

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING CO

Form 10-K

March 01, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 001-31911

---

American Equity Investment Life Holding Company

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Iowa

(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation)

6000 Westown Parkway

West Des Moines, Iowa

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (515) 221-0002

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common stock, par value \$1

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, par value \$1

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities

Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the

Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐  
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.) Yes ☐ No ☒

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

Aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$690,756,206 based on the closing price of \$12.57 per share, the closing price of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2011.

Shares of common stock outstanding as of February 28, 2012: 59,784,330

Documents incorporated by reference: Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held June 7, 2012, which will be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2011, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

---

Table of Contents

AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY  
FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Reserved</u>	<u>15</u>

PART II.

<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>45</u>

PART III.

	<u>The information required by Items 10 through 14 is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after December 31, 2010.</u>	<u>45</u>
--	---	-----------

PART IV.

<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>45</u>
-----------------	--	-----------

SIGNATURES

<u>Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules</u>	<u>F-1</u>
---	------------

Exhibit Index

Exhibit 12.1	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
Exhibit 21.2	Subsidiaries of American Equity Investment Life Holding Company
Exhibit 23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Exhibit 31.1	Certification
Exhibit 31.2	Certification
Exhibit 32.1	Certification
Exhibit 32.2	Certification

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Introduction

We are a leader in the development and sale of fixed index and fixed rate annuity products. We were incorporated in the state of Iowa on December 15, 1995. We are a full service underwriter of fixed annuity and life insurance products through our wholly-owned life insurance subsidiaries, American Equity Investment Life Insurance Company ("American Equity Life"), American Equity Investment Life Insurance Company of New York, and Eagle Life Insurance Company ("Eagle Life"). Our business consists primarily of the sale of fixed index and fixed rate annuities and, accordingly, we have only one business segment. Our business strategy is to focus on our annuity business and earn predictable returns by managing investment spreads and investment risk. We are currently licensed to sell our products in 50 states and the District of Columbia. Throughout this report, unless otherwise specified or the context otherwise requires, all references to "American Equity", the "Company", "we", "our" and similar references are to American Equity Investment Life Holding Company and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Investor related information, including periodic reports filed on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K and all amendments to such reports may be found on our internet website at [www.american-equity.com](http://www.american-equity.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). In addition, we have available on our website our: (i) code of business conduct and ethics; (ii) audit committee charter; (iii) compensation committee charter; (iv) nominating/corporate governance committee charter; and (v) corporate governance guidelines. The information incorporated herein by reference is also electronically accessible from the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

Annuity Market Overview

Our target market includes the group of individuals ages 45-75 who are seeking to accumulate tax-deferred savings. We believe that significant growth opportunities exist for annuity products because of favorable demographic and economic trends. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, there were approximately 39 million Americans age 65 and older in 2010, representing 13% of the U.S. population. By 2030, this sector of the population is expected to increase to 20% of the total population. Our fixed index and fixed rate annuity products are particularly attractive to this group as a result of the guarantee of principal with respect to those products, competitive rates of credited interest, tax-deferred growth and alternative payout options.

According to AnnuitySpecs.com, total industry sales of fixed index annuities increased 1% to \$24.1 billion from \$24.0 billion during the first three quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and increased 7% to \$32.3 billion in 2010 from \$30.1 billion in 2009. Our wide range of fixed index and fixed rate annuity products has enabled us to enjoy favorable growth during volatile equity and bond markets.

Strategy

Our business strategy is to grow our annuity business and earn predictable returns by managing investment spreads and investment risk. Key elements of this strategy include the following:

Enhance our Current Independent Agency Network. We believe that our successful relationships with approximately 60 national marketing organizations represent a significant competitive advantage. Our objective is to improve the productivity and efficiency of our core distribution channel by focusing our marketing and recruiting efforts on those independent agents capable of selling \$1 million or more of annuity premium annually. This level of production qualifies them for our Gold Eagle program which was introduced at the beginning of 2007. We believe the Gold Eagle program has been effective as evidenced by the increase in Gold Eagle agents to 1,227 in 2011 as compared to 1,021 in 2010 and 891 in 2009, accounting for 57% of total production each year. Gold Eagle qualifiers receive a combination of cash and equity-based incentives as motivation for producing business for us. The equity-based incentive compensation component of our Gold Eagle program is unique in our industry and distinguishes us from our competitors. Our continuing focus on relationships and efficiency will ultimately reduce our independent agents to a core group of professional annuity producers. We will also be alert to opportunities to establish relationships with national marketing organizations and agents not presently associated with us and will continue to provide all of our marketers with the highest quality service possible.

**Continue to Introduce Innovative and Competitive Products.** We intend to be at the forefront of the fixed index and fixed rate annuity industry in developing and introducing innovative and new competitive products. We were one of the first companies to offer a fixed index annuity that allows a choice among interest crediting strategies which include both equity and bond indices as well as a traditional fixed rate strategy. We were also one of the first companies to include a living income benefit rider with our fixed index annuities. We enhanced our living income benefit rider to provide policyholders with protection against inflation. We believe that our continued focus on anticipating and being responsive to the product needs of our independent agents and policyholders will lead to increased customer loyalty, revenues and profitability.

**Use our Expertise to Achieve Targeted Spreads on Annuity Products.** We have had a successful track record in achieving the targeted spreads on our annuity products. We intend to continue to leverage our experience and expertise in managing the investment spread during a range of interest rate environments to achieve our targeted spreads.

**Maintain our Profitability Focus and Improve Operating Efficiency.** We are committed to improving our profitability by advancing the scope and sophistication of our investment management and spread capabilities and continuously seeking out efficiencies within our operations. We have implemented competitive incentive programs for our national marketing organizations, agents and employees to stimulate performance.

Table of Contents

**Take Advantage of the Growing Popularity of Index Products.** We believe that the growing popularity of fixed index annuity products that allow equity and bond market participation without the risk of loss of the premium deposit presents an attractive opportunity to grow our business. We intend to capitalize on our reputation as a leading marketer of fixed index annuities in this expanding segment of the annuity market.

**Focus on High Quality Service to Agents and Policyholders.** We have maintained high quality personal service as one of our highest priorities since the inception of our business, and continue to strive for an unprecedented level of timely and accurate service to both our agents and policyholders. We believe this is one of our strongest competitive advantages.

**Expand our Distribution Channels.** We formed Eagle Life with the vision of developing a network of broker-dealer firms and registered investment advisors to distribute both registered and non-registered fixed index annuity products. We believe this to be the most effective means of building a core distribution channel of selling firms with registered representatives capable of selling \$1 million or more of annuity premium annually.

**Products**

Annuities offer our policyholders a tax-deferred means of accumulating retirement savings, as well as a reliable source of income during the payout period. When our policyholders contribute cash to annuities, we account for these receipts as policy benefit reserves in the liability section of our consolidated balance sheet. The annuity deposits collected, by product type, during the three most recent fiscal years are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31, 2011		2010		2009			
	Deposits Collected	Deposits as a % of Total	Deposits Collected	Deposits as a % of Total	Deposits Collected	Deposits as a % of Total		
	(Dollars in thousands)							
Fixed index annuities:								
Index strategies	\$2,839,295	56	% \$2,401,891	51	% \$1,535,477	42	%	
Fixed strategy	1,377,987	27	% 1,551,007	33	% 1,849,833	50	%	
	4,217,282	83	% 3,952,898	84	% 3,385,310	92	%	
Fixed rate annuities	567,229	11	% 544,193	12	% 260,193	7	%	
Single premium immediate annuities	305,603	6	% 171,628	4	% 32,055	1	%	
	\$5,090,114	100	% \$4,668,719	100	% \$3,677,558	100	%	

**Fixed Index Annuities**

Fixed index annuities allow policyholders to earn index credits based on the performance of a particular index without the risk of loss of their principal. Most of these products allow policyholders to transfer funds once a year among several different crediting strategies, including one or more index based strategies and a traditional fixed rate strategy. Approximately 95%, 95% and 94% of our fixed index annuity sales for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were "premium bonus" products. The initial annuity deposit on these policies is increased at issuance by a specified premium bonus ranging from 3% to 10%. Generally, there is a compensating adjustment in the commission paid to the agent or the surrender charges on the policy to offset the premium bonus.

The annuity contract value is equal to the sum of premiums paid, premium bonuses and interest credited ("index credits"), which is based upon an overall limit (or "cap") or a percentage (the "participation rate") of the annual appreciation (based in certain situations on monthly averages or monthly point-to-point calculations) in a recognized index or benchmark. Caps and participation rates limit the amount of annual interest the policyholder may earn in any one contract year and may be adjusted by us annually subject to stated minimums. Caps generally range from 1% to 13.5% and participation rates generally range from 10% to 100%. In addition, some products have an "asset fee" ranging from 1.5% to 5%, which is deducted from annual interest to be credited. For products with asset fees, if the annual appreciation in the index does not exceed the asset fee, the policyholder's index credit is zero. The minimum guaranteed contract values are equal to 87.5% of the premium collected plus interest credited at an annual rate ranging from 1.5% to 3.5%.

#### Fixed Rate Annuities

Fixed rate deferred annuities include annual reset and multi-year rate guaranteed products. Our annual reset fixed rate annuities have an annual interest rate (the "crediting rate") that is guaranteed for the first policy year. After the first policy year, we have the discretionary ability to change the crediting rate once annually to any rate at or above a guaranteed minimum rate. Our multi-year rate guaranteed annuities are similar to our annual reset products except that the initial crediting rate is guaranteed for up to a seven-year period before it may be changed at our discretion. The guaranteed rate on our fixed rate deferred annuities ranges from 1.5% to 4% and the initial guaranteed rate on our multi-year rate guaranteed policies ranges from 2.4% to 5.10%.

## Table of Contents

The initial crediting rate is largely a function of the interest rate we can earn on invested assets acquired with new annuity deposits and the rates offered on similar products by our competitors. For subsequent adjustments to crediting rates, we take into account the yield on our investment portfolio, annuity surrender assumptions, competitive industry pricing and crediting rate history for particular groups of annuity policies with similar characteristics. As of December 31, 2011, crediting rates on our outstanding fixed rate deferred annuities generally ranged from 2% to 5%. The average crediting rate on our outstanding fixed rate deferred annuities at December 31, 2011 was 3.31%.

We also sell single premium immediate annuities ("SPIAs"). Our SPIAs are designed to provide a series of periodic payments for a fixed period of time or for life, according to the policyholder's choice at the time of issue. The amounts, frequency and length of time of the payments are fixed at the outset of the annuity contract. SPIAs are often purchased by persons at or near retirement age who desire a steady stream of payments over a future period of years. The implicit interest rate on SPIAs is based on market conditions when the policy is issued. The implicit interest rate on our outstanding SPIAs averaged 2.60% at December 31, 2011.

### **Withdrawal Options—Fixed Index and Fixed Rate Annuities**

Policyholders are typically permitted penalty-free withdrawals up to 10% of the contract value in each year after the first year, subject to limitations. Withdrawals in excess of allowable penalty-free amounts are assessed a surrender charge during a penalty period which ranges from 5 to 17 years for fixed index annuities and 3 to 15 years for fixed rate annuities from the date the policy is issued. This surrender charge initially ranges from 4.7% to 20% for fixed index annuities and 8% to 25% for fixed rate annuities of the contract value and generally decreases by approximately one to two percentage points per year during the surrender charge period. Surrender charges are set at levels aimed at protecting us from loss on early terminations and reducing the likelihood of policyholders terminating their policies during periods of increasing interest rates. This practice lengthens the effective duration of the policy liabilities and enhances our ability to maintain profitability on such policies. The policyholder may elect to take the proceeds of the annuity either in a single payment or in a series of payments for life, for a fixed number of years or a combination of these payment options.

Beginning in July 2007, substantially all of our fixed index annuity policies were issued with a living income benefit rider. This rider provides an additional liquidity option to policyholders who elect to receive a guaranteed living income from their contract without requiring them to annuitize their contract value. The amount of the living income benefit available is determined by the growth in the policy's income account value as defined in the policy and the policyholder's age at the time the policyholder elects to begin receiving living income benefit payments. Living income benefit payments may be stopped and restarted at the election of the policyholder.

### **Life Insurance**

These products include traditional ordinary and term, universal life and other interest-sensitive life insurance products. We have approximately \$2.5 billion of life insurance in force as of December 31, 2011. We intend to continue offering a complete line of life insurance products for individual and group markets. Premiums related to this business accounted for 1% of revenues for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

### **Investments**

Investment activities are an integral part of our business, and net investment income is a significant component of our total revenues. Profitability of many of our products is significantly affected by spreads between interest yields on investments, the cost of options to fund the annual index credits on our fixed index annuities and rates credited on our fixed rate annuities. We manage the index-based risk component of our fixed index annuities by purchasing call options on the applicable indices to fund the annual index credits on these annuities and by adjusting the caps, participation rates and asset fees on policy anniversary dates to reflect the change in the cost of such options which varies based on market conditions. All options are purchased to fund the index credits on our fixed index annuities on their respective anniversary dates, and new options are purchased at each of the anniversary dates to fund the next annual index credits. All credited rates on non-multi-year rate guaranteed fixed rate deferred annuities may be changed annually, subject to minimum guarantees. Changes in caps, participation rates and asset fees on fixed index annuities and crediting rates on fixed rate annuities may not be sufficient to maintain targeted investment spreads in all economic and market environments. In addition, competition and other factors, including the potential for increases in surrenders and withdrawals, may limit our ability to adjust or to maintain caps, participation rates, asset fees and



crediting rates at levels necessary to avoid narrowing of spreads under certain market conditions. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the weighted average yield, computed on the average amortized cost basis of our investment portfolio, was 5.80% and the weighted average cost of our liabilities, excluding amortization of deferred sales inducements, was 2.77%.

For additional information regarding the composition of our investment portfolio and our interest rate risk management, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Financial Condition—Investments, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk and note 3 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

#### Marketing

We market our products through a variable cost brokerage distribution network of approximately 60 national marketing organizations and, through them, 22,000 independent agents as of December 31, 2011. We emphasize high quality service to our agents and policyholders along with the prompt payment of commissions to our agents. We believe this has been significant in building excellent relationships with our existing agency force.

Table of Contents

Our independent agents and agencies range in profile from national sales organizations to personal producing general agents. We actively recruit new agents and terminate those agents who have not produced business for us in recent periods and are unlikely to sell our products in the future. In our recruitment efforts, we emphasize that agents have direct access to our executive officers, giving us an edge in recruiting over larger and foreign-owned competitors. We also emphasize our products and our Gold Eagle program which provides unique cash and equity-based incentives to those agents selling \$1 million or more of annuity premium annually. We also have favorable relationships with our national marketing organizations, which have enabled us to efficiently sell through an expanded number of independent agents.

The insurance distribution system is comprised of insurance brokers and marketing organizations. We are pursuing a strategy to increase the efficiency of our distribution network by strengthening our relationships with key national and regional marketing organizations and are alert for opportunities to establish relationships with organizations not presently associated with us. These organizations typically recruit agents for us by advertising our products and our commission structure through direct mail advertising or seminars for insurance agents and brokers. These organizations bear most of the cost incurred in marketing our products. We compensate marketing organizations by paying them a percentage of the commissions earned on new annuity policy sales generated by the agents recruited by such organizations. We also conduct incentive programs for marketing organizations and agents from time to time, including equity-based programs for our leading national marketers and those agents qualifying for our Gold Eagle program. We believe the Gold Eagle program has been effective as evidenced by the increase in Gold Eagle agents to 1,227 in 2011 as compared to 1,021 in 2010 and 891 in 2009, accounting for 57% of total production each year. For additional information regarding our equity-based programs for our leading national marketers and independent agents, see note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements. We generally do not enter into exclusive arrangements with these marketing organizations.

Two of our national marketing organizations accounted for more than 10% of the annuity deposits collected during 2011 and we expect these organizations to continue as marketers for American Equity Life with a focus on selling our products. The states with the largest share of direct premiums collected during 2011 were: Florida (9.6%), California (9.2%), Texas (6.7%), Illinois (5.6%) and Pennsylvania (4.9%).

**Competition and Ratings**

We operate in a highly competitive industry. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and enjoy substantially greater financial resources, higher ratings by rating agencies, broader and more diversified product lines and more widespread agency relationships. Our annuity products compete with fixed index, fixed rate and variable annuities sold by other insurance companies and also with mutual fund products, traditional bank investments and other investment and retirement funding alternatives offered by asset managers, banks, and broker-dealers. Our insurance products compete with products of other insurance companies, financial intermediaries and other institutions based on a number of features, including crediting rates, policy terms and conditions, service provided to distribution channels and policyholders, ratings, reputation and broker compensation.

The sales agents for our products use the ratings assigned to an insurer by independent rating agencies as one factor in determining which insurer's annuity to market. In recent years, the market for annuities has been dominated by those insurers with the highest ratings. The degree to which ratings adjustments have affected and will affect our sales and persistency is unknown. Following is a summary of American Equity Life's financial strength ratings:

**Financial Strength Rating Outlook Statement****A.M. Best Company**

January 2011—current	A-	Stable
November 2008—January 2011	A-	Negative
August 2006—October 2008	A-	Stable
July 2002—July 2006	B++	Stable
Standard & Poor's		
October 2011—Current	BBB+	Stable
	BBB+	Positive

September 2010—October  
2011

July 2010—September 2011	BBB+	Stable
July 2008—July 2010	BBB+	Negative
July 2002—June 2008	BBB+	Stable

Financial strength ratings generally involve quantitative and qualitative evaluations by rating agencies of a company's financial condition and operating performance. Generally, rating agencies base their ratings upon information furnished to them by the insurer and upon their own investigations, studies and assumptions. Ratings are based upon factors of concern to policyholders, agents and intermediaries and are not directed toward the protection of investors and are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities.

In addition to the financial strength ratings, rating agencies use an "outlook statement" to indicate a medium or long-term trend which, if continued, may lead to a rating change. A positive outlook indicates a rating may be raised and a negative outlook indicates a rating may be lowered. A stable outlook is assigned when ratings are not likely to be changed. Outlook statements should not be confused with expected stability of the insurer's financial or economic performance. A rating may have a "stable" outlook to indicate that the rating is not expected to change, but a "stable" outlook does not preclude a rating agency from changing a rating at any time without notice.

## Table of Contents

In January 2012, A.M. Best affirmed its rating outlook on the U.S. life/annuity sector as stable. In November 2011, Standard & Poor's affirmed its outlook on the U.S. life insurance sector as stable. Both agencies have had their outlook on our industry stated as stable since late 2010. Sufficient capital and liquidity and strong results despite volatile equity markets and low interest rates have been listed as reasons for the stable outlook. We believe the rating agencies think the economic recovery will continue to be slow, which may leave the potential for further credit losses and low interest rates will put pressure on life insurers' earnings. The rating agencies have heightened the level of scrutiny they apply to insurance companies, increased the frequency and scope of their credit reviews, and may adjust upward the capital and other requirements employed in the rating agency models for maintenance of certain ratings levels.

A.M. Best Company ratings currently range from "A++" (Superior) to "F" (In Liquidation), and include 16 separate ratings categories. Within these categories, "A++" (Superior) and "A+" (Superior) are the highest, followed by "A" (Excellent) and "A-" (Excellent) then followed by "B++" (Good) and "B+" (Good). Publications of A.M. Best Company indicate that the "A-" rating is assigned to those companies that, in A.M. Best Company's opinion, have demonstrated an excellent ability to meet their ongoing obligations to policyholders.

Standard & Poor's insurer financial strength ratings currently range from "AAA (extremely strong)" to "R (under regulatory supervision)", and include 21 separate ratings categories, while "NR" indicates that Standard & Poor's has no opinion about the insurer's financial strength. Within these categories, "AAA" and "AA" are the highest, followed by "A" and "BBB". Publications of Standard & Poor's indicate that an insurer rated "BBB" is regarded as having good financial security characteristics, but is more likely to be affected by adverse business conditions than are higher rated insurers.

A.M. Best Company and Standard & Poor's review their ratings of insurance companies from time to time. There can be no assurance that any particular rating will continue for any given period of time or that it will not be changed or withdrawn entirely if, in their judgment, circumstances so warrant. If our ratings were to be negatively adjusted for any reason, we could experience a material decline in the sales of our products and the persistency of our existing business.

### Reinsurance

#### Coinsurance

American Equity Life has two coinsurance agreements with EquiTrust Life Insurance Company ("EquiTrust"), covering 70% of certain of our fixed index and fixed rate annuities issued from August 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001, 40% of those contracts issued during 2002 and 2003, and 20% of those contracts issued from January 1, 2004 to July 31, 2004. The business reinsured under these agreements may not be recaptured. Coinsurance deposits (aggregate policy benefit reserves transferred to EquiTrust under these agreements) were \$1.1 billion and \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We remain liable to policyholders with respect to the policy liabilities ceded to EquiTrust should EquiTrust fail to meet the obligations it has reinsured. EquiTrust has received a financial strength rating of "B+" (Good) with a stable outlook from A.M. Best Company. None of the coinsurance deposits with EquiTrust are deemed by management to be uncollectible.

Effective July 1, 2009, we entered into two funds withheld coinsurance agreements with Athene Life Re Ltd. ("Athene"), an unauthorized life reinsurer domiciled in Bermuda. One agreement ceded 20% of certain of our fixed index annuities issued from January 1, 2009 through March 31, 2010. The business reinsured under this agreement is not eligible for recapture until the end of the month following seven years after the date of issuance of the policy. The other agreement cedes 80% of our multi-year rate guaranteed annuities issued on or after July 1, 2009. The business reinsured under this agreement may not be recaptured. Coinsurance deposits (aggregate policy benefit reserves transferred to Athene under these agreements) were \$1.7 billion and \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We remain liable to policyholders with respect to the policy liabilities ceded to Athene should Athene fail to meet the obligations it has reinsured. The annuity deposits that have been ceded to Athene are being held in a trust on a funds withheld basis. American Equity Life is named as the sole beneficiary of the trust. The funds withheld are required to remain at a value that is sufficient to support the current balance of policy benefit liabilities of the ceded business on a statutory basis. If the value of the funds withheld account would ever reach a point where it is less than the amount of the ceded policy benefit liabilities on a statutory basis, Athene is required to either establish a letter

of credit or deposit securities in a trust for the amount of any shortfall. None of the coinsurance deposits with Athene are deemed by management to be uncollectible.

#### Financing Arrangements

American Equity Life has three reinsurance transactions with Hannover Life Reassurance Company of America, ("Hannover"), which are treated as reinsurance under statutory accounting practices and as financing arrangements under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). The statutory surplus benefits under these agreements are eliminated under GAAP and the associated charges are recorded as risk charges and included in other operating costs and expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. The transactions became effective October 1, 2005 (the "2005 Hannover Transaction"), December 31, 2008 (the "2008 Hannover Transaction") and March 31, 2011 (the "2011 Hannover Transaction").

The 2011 Hannover Transaction is a coinsurance and yearly renewable term reinsurance agreement for statutory purposes and provided \$49.2 million in net pretax statutory surplus benefit at inception in 2011. Pursuant to the terms of this agreement, pretax statutory surplus was reduced by \$9.2 million in 2011 and is expected to be reduced as follows: 2012—\$11.8 million, 2013—\$11.3 million, 2014—\$10.8 million and 2015—\$10.3 million. These amounts include risk charges equal to 1.25% of the pretax statutory surplus benefit as of the end of each calendar quarter.

## Table of Contents

The 2008 Hannover Transaction is a coinsurance and yearly renewable term reinsurance agreement for statutory purposes and provided \$29.5 million in net pretax statutory surplus benefit in 2008. Pursuant to the terms of this agreement, pretax statutory surplus was reduced by \$6.7 million in 2011 and is expected to be reduced as follows: 2012—\$6.8 million and 2013—\$6.9 million. These amounts include risk charges equal to 1.25% of the pretax statutory surplus benefit as of the end of each calendar quarter.

The 2005 Hannover Transaction is a yearly renewable term reinsurance agreement for statutory purposes covering 47% of waived surrender charges related to penalty free withdrawals and deaths on certain business. The agreement was amended in 2010 and 2009 to include policy forms that were not in existence at the time this agreement became effective. We may recapture the risks reinsured under this agreement as of the end of any quarter beginning October 1, 2008. The 2009 amendment includes a provision that makes it punitive for us not to recapture the business ceded prior to January 1, 2013. We anticipate amending this agreement in 2012 to extend this date. The reserve credit recorded on a statutory basis by American Equity Life was \$162.5 million and \$135.2 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We pay quarterly reinsurance premiums under this agreement with an experience refund calculated on a quarterly basis resulting in a risk charge equal to approximately 5.8% of the weighted average statutory reserve credit.

### Indemnity Reinsurance

Consistent with the general practice of the life insurance industry, American Equity Life enters into agreements of indemnity reinsurance with other insurance companies in order to reinsure portions of the coverage provided by its annuity, life and accident and health insurance products. Indemnity reinsurance agreements are intended to limit a life insurer's maximum loss on a large or unusually hazardous risk or to diversify its risks. Indemnity reinsurance does not discharge the original insurer's primary liability to the insured.

The maximum loss retained by us on all life insurance policies we have issued was \$0.1 million or less as of December 31, 2011. American Equity Life's reinsured business under indemnity reinsurance agreements is primarily ceded to two reinsurers. Reinsurance related to life and accident and health insurance that was ceded by us to these reinsurers was immaterial.

During 2007, American Equity Life entered into reinsurance agreements with Ace Tempest Life Reinsurance Ltd and Hannover to cede to each 50% of the risk associated with our living income benefit rider on certain fixed index annuities issued in 2007. The amounts ceded under these agreements were immaterial as of and for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

We believe the assuming companies will be able to honor all contractual commitments, based on our periodic review of their financial statements, insurance industry reports and reports filed with state insurance departments.

### Regulation

Life insurance companies are subject to regulation and supervision by the states in which they transact business. State insurance laws establish supervisory agencies with broad regulatory authority, including the power to:

- grant and revoke licenses to transact business;
- regulate and supervise trade practices and market conduct;
- establish guaranty associations;
- license agents;
- approve policy forms;
- approve premium rates for some lines of business;
- establish reserve requirements;
- prescribe the form and content of required financial statements and reports;
- determine the reasonableness and adequacy of statutory capital and surplus;
- perform financial, market conduct and other examinations;
- define acceptable accounting principles for statutory reporting;
- regulate the type and amount of permitted investments; and
- limit the amount of dividends and surplus note payments that can be paid without obtaining regulatory approval.

Our life subsidiaries are subject to periodic examinations by state regulatory authorities. The New York Insurance Department is currently performing its usual tri-annual financial exam of American Equity Investment Life Insurance Company of New York for the period ending December 31, 2010. This exam is anticipated to be completed in 2012.

The payment of dividends or the distributions, including surplus note payments, by our life subsidiaries is subject to regulation by each subsidiary's state of domicile's insurance department. Currently, American Equity Life may pay dividends or make other distributions without the prior approval of the Iowa Insurance Commissioner, unless such payments, together with all other such payments within the preceding twelve months, exceed the greater of (1) American Equity Life's statutory net gain from operations for the preceding calendar year, or (2) 10% of American Equity Life's statutory surplus at the preceding December 31. For 2012, up to \$195.5 million can be distributed as dividends by American Equity Life without prior approval of the Iowa Insurance Commissioner. In addition, dividends and surplus note payments may be made only out of earned surplus, and all surplus note payments are subject to prior approval by regulatory authorities. American Equity Life had \$671.3 million of statutory earned surplus at December 31, 2011.

## Table of Contents

Most states have also enacted regulations on the activities of insurance holding company systems, including acquisitions, extraordinary dividends, the terms of surplus notes, the terms of affiliate transactions and other related matters. We are registered pursuant to such legislation in Iowa. A number of state legislatures have also considered or have enacted legislative proposals that alter and, in many cases, increase the authority of state agencies to regulate insurance companies and holding company systems.

Most states, including Iowa and New York where our life subsidiaries are domiciled, have enacted legislation or adopted administrative regulations affecting the acquisition of control of insurance companies as well as transactions between insurance companies and persons controlling them. The nature and extent of such legislation and regulations currently in effect vary from state to state. However, most states require administrative approval of the direct or indirect acquisition of 10% or more of the outstanding voting securities of an insurance company incorporated in the state. The acquisition of 10% of such securities is generally deemed to be the acquisition of "control" for the purpose of the holding company statutes and requires not only the filing of detailed information concerning the acquiring parties and the plan of acquisition, but also administrative approval prior to the acquisition. In many states, the insurance authority may find that "control" in fact does not exist in circumstances in which a person owns or controls more than 10% of the voting securities.

Although the federal government does not directly regulate the business of insurance, federal legislation and administrative policies in several areas, including pension regulation, age and sex discrimination, financial services regulation, securities regulation and federal taxation can significantly affect the insurance business.

In 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank Act") was signed into law. This legislation mandated multiple studies and reports to be provided to Congress, which could result in additional legislative or regulatory action. The ultimate impact of this law to our business and operations may not be known for quite some time.

State insurance regulators and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") are continually reexamining existing laws and regulations and developing new legislation for the passage by state legislatures and new regulations for adoption by insurance authorities. Proposed laws and regulations or those still under development pertain to insurer solvency and market conduct and in recent years have focused on:

- insurance company investments;
- risk-based capital ("RBC") guidelines, which consist of regulatory targeted surplus levels based on the relationship of statutory capital and surplus, with prescribed adjustments, to the sum of stated percentages of each element of a specified list of company risk exposures;
- the implementation of non-statutory guidelines and the circumstances under which dividends may be paid;
- principles-based reserving;
- product approvals;
- agent licensing;
- underwriting practices; and
- life insurance and annuity sales practices.

The NAIC's RBC requirements are intended to be used by insurance regulators as an early warning tool to identify deteriorating or weakly capitalized insurance companies for the purpose of initiating regulatory action. The RBC formula defines a minimum capital standard which supplements low, fixed minimum capital and surplus requirements previously implemented on a state-by-state basis. Such requirements are not designed as a ranking mechanism for adequately capitalized companies.

The NAIC's RBC requirements provide for four levels of regulatory attention depending on the ratio of a company's total adjusted capital to its RBC. Adjusted capital is defined as the total of statutory capital and surplus, asset valuation reserve and certain other adjustments. Calculations using the NAIC formula at December 31, 2011, indicated that American Equity Life's ratio of total adjusted capital to the highest level at which regulatory action might be initiated was 346%.

Our life subsidiaries also may be required, under the solvency or guaranty laws of most states in which they do business, to pay assessments up to certain prescribed limits to fund policyholder losses or liabilities of insolvent insurance companies. These assessments may be deferred or forgiven under most guaranty laws if they would threaten



an insurer's financial strength and, in certain instances, may be offset against future premium taxes. Assessments related to business reinsured for periods prior to the effective date of the reinsurance are the responsibility of the ceding companies.

#### Federal Income Tax

The annuity and life insurance products that we market generally provide the policyholder with a federal income tax advantage, as compared to certain other savings investments such as certificates of deposit and taxable bonds, in that federal income taxation on any increases in the contract values (i.e., the "inside build-up") of these products is deferred until it is received by the policyholder. With other savings investments, the increase in value is generally taxed each year as it is realized. Additionally, life insurance death benefits are generally exempt from income tax. From time to time, various tax law changes have been proposed that could have an adverse effect on our business, including the elimination of all or a portion of the income tax advantage described above for annuities and life insurance. If legislation were enacted to eliminate the tax deferral for annuities, such a change would have an adverse effect on our ability to sell non-qualified annuities. Non-qualified annuities are annuities that are not sold to an individual retirement account or other qualified retirement plan.

## Table of Contents

Beginning in 2013, distributions from non-qualified annuity policies will be considered "investment income" for purposes of the newly enacted Medicare tax on investment income contained in the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010. As a result, in certain circumstances a 3.8% tax ("Medicare Tax") may be applied to some or the entire taxable portion of distributions from non-qualified annuities to individuals whose income exceeds certain threshold amounts. This new tax may have an adverse effect on our ability to sell non-qualified annuities to individuals whose income exceeds these threshold amounts and could accelerate withdrawals due to additional tax. The constitutionality of the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 continues to be the subject of litigation initiated by various state attorneys general, some of which is currently pending at the appeal level, and it is also the subject of several proposals in the U.S. Congress for amendment and/or repeal. The outcome of such litigation and legislative action as it relates to the Medicare Tax is unknown at this time.

### Employees

As of December 31, 2011, we had 386 full-time employees. We have experienced no work stoppages or strikes and consider our relations with our employees to be excellent. None of our employees are represented by a union.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Although economic conditions both domestically and globally have continued to improve since the financial crisis in 2008, we remain vulnerable to market uncertainty and continued financial instability of national, state and local governments. Continued difficult conditions in the global capital markets and economy could deteriorate in the near future and affect our financial position and our level of earnings from our operations.

Markets in the United States and elsewhere have experienced extreme volatility and disruption since the second half of 2007, due in part to the financial stresses affecting the liquidity of the banking system and the financial markets. This volatility and disruption reached unprecedented levels in late 2008 and early 2009. The United States entered a severe recession and recovery has proved to be slow and long-term. High unemployment rates and lower average household income levels have emerged as continued lagging indicators of a slow economic recovery. The continuing market uncertainty has directly and materially affected our investment portfolio. One of the strategies used by the U.S. government to stimulate the economy has been to keep interest rates low and increase the supply of United States dollars. While these strategies have appeared to be somewhat successful, any future economic downturn or market disruption could negatively impact our ability to invest funds.

Specifically, if market conditions deteriorate in 2012 or beyond:

- our investment portfolio could incur additional other than temporary impairments;
  - our commercial mortgage loans could experience a greater amount of loss;
- due to potential downgrades in our investment portfolio, we could be required to raise additional capital to sustain our current business in force and new sales of our annuity products, which may be difficult in a distressed market. If capital would be available, it may be at terms that are not favorable to us;
- we may be required to limit growth in sales of our annuity products;
  - and/or
- our liquidity could be negatively affected and we could be forced to limit our operations and our business could suffer, as we need liquidity to pay our policyholder benefits, operating expenses, dividends on our capital stock, and to service our debt obligations.

The principal sources of our liquidity are annuity deposits, investment income and proceeds from the sale, maturity and call of investments. Additional sources of liquidity in normal markets also include a variety of short and long-term instruments, including long-term debt and capital securities.

Governmental initiatives intended to improve global and local economies that have been adopted may not be effective and, in any event, may be accompanied by other initiatives, including new capital requirements or other regulations, that could materially affect our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity in ways that we cannot predict. We are subject to extensive laws and regulations that are administered and enforced by a number of different regulatory authorities including state insurance regulators, the NAIC, the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange. Some of these authorities are or may in the future consider enhanced or new regulatory requirements intended to prevent future economic crises or otherwise assure the stability of institutions under their supervision. These

authorities may also seek to exercise their supervisory or enforcement authority in new or more robust ways. All of these possibilities, if they occurred, could affect the way we conduct our business and manage our capital, and may require us to satisfy increased capital requirements, any of which in turn could materially affect our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

We are exposed to significant financial and capital risk, including changing interest rates, credit spreads and equity prices which may have an adverse effect on sales of our products, profitability, investment portfolio and reported book value per share.

Future changes in interest rates, credit spreads and equity and bond indices may result in fluctuations in the income derived from our investments. These and other factors due to the current economic uncertainty could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Interest rate and credit spread risk

Our interest rate risk is related to market price and changes in cash flow. Substantial and sustained increases and decreases in market interest rates can materially and adversely affect the profitability of our products, our ability to earn predictable returns, the fair value of our investments and the reported value of stockholders' equity. A rise in interest rates, in the absence of other countervailing changes, will decrease the unrealized gain position of our investment portfolio and may result in an unrealized loss position. With respect to our available for sale fixed maturity securities, such declines in value (net of income taxes and certain adjustments for assumed changes in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements) reduce our reported stockholders' equity and book value per share.

If interest rates rise dramatically within a short period of time, our business may be exposed to disintermediation risk. Disintermediation risk is the risk that our policyholders may surrender all or part of their contracts in a rising interest rate environment, which may require us to sell assets in an unrealized loss position. Alternatively, we may increase crediting rates to retain business and reduce the level of assets that may need to be sold at a loss. However, such action would reduce our investment spread and net income.

We hold a substantial amount of fixed maturity securities that are callable by the issuer prior to maturity, and since 2008, we have received significant amounts of redemption proceeds related to calls of securities issued by United States Government sponsored agencies. We have reinvested the proceeds from these redemptions into new securities issued by such agencies, corporate securities and securities issued by United States municipalities, states and territories. The callable United States Government sponsored agencies that we own / purchase typically provide for 12 months of call protection, after which they may be called on the first anniversary of the issue date, or any semi-annual or annual redemption date thereafter. As such, at any financial reporting date, substantially all of the securities we own issued by United States Government sponsored agencies that are not residential mortgage-backed securities are callable by the respective agency within 12 months.

Due to the long-term nature of our annuity liabilities, sustained declines in long-term interest rates may result in increased redemptions of our fixed maturity securities that are subject to call redemption prior to maturity by the issuer and expose us to reinvestment risk. If we are unable to reinvest the proceeds from such redemptions into investments with credit quality and yield characteristics of the redeemed securities, our net income and overall financial performance may be adversely affected. We have a certain ability to mitigate this risk by lowering crediting rates on our products subject to certain restrictions as discussed below.

Our exposure to credit spreads is related to market price and changes in cash flows related to changes in credit spreads. If credit spreads widen significantly it would probably lead to additional other than temporary impairments. If credit spreads tighten significantly it could result in reduced net investment income associated with new purchases of fixed maturity securities.

Credit risk

We are subject to the risk that the issuers of our fixed maturity securities and other debt securities and borrowers on our commercial mortgages, will default on principal and interest payments, particularly if a major downturn in economic activity occurs. An increase in defaults on our fixed maturity securities and commercial mortgage loan portfolios could harm our financial strength and reduce our profitability.

Credit and cash flow assumption risk is the risk that issuers of securities, mortgagees on mortgage loans or other parties, including reinsurers and derivatives counterparties, default on their contractual obligations or experience adverse changes to their contractual cash flow streams. We attempt to minimize the adverse impact of this risk by monitoring portfolio diversification by asset class, creditor, industry, and by complying with investment limitations governed by state insurance laws and regulations as applicable. We also consider all relevant objective information available in estimating the cash flows related to residential mortgage backed securities. We monitor and manage exposures to determine whether securities are impaired or loans are deemed uncollectible.

We use derivative instruments to fund the annual credits on our fixed index annuities. We purchase derivative instruments, consisting primarily of one-year call options, from a number of counterparties. Our policy is to acquire such options only from counterparties rated "A-" or better by a nationally recognized rating agency and the maximum credit exposure to any single counterparty is subject to concentration limits. In addition, we have entered into credit

support agreements which allow us to require posting of collateral by our counterparties to secure their obligations to us under the derivative instruments. If our counterparties fail to honor their obligations under the derivative instruments, our revenues may not be sufficient to fund the annual index credits on our fixed index annuities. Any such failure could harm our financial strength and reduce our profitability.

Liquidity risk

We could have difficulty selling our commercial mortgage loans because they are less liquid than our publicly traded securities. If we require significant amounts of cash on short notice, we may have difficulty selling these loans at attractive prices or in a timely manner, or both.

Fluctuations in interest rates and investment spread could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

A key component of our net income is the investment spread. A narrowing of investment spreads may adversely affect operating results. Although we have the right to adjust interest crediting rates (cap, participation or asset fee rates for fixed index annuities) on most products, changes to crediting rates may not be sufficient to maintain targeted investment spreads in all economic and market environments. In general, our ability to lower crediting rates is subject to minimum crediting rates filed with and approved by state regulators. In addition, competition and other factors, including the potential for increases in surrenders and withdrawals, may limit our ability to adjust or maintain crediting rates at levels necessary to avoid the narrowing of spreads under certain market conditions. Our policy structure generally provides for resetting of policy crediting rates at least annually and imposes withdrawal penalties for withdrawals during the first 3 to 17 years a policy is in force.

## Table of Contents

Managing the investment spread on our fixed index annuities is more complex than it is for fixed rate annuity products. We manage the index-based risk component of our fixed index annuities by purchasing call options on the applicable indices to fund the annual index credits on these annuities and by adjusting the caps, participation rates and asset fees on policy anniversary dates to reflect changes in the cost of such options which varies based on market conditions. The price of such options generally increases with increases in the volatility in both the indices and interest rates, which may either narrow the spread or cause us to lower caps or participation rates. Thus, the volatility of the indices adds an additional degree of uncertainty to the profitability of the index products. We attempt to mitigate this risk by resetting caps, participation rates and asset fees annually on the policy anniversaries.

Our valuation of fixed maturity and equity securities may include methodologies, estimates and assumptions which are subject to differing interpretations and could result in changes to investment valuations that may materially adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

Fixed maturity securities and equity securities are reported at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets. During periods of market disruption including periods of significantly rising or high interest rates, rapidly widening credit spreads or illiquidity, it may be difficult to value certain of our securities if trading becomes less frequent and/or market data becomes less observable. Prices provided by independent broker quotes or independent pricing services that are used in the determination of fair value can vary significantly for a particular security. There may be certain asset classes that were in active markets with significant observable data that become illiquid due to the current financial environment. As such, valuations may include inputs and assumptions that are less observable or require greater judgment as well as valuation methods that require greater judgment. Further, rapidly changing and unprecedented credit and equity market conditions could materially impact the valuation of securities as reported in our consolidated financial statements and the period-to-period changes in value could vary significantly. Decreases in value may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Defaults on commercial mortgage loans and volatility in performance may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Commercial mortgage loans face heightened delinquency and default risk due to recent economic conditions which have had a negative impact on the performance of the underlying collateral, resulting in declining values and an adverse impact on the obligors of such instruments. An increase in the default rate of our commercial mortgage loan investments could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, the carrying value of commercial mortgage loans is negatively impacted by such factors. The carrying value of commercial mortgage loans is stated at outstanding principal less any loan loss allowances recognized. Considerations in determining allowances include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) declining debt service coverage ratios and increasing loan to value ratios; (ii) bankruptcy filings of major tenants or affiliates of the borrower on the property; (iii) catastrophic events at the property; and (iv) other subjective events or factors, including whether the terms of the debt will be restructured. There can be no assurance that management's assessment of loan loss allowances on commercial mortgage loans will not change in future periods, which could lead to investment losses. We face competition from companies that have greater financial resources, broader arrays of products, higher ratings and stronger financial performance, which may impair our ability to retain existing customers, attract new customers and maintain our profitability and financial strength.

We operate in a highly competitive industry. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and enjoy substantially greater financial resources, higher ratings by rating agencies, broader and more diversified product lines and more widespread agency relationships. Our annuity products compete with index, fixed rate and variable annuities sold by other insurance companies and also with mutual fund products, traditional bank investments and other retirement funding alternatives offered by asset managers, banks and broker-dealers. Our insurance products compete with those of other insurance companies, financial intermediaries and other institutions based on a number of factors, including premium rates, policy terms and conditions, service provided to distribution channels and policyholders, ratings by rating agencies, reputation and commission structures.

While we compete with numerous other companies, we view the following as our most significant competitors:

▲Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America;

▲Aviva USA;

Great American Life Insurance Company;  
Midland National Life Insurance Company;  
North American Company for Life and Health Insurance; and  
Security Benefit Life.

Our ability to compete depends in part on rates of interest credited to policyholder account balances or the parameters governing the determination of index credits which is driven by our investment performance. We will not be able to accumulate and retain assets under management for our products if our investment results under perform the market or the competition, since such under performance likely would result in asset withdrawals and reduced sales.

Table of Contents

We compete for distribution sources for our products. We believe that our success in competing for distributors depends on factors such as our financial strength, the services we provide to, and the relationships we develop with these distributors and offering competitive commission structures. Our distributors are generally free to sell products from whichever providers they wish, which makes it important for us to continually offer distributors products and services they find attractive. If our products or services fall short of distributors' needs, we may not be able to establish and maintain satisfactory relationships with distributors of our annuity and life insurance products. Our ability to compete in the past has also depended in part on our ability to develop innovative new products and bring them to market more quickly than our competitors. In order for us to compete in the future, we will need to continue to bring innovative products to market in a timely fashion. Otherwise, our revenues and profitability could suffer.

Our reinsurance program involves risks because we remain liable with respect to the liabilities ceded to reinsurers if the reinsurers fail to meet the obligations assumed by them.

Our life insurance subsidiaries cede certain policies to other insurance companies through reinsurance agreements. American Equity Life has entered into two coinsurance agreements with EquiTrust covering \$1.1 billion of policy benefit reserves at December 31, 2011 and into two funds withheld coinsurance agreements with Athene Life Re Ltd. ("Athene"), an unauthorized life reinsurer domiciled in Bermuda, covering \$1.7 billion of policy benefit reserves at December 31, 2011. Since Athene is an unauthorized reinsurer, the annuity deposits that have been ceded to Athene are held in a trust on a funds withheld basis. The funds withheld are required to remain at a value that is sufficient to support the current balance of policy benefit liabilities of the ceded business on a statutory basis. If the value of the funds withheld would ever reach a point where it is less than the amount of the ceded policy benefit liabilities on a statutory basis, Athene is required to either establish a letter of credit or deposit securities to the funds withheld for the amount of any shortfall. We remain liable with respect to the policy liabilities ceded to EquiTrust and Athene should either fail to meet the obligations assumed by them.

In addition, we have entered into other types of reinsurance contracts including indemnity reinsurance and financing arrangements. Should any of these reinsurers fail to meet the obligations assumed under such contracts, we remain liable with respect to the liabilities ceded.

Further, no assurances can be made that reinsurance will remain continuously available to us to the same extent and on the same terms as are currently available. If we were unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient and at prices that we consider acceptable, we would have to accept an increase in our net liability exposure, reduce the amount of business we write, or develop other alternatives to reinsurance.

We may experience volatility in net income due to the application of fair value accounting to our derivative instruments.

All of our derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, are recognized in the balance sheet at their fair values and changes in fair value are recognized immediately in earnings. This impacts certain revenues and expenses we report for our fixed index annuity business as follows:

We must present the call options purchased to fund the annual index credits on our fixed index annuity products at fair value. The fair value of the call options is based upon the amount of cash that would be required to settle the call options obtained from the counterparties adjusted for the nonperformance risk of the counterparty. We record the change in fair value of these options as a component of our revenues. The change in fair value of derivatives includes the gains or losses recognized at expiration of the option term or upon early termination and changes in fair value for open positions.

The contractual obligations for future annual index credits are treated as a "series of embedded derivatives" over the expected life of the applicable contracts. Increases or decreases in the fair value of embedded derivatives generally correspond to increases or decreases in equity market performance and changes in the interest rates used to discount the excess of the projected policy contract values over the projected minimum guaranteed contract values. We record the change in fair value of these embedded derivatives as a component of our benefits and expenses in our consolidated statements of operations.

The application of fair value accounting for derivatives and embedded derivatives in future periods to our fixed index annuity business may cause substantial volatility in our reported net income.



We may face unanticipated losses if there are significant deviations from our assumptions regarding the probabilities that our annuity contracts will remain in force from one period to the next.

The expected future profitability of our annuity products is based in part upon expected patterns of premiums, expenses and benefits using a number of assumptions, including those related to the probability that a policy or contract will remain in force, or persistency, and mortality. Since no insurer can precisely determine persistency or mortality, actual results could differ significantly from assumptions, and deviations from estimates and assumptions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. For example actual persistency that is lower than our assumptions could have an adverse impact on future profitability, especially in the early years of a policy or contract primarily because we would be required to accelerate the amortization of expenses we deferred in connection with the acquisition of the policy.

In addition, we set initial crediting rates for our annuity products based upon expected claims and payment patterns, using assumptions for, among other factors, mortality rates of our policyholders. The long-term profitability of these products depends upon how our actual experience compares with our pricing assumptions. For example, if mortality rates are lower than our pricing assumptions, we could be required to make more payments under certain annuity contracts in addition to what we had projected.

Table of Contents

If our estimated gross profits change significantly from initial expectations we may be required to expense our deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements in an accelerated manner, which would reduce our profitability.

Deferred policy acquisition costs represent costs that vary with and primarily relate to the acquisition of new business. Deferred sales inducements are contract enhancements such as first-year premium and interest bonuses that are credited to policyholder account balances. These costs are capitalized when incurred and are amortized over the life of the contracts. Current amortization of these costs is generally in proportion to expected gross profits from interest margins and, to a lesser extent, from surrender charges. Unfavorable experience with regard to expected expenses, investment returns, mortality or withdrawals may cause acceleration of the amortization of these costs resulting in an increase of expenses and lower profitability.

If we do not manage our growth effectively, our financial performance could be adversely affected; our historical growth rates may not be indicative of our future growth.

We have experienced rapid growth since our formation in December 1995. We intend to continue to grow by recruiting new independent agents, increasing the productivity of our existing agents, expanding our insurance distribution network, developing new products, expanding into new product lines, and continuing to develop new incentives for our sales agents. Future growth will impose significant added responsibilities on our management, including the need to identify, recruit, maintain and integrate additional employees, including management. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in expanding our business or that our systems, procedures and controls will be adequate to support our operations as they expand. In addition, due to our rapid growth and resulting increased size, it may be necessary to expand the scope of our investing activities to asset classes in which we historically have not invested or have not had significant exposure. If we are unable to adequately manage our investments in these classes, our financial condition or operating results in the future could be less favorable than in the past. Further, we have utilized reinsurance in the past to support our growth. The future availability and cost of reinsurance is uncertain. Our failure to manage growth effectively, or our inability to recruit, maintain and integrate additional qualified employees and independent agents, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, due to our rapid growth, our historical growth rates are not likely to accurately reflect our future growth rates or our growth potential. We cannot assure you that our future revenues will increase or that we will continue to be profitable.

The loss of key employees could disrupt our operations.

Our success depends in part on the continued service of key executives and our ability to attract and retain additional executives and employees. We do not have employment agreements with our executive officers. The loss of key employees, or our inability to recruit and retain additional qualified personnel, could cause disruption in our business and prevent us from fully implementing our business strategies, which could materially and adversely affect our business, growth and profitability.

Controls and disaster recovery plans surrounding our information technology could fail or security could be compromised, which could damage our business and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Our business is highly dependent upon the effective operation of our information technology (IT). We rely on IT throughout our business for a variety of functions, including processing claims and applications, providing information to policyholders and distributors, performing actuarial analyses and maintaining financial records. Despite the implementation of security and back-up measures, our IT may be vulnerable to physical or electronic intrusions, computer viruses or other attacks, programming errors and similar disruptive problems. The failure of controls and/or disaster recovery plans surrounding our IT for any reason could cause significant interruptions to our operations, which could result in a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We retain confidential information within our IT, and we rely on sophisticated commercial technologies to maintain the security of those systems. Anyone who is able to circumvent our security measures and penetrate our IT could access, view, misappropriate, alter, or delete any information in the systems, including personally identifiable policyholder information and proprietary business information. In addition, an increasing number of states and foreign countries require that persons be notified if a security breach results in the disclosure of personally identifiable customer information. Any compromise of the security of our computer systems that results in inappropriate

disclosure of personally identifiable customer information could damage our reputation in the marketplace, deter people from purchasing our products, subject us to significant civil and criminal liability and require us to incur significant technical, legal and other expenses.

If we are unable to attract and retain national marketing organizations and independent agents, sales of our products may be reduced.

We distribute our annuity products through a variable cost distribution network which included over 60 national marketing organizations and 22,000 independent agents as of December 31, 2011. We must attract and retain such marketers and agents to sell our products. Insurance companies compete vigorously for productive agents. We compete with other life insurance companies for marketers and agents primarily on the basis of our financial position, support services, compensation and product features. Such marketers and agents may promote products offered by other life insurance companies that may offer a larger variety of products than we do. Our competitiveness for such marketers and agents also depends upon the long-term relationships we develop with them. If we are unable to attract and retain sufficient marketers and agents to sell our products, our ability to compete and our revenues would suffer.

## Table of Contents

We may require additional capital to support our business and sustained future growth which may not be available when needed or may be available only on unfavorable terms.

Our long-term strategic capital requirements will depend on many factors including the accumulated statutory earnings of our life insurance subsidiaries and the relationship between the statutory capital and surplus of our life insurance subsidiaries and various elements of required capital. For the purpose of supporting long-term capital requirements, we may need to increase or maintain the statutory capital and surplus of our life insurance subsidiaries through additional financings, which could include debt, equity, financing arrangements and/or other surplus relief transactions. Adverse market conditions have affected and continue to affect the availability and cost of capital. Such financings, if available at all, may be available only on terms that are not favorable to us. If we cannot maintain adequate capital, we may be required to limit growth in sales of new annuity products, and such action could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in state and federal regulation may affect our profitability.

We are subject to regulation under applicable insurance statutes, including insurance holding company statutes, in the various states in which our life insurance subsidiaries transact business. Our life insurance subsidiaries are domiciled in New York and Iowa. We are currently licensed to sell our products in 50 states and the District of Columbia.

Insurance regulation is intended to provide safeguards for policyholders rather than to protect shareholders of insurance companies or their holding companies. As increased scrutiny has been placed upon the insurance regulatory framework, a number of state legislatures have considered or enacted legislative proposals that alter, and in many cases increase, state authority to regulate insurance companies and holding company systems.

Regulators oversee matters relating to trade practices, policy forms, claims practices, guaranty funds, types and amounts of investments, reserve adequacy, insurer solvency, minimum amounts of capital and surplus, transactions with related parties, changes in control and payment of dividends.

State insurance regulators and the NAIC continually reexamine existing laws and regulations and may impose changes in the future.

Our life insurance subsidiaries are subject to the NAIC's risk-based capital requirements which are intended to be used by insurance regulators as an early warning tool to identify deteriorating or weakly capitalized insurance companies for the purpose of initiating regulatory action. Our life insurance subsidiaries also may be required, under solvency or guaranty laws of most states in which they do business, to pay assessments up to certain prescribed limits to fund policyholder losses or liabilities for insolvent insurance companies.

Although the federal government does not directly regulate the insurance business, federal legislation and administrative policies in several areas, including pension regulation, age and sex discrimination, financial services regulation, securities regulation and federal taxation, can significantly affect the insurance business. In addition, legislation has been enacted which could result in the federal government assuming some role in the regulation of the insurance industry.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was enacted and signed into law, making extensive changes to the laws regulating the financial services industry. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act imposes a comprehensive new regulatory regime on the over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives marketplace. The derivatives legislation is set forth in Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Act entitled "Wall Street Transparency and Accountability" (the "Derivatives Title"). With limited exceptions, the provisions of the Derivatives Title become effective on the later of 360 days following enactment and, to the extent a provision requires rulemaking, not less than 60 days after publication of the final rule. This legislation subjects swap dealers and "major swap participants" (as defined in the legislation and further clarified by the rulemaking) to substantial supervision and regulation, including capital standards, margin requirements, business conduct standards, recordkeeping and reporting requirements. It also requires central clearing for certain derivatives transactions that the U.S. Commodities Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") determines must be cleared and are accepted for clearing by a "derivatives clearing organization" (subject to certain exceptions) and provides the CFTC with authority to impose position limits across markets. Many key concepts, definitions, processes and issues under the Derivatives Title have been left to the relevant regulators to address and many of these regulations have yet to be proposed. Although it is not possible at this time to assess the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act and any future regulations implementing the new legislation, the Dodd-Frank Act and any such regulations may subject us to

additional restrictions on our hedging positions which may have an adverse effect on our ability to hedge risks associated with our business, including our fixed index annuity business, or on the cost of our hedging activity. The Dodd-Frank Act also created a Financial Stability and Oversight Council. The Council may designate by a 2/3 vote whether certain insurance companies and insurance holding companies pose a grave threat to the financial stability of the United States, in which case such companies would become subject to prudential regulation by the Board of Governors of the U.S. Federal Reserve (the "Federal Reserve Board") (including capital requirements, leverage limits, liquidity requirements and examinations). The Federal Reserve Board may limit such company's ability to enter into merger transactions, restrict its ability to offer financial products, require it to terminate one or more activities, or impose conditions on the manner in which it conducts activities. The Dodd-Frank Act also established a Federal Insurance Office under the U.S. Treasury Department to monitor all aspects of the insurance industry and of lines of business other than certain health insurance, certain long-term care insurance and crop insurance. The director of the Federal Insurance Office has the ability to recommend that an insurance company or an insurance holding company be subject to heightened prudential standards. The Dodd-Frank Act also provides for the pre-emption of state laws in certain instances involving the regulation of reinsurance and other limited insurance matters. The Dodd-Frank Act requires extensive rule-making and other future regulatory action, which in some cases will take a period of years to implement. It is not possible at this time to assess the impact on our business of the establishment of the Federal Insurance Office and the Financial Stability and Oversight Council. However, the regulatory framework at the state and federal level applicable to our insurance products is evolving. The changing regulatory framework could affect the design of such products and our ability to sell certain products. Any changes in these laws and regulations could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

## Table of Contents

Changes in federal income taxation laws, including any reduction in individual income tax rates, may affect sales of our products and profitability.

The annuity and life insurance products that we market generally provide the policyholder with certain federal income tax advantages. For example, federal income taxation on any increases in non-qualified annuity contract values (i.e. the "inside build-up") is deferred until it is received by the policyholder. With other savings investments, such as certificates of deposit and taxable bonds, the increase in value is generally taxed each year as it is realized.

Additionally, life insurance death benefits are generally exempt from income tax.

From time to time, various tax law changes have been proposed that could have an adverse effect on our business, including the elimination of all or a portion of the income tax advantages described above for annuities and life insurance. If legislation were enacted to eliminate all or a portion of the tax deferral for annuities, such a change would have an adverse effect on our ability to sell non-qualified annuities. Non-qualified annuities are annuities that are not sold to a qualified retirement plan.

Beginning in 2013, distributions from non-qualified annuity policies will be considered "investment income" for purposes of the newly enacted Medicare tax on investment income contained in the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010. As a result, in certain circumstances a 3.8% tax ("Medicare Tax") may be applied to some or all of the taxable portion of distributions from non-qualified annuities to individuals whose income exceeds certain threshold amounts. This new tax may have an adverse effect on our ability to sell non-qualified annuities to individuals whose income exceeds these threshold amounts and could accelerate withdrawals due to additional tax. The constitutionality of the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 is currently the subject of multiple litigation actions initiated by various state attorneys general, and the Act is also the subject of several proposals in the US Congress for amendment and/or repeal. The outcome of such litigation and legislative action as it relates to the 3.8% Medicare tax is unknown at this time.

We face risks relating to litigation, including the costs of such litigation, management distraction and the potential for damage awards, which may adversely impact our business.

We are occasionally involved in litigation, both as a defendant and as a plaintiff. In addition, state regulatory bodies, such as state insurance departments, the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA"), the Department of Labor and other regulatory bodies regularly make inquiries and conduct examinations or investigations concerning our compliance with, among other things, insurance laws, securities laws, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, and laws governing the activities of broker-dealers. Companies in the life insurance and annuity business have faced litigation, including class action lawsuits, alleging improper product design, improper sales practices and similar claims. We are currently a defendant in a purported class action lawsuit involving allegations of improper sales practices. The plaintiffs in this lawsuit are seeking returns of premiums and other compensatory and punitive damages.

A downgrade in our credit or financial strength ratings may increase our future cost of capital and may reduce new sales, adversely affect relationships with distributors and increase policy surrenders and withdrawals.

Currently, our senior unsecured indebtedness carries a "bbb-" rating from A.M. Best Company and a "BB+" rating from Standard & Poor's. Our ability to maintain such ratings is dependent upon the results of operations of our subsidiaries and our financial strength. If we fail to preserve the strength of our balance sheet and to maintain a capital structure that rating agencies deem suitable, it could result in a downgrade of the ratings applicable to our senior unsecured indebtedness. A downgrade would likely reduce the fair value of the common stock and may increase our future cost of capital.

Financial strength ratings are important factors in establishing the competitive position of life insurance and annuity companies. In recent years, the market for annuities has been dominated by those insurers with the highest ratings. A ratings downgrade, or the potential for a ratings downgrade, could have a number of adverse effects on our business. For example, distributors and sales agents for life insurance and annuity products use the ratings as one factor in determining which insurer's annuities to market. A ratings downgrade could cause those distributors and agents to seek alternative carriers. In addition, a ratings downgrade could materially increase the number of policy or contract surrenders we experience, as well as our ability to obtain reinsurance or obtain reasonable pricing on reinsurance.

Financial strength ratings are measures of an insurance company's ability to meet contractholder and policyholder obligations and generally involve quantitative and qualitative evaluations by rating agencies of a company's financial condition and operating performance. Generally, rating agencies base their ratings upon information furnished to them by the insurer and upon their own investigations, studies and assumptions. Ratings are based upon factors of concern to agents, policyholders and intermediaries and are not directed toward the protection of investors and are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We lease commercial office space in one building in West Des Moines, Iowa, for our principal offices under an operating lease that expires on November 21, 2021. We also lease our office in Pell City, Alabama, pursuant to an operating lease that expires on December 31, 2012. We are fully utilizing these facilities and believe both locations to be sufficient to house our operations for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

See Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Item 4. Reserved

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol AEL. The following table sets forth the high and low prices of our common stock as quoted on the NYSE.

	High	Low
2011		
First Quarter	\$13.93	\$11.27
Second Quarter	\$13.53	\$11.91
Third Quarter	\$13.22	\$8.01
Fourth Quarter	\$11.82	\$8.05
2010		
First Quarter	\$10.99	\$6.65
Second Quarter	\$11.64	\$8.53
Third Quarter	\$11.19	\$9.19
Fourth Quarter	\$13.01	\$10.11

As of February 22, 2012, there were approximately 10,400 holders of our common stock. In 2011 and 2010, we paid an annual cash dividend of \$0.12 and \$0.10, respectively, per share on our common stock. We intend to continue to pay an annual cash dividend on such shares so long as we have sufficient capital and/or future earnings to do so.

However, we anticipate retaining most of our future earnings, if any, for use in our operations and the expansion of our business. Any further determination as to dividend policy will be made by our board of directors and will depend on a number of factors, including our future earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and future prospects and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant.

Since we are a holding company, our ability to pay cash dividends depends in large measure on our subsidiaries' ability to make distributions of cash or property to us. Iowa insurance laws restrict the amount of distributions American Equity Life can pay to us without the approval of the Iowa Insurance Commissioner. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which are incorporated by reference in this Item 5.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table sets forth issuer purchases of equity securities for the quarter ended December 31, 2011.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1, 2011 through October 31, 2011	—	—	—	—
November 1, 2011 through November 30, 2011	—	—	—	10,000,000



Edgar Filing: AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

December 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011	81,745	\$10.39	81,745	10,000,000
	81,745	\$10.39	81,745	

We have a Rabbi Trust, the NMO Deferred Compensation Trust, which has purchased and holds our common shares to fund the amount of shares earned and vested by our agents under the NMO Deferred Compensation Plan. At December 31, 2011, all shares earned and vested by agents have been purchased and contributed to the Rabbi Trust. In addition, in November 2011, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase up to 10,000,000 shares of our common stock. As of December 31, 2011, no shares had been repurchased under this program.

Table of Contents

## Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data

The summary consolidated financial and other data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report. The results for past periods are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for future periods.

	Year ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
Revenues					
Annuity product charges	\$76,189	\$69,075	\$63,358	\$52,671	\$45,828
Net investment income	1,218,780	1,036,106	932,172	822,077	719,916
Change in fair value of derivatives	(114,728 )	168,862	216,896	(372,009 )	(59,985 )
Net realized gains on investments, excluding other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses	(18,641 )	23,726	51,279	5,555	501
Net OTTI losses recognized in operations	(33,976 )	(23,867 )	(86,771 )	(192,648 )	(4,383 )
Total revenues	1,139,775	1,285,592	1,188,913	337,904	714,500
Benefits and expenses					
Interest sensitive and index product benefits	775,757	733,218	347,883	205,131	560,209
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives	(105,194 )	130,950	529,508	(210,753 )	(67,902 )
Amortization of deferred sales inducements and policy acquisition costs	215,259	192,261	128,008	157,443	68,038
Interest expense on notes payable and subordinated debentures	45,610	37,031	30,672	39,218	43,436
Interest expense on amounts due under repurchase agreements	30	—	534	8,207	15,926
Other operating costs and expenses	67,529	114,615	57,255	52,633	48,230
Total benefits and expenses	1,006,861	1,220,326	1,102,749	260,851	676,356
Income before income taxes	132,914	65,266	86,164	77,053	38,144
Income tax expense	46,666	22,333	17,634	61,106	11,914
Net income	86,248	42,933	68,530	15,947	26,230
Per Share Data:					
Earnings per common share	\$1.45	\$0.73	\$1.22	\$0.30	\$0.46
Earnings per common share—assuming dilution	1.37	0.68	1.18	0.30	0.46
Dividends declared per common share	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.06
Non-GAAP Financial Measure (a):					
Reconciliation of net income to operating income:					
Net income	\$86,248	\$42,933	\$68,530	\$15,947	\$26,229
Net realized gains and net OTTI losses on investments, net of offsets	18,354	379	(1,339 )	92,524	1,688

Net effect of derivatives, embedded derivatives and other index annuity, net of offsets	29,051	38,167	29,952	(31,038 )	33,615
Convertible debt extinguishment, net of income taxes	—	171	687	(5,702 )	—
Effect of counterparty default, net of offsets	—	—	3,948	741	—
Litigation settlement, net of offsets	—	27,297	—	—	—
Operating income	\$133,653	\$108,947	\$101,778	\$72,472	\$61,532
Operating income per common share	\$2.25	\$1.86	\$1.81	\$1.35	\$1.08
Operating income per common share—assuming dilution	2.12	1.70	1.75	1.30	1.05

Table of Contents

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Total investments	\$24,383,451	\$19,816,931	\$15,374,110	\$12,719,605	\$12,610,895
Total assets	30,874,719	26,426,763	21,312,004	17,081,740	16,384,690
Policy benefit reserves	28,118,716	23,655,807	19,336,221	15,809,539	14,711,780
Notes payable	297,608	330,835	316,468	247,750	248,968
Subordinated debentures	268,593	268,435	268,347	268,209	268,330
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ("AOCI")	457,229	81,820	(30,456 )	(147,376 )	(38,929 )
Total stockholders' equity	1,408,679	938,047	754,623	496,844	621,324
Other Data:					
Life subsidiaries' statutory capital and surplus and asset valuation reserve	1,597,355	1,456,679	1,239,651	1,011,682	1,013,845
Life subsidiaries' statutory net gain from operations before income taxes and realized capital gains (losses)	344,538	322,133	253,146	129,046	41,473
Life subsidiaries' statutory net income (loss)	167,925	172,865	116,895	(7,073 )	17,010
Book value per share (b)	\$23.82	\$16.07	\$13.08	\$9.46	\$11.11
Book value per share, excluding AOCI (b)	16.09	14.67	13.61	12.27	11.81

In addition to net income, we have consistently utilized operating income, operating income per common share and operating income per common share—assuming dilution, non-GAAP financial measures commonly used in the life insurance industry, as economic measures to evaluate our financial performance. Operating income equals net income adjusted to eliminate the impact of net realized gains on investments including net OTTI losses recognized in operations and related deferred tax asset valuation allowance, fair value changes in derivatives and embedded derivatives, (gain) loss on extinguishment of convertible debt, the counterparty default on expired call options and the net charge to settle a class action lawsuit. Because these items fluctuate from year to year in a manner unrelated to core operations, we believe measures excluding their impact are useful in analyzing operating trends. We believe the combined presentation and evaluation of operating income together with net income, provides information that may enhance an investor's understanding of our underlying results and profitability.

Book value per share and book value per share excluding AOCI is calculated as total stockholders' equity and total stockholders' equity excluding AOCI divided by the total number of shares of common stock outstanding. AOCI fluctuates from year to year due to unrealized changes in the fair value of available for sale investments. Shares outstanding include shares held by the NMO Deferred Compensation Trust and exclude unallocated shares held by our employee stock ownership plan—see note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

### Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management's discussion and analysis reviews our consolidated financial position at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and our consolidated results of operations for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, and where appropriate, factors that may affect future financial performance. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements, notes thereto and selected consolidated financial data appearing elsewhere in this report.

#### Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

All statements, trend analyses and other information contained in this report and elsewhere (such as in filings by us with the SEC, press releases, presentations by us or our management or oral statements) relative to markets for our products and trends in our operations or financial results, as well as other statements including words such as "anticipate", "believe", "plan", "estimate", "expect", "intend" and other similar expressions, constitute forward-looking statements. We caution that these statements may and often do vary from actual results and the differences between these statements and actual results can be material. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that actual results will not differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could contribute to these differences include, among other things:

- general economic conditions and other factors, including prevailing interest rate levels and stock and credit market performance which may affect (among other things) our ability to sell our products, our ability to access capital resources and the costs associated therewith, the fair value of our investments, which could result in impairments and other than temporary impairments, and certain liabilities, and the lapse rate and profitability of policies;
- customer response to new products and marketing initiatives;
- changes in the Federal income tax laws and regulations which may affect the relative income tax advantages of our products;
- increasing competition in the sale of annuities;
- regulatory changes or actions, including those relating to regulation of financial services affecting (among other things) bank sales and underwriting of insurance products and regulation of the sale, underwriting and pricing of products; and
- the risk factors or uncertainties listed from time to time in our filings with the SEC.

For a detailed discussion of these and other factors that might affect our performance, see Item 1A of this report.

#### Executive Summary

Since our formation in 1995, we have emphasized industry leading customer service to both our distribution force and our policyholders. We believe this to be a major part of our ability to attract production from our independent agent network as well as a low rate of policy surrenders. Excellent customer service teamed with our ability to design innovative insurance products that provide principal protection and tax deferred growth have continued to result in significant sales of our annuity products year over year. In 2011, as we have done the last three years, we achieved the highest sales since our formation, which has driven us to industry leading growth rates and to cash and investments in excess of \$24 billion at December 31, 2011, in only 16 years of operations. We have applied a conservative investment strategy to the annuity deposits we continue to manage which has provided reliable returns on our invested assets. Our profitability has also been driven by maintaining an efficient operation.

In 2011, we extinguished \$46.3 million principal amount of convertible senior notes that were put to us by the holders at the December 15, 2011 put date using cash on hand. These notes were issued in 2004 and \$28.2 million principal amount remains outstanding and callable by us. During 2011, we also obtained a new three year \$160 million line of credit facility which remains fully available at December 31, 2011.

Over the past several years we have steadily grown our invested assets, investment spread and operating income (a non-GAAP financial measurement - see Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data) despite the challenging economic conditions and interest rate environment. Our business model contemplates continued growth in invested assets and operating income while maintaining a high quality investment portfolio that will not experience significant losses from impairments of invested assets. Growth in invested assets is predicated on a continuation of our high sales achievements of the last three years while at the same time maintaining a high level of retention of the funds received. The economic and personal investing environments continue to be conducive for high sales levels as retirees and

others look to put their money in instruments that will protect their principal and provide them with consistent cash flow sources in their retirement years. We expect to continue to grow our operating income by maintaining a reliable investment spread of 2.90% or more through effective management of our investment portfolio and the cost of money for our annuity business. We are committed to maintaining a high quality investment portfolio with limited exposure to below investment grade securities and other riskier assets.

#### Overview

We specialize in the sale of individual annuities (primarily deferred annuities) and, to a lesser extent, we also sell life insurance policies. Under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), premium collections for deferred annuities are reported as deposit liabilities instead of as revenues. Similarly, cash payments to policyholders are reported as decreases in the liabilities for policyholder account balances and not as expenses. Sources of revenues for products accounted for as deposit liabilities are net investment income, surrender and other charges deducted from the account balances of policyholders, net realized gains (losses) on investments and changes in fair value of derivatives. Components of expenses for products accounted for as deposit liabilities are interest sensitive and index product benefits (primarily interest credited to account balances), changes in fair value of embedded derivatives, amortization of deferred sales inducements and deferred policy acquisition costs, other operating costs and expenses and income taxes.

Table of Contents

Earnings from products accounted for as deposit liabilities are primarily generated from the excess of net investment income earned over the interest credited or the cost of providing index credits to the policyholder, or the "investment spread." Our investment spread is summarized as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
<b>Reported Amounts</b>			
Average yield on invested assets	5.80%	6.06%	6.30%
Aggregate cost of money	2.77%	2.91%	3.26%
Aggregate investment spread	3.03%	3.15%	3.04%
<b>Adjustments</b>			
Investment yield - temporary cash investments	0.07%	0.17%	—%
Investment yield - additional prepayment income	—%	(0.04)%	—%
Cost of money benefit from over hedging	0.06%	0.10%	—%
<b>Adjusted Amounts</b>			
Average yield on invested assets	5.87%	6.19%	6.30%
Aggregate cost of money	2.83%	3.01%	3.26%
Aggregate investment spread	3.04%	3.18%	3.04%

The cost of money for fixed index annuities and average crediting rates for fixed rate annuities are computed based upon policyholder account balances and do not include the impact of amortization of deferred sales inducements. See Critical Accounting Policies—Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Deferred Sales Inducements. With respect to our fixed index annuities, the cost of money includes the average crediting rate on amounts allocated to the fixed rate strategy, expenses we incur to fund the annual index credits and where applicable, minimum guaranteed interest credited. Proceeds received upon expiration or early termination of call options purchased to fund annual index credits are recorded as part of the change in fair value of derivatives, and are largely offset by an expense for interest credited to annuity policyholder account balances. See Critical Accounting Policies—Policy Liabilities for Fixed Index Annuities and Financial Condition—Derivative Instruments.

In response to the continuing low interest rate environment, we implemented reductions of policyholder crediting rates for new annuities and existing annuities in the fourth quarter of 2011. Rates on new sales were reduced 0.40% - 0.50% beginning with applications received after October 7, 2011. Renewal rate adjustments began taking effect on November 15, 2011 and will continue to take effect on the policy anniversary dates over the twelve months following that date. Accordingly, the benefit from the renewal rate reductions did not have a material impact on 2011 spread results. We expect 2012 spread results to reflect the benefit from these reductions to an increasing degree as the year progresses; however the anticipated reductions in cost of money may be offset by continued lower yields available on investments including reinvestment of proceeds from calls of the callable bonds in our investment portfolio.

Our profitability depends in large part upon the amount of assets under our management, investment spreads we earn on our policyholder account balances, our ability to manage our investment portfolio to maximize returns and minimize risks such as interest rate changes and defaults or impairment of investments, our ability to manage interest rates credited to policyholders and costs of the options purchased to fund the annual index credits on our fixed index annuities, our ability to manage the costs of acquiring new business (principally commissions to agents and bonuses credited to policyholders) and our ability to manage our operating expenses.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2011

Annuity deposits by product type collected during 2011, 2010 and 2009, were as follows:

Product Type	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Fixed index annuities:			
Index strategies	\$2,839,295	\$2,401,891	\$1,535,477
Fixed strategy	1,377,987	1,551,007	1,849,833
	4,217,282	3,952,898	3,385,310
Fixed rate annuities:			
Single-year rate guaranteed	169,304	160,077	81,456
Multi-year rate guaranteed	397,925	384,116	178,737
Single premium immediate annuities	305,603	171,628	32,055
	872,832	715,821	292,248
Total before coinsurance ceded	5,090,114	4,668,719	3,677,558
Coinsurance ceded	326,531	478,963	749,259
Net after coinsurance ceded	\$4,763,583	\$4,189,756	\$2,928,299

Annuity deposits before coinsurance ceded increased 9% during 2011 compared to 2010 and 27% during 2010 compared to 2009. We attribute these increases to factors including the highly competitive rates of our products, our continued strong relationships with our national marketing organizations and field force of licensed, independent insurance agents, the increased attractiveness of safe money products in volatile markets, lower interest rates on competing products such as bank certificates of deposit and product enhancements including a new generation of guaranteed income withdrawal benefit riders. In addition, we continue to benefit from the actions of several significant competitors who have been less aggressive in marketing their products than in prior periods. The extent to which this trend will be sustained in future periods is uncertain.

As reported in our previous filings, we undertook several actions in 2010 and 2009 to manage our statutory capital position to facilitate growth. These actions included a restructuring of commission payments to agents, an amendment to a reinsurance agreement to expand such agreement to cover certain policy forms that were not in existence when the agreement was executed and the entry into two funds withheld coinsurance agreements. We entered into a \$50 million "financing" reinsurance transaction in the first quarter of 2011 to help support sales growth in 2011 that provided an initial after tax statutory surplus benefit of \$31.8 million. We believe our existing statutory capital and surplus and the statutory surplus we expect to generate internally through statutory earnings will support a higher level of new business growth than in previous years. However, while we have the capital resources to accept more business than was sold in 2011 and 2010, our capacity is not unlimited and sales growth must be matched with available resources to maintain desired financial strength ratings from credit rating agencies and in particular, A.M. Best Company. Should sales growth accelerate to levels that cannot be supported by internal capital generation, we would intend to obtain capital from external sources to facilitate such growth.

Net income increased 101% to \$86.2 million in 2011 and decreased 37% to \$42.9 million in 2010 from \$68.5 million in 2009.

Net income has been positively impacted by the growth in the volume of business in force and the investment spread earned on this business. Average annuity account values outstanding increased 24% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010 and 18% for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009. Our investment spread measured in dollars was \$585.9 million, \$502.9 million, and \$420.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Our investment spread measured on a percentage basis was 3.03%, 3.15% and 3.04% for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in investment spread in 2011 resulted from a decline in the average yield in investments, offset in part by a smaller decline in the average cost of money on our fixed index annuities. The increase in investment spread in 2010 resulted from a lower aggregate cost of money on our fixed index annuities, offset in part by a smaller decline in the yield on invested assets. The lower cost of money during 2011 and



2010 was due to lower costs of options purchased to fund the annual index credits on fixed index annuities and lower rates for the fixed rate strategy in fixed index annuities. The 2011 decrease in average yield on invested assets was primarily attributable to lower yields on investments purchased in 2010 and 2011. The 2010 decrease in the average yield on invested assets was primarily attributable to a lag in reinvestment of proceeds from bonds called for redemption during the year into new assets resulting in high levels of low yielding short-term investments and interest earning cash and cash equivalents, as well as lower yields on investments purchased in 2010. We also have experienced a benefit from index annuity hedging that reduced the cost of money by 0.06% and 0.10% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

## Table of Contents

We periodically revise the key assumptions used in the calculation of amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements retrospectively through an unlocking process when estimates of current or future gross profits/margins (including the impact of realized investment gains and losses) to be realized from a group of products are revised. The impact of unlocking during the year ended December 31, 2011 was a \$5.0 million decrease in the amortization of deferred sales inducements and a \$9.1 million decrease in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and included the impact of account balance true ups as of September 30, 2011 and adjustments to future period assumptions for interest margins and surrenders. The impact of unlocking during the year ended December 31, 2010 was a \$0.3 million increase in the amortization of deferred sales inducements and a \$1.4 million increase in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs. There was no unlocking for the year ended December 31, 2009. During 2011, we discovered a prior period error related to policy benefit reserves for our single premium immediate annuity products. We evaluated the materiality of the error from qualitative and quantitative perspectives and concluded it was not material to any prior periods. The correction of the error in 2011 is not material to the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011. Accordingly, we made an adjustment in the first quarter of 2011 which resulted in a decrease of policy benefit reserves and a decrease in interest sensitive and index product benefits of \$4.2 million. On an after-tax basis, the adjustment resulted in a \$2.7 million increase in net income for the year December 31, 2011.

Operating income, a non-GAAP financial measure (see reconciliation to net income in Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data) increased 23% to \$133.7 million in 2011 and increased 7% to \$108.9 million in 2010 from \$101.8 million in 2009.

In addition to net income, we have consistently utilized operating income, a non-GAAP financial measure commonly used in the life insurance industry, as an economic measure to evaluate our financial performance. Operating income equals net income adjusted to eliminate the impact of net realized gains on investments, including net other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses recognized in operations and related deferred tax asset valuation allowance, fair value changes in derivatives and embedded derivatives, (gain) loss on retirement of debt, the Lehman counterparty default on expired call options and the cost to settle a class action lawsuit. Because these items fluctuate from year to year in a manner unrelated to core operations, we believe measures excluding their impact are useful in analyzing operating trends. We believe the combined presentation and evaluation of operating income together with net income, provides information that may enhance an investor's understanding of our underlying results and profitability.

Operating income is not a substitute for net income determined in accordance with GAAP. The adjustments made to derive operating income are important to understanding our overall results from operations and, if evaluated without proper context, operating income possesses material limitations. As an example, we could produce a low level of net income in a given period, despite strong operating performance, if in that period we experience significant net realized losses from our investment portfolio. We could also produce a high level of net income in a given period, despite poor operating performance, if in that period we generate significant net realized gains from our investment portfolio. As an example of another limitation of operating income, it does not include the decrease in cash flows expected to be collected as a result of credit loss OTTI. Therefore, our management and board of directors also separately review net realized investment gains (losses) and analyses of our net investment income, including impacts related to OTTI write-downs, in connection with their review of our investment portfolio. In addition, our management and board of directors examine net income as part of their review of our overall financial results.

Net realized gains (losses) on investments and net impairment losses recognized in operations fluctuate from year to year based upon changes in the interest rate and economic environment and the timing of the sale of investments or the recognition of other than temporary impairments. The amounts disclosed in the reconciliation in Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data are net of related reductions in amortization of deferred sales inducements and deferred policy acquisition costs and income taxes. Net income for 2009 includes a benefit of \$11.9 million for the reduction of the deferred tax valuation allowance established in 2008 related to other than temporary impairments of investment securities and capital loss carryforwards.

Amounts attributable to the fair value accounting for fixed index annuity derivatives and embedded derivatives fluctuate from year to year based upon changes in the fair values of call options purchased to fund the annual index credits for fixed index annuities and changes in the interest rates used to discount the embedded derivative liability.

The amounts disclosed in the reconciliation in Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data are net of related adjustments to amortization of deferred sales inducements and deferred policy acquisition costs and income taxes. The significant changes in the impact from the item disclosed in the reconciliation in Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data relate primarily to changes in the interest rates used to discount the embedded derivative liabilities. The litigation settlement amount for 2010 includes a class action settlement benefit to policyholders and attorneys' fees and expenses aggregating \$48 million (see Other operating costs and expenses later in this item for additional discussion). The amount disclosed in the reconciliation in Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data is net of related reductions in amortization of deferred sales inducements and deferred policy acquisition costs and income taxes.

Annuity product charges (surrender charges assessed against policy withdrawals and fees deducted from policyholder account balances for living income benefit riders) increased 10% to \$76.2 million in 2011 and 9% to \$69.1 million in 2010 from \$63.4 million in 2009. These increases were primarily attributable to increases in the amount of fees assessed for lifetime income benefit riders which were \$26.2 million \$13.5 million and \$4.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increases in these fees are attributable to a larger volume of business in force subject to the fee. See Interest sensitive and index product benefits below for corresponding expense recognized on lifetime income benefit riders. Withdrawals from annuity and single premium universal life policies subject to surrender charges were \$378.9 million, \$418.9 million and \$432.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The average surrender charge collected on withdrawals subject to a surrender charge was 13.1%, 13.2% and 13.5% for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

Net investment income increased 18% to \$1,218.8 million in 2011 and 11% to \$1,036.1 million in 2010 from \$932.2 million in 2009. The increases were principally attributable to the growth in our annuity business and corresponding increases in our invested assets. Average invested assets excluding derivative instruments (on an amortized cost basis) increased 23% to \$21.0 billion in 2011 and 15% to \$17.1 billion in 2010 compared to \$14.8 billion in 2009. The average yield earned on average invested assets was 5.80%, 6.06% and 6.30% for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in yield earned on average invested assets in 2011 and 2010 was primarily attributable to lower yields on investments purchased in those periods. In addition, net investment income and average yield were negatively impacted by a lag in reinvestment of proceeds from bonds called for redemption during 2011 and 2010 into new assets causing excess liquidity. Based on yields received for purchases of fixed maturity securities in 2011 and 2010, we estimate that approximately \$15.8 million and \$28.0 million in net investment income was foregone during 2011 and 2010, respectively, as a result of the excess liquidity, and the average yield on invested assets would have been 5.87% and 6.23% for 2011 and 2010, respectively, if such income had been earned.

Change in fair value of derivatives (principally call options purchased to fund annual index credits on fixed index annuities) is affected by the performance of the indices upon which our options are based and the aggregate cost of options purchased. The components of change in fair value of derivatives are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Call options:			
Gain (loss) on option expiration	\$155,359	\$208,881	\$(196,000)
Change in unrealized gain (loss)	(248,941)	) (67,078	) 415,276
2015 notes hedges	(21,002	) 29,595	—
Interest rate swaps	(144	) (2,536	) (2,380)
	\$(114,728	) \$168,862	\$216,896

The differences between the change in fair value of derivatives between years for call options are primarily due to the performance of the indices upon which our call options are based. A substantial portion of our call options are based upon the S&P 500 Index with the remainder based upon other equity and bond market indices. The range of index appreciation for options expiring is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
S&P 500 Index			
Point-to-point strategy	0.0% - 31.0%	1.9% - 68.6%	0.0% - 45.1%
Monthly average strategy	0.0% - 22.7%	0.4% - 51.2%	0.0% - 22.9%
Monthly point-to-point strategy	0.0% - 16.5%	0.0% - 23.7%	0.0% - 9.9%
Fixed income (bond index) strategies	1.4% - 17.9%	0.0% - 13.5%	0.0% - 13.8%

Actual amounts credited to policyholder account balances may be less than the index appreciation due to contractual features in the fixed index annuity policies (caps, participation rates, and asset fees) which allow us to manage the cost of the options purchased to fund the annual index credits. The change in fair value of derivatives is also influenced by the aggregate costs of options purchased. The aggregate cost of options has increased primarily due to an increased amount of fixed index annuities in force. The aggregate cost of options is also influenced by the amount of policyholder funds allocated to the various indices and market volatility which affects option pricing. Costs for options purchased during the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 decreased compared to prior years due to lower volatility in equity markets and adjustments to caps, participation rates, and asset fees.

Concurrently with the issuance of the 2015 notes, we entered into hedge transactions (the "2015 notes hedges") to provide the cash needed to meet our cash obligations in excess of the principal amount of the 2015 notes upon conversion of the 2015 notes. The fair value of the 2015 notes hedges changes based upon changes in the price of our common stock interest rates, stock price volatility, dividend yield and the time to expiration of the 2015 notes hedges. Similarly, the fair value of the conversion option obligation to the holders of the 2015 notes changes based upon these same factors and the conversion option obligation is accounted for as an embedded derivative liability with changes in

fair value reported in the Change in fair value of embedded derivatives. The amount for the change in fair value of the 2015 notes hedges equals the amount for the change in the related embedded derivative liabilities and there is an offsetting expense in the change in fair value of embedded derivatives. See note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the 2015 notes hedges.

Table of Contents

Net realized gains (losses) on investments, excluding OTTI losses include gains and losses on the sale of securities and impairment losses on mortgage loans on real estate which fluctuate from year to year due to changes in the interest rate and economic environment and the timing of the sale of investments. The components of net realized gains (losses) on investments are set forth in the table that follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Available for sale fixed maturity securities:			
Gross realized gains	\$12,614	\$27,755	\$54,401
Gross realized losses	(1,423)	(2,575)	(2,162)
	11,191	25,180	52,239
Equity securities:			
Gross realized gains	966	14,384	5,620
Gross realized losses	—	(71)	(96)
	966	14,313	5,524
Mortgage loans on real estate:			
Increase in allowance for credit losses	(30,770)	(15,225)	(6,484)
Other investments:			
Gain on sale of real estate	377	—	—
Impairment losses	(405)	(542)	—
	(28)	(542)	—
	\$(18,641)	\$23,726	\$51,279

Gross realized gains were realized due to tax planning strategies to generate taxable capital gains that will permit deductions of capital losses for income tax purposes. Gross realized losses primarily relate to securities that experienced credit events resulting in the decision to sell the securities at a loss. See note 4 to our audited consolidated financial statements for additional discussion of allowance for credit losses recognized on mortgage loans on real estate.

Net OTTI losses recognized in operations increased to \$34.0 million in 2011 and decreased to \$23.9 million in 2010 from \$86.8 million in 2009. The impairments recognized in 2011 were primarily all on residential mortgage backed securities and were principally due to changes of assumptions regarding loss severity of a number of securities we hold which affected our ongoing analysis of expected cash flow projections. See Financial Condition—Investments for additional discussion of write downs of securities for other than temporary impairments.

Interest sensitive and index product benefits increased 6% to \$775.8 million in 2011 and 111% to \$733.2 million in 2010 from \$347.9 million in 2009. The components of interest credited to account balances are summarized as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Index credits on index policies	\$448,248	\$454,660	\$94,601
Interest credited (including changes in minimum guaranteed interest for fixed index annuities)	302,691	265,539	249,015
Living income benefit rider	24,818	13,019	4,267
	\$775,757	\$733,218	\$347,883

The changes in index credits were attributable to changes in the appreciation of the underlying indices (see discussion above under Change in fair value of derivatives) and the amount of funds allocated by policyholders to the respective index options. Total proceeds received upon expiration of the call options purchased to fund the annual index credits were \$454.2 million, \$438.4 million and \$82.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increases in interest credited for 2011 and 2010 were due to an increase in the average amount of annuity liabilities outstanding receiving a fixed rate of interest. The average amount of annuity liabilities outstanding

(net of annuity liabilities ceded under coinsurance agreements) increased 24% to \$22.4 billion in 2011 and 18% to \$18.1 billion in 2010 from \$15.4 billion in 2009.

## Table of Contents

Amortization of deferred sales inducements increased 20% to \$71.8 million in 2011 and 50% to \$59.9 million in 2010 from \$40.0 million in 2009. In general, amortization of deferred sales inducements has been increasing each year due to growth in our annuity business and the deferral of sales inducements incurred with respect to sales of premium bonus annuity products. Bonus products represented 95%, 95% and 94% of our total annuity deposits during 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The anticipated increase in amortization from these factors has been affected by amortization associated with fair value accounting for derivatives and embedded derivatives utilized in our fixed index annuity business, amortization associated with the net realized gains (losses) on investments and net OTTI losses recognized in operations and, in 2010, amortization associated with the litigation settlement. See Net Income above for discussion of the impact of unlocking on amortization of deferred sales inducements for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Fair value accounting for derivatives and embedded derivatives utilized in our fixed index annuity business creates differences in the recognition of revenues and expenses from derivative instruments including the embedded derivative liabilities in our fixed index annuity contracts. The change in fair value of the embedded derivatives will not correspond to the change in fair value of the derivatives (purchased call options) because the purchased call options are one-year options while the options valued in the fair value of embedded derivatives cover the expected lives of the contracts which typically exceed ten years. The gross profit adjustments resulting from fair value accounting for derivatives and embedded derivatives utilized in our fixed index annuity business decreased amortization by \$35.5 million, \$39.2 million and \$29.2 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The gross profit adjustments from net realized gains (losses) on investments and net OTTI losses recognized in operations increased (decreased) amortization by \$(9.7) million, \$0.5 million and \$(6.8) million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The gross profit adjustments from the litigation settlement decreased amortization in 2010 by \$1.3 million. Excluding the amortization amounts and unlocking effects attributable to fair value accounting for derivatives and embedded derivatives, realized gains (losses) on investments, net OTTI losses recognized in operations and the litigation settlement, amortization would have been \$116.9 million (includes a reduction of \$7.3 million due to unlocking), \$99.9 million and \$76.0 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. See Critical Accounting Policies - Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Deferred Sales Inducements.

Change in fair value of embedded derivatives was a decrease of \$105.2 million during 2011, an increase of \$131.0 million in 2010 and an increase of \$529.5 million in 2009. The 2011 and 2010 changes include a decrease of \$21.0 million and an increase of \$29.6 million, respectively, in the fair value of the 2015 notes embedded conversion derivative. As discussed previously, these amounts were offset by a comparable decrease or increase in the fair value of the 2015 notes hedges. The remainder of the 2011 decrease and the 2010 and 2009 increases relate to the fixed index annuity embedded derivatives and resulted from (i) changes in the expected index credits on the next policy anniversary dates, which are related to the change in fair value of the call options acquired to fund these index credits discussed above in change in fair value of derivatives; (ii) changes in discount rates used in estimating our liability for policy growth; and (iii) the growth in the host component of the policy liability. See Critical Accounting Policies - Policy Liabilities for Fixed Index Annuities. The primary reason for the decrease in the change in fair value of embedded derivatives in 2011 was a decrease in the expected index credits on the next policy anniversary dates which is correlated with the change in fair value of call options acquired to fund those index credits, offset by decreases in the discount rates used in estimating the liability for policy growth. The primary reason for the increase in the change in fair value of fixed index annuity embedded derivatives in 2010 was decreases in the discount rates used in estimating our liability for policy growth offset in part by decreases in the expected index credits which correlated with the decrease in the change in fair value of derivatives for 2010 discussed above. The primary reasons for the significant increase in the change in fair value of fixed index annuity embedded derivatives in 2009 were decreases in the discount rates used in estimating our liability for policy growth and increases in the expected index credits which correlated with the increase in the change in fair value of derivatives for 2009 discussed above.

Interest expense on notes payable increased 43% to \$31.6 million in 2011 and 49% to \$22.1 million in 2010 from \$14.9 million in 2009. The 2011 increase was primarily due the September 2010 issuance of \$200 million principal amount of 3.50% convertible senior notes. The 2010 increase was primarily due to the December 2009 issuance of an additional \$52.2 million of 5.25% convertible senior notes and a higher effective rate of interest on \$63.6 million



principal amount of 5.25% convertible senior notes that were issued in December 2009 in exchange for the same principal amount of another issue of 5.25% convertible senior notes. The 2010 increase was also due to additional interest associated with the September 2010 issuance noted above. The 2010 increase in interest expense from the convertible notes was partially offset by a decrease in interest expense on borrowings under our revolving line of credit with banks. The weighted average interest rates were 1.10% and 1.49% and the average borrowings outstanding were \$108.5 million and \$113.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We had no borrowings on the revolving line of credit during the year ended December 31, 2011. See note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Interest expense on subordinated debentures decreased 6% to \$14.0 million in 2011 and 6% to \$14.9 million in 2010 from \$15.8 million in 2009. These decreases were primarily due to decreases in the weighted average interest rates on the outstanding subordinated debentures which were 5.11%, 5.47% and 5.82% for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The weighted average interest rates have decreased because \$170 million principal amount of the subordinated debentures have a floating rate of interest based upon the three month London Interbank Offered Rate plus an applicable margin. See Financial Condition—Liabilities.

Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs increased 5% to \$143.5 million in 2011 and 55% to \$136.4 million in 2010 from \$88.0 million in 2009. In general, amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs has been increasing each year due to the growth in our annuity business and the deferral of policy acquisition costs incurred with respect to sales of annuity products. The anticipated increase in amortization from these factors has been affected by amortization associated with fair value accounting for derivatives and embedded derivatives utilized in our fixed index annuity business, amortization associated with net realized gains (losses) on investments and net OTTI losses recognized in operations and, in 2010, the amortization associated with the litigation settlement. See Net Income above for discussion of the impact of unlocking on amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## Table of Contents

As discussed above, fair value accounting for derivatives and embedded derivatives utilized in our fixed index annuity business creates differences in the recognition of revenues and expenses from derivative instruments including the embedded derivative liabilities in our fixed index annuity contracts. The gross profit adjustments resulting from fair value accounting for derivatives and embedded derivatives utilized in our fixed index annuity business decreased amortization by \$45.4 million, \$48.3 million and \$60.6 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The gross profit adjustments from net realized gains on investments and net OTTI losses recognized in operations decreased amortization by \$14.5 million, \$0.0 million and \$12.2 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The gross profit adjustments from the litigation settlement decreased amortization in 2010 by \$4.4 million. Excluding the amortization amounts and unlocking effects attributable to fair value accounting for derivatives and embedded derivatives, realized gains on investments and net OTTI losses recognized in operations, and the litigation settlement, amortization would have been \$203.3 million (includes a reduction of \$12.1 million due to unlocking), \$189.1 million and \$160.9 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. See Critical Accounting Policies - Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Deferred Sales Inducements.

Other operating costs and expenses decreased 41% to \$67.5 million in 2011 and increased 100% to \$114.6 million in 2010 from \$57.3 million in 2009. The decrease in operating expenses in 2011 and the increase in 2010 were principally attributable to a litigation settlement accrual of \$48 million which was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2010 related to the settlement of a class action lawsuit.

Other operating costs and expenses net of litigation settlements are primarily affected by increases in salaries and benefits, marketing expenses and general operating expenses due to the growth of our business as well as the fluctuation in legal expense for the cost of defense of on-going litigation. Other operating costs and expenses excluding the litigation settlement increased 1% to \$67.5 million in 2011 and increased 16% to \$66.6 million in 2010 from \$57.3 million in 2009.

Legal expenses decreased \$4.8 million in 2011 compared to 2010 and increased \$2.2 million in 2010 compared to 2009. An elevated level of legal expense was incurred in 2010 and 2009 related to the defense of the class action lawsuit which had moved into the trial phase during 2010 and ended with the settlement agreement referred to above. Salaries and benefits increased \$2.5 million in 2011 compared to 2010 and \$6.8 million in 2010 compared to 2009. The increase in salaries and benefits for 2011 and 2010 is due to an increased number of employees due to growth in our business. During 2010, we increased the level of incentive bonuses for employees including the implementation of a short-term incentive plan for senior management.

Income tax expense increased 109% to \$46.7 million in 2011 and 27% to \$22.3 million in 2010 from \$17.6 million in 2009. The increase in 2011 was primarily related to the increase in income before income taxes. The increase in 2010 was primarily due to the reduction of income tax expense in 2009 attributable to an \$11.9 million decrease in the valuation allowance established in 2008 for deferred income tax assets for other than temporary impairments of investment securities and capital loss carryforwards. Excluding the effect of this item, income tax expense in 2010 would have decreased from 2009 primarily due to a decrease in income before income taxes. The effective income tax rates were 35.1%, 34.2% and 20.5% for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Income tax expense and the resulting effective tax rate are based upon two components of income before income taxes ("pretax income") that are taxed at different tax rates. Life insurance income is generally taxed at an effective rate of approximately 35.6% reflecting the absence of state income taxes for substantially all of the states that the life insurance subsidiaries do business in. The income (loss) for the parent company and other non-life insurance subsidiaries is generally taxed at an effective tax rate of 41.5% reflecting the combined federal / state income tax rates. The effective tax rates resulting from the combination of the income tax provisions for the life / non-life sources of income (loss) vary from year to year based primarily on the relative size of pretax income (loss) from the two sources. The effective tax rate for 2009 was substantially less than the applicable statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the decrease in the valuation allowance as discussed above. Excluding this item, the effective tax rate for 2009 would have been 34.3%

Table of Contents

## Financial Condition

## Investments

Our investment strategy is to maintain a predominantly investment grade fixed income portfolio, provide adequate liquidity to meet our cash obligations to policyholders and others and maximize current income and total investment return through active investment management. Consistent with this strategy, our investments principally consist of fixed maturity securities and mortgage loans on real estate.

Insurance statutes regulate the type of investments that our life subsidiaries are permitted to make and limit the amount of funds that may be used for any one type of investment. In light of these statutes and regulations and our business and investment strategy, we generally seek to invest in United States government and government-sponsored agency securities, corporate securities and United States municipalities, states and territories rated investment grade by established nationally recognized statistical rating organizations ("NRSRO's") or in securities of comparable investment quality, if not rated and commercial mortgage loans on real estate.

The composition of our investment portfolio is summarized as follows:

	December 31, 2011		2010		
	Carrying Amount	Percent	Carrying Amount	Percent	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Fixed maturity securities:					
United States Government full faith and credit	\$4,678	—	% \$4,388	—	%
United States Government sponsored agencies	4,338,895	17.8	% 3,750,065	18.9	%
United States municipalities, states and territories	3,333,383	13.7	% 2,341,058	11.9	%
Foreign Government obligations	72,386	0.3	% 41,092	0.3	%
Corporate securities	10,192,293	41.8	% 7,433,176	37.5	%
Residential mortgage backed securities	2,703,290	11.1	% 2,878,557	14.5	%
Other asset backed securities	463,390	1.9	% 204,527	1.0	%
Total fixed maturity securities	21,108,315	86.6	% 16,652,863	84.1	%
Equity securities	62,845	0.2	% 65,961	0.3	%
Mortgage loans on real estate	2,823,047	11.6	% 2,598,641	13.1	%
Derivative instruments	273,314	1.1	% 479,786	2.4	%
Other investments	115,930	0.5	% 19,680	0.1	%
	\$24,383,451	100.0	% \$19,816,931	100.0	%

During 2011 and 2010, we received \$3.2 billion and \$5.2 billion, respectively, in net redemption proceeds related to calls of our callable United States Government sponsored agency securities, of which \$0.2 billion and \$1.6 billion, respectively, were classified as held for investment. We reinvested the proceeds from these redemptions primarily in United States Government sponsored agencies, corporate securities and United States municipalities, states, and territories classified as available for sale. At December 31, 2011, 38% of our fixed income securities have call features and 1% (\$0.1 billion) were subject to call redemption. Another 22% (\$4.3 billion) will become subject to call redemption during 2012.

## Fixed Maturity Securities

Our fixed maturity security portfolio is managed to minimize risks such as interest rate changes and defaults or impairments while earning a sufficient and stable return on our investments. Historically, we have had a high percentage of our fixed maturity securities in U.S. Government sponsored agency securities (for the most part Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and Federal National Mortgage Association). While U.S. Government sponsored agency securities are of high credit quality, the call features have resulted in our excess cash position in 2011 and 2010. These calls resulted from the low interest rate and tight agency spread environment experienced in 2011 and 2010. Since 2007, when we had almost 80% of our fixed maturity portfolio invested in callable agencies, we have reallocated a significant portion of our fixed maturities from the callable agency securities to other highly rated, long-term securities. The largest portion of our fixed maturity securities are now in investment grade (NAIC

designation 1 or 2) publicly traded or privately placed corporate securities. We have also built a portfolio of residential mortgage backed securities ("RMBS") that provide our investment portfolio a source of regular cash flow and higher yielding assets than our agency securities. Additionally, in 2009 we began building a portfolio of taxable bonds issued by municipalities, states and territories of the United States that provide us with attractive yields while consistent with our aversion to credit risk.

Table of Contents

A summary of our fixed maturity securities by NRSRO ratings is as follows:

Rating Agency Rating	December 31, 2011		2010		
	Carrying Amount	Percent	Carrying Amount	Percent	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Aaa/Aa/A	\$ 14,777,524	70.0	% \$ 11,599,255	69.6	%
Baa	4,945,809	23.4	% 3,725,920	22.4	%
Total investment grade	19,723,333	93.4	% 15,325,175	92.0	%
Ba	257,585	1.2	% 294,200	1.8	%
B	169,112	0.8	% 69,033	0.4	%
Caa and lower	858,694	4.1	% 959,437	5.8	%
In or near default	99,591	0.5	% 5,018	—	%
Total below investment grade	1,384,982	6.6	% 1,327,688	8.0	%
	\$21,108,315	100.0	% \$ 16,652,863	100.0	%

The NAIC's Securities Valuation Office ("SVO") is responsible for the day-to-day credit quality assessment and valuation of securities owned by state regulated insurance companies. Insurance companies report ownership of securities to the SVO when such securities are eligible for regulatory filings. The SVO conducts credit analysis on these securities for the purpose of assigning an NAIC designation and/or unit price. Typically, if a security has been rated by an NRSRO, the SVO utilizes that rating and assigns an NAIC designation based upon the following system:

NAIC Designation	NRSRO Equivalent Rating
1	Aaa/Aa/A
2	Baa
3	Ba
4	B
5	Caa and lower
6	In or near default

In November 2011, the NAIC membership approved continuation of a process developed in 2009 to assess non-agency RMBS for the 2011 filing year that does not rely on NRSRO ratings. The NAIC retained the services of PIMCO Advisory to model each non-agency RMBS owned by U.S. insurers at year-end 2011 and 2010. PIMCO Advisory has provided 5 prices for each security for life insurance companies to utilize in determining the NAIC designation for each RMBS based on each insurer's statutory book value price. This process is used to determine the level of RBC requirements for non-agency RMBS.

A summary of our fixed maturity securities by NAIC designation is as follows:

NAIC Designation	December 31, 2011				December 31, 2010			
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Percentage of Total Carrying Amount	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Percentage of Total Carrying Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)				(Dollars in thousands)			
1	\$14,359,272	\$15,486,571	\$15,469,765	73.3 %	\$12,152,552	\$12,246,954	\$12,262,263	73.6 %
2	4,894,739	5,272,759	5,272,759	25.0 %	3,892,680	4,012,076	4,012,076	24.1 %
3	335,642	315,406	331,996	1.6 %	368,680	323,113	348,256	2.1 %
4	26,674	23,989	23,989	0.1 %	19,820	19,178	19,178	0.1 %
5	4,932	5,756	5,756	— %	6,089	6,262	6,262	0.1 %
6	3,226	4,050	4,050	— %	4,273	4,828	4,828	— %
	\$19,624,485	\$21,108,531	\$21,108,315	100.0 %	\$16,444,094	\$16,612,411	\$16,652,863	100.0 %



Table of Contents

A summary of our RMBS by collateral type and split by NAIC designation, as well as a separate summary of securities for which we have recognized OTTI and those which we have not yet recognized any OTTI is as follows as of December 31, 2011:

Collateral Type	Principal Amount (Dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
OTTI has not been recognized			
Government agency	\$505,584	\$449,720	\$547,987
Prime	1,177,635	1,122,149	1,171,413
Alt-A	46,456	47,148	46,490
	\$1,729,675	\$1,619,017	\$1,765,890
OTTI has been recognized			
Prime	\$693,276	\$616,653	\$590,477
Alt-A	471,586	382,370	346,923
	\$1,164,862	\$999,023	\$937,400
Total by collateral type			
Government agency	\$505,584	\$449,720	\$547,987
Prime	1,870,911	1,738,802	1,761,890
Alt-A	518,042	429,518	393,413
	\$2,894,537	\$2,618,040	\$2,703,290
Total by NAIC designation			
1	\$2,335,290	\$2,133,582	\$2,245,314
2	422,929	366,717	340,837
3	132,002	114,728	115,041
6	4,316	3,013	2,098
	\$2,894,537	\$2,618,040	\$2,703,290

The amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2011, by contractual maturity are presented in Note 3 to our audited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K, which is incorporated by reference in this Item 7.

Table of Contents

## Unrealized Losses

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity securities and equity securities that were in an unrealized loss position were as follows:

	Number of Securities (Dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2011				
Fixed maturity securities, available for sale:				
United States municipalities, states and territories	1	\$3,545	\$(10	) \$3,535
Corporate securities:				
Finance, insurance and real estate	52	562,449	(52,186	) 510,263
Manufacturing, construction and mining	32	240,637	(9,000	) 231,637
Utilities and related sectors	28	226,551	(14,522	) 212,029
Wholesale/retail trade	4	26,180	(1,382	) 24,798
Services, media and other	9	47,937	(3,144	) 44,793
Residential mortgage backed securities	95	1,077,045	(72,081	) 1,004,964
Other asset backed securities	17	136,703	(5,611	) 131,092
	238	\$2,321,047	\$(157,936	) \$2,163,111
Fixed maturity securities, held for investment:				
Corporate security:				
Finance, insurance and real estate	1	75,932	(16,590	) 59,342
Equity securities, available for sale:				
Finance, insurance and real estate	7	\$28,123	\$(4,345	) \$23,778
December 31, 2010				
Fixed maturity securities, available for sale:				
United States Government full faith and credit	2	\$566	\$(18	) \$548
United States Government sponsored agencies	1	111,747	(1,646	) 110,101
United States municipalities, states and territories	289	1,571,263	(53,384	) 1,517,879
Corporate securities:				
Finance, insurance and real estate	72	725,710	(40,604	) 685,106
Manufacturing, construction and mining	110	1,094,516	(35,572	) 1,058,944
Utilities and related sectors	144	980,815	(39,182	) 941,633
Wholesale/retail trade	25	169,125	(6,251	) 162,874
Services, media and other	17	192,151	(10,294	) 181,857
Residential mortgage backed securities	98	1,470,836	(108,421	) 1,362,415
Other asset backed securities	10	87,948	(4,937	) 83,011
	768	\$6,404,677	\$(300,309	) \$6,104,368
Fixed maturity securities, held for investment:				
United States Government sponsored agencies	3	\$746,414	\$(15,309	) \$731,105
Corporate security:				
Finance, insurance and real estate	1	75,786	(25,143	) 50,643
	4	\$822,200	\$(40,452	) \$781,748
Equity securities, available for sale:				
Finance, insurance and real estate	8	\$32,782	\$(1,946	) 30,836

Unrealized losses decreased \$163.8 million from \$342.7 million at December 31, 2010 to \$178.9 million at December 31, 2011. We decreased unrealized losses by recognizing \$33.2 million of credit OTTI losses on residential mortgage backed securities for the year ended December 31, 2011. The remaining decrease in unrealized losses was due to changes in market interest rates on United States Government sponsored agencies and United States



municipalities, states and territories and a narrowing of credit spreads in certain sectors of the corporate bond market during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the composition by credit quality (NAIC designation) of fixed maturity securities with gross unrealized losses:

	Carrying Value of Securities with Gross Unrealized Losses (Dollars in thousands)	Percent of Total	Gross Unrealized Losses	Percent of Total	
December 31, 2011					
1	\$1,229,962	54.9	% \$(88,632	) 50.8	%
2	825,771	36.9	% (56,551	) 32.4	%
3	165,902	7.4	% (25,402	) 14.6	%
4	15,310	0.7	% (3,026	) 1.7	%
5	—	—	% —	—	%
6	2,098	0.1	% (915	) 0.5	%
	\$2,239,043	100.0	% \$(174,526	) 100.0	%
December 31, 2010					
1	\$5,017,596	72.4	% \$(186,066	) 54.6	%
2	1,619,437	23.4	% (102,931	) 30.2	%
3	269,555	3.9	% (49,764	) 14.6	%
4	17,278	0.2	% (642	) 0.2	%
5	—	—	% —	—	%
6	2,702	0.1	% (1,358	) 0.4	%
	\$6,926,568	100.0	% \$(340,761	) 100.0	%

Our investments' gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities (consisting of 246 and 780 securities, respectively) have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2011 and 2010, along with a description of the factors causing the unrealized losses is presented in Note 3 to our audited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K, which is incorporated by reference in this Item 7.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity securities and equity securities in an unrealized loss position and the number of months in a continuous unrealized loss position (fixed maturity securities that carry an NRSRO rating of BBB/Baa or higher are considered investment grade) were as follows:

	Number of Securities	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
	(Dollars in thousands)			
December 31, 2011				
Fixed maturity securities:				
Investment grade:				
Less than six months	105	\$888,771	\$845,654	\$(43,117 )
Six months or more and less than twelve months	16	133,766	121,320	(12,446 )
Twelve months or greater	32	333,116	304,408	(28,708 )
Total investment grade	153	1,355,653	1,271,382	(84,271 )
Below investment grade:				
Less than six months	15	193,472	180,373	(13,099 )
Six months or more and less than twelve months	8	56,065	50,215	(5,850 )
Twelve months or greater	63	791,789	720,483	(71,306 )
Total below investment grade	86	1,041,326	951,071	(90,255 )
Equity securities:				
Less than six months	4	17,123	15,004	(2,119 )
Six months or more and less than twelve months	2	6,000	5,024	(976 )
Twelve months or greater	1	5,000	3,750	(1,250 )
Total equity securities	7	28,123	23,778	(4,345 )
	246	\$2,425,102	\$2,246,231	\$(178,871 )
December 31, 2010				
Fixed maturity securities				
Investment grade:				
Less than six months	656	\$5,805,583	\$5,611,000	\$(194,583 )
Six months or more and less than twelve months	1	7,874	7,848	(26 )
Twelve months or greater	34	313,127	292,173	(20,954 )
Total investment grade	691	6,126,584	5,911,021	(215,563 )
Below investment grade:				
Less than six months	5	65,359	61,296	(4,063 )
Six months or more and less than twelve months	1	9,562	9,522	(40 )
Twelve months or greater	75	1,025,372	904,277	(121,095 )
Total below investment grade	81	1,100,293	975,095	(125,198 )
Equity securities:				
Less than six months	1	3,000	2,995	(5 )
Six months or more and less than twelve months	2	12,782	11,588	(1,194 )
Twelve months or greater	5	17,000	16,253	(747 )
Total equity securities	8	32,782	30,836	(1,946 )
	780	\$7,259,659	\$6,916,952	\$(342,707 )

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity securities (excluding United States Government and United States Government sponsored agency securities) segregated by investment grade (NRSRO rating of BBB/Baa or higher) and below investment grade and equity securities that had unrealized losses greater than 20% and the number of months in a continuous unrealized loss position were as follows:

	Number of Securities	Amortized Cost	Carrying Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
	(Dollars in thousands)			
December 31, 2011				
Investment grade:				
Less than six months	9	\$83,332	\$56,501	\$(26,831 )
Six months or more and less than twelve months	—	—	—	—
Twelve months or greater	—	—	—	—
Total investment grade	9	83,332	56,501	(26,831 )
Below investment grade:				
Less than six months	4	38,506	29,076	(9,430 )
Six months or more and less than twelve months	1	7,464	5,250	(2,214 )
Twelve months or greater	3	78,945	61,440	(17,505 )
Total below investment grade	8	124,915	95,766	(29,149 )
	17	\$208,247	\$152,267	\$(55,980 )
December 31, 2010				
Investment grade:				
Less than six months	—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Six months or more and less than twelve months	—	—	—	—
Twelve months or greater	—	—	—	—
Total investment grade	—	—	—	—
Below investment grade:				
Less than six months	2	24,645	19,648	(4,997 )
Six months or more and less than twelve months	—	—	—	—
Twelve months or greater	7	104,129	71,368	(32,761 )
Total below investment grade	9	128,774	91,016	(37,758 )
	9	\$128,774	\$91,016	\$(37,758 )

Table of Contents

The amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2011 and 2010, by contractual maturity, that were in an unrealized loss position are shown below. Actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. All of our residential mortgage backed securities provide for periodic payments throughout their lives, and are shown below as a separate line.

	Available for sale		Held for investment	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(Dollars in thousands)			
December 31, 2011				
Due in one year or less	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Due after one year through five years	18,066	16,725	—	—
Due after five years through ten years	374,616	356,620	—	—
Due after ten years through twenty years	359,672	319,977	—	—
Due after twenty years	354,945	333,733	75,932	59,342
	1,107,299	1,027,055	75,932	59,342
Residential mortgage backed securities	1,077,045	1,004,964	—	—
Other asset backed securities	136,703	131,092	—	—
	\$2,321,047	\$2,163,111	\$75,932	\$59,342
December 31, 2010				
Due in one year or less	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Due after one year through five years	30,367	29,858	—	—
Due after five years through ten years	245,978	238,108	—	—
Due after ten years through twenty years	1,249,052	1,201,090	—	—
Due after twenty years	3,320,496	3,189,886	822,200	781,748
	4,845,893	4,658,942	822,200	781,748
Residential mortgage backed securities	1,470,836	1,362,415	—	—
Other asset backed securities	87,948	83,011	—	—
	\$6,404,677	\$6,104,368	\$822,200	\$781,748

## International Exposure

We hold fixed maturity securities with international exposure. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, 9% of the carrying value of our fixed maturity securities was comprised of corporate debt securities of issuers based outside of the United States and debt securities of foreign governments. All of these securities are denominated in U.S. dollars and all are investment grade (NAIC designation of either 1 or 2). Our investment professionals analyze each holding for credit risk by economic and other factors of each country and industry. The following table presents our international exposure in our fixed maturity portfolio by country or region:

	December 31, 2011		
	Amortized Cost	Carrying Amount/Fair Value	Percent of Total Carrying Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)		
PIIGS (1)	\$156,771	\$140,148	0.7%
Asia/Pacific	57,649	64,159	0.3%
Non-PIIGS Europe	841,972	874,665	4.1%
Latin America	78,104	84,172	0.4%
Non-U.S. North America	397,447	444,671	2.1%
Australia & New Zealand	199,129	207,363	1.0%
Other	82,169	94,911	0.5%

\$1,813,241      \$1,910,089      9.1%

(1) Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Greece and Spain continue to cause credit risk as economic conditions in these countries continue to be volatile, especially within the financial and banking sectors. None of our foreign government obligations were held in any of these countries.

Table of Contents

## Watch List

At each balance sheet date, we identify invested assets which have characteristics (i.e. significant unrealized losses compared to amortized cost and industry trends) creating uncertainty as to our future assessment of an other than temporary impairment. As part of this assessment we review not only a change in current price relative to its amortized cost but the issuer's current credit rating and the probability of full recovery of principal based upon the issuer's financial strength. Specifically for corporate issues we evaluate the financial stability and quality of asset coverage for the securities relative to the term to maturity for the issues we own. A security which has a 25% or greater change in market price relative to its amortized cost and a possibility of a loss of principal will be included on a list which is referred to as our watch list. We exclude from this list securities with unrealized losses which are related to market movements in interest rates and which have no factors indicating that such unrealized losses may be other than temporary as we do not intend to sell these securities and it is more likely than not we will not have to sell these securities before a recovery is realized. In addition, we exclude our RMBS as we monitor all of our RMBS on a quarterly basis for changes in default rates, loss severities and expected cash flows for the purpose of assessing potential other than temporary impairments and related credit losses to be recognized in operations. At December 31, 2011, the amortized cost and fair value of securities on the watch list are as follows:

General Description	Number of Securities	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Months in Continuous Unrealized Loss Position	Months Unrealized Losses Greater Than 20%
(Dollars in thousands)						
Investment grade						
Corporate fixed maturity securities:						
Finance	4	64,455	(22,202)	) 42,253	4-13	1-5
Industrial	3	18,286	(4,151)	) 14,135	5-16	0-2
Below investment grade						
Corporate fixed maturity securities:						
Finance	1	3,899	—	3,899	—	—
	8	86,640	(26,353)	) 60,287		

Our analysis of these securities that we have determined are temporarily impaired and their credit performance at December 31, 2011 is as follows:

Finance: The decline in value of these securities is due to the continued wide spreads as a result of the ongoing concerns relating to capital, asset quality and earnings stability due to the financial events of the past three years and the ongoing events in the Eurozone, specifically the sovereign debt crisis. While these issuers have had their financial position and profitability weakened by the credit and liquidity crisis, we have determined that these securities were not other than temporarily impaired due to our evaluation of the operating performance and the credit worthiness of each individual issuer.

Industrial: The decline in value of these securities relates to operational issues and alleged fraudulent activities resulting in a change in leadership. These issues have caused the price for these securities to decline; however, the company has strong liquidity and ample time to strengthen its credit profile. We have determined that these securities were not other than temporarily impaired due to the issuer's very strong market position and a consistent history of strong operating performance, improving economic conditions and rising security prices.

We do not intend to sell these securities and it is more likely than not we will not have to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost and, as such, there were no other than temporary impairments on these securities at December 31, 2011.

## Other Than Temporary Impairments

We have a policy and process in place to identify securities in our investment portfolio for which we should recognize impairments. See Critical Accounting Policies—Evaluation of Other Than Temporary Impairments. We recognized other than temporary impairments and additional credit losses on a number of securities for which we have previously recognized OTTI. A summary of OTTI is presented in Note 3 to our audited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K, which is incorporated by reference in this Item 7.

Several factors led us to believe that full recovery of amortized cost will not be expected. A discussion of these factors and our policy and process in place to identify securities that could potentially have impairment that is other than temporary is in Note 3 to our audited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K, which is incorporated by reference in this Item 7.



Table of Contents**Mortgage Loans on Real Estate**

Our commercial mortgage loan portfolio consists of mortgage loans collateralized by the related properties and diversified as to property type, location and loan size. Our mortgage lending policies establish limits on the amount that can be loaned to one borrower and other criteria to attempt to reduce the risk of default. Our commercial mortgage loans on real estate are reported at cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accrual of discounts net of allowances for loan loss. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the largest principal amount outstanding for any single mortgage loan was \$10.2 million and \$10.7 million, respectively, and the average loan size was \$2.4 million for both 2011 and 2010. We have the contractual ability to pursue full personal recourse on 13.6% of the loans and partial personal recourse on 31.7% of the loans, and master leases provide us recourse against the principals of the borrowing entity on 4.7% of the loans. In addition, the average loan to value ratio for the overall portfolio was 54.5% and 54.7% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, based upon the underwriting and appraisal at the time the loan was made. This loan to value is indicative of our conservative underwriting policies and practices for making commercial mortgage loans and may not be indicative of collateral values at the current reporting date. Our current practice is to only obtain market value appraisals of the underlying collateral at the inception of the loan unless we identify indicators of impairment in our ongoing analysis of the portfolio, in which case, we may obtain a current appraisal of the underlying collateral. The commercial mortgage loan portfolio is summarized by geographic region and property type in Note 4 of our audited consolidated financial statements of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated by reference in this Item 7.

In the normal course of business, we commit to fund commercial mortgage loans up to 90 days in advance. At December 31, 2011, we had commitments to fund commercial mortgage loans totaling \$18.5 million, with fixed interest rates ranging from 5.35% to 6.00%.

See Note 4 to our audited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K for a presentation of our specific and general loan loss allowances, impaired loans, foreclosure activity and troubled debt restructure analysis.

We recorded impairment losses of \$24.5 million on 32 mortgage loans with outstanding principal due totaling \$99.4 million, impairment losses of \$12.2 million on 9 loans with outstanding principal due totaling \$31.0 million and impairment losses of \$6.5 million on 5 loans with outstanding principal due totaling \$18.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

At December 31, 2011, we have thirteen mortgage loans that are in the process of being satisfied by taking ownership of the real estate serving as collateral on the loan. These thirteen loans have a total outstanding principal balance of \$38.9 million for which we have recorded specific loan loss allowances totaling \$11.5 million (\$7.4 million in 2011 and \$4.1 million in 2010). We also have 24 commercial mortgage loans at December 31, 2011 with a total outstanding principal balance of \$67.4 million that have been given "workout" terms which generally allow for interest only payments. We recorded specific loan loss allowances on four of the "workout" loans (aggregate principal balance of \$11.4 million) of \$3.7 million (\$3.4 million in 2011 and \$0.3 million in 2010). At December 31, 2011, we have two commercial mortgage loans with a total outstanding principal balance of \$6.6 million that were delinquent (60 days or more at the reporting date) in their principal and interest payments and we recorded a specific loan loss allowance on one of these loans (principal balance of \$3.9 million) of \$1.5 million in 2011. The total outstanding principal balance of these 43 loans is \$130.7 million, which represents less than 5% of our total mortgage loan portfolio.

Mortgage loans summarized in the following table represent all loans that we are either not currently collecting or those we feel it is probable we will not collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreements (all loans that we have worked with the borrower to alleviate short-term cash flow issues, loans delinquent for 60 days or more at the reporting date, loans we have determined to be collateral dependent and loans that we have recorded specific impairments on that we feel may continue to have performance issues).

	December 31, 2011	2010
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Impaired mortgage loans with allowances	\$67,698	\$31,027
Impaired mortgage loans with no allowance for losses	63,023	81,994
Allowance for probable loan losses	(23,664	) (13,224 )

Net carrying value of impaired mortgage loans	\$ 107,057	\$ 99,797
Derivative Instruments		

Our derivative instruments primarily consist of call options purchased to provide the income needed to fund the annual index credits on our fixed index annuity products. The fair value of the call options is based upon the amount of cash that would be required to settle the call options obtained from the counterparties adjusted for the nonperformance risk of the counterparty. The nonperformance risk for each counterparty is based upon its credit default swap rate. We have no performance obligations related to the call options.

We recognize all derivative instruments as assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. A presentation of our derivative instruments along with a discussion of the business strategy involved with our derivatives is included in Note 5 to our audited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K, which is incorporated by reference in this Item 7.

## Table of Contents

Concurrently with the issuance of our 3.5% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2015 (the "2015 notes"), we entered into hedge transactions (the "2015 notes hedges") with two counterparties whereby we have the option to receive the cash equivalent of the conversion spread on approximately 16.0 million shares of our common stock based upon a strike price of \$12.50 per share, subject to certain conversion rate adjustments in the 2015 notes. These options expire on September 15, 2015 and must be settled in cash. The aggregate cost of the 2015 notes hedges was \$37.0 million. The 2015 notes hedges are accounted for as derivative assets, and are included in Other assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The estimated fair value of the 2015 notes hedges was \$45.6 million and \$66.6 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The conversion option of the 2015 notes (the "2015 notes embedded conversion derivative") is an embedded derivative that requires bifurcation from the 2015 notes and is accounted for as a derivative liability, which is included in Other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The fair value of the 2015 notes embedded conversion derivative at the time of issuance of the 2015 notes was \$37.0 million, and was recorded as the original debt discount for purposes of accounting for the debt component of the 2015 notes. This discount will be recognized as interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the 2015 notes. The estimated fair value of the 2015 notes embedded conversion derivative was \$45.6 million and \$66.6 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

### Liabilities

Our liability for policy benefit reserves increased to \$28.1 billion at December 31, 2011 compared to \$23.7 billion at December 31, 2010, primarily due to additional annuity sales as discussed above. Substantially all of our annuity products have a surrender charge feature designed to reduce the risk of early withdrawal or surrender of the policies and to compensate us for our costs if policies are withdrawn early. Notwithstanding these policy features, the withdrawal rates of policyholder funds may be affected by changes in interest rates and other factors.

See Note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K, which is incorporated by reference in this Item 7 for discussion of our notes payable and borrowings under repurchase agreements.

Our subsidiary trusts have issued fixed rate and floating rate trust preferred securities and the trusts have used the proceeds from these offerings to purchase subordinated debentures from us. We also issued subordinated debentures to the trusts in exchange for all of the common securities of each trust. The sole assets of the trusts are the subordinated debentures and any interest accrued thereon. The terms of the preferred securities issued by each trust parallel the terms of the subordinated debentures. Our obligations under the subordinated debentures and related agreements provide a full and unconditional guarantee of payments due under the trust preferred securities.

Accounting standards for consolidation of variable interest entities, specifically exempts qualifying special purpose entities from consolidation; therefore, we do not consolidate our subsidiary trusts and record our subordinated debt obligations to the trusts and our equity investments in the trusts. See note 10 to our audited consolidated financial statements for additional information concerning our subordinated debentures payable to, and the preferred securities issued by, the subsidiary trusts.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

#### Liquidity for Insurance Operations

Our insurance subsidiaries' primary sources of cash flow are annuity deposits, investment income, and proceeds from the sale, maturity and calls of investments. The primary uses of funds are investment purchases, payments to policyholders in connection with surrenders and withdrawals, policy acquisition costs and other operating expenses. Liquidity requirements are met primarily by funds provided from operations. Our life subsidiaries generally receive adequate cash flow from annuity deposits and investment income to meet their obligations. Annuity and life insurance liabilities are generally long-term in nature. However, a primary liquidity concern is the risk of an extraordinary level of early policyholder withdrawals. We include provisions within our annuity policies, such as surrender charges, that help limit and discourage early withdrawals. At December 31, 2011, approximately 96% of our annuity liabilities were subject to penalty upon surrender, with a weighted average remaining surrender charge period of 10.2 years and a weighted average surrender charge rate of 15.5%.

Our insurance subsidiaries continue to have adequate cash flows from annuity deposits and investment income to meet their policyholder and other obligations. Net cash flows from annuity deposits and funds returned to policyholders as

surrenders, withdrawals and death claims were \$3.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$2.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010 with the increase primarily attributable to a \$573.8 million increase in net annuity deposits after coinsurance and a \$170.3 million (after coinsurance) increase in funds returned to policyholders. We continue to invest the net proceeds from policyholder transactions and investment activities in high quality fixed maturity securities and fixed rate commercial mortgage loans. As reported above under Financial Condition - Investments, during 2011 and 2010 we experienced a significant amount of calls of United States Government sponsored agency securities. As a result we have had elevated levels of short-term investments and cash and cash equivalents during 2011 and 2010. We have been reinvesting the proceeds from the called securities in United States Government sponsored agency securities, investment grade corporate fixed maturity securities and United States municipalities, states and territories securities with yields that meet our investment spread objectives. The accelerated pace of these calls may continue in 2012. At December 31, 2011, 38% of our fixed income securities have call features and 1% (\$0.1 billion) were subject to call redemption. Another 22% (\$4.3 billion) will become subject to call redemption during 2012. If interest rates remain unchanged from December 31, 2011 levels we expect many of the securities callable in 2012 to be called. Our ability to continue to reinvest the proceeds from called securities in assets with acceptable credit quality and yield characteristics similar to the called securities will be dependent on future market conditions.

## Table of Contents

### Liquidity of Parent Company

We, as the parent company, are a legal entity separate and distinct from our subsidiaries, and have no business operations. We need liquidity primarily to service our debt, including the convertible senior notes and subordinated debentures issued to subsidiary trusts, pay operating expenses and pay dividends to stockholders. Our assets consist primarily of the capital stock and surplus notes of our subsidiaries. Accordingly, our future cash flows depend upon the availability of dividends, surplus note interest payments and other statutorily permissible payments from our subsidiaries, such as payments under our investment advisory agreements and tax allocation agreement with our subsidiaries. These sources provide adequate cash flow to us to meet our current and reasonably foreseeable future obligations and we expect they will be adequate to fund our parent company cash flow requirements in 2012.

The ability of our life insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends or distributions, including surplus note payments, will be limited by applicable laws and regulations of the states in which our life insurance subsidiaries are domiciled, which subject our life insurance subsidiaries to significant regulatory restrictions. These laws and regulations require, among other things, our insurance subsidiaries to maintain minimum solvency requirements and limit the amount of dividends these subsidiaries can pay.

Currently, American Equity Life may pay dividends or make other distributions without the prior approval of the Iowa Insurance Commissioner, unless such payments, together with all other such payments within the preceding twelve months, exceed the greater of (1) American Equity Life's net gain from operations for the preceding calendar year, or (2) 10% of American Equity Life's statutory capital and surplus at the preceding December 31. For 2012, up to \$195.5 million can be distributed as dividends by American Equity Life without prior approval of the Iowa Insurance Commissioner. In addition, dividends and surplus note payments may be made only out of statutory earned surplus, and all surplus note payments are subject to prior approval by regulatory authorities in the life subsidiary's state of domicile. American Equity Life had \$671.3 million of statutory earned surplus at December 31, 2011.

The maximum distribution permitted by law or contract is not necessarily indicative of an insurer's actual ability to pay such distributions, which may be constrained by business and regulatory considerations, such as the impact of such distributions on surplus, which could affect the insurer's ratings or competitive position, the amount of premiums that can be written and the ability to pay future dividends or make other distributions. Further, state insurance laws and regulations require that the statutory surplus of our life subsidiaries following any dividend or distribution must be reasonable in relation to their outstanding liabilities and adequate for their financial needs. Along with solvency regulations, the primary driver in determining the amount of capital used for dividends is the level of capital needed to maintain desired financial strength ratings from A.M. Best. Given recent economic events that have affected the insurance industry, both regulators and rating agencies could become more conservative in their methodology and criteria, including increasing capital requirements for our insurance subsidiaries which, in turn, could negatively affect the cash available to us from insurance subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2011, we estimate American Equity Life has sufficient statutory capital and surplus, combined with capital available to the holding company, to meet this rating objective. However, this capital may not be sufficient if significant future losses are incurred or A.M. Best modifies its rating criteria and, given the current market conditions, access to additional capital could be limited.

The transfer of funds by American Equity Life is also restricted by a covenant in our line of credit agreement which requires American Equity Life to maintain a minimum risk-based capital ratio of 275%. American Equity Life's risk-based capital ratio was 346% at December 31, 2011.

Statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted for our life subsidiaries differ in many respects from those governing the preparation of financial statements under GAAP. Accordingly, statutory operating results and statutory capital and surplus may differ substantially from amounts reported in the GAAP basis financial statements for comparable items. Information as to statutory capital and surplus and statutory net income for our life subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is included in note 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

As discussed in Note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements, during 2011, we terminated the \$150 million line of credit and entered into a \$160 million revolving line of credit agreement. The new revolving line of credit terminates on January 28, 2014, and borrowings are available for general corporate purposes of the parent company and its subsidiaries.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, we retired \$46.3 million principal amount of the 2024 notes at par as holders required us to repurchase the notes at the initial put date of December 15, 2011, utilizing cash generated from the issuance of the 2029 notes.

During the third quarter 2010, we issued \$200.0 million principal amount of the 2015 notes. Concurrently with the issuance of the 2015 notes, we entered into hedge transactions (the "2015 notes hedges") with two counterparties whereby we have the option to receive the cash equivalent of approximately 16.0 million shares of our common stock based upon a strike price of \$12.50 per share, subject to certain conversion rate adjustments in the 2015 notes. In separate transactions, we also sold warrants (the "2015 warrants") to two counterparties for the purchase of up to approximately 16.0 million shares of our common stock at a price of \$16.00 per share. The 2015 notes, 2015 notes hedges and 2015 warrants produced net cash proceeds of \$171.9 million. We used \$150.0 million of these proceeds to pay off the amount drawn on our now terminated revolving line of credit.

During 2009, we retired \$37.2 million of the 2024 notes by issuing five millions shares of our common stock to holders of these notes. This transaction increased our equity by \$33.1 million and reduced our liabilities by \$32.7 million. Also during 2009, we issued \$115.8 million of the 2029 notes with \$52.2 million issued for cash and the remaining \$63.6 million issued in exchange for the same amount of our 2024 notes. During 2011, we used \$46.3 million of the proceeds from the 2029 notes to retire the \$46.3 million principal amount of the 2024 notes discussed above. The remaining proceeds from issuing the 2029 notes are available to be used for general corporate purposes.

Table of Contents

We have the ability to issue equity, debt or other types of securities through one or more methods of distribution under a currently effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3. The terms of any offering would be established at the time of the offering, subject to market conditions.

In the normal course of business, we enter into financing transactions, lease agreements, or other commitments. These commitments may obligate us to certain cash flows during future periods. The following table summarizes such obligations as of December 31, 2011.

	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less Than 1 year	1–3 Years	4–5 Years	After 5 Years
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Annuity and single premium universal life products (1)	\$29,203,473	\$1,824,316	\$5,974,793	\$4,161,891	\$17,242,473
Notes payable, including interest payments (2)	394,775	14,564	173,211	207,000	—
Subordinated debentures, including interest payments (3)	653,193	13,726	27,453	27,453	584,561
Operating leases	11,677	1,437	2,566	2,423	5,251
Mortgage loan funding	18,515	18,515	—	—	—
Total	\$30,281,633	\$1,872,558	\$6,178,023	\$4,398,767	\$17,832,285

Amounts shown in this table are projected payments through the year 2031 which we are contractually obligated to pay to our annuity policyholders. The payments are derived from actuarial models which assume a level interest rate scenario and incorporate assumptions regarding mortality and persistency, when applicable. These assumptions are based on our historical experience.

(1) Period that principal amounts are due is determined by the earliest of the call/put date or the maturity date of each note payable.

(2) Amount shown is net of equity investments in the capital trusts due to the contractual right of offset upon repayment of the notes.

#### Inflation

Inflation does not have a significant effect on our consolidated balance sheet. We have minimal investments in property, equipment or inventories. To the extent that interest rates may change to reflect inflation or inflation expectations, there would be an effect on our balance sheet and operations. Lower interest rates and tighter spreads experienced in recent periods have increased the value of our fixed maturity investments. It is likely that rising interest rates and wider spreads would have the opposite effect. It is not possible to calculate the effect such changes in interest rates, if any, have had on our operating results.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

The increasing complexity of the business environment and applicable authoritative accounting guidance require us to closely monitor our accounting policies. We have identified five critical accounting policies that are complex and require significant judgment. The following summary of our critical accounting policies is intended to enhance your ability to assess our financial condition and results of operations and the potential volatility due to changes in estimates.

#### Valuation of Investments

Our fixed maturity securities (bonds and redeemable preferred stocks maturing more than one year after issuance) and equity securities (common and perpetual preferred stocks) classified as available for sale are reported at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses, if any, on these securities are included directly in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes and certain adjustments for assumed changes in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements. Unrealized gains and losses represent the difference between the amortized cost or cost basis and the fair value of these investments. We use significant judgment within the process used to determine fair value of these investments.

GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We categorize our investments into three levels of fair value hierarchy based on the priority of inputs used in determining fair value. The hierarchy defines the highest priority inputs (Level 1) as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. The lowest priority inputs (Level 3) are our own assumptions about what a market participant would use in determining fair value such as estimated future cash flows. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, a financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the financial instrument.



## Table of Contents

We categorize investments recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets as follows:

- Level 1 — Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical financial instruments as of the reporting date. We do not adjust the quoted price for these financial instruments, even in situations where we hold a large position and a sale could reasonably impact the quoted price.
- Level 2 — Quoted prices in active markets for similar financial instruments, quoted prices for identical or similar financial instruments in markets that are not active; and models and other valuation methodologies using inputs other than quoted prices that are observable.
- Level 3 — Models and other valuation methodologies using significant inputs that are unobservable for financial instruments and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the financial instrument. The inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation. Financial instruments that are included in Level 3 are securities for which no market activity or data exists and for which we used discounted expected future cash flows with our own assumptions about what a market participant would use in determining fair value.

The following table presents the fair value of fixed maturity and equity securities, available for sale, by pricing source and hierarchy level as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively:

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (Dollars in thousands)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total	
December 31, 2011					
Priced via third party pricing services	\$108,104	\$18,201,877	\$—	\$18,309,981	
Priced via independent broker quotations	—	194,143	—	194,143	
Priced via matrices	—	—	—	—	
Priced via other methods	—	20,732	2,098	22,830	
	\$108,104	\$18,416,752	\$2,098	\$18,526,954	
% of Total	0.6	% 99.4	% —	% 100.0	%
December 31, 2010					
Priced via third party pricing services	\$122,543	\$13,045,622	\$—	\$13,168,165	
Priced via independent broker quotations	—	2,524,103	—	2,524,103	
Priced via matrices	—	—	—	—	
Priced via other methods	—	201,654	2,702	204,356	
	\$122,543	\$15,771,379	\$2,702	\$15,896,624	
% of Total	0.8	% 99.2	% —	% 100.0	%

Management's assessment of all available data when determining fair value of our investments is necessary to appropriately apply fair value accounting.

We utilize independent pricing services in estimating the fair values of investment securities. The independent pricing services incorporate a variety of observable market data in their valuation techniques, including:

- reported trading prices,
- benchmark yields
- broker-dealer quotes,
- benchmark securities,
- bids and offers,
- credit ratings,
- relative credit information, and
- other reference data.

The independent pricing services also take into account perceived market movements and sector news, as well as a security's terms and conditions, including any features specific to that issue that may influence risk and marketability. Depending on the security, the priority of the use of observable market inputs may change as some observable market inputs may not be relevant or additional inputs may be necessary.

The independent pricing services provide quoted market prices when available. Quoted prices are not always available due to market inactivity. When quoted market prices are not available, the third parties use yield data and other factors relating to instruments or securities with similar characteristics to determine fair value for securities that are not actively traded. We generally obtain one value from our primary external pricing service. In situations where a price is not available from this service, we may obtain further quotes or prices from additional parties as needed. In addition, for our callable United States Government sponsored agencies we obtain two broker quotes and take the average of two broker prices

## Table of Contents

received. Market indices of similar rated asset class spreads are considered for valuations and broker indications of similar securities are compared. Inputs used by the broker include market information, such as yield data and other factors relating to instruments or securities with similar characteristics. Valuations and quotes obtained from third party commercial pricing services are non-binding and do not represent quotes on which one may execute the disposition of the assets.

We validate external valuations at least quarterly through a combination of procedures that include the evaluation of methodologies used by the pricing services, analytical reviews and performance analysis of the prices against trends, and maintenance of a securities watch list. Additionally, as needed we utilize discounted cash flow models or perform independent valuations on a case-by-case basis of inputs and assumptions similar to those used by the pricing services. Although we do identify differences from time to time as a result of these validation procedures, we did not make any significant adjustments as of December 31, 2011 and 2010.

### Evaluation of Other Than Temporary Impairments and Allowance for Loan Loss

The evaluation of investments for other than temporary impairments involves significant judgment and estimates by management. We review and analyze all investments on an ongoing basis for changes in market interest rates and credit deterioration. This review process includes analyzing our ability to recover the amortized cost or cost basis of each investment that has a fair value that is lower than its amortized cost or cost and requires a high degree of management judgment and involves uncertainty. The evaluation of securities for other than temporary impairments is a quantitative and qualitative process, which is subject to risks and uncertainties.

We have a policy and process in place to identify securities that could potentially have an impairment that is other than temporary. This process involves monitoring market events and other items that could impact issuers. The evaluation includes but is not limited to such factors as:

- the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost or cost;
- whether the issuer is current on all payments and all contractual payments have been made as agreed;
- the remaining payment terms and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer;
- the lack of ability to refinance due to liquidity problems in the credit market;
- the fair value of any underlying collateral;
- the existence of any credit protection available;
- our intent to sell and whether it is more likely than not we would be required to sell prior to recovery for debt securities;
- our assessment in the case of equity securities including perpetual preferred stocks with credit deterioration that the security cannot recover to cost in a reasonable period of time;
- our intent and ability to retain equity securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery;
- consideration of rating agency actions; and
- changes in estimated cash flows of residential mortgage and asset backed securities.

We determine whether other than temporary impairment losses should be recognized for debt and equity securities by assessing all facts and circumstances surrounding each security. Where the decline in market value of debt securities is attributable to changes in market interest rates or to factors such as market volatility, liquidity and spread widening, and we anticipate recovery of all contractual or expected cash flows, we do not consider these investments to be other than temporarily impaired because we do not intend to sell these investments and it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell these investments before a recovery of amortized cost, which may be maturity. For equity securities, we recognize an impairment charge in the period in which we do not have the intent and ability to hold the securities until recovery of cost or we determine that the security will not recover to book value within a reasonable period of time. We determine what constitutes a reasonable period of time on a security-by-security basis by considering all the evidence available to us, including the magnitude of any unrealized loss and its duration. In any event, this period does not exceed 18 months from the date of impairment for perpetual preferred securities for which there is evidence of deterioration in credit of the issuer and common equity securities. For perpetual preferred

securities absent evidence of a deterioration in credit of the issuer we apply an impairment model, including an anticipated recovery period, similar to a debt security.

Other than temporary impairment losses on equity securities are recognized in operations. If we intend to sell a debt security or if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, other than temporary impairment has occurred and the difference between amortized cost and fair value will be recognized as a loss in operations.

If we do not intend to sell and it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell the debt security but also do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, an impairment loss would be recognized in operations in the amount of the expected credit loss. We determine the amount of expected credit loss by calculating the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected discounted at each security's acquisition yield based on our consideration of whether the security was of high credit quality at the time of acquisition. The difference between the present value of expected future cash flows and the amortized cost basis of the security is the amount of credit loss recognized in operations. The remaining amount of the other than temporary impairment is recognized in other comprehensive income.

The determination of the credit loss component of a residential mortgage backed security is based on a number of factors. The primary consideration in this evaluation process is the issuer's ability to meet current and future interest and principal payments as contractually stated at time of purchase. Our review of these securities includes an analysis of the cash flow modeling under various default scenarios considering independent third party benchmarks, the seniority of the specific tranche within the structure of the security, the composition of the collateral and the actual default, loss severity and prepayment experience exhibited. With the input of third party assumptions for default projections, loss severity and prepayment expectations, we evaluate the cash flow projections to determine whether the security is performing in accordance with its contractual obligation.

## Table of Contents

We utilize the models from a leading structured product software specialist serving institutional investors. These models incorporate each security's seniority and cash flow structure. In circumstances where the analysis implies a potential for principal loss at some point in the future, we use our "best estimate" cash flow projection discounted at the security's effective yield at acquisition to determine the amount of our potential credit loss associated with this security. The discounted expected future cash flows equates to our expected recovery value. Any shortfall of the expected recovery when compared to the amortized cost of the security will be recorded as the credit loss component of other than temporary impairment.

The cash flow modeling is performed on a security-by-security basis and incorporates actual cash flows on the residential mortgage backed securities through the current period, as well as the projection of remaining cash flows using a number of assumptions including default rates, prepayment rates and loss severity rates. The default curves we use are tailored to the Prime or Alt-A residential mortgage backed securities that we own, which assume lower default rates and loss severity for Prime securities versus Alt-A securities. These default curves are scaled higher or lower depending on factors such as current underlying mortgage loan performance, rating agency loss projections, loan to value ratios, geographic diversity, as well as other appropriate considerations. The default curves generally assume lower loss levels for older vintage securities versus more recent vintage securities, which reflects the decline in underwriting standards over the years.

The determination of the credit loss component of a corporate bond (including redeemable preferred stocks) is based on the underlying financial performance of the issuer and their ability to meet their contractual obligations. Considerations in our evaluation include, but are not limited to, credit rating changes, financial statement and ratio analysis, changes in management, large changes in credit spreads, breaches of financial covenants and a review of the economic outlook for the industry and markets in which they trade. In circumstances where an issuer appears unlikely to meet its future obligation, or the security's price decline is deemed other than temporary, an estimate of credit loss is determined. Credit loss is calculated using default probabilities as derived from the credit default swaps markets in conjunction with recovery rates derived from independent third party analysis or a best estimate of credit loss. This credit loss rate is then incorporated into a present value calculation based on an expected principal loss in the future discounted at the yield at the date of purchase and compared to amortized cost to determine the amount of credit loss associated with the security.

In addition, for debt securities which we do not intend to sell and it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell, but our intent changes due to changes or events that could not have been reasonably anticipated, an other than temporary impairment charge is recognized. Once an impairment charge has been recorded, we then continue to review the other than temporarily impaired securities for appropriate valuation on an ongoing basis. Unrealized losses may be recognized in future periods through a charge to earnings, should we later conclude that the decline in fair value below amortized cost is other than temporary pursuant to our accounting policy described above. The use of different methodologies and assumptions to determine the fair value of investments and the timing and amount of impairments may have a material effect on the amounts presented in our consolidated financial statements.

We evaluate our mortgage loan portfolio for the establishment of a loan loss reserve by specific identification of impaired loans and the measurement of an estimated loss for each individual loan identified. A mortgage loan is impaired when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. If we determine that the value of any specific mortgage loan is impaired, the carrying amount of the mortgage loan will be reduced to its fair value, based upon the present value of expected future cash flows from the loan discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or the fair value of the underlying collateral less estimated costs to sell. In addition, we analyze the mortgage loan portfolio for the need of a general loan allowance for probable losses on all other loans. The amount of the general loan allowance is based upon management's evaluation of the collectability of the loan portfolio, historical loss experience, delinquencies, credit concentrations, underwriting standards and national and local economic conditions.

Since 2008, we have had a population of mortgage loans that we have been carrying with workout terms (e.g. interest only periods, period of suspended payments, etc.) and a population of mortgage loans that have been in a delinquent status (i.e. more than 60 days past due). It is from this population that we have been recognizing some impairment loss due to nonpayment and eventual satisfaction of the loan by taking ownership of the collateral real estate. In most cases

the fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell such collateral has been less than the outstanding principal amount of the mortgage loan.

Our general loan loss allowance as of December 31, 2010 was calculated on the cumulative outstanding principal on loans making up the group of loans currently in workout terms and loans currently more than 60 days past due. We applied a factor to the total outstanding principal of these loans that is calculated as the average specific impairment loss for the most recent 4 quarters divided by the sum of the average of the total outstanding principal of delinquent loans for the most recent 4 quarters and the average of the total outstanding principal of loans in workout for the most recent 4 quarters.

We modified the calculation for determining our general loan loss allowance during the year ended December 31, 2011. The group of loans that we are now analyzing collectively are those that have a debt service coverage ratio (DSCR) of less than 1.0. The DSCR is calculated by dividing the net operating income of the mortgaged property by the contractual principal and interest payment due for the corresponding period. We developed the loss rates to apply to this group of loans by dividing the specific impairment loss for the most recent 4 quarters by the principal outstanding of the loans with a DSCR of less than 1.0.

#### Policy Liabilities for Fixed Index Annuities

We offer a variety of fixed index annuities with crediting strategies linked to the S&P 500 Index and other equity and bond market indices. We purchase call options on the applicable indices as an investment to provide the income needed to fund the annual index credits on the index products. See Financial Condition—Derivative Instruments. Certain derivative instruments embedded in the fixed index annuity contracts are recognized in the consolidated balance sheet at their fair values and changes in fair value are recognized immediately in our consolidated statements of operations in accordance with accounting standards for derivative instruments and hedging activities.

## Table of Contents

Accounting for derivatives prescribes that the contractual obligations for future annual index credits are treated as a "series of embedded derivatives" over the expected life of the applicable contracts. Policy liabilities for fixed index annuities are equal to the sum of the "host" (or guaranteed) component and the embedded derivative component for each fixed index annuity policy. The host value is established at inception of the contract and accreted over the policy's life at a constant rate of interest. We estimate the fair value of the embedded derivative component at each valuation date by (i) projecting policy contract values and minimum guaranteed contract values over the expected lives of the contracts and (ii) discounting the excess of the projected contract value amounts at the applicable risk free interest rates adjusted for our nonperformance risk related to those liabilities. The projections of policy contract values are based on our best estimate assumptions for future policy growth and future policy decrements. Our best estimate assumptions for future policy growth include assumptions for the expected index credits on the next policy anniversary date which are derived from the fair values of the underlying call options purchased to fund such index credits and the expected costs of annual call options we will purchase in the future to fund index credits beyond the next policy anniversary. The projections of minimum guaranteed contract values include the same best estimate assumptions for policy decrements as were used to project policy contract values. The amounts reported in the consolidated statements of operations as "Interest sensitive and index product benefits" represent amounts credited to policy liabilities pursuant to accounting by insurance companies for certain long-duration contracts which include index credits through the most recent policy anniversary. The amounts reported in the consolidated statements of operations as "Changes in fair value of embedded derivatives" equal the change in the difference between policy benefit reserves for fixed index annuities computed under the derivative accounting standard and the long-duration contracts accounting standard at each balance sheet date.

In general, the change in the fair value of the embedded derivatives will not correspond to the change in fair value of the purchased call options because the purchased call options are one year options while the options valued in the embedded derivatives represent the rights of the contract holder to receive index credits over the entire period the fixed index annuities are expected to be in force, which typically exceeds 10 years.

The most sensitive assumption in determining policy liabilities for fixed index annuities is the rates used to discount the excess projected contract values. As indicated above, the discount rate reflects our nonperformance risk. If the discount rates used to discount the excess projected contract values at December 31, 2011 were to increase by 100 basis points, our reserves for fixed index annuities would decrease by \$160.0 million recorded through operations as a decrease in the change in fair value of embedded derivatives and there would be a corresponding decrease of \$96.5 million to our combined balance for deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements recorded through operations as an increase in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements. A decrease by 100 basis points in the discount rate used to discount the excess projected contract values would increase our reserves for fixed index annuities by \$178.1 million recorded through operations as a increase in the change in fair value of embedded derivatives and increase our combined balance for deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements by \$108.2 million recorded through operations as a decrease in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements.

### **Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Deferred Sales Inducements**

Costs relating to the production of new business are not expensed when incurred but instead are capitalized as deferred policy acquisition costs or deferred sales inducements. Only costs which are expected to be recovered from future policy revenues and gross profits may be deferred.

Deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements are subject to loss recognition testing on a quarterly basis or when an event occurs that may warrant loss recognition. Deferred policy acquisition costs consist principally of commissions and certain costs of policy issuance. Deferred sales inducements consist of premium and interest bonuses credited to policyholder account balances. See New Accounting Pronouncements in Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K for discussion of an accounting standards update that modifies the definition of the types of costs that can be capitalized as deferred policy acquisition costs.

For annuity products, these costs are being amortized generally in proportion to expected gross profits from interest margins and, to a lesser extent, from product charges. Current and future period gross profits/margins for fixed index annuities also include the impact of amounts recorded for the change in fair value of derivatives and the change in fair

value of embedded derivatives. Current period amortization is adjusted retrospectively through an unlocking process when estimates of current or future gross profits/margins (including the impact of realized investment gains and losses) to be realized from a group of products are revised. Our estimates of future gross profits/margins are based on actuarial assumptions related to the underlying policies terms, lives of the policies, yield on investments supporting the liabilities and level of expenses necessary to maintain the policies over their entire lives. Revisions are made based on historical results and our best estimates of future experience.

The impact of unlocking during 2011 was a \$5.0 million decrease in amortization of deferred sales inducements and a \$9.1 million decrease in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs. The impact of unlocking in 2011 was primarily due to account balance true ups as of September 30, 2011 and adjustments to future period assumptions for interest margins and surrenders. The impact of unlocking during 2010 was a \$0.3 million increase in the amortization of deferred sales inducements and a \$1.4 million increase in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs. The impact of unlocking during 2010 was primarily due to adjustments made to future period assumptions for interest margins, surrenders, lifetime income benefit rider utilization and reinsurance costs. There were no changes in our estimated future gross profits in 2009 that resulted in unlocking adjustments to the deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements balances.

Estimated future gross profits vary based on a number of sources including investment spread margins, surrender charge income, policy persistency, policy administrative expenses and realized gains and losses on investments including credit related other than temporary impairment losses. Estimated future gross profits are most sensitive to changes in investment spread margins which are the most significant component of gross profits. If estimated gross profits for all future years on business in force at December 31, 2011 were to increase by 10%, our combined balance for deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements at December 31, 2011 would increase by \$74.9 million recorded through operations as a decrease to amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements. Correspondingly, a 10% decrease in estimated gross profits for all future years would result in a \$84.9 million decrease in the combined December 31, 2011 balances recorded through operations as an increase to amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements.



## Table of Contents

### Deferred Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the liability method. This method provides for the tax effects of transactions reported in the consolidated financial statements for both taxes currently due and deferred. Deferred income taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between the amount of assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and such amounts recognized for tax purposes. A temporary difference is a transaction, or amount of a transaction, that is recognized currently for financial reporting purposes but will not be recognized for tax purposes

until a future tax period, or is recognized currently for tax purposes but will not be recognized for financial reporting purposes until a future reporting period. Deferred income taxes are measured by applying enacted tax rates for the years in which the temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled to the amount of each temporary difference.

The realization of deferred income tax assets is primarily based upon management's estimates of future taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when management estimates, based on available information, that it is more likely than not that deferred income tax assets will not be realized. Significant judgment is required in determining whether valuation allowances should be established, as well as the amount of such allowances. When making such determination, consideration is given to, among other things, the following:

- future taxable income of the necessary character exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards;
- future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences;
- taxable income in prior carryback years; and
- tax planning strategies.

Actual realization of deferred income tax assets and liabilities may materially differ from these estimates as a result of changes in tax laws as well as unanticipated future transactions impacting related income tax balances.

The realization of deferred income tax assets related to unrealized losses on our available for sale fixed maturity securities is also based upon our intent to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for a recovery in fair value and not realize the unrealized loss.

### New Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K beginning on page F-13, which is incorporated by reference in this Item 7, for new accounting pronouncement disclosures.

### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We seek to invest our available funds in a manner that will maximize shareholder value and fund future obligations to policyholders and debtors, subject to appropriate risk considerations. We seek to meet this objective through investments that: (i) consist substantially of investment grade fixed maturity securities, (ii) have projected returns which satisfy our spread targets and (iii) have characteristics which support the underlying liabilities. Many of our products incorporate surrender charges, market interest rate adjustments or other features to encourage persistency. We seek to maximize the total return on our available for sale investments through active investment management. Accordingly, we have determined that our available for sale portfolio of fixed maturity securities is available to be sold in response to: (i) changes in market interest rates, (ii) changes in relative values of individual securities and asset sectors, (iii) changes in prepayment risks, (iv) changes in credit quality outlook for certain securities, (v) liquidity needs and (vi) other factors. An OTTI shall be considered to have occurred when we have an intention to sell available for sale securities in an unrealized loss position. If we do not intend to sell a debt security, we consider all available evidence to make an assessment of whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before the recovery of its amortized cost basis. If it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, an OTTI will be considered to have occurred. We have a portfolio of held for investment securities which principally consists of long duration bonds issued by U.S. government agencies. These securities are purchased to secure long-term yields which meet our spread targets and support the underlying liabilities.

Interest rate risk is our primary market risk exposure. Substantial and sustained increases and decreases in market interest rates can affect the profitability of our products, the fair value of our investments and the amount of interest we pay on our floating rate subordinated debentures. Our floating rate trust preferred securities bear interest at the

three month LIBOR plus 3.50% - 4.00%. Our outstanding balance of floating rate trust preferred securities was \$164.5 million at December 31, 2011. The profitability of most of our products depends on the spreads between interest yield on investments and rates credited on insurance liabilities. We have the ability to adjust crediting rates (caps, participation rates or asset fee rates for fixed index annuities) on substantially all of our annuity liabilities at least annually (subject to minimum guaranteed values). In addition, substantially all of our annuity products have surrender and withdrawal penalty provisions designed to encourage persistency and to help ensure targeted spreads are earned. However, competitive factors, including the impact of the level of surrenders and withdrawals, may limit our ability to adjust or maintain crediting rates at levels necessary to avoid narrowing of spreads under certain market conditions.

Table of Contents

A major component of our interest rate risk management program is structuring the investment portfolio with cash flow characteristics consistent with the cash flow characteristics of our insurance liabilities. We use computer models to simulate cash flows expected from our existing business under various interest rate scenarios. These simulations enable us to measure the potential gain or loss in fair value of our interest rate-sensitive financial instruments, to evaluate the adequacy of expected cash flows from our assets to meet the expected cash requirements of our liabilities and to determine if it is necessary to lengthen or shorten the average life and duration of our investment portfolio. The "duration" of a security is the time weighted present value of the security's expected cash flows and is used to measure a security's sensitivity to changes in interest rates. When the durations of assets and liabilities are similar, exposure to interest rate risk is minimized because a change in value of assets should be largely offset by a change in the value of liabilities.

If interest rates were to increase 10% (29 basis points) from levels at December 31, 2011, we estimate that the fair value of our fixed maturity securities would decrease by approximately \$540.0 million. The impact on stockholders' equity of such decrease (net of income taxes and certain adjustments for changes in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements) would be a decrease of \$154.9 million in the accumulated other comprehensive income and a decrease to stockholders' equity. The computer models used to estimate the impact of a 10% change in market interest rates incorporate numerous assumptions, require significant estimates and assume an immediate and parallel change in interest rates without any management of the investment portfolio in reaction to such change. Consequently, potential changes in value of our financial instruments indicated by the simulations will likely be different from the actual changes experienced under given interest rate scenarios, and the differences may be material. Because we actively manage our investments and liabilities, our net exposure to interest rates can vary over time. However, any such decreases in the fair value of our fixed maturity securities (unless related to credit concerns of the issuer requiring recognition of an other than temporary impairment) would generally be realized only if we were required to sell such securities at losses prior to their maturity to meet our liquidity needs, which we manage using the surrender and withdrawal provisions of our annuity contracts and through other means. See Financial Condition—Liquidity for Insurance Operations for a further discussion of the liquidity risk.

At December 31, 2011, 38% of our fixed income securities have call features and 1% (\$0.1 billion) were subject to call redemption. Another 22% (\$4.3 billion) will become subject to call redemption during 2012. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we received \$3.2 billion and \$5.2 billion, respectively, in net redemption proceeds related to the exercise of such call options. We have reinvestment risk related to these redemptions to the extent we cannot reinvest the net proceeds in assets with credit quality and yield characteristics similar to the redeemed bonds. Such reinvestment risk typically occurs in a declining rate environment. Should rates decline to levels which tighten the spread between our average portfolio yield and average cost of interest credited on our annuity liabilities, we have the ability to reduce crediting rates (caps, participation rates or asset fees for fixed index annuities) on most of our annuity liabilities to maintain the spread at our targeted level. At December 31, 2011, approximately 99% of our annuity liabilities are subject to annual adjustment of the applicable crediting rates at our discretion, limited by minimum guaranteed crediting rates specified in the policies.

With respect to our fixed index annuities, we purchase call options on the applicable indices to fund the annual index credits on such annuities. These options are primarily one-year instruments purchased to match the funding requirements of the underlying policies. Fair value changes associated with those investments are substantially offset by an increase or decrease in the amounts added to policyholder account balances for index products. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the annual index credits to policyholders on their anniversaries were \$448.2 million, \$454.7 million and \$94.6 million, respectively. Proceeds received at expiration or gains recognized upon early termination of these options related to such credits were \$454.2 million, \$438.4 million and \$82.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The difference between proceeds received at expiration or gains recognized upon early termination of these options and index credits is primarily due to credits attributable to minimum guaranteed interest self funded by us.

Within our hedging process we purchase options out of the money to the extent of anticipated minimum guaranteed interest on index policies. On the anniversary dates of the index policies, we purchase new one-year call options to fund the next annual index credits. The risk associated with these prospective purchases is the uncertainty of the cost,

which will determine whether we are able to earn our spread on our index business. We manage this risk through the terms of our fixed index annuities, which permit us to change caps, participation rates and asset fees, subject to contractual features. By modifying caps, participation rates or asset fees, we can limit option costs to budgeted amounts, except in cases where the contractual features would prevent further modifications. Based upon actuarial testing which we conduct as a part of the design of our index products and on an ongoing basis, we believe the risk that contractual features would prevent us from controlling option costs is not material.

Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The consolidated financial statements are included as a part of this report on Form 10-K on pages F-1 through F-47.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure  
None.

## Table of Contents

### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

#### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

In accordance with the Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15, our management, under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report on Form 10-K. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2011 in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act.

#### (b) Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). The Company's internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and the board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 based upon criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the assessment, management has determined that we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of management's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page F-2.

#### (c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2011, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### Item 9B. Other Information

There is no information required to be disclosed on Form 8-K for the quarter ended December 31, 2011 which has not been previously reported.

## PART III

The information required by Part III is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of shareholders to be held June 7, 2012 to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after December 31, 2011.

## PART IV

### Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules on page F-1 for a list of financial statements and financial statement schedules included in this report. All other schedules to the consolidated financial statements required by Article 7 of Regulation S-X are omitted because they are not applicable, not required, or because the information is included elsewhere in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Exhibits. See Exhibit Index immediately preceding the Exhibits for a list of Exhibits filed with this report.

Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, this 29th day of February, 2012.

AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING  
COMPANY

By: /s/ WENDY C. WAUGAMAN

Wendy C. Waugaman,

Chief Executive Officer and President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this registration statement has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title (Capacity)	Date
/s/ WENDY C. WAUGAMAN Wendy C. Waugaman	Chief Executive Officer, President and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	February 29, 2012
/s/ JOHN M. MATOVINA John M. Matovina	Vice Chairman, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Director (Principal Financial Officer)	February 29, 2012
/s/ TED M. JOHNSON Ted M. Johnson	Vice President—Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	February 29, 2012
/s/ D.J. NOBLE D.J. Noble	Executive Chairman and Director	February 29, 2012
/s/ JOYCE A. CHAPMAN Joyce A. Chapman	Director	February 29, 2012
/s/ ALEXANDER M. CLARK Alexander M. Clark	Director	February 29, 2012
/s/ JAMES M. GERLACH James M. Gerlach	Director	February 29, 2012
/s/ ROBERT L. HILTON Robert L. Hilton	Director	February 29, 2012
/s/ ROBERT L. HOWE Robert L. Howe	Director	February 29, 2012
/s/ DAVID S. MULCAHY David S. Mulcahy	Director	February 29, 2012
/s/ GERARD D. NEUGENT Gerard D. Neugent	Director	February 29, 2012
/s/ DEBRA J. RICHARDSON Debra J. Richardson	Director	February 29, 2012
/s/ A.J. STRICKLAND, III A.J. Strickland, III	Director	February 29, 2012
/s/ HARLEY A. WHITFIELD Harley A. Whitfield	Director	February 29, 2012

Table of Contents

AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>F-2</u>
Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>F-3</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations	<u>F-4</u>
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity	<u>F-5</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>F-7</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>F-9</u>
Schedules	
Schedule I—Summary of Investments—Other Than Investments in Related Parties	<u>F-48</u>
Schedule II—Condensed Financial Information of Registrant	<u>F-49</u>
Schedule III—Supplementary Insurance Information	<u>F-54</u>
Schedule IV—Reinsurance	<u>F-55</u>
Schedule V—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	<u>F-55</u>

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

American Equity Investment Life Holding Company:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of American Equity Investment Life Holding Company and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2011. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedules listed in the Index on page F-1. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.



/s/ KPMG LLP  
Des Moines, Iowa  
February 29, 2012

F-2

---

Table of Contents

## AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	December 31, 2011	2010
Assets		
Investments:		
Fixed maturity securities:		
Available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2011 - \$16,980,279 ; 2010 - \$15,621,894)	\$18,464,109	\$15,830,663
Held for investment, at amortized cost (fair value: 2011 - \$2,644,422 ; 2010 - \$781,748)	2,644,206	822,200
Equity securities, available for sale, at fair value (cost: 2011 - \$58,438 ; 2010 - \$61,185)	62,845	65,961
Mortgage loans on real estate	2,823,047	2,598,641
Derivative instruments	273,314	479,786
Other investments	115,930	19,680
Total investments	24,383,451	19,816,931
Cash and cash equivalents	404,952	597,766
Coinsurance deposits	2,818,642	2,613,191
Accrued investment income	228,937	167,645
Deferred policy acquisition costs	1,683,857	1,747,760
Deferred sales inducements	1,242,787	1,227,328
Deferred income taxes	21,981	143,253
Income taxes recoverable	8,441	6,134
Other assets	81,671	106,755
Total assets	\$30,874,719	\$26,426,763
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Liabilities:		
Policy benefit reserves	\$28,118,716	\$23,655,807
Other policy funds and contract claims	400,594	222,860
Notes payable	297,608	330,835
Subordinated debentures	268,593	268,435
Other liabilities	380,529	1,010,779
Total liabilities	29,466,040	25,488,716
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized, 2011 and 2010 - no shares issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, par value \$1 per share, 125,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding:		
2011 - 57,836,540 shares (excluding 5,616,595 treasury shares);	57,837	56,968
2010 - 56,968,446 shares (excluding 5,874,392 treasury shares)		
Additional paid-in capital	468,281	454,454

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

Unallocated common stock held by ESOP; 2011 - 336,093 shares; 2010 - 447,048 shares	(3,620	) (4,815	)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	457,229	81,820	
Retained earnings	428,952	349,620	
Total stockholders' equity	1,408,679	938,047	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$30,874,719	\$26,426,763	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.			

F-3

---

Table of Contents

## AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Revenues:			
Traditional life insurance premiums	\$12,151	\$11,982	\$12,654
Annuity product charges	76,189	69,075	63,358
Net investment income	1,218,780	1,036,106	932,172
Change in fair value of derivatives	(114,728	) 168,862	216,896
Net realized gains (losses) on investments, excluding other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses	(18,641	) 23,726	51,279
OTTI losses on investments:			
Total OTTI losses	(20,180	) (19,544	) (220,415
Portion of OTTI losses recognized in (from) other comprehensive income	(13,796	) (4,323	) 133,644
Net OTTI losses recognized in operations	(33,976	) (23,867	) (86,771
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	(292	) (675
Total revenues	1,139,775	1,285,592	1,188,913
Benefits and expenses:			
Insurance policy benefits and change in future policy benefits	7,870	8,251	8,889
Interest sensitive and index product benefits	775,757	733,218	347,883
Amortization of deferred sales inducements	71,781	59,873	39,999
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives	(105,194	) 130,950	529,508
Interest expense on notes payable	31,633	22,125	14,853
Interest expense on subordinated debentures	13,977	14,906	15,819
Interest expense on amounts due under repurchase agreements	30	—	534
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	143,478	136,388	88,009
Other operating costs and expenses	67,529	114,615	57,255
Total benefits and expenses	1,006,861	1,220,326	1,102,749
Income before income taxes	132,914	65,266	86,164
Income tax expense	46,666	22,333	17,634
Net income	\$86,248	\$42,933	\$68,530
Earnings per common share	\$1.45	\$0.73	\$1.22
Earnings per common share - assuming dilution	\$1.37	\$0.68	\$1.18
Weighted average common shares outstanding (in thousands):			
Earnings per common share	59,482	58,507	56,138
Earnings per common share - assuming dilution	63,619	64,580	58,915
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.			

Table of ContentsAMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Unallocated Common Stock Held by ESOP	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2008	50,739	376,782	(6,336 )	(147,376 )	223,035	496,844
Cumulative effect of noncredit OTTI, net	—	—	—	(20,094 )	25,240	5,146
Other comprehensive income:						
Net income for the year	—	—	—	—	68,530	68,530
Change in net unrealized investment gains/losses	—	—	—	223,882	—	223,882
Noncredit component of OTTI losses, available for sale securities, net	—	—	—	(86,868 )	—	(86,868 )
Other comprehensive income						205,544
Issuance of treasury stock	5	50	—	—	(18 )	37
Acquisition of 12,362 shares of common stock	(12 )	(40 )	—	—	—	(52 )
Allocation of 61,040 shares of common stock by ESOP, including excess income tax benefits	—	(168 )	657	—	—	489
Share-based compensation, including excess income tax benefits	—	4,261	—	—	—	4,261
Issuance of 5,000,000 shares of common stock in exchange for notes payable	5,000	26,226	—	—	—	31,226
Issuance of convertible debt	—	15,162	—	—	—	15,162
Issuance of 132,300 shares of common stock	132	292	—	—	—	424
Issuance of 339,015 shares of common stock under compensation plans, including excess income tax benefits	339	(340 )	—	—	—	(1 )
Dividends on common stock (\$0.08 per share)	—	—	—	—	(4,457 )	(4,457 )
Balance at December 31, 2009	56,203	422,225	(5,679 )	(30,456 )	312,330	754,623
Other comprehensive income:						
Net income for the year	—	—	—	—	42,933	42,933
Change in net unrealized investment gains/losses	—	—	—	111,097	—	111,097
Noncredit component of OTTI losses, available for sale securities, net	—	—	—	1,179	—	1,179

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

Other comprehensive income							155,209
Conversion of \$60 of subordinated debentures	7	49	—	—	—		56
Acquisition of 104,661 shares of common stock	(105	) (1,119	) —	—	—		(1,224 )
Allocation of 80,224 shares of common stock by ESOP, including excess income tax benefits	—	(23	) 864	—	—		841
Share-based compensation, including excess income tax benefits	—	12,239	—	—	—		12,239
Issuance of 862,504 shares of common stock under compensation plans, including excess income tax benefits	863	5,483	—	—	—		6,346
Issuance of warrants	—	15,600	—	—	—		15,600
Dividends on common stock (\$0.10 per share)	—	—	—	—		(5,643 )	(5,643 )
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$56,968	\$454,454	\$(4,815 )	\$ 81,820	\$349,620		\$938,047

F-5

Table of Contents

AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)  
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Unallocated Common Stock Held by ESOP	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Total Stockholders' Equity
Other comprehensive income:						
Net income for the year	—	—	—	—	86,248	86,248
Change in net unrealized investment gains/losses	—	—	—	371,346	—	371,346
Noncredit component of OTTI losses, available for sale securities, net	—	—	—	4,063	—	4,063
Other comprehensive income						461,657
Acquisition of 48,235 shares of common stock	(48	) (436	) —	—	—	(484 )
Allocation of 110,955 shares of common stock by ESOP, including excess income tax benefits	—	60	1,195	—	—	1,255
Share-based compensation, including excess income tax benefits	—	10,320	—	—	—	10,320
Issuance of 916,329 shares of common stock under compensation plans, including excess income tax benefits	917	3,883	—	—	—	4,800
Dividends on common stock (\$0.12 per share)	—	—	—	—	(6,916 )	(6,916 )
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$57,837	\$468,281	\$(3,620 )	\$ 457,229	\$428,952	\$ 1,408,679
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.						

Table of Contents

AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(Dollars in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Operating activities			
Net income	\$86,248	\$42,933	\$68,530
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Interest sensitive and index product benefits	775,757	733,218	347,883
Amortization of deferred sales inducements	71,781	59,873	39,999
Annuity product charges	(76,189)	(69,075)	(63,358)
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives	(105,194)	130,950	529,508
Increase in traditional life and accident and health insurance reserves	76,220	43,921	9,960
Policy acquisition costs deferred	(478,834)	(402,607)	(305,477)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	143,478	136,388	88,009
Provision for depreciation and other amortization	18,970	11,580	5,904
Amortization of discounts and premiums on investments	(154,483)	(240,532)	(212,498)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	292	675
Realized gains/losses on investments and net OTTI losses recognized in operations	52,617	141	35,492
Change in fair value of derivatives	112,608	(141,719)	(219,154)
Deferred income taxes	(80,869)	(118,048)	(56,150)
Share-based compensation	9,339	11,993	4,630
Change in accrued investment income	(61,292)	(53,987)	(21,902)
Change in income taxes recoverable/payable	(2,307)	97,550	(117,817)
Change in other assets	5,005	(26,516)	(10,877)
Change in other policy funds and contract claims	177,734	103,457	8,198
Change in collateral held for derivatives	(215,775)	35,075	346,118
Change in other liabilities	(77,082)	64,776	40,637
Other	59	812	(1,759)
Net cash provided by operating activities	277,791	420,475	516,551
Investing activities			
Sales, maturities, or repayments of investments:			
Fixed maturity securities—available for sale	3,705,605	4,568,499	2,975,790
Fixed maturity securities—held for investment	219,372	1,585,267	2,057,023
Equity securities, available for sale	2,958	46,187	22,727
Mortgage loans on real estate	206,741	145,754	109,969
Derivative instruments	506,057	492,058	79,341
Short-term investments	—	600,000	—
Other investments	2,274	—	—
Acquisitions of investments:			
Fixed maturity securities—available for sale	(5,347,839)	(8,544,788)	(6,742,292)
Fixed maturity securities—held for investment	(1,940,163)	(745,207)	—
Equity securities, available for sale	—	(10,125)	(6,674)
Mortgage loans on real estate	(481,680)	(317,250)	(249,162)
Derivative instruments	(395,938)	(331,263)	(257,435)
Short-term investments	—	(599,746)	—



Edgar Filing: AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

Other investments	(77,955	)	(456	)	(46	)
Purchases of property, furniture and equipment	(5,265	)	(5,318	)	(2,971	)
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,605,833	)	(3,116,388	)	(2,013,730	)

F-7

---

Table of Contents

## AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Financing activities			
Receipts credited to annuity and single premium universal life policyholder account balances	\$5,090,114	\$4,668,719	\$3,677,558
Coinsurance deposits	(122,415)	(267,638)	(555,500)
Return of annuity policyholder account balances	(1,800,157)	(1,637,062)	(1,418,797)
Financing fees incurred and deferred	(1,566)	(6,800)	(2,751)
Proceeds from notes payable	—	200,000	127,225
Repayments of notes payable	(46,251)	(156,641)	(4,110)
Purchase of 2015 notes hedges	—	(37,000)	—
Acquisition of common stock	(484)	(1,224)	(34)
Excess tax benefits realized from share-based compensation plans	1,061	480	93
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	4,686	6,124	1,061
Proceeds from issuance of warrants	—	15,600	—
Equity issue costs incurred	—	—	(1,364)
Change in checks in excess of cash balance	17,156	(13,238)	(8,605)
Dividends paid	(6,916)	(5,643)	(4,457)
Net cash provided by financing activities	3,135,228	2,765,677	1,810,319
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(192,814)	69,764	313,140
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	597,766	528,002	214,862
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$404,952	\$597,766	\$528,002
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest expense	\$30,650	\$25,802	\$19,669
Income taxes	129,250	143,748	191,878
Income tax refunds received	466	101,395	—
Non-cash operating activity:			
Deferral of sales inducements	385,123	370,714	292,791
Non-cash investing activity:			
Real estate acquired in satisfaction of mortgage loans	20,978	7,408	12,268
Mortgage loan on real estate sold	1,215	—	—
Non-cash financing activities:			
Conversion of subordinated debentures	—	60	—
Stock issued in retirement of debt	—	—	31,250
Retirement of debt through debt exchange	—	—	63,614
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.			

Table of Contents

## AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. Significant Accounting Policies

## Nature of Operations

American Equity Investment Life Holding Company ("we", "us" or "our"), through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, American Equity Investment Life Insurance Company ("American Equity Life"), American Equity Investment Life Insurance Company of New York and Eagle Life Insurance Company ("Eagle Life"), is licensed to sell insurance products in 50 states and the District of Columbia at December 31, 2011. We operate solely in the insurance business. We primarily market fixed index and fixed rate annuities and to a lesser extent, life insurance. Premiums and annuity deposits (net of coinsurance), which are not included as revenues in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, collected in 2011, 2010 and 2009, by product type were as follows:

Product Type	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Fixed index annuities:			
Index strategies	\$2,835,422	\$2,312,720	\$1,252,294
Fixed strategy	1,375,321	1,472,576	1,495,017
	4,210,743	3,785,296	2,747,311
Fixed rate annuities	247,237	232,832	148,931
Single premium immediate annuities (SPIA)	305,603	171,628	32,055
Life insurance	12,151	11,982	12,654
	\$4,775,734	\$4,201,738	\$2,940,951

Two national marketing organizations through which we market our products each accounted for more than 10% of the annuity deposits and insurance premium collections during 2011 representing 14% and 12%, individually, of the annuity deposits and insurance premiums collected. One national marketing organization accounted for more than 10% of the annuity deposits and insurance premium collections during 2010 and 2009 representing 17% and 10% of the annuity deposits and insurance premiums collected, respectively.

## Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and our wholly-owned subsidiaries: American Equity Life, American Equity Investment Life Insurance Company of New York, Eagle Life, AERL, L.C., American Equity Capital, Inc., American Equity Investment Properties, L.C., American Equity Advisors, Inc. and American Equity Investment Service Company. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Reclassification have been made to prior period financial statements to conform to the current year presentation. Specifically, policy benefit reserves have been presented in one line item in 2011, whereas in 2010 and prior years we presented this as two categories of annuity product benefit reserves and traditional life and accident and health insurance product benefit reserves.

## Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates and assumptions are utilized in the calculation of deferred policy acquisition costs, deferred sales inducements, policy benefit reserves, valuation of derivatives, including embedded derivatives on index annuity reserves, contingent convertible senior notes, valuation of investments, other than temporary impairment of investments, allowances for loan losses on mortgage loans and valuation allowances on deferred tax assets. A description of each critical estimate is incorporated within the discussion of the related accounting policies which follow. It is reasonably possible that actual experience could differ from the estimates and assumptions utilized.

#### Investments

Fixed maturity securities (bonds and redeemable preferred stocks maturing more than one year after issuance) that may be sold prior to maturity are classified as available for sale. Available for sale securities are reported at fair value and unrealized gains and losses, if any, on these securities are included directly in a separate component of stockholders' equity, net of income taxes and certain adjustments for assumed changes in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements. Fair values, as reported herein, of fixed maturity and equity securities are based on quoted market prices in active markets when available, or for those fixed maturity securities not actively traded, yield data and other factors relating to instruments or securities with similar characteristics are used. See note 2 for more information on assumptions

F-9

---

Table of Contents

AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

and valuation models used in the determination of fair value. Premiums and discounts are amortized/accrued using methods which result in a constant yield over the securities' expected lives. Amortization/accrual of premiums and discounts on residential mortgage backed securities incorporate prepayment assumptions to estimate the securities' expected lives. Interest income is recognized as earned.

Fixed maturity securities that we have the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held for investment. Such securities may, at times, be called prior to maturity. Held for investment securities are reported at cost adjusted for amortization of premiums and discounts. Changes in the fair value of these securities, except for declines that are other than temporary, are not reflected in our consolidated financial statements.

Equity securities, comprised of common and perpetual preferred stocks, are classified as available for sale and are reported at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are included directly in a separate component of stockholders' equity, net of income taxes and certain adjustments for assumed changes in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements. Dividends are recognized when declared.

The carrying amounts of our impaired investments in fixed maturity and equity securities are adjusted for declines in value that are other than temporary. Other than temporary impairment losses are reported as a component of revenues in the consolidated statements of operations, which presents the amount of noncredit impairment losses for certain fixed maturity securities that is reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). See note 3 for further discussion of other than temporary impairment losses.

Deterioration in credit quality of the companies or assets backing our investment securities, deterioration in the condition of the financial services industry, imbalances in liquidity recurring in the marketplace or declines in real estate values may further affect the fair value of these investment securities and increase the potential that certain unrealized losses be recognized as other than temporary impairments in the future.

Mortgage loans on real estate are reported at cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accrual of discounts.

Interest income is recorded when earned; however, interest ceases to accrue for loans on which interest is more than 90 days past due based upon contractual terms and/or when the collection of interest is not considered probable. We evaluate the mortgage loan portfolio for the establishment of a loan loss reserve by specific identification of impaired loans and the measurement of an estimated loss, if any, for each impaired loan identified and an analysis of the mortgage loan portfolio for the need of a general loan allowance for probable losses on all loans. If we determine that the value of any specific mortgage loan is impaired, the carrying amount of the mortgage loan will be reduced to its fair value, based upon the present value of expected future cash flows from the loan discounted at the loan's contractual interest rate, or the fair value of the underlying collateral, less costs to sell. The amount of the general loan allowance, if any, is based upon our evaluation of the probability of collection, historical loss experience, delinquencies, credit concentrations, underwriting standards and national and local economic conditions. The carrying value of impaired loans is reduced by the establishment of an allowance for loan losses, changes to which are recognized as realized gains or losses on investments. Interest income on impaired loans is recorded on a cash basis. Other invested assets include company owned life insurance, real estate, a limited partnership accounted for using the equity method and policy loans. Company owned life insurance is recorded at the amount that can be realized under the insurance contract at the end of the reporting period, which is the cash surrender value adjusted for other charges or other amounts due that are probable at settlement. Policy loans are stated at current unpaid principal balances. Real estate owned is reported at cost less accumulated depreciation. Cost is determined at the time ownership is acquired in satisfaction of mortgage loans and is the lower of the carrying value of the mortgage loan or fair value of the real estate less its estimated cost to sell. Building and improvements are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives. Impairment losses on real estate owned are recognized when there are indicators of impairment present and the expected future undiscounted cash flows are not sufficient to recover the real estate's carrying value. Any impairment losses are reported as realized losses and are part of net income.

Derivative Instruments

Our derivative instruments include call options used to fund fixed index annuity credits, call options to hedge the conversion spread on our convertible senior notes (see note 9) and certain other derivative instruments embedded in other contracts. All of our derivative instruments are recognized in the balance sheet at fair value and changes in fair value are recognized immediately in operations. See note 5 for more information on derivative instruments.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

#### Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Deferred Sales Inducements

To the extent recoverable from future policy revenues and gross profits, certain costs that vary with and are directly related to the production of new business are not expensed when incurred but instead are capitalized as deferred policy acquisition costs or deferred sales inducements. Deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements are subject to loss recognition testing on a quarterly basis or when an event occurs that may warrant loss recognition.

Deferred policy acquisition costs consist primarily of commissions and certain costs of policy issuance. Deferred sales inducements consist of premium and interest bonuses credited to policyholder account balances.

Table of Contents

## AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

For annuity products, these capitalized costs are being amortized generally in proportion to expected gross profits from investment spreads, including the cost of hedging the fixed indexed annuity obligations, and, to a lesser extent, from product charges and mortality and expense margins. That amortization is adjusted retrospectively through an unlocking process when estimates of current or future gross profits/margins (including the impact of net realized gains on investments and net OTTI losses recognized in operations) to be realized from a group of products are revised. Deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred sales inducements are also adjusted for the change in amortization that would have occurred if available for sale fixed maturity securities and equity securities had been sold at their aggregate fair value at the end of the reporting period and the proceeds reinvested at current yields. The impact of this adjustment is included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within consolidated stockholders' equity, net of applicable taxes.

**Policy Benefit Reserves**

Policy benefit reserves for fixed index annuities with returns linked to the performance of a specified market index are equal to the sum of the fair value of the embedded derivatives and the host (or guaranteed) component of the contracts. The host value is established at inception of the contract and accreted over the policy's life at a constant rate of interest. Future policy benefit reserves for fixed index annuities earning a fixed rate of interest and other deferred annuity products are computed under a retrospective deposit method and represent policy account balances before applicable surrender charges. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, interest crediting rates for these products ranged from 1.60% to 5.25%. These rates include interest bonuses capitalized as deferred sales inducements. Policy benefit reserves are not reduced for amounts ceded under coinsurance agreements which are reported as coinsurance deposits on our consolidated balance sheets. See note 7 for more information on reinsurance. The liability for future policy benefits for traditional life insurance is based on net level premium reserves, including assumptions as to interest, mortality, and other assumptions underlying the guaranteed policy cash values. Reserve interest assumptions are level and range from 3.0% to 5.5%. Policy benefit claims are charged to expense in the period that the claims are incurred.

**Deferred Income Taxes**

Deferred income tax assets or liabilities are computed based on the temporary differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities using the enacted marginal tax rate. Deferred income tax expenses or credits are based on the changes in the asset or liability from period to period. Deferred income tax assets are subject to ongoing evaluation of whether such assets will more likely than not be realized. The realization of deferred income tax assets primarily depends on generating future taxable income during the periods in which temporary differences become deductible. Deferred income tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. In making such a determination, all available positive and negative evidence, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations, is considered. The realization of deferred income tax assets related to unrealized losses on available for sale fixed maturity securities is also based upon our intent and ability to hold those securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for a recovery in fair value and not realize the unrealized loss.

**Recognition of Premium Revenues and Costs**

Revenues for annuity products include surrender and living income benefit rider charges assessed against policyholder account balances during the period. Interest sensitive and index product benefits related to annuity products include interest credited or index credits to policyholder account balances. In addition, the change in fair value of embedded derivatives within fixed index annuity contracts is included in benefits and expenses.

Traditional life insurance premiums are recognized as revenues over the premium-paying period. Certain group policies include provisions for annual experience refunds of premiums equal to net premiums received less a 16% administrative fee and less claims incurred. Such amounts (2011—\$1.8 million; 2010—\$1.1 million; and 2009—\$0.6

million) are reported as a reduction of traditional life insurance premiums in the consolidated statements of operations. Future policy benefits are recognized as expenses over the life of the policy by means of the provision for future policy benefits.

All insurance-related revenues, including the change in the fair value of derivatives for call options related to the business ceded under coinsurance agreements (see note 7), benefits, losses and expenses are reported net of reinsurance ceded.

**Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Other comprehensive income (loss) includes all changes in stockholders' equity during a period except those resulting from investments by and distributions to stockholders. Other comprehensive income excludes net realized investment gains (losses) included in net income which merely represent transfers from unrealized to realized gains and losses.

These amounts totaled \$(52.6) million, \$(0.2) million and \$(35.5) million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Such amounts, which have been measured through the date of sale, are net of adjustments to deferred policy acquisition costs, deferred sales inducements and income taxes totaling \$(34.3) million in 2011, \$0.2 million in 2010 and \$(36.8) million in 2009.

F-11

---



Table of Contents

AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued an accounting standards update that gives creditors guidance in determining whether a creditor has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties for purposes of determining whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. Troubled debt restructures are considered impaired receivables for which an amount of impairment loss is determined at the time the loan is restructured. This standard update was effective for us on July 1, 2011, was applied retrospectively to restructures that we have completed on or after January 1, 2011, and it did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standards update that expands disclosures and provides users more transparency about allowances for credit losses and the credit quality of the financing receivables of an entity. This guidance requires additional disclosures about an entity's financing receivables, such as credit quality indicators, aging of past due financing receivables, and significant purchases and sales of financing receivables. In addition, disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment or class based on how an entity develops its allowance for credit losses and how it manages its credit exposure. Most of the disclosure requirements were effective for the fourth quarter of 2010 with certain additional disclosures required for the first quarter of 2011. Adoption of these disclosure requirements did not have a material effect on the results of our operations or financial position and are presented in note 4—Mortgage Loans on Real Estate.

In January 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standards update that expands the disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements. A reporting entity is now required to present on a gross basis rather than as one net number information about the purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of financial instruments that are categorized as Level 3 for fair value measurements. Clarification on existing disclosure requirements is also provided in this update relating to the level of disaggregation of information as to determining appropriate classes of assets and liabilities as well as disclosure requirements regarding valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. This standard was effective for us on January 1, 2011, and it did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standards update that expanded the disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements. A reporting entity is now required to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in to and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurement categories and describe the reasons for the transfers. Clarification on existing disclosure requirements is also provided in this update relating to the level of disaggregation of information as to determining appropriate classes of assets and liabilities as well as disclosure requirements regarding valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. This standard was effective for us on January 1, 2010, and it did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued further guidance on the recognition and presentation of other than temporary impairments. This guidance amended the other than temporary impairment guidance for debt securities only to make the guidance more operational and to expand the presentation and disclosure of other than temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. This guidance requires management to determine cash flows expected to be collected on each debt security for which an other than temporary impairment is being recognized. In accordance with this guidance, the reporting entity shall allocate its other than temporary impairments on debt securities between credit and noncredit components with the noncredit portion of the other than temporary impairments recognized as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) and the credit loss portion included in operations. Credit loss is defined as the amount that the amortized cost basis of the impaired security exceeds the present value of cash flows expected to be collected discounted at the security's yield at acquisition. This guidance also required a cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings and accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the period of adoption for other than temporary impairments on debt securities

recognized in prior periods which were still held as investments at the date of adoption. This guidance was effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009; however, early application was permitted. We elected to adopt these accounting standards effective January 1, 2009. The cumulative effect adjustment as of January 1, 2009 increased retained earnings by \$25.2 million and decreased accumulated other comprehensive income by \$20.1 million.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued disclosure guidance that gives a reporting entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Currently, we present other comprehensive income and its components as part of our statement of changes in stockholders' equity. This disclosure guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2011, and shall be applied retrospectively and will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

F-12

---

Table of Contents

## AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In May 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standards update that addresses fair value measurement and disclosure as part of its convergence efforts with the International Accounting Standards Board. The result is common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. This accounting standards update changes the wording used to describe many of the requirements in GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. Some changes clarify the FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements. Other amendments change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The disclosure requirements add information about transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, information about the sensitivity of a fair value measurement categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy to changes in unobservable inputs and any interrelationships between those unobservable inputs, and the categorization by level of the fair value hierarchy for items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position, but for which the fair value of such items is required to be disclosed. This accounting standards update is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and early application is not permitted. We do not anticipate any effect to our financial position, results of operations or cash flows upon adoption.

In October 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standards update that modifies the definition of the types of costs incurred that can be capitalized in the acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts. This guidance defines the costs that qualify for deferral as incremental direct costs that result directly from and are essential to successful contract transactions and would not have been incurred by the insurance entity had the contract transactions not occurred. In addition, it lists certain costs as deferrable as those that are directly related to underwriting, policy issuance and processing, medical and inspection, and sales force contract selling as deferrable, as well as the portion of an employee's total compensation related directly to time spent performing those activities for actual acquired contracts and other costs related directly to those activities that would not have been incurred if the contract had not been acquired. This amendment to current GAAP should be applied prospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2011, with retrospective application permitted. We will be applying this accounting standards update prospectively as of January 1, 2012. If we would have applied this guidance for the year ended December 31, 2011, net income would have decreased \$6.1 million to \$80.1 million and diluted earnings per share would have decreased \$0.09 per share to \$1.28 per share.

## 2. Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The following sets forth a comparison of the carrying amounts and fair values of our financial instruments:

	December 31, 2011		2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Assets				
Fixed maturity securities:				
Available for sale	\$18,464,109	\$18,464,109	\$15,830,663	\$15,830,663
Held for investment	2,644,206	2,644,422	822,200	781,748
Equity securities, available for sale	62,845	62,845	65,961	65,961
Mortgage loans on real estate	2,823,047	3,030,308	2,598,641	2,670,009
Derivative instruments	273,314	273,314	479,786	479,786
Other investments	79,109	76,648	558	558
Cash and cash equivalents	404,952	404,952	597,766	597,766
Coinsurance deposits	2,818,642	2,549,025	2,613,191	2,282,998
2015 notes hedges	45,593	45,593	66,595	66,595

## Liabilities

Policy benefit reserves	27,842,770	23,407,540	23,464,810	19,594,396
SPIA benefit reserves	397,248	412,998	220,198	230,445
Notes payable	297,608	376,370	330,835	489,097
Subordinated debentures	268,593	233,809	268,435	213,369
2015 notes embedded derivative	45,593	45,593	66,595	66,595
Interest rate swaps	—	—	1,976	1,976

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The objective of a fair value measurement is to determine that price for each financial instrument at each measurement date. We meet this objective using various methods of valuation that include market, income and cost approaches.

F-13

Table of Contents

AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

We categorize our financial instruments into three levels of fair value hierarchy based on the priority of inputs used in determining fair value. The hierarchy defines the highest priority inputs (Level 1) as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. The lowest priority inputs (Level 3) are our own assumptions about what a market participant would use in determining fair value such as estimated future cash flows. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, a financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the financial instrument. We categorize financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets as follows:

- Level 1— Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical financial instruments as of the reporting date. We do not adjust the quoted price for these financial instruments, even in situations where we hold a large position and a sale could reasonably impact the quoted price.
- Level 2— Quoted prices in active markets for similar financial instruments, quoted prices for identical or similar financial instruments in markets that are not active; and models and other valuation methodologies using inputs other than quoted prices that are observable.  
Models and other valuation methodologies using significant inputs that are unobservable for financial instruments and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the financial instrument. The Level inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation. Financial
- Level 3— instruments that are included in Level 3 are securities for which no market activity or data exists and for which we used discounted expected future cash flows with our own assumptions about what a market participant would use in determining fair value.

Transfers of securities among the levels occur at times and depend on the type of inputs used to determine fair value of each security. During 2010, we transferred four corporate securities with a fair value of \$12.5 million from Level 2 to Level 1 as quoted prices in active markets as evidenced by actual trades of these securities occurred at the end of this period. Identical security trading had not been observable prior to this period for these securities. There were no transfers between levels during 2011.

Table of Contents

## AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE HOLDING COMPANY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Our assets and liabilities which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 are presented below based on the fair value hierarchy levels:

	Total Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	(Dollars in thousands)			
December 31, 2011				
Assets				
Fixed maturity securities:				
Available for sale:				
United States Government full faith and credit	\$4,678	\$4,678	\$—	\$—
United States Government sponsored agencies	1,770,621	—	1,770,621	—
United States municipalities, states and territories	3,333,383	—	3,333,383	—
Foreign Government obligations	72,386	—	72,386	—
Corporate securities	10,116,361	58,827	10,057,534	—
Residential mortgage backed securities	2,703,290	—	2,701,192	2,098
Other asset backed securities	463,390	370	463,020	—
Equity securities, available for sale: finance, insurance and real estate	62,845	44,229	18,616	—
Derivative instruments	273,314	—	273,314	—
Cash and cash equivalents	404,952	404,952	—	—
2015 notes hedges	45,593	—	45,593	—
	\$19,250,813	\$513,056	\$18,735,659	\$2,098
Liabilities				
2015 notes embedded derivative	\$45,593	\$—	\$45,593	\$—
Fixed index annuities—embedded derivatives	2,530,496	—	—	2,530,496
	\$2,576,089	\$—	\$45,593	\$2,530,496
December 31, 2010				
Assets				
Fixed maturity securities:				
Available for sale:				
United States Government full faith and credit	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$—	