

FLEXTRONICS INTERNATIONAL LTD.

Form 10-K/A

July 28, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form 10-K/A
Amendment No. 1**

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number 000-23354

FLEXTRONICS INTERNATIONAL LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Singapore

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

One Marina Boulevard, #28-00

Singapore

(Address of registrant's principal executive offices)

Not Applicable

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

018989

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

(65) 6890 7188

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Ordinary Shares, No Par Value	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (NASDAQ Global Select Market)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: FLEXTRONICS INTERNATIONAL LTD. - Form 10-K/A

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

As of September 26, 2008, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the Company's ordinary shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$6.2 billion based upon the closing sale price as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (NASDAQ Global Select Market).

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at July 24, 2009
Ordinary Shares, No Par Value	810,719,538

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Explanatory Note	
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	3
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	5
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	9
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u>	43
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	46
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	49
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	50
<u>Signatures</u>	55
<u>EX-31.01</u>	
<u>EX-31.02</u>	

Table of Contents**EXPLANATORY NOTE**

The Registrant is filing this Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A to amend its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 20, 2009, for the purpose of providing the information required by Part II Securities Authorized For Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans and Part III of Form 10-K. The information required by Part II Securities Authorized For Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans and Part III of Form 10-K is no longer being incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Proxy Statement. Except as set forth in Part II and Part III below, no other changes are made to the original Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009. Unless expressly stated, this Amendment No. 1 does not reflect events occurring after the filing of the original Form 10-K, nor does it modify or update in any way the disclosures contained in the original Form 10-K. Throughout this report, references to the company, we, our, or us refer to Flextronics International Ltd. and its consolidated subsidiaries, taken as a whole, unless the context otherwise indicates.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS**

As of March 31, 2009, we maintained (i) the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the 2001 Plan, (ii) the 2002 Interim Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the 2002 Plan, (iii) the 2004 Award Plan for New Employees, which we refer to as the 2004 Plan, and (iv) the Solectron Corporation 2002 Stock Plan, which we refer to as the SLR Plan. None of the 2004 Plan, the 2002 Plan or the SLR Plan have been approved by our shareholders. The following table provides information about equity awards under all of these equity incentive plans as of March 31, 2009.

Plan Category	Number of Ordinary Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Vesting of Share Bonus Awards	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options (1)	Number of Ordinary Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Ordinary Shares Reflected in Column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	68,751,363(2)	\$ 8.85	15,462,381(3)
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders (4), (5), (6), (7)	16,430,767(8)	\$ 11.37	23,433,234(9)
Total	85,182,130	\$ 9.26	38,895,615

(1) The weighted-average exercise price does not take into account ordinary

shares issuable upon the vesting of outstanding share bonus awards, which have no exercise price.

- (2) Includes 6,336,730 ordinary shares issuable upon the vesting of share bonus awards granted under the 2001 Plan. The remaining balance consists of ordinary shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options. Approximately 3.1 million shares subject to share bonus awards are subject to performance criteria which management of the company believes are not probable of being achieved and these awards are not expected to vest.
- (3) Consists of ordinary shares available for grant under the 2001 Plan and shares available under prior company plans and assumed plans that were consolidated into the 2001 Plan.

The 2001 Plan provides for grants of up to 62,000,000 ordinary shares, plus ordinary shares issued or issuable pursuant to stock awards available for grant as a result of the forfeiture, expiration or termination of options granted under such consolidated plans (if such ordinary shares are issued under such other stock options, they will not become available under the 2001 Plan) and shares that were available for grant under such plans at the time of the consolidation of such plans into the 2001 Plan.

Table of Contents

(4) The 2004 Plan was established in October 2004 and, unless earlier terminated by our Board of Directors, will continue until October 21, 2014. The purpose of the 2004 Plan is to provide incentives to attract, retain and motivate eligible persons whose potential contributions are important to our success by offering such persons an opportunity to participate in our future performance through stock awards. Awards under the 2004 Plan may be granted only to persons who:

- (a) were not previously an employee or director of the company or
- (b) have either
 - (i) completed a period of *bona fide* non-employment by the company of at least one year, or
 - (ii) are returning to service as an employee of the company, after a period of *bona*

fide

non-employment of less than one year due to our acquisition of such person's employer; and then only as an incentive to such persons entering into employment with us. We may grant nonqualified stock options and share bonus awards under the 2004 Plan. The 2004 Plan provides for grants of up to 10,000,000 shares. The exercise price of options granted under the 2004 Plan is determined by the Compensation Committee and may not be less than the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. Options granted under the 2004 Plan generally vest over four years and expire 10 years from the date of grant. Unvested options are forfeited upon termination of employment. Share bonus awards generally vest in installments over a three- to

five-year period and unvested share bonus awards are also forfeited upon termination of employment.

- (5) Our 2002 Plan was adopted by our Board of Directors in May 2002 and, unless earlier terminated by our Board of Directors, will continue until May 6, 2012. The adoption of the 2002 Plan was necessitated by our internal growth, our multiple acquisitions and the requirement to provide equity compensation for employees consistent with competitors and peer companies. The Board reserved an aggregate of 20,000,000 ordinary shares for issuance under the 2002 Plan. The 2002 Plan provides for the grant of nonqualified stock options and share bonus awards. Grants of awards to executives and non-employee directors may not exceed 49% of the

shares reserved for grant under the plan. Options granted under the 2002 Plan generally have an exercise price of not less than the fair market value of the underlying ordinary shares on the date of grant. Options granted under the 2002 Plan generally vest over four years and expire 10 years from the date of grant. Unvested options are forfeited upon termination of employment. Share bonus awards generally vest in installments over a three- to five-year period and unvested share bonus awards are also forfeited upon termination of employment.

- (6) We have assumed equity incentive plans in connection with the acquisition of certain companies. Options to purchase a total of 7,202,654 ordinary shares under such assumed plans remained outstanding as of

March 31, 2009.
These options have a weighted-average exercise price of \$8.62 per share. These options have been converted into options to purchase our ordinary shares on the terms specified in the applicable acquisition agreement, but are otherwise administered in accordance with terms of the assumed plans. Options under the assumed plans generally vest over four years and expire 10 years from the date of grant.

- (7) In connection with the acquisition of Solectron Corporation on October 1, 2007, we assumed the SLR Plan, including all outstanding options to purchase Solectron Corporation common stock with exercise prices equal to, or less than, \$5.00 per share. Each assumed option was converted

into an option to acquire our ordinary shares at the applicable exchange rate of 0.345. As a result, we assumed approximately 7.4 million vested and unvested options with exercise prices ranging from between \$5.45 and \$14.41 per ordinary share. We may grant incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options under the SLR Plan. Options granted under the SLR Plan generally have an exercise price of not less than the fair value of the underlying ordinary shares on the date of grant. Such options generally vest over four years and expire 10 years from the date of grant. Unvested options are forfeited upon termination of employment.

- (8) Includes 4,120,175 ordinary shares issuable upon the vesting of share bonus awards granted under the 2002 Plan and the 2004 Plan. The

remaining balance
consists of
ordinary shares
issuable upon the
exercise of
outstanding stock
options.

- (9) As of March 31,
2009, 1,101,270
ordinary shares
remained
available for grant
under the 2002
Plan and
3,890,879
ordinary shares
remained
available for grant
under the 2004
Plan. There were
approximately
18.4 million
shares available
for grant under
the SLR Plan.

Table of Contents**PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE
OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Article 95 of our Articles of Association requires that at each annual general meeting one-third of the directors (or, if their number is not a multiple of three, then the number nearest to but not more than one-third of the directors), are required to retire from office. The directors required to retire in each year are those who have been in office the longest since their last re-election or appointment. As between persons who became or were last re-elected directors on the same day, those required to retire are (unless they otherwise agree among themselves) determined by lot. Under Article 91 of our Articles of Association, any director holding office as a Chief Executive Officer shall not be subject to retirement by rotation, unless the Board of Directors determines otherwise, or be taken into account in determining the number of directors required to retire by rotation. Retiring directors are eligible for re-election. Article 101 of our Articles of Association requires that any person appointed as a director of the company by the Board of Directors shall hold office only until our next annual general meeting, and shall then be eligible for re-election.

On April 14, 2009, Ambassador Rockwell A. Schnabel announced his intention to retire from our Board of Directors at our 2009 annual general meeting of shareholders and on June 18, 2009, Mr. Ajay Shah announced his intention to retire from our Board at the 2009 annual general meeting. Neither director will stand for re-election.

The Companies Act requires that we must have at all times at least one director ordinarily resident in Singapore. Mr. Tan, the only member of our Board of Directors who is ordinarily resident in Singapore, was last re-elected to the Board at the 2007 annual general meeting.

Members of Our Board of Directors

H. Raymond Bingham (age 63) Mr. Bingham has served as our Chairman of the Board since January 2008 and as a member of our Board of Directors since October 2005. He is Managing Director of General Atlantic LLC, a global private equity firm. Previously, Mr. Bingham served in various positions with Cadence Design Systems, Inc., a supplier of electronic design automation software and services, from 1997 through 2005, most recently as its Executive Chairman from May 2004 to July 2005, director from November 1997 to April 2004, President and Chief Executive Officer from April 1999 to May 2004, and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from April 1993 to April 1999. Mr. Bingham also serves on the boards of STMicroelectronics and Oracle Corporation.

James A. Davidson (age 49) Mr. Davidson has served as a member of our Board of Directors since March 2003. He is a co-founder and managing director of Silver Lake, a private equity investment firm. From June 1990 to November 1998, he was an investment banker with Hambrecht & Quist, most recently serving as Managing Director and Head of Technology Investment Banking. From 1984 to 1990, Mr. Davidson was a corporate and securities lawyer with Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro. Mr. Davidson was appointed to our Board of Directors as a designee of Silver Lake, in connection with the issuance to Silver Lake in 2003 of our Zero Coupon Convertible Junior Subordinated Notes due 2009.

Robert L. Edwards (age 53) Mr. Edwards has served as a member of our Board of Directors since October 2008. Mr. Edwards, executive vice president and chief financial officer of Safeway Inc., was appointed to his current position in March 2004, and was previously executive vice president and chief financial officer of Maxtor Corporation. Prior to joining Maxtor, Mr. Edwards was an officer at Imation Corporation, a developer, manufacturer and supplier of magnetic and optical data storage media, where he held the position of senior vice president, chief financial officer and chief administrative officer.

Michael M. McNamara (age 52) Mr. McNamara has served as a member of our Board of Directors since October 2005, and as our Chief Executive Officer since January 1, 2006. Prior to his appointment as Chief Executive Officer, Mr. McNamara served as our Chief Operating Officer from January 2002 through January 2006 and as President, Americas Operations from April 1997 to December 2001, and as Vice President, North American Operations from April 1994 to April 1997. Mr. McNamara also serves on the board of MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc.

Table of Contents

Rockwell A. Schnabel (age 72) Mr. Schnabel has served as a member of our Board of Directors since February 2006. Mr. Schnabel is founding partner and advisory director of Trident Capital Partners, a venture capital firm, where he also served as a managing director from its inception in 1993 until 2001. From 2001 to 2005, Mr. Schnabel served as the U.S. Representative to the European Union. Prior to that time, he served at the U.S. Department of Commerce as Undersecretary, Deputy Secretary and Acting Secretary of Commerce in the administration of President George H.W. Bush, and he served under President Reagan as U.S. Ambassador to Finland.

Daniel H. Schulman (age 51) Mr. Schulman has served as a member of our Board of Directors since June 2009. He is the Chief Executive Officer and Director for Virgin Mobile USA, a wireless service provider. Mr. Schulman has also served as the Chief Executive Officer of Priceline.com from June 1999 to May 2001. Prior to joining Priceline, Mr. Schulman served more than 18 years at AT&T. Mr. Schulman is a member of the board of directors of Symantec and the chair of its compensation committee. Mr. Schulman also serves on the board of trustees of Rutgers University and Autism Speaks.

Ajay B. Shah (age 49) Mr. Shah has served as a member of our Board of Directors since October 2005. Mr. Shah is a Managing Director of Silver Lake Sumeru and the Managing Partner of the Shah Capital Partners Fund. Previously, Mr. Shah was President and Chief Executive Officer of the Technology Solutions unit of Solectron Corporation and a member of its board of directors.

Willy C. Shih, Ph.D. (age 58) Dr. Shih has served as a member of our Board of Directors since January 2008. Dr. Shih is currently a Professor of Management Practice for the Harvard Business School, a role he has held since January 2007. From August 2005 to September 2006, Dr. Shih served as Executive Vice President of Thomson, a provider of digital video technologies. He was an independent intellectual property consultant from February 2005 to August 2005. Dr. Shih served as Senior Vice President of Eastman Kodak Company from July 1997 to February 2005. Dr. Shih serves on the board of directors of Atheros Communications, Inc.

Lip-Bu Tan (age 49) Mr. Tan has served as a member of our Board of Directors since April 2003. In 1987, he founded and since that time has served as Chairman of Walden International, a venture capital fund. Mr. Tan also serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of Cadence Design Systems, Inc. He also serves on the boards of Semiconductor Manufacturing International Corporation and SINA Corporation.

William D. Watkins (age 57) Mr. Watkins has served as a member of our Board of Directors since April 2009. He most recently served as Seagate Technology's Chief Executive Officer from 2004 through January 2009. Previously, Mr. Watkins was Seagate's President and Chief Operating Officer, a position he had held since 2000. During that time, he was responsible for the company's hard disc drive operations, including recording heads, media and other components, and related R&D and product development organizations. Mr. Watkins joined Seagate in 1996 with the company's merger with Conner Peripherals. In addition to Flextronics, he currently serves on the board of directors of Vertical Circuits Inc. and Maxim Integrated Products.

Board Committees

The standing committees of our Board of Directors are the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The table below provides current membership for each of these committees.

Name	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
H. Raymond Bingham			X**
James A. Davidson		X*	
Robert L. Edwards	X*		X
Michael M. McNamara			
Rockwell A. Schnabel		X	X**

Table of Contents

Name	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
Ajay B. Shah	X		
Daniel H. Schulman		X	
Willy C. Shih			X
Lip-Bu Tan	X		
William D. Watkins	X		

* Committee
Chair

** Committee
Co-Chair

OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The names, ages and positions of our executive officers as of July 28, 2009 are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
Michael M. McNamara	52	Chief Executive Officer
Paul Read	43	Chief Financial Officer
Sean P. Burke	47	President, Computing
Michael J. Clarke	54	President, Infrastructure
Christopher Collier	41	Senior Vice President, Finance
Carrie L. Schiff	43	Senior Vice President and General Counsel
Gernot Weiss	45	President, Mobile Market
Werner Widmann	57	President, Multek

Michael M. McNamara. Mr. McNamara has served as our Chief Executive Officer since January 2006, and as a member of our Board of Directors since October 2005. Prior to his promotion, Mr. McNamara served as our Chief Operating Officer from January 2002 through January 2006, as President, Americas Operations from April 1997 to December 2001, and as Vice President, North American Operations from April 1994 to April 1997. Mr. McNamara received a B.S. from the University of Cincinnati and an M.B.A. from Santa Clara University.

Paul Read. Mr. Read has served as our Chief Financial Officer since June 30, 2008. Prior to his promotion, Mr. Read served as Executive Vice President of Finance for Flextronics Worldwide Operations since October 2005, as Senior Vice President of Finance for Flextronics Worldwide Operations from February 2001 to October 2005, and as Vice President, Finance of Flextronics Americas Operations from August 1997 to February 2001. Mr. Read is a member of the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants.

Sean P. Burke. Mr. Burke has served as our President, Computing since October 16, 2005. Prior to joining us, Mr. Burke was the Executive Vice President of Iomega Corporation from January 2003 through September 2005. Preceding Iomega Corporation, Mr. Burke held a number of executive positions at Dell, Inc., Compaq Computer Corporation and HP Company. Mr. Burke received a B.B.A. degree from the University of North Texas.

Michael J. Clarke. Mr. Clarke has served as President of FlexInfrastructure since January 2006. Prior to joining us, Mr. Clarke served as a President and General Manager of Sanmina-SCI Corporation from October 1999 to December 2005. Mr. Clarke has over 25 years of Senior Executive, business development and hands-on operational experience managing global companies in major industries including Aerospace and Defense, Automotive and Industrial. Formerly, Mr. Clarke has held senior positions with international companies including Devtek Corporation, Hawker Siddeley and Cementation Africa, Mr. Clarke was educated as a Mechanical Engineer from Bradford Polytechnic, England, with enhanced professional development programs from University of Western Ontario, Canada and Columbia University, USA.

Christopher Collier. Mr. Collier, our Principal Accounting Officer since May 1, 2007, has served as our Senior Vice President, Finance since December 2004. Prior to his appointment as Senior Vice President, Finance in 2004, Mr. Collier served as Vice President, Finance and Corporate Controller since he joined us in April 2000. Mr. Collier is a certified public accountant and he received a B.S. in Accounting from State University of New York at Buffalo.

Carrie L. Schiff. Ms. Schiff has served as our Senior Vice President and General Counsel since June 1, 2006. Prior to her appointment as Senior Vice President and General Counsel, Ms. Schiff served as Vice President, General Counsel from February 1, 2004 to June 1, 2006 and as Associate General Counsel from July 2001 through

Table of Contents

January 2004. Prior to joining us, Ms. Schiff was the Senior Vice President, Corporate Development of USA.Net, Inc., from April 1999 until June 2001. Preceding USA.Net, Inc., Ms. Schiff was a partner with the firm of Cooley Godward. Ms. Schiff received an A.B. from the University of Chicago and her law degree from the University of California, Los Angeles.

Gernot Weiss. Mr. Weiss has served as our President, Mobile Market since January 2006. Prior to his appointment as President, Mobile Market, Mr. Weiss served as Senior Vice President of Sales and Marketing and Account Management in Europe and held various other positions in operations and account management. Mr. Weiss joined us with the acquisition of Neutronics in 1998, where he was a general manager since 1994. Previously, Mr. Weiss worked with Philips Electronics from 1984 to 1994. Mr. Weiss holds an Electrical Engineering Diploma and a diploma in Economics from the University in Klagenfurt, Austria.

Werner Widmann. Mr. Widmann has served as President, Multek since January 2004. Prior to his promotion, he served as General Manager of Multek Germany beginning in October 2002. Prior to joining Multek, Mr. Widmann was Managing Director of Inboard from 1999 to 2002 and held various technical and managerial positions with STP, Inboard-SSGI, Siemens AG and IBM Sindelfingen throughout his 33 year-career in the PCB industry. Mr. Widmann received his degree in mechanical/electrical engineering from the University for Applied Sciences (Fachhochschule), Karlsruhe.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is currently composed of Messrs. Edwards, Shah, Tan and Watkins, each of whom the Board has determined to be independent and to meet the financial experience requirements under both the rules of the SEC and the listing standards of the NASDAQ Global Select Market. The Board has also determined that Mr. Edwards is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of the rules of the SEC and is financially sophisticated within the meaning of the listing standards of the NASDAQ Global Select Market. The Audit Committee held 7 meetings during fiscal year 2009. The committee's principal functions are to:

monitor and evaluate periodic reviews of the adequacy of the accounting and financial reporting processes and systems of internal control that are conducted by our financial and senior management, and our independent auditors;

be directly responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of our independent auditors (including resolution of any disagreements between our management and the auditors regarding financial reporting); and

facilitate communication among our independent auditors, our financial and senior management and our Board.

Our Board has adopted an Audit Committee Charter that is available on the Corporate Governance page of our website at www.flextronics.com.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10% of our ordinary shares to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Such persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms that they file. Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms furnished to us and written representations from our executive officers and directors, we believe that all Section 16(a) filing requirements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 were met.

CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which we refer to as the Code, is available on the Corporate Governance page of our website at www.flextronics.com. In accordance with SEC rules, we intend to disclose on the Corporate Governance page of our website any amendment (other than technical, administrative or other non-substantive amendments) to or any material waiver from, a provision of the Code that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller or persons performing similar

functions.

Table of Contents

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The information contained under this Compensation Committee Report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the SEC, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or be subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference into any such filing.

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the company has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis which follows this Report. Based on this review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the company's proxy statement for the 2009 annual general meeting of shareholders and its Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

Submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors:

James A. Davidson
Rockwell A. Schnabel

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

In this section, we discuss the material elements of our compensation programs and policies, including the objectives of our compensation programs and the reasons why we pay each element of our executives' compensation. Following this discussion, you will find a series of tables containing more specific details about the compensation earned by, or awarded to the following individuals, whom we refer to as the named executive officers or NEOs. This discussion focuses on compensation and practices relating to the named executive officers for our 2009 fiscal year:

Name	Position
Michael M. McNamara	Chief Executive Officer
Paul Read	Chief Financial Officer ¹
Michael J. Clarke	President, Infrastructure
Sean P. Burke	President, Computing
Carrie L. Schiff	Senior Vice President and General Counsel
Thomas J. Smach	Former Chief Financial Officer ²

(1) Paul Read was appointed Chief Financial Officer effective June 30, 2008.

(2) Thomas J. Smach resigned as Chief Financial Officer effective June 30, 2008.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors (referred to in this discussion as the Committee) seeks to align our compensation philosophy and objectives with our business strategy. On an annual basis, the Committee conducts a comprehensive review of our overall compensation strategy and competitive positioning, and recommends to our Board the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and all other executive officers. The Committee also oversees management's decisions concerning the compensation of other company officers, administers our equity compensation plans, and evaluates the effectiveness of our overall executive compensation programs.

Table of Contents

Independent Consultants and Advisors

The Committee has the authority to retain and terminate any independent, third-party compensation consultants and to obtain advice and assistance from internal and external legal, accounting and other advisors. During our 2009 fiscal year, the Committee engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (referred to in this discussion as F.W. Cook) as its independent adviser for certain executive compensation matters. F.W. Cook was retained by the Committee to provide an independent review of the company's executive compensation programs, including an analysis of both the competitive market and the design of the programs. As part of its report to the Committee, F.W. Cook selected peer companies, and provided competitive compensation data, benchmarking and analysis relating to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and our other executives and senior officers. The Committee relied on input from F.W. Cook in evaluating management's recommendations and arriving at the Committee's recommendations to the Board with respect to the elements of compensation discussed below in this discussion and analysis. However, in December 2008, the Committee recommended and our Board approved modifications to our annual incentive bonus plan and additional equity grants for our employees, including our executives, and in March 2009, the Committee recommended and our Board approved additional equity grants for our Chief Executive Officer. The Committee and our Board took these additional actions in order to better align our annual incentive bonus plan with our business strategy and to retain and incentivize our employees, including our executives. These actions were not part of the more formal annual compensation review and, accordingly, were not based on input from F.W. Cook. For further discussion, please see below under *Fiscal Year 2009 Executive Compensation Summary of Fiscal Year 2009 Compensation Decisions*, *Annual Incentive Bonus Plan Modification of Performance Metrics During Fiscal 2009* and *Stock-Based Compensation Grants During Fiscal Year 2009*.

F.W. Cook has not provided any other services to the company and has received no compensation other than with respect to the services provided to the Committee. The Committee expects that it will continue to retain an independent compensation consultant on future executive compensation matters.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

We believe that the quality, skills and dedication of our executive officers are critical factors affecting the company's performance and shareholder value. Accordingly, the key objective of our compensation programs is to attract, retain and motivate superior executive talent while maintaining an appropriate cost structure. In addition, our compensation programs are designed to link a substantial component of our executives' compensation to the achievement of performance goals that directly correlate to the enhancement of shareholder value. Finally, our compensation programs are designed to align our executives' interests with those of our shareholders.

To accomplish these objectives, the Committee has structured our compensation programs to include the following key features and compensation elements:

- base salaries, which are competitive with peer group companies, allowing the company to attract and retain key executives;

- annual cash bonuses, which are earned only if pre-established performance goals related to the company and business unit (in the cases of business unit executives) are achieved;

- equity-based compensation, which aligns our executives' interests with those of our shareholders and promotes executive retention;

- long-term cash bonuses and performance-based share bonus awards, which are earned only if pre-established performance goals related to the company and business unit (in the cases of business unit executives) are achieved; and

- deferred cash bonus awards, which are designed to promote executive retention, as these elements of compensation vest over a period of years only if the executive remains in the company's active employment.

The Committee does not maintain policies for allocating among current and long-term compensation or among cash and non-cash compensation. Instead, the Committee maintains flexibility and adjusts different elements of

compensation based upon its evaluation of the key compensation goals set forth above. However, as a general matter, the Committee seeks to allocate a substantial majority of the named executive officers' compensation to components that are performance-based and at-risk.

-10-

Table of Contents

While compensation levels may differ among NEOs based on competitive factors, and the role, responsibilities and performance of each specific NEO, there are no material differences in the compensation philosophies, objectives or policies for our NEOs. We do not maintain a policy regarding internal pay equity.

None of the named executive officers serves pursuant to an employment agreement, and each serves at the will of the company's Board of Directors. Similarly, we generally do not enter into severance agreements with, nor have we established severance arrangements for, our executive officers as part of the terms of their employment. This enables our Board to remove an executive officer, if necessary, prior to retirement or resignation whenever it is in our best interests. When an executive officer retires, resigns or is terminated, our Board exercises its business judgment in approving an appropriate separation or severance arrangement in light of all relevant circumstances, including the individual's term of employment, past accomplishments and reasons for separation from the company.

Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions

The Committee makes recommendations to our Board on all compensation actions relating to our executive officers. As part of its process, the Committee meets with our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to obtain recommendations with respect to the structure of our compensation programs, as well as an assessment of the performance of individual executives and recommendations on compensation for individual executives. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer meet with our Executive Vice President, Worldwide Human Resources and Management Systems and our Vice President, Global Compensation and Benefits to obtain additional input on these matters.

In connection with the formal compensation review process for fiscal year 2009, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer developed their recommendations based on the competitive data prepared by F.W. Cook. In addition, our Executive Vice President, Worldwide Human Resources and Management Systems and our Vice President, Global Compensation and Benefits relied on similar data prepared by Radford Consulting and Pearl Meyer & Partners, which were used to validate the data developed by F.W. Cook.

Competitive Positioning

To assist the Committee in arriving at its recommendations to our Board on the amounts and components of fiscal year 2009 compensation for our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers, F.W. Cook prepared for the Committee's review competitive compensation data as follows:

to benchmark compensation for our CEO and CFO, F.W. Cook constructed a peer group consisting of 24 high-profile technology companies in the EMS (electronic manufacturing services), OEM (original equipment manufacturer) and distribution sectors, and compiled compensation data from such companies SEC filings; and

to benchmark compensation for our other executives and senior officers, including our named executive officers (other than our CEO and CFO), F.W. Cook matched the executives and senior officers based on job title and responsibility to compensation data in a published compensation survey prepared by Radford Consulting covering technology companies with annual revenues greater than \$8 billion. F.W. Cook used the Radford survey data for our other NEOs, rather than the peer group data, because the Radford survey data provided a better match based upon job title and responsibility.

F.W. Cook selected all of the companies included in the CEO/CFO peer group. The peer group consisted of the following companies:

- | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. | Agilent Technologies, Inc. |
| Anixter International Inc. | Applied Materials, Inc. |
| Arrow Electronics, Inc. | Avnet, Inc. |
| Celestica Inc. | Cisco System, Inc. |
| Dell Inc. | Emerson Electric Co. |
| Hewlett-Packard Company | Honeywell International Inc. |
| Ingram Micro Inc. | Intel Corporation |
| Jabil Circuit, Inc. | Micron Technology, Inc. |

Motorola, Inc.

Seagate Technology

-11-

Table of Contents

Sun Microsystems, Inc.	Tech Data Corporation
Tyco International Ltd.	United Technologies Corporation
Western Digital Corporation	Xerox Corporation

The companies included in the Radford survey data used by F.W. Cook for their competitive analysis of our other executives and senior officers, including our NEOs (other than our CEO and CFO) are as follows:

Alcatel-Lucent	Amazon.com, Inc.
Apple Inc.	Applied Materials, Inc.
Arrow Electronics, Inc.	AT&T Inc.
Cisco Systems, Inc.	Comcast Corporation
Computer Sciences Corporation	Dell Inc.
The DIRECTV Group, Inc.	Eastman Kodak Company
Electronic Data Systems Corporation	EMC Corporation
General Dynamics Corporation	Google Inc.
Intel Corporation	Microsoft Corporation
Motorola, Inc.	Nokia Corporation
Nortel Networks Corporation	Oracle Corporation
QUALCOMM Incorporated	Qwest Communications International Inc.
Seagate Technology	Sprint Nextel Corporation
Sun Microsystems, Inc.	Texas Instruments Incorporated

For fiscal years 2008 and 2007, the Committee reviewed competitive data compiled by Pearl Meyer & Partners in determining CEO and CFO compensation. Pearl Meyer selected six companies in an industry peer group (one of which was Solectron Corporation, which we acquired in October 2007) and six companies in a high technology company peer group. Pearl Meyer also used data from a high technology company survey and an industry survey, both selected on the basis of revenue comparability.

For fiscal years 2008 and 2007, the Committee based its compensation recommendations for executives and senior officers, other than our CEO and CFO, on the nature and scope of these officers' responsibilities and leadership roles in relation to the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and on the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer. In these years, our Chief Executive Officer based his recommendations on competitive data compiled by Hay Group from executive compensation survey reports prepared by Hay Group and Radford Consulting.

The Committee believes that the competitive data compiled by F.W. Cook provides a more appropriate set of benchmarking data than the data used in previous years, given the company's revenue growth and the consolidation in the EMS industry. Due to these changes, F.W. Cook determined that it was appropriate to select peer technology companies in businesses that compete for similar executive talent and with a range of financial metric and market capitalization comparability. The Committee also believes that the Radford survey data used by F.W. Cook provided benchmarking data that was consistent with the CEO/CFO peer group and a better data match for our other NEOs.

The Committee seeks to set total target direct compensation for the company's executives at or above the 75th percentile of that provided by peer companies. Total target direct compensation is the sum of base salary, target annual incentive compensation and target long-term incentive awards. The Committee also seeks to target each component of total target direct compensation at these levels. However, total target direct compensation, as well as individual components, may vary by executive based on the executive's experience, level of responsibility and performance, as well as competitive market conditions. The compensation decisions discussed below under the section captioned *Fiscal Year 2009 Executive Compensation* reflect the Committee's objective of generally targeting the 75th percentile of peer company compensation. However, the compensation decisions made in December 2008 and March 2009, as summarized below under *Fiscal Year 2009 Executive Compensation Summary of Fiscal Year 2009 Compensation Decisions* and as discussed more fully in the sections captioned *Annual Incentive Bonus Plan Modification of Performance Metrics During Fiscal 2009* and *Stock-Based Compensation Grants During Fiscal*

Year 2009 were taken in response to the global economic crisis in order to better align our annual incentive bonus plan with our business strategy and to retain and incentivize our employees, including our executives. Accordingly, these elements of compensation were not part of the more formal annual compensation review, including the benchmarking process.

-12-

Table of Contents***Fiscal Year 2009 Executive Compensation*****Summary of Fiscal Year 2009 Compensation Decisions**

The Committee believes that management executed effectively on the company's business strategy in the current economic environment and performed exceptionally well in managing the controllable aspects of our business. For our first two fiscal quarters, we had record revenues and adjusted operating profits (which in the second fiscal quarter excluded approximately \$129 million in charges primarily for provisions for doubtful accounts receivable, the write-down of inventory and recognition of associated contractual obligations for financially distressed customers). Beginning with our third fiscal quarter and accelerating through our fourth fiscal quarter, the global economic crisis had a significant impact on our business, with almost every product category and every geographic region in which we operate experiencing a substantial reduction in customer demand. In response to the deteriorating economic environment, our Board upon the recommendation of the Committee modified certain elements of our fiscal 2009 compensation programs in order to better align our annual incentive bonus plan with our business strategy, and to assure retention of and to incentivize our employees, including our management team. To this end, we modified the performance metrics of our annual incentive bonus plan to focus our executives and senior officers on the following goals: controlling costs; improving internal efficiencies; reducing inventory levels; managing working capital; and generating cash flow. In addition, we made additional equity grants to our employees, including our executives and senior officers.

Our CEO's base salary was not adjusted in fiscal 2009. In connection with the appointment of Mr. Read as our Chief Financial Officer, his base salary was adjusted to a level that was between the median and 75th percentile of our peer companies. Our three other NEOs' base salaries were adjusted to levels approaching the 75th percentile of our peer companies, with the exception of Ms. Schiff, whose base salary remains below the median level. Annual incentive awards were 110.0% of target for Mr. McNamara; 117.14% of target for Mr. Read; 116.23% of target for Mr. Clarke; 77.15% of target for Mr. Burke; and 146.41% of target for Ms. Schiff. Aggregate cash compensation in the form of base salary and incentive bonuses paid to the NEOs (other than Mr. Smach) for fiscal year 2009 was lower than fiscal year 2008 by the following percentages: Mr. McNamara 46.57%; Mr. Read 0.85%; Mr. Clarke 16.62%; Mr. Burke 19.20%; and Ms. Schiff 27.63%. Due to the equity awards made in December 2008 and March 2009 to address the impact of the global economic crisis on our compensation programs for our employees, including our executives, we do not believe that it is meaningful to compare fiscal 2009 total direct compensation levels with fiscal 2008 levels. However, given the substantial decline in our share price following the global economic crisis, the carried equity value of the NEOs' equity in the company (comprised of unvested share bonus awards and the in-the-money value of options) declined substantially from fiscal year end 2008 to 2009. The deteriorating macroeconomic environment also impacted long-term cash and stock incentive awards made in fiscal year 2009, and we do not expect that these awards will vest or be paid. Based on company performance, the Committee believes that compensation levels and long-term award opportunities for fiscal year 2009 were appropriate and consistent with the philosophy and objectives of the company's compensation programs.

In fiscal year 2009, the Committee also recommended and the Board approved a shift from the granting of share bonus awards and no options in fiscal year 2008 to granting both share bonus awards and options in fiscal year 2009, with a greater weighting to options. This shift was designed to create greater alignment of interests with shareholders and to reward the company's employees for the successful integration of the Solectron acquisition.

Elements of Compensation

We allocate compensation among the following components for our named executive officers:

base salary;

annual cash incentive awards;

multi-year cash and stock incentive awards;

stock-based compensation;

deferred compensation; and

other benefits.

Table of Contents

Base Salary

We seek to set our executives' base salaries at levels which are competitive with our peer companies based on each individual executive's role and the scope of his or her responsibilities, also taking into account the executive's experience and the base salary levels of other executives within the company. The Committee typically reviews base salaries every fiscal year and adjusts base salaries to take into account competitive market data, individual performance and promotions or changes in responsibilities.

Mr. McNamara's base salary was maintained at \$1,250,000 based on the F.W. Cook peer company data which indicated that this level approximated the 75th percentile.

Prior to his appointment as Chief Financial Officer effective June 30, 2008, Mr. Read served as Executive Vice President of Finance for Worldwide Operations. As part of the Committee's annual review of base salaries, the Committee recommended and the Board approved an increase in Mr. Read's base salary from \$400,000 to \$475,000. This increase was made to approximate the 75th percentile of the Radford survey data for the second most senior finance executive, after applying a premium of 10% to take into account that Mr. Read reported directly to the CEO. On May 14, 2008, Mr. Read was appointed Chief Financial Officer effective June 30, 2008. In recognition of Mr. Read's appointment, Mr. Read's base salary was increased to \$600,000 effective May 15, 2008 and was set at between the median and 75th percentile of the peer company data for his position.

Base salary levels for the other named executive officers (other than Mr. Smach) were increased as follows: Mr. Clarke's base salary was increased from \$490,000 to \$550,000 (paid in Canadian dollars), in order to pay a level of base salary closer to the 75th percentile; Mr. Burke's base salary was increased from \$375,000 to \$450,000, also to pay a level of base salary closer to the 75th percentile; and Ms. Schiff's base salary was increased from \$350,000 to \$425,000, which represented the largest percentage increase for our named executive officers other than Mr. Read, but reflected a level below the median of the peer company data.

Annual Incentive Bonus Plan

Through our annual incentive bonus plan, we seek to provide pay for performance by linking incentive awards to company and business unit performance.

Key features of the bonus plan in fiscal 2009 were as follows:

- performance targets were based on key company and business unit financial metrics

- performance targets were measured on a quarterly basis in the cases of the first two fiscal quarters and a quarterly and/or six month basis in the cases of the third and fourth fiscal quarters

- the financial goals varied based on each executive's responsibilities, with a substantial weighting on business unit financial metrics for business unit executives

- certain performance measures were calculated on a non-GAAP basis and excluded after-tax intangible amortization, stock-based compensation expense, gains and losses from divestitures, and certain restructuring and other charges, subject to approval by the Committee. We excluded these items in order to arrive at more meaningful period-to-period comparisons of our ongoing operating results

- bonuses were based entirely on achievement of financial performance objectives; there is no individual performance component

- each executive's target bonus was set at a percentage of base salary, based on the level of the executive's responsibilities

 - the CEO's target bonus was set at 150% of base salary and the CFO's target bonus was set at 100% of base salary

 - for executives other than the CEO and CFO, the target bonus was set at a range of between 60% and 80% of base salary

payout opportunities for each bonus component ranged from 50% of target to a maximum of 300% of target (200% in the cases of the CEO and CFO)

for the third and fourth fiscal quarters, the plan provided a minimum payout of 50% of target for certain company financial metrics

Table of Contents

The Committee recommended and our Board approved different performance metrics for our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as compared with other executives, and different performance metrics for corporate officers as compared with business unit executives. In addition, we varied the weightings for certain performance metrics among different executives, in order to better align individual awards with our business strategy. For example, we placed a greater emphasis on revenue growth for our Computing sector than for our Infrastructure sector, but placed a greater emphasis on profit after interest growth for our Infrastructure sector than for our Computing sector.

Modification of Performance Metrics during Fiscal 2009

We modified the performance metrics used in our annual incentive plan on December 1, 2008 as a result of the deteriorating macroeconomic conditions and its effects on the company's performance. The performance metrics initially approved and which remained in effect for the first two fiscal quarters were as follows:

for our CEO and CFO, bonuses were based on achievement of year-over-year quarterly EPS growth; however, in Mr. Read's case, his bonus for the first quarter was based on the metrics that applied to his former position as Executive Vice President of Finance for Worldwide Operations, which were achievement of year-over-year quarterly EPS growth, revenue growth and profit after interest growth;

Mr. Clarke's bonus was based on achievement of year-over-year quarterly EPS growth, and revenue growth and profit after interest growth at his business unit (Infrastructure);

Mr. Burke's bonus was based on achievement of year-over-year quarterly EPS growth, and revenue growth and profit after interest growth at his business unit (Computing); and

Ms. Schiff's bonus was based on achievement of year-over-year quarterly EPS growth, revenue growth, profit after interest growth, and SG&A reduction.

On December 1, 2008, the Committee recommended and our Board approved modifications to the performance metrics for the third and fourth fiscal quarters, as follows:

for our CEO and CFO, bonuses were based on achievement of quarterly EPS and inventory reduction targets and six-month free cash flow targets (which we refer to as the company metric);

Mr. Clarke's bonus was based on achievement of the company metric and revenue growth and profit after interest growth at his business unit (Infrastructure);

Mr. Burke's bonus was based on achievement of the company metric and revenue growth and profit after interest growth at his business unit (Computing); and

Ms. Schiff's bonus was based on achievement of the company metric and SG&A-reduction targets.

Under the modified plan, Messrs. Clarke and Burke also were eligible for an additional bonus of up to 10% and 8.75% of their respective annual base salaries for each of the third and fourth fiscal quarters based upon achievement of inventory reduction targets at their business units. The modified plan also provided for a minimum payout for the third and fourth fiscal quarters of 50% of the target company metric.

Prior to the plan modifications, the plan allocated 50% of the bonus opportunity to annual targets and 50% to achievement of quarterly targets. As part of the modification, the annual targets were eliminated so that 100% of the bonus opportunity was allocated to the achievement of quarterly performance targets (other than with respect to the six-month free cash flow target discussed above).

With the deteriorating macroeconomic environment accelerating in our third fiscal quarter, we increased our business focus on controlling costs and managing our working capital to improve cash flow. As a result of this shift in our business focus, and projected decreases in revenue, the Committee recommended and our Board approved the

Table of Contents

above-described modifications in the annual incentive plan performance metrics for our third and fourth fiscal quarters. We believe that these changes were appropriately designed to motivate our executives to execute the operational strategies necessitated by the unprecedented economic environment.

Annual Incentive Awards for the CEO and CFO

Mr. McNamara was eligible for a bonus award based on year-over-year quarterly EPS growth in the first and second fiscal quarters, and achievement of quarterly EPS and inventory reduction targets and six-month free cash flow targets for the third and fourth fiscal quarters. Mr. McNamara's annual target bonus was 150% of base salary.

For the first fiscal quarter, Mr. Read was eligible for a bonus award based on year-over-year quarterly EPS growth, revenue growth and profit after interest growth. Mr. Read's target bonus for the first fiscal quarter was based on an annual target of 70% of base salary. For the second through fourth fiscal quarters, Mr. Read's bonus eligibility was based on the same performance measures as Mr. McNamara. Mr. Read's target bonus for the second through fourth fiscal quarters was based on an annual target of 100% of base salary.

The following table sets forth the payout level opportunities that were available for Messrs. McNamara and Read as a percentage of their target awards for the first and second fiscal quarters (second quarter only in the case of Mr. Read) based on different levels of performance. The quarterly target bonus was 37.5% of base salary for Mr. McNamara and 25.0% of base salary for Mr. Read. For performance levels between the levels presented in the table below, straight line interpolation was used to arrive at the payout level:

Annual Incentive Bonus Payout Levels (Q1 and Q2)

Payout (% Target)	50%	75%	100%	150%	200% ¹
Adjusted EPS Growth	10.0%	12.5%	15.0%	18.8%	22.5%

- 1 The plan also provided for a maximum payout of 200% if 18% adjusted EPS growth was achieved and the average closing share price of the company's ordinary shares for the month of March 2009 was at least \$12.50.

Mr. Read's payout level opportunities as a percentage of the target award for each performance measure for the first