

AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC

Form 10-Q

January 23, 2007

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended **September 30, 2006**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

**Commission file number 001-12665**  
**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC.**  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

51-0310342

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2828 North Haskell, Dallas, Texas

75204

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (214) 841-6111

**Not Applicable**

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

<b>Title of each class</b>	<b>Number of shares outstanding as of January 12, 2007</b>
Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value	92,314,491
Class B Common Stock, \$.01 par value	6,599,372



**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
INDEX**

t

	<b>PAGE NUMBER</b>
<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements:</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2006</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u>	3
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	30
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	56
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	57
<b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	58
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	58
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	58
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	58
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	60
<u>Certification of CEO Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)</u>	
<u>Certification of CFO Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)</u>	
<u>Certification of CEO Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b)</u>	
<u>Certification of CFO Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b)</u>	

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**  
**ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(in thousands)**

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>June 30, 2006</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 142,240	\$ 100,837
Accounts receivable, net	1,242,662	1,231,846
Income taxes receivable		8,090
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	198,159	188,490
Total current assets	1,583,061	1,529,263
Property, equipment and software, net	921,436	870,020
Goodwill	2,477,060	2,456,654
Other intangibles, net	487,031	475,701
Other assets	181,596	170,799
Total assets	\$ 5,650,184	\$ 5,502,437
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 90,716	\$ 104,473
Accrued compensation and benefits	142,536	172,853
Other accrued liabilities	395,678	354,632
Income taxes payable	14,947	
Deferred taxes	15,690	18,047
Current portion of long-term debt	35,207	23,074
Current portion of unearned revenue	162,609	152,026
Total current liabilities	857,383	825,105
Senior Notes, net of unamortized discount	499,388	499,368
Other long-term debt	1,856,625	1,114,664
Deferred taxes	349,156	331,433
Other long-term liabilities	281,082	275,649
Total liabilities	3,843,634	3,046,219

Edgar Filing: AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Commitments and contingencies (See Notes 2, 7, 13 and 16)

Stockholders' equity:

Class A common stock, \$.01 par value, 500,000 shares authorized, 113,316 and 129,848 shares issued, respectively	1,133	1,299
Class B convertible common stock, \$.01 par value, 14,000 shares authorized, 6,600 shares issued and outstanding	66	66
Additional paid-in capital	1,598,385	1,799,778
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(7,473)	(10,943)
Retained earnings	1,270,407	1,836,850
Treasury stock at cost, 21,002 and 23,289 shares, respectively	(1,055,968)	(1,170,832)
Total stockholders' equity	1,806,550	2,456,218
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 5,650,184	\$ 5,502,437

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
		<b>(as restated)</b>
Revenues	\$ 1,385,438	\$ 1,310,917
Operating expenses:		
Cost of revenues:		
Wages and benefits	666,616	628,685
Services and supplies	291,362	290,772
Rent, lease and maintenance	179,056	155,172
Depreciation and amortization	81,638	68,080
Other	10,614	4,247
Total cost of revenues	1,229,286	1,146,956
Other operating expenses	15,294	9,764
Total operating expenses	1,244,580	1,156,720
Operating income	140,858	154,197
Interest expense	46,013	12,739
Other non-operating income, net	(2,618)	(4,381)
Pretax profit	97,463	145,839
Income tax expense	36,080	52,464
Net income	\$ 61,383	\$ 93,375
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.74
Diluted	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.73

Edgar Filing: AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Shares used in computing earnings per share:

Basic	103,452	125,429
Diluted	104,761	127,286

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

2

---



**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**  
**(in thousands)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>(as restated)</b>
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 61,383	\$ 93,375
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	81,638	68,080
Stock-based compensation expense	7,744	9,307
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation	(31)	(5,478)
Provision for uncollectible accounts receivable	(52)	3,250
Deferred income tax expense	5,838	15,750
Impairment charges	1,231	
Other non-cash activities	3,807	1,746
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	(7,202)	(73,805)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(9,442)	(8,767)
Other assets	(2,324)	(9,328)
Accounts payable	(13,762)	9,038
Accrued compensation and benefits	(33,288)	(18,643)
Other accrued liabilities	35,607	(20,319)
Income taxes payable	26,142	32,577
Other long-term liabilities	(438)	(384)
Unearned revenue	16,331	12,931
Total adjustments	111,799	15,955
Net cash provided by operating activities	173,182	109,330
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property, equipment and software, net	(101,498)	(94,777)
Additions to other intangible assets	(9,089)	(6,906)
Payments for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(33,988)	(42,644)
Intangible assets acquired in subcontract termination		(16,530)
Purchases of investments	(6,360)	(4,515)
Net cash used in investing activities	(150,935)	(165,372)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt, net	1,356,956	383,461

Edgar Filing: AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Repayments of long-term debt	(615,088)	(377,708)
Purchase of treasury shares	(730,689)	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements	31	5,478
Proceeds from stock options exercised	5,023	14,739
Proceeds from issuance of treasury shares	2,923	1,613
Net cash provided by financing activities	19,156	27,583
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	41,403	(28,459)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	100,837	62,685
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 142,240	\$ 34,226

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

We are a Fortune 500 and S&P 500 company with approximately 58,000 employees providing business process and information technology services to commercial and government clients. We were incorporated in Delaware on June 8, 1988 and are based in Dallas, Texas. Our clients have time-critical, transaction-intensive business and information processing needs, and we typically service these needs through long-term contracts. The consolidated financial statements are comprised of our accounts and our controlled subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The financial information presented should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2006. The foregoing unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the results of the interim periods. The results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year.

We present cost of revenues in our Consolidated Statements of Income based on the nature of the costs incurred. Substantially all these costs are incurred in the provision of services to our customers. The selling, general and administrative costs included in cost of revenues are not material and are not separately presented in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Significant accounting policies are detailed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2006. For a discussion of our critical accounting policies, please refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations herein.

Financial results for the first quarter of the fiscal year 2006 have been restated as a result of the review of our stock option grant practices and other tax matters discussed in Note 2. Please see Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2006 for further discussion of this restatement.

**2. REVIEW OF STOCK OPTION GRANT PRACTICES**

On March 3, 2006 we received notice from the Securities and Exchange Commission that it is conducting an informal investigation into certain stock option grants made by us from October 1998 through March 2005. On June 7, 2006 and on June 16, 2006 we received requests from the SEC for information on all of our stock option grants since 1994. We have responded to the SEC's requests for information and are cooperating in the informal investigation.

On May 17, 2006, we received a grand jury subpoena from the United States District Court, Southern District of New York requesting production of documents related to granting of our stock option grants. We have responded to the grand jury subpoena and have provided documents to the United States Attorney's Office in connection with the grand jury proceeding. We have informed the Securities and Exchange Commission and the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York of the results of our internal investigation into our stock option grant practices and will continue to cooperate with these governmental entities and their investigations.

We initiated an internal investigation of our stock option grant practices in response to the pending informal investigation by the Securities and Exchange Commission and a subpoena from a grand jury in the Southern District of New York. The investigation reviewed our historical stock option grant practices during the period from 1994 through 2005, including all 73 stock option grants made by us during this period, and the related disclosure in our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, filed May 15, 2006 (the May 2006 Form 10-Q).

The investigation was overseen by a special committee of the Board of Directors which consisted of all the independent members of the Board. The special committee retained Bracewell & Giuliani LLP as independent counsel to conduct the internal investigation. In November 2006 the results of the investigation were reported to the special committee, at which time the committee submitted recommendations for action to the Board. These recommendations are now being implemented by the Board substantially as submitted by the special committee.

During the course of the investigation, more than 2 million pages of electronic and hardcopy documents and emails were reviewed. In addition, approximately 40 interviews of current and former officers, directors, employees and other individuals were conducted. The independent directors, in their role as special committee members and as

independent directors prior to formation of the committee, met extensively since the SEC informal investigation commenced to consider the matters related to the stock option grant practices. The investigation was necessarily limited in that the investigation team did not have access to certain witnesses with relevant

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

information (including former Chief Executive Officer, Jeffrey A. Rich) and due to the lack of metadata for certain electronic documentation prior to 2000.

The following background pertaining to our historical stock option grant practices was confirmed through the investigation. Option grants were typically initiated by our senior management or Darwin Deason, Chairman of the Board (and chairman of the compensation committee from 1994 through August 2003), on a prospective basis at times when they believed it was appropriate to consider option grants and the price of our common stock was relatively low based on an analysis of, among other things, price-earnings multiples. With respect to each grant of options to senior executives, the Chairman gave a broad authorization to the CEO which included approval of option recipients and the number of stock options to be awarded to each recipient. In the case of non-senior management grants, the Chairman gave his general authorization for the awarding of options and the CEO would subsequently obtain his approval of option recipients and the number of stock options to be awarded. With respect to both senior executive and non-senior management grants, after the Chairman's broad authorization, Jeffrey A. Rich, Mark A. King and/or Warren D. Edwards then selected the date to be recorded as the grant date as they, assisted by employees who reported to them, prepared the paperwork that documented the grant recommendations to be considered by the applicable compensation committee. Thus, between 1994 and 2005, grant dates and related exercise prices were generally selected by Mr. Rich, Mr. King, and/or Mr. Edwards. Mr. Rich served as CFO during the period prior to 1994 and until May 1995, President and Chief Operating Officer from May 1995 until February 1999, President and Chief Executive Officer from February 1999 until August 2002, and Chief Executive Officer from August 2002 until his resignation September 29, 2005. Mr. King served as CFO from May 1995 through March 2001, COO from March 2001 through August 2002, President and COO from August 2002 through September 2005, and President and CEO from September 2005 through November 26, 2006. Mr. Edwards served as CFO from March 2001 through November 26, 2006.

As described in our May 2006 Form 10-Q, our regular and special compensation committees used unanimous written consents signed by all members of the committee ratifying their prior verbal approvals of option grants to senior executives or options granted in connection with significant acquisitions. In connection with option grants to senior executives, the historical practice was for the Chairman, on or about the day he gave senior management his broad authorization to proceed with preparing paperwork for option grants, to call each of the compensation committee members to discuss and obtain approval for the grants. In cases where grants were awarded to senior executives and in large blocks to non-senior management the Chairman and members of the compensation committee discussed grants to senior executives specifically and, on certain occasions, acknowledged generally that a block of grants would be awarded to non-senior management as well. For grants to non-senior management which were not combined with senior executive grants, the Chairman and the committee members generally did not discuss the grants at the time the Chairman gave his broad authorization to senior management to proceed with preparing paperwork for option grants, but unanimous written consents were subsequently signed by the committee members in order to document the effective date of the grants.

The investigation concluded that in a significant number of cases Mr. Rich, Mr. King and/or Mr. Edwards used hindsight to select favorable grant dates during the limited time periods after Mr. Deason had given the officers his authorization to proceed to prepare the paperwork for the option grants and before formal grant documentation was submitted to the applicable compensation committee. No evidence was found to suggest that grant dates which preceded Mr. Deason's broad authorization were ever selected. In a number of instances, our stock price was trending downward at the time Mr. Deason's authorization was given, but started to rise as the grant recommendation memoranda were being finalized. The investigation found that in those instances Mr. Rich, Mr. King and/or Mr. Edwards often looked back in time and selected as the grant date a date on which the price was at a low, notwithstanding that the date had already passed and the stock price on the date of the actual selection was higher. Recommendation memoranda attendant to these grants were intentionally misdated at the direction of Mr. Rich, Mr. King and/or Mr. Edwards to make it appear as if the memoranda had been created at or about the time of the chosen grant date, when in fact, they had been created afterwards. As a result, stock options were awarded at prices

that were at, or near, the quarterly low and we effectively granted in the money options without recording the appropriate compensation expense.

The evidence gathered in the investigation disclosed that aside from Mr. Rich, Mr. King and Mr. Edwards, one other of our current management employees, who is not an executive officer or director, was aware of the intentional misdating of documents. Based on the evidence reviewed, no other current executives, directors or management employees were aware of either the improper use of hindsight in selecting grant dates or the intentional misdating of documents. It was also determined that these improper practices were generally followed with respect to option grants made to both senior executives and other employees. No evidence was found to suggest that the practices were selectively employed to favor executive officers over other employees.

Further, with respect to our May 2006 Form 10-Q, the investigation concluded that Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements which stated, in part, that we did not believe that any director or officer of the Company has engaged in the intentional backdating of stock option grants in order to achieve a more advantageous exercise price, was inaccurate because, at the time the May 2006 Form 10-Q was filed, Mr. King and Mr. Edwards either knew or should have known that we awarded options through a process in which

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

favorable grant dates were selected with the benefit of hindsight in order to achieve a more advantageous exercise price and that the term backdating was readily applicable to our option grant process. Neither Mr. King nor Mr. Edwards told our directors, outside counsel or independent accountants that our stock options were often granted by looking back and taking advantage of past low prices. Instead, both Mr. King and Mr. Edwards attributed the disparity between recorded grant dates and the creation dates of the paperwork attendant to the stock option grants to other factors that did not involve the use of hindsight.

The investigation concluded that the conduct of Mr. King and Mr. Edwards with regard to the misdating of recommendation memoranda as well as their conduct with regard to the May 2006 Form 10-Q violated our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers. As a result the special committee recommended that Mr. King and Mr. Edwards should resign. Effective November 26, 2006 each of Mr. King and Mr. Edwards resigned from all executive management positions with us. See Note 14. Departure of Executive Officers for a discussion of the terms of their separation.

The Board of Directors appointed Lynn Blodgett, who had been serving as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer and as a director since September 2005, as President and Chief Executive Officer, and John Rexford, who had been serving as Executive Vice President Corporate Development since March 2001, as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and as a director, in each case effective on November 26, 2006. Mr. Blodgett and Mr. Rexford each have served in various executive capacities with us for over ten years. In addition to the resignations of Mr. King and Mr. Edwards and the approval of the terms of their separation, the Board of Directors announced the following actions and decisions, some of which have already been implemented, as the result of the findings of our stock option investigation:

The stock options held by our employees (other than Messrs. King and Edwards and one management employee) will be adjusted as necessary, with the optionee's consent, to avoid adverse tax consequences to the employee, and we will compensate such employees for any increase in exercise price resulting from the matters which were the subject of the internal investigation.

Our non-employee directors, to avoid the appearance of inappropriate gain, voluntarily agreed that with respect to any historical option grants to them which require incremental compensation expense as a result of revised measurement dates, the exercise price will be increased to equal the fair market value of the stock on the revised measurement date, regardless of whether such increase is necessary to avoid adverse tax consequences to the director. The non-employee directors will not be reimbursed to offset any individual loss of economic benefit related to such repriced stock options.

Another employee (not an officer as defined in Rule 16a-1(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) will be reassigned and all of such employee's stock options will be repriced so that the exercise price equals the fair market value of our stock on the proper measurement date.

We will consider whether to recover certain profits from Jeffrey A. Rich, former Chief Executive Officer, which relate to stock options awarded to Mr. Rich which the internal investigation concluded were awarded through a process in which favorable grant dates were selected after the fact.

We implemented, or are in the process of implementing, a number of changes to our internal controls, including:

- o After reviewing the results of the investigation to date, our Board of Directors determined that it would be appropriate to accept the resignations of Mr. King and Mr. Edwards. Our Board of Directors has since appointed a new Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

Edgar Filing: AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

- o Designating internal legal and accounting staffs to oversee the documentation and accounting of all grants of stock options or restricted stock.
- o Monitoring industry and regulatory developments in stock option and restricted stock awards and implementing and maintaining best practices with respect to grants of stock options or restricted stock.
- o Adhering to the practice of making annual grants on a date certain and through board or committee meetings, and not through a unanimous written consent process. This change has already been implemented.

We have concluded that there were accounting errors with respect to a number of stock option grants. In general, these stock options were originally granted with an exercise price equal to the NYSE or NASDAQ closing market price for our common stock on the date



**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

set forth on unanimous written consents signed by one or more members of the appropriate Compensation Committees. We originally used the stated date of these consents as the measurement date for the purpose of accounting for them under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ( GAAP ), and as a result recorded no compensation expense in connection with the grants.

We have concluded that a number of unanimous written consents were not fully executed or effective on the date set forth on the consents and that using the date stated thereon as the measurement date was incorrect. We have determined a revised measurement date for each stock option grant based on the information now available to us. The revised measurement date reflects the date for which there is objective evidence that the required granting actions necessary to approve the grants, in accordance with our corporate governance procedures, were completed. The accounting guidelines we used in determining the correct accounting measurement date for our option grants require clear evidence of final corporate granting action approving the option grants. Therefore, while the internal investigation did not conclude that option grant dates with respect to certain grants had been selected with hindsight, we nevertheless concluded in many cases that the accounting measurement dates for these grants should be adjusted because the final corporate granting action occurred after the original grant date reflected in our unanimous written consents. In cases where the closing market price on the revised measurement date exceeded the NYSE or NASDAQ closing market price on the original measurement date, we have recognized compensation expense equal to this excess over the vesting term of each option, in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, ( APB 25 ) for periods ending on or before June 30, 2005. Additionally, beginning July 1, 2005, we have recognized compensation expense in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ( SFAS 123(R) ) based on the fair value of stock options granted, using the revised measurement dates.

Subsequent to the delivery of the results of the investigation, we, with the approval of our Audit Committee, have determined that the cumulative non-cash stock-based compensation expense adjustment was material and that our consolidated financial statements for each of the first three quarters of fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, each of the quarters in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 and each of the fiscal years ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, as well as the selected consolidated financial data for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 should be restated to record additional stock-based compensation expense resulting from stock options granted during 1994 to 2005 that were incorrectly accounted for under GAAP, and related income tax effects. Related income tax effects include deferred income tax benefits on the compensation expense, and additional income tax liabilities and estimated penalties and interest related to the application of Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) and related Treasury Regulations ( Section 162(m) ) to stock-based executive compensation previously deducted, that is now no longer deductible as a result of revised measurement dates of certain stock option grants. We have also included in our restatements additional income tax liabilities and estimated penalties and interest, with adjustments to additional paid-in capital and income tax expense, related to certain cash and stock-based executive compensation deductions previously taken under Section 162(m), which we believe may now be non-deductible as a result of information that has been obtained by us in connection with our internal investigation, due to factors unrelated to revised measurement dates. Our decision to restate our financial statements was based on the facts obtained by management and the special committee.

We have determined that the cumulative, pre-tax, non-cash stock-based compensation expense resulting from revised measurement dates was approximately \$51.2 million during the period from our initial public offering in 1994 through June 30, 2006. The corrections relate to options covering approximately 19.4 million shares. We recorded additional stock-based compensation expense of \$2.1 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 and \$6.1 million and \$7.5 million for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$35.5 million for fiscal years ending prior to fiscal 2004. Previously reported total revenues were not impacted by our restatement. The table below reflects the cumulative effect on our stockholders' equity during the period from our initial public offering in 1994 through June 30, 2006 (in thousands):



**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

Decrease in cumulative net income and retained earnings:		
Stock-based compensation expense	\$ (51,207)	
Estimated tax related penalties and interest on underpayment deficiencies resulting from disallowed Section 162(m) executive compensation deductions	(11,562)	
Decrease in pretax profit	(62,769)	
Income tax benefit, net	12,918	
Decrease in cumulative net income and retained earnings		\$ (49,851)
Increase to additional paid-in capital:		
Stock-based compensation expense	51,207	
Reduction of excess tax benefits for stock options exercised, due to revised measurement dates (1)	(10,210)	
Reduction of excess tax benefits for certain stock options exercised related to disallowed Section 162(m) executive compensation deductions, due to revised measurement dates (2)	(13,372)	
Reduction of excess tax benefits for certain stock options exercised related to disallowed executive compensation deductions previously believed to qualify for Section 162(m) exceptions, due to factors unrelated to revised measurement dates (3)	(10,505)	
Increase in additional paid-in capital		17,120
Decrease in stockholders' equity at June 30, 2006		\$ (32,731)

(1) We recorded cumulative deferred income tax benefits of \$15.3 million for the income tax effect related to the stock-based compensation expense adjustments arising from revised measurement dates, of which \$10.2 million has been

realized through  
June 30, 2006  
upon stock  
option exercises  
and has been  
reflected as a  
reduction of  
excess tax  
benefits  
previously  
recorded in  
additional  
paid-in capital.

- (2) Excess tax  
benefits for  
certain  
stock-based  
executive  
compensation  
deductions from  
stock option  
exercises  
previously  
recorded in  
additional  
paid-in capital  
are now  
disallowed  
under Section  
162(m) due to  
revised  
measurement  
dates of certain  
stock option  
grants. See  
Other Tax  
Matters below  
in this  
discussion of  
Review of Stock  
Option Grant  
Practices.

- (3) Excess tax  
benefits for  
certain  
stock-based  
executive  
compensation  
deductions from

stock option  
exercises  
previously  
recorded in  
additional  
paid-in capital  
may now be  
non-deductible  
under Section  
162(m) as a  
result of  
information  
obtained by us  
in connection  
with our internal  
investigation,  
due to factors  
unrelated to  
revised  
measurement  
dates. See Other  
Tax Matters  
below in this  
discussion of  
Review of Stock  
Option Grant  
Practices.

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

The table below reflects the breakdown by year of the cumulative adjustment to retained earnings. Our consolidated financial statements included in previously filed periodic reports with the SEC for such periods have not been amended. The consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended June 30, 2006 have been restated. (in thousands)

Years ended June 30,	Stock-based compensation expense	Estimated interest and penalties (1)	Income tax benefit, net	Total adjustments
1995	\$ (63)	\$	\$ 23	\$ (40)
1996	(444)		130	(314)
1997	(1,404)		301	(1,103)
1998	(1,876)		405	(1,471)
1999	(3,325)		717	(2,608)
2000	(4,870)	(87)	511	(4,446)
2001	(6,433)	(546)	1,074	(5,905)
2002	(7,833)	(1,414)	1,636	(7,611)
2003	(9,237)	(1,454)	2,119	(8,572)
Cumulative effect at June 30, 2003	(35,485)	(3,501)	6,916	(32,070)

Years ended June 30,	Net income as reported				Net income as restated	
2004	\$ 529,843	(7,527)	(2,509)	1,921	(8,115)	\$ 521,728
2005	415,945	(6,061)	(2,526)	2,211	(6,376)	409,569
2006		(2,134)	(3,026)	1,870	(3,290)	
Cumulative effect at June 30, 2006		\$ (51,207)	\$ (11,562)	\$ 12,918	\$ (49,851)	

(1) Estimated interest and penalties on income tax underpayment

deficiencies  
resulting from  
disallowed  
executive  
compensation  
deductions  
under  
Section 162(m).

Please see our Consolidated Statement of Income and Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows below for the impact on our September 30, 2005 financial information.

In connection with the restatement of our consolidated financial statements discussed above, we assessed the impact of the findings of our internal investigation into our historical stock option grant practices and other tax matters on our reported income tax benefits and deductions, including income tax deductions previously taken for cash and stock-based executive compensation under the provisions of Section 162(m). In connection with that assessment, we determined that adjustments were required to our (i) income tax expense previously reported in our Consolidated Statements of Income; (ii) the tax benefits on stock option exercises previously reported in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and (iii) the deferred tax assets previously reported in our Consolidated Balance Sheets, in order to give effect to the impact of the investigation findings and those of our assessments.

In our Consolidated Statements of Income, we recorded deferred income tax benefits of \$0.8 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.7 million for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$9.6 million for periods prior to fiscal year 2004 related to the stock-based compensation adjustments arising from revised measurement dates. Of these cumulative deferred income tax benefits of \$15.3 million, \$10.2 million has been realized through June 30, 2006 upon stock option exercises and has been reflected as a reduction of excess tax benefits previously recorded in additional paid-in capital. At June 30, 2006 and 2005, we recorded adjustments in our Consolidated Balance Sheets of \$5.1 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, to recognize deferred income tax assets on stock-based compensation relating to unexercised stock options remaining at those dates.

We also recorded current income tax benefits of \$1.1

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

million, \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$0.1 million for periods prior to fiscal year 2004 related to the income tax benefit of the estimated deductible interest expense on income tax underpayment deficiencies related to disallowed cash and stock-based executive compensation deductions previously taken under Section 162(m) as discussed in Other tax matters below. These income tax benefits are reduced by current income tax expense of \$0 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million for the fiscal years June 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$2.8 million for periods prior to fiscal year 2004 related to disallowed cash based executive incentive compensation deductions that were previously believed to qualify as a deduction under Section 162(m). The sum of these current and deferred income tax adjustments are reflected as income tax benefit, net, in the above tables.

The components of income tax benefit, net, are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Deferred income tax benefit on stock-based compensation</b>	<b>Current income tax benefit on deductible interest</b>	<b>Current income tax expense on disallowed deductions under Section 162(m)</b>	<b>Income tax benefit, net</b>
Years ended June 30,				
1995	\$ 23	\$	\$	\$ 23
1996	130			130
1997	301			301
1998	405			405
1999	717			717
2000	945		(434)	511
2001	1,598		(524)	1,074
2002	2,287		(651)	1,636
2003	3,246	70	(1,197)	2,119
Cumulative effect at June 30,				
2003	9,652	70	(2,806)	6,916
Years ended June 30,				
2004	2,702	387	(1,168)	1,921
2005	2,194	576	(559)	2,211
2006	774	1,096		1,870



Cumulative effect at June 30, 2006	\$	15,322	\$	2,129	\$	(4,533)	\$	12,918
---------------------------------------	----	--------	----	-------	----	---------	----	--------

*Other tax matters*

The revision of measurement dates for certain stock option grants in connection with our internal investigation required us to assess our previous performance-based cash and stock-based executive compensation income tax deductions previously claimed under Section 162(m) during the applicable periods. As a result of those assessments, we have determined that certain previously claimed stock-based executive compensation deductions under Section 162(m) upon stock option exercise are no longer deductible as a result of revised in-the-money measurement dates. Accordingly, our restatements include adjustments to record additional income taxes payable in the amount of \$13.4 million with a corresponding reduction of excess tax benefits previously recorded in additional paid-in capital. Our restatements also include adjustments to record additional income taxes payable in the amount of approximately \$15 million with a corresponding reduction of excess tax benefits previously recorded in additional paid-in capital of \$10.5 million and an increase in current income tax expense of \$4.5 million, related to certain cash and stock-based executive compensation deductions previously taken under Section 162(m), which we believe may now be non-deductible as a result of information that has been obtained by us in connection with our internal investigation, due to factors unrelated to revised measurement dates. We have also recorded estimated penalties and interest in the amount of \$3 million, \$2.5 million and \$2.5 million for the years ended June 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$3.5 million for periods prior to fiscal year 2004 for these estimated income tax payment deficiencies.

At September 30, 2006, we have recorded approximately \$38.5 million of additional income taxes payable, including estimated interest and penalties related to disallowed Section 162(m) executive compensation deductions either resulting from revised measurement dates or due to factors unrelated to revised measurement dates, but which were previously believed to qualify for Section 162(m) deductions. At this time, we cannot predict when the Section 162(m) underpayment deficiencies, together with interest and penalties, if any, will be paid. We expect to fund any such payment from cash flows from operating activities.

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code ( Section 409A ) provides that option holders with options granted with a below-market exercise price, to the extent the options were not vested as of December 31, 2004, may be subject to adverse Federal income tax consequences. Holders of these options will likely be required to recognize taxable income at the date of vesting for those options vesting after December 31, 2004, rather than upon exercise, on the difference between the amount of the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the date of vesting and the exercise price, plus an additional 20 percent penalty tax and interest on any income tax to be paid. We will be amending the exercise price of certain outstanding stock options to avoid adverse tax consequences to individual option holders under Section 409A and all of our employees and executives (other than Mark A. King, former President and Chief Executive Officer; Warren D. Edwards, former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; and one management employee) will be reimbursed to offset any loss of economic benefit related to such re-priced stock options. We will not be re-pricing all option grants for which accounting measurement dates were adjusted. Option grants to executives, employees and certain former employees whose options remain outstanding will be re-priced only to the extent necessary to avoid adverse tax consequences to the individuals, other than Mr. King, Mr. Edwards and one management employee. Grants to certain current and former officers and employee directors were required to be repriced on or before December 31, 2006 in order to comply with income tax regulations, and accordingly, on December 28, 2006, we repriced awards totaling 876,800 shares held by certain current and former officers and employee directors.

We expect to pay to certain current and former employees approximately \$8 million in order to compensate such individuals for any increase in exercise price resulting from the matters which were the subject of the internal investigation, in order to avoid the adverse individual income tax impact of Section 409A due to revised measurement dates. The \$8 million related to Section 409A will be paid to the affected individuals beginning in January 2008 and as the related stock options vest. We expect to fund any such payment from cash flows from operating activities, however, we have not yet determined the impact to our results of operations and financial condition. The increase in the exercise prices to be paid by optionholders upon their exercise is expected to offset, in the aggregate, the \$8 million; however, the timing of any such exercises cannot be determined.

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

The tables below reflect the adjustments on our consolidated financial statements as of and for the quarter ended September 30, 2005:

<b>Consolidated Statement of Income</b>			
<b>For the three months ended September 30,</b>			
<b>2005</b>			
	<b>As Reported</b>	<b>Adjustments</b>	<b>As Restated</b>
Revenues	\$ 1,310,917	\$	\$ 1,310,917
Operating expenses:			
Cost of revenues:			
Wages and benefits	628,119	566 <sup>(1)</sup>	628,685
Services and supplies	290,772		290,772
Rent, lease and maintenance	155,172		155,172
Depreciation and amortization	68,080		68,080
Other	4,247		4,247
Cost of revenues	1,146,390	566	1,146,956
Other operating expenses	9,764		9,764
Total operating expenses	1,156,154	566	1,156,720
Operating income	154,763	(566)	154,197
Interest expense	12,128	611 <sup>(2)</sup>	12,739
Other non-operating income, net	(4,381)		(4,381)
Pretax profit	147,016	(1,177)	145,839
Income tax expense	52,892	(428) <sup>(3)</sup>	52,464
Net income	\$ 94,124	\$ (749)	\$ 93,375
Earnings per share:			
Basic	\$ 0.75	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.74
Diluted	\$ 0.74	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.73

Shares used in computing earnings  
per share:

Basic	125,429		125,429
Diluted <sup>(5)</sup>	127,222	64 <sup>(4)</sup>	127,286

12

---

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows**  
**Three months ended September 30, 2005**  
**As**

	<b>As Reported</b>	<b>Adjustments</b>	<b>Restated</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>			
Net income	\$ 94,124	\$ (749)	\$ 93,375
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	68,080		68,080
Stock-based compensation expense	8,741	566 <sup>(1)</sup>	9,307
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation	(6,982)	1,504	(5,478)
Provision for uncollectible accounts receivable	3,250		3,250
Deferred income tax expense	13,928	1,822 <sup>(6)</sup>	15,750
Other non-cash activities	1,746		1,746
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:			
Accounts receivable	(73,805)		(73,805)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(8,767)		(8,767)
Other assets	(9,328)		(9,328)
Accounts payable	9,038		9,038
Accrued compensation and benefits	(18,643)		(18,643)
Other accrued liabilities	(20,319)		(20,319)
Income taxes receivable/payable	32,577		32,577
Other long-term liabilities	1,255	(1,639)	(384)
Unearned revenue	12,931		12,931
Total adjustments	13,702	2,253	15,955
Net cash provided by operating activities	107,826	1,504	109,330
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>			
Purchases of property, equipment and software, net	(94,777)		(94,777)
Additions to other intangible assets	(6,906)		(6,906)
Payments for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(42,644)		(42,644)
Intangibles acquired in subcontract termination	(16,530)		(16,530)
Purchases of investments	(4,515)		(4,515)
Net cash used in investing activities	(165,372)		(165,372)

**Cash flows from financing activities:**

Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt, net	383,461		383,461
Payments of long-term debt	(377,708)		(377,708)
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation	6,982	(1,504)	5,478
Proceeds from stock options exercised	14,739		14,739
Proceeds from issuance of treasury shares	1,613		1,613
Net cash provided by financing activities	29,087	(1,504)	27,583
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(28,459)		(28,459)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	62,685		62,685
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 34,226	\$	\$ 34,226

- (1) Stock-based compensation expense.
- (2) Estimated interest expense on Section 162(m) deduction disallowances.
- (3) Income tax benefits for additional stock-based compensation expense and estimated interest expense.
- (4) Adjustment to dilutive shares resulting from changes in unrecognized compensation and excess tax benefits.
- (5) Basic earnings per share of common stock is computed

using the weighted average number of our common shares outstanding during the periods. Diluted earnings per share is adjusted for the incremental shares that would be outstanding upon the assumed exercise of stock options. Shares used in computing diluted earnings per share includes the weighted average shares outstanding for the period used in calculating basic earnings per share, plus the dilutive effect of stock options outstanding during the period. Share dilution for the quarter presented excludes the effect of options outstanding that were considered antidilutive because the average market price of the underlying stock did not exceed the sum of the

option exercise  
price,  
unrecognized  
compensation  
expense and  
windfall tax  
benefits

- (6) Deferred  
income tax  
associated with  
additional  
stock-based  
compensation  
expense, net of  
reversals related  
to stock option  
exercises.



**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**3. ACQUISITION**

In July 2006, we completed the acquisition of Primax Recoveries, Inc. ( Primax ), one of the industry's oldest and largest health care cost recovery firms. The transaction was valued at approximately \$40 million, plus related transaction costs excluding contingent consideration of up to \$10 million based upon future financial performance and was funded from cash on hand and borrowings on our Credit Facility (defined below). The purchase price was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on estimated fair value as of the date of acquisition. We acquired assets of \$63.8 million and assumed liabilities of \$23.8 million. We recorded \$19.6 million in goodwill, which is not deductible for income tax purposes, and intangible assets of \$20.5 million. The \$20.5 million of intangible assets is attributable to customer relationships and non-compete agreements with weighted average useful lives of 11 years. We believe this acquisition expands our payor offering to include subrogation and overpayment recovery services to help clients improve profitability while maintaining their valued relationships with plan participants, employers and providers. The operating results of the acquired business are included in our financial statements in the Commercial segment from the effective date of the acquisition, July 12, 2006.

**4. DIVESTITURES**

In December 2005, we completed the divestiture of substantially all of our Government welfare-to-workforce services business (the WWS Divestiture ) to Arbor E&T, LLC ( Arbor ), a wholly owned subsidiary of ResCare, Inc., for approximately \$69 million, less transaction costs. Assets sold were approximately \$30.3 million and liabilities assumed by Arbor were approximately \$0.2 million, both of which were included in the Government segment. We retained the net working capital related to the WWS Divestiture. We recognized a pretax gain of \$0.7 million (\$0.4 million, net of income tax) and \$0 in the first quarter of fiscal years 2007 and 2006, respectively, upon the assignment of customer contracts to Arbor. During fiscal year 2006, we recorded a gain of \$33.5 million (\$20.1 million, net of income tax). Approximately \$3 million of the consideration relates to certain customer contracts whose assignment to Arbor was not complete as of September 30, 2006, and is reflected as deferred proceeds in other accrued liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2006. We completed the transfers of these remaining contracts to Arbor in the second quarter of fiscal year 2007. The after tax proceeds from the divestiture were primarily used for general corporate purposes.

Revenues from the WWS Divestiture were \$0.6 million and \$53.5 million for the first quarter of fiscal years 2007 and 2006, respectively. Operating (loss) income from the WWS Divestiture, excluding the gain on sale, was (\$0.5 million) and \$4.5 million for the first quarter of fiscal years 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The welfare-to-workforce services business is no longer strategic or core to our operating philosophy. This divestiture allows us to focus on our technology-enabled business process outsourcing and information technology service offerings.

At September 30, 2006, we classified as assets held for sale certain customer contracts related to the WWS Divestiture whose transfer to Arbor was not complete as of September 30, 2006. The following table sets forth the assets held for sale included in prepaid expenses and other current assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>June 30, 2006</b>
Intangible assets related to the WWS Divestiture, net	\$ 447	\$ 634
Goodwill related to the WWS Divestiture	772	1,096
Total assets held for sale	\$ 1,219	\$ 1,730

**5. RESTRUCTURING ACTIVITIES**

During fiscal year 2006, we began a comprehensive assessment of our operations, including our overall cost structure, competitive position, technology assets and operating platform and foreign operations. As a result, we began certain

restructuring initiatives and activities that are expected to enhance our competitive position in certain markets, and recorded certain restructuring charges and asset impairments arising from our discretionary decisions. We estimate a total of 2,100 employees will be involuntarily terminated as a result of these initiatives, consisting primarily of offshore processors and related management; however, we anticipate that a majority of these positions will be migrated to lower cost markets. As of September 30, 2006, approximately 2,000 employees have been involuntarily terminated.

In our Commercial segment, we recorded a restructuring charge for involuntary termination of employees of \$4.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, which is reflected in wages and benefits in our Consolidated Statements of Income, and \$0.9

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, for impairments of facility costs and facility shutdown charges, which are reflected as part of total operating expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Income. In our Government segment, we recorded a restructuring charge for involuntary termination of employees of \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, which is reflected in wages and benefits in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table summarizes activity for the accrual for involuntary termination of employees for the three months ended September 30, 2006 (in thousands), exclusive of the Acquired HR Business (defined below):

Balance at June 30, 2006	\$ 899
Accrual recorded	5,058
Payments	(907)
 Balance at September 30, 2006	 \$ 5,050

The September 30, 2006 accrual for involuntary termination of employees is expected to be paid primarily in fiscal year 2007 from cash flows from operating activities.

During fiscal year 2005, we acquired the human resources consulting and outsourcing businesses of Mellon Financial Corporation (the "Acquired HR Business"). In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006, we substantially completed the integration of the Acquired HR Business. The integration included the elimination of redundant facilities, marketing and overhead costs, and the consolidation of processes from the historical cost structure of the acquired Mellon organization. The liabilities recorded at closing for the Acquired HR Business include \$22.3 million in involuntary employee termination costs for employees of the Acquired HR Business in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 95-3 "Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination." During the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, \$0.4 million in involuntary employee termination payments were made and charged against accrued compensation bringing the total payments made to \$16.1 million. We also recorded a \$3.1 million and a \$1.2 million reduction to the accrual and to goodwill in fiscal year 2006 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, respectively, as a result of a change in our estimates of severance to be paid. As of September 30, 2006, the balance of the related accrual was \$1.9 million and is expected to be paid primarily in fiscal year 2007 from cash flows from operating activities.

## **6. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the three months ended September 30, 2006 are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Commercial</b>	<b>Government</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance as of June 30, 2006	\$ 1,282,053	\$ 1,174,601	\$ 2,456,654
Acquisition activity	17,721	2,074	19,795
Foreign currency translation	1,460	(849)	611
 Balance as of September 30, 2006	 \$ 1,301,234	 \$ 1,175,826	 \$ 2,477,060

Goodwill activity for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 was primarily due to the acquisition of Primax (see Note 3). Approximately \$2 billion, or 80.3%, of the original gross amount of goodwill recorded is deductible for income tax purposes.

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

The following information relates to our other intangible assets (in thousands):

	September 30, 2006		June 30, 2006	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Amortizable intangible assets:				
Acquired customer-related intangibles	\$ 405,658	\$ (115,086)	\$ 384,738	\$ (104,901)
Customer-related intangibles	230,208	(97,361)	222,268	(90,326)
All other	15,613	(6,889)	15,147	(6,113)
Total	\$ 651,479	\$ (219,336)	\$ 622,153	\$ (201,340)
Non-amortizable intangible assets:				
Title plant	\$ 51,045		\$ 51,045	
Trade name	3,843		3,843	
Total	\$ 54,888		\$ 54,888	

## Aggregate Amortization:

For the quarter ended September 30, 2006	\$ 18,765
For the quarter ended September 30, 2005	18,012

## Estimated amortization for the years ended

June 30,	
2007	\$ 75,932
2008	71,825
2009	61,996
2010	53,066
2011	46,686

Aggregate amortization includes amounts charged to amortization expense for customer-related intangibles and other intangibles, other than contract inducements. Amortization of contract inducements of \$3.8 million and \$3.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, is recorded as a reduction of related contract revenue. Amortization expense includes approximately \$10.2 million and \$9.8 million for acquired customer-related intangibles for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Amortizable intangible assets are amortized over the related contract term. The amortization period of customer-related intangible assets ranges from 1 to 17 years, with a weighted average of approximately 9 years. The amortization period for all other intangible assets, including trademarks, ranges from 3 to 20 years, with a weighted average of approximately 6 years.

**7. LONG-TERM DEBT***Credit Facility*

On July 6, 2006, we amended our secured term loan facility ( *Term Loan Facility* ) under our Credit Agreement dated March 20, 2006 ( *Credit Facility* ) and borrowed an additional \$500 million on July 6, 2006 and an additional \$500 million on August 1, 2006. As a result of the increase to the facility, the Applicable Margin, as defined in the Credit Facility, increased to LIBOR plus 200 basis points. We used the proceeds of the Term Loan Facility increase to

finance the purchase of shares of our Class A common stock under the June 2006 \$1 billion share repurchase authorization (See Note 8) and for the payment of transaction costs, fees and expenses related to the increase in the Term Loan Facility.

Following the Tender Offer, our credit ratings were downgraded by Moody's and Standard and Poor's, both to below investment grade. Standard & Poor's further downgraded us to BB upon our announcement in June 2006 of the approval by our Board of Directors of a new \$1 billion share repurchase plan. Fitch initiated its coverage of us in August 2006 at a rating of BB, except for our Senior Notes (defined below) which were rated BB-. Standard & Poor's downgraded our credit rating further, to B+, following our announcement on September 28, 2006 that we would not be able to file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 by the September 28, 2006 extended deadline.

On September 26, 2006, we received an amendment, consent and waiver from the lenders under our Credit Facility with respect to, among other provisions, waiver of any default or event of default arising under the Credit Facility as a result of our failure to comply

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

with certain reporting covenants relating to other indebtedness, including covenants purportedly requiring the filing of reports with either the SEC or the holders of such indebtedness, so long as those requirements are complied with by December 31, 2006. As consideration for this amendment, consent and waiver, we paid a fee of \$2.6 million. On December 21, 2006, we received an amendment, consent and waiver from lenders under our Credit Facility. The amendment, consent and waiver includes the following provisions, among others:

- (1) Consent to the delivery, on or prior to February 14, 2007, of (i) the financial statements, accountant's report and compliance certificate for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 and (ii) financial statements and related compliance certificates for the fiscal quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006, and waiver of any default arising from the failure to deliver any such financial statements, reports or certificates within the applicable time period provided for in the Credit Agreement, provided that any such failure to deliver resulted directly or indirectly from the previously announced investigation of the Company's historical stock option grant practices (the Options Matter).
- (2) Waiver of any default or event of default arising from the incorrectness of representations and warranties made or deemed to have been made with respect to certain financial statements previously delivered to the Agent as a result of any restatement, adjustment or other modification of such financial statements resulting directly or indirectly from the Options Matter.
- (3) Waiver of any default or event of default which may arise from the Company's or its subsidiaries' failure to comply with reporting covenants under other indebtedness that are similar to those in the Credit Agreement (including any covenant to file any report with the Securities and Exchange Commission or to furnish such reports to the holders of such indebtedness), provided such reporting covenants are complied with on or prior to February 14, 2007.
- (4) Amendments to provisions relating to the permitted uses of the proceeds of revolving loans under the Credit Agreement that (i) increase to \$500 million from \$350 million the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans that may be outstanding, the proceeds of which may be used to satisfy the obligations under the Company's 4.70% Senior Notes due 2010 or 5.20% Senior Notes due 2015 and (ii) until June 30, 2007, decrease to \$200 million from \$300 million the minimum liquidity (i.e., the aggregate amount of the Company's unrestricted cash in excess of \$50 million and availability under the Credit Agreement's revolving facility) required after giving effect to such use of proceeds.

As consideration for this amendment, waiver and consent, we paid a fee of \$1.3 million.

*Senior Notes*

On September 22, 2006, we received a letter from CEDE & Co. (CEDE) sent on behalf of certain holders of our 5.20% Senior Notes due 2015 (the 5.20% Senior Notes) issued by us under that certain Indenture dated June 6, 2005 (the Indenture) between us and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (the Trustee) advising us that we were in default of our covenants under the Indenture. The letter alleged that our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 by September 13, 2006, was a default under the terms of the Indenture. On September 29, 2006, we received a letter from CEDE sent on behalf of the same persons declaring an acceleration with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes, as a result of our failure to remedy the default set forth in the September 22 letter related to our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended June 30, 2006. The September 29 letter declared that the principal amount and premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the 5.20% Senior Notes were due and payable immediately, and demanded payment of all amounts owed in respect of the 5.20% Senior Notes.

On September 29, 2006 we received a letter from the Trustee with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes. The letter alleged that we were in default of our covenants under the Indenture with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes, as the

result of our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 on or before September 28, 2006. On October 6, 2006, we received a letter from the Trustee declaring an acceleration with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes as a result of our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 on or before September 28, 2006. The October 6, 2006 letter declared the principal amount and premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the 5.20% Senior Notes to be due and payable immediately, and demanded payment of all amounts owed in respect of the 5.20% Senior Notes.

In addition, our 4.70% Senior Notes due 2010 (the 4.70% Senior Notes ) were also issued under the Indenture and have identical default and acceleration provisions as the 5.20% Senior Notes. On October 9, 2006, we received letters from certain holders of the 4.70% Senior Notes issued by us under the Indenture, advising us that we were in default of our covenants under the Indenture. The letters alleged that our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 by

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

September 13, 2006, was a default under the terms of the Indenture. On November 9, 10 and 16, 2006, we received letters from CEDE sent on behalf of certain holders of our 4.70% Senior Notes, declaring an acceleration of the 4.70% Senior Notes as the result of our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006. The November 9, 10 and 16, 2006 letters declared the principal amount and premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the 4.70% Senior Notes to be due and payable immediately, and demanded payment of all amounts owed under the 4.70% Senior Notes.

It is our position that no default has occurred under the Indenture and that no acceleration has occurred with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes or the 4.70% Senior Notes (collectively, the Senior Notes ) or otherwise under the Indenture. Further we have filed a lawsuit against the Trustee in the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, seeking a declaratory judgment affirming our position. On January 8, 2007, the Court entered an order substituting Wilmington Trust Company for the Bank of New York. On January 16, 2007, Wilmington Trust Company filed an answer and counterclaim. The counterclaim seeks immediate payment of all principal and accrued and unpaid interest on the Senior Notes. Alternatively, the counterclaim seeks damages measured by the difference between the fair market value of the Senior Notes on or about September 22, 2006 and par value of the Senior Notes. Unless and until there is a final judgment rendered in the lawsuit described above (including any appellate proceedings), no legally enforceable determination can be made as to whether the failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 is a default under the Indenture as alleged by the letters referenced above. If there is a final legally enforceable determination that the failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 is a default under the Indenture, and that acceleration with respect to the Senior Notes was proper, the principal and premium, if any, and all accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the Senior Notes would be immediately due and payable.

In the event the claim of default against us made by certain holders of the Senior Notes is upheld in a court of law and we are required to payoff the Senior Notes, it is most likely that we would utilize the Credit Facility to fund such payoff. Under the terms of the Credit Facility, we can utilize borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility, subject to certain liquidity requirements, or may seek additional commitments for funding under the Term Loan Facility of the Credit Facility. We estimate we have sufficient liquidity to meet both the needs of our operations and any potential payoff of the Senior Notes. While we do have availability under our Credit Facility to draw funds to repay the Senior Notes, there may be a decrease in our credit availability that could otherwise be used for other corporate purposes, such as acquisitions and share repurchases.

If our Senior Notes are refinanced or the determination is made that the outstanding balance is due to the noteholders, the remaining unrealized loss on forward interest rate agreements reported in other comprehensive income of \$15.7 million (\$9.8 million, net of income tax), unamortized deferred financing costs of \$3 million (\$1.9 million, net of income tax) and unamortized discount of \$0.6 million (\$0.4 million, net of income tax) associated with our Senior Notes as of September 30, 2006 may be adjusted and reported as interest expense in our Consolidated Statement of Income in the period of refinancing or demand.

On December 19, 2006, we entered into an Instrument of Resignation, Appointment and Acceptance with The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. and Wilmington Trust Company, whereby The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. resigned as trustee, as well as other offices or agencies, with respect to the Senior Notes, and was replaced by Wilmington Trust Company.

**8. EQUITY**

On August 15, 2006, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors granted 2,091,500 options to employees under the 1997 Stock Incentive Plan. Based on executive management's recommendation, no stock option grants were made to corporate executive management pending substantive determination regarding corporate executive management's actions in the matters related to the informal stock option investigation by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the grand jury subpoena issued by the United States District Court, Southern District of New York. However, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors agreed to grant options of 100,000 shares each to



Ann Vezina, Chief Operating Officer, Commercial Solutions Group and Tom Burlin, Chief Operating Officer, Government Solutions Group, but those grants were deferred. The delay in the grants to Ms. Vezina and Mr. Burlin was necessary at the time because there were insufficient shares remaining in the 1997 Stock Incentive Plan to make the grants to Ms. Vezina and Mr. Burlin. Subsequent to August 15, 2006, there were a number of options granted under the 1997 Stock Incentive Plan that terminated, which options then became available to grant to other employees, including Ms. Vezina and Mr. Burlin. Please see further discussion in Note 16.

In June and August 2006, our Board of Directors authorized two share repurchase programs of up to \$1 billion each of our Class A common stock. The programs, which are open ended, allow us to repurchase our shares on the open market, from time to time, in accordance with the requirements of the SEC rules and regulations, including shares that could be purchased pursuant to SEC Rule 10b5-1. The number of shares to be purchased and the timing of purchases will be based on the level of cash and debt balances,

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

general business conditions, and other factors, including alternative investment opportunities. As of September 30, 2006, we had repurchased approximately 19.9 million shares under the June 2006 authority at an average cost of approximately \$50.30 per share (approximately \$1 billion) all of which have been retired, of which 14.4 million shares with an average cost of approximately \$50.64 per share (approximately \$730.7 million) were repurchased and retired in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. No repurchases have been made under the August 2006 authority as of the date of this filing. We expect to fund repurchases under this additional share repurchase program from borrowings under our Credit Facility.

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, we reissued approximately 57,000 treasury shares for proceeds totaling approximately \$2.9 million to fund contributions to our employee stock purchase plan.

**9. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, Earnings per Share, the following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	
	<b>(as</b>	
	<b>restated)</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	
Numerator:		
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 61,383	\$ 93,375
Denominator:		
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	103,452	125,429
Dilutive effect of stock options	1,309	1,857
Denominator for earnings per share assuming dillation	104,761	127,286
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.74
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.73

Additional dilution from assumed exercises of stock options is dependent upon several factors, including the market price of our common stock. During the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, options to purchase approximately 6,282,000 and 6,826,000 shares of common stock, respectively, were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the average market price of the underlying stock did not exceed the sum of the option exercise price, unrecognized compensation expense and the windfall tax benefit. The calculation of diluted earnings per share requires us to make certain assumptions related to the use of proceeds that would be received upon the assumed exercise of stock options. These assumed proceeds include the excess tax benefit that we receive upon assumed exercises. We calculate the assumed proceeds from excess tax benefits based on the deferred tax assets actually recorded without consideration of as if deferred tax assets calculated under the provisions of SFAS 123(R).

**10. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income ( SFAS 130 ), establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in financial statements. The objective of SFAS 130 is to report a measure of all changes in equity of an enterprise that result from transactions and other economic events of the period other than transactions with owners. Comprehensive income is the total of net income and all other non-owner changes within a company's equity.

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

The components of comprehensive income are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	
	<b>(as</b>	
	<b>restated)</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	
Net income	\$ 61,383	\$ 93,375
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	2,715	(225)
Amortization of unrealized loss on forward interest rate agreements (net of income tax of \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively)	396	397
Unrealized gains on foreign exchange forward agreements (net of income tax of \$0.2 million)	359	
Comprehensive income	\$ 64,853	\$ 93,547

The amortization of unrealized loss on forward interest rate agreements relates to interest rate hedges, which were settled in June 2005. The agreements were designated as cash flow hedges of forecasted interest payments in anticipation of the issuance of our Senior Notes. The settlement of the forward interest rate agreements of \$19 million (\$12 million, net of income tax) is reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net, and will be amortized as an increase in reported interest expense over the term of the Senior Notes, with approximately \$2.5 million to be amortized over the next 12 months. During both the first quarters of fiscal years 2007 and 2006, we amortized approximately \$0.6 million to interest expense.

We hedge the variability of a portion of our anticipated future Mexican peso cash flows through foreign exchange forward agreements. The agreements are designated as cash flow hedges of forecasted payments related to certain operating costs of our Mexican operations. As of September 30, 2006, the notional amount of these agreements totaled 419.5 million pesos (\$37.7 million) and expire at various dates over the next 12 months. Upon termination of these agreements, we will purchase Mexican pesos at the exchange rates specified in the forward agreements to be used for payments on our forecasted Mexican peso operating costs. The unrealized gain (loss) on these foreign exchange forward agreements is reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net.

The following table represents the components of accumulated other comprehensive loss, net (in thousands):

	<b>As of</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	
	<b>As of</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	
Foreign currency gains (losses)	\$ 2,289	\$ (426)
Unrealized loss on forward interest rate agreements (net of income tax of \$5.9 million and \$6.1 million, respectively)	(9,805)	(10,201)
Unrealized gains (losses) on foreign exchange forward agreements (net of income tax of \$24 and (\$193), respectively)	43	(316)
Total	\$ (7,473)	\$ (10,943)



**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**11. PENSION AND OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT PLANS***Net periodic benefit cost*

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months ended September 30,</b>			
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>		
	<b>U.S. Plan</b>	<b>Non-U.S. Plans</b>	<b>U.S. Plan</b>	<b>Non-U.S. Plans</b>
<u>Components of net periodic benefit cost:</u>				
Defined benefit plans:				
Service cost	\$ 924	\$ 1,384	\$	\$ 1,410
Interest cost	63	1,366		1,166
Expected return on assets	(54)	(1,342)		(1,227)
Amortization of gains/losses	(6)			
Amortization of prior service costs	63			
Net periodic benefit cost for defined benefit plans	\$ 990	\$ 1,408	\$	\$ 1,349

*Contributions*

We made contributions to the pension plans of approximately \$1.2 million in the first three months of fiscal year 2007. We expect to contribute approximately \$11 million to our pension plans during fiscal year 2007.

**12. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

The following is a summary of certain financial information by reportable segment (in thousands):

	<b>Commercial</b>	<b>Government</b>	<b>Corporate</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
<b><u>Three months ended September 30, 2006</u></b>				
Revenues (a)	\$ 841,702	\$ 543,736	\$	\$ 1,385,438
Operating expenses (excluding depreciation and amortization)	709,637	425,834	27,471	1,162,942
Depreciation and amortization	57,312	23,971	355	81,638
Operating income	\$ 74,753	\$ 93,931	\$ (27,826)	\$ 140,858
<b><u>Three months ended September 30, 2005</u></b>				
<b>(as restated)</b>				
Revenues (a)	\$ 766,006	\$ 544,911	\$	\$ 1,310,917
Operating expenses (excluding depreciation and amortization)	636,555	422,676	29,409	1,088,640
Depreciation and amortization	45,086	22,572	422	68,080
Operating income	\$ 84,365	\$ 99,663	\$ (29,831)	\$ 154,197

- (a) Revenues in our Government segment include revenues from operations divested during fiscal year 2006 of \$0.6 million and \$53.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

*Regulatory Agency Investigations Relating to Stock Option Grant Practices*

On March 3, 2006 we received notice from the Securities and Exchange Commission that it is conducting an informal investigation into certain stock option grants made by us from October 1998 through March 2005. On June 7, 2006 and on June 16, 2006 we received requests from the SEC for information on all of our stock option grants since 1994. We have responded to the SEC's requests for information and are cooperating in the informal investigation.

On May 17, 2006, we received a grand jury subpoena from the United States District Court, Southern District of New York requesting production of documents related to granting of our stock option grants. We have responded to the grand jury subpoena and have provided documents to the United States Attorney's Office in connection with the grand jury proceeding. We have informed the Securities and Exchange Commission and the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York of the results of our internal investigation into our stock option grant practices and will continue to cooperate with these governmental entities and their investigations.

Please see Note 2. Review of Stock Option Grant Practices and Note 14. Departure of Executive Officers in these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for discussions of our internal investigation of our stock option grant practices and subsequent restatement of previously filed financial statements and the departure of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as a result of that investigation.

*Lawsuits Related to Stock Option Grant Practices*

Several derivative lawsuits have been filed in connection with our stock option grant practices generally alleging claims related to breach of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment by certain of our directors and senior executives as follows:

*Dallas County District Court*

1 Merl Huntsinger, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., Plaintiff, vs. Darwin Deason, Mark A. King, J. Livingston Kosberg, Dennis McCuiston, Joseph P. O'Neill, Jeffrey A. Rich and Frank A. Rossi, Defendants, and Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., Nominal Defendant, Cause No. 06-03403 in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas, 193<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District filed on April 7, 2006.

1 Robert P. Oury, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., Plaintiff, vs. Darwin Deason, Mark A. King, J. Livingston Kosberg, Dennis McCuiston, Joseph P. O'Neill, Jeffrey A. Rich and Frank A. Rossi, and Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., Nominal Defendant, Cause No. 06-03872 in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas, 193<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District filed on April 21, 2006.

1 Anchorage Police & Fire Retirement System, derivatively on behalf of nominal defendant Affiliated Computer Services Inc., Plaintiff v. Jeffrey Rich; Darwin Deason; Mark King; Joseph O'Neill; Frank Rossi; Dennis McCuiston; J. Livingston Kosberg; Gerald Ford; Clifford Kendall; David Black; Henry Hortenstine; Peter Bracken; William Deckelman; Affiliated Computer Services Inc. Cause No. 06-5265-A in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas, 14<sup>th</sup> Judicial District filed on June 2, 2006.

The Huntsinger, Oury, and Anchorage lawsuits were consolidated into one case in the Dallas District Court on June 5, 2006, and are now collectively known as the In Re Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. Derivative Litigation case.

*U.S. District Court of Delaware*

1 Jeffrey T. Strauss, derivatively on behalf of Affiliated Computer Services Inc. v. Jeffrey A. Rich; Mark A. King; and Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., as defendants U.S. District Court of Delaware, Cause No. 06-318, filed on May 16, 2006.



**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

*Delaware Chancery Court*

1 Jan Brandin, in the Right of and for the Benefit of Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., Plaintiff, vs. Darwin Deason, Jeffrey A. Rich, Mark A. King, Joseph O Neill and Frank Rossi, Defendants, and Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., Nominal Defendant, Civil Action No. CA2123-N, pending before the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County, filed on May 2, 2006.

*U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas*

1 Alaska Electrical Fund, derivatively on behalf of Affiliated Computer Services Inc. v. Jeffrey Rich; Joseph O Neill; Frank A. Rossi; Darwin Deason; Mark King; Lynn Blodgett; J. Livingston Kosberg; Dennis McCuiston; Warren Edwards; John Rexford and John M. Brophy, Defendants, and Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., Nominal Defendant, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Cause No. 3-06CV1110-M, filed on June 22, 2006.

1 Bennett Ray Lunceford and Ann M. Lunceford, derivatively on behalf of Affiliated Computer Services Inc. v. Jeffrey Rich; Joseph O Neill; Frank A. Rossi; Darwin Deason; Mark King; Lynn Blodgett; J. Livingston Kosberg; Dennis McCuiston; Warren Edwards; John Rexford and John M. Brophy, Defendants, and Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., Nominal Defendant, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Cause No. 3-06CV1212-M, filed on July 7, 2006.

The Alaska Electrical and Lunceford cases were consolidated into one case in the U.S. District Court of Texas on August 1, 2006, and are now collectively known as the In Re Affiliated Computer Services Derivative Litigation case. Based on the same set of facts as alleged in the above causes of action, two lawsuits have been filed under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ( ERISA ) alleging breach of ERISA fiduciary duties by the directors and officers as well as the ACS Benefits Administrative Committee for retaining ACS common stock as an investment option in the ACS Savings Plan in light of the alleged stock option issues, as follows:

*U.S. District Court of Texas, Northern District of Texas*

1 Terri Simeon, on behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, vs. Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., Darwin Deason, Mark A. King, Lynn R. Blodgett, Jeffrey A. Rich, Joseph O Neill, Frank Rossi, J. Livingston Kosberg, Dennis McCuiston, The Retirement Committee of the ACS Savings Plan, and John Does 1-30, Defendants, U. S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Civil Action No. 306-CV-1592P filed August 31, 2006.

1 Kyle Burke, Individually and on behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, vs. Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., the ACS Administrative Committee, Lora Villarreal, Kellar Nevill, Gladys Mitchell, Meg Cino, Mike Miller, John Crysler, Van Johnson, Scott Bell, Anne Meli, David Lotocki, Randall Booth, Pam Trutna, Brett Jakovac, Jeffrey A. Rich, Mark A. King, Darwin Deason, Joseph P. O Neill and J. Livingston Kosberg, U. S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case No. 3:06-CV-02379-M.

On January 10, 2007, the Simeon case and the Burke case were consolidated and we expect that a consolidated amended complaint will be filed.

The cases described above are being vigorously defended. However, it is not possible at this time to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any.

*Declaratory Action with Respect to Alleged Default and Purported Acceleration of our Senior Notes and Amendment, Consent and Waiver for our Credit Facility*

Please see Note 7. Long-Term Debt for a discussion of the Alleged Default and Purported Acceleration of our Senior Notes and waivers, amendments, and consents obtained for our Credit Facility.

*Investigation Regarding Photo Enforcement Contract in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada*

We and one of our Canadian subsidiaries, ACS Public Sector Solutions, Inc., received a summons issued February 15, 2006 by the Alberta Department of Justice requiring us and our subsidiary to answer a charge of a violation of a Canadian Federal law which prohibits giving, offering or agreeing to give or offer any reward, advantage or benefit as

consideration for receiving any favor in

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

connection with a business relationship. The charge covers the period from January 1, 1998 through June 4, 2004 and references the involvement of certain Edmonton, Alberta police officials. Two Edmonton police officials have been separately charged for violation of this law. The alleged violation relates to the subsidiary's contract with the City of Edmonton for photo enforcement services. We acquired this subsidiary and contract from Lockheed Martin Corporation in August 2001 when we acquired Lockheed Martin IMS Corporation. The contract currently is on a month-to-month term with revenues of approximately \$0.6 million (U.S. dollars) in the first quarter of both fiscal years 2007 and 2006. A renewal contract had been awarded to our subsidiary in 2004 on a sole source basis, but this renewal award was rescinded by the City of Edmonton and a subsequent request for proposals for an expanded photo enforcement contract was issued in September 2004. Prior to announcement of any award, however, the City of Edmonton suspended this procurement process pending the completion of the investigation by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police which led to the February 15, 2006 summons. We conducted an internal investigation of this matter, and based on our findings from our internal investigation, we believe that our subsidiary has sustainable defenses to the charge. We notified the U.S. Department of Justice and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission upon our receipt of the summons and continue to periodically report the status of this matter to them.

On October 31, 2006, legal counsel to the Alberta government withdrew the charge against ACS. The charge against our subsidiary has not been withdrawn and a preliminary hearing on this matter has been scheduled for September 7, 2007. We are unable to express an opinion as to the likely outcome of this matter at this time. It is not possible at this time to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any.

*Investigation Concerning Procurement Process at Hanscom Air Force Base*

One of our subsidiaries, ACS Defense, LLC, and several other government contractors received a grand jury document subpoena issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts in October 2002. The subpoena was issued in connection with an inquiry being conducted by the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ). The inquiry concerns certain IDIQ (Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity) procurements and their related task orders, which occurred in the late 1990s at Hanscom Air Force Base in Massachusetts. In February 2004, we sold the contracts associated with the Hanscom Air Force Base relationship to ManTech International Corporation (ManTech); however, we have agreed to indemnify ManTech with respect to this DOJ investigation. The DOJ is continuing its investigation, but we have no information as to when the DOJ will conclude this process. We have cooperated with the DOJ in producing documents in response to the subpoena, and our internal investigation and review of this matter through outside legal counsel will continue through the conclusion of the DOJ investigatory process. We are unable to express an opinion as to the likely outcome of this matter at this time. It is not possible at this time to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any.

*Investigation Regarding Certain Child Support Payment Processing Contracts*

Another of our subsidiaries, ACS State & Local Solutions, Inc. (ACS SLS), and a teaming partner of this subsidiary, Tier Technologies, Inc. (Tier), received a grand jury document subpoena issued by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York in May 2003. The subpoena was issued in connection with an inquiry being conducted by the Antitrust Division of the DOJ. We believe that the inquiry concerns the teaming arrangements between ACS SLS and Tier on child support payment processing contracts awarded to ACS SLS, and Tier as a subcontractor to ACS SLS, in New York, Illinois and Ohio but may also extend to the conduct of ACS SLS and Tier with respect to the bidding process for child support contracts in certain other states. Effective June 30, 2004, Tier was no longer a subcontractor to us in Ohio. Our revenue from the contracts for which Tier was a subcontractor was approximately \$10.9 million and \$11.3 million for the first quarter of fiscal years 2007 and 2006, respectively, representing approximately 0.8% and 0.9% of our revenues for the first quarter of fiscal years 2007 and 2006, respectively. Our teaming arrangement with Tier also contemplated the California child support payment processing request for proposals, which was issued in late 2003; however, we did not enter into a teaming agreement with Tier for the

California request for proposals. Based on Tier's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, we understand that on November 20, 2003 the DOJ granted conditional amnesty to Tier in connection with this inquiry pursuant to the DOJ's Corporate Leniency Policy. The policy provides that the DOJ will not bring any criminal charges against Tier as long as it continues to fully cooperate in the inquiry (and makes restitution payments if it is determined that parties were injured as a result of impermissible anticompetitive conduct). In May 2006 we were advised that one of our current employees (who has not been active in our government business segment since June 2005) and one former employee of ACS SLS, both of whom held senior management positions in the subsidiary during the period in question, have received target letters from the DOJ related to this inquiry. The DOJ is continuing its investigation, but we have no information as to when the DOJ will conclude this process. We have cooperated with the DOJ in producing documents in response to the subpoena, and our internal investigation and review of this matter through outside legal counsel will continue through the conclusion of the DOJ investigatory process. We are unable to express an opinion as to the likely outcome of this matter at this time. It is not possible at this time to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any.

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

*Investigation regarding Florida Workforce Contracts*

On January 30, 2004, the Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation's (AWI) Office of Inspector General (OIG) issued a report that reviewed 13 Florida workforce regions, including Dade and Monroe counties, and noted concerns related to the accuracy of customer case records maintained by our local staff. Our total revenue generated from the Florida workforce services amounts to approximately 0.8% of our revenues for the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. We had no revenue related to this contract in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. In March 2004, we filed our response to the OIG report. The principal workforce policy organization for the State of Florida, which oversees and monitors the administration of the State's workforce policy and the programs carried out by AWI and the regional workforce boards, is Workforce Florida, Inc. (WFI). On May 20, 2004, the Board of Directors of WFI held a public meeting at which the Board announced that WFI did not see a systemic problem with our performance of these workforce services and that it considered the issue closed. There were also certain contract billing issues that arose during the course of our performance of our workforce contract in Dade County, Florida, which ended in June 2003. However, during the first quarter of fiscal year 2005, we settled all financial issues with Dade County with respect to our workforce contract with that county and the settlement is fully reflected in our results of operations for the first quarter of fiscal year 2005. We were also advised in February 2004 that the SEC had initiated an informal investigation into the matters covered by the OIG's report, although we have not received any request for information or documents since the middle of calendar year 2004. On March 22, 2004, ACS SLS received a grand jury document subpoena issued by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The subpoena was issued in connection with an inquiry being conducted by the DOJ and the Inspector General's Office of the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) into the subsidiary's workforce contracts in Dade and Monroe counties in Florida, which also expired in June 2003, and which were included in the OIG's report. On August 11, 2005, the South Florida Workforce Board notified us that all deficiencies in our Dade County workforce contract have been appropriately addressed and all findings are considered resolved. On August 25, 2004, ACS SLS received a grand jury document subpoena issued by the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida in connection with an inquiry being conducted by the DOJ and the Inspector General's Office of the DOL. The subpoena relates to a workforce contract in Pinellas County in Florida for the period from January 1999 to the contract's expiration in March 2001, which was prior to our acquisition of this business from Lockheed Martin Corporation in August 2001. Further, we settled a civil lawsuit with Pinellas County in December 2003 with respect to claims related to the services rendered to Pinellas County by Lockheed Martin Corporation prior to our acquisition of ACS SLS (those claims having been transferred with ACS SLS as part of the acquisition), and the settlement resulted in Pinellas County paying ACS SLS an additional \$600,000. We are continuing our internal investigation of these matters through outside legal counsel and we are continuing to cooperate with the DOJ and DOL in connection with their investigations. At this stage of these investigations, we are unable to express an opinion as to their likely outcome. It is not possible at this time to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any. During the second quarter of fiscal year 2006, we completed the divestiture of substantially all of our welfare-to-workforce business (See Note 4 Divestitures for further information). However, we retained the liabilities for this business which arose from activities prior to the date of closing, including the contingent liabilities discussed above.

Certain contracts, primarily in our Government segment, require us to provide a surety bond or a letter of credit as a guarantee of performance. As of September 30, 2006, outstanding surety bonds of \$497.6 million and \$93.3 million of our outstanding letters of credit secure our performance of contractual obligations with our clients. Approximately \$22.4 million of letters of credit and \$1.9 million of surety bonds secure our casualty insurance and vendor programs and other corporate obligations. In general, we would only be liable for the amount of these guarantees in the event of default in our performance of our obligations under each contract, the probability of which we believe is remote. We believe that we have sufficient capacity in the surety markets and liquidity from our cash flow and our Credit Facility to respond to future requests for proposals.

In fiscal year 2006, we purchased approximately \$17.3 million of U.S. Treasury Notes in conjunction with a contract in our Government segment, and pledged them in accordance with the terms of the contract to secure our performance. The U.S. Treasury Notes are accounted for as held to maturity pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities and reflected in other assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We are obligated to make contingent payments to former shareholders of acquired entities upon satisfaction of certain contractual criteria in conjunction with certain acquisitions. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, we made contingent consideration payments of \$1.5 million related to acquisitions completed in prior years. As of September 30, 2006, the maximum aggregate amount of the outstanding contingent obligations to former shareholders of acquired entities is approximately \$70.3 million. Upon satisfaction of the specified contractual criteria, such payments primarily result in a corresponding increase in goodwill.

We have indemnified Lockheed Martin Corporation against certain specified claims from certain pre-sale litigation, investigations, government audits and other issues related to the sale of the majority of our Federal business to Lockheed Martin Corporation in fiscal year 2004. Our contractual maximum exposure under these indemnifications is \$85 million; however, we believe the actual exposure

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

to be significantly less. As of September 30, 2006, other accrued liabilities include a reserve for these claims in an amount we believe to be adequate at this time.

Our Education Services business, which is included in our Commercial segment, performs third party student loan servicing in the Federal Family Education Loan program ( FFEL ) on behalf of various financial institutions. We service these loans for investors under outsourcing arrangements and do not acquire any servicing rights that are transferable by us to a third party. At September 30, 2006, we serviced a FFEL portfolio of approximately 2.1 million loans with an outstanding principal balance of approximately \$29.8 billion. Some servicing agreements contain provisions that, under certain circumstances, require us to purchase the loans from the investor if the loan guaranty has been permanently terminated as a result of a loan default caused by our servicing error. If defaults caused by us are cured during an initial period, any obligation we may have to purchase these loans expires. Loans that we purchase may be subsequently cured, the guaranty reinstated and then we repackage the loans for sale to third parties. We evaluate our exposure under our purchase obligations on defaulted loans and establish a reserve for potential losses, or default liability reserve, through a charge to the provision for loss on defaulted loans purchased. The reserve is evaluated periodically and adjusted based upon management's analysis of the historical performance of the defaulted loans. As of September 30, 2006, other accrued liabilities include reserves which we believe to be adequate.

In April 2004, we were awarded a contract by the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services ( DHHS ) to replace and operate the North Carolina Medicaid Management Information System ( NCMMIS ). There was a protest of the contract award; however, DHHS requested that we commence performance under the contract. One of the parties protesting the contract has continued to seek administrative and legal relief to set aside the contract award. However, we continued our performance of the contract at the request of DHHS. On June 12, 2006, we reported that contract issues had arisen and each of ACS and DHHS alleged that the other party has breached the contract. The parties entered into a series of standstill agreements in order to permit discussion of their respective issues regarding the contract and whether the contract would be continued or terminated. On July 14, 2006, the DHHS sent us a letter notifying us of the termination of the contract. We do not believe the agency has a valid basis for terminating the contract and intend to pursue legal action against DHHS. We filed in the General Court of Justice, Superior Court Division, in Wake County, North Carolina, a complaint and motion to preserve records related to the contract. Subsequent to the filing of the complaint, North Carolina produced records and represented to the Court that all records had been produced, after which the complaint was dismissed. In a letter dated August 1, 2006, DHHS notified us of its position that the value of reductions in compensation assessable against the compensation otherwise due to us under the contract is approximately \$33 million. On August 14, 2006, we provided a detailed response to that August 1, 2006 letter contending that there should be no reductions in compensation owed to us. Also, on August 14, 2006 and in accordance with the contract, we submitted our Termination Claim to DHHS seeking additional compensation of approximately \$27.1 million. On January 22, 2007, we filed a complaint in the General Court of Justice, Superior Court Division, in Wake County, North Carolina against DHHS and the Secretary of DHHS seeking to recover damages in excess of \$40 million that we have suffered as the result of actions of DHHS and its Secretary. Our claim is based on breach of contract; breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; breach of warranty; and misappropriation of our trade secrets. In the complaint we are also requesting the court to grant a declaratory judgment that we were not in default under the contract; and a permanent injunction against the State from using our proprietary materials and disclosing our proprietary material to third parties.

In addition to the foregoing, we are subject to certain other legal proceedings, inquiries, claims and disputes, which arise in the ordinary course of business. Although we cannot predict the outcomes of these other proceedings, we do not believe these other actions, in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

**14. DEPARTURE OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

On November 26, 2006, Mark A. King resigned as our President, Chief Executive Officer and as a director. In connection therewith, on November 26, 2006 we and Mr. King entered into a separation agreement (the King

Agreement ). The King Agreement provides, among other things, that Mr. King will remain with us as an employee providing transitional services until June 30, 2007. In addition, under the terms of the King Agreement, all unvested stock options held by Mr. King have been terminated as of November 26, 2006, excluding options that would have otherwise vested prior to August 31, 2007 which will be permitted to vest on their regularly scheduled vesting dates provided that Mr. King does not materially breach certain specified provisions of the King Agreement. The King Agreement also provides that the exercise price of Mr. King's vested stock options will be increased to an amount determined by us in a manner consistent with the final determination of the review performed by us in conjunction with the audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006 and the exercise price of certain vested options will be further increased by the amount by which the aggregate exercise price of stock options previously exercised by Mr. King would have been increased had the stock options not been previously exercised. Mr. King's vested options, if unexercised, will expire no later than June 30, 2008. The King Agreement also subjects Mr. King to non-competition and non-solicitation covenants until December 31, 2009. In addition, the



**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

King Agreement provides that Mr. King's severance agreement with us is terminated, Mr. King's salary will be reduced during the transition period and Mr. King will not be eligible to participate in our bonus plans. Mr. King will be eligible to receive certain of our provided health benefits through December 31, 2009 the estimated cost of which is not material.

On November 26, 2006, Warren D. Edwards resigned as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. In connection therewith, on November 26, 2006 we and Mr. Edwards entered into a separation agreement (the Edwards Agreement). The Edwards Agreement provides, among other things, that Mr. Edwards will remain with us as an employee providing transitional services until June 30, 2007. In addition, under the terms of the Edwards Agreement, all unvested stock options held by Mr. Edwards have been terminated as of November 26, 2006, excluding options that would have otherwise vested prior to August 31, 2007 which will be permitted to vest on their regularly scheduled vesting dates provided that Mr. Edwards does not materially breach certain specified provisions of the Edwards Agreement. The Edwards Agreement also provides that the exercise price of Mr. Edwards' vested stock options will be increased to an amount determined by us in a manner consistent with the final determination of the review performed by us in conjunction with the audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006. Mr. Edwards' vested options, if unexercised, will expire no later than June 30, 2008. The Edwards Agreement also subjects Mr. Edwards to non-competition and non-solicitation covenants until December 31, 2009. In addition, the Edwards Agreement provides that Mr. Edwards' severance agreement with us is terminated, Mr. Edwards' salary will be reduced during the transition period and Mr. Edwards will not be eligible to participate in our bonus plans. Mr. Edwards will be eligible to receive certain of our provided health benefits through December 31, 2009, the estimated cost of which is not material.

On September 29, 2005, Jeffrey A. Rich submitted his resignation as a director and Chief Executive Officer. On September 30, 2005 we entered into an Agreement with Mr. Rich, which, among other things, provided the following: (i) Mr. Rich remained on our payroll and was paid his current base salary (of \$820 thousand annually) through June 30, 2006; (ii) Mr. Rich was not eligible to participate in our performance-based incentive compensation program in fiscal year 2006; (iii) we purchased from Mr. Rich all options previously granted to Mr. Rich that were vested as of the date of the Agreement in exchange for an aggregate cash payment, less applicable income and payroll taxes, equal to the amount determined by subtracting the exercise price of each such vested option from \$54.08 per share and all such vested options were terminated and cancelled; (iv) all options previously granted to Mr. Rich that were unvested as of the date of the Agreement were terminated (such options had an in-the-money value of approximately \$4.6 million based on the closing price of our stock on the New York Stock Exchange on September 29, 2005); (v) Mr. Rich received a lump sum cash payment of \$4.1 million (vi) Mr. Rich continued to receive executive benefits for health, dental and vision through September 30, 2007; (vii) Mr. Rich also received limited administrative assistance through September 30, 2006; and (viii) in the event Mr. Rich established an M&A advisory firm by January 1, 2007, we agreed to retain such firm for a two year period from its formation for \$250 thousand per year plus a negotiated success fee for completed transactions. The Agreement also contains certain standard restrictions, including restrictions on soliciting our employees for a period of three years and soliciting our customers or competing with us for a period of two years. Mr. Rich has established an M&A advisory firm and in June 2006, we entered into an agreement with Rich Capital LLC, an M&A advisory firm owned by Mr. Rich. The agreement is for two years, during which time we will pay a total of \$0.5 million for M&A advisory services, payable in equal quarterly installments. We paid \$63 thousand related to this agreement through June 30, 2006. However, we have currently suspended payment under this agreement pending a determination whether Rich Capital LLC is capable of performing its obligations under the contract in view of the internal investigation's conclusions regarding stock options awarded to Mr. Rich.

**15. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans

( SFAS 158 ), SFAS 158 amends SFAS 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions , SFAS 88, Employers Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits , SFAS 106, Employers Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions , and SFAS 132 (revised 2003), Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits . SFAS 158 requires employers to recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a plan s overfunded status or a liability for a plan s underfunded status. It also requires employers to measure plan assets and obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the fiscal year. Lastly, employers are required to recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year that the changes occur with the changes reported in comprehensive income. SFAS 158 is required to be adopted by entities with fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operation or liquidity.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 Fair Value Measurements ( SFAS 157 ). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The statement clarifies that the exchange price is the price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

an asset or transfer a liability at the measurement date. The statement emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement and not an entity-specific measurement. It also establishes a fair value hierarchy used in fair value measurements and expands the required disclosures of assets and liabilities measured at fair value. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operation or liquidity.

In September 2006, the SEC released SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*, (SAB 108), which addresses how uncorrected errors in previous years should be considered when quantifying errors in current-year financial statements. SAB 108 requires registrants to consider the effect of all carry over and reversing effects of prior-year misstatements when quantifying errors in current-year financial statements. SAB 108 does not change the SEC staff's previous guidance on evaluating the materiality of errors. It allows registrants to record the effects of adopting SAB 108 guidance as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings. This adjustment must be reported in the annual financial statements of the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operation or liquidity.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, which prescribes comprehensive guidelines for recognizing, measuring, presenting and disclosing in the financial statements tax positions taken or expected to be taken on tax returns. FIN 48, effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, seeks to reduce the diversity in practice associated with certain aspects of the recognition and measurement related to accounting for income taxes. We are currently assessing the impact of FIN 48 on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

**16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

Please see Note 2. Review of Stock Option Grant Practices and Note 14. Departure of Executive Officers in these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for discussions of our internal investigation of our stock option grant practices and subsequent restatement of previously filed financial statements and the departure of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as a result of that investigation.

Please see Note 7. Long-Term Debt for a discussion of the Alleged Default and Purported Acceleration of our Senior Notes and waiver, amendments and consents received for our Credit Facility.

In October 2006, we completed the acquisition of Systech Integrators, Inc. (Systech), an information technology solutions company offering an array of SAP software services. Systech's services include SAP consulting services, systems integration and custom application development and maintenance. The transaction was valued at approximately \$65 million plus contingent payments of up to \$40 million based on future financial performance. The transaction was funded with a combination of cash on hand and borrowings under our Credit Facility. We believe this acquisition will enhance our position as a comprehensive provider of SAP services across numerous markets.

Because of the investigation into our stock option grant practices, we were unable to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Annual Meeting of Stockholders was delayed, and the regularly scheduled meeting of our Board of Directors that was to have occurred in November 2006 was focused solely on stock option investigation matters and any other matters for consideration were deferred. Under our stock option granting policy, the day prior to or the day of that regularly scheduled November Board meeting, the Compensation Committee could have granted options to new hires, employees receiving a grant in connection with a promotion, or persons who become ACS employees as a result of an acquisition. On the morning of December 9, 2006, the Compensation Committee met to discuss whether options, which were now available under the 1997 Stock Incentive Plan, should be granted to new hires, employees receiving a grant in connection with a promotion, or persons who became ACS employees as a result of an acquisition. After consideration of the fact that options would have been granted in November, if the regularly scheduled Board meeting had not deferred consideration of matters other than the stock option investigation, the Compensation Committee met on December 9, 2006 and, as a result of their actions at that meeting, a grant of 692,000 shares was made to new hires, employees receiving a grant in connection with a promotion, or persons who

become ACS employees as a result of an acquisition, with such grants including 140,000 shares to Lynn Blodgett, who had been promoted to President and Chief Executive Officer; 75,000 shares to John Rexford who had been promoted to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and named a director; and 100,000 shares each to Ms. Vezina and Mr. Burlin, which grants were in recognition of their recent promotions to Chief Operating Officers of the Commercial and Government segments, respectively, and had been approved by the compensation committee on August 15, 2006 but were deferred until shares were available for grant.

**Table of Contents**

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

Prior to 2002 we had guaranteed \$11.5 million of certain loan obligations owed to Citicorp USA, Inc. by DDH Aviation, Inc., a corporate airplane brokerage company organized in 1997 (as may have been reorganized subsequent to July 2002, herein referred to as DDH ). Our Chairman owned a majority interest in DDH. In consideration for that guaranty, we had access to corporate aircraft at favorable rates. In July 2002, our Chairman assumed in full our guaranty obligations to Citicorp and Citicorp released in full our guaranty obligations. As partial consideration for the release of our corporate guaranty, we agreed to provide certain administrative services to DDH at no charge until such time as DDH meets certain specified financial criteria. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2003, we purchased \$1 million in prepaid charter flights at favorable rates from DDH. As of June 30, 2006, we had \$0.6 million remaining in prepaid flights with DDH. In the second quarter of fiscal year 2007, we were notified by DDH Aviation, Inc., a corporate airplane brokerage company in which our Chairman owns a majority interest, of their intent to wind down operations; therefore, we recorded a charge of \$0.6 million related to the unused prepaid charter flights. We anticipate that these administrative services will cease prior to June 30, 2007 as a result of the wind down of the DDH operations.

The CSB contract is our largest contract. We have provided loan servicing for the Department of Education's Direct Student Loan program for over ten years. In 2003 the Department conducted a competitive procurement for its Common Services for Borrowers initiative ( CSB ). CSB was a modernization initiative which integrated a number of services for the Department, allowing the Department to increase service quality while saving overall program costs. In November 2003 the Department awarded us the CSB contract. Under this contract we provide comprehensive loan servicing, consolidation loan processing, debt collection services on delinquent accounts, IT infrastructure operations and support, maintenance and development of information systems, and portfolio management services for the Department of Education's Direct Student Loan program. We are also developing software for use in delivering these services. The CSB contract has a 5-year base term which began in January 2004 and provides the Department of Education five one-year options to extend after the base term. We estimate that our revenues from the CSB contract will exceed \$1 billion in total over the base term of the contract. Annual revenues from this contract represent approximately 4% of our fiscal year 2006 revenues.

Through December 31, 2006 our capitalized expenditures for software development under the CSB contract have totaled approximately \$113 million, of which approximately \$38 million has been implemented with the current production system. Our model for development of software under the CSB contract may change and we may only be able to use a portion of the uncompleted software with the current production system. As a result, we may incur a material, non-cash, impairment of a portion of our remaining capitalized software development costs, which aggregate approximately \$75 million. However, we currently cannot determine the amount, if any, of this potential impairment of our capitalized development costs.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

All statements in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations that are not based on historical fact are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and the provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (which Sections were adopted as part of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995). While management has based any forward-looking statements contained herein on its current expectations, the information on which such expectations were based may change. These forward-looking statements rely on a number of assumptions concerning future events and are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties, and other factors, many of which are outside of our control, that could cause actual results to materially differ from such statements. Such risks, uncertainties, and other factors include, but are not necessarily limited to, those set forth in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, we operate in a highly competitive and rapidly changing environment, and new risks may arise. Accordingly, investors should not place any reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. We disclaim any intention to, and undertake no obligation to, update or revise any forward-looking statement.

We report our financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP). However, we believe that certain non-GAAP financial measures and ratios, used in managing our business, may provide users of this financial information with additional meaningful comparisons between current results and prior reported results. Certain of the information set forth herein and certain of the information presented by us from time to time (including free cash flow and internal revenue growth) may constitute non-GAAP financial measures within the meaning of Regulation G adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). We have presented herein and we will present in other information we publish that contains any of these non-GAAP financial measures a reconciliation of these measures to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure. The presentation of this additional information is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for comparable amounts determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States.

**OVERVIEW**

We derive our revenues from delivering comprehensive business process outsourcing and information technology services solutions to commercial and government clients. A substantial portion of our revenues is derived from recurring monthly charges to our clients under service contracts with initial terms that vary from one to ten years. We define recurring revenues as revenues derived from services that our clients use each year in connection with their ongoing businesses, and accordingly, exclude software license fees, short-term contract programming and consulting engagements, product installation fees, and hardware and software sales. However, as we add, through acquisitions or new service offerings, consulting or other services to enhance the value delivered and offered to our clients, which are primarily short-term in nature, we may experience variations in our mix of recurring versus non-recurring revenues. Since inception, our acquisition program has resulted in growth and diversification of our client base, expansion of services and products offered, increased economies of scale and geographic expansion.

Management focuses on various metrics in analyzing our business and its performance and outlook. One such metric is our sales pipeline, which was approximately \$1.6 billion of annual recurring revenues as of September 30, 2006. Our sales pipeline is a qualified pipeline of deals with signings anticipated within the next six months and excludes deals with annual recurring revenue over \$100 million. Both the commercial and government pipelines have significant, quality opportunities across multiple lines of business and in multiple verticals, including business process outsourcing, commercial and government information technology services and healthcare. We analyze the cash flow generation qualities of each deal in our pipeline and make decisions based on its cash return characteristics. While the magnitude of our sales pipeline is an important indicator of potential new business signings and potential future internal revenue growth, actual new business signings and internal revenue growth depend on a number of factors including the effectiveness of our sales pursuit teams, competition for a deal, deal pricing and other risks described further in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We use internal revenue growth as a measure of the organic growth of our business. Internal revenue growth is measured as total revenue growth less acquired revenue from acquisitions and revenues from divested operations. At

the date of acquisition, we identify the trailing twelve months of revenue of the acquired company as the pre-acquisition revenue of acquired companies. Pre-acquisition revenue of the acquired companies is considered acquired revenues in our calculation, and revenues from the acquired company, either above or below that amount are components of internal growth in our calculation. We use the calculation of internal revenue growth to measure revenue growth excluding the impact of acquired revenues and the revenue associated with divested operations and we believe these adjustments to historical reported results are necessary to accurately reflect our internal revenue growth. Revenues from divested operations are excluded from the internal revenue growth calculation in the periods following the effective date of the divestiture. Our measure of internal revenue growth may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Prior period internal revenue growth calculations are not restated for current period divestitures.

## **Table of Contents**

Management analyzes new business signings on a trailing twelve month basis as it is generally a better indicator of future growth than quarterly new business signings which can vary due to timing of contract execution. We define new business signings as recurring revenue from new contracts, including the incremental portion of renewals, signed during the period and represent the estimated first twelve months of revenue to be recorded under that contract after full implementation. We use new business signings as additional measures of estimating total revenue represented by contractual commitments, both to forecast prospective revenues and to estimate capital commitments. Revenues for new business signings are measured under GAAP. There are no third party standards or requirements governing the calculation of new business signings and our measure may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies.

Renewal rates are a key indicator of client satisfaction. Our fiscal year 2006 renewal rates were approximately 85%. We define total contract value as the estimated total revenues from contracts signed during the period and represents estimated total revenue over the term of the contract. We use total contract value as an additional measure of estimating total revenue represented by contractual commitments, both to forecast prospective revenues and to estimate capital commitments. Revenues for total contract value are measured under GAAP. Due to the timing of renewal rates on a quarterly basis we believe it is appropriate to analyze our renewal rates on an annual basis.

We compete for new business in the competitive IT services and business process outsourcing markets. The overall health of these markets and the competitive environment can be determined by analyzing several key metrics. One of the metrics we monitor is the overall expected operating margin of our new business signings which is a good indicator of our expected future operating margin given the long-term nature of our customer contracts. We are seeing that the overall expected operating margin of new business signings is consistent with our historical operating margin. While the expected operating margin on new business signings may change in the future, we believe the current expected operating margin trend supports a healthy competitive pricing environment.

Another metric of new business signings that we monitor is capital intensity, defined as capital expenditures and additions to intangible assets, of new business signings. Understanding the capital intensity of new business signings is helpful in determining the future free cash flow generating levels of our business. Historically the capital intensity in our business has ranged between 5-7%. During fiscal year 2006, the overall capital intensity of our business was slightly in excess of 8% due to approximately \$60 million in investments that we made in certain areas of our business. These investments included investments related to integrating the Acquired HR Business (defined below) and expanding our human resources outsourcing technology platform; investments made in our Government healthcare technology platforms; the expansion of our data center capacity with the addition of a new data center and investments to increase global production both in existing locations and new geographies. The expected capital intensity of new business signings during fiscal year 2006 was consistent with our historical range. We believe the expected capital intensity range of our new business signings reflects a healthy competitive environment and the related risks we are taking with respects to our new IT services and business process outsourcing business.

Retaining and training our employees is a key ingredient to our historical success and will continue to be a major factor in our future success. We consistently review our retention rates on a regional and global basis to ensure that we are competitive in hiring, retaining and motivating our employees. We perform benchmarking studies against some markets in which we compete to ensure our competitiveness in compensation and benefits and utilize employee surveys to gauge our employees' level of satisfaction. We provide our employees ongoing technological and leadership training and will continue to do so to develop our employees and remain competitive. We utilize incentive based compensation as a means to motivate certain of our employees in both segments of our business and anticipate increasing our use of incentive based compensation in fiscal year 2007. We believe our use of incentive based compensation is a competitive advantage for ACS.

## **REVIEW OF STOCK OPTION GRANT PRACTICES**

On March 3, 2006 we received notice from the Securities and Exchange Commission that it is conducting an informal investigation into certain stock option grants made by us from October 1998 through March 2005. On June 7, 2006 and on June 16, 2006 we received requests from the SEC for information on all of our stock option grants since 1994. We have responded to the SEC's requests for information and are cooperating in the informal investigation.



On May 17, 2006, we received a grand jury subpoena from the United States District Court, Southern District of New York requesting production of documents related to granting of our stock option grants. We have responded to the grand jury subpoena and have provided documents to the United States Attorney's Office in connection with the grand jury proceeding. We have informed the Securities and Exchange Commission and the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York of the results of our internal investigation into our stock option grant practices and will continue to cooperate with these governmental entities and their investigations.

We initiated an internal investigation of our stock option grant practices in response to the pending informal investigation by the Securities and Exchange Commission and a subpoena from a grand jury in the Southern District of New York. The investigation

**Table of Contents**

reviewed our historical stock option grant practices during the period from 1994 through 2005, including all 73 stock option grants made by us during this period, and the related disclosure in our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, filed May 15, 2006 (the May 2006 Form 10-Q ).

The investigation was overseen by a special committee of the Board of Directors which consisted of all the independent members of the Board. The special committee retained Bracewell & Giuliani LLP as independent counsel to conduct the internal investigation. In November 2006 the results of the investigation were reported to the special committee, at which time the committee submitted recommendations for action to the Board. These recommendations are now being implemented by the Board substantially as submitted by the special committee.

During the course of the investigation, more than 2 million pages of electronic and hardcopy documents and emails were reviewed. In addition, approximately 40 interviews of current and former officers, directors, employees and other individuals were conducted. The independent directors, in their role as special committee members and as independent directors prior to formation of the committee, met extensively since the SEC informal investigation commenced to consider the matters related to the stock option grant practices. The investigation was necessarily limited in that the investigation team did not have access to certain witnesses with relevant information (including former Chief Executive Officer, Jeffrey A. Rich) and due to the lack of metadata for certain electronic documentation prior to 2000.

The following background pertaining to our historical stock option grant practices was confirmed through the investigation. Option grants were typically initiated by our senior management or Darwin Deason, Chairman of the Board (and chairman of the compensation committee from 1994 through August 2003), on a prospective basis at times when they believed it was appropriate to consider option grants and the price of our common stock was relatively low based on an analysis of, among other things, price-earnings multiples. With respect to each grant of options to senior executives, the Chairman gave a broad authorization to the CEO which included approval of option recipients and the number of stock options to be awarded to each recipient. In the case of non-senior management grants, the Chairman gave his general authorization for the awarding of options and the CEO would subsequently obtain his approval of option recipients and the number of stock options to be awarded. With respect to both senior executive and non-senior management grants, after the Chairman's broad authorization, Jeffrey A. Rich, Mark A. King and/or Warren D. Edwards then selected the date to be recorded as the grant date as they, assisted by employees who reported to them, prepared the paperwork that documented the grant recommendations to be considered by the applicable compensation committee. Thus, between 1994 and 2005, grant dates and related exercise prices were generally selected by Mr. Rich, Mr. King, and/or Mr. Edwards. Mr. Rich served as CFO during the period prior to 1994 and until May 1995, President and Chief Operating Officer from May 1995 until February 1999, President and Chief Executive Officer from February 1999 until August 2002, and Chief Executive Officer from August 2002 until his resignation September 29, 2005. Mr. King served as CFO from May 1995 through March 2001, COO from March 2001 through August 2002, President and COO from August 2002 through September 2005, and President and CEO from September 2005 through November 26, 2006. Mr. Edwards served as CFO from March 2001 through November 26, 2006.

As described in our May 2006 Form 10-Q, our regular and special compensation committees used unanimous written consents signed by all members of the committee ratifying their prior verbal approvals of option grants to senior executives or options granted in connection with significant acquisitions. In connection with option grants to senior executives, the historical practice was for the Chairman, on or about the day he gave senior management his broad authorization to proceed with preparing paperwork for option grants, to call each of the compensation committee members to discuss and obtain approval for the grants. In cases where grants were awarded to senior executives and in large blocks to non-senior management the Chairman and members of the compensation committee discussed grants to senior executives specifically and, on certain occasions, acknowledged generally that a block of grants would be awarded to non-senior management as well. For grants to non-senior management which were not combined with senior executive grants, the Chairman and the committee members generally did not discuss the grants at the time the Chairman gave his broad authorization to senior management to proceed with preparing paperwork for option grants, but unanimous written consents were subsequently signed by the committee members in order to document the effective date of the grants.

The investigation concluded that in a significant number of cases Mr. Rich, Mr. King and/or Mr. Edwards used hindsight to select favorable grant dates during the limited time periods after Mr. Deason had given the officers his authorization to proceed to prepare the paperwork for the option grants and before formal grant documentation was submitted to the applicable compensation committee. No evidence was found to suggest that grant dates which preceded Mr. Deason's broad authorization were ever selected. In a number of instances, our stock price was trending downward at the time Mr. Deason's authorization was given, but started to rise as the grant recommendation memoranda were being finalized. The investigation found that in those instances Mr. Rich, Mr. King and/or Mr. Edwards often looked back in time and selected as the grant date a date on which the price was at a low, notwithstanding that the date had already passed and the stock price on the date of the actual selection was higher. Recommendation memoranda attendant to these grants were intentionally misdated at the direction of Mr. Rich, Mr. King and/or Mr. Edwards to make it appear as if the memoranda had been created at or about the time of the chosen grant date, when in fact, they had been created afterwards. As a result, stock options were awarded at prices that were at, or near, the quarterly low and we effectively granted in the money options without recording the appropriate compensation expense.

**Table of Contents**

The evidence gathered in the investigation disclosed that aside from Mr. Rich, Mr. King and Mr. Edwards, one other of our current management employees, who is not an executive officer or director, was aware of the intentional misdating of documents. Based on the evidence reviewed, no other current executives, directors or management employees were aware of either the improper use of hindsight in selecting grant dates or the intentional misdating of documents. It was also determined that these improper practices were generally followed with respect to option grants made to both senior executives and other employees. No evidence was found to suggest that the practices were selectively employed to favor executive officers over other employees.

The Company has made only one individual stock option grant to Mr. Deason since the Company was founded in 1988. The investigation, after extensive analysis of the available evidence, could not conclude that the reported grant date for this stock option grant, July 23, 2002, was selected using hindsight. Mr. Deason has never exercised any options under this single individual option grant. (Two other option grants to Mr. Deason are being used by the Company as a means to partially fund its retirement obligations to Mr. Deason).

Further, with respect to our May 2006 Form 10-Q, the investigation concluded that Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements which stated, in part, that we did not believe that any director or officer of the Company has engaged in the intentional backdating of stock option grants in order to achieve a more advantageous exercise price, was inaccurate because, at the time the May 2006 Form 10-Q was filed, Mr. King and Mr. Edwards either knew or should have known that we awarded options through a process in which favorable grant dates were selected with the benefit of hindsight in order to achieve a more advantageous exercise price and that the term backdating was readily applicable to our option grant process. Neither Mr. King nor Mr. Edwards told our directors, outside counsel or independent accountants that our stock options were often granted by looking back and taking advantage of past low prices. Instead, both Mr. King and Mr. Edwards attributed the disparity between recorded grant dates and the creation dates of the paperwork attendant to the stock option grants to other factors that did not involve the use of hindsight.

The investigation concluded that the conduct of Mr. King and Mr. Edwards with regard to the misdating of recommendation memoranda as well as their conduct with regard to the May 2006 Form 10-Q violated our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers. As a result the special committee recommended that Mr. King and Mr. Edwards should resign. Effective November 26, 2006 each of Mr. King and Mr. Edwards resigned from all executive management positions with us. See *Departure of Executive Officers* below for a discussion of the terms of their separation.

The Board of Directors appointed Lynn Blodgett, who had been serving as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer and as a director since September 2005, as President and Chief Executive Officer, and John Rexford, who had been serving as Executive Vice President - Corporate Development since March 2001, as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and as a director, in each case effective on November 26, 2006. Mr. Blodgett and Mr. Rexford each have served in various executive capacities with us for over ten years.

In addition to the resignations of Mr. King and Mr. Edwards and the approval of the terms of their separation, the Board of Directors announced the following actions and decisions, some of which have already been implemented, as the result of the findings of our stock option investigation:

The stock options held by our employees (other than Messrs. King and Edwards and one management employee) will be adjusted as necessary, with the optionee's consent, to avoid adverse tax consequences to the employee, and we will compensate such employees for any increase in exercise price resulting from the matters which were the subject of the internal investigation.

Our non-employee directors, to avoid the appearance of inappropriate gain, voluntarily agreed that with respect to any historical option grants to them which require incremental compensation expense as a result of revised measurement dates, the exercise price will be increased to equal the fair market value of the stock on the revised measurement date, regardless of whether such increase is necessary to avoid adverse tax consequences to the director. The non-employee directors will not be reimbursed to offset any individual loss of economic benefit related to such repriced stock options.

Another employee (not an officer as defined in Rule 16a-1(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) will be reassigned and all of such employee's stock options will be repriced so that the exercise price equals the fair market value of our stock on the proper measurement date.

We will consider whether to recover certain profits from Jeffrey A. Rich, former Chief Executive Officer, which relate to stock options awarded to Mr. Rich which the internal investigation concluded were awarded through a process in which favorable grant dates were selected after the fact.

**Table of Contents**

We implemented, or are in the process of implementing, a number of changes to our internal controls, including:

- o After reviewing the results of the investigation to date, our Board of Directors determined that it would be appropriate to accept the resignations of Mr. King and Mr. Edwards. Our Board of Directors has since appointed a new Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.
- o Designating internal legal and accounting staffs to oversee the documentation and accounting of all grants of stock options or restricted stock.
- o Monitoring industry and regulatory developments in stock option and restricted stock awards and implementing and maintaining best practices with respect to grants of stock options or restricted stock.
- o Adhering to the practice of making annual grants on a date certain and through board or committee meetings, and not through a unanimous written consent process. This change has already been implemented.

We have concluded that there were accounting errors with respect to a number of stock option grants. In general, these stock options were originally granted with an exercise price equal to the NYSE or NASDAQ closing market price for our common stock on the date set forth on unanimous written consents signed by one or more members of the appropriate Compensation Committees. We originally used the stated date of these consents as the measurement date for the purpose of accounting for them under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ( GAAP ), and as a result recorded no compensation expense in connection with the grants.

We have concluded that a number of unanimous written consents were not fully executed or effective on the date set forth on the consents and that using the date stated thereon as the measurement date was incorrect. We have determined a revised measurement date for each stock option grant based on the information now available to us. The revised measurement date reflects the date for which there is objective evidence that the required granting actions necessary to approve the grants, in accordance with our corporate governance procedures, were completed. The accounting guidelines we used in determining the correct accounting measurement date for our option grants require clear evidence of final corporate granting action approving the option grants. Therefore, while the internal investigation did not conclude that option grant dates with respect to certain grants had been selected with hindsight, we nevertheless concluded in many cases that the accounting measurement dates for these grants should be adjusted because the final corporate granting action occurred after the original grant date reflected in our unanimous written consents. In cases where the closing market price on the revised measurement date exceeded the NYSE or NASDAQ closing market price on the original measurement date, we have recognized compensation expense equal to this excess over the vesting term of each option, in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, ( APB25 ) for periods ending on or before June 30, 2005. Additionally, beginning July 1, 2005, we have recognized compensation expense in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ( SFAS 123(R) ) based on the fair value of stock options granted, using the revised measurement dates.

Subsequent to the delivery of the results of the investigation, we, with the approval of our Audit Committee, have determined that the cumulative non-cash stock-based compensation expense adjustment was material and that our consolidated financial statements for each of the first three quarters of fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, each of the quarters in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 and each of the fiscal years ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, as well as the selected consolidated financial data for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 should be restated to record additional stock-based compensation expense resulting from stock options granted during 1994 to 2005 that were incorrectly accounted for under GAAP, and related income tax effects. Related income tax effects include deferred income tax benefits on the compensation expense, and additional income tax liabilities and estimated penalties and interest related to the application of Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) and related Treasury Regulations ( Section 162(m) ) to stock-based executive compensation previously deducted, that is now no longer deductible as a result of revised measurement dates of certain stock option grants. We have also included in our

restatements additional income tax liabilities and estimated penalties and interest, with adjustments to additional paid-in capital and income tax expense, related to certain cash and stock-based executive compensation deductions previously taken under Section 162(m), which we believe may now be non-deductible as a result of information that has been obtained by us in connection with our internal investigation, due to factors unrelated to revised measurement dates. Our decision to restate our financial statements was based on the facts obtained by management and the special committee.

**Table of Contents**

We have determined that the cumulative, pre-tax, non-cash stock-based compensation expense resulting from revised measurement dates was approximately \$51.2 million during the period from our initial public offering in 1994 through June 30, 2006. The corrections relate to options covering approximately 19.4 million shares. We recorded additional stock-based compensation expense of \$2.1 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 and \$6.1 million and \$7.5 million for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$35.5 million for fiscal years ending prior to fiscal 2004. Previously reported total revenues were not impacted by our restatement. The table below reflects the cumulative effect on our stockholders' equity during the period from our initial public offering in 1994 through June 30, 2006 (in thousands):

Decrease in cumulative net income and retained earnings:		
Stock-based compensation expense	\$ (51,207)	
Estimated tax related penalties and interest on underpayment deficiencies resulting from disallowed Section 162(m) executive compensation deductions	(11,562)	
Decrease in pretax profit	(62,769)	
Income tax benefit, net	<u>12,918</u>	
Decrease in cumulative net income and retained earnings		\$ (49,851)
Increase to additional paid-in capital:		
Stock-based compensation expense	51,207	
Reduction of excess tax benefits for certain stock options exercised, due to revised measurement dates (1)	(10,210)	
Reduction of excess tax benefits for certain stock option exercised related to disallowed Section 162(m) executive compensation deductions, due to revised measurement dates (2)	(13,372)	
Reduction of excess tax benefits for certain stock options exercised related to disallowed executive compensation deductions previously believed to qualify for Section 162(m) exceptions, due to factors unrelated to revised measurement dates (3)	(10,505)	
Increase in additional paid-in capital		17,120
Decrease in stockholders' equity at June 30, 2006		\$ (32,731)

- (1) We recorded cumulative deferred income tax benefits of \$15.3 million for the income tax effect related to the stock-based compensation expense adjustments arising from revised measurement dates, of which \$10.2 million has been realized through June 30, 2006 upon stock option exercises and has been reflected as a reduction of excess tax benefits previously recorded in additional paid-in capital.
- (2) Excess tax benefits for certain stock-based executive compensation deductions from stock option exercises previously recorded in additional paid-in capital are now disallowed under Section 162(m) due to revised measurement dates of certain stock option grants. See "Other Tax Matters" below in this discussion of "Review of Stock Option Grant Practices."
- (3) Excess tax benefits for certain stock-based executive compensation deductions from stock option exercises previously recorded in additional paid-in capital may now be non-deductible under Section 162(m) as a result of information obtained by us in connection with our internal investigation, due to factors unrelated to revised



measurement dates. See Other Tax Matters below in this discussion of Review of Stock Option Grant Practices.

**Table of Contents**

The table below reflects the breakdown by year of the cumulative adjustment to retained earnings. Our consolidated financial statements included in previously filed periodic reports with the SEC for such periods have not been amended. The consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K have been restated. (in thousands)

Years ended June 30,	Stock-based compensation expense	Estimated interest and penalties (1)	Income tax benefit, net	Total adjustments
1995	\$ (63)	\$	\$ 23	\$ (40)
1996	(444)		130	(314)
1997	(1,404)		301	(1,103)
1998	(1,876)		405	(1,471)
1999	(3,325)		717	(2,608)
2000	(4,870)	(87)	511	(4,446)
2001	(6,433)	(546)	1,074	(5,905)
2002	(7,833)	(1,414)	1,636	(7,611)
2003	(9,237)	(1,454)	2,119	(8,572)
Cumulative effect at June 30, 2003	(35,485)	(3,501)	6,916	(32,070)

Years ended June 30,	Net income as reported					Net income as restated
2004	\$ 529,843	(7,527)	(2,509)	1,921	(8,115)	\$ 521,728
2005	415,945	(6,061)	(2,526)	2,211	(6,376)	409,569
2006		(2,134)	(3,026)	1,870	(3,290)	
Cumulative effect at June 30, 2006	\$ (51,207)	\$ (11,562)	\$ 12,918	\$ (49,851)		

(1) Estimated interest and penalties on income tax underpayment deficiencies resulting from disallowed executive compensation deductions under Section 162(m).

In connection with the restatement of our consolidated financial statements discussed above, we assessed the impact of the findings of our internal investigation into our historical stock option grant practices and other tax matters on our reported income tax benefits and deductions, including income tax deductions previously taken for cash and stock-based executive compensation under the provisions of Section 162(m). In connection with that assessment, we determined that adjustments were required to our (i) income tax expense previously reported in our Consolidated Statements of Income; (ii) the tax benefits on stock option exercises previously reported in our Consolidated

Statements of Cash Flows and Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and (iii) the deferred tax assets previously reported in our Consolidated Balance Sheets, in order to give effect to the impact of the investigation findings and those of our assessments.

In our Consolidated Statements of Income, we recorded deferred income tax benefits of \$0.8 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.7 million for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$9.6 million for periods prior to fiscal year 2004 related to the stock-based compensation adjustments arising from revised measurement dates. Of these cumulative deferred income tax benefits of \$15.3 million, \$10.2 million has been realized through June 30, 2006 upon stock option exercises and has been reflected as a reduction of excess tax benefits previously recorded in additional paid-in capital. At June 30, 2006 and 2005, we recorded adjustments in our Consolidated Balance Sheets of \$5.1 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, to recognize deferred income tax assets on stock-based compensation relating to unexercised stock options remaining at those dates.

We also recorded current income tax benefits of \$1.1 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$0.1 million for periods prior to fiscal year 2004 related to the income tax benefit of the estimated deductible interest expense on income tax underpayment deficiencies related to disallowed cash and stock based executive compensation deductions previously taken under Section 162(m) as discussed in "Other tax matters" below. These income tax benefits are reduced by current income tax expense of \$0 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million for the fiscal years June 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$2.8 million for periods prior to fiscal year 2004 related to disallowed cash based executive incentive compensation deductions that were previously believed to qualify as a deduction under

**Table of Contents**

Section 162(m). The sum of these current and deferred income tax adjustments are reflected as income tax benefit, net, in the above tables.

The components of income tax benefit, net, are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Deferred income tax benefit on stock-based compensation</b>	<b>Current income tax benefit on deductible interest</b>	<b>Current income tax expense on disallowed deductions under Section 162(m)</b>	<b>Income tax benefit, net</b>
Years ended June 30,				
1995	\$ 23	\$	\$	\$ 23
1996	130			130
1997	301			301
1998	405			405
1999	717			717
2000	945		(434)	511
2001	1,598		(524)	1,074
2002	2,287		(651)	1,636
2003	3,246	70	(1,197)	2,119
Cumulative effect at June 30, 2003	9,652	70	(2,806)	6,916
Years ended June 30,				
2004	2,702	387	(1,168)	1,921
2005	2,194	576	(559)	2,211
2006	774	1,096		1,870
Cumulative effect at June 30, 2006	\$ 15,322	\$ 2,129	\$ (4,533)	\$ 12,918

*Other tax matters*

The revision of measurement dates for certain stock option grants in connection with our internal investigation required us to assess our previous performance-based cash and stock-based executive compensation income tax deductions previously claimed under Section 162(m) during the applicable periods. As a result of those assessments, we have determined that certain previously claimed stock-based executive compensation deductions under Section 162(m) upon stock option exercise are no longer deductible as a result of revised in-the-money measurement dates. Accordingly, our restatements include adjustments to record additional income taxes payable in the amount of \$13.4

million with a corresponding reduction of excess tax benefits previously recorded in additional paid-in capital. Our restatements also include adjustments to record additional income taxes payable in the amount of approximately \$15 million with a corresponding reduction of excess tax benefits previously recorded in additional paid-in capital of \$10.5 million and an increase in current income tax expense of \$4.5 million, related to certain cash and stock-based executive compensation deductions previously taken under Section 162(m), which we believe may now be non-deductible as a result of information that has been obtained by us in connection with our internal investigation, due to factors unrelated to revised measurement dates. We have also recorded estimated penalties and interest in the amount of \$3 million, \$2.5 million and \$2.5 million for the years ended June 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$3.5 million for periods prior to fiscal year 2004 for these estimated income tax payment deficiencies.

At September 30, 2006, we have recorded approximately \$38.5 million of additional income taxes payable, including estimated interest and penalties related disallowed Section 162(m) executive compensation deductions either resulting from revised measurement dates or due to factors unrelated to revised measurement dates, but which were previously believed to qualify for Section 162(m) deductions. At this time, we cannot predict when the Section 162(m) underpayment deficiencies, together with interest and penalties, if any, will be paid. We expect to fund any such payments from cash flows from operating activities.

Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code ( Section 409A ) provides that option holders with options granted with a below-market exercise price, to the extent the options were not vested as of December 31, 2004, may be subject to adverse Federal income tax consequences. Holders of these options will likely be required to recognize taxable income at the date of vesting for those options vesting after December 31, 2004, rather than upon exercise, on the difference between the amount of the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the date of vesting and the exercise price, plus an additional 20 percent penalty tax and interest on any income tax to be paid. We will be amending the exercise price of certain outstanding stock options to avoid adverse tax consequences to individual option holders under Section 409A and all of our employees and executives (other than Mark A. King, former President and

**Table of Contents**

Chief Executive Officer; Warren D. Edwards, former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; and one management employee) will be reimbursed to offset any loss of economic benefit related to such re-priced stock options. We will not be re-pricing all option grants for which accounting measurement dates were adjusted. Option grants to executives, employees and certain former employees whose options remain outstanding will be re-priced only to the extent necessary to avoid adverse tax consequences to the individuals, other than Mr. King, Mr. Edwards and one management employee. Grants to certain current and former officers and employee directors were required to be repriced on or before December 31, 2006 in order to comply with income tax regulations, and accordingly, on December 28, 2006, we repriced awards totaling 876,800 shares held by certain current and former officers and employee directors.

We expect to pay to certain current and former employees approximately \$8 million in order to compensate such individuals for any increase in exercise price resulting from the matters which were the subject of the internal investigation, in order to avoid the adverse individual income tax impact of Section 409A due to revised measurement dates. The \$8 million related to Section 409A will be paid to the affected individuals beginning in January 2008 and as the related stock options vest. We expect to fund any such payment from cash flows from operating activities, however, we have not yet determined the impact to our results of operations or financial condition. The increased exercise prices to be paid by optionholders upon their exercise is expected to offset, in the aggregate, the \$8 million; however, the timing of any such exercises cannot be determined.

**DEPARTURE OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

On November 26, 2006, Mark A. King resigned as our President, Chief Executive Officer and as a director. In connection therewith, on November 26, 2006 we and Mr. King entered into a separation agreement (the King Agreement ). The King Agreement provides, among other things, that Mr. King will remain with us as an employee providing transitional services until June 30, 2007. In addition, under the terms of the King Agreement, all unvested stock options held by Mr. King have been terminated as of November 26, 2006, excluding options that would have otherwise vested prior to August 31, 2007 which will be permitted to vest on their regularly scheduled vesting dates provided that Mr. King does not materially breach certain specified provisions of the King Agreement. The King Agreement also provides that the exercise price of Mr. King's vested stock options will be increased to an amount determined by us in a manner consistent with the final determination of the review performed by us in conjunction with the audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006 and the exercise price of certain vested options will be further increased by the amount by which the aggregate exercise price of stock options previously exercised by Mr. King would have been increased had the stock options not been previously exercised. Mr. King's vested options, if unexercised, will expire no later than June 30, 2008. The King Agreement also subjects Mr. King to non-competition and non-solicitation covenants until December 31, 2009. In addition, the King Agreement provides that Mr. King's severance agreement with us is terminated, Mr. King's salary will be reduced during the transition period and Mr. King will not be eligible to participate in our bonus plans. Mr. King will be eligible to receive certain of our provided health benefits through December 31, 2009 the estimated cost of which is not material.

On November 26, 2006, Warren D. Edwards resigned as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. In connection therewith, on November 26, 2006 we and Mr. Edwards entered into a separation agreement (the Edwards Agreement ). The Edwards Agreement provides, among other things, that Mr. Edwards will remain with us as an employee providing transitional services until June 30, 2007. In addition, under the terms of the Edwards Agreement, all unvested stock options held by Mr. Edwards have been terminated as of November 26, 2006, excluding options that would have otherwise vested prior to August 31, 2007 which will be permitted to vest on their regularly scheduled vesting dates provided that Mr. Edwards does not materially breach certain specified provisions of the Edwards Agreement. The Edwards Agreement also provides that the exercise price of Mr. Edwards' vested stock options will be increased to an amount determined by us in a manner consistent with the final determination of the review performed by us in conjunction with the audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006. Mr. Edwards' vested options, if unexercised, will expire no later than June 30, 2008. The Edwards Agreement also subjects Mr. Edwards to non-competition and non-solicitation covenants until December 31, 2009. In addition, the Edwards Agreement provides that Mr. Edwards' severance agreement with us is terminated, Mr. Edwards' salary

will be reduced during the transition period and Mr. Edwards will not be eligible to participate in our bonus plans. Mr. Edwards will be eligible to receive certain of our provided health benefits through December 31, 2009, the estimated cost of which is not material.

On September 29, 2005, Jeffrey A. Rich submitted his resignation as a director and Chief Executive Officer. On September 30, 2005 we entered into an Agreement with Mr. Rich, which, among other things, provided the following: (i) Mr. Rich remained on our payroll and was paid his current base salary (of \$820 thousand annually) through June 30, 2006; (ii) Mr. Rich was not eligible to participate in our performance-based incentive compensation program in fiscal year 2006; (iii) we purchased from Mr. Rich all options previously granted to Mr. Rich that were vested as of the date of the Agreement in exchange for an aggregate cash payment, less applicable income and payroll taxes, equal to the amount determined by subtracting the exercise price of each such vested option from \$54.08 per share and all such vested options were terminated and cancelled; (iv) all options previously granted to Mr. Rich that were unvested as of the date of the Agreement were terminated (such options had an in-the-money value of approximately \$4.6 million based on the closing price of our stock on the New York Stock Exchange on September 29, 2005); (v) Mr. Rich received a lump sum cash payment of \$4.1 million; (vi) Mr. Rich continued to receive executive benefits for health, dental and vision through September 30, 2007; (vii) Mr. Rich also received limited administrative assistance through September 30, 2006; and (viii) in the event Mr. Rich established

**Table of Contents**

an M&A advisory firm by January 1, 2007, we agreed to retain such firm for a two year period from its formation for \$250 thousand per year plus a negotiated success fee for completed transactions. The Agreement also contains certain standard restrictions, including restrictions on soliciting our employees for a period of three years and soliciting our customers or competing with us for a period of two years. Mr. Rich has established an M&A advisory firm and in June 2006, we entered into an agreement with Rich Capital LLC, an M&A advisory firm owned by Mr. Rich. The agreement is for two years, during which time we will pay a total of \$0.5 million for M&A advisory services, payable in equal quarterly installments. We paid \$63 thousand related to this agreement through June 30, 2006. However, we have currently suspended payment under this agreement pending a determination whether Rich Capital LLC is capable of performing its obligations under the contract in view of the internal investigation's conclusions regarding stock options awarded to Mr. Rich.

**SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS***New Business*

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, we signed contracts with new clients and incremental business with existing clients representing \$131.7 million of annualized recurring revenue. The Commercial segment contributed 79% of the new contract signings (based on annual recurring revenues) including contracts with Anthem, Verizon and Humana. The Government segment contributed 21% of the new contract signings (based on annual recurring revenues) including contracts with the Indiana Department of Workforce Development and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

*Acquisition*

In July 2006, we completed the acquisition of Primax Recoveries, Inc. ( Primax ), one of the industry's oldest and largest health care cost recovery firms. The transaction was valued at approximately \$40 million, plus related transaction costs excluding contingent consideration of up to \$10 million based upon future financial performance and was funded from cash on hand and borrowings on our Credit Facility (defined in Liquidity and Capital Resources). We believe this acquisition expands our payor offering to include subrogation and overpayment recovery services to help clients improve profitability while maintaining their valued relationships with plan participants, employers and providers. The operating results of the acquired business are included in our financial statements in the Commercial segment from the effective date of the acquisition, July 12, 2006.

*Restructuring and other activities*

During fiscal year 2006, we began a comprehensive assessment of our operations, including our overall cost structure, competitive position, technology assets and operating platform and foreign operations. As a result, we began certain restructuring initiatives and activities that are expected to enhance our competitive position in certain markets, and recorded certain restructuring charges and asset impairments arising from our discretionary decisions. We estimate a total of 2,100 employees will be involuntarily terminated as a result of these initiatives, consisting primarily of offshore processors and related management; however, we anticipate that a majority of these positions will be migrated to lower cost markets. As of September 30, 2006, approximately 2,000 employees have been involuntarily terminated. We anticipate the costs savings related to these involuntary terminations will be approximately \$105 million (\$75 million related to terminations in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007) of wages and benefits per year; however, some of the cost savings from these involuntary terminations will be reinvested in subject matter experts, project management talent and sales personnel as we look to further promote those lines of businesses that reflect the greatest potential for growth. Our assessment activities are ongoing and may result in further restructuring and related charges, the amount and timing of which cannot be determined at this time.

In our Commercial segment, we recorded a restructuring charge for involuntary termination of employees of \$4.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, which is reflected in wages and benefits in our Consolidated Statements of Income, and \$0.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, for impairments of facility costs and facility shutdown charges, which are reflected as part of total operating expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Income. In our Government segment, we recorded a restructuring charge for involuntary termination of employees of \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, which is reflected in wages and benefits in our Consolidated Statements of Income.





**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes activity for the accrual for involuntary termination of employees for the three months ended September 30, 2006 (in thousands), exclusive of the Acquired HR Business (defined below):

Balance at June 30, 2006	\$ 899
Accrual recorded	5,058
Payments	(907)
 Balance at September 30, 2006	 \$ 5,050

The September 30, 2006 accrual for involuntary termination of employees is expected to be paid primarily in fiscal year 2007 from cash flows from operating activities.

During fiscal year 2005, we acquired the human resources consulting and outsourcing businesses of Mellon Financial Corporation (the Acquired HR Business). In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006, we substantially completed the integration of the Acquired HR Business. The integration included the elimination of redundant facilities, marketing and overhead costs, and the consolidation of processes from the historical cost structure of the acquired Mellon organization. The liabilities recorded at closing for the Acquired HR Business include \$22.3 million in involuntary employee termination costs for employees of the Acquired HR Business in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 95-3 Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, \$0.4 million in involuntary employee termination payments were made and charged against accrued compensation bringing the total payments made to \$16.1 million. We also recorded a \$3.1 million and a \$1.2 million reduction to the accrual and to goodwill in fiscal year 2006 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, respectively, as a result of a change in our estimates of severance to be paid. As of September 30, 2006, the balance of the related accrual was \$1.9 million and is expected to be paid primarily in fiscal year 2007 from cash flows from operating activities.

*Share Repurchase Programs*

In June and August 2006, our Board of Directors authorized two share repurchase programs of up to \$1 billion each of our Class A common stock. The programs, which are open ended, allow us to repurchase our shares on the open market, from time to time, in accordance with the requirements of the SEC rules and regulations, including shares that could be purchased pursuant to SEC Rule 10b5-1. The number of shares to be purchased and the timing of purchases will be based on the level of cash and debt balances, general business conditions, and other factors, including alternative investment opportunities. As of September 30, 2006, we had repurchased approximately 19.9 million shares under the June 2006 authority at an average cost of approximately \$50.30 per share (approximately \$1 billion) all of which have been retired, of which 14.4 million shares with an average cost of approximately \$50.64 per share (approximately \$730.7 million) were purchased and retired in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. No repurchases have been made under the August 2006 authority as of the date of this filing. We expect to fund repurchases under this additional share repurchase program from borrowings under our Credit Facility.

*Subsequent Events*

Please see Review of Stock Option Grant Practices and Departure of Executive Officers above in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition for discussions of our internal investigation of our stock option grant practices and subsequent restatement of previously filed financial statements and the departure of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as a result of that investigation.

Please see Credit Facility and Senior Notes below in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources for a discussion of the Alleged Default and Purported Acceleration of our Senior Notes and waivers, amendments and consents obtained for our Credit Facility.

In October 2006, we completed the acquisition of Systech Integrators, Inc. (Systech), an information technology solutions company offering an array of SAP software services. Systech's services include SAP consulting services, systems integration and custom application development and maintenance. The transaction was valued at approximately \$65 million plus contingent payments of up to \$40 million based on future financial performance. The transaction was funded with a combination of cash on hand and borrowings under our Credit Facility (defined below).

We believe this acquisition will enhance our position as a comprehensive provider of SAP services across numerous markets.

Because of the ongoing stock option investigation, we were unable to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Annual Meeting of Stockholders was delayed, and the regularly scheduled meeting of our Board of Directors that was to have occurred in November 2006 was focused solely on stock option investigation matters and any other matters for consideration were deferred. Under our stock option granting policy, the day prior to or the day of that regularly scheduled November Board meeting, the Compensation Committee could have granted options to new hires, employees receiving a grant in connection with a promotion, or persons who become ACS employees as a result of an acquisition. On the morning of December 9, 2006 the Compensation Committee met to discuss whether options, that were now available under the 1997 Stock Incentive Plan, should be granted to new hires, employees receiving a grant in connection with a promotion, or persons who became ACS employees as a result of an acquisition. After consideration of the fact that options would have been granted in November, if the regularly scheduled Board meeting had not deferred consideration of matters other than the stock option investigation, the Compensation Committee met on December 9, 2006 and, as a result of their actions at that meeting, a grant of 692,000 shares was made to new hires, employees receiving a grant in connection with a promotion, or persons who become ACS employees as a result of an acquisition, with such grants including 140,000 shares to Lynn Blodgett, who had been promoted to President and Chief Executive Officer; 75,000 shares to John Rexford who had been promoted to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; and 100,000 shares each to Ann Vezina and Tom Burlin which grants were in recognition of their recent promotions to Chief Operating Officers of the Commercial and Government segments, respectively, and had been approved by the Compensation Committee on August 15, 2006 but were deferred until shares were available for grants.

Prior to 2002 we had guaranteed \$11.5 million of certain loan obligations owed to Citicorp USA, Inc. by DDH Aviation, Inc., a corporate airplane brokerage company organized in 1997 (as may have been reorganized subsequent to July 2002, herein referred to as DDH ). Our Chairman owned a majority interest in DDH. In consideration for that guaranty, we had access to corporate aircraft at favorable rates. In July 2002, our Chairman assumed in full our guaranty obligations to Citicorp and Citicorp released in full our guaranty obligations. As partial consideration for the release of our corporate guaranty, we agreed to provide certain administrative

**Table of Contents**

services to DDH at no charge until such time as DDH meets certain specified financial criteria. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2003, we purchased \$1 million in prepaid charter flights at favorable rates from DDH. As of June 30, 2006, we had \$0.6 million remaining in prepaid flights with DDH. In the second quarter of fiscal year 2007, we were notified by DDH Aviation, Inc., a corporate airplane brokerage company in which our Chairman owns a majority interest, of their intent to wind down operations; therefore, we recorded a charge of \$0.6 million related to the unused prepaid charter flights. We anticipate that these administrative services will cease prior to June 30, 2007 as a result of the wind down of the DDH operations.

The CSB contract is our largest contract. We have provided loan servicing for the Department of Education's Direct Student Loan program for over ten years. In 2003 the Department conducted a competitive procurement for its

Common Services for Borrowers initiative (CSB). CSB was a modernization initiative which integrated a number of services for the Department, allowing the Department to increase service quality while saving overall program costs. In November 2003 the Department awarded us the CSB contract. Under this contract we provide comprehensive loan servicing, consolidation loan processing, debt collection services on delinquent accounts, IT infrastructure operations and support, maintenance and development of information systems, and portfolio management services for the Department of Education's Direct Student Loan program. We are also developing software for use in delivering these services. The CSB contract has a 5-year base term which began in January 2004 and provides the Department of Education five one-year options to extend after the base term. We estimate that our revenues from the CSB contract will exceed \$1 billion in total over the base term of the contract. Annual revenues from this contract represent approximately 4% of our fiscal year 2006 revenues.

Through December 31, 2006 our capitalized expenditures for software development under the CSB contract have totaled approximately \$113 million, of which approximately \$38 million has been implemented with the current production system. Our model for development of software under the CSB contract may change and we may only be able to use a portion of the uncompleted software with the current production system. As a result, we may incur a material, non-cash, impairment of a portion of our remaining capitalized software development costs, which aggregate approximately \$75 million. However, we currently cannot determine the amount, if any, of this potential impairment of our capitalized development costs.

**Table of Contents****REVENUE GROWTH**

Internal revenue growth is measured as total revenue growth less acquired revenue from acquisitions and revenues from divested operations. At the date of acquisition, we identify the trailing twelve months of revenue of the acquired company as the pre-acquisition revenue of acquired companies. Pre-acquisition revenue of the acquired companies is considered acquired revenues in our calculation, and revenues from the acquired company, either above or below that amount are components of internal growth in our calculation. We use the calculation of internal revenue growth to measure revenue growth excluding the impact of acquired revenues and the revenue associated with divested operations and we believe these adjustments to historical reported results are necessary to accurately reflect our internal revenue growth. Revenues from divested operations are excluded from the internal revenue growth calculation in the periods following the effective date of the divestiture. Prior period internal revenue growth calculations are not restated for current period divestitures. Our measure of internal revenue growth may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. The following table sets forth the calculation of internal revenue growth (in thousands):

	<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>			<b>Growth</b>
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>\$ Growth</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Consolidated:</b>				
Total revenues	\$ 1,385,438	\$ 1,310,917	\$ 74,521	6%
Less: divestitures	(585)	(53,618)	53,033	
Adjusted	\$ 1,384,853	\$ 1,257,299	\$ 127,554	10%
Acquired revenues	\$ 77,188	\$	\$ 77,188	6%
Internal revenues	1,307,665	1,257,299	50,366	4%
Total	\$ 1,384,853	\$ 1,257,299	\$ 127,554	10%
<b>Commercial:</b>				
Acquired revenues	\$ 31,188	\$	\$ 31,188	4%
Internal revenues	810,514	766,006	44,508	6%
Total	\$ 841,702	\$ 766,006	\$ 75,696	10%
<b>Government:</b>				
Total revenues	\$ 543,736	\$ 544,911	\$ (1,175)	
Less: divestitures	(585)	(53,618)	53,033	
Adjusted	\$ 543,151	\$ 491,293	\$ 51,858	10%
Acquired revenues	\$ 46,000	\$	\$ 46,000	9%
Internal revenues	497,151	491,293	5,858	1%
Total	\$ 543,151	\$ 491,293	\$ 51,858	10%



**Table of Contents****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Information for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 has been restated in the following table, as discussed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations. Review of Stock Option Grant Practices.

The following table sets forth the items from our Consolidated Statements of Income expressed as a percentage of revenues. Please refer to the comparisons below for discussion of items affecting these percentages.

	<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005 (as restated)</b>
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%
Operating expenses:		
Cost of revenues:		
Wages and benefits	48.1	48.0
Services and supplies	21.0	22.2
Rent, lease and maintenance	12.9	11.8
Depreciation and amortization	5.9	5.2
Other	0.8	0.3
Total cost of revenues	88.7	87.5
Other operating expenses	1.1	0.7
Total operating expenses	89.8	88.2
Operating income	10.2	11.8
Interest expense	3.4	1.0
Other non-operating income, net	(0.2)	(0.3)
Pretax profit	7.0	11.1
Income tax expense	2.6	4.0
Net income	4.4%	7.1%

**COMPARISON OF THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 TO THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005***Revenues*

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, our revenues increased \$74.5 million, or 6%, to \$1.4 billion from \$1.3 billion in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. Internal revenue growth for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 was 4% and the remainder of the revenue growth was related to acquisitions. Excluding revenues related to divested operations, revenues increased \$127.6 million, or 10% from the first quarter of fiscal year 2006.

Revenue in our Commercial segment, which represents 61% of consolidated revenue for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, increased \$75.7 million, or 10%, to \$841.7 million in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 compared to the same period last year. Internal revenue growth was 6%, due primarily to increased revenue related to contracts with Sprint/Nextel, MeadWestvaco, Disney, Glaxo-Smith-Kline, Humana, UnumProvident, Aetna, Princeton Health Systems and Kaiser Southern offset by decreases for General Motors and SBC Communications. The items discussed above collectively represent 97% of our internal revenue growth for the period in this segment. Revenue growth from acquisitions was 4% for the three months ended September 30, 2006, primarily related to the Intellinex and Primax acquisitions completed in May and July 2006, respectively.

Revenue in our Government segment, which represents 39% of consolidated revenue for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, decreased \$1.2 million, to \$543.7 million in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 compared to the same period last year. Revenue growth from acquisitions was 9% primarily due to the Transport Revenue acquisition completed in the second quarter of fiscal year 2006. Excluding revenues related to divested operations, revenues increased \$51.9 million, or 10% from the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. Internal revenue growth was 1% primarily related to contracts with the State of Maryland, Social Security Administration, Texas Medicaid, New Hampshire Medicaid, Los Angeles County Transportation Access Pass, Agency for Health Care Administration in Florida, Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the State of Michigan offset by decreases for Texas CHIP, New York



**Table of Contents**

Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Department of Education and Georgia Department of Community Health. The items discussed above collectively represent 91% of our internal revenue growth for the period in this segment.

*Operating Expenses*

Wages and benefits increased \$37.9 million, or 6%, to \$666.6 million. As a percentage of revenue, wages and benefits increased 0.1% to 48.1% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 from 48% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 we recorded \$5.1 million, or 0.4% as a percentage of revenue, related to our restructuring activities. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2006 we recorded compensation expense of \$5.4 million, or 0.4% as a percentage of revenue, related to the departure of Jeffrey A. Rich, our former Chief Executive Officer.

Services and supplies increased \$0.6 million, or 0.2%, to \$291.4 million. As a percentage of revenue, services and supplies decreased 1.2% to 21% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 from 22.2% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. The decrease was primarily due to the sale of our Government welfare-to-workforces business in the second quarter of fiscal year 2006. This business had a higher component of services and supplies than our ongoing operations.

Rent, lease and maintenance increased \$23.9 million, or 15.4%, to \$179.1 million. As a percentage of revenue, rent, lease and maintenance increased 1.1%, to 12.9%, in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 from 11.8% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. This increase was primarily due to increases in our information technology services business which have a higher component of rent, lease and maintenance expense than our other operations.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$13.6 million, or 19.9%, to \$81.6 million. As a percentage of revenue, depreciation and amortization increased 0.7%, to 5.9%, in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 from 5.2% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. This increase was primarily due to capital expenditures during fiscal year 2006 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 in our information technology services business.

Other operating expense increased \$5.5 million to \$15.3 million. As a percentage of revenue, other operating expense increased 0.4%, to 1.1%. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 we recorded \$7.7 million, or 0.6% as a percentage of revenue, in legal and other costs associated with the ongoing stock option investigation and shareholder derivative lawsuits. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2006 we recorded bad debt expense of \$3 million, or 0.2% as a percentage of revenue, for an assessment of risk related to the bankruptcies of certain airline clients.

**Table of Contents***Operating Income*

Operating income decreased \$13.3 million, or 8.7% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 compared to the prior year. As a percentage of revenues, operating income decreased 1.6%. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, we recorded \$2.1 million of asset impairment and other charges related to internal use software impairments and facility shutdowns included in various cost of revenues accounts. Operating income in the first quarter of fiscal years 2007 and 2006 were negatively impacted by the following (in thousands):

	<b>For the quarters ending September 30, 2006                      2005</b>	
Commercial segment:		
Costs related to our restructuring activities	\$ (5.6)	\$
Asset impairments and other charges	(2.1)	
Provision for doubtful accounts for an assessment of risk related to the bankruptcies of certain airline clients		(3.0)
Government segment:		
Cost related to our restructuring activities	(0.4)	
Corporate segment:		
Legal and other costs associated with the ongoing stock option investigation and shareholder derivative lawsuits	(7.9)	
Compensation expense related to the departure of Jeffrey A. Rich, our former Chief Executive Officer		(5.4)
Total	\$ (16.0)	\$ (8.4)
As a percentage of revenue	(1.2%)	(0.6%)

*Interest Expense*

Interest expense increased \$33.3 million, to \$46 million. As a percentage of revenue, interest expense increased 2.4% to 3.4% primarily due to interest expense on cash borrowed to finance our share repurchase programs during fiscal years 2006 and 2007. Interest expense also includes \$2.6 million in charges related to a waiver fee on our Credit Facility in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007.

*Other non-operating income, net*

Other non-operating income, net decreased \$1.8 million to \$2.6 million. Other non-operating income, net decreased primarily due to gains on long-term investments recorded in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006 offset by increased interest income on cash investments as a result of higher average cash balances during the current year quarter.

*Income tax expense*

Our effective income tax rate increased to 37% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 from 36% in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. Our effective income tax rate increased primarily due to higher state and foreign income taxes and a reduction in other deductions and credits. Our effective income tax rate is higher than the 35% federal statutory rate primarily due to the effect of state income taxes.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

*Cash Flow*

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, we generated approximately \$173.2 million in cash flows provided by operating activities compared to \$109.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. Increased collections on accounts receivable contributed to this increase during the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. The timing of compensation payments to employees and benefit payments to providers, as well as reduced incentive compensation payments in fiscal year 2007, also contributed to this increase during the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. Accounts receivable fluctuations may have a significant impact on our cash flows provided by operating activities. The payments received from clients on our billed accounts receivables and the increase in such accounts receivable are reflected as a single component of our cash flows provided by operating activities, and the timing of collections of these receivables may have either a positive or negative impact on our liquidity.

**Table of Contents**

Free cash flow is measured as cash flow provided by operating activities (as reported in our consolidated statements of cash flow), less capital expenditures (purchases of property, equipment and software, net of sales, as reported in our consolidated statements of cash flow) less additions to other intangible assets (as reported in our consolidated statements of cash flows). We believe this free cash flow metric provides an additional measure of available cash flow after we have satisfied the capital expenditure requirements of our operations, and should not be taken in isolation to be a measure of cash flow available for us to satisfy all of our obligations and execute our business strategies. We also rely on cash flows from investing and financing activities which, together with free cash flow, are expected to be sufficient for us to execute our business strategies. Our measure of free cash flow may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. The following table sets forth the calculations of free cash flow (in thousands):

	<b>Three months ended September 30, 2005</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>As restated</b>
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 173,182	\$ 109,330
Purchases of property, equipment and software, net	(101,498)	(94,777)
Additions to other intangible assets	(9,089)	(6,906)
Free cash flow	\$ 62,595	\$ 7,647

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, net cash used in investing activities was \$150.9 million compared to \$165.4 million in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, we used \$34 million for acquisitions, primarily the purchase of Primax, net of cash acquired, and contingent consideration payment on a prior year acquisition. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, we used \$42.6 million for acquisitions, primarily the purchase of LiveBridge, Inc. and a contingent consideration payment on a prior year acquisition. Cash used for the purchase of property, equipment and software and additions to other intangible assets was \$110.6 million and \$101.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, we used \$16.5 million to acquire intangible assets in connection with the termination of a subcontractor arrangement. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 and 2006, net cash provided by financing activities was \$19.2 million and \$27.6 million, respectively. Such financing activities include net borrowings on our Credit Facility, proceeds from the exercise of stock options, excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements and proceeds from the issuance of treasury shares offset by purchases treasury shares of under our share repurchase programs.

*Credit Facility*

On July 6, 2006, we amended our secured term loan facility ( Term Loan Facility ) under our Credit Agreement dated March 20, 2006 ( Credit Facility ) and borrowed an additional \$500 million on July 6, 2006 and an additional \$500 million on August 1, 2006. As a result of the increase to the facility, the Applicable Margin, as defined in the Credit Facility, increased to LIBOR plus 200 basis points. We used the proceeds of the Term Loan Facility increase to finance the purchase of shares of our Class A common stock under our June 2006 authorization and for the payment of transaction costs, fees and expenses related to the increase in the Term Loan Facility. The borrowing rate under the Term Loan Facility as of January 12, 2007 was 7.36%.

Following the Tender Offer, our credit ratings were downgraded by Moody's and Standard and Poor's, both to below investment grade. Standard & Poor's further downgraded us upon our announcement in June 2006 of the approval by our Board of Directors of a new \$1 billion share repurchase plan. Fitch initiated its coverage of us in August 2006 at a rating of BB, except for our Senior Notes which were rated BB-. Standard & Poor's downgraded our credit rating further, to B+, following our announcement on September 28, 2006 that we would not be able to file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 by the September 28, 2006 extended deadline.

Draws made under our credit facilities are made to fund cash acquisitions, share repurchases and for general working capital requirements. During the trailing twelve months ended September 30, 2006, the balance outstanding under our credit facilities for borrowings ranged from \$233 million to \$1.9 billion. At September 30, 2006, we had

approximately \$820.7 million available under our revolving credit facility after giving effect to outstanding indebtedness of \$63.7 million and \$115.6 million of outstanding letters of credit that secure certain contractual performance and other obligations and which reduce the availability of our revolving credit facility. At September 30, 2006, we had \$1.9 billion outstanding under our Credit Facility, of which \$1.8 billion is reflected in long-term debt and \$18 million is reflected in current portion of long-term debt, and of which \$63.8 million bore interest from 3.025% to 4.620% and the remainder bore interest from 7.389% to 7.4%. As of September 30, 2006, we were in compliance with the covenants of our Credit Facility, as amended, as described further below.

On September 26, 2006, we received an amendment, consent and waiver from the lenders under our Credit Facility with respect to, among other provisions, waiver of any default or event of default arising under the Credit Facility as a result of our failure to comply with certain reporting covenants relating to other indebtedness, including covenants purportedly requiring the filing of reports with either the SEC or the holders of such indebtedness, so long as those requirements are complied with by December 31, 2006. As consideration for this amendment, consent and waiver, we paid a fee of \$2.6 million.

**Table of Contents**

On December 21, 2006, we received an amendment, consent and waiver from lenders under our Credit Facility. The amendment, consent and waiver includes the following provisions, among others:

- (1) Consent to the delivery, on or prior to February 14, 2007, of (i) the financial statements, accountant's report and compliance certificate for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 and (ii) financial statements and related compliance certificates for the fiscal quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006, and waiver of any default arising from the failure to deliver any such financial statements, reports or certificates within the applicable time period provided for in the Credit Agreement, provided that any such failure to deliver resulted directly or indirectly from the previously announced investigation of the Company's historical stock option grant practices (the Options Matter).
- (2) Waiver of any default or event of default arising from the incorrectness of representations and warranties made or deemed to have been made with respect to certain financial statements previously delivered to the Agent as a result of any restatement, adjustment or other modification of such financial statements resulting directly or indirectly from the Options Matter.
- (3) Waiver of any default or event of default which may arise from the Company's or its subsidiaries' failure to comply with reporting covenants under other indebtedness that are similar to those in the Credit Agreement (including any covenant to file any report with the Securities and Exchange Commission or to furnish such reports to the holders of such indebtedness), provided such reporting covenants are complied with on or prior to February 14, 2007.
- (4) Amendments to provisions relating to the permitted uses of the proceeds of revolving loans under the Credit Agreement that (i) increase to \$500 million from \$350 million the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans that may be outstanding, the proceeds of which may be used to satisfy the obligations under the Company's 4.70% Senior Notes due 2010 or 5.20% Senior Notes due 2015 and (ii) until June 30, 2007, decrease to \$200 million from \$300 million the minimum liquidity (i.e., the aggregate amount of the Company's unrestricted cash in excess of \$50 million and availability under the Credit Agreement's revolving facility) required after giving effect to such use of proceeds.

As consideration for this amendment, waiver and consent, we paid a fee of \$1.3 million.

*Senior Notes*

On September 22, 2006, we received a letter from CEDE & Co. (CEDE) sent on behalf of certain holders of our 5.20% Senior Notes due 2015 (the 5.20% Senior Notes) issued by us under that certain Indenture dated June 6, 2005 (the Indenture) between us and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (the Trustee) advising us that we were in default of our covenants under the Indenture. The letter alleged that our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 by September 13, 2006, was a default under the terms of the Indenture. On September 29, 2006, we received a letter from CEDE sent on behalf of the same persons declaring an acceleration with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes, as a result of our failure to remedy the default set forth in the September 22 letter related to our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended June 30, 2006. The September 29 letter declared that the principal amount and premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the 5.20% Senior Notes were due and payable immediately, and demanded payment of all amounts owed in respect of the 5.20% Senior Notes.

On September 29, 2006 we received a letter from the Trustee with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes. The letter alleged that we were in default of our covenants under the Indenture with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes, as the result of our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 on or before September 28, 2006. On October 6, 2006, we received a letter from the Trustee declaring an acceleration with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes as a result of our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 on or before September 28, 2006. The October 6, 2006 letter declared the principal amount and premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the 5.20% Senior Notes to be due and payable immediately, and demanded payment of all amounts owed in respect of the 5.20% Senior Notes.

In addition, our 4.70% Senior Notes due 2010 (the 4.70% Senior Notes ) were also issued under the Indenture and have identical default and acceleration provisions as the 5.20% Senior Notes. On October 9, 2006, we received letters from certain holders of the 4.70% Senior Notes issued by us under the Indenture, advising us that we were in default of our covenants under the Indenture. The letters alleged that our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 by September 13, 2006, was a default under the terms of the Indenture. On November 9, 10 and 16, 2006, we received letters from CEDE sent on behalf of certain holders of our 4.70% Senior Notes, declaring an acceleration of the 4.70% Senior Notes as the result of our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006. The November 9, 10 and 16, 2006 letters declared the principal amount and premium, if any, and, accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the 4.70% Senior Notes to be due and payable immediately, and demanded payment of all amounts owed under the 4.70% Senior Notes.

**Table of Contents**

It is our position that no default has occurred under the Indenture and that no acceleration has occurred with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes or the 4.70% Senior Notes (collectively, the Senior Notes ) or otherwise under the Indenture. Further we have filed a lawsuit against the Trustee in the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, seeking a declaratory judgment affirming our position. On January 8, 2007, the Court entered an order substituting Wilmington Trust Company for the Bank of New York. On January 16, 2007, Wilmington Trust Company filed an answer and counterclaim. The counterclaim seeks immediate payment of all principal and accrued and unpaid interest on the Senior Notes. Alternatively, the counterclaim seeks damages measured by the difference between the fair market value of the Senior Notes on or about September 22, 2006 and par value of the Senior Notes. Unless and until there is a final judgment rendered in the lawsuit described above (including any appellate proceedings), no legally enforceable determination can be made as to whether the failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 is a default under the Indenture as alleged by the letters referenced above. If there is a final legally enforceable determination that the failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 is a default under the Indenture, and that acceleration with respect to the Senior Notes was proper, the principal and premium, if any, and all accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the Senior Notes would be immediately due and payable.

In the event the claim of default against us made by certain holders of the Senior Notes is upheld in a court of law and we are required to payoff the Senior Notes, it is most likely that we would utilize the Credit Facility to fund such payoff. Under the terms of the Credit Facility, we can utilize borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility, subject to certain liquidity requirements, or may seek additional commitments for funding under the Term Loan Facility of the Credit Facility. We estimate we have sufficient liquidity to meet both the needs of our operations and any potential payoff of the Senior Notes. While we do have availability under our Credit Facility to draw funds to repay the Senior Notes, there may be a decrease in our credit availability that could otherwise be used for other corporate purposes, such as acquisitions and share repurchases.

If our Senior Notes are refinanced or the determination is made that the outstanding balance is due to the noteholders, the remaining unrealized loss on forward interest rate agreements reported in other comprehensive income of \$15.7 million (\$9.8 million, net of income tax), unamortized deferred financing costs of \$3 million (\$1.9 million, net of income tax) and unamortized discount of \$0.6 million (\$0.4 million, net of income tax) associated with our Senior Notes as of September 30, 2006 may be adjusted and reported as interest expense in our Consolidated Statement of Income in the period of refinancing or demand.

On December 19, 2006, we entered into an Instrument of Resignation, Appointment and Acceptance with The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. and Wilmington Trust Company, whereby The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. resigned as trustee, as well as other offices or agencies, with respect to the Senior Notes, and was replaced by Wilmington Trust Company.

*Other credit arrangements*

Certain contracts, primarily in our Government segment, require us to provide a surety bond or a letter of credit as a guarantee of performance. As of September 30, 2006, outstanding surety bonds of \$497.6 million and \$93.3 million of our outstanding letters of credit secure our performance of contractual obligations with our clients. Approximately \$22.4 million of letters of credit and \$1.9 million of surety bonds secure our casualty insurance and vendor programs and other corporate obligations. In general, we would only be liable for the amount of these guarantees in the event of default in our performance of our obligations under each contract, the probability of which we believe is remote. We believe that we have sufficient capacity in the surety markets and liquidity from our cash flow and our Credit Facility to respond to future requests for proposals.

*Credit Ratings*

Following the Tender Offer, our credit ratings were downgraded by Moody's and Standard and Poor's, both to below investment grade. Standard & Poor's further downgraded us to BB upon our announcement in June 2006 of the approval by our Board of Directors of a new \$1 billion share repurchase plan. Fitch initiated its coverage of us in August 2006 at a rating of BB, except for our Senior Notes which were rated BB-. Standard & Poor's downgraded our credit rating further, to B+, following our announcement on September 28, 2006 that we would not be able to file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 by the September 28, 2006 extended deadline.



There may be additional reductions in our ratings depending on the timing and amounts that may be drawn under our Credit Facility. As a result, the terms of any financings we choose to enter into in the future may be adversely affected. In addition, as a result of these downgrades, the sureties which provide performance bonds backing our contractual obligations could reduce the availability of these bonds, increase the price of the bonds to us or require us to provide collateral such as a letter of credit. However, we believe that we will continue to have sufficient capacity in the surety markets and liquidity from our cash flow and Credit Facility to respond to future requests for proposals. In addition, certain of our commercial outsourcing contracts provide that, in the event our credit ratings are downgraded to certain specified levels, the customer may elect to terminate its contract with us and either pay a reduced termination fee or in some instances, no termination fee. While we do not anticipate that the downgrading of our credit ratings in connection with the Tender Offer will result in a material loss of commercial outsourcing revenue due to the customer's exercise of these termination rights, there can be no assurance that such a credit ratings downgrade will not adversely affect these customer relationships.

**Table of Contents***Derivative instruments and hedging activities*

We hedge the variability of a portion of our anticipated future Mexican peso cash flows through foreign exchange forward agreements. The agreements are designated as cash flow hedges of forecasted payments related to certain operating costs of our Mexican operations. As of September 30, 2006, the notional amount of these agreements totaled 419.5 million pesos (\$37.7 million) and expire at various dates over the next 12 months. Upon termination of these agreements, we will purchase Mexican pesos at the exchange rates specified in the forward agreements to be used for payments on our forecasted Mexican peso operating costs. As of September 30, 2006, the unrealized gain on these foreign exchange forward agreements, reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net, was approximately \$67,000 (\$43,000, net of income tax). As of June 30, 2006, the unrealized loss on these foreign exchange forward agreements, reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss, was approximately \$0.5 million (\$0.3 million, net of income tax).

As part of the Transport Revenue acquisition, we acquired foreign exchange forward agreements that hedge our French operation's Euro foreign exchange exposure related to its Canadian dollar and United States dollar revenues. These agreements do not qualify for hedge accounting under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. As a result, we recorded a loss on hedging instruments of \$0.8 million (\$0.5 million, net of income tax) for the three months ended September 30, 2006 in other non-operating income, net in our Consolidated Statements of Income. As of September 30, 2006, the notional amount of these agreements totaled \$44.4 million Canadian dollars and \$4.4 million U.S. dollars, respectively, and are set to expire at various times over the next four years. As of September 30, 2006, a liability was recorded for the related fair value of approximately \$4.9 million.

*Share Repurchase Programs*

In June and August 2006, our Board of Directors authorized two share repurchase programs of up to \$1 billion each of our Class A common stock. The programs, which are open ended, allow us to repurchase our shares on the open market, from time to time, in accordance with the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules and regulations, including shares that could be purchased pursuant to SEC Rule 10b5-1. The number of shares to be purchased and the timing of purchases will be based on the level of cash and debt balances, general business conditions, and other factors, including alternative investment opportunities. As of September 30, 2006, we had repurchased approximately 19.9 million shares under the June 2006 authority at an average cost of approximately \$50.30 per share (approximately \$1 billion) all of which have been retired, of which 14.4 million shares with an average cost of approximately \$50.64 per share (approximately \$730.7 million) were purchased and retired in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. No repurchases have been made under the August 2006 authority as of the date of this filing. We expect to fund repurchases under this additional share repurchase program from borrowings under our Credit Facility.

*Other*

As discussed in Review of Stock Option Grant Practices above, as a result of our internal investigation into our stock option grant practices, we restated certain of our previously filed consolidated financial statements and recorded cumulative adjustments for non-cash stock-based compensation expense totaling \$51.2 million. While these expenses are non-cash, the income tax related impacts are expected to require the use of cash. At September 30, 2006, we have recorded approximately \$38.5 million of additional income taxes payable, including estimated interest and penalties related to certain disallowed Section 162(m) executive compensation deductions. We also expect to pay to certain current and former employees approximately \$8 million in order to compensate such individuals for any increase in exercise price resulting from the matters which were the subject of the internal investigation, in order to avoid the adverse individual income tax impact of Section 409A due to revised measurement dates. The \$8 million related to Section 409A will be paid to the affected individuals beginning in January 2008 and as the related stock options vest. We expect to fund any such payment from cash flows from operating activities, however, we have not yet determined the impact to our results of operations and financial condition. The increased exercise prices to be paid by optionholders upon their exercise is expected to offset, in the aggregate, the \$8 million; however, the timing of any such exercises cannot be determined. At this time, we cannot predict when the Section 162(m) underpayment deficiencies, together with interest and penalties, if any, will be paid.

At September 30, 2006, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$142.2 million compared to \$100.8 million at June 30, 2006. Our working capital (defined as current assets less current liabilities) increased \$21.5 million to \$725.7 million at September 30, 2006 from \$704.2 million at June 30, 2006. Our current ratio (defined as total current assets divided by total current liabilities) was 1.8 and 1.9 at September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively. Our debt-to-capitalization ratio (defined as the sum of short-term and long-term debt divided by the sum of short-term and long-term debt and equity) was 57% and 40% at September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively.

We believe that available cash and cash equivalents, together with cash generated from operations and available borrowings under our Credit Facility, will provide adequate funds for our anticipated internal growth and operating needs, including capital expenditures, and will meet the cash requirements of our contractual obligations. However, due to the additional borrowings made in relation to our share repurchase programs and if we utilize the unused portion of our Credit Facility to repay the Senior Notes or for other corporate purposes, our indebtedness and interest expense would increase, possibly significantly, and our indebtedness could be substantial in relation to our stockholders' equity. We believe that our expected cash flow provided by operating activities, and anticipated access to the unused portion of our new Credit Facility and capital markets will be adequate for our expected liquidity needs, including capital

**Table of Contents**

expenditures, and to meet the cash requirements of our contractual obligations. In addition, we intend to continue our growth through acquisitions, which could require significant commitments of capital. In order to pursue such opportunities we may be required to incur debt or to issue additional potentially dilutive securities in the future. No assurance can be given as to our future acquisitions and expansion opportunities and how such opportunities will be financed.

**DISCLOSURES ABOUT CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS  
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 (IN THOUSANDS):**

<b>Contractual Obligations</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Payments Due by Period</b>			
		<b>Less than 1 Year</b>	<b>1-3 Years</b>	<b>4-5 Years</b>	<b>After 5 Years</b>
Senior Notes, net of unamortized discount (1)	\$ 499,388	\$	\$	\$ 249,937	\$ 249,451
Long-term debt (1)	1,856,452	19,026	36,065	36,069	1,765,292
Capital lease obligations (1)	35,380	16,181	18,979	220	
Operating leases (2)	1,251,724	325,585	524,727	312,984	88,428
Purchase obligations (3) (4)	43,515	16,524	26,845	146	
<b>Total Contractual Cash Obligations</b>	<b>\$ 3,686,459</b>	<b>\$ 377,316</b>	<b>\$ 606,616</b>	<b>\$ 599,356</b>	<b>\$ 2,103,171</b>

<b>Other Commercial Commitments</b>	<b>Total Amounts Committed</b>	<b>Amount of Commitment Expiration per Period</b>			
		<b>Less than 1 Year</b>	<b>1-3 Years</b>	<b>4-5 Years</b>	<b>After 5 Years</b>
Standby letters of credit	\$ 115,712	\$ 115,712	\$	\$	\$
Surety bonds	499,487	428,060	47,435	22,130	1,862
<b>Total Commercial Commitments</b>	<b>\$ 615,199</b>	<b>\$ 543,772</b>	<b>\$ 47,435</b>	<b>\$ 22,130</b>	<b>\$ 1,862</b>

(1) Excludes accrued interest of \$22.9 million at September 30, 2006.

(2) We have various contractual commitments to lease hardware and software and for the purchase of maintenance on such leased assets with varying terms through fiscal year

2013, which are included in operating leases in the table.

- (3) We have entered into various contractual agreements to purchase telecommunications services. These agreements provide for minimum annual spending commitments, and have varying terms through fiscal year 2010, and are included in purchase obligations in the table.
- (4) We have entered into a two year agreement with Rich Capital, LLC, an M&A advisory firm owned by our former Chief Executive Officer, to provide us with advisory services in connection with potential acquisition candidates. This contractual obligation is included in purchase obligations in the table above. However, we have currently suspended payment under this agreement pending determination whether Rich Capital LLC is capable of performing its obligations under

the contract in view  
of the internal  
investigation s  
conclusions  
regarding stock  
options awarded to  
Mr. Rich.

We expect to contribute approximately \$11 million to our pension plans in fiscal year 2007. Minimum pension funding requirements are not included in the table above as such amounts are zero for our pension plans as of September 30, 2006. See Critical Accounting Policies for discussion of our pension plans.

As discussed above, certain contracts, primarily in our Government segment, require us to provide a surety bond or a letter of credit as a guarantee of performance. As of September 30, 2006, outstanding surety bonds of \$497.5 million and \$93.3 million of our outstanding letters of credit secure our performance of contractual obligations with our clients. Approximately \$22.4 million of letters of credit and \$1.9 million of surety bonds secure our casualty insurance and vendor programs and other corporate obligations. In general, we would only be liable for the amount of these guarantees in the event of default in our performance of our obligations under each contract; the probability of which we believe is remote. We believe that we have sufficient capacity in the surety markets and liquidity from our cash flow and our Credit Facility to respond to future requests for proposals.

We are obligated to make contingent payments to former shareholders of acquired entities upon satisfaction of certain contractual criteria in conjunction with certain acquisitions. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, we made contingent consideration payments of \$1.5 million related to acquisitions completed in prior years. As of September 30, 2006, the maximum aggregate amount of the outstanding contingent obligations to former shareholders of acquired entities is approximately \$70.3 million. Upon satisfaction of the specified contractual criteria, such payments primarily result in a corresponding increase in goodwill.

We have indemnified Lockheed Martin Corporation against certain specified claims from certain pre-sale litigation, investigations,

**Table of Contents**

government audits and other issues related to the Divested Federal Business. Our contractual maximum exposure under these indemnifications is \$85 million; however, we believe the actual exposure to be significantly less. As of September 30, 2006, other accrued liabilities include a reserve for these claims in an amount we believe to be adequate at this time. As discussed in Note 13 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, we have agreed to indemnify ManTech International Corporation with respect to the DOJ investigation related to purchasing activities at Hanscom during the period 1998-2000.

Our Education Services business, which is included in our Commercial segment, performs third party student loan servicing in the Federal Family Education Loan program ( FFEL ) on behalf of various financial institutions. We service these loans for investors under outsourcing arrangements and do not acquire any servicing rights that are transferable by us to a third party. At September 30, 2006, we serviced a FFEL portfolio of approximately 2.1 million loans with an outstanding principal balance of approximately \$29.8 billion. Some servicing agreements contain provisions that, under certain circumstances, require us to purchase the loans from the investor if the loan guaranty has been permanently terminated as a result of a loan default caused by our servicing error. If defaults caused by us are cured during an initial period, any obligation we may have to purchase these loans expires. Loans that we purchase may be subsequently cured, the guaranty reinstated and then we repackage the loans for sale to third parties. We evaluate our exposure under our purchase obligations on defaulted loans and establish a reserve for potential losses, or default liability reserve, through a charge to the provision for loss on defaulted loans purchased. The reserve is evaluated periodically and adjusted based upon management's analysis of the historical performance of the defaulted loans. As of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2006, other accrued liabilities include reserves which we believe to be adequate.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions or conditions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties and may result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that the following critical accounting policies used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements involve significant judgments and estimates.

*Revenue recognition*

A significant portion of our revenue is recognized based on objective criteria that do not require significant estimates or uncertainties. For example, transaction volumes and time and costs under time and material and cost reimbursable arrangements are based on specific, objective criteria under the contracts. Accordingly, revenues recognized under these methods do not require the use of significant estimates that are susceptible to change. Revenue recognized using the percentage-of-completion accounting method does require the use of estimates and judgment as discussed below. Our policy follows the guidance from SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin 104 Revenue Recognition ( SAB 104 ). SAB 104 provides guidance on the recognition, presentation, and disclosure of revenue in financial statements and updates Staff Accounting Bulletin Topic 13 to be consistent with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables ( EITF 00-21 ). We recognize revenues when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the services have been provided to the client, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.

During fiscal year 2006, approximately 77% of our revenue was recognized based on transaction volumes, approximately 10% was fixed fee based, wherein our revenue is earned as we fulfill our performance obligations under the arrangement, approximately 7% was related to cost reimbursable contracts, approximately 4% of our revenue was recognized using percentage-of-completion accounting and the remainder is related to time and material contracts. Our revenue mix is subject to change due to the impact of acquisitions, divestitures and new business. Revenues on cost reimbursable contracts are recognized by applying an estimated factor to costs as incurred, such factor being determined by the contract provisions and prior experience. Revenues on unit-price contracts are

recognized at the contractual selling prices of work completed and accepted by the client. Revenues on time and material contracts are recognized at the contractual rates as the labor hours and direct expenses are incurred. Revenues for business process outsourcing services are recognized as services are rendered, generally on the basis of the number of accounts or transactions processed. Information technology processing revenues are recognized as services are provided to the client, generally at the contractual selling prices of resources consumed or capacity utilized by our clients. Revenues from annual maintenance contracts are deferred and recognized ratably over the maintenance period. Revenues from hardware sales are recognized



**Table of Contents**

upon delivery to the client and when uncertainties regarding customer acceptance have expired. Revenues on certain fixed price contracts where we provide information technology system development and implementation services are recognized over the contract term based on the percentage of development and implementation services that are provided during the period compared with the total estimated development and implementation services to be provided over the entire contract using Statement of Position 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts ( SOP 81-1 ). SOP 81-1 requires the use of percentage-of-completion accounting for long-term contracts that are binding agreements between us and our customers in which we agree, for compensation, to perform a service to the customer's specifications. These services require that we perform significant, extensive and complex design, development, modification and implementation activities for our customers' systems. Performance will often extend over long periods, and our right to receive future payment depends on our future performance in accordance with the agreement.

The percentage-of-completion methodology involves recognizing revenue using the percentage of services completed, on a current cumulative cost to total cost basis, using a reasonably consistent profit margin over the period. Due to the longer term nature of these projects, developing the estimates of costs often requires significant judgment. Factors that must be considered in estimating the progress of work completed and ultimate cost of the projects include, but are not limited to, the availability of labor and labor productivity, the nature and complexity of the work to be performed, and the impact of delayed performance. If changes occur in delivery, productivity or other factors used in developing the estimates of costs or revenues, we revise our cost and revenue estimates, which may result in increases or decreases in revenues and costs, and such revisions are reflected in income in the period in which the facts that give rise to that revision become known.

EITF 00-21 addresses the accounting treatment for an arrangement to provide the delivery or performance of multiple products and/or services where the delivery of a product or system or performance of services may occur at different points in time or over different periods of time. The Emerging Issues Task Force reached a consensus regarding, among other issues, the applicability of the provisions regarding separation of contract elements in EITF 00-21 to contracts where one or more elements fall within the scope of other authoritative literature, such as SOP 81-1. EITF 00-21 does not impact the use of SOP 81-1 for contract elements that fall within the scope of SOP 81-1, such as the implementation or development of an information technology system to client specifications under a long-term contract. Where an implementation or development project is contracted with a client, and we will also provide services or operate the system over a period of time, EITF 00-21 provides the methodology for separating the contract elements and allocating total arrangement consideration to the contract elements. We adopted the provisions of EITF 00-21 on a prospective basis to transactions entered into after July 1, 2003.

Revenues earned in excess of related billings are accrued, whereas billings in excess of revenues earned are deferred until the related services are provided. We recognize revenues for non-refundable, upfront implementation fees over the period between the initiation of the ongoing services through the end of the contract term on a straight-line basis.

*Cost of revenues*

We present cost of revenues in our Consolidated Statements of Income based on the nature of the costs incurred. Substantially all these costs are incurred in the provision of services to our customers. The selling, general and administrative costs included in cost of revenues are not material and are not separately presented in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

*Contingencies*

We account for claims and contingencies in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies ( SFAS 5 ). SFAS 5 requires that we record an estimated loss from a claim or loss contingency when information available prior to issuance of our financial statements indicates that it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Accounting for claims and contingencies requires us to use our judgment. We consult with legal counsel on those issues related to litigation and seek input from other experts and advisors with respect to matters in the ordinary course of business.

Our contracts with clients typically span several years. We continuously review and reassess our estimates of contract profitability. If our estimates indicate that a contract loss will occur, a loss accrual is recorded in the consolidated

financial statements in the period it is first identified. Circumstances that could potentially result in contract losses over the life of the contract include decreases in volumes of transactions, variances from expected costs to deliver our services, and other factors affecting revenues and costs.

*Valuation of goodwill and intangibles*

Due to the fact that we are primarily a services company, our business acquisitions typically result in significant amounts of goodwill and other intangible assets, which affect the amount of future period amortization expense and possible expense we could incur as a result of an impairment. In addition, in connection with our revenue arrangements, we incur costs to originate contracts and to perform the transition and setup activities necessary to enable us to perform under the terms of the arrangement. We capitalize certain incremental direct costs which are related to the contract origination or transition, implementation and setup activities and amortize

**Table of Contents**

them over the term of the arrangement. From time to time, we also provide certain inducements to customers in the form of various arrangements, including contractual credits, which are capitalized and amortized as a reduction of revenue over the term of the contract. The determination of the value of goodwill and other intangibles requires us to make estimates and assumptions about future business trends and growth. We continually evaluate whether events and circumstances have occurred that indicate the balance of goodwill or intangible assets may not be recoverable. In evaluating impairment, we estimate the sum of expected future cash flows derived from the goodwill or intangible asset. Such evaluation is significantly impacted by estimates and assumptions of future revenues, costs and expenses and other factors. If an event occurs which would cause us to revise our estimates and assumptions used in analyzing the value of our goodwill or other intangible assets, such revision could result in a non-cash impairment charge that could have a material impact on our financial results.

*Share-Based Compensation*

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ( SFAS 123(R) ) as of July 1, 2005. SFAS 123(R) requires us to recognize compensation expense for all share-based payment arrangements based on the fair value of the share-based payment on the date of grant. We elected the modified prospective application method for adoption, which requires compensation expense to be recorded for all stock-based awards granted after July 1, 2005 and for all unvested stock options outstanding as of July 1, 2005, beginning in the first quarter of adoption. For all unvested options outstanding as of July 1, 2005, the remaining previously measured but unrecognized compensation expense, based on the fair value using revised grant dates as determined in connection with our internal investigation into our stock option grant practices (see Review of Stock Option Grant Practices above) will be recognized as wages and benefits in the Consolidated Statements of Income on a straight-line basis over the remaining vesting period. For share-based payments granted subsequent to July 1, 2005, compensation expense, based on the fair value on the date of grant, will be recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income in wages and benefits on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. In determining the fair value of stock options, we use the Black-Scholes option pricing model that employs the following assumptions:

Expected volatility of our stock price based on historical monthly volatility over the expected term based on daily closing stock prices.

Expected term of the option based on historical employee stock option exercise behavior, the vesting term of the respective option and the contractual term.

Risk-free interest rate for periods within the expected term of the option.

Dividend yield.

Our stock price volatility and expected option lives are based on management's best estimates at the time of grant, both of which impact the fair value of the option calculated under the Black-Scholes methodology and, ultimately, the expense that will be recognized over the vesting term of the option.

SFAS 123(R) requires that we recognize compensation expense for only the portion of share-based payment arrangements that are expected to vest. Therefore, we apply estimated forfeiture rates that are based on historical employee termination behavior. We periodically adjust the estimated forfeiture rates so that only the compensation expense related to share-based payment arrangements that vest are included in wages and benefits. If the actual number of forfeitures differs from those estimated by management, additional adjustments to compensation expense may be required in future periods.

*Pension and post-employment benefits*

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87, Employers' Accounting for Pensions ( SFAS 87 ), establishes standards for reporting and accounting for pension benefits provided to employees. We have pension plans for employees located in Canada and the United Kingdom ( UK ). These defined benefit plans provide benefits for participating employees based on years of service and average compensation for a specified period before retirement. We have established June 30 as our measurement date for these defined benefit plans. The net periodic benefit costs for these plans are included in wages and benefits in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

The measurement of the pension benefit obligation of the plans at the acquisition date was accounted for using the business combination provisions in SFAS 87, therefore, all previously existing unrecognized net gain or loss, unrecognized prior service cost, or unrecognized net obligation or net asset existing prior to the date of the acquisition was included in our calculation of the pension benefit obligation recorded at acquisition.

In addition to these pension plans, we assumed a post-employment medical plan for Acquired HR Business employees and retirees in Canada. The amount of health care benefits is limited to lifetime maximum and age limitations as described in the plan.

In December 2005, we adopted a pension plan for the U.S. employees of Buck Consultants, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary, which was acquired in connection with the Acquired HR Business. The U.S. pension plan is a funded plan. We have established June 30 as our measurement date for this plan. The plan recognizes service for eligible employees from May 26, 2005, the date of the acquisition

**Table of Contents**

of the Acquired HR Business. We recorded prepaid pension costs and projected benefit obligation related to this prior service which will be amortized over approximately 9.3 years and included in the net periodic benefit costs which is included in wages and benefits in our Consolidated Statements of Income. The plan is unfunded as of September 30, 2006.

A small group of employees located in Germany participate in a pension plan. This plan is not material to our results of operations or financial position and is not included in the disclosures below. A group of employees acquired with Transport Revenue participate in a multi-employer pension plan in Switzerland. Contributions to the plan are not considered material to our Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table summarizes the weighted-average assumptions used in the determination of our benefit obligation:

	As of June 30,			
	2006			2005
	Pension Plans	Other Benefit Plan	Pension Plans	Other Benefit Plan
<b><u>Non-U.S. Plans</u></b>				
Discount rate	5.00% - 5.75%	5.75%	5.00% - 5.25%	5.25%
Rate of increase in compensation levels	4.25% - 4.40%	N/A	4.25% - 4.40%	N/A

**U.S. Plan**

Discount rate	6.50%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rate of increase in compensation levels	3.50%	N/A	N/A	N/A

The following table summarizes the assumptions used in the determination of our net periodic benefit cost:

	For the year ended June 30, 2006		For the period from May 1, 2005 through June 30, 2005	
	Pension Plans	Other Benefit Plan	Pension Plans	Other Benefit Plan
<b><u>Non-U.S. Plans</u></b>				
Discount rate	5.00% - 5.75%	5.75%	5.25% - 5.75%	5.75%
Long-term rate of return on assets	7.00% - 7.50%	N/A	7.00% - 7.50%	N/A
Rate of increase in compensation levels	4.25% - 4.40%	N/A	4.25% - 4.40%	N/A

**U.S. Plan**

Discount rate	5.75%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Long-term rate of return on assets	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rate of increase in compensation levels	3.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A

Our discount rate is determined based upon high quality corporate bond yields as of the measurement date. The table below illustrates the effect of increasing or decreasing the discount rates by 25 basis points (in thousands):

For the year ended June 30, 2006	For the period from May 1, 2005 through June 30, 2005
-------------------------------------	---

Edgar Filing: AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

	Plus .25%	Less .25%	Plus .25%	Less .25%
<b><u>Non-U.S. Plans</u></b>				
Effect on pension benefit obligation	\$ (5,055)	\$ 5,155	\$ (4,490)	\$ 4,692
Effect on service and interest cost	\$ (432)	\$ 450	\$ (380)	\$ 399

**U.S. Plan**

Effect on pension benefit obligation	\$ (164)	\$ 174	N/A	N/A
Effect on service and interest cost	\$ (104)	\$ 110	N/A	N/A

We estimate the long-term rate of return on UK and Canadian and U.S. plan assets will be 7% and 7.5%, respectively, based on the long-term target asset allocation. As of September 30, 2006, the U.S. plan was not funded. We expect to fund the U.S. plan in fiscal year 2007 upon adoption of investment policies for the plan. Expected returns for the following asset classes used in the plans are based on a combination of long-term historical returns and current and expected market conditions.

**Table of Contents**

The UK pension scheme's target asset allocation is 50% equity securities, 40% debt securities and 10% in real estate. External investment managers actively manage all of the asset classes. The target asset allocation has been set by the plan's trustee board with a view to meeting the long-term return assumed for setting the employer's contributions while also reducing volatility relative to the plan's liabilities. The managers engaged by the trustees manage their assets with a view to seeking moderate out-performance of appropriate benchmarks for each asset class. At this time, the trustees do not engage in any alternative investment strategies, apart from UK commercial property.

The Canadian funded plan's target asset allocation is 37% Canadian provincial and corporate bonds, 33% larger capitalization Canadian stocks, 25% developed and larger capitalization Global ex Canada stocks (mainly U.S. and international stocks) and 5% cash and cash equivalents. A single investment manager actively manages all of the asset classes. This manager uses an equal blend of large cap value and large cap growth for stocks in order to participate in the returns generated by stocks in the long-term, while reducing year-over-year volatility. The bonds are managed using a core approach where multiple strategies are engaged such as interest rate anticipation, credit selection and yield curve positioning to mitigate overall risk. At this time, the manager does not engage in any alternative investment strategies.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 106, *Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions* (SFAS 106) requires the disclosure of assumed healthcare cost trend rates for next year used to measure the expected cost of benefits covered. For measurement purposes, an 8.3% composite annual rate of increase in the per capita costs of covered healthcare benefits was assumed for fiscal years 2007; this rate was assumed to decrease gradually to 4.5% by 2013 and remain at that level thereafter. The healthcare cost trend rate assumption may have a significant effect on the SFAS 106 projections. The table below illustrates the effect of increasing or decreasing the assumed healthcare cost trend rates by one percentage point for each future year (in thousands):

	For the year ended		For the period from May	
	June 30, 2006		1, 2005 through June 30, 2005	
	Plus 1%	Less 1%	Plus 1%	Less 1%
Effect on pension benefit obligation	\$ 30	\$ (27)	\$ 55	\$ (48)
Effect on service and interest cost	\$ 4	\$ (4)	\$ 7	\$ (6)

*Allowance for doubtful accounts*

We make estimates of the collectibility of our accounts receivable. We specifically analyze accounts receivable and historical bad debts, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends, and changes in our customer payment terms and collection trends when evaluating the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts. Any change in the assumptions used in analyzing a specific account receivable may result in additional allowance for doubtful accounts being recognized in the period in which the change occurs.

*Income taxes*

The determination of our provision for income taxes requires significant judgment, the use of estimates, and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. Significant judgment is required in assessing the timing and amounts of deductible and taxable items. We establish reserves when, despite our belief that our tax return positions are fully supportable, we believe that certain positions may be challenged and that we may not succeed. Our provision for income taxes includes the impact of these reserve changes. We adjust these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances. In the event that there is a significant unusual or one-time item recognized in our operating results, the taxes attributable to that item would be separately calculated and recorded at the same time as the unusual or one-time item.

Deferred income taxes are determined based on the difference between financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the years in which such differences are expected to reverse. We routinely evaluate all deferred tax assets to determine the likelihood of their realization.

**NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans ( SFAS 158 ), SFAS 158 amends SFAS 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions , SFAS 88, Employers Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits , SFAS 106, Employers Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions , and SFAS 132 (revised 2003), Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits . SFAS No. 158 requires employers to recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a plan s overfunded status or a liability for a plan s underfunded status. Secondly, it requires employers to measure the plans assets and obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the fiscal year. Lastly, employers are required to recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year that the changes occur with the changes reported in comprehensive income. SFAS 158 is required to



**Table of Contents**

be adopted by entities with fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operation or liquidity.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 Fair Value Measurements ( SFAS 157 ). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The statement clarifies that the exchange price is the price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell an asset or transfer a liability at the measurement date. The statement emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement and not an entity-specific measurement. It also establishes a fair value hierarchy used in fair value measurements and expands the required disclosures of assets and liabilities measured at fair value. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operation or liquidity.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) released SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108,

Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements, ( SAB 108 ), which addresses how uncorrected errors in previous years should be considered when quantifying errors in current-year financial statements. SAB 108 requires registrants to consider the effect of all carry over and reversing effects of prior-year misstatements when quantifying errors in current-year financial statements. SAB 108 does not change the SEC staff's previous guidance on evaluating the materiality of errors. It allows registrants to record the effects of adopting SAB 108 guidance as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings. This adjustment must be reported in the annual financial statements of the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operation or liquidity.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 ( FIN 48 ), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, which prescribes comprehensive guidelines for recognizing, measuring, presenting and disclosing in the financial statements tax positions taken or expected to be taken on tax returns. FIN 48, effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, seeks to reduce the diversity in practice associated with certain aspects of the recognition and measurement related to accounting for income taxes. We are currently assessing the impact of FIN 48 on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. As of September 30, 2006, there have been no material changes in our market risk from June 30, 2006. For further information regarding our market risk, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

*Management's Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Our management, with the participation of our current principal executive officer and current principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of September 30, 2006. In making this evaluation, our management considered the material weaknesses described in Item 9A. of our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation our management, including our current Chief Executive Officer and current Chief Financial Officer, has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 30, 2006.

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. As more fully described in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in Item 9A of our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K, management identified the following material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2006, which also existed as of September 30, 2006:

We did not maintain: (1) an effective control environment and (2) effective controls over completeness, valuation, presentation and disclosure of stock-based compensation expense, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Notwithstanding these material weaknesses, our current management has concluded that our consolidated financial statements for the periods covered by and included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are prepared in accordance with GAAP and fairly presents, in all material respects, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

*Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting*

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****PART II****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

Information regarding legal proceedings is incorporated by reference from Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements set forth in Part I of this report.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

As of the date of filing of this report, there had not been any material changes to the information related to the Item 1A. Risk Factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on January 23, 2007.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

Repurchase activity for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 on our June 2006 and August 2006 share repurchase plans is reflected in the table below. There were no repurchases on our August 2006 share repurchase plan during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and therefore our remaining availability under this plan is \$1 billion. Please refer to

Liquidity and Capital Resources Share Repurchase Programs in Part I, Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion of our share repurchase programs.

Period	Total number of of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of share purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
Inception through June 30, 2006	5,450,084	\$ 49.41	5,450,084	\$ 730,688,206
July 1 July 31, 2006	7,578,768	50.54	7,578,768	347,660,127
August 1 August 31, 2006	6,849,990	50.75	6,849,990	1,000,000,000
September 1 September 30, 2006				1,000,000,000
Total Quarter ended September 30, 2006	14,428,758	50.64	14,428,758	1,000,000,000
Inception through September 30, 2006	19,878,842	\$ 50.30	19,878,842	\$ 1,000,000,000

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

On July 6, 2006, we amended our secured term loan facility ( Term Loan Facility ) under our Credit Agreement dated March 20, 2006 ( Credit Facility ) and borrowed an additional \$500 million on July 6, 2006 and an additional \$500 million on August 1, 2006. As a result of the increase to the facility, the Applicable Margin, as defined in the Credit Facility, increased to LIBOR plus 200 basis points. We used the proceeds of the Term Loan Facility increase to finance the purchase of shares of our Class A common stock under our June 2006 authorization and for the payment of transaction costs, fees and expenses related to the increase in the Term Loan Facility.

Following the Tender Offer, our credit ratings were downgraded by Moody's and Standard and Poor's, both to below investment grade. Standard & Poor's further downgraded us to BB upon our announcement in June 2006 of the approval by our Board of Directors of a new \$1 billion share repurchase plan. Fitch initiated its coverage of us in August 2006 at a rating of BB, except for our Senior Notes which were rated BB-. Standard & Poor's downgraded our

credit rating further, to B+, following our announcement on September 28, 2006 that we would not be able to file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 by the September 28, 2006 extended deadline. On September 26, 2006, we received an amendment, consent and waiver from the lenders under our Credit Facility with respect to, among other provisions, waiver of any default or event of default arising under the Credit Facility as a result of our failure to comply with certain reporting covenants relating to other indebtedness, including covenants purportedly requiring the filing of reports with either the SEC or the holders of such indebtedness, so long as those requirements are complied with by December 31, 2006. As consideration for this amendment, consent and waiver, we paid a fee of \$2.6 million.

**Table of Contents**

On December 21, 2006, we received an amendment, consent and waiver from lenders under our Credit Facility. The amendment, consent and waiver includes the following provisions, among others:

- (1) Consent to the delivery, on or prior to February 14, 2007, of (i) the financial statements, accountant's report and compliance certificate for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 and (ii) financial statements and related compliance certificates for the fiscal quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006, and waiver of any default arising from the failure to deliver any such financial statements, reports or certificates within the applicable time period provided for in the Credit Agreement, provided that any such failure to deliver resulted directly or indirectly from the previously announced investigation of the Company's historical stock option grant practices (the Options Matter).
- (2) Waiver of any default or event of default arising from the incorrectness of representations and warranties made or deemed to have been made with respect to certain financial statements previously delivered to the Agent as a result of any restatement, adjustment or other modification of such financial statements resulting directly or indirectly from the Options Matter.
- (3) Waiver of any default or event of default which may arise from the Company's or its subsidiaries' failure to comply with reporting covenants under other indebtedness that are similar to those in the Credit Agreement (including any covenant to file any report with the Securities and Exchange Commission or to furnish such reports to the holders of such indebtedness), provided such reporting covenants are complied with on or prior to February 14, 2007.
- (4) Amendments to provisions relating to the permitted uses of the proceeds of revolving loans under the Credit Agreement that (i) increase to \$500 million from \$350 million the aggregate principal amount of revolving loans that may be outstanding, the proceeds of which may be used to satisfy the obligations under the Company's 4.70% Senior Notes due 2010 or 5.20% Senior Notes due 2015 and (ii) until June 30, 2007, decrease to \$200 million from \$300 million the minimum liquidity (i.e., the aggregate amount of the Company's unrestricted cash in excess of \$50 million and availability under the Credit Agreement's revolving facility) required after giving effect to such use of proceeds.

As consideration for this amendment, waiver and consent, we paid a fee of \$1.3 million.

*Senior Notes*

On September 22, 2006, we received a letter from CEDE & Co. (CEDE) sent on behalf of certain holders of our 5.20% Senior Notes due 2015 (the 5.20% Senior Notes) issued by us under that certain Indenture dated June 6, 2005 (the Indenture) between us and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (the Trustee) advising us that we were in default of our covenants under the Indenture. The letter alleged that our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 by September 13, 2006, was a default under the terms of the Indenture. On September 29, 2006, we received a letter from CEDE sent on behalf of the same persons declaring an acceleration with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes, as a result of our failure to remedy the default set forth in the September 22 letter related to our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended June 30, 2006. The September 29 letter declared that the principal amount and premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the 5.20% Senior Notes were due and payable immediately, and demanded payment of all amounts owed in respect of the 5.20% Senior Notes.

On September 29, 2006 we received a letter from the Trustee with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes. The letter alleged that we were in default of our covenants under the Indenture with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes, as the result of our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 on or before September 28, 2006. On October 6, 2006, we received a letter from the Trustee declaring an acceleration with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes as a result of our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 on or before September 28, 2006. The October 6, 2006 letter declared the principal amount and premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the 5.20% Senior Notes to be due and payable immediately, and demanded payment of all amounts owed in respect of the 5.20% Senior Notes.

In addition, our 4.70% Senior Notes due 2010 (the 4.70% Senior Notes ) were also issued under the Indenture and have identical default and acceleration provisions as the 5.20% Senior Notes. On October 9, 2006, we received letters from certain holders of the 4.70% Senior Notes issued by us under the Indenture, advising us that we were in default of our covenants under the Indenture. The letters alleged that our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 by September 13, 2006, was a default under the terms of the Indenture. On November 9, 10 and 16, 2006, we received letters from CEDE sent on behalf of certain holders of our 4.70% Senior Notes, declaring an acceleration of the 4.70% Senior Notes as the result of our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006. The November 9, 10 and 16, 2006 letters declared the principal amount and premium, if any, and, accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the 4.70% Senior Notes to be due and payable immediately, and demanded payment of all amounts owed under the 4.70% Senior Notes.

It is our position that no default has occurred under the Indenture and that no acceleration has occurred with respect to the 5.20% Senior Notes or the 4.70% Senior Notes (collectively, the Senior Notes ) or otherwise under the Indenture. Further we have filed a

**Table of Contents**

lawsuit against the Trustee in the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, seeking a declaratory judgment affirming our position. On January 8, 2007, the Court entered an order substituting Wilmington Trust Company for the Bank of New York. On January 16, 2007, Wilmington Trust Company filed an answer and counterclaim. The counterclaim seeks immediate payment of all principal and accrued and unpaid interest on the Senior Notes. Alternatively, the counterclaim seeks damages measured by the difference between the fair market value of the Senior Notes on or about September 22, 2006 and par value of the Senior Notes.

Unless and until there is a final judgment rendered in the lawsuit described above (including any appellate proceedings), no legally enforceable determination can be made as to whether the failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 is a default under the Indenture as alleged by the letters referenced above. If there is a final legally enforceable determination that the failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2006 is a default under the Indenture, and that acceleration with respect to the Senior Notes was proper, the principal and premium, if any, and all accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the Senior Notes would be immediately due and payable.

In the event the claim of default against us made by certain holders of the Senior Notes is upheld in a court of law and we are required to payoff the Senior Notes, it is most likely that we would utilize the Credit Facility to fund such payoff. Under the terms of the Credit Facility, we can utilize borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility, subject to certain liquidity requirements, or may seek additional commitments for funding under the Term Loan Facility of the Credit Facility. We estimate we have sufficient liquidity to meet both the needs of our operations and any potential payoff of the Senior Notes. While we do have availability under our Credit Facility to draw funds to repay the Senior Notes, there may be a decrease in our credit availability that could otherwise be used for other corporate purposes, such as acquisitions and share repurchases.

If our Senior Notes are refinanced or the determination is made that the outstanding balance is due to the noteholders, the remaining unrealized loss on forward interest rate agreements reported in other comprehensive income of \$15.7 million (\$9.8 million, net of income tax), unamortized deferred financing costs of \$3 million (\$1.9 million, net of income tax) and unamortized discount of \$0.6 million (\$0.4 million, net of income tax) associated with our Senior Notes as of September 30, 2006 may be adjusted and reported as interest expense in our Consolidated Statement of Income in the period of refinancing or demand.

On December 19, 2006, we entered into an Instrument of Resignation, Appointment and Acceptance with The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. and Wilmington Trust Company, whereby The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. resigned as trustee, as well as other offices or agencies, with respect to the Senior Notes, and was replaced by Wilmington Trust Company.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

a.) Exhibits

Reference is made to the Index to Exhibits beginning on page 62 for a list of all exhibits filed as part of this report.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized on the 23rd day of January, 2007.

**AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC.**

By: /s/ John H. Rexford

John H. Rexford  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

61

---



**Table of Contents**

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Name</b>
2.1	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2003 between Lockheed Martin Corporation and Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed November 14, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
2.2	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2003 between Lockheed Martin Service, Inc. and Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed November 14, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
2.3	Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 15, 2005, among Mellon Financial Corporation, Mellon Consultants European Holdings Limited, Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., ACS Business Process Solutions Limited and Affiliated Computer Services of Germany GmbH (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 17, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
2.4	Amendment No. 1 to Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 25, 2005, among Mellon Financial Corporation, Mellon Consultants European Holdings Limited, Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., ACS Business Process Solutions Limited and Affiliated Computer Services of Germany GmbH (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 1, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
2.5	Amendment No. 2 to Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 11, 2005, among Mellon Financial Corporation, Mellon Consultants European Holdings Limited, Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., ACS Business Process Solutions Limited and Affiliated Computer Services of Germany GmbH (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 16, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to our Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed March 30, 2001, File No. 333-58038 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	Certificate of Correction to Certificate of Amendment of Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., dated August 30, 2001 (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed September 17, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.3	Bylaws of Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., as amended and in effect on September 11, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 3.3 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed February 17, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.1	Form of New Class A Common Stock Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to our Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed May 26, 1994, File No. 33-79394 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.2	Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, dated April 2, 1999, between Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. and First City Transfer Company, as Rights Agent (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 19, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, dated as of February 5, 2002, by and between Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. and First City Transfer Company (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed February 6, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 4.4 Form of Rights Certificate (included as Exhibit A to the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement (Exhibit 4.3) filed as Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 19, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.5 Indenture, dated as of June 6, 2005, by and between Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. as Issuer and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.6 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 6, 2005, by and between Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. as Issuer and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. as Trustee, relating to our 4.70% Senior Notes due 2010 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).

**Table of Contents**

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Name</b>
4.7	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 6, 2005, by and between Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. as Issuer and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. as Trustee, relating to our 5.20% Senior Notes due 2015 (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.8	Specimen Note for 4.70% Senior Notes due 2010 (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.9	Specimen Note for 5.20% Senior Notes due 2015 (filed as Exhibit 4.5 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
9.1	Voting Agreement dated February 9, 2006 by and between Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. and Darwin Deason. (filed as Exhibit 9.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed February 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1	Credit Agreement, dated March 20, 2006, by and among Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., and certain subsidiary parties thereto, as Borrowers, Citicorp USA, Inc., as Administrative Agent, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as Sole Lead Arranger and Book Runner, and various other agents, lenders and issuers (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 21, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.2	Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement dated as of March 30, 2006, by and among Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., and certain subsidiary parties thereto, as Borrowers, and Citicorp USA, Inc., as Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.25 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed January 23, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.3	Amendment No. 2 to Credit Agreement dated as of July 6, 2006, by and among Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., and certain subsidiary parties thereto, as Borrowers, and Citicorp USA, Inc., as Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed July 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.4	Amendment No. 3, Consent and Waiver to Credit Agreement, by and among Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., and certain subsidiary parties thereto and Citicorp USA, Inc., as Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed September 28, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.5	Amendment No. 4, Consent and Waiver to Credit Agreement, by and among Affiliated Computer Services, Inc., and certain subsidiary parties thereto and Citicorp USA, Inc., as Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed December 22, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.6	1997 Stock Incentive Plan for Employees in France (filed as Exhibit 10.35 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed January 23, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.7	

Edgar Filing: AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Form of Stock Option Agreement (France) (filed as Exhibit 10.36 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed January 23, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.8 Affirmation of Liens and Guaranties, dated as of July 6, 2006, by and among Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries, and Citicorp USA, Inc., as Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed July 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.9 Confirmation Deed, dated as of July 6, 2006, by and among the entities listed on the Schedule thereto and Citicorp USA, Inc., as Security Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed July 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.10 Separation Agreement dated as of November 26, 2006 between Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. and Mark A. King (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 27, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.11 Separation Agreement dated as of November 26, 2006 between Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. and Warren D. Edwards (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 27, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

**Table of Contents**

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Name</b>
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code. Pursuant to Item 601(b)(32)(ii) of Regulation S-K, this Exhibit is furnished to the SEC and shall not be deemed to be filed.
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code. Pursuant to, Item 601(b)(32)(ii) of Regulation S-K this Exhibit is furnished to the SEC and shall not be deemed to be filed.

\* Filed herewith.

Management  
contract or  
compensatory  
plan or  
arrangement.