RECKSON ASSOCIATES REALTY CORP Form 10-Q May 09, 2006

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington,	DC 20549		

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006

Commission file number: 1-13762

Large Accelerated Filer

RECKSON ASSOCIATES REALTY CORP.

Accelerated Filer

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

_			
	(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(IRS Employer Identification Number)	
	225 Broadhollow Road, Melville, NY	11747	
(631) 694	(Address of principal executive office)	(zip code)	
(Registra	nt s telephone number including area code)		
Exchange	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Sec such shorter period that the registrant was required to file su irrements for the past 90 days.	
Yes	No .		
	by check mark whether the registrant is a large acce of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in I	erated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. S Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check One):	ee

Non-Accelerated Filer .

11-3233650

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No.

The Company has one class of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, with 83,214,550 shares outstanding as of May 5, 2006.

RECKSON ASSOCIATES REALTY CORP.

QUARTERLY REPORT

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2006 (unaudited) and	
	<u>December 31, 2005</u>	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March	<u>1</u>
	31, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)	<u>4</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended	
	March 31, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)	<u>5</u>
	Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	<u>6</u>
	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and	
<u>Item 2.</u>	Results of Operations	<u>25</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>43</u>
<u>ltem 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	<u>44</u>
	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>50</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>50</u>
ltem 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>50</u>
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>50</u>
<u>ltem 4.</u>	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders	<u>50</u>
<u>Item 5.</u>	Other Information	<u>50</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>50</u> <u>50</u> <u>50</u>
SIGNATURES & CERTIFICATIONS		<u>50</u>

Back to Contents

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RECKSON ASSOCIATES REALTY CORP.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(unaudited)	
ASSETS Commercial real estate properties, at cost: Land Buildings and improvements Developments in progress: Land Development costs Furniture, fixtures and equipment	\$428,354 2,885,208 126,016 116,088 12,942	\$430,064 2,823,020 123,761 99,570 12,738
Less accumulated depreciation	3,568,608 (559,727)	3,489,153 (532,152)
Investments in real estate, net of accumulated depreciation	3,008,881	2,957,001
Properties and related assets held for sale, net of accumulated depreciation Investments in real estate joint ventures Investments in mortgage notes and notes receivable Cash and cash equivalents Tenant receivables Investments in affiliate loans and joint ventures Deferred rents receivable Prepaid expenses and other assets Deferred leasing and loan costs, net of accumulated amortization	67,251 46,724 169,025 42,635 16,281 60,181 144,419 180,100 83,063	194,297 61,526 174,612 17,468 20,196 59,324 138,990 109,381 78,411
Total Assets	\$3,818,560	\$3,811,206
LIABILITIES Mortgage notes payable Mortgage notes payable and other liabilities associated with properties held for sale Unsecured credit facility Senior unsecured notes Accrued expenses and other liabilities Deferred revenues and tenant security deposits Dividends and distributions payable Total Liabilities	\$466,682 63,955 180,000 1,254,808 114,916 73,301 36,476	\$541,382 84,572 419,000 980,085 120,994 75,903 36,398
		047.705
Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships	263,663	217,705

Preferred unit interest in the operating partnership Limited partners minority interest in the operating partnership	1,200 35,663	1,200 33,498	
	300,526	252,403	
Commitments and contingencies			
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized 83,196,326 and 82,995,931 shares issued and outstanding, respectively Accumulated other comprehensive income Treasury Stock, 3,318,600 shares Retained earnings Additional paid in capital	832 2,269 (68,492) 79,241 1,314,046	830 1,819 (68,492) 56,868 1,309,444	
Total Stockholders Equity	1,327,896	1,300,469	
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$3,818,560	\$3,811,206	
(see accompanying notes to financial statements)			

Back to Contents

RECKSON ASSOCIATES REALTY CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited and in thousands, except per share and share amounts)

PROPERTY OPERATING REVENUES: \$1116,085 \$112,410 Tenant escalations and reimbursements \$116,085 \$112,410 Total property operating revenues 135,153 130,188 OPERATING EXPENSES: Property operating expenses 60,235 52,740 Marketing, general and administrative 9,482 7,985 Depreciation and amortization 32,836 28,419 Total operating expenses 102,553 89,154 Operating income 32,800 41,034 NON-OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES: Gains on sales of real estate 35,393 Interest income on mortgage notes and notes receivable (including \$1.1 million and \$850,000, respectively from related parties) 5,499 2,447 Investment income and other 12,077 682 Interest: 12,077 682 Expense (27,989) (23,566) Income defore minority income and expense (27,989) (24,789) Total non-operating income and expenses 52,835 19,606 Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships (4,096) (3		Three Months Ended March 31,	
Base rents \$116,085 \$112,410 Tenant escalations and reimbursements 19,068 17,778 Total property operating revenues 135,153 130,188 OPERATING EXPENSES: Property operating expenses 60,235 52,740 Marketing, general and administrative 9,482 7,995 Depreciation and amortization 32,836 28,419 Total operating expenses 102,553 89,154 Operating income 32,600 41,034 NON-OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES: Gains on sales of real estate 35,393 Interest income on mortgage notes and notes receivable (including \$1.1 million and \$850,000, respectively from related parties) 5,499 2,447 Interest income on mortgage notes and notes receivable (including \$1.1 million and \$850,000, respectively from related parties) 5,499 2,447 Interest income and other 10,122 10,991 1 Interest income on mortgage notes and notes receivable (including \$1.1 million and \$850,000, respectively from related parties) 5,499 2,447 Interest income and other 10,1122 10,107 682		2006	2005
OPERATING EXPENSES: Property operating expenses 60,235 52,740 Marketing, general and administrative 9,482 7,995 Depreciation and amortization 32,836 28,419 Total operating expenses 102,553 89,154 Operating income 32,600 41,034 NON-OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES: Gains on sales of real estate 35,393 Interest income on mortgage notes and notes receivable (including \$1.1 million and \$850,000, respectively from related parties) 5,499 2,447 Investment income and other 12,077 682 Interest: 2 1,122 (991) Expense (27,989) (23,566) Amortization of deferred financing costs (1,122 (991) Long-term incentive compensation expenses 20,235 (21,428) Total non-operating income and expenses 20,235 (21,428) Income before minority interests in consolidated partnerships (4,096 (3,779) Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships	Base rents		
Property operating expenses	Total property operating revenues	135,153	130,188
Operating income 32,600 41,034 NON-OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES: Gains on sales of real estate 35,393 Interest income on mortgage notes and notes receivable (including \$1.1 million and \$850,000, respectively from related parties) 12,077 682 Investment income and other 12,077 682 Expense (27,989) (23,566) Amortization of deferred financing costs (1,122) (991) Long-term incentive compensation expense (3,623) Total non-operating income and expenses 20,235 (21,428) Income before minority interests, equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and discontinued operations (4,096) (3,779) Limited partners interests in consolidated partnerships (4,096) (3,779) Limited partners minority interest in the operating partnership (1,549) (692) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and discontinued operations (47,586 15,286) Income before discontinued operations 47,586 15,286 Discontinued operations (net of minority interests): Income from discontinued operations 870 2,070 Gains on sales of real estate	Property operating expenses Marketing, general and administrative	9,482	7,995
NON-OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES: Gains on sales of real estate Gains on sales of real estate Interest income on mortgage notes and notes receivable (including \$1.1 million and \$850,000, respectively from related parties) Investment income and other Interest: Expense Amortization of deferred financing costs Long-term incentive compensation expense Income before minority interests, equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and discontinued operations Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships Limited partners minority interest in the operating partnership Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures Income before discontinued operations Discontinued operations One of the defense of the	Total operating expenses	102,553	89,154
Gains on sales of real estate 35,393 Interest income on mortgage notes and notes receivable (including \$1.1 million and \$850,000, respectively from related parties) 5,499 2,447 Investment income and other 12,077 682 Interest: Expense (27,989) (23,566) Amortization of deferred financing costs (1,122) (991) Long-term incentive compensation expense (3,623) Total non-operating income and expenses 20,235 (21,428) Income before minority interests, equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and discontinued operations (4,096) (3,779) Limited partners interests in consolidated partnerships (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and discontinued operations (1,549) (692) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures (4,096) (3,779)	Operating income	32,600	41,034
respectively from related parties) Investment income and other Interest: Expense Amortization of deferred financing costs Long-term incentive compensation expense Income before minority interests, equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and discontinued operations Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships Limited partners minority interest in the operating partnership Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures Income before discontinued operations Income before discontinued operations Income before discontinued operations Discontinued operations (net of minority interests): Income from discontinued operations Baro Baro Baro Baro Baro Baro Baro Bar		35,393	
Expense (27,989) (23,566) Amortization of deferred financing costs Long-term incentive compensation expense (3,623) Total non-operating income and expenses 20,235 (21,428) Income before minority interests, equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and discontinued operations Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships (4,096) (3,779) Limited partners minority interest in the operating partnership (1,549) (692) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures 396 151 Income before discontinued operations Discontinued operations (net of minority interests): Income from discontinued operations Gains on sales of real estate	respectively from related parties) Investment income and other	-,	
Income before minority interests, equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and discontinued operations Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships Limited partners minority interest in the operating partnership Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures Income before discontinued operations Discontinued operations (net of minority interests): Income from discontinued operations Gains on sales of real estate A1,586 A2,070 B70 B70 B70 B70 B70 B70 B70	Expense Amortization of deferred financing costs	(1,122	, , ,
operations 52,835 19,606 Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships (4,096) (3,779) Limited partners minority interest in the operating partnership (1,549) (692) Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures 396 151 Income before discontinued operations 47,586 15,286 Discontinued operations (net of minority interests): Income from discontinued operations 870 2,070 Gains on sales of real estate 9,286	Total non-operating income and expenses	20,235	(21,428)
Discontinued operations (net of minority interests): Income from discontinued operations Gains on sales of real estate 870 2,070 9,286	operations Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships Limited partners minority interest in the operating partnership	(4,096 (1,549) (3,779)) (692)
Net income \$57,742 \$17,356	Discontinued operations (net of minority interests): Income from discontinued operations	870	
	Net income	\$57,742	\$17,356

Basic net income per weighted average common share:

Common Gains on sales of real estate	\$.17 .41	\$.18
Discontinued operations	.12	.03
Basic net income per common share	\$.70	\$.21
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding Diluted net income per weighted average common share	83,068,082 \$.69	81,100,109 \$.21
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding (see accompanying notes to financial statements)	83,584,086	81,520,971

Back to Contents

RECKSON ASSOCIATES REALTY CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited and in thousands)

Three Months Ended March 31,

	2000	6		2005		_
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						_
NET INCOME	\$	57,742		\$	17,356	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization (including discontinued operations)		34,786			31,293	
Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships		4,096			3,779	
Limited partners minority interest in consolidated partnerships		1,881			786	
Gains on sales of real estate		(44,982)			
Sale of option to acquire joint venture interest		(9,016)			
Undistributed earnings from real estate joint ventures		(120)		(151)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		`	,		•	,
Deferred rents receivable		(5,359)		(7,097)
Prepaid expenses and other assets		6,111	,		10,948	,
Tenant receivables		3,915			(895)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		(11,934)		(7,398	í
Tenant security deposits		(2,982)		1,565	,
Net cash provided by operating activities		34,138			50,186	_
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES:						
Purchases of commercial real estate properties					(73,838)
Additions to Note Receivable Investments		(14,687)		(28,390)
Repayments of Notes Receivable Investments		16,990			1,695	
Additions to developments in progress		(8,090)		(10,809)
Additions to commercial real estate properties		(18,446)		(17,443)
Payment of deferred leasing costs		(5,669)		(4,373)
Distributions from unconsolidated real estate joint ventures		2,140				
Additions to furniture, fixtures and equipment		(204)		(421)
Proceeds from sale of option to acquire joint venture interest		9,016	•			,
Proceeds from sales of real estate		88,038				
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		69,088			(133,579)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:						_
Principal payments on secured borrowings		(74,800)		(2,796)
Proceeds from issuance of senior unsecured notes, net of issuance costs		272,819				
Payment of loan and equity issuance costs		(72)		(95)
Proceeds from unsecured credit facility		15,000			132,000	
Principal payments on unsecured credit facility		(254,000)		(10,000)
Proceeds from unsecured term loan		250,000				
Principal payments on unsecured term loan		(250,000)			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs, and exercise of						
stock options		2,098			2,570	
Distributions to minority partners in consolidated partnerships		(2,639)		(1,313)
Distributions to limited partners in the operating partnership		(1,181)		(1,674)
·		. ,	,		. ,	,

Dividends to common shareholders		(35,284)	 (34,899)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(78,059)	83,793
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		25,167 17,468		400 25,137
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	42,635		\$ 25,537
(see accompanying notes to financial statements)	_		'	

Back to Contents

RECKSON ASSOCIATES REALTY CORP.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2006

(Unaudited)

1. ORGANIZATION AND FORMATION OF THE COMPANY

Reckson Associates Realty Corp. (the Company) is a self-administered and self managed real estate investment trust (REIT) engaged in the ownership, management, operation, acquisition, leasing, financing and development of commercial real estate properties, principally office and to a lesser extent flex properties and also owns land for future development (collectively, the Properties) located in New York City and the surrounding tri-state area markets (the New York Tri-State Markets).

The Company was incorporated in Maryland in September 1994. In June 1995, the Company completed an initial public offering (the IPO) and commenced operations.

The Company became the sole general partner of Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P. (the Operating Partnership) by contributing substantially all of the net proceeds of the IPO in exchange for an approximate 73% interest in the Operating Partnership. The remaining 27% interest in the Operating Partnership was owned primarily by investors who contributed properties or interests in properties to the Operating Partnership in exchange for common units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (OP Units). Since the IPO the Company has completed numerous equity transactions, and contributed any net proceeds received to the Operating Partnership and thereby increasing its general partnership interest. The Company is ownership percentage in the Operating Partnership was approximately 96.9% and 95.9% at March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. All properties acquired by the Company are held by or through the Operating Partnership.

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying interim unaudited financial statements have been prepared by the Company s management pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosure normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) may have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although management believes that the disclosures are adequate so as not to make the information presented misleading. The unaudited financial statements at March 31, 2006 and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 include, in the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial information set forth herein. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2006. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the consolidated financial position of the Company, the Operating Partnership and the Service Companies (as defined below) at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Operating Partnership s investments in majority owned and controlled real estate joint ventures are reflected in the accompanying financial statements on a consolidated basis with a reduction for the minority partners interest. The Operating Partnership s investments in real estate joint ventures, where it owns less than a controlling interest, are reflected in the accompanying financial statements on the equity method of accounting. The Service Companies, which provide management, development and construction services to the Company, the Operating Partnership and to third parties include Reckson Management Group, Inc., RANY Management Group, Inc., Reckson Construction & Development LLC and Reckson Construction Group New York, Inc. (collectively, the Service Companies). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships represent a 49% non-affiliated interest in RT Tri-State LLC, owner of a six property suburban office portfolio located within the New York Tri-State Markets, a 40% non-affiliated interest in Omni Partners, L.P., owner of a 579,000 square foot suburban office property (the Omni Property), and a 49% non-affiliated interest in Metropolitan 919 3rd Avenue, LLC, owner of the property located at 919 Third Avenue, New York, NY. Limited partners minority interests in the Operating Partnership was approximately 3.1 % and 4.1% at March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

At March 31, 2006, the Operating Partnership s investments in unconsolidated real estate joint ventures consisted of a 30% interest in the 1.4 million square foot Class A office tower located at One Court Square, Long Island City, NY (the Court Square JV), a 25%

interest in Reckson Australia Operating Company LLC, owner of a 20 suburban office property portfolio, located within the New York Tri-State Markets, containing approximately 2.8 million square feet (the Australian JV) and an approximate 5% indirect ownership interest in a 550,000 square foot office condominium in a Class A office tower located at 1166 Avenue of the Americas in New York, NY.

Back to Contents

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and footnotes thereto. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Real Estate

Land, buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment are recorded at cost. Tenant improvements, which are included in buildings and improvements, are also stated at cost. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are expensed to operations as they are incurred. Renovations and / or replacements, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

Depreciation is computed utilizing the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of ten to thirty years for buildings and improvements and five to ten years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Tenant improvements, which are included in buildings and improvements, are amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the related leases. Depreciation expense for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 amounted to approximately \$23.4 million and \$20.8 million, respectively.

We are required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives of our properties for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation to reflect on an annual basis with respect to those properties. These assessments have a direct impact on our net income. Should we lengthen the expected useful life of a particular asset, it would be depreciated over more years, and result in less depreciation expense and higher annual net income.

Assessment by us of certain other lease related costs must be made when we have a reason to believe that the tenant will not be able to execute under the term of the lease as originally expected.

On July 1, 2001 and January 1, 2002, we adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No.141, Business Combinations and FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangibles, respectively (Statement No. s 141 and 142). As part of the acquisition of real estate assets, the fair value of the real estate acquired is allocated to the acquired tangible assets, consisting of land, building and building improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases, other value of in-place leases, and value of tenant relationships, based in each case on their fair values.

We allocate a portion of a property s purchase price to tangible assets including the fair value of the building and building improvements on an as-if-vacant basis and to land determined either by real estate tax assessments, independent appraisals or other relevant data. Additionally, we assess fair value of identified intangible assets and liabilities based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information.

Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including the historical operating results, known trends, and market/economic conditions that may affect the property. If we incorrectly estimate the values at acquisition or the undiscounted cash flows, initial allocation of purchase price and future impairment charges may be different.

Long Lived Assets

We are required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the value of our real estate properties and other investments. An investment is value is impaired only if management is estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the investment are less than the carrying value of the investment. Such assessments consider factors such as cash flows, expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors. To the extent impairment has occurred it will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the property. These assessments have a direct impact on our net income, as a recognition of an impairment results in an immediate negative adjustment to net income. In determining impairment, if any, we have followed FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets (Statement No. 144). Statement No. 144 did not have an impact on net income. Statement No. 144 only impacts the presentation of the results of operations and gains on sales of real estate assets for those properties sold during the period within the consolidated statements of income.

Cash Equivalents

We consider highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Tenants lease security deposits aggregating approximately \$8.7 million and \$5.5 million at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, have been included in cash and cash equivalents on the accompanying balance sheets.

7

Back to Contents

Deferred Costs

Tenant leasing commissions, lease incentives and related costs incurred in connection with leasing tenant space are capitalized and amortized over the life of the related lease. Tenanting costs recorded as tenant improvements are amortized and included in depreciation and amortization expense on our consolidated statements of income. In contrast, tenanting costs recorded as lease incentives are amortized against base rents on our consolidated statements of income.

Loan costs incurred in obtaining financing are capitalized and amortized over the term of the related loan.

Costs incurred in connection with equity offerings are charged to stockholders equity when incurred.

Income Taxes

Commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 1995, the Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its stockholders. It is management is current intention to adhere to these requirements and maintain the Company is REIT status. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to corporate level income tax on taxable income it distributes currently to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for the subsequent four taxable years. Even if the Company qualifies as a REIT, the Company may be subject to certain state and local taxes, including tax on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income. In addition, taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through the Company is taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to federal, state and local income taxes.

Revenue Recognition & Accounts Receivable

Minimum rental revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis, which averages minimum rents over the terms of the leases. The excess of rents recognized over amounts contractually due are included in deferred rents receivable on the accompanying balance sheets. Contractually due but unpaid rents are included in tenant receivables on the accompanying balance sheets. Certain lease agreements also provide for reimbursement of real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance costs and indexed rental increases, which are recorded on an accrual basis. Ancillary and other property related income is recognized in the period earned.

We make estimates of the collectibility of our accounts receivables related to base rents, tenant escalations and reimbursements and other revenue or income. We specifically analyze tenant receivables and historical bad debts, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts. In addition, when tenants are in bankruptcy, we make estimates of the expected recovery of pre-petition administrative and damage claims. In some cases, the ultimate resolution of those claims can exceed a year. These estimates have a direct impact on our net income because a higher bad debt reserve results in less net income.

We incurred approximately \$270,000 and \$392,000 of bad debt expense and related costs related to tenant receivables during the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which accordingly reduced our total revenues and reported net income during those periods.

We record interest income on our investments in notes receivable on the accrual basis of accounting. We do not accrue interest on impaired loans where, in the judgment of management, collection of interest according to the contractual terms is considered doubtful. Among the factors we consider in making an evaluation of the collectibility of interest are: (i) the status of the loan, (ii) the value of the underlying collateral, (iii) the financial condition of the borrower and (iv) anticipated future events.

Reckson Construction & Development LLC and Reckson Construction Group New York, Inc. use the percentage-of-completion method for recording amounts earned on their contracts. This method records amounts earned as revenue in the proportion that actual costs incurred to date bear to the estimate of total costs at contract completion.

Gain on the sale of real estate is recorded when title is conveyed to the buyer, subject to the buyer s financial commitment being sufficient to provide economic substance to the sale and us having no substantial continuing involvement with the buyer. Additionally, in connection with a sale of real estate, if we retain certain risks in the form of guarantees, the profit recognized on that sale shall be reduced and deferred by the maximum exposure to loss, until such exposure is relieved.

Back to Contents

Earnings Per Share

We follow the guidance provided for under FASB Statement No. 128, Earnings per Share (Statement No. 128) which replaced the calculation of primary and fully diluted earnings per share with basic and diluted earnings per share. Unlike primary earnings per share, basic earnings per share excludes any dilutive effects of options, warrants and convertible securities. Diluted earnings per share are very similar to the previously reported fully diluted earnings per share. The conversion of OP Units into common stock would not have a significant effect on per share amounts as the OP Units share proportionately with the common stock in the results of the Operating Partnership is operations.

Stock Options

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure (Statement No. 148). Statement No. 148 amends FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (Statement No. 123), to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily adopts the fair value recognition method of recording stock option expense.

On December 16, 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (Statement No. 123R), which is a revision of Statement No. 123. Statement No. 123R supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and amends FASB Statement No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach in Statement No. 123R is similar to the approach described in Statement No. 123. However, Statement No. 123R requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. We adopted Statement No. 123R on January 1, 2006. The adoption of Statement No. 123R did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2002, we elected to follow Statement No. 123 and use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the value of stock options granted to employees and continue to use this acceptable option valuation model upon our required adoption of Statement No. 123R on January 1, 2006. Because Statement No. 123R must be applied not only to new awards but to previously granted awards that are not fully vested on the effective date, and because we adopted Statement No. 123 using the prospective transition method (which applied only to awards granted, modified or settled after the adoption date), compensation cost for previously granted awards that were not recognized under Statement No. 123 would be recognized under Statement No. 123R. However, on January 1, 2006, the date we adopted Statement No. 123R, all of the unexercised options outstanding under our stock option plans were fully vested. As a result, no additional expense will be recognized. In addition, had we adopted Statement No. 123R in the prior period, there would be no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

We report comprehensive income or loss in accordance with the provisions of FASB Statement No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income , which establishes standards for reporting comprehensive income and its components in the financial statements. The components of other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI) consist of unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments. OCI is presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of stockholders equity.

Derivative Instruments

FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (Statement No. 133), as amended, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities.

The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

As required by Statement No. 133, we record all derivatives on our balance sheet at fair value. For effective hedges, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative will be offset against the corresponding change in fair value of the hedged asset, liability, or firm commitment through earnings or recognized in OCI until the hedged item is recognized in earnings.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in OCI and subsequently reclassified to earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings, and the ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings. We assess the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction. For derivatives not designated as hedges, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. However, in the normal course of our business and

9

Back to Contents

to help us manage our debt issuances and maturities, we do use derivative financial instruments in the form of cash flow hedges to protect ourselves against potentially rising interest rates.

Variable Interest Entities

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46), which explains how to identify variable interest entities (VIEs) and how to assess whether to consolidate such entities. VIEs are primarily entities that lack sufficient equity to finance their activities without additional financial support from other parties or whose equity holders lack adequate decision making ability. All VIEs which we are involved with must be evaluated to determine the primary beneficiary of the risks and rewards of the VIE. The primary beneficiary is required to consolidate the VIE for financial reporting purposes. The initial determination of whether an entity qualifies as a VIE shall be made as of the date at which a primary beneficiary becomes involved with the entity and reconsidered as of the date of a triggering event, as defined. The provisions of this interpretation are immediately effective for VIEs formed after January 31, 2003. In December 2003 the FASB issued FIN 46R, deferring the effective date until the period ended March 31, 2004 for interests held by public companies in VIEs created before February 1, 2003, which were non-special purpose entities. We adopted FIN 46R during the period ended March 31, 2004 and have determined that our unconsolidated subsidiaries do not represent VIEs pursuant to such interpretation. We will continue to monitor any changes in circumstances relating to certain of our consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures which could result in a change in our consolidation policy.

Current pronouncements

In June 2005, the FASB ratified the consensus in EITF Issue No. 04-5, Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights (Issue 04-5), which provides guidance in determining whether a general partner controls a limited partnership. Issue 04-5 states that the general partner in a limited partnership is presumed to control that limited partnership. The presumption may be overcome if the limited partners have either (1) the substantive ability to dissolve the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partner without cause or (2) substantive participating rights, which provide the limited partners with the ability to effectively participate in significant decisions that would be expected to be made in the ordinary course of the limited partnership is business and thereby preclude the general partner from exercising unilateral control over the partnership. The adoption of Issue 04-5 by us for new or modified limited partnership arrangements is effective June 30, 2005 and for existing limited partnership arrangements effective January 1, 2006. We have evaluated the guidance provided for under Issue 04-5 and have concluded that we are not required to consolidate our current unconsolidated joint venture investments nor do we expect Issue 04-5 to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2005, the FASB issued Statement No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (Statement No. 154). Statement No. 154, which replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes and FASB Statement No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements, changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. The statement requires retrospective application of changes in accounting principle to prior periods financial statements unless it is impracticable to determine the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. Statement No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. We adopted Statement No. 154 on January 1, 2006. The adoption of Statement No. 154 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 2005, FASB issued Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations (FIN 47), which became effective December 31, 2005. Under FIN 47, a conditional asset retirement obligation (CARO) must be recorded if the liability can be reasonably estimated. A CARO is an obligation that is settled at the time an asset is retired or disposed of and for which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on future events. We own certain properties that currently have asbestos which under certain conditions must be remediated. As a result of adopting FIN 47, we will increase the value of our recorded tangible assets at the time we recognize the associated conditional retirement obligation.

As a result, during 2005, we recorded approximately \$2.0 million which represents the fair value of the CARO related to asbestos removal in tenant spaces. In addition, for certain limited areas of our properties, management is unable to reasonably determine the fair value of potential remediation costs as there is an indeterminate settlement date for the asset retirement obligation because the range of time over which way we may choose to remediate this condition may not be estimated with any level of precision which would lend itself to a meaningful estimate.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Back to Contents

3. MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE

On January 6, 2006, we sold two of our suburban office properties; 6800 and 6900 Jericho Turnpike, Jericho, NY to the Australian JV, subject to their mortgage debt of approximately \$20.1 million. The Australian JV subsequently pre-paid the mortgage notes with proceeds from a new mortgage financing transaction.

Pursuant to the terms of the mortgage note encumbering the property located at 1350 Avenue of the Americas in New York, NY, the note was prepayable, without penalty, subsequent to March 31, 2006. On March 31, 2006, we satisfied the note and unencumbered the property by repaying the outstanding balance of approximately \$71.9 million with proceeds received from property sales and cash-on-hand.

At March 31, 2006, we had 12 fixed rate mortgage notes payable with an aggregate outstanding principal amount of approximately \$530.2 million. These mortgage notes are secured by properties with an aggregate cost basis at March 31, 2006 of approximately \$1.1 billion and which are pledged as collateral against the mortgage notes payable. In addition, approximately \$41.3 million of the \$530.2 million is recourse to the Company. The mortgage notes bear interest at rates ranging from 5.20% to 8.50%, and mature between 2006 and 2015. The weighted average interest rates on the outstanding mortgage notes payable at March 31, 2006 was approximately 7.1%.

Certain of the mortgage notes payable are guaranteed by the Company and/or certain limited partners in the Operating Partnership. In addition, consistent with customary practices in non-recourse lending, certain non-recourse mortgages may be recourse to the Company under certain limited circumstances including environmental issues and breaches of material representations.

The following table sets forth our mortgage notes payable at March 31, 2006, by scheduled maturity date (dollars in thousands):

Property		cipal Amount standing	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Amortization Term (Years)
Landmark Square, Stamford, CT 100 Summit Lake Drive, Valhalla, NY	(a)	\$ 41,307 14,141	8.02 8.50	% October, 2006 % April, 2007	25 15
333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Mitchel Field, NY	(b)	50,313	7.72	% August, 2007	25
810 Seventh Avenue, NY, NY	(c)	77,383	7.73	% August, 2009	25
275 Broadhollow Road, Melville, NY	(c)	15,060	7.73	% August, 2009	25
90 Merrick Avenue, East Meadow, NY	(c)	18,473	7.73	% August, 2009	25
580 White Plains Road, Tarrytown, NY	(d)	11,941	7.86	% September, 2010	25
520 Broadhollow Road, Melville, NY	(e)	11,869	5.20	% October, 2010	Interest Only
50 Marcus Avenue, Melville, NY	(e)	28,277	5.20	% October, 2010	Interest Only
1660 Walt Whitman Road, Melville, NY	(e)	11,386	5.20	% October, 2010	Interest Only
919 Third Avenue, NY, NY	(f)	237,480	6.87	% July, 2011	30
711 Westchester Avenue, White Plains, NY		 12,525	5.36	% January, 2015	30 (g)
Total / Weighted average		\$ 530,155	7.09	%	

⁽a) Encompasses six Class A office properties.

⁽b) At March 31, 2006, we had a 60% general partnership interest in this property and our proportionate share of the aggregate principal amount of the mortgage was approximately \$30.2 million.

⁽c) These mortgages are cross-collateralized.

⁽d) The property subject to this mortgage is contracted to be sold to the Australian JV in October 2006.

⁽e) These mortgages are cross-collateralized by properties that are contracted to be sold, subject to the mortgages, to the Australian JV in October 2006

⁽f) We have a 51% membership interest in this property and our proportionate share of the aggregate principal amount of the mortgage is approximately \$121.1 million.

⁽⁹⁾ This mortgage note is interest only through January 2007 and then amortizes over a 30-year period.

Back to Contents

Scheduled principal repayments to be made during the next five years and thereafter, for mortgage notes payable outstanding at March 31, 2006, are as follows (in thousands):

	Principal	Due at	
	Amortization	Maturity	Total
2006	\$ 7,866	\$40,402	\$48,268
2007	8,406	60,642	69,048
2008	7,370		7,370
2009	6,774	100,254	107,028
2010	4,665	62,105	66,770
Thereafter	3,236	228,435	231,671
	\$ 38,317	\$491,838	\$530,155

At March 31, 2006, our unconsolidated joint ventures had total indebtedness of approximately \$841.9 million, which was comprised of \$33.0 million of floating rate unsecured debt and approximately \$808.9 million of fixed rate mortgage indebtedness with a weighted average interest rate of approximately 5.4% and a weighted average maturity of approximately 9.4 years. Our aggregate pro-rata share of the unconsolidated joint venture debt was approximately \$181.5 million.

4. SENIOR UNSECURED NOTES

During March 2006, the Operating Partnership issued \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of ten-year 6.00% senior unsecured notes. Interest on the notes will be payable semi-annually on May 15 and November 15, commencing May 15, 2006. Prior to the issuance of these notes, we entered into an anticipatory interest rate hedge instrument to protect ourselves against potentially rising interest rates. At the time the notes were issued, this instrument was settled and we received a net benefit of approximately \$490,000. Such benefit has been recorded to OCI and is being amortized as a yield adjustment to the fixed rate notes. The net proceeds from the offering, after the underwriter s discounts and expenses, were approximately \$272.5 million and were used for the repayment of amounts outstanding under our term loan. (See Note 5)

At March 31, 2006, the Operating Partnership had outstanding approximately \$1.25 billion (net of unamortized issuance discounts) of senior unsecured notes (the Senior Unsecured Notes). The following table sets forth the Operating Partnership's Senior Unsecured Notes and other related disclosures by scheduled maturity date (dollars in thousands):

Issuance	Face Amount	Coupon Rate	Term (in Years)	Maturity
			_ `	
June 17, 2002	\$50,000	6.00	% 5	June 15, 2007
August 27, 1997	150,000	7.20	% 10	August 28, 2007
March 26, 1999	200,000	7.75	% 10	March 15, 2009
January 22, 2004	150,000	5.15	% 7	January 15, 2011
August 13, 2004	150,000	5.875	% 10	August 15, 2014
March 31, 2006	275,000	6.00	% 10	March 31, 2016
June 27, 2005	287,500	4.00	% 20	June 15, 2025 (a)
	\$1,262,500			

⁽a) Exchangable senior debentures which are callable after June 17, 2010 at 100% of par. In addition, the debentures can be put to us, at the option of the holder at par, on June 15, 2010, 2015 and 2020.

Interest on the Senior Unsecured Notes is payable semiannually with principal and unpaid interest due on the scheduled maturity dates. In addition, certain of the Senior Unsecured Notes were issued at discounts aggregating approximately \$8.6 million. Such discounts are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the Senior Unsecured Notes to which they relate. Through

March 31, 2006, approximately \$956,000 of the aggregate discounts have been amortized.

5. UNSECURED CREDIT FACILITY AND TERM LOAN

We currently maintain our \$500 million Credit Facility with JPMorgan Chase Bank, as administrative agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as syndication agent and Citicorp, North America, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association as co-documentation agents. The Credit Facility matures in August 2008, provides for a one-year extension subject to a fee of 25 basis points and, upon receiving additional lender commitments, for an increase to the maximum revolving credit amount to \$750 million. In addition, borrowings under the Credit Facility accrue interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 80 basis points and the Credit Facility carries a facility fee of 20 basis points per annum. In the event of a change in the Operating Partnership s senior unsecured credit ratings the interest rates and facility fee are subject to change. At March 31, 2006, the outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility aggregated \$180.0 million and carried a weighted

12

Back to Contents

average interest rate of 5.46% per annum.

We utilize the Credit Facility primarily to finance real estate investments, fund our real estate development activities and for working capital purposes. At March 31, 2006, we had availability under the Credit Facility to borrow approximately an additional \$319.9 million, subject to compliance with certain financial covenants. Such amount is net of approximately \$100,000 in an outstanding undrawn standby letter of credit, which is issued under the Credit Facility.

In connection with the acquisition of certain properties, contributing partners of such properties have provided guarantees on certain of our indebtedness. As a result, we maintain certain minimum outstanding balances on our Credit Facility.

On January 13, 2006, we obtained a \$250.0 million term loan (the Term Loan) from Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company. The Term Loan was for an initial period of three months and had terms, including interest rates and financial covenants, substantially similar to our Credit Facility. Proceeds from the Term Loan were used to repay outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility. On March 31, 2006, in conjunction with net proceeds received from the issuance of Senior Unsecured Notes, we repaid the entire amount outstanding under the Term Loan. As a result, the Term Loan has been retired and is no longer available for borrowings thereunder.

6. COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS

In May 2005, we acquired a 1.4 million square foot, 50-story, Class A office tower located at One Court Square, Long Island City, NY. On November 30, 2005, we sold a 70% joint venture interest in One Court Square to certain institutional funds advised by JPMorgan Investment Management (the JPM Investor). The operating agreement of the Court Square JV requires approvals from members on certain decisions including annual budgets, sale of the property, refinancing of the property is mortgage debt and material renovations to the property. In addition, after September 20, 2009 the members each have the right to recommend the sale of the property, subject to the terms of the mortgage debt, and to dissolve the Court Square JV. We have evaluated the impact of FIN 46R on our accounting for the Court Square JV and have concluded that the Court Square JV is not a VIE. We account for the Court Square JV under the equity method of accounting. We have also evaluated and determined that under Issue 04-5 the JPM Investor has substantive participating rights in the ordinary course of the Court Square JV is business. In accordance with the equity method of accounting, our proportionate share of the Court Square JV income was approximately \$42,600 for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

On May 26, 2005, we entered into a contract to sell approximately 60 acres of land located in Chatham Township, NJ for up to approximately \$30.0 million, which will be based upon a final approved site plan. The sale is contingent upon due diligence, environmental assessment, final re-zoning and other customary approvals. There can be no assurances that any of the aforementioned contingences will be achieved and the sale ultimately completed.

On August 18, 2005, we entered into (i) an underwriting agreement relating to the public offering in Australia of approximately A\$263.0 million (approximately US\$202.0 million) of units (LPT Units) in a newly-formed Reckson-sponsored Australian listed property trust, Reckson New York Property Trust (Reckson LPT), a newly-formed listed property trust which is traded on the Australian Stock Exchange and (ii) contribution and sale agreements pursuant to which, among other things, we agreed to transfer 25 of our properties for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$563.0 million and containing an aggregate of 3.4 million square feet, in three separate tranches, to the Australian JV in exchange for a 25% interest in the Australian JV and approximately \$502.0 million in cash (inclusive of proceeds from mortgage debt to be assumed by the Australian JV). On September 21, 2005, Reckson LPT completed its public offering and the closing of the first of three tranches (Tranche I) of this transaction.

In connection with the Tranche I closing, the Australian JV acquired from us 17 of our suburban office properties containing approximately 2.0 million square feet for approximately \$367.0 million (including the assumption of approximately \$196.1 million in mortgage debt which had been incurred by us in August 2005 see Note 2). In return, we received a 25% interest in the Australian JV and approximately \$128.1 million in cash resulting in an aggregate gain of approximately \$103.6 million. As discussed below relating to certain guarantees we have made, approximately \$18 million of the aggregate gain has been deferred to future periods pursuant to Statement No. 66 to coincide with the release of the guarantees. As a result, gains on sales of real estate reported in 2005, related to the Tranche I closing was approximately \$82.7 million, net of limited partners minority interest. Approximately \$22.0 million of the cash received was used to repay certain of our secured mortgage indebtedness on September 30, 2005 and approximately \$105.7 million of the cash received was used to establish an escrow account with a qualified intermediary for a future exchange of real property pursuant to Section 1031 of the Code (a Section 1031 Exchange). A Section 1031 Exchange allows for the deferral of taxes related to the gain attributable to the sale of property if a qualified replacement property is identified within 45 days and such qualified replacement property is acquired within 180 days from the initial sale. On October 7, 2005 we acquired a qualified replacement property for purposes of this Section 1031 Exchange and thereby deferred a portion of the tax gain from the Tranche I sale.

In connection with the foregoing, on September 21, 2005, Reckson Australia Holdings LLC (Reckson Holdings), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, and Reckson Australia LPT Corporation (LPT REIT), a U.S. real estate investment trust which is wholly-owned by Reckson LPT, entered into the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement governing the Australian JV (the Operating Agreement). Pursuant to the Operating Agreement, LPT REIT holds a 75% interest in, and acts as the managing member for, the Australian JV, and Reckson Holdings holds a 25% non-managing member interest therein. The Operating Agreement provides that, if at any time additional capital contributions are made to the Australian JV, Reckson Holdings will have a right to make additional capital contributions up to an amount necessary to maintain its 25% interest therein on the same terms and conditions as such other capital

13

Back to Contents

contributions.

As the managing member of the Australian JV, LPT REIT has the sole responsibility for managing its business and affairs on a day-to-day basis, other than with respect to certain identified major decisions, including but not limited to a merger or consolidation involving the Australian JV, a disposition of all or substantially all of its assets, or the liquidation or dissolution of the Australian JV. Such major decisions require the prior written consent of a majority of the non-managing members. As a result of the foregoing, we are accounting for our 25% non-managing member interest in the Australian JV under the equity method of accounting. In accordance with the equity method of accounting, our proportionate share of the Australian JV s income was approximately \$231,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

On January 6, 2006, Reckson LPT completed the second Tranche of this transaction (Tranche II) whereby the Australian JV acquired three of our suburban office properties: 6800 and 6900 Jericho Turnpike, Jericho, NY and 710 Bridgeport Avenue, Shelton, CT, (the Tranche II Properties) aggregating approximately 761,000 square feet for approximately \$84.6 million, including the assignment of approximately \$20.1 million of mortgage debt. As a result, gains on sales of real estate related to Tranche II is approximately \$34.3 million, net of limited partners minority interest. Approximately \$25.1 million of sales proceeds was used to establish an escrow account for the purpose of a future Section 1031 Exchange. Such amount is included in prepaid expenses and other assets on our consolidated balance sheet. The balance of the cash proceeds was used to fund our development activities and for general corporate purposes There can be no assurances that we will be able to identify and acquire qualified replacement properties within the required time frames under a Section 1031 Exchange, in which case we would not receive the tax benefit of such an exchange.

The Tranche III closing (Tranche III), consisting of five of our suburban office properties valued at approximately \$111.8 million, is scheduled to close in October 2006 and will include the assumption by the Australian JV of approximately \$51.5 million of existing mortgage debt. The Tranche III closing is subject to customary closing conditions and the Tranche III Properties consist of: 520 Broadhollow Road, 50 Marcus Avenue, 1660 Walt Whitman Road, all of which are located in Melville, NY, 580 White Plains Road, Tarrytown, NY and 300 Executive Park Drive, West Orange, NJ.

Our Service Companies provide asset management, property management, leasing, construction and other services to the Australian JV and affiliates of ours are entitled to transaction fees and ongoing fees for providing services to the Australian JV. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we earned and received approximately \$819,000 in transaction related fees and approximately \$894,000 of ongoing fees from the Australian JV. Such amounts are included in investment income and other on our consolidated statements of income. In addition, we also formed Reckson Australia Management Limited (RAML), a wholly owned subsidiary, that will manage Reckson LPT and serve as its Responsible Entity. The Responsible Entity will be managed by a six member board that includes three independent directors domiciled in Australia and three of the Company is executive officers. To address and mitigate any potential conflicts of interest with Reckson LPT or its affiliates the Company has adopted the following policies: (i) all transactions between the Company and Reckson LPT or its affiliates shall require the approval of a majority of the independent directors of both the Company and Reckson LPT, (ii) executive officers and directors of the Company are prohibited from owning equity in Reckson LPT, and (iii) the adoption of an express policy which mandates that property services and leasing decisions shall be made without regard to the Company is percentage ownership of any property.

Under the Operating Agreement, Reckson Holdings will have the right, beginning September 21, 2007, to require LPT REIT to redeem all or a portion of Reckson Holdings membership interest in the Australian JV for cash or, at LPT REIT s option, shares of LPT REIT s common stock (which may be exchanged for LPT Units) on a one-for-one basis. Reckson Holdings also has the right to cause the liquidation of the Australian JV in the event that RAML is replaced as Reckson LPT s Responsible Entity. In addition, the Operating Agreement contains a right of first refusal granting Reckson Holdings the right to acquire any asset of the Australian JV, at fair market value, in the event of an attempted sale of such asset or the exercise of Reckson Holdings right to liquidate the Australian JV.

In connection with the Tranche I closing, on September 21, 2005, the Company, the Australian JV and LPT REIT entered into an Option Agreement (the Option Agreement) pursuant to which we grantable Australian JV options to acquire ten additional properties from the Operating Partnership over a two year period, beginning January 1, 2006. The properties contain an aggregate of approximately 1.2 million square feet and will be priced based on the fair market value. The Option Agreement contains a right of first refusal granting the Australian JV the right to acquire any option property from Reckson in the event we receive, and are amenable to, an offer from a third party to purchase such option property. The Option Agreement will terminate under certain circumstances, including if (i) the Australian JV sends notice of its intent to exercise its option but fails to close as obligated, (ii) the Australian JV is in default under the Option Agreement, the contribution agreement or the sale agreement or (iii) RAML or an affiliate of ours is no longer the Responsible Entity of Reckson LPT.

In connection with the mortgage indebtedness securing nine of the Tranche I properties, which were transferred to the Australian JV on September 21, 2005, and three of the Tranche III properties scheduled to be transferred to the Australian JV during October 2006, we have guaranteed to the lender certain customary non-recourse carve-outs, as well as certain obligations relating to the potential termination of a number of leases at four of these properties. We have also guaranteed to the lender certain capital requirements related to these properties. We will be relieved of the customary non-recourse carve-outs and capital requirements upon transfer of the respective properties to the Australian JV and the Australian JV meeting a net worth test of at least \$100.0 million. We will be relieved of all but two of the lease related obligations upon transfer of the respective properties to the Australian JV and the Australian JV meeting a net worth test of at least \$200.0 million. The Australian JV has agreed to indemnify us for any loss, cost or damage it may incur pursuant to our guaranty of these obligations. As of March 31, 2006, the Australian JV met the \$100.0 million net worth threshold and there remain approximately

Back to Contents

\$18 million of aggregate guarantees outstanding.

In accordance with FASB Statement No. 144, the assets and liabilities of the properties transferred and to be transferred, excluding the option properties, to the Australian JV are classified as held for sale on our consolidated balance sheets, for all periods presented.

During September 2005, we entered into a letter of intent with an entity owned by the owner of the New York Islanders professional hockey team to enter into a 50 / 50 joint venture to potentially develop over five million square feet of office, residential, retail and hotel space located on 77 acres in the Mitchel Field, Long Island sub-market in and around Nassau County s Veterans Memorial Coliseum where we are currently the largest owner of office properties. In March 2006, the joint venture was selected by the County Executive for the development of the 77 acre site. The development remains subject to certain conditions and governmental approvals, including legislative, zoning and other customary approvals. In addition, there can be no assurances that we will enter into the aforementioned joint venture, that all applicable conditions will be satisfied or that all required approvals can be obtained.

On March 7, 2006, we sold our 354,000 square foot office building in Orlando, Florida for aggregate consideration of approximately \$70.0 million which resulted in a gain of approximately \$9.3 million, net of limited partners minority interest. Such gain is reflected as a component of discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of income. This non-core real estate holding was acquired in May 1999 in connection with our initial New York City portfolio acquisition. Net proceeds from the sale were used to establish an escrow account with a qualified intermediary for a future Section 1031 Exchange. Such amount is included in prepaid expenses and other assets on our consolidated balance sheet. There can be no assurances that we will be able to identify and acquire qualified replacement properties within the required time frames under a Section 1031 Exchange, in which case we would not receive the tax benefit of such an exchange.

On March 31, 2006, we sold a 161,000 square foot office building located in Westchester County for \$35.3 million. Sales proceeds received were used for the repayment of the mortgage note encumbering the property located at 1350 Avenue of the Americas in New York, NY. This non-core real estate holding was acquired in December 2005 as part of a 14 office property portfolio acquisition.

On March 31, 2006, a group of institutional investors led by JPMorgan Investment Management, our joint venture partner in the Court Square JV and the property located at 919 Third Avenue, NY purchased our option to acquire the existing minority partners 40% partnership interest in the Omni Property for net proceeds of approximately \$9.0 million. Such proceeds have been included in investment income and other on our consolidated statements of income. In connection with this transaction, the original minority partner repaid to us approximately \$22.1 million representing amounts due under a note receivable which was secured by their interest in the Omni Property. Such aggregate proceeds to us of approximately \$31.2 million were used for the repayment of the mortgage note encumbering the property located at 1350 Avenue of the Americas in New York, NY. At March 31, 2006, the Omni Property was approximately 96% leased.

As of March 31, 2006, we owned and operated 94 office properties (inclusive of twenty-six office properties owned through joint ventures) comprising approximately 19.3 million square feet and eight flex properties (inclusive of two flex properties owned through joint ventures) comprising approximately 863,000 square feet located in the New York Tri-State Markets.

We also own certain land parcels throughout our markets in the New York Tri-State Markets which we hold for current and future development (the Development Parcels). We recently completed the ground-up development on one of the Development Parcels of a 305,000 square foot Class A office building which commenced in July 2004 and is located within our existing three building executive office park in Melville, NY with a total investment of approximately \$64.0 million. During July 2005, we commenced the ground-up development on one of the Development Parcels of a 37,000 square foot Class A retail property located within our existing six building Landmark Square office park in Stamford, Connecticut. In August 2005, we recommenced the ground-up development of one of the Development Parcels of a 313,000 square foot Class A office building located within our existing three building office park located in Princeton, NJ. Further, one of the Development Parcels, aggregating approximately 4.1 acres, is classified as held for sale on our balance sheets and is expected to close during September 2006 for aggregate consideration of \$2.0 million. Excluding the foregoing, at March 31, 2006 our inventory of Development Parcels aggregated approximately 305 acres of land in 9 separate parcels in which we had invested approximately \$121.9 million. In addition, as previously discussed, in May 2005, we entered into a contract to sell approximately 60 acres of vacant land in Chatham Township, NJ, subject to a change in zoning and other conditions. There can be no assurances that such conditions will be met or that the transaction will be consummated.

Management has made subjective assessments as to the value and recoverability of our investments in the Development Parcels based on current and proposed development plans, market comparable land values and alternative use values. Based on these assessments, we believe there is no impairment to the carrying value of the Development Parcels. We are currently evaluating alternative land uses for certain of the remaining Development Parcels to realize their highest economic value. These alternatives may include rezoning certain Development Parcels from commercial to residential for potential disposition.

Discontinued Operations

At March 31, 2006, we had identified five of our operating properties and one parcel of land as held for sale in accordance with Statement No. 144. We have classified the assets and liabilities for these properties and parcel of land at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 on our consolidated balance sheets as held for sale. In addition, where we will not have a continuing interest in their operations, we have classified their results of operations, for all periods presented, as discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of income.

Back to Contents

In addition, during 2006 we sold two of our operating properties. We have classified the assets and liabilities for these properties at December 31, 2005 on our consolidated balance sheet as held for sale. In addition, we have classified their results of operations, for all periods presented, and gains from their sales, as discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of income.

The following table sets forth those assets and liabilities classified on our balances sheets as held for sale (in thousands):

	March 31, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
Properties held for sale at March 31, 2006: The Australian JV Tranche III Properties Land parcel located in Long Island, New York	\$66,122 1,129	\$63,955	\$66,558 1,123	\$64,015
Properties sold during 2006: The Australian JV Tranche II Properties One operating property located in Westchester County, New York (a) One Orlando Centre located in Orlando, Florida			35,182 31,977 59,457	20,311 190 56
Totals	\$67,251	\$63,955	\$194,297	\$84,572

The following table sets forth the income from discontinued operations and the related net gains on sales of real estate for those properties sold during the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands and net of minority and limited partners interests):

	Three months ended March 31,		
	2006	2005	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations: 310 / 333 East Shore Road, Great Neck, New York 48 Harbor Park Drive, Port Washington, New York	\$	\$96 63	
100 Wall Street, New York, New York One Orlando Centre located in Orlando, Florida One operating property located in Westchester County, New York (a)	405 465	1,564 347	
Total income from discontinued operations	870	2,070	
Gains on sales of real estate: One Orlando Centre located in Orlando, Florida One operating property located in Westchester County, New York (a)	9,286	(b)	
Total gains on sales of real estate discontinued operations	9,286		
Total discontinued operations	\$10,156	\$2,070	

- (a) Property acquired December 2005.
- (b) Property s cost basis was equivalent to the sales price resulting in no gain or loss.

Back to Contents

Note Receivable Investments

On March 30, 2006, we advanced approximately \$14.2 million under three separate loan agreements which are secured by certain flex properties, aggregating approximately 450,000 square feet, located in Nassau County, Long Island and in part by a personal guarantee of an affiliate of the borrower. These loans have an initial weighted average interest rate of 15.3% and mature on April 1, 2008. In addition, the loans are not prepayable, without penalty, prior to October 1, 2007.

On March 31, 2006, as discussed above, we were repaid approximately \$22.1 million, including accrued interest, under a 12.0% per annum, \$17.0 million note receivable investment which was secured by a minority partnership interest in the Omni Property.

At March 31, 2006, we had invested approximately \$93.4 million in mezzanine loans and approximately \$55.3 million in a participating loan investment. In general these investments are secured by a pledge of either a direct or indirect ownership interest in the underlying real estate or leasehold, other guaranties, pledges and assurances.

The following table sets forth the terms of the mezzanine loans at March 31, 2006 (in thousands):

Property	An	nount	Interest Rate	Funding	Maturity
Long Island office portfolio Long Island office portfolio 72 Madison Avenue, NY, NY (b) 1166 Avenue of the Americas, NY, NY (c) 100 Wall Street, NY, NY	\$	8,031 20,356 10,000 25,000 30,000	9.00 9.00 20.00 17.50 15.00	% Mar., 2005 % Mar., 2005 % Oct., 2005 % Nov., 2005 % Dec., 2005	Apr., 2010 (a) Apr., 2012 (a) Oct., 2007 Nov., 2009 Dec., 2007
	\$	93,387			

- (a) Prepayable without penalty after 18 months from initial funding.
- (b) In addition to this mortgage loan, Reckson Construction and Development, LLC (RCD) entered into a development agreement with the owner of the property to perform certain predevelopment, development and / or other services with respect to the property. In exchange for its services, RCD will receive a development fee of \$2.0 million which is payable in equal monthly installments over a two-year period.
- (c) Junior mezzanine loan secured by interests in a 550,000 square foot condominium interest.

Our \$55.3 million participating loan investment was funded in May 2005 and is secured by an indirect interest in a 550,000 square foot condominium in a Class A office tower located at 1166 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY. The loan accrues interest compounded at 9.0% and pays interest at an annual rate of 6.0% through March 2010, 8.5% thereafter through March 2015 and 11.0% thereafter through maturity in 2020. The loan is pre-payable only under certain circumstances and, in any case, not before 2009. Upon a capital event related to the indirect interest in the property which secures the loan, we are entitled to participate in 30% of the net proceeds derived from such capital event.

As of March 31, 2006, we held one other note receivable, which aggregated \$1.0 million and carried an interest rate of 10.50% per annum (the Other Note) and collectively with the loans advanced during March 2006, our mezzanine loans and preferred loan investment (the Note Receivable Investments). The Other Note matures on January 31, 2010 and is secured in part by a minority partner s preferred unit interest in the Operating Partnership.

As of March 31, 2006, management has made subjective assessments as to the underlying security value on the Note Receivable Investments. Based on these assessments, we believe there is no impairment to their carrying value.

Back to Contents

7. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Basic net income per share on the Company s common stock was calculated using the weighted average number of shares outstanding of 83,068,082 and 81,100,109 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table sets forth our reconciliation of numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted net income per weighted average common share and the computation of basic and diluted net income per weighted average share for the Company s common stock (in thousands except for earnings per share data):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
Numerator: Income before discontinued operations Discontinued operations (net of share applicable to limited partners and minority interests)	\$47,586 10,156	\$15,286 2,070
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$57,742	\$17,356
Denominator: Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average common shares Effect of dilutive securities: Common stock equivalents	83,068 516	81,100 421
Denominator for diluted earnings per common share weighted average shares and assumed conversions	83,584	81,521
Basic earnings per weighted average common share: Income from continuing operations Gains on sales of real estate Discontinued operations	\$.17 .41 .12	\$.18 .03
Net income per common share	\$.70	\$.21
Diluted earnings per weighted average common share: Income from continuing operations Gains on sales of real estate Discontinued operations	\$.15 .42 .12	\$.18 .03
Net income per common share	\$.69	\$.21

In calculating diluted net income per weighted average common share, the Company takes into consideration any security that may be potentially dilutive with respect to its common stock. For all periods presented, securities considered to be potentially dilutive include, to the extent outstanding, stock option grants and convertible debentures. OP Units have a distribution rate equivalent to a share of common stock and are convertible into common stock on a one for one basis. As such, OP Units are not dilutive with respect to the common stock.

A Class A OP Unit and a share of common stock have similar economic characteristics as they effectively share equally in the net income or loss and distributions of the Operating Partnership. As of March 31, 2006, the Operating Partnership had issued and outstanding 1,552,133 Class A OP Units and 465,845 Class C OP Units. The Class A OP Units and the Company s common stock currently receive a guarterly distribution of \$0.4246 per unit/share. The Class C OP Units were issued in August 2003 in connection

with the contribution of real property to the Operating Partnership and currently receive a quarterly distribution of \$0.4664 per unit. Subject to certain holding periods, OP Units may either be redeemed for cash or, at the election of the Company, exchanged for shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis.

During June 2005, the Operating Partnership issued \$287.5 million aggregate principal amount of 4.00% exchangeable senior debentures due June 15, 2025. The debentures were issued at 98% of par and are exchangeable for shares of common stock of the Company on or after June 15, 2024 at an initial exchange rate of 24.6124 common shares per \$1,000 of principal amount of debentures. The debentures are also exchangeable: (i) if the market price of our common stock over a specified period of time is more than 125% of the exchange price per share then in effect; (ii) if the trading price of the debentures over a specified period of time is less than 98% of the product of the closing price of our shares multiplied by the applicable exchange rate; (iii) during a specified period of time, for any debentures that have been called for redemption; (iv) under certain circumstances, upon the occurrence of a distribution to holders of our shares of (a) rights to purchase our common stock at a price below the market price of our shares or (b) assets, debt securities or rights to purchase our securities or securities of the Operating Partnership that have a per share value exceeding 10% of the market price of our shares; or (v) if our common stock is not listed on a national or regional securities exchange or quoted on NASDAQ for 30 consecutive trading days.

Back to Contents

The initial exchange price of \$40.63 represents a premium of approximately 25% to the closing price of the Company s common stock on the issuance date of \$32.50 per share. If exchanged in accordance with their terms, the debentures will be settled in cash up to their principal amount and any remaining exchange value will be settled, at our option, in cash, the Company s common stock or a combination thereof. In accordance with the exchange rate terms of the debentures the Company has reserved approximately 8.8 million shares of its authorized common stock, \$.01 par value, for potential future issuance upon the exchange of the debentures. Such amount is based on an exchange rate of 30.7692 common shares per \$1,000 of principal amount of debentures. Although we have reserved these shares pursuant to the exchange rate terms, we believe the issuance of our shares, if any, would be significantly less than 8.8 million shares. The debentures are guaranteed by the Company. We have the option to redeem the debentures beginning June 18, 2010 for the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of the debentures have the right to require us to repurchase their debentures at 100% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest on June 15, 2010, June 15, 2015 and June 15, 2020 or, in the event of certain change in control transactions, prior to June 15, 2010.

The limited partners minority interest in the Operating Partnership (Limited Partner Equity), which is reflected on the accompanying balance sheets, is reported at an amount equal to the limited partners ownership percentage of the net equity of the Operating Partnership at the end of the reporting period. The Limited Partner Equity is adjusted at the end of the period to reflect the ownership percentages at that time. The Limited Partner Equity was approximately 3.1% and 4.1% at March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

During the three month period ended March 31, 2006, 85,083 shares of the Company s common stock were issued in connection with the exercise of outstanding options to purchase stock under its stock option plans resulting in proceeds to us of approximately \$2.1 million. In addition, during February 2006, a limited partner in the Operating Partnership exchanged 17,009 OP Units for an equal number of shares of the Company s common stock.

The Board of Directors of the Company initially authorized the purchase of up to 5.0 million shares of the Company s common stock. Transactions conducted on the New York Stock Exchange have been, and will continue to be, effectuated in accordance with the safe harbor provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and may be terminated by the Company at any time. Since the Board s initial authorization, the Company has purchased 3,318,600 shares of its common stock for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$71.3 million. In June 2004, the Board of Directors re-set the Company s common stock repurchase program back to 5.0 million shares. No purchases have been made since March 2003.

The Operating Partnership has issued and outstanding 1,200 preferred units of limited partnership interest with a liquidation preference value of \$1,000 per unit and a stated distribution rate of 7.0%, which is subject to reduction based upon terms of their initial issuance (the Preferred Units). The terms of the Preferred Units provide for this reduction in distribution rate in order to address the effect of certain mortgages with above market interest rates which were assumed by the Operating Partnership in connection with properties contributed to the Operating Partnership in 1998. As a result of the aforementioned reduction, there are currently no distributions being made on the Preferred Units.

In July 2002, as a result of certain provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we discontinued the use of stock loans in our Long Term Incentive Programs (LTIP). In connection with LTIP grants made prior to the enactment of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we currently have stock loans outstanding to certain executive officers which were used to purchase 385,000 shares of our common stock. The stock loans were priced at the market prices of our common stock at the time of issuance, bear interest at the mid-term Applicable Federal Rate and are secured by the shares purchased. Such stock loans (including accrued interest) are scheduled to vest and be ratably forgiven each year on the anniversary of the grant date based upon initial vesting periods ranging from seven to ten years. Such forgiveness is based on continued service and in part on the Company attaining certain annual performance measures. These stock loans had an initial aggregate weighted average vesting period of approximately nine years. As of March 31, 2006, there remains 149,714 shares of common stock subject to the original stock loans which are anticipated to vest between 2006 and 2011. Approximately \$802,000 and \$528,000 of compensation expense (inclusive of cash payments in respect of taxes payable by the borrower resulting from such forgiveness) was recorded for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to these loans. Such amounts have been included in marketing, general and administrative expenses on the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

The outstanding stock loan balances due from executive officers aggregated approximately \$3.2 million and \$3.8 million at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, and have been included as a reduction of additional paid in capital on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Other outstanding loans to executive and senior officers at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 amounted to approximately \$1.9 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, and are included in investments in affiliate loans and joint ventures on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and are primarily related to tax payment advances on stock compensation awards and life insurance contracts made to certain executive and non-executive officers.

In November 2002 and March 2003, an award of rights was granted to certain executive officers of the Company (the 2002 Rights and 2003 Rights, respectively, and collectively, the Rights). Each Right represents the right to receive, upon vesting, one share of common stock if shares are then available for grant under one of the Company is stock option plans or, if shares are not so available, an amount of cash equivalent to the value of such stock on the vesting date. The 2002 Rights vest in four equal annual installments beginning on November 14, 2003 (and shall be fully vested on November 14, 2006). The 2003 Rights were earned on March 13, 2005 and vest in three equal annual installments beginning on March 13, 2005 (and shall be fully vested on March 13, 2007). Dividends on the shares will be held by the Company until such shares become vested, and will be distributed thereafter to the applicable officer. The 2002 Rights also entitle

19

Back to Contents

the holder thereof to cash payments in respect of taxes payable by the holder resulting from the 2002 Rights. The 2002 Rights aggregate 62,835 shares of the Company s common stock and the 2003 Rights aggregate 26,040 shares of common stock. As of March 31, 2006, there remains 15,709 shares of common stock reserved related to the 2002 Rights and 8,682 shares of common stock reserved related to the 2003 Rights. Approximately \$120,000 and \$104,000 of compensation expense was recorded for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to the Rights. Such amounts have been included in marketing, general and administrative expenses on the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

In March 2003, the Company established a new LTIP for its executive and senior officers (the 2003 LTIP). The four-year plan has a core award, which provides for annual stock based compensation based upon continued service and in part based on the Company attaining certain annual performance measures. The plan also has a special outperformance component in the form of a bonus pool equal to 10% of the total return in excess of a 9% cumulative and compounded annual total return on the Company s common equity for the period through the four-year anniversary after the date of grant (the Special Outperformance Pool). The aggregate amount payable to such officers from the Special Outperformance Pool is capped at an amount calculated based upon a total cumulative and compounded annual return on the common equity of 15%. An officer s special outperformance award represents an allocation of the Special Outperformance Pool and will become vested on the fourth anniversary of the date of grant, provided that the officer remains in continuous employment with the Company or any of its affiliates until such date, and the Company has achieved on a cumulative and compounded basis, during the four fiscal years completed on the applicable anniversary date, a total return to holders of the common equity that (i) is at or above the 60th percentile of the total return to stockholders achieved by members of the peer group during the same period and (ii) equals at least 9% per annum. Special outperformance awards will be paid in cash; however, the Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, may elect to pay such an award in shares of common stock, valued at the date of vesting, if shares are available at such time under any of the Company s existing stock option plans. The LTIP provides that no dividends or dividend equivalent payments will accrue with respect to the special outperformance awards. On March 13, 2003, the Company made available 827,776 shares of its common stock under its existing stock option plans in connection with the core award of the 2003 LTIP for certain of its executive and senior officers. During May 2003, the special outperformance awards of the 2003 LTIP were amended to increase the per share base price above which the four year cumulative return is measured from \$18.00 to \$22.40.

The Board of Directors approved an amendment to the 2003 LTIP to revise the peer group used to measure relative performance. The amendment eliminated the mixed office and industrial companies and added certain other pure office companies in order to revise the peer group to office sector companies. The Board has also approved the revision of the performance measurement dates for future vesting under the core component of the 2003 LTIP from the anniversary of the date of grant to December 31 of each year. This was done in order to have the performance measurement coincide with the performance period that the Company believes many investors use to judge the performance of the Company.

On December 27, 2004, the Operating Partnership entered into definitive agreements with certain executive and senior officers of the Company to revise their incentive awards under the 2003 LTIP. The revised agreements provide for (i) the rescission of the unvested portion of their core awards and (ii) an award in exchange for the rescinded core awards of an equal number of units of a new class of limited partnership interests (LTIP Units) of the Operating Partnership.

Each executive and senior officer participating in the 2003 LTIP was offered the option to retain all or a portion of his core awards or to rescind them in exchange for new awards of LTIP Units. On December 27, 2004, certain executive and senior officers accepted such offer and thereby amended their Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Award Agreement to cancel, in the aggregate, 362,500 shares of restricted stock of the Company representing all or a portion of their unvested core award, and received an equal number of LTIP Units.

The revised awards under the 2003 LTIP were designed to provide the potential for executives to retain a greater equity interest in the Company by eliminating the need for executives to sell a portion of the core awards immediately upon vesting in order to satisfy personal income taxes which are due upon vesting under the original core awards.

With respect to the 2003 LTIP, the Company met its annual performance measure with respect to the 2005, 2004 and 2003 annual measurement periods, respectively. As a result, the Company issued to the participants of the 2003 LTIP 86,111, 102,779 and 206,944 shares of its common stock, respectively, related to the core component of the 2003 LTIP.

The terms of each award of LTIP Units are substantially similar to those of the core awards under the 2003 LTIP. The vesting, performance hurdles and timing for vesting remain unchanged. However, an LTIP Unit represents an equity interest in the Operating Partnership, rather than the Company. At issuance, the LTIP Unit has no value but may over time accrete to a value equal to (but never greater than) the value of one share of common stock of the Company (a REIT Share). Initially, LTIP Units will not have full parity with OP Units with respect to liquidating distributions. Upon the occurrence of certain triggering events such as

the issuance of additional OP Units by the Operating Partnership the Operating Partnership will revalue its assets for the purpose of the capital accounts of its partners and any increase in valuation of the Operating Partnership is assets from the date of the issuance of the LTIP Units through the itriggering event will be allocated to the capital accounts of holders of LTIP Units until their capital accounts are equivalent to the capital accounts of holders of OP Units. If such equivalence is reached, LTIP Units would achieve full parity with OP Units for all purposes, and therefore accrete to an economic value equivalent to REIT Shares on a one-for-one basis. In addition, if such parity is reached, vested LTIP Units may only be converted into an equal number of OP Units after two years from the date of grant. However, in the absence of an increase in the value of the assets of the Operating Partnership and the occurrence of itriggering events in such economic equivalence would not be reached. Until and unless such economic equivalence is reached, the value that the officers will realize for vested LTIP Units will be less than the value of an

Back to Contents

equal number of REIT Shares. In addition, LTIP Units are subject to specific performance related vesting requirements. In addition, unlike core awards under the 2003 LTIP (wherein dividends that accumulate are paid upon vesting), LTIP Units will receive the same quarterly distributions as OP Units on a current basis, thus providing full dividend equivalence with REIT Shares. Each LTIP Unit awarded is deemed equivalent to an award of one share of common stock reserved under one of the Company s stock option plans, reducing availability for other equity awards on a one-for-one basis. At the scheduled March 2005 vesting date, the specified performance hurdles were met, and officers that received LTIP Units received a one-time cash payment that represented payment of the full vested amount of the accrued unpaid dividends under the core award of the 2003 LTIP through December 27, 2004, the issuance date of the LTIP Units. In addition, the officers, in the aggregate, vested in 104,167 LTIP Units. At the scheduled March 2006 vesting date, the specified performance hurdles were met and officers that received LTIP Units, in the aggregate, vested in 120,833 LTIP Units. In order to more closely replicate the terms of the core awards being rescinded, the Company also entered into agreements with three executive officers, which provide that in the event of a change of control the executive shall receive the equivalent value of one REIT Share for each LTIP Units.

For each of the calendar years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, following the recommendations of the Compensation Committee, eight senior and executive officers of the Company were awarded, in the aggregate, 272,100 LTIP Units and 207,000 LTIP Units, respectively, for outperformance and to continue to incentivize them for the long-term (the Incentive Unit Grants). The terms of the Incentive Unit Grants are generally consistent with the terms of the 2003 LTIP, including with respect to the impact upon vesting in the event of a change of control.

As a result of the foregoing, there remains 69,443 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under the core award of the 2003 LTIP and 616,600 shares of common stock reserved for issuance with respect to the issuance of LTIP Units. With respect to the core award of the 2003 LTIP, the Company recorded approximately \$305,000 of compensation expense for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005. In addition, with respect to the LTIP Units and the Incentive Unit Grants, the Company recorded compensation expense of approximately \$822,000 and \$465,000, respectively, for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005. Such amounts have been included in marketing, general and administrative expenses on the accompanying consolidated statements of income. Based on the terms of the 2003 LTIP, potential outcomes of the Special Outperformance Pool are estimated to range from \$0, assuming the requisite four year cumulative performance measures are not met, to a maximum of approximately \$35.0 million, assuming relative peer group performance measures are met and a cap of 15% cumulative and compounded return on common equity. As of March 31, 2006, we have accrued approximately \$27.2 million of compensation expense with respect to the Special Outperformance Pool of which \$3.6 million was accrued during the three months ended March 31, 2006. This amount is calculated on the closing stock price of our common stock on March 31, 2006 and is based on management is determination of the probability of requisite performance measures being met. The accrual represents approximately 76% of the total estimated Special Outperformance Pool reflecting the service period through March 31, 2006.

Compensation expense with respect to the core component of the 2003 LTIP, which relates to the Company attaining certain annual performance measures, is recognized as a target stock price plan. Under this type of plan, compensation expense is recognized for the target stock price awards whether or not the targeted stock price condition is achieved as long as the underlying service conditions are achieved. Accordingly, we obtained an independent third party valuation of the 2003 LTIP awards and recognize compensation expense on a straight-line basis through the performance and vesting period for awards to employees who remain in service over the requisite period regardless of whether the target stock price has been reached.

Compensation expense with respect to the core component of the 2003 LTIP, which relates to the continued service of the grantee, is recognized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis through the vesting period based on the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant.

As a result of the election of certain executive and senior officers to exchange all or a portion of their unvested core awards under the 2003 LTIP into an equal number of LTIP Units we again obtained an independent third party valuation of the newly granted LTIP Units and determined that the fair value of the LTIP Units was not greater than the exchanged 2003 LTIP awards on the date of the exchange. As such, compensation expense to be recognized, on a straight-lined basis, over the vesting period of the LTIP Units equals the amount of unamortized compensation expense cost for the 2003 LTIP awards as of the exchange date.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement No. 123R and have determined that the adoption of Statement No. 123R did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Back to Contents

8. SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOWS INFORMATION (IN THOUSANDS)

	Three Month March 31,	ns Ended
	2006	2005
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$38,527	\$33,976
Interest capitalized during the period	\$3,110	\$2,157

9. SEGMENT DISCLOSURE

We own all of the interests in our real estate properties directly or indirectly through the Operating Partnership. Our portfolio consists of Class A office properties located within the New York City metropolitan area and Class A suburban office and flex properties located and operated within the New York Tri-State Markets (the Core Portfolio). We have formed an Operating Committee that reports directly to our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer who have been identified as the Chief Operating Decision Makers due to their final authority over resource allocation, decisions and performance assessment.

We do not consider (i) interest incurred on our Credit Facility, Term Loan and Senior Unsecured Notes, (ii) the operating performance of those properties reflected as discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of income and (iii) the operating results of the Service Companies as part of our Core Portfolio s property operating performance for purposes of our component disclosure set forth below.

The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. In addition, historical amounts have been adjusted to give effect to our discontinued operations in accordance with FASB Statement No. 144.

Back to Contents

The following table sets forth the components of our revenues and expenses and other related disclosures (in thousands):

Three	months	ended

	March 31, 20	06		March 31, 2005					
	Core Portfolio	Other Consolidated Totals		Core Portfolio	Other	Consolidated Totals			
PROPERTY OPERATING REVENUES: Base rents, tenant									
escalations and reimbursements	\$135,153	\$	\$ 135,153	\$130,188	\$	\$ 130,188			
EXPENSES: Property operating expenses Marketing, general and administrative Depreciation and amortization	60,235 5,003 32,693	4,479 143	60,235 9,482 32,836	52,740 4,163 28,055	3,832 364	52,740 7,995 28,419			
Total operating expenses	97,931	4,622	102,553	84,958	4,196	89,154			
Operating income (loss)	37,222	(4,622) 32,600	45,230	(4,196) 41,034			
NON-OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES Gains on sales of real estate Interest income, investment income and other Interest:	35,393 973	16,603	35,393 17,576	828	2,301	3,129			
Expense incurred Amortization of deferred financing costs Long-term incentive compensation expense	(7,657 (452) (20,332) (670 (3,623) (27,989)) (1,122)) (3,623)	(8,943) (262)) (14,623) (729) (23,566)) (991)			
Total non-operating income and expenses	28,257	(8,022) 20,235	(8,377) (13,051) (21,428)			
Income (loss) before minority interests, equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and discontinued operations	\$65,479	\$(12,644) \$ 52,835	\$36,853	\$(17,247) \$ 19,606			
Total Assets	\$3,391,785	\$426,775	\$ 3,818,560	\$3,008,570	\$264,175	\$ 3,272,745			

10. NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

During February 2006, a limited partner in the Operating Partnership exchanged 17,009 OP Units for an equal number of shares of the Company s common stock which were valued at \$39.10 per OP Unit for total non cash consideration of approximately \$665,000.

On March 31, 2006, a group of institutional investors purchased our option to acquire the existing minority partner s 40% partnership interest in the Omni Property for net proceeds of approximately \$9.0 million. Simultaneously, these institutional investors exercised the option and acquired the minority partner s interest from the minority partner for approximately \$50.8 million including the assumption of an allocation of approximately \$20.1 million of mortgage debt on the Omni Property for a total investment of \$59.9 million. As a result of the foregoing and in accordance with Statement No. s 141 and 142, we recorded approximately \$44.4 million of fair value adjustments to the real estate and other intangible assets acquired by the institutional investors.

11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In connection with our IPO, we were granted an option to acquire the property located at 225 Broadhollow Road which is owned by certain Rechler family members including Scott H. Rechler, our CEO at a price based upon an agreed upon formula. Reckson

Management Group, Inc. (RMG) currently leases approximately 26,000 square feet of office space at this property for its corporate offices at an annual base rent of approximately \$809,000. During 2005, RMG exercised a termination option to terminate the lease on November 30, 2006 without penalty. RMG also leases 10,722 square feet of warehouse space used for equipment, materials and inventory storage at a property owned by certain members of the Rechler family at an annual base rent of approximately \$81,000. In addition, commencing April 1, 2004, RCD has been leasing approximately 17,000 square feet of space at 225 Broadhollow Road, Melville, NY at an annual base rent of approximately \$507,000, which is scheduled to terminate on September 30, 2006. RCD has sub-let the entire 17,000 square feet to a third party for approximately \$35,000 per month through RCD s September 2006 lease termination date.

During the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, RCD billed approximately \$1,000 and \$9,000, respectively, of market rate services and RMG billed approximately \$73,000 and \$71,000, respectively, of market rate management fees to certain properties owned by members of the Rechler family including Scott H. Rechler, our CEO.

Back to Contents

On March 28, 2005, an entity (REP) owned by members of the Rechler family (excluding Scott H. Rechler, but including his father, Roger, and brother, Gregg) exercised a Right of First Refusal (which was granted in connection with the 2003 sale of the industrial portfolio by us) to acquire a vacant parcel of land for a purchase price of \$2.0 million. We have agreed to provide REP with the option to defer the closing on the purchase until September 2006, for a non-refundable deposit of \$400,000 and a fee of \$10,666 per month for each month that the closing is deferred. In connection therewith, REP agreed to settle a dispute concerning an easement on a separate parcel of land owned by us adjacent to one of the properties transferred to REP in November 2003.

A company affiliated with an independent director of the Company leases 15,566 square feet in a property owned by us at an annual base rent of approximately \$430,000.

The Company has a net investment of approximately \$55.2 million in loans and REIT-qualified joint ventures with FrontLine Capital Group (FrontLine) and Reckson Strategic Venture Partners, LLC (RSVP), a real estate venture capital fund whose common equity is held indirectly by FrontLine (collectively, the RSVP / FLCG Investments). Frontline was formed by the Company in 1997. The net carrying value of the RSVP / FLCG Investments was reassessed with no change by management at March 31, 2006 and is included in investments in affiliate loans and joint ventures on our consolidated balance sheets.

FrontLine is in default under the loans from the Operating Partnership and on June 12, 2002, filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

The RSVP REIT-qualified joint ventures are managed subject to a management agreement with the former managing directors of RSVP, which expires in September 2006. The management agreement provides for an annual base management fee and disposition fees equal to 2% of the net proceeds received by RSVP on asset sales which are subject to a maximum of \$7.5 million. In addition, the former managing directors of RSVP retained a one-third residual interest in RSVP s assets which is subordinated to the distribution of an aggregate amount of \$75.0 million to RSVP and/or us in respect of RSVP-controlled joint ventures.

Scott H. Rechler, our CEO and Chairman of the Board of Directors, serves as CEO and is FrontLine s sole board member. Mr. Rechler also serves as a member of the management committee of RSVP.

In November 2004, a joint venture in which RSVP owns approximately 47% executed a binding agreement to contribute its Catskills, NY resort properties (excluding residentially zoned land) to Empire Resorts Inc. (NASDAQ: NYNY) (Empire) for consideration of 18.0 million shares of Empire s common stock and the right to appoint five members of their Board of Directors. On December 29, 2005, the agreement was terminated and the joint venture received options to purchase approximately 5.2 million shares of common stock of Empire at a price of \$7.50 per share. The options will be exercisable until December 29, 2006. On March 31, 2006, the closing price of a share of Empire s common stock was \$5.16 per share.

We have discontinued the accrual of interest income with respect to the loans from the Operating Partnership and our share of GAAP equity in earnings, if any, from the RSVP-controlled REIT-qualified joint ventures until such income is realized through cash distributions.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company has extended the terms of its amended and restated employment and noncompetition agreements with three executive officers, which were scheduled to expire on August 15, 2005, in all respects through June 30, 2006. The Company has also entered into an employment agreement with one additional officer prior to his appointment as an executive officer. This agreement expires in December 2006.

We had undrawn letters of credit outstanding against our Credit Facility of approximately \$100,000 at March 31, 2006.

In connection with the mortgage indebtedness securing nine of the Tranche I properties, which were transferred to the Australian JV on September 21, 2005, and three of the Tranche III properties scheduled to be transferred to the Australian JV during October 2006, we have guaranteed to the lender certain customary non-recourse carve-outs, as well as certain obligations relating to the potential termination of a number of leases at four of these properties. We have also guaranteed to the lender certain capital requirements related to these properties. We will be relieved of the customary non-recourse carve-outs and capital requirements upon transfer of the respective properties to the Australian JV and the Australian JV meeting a net worth test of at least \$100.0 million. We will be relieved of all but two of the lease related obligations upon transfer of the respective properties to the Australian JV and the Australian JV meeting a net worth test of at least \$200.0 million. The Australian JV has agreed to indemnify us for any loss, cost or damage it may incur pursuant to our guaranty of these obligations. As of March 31, 2006, the Australian JV met the \$100.0 million net worth threshold and there remain approximately \$18 million of aggregate guarantees outstanding.

Back to Contents

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements of Reckson Associates Realty Corp. (the Company) and related notes thereto.

The Company considers certain statements set forth herein to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, with respect to the Company s expectations for future periods. Certain forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, statements relating to the timing and success of acquisitions and the completion of development or redevelopment of properties, the financing of the Company s operations, the ability to lease vacant space and the ability to renew or relet space under expiring leases, involve risks and uncertainties. Many of the forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as believes, may, expects, anticipates . intends or similar expressions. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, the actual results may differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements and the Company can give no assurance that its expectation will be achieved. Among those risks, trends and uncertainties are: the general economic climate, including the conditions affecting industries in which our principal tenants compete; changes in the supply of and demand for office in and around New York City and the surrounding tri-state markets (the New York Tri-State Markets); changes in interest rate levels; changes in the Company s credit ratings; changes in the Company s cost and access to capital; downturns in rental rate levels in our markets and our ability to lease or re-lease space in a timely manner at current or anticipated rental rate levels; the availability of financing to us or our tenants; the financial condition of our tenants; changes in operating costs, including utility, security, real estate tax and insurance costs; repayment of debt owed to the Company by third parties; risks associated with joint ventures; liability for uninsured losses or environmental matters; and other risks associated with the development and acquisition of properties, including risks that development may not be completed on schedule, that the tenants will not take occupancy or pay rent, or that development or operating costs may be greater than anticipated. Consequently, such forward-looking statements should be regarded solely as reflections of the Company s current operating and development plans and estimates. These plans and estimates are subject to revisions from time to time as additional information becomes available, and actual results may differ from those indicated in the referenced statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include accounts of the Company and all majority-owned and controlled subsidiaries. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect amounts reported in the Company's consolidated financial statements and related notes. In preparing these financial statements, management has utilized information available including its past history, industry standards and the current economic environment, among other factors, in forming its estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the consolidated financial statements, giving due consideration to materiality. It is possible that the ultimate outcome as anticipated by management in formulating its estimates inherent in these financial statements may not materialize. However, application of the critical accounting policies below involves the exercise of judgment and use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. In addition, other companies may utilize different estimates, which may impact comparability of the Company's results of operations to those of companies in similar businesses.

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable

Minimum rental revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis, which averages minimum rents over the terms of the leases. The excess of rents recognized over amounts contractually due are included in deferred rents receivable on our balance sheets. Contractually due but unpaid rents are included in tenant receivables on our balance sheets. Certain lease agreements also provide for reimbursement of real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance costs and indexed rental increases, which are recorded on an accrual basis. Ancillary and other property related income is recognized in the period earned.

We make estimates of the collectibility of our accounts receivables related to base rents, tenant escalations and reimbursements and other revenue or income. We specifically analyze tenant receivables and historical bad debts, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts. In addition, when tenants are in bankruptcy, we make estimates of the expected recovery of pre-petition administrative and damage claims. In some cases, the ultimate resolution of those claims can exceed a year. These estimates have a direct impact on our net income because a higher bad debt reserve results in less net income.

We incurred approximately \$270,000 and \$392,000 of bad debt expense and related costs related to tenant receivables during the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which accordingly reduced our total revenues and reported net

income during the period.

We record interest income on our investments in notes receivable on the accrual basis of accounting. We do not accrue interest on impaired loans where, in the judgment of management, collection of interest according to the contractual terms is considered doubtful. Among the factors we consider in making an evaluation of the collectibility of interest are: (i) the status of the loan, (ii) the value of the underlying collateral, (iii) the financial condition of the borrower and (iv) anticipated future events.

Reckson Construction & Development LLC and Reckson Construction Group New York, Inc. use the percentage-of-completion method for

Back to Contents

recording amounts earned on their contracts. This method records amounts earned as revenue in the proportion that actual costs incurred to date bear to the estimate of total costs at contract completion.

Gain on the sale of real estate is recorded when title is conveyed to the buyer, subject to the buyer s financial commitment being sufficient to provide economic substance to the sale and us having no substantial continuing involvement with the buyer. Additionally, in connection with a sale of real estate, if we retain certain risks in the form of guarantees, the profit recognized on that sale shall be reduced and deferred by the maximum exposure to loss, until such exposure is relieved.

Real Estate

Land, buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment are recorded at cost. Tenant improvements, which are included in buildings and improvements, are also stated at cost. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are expensed to operations as they are incurred. Renovations and / or replacements, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

Depreciation is computed utilizing the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of ten to thirty years for buildings and improvements and five to ten years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Tenant improvements, which are included in buildings and improvements, are amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the related leases.

We are required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives of our properties for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation to reflect on an annual basis with respect to those properties. These assessments have a direct impact on our net income. Should we lengthen the expected useful life of a particular asset, it would be depreciated over more years, and result in less depreciation expense and higher annual net income.

Assessment by us of certain other lease related costs must be made when we have a reason to believe that the tenant will not be able to execute under the term of the lease as originally expected.

On July 1, 2001 and January 1, 2002, we adopted FASB Statement No.141, Business Combinations and FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangibles , respectively (Statement No. s 141 and 142). As part of the acquisition of real estate assets, the fair value of the real estate acquired is allocated to the acquired tangible assets, consisting of land, building and building improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases, other value of in-place leases, and value of tenant relationships, based in each case on their fair values.

We allocate a portion of a property s purchase price to tangible assets including the fair value of the building and building improvements on an as-if-vacant basis and to land determined either by real estate tax assessments, independent appraisals or other relevant data. Additionally, we assess fair value of identified intangible assets and liabilities based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information.

Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including the historical operating results, known trends, and market/economic conditions that may affect the property. If we incorrectly estimate the values at acquisition or the undiscounted cash flows, initial allocation of purchase price and future impairment charges may be different.

Long Lived Assets

We are required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the value of our real estate properties and other investments. An investment is value is impaired only if management is estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the investment are less than the carrying value of the investment. Such assessments consider factors such as cash flows, expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors. To the extent impairment has occurred it will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the property. These assessments have a direct impact on our net income, as the recognition of an impairment results in an immediate negative adjustment to net income. In determining impairment, if any, we have followed FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets (Statement No. 144). Statement No. 144 did not have an impact on net income. Statement No. 144 only impacts the presentation of the results of operations and gains on sales of real estate assets for those properties sold during the period within the consolidated statements of income.

Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure (Statement No. 148). Statement No. 148 amends FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (Statement No. 123) to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily adopts the fair value recognition method of recording stock option expense.

On December 16, 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (Statement No. 123R), which is a revision of Statement No. 123. Statement No. 123R supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued

Back to Contents

to Employees , and amends FASB Statement No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach in Statement No. 123R is similar to the approach described in Statement No. 123. However, Statement No. 123R requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. We adopted Statement No. 123R on January 1, 2006. The adoption of Statement No. 123R did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2002, we elected to follow Statement No. 123 and use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the value of stock options granted to employees and continue to use this acceptable option valuation model upon our required adoption of Statement No. 123R on January 1, 2006. Because Statement No. 123R must be applied not only to new awards but to previously granted awards that are not fully vested on the effective date, and because we adopted Statement No. 123 using the prospective transition method (which applied only to awards granted, modified or settled after the adoption date), compensation cost for previously granted awards that were not recognized under Statement No. 123 would be recognized under Statement No. 123R. However, on January 1, 2006, the date we adopted Statement No. 123R, all of the unexercised options outstanding under our stock option plans were fully vested. As a result, no additional expense will be recognized. In addition, had we adopted Statement No. 123R in the prior period, there would be no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

We report comprehensive income or loss in accordance with the provisions of FASB Statement No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income , which establishes standards for reporting comprehensive income and its components in the financial statements. The components of other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI) consist of unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments. OCI is presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of stockholders equity.

Variable Interest Entities

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46), which explains how to identify variable interest entities (VIEs) and how to assess whether to consolidate such entities. VIEs are primarily entities that lack sufficient equity to finance their activities without additional financial support from other parties or whose equity holders lack adequate decision making ability. All VIEs which we are involved with must be evaluated to determine the primary beneficiary of the risks and rewards of the VIE. The primary beneficiary is required to consolidate the VIE for financial reporting purposes. The initial determination of whether an entity qualifies as a VIE shall be made as of the date at which a primary beneficiary becomes involved with the entity and reconsidered as of the date of a triggering event, as defined. The provisions of this interpretation are immediately effective for VIEs formed after January 31, 2003. In December 2003 the FASB issued FIN 46R, deferring the effective date until the period ended March 31, 2004 for interests held by public companies in VIEs created before February 1, 2003, which were non-special purpose entities. We adopted FIN 46R during the period ended March 31, 2004 and have determined that our unconsolidated subsidiaries do not represent VIEs pursuant to such interpretation. We will continue to monitor any changes in circumstances relating to certain of our consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures which could result in a change in our consolidation policy.

Current pronouncements

In June 2005, the FASB ratified the consensus in EITF Issue No. 04-5, Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights (Issue 04-5), which provides guidance in determining whether a general partner controls a limited partnership. Issue 04-5 states that the general partner in a limited partnership is presumed to control that limited partnership. The presumption may be overcome if the limited partners have either (1) the substantive ability to dissolve the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partner without cause or (2) substantive participating rights, which provide the limited partners with the ability to effectively participate in significant decisions that would be expected to be made in the ordinary course of the limited partnership is business and thereby preclude the general partner from exercising unilateral control over the partnership. The adoption of Issue 04-5 by us for new or modified limited partnership arrangements is effective June 30, 2005 and for existing limited partnership arrangements effective January 1, 2006. We have evaluated the guidance provided for under Issue 04-5 and have concluded that we are not required to consolidate our current unconsolidated joint venture investments nor do we expect Issue 04-5 to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2005, the FASB issued Statement No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (Statement No. 154). Statement No. 154, which replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes and FASB Statement No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements, changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. The statement requires retrospective application of changes in accounting principle to prior periods financial statements unless it is

impracticable to determine the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. Statement No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. We adopted Statement No. 154 on January 1, 2006. The adoption of Statement No. 154 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 2005, FASB issued Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations (FIN 47), which became effective December 31, 2005. Under FIN 47, a conditional asset retirement obligation (CARO) must be recorded if the liability can be reasonably estimated. A CARO is an obligation that is settled at the time an asset is retired or disposed of and for which the timing and/or

Back to Contents

method of settlement are conditional on future events. We own certain properties that currently have asbestos which under certain conditions must be remediated. As a result of adopting FIN 47, we will increase the value of our recorded tangible assets at the time we recognize the associated conditional retirement obligation.

As a result, during 2005, we recorded approximately \$2.0 million which represents the fair value of the CARO related to asbestos removal in tenant spaces. In addition, for certain limited areas of our properties, management is unable to reasonably determine the fair value of potential remediation costs as there is an indeterminate settlement date for the asset retirement obligation because the range of time over which way we may choose to remediate this condition may not be estimated with any level of precision which would lend itself to a meaningful estimate.

Overview and Background

The Company was incorporated in Maryland in September 1994. In June 1995, the Company completed an initial public offering (the IPO) and commenced operations.

The Company is a self-administered and self managed real estate investment trust (REIT) engaged in the ownership, operation, acquisition, leasing, financing, management and development of commercial real estate properties, principally office and to a lesser extent flex properties and also owns land for future development. The Company's growth strategy is focused on the commercial real estate markets in the New York Tri-State Markets. All of the Company's interests in its real properties, land held for development and investments in notes receivable are held directly or indirectly by, and all of its operations are conducted through, Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P. (the Operating Partnership).

In connection with our IPO, we were granted an option to acquire the property located at 225 Broadhollow Road which is owned by certain Rechler family members including Scott H. Rechler, our CEO at a price based upon an agreed upon formula. Reckson Management Group, Inc. (RMG) currently leases approximately 26,000 square feet of office space at this property for its corporate offices at an annual base rent of approximately \$809,000. During 2005, RMG exercised a termination option to terminate the lease on November 30, 2006 without penalty. RMG also leases 10,722 square feet of warehouse space used for equipment, materials and inventory storage at a property owned by certain members of the Rechler family at an annual base rent of approximately \$81,000. In addition, commencing April 1, 2004, RCD has been leasing approximately 17,000 square feet of space at 225 Broadhollow Road, Melville, NY at an annual base rent of approximately \$507,000, which is scheduled to terminate on September 30, 2006. RCD has sub-let the entire 17,000 square feet to a third party for approximately \$35,000 per month through RCD s September 2006 lease termination date.

During the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, RCD billed approximately \$1,000 and \$9,000, respectively, of market rate services and RMG billed approximately \$73,000 and \$71,000, respectively, of market rate management fees to certain properties owned by members of the Rechler family including Scott H. Rechler, our CEO.

On March 28, 2005, an entity (REP) owned by members of the Rechler family (excluding Scott H. Rechler, but including his father, Roger, and brother, Gregg) exercised a Right of First Refusal (which was granted in connection with the 2003 sale of the industrial portfolio by us) to acquire a vacant parcel of land for a purchase price of \$2.0 million. We have agreed to provide REP with the option to defer the closing on the purchase until September 2006, for a non-refundable deposit of \$400,000 and a fee of \$10,666 per month for each month that the closing is deferred. In connection therewith, REP agreed to settle a dispute concerning an easement on a separate parcel of land owned by us adjacent to one of the properties transferred to REP in November 2003.

A company affiliated with an independent director of the Company leases 15,566 square feet in a property owned by us at an annual base rent of approximately \$430,000.

In May 2005, we acquired a 1.4 million square foot, 50-story, Class A office tower located at One Court Square, Long Island City, NY. On November 30, 2005, we sold a 70% joint venture interest in One Court Square to certain institutional funds advised by JPMorgan Investment Management (the JPM Investor). The operating agreement of the Court Square JV requires approvals from members on certain decisions including annual budgets, sale of the property, refinancing of the property is mortgage debt and material renovations to the property. In addition, after September 20, 2009 the members each have the right to recommend the sale of the property, subject to the terms of the mortgage debt, and to dissolve the Court Square JV. We have evaluated the impact of FIN 46R on our accounting for the Court Square JV and have concluded that the Court Square JV is not a VIE. We account for the Court Square JV under the equity method of accounting. We have also evaluated and determined that under Issue 04-5 the JPM Investor has substantive participating rights in the ordinary course of the Court Square JV is business. In accordance with the equity method of accounting, our proportionate share of the Court Square JV income was approximately \$42,600 for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

On May 26, 2005, we entered into a contract to sell approximately 60 acres of land located in Chatham Township, NJ for up to approximately \$30.0 million, which will be based upon a final approved site plan. The sale is contingent upon due diligence, environmental assessment, final re-zoning and other customary approvals. There can be no assurances that any of the aforementioned contingences will be achieved and the sale ultimately completed.

On August 18, 2005, we entered into (i) an underwriting agreement relating to the public offering in Australia of approximately A\$263.0 million (approximately US\$202.0 million) of units (LPT Units) in a newly-formed Reckson-sponsored Australian listed property trust,

Back to Contents

Reckson New York Property Trust (Reckson LPT), a newly-formed listed property trust which is traded on the Australian Stock Exchange and (ii) contribution and sale agreements pursuant to which, among other things, we agreed to transfer 25 of our properties for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$563.0 million and containing an aggregate of 3.4 million square feet, in three separate tranches, to the Australian JV in exchange for a 25% interest in the Australian JV and approximately \$502.0 million in cash (inclusive of proceeds from mortgage debt to be assumed by the Australian JV). On September 21, 2005, Reckson LPT completed its public offering and the closing of the first of three tranches (Tranche I) of this transaction.

In connection with the Tranche I closing, the Australian JV acquired from us 17 of our suburban office properties containing approximately 2.0 million square feet for approximately \$367.0 million (including the assumption of approximately \$196.1 million in mortgage debt which had been incurred by us in August 2005 see Note 2). In return, we received a 25% interest in the Australian JV and approximately \$128.1 million in cash resulting in an aggregate gain of approximately \$103.6 million. As discussed below relating to certain guarantees we have made, approximately \$18 million of the aggregate gain has been deferred to future periods pursuant to Statement No. 66 to coincide with the release of the guarantees. As a result, gains on sales of real estate reported in 2005, related to the Tranche I closing was approximately \$82.7 million, net of limited partners minority interest. Approximately \$22.0 million of the cash received was used to repay certain of our secured mortgage indebtedness on September 30, 2005 and approximately \$105.7 million of the cash received was used to establish an escrow account with a qualified intermediary for a future exchange of real property pursuant to Section 1031 of the Code (a Section 1031 Exchange). A Section 1031 Exchange allows for the deferral of taxes related to the gain attributable to the sale of property if a qualified replacement property is identified within 45 days and such qualified replacement property is acquired within 180 days from the initial sale. On October 7, 2005 we acquired a qualified replacement property for purposes of this Section 1031 Exchange and thereby deferred a portion of the tax gain from the Tranche I sale.

In connection with the foregoing, on September 21, 2005, Reckson Australia Holdings LLC (Reckson Holdings), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, and Reckson Australia LPT Corporation (LPT REIT), a U.S. real estate investment trust which is wholly-owned by Reckson LPT, entered into the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement governing the Australian JV (the Operating Agreement). Pursuant to the Operating Agreement, LPT REIT holds a 75% interest in, and acts as the managing member for, the Australian JV, and Reckson Holdings holds a 25% non-managing member interest therein. The Operating Agreement provides that, if at any time additional capital contributions are made to the Australian JV, Reckson Holdings will have a right to make additional capital contributions up to an amount necessary to maintain its 25% interest therein on the same terms and conditions as such other capital contributions.

As the managing member of the Australian JV, LPT REIT has the sole responsibility for managing its business and affairs on a day-to-day basis, other than with respect to certain identified major decisions, including but not limited to a merger or consolidation involving the Australian JV, a disposition of all or substantially all of its assets, or the liquidation or dissolution of the Australian JV. Such major decisions require the prior written consent of a majority of the non-managing members. As a result of the foregoing, we are accounting for our 25% non-managing member interest in the Australian JV under the equity method of accounting. In accordance with the equity method of accounting, our proportionate share of the Australian JV s income was approximately \$231,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

On January 6, 2006, Reckson LPT completed the second Tranche of this transaction (Tranche II) whereby the Australian JV acquired three of our suburban office properties: 6800 and 6900 Jericho Turnpike, Jericho, NY and 710 Bridgeport Avenue, Shelton, CT, (the Tranche II Properties) aggregating approximately 761,000 square feet for approximately \$84.6 million, including the assignment of approximately \$20.1 million of mortgage debt. As a result, gains on sales of real estate related to Tranche II is approximately \$34.3 million, net of limited partners minority interest. Approximately \$25.1 million of sales proceeds was used to establish an escrow account for the purpose of a future Section 1031 Exchange. Such amount is included in prepaid expenses and other assets on our consolidated balance sheet. The balance of the cash proceeds was used to fund our development activities and for general corporate purposes There can be no assurances that we will be able to identify and acquire qualified replacement properties within the required time frames under a Section 1031 Exchange, in which case we would not receive the tax benefit of such an exchange.

The Tranche III closing (Tranche III), consisting of five of our suburban office properties valued at approximately \$111.8 million, is scheduled to close in October 2006 and will include the assumption by the Australian JV of approximately \$51.5 million of existing mortgage debt. The Tranche III closing is subject to customary closing conditions and the Tranche III Properties consist of: 520 Broadhollow Road, 50 Marcus Avenue, 1660 Walt Whitman Road, all of which are located in Melville, NY, 580 White Plains Road, Tarrytown, NY and 300 Executive Park Drive, West Orange, NJ.

Our Service Companies provide asset management, property management, leasing, construction and other services to the Australian JV and affiliates of ours are entitled to transaction fees and ongoing fees for providing services to the Australian JV. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we earned and received approximately \$819,000 in transaction related fees and

approximately \$894,000 of ongoing fees from the Australian JV. Such amounts are included in investment income and other on our consolidated statements of income. In addition, we also formed Reckson Australia Management Limited (RAML), a wholly owned subsidiary, that will manage Reckson LPT and serve as its Responsible Entity. The Responsible Entity will be managed by a six member board that includes three independent directors domiciled in Australia and three of the Company is executive officers. To address and mitigate any potential conflicts of interest with Reckson LPT or its affiliates the Company has adopted the following policies: (i) all transactions between the Company and Reckson LPT or its affiliates shall require the approval of a majority of the independent directors of both the Company and Reckson LPT, (ii) executive officers and directors of the Company are prohibited from owning equity in Reckson LPT, and (iii) the adoption of an

Back to Contents

express policy which mandates that property services and leasing decisions shall be made without regard to the Company s percentage ownership of any property.

Under the Operating Agreement, Reckson Holdings will have the right, beginning September 21, 2007, to require LPT REIT to redeem all or a portion of Reckson Holdings membership interest in the Australian JV for cash or, at LPT REIT s option, shares of LPT REIT s common stock (which may be exchanged for LPT Units) on a one-for-one basis. Reckson Holdings also has the right to cause the liquidation of the Australian JV in the event that RAML is replaced as Reckson LPT s Responsible Entity. In addition, the Operating Agreement contains a right of first refusal granting Reckson Holdings the right to acquire any asset of the Australian JV, at fair market value, in the event of an attempted sale of such asset or the exercise of Reckson Holdings right to liquidate the Australian JV.

In connection with the Tranche I closing, on September 21, 2005, the Company, the Australian JV and LPT REIT entered into an Option Agreement (the Option Agreement) pursuant to which we grantable Australian JV options to acquire ten additional properties from the Operating Partnership over a two year period, beginning January 1, 2006. The properties contain an aggregate of approximately 1.2 million square feet and will be priced based on the fair market value. The Option Agreement contains a right of first refusal granting the Australian JV the right to acquire any option property from Reckson in the event we receive, and are amenable to, an offer from a third party to purchase such option property. The Option Agreement will terminate under certain circumstances, including if (i) the Australian JV sends notice of its intent to exercise its option but fails to close as obligated, (ii) the Australian JV is in default under the Option Agreement, the contribution agreement or the sale agreement or (iii) RAML or an affiliate of ours is no longer the Responsible Entity of Reckson LPT.

In connection with the mortgage indebtedness securing nine of the Tranche I properties, which were transferred to the Australian JV on September 21, 2005, and three of the Tranche III properties scheduled to be transferred to the Australian JV during October 2006, we have guaranteed to the lender certain customary non-recourse carve-outs, as well as certain obligations relating to the potential termination of a number of leases at four of these properties. We have also guaranteed to the lender certain capital requirements related to these properties. We will be relieved of the customary non-recourse carve-outs and capital requirements upon transfer of the respective properties to the Australian JV and the Australian JV meeting a net worth test of at least \$100.0 million. We will be relieved of all but two of the lease related obligations upon transfer of the respective properties to the Australian JV and the Australian JV meeting a net worth test of at least \$200.0 million. The Australian JV has agreed to indemnify us for any loss, cost or damage it may incur pursuant to our guaranty of these obligations. As of March 31, 2006, the Australian JV met the \$100.0 million net worth threshold and there remain approximately \$18 million of aggregate guarantees outstanding.

In accordance with FASB Statement No. 144, the assets and liabilities of the properties transferred and to be transferred, excluding the option properties, to the Australian JV are classified as held for sale on our consolidated balance sheets, for all periods presented.

During September 2005, we entered into a letter of intent with an entity owned by the owner of the New York Islanders professional hockey team to enter into a 50 / 50 joint venture to potentially develop over five million square feet of office, residential, retail and hotel space located on 77 acres in the Mitchel Field, Long Island sub-market in and around Nassau County s Veterans Memorial Coliseum where we are currently the largest owner of office properties. In March 2006, the joint venture was selected by the County Executive for the development of the 77 acre site. The development remains subject to certain conditions and governmental approvals, including legislative, zoning and other customary approvals. In addition, there can be no assurances that we will enter into the aforementioned joint venture, that all applicable conditions will be satisfied or that all required approvals can be obtained.

On March 7, 2006, we sold our 354,000 square foot office building in Orlando, Florida for aggregate consideration of approximately \$70.0 million which resulted in a gain of approximately \$9.3 million, net of limited partners minority interest. Such gain is reflected as a component of discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of income. This non-core real estate holding was acquired in May 1999 in connection with our initial New York City portfolio acquisition. Net proceeds from the sale were used to establish an escrow account with a qualified intermediary for a future Section 1031 Exchange. Such amount is included in prepaid expenses and other assets on our consolidated balance sheet. There can be no assurances that we will be able to identify and acquire qualified replacement properties within the required time frames under a Section 1031 Exchange, in which case we would not receive the tax benefit of such an exchange.

Back to Contents

On March 31, 2006, we sold a 161,000 square foot office building located in Westchester County for \$35.3 million. Sales proceeds received were used for the repayment of the mortgage note encumbering the property located at 1350 Avenue of the Americas in New York, NY. This non-core real estate holding was acquired in December 2005 as part of a 14 office property portfolio acquisition.

On March 31, 2006, a group of institutional investors led by JPMorgan Investment Management, our joint venture partner in the Court Square JV and the property located at 919 Third Avenue, NY purchased our option to acquire the existing minority partners 40% partnership interest in the Omni Property for net proceeds of approximately \$9.0 million. Such proceeds have been included in investment income and other on our consolidated statements of income. In connection with this transaction, the original minority partner repaid to us approximately \$22.1 million representing amounts due under a note receivable which was secured by their interest in the Omni Property. Such aggregate proceeds to us of approximately \$31.2 million were used for the repayment of the mortgage note encumbering the property located at 1350 Avenue of the Americas in New York, NY. At March 31, 2006, the Omni Property was approximately 96% leased.

As of March 31, 2006, we owned and operated 94 office properties (inclusive of twenty-six office properties owned through joint ventures) comprising approximately 19.3 million square feet and eight flex properties (inclusive of two flex properties owned through joint ventures) comprising approximately 863,000 square feet located in the New York Tri-State Markets.

We also own certain land parcels throughout our markets in the New York Tri-State Markets which we hold for current and future development (the Development Parcels). We recently completed the ground-up development on one of the Development Parcels of a 305,000 square foot Class A office building which commenced in July 2004 and is located within our existing three building executive office park in Melville, NY with a total investment of approximately \$64.0 million. During July 2005, we commenced the ground-up development on one of the Development Parcels of a 37,000 square foot Class A retail property located within our existing six building Landmark Square office park in Stamford, Connecticut. In August 2005, we recommenced the ground-up development of one of the Development Parcels of a 313,000 square foot Class A office building located within our existing three building office park located in Princeton, NJ. Further, one of the Development Parcels, aggregating approximately 4.1 acres, is classified as held for sale on our balance sheets and is expected to close during September 2006 for aggregate consideration of \$2.0 million. Excluding the foregoing, at March 31, 2006 our inventory of Development Parcels aggregated approximately 305 acres of land in 9 separate parcels in which we had invested approximately \$121.9 million. In addition, as previously discussed, in May 2005, we entered into a contract to sell approximately 60 acres of vacant land in Chatham Township, NJ, subject to a change in zoning and other conditions. There can be no assurances that such conditions will be met or that the transaction will be consummated.

Management has made subjective assessments as to the value and recoverability of our investments in the Development Parcels based on current and proposed development plans, market comparable land values and alternative use values. Based on these assessments, we believe there is no impairment to the carrying value of the Development Parcels. We are currently evaluating alternative land uses for certain of the remaining Development Parcels to realize their highest economic value. These alternatives may include rezoning certain Development Parcels from commercial to residential for potential disposition.

Note Receivable Investments

On March 30, 2006, we advanced approximately \$14.2 million under three separate loan agreements which are secured by certain flex properties, aggregating approximately 450,000 square feet, located in Nassau County, Long Island and in part by a personal guarantee of an affiliate of the borrower. These loans have an initial weighted average interest rate of 15.3% and mature on April 1, 2008. In addition, the loans are not prepayable, without penalty, prior to October 1, 2007.

On March 31, 2006, as discussed above, we were repaid approximately \$22.1 million, including accrued interest, under a 12.0% per annum. \$17.0 million note receivable investment which was secured by a minority partnership interest in the Omni Property.

At March 31, 2006, we had invested approximately \$93.4 million in mezzanine loans and approximately \$55.3 million in a participating loan investment. In general these investments are secured by a pledge of either a direct or indirect ownership interest in the underlying real estate or leasehold, other guaranties, pledges and assurances.

Back to Contents

The following table sets forth the terms of the mezzanine loans at March 31, 2006 (in thousands):

Property	Amount	Interest Rate	Funding	Maturity
Long Island office portfolio	\$8,031	9.00	% Mar., 2005	Apr., 2010 (a)
Long Island office portfolio 72 Madison Avenue, NY, NY (b)	20,356 10.000	9.00 20.00	% Mar., 2005 % Oct., 2005	Apr., 2012 (a) Oct., 2007
1166 Avenue of the Americas, NY, NY (c)	25,000	17.50	% Nov., 2005	Nov., 2009
100 Wall Street, NY, NY	30,000	15.00	% Dec., 2005	Dec., 2007
	\$93,387	-		

⁽a) Prepayable without penalty after 18 months from initial funding.

Our \$55.3 million participating loan investment was funded in May 2005 and is secured by an indirect interest in a 550,000 square foot condominium in a Class A office tower located at 1166 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY. The loan accrues interest compounded at 9.0% and pays interest at an annual rate of 6.0% through March 2010, 8.5% thereafter through March 2015 and 11.0% thereafter through maturity in 2020. The loan is pre-payable only under certain circumstances and, in any case, not before 2009. Upon a capital event related to the indirect interest in the property which secures the loan, we are entitled to participate in 30% of the net proceeds derived from such capital event.

As of March 31, 2006, we held one other note receivable, which aggregated \$1.0 million and carried an interest rate of 10.50% per annum (the Other Note) and collectively with the loans advanced during March 2006, our mezzanine loans and preferred loan investment (the Note Receivable Investments). The Other Note matures on January 31, 2010 and is secured in part by a minority partner s preferred unit interest in the Operating Partnership.

As of March 31, 2006, management has made subjective assessments as to the underlying security value on the Note Receivable Investments. Based on these assessments, we believe there is no impairment to their carrying value.

Our market capitalization at March 31, 2006 was approximately \$5.9 billion. Our market capitalization is based on the sum of (i) the market value of the Company s common stock and OP Units (assuming conversion) of \$45.82 per share / unit (based on the closing price of the Company s common stock on March 31, 2006), (ii) the liquidation preference value of the Operating Partnership s preferred units of \$1,000 per unit and (iii) approximately \$2.0 billion (net of minority partners interests share of consolidated joint venture debt and including our share of consolidated and unconsolidated joint venture debt) of debt outstanding at March 31, 2006. As a result, our total debt to total market capitalization ratio at March 31, 2006 equaled approximately 34.0%.

⁽b) In addition to this mortgage loan, Reckson Construction and Development, LLC (RCD) entered into a development agreement with the owner of the property to perform certain predevelopment, development and / or other services with respect to the property. In exchange for its services, RCD will receive a development fee of \$2.0 million which is payable in equal monthly installments over a two-year period.

⁽c) Junior mezzanine loan secured by interests in a 550,000 square foot condominium interest.

Back to Contents

Results of Operations

The following table is a comparison of the results of operations for the three month period ended March 31, 2006 to the three month period ended March 31, 2005 (dollars in thousands):

Three	months	ended	March 31,	

			Change		
	2006	2005	Dollars	Percent	
Property Operating Revenues: Base rents Tenant escalations and reimbursements	\$116,085 19,068	\$112,410 17,778	\$3,675 1,290	3.3 7.3	% %
Total property operating revenues	\$135,153	\$130,188	\$4,965	3.8 -	%
Property Operating Expenses: Operating expenses Real estate taxes	\$35,984 24,251	\$31,406 21,334	\$4,578 2,917	14.6 13.7	% %
Total property operating expenses	\$60,235	\$52,740	\$7,495	14.2 -	%
Interest and Investment Income and other	\$17,576	\$3,129	\$14,447	> 100	%
Other Expenses: Interest expense incurred Amortization of deferred financing costs Marketing, general and administrative	\$27,989 1,122 9,482	\$23,566 991 7,995	\$4,423 131 1,487	18.8 13.2 18.6	% % %
Total other expenses	\$38,593	\$32,552	\$6,041	18.6	%

Our property operating revenues, which include base rents and tenant escalations and reimbursements (Property Operating Revenues), increased by \$5.0 million or 3.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the 2005 period. The increase is a result of a \$20.0 million increase in Property Operating Revenues from the acquisition of thirteen suburban office properties, containing approximately 2.4 million square feet which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2005, \$3.2 million attributable to built-in rent increases and straight line rental revenue increases in our same-store properties. These aggregate increases of approximately \$23.2 million were offset by an \$18.2 million decrease in Property Operating Revenues from the sale of the Tranche I Properties in September 2005 and the Tranche II Properties on January 6, 2006.

Our property operating expenses, real estate taxes and ground rents (Property Expenses) increased by \$7.5 million or 14.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the 2005 period. The increase is a result of a \$10.3 million increase in operating expenses and real estate taxes from the acquisition of the aforementioned suburban office properties which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2005, \$3.0 million increase in operating expenses and real estate taxes in our same-store properties. These aggregate increases of approximately \$13.3 million were offset by a \$5.8 million decrease in Property Expenses from the sale of the Tranche I Properties in September 2005 and the Tranche II Properties on January 6, 2006. The increase in real

estate taxes is attributable to higher taxes being levied by the municipalities in which the properties are located. The increase in property expenses is primarily driven by the recent increases in energy costs. In addition, as indicated below the overall increases in Property Expenses is not entirely billable to our tenants.

Gross operating margins (defined as Property Operating Revenues less Property Expenses, taken as a percentage of Property Operating Revenues) for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 were 55.4% and 59.5%, respectively. The decrease in our gross margins reflects the performance of thirteen suburban office properties, containing approximately 2.4 million square feet which were purchased in the fourth quarter of 2005 with below market occupancy levels. We anticipate repositioning and in certain instances adding incremental capital to these properties and increasing their occupancies to levels comparable to the balance of our core portfolio. The addition of these properties resulted in a decrease in occupancy for our portfolio. In addition, we have experienced increases in real estate taxes and operating costs in our core portfolio, particularly energy costs, which were not entirely billable to our tenants. We anticipate that our operating margins will increase as we lease the vacant space in the above mentioned properties and as we increase occupancy in the remaining portion of the core portfolio.

Interest and Investment income and other increased by \$14.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the 2005 period. This increase is primarily a result of the sale of our option to acquire the minority partner s 40% partnership interest in the Omni Property for net consideration of approximately \$9.0 million, an increase in interest income earned on our Note Receivable Investments of \$3.1 million due to a weighted average increase in our Note Receivable Investments of approximately \$80.3 million from the 2005 period, \$1.7 million in transaction and management fees earned during the 2006 period related to the Australian JV, and an increase of \$593,000 related to tenant-related services, third-party fees and other interest income.

In connection with the Tranche II closing of the Australian JV during January 2006 we recorded gains on sales of real estate in the amount of \$35.4 million. These gains have been reported as a component of income from continuing operations as a result of the Company retaining a 25% continuing interest in the Australian JV. No such gains on sales of real estate were recorded in the 2005 period.

Interest expense incurred increased by \$4.4 million or 18.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the 2005 period. Approximately \$2.9 million of the increase is attributable to the Operating Partnership s issuance of \$287.5 million of senior unsecured

Back to Contents

debentures in June 2005. Interest expense also increased by \$2.9 million incurred under our unsecured term loan, which was funded in January 2006 and used to purchase the Eastridge portfolio and was repaid later in the first quarter of 2006, \$670,000 incurred from our financing of three properties during September 2005 which are scheduled to be contributed to the Australian JV in the latter part of 2006 and \$168,000 of mortgage interest expense incurred on a property which we acquired, subject to the debt in October 2005. These aggregate increases of \$6.6 million were off-set by decreases in interest expense of \$112,000 incurred under our same-store mortgage portfolio, a decrease in interest expense of \$721,000 incurred during the 2005 period from two mortgage notes which were subsequently satisfied, \$391,000 of interest expense related to two properties which were contributed to the Australian JV on January 6, 2006, subject to their debt and an increase of approximately \$1.0 million in capitalized interest expense due to an increase in development activities.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses increased by \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the 2005 period. This overall net increase is attributable to increased costs of maintaining offices and infrastructure in each of our five divisional markets, operating as a public company and higher compensation costs including amortization expense related to restricted stock awards to executive and non-executive officers. Marketing, general and administrative costs represented 6.2% of total revenues from continuing operations (excluding gains on sales of depreciable real estate assets) in the 2006 period as compared to 6.0% in the 2005 period.

During the 2006 quarterly period, we incurred a \$3.6 million charge related to our long-term incentive compensation plan with no comparable charge during the 2005 period. For a further discussion of this charge, see Other Matters of this Item 2.

Discontinued operations, net of minority interests increased by approximately \$8.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the 2005 period. This increase is attributable to an increase in the gains on sales related to those properties sold, including their results of operations during the 2006 period as compared to the 2005 period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, rental revenue has been the principal source of funds to pay operating expenses, debt service and non-incremental capital expenditures, excluding incremental capital expenditures. We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through our net cash provided by operating activities along with our \$500 million unsecured credit facility (the Credit Facility) described below. The Credit Facility contains several financial covenants with which we must be in compliance in order to borrow funds thereunder. During the prior two years, we have incurred significant leasing costs in the form of tenant improvement costs, leasing commissions and free rent. This trend is a result of market demands from tenants and high levels of leasing transactions to re-tenant scheduled expirations or space vacated due to early terminations of leases. We are also expending costs on tenants that are renewing or extending their leases earlier than scheduled. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, we paid or accrued approximately \$67.7 million and \$52.2 million, respectively, for tenanting costs including tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions. Primarily, as a result of these factors, our cash available for distribution from operating activities was not sufficient to cover 100% of the dividends paid on our common equity. However, we are beginning to experience a moderation in the cost of re-tenanting our properties, primarily in terms of free rent concessions and costs to renew existing tenants. We are not yet experiencing significant reductions in the cost of re-leasing vacant or vacated space. Recently we have experienced an economic recovery in our markets, including an accelerated recovery in our New York City and Long Island markets. We are beginning to also see this trend in certain of our Stamford, Connecticut properties. This is resulting in rental rate increases which is positively impacting our cash flow. To meet the short-term funding requirements relating to the higher leasing costs, we have used proceeds from non-core property sales or borrowings under our Credit Facility. Based on our forecasted leasing, we anticipate that we will continue to incur shortfalls during 2006. We currently intend to fund any shortfalls with proceeds from sales of non-income producing assets or borrowings under our Credit Facility. We periodically review our dividend policy to determine the appropriateness of our dividend rate relative to our cash flows. We adjust our distribution rate based on such factors as leasing activity, market conditions and forecasted increases and decreases in our cash flow as well as required distributions of taxable income to maintain REIT status. There can be no assurance that we will maintain the current quarterly distribution level on our common equity.

We expect to meet most of our financing requirements through long-term unsecured borrowings and the issuance of debt and equity securities of the Company. In certain situations, primarily in joint venture transactions, we use secured debt in connection with the acquisition of properties. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, the Operating Partnership issued \$275 million of senior unsecured debt securities. The Operating Partnership also issued \$287.5 million of exchangeable senior debentures in June 2005. There can be no assurance that there will be adequate demand for the Company sequity at the time or at the price in which the Company desires to raise capital through the sale of additional equity. Similarly, there can be no assurance that the Operating Partnership will be able to access the unsecured debt markets at the time when the Operating Partnership desires to sell its unsecured notes. In addition, when valuations for commercial real estate properties are high, we will seek to sell non-core operating properties or certain land inventory to realize value and profit created. We will then seek opportunities to reinvest the

capital realized from these dispositions back into value-added assets in our core New York Tri-State Markets. However, there can be no assurances that we will be able to identify such opportunities that meet our underwriting criteria. Additionally, we have been actively seeking joint venture relationships to access new sources of equity capital. In September 2005, we completed a series of transactions whereby we sponsored the IPO of Reckson LPT, a newly-formed Australian listed property trust which is traded on the Australian Stock Exchange. Proceeds received from the IPO were used to form a joint venture with us, the Australian JV, and purchase 17 of our properties. In addition, during January 2006, we sold three additional properties to the Australian JV and are under contract to sell an additional five of our properties to the Australian JV during October 2006. It is our intention to purchase additional assets, within our New York Tri-State Markets, with Reckson LPT through the Australian JV. Joint ventures often involve relinquishing sole decision making authority relating to material events such as sale and

Back to Contents

financing. Loss of control of these decisions may adversely affect our financial flexibility, particularly relating to liquidating joint venture assets. There can be no assurances that we will be able to successfully execute this strategy.

We expect to refinance existing mortgage indebtedness, senior unsecured notes or indebtedness under our Credit Facility at maturity through the issuance of unsecured debt securities or additional equity securities. We anticipate that the current balance of cash and cash equivalents and cash flows from operating activities, together with cash available from borrowings, equity offerings and proceeds from sales of land and non-income producing assets, will be adequate to meet our capital and liquidity requirements in both the short and long-term. Our senior unsecured debt is currently investment grade rated BBB- by Fitch Ratings, BBB- by Standard & Poor s and Baa3 by Moody s Investors Service. The rating agencies review the ratings assigned to an issuer such as us on an ongoing basis. Negative changes in our ratings may result in increases in our borrowing costs, including borrowings under our Credit Facility.

Our markets are currently in the recovery stage in the economic cycle. As a result of current economic conditions, we have generally experienced higher renewal rates and a lower number of lease terminations. Vacancy rates in our markets are generally stable or decreasing and asking rents in our markets have stabilized and in some instances, particularly in New York City and Long Island, are trending higher. Landlords are still required to grant concessions such as free rent and tenant improvements but generally at a more moderate rate than had been experienced in the prior year, particularly on renewal space. Our markets continue to experience higher real estate taxes and utility rates. The recent volatility in the energy markets has had a substantial impact on cost of utilities in the northeast where we own our properties. In certain of our markets, the increase in real estate taxes and utility costs will be included as part of expenses subject to escalation above a base year and billed to tenants consistent with the terms of their underlying leases. We are also experiencing a similar increase in cost of building materials to fit out tenant space, maintain our buildings and in new development costs. We believe that trends are moving positively from a landlord s perspective particularly in terms of increased demand and limited new supply and that the above average tenant costs relating to leasing are moderating. This trend is supported by increased occupancy and reduced vacancy rates in most of our markets, the general economic recovery in the market resulting in job growth, and the scarcity of available land in which to develop a new supply of office space.

We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage and rental loss insurance on all of our properties. Six of our properties are located in New York City. As a result of the events of September 11, 2001, insurance companies were limiting coverage for acts of terrorism in all risk policies. In November 2002, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act (TRIA) of 2002 was signed into law, which, among other things, requires insurance companies to offer coverage for losses resulting from defined acts of terrorism through 2005. The TRIA was subsequently extended, with certain modifications, through 2007 with the enactment of the Terrorism Insurance Extension Act of 2005. Our current property insurance coverage, which expires on June 2, 2006, provides for full replacement cost of our properties, including for acts of terrorism up to \$540.0 million on a per occurrence basis. We are currently in the process of renewing our expiring property insurance coverage with similar limits and coverages as the expiring policies, including for acts of terrorism. There can be no assurances that we will be able to replace these coverages at commercially reasonably rates or at all.

The potential impact of terrorist attacks in the New York City and New York Tri-State Markets may adversely affect the value of our properties and our ability to generate cash flow. As a result, there may be a decrease in demand for office space in metropolitan areas that are considered at risk for future terrorist attacks, and this decrease may reduce our revenues from property rentals.

In order to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the Company is required to make distributions to its stockholders of at least 90% of REIT taxable income. We expect to use our cash flow from operating activities for distributions to stockholders and for payment of recurring, non-incremental revenue-generating expenditures. We intend to invest amounts accumulated for distribution in short-term investments.

We currently maintain our \$500 million Credit Facility with JPMorgan Chase Bank, as administrative agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as syndication agent and Citicorp, North America, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association as co-documentation agents. The Credit Facility matures in August 2008, provides for a one-year extension subject to a fee of 25 basis points and, upon receiving additional lender commitments, for an increase to the maximum revolving credit amount to \$750 million. In addition, borrowings under the Credit Facility accrue interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 80 basis points and the Credit Facility carries a facility fee of 20 basis points per annum. In the event of a change in the Operating Partnership s senior unsecured credit ratings the interest rates and facility fee are subject to change. At March 31, 2006, the outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility aggregated \$180.0 million and carried a weighted average interest rate of 5.46% per annum.

We utilize the Credit Facility primarily to finance real estate investments, fund our real estate development activities and for working capital purposes. At March 31, 2006, we had availability under the Credit Facility to borrow approximately an additional \$319.9

million, subject to compliance with certain financial covenants. Such amount is net of approximately \$100,000 in an outstanding undrawn standby letter of credit, which is issued under the Credit Facility.

In connection with the acquisition of certain properties, contributing partners of such properties have provided guarantees on certain of our indebtedness. As a result, we maintain certain minimum outstanding balances on our Credit Facility.

On January 13, 2006, we obtained a \$250.0 million term loan (the Term Loan) from Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company. The Term Loan was for an initial period of three months and had terms, including interest rates and financial covenants, substantially similar to our Credit Facility. Proceeds from the Term Loan were used to repay outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility. On March 31, 2006, in

Back to Contents

conjunction with net proceeds received from the issuance of Senior Unsecured Notes, we repaid the entire amount outstanding under the Term Loan. As a result, the Term Loan has been retired and is no longer available for borrowings thereunder.

We continue to seek opportunities to acquire real estate assets in our markets. We have historically sought to acquire properties where we could use our real estate expertise to create additional value subsequent to acquisition. As a result of increased market values for our commercial real estate assets, we have sold certain non-core assets or interests in assets where significant value has been created. During 2004, we sold assets or interests in assets with aggregate sales prices of approximately \$51.4 million, net of minority partners joint venture interests. During 2005 we sold approximately \$909.8 million of our real estate assets including disposition of interests to joint ventures and during the first quarter of 2006, we sold two of our non-core assets, the Tranche II LPT Properties and an option to acquire our minority partner s 40% partnership interest in the Omni Property for aggregate sales proceeds of approximately \$178.9 million. We used the proceeds from these sales primarily to pay down borrowings under the Credit Facility, for general corporate purposes and to invest in short-term liquid investments until such time as alternative real estate investments could be made.

We also seek to make structured finance investments at risk adjusted returns into mixed use and residential projects located within our markets. Although we believe we have an in-depth understanding and expertise of our markets relating to the zoning, development and underwriting of various product types, such investments expose us to risks concerning property types and development which may be outside our core portfolio.

A Class A OP Unit and a share of common stock have similar economic characteristics as they effectively share equally in the net income or loss and distributions of the Operating Partnership. As of March 31, 2006, the Operating Partnership had issued and outstanding 1,552,133 Class A OP Units and 465,845 Class C OP Units. The Class A OP Units and the Company s common stock currently receive a quarterly distribution of \$.4246 per unit/share. The Class C OP Units were issued in August 2003 in connection with the contribution of real property to the Operating Partnership and currently receive a quarterly distribution of \$.4664 per unit. Subject to certain holding periods, OP Units may either be redeemed for cash or, at the election of the Company, exchanged for shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis.

During June 2005, the Operating Partnership issued \$287.5 million aggregate principal amount of 4.00% exchangeable senior debentures due June 15, 2025. The debentures were issued at 98% of par and are exchangeable for shares of common stock of the Company on or after June 15, 2024 at an initial exchange rate of 24.6124 common shares per \$1,000 of principal amount of debentures. The debentures are also exchangeable: (i) if the market price of our common stock over a specified period of time is more than 125% of the exchange price per share then in effect; (ii) if the trading price of the debentures over a specified period of time is less than 98% of the product of the closing price of our shares multiplied by the applicable exchange rate; (iii) during a specified period of time, for any debentures that have been called for redemption; (iv) under certain circumstances, upon the occurrence of a distribution to holders of our shares of (a) rights to purchase our common stock at a price below the market price of our shares or (b) assets, debt securities or rights to purchase our securities or securities of the Operating Partnership that have a per share value exceeding 10% of the market price of our shares; or (v) if our common stock is not listed on a national or regional securities exchange or quoted on NASDAQ for 30 consecutive trading days.

The initial exchange price of \$40.63 represents a premium of approximately 25% to the closing price of the Company s common stock on the issuance date of \$32.50 per share. If exchanged in accordance with their terms, the debentures will be settled in cash up to their principal amount and any remaining exchange value will be settled, at our option, in cash, the Company s common stock or a combination thereof. In accordance with the exchange rate terms of the debentures the Company has reserved approximately 8.8 million shares of its authorized common stock, \$.01 par value, for potential future issuance upon the exchange of the debentures. Such amount is based on an exchange rate of 30.7692 common shares per \$1,000 of principal amount of debentures. Although we have reserved these shares pursuant to the exchange rate terms, we believe the issuance of our shares, if any, would be significantly less than 8.8 million shares. The debentures are guaranteed by the Company. We have the option to redeem the debentures beginning June 18, 2010 for the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of the debentures have the right to require us to repurchase their debentures at 100% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest on June 15, 2010, June 15, 2015 and June 15, 2020 or, in the event of certain change in control transactions, prior to June 15, 2010.

During the three month period ended March 31, 2006, 85,083 shares of the Company s common stock were issued in connection with the exercise of outstanding options to purchase stock under its stock option plans resulting in proceeds to us of approximately \$2.1 million. In addition, during February 2006, a limited partner in the Operating Partnership exchanged 17,009 OP Units for an equal number of shares of the Company s common stock.

The Board of Directors of the Company initially authorized the purchase of up to 5.0 million shares of the Company s common stock. Transactions conducted on the New York Stock Exchange have been, and will continue to be, effectuated in accordance

with the safe harbor provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and may be terminated by the Company at any time. Since the Board s initial authorization, the Company has purchased 3,318,600 shares of its common stock for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$71.3 million. In June 2004, the Board of Directors re-set the Company s common stock repurchase program back to 5.0 million shares. No purchases have been made since March 2003.

The Operating Partnership has issued and outstanding 1,200 preferred units of limited partnership interest with a liquidation preference value of \$1,000 per unit and a stated distribution rate of 7.0%, which is subject to reduction based upon terms of their initial issuance (the Preferred Units). The terms of the Preferred Units provide for this reduction in distribution rate in order to address the effect of certain

36

Back to Contents

mortgages with above market interest rates, which were assumed by the Operating Partnership in connection with properties contributed to the Operating Partnership in 1998. As a result of the aforementioned reduction, there are currently no distributions being made on the Preferred Units.

Capitalization

Our indebtedness at March 31, 2006 totaled approximately \$2.0 billion (net of minority partners interests share of consolidated joint venture debt and including our share of consolidated and unconsolidated joint venture debt) and was comprised of approximately \$188.3 million of floating rate unsecured debt, approximately \$1.25 billion of senior unsecured notes and approximately \$566.9 million of fixed rate mortgage indebtedness with a weighted average interest rate of approximately 6.0% and a weighted average maturity of approximately 5.1 years. Based on our total market capitalization of approximately \$5.9 billion at March 31, 2006 (calculated based on the sum of (i) the market value of the Company s common stock and OP Units, assuming conversion, (ii) the liquidation preference value of the Preferred Units and (iii) the \$2.0 billion of debt), our debt represented approximately 34.0% of our total market capitalization.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

On January 6, 2006, we sold two of our suburban office properties; 6800 and 6900 Jericho Turnpike, Jericho, NY to the Australian JV, subject to their mortgage debt of approximately \$20.1 million. The Australian JV subsequently pre-paid the mortgage notes with proceeds from a new mortgage financing transaction.

Pursuant to the terms of the mortgage note encumbering the property located at 1350 Avenue of the Americas in New York, NY, the note was prepayable, without penalty, subsequent to March 31, 2006. On March 31, 2006, we satisfied the note and unencumbered the property by repaying the outstanding balance of approximately \$71.9 million with proceeds received from property sales and cash-on-hand.

The following table sets forth our significant consolidated debt obligations by scheduled principal cash flow payments and maturity date and our commercial commitments by scheduled maturity at March 31, 2006 (in thousands):

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Thereafter	Total
Mortgage notes payable							
(1) Mortgage notes payable	\$7,866	\$8,406	\$7,370	\$6,774	\$4,665	\$3,236	\$38,317
	40,402	60,642		100,254	62,105	228,435	491,838
Senior unsecured notes		200,000		200,000	287,500	575,000	1,262,500
Credit Facility			180,000				180,000
Land lease obligations (3)	9,340	12,471	12,498	12,644	12,566	342,849	402,368
Air rights lease obligations	271	362	362	362	362	3,257	4,976
Capital leases	503	671	671	671	671	3,188	6,375
Operating leases	989	194	196	14			1,393
	\$59,371	\$282,746	\$201,097	\$320,719	\$367,869	\$1,155,965	\$2,387,767

⁽¹⁾ Scheduled principal amortization payments.

⁽²⁾ Principal payments due at maturity.

⁽³⁾ We lease, pursuant to noncancellable operating leases, the land on which ten of our buildings were constructed. The leases, certain of which contain renewal options at our direction, expire between 2043 and 2090. The leases either contain provisions for scheduled increases in the minimum rent at specified intervals or for adjustments to rent based upon the fair market value of the underlying land or other indices at specified intervals. Minimum ground rent is recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the leases and includes lease renewals if reasonably assured that we will exercise that option.

At March 31, 2006, approximately \$41.3 million, or 7.8%, of our mortgage debt was recourse to the Company.

Back to Contents

The following table sets forth our significant consolidated interest expense obligations on our fixed rate debt by scheduled cash flow payments at March 31, 2006, excluding interest expense obligations related to those properties classified as held for sale on our consolidated balance sheet (in thousands):

Year ended December 31.

	2006		2007 20		200	2008 2009)9	2010		Thereafter		Total	
Mortgage notes payable Senior unsecured notes Exchangeable debentures(a)	\$	25,236 36,794 11,500	\$	28,422 57,113 11,500	\$	24,782 48,538 11,500	\$	21,633 36,267 11,500	\$	16,105 33,038 11,500	\$	10,041 118,892 166,271	\$	126,219 330,642 223,771
	\$	73,530	\$	97,035	\$	84,820	\$	69,400	\$	60,643	\$	295,204	\$	680,632

⁽a) Callable after June 17, 2010 at par.

Interest expense from those properties classified as held for sale on our consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2006 over the next five years and thereafter aggregated approximately \$16.2 million.

Interest expense incurred under our variable rate Credit Facility and Term Loan amounted to approximately \$5.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and was based on a combined weighted average balance and interest rate of \$418.9 million and 5.62%, respectively. Our rental revenues are our principal source of funds along with our net cash provided by operating activities to meet these and future interest obligations.

We had undrawn letters of credit outstanding of approximately \$100,000 at March 31, 2006.

Certain of the mortgage notes payable are guaranteed by the Company and/or certain limited partners in the Operating Partnership. In addition, consistent with customary practices in non-recourse lending, certain non-recourse mortgages may be recourse to the Company under certain limited circumstances including environmental issues and breaches of material representations.

Corporate Governance

In February 2006 the Company amended its Bylaws to implement certain corporate governance policies, including (i) a requirement that at least two-thirds of the members of the Board of Directors consist of independent directors and (ii) the establishment of an Affiliate Transaction Committee, which consists of all of the independent directors.

The corporate governance policies implemented by the amendments to the Bylaws supplement the Company s previously-enacted corporate governance enhancements, which include: (i) the de-staggering of the Board of Directors so that shareholders can vote on the entire slate of directors each year; (ii) the establishment of an independent lead director position; (iii) the mandatory rotation of at least one independent director every three years; (iv) a requirement that independent directors own a minimum equity stake in the Company of \$100,000 of common stock; (v) a requirement that a substantial portion of directors compensation be in the Company s equity, which equity must be held during each director s tenure on the Board; (vi) opting out of the Maryland Business Combination Statute; and (vii) modifying the Company s five or fewer limitation on the ownership of its common stock so that such limitation may only be used to protect the Company s REIT status and not for anti-takeover purposes.

Other Matters

In July 2002, as a result of certain provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we discontinued the use of stock loans in our Long Term Incentive Programs (LTIP). In connection with LTIP grants made prior to the enactment of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we currently have stock loans outstanding to certain executive officers which were used to purchase 385,000 shares of our common stock. The stock loans were priced at the market prices of our common stock at the time of issuance, bear interest at the mid-term Applicable Federal Rate and are secured by the shares purchased. Such stock loans (including accrued interest) are scheduled to vest and be ratably forgiven each year on the anniversary of the grant date based upon initial vesting periods ranging

from seven to ten years. Such forgiveness is based on continued service and in part on the Company attaining certain annual performance measures. These stock loans had an initial aggregate weighted average vesting period of approximately nine years. As of March 31, 2006, there remains 149,714 shares of common stock subject to the original stock loans which are anticipated to vest between 2006 and 2011. Approximately \$802,000 and \$528,000 of compensation expense (inclusive of cash payments in respect of taxes payable by the borrower resulting from such forgiveness) was recorded for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to these loans. Such amounts have been included in marketing, general and administrative expenses on the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

The outstanding stock loan balances due from executive officers aggregated approximately \$3.2 million and \$3.8 million at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, and have been included as a reduction of additional paid in capital on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Other outstanding loans to executive and senior officers at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 amounted to approximately \$1.9 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, and are included in investments in affiliate loans and joint ventures

Back to Contents

on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and are primarily related to tax payment advances on stock compensation awards and life insurance contracts made to certain executive and non-executive officers.

In November 2002 and March 2003, an award of rights was granted to certain executive officers of the Company (the 2002 Rights and 2003 Rights, respectively, and collectively, the Rights). Each Right represents the right to receive, upon vesting, one share of common stock if shares are then available for grant under one of the Company is stock option plans or, if shares are not so available, an amount of cash equivalent to the value of such stock on the vesting date. The 2002 Rights vest in four equal annual installments beginning on November 14, 2003 (and shall be fully vested on November 14, 2006). The 2003 Rights were earned on March 13, 2005 and vest in three equal annual installments beginning on March 13, 2005 (and shall be fully vested on March 13, 2007). Dividends on the shares will be held by the Company until such shares become vested, and will be distributed thereafter to the applicable officer. The 2002 Rights also entitle the holder thereof to cash payments in respect of taxes payable by the holder resulting from the 2002 Rights. The 2002 Rights aggregate 62,835 shares of the Company is common stock and the 2003 Rights aggregate 26,040 shares of common stock. As of March 31, 2006, there remains 15,709 shares of common stock reserved related to the 2002 Rights and 8,682 shares of common stock reserved related to the 2003 Rights. Approximately \$120,000 and \$104,000 of compensation expense was recorded for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to the Rights. Such amounts have been included in marketing, general and administrative expenses on the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

In March 2003, the Company established a new LTIP for its executive and senior officers (the 2003 LTIP). The four-year plan has a core award, which provides for annual stock based compensation based upon continued service and in part based on the Company attaining certain annual performance measures. The plan also has a special outperformance component in the form of a bonus pool equal to 10% of the total return in excess of a 9% cumulative and compounded annual total return on the Company s common equity for the period through the four-year anniversary after the date of grant (the Special Outperformance Pool). The aggregate amount payable to such officers from the Special Outperformance Pool is capped at an amount calculated based upon a total cumulative and compounded annual return on the common equity of 15%. An officer s special outperformance award represents an allocation of the Special Outperformance Pool and will become vested on the fourth anniversary of the date of grant, provided that the officer remains in continuous employment with the Company or any of its affiliates until such date, and the Company has achieved on a cumulative and compounded basis, during the four fiscal years completed on the applicable anniversary date, a total return to holders of the common equity that (i) is at or above the 60th percentile of the total return to stockholders achieved by members of the peer group during the same period and (ii) equals at least 9% per annum. Special outperformance awards will be paid in cash; however, the Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, may elect to pay such an award in shares of common stock, valued at the date of vesting, if shares are available at such time under any of the Company s existing stock option plans. The LTIP provides that no dividends or dividend equivalent payments will accrue with respect to the special outperformance awards. On March 13, 2003, the Company made available 827,776 shares of its common stock under its existing stock option plans in connection with the core award of the 2003 LTIP for certain of its executive and senior officers. During May 2003, the special outperformance awards of the 2003 LTIP were amended to increase the per share base price above which the four year cumulative return is measured from \$18.00 to \$22.40.

The Board of Directors approved an amendment to the 2003 LTIP to revise the peer group used to measure relative performance. The amendment eliminated the mixed office and industrial companies and added certain other pure office companies in order to revise the peer group to office sector companies. The Board has also approved the revision of the performance measurement dates for future vesting under the core component of the 2003 LTIP from the anniversary of the date of grant to December 31 of each year. This was done in order to have the performance measurement coincide with the performance period that the Company believes many investors use to judge the performance of the Company.

On December 27, 2004, the Operating Partnership entered into definitive agreements with certain executive and senior officers of the Company to revise their incentive awards under the 2003 LTIP. The revised agreements provide for (i) the rescission of the unvested portion of their core awards and (ii) an award in exchange for the rescinded core awards of an equal number of units of a new class of limited partnership interests (LTIP Units) of the Operating Partnership.

Each executive and senior officer participating in the 2003 LTIP was offered the option to retain all or a portion of his core awards or to rescind them in exchange for new awards of LTIP Units. On December 27, 2004, certain executive and senior officers accepted such offer and thereby amended their Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Award Agreement to cancel, in the aggregate, 362,500 shares of restricted stock of the Company representing all or a portion of their unvested core award, and received an equal number of LTIP Units.

The revised awards under the 2003 LTIP were designed to provide the potential for executives to retain a greater equity interest in the Company by eliminating the need for executives to sell a portion of the core awards immediately upon vesting in order to satisfy personal income taxes which are due upon vesting under the original core awards.

With respect to the 2003 LTIP, the Company met its annual performance measure with respect to the 2005, 2004 and 2003 annual measurement periods, respectively. As a result, the Company issued to the participants of the 2003 LTIP 86,111, 102,779 and 206,944 shares of its common stock, respectively, related to the core component of the 2003 LTIP.

The terms of each award of LTIP Units are substantially similar to those of the core awards under the 2003 LTIP. The vesting, performance hurdles and timing for vesting remain unchanged. However, an LTIP Unit represents an equity interest in the Operating Partnership, rather than the Company. At issuance, the LTIP Unit has no value but may over time accrete to a value equal to (but never greater than) the

Back to Contents

value of one share of common stock of the Company (a REIT Share). Initially, LTIP Units will not have full parity with OP Units with respect to liquidating distributions. Upon the occurrence of certain triggering events such as the issuance of additional OP Units by the Operating Partnership the Operating Partnership will revalue its assets for the purpose of the capital accounts of its partnership and any increase in valuation of the Operating Partnership s assets from the date of the issuance of the LTIP Units through the triggering event will be allocated to the capital accounts of holders of LTIP Units until their capital accounts are equivalent to the capital accounts of holders of OP Units. If such equivalence is reached, LTIP Units would achieve full parity with OP Units for all purposes, and therefore accrete to an economic value equivalent to REIT Shares on a one-for-one basis. In addition, if such parity is reached, vested LTIP Units may only be converted into an equal number of OP Units after two years from the date of grant. However, in the absence of an increase in the value of the assets of the Operating Partnership and the occurrence of triggering events, such economic equivalence would not be reached. Until and unless such economic equivalence is reached, the value that the officers will realize for vested LTIP Units will be less than the value of an equal number of REIT Shares. In addition, LTIP Units are subject to specific performance related vesting requirements. In addition, unlike core awards under the 2003 LTIP (wherein dividends that accumulate are paid upon vesting), LTIP Units will receive the same quarterly distributions as OP Units on a current basis, thus providing full dividend equivalence with REIT Shares. Each LTIP Unit awarded is deemed equivalent to an award of one share of common stock reserved under one of the Company s stock option plans, reducing availability for other equity awards on a one-for-one basis. At the scheduled March 2005 vesting date, the specified performance hurdles were met, and officers that received LTIP Units received a one-time cash payment that represented payment of the full vested amount of the accrued unpaid dividends under the core award of the 2003 LTIP through December 27, 2004, the issuance date of the LTIP Units. In addition, the officers, in the aggregate, vested in 104,167 LTIP Units. At the scheduled March 2006 vesting date, the specified performance hurdles were met and officers that received LTIP Units, in the aggregate, vested in 120,833 LTIP Units. In order to more closely replicate the terms of the core awards being rescinded, the Company also entered into agreements with three executive officers. which provide that in the event of a change of control the executive shall receive the equivalent value of one REIT Share for each LTIP Unit.

For each of the calendar years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, following the recommendations of the Compensation Committee, eight senior and executive officers of the Company were awarded, in the aggregate, 272,100 LTIP Units and 207,000 LTIP Units, respectively, for outperformance and to continue to incentivize them for the long-term (the Incentive Unit Grants). The terms of the Incentive Unit Grants are generally consistent with the terms of the 2003 LTIP, including with respect to the impact upon vesting in the event of a change of control.

As a result of the foregoing, there remains 69,443 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under the core award of the 2003 LTIP and 616,600 shares of common stock reserved for issuance with respect to the issuance of LTIP Units. With respect to the core award of the 2003 LTIP, the Company recorded approximately \$305,000 of compensation expense for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005. In addition, with respect to the LTIP Units and the Incentive Unit Grants, the Company recorded compensation expense of approximately \$822,000 and \$465,000, respectively, for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005. Such amounts have been included in marketing, general and administrative expenses on the accompanying consolidated statements of income. Based on the terms of the 2003 LTIP, potential outcomes of the Special Outperformance Pool are estimated to range from \$0, assuming the requisite four year cumulative performance measures are not met, to a maximum of approximately \$35.0 million, assuming relative peer group performance measures are met and a cap of 15% cumulative and compounded return on common equity. As of March 31, 2006, we have accrued approximately \$27.2 million of compensation expense with respect to the Special Outperformance Pool of which \$3.6 million was accrued during the three months ended March 31, 2006. This amount is calculated on the closing stock price of our common stock on March 31, 2006 and is based on management s determination of the probability of requisite performance measures being met. The accrual represents approximately 76% of the total estimated Special Outperformance Pool reflecting the service period through March 31, 2006.

Compensation expense with respect to the core component of the 2003 LTIP, which relates to the Company attaining certain annual performance measures, is recognized as a target stock price plan. Under this type of plan, compensation expense is recognized for the target stock price awards whether or not the targeted stock price condition is achieved as long as the underlying service conditions are achieved. Accordingly, we obtained an independent third party valuation of the 2003 LTIP awards and recognize compensation expense on a straight-line basis through the performance and vesting period for awards to employees who remain in service over the requisite period regardless of whether the target stock price has been reached.

Compensation expense with respect to the core component of the 2003 LTIP, which relates to the continued service of the grantee, is recognized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis through the vesting period based on the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant.

As a result of the election of certain executive and senior officers to exchange all or a portion of their unvested core awards under the 2003 LTIP into an equal number of LTIP Units we again obtained an independent third party valuation of the newly granted LTIP Units and determined that the fair value of the LTIP Units was not greater than the exchanged 2003 LTIP awards on the date

of the exchange. As such, compensation expense to be recognized, on a straight-lined basis, over the vesting period of the LTIP Units equals the amount of unamortized compensation expense cost for the 2003 LTIP awards as of the exchange date.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement No. 123R and have determined that the adoption of Statement No. 123R did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Nine of our office properties, which were acquired by the issuance of OP Units, are subject to agreements limiting our ability to transfer them prior to agreed upon dates without the consent of the limited partner who transferred the respective property to us. In the event we

Back to Contents

transfer any of these properties prior to the expiration of these limitations, we may be required to make a payment relating to taxes incurred by the limited partner. These limitations expire between 2011 and 2015.

Two of our office properties that are held in joint ventures contain certain limitations on transfer. These limitations include requiring the consent of the joint venture partner to transfer a property prior to various specified dates, rights of first offer, and buy / sell provisions.

Under various Federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner of real estate is liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances on or in such property. These laws often impose such liability without regard to whether the owner knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. The cost of any required remediation and the owner s liability therefore as to any property is generally not limited under such enactments and could exceed the value of the property and/or the aggregate assets of the owner. The presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner s ability to sell or rent such property or to borrow using such property as collateral. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances may also be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at a disposal or treatment facility, whether or not such facility is owned or operated by such person. Certain environmental laws govern the removal, encapsulation or disturbance of asbestos-containing materials (ACMs) when such materials are in poor condition, or in the event of renovation or demolition. Such laws impose liability for release of ACMs into the air and third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury associated with ACMs. In connection with the ownership (direct or indirect), operation, management and development of real properties, we may be considered an owner or operator of such properties or as having arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances and, therefore, potentially liable for removal or remediation costs, as well as certain other related costs, including governmental fines and injuries to persons and property.

All of our properties have been subjected to a Phase I or similar environmental audit (which involved general inspections without soil sampling, ground water analysis or radon testing) completed by independent environmental consultant companies. These environmental audits have not revealed any environmental liability that would have a material adverse effect on our business.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has a net investment of approximately \$55.2 million in loans and REIT-qualified joint ventures with FrontLine Capital Group (FrontLine) and Reckson Strategic Venture Partners, LLC (RSVP), a real estate venture capital fund whose common equity is held indirectly by FrontLine (collectively, the RSVP / FLCG Investments). Frontline was formed by the Company in 1997. The net carrying value of the RSVP / FLCG Investments was reassessed with no change by management at March 31, 2006 and is included in investments in affiliate loans and joint ventures on our consolidated balance sheets.

FrontLine is in default under the loans from the Operating Partnership and on June 12, 2002, filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

The RSVP REIT-qualified joint ventures are managed subject to a management agreement with the former managing directors of RSVP, which expires in September 2006. The management agreement provides for an annual base management fee and disposition fees equal to 2% of the net proceeds received by RSVP on asset sales which are subject to a maximum of \$7.5 million. In addition, the former managing directors of RSVP retained a one-third residual interest in RSVP s assets which is subordinated to the distribution of an aggregate amount of \$75.0 million to RSVP and/or us in respect of RSVP-controlled joint ventures.

Scott H. Rechler, our CEO and Chairman of the Board of Directors, serves as CEO and is FrontLine s sole board member. Mr. Rechler also serves as a member of the management committee of RSVP.

In November 2004, a joint venture in which RSVP owns approximately 47% executed a binding agreement to contribute its Catskills, NY resort properties (excluding residentially zoned land) to Empire Resorts Inc. (NASDAQ: NYNY) (Empire) for consideration of 18.0 million shares of Empire s common stock and the right to appoint five members of their Board of Directors. On December 29, 2005, the agreement was terminated and the joint venture received options to purchase approximately 5.2 million shares of common stock of Empire at a price of \$7.50 per share. The options will be exercisable until December 29, 2006. On March 31, 2006, the closing price of a share of Empire s common stock was \$5.16 per share.

We have discontinued the accrual of interest income with respect to the loans from the Operating Partnership and our share of GAAP equity in earnings, if any, from the RSVP-controlled REIT-qualified joint ventures until such income is realized through cash distributions.

In addition to the foregoing, our off-balance sheet arrangements consist of our approximate 5% indirect ownership interest in a joint venture that owns an investment in a New York City Class A office tower where our share of unconsolidated joint venture debt is approximately \$11.7 million with an interest rate of 6.35% per annum and a remaining term of approximately 15 years, our 25% joint venture interest in the Australian JV where our share of unconsolidated joint venture debt is approximately \$75.3 million with a weighted average interest rate of 5.3% per annum and a weighted average term of 5.5 years and our 30% joint venture interest in the property located at One Court Square, Long Island City, NY where our share of unconsolidated joint venture debt is \$94.5 million with an interest rate of 4.91% per annum and a

41

Back to Contents

remaining term of approximately 9.3 years.

Funds from Operations

Funds from Operations (FFO) is defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) as net income or loss, excluding gains or losses from sales of depreciable properties plus real estate depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We present FFO because we consider it an important supplemental measure of our operating performance and believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. As a result, FFO provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities, interest costs and other matters without the inclusion of depreciation and amortization, providing perspective that may not necessarily be apparent from net income.

We compute FFO in accordance with the standards established by NAREIT. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and is not indicative of cash available to fund cash needs. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow as a measure of liquidity. Since all companies and analysts do not calculate FFO in a similar fashion, our calculation of FFO presented herein may not be comparable to similarly titled measures as reported by other companies.

Three months anded

The following table presents our FFO calculations (in thousands):

	March 31,	ns enaea
	2006	2005
Net income Adjustments for basic Funds From Operations Add:	\$57,742	\$17,356
Limited partners minority interest in the Operating Partnership Real estate depreciation and amortization Minority partners interests in consolidated partnerships	1,440 32,151 7,234	697 27,313 6,712
Deduct: Gains on sales of depreciable real estate Amounts distributable to minority partners in consolidated partnerships	44,669 6,345	5,724
Basic and Diluted Funds From Operations	\$47,553	\$46,354
Weighted Average Shares/OP Units outstanding (1)	85,094	84,313
Diluted Weighted Average Shares/OP Units outstanding (1)	85,651	84,775

⁽¹⁾ Assumes conversion of limited partnership units of the Operating Partnership.

Back to Contents

Inflation

The office leases generally provide for fixed base rent increases or indexed escalations. In addition, the office leases provide for separate escalations of real estate taxes, operating expenses and electric costs over a base amount. The flex leases generally provide for fixed base rent increases, direct pass through of certain operating expenses and separate real estate tax escalations over a base amount. We believe that inflationary increases in expenses will be mitigated by contractual rent increases and expense escalations described above. As a result of the impact of the events of September 11, 2001, we have realized increased insurance costs, particularly relating to property and terrorism insurance, and security costs. We have included these costs as part of our escalatable expenses and have billed them to our tenants consistent with the terms of the underlying leases and believe they are collectible. To the extent our properties contain vacant space, we will bear such inflationary increases in expenses.

The Credit Facility and one of our Note Receivable Investments bear interest at variable rates, which will be influenced by changes in short-term interest rates, and are sensitive to inflation.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The primary market risk facing us is interest rate risk on our long-term debt and notes receivable. We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. However, in the normal course of our business and to help us manage our debt issuances and maturities, we do use derivative financial instruments in the form of cash flow hedges to protect ourselves against potentially rising interest rates. We are not subject to foreign currency risk.

The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

As required by Statement No. 133, we record all derivatives on our balance sheet at fair value. For effective hedges, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative will be offset against the corresponding change in fair value of the hedged asset, liability, or firm commitment through earnings or recognized in OCI on our balance sheet until the hedged item is recognized in earnings.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in OCI and subsequently reclassified to earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings, and the ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings. We assess the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction. For derivatives not designated as hedges, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

The fair market value (FMV) of our long term debt and Note Receivable Investments is estimated based on discounting future cash flows at interest rates that we believe reflects the risks associated with long term debt and notes receivable of similar risk and duration.

The following table sets forth our long-term debt obligations by scheduled principal cash flow payments and maturity date, weighted average interest rates and estimated FMV at March 31, 2006 (dollars in thousands):

For the	Year	Ending	December 31,
---------	------	---------------	--------------

	2006	_	2007		2008		2009		2010		Thereafte	er —	Total (1)	_	FMV
Long term debt: Fixed rate Weighted average interest	\$48,268		\$269,04	8	\$7,370		\$307,02	8	\$354,27	' 0	\$806,67	1	\$1,792,65	5	\$1,874,499
rate Variable rate	7.95 \$	%	7.13 \$	%	7.22 \$180,00	% 0	7.71 \$	%	4.33 \$	%	4.00 \$	%	5.29 \$180,000	%	\$180,000

Weighted average interest rate

5.46 % 5.46 %

(1) Includes aggregate unamortized issuance discounts of approximately \$7.7 million on the senior unsecured notes which are due at maturity. In addition, we have assessed the market risk for our variable rate debt, which is based upon LIBOR, and believe that a one percent increase in the LIBOR rate would have an approximate \$1.8 million annual increase in interest expense based on \$180.0 million of variable rate debt outstanding at March 31, 2006.

43

Back to Contents

The following table sets forth our Note Receivable Investments by scheduled maturity date, weighted average interest rates and estimated FMV at March 31, 2006 (dollars in thousands):

For the Year Ending December 31,

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Thereafter	Total (1)	FMV
Note Receivable Investments: Fixed rate Weighted average interest	\$	\$40,000	\$14,188	\$25,000	\$8,031	\$ 97,760	(2)\$184,979	\$184,979(2)
rate Variable rate Weighted average interest rate	\$	16.25 % \$	% 15.29 % \$	\$500	% 9.00 \$ %	% 9.02 \$	\$500	% \$500 %

(1) Excludes interest receivables and unamortized acquisition costs aggregating approximately \$4.7 million.

In addition, we have assessed the market risk for our variable rate receivables, which are based upon LIBOR, and believe that a one percent increase in the LIBOR rate would have an approximate \$5,000 annual increase in interest income based on a \$500,000 variable rate note receivable outstanding at March 31, 2006.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our filings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. In this regard, we have formed a Disclosure Committee currently comprised of all of our executive officers as well as certain other members of our senior management with knowledge of information that may be considered in the SEC reporting process. The Committee has responsibility for the development and assessment of the financial and non-financial information to be included in the reports filed by us with the SEC and supports our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer in connection with their certifications contained in our SEC reports. The Committee meets regularly and reports to the Audit Committee on a quarterly or more frequent basis. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated, with the participation of our senior management, our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based upon the evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

⁽²⁾ Our investment balance, with respect to a participating loan investment, includes approximately \$21.2 million of accretive interest which is due at maturity. The FMV calculation considers only accretive interest recorded through March 31, 2006.

Back to Contents

SELECTED PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

The following table sets forth our schedule of top 25 tenants based on base rental revenue as of April 1, 2006:

Tenant Name (1) (2)	Wtd. Avg. Term Remaining (years)	Total Square Feet	Percent of Pro Rata Share of Annualized Base Rental Revenue	
*Citigroup / Citibank	12.1	2,015,381	4.2	%
*Debevoise & Plimpton	15.8	586,528	3.6	%
D.E. Shaw	7.8	192,837	2.1	%
Amerada Hess Corporation	15.8	180,822	2.0	%
King & Spalding	7.9	148,675	1.7	%
*Verizon Communications Inc.	1.3	271,384	1.7	%
*Schulte Roth & Zabel	14.7	279,746	1.6	%
*American Express	8.0	127,305	1.6	%
*Bank of America / Fleet Bank	4.7	199,089	1.4	%
County of Nassau	15.6	219,066	1.4	%
*Fuji Photo Film USA	6.4	194,984	1.2	%
*MCI	0.9	240,430	1.1	%
Westpoint Stevens	0.8	86,800	1.0	%
Arrow Electronics Inc.	7.8	163,762	1.0	%
Dun & Bradstreet Corp.	6.5	123,000	1.0	%
Daiichi Pharmaceuticals	11.5	141,000	1.0	%
*Schering-Plough Corporation	0.3	152,970	0.9	%
Westdeutsche Landesbank	10.1	53,000	0.9	%
*JP Morgan Chase	5.0	100,636	0.9	%
Washington Mutual	0.9	127,465	0.8	%
North Fork Bank	12.8	126,770	0.8	%
Practicing Law Institute	7.9	77,500	0.8	%
*HQ Global	3.2	157,892	0.8	%
Vytra Healthcare *Banque Nationale De Paris	1.8 10.3	105,613 145,834	0.8 0.8	% %
4		-,		. •

⁽¹⁾ Ranked by pro-rata share of annualized base rental revenue adjusted for pro rata share of joint venture interests.

⁽²⁾ Total square footage is based on currently leased space and excludes expansions or leases with future start dates.

Part or all of space occupied by tenant is in a joint venture building.

Back to Contents

Historical Non-Incremental Revenue-Generating Capital Expenditures, Tenant Improvement Costs and Leasing Commissions

The following table sets forth annual and per square foot non-incremental revenue-generating capital expenditures in which we paid or accrued, during the respective periods, to retain revenues attributable to existing leased space (at 100% of cost) for the years 2002 through 2005 and for the three month period ended March 31, 2006 for our consolidated office and industrial / flex properties:

	200	2	2003	3		200	4	200	5		erage 2-2005	YTI 200		_
Suburban Office Properties Total Per Square Foot	\$	5,283,674 0.53	\$	6,791,336 0.67		\$	7,034,054 0.69	\$	8,402,93 0.78	5 (2(3)	\$ 6,878,000 0.67	\$	2,033,06 0.18	4 (5)
NYC Office Properties Total Per Square	\$	1,939,111	\$	1,922,209		\$	2,515,730	\$	2,017,36	0	\$ 2,098,603	\$	424,013	
Foot Flex / Industrial	\$	0.56	\$	0.55		\$	0.56	\$	0.42	(4)	\$ 0.52	\$	0.10	(5)
Properties Total Per Square	\$	1,881,627	\$	1,218,401	(1)		207,028	\$	38,723	(2)	\$ 836,445	\$	0.00	
Foot	\$	0.28	\$	0.23		\$	0.23	\$	0.05		\$ 0.20	\$	0.00	

⁽¹⁾ Excludes non-incremental capital expenditures of \$435,140 incurred during the fourth quarter of 2003 for the industrial properties which were sold during the period.

⁽²⁾ Includes costs related to the 17 properties sold to the Australian JV on September 21, 2005.

Per square foot calculations for suburban submarkets-office properties exclude 68 South Service Road and the Eastridge Portfolio.

⁽⁴⁾ Per square foot calculations, for NYC office properties, exclude One Court Square, a 1,401,609 square foot, triple net leased building in Long Island City, New York.

⁽⁵⁾ Per square foot calculations for suburban office properties exclude 68 South Service Road, a building that was recently placed in service. Per square foot calculations for NYC office properties exclude One Court Square, a 1,401,609 square foot, triple net leased building in Long Island City, New York.

Back to Contents

The following table sets forth annual and per square foot non-incremental revenue-generating tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions (at 100% of cost) which we committed to perform, during the respective periods, to retain revenues attributable to existing leased space for the years 2002 through 2005 and for the three month period ended March 31, 2006 for our consolidated office and industrial / flex properties:

	2002	2003	2004	2005	Average 2002-2005	YTD 2006	New	Renewal
Long Island Office Properties								
Tenant Improvements	\$1,917,466	\$3,774,722	\$4,856,604	\$4,768,833	\$3,829,406	\$720,606	\$518,365	\$202,241
Per Square Foot Improved	\$7.81	\$7.05	\$8.78	\$11.25	\$8.72	\$18.12	\$24.36	\$10.94
Leasing Commissions	\$1,026,970	\$2,623,245	\$2,345,325	\$1,546,354	\$1.885,474	\$330,487	\$192,871	\$137,616
Per Square Foot Leased	\$4.18	\$4.90	\$4.24	\$3.65	\$4.24	\$8.31	\$9.06	\$7.45
Total Per Square Foot	\$11.99	\$11.95	\$13.02	\$14.90	\$12.96	\$26.43	\$33.42	\$18.39
Westchester Office Properties Tenant								
Improvements Per Square Foot	\$6,391,589 (1)	\$3,732,370	\$6,323,134	\$5,296,662	\$5,435,939	\$1,107,877	\$1,019,329	\$88,548
Improved	\$15.05	\$15.98	\$11.95	\$12.37	\$13.84	\$11.15	\$34.26	\$1.27
Leasing Commissions	\$1,975,850 (1)	\$917,487	\$2,671,548	\$1,923,552	\$1,872,109	\$281,345	\$259,494	\$21,851
Per Square Foot Leased	\$4.65	\$3.93	\$5.05	\$4.49	\$4.53	\$2.83	\$8.72	\$0.32
Total Per Square Foot	\$19.70	\$19.91	\$17.00	\$16.86	\$18.37	\$13.98	\$42.98	\$1.59
Connecticut Office Properties Tenant Improvements	\$491,435	\$588,087	\$3,051,833	\$3,895,369	\$2,006,681	\$432,381	\$404,277	\$28,104
Per Square Foot Improved	\$3.81	\$8.44	\$12.71	\$11.86	\$9.20	\$16.91	\$30.60	\$2.27
Leasing Commissions	\$307,023	\$511,360	\$1,493,664	\$1,819,504	\$1,032,888	\$137,882	\$137,882	\$0.00
Per Square Foot Leased	\$2.38	\$7.34	\$6.22	\$5.54	\$5.37	\$5.39	\$10.44	\$0.00
Total Per Square Foot	\$6.19	\$15.78	\$18.93	\$17.40	\$14.57	\$22.30	\$41.04	\$2.27
New Jersey Office Properties Tenant								
Improvements Per Square Foot	\$2,842,521	\$4,327,295	\$1,379,362	\$2,421,779	\$2,742,739	\$1,162,846	\$669,884	\$492,962
Improved	\$10.76	\$11.57	\$7.12	\$15.49	\$11.24	\$12.70	\$19.59	\$8.60

Edgar Filing: RECKSON ASSOCIATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Leasing Commissions Per Square Foot Leased Total Per Square Foot	\$1,037,012 \$3.92 \$14.68	\$1,892,635 \$5.06 \$16.63	\$832,658 \$4.30 \$11.42	\$1,394,470 \$8.92 \$24.41	\$1,289,194 \$5.55 \$16.79	\$ 658,008 \$ 7.19 \$ 19.89	\$319,877 \$9.36 \$28.95	\$338,131 \$5.90 \$14.50
Total Suburban Markets - Office Properties Tenant Improvements Per Square Foot Improved Leasing Commissions Per Square Foot Leased Total Per	\$11,643,011 \$10.95 \$4,346,855 \$4.09	\$12,422,474 \$10.24 \$5,944,728 \$4.90	\$15,610,933 \$10.30 \$7,343,194 \$4.84	\$16,382,643 \$12.25 \$6,683,880 \$5.00	\$14,014,765 \$10.48 \$6,079,664 \$4.55	\$3,423,711 \$13.36 \$1,407,721 \$5.49	\$2,611,855 \$26.53 \$910,124 \$9.25	\$811,856 \$5.15 \$497,597 \$3.15
New York City Office Properties Tenant Improvements Per Square Foot Improved Leasing Commissions Per Square Foot Leased Total Per Square Foot	\$15.04 \$4,350,106 \$18.39 \$2,019,837 \$8.54 \$26.93	\$32.84	\$15.14 (3)\$9,809,822 (3)(\$23.21 (3)\$3,041,141 (4) \$7.19 \$30.40	\$17.25 4)\$10,648,442(2) \$28.20 \$4,418,706 (2) \$11.70 \$39.90	\$25.66	\$1,615,396 \$46.29 \$678,524 \$19.44 \$65.74	\$1,615,396 \$49.08 \$678,524 \$20.61 \$69.69	\$8.30 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
Industrial / Flex Properties Tenant Improvements Per Square Foot Improved Leasing Commissions Per Square Foot Leased Total Per Square Foot	\$1,850,812 \$1.97 \$890,688 \$0.95	\$1,249,200 \$2.42 \$574,256 \$1.11	\$310,522 \$2.27 \$508,198 \$3.71 \$5.98	\$112,781 \$2.46 \$65,740 \$1.43	\$880,829 \$2.28 \$509,720 \$1.80	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00

⁽¹⁾ Excludes tenant improvements and leasing commissions related to a 163,880 square foot leasing transaction with Fuji Photo Film U.S.A. Leasing commissions on this transaction amounted to \$5.33 per square foot and tenant improvement allowance amounted to \$40.88 per square foot.

Excludes \$15.5 million of tenant improvements and \$2.2 million of leasing commissions related to a 121,108 square foot lease to Debevoise & Plimpton that was signed during the third quarter of 2003 with a lease commencement date in 2005.

^{(3) 2003} numbers exclude tenant improvements of \$0.2 million for Sandler O Neil & Partners (7,446 square feet) for expansion space with a lease commencement date in the second quarter of 2004. The tenant improvement allowance is reflected in the

2004 numbers.

(4) Excludes 86,800 square foot WestPoint Stevens early renewal. There were no tenant improvement or leasing costs associated with this transaction. Also excludes \$1.4 million of tenant improvements and \$1.2 million of leasing commissions related to a 74,293 square foot lease to Harper Collins Publishers with a lease commencement date in 2006. Also excludes Bank of America retail lease with \$0.6 million of tenant improvements and \$0.8 million of leasing commissions.

As noted, incremental revenue-generating tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions are excluded from the tables previously set forth. The historical capital expenditures, tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions previously set forth are not necessarily indicative of future non-incremental revenue-generating capital expenditures or non-incremental revenue-generating tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions that may be incurred to retain revenues on leased space.

47

Back to Contents

The following table sets forth our components of paid or accrued non-incremental and incremental revenue-generating capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing costs for the periods presented as reported on our Statements of Cash Flows Investment Activities contained in our consolidated financial statements (in thousands):

	Three mont	hs ended
	2006	2005
Capital expenditures: Non-incremental Incremental Tenant improvements:	\$2,457 2,092	\$3,082 2,593
Non-incremental Incremental	6,726 7,171	5,051 6,717
Additions to commercial real estate properties	\$18,446	\$17,443
Leasing costs: Non-incremental Incremental	\$3,339 2,330	\$2,694 1,679
Payment of deferred leasing costs	\$5,669	\$4,373
Acquisition and development costs	\$8,090	\$10,809
	48	

Back to Contents

The following table sets forth our lease expiration schedule, as adjusted for pre-leased space and inclusive of joint venture interests, at April 1, 2006 for our total portfolio of properties, our office portfolio and our flex portfolio:

Total	Port	tfΩ	lin
IUlai	ГОП	uv	IIV

Year of Expiration 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 and thereafter	Number of Leases Expiring 183 169 175 150 188 462	Square Feet Expiring 1,279,732 1,622,249 1,370,551 1,335,441 2,198,376 10,550,584	Percentage of Total Portfolio Square Footage 6.3% 8.0% 6.8% 6.6% 10.9% 52.3%	Cumulative Percentage of Total Portfolio Square Footage 6.3% 14.3% 21.1% 27.7% 38.6% 90.9%
Total/Weighted Average	1,327	18,356,933	90.9%	
Total Portfolio Square Feet		20,180,469		

Office Portfolio

Year of Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Feet Expiring	Percentage of Total Office Square Footage	Cumulative Percentage of Total Portfolio Square Footage
2006	181	1,219,673	6.3%	6.3%
2007	166	1,569,727	8.1%	14.4%
2008	173	1,338,308	6.9%	21.3%
2009	149	1,290,460	6.7%	28.0%
2010	185	1,942,680	10.1%	38.1%
2011 and thereafter	453	10,348,438	53.6%	91.7%
Total/Weighted Average	1,307	17,709,286	91.7%	
Total Office Portfolio Square Feet		19,317,074		

Flex Portfolio

Year of Expiration	Number of	Square	Percentage	Cumulative
	ramber of	oquaio		Jamaianvo
	Leases	Feet	of Total	Percentage
	Expiring	Expiring	Flex Square	of Total

Edgar Filing: RECKSON ASSOCIATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

			Footage	Portfolio Square Footage
2006	2	60,059	7.0%	7.0%
2007	3	52,522	6.1%	13.1%
2008	2	32,243	3.7%	16.8%
2009	1	44,981	5.2%	22.0%
2010	3	255,696	29.6%	51.6%
2011 and thereafter	9	202,146	23.4%	75.0%
Total/Weighted Average	20	647,647	75.0%	
Total Flex Portfolio Square Feet		863,395	_	
			-	

Back to Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is not presently subject to any material litigation nor, to the Company s knowledge, is any litigation threatened against the Company, other than routine actions for negligence or other claims and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are expected to be covered by liability insurance and all of which collectively are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the liquidity, results of operations or business or financial condition of the Company.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There were no material changes to Risk Factors disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds - None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders - None

Item 5. Other information

- a) On May 9, 2006, the Company entered into agreements with each of Scott H. Rechler, CEO of the Company, Michael Maturo, President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, and Jason M. Barnett, Senior Executive Vice President Corporate Initiatives and General Counsel of the Company, pursuant to which the term of each such officer's amended and restated employment and noncompetition agreement was extended in all respects through June 30, 2006. In accordance with the terms of each such officer's amended and restated severance agreement, the term and duration of his severance agreement is identical to the term and duration of his employment and noncompetition agreement.
- b) There have been no material changes to the procedures by which stockholders may recommend nominees to the Company's Board of Directors.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

- Supplement to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Operating Partnership Establishing 2006 LTIP Units of Limited Partnership Interest
- 10.2 Long-Term Incentive Plan OP Unit Award Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2006, between the Registrant and Scott H. Rechler (1)
- 10.3 Long-Term Incentive Plan OP Unit Award Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2006, between the Registrant and Salvatore Campofranco (2)
- 10.4 Change-in-Control Bonus Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2006, between the Registrant and Scott H. Rechler (3)
- Agreement for Extension of Employment and Noncompetition Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2006, by and between the Registrant and Scott H. Rechler (4)
- 31.1 Certification of Scott H. Rechler, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director of the Registrant, pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) or Rule 15(d) 14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Michael Maturo, President, Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and Director of the Registrant, pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) or Rule 15(d) 14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of Scott H. Rechler, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director of the Registrant, pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 32.2 Certification of Michael Maturo, President, Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and Director of the Registrant, pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

⁽¹⁾ Each of Michael Maturo and Jason M. Barnett has entered into a Long-Term Incentive Plan OP Unit Award Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2006, with the Registrant. These agreements are identical in all material respects to the Long-Term Incentive Plan OP Unit Award Agreement of Scott H. Rechler filed herewith.

Each of F. D. Rich III and Philip Waterman III has entered into a Long-Term Incentive Plan OP Unit Award Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2006, with the Registrant. These agreements are identical in all material respects to the Long-Term Incentive Plan OP Unit Award Agreement of Salvatore Campofranco filed herewith.

- (3) Each of Michael Maturo and Jason M. Barnett has entered into a Change-in-Control Bonus Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2006, with the Registrant. These agreements are identical in all material respects to the Change-in-Control Bonus Agreement of Scott H. Rechler filed herewith.
- (4) Each of Michael Maturo and Jason M. Barnett has entered into an Agreement for Extension of Employment and Noncompetition Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2006, with the Registrant. These agreements are identical in all material respects to the Agreement for Extension of Employment and Noncompetition Agreement for Scott H. Rechler filed herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

RECKSON ASSOCIATES REALTY CORP.

Ву:	/s/ Scott H. Rechler	Ву:	/s/ Michael Maturo	
	Scott H. Rechler Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director		Michael Maturo President, Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and Director	
	DATE: May 9, 2006	50		