ARBITRON INC Form DEF 14A April 15, 2010

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 SCHEDULE 14A

#### Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant b

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

o Preliminary Proxy Statement

o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

þ Definitive Proxy Statement

o Definitive Additional Materials

o Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

#### ARBITRON INC.

#### (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

- Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
- b No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11:
  - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  - (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
  - (1) Amount previously paid:
  - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
  - (3) Filing Party:
  - (4) Date Filed:

#### Dear Stockholder:

On behalf of the Board of Directors of Arbitron Inc. ( Arbitron ), I am pleased to invite you to attend the annual meeting of stockholders. The meeting will be held at the Ritz-Carlton New York, Central Park, 50 Central Park South, New York, New York 10019, on Tuesday, May 25, 2010, at 9:00 AM local time.

The Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the proxy statement that follow include information about the proposals recommended by Arbitron s Board of Directors to elect eight (8) individuals to serve as directors of Arbitron, to approve an amendment to and restatement of our equity compensation plan, to approve an amendment to our employee stock purchase plan, and to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of Arbitron for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010.

Our Board of Directors believes that a favorable vote for each of these proposals at the annual meeting is in the best interests of Arbitron and its stockholders, and unanimously recommends a vote <u>FOR</u> the proposals. Accordingly, we urge you to review the accompanying materials carefully and to vote your shares promptly.

It is important that your shares be represented at the meeting. I encourage you to vote your shares promptly using Internet or telephone voting, or by following the instructions on the accompanying proxy card to ensure that your vote is counted at the meeting.

We look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

William T. Kerr President and Chief Executive Officer

#### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS May 25, 2010

Date:	Tuesday, May 25, 2010						
Time:	9:00 AM local time						
Place:	The Ritz-Carlton New York, Central Park, 50 Central Park South, New York, New York 10019						
<b>Purposes:</b>	1. To elect eight (8) members of the Board of Directors to serve until the next annual meeting and						
	until their successors have been elected and qualified.						
	2. To approve an amendment to and restatement of the Company s 2008 Equity Compensation Pla						
	to, among other things, increase the authorized number of shares issuable thereunder by 2,200,000.						
	3. To approve an amendment to the Company s Employee Stock Purchase Plan to increase the						
	number of shares available in that plan by 250,000.						
	4. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of						
	Arbitron for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010.						

Record Date: April 1, 2010

The matters listed above are fully discussed in the proxy statement accompanying this notice. A copy of our 2009 Annual Report also accompanies this notice.

Stockholders are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held of record on the record date listed above. A Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or the proxy statement and the accompanying proxy card will be first mailed to stockholders on or about April 15, 2010.

It is important that your shares be represented and voted at the meeting. You can vote your shares by completing and returning the accompanying proxy card. Most stockholders can also vote their shares over the Internet or by telephone. If Internet or telephone voting is available to you, voting instructions are printed on the accompanying proxy card. You can revoke a proxy at any time prior to its exercise at the meeting by following the instructions in the accompanying proxy statement. We appreciate your cooperation.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Timothy T. Smith Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer, Legal and Business Affairs, and Secretary

April 15, 2010

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS	
PROXY STATEMENT	1
Information About the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials	1
Who Can Vote	1
Who Can Attend the Annual Meeting	1
Quorum	2
Voting Rights	2
Voting by Participants in Arbitron Benefit Plans	3
Granting Your Proxy	3
Other Business	3
Confidential Voting	3
<u>Revoking Your Proxy</u>	3
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (Proposal 1)	4
Nominees for Election as Directors	4
Recommendation of the Board of Directors	7
Independence of Directors	7
Corporate Governance Policies and Guidelines and Code of Ethics	8
Board Leadership Structure	8
Executive Sessions of Nonmanagement Directors	8
Communicating with the Board of Directors	8
Meetings of the Board of Directors	9
Committees of the Board of Directors	9
Executive Committee	9
<u>Audit Committe</u> e	9
Compensation and Human Resources Committee	10
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	11
Technology Strategy Committee	13
Growth Strategy Committee	13
The Board s Role in Risk Oversight	13
2009 Director Compensation	14
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND OTHER INFORMATION	17
Executive Officers	17
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	18
Introduction	18
Objectives	18
Components	19
Setting 2009 Executive Compensation	19
Base Salary	20
2009 Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation	21
2010 Non-equity Incentive Plan	24
2009 Long-term Incentive Equity	24
2010 Long-term Incentive Plan	25
Benefits and Perquisites	26

ii

	Page No.
Post-Termination Compensation	26
Retirement Plans	26
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	26
Retention and Employment Agreements	26
Executive Retention Agreements	26
Executive Employment Agreements	27
2010 Developments	27
Skarzynski Settlement Agreement and General Release	27
Stock Ownership Guidelines	28
Role of Management	28
Compliance with Section 162(m)	28
<b>REPORT OF COMPENSATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE</b>	29
Summary of Cash and Certain Other Compensation and Other Payments to the NEOs	29
2009 Summary Compensation Table	29
2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards	31
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	32
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	33
2009 Pension Benefits Table	33
2009 Nongualified Deferred Compensation	34
401(k) Plan	34
POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL	34
Morris Agreement	35
Transitional Compensation	35
Supplemental Retirement Benefits	35
Executive Retention Agreements	35
Termination by the Company other than for cause or by executive for Position Diminishment	35
Termination During Window Period Following a Change of Control	36
Leadership Change	37
Skarzynski Executive Employment Agreement	37
Adams Executive Employment Agreement	38
Henrick Executive Employment Agreement	39
2009 Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	40
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	41
CONSIDERATION OF RISK IN OUR COMPENSATION PROGRAMS	41
STOCK OWNERSHIP INFORMATION	42
Stock Ownership of Arbitron s Directors and Executive Officers	42
Stock Ownership of Arbitron s Principal Stockholders	44
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	45
AMENDMENT TO AND RESTATEMENT OF THE ARBITRON INC. 2008 EQUITY	
COMPENSATION PLAN (Proposal 2)	46
Key Features of the Amended and Restated 2008 Plan	46
Description of the 2008 Plan	47
Type of Awards	47
Transferability of Awards	49

	Page No.	
Eligibility to Receive Awards	49	
<u>Plan Benefits</u>	49	
Administration	49	
<u>No Repricing</u>	50	
Certain Limitations	50	
Provision for Foreign Participants	51	
Amendment or Termination	51	
Federal Income Tax Consequences	51	
Incentive Stock Options	51	
Non-statutory Stock Options	52	
Stock Appreciation Rights	52	
Restricted Stock Awards	52	
Restricted Stock Units	52	
Other Stock-Based Awards	52	
Performance-Based Cash Awards	52	
Tax Consequences to the Company	53	
Additional 2008 Plan Disclosure	53	
Recommendation	53	
AMENDMENT TO THE ARBITRON INC. EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN		
(Proposal 3)	53	
Administration of the ESPP	54	
Description of the ESPP	54	
Federal Income Tax Consequences	54	
Recommendation	55	
RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC		
<u>ACCOUNTING FIRM (Proposal 4)</u>	55	
AUDIT FEES AND PREAPPROVAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES	56	
Preapproval Policies and Procedures	56	
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	57	
OTHER MATTERS	58	
Arbitron Mailing Address	58	
Multiple Stockholders Sharing the Same Address	58	
Stockholder Proposals for Next Year s Annual Meeting	58	
Director Nominations	58	
Proxy Solicitation	59 59	
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance		
Annual Report	59	
APPENDIX A Arbitron Inc. 2008 Equity Compensation Plan	A-1	
APPENDIX B Arbitron Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan	B-1	

## ARBITRON INC. 9705 Patuxent Woods Drive Columbia, Maryland 21046 April 15, 2010

## PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD MAY 25, 2010

We will begin mailing our Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our stockholders on or about April 15, 2010.

We are furnishing this proxy statement to our stockholders in connection with a solicitation of proxies by our Board of Directors for use at our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders to be held on Tuesday, May 25, 2010, at 9:00 AM local time at the Ritz Carlton New York, Central Park, 50 Central Park South, New York, New York 10019 (the Annual Meeting ).

#### Information About the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

The Notice of Annual Meeting and proxy statement are available at <u>http://www.arbitron.com/downloads/proxy\_2010.pdf</u>, and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 and our 2010 Stockholder Information letter are available at <u>http://www.arbitron.com/downloads/annual\_2009.pdf</u>.

In accordance with rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), instead of mailing a printed copy of our proxy materials, including our annual report to stockholders, to each stockholder of record, we may now furnish proxy materials, including our annual report to stockholders, to our stockholders on the Internet. On or about April 15, 2010 we will send electronically a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the E-Proxy Notice) to those stockholders who have previously signed up to receive their proxy materials on the Internet. Also on or about April 15, 2010, we will begin mailing the E-Proxy Notice to all other stockholders. If you received the E-Proxy Notice by mail, you will not automatically receive a printed copy of the proxy materials or the annual report to stockholders. Instead, the E-Proxy Notice instructs you as to how you may access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials, including our annual report to stockholder. If you have previously signed up on the Internet to receive proxy materials and other stockholder communications on the Internet instead of by mail, you will be receiving the E-Proxy Notice electronically as well. The E-Proxy Notice also instructs you as to how you may submit your proxy on the Internet. If you received the E-Proxy Notice by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, including our annual report to stockholders, you should follow the instructions for requesting such materials included in the E-Proxy Notice. We may choose to mail written proxy materials, including our annual report to stockholders, you should follow the instructions for requesting our annual report to stockholders.

#### Who Can Vote

If you held any of our common stock at the close of business on April 1, 2010, the record date for the Annual Meeting, you are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at our 2010 Annual Meeting. On that date, there were 26,617,376 shares of common stock outstanding. Our common stock constitutes the only class of securities entitled to

vote at the meeting. Stockholders who have not exchanged their Ceridian Corporation common stock certificates for Arbitron Inc. (the Company ) common stock certificates in connection with the spin-off of Ceridian Corporation by the Company on March 30, 2001, will not be eligible to vote at the Annual Meeting.

## Who Can Attend the Annual Meeting

All holders of our common stock at the close of business on April 1, 2010, the record date for the Annual Meeting, or their duly appointed proxies, are authorized to attend the Annual Meeting. If you attend the

meeting, you may be asked to present valid picture identification, such as a driver s license or passport, before being admitted. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the meeting.

Please also note that if you hold your shares in street name (that is, through a bank, broker or other nominee), you will need to bring a copy of the brokerage statement reflecting your stock ownership as of April 1, 2010, the record date for the Annual Meeting.

# Quorum

The presence of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote, in person or by proxy, is necessary to constitute a quorum and conduct business at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker nonvotes will be considered present at the meeting for purposes of determining a quorum. A broker nonvote occurs when a broker holding common stock for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular matter because the broker does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that item and has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner.

# **Voting Rights**

Each share of our common stock that you hold entitles you to one vote on all matters that come before the Annual Meeting. Inspectors of election will count votes cast at the Annual Meeting.

The affirmative vote of a plurality of all the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, assuming a quorum is present, is necessary for the election of a director. Therefore, the eight individuals with the highest number of affirmative votes will be elected to the eight directorships. Stockholders who do not wish their shares to be voted for a particular nominee may indicate that in the space provided on the proxy card or by following the telephone or Internet instructions. For purposes of the election of directors, broker nonvotes, abstentions and other shares not voted (whether by broker nonvote or otherwise) will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the vote.

If you hold your shares through a broker, it is important that you cast your vote if you want it to count in the election of directors. In the past, if you held your shares in street name through a broker and you did not indicate how you wanted your shares voted in the election of directors, your broker was allowed to vote those shares on your behalf in the broker s discretion. Recent regulatory changes eliminate the ability of your broker to vote your uninstructed shares in the election of directors on a discretionary basis. Thus, if you hold your shares in street name and you do not instruct your broker how to vote in the election of directors, no votes will be cast on your behalf. For more information on this topic, see the SEC Investor Alert issued in February 2010 entitled New Shareholder Voting Rules for the 2010 Proxy Season at http://www.sec.gov/investor/alerts/votingrules2010.htm.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the proposals is necessary to approve the amendment to and restatement of the 2008 Equity Compensation Plan and the amendment to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan. In addition, for the amendment to and restatement of the 2008 Equity Compensation Plan and the amendment to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan to be approved, the New York Stock Exchange listing standards require that (i) the total votes cast must represent over 50% of all of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote and (ii) votes in favor must constitute at least a majority of the votes cast. For purposes of these proposals to approve the amendment to and restatement of the 2008 Equity Compensation Plan and the amendment to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, abstentions will count as votes cast, but broker nonvotes will not count as votes cast. Therefore, abstentions have the effect of a vote against the proposals.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is necessary to approve the ratification of the appointment of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on the ratification of the appointment of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm.

## Voting by Participants in Arbitron Benefit Plans

If you own Arbitron common stock as a participant in one or more of our employee benefit plans, you will receive a single proxy card that covers both the shares credited to your name in your plan account(s) and shares you own that are registered in your name. If any of your plan accounts are not in the same name as your shares of record, you will receive separate proxy cards for your record and plan holdings. Proxies submitted by plan participants in our 401(k) plan will serve as voting instructions to the trustees for the plan whether provided by mail, telephone or the Internet. In the absence of voting instructions from participants in the 401(k) plan, the trustees of the plan will vote the undirected shares in the same proportion as the directed shares.

# **Granting Your Proxy**

You may vote your shares as follows:

in person at the Annual Meeting; or

by telephone (see the instructions in the E-Proxy Notice); or,

by Internet (see the instructions in the E-Proxy Notice); or

if you received a printed copy of these proxy materials by mail, by signing, dating and mailing the enclosed proxy card.

If you vote by proxy, the individuals named on the proxy card (your proxies) will vote your shares in the manner you indicate. You may specify whether your shares should be voted for, against or abstain with respect to all, some or none of the matters submitted for stockholder approval.

If your shares are not registered in your own name and you plan to attend the Annual Meeting and vote your shares in person, you should contact your broker or agent in whose name your shares are registered to obtain a proxy executed in your favor and bring it to the Annual Meeting in order to vote.

#### **Other Business**

No other matters are to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting other than the items described in this proxy statement. The enclosed proxy will, however, confer discretionary authority with respect to any other matter that may properly come before the meeting. The persons named in the enclosed proxy intend to vote as recommended by the Board of Directors or, if no recommendation is given, in accordance with their judgment on any matters that may properly come before the meeting.

#### **Confidential Voting**

It is our policy that the individual stockholder votes are kept confidential prior to the final tabulation of the vote at our stockholders meeting if the stockholder requests confidential treatment. The only exceptions to this policy involve applicable legal requirements and proxy solicitations in opposition to the Board. Access to proxies and individual stockholder voting records is limited to the independent election inspectors (Broadridge Financial Services, Inc.), who may inform us at any time whether or not a particular stockholder has voted.

#### **Revoking Your Proxy**

If you submit a proxy, you can revoke it at any time before it is exercised by giving written notice to our Corporate Secretary prior to the Annual Meeting or by timely delivery of a properly exercised, later-dated proxy (including an Internet or telephone vote). You may also attend the Annual Meeting in person and vote by ballot, which would cancel any proxy that you previously submitted.

You should rely only on the information provided in this proxy statement. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different or additional information. You should not assume that the information in this proxy statement is accurate as of any date other than the date of this proxy statement or, where information relates to another date set forth in this proxy statement, then as of that date. Unless the context requires otherwise, in this proxy statement, references to the Company, we, us, our, its or similar terms are to Arbitron Inc. and its subsidiaries.

3

## ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (Proposal 1)

Our business is managed under the direction of our Board of Directors (the Board ), which currently is composed of eight directors. Our bylaws provide for the annual election of directors. The current terms of office of all of our directors expire at the 2010 Annual Meeting. Our Board has renominated each of the eight directors currently serving on the Board to serve as directors for a one-year term until the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders. Each of the nominees has consented to serve if elected.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR and solicits proxies in favor of each of the nominees named below. Proxies cannot be voted for more than eight people. Our Board has no reason to believe that any of the nominees for director will be unable or unavailable to serve. However, if any nominee should for any reason become unable or unavailable to serve, proxies will be voted for another nominee selected by the Board. Alternatively, proxies, at our Board s discretion, may be voted for a fewer number of nominees as a result of a director s inability or unavailability to serve. Each person elected will hold office until the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified, or until earlier resignation or removal.

The following is biographical information concerning the eight nominees for election as directors of Arbitron:

#### Nominees for Election as Directors

#### Shellye L. Archambeau, age 47

Director of Arbitron since November 2005

Chief Executive Officer of MetricStream, Inc. (formerly Zaplet, Inc.), a provider of enterprise software that allows corporations in diverse industries to manage quality processes, regulatory and industry-mandated compliance activities and corporate governance initiatives, since 2002

Chief Marketing Officer and Executive Vice President of Sales of Loudcloud, Inc. (now Opsware Inc.), a leader in Internet infrastructure services, from 2001 to 2002

Chief Marketing Officer of NorthPoint Communications, from 2000 to 2001

Director of the Information Technology Senior Management Forum; director of the Forum of Women Entrepreneurs; and director of Silicon Valley Leadership Group, a nonprofit organization that addresses major public policy issues affecting the economic health and quality of life in Silicon Valley

Ms. Archambeau has leadership experience as a chief executive and as a sales and marketing officer. Ms. Archambeau s executive experience includes active supervision of individuals engaged in preparing and analyzing complex financial statements, which experience is valuable as a member of our audit committee. Ms. Archambeau s marketing experience enables her to provide strategic insight for our business.

#### David W. Devonshire, age 64

Director of Arbitron since August 2007

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Motorola, Inc., a telecommunications company, from March 2002 to March 2007

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Ingersoll-Rand, a diversified industrial company, from December 1997 to March 2002

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Owens Corning, a fiberglass manufacturing company, from July 1993 to December 1997

Director and member of the audit committee and the executive committee of Roper Industries, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange listed diversified industrial company; director and member of the audit committee and the compensation committee of ArvinMeritor, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange listed supplier of integrated systems, modules and components to the motor vehicle industry; director and member of the audit committee and the compensation committee of Career Education Corporation, a NASDAQ listed educational services company; an advisory board member of L.E.K. Consulting; an advisory board member of CFO Magazine; a trustee of Shedd Aquarium; and an advisory board member of WMG Capital

Mr. Devonshire brings finance, accounting, and financial reporting experience as a public company chief financial officer. Mr. Devonshire currently also serves on the audit committees of several public companies. Mr. Devonshire s significant financial experience uniquely enables him to provide analysis and insight to our audit committee as well as our finance, strategic planning, accounting, and internal audit functions.

## John A. Dimling, age 72

Director of Arbitron since January 2010

Chairman Emeritus of Nielsen Media Research, Inc. ( Nielsen ), a marketing and media information company, from January 2006 to April 2008

Chairman of Nielsen, from January 2002 to January 2006

President and Chief Executive Officer of Nielsen, from July 1998 to December 2001

President and Chief Operating Officer of Nielsen, from August 1993 to June 1998

Chairman, NetRatings, Inc., a marketing and media information company, from May 2002 to June 2007

Mr. Dimling has a deep understanding of the media audience ratings marketplace as well as experience running a leading publicly-traded ratings company. Mr. Dimling s experience and insight gained as a chief executive of a media audience ratings company is particularly important as we work to develop new services and enhance existing services.

#### Philip Guarascio, age 68

Director of Arbitron since March 2001; Chairman of the Board since May 2009

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of PG Ventures LLC, a marketing consulting firm, since May 2000

Vice President, General Manager of General Motors Corporation s North America Advertising and Corporate Marketing, from July 1994 to May 2000

A consultant to William Morris Endeavor Agency, since January 2001

A director of Papa John s International Inc., a NASDAQ-listed company and the third-largest pizza company in America; director of Aerva, a private digital to text company; director of AdSpace Networks, Inc., a private Internet company that provides advertising space for a variety of advertising venues

Formerly a director of AVP, Inc., a lifestyle sports entertainment company focused on the production, marketing, and distribution of professional beach volleyball events worldwide

Mr. Guarascio has extensive experience in the advertising and marketing industries, including as a senior executive with General Motors Corporation. Mr. Guarascio s advertising, marketing, and strategic expertise allow him to provide valuable insight on matters important to our customers and other users of our services as well as strategic plans relating to our business.

#### William T. Kerr, age 68

Director of Arbitron since May 2007

President and Chief Executive Officer of Arbitron since January 2010

Chairman of the Board of Directors of Meredith Corporation, a New York Stock Exchange listed diversified media company that publishes magazines and special interest publications and also owns and operates local television stations, from July 2006 to February 2010, and a member of the Meredith Corporation Board of Directors from 1994 to March 2010

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Meredith Corporation from January 1996 until June 2006

President and Chief Operating Officer of Meredith Corporation, from 1994 to 1996, President, Magazine Group and Executive Vice President of Meredith, from 1991 to 1994

President, Magazine Group and Vice President of the New York Times Company, a media company, from 1984 to 1991

A member of the Boards of Directors of The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange listed marketing communications and marketing services company, since November 2006; Whirlpool Corporation, a New York Stock Exchange listed appliance manufacturer, since June 2006; The Principal Financial Group, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange listed financial services company from 2001 to February 2010; and a member of the Board of Penton Media, Inc., a private firm

Having served as the chairman, president and chief executive officer of Meredith Corporation, Mr. Kerr has a wealth of executive management experience leading a publicly-traded media company. Mr. Kerr also has extensive experience serving as a director of the boards of large public companies. His executive leadership experience, his experience as a director, as well as his familiarity with our Company makes him particularly well-suited to be a member of the Board of Directors.

#### Larry E. Kittelberger, age 61

Director of Arbitron since March 2001

Senior Vice President, Technology and Operations of Honeywell International, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange listed diversified technology and manufacturing company, from September 2006 to April 2010

Senior Vice President, Administration, and Chief Information Officer of Honeywell International, Inc., from August 2001 to September 2006

Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Lucent Technologies Inc., a systems, services and software company, from December 1999 to August 2001

Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Allied Signal, Inc., an advanced technology and manufacturing firm, from 1995 to December 1999

Until its acquisition by affiliates of Texas Pacific Group on December 19, 2006, a director of Aleris International, Inc. (formerly Commonwealth Industries, Inc.), a publicly-traded recycler of aluminum and zinc

#### and manufacturer of aluminum sheet

Mr. Kittelberger has a history of demonstrated leadership as a senior executive of technology and manufacturing companies. His significant experience with technology companies is particularly valuable for us as he can provide strategic input on developing, enhancing, and exploiting our technologies.

#### Luis G. Nogales, age 66

Director of Arbitron since March 2001

Managing Partner, Nogales Investors LLC, a private equity investment firm, since 1989

6

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Embarcadero Media, Inc., a private company that owned and operated radio stations throughout California and Oregon, from 1992 to 1997

A director of KB Home, a New York Stock exchange listed company that is one of America's largest homebuilders; a director and member of the audit committee of Edison International, a New York Stock Exchange listed international electric power generator, distributor and structured finance provider and a director and member of the audit committee of Southern California Edison, a subsidiary of Edison International

Mr. Nogales has an extensive background in the media and broadcasting sector. Mr. Nogales provides a unique perspective as a private equity investor who intimately understands the concerns of stockholders as well as from his experience as a director of other public companies.

#### Richard A. Post, age 51

Director of Arbitron since March 2001

Since April 2006 a private investor, Managing Member of PL Management LLC since October 2008

President and Chief Executive Officer of Autobytel Inc., a NASDAQ listed Internet automotive marketing services company, from April 2005 to March 2006

Private investor, from January 2003 to April 2005

Managing Partner of LoneTree Capital Partners, a venture capital firm, from July 2000 to December 2002

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of MediaOne Group, Inc., a broadband and wireless communications company, and President of MediaOne Capital Corp., a subsidiary of MediaOne Group, Inc., from June 1998 to July 2000

Chief Financial Officer of U S WEST Media, a communications company, from December 1996 to June 1998

President, Corporate Development of U S WEST, Inc., from June 1996 to December 1996

Vice President, Corporate Development of U S WEST Media, from January 1996 to June 1996

President, U S WEST Capital Assets, from July 1993 to June 1998

A director of Autobytel Inc., from 1999 to June 2006

Mr. Post has extensive experience in the finance and corporate development areas, including as a chief financial officer as well as a chief executive officer of a publicly-traded company. This experience allows Mr. Post to provide guidance and counsel in his role as chairman of our audit committee and in our strategic planning activities.

#### **Recommendation of the Board of Directors**

# OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE NOMINEES SET FORTH ABOVE.

#### **Independence of Directors**

Under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange, and pursuant to our corporate governance policies and guidelines, we are required to have a majority of independent directors and a nominating/corporate governance committee, compensation committee and audit committee, each composed solely of independent directors. In determining director independence, the Board broadly considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. The Board considers these issues not merely from the standpoint of a director, but also from that of persons or organizations with which the director

7

has an affiliation. An independent director is free of any relationship with Arbitron or its management that may impair the director s ability to make independent judgments.

The Board of Directors has evaluated the status of each director and affirmatively determined that Ms. Archambeau and Messrs. Guarascio, Devonshire, Dimling, Kittelberger, Nogales, and Post are independent. Mr. Kerr is not independent because he is an employee of the Company. In addition, although Mr. Devonshire serves on the audit committee of more than three publicly-traded companies, the Board of Directors determined that such simultaneous service does not impair his ability to serve on our Audit Committee. Each current member of our Compensation and Human Resources Committee, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and our Audit Committee is independent.

#### **Corporate Governance Policies and Guidelines and Codes of Ethics**

*Corporate Governance Policies and Guidelines.* We have adopted corporate governance policies and guidelines, which serve as principles for the conduct of the Board of Directors. Our corporate governance policies and guidelines, which meet the requirements of the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, address a number of topics, including, among other things, director qualification standards, director responsibilities, the responsibilities and composition of the Board committees, director access to management and independent advisers, director compensation, management succession and evaluations of the performance of the Board.

*Codes of Ethics.* We have adopted a Code of Ethics and Conduct, which applies to all of our employees, officers and directors, and meets the requirements for such code as set forth in the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. We have also adopted a Code of Ethics for the Chief Executive Officer and Financial Managers, which applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and all managers in our financial organization, and meets the requirements of a code of ethics as defined by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ).

*Where You Can Find These Documents.* Our corporate governance policies and guidelines, Code of Ethics and Conduct and Code of Ethics for the Chief Executive Officer and Financial Managers are available on our Web site at <u>www.arbitron.com</u>, and are also available in print to any stockholder who sends a written request to the Treasury Manager at Arbitron Inc., 9705 Patuxent Woods Drive, Columbia, Maryland 21046.

#### **Board Leadership Structure**

We separate the roles of CEO and Chairman of the Board in order to mitigate potential conflicts of interest, promote oversight of risk, manage the relationship between the Board and the CEO, and promote independence. The CEO is responsible for setting the strategic direction for the Company and the day to day leadership and performance of the Company, while the Chairman of the Board provides guidance to the CEO, sets the agenda for Board meetings, and presides over meetings of the full Board and the executive sessions of nonmanagement directors described below.

#### **Executive Sessions of Nonmanagement Directors**

Consistent with the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, our corporate governance policies and guidelines provide that, in order to promote open discussion among nonmanagement directors, the Board will devote a portion of each regularly scheduled Board meeting to executive sessions without management participation. Our corporate governance policies and guidelines provide that if the group of nonmanagement directors includes directors who are not independent, as defined in the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, it is the Company s policy that at least one such executive session convened per year shall include only independent directors.

# Communicating with the Board of Directors

Interested third parties may communicate with the Board of Directors by e-mailing correspondence directly to our Chair of the Board of Directors at <u>nonmanagementdirectors@arbitron.com</u>. Our Chair will

decide what action should be taken with respect to any such communication, including whether such communication will be reported to the Board of Directors.

## Meetings of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors held 11 meetings in 2009, including meetings by telephone conference, and acted by unanimous written consent two times in 2009. Each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board of Directors and applicable committees on which they served during the period that they served on the Board of Directors or such committees. In addition, pursuant to our corporate governance policies and guidelines, directors are expected to attend the annual meetings of stockholders. Last year, all of our then current directors attended the annual meeting of stockholders.

#### **Committees of the Board of Directors**

The Board of Directors maintains the following six standing committees:

Executive Audit Compensation and Human Resources Nominating and Corporate Governance Technology Strategy Growth Strategy

Membership on the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Human Resources Committee, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is limited to directors who are independent, as defined in the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, and as affirmatively determined by our Board of Directors.

#### Executive Committee

The following directors currently serve on the Executive Committee:

Philip Guarascio, Chair William T. Kerr Richard A. Post

Mr. Kerr joined the Executive Committee in January 2010. The Executive Committee acts on matters that arise between Board meetings and require immediate action. All actions taken by this committee are reported to, and ratified by, the Board of Directors at its next regularly scheduled meeting. The Executive Committee met once during 2009.

#### Audit Committee

The following directors currently serve on the Audit Committee:

Richard A. Post, Chair Shellye L. Archambeau David W. Devonshire

As required by the charter of the Audit Committee, our corporate governance guidelines, and the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, all members of the Audit Committee qualify as independent directors within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange listing standards and Rule 10A-3 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ), are financially literate within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, and meet the experience and financial expertise requirements of the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Post is an audit committee financial expert as defined by the rules and regulations of the SEC. The principal purposes of the Audit Committee are to:

possess sole authority regarding the selection, compensation and retention of Arbitron s registered independent public accounting firm;

9

assist the Board of Directors in the oversight of:

the integrity of Arbitron s financial statements;

Arbitron s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; and

the qualification and independence of Arbitron s registered independent public accounting firm;

oversee the performance of Arbitron s internal audit function and registered independent public accounting firm; and

prepare an audit committee report as required by the Securities and Exchange Commission to be included in the annual proxy statement.

The Audit Committee operates under a written Charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The Charter, however, does not make the Audit Committee responsible to plan or conduct audits or to determine that the Company s financial statements and disclosures are presented fairly in all material respects in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. These are the responsibility of management and the independent registered public accounting firm. A copy of the amended and restated written charter for the Audit Committee is available on our Web site at <u>www.arbitron.com</u> and is available in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it. You can obtain such a print copy by contacting the Treasury Manager at Arbitron Inc., 9705 Patuxent Woods Drive, Columbia, Maryland 21046. The Audit Committee held 15 meetings in 2009, including meetings by telephone conference.

#### Compensation and Human Resources Committee

The following directors currently serve on the Compensation and Human Resources Committee:

Larry E. Kittelberger, Chair Philip Guarascio Luis G. Nogales

Each member of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee qualifies as an independent director under the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. The principal responsibilities of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee are to:

review and approve Arbitron s corporate goals and objectives with respect to the compensation of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer, evaluate the Chief Executive Officer s performance in light of those goals and objectives, and, either as a committee or together with the other independent directors (as directed by the Board), determine and approve the appropriate level and structure of the Chief Executive Officer s compensation based on this evaluation;

determine and approve non-CEO executive compensation and incentive and equity-based compensation plans;

produce a compensation committee report for inclusion in the Company s annual meeting proxy statement as required by the Securities and Exchange Commission;

review and approve for inclusion in the Company s annual meeting proxy statement or Annual Report on Form 10-K, as the case may be, the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section relating to executive

compensation as required by the Securities and Exchange Commission;

review and approve non-employee director compensation; and

assist the Board in management development and succession planning.

The committee has retained the firm of Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. as its compensation consultant to assist in the continual development and evaluation of compensation policies and the Compensation and Human Resources Committee s determinations of compensation awards. The role of Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. is to provide independent, third-party advice and expertise on executive and non-employee director compensation

10

issues, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section below. Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. maintains no other direct or indirect relationship with the Company.

During 2009, the committee delegated authority to the CEO under the Company s 2008 Equity Compensation Plan and 2001 Broad Based Incentive Plan to make incentive awards to non-executive employees of the Company in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$2,500,000.

The Board of Directors has adopted an amended and restated written charter for the Compensation and Human Resources Committee, a copy of which is available on our Web site at www.arbitron.com and is available in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it. You can obtain such a print copy by contacting the Treasury Manager at Arbitron Inc., 9705 Patuxent Woods Drive, Columbia, Maryland 21046. The Compensation and Human Resources Committee held eight meetings in 2009, including meetings by telephone conference, and acted by unanimous written consent three times in 2009.

#### Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The following directors currently serve on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee:

Philip Guarascio, Chair Luis G. Nogales Richard A. Post

Each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee qualifies as an independent director under the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. The principal purposes of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are to:

identify, in accordance with policies and procedures adopted by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee from time to time, individuals who are qualified to serve as directors;

recommend such individuals to the Board of Directors, either to fill vacancies that occur on the Board of Directors from time to time or in connection with the selection of director nominees for each annual meeting of stockholders;

develop, recommend, implement and monitor a set of corporate governance guidelines, a code of business conduct and ethics, and a code of ethics for senior financial officers adopted by the Board of Directors;

oversee the evaluation of the Board of Directors and management; and

ensure that Arbitron is in compliance with all New York Stock Exchange listing requirements.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has approved, and the Board of Directors has adopted, policies and procedures to be used for considering potential director candidates to continue to ensure that our Board of Directors consists of a diversified group of qualified individuals who function effectively as a group. These policies and procedures provide that qualifications and credentials for consideration as a director nominee may vary according to the particular areas of expertise being sought as a complement to the existing composition of the Board of Directors. However, at a minimum, candidates for director must possess: (1) strength of character; (2) an ability to exercise independent thought, practical wisdom and mature judgment; (3) an ability to make independent analytical inquiries; (4) a willingness and ability to devote adequate time and resources to diligently perform Board of Director duties; and (5) a reputation, both personal and professional, consistent with the image and reputation of Arbitron.

In addition to the aforementioned minimum qualifications, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also believes that there are other factors that, while not prerequisites for nomination, should be taken into account when considering whether to recommend a particular person. These factors include: (1) whether the person possesses specific media and marketing expertise and familiarity with general issues affecting Arbitron s business; (2) whether the person s nomination and election would enable the Board of Directors to have a member that qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission; (3) whether the person would qualify as an independent director

under the New York Stock Exchange listing standards and the Company s corporate governance policies and guidelines; (4) the importance of continuity of the existing composition of the Board of Directors; and (5) the importance of a diversified Board membership, in terms of both the individuals involved and their various experiences and areas of expertise. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee annually reviews and assesses the adequacy of the Company s policy regarding qualification and nomination of director candidates.

Nominees are not discriminated against on the basis of race, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability or any other basis proscribed by law. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee retains a third-party executive search firm to identify and review candidates upon request of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee from time to time.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee seeks to identify director candidates based on input provided by a number of sources, including (i) Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee members, (ii) other directors of the Company, and (iii) stockholders of the Company. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also has the authority to consult with or retain advisers or search firms to assist in the identification of qualified director candidates.

As part of the identification process, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee takes into account the number of expected director vacancies and whether existing directors have indicated a willingness to continue to serve as directors if renominated. Once a director candidate has been identified, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee then evaluates this candidate in light of his or her qualifications and credentials, and any additional factors that it deems necessary or appropriate. Existing directors who are being considered for renomination will be reevaluated as part of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee s process of recommending director candidates.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers candidates recommended by stockholders in the same manner as all other director candidates. Stockholders who wish to suggest qualified candidates must comply with the advance notice provisions and other requirements of Article II, Section 13 of our bylaws. These notice provisions require that recommendations for directors must be received not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the date of the annual meeting of stockholders for the preceding year. The notice must follow the guidelines set forth in this proxy statement under the heading, Other Matters Director Nominations.

After completing the identification and evaluation process described above, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommends to the Board of Directors the nomination of a number of candidates equal to the number of director vacancies that will exist at the annual meeting of stockholders. The Board of Directors then selects director nominees for stockholders to consider and vote upon at the stockholders meeting.

The Board of Directors has adopted an amended and restated written charter for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, a copy of which is available on our Web site at <u>www.arbitron.com</u> and is available in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it. You can obtain a copy in print by contacting the Treasury Manager at Arbitron Inc., 9705 Patuxent Woods Drive, Columbia, Maryland 21046. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held four meetings in 2009, including meetings by telephone conference.

## Technology Strategy Committee

The following directors serve on the Technology Strategy Committee:

Larry E. Kittelberger, Chair Shellye L. Archambeau David W. Devonshire William T. Kerr

The principal purposes of the Technology Strategy Committee are to:

review risks, opportunities and priorities as they pertain to Arbitron s existing technology and strategies for the future;

assess the Company s capabilities to execute against its agreed priorities; and

make recommendations, as appropriate, to the Chief Executive Officer and the Board of Directors.

The Technology Strategy Committee held four meetings in 2009.

Growth Strategy Committee

The following directors serve on the Growth Strategy Committee:

Richard A. Post, Chair John A. Dimling William T. Kerr

The principal purposes of the Growth Strategy Committee are to assist the Board and the Company s management by providing strategic direction regarding business development and expansion opportunities, and such other matters as are consistent with the Committee s purpose.

The Growth Strategy Committee was formed in March 2010.

#### The Board s Role in Risk Oversight

The Board s role in the Company s risk oversight process includes receiving regular reports from members of senior management on areas of risk material to the Company, including operational, financial, legal and regulatory, and strategic and reputational risks. The full Board (or the appropriate Committee in the case of risks that are under the purview of a particular Committee) receives these reports from the appropriate risk owner within the organization to enable it to understand our risk identification, risk management and risk mitigation strategies. The Board discusses risks related to the Company s business strategy at the strategic planning meetings and at other meetings as appropriate.

The Audit Committee considers risk issues associated with our overall financial reporting and disclosure process and legal compliance, as well as reviewing the Company s financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor, control, and report such exposures. The Audit Committee reviews with management the Company s

guidelines and policies to govern the process by which risk assessment and risk management are undertaken as well as to monitor and control the Company s exposure to risk. In addition to its regularly scheduled meetings, the Audit Committee meets with the independent registered public accounting firm in executive sessions at least quarterly.

In consideration of risk associated with executive compensation, the Compensation & Human Resources Committee has designed the Company s executive compensation programs with the goal of striking a reasonable balance between annual and long-term performance, with a significant portion of compensation being delivered in the form of long-term equity incentives. The Compensation & Human Resources Committee has the ability to modify annual cash incentives earned by the executives based on the quality of earnings,

13

individual performance and other factors that are appropriate influences on compensation. Additionally, some of the equity grants contain clawback provisions, which we believe discourage inappropriate risk-taking.

## **2009 Director Compensation**

The table below provides information concerning the compensation of the directors for our most recently completed fiscal year. Except as noted below, all of our directors are paid at the same rate. The differences among directors in the table below are a function of additional compensation for chairing a committee, varying numbers of meetings attended and corresponding payments of meeting fees, and the form in which each director elects to receive retainer fees.

Each director who is not also an employee of Arbitron or its subsidiaries is entitled to receive an annual board retainer fee of \$30,000, which is paid in quarterly installments. Since April 2009, our Non-Executive Chairman receives a supplemental annual cash retainer of \$85,000. The non-employee chair of the Audit Committee is entitled to receive a supplemental annual cash retainer of \$20,000; non-employee chairs of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and the Technology Strategy Committee have been entitled to receive a supplemental annual cash retainer of \$10,000. For each Board meeting attended, in person or by telephone, participating non-employee directors are entitled to receive \$1,500 and for each committee meeting attended in person, participating non-employee directors are entitled to receive \$1,500.

Effective January 1, 2010, the non-employee chair of the Technology Strategy Committee is entitled to receive a supplemental annual cash retainer of \$20,000. Additionally, in connection with the formation of the Growth Strategy Committee on March 31, 2010, the non-employee chair received a one-time grant of 15,000 stock options, which vest one year from the date of grant. The non-employee directors on the Growth Strategy Committee are compensated for attending meetings consistent with that for the other committees.

Since 2008, each newly elected non-employee director has received a one-time grant of 4,500 deferred stock units (DSUs), which DSUs will vest in three equal installments of 1,500 DSUs over a three-year period and are payable following the director s termination of service as a director of the Company. Beginning the year after initial election to the Board of Directors, each continuing non-employee director has received an annual grant of \$100,000 worth of stock options based on a Black-Scholes valuation calculated using the closing price of the Company s common stock on the grant date. The exercise price per share of each option granted has been equal to 100% of the fair market value of the underlying Company common stock on the date the option is granted, which is equal to the closing price of the Company s common stock on such date. These options become fully vested on the date of grant and exercisable in full six months after the date of grant and expire 10 years from the date of grant.

While we previously made annual grants of stock options to continuing non-employee directors, effective January 1, 2010 and beginning the year after initial election to the Board of Directors, each continuing non-employee director will receive an annual grant of \$100,000 worth of DSUs, which DSUs will vest in three equal installments over a three-year period and will be payable no sooner than six months following the director s termination of service as a director of the Company.

The Company previously adopted a Non-employee Director Incentive Program, which permitted non-employee directors to receive, at their discretion, either options or DSUs in lieu of their annual cash retainers and meeting fees. A director who elected to receive options received a number of options based on a calculation approved by the Compensation and Human Resources Committee. The formula for determining the number of option shares was to divide the cash fees earned in the quarter by the closing price of Arbitron common stock on the date of the grant, which was the last trading day of the quarter. This amount was then multiplied by four to arrive at the number of option shares granted. Beginning in 2010, non-employee directors are no longer permitted to elect options in lieu of

their annual cash retainers and meeting fees.

A director who elects to receive DSUs receives a number of units based on a calculation approved by the Compensation and Human Resources Committee. During 2009 the formula for determining the number of

DSUs had been to multiply the cash fees earned in the quarter by 120% and divide the result by the closing price of Arbitron common stock on the date of the grant, which was the last trading day of the quarter. Beginning in 2010, the formula for determining the number of DSUs is to divide 100% of the cash fees earned in the quarter by the closing price of Arbitron common stock on the date of the grant, which is the last trading day of the quarter.

DSUs granted to our directors convert to shares of our common stock after termination from the Board of Directors, based upon a schedule elected by the directors prior to the end of the year in which the compensation is earned. In the event that a director elects to receive DSUs, the director will receive dividend equivalent rights on such DSUs to the extent dividends are issued on our common stock. Dividend equivalents are deemed reinvested in additional DSUs (or fractions thereof). The amounts set forth in the table below for each director elected to receive equity-based compensation in lieu of all or a portion of such retainers and fees, the amount of cash the director would have receive equity-based compensation in lieu of annual cash retainers and fees, we report in the column Stock Awards, as applicable, the grant date fair value of the aggregate incremental value of equity-based compensation received in lieu of annual cash retainers and fees, we report in the column Stock Awards, as applicable, the grant date fair value of the aggregate incremental value of equity-based compensation received in lieu of annual cash retainers and fees, the received if the director had not elected to receive applicable. The aggregate incremental value of equity-based compensation received in lieu of annual cash retainers and fees, we report in the column Stock Awards, as applicable, the grant date fair value of the aggregate incremental value of equity-based compensation received in lieu of annual cash retainers and fees, we report in the column Stock Awards, as applicable, the grant date fair value of the cash such director would have received if the director had not elected to receive and the column Option Awards, the grant date fair value of the May 2009 annual director grant.

It is also the philosophy of the Company that directors should have a meaningful equity ownership in the Company. In 2004, the Board established ownership guidelines covering directors. The Board reviewed and revised the guidelines during 2009. The guidelines are for each director to own shares with a value of four times the annual board retainer. These guidelines are expected to be achieved over five years and include all owned shares, as well as DSUs credited to the directors, but outstanding and unexercised stock options are not counted. As of April 1, 2010, all applicable directors met the stock ownership guidelines.

Messrs. Morris and Skarzynski were employees of Arbitron and were not separately compensated for their service as a director.

#### **2009 Director Compensation**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)(3)	Option Awards (\$)(4)(5)	All Other Compensation (\$)(6)	Total (\$)
Shellye L. Archambeau	66,000	6,003	99,965	758	172,726
David W. Devonshire	66,750	0	99,965	0	166,715
Philip Guarascio	123,333	0	99,965	1,993	225,291
William T. Kerr	75,250	7,516	99,965	1,082	183,813
Larry E. Kittelberger	70,000	10,992	99,965	5,094	186,051
Luis G. Nogales	71,167	10,198	99,965	2,336	183,666
Richard A. Post	89,000	9,993	99,965	2,369	201,327

(1) We report in this column the cash value of board retainer fees, committee chair fees, and board and committee meeting fees earned by each director in 2009. Pursuant to the terms of our Non-employee Director Incentive Program described above, during 2009 each director could elect to receive either stock options or DSUs, or a

combination, in lieu of annual cash retainers and fees. If a director elected to receive equity-based compensation in lieu of annual cash retainers and fees, the aggregate incremental value of such equity-based compensation in excess of the cash such director would have received is reported in the Stock Awards or Option Awards columns of this table, as applicable. Directors made elections for 2009 compensation prior to the end of 2008. For 2009, Ms. Archambeau elected to receive 1,984 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$36,003 and \$36,000 in cash for board and committee meeting fees.

Mr. Devonshire and Mr. Guarascio received all retainers and fees in cash. Mr. Kerr elected to receive 992 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$18,001 in lieu of board retainer fees, 330 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$21,152 in lieu of board and committee meeting fees and \$17,625 in cash for board and committee meeting fees. Mr. Kittelberger elected to receive 1,984 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$36,003 in lieu of board retainer fees, 663 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$12,037 in lieu of committee meeting fees, and \$17,652 in lieu of board and committee meeting fees. Mr. Kittelberger elected to receive 1,984 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$36,003 in lieu of board retainer fees, 663 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$12,037 in lieu of committee meeting fees, and \$15,000 in cash for board and committee meeting fees. Mr. Nogales elected to receive 992 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$18,001 in lieu of board retainer fees, \$15,000 in cash for board and committee meeting fees. Mr. Nogales elected to receive 992 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$18,001 in lieu of board retainer fees, \$15,000 in cash for board and committee meeting fees, \$15,000 in cash for board retainer, 2,089 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$36,908 in lieu of board and committee meeting fees, 407 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$6,247 in lieu of Lead Independent Director fees, and \$5,208 in cash for Lead Independent Director fees. Mr. Post elected to receive 1,984 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$36,003 in lieu of \$36,003 in lieu of board retainer fees, 1,322 DSUs with an aggregate fair market value of \$23,991 in lieu of committee retainer fees and \$39,000 in cash for board and committee meeting fees.

- (2) Pursuant to the terms of our Non-employee Director Incentive Program, directors may elect to receive DSUs in lieu of annual cash board retainer fees, committee chair fees, and board and committee meeting fees. We report in this column the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to 2009 based on the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of the aggregate incremental value of (A) DSUs received by directors in lieu of annual cash retainers and fees in excess of (B) the cash such director would have received if the director had not elected to receive DSUs.
- (3) As of December 31, 2009, the aggregate number of DSUs (including dividend equivalents) held by each person who served as a director during 2009 was as follows: Ms. Archambeau 3,065, Mr. Devonshire 0, Mr. Guarascio 5,047, Mr. Kerr 4,187, Mr. Kittelberger 14,988, Mr. Nogales 7,745, and Mr. Post 7,906. We provide complete beneficial ownership information of Arbitron stock for each of our directors in this proxy statement under the heading, Stock Ownership Information Stock Ownership of Arbitron s Directors and Executive Officers.
- (4) Please refer to note 15 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of the assumptions related to the calculation of such value. On May 27, 2009, each continuing director received an annual grant of options to purchase 15,719 shares of our common stock. These options have an exercise price equal to \$20.52 per share, are fully vested on the date of grant, and become exercisable six months after the date of grant. Pursuant to the terms of our Non-employee Director Incentive Program, during 2009 directors could elect to receive stock options in lieu of annual cash board retainer fees, committee chair fees, and board and committee meeting fees.
- (5) As of December 31, 2009, the aggregate number of unexercised options (vested and unvested) held by each person who served as a director during 2009 was as follows: Ms. Archambeau 55,756, Mr. Devonshire 38,324, Mr. Guarascio 81,710, Mr. Kerr 38,324, Mr. Kittelberger 91,690, Mr. Nogales 97,215, and Mr. Post 109,792. We provide complete beneficial ownership information of Arbitron stock for each of our directors in this proxy statement under the heading, Stock Ownership Information Stock Ownership of Arbitron s Directors and Executive Officers.
- (6) Amounts reported in this column represent dividend equivalent units received in respect of DSUs held by each person who served as a director during 2009. In 2009, Ms. Archambeau received approximately 40 dividend equivalent units, Mr. Guarascio received approximately 113 dividend equivalent units, Mr. Kerr received approximately 58 dividend equivalent units, Mr. Kittelberger received approximately 284 dividend equivalent units, Mr. Nogales received approximately 128 dividend equivalent units, and Mr. Post received approximately 130 dividend equivalent units.

# EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND OTHER INFORMATION

# **Executive Officers**

Information concerning the persons who currently serve as our executive officers is provided below. Each of the named persons has been elected to the office indicated opposite the person s name. The executive officers serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Officers generally are elected at the annual meeting of directors held immediately following the annual meeting of stockholders. The Board of Directors may elect additional executive officers from time to time.

# William T. Kerr, age 68, President and Chief Executive Officer since January 2010

Director of Arbitron since May 2007

Chairman of the Board of Directors of Meredith Corporation, a New York Stock Exchange listed diversified media company that publishes magazines and special interest publications and also owns and operates local television stations, from July 2006 to February 2010, and a member of the Meredith Corporation Board of Directors from 1994 to February 2010

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Meredith Corporation from January 1996 until June 2006

# Sean R. Creamer, age 45, Executive Vice President of Finance and Planning and Chief Financial Officer since November 2005

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Laureate Education, Inc. (formerly Sylvan Learning Systems, Inc.), a then NASDAQ listed company focused on providing higher education through a global network of accredited campus-based and online universities, from April 2001 to September 2005

# Timothy T. Smith, age 46, Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer, Legal and Business Affairs and Secretary since August 2006

Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Manugistics, Inc., a NASDAQ listed software company, from January 2000 to July 2006

# Alton L. Adams, age 52, Executive Vice President, Chief Marketing Officer since March 2009

Managing Partner, Marketing Transformation, Accenture Ltd., a New York Stock Exchange listed management consulting, technology services, and outsourcing company, from June 2003 to March 2009

President, Experian Database Solutions, Experian plc, an information services company, from May 2001 to June 2003

President and Chief Executive Officer, Mindbranch, Inc., May 2000 to September 2001

#### Robert F. Henrick, Ph.D, age 54, Executive Vice President, Customer Solutions since March 2009

Program Manager, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, overseeing National Security Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance, and Command, Control and Communication applications from February 2003 to March 2009

Vice President, Product Management and Marketing, Xebeo Communications, a privately held company, from February 2002 to February 2003

Senior Partner, Ogilvy & Mather, an international advertising, marketing, and public relations agency from 2000 to 2001

# Scott Henry, age 48, Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer since February 2005

Regional Vice President of Delivery Operations of E5 Systems, a privately held IT services company, from July 2003 to January 2005

Chief Customer Officer of Vitria Technology, Inc., a NASDAQ listed provider of business process integration solutions, from October 2001 to April 2003

# Steven M. Smith, 49, Executive Vice President, Service Operations, since August 2008

Senior Pilot/Pilot Instructor for Executive Express Aviation, a privately held company, from January 2007 to August 2008

Chief Operating Officer for Flexi-Mat Corp., a privately held producer, importer and marketer of pet beds, from June 2006 to January 2007

Executive Vice President, North American Operations for Information Resources, Inc., a privately held provider of market information solutions and services, from April 2002 to June 2006

# COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### Introduction

In this section, we discuss certain aspects of our compensation program as it pertains to our principal executive officer (CEO), our principal financial officer (CFO) and our three other most highly compensated executive officers in 2009. Because two persons served as CEO during 2009, we refer to these six persons throughout this proxy statement as the named executive officers or NEOs. Our discussion focuses on compensation and practices relating to 2009, our most recently completed fiscal year.

Our named executive officers for 2009 were: Stephen B. Morris, our former President and CEO; Michael P. Skarzysnki, our former President and CEO; Sean R. Creamer, our Executive Vice President, Finance and Planning and Chief Financial Officer; Alton L. Adams, our Executive Vice President, Chief Marketing Officer; Timothy T. Smith, our Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer, Legal and Business Affairs and Secretary; and Robert F. Henrick, our Executive Vice President, Customer Solutions. On January 12, 2009, Mr. Morris resigned as President and CEO, and Mr. Skarzynski was appointed President and CEO. On January 11, 2010, Mr. Skarzynski resigned as President and CEO.

We believe that the performance of the NEOs and other executive officers has the potential to impact both our shortand long-term profitability. Therefore, our Compensation and Human Resources Committee (referred to as the

Committee in the remainder of this section) and management place considerable importance on the design and administration of our executive compensation program.

# Objectives

Our executive compensation program is designed to attract, motivate and retain high-quality executives by providing total compensation that is performance-based and competitive in the various labor markets and industries where we compete for talent. We provide incentives to advance the interests of our stockholders and deliver levels of compensation that are commensurate with performance. Overall, we design our executive compensation program to:

support our corporate strategy and business plan by clearly communicating what is expected of executives with respect to goals and results and by rewarding achievement;

recruit and retain the best-qualified executive talent; and

create a strong performance alignment with the interests of stockholders, while mitigating the incentive for excessive risk taking.

These objectives are designed to support and promote our key strategic business objectives of growing our radio audience measurement business and expanding our information services to a broader range of media, including broadcast television, cable, out-of-home media, satellite radio and television, Internet broadcasts, and mobile media.

# Components

We seek to achieve the objectives of our compensation program through the following five key compensation elements:

annual cash (i.e., base salary);

annual performance-based, non-equity incentive plan payments;

periodic grants of long-term, equity-based compensation, such as stock options and/or restricted stock units, which have been subject to time-based vesting requirements;

benefit programs (e.g., health and welfare and retirement); and

executive retention and employment agreements.

In making decisions with respect to any component of an NEO s compensation, we consider the total compensation that may be awarded to the NEO, including the foregoing as well as post-termination compensation. Our goal is to award total compensation that is reasonable when all elements of potential compensation are considered.

# Setting 2009 Executive Compensation

When making decisions with respect to each component of compensation, the Committee considers the competitive market when recruiting new executives and also looks at the compensation of our CEO and the other NEOs relative to the compensation paid to similarly-situated executives at companies that we consider to be our peers. We believe, however, that a comparison to peer group compensation information should be one reference point for consideration, but not the determinative factor for setting our executives compensation. The purpose of the comparison is to augment and not to supplant the analyses of the relative pay among our NEOs and individual performance that we consider when making compensation decisions.

We refer to the current group of 17 similarly-sized media, market-research, and information-based business services companies considered by the Committee in 2009, collectively, as our Compensation Peer Group. With the assistance of its compensation consultant, the Committee reviews the composition of the Compensation Peer Group annually to ensure that such comparison companies are relevant and appropriate.

The Committee selected the Compensation Peer Group companies for 2009 based primarily on the following criteria:

U.S.-based public companies in Global Industry Classification System (GICS) Industry Code 254010 (Media) with similar business economics and pay models to Arbitron;

Include market research, advertising, and marketing companies;

Exclude broadcasting, satellite radio, content, print publishing, movie and entertainment companies, and communication-service providers (e.g., broadband and telephone);

Other market-research and information-based business-service companies from general industry with similar business economics and pay models to Arbitron;

Company revenue or market capitalization of approximately one-third to three times Arbitron:

Revenue range between \$100 million to \$1.1 billion <u>or</u> market-capitalization value between \$100 million to \$1.1 billion.

Our 2009 Compensation Peer Group consisted of the following companies:

ACXIOM Corporation	comScore, Inc.
CoStar Group, Inc.	Factset Research System, Inc.
Fair Isaac Corporation	First Advantage Corporation
Forrester Research, Inc.	Gartner, Inc.
Harris Interactive Inc.	Harte-Hanks, Inc.
infoGROUP Inc.	Interactive Data Corporation
inVentive Health, Inc.	Morningstar, Inc.
Omniture, Inc.	The Corporate Executive Board Company
TiVO Inc.	

The 2009 Compensation Peer Group consists of 14 companies that were included in the prior year peer group. Catalina Marketing Corporation and TeleTech Holdings, Inc. were not included in the 2009 Compensation Peer Group due to changes in business model and/or company size. comScore, Inc., First Advantage Corporation and Harris Interactive Inc. were added to the 2009 Compensation Peer Group. The Company s revenues and market cap were between the 25th percentile and median of the Compensation Peer Group companies as of January 2009.

Because comparative compensation information is just one of several analytic tools that the Committee uses in setting executive compensation, the Committee has discretion in determining the nature and extent of its use. Further, given the limitations associated with comparative pay information for setting individual executive compensation, the Committee may elect to not use the comparative compensation information at all in the course of making individual compensation decisions. Other factors considered when making individual executive compensation decisions are the individual s contribution and performance, reporting structure, relative pay among executives, complexity, impact on financial results, importance of role and responsibilities, leadership, professional growth potential, and, for new hires, compensation at the prior employer. The Committee makes all executive compensation decisions after input from the CEO (except with regard to his own compensation) and review with the Committee s independent consultant.

In 2009, the Committee was more market-focused than it had been in prior years as a result of CEO succession and the competitive recruitment of three new executive officers. The Committee s independent compensation consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., conducted a market study. The Committee considered the CEO succession and restructuring of the executive staff in determining compensation as well as peer group comparative data and generally targeted aggregate compensation for the executive team to be in a range between the median and the 75th percentile of the compensation peer group in order to facilitate recruitment and retention of executives during the restructuring period.

# Base Salary

The purpose of base salary is to reflect job responsibility, experience, value to the Company and individual performance. During 2009, the minimum salary for Messrs. Morris and Skarzynski were specified in their respective employment agreements, which we designed to be competitive with the marketplace when executed. The Committee determines the salaries for our other NEOs based on the following:

the nature and responsibility of the position and, to the extent available, salaries for persons in comparable positions at comparable companies;

the expertise, performance, and promotability of the individual executive;

the competitiveness of the market for the executive s services; and

the recommendations of the CEO.

We compete with many larger companies for top executive talent. As such, we periodically consider the base salary component relative to our Compensation Peer Group in an attempt to ensure it is competitive with

the market for such executive talent. However, recruiting, retaining, and recognizing performance of specific executives may result in some variation from this market review. Salaries are generally reviewed annually.

Base salary is the foundation of our executive compensation program and is designed to compensate executives for services rendered during the year. In setting base salaries, the Committee considers the importance of linking a high proportion of executive officers compensation to performance in the form of the annual non-equity incentive plan payment, which is tied to both Company performance measures and individual performance as well as long-term stock-based compensation, the grant value of which is tied to Company stock price performance and performance compared to the Compensation Peer Group, and which vests subject to continued employment.

#### 2009 Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation

Our compensation program provides for annual cash incentive awards that are based on Company performance and adjusted by the Committee for individual contributions. Our objective is to compensate executives based on the achievement of specific goals that we intend to correlate closely with growth of long-term stockholder value.

We design our annual non-equity incentive plan to reward executives for achieving corporate goals and provide significant upside for exceeding such goals. Early in the fiscal year, the Committee, working with our CEO, CFO, and the Committee s independent consultant, sets overall performance goals for the Company. The annual non-equity incentive plan compensation for which our executives other than the CEO are eligible is equal to between 45% and 55% of salary at the target performance level for full achievement of the performance goals, and up to two times target for superior performance. The Committee has discretion to grant non-equity incentive payments in excess of the superior level of performance in order to reward actual performance that exceeds the superior level. If performance goals do not meet the threshold level of performance, no compensation will be awarded for the specific performance category; however, if performance goals are achieved at threshold levels, but not at target levels, the Committee has discretion to award non-equity incentive compensation in an amount between 50% of the target level and the target level, based on the Committee s assessment of the value of the relevant performance. During 2009, pursuant to the terms of his Executive Transition Agreement, Mr. Morris was eligible to receive a non-equity incentive payment equal to 75% of his Blended Base Salary. As a result of our CEO transition in January 2009, the Blended Base Salary for Mr. Morris was an amount equal to one month of base salary as CEO and 11 months of base salary as a consultant to the Board. In consideration of his assistance in the CEO transition as well as the Company s overall performance against corporate objectives, the Compensation Committee awarded Mr. Morris a 2009 non-equity incentive payment of approximately \$122,000, representing approximately 90 percent of his target payment.

During 2009, we determined that overall corporate financial targets as well as continuous improvement of our electronic and Diary-based radio ratings services, including without limitation continued execution of our PPM commercialization program, represented high priorities for the year. Because these priorities required our executives to focus collaboratively on overall corporate initiatives, we determined that 2009 annual non-equity incentive payments for all NEOs should be based entirely on the achievement of corporate goals, subject to the Committee s discretion to adjust payments upward or downward based on the individual performance of the executives.

The Committee established 2009 non-equity incentive plan performance goals to provide for an annual cash payment that is performance linked based upon our diluted earnings per share (weighted 40%), revenue growth rate (weighted 20%), our Portable People Meter radio ratings service commercialization and improvement program (weighted 20%), and our Diary-based radio ratings service improvement program (weighted 20%). We selected the two financial targets, earnings per share and revenue growth rate, in order to motivate executives to achieve the Company s overall financial objectives of profitable growth through prudent deployment of the Company s capital, promotion of growth of the business, and cost containment. We weighted these two financial targets, in the aggregate, at 60% of the total corporate goals to reflect the importance we place on the Company s financial performance. Between the two financial

targets, earnings per

share is emphasized in order to focus executives attention on a financial measure that we believe aligns the interests of management with those of long-term stockholders and rewards management for creating value for such long-term stockholders. The ratings service commercialization and improvement programs were each weighted at 20%, given the importance of maintaining and enhancing these services in order to deliver the value proposition for our customers and, thereby, drive stockholder value.

The Committee ultimately exercises its discretion in assessing corporate performance under the plan. In evaluating performance, the Committee reviews performance against the goals utilizing a number of metrics and assigns a performance factor for each goal. If the Committee determines that actual performance for any goal fell below the threshold level, it assigns a performance factor of zero for that goal. While the Committee exercises its discretion in each case, there is a presumption with respect to each individual goal that performance (i) at the threshold level will result in a performance factor of .5, (ii) at the target level will result in a performance factor of 1.0, and (iii) performance at the superior level will result in a performance factor of 2.0. For performance either between threshold and target or between target and superior, the Committee uses its discretion to assign a performance factor generally utilizing the guidelines set forth above.

In determining the extent to which the financial performance or other goals are met or exceeded, the Committee exercises its business judgment whether to reflect or exclude the impact of extraordinary, unusual, or infrequently occurring events.

After determining a performance factor for each goal, the Committee multiplies the performance factor by the percentage weight it assigned to that goal for the year to determine an overall percentage assessment for corporate performance. This overall performance is then applied to each executive s non-equity incentive potential for the year.

Notwithstanding its overall assessments of corporate performance against the goals, the Committee also has positive and negative discretion to authorize a greater or lesser amount to the extent it determines appropriate and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders based upon its evaluation of a combination of other quantitative and qualitative considerations, including individual executive performance, stock price, and achievement of fundamental organizational change, as determined by the Committee in exercise of its business judgment. See below for specific factors utilized by the Committee in exercising discretion.

The Committee also considered the recommendation of the CEO (for executive officers other than himself) in exercising its judgment. Following consideration of a variety of data regarding 2009 results, and following the guidelines set forth above, the Committee approved the following overall assessment of 2009 corporate performance:

Earnings Per Share (weighted 40%)