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## About Forward-looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We are including this statement for purposes of invoking these safe harbor provisions.

Forward-looking statements are based on management s expectations, estimates, projections, and assumptions. These statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict and are not guarantees of future performance. Words that convey our beliefs, views, expectations, assumptions, estimates, forecasts, outlook and projections or similar language, or that indicate events we believe could, would, should, may or will occur (or will not or might not occur) or are likely (or unlikely) to occur, and similar expressions, are intended to identify
forward-looking statements. These may include, among other things, statements and assumptions about:
transactions involved in our strategic restructuring, including capital raising and deleveraging activities; our plans and strategies, including the expected results or costs and impact of implementing or changing such plans and strategies;
our projected revenues, earnings or earnings per share, as well as management s short-term and long-term performance goals;
projected trends or potential changes in asset quality (particularly with regard to loans or other exposures including loan repurchase risk, in sectors in which we deal in real estate or residential mortgage lending), loan delinquencies, charge-offs, reserves, asset valuations, regulatory capital levels, or financial performance measures;
the expected effects on the Corporation s balance sheet, profitability, liquidity, and capital ratios of the strategic restructuring, our proposed shareholder rights offer, the possible private placement of equity, the possible exchange of trust preferred securities for common shares, asset sales undertaken to de-lever the balance sheet, and other elements of the completion of our capital plan;
potential litigation developments and the anticipated impact of potential outcomes of pending legal matters; predictions about conditions in the national or regional economies, housing markets, commercial real estate development, industries associated with housing, mortgage markets, franchise restaurant finance or mortgage industry;
the anticipated effects on results of operations or financial condition from recent developments or events; and any other projections or expressions that are not historical facts.
We qualify any forward-looking statements entirely by these and the following cautionary factors.
Actual future results may differ materially from our forward-looking statements and we qualify all forward-looking statements by various risks and uncertainties we face, as well as the assumptions underlying the statements, including, but not limited to, the following cautionary factors:
difficulties in completing our recapitalization plan, including the failure to sufficiently capitalize through our proposed rights offer, possible private placement of equity, or a possible exchange of term, mezzanine debt and/or trust preferred securities for common shares or by other means, the failure of a sufficient number of shareholders to participate in the rights offer or to exercise fully their rights, the failure to satisfy the conditions that require the standby purchasers to exercise fully their subscription privileges, the failure to receive assistance in substantially the form proposed to the U.S. Treasury and banking regulators, the failure to complete in a timely manner anticipated asset sales to de-lever the balance sheet and provide additional liquidity, or the failure to obtain any necessary regulatory approvals;
potential further deterioration or effects of general economic conditions, particularly in sectors relating to real estate and/or real estate lending and small business lending;
fluctuations in housing prices;
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potential effects related to the Corporation s prior decision to suspend the payment of dividends on its common, preferred and trust preferred securities;
potential changes in direction, volatility and relative movement (basis risk) of interest rates, which may affect consumer and commercial demand for our products and the management and success of our interest rate risk management strategies;
staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our work force and potential associated charges;
the relative profitability of our lending and deposit operations;
the valuation and management of our portfolios, including the use of external and internal modeling assumptions we embed in the valuation of those portfolios and short-term swings in the valuation of such portfolios;
borrowers refinancing opportunities, which may affect the prepayment assumptions used in our valuation estimates and which may affect loan demand;
unanticipated deterioration in the credit quality or collectability of our loan and lease assets, including deterioration resulting from the effects of natural disasters (including a pandemic);
difficulties in accurately estimating any future repurchases of residential mortgage, home equity, or other loans or leases due to alleged violations of representations and warranties we made when selling these loans and leases to the secondary market or in securitizations;
unanticipated deterioration or changes in estimates of the carrying value of our other assets, including securities, and reductions in value resulting from changes in ratings by rating agencies;
difficulties in delivering products to the secondary market as planned;
difficulties in expanding our businesses and obtaining or retaining deposit or other funding sources as needed, including the loss of public fund deposits or any actions that may be taken by the state of Indiana and its political subdivisions;
competition from other financial service providers, including companies that have recently purchased our assets, for our staff and customers;
changes in the value of our segments, subsidiaries, or companies in which we invest;
unanticipated lawsuits or outcomes in litigation;
legislative or regulatory changes, including changes in laws, rules or regulations that affect tax, consumer or commercial lending, corporate governance and disclosure requirements, and other laws, rules or regulations affecting the rights and responsibilities of our Corporation, or our state-chartered bank or federal savings bank subsidiary;
regulatory actions that impact our Corporation, bank or thrift, including the written agreement the Corporation and its state-chartered bank subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, entered into with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions on October 10, 2008, and the Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of an Order to Cease and Desist the Corporation s federal savings bank subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., entered into with the Office of Thrift Supervision on July 24, 2009; changes in the interpretation and application of regulatory capital or other rules; the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions; changes in applicable accounting policies or principles or their application to our business or final audit adjustments, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods;
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the final disposition of the remaining assets and obligations of segments we have exited or are exiting, including the mortgage banking segment, small ticket commercial leasing segment and home equity segment; or governmental changes in monetary, regulatory, or fiscal policies.
In addition, our past results of operations do not necessarily indicate our future results. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any of these statements in light of future events, except as required in subsequent reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).
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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

## IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

|  | June 30, 2009 (Dollar | December 31 2008 ousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 192,115 | \$ 233,698 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions | 5,187 | 26,023 |
| Residual interests | 8,656 | 9,180 |
| Investment securities- held-to-maturity (Fair value: \$16,964 June 30, 2009 |  |  |
| and \$17,300 at December 31, 2008) Note 2 | 16,553 | 17,258 |
| Investment securities- available-for-sale Note 2 | 12,172 | 31,895 |
| Investment securities- other Note 2 | 52,717 | 61,056 |
| Loans held for sale Note 3 | 279,015 | 841,333 |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income Note 4 | 2,723,261 | 3,512,048 |
| Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses Note 5 | $(148,180)$ | $(137,015)$ |
|  | 2,575,081 | 3,375,033 |
| Servicing assets Note 6 | 5,483 | 18,116 |
| Accounts receivable | 23,162 | 19,706 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 10,362 | 19,673 |
| Premises and equipment | 30,012 | 32,417 |
| Other assets | 156,150 | 228,927 |
| Total assets | \$3,366,665 | \$4,914,315 |

## Liabilities and Shareholders Equity:

Deposits
Noninterest-bearin
Interest-bearing
Certificates of deposit over $\$ 100,000$

| $\$ 342,308$ | $\$ 319,857$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1,822,551$ | $2,082,809$ |
| 550,832 | 615,269 |

Other borrowings Note 8
Collateralized debt Note 9
Other long-term debt
Other liabilities
Total liabilities
Commitments and contingencies Note 15

Shareholders equity
Preferred stock, no par value authorized 4,000,000 shares: Noncumulative perpetual preferred stock - 15,000 issued;
Common stock, no par value authorized 200,000,000 shares; issued 117,153

315,796 and 389,520 shares in treasury as of June 30, 2009 and
December 31, 2008, respectively
Additional paid-in capital
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of deferred income tax benefit of $\$ 4,251$ and $\$ 5,258$ as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008
Retained earnings

Less treasury stock, at cost
Total shareholders equity Note 16
$(42,491)$ 110,662

Total liabilities and shareholders equity \$3,366,665 \$4,914,315

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.
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## IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)



|  |  | 48,118 |  | 43,997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loss before income taxes |  | $(58,237)$ |  | $(133,431)$ |
| Provision for income taxes |  | $(1,079)$ |  | $(26,699)$ |
| Net loss | \$ | $(57,158)$ | \$ | $(106,732)$ |
| Earnings per share: Note 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | (1.92) | \$ | (3.64) |
| Diluted | \$ | (1.92) | \$ | (3.64) |
| Dividends per share | \$ |  | \$ |  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.
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## IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)



| Loss before income taxes |  | $(147,223)$ |  | $(169,927)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provision for income taxes |  | 3,768 |  | $(41,029)$ |
| Net loss | \$ | $(150,991)$ | \$ | $(128,898)$ |
| Earnings per share: Note 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | (5.08) | \$ | (4.40) |
| Diluted | \$ | (5.08) | \$ | (4.40) |
| Dividends per share | \$ |  | \$ |  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.
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IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited)
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009, and 2008

## Accumulated Other

Comprehensive Income

|  |  |  | Defined | Additional | Perpetual |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unrealized |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Retained | Foreign | Gain/Loss | Benefit | Paid in | Common | Treasury Preferred |
|  | Earnings | CurrencySecuritieBerivatives Plans | Capital | Stock | Stock | Stock |  | (Dollars in thousands)

Balance at
January $1,2009 \$ 110,662 \$(5,079) \$ 89 \quad \$(1,712) \$(191) \$(6,174) \$ \$ 116,893 \quad \$(7,605) \$ 14,441$ Net loss $\quad(150,991) \quad(150,991)$
Unrealized loss
on investment
securities $\quad(2,878)$
$(2,878)$
Unrealized loss on derivatives

11
11
Foreign
currency
adjustment 137

Other
comprehensive
loss
$(2,730)$

Total
comprehensive
loss
$(153,721)$
Stock
compensation
expense 502
502
Stock:
Purchase of
13,449 shares
Sales of 87,173
shares $147 \quad(1,166) \quad$ (502) $260 \quad 1,555$

Balance at
June $30,2009 \$(42,491) \$(157,236) \$ 226 \$(4,590) \$(180) \$(6,174) \$ \$ 117,153 \quad \$(6,131) \$ 14,441$

Balance at
January $1,2008 \$ 459,300 \$ 337,524 \$ 9,158 \$(1,445) \$(1,576) \$(5,105) \$ 2,557 \quad \$ 116,542 \quad \$(12,796) \$ 14,441$
Net loss $\quad(128,898) \quad(128,898)$
Unrealized loss (322)
(322)
on investment
securities net of
\$214 tax
benefit
Unrealized loss
on derivatives
net of $\$ 573$ tax
benefit (860)
(860)

Foreign
currency
adjustment $\quad(1,232) \quad(1,232)$
Other
comprehensive
loss
Total
comprehensive
loss
$(131,312)$
Stock
compensation
expense 1,356 1,356

Stock:
Purchase of
3,747 shares
Sales of
110,159 shares 597
(50)
$(1,549) \quad 40 \quad 2,106$

Balance at
June 30, 2008 \$ 329,891 \$ 208,626 \$ 7,926 \$ (1,767) \$ (2,436) \$ (5,105) \$ 2,364 \$ 116,582 \$ (10,740) \$ 14,441
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.
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## IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

|  | For the Six Months ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Net loss | \$ | $(150,991)$ | \$ | $(128,898)$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation, amortization, and accretion, net |  | 8,518 |  | 5,291 |
| Other than temporary impairment |  | 2,333 |  | 19,995 |
| Amortization and impairment of servicing assets |  | 4,614 |  | 2,280 |
| Valuation allowance on deferred taxes |  | 60,133 |  | 24,900 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses |  | 109,433 |  | 202,350 |
| Loss on sale of mortgage servicing asset |  | 7,262 |  |  |
| Loss (gain) from sales of loans held for sale |  | 10,221 |  | $(8,003)$ |
| Originations and purchases of loans held for sale |  | $(129,113)$ |  | $(116,801)$ |
| Proceeds from sales and repayments of loans held for sale |  | 328,149 |  | 194,630 |
| Net decrease in residuals |  | 312 |  | 3,041 |
| Net (increase) decrease in accounts receivable |  | $(3,456)$ |  | 5,862 |
| Other, net |  | $(4,027)$ |  | $(86,343)$ |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 243,388 |  | 118,304 |
| Investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities/calls of investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Held-to-maturity |  | 3,634 |  | 2,140 |
| Available-for-sale |  | 24,290 |  | 2,146 |
| Purchase of investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Held-to-maturity |  | $(3,134)$ |  | $(2,004)$ |
| Available-for-sale |  | (99) |  | (230) |
| Net decrease in interest-bearing deposits |  | 20,836 |  | 1,797 |
| Net decrease in loans, excluding sales |  | 250,973 |  | 46,661 |
| Other, net |  | (248) |  | (272) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities |  | 296,252 |  | 50,238 |
| Financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposits |  | $(302,244)$ |  | 179,299 |
| Net decrease in other borrowings |  | $(245,309)$ |  | $(169,014)$ |
| Proceeds from issuance of collateralized debt |  |  |  | 96,415 |
| Repayments of collateralized debt |  | $(33,759)$ |  | $(198,154)$ |
| Repayments of long term debt |  |  |  | (5) |
| Purchase of treasury stock for employee benefit plans |  | (81) |  | (50) |
| Proceeds from sale of stock for employee benefit plans |  | 147 |  | 597 |
| Net cash used by financing activities |  | $(581,246)$ |  | $(90,912)$ |


| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash |  | 23 |  | (471) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(41,583)$ |  | 77,159 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 233,698 |  | 78,212 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 192,115 | \$ | 155,371 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: Cash flow during the period: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest paid | \$ | 85,149 | \$ | 100,446 |
| Income taxes (received) paid | \$ | $(75,747)$ | \$ | 3,545 |
| Noncash transactions: |  |  |  |  |
| Derecognition of loans held for sale, other assets and collateralized debt | \$ | 793,365 | \$ |  |
| Other real estate owned | \$ | 27,013 | \$ | 6,445 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation: Irwin Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Corporation) provide financial services throughout the United States (U.S.). We are engaged in commercial banking and commercial finance, and have a liquidating home equity portfolio. We have exited the mortgage banking segment, our small-ticket equipment leasing portion of the commercial finance segment, and have ceased originating and servicing loans at our home equity segment.

Our direct and indirect subsidiaries include Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation, Irwin Home Equity Corporation and Irwin Mortgage Corporation. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Corporation does not meet the criteria as primary beneficiary for our wholly-owned trusts holding our company-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities established by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. As a result, these trusts are not consolidated.
Capital, Liquidity, and Going Concern Considerations: The Corporation has been significantly and negatively impacted by the events and conditions impacting the banking industry. We have been adversely affected by rising unemployment, declining real estate and financial asset prices, and rising losses on loans. These in turn have caused significant losses, reduced our capital materially, and had other follow-on consequences. These events could impact our on-going access to liquidity sources.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Corporation were prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Corporation $s$ abilities in this area are dependent on normal on-going operations, particularly its ability to continue to access its traditional funding sources, some of which are determined by our regulatory capital ratios and regulatory standing. Should management be unable to execute on its plans, including restoring and subsequently maintaining its capital ratios at amounts that would result in its (and its bank and thrift subsidiaries) ratios being sufficient to be declared well capitalized, there would be a doubt on our ability to remain a going concern.

During the first half of 2009, the Corporation lost $\$ 151$ million, due in part to factors noted above and in part to steps it took in its strategic restructuring. This loss and consequent reduction in retained earnings have put pressure on the Corporation s regulatory capital ratios, not withstanding significant deleveraging which occurred during the first half of the year. We are operating under regulatory agreements with our principal banking regulators including: written agreements the Corporation and Irwin Union Bank and Trust have entered into with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions on October 10, 2008; and a Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of an Order to Cease and Desist entered into by Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. and the Office of Thrift Supervision on July 24, 2009.

Cumulative losses over the last three years have reached the point where consolidated equity is negative. As a result, none of the Corporation s Subordinated Debentures, Trust Preferred Securities, or allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) count as capital in regulatory capital formulas. However, the Corporation s depository subsidiaries Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. have equity of $\$ 157$ million and $\$ 41$ million respectively as of June 30, 2009. Both are considered adequately capitalized under current regulatory capital standards. Completion of our recapitalization plan, which includes both raising additional equity and conversion of roughly half our Trust Preferred Securities into common stock, would restore the Corporation to positive equity and both consolidated and Irwin Union Bank and Trust capital ratios to well capitalized regulatory standards.

The current capital ratios and regulatory status of the Corporation and its bank and thrift subsidiaries could have an adverse impact on our liquidity. The Corporation manages liquidity at both the parent and subsidiary levels. The parent company has no external funding facilities which are immediately affected by these capital ratios. The parent company does not fund loan assets, but does require cash for working capital purposes. Neither Irwin Union Bank and Trust nor Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. is dependent on the parent company for liquidity. A significant source of funding for Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company is public funds, the majority of which are in the State of Indiana. Indiana public funds are insured by the Indiana Public Deposit Insurance Fund. Irwin Union Bank and Trust continues to be eligible to accept public funds in Indiana. Its ongoing eligibility depends upon continued progress on the Corporation s
plans to improve the bank s capital ratios through raising additional capital and maintenance of the Banks adequately capitalized status. As with any
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bank or thrift, our bank and thrift regulators may declare the bank undercapitalized at any time regardless of its current capital ratios. Such an occurrence would cause us to lose some sources of funding, including, but not limited to becoming ineligible to accept additional public funds in Indiana that are not insured by the FDIC. Existing non-FDIC-insured public funds in Indiana would continue to be insured to the extent provided by the Public Deposit Insurance Fund statute. In addition, we have traditionally used brokered-sourced deposits to supplement our funding. Due to our current capital ratios, we are ineligible to issue these deposits. An ongoing inability to source these funds could put additional pressure on our overall funding and ability to hold or increase our amount of loans or other assets.

In assessing the Corporation s current financial position and operating plans for the future, management has made significant judgments and estimates with respect to the potential future and liquidity effects of the Corporation s risks and uncertainties.

It is possible that the actual outcome of management s plans could be materially different or that one or more of management s significant judgments or estimates about the potential effects of these risks and uncertainties could prove to be materially incorrect. Notwithstanding this risk, the Corporation s consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis which contemplates the realization of certain balance sheet restructuring, continuity of deposit funding sufficient to support our assets in the normal course of business, and capital raising.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Basis of Presentation: The Corporation is on the accrual basis of accounting for income and expense. The results of operations reflect any interim adjustments, all of which are of a normal recurring nature, unless otherwise disclosed in this Form 10Q, and which, in the view of management, reflect all material adjustments necessary for a fair presentation. The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission for interim financial reporting and should be read in conjunction with the Corporation s Annual Report on form 10K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Recent Accounting Developments: During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the following accounting pronouncements applicable to the Corporation were issued or became effective:

FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 ( FSP 157-2 ) became effective for the Corporation for annual and interim reporting periods beginning January 1, 2009. FSP 157-2 amended FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ( SFAS 157 ), to delay the effective date of SFAS 157 for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). The Corporation s non-financial assets within the scope of SFAS 157, which include goodwill and private equity investments, are reported at fair value on a nonrecurring basis (generally as the result of an impairment assessment) during the period in which the fair value measurement is recorded. We currently have no non-financial liabilities required to be reported at fair value.

FSP No. 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets ( FSP 142-3 ) amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets ( SFAS 142 ). The intent of the FSP is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under FASB Statement No. 141, Business Combinations, when the underlying arrangement includes renewal or extension terms. FSP 142-3 permits an entity to use its own assumptions, based on its historical experience, about the renewal or extension of an arrangement to determine the useful life of an intangible asset. These assumptions are to be adjusted for the entity-specific factors detailed in SFAS 142. FSP 142-3 became effective for the Corporation on January 1, 2009. Adoption of FSP 142-3 did not have a significant impact on the Corporation s consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments ( FSP 107-1 ). FSP 107-1 amends FASB Statement No. 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim financial statements of publicly
traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. The FSP also amends APB opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting, to require those disclosures in summarized financial
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information at interim reporting periods. FSP 107-1 is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. In periods after initial adoption, the FSP requires comparative disclosures only for periods ending after initial adoption. The disclosure requirements associated with the adoption of FSP FAS 107-1 are included in this document.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 115-2 and FSP FAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments ( FSP 115-2 ). FSP 115-2 amends the other-than-temporary impairment guidance for debt securities. FSP 115-2 modifies the intent and ability indicator for recognizing other-than-temporary impairment, and changes the trigger used to assess the collectability of cash flows from probable that the investor will be unable to collect all amounts due to the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. FSP 115-2 changes the total amount recognized in earnings when there are credit losses associated with an impaired debt security and management asserts that it does not have the intent to sell the security and it is more likely than not that it will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis. In those situations, impairment shall be separated into (a) the amount representing a credit loss and (b) the amount related to non-credit factors. The amount of impairment related to credit losses shall be recognized in earnings. The credit loss component of an other-than-temporary impairment, representing an increase in credit risk, shall be determined by the reporting entity using its best estimate of the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security. The amount of impairment related to non-credit factors shall be recognized in other comprehensive income. The previous cost basis less impairment recognized in earnings becomes the new cost basis of the security and shall not be adjusted for subsequent recoveries in fair value. However, the cost basis shall be adjusted for accretion of the difference between the new cost basis and the present value of cash flows expected to be collected (portion of impairment in other comprehensive income). The total other-than-temporary impairment is presented in the consolidated statements of income with a reduction for the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment that is recognized in other comprehensive income, if any. FSP 115-2 requires that the cumulative effect of initial adoption be recorded as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income. The amortized cost basis of a security for which an other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized shall be adjusted by the amount of the cumulative effect adjustment before taxes. The difference between the new amortized cost basis and the cash flows expected to be collected shall be accreted as interest income. FSP 115-2 is effective for reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of FSP 115-2 did not have a significant impact on the Corporation s financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions that are not Orderly ( FSP 157-4 ). FSP 157-4 provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with SFAS 157 when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability have significantly decreased. FSP 157-4 identifies several factors that a reporting entity should evaluate to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability. If the reporting entity concludes there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability in relation to normal market activity, transactions or quoted prices may not be determinative of fair value (for example, there may be increased instances of transactions that are not orderly), further analysis of the transactions or quoted prices is needed, and a significant adjustment to the transactions or quoted prices may be necessary to estimate fair value in accordance with SFAS 157. FSP 157-4 reiterates that even in circumstances where there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability and regardless of the valuation technique(s) used, the objective of a fair value measurement remains the same. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. FSP 157-4 is effective for reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. Adoption of FSP 157-4 did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, The FASB issued Statement No. 165, Subsequent Events ( SFAS 165 ). SFAS 165 sets forth general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. SFAS 165 is effective for periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 165 did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements. The required
disclosures are included in Note 17 to these financial statements.
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## Note 2 Investment Securities

The following table shows the composition of our investment securities at June 30, 2009:


Other Securities
FHLB stock
\$ 46,324
Federal Reserve Bank stock6,393

Total Other Securities
The following table shows the composition of our investment securities at December 31, 2008:

|  | Gross | Gross |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amortized | Unrealized | Unrealized | Fair | Carrying |
| Cost | Gains | Losses | Value | Value |

Held-to-Maturity:
Debt Issued by U.S. Treasury and Other
Government Agencies
Debt of States and Political Subdivisions
of States

| $\$ 13,054$ | $\$$ | 266 | $\$$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 3,320 |  |  | $\$ 13,320$ |$\$ 13,054$

Residential mortgage-backed securities
Total Held-to-Maturity
\$ 17,258 \$ $266 \quad \$ \quad(224) \quad \$ 17,300 \quad \$ 17,258$

Available-for-Sale:
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Other Securities } & \$ & 3,863 & \$ & \$ & (122) & \$ 3,741 & \$ & 3,741\end{array}$

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 16,426 |  | 155 |  | $(27)$ | 16,554 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 14,458 | 1,639 | $(4,497)$ | 11,600 | 11,600 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Available-for-Sale | $\$ 34,747$ | $\$ 1,794$ | $\$$ | $(4,646)$ | $\$ 31,895$ | 31,895 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Securities |  |  |  |  | $\$ 46,324$ |  |
| FHLB stock |  |  |  | 14,732 |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock |  |  |  | $\$ 61,056$ |  |  |
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The following table discloses the maturity of debt securities at June 30, 2009:

|  | Due after <br> one year <br> through | Due after <br> five years <br> through |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Due <br> within <br> one <br> year | five | ten | Due after |
|  | years | years | ten years |

(Dollars in thousands)
Held-to-Maturity:
Debt Issued by U.S. Treasury and Other Government Agencies
Debt of States and Political Subdivisions of States
Residential mortgage-backed securities

| $\$ 5,358$ | $\$$ | 7,338 | $\$$ |  | $\$$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 530 |  | 90 |  | 2,580 |
| 145 |  |  |  | 21 |  | 491 |


| Total Book Value of Held-to-Maturity Securities | $\$ 5,503$ | $\$$ | 7,868 | $\$$ | 111 | $\$$ | 3,071 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Fair Value of Held-to-Maturity Securities | $\$ 5,581$ | $\$$ | 8,191 | $\$$ | 112 | $\$$ | 3,080 |

Available-for-Sale:
Debt of States and Political
Subdivisions of States
Residential mortgage-backed securities

| \$ | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 7 |  | 737 |  |

Collateralized debt obligations
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Total Fair Value of Available-for-Sale Securities } & \$ & \$ & \$ & 7 & 8,236\end{array}$
During the second quarter of 2009 , we sold $\$ 14$ million of available-for-sale debt securities recording a realized gain $\$ 0.3$ million using a specific identification cost basis methodology. The following table presents the fair value and unrealized losses for certain available-for-sale securities by aging category:

Securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2009

|  | less than 12 months |  | 12 months or more |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair value | Gross unrealized losses | Fair value (D | Gross unrealized losses in thousands) | Fair value | Gross unrealized losses |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Collateralized debt obligations |  |  | 6,767 | $(4,578)$ | 6,767 | $(4,578)$ |
| Total securities with unrealized losses | \$ | \$ | \$6,767 | \$(4,578) | \$6,767 | \$(4,578) |

Securities with unrealized losses at December 31, 2008
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Impairment is evaluated considering numerous factors, and their relative significance varies case to case. Factors considered include the length of time and extent to which the market value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; and the intent and ability to retain the security in order to allow for an anticipated recovery in market value. If, based on the analysis, it is determined that the impairment is other-than-temporary, the security is written down to fair value, and a loss is recognized through earnings. Based on the factors listed above, we do not believe these securities with losses greater than one year are other than temporarily impaired at June 30, 2009.

At June 30, 2009, we held four mortgage-backed securities acquired over the course of 2006 and 2007 at their par values. All of the securities were rated AA or AA+ by S\&P at origination. These securities had an original par value of $\$ 26$ million and now have an estimated fair value of $\$ 0.7$ million at June 30, 2009. The decline in fair value related to these securities is deemed to be other-than-temporary and related principally to credit factors. Accordingly, we have recognized other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges of $\$ 25$ million since the beginning of 2008. We recorded $\$ 20$ million of impairment during the first half of 2008, $\$ 3$ million in the second half of 2008, and we recorded $\$ 2$ million in the first half of 2009. These OTTI adjustments reflect our estimate of fair value for these securities at June 30, 2009. The estimates of fair value were based on estimates of future cash flows and based on assumptions related to discount rates that management believes market participants would use to value similar assets based on input from dealers in these and similar securities.

## Note 3 Loans and Leases Held for Sale

We had loans and leases held for sale of $\$ 279$ million at June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 841$ million at December 31, 2008. During the second quarter of 2009 we derecognized $\$ 109$ million of loans that collateralized secured borrowings after selling the related servicing rights and removing all forms of continuing involvement with these loans. Year to date, we have derecognized a total of $\$ 762$ million of loans from our balance sheet in 2009 and the related debt (see Note 9). The majority of the remaining balance in loans held for sale relates to loans that we intend to sell from our commercial banking segment and our franchise finance segment in the third quarter of 2009 in order to maintain capital ratios while we seek to complete our recapitalization. See Note 16 for further discussion.
Note 4 Loans and Leases
Loans and leases are summarized as follows:

|  |  | December |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, | $\mathbf{3 1 ,}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | $\$ 1,467,175$ | $\$$ |
| Real estate-construction \& land development | 353,882 | 462,877 |
| Real estate-mortgage | 456,200 | 523,837 |
| Consumer | 17,800 | 24,022 |
| Commercial financing |  |  |
| Franchise financing | 587,413 | 922,429 |
| Domestic leasing | 8,444 | 11,305 |
| Unearned income | $(166,713)$ | $(297,600)$ |
| Franchise financing | $(940)$ | $(1,420)$ |
| Domestic leasing | $\$ 2,723,261$ | $\$$ |
| Total |  | $3,512,048$ |

At June 30, 2009, mortgage loans and leases held for investment with a carrying value of $\$ 0.2$ billion were pledged as collateral for bonds payable to investors (see Note 9 ). We pledged $\$ 0.8$ billion of loan and loans held for sale as collateral at the Federal Home Loan Bank at June 30, 2009 (see Note 8).

Commercial loans are extended primarily to businesses in the market areas of our commercial banking branches. To a lesser extent, we also provide consumer loans to the customers in those markets. Real estate loans, franchise loans and direct financing leases are extended throughout the United States.
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## Note 5 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses are summarized below:

|  | For the Three Months Ended <br> June 30, |  | For the Six Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, |  |  |  |  |

Nonperforming loans and leases are summarized below:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2009 \\ \text { (Dollar } \end{gathered}$ | tho | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ember } \\ & 31, \\ & 008 \\ & \text { nds) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more | \$ 446 | \$ | 3,031 |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases | 216,781 |  | 165,154 |
| Total nonperforming loans and leases | \$ 217,227 | \$ | 168,185 |

## Note 6 Servicing Assets

We account for servicing assets associated with second mortgage and high loan-to-value first mortgages that were originated and sold by our home equity segment at fair value. Changes to fair value are recorded through amortization and impairment of servicing assets. All other servicing assets, primarily related to first mortgage loans originated and sold by our commercial banking segment, are accounted for using the amortization method with impairment recognized. These mortgage servicing assets are recorded at lower of their amortized cost basis or fair value and a valuation allowance is recorded for any stratum that is impaired.

We estimate the fair value of the servicing assets using a cash flow model to project future expected cash flows based upon a set of valuation assumptions we believe market participants would use for similar assets. We review these assumptions on a regular basis to ensure that they remain consistent with current market conditions. Additionally, we periodically receive third party estimates of the portfolio value from independent valuation firms. Inaccurate assumptions in valuing mortgage servicing rights could adversely affect our results of operations. For servicing rights accounted for under the amortization method, we also review these mortgage servicing assets for other-than-temporary impairment each quarter and recognize a direct write-down when the recoverability of a recorded valuation allowance is determined to be remote. Unlike a valuation allowance, a direct write-down permanently reduces the unamortized cost of the mortgage servicing rights asset and the valuation allowance, precluding subsequent reversals. Changes in our fair value servicing assets are shown below:

For the Three Months<br>Ended<br>June 30,

For the Six Months Ended June 30,

| Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$2,310 | \$ 15,864 | \$14,804 | \$ 19,724 |
| Sale of Servicing Asset |  |  | $(9,194)$ |  |
| Changes in fair value: |  |  |  |  |
| Due to changes in valuation inputs or assumptions ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 3,390 | $(2,700)$ | $800$ |
|  | (100) | $(1,180)$ | (700) | $(2,450)$ |
| Balance at the end of the period | \$2,210 | \$ 18,074 | \$ 2,210 | \$ 18,074 |
| (1) Principally reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment spread assumptions, primarily due to changes in interest rates. |  |  |  |  |
| (2) Represents changes due to realization of expected cash flows. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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Changes in our amortizing servicing assets are shown below:

|  | For the Three Months Ended June 30, |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$3,097 | \$3,479 | \$ 3,312 | \$3,510 |
| Additions | 543 | 291 | 1,243 | 619 |
| Sales |  |  | (68) |  |
| Amortization | (411) | (307) | $(1,401)$ | (646) |
| Recovery | 44 | 36 | 187 | 16 |
| Balance at the end of the period | \$3,273 | \$3,499 | \$ 3,273 | \$3,499 |

## Note 7 Income Taxes

A reconciliation of income tax benefit to the amount computed by applying the statutory income tax rate of $35 \%$ to income before income taxes is summarized as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | (Dollars in thousands) |  | 2008 |
| Income taxes computed at the statutory rate | \$ 20,383$)$ | \$ 46,701 ) | \$ $(51,528)$ | \$(59,475) |
| Increase (decrease) resulting from: |  |  |  |  |
| Nontaxable interest from investment securities and loans | (18) | (28) | (37) | (58) |
| Nontaxable income from bank owned life insurance | 45 | (158) | 83 | (350) |
| State tax, net of federal benefit | $(1,931)$ | $(4,536)$ | $(4,880)$ | $(5,904)$ |
| Foreign operations | (1) | (29) | 6 | 44 |
| Reserve adjustment ${ }^{(1)}$ | 137 | 190 | 271 | 346 |
| Federal tax credits | (326) | (448) | (652) | (721) |
| Other items net | 135 | 111 | 372 | 189 |
| Valuation allowance | 21,263 | 24,900 | 60,133 | 24,900 |
|  | \$ (1,079) | \$ $(26,699)$ | \$ 3,768 | \$ 41,029 ) |
| (1) Tax reserves are |  |  |  |  |
| adjusted as we |  |  |  |  |
| align our tax |  |  |  |  |
| liability to a |  |  |  |  |
| level |  |  |  |  |
| commensurate |  |  |  |  |
| with our current |  |  |  |  |
| identified tax |  |  |  |  |
| exposures. |  |  |  |  |

SFAS 109 requires both positive and negative evidence be considered in determining the need for a valuation allowance. Our recent losses increased our deferred tax asset balance. Although net operating losses can be carried forward 20 years under the Internal Revenue Code, events may occur in the future that could cause the ability to realize these deferred tax assets to be in doubt, requiring the need for a valuation allowance under GAAP. We provided a valuation allowance for all of our deferred tax assets that could not be realized through carrybacks and reversals of existing temporary differences. Our deferred tax assets are recorded based on management sudgment about whether realization of these assets is more likely than not. Despite being in a cumulative loss position in light of recent operations, we believe that as of June 30, 2009 approximately $\$ 23$ million of our deferred tax asset was realizable due to the ability to apply net operating loss carrybacks to recover taxes paid in prior years and due to the reversal of existing temporary differences.
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## Note 8 Other Borrowings

Other borrowings are summarized as follows:

|  |  | December |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, | 31, |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings | $\$ 266,703$ | $\$$ |
| Federal funds |  | 487,012 |
| Total | $\$ 266,703$ | $\$$ |

Weighted average interest rate 4.46\%
4.48\%

Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings (FHLB) are collateralized by $\$ 0.5$ billion of loans and loans held for sale at June 30, 2009.

In addition to borrowings from the FHLB, we also maintain collateral at the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) that enables us to borrow funds from the FRB as a contingency funding source. As of June 30, 2009, we had pledged collateral that would support up to $\$ 46$ million of borrowings from the FRB.

We have $\$ 30$ million of term, subordinated debt and $\$ 197.5$ million of term debt associated with trust preferred securities. Interest payments on the subordinated debt is due semi-annually and was last made in March 2009. Interest on the debt associated with the trust preferred securities is generally due quarterly, was last paid in the first quarter of 2008, and is now in deferral. Due to our current financial condition, our ability to make future payments on either type of debt is not assured.
Note 9 Collateralized Debt
We pledge loans in transactions structured as secured financings at our home equity lending segment. Sale treatment is precluded on these transactions because we fail the true-sale requirements of SFAS 140 as we maintain effective control (as defined in SFAS 140) over the loans. This type of structure results in cash being received, debt being recorded, and the loans being retained on the balance sheet. The notes associated with these transactions are collateralized by $\$ 0.2$ billion in home equity loans and home equity lines of credit as of June 30, 2009. The principal and interest on these debt securities are paid using the cash flows from the underlying loans and lines of credit.
Accordingly, the timing of the principal payments on these debt securities is dependent on the payments received on the underlying collateral. The interest rates on the bonds are both fixed and floating.

Collateralized debt is summarized as follows:

## Weighted <br> Average Interest Rate <br> at

|  |  |  | December |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contractual | June 30, | June 30, | 31, |
| Maturity | 2009 | 2009 | 2008 |

(Dollars in thousands)
Home equity line of business
2004-1 variable rate asset backed notes(2)
\$
\$ 52,963
2005-1 variable and fixed rate asset backed notes(2) 119,583
2006-1 variable and fixed rate asset backed notes(2)

| 2006-2 variable and fixed rate asset backed notes(2) |  |  |  | 156,902 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006-3 variable and fixed rate asset backed |  |  |  |  |
| notes(2) |  |  |  | 141,839 |
| 2007-1 variable and fixed rate asset backed |  |  |  |  |
| notes(2) |  |  |  | 215,435 |
| 2008-1 variable and fixed rate asset backed |  |  |  |  |
| notes(1) | 9/2048 | 6.5 | 4,880 | 6,745 |
| 2008-2 fixed rate asset backed notes(1) | 9/2048 | 19.0 | 58,720 | 72,749 |
| 2008-3 fixed rate asset backed notes(1) | 9/2048 | 19.4 | 5,340 | 7,459 |
| Total |  |  | \$68,940 | \$912,792 |

(1) Shown at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 159.
(2) Removed from
balance sheet in 2009 in connection with the related loan derecognition see Note 3.
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## Note 10 Derivatives

SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended (SFAS 133(R), requires companies to recognize all of their derivatives instruments as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet at fair value. Fair values for derivatives are determined based upon dealer quotes. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and further, on the type of hedging relationship. For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, a company must designate the hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge, cash flow hedge or a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation.

Financial derivatives are used as part of the overall asset/liability risk management process. We manage interest rate risk exposure with interest rate swaps in which we pay a fixed rate of interest and receive a floating rate and interest rate caps. The purpose of the swaps is to manage interest rate risk exposure created by long-term fixed interest rates, or as in our home equity securitizations, in which floating rate notes are funding fixed rate home equity loans. These contracts were closed at March 31, 2009. We also have interest rate swaps to hedge floating rate deposits where we pay a fixed rate of interest and receive a floating rate of interest based on the Federal Funds rate. These contracts were closed on June 3, 2009. We do not net our derivative position against the hedge collateral held with the counterparties.

Historically, we have used certain derivative instruments that qualify and certain derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. Derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting are designated as fair value, cash flow or foreign currency hedges and applicable hedge criteria are met. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective (as defined by SFAS 133) and qualifies as a fair value hedge, along with changes in the fair value of the underlying hedged item, are recorded in current period earnings. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective (as defined by SFAS 133) and qualifies as a cash flow hedge or foreign currency hedge, to the extent that the hedge is effective, are recorded in other comprehensive income until earnings are recognized from the underlying hedged item. Net gains or losses resulting from hedge ineffectiveness are recorded in current period earnings. At June 30, 2009, we no longer carried any derivatives that qualified for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133, although there is interest income amortizing from AOCI for a derivative previously designated as a hedge.

The derivatives that do not qualify for hedge treatment are classified as other assets and other liabilities and marked to market through the income statement in derivative gains (losses). While we do not seek hedge accounting treatment for the assets and liabilities that these instruments are hedging, the economic purpose of these instruments is to manage the risk inherent in existing exposures to interest rate risk.

The fair values of our derivative instruments are listed below:


|  |  |  | Amo or | of Gain oss) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount of Gain or (Loss) | Location of Gain or (Loss) | Recla Acc | ed from ulated |
|  | Recognized in OCI on |  | OCI | Income |
|  | Derivative <br> (Effective | Reclassified from Accumulated |  | ive |
| Derivatives In Statement 133 Cash Flow | Portion) | OCI Into Income (Effective |  | on) |
| Hedging Relationships | 20092008 | Portion) | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (In |  |  |  |
|  | Thousands) |  | (In T | sands) |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ 161 | Interest Expense | \$ 10 | (1,021) |


| Instruments Not Designated as Hedging | Recognized in Income on | Recognized in Income on Derivatives |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| under Statement 133 | Derivatives |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 \\ \text { isands) } \end{array}$ |
| Interest rate contracts | Derivative gain (loss) | \$ | 282 | \$ 2,980 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Derivative gain (loss) |  |  | 1,721 |
| Interest rate contracts | Gain on sale of loans |  | (125) | (8) |
| Total |  | \$ | 157 | \$ 4,693 |
| Ineffectiveness related to the interest rate contracts was | ial in 2009 and 2008. | Ineffectiveness related to the interest rate contracts was immaterial in 2009 and 2008. |  |  |
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## Note 11 Employee Retirement Plans

Below are components of net periodic cost of the Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) benefits:

## Employee Pension Plan:

|  | Three Months Ended June30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008(Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  | 2008 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 87 | \$ | 1,167 | \$ | 173 | \$ | 2,335 |
| Interest cost |  | 591 |  | 683 |  | 1,215 |  | 1,365 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | (437) |  | (657) |  | (894) |  | $(1,314)$ |
| Amortization of prior service cost |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 19 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss |  | (64) |  | 71 |  | 69 |  | 142 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 177 | \$ | 1,273 | \$ | 563 | \$ | 2,547 |

## Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 2008 & 2009 \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 2008 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ |  | \$ | 22 | \$ |  | \$ | 43 |
| Interest cost |  | 83 |  | 81 |  | 166 |  | 162 |
| Amortization of transition obligation |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 6 |
| Amortization of prior service cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 83 | \$ | 106 | \$ | 166 | \$ | 212 |

As of June 30, 2009, we have made $\$ 0.8$ million in contributions to our pension plan in the current year. We plan to contribute an additional $\$ 0.5$ million to this plan in 2009 to maintain its funding status. As of January 31, 2009, we implemented a freeze on our defined benefit plan. Current employees will receive the benefits they have already accrued, but will not receive benefit for additional time with the company. New employees will be excluded from entering into the plan.

## Note 12 Fair Value

SFAS 157 specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our market assumptions. In accordance with SFAS 157, these two types of inputs have created the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.
Level 3 Model derived valuations in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.
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This hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available. The following table presents the hierarchy level for each of our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2009.

## Level <br> 1 Level 2 Level 3 Total <br> (Dollars in thousands)

June 30, 2009
Assets

| Residual interests |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Investment securities |  |  | $\$$ | $\$ 8,656$ | $\$ 8,656$ |
| Servicing assets |  | 4,673 | 7,499 | 12,172 |  |
|  |  |  | 2,210 | 2,210 |  |
| Total assets | $\$$ | $\$ 4,673$ | $\$ 18,365$ | $\$ 23,038$ |  |

## Liabilities

| Collateralized debt | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$ 68,940$ | $\$ 68,940$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Derivatives |  | 1,260 |  | 1,260 |
| Total liabilities | $\$$ | $\$ 1,260$ | $\$ 68,940$ | $\$ 70,200$ |

We classify financial instruments in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy when there is reliance on at least one significant unobservable input to the valuation model. In addition to these unobservable inputs, the valuation models for Level 3 financial instruments typically also rely on a number of inputs that are readily observable either directly or indirectly. Thus, the gains and losses presented below include changes in the fair value related to both observable and unobservable inputs.

The following table presents the changes in the Level 3 fair value category for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

(1) Unrealized
gains (losses) on
residual
interests are
recorded in
Trading gains
(losses) on the statement of income
(2) Unrealized gains (losses) on servicing assets are recorded in Amortization and impairment of servicing assets on the statement of income
(3) Represents the amount of total gains or losses for the period, included in earnings, attributable to the change in unrealized gains
(losses) relating to assets
classified as
Level 3 that are
still held at
June 30, 2009
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The following table presents the hierarchy level for each of our assets that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2009.

| Level 1 | Level 2 <br> (Dollars inLevel 3 | Thousands) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

June 30, 2009
Assets

| Loans held for investment ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$ 157,048$ | $\$ 157,048$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Loans and leases held for sale | 247,000 |  | 32,015 | 279,015 |
| Mortgage servicing assets |  | 3,273 | 3,273 |  |
| Other real estate owned ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 37,844 | 37,844 |  |
|  | $\$ 247,000$ | $\$$ | $\$ 230,180$ | $\$ 477,180$ |

(1) Represents the carrying amount
of impaired
loans (i.e.,
unpaid principal
balance less
specific loan
loss reserves)
with impairment
calculated based
on appraised
collateral values
(2) Other real estate owned is compromised of real estate acquired in partial or full satisfaction of loans and is included in other assets.
Fair value is generally based on third party appraisals.

## Determination of Fair Value

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents approximate fair values.

Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions, Deposit liabilities, Other borrowings, and Long-term and collateralized debt: The fair values were estimated by discounting cash flows, using interest rates currently being offered for like assets and like liabilities with similar terms.

Loans and leases and loans held for sale: We determined fair value of our loans and leases using a discounted cash flow model using interest rates currently being offered for like assets with similar terms to borrowers with similar credit quality and similar remaining maturities. For loans held for sale, we considered both current prices and recent prices for similar loan sale transactions.

Residual interests: When we sell certain loans, we retain an interest in the sold loans and record this retained interest as a residual on our balance sheet. These transactions include loan sales to the Federal Home Loan Bank and loan participations through our franchise channel. Residual interests are stated at fair value. Key assumptions used in valuing these assets at origination and in subsequent periods include default rates, prepayment speeds and interest rates. We recognize interest income on these residuals using the effective interest method in accordance with EITF 99-20. Adjustments to carrying values are recorded as trading gains or losses.

Servicing assets: We use a combination of observed pricing on similar, market-traded servicing rights and internal valuation models that calculate the present value of future cash flows to determine the fair value of the servicing assets. These models are supplemented and calibrated to market prices using inputs from independent servicing brokers, industry surveys and valuation experts. In using this valuation method, we incorporate assumptions that we believe market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, which include, among other items, estimates of the cost of servicing per loan, the discount rate, float value, an inflation rate, ancillary income per loan, prepayment speeds, and default rates.

Investment securities: Fair values for securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. The FHLB and FRB stock included in Investment Securities-Other is carried at cost. For certain mortgage backed securities where market prices are not available we use a discounted cash flow model that includes assumptions related to discount rates, home price appreciation and foreclosure rates that management believes market participants would use to value similar instruments.

Derivative instruments: The fair value of our interest rate swap and interest rate cap agreements are based on the net present value of expected future cash flows and are heavily dependent on interest rate assumptions over the remaining term of the agreements.

Collateralized Debt: Collateralized debt is collateralized by underlying second lien mortgage loans. Due to the nature of the underlying collateral and current market conditions, observable prices for these or similar instruments are typically not available in
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active markets. As a result, we valued this debt using valuation models (discounted cash flows) that utilize significant internal inputs. These inputs include such market observable inputs as prepayment speeds, credit losses, and discount rates that management believes market participants would use to value similar assets. We have elected SFAS 159, Fair Value treatment on our collateralized debt.

Other Long-term Debt: Other long-term debt includes Trust Preferred Securities and Subordinated Debentures outstanding. The fair values of these securities are determined using quoted price estimates with an add-back for the estimated price discount that is attributable to the lack of liquidity in the markets for securities of this type.

Off-balance sheet loan commitments and standby letters of credit had an immaterial estimated fair value at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, our loan commitments had a contractual amount of $\$ 0.4$ billion and $\$ 0.6$ billion, respectively. Our standby letters of credit had a contractual amount of $\$ 36$ million and $\$ 31$ million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

The estimated fair values of our financial instruments were as follows:

## Financial assets:

Cash and cash equivalents
Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions
Residual Interests
Investment securities
Loans and leases held for sale
Loans and leases, net of allowance
Servicing asset

## Financial liabilities:

| Deposits | $2,715,691$ | $2,639,065$ | $3,017,935$ | $2,973,910$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other borrowings | 266,703 | 281,952 | 512,012 | 543,794 |
| Collateralized debt | 68,940 | 68,940 | 912,792 | 912,792 |
| Other long-term debt | 233,868 | 13,193 | 233,868 | 93,378 |
| Derivatives | 1,260 | 1,260 | 8,496 | 8,496 |

The fair value estimates consider relevant market information when available. Because no market exists for a significant portion of our financial instruments, fair value estimates are determined based on present value of estimated cash flows and consider various factors, including current economic conditions and risk characteristics of certain financial instruments. Changes in factors, or the weight assumed for the various factors, could significantly affect the estimated values.

The fair value estimates are presented for existing on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of our long-term relationships with depositors and the benefit that results from the low cost funding provided by deposit liabilities. In addition, significant assets that were not considered financial instruments and were therefore not a part of the fair value estimates include accounts receivable and premises and equipment.
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## Note 13 Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share calculations are summarized as follows:
Three Months ended June 30, 2009

| Net | Preferred | Basic <br> Earnings | Effect of <br> Stock | Diluted <br> Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loss | Dividends <br> (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | Per Share |  |  |


| Net loss allocable to common <br> shareholders: | $\$(57,158)$ | $\$$ | $\$(57,158)$ | $\$$ | $\$(57,158)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shares |  | 29,697 |  | 29,697 |  |
| Per-share amount |  |  | $(1.92)$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |



Six Months ended June 30, 2009
Basic
Diluted
Net Preferred Earnings Effect of Earnings
Loss Dividends Per Share Options Per Share
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

| Net loss allocable to common <br> shareholders: | $\$(150,991)$ | $\$$ | $\$(150,991)$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shares |  | 29,723 |  | $\$(150,991)$ |
| Per-share amount | $\$$ | $(5.08)$ | $\$$ | $\$(5.08)$ |

Six Months ended June 30, 2008
Basic
Diluted
Net Preferred Earnings Effect of Earnings

Loss \begin{tabular}{ccc}

Dividends \& Per Share \& | Stock |
| :---: |
| Options |
| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) |

\end{tabular} Per Share

Net loss allocable to common shareholders: $\$(128,898) \quad \$ \quad \$(128,898) \quad \$ \quad \$(128,898)$

Shares

Per-share amount

29,281
\$ (4.40) \$ \$

29,281
\$ (4.40)

At June 30, 2009 and 2008, there were 2.2 million and 2.9 million shares, respectively, related to stock options that were not included in the dilutive earnings per share calculation because of our net loss position and they had exercise prices above the stock price as of the respective dates.

## Note 14 Industry Segment Information

We have three principal business segments, two of which (commercial banking and commercial finance) provide a broad range of banking products and services, including commercial banking, franchise finance, and consumer mortgage products and services. Our third segment is a portfolio of liquidating home equity loans.

Our other segment primarily includes the parent company, unsold portions of businesses in which we no longer engage, and eliminations.
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The accounting policies of each segment are the same as those described in Note 1 Accounting Policies, Management Judgments and Accounting Estimates.

Following is a summary of each segment s revenues, net income, and assets for the years indicated:

|  | Commercial Banking |  | mmercial <br> Finance |  | Home <br> Equity (Dollars in thousands) | Other |  | nsolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ 22,559 | \$ | 12,990 | \$ | $(6,927)$ | \$ (14,355) | \$ | 14,267 |
| Intersegment interest | $(1,844)$ |  | $(7,883)$ |  | $(2,168)$ | 11,895 |  |  |
| Provision for loan and lease |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| losses | $(25,087)$ |  | $(5,881)$ |  | $(14,463)$ |  |  | $(45,431)$ |
| Other revenue | 2,378 |  | 2,057 |  | 16,526 | 84 |  | 21,045 |
| Intersegment revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total net revenues | $(1,994)$ |  | 1,283 |  | $(7,032)$ | $(2,376)$ |  | $(10,119)$ |
| Other expense | 25,319 |  | 1,542 |  | 14,322 | 6,935 |  | 48,118 |
| Intersegment expenses | 984 |  | 153 |  | 271 | $(1,408)$ |  |  |
| Income (loss) before taxes | $(28,297)$ |  | (412) |  | $(21,625)$ | $(7,903)$ |  | $(58,237)$ |
| Income taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,079)$ |
| Net income (loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(57,158)$ |
|  | Commercial Banking |  | mmercial <br> Finance |  | Home Equity (Dollars in thousands) | Other |  | solidated |
| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ 29,555 | \$ | 25,248 | \$ | 26,056 | \$ $(18,985)$ | \$ | 61,874 |
| Intersegment interest | $(2,633)$ |  | $(11,784)$ |  | $(4,445)$ | 18,862 |  |  |
| Provision for loan and lease |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| losses | $(24,481)$ |  | $(48,201)$ |  | $(85,147)$ |  |  | $(157,829)$ |
| Other revenue | 4,401 |  | 2,825 |  | 3,379 | $(4,084)$ |  | 6,521 |
| Intersegment revenues |  |  |  |  | 34 | (34) |  |  |
| Total net revenues | 6,842 |  | $(31,912)$ |  | $(60,123)$ | $(4,241)$ |  | $(89,434)$ |
| Other expense | 20,832 |  | 6,937 |  | 13,290 | 2,938 |  | 43,997 |
| Intersegment expenses | 1,113 |  | 460 |  | 620 | $(2,193)$ |  |  |
| Income (loss) before taxes | $(15,103)$ |  | $(39,309)$ |  | $(74,033)$ | $(4,986)$ |  | $(133,431)$ |
| Income taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(26,699)$ |
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|  | Commercial Banking |  | Commercial Finance |  | Home Equity (Dollars in thousands) |  | Other | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ | 46,942 | \$ | 26,120 | \$ | 1,351 | \$ $(30,040)$ | \$ | 44,373 |
| Intersegment interest |  | $(3,788)$ |  | $(15,732)$ |  | $(5,748)$ | 25,268 |  |  |
| Provision for loan and lease |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| losses |  | $(62,696)$ |  | $(11,411)$ |  | $(35,326)$ |  |  | $(109,433)$ |
| Other revenue |  | 6,876 |  | 2,735 |  | 1,940 | $(1,102)$ |  | 10,449 |
| Intersegment revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total net revenues |  | $(12,666)$ |  | 1,712 |  | $(37,783)$ | $(5,874)$ |  | $(54,611)$ |
| Other expense |  | 48,296 |  | 4,744 |  | 25,724 | 13,848 |  | 92,612 |
| Intersegment expenses |  | 1,985 |  | 331 |  | 579 | $(2,895)$ |  |  |
| Income (loss) before taxes |  | $(62,947)$ |  | $(3,363)$ |  | $(64,086)$ | $(16,827)$ |  | $(147,223)$ |
| Income taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,768 |
| Net income (loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(150,991)$ |
| Assets at June 30, 2009 |  | 2,371,765 | \$ | 662,533 | \$ | 232,759 | \$ 99,608 | \$ | 3,366,665 |
|  | Commercial Banking |  | Commercial Finance |  | Home Equity (Dollars in thousands) |  | Other |  | nsolidated |
| For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | 61,138 | \$ | 50,786 | \$ | 54,061 | \$ $(39,676)$ | \$ | 126,309 |
| Intersegment interest |  | $(6,394)$ |  | $(23,383)$ |  | $(9,069)$ | 38,846 |  |  |
| Provision for loan and lease losses |  | $(31,061)$ |  | $(52,857)$ |  | $(118,432)$ |  |  | $(202,350)$ |
| Other revenue |  | 9,068 |  | 9,114 |  | 419 | $(16,536)$ |  | 2,065 |
| Intersegment revenues |  |  |  |  |  | 76 | (76) |  |  |
| Total net revenues |  | 32,751 |  | $(16,340)$ |  | $(72,945)$ | $(17,442)$ |  | $(73,976)$ |
| Other expense |  | 44,427 |  | 14,619 |  | 26,709 | 10,196 |  | 95,951 |
| Intersegment expenses |  | 2,150 |  | 905 |  | 1,212 | $(4,267)$ |  |  |
| Income (loss) before taxes |  | $(13,826)$ |  | $(31,864)$ |  | $(100,866)$ | $(23,371)$ |  | $(169,927)$ |
| Income taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(41,029)$ |
| Net income (loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(128,898)$ |

Assets at June 30, $2008 \quad \$ 3,077,591 \quad \$ 1,272,671 \quad \$ \quad 1,280,497 \quad \$ 301,407 \quad \$ 5,932,166$

## Note 15 Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation in Connection with Loans Purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia
Our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, is a defendant in several actions in connection with loans Irwin Union Bank purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia (Community).

Hobson v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company was filed on July 30, 2004 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama against Irwin Union Bank and Community. In a proposed Amended Complaint, the Hobson plaintiffs seek certification of both a plaintiffs and a defendants class, the plaintiffs class to consist of all persons who obtained loans from Community and whose loans were purchased by Irwin Union Bank. Hobson alleges that defendants violated the Truth-in-Lending Act (TILA), the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act (HOEPA), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). Irwin has moved to dismiss the Hobson claims as untimely and substantively defective. That motion is pending.
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Kossler v. Community Bank of Northern Virginia was originally filed in July 2002 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. Irwin Union Bank and Trust was added as a defendant in December 2004. The Kossler complaint seeks certification of a plaintiffs class and seeks to void mortgage loans acquired by Irwin Union Bank from Community as illegal contracts. Plaintiffs also seek recovery against Irwin and Community for alleged RESPA violations and for conversion. On September 9, 2005, the Kossler plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Class Action Complaint. On October 21, 2005, Irwin filed a renewed motion seeking to dismiss the Kossler action.

The plaintiffs in Hobson and Kossler claim that Community was allegedly engaged in a lending arrangement involving the use of its charter by certain third parties who charged high fees that were not representative of the services rendered and not properly disclosed as to the amount or recipient of the fees. The loans in question are allegedly high cost/high interest loans under Section 32 of HOEPA. Plaintiffs also allege illegal kickbacks and fee splitting. In Hobson, the plaintiffs allege that Irwin Union Bank was aware of Community s alleged arrangement when Irwin Union Bank purchased the loans and that Irwin participated in a RICO enterprise and conspiracy related to the loans. Because Irwin Union Bank bought the loans from Community, the Hobson plaintiffs are alleging that Irwin has assignee liability under HOEPA.

If the Hobson and Kossler plaintiffs are successful in establishing a class and prevailing at trial, possible RESPA remedies could include treble damages for each service for which there was an unearned fee, kickback or overvalued service. Other possible damages in Hobson could include TILA remedies, such as rescission, actual damages, statutory damages not to exceed the lesser of $\$ 500,000$ or $1 \%$ of the net worth of the creditor, and attorneys fees and costs; possible HOEPA remedies could include the refunding of all closing costs, finance charges and fees paid by the borrower; RICO remedies could include treble plaintiffs actually proved damages. In addition, the Hobson plaintiffs are seeking unspecified punitive damages. Under TILA, HOEPA, RESPA and RICO, statutory remedies include recovery of attorneys fees and costs. Other possible damages in Kossler could include the refunding of all origination fees paid by the plaintiffs.

In response to a motion by Irwin, the Judicial Panel On Multidistrict Litigation consolidated Hobson with Kossler in the Western District of Pennsylvania for all pretrial proceedings. The Pennsylvania District Court had been handling another case seeking class action status, Kessler v. RFC, et al., also involving Community and with facts similar to those alleged in the Irwin consolidated cases. The Kessler case had been settled, but the settlement was appealed and set aside on procedural grounds. Subsequently, the parties in Kessler filed a motion for approval of a modified settlement, which would provide additional relief to the settlement class. Irwin is not a party to the Kessler action, but the resolution of issues in Kessler may have an impact on the Irwin cases. The Pennsylvania District Court had effectively stayed action on the Irwin cases until issues in the Kessler case were resolved. On January 25, 2008, the Pennsylvania District Court approved and certified for settlement purposes the modified Kessler settlement, finding the proposed modified Kessler settlement to be fair and reasonable, and directed the parties to supply a proposed notice plan.

Under the loan purchase agreements between Irwin and Community, Irwin has the right to demand repurchase of the mortgage loans and to seek indemnification from Community for the claims in these lawsuits. On September 17, 2004, Irwin made a demand for indemnification. Community denied this request as premature. On January 14, 2009, Irwin sued Community s successor, PNC Bank, National Association ( PNC ), for indemnification and Irwin s defense costs in an action for breach of contract, specific performance and declaratory relief in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. In April 2009, arbitration was initiated in which Irwin seeks a determination of its right to indemnification and defense from PNC.

The Hobson and Kossler lawsuits are still at a preliminary stage with motions to dismiss pending in each case. We have established a reserve for the Community litigation based upon SFAS 5 guidance and the advice of legal counsel. Litigation in Connection with Loans Purchased from Freedom Mortgage Corporation.

On January 22, 2008, our direct subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, and our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Home Equity Corporation, filed suit against Freedom Mortgage Corporation in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Irwin Union Bank, et al. v. Freedom Mortgage Corp., (the California Action ) for breach of contract and negligence arising out of Freedom s refusal to repurchase certain mortgage loans that Irwin

Union Bank and Irwin Home Equity had purchased from Freedom. The Irwin subsidiaries are seeking damages in excess of $\$ 8$ million from Freedom.

In response, in March 2008, Freedom moved to compel arbitration of the claims asserted in the California Action and filed suit against us and our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware,
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Freedom Mortgage Corporation v. Irwin Financial Corporation et al., (the Delaware Action ). Freedom alleges that the Irwin repurchase demands in the California Action represent various breaches of the Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of August 7, 2007, which was entered into by Irwin Financial Corporation, Irwin Mortgage Corporation and Freedom Mortgage Corporation in connection with the sale to Freedom of the majority of Irwin Mortgage s loan origination assets. In the Delaware action, Freedom seeks damages in excess of $\$ 8$ million and to compel Irwin to order its subsidiaries in the California Action to dismiss their claims.

In April 2008, the California district court stayed the California Action pending completion of arbitration. The arbitration remains pending. On March 23, 2009, the Delaware district court granted our motion to transfer the Delaware Action to the Northern District of California, and ordered that the Delaware case be closed. The California district judge previously stated on the record that she would not hear Freedom s claims in the Delaware Action until the arbitration is completed. We have not established any reserves for this litigation.

## Homer v. Sharp

This lawsuit was filed by a mother and children on or about May 6, 2008 in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland, against various defendants, including Irwin Mortgage Corporation and a former Irwin Mortgage employee, for injuries from exposure to lead-based paint. Irwin Mortgage and its former employee are the subject of three counts each of the 40 -count complaint, which alleges, among other things, negligence and violations of the Maryland Lead Poisoning Prevention Act, unfair and deceptive trade practices in violation of the Maryland Consumer Protection Act, loss of an infant s services, incursion of medical expenses, and emotional distress and mental anguish. Plaintiffs seek damages of $\$ 5$ million on each count. The counts against Irwin Mortgage and the former employee allege involvement with one of six properties named in the complaint. This case is in the early stages and we are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Mortgage could suffer. We have not established any reserves for this litigation.

## EverBank v. Irwin Mortgage Corporation and Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company Demand for Arbitration

On March 25, 2009, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, our indirect subsidiary, and Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, our direct subsidiary, received an arbitration demand (Demand ) from EverBank for administration by the American Arbitration Association, claiming damages for alleged breach of an Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Servicing (the Agreement ) under which Irwin Mortgage is alleged to have sold the servicing of certain mortgage loans to EverBank. The Demand also alleges that Irwin Union Bank and Trust is the guarantor of Irwin Mortgage s obligations under the Agreement, and that the Agreement was amended November 1, 2006 to include additional loans. According to the Demand, Irwin Mortgage and Irwin Union Bank and Trust allegedly breached certain warranties and covenants under the Agreement by failing to repurchase certain loans and failing to indemnify EverBank after EverBank had demanded repurchase. The Demand sets forth several claims based on legal theories of breach of warranty, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, promissory estoppel, specific performance and unjust enrichment, and requests damages, penalties, interest, attorneys fees, costs, and other appropriate relief to be granted by the arbitration panel. The Demand also states that, as a result of Irwin Mortgage s alleged failure to repurchase loans, EverBank has allegedly incurred and continues to incur damages that it claims could exceed $\$ 10,000,000$. The Company has established a reserve it deems appropriate for resolution of all open repurchase issues with EverBank. Irwin Mortgage and Irwin Union Bank and Trust intend to vigorously defend this matter and in April 2009 filed an answer and counter-claims to the Demand.

We and our subsidiaries are from time to time engaged in various matters of litigation, including the matters described above, other assertions of improper or fraudulent loan practices or lending violations, and other matters, and we have a number of unresolved claims pending. In addition, as part of the ordinary course of business, we and our subsidiaries are parties to litigation involving claims to the ownership of funds in particular accounts, the collection of delinquent accounts, challenges to security interests in collateral, and foreclosure interests, that is incidental to our regular business activities. While the ultimate liability with respect to these other litigation matters and claims cannot be determined at this time, we believe that damages, if any, and other amounts relating to pending matters are not likely to be material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations, except as described above. Reserves are established for these various matters of litigation, when appropriate under SFAS 5, based in part upon the advice of legal counsel.

## Note 16 Shareholders Equity

As of June 30, 2009, our Shareholders Equity declined to a negative amount. This could have implications for our intermediate-term and long-term operations and must be addressed to continue in the ordinary course of business. We believe the
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elements of our restructuring plan outlined in the Strategy and Restructuring section in Item 2 of our June 30, 2009 Form 10-Q should address the negative equity position and return total capital to levels appropriate for the Corporation. In the interim, the operations of our two principal operating subsidiaries, Irwin Union Bank and Trust and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., are not directly affected as their capitalization is positive, albeit below our long-term targets and below regulatory requirements to be well capitalized. The capitalization of these two operating subsidiaries is also discussed in detail in Item 2 of this Form 10-Q.

## Note 17 Subsequent Event

Subsequent events were evaluated through August 5, 2009, the date in which these financial statements were filed.
In July 2009, we entered into a Purchase and Assumption Agreement (the Purchase and Assumption Agreement ) under which we agreed to sell three of our commercial banking branches located in Carmel, Greensburg and Shelbyville, Indiana to First Financial Bank, National Association. The Purchase and Assumption Agreement provides for First Financial to assume the deposit liabilities at the three branches at par, and for us to sell loans in a minimum aggregate amount of $\$ 50$ million, also at par, to First Financial. The transactions described in the Purchase and Assumption Agreement are subject to the receipt of First Financial s required regulatory approvals, as well as other customary conditions, and we expect the transaction to close in the third quarter of 2009.

The Purchase and Assumption Agreement obligates us to pay a termination fee of $\$ 5$ million if the agreement is terminated for any reason, other than due to a breach by First Financial that is not or cannot be cured within 30 days of notification, or if the transaction is not consummated by October 31, 2009. Upon execution of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, we deposited $\$ 90$ million into a deposit account at First Financial (the Special Deposit ). The Purchase and Assumption Agreement provides that we use the Special Deposit to fund the expected payment we will make to First Financial at the closing of the transaction (deposits assumed exceed assets being acquired). The Purchase and Assumption Agreement also provides that we may withdraw the funds in the Special Deposit only upon (i) our payment to First Financial of the termination fee provided for under the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, or (ii) our payment to First Financial of any and all amounts due at the closing of the branch sales. Other withdrawals from the Special Deposit will require the prior approval of First Financial. Any funds remaining in the Special Deposit following either the termination of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement or the consummation of the transactions set forth therein shall be returned to us.

## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Strategy and Restructuring

Irwin Financial is in the midst of a strategic restructuring to position us to weather the current economic crisis and prosper and grow in the recovery when it comes. Going forward, our strategy is to focus on our roots as a small business lender and local community bank, building on our 138-year history. When the restructuring is complete, we will have two segments: commercial banking and franchise finance, down from four segments two years ago. Please see our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a complete discussion of our restructuring process, including our capital plan.

We have completed all but one of the steps in our restructuring process: raising additional capital. The Corporation has commitments for $\$ 34$ million of investment in common stock from Cummins, Inc, and a group of other investors including William I. Miller (our CEO) conditioned on our capital plan being acceptable to our regulators, and our receipt of a capital investment by the U.S. Government. These investment commitments have been extended through December 31, 2009. These investment commitments may be exercised either in the form of stand by commitments to a rights offering or direct investment in a private placement.

We have not yet commenced our planned rights offering or private placement for a variety of reasons, including adverse market conditions for almost all financial institutions and our inability to date to participate in the government s capital assistance programs. We intend to continue to pursue our capital raising efforts. However, at present, the market for new capital for banks such as ours is limited and uncertain. Accordingly, we cannot be certain of our ability to raise capital on terms that satisfy our goals with respect to our capital ratios. If we are able to raise additional capital, it would likely be on terms that are substantially dilutive to current shareholders.

We have submitted to the Department of the Treasury and the banking agencies a proposed modification to the current capital programs developed under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the EESA ). Our
proposal provides that depository
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institutions be eligible to receive capital from the Treasury if they are determined to be viable upon receipt of a combination of (i) such capital from the Treasury and (ii) a private sector investment that is at least equal to one-third of such capital. We believe this proposed modification would provide the following benefits: (i) significant savings to the FDIC, and ultimately taxpayers; (ii) encouraging private investment in the banking industry; (iii) increased lending throughout the country, particularly to small businesses and in areas outside of major urban centers; (iv) a reduction in bank failures, thereby increasing confidence in the banking system; (v) establishing an equitable approach for all banks regardless of size, thereby carrying out the anti-discrimination mandate of EESA and (vi) significantly contributing to the multi-front approach that federal agencies are taking to restore confidence and stability to our economy. Our proposal is under consideration by the Treasury. We have no certainty, however, whether the Treasury will adopt our proposed modification, what the timing of such a new program might be, or whether the program will be in the form we propose. Even if the modification is adopted, it is possible that we would not receive capital assistance.

Completion of these capital plans will help us manage through the costs of exiting the home equity business and provide a strong capital base from which to grow the company in the future.

## Strategic Positioning Once Restructuring is Complete

We seek to create competitive advantage within the banking industry by serving small businesses with lending, leasing, deposit, and advisory services, as well as consumers in the neighborhoods surrounding our bank branches. We intend to fund these activities primarily through deposits gathered through our bank branches, supplemented with reliable and cost effective collateralized sources of funding such as the Federal Home Loan Bank.

We provide a full line of banking services to small businesses and consumers in the communities and neighborhoods served by our bank branch locations. Through this approach, we provide the small businesses that are the backbone of economic growth in our communities with the advice, credit, and other banking products that meet their needs and help them to grow, which large national banks are often unable to do in a flexible manner. We also offer franchise loans and leases to the owners and operators of the leading quick service and casual dining restaurant concepts nationally.

While having much in common in terms of competitive positioning and credit culture, these two segments allow us to diversify our revenues, credit risk, and application of capital across borrower types and across geographic regions as a key part of our risk management.

We believe the restructuring of our company will allow us to simplify our management structure, reduce overhead, and improve our cost structure. We are in the process of identifying areas in which we can coordinate and consolidate non-customer facing operations throughout the Corporation.

We have historically competed successfully on the basis of service quality and relationship with our customers, not on the basis of price. We believe we have achieved this competitive position primarily due to the quality of our services and people. There is considerable evidence, both based on research and experience, that there is a strong market for this type of high-touch customized banking services among small business customers.

We have long held that strategy needs to evolve in response to changes in environmental conditions. Our former strategy was not producing acceptable results in the current environment of severe stress in housing and related markets and disruptions in the capital markets. We have therefore taken steps to change our strategy to fit the environment in which we operate today and will operate in the future. We believe these changes returning to our roots of focusing on banking for small businesses and the local communities in which we have branches, changing our funding model, and raising more capital will position us to contribute to the economies of our communities by providing the highest quality service to individuals and small businesses by continuing to be an important provider of credit to consumer and small business customers.

## Outlook

The purpose of our strategic restructuring is to provide a basis for the return to profitability. Our ability to achieve this goal is, however, uncertain. At this point, we expect continued price pressure in residential and commercial real estate as well as rising unemployment and lower demand for our commercial customers products to continue to cause an elevated failure by our borrowers to
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pay their loan obligations. As a result, there will be continued stress, which combined with the Corporation s specific issues, will likely prevent the Corporation from becoming profitable at least until some economic recovery begins to take hold.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Accounting estimates are an integral part of our financial statements and are based upon our current judgments. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ from our current judgments or that our use of different assumptions could result in materially different estimates. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 2008 provides a description of the critical accounting policies we apply to material financial statement items, all of which require the use of accounting estimates and/or judgment.

## Consolidated Overview

|  | June 30, |  | June 30, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| Net loss (in thousands) | $\$(57,158)$ | $\$(106,732)$ | $\$(150,991)$ | $\$(128,897)$ |
| Basic earnings per share | $(1.92)$ | $(3.64)$ | $(5.08)$ | $(4.40)$ |
| Diluted earnings per share | $(1.92)$ | $(3.64)$ | $(5.08)$ | $(4.40)$ |
| Return on average equity | $\mathrm{NM}(1)$ | $(109.4) \%$ | $(829.2) \%$ | $(61.8) \%$ |
| Return on average assets | $(6.2) \%$ | $(7.2) \%$ | $(7.2) \%$ | $(4.3) \%$ |

(1) Not meaningful due to average equity being negative for this period

## Consolidated Income Statement Analysis

Net Loss
We recorded a loss of $\$ 57$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to a net loss of $\$ 107$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Net loss per share (diluted) was $\$ 1.92$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to a net loss per share of $\$ 3.64$ for the second quarter of 2008. For the year to date, we recorded a net loss of $\$ 151$ million or $\$ 5.08$ loss per diluted share compared to a net loss of $\$ 129$ million or $\$ 4.40$ loss per share in 2008. The decrease in 2009 earnings relates primarily to significant provisioning for loan losses, particularly in our home equity and commercial banking segments, and the inability to recognize tax benefits arising from the losses. In addition, we are experiencing a reduction in our net interest income due to our smaller loan portfolio and decreased net interest margin.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 totaled $\$ 44$ million, down $65 \%$ from the first half of 2008 net interest income of $\$ 126$ million. Net interest margin for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was $2.28 \%$, down compared to $4.38 \%$ for the same period in 2008.
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The following table shows our daily average consolidated balance sheet, interest rates and yield at the dates indicated:

|  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |
|  | Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Yield/ Rate (Dollars in | Average Balance ousands) | Interest | Annualized Yield/ Rate |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$ | \$ 159 | 1.71\% | 7 |  | 379\% |
| Federal funds sold | 0 | 0 | NA | 16,417 | 199 | 2.44\% |
| Residual interests | 9,094 | 146 | $3.24 \%$ | 10,874 | 469 | 8.67\% |
| Investment securities | 99,903 | 1,936 | 3.91\% | 132,226 | 3,514 | 5.34\% |
| Loans held for sale | 423,918 | 22,549 | 10.73\% | 7,831 | 154 | 3.95\% |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income ${ }^{(1)}$ | 3,376,499 | 106,598 | 6.37\% | 5,592,574 | 226,846 | 8.16\% |
| Total interest earning |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-earning |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | 154,660 |  |  | 69,065 |  |  |
| Premises and equipment, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other assets | 260,122 |  |  | 250,027 |  |  |
| Less allowance for loan and lease losses | $(147,026)$ |  |  | $(157,737)$ |  |  |
| Total assets | \$4,227,172 |  |  | \$ 6,002,684 |  |  |
| Liabilities and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shareholders Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money market checking | \$ 358,470 | \$ 736 | 0.41\% | \$ 316,256 | \$ 2,151 | 1.37\% |
| Money market savings | 395,946 | 1,522 | 0.78\% | 1,033,065 | 13,507 | 2.63\% |
| Regular savings | 132,324 | 1,293 | 1.97\% | 116,944 | 979 | 1.68\% |
| Time deposits | 1,747,783 | 32,089 | 3.70\% | 1,645,936 | 38,822 | 4.74\% |
| Other borrowings | 363,536 | 8,152 | 4.52\% | 659,697 | 13,162 | 4.01\% |
| Collateralized debt | 505,189 | 35,410 | 14.13\% | 1,187,289 | 28,873 | 4.89\% |
| Other long-term debt | 233,868 | 7,813 | 6.74\% | 233,870 | 8,190 | 7.04\% |
| Total interest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | \$ 3,737,116 | \$ 87,015 | 4.70\% | \$ 5,193,057 | \$ 105,684 | 4.09\% |
| Noninterest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | 324,704 |  |  | 299,500 |  |  |

Other liabilities
Shareholders equity
Total liabilities and shareholders equity

## 128,633 <br> 90,436

36,719
\$4,227,172
\$ 6,002,684
Net interest income
\$ 44,373
\$ 126,309

Net interest income to average interest earning assets 2.28\% 4.38\%
(1) For purposes of these computations, nonaccrual loans are included in daily average loan amounts outstanding.

## Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The consolidated provision for loan and lease losses for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was $\$ 45$ million, compared to $\$ 158$ million for the same period in 2008. Year to date, the provision for 2009 was $\$ 109$ million, compared to $\$ 202$ million for the same period in 2008. The majority of the 2008 provision relates to (a) our home equity segment where we are have since sold or derecognized a
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substantial portion of these home equity loans and (b) our small ticket leasing portfolio where we recognized a lower-of-cost-or-market adjustment in preparation for the sale of the portfolio later in 2008. The $\$ 109$ million of provision for the 2009 year-to-date period reflects continued deterioration in the portfolio due to softening in the economy. The credit quality of commercial loans where the activities of the borrower are related to housing and other real estate markets has deteriorated. In addition for our remaining home equity portfolio, the decline in real estate values has increased the loan-to-value ratios of our home equity customers, thereby weakening collateral coverage and increasing the expected loss in the event of default. More information on this subject is contained in the section on
Credit Risk.

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income during the three months ended June 30, 2009 totaled $\$ 21$ million, compared to $\$ 7$ million for the same period of 2008. Noninterest income of $\$ 10$ million was recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 2$ million for the same period in 2008. The second quarter 2009 improvement is primarily related to a $\$ 16$ million gain at home equity relating to a fair value adjustment on the collateralized debt that is accounted for under SFAS 159. In the second quarter of 2008 we took a $\$ 7$ million other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charge compared to a $\$ 2$ million OTTI charge that was taken during the second quarter of 2009. Details related to these fluctuations are discussed later in the home equity and parent and other section of this report.

## Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 totaled $\$ 48$ million and $\$ 93$ million, respectively, compared to $\$ 44$ million and $\$ 96$ million for the same periods in 2008.

## Income Tax Provision

Income taxes for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 totaled a benefit of $\$ 1$ million and provision of $\$ 4$ million compared to tax benefit of $\$ 27$ million and $\$ 41$ million during the same periods in 2008. Due to our cumulative loss position, we provided a valuation allowance offsetting tax benefits from our recent 2009 losses. The 2009 year-to-date expense included a $\$ 60$ million addition to a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax asset to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized. We evaluate the ability to realize our deferred tax asset quarterly. SFAS 109 requires both positive and negative evidence be considered in determining the need for a valuation allowance. Our recent losses have triggered an increase to our deferred tax asset balance.

We provided a valuation allowance for all of our deferred tax assets that could not be realized through carrybacks and reversals of existing temporary differences. Despite being in a cumulative loss position in light of recent operations, we believe that as of June 30, 2009 approximately $\$ 23$ million of our deferred tax asset was realizable due to the ability to apply net operating loss carrybacks to recover taxes paid in prior years and due to the reversal of existing temporary differences. Our deferred tax assets are recorded based on management $s$ judgment as to whether realization of these assets is more likely than not.

## Consolidated Balance Sheet Analysis

Total assets at June 30, 2009 were $\$ 3.4$ billion, down $31 \%$ from December 31, 2008. Average assets for the first six months of 2009 were $\$ 4.2$ billion, down $24 \%$ from the average assets for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## Residual Interests

When we sell certain loans, we retain an interest in the sold loans and record this retained interest as a residual on our balance sheet. These transactions include loan sales to the Federal Home Loan Bank and loan participations through our franchise channel. At June 30, 2009 we held residual interests with a fair value of $\$ 9$ million, relatively unchanged from year end 2008. Key assumptions used in valuing these assets at origination and in subsequent periods include default rates, prepayment speeds and interest rates.
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## Investment Securities

The following table shows the composition of our investment securities at June 30, 2009.

|  | Gross | Gross |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amortized | Unrealized | Unrealized | Fair | Carrying |
| Cost | Gains | Losses | Value | Value |


| Held-to-Maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt Issued by U.S. Treasury and Other Government Agencies | \$ 12,696 | \$ | 403 | \$ |  | \$ 13,099 | \$ 12,696 |
| Debt of States and Political Subdivisions of States | 3,200 |  |  |  |  | 3,200 | 3,200 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 657 |  | 8 |  |  | 665 | 657 |
| Total Held-to-Maturity | \$ 16,553 | \$ | 411 | \$ |  | \$ 16,964 | \$ 16,553 |
| Available-for-Sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity Securities | \$ 3,962 | \$ |  | \$ | (33) | \$ 3,929 | \$ 3,929 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 729 |  | 15 |  |  | 744 | 744 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 12,077 |  |  |  | $(4,578)$ | 7,499 | 7,499 |
| Total Available-for-Sale | \$ 16,768 | \$ | 15 | \$ | $(4,611)$ | \$ 12,172 | 12,172 |

Other Securities
FHLB stock \$ 46,324
Fed Reserve stock
6,393
Total Other Securities
The following table shows the composition of our investment securities at December 31, 2008.

|  | Gross | Gross |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amortized | Unrealized | Unrealized | Fair | Carrying |
| Cost | Gains | Losses | Value | Value |

Held-to-Maturity:
Debt Issued by U.S. Treasury and Other
Government Agencies
Debt of States and Political Subdivisions
of States

| $\$ 13,054$ | $\$$ | 266 | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3,320 |  |  | $\$ 13,320$ |$\$ 13,054$

Residential mortgage-backed securities
Total Held-to-Maturity
\$ 17,258 \$ $266 \quad \$ \quad(224) \quad \$ 17,300 \quad \$ 17,258$

Available-for-Sale:
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Other Securities } & \$ & 3,863 & \$ & \$ & (122) & \$ 3,741 & \$ & 3,741\end{array}$

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 16,426 |  | 155 |  | $(27)$ | 16,554 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 14,458 | 1,639 | $(4,497)$ | 11,600 | 11,600 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Available-for-Sale | $\$ 34,747$ | $\$ 1,794$ | $\$$ | $(4,646)$ | $\$ 31,895$ | 31,895 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Securities |  |  |  |  | $\$ 46,324$ |  |
| FHLB stock |  |  |  | 14,732 |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock |  |  |  | $\$ 61,056$ |  |  |
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Impairment is evaluated considering numerous factors, and their relative significance varies case to case. Factors considered include the length of time and extent to which the market value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; and the intent and ability to retain the security in order to allow for an anticipated recovery in market value. If, based on the analysis, it is determined that the impairment is other-than-temporary, the security is written down to fair value, and a loss is recognized through earnings.

We hold mortgage-backed securities that had an original par value of $\$ 26$ million and now have an estimated fair value of $\$ 0.7$ million at June 30, 2009. The decline in fair value related to these securities is deemed to be other-than-temporary and related principally to credit factors. Accordingly, we have recognized other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges of $\$ 25$ million since the beginning of 2008. These OTTI adjustments reflect our estimate of fair value for these securities at June 30, 2009. The estimates of fair value were based on estimates of future cash flows and discount rates that management believes market participants would use to value similar assets.

Management uses two principal fair value methodologies with respect to our securities portfolio i) price quotations from dealers in the securities we own and ii) cash flow modeling using assumptions provided by dealers in the securities, including estimates of future defaults on the underlying collateral, assumptions of loss ratios for defaults and appropriate discount rates for the securities. Management reviews the price information from the dealers on a monthly basis, comparing that pricing information to actual cash flows received on the securities.

Management reviews actual trading activity provided by secondary market participants, including dealers, to determine if a security is illiquid. If the security is actively traded and price quotes attained from the dealer(s) are consistent with traded prices, then we utilize the quoted price. When a security has not traded actively, a condition experienced on many of the company s securities throughout 2008 and 2009, management utilizes cash flow modeling, supplemented by collateral performance information as provided by dealers.

## Loans and Leases Held For Sale

Loans and leases held for sale totaled $\$ 279$ million at June 30, 2009, a decrease from a balance of $\$ 841$ million at December 31, 2008. The majority of the decrease relates to the derecognition of $\$ 653$ million of home equity loans during the first quarter and an additional $\$ 109$ million in the second quarter of 2009. This derecognition was the result of meeting all of the requirements for sale accounting under SFAS 140.

## Loans and Leases

Our commercial loans and leases are originated throughout the United States We also extend credit to consumers throughout the United States through mortgages, installment loans and revolving credit arrangements. Loans by major category for the periods presented were as follows:

|  | December |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | June 30, | $\mathbf{3 1 ,}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | $\$ 1,467,175$ | $\$$ |
| Real estate-construction \& land development | $353,862,877$ |  |
| Real estate-mortgage | 456,200 | 466,598 |
| Consumer | 17,800 | 523,837 |
| Commercial financing | 587,413 | 24,022 |
| Franchise financing | 8,444 | 922,429 |
| Domestic leasing | $(166,713)$ | 11,305 |
| Unearned income | $(940)$ | $(297,600)$ |
| Franchise financing | $\$ 2,723,261$ | $\$$ |
| Domestic leasing | $3,512,048$ |  |
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Allowance for Loans and Lease Losses
Changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses are summarized below:

|  | For the Three Months Ended June 30, |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  | (Dollars | ousands) |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 155,403 | \$ 158,598 | \$ 137,015 | \$ 144,855 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 45,431 | 157,829 | 109,433 | 202,350 |
| Charge-offs | $(48,106)$ | $(101,936)$ | $(94,559)$ | $(133,730)$ |
| Recoveries | 1,552 | 1,401 | 2,391 | 3,008 |
| Reduction due to reclassification to loans held for sale | $(6,100)$ | (176) | $(6,100)$ | (638) |
| Foreign currency adjustment |  | (2) |  | (131) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 148,180 | \$ 215,714 | \$ 148,180 | \$ 215,714 |

See Credit Risk section for further discussion.
Deposits
Total deposits for the first half of 2009 averaged $\$ 3.0$ billion, compared to $\$ 3.4$ billion at year end 2008. Irwin Union Bank and Trust utilizes institutional broker-sourced deposits as funding to supplement deposits solicited through branches and other wholesale funding sources. At June 30, 2009, institutional broker-sourced deposits totaled $\$ 0.7$ billion, a $\$ 0.2$ billion decrease from December 31, 2008. Due to capital levels, neither of our depository subsidiaries is permitted to issue new brokered deposits. As of June 30, 2009, we had $\$ 231$ million of brokered deposits scheduled to mature in 2009.
Other Borrowings
Other borrowings during the first half of 2009 averaged $\$ 364$ million compared to an average of $\$ 527$ million for the year 2008. Other borrowings totaled $\$ 267$ million at June 30, 2009, compared to $\$ 512$ million at December 31, 2008.

Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings averaged $\$ 360$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, with an average rate of $4.47 \%$ and the balance at June 30,2009 was $\$ 267$ million at an interest rate of $4.00 \%$. The maximum outstanding during any month end during 2009 was $\$ 398$ million. Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings averaged $\$ 487$ million for the year ended December 31, 2008, with an average rate of $4.45 \%$. The balance at December 31, 2008 was $\$ 487$ million at an interest rate of $4.67 \%$. The maximum outstanding during any month end during 2008 was $\$ 604$ million.

## Collateralized Debt and Other Long-Term Debt

Collateralized borrowings totaled $\$ 0.1$ billion at June 30, 2009, a decrease of $\$ 0.8$ billion from the balance of $\$ 0.9$ billion at December 31, 2008. We were able to remove the bulk of these borrowings and the loans that collateralized these borrowings from our balance sheet when we derecognized all of our loans collateralizing the debt. The collateralized debt on our balance sheet is from securitizations structured as secured financings of home equity loans that resulted in loans remaining as assets and debt recorded on our balance sheet (although to meet the structuring needs of the securitization trusts, the loans have been legally separated and sold to the trusts). This securitization debt provides us with match-term funding for these loans and leases with the debt being extinguished through pay-downs of the loans.

Other long-term debt totaled $\$ 234$ million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. We have obligations represented by subordinated debentures totaling $\$ 204$ million with our wholly-owned trusts that were created for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. The subordinated debentures were the sole assets of the trusts at June 30, 2009. In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (revised December 2004), we do not consolidate the wholly-owned trusts that
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issued the trust preferred securities. As a result, trust preferred securities are not included on our balance sheet. Instead, the subordinated debentures held by the trusts are included on the balance sheet as other long-term debt. Repurchase Liability

We have recorded a liability for probable losses resulting from repurchases of loans that we sold, in instances where there were origination errors. Such errors include inaccurate appraisals, errors in underwriting, and ineligibility for inclusion in loan programs of government-sponsored entities. In determining liability levels for repurchases, we estimate the number of loans with origination errors, the year in which the loss will occur, and the severity of the loss upon occurrence applied to an average loan amount. Inaccurate assumptions in setting this liability could result in changes in future liabilities.

As part of our exit from the mortgage banking business, we attempted to reduce our liabilities and future exposure from existing and threatened claims and lawsuits in connection with possible recourse claims on loans that we had sold to investors by negotiating settlements. The repurchase claims generally allege that we breached representations and warranties made regarding the loans we sold. We believe that potential litigation costs and management time that would have been spent on these matters would have been a significant drain on our existing and future resources. We therefore negotiated several settlements pursuant to which the investors waived their recourse rights in exchange for an agreed upon cash settlement.

We have sold approximately $\$ 50$ billion of first mortgage loans to investors in the past 10 years. Over half of this amount was sold to investors with whom we have entered into settlements and to whom we no longer have any exposure. The amounts that we paid to settle investors claims represented a nominal amount relative to the amount of the loans initially purchased by the investors. The theoretical maximum potential exposure is this $\$ 50$ billion, less all loans sold to parties with whom we have entered into settlement agreements and all loans that have been subsequently paid off or that otherwise no longer exist. Because we no longer own or service the loans that are owned by third parties, it is not practicable for us to determine the exact remaining principal amount of these loans that is still outstanding (i.e., have not amortized to zero or pre-paid). In addition, we continually review ongoing repurchase demand activity and continue to believe our repurchase liability is reasonable.

The table below reflects the outstanding principal balance of loans repurchased or settled over the past ten quarters and reflects the origination vintage of each resolved loan. The bottom of the table also shows the portion of the resolved loans that relate to factors other than early payment defaults (EPDs). In the first half of 2009, we settled one repurchase request with a principal balance of $\$ 0.3$ million. Negotiations are ongoing with parties to which we continue to have pending and contingent repurchase risk.
Repurchase Analysis

| Vintage | 2007 |  |  | 008 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YTD } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-2002 | \$ |  | \$ | 0.4 | \$ |  | \$ | 0.7 |
| 2002 |  | 1.0 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 1.1 |
| 2003 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |
| 2004 |  | 0.5 |  | 1.8 |  |  |  | 2.3 |
| 2005 |  | 5.5 |  | 2.7 |  | 0.3 |  | 8.5 |
| 2006 |  | 17.9 |  | 3.7 |  |  |  | 21.6 |
| 2007 |  | 1.1 |  | 0.7 |  |  |  | 1.8 |
| Totals | \$ | 27.3 | \$ | 10.6 | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | 38.2 |
| Non-EPD |  | 48\% |  | 99\% |  | 100\% |  | 62\% |

## Capital

Shareholders equity averaged $\$ 37$ million during the first six months of 2009 , down $89 \%$ compared to the average for the year 2008. Shareholders equity balance of $\$(42)$ million at June 30, 2009 represented $\$(1.92)$ per common
share, compared to $\$ 3.26$ per common share at December 31, 2008.
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The following table sets forth our capital and regulatory capital ratios at the dates indicated:

As of June 30, 2009
Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets): Irwin Financial Corporation
Irwin Union Bank and Trust Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.
Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):
Irwin Financial Corporation
Irwin Union Bank and Trust
Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.
Tier I Capital (to Average Assets):
Irwin Financial Corporation
Irwin Union Bank and Trust
Core Capital (to Adjusted Tangible Assets)
Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.

As of December 31, 2008
Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):
Irwin Financial Corporation
Irwin Union Bank and Trust
Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.
Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):
Irwin Financial Corporation
Irwin Union Bank and Trust
Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.
Tier I Capital (to Average Assets):
Irwin Financial Corporation
Irwin Union Bank and Trust
Core Capital (to Adjusted Tangible Assets)
Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B.

| Actual |  | To Be Well <br> Capitalized <br> Under <br> Prompt | For <br> Capital |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount <br> Corrective <br> Action <br> thousands) | Ratio | Adequacy |  |
| Provisions | Purposes |  |  |
| $\$(39,071)$ | $(1.2) \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| 225,840 | 8.3 | $10.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| 46,894 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| $(39,071)$ | $(1.2)$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 4.0 |
| 160,161 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| 41,276 | 9.2 | 6.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $(39,071)$ | $(1.1)$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 4.0 |
| 160,161 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| 41,276 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |

(Dollars in thousands)

At June 30, 2009, our holding company, Irwin Financial Corporation, had a total risk-based capital ratio of (1.2) percent, a Tier 1 capital ratio of (1.2) percent, and a leverage ratio of (1.1) percent, which are in the undercapitalized range under applicable regulatory capital standards. As a result, the parent company is considered undercapitalized.

The 2009 net loss had a negative compounding effect on our regulatory capital. The $\$ 151$ million net loss eliminated our equity capital which also eliminated the inclusion of trust preferred capital in Tier 1. The trust preferred that was no longer includable in Tier 1 ( $\$ 198$ million) would otherwise become Tier 2 eligible capital. However, Tier 2 capital cannot exceed total Tier 1 capital. Therefore, at June 30, 2009, we have $\$ 30$ million of subordinated debt and $\$ 198$ million of trust preferred securities that, if not limited, would have qualified as Tier 2 capital. In addition, none of our $\$ 148$ million of allowance for loans and lease losses was Tier 2 eligible because the allowance for loan and
lease loss (limited to $1.25 \%$ of risk-weighted assets) is an element of Tier 2 capital, which cannot exceed Tier 1 capital. See Capital, Liquidity, and Going Concern Consideration on page 12 for more information. We believe our recapitalization plan to increase shareholders equity will allow a substantial majority of the subordinated debt and trust preferred securities to be included in our regulatory capital. After the recapitalization, we will continue to have a substantial portion of the allowance for loan and lease loss that is not included in regulatory capital due to the cap at $1.25 \%$ of risk assets.
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Our state-chartered bank subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, had a total risk-based capital ratio of 8.3 percent and a Tier 1 capital ratio of 5.9 percent which are within the adequately capitalized range, and a leverage ratio of 5.1 percent, which is in the well capitalized range under applicable regulatory capital standards. As a result, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company is considered adequately capitalized.

In connection with the July 24, 2009 Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of an Order to Cease and Desist ( Consent and Order ) with the Office of Thrift Supervision, we are required to achieve by August 31, 2009 and maintain thereafter a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of 12 percent and a core capital ratio of 10 percent at our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. At June 30, Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. had a total risk-based capital ratio of 10.4 percent, a Tier 1 capital ratio of 9.2 percent, and a core capital ratio of 8.0 percent. Our core capital ratio fell below the 9 percent minimum requirement at June 30, 2009 under the previous written agreement with the Office of Thrift Supervision. We are developing a business plan to achieve the capitalization stipulated in the Consent and Order. Despite meeting the statutory requirements for a well-capitalized thrift, as a result of the supervisory agreement with the Office of Thrift Supervision, Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. is considered adequately capitalized. The existence of a capital requirement for the thrift in a supervisory agreement precludes our thrift from being considered well capitalized regardless of the amount of capital held.

As discussed under Strategy and Restructuring , we are engaged in a restructuring to enhance our capital ratios.

## Cash Flow Analysis

Our cash and cash equivalents decreased $\$ 42$ million during the first six months of 2009 , compared to an increase of $\$ 77$ million during the same period in 2008 . Cash flows from operating activities provided $\$ 243$ million in cash and cash equivalents in the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 when our operations provided $\$ 118$ million in cash and cash equivalents.

## Net Results by Segment

Irwin Financial Corporation is composed of three principal segments:
Commercial Banking
Commercial Finance
Home Equity Lending
The following table summarizes our net results by segment for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Pretax results: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Banking | \$ $(28,297)$ | \$ (15,103) | \$ $(62,947)$ | \$ (13,826) |
| Commercial Finance | (412) | $(39,309)$ | $(3,363)$ | $(31,864)$ |
| Home Equity Lending | $(21,625)$ | $(74,033)$ | $(64,086)$ | $(100,866)$ |
| Other (including consolidating entries) | $(7,903)$ | $(4,986)$ | $(16,827)$ | $(23,371)$ |
| Net loss before taxes | $(58,237)$ | $(133,431)$ | $(147,223)$ | $(169,927)$ |
| Provision for income taxes | 1,079 | 26,699 | $(3,768)$ | 41,029 |
| Net loss | \$ $(57,158)$ | \$ $(106,732)$ | \$ $(150,991)$ | \$ $(128,898)$ |

Results of operations from each of these segments are discussed below.
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## Commercial Banking

The following table shows selected financial information for our commercial banking segment:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | (Dollars in thousands) |  | 2008 |
| Selected Income Statement Data: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ 32,084 | \$ 45,480 | \$ 66,994 | \$ 95,206 |
| Interest expense | $(11,369)$ | $(18,558)$ | $(23,840)$ | $(40,462)$ |
| Net interest income | 20,715 | 26,922 | 43,154 | 54,744 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | $(25,087)$ | $(24,481)$ | $(62,696)$ | $(31,061)$ |
| Noninterest income | 2,378 | 4,401 | 6,876 | 9,068 |
| Total net revenue | $(1,994)$ | 6,842 | $(12,666)$ | 32,751 |
| Operating expense | $(26,303)$ | $(21,945)$ | $(50,281)$ | $(46,577)$ |
| Pretax loss | $(28,297)$ | $(15,103)$ | $(62,947)$ | $(13,826)$ |

## Selected Balance Sheet Data at End of Period:

Assets
Securities and short term investments
Loans held for sale
Loans and leases
Allowance for loan and lease losses
Deposits
Shareholder s equity
Daily Averages:
Assets
Securities and short term investments
Loans held for sale
Loans and leases
Allowance for loan and lease losses
Deposits
Shareholder s equity
Shareholder s equity to assets

## Overview

Our commercial banking segment focuses on providing credit, cash management and personal banking products to small businesses and business owners through branches in markets in the Midwest and the West. We also offer a full line of retail banking services to consumers in the neighborhoods surrounding our bank branch locations. We offer commercial banking services through our banking subsidiaries, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, an Indiana state-chartered commercial bank, and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., a federal savings bank.
Portfolio Characteristics

The major loan products offered by our commercial banking segment include commercial and industrial loans, commercial real estate loans (including construction and land development loans), and consumer loans (including residential mortgage loans). Our focus is on serving small businesses and consumers in the communities served by our branches, with an average commercial loan size below $\$ 500,000$. Origination of new construction and land development loans was suspended in the third quarter of 2008 due to elevated market risks, and in the case of our thrift, due to certain regulatory restrictions. We currently originate commercial real estate loans only for owner-occupied business customers and, in some instance, as renewals of existing relationships.
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Commercial loan credit decisions are based primarily on cash flows, with collateral considered a secondary source of repayment. Guarantor support may be necessary for some credit decisions, with the credit worthiness of the guarantor determined based on financial statements generally provided on an annual basis. Covenants are also often used to ensure borrowers comply with certain performance criteria set forth in loan documents.

For commercial real estate collateralized loans, terms allow for 20-25 year amortization, but generally with 5-7 year final maturity. Advance rates are generally below 80 percent. Interest rates can be either fixed or variable; if variable, the loans are generally underwritten on a fully-indexed basis at inception.

For commercial and industrial loans collateralized by equipment, maturity terms are up to 60 months and are fully amortizing. When inventory and receivables serve as collateral, they are typically securing lines of credit that are one year or less. Advance rates on both types of loans are generally below 80 percent. Interest rates can be either fixed or variable; if variable, the loans are generally underwritten on a fully-indexed basis at inception.

We originate a limited amount of consumer-focused loans in the commercial banking segment. The majority of these loans are Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE or agency) conforming first mortgage loans, with terms of up to 360 months. Interest rates can be fixed, variable, or hybrid, and are generally underwritten to meet the purchase guidelines set out by the GSEs. We have not originated Option ARMs or negative amortization loans. We originate home equity lines of credit that are interest-only, consistent with banking industry standards. On adjustable rate loans, we underwrite to the fully-indexed rate. We generally do not hold long-term (e.g., greater than 180 month) consumer mortgage loans

The following tables show the geographic composition of our commercial banking loans and our core deposits:

| Markets | June 30,$2009$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Loans Outstanding | Percent of Total (Dollars | Loans Outstanding ousands) | Percent of Total |
| Indianapolis | \$ 285,340 | 13.9\% | \$ 432,034 | 16.7\% |
| Central and Western Michigan | 364,320 | 17.7 | 393,528 | 15.2 |
| Southern Indiana | 301,530 | 14.7 | 425,529 | 16.5 |
| Phoenix | 350,453 | 17.1 | 426,719 | 16.5 |
| Las Vegas | 131,675 | 6.4 | 174,502 | 6.8 |
| Sacramento | 95,659 | 4.7 | 111,346 | 4.3 |
| Other | 524,717 | 25.5 | 619,409 | 24.0 |
| Total | \$2,053,694 | 100.0\% | \$2,583,067 | 100.0\% |


| Indianapolis | $\$ 195,812$ | $11.6 \%$ | $\$ 249,524$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Central and Western Michigan | 176,508 | 10.5 | 209,986 | 11.8 |
| Southern Indiana | 720,650 | 42.7 | 672,545 | 37.8 |
| Phoenix | 102,736 | 6.1 | 120,284 | 6.8 |
| Las Vegas | 101,626 | 6.0 | 96,061 | 5.4 |
| Sacramento | 42,772 | 2.5 | 55,568 | 3.1 |
| Other | 347,323 | 20.6 | 375,061 | 21.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 1,687,427$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 1,779,029$ | $100.0 \%$ |
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## Pretax results

Commercial banking pretax net loss totaled $\$ 28$ million during the second quarter of 2009 compared to a pretax net loss of $\$ 15$ million for the same period in 2008. Year-to-date pretax loss totaled $\$ 63$ million in 2009 compared to a pretax loss of $\$ 14$ million for the same period in 2008. The year-to-date pretax loss in 2009 has increased over 2008 due primarily to a $\$ 12$ million decline in net interest income and a $\$ 32$ million increase in provision for loan losses discussed in more detail below.

## Net Interest Income

The following table shows information about net interest income for our commercial banking segment:

Net interest income
Average interest earning assets
Net interest margin
Three Months Ended June 30,
2009
2008

20082009 (Dollars in thousands)

| $\$ 20,715$ | $\$ 26,922$ | $\$ 43,154$ | $\$ 54,744$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,409,402$ | $2,955,785$ | $2,487,359$ | $2,964,535$ |
| $3.45 \%$ | $3.66 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ | $3.71 \%$ |

Net interest income was $\$ 21$ million for the second quarter of 2009, a decrease of $23 \%$ over second quarter of 2008. Net interest income year to date in 2009 also decreased $21 \%$ over the same period in 2008. The 2009 decline in net interest income resulted primarily from lower interest rates and from a decrease in our commercial banking interest earning assets. Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by average interest earning assets. Net interest margin for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was $3.45 \%$, compared to $3.66 \%$ for the same period in 2008. Year-to-date net interest margin for 2009 was $3.50 \%$, compared to $3.71 \%$ for 2008 . The decline in 2009 margin reflects competitive conditions, unfavorable repricing of loans and deposits and increases in nonaccrual loans.

## Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

Provision for loan losses increased to $\$ 25$ million during the second quarter of 2009 compared to $\$ 24$ million during the same period a year earlier. Provision for loan and lease losses increased to $\$ 63$ million during the first half of 2009, compared to a provision of $\$ 31$ million during the same period in 2008. The increased provision during the first half of 2009 relates to weakening credit quality, particularly commercial real estate credits in connection with the residential housing markets, principally in our Western markets. See further discussion in the Credit Quality section later in the document. Realized losses (net charge-offs) in the commercial banking portfolio totaled $\$ 26$ million during the second quarter of 2009 , compared to $\$ 24$ million the first quarter of 2009 . The ratio of allowance for loan and lease losses to loans and leases increased to 4.15 percent, as compared to 3.57 percent at March 31, 2009 and 2.81 percent as of December 31, 2008.

Noninterest Income
The following table shows the components of noninterest income for our commercial banking segment:

| Trust fees | $\$$ | 476 | $\$$ | 549 | $\$ 1,006$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,021 |  | 1,189 |  | 1,130 |
| Insurance commissions, fees and premiums |  | 337 |  | 413 | 806 |
| Gain from sales of loans | 83 |  | 463 | 1,542 |  |
| Loan servicing fees | 357 |  | 334 | 696 | 1,064 |
| Amortization of servicing assets | $(366)$ | $(293)$ | $(990)$ | 670 |  |
| Brokerage fees | 236 | 337 | 541 | $(608)$ |  |
| Other | 234 | 1,409 | 1,218 | 2,857 |  |
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Operating Expenses
The following table shows the components of operating expenses for our commercial banking segment:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 11,127 | \$ 11,723 | \$ 22,251 | \$ | 25,883 |
| Other expenses | 15,176 | 10,222 | 28,030 |  | 20,694 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 26,303 | \$ 21,945 | \$ 50,281 | \$ | 46,577 |
| Efficiency ratio | 113.9\% | 70.1\% | 100.5\% |  | 73.0\% |
| Number of employees at period end ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | 430 |  | 532 |

(1) On a full time
equivalent basis.
Operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 totaled $\$ 26$ million and $\$ 50$ million, respectively, an increase of $20 \%$ and $8 \%$ over the same periods in 2008. Reduced headcount resulted in a reduction in salaries and employees benefits during 2009 compared to the same periods a year ago, offset by other operating expenses which were higher primarily due to increased FDIC insurance premiums and professional fees associated with loan sales during the second quarter.

## Balance Sheet

Total commercial banking assets at June 30, 2009 were $\$ 2.4$ billion, compared to $\$ 2.9$ billion at December 31, 2008. Earning assets for the six months ended June 30, 2009 averaged $\$ 2.5$ billion, down $\$ 0.5$ billion from the same period in 2008. Average core deposits for the second quarter of 2009 totaled $\$ 1.7$ billion, a decrease of $6 \%$ over average core deposits in the first quarter 2009. The asset decline relates primarily to the $\$ 0.2$ billion of loan sales that occurred during the second quarter and discussed in more detail below.

## Loan Sales

On June 30, 2009, we entered into a Loan Sale and Transfer of Servicing Agreement ( Loan Sale Agreement ) with First Financial Bank, National Association, a national banking association located in Hamilton, Ohio ( First Financial ). Under the Loan Sale Agreement, Irwin Union Bank and Trust sold approximately $\$ 150$ million of commercial loans at par to First Financial.

In addition to customary terms, conditions and covenants, the Loan Sale Agreement provides First Financial with the opportunity, during the next 180 days, to require us to repurchase any loan that is found to be in breach of a representation or warranty in the Loan Sale Agreement, after we are first given the opportunity to cure any such breach over a 10 to 20 -business day period. Under the Loan Sale Agreement, we deposited $\$ 5$ million of the purchase price paid by First Financial into an escrow account to fund the repurchase of any non-compliant loans. The Loan Sale Agreement provides that any funds remaining in the escrow account at the end of the 180-day repurchase period are to be released to us.

In July, 2009, we entered into a separate Purchase and Assumption Agreement (the Purchase and Assumption Agreement ) with First Financial under which we agreed to sell three branches located in Carmel, Greensburg and Shelbyville, Indiana. The Purchase and Assumption Agreement provides for First Financial to assume the deposit liabilities at the three branches at par, and for us to sell loans in a minimum aggregate amount of $\$ 50$ million, also at par, to First Financial. The purchase price of these loans and the other assets being sold by Irwin Union Bank and Trust will be offset against the amount we owe First Financial for assuming our deposits and other liabilities under the Purchase and Assumption Agreement. The transactions described in the Purchase and Assumption Agreement are subject to the receipt of First Financial s required regulatory approvals, as well as other customary conditions, and we expect the transaction to close in the third quarter of 2009. Due to the agreement to sell $\$ 50$ million of loans under this
agreement during the third quarter, we reclassified such amount of loans into held-for-sale classification as of June 30, 2009.

The Purchase and Assumption Agreement obligates us to pay a termination fee of $\$ 5$ million if the agreement is terminated for any reason, other than due to a breach by First Financial that is not or cannot be cured within 30 days of notification, or if the transaction is
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not consummated by October 31, 2009. Upon execution of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, we deposited $\$ 90$ million into a deposit account at First Financial (the Special Deposit ). The Purchase and Assumption Agreement provides that we are to use the Special Deposit to fund the expected payment we will make to First Financial at the closing of the transaction. The Purchase and Assumption Agreement also provides that we may withdraw the funds in the Special Deposit only upon (i) our payment to First Financial of the termination fee provided for under the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, or (ii) our payment to First Financial of any and all amounts due at the closing of the branch sales. Other withdrawals by us from the Special Deposit will require the prior approval of First Financial. Any funds remaining in the Special Deposit following either the termination of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement or the consummation of the transactions set forth therein shall be returned to us.

In addition to the above transactions, we also closed a number of separate transactions between June 26 and June 30, 2009 for the sale of approximately $\$ 40$ million in the aggregate of loans to other purchasers.

## Credit Quality

The pace of deterioration in several measures of our commercial banking credit quality has slowed materially over the past quarter. However, in total, they have generally deteriorated since year end, reflecting increased weakness in the regional economies in which we participate. Delinquencies of 30 days or more rose to 3.57 percent from 2.96 percent at December 31, 2008, although they have declined meaningfully since March 31, 2009, when 30 day and greater delinquencies were 4.46 percent. Approximately 50 percent of our nonperforming loans are related to construction and land development and have been affected by the deteriorating residential housing markets, particularly in the western markets. We undertook an extensive review of each of these loans, including a collateral and guarantor review, and as a result, recorded specific reserves on impaired loans. In addition, we established a
Troubled Asset Group to work out or dispose of stressed and nonperforming loans. Nonperforming loans have increased 39 percent since December 31, 2008. Specific reserves related to the nonperforming construction and land development loans totaled 8 percent of the principal balance of such loans. In total, charge-offs for the quarter were $\$ 26$ million, up from $\$ 14$ million in the second quarter of 2008 and from first quarter of 2009 charge-offs of $\$ 24$ million. The allowance for loan losses to total loans increased to 4.2 percent at June 30, 2009, compared to 2.8 percent at December 31, 2008. Total nonperforming assets increased $\$ 26$ million in the second quarter of 2009. Other real estate owned (OREO) increased $\$ 22$ million compared to the 2008 year-end balance.

The following table shows information about our nonperforming assets and our allowance for loan losses in this segment:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ <br> (Dollar | ho | cember <br> 31, <br> 2008 <br> ands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonperforming loans | \$ 181,207 | \$ | 129,953 |
| Other real estate owned | 35,701 |  | 13,377 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 216,908 | \$ | 143,330 |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 9.15\% |  | 4.99\% |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 85,248 | \$ | 72,598 |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 4.15\% |  | 2.81\% |

## Three Months Ended June

|  | 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | $\$ 25,087$ | $\$ 24,481$ | $\$ 62,696$ | $\$ 31,061$ |  |
| Net charge-offs | 26,462 | 14,022 | 50,046 | 15,991 |  |
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## Commercial Finance

The following table shows selected financial information for our commercial finance segment for the periods indicated:

was recorded
related to a mark-to-market adjustment on these
small-ticket leases that were sold in the third quarter of 2008. A writedown to the allowance for loan and lease losses was recorded to reduce the carrying amount of these leases to lower of cost or market at the time of the transfer.

## Overview

We offer loans to owners of franchised restaurants through our banking subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust, an Indiana state-chartered commercial bank, and its direct subsidiary. We utilize a direct sales force to distribute our franchise finance loans. In the franchise channel, the financing of equipment and real estate is structured as loans. The loan amounts average approximately $\$ 500$ thousand. In July 2008 we sold nearly all of our equipment lease portfolios in this segment and ceased originating such leases.

## Portfolio Characteristics

The underwriting in our Franchise channel incorporates basic credit proficiencies combined with knowledge of select franchise concepts principally quick service and casual dining restaurants to measure the creditworthiness of proposed multi-unit borrowers. The focus is on restaurant concepts that have sound unit economics, low closure rates and brand awareness within specified local, regional or national markets. Loan terms for equipment are generally up to 84 months fully amortizing and up to 180 months on real estate related loans.

Length of operator experience is taken into account and consideration is given to related work experience. The financial performance of the prospective borrower is reviewed, including tax returns on all operating entities and shareholder guarantors. Our franchise channel underwrites all loans on the consolidated results of the borrower s operation, taking into account overhead costs of the total operation for
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multi-unit operators. Loan structures typically require cross-corporate guaranties of all affiliated operating entities in addition to the personal guaranties of all principals. The documentation process and requirements are consistent with traditional lending requirements for commercial loans in the franchise channel. The following table shows the geographic composition of our franchise finance loans:

|  |  | December <br> 31, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| California | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| Texas | $15.1 \%$ | 11.0 |
| New York | 12.0 | 9.0 |
| New Jersey | 8.1 | 7.1 |
| All other states | 7.8 | 58.2 |
| Total | 57.0 |  |
|  | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Total Franchise Portfolio in thousands
\$646,199 \$ 624,829
The following table provides yield and delinquency information about the loan portfolio of our franchise finance segment at the dates shown:

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| Domestic franchise loans | $\$ 646,199$ | $\$ 624,829$ |
| Weighted average coupon | $8.27 \%$ | $8.95 \%$ |
| Delinquency ratio | 1.45 | 3.65 |

Pretax Results
During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the commercial finance segment recorded a pretax loss of $\$ 0.4$ million, compared to a pretax loss of $\$ 39$ million for the same period in the prior year. Year to date, the commercial finance segment lost $\$ 3.4$ million pretax compared to a pretax loss of $\$ 31.9$ million for the same period in the prior year. The 2009 improvement in earnings relates primarily to the lower-of-cost-or-market adjustment recorded during the second quarter 2008 with respect to $\$ 322$ million of small ticket leases. These leases were reclassified at June 30, 2008 to held for sale as we no longer had the intent to hold these leases for the foreseeable future. This lower-ofcost-or-market adjustment totaled $\$ 41$ million and is included in provision for loan and lease losses. In late July 2008, we sold these leases to an independent third party.

## Net Interest Income

The following table shows information about net interest income for our commercial finance segment:

Net interest income Average interest earning assets Net interest margin

| Three Months June 30, |  | Six Months June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 2008 | 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
|  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| $\$ 5,107$ | $\$ 13,464$ | $\$ 10,388$ | $\$ 27,403$ |
| 677,130 | $1,278,476$ | 680,590 | $1,269,979$ |
| $3.03 \%$ | $4.24 \%$ | $3.08 \%$ | $4.34 \%$ |

Net interest income was $\$ 5$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of $62 \%$ over the same quarter in 2008. Year to date net interest income was $\$ 10$ million, compared to $\$ 27$ million in 2008. The decrease in net interest income resulted primarily from a decrease in our portfolio balance due to the sale of our small ticket leasing portfolio in July 2008. The total loan and lease portfolio has decreased to $\$ 0.7$ billion at June 30, 2009, compared to $\$ 1.2$ billion
at June 30, 2008. This segment originated $\$ 24$ million and $\$ 52$ million in loans during the second quarter and year-to-date 2009, respectively, compared to $\$ 146$ million and $\$ 289$ million of loans and leases during the same periods of 2008.
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Net interest margin for the second quarter of 2009 was $3.03 \%$ compared to $4.24 \%$ in 2008 for the same period. Year-to-date margins declined to $3.08 \%$ in 2009 compared to $4.34 \%$ during the same period in 2008. The decrease in 2009 is due primarily to a higher concentration of lower yield franchise product compared to the same periods in 2008.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses
The provision for loan and lease losses was $\$ 6$ million during the second quarter of 2009 compared to $\$ 48$ million for the same period in 2008. The provision for loan and lease losses was $\$ 11$ million during the first six months in 2009 compared to $\$ 53$ million for the same period in 2008. The decreased provisioning levels relate primarily to the $\$ 41$ million lower-of-cost-or-market adjustment on the small ticket leases that were transferred to held-for-sale classification in 2008. This adjustment was recorded as a write down to the allowance for loan and lease losses at the time of transfer.
Noninterest Income
The following table shows the components of noninterest income for our commercial finance segment:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |  | 009 |  | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gain from sales of loans | \$ 181 | \$ 684 | \$ | 181 | , | 6,513 |
| Derivative gains (losses), net |  | 358 |  |  |  | (759) |
| Other | 1,876 | 1,783 |  | 2,554 |  | 3,360 |
| Total noninterest income | \$ 2,057 | \$ 2,825 | \$ | 2,735 | \$ | 9,114 |

Noninterest income during the three months ended June 30, 2009 decreased $27 \%$ over the same period in 2008. Year to date, noninterest income was $\$ 2.7$ million, compared to $\$ 9.1$ million in the same period of 2008. Included in noninterest income were gains on loans sales that totaled $\$ 0.2$ million for both quarter and year ended June 30, 2009, compared to gains of $\$ 0.7$ million and $\$ 6.5$ million during the same periods in 2008.

## Operating Expenses

The following table shows the components of operating expenses for our commercial finance segment:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 1,333 | \$ 4,433 | \$ | 3,346 | \$ | 9,567 |
| Other | 362 | 2,964 |  | 1,729 |  | 5,957 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 1,695 | \$7,397 | \$ | 5,075 | \$ | 15,524 |
| Efficiency ratio | 23.66\% | 45.41\% |  | 38.67\% |  | 42.51\% |
| Number of employees at period end ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  | 51 |  | 206 |

(1) On a full time
equivalent basis.
Operating expenses during the second quarter and first half of 2009 totaled $\$ 1.7$ million and $\$ 5.1$ million, respectively, a decrease of $77 \%$ and $67 \%$ over the same periods in 2008. The decreased operating expenses relates to the restructuring in this segment including the sale of the majority of our equipment lease portfolio in this segment in 2008.
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## Credit Quality

The commercial finance segment had nonperforming loans and leases at June 30, 2009 of $\$ 20$ million, compared to $\$ 16$ million as of December 31, 2008. Net charge-offs recorded by this segment totaled $\$ 1.5$ million for the second quarter of 2009, compared to $\$ 5.0$ million for the second quarter of 2008. Net charge-offs year to date were $\$ 4.2$ million, down from the $\$ 7.7$ million of net charge-offs recorded in the first half of 2008 . Our allowance for loan and lease losses at June 30, 2009 totaled $\$ 10$ million, representing $2.27 \%$ of loans and leases, compared to a balance at December 31, 2008 of $\$ 8.6$ million, or $1.35 \%$ of loans and leases.

The following table shows information about our nonperforming and the allowance for loan losses for the commercial finance segment:

|  |  | December |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, | $\mathbf{3 1 ,}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| Nonperforming leases | (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| Allowance for lease losses | $\$ 20,291$ | $\$ 16,117$ |
| Allowance for lease losses to total leases | 9,732 | 8,581 |
|  | $2.27 \%$ | $1.35 \%$ |

## Three Months Ended June

|  | 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |  | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Provision for lease losses | $\$ 5,881$ | $\$ 48,201$ | $\$ 11,411$ | $\$ 52,857$ |  |
| Net charge-offs | 1,507 | 4,983 | 4,160 | 7,719 |  |
| Net charge-offs to average leases | $0.92 \%$ | $1.58 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ | $1.23 \%$ |  |
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## Home Equity Lending

The following table shows selected financial information for the home equity lending segment which is in a run-off mode:

| Three Months Ended June | Six Months Ended June |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 30, 30, | 2008 |  | $2009 \quad 2008$ |
| 2009 |  |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |

## Selected Income Statement Data:

Net interest income
Provision for loan
Noninterest incom
Total net revenue

Loss before taxes

| $\$(9,095)$ | $\$$ | 21,611 | $\$(4,397)$ | $\$ 44,992$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(14,463)$ |  | $(85,147)$ | $(35,326)$ | $(118,432)$ |
| 16,526 | 3,413 | 1,940 |  | 495 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $(7,032)$ | $(60,123)$ | $(37,783)$ |  | $(72,945)$ |
| $(14,593)$ | $(13,910)$ | $(26,303)$ | $(27,921)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(21,625)$ | $(74,033)$ | $(64,086)$ | $(100,866)$ |


| June 30, | December 31, |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 2008 |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |

## Selected Balance Sheet Data:

Total assets
Home equity loans and lines of credit ${ }^{(1)}$

| $\$ 232,759$ | $\$ 1,106,305$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 241,333 | 294,020 |
| $(53,200)$ | $(55,621)$ |
|  | 803,688 |
| 2,210 | 15,096 |
| 103,628 | 76,701 |
| 68,940 | 912,792 |
| 66,960 | 139,064 |

Selected Operating Data:
Weighted average coupon rate:
Lines of credit
Loans
12.23
11.07
(1) Includes
$\$ 0.2$ billion and
$\$ 1.1$ billion of loans at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, pledged as collateral as part of securitized financings.

Our home equity segment historically originated, sold and serviced first mortgages and high loan-to-value home equity loans nationwide. We ceased loan originations in 2008 and sold and/or contracted for subservicing the entirety of our loan servicing portfolio in the first quarter of 2009. The remaining portfolio is in run-off.

## Portfolio

The majority of our home equity loans are second mortgages. Our home equity portfolio consists generally of refinance/debt consolidation loans with a maximum combined loan-to-value (CLTV) ratio of $125 \%$. The origination focus was on owner-occupied, prime quality borrowers (with FICO scores generally limited to above 660), with small concentrations of non-owner occupied, second home, and 3-4 unit properties. Full appraisals were required for first mortgages, with automated valuation models (AVMs) allowed for second liens, after 12 months of home ownership. Pretax Results

Our home equity lending business recorded a pretax loss of $\$ 22$ million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 , compared to a pretax net loss for the same period in 2008 of $\$ 74$ million. A year-to-date pretax loss of $\$ 64$ million was recorded through June 30, 2009, compared to pretax loss of $\$ 101$ million during the same period a year earlier.
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## Net Revenue

Net revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 totaled $\$ 7$ million loss and $\$ 38$ million loss, respectively, compared to net revenue for the same periods in 2008 of $\$ 60$ million loss and $\$ 73$ million loss.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding net revenue for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |  | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ $(9,095)$ | \$ 21,611 | \$ $(4,397)$ | \$ | 44,992 |
| Provision for loan losses | $(14,463)$ | $(85,147)$ | $(35,326)$ |  | $(118,432)$ |
| Gain (loss) on sales of loans | (472) | 207 | $(19,501)$ |  | 754 |
| Loan servicing fees | 1,243 | 2,541 | 3,527 |  | 4,703 |
| (Amortization) and (impairment) recovery of servicing assets | (100) | 2,232 | $(3,623)$ |  | $(1,671)$ |
| Derivative gains (losses) | (12) |  | (46) |  | (1) |
| Other | 15,867 | $(1,567)$ | 21,583 |  | $(3,290)$ |
| Total net revenue | \$ (7,032) | \$ $(60,123)$ | \$ $(37,783)$ | \$ | $(72,945)$ |

Net interest income decreased to $\$ 9$ million loss for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to a $\$ 22$ million gain for the same period in 2008. Year-to-date net interest income for 2009 was $\$ 4$ million loss, compared to a gain $\$ 45$ million for 2008 . The decrease in net interest income is a result of the declining size of the portfolio during the first half of 2009 relative to the same period in 2008. In addition, we entered into a securitization in the third quarter of 2008 in which the coupon on the securitization debt exceeds the weighted average coupon on the underlying loans creating a negative net interest margin.

Provision for loan losses totaled $\$ 14$ million in the second quarter of 2009, compared to $\$ 85$ million during the same period in 2008. Year-to-date provision for loan losses was $\$ 35$ million in 2009 compared to $\$ 118$ million in 2008. The performance of portfolio loans continued to deteriorate, leading to the need to provide additional reserves for probable loan losses. We expect weakness in this portfolio could continue as long as challenging conditions in the mortgage market persist.

Included in loss on sales of loans during the first quarter of 2009 was a $\$ 12$ million lower-of-cost-or-market adjustment. This adjustment relates to our home equity loans held for sale portfolio, $\$ 0.8$ billion of which was derecognized during March and April of 2009. At June 30, 2009 we no longer have these loans on our balance sheet.

On March 31, 2009, we sold mortgage servicing rights and platform assets related to certain of our home equity securitizations. In accordance with SFAS 140, this sale enabled us to derecognize $\$ 0.8$ billion of loans and related assets as well as a similar amount of collateralized debt from our balance sheet during the first half of 2009. This sale of mortgage servicing rights resulted in a net loss on sale of $\$ 7$ million in the first half of the year. We also agreed to have the acquirer of those servicing assets subservice other portions of our portfolio, thus ending our direct servicing operations.

Loan servicing fees totaled $\$ 4$ million during the first half of 2009 compared to $\$ 5$ million during the same period in 2008. The servicing portfolio on which we earn separately recorded servicing fees at our home equity lending segment totaled $\$ 0.4$ billion and $\$ 0.8$ billion at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Amortization and impairment of servicing assets includes amortization expenses and valuation adjustments relating to the carrying value of servicing assets. We determine fair value using an independent, third-party valuation or discounted cash flows and assumptions as to estimated future servicing income and costs that we believe market participants would use to value similar assets. In addition, we periodically assess these modeled assumptions for reasonableness through independent third-party valuations. At June 30, 2009, net servicing assets totaled $\$ 2$ million compared to $\$ 15$ million at December 31, 2008. This decrease relates to the March 31, 2009 sale of mortgage
servicing rights. Servicing asset amortization and impairment expense totaled $\$ 3.6$ million during the first half of 2009, compared to $\$ 1.7$ million for the same period in 2008.
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We have elected SFAS 159 to fair value the collateralized debt at home equity. Due to an increase in the expectation of future losses in the collateral underlying this debt, we recorded a fair value gain on the debt which accounted for $\$ 16$ million of the other income in the second quarter of 2009.

## Operating Expenses

The following table shows operating expenses for our home equity segment for the periods indicated:

|  | (Dolars in thousands) |  |  |  | nds) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ | 4,654 | \$ | 8,137 | \$ | 7,657 | \$ | 15,940 |
| Other |  | 9,939 |  | 5,773 |  | 18,646 |  | 11,981 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ | 14,593 | \$ | 13,910 | \$ | 26,303 | \$ | 27,921 |

Number of employees at period end ${ }^{(1)}$

Three Months Ended June
30,
2009
$\$ \quad 14,593 \quad \$ \quad 13,910$

Six Months Ended June
30,
2009
2008
(Dollars in thousands)
\$ 26,303
\$ 27,921
(1) On a full time
equivalent basis.
Operating expenses were $\$ 15$ million and $\$ 26$ million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to $\$ 14$ million and $\$ 28$ million for the same periods in 2008.

## Credit Quality

The credit quality of our portfolios continued to decline during the first half of 2009, reflecting declining economic conditions, including increases in unemployment. The following table sets forth certain information for our loan portfolio. Delinquency rates on our portfolio result from a variety of factors, including loan seasoning, portfolio mix, and general economic conditions.

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| Loan Portfolio | (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| Total Loans | $\$ 241,333$ | $\$ 1,097,708$ |
| 30 days past due | $13.74 \%$ | $11.13 \%$ |
| 90 days past due | 6.40 | 4.77 |

The majority of the loans included in the $\$ 0.2$ billion of unsold loans are funded through collateralized borrowings, as further explained in Note 9. While the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) treatment of these loans is to present them as unsold as they fail sale treatment under SFAS 140 (i.e., we have not surrendered complete control of the assets), legally, these loans have been transferred to bankruptcy-remote trusts ( securitization trusts ). These trusts are the issuers of the asset-backed bonds which are shown on our balance sheet as collateralized notes. We are not obligated to, nor do we expect that we would, support the bonds issued by these trusts by providing cash or other security interest to the trusts in the future should the underlying home equity loan performance be insufficient to support debt service to bondholders. It should be noted that such a potential debt service short-fall to the bondholders would not be considered an event of default by Irwin as we are not the obligors on these securitization bonds. The representations and warrants we make in selling loans to securitization trusts do not include loan performance based items. This has historically limited, and we believe should continue to limit, our loan repurchase risk in this segment.

## Parent and Other

Results at the parent company and other businesses (including Treasury operations for each of our principal subsidiaries) totaled a pretax net loss of $\$ 8$ million and $\$ 17$ million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to pretax losses of $\$ 5$ million and $\$ 23$ million during the same periods in 2008. Included in the Parent company operating results are allocations to our subsidiaries of interest expense related to our interest-bearing capital
obligations. During the six month period ended June 30, 2009, we allocated $\$ 4.9$
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million of these expenses to our subsidiaries, compared to $\$ 7.9$ million during the first half of 2008. Subsidiaries also pay fees to the parent to cover direct and indirect services. In addition, certain services are provided from one subsidiary to another. Intercompany income and expenses are calculated on an arm s-length, external market basis and are eliminated in consolidation.

Losses at the parent company and other entities relate to operating and interest expenses in excess of management fees charged to the segments and interest income earned on intracompany loans. Each subsidiary pays taxes to the parent at the statutory rate. The results for the first half of 2008 also include a $\$ 20$ million, other-than-temporary impairment on a portion of our securities portfolio.

## Risk Management

We are engaged in businesses that involve the assumption of risks including:
Credit risk
Liquidity risk
Market risk (including interest rate and foreign exchange risk)
Operational risk
Compliance risk
The Board of Directors has primary responsibility for establishing the Corporation s risk appetite and overseeing its risk management system. Primary responsibility for management of risks within the risk appetite set by the Board of Directors rests with the managers of our business units, who are responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control systems and procedures that are appropriate for their operations. To provide an independent assessment of line management s risk mitigation procedures, we have established a centralized enterprise-wide risk management function which reports to the Chief Risk Officer (CRO), who in turn reports to the Risk Committee of our Board of Directors. Our Internal Audit function independently audits both risk management activities in the segments and the work of the centralized enterprise-wide risk management function.

Each segment that assumes risk uses a formal process to manage this risk. In all cases, the objectives are to ensure that risk is contained within the risk appetite established by our Board of Directors and expressed through policy guidelines and limits. In addition, we attempt to take risks only when we are adequately compensated for the level of risk assumed, based on our best estimates.

Our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Administrative Officer, the heads of Commercial Banking and Commercial Finance, and Chief Risk Officer (and other key managers, as appropriate) meet on a regularly-scheduled basis as an Enterprise-wide Risk Committee (ERMC), reporting to the Board of Directors Risk Committee. Our Chief Risk Officer, who reports directly to the Risk Committee, chairs the ERMC.

Each of our principal risks is managed directly at the operational level, with oversight and, when appropriate, standardization provided by the ERMC and its subcommittees. The ERMC and its subcommittees oversee all aspects of our credit, market, operational and compliance risks. The ERMC provides senior-level review and enhancement of line manager risk processes and oversight of our risk reporting, surveillance and model parameter changes. Credit Risk

The assumption of credit risk is a key source of our earnings. However, the credit risk in our loan portfolios has the most potential for a significant effect on our consolidated financial performance. Both of our on-going segments have a Chief Credit Officer (CCO) with expertise specific to the product line, and the segments manage credit risk through various combinations of the use of lending policies, credit analysis and approval procedures, periodic loan reviews, servicing activities, and/or personal contact with borrowers, in addition to portfolio level analysis of risk concentrations. Commercial loans over a certain size, depending on the loan type and structure, are reviewed by a loan committee prior to approval. We perform independent loan review across the Corporation through a centralized function that reports directly to the head of Credit Risk Management who in turn reports to the Chief Risk Officer.
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The allowance for loan and lease losses is an estimate based on our judgment applying the principles of SFAS 5, Accounting for Contingencies, SFAS 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, and SFAS 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan Income Recognition and Disclosures. The allowance is maintained at a level we believe is adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. We perform an assessment of the adequacy of the allowance at the segment portfolio level no less frequently than on a quarterly basis and through review by a credit reserve subcommittee of the ERMC. Management s assessment of an appropriate level of allowance for loan and lease losses takes into account trends in non-performing loans as well as a loan-level analysis of expected losses on non-performing loans in our commercial loan portfolio. Additionally, management evaluates trends in more concurrent indicators of default, such as 30 - and 60-day delinquency data and changes in performance factors specific to its portfolios. For example, for its commercial banking and franchise portfolio, each defaulted loan is individually evaluated for potential loss.

Within the allowance, there are specific and expected loss components. The specific loss component is based on a regular analysis of all loans over a fixed-dollar amount where the internal credit rating is at or below a predetermined classification. From this analysis we determine the loans that we believe to be impaired in accordance with SFAS 114. Management has defined impaired as nonaccrual loans. For loans determined to be impaired, we measure the level of impairment by comparing the loan s carrying value using one of the following fair value measurement techniques: present value of expected future cash flows, observable market price, or fair value of the associated collateral. An allowance is established when the collateral value of the loan, discounted for selling costs, implies a value that is lower than carrying value. In addition to establishing allowance levels for specifically identified higher risk graded or high delinquency loans, management determines an allowance for all other loans in the portfolio for which historical or projected experience indicates that certain losses will occur. These loans are segregated by major product type, and in some instances, by aging, with an estimated loss ratio or migration pattern applied against each product type and aging category. For portfolios that are too new to have adequate historical experience on which to base a loss estimate, we use estimates derived from industry experience and management s judgment. The loss ratio or migration patterns are generally based upon historic loss experience or historic delinquency of risk rating migration behaviors, respectively, for each loan type adjusted for certain environmental factors management believes to be relevant.

The significant increase in the provision for loan and lease losses generally resulted from deterioration in asset quality in loans held for investment. As of June 30, 2009, approximately $12 \%$ of our loan portfolio consisted of real estate construction and land development and $26 \%$ consisted of residential real estate mortgage loans. The performance of these loans has been severely affected by negative trends in real estate markets, and in particular residential home prices. These market trends resulted in observed increases in delinquencies, risk rating migration, roll-rates, watch list loans, non-performing assets, classified loans, and charge-offs for these loan types. Other types of commercial real estate loans (excluding construction and land development loans), which represented an additional $39 \%$ of the portfolio, were affected by these price trends to a lesser degree. Reserve levels for other loan types not directly tied to real estate markets, which accounted for the remaining $23 \%$ of the portfolio, were mostly unchanged over the period.

We re-examine the trends in our loan portfolio on a quarterly basis, including the rate at which commercial loans migrate across internal borrower risk ratings, and consumer and home equity loans roll from one delinquency category to the next over a 12 -month period. These analyses form the quantitative portion of our SFAS 5 reserve. In addition, management considers a set of factors in establishing qualitative adjustments to the SFAS 5 reserve. These factors incorporate general economic and market trends as well as trends that are specific to our own portfolios. We make qualitative adjustments to our reserve in order to ensure that observed internal and external factors that are expected to have an impact on losses but are not fully reflected in our migration and roll-rate analyses, are included in reserve amounts. Our Consolidated Credit Reserve Oversight Committee reviews and adjusts the amounts assigned to these qualitative factors on a quarterly basis.

Our consolidated loan loss provision totaled $\$ 45$ million in the second quarter of 2009 compared to $\$ 158$ million during the same period in 2008. Year to date provision totaled $\$ 109$ million in 2009 compared to $\$ 202$ million in 2008. The provisions in the 2008 periods were much higher as they primarily related to a) our small ticket leasing portfolio where we recognized a lower-of-cost-or-market adjustment in preparation for the sale of the portfolio in the
third quarter of 2008; and, b) our home equity segment where we have since derecognized the majority of our portfolio. Our home equity loans held for investment portfolio decreased from $\$ 1.4$ billion at June 30, 2008 to $\$ 0.2$ billion at June 30, 2009. The 2009 provision relates primarily to our commercial banking portfolio and our remaining home equity portfolio that is in runoff mode. The provision at the commercial bank has increased during the first half of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 and relates to an increase in classified assets, watch list loans and non-performing assets. The increase in non-accruals was primarily related to construction and land development loans in the commercial banking Western markets.
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The consolidated allowance for loan and lease losses increased from $\$ 137$ million at December 31, 2008 to $\$ 148$ million at June 30, 2009. The commercial bank s allowance for loan loss increased to $\$ 85$ million at June 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 73$ million at December 31, 2008. The commercial bank migration analysis resulted in the majority of this increase to the allowance at June 30, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008. At June 30, 2009, the consolidated allowance for loan and lease losses was 5.4 percent of outstanding loans and leases compared to 3.9 percent at December 31, 2008. The allowance for loan and lease losses represented 68 percent of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2009 compared to 81 percent at December 31, 2008.

Net charge-offs for the three months ended June 30, 2009 were $\$ 47$ million, or 5.6 percent of average loans (annualized), compared to $\$ 48$ million, or 3 percent of average loans during the same period in 2008. Year-to-date net charge-offs were $\$ 92$ million compared to $\$ 78$ million during the same period in 2008. The decrease in charge-off dollars reflects the decrease in our home equity and small ticket portfolios. We continue to experience abnormally high charge-off rates due to continued deterioration in the portfolio due to softening in the economy. The credit quality of commercial loans where the activities of the borrower are related to housing and other real estate markets has deteriorated most sharply. In addition, the decline in real estate values has increased the loan-to-value ratios of our home equity customers, thereby weakening collateral coverage and increasing the expected loss in the event of default.

Total nonperforming loans and leases at June 30, 2009, were $\$ 217$ million compared to $\$ 168$ million at December 31, 2008. Nonperforming loans and leases as a percent of total loans and leases at June 30, 2009 were 8.0 percent, an increase from 4.8 percent at December 31, 2008. Other real estate we owned totaled $\$ 38$ million at June 30, 2009, compared to $\$ 19$ million at December 31, 2008. This increase relates to the increased number of loan foreclosures that we have experience during 2009. Total nonperforming assets at June 30, 2009 were $\$ 256$ million, or 7.6 percent of total assets compared to nonperforming assets at December 31, 2008 of $\$ 220$ million, or 4.5 percent of total assets. The following table shows information about our nonperforming assets at the dates shown:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ <br> (Dolla | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & \text { 31, } \\ & \text { 2008 } \\ & \text { thousands) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more: |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural loans | \$ 164 | \$ 2,964 |
| Real estate mortgages |  | 41 |
| Consumer loans | 282 | 26 |
|  | 446 | 3,031 |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases: |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural loans | 175,700 | 124,670 |
| Real estate mortgages | 17,995 | 22,387 |
| Consumer loans | 2,795 | 1,930 |
| Commercial financing: |  |  |
| Franchise financing | 18,795 | 13,814 |
| Domestic leasing | 1,496 | 2,353 |
|  | 216,781 | 165,154 |
| Total nonperforming loans and leases | 217,227 | 168,185 |


| Other real estate owned \& other | 37,867 | 51,786 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total nonperforming assets | $\$ 255,094$ | $\$$ |
| Nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases | 219,971 |  |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | $8.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
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For the periods presented, the balances of any restructured loans are reflected in the table above either in the amounts shown for accruing loans past due 90 days or more or in the amounts shown for nonaccrual loans and leases.

The nonperforming assets at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were held at our segments as follows:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | December <br> 31, <br> 2008 <br> ions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial banking | \$217 | \$ 143 |
| Commercial finance | 21 | 17 |
| Home equity lending | 17 | 58 |
| Mortgage banking | 1 | 2 |

Generally, the accrual of income is discontinued when the full collection of principal or interest is in doubt, or when the payment of principal or interest has become contractually 90 days past due unless the obligation is both well secured and in the process of collection. Loans are charged-off upon evidence of expected loss or 180 days past due, whichever comes first.

## Liquidity Risk

Liquidity is the availability of funds to meet the daily requirements of our business. For financial institutions, demand for funds results principally from extensions of credit, withdrawal of deposits, and maturity of other funding liabilities. Liquidity is provided through deposits and short-term and long-term borrowings, by asset maturities or sales, and through equity capital.

The objectives of liquidity management are to ensure that funds will be available to meet current and future demands and that funds are available at a reasonable cost. Since loan assets are less marketable than securities and, therefore, need less volatile liability funding, the ratio of total loans to total deposits is a traditional measure of liquidity for banks and bank holding companies. At June 30, 2009, the ratio of loans to total deposits was 111 percent. We permanently fund a portion of our loans with secured financings, which effectively eliminates liquidity risk on these assets until we elect to exercise a clean up call or until the loans mature or pay-off. The ratio of loans to total deposits after reducing loans for those funded with secured financings was 103 percent.

Our deposits consist of two primary types: non-maturity transaction account deposits and certificates of deposit (CDs). Core deposits exclude jumbo CDs, brokered CDs, and public funds CDs. Core deposits totaled $\$ 1.8$ billion at June 30, 2009, a $\$ 0.1$ billion decrease from December 31, 2008.

Non-maturity transaction account deposits are generated by our commercial banking segment and include deposits placed into checking, savings, money market and other types of deposit accounts by our customers. These types of deposits have no contractual maturity date and may be withdrawn at any time. While these balances fluctuate daily, a large percentage typically remains for much longer. At June 30, 2009, these deposit types totaled $\$ 0.9$ billion, a $\$ 0.1$ billion decrease from December 31, 2008.

A significant portion of our funding comes from public fund deposits, the majority of which are in the State of Indiana. On June 30, 2009, we had $\$ 443$ million of public fund deposits, $\$ 420$ million of which were in Indiana. Irwin Union Bank and Trust must continue to meet the minimum capital standard of the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions in order to continue accepting public funds in Indiana. Of the Indiana public funds, $\$ 236$ million were in non-maturity operating accounts and $\$ 184$ million were in certificates of deposits with laddered maturities. Indiana public funds are insured by the Indiana Public Deposit Insurance Fund and, in some cases, by the FDIC. Irwin Union Bank and Trust continues to be eligible to accept public funds in Indiana. Its ongoing eligibility depends upon continued progress on the Corporation splans to improve the banks capital ratios through raising additional capital and maintenance of the Banks adequately capitalized status. As with any bank, our bank s primary regulators, the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions, may declare the bank undercapitalized at any time regardless of its current capital ratios. Such an occurrence would cause us to become ineligible to accept additional public funds in Indiana that are not insured by the FDIC. Existing non-FDIC-insured public funds in Indiana would continue to be insured to the extent provided by the Public Deposit Insurance Fund statute.
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CDs differ from non-contractual maturity accounts in that they do have contractual maturity dates. We issue CDs both directly to customers and through brokers. As of June 30, 2009, CDs issued directly to customers totaled $\$ 0.6$ billion, unchanged from December 31, 2008. Brokered CDs are typically considered to have higher liquidity (renewal) risk than CDs issued directly to customers, since brokered CDs are often done in large blocks and since a direct relationship does not exist with the depositor. In recognition of this, we manage the size and maturity structure of brokered CDs closely. For example, the maturities of brokered CDs are laddered to mitigate liquidity risk. CDs issued through brokers totaled $\$ 0.7$ billion at June 30 , 2009, and had an average remaining life of 12 months, a decline of $\$ 0.2$ billion and 1 month, respectively, from December 31, 2008. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. are no longer permitted to accept brokered deposits unless they receive the prior approval of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or return to well capitalized status. We believe that an application to the FDIC for approval in either subsidiary would not be granted.

We monitor overall deposit balances daily with particular attention given to larger accounts that have the potential for larger daily fluctuations and which are at greater risk to be withdrawn should there be an industry-wide or bank-specific event that might cause uninsured depositors to be concerned about the safety of their deposits. On a weekly (or more frequent as appropriate) basis we model the expected impact on liquidity from moderate and severe liquidity stress scenarios as one of our tools to ensure that our liquidity is sufficient.

Other borrowings consist of borrowings from several sources. Our largest borrowing source is the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis (FHLBI). We utilize their collateralized borrowing programs to help fund qualifying first mortgage, home equity and commercial real estate loans. As of June 30, 2009, FHLBI borrowings outstanding totaled $\$ 0.3$ billion, a $\$ 0.2$ billion decrease from December 31, 2008. We had sufficient collateral pledged to FHLBI at June 30, 2009 to borrow an additional $\$ 0.1$ billion, if needed.

We also maintain collateral at the Federal Reserve Bank that we can borrow against as a contingency funding source. We had sufficient collateral pledged as of June 30, 2009 to support up to $\$ 46$ million of borrowings. Market Risk (including Interest Rate and Foreign Exchange Risk)

Because all of our assets are not perfectly match-funded with like-term liabilities, our earnings are affected by interest rate changes. Interest rate risk is measured by the sensitivity of both net interest income and fair market value of net interest sensitive assets to changes in interest rates.

Our corporate-level asset-liability management committee (ALMC) oversees the interest rate risk profile of all of our segments and the ALMC monitors the repricing structure of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items. It uses a financial simulation model to measure the potential change in market value of all interest-sensitive assets and liabilities and also the potential change in earnings resulting from changes in interest rates. We incorporate many factors into the financial model, including prepayment speeds, prepayment fee income, deposit rate forecasts for non-maturity transaction accounts, caps and floors that exist on some variable rate instruments, embedded optionality and a comprehensive mark-to-market valuation process. We reevaluate risk measures and assumptions regularly, enhance modeling tools as needed, and, on an approximately annual schedule, have the model validated by internal audit or an out-sourced provider under internal audit s direction.

We assume interest rate risk in the form of repricing structure mismatches between our loans and leases and funding sources. We manage this risk by adjusting the duration of their interest sensitive liabilities and through the use of hedging via financial derivatives.

The following tables reflect our estimate of the present value of interest sensitive assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet items at June 30, 2009. In addition to showing the estimated fair market value at current rates, they also provide estimates of the fair market values of interest sensitive items based upon a hypothetical instantaneous and permanent move both up and down 100 and 200 basis points in the entire yield curve.

The first table is an economic analysis showing the present value impact of changes in interest rates, assuming a comprehensive mark-to-market environment. The second table is an accounting analysis showing the same net present value impact, adjusted for expected GAAP treatment. Neither analysis takes into account the book values of the noninterest sensitive assets and liabilities (such as cash, accounts receivable, and fixed assets), the values of which are not directly determined by interest rates.

The analyses are based on discounted cash flows over the remaining estimated lives of the financial instruments. The interest rate sensitivities apply only to transactions booked as of June 30, 2009, although certain accounts are normalized whereby the three- or
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six-month average balance is included rather than the quarter-end balance in order to avoid having the analysis skewed by a significant increase or decrease to an account balance at quarter end.

The tables that follow should be considered in light of the following:
The net asset value sensitivities do not necessarily represent the changes in the segments net asset value that would actually occur under the given interest rate scenarios, as sensitivities do not reflect changes in value of the companies as a going concern, or consider potential rebalancing or other management actions that might be taken in the future under asset/liability management as interest rates change.
The tables below show modeled changes in interest rates for individual asset classes. Asset classes in our portfolio have interest rate sensitivity tied to different underlying indices or instruments. While the rate sensitivity of individual asset classes presented below is our best estimate of changes in value due to interest rate changes, the total potential change figures are subject to basis risk between value changes of individual assets and liabilities which have not been included in the model.
Few of the asset classes shown react to interest rate changes in a linear fashion. That is, the point estimates we have made at Current and $+/-2 \%$ and $+/-1 \%$ are appropriate estimates at those amounts of rate change, but it may not be accurate to interpolate linearly between those points. This is most evident in products that contain optionality in payment timing or pricing such as mortgage servicing or nonmaturity transaction deposits. Finally, the tables show theoretical outcomes for dramatic changes in interest rates which do not consider potential rebalancing or repositioning of hedges and balance sheet mix. Normal fluctuations in non-interest sensitive assets and liabilities can cause fluctuations in interest-sensitive assets and liabilities that can cause the market value of equity to fluctuate from year to year.

Economic Value Change Method

## Interest Sensitive Assets

Loans and other assets Loans held for sale
Mortgage servicing rights
Interest sensitive financial derivatives

Total interest sensitive assets

## Interest Sensitive Liabilities

Deposits
Short-term borrowings
Long-term debt ${ }^{(1)}$
Total interest sensitive
liabilities
Net market value as of March 31, 2009

Change from current
Net market value as of
December 31, 2008

Present Value at June 30, 2009 Change in Interest Rates of: -2\% -1\% $\quad$ Current $\mathbf{+ 1 \%}+\mathbf{+ 2 \%}$ (In Thousands)

Change from current
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\$ & 16,165 & \$ & 7,756 & \$ & \$ & 4,148 & \$ & 11,537\end{array}$
(1) Includes certain debt which is categorized as collateralized borrowings in other sections of this document
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## GAAP-Based Value Change Method



| $\$ 7,032$ | $\$ 6,853$ | $\$ 6,675$ | $\$ 6,498$ | $\$ 6,330$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 279,015 | 279,015 | 279,015 | 279,015 | 279,015 |
| 4,481 | 4,700 | 5,528 | 6,740 | 7,190 |
| $(1,847)$ | $(1,516)$ | $(1,135)$ | $(779)$ | $(434)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 288,681 | 289,052 | 290,083 | 291,474 | 292,101 |

## Interest Sensitive Liabilities

Deposits ${ }^{(1)}$
Short-term borrowings ${ }^{(1)}$
Long-term debt ${ }^{(1)}$

Total interest sensitive liabilities

| Net market value as of March 31, 2009 | $\$ 288,681$ | $\$ 289,052$ | $\$ 290,083$ | $\$ 291,474$ | $\$ 292,101$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Change from current | $\$(1,402)$ | $\$(1,031)$ | $\$$ | $\$ 1,391$ | $\$ 2,018$ |  |
| Net market value as of December 31, <br> 2008 | $\$ 66,249$ | $\$ 66,672$ | $\$ 64,563$ | $\$ 67,564$ | $\$ 70,717$ |  |
| Change from current | $\$ 1,686$ | $\$ 2,109$ | $\$$ | $\$ 83,001$ | $\$$ | 6,154 |

1) Value does not change in
GAAP
presentation
Off-Balance Sheet Instruments
In the normal course of our business as a provider of financial services, we are party to certain financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financial needs of our customers. These financial instruments include loan commitments and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized on the consolidated balance sheet. We follow the same credit policies in making commitments and contractual obligations as we do for our on-balance sheet instruments.

Our exposure to credit loss, in the form of nonperformance by the counterparty on commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Collateral pledged for standby letters of credit and commitments varies but may include accounts receivable; inventory; property, plant, and equipment; and residential real estate. Total outstanding commitments to extend credit at June 30, 2009 were $\$ 0.4$ billion and at December 31, 2008 were $\$ 0.6$ billion. We had $\$ 37$ million and $\$ 31$ million in irrevocable standby letters of credit outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.
Derivative Financial Instruments
Financial derivatives are used as part of the overall asset/liability risk management process. We use certain derivative instruments that qualify and certain derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting
treatment under SFAS 133. The derivatives that do not qualify for hedge treatment are classified as other assets and other liabilities and are marked to market on the income statement. While we do not seek Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) hedge accounting treatment for the assets and liabilities that these instruments are hedging, the economic purpose of these instruments is to manage the risk inherent in existing exposures to either interest rate risk or foreign currency risk. For detail of our derivative activities, see Note 10 of our Consolidated Financial Statements.
Operational and Compliance Risk
Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. Irwin Financial, like other financial services organizations, is exposed to a variety of operational risks. These risks include regulatory,
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reputational and legal risks, as well as the potential for processing or modeling errors, internal or external fraud, failure of computer systems, unauthorized access to information, and external events that are beyond the control of the Corporation, such as natural disasters.

Compliance risk is the risk of loss resulting from failure to comply with laws and regulations. While Irwin Financial is exposed to a variety of compliance risks, the two most significant arise from our consumer lending activities and our status as a public company.

Our Board of Directors has ultimate accountability for the level of operational and compliance risk we assume. The Board guides management by approving our business strategy and significant policies. Our management and Board have also established (and continue to improve) a control environment that encourages a high degree of awareness of the need to alert senior management and the Board of potential control issues on a timely basis.

The Board has directed that primary responsibility for the management of operational and compliance risk rests with the managers of our segments, who are responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control procedures that are appropriate for their operations. Our enterprise-wide risk management function provides an independent assessment of line management $s$ operational risk mitigation procedures. This function, which includes enterprise-wide oversight of compliance, reports to the Chief Risk Officer (CRO), who in turn reports to the Risk Committee of our Board of Directors. We have developed risk and control summaries for our key business processes. Segment and corporate-level managers use these summaries to assist in identifying operational and other risks for the purpose of monitoring and strengthening internal and disclosure controls. Our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Board of Directors, as well as the management committees of our subsidiaries, use the risk summaries to assist in overseeing and assessing the adequacy of our internal and disclosure controls, including the adequacy of our controls over financial reporting as required by section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act.

## Regulatory Environment

The financial services business is highly regulated. Failure to comply with these regulations could result in substantial monetary or other damages that could be material to our financial position as well as significant enforcement actions against us of increasing severity, up to and including a regulatory takeover of our bank and/or thrift subsidiaries. Statutes and regulations may change in the future. We cannot predict what effect these changes, if made, will have on our operations. It should be noted that the supervision, regulation and examination of banks, thrifts and mortgage companies by regulatory agencies are intended primarily for the protection of depositors and other customers rather than shareholders of these institutions.

We are registered as a bank holding company with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the related regulations. We are subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Federal Reserve, and as part of this process we must file reports and additional information with the Federal Reserve. As an Indiana state chartered bank, our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust, including its subsidiaries, is subject to examination by the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions and is also subject to examination, due to its membership in the Federal Reserve System, by the Federal Reserve. As a federal savings bank, our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., is subject to examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision. The regulation, supervision and examinations of our enterprise occur at the local, state and federal levels and involve, but are not limited to, minimum capital requirements, consumer protection, community reinvestment, and deposit insurance.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

The quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are reported in the Market Risk (including Interest Rate and Foreign Exchange Risk) section of Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations found on pages 58 through 60.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Corporation carried out an evaluation as required by Rule 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ( Exchange Act ), under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ) and the Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ), of the effectiveness of the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures as defined
in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e). Based on this evaluation, the CEO and the CFO have concluded that the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2009.
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Internal Control Over Financial Reporting In connection with the evaluation performed by management with the participation of the CEO and the CFO as required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(d) or 15d-15(d), there were no changes in the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. Other Information.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.
(c) (Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities). From time to time, we repurchase shares in connection with our equity-based compensation plans. We did not have any repurchase activity in the past three months.

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

a) We held our Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 29, 2009.
b) Proposal No. 1. The following Director Nominees were elected to serve on the Board until the 2012 Annual Meeting, by the votes set forth below.

|  |  | Shares <br> Withheld |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| David W. Goodrich | Nominees | Shares For | 900,411 |
| Brenda J. Lauderback |  | $26,439,412$ | 900,820 |
| John C. McGinty, Jr. | $26,439,003$ | $1,054,779$ |  |
| Marita Zuraitis | $26,285,044$ | 954,442 |  |

The following directors are currently serving terms that expire as set forth below:

Sally A. Dean 2010
R. David Hoover 2011

William H. Kling 2010
William I. Miller 2011
Dayton H. Molendorp 2011
Lance R. Odden 2010
c) In addition to the election of directors, the shareholders voted on and approved the following proposals:

|  |  | Shares | Broker |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matter | Shares For | Shares |  |  |
|  | Against | Abstained | Non-votes |  |

$19,781,444 \quad 515,861 \quad 62,068 \quad 6,980,452$

Proposal No. 3: Approval of the Irwin Financial Corporation and Affiliates Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan to qualify the plan as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code

Proposal No. 4: Confirmation of Independent Public Accountants

$$
26,948,155 \quad 342,876
$$
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## Item 5. Other Information.

(a) On July 31, 2009, the Corporation agreed to the July 24, 2009 letter from Cummins Inc. extending its Standby Purchase Agreement commitment, as well as its indication of interest regarding any obligation to purchase common shares as part of a recapitalization plan, through December 31, 2009.

## Item 6. Exhibits.

## Exhibit

## Number

## Description of Exhibit

2.1 Asset Purchase Agreement by and among Irwin Financial Corporation, Irwin Mortgage Corporation and Freedom Mortgage Corporation dated as of August 7, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibits 2.1 and 2.2 of Form 8-K filed October 2, 2006, File No. 001-16691.)
2.2 Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of the 21st day of July, 2008, by and among EQ Acquisitions 2003, Inc., Equilease Financial Services, Inc., Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation, Equipment Finance, and Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended September 30, 2008, and filed November 10, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
2.3 Letter Amendment to Asset Purchase Agreement dated July 21, 2008 by and among Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation, Equipment Finance, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, EQ Acquisitions 2003, Inc., and Equilease Financial Services, Inc. dated July 30, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended September 30, 2008, and filed November 10, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
2.4 Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of the 23rd day of July, 2008 by and among Roynat Inc. and Irwin Commercial Finance Canada Corporation and Onset Alberta Ltd. and Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended September 30, 2008, and filed November 10, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
2.5 Amended and Restated Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of July 31, 2008 among Roosevelt Management Company LLC, Navigator Mortgage Loan Trust 2008, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Irwin Union bank and Trust Company. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.5 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended September 30, 2008, and filed November 10, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
2.6 Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of the 31st day of March, 2009 by and among Green Tree Servicing LLC, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company and Irwin Home Equity Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.6 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended March 31, 2009 and filed May 11, 2009, File No. 001-16691.)
2.7 Loan Sale and Transfer of Servicing Agreement entered into June 30, 2009 by and between First Financial Bank, National Association and Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company.
2.8 Purchase and Assumption Agreement dated July 1, 2009. Parties: First Financial Bank, N.A., Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company and Irwin Union Realty, Inc.
3.1 Restated Articles of Incorporation of Irwin Financial Corporation, as amended November 3, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended September 30, 2008, and filed November 10, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
3.2 Code of By-laws of Irwin Financial Corporation, as amended November 28, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended September 30, 2008, and filed November 10, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form 10-K filed March 9, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)

## Table of Contents

## Exhibit

Number

## Description of Exhibit

4.2 Certain instruments defining the rights of the holders of long-term debt of Irwin Financial Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries, none of which authorize a total amount of indebtedness in excess of $10 \%$ of the total assets of the Corporation and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, have not been filed as Exhibits. The Corporation hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any of these agreements to the Commission upon request.
4.3 Rights Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2001, between Irwin Financial Corporation and Irwin Union Bank and Trust. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form 8-A filed March 2, 2001, File No. 000-06835.)
4.4 Appointment of Successor Rights Agent dated as of May 11, 2001 between Irwin Financial Corporation and National City Bank. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of Form S-8 filed on September 7, 2001, File No. 333-69156.)
10.1 *Irwin Financial Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended September 30, 1997, and filed August 12, 1997, File No. 000-06835.)
10.2 *Amendment Number One to Irwin Financial Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(1) to Form 10-K405 Report for the period ended December 31, 1997, filed March 30, 1998, File No. 000-06835.)
10.3 *Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company Business Development Board Compensation Program. (Incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed on July 19, 2000, File No. 333-41740.)
10.4 *Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company Business Development Board Compensation Program as amended November 28, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Form 10-K Report for the period ended December 31, 2007, filed March 14, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
10.5 *Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated 2001 Stock Plan, as amended and restated May 10, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of Form 8-K filed May 16, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.6 *Amendment Number One to the Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated 2001 Stock Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K filed February 11, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
10.7 *Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Stock Option Agreement and Notice of Stock Option Grant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Corporation s 8-K Current Report, filed May 9, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.8 *Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Restricted Stock Agreement and Notice of Restricted Stock Award. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Corporation s 8-K Current Report, filed May 9, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.9 *Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Stock Option Agreement (Canada) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Corporation s 10-Q Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2005,

File No. 001-16691.)
10.10 *Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (with Performance Criteria) and Notice of Restricted Stock Award with Performance Criteria. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of Form 8-K, filed May 16, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.11 *Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (with Performance Criteria) and Notice of Restricted Stock Unit Award with Performance Criteria. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 8-K, filed February 11, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
10.12 *Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (No Performance Criteria) and Notice of Restricted Stock Unit Award. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Form 10-K Report for the period ended December 31, 2007, filed March 14, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
10.13 *Irwin Financial Corporation 1999 Outside Director Restricted Stock Compensation Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 of the Corporation s 2004 Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, filed March 18, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)

Exhibit
Number
10.14 *Amendment Number One to the Irwin Financial Corporation 1999 Outside Director Restricted Stock Compensation Plan, as amended December 4, 2008, and effective January 1, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2008 and filed March 31, 2009, File No. 001-16691.)
10.15 *Employee Stock Purchase Plan III. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended September 30, 1999, File No. 000-06835.)
10.16 *Employee Stock Purchase Plan III Amendment One effective September 21, 2001 and Amendment Two effective September 17, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2008 and filed March 31, 2009, File No. 001-16691.)
10.17 *Employee Stock Purchase Plan III, as amended and filed as Appendix A to the Irwin Financial Corporation Definitive Proxy Statement on April 17, 2009. (Incorporated by reference, File No. 001-16691.)
10.18 *Irwin Financial Corporation and Affiliates Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan effective May 8, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2008 and filed March 31, 2009, File No. 001-16691.)
10.19 *Onset Capital Corporation Employment Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002, File No. 000-06835.)
10.20 *Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for [Named Executive], effective January 1, 2005, and as amended December 4, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2008 and filed March 31, 2009, File No. 001-16691.)
10.21 *Amendment One to the Irwin Financial Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for [Named Executive], effective January 1, 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2008 and filed March 31, 2009, File No. 001-16691.)
10.22 *Stock Purchase Agreement by and between Onset Holdings Inc. and Irwin International Corporation dated December 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.23 *Shareholder Agreement Termination Agreement by and between Irwin Commercial Finance Canada Corporation and Irwin International Corporation dated December 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.24 *Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation First Amended and Restated Shareholder Agreement dated May 15, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
*Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation 2005 Stock Option Agreement Grant of Option to Joseph LaLeggia dated December 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.26 *Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation 2005 Notice of Stock Option Grant to Joseph LaLeggia dated December 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.27 *Irwin Union Bank Amended and Restated Performance Unit Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 of Form 10- K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.28 *Irwin Commercial Finance Amended and Restated Performance Unit Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.29 *First Amendment to the Irwin Commercial Finance Amended and Restated Performance Unit Plan, dated October 31, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 of Form 10-K report for the period ended December 31, 2006, File No. 001-16691.)
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10.30 *Irwin Home Equity Corporation Performance Unit Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.31 *Supplemental Performance Unit Grant-Jocelyn Martin-Leano, dated February 6, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 of Form 10-K filed March 9, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.32 *Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated 2007 Performance Unit Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2008 and filed March 31, 2009, File No. 001-16691.)
10.33 *Agreement General Release and Covenant Not to Sue between Irwin Financial Corporation, and Thomas D. Washburn executed December 5, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of Form 8-K filed December 13, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.34 *Amendment to 2005 Stock Option Agreement between Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation and Joseph R. LaLeggia, dated July 28, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended September 30, 2008, and filed November 10, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
10.35 *Letter setting forth the Redemption Agreement between Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation and Joseph R. LaLeggia, dated July 29, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended September 30, 2008, and filed November 10, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
10.36 *Retention Incentive Agreement by and among Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Jocelyn Martin-Leano dated September 10, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 of Form 10-Q Report for quarter ended September 30, 2008, and filed November 10, 2008, File No. 001-16691.)
10.37 Standby Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 13, 2008, by and between Irwin Financial Corporation and Cummins Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K filed October 14, 2008, File No. 001-16691).
10.38 Written Agreement by and among Irwin Financial Corporation, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, and the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions, dated October 10, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 8-K filed October 14, 2008, File No. 001-16691).
10.39 Supervisory Agreement by and between Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. and the Office of Thrift Supervision, dated October 10, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Form 8-K filed October 14, 2008, File No. 001-16691).
10.40 Extension of Standby Purchase Agreement between Irwin Financial Corporation and Cummins Inc. dated March 5, 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2008 and filed March 31, 2009, File No. 001-16691.)

Stipulation and Consent to Issuance of Order to Cease and Desist Order by and between the Office of Thrift Supervision and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. effective July 24, 2009.
10.42 Extension of Standby Purchase Commitment and Extension of Indication of Interest between Irwin Financial Corporation and Cummins, Inc. agreed as of July 31, 2009.
11.1 Computation of Earnings Per Share is included in the Notes to the Financial Statements.
31.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Chief Executive Officer.
31.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Chief Financial Officer.
32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Indicates
management
contract or
compensatory
plan or
arrangement.


## Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ Gregory F. Ehlinger<br>GREGORY F. EHLINGER<br>CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

By: /s/ Jody A. Littrell<br>JODY A. LITTRELL<br>CORPORATE CONTROLLER<br>(Chief Accounting Officer)

