The head of Genco's technical management team has over 30 years of experience in the shipping industry.

Wallem, founded in 1971, and Anglo, founded in 1974, are among the largest ship management companies in the world. These technical managers are known worldwide for their agency networks, covering all major ports in China, Hong Kong, Japan, Vietnam, Taiwan, Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia, the Philippines and Singapore. These technical managers provide services to over 500 vessels of all types, including Capesize, Panamax, Supramax, Handymax and Handysize drybulk carriers that meet strict quality standards.

Under our technical management agreements, our technical manager is obligated to:

·provide personnel to supervise the maintenance and general efficiency of our vessels;

arrange and supervise the maintenance of our vessels to our standards to assure that our vessels comply with applicable national and international regulations and the requirements of our vessels' classification societies;

select and train the crews for our vessels, including assuring that the crews have the correct certificates for the types of vessels on which they serve;

check the compliance of the crews' licenses with the regulations of the vessels' flag states and the International Maritime Organization, or IMO;

·arrange the supply of spares and stores for our vessels; and

·report expense transactions to us, and make its procurement and accounting systems available to us.

5

OUR CHARTERS

As of March 3, 2014, all of our vessels were employed under spot market-related time charters, short-term time charters or in vessel pools. Spot market-related time charters and short-term time charters involve the hiring of a vessel from its owner for a period time pursuant to a contract under which the vessel owner places its ship (including its crew and equipment) at the disposal of the charterer. The charterer typically bears all voyage expenses, including the cost of bunkers (fuel), port expenses, agents' fees and canal dues. Spot market-related time charters are subject to the fluctuations in the spot market rather than being based on a fixed daily rate as time charter agreements are.

Four of our drybulk carriers are currently in vessel pools. We believe that vessel pools provide cost-effective commercial management activities for a group of similar class vessels. The pool arrangement provides the benefits of a large-scale operation and chartering efficiencies that might not be available to smaller fleets. Under the pool arrangement, the vessels operate under a time charter agreement whereby the cost of bunkers and port expenses are borne by the charterer and operating costs including crews, maintenance and insurance are typically paid by the owner of the vessel. Since the members of the pool share in the revenue generated by the entire group of vessels in the pool, and the pool operates in the spot market, the revenue earned by these four vessels is subject to the fluctuations of the spot market.

Subject to any restrictions in the contract, the charterer determines the type and quantity of cargo to be carried and the ports of loading and discharging. Our vessels operate worldwide within the trading limits imposed by our insurance terms. The technical operation and navigation of the vessel at all times remains the responsibility of the vessel owner, which is generally responsible for the vessel's operating expenses, including the cost of crewing, insuring, repairing and maintaining the vessel, costs of spares and consumable stores, tonnage taxes and other miscellaneous expenses.

Each of our current spot market-related time charters, short-term time charters and vessel pool agreements expire within a range of dates (for example, a minimum of 11 and maximum of 13 months following delivery), with the exact end of the time charter left unspecified to account for the uncertainty of when a vessel will complete its final voyage under the time charter. The charterer may extend the charter period by any time that the vessel is off-hire. If a vessel remains off-hire for more than 30 consecutive days, the time charter may be cancelled at the charterer's option.

In connection with the charter of each of our vessels, we incur commissions generally ranging from 1.25% to 6.25% of the total daily charterhire rate of each charter to third-party brokers, which include the 1.25% commission payable to Genco pursuant to the Management Agreement.

We monitor developments in the drybulk shipping industry on a regular basis and strategically adjust the charterhire periods for our vessels according to market conditions as they become available for charter.

The following table sets forth information about the current employment of the vessels currently in our fleet as of March 3, 2014:

Vessel	Year Built Charterer	Charter Expiration(1)	Employment Structure	Expected Delivery(2)
Capesize Vessels				
Baltic Bear	2010 Swissmarine Services S.A.	February 2015	101.5% of BCI (3)	
Baltic Wolf	2010 Cargill International S.A.	July 2014	100% of BCI (4)	
Baltic Tiger	2011 Swissmarine Services S.A.	October 2014	102.75% of BCI (5)	
Baltic Lion	2012 Cargill International S.A.		(5)	

			November 2014	102.75% of BCI (6)	
Ultramax Vessels					
Baltic Hornet Baltic Wasp Baltic Scorpion Baltic Mantis		TBD TBD	TBD TBD	TBD TBD	Q3 2014 Q4 2014
	2015	TBD	TBD	TBD	Q2 2015
	2015	TBD	TBD	TBD	Q3 2015
Supramax Vessels					
Baltic Leopard	2009	Resource Marine PTE Ltd. (part of the Macquarie group of companies)	March 2014	95% of BSI (7)	
Baltic Panther	2009	Bulkhandling Handymax A/S	May 2014	Spot Pool (8)	
Baltic Jaguar	2009	Resource Marine PTE Ltd. (part of the Macquarie group of companies)	April 2014	95% of BSI (9)	
Baltic Cougar	2009	Bulkhandling Handymax A/S	May 2014	Spot Pool (8)	
Handysize Vessels					
Baltic Wind	2009	Pioneer Navigation Ltd.	February 2014	\$8,785 (10)	
Baltic Cove	2010	Trammo Bulk Carriers	January 2015	106% of BHSI (11)	
Baltic Breeze	2010	Cargill International S.A.	July 2014	115% of BHSI (12)	
Baltic Fox	2010	Clipper Logger Pool	September 2015	Spot Pool (13)	
Baltic Hare	2009	Clipper Logger Pool	September 2015	Spot Pool (13)	
6					

- The charter expiration dates presented represent the earliest dates that our charters may be terminated in the ordinary course. Under the terms of each contract, the charterer is entitled to extend the time charters from two to four months in order to complete the vessel's final voyage plus any time the vessel has been off-hire.
- The dates for the vessels being delivered in the future are estimates based on guidance received from the sellers.

We have agreed to an extension with Swissmarine Services S.A. on a spot market-related time charter based on 101.5% of the average of the daily rates of the Baltic Capesize Index (BCI), published by the Baltic Exchange, as reflected in daily reports. Hire is paid in arrears net of a 6.25% brokerage commission, which includes the 1.25% commission payable to Genco. The minimum and maximum expiration dates of the time charter are February 1, 2015 and April 15, 2015, respectively.

We have reached an agreement with Cargill International S.A. on a spot market-related time charter based on 100% of the average of the daily rates of the BCI, as reflected in daily reports. Hire is paid every 15 days in (4) arrears net of a 5.00% brokerage commission, which includes the 1.25% commission payable to Genco. The duration of the spot market-related time charter is 21.5 to 26.5 months.

We have reached an agreement with Swissmarine Services S.A. on a spot market-related time charter for 10.5 to 13.5 months based on 102.75% of the average of the daily rates of the BCI, as reflected in daily reports. Hire is paid every 15 days in arrears net of a 6.25% brokerage commission, which includes the 1.25% commission payable to Genco. The vessel delivered to charterers on November 29, 2013.

We have reached an agreement with Cargill International S.A. on a spot market-related time charter for 10.5 to 13.5 months based on 102.75% of the average of the daily rates of the BCI, as reflected in daily reports. Hire is (6) paid every 15 days in arrears net of a 6.25% brokerage commission, which includes the 1.25% commission payable to Genco. The vessel delivered to charterers on December 29, 2013.

We have reached an agreement with Resource Marine PTE Ltd. on a spot market-related time charter for a minimum of 18.5 months to a maximum end date of May 30, 2014 based on 95% of the average of the daily rates of the Baltic Supramax Index (BSI), published by the Baltic Exchange, as reflected in daily reports. Hire is paid every 15 days in arrears net of a 6.25% brokerage commission, which includes the 1.25% commission payable to Genco.

We have reached an agreement to enter these vessels into the Bulkhandling Handymax A/S Pool, a vessel pool trading in the spot market of which Torvald Klaveness acts as the pool manager. The vessels have to remain in the pool for a minimum of six months, after which Baltic Trading can withdraw a vessel with three months' notice.

We have reached an agreement with Resource Marine PTE Ltd. on a spot market-related time charter for a minimum of 20.5 months to a maximum end date of July 11, 2014 based on 95% of the average of the daily rates (9) of the BSI, as reflected in daily reports. Hire is paid every 15 days in arrears net of a 6.25% brokerage commission, which includes the 1.25% commission payable to Genco.

We have reached an agreement with Pioneer Navigation Ltd. on a short term spot market-related time charter for 3.5 to 5.5 months in order to position the vessel for its upcoming drydocking. Hire is paid in arrears net of a 6.25% brokerage commission which includes the 1.25% commission payable to Genco.

We have reached an agreement with Trammo Bulk Carriers on a spot market-related time charter for 10.5 months to a maximum expiration date of April 1, 2015 based on 106% of the average of the daily rates of the Baltic Handysize Index (BHSI), published by the Baltic Exchange, as reflected in daily reports except for the initial 58 days in which the hire rate is

based on the average of the Baltic Handysize HS5 and HS6 routes. Hire is paid every 15 days in arrears net of a 6.25% brokerage commission, which includes the 1.25% commission payable to Genco. The vessel delivered to charterers on February 15, 2014.

The rate for the spot market-related time charter is based on 115% of the average of the daily rates of the BHSI, as reflected in daily reports. Hire is paid every 15 days in advance net of a 6.25% brokerage commission, which includes the 1.25% commission payable to Genco.

We have reached an agreement to enter these vessels into the Clipper Logger Pool, a vessel pool trading in the (13) spot market of which Clipper Group acts as the pool manager. The vessels will remain in the pool for a minimum period of two years.

The Company's vessels regularly move between countries in international waters, over hundreds of trade routes and, as a result, the disclosure of financial information about geographic areas is impracticable.

CLASSIFICATION AND INSPECTION

All of our vessels have been certified as being "in class" by the American Bureau of Shipping ("ABS"), DNVGL or Lloyd's Register of Shipping ("Lloyd's"). Each of these classification societies is a member of the International Association of Classification Societies. Every commercial vessel's hull and machinery is evaluated by a classification society authorized by its country of registry. The classification society certifies that the vessel has been built and maintained in accordance with the rules of the classification society and complies with applicable rules and regulations of the vessel's country of registry and the international conventions of which that country is a member. Each vessel is inspected by a surveyor of the classification society in three surveys of varying frequency and thoroughness: every year for the annual survey, every two to three years for the intermediate survey and every four to five years for special surveys. Special surveys always require drydocking. Vessels that are 15 years old or older are required, as part of the intermediate survey process, to be drydocked every 24 to 30 months for inspection of the underwater portions of the vessel and for necessary repairs stemming from the inspection.

In addition to the classification inspections, many of our customers regularly inspect our vessels as a precondition to chartering them for voyages. We believe that our well-maintained, high-quality vessels provide us with a competitive advantage in the current environment of increasing regulation and customer emphasis on quality.

We have implemented the International Safety Management Code, which was promulgated by the International Maritime Organization, or IMO (the United Nations agency for maritime safety and the prevention of marine pollution by ships), to establish pollution prevention requirements applicable to vessels. We obtained documents of compliance for our offices and safety management certificates for all of our vessels for which the certificates are required by the IMO.

CREWING AND EMPLOYEES

Each of our vessels is crewed with 21 to 24 officers and seamen. Our technical managers are responsible for locating and retaining qualified officers for our vessels. The crewing agencies handle each seaman's training, travel and payroll, and ensure that all the seamen on our vessels have the qualifications and licenses required to comply with international regulations and shipping conventions. We typically man our vessels with more crew members than are required by the country of the vessel's flag in order to allow for the performance of routine maintenance duties.

As of March 3, 2014, we employed approximately 300 seagoing personnel on our vessels.

CUSTOMERS

Our assessment of a charterer's financial condition and reliability is an important factor in negotiating employment for our vessels. We generally charter our vessels to major trading houses (including commodities traders), major producers and government-owned entities rather than to more speculative or undercapitalized entities. Our customers include national, regional and international companies, including Cargill International S.A. ("Cargill"), Resource Marine PTE Ltd. (part of the Macquarie group of Companies) ("Resource Marine"), and Swissmarine Services S.A. ("Swissmarine"). For the year ended December 31, 2013, these customers individually accounted for 40.17%, 19.15% and 17.80% of voyage revenues, respectively.

COMPETITION

Our business fluctuates in line with the main patterns of trade of the major drybulk cargoes and varies according to changes in the supply and demand for these items. We operate in markets that are highly competitive and based primarily on supply and demand. We compete for charters on the basis of price, vessel location and size, age and condition of the vessel, as well as on our

reputation as an owner and operator. We compete with other owners of drybulk carriers in the Capesize, Supramax and Handysize class sectors, some of whom may also charter our vessels as customers. Ownership of drybulk carriers is highly fragmented and is divided among approximately 1,735 independent drybulk carrier owners.

PERMITS AND AUTHORIZATIONS

We are required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses, certificates and other authorizations with respect to our vessels. The kinds of permits, licenses, certificates and other authorizations required for each vessel depend upon several factors, including the commodity transported, the waters in which the vessel operates, the nationality of the vessel's crew and the age of the vessel. We believe that we have all material permits, licenses, certificates and other authorizations necessary for the conduct of our operations. However, additional laws and regulations, environmental or otherwise, may be adopted which could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of our doing business.

INSURANCE

General

The operation of any drybulk vessel includes risks such as mechanical failure, collision, property loss, cargo loss or damage and business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries, piracy, hostilities and labor strikes. In addition, there is always an inherent possibility of marine disaster, including oil spills and other environmental mishaps, and the liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade. The U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA, which imposes virtually unlimited liability upon owners, operators and demise charterers of vessels trading in the U.S.-exclusive economic zone for certain oil pollution accidents in the United States, has made liability insurance more expensive for ship owners and operators trading in the U.S. market.

While we maintain hull and machinery insurance, war risks insurance, protection and indemnity cover, and freight, demurrage and defense cover and loss of hire insurance for our fleet in amounts that we believe to be prudent to cover normal risks in our operations, we may not be able to achieve or maintain this level of coverage throughout a vessel's useful life. Furthermore, while we believe that our present insurance coverage is adequate, not all risks can be insured, and there can be no guarantee that any specific claim will be paid, or that we will always be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates.

Hull and Machinery, War Risks, Kidnap and Ransom Insurance

We maintain marine hull and machinery, war risks and kidnap and ransom insurance which cover the risk of actual or constructive total loss, for all of our vessels. Our vessels are each covered up to at least fair market value with deductibles, which depend primarily on the class of the insured vessel and are subject to change. We are covered, subject to limitations in our policy, to have the crew released in the case of kidnapping due to piracy in the Gulf of Aden / Somalia.

Protection and Indemnity Insurance

Protection and indemnity insurance is provided by mutual protection and indemnity associations, or P&I Associations, which insure our third-party liabilities in connection with our shipping activities. This includes third-party liability and other related expenses resulting from the injury or death of crew, passengers and other third parties, the loss or damage to cargo, claims arising from collisions with other vessels, damage to other third-party property, pollution arising from oil or other substances and salvage, towing and other related costs, including wreck removal. Protection and indemnity insurance is a form of mutual indemnity insurance, extended by protection and indemnity mutual associations, or "clubs." Subject to the "capping" discussed below, our coverage, except for pollution, is unlimited.

We maintain protection and indemnity insurance coverage for pollution of \$1 billion per vessel per incident. The 13 P&I Associations that comprise the International Group insure approximately 90% of the world's commercial tonnage and have entered into a pooling agreement to reinsure each association's liabilities. We are a member of a P&I Association, which is a member of the International Group. As a result, we are subject to calls payable to the associations based on the group's claim records as well as the claim records of all other members of the individual associations and members of the pool of P&I Associations comprising the International Group.

Loss of Hire Insurance

We maintain loss of hire insurance, which covers business interruptions and related losses that result from the loss of use of a vessel. Our loss of hire insurance has a 14-day deductible and provides claim coverage for up to 90 days.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER REGULATION

Government regulation significantly affects the ownership and operation of our vessels. We are subject to international conventions and treaties, national, state and local laws and regulations in force in the countries in which our vessels may operate or are registered relating to safety and health and environmental protection including the storage, handling, emission, transportation and discharge of hazardous and non-hazardous materials, and the remediation of contamination and liability for damage to natural resources. Compliance with such laws, regulations and other requirements entails significant expense, including vessel modifications and implementation of certain operating procedures.

A variety of governmental and private entities subject our vessels to both scheduled and unscheduled inspections. These entities include the local port authorities, (applicable national authorities such as the U.S. Coast Guard and harbor masters), classification societies, flag state administrations (countries of registry) and charterers. Some of these entities require us to obtain permits, licenses, certificates and other authorizations for the operation of our vessels. Our failure to maintain necessary permits, licenses, certificates or authorizations could require us to incur substantial costs or temporarily suspend the operation of one or more of our vessels.

In recent periods, heightened levels of environmental and operational safety concerns among insurance underwriters, regulators and charterers have led to greater inspection and safety requirements on all vessels and may accelerate the scrapping of older vessels throughout the drybulk shipping industry. Increasing environmental concerns have created a demand for vessels that conform to the stricter environmental standards. We believe that the operation of our vessels is in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and that our vessels have all material permits, licenses, certificates or other authorizations necessary for the conduct of our operations. However, because such laws and regulations are frequently changed and may impose increasingly stricter requirements, we cannot predict the ultimate cost of complying with these requirements, or the impact of these requirements on the resale value or useful lives of our vessels. In addition, a future serious marine incident, such as one comparable to the 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill, that results in significant oil pollution or otherwise causes significant adverse environmental impact could result in additional legislation or regulation that could negatively affect our profitability.

International Maritime Organization (IMO)

The United Nations International Maritime Organization (the "IMO") has adopted the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973, as modified by the Protocol of 1978 relating thereto (collectively referred to as MARPOL 73/78 and herein as "MARPOL"). MARPOL entered into force on October 2, 1983. It has been adopted by over 150 nations, including many of the jurisdictions in which our vessels operate. MARPOL is broken into six Annexes, each of which regulates a different source of pollution. Annex I relates to oil leakage or spilling; Annexes II and III relate to harmful substances carried, in bulk, in liquid or packaged form, respectively; Annexes IV and V relate to sewage and garbage management, respectively; and Annex VI, lastly, relates to air emissions. Annex VI was separately adopted by the IMO in September of 1997.

In 2013, IMO's Maritime Environment Protection Committee, or MEPC, adopted by resolution amendments to the MARPOL Annex I Conditional Assessment Scheme, or CAS. These amendments, which are expected to become effective on October 1, 2014, pertain to revising references to the inspections of bulk carriers and tankers after the 2011 ESP Code, which enhances the programs of inspections, becomes mandatory. We may need to make certain financial expenditures to comply with these amendments which we do not anticipate to be material.

Air Emissions

In September of 1997, the IMO adopted Annex VI to MARPOL to address air pollution. Effective May 2005, Annex VI sets limits on nitrogen oxide emissions from ships whose diesel engines were constructed (or underwent major conversions) on or after January 1, 2000. It also prohibits "deliberate emissions" of "ozone depleting substances," defined

to include certain halons and chlorofluorocarbons. "Deliberate emissions" are not limited to times when the ship is at sea; they can for example include discharges occurring in the course of the ships repair and maintenance. Emissions of "volatile organic compounds" from certain tankers, and the shipboard incineration (from incinerators installed after January 1, 2000) of certain substances (such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)) are also prohibited. Annex VI also includes a global cap on the sulfur content of fuel oil and allows for special areas to be established with more stringent controls on sulfur emissions, known as Emission Control Areas, or ECAs (see below).

The MEPC, adopted amendments to Annex VI on October 10, 2008, which entered into force on July 1, 2010. The amended Annex VI seeks to further reduce air pollution by, among other things, implementing a progressive reduction of the amount of sulfur contained in any fuel oil used on board ships. As of January 1, 2012, the amended Annex VI requires that fuel oil contain no more than 3.50% sulfur (from the previous cap of 4.50%). By January 1, 2020, sulfur content must not exceed 0.50%, subject to a feasibility review to be completed no later than 2018.

Sulfur content standards are even stricter within certain "Emission Control Areas" ("ECAs"). As of July 1, 2010, ships operating within an ECA were not permitted to use fuel with sulfur content in excess of 1.0% (from 1.50%), which will be further reduced to 0.10% on January 1, 2015. Amended Annex VI establishes procedures for designating new ECAs. The Baltic Sea and the North Sea have been so designated. Effective August 1, 2012, certain coastal areas of North America were designated ECAs, and effective January 1, 2014 the applicable areas of the United States Caribbean Sea were designated ECAs. If other ECAs are approved by the IMO or other new or more stringent requirements relating to emissions from marine diesel engines or port operations by vessels are adopted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") or the states where we operate, compliance with these regulations could entail significant capital expenditures or otherwise increase the costs of our operations.

As of January 1, 2013, MARPOL made mandatory certain measures relating to energy efficiency for ships in part to address greenhouse gas emissions. All new ships are required to utilize the Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI) and all ships must use a Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan (SEEMP). Our fleet is already compliant with this requirement.

Amended Annex VI also establishes new tiers of stringent nitrogen oxide emissions standards for new marine engines, depending on their date of installation. The U. S. Environmental Protection Agency promulgated equivalent (and in some senses stricter) emissions standards in late 2009.

Safety Management System Requirements

The IMO also adopted the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, or SOLAS and the International Convention on Load Lines, or the LL Convention, which impose a variety of standards that regulate the design and operational features of ships. The IMO periodically revises the SOLAS Convention and LL Convention standards. SOLAS amendments adopted by the IMO in May 2012 entered in force as of January 1, 2014. The Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims (LLMC) was recently amended and the amendments are expected to go into effect on June 8, 2015. The foregoing amendments alter the limits of liability for loss of life or personal injury and property claims against ship owners.

Under Chapter IX of SOLAS, the International Safety Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and for Pollution Prevention, or ISM Code, our operations are also subject to environmental standards and requirements. The ISM Code requires the owner of a vessel, or any person who has taken responsibility for operation of a vessel, to develop an extensive safety management system that includes, among other things, the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for operating its vessels safely and describing procedures for responding to emergencies. We rely upon the safety management system that we and our technical manager have developed for compliance with the ISM Code. The failure of a ship owner or bareboat charterer to comply with the ISM Code may subject such party to increased liability, may decrease available insurance coverage for the affected vessels and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports.

The ISM Code requires that vessel operators also obtain a safety management certificate for each vessel they operate. This certificate evidences compliance by a vessel's management with code requirements for a safety management system. No vessel can obtain a certificate unless its manager has been awarded a document of compliance, issued by each flag state, under the ISM Code. We believe that we have all material requisite documents of compliance for our offices and safety management certificates for all of our vessels for which such certificates are required by the IMO. We renew these documents of compliance and safety management certificates as required.

Pollution Control and Liability Requirements

The IMO has negotiated international conventions that impose liability for pollution in international waters and the territorial waters of the nation's signatory to such conventions. For example, IMO adopted an International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments, or the BWM Convention, in February 2004.

The BWM Convention's implementing regulations call for a phased introduction of mandatory ballast water exchange requirements, to be replaced in time with mandatory concentration limits. The BWM Convention will not become effective until 12 months after it has been adopted by 30 states, the combined merchant fleets of which represent not less than 35% of the gross tonnage of the world's merchant shipping. To date, there has not been sufficient adoption of this standard for it to take force. However, Panama may adopt this standard in the relatively near future, which would be sufficient for it to take force. Upon entry into force of the BWM Convention, mid-ocean ballast exchange would be mandatory for our vessels. In addition, our vessels would be required to be equipped with ballast water treatment systems that meet mandatory concentration limits, in each case not later than the first intermediate or renewal survey, whichever occurs first, after the anniversary date of delivery of the vessel in 2016. However, the U.S. Coast Guard, as well as the EPA, has mandated this requirement with the effective dates as described in the BWM Convention. Therefore, in order for our vessels to trade in the United States, we will be required to install ballast water treatment systems. The system specification requirements for trading

in the United States have not been formalized, but we believe the ballast water treatment systems will range from \$0.4 million to \$0.9 million each, primarily dependent on the size of the vessel.

Many countries have ratified and follow the liability plan adopted by the IMO and set out in the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage of 1969, as amended by different Protocol in 1976, 1984, and 1992, and amended in 2000, or the CLC. Under the CLC and depending on whether the country in which the damage results is a party to the 1992 Protocol to the CLC, a vessel's registered owner is strictly liable for pollution damage caused in the territorial waters of a contracting state by discharge of persistent oil, subject to certain exceptions. The 1992 Protocol changed certain limits on liability, expressed using the International Monetary Fund currency unit of Special Drawing Rights. The limits on liability have since been amended so that the compensation limits on liability were raised. The right to limit liability is forfeited under the CLC where the spill is caused by the ship owner's personal act or omission by intentional or reckless conduct where the ship owner knew pollution damage would probably result. The CLC requires ships covered by it to maintain insurance covering the liability of the owner in a sum equivalent to an owner's liability for a single incident. We believe that our protection and indemnity insurance will cover the liability under the plan adopted by the IMO.

The IMO adopted the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, or the Bunker Convention, to impose strict liability on ship owners for pollution damage in jurisdictional waters of ratifying states caused by discharges of bunker fuel. The Bunker Convention requires registered owners of ships over 1,000 gross tons to maintain insurance for pollution damage in an amount equal to the limits of liability under the applicable national or international limitation regime (but not exceeding the amount calculated in accordance with the Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims of 1976, as amended). With respect to non-ratifying states, liability for spills or releases of oil carried as fuel in ship's bunkers typically is determined by the national or other domestic laws in the jurisdiction where the events or damages occur.

Noncompliance with the ISM Code or other IMO regulations may subject the vessel owner or bareboat charterer to increased liability, lead to decreases in available insurance coverage for affected vessels or result in the denial of access to, or detention in, some ports. The U.S. Coast Guard and European Union authorities have indicated that vessels not in compliance with the ISM Code by the applicable deadlines will be prohibited from trading in U.S. and European Union ports, respectively. As of the date of this report, each of our vessels is ISM Code certified. However, there can be no assurance that such certificates will be maintained in the future.

Anti-Fouling Requirements

In 2001, the IMO adopted the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships, or the Anti-fouling Convention. The Anti-fouling Convention prohibits the use of organotin compound coatings to prevent the attachment of mollusks and other sea life to the hulls of vessels. The exteriors of vessels constructed prior to January 1, 2003 that have not been in drydock must, as of September 17, 2008, either not contain the prohibited compounds or have coatings applied to the vessel exterior that act as a barrier to the leaching of the prohibited compounds. Vessels of over 400 gross tons engaged in international voyages must obtain an International Anti-fouling System Certificate and undergo a survey before the vessel is put into service or when the anti-fouling systems are altered or replaced. We have obtained Anti-fouling System Certificates for all of our vessels that are subject to the Anti-fouling Convention.

The U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990 and Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

The U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA, established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection and cleanup of the environment from oil spills. OPA affects all "owners and operators" whose vessels trade in the United States, its territories and possessions or whose vessels operate in U.S. waters, which includes the U.S. territorial sea and its 200 nautical mile exclusive economic zone. The United States has also enacted the

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, or CERCLA, which applies to the discharge of hazardous substances other than oil, whether on land or at sea. OPA and CERCLA both define "owner or operator" "in the case of a vessel, as any person owning, operating or chartering by demise, the vessel." Accordingly, both OPA and CERCLA impact our operations.

Under OPA, vessel owners and operators are "responsible parties" and are jointly, severally and strictly liable (unless the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or threatened discharges of oil from their vessels. OPA defines these other damages broadly to include:

- ·injury to, destruction or loss of, or loss of use of, natural resources and related assessment costs;
- ·injury to, or economic losses resulting from, the destruction of real and personal property;

12

net loss of taxes, royalties, rents, fees or net profit revenues resulting from injury, destruction or loss of real or personal property or natural resources;

·loss of subsistence use of natural resources that are injured, destroyed or lost;

lost profits or impairment of earning capacity due to injury, destruction or loss of real or personal property or natural resources; and

net cost of increased or additional public services necessitated by removal activities following a discharge of oil, such as protection from fire, safety or health hazards, and loss of subsistence use of natural resources.

OPA contains statutory caps on liability and damages; such caps do not apply to direct cleanup costs. Effective July 31, 2009, the U.S. Coast Guard adjusted the limits of OPA liability for non-tank vessels to the greater of \$1,000 per gross ton or \$854,400 (subject to periodic adjustment for inflation). These limits of liability do not apply if an incident was proximately caused by the violation of an applicable U.S. federal safety, construction or operating regulation by a responsible party (or its agent, employee or a person acting pursuant to a contractual relationship), or a responsible party's gross negligence or willful misconduct. The limitation on liability similarly does not apply if the responsible party fails or refuses to (i) report the incident where the responsibility party knows or has reason to know of the incident; (ii) reasonably cooperate and assist as requested in connection with oil removal activities; or (iii) without sufficient cause, comply with an order issued under the Federal Water Pollution Act (Section 311 (c), (e)) or the Intervention on the High Seas Act.

CERCLA contains a similar liability regime whereby owners and operators of vessels are liable for cleanup, removal and remedial costs, as well as damage for injury to, or destruction or loss of, natural resources, including the reasonable costs associated with assessing same, and health assessments or health effects studies. There is no liability if the discharge of a hazardous substance results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war. Liability under CERCLA is limited to the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$5 million for vessels carrying a hazardous substance as cargo and the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$500,000 for any other vessel. These limits do not apply (rendering the responsible person liable for the total cost of response and damages) if the release or threat of release of a hazardous substance resulted from willful misconduct or negligence, or the primary cause of the release was a violation of applicable safety, construction or operating standards or regulations. The limitation on liability also does not apply if the responsible person fails or refused to provide all reasonable cooperation and assistance as requested in connection with response activities where the vessel is subject to OPA.

OPA and CERCLA each preserve the right to recover damages under existing law, including maritime tort law.

OPA and CERCLA both require owners and operators of vessels to establish and maintain with the U.S. Coast Guard evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to meet the maximum amount of liability to which the particular responsible person may be subject. Vessel owners and operators may satisfy their financial responsibility obligations by providing a proof of insurance, a surety bond, qualification as a self-insurer or a guarantee. We plan to comply with the U.S. Coast Guard's financial responsibility regulations by providing a certificate of responsibility evidencing sufficient insurance.

The 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico may also result in additional regulatory initiatives or statutes, including the raising of liability caps under OPA. For example, on August 15, 2012, the U.S. Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE) implemented a final drilling safety rule for offshore oil and gas operations that strengthens the requirements for safety equipment, well control systems, and blowout prevention practices. Compliance with any new requirements of OPA may substantially impact our cost of operations or require us to incur additional expenses to comply with any new regulatory initiatives or statutes. Additional legislation, regulations, or other requirements applicable to the operation of our vessels that may be implemented in the future could adversely affect our business.

While we do not carry oil as cargo, we do carry bunkers in our drybulk carriers. We currently maintain pollution liability coverage insurance in the amount of \$1 billion per incident for each of our vessels. If the damages from a catastrophic spill were to exceed our insurance coverage, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to pay dividends.

Other United States Environmental Regulations

13

The U.S. Clean Water Act, or CWA, prohibits the discharge of oil or hazardous substances in U.S. navigable waters unless authorized by a duly-issued permit or exemption, and imposes strict liability in the form of penalties for any unauthorized discharges. The CWA also imposes substantial liability for the costs of removal, remediation and damages and complements the remedies available under OPA and CERCLA. In addition, many U.S. states that border a navigable waterway have enacted environmental

pollution laws that impose strict liability on a person for removal costs and damages resulting from a discharge of oil or a release of a hazardous substance. These laws may be more stringent than U.S. federal law.

The EPA regulates the discharge of ballast and bilge water and other substances in U.S. waters under the CWA. EPA regulations require vessels 79 feet in length or longer (other than commercial fishing and recreational vessels) to comply with a Vessel General Permit, or VGP, authorizing ballast and bilge water discharges and other discharges incidental to the operation of vessels. The VGP imposes technology and water-quality based effluent limits for certain types of discharges and establishes specific inspection, monitoring, recordkeeping and reporting requirements to ensure the effluent limits are met. On March 28, 2013, the EPA re-issued the VGP for another five years; this VGP took effect on December 19, 2013. The new VGP focuses on authorizing discharges incidental to operations of commercial vessels. The VGP also contains numeric ballast water discharge limits for most vessels to reduce the risk of invasive species in U.S. waters, more stringent requirements for exhaust gas scrubbers and the use of environmentally acceptable lubricants.

U.S. Coast Guard regulations adopted under the U.S. National Invasive Species Act, or NISA, also impose mandatory ballast water management practices for all vessels equipped with ballast water tanks entering or operating in U.S. waters. As of June 21, 2012, the Coast Guard implemented revised regulations on ballast water management standards by establishing standards on the allowable concentration of living organisms in ballast water discharged from ships in U.S. waters. The revised ballast water standards are consistent with those adopted by the IMO in 2004. Compliance with the EPA and the U.S. Coast Guard regulations could require the installation of equipment on our vessels to treat ballast water before it is discharged or the implementation of other port facility disposal arrangements or procedures at potentially substantial cost, and/or otherwise restrict our vessels from entering U.S. waters.

The U.S. Clean Air Act of 1970, including its amendments of 1977 and 1990, or the CAA, requires the EPA to promulgate standards applicable to emissions of volatile organic compounds and other air contaminants. The CAA also requires states to draft State Implementation Plans, or SIPs, designed to attain national health-based air quality standards in primarily major metropolitan areas and/or industrial areas. Some SIPs may include regulations relating to emissions resulting from vessel loading and unloading operations by requiring the installation of vapor control equipment. To the extent applicable to our vessels, the operation of our vessels is in compliance with the CAA.

However, compliance with future EPA and U.S. Coast Guard regulations could require the installation of certain engineering equipment and water treatment systems to treat ballast water before it is discharged or the implementation of other port facility disposal arrangements or procedures at potentially substantial cost, or may otherwise restrict our vessels from entering U.S. waters.

European Union Regulations

In October 2009, the European Union amended a directive to impose criminal sanctions for illicit ship-source discharges of polluting substances, including minor discharges, if committed with intent, recklessly or with serious negligence and the discharges individually or in the aggregate result in deterioration of the quality of water. Aiding and abetting the discharge of a polluting substance may also lead to criminal penalties. Member States were required to enact laws or regulations to comply with the directive by the end of 2010. Criminal liability for pollution may result in substantial penalties or fines and increased civil liability claims. The directive applies to all types of vessels, irrespective of their flag, but certain exceptions apply to warships or where human safety or that of the ship is in danger.

Greenhouse Gas Regulation

Currently, the emissions of greenhouse gases from international shipping are not subject to the Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, which entered into force in 2005 and pursuant to which adopting countries have been required to implement national programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. As of

January 1, 2013, all new ships must comply with two new sets of mandatory requirements, which were adopted by MEPC in July 2011, to address greenhouse gas emissions from ships. Currently operating ships will be required to develop SEEMPs, and minimum energy efficiency levels per capacity mile will apply to new ships. These requirements could cause us to incur additional compliance costs. The IMO is also planning to implement market-based mechanisms to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from ships at an upcoming MEPC session. The European Union has indicated that it intends to propose an expansion of the existing European Union emissions trading scheme to include emissions of greenhouse gases from marine vessels, and in January 2012 the European Commission launched a public consultation on possible measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from ships. In April 2013, the European Union Parliament rejected proposed changes to the European Union Emissions Law regarding carbon trading. In June 2013 the European Commission developed a strategy to integrate maritime emissions into the overall European Union Strategy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. If the strategy is adopted by the European Parliament and Council large vessels using European Union ports would be required to monitor, report, and verify their carbon dioxide emissions beginning in January 2018. In December 2013 the European Union environmental ministers discussed draft rules to implement monitoring and reporting of carbon dioxide emissions from ships. In the United States, the EPA has issued a finding that greenhouse gases endanger the public health and safety and has adopted regulations to limit greenhouse gas emissions from certain mobile sources and large stationary sources. Although the mobile source emissions regulations do not apply to greenhouse gas emissions from vessels, such regulation of vessels is foreseeable, and the EPA has in recent years received petitions from the California Attorney General and various environmental groups seeking such regulation. Any passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by the IMO, European Union, the U.S. or other countries where we operate, or any treaty adopted at the international level to succeed the Kyoto Protocol, that restrict emissions of greenhouse gases could require us to make significant financial expenditures, including capital expenditures to upgrade our vessels, which we cannot predict with certainty at this time. 14

International Labour Organization

The International Labour Organization (ILO) is a specialized agency of the UN with headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland. The ILO has adopted the Maritime Labor Convention 2006 (MLC 2006). A Maritime Labor Certificate and a Declaration of Maritime Labor Compliance will be required to ensure compliance with the MLC 2006 for all ships above 500 gross tons in international trade. The MLC 2006 entered into force on August 20, 2013. The MLC 2006 requires us to develop new procedures to ensure full compliance with its requirements.

Vessel Security Regulations

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, there have been a variety of initiatives intended to enhance vessel security. On November 25, 2002, the U.S. Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002, or the MTSA, came into effect. To implement certain portions of the MTSA, in July 2003, the U.S. Coast Guard issued regulations requiring the implementation of certain security requirements aboard vessels operating in waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. The regulations also impose requirements on certain ports and facilities, some of which are regulated by the EPA.

Similarly, in December 2002, amendments to SOLAS created a new chapter of the convention dealing specifically with maritime security. The new Chapter V became effective in July 2004 and imposes various detailed security obligations on vessels and port authorities, and mandates compliance with the International Ship and Port Facilities Security Code, or the ISPS Code. The ISPS Code is designed to enhance the security of ports and ships against terrorism. To trade internationally, a vessel must attain an International Ship Security Certificate, or ISSC, from a recognized security organization approved by the vessel's flag state. Among the various requirements are:

- on-board installation of automatic identification systems to provide a means for the automatic transmission of
- ·safety-related information from among similarly equipped ships and shore stations, including information on a ship's identity, position, course, speed and navigational status;
- on-board installation of ship security alert systems, which do not sound on the vessel but only alert the authorities on shore;
- ·the development of vessel security plans;
- ·ship identification number to be permanently marked on a vessel's hull;
- a continuous synopsis record kept onboard showing a vessel's history including the name of the ship and of the state whose flag the ship is entitled to fly, the date on which the ship was registered with that state, the ship's identification number, the port at which the ship is registered and the name of the registered owner(s) and their registered address; and
- ·compliance with flag state security certification requirements.

A ship operating without a valid certificate may be detained at port until it obtains an ISSC, or may be expelled from port or refused entry at port.

The U.S. Coast Guard regulations, intended to align with international maritime security standards, exempt from MTSA vessel security measures non-U.S. vessels that have on board, as of July 1, 2004, a valid ISSC attesting to the vessel's compliance with SOLAS security requirements and the ISPS Code. We have implemented the various security measures addressed by the MTSA, SOLAS and the ISPS Code.

Inspection by Classification Societies

Every oceangoing vessel must be "classed" by a classification society. The classification society certifies that the vessel is "in class," signifying that the vessel has been built and maintained in accordance with the rules of the classification society and complies with applicable rules and regulations of the vessel's country of registry and the international conventions of which that country is a member. In addition, where surveys are required by international conventions

and corresponding laws and ordinances of a flag state, the classification society will undertake them on application or by official order, acting on behalf of the authorities concerned.

The classification society also undertakes on request other surveys and checks that are required by regulations and requirements of the flag state. These surveys are subject to agreements made in each individual case and/or to the regulations of the country concerned.

15

For maintenance of the class certification, regular and extraordinary surveys of hull, machinery, including the electrical plant, and any special equipment classes are required to be performed as follows:

Annual Surveys: For seagoing ships, annual surveys are conducted for the hull and the machinery, including the electrical plant, and where applicable for special equipment classed, within three months before or after each anniversary date of the date of commencement of the class period indicated in the certificate.

Intermediate Surveys: Extended annual surveys are referred to as intermediate surveys and typically are conducted two and one-half years after commissioning and each class renewal. Intermediate surveys are to be carried out at or between the occasion of the second or third annual survey.

Class Renewal Surveys: Class renewal surveys, also known as special surveys, are carried out for the ship's hull, machinery, including the electrical plant, and for any special equipment classed, at the intervals indicated by the character of classification for the hull. At the special survey, the vessel is thoroughly examined, including audio-gauging to determine the thickness of the steel structures. Should the thickness be found to be less than class requirements, the classification society would prescribe steel renewals. The classification society may grant a one-year grace period for completion of the special survey. Substantial amounts of money may have to be spent for steel renewals to pass a special survey if the vessel experiences excessive wear and tear. In lieu of the special survey every four or five years, depending on whether a grace period was granted, a vessel owner has the option of arranging with the classification society for the vessel's hull or machinery to be on a continuous survey cycle, in which every part of the vessel would be surveyed within a five-year cycle. Upon a vessel owner's request, the surveys required for class renewal may be split according to an agreed schedule to extend over the entire period of class. This process is referred to as continuous class renewal.

All areas subject to survey as defined by the classification society are required to be surveyed at least once per class period, unless shorter intervals between surveys are prescribed elsewhere. The period between two subsequent surveys of each area must not exceed five years.

Most vessels are also drydocked every 30 to 36 months for inspection of the underwater parts and for repairs related to inspections. If any defects are found, the classification surveyor will issue a "recommendation" which must be rectified by the vessel owner within prescribed time limits.

Most insurance underwriters make it a condition for insurance coverage that a vessel be certified as "in class" by a classification society which is a member of the International Association of Classification Societies (IACS). In December 2013, the IACS adopted new harmonized Common Structural Rules, which will apply to oil tankers and bulk carriers contracted to be constructed on or after July 1, 2015. All of our vessels have been certified as being "in class" by ABS, DNVGL or Lloyd's. All new and secondhand vessels that we purchase must be certified prior to their delivery under our standard agreements.

SEASONALITY

We operate our vessels in markets that have historically exhibited seasonal variations in demand and, as a result, may result in quarter-to-quarter volatility in our operating results because our vessels are traded on the spot market. The drybulk sector is typically stronger in the fall and winter months in anticipation of increased consumption of coal and raw materials in the northern hemisphere during the winter months. As a result, our revenues could be weaker during the fiscal quarters ended June 30 and September 30 and conversely, our revenues could be stronger during the quarters ended December 31 and March 31.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

ADDITIONAL FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

This annual report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements use words such as "anticipate," "budget," "estimate," "expect," "project," "intend," "plan," "believe," and other words and terms of similar meaning in connect with a discussion of potential future events, circumstances or future operating or financial performance. These forward-looking statements are based on our management's current expectations and observations. Included among the factors that, in our view, could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward looking statements contained in this annual report on Form 10-K are the following (i) declines in demand or rates in the drybulk shipping industry; (ii) prolonged weakness in drybulk shipping rates; (iii) changes in the supply of or demand for drybulk products, generally or in particular regions; (iv) changes in the supply of drybulk carriers, including newbuilding of vessels or lower than anticipated scrapping of older vessels; (v) changes in rules and regulations applicable to the cargo industry, including, without limitation, legislation adopted by international organizations or by individual countries and actions taken by regulatory authorities; (vi) increases in costs and expenses including but not limited to: crew wages, insurance, provisions, lube oil, bunkers, repairs, maintenance and general, administrative and management fee expenses; (vii) whether our insurance

arrangements are adequate; (viii) changes in general domestic and international political conditions; (ix) acts of war, terrorism, or piracy; (x) changes in the condition of our vessels or applicable maintenance or regulatory standards (which may affect, among other things, our anticipated drydocking or maintenance and repair costs) and unanticipated drydock expenditures; (xi) the amount of off-hire time needed to complete repairs on vessels and the timing and amount of any reimbursement by our insurance carriers for insurance claims, including off-hire days; (xii) our acquisition or disposition of vessels; (xiii) our ability to leverage Genco's relationships and reputation in the shipping industry; (xiv) the completion of definitive documentation with respect to charters; (xv) charterers' compliance with the terms of their charters in the current market environment; (xvi) the fulfillment of the closing conditions under, or the execution of additional documentation for, the Company's agreements to acquire vessels; (xvii) obtaining, completion of definitive documentation for, and funding of financing for the vessel acquisitions on acceptable terms; (xviii) those other risks and uncertainties discussed below under the heading "RISK FACTORS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS & OPERATIONS", and (xiv) other factors listed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Our ability to pay dividends in any period will depend upon various factors, including the limitations under any credit agreements to which we may be a party, applicable provisions of Marshall Islands law and the final determination by the Board of Directors each quarter after its review of our financial performance. The timing and amount of dividends, if any, could also be affected by factors affecting cash flows, results of operations, required capital expenditures, or reserves. As a result, the amount of dividends actually paid may vary. We do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

The following risk factors and other information included in this report should be carefully considered. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition, operating results or cash flows could be materially and adversely affected and the trading price of our common stock could decline.

RISK FACTORS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS AND OPERATIONS

Industry Specific Risk Factors

The current global economic downturn may negatively impact our business.

In the current global economy, operating businesses have been facing tight credit, weak demand for goods and services, deteriorating international liquidity conditions, and depressed markets. Lower demand for drybulk cargoes has led to decreased demand for drybulk vessels, which combined with increased supply of drybulk vessels has created downward pressure on charter rates. General market volatility has endured as a result of uncertainty about sovereign debt and government austerity measures and speculation about the growth rate of the Chinese economy. The economies of the United States, the European Union and other parts of the world continue to experience relatively slow growth or remain in recession and exhibit weak economic trends. If the current global economic environment persists or worsens, we may be negatively affected in the following ways:

We may not be able to employ our vessels at charter rates as favorable to us as historical rates or operate our vessels profitably.

Our earnings and cash flows could remain at depressed levels or decline, which may cause us to breach one or more of the covenants in the 2010 Credit Facility, the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, thereby potentially accelerating the repayment of outstanding facility borrowings.

·Although the market values of our vessels have increased over the past year, the market values continue to remain lower than our current carrying values for the majority of our fleet which may cause us to recognize losses if any of our vessels are sold or if their values are impaired. A further decline in the market value of our vessels could prevent us from borrowing under the 2010 Credit Facility or trigger a default under the covenants of the 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and \$44 Million Term Loan Facility. Please refer to "The market values of our

vessels may decrease, which could adversely affect our operating results, cause us to breach one or more covenants in the 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility, \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, or any credit facility we may enter into, or limit the total amount we may borrow under such a credit facility" below for further details.

The occurrence of any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

17

Charterhire rates for drybulk carriers are volatile and are currently at levels below its highs during 2008 and may further decrease in the future, which may adversely affect our earnings.

The prolonged downturn in the drybulk charter market, from which we derive the large majority of our revenues, has severely affected the drybulk shipping industry. The Baltic Dry Index ("BDI"), an index published by The Baltic Exchange of shipping rates for 26 key drybulk routes, showed relative weakness in 2013 and recorded an average level of 1,206, compared to a ten-year average level of 3,304. The BDI was at a low of 698 in January 2013 and reached a high of 2,337 in December 2013. As the BDI remains volatile, recording a level of 1,258 as of February 28, 2014, there can be no assurance the drybulk charter market will increase further, and the market could decline.

The year to date in 2014 has exhibited seasonal issues like those of the corresponding period in 2012 and 2013, with seasonal factors contributing to the most recent downturn in rates, including: order timing issues for iron ore cargoes related to the celebration of the Chinese New Year; increased deliveries of newbuilding vessels for the month of January as compared to the previous three months; and short-term weather-related issues, temporarily reducing iron ore output. In addition to these factors, there have been a number of adverse consequences for drybulk shipping, including, among other things:

- ·an ongoing limited availability of financing for vessels;
- ·a relatively less active second-hand market for the sale of vessels;
- ·extremely low charter rates, particularly for vessels employed in the spot market;
- ·widespread loan covenant defaults in the drybulk shipping industry; and
- ·declaration of bankruptcy by some operators and shipowners as well as charterers.

We charter all of our vessels principally in vessel pools or on spot market-related time charters and, as a result, we are exposed to the cyclicality and volatility of the spot charter market.

Because we charter all of our vessels principally in vessel pools or on spot market-related time charters, or we may acquire vessels that are subject to existing charters, we are exposed to the cyclicality and volatility of the spot charter market, and we do not have long-term, fixed-rate time charters to ameliorate the adverse effects of downturns in the spot market. Capesize vessels, which we operate as part of our fleet, have been particularly susceptible to volatility in spot charter rates. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully charter our vessels in the future at rates sufficient to allow us to meet our obligations or to pay dividends to our shareholders.

The supply of and demand for shipping capacity strongly influences freight rates. In addition, vessels may experience repeated periods of unemployment between spot charters. The successful operation of our vessels in the spot market depends upon, among other things, obtaining profitable spot charters and minimizing, to the extent possible, time spent waiting for charters and time spent traveling unladen to pick up cargo, or ballast time. There have been times, including the recent past, when spot rates have declined below the operating cost of vessels. Future spot rates may decline significantly and may not be sufficient to enable our vessels trading in the spot market to operate profitably or for us to pay dividends and may have a material adverse effect on our cash flows and financial condition. Because the factors affecting the supply and demand for vessels are outside of our control and are unpredictable, the nature, timing, direction and degree of changes in industry conditions are also unpredictable. The current global financial crisis has intensified this unpredictability.

Factors that influence demand for vessel capacity include:

·demand for and production of drybulk products;

global and regional economic and political conditions including developments in international trade, fluctuations in industrial and agricultural production and armed conflicts;

- ·the distance drybulk cargo is to be moved by sea;
- ·environmental and other regulatory developments; and

18

changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns.

The factors that influence the supply of vessel capacity include:

- ·the number of newbuilding deliveries;
- ·port and canal congestion;
- ·the scrapping rate of older vessels;
- ·vessel casualties;
- ·conversion of vessels to other uses;

the number of vessels that are out of service, i.e., laid-up, drydocked, awaiting repairs or otherwise not available for hire; and

·environmental concerns and regulations.

In addition to the prevailing and anticipated freight rates, factors that affect the rate of newbuilding, scrapping and laying-up include newbuilding prices, secondhand vessel values in relation to scrap prices, costs of bunkers and other operating costs, costs associated with classification society surveys, normal maintenance and insurance coverage, the efficiency and age profile of the existing fleet in the market and government and industry regulation of maritime transportation practices, particularly environmental protection laws and regulations. These factors influencing the supply of and demand for shipping capacity are outside of our control, and we may not be able to correctly assess the nature, timing and degree of changes in industry conditions.

We anticipate that the future demand for our drybulk carriers will be dependent upon economic growth in the world's economies, particularly China and India, seasonal and regional changes in demand, changes in the capacity of the global drybulk carrier fleet and the sources and supply of drybulk cargo to be transported by sea. Adverse economic, political, social or other developments, including a change in worldwide fleet capacity, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

The current oversupply of drybulk carrier capacity may lead to further reductions in charterhire rates, vessel values and profitability.

The market supply of drybulk carriers has been increasing as a result of the delivery of numerous newbuilding orders over the last few years. Newbuildings have been delivered in significant numbers since the beginning of 2006. The oversupply of drybulk carrier capacity has resulted in a reduction of charterhire rates, as evidenced by the low rates we have experienced during 2013. Currently, some of our spot market-related time charterers are at times unprofitable due the volatility associated with dry cargo freight rates. If market conditions persist, upon the expiration or termination of our vessels' current non-spot charters, we may only be able to re-charter our vessels at reduced or unprofitable rates, or we may not be able to charter these vessels at all. The occurrence of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

The market values of our vessels may decrease, which could adversely affect our operating results or cause us to breach one or more covenants in the 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility, \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, or any credit facility we may enter into, or limit the total amount we may borrow under such a credit facility.

If the book value of one of our vessels is impaired due to unfavorable market conditions or a vessel is sold at a price below its book value, we would incur a loss that could adversely affect our financial results. Also, certain covenants in the 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility depend on the market value of our fleet, and covenants of any other credit facility we may enter into may also depend on such market value. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources" for further details on the covenants in these credit facilities. If the market value of our fleet declines, we could breach certain provisions of these facilities, which could accelerate the repayment of outstanding borrowings under such facility or may limit the total amount that we may borrow under such facility. In such an event, we may not be able to refinance our debt or obtain additional financing under any credit facility. The occurrence of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Prolonged declines in charter rates and other market deterioration could cause us to incur impairment charges.

We evaluate the carrying amounts of our vessels to determine if events have occurred that would require us to evaluate our vessels for an impairment of their carrying amounts. The recoverable amount of vessels is reviewed based on events and changes in circumstances that would indicate that the carrying amount of the assets might not be recovered. The review for potential impairment indicators and projection of future cash flows related to the vessels is complex and requires us to make various estimates including future freight rates and earnings from the vessels. All of these items have been historically volatile.

We evaluate the recoverable amount as the higher of fair value in use on an undiscounted cash basis. If the recoverable amount is less than the carrying amount of the vessel, the vessel is deemed impaired, and such vessel would be written down to its fair value. The carrying values of our vessels may not represent their fair market value in the future because the new market prices of second-hand vessels tend to fluctuate with changes in charter rates and the cost of newbuildings. Any impairment charges incurred as a result of declines in charter rates could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

A further economic slowdown or changes in the economic and political environment in the Asia Pacific region could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position and results of operations.

A significant number of the port calls our vessels make involve the loading or discharging of raw materials and semi-finished products in ports in the Asia Pacific region. As a result, a negative change in economic conditions in any Asia Pacific country, and particularly in China or Japan, could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. In particular, in recent years, China has been one of the world's fastest growing economies in terms of gross domestic product. China's gross domestic product grew by 7.7% in 2013 as compared to a 7.8% growth rate in 2012. We cannot assure you that the Chinese economy will not experience a significant contraction in the future. Although state-owned enterprises still account for a substantial portion of the Chinese industrial output, in general, the Chinese government is reducing the level of direct control that it exercises over the economy through state plans and other measures. There is an increasing level of freedom and autonomy in areas such as allocation of resources, production, pricing and management and a gradual shift in emphasis to a "market economy" and enterprise reform. Limited price reforms were undertaken with the result that prices for certain commodities are principally determined by market forces. Many of the reforms are unprecedented or experimental and may be subject to revision, change or abolition based upon the outcome of such experiments. If the Chinese government does not continue to pursue a policy of economic reform, the level of imports to and exports from China could be adversely affected by changes to these economic reforms by the Chinese government, as well as by changes in political, economic and social conditions or other relevant policies of the Chinese government, such as changes in laws, regulations or export and import restrictions. Notwithstanding economic reform, the Chinese government may adopt policies that favor domestic drybulk shipping companies and may hinder our ability to compete with them effectively. Moreover, a significant or protracted slowdown in the economies of the United States, the European Union or various Asian countries may adversely affect economic growth in China and elsewhere. Our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends will likely be materially and adversely affected by an economic downturn in any of these countries.

We are subject to regulation and liability under environmental and operational safety laws that could require significant expenditures and affect our cash flows and net income and could subject us to increased liability under applicable law or regulation.

Our business and the operation of our vessels are materially affected by government regulation in the form of international conventions and national, state and local laws and regulations in force in the jurisdictions in which the vessels operate, as well as in the countries of their registration. Because such conventions, laws, and regulations are often revised, we cannot predict the ultimate cost of complying with them or their impact on the resale prices or useful lives of our vessels. Additional conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted that could limit our ability to do

business or increase the cost of our doing business and that may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. See "Overview — Environmental and Other Regulation" in Item 1, "Business" of this report for a discussion of such conventions, laws, and regulations. We are required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses, certificates and financial assurances with respect to our operations.

The operation of our vessels is affected by the requirements set forth in the United Nations' International Maritime Organization's International Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and Pollution Prevention, or ISM Code. The ISM Code requires ship owners, ship managers and bareboat charterers to develop and maintain an extensive "Safety Management System" that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for safe operation and describing procedures for dealing with emergencies. The failure of a ship owner or bareboat charterer to comply with the ISM Code may subject it to increased liability, may invalidate existing insurance or decrease available insurance coverage for the affected vessels and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports.

OPA established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection and cleanup of the environment from oil spills. OPA affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade in the United States, its territories and possessions or whose vessels operate in U.S. waters. OPA allows for liability without regard to fault of vessel owners, operators and demise charterers for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or threatened discharges of oil from their vessels, including bunkers, in U.S. waters. Such liability is potentially unlimited in cases of willful misconduct or gross negligence. OPA also expressly permits individual states to impose their own liability regimes with regard to hazardous materials and oil pollution materials occurring within their boundaries, provided they accept, at a minimum, the levels of liability established under OPA.

Increased inspection procedures and tighter import and export controls could increase costs and disrupt our business.

International shipping is subject to various security and customs inspection and related procedures in countries of origin and destination. Inspection procedures can result in the seizure of the contents of our vessels, delays in the loading, offloading or delivery and the levying of customs duties, fines or other penalties against us.

It is possible that changes to inspection procedures could impose additional financial and legal obligations on us. Furthermore, changes to inspection procedures could also impose additional costs and obligations on our customers and may, in certain cases, render the shipment of certain types of cargo uneconomical or impractical. Any such changes or developments may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

We operate our vessels worldwide, and as a result, our vessels are exposed to international risks which could reduce revenue or increase expenses.

The international shipping industry is an inherently risky business involving global operations. Our vessels are at risk of damage or loss because of events such as mechanical failure, collision, human error, war, terrorism, piracy, cargo loss and bad weather. All these hazards can result in death or injury to persons, increased costs, loss of revenues, loss or damage to property (including cargo), environmental damage, higher insurance rates, damage to our customer relationships, harm to our reputation as a safe and reliable operator and delay or rerouting. In addition, changing economic, regulatory and political conditions in some countries, including political and military conflicts, have from time to time resulted in attacks on vessels, mining of waterways, piracy, terrorism, labor strikes and boycotts. Our vessels may operate in particularly dangerous areas, including areas of the Indian Ocean, the Gulf of Aden, the South China Sea and the Red Sea. These sorts of events could interfere with shipping routes and result in market disruptions which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Our vessels may suffer damage and we may face unexpected dry docking costs, which could adversely affect our cash flow and financial condition.

If our vessels suffer damage, they may need to be repaired at a drydocking facility. The costs of drydock repairs are unpredictable and can be substantial. We may have to pay drydocking costs that our insurance does not cover in full. In addition, space at drydocking facilities is sometimes limited and not all drydocking facilities are conveniently located. We may be unable to find space at a suitable drydocking facility or we may be forced to travel to a drydocking facility that is distant from the relevant vessel's position. The loss of earnings while our vessels are being repaired and repositioned or from being forced to wait for space or to travel to more distant drydocking facilities, as well as the actual cost of repairs, could negatively impact our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

The operation of drybulk carriers has certain unique operational risks which could affect our earnings and cash flow.

The operation of certain ship types, such as drybulk carriers, has certain unique risks. With a drybulk carrier, the cargo itself and its interaction with the vessel can be an operational risk. By their nature, drybulk cargoes are often heavy, dense, easily shifted, and react badly to water exposure. In addition, drybulk carriers are often subjected to battering treatment during unloading operations with grabs, jackhammers (to pry encrusted cargoes out of the hold) and small bulldozers. This treatment may cause damage to the vessel. Vessels damaged due to treatment during unloading procedures may be more susceptible to breach to the sea. Hull breaches in drybulk carriers may lead to the flooding of the vessels' holds. If a drybulk carrier suffers flooding in its forward holds, the bulk cargo may become so dense and waterlogged that its pressure may buckle the vessel's bulkheads, leading to the loss of a vessel. If we are unable to adequately maintain our vessels, we may be unable to prevent these events. Any of these circumstances or events may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. In addition, the loss of any of our vessels could harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel owner and operator.

Acts of piracy on ocean-going vessels have continued and could adversely affect our business.

Acts of piracy have historically affected ocean-going vessels trading in regions of the world such as the South China Sea, the Indian Ocean, the Gulf of Aden and the Red Sea. Since 2008, the frequency of piracy incidents increased significantly, particularly in the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia. If these piracy attacks result in regions in which our vessels are deployed being characterized by insurers as "war risk" zones, or Joint War Committee (JWC) "war and strikes" listed areas, premiums payable for such coverage could increase significantly and such insurance coverage may be more difficult to obtain. In addition, crew costs, including costs which may be incurred to the extent we employ onboard security guards, could increase in such circumstances. We may not be adequately insured to cover losses from these incidents, which could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, detention hijacking as a result of an act of piracy against our vessels, or an increase in cost, or unavailability of insurance for our vessels, could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

In response to piracy incidents, particularly in the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia, following consultation with regulatory authorities, we may station guards on some of our vessels in some instances. While our use of guards is intended to deter and prevent the hijacking of our vessels, it may also increase our risk of liability for death or injury to persons or damage to personal property. If we do not have adequate insurance in place to cover such liability, it could adversely impact our business, results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Terrorist attacks such as those in New York on September 11, 2001, in London on July 7, 2005, and in Mumbai on November 26, 2008, as well as the threat of future terrorist attacks around the world, continue to cause uncertainty in the world's financial markets and may affect our business, operating results and financial condition. Continuing conflicts and recent developments in the Middle East, including Egypt, and North Africa, and the presence of U.S. and other armed forces in the Middle East, may lead to additional acts of terrorism and armed conflict around the world, which may contribute to further economic instability in the global financial markets. These uncertainties could also adversely affect our ability to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us or at all. In the past, political conflicts have also resulted in attacks on vessels, mining of waterways and other efforts to disrupt international shipping, particularly in the Arabian Gulf region. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operation, and financial condition.

Compliance with safety and other vessel requirements imposed by classification societies may be costly and could reduce our net cash flows and net income.

The hull and machinery of every commercial vessel must be certified as being "in class" by a classification society authorized by its country of registry. The classification society certifies that a vessel is safe and seaworthy in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of registry of the vessel and the Safety of Life at Sea Convention. Our vessels are currently enrolled with the ABS, DNVGL, or Lloyd's, each of which is a member of the International Association of Classification Societies. Further, to trade internationally, a vessel must attain an International Ship Security Certificate, or ISSC, from a recognized security organization.

A vessel must undergo annual surveys, intermediate surveys and special surveys. In lieu of a special survey, a vessel's machinery may be placed on a continuous survey cycle, under which the machinery would be surveyed periodically over a five-year period. Our vessels are on special survey cycles for hull inspection and continuous survey cycles for machinery inspection. Every vessel is also required to be drydocked every five years during the special survey. For vessels that are less than 15 years old, intermediate drydocking can be performed in the form of in-water examination of its underwater parts every two to three years.

If any vessel does not maintain its class or fails any annual, intermediate or special survey, the vessel will be unable to trade between ports and will be unemployable and we could be in violation of certain covenants in our credit facilities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

We could be adversely affected by violations of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, UK Bribery Act, and other applicable worldwide anti-corruption laws.

The U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA") and other applicable worldwide anti-corruption laws generally prohibit companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to government officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. These laws include the recently enacted U.K. Bribery Act, which became effective on July 1, 2011 and which is broader in scope than the FCPA, as it contains no facilitating payments exception. We charter our vessels into some jurisdictions that international corruption monitoring groups have identified as having high levels of corruption. Our activities create the risk of unauthorized payments or offers of payments by one of our employees or agents that could be in violation of the FCPA or other

applicable anti-corruption laws. Our policies mandate compliance with applicable anti-corruption laws. Although we have policies, procedures and internal controls in place to monitor internal and external compliance, we cannot assure that our policies and procedures will protect us from governmental investigations or inquiries surrounding actions of our employees or agents. If we are found to be liable for violations of the FCPA or other applicable anti-corruption laws (either due to our own acts or our inadvertence, or due to the acts or inadvertence of others), we could suffer from civil and criminal penalties or other sanctions.

We may be unable to attract and retain qualified, skilled employees or crew necessary to operate our business.

Our success depends in large part on the ability of our Manager, our third-party technical managers, and us to attract and retain highly skilled and qualified personnel. In crewing our vessels, we require technically skilled employees with specialized training who can perform physically demanding work. Competition to attract and retain qualified crew members is intense. If we are not able to increase our rates to compensate for any crew cost increases, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. Any inability our Manager, our third-party technical managers, or we experience in the future to hire, train and retain a sufficient number of qualified employees could impair our ability to manage, maintain and grow our business, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Labor interruptions could disrupt our business.

Our vessels are manned by masters, officers and crews that are employed by third parties. If not resolved in a timely and cost-effective manner, industrial action or other labor unrest could prevent or hinder our operations from being carried out normally and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

The smuggling of drugs or other contraband onto our vessels may lead to governmental claims against us.

Our vessels call in ports in South America and other areas where smugglers attempt to hide drugs and other contraband on vessels, with or without the knowledge of crew members. To the extent our vessels are found with contraband, whether inside or attached to the hull of our vessel and whether with or without the knowledge of any of our crew, we may face governmental or other regulatory claims which could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Arrests of our vessels by maritime claimants could cause a significant loss of earnings for the related off-hire period.

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, shippers of cargo and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against a vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages. In many jurisdictions, a maritime lienholder may enforce its lien by "arresting" or "attaching" a vessel through foreclosure proceedings. The arrest or attachment of one or more of our vessels could result in a significant loss of earnings for the related off-hire period. In addition, in jurisdictions where the "sister ship" theory of liability applies, a claimant may arrest the vessel which is subject to the claimant's maritime lien and any "associated" vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner. In countries with "sister ship" liability laws, claims might be asserted against us or any of our vessels for liabilities of other vessels that we own.

Governments could requisition our vessels during a period of war or emergency, resulting in loss of earnings.

A government of a vessel's registry could requisition for title or seize our vessels. Requisition for title occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and becomes the owner. A government could also requisition our vessels for hire. Requisition for hire occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and effectively becomes the charterer at dictated charter rates. Generally, requisitions occur during a period of war or emergency. Government requisition of

one or more of our vessels could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Increases in fuel prices could adversely affect our profits.

Spot charter arrangements generally provide that the vessel owner or pool operator bear the cost of fuel in the form of bunkers, which is a significant vessel operating expense. While all of our vessels are currently on spot-related time charters, short-term time charters and in vessel pools, they may be placed on spot charters in the future. If our vessels are placed on spot charters, an increase in the price of fuel beyond our expectations could adversely affect our profitability, cash flows and ability to pay dividends. The price and supply of fuel is unpredictable and fluctuates as a result of events outside our control, including geo-political developments, supply and demand for oil and gas, actions by members of the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries and other oil and gas producers, war and unrest in oil producing countries and regions, regional production patterns and environmental concerns and regulations.

Given that the vessel owner or pool operator bears the cost of fuel under spot charters, the recent volatility in fuel prices is one factor affecting profitability in the drybulk spot market. To profitably price an individual charter, the vessel owner or pool operator must take into account the anticipated cost of fuel for the duration of the charter. Changes in the actual price of fuel at the time the charter is to be performed could result in the charter being performed at a significantly greater or lesser profit than originally anticipated or even result in a loss.

Our results of operations are subject to seasonal fluctuations, which may adversely affect our financial condition.

We operate our vessels in markets that have historically exhibited seasonal variations in demand and, as a result, charter rates. This seasonality may result in quarter-to-quarter volatility in our operating results, as our vessels trade in the spot market. The drybulk sector is typically stronger in the fall and winter months in anticipation of increased consumption of coal and raw materials in the northern hemisphere during the winter months. As a result, our revenues could be weaker during the fiscal quarters ended June 30 and September 30, and conversely, our revenue could be stronger during the quarters ended December 31 and March 31. This seasonality could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Company Specific Risk Factors

We have a limited operating history on which you can evaluate our business strategy.

Our vessel operating history commenced in April 2010 with the delivery of the first vessel we agreed to purchase prior to our IPO, and we have taken delivery of 12 additional vessels since that time. Given the limited period of time in which we have been operating our fleet, there can be no assurance that our business strategy and operations will be successful.

We may not be able to implement our growth effectively.

A principal focus of our business strategy is to grow by expanding our business. Our growth plan therefore depends upon a number of factors, some of which may not be within our control. These factors include our ability to:

- ·identify suitable vessels or shipping companies for acquisitions or joint ventures to grow our fleet in the future;
- integrate successfully any acquired vessels or businesses with our existing operations; and
- · obtain required financing for our existing and any new operations.

Growing any business by acquisition presents numerous risks, including undisclosed liabilities and obligations, difficulty obtaining additional qualified personnel, managing relationships with customers and suppliers and integrating newly acquired operations into existing infrastructures. In addition, competition from other companies, many of which have significantly greater financial resources than do we or Genco, may reduce our acquisition opportunities or cause us to pay higher prices. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in executing our plans to establish and grow our business or that we will not incur significant expenses and losses in connection with these plans. Our failure to effectively identify, purchase, develop and integrate any vessels or businesses could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our acquisition growth strategy exposes us to risks that may harm our business, financial condition and operating results, including risks that we may:

· fail to realize anticipated benefits, such as new customer relationships, cost-savings or cash flow enhancements;

.

incur or assume unanticipated liabilities, losses or costs associated with any vessels or businesses acquired, particularly if any vessel we acquire proves not to be in good condition;

- be unable to hire, train or retain qualified shore and seafaring personnel to manage and operate our growing business and fleet;
- ·decrease our liquidity by using a significant portion of available cash or borrowing capacity to finance acquisitions;
- ·significantly increase our interest expense or financial leverage if we incur additional debt to finance acquisitions; or

24

incur other significant charges, such as impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets, asset devaluation or restructuring charges.

Moreover, to the extent we have future opportunities to expand our fleet, our strategy is to do so primarily through equity financing, which we expect will mainly consist of issuances of additional shares of our common stock, and debt financing, while seeking to maintain relatively low leverage. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we completed three common stock offerings of a total of 32,869,217 common shares to assist in financing the four vessel purchases during the year. We have entered into the 2010 Credit Facility which provided bridge financing for vessel acquisitions and during the year ended December 31, 2013, entered into the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and \$44 Million Term Loan Facility to finance the purchase of the acquisition of four vessels. If we are unable to complete equity issuances at prices that we deem acceptable, or our internally generated cash flow or credit facility are insufficient to finance future vessel acquisitions, we may need to revise our growth plan or consider alternative forms of financing.

We depend on Genco to assist us in operating our business and competing in our markets, and our business will be harmed if Genco fails to assist us effectively.

We are party to a Management Agreement with Genco as our Manager, pursuant to which Genco provides to us commercial, technical, administrative and strategic services, including vessel maintenance, crewing, purchasing, shipyard supervision, insurance and financial services. Our operational success and ability to execute our growth strategy will depend significantly upon the satisfactory performance of these services by Genco. Our business will be harmed if Genco fails to perform these services satisfactorily, if it stops providing these services to us for any reason or if it terminates the Management Agreement, as it is entitled to do under certain circumstances. Like us, Genco does business in the drybulk shipping market, which has experienced a significant downturn in recent years. In its periodic reports on Form 10-K and Form 10-O filed with the SEC during 2013, Genco stated that it is probable that it would be unable to make required payments under its credit facilities commencing March 31, 2014 unless it obtains modifications to or waivers of the terms of these facilities. Genco also stated in such reports that it is probable that it would be unable to maintain compliance with certain covenants under its credit facilities at measurement dates during the twelve months ending March 31, 2014. In a Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 19, 2014, Genco stated that it had not made a scheduled interest payment on its 5.00% Convertible Senior Notes due August 15, 2015, which is subject to a 30-day grace period, and that it is in discussions with representatives of its secured lenders and certain holders of the such convertible notes concerning a potential restructuring of its indebtedness, which could entail commencing a voluntary proceeding to reorganize under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The challenges that Genco faces in the operation of its business in the current environment could negatively impact its ability to provide us services under the Management Agreement. The circumstances under which we are able to terminate the Management Agreement are extremely limited and do not include mere dissatisfaction with our Manager's performance. In addition, upon any termination of the Management Agreement, we may lose our ability to benefit from economies of scale in purchasing supplies and other advantages that we believe our relationship with Genco provides.

If Genco suffers material damage to its reputation or relationships, it may harm our ability to:

- ·acquire new vessels;
- ·enter into new charters for our vessels;
- · obtain financing on commercially acceptable terms; or
- · maintain satisfactory relationships with charterers, suppliers and other third parties.

If our ability to do any of the things described above is impaired, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to pay dividends to shareholders. For further information concerning Genco, please refer to its reports filed with the SEC, its press releases, and its website at www.gencoshipping.com.

Genco and its affiliates may compete with us or claim business opportunities that would benefit us.

Genco may compete with us and is not contractually restricted from doing so. Our amended and restated articles of incorporation and our Omnibus Agreement with Genco specify that Genco has a right of first refusal with respect to business opportunities generally except with respect to certain spot charter opportunities, as to which we have a right of first refusal. The most common types of business opportunities for which Genco has a right of first refusal are vessel purchase and sale opportunities and charters other than the spot charter opportunities for which we have a right of first refusal. Other business opportunities for which Genco has a result of first refusal are to hire employees, acquire other businesses, or enter into joint ventures. These provisions may

strengthen Genco's ability to compete with us or claim business opportunities that would benefit us, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

We may be unable to pay dividends.

We currently intend to pay a variable quarterly dividend equal to our Cash Available for Distribution, as defined herein, from the previous quarter, subject to any reserves our Board of Directors may from time to time determine are required. The amount of Cash Available for Distribution will principally depend upon the amount of cash we generate from our operations, which may fluctuate from quarter to quarter based upon, among other things:

- ·the cyclicality in the spot vessel market;
- ·the rates we obtain from our charters;
- ·the price and demand for drybulk cargoes;
- ·the level of our operating costs, such as the cost of crews and insurance;
- the number of off-hire days for our fleet and the timing of, and number of days required for, drydocking of our vessels;
- ·delays in the delivery of any vessels we have agreed to acquire;
- ·prevailing global and regional economic and political conditions; and
- the effect of governmental regulations and maritime self-regulatory organization standards on the conduct of our business.

The actual amount of cash generated also will depend upon other factors, such as:

- the level of capital expenditures we make, including for maintaining existing vessels and acquiring new vessels, which we expect will be substantial;
- ·our debt service requirements and restrictions on distributions contained in any credit agreement we may enter into;
- ·fluctuations in our working capital needs; and
- the amount of any cash reserves established by our Board of Directors, including reserves for working capital and other matters.

In addition, the declaration and payment of dividends is subject at all times to the discretion of our Board of Directors and compliance with the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Please read "Dividend Policy" herein for more details.

Our ability to grow and satisfy our financial needs may be adversely affected by our dividend policy.

Our dividend policy calls for us to distribute all of our Cash Available for Distribution on a quarterly basis, subject to any reserves that our Board of Directors may determine are required. We have paid dividends in recent quarters even though the application of the formula in our policy would not have resulted in a dividend, although we may not continue to do so. Accordingly, our growth, if any, will be financed principally by equity capital raising and,

therefore, may not be as fast as businesses that reinvest their cash to expand ongoing operations.

In determining the amount of Cash Available for Distribution, our Board of Directors will consider contingent liabilities, the terms of our existing credit facilities as well as any credit facilities we may enter into, our other cash needs and the requirements of Marshall Islands law. We believe that we will generally finance any maintenance and expansion capital expenditures from cash balances or external financing sources (which we intend as part of our strategy to be equity issuances and borrowings under a credit facility, but could include debt issuances). To the extent we do not have sufficient cash reserves or are unable to obtain financing for these purposes, our dividend policy may significantly impair our ability to meet our financial needs or to grow.

We must make substantial capital expenditures to maintain the operating capacity of our fleet, which may reduce the amount of cash for dividends to our shareholders.

We must make substantial capital expenditures to maintain the operating capacity of our fleet. We generally finance these maintenance capital expenditures with cash balances or undrawn credit facilities. We anticipate growing our fleet through the acquisition of vessels, which would increase the level of our maintenance capital expenditures.

Maintenance capital expenditures include capital expenditures associated with drydocking a vessel, modifying an existing vessel or acquiring a new vessel to the extent these expenditures are incurred to maintain the operating capacity of our fleet. These expenditures could increase as a result of changes in the cost of labor and materials; customer requirements; increases in our fleet size or the cost of replacement vessels; governmental regulations and maritime self-regulatory organization standards relating to safety, security or the environment; and competitive standards.

In addition, maintenance capital expenditures will vary significantly from quarter to quarter based on the number of vessels drydocked during that quarter. Significant maintenance capital expenditures may reduce the amount of Cash Available for Distribution to our shareholders.

We will be required to make substantial capital expenditures to expand the size of our fleet, which may diminish our ability to pay dividends, increase our financial leverage, or dilute our shareholders' ownership interest in us.

We will be required to make substantial capital expenditures to increase the size of our fleet. We intend to expand our fleet by acquiring existing vessels from other parties or newbuilding vessels, which we refer to as newbuildings.

We generally will be required to make installment payments on any newbuildings prior to their delivery. We typically would pay 10% to 25% of the purchase price of a vessel upon signing the purchase contract, even though delivery of the completed vessel will not occur until much later (approximately three to four years from the order). If we finance all or a portion of these acquisition costs through entering into new credit facilities, we will increase the aggregate amount of interest we must pay prior to generating cash from the operation of the newbuilding. Any interest expense we incur in connection with financing our vessel acquisitions, including capitalized interest expense, will decrease the amount of our dividends. If we finance these acquisition costs by issuing shares of common stock, we will dilute our quarterly per-share dividends prior to generating cash from the operation of the newbuilding.

To fund expansion capital expenditures, we may be required to use cash balances, cash from operations, incur borrowings or raise capital through the sale of debt or additional equity securities. Use of cash from operations will reduce the amount of cash for dividends to our shareholders. Our ability to obtain bank financing or to access the capital markets for future offerings may be limited by our financial condition at the time of any such financing or offering, as well as by adverse market conditions resulting from, among other things, general economic conditions and contingencies and uncertainties that are beyond our control. Our failure to obtain funds for capital expenditures could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and on our ability to pay dividends. Even if we are successful in obtaining the necessary funds, the terms of such financings could limit our ability to pay dividends to shareholders. In addition, incurring additional debt may significantly increase our interest expense and financial leverage, and issuing additional equity securities may result in significant shareholder ownership or dividend dilution.

Our executive officers and the officers of our Manager will not devote all of their time to our business, which may hinder our ability to operate successfully.

Our executive officers and the officers of our Manager are involved in other Genco business activities, which may result in their spending less time than is appropriate or necessary to manage our business successfully. Based solely on the anticipated relative sizes of our fleet and Genco's fleet over the next twelve months, we estimate that John C.

Wobensmith, our President and Chief Financial Officer, and other officers of Genco will spend approximately 15-20% of their monthly business time on our business activities and approximately 80-85% of such time on Genco's. However, the actual allocation of time could vary significantly from time to time depending on various circumstances and needs of the businesses, such as the relative levels of strategic activities of the businesses. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Our executive officers and directors, our Manager, and the executive officers and directors of our Manager have conflicts of interest and limited duties, which may permit them to favor interests of Genco or its affiliates above our interests and those of our common shareholders and allow Genco to compete with us.

Conflicts of interest may arise between Genco, our Manager, and its affiliates, on the one hand, and us and our shareholders, on the other hand. These conflicts include, among others, the following situations: 27

Our amended and restated articles of incorporation and the Omnibus Agreement specify that Genco has a right of first refusal with respect to business opportunities generally, such as vessel purchase and sale opportunities and most charter opportunities, but excluding certain spot charter opportunities as to which we have a right of first refusal. Our President and Chief Financial Officer and certain of our directors also serve as executive officers or directors of Genco, who is our Manager, or its affiliates. As a result of the right of first refusal provision, the obligation of these individuals to provide opportunities to us will be limited.

Our Manager advises our Board of Directors about the amount and timing of asset purchases and sales, capital expenditures, borrowings, issuances of additional common stock and cash reserves, each of which can affect the amount of the Cash Available for Distribution to our shareholders.

- ·Our executive officers and those of our Manager do not spend all of their time on matters related to our business.
- ·Our Manager advises us of costs incurred by it and its affiliates that it believes are reimbursable by us.

As a result of these conflicts, as well as any others that may arise pursuant to such arrangements, our Manager may favor its own interests and the interests of its affiliates over our interests and those of our shareholders, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

The fiduciary duties of our officers and directors may conflict with those of the officers and directors of Genco and its affiliates.

Our officers and directors have fiduciary duties to manage our business in a manner beneficial to our shareholders and us. However, our Chairman, our President and Chief Financial Officer and two of our independent directors, Basil G. Mavroleon and Harry A. Perrin, also serve as executive officers or directors of Genco. As a result, these individuals have fiduciary duties to manage the business of Genco and its affiliates in a manner beneficial to such entities and their shareholders. Consequently, these officers and directors may encounter situations in which their fiduciary obligations to Genco and us are in conflict. We believe the principal situations in which these conflicts may occur are in the allocation of business opportunities to Genco or us, particularly with respect to the allocation of chartering or vessel sale and purchase opportunities. The Omnibus Agreement is intended to reduce these conflicts by granting a right of first refusal for certain spot chartering opportunities to us while granting a right of first refusal to Genco for other business opportunities generally. The resolution of these conflicts may not always be in our best interest or that of our shareholders and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Our Chairman may pursue business opportunities in our industry that may conflict with our interests

Our Chairman, Peter C. Georgiopoulos, is not an employee of our company or of Genco and is not contractually committed to remain as a director of our company or to refrain from other activities in our industry. Mr. Georgiopoulos actively reviews potential investment opportunities in the shipping industry, including the drybulk sector, from time to time. Mr. Georgiopoulos controls and has a minority interest in Maritime Equity Partners LLC ("MEP"), which owns an aggregate of 12 drybulk vessels. Mr. Georgiopoulos has informed us that so long as he is a director of our company, prior to making an investment in an entity owning or operating drybulk vessels, he intends to disclose the details of such investment to our Board of Directors and our independent directors and allow us to pursue the opportunity, subject to Genco's right of first refusal, to the extent we choose to do so and are able. However, in the event we choose not to, or are unable to, pursue any such opportunity, Mr. Georgiopoulos may proceed, either alone or with others, with such investments. As a result of such investments, Mr. Georgiopoulos may have independent interests in the ownership and operation of drybulk vessels that may conflict with our interests.

Our Manager has rights to terminate the Management Agreement and, under certain circumstances, could receive substantial sums in connection with such termination; however, even if our Board of Directors or our shareholders are dissatisfied with our Manager, there are limited circumstances under which we can terminate the Management Agreement.

The Management Agreement has an initial term of approximately 15 years and will automatically renew for subsequent five-year terms provided that certain conditions are met. Our Manager has the right, after five years following the completion of our IPO in March 2010, to terminate the Management Agreement with 12 months' notice. Our Manager also has the right to terminate the Management Agreement after a dispute resolution process if we have materially breached the Management Agreement.

Our Manager may elect to terminate the Management Agreement upon the sale of all or substantially all of our assets to a third party, our liquidation or after any change of control of our company occurs. If our Manager so elects to terminate the

28

Management Agreement, then our Manager may be paid a termination fee. This termination payment is generally calculated as five times the average annual management fees payable to Genco for the last five completed years of the term of the Management Agreement, or such lesser number of years as may have been completed at the time of termination. As of December 31, 2013, the termination payment that would be due to Genco is approximately \$19.8 million. In addition, our rights to terminate the Management Agreement are limited. Even if we are not satisfied with the Manager's efforts in managing our business, unless our Manager materially breaches the agreement or there is a change in Control of our Manager, we may terminate the Management Agreement only:

if we provide notice in the fiscal quarter that is four fiscal quarters before the fiscal quarter containing the tenth anniversary of the date on which we took delivery of our first vessel (which was in April 2010) after two-thirds of our Board of Directors elect to terminate the Management Agreement, which termination would be effective the last day of the fiscal quarter that contains such tenth anniversary; or

if we provide notice of termination in the fiscal quarter that is four fiscal quarters before the fiscal quarter containing the fifteenth anniversary of the date on which we take delivery of our first vessel, which termination would be effective the last day of our fiscal quarter that contains such fifteenth anniversary.

If we elect to terminate the Management Agreement at either of these points or at the end of a subsequent renewal term, our Manager will receive a termination fee, which may be substantial.

An increase in operating costs could adversely affect our cash flows and financial condition.

Under the Management Agreement, we must pay for vessel operating expenses (including crewing, repairs and maintenance, insurance, stores, lube oils and communication expenses), and in addition for spot or voyage charters, voyage expenses (including bunker fuel expenses, port fees, cargo loading and unloading expenses, canal tolls, agency fees and conversions). Our vessel operating expenses also include the costs of crewing and insurance. In addition, to the extent we employ our vessels on voyage charters, we will also have to bear the cost of bunkers. The price of bunker fuel may increase in the future. Further, if our vessels suffer damage, they may need to be repaired at a drydocking facility. The costs of drydock repairs are unpredictable and can be substantial. Increases in any of these costs would decrease our earnings, cash flows and the amount of Cash Available for Distribution to our shareholders.

The 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility, \$44 Million Term Loan Facility and any other financing agreements we enter into may contain operating and financial restrictions that restrict our business and financing activities.

The operating and financial restrictions and covenants contained in the 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility, \$44 Million Term Loan Facility and any future financing agreements could adversely affect our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to pursue and expand our business activities. For example, these financing arrangements may restrict our ability to:

- ·pay dividends;
- ·incur or guarantee indebtedness;
- ·change ownership or structure, including mergers, consolidations, liquidations and dissolutions;
- ·incur liens on our assets;
- ·sell, transfer, assign or convey assets;
- ·make certain investments; and

·enter into a new line of business.

Our credit facilities require us to comply with a number of covenants, including financial covenants related to liquidity, leverage, consolidated net worth, and collateral maintenance, restrictions on changes in our Manager, limitations on changes to the Management Agreement between Genco and us, limitations on changes in control, limitations on liens, limitations on additional indebtedness, restrictions on paying dividends, restrictions on transactions with affiliates and other customary covenants.

As of March 3, 2014, Genco owns 6,356,471 shares of our Class B Stock, representing an 11.05% ownership interest in us and 65.08% of the aggregate voting power of our outstanding shares of stock. If Genco's ownership interest in us were to decrease to less than 10%, the outstanding Class B Stock would automatically convert into common stock, and the voting power held by Genco would decrease to less than 30%. Unless our credit facilities are amended or modified, such a conversion and reduction in Genco's voting power would result in a change of control as defined under our credit facilities, which in turn would constitute an event of default. Accordingly, unless our credit facilities are amended or modified, our ability to issue equity may be limited. If we cannot raise funds on acceptable terms if and when needed, we may not be able to take advantage of future opportunities, grow our business or respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated requirements. In addition, it would likewise constitute a change of control and an event of default under our credit facilities if any party or group other than Genco or certain other permitted holders beneficially owns more than 30% of our outstanding voting or economic equity interests, which may occur if a party or group were deemed to control Genco.

Therefore, we may need to seek permission from our lenders in order to engage in some corporate actions. Our lenders' interests may be different from ours, and we cannot guarantee that we will be able to obtain our lenders' permission when needed. This may prevent us from taking actions that are in our best interest and from executing our business strategy of growth through acquisitions and may restrict or limit our ability to pay dividends and finance our future operations.

Our ability to comply with covenants and restrictions contained in debt instruments also may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. If market or other economic conditions deteriorate, we may fail to comply with these covenants. If we breach any of the restrictions, covenants, ratios or tests in the financing agreements, our obligations may become immediately due and payable, and the lenders' commitment, if any, to make further loans may terminate. A default under financing agreements could also result in foreclosure on any of our vessels and other assets securing related loans. The occurrence of any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Restrictions in our existing credit facilities and any other potential future debt agreements may prevent us from paying dividends.

The payment of principal and interest on any debt we have or may incur will reduce the amount of cash for dividends to our shareholders. In addition, our credit facilities include restrictions on paying dividends in certain circumstances and we expect that any additional future financing agreements will prohibit the payment of dividends upon the occurrence of the following events, among others:

- ·failure to pay any principal, interest, fees, expenses or other amounts when due;
- failure to notify the lenders of any material oil spill or discharge of hazardous material, or of any action or claim related thereto;
- ·breach or lapse of any insurance with respect to the vessels;
- ·breach of certain financial covenants;
- failure to observe any other agreement, security instrument, obligation or covenant beyond specified cure periods in certain cases;
- ·default under other indebtedness;
- ·bankruptcy or insolvency events;

- ·failure of any representation or warranty to be materially correct; and
- ·a change of control, as defined in the applicable agreement.

30

The aging of our fleet and our practice of purchasing and operating previously owned vessels may result in increased operating costs and vessels off-hire, which could adversely affect our earnings.

Our current business strategy includes growth through the acquisition of previously owned vessels. While we typically inspect previously owned vessels before purchase, this does not provide us with the same knowledge about their condition that we would have had if these vessels had been built for and operated exclusively by us. Accordingly, we may not discover defects or other problems with such vessels before purchase. Any such hidden defects or problems, when detected, may be expensive to repair, and if not detected, may result in accidents or other incidents for which we may become liable to third parties. Also, when purchasing previously owned vessels, we do not receive the benefit of any builder warranties if the vessels we buy are older than one year.

In general, the costs to maintain a vessel in good operating condition increase with the age of the vessel. The average age of the vessels in our current fleet is approximately 3.7 years as of December 31, 2013. Older vessels are typically less fuel efficient than more recently constructed vessels due to improvements in engine technology and cargo insurance rates increase with the age of a vessel, making older vessels less desirable to charterers.

Governmental regulations, safety and other equipment standards related to the age of vessels may require expenditures for alterations or the addition of new equipment to some of our vessels and may restrict the type of activities in which these vessels may engage. We cannot assure you that, as our vessels age, market conditions will justify those expenditures or enable us to operate our vessels profitably during the remainder of their useful lives. As a result, regulations and standards could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

We depend to a significant degree upon third-party managers to provide the technical management of our fleet. Any failure of these technical managers to perform their obligations to us could adversely affect our business.

Through our Manager, we contract the technical management of our fleet, including crewing, maintenance and repair services, to third-party technical management companies. The failure of these technical managers to perform their obligations could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. Although we may have rights against our third-party managers if they default on their obligations to us, our shareholders will share that recourse only indirectly to the extent that we recover funds.

We depend upon a small number of charterers for all of our revenues. The loss of one or more of these charterers could adversely affect our financial performance.

We derive all of our revenues from a small number of charterers. For the year ended December 31, 2013, 100% of our revenues were derived from eleven charterers. If we were to lose any of these charterers, or if any of these charterers significantly reduced its use of our services or was unable to make charter payments to us, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

In the highly competitive international drybulk shipping industry, we may not be able to compete for charters with new entrants or established companies with greater resources.

We employ our vessels in a highly competitive market that is capital intensive and highly fragmented. Competition arises primarily from other vessel owners, some of whom have substantially greater resources than we do. Competition for the transportation of drybulk cargoes can be intense and depends on price, location, size, age, condition and the acceptability of the vessel and its managers to the charterers. Due in part to the highly fragmented market, competitors with greater resources could enter and operate larger fleets through consolidations or acquisitions that may be able to offer better prices and fleets than we are able to offer.

We maintain all of our cash with one financial institution, which subjects us to credit risk.

Currently, we maintain all of our cash with one financial institution and we expect to do so for the foreseeable future. This financial institution is located in the Cayman Islands, although in the future we may select other financial institutions located in other countries. None of our balances are covered by insurance in the event of default by this financial institution. The occurrence of such a default could therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

31

If we are unable to fund our capital expenditures, we may not be able to continue to operate some of our vessels, which would have a material adverse effect on our business and our ability to pay dividends.

In order to fund our capital expenditures, we generally plan to use equity financing. If equity financing is not available on favorable terms, we may have to use debt financing. Our ability to borrow money and access the capital markets through future offerings may be limited by our financial condition at the time of any such offering as well as by adverse market conditions resulting from, among other things, general economic conditions and contingencies and uncertainties that are beyond our control. Our failure to obtain the funds for necessary future capital expenditures could limit our ability to continue to operate some of our vessels or impair the values of our vessels and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, cash flows and ability to pay dividends. Even if we are successful in obtaining such funds through financings, the terms of such financings could further limit our ability to pay dividends.

We are a holding company, and we depend on the ability of our current and future subsidiaries to distribute funds to us in order to satisfy our financial obligations or to make dividend payments.

We are a holding company, and our current and future subsidiaries, which are all wholly-owned by us, either directly or indirectly, will conduct all of our operations and own all of our operating assets. We have no significant assets other than the equity interests in our wholly-owned subsidiaries. As a result, our ability to satisfy our financial obligations and to pay dividends to our shareholders will depend on the ability of our subsidiaries to distribute funds to us. In turn, the ability of our subsidiaries to make dividend payments to us will depend on them having profits available for distribution and, to the extent that we are unable to obtain dividends from our subsidiaries, this will limit the discretion of our Board of Directors to pay or recommend the payment of dividends.

Our ability to obtain debt financing may depend on the performance of our business, our Manager, and market conditions.

The actual or perceived credit quality of our business, our Manager, and market conditions affecting the spot charter market and the credit markets may materially affect our ability to obtain the additional capital resources that may be required to purchase additional vessels or may significantly increase our costs of obtaining such capital. We intend to obtain commercial bank debt to finance a portion of the purchase price of four 64,000 dwt Ultramax newbuilding drybulk vessels we have agreed to acquire from Yangfan Group Co., Ltd. and may use debt financing for a portion of any future acquisitions we may enter into. Our inability to obtain additional financing at all, or at a higher than anticipated cost, may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

If management is unable to provide reports as to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting or our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to provide us with unqualified attestation reports as to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could result in a decrease in the value of our common stock.

Under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (or Sarbanes-Oxley), we are required to include in this and each of our subsequent future annual reports on Form 10-K a report containing our management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and a related attestation of our independent registered public accounting firm. As our manager, Genco provides substantially all of our financial reporting, and we depend on the procedures they have in place. If, in such future annual reports on Form 10-K, our management cannot provide a report as to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting or our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to provide us with an unqualified attestation report as to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as required by Section 404, investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could result in a decrease in the value of our common stock.

Our costs of operating as a public company are significant, and our management is required to devote substantial time to complying with public company regulations.

As a public company, we incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses. In addition, Sarbanes-Oxley, as well as rules subsequently implemented by the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange, have imposed various requirements on public companies, including changes in corporate governance practices, and these requirements may continue to evolve. Our Manager, management personnel, and other personnel, if any, will need to devote a substantial amount of time to comply with these requirements. Moreover, these rules and regulations have increased our legal and financial compliance costs and have made some activities more time-consuming and costly.

Sarbanes-Oxley requires, among other things, that we maintain and periodically evaluate our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. In particular, we will need to perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal control over financial reporting to allow management and our independent registered public accounting firm to report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley. While we expect to be 32

able to follow Genco's model and systems for compliance with Section 404, our compliance with Section 404 may require that we incur substantial accounting expense and expend significant management efforts, and we will depend on Genco for our compliance as Genco personnel will perform our accounting function.

We may be unable to attract and retain key management personnel and other employees in the shipping industry, which may negatively affect the effectiveness of our management and our results of operations.

Our success depends to a significant extent upon the abilities and efforts of our management and our ability to hire and retain key members of management. The loss of any of these individuals could adversely affect our business prospects and financial condition. Difficulty in hiring and retaining personnel could have a material adverse effect our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. We do not intend to maintain "key man" life insurance on any of our officers.

We may not have adequate insurance to compensate us if we lose our vessels or to compensate third parties.

There are a number of risks associated with the operation of ocean-going vessels, including mechanical failure, collision, human error, war, terrorism, piracy, property loss, cargo loss or damage and business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries, hostilities and labor strikes. Any of these events may result in loss of revenues, increased costs and decreased cash flows. In addition, the operation of any vessel is subject to the inherent possibility of marine disaster, including oil spills and other environmental mishaps, and the liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade.

We are insured against tort claims and some contractual claims (including claims related to environmental damage and pollution) through memberships in protection and indemnity associations or clubs, or P&I Associations. As a result of such membership, the P&I Associations will provide us coverage for such tort and contractual claims. We also carry hull and machinery insurance and war risk insurance for our fleet. We insure our vessels for third-party liability claims subject to and in accordance with the rules of the P&I Associations in which the vessels are entered. We also maintain insurance against loss of hire, which covers business interruptions that result in the loss of use of a vessel. We can give no assurance that we are adequately insured against all risks. We may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage for our fleet in the future. The insurers may not pay particular claims. Our insurance policies contain deductibles for which we will be responsible and limitations and exclusions which may increase our costs or lower our revenue.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to renew our insurance policies on the same or commercially reasonable terms, or at all, in the future. For example, more stringent environmental regulations have led in the past to increased costs for, and in the future may result in the lack of availability of, protection and indemnity insurance against risks of environmental damage or pollution. Any uninsured or underinsured loss could harm our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. In addition, our insurance may be voidable by the insurers as a result of certain of our actions, such as our ships failing to maintain certification with applicable maritime self-regulatory organizations. Further, we cannot assure you that our insurance policies will cover all losses that we incur, or that disputes over insurance claims will not arise with our insurance carriers. Any claims covered by insurance would be subject to deductibles, and since it is possible that a large number of claims may be brought, the aggregate amount of these deductibles could be material. In addition, our insurance policies are subject to limitations and exclusions, which may increase our costs or lower our revenues, thereby possibly having a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

We are subject to funding calls by our protection and indemnity associations, and our associations may not have enough resources to cover claims made against them.

We are indemnified for legal liabilities incurred while operating our vessels through membership in P&I Associations. P&I Associations are mutual insurance associations whose members must contribute to cover losses sustained by

other association members. The objective of a P&I Association is to provide mutual insurance based on the aggregate tonnage of a member's vessels entered into the association. Claims are paid through the aggregate premiums of all members of the association, although members remain subject to calls for additional funds if the aggregate premiums are insufficient to cover claims submitted to the association. Claims submitted to the association may include those incurred by members of the association, as well as claims submitted to the association from other P&I Associations with which our P&I Association has entered into interassociation agreements. We cannot assure you that the P&I Associations to which we belong will remain viable or that we will not become subject to additional funding calls which could adversely affect us.

33

We have to pay U.S. tax on U.S. source income, which reduces our net income and cash flows.

We do not currently qualify for an exemption pursuant to Section 883 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), which we refer to as Section 883. As a result, we are subject to U.S. federal income tax on our shipping income that is derived from U.S. sources as described below. To the extent we are subject to such tax, our net income and cash flows will be reduced by the amount of such tax.

We would qualify for exemption under Section 883 if, among other things, one or more classes of our stock representing, in the aggregate, more than 50% of the combined voting power and value of all classes of our stock were treated as primarily and regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States. Under applicable Treasury regulations, we may not satisfy this publicly-traded requirement in any taxable year in which 50% or more of any class(es) of stock we rely on to meet this rule is owned for more than half the days in such year by persons who actually or constructively own 5% or more of such class(es) of our stock, which we sometimes refer to as 5% shareholders.

As of the date of this report, our common stock represents more than 50% of the value of all classes of our stock, but less than 50% of the combined voting power of all classes of our stock. Unless the holders of the Class B stock irrevocably elect to limit their aggregate voting power to 49%, our Class B stock will represent more than 50% of the combined voting power of all classes of our voting stock and will not be treated as primarily and regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States. Therefore, we do not currently qualify for exemption under Section 883. As a result, 50% of our gross shipping income attributable to transportation beginning or ending in the United States, if any, will be subject to a 4% tax without allowance for deductions. While we do not currently anticipate that a significant portion of our shipping income will be U.S. source shipping income, there can be no assurance that this will be the case. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we had U.S. operations which resulted in U.S. source shipping income of approximately \$1.7 million, \$1.4 million and \$3.1 million, respectively.

In the event that holders of a majority of the Class B stock irrevocably elect to reduce the voting power of the Class B stock to constitute not more than 49% of the total voting power of all classes of stock, our common stock will represent more than 50% of the combined voting power and value of all classes of our stock. However, there can be no assurance as to if and when holders of Class B stock may do so, and we have no right to require these holders to do so. Even if that were to occur, if 5% shareholders of the common stock were to own more than 50% of our common stock for more than half the days of any taxable year, we may nonetheless not be eligible to claim exemption from tax under Section 883 for such taxable year.

Legislative action relating to taxation could materially and adversely affect us.

Our tax position could be adversely impacted by changes in tax laws, tax treaties or tax regulations or the interpretation or enforcement thereof by any tax authority. For example, legislative proposals have been introduced in the U.S. Congress which, if enacted, could change the circumstances under which we would be treated as a U.S. person for U.S. federal income tax purposes, which could materially and adversely affect our effective tax rate and cash tax position and require us to take action, at potentially significant expense, to seek to preserve our effective tax rate and cash tax position. We cannot predict the outcome of any specific legislative proposals.

U.S. tax authorities could treat us as a "passive foreign investment company," which could have adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences to U.S. shareholders.

A foreign corporation generally will be treated as a "passive foreign investment company," which we sometimes refer to as a PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes if either (1) at least 75% of its gross income for any taxable year consists of "passive income" or (2) at least 50% of its assets (averaged over the year and generally determined based upon value) produce or are held for the production of "passive income." U.S. shareholders of a PFIC are subject to a

disadvantageous U.S. federal income tax regime with respect to distributions they receive from the PFIC and gain, if any, they derive from the sale or other disposition of their stock in the PFIC.

For purposes of these tests, "passive income" generally includes dividends, interest, gains from the sale or exchange of investment property and rents and royalties other than rents and royalties which are received from unrelated parties in connection with the active conduct of a trade or business, as defined in applicable Treasury regulations. For purposes of these tests, income derived from the performance of services does not constitute "passive income." By contrast, rental income would generally constitute passive income unless we were treated under specific rules as deriving our rental income in the active conduct of a trade or business. Based on our planned operations and certain estimates of our gross income and gross assets, we do not believe that we will be a PFIC with respect to any taxable year. In this regard, we treat the gross income we derive or are deemed to derive from our spot chartering activities as services income, rather than rental income. Accordingly, we believe that (1) our income from our spot chartering activities does not constitute passive income and (2) the assets that we own and operate in connection with the production of that income do not constitute passive assets.

While there is no direct legal authority under the PFIC rules addressing our method of operation, there is legal authority supporting this position consisting of case law and pronouncements by the United States Internal Revenue Service, which we sometimes refer to as the IRS, concerning the characterization of income derived from time charters and voyage charters as services income for other tax purposes. However, it should be noted that there is also authority that characterizes time charter income as rental income rather than services income for other tax purposes. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the IRS or a court of law will accept our position, and there is a risk that the IRS or a court of law could determine that we are a PFIC. Moreover, because there are uncertainties in the application of the PFIC rules, because the PFIC test is an annual test, and because, although we intend to manage our business so as to avoid PFIC status to the extent consistent with our other business goals, there could be changes in the nature and extent of our operations in future years, there can be no assurance that we will not become a PFIC in any taxable year.

If we were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year (and regardless of whether we remain a PFIC for subsequent taxable years), our U.S. shareholders would face adverse U.S. tax consequences. Under the PFIC rules, unless a shareholder makes certain elections available under the Code (which elections could themselves have adverse consequences for such shareholder), such shareholder would be liable to pay U.S. federal income tax at the highest applicable income tax rates on ordinary income upon the receipt of excess distributions and upon any gain from the disposition of our common stock, plus interest on such amounts, as if such excess distribution or gain had been recognized ratably over the shareholder's holding period of our common stock.

Because we generate virtually all of our revenues in U.S. Dollars but incur a portion of our expenses in other currencies, exchange rate fluctuations could hurt our results of operations.

We generate virtually all of our revenues in U.S. Dollars, but we may incur drydocking costs and special survey fees in other currencies. If our expenditures on such costs and fees were significant, and the U.S. Dollar were weak against such currencies, our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends could be adversely affected.

RISK FACTORS RELATED TO OUR COMMON STOCK

The concentration of our capital stock ownership with Genco and its affiliates and the superior voting rights of our Class B stock held by Genco will limit our common stockholders' ability to influence corporate matters.

Under our amended and restated articles of incorporation, our Class B stock has 15 votes per share, and our common stock has one vote per share resulting in Genco controlling in excess of 50% of the combined voting power of these two classes of stock. However, if holders of a majority of the Class B stock make an irrevocable election to do so, the aggregate voting power of the Class B stock will be limited to a maximum of 49% of the voting power of our outstanding common stock and Class B stock, voting together as a single class. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, Genco owns shares of our Class B stock representing 65.08% and 83.17%, respectively, of the voting power of our outstanding capital stock through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Genco Investments LLC. In addition, pursuant to the subscription agreement between us and Genco Investments LLC, for so long as Genco Investments LLC or its affiliates holds at least 10% of the aggregate number of outstanding shares of our common stock and Class B stock, Genco Investments LLC is entitled to receive an additional number of shares of Class B stock equal to 2% of the number of shares of common stock issued after our IPO was consummated in March 2010, excluding any shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option in our IPO or issued as an award or issuable upon exercise of an award under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. These additional shares would be issued for no additional consideration unless insufficient surplus exists to cover the par value of such additional shares, in which case Genco Investments LLC will pay us the par value of such shares. During the year ended December 31, 2013, Genco was issued 128,383, 276,000 and 253,000 as result of the equity offerings completed on May 28, 2013, September 25, 2013 and November 18, 2013, respectively, which represent 2% of the number of common stock issued as part of these offerings. In addition, our directors or officers who are affiliated with Genco or other individuals

providing services under the Management Agreement who are affiliated with Genco may receive equity awards under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan.

Through its ownership of our Class B stock, its role as our Manager and the issuance of equity awards to individuals affiliated with Genco, Genco will have substantial control and influence over our management and affairs and over all matters requiring shareholder approval, including the election of directors and significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or its assets, for the foreseeable future. In addition, because of this dual-class stock structure, Genco will continue to be able to control all matters submitted to our shareholders for approval even though it owns significantly less than 50% of the aggregate number of outstanding shares of our common stock and Class B stock. This concentrated control limits our common stockholders' ability to influence corporate matters and, as a result, we may take actions that our common stockholders do not view as beneficial. As a result, the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected.

In addition, Genco has pledged its Class B stock as security for its borrowings under its \$1.4 billion credit facility entered into as of July 20, 2007, as amended. If Genco is in default under this facility and the lenders foreclose on the Class B shares under

35

the terms of the facility, a change in control of Baltic Trading could result, which would constitute an event of default under our three existing credit facilities. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, our lenders could accelerate the outstanding indebtedness under our existing credit facilities, which are secured by the vessels in our fleet. In its quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, Genco stated that it believes it is probable that it will not be able to make amortization payments or be in compliance with certain covenants under its credit facilities during the three months ended March 31, 2014. For further information concerning Genco, please refer to its reports filed with the SEC.

Because we are a foreign corporation, you may not have the same rights or protections that a shareholder in a United States corporation may have.

We are incorporated in the Republic of the Marshall Islands, which does not have a well-developed body of corporate law and may make it more difficult for our shareholders to protect their interests. Our corporate affairs are governed by our amended and restated articles of incorporation and amended and restated by-laws and the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, or BCA. The provisions of the BCA resemble provisions of the corporation laws of a number of states in the United States. The rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under the law of the Marshall Islands are not as clearly established as the rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under statutes or judicial precedent in existence in certain U.S. jurisdictions and there have been few judicial cases in the Marshall Islands interpreting the BCA. Shareholder rights may differ as well. While the BCA does specifically incorporate the non-statutory law, or judicial case law, of the State of Delaware and other states with substantially similar legislative provisions, our public shareholders may have more difficulty in protecting their interests in the face of actions by the management, directors or controlling shareholders than would shareholders of a corporation incorporated in a U.S. jurisdiction. Therefore, you may have more difficulty in protecting your interests as a shareholder in the face of actions by the management, directors or controlling shareholders than would shareholders of a corporation incorporated in a United States jurisdiction.

Future sales of our common stock could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

The market price of our common stock could decline due to sales of a large number of shares in the market, including sales of shares by our large shareholders, or the perception that these sales could occur. These sales could also make it more difficult or impossible for us to sell equity securities in the future at a time and price that we deem appropriate to raise funds through future offerings of common stock. We entered into a registration rights agreement with Genco that entitles Genco to have all the shares of our common stock that it owns registered for sale in the public market under the Securities Act.

As a key component of our business strategy, we may issue additional shares of common stock or other securities to finance our growth. These issuances, which would generally not be subject to shareholder approval, could dilute your ownership interests and depress the market price of the common stock.

We may finance potential future expansions of our fleet primarily through equity financing and internally generated cash flow. Therefore, subject to the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, we plan to issue additional shares of common stock, and other equity securities of equal or senior rank, without shareholder approval, in a number of circumstances from time to time.

The issuance by us of additional shares of common stock or other equity securities of equal or senior rank will have the following effects:

- ·our existing shareholders' proportionate ownership interest in us will decrease;
- the amount of cash available for dividends payable on our common stock may decrease;

- ·the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding share may be diminished; and
- ·the market price of our common stock may decline.

We may need to raise additional capital in the future, which may not be available on favorable terms or at all or which may dilute our common stock or adversely affect its market price.

We may require additional capital to expand our business and increase revenue, add liquidity in response to negative economic conditions, meet unexpected liquidity needs caused by industry volatility or uncertainty and reduce our outstanding indebtedness under our existing credit facilities. To the extent that our existing capital and borrowing capabilities are insufficient to meet these requirements and cover any losses, we will need to raise additional funds through debt or equity financings, including offerings of our common stock, securities convertible into our common stock, or rights to acquire our common stock or curtail our growth and reduce our assets or restructure arrangements with existing security holders. Any equity or debt financing, or additional

borrowings, if available at all, may be on terms that are not favorable to us. Equity financings could result in dilution to our stockholders, as described further below, and the securities issued in future financings may have rights, preferences and privileges that are senior to those of our common stock. If our need for capital arises because of significant losses, the occurrence of these losses may make it more difficult for us to raise the necessary capital. If we cannot raise funds on acceptable terms if and when needed, we may not be able to take advantage of future opportunities, grow our business or respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated requirements

We have agreed to restrict our ability to issue preferred stock or take other actions that may result in a default under one of Genco's credit facilities, which may limit how we conduct our business.

So that Genco may comply with a provision in one of its existing credit facilities, our amended and restated articles of incorporation and the Omnibus Agreement provide that we will not issue any shares of preferred stock without the prior written consent of Genco. We therefore do not anticipate that we will be able to issue preferred stock for the foreseeable future. As a result, our options for raising additional capital that we may require for future operations or growth, or our ability to enter into mergers, acquisitions, or other strategic transactions our shareholders may consider to be in our best interests, may be limited. The Omnibus Agreement also provides that we will use our commercially reasonable efforts not to take any action that would result in an event of default under one of Genco's existing credit facilities or the terms of any future credit facility that Genco may enter into to the extent such terms impose no greater restrictions on Genco's subsidiaries than this existing credit facility. We may therefore have to take actions or forego opportunities that would otherwise be in our best interests in order to prevent an event of default under one of Genco's credit facilities. For example, this may restrict, among other things, our ability to make acquisitions or investments in other companies, our ability to borrow generally, the terms we may enter into in another credit facility of our own, or our ability to expand our operations into other lines of business.

Volatility in the market price and trading volume of our common stock could adversely impact the trading price of our common stock.

The stock market in recent years has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of companies like us. These broad market factors may materially reduce the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. The market price of our common stock, which has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations in recent months, could continue to fluctuate significantly for many reasons, including in response to the risks described herein or for reasons unrelated to our operations, such as reports by industry analysts, investor perceptions or negative announcements by our competitors or suppliers regarding their own performance, as well as industry conditions and general financial, economic and political instability. A decrease in the market price of our common stock would adversely impact the value of your shares of common stock.

Provisions of our amended and restated articles of incorporation and amended and restated by-laws and our shareholder rights plan may have anti-takeover effects which could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Several provisions of our amended and restated articles of incorporation and amended and restated by-laws, which are summarized below, may have anti-takeover effects. These provisions are intended to avoid costly takeover battles, lessen our vulnerability to a hostile change of control and enhance the ability of our Board of Directors to maximize shareholder value in connection with any unsolicited offer to acquire our company. However, these anti-takeover provisions could also discourage, delay or prevent (1) the merger or acquisition of our company by means of a tender offer, a proxy contest or otherwise that a shareholder may consider in its best interest and (2) the removal of incumbent officers and directors.

Dual Class Stock.

Our dual class stock structure, which consists of common stock and Class B stock, gives Genco and its affiliates control over all matters requiring shareholder approval, including the election of directors and significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or its assets.

Blank Check Preferred Stock.

Under the terms of our amended and restated articles of incorporation, our Board of Directors has the authority, without any further vote or action by our shareholders, to authorize our issuance of up to 100,000,000 shares of blank check preferred stock. Our Board of Directors may issue shares of preferred stock on terms calculated to discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of our company or the removal of our management. However, so that Genco may comply with a provision in one of its existing credit facilities, our amended and restated articles of incorporation and the Omnibus Agreement provide that we will not issue any shares of preferred stock without the prior written consent of Genco. We therefore do not anticipate that we will be able to issue preferred stock for the foreseeable future.

Classified Board of Directors.

Our amended and restated articles of incorporation provide for the division of our Board of Directors into three classes of directors, with each class as nearly equal in number as possible, serving staggered, three-year terms beginning upon the expiration of the initial term for each class. Approximately one-third of our Board of Directors is elected each year. This classified board provision could discourage a third party from making a tender offer for our shares or attempting to obtain control of us. It could also delay shareholders who do not agree with the policies of our Board of Directors from removing a majority of our Board of Directors for up to two years.

Election and Removal of Directors.

Our amended and restated articles of incorporation prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors. Our amended and restated by-laws require parties other than the Board of Directors to give advance written notice of nominations for the election of directors. Our amended and restated articles of incorporation also provide that our directors may be removed only for cause and only upon the affirmative vote of 662/3% of the outstanding shares of our capital stock entitled to vote for those directors or by a majority of the members of the board of directors then in office. These provisions may discourage, delay or prevent the removal of incumbent officers and directors.

Limited Actions by Shareholders.

Our amended and restated articles of incorporation and our amended and restated by-laws provide that any action required or permitted to be taken by our shareholders must be effected at an annual or special meeting of shareholders or by the unanimous written consent of our shareholders. Our amended and restated articles of incorporation and our amended and restated by-laws provide that, subject to certain exceptions, our Chairman, President, or Secretary at the direction of the Board of Directors may call special meetings of our shareholders and the business transacted at the special meeting is limited to the purposes stated in the notice.

Advance Notice Requirements for Shareholder Proposals and Director Nominations.

Our amended and restated by-laws provide that shareholders seeking to nominate candidates for election as directors or to bring business before an annual meeting of shareholders must provide timely notice of their proposal in writing to the corporate secretary. Generally, to be timely, a shareholder's notice must be received at our principal executive offices not less than 150 days nor more than 180 days before the date on which we first mailed our proxy materials for the preceding year's annual meeting. Our amended and restated by-laws also specify requirements as to the form and content of a shareholder's notice. These provisions may impede a shareholder's ability to bring matters before an annual meeting of shareholders or make nominations for directors at an annual meeting of shareholders.

In addition, we entered into a shareholder rights plan that makes it more difficult for a third party to acquire us without the support of our Board of Directors.

It may not be possible for our investors to enforce U.S. judgments against us.

Both our company and our wholly-owned subsidiaries through which we own and operate our vessels are incorporated in the Republic of the Marshall Islands, and we expect most of our future subsidiaries will also be organized in the Marshall Islands. Substantially all of our assets and those of our subsidiaries are located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult or impossible for United States shareholders to serve process within the United States upon us or to enforce judgment upon us for civil liabilities in United States courts. In addition, you should not assume that courts in the countries in which we are incorporated or where our assets are located (1) would enforce judgments of United States courts obtained in actions against us based upon the civil liability provisions of applicable United States federal and state securities laws or (2) would enforce, in original actions, liabilities against us based upon these laws.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

For a description of our vessels, see "Our Fleet" in Item 1, "Business" in this report.

We consider each of our significant properties to be suitable for its intended use.

38

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We have not been involved in any legal proceedings which we believe are likely to have, or have had a significant effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows, nor are we aware of any proceedings that are pending or threatened which we believe are likely to have a significant effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or liquidity. From time to time, we may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business, principally personal injury and property casualty claims. We expect that these claims would be covered by insurance, subject to customary deductibles. Those claims, even if lacking merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

MARKET INFORMATION, HOLDERS AND DIVIDENDS

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "BALT." The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the high and low prices for the common stock as reported by the NYSE:

	HIGH	LOW
FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013		
1st Quarter	\$4.38	\$2.97
2nd Quarter	\$4.10	\$3.10
3rd Quarter	\$5.71	\$3.49
4th Quarter	\$6.86	\$4.36
	HIGH	LOW
FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012		
1st Quarter	\$4.97	\$3.79
	$\psi = 0.77$	Ψ 5.17
2nd Quarter	\$4.77	
2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter	T	\$3.11

As of March 3, 2014, there were approximately 11 holders of record of our common stock.

We have adopted a dividend policy to pay a variable quarterly dividend equal to our Cash Available for Distribution during the previous quarter, subject to any reserves our Board of Directors may from time to time determine are required. These reserves may cover, among other things, drydocking, repairs, claims, liabilities and other obligations, debt amortization, acquisitions of additional assets and working capital. Dividends will be paid equally on a per-share basis between our common stock and our Class B stock. Cash Available for Distribution represents our net income less cash expenditures for capital items related to our fleet, such as drydocking or special surveys, other than vessel acquisitions and related expenses, plus non-cash compensation. For purposes of calculating Cash Available for Distribution, we may disregard non-cash adjustments to our net income (loss), such as those that would result from acquiring a vessel subject to a charter that was above or below market rates.

The following table illustrates the calculation of Cash Available for Distribution (non-cash adjustments we may disregard are not included):

Net Income (loss)
Less Fleet Related Capital Maintenance Expenditures
Plus Non-Cash Compensation
Cash Available for Distribution

The application of our dividend policy would have resulted in a lesser dividend or no dividend for each quarter during 2013 and 2012; however, based on our cash flow, liquidity and capital resources, our Board of Directors determined to declare a dividend during each of these quarters. While our Board of Directors may consider declaring future dividends that exceed the amount determined by our policy, we cannot assure you that they will do so, and the recent dividend declarations do not represent a change in our policy.

The following table summarizes the dividends declared based on the results of each fiscal quarter:

	Dividend	
	per	Declaration
	share	date
FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2013		
4th Quarter	\$ 0.03	2/25/2014
3rd Quarter	\$ 0.02	10/31/2013
2nd Quarter	\$ 0.01	7/30/2013
1st Quarter	\$ 0.01	4/30/2013
	Dividend	
	Dividend per	Declaration
	21,100110	
FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2012	per	Declaration
FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2012 4th Quarter	per	Declaration
•	per share	Declaration date
4th Quarter	per share \$ 0.01	Declaration date 2/14/2013

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2013 regarding the number of shares of our common stock that may be issued under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, which is our sole equity compensation plan:

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	exerc price outsta	of anding ns, warran	issuance under
Plan category	(a)	(b)		(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	_		\$	321
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	_			
Total			\$	- 321
40				

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA

	For the Years Ended December 31, 2013 2012 2011 2010 20					
Income Statement Data: (U.S. dollars in thousands except for share and per share amounts) Revenues	\$35,973	\$27,304	\$43,492	\$32,559	2009 (1)	
Operating Expenses: Voyage expenses Voyage expenses to Parent Vessel operating expenses General, administrative and technical management fees Management fees to Parent Depreciation Other operating income	1,151 461 17,590 5,445 2,671 15,564	1,142 346 16,730 4,768 2,471 14,814	61 560 16,004 5,585 2,464 14,769	167 422 8,198 5,044 1,229 7,359 (206		
-					,	
Total operating expenses	42,882	40,271	39,443	22,213	16	
Operating (loss) income Other expense (Loss) income before income taxes Income tax expense Net (loss) income Net (loss) income per share of common and Class B Stock: Net (loss) income per share - basic	(4,449 (11,358 (34 \$(11,392) (28)) \$(17,270)	(4,445 (396 (34 \$(430	10,346 0 (1,946 0 8,400 0 (78 0 \$8,322	(16) (16) (16) (16)	
Net (loss) income per share - diluted	•			\$0.46	\$— \$(150.20)	
Net loss per share of Capital Stock — basic and diluted Dividends declared and paid per share of common and	\$ —	\$—	\$ —	\$—	\$(158.20)	
Class B stock	\$0.05	\$0.24	\$0.45	\$0.32	\$ —	
Balance Sheet Data: (U.S. dollars in thousands, at end of period) Cash and cash equivalents Total assets Total debt Total shareholders' equity Other Data: (U.S. dollars in thousands) Net cash provided by operating activities Net cash used in investing activities	\$58,193 557,367 167,875 385,103 \$2,603 (147,212	\$3,280 364,370 101,250 260,662 \$433	\$8,300 384,955 101,250 281,603 \$15,379 (2,570	\$5,797 396,154 101,250 289,436 \$18,999 (389,801)	\$— 834 — (16)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	199,522	(5,448)	(10.000		_	
EBITDA (2)	\$8,638	\$1,819	\$18,786	\$17,678	\$(16)	

⁽¹⁾ Represents the period from our date of inception, October 6, 2009, through December 31, 2009. Total cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2009 was one-thousand U.S. dollars; however, due to

rounding, the amount in "Balance Sheet Data" section appears as zero.

41

EBITDA represents net (loss) income plus net interest expense, taxes and depreciation. EBITDA is included because it is used by management and certain investors as a measure of operating performance. EBITDA is used by analysts in the shipping industry as a common performance measure to compare results across peers. Our management uses EBITDA as a performance measure in our consolidated internal financial statements, and it is presented for review at our board meetings. We believe that EBITDA is useful to investors as the shipping industry is capital intensive which often results in significant depreciation and cost of financing. EBITDA

(2) presents investors with a measure in addition to net (loss) income to evaluate our performance prior to these costs. EBITDA is not an item recognized by U.S. GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net (loss) income, operating income or any other indicator of a company's operating performance required by U.S. GAAP. EBITDA is not a measure of liquidity or cash flows as shown in our consolidated statement of cash flows. The definition of EBITDA used here may not be comparable to that used by other companies. The following table demonstrates our calculation of EBITDA and provides a reconciliation of EBITDA to net (loss) income for each of the periods presented above:

	For the Years Ended December 31,						
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009		
Net (loss) income	\$(11,392)	\$(17,270)	\$(430)	\$8,322	\$(16)		
Net interest expense	4,432	4,247	4,413	1,919	_		
Income tax expense	34	28	34	78	_		
Depreciation	15,564	14,814	14,769	7,359	_		
EBITDA (2)	\$8,638	\$1,819	\$18,786	\$17,678	\$(16)		

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General

We are a New York City-based company incorporated in October 2009 in the Marshall Islands to conduct a shipping business focused on the drybulk industry spot market. We were formed by Genco, an international drybulk shipping company that also serves as our Manager. Our fleet currently consists of four Capesize vessels, four Supramax vessels and five Handysize vessels with an aggregate carrying capacity of approximately 1,095,000 dwt and the average age of our fleet is currently 3.9 years, as compared to the average age for the world fleet of approximately 9 years for the drybulk shipping segments in which we compete. After the expected delivery of the four Ultramax vessels that we have agreed to acquire, we will own 17 drybulk vessels, consisting of four Capesize vessels, four Ultramax vessels, four Supramax vessels and five Handysize vessels with a total carrying capacity of approximately 1,350,000 dwt. Our fleet contains five groups of sister ships, which are vessels of virtually identical sizes and specifications. We believe that maintaining a fleet that includes sister ships reduces costs by creating economies of scale in the maintenance, supply and crewing of our vessels.

On July 2, 2013, we entered into agreements to purchase two Handysize drybulk vessels from subsidiaries of Clipper Group for an aggregate purchase price of \$41,000. The Baltic Hare, a 2009-built Handysize vessel, was delivered on September 5, 2013 and the Baltic Fox, a 2010-built Handysize vessel, was delivered on September 6, 2013. We funded a portion of the purchase price of the vessels using proceeds from our registered follow-on common stock offering completed on May 28, 2013. For the remainder of the purchase price, we drew down \$22,000 on our \$22 Million Term Loan Facility. Refer to Note 7 – Debt in our consolidated financial statements for further information regarding this credit facility.

On October 31, 2013, we entered into agreements to purchase two Capesize drybulk vessels from affiliates of SK Shipping Co. Ltd. for an aggregate purchase price of \$103,000. The Baltic Lion, a 2012-built Capesize drybulk vessel, was delivered on December 27, 2013, and the Baltic Tiger, a 2011-built Capesize vessel, was delivered on November 26, 2013. We funded a portion of the purchase price of the vessels using proceeds from our registered

follow-on common stock offering completed on September 25, 2013. For the remainder of the purchase price, we drew down \$44,000 on our \$44 Million Term Loan Facility. Refer to Note 7 – Debt in our consolidated financial statements for further information regarding this credit facility.

On November 13, 2013, we entered into agreements to purchase up to four 64,000 dwt Ultramax newbuilding drybulk vessels from Yangfan Group Co., Ltd. for a purchase price of \$28,000 per vessel, or up to \$112,000 in the aggregate. We agreed to purchase two such vessels, to be renamed the Baltic Hornet and Baltic Wasp, and had an option, which was exercisable until January 10, 2013, to purchase up to two additional vessels. On January 8, 2014, we exercised our option to purchase the two additional 64,000 dwt Ultramax drybulk vessels from Yangfan Group Co., Ltd. for an aggregate purchase price of \$28,000 per vessel. These vessels are to be renamed the Baltic Mantis and the Baltic Scorpion. The purchases are subject to completion of customary additional documentation and closing conditions. The Baltic Hornet and Baltic Wasp are expected to be delivered to us during the third quarter and fourth quarter of 2014, respectively. The Baltic Scorpion and the Baltic Mantis are expected to be delivered to us during the

second and third quarters of 2015, respectively. We intend to use a combination of cash on hand, future cash flow from operations as well as commercial bank debt to fully finance the acquisition of these four Ultramax newbuilding drybulk vessels.

We seek to leverage the expertise and reputation of Genco to pursue growth opportunities in the drybulk shipping spot market. To pursue these opportunities, we operate a fleet of drybulk ships that transport iron ore, coal, grain, steel products and other drybulk cargoes along worldwide shipping routes. We currently operate all of our vessels are on spot market-related time charters, short-term time charters or in vessel pools. We may also consider operating vessels in the spot market directly based on our view of market conditions. We have financed our fleet primarily with equity capital and have financed the remainder with our 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and \$44 Million Term Loan Facility. We aim to grow our fleet through timely and selective acquisitions of vessels. We expect to fund acquisitions of additional vessels using equity and debt financing. We intend to distribute to our shareholders on a quarterly basis all of our net income less cash expenditures for capital items related to our fleet, other than vessel acquisitions and related expenses, plus non-cash compensation, during the previous quarter, subject to any additional reserves our Board of Directors may from time to time determine are required for the prudent conduct of our business, as further described below under "Dividend Policy." We have paid dividends for the past eight quarters even though the application of the formula in our policy would not have resulted in a dividend, although we may not continue to do so.

Refer to page 5 for a table of all vessels that have been or are expected to be delivered to us.

Our operations are managed, under the supervision of our Board of Directors, by Genco as our Manager. We entered into a long-term management agreement (the "Management Agreement") pursuant to which our Manager and its affiliates apply their expertise and experience in the drybulk industry to provide us with commercial, technical, administrative and strategic services. The Management Agreement is for an initial term of approximately 15 years and will automatically renew for additional five-year periods unless terminated in accordance with its terms. We pay our Manager fees for the services it provides us as well as reimburse our Manager for its costs and expenses incurred in providing certain of these services.

On May 28, 2013, we closed an equity offering of 6,419,217 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$3.60 per share. We received net proceeds of \$21.6 million after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. Additionally, on September 25, 2013, we closed an equity offering of 13,800,000 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$4.60 per share. We received net proceeds of \$59.5 million after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. On November 18, 2013, we closed an equity offering of 12,650,000 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$4.60 per share. We received net proceeds of \$55.1 million after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. Pursuant to the Management Agreement, for so long as Genco directly or indirectly holds at least 10% of the aggregate number of outstanding shares of our common stock and Class B stock, Genco will be entitled to receive at no cost an additional number of shares of Class B stock equal to 2% of the number of common shares issued, other than shares issued under the our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. As a result of the equity offerings on May 28, 2013, September 25, 2013 and November 18, 2013, Genco was issued 128,383, 276,000 and 253,000 shares, respectively, of Class B stock, which represents 2% of the number of common shares issued.

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

We believe that the following table reflects important measures for analyzing trends in our results of operations. The table reflects our ownership days, available days, operating days, fleet utilization, Time Charter Equivalent ("TCE") rates and daily vessel operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Increase

Edgar Filing: Baltic Trading Ltd - Form 10-K

For the Years Ended December 31,

	51,						%	
	2013		2012		(Decrease)			
Fleet Data:								
Ownership days (1)								
Capesize	770.6		732.0		38.6		5.3	%
Supramax	1,460.0)	1,464.	0.	(4.0)	(0.3))%
Handysize	1,329.	1	1,098.	.0	231.1		21.0	%
Total	3,559.	7	3,294.	0.	265.7		8.1	%
Available days (2)								
Capesize	765.0		732.0		33.0		4.5	%
Supramax	1,442.	1	1,453.	.3	(11.2)	(0.8))%
Handysize	1,327.0	C	1,098.	.0	229.0		20.9	%
Total	3,534.	1	3,283.	.3	250.8		7.6	%
Operating days (3)								
Capesize	765.0		729.9		35.1		4.8	%
Supramax	1,423.	3	1,434.	0.	(10.7)	(0.7))%
Handysize	1,317.0	5	1,096.	.4	221.2		20.2	%
Total	3,505.9	9	3,260.	.3	245.6		7.5	%
Fleet utilization (4)								
Capesize	100.0	%	99.7	%	0.3	%	0.3	%
Supramax	98.7	%	98.7	%				
Handysize	99.3	%	99.9	%	(0.6)%	(0.6)%
Fleet average	99.2	%	99.3	%	(0.1)%	(0.1)%
43								

	For the Y Ended Do					
	31,		Increase			
				9	%	
(U.S. dollars)	2013	2012	(Decrease)	(Decrease) Chan		
Average Daily Results:						
Time Charter Equivalent (5)						
Capesize	\$15,123	\$7,276	\$ 7,847		107.8	%
Supramax	8,031	7,836	195		2.5	%
Handysize	8,448	8,290	158		1.9	%
Fleet average	9,723	7,863	1,860		23.7	%
Daily vessel operating expenses (6)						
Capesize	\$5,591	\$5,302	\$ 289		5.5	%
Supramax	5,053	5,280	(227)	(4.3)%
Handysize	4,442	4,663	(221)	(4.7)%
Fleet average	4,941	5,079	(138)	(2.7)%

- (1) We define ownership days as the aggregate number of days in a period during which each vessel in our fleet has been owned by us. Ownership days are an indicator of the size of our fleet over a period and affect both the amount of revenues and the amount of expenses that we record during a period.
- (2) We define available days as the number of our ownership days less the aggregate number of days that our vessels are off-hire due to scheduled repairs or repairs under guarantee, vessel upgrades or special surveys and the aggregate amount of time that we spend positioning our vessels. Companies in the shipping industry generally use available days to measure the number of days in a period during which vessels should be capable of generating revenues.
- (3) We define operating days as the number of our available days in a period less the aggregate number of days that our vessels are off-hire due to unforeseen circumstances. The shipping industry uses operating days to measure the aggregate number of days in a period during which vessels actually generate revenues.
- (4) We calculate fleet utilization by dividing the number of our operating days during a period by the number of our available days during the period. The shipping industry uses fleet utilization to measure a company's efficiency in finding suitable employment for its vessels and minimizing the number of days that its vessels are off-hire for reasons other than scheduled repairs or repairs under guarantee, vessel upgrades, special surveys or vessel positioning.
- (5) We define TCE rates as net voyage revenue (voyage revenues less voyage expenses (including voyage expenses to Parent)) divided by the number of our available days during the period, which is consistent with industry standards. TCE rate is a common shipping industry performance measure used primarily to compare daily earnings generated by vessels on time charters with daily

earnings generated by vessels on voyage charters, because charterhire rates for vessels on voyage charters are generally not expressed in per-day amounts while charterhire rates for vessels on time charters generally are expressed in such amounts.

	For the Y Ended De 31,	
	2013	2012
Voyage revenues (in thousands)	\$35,973	\$27,304
Voyage expenses (in thousands)	1,151	1,142
Voyage expenses to Parent (in thousands)	461	346
	34,361	25,816
Total available days	3,534.1	3,283.3
Total TCE rate	\$9,723	\$7,863

(6) We define daily vessel operating expenses to include crew wages and related costs, the cost of insurance, expenses relating to repairs and maintenance (excluding drydocking), the costs of spares and consumable stores, tonnage taxes and other miscellaneous expenses. Daily vessel operating expenses are calculated by dividing vessel operating expenses by ownership days for the relevant period.

Operating Data

The following compares our operating (loss) income and net loss for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

	For the Ye				
	December	31,	Increase		
	2013	2012	(Decrease)	% Change	
Income Statement Data:					
(U.S. dollars in thousands except for per share amo	ounts)				
Revenues	\$35,973	\$27,304	\$8,669	31.7	%
Operating Expenses:					
Voyage expenses	1,151	1,142	9	0.8	%
Voyage expenses to Parent	461	346	115	33.2	%
Vessel operating expenses	17,590	16,730	860	5.1	%
General, administrative and	,	,			
technical management fees	5,445	4,768	677	14.2	%
Management fees to Parent	2,671	2,471	200	8.1	%
Depreciation and amortization	15,564	14,814	750	5.1	%
Total operating expenses	42,882	40,271	2,611	6.5	%
Operating loss	(6,909) (12,967)	6,058	(46.7)%
Other expense	(4,449) (4,275		4.1	%
Loss before income taxes	(11,358) (17,242)	5,884	(34.1)%
Income tax expense	(34) (28) (6	21.4	%
Net loss	\$(11,392) \$(17,270)	5,878	(34.0)%
Net loss per share of common and Class B Stock:					
Net loss per share - basic	\$(0.36) \$(0.78	\$0.42	(53.8)%
Net loss per share - diluted	\$(0.36) \$(0.78	\$0.42	(53.8)%

Dividends declared and paid per share	\$0.05	\$0.24	\$(0.19)	(79.2)%
Balance Sheet Data:					
(U.S. dollars in thousands, at end of period)					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$58,193	\$3,280	54,913	1,674.2	%
Total assets	557,367	364,370	192,997	53.0	%
Total debt	167,875	101,250	66,625	65.8	%
Total shareholders' equity	385,103	260,662	124,441	47.7	%
Other Data:					
(U.S. dollars in thousands)					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$2,603	\$433	\$2,170	501.2	%
Net cash used in investing activities	(147,212)	(5)	(147,207)	2,944,140.0	0%
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	199,522	(5,448)	204,970	(3,762.3)%
EBITDA (1)	\$8,638	\$1,819	\$6,819	374.9	%
45					

EBITDA represents net (loss) income plus net interest expense, taxes and depreciation. Refer to page 42 included (1) in Item 6 where the use of EBITDA is discussed and for a table demonstrating our calculation of EBITDA that provides a reconciliation of EBITDA to net (loss) income for each of the periods presented above.

Results of Operations

We began earning revenues during the three months ended June 30, 2010, since our first vessel was delivered in the second quarter of 2010. Beginning with the second quarter of 2010, our revenues following the delivery of our first vessel have consisted primarily of charterhire. Our ongoing cash expenses consist of fees and reimbursements under our Management Agreement and other expenses directly related to the operation of our vessels and certain administrative expenses. We do not expect to have any income tax liabilities in the Marshall Islands but may be subject to tax in the United States on revenues derived from voyages that either begin or end in the United States. We have accrued for estimated taxes from these voyages at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

We expect that our financial results will be largely driven by the following factors:

·the number of vessels in our fleet and their charter rates;

the number of days that our vessels are utilized and not subject to drydocking, special surveys or otherwise off-hire; and

our ability to control our fixed and variable expenses, including our ship management fees, our operating costs and our general, administrative and other expenses, including insurance. Operating costs may vary from month to month depending on a number of factors, including the timing of purchases of lube oil, crew changes and delivery of spare parts.

Years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

VOYAGE REVENUES-

Voyage revenues are driven primarily by the number of vessels that we have in our fleet, the number of calendar days during which our vessels will generate revenues and the amount of daily charter hire that our vessels earn under charters. These, in turn, are affected by a number of factors, including our decisions relating to vessel acquisitions and disposals, the amount of time that we spend positioning our vessels, the amount of time that our vessels spend in drydock undergoing repairs, maintenance and upgrade work, the age, condition and specifications of our vessels, levels of supply and demand in the drybulk carrier market and other factors affecting spot market charter rates for our vessels. Voyage revenues also include the sale of bunkers consumed during short-term time charters pursuant to the terms of the time charter agreement.

Vessels operating in the spot charter market generate revenues that are less predictable than those operating on time charters but may enable us to capture increased profit margins during periods of improvements in charter rates. Conversely, by operating in the spot charter market, we are exposed to the risk of declining charter rates, which may have a materially adverse impact on our financial performance.

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, voyage revenues were \$35,973 and \$27,304, respectively. The increase in voyage revenues was primarily due to higher spot market rates achieved by the majority of our vessels as well as the increase in the size of our fleet during the year ended December 31, 2013.

The average TCE rate of our fleet was \$9,723 a day for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$7,863 for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was due to higher spot market rates achieved by the Capesize vessels in our fleet during 2013 as compared to 2012.

During 2013, the Baltic Dry Index, or BDI (a drybulk index) recorded a low of 698 on January 1, 2013 and rebounded to yearly high of 2,337 on December 12, 2013. At December 24, 2013, the index was 2,277. In 2014, the index started off at 2,113 on January 2, 2014 and has since decreased to 1,258 on February 28, 2014.

The BDI displayed considerable weakness in the beginning of 2012 due to reduced iron ore cargoes recorded through the celebration of the Chinese New Year, as well as a high level of newbuilding vessel deliveries for the first two months of the year. A combination of factors, including excess vessel supply, weather disruptions in Brazil and Australia and strikes in Columbian coal mines resulted in the BDI remaining at relatively low levels through the first half of the year. As fleet growth moderated and Chinese steel production increased, the BDI traded up through the second half of 2013 and recorded its peak value of 2,337 on December 12, 2013. The year to date in 2014 has exhibited seasonal issues like those of the corresponding period in 2013, with seasonal factors contributing to the most recent downturn in rates, including: order timing issues for iron ore cargoes related to the celebration of the Chinese New Year; increased deliveries of newbuilding vessels for the month of January as compared to the previous three months; a ban of coal shipments out of Drummond's Columbian coal mines and short-term weather-related issues in Brazil and Australia, temporarily reducing iron ore output. Given the fact that a majority of our vessels are chartered at spot market-related rates, we expect that the recent downturn in rates will adversely impact our first quarter 2014 revenues and results of operations.

During 2013 and 2012, we had 3,559.7 and 3,294.0 ownership days, respectively. The increase in ownership days is due to the delivery of the Baltic Fox, Baltic Hare, Baltic Lion and Baltic Tiger during the third and fourth quarters of 2013 partially offset by a decrease in ownership days to an additional day during 2012 due to the leap year. Fleet utilization remained stable at 99.2% and 99.3% during 2013 and 2012, respectively.

VOYAGE EXPENSES-

To the extent we operate our vessels on voyage charters in the spot market, we are responsible for all voyage expenses. Voyage expenses are all expenses unique to a particular voyage, including any bunker fuel expenses, port fees, cargo loading and unloading expenses, canal tolls, agency fees and commissions. We expect that our voyage expenses will vary depending on the number of vessels in our fleet and the extent to which we enter into voyage charters in the spot market as opposed to spot market-related time charters, trip charters or vessel pools, in which we would not be responsible for voyage expenses. At the inception of a spot market-related time charter, we record the difference between the cost of bunker fuel delivered by the terminating charterer and the bunker fuel sold to the new charterer as a gain or loss within voyage expenses. Additionally, voyage expenses include the cost of bunkers consumed during short-term time charters pursuant to the terms of the time charter agreement.

For 2013 and 2012, voyage expenses remained stable at \$1,151 and \$1,142, respectively.

VOYAGE EXPENSES TO PARENT-

Voyage expenses to Parent increased by \$115 during 2013 as compared to 2012. This amount represents the commercial service fee equal to 1.25% of gross charter revenues generated by each vessel due to Genco pursuant to the Management Agreement. The increase is primarily a result of the increase in voyage revenue due to higher spot market rates achieved by our Capesize vessels during 2013 as compared to 2012.

VESSEL OPERATING EXPENSES-

Vessel operating expenses increased by \$860 to \$17,590 during 2013 as compared to \$16,730 in 2012 primarily due to a larger fleet as a result of the delivery of four vessels during 2013 partially offset by a decrease in the purchase of stores and lower insurance and repair and maintenance related expenses.

Daily vessel operating expenses decreased to \$4,941 per vessel per day during the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$5,079 per vessel per day during the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in daily vessel operating expenses is primarily due to lower insurance and repair and maintenance related expenses, as well as the timing of purchase of stores and spare parts. We believe daily vessel operating expenses are best measured for comparative purposes over a 12-month period in order to take into account all of the expenses that each vessel in our fleet will incur over a full year of operation. Our actual daily vessel operating expenses per vessel for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$459 below the budgeted rate for the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$5,400 per vessel per day.

Our vessel operating expenses, which generally represent fixed costs, will increase as a result of the expansion of our fleet. Other factors beyond our control, some of which may affect the shipping industry in general, including, for instance, developments relating to market prices for crewing, lubes, and insurance, may also cause these expenses to increase.

Based on our management's estimates and budgets provided by our technical manager, we expect our vessels to have average daily vessel operating expenses during 2014 of:

	Average
	Daily
	Budgeted
Vessel Type	Amount
Capesize	\$ 6,000
Ultramax	5,100
Supramax	5,500
Handysize	4,900

Based on these average daily budgeted amounts by vessel type, we expect our fleet to have average daily vessel operating expenses of \$5,400 during 2014. The average daily vessel operating expense budget for 2014 of \$5,400 is the same as the prior year 2013 budget of \$5,400.

GENERAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL MANAGEMENT FEES-

We incur general and administrative expenses, which relate to our onshore non-vessel-related activities. Our general and administrative expenses include non-cash compensation expense, legal, auditing and other professional expenses. With respect to the restricted shares issued as incentive compensation to our Chairman, our President and Chief Financial Officer and our directors under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, refer to Note 14 — Nonvested Stock Awards in our consolidated financial statements. Additionally, we incur management fees to third-party technical management companies (excluding Genco) for the day-to-day management of our vessels, including performing routine maintenance, attending to vessel operations and arranging for crews and supplies.

For 2013 and 2012, general, administrative and technical management fees were \$5,445 and \$4,768, respectively. The increase in general, administrative and technical management fees was primarily a result of higher legal expenses and compensation. Technical management fees marginally increased due to the delivery of four vessels during the third and fourth quarters of 2013.

MANAGEMENT FEES TO PARENT-

Management fees to Parent increased to \$2,671 from \$2,471 during 2013 as compared to 2012, respectively. This amount represents the technical service fees of \$750 per vessel per day payable to Genco pursuant to the Management Agreement. The increase was due to the delivery of four vessels during the third and fourth quarters of 2013.

DEPRECIATION-

We depreciate the cost of our vessels on a straight-line basis over the expected useful life of each vessel. Depreciation is based on the cost of the vessel less its estimated residual value. We estimate the useful life of our vessels to be 25 years. Furthermore, we estimate the residual values of our vessels to be based upon the estimated scrap value of the vessels of \$245/lwt.

Depreciation expense increased to \$15,564 during 2013 from \$14,814 during 2012 due to the delivery of four vessels during the third and fourth quarter of 2013.

OTHER (EXPENSE) INCOME-

NET INTEREST EXPENSE-

For 2013 and 2012, net interest expense was \$4,432 and \$4,247, respectively. The increase in net interest expense is primarily due to the interest expense, commitment fees, and the amortization of deferred financing fees associated with the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, which were entered into effective August 30, 2013 and December 3, 2013, respectively. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in the unused commitment fees for the 2010 Credit Facility as the total facility amount was reduced to \$110,000 from \$150,000 beginning August 29, 2013 pursuant to an amendment. Refer to Note 7 — Debt in our consolidated financial statements for further information.

48

INCOME TAX EXPENSE-

For 2013 and 2012, income tax expense was \$34 and \$28, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we had United States operations which resulted in United States source income of \$1,664, which resulted in income tax expense of \$34. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we had United States operations which resulted in United States source income of \$1,379, which resulted in income tax expense of \$28.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

We believe that the following table reflects important measures for analyzing trends in our results of operations. The table reflects our ownership days, available days, operating days, fleet utilization, TCE rates and daily vessel operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

	For the Years Ended December 31,				Increase		CI.		
	2012		2011		(Decreas	se)	% Change	e	
Fleet Data:									
Ownership days (1)									
Capesize	732.0		730.0		2.0		0.3	%	
Supramax	1,464.0		1,460.0		4.0		0.3	%	
Handysize	1,098.0)	1,095.0	0	3.0		0.3	%	
Total	3,294.0)	3,285.0	0	9.0		0.3	%	
Available days (2)									
Capesize	732.0		730.0		2.0		0.3	%	
Supramax	1,453.3	;	1,460.0	0	(6.7)	(0.5))%	
Handysize	1,098.0)	1,095.0	0	3.0		0.3	%	
Total	3,283.3	}	3,285.0	0	(1.7)	(0.1)%	
Operating days (3)									
Capesize	729.9		730.0		(0.1)	(0.0))%	
Supramax	1,434.0)	1,438.9	9	(4.9)	(0.3))%	
Handysize	1,096.4	Ļ	1,093.9	9	2.5		0.2	%	
Total	3,260.3	;	3,262.8	8	(2.5)	(0.1)%	
Fleet utilization (4)									
Capesize	99.7	%	100.0	%	(0.3)%	(0.3))%	
Supramax	98.7	%	98.6	%	0.1	%	0.1	%	
Handysize	99.9	%	99.9	%	_		_		
Fleet average	99.3	%	99.3	%					

Increase

For the Years
Ended December
31,
%
2012 2011 (Decrease) Ch.

				%	
(U.S. dollars)	2012	2011	(Decrease)	Change	2
Average Daily Results:					
Time Charter Equivalent (5)					
Capesize	\$7,276	\$15,371	\$ (8,095)	(52.7)%
Supramax	7,836	13,051	(5,215)	(40.0)%
Handysize	8,290	11,503	(3,213)	(27.9)%
Fleet average	7,863	13,050	(5,187)	(39.7)%
Daily vessel operating expenses (6)					
Capesize	\$5,302	\$5,264	\$ 38	0.7	%
Supramax	5,280	5,211	69	1.3	%
Handysize	4,663	4,159	504	12.1	%
Fleet average	5,079	4,872	207	4.2	%
49					

- (1) We define ownership days as the aggregate number of days in a period during which each vessel in our fleet has been owned by us. Ownership days are an indicator of the size of our fleet over a period and affect both the amount of revenues and the amount of expenses that we record during a period.
- (2) We define available days as the number of our ownership days less the aggregate number of days that our vessels are off-hire due to scheduled repairs or repairs under guarantee, vessel upgrades or special surveys and the aggregate amount of time that we spend positioning our vessels. Companies in the shipping industry generally use available days to measure the number of days in a period during which vessels should be capable of generating revenues.
- (3) We define operating days as the number of our available days in a period less the aggregate number of days that our vessels are off-hire due to unforeseen circumstances. The shipping industry uses operating days to measure the aggregate number of days in a period during which vessels actually generate revenues.
- (4) We calculate fleet utilization by dividing the number of our operating days during a period by the number of our available days during the period. The shipping industry uses fleet utilization to measure a company's efficiency in finding suitable employment for its vessels and minimizing the number of days that its vessels are off-hire for reasons other than scheduled repairs or repairs under guarantee, vessel upgrades, special surveys or vessel positioning.
- (5) We define TCE rates as net voyage revenue (voyage revenues less voyage expenses (including voyage expenses to Parent)) divided by the number of our available days during the period, which is consistent with industry standards. TCE rate is a common shipping industry performance measure used primarily to compare daily earnings generated by vessels on time charters with daily earnings generated by vessels on voyage charters, because charterhire rates for vessels on voyage charters are generally not expressed in per-day amounts while charterhire rates for vessels on time charters generally are expressed in such amounts.

	For the Years	
	Ended December	
	31,	
	2012	2011
Voyage revenues (in thousands)	\$27,304	\$43,492
Voyage expenses (in thousands)	1,142	61
Voyage expenses to Parent (in thousands)	346	560
	25,816	42,871
Total available days	3,283.3	3,285.0
Total TCE rate	\$7,863	\$13,050

(6) We define daily vessel operating expenses to include crew wages and related costs, the cost of insurance, expenses relating to repairs and maintenance (excluding drydocking), the costs of spares and consumable stores, tonnage taxes and other miscellaneous expenses. Daily vessel operating expenses are calculated by dividing vessel operating expenses by ownership days for the relevant period.

Operating Data

The following compares our operating (loss) income and net loss for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

	For the Ye December		Increase	%	
	2012	2011	(Decrease)		
Income Statement Data: (U.S. dollars in thousands except for per share amounts) Revenues	\$27,304	\$43,492	\$ (16,188)	C	ъ
Operating Expenses: Voyage expenses Voyage expenses to Parent Vessel operating expenses General, administrative and technical management fees Management fees to Parent Depreciation and amortization	1,142 346 16,730 4,768 2,471 14,814	61 560 16,004 5,585 2,464 14,769	1,081 (214 726 (817 7 45	1,772.1% (38.2)% 4.5 % (14.6)% 0.3 % 0.3 %	% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %
Total operating expenses	40,271	39,443	828	2.1 %	,
Operating (loss) income Other expense Loss before income taxes Income tax expense Net loss Net loss per share of common and Class B Stock: Net loss per share - basic Net loss per share - diluted Dividends declared and paid per share		(4,445) (396) (34) \$(430)	(16,846) 6	(3.8)% 4,254.0% (17.6)% 3,916.3% 3,800.0% 3,800.0%	% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %
Balance Sheet Data: (U.S. dollars in thousands, at end of period) Cash and cash equivalents Total assets Total debt Total shareholders' equity	\$3,280 364,370 101,250 260,662	\$8,300 384,955 101,250 281,603	(5,020) (20,585) — (20,941)	(5.3)%	%
Other Data: (U.S. dollars in thousands) Net cash provided by operating activities Net cash used in investing activities Net cash used in financing activities	\$433 (5) (5,448)	(10,306)	4,858	(99.8)% (47.1)%	% %
EBITDA (1)	\$1,819	\$18,786	\$ (16,967)	(90.3)%	0

EBITDA represents net (loss) income plus net interest expense, taxes and depreciation. Refer to pages 41-42 (1) included in Item 6 where the use of EBITDA is discussed and for a table demonstrating our calculation of EBITDA that provides a reconciliation of EBITDA to net (loss) income for each of the periods presented above.

Years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

VOYAGE REVENUES-

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, voyage revenues were \$27,304 and \$43,492, respectively. The decrease in voyage revenues was due to lower spot market rates achieved by our vessels during 2012.

The average TCE rate of our fleet was \$7,863 a day for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to \$13,050 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was due to lower spot market rates achieved by our vessels during 2012 as compared to 2011.

During 2012, the Baltic Dry Index, or BDI (a drybulk index) reached a low of 647 on February 3, 2012 and rebounded to yearly high of 1,165 on May 8, 2012. At December 31, 2011, the index was 1,738.

The BDI displayed considerable weakness in the beginning of 2012 due to reduced iron ore cargoes recorded through the celebration of the Chinese New Year, as well as a high level of newbuilding vessel deliveries for the first two months of the year. While the BDI showed a relative rebound from February and through May of 2012, adverse market conditions primarily driven by high iron ore inventories and negative sentiment in regards to the growth pace of world economies, maintained the index at relatively low values through September of 2012. A relative rebound was experienced over the next two months with the BDI trading in the 1,000 point range.

During 2012 and 2011, we had 3,294.0 and 3,285.0 ownership days, respectively. Fleet utilization remained stable at 99.3% and 99.3% during 2012 and 2011.

VOYAGE EXPENSES-

For 2012 and 2011, voyage expenses were \$1,142 and \$61, respectively. The increase is primarily due to a \$449 decrease in net gains related to the difference between the costs of the bunker fuel delivered by the terminating charterer and the bunker fuel sold to the new charterer during 2012 as compared to 2011. Additionally, there was an increase in voyage expenses as a result of \$416 of bunkers consumed during short-term time charters during 2012.

VOYAGE EXPENSES TO PARENT-

Voyage expenses to Parent decreased by \$214 during 2012 as compared to 2011. This amount represents the commercial service fee equal to 1.25% of gross charter revenues generated by each vessel due to Genco pursuant to the Management Agreement. The decrease was a result of the decrease in voyage revenue due to lower spot market rates achieved by our vessels during 2012.

VESSEL OPERATING EXPENSES-

Vessel operating expenses increased by \$726 to \$16,730 during 2012 as compared to \$16,004 in 2011 primarily due to higher expenses related to crew and the purchases of stores and spare parts, partially offset by lower lube consumption.

Daily vessel operating expenses increased to \$5,079 per vessel per day during the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$4,872 per vessel per day during the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in daily vessel operating expenses is primarily due to higher expenses related to crew and the purchase of stores and spare parts partially offset by lower lube consumption. We believe daily vessel operating expenses are best measured for comparative purposes over a 12-month period in order to take into account all of the expenses that each vessel in our fleet will incur over a full year of operation. Our actual daily vessel operating expenses per vessel for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$221 below the budgeted rate for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$5,300 per vessel per day.

GENERAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL MANAGEMENT FEES-

For 2012 and 2011, general, administrative and technical management fees were \$4,768 and \$5,585, respectively. The decrease in general, administrative and technical management fees was primarily a result of lower non-cash compensation. Technical management fees paid to third parties did not fluctuate significantly during 2012 as compared to 2011. During 2013, the technical management fees per vessel are expected to be the same as during 2012, or approximately \$130 per vessel.

MANAGEMENT FEES TO PARENT-

Management fees to Parent remained relatively stable at \$2,471 and \$2,464 during 2012 and 2011, respectively. This amount represents the technical service fees of \$750 per vessel per day payable to Genco pursuant to the Management Agreement.

DEPRECIATION-

Depreciation expense remained stable at \$14,814 and \$14,769 during 2012 and 2011, respectively.

OTHER (EXPENSE) INCOME-

NET INTEREST EXPENSE-

For 2012 and 2011, net interest expense was \$4,247 and \$4,413, respectively. The decrease in net interest expense is primarily a result of the decrease in unused commitment fees as the total commitment under the 2010 Credit Facility was reduced by \$5,000 on May 31, 2011, November 30, 2011, May 31, 2012 and November 30, 2012. Refer to Note 7 — Debt in our consolidated financial statements for further information. The net interest expense during both periods consisted of interest expense and unused commitment fees related to our 2010 Credit Facility, the amortization of deferred financing fees associated with this facility as well as interest income earned on our cash balances.

INCOME TAX EXPENSE-

For 2012 and 2011, income tax expense was \$28 and \$34, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we had United States operations which resulted in United States source income of \$1,379, which resulted in income tax expense of \$28. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we had United States operations which resulted in United States source income of \$3,062, which resulted in income tax expense of \$34.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our primary initial sources of capital were the capital contribution made by Genco, through Genco Investments LLC, of \$75 million for 5,699,088 shares of our Class B stock and the net proceeds from the IPO, which was approximately \$210.4 million as described hereunder. We have also made borrowings to date under our 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and \$44 Million Term Loan Facility. We anticipate that internally generated cash flow, together with borrowings that we may make under our 2010 Credit Facility for working capital purposes and anticipated debt financing to be obtained to fund the vessels to be purchased from Yangfan Group Co., Ltd., will be sufficient to fund the operations of our fleet, including our working capital requirements, for the next twelve months.

On April 16, 2010, we entered into a \$100,000 senior secured revolving credit facility with Nordea Bank Finland plc, acting through its New York branch (the "2010 Credit Facility"), which was subsequently amended effective November 30, 2010 which increased the borrowing capacity from \$100,000 to \$150,000. The amended 2010 Credit Facility matures on November 30, 2016. There was an additional amendment entered into effective August 29, 2013 which reduced the borrowing capacity to \$110,000 and allowed us to incur additional indebtedness under new credit facilities. Refer to Note 7 – Debt in our consolidated financial statements for descriptions of these amendments. As of December 31, 2013, to remain in compliance with a net worth covenant in the 2010 Credit Facility, we need to maintain a net worth of \$300,878.

Borrowings of up to \$25,000, subject to the total remaining availability under the 2010 Credit Facility, are available for working capital purposes. As noted in Note 7 – Debt of our consolidated financial statements, the repayment structures under the amended 2010 Credit Facility has been modified effective August 29, 2013 which reduced the total commitment to \$110,000 on August 29, 2013 and there will be three consecutive semi-annual commitment

reductions of \$5,000 each commencing on May 30, 2015 with a balloon payment at the end of the facility due on November 30, 2016. We do not anticipate that borrowings under the 2010 Credit Facility will be used to satisfy our long-term capital needs. As of December 31, 2013, total borrowings, including \$2,500 for working capital purposes, under the 2010 Credit Facility were \$102,250. Additionally, as of December 31, 2013, \$7,750 remained available under the 2010 Credit Facility as the total commitment under this facility decreased to \$110,000. Of the \$7,750 available under the 2010 Credit Facility, all was available for working capital purposes as of December 31, 2013. The total available working capital borrowings are subject to the total remaining availability under the 2010 Credit Facility. To the extent we expand our fleet in the future, we plan to finance potential expansions primarily through the use of equity and debt financing. We may use equity financing to repay indebtedness from time to time, including indebtedness under the 2010 Credit Facility.

The 2010 Credit Facility requires us to comply with a number of covenants, including financial covenants related to liquidity, consolidated net worth, and collateral maintenance; delivery of quarterly and annual financial statements and annual projections; maintaining adequate insurances; compliance with laws (including environmental); compliance with ERISA; maintenance of flag and 53

class of the initial vessels; restrictions on consolidations, mergers or sales of assets; restrictions on changes in the Manager of our initial vessels (or acceptable replacement vessels); limitations on changes to our Management Agreement with Genco; limitations on liens; limitations on additional indebtedness; restrictions on paying dividends; restrictions on transactions with affiliates; and other customary covenants.

Under the collateral maintenance covenant of our 2010 Credit Facility, the aggregate valuations of our vessels pledged under this facility must at least be 140% of the total amount we may borrow. If our valuations fall below this percentage, we must provide additional acceptable collateral, repay a portion of our borrowings, or permanently reduce the amount we may borrow under the facility to the extent required to restore our compliance with the covenant.

As of December 31, 2013, we believe we are in compliance with all of the financial covenants under the 2010 Credit Facility.

On July 2, 2013, we entered into agreements to purchase two Handysize drybulk vessels from subsidiaries of Clipper Group for an aggregate purchase price of \$41,000. The Baltic Hare, a 2009-built Handysize vessel, was delivered on September 5, 2013 and the Baltic Fox, a 2010-built Handysize vessel, was delivered on September 6, 2013. We funded a portion of the purchase price of the vessels using proceeds from our registered follow-on common stock offering completed on May 28, 2013. For the remainder of the purchase price, we drew down \$22,000 on our \$22,000 secured loan agreement with DVB Bank SE on September 4, 2013 as described below.

On August 30, 2013, Baltic Hare Limited and Baltic Fox Limited, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, entered into a secured loan agreement with DVB Bank SE for a term loan facility of up to \$22,000 (the "\$22 Million Term Loan Facility"). Amounts borrowed and repaid under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility may not be reborrowed. This facility has a maturity date of the sixth anniversary of the drawdown date for borrowings for the second vessel to be purchased, or September 4, 2019. Borrowings under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility bear interest at the three-month LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin of 3.35% per annum. A commitment fee of 1.00% is payable on the unused daily portion of the credit facility, which began accruing on August 30, 2013 and ended on September 4, 2013, the date which the entire \$22,000 was borrowed. Borrowings are to be repaid in 23 quarterly installments of \$375 each commencing three months after the last drawdown date, or December 4, 2013, and a final payment of \$13,375 due on the maturity date.

Borrowings under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility are to be secured by liens on our vessels to be purchased with borrowings under the facility, namely the Baltic Fox and the Baltic Hare, and other related assets. Under a Guarantee and Indemnity entered into concurrently with the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility, we have agreed to guarantee the obligations of our subsidiaries under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility.

The \$22 Million Term Loan Facility also requires us and Baltic Hare Limited and Baltic Fox Limited to comply with a number of covenants, including financial covenants related to liquidity, leverage, consolidated net worth, and collateral maintenance; delivery of quarterly and annual financial statements and annual projections; maintaining adequate insurances; compliance with laws (including environmental); maintenance of flag and class of the initial vessels; restrictions on consolidations, mergers or sales of assets; limitations on changes in the manager of our vessels; limitations on changes to the Management Agreement; limitations on liens and additional indebtedness; prohibitions on paying dividends if an event of default has occurred or would occur as a result of payment of a dividend; restrictions on transactions with affiliates; and other customary covenants. The liquidity covenants under the facility require Baltic Hare Limited and Baltic Fox Limited to maintain \$500 each in their cash accounts and us to maintain \$750 for each vessel in our fleet in cash or cash equivalents plus undrawn working capital lines of credit. The facility's leverage covenant requires that the ratio of our total financial indebtedness to the value of our total assets as adjusted based on vessel appraisals not exceed 70%. The facility also requires that we maintain a minimum consolidated net worth of \$232,796 plus fifty percent of the value of our equity offering completed on or after May 28, 2013. The facility's collateral maintenance covenant requires that the minimum fair market value of vessels mortgaged under the

facility be 130% of the amount outstanding under the facility through August 30, 2016 and 135% of such amount thereafter.

On September 4, 2013, Baltic Hare Limited and Baltic Fox Limited made drawdowns of \$10,730 and \$11,270 for the Baltic Hare and Baltic Fox, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, we have utilized our maximum borrowing capacity of \$22,000, and there is no availability under this facility.

As of December 31, 2013, we believe we are in compliance with all of the financial covenants under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility.

54

On October 31, 2013, we entered into agreements to purchase two Capesize drybulk vessels from affiliates of SK Shipping Co. Ltd. for an aggregate purchase price of \$103,000. The Baltic Lion, a 2012-built Capesize drybulk vessel, was delivered on December 27, 2013, and the Baltic Tiger, a 2011-built Capesize vessel, was delivered on November 26, 2013. We funded a portion of the purchase price of the vessels using proceeds from our registered follow-on common stock offering completed on September 25, 2013. For the remainder of the purchase price, we drew down \$44,000 on our \$44,000 secured loan agreement with DVB Bank SE on December 23, 2013 as described below.

On December 3, 2013, Baltic Lion Limited and Baltic Tiger Limited, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, entered into a secured loan agreement with DVB Bank SE for a term loan facility of up to \$44,000 (the "\$44 Million Term Loan Facility"). Amounts borrowed and repaid under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility may not be reborrowed. This facility has a maturity date of the sixth anniversary of the drawdown date for borrowings for the second vessel to be purchased, or December 23, 2019. Borrowings under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility bear interest at the three-month LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin of 3.35% per annum. A commitment fee of 1.00% is payable on the unused daily portion of the credit facility, which began accruing on December 3, 2013 and ended on December 23, 2013, the date which the entire \$44,000 was borrowed. Borrowings are to be repaid in 23 quarterly installments of \$688 each commencing three months after the last drawdown date, or March 24, 2014, and a final payment of \$28,188 due on the maturity date.

Borrowings under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility are to be secured by liens on the our vessels to be financed or refinanced with borrowings under the facility, namely the Baltic Tiger and the Baltic Lion, and other related assets. Upon the prepayment of \$18,000 plus any additional amounts necessary to maintain compliance with the collateral maintenance covenant, we may have the lien on the Baltic Tiger released. Under a Guarantee and Indemnity entered into concurrently with the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, we agreed to guarantee the obligations of our subsidiaries under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility.

The \$44 Million Term Loan Facility also requires the Company, Baltic Tiger Limited and Baltic Lion Limited to comply with a number of covenants, including financial covenants related to liquidity, leverage, consolidated net worth, and collateral maintenance; delivery of quarterly and annual financial statements and annual projections; maintaining adequate insurances; compliance with laws (including environmental); maintenance of flag and class of the initial vessels; restrictions on consolidations, mergers or sales of assets; limitations on changes in the manager of the Company's vessels; limitations on changes to the Management Agreement; limitations on liens and additional indebtedness; prohibitions on paying dividends if an event of default has occurred or would occur as a result of payment of a dividend; restrictions on transactions with affiliates; and other customary covenants. The liquidity covenants under the facility require Baltic Tiger Limited and Baltic Lion Limited to maintain \$1,000 each in their cash accounts and us to maintain \$750 for each vessel in our fleet in cash or cash equivalents plus undrawn working capital lines of credit. The facility's leverage covenant requires that the ratio of our total financial indebtedness to the value of our total assets as adjusted based on vessel appraisals not exceed 70%. The facility also requires that we maintain a minimum consolidated net worth of \$232,796 plus fifty percent of the value of any primary equity offerings completed after April 30, 2013. The facility's collateral maintenance covenant requires that the minimum fair market value of vessels mortgaged under the facility be 125% of the amount outstanding under the facility.

On December 23, 2013, Baltic Tiger Limited and Baltic Lion Limited made drawdowns of \$21,400 and \$22,600 for the Baltic Tiger and Baltic Lion, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, we have utilized our maximum borrowing capacity of \$44,000 and there was no further availability.

As of December 31, 2013, we believe we are in compliance with all of the financial covenants under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility.

On May 28, 2013, we closed on an equity offering of 6,419,217 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$3.60 per share. We received net proceeds of \$21,564 after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. On September 25,

2013, we closed on an equity offering of 13,800,000 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$4.60 per share. We received net proceeds of \$59,474 after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. On November 18, 2013, we closed an equity offering of 12,650,000 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$4.60 per share. We received net proceeds of \$55,125 after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. Additionally, pursuant to the Management Agreement, for so long as Genco directly or indirectly holds at least 10% of the aggregate number of outstanding shares of our common stock and Class B stock, Genco will be entitled to receive at no cost an additional number of shares of Class B stock equal to 2% of the number of common shares issued, other than shares issued under the our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. As a result of these equity offerings, Genco was issued 128,383, 276,000 and 253,000 shares of Class B stock, respectively, which represents 2% of the number of common shares issued.

Our business is capital intensive, and our future success will depend on our ability to maintain a high-quality fleet through the acquisition of newer drybulk vessels and the selective sale of older drybulk vessels. These acquisitions will be principally subject to management's expectation of future market conditions as well as our ability to acquire drybulk vessels on favorable terms.

On November 13, 2013, we entered into agreements to purchase up to four 64,000 dwt Ultramax newbuilding drybulk vessels from Yangfan Group Co., Ltd. for a purchase price of \$28,000 per vessel, or up to \$112,000 in the aggregate. We agreed to purchase two such vessels, to be renamed the Baltic Hornet and Baltic Wasp, and obtained an option to purchase up to two additional such vessels for the same price, which we exercised on January 8, 2014. These vessels are to be renamed the Baltic Mantis and the Baltic Scorpion. The purchases are subject to completion of customary additional documentation and closing conditions. The Baltic Hornet and Baltic Wasp are expected to be delivered to us during the third and fourth quarters of 2014, respectively. The Baltic Scorpion and the Baltic Mantis are expected to be delivered to us during the second and third quarters of 2015, respectively. We intend to use a combination of cash on hand and future cash flow from operations as well as commercial bank debt to fully finance the acquisition of these four Ultramax newbuilding drybulk vessels.

Our dividend policy will also impact our future liquidity position. We currently intend to pay a variable quarterly dividend equal to our Cash Available for Distribution from the previous quarter (refer to "Dividend Policy" below), subject to any reserves the Board of Directors may from time to time determine are required. These reserves may cover, among other things, drydocking, repairs, claims, liabilities and other obligations, debt amortization, acquisitions of additional assets and working capital. We have paid dividends during the past three years even though the application of the formula in our policy would have resulted in a lesser dividend or no dividend, although we may not continue to do so.

Dividend Policy

We have adopted a dividend policy to pay a variable quarterly dividend equal to our Cash Available for Distribution during the previous quarter, subject to any reserves our Board of Directors may from time to time determine are required. Dividends are paid equally on a per-share basis between our common stock and our Class B stock. Cash Available for Distribution represents our net income (loss) less cash expenditures for capital items related to our fleet, such as drydocking or special surveys, other than vessel acquisitions and related expenses, plus non-cash compensation. For purposes of calculating Cash Available for Distribution, we may disregard non-cash adjustments to our net income (loss), such as those that would result from acquiring a vessel subject to a charter that was above or below market rates.

The following table illustrates the calculation of Cash Available for Distribution (non-cash adjustments we may disregard are not included):

Net Income (Loss)
Less Fleet Related Capital Maintenance Expenditures
Plus Non-Cash Compensation
Cash Available for Distribution

The application of our dividend policy would have resulted in a lesser dividend or no dividend for each quarter during 2013, 2012 and 2011; however, based on our cash flow, liquidity and capital resources, our Board of Directors determined to declare a dividend. While our Board of Directors may consider declaring future dividends that exceed the amount determined by our policy, we cannot assure you that they will do so, and the recent dividend declarations do not represent a change in our policy.

The following table summarizes the dividends declared based on the results of each fiscal quarter:

	Dividend		
	per	Declaration	
	share	date	
FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2013			
4th Quarter	\$ 0.03	2/25/2014	
3rd Quarter	\$ 0.02	10/31/2013	
2nd Quarter	\$ 0.01	7/30/2013	
1st Quarter	\$ 0.01	4/30/2013	
	Dividend	1	
	Dividend per	l Declaration	
		-	
FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2012	per	Declaration	
FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2012 4th Quarter	per	Declaration	
	per share	Declaration date	
4th Quarter	per share	Declaration date 2/14/2013	
4th Quarter 3rd Quarter	per share \$ 0.01 \$ 0.01	Declaration date 2/14/2013 10/31/2012	

	Dividend	
	per	Declaration
	share	date
FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2011		
4th Quarter	\$ 0.13	2/16/2012
3rd Quarter	\$ 0.12	10/27/2011
2nd Quarter	\$ 0.10	7/25/2011
1st Quarter	\$ 0.06	4/28/2011

The aggregate amount of the dividend paid in 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$1,888, \$5,448 and \$10,167, respectively, which we funded from cash on hand.

The application of our dividend policy would have resulted in a lesser dividend for the fourth quarter of 2013; however, based on our cash flow, liquidity and capital resources, our Board of Directors determined to declare a dividend of \$0.03 per share payable on or about March 17, 2014 to all shareholders of record as of March 10, 2014. Our dividend policy is to pay a variable quarterly dividend equal to our Cash Available for Distribution, during the previous quarter, subject to any reserves our board of directors may from time to time determine are required. Dividends will be paid equally on a per-share basis between our common stock and our Class B stock. Cash Available for Distribution represents our net income less cash expenditures for capital items related to our fleet, such as drydocking or special surveys, other than vessel acquisitions and related expenses, plus non-cash compensation. For purposes of calculating Cash Available for Distribution, we may disregard non-cash adjustments to our net income, such as those that would result from acquiring a vessel subject to a charter that was above or below market rates. We intend to pay dividends on a quarterly basis.

We believe that, under current law, our dividend payments from earnings and profits will constitute "qualified dividend income." For 2012, the maximum Federal income tax rate on dividends paid to non-corporate shareholders was 15%. For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012, the maximum federal income tax rate on qualified dividends paid to non-corporate shareholders is 20%, and all or a portion of dividend income received by shareholders whose modified adjusted gross income exceeds certain thresholds (\$250,000 for married taxpayers filing jointly and \$200,000 for single taxpayers) may be subject to a 3.8% surtax. Distributions in excess of our earnings and profits will be treated first as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of a U.S. shareholder's tax basis in its common stock on a dollar-for-dollar basis and, thereafter, as capital gain.

Limitations on Dividends and Our Ability to Change Our Dividend Policy

There is no guarantee that our shareholders will receive quarterly dividends from us. Our dividend policy may be changed at any time by our Board of Directors and is subject to certain restrictions, including:

•Our shareholders have no contractual or other legal right to receive dividends.

Our Board of Directors has authority to establish reserves for the prudent conduct of our business, after giving effect to contingent liabilities, the terms of any credit facilities we may enter into, our other cash needs and the requirements of Marshall Islands law. The establishment of these reserves could result in a reduction in dividends to you. We do not anticipate the need for reserves at this time.

Our Board of Directors may modify or terminate our dividend policy at any time. Even if our dividend policy is not modified or revoked, the amount of dividends we pay under our dividend policy and the decision to pay any dividend is determined by our Board of Directors.

·Marshall Islands law generally prohibits the payment of a dividend when a company is insolvent or would be rendered insolvent by the payment of such a dividend or when the declaration or payment would be contrary to any

restriction contained in the Company's articles of incorporation. Dividends may be declared and paid out of surplus only, but if there is no surplus, dividends may be declared or paid out of the net profits for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and for the preceding fiscal year.

We may lack sufficient cash to pay dividends due to decreases in net voyage revenues or increases in operating expenses, principal and interest payments on outstanding debt, tax expenses, working capital requirements, capital expenditures or other anticipated or unanticipated cash needs.

57

Our dividend policy may be affected by restrictions on distributions under any credit facilities we may enter into, which contain material financial tests and covenants that must be satisfied. If we are unable to satisfy these restrictions included in the credit facilities or if we are otherwise in default under the facilities, we would be prohibited from making cash distributions to you, notwithstanding our stated cash dividend policy.

While we intend that future acquisitions to expand our fleet will enhance our ability to pay dividends over time, acquisitions could limit our Cash Available for Distribution.

Our ability to make distributions to our shareholders will depend upon the performance of our current and future wholly-owned subsidiaries through which we own and operate vessels, which are our principal cash-generating assets, and their ability to distribute funds to us. The ability of our ship-owning or other subsidiaries to make distributions to us may be restricted by, among other things, the provisions of future indebtedness, applicable corporate or limited liability company laws and other laws and regulations.

We have a limited operating history upon which to rely as to whether we will have sufficient cash available to pay dividends on our common stock. In addition, the drybulk vessel spot charter market is highly volatile, and we cannot accurately predict the amount of cash distributions, if any, that we may make in any period. Factors beyond our control may affect the charter market for our vessels, our charterers' ability to satisfy their contractual obligations to us, and our voyage and operating expenses.

Cash Flow

Net cash provided by operating activities for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$2,603 and \$433, respectively. The \$2,170 change in cash provided by operating activities was primarily a result of a lower recorded net loss in the amount of \$11,392 for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to a net loss of \$17,270 for the year ended December 31, 2012. This was partially offset by an increase of receivables in the amount of \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 when compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 mainly due to the timing of payments from charterers and the higher rates achieved by our fleet towards the end of the quarter ended December 31, 2013.

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$147,212 and primarily related to the purchase of two Handysize and two Capesize vessels. For the year ended December 31, 2012, net cash used in investing activities was \$5 for the purchase of fixed assets.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$199,522 as compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$5,448 for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in net cash provided by financing activities was primarily a result of the \$136,274 of net proceeds from our follow-on equity offerings in May, September and November 2013, \$44,000 of proceeds from our \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, \$22,000 of proceeds from our \$22 Million Term Loan Facility as well as a \$1,000 draw down under our 2010 Credit Facility slightly offset by \$375 repayment of debt under our \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and \$1,489 for payments of deferred financing costs. Cash dividends paid during 2013 were \$1,888 compared to \$5,448 paid during 2012.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$433 and \$15,379, respectively. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily a result of a recorded net loss of \$17,270 for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to a net loss of \$430 for the year ended December 31, 2011. Lower net income was predominantly due to lower charter rates achieved in 2012 versus the prior year period for the vessels in our fleet.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$5 for the year ended December 31, 2012 due to the purchase of other fixed assets. For the year ended December 31, 2011, cash used in investing activities was \$2,570 and primarily related to the purchases of vessel related equipment.

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$5,448, which consisted of cash dividends paid during the year. For the year ended December 31, 2011, cash used in financing activities was \$10,306 and primarily consisted of \$10,167 in cash dividends paid.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth our contractual obligations and their maturity dates as of December 31, 2013. The table reflects the agreements to acquire four newbuilding Ultramax drybulk vessels from Yangfan Group Co., Ltd. for an aggregate purchase price of \$112,000. We plan to finance these acquisitions with a combination of cash on hand, future cash flow from operations, as well as commercial bank debt as discussed above under "Liquidity and Capital Resources." This table also incorporates sales and purchase fees payable to Genco pursuant to the Management Agreement which is equivalent to 1% of the gross purchase or sale price of any vessel acquisitions or disposals due upon the consummation of any purchase or sale of one of our vessels. The interest and borrowing fees in the table incorporate the unused fees and interest expense related to the amended 2010 Credit Facility, the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, as well as other fees associated with these facilities. Refer to Note 7 – Debt in our consolidated financial statements for further information regarding the amendment to the 2010 Credit Facility as well as the terms of the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility.

		Less			More
		Than	One to	Three to	than
		One	Three	Five	Five
	Total	Year	Years	Years	Years
Credit Agreements	\$167,875	\$4,250	\$110,750	\$8,500	\$44,375
Interest and borrowing fees	21,368	5,771	10,604	3,605	1,388
Remainder of purchase price of vessels (1)	111,000	69,000	42,000	_	_
Sales and purchase fees (1)	1,120	560	560	_	_
Total	\$301,363	\$79,581	\$163,914	\$12,105	\$45,763

⁽¹⁾ The timing of this obligation is based on the estimated delivery dates for the Baltic Hornet, Baltic Wasp, Baltic Scorpion and Baltic Mantis.

Future interest expense has been estimated using 0.1875% plus the applicable margin for the amended 2010 Credit Facility of 3.00%. For the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, interest expense has been estimated using 0.25% plus the applicable margin of 3.35%.

Capital Expenditures

We make capital expenditures from time to time in connection with our vessel acquisitions. Our fleet currently consists of four Capesize drybulk carriers, four Supramax drybulk carriers and five Handysize drybulk carriers. After the expected delivery of the four Ultramax vessels that we have agreed to acquire, we will own 17 drybulk vessels, consisting of four Capesize drybulk carriers, four Ultramax drybulk carriers, four Supramax drybulk carriers and five Handysize drybulk carriers. We intend to use a combination of cash on hand, future cash flow from operations as well as commercial bank debt to fully finance the acquisition of these four Ultramax newbuilding drybulk vessels.

In addition to acquisitions that we may undertake in future periods, we will incur additional capital expenditures due to special surveys and drydockings for our fleet. In our continuous effort to provide superior service to customers and enhance our long-term commercial prospects, we have initiated a fuel efficiency upgrade program for certain of our vessels. We believe this program will generate considerable fuel savings going forward and increase the future earnings potential for these vessels. The cost of the upgrades, which will be performed under the planned drydocking schedules for each of the vessels, is expected to be approximately \$250 per vessel and is included in our estimated drydocking costs below. The upgrades have been successfully installed on two of our vessels, the Baltic Cougar and Baltic Panther, which completed their planned drydockings during the first quarter of 2014. We estimate our drydocking costs, including capitalized costs incurred during drydocking related to vessels assets and vessel equipment, and scheduled off-hire days for our fleet through 2015 to be:

Estimated Drydocking Off-hire

Year Cost Days
(U.S. dollars in millions)

2014 \$ 5.6 120 2015 \$ 3.6 100

The costs reflected are estimates based on drydocking our vessels in China. Actual costs will vary based on various factors, including where the drydockings are actually performed. We expect to fund these costs with cash from operations.

We estimate that each drydock will result in 20 days of off-hire. Actual length will vary based on the condition of the vessel, yard schedules and other factors.

We incurred drydocking costs of \$108 and \$0 during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

None of our vessels were drydocked during 2013. We estimate that six of our vessels will be drydocked during 2014 and five of our vessels will be drydocked during 2015.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Except as disclosed in our consolidated financial statements, we do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

Inflation

Inflation has only a moderate effect on our expenses given current economic conditions. In the event that significant global inflationary pressures appear, these pressures would increase our operating, voyage, general and administrative, and financing costs.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of those financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions.

Critical accounting policies are those that reflect significant judgments of uncertainties and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We have described below what we believe are our most critical accounting policies, because they generally involve a comparatively higher degree of judgment in their application. For an additional description of our significant accounting policies, see Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in this 10-K.

Time Charters Acquired

When a vessel is acquired with an existing time charter, we allocate the purchase price of the vessel and the time charter based on, among other things, vessel market valuations and the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the acquired charters) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the charter terms and (ii) management's estimate of the fair market charter rate, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the charter. The capitalized above-market (assets) and below-market (liabilities) charters are amortized as a reduction or increase, respectively, to voyage revenues over the remaining term of the charter.

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we did not acquire vessels with existing time charters for which there was a significant difference between the present value of the difference between the contractual amounts to be paid and our estimate of the fair market charter rate.

Performance Claims

Revenue is based on contracted charterparties, including spot-market related time charters which rates fluctuate based on changes in the spot market. However, there is always the possibility of dispute over terms and payment of hires and freights. In particular, disagreements may arise as to the responsibility of lost time and revenue due to us as a

result. Additionally, there are certain performance parameters included in contracted charterparties which if not met, can result in customer claims. Accordingly, we periodically assess the recoverability of amounts outstanding and estimate a provision if there is a possibility of non-recoverability. At each balance sheet date, we provide a provision based on a review of all outstanding charter receivables and we also will accrue for any estimated customer claims primarily a result of time charter performance issues that have not yet been deducted by the charterer. We provide for reserves which offset the due from charterers balance if a disputed amount or performance claim has been deducted by the charterer. If a disputed amount or potential performance claim has not been deducted by the charterer, we record the estimated customer claims as deferred revenue. Providing for these reserves will be offset by a decrease in revenue. Although we believe its provisions to be reasonable at the time they are made, it is possible that an amount under dispute is not ultimately recovered and the estimated provision for doubtful accounts is inadequate.

Vessels and Depreciation

We record the value of our vessels at their cost (which includes acquisition costs directly attributable to the vessel and expenditures made to prepare the vessel for its initial voyage) less accumulated depreciation. We depreciate our drybulk vessels on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, estimated to be 25 years from the date of initial delivery from the shipyard. Depreciation is based on cost less the estimated residual scrap value of \$245/lwt. An increase in the useful life of a drybulk vessel or in its residual value would have the effect of decreasing the annual depreciation charge. Comparatively, a decrease in the useful life of a drybulk vessel or in its residual value would have the effect of increasing the annual depreciation charge. However, when regulations place limitations over the ability of a vessel to trade on a worldwide basis, we will adjust the vessel's useful life to end at the date such regulations preclude such vessel's further commercial use.

The carrying value each of our vessels does not represent the fair market value of such vessel or the amount we could obtain if we were to sell any of our vessels, which could be more or less. Under U.S. GAAP, we would not record a loss if the fair market value of a vessel (excluding its charter) is below our carrying value unless and until we determine to sell that vessel or the vessel is impaired as discussed below under "Impairment of long-lived assets." We have never sold any of our vessels.

Pursuant to our 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, we regularly submit to the lenders valuations of our vessels on an individual charter free basis in order to evidence our compliance with the collateral maintenance covenants under these facilities. Such a valuation is not necessarily the same as the amount any vessel may bring upon sale, which may be more or less, and should not be relied upon as such. We were in compliance with the collateral maintenance covenants under our 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and \$44 Million Term Loan Facility at December 31, 2013 and our 2010 Credit Facility at December 31, 2012. In the chart below, we list each of our vessels, the year it was built, the year we acquired it, and its carrying value at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the vessel valuations for all of our vessels for covenant compliance purposes as of the most recent compliance testing date, with the exception of the Baltic Fox and the Baltic Hare, were lower than their carrying values at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The most recent compliance testing dates were December 31, 2013 and 2012 for the 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility.

The amount by which the carrying value at December 31, 2013 of all the vessels in our fleet, with the exception of the Baltic Fox and the Baltic Hare, exceeded the valuation of such vessels for covenant compliance purposes ranged, on an individual basis, from \$0.3 million to \$20.9 million per vessel, and \$103.7 million on an aggregate fleet basis. The amount by which the carrying value at December 31, 2012 of all of the vessels in our fleet exceeded the valuation of such vessels for covenant compliance purposes ranged, on an individual vessel basis, from \$11.0 million to \$32.7 million per vessel, and \$150.8 million on an aggregate fleet basis. The average amount by which the carrying value of these vessels exceeded the valuation of such vessels for covenant compliance purposes was \$9.4 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$16.8 million as of December 31, 2012. However, neither such valuation nor the carrying value in the table below reflects the value of time charters related to some of our vessels.

Carrying Value (U.S. Dollars in Thousands) as of December December 31.

Vessels

Year Built Year Acquired 2013 2012

2010 Credit Facility

Edgar Filing: Baltic Trading Ltd - Form 10-K

Baltic Leopard	2009	2009	\$30,608	\$31,981
Baltic Panther	2009	2010	30,686	32,059
Baltic Cougar	2009	2010	30,837	32,211
Baltic Jaguar	2009	2010	30,756	32,121
Baltic Bear	2010	2010	64,378	67,103
Baltic Wolf	2010	2010	64,014	66,670
Baltic Wind	2009	2010	29,366	30,685
Baltic Cove	2010	2010	29,724	31,011
Baltic Breeze	2010	2010	30,291	31,577
TOTAL			\$340,660	\$355,418
\$22 Million Term Loan Facility	<u>/</u>			
Baltic Fox	2010	2013	21,224	_
Baltic Hare	2009	2013	20,152	
TOTAL			\$41,376	\$ —
\$44 Million Term Loan Facility	<u>/</u>			
Baltic Lion	2012	2013	53,114	
Baltic Tiger	2011	2013	50,919	
TOTAL			\$104,033	\$ —
Consolidated Total			\$486,069	\$355,418
			,	,
61				

Deferred drydocking costs

Our vessels are required to be drydocked approximately every 30 to 60 months for major repairs and maintenance that cannot be performed while the vessels are operating. We capitalize the costs associated with drydockings as they occur and amortize these costs on a straight-line basis over the period between drydockings. Deferred drydocking costs include actual costs incurred at the drydock yard; cost of travel, lodging and subsistence of our personnel sent to the drydocking site to supervise; and the cost of hiring a third party to oversee the drydocking. We believe that these criteria are consistent with U.S. GAAP guidelines and industry practice and that our policy of capitalization reflects the economics and market values of the vessels. Costs that are not related to drydocking are expensed as incurred. If the vessel is drydocked earlier than originally anticipated, any remaining deferred drydock costs that have not been amortized are expensed at the end of the next drydock.

Impairment of long-lived assets

We follow the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Subtopic 360-10, "Property, Plant and Equipment" ("ASC 360-10"), which requires impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts. If indicators of impairment are present, we perform an analysis of the anticipated undiscounted future net cash flows to be derived from the related long-lived assets.

The current economic and market conditions, including the significant disruptions in the global credit markets, are having broad effects on participants in a wide variety of industries. Since mid-August 2008, the charter rates in the dry bulk charter market have declined significantly, and drybulk vessel values have also declined both as a result of a slowdown in the availability of global credit and the significant deterioration in charter rates.

When indicators of impairment are present and our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows for any vessel is lower than the vessel's carrying value, the carrying value is written down, by recording a charge to operations, to the vessel's fair market value if the fair market value is lower than the vessel's carrying value.

For purposes of our December 31, 2013 disclosure below, we determined that the future income streams expected to be earned by such vessels over their remaining operating lives on an undiscounted basis would be sufficient to recover their carrying values and, accordingly, it confirmed that our vessels were not impaired under U.S. GAAP. Our estimated future undiscounted cash flows exceeded each of our vessels' carrying values by a considerable margin (121% - 561% of carrying value) for all of the vessels in our fleet with the exception of the Baltic Hare, as the fair value of the Baltic Hare exceeded its carrying value as of December 31, 2013. Our vessels remain fully utilized and have a relatively long average remaining useful life of approximately 21 years in which to recover sufficient cash flows on an undiscounted basis to recover their carrying values as of December 31, 2013. Management will continue to monitor developments in charter rates in the markets in which it participates with respect to the expectation of future rates over an extended period of time that are utilized in the analyses.

In developing estimates of future undiscounted cash flows, we make assumptions and estimates about the vessels' future performance, with the significant assumptions being related to charter rates, fleet utilization, vessels' operating expenses, vessels' capital expenditures and drydocking requirements, vessels' residual value and the estimated remaining useful life of each vessel. The assumptions used to develop estimates of future undiscounted cash flows are based on historical trends. Specifically, we utilize the rates currently in effect for the duration of their current spot market-related time charters. For periods of time where our vessels are not fixed on such charters, we utilize an estimated daily time charter equivalent for our vessels based on the most recent ten year historical one year time charter average. Actual equivalent drybulk shipping rates are currently lower than the estimated rate. We believe current rates have been driven by short-term disruptions or seasonal issues as discussed under "Management's Discussion and Analysis —Results of Operations—Voyage Revenues."

Of the inputs that the Company uses for its impairment analysis, future time charter rates are the most significant and most volatile. Based on the sensitivity analysis performed by the Company, the Company would record impairment on its vessels for time charter declines from their most recent ten-year historical one-year time charter averages as follows:

Percentage Decline from Ten-Year Historical One-Year Time Charter Average at Which Point Impairment Would be Recorded As of As of DecemberDecember 31, 31, Vessel Class 2013 2012 Capesize (65.6)% (65.8)% Supramax (48.1)% (47.9))% Handysize (30.6)% (30.6)%

62

Our time charter equivalent (TCE) rates for our fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were above or (below) the ten year historical one-year time charter average as of such dates as follows:

TCE Rates as Compared with Ten-Year Historical One-Year Time Charter Average (as percentage above/(below)) As of As of DecemberDecember 31. 31. Vessel Class 2013 2012 Capesize (66.9)% (84.8)% Supramax (63.5)% (65.5)% Handysize (43.6)% (45.7))%

The projected net operating cash flows are determined by considering the future charter revenues from existing time charters for the fixed fleet days and an estimated daily time charter equivalent for the unfixed days over the estimated remaining life of the vessel, assumed to be 25 years from the delivery of the vessel from the shipyard, reduced by brokerage commissions, expected outflows for vessels' maintenance and vessel operating expenses (including planned drydocking and special survey expenditures) and capital expenditures adjusted annually for inflation, assuming fleet utilization of 98%. The salvage value used in the impairment test is estimated to be \$245 per light weight ton, consistent with our vessels' depreciation policy discussed above.

Although we believe that the assumptions used to evaluate potential impairment are reasonable and appropriate, such assumptions are highly subjective. There can be no assurance as to how long charter rates and vessel values will remain at their currently low levels or whether they will improve by any significant degree. Charter rates may remain at depressed levels for some time, which could adversely affect our revenue and profitability, and future assessments of vessel impairment.

Fair value of financial instruments

The estimated fair values of our financial instruments such as amounts due to / due from charterers, accounts payable and long-term debt approximate their individual carrying amounts as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 due to their short-term maturity or the variable-rate nature of the respective borrowings under our credit facilities. See Note 8 - Fair Value of Financial Instruments in our consolidated financial statements for additional disclosure on the fair values of long term debt.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest rate risk

The international shipping industry is a capital intensive industry, requiring significant amounts of investment. Effective April 16, 2010, we entered into the 2010 Credit Facility, which has provided us with financing for completed vessel acquisitions as well as working capital borrowings. Additionally, effective August 30, 2013 and December 3, 2013, we entered the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, respectively, which provided us with financing the purchase of four vessels during the year ended December 31, 2013. Our interest expense under any such credit facility will be affected by changes in LIBOR rates as outstanding

debt on the amended 2010 Credit Facility is based on LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 3.00% per annum and is based on three-month LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 3.35% per annum on the outstanding debt under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility. Increasing interest rates could adversely impact our future earnings. A 1% increase in LIBOR would result in an increase of \$1.1 million in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Currency and exchange rates risk

The international shipping industry's functional currency is the U.S. Dollar. We expect that virtually all of our revenues and most of our operating costs will be in U.S. Dollars. We expect to incur certain operating expenses in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, and we expect the foreign exchange risk associated with these operating expenses to be immaterial.

63

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Baltic	Trading	Limited

Consolidated Financial Statements as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	Page
a) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
b) Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	F-3
c) Consolidated Statements of Operations - For the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	F-4
d) Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity - For the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	F-5
e) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - For the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	F-6
f) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 F-1	F-7

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Baltic Trading Limited New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Baltic Trading Limited and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Baltic Trading Limited and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 3, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New York, New York March 3, 2014 F-2

Baltic Trading Limited

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (U.S. Dollars in Thousands, Except for Share and Per Share Data)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

	December 2013	31, 2012
<u>Assets</u>	2013	2012
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$58,193	\$3,280
Due from charterers, net	4,412	945
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,085	2,892
Total current assets	66,690	7,117
Noncurrent assets:	10.5.0.50	
Vessels, net of accumulated depreciation of \$52,459 and \$36,906, respectively	486,069	355,418
Deposits on vessels	1,013	_
Deferred drydock, net of accumulated amortization of \$0 and \$0, respectively Fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation of \$47 and \$36, respectively	108 678	12
Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,785 and \$1,204, respectively	2,809	1,823
Total noncurrent assets	490,677	357,253
Total Holleditent assets	470,077	331,233
Total assets	\$557,367	\$364,370
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$3,782	\$2,163
Deferred revenue	409	261
Due to Parent	198	34
Current portion of long-term debt Total current liabilities	4,250 8,639	— 2.450
Total current habilities	0,039	2,458
Noncurrent liabilities:		
Long-term debt	163,625	101,250
Total noncurrent liabilities:	163,625	101,250
Total liabilities	172,264	103,708
Commitments and contingencies		
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, par value \$0.01; 500,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding		
51,168,896 and 17,300,999 shares at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	512	173
Class B stock, par value \$0.01; 100,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding		
6,356,471 and 5,699,088 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	64	57
Additional paid-in capital	412,736	277,249
Accumulated deficit	(28,209)	
Total shareholders' equity	385,103	260,662
	Φ. E. E. B. C. C.	ΦΩ (10= 0
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$557,367	\$364,370

Baltic Trading Limited

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (U.S. Dollars in Thousands, Except for Per Share Data)

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Revenues	\$35,973	\$27,304	\$43,492
Operating expenses:			
Voyage expenses	1,151	1,142	61
Voyage expenses to Parent	461	346	560
Vessel operating expenses	17,590	16,730	16,004
General, administrative and technical management fees	5,445	•	
Management fees to Parent	2,671	•	
Depreciation	15,564	14,814	14,769
Total operating expenses	42,882	40,271	39,443
Operating (loss) income	(6,909	(12,967)	4,049
Other (expense) income:			
Other expense	(17)	(28	(32)
Interest income	23	5	9
Interest expense	(4,455)	(4,252)	(4,422)
Other expense, net	(4,449	(4,275	(4,445)
Loss before income taxes	(11,358)	(17,242)	(396)
Income tax expense	(34)		
Net loss	\$(11,392)	\$(17,270)	\$(430)
Net loss per share of common and Class B stock:			
Net loss per share-basic	,		\$(0.02)
Net loss per share-diluted			\$(0.02)
Dividends declared and paid per share of common and Class B Stock	\$0.05	\$0.24	\$0.45
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. F-4			

Baltic Trading Limited Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity For the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (U.S. Dollars in Thousands, Except for Share and Per Share Data)

	Common Stock Par Value	Class B Stock Par Value	Additional Paid-in Capital	(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	l Total
Balance — January 1, 2011	\$ 169	\$ 57	\$288,096	\$ 1,114	\$289,436
Net loss				(430) (430)
Cash dividends paid (\$0.45 per share)			(9,936)	(231) (10,167)
Issuance of 117,500 shares of nonvested common stock	1		(1))	_
Nonvested stock amortization			2,764		2,764
Balance — December 31, 2011	\$ 170	\$ 57	\$280,923	\$ 453	\$281,603
Net loss				(17,270) (17,270)
Cash dividends paid (\$0.24 per share)			(5,448)	•	(5,448)
Issuance of 299,999 shares of nonvested common stock	3		(3)	•	
Nonvested stock amortization			1,777		1,777
Balance — December 31, 2012	\$ 173	\$ 57	\$277,249	\$ (16,817) \$260,662
Net loss				(11,392) (11,392)
Cash dividends paid (\$0.05 per share)			(1,888)	•	(1,888)
Issuance of 32,869,217 shares of common stock	329		135,834		136,163
Issuance of 657,383 shares of Class B stock		7	(7))	_
Issuance of 998,680 shares of nonvested common stock	10		(10)	•	_
Nonvested stock amortization			1,558		1,558
Balance — December 31, 2013	\$ 512	\$ 64	\$412,736	\$ (28,209) \$385,103
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statem F-5	ents.				

Baltic Trading Limited

F-6

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (U.S. Dollars in Thousands)

	For the Years Ended December		
	31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$(11,392)	\$(17,270)	\$(430)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation	15,564	14,814	14,769
Amortization of deferred financing costs	581	467	467
Amortization of nonvested stock compensation expense	1,558	1,777	2,764
Change in assets and liabilities:			
(Increase) decrease in due from charterers	(3,467)	708	(987)
Increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets	(1,193)	(425)) (74)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	812	197	(214)
increase (decrease) in due to Parent	100	(25)	(601)
Increase (decrease) in deferred revenue	148	190	(315)
Deferred drydock costs incurred	(108)	<u> </u>	
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,603	433	15,379
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of vessels, including deposits	(146,598)	<u> </u>	(2,570)
Purchase of fixed assets	(614)	(5)) —
Net cash used in investing activities	(147,212)	(5)	(2,570)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from the 2010 Credit Facility	1,000		
Proceeds from the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility	22,000		
Repayments on the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility	(375)	· —	
Proceeds from the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility	44,000		
Cash dividends paid	(1,888)	(5,448)	(10,167)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	136,980		
Payments of common stock issuance costs	(706)		
Payment of deferred financing costs	(1,489)	· —	(139)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	199,522	(5,448)	(10,306)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	54,913	(5,020)	2,503
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	3,280	8,300	5,797
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$58,193	\$3,280	\$8,300
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.			

Baltic Trading Limited

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (U.S. Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share and Share Data)

1 — GENERAL INFORMATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Baltic Trading Limited ("Baltic Trading") and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company"). The Company was formed to own and employ drybulk vessels in the spot market. The spot market represents immediate chartering of a vessel, usually for single voyages, or employing vessels on spot market-related time charters. Baltic Trading was formed on October 6, 2009 (the "inception date"), under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

At December 31, 2013, the Company was the sole owner of all of the outstanding shares of the following ship-owning subsidiaries as set forth below:

Vessels	Dwt	Delivery Date	Year Built
Baltic Leopard	53,447	April 8, 2010	2009
Baltic Panther	53,351	April 29, 2010	2009
Baltic Cougar	53,432	May 28, 2010	2009
Baltic Jaguar	53,474	May 14, 2010	2009
Baltic Bear	177,717	May 14, 2010	2010
Baltic Wolf	177,752	October 14, 2010	2010
Baltic Wind	34,409	August 4, 2010	2009
Baltic Cove	34,403	August 23, 2010	2010
Baltic Breeze	34,386	October 12, 2010	2010
Baltic Fox	31,883	September 6, 2013	2010
Baltic Hare	31,887	September 5, 2013	2009
Baltic Lion	179,185	December 27, 2013	2012
Baltic Tiger	179,185	November 26, 2013	2011
Baltic Hornet	64,000	Q3 2014 (1)	2014 (1)
Baltic Wasp	64,000	Q4 2014 (1)	2014 (1)
Baltic Scorpion	64,000	Q2 2015 (1)	2015 (1)
Baltic Mantis	64,000	Q3 2015 (1)	2015 (1)
	Baltic Leopard Baltic Panther Baltic Cougar Baltic Jaguar Baltic Bear Baltic Wolf Baltic Wind Baltic Cove Baltic Breeze Baltic Fox Baltic Hare Baltic Lion Baltic Tiger Baltic Hornet Baltic Wasp Baltic Scorpion	Baltic Leopard 53,447 Baltic Panther 53,351 Baltic Cougar 53,432 Baltic Jaguar 53,474 Baltic Bear 177,717 Baltic Wolf 177,752 Baltic Wind 34,409 Baltic Cove 34,403 Baltic Breeze 34,386 Baltic Fox 31,883 Baltic Hare 31,887 Baltic Lion 179,185 Baltic Tiger 179,185 Baltic Hornet 64,000 Baltic Scorpion 64,000	Baltic Leopard 53,447 April 8, 2010 Baltic Panther 53,351 April 29, 2010 Baltic Cougar 53,432 May 28, 2010 Baltic Jaguar 53,474 May 14, 2010 Baltic Bear 177,717 May 14, 2010 Baltic Wolf 177,752 October 14, 2010 Baltic Wind 34,409 August 4, 2010 Baltic Cove 34,403 August 23, 2010 Baltic Breeze 34,386 October 12, 2010 Baltic Fox 31,883 September 6, 2013 Baltic Hare 31,887 September 5, 2013 Baltic Lion 179,185 December 27, 2013 Baltic Tiger 179,185 November 26, 2013 Baltic Hornet 64,000 Q3 2014 (1) Baltic Wasp 64,000 Q4 2015 (1)

⁽¹⁾ Built dates and dates for vessels being delivered in the future are estimates based on guidance received from the sellers and the respective shipyards.

On March 15, 2010, the Company completed its initial public offering ("IPO") of 16,300,000 common shares at \$14.00 per share, which resulted in gross proceeds of \$228,200. After underwriting commissions and other registration expenses, the Company received net proceeds of \$210,430 to be used by the Company for completion of the acquisition of its initial fleet of vessels, as well as for working capital purposes.

On May 28, 2013, the Company closed an equity offering of 6,419,217 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$3.60 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$21,564 after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. On September 25, 2013, the Company closed an equity offering of 13,800,000 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$4.60 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$59,474 after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. On November 18, 2013, the Company closed an equity offering of 12,650,000 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$4.60 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$55,125 after deducting underwriters' fees and expenses. Pursuant to the subscription agreement between the Company and Genco Shipping & Trading Limited

("Genco" or "Parent"), for so long as Genco directly or indirectly holds at least 10% of the aggregate number of outstanding shares of the Company's common stock and Class B stock, Genco will be entitled to receive at no cost an additional number of shares of Class B stock equal to 2% of the number of common shares issued, other than shares issued under the Company's 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. As a result of the equity offerings on May 28, 2013, September 25, 2013 and November 18, 2013, Genco was issued 128,383, 276,000 and 253,000 shares of Class B stock, respectively, which represents 2% of the number of common shares issued. F-7

Prior to the IPO, the Company was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Genco Investments LLC, which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Genco. After the completion of the IPO and issuance of restricted shares, Genco owned, directly or indirectly, 5,699,088 shares of the Company's Class B stock, representing a 25.35% ownership interest in the Company and 83.59% of the aggregate voting power of the Company's outstanding shares of voting stock. Genco made a capital contribution of \$75,000 and surrendered 100 shares of capital stock in connection with Genco's subscription for 5,699,088 of the Company's Class B stock pursuant to the subscription agreement entered into between Genco and the Company.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, Genco's ownership of 6,356,471 and 5,699,088 shares, respectively, of the Company's Class B stock represented an 11.05% and 24.78% ownership interest in the Company, respectively, and 65.08% and 83.17% of the aggregate voting power of the Company's outstanding shares of voting stock, respectively. Pursuant to an amendment to Genco's \$1.4 billion credit facility entered into on August 1, 2012, all of the Company's Class B stock is pledged as security for Genco's obligations under such facility.

Genco has stated that it is probable that it would be unable to make required payments under its credit facilities commencing March 31, 2014 unless it obtains modifications to or waivers of the terms of its credit facilities and that it would be probable that Genco would be unable to maintain compliance with certain covenants under its credit facilities at measurement dates during the twelve months ended March 31, 2013. Genco has also stated that it has not made a scheduled interest payment on its 5.00% convertible notes due August 15, 2015, which is subject to a 30-day grace period, and that it is in discussions with representatives of its secured lenders and certain holders of such convertible notes concerning a potential restructuring of its indebtedness, which could entail commencing a voluntary proceeding to reorganize under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Refer to Note 7 – Debt for a discussion of the effect of a potential change of control on the covenants under the Company's credit facilities. Additionally, the Company has entered into an employment agreement with John C. Wobensmith, who serves as the Company's President, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer, Secretary and Treasurer, dated December 19, 2013 which is intended to provide for the continued services of Mr. Wobensmith for the Company's benefit if Mr. Wobensmith's employment at Genco terminates following a change of control as defined in Mr. Wobensmith's existing employment agreement with Genco dated September 21, 2007. The employment agreement provides for a base salary per annum of \$500 during the employment term as well as discretionary bonuses as determine by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors in its sole discretion.

2 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"), which includes the accounts of Baltic Trading and its wholly-owned ship-owning subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Business geographics

The Company's vessels regularly move between countries in international waters, over hundreds of trade routes and, as a result, the disclosure of geographic information is impracticable.

Vessel acquisitions

When the Company enters into an acquisition transaction, it determines whether the acquisition transaction was the purchase of an asset or a business based on the facts and circumstances of the transaction. As is customary in the shipping industry, the purchase of a vessel is normally treated as a purchase of an asset as the historical operating data for the vessel is not reviewed nor is material to the Company's decision to make such acquisition.

If a vessel is acquired with an existing time charter, the Company allocates the purchase price to the vessel and the time charter based on, among other things, vessel market valuations and the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the acquired charters) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the charter terms and (ii) management's estimate of the fair market charter rate, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the charter. The capitalized above-market (assets) and below-market (liabilities) charters are amortized as a reduction or increase, respectively, to revenues over the remaining term of the charter.

Segment reporting

Each of the Company's vessels serve the same type of customer, have similar operations and maintenance requirements, operate in the same regulatory environment, and are subject to similar economic characteristics. Based on this, the Company has determined that it operates in one reportable segment, the transportation of various drybulk cargoes with its fleet of vessels.

Revenue and voyage expense recognition

Since the Company's inception, revenues have been generated primarily from spot market-related time charters, short-term time charters and pool agreements. A spot market-related time charter involves placing a vessel at the charterer's disposal for a set period of time during which the charterer may use the vessel in return for a payment based on a specified percentage of the average daily rates as published by the Baltic Dry Index ("BDI"). Short-term time charters are the same as spot market-related time charter

F-8

agreements, except there is a specified fixed daily hire rate and there may be a ballast bonus received pursuant to the time charter agreements. Voyage revenues also include the sale of bunkers consumed during short-term time charters pursuant to the terms of the time charter agreement.

In spot market-related time charters, short-term time charters and pool agreements, operating costs including crews, maintenance and insurance are typically paid by the owner of the vessel and specified voyage costs such as fuel and port charges are paid by the charterer. There are certain other non-specified voyage expenses such as commissions which are typically borne by the Company. At the inception of a time charter, the Company records the difference between the cost of bunker fuel delivered by the terminating charterer and the bunker fuel sold to the new charterer as a gain or loss within voyage expenses. These differences in bunkers resulted in a net loss (gain) of \$108, (\$72) and (\$521) during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Additionally, voyage expenses include the cost of bunkers consumed during short-term time charterers pursuant to the terms of the time charter agreements.

The Company records spot market-related time charter revenues over the term of the charter as service is provided based on the rate determined based on the BDI for each respective billing period. As such, the revenue earned by the Company's vessels is subject to the fluctuations of the spot market. The Company records short-term time charter revenues over the term of the charter as service is provided. Revenues are recognized on a straight-line basis as the average revenue over the term of the respective time charter agreement. The Company recognizes voyage expenses when incurred.

At December 31, 2013, four of the Company's vessels were in vessel pools. The Baltic Cougar and the Baltic Panther entered the Bulkhandling Handymax A/S Pool, a vessel pool trading in the spot market for which Torvald Klaveness acts as pool manager, during August 2013. The Baltic Fox and the Baltic Hare entered the Clipper Logger Pool, a vessel pool trading in the spot market for which Clipper Group acts as the pool manager, during September 2013. Vessel pools such as these provide cost-effective commercial management activities for a group of similar class vessels. Pool arrangements provide the benefits of a large-scale operation, and chartering efficiencies that might not be available to smaller fleets. Under pool arrangements, the vessels operate under a time charter agreement whereby the cost of bunkers and port expenses are borne by the pool and operating costs including crews, maintenance and insurance are typically paid by the owner of the vessel. Since members of a pool share in the revenue less voyage expenses generated by the entire group of vessels in the pool, and the pool operates in the spot market, the revenue earned by these vessels is subject to the fluctuations of the spot market. The Company recognized revenue from these pool arrangements based on its portion of the net distributions reported by the relevant pool, which represents the net voyage revenue of the pool after voyage expenses and pool manager fees.

Due from charterers, net

Due from charterers, net includes accounts receivable from charters, net of the provision for doubtful accounts. At each balance sheet date, the Company records the provision based on a review of all outstanding charter receivables. Included in the standard time charter contracts with the Company's customers are certain performance parameters which, if not met, can result in customer claims. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had a reserve of \$104 and \$154, respectively, against the due from charterers balance and an additional accrual of \$231 and \$7, respectively, in deferred revenue, each of which is primarily associated with estimated customer claims against the Company including vessel performance issues under time charter agreements.

Revenue is based on contracted charterparties. However, there is always the possibility of dispute over terms and payment of hires and freights. In particular, disagreements may arise concerning the responsibility of lost time and revenue. Accordingly, the Company periodically assesses the recoverability of amounts outstanding and estimates a provision if there is a possibility of non-recoverability. The Company believes its provisions to be reasonable based on information available.

Due to Parent

Due to Parent consists of amounts due to Genco, which consists primarily of fees payable to the Parent pursuant to the Management Agreement between the Company and Genco for commercial, technical, administrative and strategic services necessary to support the Company's business.

Vessel operating expenses

Vessel operating expenses include crew wages and related costs, the cost of insurance, expenses relating to repairs and maintenance, the cost of spares and consumable stores, and other miscellaneous expenses. Vessel operating expenses are recognized when incurred.

F-9

Vessels, net

Vessels, net is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Included in vessel costs are acquisition costs directly attributable to the acquisition of a vessel and expenditures made to prepare the vessel for its initial voyage. The Company also capitalizes interest costs for a vessel under construction as a cost which is directly attributable to the acquisition of a vessel. Vessels are depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, determined to be 25 years from the date of initial delivery from the shipyard. Depreciation expense for vessels for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$15,553, \$14,798 and \$14,754, respectively.

Depreciation expense is calculated based on cost less the estimated residual scrap value. The costs of significant replacements, renewals and betterments are capitalized and depreciated over the shorter of the vessel's remaining estimated useful life or the estimated life of the renewal or betterment. Undepreciated cost of any asset component being replaced that was acquired after the initial vessel purchase is written off as a component of vessel operating expense. Expenditures for routine maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Scrap value is estimated by the Company by taking the estimated scrap value of \$245/lwt multiplied by the weight of the ship in lightweight tons (lwt).

Fixed assets, net

Fixed assets, net are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation expense is based on a straight line basis over the estimated useful life of the specific asset placed in service. The following table is used in determining the typical estimated useful lives:

Description Useful lives

Computer equipment 3 years Vessel equipment 2-15 years

Deferred drydocking costs

The Company's vessels are required to be drydocked approximately every 30 to 60 months for major repairs and maintenance that cannot be performed while the vessels are operating. The Company defers the costs associated with the drydockings as they occur and amortizes these costs on a straight-line basis over the period between drydockings. Costs deferred as part of a vessel's drydocking include actual costs incurred at the drydocking yard; cost of travel, lodging and subsistence of personnel sent to the drydocking site to supervise; and the cost of hiring a third party to oversee the drydocking. If the vessel is drydocked earlier than originally anticipated, any remaining deferred drydock costs that have not been amortized are expensed at the end of the next drydock. Amortization expense for drydocking for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$0. All other costs incurred during drydocking are expensed as incurred.

Impairment of long-lived assets

The Company follows Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Subtopic 360-10, "Property, Plant and Equipment" ("ASC 360-10"), which requires impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts. If indicators of impairment are present, the Company performs an analysis of the anticipated undiscounted future net cash flows of the related long-lived assets. If the carrying value of the related asset exceeds the undiscounted cash flows, the carrying value is reduced to its fair value. Various factors including anticipated future charter rates, estimated scrap values, future drydocking costs and estimated vessel operating costs are included in this analysis.

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, no impairment charges were recorded on the Company's long-lived assets.

Deferred financing costs

Deferred financing costs consist of fees, commissions and legal expenses associated with obtaining loan facilities and amending existing loan facilities. These costs are amortized over the life of the related loan facility and are included in interest expense.

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers highly liquid investments such as money market funds and certificates of deposit with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. F-10

Income taxes

The Company is incorporated in the Marshall Islands. Pursuant to the income tax laws of the Marshall Islands, the Company is not subject to Marshall Islands income tax. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company had United States operations which resulted in United States source income of \$1,664, \$1,379 and \$3,062, respectively. The Company's estimated United States income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$34, \$28 and \$34, respectively.

Deferred revenue

Deferred revenue primarily relates to cash received from charterers prior to it being earned. These amounts are recognized as income when earned. Additionally, deferred revenue includes estimated customer claims mainly due to time charter performance issues. Refer to "Revenue and voyage expense recognition" above for description of the Company's revenue recognition policy.

Nonvested stock awards

The Company follows ASC Subtopic 718-10, "Compensation — Stock Compensation" ("ASC 718-10"), for nonvested stock issued under its equity incentive plans. Stock-based compensation costs from nonvested stock have been classified as a component of additional paid-in capital.

Accounting estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates include vessel valuations, the valuation of amounts due from charterers, performance claims, residual value of vessels and the useful life of vessels. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentration of credit risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are amounts due from charterers, cash and cash equivalents and deposits on vessels. With respect to amounts due from charterers, the Company attempts to limit its credit risk by performing ongoing credit evaluations and, when deemed necessary, requires letters of credit, guarantees or collateral. The Company earned 100% of its revenues from 11 customers in 2013, 11 customers in 2012 and eight customers during 2011. Management does not believe significant risk exists in connection with the Company's concentrations of credit at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, there were three customers that individually accounted for more than 10% of revenues, Cargill International S.A., Resource Marine PTE Ltd. (part of the Macquarie group of companies) and Swissmarine Services S.A., which represented 40.17%, 19.15% and 17.80% of revenues, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2012, there were four customers that individually accounted for more than 10% of revenues, Cargill International S.A., Klaveness Chartering, Resource Marine PTE Ltd. and Swissmarine Services S.A., which represented 44.41%, 11.79%, 13.90% and 10.04% of revenues, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2011, there were four customers that individually accounted for more than 10% of revenues, Cargill International S.A., Resource Marine PTE Ltd., AMN Bulkcarriers Inc. and Swissmarine Services S.A., which represented 44.99%, 13.70%, 11.19% and 10.61% of revenues, respectively.

At December 31, 2013, deposits on vessels consist primarily of progress payments due to the shipyard as per the newbuilding contracts with Yangfan Group Co., Ltd. These payments are not held in an escrow account, however, the Company has a refund guarantee with the Bank of China in the case that Yangfan Group Co., Ltd. does not perform as

required by the newbuilding contracts. Refer to Note 4 – Vessel Acquisitions for further information.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company maintains all of its cash and cash equivalents with one financial institution. The Company's cash and cash equivalent balance is not covered by insurance in the event of default by this financial institution.

Fair value of financial instruments

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments, such as amounts due to / due from charterers, accounts payable and long-term debt, approximate their individual carrying amounts as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 due to their short-term maturity or the variable-rate nature of the respective borrowings under the credit facilities. See Note 8 - Fair Value of Financial Instruments for additional disclosure on the fair value of debt. F-11

3 — CASH FLOW INFORMATION

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company had non-cash investing activities not included in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for items included in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$606 for the purchase of vessels and \$12 for deposits on vessels. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company had non-cash financing activities not included in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for items included in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$78 for the payment of deferred financing costs and \$111 for the payment of common stock issuance costs. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company also had non-cash investing activities not included in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for items included in due to Parent of \$64 for the purchase of fixed assets.

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company did not have any non-cash investing or financing activities.

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized, was \$3,773, \$3,798 and \$4,228, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, cash paid for estimated income taxes was \$32, \$22 and \$61, respectively.

On May 16, 2013, the Company made grants of nonvested common stock in the amount of 59,680 shares in the aggregate to directors of the Company. The grant date fair value of such nonvested stock was \$225. Additionally, on December 19, 2013, 539,000 and 400,000 shares of nonvested common stock were granted to Peter Georgiopoulos, Chairman of the Board, and John Wobensmith, President and Chief Financial Officer, respectively. The grant date fair value of such nonvested stock was \$5,371.

On May 17, 2012 and December 13, 2012, the Company made grants of nonvested common stock in the amount of 12,500 and 37,500 shares, respectively, to directors of the Company. The grant date fair value of such nonvested stock was \$48 and \$113, respectively. These shares vested on May 16, 2013. Additionally, on December 13, 2012, 166,666 and 83,333 shares of nonvested common stock were granted to Peter Georgiopoulos and John Wobensmith, respectively. The grant date fair value of such nonvested stock was \$750.

On May 12, 2011, the Company made grants of nonvested common stock in the amount of 12,500 shares to directors of the Company. The grant date fair value of such nonvested stock was \$87. These shares vested on May 17, 2012. Additionally, on December 21, 2011, 80,000 and 25,000 shares of nonvested common stock were granted to Peter Georgiopoulos and John Wobensmith, respectively. The grant date fair value of such nonvested stock was \$515.

All of the aforementioned grants of restricted stock were made under the Baltic Trading Limited 2010 Equity Incentive Plan.

4 — VESSEL ACQUISITIONS

On July 2, 2013, the Company entered into agreements to purchase two Handysize drybulk vessels from subsidiaries of Clipper Group for an aggregate purchase price of \$41,000. The Baltic Hare, a 2009-built Handysize vessel, was delivered on September 5, 2013 and the Baltic Fox, a 2010-built Handysize vessel, was delivered on September 6, 2013. The Company financed the vessel acquisitions with proceeds from its May 28, 2013 common stock offering and borrowings under its \$22 Million Term Loan Facility entered into on August 30, 2013. Refer to Note 7 – Debt below for further information regarding the 2013 Credit Facility.

On October 31, 2013, the Company entered into agreements to purchase two Capesize drybulk vessels from affiliates of SK Shipping Co. Ltd. for an aggregate purchase price of \$103,000. The Baltic Lion, a 2012-built Capesize vessel,

was delivered on December 27, 2013, and the Baltic Tiger, a 2011-built Capesize vessel, was delivered on November 26, 2013. The Company financed the vessel acquisitions with cash on hand and borrowings under its \$44 Million Term Loan Facility.

On November 13, 2013, the Company entered into agreements to purchase up to four 64,000 dwt Ultramax newbuilding drybulk vessels from Yangfan Group Co., Ltd. for a purchase price of \$28,000 per vessel, or up to \$112,000 in the aggregate. The Company agreed to purchase two such vessels, to be renamed the Baltic Hornet and Baltic Wasp, and obtained an option to purchase up to two additional such vessels for the same purchase price, which the Company exercised on January 8, 2014. These vessels are to be renamed the Baltic Mantis and the Baltic Scorpion. The purchases are subject to completion of customary additional documentation and closing conditions. The Baltic Hornet and Baltic Wasp are expected to be delivered to the Company during the third and fourth quarters of 2014, respectively. The Baltic Scorpion and the Baltic Mantis are expected to be delivered to the Company during the second and third quarters of 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, there is \$1,013 of deposits on vessels related to the Baltic Hornet and the Baltic Wasp. The Company intends to use a combination of cash on hand, future cash flow from operations as well as commercial bank debt to fully finance the acquisition of these four Ultramax newbuilding drybulk vessels.

F-12

Refer to Note 1 — General Information for a listing of the vessel delivery dates for the vessels in the Company's fleet and the estimated delivery dates for vessels that the Company has entered into agreements to purchase.

5 — NET LOSS PER COMMON AND CLASS B SHARE

The computation of net loss per share of common stock and Class B shares is in accordance with ASC 260 — "Earnings Per Share," using the two-class method. Under these provisions, basic net loss per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares and Class B shares outstanding during the year, except that it does not include nonvested stock awards subject to repurchase or cancellation. Diluted net loss per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares and, if dilutive, potential common shares outstanding during the period. Potential common shares consist of nonvested stock awards (see Note 14 — Nonvested Stock Awards) for the common shares, for which the assumed proceeds upon vesting are deemed to be the amount of compensation cost attributable to future services and not yet recognized using the treasury stock method, to the extent dilutive. Of the 1,381,429 nonvested shares outstanding at December 31, 2013 (see Note 14 — Nonvested Stock Awards), all are anti-dilutive. The computation of the diluted net loss per share of common stock assumes the conversion of Class B shares, while the diluted net loss per share of Class B stock does not assume the conversion of those shares.

Under the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, the rights, including dividend rights, of the holders of the Company's common and Class B shares are identical, except with respect to voting. Further, the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation and Marshall Islands law embody safeguards against modifying the identical rights of the Company's common stock and Class B stock to dividends. Specifically, Marshall Islands law provides that amendments to the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation which would have the effect of adversely altering the powers, preferences, or special rights of a given class of stock (in this case the right of the Company's common stock to receive an equal dividend to any declared on the Company's Class B stock) must be approved by the class of stock adversely affected by the proposed amendment. As a result, and in accordance with ASC 260 — "Earnings Per Share," the undistributed earnings are allocated based on the contractual participation rights of the common and Class B shares as if the earnings for the year had been distributed. As the liquidation and dividend rights are identical, the undistributed earnings are allocated on a proportionate basis. Further, as the conversion of Class B shares is assumed in the computation of the diluted net income per share of common stock, the undistributed earnings are equal to net income for that computation.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock and Class B stock:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2013	
	Common	Class B
Basic net loss per share:		
Numerator:		
Allocation of loss	\$(9,280) \$(2,112)
Denominator:	25 040 244	5 000 260
Weighted-average shares outstanding, basic	25,840,345	5 5,880,369
Basic net loss per share	\$(0.36) \$(0.36)
Diluted net loss per share:		
Numerator:		
Allocation of loss	\$(9,280) \$(2,112)
Reallocation of undistributed loss as a result of conversion of Class B to common shares	(2,411) —

Reallocation of dividends paid as a result of conversion of Class B to common shares Allocation of loss	299 \$(11,392) \$(2,112)
Denominator: Weighted-average shares outstanding used in basic computation Add:	25,840,345	5,880,369
Conversion of Class B to common shares	5,880,369	_
Weighted-average shares outstanding, diluted	31,720,714	5,880,369
Diluted net loss per share	\$(0.36) \$(0.36)
F-13		

	Year Ended December 31, 2012
	Common Class B
Basic net loss per share:	
Numerator:	
Allocation of loss	\$(12,848) \$(4,422)
Denominator:	
Weighted-average shares outstanding, basic	16,562,758 5,699,088
Basic net loss per share	\$(0.78) \$(0.78)
Diluted net loss per share:	
Numerator:	
Allocation of loss	\$(12,848) \$(4,422)
Reallocation of undistributed loss as a result of conversion of Class B to common shares	(5,790) —
Reallocation of dividends paid as a result of conversion of Class B to common shares	1,368 —
Allocation of loss	\$(17,270) \$(4,422)
Denominator:	
Weighted-average shares outstanding used in basic computation	16,562,758 5,699,088
Add:	
Conversion of Class B to common shares	5,699,088 —
Weighted-average shares outstanding, diluted	22,261,846 5,699,088
Diluted net loss per share	\$(0.78) \$(0.78)
F-14	

	Year Ended December 31 2011		31,
	Common	Class B	
Basic net loss per share: Numerator:			
Allocation of loss	\$(320) \$(110)
Denominator:	16 406 50	0 5 600 0	00
Weighted-average shares outstanding, basic	16,406,58	0 5,699,0	88
Basic net loss per share	\$(0.02) \$(0.02)
Diluted net loss per share:			
Numerator:			
Allocation of loss	\$(320) \$(110)
Reallocation of undistributed loss as a result of conversion of Class B to common shares	(2,675) —	
Reallocation of dividends paid as a result of conversion of Class B to common shares	2,565		
Allocation of loss	\$(430) \$(110)
Denominator:			
Weighted-average shares outstanding used in basic computation Add:	16,406,58	0 5,699,0	88
Conversion of Class B to common shares	5,699,088	_	
	-,,		
Weighted-average shares outstanding, diluted	22,105,66	8 5,699,0	88
Diluted net loss per share	\$(0.02) \$(0.02)

<u>6 — RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>

The following include related party transactions not disclosed elsewhere in these consolidated financial statements. Due to Parent, Voyage expenses to Parent and Management fees to Parent have been disclosed above in these consolidated financial statements.

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred legal services aggregating \$48, \$0 and \$0, respectively, from Constantine Georgiopoulos, the father of Peter C. Georgiopoulos, Chairman of the Board. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$25 and \$0, respectively, was outstanding to Constantine Georgiopoulos.

During 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with Aegean Marine Petroleum Network, Inc. ("Aegean") to purchase lubricating oils for certain vessels in the Company's fleet. Peter C. Georgiopoulos, Chairman of the Board of the Company, is also the Chairman of the Board of Aegean. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, Aegean supplied lubricating oils to the Company's vessels aggregating \$519, \$564 and \$654, respectively. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$51 and \$83 remained outstanding to Aegean, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred other expenditures totaling \$0, \$1 and \$3, respectively, reimbursable to General Maritime Corporation ("GMC"), where the Company's Chairman, Peter C. Georgiopoulos, also serves as Chairman of the Board of GMC. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the amount due to GMC from the Company was \$0.

The Company receives internal audit services from employees of Genco, the Company's Parent. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred internal audit service fees of \$42, \$52 and \$35,

respectively, which are reimbursable to Genco pursuant to the Management Agreement (Refer to Note 16 — Commitments and Contingencies for further information regarding the Management Agreement). At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the amount due to Genco from the Company was \$18 and \$18, respectively, for such services and is included in due to Parent.

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, Genco, the Company's Parent, incurred costs of \$403, \$24 and \$91, respectively, on the Company's behalf to be reimbursed to Genco pursuant to the Management Agreement. At December 31,

F-15

2013, the amount due to Genco from the Company was \$75 and is included in due to Parent. At December 31, 2012, the amount due to the Company from Genco was \$7 and is included in due to Parent.

Genco also provides the Company with commercial, technical, administrative and strategic services pursuant to the Company's Management Agreement with Genco. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred costs of \$4,571, \$2,817 and \$3,024, respectively, pursuant to the Management Agreement with Genco. At December 31, 2013, the amount due to Genco of \$105 consisted of commercial service fees and is included in due to Parent. At December 31, 2012, the amount due to Genco of \$23 consisted of commercial service fees and is included in due to Parent.

<u>7 — DEBT</u>

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	December 31, 2013	
2010 Credit Facility	\$102,250	\$101,250
\$22 Million Term Loan Facility	21,625	—
\$44 Million Term Loan Facility	44,000	
Less: Current portion	(4,250)	_
Long-term debt	\$163,625	\$101,250

2010 Credit Facility

On April 16, 2010, the Company entered into a \$100,000 senior secured revolving credit facility with Nordea Bank Finland plc, acting through its New York branch (as amended, the "2010 Credit Facility"). The Company entered into an amendment to this facility effective November 30, 2010. Among other things, this amendment increased the commitment amount of the 2010 Credit Facility from \$100,000 to \$150,000. An additional amendment to the 2010 Credit Facility was entered into by the Company effective August 29, 2013 (the "August 2013 Amendment"). The August 2013 Amendment implemented the following modifications to the 2010 Credit Facility:

The requirement that certain additional vessels acquired by the Company be mortgaged as collateral under the 2010 Credit Facility was eliminated.

Restrictions on the incurrence of indebtedness by the Company and its subsidiaries were amended to apply only to those subsidiaries acting as guarantors under the 2010 Credit Facility.

The total commitment under this facility was reduced to \$110,000 and will be further reduced in three consecutive semi-annual reductions of \$5,000 commencing on May 30, 2015. On the maturity date, November 30, 2016, the total commitment will reduce to zero and all borrowings must be paid in full.

Borrowings bear interest at an applicable margin over LIBOR of 3.00% per annum if the ratio of the maximum facility amount of the aggregate appraised value of vessels mortgaged under the facility is 55% or less, measured quarterly; otherwise, the applicable margin is 3.35% per annum.

Financial covenants corresponding to the liquidity and leverage under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility (as defined below) have been incorporated into the 2010 Credit Facility.

A commitment fee of 1.25% per annum is payable on the unused daily portion of the 2010 Credit Facility, which began accruing on March 18, 2010 under the terms of the commitment letter entered into on February 25, 2010. In connection with the August 2013 Amendment, the Company paid an upfront fee of \$275. Of the total original facility amount of \$150,000, \$25,000 is available for working capital purposes. On May 9, 2013, the Company drew down \$1,000 for working capital purposes.

Borrowings under the 2010 Credit Facility are secured by liens on the Company's initial vessels and other related assets. Borrowings under the facility are subject to the delivery of security documents with respect to the Company's initial vessels. The Company's subsidiaries owning the initial vessels act as guarantors under the 2010 Credit Facility.

All amounts owing under the 2010 Credit Facility are also secured by the following: F-16

cross-collateralized first priority mortgages of each of the Company's initial vessels;

·an assignment of any and all earnings of the Company's initial vessels; and

·an assignment of all insurance on the mortgaged vessels.

The 2010 Credit Facility requires the Company to comply with a number of covenants, including financial covenants related to liquidity, consolidated net worth, and collateral maintenance; delivery of quarterly and annual financial statements and annual projections; maintaining adequate insurances; compliance with laws (including environmental); compliance with ERISA; maintenance of flag and class of the Company's initial vessels; restrictions on consolidations, mergers or sales of assets; restrictions on changes in the Manager of the Company's initial vessels (or acceptable replacement vessels); limitations on changes to the Management Agreement between the Company and Genco; limitations on liens; limitations on additional indebtedness; restrictions on paying dividends; restrictions on transactions with affiliates; and other customary covenants.

The amended 2010 Credit Facility includes the following financial covenants which apply to the Company and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis and are measured at the end of each fiscal quarter:

Cash and cash equivalents plus the undrawn amount available for working capital under the facility must not be less than \$5,000 during the first year following the amendment, or until November 30, 2011. Beginning December 1, 2011, cash and cash equivalents plus the undrawn amount available for working capital under the facility must not be less than \$750 per vessel for all vessels in the Company's fleet.

Consolidated net worth must not be less than (i) \$232,796 plus (ii) 50% of the value of any subsequent primary equity offerings of the Company.

The aggregate fair market value of the mortgaged vessels must at all times be at least 140% of the aggregate outstanding principal amount under the 2010 Credit Facility.

As of December 31, 2013, \$7,750 remained available under the 2010 Credit Facility as the total commitment was reduced to \$110,000 pursuant to the August 2013 Amendment. The total available working capital borrowings of \$25,000 are subject to the total remaining availability under the 2010 Credit Facility; therefore, only \$7,750 is available for working capital purposes as of December 31, 2013.

The Company believes it is in compliance with all of the financial covenants under its 2010 Credit Facility as of December 31, 2013.

The following table sets forth the repayment of the outstanding debt of \$102,250 at December 31, 2013 under the 2010 Credit Facility:

Year Ending December 31, Total

2014	\$ —
2015	2,250
2016	100,000

\$102,250

\$22 Million Term Loan Facility

Total debt

On August 30, 2013, Baltic Hare Limited and Baltic Fox Limited, wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company, entered into a secured loan agreement with DVB Bank SE for a term loan facility of up to \$22,000 (the "\$22 Million Term Loan Facility"). Amounts borrowed and repaid under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility may not be reborrowed. This facility has a maturity date of the sixth anniversary of the drawdown date for borrowings for the second vessel to be purchased, or September 4, 2019. Borrowings under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility bear interest at the three-month LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin of 3.35% per annum. A commitment fee of 1.00% per annum is payable on the unused daily portion of the credit facility, which began accruing on August 30, 2013 and ended on September 4, 2013, the date which the entire \$22,000 was borrowed. Borrowings are to be repaid in 23 F-17

quarterly installments of \$375 each commencing three months after the last vessel delivery date, or December 4, 2013, and a final payment of \$13,375 due on the maturity date.

Borrowings under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility are secured by liens on the Company's vessels purchased with borrowings under the facility, namely the Baltic Fox and the Baltic Hare, and other related assets. Under a Guarantee and Indemnity entered into concurrently with the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility, the Company agreed to guarantee the obligations of its subsidiaries under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility.

The \$22 Million Term Loan Facility also requires the Company, Baltic Hare Limited and Baltic Fox Limited to comply with a number of covenants, including financial covenants related to liquidity, leverage, consolidated net worth, and collateral maintenance; delivery of quarterly and annual financial statements and annual projections; maintaining adequate insurances; compliance with laws (including environmental); maintenance of flag and class of the initial vessels; restrictions on consolidations, mergers or sales of assets; limitations on changes in the manager of the Company's vessels; limitations on changes to the Management Agreement with Genco; limitations on liens and additional indebtedness; prohibitions on paying dividends if an event of default has occurred or would occur as a result of payment of a dividend; restrictions on transactions with affiliates; and other customary covenants. The liquidity covenants under the facility require Baltic Hare Limited and Baltic Fox Limited to maintain \$500 each in their cash accounts and the Company to maintain \$750 for each vessel in its fleet in cash or cash equivalents plus undrawn working capital lines of credit. The facility's leverage covenant requires that the ratio of Baltic Trading's total financial indebtedness to the value of its total assets as adjusted based on vessel appraisals not exceed 70%. The facility also requires that the Company maintain a minimum consolidated net worth of \$232,796 plus fifty percent of the value of the Company's equity offerings completed on or after May 28, 2013. The facility's collateral maintenance covenant requires that the minimum fair market value of vessels mortgaged under the facility be 130% of the amount outstanding under the facility through August 30, 2016 and 135% of such amount thereafter.

On September 4, 2013, Baltic Hare Limited and Baltic Fox Limited made drawdowns of \$10,730 and \$11,270 for the Baltic Hare and the Baltic Fox, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has utilized its maximum borrowing capacity of \$22,000 and there was no further availability. At December 31, 2013, the total outstanding debt balance is \$21,625 as required repayments began on December 4, 2013.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company believes it is in compliance with all of the financial covenants under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility.

The following table sets forth the repayment of the outstanding debt of \$21,625 at December 31, 2013 under the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility:

Year Ending December 31, Total

2014	\$1,500
2015	1,500
2016	1,500
2017	1,500
2018	1,500
Thereafter	14,125
Total debt	\$21,625

\$44 Million Term Loan Facility

On December 3, 2013, Baltic Tiger Limited and Baltic Lion Limited, wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company, entered into a secured loan agreement with DVB Bank SE for a term loan facility of up to \$44,000 (the "\$44 Million").

Term Loan Facility"). Amounts borrowed and repaid under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility may not be reborrowed. The \$44 Million Term Loan Facility has a maturity date of the sixth anniversary of the drawdown date for borrowings for the second vessel to be purchased, or December 23, 2019. Borrowings under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility bear interest at the three-month LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin of 3.35% per annum. A commitment fee of 0.75% per annum is payable on the unused daily portion of the credit facility, which began accruing on December 3, 2013 and ended on December 23, 2013, the date which the entire \$44,000 was borrowed. Borrowings are to be repaid in 23 quarterly installments of \$688 each commencing three months after the last drawdown date, or March 24, 2014, and a final payment of \$28,188 due on the maturity date.

Borrowings under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility are to be secured by liens on the Company's vessels to be financed or refinanced with borrowings under the facility, namely the Baltic Tiger and the Baltic Lion, and other related assets. Upon the prepayment of \$18,000 plus any additional amounts necessary to maintain compliance with the collateral maintenance covenant, the Company may have the lien on the Baltic Tiger released. Under a Guarantee and Indemnity entered into concurrently with the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, the Company agreed to guarantee the obligations of its subsidiaries under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility.

The \$44 Million Term Loan Facility also requires the Company, Baltic Tiger Limited and Baltic Lion Limited to comply with a number of covenants, including financial covenants related to liquidity, leverage, consolidated net worth, and collateral maintenance; delivery of quarterly and annual financial statements and annual projections; maintaining adequate insurances; compliance with laws (including environmental); maintenance of flag and class of the initial vessels; restrictions on consolidations, mergers or sales of assets; limitations on changes in the manager of the Company's vessels; limitations on changes to the Management Agreement with Genco; limitations on liens and additional indebtedness; prohibitions on paying dividends if an event of default has occurred or would occur as a result of payment of a dividend; restrictions on transactions with affiliates; and other customary covenants. The liquidity covenants under the facility require Baltic Tiger Limited and Baltic Lion Limited to maintain \$1,000 each in their cash accounts and the Company to maintain \$750 for each vessel in its fleet in cash or cash equivalents plus undrawn working capital lines of credit. The facility's leverage covenant requires that the ratio of the Company's total financial indebtedness to the value of its total assets as adjusted based on vessel appraisals not exceed 70%. The facility also requires that the Company maintain a minimum consolidated net worth of \$232,796 plus fifty percent of the value of any primary equity offerings of the Company after April 30, 2013. The facility's collateral maintenance covenant requires that the minimum fair market value of vessels mortgaged under the facility be 125% of the amount outstanding under the facility.

On December 23, 2013, Baltic Tiger Limited and Baltic Lion Limited made two drawdowns of \$21,400 and \$22,600 for the Baltic Tiger and Baltic Lion, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has utilized its maximum borrowing capacity of \$44,000 and there was no further availability.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company believes it is in compliance with all of the financial covenants under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility.

The following table sets forth the repayment of the outstanding debt of \$44,000 at December 31, 2013 under the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility:

C	
2014	\$2,750
2015	2,750
2016	2,750
2017	2,750
2018	2,750
Thereafter	30,250
Total debt	\$44,000

F-19

Year Ending December 31, Total

Change of Control

If Genco's ownership in the Company were to decrease to less than 10% of the aggregate number of sahres of common stock and Class B Stock, the outstanding Class B Stock held by Genco would automatically convert into common stock, and the voting power held by Genco in the Company would decrease to less than 30%. This would result in a change of control as defined under the Company's 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, and would therefore constitute an event of default. Additionally, a change of control constituting an event of default under the Company's credit facilities would also occur if any party or group other than Genco or certain other permitted holders beneficially owns more than 30% of the Company's outstanding voting or economic equity interests, which may occur if a party or group were deemed to control Genco. Refer to Note 1 – General Information for discussion of Genco's current economic status.

Interest rates

The following table sets forth the effective interest rate associated with the interest expense for the 2010 Credit Facility, \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility, excluding the cost associated with unused commitment fees. Additionally, it includes the range of interest rates on the debt, excluding the impact of unused commitment fees:

	Year ended December		
	31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Effective Interest rate (excluding impact of unused commitment fees)	3.22%	3.24 %	3.29 %
	3.16%	3.21%	3.25%
	to	to	to
Range of Interest Rates (excluding impact of unused commitment fees)	3.61 %	3.30 %	3.33 %

8 — FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The estimated fair values and carrying values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 which are required to be disclosed at fair value, but not recorded at fair value, are as follows:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 201	
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair
	Value	Value	Value	Value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$58,193	\$58,193	\$3,280	\$3,280
Floating rate debt	167,875	167,875	101,250	101,250

The fair value of floating rate debt under the 2010 Credit Facility, the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility is based on rates that the Company has recently obtained pursuant to the August 2013 Amendment to the existing 2010 Credit Facility, as per the debt agreement for the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility that was effective August 30, 2013 and as per the debt agreement for the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility that was effective December 3, 2013.Refer to Note 7 – Debt for further information. Additionally, the Company considers its creditworthiness in determining the fair value of the floating rate debt under our credit facilities. The carrying value approximates the fair market value for these floating rate loans. The carrying amounts of the Company's other financial instruments at December 31, 2013 and 2012 (principally Due from charterers and Accounts payable and accrued expenses) approximate fair values because of the relatively short maturity of these instruments.

ASC Subtopic 820-10, "Fair Value Measurements & Disclosures" ("ASC 820-10"), applies to all assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported on a fair value basis. This guidance enables the reader of the financial statements to assess the inputs used to develop those measurements by establishing a hierarchy for ranking the quality and reliability

of the information used to determine fair values. The fair value framework requires the categorization of assets and liabilities into three levels based upon the assumptions (inputs) used to price the assets or liabilities. Level 1 provides the most reliable measure of fair value, whereas Level 3 generally requires significant management judgment. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1—Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments that the Company is able to ·access. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these instruments does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Level 2—Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for instruments that are similar, or quoted prices in ·markets that are not active for identical or similar instruments, and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.

F-20

·Level 3—Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

Cash and cash equivalents is considered a Level 1 item as it represents liquid assets with short-term maturities. Floating rate debt is considered to be a Level 2 item as the Company considers the estimate of rates it could obtain for similar debt. The Company did not have any Level 3 financial assets or liabilities during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

9 — PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following:

		December
	December	31,
	31, 2013	2012
Lubricant inventory, fuel oil and diesel oil inventory and other stores	\$ 2,027	\$ 1,767
Prepaid items	1,117	861
Insurance receivable	70	126
Other	871	138
Total	\$ 4,085	\$ 2,892

<u>10 — DEFERRED FINANCING COS</u>TS

Deferred financing costs include fees, commissions and legal expenses associated with securing loan facilities and amending existing loan facilities. These costs are amortized over the life of the related debt and are recorded as a component of interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has deferred financing fees associated with the 2010 Credit Facility, the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had only deferred financing fees associated with the 2010 Credit Facility since the \$22 Million Term Loan Facility and the \$44 Million Term Loan Facility were entered into by the Company effective August 30, 2013 and December 3, 2013, respectively. (Refer to Note 7 – Debt)

Total net deferred financing costs consist of the following as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	December
December	31,
31, 2013	2012
\$ 3,339	\$ 3,027
518	
737	
4,594	3,027
1,785	1,204
\$ 2,809	\$ 1,823
	31, 2013 \$ 3,339 518 737 4,594 1,785

Amortization expense of deferred financing costs for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$581, \$467 and \$467, respectively.

11 — ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consist of the following:

December December 31, 2013 31,

		2012
Accounts payable	\$ 1,011	\$ 430
Accrued vessel operating expenses	2,464	1,622
Accrued general and administrative expenses	307	111
Total	\$ 3,782	\$ 2.163

12 — FIXED ASSETS

Fixed assets consist of the following:

F-21

	December		December	
	31	l,	31	,
	20)13	20	12
Fixed assets, at cost:				
Computer equipment	\$	43	\$	43
Vessel equipment		682		5
Total cost		725		48
Less: accumulated depreciation		47		36
Total	\$	678	\$	12

Depreciation expense for fixed assets for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$11, \$16 and \$14, respectively.

13 — REVENUE FROM TIME CHARTERS

Total revenue earned on spot market-related time charters, short-term time charters and in vessel pools, as well as the sale of bunkers consumed during short-term time charters, during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$35,973, \$27,304 and \$43,492. Future minimum time charter revenue attributable to the Baltic Wind, which is committed to a noncancelable short-term time charter, is expected to be \$433 during 2014. Future minimum time charter revenue for the Company's remaining vessels cannot be estimated as these vessels are currently on spot market-related time charters or in vessel pools, and future spot rates cannot be estimated. The spot market-related time charters and pool arrangements that the Company's vessels are employed on as of December 31, 2013 have estimated expiration dates that range from February 2014 to September 2015.

<u>14 — NONVESTED STOCK AWARDS</u>

On March 3, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved the Baltic Trading Limited 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). Under the Plan, the Company's Board of Directors, the compensation committee, or another designated committee of the Board of Directors may grant a variety of stock-based incentive awards to officers, directors, and executive, managerial, administrative and professional employees of and consultants to the Company or Genco whom the compensation committee (or other committee of the Board of Directors) believes are key to the Company's success. Awards may consist of restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock options, stock appreciation rights and other stock or cash-based awards. The aggregate number of shares of common stock available for award under the Plan is 2,000,000 shares.

Grants of restricted stock to Peter C. Georgiopoulos, Chairman of the Board, and John Wobensmith, President and Chief Financial Officer, made in connection with the Company's IPO vest ratably on each of the first four anniversaries of March 15, 2010. Grants of restricted common stock to directors made following the Company's IPO (which exclude the foregoing grant to Mr. Georgiopoulos) vest the earlier of the first anniversary of the grant date or the date of the next annual shareholders' meeting. Grants of restricted stock made to executives and the Chairman of the Board not in connection with the Company's IPO vest ratably on each of the first four anniversaries of the determined vesting date.

The following table presents a summary of the Company's restricted stock awards for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

Year Ended December 31,							
2013		2012		2011			
Number	Weighted	Number	Weighted	Number	Weighted		
of Shares	Average	of	Average	of	Average		
	_	Shares		Shares			

Edgar Filing: Baltic Trading Ltd - Form 10-K

	(Grant		Grant		Grant
]	Date		Date		Date
]	Price		Price		Price
Outstanding at January 1	664,249	\$ 7.70	545,750	\$ 11.60	583,500	\$ 13.40
Granted	998,680	5.60	299,999	3.04	117,500	5.11
Vested	(281,500)	8.48	(181,500)	11.71	(155,250)	13.43
Forfeited		_				
Outstanding at December 31	1,381,429	\$ 6.03	664,249	\$ 7.70	545,750	\$ 11.60

The total fair value of shares that vested under the Plan during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$1,194, \$663 and \$1,275. The total fair value is calculated as the number of shares vested during the period multiplied by the fair value on the vesting date.

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized nonvested stock amortization expense for the Plan, which is included in general, administrative and technical management fees, as follows: F-22

Year Ended December 31, 2013 2012 2011

General, administrative and technical management fees \$1,558 \$1,777 \$2,764

The Company is amortizing these grants over the applicable vesting periods, net of anticipated forfeitures. As of December 31, 2013, unrecognized compensation cost of \$5,934 related to nonvested stock will be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.15 years.

15 — LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Company may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of its business, principally personal injury and property casualty claims. Such claims, even if lacking merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources. The Company is not aware of any legal proceedings or claims that it believes will have, individually or in the aggregate, a material effect on the Company, its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

16 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Genco, the Company's parent, provides the Company with commercial, technical, administrative and strategic services necessary to support the Company's business pursuant to the Company's Management Agreement with Genco. The management fees agreed upon pursuant to the Management Agreement consist of the following: commercial service fee of 1.25% of gross charter revenues earned by each vessel; technical services fee of \$750 per vessel per day (subject to annual increases based on changes in the Consumer Price Index); and sale and purchase fees equal to 1% of the gross purchase or sale price upon the consummation of any purchase or sale of a vessel by the Company. Subject to early termination in certain circumstances, the initial term of the Management Agreement will expire on June 30, 2025. If not terminated, the Management Agreement automatically renews for a five-year period and will thereafter be extended in additional five-year increments if the Company does not provide notice of termination in the fourth quarter of the year immediately preceding the end of the relevant term. If the Company terminates the agreement without cause, or if Genco terminates the agreement for the Company's material breach or the Company's change of control, the Company must make a termination payment to Genco in a single lump sum within 30 days of the termination date. The termination payment is generally calculated as the five times the average annual management fees payable to Genco for the last five completed years of the term of the Management Agreement, or such lesser number of years as may have been completed at the time of termination. As of December 31, 2013, the termination payment that would be due to Genco is approximately \$19,816. Refer to Note 6 — Related Party Transactions for any costs incurred during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 pursuant to the Management Agreement.

17 — UNAUDITED QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In the opinion of the Company's management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included on a quarterly basis.

	2013 Quarter Ended				2012 Qua			
	Mar 31	Jun 30	Sept 30	Dec 31	Mar 31	Jun 30	Sept 30	Dec 31
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)							
Revenues	\$5,986	\$6,379	\$9,102	\$14,506	\$6,294	\$7,603	\$6,291	\$7,116
Operating (loss) income	(4,075)	(3,588)	(1,123)	1,877	(3,368)	(2,586)	(3,740)	(3,273)
Net (loss) income	(5,083)	(4,625)	(2,270)	586	(4,456)	(3,661)	(4,822)	(4,331)

Net (loss) income per share of common and Class B Stock:

Net (loss) income per share - Basic								
(1)	\$(0.23) \$(0.19	\$(0.08)) \$0.01	\$(0.20)	\$(0.16)	\$(0.22)	\$(0.19)
Net (loss) income per share — Diluted	d							
(1)	\$(0.23) \$(0.19	\$(0.08)) \$0.01	\$(0.20)	\$(0.16)	\$(0.22)	\$(0.19)
Dividends declared and paid per								
share of common and Class B Stock	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.02	\$0.13	\$0.05	\$0.05	\$0.01
F-23								

(1) Amounts may not total to annual earnings (loss) because each quarter and year are calculated separately based on basic and diluted weighted-average common and Class B shares outstanding during that period.

18 — SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On February 25, 2014, the Company declared a dividend of \$0.03 per share to be paid on or about March 17, 2014 to shareholders of record as of March 10, 2014. The aggregate amount of the dividend is expected to be approximately \$1,726, which the Company anticipates will be funded from cash on hand at the time payment is to be made. F-24

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

No changes were made to, nor was there any disagreement with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding the Company's accounting or financial disclosure.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based upon that evaluation, our President and Chief Financial Officer has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

MANAGEMENT REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become ineffective because of changes in conditions, or that the degree or compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992). Based on our assessment and those criteria, our management believes that we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, has issued an audit report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The attestation report is included on page 65 of this report.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS

There have been no changes in our internal controls or over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter (the fourth fiscal quarter of 2013) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Baltic Trading Limited New York, New York

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Baltic Trading Limited and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013 of the Company and our report dated March 3, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New York, New York March 3, 2014 65

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information regarding our directors and executive officers is set forth in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after December 31, 2013 (the "2014 Proxy Statement") under the headings "Election of Directors" and "Management" and is incorporated by reference herein. Information relating to our Code of Conduct and Ethics and to compliance with Section 16(a) of the 1934 Act is set forth in the 2014 Proxy Statement under the heading "Corporate Governance" and is incorporated by reference herein.

We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of the Code of Ethics for Chief Executive and Senior Financial Officers by posting such information on our website, www.baltictrading.com.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information regarding compensation of our executive officers and information with respect to Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation in compensation decisions is set forth in the 2014 Proxy Statement under the headings "Management" and "Compensation Committee's Report on Executive Compensation" and is incorporated by reference herein.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information regarding the beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock by certain persons is set forth in the 2014 Proxy Statement under the heading "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" and is incorporated by reference herein.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information regarding certain of our transactions is set forth in the 2014 Proxy Statement under the heading "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and is incorporated by reference herein.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information regarding our accountant fees and services is set forth in the 2014 Proxy Statement under the heading "Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors" and is incorporated by reference herein.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

1.

The financial statements listed in the "Index to Consolidated Financial Statements"

- 2. Exhibits:
- $3.1 \frac{\text{Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Baltic}}{\text{Trading Limited dated March 3, 2010.(1)}}$
- $3.2 \frac{\text{Amended}}{\text{dated}}$ and Restated By-Laws of Baltic Trading Limited, dated as of March 3, 2010.(1)
- 4.1 Form of Share Certificate of the Company.(2)

66

Subscription Agreement for Class B Stock dated as of March 3, 2010

4.2 between Baltic

Trading

Limited and

Genco

Investments

LLC.(1)

Shareholders

Rights

Agreement

dated as of

March 5, 2010

4.3 between Baltic

4.3 Trading

Limited and

Mellon

Investor

Services

LLC.(1)

Registration

Rights

Agreement

dated as of

March 15,

10.1 2010 by and

between Baltic

Trading

Limited and

Genco

Investments

LLC.(3)

Baltic Trading Limited 2010 Equity

10.2 Incentive Plan, as amended and restated as of December 19, 2013.(4)

10.3 Management

Agreement

dated as of

March 15,

2010 by and between Genco Shipping & Trading Limited and Baltic Trading Limited.(3)

Amendment

No. 2 to

Management

Agreement by

and between

Baltic Trading

10.4 Limited and

Genco

Shipping &

Trading

Limited dated

as of April 3,

2013.(5)

Amendment

No. 3 to

Management

Agreement by

and between

Baltic Trading

10.5 Limited and

Genco

Shipping &

Trading

Limited dated

as of August

21, 2013.(6)

Omnibus

Agreement

dated as of

March 15,

2010 by and

10.6 between Genco

Shipping &

Trading

Limited and

Baltic Trading

Limited.(3)

10.7 Memorandum of Agreement

dated February

19, 2010 between Inta Navigation Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(7)

Memorandum of Agreement dated February 19, 2010

10.8 between Borak Shipping Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(7)

> Memorandum of Agreement dated February 19, 2010 between

10.9 Sinova
Shipping Ltd.
and Baltic
Trading
Limited.(7)

of Agreement dated February 19, 2010 10.10 between Spice Shipping Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(7)

Memorandum

Memorandum of Agreement dated February 22, 2010

10.11 between
Shipping Trust
Ltd. and Baltic
Trading

Limited.(7)

10.12 Memorandum of Agreement dated February 22, 2010 between Oceanways Trust Ltd. and Baltic Trading

Limited.(7)

Memorandum of Agreement dated July 2, 2013 between

10.13 Clipper Bulk Shipinvest I Ltd. and Baltic

Trading Limited.(6)

Memorandum of Agreement dated July 2, 2013 between

10.14 Harmony

Maritime Co. Ltd. and Baltic Trading

Limited.(6)

Restricted

Stock Grant

Agreement

dated as of

March 15,

_2010 by and

between Peter

C.

Georgiopoulos

and Baltic

Trading

Limited.(8)

- 10.16 Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of March 15, 2010 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(8)
- 10.17 Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 24, 2010 by and between Peter C. Georgiopoulos and Baltic Trading Limited.(9)
- 10.18 Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 24, 2010 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(9)
- 10.19 Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 21, 2011 by and between Peter C. Georgiopoulos and Baltic Trading Limited.(10)

- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 21, 2011 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(10)
- 10.21 Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 13, 2012 by and between Peter C. Georgiopoulos and Baltic Trading Limited.(11)

67

- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 13, 2012 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(11)
- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 19, 2013 by and between Peter C. Georgiopoulos and Baltic Trading Limited.(*)
- $10.24 \frac{\text{Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 19, 2013 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited for 300,000 restricted shares of common stock.(*)}$
- 10.25 Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 19, 2013 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited for 100,000 restricted shares of common stock.(4)
- 10.26 Letter Agreement dated December 19, 2013 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(4)
- 10.27 Amendment Agreement dated December 19, 2013 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(4)
- 10.28 Form of Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of March 15, 2010.(8)
- 10.29 Form of Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of May 12, 2011.(12)
- 10.30 Form of Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of May 17, 2012.(11)
- 10.31 Form of Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 13, 2012.(11)
- 10.32 Form of Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of May 16, 2013.(*)
- 10.33 Memorandum of Agreement dated June 3, 2010 between Krystle Shipholding S.A. and Baltic Trading Limited.(13)
- 10.34 Memorandum of Agreement dated June 3, 2010 between Sevenseas International Inc. and Baltic Trading Limited.(13)
- 10.35 Memorandum of Agreement dated June 3, 2010 between Jadyn Shipholding Corp. and Baltic Trading Limited.(13)
- 10.36 Memorandum of Agreement dated as of October 31, 2013 by and between Baltic Lion Limited and Harmony Shipholding S.A.(14)
- 10.37 Memorandum of Agreement dated as of October 31, 2013 by and between Baltic Tiger Limited and Concord Shipholding S.A.(14)
- 10.38 Shipbuilding Contract No. 13YF-NB-50 dated as of November 13, 2013 by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Yangfan Group Co., Ltd.(*)
- 10.39 Shipbuilding Contract No. 13YF-NB-51 dated as of November 13, 2013 by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Yangfan Group Co., Ltd.(*)
- 10.40 Shipbuilding Contract No. 13YF-NB-52 dated as of November 13, 2013 by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Yangfan Group Co., Ltd.(*)

- 10.41 Shipbuilding Contract No. 13YF-NB-53 dated as of November 13, 2013 by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Yangfan Group Co., Ltd.(*)
- 10.42 Side Letter for Construction of 64000DWT Bulk Carriers dated as of November 13, 2013 by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Yangfan Group Co. Ltd.(*)
- 10.43 Credit Agreement, dated as of April 16, 2010, by and among Baltic Trading Limited, and Nordea Bank Finland plc, New York Branch, as lender, Administrative Agent and Security Trustee.(15)
- Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2010, by and among Baltic Trading 10.44Limited, various lenders named therein, and Nordea Bank Finland plc, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent and Security Trustee.(16)

- Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among Baltic Trading Limited, various 10.45 lenders named therein, and Nordea Bank Finland plc, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent and Security Trustee, dated as of August 29, 2013.(17)
- 10.46 Loan Agreement by and among Baltic Hare Limited and Baltic Fox limited as borrowers, the banks listed therein as lenders, and DVB Bank SE, as agent, arranger, and security agent, dated as of August 30, 2013.(17)
- 10.47 Guarantee and Indemnity from Baltic Trading Limited to DVB Bank SE dated as of August 30, 2013.(17)
- Loan Agreement by and among Baltic Tiger Limited and Baltic Lion limited as borrowers, the banks listed therein as lenders, and DVB Bank SE, as agent, arranger, and security agent, dated as of December 3, 2013.(18)
- 10.49 Guarantee and Indemnity from Baltic Trading Limited to DVB Bank SE dated as of December 3, 2013.(18)
- 14.1 Code of Ethics.(9)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Baltic Trading Limited.(*)
- 23.1 Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.(*)
- Certification of President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a) and 15(d)-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.(*)
- 32.1 Certification of President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.(*)
 - The following materials from Baltic Trading Limited's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the
- 101 Years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. **
- (*) Filed herewith.
- Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files in Exhibit 101 hereto are not deemed filed or (**) part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are not deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.
- (1) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2010.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 17, 2009.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Genco Shipping & Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2010.

Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 20, 2013.

- (5) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 3, 2013.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 7, 2013.

- (7) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2010.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2010.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2011.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Genco Shipping & Trading Limited's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2012.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2013.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Genco Shipping & Trading Limited's Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 9, 2011.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 9, 2010.
- Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 6, 2013.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 19, 2010.
- Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 1, 2010.
- Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 5, 2013.
- Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2013.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on March 3, 2014.

BALTIC TRADING LIMITED

By:/s/ John C. Wobensmith

Name: John C. Wobensmith

Title: President, Secretary, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following

persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on March 3, 2014.

SIGNATURE TITLE

/s/ John C. Wobensmith PRESIDENT, SECRETARY, TREASURER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

John C. Wobensmith (PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL AND

ACCOUNTING OFFICER)

/s/ Peter C. CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD AND DIRECTOR

Georgiopoulos

Peter C. Georgiopoulos

/s/ George Wood DIRECTOR
George Wood

/s/ Edward Terino DIRECTOR

Edward Terino

/s/ Basil G. Mavroleon DIRECTOR
Basil G. Mavroleon

/s/ Harry A. Perrin DIRECTOR

Harry A. Perrin

71

179

EXHIBIT INDEX

_			~
Liv	hıh	· 1 t	Document
1 7 8		,,,	1706011116111

- 1. The financial statements listed in the "Index to Consolidated Financial Statements"
- 2. Exhibits:
- 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Baltic Trading Limited dated March 3, 2010.(1)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated By-Laws of Baltic Trading Limited, dated as of March 3, 2010.(1)
- 4.1 Form of Share Certificate of the Company.(2)
- Subscription Agreement for Class B Stock dated as of March 3, 2010 between Baltic Trading Limited and Genco Investments LLC.(1)
- 4.3 Shareholders Rights Agreement dated as of March 5, 2010 between Baltic Trading Limited and Mellon Investor Services LLC.(1)
- Registration Rights Agreement dated as of March 15, 2010 by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Genco Investments LLC.(3)
- 10.2 Baltic Trading Limited 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated as of December 19, 2013.(4)
- Management Agreement dated as of March 15, 2010 by and between Genco Shipping & Trading Limited and Baltic Trading Limited.(3)
- Amendment No. 2 to Management Agreement by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Genco Shipping & Trading Limited dated as of April 3, 2013.(5)
- Amendment No. 3 to Management Agreement by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Genco Shipping & Trading Limited dated as of August 21, 2013.(6)
- Omnibus Agreement dated as of March 15, 2010 by and between Genco Shipping & Trading Limited and Baltic Trading Limited.(3)
- 10.7 Memorandum of Agreement dated February 19, 2010 between Inta Navigation Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(7)
- 10.8 Memorandum of Agreement dated February 19, 2010 between Borak Shipping Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(7)
- 10.9 Memorandum of Agreement dated February 19, 2010 between Sinova Shipping Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(7)
- 10.10 Memorandum of Agreement dated February 19, 2010 between Spice Shipping Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(7)
- 10.11 Memorandum of Agreement dated February 22, 2010 between Shipping Trust Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(7)

10.12	Memorandum of Agreement dated February 22, 2010 between Oceanways Trust Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(7)
10.13	Memorandum of Agreement dated July 2, 2013 between Clipper Bulk Shipinvest I Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(6)
10.14	Memorandum of Agreement dated July 2, 2013 between Harmony Maritime Co. Ltd. and Baltic Trading Limited.(6)
10.15	Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of March 15, 2010 by and between Peter C. Georgiopoulos and Baltic Trading Limited.(8)
10.16 72	Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of March 15, 2010 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(8)

- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 24, 2010 by and between Peter C. Georgiopoulos and Baltic Trading Limited.(9)
- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 24, 2010 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(9)
- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 21, 2011 by and between Peter C. Georgiopoulos and Baltic Trading Limited.(10)
- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 21, 2011 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(10)
- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 13, 2012 by and between Peter C. Georgiopoulos and Baltic Trading Limited.(11)
- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 13, 2012 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(11)
- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 19, 2013 by and between Peter C. Georgiopoulos and Baltic Trading Limited.(*)
- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 19, 2013 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited for 300,000 restricted shares of common stock.(*)
- Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 19, 2013 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited for 100,000 restricted shares of common stock.(4)
- 10.26 Letter Agreement dated December 19, 2013 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(4)
- Amendment Agreement dated December 19, 2013 by and between John C. Wobensmith and Baltic Trading Limited.(4)
- 10.28 Form of Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of March 15, 2010.(8)
- 10.29 Form of Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of May 12, 2011.(12)
- 10.30 Form of Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of May 17, 2012.(11)
- 10.31 Form of Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of December 13, 2012.(11)
- 10.32 Form of Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement dated as of May 16, 2013.(*)
- 10.33 Memorandum of Agreement dated June 3, 2010 between Krystle Shipholding S.A. and Baltic Trading Limited.(13)
- 10.34 Memorandum of Agreement dated June 3, 2010 between Sevenseas International Inc. and Baltic Trading Limited.(13)
- 10.35 Memorandum of Agreement dated June 3, 2010 between Jadyn Shipholding Corp. and Baltic Trading Limited.(13)

- 10.36 Memorandum of Agreement dated as of October 31, 2013 by and between Baltic Lion Limited and Harmony Shipholding S.A.(14)
- Memorandum of Agreement dated as of October 31, 2013 by and between Baltic Tiger Limited and Concord Shipholding S.A.(14)
- Shipbuilding Contract No. 13YF-NB-50 dated as of November 13, 2013 by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Yangfan Group Co., Ltd.(*)
- Shipbuilding Contract No. 13YF-NB-51 dated as of November 13, 2013 by and between Baltic Trading
 Limited and Yangfan Group Co., Ltd.(*)

- Shipbuilding Contract No. 13YF-NB-52 dated as of November 13, 2013 by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Yangfan Group Co., Ltd.(*)
- Shipbuilding Contract No. 13YF-NB-53 dated as of November 13, 2013 by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Yangfan Group Co., Ltd.(*)
- Side Letter for Construction of 64000DWT Bulk Carriers dated as of November 13, 2013 by and between Baltic Trading Limited and Yangfan Group Co. Ltd.(*)
- 10.43 Credit Agreement, dated as of April 16, 2010, by and among Baltic Trading Limited, and Nordea Bank Finland plc, New York Branch, as lender, Administrative Agent and Security Trustee.(15)
- Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2010, by and among Baltic Trading 10.44 Limited, various lenders named therein, and Nordea Bank Finland plc, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent and Security Trustee.(16)
- Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among Baltic Trading Limited, various 10.45 lenders named therein, and Nordea Bank Finland plc, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent and Security Trustee, dated as of August 29, 2013.(17)
- Loan Agreement by and among Baltic Hare Limited and Baltic Fox limited as borrowers, the banks listed therein as lenders, and DVB Bank SE, as agent, arranger, and security agent, dated as of August 30, 2013.(17)
- 10.47 Guarantee and Indemnity from Baltic Trading Limited to DVB Bank SE dated as of August 30, 2013.(17)
- Loan Agreement by and among Baltic Tiger Limited and Baltic Lion limited as borrowers, the banks listed therein as lenders, and DVB Bank SE, as agent, arranger, and security agent, dated as of December 3, 2013.(18)
- 10.49 Guarantee and Indemnity from Baltic Trading Limited to DVB Bank SE dated as of December 3, 2013.(18)
- 14.1 Code of Ethics.(9)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Baltic Trading Limited.(*)
- 23.1 Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.(*)
- 21.1 Certification of President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a) and 15(d)-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.(*)
- 32.1 Certification of President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.(*)
- The following materials from Baltic Trading Limited's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. **

^(*) Filed herewith.

- Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files in Exhibit 101 hereto are not deemed filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are not deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.
- (1) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2010.

74

185

- (2) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 17, 2009.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Genco Shipping & Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2010.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 20, 2013.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 3, 2013.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 7, 2013.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2010.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2010.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2011.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Genco Shipping & Trading Limited's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2012.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2013.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Genco Shipping & Trading Limited's Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 9, 2011.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 9, 2010.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 6, 2013.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 19, 2010.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 1, 2010.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 5, 2013.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to Baltic Trading Limited's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2013.