

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP

Form 10-K

March 06, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

**☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005

or

**☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to .

Commission file number 0-6835

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of Corporation as Specified in its Charter)

**Indiana
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)**

**35-1286807
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)**

**500 Washington Street Columbus, Indiana
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)**

**47201
(Zip Code)**

**(812) 376-1909
(Corporation's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)**

**www.irwinfinancial.com
(Web Site)**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of Class: Common Stock*

**Title of Class: 8.75% Cumulative Convertible Trust Preferred Securities issued by IFC Capital
Trust III and the guarantee with respect thereto.**

**Title of Class: 8.70% Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities issued by IFC Capital Trust VI and
the guarantee with respect thereto.**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the Corporation: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Corporation was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Corporation's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☒

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing price for the registrant's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2005, was approximately \$394,818,948.

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Corporation was \$359,628,302 as of February 17, 2006. As of February 17, 2006, there were outstanding 28,683,634 common shares of the Corporation.

* Includes associated rights.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Selected Portions of the Following Documents

Part of Form 10-K Into Which Incorporated

**Definitive Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting
Shareholders to be held April 6, 2006
Exhibit Index on Pages 119 through 122**

Part III

FORM 10-K
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Part I</u>		
<u>Item 1</u>	<u>Business</u>	2
<u>Item 1A</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	12
<u>Item 2</u>	<u>Properties</u>	17
<u>Item 3</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	18
<u>Item 4</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	22
<u>Part II</u>		
<u>Item 5</u>	<u>Market for Corporation's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	23
<u>Item 6</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	24
<u>Item 7</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	26
<u>Item 7A</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	74
<u>Item 8</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	75
<u>Item 9</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	117
<u>Item 9A</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	117
<u>Item 9B</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	117
<u>Part III</u>		
<u>Item 10</u>	<u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Corporation</u>	118
<u>Item 11</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	118
<u>Item 12</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	118
<u>Item 13</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	118
<u>Item 14</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	118
<u>Part IV</u>		
<u>Item 15</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	119
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		123
<u>Stock Purchase Agreement</u>		
<u>Shareholder Agreement Termination Agreement</u>		
<u>Shareholder Agreement</u>		
<u>2005 Stock Option Agreement</u>		
<u>2005 Notice of Stock Option Grant</u>		
<u>Amended and Restated Performance Unit Plan</u>		
<u>Amended and Restated Performance Unit Plan</u>		
<u>Performance Unit Plan</u>		
<u>First Amendment to Limited Liability Company Agreement</u>		
<u>Second Amendment to Limited Liability Company Agreement</u>		
<u>Computation of Earnings Per Share</u>		
<u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges</u>		
<u>Code of Conduct</u>		
<u>Subsidiaries</u>		
<u>Consent of Independent Public Account Firm</u>		
<u>302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u>		
<u>302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u>		
<u>906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u>		
<u>906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u>		

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. *Business*

General

We are a diversified financial services company headquartered in Columbus, Indiana with \$360 million of net revenues in 2005 and \$6.6 billion in assets at December 31, 2005. We focus primarily on the extension of credit to consumers and small businesses as well as providing the ongoing servicing of those customer accounts. Through our direct and indirect subsidiaries, we currently operate four major lines of business: commercial banking, commercial finance, home equity lending, and mortgage banking. In January 2006, we announced that we are considering strategic alternatives for our conventional first mortgage banking business, including the potential sale of that line of business.

We are a regulated bank holding company and we conduct our consumer and commercial lending businesses through various operating subsidiaries. Our banking subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, was organized in 1871. We formed the holding company in 1972. Our direct and indirect major subsidiaries include Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, a commercial bank, which together with Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., a federal savings bank, conduct our commercial banking activities; Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation, a commercial finance subsidiary; Irwin Home Equity Corporation, a consumer home equity lending company; and Irwin Mortgage Corporation, a mortgage banking company.

Our strategy is to position the Corporation as an interrelated group of specialized financial services companies serving niche markets of consumers and small businesses and optimizing the productivity of our capital. At the parent level, we work actively to add value to our lines of business by interacting with the management teams, capitalizing on interrelationships, providing centralized services and coordinating overall organizational decisions. Additionally, as discussed in more detail later in this report on Risk Management the parent company also provides risk management oversight and controls for our subsidiaries. Under this organizational structure, the majority of our commercial finance, home equity lending and mortgage banking lines of business operate as direct and indirect subsidiaries of Irwin Union Bank and Trust. This structure provides additional liquidity and results in regulatory oversight of our business.

Our Internet address is <http://www.irwinfinancial.com>.

We make available free of charge through our Internet website our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file the material with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Our Internet website and the information contained or incorporated in it are not intended to be incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Major Lines of Business

Commercial Banking

Our commercial banking line of business provides credit, cash management and personal banking products primarily to small businesses and business owners. We offer commercial banking services through our banking subsidiaries, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, an Indiana state-chartered commercial bank, and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., a federal savings bank. The commercial banking line of business offers a full line of consumer, mortgage and commercial loans, as well as personal and commercial checking accounts, savings and time deposit accounts, personal and business loans, credit card services, money transfer services, financial counseling, property, casualty, life and health insurance agency services, trust services, securities brokerage and safe deposit facilities. This line of business operates through two charters, each headquartered in Columbus, Indiana:

Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company organized in 1871, is a full service Indiana state-chartered commercial bank with offices currently located throughout nine counties in central and southern

Table of Contents

Indiana, as well as in Kalamazoo, Grandville (near Grand Rapids), Traverse City and Lansing, Michigan; Carson City and Las Vegas, Nevada; and Salt Lake City, Utah.

Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. is a full-service federal savings bank that began operations in December 2000. Currently we have offices located in Clayton, Missouri (near St. Louis); Louisville, Kentucky; Milwaukee Wisconsin; Phoenix, Arizona; and, Costa Mesa and Sacramento, California.

We discuss this line of business further in the Commercial Banking section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation (MD&A) of this report.

Commercial Finance

Established in 1999, our commercial finance line of business originates small-ticket equipment leases throughout the U.S. and Canada through an established network of vendors and third-party originators and provides financing for franchisees of qualified quick service and casual dining restaurant concepts in the United States. The majority of our leases are full payout (no residual), small-ticket assets secured by commercial equipment. We finance a variety of commercial and office equipment types while limiting the industry and geographic concentrations in our lease and loan portfolios. Loans to franchisees often include the financing of real estate as well as equipment.

In July 2000, the commercial finance line of business acquired an ownership interest in approximately 78 percent of the common stock of Onset Capital Corporation, now Irwin Commercial Finance Canada Corporation (ICF-Canada), a Canadian small-ticket equipment leasing company headquartered in Vancouver, British Columbia. [In December 2001, Onset Capital established Onset Alberta Ltd. as a subsidiary to facilitate its leasing business.] In October 2001, we formed Irwin Franchise Capital Corporation to conduct our franchise lending business. We established Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation (formerly, Irwin Capital Holdings) in April 2001 as a subsidiary of Irwin Union Bank and Trust to serve as the parent company for both our United States and Canadian commercial finance companies.

In December 2005, this line of business acquired the remaining 22 percent interest in the common stock of ICF-Canada, and provided the former minority interest holders and the head of the franchise lending business with stock options at the line-of-business level.

We discuss this line of business further in the Commercial Finance section of the MD&A of this report.

Home Equity Lending

We established this line of business when we formed Irwin Home Equity Corporation as our subsidiary in 1994. It is headquartered in San Ramon, California. Irwin Home Equity became a subsidiary of Irwin Union Bank and Trust in 2001. In conjunction with Irwin Union Bank and Trust, Irwin Home Equity originates, purchases, securitizes and services home equity loans and lines of credit and first mortgages nationwide. We also periodically purchase servicing rights for home equity loans. Our target customers are principally creditworthy, home owning consumers who are active, unsecured credit card debt users. We market our home equity products (with loan-to-value ratios up to 125%) and first mortgage refinance programs (with loan-to-value ratios up to 100%) through direct mail, the Internet, mortgage brokers and correspondent lenders nationwide. Irwin Home Equity's core competencies are credit risk assessment and specialized home loan servicing.

We established Irwin Residual Holdings Corporation and Irwin Residual Holdings Corporation II in 2001 to hold residual interests that Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company transferred to Irwin Financial Corporation. The residual interests were created as a result of securitizations in our home equity line of business.

We discuss this line of business further in the Home Equity Lending section of the MD&A of this report.

Table of Contents

Mortgage Banking

We established our mortgage banking line of business when we acquired our subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, formerly Inland Mortgage Corporation, in 1981. Irwin Mortgage became a subsidiary of Irwin Union Bank and Trust in October, 2002. In this line of business, Irwin Mortgage originates, purchases, sells, and services primarily conventional and government agency-backed residential mortgage loans throughout the United States. Most of our first mortgage originations either are insured or guaranteed by an agency of the federal government, such as the Federal Housing Authority (FHA) or the Veterans Administration (VA) or, in the case of conventional mortgages, meet requirements for resale to the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) or the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). We originate mortgage loans through retail offices and through direct marketing. We also purchase mortgage loans through mortgage brokers and loan correspondents. Our relationships with realtors, homebuilders, brokers and correspondents help us identify potential borrowers. Irwin Mortgage also engages in the mortgage reinsurance business through its subsidiary, Irwin Reinsurance Corporation, a Vermont corporation. We sell mortgage loans to institutional and private investors but may retain servicing rights to the loans we originate or purchase. Irwin Mortgage collects and accounts for the monthly payments on each loan serviced and pays the real estate taxes and insurance necessary to protect the integrity of the mortgage lien, for which it receives a servicing fee.

At January 31, 2006, Irwin Mortgage operated 48 production and satellite offices in 27 states. We discuss this line of business further in the Mortgage Banking section of the MD&A of this report.

In January 2006, we announced that we were considering strategic alternatives for the conventional first mortgage business, including the potential sale of the mortgage banking line of business. We believe our mortgage banking line of business, particularly the servicing function, has grown to a size where it can be managed and grown more effectively within another organization. We are actively searching for an alternative home for the segment and its employees.

Customer Base

No single part of our business is dependent upon a single customer or upon a very few customers and the loss of any one customer would not have a materially adverse effect upon our business. In those instances where we have significant single customer relationships, we examine each relationship more intensively than others and have developed contingency plans for the loss of these significant customer relationships.

Competition

We compete nationally in the U.S. in each business, except for commercial banking where our market focus is in selected markets in the Midwest and Western states. In our commercial finance line of business, our products are also offered throughout Canada. We compete against commercial banks, savings banks, credit unions and savings and loan associations, and with a number of non-bank companies including mortgage banks and brokers, other finance companies, and real estate investment trusts.

Some of our competitors are not subject to the same degree of regulation as that imposed on bank holding companies, state banking organizations and federal saving banks. In addition, many larger banking organizations, mortgage companies, mortgage banks, insurance companies and securities firms have significantly greater resources than we do. As a result, some of our competitors have advantages over us in name recognition and market penetration.

Financial Information About Geographic Areas

We conduct part of our commercial finance line of business in Canadian markets. Net revenues for the last three years in this line of business attributable to Canadian customers were \$11.5 million, \$11.5 million and \$7.9 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Table of Contents

Supervision and Regulation

General

We and our subsidiaries are each extensively regulated under state and federal law. The following is a summary of certain statutes and regulations that apply to us and to our subsidiaries. These summaries are not complete, and you should refer to the statutes and regulations for more information. Also, these statutes and regulations may change in the future, and we cannot predict what effect these changes, if made, will have on our operations.

We are regulated at both the holding company and subsidiary level and subject to both state and federal examination on matters relating to safety and soundness, including risk management, asset quality and capital adequacy, as well as a broad range of other regulatory concerns including: insider transactions, the adequacy of the reserve for loan losses, intercompany transactions, regulatory reporting, adequacy of systems of internal controls and limitations on permissible activities. We also are subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which imposes (i) requirements for audit committee members, including independence and financial expertise (ii) responsibilities regarding financial statements for chief executive officers and chief financial officers; (iii) standards for auditors and audits; (iv) increased disclosure and reporting obligations for public companies and their directors and executive officers; and (v) civil and criminal penalties for violation of the securities laws.

Our product and service offerings are subject to a number of consumer protection laws and regulations. In many instances these rules contain specific requirements regarding the content and timing of disclosures and the manner in which we must process and execute transactions. Some of these rules provide consumers with rights and remedies, including the right to initiate private litigation.

In addition, we are required to establish and administer a variety of processes and programs to address other regulatory requirements, including: community reinvestment provisions; protection of customer information; identification of suspicious activities, including possible money laundering; proper identification of customers when performing transactions; maintenance of information and site security; and other bank compliance provisions. In a number of instances board and/or management oversight is required as well as employee training on specific regulations.

Regulatory agencies have a broad range of sanctions and enforcement powers if an institution fails to meet regulatory requirements, including civil money penalties, formal agreements, and cease and desist orders.

Bank Holding Company Regulation

We are registered as a bank holding company with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended and the related regulations, referred to as the BHC Act. We are subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Federal Reserve, and as part of this process, we must file reports and additional information with the Federal Reserve.

Minimum Capital Requirements

The Federal Reserve has imposed risk-based capital requirements on us as a bank holding company. Under these requirements, capital is classified into two categories:

Tier 1 capital, or core capital, consists of
common stockholders' equity;

qualifying noncumulative perpetual preferred stock;

qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock (subject to some limitations, and including our Trust Preferred securities, of which \$169 million qualified as Tier 1 capital as of December 31, 2005); and

minority interests in the common equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries;

Table of Contents

less

goodwill;

credit-enhancing interest-only strips (certain amounts only); and

specified intangible assets (including \$0.8 million of disqualified Mortgage Servicing Assets (MSRs) as of December 31, 2005).

Tier 2 capital, or supplementary capital, consists of allowance for loan and lease losses;

perpetual preferred stock and related surplus;

hybrid capital instruments (including Trust Preferred securities, of which \$64 million qualified as Tier 2 capital as of December 31, 2005);

unrealized holding gains on equity securities;

perpetual debt and mandatory convertible debt securities;

term subordinated debt, including related surplus; and

intermediate-term preferred stock, including related securities.

The Federal Reserve's capital adequacy guidelines require bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of qualifying total capital to risk-weighted assets of 8 percent, at least 4 percent of which must be in the form of Tier 1 capital. Risk-weighted assets include assets and credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet items of bank holding companies that are assigned to one of several risk categories, based on the obligor or the nature of the collateral. The Federal Reserve has established a minimum leverage ratio of Tier 1 capital (less any intangible capital items) to total assets (less any intangible assets), of 3 percent for strong bank holding companies (those rated a composite 1 under the Federal Reserve's rating system). For all other bank holding companies, the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets is 4 percent. The Federal Reserve continues to consider the Tier 1 leverage ratio in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activities.

As of December 31, 2005, we had regulatory capital in excess of all the Federal Reserve's minimum levels and our internal minimum target of 11.75% for risk-adjusted capital. Our ratio of total capital to risk weighted assets at December 31, 2005 was 13.1% and our Tier 1 leverage ratio was 10.3%.

Expansion

Under the BHC Act, we must obtain prior Federal Reserve approval for certain activities, such as the acquisition of more than 5% of the voting shares of any company, including a bank or bank holding company. The BHC Act permits a bank holding company to engage in activities that the Federal Reserve has determined to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident to those banking activities, such as operating a mortgage bank or a savings association, conducting leasing and venture capital investment activities, performing trust company functions, or acting as an investment or financial advisor. See the section on Interstate Banking and Branching below.

Dividends

The Federal Reserve has policies on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies. The Federal Reserve believes that a bank holding company experiencing earnings weaknesses should not pay cash dividends (1) exceeding its net income or (2) which only could be funded in ways that would weaken a bank holding company's financial health, such as by borrowing. Also, the Federal Reserve possesses enforcement powers over bank holding companies and their non-bank subsidiaries to prevent or remedy unsafe or unsound practices or violations of

applicable statutes and regulations. Among these powers is the ability to prohibit or limit the payment of dividends by banks (including dividends to bank holding companies) and bank holding companies. See Dividend Limitations below.

Table of Contents

The Federal Reserve expects us to act as a source of financial strength to our banking subsidiaries and to commit resources to support them. In implementing this policy, the Federal Reserve could require us to provide financial support when we otherwise would not consider ourselves able to do so.

In addition to the restrictions on fundamental corporate actions such as acquisitions and dividends imposed by the Federal Reserve, Indiana law also places limitations on our authority with respect to such activities.

Bank and Thrift Regulation

Indiana law subjects Irwin Union Bank and Trust and its subsidiaries to supervision and examination by the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions. Irwin Union Bank and Trust is a member of the Federal Reserve System and, along with its subsidiaries, is also subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Federal Reserve. Subsidiaries routinely subject to examination include Irwin Mortgage, Irwin Home Equity and Irwin Commercial Finance.

Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., a direct subsidiary of the bank holding company, is a federally chartered savings bank. Accordingly, it is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS).

The deposits of Irwin Union Bank and Trust are insured by the Bank Insurance Fund, and the deposits of Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. are insured by the Savings Association Insurance Fund under the provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (FDIA). As a result, Irwin Union Bank and Trust and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. are subject to supervision by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

Irwin Union Bank and Trust and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. must file reports with the Federal Reserve and the OTS, respectively, and with the FDIC concerning its activities and financial condition in addition to obtaining regulatory approvals before establishing branches or entering into certain transactions such as mergers with, or acquisitions of, other financial institutions.

Mortgage Banking and Residential Lending Regulation

The residential lending activities of Irwin Union Bank and Trust, the mortgage banking activities of its subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage, and the home equity lending business of Irwin Union Bank and Trust's subsidiary Irwin Home Equity, are regulated by the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve has broad authority to oversee the banking activities of Irwin Union Bank and Trust and its subsidiaries as the primary federal regulator of the bank, pursuant to the Federal Reserve Act, and the nonbanking subsidiaries of Irwin Financial Corporation, pursuant to the BHC Act. Federal Reserve regulations and policies, such as restrictions on affiliate transactions and real estate lending policies relating to asset quality and prudent underwriting of loans, apply to our residential lending activities. The Indiana Department of Financial Institutions has comparable supervisory and examination authority over Irwin Mortgage, Irwin Home Equity and Irwin Commercial Finance due to their status as subsidiaries of Irwin Union Bank and Trust.

Capital Requirements

The Federal Reserve imposes requirements on state member banks such as Irwin Union Bank and Trust regarding the maintenance of adequate capital substantially identical to the capital regulations applicable to bank holding companies described in the section on *Bank Holding Company Regulation - Minimum Capital Requirements*. While retaining the authority to set capital ratios for individual banks, these regulations prescribe minimum total risk-based capital, Tier 1 risk-based capital and leverage (Tier 1 capital divided by average total assets) ratios. The Federal Reserve requires banks to hold capital commensurate with the level and nature of all of the risks, including the volume and severity of problem loans, to which they are exposed.

As with the regulations applicable to bank holding companies, the Federal Reserve requires all state member banks to meet a minimum ratio of qualifying total capital to weighted risk assets of 8 percent, of which at least 4 percent should be in the form of Tier 1 capital.

Table of Contents

The minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets, or the leverage ratio, for strong banking institutions (rated composite 1 under the uniform rating system of banks) is 3 percent. For all other institutions, the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets is 4 percent. Banking institutions with supervisory, financial, operational, or managerial weaknesses are expected to maintain capital ratios well above the minimum levels, as are institutions with high or inordinate levels of risk. Banks experiencing or anticipating significant growth are also expected to maintain capital, including tangible capital positions, well above the minimum levels. A majority of such institutions generally have operated at capital levels ranging from 1 to 2 percent above the stated minimums. Higher capital ratios could be required if warranted by the particular circumstances to risk profiles of individual banks. The standards set forth above specify minimum supervisory ratios based primarily on broad credit risk considerations. The risk-based ratio does not take explicit account of the quality of individual asset portfolios or the range of other types of risks to which banks may be exposed, such as interest rate, liquidity, market or operational risks. For this reason, banks are generally expected to operate with capital positions above the minimum ratios.

At December 31, 2005, Irwin Union Bank and Trust had a total risk-based capital ratio of 12.3%, a Tier 1 capital ratio of 10.8%, and a leverage ratio of 10.4%.

The risk-based capital guidelines also provide that an institution's exposure to declines in the economic value of the institution's capital due to changes in interest rates must be considered as a factor by the agencies in evaluating the capital adequacy of a bank or savings association. This assessment of interest rate risk management is incorporated into the bank's overall risk management rating and used to determine management's effectiveness.

Insurance of Deposit Accounts

As FDIC-insured institutions, Irwin Union Bank and Trust and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. are required to pay deposit insurance premiums based on the risk they pose to the Bank Insurance Fund (BIF) and the Savings Association Insurance Fund (SAIF), respectively. Currently, the amount of FDIC assessments paid by an insured depository institution ranges from zero to \$0.27 per \$100 of insured deposits, based on the institution's relative risk to the deposit insurance funds, as measured by the institution's regulatory capital position and other supervisory factors. The FDIC also has the authority to raise or lower assessment rates on insured deposits to achieve the statutorily required reserve ratios in insurance funds and to impose special additional assessments.

In addition to deposit insurance fund assessments, the FDIC assesses both BIF and SAIF insured deposits a special assessment to fund the repayment of debt obligations of the Financing Corporation (FICO). FICO is a government-sponsored entity that was formed to borrow the money necessary to carry out the closing and ultimate disposition of failed thrift institutions by the Resolution Trust Corporation. At December 31, 2005, the annualized rate established by the FDIC for the FICO assessment on both BIF and SAIF deposits was 1.34 basis points per \$100 of insured deposits.

On February 1, 2006, Congress enacted the FDIC Reform Act of 2005. This legislation, among other changes, will merge the BIF and SAIF into one fund, increase insurance coverage for retirement accounts to \$250,000 and index the insurance levels for inflation.

Dividend Limitations

Under Indiana law, certain dividends require notice to, or approval by, the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions, and Irwin Union Bank and Trust may not pay dividends in an amount greater than its net profits then available, after deducting losses and bad debts.

In addition, as a state member bank, Irwin Union Bank and Trust may not, without the approval of the Federal Reserve, declare a dividend if the total of all dividends declared in a calendar year, including the proposed dividend, exceeds the total of its net income for that year, combined with its retained net income of the preceding two years, less any required transfers to the surplus account. During the past two years, Irwin Union Bank and Trust dividends have exceeded net income during the same period primarily due to clean-up

Table of Contents

calls related to residuals held by our home equity segment. When the bond pools on which we have residual interests decline in size to less than 10 percent of their original balances, we have the right, but not the obligation to purchase the remaining loans from the bond pools. We typically do this to lower the administrative costs to both us and bond investors of continuing to service relatively small pools of loans and bonds. Our residual interests, and the right to call the bonds, are housed in a non-bank subsidiary. However, when we call (clean-up) the loans from pools, we wish to fund them permanently at Irwin Union Bank and Trust due to its lower cost funding. Once the loans are repurchased by the non-bank subsidiary, they are infused to Irwin Union Bank as a capital contribution. To restore liquidity to the non-bank subsidiary, we dividend a similar dollar amount from Irwin Union Bank and Trust to the parent. This process has used dividend capacity beyond the Bank's earnings in 2004 and 2005. As a result, the bank cannot declare a dividend to us without regulatory approval until such time that current year earnings plus earnings from the last two years exceeds dividends during the same periods. We expect to be able to declare dividends from the Irwin Union Bank and Trust to the holding company without prior approval by mid-year 2006.

In most cases, savings and loan associations, such as Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., are required either to apply to or to provide notice to the OTS regarding the payment of dividends. The savings association must seek approval if it does not qualify for expedited treatment under OTS regulations, or if the total amount of all capital distributions for the applicable calendar year exceeds net income for that year to date plus retained net income for the preceding two years, or the savings association would not be adequately capitalized following the dividend, or the proposed dividend would violate a prohibition in any statute, regulation or agreement with the OTS. In other circumstances, a simple notice is sufficient.

Our ability and the ability of Irwin Union Bank and Trust and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. to pay dividends also may be affected by the various capital requirements and the prompt corrective action standards described below under

Other Safety and Soundness Regulations. . Our rights and the rights of our shareholders and our creditors to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of our subsidiaries also is subject to the prior claims of creditors of our subsidiaries including the depositors of a bank subsidiary.

Interstate Banking and Branching

Under federal law, banks are permitted, if they are adequately or well-capitalized, in compliance with Community Reinvestment Act requirements and in compliance with state law requirements (such as age-of-bank limits and deposit caps), to merge with one another across state lines and to create a main bank with branches in separate states. After establishing branches in a state through an interstate merger transaction, a bank may establish and acquire additional branches at any location in the state where any bank involved in the interstate merger could have established or acquired branches under applicable federal and state law.

As a federally chartered savings bank, Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. has greater flexibility in pursuing interstate branching than an Indiana state bank. Subject to certain exceptions, a federal savings association generally may establish or operate a branch in any state outside the state of its home office if the association meets certain statutory requirements.

Community Reinvestment

Under the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA), banking and thrift institutions have a continuing and affirmative obligation, consistent with their safe and sound operation, to help meet the credit needs of their entire communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. Institutions are rated on their performance in meeting the needs of their communities. Performance is tested in three areas: (a) lending, which evaluates the institution's record of making loans in its assessment areas; (b) investment, which evaluates the institution's record of investing in community development projects, affordable housing and programs benefiting low or moderate income individuals and business; and (c) service, which evaluates the institution's delivery of services through its branches, ATMs and other activities. The CRA requires each federal banking agency, in connection with its examination of a financial institution, to assess and assign one of four ratings to the institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its community and to take this record into account in evaluating certain applications by the institution, including applications for charters, branches and

Table of Contents

other deposit facilities, relocations, mergers, consolidations, acquisitions of assets or assumptions of liabilities, and savings and loan holding company acquisitions. Both Irwin Union Bank and Trust and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. received a satisfactory rating on their most recent CRA performance evaluations.

Other Safety and Soundness Regulations

Under current law, the federal banking agencies possess broad powers to take prompt corrective action in connection with depository institutions and their bank holding companies that do not meet minimum capital requirements. The law establishes five capital categories for insured depository institutions for this purpose: well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. To be considered well-capitalized under these standards, an institution must maintain a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% or greater; a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% or greater; a leverage capital ratio of 5% or greater; and not be subject to any order or written directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure. An adequately capitalized institution must have a Tier 1 capital ratio of at least 4%, a total capital ratio of at least 8% and a leverage ratio of at least 4%. Federal law also requires the bank regulatory agencies to implement systems for prompt corrective action for institutions that fail to meet minimum capital requirements within the five capital categories, with progressively more severe restrictions on operations, management and capital distributions according to the category in which an institution is placed. Failure to meet capital requirements can also cause an institution to be directed to raise additional capital. Federal law also mandates that the agencies adopt safety and soundness standards relating generally to operations and management, asset quality and executive compensation, and authorizes administrative action against an institution that fails to meet such standards.

Brokered Deposits

Brokered deposits include funds obtained, directly or indirectly, by or through a deposit broker for deposit into one or more deposit accounts. Well-capitalized institutions are not subject to limitations on brokered deposits, while an adequately capitalized institution is able to accept, renew or rollover brokered deposits only with a waiver from the FDIC and subject to certain restrictions on the yield paid on such deposits. Undercapitalized institutions are not permitted to accept brokered deposits. Irwin Union Bank and Trust and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. are permitted to accept brokered deposits.

Anti-Money Laundering Laws

Irwin Union Bank and Trust and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. are subject to the Bank Secrecy Act and its implementing regulations and other anti-money laundering laws and regulations, including the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001. Among other things, these laws and regulations require Irwin Union Bank and Trust and Irwin Union Bank F.S.B. to take steps to prevent the use of each institution for facilitating the flow of illegal or illicit money, to report large currency transactions and to file suspicious activity reports. Each bank also is required to develop and implement a comprehensive anti-money laundering compliance program. Banks also must have in place appropriate know your customer policies and procedures. Violations of these requirements can result in substantial civil and criminal sanctions. In addition, provisions of the USA PATRIOT Act require the federal financial institution regulatory agencies to consider the effectiveness of a financial institution's anti-money laundering activities when reviewing bank mergers and bank holding company acquisitions.

Compliance with Consumer Protection Laws

Our subsidiaries also are subject to federal and state consumer protection statutes and regulations including the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act, the Truth in Lending Act, the Truth in Savings Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act. Among other things, these acts:

require lenders to disclose credit terms in meaningful and consistent ways;

prohibit discrimination against an applicant in any consumer or business credit transaction;

Table of Contents

prohibit discrimination in housing-related lending activities;

require certain lenders to collect and report applicant and borrower data regarding loans for home purchases or improvement projects;

require lenders to provide borrowers with information regarding the nature and cost of real estate settlements;

prohibit certain lending practices and limit escrow account amounts with respect to real estate transactions; and

prescribe possible penalties for violations of the requirements of consumer protection statutes and regulations.

In addition, banking subsidiaries are subject to a number of federal and state regulations that offer consumer protections to depositors, including account terms and disclosures, funds availability and electronic funds transfers.

As part of the home equity line of business in conjunction with its subsidiary, Irwin Home Equity, Irwin Union Bank and Trust originates home equity loans through its branch in Carson City, Nevada. Irwin Union Bank and Trust uses interest rates and loan terms in its home equity loans and lines of credit that are authorized by Nevada law, but might not be authorized by the laws of the states in which the borrowers are located. As a FDIC-insured, state member bank, Irwin Union Bank and Trust is authorized by Section 27 of the FDIA to charge interest at rates allowed by the laws of the state where the bank is located regardless of any inconsistent state law, and to apply these rates to loans to borrowers in other states. The FDIC has opined that a state bank with branches outside of the state in which it is chartered may also be located in a state in which it maintains an interstate branch. Irwin Union Bank and Trust relies on Section 27 of the FDIA and the FDIC opinion in conducting its home equity lending business described above. From time to time, state regulators have questioned the application of Section 27 of the FDIA to credit practices affecting citizens of their states. Any change in Section 27 of the FDIA or in the FDIC's interpretation of this provision, or any successful challenge as to the permissibility of these activities, could require that we change the terms of some of our loans or the manner in which we conduct our home equity line of business.

Employees and Labor Relations

At January 31, 2006 we and our subsidiaries had a total of 2,445 employees, including full-time and part-time employees. We continue a commitment of equal employment opportunity for all job applicants and staff members, and management regards its relations with its employees as satisfactory.

Executive Officers

Our executive officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors and serve until their successors are qualified and elected. In addition to our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. William I. Miller (49), who also serves as a director, our executive officers are listed below.

Gregory F. Ehlinger (43) has been our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since August of 1999. He has been one of our officers since August 1992.

Jose M. Gonzalez (47) has been our Vice President-Director Internal Audit since October 1995.

Robert H. Griffith (47) has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Irwin Mortgage since January 2001. He has been an officer of Irwin Mortgage since 1993.

Theresa L. Hall (53) has been our Vice President-Human Resources since 1988 and one of our officers since 1980.

Bradley J. Kime (45) has been President of Irwin Union Bank's commercial line of business since May 2003 and President of Irwin Union Bank F.S.B. since December 2000. He has been an officer of Irwin Union Bank and Trust since 1987 and one of our officers since 1986.

Table of Contents

Joseph R. LaLeggia (44) has been President of Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation since July of 2002. He has been the President and Chief Executive Officer of Irwin Commercial Finance Canada Corporation (formerly, Onset Capital Corporation) since April 1998.

Jody A. Littrell (38) has been our Vice President and Controller since March 2000.

Jocelyn Martin-Leano (44) has served as Interim President of Irwin Home Equity since December 30, 2005. She has served in several executive officer positions since joining Irwin Home Equity in 1995.

David S. Meyercord (38) has been Senior Vice President of Irwin Ventures since 2000 and President of Irwin Shared Services since June 2005. He has held several management and executive positions at the parent company since 1997.

Steven R. Schultz (41) re-joined us as Vice President-General Counsel in January of 2006. He served as General Counsel to the Governor of Indiana during 2005. He joined us as Vice President-Legal in January 2002. From August 1999 through December 2001 he was an attorney in the London office of Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson, focusing primarily on mergers and acquisitions, capital markets financings and private equity transactions

Matthew F. Souza (48) has been our Senior Vice President-Ethics since August 1999 and our Secretary since 1986. He has been one of our officers since 1986.

Brett R. Vanderkolk (40) has been our Vice President-Treasurer since September 2000.

Thomas D. Washburn (58) has been our Executive Vice President since August 1999 and one of our officers since 1976. From 1981 to August 1999 he served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our securities involves a number of risks. We urge you to read all of the information contained in this Report on Form 10-K. In addition, we urge you to consider carefully the following factors in evaluating an investment in our common shares.

Risks Relating to General Economic Conditions and Interest Rates.

We may be adversely affected by a general deterioration in economic conditions.

The risks associated with our business become more acute in periods of a slowing economy or slow growth. Economic declines may be accompanied by a decrease in demand for consumer and commercial credit and declining real estate and other asset values. Delinquencies, foreclosures and losses generally increase during economic slowdowns or periods of slow growth. We expect that our servicing costs and credit losses will increase during periods of economic slowdown or slow growth.

In our consumer mortgage lines of business, a material decline in real estate values may reduce the ability of borrowers to use home equity to support borrowings and could increase the loan-to-value ratios of loans we have previously made, thereby weakening collateral coverage and increasing the possibility of a loss in the event of a default. A decline in real estate values could also materially reduce the amount of home equity loans we produce.

We may be adversely affected by interest rate changes.

We and our subsidiaries are subject to interest rate risk. Changes in interest rates will affect the value of loans, deposits and other interest-sensitive assets and liabilities on our balance sheet. Our income may be at risk because changes in interest rates also affect our net interest margin and the value of assets and derivatives that we sell from time to time or that are subject to either mark-to-market accounting or lower-of-cost-or-market accounting, such as loans held for sale, mortgage servicing rights and derivatives instruments.

Reductions in interest rates expose us to write-downs in the carrying value of the mortgage servicing and other servicing assets we hold on our balance sheet. These assets are recorded at the lower of their cost or

Table of Contents

market value and a valuation allowance is recorded for any impairment. Decreasing interest rates often lead to increased prepayments in the underlying loans which requires that we write down the carrying value of these servicing assets. The change in value of these assets, if improperly hedged or mismanaged, could adversely affect our operating results in the period in which the impairment occurs.

Our commercial lending and commercial finance lines of business mainly depend on earnings derived from net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and the interest expense paid on other borrowings, including deposits at our banks and other funding liabilities we have. Our interest income and interest expense are affected by general economic conditions and by the policies of regulatory authorities, including the monetary policies of the Federal Reserve that cause our funding costs and yields on new or variable rate assets to change.

Although we take measures intended to manage the risks of operating in changing interest rate environments, we cannot eliminate interest rate sensitivity. Our goal is to ensure that interest rate sensitivity does not exceed prudent levels as determined by our Board of Directors in certain policies. Our risk management techniques include modeling interest rate scenarios, using financial hedging instruments, match-funding certain loan assets, selling selected servicing rights and maintaining a strong loan production operation to offset interest rate risk. There are costs and risks associated with our risk management techniques, and these could be substantial.

Finally, to reduce the effect interest rates have on our businesses, we periodically invest in derivatives and other interest-sensitive instruments. While our intent in purchasing these instruments is to reduce our overall interest rate sensitivity, the performance of these instruments can, at times, cause volatility in our results either due to factors such as basis risk between the derivatives and the hedged item, timing of accounting recognition differences or other such factors.

Risks Relating to an Investment in Us.

We have recently had financial performance below that of peers and have lost money in two of the past four quarters.

In the first and second quarters of 2005, we lost money and in the fourth quarter of 2005 earned substantially less as a percentage of assets than peers. While we believe we are addressing the factors that caused this underperformance, there can be no assurance if and when our results will surpass that of our peers.

We may need additional capital in the future and adequate financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

We anticipate that we will be able to access capital markets as necessary to fund the growth of our business. However, we have recently been growing at a rate that exceeds our ability to generate internally capital sufficient to maintain our desired capital levels. We intend to seek additional capital in the future to fund growth of our operations and to maintain our regulatory capital above well-capitalized standards. We may not be able to obtain additional debt or equity financing, or, if available, it may not be in amounts and on terms acceptable to us. If we are unable to obtain the funding we need, we may be unable to develop our products and services, take advantage of future opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our operations may be adversely affected if we are unable to secure adequate funding; our use of wholesale funding sources and securitizations exposes us to potential liquidity risk.

Due to balance sheet growth, in recent quarters we have increased our reliance on wholesale funding, such as short-term credit facilities, Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings and brokered deposits. Because wholesale funding sources are affected by general capital market conditions, the availability of funding from wholesale lenders may be dependent on the confidence these investors have in commercial and consumer finance businesses. The continued availability to us of these funding sources is uncertain, and we could be adversely impacted if our business segments become disfavored by wholesale lenders. In addition, brokered deposits may be difficult for us to retain or replace at attractive rates as they mature. Our financial flexibility

Table of Contents

could be severely constrained if we are unable to renew our wholesale funding or if adequate financing is not available in the future at acceptable rates of interest. We may not have sufficient liquidity to continue to fund new loans or lease originations and we may need to liquidate loans or other assets unexpectedly in order to repay obligations as they mature.

We regularly sell the majority of our first and second mortgage loan originations into the secondary market through the use of securitizations. At times, some of our financial assets, such as nontraditional, high loan-to-value home equity loans or residuals, may not be readily marketable, and we may not be able to sell assets at favorable prices when necessary. This could adversely affect our liquidity and funding for future originations and purchases of loans.

We have announced our intention to seek strategic alternatives, including the possible sale of the operation of our conventional mortgage segment. This segment has been a net provider of liquidity to the Corporation and our divestiture of it would cause us to seek alternative funding sources to contribute to our other lines of business.

We have credit risk inherent in our asset portfolios.

In our businesses, some borrowers may not repay loans that we make to them. As all financial institutions do, we maintain an allowance for loan and lease losses to absorb the level of losses that we think is probable in our portfolios. However, our allowance for loan and lease losses may not be sufficient to cover the loan and lease losses that we actually may incur. While we maintain a reserve at a level management believes is adequate, our charge-offs could exceed these reserves. If we experience defaults by borrowers in any of our businesses to a greater extent than anticipated, our earnings could be negatively impacted.

Certain of our consumer mortgage products are not sold by many financial institutions.

Product design is important to us to differentiate us in consumer mortgage lending. We have developed our lines of business by identifying niches that we believe offer us a competitive opportunity. For this reason, the performance of our financial assets may be less predictable than those of other lenders. We may not have the same history of delinquency and loss experience to utilize in pricing and structuring some of our products as do lenders offering more seasoned asset types, and it may be more difficult to sell or securitize certain, more innovative, products.

The generally accepted accounting principals (GAAP) for our activities have evolved in a meaningful manner in the past decade and we expect continued change.

We may also be impacted by changes in evolving generally accepted accounting principles, unanticipated financial reporting requirements and regulatory uncertainties since accounting and regulatory treatment may not be well established for some of our strategies. We have had a recent history of unintentional, but material misstatement in our financial statement filings in connection with a novel asset and were required to file amended periodic filings for 2004 and the first and second quarters of 2005. While we believe we have appropriate safeguards in place to prevent a recurrence of these misstatements, we cannot guarantee that a subsequent error will not be made.

We rely heavily on our management team and key personnel, and the unexpected loss of key managers and personnel may affect our operations adversely.

Each line of our lines of business has a management team that operates its niche as a separate business unit. Our overall financial performance depends heavily on the results of these different specialized financial services businesses. Our success to date has been influenced strongly by our ability to attract and to retain senior management that is experienced in banking and financial services. Our ability to retain executive officers and the current management teams of each of our lines of business will continue to be important to implement our strategies successfully.

Ownership of our common stock is concentrated in persons affiliated with us.

Our Chairman and CEO, William I. Miller, currently has voting control, including common shares beneficially held through employee stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of the record date, of

Table of Contents

approximately 38% of our common shares. Together with Mr. Miller, directors and executive officers of Irwin beneficially own, including the right to acquire common stock through employee stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of the record date, more than 40% of our common shares. These persons likely have the ability to substantially control the outcome of all shareholder votes and to direct our affairs and business. This voting power would enable them to cause actions to be taken that may prove to be inconsistent with the interests of non-affiliated shareholders.

Our future success depends on our ability to compete effectively in highly competitive financial services industry.

The financial services industry, including commercial banking, mortgage banking, home equity lending and equipment leasing, is highly competitive, and we and our operating subsidiaries encounter strong competition for deposits, loans and other financial services in all of our market areas in each of our lines of business. Our principal competitors include other commercial banks, savings banks, savings and loan associations, mutual funds, money market funds, finance companies, trust companies, insurers, leasing companies, credit unions, mortgage companies, real estate investment trusts (REITs), private issuers of debt obligations, venture capital firms, and suppliers of other investment alternatives, such as securities firms. Many of our non-bank competitors are not subject to the same degree of regulation as we and our subsidiaries are and have advantages over us in providing certain services. Many of our competitors are significantly larger than we are and have greater access to capital and other resources. Also, our ability to compete effectively in our lines of business is dependent on our ability to adapt successfully to technological changes within the banking and financial services industry generally.

Our shareholder rights plan, provisions in our restated articles of incorporation, our by-laws, and Indiana law may delay or prevent an acquisition of us by a third party.

Our Board of Directors has implemented a shareholder rights plan. The rights have certain anti-takeover effects. The overall effects of the plan may be to render more difficult or to discourage a merger, tender offer or proxy contest, the assumption of control by a holder of a larger block of our shares and the removal of incumbent directors and key management even if such removal would be beneficial to shareholders generally. If triggered, the rights will cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire us without approval of our Board of Directors, and under certain circumstances, the rights beneficially owned by the person or group may become void. The plan also may have the effect of limiting shareholder participation in certain transactions such as mergers or tender offers whether or not such transactions are favored by incumbent directors and key management. In addition, our executive officers may be more likely to retain their positions with us as a result of the plan, even if their removal would be beneficial to shareholders generally.

Our restated articles of incorporation and our by-laws as well as Indiana law contain provisions that make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us without the consent of our Board of Directors. These provisions also could discourage proxy contests and may make it more difficult for you and other shareholders to elect your own representatives as directors and take other corporate actions.

Our by-laws do not permit cumulative voting of shareholders in the election of directors, allowing the holders of a majority of our outstanding shares to control the election of all our directors. We have a staggered board which means that only one-third of our board can be replaced by shareholders at any annual meeting. Directors may not be removed by shareholders. As a result of his share ownership position, our Chairman, William I. Miller, will likely be able to exercise effective control over the outcome of any shareholder vote. Our by-laws also provide that only our Board of Directors, and not our shareholders, may adopt, alter, amend and repeal our by-laws.

Indiana law provides several limitations that may discourage potential acquirers from purchasing our common shares. In particular, Indiana law prohibits business combinations with a person who acquires 10% or more of our common shares during the five-year period after the acquisition of 10% by that person or entity, unless the acquirer receives prior approval for the acquisition of the shares or business combination from our Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

These and other provisions of Indiana law and our governing documents could provide the Board of Directors with the negotiating leverage to achieve a more favorable outcome for our shareholders in the event of an offer for the Company. On the other hand, these same anti-takeover provisions could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control that might be in the best interest of our shareholders.

We are the defendant in class actions and other lawsuits that could subject us to material liability.

Our subsidiaries have been named as defendants in lawsuits that allege we violated state and federal laws in the course of making loans and leases. Among the allegations are that we charged impermissible and excessive rates and fees, participated in fraudulent financing, and are responsible for injuries to renters whose landlord had a mortgage with our subsidiary. Most of these cases either seek or have attained class action status, which generally involves a large number of plaintiffs and could result in potentially increased amounts of loss. We have not established reserves in the majority of these lawsuits due to either lack of probability of loss or inability to accurately estimate potential loss. If decided against us, the lawsuits have the potential to affect us materially. The *Legal Proceedings* section in Part I, Item 3 of this Report describes in more detail the lawsuits in which we are named as defendants that potentially could result in material liability.

Our business may be affected adversely by the highly regulated environment in which we operate.

We and our subsidiaries are subject to extensive federal and state regulation and supervision. Our failure to comply with these requirements can lead to, among other remedies, administrative enforcement actions, termination or suspension of our licenses, rights of rescission for borrowers, and class action lawsuits. Recently enacted, proposed and future legislation and regulations have had, will continue to have or may have significant impact on the financial services industry. Regulatory or legislative changes could make regulatory compliance more difficult or expensive for us, causing us to change or limit some of our consumer loan products or the way we operate our different lines of business. Future changes could affect the profitability of some or all of our lines of business.

The consumer lending business in which we engage is highly regulated and has been the subject of increasing legislative and regulatory initiatives. Federal, state and local government agencies and/or legislators have adopted and continue to consider legislation to restrict lenders' ability to charge rates and fees in connection with residential mortgage loans. In general, these proposals involve lowering the existing federal Homeownership and Equity Protection Act thresholds for defining a high-cost loan, and establishing enhanced protections and remedies for borrowers who receive these loans. Frequently referred to as predatory lending legislation, many of these laws and rules also restrict commonly accepted lending activities, including some of our activities, such as offering balloon loan features and prepayment charges. These laws, regulations and initiatives have, and could further, limit our ability to impose various fees and charge what we believe are risk-based interest rates on various types of consumer loans, and may impose additional regulatory restrictions on our business in certain states.

Because we originate home equity loans from our banking branch in Nevada, federal law permits us to charge interest rates and certain fees associated with the interest rate permitted by Nevada law regardless of where the borrowers may reside. Nonetheless, from time to time regulators and customers from other states have questioned our ability to charge certain fees, such as prepayment penalties, to residents of their states. At least one of the lawsuits pending against us challenges our ability to charge these fees to borrowers in another state. A change in federal or state law or regulation, or an adverse interpretation or decision by a court in litigation on this issue, may affect the rates and fees we charge on home equity loans made to borrowers outside Nevada.

Table of Contents

Our regulators have policies that can restrict the payment of cash dividends from our banking subsidiaries to us and from us to our shareholders. We have paid dividends on our common stock in the past but there is no certainty that we will continue to do so.

Like other registrants, we are subject to the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Failure to have in place adequate programs and procedures could cause us to have gaps in our internal control environment, putting the Corporation and its shareholders at risk of loss.

These and other potential changes in government regulation or policies could increase our costs of doing business and could adversely affect our operations and the manner in which we conduct our business.

Item 2. *Properties*

Our main office is located at 500 Washington Street, Columbus, Indiana, in space leased from Irwin Union Bank and Trust. The location and general character of our other materially important physical properties as of January 31, 2006 are as follows:

Irwin Union Bank and Trust

The main office is located in four buildings at 435, 500, 520 and 526 Washington Street, Columbus, Indiana. Irwin Union Realty Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Irwin Union Bank and Trust, owns these buildings in fee and leases them to Irwin Union Bank and Trust. One or the other of Irwin Union Bank and Trust or Irwin Union Realty owns the branch properties in fee at seven locations in Bartholomew County, Indiana. These properties have no major encumbrances. Irwin Union Bank and Trust or Irwin Union Realty owns or leases nine other branch offices in Central and Southern Indiana, four offices in Michigan, two offices in Nevada, and one in Utah.

Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.

The home office is located at 500 Washington Street, Columbus Indiana. Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. has six branch offices located in Arizona, California (2), Kentucky Missouri, and Wisconsin. All offices are leased.

Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation

The main office of Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation is located at 500 Washington Street, Columbus, Indiana. The office of our domestic commercial finance operation, Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation, Equipment Finance, formerly Irwin Business Finance Corporation is located at 330 120th Avenue NE, Bellevue, Washington and is leased. Our Canadian commercial finance subsidiary, Irwin Commercial Finance Canada Corporation (formerly Onset Capital Corporation), leases its main office at Suite 300 Park Place, 666 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, and leases its three processing centers in Calgary, Alberta; Toronto, Ontario; and Montreal, Quebec. The main offices of our franchise lending subsidiary, Irwin Franchise Capital Corporation, are located at 10 Paragon Drive, Montvale, New Jersey and 2700 Westchester Avenue, Purchase, New York and are both leased. In addition, Irwin Franchise Capital owns the building that houses its telesales center at 2715 13th Street, Columbus, Nebraska.

Irwin Home Equity

The main office is located at 12677 Alcosta Boulevard, Suite 500, San Ramon, California. Irwin Home Equity occupies one other office at this location in San Ramon, California and an office located at 2550 West Tyvola Rd., Suite 290, Charlotte, North Carolina. All three offices are leased.

Irwin Mortgage

The main office, where administrative and servicing activities are centered, is located at 10500 Kincaid Drive, Fishers, Indiana, and is leased. Loan production and satellite offices, which are leased, are operated from approximately 48 locations in 27 states.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Culpepper v. Inland Mortgage Corporation

Our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation (formerly Inland Mortgage Corporation), is a defendant in a class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, filed in April 1996, alleging that Irwin Mortgage violated the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) relating to Irwin Mortgage's payment of broker fees to mortgage brokers. In June 2001, the Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit upheld the district court's certification of a plaintiff class. In November 2001, the parties filed supplemental briefs analyzing the impact of an October 18, 2001 policy statement issued by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that explicitly disagreed with the judicial interpretation of RESPA by the Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit in its ruling upholding class certification in this case.

Subsequently, the 11th Circuit subsequently decided three other RESPA cases. In one of those cases, the 11th Circuit concluded that the trial court had abused its discretion in certifying a class action under RESPA. Further, in that decision, the 11th Circuit expressly recognized it was, in effect, overruling its previous decision upholding class certification in our case. In March 2003, Irwin Mortgage filed a motion to decertify the class. Irwin Mortgage and the plaintiffs also filed motions for summary judgment.

On February 7, 2006, the trial court denied the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and granted Irwin Mortgage's motions to decertify the class and for summary judgment, thereby dismissing this case. The plaintiffs then filed a notice of appeal with the Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit. If the plaintiffs were to prevail on their appeal and also prevail at a subsequent trial on the merits, Irwin Mortgage could be liable for RESPA damages that could be material to our financial position. However, Irwin Mortgage believes the 11th Circuit's RESPA ruling in a similar case argued before it would support a decision in this case affirming the trial court in favor of Irwin Mortgage. We therefore have not established any reserves for this case.

Silke v. Irwin Mortgage Corporation

In April 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, was named as a defendant in a class action lawsuit filed in the Marion County, Indiana, Superior Court. The complaint alleges that Irwin Mortgage charged a document preparation fee in violation of Indiana law for services performed by clerical personnel in completing legal documents related to mortgage loans. Irwin Mortgage filed an answer on June 11, 2003 and a motion for summary judgment on October 27, 2003. On June 18, 2004, the court certified a plaintiff class consisting of Indiana borrowers who were allegedly charged the fee by Irwin Mortgage any time after April 17, 1997. This date was later clarified by stipulation of the parties to be April 14, 1997. In November 2004, the court heard arguments on Irwin Mortgage's motion for summary judgment and plaintiffs' motion seeking to send out class notice. On January 23, 2006, the court ruled that dissemination of class notice can proceed before the court addresses the motion for summary judgment. We are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Mortgage could suffer. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Cohens v. Inland Mortgage Corporation

In October 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation (formerly Inland Mortgage Corporation), was named as a defendant, along with others, in an action filed in the Supreme Court of New York, County of Kings. The plaintiffs, a mother and two children, allege they were injured from lead contamination while living in premises allegedly owned by the defendants. The suit seeks approximately \$41 million in damages and alleges negligence, breach of implied warranty of habitability and fitness for intended use, loss of services and the cost of medical treatment. On June 15, 2005, Irwin Mortgage filed an answer and cross-claims seeking dismissal of the complaint. We are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Mortgage could suffer. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Table of Contents

Litigation in Connection with Loans Purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia

Our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, is a defendant in several actions in connection with loans Irwin Union Bank purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia (Community).

Hobson v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company was filed on July 30, 2004 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. As amended on August 30, 2004, the *Hobson* complaint, seeks certification of both a plaintiffs and a defendants class, the plaintiffs class to consist of all persons who obtained loans from Community and whose loans were purchased by Irwin Union Bank. *Hobson* alleges that defendants violated the Truth-in-Lending Act (TILA), the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act (HOEPA), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). On October 12, 2004, Irwin filed a motion to dismiss the *Hobson* claims as untimely filed and substantively defective.

Kossler v. Community Bank of Northern Virginia was originally filed in July 2002 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. Irwin Union Bank and Trust was added as a defendant in December 2004. The *Kossler* complaint seeks certification of a plaintiffs class and seeks to void the mortgage loans as illegal contracts. Plaintiffs also seek recovery against Irwin for alleged RESPA violations and for conversion. On September 9, 2005, the *Kossler* plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Class Action Complaint. On October 21, 2005, Irwin filed a renewed motion seeking to dismiss the *Kossler* action.

The plaintiffs in *Hobson* and *Kossler* claim that Community was allegedly engaged in a lending arrangement involving the use of its charter by certain third parties who charged high fees that were not representative of the services rendered and not properly disclosed as to the amount or recipient of the fees. The loans in question are allegedly high cost/high interest loans under Section 32 of HOEPA. Plaintiffs also allege illegal kickbacks and fee splitting. In *Hobson*, the plaintiffs allege that Irwin was aware of Community's alleged arrangement when Irwin purchased the loans and that Irwin participated in a RICO enterprise and conspiracy related to the loans. Because Irwin bought the loans from Community, the *Hobson* plaintiffs are alleging that Irwin has assignee liability under HOEPA.

If the *Hobson* and *Kossler* plaintiffs are successful in establishing a class and prevailing at trial, possible RESPA remedies could include treble damages for each service for which there was an unearned fee, kickback or overvalued service. Other possible damages in *Hobson* could include TILA remedies, such as rescission, actual damages, statutory damages not to exceed the lesser of \$500,000 or 1% of the net worth of the creditor, and attorneys' fees and costs; possible HOEPA remedies could include the refunding of all closing costs, finance charges and fees paid by the borrower; RICO remedies could include treble plaintiffs' actually proved damages. In addition, the *Hobson* plaintiffs are seeking unspecified punitive damages. Under TILA, HOEPA, RESPA and RICO, statutory remedies include recovery of attorneys' fees and costs. Other possible damages in *Kossler* could include the refunding of all origination fees paid by the plaintiffs.

Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company is also a defendant, along with Community, in two individual actions (*Chatfield v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, et al.* and *Ransom v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, et al.*) filed on June 9, 2004 in the Circuit Court of Frederick County, Maryland, involving mortgage loans Irwin Union Bank purchased from Community. On July 16, 2004, both of these lawsuits were removed to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. The complaints allege that the plaintiffs did not receive disclosures required under HOEPA and TILA. The lawsuits also allege violations of Maryland law because the plaintiffs were allegedly charged or contracted for a prepayment penalty fee. Irwin believes the plaintiffs received the required disclosures and that Community, a Virginia-chartered bank, was permitted to charge prepayment fees to Maryland borrowers. Under the loan purchase agreements between Irwin and Community, Irwin has the right to demand repurchase of the mortgage loans and to seek indemnification from Community for the claims in these lawsuits. On September 17, 2004, Irwin made a demand for indemnification and a defense to *Hobson*, *Chatfield* and *Ransom*. Community denied this request as premature.

In response to a motion by Irwin, the Judicial Panel On Multidistrict Litigation consolidated *Hobson*, *Chatfield* and *Ransom* with *Kossler* in the Western District of Pennsylvania for all pretrial proceedings.

Table of Contents

We have established a reserve for the Community litigation based upon SFAS 5 guidance and the advice of legal counsel.

Litigation Related to NorVergence, Inc.

Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation, Equipment Finance (Equipment Finance) (formerly known as Irwin Business Finance), our indirect subsidiary, is involved on a national basis in equipment leasing finance and maintains a diverse portfolio of leases, including leases in the telecommunications field. A portion of Equipment Finance s telecommunications portfolio involves leases of equipment acquired from NorVergence, Inc., a New Jersey-based telecommunications company. After assigning leases to Equipment Finance and other lenders, NorVergence became a debtor in a Chapter 7 bankruptcy, which is currently pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court in New Jersey. The sudden failure of NorVergence left many of its customers without telecommunications service. These customers became very angry when commitments made to them by NorVergence went unfulfilled.

Complaints by former NorVergence customers have led to investigations by the attorneys general of several states. Equipment Finance has been named as a defendant in several lawsuits connected with NorVergence. *Exquisite Caterers, LLC et al. v. Popular Leasing et al.* is a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Monmouth County, and was amended to include Equipment Finance and others on September 1, 2004. The *Exquisite Caterers* plaintiffs seek certification of a class of persons who leased network computer equipment from NorVergence, whose leases were assigned to defendants. The complaint alleges that NorVergence misrepresented the services and equipment provided, that the lessees were defrauded and the lease agreements should not be enforced. The action alleges violations of, among other things, the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act; the New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty, and Notice Act; the FTC Holder Rule; the FTC Act; and breach of contract and implied warranties. The plaintiffs seek compensatory, statutory and punitive damages, and injunctive relief, including rescission of the leases and cessation of collections. On June 16, 2005, the judge in the *Exquisite Caterers* lawsuit denied plaintiffs alternative motions for certification of either a nationwide class or a class of New Jersey residents only. Plaintiffs then filed a motion for reconsideration of the order denying certification of a class limited to New Jersey residents. At a hearing on September 14, 2005, the judge granted plaintiffs motion for reconsideration and certified a class limited to New Jersey residents. Equipment Finance has fewer than ten lessees who may qualify as members of the New Jersey class certified in the *Exquisite Caterers* lawsuit.

Equipment Finance was also named as a defendant, along with other lenders, in *Delanco Board of Education et al. v. IFC Credit Corporation*, a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County, Chancery Division, in October 2004 in connection with leases assigned to the lenders by NorVergence. (IFC Credit Corporation is not affiliated with Irwin Financial Corporation or Equipment Finance.) The suit involved more than one thousand plaintiffs and alleged fraud, misrepresentation and violations of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud law based on alleged conduct similar to that in *Exquisite Caterers*, with the addition of a count under the New Jersey RICO statute. Plaintiffs also alleged unjust enrichment and conversion and sought rescission of the leases plus punitive and other damages. After failing in an attempt to obtain a temporary injunction, the plaintiffs agreed to withdraw the complaint filed in the Superior Court and commenced actions in the NorVergence bankruptcy proceeding, seeking similar relief. Equipment Finance filed a motion to dismiss it from the adversary proceeding and is awaiting the court s ruling on the motion.

Equipment Finance was also named as a defendant, along with other lenders, in *Sterling Asset & Equity Corp. et al. v. Preferred Capital, Inc. et al.*, an action filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida in October 2004, which was voluntarily dismissed in January 2005. The plaintiffs then filed a similar complaint in the Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, Miami-Dade County, Florida on January 14, 2005 seeking class certification on behalf of Florida persons or entities who leased equipment from NorVergence and whose agreement was assigned to one of the named lenders. The plaintiffs allege that NorVergence engaged in false, misleading and deceptive sales and billing practices. The complaint alleges violations of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, the FTC Holder Rule, and breach of contract and warranties. Plaintiffs seek, among other relief, compensatory and punitive damages, injunctive and/or declaratory relief prohibiting enforcement of the leases, rescission, return of payments, interest,

Table of Contents

attorneys' fees and costs. Plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed this action in June of 2005 after Equipment Finance had filed its motion to dismiss the complaint.

In connection with investigations by various state attorneys general, Equipment Finance and other lenders were asked to produce information about their relationships with NorVergence and to refrain from enforcing NorVergence leases. Equipment Finance is pursuing discussions with most of the states in which it has customers who executed agreements with NorVergence and has discontinued collection activities while discussions are in progress. Equipment Finance has now executed agreements with: the Attorney General of California, providing for recovery of 15% of outstanding balances on California leases as of July 15, 2004, and with the Attorney General of Florida, entitling Equipment Finance to lease payments through January 31, 2005. In November of 2005, Equipment Finance extended the benefits of the California settlement to NorVergence customers residing in Texas. Equipment Finance recently executed an agreement with a multi-state group of attorneys general. The multi-state agreement requires that NorVergence lessees be offered the opportunity to pay Equipment Finance all amounts due on their leases through July 15, 2004, plus 15% of the then-outstanding balance in full satisfaction of their lease obligations.

On October 21, 2004, the Attorney General of Florida filed a complaint against twelve lenders, including Equipment Finance, in the Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit, Leon County, Florida (*State of Florida v. Commerce Commercial Leasing, LLC et al.*) This suit was stayed by agreement of the parties while they discussed resolution of the concerns expressed by the Florida Attorney General. The complaint alleged that the agreements assigned by NorVergence to the lenders were unconscionable under the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act. The suit also sought to prohibit collection activities by the lenders and asked for repayment of revenues, rescission of the agreements, restitution, recovery of actual damages, and civil money penalties. On April 29, 2005, acting on defendants' motion to dismiss, the judge in the Commerce Commercial Leasing action dismissed the action in its entirety. The Attorney General of Florida appealed the order of dismissal. Equipment Finance was dismissed from the appeal as a result of its settlement with the State of Florida.

The individual lawsuit filed against Equipment Finance in September 2004 in the Superior Court of Massachusetts was put on hold pending discussions with the multi-state group of attorneys general, of which the Attorney General of Massachusetts is a participant. The plaintiff in this action has been offered the opportunity to participate in the multi-state settlement program, and Equipment Finance is awaiting a response to its offer.

Agreements with state attorneys general and recent favorable court rulings have significantly reduced the risk that damages might be awarded against Equipment Finance in NorVergence-related class actions and other lawsuits. We have established loss reserves for customer reimbursements required under agreements already closed with various states' attorneys general. We have not established reserves in connection with NorVergence-related litigation.

Putkowski v. Irwin Home Equity Corporation and Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company

On August 12, 2005, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Home Equity Corporation, and our direct subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company (collectively, "Irwin"), were named as defendants in litigation seeking class action status in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The plaintiffs allege Irwin violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") by using or obtaining plaintiffs' consumer reports for credit transactions not initiated by plaintiffs and for which they did not receive firm offers of credit. The plaintiffs also allege that Irwin failed to provide clear and conspicuous disclosures as required by the FCRA. The complaint seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, statutory damages of \$1,000 per each separate violation and punitive damages for alleged willful violations of the FCRA. Plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint on October 4, 2005. On October 18, 2005, Irwin moved to dismiss the Amended Complaint for failure to state a claim. Irwin believes it has strong defenses to plaintiffs' claims; however, we are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin could suffer and have not established any reserves for this case.

Table of Contents

White v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company and Irwin Home Equity Corporation

On January 5, 2006, our direct subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, and our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Home Equity Corporation, (collectively, Irwin) were named as defendants in litigation in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland. The plaintiffs allege that Irwin charged or caused plaintiffs to pay certain fees, costs and other charges that were excessive or illegal under Maryland law in connection with loans made to plaintiffs by Irwin. The plaintiffs seek certification of a class consisting of Maryland residents who received mortgage loans from Irwin secured by real property in the State of Maryland and who claim injury due to Irwin's lending practices. The plaintiffs are seeking damages under the Maryland Mortgage Lending Laws and the Maryland Consumer Protection Act for, among other things, relief from further interest payments on their loans, reimbursement of interest, charges, fees and costs already paid, including prepayment penalties paid by the class, and damages of three times the amount of all allegedly excessive or illegal charges paid, plus attorneys' fees, expenses and costs. In the alternative, the plaintiffs seek arbitration as provided for in their mortgage notes. On February 17, 2006, Irwin filed a notice of removal, and removed the case from state to federal court. At this stage of the litigation, we are unable to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin could suffer and have not established any reserves for this case.

We and our subsidiaries are from time to time engaged in various matters of litigation, including the matters described above, other assertions of improper or fraudulent loan practices or lending violations, and other matters, and we have a number of unresolved claims pending. In addition, as part of the ordinary course of business, we and our subsidiaries are parties to litigation involving claims to the ownership of funds in particular accounts, the collection of delinquent accounts, challenges to security interests in collateral, and foreclosure interests, that is incidental to our regular business activities. While the ultimate liability with respect to these other litigation matters and claims cannot be determined at this time, we believe that damages, if any, and other amounts relating to pending matters are not likely to be material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations, except as described above. Reserves are established for these various matters of litigation, when appropriate under SFAS 5, based in part upon the advice of legal counsel.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

During the fourth quarter of 2005, no matters were submitted to a vote of our security holders, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. *Market for Corporation's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters***

Our stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol IFC. The following table sets forth certain information regarding trading in, and cash dividends paid with respect to, the shares of our common stock in each quarter of the two most recent calendar years. The approximate number of shareholders of record on February 17, 2006, was 2,129.

Stock Prices and Dividends:

	Price Range		Quarter	Cash	Total
	High	Low	End	Dividends	Dividends For Year
2004					
First quarter	\$ 36.17	\$ 26.63	\$ 26.98	\$ 0.08	
Second quarter	27.43	23.10	26.40	\$ 0.08	
Third Quarter	27.58	25.05	25.82	\$ 0.08	
Fourth Quarter	28.85	23.80	28.39	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.32
2005					
First quarter	\$ 28.53	\$ 22.11	\$ 23.02	\$ 0.10	
Second quarter	22.94	19.58	22.19	\$ 0.10	
Third Quarter	22.75	20.12	20.39	\$ 0.10	
Fourth Quarter	23.32	19.68	21.42	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.40

We expect to continue our policy of paying regular cash dividends, although there is no assurance as to future dividends because they are dependent on future earnings, capital requirements, and financial condition. On February 10, 2006, our Board of Directors approved an increase in the first quarter dividend to \$0.11 per share, payable in March 2006. Dividends paid by Irwin Union Bank and Trust and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. to the Corporation are restricted by banking law.

Sales of Unregistered Securities:

In 2004, we issued 5,955 shares of common stock pursuant to elections made by eight of our outside directors to receive board compensation under the 1999 Outside Director Restricted Stock Compensation Plan in lieu of cash fees. All of these shares were issued in reliance on the private placement exemption from registration provided in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data****Five-Year Selected Financial Data****At or For Year Ended December 31,****2005****2004****2003****2002****2001****(Dollars in thousands except per share data)****For the year:**

Net revenues	\$	359,524	\$	521,412	\$	530,445	\$	403,788	\$	387,019
Noninterest expense		331,555		407,235		412,043		317,557		312,819
Income before income taxes		27,969		114,177		118,402		86,231		74,200
Provision for income taxes		8,982		45,732		45,585		33,398		28,859
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		18,987		68,445		72,817		52,833		45,341
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax								495		175
Net income	\$	18,987	\$	68,445	\$	72,817	\$	53,328	\$	45,516
Mortgage loan originations	\$	11,029,183	\$	13,093,082	\$	22,669,246	\$	11,411,875	\$	9,225,991
Home equity loan originations		1,691,636		1,442,314		1,133,316		1,067,227		1,149,410
Common Share Data:										
Earnings per share: ⁽¹⁾										
Basic	\$	0.67	\$	2.42	\$	2.61	\$	1.99	\$	2.15
Diluted		0.66		2.28		2.45		1.89		2.00
Cash dividends per share		0.40		0.32		0.28		0.27		0.26
Book value per share		17.90		17.61		15.36		12.98		10.81
Dividend payout ratio		60.18%		13.24%		10.76%		14.01%		12.13%
Weighted average shares basic		28,518		28,274		27,915		26,823		21,175
		28,841		31,278		30,850		29,675		24,173

Weighted average shares diluted					
Shares outstanding end of period	28,618	28,452	28,134	27,771	21,305
At year end:					
Assets	\$ 6,646,524	\$ 5,235,820	\$ 4,988,359	\$ 4,910,392	\$ 3,446,602
Residual interests	22,116	56,101	71,491	157,514	199,071
Loans held for sale	1,293,519	890,711	883,895	1,314,849	502,086
Loans and leases	4,498,829	3,450,440	3,161,054	2,815,276	2,137,822
Allowance for loan and lease losses	59,749	44,443	64,285	50,936	22,283
Servicing assets	295,754	367,032	380,123	174,935	228,624
Deposits	3,898,993	3,395,263	2,899,662	2,693,810	2,308,962
Short-term borrowings	997,444	237,277	429,758	993,124	487,963
Collateralized debt	668,984	547,477	590,131	391,425	
Other long-term debt ⁽²⁾	270,160	270,172	270,184	30,070	30,000
Trust preferred securities ⁽²⁾				233,000	198,500
Shareholders equity	512,334	501,185	432,260	360,555	231,665
Managed mortgage banking servicing portfolio	18,265,288	26,196,627	29,640,122	16,792,669	12,875,532
Selected Financial Ratios:					
<i>Performance Ratios:</i>					
Return on average assets	0.3%	1.3%	1.4%	1.3%	1.5%
Return on average equity	4.0	14.5	18.4	16.7	21.8
Net interest margin ⁽³⁾	4.97	5.46	5.82	6.01	5.35

Table of Contents

	At or For Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(Dollars in thousands except per share data)				
Noninterest income to revenues ⁽⁴⁾	31.2	52.9	53.0	52.3	64.8
Efficiency ratio ⁽⁵⁾	85.8	76.0	71.3	70.9	78.1
Loans and leases and loans held for sale to deposits ⁽⁶⁾	110.4	91.4	94.1	89.9	79.1
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	125.5	132.4	132.2	121.7	117.2
Asset Quality Ratios:					
Allowance for loan and lease losses to:					
Total loans and leases	1.3%	1.3%	2.0%	1.8%	1.0%
Non-performing loans and leases	159.7	131.9	144.9	163.6	116.3
Net charge-offs to average loans and leases	0.3	0.7	1.1	0.7	0.7
Non-performing assets to total assets	0.8	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.7
Non-performing assets to total loans and leases and other real estate owned	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.3	1.1
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges:					
Including deposit interest	1.2x	2.2x	2.2x	1.9x	1.6x
Excluding deposit interest	1.4	3.4	3.1	3.0	2.5
Capital Ratios:					
Average shareholders' equity to average assets	8.0%	9.0%	7.6%	8.0%	6.7%
Tier 1 capital ratio	10.7	13.0	11.4	9.3	6.8
Tier 1 leverage ratio	10.3	11.6	11.2	9.7	9.4
Total risk-based capital ratio	13.1	15.9	15.1	13.2	10.8

(1) Earnings per share of common stock before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle related to SFAS 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, for the year ended December 31, 2002 was \$1.97 basic and \$1.87 diluted. Earnings per share of common stock before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle related to SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, for the year ended December 31, 2001 was \$2.14 basic and \$1.99 diluted.

(2) Beginning at December 31, 2003, the Trusts holding trust preferred securities were no longer consolidated in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. See Collateralized and Other Long-Term Debt and footnote 1 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

(3) Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

- (4) Revenues consist of net interest income plus noninterest income.
- (5) Noninterest expense divided by net interest income plus noninterest income.
- (6) Excludes first (but not second) mortgage loans held for sale and loans collateralizing secured financings.

Table of Contents

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*
About Forward-looking Statements

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, footnotes, and tables. This discussion and other sections of this report, including the Risk Factors in Item 1A, contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are including this statement for purposes of invoking these safe harbor provisions.

Forward-looking statements are based on management's expectations, estimates, projections, and assumptions. These statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict and are not guarantees of future performance. In addition, our past results of operations do not necessarily indicate our future results. Words that convey our beliefs, views, expectations, assumptions, estimates, forecasts, outlook and projections or similar language, or that indicate events we believe could, would, should, may or will occur (or might not occur) or are likely (or unlikely) to occur, and similar expressions, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These may include, among other things, statements and assumptions about:

- our projected revenues, earnings or earnings per share, as well as management's short-term and long-term performance goals;
- projected trends or potential changes in our asset quality, loan delinquencies, charge-offs, reserves, asset valuations, capital ratios or financial performance measures;
- our plans and strategies, including the expected results or impact of implementing such plans and strategies;
- potential litigation developments and the anticipated impact of potential outcomes of pending legal matters;
- the anticipated effects on results of operations or financial condition from recent developments or events; and
- any other projections or expressions that are not historical facts.

We qualify any forward-looking statements entirely by these cautionary factors.

Actual future results may differ materially from what is projected due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to:

- potential changes in direction, volatility and relative movement (basis risk) of interest rates, which may affect consumer demand for our products and the success of our interest rate risk management strategies;
- staffing fluctuations in response to product demand;
- the relative profitability of our lending operations;
- the valuation and management of our residual, servicing, and derivative portfolios, including assumptions we embed in the valuation and short-term swings in the valuation of such portfolios due to quarter-end movements in secondary market interest rates, which are inherently volatile;
- borrowers' refinancing opportunities, which may affect the prepayment assumptions used in our valuation estimates and which may affect loan demand;
- unanticipated deterioration in the credit quality of our loan and lease assets, including deterioration resulting from the effects of recent natural disasters;
- unanticipated deterioration or changes in estimates of the carrying value of our other assets, including securities;
- difficulties in delivering products to the secondary market as planned;
- difficulties in expanding our businesses and obtaining funding as needed;
- competition from other financial service providers for experienced managers as well as for customers;
- changes in the value of companies in which we invest;
- changes in variable compensation plans related to the performance and valuation of lines of business where we tie compensation systems to line-of-business performance;

Table of Contents

unanticipated outcomes in litigation;
 legislative or regulatory changes, including changes in tax laws or regulations, changes in the interpretation of regulatory capital rules, changes in consumer or commercial lending rules, disclosure rules, or rules affecting corporate governance, and the availability of resources to address these rules;
 changes in applicable accounting policies or principles or their application to our business or final audit adjustments;
 additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods;
 the final outcome and implications of our consideration of strategic alternatives for our conventional, mortgage banking segment;
 or governmental changes in monetary or fiscal policies.

We undertake no obligation to update publicly any of these statements in light of future events, except as required in subsequent periodic reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Strategy

Our strategy is to position the Corporation as an interrelated group of specialized financial services companies serving niche markets of consumers and small businesses and optimizing the productivity of our capital. Our operational objectives are premised on simultaneously achieving three goals: creditworthiness, profitability and growth. We believe we must continually balance these goals in order to deliver long-term value to all of our stakeholders. We have developed a four-part strategy to meet these goals:

Identify market niches. We focus on product or market *niches in financial services* where our understanding of customer needs and ability to meet them create added value that permits us not to have to compete primarily on price. We don't believe it is necessary to be the largest or leading market share company in any of our product lines, but we do believe it is important that we are viewed as a preferred provider in niche segments of those product offerings.

Hire exceptional management with niche expertise. We enter niches only when we have attracted senior managers who have proven track records in the niche for which they are responsible. Our structure allows the senior managers of each line of business to focus their efforts on understanding their customers and meeting the needs of the markets they serve. This structure also promotes accountability among managers of each enterprise. We attempt to create a mix of short-term and long-term incentives that provide these managers with the incentive to achieve *creditworthy, profitable growth* over the long term.

Diversify capital and earnings risk. We *diversify* our *revenues* and allocate our *capital* across complementary lines of business and across different regions as a key part of our risk management. For example, our commercial bank has a different profile of customers in the Midwest and Western states. These economies have performed differently over the past five years due to differences in local economies. These differences have affected demand and credit quality of our products. In addition, our home equity segment lends to consumers on a national basis and there too, demand and credit quality has fluctuated depending, in part, on local market conditions. Our customers' businesses and needs are cyclical, but when combined in an appropriate mix, we believe they provide sources of diversification and opportunities for growth in a variety of economic conditions.

Reinvest in new opportunities. We *reinvest* on an ongoing basis in the development of new and existing opportunities. As a result of our attention to long-term value creation, we believe it is important at times to dampen short-term earnings growth by investing for future return. We are biased toward seeking new growth through organic expansion of existing lines of business. At times we will initiate a new line through a start-up, with highly qualified managers we select to focus on a single line of business. Over the past ten years, we have made only a few acquisitions. Those have typically not been in competitive bidding situations.

Table of Contents

Consistent with this strategy and in light of the changing environment for conventional first mortgage loans, we announced in January 2006 that we are examining our strategic alternatives for this line of business, including the possible sale of Irwin Mortgage. Over the past several years, we have been monitoring changes in the environment for mortgage banking that began to raise questions about the best strategic approach for the Corporation. These changes are influenced primarily by the increasing commoditization of conventional first mortgages. As margins have shrunk, the environment has required ever larger scale in production to be more price-competitive and to afford additional capital investments in technology. The relative size of IMC to the rest of the Corporation has made it increasingly difficult for us as a parent company to support growth at IMC to gain scale advantages. In addition, the volatility of both production and the value of mortgage servicing rights has also increased, as interest rates have traded in a narrow range now for a prolonged period of time. Our intent is to find a new home for Irwin Mortgage and its employees so that we can redeploy our capital to our other three lines of business, each of which continues to represent a good fit with our corporate strategy.

We believe long-term growth and profitability will result from our endeavors to pursue consumer and commercial lending niches through our bank holding company structure, our experienced management, our diverse product and geographic markets, and our willingness and ability to align the compensation structure of each of our lines of business with the interests of our stakeholders.

Critical Accounting Policies/ Management Judgments and Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of our financial statements and are based upon our current judgments. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ from our current judgments or that our use of different assumptions could result in materially different estimates. The following is a description of the critical accounting policies we apply to material financial statement items, all of which require the use of accounting estimates and/or judgment:

Valuation of Mortgage Servicing Rights

Mortgage servicing rights are recorded at the lower of their allocated cost basis or fair value and a valuation allowance is recorded for any stratum that is impaired. We estimate the fair value of the servicing assets each month using a cash flow model to project future expected cash flows based upon a set of valuation assumptions we believe market participants would use for similar assets. The primary assumptions we use for valuing our mortgage servicing assets include prepayment speeds, default rates, cost to service and discount rates. We review these assumptions on a regular basis to ensure that they remain consistent with current market conditions. Additionally, we periodically receive third party estimates of the portfolio value from independent valuation firms. Inaccurate assumptions in valuing mortgage servicing rights could result in additional impairment and inappropriate hedging decisions and could adversely affect our results of operations. We also review mortgage servicing rights for other-than-temporary impairment each quarter and recognize a direct write-down when the recoverability of a recorded valuation allowance is determined to be remote. Unlike a valuation allowance, a direct write-down permanently reduces the unamortized cost of the mortgage servicing rights asset and the valuation allowance, precluding subsequent reversals. See footnote 7 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) reflects our estimate of the adequacy of reserves needed to cover probable loan and lease losses inherent in our loan portfolio. The ALLL is an estimate based on our judgment applying the principles of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 (SFAS 5), Accounting for Contingencies, SFAS 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, and SFAS 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan—Income Recognition and Disclosures. In determining a proper level of loss reserves, management evaluates the adequacy of the allowance on a quarterly basis based on our past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio, levels of delinquencies, adverse situations that may affect a borrower's ability to repay, trends in volume and terms of

Table of Contents

loans and leases, estimated value of any underlying collateral, changes in underwriting standards, changes in credit concentrations, and current economic and industry conditions.

Within the allowance, there are specific and expected loss components. The specific loss component is assessed for loans we believe to be impaired under SFAS 114. We have defined impairment for this purpose as loans on which we no longer accrue interest due to likelihood of non-collectibility. For loans determined to be impaired, we measure the level of impairment by comparing the loan's carrying value to fair value using one of the following fair value measurement techniques: present value of expected future cash flows, observable market price, or fair value of the associated collateral. An allowance is established when the fair value implies a value that is lower than the carrying value. In addition to establishing allowance levels for specifically identified impaired loans, management determines an allowance for all other loans in the portfolio for which historical experience and/or expected performance indicates that certain losses exist. These loans are segregated by major product type, and in some instances, by aging, with an estimated loss ratio applied against each product type and aging category. The loss ratio is generally based upon historic loss experience for each loan type as adjusted for certain environmental factors management believes to be relevant. Loans and leases that are determined by management to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance. The allowance is increased by provisions against income and recoveries of loans and leases previously charged off. See the Credit Risk section of Management's Discussion and Analysis and footnote 6 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

In addition to the ALLL, at our mortgage banking segment we record a reserve for potential losses resulting from origination errors. Such errors include inaccurate appraisals, errors in underwriting, and ineligibility for inclusion in loan programs of government-sponsored entities which relieve us of future credit losses. In determining reserve levels for origination errors, we estimate the number of loans with such errors, the year in which the loss will occur, and the severity of the loss upon occurrence applied to an average loan amount. Inaccurate assumptions in setting this reserve could result in changes in future reserves.

Valuation of Residual Interests

Residual interests from securitizations treated as sales under SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, are classified as trading assets and as such, we record them at fair value on the balance sheet. We record the changes in fair value of these residuals as trading gains or losses in our statement of income in the period of change. We use a discounted cash flow analysis to determine the fair value of these residuals. Cash flows are projected over the lives of the residuals using prepayment, default, and interest rate assumptions that we believe market participants would use for similar financial instruments. Inaccurate assumptions in valuing residual interests could result in additional impairment and adversely affect our results of operations. We have not created these types of residuals since early 2002. See footnote 3 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion. We are considering the use of gain-on-sale accounting again in 2006 on a limited basis to help us align economic and regulatory capital for high credit quality home equity loans and lines of credit. We do not anticipate gains from these transactions will become a significant proportion of consolidated income and our Board has approved a Policy to limit such residual interests to less than 15 percent of Irwin Union Bank and Trust's Tier 1 capital. Such treatment will result in the creation of new residual interests being recorded.

Accounting for Deferred Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on temporary differences between the time income or expense items are recognized for book purposes and in our tax return. We make this measurement using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. We recognize deferred tax assets based on estimates of future taxable income. Events may occur in the future that could cause the realizability of these deferred tax assets to be in doubt, requiring the need for a valuation allowance.

Table of Contents*Incentive Servicing Fees*

For whole loan sales of certain home equity loans, in addition to our normal servicing fee, we have the right to an incentive servicing fee (ISF) that will provide cash payments to us if a pre-established return for the certificate holders and certain structure-specific loan credit and servicing performance metrics are met. These ISF arrangements are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. When ISF agreements are entered into simultaneously with the whole loan sales, the fair value of the ISFs is estimated and considered when determining the initial gain or loss on sale. That allocated fair value of the ISF is periodically evaluated for impairment and amortized in accordance with SFAS 140. As long as the fair value is above the lower of cost or market (LOCOM) cap, revenue is recognized as pre-established performance metrics are met and cash is due. When ISF agreements are entered into subsequent to the whole loan sale, these assets are assigned a zero value and revenue is recognized as pre-established performance metrics are met and cash is due.

Consolidated Overview

	2005	% Change	2004	% Change	2003
Net income (millions)	\$ 19.0	(72.3)%	\$ 68.4	(6.0)%	\$ 72.8
Basic earnings per share	0.67	(72.3)	2.42	(7.3)	2.61
Diluted earnings per share	0.66	(71.1)	2.28	(6.9)	2.45
Return on average equity	4.0%		14.5%		18.4%
Return on average assets	0.3%		1.3%		1.4%

Consolidated Income Statement Analysis*Net Income*

We recorded net income of \$19 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, down 72% from net income of \$68 million for the year ended December 31, 2004, and compared to \$73 million in 2003. Net income per share (diluted) was \$0.66 for the year ended December 31, 2005, down 71% from \$2.28 per share in 2004 and down 73% from \$2.45 per share in 2003. Return on equity was 4.0% for the year ended December 31, 2005, 14.5% in 2004 and 18.4% in 2003. The significant decline in 2005 earnings relates to our mortgage banking business. See discussion below under *Noninterest Income* and in the mortgage banking line of business section. The effective income tax rate for 2005 was 32.1%, compared to 40.1% in 2004 and 38.5% in 2003. The lower effective rate in 2005 resulted primarily from the release of \$1.9 million in tax reserves as we aligned our tax liability to a level commensurate with our currently identified tax exposures. The majority of the reserves related to our 2001 tax returns. The statute on these returns expired during the third quarter of 2005 triggering the reversal of these reserves.

Table of Contents*Net Interest Income*

Net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2005 totaled \$266 million, up 5% from 2004 net interest income of \$252 million and down 2% from 2003. The following table shows our daily average consolidated balance sheet and interest rates at the dates indicated:

December 31,										
2005				2004			2003			
Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Rate		
(Dollars in thousands)										
Assets										
Interest-earning assets:										
Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions	\$ 80,508	\$ 1,816	2.26%	\$ 85,304	\$ 794	0.93%	\$ 74,216	\$ 550	0.74%	
Federal funds sold	15,064	387	2.57	15,340	173	1.13	10,824	118	1.10	
Residual interests	39,942	6,948	17.40	67,544	12,509	18.52	108,351	20,651	19.06	
Investment securities	107,220	5,813	5.42	88,254	4,536	5.14	68,602	3,723	5.43	
Loans held for sale	1,217,367	94,324	7.75	1,034,032	80,003	7.74	1,237,963	104,350	8.43	
Loans and leases, net of unearned income ⁽¹⁾	3,890,077	312,970	8.05	3,324,333	246,288	7.41	3,168,776	241,592	7.62	
Total interest earning assets	5,350,178	\$ 422,258	7.89%	4,614,807	\$ 344,303	7.46%	4,668,732	\$ 370,984	7.95%	
Noninterest-earning assets:										
Cash and due from banks	109,837			104,115			103,581			
Premises and equipment, net	30,543			31,219			32,644			
Other assets	572,028			582,978			440,164			
Less allowance for loan and lease losses	(50,322)			(56,311)			(57,986)			
Total assets	\$ 6,012,264			\$ 5,276,808			\$ 5,187,135			
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity										

Interest-bearing liabilities:										
Money market checking	\$ 479,621	\$ 9,789	2.04%	\$ 333,772	\$ 4,487	1.34%	\$ 169,674	\$ 913	0.54%	
Money market savings	1,118,655	29,631	2.65	1,071,617	15,127	1.41	866,241	11,085	1.28	
Regular savings	119,349	1,547	1.30	60,800	873	1.44	62,756	1,249	1.99	
Time deposits	1,204,421	42,894	3.56	907,736	24,000	2.64	992,954	29,118	2.93	
Short-term borrowings	421,085	21,244	5.05	307,929	9,583	3.11	595,243	14,889	2.50	
Collateralized debt	629,503	25,587	4.06	534,660	15,259	2.85	578,656	15,369	2.66	
Other long-term debt	290,188	25,676	8.85	270,178	22,896	8.47	30,060	2,325	7.74	
Trust preferred securities distributions ⁽³⁾			n/a			n/a	236,823	24,151	10.20	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 4,262,822	\$ 156,368	3.67%	\$ 3,486,692	\$ 92,225	2.65%	\$ 3,532,407	\$ 99,099	2.81%	
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:										
Demand deposits	989,234			1,006,558			1,042,403			
Other liabilities	279,784			311,017			216,111			
Shareholders equity	480,424			472,541			396,214			
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 6,012,264			\$ 5,276,808			\$ 5,187,135			
Net interest income		\$ 265,890			\$ 252,078			\$ 271,885		
Net interest margin			4.97%			5.46%			5.82%	

(1) For purposes of these computations, nonaccrual loans are included in daily average loan amounts outstanding.

(2) We do not show interest income on a tax equivalent basis because it is immaterial

(3) These securities were re-classified beginning in 2004 to Other long-term debt .

Net interest margin for the year ended December 31, 2005 was 4.97% compared to 5.46% in 2004 and 5.82% in 2003. The decline in margin in 2005 relates to our increasing cost of funds which have risen at a faster pace than our yields on loans. We attribute the tighter margins to competitive pressures and to our strategic decision at the home equity business to move into more higher quality but lower yielding loans.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a summary of the changes in interest earned and interest paid resulting from changes in volume and rates for the major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities:

For the Year Ended December 31,

	2005 Over 2004			2004 Over 2003		
	Volume	Rate	Total	Volume	Rate	Total
(Dollars and thousands)						
Interest Income						
Loans and leases	\$ 41,914	\$ 24,768	\$ 66,682	\$ 11,860	\$ (7,164)	\$ 4,696
Mortgage loans held for sale	14,184	137	14,321	(17,189)	(7,158)	(24,347)
Investment securities	975	302	1,277	1,066	(253)	813
Residual interests	(5,112)	(449)	(5,561)	(7,777)	(365)	(8,142)
Interest bearing deposits with financial institutions	(45)	1,067	1,022	82	162	244
Federal funds sold	(4)	218	214	50	5	55
Total	51,912	26,043	77,955	(11,908)	(14,773)	(26,681)
Interest Expense						
Money market checking	1,961	3,341	5,302	883	2,691	3,574
Money market savings	664	13,840	14,504	2,628	1,414	4,042
Regular savings	841	(167)	674	(39)	(337)	(376)
Time deposits	7,845	11,049	18,894	(2,499)	(2,619)	(5,118)
Short-term borrowings	3,522	8,139	11,661	(7,187)	1,881	(5,306)
Collateralized debt	2,707	7,621	10,328	(1,169)	1,059	(110)
Other long-term debt	1,695	1,085	2,780	18,575	1,996	20,571
Trust preferred securities distribution				(24,151)		(24,151)
Total	19,235	44,908	64,143	(12,959)	6,085	(6,874)
Net Interest Income	\$ 32,677	\$ (18,865)	\$ 13,812	\$ 1,051	\$ (20,858)	\$ (19,807)

The variance not due solely to rate or volume has been allocated on the basis of the absolute relationship between volume and rate variances.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The consolidated provision for loan and lease losses for the year 2005 was \$27 million, compared to \$14 million and \$48 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively. More information on this subject is contained in the section on credit risk.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income during the year 2005 totaled \$120 million, compared to \$284 million for 2004 and \$306 million in 2003. The decrease in 2005 versus 2004 related primarily to the mortgage banking line of business. Contributing to the decrease were \$68 million of losses on derivative instruments used to hedge our servicing assets during 2005 compared to \$19 million in derivative gains in 2004. We also recorded recoveries of \$19 million and impairment of \$4 million on servicing assets in 2005 and 2004, respectively. Also contributing to the decline were lower gains from sales of loans which declined from \$151 million in 2004 to \$75 million in 2005. Details related to these fluctuations are discussed later in the Mortgage Banking and Home Equity sections of this document.

Table of Contents*Noninterest Expense*

Noninterest expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 totaled \$332 million, compared to \$407 million and \$412 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively. The decrease in consolidated noninterest expense in 2005 is primarily related to lower personnel costs associated with our decreased production at the mortgage banking line of business.

Consolidated Balance Sheet Analysis

Total assets at December 31, 2005 were \$6.6 billion, up 27% from December 2004. Average assets for 2005 were \$6.0 billion up 14% from December 31, 2004, and up 16% from December 31, 2003. The growth in the consolidated balance sheet reflects increases in portfolio loans and leases at the commercial banking, commercial finance and home equity lines of business.

Loans Held For Sale

Loans held for sale totaled \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2005, up 45% from December 31, 2004 and 46% from December 31, 2003. This increase, primarily at the home equity line of business, reflects our strategic decision to sell more home equity product in the coming year.

Included in loans held for sale at the mortgage line of business at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were \$88 million and \$68 million, respectively, of loans for which we have the right, but not the obligation, to repurchase due to default, under the terms of the government servicing agency contracts. Upon default, we have the non-contingent right to repurchase these loans which causes repurchase accounting under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. The liability associated with these loans is reflected in other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Loans and Leases

Our commercial loans and leases are originated throughout the United States and Canada. At December 31, 2005, 94% of our loan and lease portfolio was associated with our U.S. operations. We also extend credit to consumers nationally through mortgages, installment loans and revolving credit arrangements. Loans by major category for the periods presented were as follows:

December 31,

2005 2004 2003 2002 2001

(Dollars in thousands)

Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 2,016,253	\$ 1,697,651	\$ 1,503,619	\$ 1,347,962	\$ 1,055,307
Real estate construction	399,089	287,496	306,669	314,851	287,228
Real estate mortgage	1,234,561	808,875	859,541	777,865	490,186
Consumer	31,718	31,166	27,370	27,857	38,489
Commercial financing:					
Franchise financing	462,413	330,496	207,341	130,247	47,447
Domestic leasing	237,968	174,035	157,072	161,464	185,080
Canadian leasing	313,581	265,780	207,355	133,784	91,816
Unearned income:					
Franchise financing	(125,474)	(86,638)	(56,837)	(34,494)	(11,497)
Domestic leasing	(33,267)	(23,924)	(22,038)	(24,793)	(32,686)
Canadian leasing	(38,013)	(34,497)	(29,038)	(19,467)	(13,548)
Total	\$ 4,498,829	\$ 3,450,440	\$ 3,161,054	\$ 2,815,276	\$ 2,137,822

Table of Contents

The following table shows our contractual maturity distribution of loans at December 31, 2005. Actual principal payments may differ depending on customer prepayments:

	Within One Year	After One But Within Five Years	After Five Years	Total
(Dollars in thousands)				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 617,176	\$ 967,361	\$ 431,716	\$ 2,016,253
Real estate construction	270,737	104,569	23,783	399,089
Real estate mortgage	32,375	103,720	1,098,466	1,234,561
Consumer	12,949	14,272	4,497	31,718
Commercial financing:				
Franchise financing	22,363	55,456	259,120	336,939
Domestic leasing	9,519	193,515	1,666	204,700
Canadian leasing	14,371	243,878	17,320	275,569
Total	\$ 979,490	\$ 1,682,771	\$ 1,836,568	\$ 4,498,829

Loans due after one year with:

Fixed interest rates	\$ 1,909,172
Variable interest rates	1,610,167
Total	\$ 3,519,339

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses are summarized below:

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
(Dollars in thousands)			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 44,443	\$ 64,285	\$ 50,936
Provision for loan and lease losses	26,852	14,195	47,583
Charge-offs	(20,201)	(28,180)	(37,312)
Recoveries	8,960	5,335	3,420
Reduction due to sale of loans and leases and other		(627)	(234)
Reduction due to reclassification of loans	(424)	(10,808)	(690)
Foreign currency adjustment	119	243	582
Balance at end of year	\$ 59,749	\$ 44,443	\$ 64,285

The 2004 roll forward of allowance for loan and lease losses above includes the effect of the transfer and sale of portfolio loans at our home equity lending line of business. We transferred \$355 million in loans to loans held for sale when the decisions were made to sell these loans from the portfolio. These loans had an associated allowance of \$21 million. The loans were transferred with an allowance of \$11 million to reduce their carrying value to fair market

value. After the transfers, the remaining \$10 million of excess allowance was reversed through the provision for loan and lease losses.

Table of Contents*Investment Securities*

The following table shows the composition of our investment securities at the dates indicated:

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
U.S. Treasury and government obligations	\$	\$ 3,556	\$ 20,994
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	3,544	3,746	3,960
Mortgage-backed securities	28,331	31,556	2,039
Other	72,896	69,364	65,532
Total	\$ 104,771	\$ 108,222	\$ 92,525

Included within the other category is \$70 million, \$66 million, and \$63 million of FHLBI and Federal Reserve Bank stock at December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively, for which there is no readily determinable market value. The following table shows maturity distribution of our investment securities at December 31, 2005:

	Within One Year	After Five But Within Ten Years	After Ten Years	Mortgage-backed Securities and FHLB & Federal Reserve Bank Stock	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		530	3,014		3,544
Other	3,199				3,199
Total	3,199	530	3,014		6,743
Mortgage-backed securities				28,331	28,331
FHLBI & Federal Reserve Bank stock				69,697	69,697
	\$ 3,199	\$ 530	\$ 3,014	\$ 98,028	\$ 104,771
Weighted Average Yield					
Held-to-maturity		5.18%	5.35%	4.98%	
Available-for-sale	0.35%			4.65%	

Average yield represents the weighted average yield to maturity computed based on average historical cost balances. The yield information on available-for-sale securities does not give effect to changes in fair value that are reflected as a component of shareholders' equity. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because

borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Deposits

Total deposits in 2005 averaged \$3.9 billion compared to average deposits in 2004 of \$3.4 billion, and average deposits in 2003 of \$3.1 billion. Demand deposits in 2005 averaged \$1.0 billion, unchanged from the 2004 and 2003 average balances. A significant portion of demand deposits is related to deposits at Irwin Union Bank and Trust associated with escrow accounts held on loans in the servicing portfolio at the mortgage banking line of business. During 2005, these escrow accounts averaged \$0.7 billion, unchanged from 2004 and down from a 2003 average of \$0.8 billion. Average core deposits at our commercial bank, which exclude jumbo and brokered CDs and public funds, increased to \$2.5 billion in 2005 compared to \$2.2 billion in 2004.

Table of Contents

We use broker-sourced deposits as funding from time to time to supplement deposits solicited through branches and other wholesale funding sources. At December 31, 2005, these broker-sourced deposits totaled \$638 million compared to a balance of \$279 million at December 31, 2004.

The following table shows maturities of certificates of deposit (CDs) of \$100,000 or more, brokered deposits, escrows and core deposits at the dates indicated:

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Under 3 months	\$ 419,574	\$ 266,200	\$ 284,095
3 to 6 months	230,024	117,339	60,786
6 to 12 months	231,397	91,276	98,746
after 12 months	341,851	169,796	252,743
Total Certificates of deposit over \$100,000	\$ 1,222,846	\$ 644,611	\$ 696,370
Brokered deposits	\$ 638,007	\$ 279,102	\$ 339,417
Mortgage banking escrow deposits	\$ 412,444	\$ 680,812	\$ 566,956
Demand deposits	\$ 342,913	\$ 295,195	\$ 283,794
Money market accounts	1,602,337	1,545,700	1,109,514
Savings and time deposits	544,814	356,776	359,153
Commercial banking core deposits	\$ 2,490,064	\$ 2,197,671	\$ 1,752,461

Short-Term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings during 2005 averaged \$421 million compared to an average of \$308 million in 2004, and \$595 million in 2003. Short-term borrowings increased to \$997 million at December 31, 2005 compared to \$237 million at December 31, 2004. The increase in short-term borrowings relates primarily to our decision to sell less home equity loans at the end of 2005. These loans totaling \$333 million were moved to our loan portfolio in January 2006 and funded through a secured financing.

Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings averaged \$199 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, with an average rate of 3.56%. The balance at December 31, 2005 of \$642 million at an interest rate of 4.39%, was also the maximum outstanding during any month end during 2005. At December 31, 2004, Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings averaged \$186 million, with an average rate of 1.69%. The balance at December 31, 2004 was \$72 million at an interest rate of 3.15%. The maximum outstanding at any month end during 2004 was \$536 million.

Collateralized and Other Long-Term Debt

Collateralized borrowings totaled \$669 million at December 31, 2005 compared to \$547 million at December 31, 2004. The bulk of these borrowings have resulted from securitization structures that result in loans remaining as assets and debt being recorded on our balance sheet. This securitization debt represents match-term funding for these loans and leases.

Other long-term debt totaled \$270 million at December 31, 2005, unchanged from 2004. We have obligations represented by subordinated debentures totaling \$240 million with our wholly-owned trusts that were created for the purpose of issuing these securities. The subordinated debentures were the sole assets of the trusts at December 31, 2005. In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (revised

December 2003), at the end of 2003 we deconsolidated the wholly-owned trusts that issued the trust preferred securities. As a result, these securities are no longer consolidated

Table of Contents

on our balance sheet. Instead, the subordinated debentures held by the trusts are disclosed on the balance sheet as other long-term debt. We called the trust preferred securities of Capital Trust II in 2005 with the proceeds from the issuance of Capital Trust VIII. We recently announced our intent to redeem the entire \$51.75 million par value of 8.75 percent securities due September 30, 2030, underlying \$51.75 million of 8.75 percent cumulative trust preferred securities (NYSE: IFC.N) issued by IFC Capital Trust III in 2000. We provided notice to the trustee of our plans to redeem these securities in March 2006. In lieu of redemption for cash, the trust preferred securities are convertible at the option of the holder into common stock at a ratio of 1.2610 common shares per share of convertible trust preferred, which equates to a common stock conversion price of \$19.825 per share. If the securities are not converted by the holders into common stock, we intend to issue, prior to March 31, 2006, replacement trust preferred securities for a like dollar amount as those being redeemed.

Capital

Shareholders' equity averaged \$480 million during 2005, up 2% compared to 2004, and up 21% from 2003. Shareholders' equity balance of \$512 million at December 31, 2005 represented \$17.90 per common share, compared to \$17.61 per common share at December 31, 2004, and compared to \$15.36 per common share at year-end 2003. We paid an aggregate of \$11.4 million in dividends during 2005, compared to \$9.1 million during 2004 and \$7.8 million during 2003.

The following table sets forth our capital and capital ratios at the dates indicated:

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Tier 1 capital	\$ 675,316	\$ 637,875	\$ 556,793
Tier 2 capital	154,128	143,612	183,738
Total risk-based capital	\$ 829,444	\$ 781,487	\$ 740,531
Risk-weighted assets	\$ 6,317,797	\$ 4,908,012	\$ 4,917,622
Risk-based ratios:			
Tier 1 capital	10.7%	13.0%	11.4%
Total capital	13.1	15.9	15.1
Tier 1 leverage ratio	10.3	11.6	11.2
Ending shareholders' equity to assets	7.7	9.6	8.7
Average shareholders' equity to assets	8.0	9.0	7.6

At December 31, 2005, our total risk-based capital ratio was 13.1%, exceeding our internal minimum target of 11.75%. At December 31, 2004 and 2003, our total risk-based capital ratio was 15.9% and 15.1%, respectively. Our ending equity to assets ratio at December 31, 2005 was 7.7% compared to 9.6% at December 31, 2004. However, temporary conditions that existed at year end can make the average balance sheet ratio a more accurate measure of capital. Our average equity to assets for the year ended December 31, 2005 was 8.0% compared to 9.0% for the year 2004. Our Tier 1 capital totaled \$675 million as of December 31, 2005, or 10.7% of risk-weighted assets. For an explanation of capital requirements and categories applicable to financial institutions, see the discussion in this Report under the subsection *Other Safety and Soundness Regulations* in Part 1, *Business*.

We have issued \$233 million in trust preferred securities through five IFC Capital Trusts and one IFC Statutory Trust as of December 31, 2005. All securities are callable at par after five years. These funds are all Tier 1 qualifying capital under current regulatory guidance. The sole assets of these trusts are our subordinated debentures. See further discussion in the *Collateralized and Other Long-Term Debt* section above. As of

Table of Contents

December 31, 2003, we no longer consolidated these trusts in our consolidated financial statements. Highlights about these trusts are listed below:

Name	Origination Date	Interest Rate at December 31, 2005	Maturity Date	\$ Amount in thousands	Dividend	Other
IFC Capital Trust III ⁽¹⁾	Nov 2000	8.75%	Sep 2030	\$ 51,707	quarterly	conversion ratio of 1.261 shares of common stock to 1 convertible preferred security
IFC Capital Trust IV	Jul 2001	10.25	Jul 2031	15,000	semiannual	
IFC Capital Trust V	Nov 2001	9.95	Nov 2031	30,000	semiannual	
IFC Capital Trust VI	Oct 2002	8.70	Sep 2032	34,500	quarterly	
IFC Statutory Trust VII	Nov 2003	7.43	Nov 2033	50,000	quarterly	rate changes quarterly at three month LIBOR plus 290 basis points
IFC Capital Trust VIII	Aug 2005	5.96	Aug 2035	51,750	quarterly	fixed rate for 5 years, variable rate of 3 month LIBOR plus 153 basis points thereafter
				\$ 232,957		

⁽¹⁾ Call notice issued on February 6, 2006.

In July 1999, we raised \$30 million of 7.58%, 15-year subordinated debt that is callable in 2009 at par. The debt was privately placed. These funds qualify as Tier 2 capital. The securities are not convertible into our common shares.

In connection with our stock option plans, we repurchased 51 thousand common shares in 2005 with a market value of \$1.2 million. In 2004, we repurchased 13 thousand shares with a market value of \$0.4 million.

In order to maintain product price competitiveness with other national banks, we allocate capital to our subsidiaries in a manner which reflects their relative risk and as if they were stand-alone businesses. The allocated amount of capital varies according to the risk characteristics of the individual business segments and the products they offer. Capital is allocated separately based on the following types of risk: credit, interest rate (market) and operational.

We adjust this allocation, as necessary, to assure that we meet regulatory and internal policy standards for minimum capitalization. We utilize internal risk measurement models, calibrated with a public-domain model from a nationally recognized rating agency, and capital requirements from our banking regulators to arrive at the capitalization required by line of business. We re-allocate capital to subsidiaries on a quarterly basis based on their risk and growth plans.

Inflation

Since substantially all of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature, such as cash, securities, loans and deposits, their values are less sensitive to the effects of inflation than to changes in interest rates. We attempt to control the impact of interest rate fluctuations by managing the relationship between interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities and by hedging certain interest sensitive assets with financial derivatives or forward commitments.

Table of Contents**Cash Flow Analysis**

Our cash and cash equivalents increased \$58 million in 2005 compared to decreases of \$44 million during 2004 and \$17 million in 2003. Cash flows from operating activities used \$251 million in cash and cash equivalents in 2005 compared to providing \$16 million in 2004. Changes in loans held for sale impact cash flows from operations. In a period in which loan production exceeds sales such as we experienced in 2005, operating cash flows will decrease. In 2005, our loans held for sale increased \$403 million, thus increasing the cash used by operating activities. In 2004, our loans held for sale balance was relatively unchanged throughout the year.

Earnings Outlook

We do not provide quantitative earnings guidance, as we do not believe it to be in the best interest of our long-term stakeholders. Our strategy is to seek opportunities for credit-worthy, profitable growth by serving niche markets while attempting to mitigate the impact of changes in interest rates and economic conditions on our credit retained portfolios. Historically, a meaningful amount of our earnings have come from our mortgage banking segment. In January 2006, we announced that we were considering strategic alternatives for the conventional first mortgage business, including the potential sale of the mortgage banking line of business. We believe that our mortgage banking line of business, particularly our servicing activities, have grown to a size where they can be managed and grown more effectively within another organization. We are actively searching for an alternative home for this segment and its employees. At the same time, our opportunities in our other three segments continue to grow across the U.S. and, in our commercial finance segment, also in Canada. This growth will require capital and management focus and, we believe, has the potential to contribute in a meaningful way to the Corporation's growth. Our focus in 2006 and beyond will be to grow these three segments in a credit-worthy, profitable manner. We believe our earnings in 2005 were not indicative of the underlying potential of the Corporation and expect to be able to report substantially improved results in 2006 and subsequent years. In 2006, we will report the results of mortgage banking business as a discontinued operation.

Summary of Quarterly Financial Information

	2005			
	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter	First Quarter
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Summary Income Information				
Interest Income	\$ 121,226	\$ 115,322	\$ 97,543	\$ 88,166
Interest expense	(49,909)	(45,807)	(32,698)	(27,953)
Provision for loan and lease losses	(8,916)	(5,772)	(8,872)	(3,291)
Non-interest income ⁽²⁾	22,476	43,555	18,413	36,041
Non-interest expense	(74,802)	(79,723)	(79,916)	(97,113)
Income taxes	(3,624)	(9,082)	2,119	1,605
Net income	\$ 6,451	\$ 18,493	\$ (3,411)	\$ (2,545)
Earnings per share of common stock:				
Basic ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.65	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.09)
Diluted ⁽¹⁾	0.23	0.61	(0.12)	(0.09)

Table of Contents

2004				
	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter	First Quarter
(Dollars in thousands)				
Summary Income Information				
Interest Income	\$ 89,439	\$ 89,965	\$ 85,096	\$ 79,803
Interest expense	(26,480)	(24,305)	(20,840)	(20,600)
Provision for loan and lease losses	(2,357)	(1,898)	(1,794)	(8,146)
Non-interest income	60,016	65,913	76,519	81,081
Non-interest expense	(96,550)	(102,492)	(107,757)	(100,436)
Income taxes	(10,132)	(10,858)	(12,942)	(11,800)
Net income	\$ 13,936	\$ 16,325	\$ 18,282	\$ 19,902
Earnings per share of common stock:				
Basic ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.71
Diluted ⁽¹⁾	0.47	0.54	0.61	0.66

⁽¹⁾ Our quarterly earnings per share are based on actual quarterly data and may not add up exactly to year-to-date earnings per share due to rounding and the impact of antidilutive shares.

Earnings by Line of Business

Irwin Financial Corporation is composed of four principal lines of business:

Commercial Banking

Commercial Finance

Home Equity Lending

Mortgage Banking

The following table summarizes our net income (loss) by line of business for the periods indicated:

Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2004	2003
(Dollars in thousands)			
Net income (loss):			
Commercial Banking	\$ 27,379	\$ 23,424	\$ 22,477
Commercial Finance	7,433	3,217	1,793
Home Equity Lending	2,252	28,067	(19,890)
Mortgage Banking	(16,176)	20,266	78,100
Other (including consolidating entries)	(1,901)	(6,529)	(9,663)
	\$ 18,987	\$ 68,445	\$ 72,817

Table of Contents**Commercial Banking**

The following table shows selected financial information for our commercial banking line of business:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Selected Income Statement Data:					
Interest income	\$ 183,052	\$ 127,029	\$ 112,679	\$ 110,107	\$ 104,514
Interest expense	(72,294)	(37,412)	(33,663)	(40,253)	(53,515)
Net interest income	110,758	89,617	79,016	69,854	50,999
Provision for loan and lease losses	(5,286)	(3,307)	(5,913)	(9,812)	(7,900)
Other income	16,945	18,316	21,070	16,081	14,981
Total net revenue	122,417	104,626	94,173	76,123	58,080
Operating expense	(77,062)	(65,450)	(56,699)	(50,029)	(43,482)
Income before taxes	45,355	39,176	37,474	26,094	14,598
Income taxes	(17,976)	(15,752)	(14,997)	(10,009)	(5,680)
Net income	\$ 27,379	\$ 23,424	\$ 22,477	\$ 16,085	\$ 8,918
Selected Balance Sheet Data at End of Period:					
Assets	\$ 3,162,398	\$ 2,622,877	\$ 2,203,965	\$ 1,969,956	\$ 1,648,294
Securities and short-term investments	340,811 ⁽¹⁾	327,664 ⁽¹⁾	107,668	44,433	43,278
Loans and leases	2,680,220	2,223,474	1,988,633	1,823,304	1,514,957
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(24,670)	(22,230)	(22,055)	(20,725)	(14,644)
Deposits	2,797,635	2,390,839	1,964,274	1,733,864	1,456,376
Shareholder's equity	195,381	143,580	162,050	154,423	129,179
Daily Averages:					
Assets	\$ 3,025,717	\$ 2,476,835	\$ 2,119,944	\$ 1,802,896	\$ 1,402,589
Loans and leases	2,460,560	2,094,190	1,914,608	1,693,426	1,276,003
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(23,656)	(22,304)	(21,895)	(17,823)	(11,038)
Deposits	2,766,289	2,258,538	1,894,406	1,583,926	1,253,725
Shareholder's equity	157,545	147,759	147,886	140,249	85,312
Shareholder's equity to assets	5.21%	5.97%	6.98%	7.78%	6.08%

(1)

Includes \$317 million and \$293 million of inter-company investments in 2005 and 2004, respectively, the result of excess liquidity at the commercial banking line of business related to deposit growth in excess of its asset deployment needs. The funds have been redeployed in earning assets at our other lines of business.

Overview

Our commercial banking line of business focuses on providing credit, cash management and personal banking products to small businesses and business owners. We offer commercial banking services through our banking subsidiaries, Irwin Union Bank and Trust, an Indiana state-chartered commercial bank, and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., a federal savings bank. In September 2005, we changed the designation of the home office of Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. from Louisville, Kentucky to Columbus, Indiana. We continue to maintain the full service branch in Louisville, Kentucky. In 2005, we opened a new banking office in Costa Mesa, California. This office contributed an immaterial amount to revenues and expenses in 2005.

Strategy

Our strategy is to provide superior service to our existing small business customers and to expand those services into selected new markets. We target metropolitan markets with strong economies where we believe recent bank consolidation has negatively impacted customers. We believe this consolidation has led to disenchantment with the delivery of financial services to the small business community among the owners of

Table of Contents

those small businesses and the senior banking officers who had been providing services to them. In markets that management identifies as attractive opportunities, the bank seeks to hire senior commercial loan officers and cash management personnel who have strong local ties and who can focus on providing personalized services to small businesses in that market. Having integrated into our operations the new markets we opened in the late 1990s, we are once again looking at market expansion opportunities. Our strategy is to expand in markets that satisfy the following criteria:

the market is a metropolitan area with attractive business demographics and diversification displaying evidence of sustainable growth;

recent banking merger and acquisition activity has occurred in the market and management believes that the acquirer is viewed by customers as an outsider and/or not responsive to local small business needs; and

we are able to attract experienced, senior banking staff from the new market to manage our operation there.

We expect consolidation to continue in the banking and financial services industry and plan to capitalize on the opportunities brought about in this environment by continuing the bank's growth strategy for small business banking in new markets throughout the United States. Our focus will be to provide personalized banking services to small businesses, using experienced local staff with a strong presence in cities affected by the industry-wide consolidations. In addition to its market expansion, our commercial bank continues to develop its banking, insurance, and investment products to provide a full range of financial services to its small business customers.

On average, we anticipate our de novo banking offices will break even approximately 18 months after they are opened, and we estimate that a banking office will achieve targeted levels of profitability in approximately five years in an average market. Some markets will experience growth and profitability at greater or lesser rates than we currently expect because of many factors, including execution of our strategy, accuracy in assessing market potential, and success in recruiting senior lenders, cash management officers, and other staff. Over time, we may choose to leave certain markets if these factors limit profitability. Our expansion into new markets is subject to regulatory approval.

The following tables show the geographic composition of our commercial banking loans and our core deposits:

December 31,

Markets	2005			2004			2003		
	Loans Outstanding	Percent of Total	Weighted Average Coupon	Loans Outstanding	Percent of Total	Weighted Average Coupon	Loans Outstanding	Percent of Total	Weighted Average Coupon
(Dollars in thousands)									
Indianapolis	\$ 560,775	20.9%	7.0%	\$ 504,853	22.7%	5.9%	\$ 477,432	24.0%	5.4%
Central and western	516,444	19.3	7.1	488,587	22.0	5.9	491,670	24.7	5.1
Southern Indiana	454,236	16.9	6.5	445,981	20.1	5.8	420,519	21.1	5.6
Phoenix	447,548	16.7	7.6	288,555	13.0	6.3	238,515	12.0	5.3
Las Vegas	112,761	4.2	7.5	70,109	3.2	6.1	37,251	1.9	5.5
Other	588,456	22.0	7.2	425,389	19.0	5.7	323,246	16.3	4.8
Total	\$ 2,680,220	100.0	7.1	\$ 2,223,474	100.0	5.9	\$ 1,988,633	100.0	5.2

Table of Contents**December 31,**

	2005			2004			2003		
	Core Deposits	Percent of Total	Weighted Average Coupon	Core Deposits	Percent of Total	Weighted Average Coupon	Core Deposits	Percent of Total	Weighted Average Coupon
Indianapolis\$	259,196	10.4%	2.1%	\$ 278,785	12.7%	1.4%	\$ 258,875	14.8%	1.1%
Central and western	238,742	9.6	2.6	221,917	10.1	1.7	176,441	10.1	1.5
Southern									
Indiana	674,923	27.1	2.1	671,342	30.5	1.3	645,297	36.8	1.2
Phoenix	190,428	7.6	2.4	155,475	7.1	1.6	74,900	4.3	1.0
Las Vegas	413,541	16.6	3.5	287,910	13.1	2.0	210,437	12.0	1.0
Other	713,233	28.7	3.3	582,242	26.5	2.1	386,808	22.0	1.2
Total	\$ 2,490,063	100.0%	2.7%	\$ 2,197,671	100.0%	1.7%	\$ 1,752,758	100.0%	1.2%

Net Income

Commercial banking net income increased to \$27 million during 2005 up 17%, compared to \$23 million in 2004, and up 22% compared to 2003 net income of \$22 million.

Net Interest Income

The following table shows information about net interest income for our commercial banking line of business:

Year Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Net interest income	\$ 110,758	\$ 89,617	\$ 79,016
Average interest earning assets	2,914,352	2,392,049	2,046,658
Net interest margin	3.80%	3.75%	3.86%

Net interest income was \$111 million, an increase of 24% over 2004, and an increase of 40% from 2003. The 2005 improvement in net interest income resulted primarily from an increase in our commercial banking loan portfolio as a result of growth and expansion efforts. Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by average interest earning assets. Net interest margin during 2005 was 3.80%, compared to 3.75% in 2004, and 3.86% in 2003. The improvement in 2005 margin is due to higher loan volumes and loan yields, which more than offset the increased deposit volumes and deposit rates. In addition the investment of excess funds in intercompany investments contributed positively to year-over-year net interest income.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

Provision for loan and lease losses was \$5.3 million in 2005, compared to provisions of \$3.3 million and \$5.9 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively. The increased provision relates to portfolio growth and is aligned with our on-going expectations. See further discussion in Credit Quality section later in this document. We believe this

segment has negligible exposure to losses arising from hurricanes Katrina and Rita.

Table of Contents*Noninterest Income*

The following table shows the components of noninterest income for our commercial banking line of business:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 4,008	\$ 5,071	\$ 5,095
Gain from sales of loans	2,943	2,947	7,814
Trust fees	1,964	1,902	1,817
Insurance commissions, fees and premiums	1,827	2,143	2,009
Brokerage fees	1,452	1,465	1,264
Loan servicing fees	1,473	1,374	1,237
Amortization of servicing assets	(1,306)	(1,559)	(2,705)
Recovery of servicing assets	248	582	744
Other	4,336	4,391	3,795
Total noninterest income	\$ 16,945	\$ 18,316	\$ 21,070

Noninterest income during 2005 decreased 7% over 2004 and decreased 20% over 2003. This decrease was due primarily to lower service charges on deposit accounts. The lower charges resulted from higher earnings credits on commercial customer accounts due to increasing interest rates. These lower service charges were partially offset by lower servicing asset amortization. The commercial banking line of business has a first mortgage servicing portfolio that has increased to \$463 million, principally a result of mortgage loan production in its south-central Indiana markets. Those servicing rights are carried on the balance sheet at the lower of cost or market, estimated at December 31, 2005, to be \$3.9 million.

Operating Expenses

The following table shows the components of operating expenses for our commercial banking line of business:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 47,934	\$ 40,422	\$ 34,853
Other expenses	29,128	25,028	21,846
Total operating expenses	\$ 77,062	\$ 65,450	\$ 56,699
Efficiency ratio	60.3%	60.6%	56.7%
Number of employees at year end ⁽¹⁾	586	525	493

⁽¹⁾ On a full time equivalent basis

Operating expenses during 2005 totaled \$77 million, an increase of 18% over 2004, and an increase of 36% from 2003. The increased operating expenses in 2005 is primarily related to higher personnel and premises and equipment

costs due to our recent office expansions and support staff.

Balance Sheet

Total assets for the year ended December 31, 2005 averaged \$3.0 billion compared to \$2.5 billion in 2004, and \$2.1 billion in 2003. Average earning assets for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$2.9 billion compared to \$2.4 billion in 2004, and \$2.0 billion in 2003. The most significant component of the increase was an increase in commercial loans as a result of the commercial bank's continued growth and expansion efforts

Table of Contents

into new markets. In addition, average investments have increased from \$202 million in 2004 to \$389 million in 2005. This increase relates primarily to inter-company investments, the result of excess liquidity related to deposit growth in excess of asset deployment needs. The funds have been redeployed in earning assets at our other lines of business. Earnings credited to the commercial banking line of business from these investments approximate alternative external investment rates. Average core deposits for the year totaled \$2.4 billion, an increase of 18% over average core deposits in 2004, and an increase of 46% from 2003.

Credit Quality

Nonperforming assets to total assets was unchanged compared to December 31, 2004 and the allowance for loan losses to total loans decreased at December 31, 2005, compared to December 31, 2004. Other real estate owned increased \$6.4 million over the 2004 balance. This increase relates to five new properties carried at \$7.0 million. Nonperforming loans are not significantly concentrated in any industry category. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans reflects our belief that overall loss experience has declined due to improving economic conditions, improved overall loan quality and successful workouts of several nonperforming loans in 2005. The following table shows information about our nonperforming assets in this line of business and our allowance for loan losses.

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Nonperforming loans	\$ 19,483	\$ 21,247	\$ 25,614
Other real estate owned	7,892	1,533	995
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 27,375	\$ 22,780	\$ 26,609
Nonperforming assets to total assets	0.87%	0.87%	1.21%
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 24,670	\$ 22,230	\$ 22,055
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	0.92%	1.00%	1.11%
For the Period Ended:			
Provision for loan losses	\$ 5,286	\$ 3,307	\$ 5,913
Net charge-offs	2,847	3,133	4,583
Net charge-offs to average loans	0.12%	0.15%	0.24%

The following table shows information about our nonperforming assets by market:

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
Markets	Loans Outstanding	Loans Outstanding	Loans Outstanding
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Indianapolis	\$ 3,256	\$ 495	\$ 1,337
Central and western	19,426	16,827	18,600
Southern Indiana	1,095	1,143	2,383
Phoenix	2,679	3,442	4,040
Las Vegas			

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Other	919	873	249
Total	\$ 27,375	\$ 22,780	\$ 26,609

45

Table of Contents**Commercial Finance****Year Ended December 31,**

2005 2004 2003 2002 2001

(Dollars in thousands)**Selected Income Statement****Data:**

Net interest income	\$ 33,683	\$ 28,084	\$ 22,766	\$ 15,140	\$ 9,481
Provision for loan and lease losses	(6,211)	(6,798)	(11,308)	(8,481)	(6,939)
Noninterest income	7,437	6,275	5,868	4,397	1,695
Total net revenue	34,909	27,561	17,326	11,056	4,237
Operating expense	(22,224)	(18,782)	(15,072)	(12,122)	(8,424)
Income (loss) before taxes	12,685	8,779	2,254	(1,066)	(4,187)
Income taxes	(5,252)	(5,562)	(461)	513	1,309
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	7,433	3,217	1,793	(553)	(2,878)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle				495	
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,433	\$ 3,217	\$ 1,793	\$ (58)	\$ (2,878)

Selected Balance Sheet Data at End of Period:

Total assets	\$ 831,657	\$ 636,604	\$ 474,915	\$ 343,384	\$ 266,670
Loans and leases	817,208	625,140	463,423	345,844	264,827
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(10,756)	(9,624)	(11,445)	(7,657)	(4,587)
Shareholders' equity	71,568	55,993	44,255	29,236	18,741

Selected Operating Data:

Net charge-offs	\$ 4,806	\$ 8,235	\$ 7,868	\$ 5,401	\$ 4,653
Net interest margin	4.80%	5.33%	5.63%	5.07%	4.64%
Total funding of loans and leases	\$ 451,754	\$ 366,545	\$ 272,685	\$ 207,087	\$ 190,716

Overview

We established this line of business in 1999. In this segment, we provide small ticket, full payout lease financing on a variety of small business equipment in the United States and Canada as well as equipment and leasehold improvement financing for franchisees (mainly in the quick service restaurant sector) in the United States.

Strategy

Our strategy is to provide cost-competitive, service-oriented financing alternatives to small businesses generally and to franchisees. We utilize direct and indirect sales forces to distribute our products. In the small ticket lease channel our sales efforts focus on providing lease solutions for vendors and manufacturers. The majority of our leases

are full payout (no residual), small-ticket assets secured by commercial equipment. We finance a variety of commercial, light industrial and office equipment types and try to limit the concentrations in our loan and lease portfolios. Within the franchise channel, the financing of equipment and real estate are documented as loans and the loan amounts are higher than in our small-ticket business. The franchise channel may also finance real estate for select franchise systems.

Net Income

Commercial finance net income increased to \$7.4 million during 2005, a 131% increase compared to net income of \$3.2 during 2004. In 2003, net income totaled \$1.8 thousand. Results in 2005 reflect growth of

Table of Contents

\$6 million in net interest income over 2004. Net interest income in 2004 increased 23% over 2003. Provision for loan and lease losses decreased to \$6.2 million in 2005, compared to provisions of \$6.8 million and \$11.3 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively. The 2005 earnings growth is attributable to higher net interest income due to portfolio growth, improvements in credit quality, and higher gains from the sale of loans.

Net Interest Income

The following table shows information about net interest income for our commercial finance line of business:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Net interest income	\$ 33,683	\$ 28,084	\$ 22,766
Average interest earning assets	701,423	526,754	404,089
Net interest margin	4.80%	5.33%	5.63%

Net interest income was \$33 million for 2005, an increase of 20% over 2004, and an increase of 48% from 2003. The improvement in net interest income resulted from an increase in our commercial finance portfolio. The total loan and lease portfolio has increased to \$817 million at December 31, 2005, an increase of 31% and 76% over year-end 2004 and 2003 balances, respectively. This line of business originated \$452 million in loans and leases during 2005, compared to \$367 million during 2004 and \$273 million in 2003.

Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by average interest earning assets. Net interest margin during 2005 was 4.80%, compared to 5.33% in 2004, and 5.63% in 2003. The decreasing margin is due primarily to increasing cost of funds.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses decreased to \$6.2 million in 2005 compared to \$6.8 million in 2004 and \$11.3 million in 2003. The decreased provisioning levels relate primarily to improvements in credit quality in our lease portfolio. We believe this segment has negligible exposure to losses arising from hurricanes Katrina and Rita.

Noninterest Income

The following table shows the components of noninterest income for our commercial finance line of business:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Gain from sales of loans	\$ 2,642	\$ 1,796	\$ 2,630
Derivative losses, net	(717)	(536)	(803)
Other	5,512	5,015	4,041
Total noninterest income	\$ 7,437	\$ 6,275	\$ 5,868

Noninterest income during 2005 increased 19% over 2004 and 27% over 2003. Included in noninterest income were gains from sales of leases and whole loans that totaled \$2.6 million in 2005 compared to \$1.8 million in 2004 and \$2.6 million in 2003. Also included in noninterest income during 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$0.7 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.8 million of interest rate derivative mark to market valuation losses in our Canadian operation related to managing interest rate risk exposure in our funding of that operation.

Table of Contents*Operating Expenses*

The following table shows the components of operating expenses for our commercial finance line of business:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 17,531	\$ 14,333	\$ 11,606
Other	4,693	4,449	3,466
Total operating expenses	\$ 22,224	\$ 18,782	\$ 15,072
Number of employees at year end ⁽¹⁾	184	162	133

⁽¹⁾ On a full time equivalent basis

Operating expenses during 2005 totaled \$22 million, an increase of 18% over 2004, and an increase of 48% from 2003. The increased operating expenses relate to the continued growth in this business since its inception in 1999, including compensation costs related to higher production levels, infrastructure and staffing development, as well as incentive compensation costs related to the achievement of profitability.

Credit Quality

The commercial finance line of business had nonperforming loans and leases at December 31, 2005 totaling \$3.7 million, compared to non-performing loans and leases at December 31, 2004 and 2003 totaling \$3.9 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. Net charge-offs recorded by this line of business totaled \$4.8 million in 2005 compared to \$8.2 million in 2004 and \$7.9 million in 2003. Allowance for loan and lease losses at December 31, 2005 totaled \$10.8 million, representing 1.32% of loans and leases, compared to a balance at December 31, 2004 of \$9.6 million, representing 1.54% of loans and leases and a balance of \$11.4 million or 2.47% of the portfolio at December 31, 2003.

The following table shows information about our nonperforming loans and leases in this line of business and our allowance for loan and lease losses:

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Nonperforming loans	\$ 3,700	\$ 3,936	\$ 4,083
Allowance for loan losses	10,756	9,624	11,445
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	1.32%	1.54%	2.47%
For the Period Ended:			
Provision for loan losses	\$ 6,211	\$ 6,798	\$ 11,308
Net charge-offs	4,806	8,235	7,868
Net charge-offs to average loans	0.69%	1.59%	1.97%

Table of Contents

The following table provides delinquency information about the loan and lease portfolio of our commercial finance line of business at the dates shown:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Domestic franchise loans	\$ 336,939	\$ 243,859
Delinquency ratio	0.37%	0.35%
Domestic leases	\$ 204,701	\$ 149,999
Delinquency ratio	1.26%	1.09%
Canadian leases ⁽¹⁾	\$ 275,568	\$ 231,282
Delinquency ratio	0.53%	0.82%

⁽¹⁾ In U.S. dollars.

Table of Contents**Home Equity Lending**

The following table shows selected financial information for the home equity lending line of business:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Selected Income Statement Data:					
Net interest income	\$ 88,290	\$ 98,983	\$ 106,545	\$ 94,068	\$ 61,803
Provision for loan and lease losses	(15,811)	(4,369)	(29,575)	(25,596)	(2,320)
Noninterest income	33,667	67,847	(19,525)	11,791	64,786
Total net revenues	106,146	162,461	57,445	80,263	124,269
Operating expenses	(102,339)	(114,779)	(90,538)	(78,588)	(97,189)
Income (loss) before taxes	3,807	47,682	(33,093)	1,675	27,080
Income taxes	(1,555)	(19,615)	13,203	(670)	(10,832)
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,252	\$ 28,067	\$ (19,890)	\$ 1,005	\$ 16,248
Selected Balance Sheet Data:					
Total assets	\$ 1,602,400	\$ 992,979	\$ 1,070,634	\$ 939,494	\$ 602,226
Home equity loans and lines of credit ⁽¹⁾	980,406	590,175	692,637	626,355	346,192
Allowance for loan losses	(23,552)	(11,330)	(29,251)	(21,689)	(2,220)
Home equity loans held for sale	513,231	227,740	202,627	75,540	
Residual interests	15,580	51,542	70,519	157,065	199,071
Mortgage servicing assets	30,502	44,000	28,425	26,444	15,265
Short-term borrowings	920,636	359,902	368,640	201,328	138,527
Collateralized debt	452,615	352,625	460,535	391,425	
Shareholders equity	151,677	136,260	128,555	155,831	135,493
Selected Operating Data:					
Loan volume:					
Lines of credit	\$ 436,451	\$ 508,287	\$ 324,094	\$ 443,323	\$ 317,579
Loans	1,255,185	934,027	809,222	623,903	831,830
Total managed portfolio balance	1,593,509	1,147,137	1,513,289	1,830,339	2,064,542
Delinquency ratio ⁽²⁾	3.0%	4.8%	5.9%	6.0%	5.1%
Total managed portfolio balance Including credit risk sold	\$ 3,058,842	\$ 2,807,367	\$ 2,568,356	\$ 2,502,685	\$ 2,317,975
Weighted average coupon rate:					

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Lines of credit	10.17%	9.18%	9.71%	10.79%	11.11%
Loans	10.18	11.87	12.07	13.50	13.38
Gain on sale of loans to loans sold	2.39	2.24	3.81	4.70	8.47
Net home equity charge-offs to average managed portfolio	0.60	2.48	4.37	2.87	1.82

(1) Includes \$486 million, \$361 million, \$479 million and \$392 million of collateralized loans at December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, as part of securitized financings.

(2) Nonaccrual loans are included in the delinquency ratio.

Table of Contents

Overview

Our home equity lending line of business originates, purchases, sells and services a variety of home equity lines of credit and fixed-rate home equity loan products nationwide. We periodically purchase servicing rights for home equity loans. We market our home equity products (generally using second mortgage liens) through a combination of brokers, the Internet, and correspondent channels. We seek creditworthy homeowners who are active credit users.

Strategy

We offer home equity loans with combined loan-to-value (CLTV) ratios of up to 125% of their collateral value. Home equity loans are priced using a proprietary model, taking into account, among other factors, the credit history of our customer and the relative loan-to-value (LTV) ratio of the loan at origination. For the year ended December 31, 2005, loans with loan-to-value ratios greater than 100% (high LTVs, or HLTVs) home equity loans made up 33% of our loan originations and 46% of our managed portfolio. HLTVs constituted 47% of our managed portfolio at December 31, 2004. In an effort to manage portfolio concentration risk and to comply with existing banking regulations, we have policies in place governing the size of our investment in loans secured by real estate where the LTV is greater than 90%.

For most of our home equity product offerings, we offer customers the choice to accept an early repayment fee in exchange for a lower interest rate. A typical early repayment option provides for a fee equal to up to six months interest that is payable if the borrower chooses to repay the loan during the first three to five years of its term. Approximately 71%, or \$1.1 billion, of our home equity managed portfolio at December 31, 2005 was originated with early repayment provisions, reflecting such customer choice.

Generally we either sell loans through whole loan sales or we fund these loans on balance sheet through warehouse lines or secured, term financings. We balance our loan portfolio growth objectives with cash flow and profit targets, as well as a desire to manage our capital accounts. In addition, regulated banks holding more than their total regulatory capital in certain mortgage exposures where the underlying loan to value exceeds 90 percent are subject to a higher level of regulatory scrutiny. This regulation factors into our sale decisions. Our long-term expectations for whole loan sales are in the 60% range. We generally retain the servicing rights for the loans we sell.

Table of Contents*Portfolio Mix*

Our home equity products are designed to appeal to homeowners who have high levels of unsecured (e.g., credit card) debt, who through the use of a debt consolidating mortgage loan can meaningfully reduce their after-tax monthly cash outflows. We underwrite our loans using unsecured debt criteria, while adjusting for relative risk by LTV level and credit profile through our pricing. We believe that the mortgage lien associated with the loan has a meaningful, positive influence on the payment priority of our customers. We lend nationally in our home equity lending line of business to avoid concentrations that may be subject to local real estate market conditions. The following table provides a breakdown of our home equity lending managed portfolio by product type, outstanding principal balance and weighted average coupon:

December 31,						
2005			2004			
	Amount	% of Total	Weighted Average Coupon	Amount	% of Total	Weighted Average Coupon
(Dollars in thousands)						
Home Equity portfolio						
Loans< = 100% CLTV	\$ 494,462	31.03%	7.90%	\$ 182,817	15.94%	9.79%
Lines of credit< = 100% CLTV	327,164	20.53	8.77	384,547	33.52	7.82
Total< = 100% CLTV	821,626	51.56	8.24	567,364	49.46	8.46
Loans> 100% CLTV	582,536	36.56	12.31	349,791	30.49	13.27
Lines of credit> 100% CLTV	142,315	8.93	13.10	175,082	15.26	11.70
Total> 100% CLTV	724,851	45.49	12.47	524,873	45.75	12.75
First mortgages	36,377	2.28	7.10	36,694	3.20	7.18
Other	10,655	0.67	14.03	18,206	1.59	13.88
Total managed portfolio ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,593,509	100.00%	10.18%	\$ 1,147,137	100.00%	10.46%

⁽¹⁾ We define our Managed Portfolio as the portfolio of loans (\$1.6 billion) that we service and on which we carry credit risk. At December 31, 2005, we also serviced another \$1.5 billion of loans for which the credit risk is held by others.

The following table shows the geographic composition of our home equity lending managed portfolio on a percentage basis as of December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004:

December 31,

State	2005	2004
California	11.4%	15.4%
Michigan	8.5	6.0
Florida	6.9	7.4
Colorado	6.2	4.7
Ohio	5.8	5.3
All other states	61.2	61.2
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Total managed portfolio in thousands	\$ 1,593,509	\$ 1,147,137

Table of Contents

The following table shows the geographic composition of our home equity loan originations on a percentage basis for the periods indicated:

State	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004
California	15.2%	16.1%
Florida	8.2	6.2
Michigan	7.1	5.5
Arizona	5.6	2.4
Colorado	5.5	5.8
All other states	58.4	64.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%

Total originations in thousands	\$	1,691,636	\$	1,442,314
---------------------------------	----	-----------	----	-----------

Securitizations

Since inception in 1995, we have used secondary markets to assist in the funding of the loans we make. These securitizations have provided a stable, relatively low cost source of matched maturity funding for us. The securitizations can be accounted for in one of two methods:

As off balance sheet, SFAS 140, structures where both the loans and securitization liabilities are off balance sheet. We record a gain on sale of loans at the time of securitization, retain a residual interest and record subsequent trading gains/losses throughout the life of the loans as our assumptions of future performance change. We engaged in such securitizations from 1995 through 2001. We are considering the use of gain-on-sale accounting again in 2006 on a limited basis in order to align regulatory and economic capital requirements. The internally imposed limitations relate to credit quality and loan-to-value ratios of the underlying loans (e.g., loans must meet certain minimum FICO scores and combined loan-to-values will not exceed 100%) as well as a limitation that the amount of residuals we will have as a percentage of capital at Irwin Union Bank and Trust will not exceed 15% of the Bank's Tier 1 capital. Such treatment would result in the creation of new residual interests.

As on balance sheet structures (secured financings) where the loans are left on balance sheet and the securitization liabilities are brought on balance sheet as collateralized debt. We then report net interest income on an accrual basis over the life of the loans. We have used these structures since 2001.

Table of Contents*Net Income*

Our home equity lending business recorded net income of \$2.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to net income of \$28.1 million in 2004 and a net loss of \$19.9 million in 2003. The most significant factors in the decreased earnings during 2005 were lower coupons from the origination of higher credit quality loans, reduced trading gains on our residual interest, higher loan loss provision and reduced gain on sale of loans.

Net Revenue

Net revenue in 2005 totaled \$106 million, compared to net revenue in 2004 and 2003 of \$162 million and \$57 million, respectively. Higher servicing asset amortization reduced net revenues in 2005 compared to 2004 as a result of the growth in the servicing portfolio. In addition, net interest income declined by \$11 million in 2005 due to shrinking net interest margins. Another contributing factor to the decline in revenues is the decrease in trading gains with respect to residual interests. Also, provision for loan losses increased to \$15.8 million in 2005 compared to \$4.4 million in 2004 and down from \$29.6 million in 2003.

Our home equity lending business produced \$1.7 billion of home equity loans in 2005 compared to \$1.4 in 2004 and \$1.1 billion in 2003. Our home equity lending business had \$1.5 billion of loans and loans held for sale at December 31, 2005, compared to \$0.8 billion at December 31, 2004, and \$0.9 billion at the same date in 2003. Included in the loan balance at December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were \$0.5 billion, \$0.4 billion and \$0.5 billion of collateralized loans as part of secured financings.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding net revenue for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Net interest income	\$ 88,290	\$ 98,983	\$ 106,545
Provision for loan losses	(15,811)	(4,369)	(29,575)
Gain on sales of loans	17,849	29,180	26,069
Loan servicing fees	38,206	29,774	21,835
Amortization of servicing assets	(29,708)	(19,863)	(13,894)
Recovery (impairment) of servicing assets	643	1,148	(1,684)
Trading gains (losses)	2,399	25,176	(52,209)
Other income	4,278	2,432	358
Total net revenue	\$ 106,146	\$ 162,461	\$ 57,445

Net interest income decreased to \$88 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to 2004 net interest income of \$99 million, and \$107 million in 2003. This line of business earns interest income on loans held on the balance sheet and the accretion of the discount applied to its residual interests. The decrease in the net interest income in 2005 is primarily due to shrinking net interest margins and product mix.

Provision for loan losses increased to \$15.8 million in 2005 compared to \$4.4 million in 2004, but decreased from \$29.6 million in 2003. The increased provision in 2005 relates to the buildup of the home equity on-balance sheet loan portfolio and the seasoning of the portfolio. Included in the 2005 provision is approximately \$0.5 million that relates to hurricane Katrina and Rita related exposures.

Gains on sales of loans for the year ended December 31, 2005 totaled \$18 million, compared to \$29 million and \$26 million during the same period in 2004 and 2003, respectively. We completed whole loan sales during 2005 of \$0.7 billion compared to sales in 2004 of \$1.3 billion. The gain relative to the amount of loans sold was higher than in the prior year due to product mix sold and the related loan yields.

Whole loan sales are cash sales for which we receive a premium, generally record a servicing asset, recognize any points and fees, and recognize any previously capitalized expenses relating to the sold loans at

Table of Contents

the time of sale. For certain sales, we have the right to an incentive servicing fee (ISF) that will provide cash payments to us once a pre-established return for the certificate holders and certain structure-specific loan credit and servicing performance metrics are met. At December 31, 2005, we were receiving incentive fees for two transactions that had met these performance metrics. During 2005, we collected \$2.3 million in cash from these ISFs, compared to \$0.9 million in 2004. These ISF arrangements are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities.

When ISF agreements are entered into subsequent to the whole loan sale, these assets are assigned a zero value and revenue is recognized on a contingent basis as pre-established performance metrics are met and cash is due.

Loan servicing fees totaled \$38 million in 2005 compared to \$30 million in 2004 and \$22 million in 2003. The servicing portfolio underlying the mortgage servicing asset at our home equity lending line of business totaled \$2.0 billion and \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase in loan servicing fees in 2005 relates to higher prepayment penalty income and increased servicing income on our servicing portfolio.

Amortization and impairment of servicing assets includes amortization expenses and valuation adjustments relating to the carrying value of servicing assets. Our home equity lending business determines fair value of its servicing asset using discounted cash flows and assumptions as to estimated future servicing income and cost that we believe market participants would use to value similar assets. In addition, we periodically assess these modeled assumptions for reasonableness through independent third-party valuations. At December 31, 2005, net servicing assets totaled \$31 million, compared to a balance of \$44 million at December 31, 2004, and \$28 million at December 31, 2003. Servicing asset amortization expense, net of impairment, totaled \$29 million during 2005, compared to \$20 million in 2004, and \$14 million in 2003. The increased amortization is a result of the increase in the size of the underlying servicing portfolio and increased prepayment speeds.

Trading gains (losses) represent unrealized gains (losses) as a result of adjustments to the carrying values of our residual interests. Trading gains totaled \$2.4 million in 2005 compared to gains of \$25.2 million in 2004 and losses of \$52.2 million in 2003. Residual interests had a balance of \$16 million at December 31, 2005 and \$52 million at December 31, 2004, compared to \$70 million at the same date in 2003. The decrease in residual interest balance reflects a combination of cash receipts, runoff and performance-based valuation adjustments. The \$15.6 million valuation at December 31, 2005 reflects \$16.3 million of anticipated undiscounted cash flows of which \$13.9 million represents existing securitization overcollateralization and reserve funds, and the remaining \$2.4 million represents expected future net spread and prepayment penalties. Included in the valuation are assumptions for estimated prepayments, expected losses, and discount rates that we believe market participants would use to value similar assets. To the extent our expectations of future loss rates, prepayment speeds and other factors change as we gather additional data over time, these residual valuations may be subject to additional adjustments in the future.

Operating Expenses

The following table shows operating expenses for our home equity lending line of business for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 64,432	\$ 75,649	\$ 52,074
Other	37,907	39,130	38,464
Total operating expenses	\$ 102,339	\$ 114,779	\$ 90,538
Number of employees at year end ⁽¹⁾	615	642	663

(1) On a full time equivalent basis.

55

Table of Contents

Operating expenses were \$102 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, down from \$115 in 2004, and an increase of 13% from 2003. Operating expenses include compensation expense related to long term compensation plans at the home equity line of business totaling \$4.1 million, \$14.5 million and \$2.3 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003 respectively.

Home Equity Servicing

Our home equity lending business continues to service the majority of the loans it has securitized and sold. We earn a servicing fee of approximately 50 to 100 basis points of the outstanding principal balance of the loans securitized. Generally accepted accounting principles require us to book a servicing asset under both the gain on sale and the secured financing structures. The total servicing portfolio was \$3.1 billion at December 31, 2005 compared to \$2.8 billion at December 31, 2004. For whole loans sold with servicing retained totaling \$1.1 billion and \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, we capitalize servicing fees including rights to future early repayment fees. The servicing asset at December 31, 2005 was \$31 million, down from \$44 million at December 31, 2004 reflecting secondary market sales and financings, net of amortization and run-off.

Our Managed Portfolio, representing that portion of the servicing portfolio on which we have retained credit risk, is separated into two categories: \$1.5 billion of loans originated, generally since 2002, and held on balance sheet either as loans held for investment or loans held for sale, and \$0.1 billion of loans and lines of credit securitized for which we retained a residual interest. Generally, these loans categorized as Owned Residual were originated prior to 2002 and treated as sold under SFAS 140 and have a reserve methodology that reflects life of account loss expectations; whereas our policy for on-balance sheet loans requires that we hold at a minimum, sufficient reserves for potential losses inherent in the portfolio at the balance sheet date. Such losses for on-balance sheet loans manifest themselves over a period which management believes approximates twelve months. In both cases, we retain credit and interest rate risk.

In addition, where applicable, we have the opportunity to earn additional future servicing incentive fees. Included below in the category Credit Risk Sold, Potential Incentive Servicing Fee Retained Portfolio are \$1.0 billion of loans at December 31, 2005 and 2004 for which we have the opportunity to earn an incentive servicing fee. While the credit performance of these loans we have sold is one factor that can affect the value of the incentive servicing fee, we do not have direct credit risk in these pools.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain information for these portfolios:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Managed Portfolio		
Total Loans	\$ 1,593,509	\$ 1,147,137
30 days past due	3.04%	4.76%
90 days past due	1.10	1.60
Net Chargeoff Rate	0.60	2.48
Unsold Loans		
Total Loans ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,480,224	\$ 814,595
30 days past due	2.23%	1.93%
90 days past due	0.86	0.78
Net Chargeoff Rate	0.31	1.28
Loan Loss Reserve	\$ 23,552	\$ 11,330
Owned Residual		
Total Loans	\$ 113,286	\$ 332,542
30 days past due	13.60%	11.71%
90 days past due	4.32	3.61
Net Chargeoff Rate	2.14	5.00
Residual Undiscounted Losses	\$ 930	\$ 11,323
Credit Risk Sold, Potential Incentive Servicing Fee Retained Portfolio		
Total Loans	\$ 972,775	\$ 1,023,585
30 days past due	4.30%	3.11%
90 days past due	1.74	1.10

⁽¹⁾ Excludes deferred fees and costs.

The managed portfolio amounts listed above include those loans we service with credit risk retained. Delinquency rates and losses on our managed portfolio result from a variety of factors, including loan seasoning, portfolio mix, and general economic conditions. The 30-day and greater delinquency ratio on our managed portfolio was 3.0% at December 31, 2005, and 4.8% at December 31, 2004. The net charge off rate for 2005 was 0.60% reflecting lower charge offs resulting from improved credit characteristics.

Table of Contents**Mortgage Banking**

The following table shows selected financial information for our mortgage banking line of business:

Year Ended December 31,**2005****2004****2003****2002****2001****(Dollars in thousands)****Selected Income****Statement Data:**

Net interest income	\$	36,766	\$	40,825	\$	72,311	\$	41,545	\$	30,261
Recovery of (provision for) loan loss		455		278		(664)		(354)		31
Noninterest income		65,263		197,971		326,000		207,177		185,251
Total net revenue		102,484		239,074		397,647		248,368		215,543
Operating expense		(129,551)		(204,205)		(267,880)		(175,277)		(153,706)
Income before taxes		(27,067)		34,869		129,767		73,091		61,837
Income taxes		10,891		(14,603)		(51,667)		(28,548)		(23,912)

Net (loss) income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		(16,176)		20,266		78,100		44,543		37,925
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle										175

Net (loss) income	\$	(16,176)	\$	20,266	\$	78,100	\$	44,543	\$	38,100
-------------------	----	----------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------

Selected Balance**Sheet Data at End of Period:**

Total assets	\$	1,306,041	\$	1,238,136	\$	1,258,641	\$	1,631,406	\$	926,946
Mortgage loans held for sale		779,966		662,832		679,360		1,239,309		502,086
Mortgage servicing assets		261,309		319,225		348,174		146,398		211,201
Deposits		412,444		680,812		567,047		581,425		360,523
Short-term borrowing		467,470		133,150		214,877		809,921		385,640
Shareholder's equity		125,888		123,265		122,671		100,069		63,150
Shareholder's equity to assets		9.64%		9.96%		9.75%		6.13%		6.81%

Selected Operating**Data:**

Mortgage loan originations	\$	11,029,183	\$	13,093,082	\$	22,669,246	\$	11,411,875	\$	9,225,991
		121.4%		74.2%		6.2%		31.1%		29.9%

Servicing sold as a %
of originations

Servicing portfolio:

Balance at end of period	\$ 18,265,288	\$ 26,196,627	\$ 29,640,122	\$ 16,792,669	\$ 12,875,532
Weighted average coupon rate	5.79%	5.75%	5.83%	6.59%	7.23%
Weighted average servicing fee	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.37	0.45

Overview

In our mortgage banking line of business, we originate, purchase, sell and service conventional and government agency-backed residential mortgage loans throughout the United States. We also engage in the business of mortgage reinsurance. Our channels for originating loans consist primarily of retail, wholesale, and correspondent lending.

We sell servicing rights periodically for many reasons, including income recognition, cash flow, capital management and servicing portfolio management. Servicing rights sales occur at the time the underlying loans are sold to an investor (in flow sales) or in pools from our seasoned servicing portfolio (in bulk sales). In 2005, we chose to sell the servicing asset associated with nearly all of our current originations. We made this decision due to a desire to lower our interest rate risk from the servicing portfolio, as well as to decrease servicing assets

Table of Contents

as a percentage of our consolidated balance sheet. This differs from our actions in the early part of this decade when we added to the portfolio as rates reached historic lows.

During the first half of 2005, we divested a substantial portion of our retail origination operations. These divested operations represented less than 20% of our total 2004 originations. Exit costs associated with these sales totaled approximately \$1.6 million. We anticipate that we will recognize some incremental revenue over the next two years as part of an earn-out based remuneration for these branches. In 2005, earn-out based revenues totaled \$1.0 million.

In January 2006, we announced that we were considering strategic alternatives for the conventional first mortgage business, including the potential sale of the mortgage banking line of business. We believe that our mortgage banking line of business, particularly our servicing activities, have grown to a size where we believe they can be managed and grown more effectively within another organization. We are actively searching for an alternative home for the segment and its employees. While considering alternatives, we are continuing to operate the business as usual. During 2006, we expect to report on the operations of Irwin Mortgage as discontinued operations .

Net Income

Our mortgage banking line of business reported a net loss for the year ended December 31, 2005 of \$16 million, compared to net income of \$20 million during 2004, and net income from 2003 results of \$78 million. The 2005 loss reflects significant losses on derivatives associated with our mortgage servicing asset risk management activities as well as compressed interest margins resulting in declines in revenues from secondary market sales of loans. The net income decrease in 2004 primarily relates to a decline in mortgage originations due to generally rising interest rates, compressed interest margins and reduced valuation recoveries on mortgage servicing rights.

The following table shows the composition of our originations by loan categories for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Total originations	\$ 11,029,183	\$ 13,093,082	\$ 22,669,246
Percent retail loans	10%	20%	26%
Percent wholesale loans	49	34	42
Percent correspondent	37	35	28
Percent brokered ⁽¹⁾	4	11	4
Percent refinances	47	52	67

⁽¹⁾ Brokered loans are loans we originate for which we receive loan origination fees, but which are funded, closed and owned by unrelated third parties.

Mortgage loan originations for the year ended December 31, 2005 totaled \$11 billion, down 16% from the same period in 2004. A significant portion of the decrease relates to the divestiture in the first half of 2005 of a substantial portion of our retail origination operations. Retail origination declined from 20% of our total originations in 2004 to 10% in 2005.

Table of Contents*Net Revenue*

Mortgage banking net revenue for the year ended December 31, 2005 totaled \$102 million, compared to \$239 million for the year ended December 31, 2004, and \$398 million in 2003. The following table sets forth certain information regarding net revenue for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Selected Income Statement Data:			
Net interest income	\$ 36,766	\$ 40,825	\$ 72,311
Provision for loan losses	455	278	(664)
Gain on sales of loans	75,267	151,172	327,864
Servicing fees	86,930	104,500	83,124
Amortization expense	(69,308)	(95,721)	(118,920)
Recovery (impairment) of servicing assets	18,734	(4,204)	45,456
Gain (loss) on derivatives	(67,587)	18,889	(21,307)
Gain (loss) on sales of servicing assets	14,412	16,681	(305)
Other income	6,815	6,654	10,088
Total net revenue	\$ 102,484	\$ 239,074	\$ 397,647

Net interest income is generated from the interest earned on mortgage loans before they are sold to investors, less the interest expense incurred on borrowings to fund the loans. Net interest income in 2005 decreased 10% to \$37 million, compared to \$41 million in 2004, and \$72 million in 2003. The decrease in net interest income in 2005 and 2004 is a result of decreased production resulting in a lower average balance of mortgage loans held for sale on our balance sheet during the year.

Gain on sale of loans includes net revenues from three principal sources:
the valuation of newly-created mortgage servicing rights;

net loan origination fees which are recognized when loans are pooled and sold into the secondary mortgage market; and,

changes in fair value of forward contracts and interest rate lock commitments.

Gain on sale of loans for the year ended 2005 totaled \$75 million, compared to \$151 million and \$328 million in 2004 and 2003, a decrease of 50% and 77%, respectively. This decrease is attributable to the sale of most of our retail branches during the early part of 2005, reduced secondary market margins, and reduced pricing power on the part of originators.

Servicing fee income is recognized by collecting fees, which normally range between 25 and 44 basis points annually on the principal amount of the underlying mortgages. Servicing fee income totaled \$87 million for the year of 2005, a decrease of 17% from 2004 and an increase of 5% from 2003. The decline in servicing fees in 2005 primarily reflects the decrease in the size of the servicing portfolio.

Amortization expense relates to mortgage servicing rights and is based on the proportion of current net servicing cash flows to the total expected for the estimated lives of the underlying loans. Amortization expense totaled \$69 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to \$96 million during 2004 and \$119 million during 2003. The decrease in amortization expense in 2005 compared to 2004 relates to the decreased portfolio and reduced prepayment speeds.

Impairment expense is recorded when the book value of the mortgage servicing rights exceeds the fair market value on a strata by strata basis. We determined fair value at December 31, 2005, through the use of independent valuations, valuation comparisons to actual servicing sale proceeds, and internal models. Recovery of servicing assets totaled \$19 million during 2005, compared to impairment expense of \$4 million

Table of Contents

during 2004 and recovery of \$45 million in 2003. The fluctuations in impairment expense are attributable to changes in actual and expected prepayment speeds due to interest rate changes.

The current risk management activities of the mortgage bank related to servicing assets do not satisfy the criteria for hedge accounting under SFAS 133. As a result, these derivatives are accounted for as other assets and other liabilities, and changes in fair value are adjusted through earnings as derivative gains (losses), while the underlying servicing asset is accounted for on a strata-by-strata basis at the lower of cost or market. The recovery of servicing assets recorded in 2005 was more than offset by derivative losses of \$68 million. We recorded derivative gains of \$19 million during 2004 and derivative losses of \$21 million during 2003. See the discussion of Derivative Financial Instruments in our Risk Management section for additional information on our risk management activities.

Our net impairment and related risk management results were less favorable in 2005 due to a variety of factors including the shape of the yield curve and volatility of interest rates as well as basis risk. During 2005, we substantially lowered our exposure to impairment by selling mortgage servicing rights as discussed below. In early 2006, we engaged an independent third party to help advise us on our mortgage servicing rights risk management activities.

We sold \$6 billion of bulk servicing in 2005, generating \$14 million in pre-tax gains. This compares to bulk servicing sales of \$4 billion in 2004, producing \$17 million pre-tax gains. In 2003, there were no bulk servicing sales. We carry our servicing assets on the balance sheet at the lower-of-cost-or-market. The decline in revenues associated with servicing sales reflects the fact that the assets sold in 2005 had been carried on the balance sheet at a value closer to their fair value as compared to 2004 when the gap between the required balance sheet carrying value for these assets and the fair value was greater. During the early years of this decade, we had built our servicing portfolio in anticipation of rising interest rates that would result in lower mortgage loan production. We sold servicing in 2005 primarily to manage the size and composition of our investment in mortgage servicing assets.

Operating Expenses

The following table sets forth operating expenses for our mortgage banking line of business for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 53,879	\$ 77,209	\$ 81,589
Incentive and commission pay	15,490	41,230	79,956
Other expenses	60,182	85,766	106,335
 Total operating expenses	 \$ 129,551	 \$ 204,205	 \$ 267,880
 Number of employees at year end ⁽¹⁾	 895	 1,675	 2,175

⁽¹⁾ On a full time equivalent basis.

Operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 totaled \$130 million, a 37% decrease over the year 2004, and a 52% decrease over 2003. Salaries and employee benefits including incentive and commission pay decreased 41% in 2005 over 2004 and 57% over 2003. These decreases in operating expenses reflect significant decreases in production activities in 2005 versus 2004. However, the relative decreases in operating expenses are less than the relative decreases in production due to the fixed and semi-variable nature of certain operating expenses and the impact of investments in various process improvement initiatives.

Table of Contents*Mortgage Servicing*

The following table shows information about our managed mortgage servicing portfolio, including mortgage loans held for sale, for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Portfolio in billions)		
Beginning servicing portfolio	\$ 26.2	\$ 29.6	\$ 16.8
Mortgage loan closings ⁽¹⁾	10.6	11.7	21.9
Bulk sales of servicing rights	(6.2)	(4.0)	
Flow sales of servicing rights	(6.8)	(4.2)	(0.6)
Run-off ⁽²⁾	(5.5)	(6.9)	(8.5)
Ending servicing portfolio	\$ 18.3	\$ 26.2	\$ 29.6
Number of loans (end of period)	142,956	205,463	229,983
Average loan size	\$ 127,769	\$ 127,500	\$ 128,880
Weighted average coupon	5.79%	5.75%	5.83%
Percent Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) and state housing programs	26	30	26
Percent conventional and other	74	70	74
Delinquency ratio	5.4	4.6	4.6
Mortgage servicing assets to related servicing portfolio ⁽³⁾	1.41	1.20	1.19

(1) Excludes brokered loans that are closed, funded and owned by unrelated third parties.

(2) Run-off is primarily the reduction in principal balance of the servicing portfolio due to regular principal payments made by mortgagees and early repayments of entire loans.

(3) For this calculation, deferred service release premiums on warehouse loans are excluded from mortgage servicing assets and loans held for sale (i.e. warehouse loans) are excluded from the servicing portfolio.

Our mortgage servicing portfolio, including mortgage loans held for sale, totaled \$18 billion at December 31, 2005, a 30% decrease from the December 31, 2004 balance of \$26 billion, and a 39% decrease from the same date in 2003. The decrease in 2005 relates primarily to bulk servicing sales and the decision to sell a relatively high proportion of the servicing rights created by new production (flow sales where the servicing is sold at the same time the underlying mortgage is sold into the secondary market).

We record originated mortgage servicing assets at allocated cost basis when the loans are sold and record purchased servicing assets at fair value. Thereafter, servicing rights are accounted for at the lower of their cost or fair value. We record a valuation allowance for any impairment on a disaggregated basis. We determine fair value on a monthly basis based on a discounted cash flow analysis. These cash flows are projected over the life of the servicing using prepayment, default, discount rate and cost to service assumptions that we believe market participants would use to value similar assets. We then assess these modeled assumptions for reasonableness through independent third-party valuations, periodic servicing asset sales and through the use of industry surveys.

Parent and Other

Results at the parent company and other businesses totaled a net loss of \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to a loss of \$6.5 million during the same period in 2004 and \$9.7 million in 2003.

These losses at the parent company primarily relate to operating and interest expenses in excess of management fees charged to the lines of business and interest income earned on intracompany loans. Included in parent company operating results are allocations to our subsidiaries of interest expense related to our interest-bearing capital obligations. During the year ended December 31, 2005, we allocated \$18 million of

Table of Contents

these expenses to our subsidiaries, compared to \$14 million and \$15 million during 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Each subsidiary pays taxes to us at the statutory rate. Subsidiaries also pay fees to us to cover direct and indirect services. In addition, certain services are provided from one subsidiary to another. Intercompany income and expenses are calculated on an arm's-length, external market basis and are eliminated in consolidation. During 2005, we released \$1.9 million in tax reserves at the parent company to align our tax liability to a level commensurate with our currently identified tax exposures. The majority of the reserves related to our 2001 tax returns. The statute on these returns expired during the third quarter of 2005 triggering the reversal of these reserves.

Risk Management

We are engaged in businesses that involve the assumption of financial risks including:

Credit risk

Liquidity risk

Interest rate risk

Operational risk

Each line of business that assumes financial risk uses a formal process to manage this risk. In all cases, the objectives are to ensure that risk is contained within prudent levels and that we are adequately compensated for the level of risk assumed.

Our Chairman, Executive Vice President, Senior Vice Presidents (including the Chief Financial Officer), and Chief Risk Officer (at the time of this filing, we are conducting a search for a new Chief Risk Officer as the prior officer recently moved into a new role at our commercial banking subsidiary) meet on a regularly-scheduled basis (or more frequently as appropriate) as an Enterprise-wide Risk Management Committee (ERMC), reporting to the Board of Directors' Audit and Risk Management Committee.

Each of our principal risks is managed at the line of business level, with oversight and, when appropriate, standardization provided by the ERMC and its subcommittees. The ERMC and its subcommittees oversee all aspects of our financial, credit, and operational risks. The ERMC provides senior-level review and enhancement of line manager risk processes and oversight of our risk reporting, surveillance and model parameter changes.

Credit Risk. The assumption of credit risk is a key source of earnings for the home equity lending, commercial banking and commercial finance lines of business. The mortgage banking line of business assumes limited credit risk as its mortgages typically are insured and are sold within a short period of time after origination.

The credit risk in the loan portfolios of the home equity lending, commercial finance and commercial banking lines of business has the most potential for a significant effect on our consolidated financial performance. These lines of business each have a Chief Credit Officer with expertise specific to the product line. The segments manage credit risk through various combinations of the use of lending policies, credit analysis and approval procedures, periodic loan reviews, servicing activities, and/or personal contact with borrowers. Commercial loans over a certain size, depending on the loan type and structure, are reviewed by a loan committee prior to approval. We perform independent loan review across the Corporation through a function that reports directly to the Audit and Risk Management Committee.

The allowance for loan and lease losses is an estimate based on our judgment applying the principles of SFAS 5, Accounting for Contingencies, SFAS 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, and SFAS 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan—Income Recognition and Disclosures. The allowance is maintained at a level we believe is adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. We perform an assessment of the adequacy of the allowance at the segment level no less frequently than on a quarterly basis and through review by a subcommittee of the ERMC.

Table of Contents

Within the allowance, there are specific and expected loss components. The specific loss component is based on a regular analysis of all loans over a fixed-dollar amount where the internal credit rating is at or below a predetermined classification. From this analysis we determine the loans that we believe to be impaired in accordance with SFAS 114. Management has defined impaired as nonaccrual loans. For loans determined to be impaired, we measure the level of impairment by comparing the loan's carrying value using one of the following fair value measurement techniques: present value of expected future cash flows, observable market price, or fair value of the associated collateral. An allowance is established when the collateral value of the loan implies a value that is lower than carrying value. In addition to establishing allowance levels for specifically identified higher risk graded or high delinquency loans, management determines an allowance for all other loans in the portfolio for which historical or projected experience indicates that certain losses will occur. These loans are segregated by major product type, and in some instances, by aging, with an estimated loss ratio or migration pattern applied against each product type and aging category. For portfolios that are too new to have adequate historical experience on which to base a loss estimate, we use estimates derived from industry experience and management's judgment. The loss ratio or migration patterns are generally based upon historic loss experience or historic rate migration behaviors, respectively, for each loan type adjusted for certain environmental factors management believes to be relevant.

Net charge-offs for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$11 million, or 0.3% of average loans, compared to \$23 million, or 0.7% of average loans during 2004. Net charge-offs in 2003 were \$34 million or 1.1% of average loans. The decrease in charge-offs is a result of improvements in credit quality associated with tighter underwriting guidelines in our home equity business and an improving economy. At December 31, 2005, the allowance for loan and lease losses was 1.3% of outstanding loans and leases, unchanged from year-end 2004, and 2.0% at year-end 2003.

The following table shows an analysis of our consolidated allowance for loan and lease losses:

	At or For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Loans and leases outstanding at end of period, net of unearned income	\$ 4,498,829	\$ 3,450,440	\$ 3,161,054	\$ 2,815,276	\$ 2,137,822
Average loans and leases for the period, net of unearned income	\$ 3,890,077	\$ 3,324,333	\$ 3,168,776	\$ 2,620,428	\$ 1,533,261
Allowance for possible loan and lease losses:					
Balance beginning of period	\$ 44,443	\$ 64,285	\$ 50,936	\$ 22,283	\$ 13,129
Charge-offs:					
Commercial, financial and agricultural loans	2,976	3,262	4,263	3,666	1,638
Real estate mortgage loans	10,656	15,381	23,522	7,130	600
Consumer loans	723	351	765	800	1,489
Commercial Financing:					
Franchise financing	870	88	146	19	
Domestic leasing	2,190	6,581	6,026	5,139	3,624
Canadian leasing	2,786	2,517	2,590	1,476	2,402
Total charge-offs	20,201	28,180	37,312	18,230	9,753

Table of Contents**At or For the Year Ended December 31,**

2005 2004 2003 2002 2001

(Dollars in thousands)**Recoveries:**

Commercial, financial and agricultural loans	767	318	77	435	144
Real estate mortgage loans	7,068	3,899	2,198	1,002	
Consumer loans	85	169	248	252	193
Commercial Financing:					
Franchise financing	25				
Domestic leasing	583	626	448	523	334
Canadian leasing	432	323	449	658	877
Total recoveries	8,960	5,335	3,420	2,870	1,548
Net charge-offs	(11,241)	(22,845)	(33,892)	(15,360)	(8,205)
Reduction due to sale of loans	(424)	(627)	(234)		(6)
Reduction due to reclassification of loans		(10,808)	(690)		
Foreign currency adjustment	119	243	582	17	(140)
Provision charged to expense	26,852	14,195	47,583	43,996	17,505
Balance end of year	\$ 59,749	\$ 44,443	\$ 64,285	\$ 50,936	\$ 22,283

Allowance for possible loan and lease losses by category:

Commercial, financial and agricultural loans	\$ 19,927	\$ 18,126	\$ 20,571	\$ 17,942	\$ 11,198
Real estate mortgage loans	24,079	12,332	31,445	23,150	2,872
Consumer loans	4,879	4,242	809	2,067	2,309
Commercial Financing:					
Franchise financing	4,118	3,728	2,158	1,327	204
Domestic leasing	3,144	2,926	6,285	4,626	4,323
Canadian leasing	3,602	3,089	3,017	1,824	1,377
Totals	\$ 59,749	\$ 44,443	\$ 64,285	\$ 50,936	\$ 22,283

Table of Contents**At or For the Year Ended December 31,**

2005 2004 2003 2002 2001

(Dollars in thousands)**Percent of loans and leases to total
loans and leases by category:**

Commercial, financial and agricultural loans	42%	49%	47%	48%	49%
Real estate mortgage loans	39	32	37	39	36
Consumer loans	1	1	1	1	2
Commercial Financing:					
Franchise financing	7	7	5	3	2
Domestic leasing	5	4	4	5	7
Canadian leasing	6	7	6	4	4

Ratios:

Net charge-offs to average loans and leases	0.3%	0.7%	1.1%	0.7%	0.7%
Allowance for possible loan losses to loans and leases outstanding	1.3%	1.3%	2.0%	1.8%	1.0%

Total nonperforming loans and leases at December 31, 2005, were \$37 million, compared to \$34 million at December 31, 2004, and \$44 million at December 31, 2003. Nonperforming loans and leases as a percent of total loans and leases at December 31, 2005 were 0.8%, compared to 0.9% at December 31, 2004, and 1.4% in 2003. The 2005 increase in dollars occurred at the home equity lending line of business where nonperforming increased to \$12.6 million at December 31, 2005, compared to \$6.4 million at the end of 2004. Nonperforming loan and leases at all the other lines of business decreased year over year.

Other real estate we owned totaled \$15.2 million at December 31, 2005, up from \$9.4 million at December 31, 2004, which was up from \$6.4 million at the same date in 2003. The increase in 2005 relates to the commercial banking line of business. We also include in our nonperforming assets category nonperforming loans held for sale at the mortgage banking line of business that are not guaranteed which decreased to \$1.0 million at December 31, 2005 compared to \$2.1 million at the end of 2004. These loans reside at the mortgage banking line of business. Total nonperforming assets at December 31, 2005 were \$54 million, or 0.8% of total assets. Nonperforming assets at December 31, 2004, totaled \$45 million, or 0.9% of total assets, compared to \$52 million, or 1.1%, in 2003.

The following table shows information about our nonperforming assets at the dates shown:

December 31,

2005 2004 2003 2002 2001

(Dollars in thousands)**Accruing loans past due 90 days or more:**

Commercial, financial and agricultural loans	\$	\$	\$ 4,172	\$ 30	\$ 1,146
Real estate mortgages	222	219			
Consumer loans	233	426	226	688	157
Commercial financing:					
Franchise financing			151	43	
Domestic leasing	73		8	177	1,624

Canadian leasing	71	12	70	143	68
	599	657	4,627	1,081	2,995
	66				

Table of Contents

	December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
(Dollars in thousands)					
Nonaccrual loans and leases:					
Commercial, financial and agricultural loans	17,693	20,394	20,447	13,798	5,066
Real estate mortgages	14,237	8,590	14,663	11,308	8,115
Consumer loans	1,335	128	769	454	708
Commercial financing:					
Franchise financing	720	1,193	552		
Domestic leasing	1,383	1,029	1,364	3,415	1,180
Canadian leasing	1,452	1,702	1,943	1,077	1,088
	36,820	33,036	39,738	30,052	16,157
Total nonperforming loans and leases	37,419	33,693	44,365	31,133	19,152
Nonperforming loans held for sale not guaranteed	965	2,066	1,695	1,201	1,562
Other real estate owned	15,226	9,427	6,431	5,272	4,388
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 53,610	\$ 45,186	\$ 52,491	\$ 37,606	\$ 25,102
Nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases	0.8%	1.0%	1.4%	1.1%	0.9%
Nonperforming assets to total assets	0.8%	0.9%	1.1%	0.8%	0.7%

For the periods presented, the year-end balances of any restructured loans are reflected in the table above either in the amounts shown for accruing loans past due 90 days or more or in the amounts shown for nonaccrual loans and leases.

Loans that are past due 90 days or more are placed on nonaccrual status unless, in management's opinion, there is sufficient collateral value to offset both principal and interest. The \$54 million of nonperforming assets at December 31, 2005, were concentrated at our lines of business as follows:

	December 31, 2005	December 31, 2004
	(In millions)	
Commercial banking	\$ 27.4	\$ 22.8
Commercial finance	3.7	3.9
Home equity lending	17.1	10.0
Mortgage banking	5.5	8.5

Interest income of approximately \$4.5 million would have been recorded during 2005 on nonaccrual and renegotiated loans if such loans had been accruing interest throughout the year in accordance with their original terms. The amount of interest income actually recorded during the year of 2005 on nonaccrual and restructured loans was approximately \$1.4 million.

Generally, the accrual of income is discontinued when the full collection of principal or interest is in doubt, or when the payment of principal or interest has become contractually 90 days past due unless the obligation is both well secured and in the process of collection.

Liquidity Risk. Liquidity is the availability of funds to meet the daily requirements of our business. For financial institutions, demand for funds results principally from extensions of credit and withdrawal of deposits. Liquidity is provided through deposits and short-term and long-term borrowings, by asset maturities or sales, and through equity capital.

The objectives of liquidity management are to ensure that funds will be available to meet current and future demands and that funds are available at a reasonable cost. We manage liquidity centrally via daily

Table of Contents

interaction with the lines of business and periodic liquidity planning sessions. Since loans are less marketable than securities, the ratio of total loans to total deposits is a traditional measure of liquidity for banks and bank holding companies. At December 31, 2005, the ratio of loans and loans held for sale to total deposits was 149%. We are comfortable with this relatively high level due to our position in first mortgage loans held for sale (\$0.8 billion) and second mortgage loans and leases financed through matched-term secured financing (\$0.7 billion). The mortgage loans carry an interest rate at or near current market rates and are generally sold within a short period after origination. Excluding these items, our loans to deposit ratio at December 31, 2005 was 110%.

As disclosed in the footnotes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, we have certain obligations to make future payments under contracts. At December 31, 2005, the aggregate contractual obligations are:

Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less than One Year	One to Five Years	After Five Years
(Dollars in thousands)				
Deposits with contractual maturity	\$ 1,404,219	\$ 672,488	\$ 723,234	\$ 8,497
Deposits without a stated maturity	2,494,774	2,494,774		
Short-term borrowings	997,444	907,444	40,000	50,000
Collateralized debt	669,120	326,602	342,518	
Other long-term debt	270,160	35		270,125
Operating leases	74,763	15,996	45,410	13,357
Total	\$ 5,910,480	\$ 4,417,339	\$ 1,151,162	\$ 341,979

The table above describes our on-balance sheet contractual obligations. As described in the line of business sections, both mortgage banking and home equity lending fund a high percentage of their loan production via whole loan sales and/or asset securitization. It is, therefore, important to note that loan sales/securitizations that occur frequently in our first mortgage loan and home equity loan businesses have proven reliable (e.g., even in unstable market environments such as the weeks after September 11th, 2001) and are an important element in our liquidity management. That reliability notwithstanding, we have policies and procedures in place for contingency liquidity actions should these secondary markets be closed for short periods of time. Our contingency planning simulations suggest that secondary market disruptions lasting more than a couple of weeks would, however, cause us in most scenarios to need to curtail loan production until those markets could recover and are once again fully functioning.

The mortgage banking line of business sells virtually all of its mortgage loan originations within 30 days of funding, taking them off our balance sheet. Therefore, the on-balance sheet funding of first mortgage loans is for the brief period of time from origination to sale/securitization. In 2005, the home equity lending line of business produced \$1.7 billion and the sum of home equity loan sales and secured financings totaled \$1.1 billion.

Since 2002, home equity loan securitizations have been retained on-balance sheet. As a result, both the securitized assets and the funding from the securitization are now reflected on the balance sheet. From a liquidity perspective, the securitizations provide matched-term funding for the life of the loans making up the securitizations unless we choose to utilize a clean-up call provision to terminate the securitization funding early. A clean-up call typically is optional at our discretion. It can typically be made once outstanding loan balances in the securitization fall below 10% of the original loan balance in the securitization. Bond principal payments are dependent upon principal collections on the underlying loans. Prepayment speeds can affect the timing and amount of loan principal payments.

Deposits consist of three primary types: non-maturity transaction account deposits, certificates of deposit (CDs), and escrow account deposits. Core deposits exclude jumbo CDs, brokered CDs, public funds and mortgage escrow deposits (although the escrow deposits exhibit core-like maturity characteristics). Core deposits totaled \$2.5 billion at

December 31, 2005 compared to \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2004.

Table of Contents

Non-maturity transaction account deposits are generated by our commercial banking line of business and include deposits placed into checking, savings, money market and other types of deposit accounts by our customers. These types of deposits have no contractual maturity date and may be withdrawn at any time. While these balances fluctuate daily, a large percentage typically remains for much longer. At December 31, 2005, these deposit types totaled \$2.0 billion, an increase of \$0.1 billion from December 31, 2004. We monitor overall deposit balances daily with particular attention given to larger accounts that have the potential for larger daily fluctuations and which are at greater risk to be withdrawn should there be an industry-wide or bank-specific event that might cause uninsured depositors to be concerned about the safety of their deposits. On a monthly basis we model the expected impact on liquidity from moderate and severe liquidity stress scenarios as one of our tools to ensure that our liquidity is sufficient.

CDs differ from non-contractual maturity accounts in that they do have contractual maturity dates. We issue CDs both directly to customers and through brokers. CDs issued directly to customers totaled \$0.4 billion at December 31, 2005, a decrease of \$0.1 billion from December 31, 2004. Brokered CDs are typically considered to have higher liquidity (renewal) risk than CDs issued directly to customers, since brokered CDs are often done in large blocks and since a direct relationship does not exist with the depositor. In recognition of this, we manage the size and maturity structure of brokered CDs closely. For example, the maturities of brokered CDs are laddered to mitigate liquidity risk. CDs issued through brokers totaled \$0.6 billion at December 31, 2005, and had an average remaining life of 13 months as compared to \$0.3 billion outstanding with an 14 month average remaining life at December 31, 2004.

Escrow account deposits are related to the servicing of our first mortgage loans. When a first mortgage borrower makes a monthly mortgage payment, consisting of interest and principal due on the loan and often a real estate tax and insurance portion, we hold the payment on a non-interest earning basis, except where otherwise required by law, until the payment is remitted to the current owner of the loan or the proper tax authority and insurance carrier. Escrow deposits may also include proceeds from the payoff of loans in our servicing portfolio prior to the transmission of those proceeds to investors. At December 31, 2005 these escrow balances totaled \$0.4 billion, compared to \$0.7 billion at December 31, 2004.

Short-term borrowings consist of borrowings from several sources. Our largest borrowing source is the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis (FHLBI). We utilize their collateralized borrowing programs to help fund qualifying first mortgage, home equity and commercial real estate loans. As of December 31, 2005, FHLBI borrowings outstanding totaled \$0.6 billion, a \$0.5 billion increase from December 31, 2004. We had sufficient collateral pledged to FHLBI at December 31, 2005 to borrow an additional \$0.3 billion, if needed. Another short-term borrowing source is brokered fed funds. Our brokered fed funds outstanding totaled \$0.3 billion at December 31, 2005. If we were to sell our first mortgage subsidiary, availability of eligible collateral with which we support borrowings at the FHLBI would decrease significantly. Management has plans in place to replace this source of funding with other wholesale sources.

In addition to borrowings from the FHLBI, we use other lines of credit as needed. At December 31, 2005, the amount of short-term borrowings outstanding on our major credit lines and the total amount of the borrowing lines were as follows:

Warehouse lines of credit to fund first mortgages and home equity loans: none outstanding on a \$300 million borrowing facility, of which \$150 million is committed

Warehouse borrowing facilities to fund first mortgage loans: none outstanding on a \$100 million committed borrowing facility

Lines of credit with correspondent banks, including fed funds lines: \$30 million outstanding out of \$225 million available but not committed

Line of credit with a correspondent bank collateralized by mortgage servicing rights: none outstanding out of \$50 million committed borrowing facility

Warehouse lines of credit to fund Canadian sourced small ticket leases: \$203 million outstanding on \$293 million of borrowing facilities

Table of Contents

In addition to short-term borrowings from the aforementioned credit lines, sale facilities are used to effect sale of Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE) conforming first mortgage loans before scheduled GSE settlement dates. The first two of these sale facilities listed below have specific dollar limits as noted. The size of the third facility is limited only by the amount of mortgage-backed securities we can package for purchase by the facility provider. At December 31, 2005, the amount unsettled by the GSE on these facilities and the total facility amount were as follows:

Committed warehouse sale facility: \$105 million unsettled on a \$600 million facility

Uncommitted warehouse sale facility: \$0.1 million unsettled on a \$150 million facility

Investor warehouse sale facility: \$60 million unsettled

Interest Rate Risk. Because all of our assets are not perfectly match-funded with like-term liabilities, our earnings are affected by interest rate changes. Interest rate risk is measured by the sensitivity of both net interest income and fair market value to changes in interest rates.

An asset/liability management committee (ALMC) at each of our four primary lines of business monitors the repricing structure of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items and uses a financial simulation model to measure the potential change in market value of all interest-sensitive assets and liabilities and also the potential change in earnings resulting from changes in interest rates. Our corporate-level ALMC oversees the interest rate risk profile of all of our lines of business as a whole and is represented at each of the line of business ALMCs. We incorporate many factors into the financial model, including prepayment speeds, deposit rate sensitivity for non-maturity transaction accounts, caps and floors on some variable rate instruments, fee income and a comprehensive mark-to-market valuation process. We re-evaluate risk measures and assumptions regularly and enhance modeling tools as needed.

Our commercial banking, home equity lending, and commercial finance lines of business assume interest rate risk in the form of repricing structure mismatches between their loans and leases and funding sources. We manage this risk by adjusting the duration of their interest sensitive liabilities and through the use of hedging via financial derivatives.

Our mortgage banking line of business assumes interest rate risk by entering into commitments to extend loans to borrowers at a fixed rate for a limited period of time. We hold closed loans only temporarily until a pool is formed and sold in a securitization or under a flow sale arrangement. To mitigate the risk that interest rates will rise between loan origination and sale, the mortgage bank buys commitments to deliver loans at a fixed price. Interest rate risk also exists for the mortgage pipeline period, which is the period starting when a rate lock commitment is made and ending at the time a loan originates or the rate lock expires. To mitigate this risk, the mortgage bank also buys commitments to deliver loans at a fixed rate for a portion of our pipeline.

Our mortgage, commercial banking and home equity lines of business all assume interest rate risk by holding mortgage servicing rights (MSRs). These assets are recorded at lower of cost or fair market value. Among other items, a key determinant to the value of MSRs is the prevailing level of interest rates. The primary exposure to interest rates is the risk that rates will decline, possibly increasing prepayment speeds on loans and decreasing the value of MSRs. Some offsets to these exposures exist in the form of strong production operations, selective sales of servicing rights and the use of financial instruments to manage the economic performance of the assets. Since there are accounting timing differences between the recognition of gains or losses on financial derivatives and the realization of economic gains or losses on certain offsetting exposures (e.g., strong production operations), our decisions on the degree to which we manage risk with derivative instruments to insulate against short-term price volatility depends on a variety of factors, including:

the type of risk we are trying to mitigate;

offsetting factors elsewhere in the Corporation;

the level of current capital above our target minimums;

time remaining in the quarter (i.e., days until quarter end);

Table of Contents

current level of derivative gain or loss relative to accounting and economic basis;

basis risk: the degree to which the interest rates underlying our derivative instruments might not move parallel to the interest rate driving our asset valuation;

convexity: the degree to which asset values, or risk management derivative instrument values, do not change in a linear fashion as interest rates change; and

volatility: the level of volatility in market interest rates and the related impact on our asset values and derivatives instrument values.

When considering hedging strategies for first mortgage MSRs, we attempt to optimize the following mix of competing goals:

1. Provide adequate hedge coverage for falling rates;
2. minimize premium costs to establish hedge positions;
3. provide a moderate amount of net impairment recapture if interest rates rise;
4. when near or above the MSR LOCOM cap, maintain an acceptable range over which interest rates may rise without causing hedge losses to significantly exceed accounting gains.

Pursuit of the last goal may result in the economic value of MSR increasing without offsetting hedge losses. However, in order to capture this economic value in earnings, MSR sales must occur.

The following tables reflect our estimate of the present value of interest sensitive assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet items at December 31, 2005. In addition to showing the estimated fair market value at current rates, they also provide estimates of the fair market values of interest sensitive items based upon a hypothetical instantaneous and permanent move both up and down 100 and 200 basis points in the entire yield curve.

The first table is an economic analysis showing the present value impact of changes in interest rates, assuming a comprehensive mark-to-market environment. The second table is an accounting analysis showing the same net present value impact, adjusted for expected GAAP treatment. Neither analysis takes into account the book values of the noninterest sensitive assets and liabilities (such as cash, accounts receivable, and fixed assets), the values of which are not directly determined by interest rates.

The analyses are based on discounted cash flows over the remaining estimated lives of the financial instruments. The interest rate sensitivities apply only to transactions booked as of December 31, 2005, although certain accounts are normalized whereby the three- or six-month average balance is included rather than the quarter-end balance in order to avoid having the analysis skewed by a significant increase or decrease to an account balance at quarter end.

The tables that follow should be used with caution.

The net asset value sensitivities do not necessarily represent the changes in the lines of business net asset value that would actually occur under the given interest rate scenarios, as sensitivities do not reflect changes in value of the companies as a going concern, nor consider potential rebalancing or other management actions that might be taken in the future under asset/liability management as interest rates change.

Specifically, the volume of derivative contracts entered into to manage the risk of MSRs fluctuates from quarter to quarter and within a given quarter, depending upon market conditions, the size of our MSR portfolio and various additional factors. We monitor derivative positions frequently and rebalance them as needed. Therefore, our derivative positions, shown in the table below as of December 31, 2005, may or may not be representative of our risk position during the succeeding quarter. Additionally, it is unlikely that the volume of derivative positions would remain constant over large fluctuations in interest rates, although the tables below assume they do. MSR risk management derivative contracts appear under the category Interest Sensitive Financial Derivatives in the tables below.

Table of Contents

The tables below show modeled changes in interest rates for individual asset classes. Asset classes in our portfolio have interest rate sensitivity tied to different underlying indices or instruments. While the rate sensitivity of individual asset classes presented below is our best estimate of changes in value due to interest rate changes, the total potential change figures are subject to basis risk between value changes of individual assets and liabilities which has not been included in the model.

Few of the asset classes shown react to interest rate changes in a linear fashion. That is, the point estimates we have made at Current and +/-2% and +/-1% are good estimates at those amounts of rate change, but it may not be accurate to interpolate linearly between those points. This is most evident in products that contain options in payment timing or pricing such as mortgage servicing or nonmaturity transaction deposits.

Finally, the tables show theoretical outcomes for dramatic changes in interest rates which do not consider potential rebalancing or repositioning of hedges.

Economic Value Change Method

Present Value at December 31, 2005
Change in Interest Rates of:

-2% -1% Current +1% +2%

(In Thousands)

Interest Sensitive Assets					
Loans and other assets	\$ 4,769,630	\$ 4,722,891	\$ 4,670,904	\$ 4,616,581	\$ 4,562,997
Loans held for sale	1,307,026	1,301,252	1,292,218	1,280,416	1,267,320
Mortgage servicing rights	150,273	243,628	327,717	391,707	429,837
Residual interests	22,649	22,414	22,126	22,001	21,881
Interest sensitive financial derivatives	45,765	23,695	782	(27,784)	(58,329)
Total interest sensitive assets	6,295,343	6,313,880	6,313,747	6,282,921	6,223,706
Interest Sensitive Liabilities					
Deposits	(3,858,753)	(3,830,434)	(3,809,352)	(3,790,151)	(3,773,538)
Short-term borrowings ⁽¹⁾	(1,189,210)	(1,178,550)	(1,173,039)	(1,169,063)	(1,165,394)
Long-term debt	(740,091)	(730,752)	(719,463)	(707,510)	(691,866)
Total interest sensitive liabilities	(5,788,054)	(5,739,736)	(5,701,854)	(5,666,724)	(5,630,798)
Net market value as of December 31, 2005	\$ 507,289	\$ 574,144	\$ 611,893	\$ 616,197	\$ 592,908
Change from current	\$ (104,604)	\$ (37,749)	\$	\$ 4,304	\$ (18,985)
Net market value as of December 31, 2004	\$ 464,835	\$ 495,091	\$ 574,825	\$ 581,006	\$ 474,480

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Potential change	\$	(109,990)	\$	(79,734)	\$	6,181	\$	(100,345)
------------------	----	-----------	----	----------	----	-------	----	-----------

⁽¹⁾ Includes certain debt which is categorized as collateralized debt in other sections of this document.

72

Table of Contents**GAAP-Based Value Change Method****Present Value at December 31, 2005****Change in Interest Rates of:**

-2%	-1%	Current	+1%	+2%
-----	-----	---------	-----	-----

(In thousands)**Interest Sensitive Assets**

Loans and other assets ⁽¹⁾	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Loans held for sale	1,293,519	1,293,519	1,293,519	1,281,717	1,268,621
Mortgage servicing rights	146,087	236,991	295,754	317,304	324,736
Residual interests	22,639	22,404	22,116	21,991	21,871
Interest sensitive financial derivatives	45,773	23,699	782	(27,787)	(58,337)
Total interest sensitive assets	1,508,018	1,576,613	1,612,171	1,593,225	1,556,891

Interest Sensitive**Liabilities**Deposits⁽¹⁾Short-term borrowings⁽¹⁾Long-term debt⁽¹⁾Total interest sensitive liabilities⁽¹⁾

Net market value as of

December 31, 2005	\$ 1,508,018	\$ 1,576,613	\$ 1,612,171	\$ 1,593,225	\$ 1,556,891
-------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Potential change	\$ (104,153)	\$ (35,558)	\$	\$ (18,946)	\$ (55,280)
------------------	--------------	-------------	----	-------------	-------------

Net market value as of December 31, 2004

	\$ 1,177,394	\$ 1,218,843	\$ 1,314,396	\$ 1,221,554	\$ 1,060,818
--	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Potential change	\$ (137,002)	\$ (95,553)	\$	\$ (92,842)	\$ (253,578)
------------------	--------------	-------------	----	-------------	--------------

⁽¹⁾ Value does not change in GAAP presentation.

Operational risk. Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. Irwin Financial, like other financial services organizations, is exposed to a variety of operational risks. These risks include regulatory, reputational and legal risks, as well as the potential for processing errors, internal or external fraud, failure of computer systems, and external events that are beyond the control of the Corporation, such as natural disasters.

Our Board of Directors has ultimate accountability for the level of operational risk assumed by us. The Board guides management by approving our business strategy and significant policies. Our management and Board have also established and continue to improve a control environment that encourages a high degree of awareness and proactively alerting senior management and the Board to potential control issues on a timely basis.

The Board has directed that primary responsibility for the management of operational risk rests with the managers of our business units, who are responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control procedures that are appropriate for their operations. In 2002, we started implementing a multi-year program to provide a more integrated firm-wide approach for the identification, measurement, monitoring and mitigation of operational risk. The enterprise-wide operational risk oversight function reports to the Chief Risk Officer (CRO), who in turn reports to the Audit and Risk Management Committee of our Board of Directors and who chairs our Enterprise-Wide Risk Management Committee. As previously mentioned, we are currently recruiting to fill the position of CRO as our previous CRO recently took a position as Chief Financial Officer of one of our lines of business. We have an enterprise-wide compliance oversight function. The compliance oversight function reports to our Chief Risk Officer. We have developed risk and control summaries (risk summaries) for our key business processes. Line of business and corporate-level managers use the risk summaries to assist in identifying operational and other risks for the purpose of monitoring and strengthening

Table of Contents

internal and disclosure controls. Our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Board of Directors, as well as the Boards of our subsidiaries, use the risk summaries to assist in overseeing and assessing the adequacy of our internal and disclosure controls, including the adequacy of our controls over financial reporting as required by section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and FDICIA.

The financial services business is highly regulated. Failure to comply with these regulations could result in substantial monetary or other damages that could be material to our financial position. Statutes and regulations may change in the future. We cannot predict what effect these changes, if made, will have on our operations. It should be noted that the supervision, regulation and examination of banks, thrifts and mortgage companies by regulatory agencies are intended primarily for the protection of depositors and other customers rather than shareholders of these institutions.

We are registered as a bank holding company with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the related regulations. We are subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Federal Reserve, and as part of this process we must file reports and additional information with the Federal Reserve. The regulation, supervision and examinations occur at the local, state and federal levels and involve, but are not limited to, minimum capital requirements, consumer protection, community reinvestment, and deposit insurance.

Off-Balance Sheet Instruments

In the normal course of our business as a provider of financial services, we are party to certain financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financial needs of our customers. These financial instruments include loan commitments and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized on the consolidated balance sheet. We follow the same credit policies in making commitments and contractual obligations as we do for our on-balance sheet instruments.

Our exposure to credit loss, in the form of nonperformance by the counterparty on commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Collateral pledged for standby letters of credit and commitments varies but may include accounts receivable; inventory; property, plant, and equipment; and residential real estate. Total outstanding commitments to extend credit at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, were \$1.1 billion and \$0.7 billion. We had \$20 million and \$25 million in irrevocable standby letters of credit outstanding at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Financial derivatives are used as part of the overall asset/liability risk management process. We use financial derivative instruments to reduce exposures to market risks associated with interest rate fluctuations as well as changes in foreign exchange rates. We use certain derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. These derivatives are classified as other assets and other liabilities and marked to market on the income statements. While we do not seek Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) hedge accounting treatment for the assets that these instruments are hedging, the economic purpose of these instruments is to manage the risk inherent in existing exposures to either interest rate risk or foreign currency risk. For detail of our derivative activities, see Footnote 15 of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk*

The quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are reported in the Interest Rate Risk section of Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations found on pages 70 through 73.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Management Report on Responsibility for Financial Reporting

The management of Irwin Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries has the responsibility of preparing the accompanying financial statements and for their integrity and objectivity. The statements were prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and are not misstated due to fraud or material error. The financial statements include amounts that are based on management's best estimates and judgments. Management also prepared the other information in the annual report and is responsible for its accuracy and consistency with the financial statements.

Our financial statements have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm. Management has made available to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP all of Irwin Financial's financial records and related data, as well as the minutes of stockholders' and directors' meetings. Furthermore, management believes that all representations made to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP during its audit were valid and appropriate.

Management also recognizes its responsibility for fostering a strong ethical climate so that our affairs are conducted according to the highest standards of personal and corporate conduct. This responsibility is articulated in our Guiding Philosophy, a condensed version of which has been published in our annual report since 1995. Employees at all levels of the Corporation are trained in our Guiding Philosophy. This responsibility is also reflected in our Code of Conduct. The Code of Conduct addresses, among other things, the necessity of ensuring open communication within Irwin Financial; potential conflicts of interest; compliance with all domestic and foreign laws, including those related to financial disclosures; and confidentiality of proprietary information. We maintain a systematic program to assess compliance with these policies.

/s/ William I. Miller

William I. Miller
Chairman and
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Gregory F. Ehlinger

Gregory F. Ehlinger
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of
Irwin Financial Corporation:

We have completed integrated audits of Irwin Financial Corporation's 2005 and 2004 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 and an audit of its 2003 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Irwin Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

New York, New York

March 3, 2006

Table of Contents**IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****December 31,****2005****2004****(Dollars in thousands)****Assets:**

Cash and cash equivalents	Note 2	\$	155,486	\$	97,101
Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions			62,921		58,936
Residual interests	Note 3		22,116		56,101
Investment securities	held-to-maturity (Fair value: \$4,460 in 2005 and \$4,952 in 2004)	Note 4	4,475		4,942
Investment securities	available-for-sale	Note 4	100,296		103,280
Loans held for sale			1,293,519		890,711
Loans and leases, net of unearned income	Note 5		4,498,829		3,450,440
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	Note 6		(59,749)		(44,443)
			4,439,080		3,405,997
Servicing assets	Note 7		295,754		367,032
Accounts receivable			111,633		122,131
Accrued interest receivable			23,935		15,428
Premises and equipment	Note 8		31,249		30,240
Other assets			106,060		83,921
Total assets		\$	6,646,524	\$	5,235,820

Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity:**Deposits**

Noninterest-bearing		\$	754,778	\$	975,925
Interest-bearing			1,921,369		1,774,727
Certificates of deposit over \$100,000			1,222,846		644,611
			3,898,993		3,395,263
Short-term borrowings	Note 10		997,444		237,277
Collateralized debt	Note 11		668,984		547,477
Other long-term debt	Note 12		270,160		270,172
Other liabilities			298,609		284,446
Total liabilities			6,134,190		4,734,635

Commitments and contingencies Note 13, Note 14 and Note 15

Shareholders' equity

Preferred stock, no par value	authorized 4,000,000 shares; none issued				
Common stock, no par value	authorized 40,000,000 shares; issued 29,612,080 shares as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, including		112,000		112,000

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

993,643 and 1,159,684, shares in treasury as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively		
Additional paid-in capital	0	383
Deferred compensation	(759)	(660)
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of deferred income tax liability of \$71 and benefit of \$129 as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively	3,448	2,454
Retained earnings	418,784	412,027
	533,473	526,204
Less treasury stock, at cost	(21,139)	(25,019)
Total shareholders' equity	512,334	501,185
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 6,646,524	\$ 5,235,820

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the Year Ended December 31,

2005 2004 2003

(Dollars in thousands,
except per share)

Interest income:			
Loans and leases	\$ 312,970	\$ 246,288	\$ 241,592
Loans held for sale	94,324	80,003	104,350
Residual interests	6,948	12,509	20,651
Investment securities	7,629	5,330	4,273
Federal funds sold	387	173	118
 Total interest income	 422,258	 344,303	 370,984
 Interest expense:			
Deposits	83,861	44,487	42,365
Short-term borrowings	21,244	9,583	14,889
Collateralized debt	25,587	15,259	15,369
Other long-term debt	25,676	22,896	2,325
Preferred securities distribution			24,151
 Total interest expense	 156,368	 92,225	 99,099
 Net interest income	 265,890	 252,078	 271,885
Provision for loan and lease losses Note 6	26,852	14,195	47,583
 Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses	 239,038	 237,883	 224,302
Other income:			
Loan servicing fees	126,609	136,557	106,966
Amortization of servicing assets Note 7	(100,322)	(117,143)	(135,519)
Recovery (impairment) of servicing assets Note 7	19,625	(2,474)	44,516
 Net loan administration income	 45,912	 16,940	 15,963
Gain from sales of loans	98,127	184,913	364,644
Gains (loss) on sale of mortgage servicing assets	14,412	16,681	(271)
Trading gains (loss)	3,105	25,209	(52,242)
Derivative (losses) gains, net	(69,688)	16,643	(44,142)
Other	28,618	23,143	22,191
 Total other income	 120,486	 283,529	 306,143
Other expense:			
Salaries	167,490	213,826	225,348
Pension and other employee benefits	36,377	45,037	42,079
Office expense	14,094	17,677	21,804

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Premises and equipment	35,330	41,558	41,746
Marketing and development	8,196	13,799	15,132
Professional fees	13,800	19,356	12,417
Other	56,268	55,982	53,517
	331,555	407,235	412,043
Income before income taxes	27,969	114,177	118,402
Provision for income taxes	8,982	45,732	45,585
Net income	\$ 18,987	\$ 68,445	\$ 72,817
Earnings per share: Note 20			
Basic	\$ 0.67	\$ 2.42	\$ 2.61
Diluted	\$ 0.66	\$ 2.28	\$ 2.45
Dividends per share	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.28

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

**Accumulated Other
Comprehensive Income**

				Unrealized	Unrealized	Minimum	Additional			
	Total	Retained Earnings	Foreign Currency	Gain/Loss Securities	Gain/Loss Derivatives	SERP Liability	Deferred Compensation	Paid in Capital	Common Stock	Treasury Stock
(Dollars in thousands)										
Balance at January 1, 2003	\$ 360,555	\$ 287,662	\$ (331)	\$ 1	\$ (173)	\$ (639)	\$ (240)	\$ 3,606	\$ 112,000	\$ (41,331)
Net income	72,817	72,817								
Unrealized gain on investment securities net of \$17 tax benefit	(25)			(25)						
Unrealized gain on interest rate cap net of \$46 tax benefit	(69)				(69)					
Foreign currency adjustment	1,136		1,136							
Minimum SERP liability net of \$188 tax liability	282					282				
Other comprehensive income	1,324									
Total comprehensive income	74,141									
Deferred compensation	(264)						(264)			
Cash dividends	(7,832)	(7,832)								
Tax benefit on stock option exercises	2,032							2,032		
Treasury stock:										
Purchase of 170,940 shares	(4,201)									(4,201)
Sales of 533,785 shares	7,829							(4,374)		12,203
Balance at December 31,	\$ 432,260	\$ 352,647	\$ 805	\$ (24)	\$ (242)	\$ (357)	\$ (504)	\$ 1,264	\$ 112,000	\$ (33,329)

2003										
Net income	68,445	68,445								
Unrealized gain on investment securities net of \$68 tax liability	84		84							
Unrealized gain on interest rate cap net of \$149 tax liability	242		242							
Foreign currency adjustment	1,843	1,843								
Minimum SERP liability net of \$69 tax liability	103		103							
Other comprehensive income	2,272									
Total comprehensive income	70,717									
Deferred compensation	(156)		(156)							
Cash dividends	(9,065)	(9,065)								
Tax benefit on stock option exercises	1,044		1,044							
Treasury stock:										
Purchase of 12,718 shares	(407)								(407)	
Sales of 330,812 shares	6,792		(1,925)		8,717					
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$ 501,185	\$ 412,027	\$ 2,648	\$ 60	\$ (254)	\$ (660)	\$ 383	\$ 112,000	\$ (25,019)	
Net income	18,987	18,987								
Unrealized gain on investment securities net of \$290 tax benefit	(433)		(433)							
Unrealized gain on interest rate cap net of \$503 tax liability	754		754							
Foreign currency adjustment	693	693								

Minimum SERP liability net of \$13 tax benefit	(20)							(20)		
Other comprehensive income	994									
Total comprehensive income	19,981									
Deferred compensation	(99)							(99)		
Cash dividends	(11,426)	(11,426)								
Tax benefit on stock option exercises	617							617		
Treasury stock:										
Purchase of 51,056 shares	(1,201)								(1,201)	
Sales of 217,097 shares	3,277	(804)						(1,000)	5,081	
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ 512,334	\$ 418,784	\$ 3,341	\$ (373)	\$ 754	\$ (274)	\$ (759)	\$	\$ 112,000	\$ (21,139)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended December 31,

2005 2004 2003

(Dollars in thousands)

Net income	\$ 18,987	\$ 68,445	\$ 72,817
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided (used) by operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization, and accretion, net	11,602	8,987	9,433
Amortization and impairment of servicing assets	80,697	119,617	91,003
Provision for loan and lease losses	26,852	14,195	47,583
Deferred income tax	(23,789)	12,185	43,259
(Gain) loss on sale of mortgage servicing assets	(14,412)	(16,681)	271
Gain from sales of loans held for sale	(98,127)	(184,913)	(364,644)
Originations and purchases of loans held for sale	(12,883,903)	(14,780,501)	(24,393,534)
Proceeds from sales and repayments of loans held for sale	12,502,574	14,814,198	25,005,632
Proceeds from sale of mortgage servicing assets	79,724	52,844	89
Net decrease in residuals	33,986	15,390	86,023
Net decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	10,246	(60,086)	(6,118)
Other, net	4,315	(48,074)	5,097
Net cash (used) provided by operating activities	(251,248)	15,606	596,911
Lending and investing activities:			
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities:			
Held-to-maturity	461	118,063	682
Available-for-sale	5,801	1,583	78,886
Purchase of investment securities:			
Held-to-maturity		(98,395)	(21,333)
Available-for-sale	(3,599)	(36,791)	(82,868)
Net (increase) decrease in interest-bearing deposits	(3,985)	22,230	(46,748)
Net increase in loans, excluding sales	(1,115,391)	(367,303)	(422,569)
Proceeds from sale of loans	57,625	45,592	45,454
Other, net	(8,331)	(5,642)	(7,484)
Net cash used by lending and investing activities	(1,067,419)	(320,663)	(455,980)
Financing activities:			
Net increase in deposits	503,730	495,601	205,851
Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	760,167	(192,481)	(563,366)
Repayments of long-term debt	(12)	(12)	(11)

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Proceeds from issuance of collateralized debt	472,515	514,223	443,989
Repayments of collateralized debt	(351,049)	(554,445)	(242,327)
Proceeds from the issuance of trust preferred securities	51,750		50,000
Redemption of trust preferred securities	(51,750)		(50,000)
Purchase of treasury stock for employee benefit plans	(1,201)	(407)	(4,201)
Proceeds from sale of stock for employee benefit plans	3,277	7,836	9,777
Dividends paid	(11,426)	(9,065)	(7,832)
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	1,376,001	261,250	(158,120)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	1,051	98	228
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	58,385	(43,709)	(16,961)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	97,101	140,810	157,771
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 155,486	\$ 97,101	\$ 140,810

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

Cash flow during the period:

Interest paid	\$ 146,005	\$ 93,319	\$ 102,459
Income taxes paid	\$ 19,171	\$ 15,184	\$ 43,007

Noncash transactions:

Liability for loans held for sale eligible for repurchase Note 1	\$ 19,581	\$ 47,692	\$ 115,948
Other real estate owned	\$ 16,236	\$ 5,899	\$ 4,828
Conversion of trust preferred and preferred stock to common stock	\$	\$	\$ 83

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

Consolidation: Irwin Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Corporation) provide financial services throughout the United States (U.S.) and Canada. We are engaged in commercial banking, commercial finance, home equity lending and mortgage banking. Our direct and indirect subsidiaries include, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation, Irwin Home Equity Corporation and Irwin Mortgage Corporation. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In the opinion of management, the financial statements reflect all material adjustments necessary for a fair presentation. The Corporation does not meet the criteria as primary beneficiary for our wholly-owned trusts holding our company-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities established by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. As a result, these trusts are not consolidated.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Foreign Currency: Assets and liabilities denominated in Canadian dollars are translated into U.S. dollars at rates prevailing on the balance sheet date; income and expenses are translated at average rates of exchange for the year. Unrealized foreign currency translation gains and losses are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity.

Investment Securities: Those investment securities that we have the positive intent and ability to hold until maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and are stated at cost adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount (adjusted cost). Investment securities that might be sold prior to maturity are classified as available-for-sale and are stated at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investment securities, net of the future tax impact, are reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity until realized. Investment securities gains and losses are based on the amortized cost of the specific investment security determined on a specific identification basis.

Residual Interests: Residual interests are stated at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are included in earnings. Until the first quarter of 2002, whenever we sold receivables in securitizations of home equity loans and lines of credit, we sold assets and retained residual interests, one or more subordinated tranches, and in some cases a cash reserve account, all of which are retained interests in the securitized receivables in a manner that qualified for gain-on-sale accounting under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. Gain or loss on the sale of the loans depended, in part, on the previous carrying amount of the financial assets involved in the transfer, allocated between the assets sold and the retained interests based on their relative fair value at the date of transfer.

To obtain fair value of residual interests, quoted market prices are used if available. However, quotes are generally not available for residual interests, so we generally estimate fair value based on the present value of expected cash flows using estimates of the key assumptions: prepayment speeds, credit losses, forward yield curves, and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved that management believes market participants would use to value similar assets. Adjustments to carrying values are recorded as trading gains or losses.

Loans Held For Sale: Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market, determined on an aggregate basis for both performing and nonperforming loans. Cost basis includes deferred origination fees and costs. Loans held for sale that qualify for hedge accounting are carried at fair value. Fair value is determined based on the contract price at which the mortgage loans will be sold. Included in loans held for sale at the mortgage line of business were loans for which we have the right, but not the obligation, to repurchase due to

Table of Contents

default, under the terms of the government servicing agency contracts. Upon default, we have the non-contingent right to repurchase these loans which causes repurchase accounting under SFAS 140. The liability associated with these loans is reflected in Other Liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Loans: Loans are carried at amortized cost. Loan origination fees and costs are deferred and the net amounts are amortized as an adjustment to yield using the interest method. When loans are sold, deferred fees and costs are included with outstanding principal balances to determine gains or losses. Interest income on loans is computed daily based on the principal amount of loans outstanding. The accrual of interest income is generally discontinued when a loan becomes 90 days past due as to principal or interest. Management may elect to continue the accrual of interest when the estimated net realizable value of collateral is sufficient to cover the principal balance and accrued interest.

Direct Financing Leases: Interest and service charges, net of initial direct costs, are deferred and reported as income in decreasing amounts over the life of the lease, which generally average three to four years, so as to provide an approximate constant yield on the outstanding principal balance.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses: The allowance for loan and lease losses is an estimate based on management's judgment applying the principles of SFAS 5, Accounting for Contingencies, SFAS 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, and SFAS 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan Income Recognition and Disclosures. The allowance is maintained at a level we believe is adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. We perform an assessment of the adequacy of the allowance on a quarterly basis.

Within the allowance, there are specific and expected loss components. The specific loss component is assessed for loans we believe to be impaired in accordance with SFAS 114. We have defined impairment as nonaccrual loans. For loans determined to be impaired, we measure the level of impairment by comparing the loan's carrying value to fair value using one of the following fair value measurement techniques: present value of expected future cash flows, observable market price, or fair value of the associated collateral. An allowance is established when the fair value implies a value that is lower than the carrying value of that loan. In addition to establishing allowance levels for specifically identified impaired loans, management determines an allowance for all other loans in the portfolio for which historical experience indicates that certain losses exist. These loans are segregated by major product type, and in some instances, by aging, with an estimated loss ratio applied against each product type and aging category. The loss ratio is generally based upon historic loss experience for each loan type as adjusted for certain environmental factors management believes to be relevant.

It is our policy to promptly charge off any commercial loan, or portion thereof, which is deemed to be uncollectible. This includes, but is not limited to, any loan rated Loss by the regulatory authorities. Impaired commercial credits are considered on a case-by-case basis. The amount charged off includes any accrued interest. Unless there is a significant reason to the contrary, consumer loans are charged off when deemed uncollectible, but generally no later than when a loan is past due 180 days.

Servicing Assets: When we securitize or sell loans, we generally retain the right to service the underlying loans sold. A portion of the cost basis of loans sold is allocated to this servicing asset based on its fair value relative to the loans sold and the servicing asset combined. We use the market prices under comparable servicing sale contracts, when available, or alternatively use valuation models that calculate the present value of future cash flows to determine the fair value of the servicing assets. In using this valuation method, we incorporate assumptions that we believe market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, which include estimates of the cost of servicing per loan, the discount rate, float value, an inflation rate, ancillary income per loan, prepayment speeds, and default rates. Servicing assets are amortized over the estimated lives of the related loans in proportion to estimated net servicing income.

In determining servicing value impairment, the servicing portfolio is stratified into its predominant risk characteristics, principally by interest rate and product type. Each stratum is valued using market prices under comparable servicing sale contracts when available, or alternatively, using the same model as was used to originally determine the fair value at origination using current market assumptions. The calculated value is

Table of Contents

then compared with the book value of each stratum to determine the required reserve for impairment. The impairment reserve fluctuates as interest rates change and, therefore, no reasonable estimate can be made as to future increases or declines in impaired reserve levels. We also compare actual cash collections to projected cash collections and adjust our models as appropriate. In addition, we periodically have independent valuations performed on the portfolio. Other than temporary impairment is recorded to reflect our view that the originally recorded value of certain servicing rights and subsequent impairment associated with those rights is unlikely to be recovered in market value. There is no related direct impact on net income as this other than temporary impairment affects only balance sheet accounts. However, a write-down will result in a reduction of amortization expense and reduced recovery of impairment in future periods.

Incentive Servicing Fees: For whole loan sales of certain home equity loans, in addition to our normal servicing fee, we have the right to an incentive servicing fee (ISF) that will provide cash payments to us if a pre-established return for the certificate holders and certain structure-specific loan credit and servicing performance metrics are met. These ISF arrangements are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. When ISF agreements are entered into simultaneously with the whole loan sales, the fair value of the ISFs is estimated and considered when determining the initial gain or loss on sale. That allocated fair value of the ISF is periodically evaluated for impairment and amortized in accordance with SFAS 140. Consistent with the treatment of all of the Corporation's servicing assets, ISFs are accounted for on a lower of cost or market (LOCOM) basis. Therefore, if the fair value of the ISFs in subsequent periods exceeds cost basis, then revenue is recognized as pre-established performance metrics are met and cash is due. When ISF agreements are entered into subsequent to the whole loan sale, these assets are assigned a zero value and revenue is recognized as pre-established performance metrics are met and cash is due.

Derivative Instruments: All derivative instruments have been recorded at fair value and are classified as other assets or other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets in accordance with SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.

Derivative instruments that are used in our risk management strategy may qualify for hedge accounting if the derivatives are designated as fair value, cash flow or foreign currency hedges and applicable hedge criteria are met. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective (as defined by SFAS 133) and qualifies as a fair value hedge, along with changes in the fair value of the underlying hedged item, are recorded in current period earnings. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective (as defined by SFAS 133) and qualifies as a cash flow hedge or foreign currency hedge, to the extent that the hedge is effective, are recorded in other comprehensive income until earnings are recognized from the underlying hedged item. Net gains or losses resulting from hedge ineffectiveness are recorded in current period earnings.

We use certain derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. These derivatives are classified as other assets or other liabilities and marked to market in the consolidated income statements. While we do not seek hedge accounting treatment for these instruments, their economic purpose is to manage the risk of existing exposures to either interest rate risk or foreign currency risk.

Premises and Equipment: Premises and equipment are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is determined by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Other Assets: Included in other assets are real estate properties acquired as a result of foreclosure. These real estate properties are carried at the lower of the recorded investment in the related loan or fair value of the property less estimated costs to sell.

Income Taxes: A consolidated tax return is filed for all eligible entities. In accordance with SFAS 109, deferred income taxes are computed using the liability method, which establishes a deferred tax asset or liability based on temporary differences between the tax basis of an asset or liability and the basis recorded in the financial statements.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: For purposes of the consolidated balance sheets, we consider cash and due from banks to be cash equivalents.

Table of Contents

Stock-Based Employee Compensation: We have three stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 19, Shareholders' Equity. In 2005 and in prior years, we have used the intrinsic value method to account for our plans under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related Interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income for any of the periods presented, as all options granted under these plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation:

	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Net income as reported	\$ 18,987	\$ 68,445	\$ 72,817
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(2,907)	(2,578)	(2,683)
Pro forma net income	\$ 16,080	\$ 65,867	\$ 70,134
Basic earnings per share			
As reported	\$ 0.67	\$ 2.42	\$ 2.61
Pro forma	\$ 0.56	\$ 2.33	\$ 2.51
Diluted earnings per share			
As reported	\$ 0.66	\$ 2.28	\$ 2.45
Pro forma	\$ 0.56	\$ 2.19	\$ 2.36

In determining compensation expense above, we utilized the Black-Scholes valuation method. The weighted average fair value of each option was estimated to be \$6.93, \$10.41, and \$9.70 on the date of the grant with the following assumptions for 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively: weighted average risk free interest rates of 3.94%, 4.42%, and 3.90%; dividend yield of 1.75% for 2005 and 1.00% and for 2004 and 2003; volatility of 35% for 2005 and 40% for 2004 and 2003; and a weighted average expected life of six years in 2005, six and a half years in 2004 and seven years in 2003.

In December 2005, our Board of Directors approved a plan to accelerate the vesting of certain outstanding stock options with exercise prices above the stock price at the time vesting was accelerated (underwater options). Based on this action, 263 thousand unvested employee stock options granted in 2003 and 2004 with an exercise price above \$21.56 became exercisable. As a result of this accelerated vesting, future stock-based compensation expense was eliminated and the pro forma stock-based compensation expense for the fourth quarter of 2005 increased by \$1.4 million pre-tax.

Recent Accounting Developments: In December 2004 the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a revised Statement 123 (SFAS 123R), Share Based Payment (revised 2004) requiring public entities to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on grant date fair value. The cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award usually the vesting period. The effective date for this statement is as of the first annual period that begins after June 15, 2005. We began recording compensation expense for such awards on January 1, 2006. The impact of this new pronouncement will be comparable to the pro forma effects of applying the original SFAS 123 as detailed above.

Note 2 Restrictions on Cash and Dividends

Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. are required to maintain minimum average noninterest bearing reserve balances with the Federal Reserve Bank. At December 31, 2005, we exceeded this

requirement.

Table of Contents

Under Indiana law, certain dividends require notice to, or approval by, the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions, and Irwin Union Bank and Trust may not pay dividends in an amount greater than its net profits then available, after deducting losses and bad debts.

In addition, as a state member bank, Irwin Union Bank and Trust may not, without the approval of the Federal Reserve, declare a dividend if the total of all dividends declared in a calendar year, including the proposed dividend, exceeds the total of its net income for that year, combined with its retained net income of the preceding two years, less any required transfers to the surplus account. During the past two years, Irwin Union Bank and Trust dividends have exceeded net income during the same period primarily due to clean-up calls related to residuals held by our home equity segment. When the bond pools on which we have residual interests decline in size to less than 10 percent of their original balances, we have the right, but not the obligation to purchase the remaining loans from the bond pools. We typically do this to lower the administrative costs to both us and bond investors of continuing to track relatively small pools of loans and bonds. Our residual interests are housed in a non-bank subsidiary. However, when we buy (clean-up) the loans from pools, we wish to fund them permanently at Irwin Union Bank and Trust due to its lower cost funding. Once the loans are repurchased by the non-bank subsidiary, they are infused to Irwin Union Bank as a capital contribution. To restore liquidity to the non-bank subsidiary, we dividend a similar dollar amount from Irwin Union Bank and Trust to the parent. This process has used dividend capacity beyond the Bank's earnings in 2004 and 2005. As a result, the bank cannot declare a dividend to us without regulatory approval until such time that current year earnings plus earnings from the last two years exceeds dividends during the same periods. We expect to be able to declare dividends from the Irwin Union Bank and Trust to the holding company without prior approval by mid-year 2006.

Note 3 Sales of Receivables

Under our past securitization program, home equity loans were sold to limited purpose, bankruptcy-remote wholly-owned subsidiaries. In turn, these subsidiaries established separate trusts to which they transferred the home equity loans in exchange for the proceeds from the sale of asset-backed securities issued by the trust. The trusts activities are generally limited to acquiring the home equity loans, issuing asset-backed securities and making payments on the securities. Due to the nature of the assets held by the trusts and the limited nature of each trust's activities, they are classified as qualified special-purpose entities under SFAS 140.

Prior to 2003, we sold home equity loans and lines of credit in gain-on-sale securitization transactions resulting in the creation of residual interests. We held residual interests related to these transactions totaling \$15.6 million and \$51.5 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. We receive annual servicing fees of approximately 0.5% to 1.0% of the outstanding balance and rights to future cash flows arising after the investors in the securitization trust have received the return for which they contracted. The investors and the securitization trusts have no recourse to our other assets for failure of debtors to pay when due. Our residual interests are subordinate to investor's interests. The value of the residual interests is subject to prepayment, credit, and interest rate risks in the transferred financial assets.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2005, key economic assumptions and the sensitivity of the current fair value of all residual cash flows to immediate 10 percent and 25 percent adverse changes in those assumptions were as follows:

**Home Equity Loans and Lines of
Credit**

(Dollars in thousands)

Balance sheet carrying value of residual interests	fair value	\$	15,580
Weighted-average life (in years)			0.33
Prepayment speed assumptions (annual rate)			39.56%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change		\$	(220)
Impact on fair value of 25% adverse change			(180)
Expected credit losses (annual rate)			6.31%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change		\$	(220)
Impact on fair value of 25% adverse change			(560)
Residual cash flows discount rate (annual rate)			18.00%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change		\$	(60)
Impact on fair value of 25% adverse change			(160)

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on a 10 percent and 25 percent variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption; in reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities. A decrease in the constant prepayment rate is an adverse change, due to the large amount of overcollateralization in the portfolio. Increases to expected credit losses and discount rate are adverse changes.

The table below summarizes the cash flows received from (paid to) securitization trusts where gain-on sale accounting was previously applied during the three years ended:

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Servicing fees received	\$ 4,386	\$ 5,763	\$ 8,686
Net cash flows received on residual interests ⁽¹⁾	37,245	61,958	55,272
Other cash flows paid	(9,640)	(8,705)	(1,878)
Total	\$ 31,991	\$ 59,016	\$ 62,080

⁽¹⁾ Cash flows received on residual interests are net of \$2.1 million in 2003 used to over-collateralize the trusts. There was no overcollateralization in 2004 or 2005.

Delinquency amounts for the managed portfolio are set forth below:

Total Principal Amount	Delinquent Principal Over	Credit Losses
---------------------------	------------------------------	------------------

of Loans at December 31, 2005	30 Days for the Year Ended 12/31/2005⁽²⁾	Delinquency Percentage	on Managed Portfolio
--	--	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------

(Dollars in thousands)

Managed loans comprised of:

Loans held for investment	\$ 978,782	\$ 27,347	2.8%	\$ 3,588
Loans held for sale	501,442	5,632	1.1	
Loans securitized, servicing and residual retained ⁽¹⁾	113,285	15,410	13.6	4,733
Total managed portfolio	\$ 1,593,509	\$ 48,389	3.0%	\$ 8,321

Table of Contents

(1) Represents the principal amount of the loans.

(2) Nonaccrual loans included in delinquencies.

Note 4 Investment Securities

The amortized cost, fair value, and carrying value of investment securities held at December 31, 2005 were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Carrying Value
(Dollars in thousands)					
Held-to-Maturity:					
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	3,544	1		3,545	3,544
Mortgage-backed securities	931	6	(22)	915	931
Total held-to-maturity	4,475	7	(22)	4,460	4,475
Available-for-Sale:					
Mortgage-backed securities	27,924		(524)	27,400	27,400
Other	72,995		(99)	72,896	72,896
Total available-for-sale	100,919		(623)	100,296	100,296
Total investment securities	\$ 105,394	\$ 7	\$ (645)	\$ 104,756	\$ 104,771

The amortized cost, fair value, and carrying value of investment securities held at December 31, 2004 were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Carrying Value
(Dollars in thousands)					
Held-to-Maturity:					
U.S. Treasury and government obligations	\$ 161	\$	\$ (1)	\$ 160	\$ 161
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	3,746	4		3,750	3,746
Mortgage-backed securities	1,035	7		1,042	1,035
Total held-to-maturity	4,942	11	(1)	4,952	4,942
Available-for-Sale:					
	3,330	65		3,395	3,395

U.S. Treasury and government obligations					
Mortgage-backed securities	30,453	68		30,521	30,521
Other	69,396		(32)	69,364	69,364
Total available-for-sale	103,179	133	(32)	103,280	103,280
Total investment securities	\$ 108,121	\$ 144	\$ (33)	\$ 108,232	\$ 108,222

Included within available-for-sale investment securities is \$70 million and \$66 million of FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) stock at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, for which there is no readily determinable market value.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the fair value and unrealized losses for Available-for-sale securities by aging category:

Securities with Unrealized Losses

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
(Dollars in thousands)						
Mortgage backed securities	\$ 24,720	\$ (442)	\$ 2,680	\$ (82)	\$ 27,400	\$ (524)
Other securities	1,050	(35)	2,149	(64)	3,199	(99)
Total securities with unrealized losses	\$ 25,770	\$ (477)	\$ 4,829	\$ (146)	\$ 30,599	\$ (623)

Impairment is evaluated considering numerous factors, and their relative significance varies case to case. Factors considered include the length of time and extent to which the market value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; and the intent and ability to retain the security in order to allow for an anticipated recovery in market value. If, based on the analysis, it is determined that the impairment is other-than-temporary, the security is written down to fair value, and a loss is recognized through earnings.

Included in the \$623 thousand of gross unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2005, was \$146 thousand of unrealized losses that have existed for a period greater than 12 months. These securities are U.S. government backed or have AAA credit enhancements and the unrealized losses are not due to concerns about underlying credit quality. Substantially all of the securities with the unrealized losses aged greater than 12 months have a market value at December 31, 2005, that is within 3% of their amortized cost basis.

We believe that all the aged unrealized losses, as described above, are expected to be recovered within a reasonable time through a typical interest rate cycle. Accordingly, we have concluded that none of the securities in our investment portfolios are other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2005.

The amortized cost and estimated value of investment securities at December 31, 2005, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
(Dollars in thousands)		
Held-to-Maturity:		
Due after five years through ten years	\$ 530	\$ 531
Due after ten years	3,014	3,014
	3,544	3,545
Mortgage-backed securities	931	915
	4,475	4,460

Available-for-Sale:		
Due in one year or less	3,298	3,199
Mortgage-backed securities	27,924	27,400
FHLB & Federal Reserve Bank stock	69,697	69,697
	100,919	100,296
Total investment securities	\$ 105,394	\$ 104,756

Table of Contents

Investment securities of \$26.9 million were pledged and cannot be repledged by holder, as collateral for borrowings and for other purposes on December 31, 2005. During 2005 and 2004 there were no sales or calls on investment securities.

Note 5 Loans and Leases

Loans and leases are summarized as follows:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 2,016,253	\$ 1,697,651
Real estate-construction	399,089	287,496
Real estate-mortgage	1,234,561	808,875
Consumer	31,718	31,166
Commercial financing		
Franchise financing	462,413	330,496
Domestic leasing	237,968	174,035
Canadian leasing	313,581	265,780
Unearned income		
Franchise financing	(125,474)	(86,638)
Domestic leasing	(33,267)	(23,924)
Canadian leasing	(38,013)	(34,497)
Total	\$ 4,498,829	\$ 3,450,440

At December 31, 2005, mortgage loans held for investment with a carrying value of \$0.7 billion were pledged as collateral for bonds payable to investors (See Note 11).

Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings are collateralized by \$1.0 billion in loans and loans held for sale at December 31, 2005.

Commercial loans are extended primarily to local regional businesses in the market areas of our commercial banking line of business. To a lesser extent, we also provide consumer loans to the customers in those markets. Real estate loans, franchise loans and direct financing leases are extended throughout the United States and Canada.

We make loans to directors and officers, and to organizations and individuals with which our directors and officers are associated. All outstanding loans and commitments included in such transactions were made in the normal course of business and on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features. All such loans outstanding at December 31, 2005 were current in payment of principal and interest. The aggregate dollar amount of these loans outstanding at December 31, 2005 and 2004 represented approximately 1% of total equity.

We offer home equity loans with combined loan-to-value (CLTV) ratios of up to 125% of their collateral value. Home equity loans are priced using a proprietary model, taking into account, among other factors, the credit history of our customer and the relative loan-to-value (LTV) ratio of the loan at origination. For the year ended December 31, 2005, loans with loan-to-value ratios greater than 100% (high LTVs, or HLTVs) home equity loans made up 33% of our loan originations and 46% of our managed portfolio. HLTVs constituted 47% of our managed portfolio at December 31, 2004. In an effort to manage portfolio concentration risk and to comply with existing banking regulations, we have policies in place governing the size of our investment in loans secured by real estate where the LTV is greater than 90%.

Table of Contents

We finance a variety of commercial, light industrial and office equipment types and try to limit the concentrations in our loan and lease portfolios. The majority of our leases are full payout (no residual), small-ticket assets secured by commercial equipment. Capitalized initial direct costs associated with these leases totaled \$8.6 million and \$6.3 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The following lists the components of the net investment in leases:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Minimum lease payments receivable	\$ 551,549	\$ 439,815
Less unearned income	(71,280)	(58,421)
Less allowance for lease losses	(6,638)	(5,896)
Net investment in leases	\$ 473,631	\$ 375,498

Note 6 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Nonperforming Loans and Leases

Changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses are summarized below:

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 44,443	\$ 64,285	\$ 50,936
Provision for loan and lease losses	26,852	14,195	47,583
Charge-offs	(20,201)	(28,180)	(37,312)
Recoveries	8,960	5,335	3,420
Reduction due to sale of loans and leases and other		(627)	(234)
Reduction due to reclassification of loans	(424)	(10,808)	(690)
Foreign currency adjustment	119	243	582
Balance at end of period	\$ 59,749	\$ 44,443	\$ 64,285

Included in the 2005 provision is \$0.5 million related to hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Our estimate of this provision involved the use of considerable judgment and assumptions about uncertain matters including the number of properties damaged, the extent of damage, and insurance recoveries. We will continue to assess the financial impact of the hurricanes as more information becomes available. In addition to this provision, \$0.7 million of loss estimates were included in other parts of the income statement (trading and other expense) for a total loss estimate of \$1.2 million related to Katrina and Rita.

The 2004 provision and allowance for loan and lease losses reflects transactions related to the transfer and sale or pending sale of portfolio loans associated with two portfolio sales at our home equity lending line of business. We transferred \$355 million in loans to loans held for sale when the decisions were made to sell these portfolio loans. These loans had an associated allowance of \$20.6 million. The loans were transferred with an allowance of \$10.8 million to reduce their carrying value to fair market value. After the transfers, the remaining \$9.8 million of excess allowance was reversed through the provision for loan and lease losses.

Table of Contents

Impaired loans and associated valuation reserves are summarized as follows:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Impaired loans with valuation reserve	\$ 14,714	\$ 9,623
Impaired loans with no valuation reserve	4,300	10,771
Total impaired loans	\$ 19,014	\$ 20,394
Valuation reserve on impaired loans	\$ 3,684	\$ 4,584

Interest accrued but not collected at the date a loan is considered impaired is reversed against interest income. Interest income on impaired loans is recognized on a cash basis as long as the remaining book balance is deemed fully collectible. If the future collectibility of the recorded loan balance is doubtful, any collections of interest and principal are generally applied as a reduction to principal outstanding. The accrual of interest is reestablished only when interest and principal payments are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. For the year ended December 31, 2005, the average balance of impaired loans was \$16.9 million, for which \$1.0 million of interest was recorded. For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, \$0.8 million and \$0.5 million of interest income was recorded on average impaired loans balances of \$19.1 million and \$18.3 million, respectively.

Nonperforming loans and leases are summarized below:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 599	\$ 657
Nonaccrual loans and leases	36,820	33,036
Total nonperforming loans and leases	\$ 37,419	\$ 33,693

Note 7 Servicing Assets

Included on the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were \$296 million and \$367 million, respectively, of capitalized servicing assets. These amounts relate to the mortgage and home equity loans serviced by us for investors. Although they are not generally held for purposes of sale, there is an active secondary market for the majority of our servicing assets. Changes in our capitalized servicing assets are shown below:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$ 367,032	\$ 380,123
Additions	74,731	142,689

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Amortization	(100,322)	(117,143)
Recovery of (impairment of) servicing asset	19,625	(2,474)
Reduction for servicing sales	(65,312)	(36,163)
	\$ 295,754	\$ 367,032

Table of Contents

We have established a valuation allowance to record servicing assets at their fair market value. Changes in the allowance are summarized below:

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 54,134	\$ 76,869	\$ 159,865
(Recovery) impairment of servicing asset	(19,625)	2,474	(44,516)
Reclass for sales of servicing and cleanup calls	(154)	(18,210)	
Other than temporary impairment ⁽¹⁾	(7,112)	(6,999)	(38,480)
Balance at end of year	\$ 27,243	\$ 54,134	\$ 76,869

⁽¹⁾ Other than temporary impairment was recorded to reflect our view that the originally recorded value of certain servicing rights and subsequent impairment associated with those rights is unlikely to be recovered in market value. There was no related direct impact on net income as this other than temporary impairment affected only balance sheet accounts. However, the write-down will result in a reduction of amortization expense and reduced recovery of impairment in future periods.

The servicing assets had a fair value of \$327 million and \$372 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. At December 31, 2005, key economic assumptions and the sensitivity of the current carrying value of mortgage servicing rights to immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in those assumptions are as follows (dollars in thousands):

Carrying amount of mortgage servicing assets	\$ 295,754
Constant prepayment speed	12.87%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (7,129)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	(13,451)
Discount rate	10.34%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (4,583)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	(8,875)

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in value based on a 10% and 20% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the value of the servicing asset is calculated without changing any other assumption; in reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments), which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

The servicing portfolio underlying the portion of our servicing assets carried on our balance sheet was \$20 billion and \$28 billion at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Key economic assumptions used in determining the carrying value of mortgage servicing assets capitalized in 2005 and 2004 were as follows:

	2005	2004
Prepayment rates:		

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Mortgage banking ⁽¹⁾	8.51- 16.31%	5.10- 11.12%
Home equity lending	30.10-31.32	16.59-46.67
Commercial banking	8.51-16.31	5.10-11.12
Discount rates:		
Mortgage banking ⁽¹⁾	9.00- 14.00%	8.50- 14.00%
Home equity lending	12.00	12.00
Commercial banking	9.00-14.00	8.50-14.00

⁽¹⁾ The mortgage banking component of consolidated servicing assets was 88% and 87% at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Table of Contents**Note 8 Premises and Equipment**

Premises and equipment are summarized as follows:

	December 31,		
	2005	2004	Useful Lives
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Land	\$ 2,630	\$ 2,630	n/a
Building and leasehold improvements	26,389	24,975	7-40 years
Furniture and equipment	51,295	53,659	3-10 years
	80,314	81,264	
Less accumulated depreciation	(49,065)	(51,024)	
Total	\$ 31,249	\$ 30,240	

Amounts charged to other expense for depreciation amounted to \$7.3 million, \$7.6 million, and \$7.7 million in 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

Note 9 Lease Obligations

At December 31, 2005, we leased certain branch locations and office equipment used in our operations under a number of noncancelable operating leases. Operating lease rental expense was \$23 million in 2005, \$29 million in 2004, and \$26 million in 2003.

The future minimum rental payments required under noncancellable operating leases with initial or remaining terms of one year or more are summarized as follows:

	(Dollars in thousands)
Year Ended December 31,	
2006	\$ 15,996
2007	13,875
2008	12,435
2009	10,514
2010	9,293
Thereafter	13,421
Total minimum rental payments	\$ 75,534

Note 10 Short-Term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings are summarized as follows:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	\$ 641,785	\$ 71,826

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Drafts payable related to mortgage loan closings	64,278	53,254
Lines of credit and other	1,081	2,197
Federal funds	290,300	110,000
Total	\$ 997,444	\$ 237,277
Weighted average interest rate	3.05%	1.64%

Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings are collateralized by \$1.0 billion of loans and loans held for sale.

Table of Contents

Drafts payable are related to mortgage closings at the end of December that have not been presented to the banks for payment. When presented for payment, these borrowings will be funded internally or by borrowing from the lines of credit.

We also have lines of credit available of \$1.2 billion to fund loan originations and operations. Interest on the lines of credit is payable monthly or quarterly with rates ranging from 4.3% to 5.5% at December 31, 2005.

For three of our credit facilities, we are subject to compliance with certain financial covenants including, but not limited to consolidated tangible net worth, return on average assets, nonperforming loans, loan loss reserve, Tier 1 leverage ratio, and risk-based capital ratio. We are in compliance with all applicable covenants as of December 31, 2005.

Note 11 Collateralized Debt

We pledge or sell loans structured as secured financings at our home equity and commercial finance lines of business. Sale treatment is precluded on these transactions because we fail the true-sale requirements of SFAS 140 as we maintain effective control over the loans and leases securitized. This type of structure results in cash being received, debt being recorded, and the establishment of an allowance for credit losses. The notes associated with these transactions are collateralized by \$0.7 billion in home equity loans, home equity lines of credit, and leases. The principal and interest on these debt securities are paid using the cash flows from the underlying loans and leases. Accordingly, the timing of the principal payments on these debt securities is dependent on the payments received on the underlying collateral. The interest rates on the bonds are generally at a floating rate. In certain cases, we enter into swaps to address inherent interest rate risk against fixed rate loans and leases.

Collateralized borrowings are summarized as follows:

	Contractual Maturity	Interest Rate at December 31, 2005	December 31,	
			2005	2004
(Dollars in thousands)				
Commercial finance line of business				
Domestic asset backed note	5/2010	4.5%	\$ 13,600	\$ 29,050
Canadian asset backed notes:				
Note 1	revolving	3.7	32,385	48,801
Note 2	4/2010	3.4	155,544	95,288
Note 3	10/2009	4.5	14,839	21,713
Home equity line of business				
2004-1 asset backed notes:				
Variable rate senior note	12/2024-12/2034	4.5	132,692	327,850
Variable rate subordinate note	12/2034	5.4	24,775	24,775
2005-1 asset backed notes:				
Variable rate senior note	6/2025-6/2035	4.4	138,244	
Fixed rate senior note	6/2035	5.0	94,129	
Variable rate subordinate note	6/2035	6.0	10,785	
Fixed rate subordinate note	6/2035	5.6	52,127	
Unamortized premium/discount			(136)	
Total			\$ 668,984	\$ 547,477

For the Canadian asset backed notes, we are subject to compliance with certain financial covenants set forth in this facility including, but not limited to consolidated tangible net worth, return on average assets, nonperforming loans, loan loss reserve, Tier leverage ratio, and risk-based capital ratio. We are in compliance with all applicable covenants as of December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**Note 12 Other Long-Term Debt**

At December 31, 2005 and 2004 we had \$270 million of other long-term debt. Included in both years is \$30 million of subordinated debt with an interest rate of 7.58% and a maturity date of July 2014. We also have obligations represented by subordinated debentures at December 31, 2005 and 2004 of \$240 million. These securities were issued by wholly-owned trusts of Irwin Financial Corporation that were created for the purpose of issuing cumulative trust preferred securities. In accordance with FIN 46 we do not consolidate these trusts. These debentures are the sole assets of these trusts as of December 31, 2005. All debentures and securities are callable at par after five years from origination date. On February 3, 2006, we issued a call notice on the securities underlying IFC Capital Trust III, from which \$51.7 million of 8.75 percent convertible trust preferred securities were issued and remain outstanding. The redemption date is March 6, 2006. In lieu of redemption for cash, the trust preferred securities are convertible at the option of the holder into common stock at any time prior to the redemption date at a ratio of 1.2610 common shares per share of convertible trust preferred, which equates to a common stock conversion price of \$19.825 per share. These securities are all Tier 1 qualifying capital at December 31, 2005. Highlights about these debentures and the related trusts are listed below:

Name	Origination Date	Interest Rate at December 31, 2005	Maturity Date	Subordinated Debt		
				December 31,		
				2005	2004	Other
(Dollars in thousands)						
Capital Trust II	Nov 2000	10.50%	Sep 2030	\$	\$ 53,351	
IFC Capital Trust III	Nov 2000	8.75	Sep 2030	53,268	53,268	conversion ratio of 1.261 shares of common stock to 1 convertible preferred security
IFC Capital Trust IV	Jul 2001	10.25	Jul 2031	15,464	15,464	
IFC Capital Trust V	Nov 2001	9.95	Nov 2031	30,928	30,928	
IFC Capital Trust VI	Oct 2002	8.70	Sep 2032	35,567	35,567	
IFC Statutory Trust VII	Nov 2003	7.43	Nov 2033	51,547	51,547	rate changes quarterly at three month LIBOR plus 290 basis points
IFC Capital Trust VIII	Aug 2005	5.96	Aug 2035	53,351		fixed rate for 5 years, variable rate of 3 month LIBOR plus 153 basis

points
thereafter

\$ 240,125 \$ 240,125

Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies*Culpepper v. Inland Mortgage Corporation*

Our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation (formerly Inland Mortgage Corporation), is a defendant in a class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, filed in April 1996, alleging that Irwin Mortgage violated the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) relating to Irwin Mortgage's payment of broker fees to mortgage brokers. In June 2001, the Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit upheld the district court's certification of a plaintiff class. In November 2001, the parties filed supplemental briefs analyzing the impact of an October 18, 2001 policy statement issued by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that explicitly disagreed with the judicial interpretation of RESPA by the Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit in its ruling upholding class certification in this case.

Subsequently, the 11th Circuit subsequently decided three other RESPA cases. In one of those cases, the 11th Circuit concluded that the trial court had abused its discretion in certifying a class action under RESPA. Further, in that decision, the 11th Circuit expressly recognized it was, in effect, overruling its previous decision

Table of Contents

upholding class certification in our case. In March 2003, Irwin Mortgage filed a motion to decertify the class. Irwin Mortgage and the plaintiffs also filed motions for summary judgment.

On February 7, 2006, the trial court denied the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and granted Irwin Mortgage's motions to decertify the class and for summary judgment, thereby dismissing this case. The plaintiffs then filed a notice of appeal with the Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit. If the plaintiffs were to prevail on their appeal and also prevail at a subsequent trial on the merits, Irwin Mortgage could be liable for RESPA damages that could be material to our financial position. However, Irwin Mortgage believes the 11th Circuit's RESPA ruling in a similar case argued before it would support a decision in this case affirming the trial court in favor of Irwin Mortgage. We therefore have not established any reserves for this case.

Silke v. Irwin Mortgage Corporation

In April 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, was named as a defendant in a class action lawsuit filed in the Marion County, Indiana, Superior Court. The complaint alleges that Irwin Mortgage charged a document preparation fee in violation of Indiana law for services performed by clerical personnel in completing legal documents related to mortgage loans. Irwin Mortgage filed an answer on June 11, 2003 and a motion for summary judgment on October 27, 2003. On June 18, 2004, the court certified a plaintiff class consisting of Indiana borrowers who were allegedly charged the fee by Irwin Mortgage any time after April 17, 1997. This date was later clarified by stipulation of the parties to be April 14, 1997. In November 2004, the court heard arguments on Irwin Mortgage's motion for summary judgment and plaintiffs' motion seeking to send out class notice. On January 23, 2006, the court ruled that dissemination of class notice can proceed before the court addresses the motion for summary judgment. We are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Mortgage could suffer. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Cohens v. Inland Mortgage Corporation

In October 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation (formerly Inland Mortgage Corporation), was named as a defendant, along with others, in an action filed in the Supreme Court of New York, County of Kings. The plaintiffs, a mother and two children, allege they were injured from lead contamination while living in premises allegedly owned by the defendants. The suit seeks approximately \$41 million in damages and alleges negligence, breach of implied warranty of habitability and fitness for intended use, loss of services and the cost of medical treatment. On June 15, 2005, Irwin Mortgage filed an answer and cross-claims seeking dismissal of the complaint. We are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Mortgage could suffer. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Litigation in Connection with Loans Purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia

Our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, is a defendant in several actions in connection with loans Irwin Union Bank purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia (Community).

Hobson v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company was filed on July 30, 2004 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. As amended on August 30, 2004, the *Hobson* complaint, seeks certification of both a plaintiffs' and a defendants' class, the plaintiffs' class to consist of all persons who obtained loans from Community and whose loans were purchased by Irwin Union Bank. *Hobson* alleges that defendants violated the Truth-in-Lending Act (TILA), the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act (HOEPA), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). On October 12, 2004, Irwin filed a motion to dismiss the *Hobson* claims as untimely filed and substantively defective.

Kossler v. Community Bank of Northern Virginia was originally filed in July 2002 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. Irwin Union Bank and Trust was added as a defendant in December 2004. The *Kossler* complaint seeks certification of a plaintiffs' class and seeks to void the mortgage loans as illegal contracts. Plaintiffs also seek recovery against Irwin for alleged RESPA violations

Table of Contents

and for conversion. On September 9, 2005, the *Kossler* plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Class Action Complaint. On October 21, 2005, Irwin filed a renewed motion seeking to dismiss the *Kossler* action.

The plaintiffs in *Hobson* and *Kossler* claim that Community was allegedly engaged in a lending arrangement involving the use of its charter by certain third parties who charged high fees that were not representative of the services rendered and not properly disclosed as to the amount or recipient of the fees. The loans in question are allegedly high cost/high interest loans under Section 32 of HOEPA. Plaintiffs also allege illegal kickbacks and fee splitting. In *Hobson*, the plaintiffs allege that Irwin was aware of Community's alleged arrangement when Irwin purchased the loans and that Irwin participated in a RICO enterprise and conspiracy related to the loans. Because Irwin bought the loans from Community, the *Hobson* plaintiffs are alleging that Irwin has assignee liability under HOEPA.

If the *Hobson* and *Kossler* plaintiffs are successful in establishing a class and prevailing at trial, possible RESPA remedies could include treble damages for each service for which there was an unearned fee, kickback or overvalued service. Other possible damages in *Hobson* could include TILA remedies, such as rescission, actual damages, statutory damages not to exceed the lesser of \$500,000 or 1% of the net worth of the creditor, and attorneys' fees and costs; possible HOEPA remedies could include the refunding of all closing costs, finance charges and fees paid by the borrower; RICO remedies could include treble plaintiffs' actually proved damages. In addition, the *Hobson* plaintiffs are seeking unspecified punitive damages. Under TILA, HOEPA, RESPA and RICO, statutory remedies include recovery of attorneys' fees and costs. Other possible damages in *Kossler* could include the refunding of all origination fees paid by the plaintiffs.

Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company is also a defendant, along with Community, in two individual actions (*Chatfield v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, et al.* and *Ransom v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, et al.*) filed on June 9, 2004 in the Circuit Court of Frederick County, Maryland, involving mortgage loans Irwin Union Bank purchased from Community. On July 16, 2004, both of these lawsuits were removed to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. The complaints allege that the plaintiffs did not receive disclosures required under HOEPA and TILA. The lawsuits also allege violations of Maryland law because the plaintiffs were allegedly charged or contracted for a prepayment penalty fee. Irwin believes the plaintiffs received the required disclosures and that Community, a Virginia-chartered bank, was permitted to charge prepayment fees to Maryland borrowers. Under the loan purchase agreements between Irwin and Community, Irwin has the right to demand repurchase of the mortgage loans and to seek indemnification from Community for the claims in these lawsuits. On September 17, 2004, Irwin made a demand for indemnification and a defense to *Hobson*, *Chatfield* and *Ransom*. Community denied this request as premature.

In response to a motion by Irwin, the Judicial Panel On Multidistrict Litigation consolidated *Hobson*, *Chatfield* and *Ransom* with *Kossler* in the Western District of Pennsylvania for all pretrial proceedings. We have established a reserve for the Community litigation based upon SFAS 5 guidance and the advice of legal counsel.

Litigation Related to NorVergence, Inc.

Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation, Equipment Finance (Equipment Finance) (formerly known as Irwin Business Finance), our indirect subsidiary, is involved on a national basis in equipment leasing finance and maintains a diverse portfolio of leases, including leases in the telecommunications field. A portion of Equipment Finance's telecommunications portfolio involves leases of equipment acquired from NorVergence, Inc., a New Jersey-based telecommunications company. After assigning leases to Equipment Finance and other lenders, NorVergence became a debtor in a Chapter 7 bankruptcy, which is currently pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court in New Jersey. The sudden failure of NorVergence left many of its customers without telecommunications service. These customers became very angry when commitments made to them by NorVergence went unfulfilled.

Complaints by former NorVergence customers have led to investigations by the attorneys general of several states. Equipment Finance has been named as a defendant in several lawsuits connected with NorVergence. *Exquisite Caterers, LLC et al. v. Popular Leasing et al.* is a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court

Table of Contents

of New Jersey, Monmouth County, and was amended to include Equipment Finance and others on September 1, 2004. The *Exquisite Caterers* plaintiffs seek certification of a class of persons who leased network computer equipment from NorVergence, whose leases were assigned to defendants. The complaint alleges that NorVergence misrepresented the services and equipment provided, that the lessees were defrauded and the lease agreements should not be enforced. The action alleges violations of, among other things, the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act; the New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty, and Notice Act; the FTC Holder Rule; the FTC Act; and breach of contract and implied warranties. The plaintiffs seek compensatory, statutory and punitive damages, and injunctive relief, including rescission of the leases and cessation of collections. On June 16, 2005, the judge in the *Exquisite Caterers* lawsuit denied plaintiffs' alternative motions for certification of either a nationwide class or a class of New Jersey residents only. Plaintiffs then filed a motion for reconsideration of the order denying certification of a class limited to New Jersey residents. At a hearing on September 14, 2005, the judge granted plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration and certified a class limited to New Jersey residents. Equipment Finance has fewer than ten lessees who may qualify as members of the New Jersey class certified in the *Exquisite Caterers* lawsuit.

Equipment Finance was also named as a defendant, along with other lenders, in *Delanco Board of Education et al. v. IFC Credit Corporation*, a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County, Chancery Division, in October 2004 in connection with leases assigned to the lenders by NorVergence. (IFC Credit Corporation is not affiliated with Irwin Financial Corporation or Equipment Finance.) The suit involved more than one thousand plaintiffs and alleged fraud, misrepresentation and violations of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud law based on alleged conduct similar to that in *Exquisite Caterers*, with the addition of a count under the New Jersey RICO statute. Plaintiffs also alleged unjust enrichment and conversion and sought rescission of the leases plus punitive and other damages. After failing in an attempt to obtain a temporary injunction, the plaintiffs agreed to withdraw the complaint filed in the Superior Court and commenced actions in the NorVergence bankruptcy proceeding, seeking similar relief. Equipment Finance filed a motion to dismiss it from the adversary proceeding and is awaiting the court's ruling on the motion.

Equipment Finance was also named as a defendant, along with other lenders, in *Sterling Asset & Equity Corp. et al. v. Preferred Capital, Inc. et al.*, an action filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida in October 2004, which was voluntarily dismissed in January 2005. The plaintiffs then filed a similar complaint in the Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, Miami-Dade County, Florida on January 14, 2005 seeking class certification on behalf of Florida persons or entities who leased equipment from NorVergence and whose agreement was assigned to one of the named lenders. The plaintiffs allege that NorVergence engaged in false, misleading and deceptive sales and billing practices. The complaint alleges violations of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, the FTC Holder Rule, and breach of contract and warranties. Plaintiffs seek, among other relief, compensatory and punitive damages, injunctive and/or declaratory relief prohibiting enforcement of the leases, rescission, return of payments, interest, attorneys' fees and costs. Plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed this action in June of 2005 after Equipment Finance had filed its motion to dismiss the complaint.

In connection with investigations by various state attorneys general, Equipment Finance and other lenders were asked to produce information about their relationships with NorVergence and to refrain from enforcing NorVergence leases. Equipment Finance is pursuing discussions with most of the states in which it has customers who executed agreements with NorVergence and has discontinued collection activities while discussions are in progress. Equipment Finance has now executed agreements with: the Attorney General of California, providing for recovery of 15% of outstanding balances on California leases as of July 15, 2004, and with the Attorney General of Florida, entitling Equipment Finance to lease payments through January 31, 2005. In November of 2005, Equipment Finance extended the benefits of the California settlement to NorVergence customers residing in Texas. Equipment Finance recently executed an agreement with a multi-state group of attorneys general. The multi-state agreement requires that NorVergence lessees be offered the opportunity to pay Equipment Finance all amounts due on their leases through July 15, 2004, plus 15% of the then-outstanding balance in full satisfaction of their lease obligations.

On October 21, 2004, the Attorney General of Florida filed a complaint against twelve lenders, including Equipment Finance, in the Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit, Leon County, Florida (*State of*

Table of Contents

Florida v. Commerce Commercial Leasing, LLC et al.). This suit was stayed by agreement of the parties while they discussed resolution of the concerns expressed by the Florida Attorney General. The complaint alleged that the agreements assigned by NorVergence to the lenders were unconscionable under the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act. The suit also sought to prohibit collection activities by the lenders and asked for repayment of revenues, rescission of the agreements, restitution, recovery of actual damages, and civil money penalties. On April 29, 2005, acting on defendants' motion to dismiss, the judge in the Commerce Commercial Leasing action dismissed the action in its entirety. The Attorney General of Florida appealed the order of dismissal. Equipment Finance was dismissed from the appeal as a result of its settlement with the State of Florida.

The individual lawsuit filed against Equipment Finance in September 2004 in the Superior Court of Massachusetts was put on hold pending discussions with the multi-state group of attorneys general, of which the Attorney General of Massachusetts is a participant. The plaintiff in this action has been offered the opportunity to participate in the multi-state settlement program, and Equipment Finance is awaiting a response to its offer.

Agreements with state attorneys general and recent favorable court rulings have significantly reduced the risk that damages might be awarded against Equipment Finance in NorVergence-related class actions and other lawsuits. We have established loss reserves for customer reimbursements required under agreements already closed with various states' attorneys general. We have not established reserves in connection with NorVergence-related litigation.

Putkowski v. Irwin Home Equity Corporation and Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company

On August 12, 2005, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Home Equity Corporation, and our direct subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company (collectively, "Irwin"), were named as defendants in litigation seeking class action status in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The plaintiffs allege Irwin violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") by using or obtaining plaintiffs' consumer reports for credit transactions not initiated by plaintiffs and for which they did not receive firm offers of credit. The plaintiffs also allege that Irwin failed to provide clear and conspicuous disclosures as required by the FCRA. The complaint seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, statutory damages of \$1,000 per each separate violation and punitive damages for alleged willful violations of the FCRA. Plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint on October 4, 2005. On October 18, 2005, Irwin moved to dismiss the Amended Complaint for failure to state a claim. Irwin believes it has strong defenses to plaintiffs' claims; however, we are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin could suffer and have not established any reserves for this case.

White v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company and Irwin Home Equity Corporation

On January 5, 2006, our direct subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, and our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Home Equity Corporation, (collectively, "Irwin") were named as defendants in litigation in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland. The plaintiffs allege that Irwin charged or caused plaintiffs to pay certain fees, costs and other charges that were excessive or illegal under Maryland law in connection with loans made to plaintiffs by Irwin. The plaintiffs seek certification of a class consisting of Maryland residents who received mortgage loans from Irwin secured by real property in the State of Maryland and who claim injury due to Irwin's lending practices. The plaintiffs are seeking damages under the Maryland Mortgage Lending Laws and the Maryland Consumer Protection Act for, among other things, relief from further interest payments on their loans, reimbursement of interest, charges, fees and costs already paid, including prepayment penalties paid by the class, and damages of three times the amount of all allegedly excessive or illegal charges paid, plus attorneys' fees, expenses and costs. In the alternative, the plaintiffs seek arbitration as provided for in their mortgage notes. On February 17, 2006, Irwin also filed a notice of removal, and removed the case from state to federal court. At this stage of the litigation, we are unable to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin could suffer and have not established any reserves for this case.

Table of Contents

We and our subsidiaries are from time to time engaged in various matters of litigation, including the matters described above, other assertions of improper or fraudulent loan practices or lending violations, and other matters, and we have a number of unresolved claims pending. In addition, as part of the ordinary course of business, we and our subsidiaries are parties to litigation involving claims to the ownership of funds in particular accounts, the collection of delinquent accounts, challenges to security interests in collateral, and foreclosure interests, that is incidental to our regular business activities. While the ultimate liability with respect to these other litigation matters and claims cannot be determined at this time, we believe that damages, if any, and other amounts relating to pending matters are not likely to be material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations, except as described above. Reserves are established for these various matters of litigation, when appropriate under SFAS 5, based in part upon the advice of legal counsel.

Note 14 Financial Instruments With Off-Balance Sheet Risk

In the normal course of our business as a provider of financial services, we are party to certain financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financial needs of our customers. These financial instruments include loan commitments and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized on the consolidated balance sheet. We follow the same credit policies in making commitments and contractual obligations as we do for our on-balance sheet instruments.

Our exposure to credit loss, in the form of nonperformance by the counterparty on commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Collateral pledged for standby letters of credit and commitments varies but may include accounts receivable; inventory; property, plant, and equipment; and residential real estate. Total outstanding commitments to extend credit at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were \$1.1 billion and \$0.7 billion, respectively. These loan commitments include \$0.8 billion of floating rate loan commitments and \$0.2 billion of fixed rate loan commitments. We had approximately \$20 million and \$25 million in irrevocable standby letters of credit outstanding at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Note 15 Derivative Financial Instruments

Financial derivatives are used as part of the overall asset/liability risk management process. We use certain derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. These derivatives are classified as other assets and other liabilities and marked to market on the income statements. While we do not seek Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) hedge accounting treatment for the assets that these instruments are hedging, the economic purpose of these instruments is to manage the risk inherent in existing exposures to either interest rate risk or foreign currency risk.

We have interest rate swaps that have a notional amount (which does not represent the amount of risk) of \$274 million to hedge fixed rate certificate of deposits. We recognized a loss in derivative gains (losses) of \$1.2 million and \$0.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, related to these swaps. Under the terms of these swap agreements, we receive a fixed rate of interest and pay a floating rate of interest based upon one, three, or six-month LIBOR.

We own foreign currency forward contracts to protect the U.S. dollar value of intercompany loans made to Irwin Commercial Finance Canada Corporation (formerly Onset Capital Corporation) that are denominated in Canadian dollars. We had a notional amount of \$56 million in forward contracts outstanding as of December 31, 2005. In 2005 and 2004 we recognized losses on these contracts of \$1.3 million and \$3.2 million. These contracts are marked-to-market with gains and losses included in derivative gains(losses) on the consolidated income statements. The foreign currency transaction gain on the intercompany loans was \$1.6 million and \$2.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

In our first mortgage business, we enter into forward contracts to protect against interest rate fluctuations from the date of mortgage loan commitment until the loans are sold. The notional amount of our forward contracts (which does not represent the amount at risk) totaled \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2005. The closed

Table of Contents

mortgage loans hedged by forward contracts qualify for fair value hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. The basis of the hedged closed loans is adjusted for change in value associated with the risk being hedged. We value closed loan contracts at year end based upon the current secondary market value of securities with similar characteristics. The unrealized loss on our forward contracts at December 31, 2005 was \$2.9 million and the hedge ineffectiveness for the year resulted in a loss of \$3.4 million. The unrealized loss on our forward contracts at December 31, 2004 was \$0.5 million and the hedge ineffectiveness for the year resulted in a loss of \$2.7 million. The effect of these hedging activities was recorded through earnings as a component of Gain from sale of loans.

In our home equity business, we enter into interest rate swaps and Eurodollar futures contracts to protect the value of the loans against increasing interest rates from the time of origination until the time a loan is sold or delivered into a securitization funding source. At December 31, 2005, a notional amount of \$205 million of interest rate swaps were outstanding. These contracts are marked-to-market with gains and losses included in derivative gains (losses) on the consolidated income statements. The gain on these activities for the year-ending 2005 totaled \$0.7 million.

We enter into commitments to originate mortgage loans whereby the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding (rate lock commitments). Rate lock commitments on loans intended to be sold are considered to be derivatives. We record changes in the fair value of these commitments based upon the current secondary market value of securities with similar characteristics. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, a net increase in fair value of these derivatives totaling \$0.7 million and a net decrease in fair value of these derivatives totaling \$6.5 million was recorded in Gain from sale of loans. At December 31, 2005, we had a notional amount of rate lock commitments outstanding totaling \$0.7 billion with a fair value of \$1.4 million. Notional amounts do not represent the amount of risk.

Our commercial finance line of business delivers fixed rate leases into conduits that fund them with floating rate commercial paper, which creates an interest rate risk mismatch.

We deliver Canadian dollar fixed rate leases into a commercial paper conduit. To lessen the repricing mismatch between fixed rate CAD-denominated leases and floating rate CAD commercial paper, a series of amortizing CAD interest rate swaps have been executed. As of December 31, 2005, the commercial paper conduit was providing \$155 million of variable rate funding. In total, our interest rate swaps were effectively converting \$148 million of this funding to a fixed interest rate. The losses on these swaps for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 were \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

In the case of U.S. dollar fixed rate leases funded via a commercial paper conduit, this funding mismatch is partially mitigated by a combination of amortizing interest rate caps and Eurodollar futures contracts. The interest rate caps have a strike price of 5% and provide protection against an increase in short-term interest rates. As of December 31, 2005, the notional value and 2005 loss on the interest rate caps were \$8 million and \$3 thousand, respectively. As of December 31, 2004, the notional value and 2004 loss on the interest rate caps were \$26 million and \$43 thousand, respectively. As of December 31, 2005, the total notional amount and gain for the year on the Eurodollar futures were \$10 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2004, the total notional amount and gain for the year on the Eurodollar futures were \$95 million and \$40 thousand, respectively.

Certain of our home equity fixed rate residual interests are funded with floating rate liabilities. We enter into Eurodollar futures contracts to economically manage such mismatches and rebalance our positions as needed. The current notional value outstanding is \$126 million (which does not represent the amount at risk). As of December 31, 2005, the fair value and gain recorded on these contracts were \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2004, the fair value and gain recorded on these contracts were \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

We also have a \$97 million amortizing interest rate swap in which we pay a fixed rate of interest and receive a floating rate. The purpose of the swap is to manage interest rate risk exposure created by the 2005-1 securitization in which floating rate notes are funding fixed rate home equity loans. The notional value of the

Table of Contents

swap amortizes at a pace that is consistent with the expected paydown speed of the floating rate notes (including prepayment speed estimates), although the actual note paydowns will vary depending upon actual prepayment speeds. This swap is accounted for as a cashflow hedge in accordance with FAS 133, with the changes in the fair value of the effective portion of the hedge reported as a component of equity and amortized through interest expense during the matching periods.

We manage the interest rate risk associated with our mortgage servicing rights through the use of mortgage backed security TBA's (to be announced securities), swaps, swaptions and Eurodollar futures contracts. Both the options and futures contracts are marked-to-market and included in Other assets with changes in value recorded in the consolidated income statements as Derivative gains or losses. At December 31, 2005, we held no swaption positions. As of December 31, 2005, we recorded losses on swaptions, including premiums paid, of \$66 million during the year. At December 31, 2004, we held swaption positions with a notional amount totaling \$9.7 billion, and gains totaling \$23.5 million. We held Eurodollar futures contracts with a notional value of \$12.5 billion (which does not represent the amount at risk) at December 31, 2005, and we recorded losses of \$1.2 million on these contracts held during the year. During 2004, we recorded losses of \$4.4 million on Eurofutures contracts. The size and mix of these positions change during the year, so period-end positions may not be indicative of our net risk exposure throughout the year.

Note 16 Guarantees

Upon the occurrence of certain events under financial guarantees, we have performance obligations provided in certain contractual arrangements. These various agreements are summarized below.

We sell loans and commercial loan participation interests to: (i) private investors; (ii) agency investors including, but not limited to, Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC), and Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA); and (iii) other financial institutions. Each loan sale is subject to certain terms and conditions, which generally require us to indemnify and hold the investor harmless against any loss arising from errors and omissions in the origination, processing and/or underwriting of the loans. We are subject to this risk for loans that we originate as well as loans we acquire from brokers and correspondents. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, we had approximately \$12.7 million and \$15.3 million, respectively, recorded as an estimate for losses that may occur as a result of the guarantees described above based on trends in repurchase and indemnification requests, actual loss experience, known and inherent risks in the loans, and current economic conditions. The length of the indemnification period, which varies by investor and the nature of the potential defect may extend to the life of the loan. Sold loans for which these guarantees apply totaled approximately \$10.4 billion in 2005 and \$11.7 billion in 2004.

We also sell home equity loans to private investors. We have agreed to repurchase loans that do not perform at agreed-upon levels. The repurchase period generally ranges from 60-120 days after the settlement date. In addition, a repurchase obligation may be triggered if a loan does not meet specified representations related to credit information, loan documentation and collateral. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, we had approximately \$1.6 million and \$1.7 million recorded as an estimate for losses that may occur as a result of the guarantees described above based on trends in repurchase and indemnification requests, actual loss experience, known and inherent risks in the loans, and current economic conditions. Total home equity loans sold for which these guarantees apply were \$0.7 billion in 2005 and \$1.0 billion in 2004.

In the normal course of our servicing duties, we are often required to advance payments to investors, taxing authorities and insurance companies that are due and have not been received from borrowers as of specified cut-off dates. These servicing advances totaled \$37.4 million at December 31, 2005 and \$46.1 million at December 31, 2004 and are reflected as accounts receivable in the consolidated balance sheets. Servicing advances, including contractual interest, are considered a priority cash flow in the event of foreclosure or liquidation, thus making their collection more likely. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, we had \$2.5 million and \$2.9 million recorded as an estimate for possible losses on these advances in other liabilities.

We also service loans on behalf of private and agency investors and occasionally sell the servicing rights on these loans to third-party servicers. The typical servicing contract requires us to indemnify and hold the

Table of Contents

investor harmless against any loss arising from our failure to abide by the servicing guidelines adopted by the investor or from our breach of any representation or warranty made in connection with the sale. Some of the more common servicing sale representations and warranties include: (i) each loan is in full compliance with investor requirements; insurer requirements; and federal, state, and local laws and regulations; (ii) seller and all prior servicers have serviced and maintained the loans in accordance with investor requirements; and (iii) each custodial file and all documents related thereto is true, correct and complete in all material respects. The loss estimate recorded for these guarantees at December 31, 2005 and 2004, was \$2.4 million and \$1.3 million.

We provide guarantees to third parties on behalf of one of our subsidiaries related to operating lease payments with maturity dates extending through 2011. The maximum potential future payments guaranteed by us under these arrangements is \$10.0 million and \$14.8 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

We provide an operating performance guarantee to a third party on behalf of one of our subsidiaries related to borrowings to fund Canadian leases. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, our subsidiary had borrowings totaling \$155.5 million and \$95.3 million for which our guarantee applied. Irwin Union Bank and Trust provides a credit guarantee to a third party on behalf of one of our subsidiaries related to borrowings to fund Canadian leases. At December 31, 2005, our subsidiary had borrowings totaling \$32.4 million for which this guarantee applied.

Note 17 Regulatory Matters

Irwin Financial Corporation and its bank subsidiaries, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Under capital adequacy guidelines, Irwin Financial, Irwin Union Bank and Trust, and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and Tier I capital to average assets (as defined). We believe, as of December 31, 2005, that we have met all capital adequacy requirements to which we are subject. In addition, our Board of Directors has established minimum total capital standards of 11.75% for both Irwin Financial and Irwin Union Bank and Trust.

For an explanation of capital requirements and categories applicable to financial institutions, see the discussion in this Report under the subsection *Other Safety and Soundness Regulations* in Part I, Item 1, Business, Supervision and Regulation.

Table of Contents

The following table presents actual capital amounts and ratios for Irwin Financial, Irwin Union Bank and Trust, and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. as compared to amounts and ratios under the regulatory framework:

	Actual		Adequately Capitalized		Well Capitalized	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(Dollars in thousands)						
As of December 31, 2005						
Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):						
Irwin Financial Corporation	\$ 829,444	13.1%	\$ 505,424	8.0%	\$ 631,780	10.0%
Irwin Union Bank and Trust	716,228	12.3	465,721	8.0	582,151	10.0
Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.	54,795	12.5	35,186	8.0	43,983	10.0
Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):						
Irwin Financial Corporation	675,316	10.7	252,712	4.0	379,068	6.0
Irwin Union Bank and Trust	628,688	10.8	232,861	4.0	349,291	6.0
Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.	52,340	11.9	N/A		26,390	6.0
Tier I Capital (to Average Assets):						
Irwin Financial Corporation	675,316	10.3	261,216	4.0	326,520	5.0
Irwin Union Bank and Trust	628,688	10.4	241,878	4.0	302,347	5.0
Core Capital (to Adjusted Tangible Assets) Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.	52,340	10.3	20,262	4.0	25,327	5.0
Tangible Capital (to Tangible Assets) Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.	52,340	10.3	7,598	1.5	N/A	
As of December 31, 2004						
Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):						
Irwin Financial Corporation	\$ 781,487	15.9%	\$ 392,641	8.0%	\$ 490,801	10.0%
Irwin Union Bank and Trust	644,345	14.6	352,500	8.0	440,625	10.0
Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.	40,880	14.3	22,922	8.0	28,652	10.0
Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):						
Irwin Financial Corporation	637,875	13.0	196,320	4.0	294,481	6.0
Irwin Union Bank and Trust	571,372	13.0	176,250	4.0	264,375	6.0
Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.	39,636	13.8	N/A		17,191	6.0
Tier I Capital (to Average Assets):						
Irwin Financial Corporation	637,875	11.6	222,094	4.0	277,618	5.0
Irwin Union Bank and Trust	571,372	11.3	202,986	4.0	253,732	5.0
Core Capital (to Adjusted Tangible Assets) Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.	39,636	10.6	14,984	4.0	18,730	5.0
Tangible Capital (to Tangible Assets) Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.	39,636	10.6	5,619	1.5	N/A	

Note 18 Fair Values of Financial Instruments

Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions are set forth below for our financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents approximate fair values.

Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions, Deposit liabilities, Short-term borrowings, and Long-term and collateralized debt: The fair values were estimated by discounting cash flows, using interest rates currently being offered for like assets and like liabilities with similar terms.

Table of Contents

Loans and leases and loans held for sale: The fair values were estimated by discounting cash flows, using interest rates currently being offered for like assets with similar terms, to borrowers with similar credit quality, and for the same remaining maturities.

Residual interests: The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for residual interests approximate those assets' fair values. Fair value for residual interests is calculated using the methodologies specified in Note 1.

Servicing assets: Fair value for servicing assets is calculated using the methodologies specified in Note 1.

Investment securities: Fair values for investment securities were based on quoted market prices when available. For securities which had no quoted market prices, fair values were estimated by discounting future cash flows using current rates on similar securities. For FHLB and FRB stock, fair value is determined to be equal to cost as there is no readily determinable market value available for these securities.

Derivative instruments: The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for derivative instruments approximate those assets' fair values. The estimated fair values of derivative instruments are determined using third party statements.

Off-balance sheet loan commitments and standby letters of credit had an immaterial estimated fair value at December 31, 2005 and 2004. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, our loan commitments had a notional amount of \$1.1 billion and \$0.7 billion, respectively. Our standby letters of credit had a notional amount of \$19.7 million and \$24.6 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The estimated fair values of our financial instruments at December 31, were as follows:

	2005		2004	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
(Dollars in thousands)				
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 155,486	\$ 155,486	\$ 97,101	\$ 97,101
Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions	62,921	62,489	58,936	58,755
Residual interests	22,116	22,116	56,101	56,101
Investment securities	104,771	104,756	108,222	108,232
Loans held for sale	1,293,519	1,295,803	890,711	895,840
Loans and leases, net of unearned discount	4,498,829	4,486,826	3,450,440	3,480,603
Servicing assets	295,754	327,075	367,032	371,887
Derivatives	12,787	12,787	6,400	6,400
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	3,898,993	3,804,199	3,395,263	3,337,705
Short-term borrowings	997,444	994,542	237,277	237,312
Collateralized debt	668,984	646,427	547,477	529,383
Other long-term debt	270,160	274,636	270,172	280,674
Derivatives	14,679	14,679	996	996

The fair value estimates consider relevant market information when available. Because no market exists for a significant portion of our financial instruments, fair value estimates are determined based on present value of estimated cash flows and consider various factors, including current economic conditions and risk characteristics of certain financial instruments. Changes in factors, or the weight assumed for the various factors, could significantly

affect the estimated values.

Table of Contents

The fair value estimates are presented for existing on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of our long-term relationships with depositors and the benefit that results from the low cost funding provided by deposit liabilities. In addition, significant assets that were not considered financial instruments and were therefore not a part of the fair value estimates include accounts receivable and premises and equipment.

Note 19 Shareholders Equity

We have a stock plan that provides up to 300,000 shares of our common stock to be used to compensate Business Development Board members. During 2005 and 2004, 10,514 shares and 8,078 shares were issued at a weighted average price of \$23.10 and \$27.19, respectively, as part of this plan.

We also have a stock plan to compensate our Directors with our common stock, if so elected, in lieu of cash for their annual retainer and meeting fees. The number of shares issued under the plan is based on the current market value of our common stock. In 2005 and 2004, respectively, we granted 5,710 and 8,784 shares under this plan at a weighted average fair value of \$24.31 and \$28.86. In addition, we have an employee stock purchase plan for all qualified employees. The plan provides for employees to purchase common stock through payroll deduction at approximately 85% of the current market value.

We have two stock option plans (established in 1997 and 1992) that provide for the issuance of 2,840,000 shares of non-qualified and incentive stock options. In addition, the 2001 stock plan provides for the issuance of 4,000,000 of non-qualified and incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, and phantom stock units. An additional 2,000,000 of stock appreciation rights may be granted under this plan. For all plans, the exercise price of each option, which has a ten-year life and a vesting period of 25% at grant and 25% at each anniversary date thereafter, is equal to the market price of our stock on the grant date. Outstanding stock options have been considered as common stock equivalents in the computation of diluted earnings per share. In 2005 and 2004, we awarded 28,605 shares and 12,901 shares of common stock in restricted stock grants at a weighted average fair value of \$20.71 and \$26.35 through this plan, respectively.

In December 2005, our Board of Directors approved a plan to accelerate the vesting of certain outstanding stock options with exercise prices above the stock price at the time vesting was accelerated (underwater options). Based on this action, 263 thousand unvested employee stock options granted in 2003 and 2004 with an exercise price above \$21.56 became exercisable. As a result of this accelerated vesting, the pro forma stock-based compensation expense for the fourth quarter of 2005 increased by \$1.4 million pre-tax.

Activity in the above plans for 2005, 2004, and 2003 is summarized as follows:

	2005		2004		2003	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at the beginning of the year	2,228,331	\$ 20.08	2,170,791	\$ 19.28	2,083,156	\$ 17.22
Granted	399,475	20.53	374,501	24.44	574,421	22.36
Exercised	(117,814)	10.56	(249,175)	19.15	(420,118)	13.30
Canceled	(68,221)	22.58	(67,786)	21.75	(66,668)	19.18
Outstanding at the of the year	2,441,771	20.55	2,228,331	20.08	2,170,791	19.28
	2,137,488	\$ 20.53	1,609,867	\$ 19.27	1,428,465	\$ 18.70

Exercisable at the
end of the year

Table of Contents

The table below shows options outstanding and exercisable by price range as of December 31, 2005.

Range of Exercise Prices	OPTIONS OUTSTANDING			OPTIONS EXERCISABLE	
	Number Outstanding as of December 31, 2005	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable as of December 31, 2005	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$10.66 - 15.65	500,620	4.46	\$ 14.63	500,620	\$ 14.63
\$15.81 - 20.47	636,703	7.43	19.22	364,144	18.32
\$20.47 - 22.46	659,628	6.73	22.07	640,816	22.09
\$22.63 - 25.68	500,006	6.57	24.09	487,294	24.07
\$25.84 - 31.44	144,814	4.91	27.67	144,614	27.66
\$10.66 - 31.44	2,441,771	6.30	\$ 20.55	2,137,488	\$ 20.53

Note 20 Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share calculations are summarized as follow:

	Basic Earnings Per Share	Effect of Stock Options	Effect of Convertible Shares	Diluted Earnings Per Share
(In thousands, except per share amounts)				
Year ended December 31, 2005				
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 18,987	\$	\$	\$ 18,987
Shares	28,518	323		28,841
Per-share amount	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.01)	\$	\$ 0.66
2004				
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 68,445	\$	\$ 2,712	\$ 71,157
Shares	28,274	397	2,607	31,278
Per-share amount	\$ 2.42	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.11)	\$ 2.28
2003				
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 72,817	\$	\$ 2,715	\$ 75,532
Shares	27,915	325	2,610	30,850
Per-share amount	\$ 2.61	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.13)	\$ 2.45

In 2005, 2004 and 2003, there were 1.4 million, 0.1 million and 0.4 million shares, respectively, related to stock options that were not included in the dilutive earnings per share calculation because they had exercise prices above the stock price as of the respective dates. Also, the effect of convertible shares was not included in the 2005 diluted calculation because they were antidilutive.

Note 21 Income Taxes

In the U.S., the Corporation and our subsidiaries file and pay federal taxes as a consolidated entity. Our subsidiary, Irwin Commercial Finance Canada Corporation, f/k/a Onset Capital Corporation, (and related entities) files and pays taxes to certain Canadian revenue authorities.

Table of Contents

Our provision for tax expense is based on analysis of our current and future tax liabilities. Income tax expense is summarized as follows:

	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Current:			
Federal	\$ 24,760	\$ 23,530	\$ (254)
State	6,724	6,378	384
Foreign	1,287	3,639	2,196
	32,771	33,547	2,326
Deferred:			
Federal	(19,171)	10,126	36,627
State	(4,570)	2,414	8,732
Foreign	(48)	(355)	(2,100)
	(23,789)	12,185	43,259
Income tax expense:			
Federal	5,589	33,656	36,373
State	2,154	8,792	9,116
Foreign	1,239	3,284	96
	\$ 8,982	\$ 45,732	\$ 45,585

A reconciliation of income tax expense to the amount computed by applying the statutory income tax rate of 35% to income before income taxes is summarized as follows:

	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Income taxes computed at the statutory rate	\$ 9,789	\$ 39,962	\$ 41,441
Increase (decrease) resulting from:			
Nontaxable interest from investment securities and loans	(117)	(107)	(115)
State tax, net of federal benefit	1,400	5,714	5,926
Foreign operations	183	1,860	(1,112)
Reserve release ⁽¹⁾	(1,870)	(2,832)	(1,173)
Other items-net	(403)	1,135	618
	\$ 8,982	\$ 45,732	\$ 45,585

⁽¹⁾ During 2005, we released \$1.9 million in tax reserves at the parent company to align our tax liability to a level commensurate with our currently identified tax exposures. The majority of the reserves related to our 2001 tax

returns. The statute on these returns expired during the third quarter of 2005 triggering the reversal of these reserves.

Table of Contents

Our net deferred tax liability, which is included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet, consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Deferred securitization income	\$ 242	\$
Allowance for loan and lease losses	30,292	24,247
Deferred compensation	1,740	8,929
Retirement benefits	300	331
Leasing	4,223	3,295
Mark to market	4,077	4,746
Capital loss carryforward (expires 2008)	224	227
Other, net	1,219	1,541
	42,317	43,316
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Mortgage servicing	(108,744)	(134,414)
Deferred securitization income		(1,433)
Deferred origination fees and costs	(3,376)	(1,525)
Fixed assets	(5,020)	(4,556)
	(117,140)	(141,928)
Net deferred tax liability	\$ (74,823)	\$ (98,612)

Note 22 Employee Retirement Plans

We have contributory retirement and savings plans that cover all eligible employees and meets requirements of Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Employees' contributions to the plan are matched 60% by us up to 5% of the employee's compensation.

The matching vests 20% after one year, 40% after two years, 60% after three years, 80% after four years, and 100% after 5 years. The expense to match employee contributions for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$4.1 million, \$3.6 million and \$2.7 million, respectively.

We have a defined benefit plan currently covering eligible employees of our commercial banking segment and the parent company. The benefits are based on years of service and the employees' compensation during their employment. Contributions are intended to provide not only for benefits attributed to service to date but also for those expected to be earned in the future. We use December 31 as the measurement date for our plan.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth amounts recognized in our balance sheet:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at January 1,	\$ 29,348	\$ 24,321
Service cost	2,856	2,127
Interest cost	1,741	1,488
Actuarial loss	2,962	2,040
Benefits paid	(844)	(628)
Benefit obligation at December 31,	36,063	29,348
Change in plan assets:		
Fair value plan assets at January 1,	24,407	20,552
Actual return on plan assets	2,197	2,383
Benefits paid	(844)	(628)
Employer contributions	2,939	2,100
Fair value plan assets at December 31,	28,699	24,407
Funded status	(7,364)	(4,941)
Unrecognized prior service cost	273	310
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	11,449	9,459
Net amount recognized as prepaid pension cost	\$ 4,358	\$ 4,828

The accumulated benefit obligation for our plan was \$28.3 million and \$23.8 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The net pension cost for 2005, 2004 and 2003 included the following components:

	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Service cost	\$ 2,856	\$ 2,127	\$ 1,395
Interest cost	1,741	1,488	1,295
Expected return on plan assets	(1,912)	(1,605)	(1,252)
Amortization of prior service cost	37	37	37
Amortization of actuarial loss	687	638	684
Net pension cost	\$ 3,409	\$ 2,685	\$ 2,159

Weighted average assumptions:

	2005	2004
To determine benefit obligations at December 31,		
Discount rate	5.50%	5.75%
Rate of average compensation increase	4.18	3.83
To determine net periodic cost for the years ended December 31,		
Discount rate	5.75%	6.00%
Return on plan assets	8.00	8.00
Rate of average compensation increase	4.18	3.83

To develop the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets assumption, we considered the historical returns and the future expectations for returns for each asset class, as well as the target asset allocation of the

Table of Contents

pension portfolio. This resulted in the selection of the 8.00% long-term rate of return on assets assumption listed above. The discount rate used in determining the benefit obligation is selected by reference to the year-end Moody's AA rate.

Plan Assets

Our pension plan asset allocation at December 31, 2005, and 2004, and target allocation for 2006, by asset category are as follows:

Asset Category	Percentage of Plan Assets		Target Allocation 2006
	2005	2004	
Equity securities			
Domestic	50%	51%	50-65%
International	23	23	15-25%
Corporate bonds	16	17	15-25%
Cash equivalents	11	9	0-10%
	100%	100%	

Each mutual fund in which the portfolio invests will be reviewed on a quarterly basis and rebalanced back to the normal weighting if the actual weighting varies by 2% or more from the targeted weighting. The allocation of assets in the portfolio may deviate from target allocation when market conditions warrant. Such deviations are designed primarily to reduce overall investment risk in the long term. In addition, allocations may deviate from target shortly after cash contributions are made to the plan, but prior to the rebalancing of these portfolios.

The portfolio will be managed in a style-neutral manner that seeks to minimize principal fluctuations over the established time horizon and that is consistent with the portfolio's stated objectives. Over the long-term, the investment objectives for this portfolio shall be to achieve an average total annual rate of return that consists of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) plus 6% for the aggregate investments. Returns may vary significantly from this target year to year.

Cash Flows

Included in the cash equivalents are contributions we made of \$2.9 million and \$2.1 million to the plan on December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. These cash contributions were invested in early January of the subsequent year based on our target allocations. Since these cash contributions had not yet been reinvested at December 31, 2005, the percentage of plan assets by category above is skewed. We currently do not expect to make a contribution to the pension plan in 2006.

Outflows from the plan are dependent on a number of factors, principally the retirement date; earnings at retirement; and the draw period for retirees. Our current estimated future benefit payments are as follows (in thousands):

2006	\$ 753
2007	818
2008	963
2009	1,154
2010	1,369
Years 2011-2015	9,668

Note 23 Industry Segment Information

We have four principal segments that provide a broad range of financial services throughout the United States and Canada. The Commercial Banking line of business provides commercial banking services.

Table of Contents

The Commercial Finance line of business leases and loans against commercial equipment and real estate. The Home Equity Lending line of business originates and services home equity loans. The Mortgage Banking line of business originates, sells, and services residential first mortgage loans. Given the change in our strategy and in the materiality of the Venture Capital line of business to the consolidated results, we have discontinued reporting it as a separate segment. Our other segment now primarily includes the parent company, Venture Capital line of business and eliminations.

The accounting policies of each segment are the same as those described in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Below is a summary of each segment's revenues, net income, and assets for 2005, 2004, and 2003:

	Commercial Banking	Commercial Finance	Home Equity Lending	Mortgage Banking	Other	Consolidated
(Dollars in thousands)						
2005						
Net interest income	\$ 95,131	\$ 29,392	\$ 104,645	\$ 46,670	\$ (36,800)	\$ 239,038
Intersegment interest	10,341	(1,920)	(32,166)	(9,449)	33,194	
Other revenue	16,686	7,437	33,667	64,833	(2,137)	120,486
Intersegment revenues	259			430	(689)	
Total net revenues	122,417	34,909	106,146	102,484	(6,432)	359,524
Other expense	75,347	21,453	99,119	126,127	9,509	331,555
Intersegment expenses	1,715	771	3,220	3,424	(9,130)	
Income (loss) before taxes	45,355	12,685	3,807	(27,067)	(6,811)	27,969
Income taxes	17,976	5,252	1,555	(10,891)	(4,910)	8,982
Net income (loss)	\$ 27,379	\$ 7,433	\$ 2,252	\$ (16,176)	\$ (1,901)	\$ 18,987
Assets at December 31,	\$ 3,162,398	\$ 831,657	\$ 1,602,400	\$ 1,306,041	\$ (255,972)	\$ 6,646,524
2004						
Net interest income	\$ 84,318	\$ 21,286	\$ 110,601	\$ 42,120	\$ (20,442)	\$ 237,883
Intersegment interest	1,992		(15,987)	(1,017)	15,012	
Other revenue	17,749	6,275	67,847	197,954	(6,296)	283,529
Intersegment revenues	567			17	(584)	
Total net revenues	104,626	27,561	162,461	239,074	(12,310)	521,412
Other expense	63,656	18,091	111,856	200,693	12,939	407,235

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Intersegment expenses	1,794	691	2,923	3,512	(8,920)	
Income (loss) before taxes	39,176	8,779	47,682	34,869	(16,329)	114,177
Income taxes	15,752	5,562	19,615	14,603	(9,800)	45,732
Net income (loss)	\$ 23,424	\$ 3,217	\$ 28,067	\$ 20,266	\$ (6,529)	\$ 68,445
Assets at December 31,	\$ 2,622,877	\$ 636,604	\$ 992,979	\$ 1,238,136	\$ (254,776)	\$ 5,235,820

Table of Contents

	Commercial Banking	Commercial Finance	Home Equity Lending	Mortgage Banking	Other	Consolidated
(Dollars in thousands)						
2003						
Net interest income	\$ 78,785	\$ 12,180	\$ 84,875	\$ 80,169	\$ (31,707)	\$ 224,302
Intersegment interest	(5,683)	(722)	(7,905)	(8,522)	22,832	
Other revenue	21,071	5,868	(19,525)	326,000	(27,271)	306,143
Intersegment revenues						
Total net revenues	94,173	17,326	57,445	397,647	(36,146)	530,445
Other expense	55,196	14,539	87,771	265,118	(10,581)	412,043
Intersegment expenses	1,503	533	2,767	2,762	(7,565)	
Income (loss) before taxes	37,474	2,254	(33,093)	129,767	(18,000)	118,402
Income taxes	14,997	461	(13,203)	51,667	(8,337)	45,585
Net income (loss)	\$ 22,477	\$ 1,793	\$ (19,890)	\$ 78,100	\$ (9,663)	\$ 72,817
Assets at December 31,	\$ 2,203,965	\$ 474,915	\$ 1,070,634	\$ 1,258,641	\$ (19,796)	\$ 4,988,359

Note 24 Irwin Financial Corporation (Parent Only) Financial Information

The condensed financial statements of the parent company as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the three years ended December 31, 2005 are presented below:

Condensed Balance Sheets

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
(Dollars in thousands)		
Assets:		
Cash and short-term investments	\$ 284	\$ 1,111
Investment in bank subsidiary	679,447	624,168
Investments in non-bank subsidiaries	(33,300)	32,279
Loans to bank subsidiaries	65,640	82,629
Loans to non-bank subsidiaries	72,091	52,813
Other assets	19,778	25,976
	\$ 803,940	\$ 818,976

Liabilities:

Short-term borrowings with non-bank subsidiaries	\$	19,157	\$	28,181
Long-term debt		270,125		270,125
Other liabilities		2,324		19,485
		291,606		317,791

Shareholders' equity:

Common stock		112,000		112,000
Other shareholders' equity		400,334		389,185
		512,334		501,185
	\$	803,940	\$	818,976

Table of Contents**Condensed Statements of Income****For the Year Ended December 31,****2005 2004 2003****(Dollars in thousands)****Income:**

Dividends from non-bank subsidiaries	\$ 1,417	\$	\$ 686
Dividends from bank subsidiary	50,000	66,000	
Interest income	4,218	7,142	4,964
Other	15,615	10,369	7,721
	71,250	83,511	13,371

Expenses:

Interest expense	23,983	24,101	28,917
Salaries and benefits	9,973	9,555	6,491
Other	6,191	6,584	5,056
	40,147	40,240	40,464

Income before income taxes and equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries	31,103	43,271	(27,093)
Income tax benefit, less amounts charged to subsidiaries	(9,900)	(12,686)	(13,081)
	41,003	55,957	(14,012)
Equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries	(22,016)	12,488	86,829
Net income	\$ 18,987	\$ 68,445	\$ 72,817

Table of Contents**Condensed Statements of Cash Flows****For the Year Ended December 31,****2005 2004 2003****(Dollars in thousands)**

Net income	\$ 18,987	\$ 68,445	\$ 72,817
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:			
Equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries	22,016	(12,488)	(86,829)
Depreciation and amortization	2,652	727	2,529
(Decrease) increase in taxes payable	(11,442)	18,316	(13,778)
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable	661	(247)	1,208
(Decrease) increase in interest payable	(176)	(62)	53
Net change in other assets and other liabilities	(8,847)	2,725	(11,277)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	23,851	77,416	(35,277)
Lending and investing activities:			
Net (increase) decrease in loans to subsidiaries	(2,289)	(46,046)	22,518
Investments in subsidiaries	(5,081)	(15,575)	(10,286)
Net (purchases) sales of premises and equipment	15	(189)	(121)
Net cash (used) provided by lending and investing activities	(7,355)	(61,810)	12,111
Financing activities:			
Net (decrease) increase in borrowings	(9,024)	(17,680)	28,252
Proceeds from long-term debt	51,750		51,547
Payments of long-term debt	(51,750)		(51,629)
Purchase of treasury stock	(1,201)	(407)	(4,201)
Proceeds from sale of stock for employee benefit plans	3,277	7,836	9,777
Dividends paid	(11,426)	(9,065)	(7,832)
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(18,374)	(19,316)	25,914
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,878)	(3,710)	2,748
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	1,051	98	228
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,111	4,723	1,747
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 284	\$ 1,111	\$ 4,723
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year:			
Interest	\$ 24,159	\$ 24,039	\$ 27,709
Income tax payments	\$ 14,920	\$ 9,954	\$ 42,971

Non cash transactions:

Conversion of trust preferred to common stock	\$	\$	\$	83
---	----	----	----	----

115

Table of Contents

Note 25 Subsequent Event

In January 2006, we announced that we were considering strategic alternatives for the conventional first mortgage business, including the potential sale of the mortgage banking line of business. We believe that our mortgage banking line of business, particularly our servicing activities, have grown to a size where we believe they can be managed and grown more effectively within another organization. We are actively searching for an alternative home for the segment and its employees.

On February 3, 2006, we issued a call notice on securities underlying IFC Capital Trust III, from which \$51.7 million of 8.75 percent convertible trust preferred securities were issued and remain outstanding. The redemption date is March 6, 2006. In lieu of redemption for cash, the trust preferred securities are convertible at the option of the holder into common stock at any time prior to the redemption date at a ratio of 1.2610 common shares per share of convertible trust preferred, which equates to a common stock conversion price of \$19.825 per share.

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

Not applicable.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2005, we performed an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)). Based on that evaluation, our management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2005.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As of December 31, 2005, management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has determined that internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 was effective.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that also audited our financial statements, as stated to in their report which is provided in Item 8 of this Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Except as noted below, there were no changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2005 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

However, in November and December of 2005 the Corporation took corrective actions to remediate the material weakness identified in our 2004 10-K/ A. The Corporation designed, documented and tested additional controls over the selection and application of generally accepted accounting principles relative to incentive servicing fees received from whole loan sales to third parties. As a result of these actions, management of the Corporation believes this material weakness has been satisfactorily remediated.

Item 9B. *Other Information*

On November 18, 2005, we amended the operating agreement of Irwin Ventures, LLC, the captive fund through which we make venture investments. The fund agreement compensates the fund managers, including our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, William I. Miller, and Executive Vice President Thomas D. Washburn, with a carried interest that is generally equal to 20% of the net profits earned by the fund from portfolio investments. By reason of the amendment, the carried interest will not apply to new investments made after January 1, 2005. It will continue to apply to investments made before January 1, 2005 and to follow-on investments in those portfolio companies.

We also amended the related co-investment vehicle through which certain executives of the Corporation could elect to defer a portion of their annual bonus and have the deferred portion invested in the venture fund. For 2005 and after, the opportunity to invest bonus dollars in the venture fund will no longer be available to our executives. Executives who previously participated in this program will not have any interest in new investments made by the venture fund after January 1, 2005.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. *Directors and Executive Officers of the Corporation*

The information contained in our proxy statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the headings Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Corporate Governance (including subsections), Director Meetings Standing Committees and Committee Membership: Audit and Risk Management Committee, and Report of the Audit and Risk Management Committee is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item. See also the heading Executive Officers section in Part I, Item 1, Business of this Report on Form 10-K.

The following documents are posted on the Investor Relations (Corporate Governance) section of our website at www.irwinfinancial.com:

Our Code of Conduct (our code of business conduct and ethics), which is applicable to our directors, officers, and employees, including our Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer), our Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) and our Controller (principal accounting officer). Our Code of Conduct is attached as Exhibit 14.1 to this Report on Form 10-K. Amendments to or waivers for executive officers or directors from our Code of Conduct will be posted on our website.

Our Audit and Risk Management Committee Charter, which is Appendix A to our Proxy Statement.

Our Compensation Committee Charter.

Our Governance (nominating) Committee Charter.

The Code of Conduct and the above-mentioned charters, together with our Corporate Governance Principles (corporate governance guidelines), are available in print to any shareholder who makes a request in writing to: Sue Elliott, Finance Department, Irwin Financial Corporation, 500 Washington Street, Columbus, IN 47201.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

The information contained in our proxy statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the headings Compensation Outside Director Compensation, and Executive Compensation and Related Information (including subsections) is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

The information contained in our proxy statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the headings Principal Holders of Irwin Financial Securities, Securities Ownership of Directors and Management, Equity Compensation Plan Information, and Equity Compensation Plans Adopted without Approval of Security Holders, is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions*

The information contained in our proxy statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the heading Interest of Management in Certain Transactions (including subsections) is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

Item 14. *Principal Accountants Fees and Services.*

The information contained in our proxy statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the heading Independent Public Accountants (including subsections) is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Documents filed as part of this report.

1. Financial Statements

Management Report on Responsibility for Financial Reporting

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Irwin Financial Corporation and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets for the years ended 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended 2005, 2004 and 2003

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended 2005, 2004, and 2003

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended 2005, 2004, and 2003

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Financial Statement Schedules

None

3. Exhibits to Form 10-K

**Exhibit
Number**

Description of Exhibit

- | | |
|------|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation of Irwin Financial Corporation, as amended April 7, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.) |
| 3.2 | Code of By-laws of Irwin Financial Corporation, as amended, May 4, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, File No. 001-16691.) |
| 4.1 | Specimen Common Stock Certificate (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form 10-K report for year ended December 31, 1994, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 4.2 | Certain instruments defining the rights of the holders of long-term debt of Irwin Financial Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries, none of which authorize a total amount of indebtedness in excess of 10% of the total assets of the Corporation and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, have not been filed as Exhibits. The Corporation hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any of these agreements to the Commission upon request. |
| 4.3 | Rights Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2001, between Irwin Financial Corporation and Irwin Union Bank and Trust. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-A filed March 2, 2001, File No. 000-06835.) |
| 4.4 | Appointment of Successor Rights Agent dated as of May 11, 2001 between Irwin Financial Corporation and National City Bank. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form S-8 filed on September 7, 2001, File No. 333-69156.) |
| 10.1 | *Irwin Financial Corporation 1992 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(h) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1992, File No. 000-06835.) |
| 10.2 | *Irwin Financial Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 1994, File No. 000-06835.) |
| 10.3 | *Amendment to Irwin Financial Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i) to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 1997, File |

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
10.4	*Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated 2001 Stock Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.5	*Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Stock Option Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Corporation's 8-K Current Report, dated May 9, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.6	*Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Corporation's 8-K Current Report, dated May 9, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.7	*Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated 2001 Stock Plan revised August 24, 2005 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Corporation's 10-Q Report for period ended September 30, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.8	*Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Stock Option Agreement (Canada) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Corporation's 10-Q Report for period ended September 30, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.9	*Irwin Financial Corporation 1999 Outside Director Restricted Stock Compensation Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.10	*Employee Stock Purchase Plan III. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 1999, File No. 000-06835.)
10.11	*Long-Term Management Performance Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1986, File No. 000-06835.)
10.12	*Long-Term Incentive Plan-Summary of Terms. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1986, File No. 000-06835.)
10.13	*Inland Mortgage Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(j) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1995, File No. 000-06835.)
10.14	*Amended and Restated Management Bonus Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1986, File No. 000-06835.)
10.15	*Limited Liability Company Agreement of Irwin Ventures LLC. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q/A Report for period ended March 31, 2001, File No. 000-06835.)
10.16	*Limited Liability Company Agreement of Irwin Ventures Co-Investment Fund LLC, effective as of April 20, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Form S-1/A filed February 14, 2002, File No. 333-69586.)
10.17	*Promissory Note dated January 30, 2002 from Elena Delgado to Irwin Financial Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Form S-1/A filed February 14, 2002, File No. 333-69586.)
10.18	*Consumer Pledge Agreement dated January 30, 2002 between Elena Delgado and Irwin Financial Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Form S-1/A filed February 14, 2002, File No. 333-69586.)
10.19	*Redemption and Loan Repayment Agreement dated December 22, 2004 between Irwin Financial Corporation, Irwin Home Equity Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.20	

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

- *Irwin Home Equity Corporation Amendment and Restatement of Shareholder Agreement dated December 22, 2004 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
- 10.21 *Deferred Compensation Agreement dated December 22, 2004 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
10.22	*Tax Gross-up Agreement dated December 22, 2004 between Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado as Shareholder. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.23	*Amendment No. 1 to Irwin Home Equity Corporation Amendment and Restatement of Shareholder Agreement dated April 7, 2005 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.24	*Amendment No. 1 to the Deferred Compensation Agreement dated April 7, 2005 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.25	*Amendment No. 2 to the Deferred Compensation Agreement dated November 15, 2005 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of Form 8-K Current Report dated November 18, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.26	*Election to Terminate the Deferred Compensation Agreement dated November 15, 2005 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of Form 8-K Current Report dated November 18, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.27	*Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan effective January 1, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.28	*Irwin Commercial Finance Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 of the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.29	*Irwin Home Equity Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 5 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.30	*Irwin Mortgage Corporation Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan effective January 1, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 6 of the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.31	*Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan effective January 1, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 7 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.32	*Irwin Capital Holdings Short Term Incentive Plan effective January 1, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended March 31, 2002, File No. 000-06835.)
10.33	*Onset Capital Corporation Employment Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 2002, File No. 000-06835.)
10.34	*Irwin Financial Corporation Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for Named Executives. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 2002, File No. 000-06835.)

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.35 *Irwin Financial Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for Named Executives. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 2002, File No. 000-06835.)
- 10.36 *Stock Purchase Agreement by and between Onset Holdings Inc. and Irwin International Corporation dated December 23, 2005.
- 10.37 *Shareholder Agreement Termination Agreement by and between Irwin Commercial Finance Canada Corporation and Irwin International Corporation dated December 23, 2005.
- 10.38 *Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation Shareholder Agreement dated December 23, 2005.

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
10.39	*Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation 2005 Stock Option Agreement Grant of Option to Joseph LaLeggia dated December 23, 2005.
10.40	*Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation 2005 Notice of Stock Option Grant to Joseph LaLeggia dated December 23, 2005.
10.41	*Irwin Union Bank Amended and Restated Performance Unit Plan
10.42	*Irwin Commercial Finance Amended and Restated Performance Unit Plan
10.43	*Irwin Home Equity Corporation Performance Unit Plan
10.44	*First Amendment to Limited Liability Company Agreement of Irwin Ventures LLC
10.45	*Second Amendment to Limited Liability Company Agreement of Irwin Ventures Co-Investment Fund LLC
11.1	Computation of Earnings Per Share.
12.1	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
14.1	Code of Conduct.
21.1	Subsidiaries of Irwin Financial Corporation.
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Corporation has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the Undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Date: March 3, 2006

By: /s/ William I. Miller

William I. Miller

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report on Form 10-K has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Corporation and in the capacities on the dates indicated.

Signature	Capacity with Corporation	Date
<u>/s/ Sally A. Dean</u>	Director	March 3, 2006
Sally A. Dean		
<u>/s/ David W. Goodrich</u>	Director	March 3, 2006
David W. Goodrich		
<u>/s/ R. David Hoover</u>	Director	March 3, 2006
R. David Hoover		
<u>/s/ William H. Kling</u>	Director	March 3, 2006
William H. Kling		
<u>/s/ Brenda J. Lauderback</u>	Director	March 3, 2006
Brenda J. Lauderback		
<u>/s/ John C. McGinty, Jr</u>	Director	March 3, 2006
John C. McGinty, Jr		
<u>/s/ William I. Miller</u>	Director, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (<i>principal executive officer</i>)	March 3, 2006
William I. Miller		
<u>/s/ Lance R. Odden</u>	Director	March 3, 2006
Lance R. Odden		

<u>/s/ Theodore M. Solso</u>	Director	March 3, 2006
Theodore M. Solso		
<u>/s/ Marita Zuraitis</u>	Director	March 3, 2006
Marita Zuraitis		
<u>/s/ Gregory F. Ehlinger</u>	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	March 3, 2006
Gregory F. Ehlinger	<i>(principal financial officer)</i>	
<u>/s/ Jody A. Littrell</u>	Vice President and Controller	March 3, 2006
Jody A. Littrell	<i>(principal accounting officer)</i>	