

INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE

Form 10-Q

May 12, 2005

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q

þ **Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005

or

o **Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-29993

INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

94-3200380
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

2483 East Bayshore Road, Suite 100
Palo Alto, CA 94303

(Address of principal executive offices)

(650) 526-6800

(Registrant's telephone number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by checkmark whether registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes No

There were 9,067,645 shares of the Registrant's common stock, par value \$0.001, outstanding as of March 31, 2005.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

FORM 10-Q

QUARTER ENDED March 31, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
<u>Item 1 Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004</u>	3
<u>Condensed Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 and 2004</u>	4
<u>Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 and 2004</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	9
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	16
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	16
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	18
<u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u>	18
<u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	18
<u>Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	18
<u>Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	18
<u>Item 5 Other Information</u>	18
<u>Item 6 Exhibits</u>	18
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	19
<u>EXHIBIT 10.34</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 32.1</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands)**

	March 31, 2005 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2004 (Note 1)
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17,283	\$ 1,755
Short-term investments	32,311	48,988
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	356	396
Total current assets	49,950	51,139
Property and equipment, net	41	46
Total assets	\$ 49,991	\$ 51,185
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 127	\$ 154
Accrued clinical liabilities	97	161
Accrued employee liabilities	90	89
Other accrued liabilities	243	273
Total current liabilities	557	677
Stockholders' equity:		
Convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value: 5,000,000 shares authorized; 300 and 325 shares outstanding and \$3,000 and \$3,250 aggregate liquidation preference at March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	1,634	1,771
Common stock, \$0.001 par value: 70,000,000 shares authorized at March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 ; 9,067,645 and 8,880,344 shares outstanding at March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	9	9
Additional paid-in capital	281,304	281,068
Deferred stock compensation	(100)	(114)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(120)	(67)
Accumulated deficit	(233,293)	(232,159)
Total stockholders' equity	49,434	50,508
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 49,991	\$ 51,185

See accompanying notes

3

Table of Contents**INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(In thousands, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2005	2004
Operating expenses:		
Research and development	\$ 189	\$ 4,459
General and administrative	1,194	1,851
Total operating expenses	1,383	6,310
Operating loss	(1,383)	(6,310)
Interest income	309	73
Net loss	(1,074)	(6,237)
Non-cash dividends on Series A preferred stock	(60)	(65)
Net loss applicable to common stockholders	\$ (1,134)	\$ (6,302)
Basic and diluted net loss per share applicable to common stockholders	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.19)
Shares used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share applicable to common stockholders	8,991	5,316

See accompanying notes

Table of Contents**INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands)****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2005	2004
Operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (1,074)	\$ (6,237)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Stock compensation for variable option awards	(45)	275
Amortization of deferred stock compensation	14	16
Stock compensation for consultant services	56	313
Depreciation and amortization	5	7
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	40	127
Other assets		(7)
Accounts payable	(27)	(125)
Accrued clinical liabilities	(64)	1,389
Accrued employee liabilities	1	49
Accrued restructuring charges	(3)	
Other accrued liabilities	(22)	(32)
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,119)	(4,225)
Investing activities		
Capital expenditures		(3)
Purchase of short term investments	(14,168)	(10,229)
Proceeds from sale or maturity of short-term investments	30,792	3,925
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	16,624	(6,307)
Financing activity		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock upon exercise of options	23	156
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	15,528	(10,376)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	1,755	14,286
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$ 17,283	\$ 3,910
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash information:		
Issuance of common stock dividend on Series A preferred stock	\$ (60)	\$ (65)
Issuance of common stock upon conversion of Series A preferred stock	\$ (137)	\$

See accompanying notes

5

Table of Contents

INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)**

Note 1. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying condensed financial statements are unaudited and have been prepared by IntraBiotics Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the Company) in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission for interim financial information, and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the Company's annual audited financial statements (as required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States) have been condensed or omitted. The interim condensed financial statements, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments (consisting entirely of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position as of March 31, 2005, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004.

The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the entire fiscal year. These interim condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004, which are contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2005. The condensed balance sheet as of December 31, 2004 is derived from such audited financial statements.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes, including amounts accrued for clinical trial costs and stock-based compensation.

The Company's estimate of accrued costs is based on historical experience, information received from third parties and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could therefore differ materially from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Note 2. Stock-Based Compensation

As permitted by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (SFAS 123), Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by Statement of Financial Standards No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, the Company has elected to follow Accounting Principles Board opinion No. 25 (APB 25), Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations in accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Under APB 25, if the exercise price of an employee or director stock option is set equal or in excess of the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recognized. In February 2003, certain stock options issued to employees for which the exercise prices had originally been set at less than the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date were cancelled and re-granted in a one-for-one exchange. The Company had recorded deferred compensation for the difference between the original exercise price and the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date as a component of stockholders' equity, and the total was being amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the original awards, ranging from four to six

years. The related re-granted options all vest over a four-year period, and the remaining unamortized deferred compensation as of the re-grant date is now being amortized over the new four-year vesting schedule, commencing at the date of re-grant.

6

Table of Contents

In February 2003, the Board of Directors approved a cancellation and re-grant of 308,835 unexercised stock options held by existing employees and directors of the Company in a one-for-one exchange and 12,500 options that were re-granted in connection with the cancellation of 54,166 unexercised stock options held by a director of the Company. The re-granted options have an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NASDAQ National Market on February 5, 2003, or \$2.76 per share. The options generally vest over a four-year period and will expire in February 2008 if not previously exercised. Variable accounting is being applied to the re-granted options throughout their term.

Options or stock awards issued to non-employees are recorded at their fair value as determined in accordance with SFAS 123 and the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force issue No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services", and are recognized over the related service period and are periodically re-measured as the underlying options vest.

The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and net loss per share applicable to common stockholders if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation. For purposes of this pro-forma disclosure, the value of the options is estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model and amortized ratably to expense over the options' vesting periods.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 2004 (In thousands, except per share amounts)	
Net loss applicable to common stockholders, as reported	\$ (1,134)	\$ (6,302)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense (recovery) included in reported net loss applicable to common stockholders	(31)	291
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards	(834)	(614)
Net loss applicable to common stockholders, pro forma	\$ (1,999)	\$ (6,625)
Net loss per share applicable to common stockholders:		
Basic and diluted as reported	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.19)
Basic and diluted pro forma	\$ (0.22)	\$ (1.25)

The fair value of stock options granted to employees was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 2004	
Risk-free interest rate	3.79%	3.09%
Volatility	0.89	1.00
Dividend yield	0.00%	0.00%
Expected life of option	6.1 years	5.0 years

Table of Contents**Note 3. Comprehensive Loss**

The components of comprehensive loss in each period presented are as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2005	2004
	(In thousands)	
Net loss	\$ (1,074)	\$ (6,237)
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities	(53)	(2)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (1,127)	\$ (6,239)

Note 4. Net Loss Per Share

Basic and diluted net loss per share applicable to common stockholders is presented in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 128, Earnings Per Share, and is calculated using the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share applicable to common stockholders includes the impact of potentially dilutive securities (stock options, warrants and convertible preferred stock). As the Company's potentially dilutive securities were anti-dilutive for all periods presented, they are not included in the calculations of diluted net loss per share applicable to common stockholders. The total number of shares underlying the stock options, warrants and convertible preferred stock excluded from the calculations of net loss per share applicable to common stockholders was 4,133,843 and 3,342,316 for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Note 5. Stockholders' Equity

In February 2005, a holder of 25 shares of Preferred Stock converted the shares into 131,529 shares of common stock. At the same time, the same investor exercised warrants to purchase 65,764 shares of common stock, using the net exercise method, resulting in the issuance of 30,704 shares of common stock. There were no cash proceeds to the Company resulting from these transactions.

In January 2005 the Company issued 16,620 shares of common stock in connection with dividends payable to holders of preferred stock on December 31, 2004. In addition, during the three months ended March 31, 2005 the Company issued 8,448 shares of common stock in connection with the exercise of stock options for cash proceeds of \$23,000.

Note 6. Commitments

At March 31, 2005, the Company has a total of \$38,000 in commitments under various operating leases. None of these commitments extends further than one year.

In addition to the lease commitments discussed above we have various severance plans that cover all of our employees. In accordance with these plans we may be obligated to make various severance payments and pay for certain health costs if our existing employees should be terminated. These commitments totaled \$0.7 million as of April 30, 2005. See Note 7 Subsequent Events for additional information regarding payments under severance plans.

Note 7. Subsequent Events

In June 2004, the Company discontinued its clinical trial of iseganan for the prevention of VAP following a recommendation of the independent data monitoring committee. Subsequently, the Company terminated its iseganan development program, reduced employee headcount by 60% to six employees, and has been evaluating its strategic options, including mergers, acquisitions, in-licensing opportunities, and liquidation of the Company.

8

Table of Contents

The Company's management and outside consultants, including Lazard, have considered a variety of strategic alternatives, none of which was determined by management and the Board of Directors to be in best interests of the Company and its shareholders. As a result, on May 5, 2005, the Board of Directors decided to suspend this active evaluation process, reduce operating expenses to a minimum appropriate level and transition the operations and compliance activities of the Company to outside consultants working on a part-time basis. Management will assist with this transition and will remain until its completion, expected to be in June 2005. We expect one-time expenses relating to employee severance and the reduction of operations to be \$0.8 million in the second quarter of 2005. The Company plans to conduct its affairs in the most financially efficient manner practical for a public company.

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with our financial statements and related notes included in our quarterly report on this Form 10-Q and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. This discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. The actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including but not limited to those set forth under "Factors That Could Affect Future Results". All forward-looking statements included in this document are based on information available to us on the date of this document and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q.

Overview

Since inception in 1994, we have devoted substantially all of our efforts to research and development of anti-microbial drugs, and have generated no product revenues. From the fourth quarter of 2002 until June 2004, we focused our efforts on developing iseganan for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). In June 2004, the Company discontinued its clinical trial of iseganan for the prevention of VAP following a recommendation of the independent data monitoring committee. Subsequently, the Company terminated its iseganan development program, reduced employee headcount by 60% to six employees, and has been evaluating its strategic options, including mergers, acquisitions, in-licensing opportunities, and liquidation of the Company.

The Company's management and outside consultants, including Lazard, have considered a variety of strategic alternatives, none of which was determined by management and the Board of Directors to be in best interests of the Company and its shareholders. As a result, on May 5, 2005, the Board of Directors decided to suspend this active evaluation process, reduce operating expenses to a minimum appropriate level and transition the operations and compliance activities of the Company to outside consultants working on a part-time basis. Management will assist with this transition and will remain until its completion, expected to be in June 2005. We expect one-time expenses relating to employee severance and the reduction of operations to be \$0.8 million in the second quarter of 2005. The Company plans to conduct its affairs in the most financially efficient manner practical for a public company.

On March 31, 2005, the Company had a total of \$49.6 million in cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments, and recorded liabilities of \$0.6 million. Based on current projections, the Company expects net cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments at December 31, 2005 to be in the range of \$47.5 to \$48.5 million. This estimate does not include any costs that may be associated with completing any strategic alternative, liquidation of the Company or the disposition of securities litigation referred to in Part II, Item 1 (a) of this Form 10-Q ("Securities Litigation"). There can be no assurance that such a range will be achieved, as actual expenditures and interest income may differ significantly from projected levels.

In February 2003, the Board of Directors approved a cancellation and re-grant of 308,835 unexercised stock options held by existing employees and directors of the Company in a one-for-one exchange and 12,500 options that were re-granted in connection with the cancellation of 54,166 unexercised stock options held by a director of the Company. The re-granted options have an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NASDAQ National Market on February 5, 2003, or \$2.76 per share. The options generally vest over a four-year period and will expire in February 2008 if not previously exercised. Variable accounting is being applied to the re-granted options throughout their term. The related compensation expense depends on both the cumulative vesting of outstanding options and the price of the Company's common stock at each quarter end, and therefore may have a significant impact on the Company's future results of operations. In connection with variable accounting for re-

Table of Contents

granted stock options we recorded a non-cash stock compensation recovery of \$45,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2005, as compared to a non-cash stock compensation expense of \$275,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2004. In addition, in connection with the grant of certain stock options to employees and officers on, or prior to, the Company's initial public offering on March 20, 2000 we recorded stock compensation expense related to the amortization of deferred stock compensation of \$14,000 and \$16,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In addition, we have granted stock options to consultants, which resulted in stock compensation expense of \$56,000 and \$313,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

We intend that the following discussion of our results of operations and financial condition will provide information to assist in the understanding of our financial statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year to year, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles, policies and estimates affect our financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

General

An accounting policy is deemed to be critical if it requires an accounting estimate to be made based on assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, and if different estimates that reasonably could have been used, or changes in the accounting estimates that are reasonably likely to occur periodically, could materially impact the financial statements. Management believes the following critical accounting policies reflect its more significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of the financial statements. We review the accounting policies used in our financial statements on a regular basis. In addition, management has reviewed these critical accounting policies and related disclosures with our Audit Committee.

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and expenses, and related disclosures. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate these estimates, including those related to clinical trial accruals, income taxes (including the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets), restructuring costs and stock-based compensation. Estimates are based on historical experience, information received from third parties and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could therefore differ materially from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Stock-Based Compensation

In February 2003, the Board of Directors approved a cancellation and re-grant of 308,835 unexercised stock options held by existing employees and directors of the Company in a one-for-one exchange and 12,500 options that were re-granted in connection with the cancellation of 54,166 unexercised stock options held by a director of the Company. The re-granted options have an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NASDAQ National Market on February 5, 2003, or \$2.76 per share. The options generally vest over a four-year period and will expire in February 2008 if not previously exercised. Variable accounting is being applied to the re-granted options throughout their term. The related compensation expense depends on both the cumulative vesting of outstanding options and the price of the Company's common stock at each quarter end, and therefore may have a significant impact on the Company's future results of operations. No adjustments for material changes in estimates have been recognized in any period presented.

As permitted by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (SFAS 123), Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation , as amended by Statement of Financial Standards No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, the Company has elected to follow APB 25 and related interpretations in accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Under APB 25, if the exercise price of an employee or director stock option is set equal or in excess of the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recognized. In February 2003, certain employee and director stock options for which the

Table of Contents

exercise prices had originally been set at less than the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date, were cancelled and re-granted in a one-for-one exchange. The Company had recorded deferred compensation for the difference between the original exercise price and the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date as a component of stockholders' equity, and the total was being amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the original awards, ranging from four to six years. The related re-granted options all vest over a four-year period, and the remaining unamortized deferred compensation as of the re-grant date is now being amortized over the new four-year vesting schedule, commencing at the date of re-grant. The amount of deferred stock compensation expense to be recorded in future periods could decrease if options, for which accrued but unvested compensation has been recognized, are forfeited prior to vesting. No adjustments for material changes in estimates have been recognized in any period presented.

Options or stock awards issued to non-employees are recorded at their fair value as determined in accordance with SFAS 123 and the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force issue No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services", and are recognized over the related service period and are periodically re-measured as the underlying options vest. The fair values are estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, and are periodically re-measured as the underlying options vest. The option pricing model is dependent on a number of inputs, which may change over time. Other option pricing models may produce fair values that are substantially different from the Black-Scholes model. No adjustments for material changes in estimates have been recognized in any period presented.

Clinical Trial Accruals

The Company's accrued costs for clinical trial activities are based upon estimates of the services received and related expenses incurred that have yet to be invoiced by the contract research organizations (CROs), investigators, drug processors, laboratories, consultants, or other clinical trial service providers that perform the activities. Related contracts vary significantly in length, and may be for a fixed amount, a variable amount based on actual costs incurred, capped at a certain limit, or for a combination of these elements. Activity levels are monitored through close communication with the service provider, including detailed invoice and task completion review, analysis of expenses against budgeted amounts, and pre-approval of any changes in scope of the services to be performed. Each CRO provides an estimate of costs incurred but not invoiced at the end of each period for each individual trial. The estimates are reviewed and discussed with the CRO as necessary, and included in research and development expenses for the related period. For investigator study grants, which are paid quarterly on a per-patient basis to the institutions performing the clinical study, the Company accrues an estimated amount based on patient enrollment in each quarter. All estimates may differ significantly from the actual amount subsequently invoiced. No adjustments for material changes in estimates have been recognized in any period presented. As of March 31, 2005 amounts accrued related to clinical trials are approximately \$97,000. This amount is lower than in prior periods due to the cessation of clinical trial activity.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 and 2004

Research and Development

Research and development expenses primarily include clinical trial expenses, research and development payroll expense, drug substance expense, allocated facilities costs and non-cash stock compensation charges. Research and development expenses decreased to \$189,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2005 from \$4.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2004. The decrease is primarily due to the termination of our iseganan development project in June 2004. We expect research and development costs to decrease substantially in the future as a result of

our decision to reduce operating expenses to a minimum appropriate level and transition the operations and compliance activities of the Company to outside consultants working on a part-time basis.

General and Administrative

General and administrative costs primarily include administrative payroll expense, outside contractors, legal and accounting fees, insurance, non-cash stock compensation charges, facilities and other general administrative

Table of Contents

expenses and expenses associated with the evaluation of our strategic options. General and administrative expenses decreased to \$1.2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2005 from \$1.9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2004. The decrease is primarily due to lower stock compensation charges of \$21,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to \$546,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2004. In addition to this, personnel and related costs decreased by \$199,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared with the same period of 2004 as a result of headcount reductions and lower recruiting costs. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in legal expenses of \$189,000, primarily as a result of the Securities Litigation.

We expect general and administrative costs to decrease substantially in the future as a result of our decision to reduce operating expenses to a minimum appropriate level and transition the operations and compliance activities of the Company to outside consultants working on a part-time basis.

Interest Income

Interest income was \$309,000 and \$73,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Interest income increased primarily because of substantially higher average interest earning investment balances and higher average interest rates during the 2005 period.

Net Loss and Net Loss Applicable to Common Stockholders

Net loss applicable to common stockholders was \$1.1 million and \$6.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and included the impact of non-cash Series A preferred stock dividends of \$60,000 and \$65,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Preferred stock dividends represents the 8% annual dividends payable quarterly in common stock to the holders of our Series A preferred stock and is lower during the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the same period in 2004 as a result of the conversion of preferred stock into common stock in February 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At March 31, 2005, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$17.3 million as compared to \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2004. Short-term investments were \$32.3 million at March 31, 2005 as compared to \$49.0 million at December 31, 2004. We had no debt outstanding as of March 31, 2005. We invest excess funds in short-term money market funds and securities pursuant to our investment policy guidelines.

Net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$1.1 million and \$4.2 million, respectively. The cash used consisted primarily of the net loss for each period, adjustments for non-cash stock compensation expense and changes in prepaid expenses and accrued clinical liabilities.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$16.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to cash used in investing activities of \$6.3 million during the three months ended March 31, 2004. The cash provided by or used in both periods represents purchases of short-term investments offset by proceeds from the sale or maturity of short-term investments.

Net cash provided by financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$23,000 and \$156,000, respectively. The cash provided in both periods related to the exercise of stock options.

Contractual Obligations

The impact that our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2005 are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods is as follows:

12

most financially efficient manner practical for a public company and litigating the Securities Litigation aggressively. Based on current projections, the Company expects cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments at December 31, 2005 to be in the range of \$47.5 to \$48.5 million. This estimate does not include any costs that may be associated with completing any strategic alternative, liquidation of the Company or the disposition of the Securities Litigation . We currently anticipate our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments to be sufficient to fund the foregoing efforts for at least the next 12 months. This forecast is a forward-looking statement that involves risks and uncertainties, and actual results could vary.

Factors That Could Affect Future Results

13

Table of Contents

Our business faces significant risks and the risks described below may not be the only risks we face. Additional risks that we do not know of, or that we currently believe are immaterial, may also impair our business operations. If any of the events or circumstances described in the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition, or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

We are currently a party to a securities litigation class action lawsuit, which, if determined adversely, could negatively affect or limit our strategic alternatives, our financial results or business.

We are currently a party to litigation that is described in detail below in Part II, Item 1 (a) of this Form 10-Q. The cost of defense and ultimate disposition of the Securities Litigation could be material. We will continue to incur expenses in defending the Securities Litigation and, although we believe this litigation is without merit, we may incur monetary losses in connection with the final disposition of this litigation that may be material. In addition, the litigation has been, and may continue to be, time consuming and costly and could divert the attention of our remaining management personnel.

Directors, executive officers, principal stockholders and affiliated entities beneficially own or control at least 47% of our capital stock and may be able to exert control over our activities, and the results of our operations and financial condition may suffer.

As of March 31, 2005, our directors, executive officers, principal stockholders and affiliated entities beneficially own or control securities representing, in the aggregate, at least 47% of our outstanding common stock. These stockholders, if they determine to vote in the same manner, may be able to control the outcome of any matter requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and the approval of mergers or other business combination transactions or terms of any liquidation.

The holders of our Series A preferred stock have voting and other rights that they could exercise against your best interests.

The holders of our Series A preferred stock have rights to designate two members of our Board and to vote as a separate class on certain significant corporate transactions. The holders of Series A preferred stock are entitled to receive cumulative annual dividends of 8% of the original purchase price of \$10,000 per share, payable in common stock. In addition, upon our liquidation or dissolution (including a merger or acquisition), the holders of our Series A preferred stock are entitled to receive a liquidation preference in an amount equal to the greater of (i) \$10,000 per share of Series A preferred stock, or approximately \$3.0 million based on the 300 shares of Series A preferred stock currently outstanding, plus any declared but unpaid dividends or (ii) the amount that would have been paid had each such share of Series A preferred stock been converted to common stock. The holders of Series A preferred stock also have a right of first refusal to purchase their pro rata portion of any equity securities we propose to offer to any person. Such right of first refusal is subject to certain customary exclusions, including for shares issued pursuant to any options or other stock awards granted to employees, directors or consultants of IntraBiotics, equipment leasing arrangements, debt financings, strategic financings and public offerings that have been approved by the Board. The holders of Series A preferred stock may exercise these rights to the detriment of our common stockholders.

The holders of our Series A preferred stock also have the right at any time to request that we register for resale the shares of our common stock that they acquire upon conversion of their Series A preferred stock or upon exercise of their warrants to purchase our common stock, subject to certain limitations. A registration statement has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is currently effective for the resale of the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of our Series A preferred stock and upon the exercise of those warrants. In addition, the holders of our Series A preferred stock may convert their Series A preferred stock into common stock and sell those shares of the common stock, acquired upon such conversion, in the public market in reliance upon Rule 144, subject

in some cases to volume and other limitations. Future sales in the public market of such common stock, or the perception that such sales might occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law may make it more difficult to acquire us.

Table of Contents

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our stockholders. These provisions:

- provide for a classified board of directors of which approximately one-third of the directors will be elected each year;
- allow the authorized number of directors to be changed only by resolution of the Board;
- require that stockholder actions must be effected at a duly called stockholder meeting and prohibit stockholder action by written consent;
- establish advance notice requirements for nominations to the Board or for proposals that can be acted on at stockholder meetings;
- authorize our Board to issue blank check preferred stock to increase the amount of outstanding shares; and
- limit who may call stockholder meetings.

In addition, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which may prohibit large stockholders from consummating a merger with, or acquisition of us. These provisions may prevent a merger or acquisition that would be attractive to stockholders and could limit the price that investors would be willing to pay in the future for our common stock.

Our stock price has been, and will be volatile, and the value of your investment may continue to decline.

During the fifteen months ended March 31, 2005, our closing stock prices ranged from a low of \$3.35 to a high of \$19.25, and in 2003 ranged from a low of \$1.54 to a high of \$17.50. Announcements regarding strategic alternatives, including a merger or sale of the company, or the Securities Litigation, in addition to the other risk factors described in this section, may have a significant impact on the market price of our common stock.

We expect to continue to incur operating losses.

Our accumulated deficit as of March 31, 2005 was \$233.3 million, and we expect operating losses to continue at least through the end of 2005. During this period we intend to reduce operating expenses to a minimum appropriate level while conducting our affairs in the most financially efficient manner practical for a public company and litigating the Securities Litigation aggressively. We expect operating losses to continue into future years, but we cannot predict the magnitude and duration of such losses.

We may not be able to complete the strategic alternative we initially elect to pursue, resulting in increased expenses and a delay in finally completing a selected alternative.

We may select a strategic alternative that we may not be able to complete for various reasons, including a decision of our principal stockholders not to approve such alternative, our inability to obtain regulatory approval, actions of other companies or litigation involving the selected alternative or other matters. In addition, the pendency and or resolution of the Securities Litigation may adversely affect or limit our strategic alternatives, including adversely affecting our financial results or our ability to liquidate, or deterring other companies from entering into a merger or acquisition with us.

We face risks associated with clinical trial liability claims in the event that the prior use, or misuse, of our product candidates in clinical trials, that have since been terminated, results in personal injury or death.

From the fourth quarter of 2002 until June 2004, we conducted clinical trials focusing on developing iseganan for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). In June 2004, we discontinued our clinical trial of iseganan for the prevention of VAP following a recommendation of the independent data monitoring committee. We face a risk of clinical trial liability claims in the event that the prior use, or misuse, of our product candidates during such clinical trials results in personal injury or death. Our clinical liability insurance coverage may not be

Table of Contents

sufficient to cover claims that may be made against us. Any claims against us, regardless of their merit, could severely harm our financial condition and strain our management and other resources.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve our capital until it is required to fund operations while at the same time maximizing the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing risk. As of March 31, 2005, we own financial instruments that are sensitive to market risk as part of our investment portfolio. To minimize this risk, in accordance with our investment policy guidelines, we place investments with high credit quality issuers (rated A1/P1 for short-term investments and Aa3/AA- for long-term investments) and limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer to the greater of 5% of the investment portfolio or \$1 million, whichever is greater. There are no concentration limits set for obligations of the government of the United States of America and its federal agencies. The average duration of our investment portfolio as of March 31, 2005 was less than one year and the maximum term allowed for any investment was 15 months. Due to the short-term nature of these investments, a 50 basis point movement in market interest rates would not have a material impact on the fair value of our portfolio as of March 31, 2005. We have no investments denominated in foreign currencies and therefore our investments are not subject to foreign currency exchange risk.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Controls Evaluation and Related CEO and CFO Certifications

We have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as of the end of the period covered by this Report. The controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and has allowed us to make conclusions, as set forth below, regarding the state of our disclosure controls and procedures.

Attached as exhibits to this Report are certifications of the CEO and the CFO, which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Exchange Act. This Controls and Procedures section includes the information concerning the controls evaluation referred to in the certifications, and it should be read in conjunction with the certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our disclosure controls include components of our internal control over financial reporting, which consists of control processes designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. To the extent that components of our internal control over financial reporting are included within our disclosure controls, they are included in the scope of our quarterly controls evaluation.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Management, including our CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system will be attained. Furthermore, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all misstatements due to error or fraud, if any, may occur and not be detected on a timely basis. These inherent limitations include the

Table of Contents

possibility that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of errors or mistakes. Our disclosure controls and procedures can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Furthermore, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

Scope of the Controls Evaluation

The evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures included a review of the controls' objectives and design, the Company's implementation of the controls and the effect of the controls on the information generated for use in this Report. During the evaluation of our controls and procedures, we looked to identify data errors, control problems or acts of fraud and confirm that appropriate corrective action (including process improvements) was being undertaken. This evaluation is performed on a quarterly basis so that the conclusions of management, including the CEO and CFO, concerning the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures can be reported in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and to supplement our disclosures made in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. The overall goal of the evaluation activity is to monitor our disclosure controls and procedures, and to modify them as necessary. We intend to maintain our disclosure controls and procedures as a dynamic system that changes as conditions warrant.

We also considered whether our evaluation identified any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, and whether we identified any acts of fraud involving personnel with a significant role in our internal control over financial reporting. Emphasis was placed on this information as it was important both for the controls evaluation and because item 5 in the certifications of the CEO and CFO requires that they disclose that information to our Board of Directors' Audit Committee and to our independent auditors. In the professional auditing literature, significant deficiencies are defined as a control deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, that adversely affects the company's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process or report external financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the company's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected. Auditing literature defines material weakness as a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2005 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Conclusions

Based upon the evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that material information required to be included in our Exchange Act reports, is made known to management, including the CEO and CFO, on a timely basis.

Pursuant to section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we will be required to furnish a report of management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as part of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006. Our independent public accountants will then be required to attest to, and report on, our assessment. In order to issue our report, management must document both the design of our

internal controls and the processes that support management's evaluation and conclusion. Our management has begun the necessary processes and procedures for issuing its report. However, we may face significant challenges in implementing the required processes and procedures. There can be no assurance that we will be able to complete the work necessary for management to issue its report in a timely manner or that management will be able to report that our internal control over financial reporting are effective.

17

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

(a) Beginning on July 2, 2004, three purported class action shareholder complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Northern of California against IntraBiotics and several of its officers. The actions were consolidated and a consolidated amended complaint has been filed, purportedly brought on behalf of purchasers of IntraBiotics common stock between September 5, 2003 and June 22, 2004. The amended complaint generally alleges that IntraBiotics and several of its officers and directors made false or misleading statements concerning the clinical trial of iseganan. The plaintiffs seek unspecified monetary damages. On February 28, 2005, the Company and the individual defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. The Company believes the suit to be without merit and intends to defend itself vigorously. Due to the uncertainties surrounding the final outcome of this matter, no amounts have been accrued at March 31, 2005.

(b) No legal proceedings were terminated in the first quarter.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the Exhibit Index (following the signature section of this Quarterly Report) are included, or incorporated by reference, in this Quarterly Report.

18

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

IntraBiotics Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

/s/ Henry J. Fuchs

May 12, 2005

Henry J. Fuchs, M.D.
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Gregory W. Schafer

May 12, 2005

Gregory W. Schafer
Chief Financial Officer

19

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.1 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation; and Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.(12)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws (16)
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.(15)
- 3.4 Certificate of Designation filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on May 1, 2003.(15)
- 4.1 Amended and Restated Investor Rights Agreement dated October 15, 1999.(1)
- 4.2 Form of Stock Purchase Agreement by and between the Company and each selling stockholder, dated January 29, 2002.(3)
- 4.3 Form of Preferred Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated February 5, 2003, as amended on February 11, 2003.(8)
- 4.4 Form of Second Amendment to Preferred Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement of February 5, 2003, dated April 10, 2003.(10)
- 4.5 Form of Warrant issued by the Company pursuant to Preferred Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement of February 5, 2003, as amended of February 11, 2003 and April 10, 2003.(10)
- 4.6 Form of Common Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated October 6, 2003.(11)
- 4.7 Form of Warrant issued by the Company pursuant to the Common Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement of October 6, 2003.(11)
- 10.1 Form of Indemnity Agreement.(1)
- 10.2 Amended and Restated 1995 Stock Option Plan, as amended on November 16, 2002.(7)(9)
- 10.2.2 Amended and Restated Form of Stock Option Agreement and Notice of Grant of Stock Options and Option Agreement.(1)(7)
- 10.3 2000 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended on February 11, 2003.(7)(9)
- 10.9 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and related documents.(1)(7)
- 10.15 Senior Executive Severance Benefit Plan, as amended and restated on August 1, 2002.(5)(7)
- 10.16 Executive Severance Benefit Plan, as amended and restated on August 1, 2002.(5)(7)
- 10.17 Summary of Officer Incentive Bonus Plan.(2)(7)

20

Table of Contents

- 10.18 Release Agreement by and between the Company and Diversa Corporation dated July 27, 2001, including Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of the Company and Registration Rights Agreement.(4)
- 10.22 2002 Non-Officer Equity Incentive Plan and related documents, as amended on February 3, 2003.(9)
- 10.24 Lease Termination Agreement by and between the Company and EOP-Shoreline Technology Park, L.L.C., dated November 22, 2002, including Common Stock Purchase Agreement.(6)
- 10.27 Amendment and Assignment of Lease, Release and Assumption Agreement by and among the Company, PolyFuel, Inc. and 1245 Terra Bella Partners, LLC, dated December 20, 2002, including Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of the Company dated December 31, 2002.(9)
- 10.29 Lease Agreement by and between the Company and Embarcadero Corporate Center, dated February 10, 2003.(9)
- 10.30 Common Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated October 6, 2003 (the Purchase Agreement) by and among the Company and each Investor as defined therein.(11)
- 10.31 Form of warrant issued by the Company in favor of each Investor, as defined in the Purchase Agreement.(11)
- 10.32 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. (13)
- 10.33 First Amendment to Office Lease, dated March 11, 2004, between the Company and Embarcadero Corporate Center. (13)
- 10.34 Consulting agreement between the Company and Gregory W. Schafer the Company's Chief Financial Officer. *
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.*
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.*
- 32.1 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350).*

* Filed hereto.

Confidential treatment request has been granted with respect to certain portions of this exhibit. Omitted portions have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

- (1) Incorporated by reference to exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-95461) initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2000 as subsequently amended.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to exhibit to our Form 10-Q (File No. 000-29993) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2001.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-82934) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 15, 2002.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-89840) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 5, 2002.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to exhibit to our Form 10-Q (File No. 000-29993) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 14, 2002.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to exhibit to our Form 8-K (File No. 000-29993) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 27, 2002.
- (7) Management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Definitive Proxy Statement for the Special Meeting of Stockholders (File No. 000-29993) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 3, 2003.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to exhibit to our Form 10-K (File No. 000-29993) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2003.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to our Form 10-Q (File No. 000-29993) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 14, 2003.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to exhibit to our Form 8-K (File No. 000-29993) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 9, 2003.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to our Form 10-Q (File No. 000-29993) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 12, 2003.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-114451) initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2004 as subsequently amended.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to our Form 8-K/A (File No. 000-29993) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 18, 2004.

22

solid #000000">

Bristol Township School District, GO, 5.25%, 6/01/37

2,500 2,651,250

South Carolina 4.8%

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

City of North Charleston South Carolina, RB, Public Facilities Corp., Installment Purchase,
5.00%, 6/01/35

1,880 1,982,028

County of Charleston South Carolina, RB, Special Source, Series 2013, 5.25%, 12/01/38

3,760 4,119,569

County of Charleston South Carolina Airport District, ARB, Series A, AMT:

6.00%, 7/01/38

2,940 3,211,626

5.50%, 7/01/41

2,500 2,642,875

South Carolina State Public Service Authority, Refunding RB, Santee Cooper, Series A, 5.50%, 1/01/38

2,500 2,753,625

14,709,723

Texas 19.4%

City of Beaumont Texas, GO, Certificates of Obligation, 5.25%, 3/01/37

2,345 2,579,453

City of Houston Texas Utility System, Refunding RB, Combined 1st Lien, Series A (AGC),
6.00%, 11/15/35

6,700 7,659,440

County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Refunding RB, Christus Health, Series A (AGC), 6.50%, 7/01/37

3,000 3,316,140

Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, 5.25%, 12/01/38

4,555 4,940,626 **Municipal Bonds**

Par

(000)

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Value

Texas (concluded)

Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, ARB, Joint Improvement AMT:

Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/38

\$1,615 \$1,621,298

Series H, 5.00%, 11/01/37

1,810 1,820,263

Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB,
5.50%, 5/15/33

2,155 2,352,894

North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, Special Projects, Series A, 5.50%, 9/01/41

7,000 7,637,700

North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB,
1st Tier System:

Series A (NPFGC), 5.63%, 1/01/33

10,975 11,888,230

Series A (NPFGC), 5.75%, 1/01/40

11,575 12,533,294

Series B (NPFGC), 5.75%, 1/01/40

1,000 1,082,790

Series K-2 (AGC), 6.00%, 1/01/38

1,000 1,109,610

Red River Education Financing Corp., RB, Texas Christian University Project, 5.25%, 3/15/38

1,070 1,172,196

59,713,934

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Virginia 1.2%

City of Lexington Virginia IDA, RB, Washington & Lee University, 5.00%, 1/01/43

945 1,005,253

Virginia Public School Authority, RB, Fluvanna County School Financing, 6.50%, 12/01/18 (a)

2,195 2,756,657

3,761,910

Washington 1.5%

City of Seattle Washington Municipal Light & Power, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/36

2,400 2,613,672

State of Washington, GO, Various Purposes, Series B, 5.25%, 2/01/36

1,865 2,038,240

4,651,912 **Total Municipal Bonds 124.5%** 383,377,662 **Municipal Bonds Transferred to
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b)**

Alabama 1.3%

City of Mobile Alabama Board of Water & Sewer Commissioners, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 1/01/31

3,750 3,921,450

California 1.8%

Sequoia Union High School District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series B (AGM),
5.50%, 7/01/35

5,189 5,572,090

Colorado 3.1%

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Catholic Health, Series C-3 (AGM), 5.10%, 10/01/41

9,410 9,559,901

District of Columbia 0.6%

Utility 0.6%

District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/35 (c)

1,700 1,910,790

Florida 7.1%

City of St. Petersburg Florida, Refunding RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/35

6,493 6,783,692

County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40

1,020 1,064,023

County of Miami-Dade Florida, GO, Building Better Communities Program, Series B-1, 6.00%, 7/01/38

12,500 14,037,375

21,885,090

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
	(000)	Value
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (b)		
Illinois 4.6%		
City of Chicago Illinois, ARB, O Hare International Airport, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/38	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,123,600
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, Waterworks, 2nd Lien (AGM), 5.25%, 11/01/33	3,967	4,111,602
		14,235,202
Kentucky 0.9%		
Kentucky State Property & Building Commission, Refunding RB, Project No. 93 (AGC), 5.25%, 2/01/27	2,304	2,587,237
Nevada 6.8%		
County of Clark Nevada Water Reclamation District, GO: Limited Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/38	10,000	11,380,700
Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/29	8,247	9,570,943
		20,951,643
New Jersey 1.8%		
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29	3,861	4,058,331
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/36 (c)	1,500	1,595,326
		5,653,657
New York 7.0%		
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water & Sewer System, 2nd General Resolution, Series FF, 5.00%, 6/15/45	5,958	6,231,430
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, BARB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39	2,300	2,477,065
New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, 1 World Trade Center Port Authority Consolidated Bonds, 5.25%, 12/15/43	7,515	7,992,278
	Par	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (b)		
New York (concluded)	(000)	Value
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 4 World Trade Center Project, 5.75%, 11/15/51 (c)	\$ 4,400	\$ 4,745,664
		21,446,437
Texas 1.4%		
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Baylor Health Care System Project, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/38	4,296	4,428,301
Utah 0.8%		
City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services, Inc., 5.00%, 8/15/41	2,503	2,583,964
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 37.2%		114,735,762
Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$473,240,470) 161.7%		498,113,424
Short-Term Securities		
FBI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.03% (d)(e)	4,937,714	4,937,714
Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$4,937,714) 1.6%		4,937,714
Total Investments (Cost \$478,178,184) 163.3%		503,051,138
Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.7%)		(2,144,362)

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest	
Expense and Fees Payable (20.1%)	(61,871,564)
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value (42.5%)	(131,000,000)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%	\$ 308,035,212

Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) US government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Fund acquired residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (c) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement, which may require the Fund to pay the liquidity provider in the event there is a shortfall between the TOB trust certificates and proceeds received from the sale of the security contributed to the TOB trust. In the case of a shortfall, the aggregate maximum potential amount the Fund could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from October 1, 2016 to November 15, 2019 is \$4,822,872.
- (d) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the six months ended January 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at July 31, 2013	Net Activity	Shares Held at January 31, 2014	Income
FPI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	16,070,448	(11,132,734)	4,937,714	\$ 1,085

- (e) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of January 31, 2014 were as follows:

Contracts Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Notional Value	Unrealized Depreciation
(282)	10-Year US Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	March 2014	\$ 35,461,500	\$ (150,023)

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments (concluded)****BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)**

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Fund has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund's own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Fund's policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund's policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund's investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of January 31, 2014:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$ 498,113,424		\$ 498,113,424
Short-Term Securities	\$ 4,937,714			4,937,714
Total	\$ 4,937,714	\$ 498,113,424		\$ 503,051,138

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Derivative Financial Instruments ²				
Liabilities:				
Interest rate contracts	\$ (150,023)			\$ (150,023)

² Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

The carrying amount for certain of the Fund's assets and/or liabilities approximates fair value for financial statement purposes. As of January 31, 2014, such assets and liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	\$ 434,000			\$ 434,000
Liabilities:				
TOB trust certificates		\$ (61,854,172)		(61,854,172)
VMTP Shares		(131,000,000)		(131,000,000)
Total	\$ 434,000	\$ (192,854,172)		\$ (192,420,172)

There were no transfers between levels during the six months ended January 31, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments** January 31, 2014 (Unaudited)**BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)**

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
	(000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
California 95.6%		
Corporate 0.5%		
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric, Series A, 5.88%, 2/15/34	\$ 2,435	\$ 2,735,235
County/City/Special District/School District 32.1%		
Centinela Valley Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series B, 6.00%, 8/01/36	2,250	2,616,300
City & County of San Francisco California, COP, AMT, Port Facilities Project, Series C, 5.25%, 3/01/32	1,050	1,120,245
Coast Community College District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2012, Series A, 5.00%, 8/01/38	2,640	2,846,950
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 8/01/35	2,000	2,262,920
County of Los Angeles California Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Proposition A, 1st Tier, Senior Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/27	4,000	4,198,960
County of Orange California Sanitation District, COP, Series A, 5.00%, 2/01/35	2,500	2,736,775
County of Orange California Water District, COP, Refunding, 5.25%, 8/15/34	9,045	10,012,363
County of San Joaquin California Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Limited Tax Measure K, Series A, 6.00%, 3/01/36	2,530	2,967,235
Garden Grove Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/37	2,565	2,812,086
Grossmont Healthcare District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.13%, 7/15/40	2,500	2,860,725
Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series C, 5.50%, 8/01/33	1,880	2,126,148
Kern Community College District, GO, Safety Repair & Improvements, Series C, 5.25%, 11/01/32	5,715	6,399,428
Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency California, RB, Bunker Hill Project, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/27	7,000	7,233,870
Los Angeles Municipal Improvement Corp., Refunding LRB, Real Property, Series B (AGC), 5.50%, 4/01/39	2,045	2,269,848
Mount San Antonio Community College District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series 2013 A, 5.00%, 8/01/34	4,500	4,889,835
Ohlone Community College District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.25%, 8/01/41	8,140	8,799,177
Orchard School District, GO, Election of 2001, Series A (AGC), 5.00%, 8/01/34	7,490	7,966,739
Oxnard Union High School District California, GO, Refunding, Series A (NPFGC), 6.20%, 8/01/30	9,645	10,455,469
Pittsburg Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B (AGM):		
5.50%, 8/01/34	2,000	2,210,860
5.63%, 8/01/39	4,500	4,981,995
Redlands Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2008 (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33	5,000	5,441,150
San Jose California Financing Authority, Series A, LRB, Convention Center Expansion & Renovation Project:		
5.75%, 5/01/36	2,570	2,751,930
5.75%, 5/01/42	4,500	4,911,165
	Par	
	(000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
California (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
San Jose California Financing Authority, Refunding LRB:		
Civic Center Project, 5.00%, 6/01/32	\$ 3,375	\$ 3,608,415
Convention Center Expansion & Renovation Project, 5.00%, 6/01/39	21,325	22,261,168
San Juan Unified School District, GO, Election of 2002 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34	6,475	6,895,810
San Leandro California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.75%, 8/01/41	3,000	3,298,560
Snowline Joint Unified School District, COP, Refunding, Refining Project (AGC), 5.75%, 9/01/38	5,600	6,301,288
Southwestern Community College District, GO, Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/36	2,775	2,977,409

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Walnut Valley Unified School District, GO, Election of 2007, Series B, 5.75%, 8/01/41	7,680	8,580,403
West Contra Costa California Unified School District, GO: Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 8/01/41	6,140	6,447,982
Election of 2010, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/39	3,000	3,258,840
Election of 2012, Series A, 5.50%, 8/01/39	2,500	2,715,700
		171,217,748
Education 8.4%		
California Municipal Finance Authority, RB, Emerson College, 6.00%, 1/01/42	2,750	3,051,043
Gavilan Joint Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series D: 5.50%, 8/01/31	2,165	2,439,327
5.75%, 8/01/35	8,400	9,548,280
University of California, Refunding RB, Limited Project, Series G, 5.00%, 5/15/37	27,780	29,721,822
		44,760,472
Health 15.1%		
ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corps., Refunding RB, Sharp Healthcare, Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/30	2,345	2,685,517
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB: Children s Hospital, Series A, 5.25%, 11/01/41	10,500	10,912,230
Providence Health Services, Series B, 5.50%, 10/01/39	4,105	4,584,957
Sutter Health, Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/46	6,970	7,043,603
Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42	7,715	8,867,930
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB: Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/39	5,500	6,013,920
Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/34	2,270	2,522,878
Stanford Hospital, Series A-3, 5.50%, 11/15/40	3,000	3,271,170
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB: Kaiser Permanente, Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/42	18,000	18,386,100
Kaiser Permanente, Series B, 5.25%, 3/01/45	6,000	6,042,000
Sutter Health, Series A, 6.00%, 8/15/42	4,460	5,126,502

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
	(000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
California (continued)		
Health (concluded)		
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Refunding RB:		
Catholic Healthcare West, Series D (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/01/31	\$ 865	\$ 944,865
Trinity Health Credit Group Composite Issue, 5.00%, 12/01/41	2,525	2,588,984
Washington Township Health Care District, GO, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/38	1,250	1,382,363
		80,373,019
Housing 0.0%		
California Rural Home Mortgage Finance Authority, Mortgage-Backed Securities Program, RB, Series A, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.35%, 12/01/29		
	25	25,117
County of San Bernardino California, Refunding RB, Home Mortgage-Backed Securities, Series A-1, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.25%, 12/01/31	15	15,971
		41,088
State 7.8%		
State of California, GO, Various Purposes:		
6.00%, 3/01/33	5,500	6,434,450
6.00%, 4/01/38	17,615	20,207,752
6.00%, 11/01/39	3,510	4,080,270
State of California Public Works Board, LRB:		
Department of Education, Riverside Campus Project, Series B, 6.50%, 4/01/34	3,670	4,239,547
Various Capital Projects, Series I, 5.50%, 11/01/33	2,575	2,901,870
Various Capital Projects, Sub-Series I-1, 6.13%, 11/01/29	3,360	3,950,621
		41,814,510
Transportation 15.1%		
Bay Area Toll Authority, RB, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series C-1, 0.94%, 4/01/45 (a)		
	2,600	2,569,554
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, ARB:		
Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39	9,650	11,115,449
Special Facility Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.10%, 1/01/20	1,000	1,004,260
Special Facility Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.13%, 1/01/27	985	989,019
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding ARB, AMT:		
2nd Series 34E (AGM), 5.75%, 5/01/24	5,000	5,650,450
2nd Series 34E (AGM), 5.75%, 5/01/25	3,500	3,940,265
2nd Series A, 5.25%, 5/01/33	1,435	1,525,649
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, ARB:		
Los Angeles International Airport, Sub-Series B, 5.00%, 5/15/40	2,500	2,610,775
Los Angeles International Airport, Senior Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 5/15/38	2,550	2,659,523
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, Refunding ARB, Los Angeles International Airport Senior Series A:		
5.25%, 5/15/29	3,760	4,224,510
5.00%, 5/15/40	3,750	3,933,075
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT, 6.25%, 3/01/34	1,400	1,576,190
County of Orange California, ARB, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/34	5,000	5,498,800
	Par	
	(000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
California (concluded)		
Transportation (concluded)		
County of Sacramento California, ARB:		
Subordinated & Passenger Facility Charges/Grant, Series C (AGC), 5.75%, 7/01/39	\$ 5,555	\$ 6,210,434

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

Senior Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33	8,055	8,379,294
County of San Diego California Regional Airport Authority, Refunding ARB: Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/40	6,350	6,489,510
Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/40	2,790	2,851,296
Los Angeles Harbor Department, RB, Series B: 5.25%, 8/01/34	5,530	6,154,116
5.25%, 8/01/39	2,535	2,817,551
		80,199,720
Utilities 16.6%		
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, RB, Electric System Distribution Facilities, Series A, 5.38%, 10/01/36	5,000	5,579,250
California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 10/01/39 (b)	4,765	5,151,584
City of Los Angeles California Wastewater System, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A: 5.00%, 6/01/28	2,000	2,249,100
5.00%, 6/01/35	8,000	8,669,520
City of San Francisco California, Refunding RB, Public Utilities Water Commission, Series A, 5.25%, 11/01/31	6,280	6,974,066
County of Los Angeles California Public Works Financing Authority, Refunding LRB, Multiple Capital Projects II, 5.00%, 8/01/42	2,000	2,057,180
County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/38	4,895	5,238,629
Cucamonga Valley Water District Financing Authority, RB, Water Utility, 5.00%, 9/01/37	2,500	2,650,200
Dublin-San Ramon Services District, Refunding RB, 6.00%, 8/01/41	4,000	4,694,520
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB: Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/37	4,000	4,280,840
Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/30	5,000	5,582,350
Sub-Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/37	10,000	10,702,100
Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/33	3,000	3,238,110
Imperial Irrigation District, Refunding RB, Electric System, 5.13%, 11/01/38	5,835	6,177,456
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/39	8,000	8,633,840
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Series A, 5.25%, 5/15/34	2,000	2,181,260
Walnut Energy Center Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/40	4,180	4,276,433
		88,336,438
Total Municipal Bonds 95.6%		509,478,230

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)

California 64.8%

County/City/Special District/School District 30.4%

Arcadia Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2006, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/37	7,925	8,272,568
--	-------	-----------

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
	(000)	Value
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)		
California (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
County of Los Angeles California Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Proposition A, 1st Tier, Senior Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/35	\$ 6,828	\$ 7,126,688
County of Los Angeles California Sanitation Districts Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Capital Project 14 (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/01/34	4,998	5,233,465
Desert Community College District California, GO, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/37	12,150	12,807,679
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO:		
Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/32	26,438	28,326,749
Election of 2001, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32	12,000	12,857,520
Election of 2003, Series E (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/31	7,497	7,986,913
Election of 2003, Series F-1, 5.00%, 8/01/33	12,000	12,870,720
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33	9,596	11,114,842
Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34	5,000	5,402,000
Poway Unified School District, GO, Election of 2002, Improvement District 02, Series 1-B (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/30	10,000	10,652,700
San Bernardino Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/31	7,550	8,042,789
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC):		
5.00%, 7/01/30	19,630	20,480,764
5.00%, 7/01/34	10,497	10,952,062
		162,127,459
Education 11.6%		
California State University, Refunding RB, Systemwide, Series C (NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/01/35	20,000	21,014,800
Los Rios Community College District, GO, Election of 2008, Series A, 5.00%, 8/01/35	11,000	11,852,390
University of California, RB:		
Limited Project, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 5/15/41	8,000	8,353,760
Series L, 5.00%, 5/15/40	7,398	7,834,650
Series O, 5.75%, 5/15/34	11,190	12,727,245
		61,782,845
Par		
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)		
California (concluded)		
Utilities 22.8%		
City & County of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission, RB, Water System Improvement Project, Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/37	\$ 22,997	\$ 24,514,768
City of Napa California Water System, RB, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/01/35	9,070	9,385,273
County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33	8,510	9,179,312
East Bay Municipal Utility District, RB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/35	15,000	15,643,350
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37	7,990	8,749,849
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power System:		
Sub-Series A-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/37	13,525	14,375,422
Sub-Series A-1 (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/37	5,029	5,368,124
Sub-Series A-2 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35	7,500	7,993,350
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/37	15,000	16,254,000
Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34	9,277	9,893,779

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

		121,357,227
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts	64.8%	345,267,531
Total Long-Term Investments		854,745,761
(Cost \$816,040,306)	160.4%	
Short-Term Securities		
	Shares	
BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (d)(e)	10,447,577	10,447,577
Total Short-Term Securities		10,447,577
(Cost \$10,447,577)	2.0%	865,193,338
Total Investments (Cost \$826,487,883)	162.4%	(966,521)
Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets	(0.2)%	
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable		(164,923,886)
(30.9%)		(166,500,000)
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(31.3%)	
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares	100.0%	\$ 532,802,931

Notes to Schedule of investments

(a) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date.

(b) When-issued security. Unsettled when-issued transactions were as follows:

Counterparty	Value	Unrealized Appreciation
Piper Jaffray	\$ 5,151,584	\$ 12,341

(c) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Fund acquired residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.

(d) Represents the current yield as of report date.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Schedule of Investments (concluded)****BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)**

(e) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the six months ended January 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at July 31, 2013	Net Activity	Shares Held at January 31, 2014	Income
BIF California Municipal Money Fund	2,379,292	8,068,285	10,447,577	\$ 274

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund's sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of January 31, 2014 were as follows:

Contracts Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Notional Value	Unrealized Depreciation
(320)	10-Year US Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	March 2014	\$ 40,240,000	\$ (442,900)

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Fund has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund's own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Fund's policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund's policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund's investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of January 31, 2014:

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$ 854,745,761		\$ 854,745,761
Short-Term Securities	\$ 10,447,577			10,447,577
Total	\$ 10,447,577	\$ 854,745,761		\$ 865,193,338

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Derivative Financial Instruments ²				
Liabilities:				
Interest rate contracts	\$ (442,900)			\$ (442,900)

² Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

The carrying amount for certain of the Fund's assets and/or liabilities approximates fair value for financial statement purposes. As of January 31, 2014, such assets and liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	\$ 514,000			\$ 514,000
Liabilities:				
TOB trust certificates		\$ (164,894,585)		(164,894,585)
VRDP Shares		(166,500,000)		(166,500,000)
Total	\$ 514,000	\$ (331,394,585)		\$ (330,880,585)

There were no transfers between levels during the six months ended January 31, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Schedule of Investments January 31, 2014 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc. (MYM)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
	(000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
Michigan 141.2%		
Corporate 2.9%		
County of Monroe EDC Michigan, Refunding RB, Detroit Edison Co. Project, Series AA (NPFGC), 6.95%, 9/01/22	\$ 3,805	\$ 4,804,650
County/City/Special District/School District 36.3%		
Adrian City School District, GO (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/14 (a)	2,400	2,429,040
Anchor Bay School District, GO, Refunding (Q-SBLF):		
4.13%, 5/01/25	1,475	1,547,039
4.25%, 5/01/26	1,145	1,200,040
4.38%, 5/01/27	640	669,158
4.50%, 5/01/29	605	629,642
Bay City School District Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/36	200	207,928
Charter Township of Canton Michigan, GO, Capital Improvement (AGM):		
5.00%, 4/01/25	1,250	1,372,100
5.00%, 4/01/26	1,250	1,377,050
5.00%, 4/01/27	500	537,780
Chippewa Valley Schools, GO, Refunding, Unlimited Tax (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/32	1,220	1,308,596
City of Jackson Mississippi, GO, CAB, Downtown Development (AGM), 0.00%, 6/01/26 (b)	1,900	1,121,703
City of Oak Park Michigan, GO, Street Improvement (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/30	600	628,656
Comstock Park Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site, Series B (Q-SBLF):		
5.50%, 5/01/36	450	483,008
5.50%, 5/01/41	830	881,327
County of Genesee Michigan, GO, Refunding, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/19	400	413,960
County of St Clair, GO, Limited Tax, Refunding, 5.00%, 4/01/28	765	817,808
Dearborn Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, GO, Limited Tax, Redevelopment, Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 5/01/39	2,000	2,106,780
Dearborn School District, GO, Series A (Q-SBLF) (c):		
5.00%, 5/01/32	570	603,328
5.00%, 5/01/33	610	642,684
5.00%, 5/01/34	455	477,900
Eaton Rapids Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (Q-SBLF), 5.25%, 5/01/14 (a)	2,000	2,025,440
Flint EDC, RB, Michigan Department of Human Services Office Building Project, 5.25%, 10/01/41	1,880	1,902,334
Fraser Public School District Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/25	1,255	1,305,351
Gibraltar School District Michigan, GO, School Building & Site Improvement (NPFGC) (Q-SBLF) (a):		
5.00%, 5/01/14	3,065	3,102,086
5.00%, 5/01/14	585	592,079
Goodrich Area School District Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF):		
5.50%, 5/01/32	400	433,744
5.50%, 5/01/36	800	858,680
5.50%, 5/01/41	1,000	1,061,840
Harper Creek Community School District Michigan, GO, Refunding, (AGM) (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/22	1,000	1,040,120
	Par	
	(000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
Michigan (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
Hudsonville Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF), 5.25%, 5/01/41	\$ 2,650	\$ 2,773,278
Jonesville Community Schools Michigan, GO, Refunding, (NPFGC) (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/29	1,085	1,124,461

Edgar Filing: INTRABIOTICS PHARMACEUTICALS INC /DE - Form 10-Q

L Anse Creuse Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (Q-SBLF):		
5.00%, 5/01/25	1,000	1,040,120
5.00%, 5/01/26	1,050	1,092,126
5.00%, 5/01/35	2,000	2,065,780
Lincoln Consolidated School District Michigan, GO, Refunding, (NPFGC) (Q-SBLF),		
4.63%, 5/01/28	2,325	2,360,340
Livonia Public Schools School District Michigan, GO, Refunding, Series A (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 5/01/14 (a)	500	506,050
Livonia Public Schools School District Michigan, GO, Series I (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/43		
	1,910	1,949,575
New Lothrop Area Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (Q-SBLF),		
5.00%, 5/01/35	1,200	1,251,264
Parchment School District, County of Kalamazoo, State of Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (NPFGC) (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/25		
	590	640,014
Plymouth-Canton Community School District, GO, School Building & Site, Series A,		
4.00%, 5/01/32	300	300,327
Reed City Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (Q-SBLF),		
5.00%, 5/01/14 (a)	1,000	1,012,120
Romulus Community Schools, GO, Unlimited Tax, Refunding (AGM) (Q-SBLF):		
4.13%, 5/01/25	715	750,543
4.25%, 5/01/26	740	777,666
4.25%, 5/01/27	725	755,124
4.50%, 5/01/29	630	658,224
Southfield Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site, Series B (AGM) (Q-SBLF),		
5.00%, 5/01/14 (a)	1,000	1,012,100
Sparta Area Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (NPFGC) (Q-SBLF),		
5.00%, 5/01/14 (a)	1,000	1,012,020
Thornapple Kellogg School District Michigan, GO, Refunding, School Building & Site (NPFGC) (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/32		
	1,500	1,573,455
Troy School District, GO (Q-SBLF),		
5.00%, 5/01/28	760	842,179
Van Dyke Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (Q-SBLF),		
5.00%, 5/01/28	750	807,113
Walled Lake Consolidated School District, GO (Q-SBLF):		
5.00%, 5/01/37	1,080	1,135,231
5.00%, 5/01/40	1,000	1,041,450
Zeeland Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/14 (a)		
	1,230	1,244,883
		59,502,644
Education 16.7%		
City of Grand Rapids Michigan, EDC, RB, Ferris State University Project, Series A,		
5.50%, 10/01/35	760	793,957
Ferris State University, Refunding RB, General (AGM):		
4.50%, 10/01/24	1,595	1,668,992
4.50%, 10/01/25	1,405	1,458,053

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Table of Contents