

INTEL CORP
Form PRE 14A
March 22, 2010

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE 14A
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only
(as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

INTEL CORPORATION

(Name of the Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

INTEL CORPORATION
2200 Mission College Blvd.
Santa Clara, CA 95054-1549
(408) 765-8080

April XX, 2010

Dear Stockholder:

We look forward to your attendance in person, virtually via the Internet, or by proxy at the 2010 Annual Stockholders Meeting. We will hold the meeting at 8:30 a.m. Pacific Time on Wednesday, May 19, 2010. You may attend and participate in the annual meeting via the Internet at www.intc.com where you will be able to vote electronically and submit questions during the meeting. Only stockholders who use their control number to log on to the meeting will be able to vote electronically and submit questions during the meeting. Stockholders also may attend the meeting in person at Intel Corporation, Building SC-12, 3600 Juliette Lane, Santa Clara, California 95054. Only stockholders showing proof of ownership will be allowed to attend the meeting in person.

We also are pleased to furnish proxy materials to stockholders primarily over the Internet. We believe that this process expedites stockholders' receipt of proxy materials, while significantly lowering the costs of our annual meeting and conserving natural resources. On April XX, 2010, we mailed our stockholders a notice containing instructions on how to access our 2010 Proxy Statement and 2009 Annual Report and vote online. The notice also included instructions on how you can receive a paper copy of your annual meeting materials, including the notice of annual meeting, proxy statement, and proxy card. If you received your annual meeting materials by mail, the notice of annual meeting, proxy statement, and proxy card from our Board of Directors were enclosed. If you received your annual meeting materials via e-mail, the e-mail contained voting instructions and links to the proxy statement and the annual report on the Internet, both of which are available at www.intel.com/intel/annualreports.

At this year's annual meeting, the agenda includes the following items:

Agenda Item	Board Recommendation
Election of Directors	FOR
Ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm	FOR
Advisory vote on executive compensation	FOR

Please refer to the proxy statement for detailed information on each of the proposals and the annual meeting. Your vote is important, and we strongly urge you to cast your vote. For the election of directors, if you do not provide voting instructions via the Internet, by telephone, or by returning a proxy card or voting instruction card, your shares will not be voted. We encourage you to vote promptly, even if you plan to attend the annual meeting.

Sincerely yours,

Jane E. Shaw
Chairman of the Board

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION
2200 Mission College Blvd.
Santa Clara, California 95054-1549**

NOTICE OF 2010 ANNUAL STOCKHOLDERS MEETING

TIME AND DATE	8:30 a.m. Pacific Time on Wednesday, May 19, 2010
PLACE	Intel Corporation, Building SC-12, 3600 Juliette Lane, Santa Clara, CA 95054
INTERNET	Attend the annual meeting online, including voting and submitting questions, at <i>www.intc.com</i>
AGENDA	<p>Elect the 10 director nominees named in the proxy statement</p> <p>Ratify Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm</p> <p>Hold an advisory vote on executive compensation</p> <p>Transact other business that may properly come before the annual meeting (including adjournments and postponements)</p>
RECORD DATE	March 22, 2010
MEETING ADMISSION	<p>You are entitled to attend the annual meeting only if you were an Intel stockholder as of the close of business on March 22, 2010 or hold a valid proxy for the annual meeting. If attending the physical meeting, you should be prepared to present photo identification for admittance. In addition, if you are a stockholder of record, meaning that you hold shares directly with Computershare Investor Services, LLC (registered holders), the inspector of elections will have your name on a list, and you will be able to gain entry with a form of government-issued photo identification, such as a driver s license, state-issued ID card, or passport. If you are not a stockholder of record but hold shares through a broker, bank, or nominee (street name or beneficial holders), in order to gain entry you must provide proof of beneficial ownership as of the record date, such as an account statement or similar evidence of ownership, along with a form of government-issued photo identification. If you do not provide photo identification and comply with the other procedures outlined above for attending the annual meeting in person, you will not be admitted to attend the annual meeting location in person.</p>
VOTING	<p>Please vote as soon as possible to record your vote promptly, even if you plan to attend the annual meeting in person or via the Internet. Because of a change in New York Stock Exchange rules, unlike previous annual meetings, your broker will NOT be able to vote your shares with respect to the election of directors if you have not given your broker specific instructions to do so. We strongly encourage you to vote. You have three options for submitting your vote before the annual meeting:</p>

Internet

Phone

Mail

By Order of the Board of Directors

Cary I. Klafter
Corporate Secretary

Santa Clara, California
April XX, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Internet Availability of Proxy Materials</u>	2
<u>Attending the Annual Meeting</u>	2
<u>Questions</u>	2
<u>Proxy Statement</u>	3
<u>Proposal 1: Election of Directors</u>	4
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	8
<u>Director Compensation</u>	14
<u>Director Summary Compensation for Fiscal Year 2009</u>	15
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards for Directors at Fiscal Year-End 2009</u>	17
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	20
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	21
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	22
<u>Report of the Compensation Committee</u>	38
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	39
<u>Summary Compensation</u>	39
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2009</u>	42
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2009</u>	44
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2009</u>	46
<u>Pension Benefits for Fiscal Year 2009</u>	46
<u>Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2009</u>	47
<u>Employment Contracts and Change in Control Arrangements</u>	48

<u>Other Potential Post-Employment Payments</u>	48
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	51
<u>Proposal 2: Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	53
<u>Proposal 3: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	54
<u>Additional Meeting Information</u>	55
<u>Other Matters</u>	55
<u>Stockholders Sharing the Same Last Name and Address</u>	56

Table of Contents

INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS

We are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders primarily via the Internet. On April XX, 2010, we mailed most of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials, including our proxy statement and our annual report. The Notice of Internet Availability also instructs you on how to vote via the Internet or by telephone. Other stockholders, in accordance with their prior requests, received e-mail notification of how to access our proxy materials and vote via the Internet, or have been mailed paper copies of our proxy materials and a proxy card or voting form.

Internet distribution of our proxy materials is designed to expedite receipt by stockholders, lower the cost of the annual meeting, and conserve natural resources. However, if you would prefer to receive paper copies of proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability. If you have previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, you will continue to receive these materials via e-mail unless you elect otherwise.

ATTENDING THE ANNUAL MEETING

Attending in person

Doors open at 8:00 a.m. Pacific Time

Meeting starts at 8:30 a.m. Pacific Time

Proof of Intel Corporation stock ownership and photo identification will be required to attend the annual meeting

You do not need to attend the annual meeting to vote if you submitted your proxy in advance of the annual meeting

Security measures may include bag search, metal detector, and hand-wand search

The use of cameras is not allowed

There will be no food service at the meeting

Attending and participating via the Internet

www.intc.com; we encourage you to sign on prior to the meeting

Webcast starts at 8:30 a.m. Pacific Time

Stockholders may vote and submit questions while attending the meeting on the Internet

Instructions on how to attend and participate via the Internet, including how to demonstrate proof of stock ownership, are posted at *www.intc.com*

Anyone can view the annual meeting live via the Internet at *www.intc.com*

Webcast replay available until June 30, 2010

QUESTIONS

For questions regarding

Contact

Annual meeting Intel Investor Relations, (408) 765-1480

Stock ownership for registered holders Computershare Investor Services, LLC, *www.computershare.com/contactus* (800) 298-0146 (within the U.S. and Canada) or

Edgar Filing: INTEL CORP - Form PRE 14A

(312) 360-5123 (outside the U.S. and Canada)

Stock ownership for
beneficial holders Please contact your broker, bank, or other nominee

Voting D. F. King & Co., Inc.
(800) 967-7921 (within the U.S. and Canada) or
(212) 269-5550 (outside the U.S. and Canada)

Table of Contents

INTEL CORPORATION
2200 Mission College Blvd.
Santa Clara, CA 95054-1549

PROXY STATEMENT

Our Board of Directors solicits your proxy for the 2010 Annual Stockholders Meeting and at any postponement or adjournment of the meeting for the matters set forth in Notice of 2010 Annual Stockholders Meeting. The 2010 Annual Stockholders Meeting will be held at 8:30 a.m. Pacific Time on Wednesday, May 19, 2010, via the Internet at www.intc.com and at Intel Corporation, Building SC-12, 3600 Juliette Lane, Santa Clara, CA 95054. We made this proxy statement available to stockholders beginning on April XX, 2010.

Record Date March 22, 2010

Quorum Majority of shares outstanding on the record date must be present in person or by proxy

Shares Outstanding X,XXX,XXX,XXX shares of common stock outstanding as of March 22, 2010

Voting by Proxy Internet, phone, or mail

Voting at the Meeting We encourage stockholders to vote in advance of the annual meeting, even if they plan to attend the meeting. Stockholders can vote in person or via the Internet during the meeting. Stockholders of record who attend the annual meeting in person may obtain a ballot from the inspector of elections. Beneficial holders who attend the annual meeting in person must obtain a proxy from their broker, bank, or other nominee prior to the date of the annual meeting and present it to the inspector of elections with their ballot. Stockholders attending the annual meeting via the Internet should follow the instructions at www.intc.com in order to vote or submit questions at the meeting. Voting in person or via the Internet by a stockholder during the meeting will replace any previous votes.

Polls Close 9:15 a.m. Pacific Time on May 19, 2010

Changing Your Vote Stockholders of record may revoke their proxy at any time before the polls close by submitting a later-dated vote in person or electronically at the annual meeting, via the Internet, by telephone, by mail, or by delivering instructions to our Corporate Secretary before the annual meeting. If you hold shares through a broker, bank, or other nominee, you may revoke any prior voting instructions by contacting that firm or by voting during the meeting via the Internet.

Votes Required to Adopt Proposals Each share of our common stock outstanding on the record date is entitled to one vote on each of the 10 director nominees and one vote on each other matter. To be elected, directors must receive a majority of the votes cast (the number of shares voted for a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast against that nominee). Approval of each of the other matters on the agenda requires the affirmative vote of the majority of the shares of common stock

present or represented by proxy.

Effect of Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes Shares not present at the meeting and shares voting abstain have no effect on the election of directors. For each of the other proposals, abstentions have the same effect as negative votes. Broker non-votes (shares held by brokers that do not have discretionary authority to vote on a matter and have not received voting instructions from their clients) have no effect. If you are a beneficial holder and do not provide specific voting instructions to your broker, under a recent rule change the organization that holds your shares will not be authorized to vote on the election of directors. Accordingly, we encourage you to vote promptly, even if you plan to attend the annual meeting.

Voting Instructions If you complete and submit your proxy voting instructions, the persons named as proxies will follow your instructions. If you are a stockholder of record and you submit proxy voting instructions but do not direct how to vote on each item, the persons named as proxies will vote as the Board recommends on each proposal. The persons named as proxies will vote on any other matters properly presented at the annual meeting in accordance with their best judgment. Our Bylaws set forth requirements for advance notice of nominations and agenda items for the annual meeting, and we have not received timely notice of any such matters that may be properly presented for voting at the annual meeting, other than the three items from the Board of Directors described in this proxy statement.

Voting Results We will announce preliminary results at the annual meeting. We will report final results at www.intc.com and in a filing with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on Form 8-K.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Upon the recommendation of our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, our Board has nominated the persons listed below to serve as directors for the one-year term beginning at our annual meeting on May 19, 2010 or until their successors, if any, are elected or appointed. Our nominees for the election of directors at the annual meeting include nine independent directors, as defined in the applicable rules for companies traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market* (NASDAQ), and our Chief Executive Officer (CEO).

If any director nominee is unable or unwilling to serve as a nominee at the time of the annual meeting, the persons named as proxies may vote for a substitute nominee chosen by the present Board to fill the vacancy. In the alternative, the proxies may vote just for the remaining nominees, leaving a vacancy that may be filled at a later date by the Board. Alternatively, the Board may reduce the size of the Board. We have no reason to believe that any of the nominees will be unwilling or unable to serve if elected as a director.

Our Bylaws require that in order to be elected, a director nominee must receive a majority of the votes cast with respect to such nominee in uncontested elections (the number of shares voted for a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast against that nominee). Each of our director nominees is currently serving on the Board. If a nominee who is currently serving as a director is not re-elected, Delaware law provides that the director would continue to serve on the Board as a holdover director. Under our Bylaws and Corporate Governance Guidelines, each director submits an advance, contingent, irrevocable resignation that the Board may accept if stockholders do not re-elect the director. In that situation, our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee would make a recommendation to the Board about whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether to take other action. The Board would act on the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee's recommendation, and publicly disclose its decision and the rationale behind it within 90 days from the date that the election results were certified.

Director Changes in 2009 and 2010. In March 2009, Carol A. Bartz retired from the Board, and the Board elected John J. Donahoe and Frank D. Yeary to the Board. In May 2009, Dr. Craig R. Barrett retired from the Board and as Chairman of the Board, and Dr. Jane E. Shaw became Chairman of the Board. In March 2010, John L. Thornton announced his intention to retire as a member of the Board in May 2010 at the annual stockholders' meeting, and the size of the Board will be reduced to 10 at that time.

Board Composition

As a major semiconductor chip maker, our business involves a complex operational structure that operates on a global scale and encompasses research, manufacturing, and marketing functions in a context characterized by rapidly evolving technologies, exposure to business cycles, and significant competition. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for reviewing and assessing with the Board the appropriate skills, experience, and background sought of Board members in the context of our business and the then-current membership on the Board. This assessment of Board skills, experience, and background includes numerous diverse factors, such as independence; understanding of and experience in manufacturing, technology, finance, and marketing; international experience; age; and gender and ethnic diversity. The priorities and emphasis of the committee and of the Board with regard to these factors change from time to time to take into account changes in the company's business and other trends, as well as the portfolio of skills and experience of current and prospective Board members. The committee and the Board review and assess the continued relevance of and emphasis on these factors as part of the Board's annual self-assessment process and in connection with candidate searches to determine if they are effective in helping to satisfy the Board's goal of creating and sustaining a Board that can appropriately support and oversee the company's activities.

We do not expect or intend that each director will have the same background, skills, and experience; we expect that Board members will have a diverse portfolio of backgrounds, skills, and experiences. One goal of this diversity is to assist the Board as a whole in its oversight and advice concerning our business and operations. Listed below are key skills and experience that we consider important for our directors to have in light of our current business and structure. The directors' biographies note each director's relevant experience, qualifications, and skills relative to this list.

Senior Leadership Experience. Directors who have served in senior leadership positions are important to us, as they bring experience and perspective in analyzing, shaping, and overseeing the execution of important operational and policy issues at a senior level. These directors' insights and guidance, and their ability to assess and respond to situations encountered in serving on our Board, may be enhanced if their leadership experience has been developed at businesses or organizations that operated on a global scale, faced significant competition, and/or involved technology or other rapidly evolving business models.

Table of Contents

Public Company Board Experience. Directors who have served on other public company boards can offer advice and insights with regard to the dynamics and operation of a board of directors; the relations of a board to the CEO and other management personnel; the importance of particular agenda and oversight matters; and oversight of a changing mix of strategic, operational, and compliance-related matters.

Business Development and Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A) Experience. Directors who have a background in business development and in M&A transactions can provide insight into developing and implementing strategies for growing our business through combination with other organizations. Useful experience in this area includes consideration of make versus buy, analysis of the fit of a proposed acquisition with a company's strategy, the valuation of transactions, and management's plans for integration with existing operations.

Financial Expertise. Knowledge of financial markets, financing and funding operations, and accounting and financial reporting processes is important because it assists our directors in understanding, advising, and overseeing Intel's capital structure, financing and investing activities, financial reporting, and internal control of such activities.

Industry and Technical Expertise. Because we are a technology, hardware, and software provider, education or experience in relevant technology is useful in understanding our research and development efforts, competing technologies, the various products and processes that we develop, our manufacturing and assembly-and-test operations, and the market segments in which we compete.

Brand Marketing Expertise. Directors who have brand marketing experience can provide expertise and guidance as we seek to maintain and expand brand and product awareness and a positive reputation.

Government Expertise. Directors who have served in government positions can provide experience and insight into working constructively with governments around the world and addressing significant public policy issues, particularly in areas related to Intel's business and operations, and support for mathematics, technology, engineering, and science education.

Global Expertise. Because we are a global organization with research and development, manufacturing, assembly and test facilities, and sales and other offices in many countries, directors with global expertise can provide a useful business and cultural perspective regarding many significant aspects of our business.

Legal Expertise. Directors who have legal education and experience can assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities related to the oversight of Intel's legal and regulatory compliance, and engagement with regulatory authorities.

The Board recommends that you vote FOR the election of each of the following nominees.

Name	Position with the Company	Age as of the Record Date	Intel Board Member Since
Ambassador Charlene Barshefsky	Director	59	2004
Susan L. Decker	Director	47	2006
John J. Donahoe	Director	49	2009
Reed E. Hundt	Director	62	2001
Paul S. Otellini	Director, President, and Chief Executive Officer	59	2002
James D. Plummer	Director	65	2005

David S. Pottruck	Director	61	1998
Jane E. Shaw	Director, Chairman of the Board	71	1993
Frank D. Yeary	Director	46	2009
David B. Yoffie	Director	55	1989

Directors Principal Occupation, Business Experience, Qualifications, and Directorships

Ambassador Charlene Barshefsky has been a director of Intel since 2004 and a Senior International Partner at Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, a multinational law firm in Washington, D.C., since 2001. Prior to joining the law firm, Ambassador Barshefsky served as the United States Trade Representative, chief trade negotiator, and principal trade policy maker for the United States and a member of the President’s cabinet from 1997 to 2001. Ambassador Barshefsky is also a director of American Express Company, Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, and Estée Lauder Companies; serves on the board of directors of the U.S. Council on Foreign Relations; and is a trustee of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Table of Contents

Ambassador Barshefsky brings to the Board significant international experience acquired prior to, during, and after her tenure as a United States Trade Representative. As the chief trade negotiator for the United States, Ambassador Barshefsky headed an executive branch agency that operated on an international scale in matters affecting international trade and commerce. Ambassador Barshefsky's position as Senior International Partner at a multinational law firm also brings to the Board continuing experience in dealing with foreign governments, focusing on market access and the regulation of business and investment. Through her government and private experience, Ambassador Barshefsky provides substantial expertise in doing business in China, where Intel has significant operations. As a director for other multinational companies, Ambassador Barshefsky also provides cross-board experience.

Susan L. Decker has been a director of Intel since 2006 and an Entrepreneur-in-Residence at Harvard Business School in Cambridge, Massachusetts, since 2009, where she is involved in case development activities, works with students, and helps develop and teach the Silicon Valley Immersion Program for Harvard Business School students. Ms. Decker served as President of Yahoo! Inc., a global Internet company in Sunnyvale, California, from 2007 to 2009; Executive Vice President of the Advertiser and Publisher Group of Yahoo! Inc. from 2006 to 2007; and Executive Vice President of Finance and Administration, and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of Yahoo! Inc. from 2000 to 2007. Prior to joining Yahoo!, Ms. Decker was with the Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette investment banking firm for 14 years, most recently as the global director of equity research. Ms. Decker is also a member of Berkshire Hathaway Inc. and Costco Wholesale Corporation boards of directors and a member of those companies' nominating and governance committees. Ms. Decker also served as a member of the board of directors of Pixar Animation Studios from 2004 to 2006.

Ms. Decker's experience as president of a global Internet company provides expertise in corporate leadership, financial management, and Internet technology. In her role as a CFO, Ms. Decker was responsible for finance, human resources, legal, and investor relations functions, and she played a significant role in developing business strategy, which experience supports the Board's efforts in overseeing and advising on strategy and financial matters. In addition, Ms. Decker's 12 years as a financial analyst and having served on the Financial Accounting Standards Advisory Council for a four-year term from 2000 to 2004, enables her to offer valuable perspectives on Intel's corporate planning, budgeting, and financial reporting. As a director for other multinational companies, Ms. Decker also provides cross-board experience.

John J. Donahoe has been a director of Intel since 2009 and President and CEO of eBay Inc., a global online marketplace in San Jose, California, since 2008. Mr. Donahoe joined eBay in 2005 as President of eBay Marketplaces, responsible for eBay's global e-commerce businesses. In this role, he focused on expanding eBay's core business, which accounts for a large percentage of the company's revenue. Prior to joining eBay, Mr. Donahoe was the Worldwide Managing Director for Bain & Company, a worldwide management consulting firm based in Boston, Massachusetts, from 2000 to 2005, where he oversaw Bain's 30 offices and 3,000 employees. In addition to serving on eBay Inc.'s board of directors, Mr. Donahoe is on the board of trustees of Dartmouth College.

Mr. Donahoe brings senior leadership, strategic, and marketing expertise to the Board from his current position as CEO of a major Internet company and his prior work as a management consultant and leader of a global business consulting firm. In his role at eBay, Mr. Donahoe oversaw a number of strategic acquisitions, bringing business development and M&A experience to the Board.

Reed E. Hundt has been a director of Intel since 2001 and a principal of REH Advisors LLC, a strategic advice firm in Washington, D.C., since 2009. Mr. Hundt was an independent adviser to McKinsey & Company, Inc., a worldwide management consulting firm in Washington, D.C., from 1998 to 2009, and Principal of Charles Ross Partners, LLC, a private investor and advisory service in Washington, D.C., from 1998 to 2009. Mr. Hundt served as Chairman of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC) from 1993 to 1997 and was a member of Barack Obama's Presidential Transition Team from 2008 to 2009. From 1982 to 1993, Mr. Hundt was a practicing attorney with

Latham & Watkins, a multinational law firm, in the firm's Los Angeles, California and Washington, D.C. offices. Within the past five years, Mr. Hundt has served as a member of the board of directors of Infinera Corporation and Data Domain, Inc., and numerous private companies.

As an independent adviser to a worldwide management consulting firm and an investor in telecommunications companies on a worldwide basis, Mr. Hundt has significant global experience in communications technology and the communications business. Mr. Hundt also has significant government experience from his service as Chairman of the FCC, where he helped negotiate the World Trade Organization Telecommunications Agreement, opening markets in 69 countries to competition and reducing barriers to foreign investment. Mr. Hundt's legal experience enables him to provide perspective and oversight with regard to the company's legal and compliance matters, and his board service with numerous other companies provides cross-board experience.

Table of Contents

Paul S. Otellini has been a director of Intel since 2002 and President and CEO since 2005. Mr. Otellini has been with Intel since 1974 and has also served as Intel's Chief Operating Officer (COO) from 2002 to 2005; Executive Vice President and General Manager, Intel Architecture Group, from 1998 to 2002; and Executive Vice President and General Manager, Sales and Marketing Group, from 1996 to 1998. Mr. Otellini is a member of the board of directors of Google Inc.

As our CEO and a senior executive, Mr. Otellini brings to the Board significant senior leadership, sales and marketing, industry, technical, and global experience. As CEO, Mr. Otellini has direct responsibility for Intel's strategy and operations. Mr. Otellini's service on the board of Google enables him to offer cross-board and industry expertise related to governance of a major global Internet company.

James D. Plummer has been a director of Intel since 2005 and a Professor of Electrical Engineering at Stanford University in Stanford, California since 1978, and the Dean of the School of Engineering since 1999. Dr. Plummer received his PhD degree in Electrical Engineering from Stanford University. Dr. Plummer has published over 400 papers on silicon devices and technology, has won numerous awards for his research, and is a member of the U.S. National Academy of Engineering. Dr. Plummer also directed the Stanford Nanofabrication Facility from 1994 to 2000. Dr. Plummer is a member of International Rectifier Corporation's board of directors. Within the past five years, Dr. Plummer has served as a member of the board of directors of Leadis Technology, Inc. and on the Technical Advisory Board of Cypress Semiconductor.

As a scholar and educator in the field of integrated circuits, Dr. Plummer brings to the Board industry and technical experience directly related to our company's semiconductor research and development, and manufacturing. Dr. Plummer's board service with other public companies provides cross-board experience.

David S. Pottruck has been a director of Intel since 1998 and Chairman and CEO of Red Eagle Ventures, Inc., a private equity firm in San Francisco, California, since 2005. Since 2009, Mr. Pottruck has also served as Co-Chairman of Hightower Advisors, a wealth management company in Chicago, Illinois. He has been an advisory board member of Diamond Technology and Management Consultants, Inc., a publicly held consulting firm, since 2004. Mr. Pottruck teaches in the MBA and Executive Education programs of the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania, and has held adjunct faculty positions at five universities. In 2004, Mr. Pottruck resigned from the Charles Schwab Corporation after a 20-year career, having served as President, CEO, and a member of the board.

As the Chairman and CEO of a private equity firm, and as former CEO of a major brokerage firm with substantial Internet operations, Mr. Pottruck brings to the Board significant senior leadership, management, operational, financial, and brand management experience.

Jane E. Shaw has been a director of Intel since 1993 and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Intel since May 2009. In 2005, Dr. Shaw retired as Chairman and CEO of Aerogen, Inc., a specialty medical device company in Mountain View, California, that develops drug-device combination aerosol products for patients with respiratory disorders, after serving as Chairman and CEO since 1998. Dr. Shaw served as President and COO of ALZA Corporation, a pharmaceutical company, from 1987 to 1994, and was founder of The Stable Network, a biopharmaceutical consulting company. Dr. Shaw serves on the board of McKesson Corporation, and she previously served on the board of OfficeMax Incorporated from 1994 to 2006. Dr. Shaw received a PhD from the University of Birmingham in England.

Dr. Shaw has significant executive experience with the strategic, financial, and operational requirements of large research and manufacturing-oriented organizations, and brings to our Board senior leadership, health industry, and financial experience. In addition, having served as CEO of pharmaceutical companies, she has substantial experience in dealing with research and development efforts and technological innovation. As a director of a public company board, including serving as Audit Committee chair, Dr. Shaw also provides cross-board experience.

Frank D. Yeary has been a director of Intel since 2009 and Vice Chancellor of the University of California in Berkeley, California since 2008, where he advises the chancellor and his senior staff on strategic planning and financial issues. Mr. Yeary is also guiding the university's long-range financial strategy and providing financial expertise for global research and education partnerships between public and private sectors. Mr. Yeary retired in 2008 as Managing Director, Global Head of Mergers and Acquisitions, at Citigroup Investment Banking, a financial services company, after nearly 25 years. Mr. Yeary is a trustee of the board of WNYC Public Radio and of the University of California, Berkeley Foundation.

Having an extensive career in investment banking and finance, Mr. Yeary brings to the Board significant business development, M&A, and financial experience related to the business and financial issues facing large corporations.

Table of Contents

David B. Yoffie has been a director of Intel since 1989 and a Professor of International Business Administration at Harvard Business School in Cambridge, Massachusetts since 1993. Dr. Yoffie has also served as Senior Associate Dean and Chair of Executive Education since 2006. He has been a member of the Harvard University faculty since 1981. He received a PhD from Stanford, where he has been a Visiting Scholar. Dr. Yoffie served as Chairman of the Harvard Business School Strategy department from 1997 to 2002, Chairman of the Advanced Management Program from 1999 to 2002, and chaired Harvard's Young Presidents' Organization from 2004 to 2010. He has also lectured and consulted in more than 30 countries. Dr. Yoffie is a member of the boards of directors of the U.S. National Bureau of Economic Research and Mindtree, Ltd., and he served as a member of the Charles Schwab Corporation board of directors.

Dr. Yoffie brings to the Board significant global experience and knowledge of competitive strategy, technology, and international competition.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board Responsibilities and Structure

The Board oversees, counsels, and directs management in the long-term interests of the company and our stockholders. The Board's responsibilities include:

selecting, evaluating the performance of, and determining the compensation of the CEO and other senior executives;

planning for succession with respect to the position of CEO and monitoring management's succession planning for other senior executives;

reviewing and approving our major financial objectives and strategic and operating plans, and other significant actions;

overseeing the conduct of our business and the assessment of our business risks to evaluate whether the business is being properly managed; and

overseeing the processes for maintaining our integrity with regard to our financial statements and other public disclosures, and compliance with law and ethics.

The Board and its committees met throughout the year on a set schedule, held special meetings, and acted by written consent from time to time as appropriate. The Board held four regularly scheduled sessions for the independent directors to meet without the CEO present. Board members have access to all of our employees outside of Board meetings, and the Board has a program that encourages each director to visit different Intel sites and events worldwide on a regular basis and meet with local management at those sites and events.

Board Leadership Structure. Historically, the Board has had a general policy that the positions of Chairman of the Board and CEO should be held by separate persons as an aid in the Board's oversight of management. This policy is in the Board's published Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues, and has been in effect since the company began operations. Typically in the past, the Chairman has been a former CEO of the company and has served as a full-time senior executive. Most recently, Dr. Barrett, a former CEO, served as a full-time senior executive in his position as Chairman. In 2009, Dr. Barrett retired from Intel and from his position as Chairman of the Board. In advance of Dr. Barrett's retirement, the Board considered the advisability of next electing an independent director as non-executive Chairman, and in May 2009 elected Dr. Shaw, an independent director, as Chairman. The duties of the

non-executive Chairman of the Board include:

presiding over all meetings of the Board;

preparing the agenda for Board meetings in consultation with the CEO and other members of the Board;

calling and presiding over meetings of the independent directors;

managing the Board's process for annual director self-assessment and evaluation of the Board and of the CEO;
and

presiding over all meetings of stockholders.

The Board believes that there may be advantages to having an independent chairman for matters such as communications and relations between the Board, the CEO, and other senior management; in assisting the Board in reaching consensus on particular strategies and policies; and in facilitating robust director, Board, and CEO evaluation processes. Intel's Board currently consists of the CEO, Mr. Otellini, and 10 independent directors. Dr. Shaw is not a full-time senior executive of the company, unlike the case with Dr. Barrett and other employee-chairmen in prior years. One of Dr. Shaw's roles is to

Table of Contents

oversee and manage the Board and its functions, including setting meeting agendas and running Board meetings. In this regard, Dr. Shaw and the Board in their advisory and oversight roles are particularly focused on assisting the CEO and senior management in seeking and adopting successful business strategies and risk management policies, and in making successful choices in management succession.

The Board's Role in Risk Oversight at Intel

One of the Board's functions is oversight of risk management at Intel. Risk is inherent in business, and the Board seeks to understand and advise on risk in conjunction with the activities of the Board and the Board's committees.

Defining Risk. The Board and management consider risk for these purposes to be the possibility that an undesired event could occur that adversely affects the achievement of our objectives. Risks vary in many ways, including the ability of the company to anticipate and understand the risk, the types of adverse impacts that could occur if the undesired event occurs, the likelihood that an undesired event and a particular adverse impact would occur, and the ability of the company to control the risk and the potential adverse impacts. Examples of the types of risks faced by a company include:

macro-economic risks, such as inflation, reductions in economic growth, or recession;

political risks, such as restrictions on access to markets, confiscatory taxation, or expropriation of assets;

event risks, such as natural disasters; and

business-specific risks related to strategic position, operational execution, financial structure, legal and regulatory compliance, and corporate governance.

Not all risks can be dealt with in the same way. Some risks may be easily perceived and controllable, and other risks are unknown; some risks can be avoided or mitigated by particular behavior, and some risks are unavoidable as a practical matter. For some risks, the potential adverse impact would be minor, and, as a matter of business judgment, it may not be appropriate to allocate significant resources to avoid the adverse impact; in other cases, the adverse impact could be significant, and it is prudent to expend resources to seek to avoid or mitigate the potential adverse impact. In some cases, a higher degree of risk may be acceptable because of a greater perceived potential for reward. Intel engages in numerous activities seeking to align its voluntary risk-taking with company strategy, and understands that its projects and processes may enhance the company's business interests by encouraging innovation and appropriate levels of risk-taking.

Management is responsible for identifying risk and risk controls related to significant business activities; mapping the risks to company strategy; and developing programs and recommendations to determine the sufficiency of risk identification, the balance of potential risk to potential reward, the appropriate manner in which to control risk, and the support of the programs discussed below and their risk to company strategy. The Board implements its risk oversight responsibilities by having management provide periodic briefing and informational sessions on the significant voluntary and involuntary risks that the company faces and how the company is seeking to control risk if and when appropriate. In some cases, as with risks of new technology and risks related to product acceptance, risk oversight is addressed as part of the full Board's engagement with the CEO and management. In other cases, a Board committee is responsible for oversight of specific risk topics. For example, the Audit Committee oversees issues related to internal control over financial reporting, the Finance Committee oversees issues related to the company's risk tolerance in cash-management investments, and the Compensation Committee oversees risks related to compensation programs, as discussed in greater detail below. Presentations and other information for the Board and Board committees generally identify and discuss relevant risk and risk control; and the Board members assess and oversee the risks as a part of

their review of the related business, financial, or other activity of the company. The full Board also receives specific reports on enterprise risk management, in which the identification and control of risk are the primary topics of the discussion.

Risk Assessment in Compensation Programs. Consistent with new SEC disclosure requirements, we have assessed the company's compensation programs and have concluded that our compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company. Intel management assessed the company's executive and broad-based compensation and benefits programs on a worldwide basis to determine if the programs' provisions and operations create undesired or unintentional risk of a material nature. This risk assessment process included a review of program policies and practices; program analysis to identify risk and risk control related to the programs; and determinations as to the sufficiency of risk identification, the balance of potential risk to potential reward, risk control, and the support of the programs and their risks to company strategy. Although we reviewed all compensation programs, we focused on the programs with variability of payout, with the ability of a participant to directly affect payout and the controls on participant action and payout. Intel's egalitarian culture supports the use of base salary, performance-based compensation, and retirement plans that are generally uniform in design and operation throughout the company and with

Table of Contents

all levels of employees. In most cases, the compensation policies and practices are centrally designed and administered, and are substantially identical at each business unit. Field sales personnel are paid primarily on a sales commission basis, but all of our officers (including those in the Sales and Marketing Group) are paid under the programs and plans for non-sales employees. Certain internal groups have different or supplemental compensation programs tailored to their specific operations and goals, and programs may differ by country due to variations in local laws and customs.

Based on the foregoing, we believe that our compensation policies and practices do not create inappropriate or unintended significant risk to the company as a whole. We also believe that our incentive compensation arrangements provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization's ability to effectively identify and manage significant risks; are compatible with effective internal controls and the risk management practices of Intel; and are supported by the oversight and administration of the Compensation Committee with regard to executive compensation programs.

Board Committees and Charters

The Board delegates various responsibilities and authority to different Board committees. Committees regularly report on their activities and actions to the full Board. The Board currently has, and appoints the members of, standing Audit, Compensation, Compliance, Corporate Governance and Nominating, Executive, and Finance Committees. The Board has determined that each member of the Audit, Compensation, Compliance, Corporate Governance and Nominating, and Finance Committees is an independent director in accordance with NASDAQ standards.

Each of the Board committees has a written charter approved by the Board, and we post each charter on our web site at www.intc.com/corp_docs.cfm. Each committee can engage outside experts, advisers, and counsel to assist the committee in its work. The following table identifies the current committee members.

Name	Audit	Compensation	Compliance	Corporate Governance and Nominating	Executive	Finance
Charlene Barshefsky			ü			Chair
Susan L. Decker	Chair					ü
John J. Donahoe	ü					ü
Reed E. Hundt		ü	ü	ü		
Paul S. Otellini					ü	
James D. Plummer	ü					ü
David S. Pottruck		Chair			ü	
Jane E. Shaw	ü			ü	Chair	
John L. Thornton		ü		ü		
Frank D. Yeary	ü		Chair			ü
David B. Yoffie		ü		Chair		
Number of Committee Meetings Held in 2009	9	4	Established in 2010	4	1	2

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee assists the Board in its general oversight of our financial reporting, financial risk assessment, internal controls, and audit functions, and is responsible for the appointment, retention, compensation, and oversight of the work of our independent registered public accounting firm. The Board has

determined that each member of the Audit Committee other than Dr. Plummer qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under SEC rules, and all members meet the relevant definition of an independent director. The Board determined that each Audit Committee member has sufficient knowledge in reading and understanding the company's financial statements to serve on the Audit Committee. The responsibilities and activities of the Audit Committee are described in detail in Report of the Audit Committee and the Audit Committee's charter.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has authority for reviewing and determining salaries, performance-based incentives, and other matters related to the compensation of our executive officers, and administering our equity plans, including reviewing and granting equity awards to our executive officers. The Compensation Committee also reviews and determines various other compensation policies and matters, including making recommendations to the Board and to management related to employee compensation and benefit plans, making recommendations to the Board on stockholder proposals related to compensation matters, and administering the employee stock purchase plan.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining executive compensation, and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee recommends to the full Board the compensation for non-employee directors.

The Compensation Committee can designate one or more of its members to perform duties on its behalf, subject to reporting to or ratification by the Compensation Committee, and can delegate to one or more members of the Board the authority to review and grant stock-based compensation to certain classes of employees.

Since 2005, the Compensation Committee has engaged the services of Professor Brian Hall of the Harvard Business School to advise the Compensation Committee with respect to executive compensation philosophy, cash and equity incentive design, the amount of cash and equity compensation awarded, and committee process. The Compensation Committee selected Professor Hall based on his experience and independence, and he reports directly to the Compensation Committee and interacts with management at the direction of the Compensation Committee. Professor Hall attends the Compensation Committee meetings, reviews compensation data and issues with the Compensation Committee, and participates in discussions regarding executive compensation issues. Professor Hall has not performed work for Intel other than advising on the amount or form of executive compensation pursuant to his engagement by the Compensation Committee.

During 2009, Professor Hall's work with the Compensation Committee included:

advice and recommendations on the cash and equity compensation programs and instruments; and

recommendations for the compensation of the CEO and, prior to the Board electing a non-executive independent Chairman, compensation for our executive Chairman of the Board.

The Compensation Committee has continued to engage Professor Hall in 2010 to advise it with regard to executive compensation programs, review and analysis of compensation data, CEO compensation, and related matters.

The CEO makes a recommendation to the Compensation Committee on the base salary, annual incentive cash baselines, and equity awards for each executive officer other than himself, based on his assessment of each executive officer's performance during the year and the CEO's review of compensation data gathered from compensation surveys. For more information on the responsibilities and activities of the Compensation Committee, including the processes for determining executive compensation, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Report of the Compensation Committee, and Executive Compensation in this proxy statement, and the Compensation Committee's charter.

Compliance Committee. Established in 2010, the Compliance Committee, as directed by the Board, oversees Intel's policies, programs, and procedures with regard to significant pending and threatened litigation, and reviews our implementation of legal obligations arising from judgments, settlement agreements, and other similar obligations that bear upon the company's effective conduct of business in a legal and ethical manner.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews and reports to the Board on a periodic basis with regard to matters of corporate governance and corporate responsibility, such as environmental, sustainability, workplace, and stakeholder issues. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee also reviews and assesses the effectiveness of the Board's Corporate Governance Guidelines, makes recommendations to the Board regarding proposed revisions to the Guidelines and committee charters, reviews the policy related to the implementation of a poison pill, and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the size and composition of the Board and its committees. In addition, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews stockholder proposals, makes recommendations to the Board for action on such proposals, and reviews and makes recommendations concerning compensation for our non-employee directors.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee establishes procedures for the nomination process and recommends candidates for election to the Board. Consideration of new Board candidates typically involves a series of internal discussions, review of information concerning candidates, and interviews with selected candidates. Board members typically suggest candidates for nomination to the Board. In 2009, our CEO suggested Mr. Donahoe as a prospective Board candidate, and one of our independent directors suggested Mr. Yeary. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee considers candidates proposed by stockholders and evaluates them using the same criteria as for other candidates. A stockholder seeking to suggest a prospective nominee for the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee's consideration should submit the candidate's name and qualifications to our Corporate Secretary. The Corporate Secretary's contact information can be found in Other Matters; Communicating with Us.

Table of Contents

Executive Committee. The Executive Committee may exercise the authority of the Board between Board meetings, except to the extent that the Board has delegated authority to another committee or to other persons, and except as limited by applicable law.

Finance Committee. The Finance Committee reviews and recommends matters related to our capital structure, including the issuance of debt and equity securities; banking arrangements, including the investment of corporate cash; and management of the corporate debt structure. In addition, the Finance Committee reviews and approves finance and other cash management transactions. The Finance Committee appoints the members of, and oversees, the Retirement Plans Investment Policy Committee, which sets the investment policy and chooses investment managers for our domestic profit sharing and retirement plans. Mr. Pottruck is chairman of the Retirement Plans Investment Policy Committee, whose other members are Intel employees.

Attendance at Board, Committee, and Annual Stockholders Meetings. The Board held nine meetings in 2009. We expect each director to attend every meeting of the Board and the committees on which he or she serves, as well as the annual stockholders meeting. All directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and the committees on which they served in 2009, with the exception of Mr. Donahoe, who joined the Board in 2009 and had previously scheduled international commitments that conflicted with two special meetings of the Board. Seven directors attended our 2009 Annual Stockholders Meeting.

Director Independence. The Board has determined that each of our directors other than Mr. Otellini, our CEO, qualifies as independent in accordance with the published listing requirements of NASDAQ: Ambassador Barshefsky, Ms. Decker, Mr. Donahoe, Mr. Hundt, Dr. Plummer, Mr. Pottruck, Dr. Shaw, Mr. Thornton, Mr. Yearly, and Dr. Yoffie. Because Mr. Otellini is employed by Intel, he does not qualify as independent. Ms. Bartz, a director whose service ended during 2009, qualified as an independent director. Dr. Barrett, our former Chairman of the Board whose service as a director ended during 2009, did not qualify as an independent director because he was an executive officer at Intel.

The NASDAQ rules have objective tests and a subjective test for determining who is an independent director. Under the objective tests, a director cannot be considered independent if:

the director is, or at any time during the past three years was, an employee of the company;

the director or a family member of the director accepted any compensation from the company in excess of \$120,000 during any period of 12 consecutive months within the three years preceding the independence determination (subject to certain exclusions, including, among other things, compensation for board or board committee service);

a family member of the director is, or at any time during the past three years was, an executive officer of the company;

the director or a family member of the director is a partner in, controlling stockholder of, or an executive officer of an entity to which the company made, or from which the company received, payments in the current or any of the past three fiscal years that exceed 5% of the recipient's consolidated gross revenue for that year or \$200,000, whichever is greater (subject to certain exclusions);

the director or a family member of the director is employed as an executive officer of an entity where, at any time during the past three years, any of the executive officers of the company served on the compensation committee of such other entity; or

the director or a family member of the director is a current partner of the company's outside auditor, or at any time during the past three years was a partner or employee of the company's outside auditor, and who worked on the company's audit.

The subjective test states that an independent director must be a person who lacks a relationship that, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. The Board has not established categorical standards or guidelines to make these subjective determinations but considers all relevant facts and circumstances.

In addition to the Board-level standards for director independence, the directors who serve on the Audit Committee each satisfy standards established by the SEC providing that to qualify as independent for the purposes of membership on that committee, members of audit committees may not accept directly or indirectly any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fee from the company other than their director compensation.

Table of Contents

Transactions Considered in Independence Determinations. In making its independence determinations, the Board considered transactions that occurred since the beginning of 2007 between Intel and entities associated with the independent directors or members of their immediate family. All identified transactions that appeared to relate to Intel and a family member of, or entity with a known connection to, a director were presented to the Board for consideration.

None of the non-employee directors was disqualified from independent status under the objective tests. In making its subjective determination that each non-employee director is independent, the Board reviewed and discussed additional information provided by the directors and the company with regard to each director's business and personal activities as they may relate to Intel and Intel's management. The Board considered the transactions in the context of the NASDAQ objective standards, the special standards established by the SEC for members of audit committees, and the SEC and U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) standards for compensation committee members. Based on all of the foregoing, as required by NASDAQ rules, the Board made a subjective determination that, because of the nature of the director's relationship with the entity and/or the amount involved, no relationships exist that, in the opinion of the Board, would impair the director's independence. The Board's independence determinations included reviewing the following transactions.

Ambassador Barshefsky is a partner at the law firm Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP. Intel paid this firm less than 2.5% of this firm's revenue in 2009, 2008, and 2007 for professional services. Ambassador Barshefsky does not provide any legal services to Intel, and she does not receive any compensation related to our payments to this firm. Ambassador Barshefsky's husband is an officer of American Honda Motor Co., Inc. (which is wholly owned by Honda Motor Co., Ltd.). Intel and the Intel Foundation participated in loans to Honda Finance Corp., a subsidiary of Honda Motor Co., Ltd., in 2009, 2008, and 2007 by purchasing short-term debt instruments as part of our cash management portfolio.

Ms. Decker, Mr. Donahoe, Mr. Hundt, Dr. Plummer, Mr. Pottruck, Dr. Shaw, Mr. Thornton, Mr. Yeary, Dr. Yoffie, or one of their immediate family members have each served as a trustee, director, employee, or advisory board member for one or more colleges or universities. Intel has a variety of dealings with these institutions, including: sponsored research and technology licenses; charitable contributions (matching and discretionary); fellowships and scholarships; facility, engineering, and equipment fees; and payments for training, event hosting, and organizational participation or membership dues.

Payments to each of these institutions (including discretionary contributions by Intel and the Intel Foundation) constituted less than the greater of \$200,000 or 1% of that institution's 2009 annual revenue.

With the exception of Mr. Donahoe, Mr. Pottruck, Mr. Yeary, and Dr. Yoffie, each of our non-employee directors is, or was during the previous three fiscal years, a non-management director of another company that did business with Intel at some time during those years. These business relationships were as a supplier or purchaser of goods or services, licensing or research arrangements, or financing arrangements in which Intel or the Intel Foundation participated as a creditor.

Code of Conduct. It is our policy that all employees must avoid any activity that is or has the appearance of being hostile, adverse, or competitive with Intel, or that interferes with the proper performance of their duties, responsibilities, or loyalty to Intel. Our Code of Conduct contains these policies and applies to our directors (with respect to their Intel-related activities), executive officers, and other employees.

Each director and executive officer must inform our Board when confronted with any situation that may be perceived as a conflict of interest with Intel, even if the person does not believe that the situation would violate our Code of Conduct. If, in a particular circumstance, the Board concludes that there is or may be a perceived conflict of interest,

the Board will instruct our Legal department to work with our relevant business units to determine if there is a conflict of interest and, if there is, how the conflict should be resolved.

Any waivers of these conflict rules with regard to a director or an executive officer require the prior approval of the Audit Committee or the Board. Our Code of Conduct is our code-of-ethics document. We have posted our Code of Conduct on our web site at

www.intel.com/intel/corpresponsibility/governance.htm?iid=intel_corp+body_governance.

Communications from Stockholders to Directors. The Board recommends that stockholders initiate communications with the Board, the Chairman, or any committee of the Board in writing to the attention of our Corporate Secretary at the address set forth in *Other Matters; Communicating with Us*. This process will assist the Board in reviewing and responding to stockholder communications in an appropriate manner. The Board has instructed our Corporate Secretary to review such correspondence and, at his discretion, not to forward items if he deems them to be of a commercial or frivolous nature or otherwise inappropriate for the Board's consideration.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Board has adopted a set of Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for overseeing the Guidelines and annually reviews them and makes recommendations to the Board concerning corporate governance matters. The Board may amend, waive, suspend, or repeal any of the Guidelines at any time, with or without public notice, as it determines necessary or appropriate in the exercise of the Board's judgment or fiduciary duties.

We have posted the Guidelines on our web site at www.intel.com/intel/corpresponsibility/governance.htm?iid=intel_corp+body_governance. Among other matters, the Guidelines include the following items concerning the Board:

Independent directors may not stand for re-election after age 72. Corporate officers may continue as such no later than age 65 (subject to certain exceptions for the CEO).

Directors are limited to service on four public company boards, including Intel's but excluding not-for-profit and mutual fund boards. If the director serves as an active CEO of a public company, the director is limited to service on three public company boards, including Intel's.

The CEO reports at least annually to the Board on succession planning and management development.

The Chairman of the Board manages a process whereby the Board and its members are subject to annual evaluation and self-assessment.

The Board will obtain stockholder approval before adopting any poison pill. If the Board later repeals this policy and adopts a poison pill without prior stockholder approval, the Board will submit the poison pill to an advisory vote by Intel's stockholders within 12 months from the date that the Board adopts the poison pill. If Intel's stockholders fail to approve the poison pill, the Board may elect to terminate, retain, or modify the poison pill in the exercise of its fiduciary responsibilities.

In addition, the Board has adopted a policy committing not to issue shares of preferred stock to prevent an unsolicited merger or acquisition.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The general policy of the Board is that compensation for independent directors should be a mix of cash and equity-based compensation, with the majority of compensation being provided in the form of equity-based compensation. Intel does not pay management directors for Board service in addition to their regular employee compensation. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, consisting solely of independent directors, has the primary responsibility for reviewing and considering any revisions to director compensation. The Board reviews the committee's recommendations and determines the amount of director compensation.

Intel's Legal department, Corporate Secretary, and Compensation and Benefits Group in the Human Resources department support the committee in recommending director compensation and creating director compensation programs. In addition, the committee can engage the services of outside advisers, experts, and others to assist the committee. During 2009, the committee did not use an outside adviser to aid in setting director compensation.

To assist the committee in its annual review of director compensation, Intel's Compensation and Benefits Group provides director compensation data compiled from the annual reports and proxy statements of companies that the Board uses as its peer group for determining director compensation. Based on the recommendation of our Compensation and Benefits Group, the director peer group was revised in 2009. The director peer group now aligns

with the peer group used to set executive pay and consists of 15 technology companies and 10 companies within the Standard & Poor's S&P 100* Index, described in detail below under Compensation Discussion and Analysis; External Competitive Considerations. The committee targets cash and equity compensation at the median of the peer group.

After reviewing the revised peer group director compensation data in June 2009, the committee 1) set pay for the new non-executive Chairman of the Board, 2) increased the value of the annual equity award from \$145,000 to \$175,000, since the previous level of compensation was deemed below the market median, and 3) changed the equity grant vehicle from 100% restricted stock units (RSUs) to 50% RSUs and 50% outperformance stock units (OSUs) in order to more closely align with the equity package that Intel executives receive. The OSU program is more thoroughly discussed below under Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Table of Contents

Non-employee director compensation consists of the following elements:

annual cash retainer of \$75,000

annual RSU grant with a grant date fair value of approximately \$87,500

annual OSU grant with a grant date fair value of approximately \$87,500

Audit Committee chair annual fee of \$20,000

all other Committee chair annual fees of \$10,000 per committee

non-chair Audit Committee member annual fee of \$10,000

non-executive Chairman of the Board annual cash retainer of \$212,500 and an annual equity award with a market value of approximately \$212,500, with the value delivered 50% in RSUs and 50% in OSUs

The following table details the total compensation of Intel's non-employee directors for the year ended December 26, 2009.

Director Summary Compensation for Fiscal Year 2009

Name(1)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards \$(2)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation \$(3)	Total (\$)
Charlene Barshefsky ⁽⁴⁾	85,000	204,900			289,900
Carol A. Bartz ⁽⁵⁾	21,250				21,250
Susan L. Decker	90,000	169,600		6,000	265,600
John J. Donahoe ⁽⁶⁾		234,500			234,500
Reed E. Hundt	77,500	169,600			247,100
James D. Plummer	85,000	169,600		7,500	262,100
David S. Pottruck	95,000	169,600		10,000	274,600
Jane E. Shaw	168,750	205,900	3,000		377,650
John L. Thornton	75,000	169,600			244,600

Edgar Filing: INTEL CORP - Form PRE 14A

Frank D. Yeary	63,750	214,500			278,250
David B. Yoffie	85,000	169,600	36,000		290,600
Total	846,250	1,877,400	39,000	23,500	2,786,150

- (1) Dr. Barrett, who retired as Chairman of the Board in May 2009, served and was compensated as an executive officer but did not receive any additional compensation in 2009 for his services as a director.
- (2) Grant date fair value of RSUs and OSUs granted in 2009: \$169,600 for each director other than Ambassador Barshefsky (\$204,900), who in addition to the regular grant of \$169,900 also received a grant of 2,055 RSUs in lieu of 2008 cash retainer; Mr. Donahoe (\$234,500), who in addition to the regular grant of \$169,600 also received a prorated grant for the compensation cycle in effect at the time he joined the Board in 2009 and a grant of 1,165 RSUs in lieu of 2009 cash retainer and Audit Committee member annual fee; Mr. Yeary (\$214,500), who in addition to the regular grant of \$169,600 also received a prorated grant for the compensation cycle in effect at the time he joined the Board in 2009; and Dr. Shaw (\$205,900), who received an additional grant as non-executive Chairman of the Board for 2009.
- (3) Intel Foundation made matching charitable contributions on behalf of Ms. Decker (\$6,000), Dr. Plummer (\$7,500), and Mr. Pottruck (\$10,000).
- (4) Ambassador Barshefsky received 2,055 RSUs on July 16, 2009 with a grant date fair value of \$35,300. This grant was the remaining half of her 2008 RSU in lieu of cash retainer. She did not elect this program in 2009.
- (5) Ms. Bartz retired from the Board effective March 2009.
- (6) Mr. Donahoe received 1,165 RSUs on July 16, 2009 with a grant date fair value of \$20,000, which represents his 2009 annual cash retainer and Audit Committee member annual fee in the form of RSUs for the first half of 2009. The remainder of his 2009 fees will be paid in the form of RSUs in 2010.

Table of Contents

Fees Earned or Paid in Cash. Directors receive cash fees in quarterly installments and forfeit unpaid portions of cash upon termination, retirement, disability, or death. The following table provides a breakdown of cash fees earned, without taking into account any election to defer or receive equity in lieu of cash. As noted above, for 2009 Mr. Donahoe elected to receive his fees earned in the form of RSUs.

Name	Annual Retainers (\$)	Committee Chair Fees (\$)	Audit Committee Member Fees (\$)	Total (\$)
Charlene Barshefsky	75,000	10,000		85,000
Carol A. Bartz	18,750		2,500	21,250
Susan L. Decker	75,000	10,000	5,000	90,000
John J. Donahoe	56,250		7,500	63,750
Reed E. Hundt	75,000	2,500		77,500
James D. Plummer	75,000		10,000	85,000
David S. Pottruck	75,000	17,500 ⁽¹⁾	2,500	95,000
Jane E. Shaw	143,750	20,000	5,000	168,750
John L. Thornton	75,000			75,000
Frank D. Yeary	56,250		7,500	63,750
David B. Yoffie	75,000	10,000		85,000

(1) Mr. Pottruck chairs the Retirement Plans Investment Policy Committee.

Under the *RSU in Lieu of Cash Election* program, directors can elect annually to receive all of their cash compensation in the form of RSUs. This election must be either 100% or 0%, and must be made in the tax year prior to receiving compensation. The Board grants RSUs elected in lieu of cash on the same grant date and with the same vesting terms as the annual RSU grant to directors. Under this program, Ambassador Barshefsky received 2,055 RSUs in 2009 for her election of this program for her 2008 fees, and Mr. Donahoe received 1,165 RSUs in 2009 for his election of this program for his 2009 fees.

Equity Awards. In accordance with Intel's 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, equity grants to non-employee directors may not exceed 30,000 shares per director per year. The current practice is to grant each non-employee director RSUs and OSUs each July with a market value of the underlying shares on the grant date of approximately \$175,000.

Restricted stock units (RSUs): RSUs vest in equal annual installments over a three-year period from the grant date. On July 16, 2009, Intel granted each independent director 4,790 RSUs. The Board awarded Dr. Shaw an additional 1,025 RSUs for her service as Chairman of the Board. Vesting of all shares accelerates upon retirement from the Board if a

director is 72 years of age or has at least seven years of service on Intel's Board. Directors do not receive dividend equivalents on unvested RSUs.

Outperformance stock units (OSUs): OSUs have a 37-month cliff-vesting schedule, meaning that 100% of the grant vests on the 37th-month anniversary of the date the award is granted. On July 16, 2009, Intel granted each independent director 3,500 OSUs. The Board awarded Dr. Shaw an additional 750 OSUs for her service as Chairman of the Board. If a director retires from the Board and is 72 years of age or has at least seven years of service on Intel's Board before the end of the performance period, he or she will receive payouts from all granted but unvested cycles. The payouts will be received on the regular payout dates (no acceleration). The number of shares of Intel common stock that a director receives will range from 33% to 200% of the target amount. As part of the OSU program, directors receive dividend equivalents on the final shares earned and vested; the dividend equivalents will pay out in the form of additional shares. For more information on OSUs, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis; Outperformance Stock Unit (OSU) Awards below.

The amounts included in the Stock Awards column in the Director Summary Compensation table reflect the grant date fair value of the 2009 equity grants. The following table includes the assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts.

Grant Date	Volatility (%)	Assumptions Risk-Free Interest Rate (%)	Dividend Yield (%)
4/16/09 (RSU)	n/a	0.7	3.5
7/16/09 (RSU)	n/a	0.8	3.0
7/16/09 (OSU)	34	1.6	3.0

Table of Contents

The following table provides information on the outstanding equity awards held by the non-employee directors at fiscal year-end 2009. In 2006, Intel began granting RSUs instead of stock options to non-employee directors. In 2009, Intel began granting OSUs in addition to RSUs. Market value for stock options is calculated by taking the difference between the closing price of Intel common stock on NASDAQ on the last trading day of the fiscal year (\$20.33 on December 24, 2009) and the option exercise price, and multiplying it by the number of options. Market value for stock awards (RSUs and OSUs) is determined by multiplying the number of shares by the closing price of Intel common stock on NASDAQ on the last trading day of the fiscal year. OSUs are shown at their target amount.

Outstanding Equity Awards for Directors at Fiscal Year-End 2009

Option Awards					Stock Awards			
Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Exercise Price	Option Expiration	Market Value of Unexercised Options	Grant Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	
							Grant Date	Market Value
15,000	27.53	5/19/11		5/19/04	2,413	49,100		
19,000	27.15	7/20/12		7/20/05	6,753	137,300		
5,000	32.06	1/21/14		1/21/04	6,845	139,200		
							3,500	
39,000					16,011	325,600	3,500	
					1,169	23,800		
					1,919	39,000		
					4,450	90,500		
					4,790	97,400		

Edgar Filing: INTEL CORP - Form PRE 14A

					7/16/09			3,500	7
						12,328	250,700	3,500	7
					4/16/09	3,085	62,700		
					7/16/09	5,955	121,100		
					7/16/09			3,500	7
						9,040	183,800	3,500	7
	5/19/04	15,000	27.53	5/19/11	7/19/07	1,919	39,000		
	5/24/01	35,000	28.76	5/24/11	7/17/08	4,450	90,500		
	5/22/02	15,000	29.19	5/22/12	7/16/09	4,790	97,400		
	7/20/05	19,000	27.15	7/20/12	7/16/09			3,500	7
	5/21/03	15,000	18.73	5/21/13					
		99,000							
						11,159	226,900	3,500	7
	7/20/05	15,000	27.15	7/20/12	7/19/07	1,919	39,000		
					7/17/08	4,450	90,500		
					7/16/09	4,790	97,400		
					7/16/09			3,500	7
		15,000							
						11,159	226,900	3,500	7
	5/17/00	15,000	61.45	5/17/10	7/19/07	1,919	39,000		
	5/19/04	15,000	27.53	5/19/11	7/17/08	4,450	90,500		
	5/23/01	15,000	29.41	5/23/11	7/16/09	4,790	97,400		
	5/22/02	15,000	29.19	5/22/12	7/16/09			3,500	7
	7/20/05	19,000	27.15	7/20/12					
	5/21/03	15,000	18.73	5/21/13					
		94,000							
						11,159	226,900	3,500	7
	5/17/00	15,000	61.45	5/17/10	7/19/07	1,919	39,000		
	5/19/04	15,000	27.53	5/19/11	7/17/08	5,370	109,200		
	5/23/01	15,000	29.41	5/23/11	7/16/09	5,815	118,200		
	5/22/02	15,000	29.19	5/22/12	7/16/09			4,250	8
	7/20/05	19,000	27.15	7/20/12					
	5/21/03	15,000	18.73	5/21/13					
		94,000							
						13,104	266,400	4,250	8
	5/19/04	15,000	27.53	5/19/11	7/19/07	1,919	39,000		
	7/20/05	19,000	27.15	7/20/12	7/17/08	4,450	90,500		
	7/23/03	12,500	24.58	7/23/13	7/16/09	4,790	97,400		
					7/16/09			3,500	7
		46,500							
						11,159	226,900	3,500	7

Table of Contents

Option Awards					Stock Awards				
					Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested				
Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Option					Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested				
Grant Date	Exercisable (#)	Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Option Value of Unexercised Options (\$)	Grant Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)(1)	
					4/16/09	3,085	62,700		
					7/16/09	4,790	97,400		
					7/16/09			3,500	71
						7,875	160,100	3,500	71
B. 5/17/00	15,000	61.45	5/17/10		7/19/07	2,315	47,100		
5/19/04	15,000	27.53	5/19/11		7/17/08	4,450	90,500		
5/23/01	15,000	29.41	5/23/11		7/16/09	4,790	97,400		
5/22/02	15,000	29.19	5/22/12		7/16/09			3,500	71
7/20/05	19,000	27.15	7/20/12						
5/21/03	15,000	18.73	5/21/13	24,000					
	94,000			24,000		11,555	235,000	3,500	71

(1) OSUs are shown at their target amount. The actual conversion of OSUs into Intel shares following the conclusion of the performance period will range between 33% and 200% of that target amount, depending on Intel's total stockholder return (TSR) performance versus the TSR benchmark over the applicable three-year performance period, plus the shares from the dividend equivalents that are received on the final shares earned and vested. The dividend equivalents will pay out in the form of additional shares.

(2) Ms. Bartz retired from the Board effective March 2009; however, the information shown in this table is as of fiscal year-end 2009.

Director Stock Ownership Guidelines. The Board's stock ownership guidelines for non-employee directors require that within five years of joining the Board, the director must acquire and hold at least 15,000 shares of Intel common

stock. After each succeeding five years of Board service, non-employee directors must own an additional 5,000 shares (for example, 20,000 shares after 10 years of service). Unexercised stock options, unvested RSUs, and unearned OSUs do not count toward this requirement. As of December 26, 2009, each director nominated for election at the annual meeting had either satisfied these ownership guidelines or had time remaining to do so.

Deferred Compensation. Intel has a deferred compensation plan that allows non-employee directors to defer their cash and equity compensation. The Cash Deferral Election allows participants to defer up to 100% of their cash compensation and receive an investment return on the deferred funds as if the funds were invested in Intel common stock. Participants receive credit for reinvestment of dividends under this option. Plan participants must elect irrevocably to receive the deferred funds either in a lump sum or in equal annual installments over five or 10 years, and to begin receiving distributions either at retirement or at a future date not less than 24 months from the election date. This deferred cash compensation is an unsecured obligation for Intel. Ambassador Barshefsky chose the Cash Deferral Election with respect to her 2009 fees. The RSU Deferral Election allows directors to defer their RSUs until termination of service. This election must be either 100% or 0% and applies to all RSUs granted during the year. Deferred RSUs count toward Intel's stock ownership guidelines once they vest. Directors do not receive dividends on deferred RSUs. Mr. Donahoe and Dr. Shaw participated in the RSU Deferral Election program in 2009.

Retirement. In 1998, the Board ended its retirement program for independent directors. Dr. Shaw and Dr. Yoffie, who were serving at that time, were vested with the number of years served. They will receive an annual benefit equal to the annual retainer fee in effect at the time of payment, to be paid beginning upon the director's departure from the Board. The payments will continue for the lesser of the number of years served as a non-employee director through 1998 or the life of the director. The amounts in the Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column in the Director Summary Compensation table represent the actuarial increase in pension value accrued under this program. Dr. Shaw is credited with five years of service, and Dr. Yoffie is credited with nine years of service. Assumptions used in determining these increases include a discount rate of 6.1%, a retirement age of 65 or current age if older, RP2000 Mortality table projected to 2009, and an annual benefit amount of \$75,000.

Table of Contents

Travel Expenses. Intel does not pay meeting fees. We reimburse the directors for their travel and related expenses in connection with attending Board meetings and Board-related activities, such as Intel site visits and sponsored events, as well as continuing education programs.

Charitable Matching. Directors' charitable contributions to schools and universities that meet the guidelines of Intel's employee charitable matching gift program are eligible for 50% matching of funds of up to \$10,000 per director per year, which is the same limit for employees generally.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table presents the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of February 22, 2010 by one holder of more than 5% of our common stock, each of our directors and listed officers, and all of our directors and executive officers as a group. Amounts reported under Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned as of February 22, 2010 include the number of shares subject to stock options and RSUs that become exercisable or vest within 60 days of February 22, 2010 (which are shown in the columns to the right). Our listed officers are the CEO, CFO, and three other most highly compensated executive officers in a particular year. Except as otherwise indicated and subject to applicable community property laws, each owner has sole voting and investment power with respect to the securities listed.

Stockholder	Number of Shares of		Number of Shares	Number of RSUs
	Common Stock	Percent	Subject to Options	
	Beneficially Owned as	of Class	Exercisable as of	That
	of		February 22, 2010 or	Vest Within 60
	February 22, 2010		February 22, 2010 or	Days
			Which Become	of February 22,
			Exercisable Within	2010
			60	
			Days of This Date	
BlackRock, Inc.	338,333,079 ⁽¹⁾	6.112		
Paul S. Otellini, Director, President, and Chief Executive Officer	4,791,243 ⁽²⁾	**	3,964,086	40,000
Sean M. Maloney, Executive Vice President and General Manager, Intel Architecture Group	2,794,935 ⁽³⁾	**	2,607,827	22,875
Andy D. Bryant, Executive Vice President, Technology, Manufacturing, and Enterprise Services, and Chief Administrative Officer	2,498,161 ⁽⁴⁾	**	2,243,611	22,875
David Perlmutter, Executive Vice President and General Manager, Intel Architecture Group	1,027,619	**	933,947	22,125
Stacy J. Smith, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	567,902	**	527,005	15,875

Edgar Filing: INTEL CORP - Form PRE 14A

Jane E. Shaw, Director and Chairman of the Board	270,642 ⁽⁵⁾	**	94,000	
David B. Yoffie, Director	252,475 ⁽⁶⁾	**	94,000	
Reed E. Hundt, Director	143,531 ⁽⁷⁾	**	99,000	
David S. Pottruck, Director	131,519 ⁽⁸⁾	**	94,000	
John L. Thornton, Director	61,031	**	46,500	
Charlene Barshefsky, Director	59,647 ⁽⁹⁾	**	39,000	
James D. Plummer, Director	32,531	**	15,000	
Susan L. Decker, Director	9,566	**		
Frank D. Yeary, Director	1,028	**		1,028
John J. Donahoe, Director		**		
All directors and executive officers as a group (20 individuals)	15,732,315	**	13,234,798	187,528

** Less than 1%.

- (1) Based on information set forth in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 29, 2010 by BlackRock, Inc. reporting sole power to vote or direct the vote over 338,333,079 shares and sole power to dispose or direct the disposition of 338,333,079 shares.
- (2) Includes 1,451 shares held by Mr. Otellini's spouse, and Mr. Otellini disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares, and 440,324 shares held by a trust for which Mr. Otellini shares voting and disposition authority.
- (3) Includes 4,000 shares held by Mr. Maloney's spouse, and Mr. Maloney disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares.
- (4) Includes 1,600 shares held by Mr. Bryant's son and 1,000 shares held by Mr. Bryant's daughter, and Mr. Bryant disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares.
- (5) Includes 32,172 shares held by a family trust for which Dr. Shaw shares voting and disposition authority, and 28,000 shares held by a bank to secure a line of credit.

Table of Contents

- (6) Includes 158,475 shares held jointly with Dr. Yoffie's spouse for which Dr. Yoffie shares voting and disposition authority.
- (7) Includes 10,000 shares held by a family foundation for which Mr. Hundt shares voting and disposition authority.
- (8) Includes 800 shares held by Mr. Pottruck's daughter. Includes a total of 13,400 shares held in two separate annuity trusts for the benefit of Mr. Pottruck's brother for which Mr. Pottruck shares voting and disposition authority.
- (9) Includes 6,800 shares held jointly with Ambassador Barshefsky's spouse for which Ambassador Barshefsky shares voting and disposition authority.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The Board's Audit Committee is responsible for review, approval, or ratification of related-person transactions involving Intel or its subsidiaries and related persons. Under SEC rules, a related person is a director, officer, nominee for director, or 5% stockholder of the company since the beginning of the previous fiscal year, and their immediate family members. Intel has adopted written policies and procedures that apply to any transaction or series of transactions in which the company or a subsidiary is a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and a related person has a direct or indirect material interest.

The Audit Committee has determined that, barring additional facts or circumstances, a related person does not have a direct or indirect material interest in the following categories of transactions:

any transaction with another company for which a related person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer), director, or beneficial owner of less than 10% of that company's shares, if the amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of that company's total annual revenue;

any charitable contribution, grant, or endowment by Intel or the Intel Foundation to a charitable organization, foundation, or university for which a related person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer) or a director, if the amount involved does not exceed the lesser of \$1 million or 2% of the charitable organization's total annual receipts, or any matching contribution, grant, or endowment by the Intel Foundation;

compensation to executive officers determined by the Compensation Committee;

compensation to directors determined by the Board;

transactions in which all security holders receive proportional benefits; and

banking-related services involving a bank depository of funds, transfer agent, registrar, trustee under a trust indenture, or similar service.

Intel personnel in the Legal and Finance departments review transactions involving related persons who are not included in one of the above categories. If they determine that a related person could have a significant interest in such a transaction, the transaction is forwarded to the Audit Committee for review. The Audit Committee determines whether the related person has a material interest in a transaction and may approve, ratify, rescind, or take other action with respect to the transaction in its discretion. The Audit Committee reviews all material facts related to the

transaction and takes into account, among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances; the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction; and, if applicable, the availability of other sources of comparable products or services.

In 2009, there were no related-person transactions under the relevant standards.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors determines the compensation for our executive officers. The committee considers, adopts, reviews, and revises executive officer compensation plans, programs, and guidelines, and reviews and determines all components of each executive officer's compensation. As discussed above under Corporate Governance; Compensation Committee, Professor Brian Hall of the Harvard Business School serves as the committee's outside adviser. The committee also consults with management and Intel's Compensation and Benefits Group regarding both executive and non-executive employee compensation plans and programs, including administering our equity incentive plans.

This section of the proxy statement explains how our executive compensation programs are designed and operate with respect to Intel's listed officers (the CEO, CFO, and three other most highly compensated executive officers in a particular year):

Paul S. Otellini, President and CEO

Stacy J. Smith, Senior Vice President and CFO

Andy D. Bryant, Executive Vice President, Technology, Manufacturing, and Enterprise Services, and Chief Administrative Officer

Sean M. Maloney, Executive Vice President and General Manager, Intel Architecture Group

David Perlmutter, Executive Vice President and General Manager, Intel Architecture Group

The Executive Compensation section presents compensation earned by the listed officers in 2009, 2008, and 2007.

Executive Summary

In 2009, Intel started the year in one of the deepest recessions in our history and emerged from it with better products and technology. Compared to the first quarter of 2008, revenue in the first quarter of 2009 was down 26%, with the second and third quarters down 15% and 8% compared to the second and third quarters of 2008, respectively. However, fourth quarter results reflected a strengthening demand across all regions and all products categories, driven primarily by the notebook market segment. Fourth quarter revenue of \$10.6 billion was up 13% compared to the third quarter, nearly twice the seasonal average, and up 28% compared to the fourth quarter of 2008. Fourth quarter net income of \$2.3 billion was up 875% compared to the fourth quarter of 2008. Intel's stock price at the end of fiscal year 2009 was up 43% compared to the end of 2008.

	2009 (\$ in millions, except per share amounts)	2008 (\$ in millions, except per share amounts)	Change (%)
Net Revenue	35,127	37,586	(7)
Net Income (GAAP) ⁽¹⁾	4,369	5,292	(17)

Edgar Filing: INTEL CORP - Form PRE 14A

Net Income (non-GAAP) ⁽²⁾	6,628	5,902	12
Stock Price per Share as of Fiscal Year-End	20.33	14.18	43

(1) Net income (GAAP) results are based on U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

(2) Net income (non-GAAP) results for 2009 exclude the European Commission fine of \$1.45 billion, and the settlement agreement payment with Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (AMD) of \$1.25 billion and the related tax impacts of this charge. Net income (non-GAAP) results for 2008 exclude a \$938 million impairment of our investments in Clearwire Corporation and the related tax impacts of this charge.

Table of Contents

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	(\$ in billions	(\$ in billions	(\$ in billions	(\$ in billions
Net Revenue	except % change)	except % change)	except % change)	except % change)
2009	7.1	8.0	9.4	10.6
2008	9.7	9.5	10.2	8.2
Change (%)	(26)	(15)	(8)	28
Net Income	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
(Loss)	(\$ in billions	(\$ in billions	(\$ in billions	(\$ in billions
(GAAP)	except % change)	except % change)	except % change)	except % change)
2009	0.6	(0.4)	1.9	2.3
2008	1.4	1.6	2.0	0.2
Change (%)	(56)	(125)	(8)	875