Enstar Group LTD Form POS AM June 26, 2008

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 26, 2008 Registration No. 333-151461

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20549 POST-EFFECTIVE AMENDMENT NO. 1 to

# Form S-3 REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

#### **ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

#### Bermuda

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

N/A (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

# P.O. Box HM 2267 Windsor Place, 3rd Floor, 18 Queen Street Hamilton HM JX Bermuda (441) 292-3645

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Corporation Service Company 80 State Street Albany, New York 12207-2543 (800) 927-9800

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

Robert C. Juelke, Esq. Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP One Logan Square 18th & Cherry Streets Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 (215) 988-2700 Anthony J. Ribaudo, Esq. Sidley Austin LLP One South Dearborn Chicago, Illinois 60603 (312) 853-7000

**Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public:** As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration Statement.

If the only securities being registered on this Form are being offered pursuant to dividend or interest reinvestment plans, please check the following box. o

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, other than securities offered only in connection with dividend or interest reinvestment plans, check the following box. o

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

If this Form is a registration statement pursuant to General Instruction I.D. or a post-effective amendment thereto that shall become effective upon filing with the Commission pursuant to Rule 462(e) under the Securities Act, check the following box. o

If this Form is a post-effective amendment to a registration statement filed pursuant to General Instruction I.D. filed to register additional securities or additional classes of securities pursuant to Rule 413(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company o

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

# THE INFORMATION IN THIS PROSPECTUS IS NOT COMPLETE AND MAY BE CHANGED. WE MAY NOT SELL THESE SECURITIES UNTIL THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION IS EFFECTIVE. THIS PROSPECTUS IS NOT AN OFFER TO SELL THESE SECURITIES AND WE ARE NOT SOLICITING AN OFFER TO BUY THESE SECURITIES IN ANY JURISDICTION WHERE THE OFFER OR SALE IS NOT PERMITTED.

## SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED JUNE 26, 2008

## PROSPECTUS

## **ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED**

#### 1,200,000

#### **Ordinary Shares**

We are selling 1,200,000 ordinary shares. Our ordinary shares are listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol ESGR. The last reported sale price on June 25, 2008 was \$91.25 per share.

The underwriters have an option to purchase a maximum of 180,000 ordinary shares from us to cover over-allotments of shares.

Investing in our ordinary shares involves a high degree of risk. We urge you to read carefully the section entitled Risk Factors on page 8 of this prospectus, as well as all other information included or incorporated by reference in this prospectus, before you decide whether to invest in our ordinary shares.

|                    | Price to | Underwriting<br>Discounts and | Proceeds to<br>Enstar<br>Group |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                    | Public   | Commissions                   | Limited                        |
| Per Share<br>Total | \$<br>\$ | \$<br>\$                      | \$<br>\$                       |
|                    | Ŷ        | Ŷ                             | Ŷ                              |

Delivery of the ordinary shares will be made on or about , 2008.

None of the Securities and Exchange Commission, any state securities commission or insurance regulators, the Registrar of Companies in Bermuda or the Bermuda Monetary Authority has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Fox-Pitt Kelton Cochran Caronia Waller

**Dowling & Partners Securities** 

The date of this prospectus is , 2008

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS  | iii |
|---|-----|
| PROSPECTUS SUMMARY  | 1   |
| SUMMARY HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND OPERATING DATA  | 6   |
| RISK FACTORS  | 8   |
| <u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u>  | 19  |
| CAPITALIZATION  | 20  |
| SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA   | 21  |
| UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL   |     |
| INFORMATION   | 23  |
| MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  |     |
| <u>OPERATIONS</u>   | 28  |
| BUSINESS  | 65  |
| MANAGEMENT  | 88  |
| CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS  | 90  |
| PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS  | 93  |
| MATERIAL TAX CONSIDERATIONS   | 96  |
| <u>UNDERWRITING</u>   | 105 |
| LEGAL MATTERS   | 111 |
| <u>EXPERTS</u>  | 111 |
| WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION   | 112 |
| INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION BY REFERENCE   | 113 |
| INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  | F-1 |
| EX-1.1: FORM OF PURCHASE AGREEMENT  |     |
| EX-15.1: DELOITTE & TOUCHE LETTER RE: UNAUDITED FINANCIAL INFORMATION   |     |
| EX-23.1: CONSENT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE   |     |
| EX-23.2: CONSENT OF ERNST & YOUNG FOR CHURCH BAY LIMITED  |     |
| EX-23.3: CONSENT OF ERNST & YOUNG FOR GORDIAN RUNOFF LIMITED  |     |
| EX-23.4: CONSENT OF ERNST & YOUNG FOR TGI AUSTRALIA LIMITED   |     |
| <u>EX-23.5: CONSENT OF ERNST &amp; YOUNG FOR HARRINGTON SOUND LIMITED</u><br>EX-23.6: CONSENT OF ERNST & YOUNG FOR ENSTAR AUSTRALIA LIMITED |     |
| LA-23.0. CONSERVI OF ERNST & TOUND FOR ENSTAR AUSTRALIA LIMITED   |     |

You should rely only on the information contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not making an offer to sell securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. You should assume that the information in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date of the prospectus, and any information we have incorporated by reference is accurate only as of the date of the document incorporated by reference, in each case, regardless of the time of delivery of the prospectus. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

Shares may be offered or sold in Bermuda only in compliance with the provisions of the Investment Business Act 2003, the Exchange Control Act 1972 and related regulations of Bermuda which regulate the sale of securities in Bermuda. In addition, specific permission is required from the Bermuda Monetary Authority, pursuant to the provisions of the Exchange Control Act 1972 and related regulations, for all issuances and transfers of securities of Bermuda companies, other than in cases where the Bermuda Monetary Authority has granted a general permission. The Bermuda Monetary Authority in its policy dated June 1, 2005 provides that where any equity securities of a Bermuda company, including our ordinary shares, are listed on an appointed stock exchange, general permission is given for the issue and subsequent transfer of any securities of such company from and/or to a non-resident, for as long as any equity securities of such company remain so listed. The Nasdaq Global Select Market is deemed to be an appointed stock exchange under Bermuda law. The Bermuda Monetary Authority and the Registrar of Companies accept no responsibility for the financial soundness of any proposal or for the correctness of any of the statements made or opinions expressed in this prospectus.

For so long as Enstar Group Limited owns Bermuda insurance companies, each shareholder or prospective shareholder will be responsible for notifying the Bermuda Monetary Authority in writing of his becoming a controller, directly or indirectly, of 10%, 20%, 33% or 50% of Enstar Group Limited within 45 days of becoming such a controller. The Bermuda Monetary Authority may serve a notice of objection on any controller of Enstar Group Limited if it appears to the Bermuda Monetary Authority that the person is no longer fit and proper to be such a controller.

In the United Kingdom, this communication is directed only at persons who (i) have professional experience in matters relating to investments or (ii) are persons falling within Article 49(2)(a) to (d) (high net worth companies, unincorporated associations, etc.) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 (all such persons together being referred to as relevant persons). This communication must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not relevant persons. Any investment or investment activity to which this communication relates is available only to such relevant persons and will be engaged in only with such relevant persons.

ii

# CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference contain statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, with respect to our financial condition, results of operations, business strategies, operating efficiencies, competitive positions, growth opportunities, plans and objectives of our management, as well as the markets for our ordinary shares and the insurance and reinsurance sectors in general. Statements that include words such as estimate, project, plan, intend, expect. anticipate. would. should. could. seek, and similar statements of a future or forward-looking nature identify forward-looking statements for purposes of the federal securities laws or otherwise. All forward-looking statements are necessarily estimates or expectations, and not statements of historical fact, reflecting the best judgment of our management and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements should, therefore, be considered in light of various important factors, including those set forth in and incorporated by reference in this prospectus.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements include:

risks associated with implementing our business strategies and initiatives;

the adequacy of our loss reserves and the need to adjust such reserves as claims develop over time;

risks relating to the availability and collectability of our reinsurance;

tax, regulatory or legal restrictions or limitations applicable to us or the insurance and reinsurance business generally;

increased competitive pressures, including the consolidation and increased globalization of reinsurance providers;

emerging claim and coverage issues;

lengthy and unpredictable litigation affecting assessment of losses and/or coverage issues;

loss of key personnel;

changes in our plans, strategies, objectives, expectations or intentions, which may happen at any time at management s discretion;

operational risks, including system or human failures;

risks that we may require additional capital in the future which may not be available or may be available only on unfavorable terms;

the risk that ongoing or future industry regulatory developments will disrupt our business, or mandate changes in industry practices in ways that increase our costs, decrease our revenues or require us to alter aspects of the way we do business;

changes in Bermuda law or regulation or the political stability of Bermuda;

changes in tax laws or regulations applicable to us or our subsidiaries, or the risk that we or one of our non-U.S. subsidiaries become subject to significant, or significantly increased, income taxes in the United States or elsewhere;

losses due to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations;

changes in accounting policies or practices; and

changes in economic conditions, including interest rates, inflation, currency exchange rates, equity markets and credit conditions, which could affect our investment portfolio.

The factors listed above should not be construed as exhaustive. Certain of these factors are described in more detail in the Risk Factors section of this prospectus, on page 8. We undertake no obligation to release publicly the results of any future revisions we may make to forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. You are therefore advised to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our reports to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

iii

# PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider before deciding to invest in our ordinary shares. We urge you to read this entire prospectus carefully, including the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission filings that we have incorporated by reference into this prospectus. You should pay special attention to the Risk Factors section of this prospectus. Unless otherwise mentioned or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this prospectus to Enstar, we, us, or the Company mean Enstar Group Limited and its subsidiaries.

# **Enstar Group Limited**

We were formed in August 2001 under the laws of Bermuda to acquire and manage insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off, and to provide management, consulting and other services to the insurance and reinsurance industry. Since our formation, we, through our subsidiaries, have completed several acquisitions of insurance and reinsurance companies and are currently administering those businesses in run-off. Insurance and reinsurance companies we acquire that are in run-off no longer underwrite new policies. In addition, we provide management and consultancy services, claims inspection services and reinsurance collection services to our affiliates and third-party clients for both fixed and success-based fees.

Our primary corporate objective is to grow our tangible net book value. We believe growth in our tangible net book value is driven primarily by growth in our net earnings, which is in turn partially driven by successfully completing new acquisitions.

We evaluate each opportunity presented by carefully reviewing the portfolio s risk exposures, claim practices, reserve requirements and outstanding claims, and seek an appropriate discount and/or seller indemnification to reflect the uncertainty contained in the portfolio s reserves. Based on this initial analysis, we can determine if a company or portfolio of business would add value to our current portfolio of run-off business. If we determine to pursue the purchase of a company in run-off, we then proceed to price the acquisition in a manner we believe will result in positive operating results based on certain assumptions including, without limitation, our ability to favorably resolve claims, negotiate with direct insureds and reinsurers, and otherwise manage the nature of the risks posed by the business.

Initially, at the time we acquire a company in run-off, we estimate the fair value of liabilities acquired based on external actuarial advice, as well as our own views of the exposures assumed. While we earn a larger share of our total return on an acquisition from commuting the liabilities that we have assumed, we also try to maximize reinsurance recoveries on the assumed portfolio.

Our ordinary shares are listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the ticker symbol ESGR. Our principal executive offices are located at Windsor Place, 3rd Floor, 18 Queen Street, Hamilton HM JX, Bermuda, and our telephone number is (441) 292-3645. Our website is *www.enstargroup.com*. The information on our website does not constitute part of this prospectus and should not be relied upon in connection with making any investment in our securities.

our

# **Competitive Strengths**

We believe that our competitive strengths have enabled us, and will continue to enable us, to capitalize on the opportunities that exist in the run-off market. These strengths include:

*Experienced Management Team with Proven Track Record.* Dominic F. Silvester, our Chief Executive Officer, Paul J. O Shea and Nicholas A. Packer, our Executive Vice Presidents and Joint Chief Operating Officers, Richard J. Harris, our Chief Financial Officer, and John J. Oros, our Executive Chairman, each have over 19 years of experience in the insurance, reinsurance or financial services industries. The extensive depth and knowledge of our management team provides us with the ability to identify, select and price companies and portfolios in run-off and to successfully manage those companies and portfolios.

*Disciplined Approach to Acquisitions and Claims Management.* We believe in generating profits through a disciplined, conservative approach to both acquisitions and claims management. We closely analyze new business opportunities to determine a company s inherent value and our ability to profitably manage that company or a portfolio of that company in run-off. We believe that our review and claims management process, combined with management of global exposures across our acquired businesses, allows us to price acquisitions on favorable terms and to profitably run off the companies and portfolios that we acquire and manage.

*Long-Standing Market Relationships*. Our management team has well-established personal relationships across the insurance and reinsurance industry. We use these market relationships to identify and source business opportunities. We have also relied on these market relationships to establish ourselves as a leader in the run-off market.

*Highly Qualified, Experienced and Ideally Located Employee Base.* We have been successful in recruiting a highly qualified team of experienced claims, reinsurance, financial, actuarial and legal staff in major insurance and reinsurance centers, including Bermuda, the United Kingdom, the United States and Australia. We believe the quality and breadth of experience of our staff enable us to extract value from our acquired businesses and to offer a wide range of professional services to the industry.

*Financial Strength and Disciplined Investment Approach.* As of March 31, 2008, we had approximately \$464.8 million of shareholders equity. We have maintained a strong balance sheet by following conservative investment practices while seeking appropriate returns. As of March 31, 2008, approximately 91% of our invested assets were invested in fixed maturity securities, 98.7% of which were investment grade and 50.9% of which were government securities. This financial strength allows us to aggressively price acquisitions that fit within our core competency. We believe that our financial strength has allowed us to be recognized as a leader in the acquisition and management of run-off companies and portfolios. Our conservative approach to managing our balance sheet reflects our commitment to maintaining our financial strength.

## Strategy

We intend to maximize our growth in tangible net book value by using the following strategies:

Solidify Our Leadership Position in the Run-Off Market by Leveraging Management s Experience and Relationships. We intend to continue to utilize the extensive experience and significant relationships of our senior management team to solidify our position as a leader in the run-off segment of the insurance and reinsurance market. The experience and reputation of our management team is expected to generate opportunities for us to acquire or manage companies and portfolios in run-off, and to price effectively the

acquisition or management of such businesses. Most importantly, we believe the experience of our management team will continue to allow us to manage the run-off of such businesses efficiently and profitably.

*Professionally Manage Claims.* We are professional and disciplined in managing claims against companies and portfolios we own or manage. Our management understands the need to dispose of certain risks expeditiously and cost-effectively by constantly analyzing changes in the market and efficiently settling

claims with the assistance of our experienced claims adjusters and in-house and external legal counsel. When we acquire or begin managing a company or portfolio, we initially determine which claims are valid through the use of experienced in-house adjusters and claims experts. We pay valid claims on a timely basis, while relying on well-documented policy terms and exclusions where applicable and litigation when necessary to defend against paying invalid claims under existing policies and reinsurance agreements.

*Commute Assumed Liabilities and Ceded Reinsurance Assets.* Using detailed analysis and actuarial projections, we negotiate with the policyholders of the insurance and reinsurance companies or portfolios we own or manage with a goal of commuting insurance and reinsurance liabilities for one or more agreed upon payments at a discount to the ultimate liability. Such commutations can take the form of policy buy-backs and structured settlements over fixed periods of time. By acquiring companies that are direct insurers, reinsurers or both, we are able to negotiate favorable entity-wide commutations with reinsurers that would not be possible if our subsidiaries had remained independent entities. We also negotiate with reinsurers to commute their reinsurance agreements providing coverage to our subsidiaries on terms that we believe to be favorable based on then-current market knowledge. We invest the proceeds from reinsurance commutations with the expectation that such investments will produce income, which, together with the principal, will be sufficient to satisfy future obligations with respect to the acquired company or portfolio.

*Continue to Commit to Highly Disciplined Acquisition, Management and Reinsurance Practices.* We utilize a disciplined approach to minimize risk and increase the probability of positive operating results from companies and portfolios we acquire or manage. We carefully review acquisition candidates and management engagements for consistency with accomplishing our long-term objective of producing positive operating results. We focus our investigation on risk exposures, claims practices and reserve requirements. In particular, we carefully review all outstanding claims and case reserves, and follow a highly disciplined approach to managing allocated loss adjustment expenses, such as the cost of defense counsel, expert witnesses and related fees and expenses.

*Manage Capital Prudently.* We pursue prudent capital management relative to our risk exposure and liquidity requirements to maximize profitability and long-term growth in shareholder value. Our capital management strategy is to deploy capital efficiently to acquisitions and to establish, and re-establish when necessary, adequate loss reserves to protect against future adverse developments.

# Challenges

We face a number of challenges in implementing our strategies, including the following:

*Management of Insurance and Reinsurance Companies in Run-Off.* Insurance and reinsurance companies we acquire that are in run-off no longer underwrite new policies and are subject to the risk that their stated loss and loss adjustment expense reserves may not be sufficient to cover future losses and the cost of run-off. Our ability to achieve positive operating results depends on our pricing of acquisitions on favorable terms relative to the risks posed by the acquired businesses and then successfully managing the acquired businesses. If we are not able to price acquisitions on favorable terms, efficiently manage claims and control run-off expenses, we may have to cover losses sustained with retained earnings, which would materially and adversely impact our ability to grow our business and may result in losses.

*Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves.* Our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are required to maintain reserves to cover their estimated ultimate liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses for both reported and unreported incurred claims. The amounts our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries pay on claims and the related costs of adjusting those claims may deviate from the loss and loss adjustment expense

reserves they maintain. If actual losses and loss adjustment expenses exceed their reserves, their net income and capital would decrease.

*Investment Portfolios and Investment Income.* A significant portion of our income is derived from our invested assets. The value of our investment portfolio and the investment income that we receive from our portfolio fluctuates depending on general economic and market conditions. A decline in the value of our investments classified as trading and available-for-sale may reduce our net income or cause us to incur a loss.

Integration of Acquired Insurance and Reinsurance Companies in Run-Off. Our pursuit of growth through acquisitions and/or strategic investments in insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off depends in part on our ability to integrate acquired companies and portfolios. The integration of companies or portfolios we acquire may result in substantial diversion of management resources or unanticipated litigation. Any failure by us to effectively integrate acquired companies and portfolios may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

*Retaining Executive Officers and Maintaining Relationships with Certain Directors.* Our success depends in part upon the continued services of our senior management team, particularly our Chief Executive Officer, Dominic F. Silvester, our Executive Vice Presidents and Joint Chief Operating Officers, Paul J. O Shea and Nicholas A. Packer, our Chief Financial Officer, Richard J. Harris, and our Executive Chairman, John J. Oros, and our relationships with John J. Oros and J. Christopher Flowers, one of our directors and one of our largest shareholders. The loss of any member of our senior management team or other key personnel, our inability to recruit and retain additional qualified personnel as we grow or the loss of our relationships with John J. Oros or J. Christopher Flowers, could materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations and could prevent us from fully implementing our strategy.

For a discussion of these challenges and other risks relating to our business and an investment in our ordinary shares, see Risk Factors on page 8.

# **Recent Developments**

On June 16, 2006, our indirect subsidiary, Virginia Holdings Ltd., entered into a definitive agreement with Dukes Place Holdings, L.P., a portfolio company of GSC European Mezzanine Fund II, L.P., for the purchase of 44.4% of the outstanding capital stock of Stonewall Acquisition Corporation. Stonewall Acquisition Corporation is the parent of two Rhode Island-domiciled insurers, Stonewall Insurance Company, or Stonewall, and Seaton Insurance Company, or Seaton, both of which are in run-off. The purchase price is \$20.4 million. On May 27, 2008, the Rhode Island Department of Business Regulation issued an order approving the proposed acquisition. The acquisition was completed on June 13, 2008 and was funded from available cash on hand.

4

## The Offering

| Ordinary shares offered by us   | 1,200,000 shares   |
|---|--|
| Over-allotment option granted by us   | 180,000 shares   |
| Ordinary shares to be outstanding after the offering, not including the over-allotment option | 13,144,289 shares  |
| J.C. Flowers II, L.P. investment intent   | J.C. Flowers II, L.P., or the Flowers Fund, has expressed its intent to us<br>and the underwriters to purchase in the offering ordinary shares with a<br>value of approximately \$20 to \$25 million at the public offering price.<br>There can be no assurance that the Flowers Fund will purchase any of<br>these shares. The aggregate number of shares offered by us will not be<br>affected by the number of shares, if any, purchased by the Flowers Fund. |
| Use of proceeds   | We intend to use the net proceeds received from the ordinary shares<br>offered by us to fund future acquisitions of insurance and reinsurance<br>companies or portfolios in run-off and for general corporate purposes.  |
| Nasdaq Global Select Market symbol  | ESGR   |

The total number of ordinary shares to be outstanding after this offering does not reflect:

529,775 shares that may be issued pursuant to outstanding stock options and restricted share units;

1,134,503 shares that have been reserved for future issuance pursuant to our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan;

200,000 shares that have been reserved for future issuance pursuant to the Enstar Group Limited Employee Share Purchase Plan; and

96,866 shares that have been reserved for future issuance pursuant to the Enstar Group Limited Deferred Compensation and Ordinary Share Plan for Non-employee Directors.

Unless otherwise specifically stated, information in this prospectus assumes the underwriters do not exercise their over-allotment option to purchase additional shares in this offering.

5

# SUMMARY HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND OPERATING DATA

The following table provides a summary of our historical consolidated financial and operating data as of the dates and for the periods indicated. We derived the summary historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 from our audited consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus. We derived the summary historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this prospectus. We derived the summary historical consolidated financial data as of March 31, 2008 and for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus, which include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, that management considers necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position and results of operations as of the date and for the periods presented. The results of operations for past accounting periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future accounting period.

This information is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our audited and unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Since our inception, we have made several acquisitions which impact the comparability between periods of the information reflected below. See Business Recent Acquisitions on page 68 for information about our acquisitions.

|  | Three Mon<br>Marc   |                     |      | Years Ended December 31, |      |                      |      |                     |    |                     |    |                     |  |  |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|------|--------------------------|------|----------------------|------|---------------------|----|---------------------|----|---------------------|--|--|
|  | 2008                | 2007                |      | 2007                     |      | 2006                 |      | 2005                |    | 2004                |    | 2003                |  |  |
|  |                     | (In t               | thou | isands of U.S            | . do | llars, excep         | t pe | r share data        | ı) |                     |    |                     |  |  |
| Summary<br>Consolidated<br>Statements of<br>Carnings Data:                         |                     |                     |      |                          |      |                      |      |                     |    |                     |    |                     |  |  |
| Consulting fees<br>Vet investment<br>losses) income and<br>et realized             | \$<br>6,055         | \$<br>4,661         | \$   | 31,918                   | \$   | 33,908               | \$   | 22,006              | \$ | 23,703              | \$ | 24,746              |  |  |
| ains/losses<br>Vet<br>increase)/reduction<br>n loss and loss<br>djustment expenses | (494)               | 20,509              |      | 64,336                   |      | 48,001               |      | 29,504              |    | 10,502              |    | 7,072               |  |  |
| labilities   | (685)               | (2,510)             |      | 24,482                   |      | 31,927               |      | 96,007              |    | 13,706              |    | 24,044              |  |  |
| otal other expenses<br>Anority interest<br>hare of income of<br>artly owned        | (25,009)<br>(3,376) | (22,721)<br>(2,248) |      | (67,904)<br>(6,730)      |      | (49,838)<br>(13,208) |      | (57,299)<br>(9,700) |    | (35,160)<br>(3,097) |    | (21,782)<br>(5,111) |  |  |
| ompanies   |                     |                     |      |                          |      | 518                  |      | 192                 |    | 6,881               |    | 1,623               |  |  |

| Vet (loss)/earnings<br>rom continuing<br>perations<br>Extraordinary gain<br>Vegative goodwill              | (23,509)                 | (2,309)                  | 46,102                   | 51,308                 | 80,710                 | 16,535                 | 30,592                 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 2008 and 2006: net f minority interest)  | 35,196                   | 15,683                   | 15,683                   | 31,038                 |                        | 21,759                 |                        |
| let earnings   | \$<br>11,687             | \$<br>13,374             | \$<br>61,785             | \$<br>82,346           | \$<br>80,710           | \$<br>38,294           | \$<br>30,592           |
| <b>Per Share</b><br>Data(1)(2):<br>Loss)/earnings per<br>hare before<br>xtraordinary gain                  |                          |                          |                          |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| basic  | \$<br>(1.97)             | \$<br>(0.21)             | \$<br>3.93               | \$<br>5.21             | \$<br>8.29             | \$<br>1.72             | \$<br>3.19             |
| Extraordinary gain<br>er share basic   | 2.95                     | 1.41                     | 1.34                     | 3.15                   |                        | 2.26                   |                        |
| Carnings per share<br>asic   | \$<br>0.98               | \$<br>1.20               | \$<br>5.27               | \$<br>8.36             | \$<br>8.29             | \$<br>3.98             | \$<br>3.19             |
| Loss)/earnings per<br>hare before<br>xtraordinary gain<br>iluted<br>Extraordinary gain<br>er share diluted | \$<br>(1.97)<br>2.95     | \$<br>(0.21)<br>1.41     | \$<br>3.84<br>1.31       | \$<br>5.15<br>3.11     | \$<br>8.14             | \$<br>1.71<br>2.24     | \$<br>3.19             |
| Earnings per share<br>iluted   | \$<br>0.98               | \$<br>1.20               | \$<br>5.15               | \$<br>8.26             | \$<br>8.14             | \$<br>3.95             | \$<br>3.19             |
| Veighted average<br>hares outstanding<br>asic<br>Veighted average<br>hares outstanding<br>iluted(3)        | 11,927,542<br>11,927,542 | 11,160,448<br>11,160,448 | 11,731,908<br>12,009,683 | 9,857,914<br>9,966,960 | 9,739,560<br>9,918,823 | 9,618,905<br>9,694,528 | 9,582,396<br>9,582,396 |
| Cash dividends paid<br>er share  |                          |                          |                          | \$<br>2.92             |                        | \$<br>0.81             | \$<br>5.62             |
|  |                          |                          |                          |                        |                        |                        |                        |

# 6

|                          | N  | As of<br>March 31, |   |           |    | As        | of I | December 3 | 1, |           |    |         |  |  |
|--------------------------|----|--------------------|---|-----------|----|-----------|------|------------|----|-----------|----|---------|--|--|
|                          |    | 2008               |   | 2007      |    | 2006      |      | 2005       | ĺ  | 2004      |    | 2003    |  |  |
|                          |    |                    | (In thousands of U.S. dollars, except per share data) |           |    |           |      |            |    |           |    |         |  |  |
| Summary Balance          |    |                    |   |           |    |           |      |            |    |           |    |         |  |  |
| Sheet Data:              |    |                    |   |           |    |           |      |            |    |           |    |         |  |  |
| Total investments        | \$ | 1,217,695          | \$  | 637,196   | \$ | 747,529   | \$   | 539,568    | \$ | 591,635   | \$ | 268,417 |  |  |
| Cash and cash            |    |                    |   |           |    |           |      |            |    |           |    |         |  |  |
| equivalents              |    | 1,798,386          |   | 1,163,333 |    | 513,563   |      | 345,329    |    | 350,456   |    | 127,228 |  |  |
| Reinsurance balances     |    |                    |   |           |    |           |      |            |    |           |    |         |  |  |
| receivable               |    | 758,659            |   | 465,277   |    | 408,142   |      | 250,229    |    | 341,627   |    | 175,091 |  |  |
| Total assets             |    | 3,994,956          |   | 2,417,143 |    | 1,774,252 |      | 1,199,963  |    | 1,347,853 |    | 632,347 |  |  |
| Loss and loss adjustment |    |                    |   |           |    |           |      |            |    |           |    |         |  |  |
| expense liabilities      |    | 2,700,687          |   | 1,591,449 |    | 1,214,419 |      | 806,559    |    | 1,047,313 |    | 381,531 |  |  |
| Loans payable            |    | 329,963            |   | 60,227    |    | 62,148    |      |            |    |           |    |         |  |  |
| Total shareholders       |    |                    |   |           |    |           |      |            |    |           |    |         |  |  |
| equity                   |    | 464,842            |   | 450,599   |    | 318,610   |      | 260,906    |    | 177,338   |    | 147,616 |  |  |
| Book Value per           |    |                    |   |           |    |           |      |            |    |           |    |         |  |  |
| Share(4):                |    |                    |   |           |    |           |      |            |    |           |    |         |  |  |
| Basic                    | \$ | 38.97              | \$  | 38.41     | \$ | 32.32     | \$   | 26.79      | \$ | 18.44     | \$ | 15.40   |  |  |
| Diluted                  | \$ | 38.97              | \$  | 37.52     | \$ | 31.97     | \$   | 26.30      | \$ | 18.29     | \$ | 15.40   |  |  |

- (1) Earnings per share is a measure based on net earnings divided by weighted average ordinary shares outstanding. Basic earnings per share is defined as net earnings available to ordinary shareholders divided by the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding for the period, giving no effect to dilutive securities. Diluted earnings per share is defined as net earnings available to ordinary shareholders divided by the weighted average number of shares and share equivalents outstanding calculated using the treasury stock method for all potentially dilutive securities. When the effect of dilutive securities would be anti-dilutive, these securities are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share.
- (2) The weighted average ordinary shares outstanding shown for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003 reflect the conversion of Class A, B, C and D shares to ordinary shares on January 31, 2007, as part of the recapitalization completed in connection with the merger of our wholly-owned subsidiary with and into The Enstar Group, Inc. as if the conversion occurred on January 1, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the ordinary shares issued to acquire The Enstar Group, Inc. are reflected in the calculation of the weighted average ordinary shares outstanding from January 31, 2007, the date of issue. As a result both the book value per share and the earnings per share calculations, previously reported, have been amended to reflect this change.
- (3) The calculations of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007 and the calculation of diluted book value per share as of March 31, 2008 do not include share equivalents relating to unvested shares, restricted shares and options because to do so would have been anti-dilutive.
- (4) Basic book value per share is defined as total shareholders equity available to ordinary shareholders divided by the number of ordinary shares outstanding as of the end of the period, giving no effect to dilutive securities. Diluted book value per share is defined as total shareholders equity available to ordinary shareholders divided by

the number of ordinary shares and ordinary share equivalents outstanding at the end of the period, calculated using the treasury stock method for all potentially dilutive securities. When the effect of dilutive securities would be anti-dilutive, these securities are excluded from the calculation of diluted book value per share.

# **RISK FACTORS**

You should carefully consider these risks along with the other information included in this prospectus, including the matters addressed under Forward-Looking Statements, before investing in any of our securities. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business could be harmed. In that case, the trading price of our ordinary shares could decline, and you might lose all or part of your investment.

# **Risks Relating to Our Business**

# If we are unable to implement our business strategies, our business and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Our future results of operations will depend in significant part on the extent to which we can implement our business strategies successfully, including our ability to realize the anticipated growth opportunities, expanded market visibility and increased access to capital. Our business strategies include continuing to operate our portfolio of run-off insurance and reinsurance companies and related management engagements, as well as pursuing additional acquisitions and management engagements in the run-off segment of the insurance and reinsurance market. We may not be able to implement our strategies fully or realize the anticipated results of our strategies as a result of significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control.

The effects of emerging claims and coverage issues may result in increased provisions for loss reserves and reduced profitability in our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries. Such adverse business issues may also reduce the level of incentive-based fees generated by our consulting operations. Adverse global economic conditions, such as rising interest rates and volatile foreign exchange rates, may cause widespread failure of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries reinsurers to satisfy their obligations, as well as failure of companies to meet their obligations under debt instruments held by our subsidiaries. If the run-off industry becomes more attractive to investors, competition for run-off acquisitions and management and consultancy engagements may increase and, therefore, reduce our ability to continue to make profitable acquisitions or expand our consultancy operations. If we are unable to successfully implement our business strategies, we may not be able to achieve future growth in our earnings and our financial condition may suffer and, as a result, holders of our ordinary shares may receive lower returns.

# Our inability to successfully manage our portfolio of insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off may adversely impact our ability to grow our business and may result in losses.

We were founded to acquire and manage companies and portfolios of insurance and reinsurance in run-off. Our run-off business differs from the business of traditional insurance and reinsurance underwriting in that our insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off no longer underwrite new policies and are subject to the risk that their stated provisions for losses and loss adjustment expense, or LAE, will not be sufficient to cover future losses and the cost of run-off. Because our companies in run-off no longer collect underwriting premiums, our sources of capital to cover losses are limited to our stated reserves, reinsurance coverage and retained earnings. As of March 31, 2008, our gross reserves for losses and loss adjustment expense totaled \$2.7 billion, and our reinsurance receivables totaled \$758.7 million.

In order for us to achieve positive operating results, we must first price acquisitions on favorable terms relative to the risks posed by the acquired businesses and then successfully manage the acquired businesses. Our inability to price acquisitions on favorable terms, efficiently manage claims, collect from reinsurers and control run-off expenses could result in us having to cover losses sustained under assumed policies with retained earnings, which would materially

and adversely impact our ability to grow our business and may result in material losses.

# Our inability to successfully manage the companies and portfolios for which we have been engaged as a third-party manager may adversely impact our financial results and our ability to win future management engagements.

In addition to acquiring insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off, we have entered into several management agreements with third parties to manage their companies or portfolios of business in run-off. The terms of these management engagements typically include incentive payments to us based on our ability to successfully

manage the run-off of these companies or portfolios. We may not be able to accomplish our objectives for these engagements as a result of unforeseen circumstances such as the length of time for claims to develop, the extent to which losses may exceed reserves, changes in the law that may require coverage of additional claims and losses, our ability to commute reinsurance policies on favorable terms and our ability to manage run-off expenses. If we are not successful in meeting our objectives for these management engagements, we may not receive incentive payments under our management agreements, which could adversely impact our financial results, and we may not win future engagements to provide these management services, which could slow the growth of our business. Consulting fees generated from management agreements amounted to \$31.9 million, \$33.9 million and \$22.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

# If our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries loss reserves are inadequate to cover their actual losses, our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries net income and capital and surplus would be reduced.

Our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are required to maintain reserves to cover their estimated ultimate liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses for both reported and unreported incurred claims. These reserves are only estimates of what our subsidiaries think the settlement and administration of claims will cost based on facts and circumstances known to the subsidiaries. Our commutation activity and claims settlement and development in recent years has resulted in net reductions in provisions for loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$24.5 million, \$31.9 million and \$96.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Although this recent experience indicates that our loss reserves have been more than adequate to meet our liabilities, because of the uncertainties that surround estimating loss reserves and loss adjustment expenses, our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries cannot be certain that ultimate losses will not exceed these estimates of losses and loss adjustment expenses. If our subsidiaries reserves are insufficient to cover their actual losses and loss adjustment expenses, our subsidiaries would have to augment their reserves and incur a charge to their earnings. These charges could be material and would reduce our net income and capital and surplus.

The difficulty in estimating the subsidiaries reserves is increased because our subsidiaries loss reserves include reserves for potential asbestos and environmental, or A&E, liabilities. At December 31, 2007, our insurance and reinsurance companies had recorded gross A&E loss reserves of \$677.6 million, or 42.6% of the total gross loss reserves. Net A&E loss reserves at December 31, 2007 amounted to \$420.0 million, or 36.1% of total net loss reserves. A&E liabilities are especially hard to estimate for many reasons, including the long waiting periods between exposure and manifestation of any bodily injury or property damage, the difficulty in identifying the source of the asbestos or environmental contamination, long reporting delays and the difficulty in properly allocating liability for the asbestos or environmental damage. Developed case law and adequate claim history do not always exist for such claims, especially because significant uncertainty exists about the outcome of coverage litigation and whether past claim experience will be representative of future claim experience. In view of the changes in the legal and tort environment that affect the development of such claims, the uncertainties inherent in valuing A&E claims are not likely to be resolved in the near future. Ultimate values for such claims cannot be estimated using traditional reserving techniques and there are significant uncertainties in estimating the amount of our subsidiaries potential losses for these claims. Our subsidiaries have not made any changes in reserve estimates that might arise as a result of any proposed U.S. federal legislation related to asbestos. To further understand this risk, see Business Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expense on page 71.

# Our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries reinsurers may not satisfy their obligations to our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries.

Our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are subject to credit risk with respect to their reinsurers because the transfer of risk to a reinsurer does not relieve our subsidiaries of their liability to the insured. In addition, reinsurers may be unwilling to pay our subsidiaries even though they are able to do so. As of March 31, 2008, the balances

receivable from reinsurers amounted to \$758.7 million, of which \$380.9 million was associated with two reinsurers with Standard & Poor s credit ratings of AA-. The failure of one or more of our subsidiaries reinsurers to honor their obligations in a timely fashion may affect our cash flows, reduce our net income or cause us to incur a significant loss. Disputes with our reinsurers may also result in unforeseen expenses relating to litigation or arbitration proceedings.

# The value of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries investment portfolios and the investment income that our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries receive from these portfolios may decline as a result of market fluctuations and economic conditions.

We derive a significant portion of our income from our invested assets. The net investment income that our subsidiaries realize from investments in fixed-income securities will generally increase or decrease with interest rates. The fair market value of our subsidiaries fixed-income securities generally increases or decreases in an inverse relationship with fluctuations in interest rates and can also decrease as a result of any downturn in the business cycle that causes the credit quality of those securities to deteriorate. The fair market value of our subsidiaries fixed-income securities classified as trading or available-for-sale in our subsidiaries investment portfolios amounted to \$843.9 million at March 31, 2008. The changes in the market value of our subsidiaries securities that are classified as trading or available-for-sale are reflected in our financial statements. Permanent impairments in the value of our subsidiaries fixed-income securities in our subsidiaries investment portfolios and the value of our subsidiaries investment portfolios and the value of our subsidiaries in the value of our subs

In addition to fixed-income securities, we have invested, and may from time to time continue to invest, in limited partnerships, limited liability companies and equity funds. These and other similar investments may be illiquid. As of March 31, 2008, we had an aggregate of \$105.4 million of such investments. For more information, see Business Investment Portfolio on page 84.

# We have made, and expect to continue to make, strategic acquisitions of insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off, and these activities may not be financially beneficial to us or our shareholders.

We have pursued and, as part of our strategy, we will continue to pursue growth through acquisitions and/or strategic investments in insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off. We have made several acquisitions and investments and we expect to continue to make such acquisitions and investments. We cannot be certain that any of these acquisitions or investments will be financially advantageous for us or our shareholders.

The negotiation of potential acquisitions or strategic investments, as well as the integration of an acquired business or portfolio, could result in a substantial diversion of management resources. Acquisitions could involve numerous additional risks such as potential losses from unanticipated litigation or levels of claims, an inability to generate sufficient revenue to offset acquisition costs and financial exposures in the event that the sellers of the entities we acquire are unable or unwilling to meet their indemnification, reinsurance and other obligations to us.

Our ability to manage our growth through acquisitions or strategic investments will depend, in part, on our success in addressing these risks. Any failure by us to effectively implement our acquisition or strategic investment strategies could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

# Our past and future acquisitions may expose us to operational risks such as cash flow shortages, challenges to recruit appropriate levels of personnel, financial exposures to foreign currencies, additional integration costs and management time and effort.

We have made several acquisitions and may in the future make additional strategic acquisitions, either of other companies or selected portfolios of insurance or reinsurance in run-off. These acquisitions may expose us to operational challenges and risks, including:

funding cash flow shortages that may occur if anticipated revenues are not realized or are delayed, whether by general economic or market conditions or unforeseen internal difficulties;

funding cash flow shortages that may occur if expenses are greater than anticipated;

the value of assets being lower than expected or diminishing because of credit defaults or changes in interest rates, or liabilities assumed being greater than expected;

integrating financial and operational reporting systems, including assurance of compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and our Exchange Act reporting requirements;

establishing satisfactory budgetary and other financial controls;

funding increased capital needs and overhead expenses;

obtaining management personnel required for expanded operations; and

the assets and liabilities we may acquire may be subject to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuation.

Our failure to manage successfully these operational challenges and risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

# Fluctuations in the reinsurance industry may cause our operating results to fluctuate.

The reinsurance industry historically has been subject to significant fluctuations and uncertainties. Factors that affect the industry in general may also cause our operating results to fluctuate. The industry s profitability may be affected significantly by:

fluctuations in interest rates, inflationary pressures and other changes in the investment environment, which affect returns on invested capital and may affect the ultimate payout of loss amounts and the costs of administering books of reinsurance business;

volatile and unpredictable developments, which may adversely affect the recoverability of reinsurance from our reinsurers;

changes in reserves resulting from different types of claims that may arise and the development of judicial interpretations relating to the scope of insurers liability; and

the overall level of economic activity and the competitive environment in the industry.

## The effects of emerging claim and coverage issues on our business are uncertain.

As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected and unintended issues related to claims and coverage may emerge. These issues may adversely affect the adequacy of our provision for losses and loss adjustment expenses by either extending coverage beyond the intent of insurance policies and reinsurance contracts envisioned at the time they were written, or by increasing the number or size of claims. In some instances, these changes may not become apparent until some time after we have acquired companies or portfolios of insurance or reinsurance contracts that are affected by the changes. As a result, the full extent of liability under these insurance or reinsurance contracts may not be known for many years after a contract has been issued. To further understand this risk, see Business Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expense on page 71.

# Insurance laws and regulations restrict our ability to operate, and any failure to comply with these laws and regulations, or any investigations by government authorities, may have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are subject to extensive regulation under insurance laws of a number of jurisdictions, and compliance with legal and regulatory requirements is expensive. These laws limit the amount of dividends that can be paid to us by our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries, prescribe solvency standards that they must meet and maintain, impose restrictions on the amount and type of investments that they can hold to meet solvency requirements and require them to maintain reserves. Failure to comply with these laws may subject our subsidiaries to fines and penalties and restrict

them from conducting business. The application of these laws may affect our liquidity and ability to pay dividends on our ordinary shares and may restrict our ability to expand our business operations through acquisitions. At December 31, 2007, the required statutory capital and surplus of our insurance and reinsurance companies amounted to \$88.0 million compared to the actual statutory capital and surplus of \$483.8 million. As of December 31, 2007, \$55.5 million of our total investments of \$637.2 million were not admissible for statutory solvency purposes.

The insurance industry has experienced substantial volatility as a result of current investigations, litigation and regulatory activity by various insurance, governmental and enforcement authorities, including the U.S. Securities

and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, concerning certain practices within the insurance industry. These practices include the sale and purchase of finite reinsurance or other non-traditional or loss mitigation insurance products and the accounting treatment for those products. Insurance and reinsurance companies that we have acquired, or may acquire in the future, may have been or may become involved in these investigations and have lawsuits filed against them. Our involvement in any investigations and related lawsuits would cause us to incur legal costs and, if we were found to have violated any laws, we could be required to pay fines and damages, perhaps in material amounts.

# If we fail to comply with applicable insurance laws and regulations, we may be subject to disciplinary action, damages, penalties or restrictions that may have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our subsidiaries may not have maintained or be able to maintain all required licenses and approvals or that their businesses fully comply with the laws and regulations to which they are subject, or the relevant insurance regulatory authority s interpretation of those laws and regulations. In addition, some regulatory authorities have relatively broad discretion to grant, renew or revoke licenses and approvals. If our subsidiaries do not have the requisite licenses and approvals or do not comply with applicable regulatory requirements, the insurance regulatory authorities may preclude or suspend our subsidiaries from carrying on some or all of their activities, place one of more of them into rehabilitation or liquidation proceedings, or impose monetary penalties on them. These types of actions may have a material adverse effect on our business and may preclude us from making future acquisitions or obtaining future engagements to manage companies and portfolios in run-off.

# Exit and finality opportunities provided by solvent schemes of arrangement may not continue to be available, which may result in the diversion of our resources to settle policyholder claims for a substantially longer run-off period and increase the associated costs of run-off of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries.

With respect to our U.K. and Bermudian insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries, we are able to pursue strategies to achieve complete finality and conclude the run-off of a company by promoting solvent schemes of arrangement. Solvent schemes of arrangement have been a popular means of achieving financial certainty and finality for insurance and reinsurance companies incorporated or managed in the U.K. and Bermuda, by making a one-time full and final settlement of an insurance and reinsurance company s liabilities to policyholders. A solvent scheme of arrangement is an arrangement between a company and its creditors or any class of them. For a solvent scheme of arrangement to become binding on the creditors, a meeting of each class of creditors must be called, with the permission of the local court, to consider and, if thought fit, approve the solvent scheme arrangement. The requisite statutory majority of creditors of not less than 75% in value and 50% in number of those creditors actually attending the meeting, either in person or by proxy, must vote in favor of a solvent scheme of arrangement. Once the solvent scheme of arrangement has been approved by the statutory majority of voting creditors of the company it requires the sanction of the local court at a hearing at which creditors may appear. The court must be satisfied that the scheme is fair.

In July 2005, the case of British Aviation Insurance Company, or BAIC, was the first solvent scheme of arrangement to fail to be sanctioned by the English High Court, following opposition by certain creditors. The primary reason for the failure of the BAIC arrangement was the failure to adequately provide for different classes of creditors to vote separately on the arrangement. It was thought at the time that the BAIC judgment might signal the decline of solvent schemes of arrangement. However, since BAIC, more than 25 solvent schemes of arrangement have been sanctioned, such that the prevailing view is that the BAIC judgment was very fact-specific to the case in question, and solvent schemes generally should continue to be promoted and sanctioned as a viable means for achieving finality for our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries. Following the BAIC judgment, insurance and reinsurance companies must now take more care in drafting a solvent scheme of arrangement to fit the circumstances of the company including the determination of the appropriate classes of creditors. Should a solvent scheme of arrangement promoted by any of our insurance or reinsurance subsidiaries fail to receive the requisite approval by creditors or sanction by the court, we will have to run off these liabilities until expiry, which may result in the diversion of our resources to settle

policyholder claims for a substantially longer run-off period and increase the associated costs of run-off, resulting potentially in a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

# We are dependent on our executive officers, directors and other key personnel and the loss of any of these individuals could adversely affect our business.

Our success substantially depends on our ability to attract and retain qualified employees and upon the ability of our senior management and other key employees to implement our business strategy. We believe that there are only a limited number of available qualified personnel in the business in which we compete. We rely substantially upon the services of Dominic F. Silvester, our Chief Executive Officer, Paul J. O Shea and Nicholas A. Packer, our Executive Vice Presidents and Joint Chief Operating Officers, Richard J. Harris, our Chief Financial Officer, John J. Oros, our Executive Chairman, and our subsidiaries executive officers and directors to identify and consummate the acquisition of insurance and reinsurance companies and portfolios in run-off on favorable terms and to implement our run-off strategy. Each of Messrs. Silvester, O Shea, Packer, Oros and Harris has an employment agreement with us. In addition to serving as our Executive Chairman, Mr. Oros is a managing director of J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC, an investment firm specializing in privately negotiated equity and equity-related investments in the financial services industry. Mr. Oros splits his time commitment between us and J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC, with the expectation that Mr. Oros will spend approximately 50% of his working time with us; however, there is no minimum work commitment set forth in our employment agreement with Mr. Oros. J. Christopher Flowers, one of our directors and one of our largest shareholders, is a Managing Director of J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. We believe that our relationships with Mr. Oros and Mr. Flowers and their affiliates provide us with access to additional acquisition and investment opportunities, as well as sources of co-investment for acquisition opportunities that we do not have the resources to consummate on our own. The loss of the services of any of our management or other key personnel, or the loss of the services of or our relationships with any of our directors, including in particular Mr. Oros and Mr. Flowers, or their affiliates, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Further, if we were to lose any of our key employees in Bermuda, we would likely hire non-Bermudians to replace them. Under Bermuda law, non-Bermudians (other than spouses of Bermudians, holders of permanent resident s certificates or holders of a working resident s certificate) may not engage in any gainful occupation in Bermuda without an appropriate governmental work permit. Work permits may be granted or extended by the Bermuda government upon showing that, after proper public advertisement in most cases, no Bermudian (or spouse of a Bermudian, holder of a permanent resident s certificate or holders of a working resident s certificate) is available who meets the minimum standard requirements for the advertised position. The Bermuda government s policy limits the duration of work permits to six years, with certain exemptions for key employees and job categories where there is a worldwide shortage of qualified employees.

## Conflicts of interest might prevent us from pursuing desirable investment and business opportunities.

Our directors and executive officers may have ownership interests or other involvement with entities that could compete against us, either in the pursuit of acquisition targets or in general business operations. On occasion, we have also participated in transactions in which one or more of our directors or executive officers had an interest. In particular, we have invested, and expect to continue to invest, in or with entities that are affiliates of or otherwise related to Mr. Oros and/or Mr. Flowers. The interests of our directors and executive officers in such transactions or such entities may result in a conflict of interest for those directors and officers. The independent members of our board of directors review any material transactions involving a conflict of interest, and the board of directors will take other actions as may be deemed appropriate by them in particular circumstances, such as forming a special committee of independent directors or engaging third-party financial advisers to evaluate such transactions. We may not be able to pursue all advantageous transactions that we would otherwise pursue in the absence of a conflict should our board of directors be unable to determine that any such transaction is on terms as favorable as we could otherwise obtain in the absence of a conflict.

# Our consulting business generates a significant amount of our total income, and the failure to develop new consulting relationships could materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

A significant amount of our existing consulting business is dependent on a relatively small number of our clients. While our senior management team has industry relationships that we believe will allow us to successfully

identify and enter into agreements with new clients for our consulting business, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in entering into such agreements. A material reduction in consulting fees paid by one or more of our clients or the failure to identify new clients for our consulting services could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

# We may require additional capital in the future that may not be available or may only be available on unfavorable terms.

Our future capital requirements depend on many factors, including our ability to manage the run-off of our assumed policies and to establish reserves at levels sufficient to cover losses. We may need to raise additional funds through financings in the future. Any equity or debt financing, if available at all, may be on terms that are not favorable to us. In the case of equity financings, dilution to our shareholders could result, and, in any case, such securities may have rights, preferences and privileges that are senior to those of our already outstanding securities. If we cannot obtain adequate capital, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

#### We are a holding company, and we are dependent on the ability of our subsidiaries to distribute funds to us.

We are a holding company and conduct substantially all of our operations through subsidiaries. Our only significant assets are the capital stock of our subsidiaries. As a holding company, we are dependent on distributions of funds from our subsidiaries to pay dividends, fund acquisitions or fulfill financial obligations in the normal course of our business. Our subsidiaries may not generate sufficient cash from operations to enable us to make dividend payments, acquire additional companies or insurance or reinsurance portfolios or fulfill other financial obligations. The ability of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries to make distributions to us is limited by applicable insurance laws and regulations, and the ability of all of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us may be restricted by, among other things, other applicable laws and regulations.

#### Fluctuations in currency exchange rates may cause us to experience losses.

We maintain a portion of our investments, insurance liabilities and insurance assets denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars. Consequently, we and our subsidiaries may experience foreign exchange losses.

We publish our consolidated financial statements in U.S. dollars. Therefore, fluctuations in exchange rates used to convert other currencies, particularly Australian dollars, Euros, British pounds and other European currencies, into U.S. dollars will impact our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows from year to year.

## Risks Relating to this Offering and Ownership of Our Ordinary Shares

#### Our stock price may experience volatility, thereby causing a potential loss of value to our investors.

The market price for our ordinary shares may fluctuate substantially due to, among other things, the following factors:

announcements with respect to an acquisition or investment;

changes in the value of our assets;

our quarterly operating results;

sales, or the possibility or perception of future sales, by our existing shareholders;

## Table of Contents

changes in general conditions in the economy and the insurance industry;

the financial markets; and

adverse press or news announcements.

# A few significant shareholders may influence or control the direction of our business. If the ownership of our ordinary shares continues to be highly concentrated, it may limit your ability and the ability of other shareholders to influence significant corporate decisions.

The interests of Messrs. Flowers, Silvester, Packer and O Shea, Trident II, L.P. and its affiliates, or Trident, and Beck Mack & Oliver LLC, or Beck Mack, may not be fully aligned with your interests, and this may lead to a strategy that is not in your best interest. As of May 15, 2008, Messrs. Flowers, Silvester, Packer and O Shea, Trident and Beck Mack beneficially owned approximately 10.3%, 18.8%, 6.0%, 6.1%, 11.2% and 7.6%, respectively, of our outstanding ordinary shares. Although they do not act as a group, Trident, Beck Mack and each of Messrs. Flowers, Silvester, Packer and O Shea exercise significant influence over matters requiring shareholder approval, and their concentrated holdings may delay or deter possible changes in control of Enstar, which may reduce the market price of our ordinary shares. For further information on aspects of our bye-laws that may discourage changes of control of Enstar, see Some aspects of our corporate structure may discourage third-party takeovers and other transactions or prevent the removal of our board of directors and management below.

# Some aspects of our corporate structure may discourage third-party takeovers and other transactions or prevent the removal of our board of directors and management.

Some provisions of our bye-laws have the effect of making more difficult or discouraging unsolicited takeover bids from third parties or preventing the removal of our current board of directors and management. In particular, our bye-laws make it difficult for any U.S. shareholder or Direct Foreign Shareholder Group (a shareholder or group of commonly controlled shareholders of Enstar that are not U.S. persons) to own or control ordinary shares that constitute 9.5% or more of the voting power of all of our ordinary shares. The votes conferred by such shares will be reduced by whatever amount is necessary so that after any such reduction the votes conferred by such shares will constitute 9.5% of the total voting power of all ordinary shares entitled to vote generally. The primary purpose of this restriction is to reduce the likelihood that we will be deemed a controlled foreign corporation within the meaning of Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, for U.S. federal tax purposes. However, this limit may also have the effect of deterring purchases of large blocks of our ordinary shares or proposals to acquire us, even if some or a majority of our shareholders might deem these purchases or acquisition proposals to be in their best interests. In addition, our bye-laws provide for a classified board, whose members may be removed by our shareholders only for cause by a majority vote, and contain restrictions on the ability of shareholders to nominate persons to serve as directors, submit resolutions to a shareholder vote and request special general meetings.

These bye-law provisions make it more difficult to acquire control of us by means of a tender offer, open market purchase, proxy contest or otherwise. These provisions may encourage persons seeking to acquire control of us to negotiate with our directors, which we believe would generally best serve the interests of our shareholders. However, these provisions may have the effect of discouraging a prospective acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us. In addition, these bye-law provisions may prevent the removal of our current board of directors and management. To the extent these provisions discourage takeover attempts, they may deprive shareholders of opportunities to realize takeover premiums for their shares or may depress the market price of the shares.

# The market value of our ordinary shares may decline if large numbers of shares are sold, including pursuant to existing registration rights.

We have entered into a registration rights agreement with Trident, Mr. Flowers and Mr. Silvester and certain other of our shareholders. This agreement provides that Trident, Mr. Flowers and Mr. Silvester may request that we effect a registration statement under the Securities Act of certain of their ordinary shares. In addition, they and the other

shareholders party to the agreement have piggyback registration rights, which may result in their participation in an offering initiated by us. As of the date of this prospectus, an aggregate of 4,794,873 ordinary shares held by Trident, Mr. Flowers and Mr. Silvester are subject to the agreement. By exercising their registration rights, these holders could cause a large number of ordinary shares to be registered and generally become freely tradable without restrictions under the Securities Act immediately upon the effectiveness of the registration. Our ordinary shares have in the past been, and may from time to time continue to be, thinly traded, and significant sales,

pursuant to the existing registration rights or otherwise, could adversely affect the market price for our ordinary shares and impair our ability to raise capital through offerings of our equity securities.

# Because we are incorporated in Bermuda, it may be difficult for shareholders to serve process or enforce judgments against us or our directors and officers.

We are a Bermuda company. In addition, certain of our officers and directors reside in countries outside the United States. All or a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of these officers and directors are or may be located outside the United States. Investors may have difficulty effecting service of process within the United States on our directors and officers who reside outside the United States or recovering against us or these directors and officers on judgments of U.S. courts based on civil liabilities provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws even though we have appointed an agent in the United States to receive service of process.

Further, no claim may be brought in Bermuda against us or our directors and officers for violation of U.S. federal securities laws, as such laws do not have force of law in Bermuda. A Bermuda court may, however, impose civil liability, including the possibility of monetary damages, on us or our directors and officers if the facts alleged in a complaint constitute or give rise to a cause of action under Bermuda law.

We believe that there is doubt as to whether the courts of Bermuda would enforce judgments of U.S. courts obtained in actions against us or our directors and officers, as well as our independent auditors, predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws or original actions brought in Bermuda against us or these persons predicated solely upon U.S. federal securities laws. Further, there is no treaty in effect between the United States and Bermuda providing for the enforcement of judgments of U.S. courts, and there are grounds upon which Bermuda courts may not enforce judgments of U.S. courts.

Some remedies available under the laws of U.S. jurisdictions, including some remedies available under the U.S. federal securities laws, may not be allowed in Bermuda courts as contrary to that jurisdiction s public policy. Because judgments of U.S. courts are not automatically enforceable in Bermuda, it may be difficult for you to recover against us based upon such judgments.

# Shareholders who own our ordinary shares may have more difficulty in protecting their interests than shareholders of a U.S. corporation.

The Bermuda Companies Act, or the Companies Act, which applies to us, differs in certain material respects from laws generally applicable to U.S. corporations and their shareholders. As a result of these differences, shareholders who own our shares may have more difficulty protecting their interests than shareholders who own shares of a U.S. corporation. For example, class actions and derivative actions are generally not available to shareholders under Bermuda law. Under Bermuda law, only shareholders holding 5% or more of our outstanding ordinary shares or numbering 100 or more are entitled to propose a resolution at an Enstar general meeting.

#### We do not intend to pay cash dividends on our ordinary shares.

We do not intend to pay a cash dividend on our ordinary shares. Rather, we intend to use any retained earnings to fund the development and growth of our business. From time to time, our board of directors will review our alternatives with respect to our earnings and seek to maximize value for our shareholders. In the future, we may decide to commence a dividend program for the benefit of our shareholders. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will be limited by our position as a holding company that lacks direct operations, the results of operations of our subsidiaries, our financial condition, cash requirements and prospects and other factors that our board of directors deems relevant. In addition, there are significant regulatory and other

constraints that could prevent us from paying dividends in any event. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, on our ordinary shares may be your sole source of gain for the foreseeable future.

#### Our board of directors may decline to register a transfer of our ordinary shares under certain circumstances.

Our board of directors may decline to register a transfer of ordinary shares under certain circumstances, including if it has reason to believe that any non-de minimis adverse tax, regulatory or legal consequences to us, any of our subsidiaries or any of our shareholders may occur as a result of such transfer. Further, our bye-laws provide us with the option to repurchase, or to assign to a third party the right to purchase, the minimum number of shares necessary to eliminate any such non-de minimis adverse tax, regulatory or legal consequence. In addition, our board of directors may decline to approve or register a transfer of shares unless all applicable consents, authorizations, permissions or approvals of any governmental body or agency in Bermuda, the United States or any other applicable jurisdiction required to be obtained prior to such transfer shall have been obtained. The proposed transfer of such shares will be deemed to own those shares for dividend, voting and reporting purposes until a transfer of such shares has been registered on our shareholders register.

It is our understanding that while the precise form of the restrictions on transfer contained in our bye-laws is untested, as a matter of general principle, restrictions on transfers are enforceable under Bermuda law and are not uncommon. These restrictions on transfer may also have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control.

# **Risks Relating to Taxation**

# We might incur unexpected U.S., U.K. or Australia tax liabilities if companies in our group that are incorporated outside of those jurisdictions are determined to be carrying on a trade or business there.

We and a number of our subsidiaries are companies formed under the laws of Bermuda or other jurisdictions that do not impose income taxes; it is our contemplation that these companies will not incur substantial income tax liabilities from their operations. Because the operations of these companies generally involve, or relate to, the insurance or reinsurance of risks that arise in higher tax jurisdictions, such as the United States, United Kingdom and Australia, it is possible that the taxing authorities in those jurisdictions may assert that the activities of one or more of these companies creates a sufficient nexus in that jurisdiction to subject the company to income tax there. There are uncertainties in how the relevant rules apply to insurance businesses, and in our eligibility for favorable treatment under applicable tax treaties. Accordingly, it is possible that we could incur substantial unexpected tax liabilities.

# U.S. persons who own our ordinary shares might become subject to adverse U.S. tax consequences as a result of related person insurance income, or RPII, if any, of our non-U.S. insurance company subsidiaries.

If the RPII rules of the Code were to apply to us, a U.S. person who owns our ordinary shares directly or indirectly through foreign entities on the last day of the taxable year would be required to include in income for U.S. federal income tax purposes the shareholder s pro rata share of our non-U.S. subsidiaries RPII for the entire taxable year, determined as if that RPII were distributed proportionately to the U.S. shareholders at that date regardless whether any actual distribution is made. In addition, any RPII that is includible in the income of a U.S. tax-exempt organization would generally be treated as unrelated business taxable income. Although we and our subsidiaries intend to generally operate in a manner so as to qualify for certain exceptions to the RPII rules, there can be no assurance that these exceptions will be available. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that U.S. Persons who own our ordinary shares will not be required to recognize gross income inclusions attributable to RPII.

In addition, the RPII rules provide that if a shareholder who is a U.S. person disposes of shares in a foreign insurance company that has RPII and in which U.S. persons collectively own 25% or more of the shares, any gain from the disposition will generally be treated as dividend income to the extent of the shareholder s share of the corporation s undistributed earnings and profits that were accumulated during the period that the shareholder owned the shares (whether or not those earnings and profits are attributable to RPII). Such a shareholder would also be required to

comply with certain reporting requirements, regardless of the amount of shares owned by the shareholder. These rules should not apply to dispositions of our ordinary shares because we will not be directly engaged in the insurance business. The RPII rules, however, have not been interpreted by the courts or the IRS, and

regulations interpreting the RPII rules exist only in proposed form. Accordingly, there is no assurance that our views as to the inapplicability of these rules to a disposition of our ordinary shares will be accepted by the IRS or a court.

# U.S. persons who own our ordinary shares would be subject to adverse tax consequences if we or one or more of our non-U.S. subsidiaries were considered a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

We believe that we and our non-U.S. subsidiaries will not be PFICs for U.S. federal income purposes for the current year. Moreover, we do not expect to conduct our activities in a manner that will cause us or any of our non-U.S. subsidiaries to become a PFIC in the future. However, there can be no assurance that the IRS will not challenge this position or that a court will not sustain such challenge. Accordingly, it is possible that we or one or more of our non-U.S. subsidiaries might be deemed a PFIC by the IRS or a court for the current year or any future year. If we or one or more of our non-U.S. federal income taxation, including subjecting the investor to a substantial acceleration and/or increase in tax liability. There are currently no regulations regarding the application of the PFIC provisions of the Code to an insurance company, so the application of those provisions to insurance companies remains unclear in certain respects.

#### We may become subject to taxes in Bermuda after March 28, 2016.

The Bermuda Minister of Finance, under the Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966, as amended, of Bermuda, has given us and each of our Bermuda subsidiaries an assurance that if any legislation is enacted in Bermuda that would impose tax computed on profits or income, or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, then the imposition of any such tax will not be applicable to us or our Bermuda subsidiaries or any of our or their respective operations, shares, debentures or other obligations until March 28, 2016. Given the limited duration of the Minister of Finance s assurance, we cannot be certain that we will not be subject to any Bermuda tax after March 28, 2016. In the event that we become subject to any Bermuda tax after such date, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

# **USE OF PROCEEDS**

We expect to receive net proceeds from this offering of approximately \$106.0 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. We intend to use the proceeds from this offering, including any additional proceeds we receive from the underwriters exercise of their over-allotment option, to fund future acquisitions of insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off and for general corporate purposes. Until we apply the proceeds from the sale of the securities, we may temporarily invest any proceeds that are not immediately applied to the above purposes in U.S. government or agency obligations, commercial paper, money market accounts, short-term marketable securities, bank deposits or certificates of deposit, repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. government or agency obligations or other short-term investments.

# CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our capitalization as of March 31, 2008:

on an actual basis; and

on an as adjusted basis to reflect the application of the net proceeds of approximately \$106.0 million from the sale by us of 1,200,000 ordinary shares in this offering at an assumed offering price of \$91.25 per share, which was the closing price of our ordinary shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on June 25, 2008.

You should read this table in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Use of Proceeds and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

|   | As of Mare<br>Actual<br>(In thousand<br>share               | As<br>ls, ex | s Adjusted<br>cept per                                      |
|---|---|--------------|---|
| Cash and cash equivalents<br>Restricted cash and cash equivalents   | \$<br>1,480,695<br>317,691                                  | \$           | 1,586,705<br>317,691  |
| Total cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash  | 1,798,386   |              | 1,904,396   |
| Loans payable   | \$<br>329,963   | \$           | 329,963   |
| Shareholders equity<br>Share capital<br>Authorized issued and fully paid, par value \$1.00 each<br>Ordinary shares issued and outstanding<br>Non-voting convertible ordinary shares issued and outstanding<br>Treasury stock at cost<br>Additional paid-in capital<br>Accumulated other comprehensive income<br>Retained earnings | 11,948<br>2,973<br>(421,559)<br>593,712<br>5,785<br>271,983 |              | 13,148<br>2,973<br>(421,559)<br>698,522<br>5,785<br>271,983 |
| Total shareholders equity   | 464,842   |              | 570,852   |
| Total capitalization  | \$<br>794,805   | \$           | 900,815   |

The number of ordinary shares issued and outstanding on an as adjusted basis above excludes ordinary shares that (i) may be issued pursuant to outstanding stock options and restricted share units and (ii) have been reserved for future issuance pursuant to our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, Enstar Group Limited Employee Share Purchase Plan and Enstar Group Limited Deferred Compensation and Ordinary Share Plan for Non-employee Directors.

#### SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA

The following table provides selected historical consolidated financial and operating data as of the dates and for the periods indicated. We derived the selected historical consolidated financial and operating data as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 from our audited consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus. We derived the selected historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this prospectus. We derived the selected historical consolidated historical consolidated financial statements not included in this prospectus. We derived the selected historical consolidated financial consolidated financial statements not included in this prospectus. We derived the selected historical consolidated financial consolidated financial statements and operating data as of March 31, 2008 and for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus, which include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, that management considers necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position and results of operations as of the date and for the periods presented. The results of operations for past accounting periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future accounting period.

This information should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our audited and unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Since our inception, we have made several acquisitions which impact the comparability between periods of the information reflected below. See Business Recent Acquisitions on page 68 for information about our acquisitions.

|  |    | Three Mon<br>Marc |    |   |      |               |       | Years 1       | End  | ed Decembe   | er 3 | 1,       |    |                                      |
|--|----|-------------------|----|---|------|---------------|-------|---------------|------|--------------|------|----------|----|--------------------------------------|
|  |    | 2008              |    | 2007                                    |      | 2007          |       | 2006          |      | 2005         |      | 2004     |    | 2003                                 |
|  |    |                   |    | (In t                                   | thou | isands of U.S | 5. do | ollars, excep | t pe | r share data | )    |          |    |                                      |
| <b>Summary</b><br>Consolidated<br>Statements of<br>Carnings Data:<br>Consulting fees | \$ | 6,055             | \$ | 4,661                                   | \$   | 31,918        | \$    | 33,908        | \$   | 22,006       | \$   | 23,703   | \$ | 24,746                               |
| Vet investment<br>losses) income and<br>et realized                                  | Ŷ  | 0,000             | Ŧ  | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Ŷ    | 01,710        | Ŷ     |               | Ŷ    | ,            | Ŷ    | 20,100   | Ŷ  | ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| ains/losses<br>Jet<br>increase)/reduction<br>n loss and loss<br>djustment expenses   |    | (494)             |    | 20,509                                  |      | 64,336        |       | 48,001        |      | 29,504       |      | 10,502   |    | 7,072                                |
| abilities  |    | (685)             |    | (2,510)                                 |      | 24,482        |       | 31,927        |      | 96,007       |      | 13,706   |    | 24,044                               |
| otal other expenses  |    | (25,009)          |    | (22,721)                                |      | (67,904)      |       | (49,838)      |      | (57,299)     |      | (35,160) |    | (21,782)                             |
| Ainority interest<br>hare of income of<br>artly owned                                |    | (3,376)           |    | (2,248)                                 |      | (6,730)       |       | (13,208)      |      | (9,700)      |      | (3,097)  |    | (5,111)                              |
| ompanies   |    |                   |    |   |      |               |       | 518           |      | 192          |      | 6,881    |    | 1,623                                |

|   |    |            |    | ga:g.      |    |            |    |           |    |           |    |           |    |           |
|---|----|------------|----|------------|----|------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| let (loss)/earnings<br>com continuing<br>perations<br>Extraordinary gain<br>legative goodwill |    | (23,509)   |    | (2,309)    |    | 46,102     |    | 51,308    |    | 80,710    |    | 16,535    |    | 30,592    |
| 2008 and 2006: net<br>f minority interest)  |    | 35,196     |    | 15,683     |    | 15,683     |    | 31,038    |    |           |    | 21,759    |    |           |
| let earnings  | \$ | 11,687     | \$ | 13,374     | \$ | 61,785     | \$ | 82,346    | \$ | 80,710    | \$ | 38,294    | \$ | 30,592    |
| <b>Per Share</b><br>Data(1)(2):<br>Loss)/earnings per<br>hare before<br>xtraordinary gain     |    |            |    |            |    |            |    |           |    |           |    |           |    |           |
| basic<br>traordinary gain   | \$ | (1.97)     | \$ | (0.21)     | \$ | 3.93       | \$ | 5.21      | \$ | 8.29      | \$ | 1.72      | \$ | 3.19      |
| er share basic  |    | 2.95       |    | 1.41       |    | 1.34       |    | 3.15      |    |           |    | 2.26      |    |           |
| arnings per share<br>asic   | \$ | 0.98       | \$ | 1.20       | \$ | 5.27       | \$ | 8.36      | \$ | 8.29      | \$ | 3.98      | \$ | 3.19      |
| Loss)/earnings per<br>hare before<br>xtraordinary gain<br>diluted                             | \$ | (1.97)     | ¢  | (0.21)     | \$ | 3.84       | \$ | 5.15      | \$ | 8.14      | \$ | 1.71      | \$ | 3.19      |
| Extraordinary gain  | Ф  | . ,        | Ф  |            | Ф  |            | Ф  |           | Ф  | 8.14      | Þ  |           | Ф  | 5.19      |
| er share diluted  |    | 2.95       |    | 1.41       |    | 1.31       |    | 3.11      |    |           |    | 2.24      |    |           |
| Carnings per share iluted   | \$ | 0.98       | \$ | 1.20       | \$ | 5.15       | \$ | 8.26      | \$ | 8.14      | \$ | 3.95      | \$ | 3.19      |
| Veighted average<br>hares outstanding<br>asic<br>Veighted average<br>hares outstanding        |    | 11,927,542 |    | 11,160,448 |    | 11,731,908 |    | 9,857,914 |    | 9,739,560 |    | 9,618,905 |    | 9,582,396 |
| iluted(3)   |    | 11,927,542 |    | 11,160,448 |    | 12,009,683 |    | 9,966,960 |    | 9,918,823 |    | 9,694,528 |    | 9,582,396 |
| Cash dividends paid<br>er share   |    |            |    |            |    |            | \$ | 2.92      |    |           | \$ | 0.81      | \$ | 5.62      |
|   |    |            |    |            |    | 21         |    |           |    |           |    |           |    |           |

|                          | N  | As of<br>Aarch 31, |    |             |      | As            | of I  | December 3   | 1,  |           |               |
|--------------------------|----|--------------------|----|-------------|------|---------------|-------|--------------|-----|-----------|---------------|
|                          |    | 2008               |    | 2007        |      | 2006          |       | 2005         | ĺ   | 2004      | 2003          |
|                          |    |                    | (  | (In thousan | ds o | of U.S. dolla | rs, e | except per s | har | e data)   |               |
| Summary Balance          |    |                    |    |             |      |               |       |              |     |           |               |
| Sheet Data:              |    |                    |    |             |      |               |       |              |     |           |               |
| Total investments        | \$ | 1,217,695          | \$ | 637,196     | \$   | 747,529       | \$    | 539,568      | \$  | 591,635   | \$<br>268,417 |
| Cash and cash            |    |                    |    |             |      |               |       |              |     |           |               |
| equivalents              |    | 1,798,386          |    | 1,163,333   |      | 513,563       |       | 345,329      |     | 350,456   | 127,228       |
| Reinsurance balances     |    |                    |    |             |      |               |       |              |     |           |               |
| receivable               |    | 758,659            |    | 465,277     |      | 408,142       |       | 250,229      |     | 341,627   | 175,091       |
| Total assets             |    | 3,994,956          |    | 2,417,143   |      | 1,774,252     |       | 1,199,963    |     | 1,347,853 | 632,347       |
| Loss and loss adjustment |    |                    |    |             |      |               |       |              |     |           |               |
| expense liabilities      |    | 2,700,687          |    | 1,591,449   |      | 1,214,419     |       | 806,559      |     | 1,047,313 | 381,531       |
| Loans payable            |    | 329,963            |    | 60,227      |      | 62,148        |       |              |     |           |               |
| Total shareholders       |    |                    |    |             |      |               |       |              |     |           |               |
| equity                   |    | 464,842            |    | 450,599     |      | 318,610       |       | 260,906      |     | 177,338   | 147,616       |
| <b>Book Value per</b>    |    |                    |    |             |      |               |       |              |     |           |               |
| Share(4):                |    |                    |    |             |      |               |       |              |     |           |               |
| Basic                    | \$ | 38.97              | \$ | 38.41       | \$   | 32.32         | \$    | 26.79        | \$  | 18.44     | \$<br>15.40   |
| Diluted                  | \$ | 38.97              | \$ | 37.52       | \$   | 31.97         | \$    | 26.30        | \$  | 18.29     | \$<br>15.40   |

- (1) Earnings per share is a measure based on net earnings divided by weighted average ordinary shares outstanding. Basic earnings per share is defined as net earnings available to ordinary shareholders divided by the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding for the period, giving no effect to dilutive securities. Diluted earnings per share is defined as net earnings available to ordinary shareholders divided by the weighted average number of shares and share equivalents outstanding calculated using the treasury stock method for all potentially dilutive securities. When the effect of dilutive securities would be anti-dilutive, these securities are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share.
- (2) The weighted average ordinary shares outstanding shown for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003 reflect the conversion of Class A, B, C and D shares to ordinary shares on January 31, 2007, as part of the recapitalization completed in connection with the merger of our wholly-owned subsidiary with and into The Enstar Group, Inc., as if the conversion occurred on January 1, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the ordinary shares issued to acquire The Enstar Group, Inc. are reflected in the calculation of the weighted average ordinary shares outstanding from January 31, 2007, the date of issue. As a result both the book value per share and the earnings per share calculations, previously reported, have been amended to reflect this change.
- (3) The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007 and the calculation of diluted book value per share as of March 31, 2008 do not include share equivalents relating to unvested shares, restricted shares and options because to do so would have been anti-dilutive.
- (4) Basic book value per share is defined as total shareholders equity available to ordinary shareholders divided by the number of ordinary shares outstanding as of the end of the period, giving no effect to dilutive securities. Diluted book value per share is defined as total shareholders equity available to ordinary shareholders divided by

the number of ordinary shares and ordinary share equivalents outstanding at the end of the period, calculated using the treasury stock method for all potentially dilutive securities. When the effect of dilutive securities would be anti-dilutive, these securities are excluded from the calculation of diluted book value per share.

# UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial information is based on our historical financial statements and the historical financial statements of Gordian Runoff Limited, TGI Australia Limited, AG Australia Holdings Ltd., Gordian Runoff (UK) Limited, Shelly Bay Holdings Limited (formerly AMP General Insurance Holdings Limited), Enstar Australia Limited (formerly Cobalt Solutions Australia Limited), Harrington Sound Limited (formerly AMP General Insurance Limited), and Church Bay Limited (formerly AMPG (1992) Limited), or the acquired companies collectively referred to herein as Gordian, and have been prepared to illustrate the effects of the acquisition of all of the outstanding share capital of Gordian by Enstar Australia Holdings Pty Limited, or Enstar Australia, our wholly-owned subsidiary, which was completed on March 5, 2008. The following data is presented as if the acquisition was completed as of January 1, 2007. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial information (i) is based on the acquisition price we paid of approximately \$405.4 million to the former shareholders of Gordian and (ii) reflects the purchase of Gordian under the purchase method of accounting and represents a current estimate of the financial information based on available information from us and Gordian.

The pro forma information includes adjustments to record the assets and liabilities of Gordian at their estimated fair market values and is subject to adjustment as additional information becomes available and as additional analyses are performed. To the extent there are significant changes to Gordian s business, the assumptions and estimates herein could change significantly. The pro forma financial information is presented for illustrative purposes only under one set of assumptions and does not reflect the financial results of the combined companies had consideration been given to other assumptions or to the impact of possible operating efficiencies, asset dispositions, and other factors. Further, the pro forma financial information been in effect the historical results of the combined company that actually would have occurred had the transaction been in effect during the period indicated or that may be obtained in the future. The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial information does not include a balance sheet dated March 31, 2008 because our condensed combined consolidated balance sheet dated March 31, 2008 because our condensed combined consolidated balance sheet dated March 31, 2008.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial information should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the historical financial statements, including the related notes, included elsewhere in this prospectus, as well as the historical financial statements of Gordian included in our Current Report on Form 8-K/A, filed with the SEC on May 21, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference, with the exception of historical information for AG Australia Holdings Ltd., Gordian Runoff (UK) Limited and Shelly Bay Holdings Limited (formerly AMP General Insurance Holdings Limited) as these entities were materially insignificant to the transaction as a whole.

# ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

# UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EARNINGS For the Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2008

|   | Enstar<br>Group<br>Limited(a)<br>Expressed in t | -  | ordian<br>sands of U | ]  | ljustment<br>Entries<br>ollars, except j | -  | ombined<br>nare data) |
|---|---|----|----------------------|----|--|----|-----------------------|
| INCOME<br>Consulting fees<br>Net investment (loss) income and net realized    | \$<br>6,018                                     | \$ | 227                  | \$ |  | \$ | 6,245                 |
| gains (losses)  | (7,766)   |    | 13,854               |    | (5,194)(b)                               |    | 894                   |
|   | (1,748)   |    | 14,081               |    | (5,194)                                  |    | 7,139                 |
| EXPENSES<br>Net increase (reduction) in loss and loss                         |   |    |                      |    |  |    |                       |
| adjustment expense liabilities  | 1,631   |    | (23,815)             |    | 4,339 (c)                                |    | (17,845)              |
| Salaries and benefits   | 11,095  |    | 596                  |    |  |    | 11,691                |
| General and administrative expenses   | 12,817  |    | 3,465                |    |  |    | 16,282                |
| Interest expense  | 3,315   |    | (100)                |    | 3,965 (c)                                |    | 7,280                 |
| Foreign exchange gain   | (4,234)   |    | (100)                |    |  |    | (4,334)               |
|   | 24,624  |    | (19,854)             |    | 8,304                                    |    | 13,074                |
| (LOSS) EARNINGS BEFORE INCOME   |   |    |                      |    |  |    |                       |
| TAXES AND MINORITY INTEREST   | (26,372)  |    | 33,935               |    | (13,498)                                 |    | (6,135)               |
| INCOME TAXES  | 1,738   |    | (3,994)              |    | 1,558 (c)                                |    | (698)                 |
| MINORITY INTEREST   | (3,376)   |    |                      |    |  |    | (3,376)               |
| (LOSS) EARNINGS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS(d)                                 | \$<br>(28,010)                                  | \$ | 29,941               | \$ | (11,940)                                 | \$ | (10,009)              |
| Loss per share basic and diluted<br>Weighted average shares outstanding basic | \$<br>(2.35)                                    |    |                      |    |  | \$ | (0.84)                |
| and diluted   | 11,927,542                                      |    |                      |    |  | -  | 11,927,542            |

#### Notes to the Pro Forma Condensed Combined Consolidated Statement of Earnings

#### <u>Note a</u>

The Enstar Group Limited statement of earnings excludes the results of Gordian for the period from date of acquisition, March 5, 2008 to March 31, 2008.

#### <u>Note b</u>

Adjustment to exclude net unrealized gains reported by Gordian to conform to Enstar Group Limited s accounting policy for investments \$ (5,194)

# <u>Note c</u>

| Adjustment to interest expense to reflect the financing costs of the acquisition for the period | (3,965)    |
|---|------------|
| Adjustment to recognize the amortization of increased run-off provisions                        | (215)      |
| Adjustment to recognize amortization of fair value adjustments recorded at date of acquisition  | (4,124)    |
| To adjust income taxes for pro forma adjustments at the statutory rate of 30%                   | 1,558      |
|   |            |
| Total expenses  | \$ (6,746) |

# <u>Note d</u>

Earnings from continuing operations exclude extraordinary gains.



# ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

# UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EARNINGS For the Year Ended December 31, 2007

|   | (  | Enstar<br>Group<br>Limited<br>Expressed in t |    | Gordian<br>Isands of U. | Combined<br>per share data) |            |    |                     |
|---|----|--|----|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----|---------------------|
| INCOME  | ¢  | 21.010                                       | ¢  | 7 400                   | ¢                           |            | ¢  | 20 417              |
| Consulting fees<br>Net investment income and net realized gains | \$ | 31,918<br>64,336                             | \$ | 7,499<br>59,600         | \$                          | (4,395)(c) | \$ | 39,417<br>119,541   |
|   |    | 96,254                                       |    | 67,099                  |                             | (4,395)    |    | 158,958             |
| EXPENSES  |    |  |    |                         |                             |            |    |                     |
| Net reduction in loss and loss adjustment                       |    | (24,482)                                     |    | (102.074)               |                             | 10.022 (-) |    | (107 (22))          |
| expense liabilities<br>Salaries and benefits                    |    | (24,482)<br>46,977                           |    | (102,974)<br>12,708     |                             | 19,833 (a) |    | (107,623)<br>59,685 |
| General and administrative expenses                             |    | 40,977<br>31,413                             |    | 12,708                  |                             |            |    | 42,130              |
| Interest expense  |    | 4,876  |    | 10,717                  |                             | 28,461 (b) |    | 33,337              |
| Foreign exchange gain   |    | (7,921)                                      |    | (4,910)                 |                             | 20,101 (0) |    | (12,831)            |
|   |    | 50,863                                       |    | (84,459)                |                             | 48,294     |    | 14,698              |
| EARNINGS (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME                                   |    |  |    |                         |                             |            |    |                     |
| TAXES AND MINORITY INTEREST                                     |    | 45,391                                       |    | 151,558                 |                             | (52,689)   |    | 144,260             |
| INCOME TAXES  |    | 7,441  |    | (40,472)                |                             | 1,319 (c)  |    | (31,712)            |
| MINORITY INTEREST   |    | (6,730)                                      |    |                         |                             |            |    | (6,730)             |
| EARNINGS (LOSS) FROM  |    |  |    |                         |                             |            |    |                     |
| CONTINUING OPERATIONS(d)  | \$ | 46,102                                       | \$ | 111,086                 | \$                          | (51,370)   | \$ | 105,818             |
| Earnings per share basic  | \$ | 3.93   |    |                         |                             |            | \$ | 9.02                |
| Earnings per share diluted                                      | \$ | 3.84   |    |                         |                             |            | \$ | 8.81                |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic                       |    | 11,731,908                                   |    |                         |                             |            |    | 11,731,908          |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted                     |    | 12,009,683                                   |    |                         |                             |            |    | 12,009,683          |

#### Notes to the Pro Forma Condensed Combined Consolidated Statement of Earnings

#### Note a

Amortization of fair value adjustments.

# <u>Note b</u>

Represents the loan interest expense based on the assumption that the loan used to fund the acquisition was made on January 1, 2007.

# <u>Note c</u>

Represents the after tax impact of Gordian s adoption of our accounting policy for investments.

# <u>Note d</u>

Earnings from continuing operations exclude extraordinary gains.

# ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

# UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET As of December 31, 2007

|   | Enstar<br>Group<br>Limited<br>(E | Gordian<br>xpressed in thou | Adjustment<br>Entries<br>ısands of U.S. dolla | Combined<br>ars) |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------------|
| ASSETS                                  |                                  |                             |   |                  |
| Total investments                       | \$ 637,196                       | \$ 393,841                  |   | \$ 1,031,037     |
| Cash and cash equivalents               | 995,237                          | 633,400                     | (89,390)(a)<br>(142,248)(b)                   | 1,396,999        |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents    | 168,096                          |                             |   | 168,096          |
| Reinsurance balances receivable         | 465,277                          | 145,186                     | (37,630)(c)                                   | 572,833          |
| Other assets                            | 151,337                          | 355,911                     | (18,867)(c)<br>(329,544)(b)                   | 158,837          |
| Total Assets                            | \$ 2,417,143                     | \$ 1,528,338                | \$ (617,679)                                  | \$ 3,327,802     |
| LIABILITIES                             |                                  |                             |   |                  |
| Loss and loss adjustment expenses       | \$ 1,591,449                     | \$ 578,052                  | \$ (29,917)(c)                                | \$ 2,139,584     |
| Reinsurance balances payable            | 189,870                          | 8,214                       | (1,502)(c)                                    | 196,582          |
| Loans payable                           | 60,227                           |                             | 276,500 (a)                                   | 336,727          |
| Other liabilities                       | 61,561                           | 17,959                      | 7,499 (c)                                     | 87,019           |
|   | 1,903,107                        | 604,225                     | 252,580                                       | 2,759,912        |
| Minority Interest                       | 63,437                           |                             | 39,522 (a)                                    | 102,959          |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY                     |                                  |                             |   |                  |
| Share capital                           | 14,893                           | 396,872                     | (396,872)(a)                                  | 14,893           |
| Treasury stock                          | (421,559)                        |                             |   | (421,559)        |
| Additional paid-in capital              | 590,934                          |                             |   | 590,934          |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income  | 6,035                            |                             | 4,598 (d)                                     | 10,633           |
| Retained earnings                       | 260,296                          | 527,241                     | (58,820)(a)                                   | 270,030          |
|   |                                  |                             | (32,576)(c)                                   |                  |
|   |                                  |                             | (471,792)(b)                                  |                  |
|   |                                  |                             | (4,598)(d)<br>50,280 (a)                      |                  |
|   |                                  |                             |   |                  |
|   | 450,599                          | 924,113                     | (909,781)                                     | 464,931          |
| Total Liabilities & Shareholders Equity | \$ 2,417,143                     | \$ 1,528,338                | \$ (617,679)                                  | \$ 3,327,802     |

# Notes to the Pro Forma Condensed Combined Consolidated Balance Sheet

#### <u>Note a</u>

To record the acquisition of Gordian by Enstar Group Limited using the purchase method of accounting. A summary of the adjustments is as follows:

| Purchase price<br>Direct costs of acquisitions  |           | \$<br>401,086<br>4,326 |
|---|-----------|------------------------|
| Total purchase price (cash of \$128,912 and notes payable of \$276,500)<br>Net assets acquired at fair value: |           | 405,412                |
| Cash and investments  | 872,755   |                        |
| Reinsurance balances receivable   | 99,645    |                        |
| Other assets  | 31,253    |                        |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses   | (509,638) |                        |
| Insurance and reinsurance balances payable  | (22,660)  |                        |
| Other liabilities   | (15,663)  |                        |
| Net assets acquired at fair value   |           | 455,692                |
| Excess of net assets over purchase price (negative goodwill)  |           | \$<br>(50,280)         |

Cash of \$39,522 to fund the acquisition was provided by a third party who retained a minority interest in the transaction.

#### <u>Note b</u>

To reflect the return of capital of \$471,292 paid by Gordian to its former parent prior to completion of the acquisition.

#### <u>Note c</u>

To record the fair value adjustments recorded as at date of acquisition.

#### <u>Note d</u>

To record the adjustment required to conform to Enstar Group Limited s accounting policy for investments.

# MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **Business Overview**

We were formed in August 2001 under the laws of Bermuda to acquire and manage insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off, and to provide management, consulting and other services to the insurance and reinsurance industry. On January 31, 2007, we completed the merger, or the Merger, of CWMS Subsidiary Corp, a Georgia corporation and our wholly-owned subsidiary, with and into The Enstar Group, Inc., a Georgia corporation. As a result of the Merger, The Enstar Group, Inc., renamed Enstar USA, Inc., is now our wholly-owned subsidiary. The Enstar Group, Inc. owned an approximate 32% economic and a 50% voting interest in us prior to the Merger.

Since our formation, we, through our subsidiaries, have completed several acquisitions of insurance and reinsurance companies and are now administering those businesses in run-off. In 2006, we completed 3 acquisitions of companies having combined total net assets of \$222.9 million. In 2007, we completed 5 acquisitions of companies having combined total net assets of \$625.3 million. Thus far in 2008, we have completed 2 acquisitions of companies having combined total net assets of \$521.6 million. In addition, on June 13, 2008 we purchased 44.4% of the outstanding capital stock of Stonewall Acquisition Corporation, the parent of two Rhode Island-domiciled insurers, Stonewall and Seaton. We derive our net earnings from the ownership and management of these companies primarily by settling insurance and reinsurance claims below the recorded loss reserves and from returns on the portfolio of investments retained to pay future claims. In addition, we provide management and consultancy services, claims inspection services and reinsurance collection services to our affiliates and third-party clients for both fixed and success-based fees.

In the primary (or direct) insurance business, the insurer assumes risk of loss from persons or organizations that are directly subject to the given risks. Such risks may relate to property, casualty, life, accident, health, financial or other perils that may arise from an insurable event. In the reinsurance business, the reinsurer agrees to indemnify an insurance or reinsurance company, referred to as the ceding company, against all or a portion of the insurance risks arising under the policies the ceding company has written or reinsured. When an insurer or reinsurer stops writing new insurance business, either entirely or with respect to a particular line of business, the insurer, reinsurer, or the line of discontinued business is in run-off.

In recent years, the insurance industry has experienced significant consolidation. As a result of this consolidation and other factors, the remaining participants in the industry often have portfolios of business that are either inconsistent with their core competency or provide excessive exposure to a particular risk or segment of the market (i.e., property/casualty, asbestos, environmental, director and officer liability, etc.). These non-core and/or discontinued portfolios are often associated with potentially large exposures and lengthy time periods before resolution of the last remaining insured claims resulting in significant uncertainty to the insurer or reinsurer covering those risks. These factors can distract management, drive up the cost of capital and surplus for the insurer or reinsurer, and negatively impact the insurer s or reinsurer s credit rating, which makes the disposal of the unwanted company or portfolio an attractive option. Alternatively, the insurer may wish to maintain the business on its balance sheet, yet not divert significant management attention to the run-off of the portfolio. The insurer or reinsurer, in either case, is likely to engage a third party, such as us, that specializes in run-off management to purchase the company or portfolio of the company, or to manage the company or portfolio in run-off.

In the sale of a run-off company, a purchaser, such as us, typically pays a discount to the book value of the company based on the risks assumed and the relative value to the seller of no longer having to manage the company in run-off.

Such a transaction can be beneficial to the seller because it receives an up-front payment for the company, eliminates the need for its management to devote any attention to the disposed company and removes the risk that the established reserves related to the run-off business may prove to be inadequate. The seller is also able to redeploy its management and financial resources to its core businesses.

Alternatively, if the insurer or reinsurer hires a third party, such as us, to manage its run-off business, the insurer or reinsurer will, unlike in a sale of the business, receive little or no cash up front. Instead, the management arrangement may provide that the insurer or reinsurer will retain the profits, if any, derived from the run-off with certain incentive payments allocated to the run-off manager. By hiring a run-off manager, the insurer or reinsurer

can outsource the management of the run-off business to experienced and capable individuals, while allowing its own management team to focus on the insurer s or reinsurer s core businesses. Our desired approach to managing run-off business is to align our interests with the interests of the owners through both fixed management fees and certain incentive payments. Under certain management arrangements to which we are a party, however, we receive only a fixed management fee and do not receive any incentive payments.

Following the purchase of a run-off company or the engagement to manage a run-off company or portfolio of business, it is incumbent on the new owner or manager to conduct the run-off in a disciplined and professional manner in order to efficiently discharge liabilities associated with the business while preserving and maximizing its assets. Our approach to managing our acquired companies in run-off as well as run-off companies or portfolios of businesses on behalf of third-party clients includes negotiating with third-party insureds and reinsureds to commute their insurance or reinsurance agreement (sometimes called policy buy-backs) for an agreed upon up-front payment by us, or the third-party client, and to more efficiently manage payment of insurance and reinsurance claims. We attempt to commute policies with direct insureds or reinsureds in order to eliminate uncertainty over the amount of future claims. We also attempt, where appropriate, to negotiate favorable commutations with reinsurers by securing the receipt of a lump-sum settlement from the reinsurer in complete satisfaction of the reinsurer s liability in respect of any future claims. We, or our third-party client, are then fully responsible for any claims in the future. We typically invest proceeds from reinsurance commutations with the expectation that such investments will produce income, which, together with the principal, will be sufficient to satisfy future obligations with respect to the acquired company or portfolio.

With respect to our U.K. and Bermuda insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries, we are able to pursue strategies to achieve complete finality and conclude the run-off of a company by promoting solvent schemes of arrangement. Solvent schemes of arrangement, or a Solvent Scheme, have been a popular means of achieving financial certainty and finality, for insurance and reinsurance companies incorporated or managed in the U.K. and Bermuda by making a one-time full and final settlement of an insurance and reinsurance company s liabilities to policyholders. Such a Solvent Scheme is an arrangement between a company and its creditors or any class of them. For a Solvent Scheme to become binding on the creditors, a meeting of each class of creditors must be called, with the permission of the local court, to consider and, if thought fit, approve the Solvent Scheme. The requisite statutory majority of creditors of not less than 75% in value and 50% in number of those creditors actually attending the meeting, either in person or by proxy, must vote in favor of a Solvent Scheme. Once a Solvent Scheme has been approved by the statutory majority of voting creditors of the company it requires the sanction of the local court. While a Solvent Scheme provides an alternative exit strategy for run-off companies it is not our strategy to make such acquisitions with this strategy solely in mind. Our preferred approach is to generate earnings from the disciplined and professional management of acquired run-off companies and then consider exit strategies, including a Solvent Scheme, when the majority of the run-off is complete. To understand risks associated with this strategy, see Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business Exit and finality opportunities provided by solvent schemes of arrangement may not continue to be available, which may result in the diversion of our resources to settle policyholder claims for a substantially longer run-off period and increase the associated costs of run-off of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries.

We manage our business through two operating segments: reinsurance and consulting.

Our reinsurance segment comprises the operations and financial results of its insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries. The financial results of this segment primarily consist of investment income less net reductions in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities, direct expenses (including certain premises costs and professional fees) and management fees paid to our consulting segment.

Our consulting segment comprises the operations and financial results of those subsidiaries that provide management and consulting services, forensic claims inspections services and reinsurance collection services to third-party clients.

This segment also provides management services to the reinsurance segment in return for management fees. The financial results of this segment primarily consist of fee income less overhead expenses comprised of staff costs, information technology costs, certain premises costs, travel costs and certain professional fees.

For a further discussion of our segments, see Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2007 included elsewhere in this prospectus.

As of March 31, 2008 we had \$3,995.0 million of total assets and \$464.8 million of shareholders equity. We operate our business internationally through our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries and our consulting subsidiaries in Bermuda, the United Kingdom, the United States, Europe and Australia.

#### **Financial Statement Overview**

#### **Consulting Fee Income**

We generate consulting fees based on a combination of fixed and success-based fee arrangements. Consulting income will vary from period to period depending on the timing of completion of success-based fee arrangements. Success-based fees are recorded when targets related to overall project completion or profitability goals are achieved. Our consulting segment, in addition to providing services to third parties, also provides management services to the reinsurance segment based on agreed terms set out in management agreements between the parties. The fees charged by the consulting segment to the reinsurance segment are eliminated against the cost incurred by the reinsurance segment on consolidation.

#### Net Investment Income and Net Realized Gains/(Losses)

Our net investment income is principally derived from interest earned primarily on cash and investments offset by investment management fees paid. Our investment portfolio currently consists of the following: (1) bond portfolios that are classified as both trading and held-to-maturity and carried at fair value and amortized cost, respectively; (2) cash and cash equivalents; (3) other investments that are accounted for on the equity basis; and (4) fixed and short-term investments that are classified as trading and are carried at fair value.

Our current investment strategy seeks to preserve principal and maintain liquidity while trying to maximize investment return through a high-quality, diversified portfolio. The volatility of claims and the effect they have on the amount of cash and investment balances, as well as the level of interest rates and other market factors, affect the return we are able to generate on our investment portfolio. It is our current investment policy to hold our bond portfolio to maturity, and not to trade or have such portfolio available-for-sale. When we make a new acquisition we will often restructure the acquired investment portfolio, which may generate one-time realized gains or losses.

The majority of cash and investment balances are held within our reinsurance segment.

#### Net Reduction in Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Liabilities

Our insurance-related earnings are primarily comprised of reductions, or potentially increases, of net loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities. These liabilities are comprised of:

outstanding loss or case reserves, or OLR, which represent management s best estimate of the likely settlement amount for known claims, less the portion that can be recovered from reinsurers;

reserves for losses incurred but not reported, or IBNR reserves, which are reserves established by us for claims that are not yet reported but can reasonably be expected to have occurred based on industry information, management s experience and actuarial evaluation, less the portion that can be recovered from reinsurers; and

reserves for future loss adjustment expense liabilities which represent management s best estimate of the future costs of managing the run-off of claims liabilities.

Net loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities are reviewed by our management each quarter and by independent actuaries annually as of year end. Reserves reflect management s best estimate of the remaining unpaid portion of these liabilities. Prior period estimates of net loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities may change as our management considers the combined impact of commutations, policy buy-backs, settlement of losses on carried reserves and the trend of incurred loss development compared to prior forecasts.

Commutations provide an opportunity for us to exit exposures to entire policies with insureds and reinsureds at a discount to the previously estimated ultimate liability. Our internal and external actuaries eliminate all prior historical loss development that relates to commuted exposures and apply their actuarial methodologies to the

remaining aggregate exposures and revised historical loss development information to reassess estimates of ultimate liabilities.

Policy buy-backs provide an opportunity for us to settle individual policies and losses usually at a discount to carried advised loss reserves. As part of our routine claims settlement operations, claims will settle at either below or above the carried advised loss reserve. The impact of policy buy-backs and the routine settlement of claims updates historical loss development information to which actuarial methodologies are applied, often resulting in revised estimates of ultimate liabilities. Our actuarial methodologies include industry benchmarking which, under certain Critical Accounting Policies below), compares the trend of our loss methodologies (discussed further under development to that of the industry. To the extent that the trend of our loss development compared to the industry changes in any period, it is likely to have an impact on the estimate of ultimate liabilities. Additionally, consolidated net reductions, or potentially increases, in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities include reductions, or potentially increases, in the provisions for future losses and loss adjustment expenses related to the current period s run-off activity. Net reductions in net loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities are reported as negative expenses by us in our reinsurance segment. The unallocated loss adjustment expenses paid by the reinsurance segment comprise management fees paid to the consulting segment and are eliminated on consolidation. The consulting segment costs in providing run-off services are classified as salaries and general and administrative expenses. For more information on how the reserves are calculated, see Critical Accounting Policies Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses below.

As our reinsurance subsidiaries are in run-off, our premium income is insignificant, consisting primarily of adjustment premiums triggered by loss payments.

# Salaries and Benefits

We are a service-based company and, as such, employee salaries and benefits are our largest expense. We have experienced significant increases in our salaries and benefits expenses as we have grown our operations, and we expect that trend to continue if we are able to successfully expand our operations.

On September 15, 2006, our board of directors and shareholders adopted the Enstar Group Limited 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, or the Equity Incentive Plan, and the Enstar Group Limited 2006-2010 Annual Incentive Compensation Plan, or the Annual Incentive Plan, which are administered by the Compensation Committee of our board of directors.

The Annual Incentive Plan provides for the annual grant of bonus compensation, or, each, a bonus award, to certain of our officers and employees of us and our subsidiaries, including our senior executive officers. Bonus awards for each calendar year from 2006 through 2007 were determined, and for each calendar year from 2008 through 2010 will be determined, based on our consolidated net after-tax profits. The Compensation Committee determines the amount of bonus awards in any calendar year, based on a percentage of our consolidated net after-tax profits. The percentage is 15% unless the Compensation Committee exercises its discretion to change the percentage no later than 30 days after our year-end. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 the percentage was left unchanged by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee determines, in its sole discretion, the amount of bonus awards payable to each participant.

Bonus awards are payable in cash, ordinary shares or a combination of both. Ordinary shares issued in connection with a bonus award will be issued pursuant to the terms and subject to the conditions of the Equity Incentive Plan.

For information on the awards made under both the Annual and Equity Incentive plans for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, and the three months ended March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007, see Note 12 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2007, included elsewhere in this

prospectus, and our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the three months ended March 31, 2007, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

With the exception of the expense relating to the Annual Incentive Plan, which is allocated to both the reinsurance and consulting segments, the costs of all of our employees are accounted for as part of the consulting segment.

#### General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses include rent and rent-related costs, professional fees (legal, investment, audit and actuarial) and travel expenses. We have operations in multiple jurisdictions and our employees travel frequently in connection with the search for acquisition opportunities and in the general management of the business. While certain general and administrative expenses, such as rent and related costs and professional fees, are incurred directly by the reinsurance segment, the remaining general and administrative expenses are incurred by the consulting segment. To the extent that such costs incurred by the consulting segment relate to the management of the reinsurance segment, they are recovered by the consulting segment through the management fees charged to the reinsurance segment.

# Foreign Exchange Gain/(Loss)

Our reporting and functional currency is U.S. dollars. Through our subsidiaries, however, we hold a variety of foreign (non-U.S.) currency assets and liabilities, the principal exposures being Australian dollars, Euros and British pounds. At each balance sheet date, recorded balances that are denominated in a currency other than U.S. dollars are adjusted to reflect the current exchange rate. Revenue and expense items are translated into U.S. dollars at average rates of exchange for the period. The resulting exchange gains or losses are included in our net income. We seek to manage our exposure to foreign currency exchange by broadly matching our foreign currency assets against our foreign currency liabilities.

# Income Tax/(Recovery)

Under current Bermuda law, we and our Bermuda-based subsidiaries are not required to pay taxes in Bermuda on either income or capital gains. These companies have received an undertaking from the Bermuda government that, in the event of income or capital gains taxes being imposed, they will be exempted from such taxes until the year 2016. Our non-Bermuda subsidiaries record income taxes based on their graduated statutory rates, net of tax benefits arising from tax loss carryforwards. On January 1, 2007 we adopted the provisions of the U.S. Financial Accounting Standards Board, or the FASB, Interpretation No. 48. Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, or FIN 48. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, we recognized a \$4.9 million increase to the January 1, 2007 balance of retained earnings.

# **Minority Interest**

The acquisitions of Hillcot Re Limited (formerly Toa-Re Insurance Company (UK) Limited) in March 2003 and of Brampton Insurance Company Limited (formerly Aioi Insurance Company of Europe Limited) in March 2006 were effected through Hillcot Holdings Limited, or Hillcot, a Bermuda-based company in which we have a 50.1% economic interest. The results of operations of Hillcot are included in our consolidated statements of operations with the remaining 49.9% economic interest in the results of Hillcot reflected as a minority interest.

On February 29, 2008, we completed the acquisition of Guildhall Insurance Company Limited, or Guildhall, a U.K.-based insurance and reinsurance company in run-off and on March 5, 2008, we completed the acquisition of AMP Limited s Australian-based closed reinsurance and insurance operations, or Gordian. We have a 70% economic interest in Guildhall and Gordian. The results of operations of Guildhall and Gordian are included in our consolidated statements of operations with the remaining 30% economic interest in the results of Guildhall and Gordian reflected as a minority interest.

We own 50.1% of Shelbourne Group Limited, or Shelbourne, which in turn owns 100% of Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited, the Managing Agency for Lloyd s Syndicate 2008, a syndicate approved by Lloyd s of London on December 16, 2007. We have committed to provide approximately 65% of the capital required by Lloyd s Syndicate

2008, which is authorized to undertake Reinsurance to Close Transactions, or RITC transactions (the transferring of the liabilities from one Lloyd s Syndicate to another), of Lloyd s Syndicates in Run-off.

# Negative Goodwill

Negative goodwill represents the excess of the fair value of businesses acquired by us over the cost of such businesses. In accordance with the Statements of Financial Standards issued by FASB No. 141 Business

Combinations, or FAS 141, this amount is recognized upon the acquisition of the businesses as an extraordinary gain. The fair values of the reinsurance assets and liabilities acquired are derived from probability-weighted ranges of the associated projected cash flows, based on actuarially prepared information and our management s run-off strategy. Any amendment to the fair values resulting from changes in such information or strategy will be recognized when they occur. For more information on how the goodwill is determined, see Critical Accounting Policies Goodwill below.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Certain amounts in our consolidated financial statements require the use of best estimates and assumptions to determine reported values. These amounts could ultimately be materially different than what has been provided for in our consolidated financial statements. We consider the assessment of loss reserves and reinsurance recoverable to be the values requiring the most inherently subjective and complex estimates. In addition, the assessment of the possible impairment of goodwill involves certain estimates and assumptions. As such, the accounting policies for these amounts are of critical importance to our consolidated financial statements.

#### Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The following table provides a breakdown of gross loss and loss adjustment expense reserves by type of exposure as of December 31, 2007 and 2006:

|   | OLR                                | 2007<br>IBNR                       | đ   | Total                        | 61   | OLR                          | `  | 2006<br>IBNR                 | Total                               |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|------|------------------------------|----|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|   |                                    |                                    | (II | n thousands                  | 01 U | .S. dollars                  | )  |                              |                                     |
| Asbestos<br>Environmental<br>All Other  | \$<br>180,068<br>39,708<br>382,040 | \$<br>402,289<br>55,544<br>464,789 | \$  | 582,357<br>95,252<br>846,829 | \$   | 158,861<br>43,957<br>312,913 | \$ | 389,143<br>74,115<br>161,855 | \$<br>548,004<br>118,072<br>474,768 |
| Total                                   | \$<br>601,816                      | \$<br>922,622                      | \$  | 1,524,438                    | \$   | 515,731                      | \$ | 625,113                      | \$<br>1,140,844                     |
| Unallocated Loss<br>Adjustment Expenses |                                    |                                    |     | 67,011                       |      |                              |    |                              | 73,575                              |
| Total                                   |                                    |                                    | \$  | 1,591,449                    |      |                              |    |                              | \$<br>1,214,419                     |

The following table provides a breakdown of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves (net of reinsurance balances recoverable) by type of exposure as of December 31, 2007 and 2006:

|               | 200     | )7                | 2000          | 6     |
|---------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-------|
|               |         | % of              |               | % of  |
|               | Total   | Total             | Total         | Total |
|               | (       | In thousands of U | J.S. dollars) |       |
| Asbestos \$   | 355,213 | 30.5%             | \$ 336,744    | 38.6% |
| Environmental | 64,764  | 5.6               | 52,342        | 6.0   |
| All Other     | 676,497 | 58.1              | 409,598       | 47.0  |

| Unallocated Loss Adjustment Expenses | 67,011       | 5.8  | 73,575     | 8.4  |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|------|------------|------|
| Total                                | \$ 1,163,485 | 100% | \$ 872,259 | 100% |

Our All Other exposure category consists of a mix of general casualty (approximately 60% of All Other net reserves), personal accident (approximately 20% of All Other net reserves) and other miscellaneous exposures, which are generally long-tailed in nature.

As of December 31, 2007, the IBNR reserves (net of reinsurance balances receivable) accounted for \$570.7 million, or 49.1%, of our total net loss reserves. The reserve for IBNR (net of reinsurance balance receivable) accounted for \$359.4 million, or 41.2%, of our total net loss reserves at December 31, 2006.

#### Annual Loss and Loss Adjustment Reviews

Because a significant amount of time can lapse between the assumption of risk, the occurrence of a loss event, the reporting of the event to an insurance or reinsurance company and the ultimate payment of the claim on the loss event, the liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is based largely upon estimates. Our management must use considerable judgment in the process of developing these estimates. The liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for property and casualty business includes amounts determined from loss reports on individual cases and amounts for IBNR reserves. Such reserves are estimated by management based upon loss reports received from ceding companies, supplemented by our own estimates of losses for which no ceding company loss reports have yet been received.

In establishing reserves, management also considers independent actuarial estimates of ultimate losses. Our independent actuaries employ generally accepted actuarial methodologies to estimate ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses. A loss reserve study prepared by an independent actuary provides the basis of our reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses.

As of December 31, 2007, 2002 was the most recent year in which policies were underwritten by any of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries. As a result, all of our unpaid claims liabilities are considered to have a long-tail claims payout. Gross loss reserves relate primarily to casualty exposures, including latent claims, of which approximately 42.6% relate to A&E exposures.

Within the annual loss reserve studies produced by our external actuaries, exposures for each subsidiary are separated into homogeneous reserving categories for the purpose of estimating IBNR. Each reserving category contains either direct insurance or assumed reinsurance reserves and groups relatively similar types of risks and exposures (for example, asbestos, environmental, casualty, property) and lines of business written (for example, marine, aviation, non-marine). Based on the exposure characteristics and the nature of available data for each individual reserving category, a number of methodologies are applied. Recorded reserves for each category are selected from the indications produced by the various methodologies after consideration of exposure characteristics, data limitations and strengths and weaknesses of each method applied. This approach to estimating IBNR has been consistently adopted in the annual loss reserve studies for each period presented.

The ranges of gross loss and loss adjustment expense reserves implied by the various methodologies used by each of our insurance subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 were:

|                                      | Low |           | Selected |           | High |           |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-----------|----------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Asbestos                             | \$  | 275,219   | \$       | 582,357   | \$   | 589,784   |
| Environmental                        |     | 48,684    |          | 95,252    |      | 111,724   |
| All Other                            |     | 761,674   |          | 846,829   |      | 920,634   |
| Unallocated Loss Adjustment Expenses |     | 67,011    |          | 67,011    |      | 67,011    |
| Total                                | \$  | 1,152,588 | \$       | 1,591,449 | \$   | 1,689,153 |

# Latent Claims

Our loss reserves are related largely to casualty exposures including latent exposures relating primarily to A&E. In establishing the reserves for unpaid claims, management considers facts currently known and the current state of the

# Table of Contents

law and coverage litigation. Liabilities are recognized for known claims (including the cost of related litigation) when sufficient information has been developed to indicate the involvement of a specific insurance policy, and management can reasonably estimate its liability. In addition, reserves are established to cover loss development related to both known and unasserted claims.

The estimation of unpaid claim liabilities is subject to a high degree of uncertainty for a number of reasons. First, unpaid claim liabilities for property and casualty exposures in general are impacted by changes in the legal environment, jury awards, medical cost trends and general inflation. Moreover, for latent exposures in particular, developed case law and adequate claim history do not exist. There is significant coverage litigation related to these exposures, which creates further uncertainty in the estimation of the liabilities. As a result, for these types of exposures, it is especially unclear whether past claim experience will be representative of future claim experience.

Ultimate values for such claims cannot be estimated using reserving techniques that extrapolate losses to an ultimate basis using loss development factors, and the uncertainties surrounding the estimation of unpaid claim liabilities are not likely to be resolved in the near future. There can be no assurance that the reserves established by us will be adequate or will not be adversely affected by the development of other latent exposures.

Our asbestos claims are primarily products liability claims submitted by a variety of insureds who operated in different parts of the asbestos distribution chain. While most such claims arise from asbestos mining and primary asbestos manufacturers, we have also been receiving claims from tertiary defendants such as smaller manufacturers, and the industry has seen an emerging trend of non-products claims arising from premises exposures. Unlike products claims, primary policies generally do not contain aggregate policy limits for premises claims, which, accordingly, remain at the primary layer and, thus, rarely impact excess insurance policies. As the vast majority of Enstar s policies are excess policies, this trend has had only a marginal effect on our asbestos exposures thus far.

Asbestos reform efforts have been underway at both the federal and state level to address the cost and scope of asbestos claims to the American economy. While congressional efforts to create a federal trust fund that would replace the tort system for asbestos claims failed, several states, including Texas and Florida, have passed reforms based on medical criteria requiring certain levels of medically documented injury before a lawsuit can be filed, resulting in a drop of year-on-year case filings in those states adopting this reform measure.

Asbestos claims primarily fall into two general categories: impaired and unimpaired bodily injury claims. Property damage claims represent only a small fraction of asbestos claims. Impaired claims primarily include individuals suffering from mesothelioma or a cancer such as lung cancer. Unimpaired claims include asbestosis and those whose lung regions contain pleural plaques. Unimpaired claims are not life threatening and do not cause changes to one s ability to function or to one s lifestyle.

Unlike traditional property and casualty insurers that either have large numbers of individual claims arising from personal lines such as auto, or small numbers of high value claims as in medical malpractice insurance lines, our primary exposures arise from A&E claims that do not follow a consistent pattern. For instance, we may encounter a small insured with one large environmental claim due to significant groundwater contamination, while a Fortune 500 company may submit numerous claims for relatively small values. Moreover, there is no set pattern for the life of an environmental or asbestos claim. Some of these claims may resolve within two years whereas others have remained unresolved for nearly two decades. Therefore, our open and closed claims data do not follow any identifiable or discernible pattern.

Furthermore, because of the reinsurance nature of the claims we manage, we focus on the activities at the (re)insured level rather than at the individual claims level. The counterparties with whom we typically interact are generally insurers or large industrial concerns and not individual claimants. Claims do not follow any consistent pattern. They arise from many insureds or locations and in a broad range of circumstances. An insured may present one large claim or hundreds or thousands of small claims. Plaintiffs counsel frequently aggregate thousands of claims within one lawsuit. The deductibles to which claims are subject vary from policy to policy and year to year. Often claims data is only available to reinsurers, such as us, on an aggregated basis. Accordingly, we have not found claim count information or average reserve amounts to be reliable indicators of exposure for our reserve estimation process or for management of our liabilities. We have found data accumulation and claims management more effective and meaningful at the (re)insured level rather than at the underlying claim level. As a result, we have designed our reserving methodologies to be independent of claim count information. As the level of exposures to a (re)insured can vary substantially, we focus on the aggregate exposures and pursue commutations and policy buy-backs with the larger (re)insureds.

We employ approximately 32 full time equivalent employees, including two U.S. attorneys, actuaries, and experienced claims-handlers to directly administer our A&E liabilities. We have established a provision for future expenses of \$29.8 million, which reflects the total anticipated costs to administer these claims to expiration.

Our future asbestos loss development may be influenced by many factors including:

Onset of future asbestos-related illness in individuals exposed to asbestos over the past 50 or more years.

Future viability of the practice of resolving asbestos liability for defendant companies through bankruptcy.

Enactment of tort reforms establishing stricter medical criteria for asbestos awards.

Attempts to resolve all U.S.-related asbestos litigation through federal legislation.

The influence of each of these factors is not easily quantifiable and our historical asbestos loss development is of limited value in determining future asbestos loss development using traditional actuarial reserving techniques.

Significant trends affecting insurer liabilities and reserves in recent years had little effect on environmental claims, except for claims arising out of damages to natural resources. New Jersey has pioneered the use of natural resources damages to advance further pursuit of funds from potentially responsible parties, or PRPs who may have been contributors to the source contamination. A successful action in 2006 against Exxon Mobil has increased the likelihood that the use of natural resource damages will expand within New Jersey and perhaps other states. These actions target primary policies and will likely have less effect on excess carriers because damages, when awarded, are typically spread across many PRPs and across many policy years. As a result, claims do not generally reach excess insurance layers.

Our future environmental loss development may also be influenced by other factors including:

Existence of currently undiscovered polluted sites eligible for clean-up under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and related legislation.

Costs imposed due to joint and several liability if not all PRPs are capable of paying their share.

Success of legal challenges to certain policy terms such as the absolute pollution exclusion.

Potential future reforms and amendments to CERCLA, particularly as the resources of Superfund the funding vehicle, established as part of CERCLA, to provide financing for cleanup of polluted sites where no PRP can be identified become exhausted.

The influence of each of these factors is not easily quantifiable and, as with asbestos-related exposures, our historical environmental loss development is of limited value in determining future environmental loss development using traditional actuarial reserving techniques.

Finally, the issue of lead paint liability represents a potential emerging trend in latent claim activity that could potentially result in future reserve adjustments. After a series of successful defense efforts by defendant lead pigment manufacturers in lead paint litigation, in 2005, a Rhode Island court ruled in favor of the government in a nuisance claim against the defendant manufacturers. Although the damages portion of the case has yet to be decided, the plaintiff could receive a significant award. Further, there are similar pending claims in several jurisdictions including California and Ohio. Insureds have yet to meet policy terms and conditions to establish coverage for lead paint public nuisance claims as opposed to traditional bodily injury and property damage claims, but there is the potential for significant impact to excess insurers should plaintiffs prevail in successive nuisance claims pending in other jurisdictions and coverage is established.

Our independent, external actuaries use industry benchmarking methodologies to estimate appropriate IBNR reserves for our A&E exposures. These methods are based on comparisons of our loss experience on A&E exposures relative to industry loss experience on A&E exposures. Estimates of IBNR are derived separately for each of our relevant subsidiaries and, for some subsidiaries, separately for distinct portfolios of exposure. The discussion that follows describes, in greater detail, the primary actuarial methodologies used by our independent actuaries to estimate IBNR

for A&E exposures.

In addition to the specific considerations for each method described below, many general factors are considered in the application of the methods and the interpretation of results for each portfolio of exposures. These factors include the mix of product types (e.g., primary insurance versus reinsurance of primary versus reinsurance of reinsurance), the average attachment point of coverages (e.g., first-dollar primary versus umbrella over primary versus high-excess), payment and reporting lags related to our international domicile subsidiaries, payment and reporting pattern acceleration due to large wholesale settlements (e.g., policy buy-backs and commutations) pursued by us, lists of individual risks remaining and general trends within the legal and tort environments.

| 36 |
|----|
|----|

1. *Paid Survival Ratio Method.* In this method, our expected annual average payment amount is multiplied by an expected future number of payment years to get an indicated reserve. Our historical calendar year payments are examined to determine an expected future annual average payment amount. This amount is multiplied by an expected number of future payment years to estimate a reserve. Trends in calendar year payment activity are considered when selecting an expected future annual average payment amount. Accepted industry benchmarks are used in determining an expected number of future payment years. Each year, annual payments data is updated, trends in payments are re-evaluated and changes to benchmark future payment years are reviewed. This method has advantages of ease of application and simplicity of assumptions. A potential disadvantage of the method is that results could be misleading for portfolios of high excess exposures where significant payment activity has not yet begun.

2. *Paid Market Share Method.* In this method, our estimated market share is applied to the industry estimated unpaid losses. The ratio of our historical calendar year payments to industry historical calendar year payments is examined to estimate our market share. This ratio is then applied to the estimate of industry unpaid losses. Each year, calendar year payment data is updated (for both us and industry), estimates of industry unpaid losses are reviewed and the selection of our estimated market share is revisited. This method has the advantage that trends in calendar year market share can be incorporated into the selection of company share of remaining market payments. A potential disadvantage of this method is that it is particularly sensitive to assumptions regarding the time-lag between industry payments and our payments.

3. *Reserve-to-Paid Method.* In this method, the ratio of estimated industry reserves to industry paid-to-date losses is multiplied by our paid-to-date losses to estimate our reserves. Specific considerations in the application of this method include the completeness of our paid-to-date loss information, the potential acceleration or deceleration in our payments (relative to the industry) due to our claims handling practices, and the impact of large individual settlements. Each year, paid-to-date loss information is updated (for both us and the industry) and updates to industry estimated reserves are reviewed. This method has the advantage of relying purely on paid loss data and so is not influenced by subjectivity of case reserve loss estimates. A potential disadvantage is that the application to our portfolios which do not have complete inception-to-date paid loss history could produce misleading results. To address this potential disadvantage, a variation of the method is also considered by multiplying the ratio of estimated industry reserves to industry losses paid during a recent period of time (e.g., 5 years) times our paid losses during that period.

4. *IBNR:Case Ratio Method.* In this method, the ratio of estimated industry IBNR reserves to industry case reserves is multiplied by our case reserves to estimate our IBNR reserves. Specific considerations in the application of this method include the presence of policies reserved at policy limits, changes in overall industry case reserve adequacy and recent loss reporting history for us. Each year, our case reserves are updated, industry reserves are updated and the applicability of the industry IBNR:case ratio is reviewed. This method has the advantage that it incorporates the most recent estimates of amounts needed to settle open cases included in current case reserves. A potential disadvantage is that results could be misleading where our case reserve adequacy differs significantly from overall industry case reserve adequacy.

5. *Ultimate-to-Incurred Method.* In this method, the ratio of estimated industry ultimate losses to industry incurred-to-date losses is applied to our incurred-to-date losses to estimate our IBNR reserves. Specific considerations in the application of this method include the completeness of our incurred-to-date loss information, the potential acceleration or deceleration in our incurred losses (relative to the industry) due to our claims handling practices and the impact of large individual settlements. Each year incurred-to-date loss information is updated (for both us and the industry) and updates to industry estimated ultimate losses are reviewed. This method has the advantage that it incorporates both paid and case reserve information in projecting ultimate losses. A potential disadvantage is that results could be misleading where cumulative paid loss data is incomplete or where our case reserve adequacy differs significantly from overall industry case reserve adequacy.

Under the Paid Survival Ratio Method, the Paid Market Share Method and the Reserve-to-Paid Method, we first determine the estimated total reserve and then deduct the reported outstanding case reserves to arrive at an estimated IBNR reserve. The IBNR:Case Ratio Method first determines an estimated IBNR reserve which is then added to the advised outstanding case reserves to arrive at an estimated total loss reserve. The Ultimate-to-Incurred

Method first determines an estimate of the ultimate losses to be paid and then deducts paid-to-date losses to arrive at an estimated total loss reserve and then deducts outstanding case reserves to arrive at the estimated IBNR reserve.

Within the annual loss reserve studies produced by our external actuaries, exposures for each subsidiary are separated into homogeneous reserving categories for the purpose of estimating IBNR. Each reserving category contains either direct insurance or assumed reinsurance reserves and groups relatively similar types of risks and exposures (e.g., asbestos, environmental, casualty and property) and lines of business written (e.g., marine, aviation and non-marine). Based on the exposure characteristics and the nature of available data for each individual reserving category, a number of methodologies are applied. Recorded reserves for each category are selected from the indications produced by the various methodologies after consideration of exposure characteristics, data limitations, and strengths and weaknesses of each method applied. This approach to estimating IBNR has been consistently adopted in the annual loss reserve studies for each period presented.

As of December 31, 2007, we had 19 separate insurance and/or reinsurance subsidiaries whose reserves are categorized into approximately 146 reserve categories in total, including 22 distinct asbestos reserving categories and 20 distinct environmental reserving categories.

The five methodologies described above are applied for each of the 22 asbestos reserving categories and each of the 20 environmental reserving categories. As is common in actuarial practice, no one methodology is exclusively or consistently relied upon when selecting a recorded reserve. Consistent reliance on a single methodology to select a recorded reserve would be inappropriate in light of the dynamic nature of both the A&E liabilities in general, and our actual exposure portfolios in particular.

In selecting a recorded reserve, management considers the range of results produced by the methods, and the strengths and weaknesses of the methods in relation to the data available and the specific characteristics of the portfolio under consideration. Trends in both our data and industry data are also considered in the reserve selection process. Recent trends or changes in the relevant tort and legal environments are also considered when assessing methodology results and selecting an appropriate recorded reserve amount for each portfolio.

The following key assumptions were used to estimate A&E reserves at December 31, 2007:

1. *\$65 Billion Ultimate Industry Asbestos Losses* This level of industry-wide losses and its comparison to industry-wide paid, incurred and outstanding case reserves is the base benchmarking assumption applied to Paid Market Share, Reserve-to-Paid, IBNR:Case Ratio and the Ultimate-to-Incurred asbestos reserving methodologies.

2. *\$35 Billion Ultimate Industry Environmental Losses* This level of industry-wide losses and its comparison to industry-wide paid, incurred and outstanding case reserves is the base benchmarking assumption applied to Paid Market Share, Reserve-to-Paid, IBNR:Case Ratio and the Ultimate-to-Incurred environmental reserving methodologies.

3. Loss Reporting Lag Our subsidiaries assumed a mix of insurance and reinsurance exposures generally through the London market. As the available industry benchmark loss information, as supplied by our independent consulting actuaries, is compiled largely from U.S. direct insurance company experience, our loss reporting is expected to lag relative to available industry benchmark information. This time-lag used by each of our insurance subsidiaries varies from 2 to 5 years depending on the relative mix of domicile, percentages of product mix of insurance, reinsurance and retrocessional reinsurance, primary insurance, excess insurance, reinsurance of direct, and reinsurance of reinsurance within any given exposure category. Exposure portfolios written from a non-U.S. domicile are assumed to have a greater time-lag than portfolios with a larger proportion of reinsurance exposures.

The assumptions above as to Ultimate Industry Asbestos and Environmental losses have not changed from the immediately preceding period. For our company as a whole, the average selected lag for asbestos has decreased from 3 years to 2.8 years and the average selected lag for environmental has increased from 2.5 years to 2.6 years. The changes arise largely as a result of the acquisition of new portfolios of A&E exposures.

The following tables provide a summary of the impact of changes in industry ultimate losses, from the selected \$65 billion for asbestos and \$35 billion for environmental, and changes in the time-lag, from the selected averages of 2.8 years for asbestos and 2.6 years for environmental, for us behind industry development that it is assumed relates to our insurance and reinsurance companies. Please note that the table below demonstrates sensitivity to changes to key assumptions using methodologies selected for determining loss and allocated loss adjustment expenses, or ALAE, at December 31, 2007 and differs from the table on page 33, which demonstrates the range of outcomes produced by the various methodologies.

| Sensitivity to Industry Asbestos Ultimate Loss Assumption      | Asbestos<br>Loss Reserves      |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Asbestos\$65 billion (selected)Asbestos\$60 billion            | \$ 582,357<br>498,509          |
|  |                                |
| Sensitivity to Industry Environmental Ultimate Loss Assumption | Environmental<br>Loss Reserves |

| Sensitivity to Time-Lag Assumption*                             | A<br>Los | Environmental<br>Loss Reserves |    |        |  |
|---|----------|--------------------------------|----|--------|--|
| Selected average of 2.8 years asbestos, 2.6 years environmental | \$       | 582,357                        | \$ | 95,252 |  |
| Increase all portfolio lags by six months                       |          | 645,169                        |    | 99,454 |  |
| Decrease all portfolio lags by six months                       |          | 528,015                        |    | 91,599 |  |

\* using \$65 billion/\$35 billion Asbestos/Environmental Industry Ultimate Loss assumptions

Industry publications indicate that the range of ultimate industry asbestos losses is estimated to be between \$55 billion and \$65 billion. Based on management s experience of substantial loss development on our asbestos exposure portfolios, we have selected the upper end of the range as the basis for our asbestos loss reserving. Although the industry publications suggest a low end of the range of industry ultimate losses of \$55 billion, we consider that unlikely and believe that it is more reasonable to assume that the lower end of this range of ultimate losses could be \$60 billion.

Guidance from industry publications is more varied in respect of estimates of ultimate industry environmental losses. Consistent with an industry published estimate, we believe the reasonable range for ultimate industry environmental losses is between \$30 billion and \$40 billion. We have selected the midpoint of this range as the basis for our environmental loss reserving based on advice supplied by our independent consulting actuaries. Another industry publication, released prior to the one relied upon by us, indicates that ultimate industry environmental losses could be \$56 billion. However, based on our own loss experience, including successful settlement activity by us, the decline in new claims notified in recent years and improvements in environmental clean-up technology, we do not believe that the \$56 billion estimate is a reasonable basis for our reserving for environmental losses.

# Table of Contents

Management s current estimate of the time lag that relates to our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries compared to the industry is considered reasonable given the analysis performed by our internal and external actuaries to date.

Over time, additional information regarding such exposure characteristics may be developed for any given portfolio. This additional information could cause a shift in the lag assumed.

# Non-Latent Claims

Non-latent claims are less significant to us, both in terms of reserves held and in terms of risk of significant reserve deficiency. For non-latent loss exposure, a range of traditional loss development extrapolation techniques is applied. Incremental paid and incurred loss development methodologies are the most commonly used methods.

Traditional cumulative paid and incurred loss development methods are used where inception-to-date, cumulative paid and reported incurred loss development history is available.

These methods assume that cohorts, or groups, of losses from similar exposures will increase over time in a predictable manner. Historical paid and incurred loss development experience is examined for earlier accident years to make inferences about how later accident years losses will develop. Where company-specific loss information is not available or not reliable, industry loss development information published by industry sources such as the Reinsurance Association of America is considered. These methods calculate an estimate of ultimate losses and then deduct paid-to-date losses to arrive at an estimated total loss reserve. Outstanding losses are then deducted from estimated total loss reserves to calculate the estimated IBNR reserve. Management does not expect changes in underlying reserving assumptions to have a material impact on net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves as they are primarily sensitive to changes due to loss development.

# Quarterly Reserve Reviews

In addition to an in-depth annual review, we also perform quarterly reserve reviews. This is done by examining quarterly paid and incurred loss development to determine whether it is consistent with reserves established during the preceding annual reserve review and with expected development. Loss development is reviewed separately for each major exposure type (e.g., asbestos, environmental, etc.), for each of our relevant subsidiaries, and for large wholesale commutation settlements versus routine paid and advised losses. This process is undertaken to determine whether loss development experience during a quarter warrants any change to held reserves.

Loss development is examined separately by exposure type because different exposures develop differently over time. For example, the expected reporting and payout of losses for a given amount of asbestos reserves can be expected to take place over a different time frame and in a different quarterly pattern from the same amount of environmental reserves.

In addition, loss development is examined separately for each of our relevant subsidiaries. While the most significant exposures for most of our subsidiaries are latent A&E exposures, there are differing profiles to the exposure across our subsidiaries. Companies can differ in their exposure profile due to the mix of insurance versus reinsurance, the mix of primary versus excess insurance, the underwriting years of participation and other criteria. These differing profiles lead to different expectations for quarterly and annual loss development by company.

Our quarterly paid and incurred loss development is often driven by large, wholesale settlements such as commutations and policy buy-backs which settle many individual claims in a single transaction. This allows for monitoring of the potential profitability of large settlements which, in turn, can provide information about the adequacy of reserves on remaining exposures which have not yet been settled. For example, if it were found that large settlements were consistently leading to large negative, or favorable, incurred losses upon settlement, it might be an indication that reserves on remaining exposures are redundant. Conversely, if it were found that large settlements were consistently leading to large negative, incurred losses upon settlement, it might be an indication that reserves on remaining exposures, incurred losses upon settlement, it might be an indication particularly if the size of the losses were increasing that certain loss reserves on remaining exposures are deficient. Moreover, removing the loss development resulting from large settlements allows for a review of loss development related only to those contracts which remain exposed to losses. Were this not done, it is possible that savings on large wholesale settlements could mask significant underlying development on remaining exposures.

Once the data has been analyzed as described above, an in-depth review is performed on classes of exposure with significant loss development. Discussions are held with appropriate personnel, including individual company managers, claims handlers and attorneys, to better understand the causes. If it were determined that development differs significantly from expectations, reserves would be adjusted.

Quarterly loss development is expected to be fairly erratic for the types of exposure insured and reinsured by us. Several quarters of low incurred loss development can be followed by spikes of relatively large incurred losses. This is characteristic of latent claims and other insurance losses which are reported and settled many years after the inception of the policy. Given the high degree of statistical uncertainty, and potential volatility, it would be unusual to adjust reserves on the basis of one, or even several, quarters of loss development activity. As a result, unless the

incurred loss activity in any one quarter is of such significance that management is able to quantify the impact on the ultimate liability for loss and loss adjustment expenses, reductions or increases in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities are carried out in the fourth quarter based on the annual reserve review described above.

As described above, our management regularly reviews and updates reserve estimates using the most current information available and employing various actuarial methods. Adjustments resulting from changes in our estimates are recorded in the period when such adjustments are determined. The ultimate liability for loss and loss adjustment expenses is likely to differ from the original estimate due to a number of factors, primarily consisting of the overall claims activity occurring during any period, including the completion of commutations of assumed liabilities and ceded reinsurance receivables, policy buy-backs and general incurred claims activity.

# **Reinsurance Balances Receivable**

Our acquired reinsurance subsidiaries, prior to acquisition by us, used retrocessional agreements to reduce their exposure to the risk of insurance and reinsurance they assumed. Loss reserves represent total gross losses, and reinsurance receivables represent anticipated recoveries of a portion of those unpaid losses as well as amounts receivable from reinsurers with respect to claims that have already been paid. While reinsurance arrangements are designed to limit losses and to permit recovery of a portion of direct unpaid losses, reinsurance does not relieve us of our liabilities to our insureds or reinsureds. Therefore, we evaluate and monitor concentration of credit risk among our reinsurers, including companies that are insolvent, in run-off or facing financial difficulties. Provisions are made for amounts considered potentially uncollectible.

# Goodwill

We follow FAS No. 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets which requires that recorded goodwill be assessed for impairment on at least an annual basis. In determining goodwill, we must determine the fair value of the assets of an acquired company. The determination of fair value necessarily involves many assumptions. Fair values of reinsurance assets and liabilities acquired are derived from probability-weighted ranges of the associated projected cash flows, based on actuarially prepared information and our management run-off strategy. Fair value adjustments are based on the estimated timing of loss and loss adjustment expense payments and an assumed interest rate, and are amortized over the estimated payout period, as adjusted for accelerations on commutation settlements, using the constant yield method options. Interest rates used to determine the fair value of gross loss reserves are based upon risk free rates applicable to the average duration of the loss reserves. Interest rates used to determine the fair value of reinsurance receivables are increased to reflect the credit risk associated with the reinsurers from who the receivables are, or will become, due. If the assumptions made in initially valuing the assets change significantly in the future, we may be required to record impairment charges which could have a material impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

FAS No. 141 Business Combinations also requires that negative goodwill be recorded in earnings. During 2004, 2006 and 2007, we took negative goodwill into earnings upon the completion of the acquisition of certain companies and presented it as an extraordinary gain.

#### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 141(R) Business Combinations, or FAS 141(R). FAS 141(R) replaces FAS 141, but retains the fundamental requirements in FAS 141 that the acquisition method of accounting be used for all business combinations and for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. FAS 141(R) requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquisition-related costs to be

recognized separately from the acquisition, recognize assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contractual contingencies at their acquisition-date fair values and recognized goodwill as the excess of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date over the fair values of the identifiable net assets acquired. FAS 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period

beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (January 1, 2009 for calendar year-end companies). We are currently evaluating the provisions of FAS 141(R) and its potential impact on future financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 160 Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51, or FAS 160. FAS 160 amends ARB No. 51 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. FAS 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. FAS 160 requires consolidated net income to be reported at the amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest. This statement also establishes a method of accounting for changes in a parent s ownership interest in a subsidiary that does result in deconsolidation. FAS 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (January 1, 2009 for calendar year-end companies). The presentation and disclosure of FAS 160 shall be applied retrospectively for all periods presented. We are currently evaluating the provisions of FAS 160 and its potential impact on future financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued FAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133, or FAS 161. FAS 161 expands the disclosure requirements of FAS 133 and requires the reporting entity to provide enhanced disclosures about the objectives and strategies for using derivative instruments, quantitative disclosures about fair values and amounts of gains and losses on derivative contracts, and credit-risk related contingent features in derivative agreements. FAS 161 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 (January 1, 2009, for calendar year-end companies), and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the provisions of FAS 161 and its potential impact on future financial statements.

# **Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated statement of operations data for each of the periods indicated.

|  | Three Months Ended<br>March 31, |           |    |         |      | Year        | Ende | ed Decemb | er 3 | 1,       |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|----|---------|------|-------------|------|-----------|------|----------|
|  | 200                             | 2008 2007 |    | 2007    | 2007 |             | 2006 |           |      | 2005     |
|  |                                 |           |    | (In tho | usar | nds of U.S. |      |           |      |          |
| Consulting fees                              | \$6,                            | 055       | \$ | 4,661   | \$   | 31,918      | \$   | 33,908    | \$   | 22,006   |
| Net investment income                        |                                 | 590       |    | 19,938  |      | 64,087      |      | 48,099    |      | 28,236   |
| Net realized (losses) gains                  | (1,                             | 084)      |    | 571     |      | 249         |      | (98)      |      | 1,268    |
| TOTAL INCOME                                 | 5,                              | 561       |    | 25,170  |      | 96,254      |      | 81,909    |      | 51,510   |
| Net increase (reduction) in loss and loss    |                                 |           |    |         |      |             |      |           |      |          |
| adjustment expense liabilities               |                                 | 685       |    | 2,510   |      | (24,482)    |      | (31,927)  |      | (96,007) |
| Salaries and benefits                        | 11,                             | 357       |    | 12,802  |      | 46,977      |      | 40,121    |      | 40,821   |
| General and administrative expenses          | 11,                             | 911       |    | 5,673   |      | 31,413      |      | 18,878    |      | 10,962   |
| Interest expense                             | 3,                              | 315       |    | 3,176   |      | 4,876       |      | 1,989     |      |          |
| Foreign exchange (gain) loss                 | (1,                             | 335)      |    | 54      |      | (7,921)     |      | (10,832)  |      | 4,602    |
| TOTAL EXPENSES                               | 25,                             | 933       |    | 24,215  |      | 50,863      |      | 18,229    |      | (39,622) |
| Net (loss) earnings before minority interest | (20,                            | 372)      |    | 955     |      | 45,391      |      | 63,680    |      | 91,132   |

| Share of net earnings of partly owned         |          |          |          |                    |         |         |    | 510        |    | 102          |
|---|----------|----------|----------|--------------------|---------|---------|----|------------|----|--------------|
| companies<br>Income tax recovery (expense)    |          | 239      |          | (1,016)            |         | 7,441   |    | 518<br>318 |    | 192<br>(914) |
| Minority interest                             |          | (3,376)  |          | (1,010)<br>(2,248) |         | (6,730) |    | (13,208)   |    | (9,700)      |
| initial increase                              |          | (3,370)  |          | (2,210)            |         | (0,750) |    | (13,200)   |    | (),100)      |
| Net (loss) earnings before extraordinary gain |          | (23,509) |          | (2,309)            |         | 46,102  |    | 51,308     |    | 80,710       |
| Extraordinary gain Negative goodwill (2008    | }        |          |          |                    |         |         |    |            |    |              |
| and 2006: net of minority interest)           |          | 35,196   |          | 15,683             |         | 15,683  |    | 31,038     |    |              |
|   | <b>•</b> | 11 (07   | <b>•</b> | 10.054             | <b></b> | (1.505  | ¢  | 00.046     | ¢  | 00 510       |
| NET EARNINGS                                  | \$       | 11,687   | \$       | 13,374             | \$      | 61,785  | \$ | 82,346     | \$ | 80,710       |
|   |          |          |          |                    |         |         |    |            |    |              |
|   |          |          |          |                    |         |         |    |            |    |              |

# Comparison of the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 and 2007

We reported consolidated net earnings of approximately \$11.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to approximately \$13.4 million for the same period in 2007. Included as part of net earnings for 2008 and 2007 are extraordinary gains related to negative goodwill of \$35.2 million (net of minority interest of \$15.1 million) and \$15.7 million, respectively. The increased loss, before extraordinary gain, of approximately \$21.2 million was primarily a result of the following:

a decrease in investment income (net of realized (losses)/gains) of \$21.0 million, primarily due to write-downs of \$26.2 million in respect of adjustments to the fair values of our investments classified as other investments;

a net increase in salaries and general and administrative expenses of \$4.8 million, primarily as a result of bank loan structure fees:

an increase in minority interest of \$1.1 million; partially offset by

a decrease in income tax expense of \$1.3 million;

increased consulting fee income of \$1.4 million; and

a lower increase in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$1.8 million.

Consulting Fees:

|                           | Three Months Ended Marcl<br>2008 2007 Va<br>(In thousands of U.S. dolla |                   |    |                   |    |                  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------|----|-------------------|----|------------------|--|--|--|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$  | 13,303<br>(7,248) | \$ | 10,859<br>(6,198) | \$ | 2,444<br>(1,050) |  |  |  |
| Total                     | \$  | 6,055             | \$ | 4,661             | \$ | 1,394            |  |  |  |

We earned consulting fees of approximately \$6.1 million and \$4.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in consulting fees primarily related to new business.

Internal management fees of \$7.2 million and \$6.2 million were paid in the quarters ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, by our reinsurance companies to our consulting companies. The increase in internal fees paid to the consulting segment was due primarily to increased use of internal audit and collection services along with fees paid by reinsurance companies that were acquired subsequent to March 31, 2007.

*Net Investment Income and Net Realized Gains/(Losses):* 

|                | Three Months Ended March 31, |
|----------------|------------------------------|
| Net Investment | Net Realized                 |
| Income         | Gains/(Losses)               |

|                           | 200 | 8           | ,  | 2007<br>(In   | •  | ariance<br>ousands of <b>\</b> | 2008<br>. dollars) | 2  | 2007 | Va | ariance |
|---------------------------|-----|-------------|----|---------------|----|--------------------------------|--------------------|----|------|----|---------|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance |     | 908)<br>498 | \$ | 693<br>19,245 | \$ | (5,601)<br>(13,747)            | \$<br>(1,084)      | \$ | 571  | \$ | (1,655) |
| Total                     | \$  | 590         | \$ | 19,938        | \$ | (19,348)                       | \$<br>(1,084)      | \$ | 571  | \$ | (1,655) |

Net investment income for the three months ended March 31, 2008 decreased by \$19.3 million to \$0.6 million, as compared to \$19.9 million for the same period in 2007. The decrease was primarily attributable to cumulative writedowns of approximately \$26.2 million in the fair value of our investments in New NIB Partners L.P., J.C. Flowers II, L.P., Affirmative Insurance LLC and GSC European Mezzanine Fund II, LP. The writedowns arose primarily due to subprime and structured credit related exposures held within various of the limited partnerships portfolio investments. For a discussion of these investments, see Business Investment Portfolio Other Investments on page 85.

The average return on the cash and fixed maturities investments for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was 3.24%, as compared to the average return of 5.31% for the three months ended March 31, 2007. The decrease in yield was primarily the result of the decreasing U.S. interest rates the U.S. Federal funds rate has decreased from 4.25% on January 1, 2008 to 2.25% on March 31, 2008 partially offset by an increase in cash and cash equivalent amounts held by us.

Net realized (losses) gains for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 were (1.1) million and 0.6 million, respectively. Based on our current investment strategy in respect of our fixed maturity portfolios, we do not expect net realized gains and losses to be significant.

#### Fair Value Measurements:

On January 1, 2008, we adopted FAS 157, Fair Value Measurements, or FAS 157, which defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. For a further discussion of the new standard, refer to Note 1 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the three months ended March 31, 2008 included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The following is a summary of valuation techniques or models we use to measure fair value by asset and liability classes, which have not changed significantly since December 31, 2007.

# Fixed Maturity Investments

Our fixed maturity portfolio is managed by three investment advisors. Through these third parties, we use nationally recognized pricing services, including pricing vendors, index providers and broker-dealers to estimate fair value measurements for all of our fixed maturity investments. These pricing services include Lehman Index, Reuters Pricing Service, FT Interactive Data and others.

The pricing service uses market quotations for securities (e.g., public common and preferred securities) that have quoted prices in active markets. When quoted market prices are unavailable, the pricing service prepares estimates of fair value measurements for these securities using its proprietary pricing applications, which include available relevant market information, benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings, and matrix pricing.

With the exception of one security held within our trading portfolio, the fair value estimates of our fixed maturity investments are based on observable market data. We have therefore included these as Level 2 investments within the fair value hierarchy. The one security in our trading portfolio that does not have observable inputs has been included as a Level 3 investment within the fair value hierarchy.

To validate the techniques or models used by the pricing services, we compare the fair value estimates to our knowledge of the current market and will challenge any prices deemed not to be representative of fair value.

Further, on a quarterly basis, we evaluate whether the fair value of a fixed maturity security is other-than-temporarily impaired when its fair value is below amortized cost. To make this assessment we consider several factors including (i) the time period during which there has been a decline below cost, (ii) the extent of the decline below cost, (iii) our intent and ability to hold the security, (iv) the potential for the security to recover in value, (v) an analysis of the financial condition of the issuer, and (vi) an analysis of the collateral structure and credit support of the security, if applicable. If we conclude a security is other-than-temporarily impaired, we write down the amortized cost of the security to fair value, with a charge to net realized investment gains (losses) in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

# **Equity Securities**

Our equity securities are managed by an external advisor. Through this third party, we use nationally recognized pricing services, including pricing vendors, index providers and broker-dealers to estimate fair value measurements for all of our equity securities. These pricing services include FT Interactive Data and others.

We have categorized all of our equity securities as Level 1 investments as they are based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

# **Other Investments**

For our investments in limited partnerships, limited liability companies and equity funds, we measure fair value by obtaining the most recently published net asset value as advised by the external fund manager or third party administrator. The financial statements of each fund generally are audited annually, using fair value measurement for the underlying investments. For all public companies within the funds we have valued the investments based on the latest share price. Affirmative Investment LLC s value is based on the market value of the shares of Affirmative Insurance Holdings, Inc.

All of our other investments relating to our investments in limited partnerships and limited liability companies are subject to restrictions on redemptions and sales which are determined by the governing documents and limit our ability to liquidate those investments in the short term. We have classified our other investments as Level 3 investments as they reflect our own assumptions about assumptions that market participants might use.

For the three months ended March 31, 2008, we incurred a \$26.5 million loss in fair value on our other investments. This unrealized loss was included in our net investment income.

The following table summarizes all of our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value at March 31, 2008, by FAS 157 hierarchy:

|  | Quoted<br>Prices in<br>Active<br>Markets<br>for<br>Identical |        |    | gnificant<br>Other<br>oservable   |    | gnificant<br>observable           |    |                             |
|--|--|--------|----|-----------------------------------|----|-----------------------------------|----|-----------------------------|
| Assets<br>(Level 1)  |  | Assets | (  | Inputs<br>Level 2)<br>n thousands | (  | Inputs<br>Level 3)<br>5. dollars) | 1  | Cotal Fair<br>Value         |
| Assets<br>Fixed maturity investments<br>Equity securities<br>Other investments | \$   | 4,615  | \$ | 953,853                           | \$ | 1,051<br>105,391                  | \$ | 954,904<br>4,615<br>105,391 |
| Total  | \$   | 4,615  | \$ | 953,853                           | \$ | 106,442                           | \$ | 1,064,910                   |
| As a percentage of total assets  |  | 0.1%   |    | 23.9%                             |    | 2.7%                              |    | 26.7%                       |

Net Increase in Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Liabilities:

The net increase in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$0.7 million and \$2.5 million, respectively. For 2008, the increase was attributable to an increase in bad debt provisions of \$1.3 million, the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$6.5 million, partially offset by the reduction in estimates of loss adjustment

expense liabilities of \$7.1 million, to reflect 2008 run-off activity. For 2007, the increase was attributable to an increase in estimates of ultimate losses of \$2.2 million, the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$5.6 million, partially offset by the reduction in estimates of loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$5.3 million to reflect 2007 run-off activity. The increase in estimates of ultimate losses of \$2.2 million resulted from the commutation of one of our largest reinsurance receivables.

The following table shows the components of the movement in the net increase in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007.

|   |    | Three Mon<br>Marc |         | ded     |  |  |  |
|---|----|-------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
|   |    | 2007              |         |         |  |  |  |
|   |    | (In thousands of  |         |         |  |  |  |
|   |    | U.S. de           | ollars) |         |  |  |  |
| Net Losses Paid   | \$ | (3,375)           | \$      | (523)   |  |  |  |
| Net Change in Case and LAE Reserves                           |    | 4,542             |         | 8,167   |  |  |  |
| Net Change in IBNR  |    | (482)             |         | (5,134) |  |  |  |
| Net Reduction in Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Liabilities | \$ | 685               | \$      | 2,510   |  |  |  |

The table below provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

|   | Three Mon<br>Marcl<br>2008<br>(In thousan<br>dolla | h 31,<br>2007<br>nds of U.S. |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| Balance as of January 1                   | \$ 1,591,449                                       | \$ 1,214,419                 |
| Less: Reinsurance recoverables            | 427,964  | 342,160                      |
|   | 1,163,485  | 872,259                      |
| Incurred Related to Prior Years           | 685  | 2,510                        |
| Paids Related to Prior Years              | 3,375  | 523                          |
| Effect of Exchange Rate Movement          | 9,413  | 1,361                        |
| Retroactive Reinsurance Contracts Assumed | 394,913  |                              |
| Acquired on Acquisition of Subsidiaries   | 465,887  | 428,921                      |
| Net Balance as of March 31                | \$ 2,037,758                                       | \$ 1,305,574                 |
| Plus: Reinsurance recoverables            | 662,929  | 316,487                      |
| Balance as of March 31                    | \$ 2,700,687                                       | \$ 1,622,061                 |

Salaries and Benefits:

# Three Months Ended March 31,20082007Variance

|                           |    | isan           | ands of U.S. dollars) |                |    |            |  |
|---------------------------|----|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|----|------------|--|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$ | 9,295<br>2,062 | \$                    | 9,938<br>2,864 | \$ | 643<br>802 |  |
| Total                     | \$ | 11,357         | \$                    | 12,802         | \$ | 1,445      |  |

Salaries and benefits, which include expenses relating to our discretionary bonus and employee share plans, were \$11.4 million and \$12.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decrease in the salaries and benefits for the consulting segment was due primarily to the payment of a special bonus in 2007 to John J. Oros and Nimrod T. Frazer, totaling \$2.0 million, in recognition of their contributions to the successful completion of the Merger and the reduction in stock-based compensation expense from \$1.7 million to \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to 2008. These expense reductions were offset by the growth in staff numbers from 200 as of March 31, 2007 to 253 as of March 31, 2008 following our expansion during 2007 and 2008.

We expect that staff costs will continue to increase moderately during 2008 as we continue to grow and add staff. Bonus accrual expenses will be variable and dependent on our overall profitability.

General and Administrative Expenses:

|                           | Three Months Ended M<br>2008 2007<br>(In thousands of U.S. ( |                |    | Variance       |    |                  |
|---------------------------|--|----------------|----|----------------|----|------------------|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$   | 3,622<br>8,289 | \$ | 3,368<br>2,305 | \$ | (254)<br>(5,984) |
| Total                     | \$   | 11,911         | \$ | 5,673          | \$ | (6,238)          |

General and administrative expenses attributable to the consulting segment increased by \$0.3 million during the three months ended March 31, 2008, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2007. General and administrative expenses attributable to the reinsurance segment increased by \$6.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2008, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2007. The increased costs for the current period relate primarily to additional expenses of \$4.5 million relating to bank loan structure fees incurred in respect of acquisitions completed during the three months ended March 31, 2008 along with increased costs of approximately \$1.1 million incurred by companies acquired subsequent to March 31, 2007.

Interest Expense:

|                           | Three Months Ended March 31,20082007Variance(In thousands of U.S. dollars) |             |    |       |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|-------------|----|-------|--|--|--|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$<br>3,315  | \$<br>3,176 | \$ | (139) |  |  |  |
| Total                     | \$ 3,315   | \$ 3,176    | \$ | (139) |  |  |  |

Interest expense of \$3.3 million and \$3.2 million was recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in interest expense is attributable to the increase in bank borrowings used in the funding of acquisitions subsequent to March 31, 2007, primarily in relation to the acquisitions of Gordian and Guildhall. For a discussion of these acquisitions, see Business Recent Acquisitions on page 68.

Minority Interest:

Three Months Ended March 3120082007Variance(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

| \$      | \$      | \$      |
|---------|---------|---------|
| (3,376) | (2,248) | (1,128) |

Consulting Reinsurance

Total

\$ (3,376) \$ (2,248) \$ (1,128)

We recorded a minority interest in earnings of \$3.4 million and \$2.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The total for the three months ended March 31, 2008 relates to the minority economic interest held by third parties in the earnings of Gordian, Guildhall, Shelbourne and Hillcot. For the same period in 2007, the minority interest related to Hillcot. For a discussion of these acquisitions, see Business Recent Acquisitions on page 68.

# Negative Goodwill:

|                           | Three Months Ended M<br>2008 2007<br>(In thousands of U.S. |              |              |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$<br>35,196   | \$<br>15,683 | \$<br>19,513 |  |  |  |
| Total                     | \$ 35,196  | \$ 15,683    | \$ 19,513    |  |  |  |

Negative goodwill of \$35.2 million and \$15.7 million, was recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2008 the negative goodwill of \$35.2 million, net of minority interest of \$15.1 million, arose in connection with our acquisition of Gordian and represents the excess of the cumulative fair value of net assets acquired of \$455.7 million over the cost of \$405.4 million. This excess has, in accordance with SFAS 141 Business Combinations, been recognized as an extraordinary gain. The 2008 negative goodwill arose primarily as a result of income earned by Gordian between the date of the balance sheet on which the agreed purchase price was based, June 30, 2007, and the date the acquisition closed, March 5, 2008, and the desire of the vendors to achieve a substantial reduction in regulatory capital requirements and therefore to dispose of Gordian at a discount to fair value.

For the three months ended March 31, 2007 the negative goodwill of \$15.7 million was earned in connection with our acquisition of Inter-Ocean Reinsurance Company Ltd, or Inter-Ocean, and represents the excess of the cumulative fair value of net assets acquired of \$73.2 million over the cost of \$57.5 million. The negative goodwill arose primarily as a result of the strategic desire of the vendors to achieve an exit from such operations and therefore to dispose of the companies at a discount to fair value. For a discussion of this acquisition, see Business Recent Acquisitions on page 68.

# Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

We reported consolidated net earnings of approximately \$61.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to approximately \$82.3 million in 2006. Included as part of net earnings for 2007 and 2006 are extraordinary gains of \$15.7 million and \$31.0 million, respectively, relating to negative goodwill, net of minority interest. Net earnings before extraordinary gain for 2007 were approximately \$46.1 compared to \$51.3 million in 2006. The decrease was primarily a result of a lower net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities, higher general and administrative expenses and lower consulting fee income, offset by higher investment income and income tax recoveries along with a lower charge in respect of minority interest.

Consulting Fees:

|             | Year Ended December 31,        |    |          |    |         |  |  |
|-------------|--------------------------------|----|----------|----|---------|--|--|
|             | 2007                           |    | 2006     |    | ariance |  |  |
|             | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |    |          |    |         |  |  |
| Consulting  | \$<br>59,465                   | \$ | 54,546   | \$ | 4,919   |  |  |
| Reinsurance | (27,547)                       |    | (20,638) |    | (6,909) |  |  |
| Total       | \$<br>31,918                   | \$ | 33,908   | \$ | (1,990) |  |  |

We earned consulting fees of approximately \$31.9 million and \$33.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The decrease in consulting fees was due primarily to the acquisition of B.H. Acquisition Ltd., or B.H. Acquisition, which now forms part of the reinsurance segment and whose fee income is now eliminated. In 2006, we had recorded \$1.3 million of fee income in respect of B.H. Acquisition.

Internal management fees of \$27.5 million and \$20.6 million were paid in 2007 and 2006, respectively, by our reinsurance companies to our consulting companies. The increase in fees paid by the reinsurance segment was due primarily to the fees paid by reinsurance companies that were acquired in 2007 along with those companies acquired during 2006.

Net Investment Income and Net Realized Gains (Losses):

|                           |                  | Ye                 | ear Ended Dec      | ember 31,  |            |             |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
|                           | Net ]            | Investment In      | icome              | Net Rea    | lized Gaiı | ns (Losses) |
|                           | 2007             | 2006               | Variance           | 2007       | 2006       | Variance    |
|                           |                  | (In t              | thousands of U     | S. dollars | )          |             |
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$ 228<br>63,859 | \$ 1,225<br>46,874 | \$ (997)<br>16,985 | \$<br>249  | \$<br>(98) | \$<br>347   |
| Total                     | \$ 64,087        | \$ 48,099          | \$ 15,988          | \$ 249     | \$ (98)    | \$ 347      |
|                           |                  | 48                 |                    |            |            |             |

Net investment income for the year ended December 31, 2007 increased by \$16.0 million to \$64.1 million, compared to \$48.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to our increase in average cash and investment balances from \$1,093.2 million to \$1,401.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively, as a result of cash and investment portfolios of reinsurance companies acquired in the year.

The average return on the cash and investments for the year ended December 31, 2007 was 4.57%, as compared to the average return of 4.40% for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in yield was primarily the result of increasing U.S. interest rates the average U.S. federal funds rate has increased from 4.96% in 2006 to 5.05% in 2007. The average Standard & Poor s credit rating of our fixed income investments at December 31, 2007 was AAA.

Net realized gains (losses) for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 were \$0.2 million and \$(0.1) million, respectively.

Subsequent to the year ended December 31, 2007, the U.S. federal funds rate was cut from 4.25% to 3.00% with indications that additional cuts may be forthcoming. The rate was 2.25% as of March 31, 2008. Therefore, we anticipate that the average return on investable assets held at December 31, 2007 will be lower in 2008 as compared to a comparable period in 2007.

# Net Reduction in Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Liabilities:

Net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$24.5 million, excluding the impacts of adverse foreign exchange rate movements of \$18.6 million and including both net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$9.0 million relating to companies acquired during the year and premium and commission adjustments triggered by incurred losses of \$0.3 million.

The net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for 2007 of \$24.5 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$30.7 million and a reduction in estimates of loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$22.0 million, relating to 2007 run-off activity, partially offset by an increase in aggregate provisions for bad debt of \$1.7 million, primarily relating to companies acquired in 2006, and the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$26.5 million.

The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$30.7 million comprised net adverse incurred loss development of \$1.0 million offset by reductions in estimates of IBNR reserves of \$31.7 million. An increase in estimates of ultimate losses of \$2.1 million relating to one of our insurance entities was offset by reductions in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$32.8 million in our remaining insurance and reinsurance entities.

The net adverse incurred loss development of \$1.0 million and reductions in IBNR reserves of \$31.7 million, respectively, comprised the following:

(i) net adverse incurred loss development in one of our reinsurance entities of \$36.6 million, whereby advised case reserves of \$16.9 million were settled for net paid losses of \$53.5 million. This adverse incurred loss development resulted from the settlement of case and LAE reserves above carried levels and from new loss advices, partially offset by approximately 12 commutations of assumed and ceded exposures below carried reserve levels. Actuarial analysis of the remaining unsettled loss liabilities resulted in a decrease in the estimate of IBNR loss reserves of \$13.1 million after consideration of the \$36.6 million adverse incurred loss development during the year, and the application of the actuarial methodologies to loss data pertaining to the remaining non-commuted exposures. Of the 12 commutations completed for this entity, 3 were among its top 10 cedant exposures. The remaining 9 were of a smaller size, consistent with our approach of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships as well as targeting

significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships. The entity in question also benefits from substantial stop loss reinsurance protection whereby the ultimate adverse loss development of \$23.4 million was largely offset by a recoverable from a single AA rated reinsurer such that a net ultimate loss of \$2.1 million was retained by us;

(ii) net favorable incurred loss development of \$29.0 million, comprising net paid loss recoveries, relating to another one of our reinsurance companies, offset by increases in net IBNR loss reserves of

\$29.0 million, resulting in no ultimate gain or loss. This reinsurance company has retrocessional arrangements providing for full reinsurance of all risks assumed; and

(iii) net favorable incurred loss development of \$6.6 million in our remaining insurance and reinsurance entities together with reductions in IBNR reserves of \$26.3 million. The net favorable incurred loss development in our remaining insurance and reinsurance entities of \$6.6 million, whereby net advised case and LAE reserves of \$2.6 million were settled for net paid loss recoveries of \$4.0 million, arose from the settlement of non-commuted losses in the year below carried reserves and approximately 57 commutations of assumed and ceded exposures at less than case and LAE reserves. We adopt a disciplined approach to the review and settlement of non-commuted claims through claims adjusting and the inspection of underlying policyholder records such that settlements of assumed exposures may often be achieved below the level of the originally advised loss and settlements of ceded receivables may often be achieved at levels above carried balances. The net reduction in the estimate of IBNR loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities relating to our remaining insurance and reinsurance companies amounted to \$26.3 million and results from the application of our reserving methodologies to (i) the reduced historical incurred loss development information relating to remaining exposures after the 57 commutations, and (ii) reduced case and LAE reserves in the aggregate. Of the 57 commutations completed during 2007 for our remaining reinsurance and insurance companies, 5 were among their top 10 cedant and/or reinsurance exposures. The remaining 52 were of a smaller size, consistent with our approach of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships, as well as targeting significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships.

The following table shows the components of the movement in net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

|  | Year Ended December 31,<br>2007 2006<br>(In thousands of<br>U.S. dollars) |                              |    |                              |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|----|------------------------------|--|--|
| Net Losses Paid<br>Net Reduction in Case and LAE Reserves<br>Net Reduction in IBNR | \$  | (20,422)<br>17,660<br>27,244 | \$ | (75,293)<br>43,645<br>63,575 |  |  |
| Net Reduction in Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses                                 | \$  | 24,482                       | \$ | 31,927                       |  |  |

Net reduction in case and LAE reserves comprises the movement during the year in specific case reserve liabilities as a result of claims settlements or changes advised to us by our policyholders and attorneys, less changes in case reserves recoverable advised by us to our reinsurers as a result of the settlement or movement of assumed claims. Net reduction in IBNR represents the change in our actuarial estimates of losses incurred but not reported.

The table below provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

|   | Year Ended December<br>2007 2000<br>(In thousands of U.S.<br>dollars) |          |           |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Balance as of January 1,                | \$ 1,214  | 4,419 \$ | 806,559   |  |  |  |  |
| Less: Reinsurance recoverables          | 342   | 2,160    | 213,399   |  |  |  |  |
|   | 872   | 2,259    | 593,160   |  |  |  |  |
| Incurred related to prior years         |   | 4,482)   | (31,927)  |  |  |  |  |
| Paids related to prior years            | (20   | 0,422)   | (75,293)  |  |  |  |  |
| Effect of exchange rate movement        | 18  | 8,625    | 24,856    |  |  |  |  |
| Acquired on acquisition of subsidiaries | 31'   | 7,505    | 361,463   |  |  |  |  |
| Net balance as of December 31,          | \$ 1,16   | 3,485 \$ | 872,259   |  |  |  |  |
| Plus: Reinsurance recoverables          | 42  | 7,964    | 342,160   |  |  |  |  |
| Balance as of December 31,              | \$ 1,59   | 1,449 \$ | 1,214,419 |  |  |  |  |

Salaries and Benefits:

|             | Year I    | Year Ended December 31,        |            |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|             | 2007      | 2006                           | Variance   |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | (In thou  | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consulting  | \$ 36,222 | \$ 28,255                      | \$ (7,967) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reinsurance | 10,755    | 11,866                         | 1,111      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total       | \$ 46,977 | \$ 40,121                      | \$ (6,856) |  |  |  |  |  |

Salaries and benefits, which include expenses relating to our Annual Incentive Plan and employee share plans, were \$47.0 million and \$40.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The increase in salaries and benefits for the consulting segment was due to the following factors: 1) The growth in staff numbers from 195, as of December 31, 2006, to 221, as of December 31, 2007; 2) On May 23, 2006 we entered into an agreement and plan of merger and a recapitalization agreement which resulted in the existing annual incentive compensation plan being cancelled and the modification of the accounting treatment for share-based awards from a book value plan to a fair value plan. The net effect of these changes was to reduce the total salaries and benefits by \$2.0 million; and 3) In March 2007, payment of a special bonus to Mr. John J. Oros and Mr. Nimrod T. Frazer, totaling \$2.0 million, in recognition of their contributions to the successful completion of the Merger.

We expect that staff costs will increase in 2008 due primarily to the approximately 30 new employees retained or hired upon completion of the Gordian acquisition. Bonus accrual expenses will be variable and dependent on our overall profit.

# General and Administrative Expenses:

|             | Y        | Year Ended December 31,        |        |    |          |  |  |  |
|-------------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|----|----------|--|--|--|
|             | 2007     |                                | 2006   | V  | ariance  |  |  |  |
|             | (In      | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |        |    |          |  |  |  |
| Consulting  | \$ 21,84 | 4 \$                           | 12,751 | \$ | (9,093)  |  |  |  |
| Reinsurance | 9,56     | 9                              | 6,127  |    | (3,442)  |  |  |  |
| Total       | \$ 31,41 | 3 \$                           | 18,878 | \$ | (12,535) |  |  |  |

General and administrative expenses attributable to the consulting segment increased by \$9.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 due primarily to the

following: 1) increased professional fees of \$4.2 million relating to legal, accounting and filing costs associated with our reporting obligations as a public company; 2) a one-time expense of \$1.6 million relating to the over-recovery by us of current and prior years value added taxes; and 3) increased rent costs of \$1.4 million as a result of one of our U.K. subsidiaries moving to new offices.

General and administrative expenses attributable to the reinsurance segment increased by \$3.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. The increased costs for the period related primarily to the following: 1) additional general and administrative expenses of \$2.5 million incurred in relation to companies that we acquired in 2007; and 2) a write-off of a receivable of \$0.9 million in respect of value added tax recoveries. We expect that general and administrative expenses attributable to the reinsurance segment will increase in 2008 due to the costs associated with the acquisitions completed in early 2008.

Interest Expense:

|                           | Year Ended December 31,<br>2007 2006 Variance<br>(In thousands of U.S. dollars) |       |    |       |    |       |  |
|---------------------------|---|-------|----|-------|----|-------|--|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$  | 4,876 | \$ | 1,989 | \$ | 2,887 |  |
| Total                     | \$  | 4,876 | \$ | 1,989 | \$ | 2,887 |  |

Interest expense of \$4.9 million and \$2.0 million was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

For 2007, this amount relates to the interest on new loans from a London-based bank to partially assist with the financing of the acquisitions of Inter-Ocean and Marlon Insurance Company Limited and Marlon Management Services Limited, or together referred to herein as Marlon, along with interest charges from prior years loans that were made to partially assist with the financing of the acquisitions of Brampton Insurance Company Limited, or Brampton, and Cavell Holdings Limited (UK), or Cavell.

For 2006, interest expense also includes an amount relating to the interest on funds that were borrowed from B.H. Acquisition, which, for 2007, was a wholly-owned subsidiary, as well as interest on a vendor promissory note that formed part of the acquisition cost for Brampton. The vendor promissory note was repaid in May 2006. During 2007 the Inter-Ocean bank loan was repaid in full. In February 2008, the Cavell and Marlon bank loans were also repaid in full.

Foreign Exchange Gain/(Loss):

|             | Yea      | Year Ended December 31,        |        |           |         |  |  |  |
|-------------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
|             | 2007     | 2006                           |        | 006 Varia |         |  |  |  |
|             | (In th   | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |        |           |         |  |  |  |
| Consulting  | \$ (192) | \$                             | (146)  | \$        | (46)    |  |  |  |
| Reinsurance | 8,113    | 1                              | 10,978 |           | (2,865) |  |  |  |

Total

We recorded foreign exchange gains of \$7.9 million and \$10.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

The foreign exchange gain for the year ended December 31, 2007 arose primarily as a result of: 1) the holding of surplus British Pounds; and 2) the holding by Cavell of surplus net Canadian and Australian dollars, as required by local regulatory obligations, at a time when these currencies have been appreciating against the U.S. Dollar. The gain for the year ended December 31, 2006 arose primarily as a result of having surplus British Pounds that arose as a result of our acquisitions of Brampton, Cavell, and Unione Italiana (U.K.) Reinsurance Company, or Unione, at a time when the British Pound had strengthened against the U.S. Dollar.

As our functional currency is the U.S. Dollar, we seek to manage our exposure to foreign currency exchange by broadly matching foreign currency assets against foreign currency liabilities.

Share of Income of Partly-Owned Company:

|                           | Year Ended December 31,<br>2007 2006 Variance<br>(In thousands of U.S. dollars) |           |             |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|---|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$  | \$<br>518 | \$<br>(518) |  |  |  |
| Total                     | \$  | \$ 518    | \$ (518)    |  |  |  |

Our share of equity in earnings of partly owned companies for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$Nil and \$0.5 million, respectively. These amounts represented our proportionate share of equity in the earnings of B.H. Acquisition.

On January 31, 2007, B.H. Acquisition became our wholly-owned subsidiary and, as a result, we now consolidate the results of B.H. Acquisition.

Income Tax Recovery (Expense):

|                           | Year Ended December 31,<br>2007 2006 Variance<br>(In thousands of U.S. dollars) |                |    |              |    |                  |  |
|---------------------------|---|----------------|----|--------------|----|------------------|--|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$  | (597)<br>8,038 | \$ | 490<br>(172) | \$ | (1,087)<br>8,210 |  |
| Total                     | \$  | 7,441          | \$ | 318          | \$ | 7,123            |  |

We recorded an income tax recovery of \$7.4 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Income tax (expense)/recovery of \$(0.6) million and \$0.5 million were recorded in the consulting segment for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The variance between the two periods arose because of: 1) The inclusion for 2007, as a result of the Merger, of the tax expense of Enstar USA, Inc.; and 2) In 2006, we applied available loss carryforwards from our U.K. insurance companies to relieve profits in our U.K. consulting companies.

During 2007, in the reinsurance segment, the statute of limitations expired on certain previously recorded liabilities related to uncertain tax positions. The benefit to us was \$8.5 million.

Minority Interest:

|                           | Year            | Year Ended December 31,                  |             |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------|--|--|--|
|                           | 2007<br>(In tho | 2006 Varianc<br>susands of U.S. dollars) |             |  |  |  |
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$<br>(6,730)   | \$<br>(13,208)                           | \$<br>6,478 |  |  |  |
| Total                     | \$ (6,730)      | \$ (13,208)                              | \$ 6,478    |  |  |  |

We recorded a minority interest in earnings of \$6.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 reflecting the 49.9% minority economic interest held by a third party in the earnings from Hillcot, Brampton and Shelbourne, and \$13.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 reflecting the 49.9% minority economic interest held by a third party in the earnings from Hillcot and Brampton.

The decrease in minority interest was primarily a result of reduced foreign exchange gains in Brampton and a decrease in net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for Hillcot Re Limited and Brampton.

Negative Goodwill:

|             | Year                           | Year Ended December 31, |             |  |  |  |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
|             | 2007<br>(In the                | 2006<br>usands of U S   | Variance    |  |  |  |
|             | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |                         |             |  |  |  |
| Consulting  | \$                             | \$                      | \$          |  |  |  |
| Reinsurance | 15,683                         | 31,038                  | (15,355)    |  |  |  |
| Total       | \$ 15,683                      | \$ 31,038               | \$ (15,355) |  |  |  |

Negative goodwill of \$15.7 million and \$31.0 million, net of minority interest of \$4.3 million, was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. For 2007, the negative goodwill of \$15.7 million was earned in connection with our acquisition of Inter-Ocean and represents the excess of the cumulative fair value of net assets acquired of \$73.2 million over the cost of \$57.5 million. This excess has, in accordance with SFAS 141 Business Combinations, been recognized as an extraordinary gain in 2007. The negative goodwill arose primarily as a result of the strategic desire of the vendors to achieve an exit from such operations and therefore to dispose of the company at a discount to fair value.

Negative goodwill of \$31.0 million, net of minority interest of \$4.3 million, was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2006 in connection with our acquisitions of Brampton, Cavell and Unione during the year. This amount represents the excess of the cumulative fair value of net assets acquired of \$222.9 million over the cost of \$187.5 million. This excess has, in accordance with SFAS 141 Business Combinations, been recognized as an extraordinary gain in 2006.

The negative goodwill of \$4.3 million (net of minority interest) relating to Brampton arose as a result of the income earned by Brampton between the date of the balance sheet on which the agreed purchase price was based, December 31, 2004, and the date the acquisition closed, March 30, 2006. The negative goodwill of \$26.7 million relating to the purchases of Cavell and Unione arose primarily as a result of the strategic desire of the vendors to achieve an exit from such operations and, therefore, to dispose of the companies at a discount to fair value.

## Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005

We reported consolidated net earnings of approximately \$82.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to approximately \$80.7 million in 2005. Included as part of net earnings for 2006 is an extraordinary gain of \$31.0 million relating to negative goodwill, net of minority interest. Net earnings before extraordinary gain for 2006 were approximately \$51.3 million compared to \$80.7 million in 2005. The decrease was primarily a result of a lower net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities and higher general and administrative expenses offset by higher consulting fee income, investment income and increased foreign exchange gains.

Consulting Fees:

Year Ended December 31, 2006 2005 Variance (In thousands of U.S. dollars)

| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$<br>54,546<br>(20,638) | \$<br>38,046<br>(16,040) | \$<br>16,500<br>(4,598) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Total                     | \$<br>33,908             | \$<br>22,006             | \$<br>11,902            |

We earned consulting fees of approximately \$33.9 million and \$22.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Included in these amounts was approximately \$1.3 million in consulting fees charged to wholly-owned subsidiaries of B.H. Acquisition, a partly-owned equity affiliate, in both 2006 and 2005. The increase in consulting fees was due primarily to the increase of approximately \$8.9 million in management and incentive-based fees earned by our U.S. subsidiaries along with increased incentive-based fees generated by our Bermuda management company.

Internal management fees of \$20.6 million and \$16.0 million were paid in 2006 and 2005, respectively, by our reinsurance companies to our consulting companies. The increase in fees paid by the reinsurance segment was due primarily to the fees paid by reinsurance companies that were acquired in 2006.

Net Investment Income and Net Realized Gains/(Losses):

|             |                                | Y             | ear Ended De | cember 31 | l <b>,</b>   |            |  |
|-------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|--|
|             | Net I                          | Investment In | come         | Net R     | ealized Gair | s/(Losses) |  |
|             | 2006                           | 2005          | Variance     | 2006      | 2005         | Variance   |  |
|             | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |               |              |           |              |            |  |
| Consulting  | \$ 1,225                       | \$ 576        | \$ 649       | \$        | \$           | \$         |  |
| Reinsurance | 46,874                         | 27,660        | 19,214       | (98)      | 1,268        | (1,366)    |  |
| Total       | \$ 48,099                      | \$ 28,236     | \$ 19,863    | \$ (98)   | \$ 1,268     | \$ (1,366) |  |

Net investment income for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased by \$19.9 million to \$48.1 million, compared to \$28.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was attributable to the increase in prevailing interest rates during the year along with an increase in average cash and investment balances from \$913.5 million to \$1,093.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006, respectively, relating to cash and investment portfolios of reinsurance companies acquired in the year.

The average return on the cash and fixed maturities investments for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 4.40%, as compared to the average return of 3.23% for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in yield was primarily the result of increasing U.S. interest rates the U.S. federal funds rate has increased from 2.25% on January 1, 2005 to 4.25% on December 31, 2005 and to 5.25% on December 31, 2006. The average Standard & Poors credit rating of our fixed income investments at December 31, 2006 was AAA.

Net realized (losses)/gains for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$(0.1) million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

## Net Reduction in Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Liabilities:

Net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$31.9 million and was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$21.4 million, a reduction in estimates of loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$15.1 million to reflect 2006 run-off activity, compared to a reduction of \$10.5 million in 2005 (the larger reduction relating to companies acquired during 2006), a reduction in aggregate provisions for bad debt of \$6.3 million compared to \$20.2 million in 2005, resulting from the collection of certain reinsurance receivables against which bad debt provisions had been provided in earlier periods, partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$10.9 million compared to \$7.9 million in 2005, the increased charge reflecting amortization relating to companies acquired during 2006. The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$21.4 million comprised of net adverse incurred loss development of \$37.9 million offset by reductions in estimates of IBNR reserves of \$59.3 million, of which an increase in estimates of ultimate losses of \$24.8 million relating to one of our insurance entities was offset by reductions in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$24.8 million relating insurance and reinsurance entities.

The adverse incurred loss development of \$37.9 million, whereby advised case and LAE reserves of \$37.4 million were settled for net paid losses of \$75.3 million, comprised adverse incurred loss development of \$59.2 million relating to one of our insurance companies partially offset by favorable incurred loss development of \$21.3 million relating to our remaining insurance and reinsurance companies.

The adverse incurred loss development of \$59.2 million relating to one of our insurance companies was comprised of net paid loss settlements of \$81.3 million less reductions in case and LAE reserves of \$22.1 million and resulted from the settlement of case and LAE reserves above carried levels and from new loss advices, partially offset by approximately 10 commutations of assumed and ceded exposures below carried reserves levels. Actuarial analysis of the remaining unsettled loss liabilities resulted in an increase in the estimate of IBNR loss reserves of \$35.0 million after consideration of the \$59.2 million adverse incurred loss development during the year, and the

application of the actuarial methodologies to loss data pertaining to the remaining non-commuted exposures. Other factors contributing to the increase include the establishment of a reserve to cover potential exposure to lead paint claims, a significant increase in asbestos reserves related to the entity single largest cedant (following a detailed review of the underlying exposures), and a change in the assumed asbestos and environmental loss reporting time-lag as discussed further below. Of the 10 commutations completed for this entity, 2 were among its top 10 cedant and/or reinsurance exposures. The remaining 8 were of a smaller size, consistent with our approach of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships as well as targeting significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships. The entity in question also benefits from substantial stop loss reinsurance protection whereby the adverse loss development of \$59.2 million was largely offset by a recoverable from a single AA rated reinsurer. The increase in estimated net ultimate losses of \$3.4 million was retained by us.

The favorable incurred loss development of \$21.3 million, relating to our remaining insurance and reinsurance companies, whereby net advised case reserves of \$15.3 million were settled for net paid loss recoveries of \$6.0 million, arose from approximately 35 commutations of assumed and ceded exposures at less than case and LAE reserves, where receipts from ceded commutations exceeded settlements of assumed exposures, and the settlement of non-commuted losses in the year below carried reserves. We adopt a disciplined approach, through claims adjusting and the inspection of underlying policyholder records, to the review and settlement of non-commuted claims such that settlements may often be achieved below the level of the originally advised loss.

The net reduction in the estimate of IBNR loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities relating to our remaining insurance and reinsurance companies (i.e., excluding the net \$55.8 million reduction in IBNR reserves relating to the entity referred to above) amounted to \$3.5 million. This net reduction was comprised of an increase of \$19.8 million resulting from (i) a change in assumptions as to the appropriate loss reporting time lag for Asbestos related exposures from 2 to 3 years and for environmental exposures from 2 to 2.5 years, which resulted in an increase in net IBNR reserves of \$6.4 million, and (ii) a reduction in ceded IBNR recoverables of \$13.4 million resulting from the commutation of ceded reinsurance protections. The increase in IBNR of \$19.8 million is offset by a reduction of \$23.3 million resulting from the application of our reserving methodologies to (i) the reduced historical incurred loss development information relating to remaining exposures after the 35 commutations, and (ii) reduced case and LAE reserves in the aggregate.

Of the 35 commutations completed during 2006 for our remaining reinsurance and insurance companies, 10 were among their top 10 cedant and/or reinsurance exposures. The remaining 25 were of a smaller size, consistent with our approach of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships, as well as targeting significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships.

The following table shows the components of the movement in net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

|  | Year Ended December 31,<br>2006 2005<br>(In thousands of<br>U.S. dollars) |                              |    |                              |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|----|------------------------------|--|--|
| Net Losses Paid<br>Net Change in Case and LAE Reserves<br>Net Change in IBNR | \$  | (75,293)<br>43,645<br>63,575 | \$ | (69,007)<br>95,156<br>69,858 |  |  |
| Net Reduction in Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses                           | \$  | 31,927                       | \$ | 96,007                       |  |  |

#### Table of Contents

Net change in case and LAE reserves comprises the movement during the year in specific case reserve liabilities as a result of claims settlements or changes advised to us by our policyholders and attorneys, less changes in case reserves recoverable advised by us to our reinsurers as a result of the settlement or movement of assumed claims. Net change in IBNR represents the change in our actuarial estimates of losses incurred but not reported.

The table below provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

|   | Year Ended December 31<br>2006 2005<br>(In thousands of<br>U.S. dollars) |  |    |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|----|--|--|--|
| Net Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses, January 1<br>Incurred related to prior years<br>Paids related to prior years<br>Effect of exchange rate movement<br>Acquired on acquisition of subsidiaries | \$   | 593,160<br>(31,927)<br>(75,293)<br>24,856<br>361,463 | \$ | 736,660<br>(96,007)<br>(69,007)<br>3,652<br>17,862 |  |  |
| Net Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses, December 31   | \$   | 872,259  | \$ | 593,160  |  |  |

### Salaries and Benefits:

|             | Year Ended December 31,        |    |        |    |         |  |  |
|-------------|--------------------------------|----|--------|----|---------|--|--|
|             | 2006                           |    | 2005   | Va | ariance |  |  |
|             | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |    |        |    |         |  |  |
| Consulting  | \$<br>28,255                   | \$ | 26,864 | \$ | (1,391) |  |  |
| Reinsurance | 11,866                         |    | 13,957 |    | 2,091   |  |  |
| Total       | \$<br>40,121                   | \$ | 40,821 | \$ | 700     |  |  |

Salaries and benefits, which include expenses relating to our Annual Incentive Compensation Program and employee share plans, were \$40.1 million and \$40.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. On May 23, 2006, we entered into a merger agreement and a recapitalization agreement, which agreements provided for the cancellation of our then-existing incentive compensation plan, or the Old Incentive Plan, which plan was replaced with the Annual Incentive Plan. As a result of the execution of these agreements, the accounting treatment for share based awards under the Old Incentive Plan changed from book value to fair value. As a result of this modification, we recognized additional stock-based compensation of \$15.6 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2006. The total stock-based compensation expense recognized in the year ended December 31, 2006, including the \$15.6 million mentioned previously, was \$22.3 million as compared to \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. As a result of the cancellation of the Old Incentive Plan, \$21.2 million of prior years unpaid bonus accrual was reversed during the quarter ended June 30, 2006. The expense associated with the new annual incentive compensation plan was \$14.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

General and Administrative Expenses:

|                           |      | Year Ended December 31,        |                |                       |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                           | 20   | 006                            | 2005           | Variance              |  |  |  |  |
|                           |      | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |                |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance |      | 2,751 \$<br>6,127              | 9,246<br>1,716 | \$ (3,505)<br>(4,411) |  |  |  |  |
|                           |      |                                | ,              |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Total                     | \$ I | 8,878 \$                       | 10,962         | \$ (7,916)            |  |  |  |  |

General and administrative expenses attributable to the consulting segment increased by \$3.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. This increase was due primarily to increases in rent and rent related costs due to an increase in office space along with an increase in professional fees and travel relating to due diligence work on potential acquisition opportunities.

General and administrative expenses attributable to the reinsurance segment increased by \$4.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. Of the increased costs for the

year, \$3.8 million relate to general and administrative expenses incurred in relation to companies acquired by us in 2006 and, of the \$3.8 million, \$2.5 million relate to non-recurring costs associated with new acquisitions along with expenses incurred in arranging loan facilities with a London-based bank.

#### Interest Expense:

|                           | Year Ended December 31,<br>2006 2005 Varian<br>(In thousands of U.S. dollars |    |             |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|----|-------------|--|--|--|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$<br>1,989  | \$ | \$<br>1,989 |  |  |  |
| Total                     | \$ 1,989   | \$ | \$ 1,989    |  |  |  |

Interest expense of \$2.0 million was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2006. This amount relates to the interest on the funds that were borrowed from B.H. Acquisition and a London-based bank to partially assist with the financing of the acquisitions of Brampton and Cavell, as well as interest on the vendor promissory note that formed part of the acquisition cost for Brampton. The vendor promissory note was repaid in May 2006.

Foreign Exchange Gain/(Loss):

|             | Year Ended December 31,        |    |         |    |         |  |  |
|-------------|--------------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|--|--|
|             | 2006                           |    | 2005    | V  | ariance |  |  |
|             | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |    |         |    |         |  |  |
| Consulting  | \$<br>(146)                    | \$ | (10)    | \$ | (136)   |  |  |
| Reinsurance | 10,978                         |    | (4,592) |    | 15,570  |  |  |
| Total       | \$<br>10,832                   | \$ | (4,602) | \$ | 15,434  |  |  |

We recorded a foreign exchange gain of \$10.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to a foreign exchange loss of \$4.6 million for 2005. The gain for the year ended December 31, 2006 arose primarily as a result of having surplus British Pounds that arose as a result of our acquisitions of Brampton, Cavell, and Unione at a time when the British Pound had strengthened against the U.S. Dollar. The foreign exchange loss in 2005 arose as a result of having surplus British Pounds and Euros at various points in the year at a time when the both the British Pound and Euro had weakened against the U.S. Dollar. The U.S. Dollar to British Pound rate at January 1, 2005, December 31, 2006 was \$1.92, \$1.72 and \$1.959, respectively. Similarly, the U.S. Dollar to Euro rate at January 1, 2005, December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2006 was \$1.36, \$1.18 and \$1.32, respectively.

As our functional currency is the U.S. Dollar, we seek to manage our exposure to foreign currency exchange by broadly matching foreign currency assets against foreign currency liabilities. The 2006 and 2005 currency mismatches were addressed and corrected by converting surplus foreign currency to U.S. Dollars at the time the mismatch was identified.

Share of Income of Partly-Owned Company:

|                           | Year Ended December 31,<br>2006 2005 Varianc<br>(In thousands of U.S. dollars) |           |           |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$<br>518  | \$<br>192 | \$<br>326 |  |  |  |  |
| Total                     | \$ 518   | \$ 192    | \$ 326    |  |  |  |  |

Our share of equity in earnings of partly-owned companies for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. These amounts represented our proportionate share of equity in the earnings of B.H. Acquisition.

Income Tax Recovery (Expense):

|             | Year Ended December 31, |       |    |          |    |             |  |  |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------|----|----------|----|-------------|--|--|
|             | 2006 2005               |       |    | Variance |    |             |  |  |
|             | (In thousands of U.S    |       |    |          |    | .S. donars) |  |  |
| Consulting  | \$                      | 490   | \$ | (883)    | \$ | 1,373       |  |  |
| Reinsurance |                         | (172) |    | (31)     |    | (141)       |  |  |
| Total       | \$                      | 318   | \$ | (914)    | \$ | 1,232       |  |  |

Income taxes of \$0.3 million and \$(0.9) million were recorded for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The income taxes recovered (incurred) were in respect of our U.K. and U.S. subsidiaries.

#### Minority Interest:

|             | Year E                         | Year Ended December 31, |            |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
|             | 2006                           | 2005                    | Variance   |  |  |  |  |
|             | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |                         |            |  |  |  |  |
| Consulting  | \$                             | \$                      | \$         |  |  |  |  |
| Reinsurance | (13,208)                       | (9,700)                 | (3,508)    |  |  |  |  |
| Total       | \$ (13,208)                    | \$ (9,700)              | \$ (3,508) |  |  |  |  |

We recorded a minority interest in earnings of \$13.2 million and \$9.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, reflecting the 49.9% minority economic interest held by a third party in the earnings from Hillcot and Brampton.

#### Negative Goodwill:

|                           | 2006         | 2005 | ember 31,<br>Variance<br>(.S. dollars) |
|---------------------------|--------------|------|--|
| Consulting<br>Reinsurance | \$<br>31,038 | \$   | \$<br>31,038                           |
| Total                     | \$ 31,038    | \$   | \$ 31,038                              |

Negative goodwill of \$31.0 million, net of minority interest of \$4.3 million, was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2006 in connection with our acquisitions of Brampton, Cavell and Unione during the year. This amount represents the excess of the cumulative fair value of net assets acquired of \$222.9 million over the cost of

\$187.5 million. This excess has, in accordance with SFAS 141 Business Combinations, been recognized as an extraordinary gain in 2006.

The negative goodwill of \$4.3 million, net of minority interest, relating to Brampton arose as a result of the income earned by Brampton between the date of the balance sheet on which the agreed purchase price was based, December 31, 2004, and the date the acquisition closed, March 30, 2006. The negative goodwill of \$26.7 million relating to the purchases of Cavell and Unione arose primarily as a result of the strategic desire of the vendors to achieve an exit from such operations and, therefore, to dispose of the companies at a discount to fair value.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

As we are a holding company and have no substantial operations of our own, our assets consist primarily of investments in subsidiaries. The potential sources of the cash flows to the holding company consist of dividends, advances and loans from our subsidiary companies.

Our future cash flows depend upon the availability of dividends or other statutorily permissible payments from our subsidiaries. The ability to pay dividends and make other distributions is limited by the applicable laws and regulations of the jurisdictions in which our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries operate, including Bermuda, the

United Kingdom and Europe, which subject these subsidiaries to significant regulatory restrictions. These laws and regulations require, among other things, certain of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries to maintain minimum solvency requirements and limit the amount of dividends and other payments that these subsidiaries can pay to us, which in turn may limit our ability to pay dividends and make other payments. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries solvency and liquidity were in excess of the minimum levels required. Retained earnings of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are not currently restricted as minimum capital solvency margins are covered by share capital and additional paid-in-capital.

Our capital management strategy is to preserve sufficient capital to enable us to make future acquisitions while maintaining a conservative investment strategy. We believe that restrictions on liquidity resulting from restrictions on the payments of dividends by our subsidiary companies will not have a material impact on our ability to meet our cash obligations.

Our sources of funds primarily consist of the cash and investment portfolios acquired on the completion of the acquisition of an insurance or reinsurance company in run-off. These acquired cash and investment balances are classified as cash provided by investing activities. We expect to use these funds acquired, together with collections from reinsurance debtors, consulting income, investment income and proceeds from sales and redemption of investments, to pay losses and loss expenses, salaries and benefits and general and administrative expenses, with the remainder used for acquisitions, additional investments and, in the past, for dividend payments to shareholders. We expect that our reinsurance segment will have a net use of cash from operations as total net claim settlements and operating cash flows will generally be in excess of investment income earned. We expect that our consulting segment operating cash flows will generally be breakeven. We expect our operating cash flows, together with our existing capital base and cash and investments acquired on the acquisition of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries, to be sufficient to meet cash requirements and to operate our business. We currently do not intend to pay cash dividends on our ordinary shares.

We maintain a short duration conservative investment strategy whereby, as of March 31, 2008, 69.4% of our cash and fixed income portfolio was held with a maturity of less than one year and 82.6% had maturities of less than five years. Excluding the impact of commutations and any schemes of arrangement, should they be completed, we expect approximately 10.1% of the gross reserves to be settled within one year and approximately 63.9% of the reserves to be settled within five years. However, our strategy of commuting our liabilities has the potential to accelerate the natural payout of losses to less than five years. Therefore, the relatively short-duration investment portfolio is maintained in order to provide liquidity for commutation opportunities and preclude us from having to liquidate longer dated securities. As a result, we do not anticipate having to sell longer dated investments in order to meet future policyholder liabilities. However, if we had to sell a portion of our held-to-maturity portfolio to an available-for-sale portfolio. This reclassification would require the investment portfolio to be recorded at market value as opposed to amortized cost. As of March 31, 2008, such a reclassification would result in an insignificant decrease in the value of our cash and investments, reflecting the unrealized loss position of the held-to-maturity portfolio as of March 31, 2008.

At March 31, 2008, total cash and cash investments were \$3.02 billion, compared to \$1.80 billion at December 31, 2007. The increase of \$1.22 billion was due primarily to cash and investments of \$1.32 billion acquired upon the acquisitions of Gordian and Guildhall and upon completion of the Shelbourne RITC transactions.

At December 31, 2007, total cash and investments were \$1.80 billion, compared to \$1.26 billion at December 31, 2006. The increase of \$539.4 million was due primarily to cash and investments of \$554.5 acquired upon the acquisition of subsidiaries offset by: 1) net paid losses relating to claims of \$20.4 million; and 2) purchase costs of acquisitions, net of external financing, of \$52.5 million.

# Source of Funds

We primarily generate our cash from the acquisitions we complete. These acquired cash and investment balances are classified as cash provided by investing activities.

We expect that for the reinsurance segment there will be a net use of cash from operations due to total claim settlements and operating expenses being in excess of investment income earned and that for the consulting segment operating cash flows will be breakeven. As a result, the net operating cash flows for us, to expiry, are expected to be negative as we pay out cash in claims settlements and expenses in excess of cash generated via investment income and consulting fees.

### Operating

Net cash provided by our operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was \$374.4 million compared to \$123.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007. This increase in cash flows was attributable to net assets assumed on retro-active reinsurance contracts and higher consulting fee income, partially offset by the purchases of trading security investments held by us and higher general and administrative and interest expenses, for the three months ended March 31, 2008 as compared to the same period in 2007.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$73.7 million compared to \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This increase in cash flows was attributable mainly to reinsurance collections and the sales of trading securities, offset by higher general and administrative expenses and interest expense incurred for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the same period in 2006.

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$4.2 million compared to \$(6.3) million for the year ended December 31, 2005. This increase in cash flows was attributable primarily to higher investment and consulting income, offset by higher general and administrative expenses and interest expense incurred for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005.

#### Investing

Investing cash flows consist primarily of cash acquired net of acquisitions along with net proceeds on the sale and purchase of investments. Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities was \$(243.2) million during the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$77.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2007. The decrease in the cash flows was due to the increase in restricted cash and available-for-sale securities acquired in relation to the acquisition during the three months ended March 31, 2008 and the decrease in cash acquired on purchase of subsidiaries during the three months ended March 31, 2008 as compared to the same period of 2007.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$475.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$179.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in the year was due mainly to the sale and maturity of investments held by us.

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities was \$179.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to \$(14.1) million during the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in the year was due primarily to the sale and maturity of investments held by us.

#### Financing

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$354.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$9.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2007. Cash provided by financing activities in 2008 was primarily attributable to the combination of the receipt of bank loans and capital contributions by minority interest shareholders relating to the purchase of Guildhall and Gordian and the financing of Shelbourne.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$4.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$13.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2006. The decrease in cash used in financing activities was primarily attributable to the combination of redemption of shares and dividends paid during 2006, which did not occur in 2007, and vendor loans offset by the repurchase of our shares during 2007.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$13.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to \$0.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in cash used in our financing activities was attributable primarily to the combination of redemption of shares and dividends paid and vendor loans offset by net loan finance receipts and capital contributions by the minority interest shareholder of a subsidiary.

#### Investments

As of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the maturity distribution of our fixed income investment portfolio was as follows:

|                          | March<br>Amortized |           |     | 2008         | A                | Decembe<br>mortized | r 31, | r 31, 2007 |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----|--------------|------------------|---------------------|-------|------------|--|--|
|                          | Cost               |           | _   | Fair Value   |                  | Cost                | Fa    | air Value  |  |  |
|                          |                    |           | (In | thousands of | of U.S. dollars) |                     |       |            |  |  |
| Due within 1 year        | \$                 | 293,559   | \$  | 293,619      | \$               | 102,469             | \$    | 102,346    |  |  |
| After 1 through 5 years  |                    | 394,268   |     | 398,663      |                  | 269,303             |       | 272,735    |  |  |
| After 5 through 10 years |                    | 224,496   |     | 229,277      |                  | 77,486              |       | 78,965     |  |  |
| After 10 years           |                    | 182,742   |     | 187,006      |                  | 102,442             |       | 102,933    |  |  |
|                          | \$                 | 1,095,065 | \$  | 1,108,565    | \$               | 551,700             | \$    | 556,979    |  |  |

For more information, see Business Investment Portfolio on page 84.

### Long-Term Debt

From time to time we incur long-term debt to fund a portion of the purchase price of acquisitions.

In February 2008, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Cumberland Holdings Limited, or Cumberland, entered into a term facility agreement jointly with a London-based bank and a German bank, or the Cumberland Facility. On March 4, 2008, we drew down AU\$215.0 million (approximately \$197.5 million) from the Facility A Commitment, or Facility A, and AU\$86.0 million (approximately \$79.0 million) from the Facility B Commitment, or Facility B, to partially fund the Gordian acquisition.

The interest rate on Facility A is LIBOR plus 2%. Facility A is repayable in five years and is secured by a first charge over Cumberland s shares in Gordian. Facility A contains various financial and business covenants, including limitations on liens on the stock of restricted subsidiaries, restrictions as to the disposition of the stock of restricted subsidiaries and limitations on mergers and consolidations. As of March 31, 2008, all of the financial covenants relating to Facility A were met.

The interest rate on Facility B is LIBOR plus 2.75%. Facility B is repayable in six years and is secured by a first charge over Cumberland s shares in Gordian. Facility B contains various financial and business covenants, including limitations on liens on the stock of restricted subsidiaries, restrictions as to the disposition of the stock of restricted subsidiaries and limitations on mergers and consolidations. As of March 31, 2008, all of the financial covenants relating to Facility B were met.

In February 2008, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Rombalds Limited, or Rombalds, entered into a term facility agreement with a London-based bank, or the Rombalds Facility. On February 28, 2008, we drew down \$32.5 million from the Rombalds Facility to partially fund the acquisition of Guildhall. The interest rate on the Rombalds Facility is LIBOR plus 2%. The facility is repayable in five years and is secured by a first charge over Rombalds shares in Guildhall. The Rombalds Facility contains various financial and business covenants, including limitations on liens on the stock of restricted subsidiaries, restrictions as to the disposition of the stock of restricted subsidiaries and

limitations on mergers and consolidations. As of March 31, 2008, all of the financial covenants relating to the Rombalds Facility were met.

As of the date of this prospectus, we had no other outstanding long-term debt.

#### Commitments

We have committed to invest up to \$100 million in J.C. Flowers II, L.P., or the Flowers Fund. During 2008, we funded a total of \$24.4 million of our outstanding capital commitment to the Flowers Fund, bringing our remaining outstanding commitment to the fund to \$49.7 million as of March 31, 2008. We intend to use cash on hand to fund our remaining commitment.

On March 28, 2008, we committed to subscribe for our pro-rata share of the rights offering in New NIB Partners L.P., or New NIB. Our total commitment was 5.0 million (approximately \$7.9 million) and was paid to New NIB on April 11, 2008. We own approximately 1.6% of New NIB, which owns approximately 79% of NIBC Holding N.V. (formerly, NIB Capital N.V.) and its affiliates, or NIBC.

On March 31, 2008, we guaranteed the obligations of two of our subsidiaries in respect of letters of credit issued on their behalf by London-based banks in the amount of £19.5 million (approximately \$38.7 million) in respect of capital commitments to Lloyd s Syndicate 2008 and insurance contract requirements of one of the subsidiaries. The guarantees will be triggered should losses incurred by the subsidiaries exceed available cash on hand resulting in the letters of credit being drawn. As of the date of this prospectus, we have not recorded any liabilities associated with the guarantees.

We have made a capital commitment of up to \$10 million in the GSC European Mezzanine Fund II, LP, or GSC. GSC invests in mezzanine securities of middle and large market companies throughout Western Europe. As of March 31, 2008, the capital contributed to GSC was \$5.3 million, with the remaining commitment being \$4.7 million. The \$10 million represents 8.5% of the total commitments made to GSC.

### **Aggregate Contractual Obligations**

The following table shows our aggregate contractual obligations by time period remaining to due date as of March 31, 2008.

| Payments Due by Period:                       |    | Total   | ,  | Less<br>Fhan<br>Year<br>(In mill | -  | 1-3<br>Years<br>of U.S. ( | 3-5<br>Years<br>ars) | More Than<br>5 Years |         |  |
|---|----|---------|----|----------------------------------|----|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|--|
| Contractual Obligations                       |    |         |    |                                  |    |                           |                      |                      |         |  |
| Investment commitments                        | \$ | 62.3    | \$ | 24.6                             | \$ | 35.5                      | \$<br>1.8            | \$                   | 0.4     |  |
| Operating lease obligations                   |    | 7.8     |    | 1.3                              |    | 3.6                       | 1.8                  |                      | 1.1     |  |
| Loan repayments                               |    | 327.2   |    | 19.4                             |    |                           | 229.3                |                      | 78.5    |  |
| Gross reserves for losses and loss adjustment |    |         |    |                                  |    |                           |                      |                      |         |  |
| expenses                                      |    | 2,700.7 |    | 272.1                            |    | 831.9                     | 620.7                |                      | 976.0   |  |
|   | \$ | 3,098.0 | \$ | 317.4                            | \$ | 871.0                     | \$<br>853.6          | \$                   | 1,056.0 |  |

The amounts included in gross reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses reflect the estimated timing of expected loss payments on known claims and anticipated future claims. Both the amount and timing of cash flows are uncertain and do not have contractual payout terms. For a discussion of these uncertainties, see Critical Accounting Policies Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses on page 33.

We have an accrued liability of approximately \$12.5 million for unrecognized tax benefits as of March 31, 2008. We are not able to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period in which any cash settlements that may arise with any of the respective tax authorities would be made. Therefore the liability for unrecognized tax benefits is not included in the table above.

## **Off-Balance Sheet and Special Purpose Entity Arrangements**

## Table of Contents

At March 31, 2008, we have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements.

### Quantitative And Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our balance sheets include a substantial amount of assets and to a lesser extent liabilities whose fair values are subject to market risks. Market risk represents the potential for an economic loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of a financial instrument. Our most significant market risks are primarily associated with changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The following provides analysis on the potential effects that these market risk exposures could have on the future earnings.

### Interest Rate Risk

We have calculated the effect that an immediate parallel shift in the U.S. interest rate yield curve would have on our investments at March 31, 2008. The modeling of this effect was performed on our investments classified as either trading or available-for-sale, as a shift in the yield curve would not have an impact on our fixed income investments classified as held to maturity because they are carried at purchase cost adjusted for amortization of premiums and discounts. The results of this analysis are summarized in the table below.

### Interest Rate Movement Analysis

|                               | Interest Rate Shift in Basis Points |         |                                |           |    |         |    |          |    |          |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------|----|---------|----|----------|----|----------|--|--|--|
|                               | -50                                 |         |                                | -25 0 +25 |    |         |    |          |    | +50      |  |  |  |
|                               |                                     |         | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |           |    |         |    |          |    |          |  |  |  |
| Total Market Value            | \$                                  | 725,690 | \$                             | 677,182   | \$ | 628,673 | \$ | 580,164  | \$ | 531,656  |  |  |  |
| Market Value Change from Base |                                     | 15.0%   |                                | 8.0%      |    | 0.0%    |    | (8.0)%   |    | (15.0)%  |  |  |  |
| Change in Unrealized Value    | \$                                  | 97,017  | \$                             | 48,509    | \$ |         | \$ | (48,509) | \$ | (97,017) |  |  |  |

As a holder of fixed income securities we also have exposure to credit risk. In an effort to minimize this risk, our investment guidelines have been defined to ensure that the fixed income portfolio is invested in high-quality securities. As of March 31, 2008, approximately 89.9% of our fixed income investment portfolio was rated AA- or better by Standard & Poor s.

# Effects of Inflation

We do not believe that inflation has had a material effect on our consolidated results of operations. Loss reserves are established to recognize likely loss settlements at the date payment is made. Those reserves inherently recognize the anticipated effects of inflation. The actual effects of inflation on our results cannot be accurately known, however, until claims are ultimately resolved.

## Foreign Currency Risk

Through our subsidiaries, we conduct business in a variety of non-U.S. currencies, the principal exposures being in the currencies set out in the table below. Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are exposed to changes in currency exchange rates. As our functional currency is the U.S. Dollar, exchange rate fluctuations may materially impact our results of operations and financial position. We currently do not use foreign currency hedges to manage our foreign currency exchange risk. We, where possible, manage our exposure to foreign currency exchange risk by broadly matching our non-U.S. Dollar denominated assets against our non-U.S. Dollar denominated liabilities. This matching process is done quarterly in arrears and therefore any mismatches occurring in the period may give rise to foreign exchange gains and losses, which could adversely affect our operating results. We are, however, required to maintain assets in non-U.S. Dollars to meet certain local country branch requirements, which restricts our ability to manage these exposures through the matching of our assets and liabilities.

The table below summarizes our gross and net exposure as of March 31, 2008 to foreign currencies:

| GBP                           | Euro | AUD | CDN | Other | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| (In millions of U.S. dollars) |      |     |     |       |       |  |  |  |  |  |

| Total Assets<br>Total Liabilities | \$<br>1,087.6<br>900.0 | \$<br>146.7<br>143.7 | \$<br>221.7<br>89.8 | \$<br>18.4<br>7.3 | \$<br>25.0<br>24.4 | \$<br>1,499.4<br>1,165.2 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Net Foreign Currency Exposure     | \$<br>187.6            | \$<br>3.0            | \$<br>131.9         | \$<br>11.1        | \$<br>0.6          | \$<br>334.2              |

Excluding any tax effects, as of March 31, 2008, a 10% change in the U.S. Dollar relative to the other currencies held by us would have resulted in a \$33.4 million change in the net assets held by us.

# BUSINESS

### **Company Overview**

We were formed in August 2001 under the laws of Bermuda to acquire and manage insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off, and to provide management, consulting and other services to the insurance and reinsurance industry. Since our formation, we, through our subsidiaries, have completed several acquisitions of insurance and reinsurance companies and are now administering those businesses in run-off. Insurance and reinsurance companies we acquire that are in run-off no longer underwrite new policies. In addition, we provide management and consultancy services, claims inspection services and reinsurance collection services to our affiliates and third-party clients for both fixed and success-based fees.

Our primary corporate objective is to grow our tangible net book value. We believe growth in our tangible net book value is driven primarily by growth in our net earnings, which is in turn partially driven by successfully completing new acquisitions.

We evaluate each opportunity presented by carefully reviewing the portfolio s risk exposures, claim practices, reserve requirements and outstanding claims, and seek an appropriate discount and/or seller indemnification to reflect the uncertainty contained in the portfolio s reserves. Based on this initial analysis, we can determine if a company or portfolio of business would add value to our current portfolio of run-off business. If we determine to pursue the purchase of a company in run-off, we then proceed to price the acquisition in a manner we believe will result in positive operating results based on certain assumptions including, without limitation, our ability to favorably resolve claims, negotiate with direct insureds and reinsurers, and otherwise manage the nature of the risks posed by the business.

Initially, at the time we acquire a company in run-off, we estimate the fair value of liabilities acquired based on external actuarial advice, as well as our own views of the exposures assumed. While we earn a larger share of our total return on an acquisition from commuting the liabilities that we have assumed, we also try to maximize reinsurance recoveries on the assumed portfolio.

In the primary (or direct) insurance business, the insurer assumes risk of loss from persons or organizations that are directly subject to the given risks. Such risks may relate to property, casualty, life, accident, health, financial or other perils that may arise from an insurable event. In the reinsurance business, the reinsurer agrees to indemnify an insurance or reinsurance company, referred to as the ceding company, against all or a portion of the insurance risks arising under the policies the ceding company has written or reinsured. When an insurer or reinsurer stops writing new insurance business, either entirely or with respect to a particular line of business, the insurer, reinsurer, or the line of discontinued business is in run-off.

In recent years, the insurance industry has experienced significant consolidation. As a result of this consolidation and other factors, the remaining participants in the industry often have portfolios of business that are either inconsistent with their core competency or provide excessive exposure to a particular risk or segment of the market (i.e., property/casualty, asbestos, environmental, director and officer liability, etc.). These non-core and/or discontinued portfolios are often associated with potentially large exposures and lengthy time periods before resolution of the last remaining insured claims resulting in significant uncertainty to the insurer or reinsurer covering those risks. These factors can distract management, drive up the cost of capital and surplus for the insurer or reinsurer, and negatively impact the insurer s or reinsurer s credit rating, which makes the disposal of the unwanted company or portfolio an attractive option. Alternatively, the insurer may wish to maintain the business on its balance sheet, yet not divert

significant management attention to the run-off of the portfolio. The insurer or reinsurer, in either case, is likely to engage a third party, such as us, that specializes in run-off management to purchase the company or portfolio, or to manage the company or portfolio in run-off.

In the sale of a run-off company, a purchaser, such as us, typically pays a discount to the book value of the company based on the risks assumed and the relative value to the seller of no longer having to manage the company in run-off. Such a transaction can be beneficial to the seller because it receives an up-front payment for the company, eliminates the need for its management to devote any attention to the disposed company and removes the risk that

the established reserves related to the run-off business may prove to be inadequate. The seller is also able to redeploy its management and financial resources to its core businesses.

Alternatively, if the insurer or reinsurer hires a third party, such as us, to manage its run-off business, the insurer or reinsurer will, unlike in a sale of the business, receive little or no cash up front. Instead, the management arrangement may provide that the insurer or reinsurer will retain the profits, if any, derived from the run-off with certain incentive payments allocated to the run-off manager. By hiring a run-off manager, the insurer or reinsurer can outsource the management of the run-off business to experienced and capable individuals, while allowing its own management team to focus on the insurer s or reinsurer s core businesses. Our desired approach to managing run-off business is to align our interests with the interests of the owners through both fixed management fees and certain incentive payments. Under certain management arrangements to which we are a party, however, we receive only a fixed management fee and do not receive any incentive payments.

Following the purchase of a run-off company or the engagement to manage a run-off company or portfolio of business, it is incumbent on the new owner or manager to conduct the run-off in a disciplined and professional manner in order to efficiently discharge the liabilities associated with the business while preserving and maximizing its assets. Our approach to managing our acquired companies in run-off, as well as run-off companies or portfolios of businesses on behalf of third-party clients, includes negotiating with third-party insureds and reinsureds to commute their insurance or reinsurance agreement for an agreed upon up-front payment by us, or the third-party client, and to more efficiently manage payment of insurance and reinsurance claims. We attempt to commute policies with direct insureds or reinsureds in order to eliminate uncertainty over the amount of future claims. Commutations and policy buy-backs provide an opportunity for the company to exit exposures to certain policies and insureds generally at a discount to the ultimate liability and provide the ability to eliminate exposure to further losses. Such a strategy also contributes to the reduction in the length of time and future cost of the run-off.

Following the acquisition of a company in run-off, or new consulting engagement, we will spend time analyzing the acquired exposures and reinsurance receivables on a policyholder-by-policyholder basis. This analysis enables us to identify those policyholders and reinsurers we wish to approach to discuss commutation or policy buy-back. Furthermore, following the acquisition of a company in run-off, or new consulting engagement, we will often be approached by policyholders or reinsurers requesting commutation or policy buy-back. In these instances we will also carry out a full analysis of the underlying exposures in order to determine the viability of a proposed commutation or policy buy-back. From the initial analysis of the underlying exposures it may take several months, or even years, before a commutation or policy buy-back is completed. In a number of cases, if we and the policyholder or reinsurer are unable to reach a commercially acceptable settlement, the commutation or policy buy-back may not be achievable, in which case we will continue to settle valid claims from the policyholder, or collect reinsurance receivables from the reinsurer, as they become due.

Insureds and reinsureds are often willing to commute with us, subject to receiving an acceptable settlement, as this provides certainty of recovery of what otherwise may be claims that are disputed in the future, and often provides a meaningful up-front cash receipt that, with the associated investment income, can provide funds to meet future claim payments or even commutation of their underlying exposure. Therefore, subject to negotiating an acceptable settlement, all of our insurance and reinsurance liabilities and reinsurance receivables are able to be either commuted or settled by way of policy buy-back over time. Many sellers of companies that we acquire have secure claims paying ratings and ongoing underwriting relationships with insureds and reinsureds, which often hinders their ability to commute the underlying insurance or reinsurance policies. Our lack of claims paying rating and our lack of potential conflicts with insureds and reinsureds of companies we acquire provides a greater ability to commute the newly acquired policies than that of the sellers.

We also attempt, where appropriate, to negotiate favorable commutations with reinsurers by securing the receipt of a lump-sum settlement from the reinsurer in complete satisfaction of the reinsurer s liability in respect of any future claims. We, or the third-party client, are then fully responsible for any claims in the future. We typically invest proceeds from reinsurance commutations with the expectation that such investments will produce income, which, together with the principal, will be sufficient to satisfy future obligations with respect to the acquired company or portfolio.

### **Competitive Strengths**

We believe that our competitive strengths have enabled us, and will continue to enable us, to capitalize on the opportunities that exist in the run-off market. These strengths include:

*Experienced Management Team with Proven Track Record.* Dominic F. Silvester, our Chief Executive Officer, Paul J. O Shea and Nicholas A. Packer, our Executive Vice Presidents and Joint Chief Operating Officers, Richard J. Harris, our Chief Financial Officer, and John J. Oros, our Executive Chairman, each have over 19 years of experience in the insurance, reinsurance or financial services industries. The extensive depth and knowledge of our management team provides us with the ability to identify, select and price companies and portfolios in run-off and to successfully manage those companies and portfolios.

*Disciplined Approach to Acquisitions and Claims Management.* We believe in generating profits through a disciplined, conservative approach to both acquisitions and claims management. We closely analyze new business opportunities to determine a company s inherent value and our ability to profitably manage that company or a portfolio of that company in run-off. We believe that our review and claims management process, combined with management of global exposures across our acquired businesses, allows us to price acquisitions on favorable terms and to profitably run off the companies and portfolios that we acquire and manage.

*Long-Standing Market Relationships*. Our management team has well-established personal relationships across the insurance and reinsurance industry. We use these market relationships to identify and source business opportunities. We have also relied on those market relationships to establish ourselves as a leader in the run-off market.

*Highly Qualified, Experienced and Ideally Located Employee Base.* We have been successful in recruiting a highly qualified team of experienced claims, reinsurance, financial, actuarial and legal staff in major insurance and reinsurance centers, including Bermuda, the United Kingdom, the United States and Australia. We believe the quality and breadth of experience of our staff enable us to extract value from our acquired businesses and to offer a wide range of professional services to the industry.

*Financial Strength and Disciplined Investment Approach.* As of March 31, 2008, we had approximately \$464.8 million of shareholders equity. We have maintained a strong balance sheet by following conservative investment practices while seeking appropriate returns. As of March 31, 2008, approximately 91% of our invested assets were invested in fixed maturity securities, 98.7% of which were investment grade and 50.9% of which were government securities. This financial strength allows us to aggressively price acquisitions that fit within our core competency. We believe that our financial strength has allowed us to be recognized as a leader in the acquisition and management of run-off companies and portfolios. Our conservative approach to managing our balance sheet reflects our commitment to maintaining our financial strength.

## Strategy

We intend to maximize our growth in tangible net book value by using the following strategies:

Solidify Our Leadership Position in the Run-Off Market by Leveraging Management s Experience and Relationships. We intend to continue to utilize the extensive experience and significant relationships of our senior management team to solidify our position as a leader in the run-off segment of the insurance and reinsurance market. The experience and reputation of our management team is expected to generate opportunities for us to acquire or manage companies and portfolios in run-off, and to price effectively the

acquisition or management of such businesses. Most importantly, we believe the experience of our management team will continue to allow us to manage the run-off of such businesses efficiently and profitably.

*Professionally Manage Claims.* We are professional and disciplined in managing claims against companies and portfolios we own or manage. Our management understands the need to dispose of certain risks expeditiously and cost-effectively by constantly analyzing changes in the market and efficiently settling

claims with the assistance of our experienced claims adjusters and in-house and external legal counsel. When we acquire or begin managing a company or portfolio, we initially determine which claims are valid through the use of experienced in-house adjusters and claims experts. We pay valid claims on a timely basis, while relying on well-documented policy terms and exclusions where applicable and litigation when necessary to defend against paying invalid claims under existing policies and reinsurance agreements.

*Commute Assumed Liabilities and Ceded Reinsurance Assets.* Using detailed analysis and actuarial projections, we negotiate with the policyholders of the insurance and reinsurance companies or portfolios we own or manage with a goal of commuting insurance and reinsurance liabilities for one or more agreed upon payments at a discount to the ultimate liability. Such commutations can take the form of policy buy-backs and structured settlements over fixed periods of time. By acquiring companies that are direct insurers, reinsurers or both, we are able to negotiate favorable entity-wide commutations with reinsurers that would not be possible if our subsidiaries had remained independent entities. We also negotiate with reinsurers to commute their reinsurance agreements providing coverage to our subsidiaries on terms that we believe to be favorable based on then-current market knowledge. We invest the proceeds from reinsurance commutations with the expectation that such investments will produce income, which, together with the principal, will be sufficient to satisfy future obligations with respect to the acquired company or portfolio.

*Continue to Commit to Highly Disciplined Acquisition, Management and Reinsurance Practices.* We utilize a disciplined approach to minimize risk and increase the probability of positive operating results from companies and portfolios we acquire or manage. We carefully review acquisition candidates and management engagements for consistency with accomplishing our long-term objective of producing positive operating results. We focus our investigation on risk exposures, claims practices and reserve requirements. In particular, we carefully review all outstanding claims and case reserves, and follow a highly disciplined approach to managing allocated loss adjustment expenses, such as the cost of defense counsel, expert witnesses and related fees and expenses.

*Manage Capital Prudently.* We pursue prudent capital management relative to our risk exposure and liquidity requirements to maximize profitability and long-term growth in shareholder value. Our capital management strategy is to deploy capital efficiently to acquisitions and to establish, and re-establish when necessary, adequate loss reserves to protect against future adverse developments.

## **Recent Acquisitions**

On June 16, 2006, our indirect subsidiary, Virginia Holdings Ltd., entered into a definitive agreement with Dukes Place Holdings, L.P., a portfolio company of GSC European Mezzanine Fund II, L.P., for the purchase of 44.4% of the outstanding capital stock of Stonewall Acquisition Corporation. Stonewall Acquisition Corporation is the parent of two Rhode Island-domiciled insurers, Stonewall and Seaton, both of which are in run-off. The purchase price is \$20.4 million. On May 27, 2008, the Rhode Island Department of Business Regulation issued an order approving the proposed acquisition. The acquisition was completed on June 13, 2008 and was funded from available cash on hand.

On March 5, 2008, we completed the acquisition of AMP Limited s, or AMP s, Australian-based closed reinsurance and insurance operations, or Gordian. The purchase price, including acquisition expenses, of AU\$436.9 million (approximately \$405.4 million) was financed by approximately AU\$301 million (approximately \$276.5 million), including an arrangement fee of AU\$4.5 million (approximately \$4.2 million), from bank financing provided jointly by a London-based bank and a German bank in which the Flowers Fund is a significant shareholder; approximately AU\$41.6 million (approximately \$39.5 million) from the Flowers Fund, by way of non-voting equity participation; and approximately AU\$98.7 million (approximately \$93.6 million) from available cash on hand. The interest rate on the bank loan is LIBOR plus 2.2% and is repayable within six years.

The Flowers Fund is a private investment fund advised by J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. J. Christopher Flowers, a member of our board of directors and one of our largest shareholders, is the founder and Managing Member of J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. John J. Oros, our Executive Chairman and a member of our board of directors, is a Managing Director of J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. Mr. Oros splits his time between J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC and us.

On February 29, 2008, we completed the acquisition of Guildhall Insurance Company Limited, or Guildhall, a U.K.-based insurance and reinsurance company that has been in run-off since 1986. The purchase price, including acquisition expenses, of approximately £33.4 million (approximately \$65.9 million) was financed by the drawdown of approximately £16.5 million (approximately \$32.5 million) from a U.S. dollar facility loan agreement with a London-based bank; approximately £5.0 million (approximately \$10.0 million) from the Flowers Fund, by way of non-voting equity participation; and approximately £11.9 million (approximately \$23.5 million) from available cash on hand. The interest rate on the bank loan is LIBOR plus 2% and is repayable within five years.

In December 2007, we, in conjunction with JCF FPK I L.P., or JCF FPK, and a newly-hired executive management team formed Shelbourne Group Limited, or Shelbourne, to invest in Reinsurance to Close or RITC transactions (the transferring of liabilities from one Lloyd s Syndicate to another) with Lloyd s of London insurance and reinsurance syndicates in run-off. JCF FPK is a joint investment program between Fox-Pitt Kelton Cochran Caronia Waller (USA) LLC, or FPK, and the Flowers Fund. Shelbourne is a holding company of a Lloyd s Managing Agency, Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited. We own 50.1% of Shelbourne, which in turn owns 100% of Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited, the Managing Agency for Lloyd s Syndicate 2008, a syndicate approved by Lloyd s of London on December 16, 2007 to undertake RITC transactions with Lloyd s syndicates in run-off. In February 2008, Lloyd s Syndicate 2008 entered into RITC agreements with four Lloyd s syndicates with total gross insurance reserves of approximately \$471.2 million.

On February 29, 2008, we funded our capital commitment of approximately £36.0 million (approximately \$72.0 million) by way of a letter of credit issued by a London-based bank to Lloyd s Syndicate 2008. The letter of credit was secured by a parental guarantee from us in the amount of £12.0 million (approximately \$24.0 million); approximately £11.0 million (approximately \$22.0 million) from the Flowers Fund (acting in its own capacity and not through JCF FPK), by way of a non-voting equity participation; and approximately £13.0 million (approximately \$26.0 million) from available cash on hand. JCF FPK s capital commitment to Lloyd s Syndicate 2008 is approximately £14.0 million (approximately \$28.0 million). In addition, an affiliate of the Flowers Fund controls approximately 41% of FPK.

On August 28, 2007, we completed the acquisition of Marlon Insurance Company Limited, a reinsurance company in run-off, and Marlon Management Services Limited for total consideration of approximately \$31.2 million, which was funded by \$15.3 million borrowed under a facility loan agreement with a London-based bank and available cash on hand. Marlon Insurance Company Limited and Marlon Management Services Limited, together referred to herein as Marlon, are both U.K.-based companies. In February 2008, the facility loan was repaid in full.

On June 12, 2007, we completed the acquisition of Tate & Lyle Reinsurance Ltd., or Tate & Lyle, for total consideration of approximately \$5.9 million. Tate & Lyle is a Bermuda-based reinsurance company.

On February 23, 2007, we, through Oceania Holdings Ltd, our wholly-owned subsidiary, completed the acquisition of Inter-Ocean Holdings Ltd., or Inter-Ocean. The total purchase price was approximately \$57.5 million, which was funded by \$26.8 million borrowed under a facility loan agreement with a London-based bank and available cash on hand. Inter-Ocean owns two reinsurers, one based in Bermuda and one based in Ireland. Both of these companies wrote international reinsurance and had in place retrocessional policies providing for the full reinsurance of all of the risks they assumed. In October 2007, Oceania repaid its bank debt in full.

On January 31, 2007, we completed the Merger of CWMS Subsidiary Corp., or CWMS, with and into The Enstar Group, Inc., or EGI, and, as a result, EGI, renamed Enstar USA, Inc., is now our wholly-owned subsidiary. Prior to the Merger, EGI owned approximately 32% economic and 50% voting interests in us. As a result of the completion of the Merger, B.H. Acquisition is now our wholly-owned subsidiary.

In November 2006, we, through Virginia Holdings Ltd., or Virginia, purchased Unione Italiana (U.K.) Reinsurance Company Limited, or Unione, a U.K. company, for approximately \$17.2 million. Unione underwrote business from the 1940 s though to 1995. Prior to acquisition, Unione closed the majority of its portfolio by way of a solvent scheme of arrangement in the U.K. Unione s remaining business is a portfolio of international insurance and reinsurance which has been in run-off since 1971.

In October 2006, we, through our subsidiary Virginia, purchased Cavell Holdings Limited (U.K.), or Cavell, for approximately £31.8 million (approximately \$59.5 million). Cavell owns a U.K. reinsurance company and a Norwegian

reinsurer, both of which wrote portfolios of international reinsurance business and went into run-off in 1993 and 1992, respectively. The purchase price was funded by \$24.5 million borrowed under a facility loan agreement with a London-based bank and available cash on hand. In February 2008, Virginia repaid its bank debt in full.

In March 2006, we and Shinsei Bank Limited, or Shinsei, through Hillcot, completed the acquisition of Aioi Insurance Company of Europe Limited, or Aioi Europe, a London-based subsidiary of Aioi Insurance Company, Limited. Aioi Europe has underwritten general insurance and reinsurance business in Europe for its own account from 1982 until 2002 when it generally ceased underwriting and placed its general insurance and reinsurance business into run-off. The aggregate purchase price paid for Aioi Europe was £62 million (approximately \$108.9 million), with £50 million in cash paid upon the closing of the transaction and £12 million in the form of a promissory note, payable twelve months from the date of the closing. Upon completion of the transaction, Aioi Europe changed its name to Brampton Insurance Company Limited. We recorded an extraordinary gain of approximately \$4.3 million, net of minority interest, in 2006 relating to the excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired over the cost of this acquisition. In April 2006, Hillcot Holdings Limited borrowed approximately \$44 million from a London-based bank to partially assist with the financing of the Aioi Europe acquisition. Following a repurchase by Aioi Europe of its shares valued at £40 million in May 2006, Hillcot repaid the promissory note and reduced the bank borrowing to \$19.2 million, which is repayable in April 2010.

In May 2005, we, through one of our subsidiaries, purchased Fieldmill Insurance Company Limited (formerly known as Harleysville Insurance Company (UK) Limited) for approximately \$1.4 million.

### **Management of Run-Off Portfolios**

We are a party to several management engagements pursuant to which we have agreed to manage the run-off portfolios of third parties. Such arrangements are advantageous for third-party insurers because they allow a third-party insurer to focus their management efforts on their core competency while allowing them to maintain the portfolio of business on their balance sheet. In addition, our expertise in managing portfolios in run-off allows the third-party insurer the opportunity to potentially realize positive operating results if we achieve our objectives in management of the run-off portfolio. We specialize in the collection of reinsurance receivables through our subsidiary Kinsale Brokers Limited. Through our subsidiaries, Enstar (US) Inc. (formerly Castlewood (US) Inc.) and Cranmore Adjusters Limited, we also specialize in providing claims inspection services whereby we are engaged by third-party insurance and reinsurance providers to review certain of their existing insurance and reinsurance exposures, relationships, policies and/or claims history.

Our primary objective in structuring our management arrangements is to align the third-party insurer s interests with our interests. Consequently, management agreements typically are structured so that we receive fixed fees in connection with the management of the run-off portfolio and also typically receive certain incentive payments based on a portfolio s positive operating results.

#### **Management Agreements**

We have eight management agreements with third-party clients to manage certain run-off portfolios with gross loss reserves, as of December 31, 2007, of approximately \$1.7 billion. The fees generated by these engagements include both fixed and incentive-based remuneration based on our success in achieving certain objectives. These agreements do not include the recurring engagements managed by our claims inspection and reinsurance collection subsidiaries, Cranmore Adjusters Limited and Kinsale Brokers Limited, respectively.

# **Claims Management and Administration**

An integral factor to our success is our ability to analyze, administer, manage and settle claims and related expenses, such as loss adjustment expenses. Our claims teams are located in different offices within our organization and provide global claims support. We have implemented effective claims handling guidelines along with claims reporting and control procedures in all of our claims units. To ensure that claims are appropriately handled and reported in accordance with these guidelines, all claims matters are reviewed regularly, with all material claims matters being circulated to and authorized by management prior to any action being taken.

When we receive notice of a claim, regardless of size and regardless of whether it is a paid claim request or a reserve advice, it is reviewed and recorded within its claims system, reserving our rights where appropriate. Claims reserve movements and payments are reviewed daily, with any material movements being reported to management for review. This enables flash reporting of significant events and potential insurance or reinsurance losses to be communicated to senior management worldwide on a timely basis irrespective from which geographical location or business unit location the exposure arises.

We are also able to efficiently manage claims and obtain savings through our extensive relationships with defense counsel (both in-house and external), third-party claims administrators and other professional advisors and experts. We have developed relationships and protocols to reduce the number of outside counsel by consolidating claims of similar types and complexity with experienced law firms specializing in the particular type of claim. This approach has enabled us to more efficiently manage outside counsel and other third parties, thereby reducing expenses, and to establish closer relationships with ceding companies.

When appropriate, we negotiate with direct insureds to buy back policies either on favorable terms or to mitigate against existing and/or potential future indemnity exposures and legal costs in an uncertain and constantly evolving legal environment. We also pursue commutations on favorable terms with ceding companies of reinsurance business in order to realize savings or to mitigate against potential future indemnity exposures and legal costs. Such buy-backs and commutations typically eliminate all past, present and future liability to direct insureds and reinsureds in return for a lump sum payment.

With regard to reinsurance receivables, we manage cash flow by working with reinsurers, brokers and professional advisors to achieve fair and prompt payment of reinsured claims, taking appropriate legal action to secure receivables where necessary. We also attempt where appropriate to negotiate favorable commutations with our reinsurers by securing a lump sum settlement from reinsurers in complete satisfaction of the reinsurer s past, present and future liability in respect of such claims. Properly priced commutations reduce the expense of adjusting direct claims and pursuing collection of reinsurance receivables (both of which may often involve extensive legal expense), realize savings, remove the potential future volatility of claims and reduce required regulatory capital.

## **Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expense**

Applicable insurance laws and generally accepted accounting practices require us to maintain reserves to cover our estimated losses under insurance policies that we have assumed and for loss adjustment expense, or LAE, relating to the investigation, administration and settlement of policy claims. Our LAE reserves consist of both reserves for allocated loss adjustment expenses, or ALAE, and for unallocated loss adjustment expenses, or ULAE. ALAE are linked to the settlement of an individual claim or loss, whereas ULAE reserve is based on our estimates of future costs to administer the claims.

We and our subsidiaries establish losses and LAE reserves for individual claims by evaluating reported claims on the basis of:

our knowledge of the circumstances surrounding the claim;

the severity of the injury or damage;

the jurisdiction of the occurrence;

the potential for ultimate exposure;

the type of loss; and

our experience with the line of business and policy provisions relating to the particular type of claim.

Because a significant amount of time can lapse between the assumption of risk, the occurrence of a loss event, the reporting of the event to an insurance or reinsurance company and the ultimate payment of the claim on the loss event, the liability for unpaid losses and LAE is based largely upon estimates. Our management must use considerable judgment in the process of developing these estimates. The liability for unpaid losses and LAE for property and casualty business includes amounts determined from loss reports on individual cases and amounts for losses incurred but not reported, or IBNR. Such reserves, including IBNR reserves, are estimated by management

based upon loss reports received from ceding companies, supplemented by our own estimates of losses for which no ceding company loss reports have yet been received.

In establishing reserves, management also considers actuarial estimates of ultimate losses. Our actuaries employ generally accepted actuarial methodologies and procedures to estimate ultimate losses and loss expenses.

Our loss reserves are largely related to casualty exposures including latent exposures primarily relating to asbestos and environmental, or A&E, as discussed below. In establishing the reserves for unpaid claims, management considers facts currently known and the current state of the law and coverage litigation. Liabilities are recognized for known claims (including the cost of related litigation) when sufficient information has been developed to indicate the involvement of a specific insurance policy, and management can reasonably estimate its liability. In addition, reserves are established to cover loss development related to both known and unasserted claims.

The estimation of unpaid claim liabilities is subject to a high degree of uncertainty for a number of reasons. Unpaid claim liabilities for property and casualty exposures in general are impacted by changes in the legal environment, jury awards, medical cost trends and general inflation. Moreover, for latent exposures in particular, developed case law and adequate claims history do not exist. There is significant coverage litigation involved with these exposures which creates further uncertainty in the estimation of the liabilities. Therefore, for these types of exposures, it is especially unclear whether past claim experience will be representative of future claim experience. Ultimate values for such claims cannot be estimated using reserving techniques that extrapolate losses to an ultimate basis using loss development factors, and the uncertainties surrounding the estimation of unpaid claim liabilities are not likely to be resolved in the near future. There can be no assurance that the reserves established by us will be adequate or will not be adversely affected by the development of other latent exposures. The actuarial methods used to estimate ultimate loss and ALAE for our latent exposures are discussed below.

For the non-latent loss exposures, a range of traditional loss development extrapolation techniques is applied. Incremental paid and incurred loss development methodologies are the most commonly used methods. Traditional cumulative paid and incurred loss development methods are used where inception-to-date, cumulative paid and reported incurred loss development history is available. These methods assume that groups of losses from similar exposures will increase over time in a predictable manner. Historical paid and incurred loss development experience is examined for earlier underwriting years to make inferences about how later underwriting years losses will develop. Where company-specific loss information is not available or not reliable, industry loss development information published by reliable industry sources such as the Reinsurance Association of America is considered.

The reserving process is intended to reflect the impact of inflation and other factors affecting loss payments by taking into account changes in historical payment patterns and perceived trends. However, there is no precise method for the subsequent evaluation of the adequacy of the consideration given to inflation, or to any other specific factor, or to the way one factor may affect another.

The loss development tables below show changes in our gross and net loss reserves in subsequent years from the prior loss estimates based on experience as of the end of each succeeding year. The estimate is increased or decreased as more information becomes known about the frequency and severity of losses for individual years. A redundancy means the original estimate was higher than the current estimate; a deficiency means that the current estimate is higher than the original estimate. The first table shows, in the first section of the table, our gross reserve for unpaid losses (including IBNR losses) and LAE. The second table shows, in the first section of the table, our reserve for unpaid losses (including IBNR losses) and LAE net of reinsurance. The second section of each table shows our re-estimates of the reserve in later years. The third section of each table shows the cumulative amounts of losses paid as of the end of each succeeding year. The cumulative redundancy line in each table represents, as of the date indicated, the difference between the latest re-estimated liability and the reserves as originally estimated.

| Gross Loss and Loss<br>Adjustment Expense |               |                                |         |    | Yea     | ır F | Ended Decer | nbe | r 31,   |    |           |    |           |
|---|---------------|--------------------------------|---------|----|---------|------|-------------|-----|---------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| Reserves                                  | 2001          |                                | 2002    |    | 2003    |      | 2004        |     | 2005    |    | 2006      |    | 2007      |
|   |               | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |         |    |         |      |             |     |         |    |           |    |           |
| Reserves assumed                          | \$<br>419,717 | \$                             | 284,409 | \$ | 381,531 | \$   | 1,047,313   | \$  | 806,559 | \$ | 1,214,419 | \$ | 1,591,449 |
| 1 year later                              | 348,279       |                                | 302,986 |    | 365,913 |      | 900,274     |     | 909,984 |    | 1,227,427 |    |           |
| 2 years later                             | 360,558       |                                | 299,281 |    | 284,583 |      | 1,002,773   |     | 916,480 |    |           |    |           |
| 3 years later                             | 359,771       |                                | 278,020 |    | 272,537 |      | 1,012,483   |     |         |    |           |    |           |
| 4 years later                             | 332,904       |                                | 264,040 |    | 243,692 |      |             |     |         |    |           |    |           |
| 5 years later                             | 316,257       |                                | 242,278 |    |         |      |             |     |         |    |           |    |           |
| 6 years later                             | 294,945       |                                |         |    |         |      |             |     |         |    |           |    |           |

|                          | Year Ended December 31, |         |                                |         |    |         |    |         |    |            |    |          |      |  |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|----|---------|----|---------|----|------------|----|----------|------|--|
| <b>Gross Paid Losses</b> | 2001                    |         |                                | 2002    |    | 2003    |    | 2004    |    | 2005       |    | 2006     | 2007 |  |
|                          |                         |         | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |         |    |         |    | lars)   |    |            |    |          |      |  |
| 1 year later             | \$                      | 97,036  | \$                             | 43,721  | \$ | 19,260  | \$ | 110,193 | \$ | 117,666    | \$ | 90,185   |      |  |
| 2 years later            |                         | 123,844 |                                | 64,900  |    | 43,082  |    | 226,225 |    | 198,407    |    |          |      |  |
| 3 years later            |                         | 142,282 |                                | 84,895  |    | 61,715  |    | 305,913 |    |            |    |          |      |  |
| 4 years later            |                         | 160,193 |                                | 101,414 |    | 75,609  |    |         |    |            |    |          |      |  |
| 5 years later            |                         | 174,476 |                                | 110,155 |    |         |    |         |    |            |    |          |      |  |
| 6 years later            |                         | 181,800 |                                |         |    |         |    |         |    |            |    |          |      |  |
| Reserve                  |                         |         |                                |         |    |         |    |         |    |            |    |          |      |  |
| Redundancy/              |                         |         |                                |         |    |         |    |         |    |            |    |          |      |  |
| (Deficiency)             | \$                      | 124,772 | \$                             | 42,131  | \$ | 137,839 | \$ | 34,830  | \$ | (109,921)  | \$ | (13,008) |      |  |
| (Deficiency)             | Ψ                       | 127,772 | Ψ                              | 72,131  | Ψ  | 157,057 | Ψ  | 54,050  | Ψ  | (10), 121) | Ψ  | (13,000) |      |  |

| Net Loss and Loss<br>Adjustment Expense           |                                     |                                     |    | Year                          | ·Er | nded Decer                    | mbe | er 31,                        |    |                    |    |           |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|----|--------------------|----|-----------|
| Reserves  | 2001                                | 2002                                |    | 2003                          |     | 2004                          |     | 2005                          |    | 2006               |    | 2007      |
|   |                                     | (In thousands of U.S. dollars)      |    |                               |     |                               |     |                               |    |                    |    |           |
| Reserves assumed<br>1 year later<br>2 years later | \$<br>224,507<br>190,768<br>176,118 | \$<br>184,518<br>176,444<br>178,088 | \$ | 230,155<br>220,712<br>164,319 | \$  | 736,660<br>653,039<br>652,195 | \$  | 593,160<br>590,153<br>586,059 | \$ | 872,259<br>875,636 | \$ | 1,163,485 |

| 3 years later | 180,635 | 138,251 | 149,980 | 649,355 |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 4 years later | 135,219 | 129,923 | 136,611 |         |
| 5 years later | 124,221 | 119,521 |         |         |
| 6 years later | 114,375 |         |         |         |

|                     | Year Ended December 31, |              |            |           |           |            |      |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Net Paid Losses     | 2001                    | 2002         | 2003       | 2004      | 2005      | 2006       | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|                     |                         |              | (In thousa |           |           |            |      |  |  |  |  |
| 1 year later        | \$ 38,6                 | 34 \$ 10,557 | \$ 11,354  | \$ 78,488 | \$ 79,398 | \$ 43,896  |      |  |  |  |  |
| 2 years later       | 32,2                    | 91 24,978    | 6,312      | 161,178   | 125,272   |            |      |  |  |  |  |
| 3 years later       | 44,1                    | 53 17,304    | 9,161      | 206,351   |           |            |      |  |  |  |  |
| 4 years later       | 34,4                    | 83 24,287    | (1,803)    |           |           |            |      |  |  |  |  |
| 5 years later       | 39,2                    | 32 9,686     |            |           |           |            |      |  |  |  |  |
| 6 years later       | 23,3                    | 09           |            |           |           |            |      |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve Redundancy/ |                         |              |            |           |           |            |      |  |  |  |  |
| (Deficiency)        | \$ 110,1                | 32 \$ 64,997 | \$ 93,544  | \$ 87,304 | \$ 7,101  | \$ (3,377) |      |  |  |  |  |

The \$13.0 million gross deficiency arising in 2007 on gross reserves carried at December 31, 2006 is comprised of \$44.3 million deficiency on one of our subsidiaries offset by \$31.3 million redundancy in our remaining insurance and reinsurance entities. This subsidiary benefits from substantial reinsurance protection such that the \$44.3 million gross deficiency is reduced to a \$2.1 million net deficiency.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the liability for losses and LAE, net of reinsurance ceded:

|   | Three Mo<br>Mar    |               |    |            | Year Ended December 31, |              |       |          |    |          |    |          |
|---|--------------------|---------------|----|------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------|----------|----|----------|----|----------|
|   | 2008               | <br>2007      |    | 2007       |                         | 2006         |       | 2005     |    | 2004     |    | 2003     |
|   |                    |               |    | (In thousa | nds                     | s of U.S. do | ollar | s)       |    |          |    |          |
|   |                    |               |    |            |                         |              |       |          |    |          |    |          |
| Net reserves<br>for losses<br>and loss<br>adjustment<br>expenses, |                    |               |    |            |                         |              |       |          |    |          |    |          |
| beginning of<br>period<br>Incurred<br>related to                  | \$<br>1,163,485    | \$<br>872,259 | \$ | 872,259    | \$                      | 593,160      | \$    | 736,660  | \$ | 230,155  | \$ | 184,518  |
| prior years<br>Paids related                                      | 685                | 2,510         |    | (24,482)   |                         | (31,927)     |       | (96,007) |    | (13,706) |    | (24,044) |
| to prior years<br>Effect of<br>exchange                           | 3,375              | 523           |    | (20,422)   |                         | (75,293)     |       | (69,007) |    | (19,019) |    | (4,094)  |
| rate<br>movement<br>Retroactive<br>reinsurance<br>contracts       | 9,413              | 1,361         |    | 18,625     |                         | 24,856       |       | 3,652    |    | 4,124    |    | 10,575   |
| assumed   | 394,913<br>465,887 | 428,921       |    | 317,505    |                         | 361,463      |       | 17,862   |    | 535,106  |    | 63,200   |

| Acquired on<br>acquisition<br>of<br>subsidiaries  |              |              |            |            |            |            |
|---|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Net reserves<br>for losses<br>and loss<br>adjustment<br>expenses,<br>end of period \$ 2,037,758 | \$ 1,305,574 | \$ 1,163,485 | \$ 872,259 | \$ 593,160 | \$ 736,660 | \$ 230,155 |

In the table above, incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses related to prior years represents changes in estimates of prior period net loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities comprising net incurred loss movements during a period and changes in estimates of net IBNR liabilities. Net incurred loss movements during a period comprise increases or reductions in specific case reserves advised during the period to us by our policyholders and attorneys, or by us to our reinsurers, less claims settlements made during the period by us to our policyholders, plus claim receipts made to us by our reinsurers. Prior period estimates of net IBNR liabilities may change as our management considers the combined impact of commutations, policy buy-backs, settlement of losses on carried reserves and the trend of incurred loss development compared to prior forecasts. The trend of incurred loss development in any period comprises the movement in net case reserves less net claims settled during the period. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses on page 33 for an explanation of how the loss

reserving methodologies are applied to the movement, or development, of net incurred losses during a period to estimate IBNR liabilities.

Commutations provide an opportunity for us to exit exposures to entire policies with insureds and reinsureds at a discount to the previously estimated ultimate liability. Our internal and external actuaries eliminate all prior historical loss development that relates to commuted exposures and apply their actuarial methodologies to the remaining aggregate exposures and revised historical loss development information to reassess estimates of ultimate liabilities.

Policy buy-backs provide an opportunity for us to settle individual policies and losses usually at a discount to carried advised loss reserves. As part of our routine claims settlement operations, claims will settle at either below or above the carried advised loss reserve. The impact of policy buy-backs and the routine settlement of claims updates historical loss development information to which actuarial methodologies are applied often resulting in revised estimates of ultimate liabilities. Our actuarial methodologies include industry benchmarking which, under certain methodologies (discussed further under Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies on page 33), compares the trend of our loss development to that of the industry. To the extent that the trend of our loss development compared to the industry changes in any period, it is likely to have an impact on the estimate of ultimate liabilities.

### Three Months Ended March 31, 2008

The net increase in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 were \$0.7 million and \$2.5 million, respectively. For 2008, the increase was attributable to an increase in bad debt provisions of \$1.3 million, the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$6.5 million, partially offset by the reduction in estimates of loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$7.1 million, to reflect 2008 run-off activity. For 2007, the increase was attributable to an increase in estimates of ultimate losses of \$2.2 million, the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$5.6 million, partially offset by the reduction in estimates of loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$5.3 million to reflect 2007 run-off activity. The increase in estimates of ultimate losses of \$2.2 million resulted from the commutation of one of our largest reinsurance receivables.

### Year Ended December 31, 2007

Net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$24.5 million, excluding the impacts of adverse foreign exchange rate movements of \$18.6 million and including both net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$9.0 million relating to companies acquired during the year and premium and commission adjustments triggered by incurred losses of \$0.3 million.

The net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for 2007 of \$24.5 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$30.7 million and a reduction in estimates of loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$22.0 million, relating to 2007 run-off activity, partially offset by an increase in aggregate provisions for bad debt of \$1.7 million, primarily relating to companies acquired in 2006, and the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$26.5 million.

The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$30.7 million comprised net adverse incurred loss development of \$1.0 million offset by reductions in estimates of IBNR reserves of \$31.7 million. An increase in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$2.1 million relating to one of our insurance entities was offset by reductions in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$32.8 million in our remaining insurance and reinsurance entities.

The net adverse incurred loss development of \$1.0 million and reductions in IBNR reserves of \$31.7 million, respectively, comprised the following:

(i) net adverse incurred loss development in one of our reinsurance entities of \$36.6 million, whereby advised case reserves of \$16.9 million were settled for net paid losses of \$53.5 million. This net adverse incurred loss development resulted from the settlement of case and LAE reserves above carried levels and from new loss advices, partially offset by approximately 12 commutations of assumed and ceded exposures below

carried reserve levels. Actuarial analysis of the remaining unsettled loss liabilities resulted in a decrease in the estimate of IBNR loss reserves of \$13.1 million after consideration of the \$36.6 million adverse incurred loss development during the year, and the application of the actuarial methodologies to loss data pertaining to the remaining non-commuted exposures. Of the 12 commutations completed for this entity, three were among our top ten cedant exposures. The remaining 9 were of a smaller size, consistent with our approach of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships as well as targeting significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships. The entity in question also benefits from substantial stop loss reinsurance protection whereby the ultimate adverse loss development of \$23.4 million was largely offset by a recoverable from a single AA- rated reinsurer such that a net ultimate loss of \$2.1 million was retained by us;

(ii) net favorable incurred loss development of \$29.0 million, comprising net paid loss recoveries, relating to another one of our reinsurance companies, offset by increases in net IBNR loss reserves of \$29.0 million, resulting in no ultimate gain or loss. This reinsurance company has retrocessional arrangements providing for full reinsurance of all risks assumed; and

(iii) net favorable incurred loss development of \$6.5 million in our remaining insurance and reinsurance entities together with reductions in IBNR reserves of \$26.3 million. The net favorable incurred loss development in our remaining insurance and reinsurance entities of \$6.6 million, whereby net advised case and LAE reserves of \$2.5 million were settled for net paid loss recoveries of \$4.0 million, arose from the settlement of non-commuted losses in the year below carried reserves and approximately 57 commutations of assumed and ceded exposures at less than case and LAE reserves. We adopt a disciplined approach to the review and settlement of non-commuted claims through claims adjusting and the inspection of underlying policyholder records such that settlements of assumed exposures may often be achieved below the level of the originally advised loss, and settlements of ceded receivables may often be achieved at levels above carried balances. The net reduction in the estimate of IBNR loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities relating to our remaining insurance and reinsurance companies amounted to \$26.3 million and results from the application of our reserving methodologies to (a) the reduced historical incurred loss development information relating to remaining exposures after the 57 commutations, and (b) reduced case and LAE reserves in the aggregate. Of the 57 commutations completed during 2007 for our remaining reinsurance and insurance companies, five were among our top ten cedant and/or reinsurance exposures. The remaining 52 were of a smaller size, consistent with our approach of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships, as well as targeting significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships.

### Year Ended December 31, 2006

Net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$31.9 million, excluding the impacts of adverse foreign exchange rate movements of \$24.9 million and including both net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$2.7 million relating to companies acquired during the year and premium and commission adjustments triggered by incurred losses of \$1.3 million. The net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for 2006 of \$31.9 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$21.4 million, a reduction in estimates of loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$15.1 million relating to 2006 run-off activity, a reduction in aggregate provisions for bad debt of \$6.3 million, resulting from the collection of certain reinsurance receivables against which bad debt provisions had been provided in earlier periods, partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$10.9 million. The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$21.4 million comprised net adverse incurred loss development of \$37.9 million offset by reductions in estimates of IBNR reserves of \$59.3 million. An increase in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$24.8 million relating to one of our insurance entities was offset by reductions in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$24.8 million in our remaining insurance and reinsurance entities.

The adverse incurred loss development of \$37.9 million, whereby advised case and LAE reserves of \$37.4 million were settled for net paid losses of \$75.3 million, comprised adverse incurred loss development of \$59.2 million relating to one of our insurance companies partially offset by favorable incurred loss development of \$21.3 million relating to our remaining insurance and reinsurance companies.

The adverse incurred loss development of \$59.2 million relating to one of our insurance companies was comprised of net paid loss settlements of \$81.3 million less reductions in case and LAE reserves of \$22.1 million and resulted from the settlement of case and LAE reserves above carried levels and from new loss advices, partially offset by approximately ten commutations of assumed and ceded exposures below carried reserves levels. Actuarial analysis of the remaining unsettled loss liabilities resulted in an increase in the estimate of IBNR loss reserves of \$35.0 million after consideration of the \$59.2 million adverse incurred loss development during the year, and the application of the actuarial methodologies to loss data pertaining to the remaining non-commuted exposures. Factors contributing to the increase include the establishment of a reserve to cover potential exposure to lead paint claims, a significant increase in asbestos reserves related to the entity s single largest cedant (following a detailed review of the underlying exposures), and a change in the assumed A&E loss reporting time-lag as discussed further below. Of the ten commutations completed for this entity, two were among our top ten cedant and/or reinsurance exposures. The remaining eight were of a smaller size, consistent with our approach of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships as well as targeting significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships. The entity in question also benefits from substantial stop loss reinsurance protection whereby the adverse loss development of \$59.2 million was largely offset by a recoverable from a single AA- rated reinsurer. The increase in estimated net ultimate losses of \$3.4 million was retained by us.

The favorable incurred loss development of \$21.3 million, relating to our remaining insurance and reinsurance companies, whereby net advised case reserves of \$15.3 million were settled for net paid loss recoveries of \$6.0 million, arose from approximately 35 commutations of assumed and ceded exposures at less than case and LAE reserves, where receipts from ceded commutations exceeded settlements of assumed exposures, and the settlement of non-commuted losses in the year below carried reserves. We adopt a disciplined approach to the review and settlement of non-commuted claims through claims adjusting and the inspection of underlying policyholder records such that settlements may often be achieved below the level of the originally advised loss.

The net reduction in the estimate of IBNR loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities relating to our remaining insurance and reinsurance companies (i.e., excluding the net \$55.8 million reduction in IBNR reserves relating to the entity referred to above) amounted to \$3.5 million. This net reduction is comprised of an increase of \$19.8 million resulting from (i) a change in assumptions as to the appropriate loss reporting time lag for asbestos related exposures from two to three years and for environmental exposures from two to two and one-half years, which resulted in an increase in net IBNR reserves of \$6.4 million, and (ii) a reduction in ceded IBNR recoverables of \$13.4 million resulting from the commutation of ceded reinsurance protections. The increase in IBNR of \$19.8 million is offset by a reduction of \$23.3 million resulting from the application of our reserving methodologies to (i) the reduced historical incurred loss development information relating to remaining exposures after the 35 commutations, and (ii) reduced case and LAE reserves in the aggregate. Of the 35 commutations completed during 2006 for the remaining of our reinsurance and insurance companies, ten were among our top ten cedant and/or reinsurance exposures. The remaining 25 were of a smaller size, consistent with our approach of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships as well as targeting significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships.

### Year Ended December 31, 2005

Net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$96.0 million, excluding the impacts of adverse foreign exchange rate movements of \$3.7 million and including both net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$7.4 million relating to companies acquired during the year and premium and commission adjustments triggered by incurred losses of \$1.3 million. The net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for 2005 of \$96.0 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$73.2 million, a reduction in estimates of loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$10.5 million, relating to 2005 run-off activity, and a reduction in aggregate provisions for bad debt of \$20.2 million, resulting from the collection of certain reinsurance receivables against which bad debt provisions had been provided in earlier periods,

partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$7.9 million. The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$73.2 million was comprised of favorable incurred loss development during the year of \$5.9 million and reductions in estimates of IBNR reserves of \$67.3 million. The favorable incurred loss development, whereby advised case and

LAE reserves of \$74.9 million were settled for net paid losses of \$69.0 million, arose from approximately 68 commutations of assumed and ceded exposures at less than case and LAE reserves and the settlement of non-commuted losses in the year below carried reserves. we adopt a disciplined approach, through claims adjusting and the inspection of underlying policyholder records, to the review and settlement of non-commuted claims such that settlements may often be achieved below the level of the originally advised loss.

The \$67.3 million reduction in the estimate of IBNR loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities resulted from the application of our reserving methodologies to (i) the reduced historical incurred loss development information relating to remaining exposures after the 68 commutations, and (ii) reduced case and LAE reserves in the aggregate. The application of our reserving methodologies to the reduced historical incurred loss development information relating to our remaining exposures after elimination of the historical loss development relating to the 68 commuted exposures had the following effects (with the methodologies that weighed most heavily in the analysis for this period listed first):

Under the Ultimate-to-Incurred Method, the application of the ratio of estimated industry ultimate losses to industry incurred-to-date losses to our reduced incurred-to-date losses resulted in reduced estimates of loss reserves.

Application of the Paid Survival Ratio Method to the reduced historical loss development information resulted in lower expected average annual payment amounts compared to the previous year, which, when multiplied by the expected industry benchmark for future number of payment years, led to reductions in our estimated loss reserves.

Under the Paid Market Share Method, our reduced historical calendar year payments resulted in a reduction of our indicated market share of industry paid losses and thus our market share of estimated industry loss reserves.

Under the Reserve-to-Paid Method, the application of the ratio of industry reserves to industry paid-to-date losses to our reduced paid-to-date losses resulted in reduced estimates of loss reserves.

Under the IBNR:Case Ratio Method, the application of ratios of industry IBNR reserves to industry case reserves to our case reserves resulted in reduced estimates of IBNR loss reserves as a result of the aggregate reduction, combining the impact of commutations and settlement of non-commuted losses, in our case and LAE reserves of \$74.9 million during the year. As such case and LAE reserves were settled for less than \$74.9 million, the IBNR reserves determined under the IBNR:Case Ratio Method associated with such case reserves were eliminated. See

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses on page 33 for a further explanation of how the loss reserving methodologies are applied to the movement, or development, of net incurred losses during a period to estimate IBNR liabilities. Of the 68 commutations completed during 2005, ten were among the top ten cedant and/or reinsurance exposures of our individual reinsurance subsidiaries involved. The remaining 58 were of smaller size, consistent with our approach of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships as well as targeting significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships.

### Year Ended December 31, 2004

Net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense for the year ended 2004 amounted to \$13.7 million, excluding the impacts of adverse foreign exchange rate movements of \$4.1 million and including premium and commission adjustments triggered by incurred losses of \$0.1 million. Total favorable net incurred loss development during 2004 of \$14.7 million, whereby advised case and LAE reserves of \$33.7 million were settled for net paid losses of \$19.0 million, included adverse incurred development of A&E exposures the combination of which resulted in a net

increase in IBNR loss reserves of \$15.7 million. The increase in IBNR of \$15.7 million offset by the favorable incurred development of \$14.7 million resulted in an increase in net ultimate losses of \$1.0 million. The favorable incurred loss development arose from approximately 36 commutations of assumed and ceded exposures at less than case and LAE reserves and the settlement of losses in the year below carried reserves. Of the 36 commutations completed during 2004, three were among the top ten cedant and/or reinsurance exposures of our individual reinsurance subsidiaries involved. The remaining 33 were of smaller size, consistent with our approach

of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships as well as targeting significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships. There was no change to the provisions for bad debts in 2004. In 2004, we reduced our estimate of loss adjustment expense liabilities by \$14.7 million relating to 2004 run-off activity.

#### Year Ended December 31, 2003

Net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2003 was \$24.0 million, excluding the impacts of adverse foreign exchange rate movements of \$10.6 million and including net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$5.4 million relating to companies acquired during the year. The net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for 2003 was primarily attributable to a reduction in estimates of ultimate net losses of \$13.6 million, partly comprised of favorable incurred loss development during the year of \$5.8 million, whereby advised case and LAE reserves of \$9.9 million were settled for net paid losses of \$4.1 million. The favorable incurred loss development arose from approximately 13 commutations of assumed and ceded exposures at less than case and LAE reserves and the settlement of losses in the year below carried reserves which contributed to reductions in actuarial estimates of IBNR losses of \$7.8 million. Of the 13 commutations completed during 2003, two were among the top ten cedant and/or reinsurance exposures of our individual reinsurance subsidiaries involved. The remaining 11 were of smaller size, consistent with our approach of targeting significant numbers of cedant and reinsurer relationships as well as targeting significant individual cedant and reinsurer relationships. During 2003, we reduced our estimate of loss adjustment expense liabilities by \$10.4 million relating to 2003 run-off activity.

#### Asbestos and Environmental (A&E) Exposure

#### General A&E Exposures

A number of our subsidiaries wrote general liability policies and reinsurance prior to our acquisition of them under which policyholders continue to present asbestos-related injury claims and claims alleging injury, damage or clean-up costs arising from environmental pollution. These policies, and the associated claims, are referred to as A&E exposures. The vast majority of these claims are presented under policies written many years ago.

There is a great deal of uncertainty surrounding A&E claims. This uncertainty impacts the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate amount of unpaid claims and related LAE. The majority of these claims differ from any other type of claim because there is inadequate loss development and there is significant uncertainty regarding what, if any, coverage exists, to which, if any, policy years claims are attributable and which, if any, insurers/reinsurers may be liable. These uncertainties are exacerbated by lack of clear judicial precedent and legislative interpretations of coverage that may be inconsistent with the intent of the parties to the insurance contracts and expand theories of liability. The insurance and reinsurance industry as a whole is engaged in extensive litigation over these coverage and liability issues and is, thus, confronted with continuing uncertainty in its efforts to quantify A&E exposures.

Our A&E exposure is administered out of our offices in the United Kingdom and Rhode Island and centrally administered from the United Kingdom. In light of the intensive claim settlement process for these claims, which involves comprehensive fact gathering and subject matter expertise, our management believes that it is prudent to have a centrally administered claim facility to handle A&E claims on behalf of all of our subsidiaries. Our A&E claims staff, working in conjunction with two U.S.-qualified attorneys experienced in A&E liabilities, proactively administers, on a cost-effective basis, the A&E claims submitted to our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries.

We use industry benchmarking methodologies to estimate appropriate IBNR reserves for our A&E exposures. These methods are based on comparisons of our loss experience on A&E exposures relative to industry loss experience on A&E exposures. Estimates of IBNR are derived separately for each relevant subsidiary of ours and, for some

subsidiaries, separately for distinct portfolios of exposure. The discussion that follows describes, in greater detail, the primary actuarial methodologies used by our independent actuaries to estimate IBNR for A&E exposures.

In addition to the specific considerations for each method described below, many general factors are considered in the application of the methods and the interpretation of results for each portfolio of exposures.

These factors include the mix of product types (e.g. primary insurance versus reinsurance of primary versus reinsurance), the average attachment point of coverages (e.g. first-dollar primary versus umbrella over primary versus high-excess), payment and reporting lags related to the international domicile of our subsidiaries, payment and reporting pattern acceleration due to large wholesale settlements (e.g. policy buy-backs and commutations) pursued by us, lists of individual risks remaining and general trends within the legal and tort environments.

1. *Paid Survival Ratio Method.* In this method, our expected annual average payment amount is multiplied by an expected future number of payment years to get an indicated reserve. Our historical calendar year payments are examined to determine an expected future annual average payment amount. This amount is multiplied by an expected number of future payment years to estimate a reserve. Trends in calendar year payment activity are considered when selecting an expected future annual average payment amount. Accepted industry benchmarks are used in determining an expected number of future payment years. Each year, annual payments data is updated, trends in payments are re-evaluated and changes to benchmark future payment years are reviewed. This method has advantages of ease of application and simplicity of assumptions. A potential disadvantage of the method is that results could be misleading for portfolios of high excess exposures where significant payment activity has not yet begun.

2. *Paid Market Share Method.* In this method, our estimated market share is applied to the industry estimated unpaid losses. The ratio of our historical calendar year payments to industry historical calendar year payments is examined to estimate our market share. This ratio is then applied to the estimate of industry unpaid losses. Each year, calendar year payment data is updated (for both us and industry), estimates of industry unpaid losses are reviewed and the selection of our estimated market share is revisited. This method has the advantage that trends in calendar-year market share can be incorporated into the selection of company share of remaining market payments. A potential disadvantage of this method is that it is particularly sensitive to assumptions regarding the time-lag between industry payments and our payments.

3. *Reserve-to-Paid Method*. In this method, the ratio of estimated industry reserves to industry paid-to-date losses is multiplied by our paid-to-date losses to estimate our reserves. Specific considerations in the application of this method include the completeness of our paid-to-date loss information, the potential acceleration or deceleration in our payments (relative to the industry) due to our claims handling practices, and the impact of large individual settlements. Each year, paid-to-date loss information is updated (for both us and the industry) and updates to industry estimated reserves are reviewed. This method has the advantage of relying purely on paid loss data and so is not influenced by subjectivity of case reserve loss estimates. A potential disadvantage is that the application to our portfolios which do not have complete inception-to-date paid loss history could produce misleading results. To address this potential disadvantage, a variation of the method is also considered, which multiplies the ratio of estimated industry reserves to industry losses paid during a recent period of time (e.g. 5 years) times our paid losses during that period.

4. *IBNR:Case Ratio Method.* In this method, the ratio of estimated industry IBNR reserves to industry case reserves is multiplied by our case reserves to estimate our IBNR reserves. Specific considerations in the application of this method include the presence of policies reserved at policy limits, changes in overall industry case reserve adequacy and recent loss reporting history for us. Each year, our case reserves are updated, industry reserves are updated and the applicability of the industry IBNR:case ratio is reviewed. This method has the advantage that it incorporates the most recent estimates of amounts needed to settle open cases included in current case reserves. A potential disadvantage is that results could be misleading where our case reserve adequacy differs significantly from overall industry case reserve adequacy.

5. *Ultimate-to-Incurred Method*. In this method, the ratio of estimated industry ultimate losses to industry incurred-to-date losses is applied to our incurred-to-date losses to estimate our IBNR reserves. Specific considerations in the application of this method include the completeness of our incurred-to-date loss information, the potential

acceleration or deceleration in our incurred losses (relative to the industry) due to our claims handling practices and the impact of large individual settlements. Each year incurred-to-date loss information is updated (for both us and the industry) and updates to industry estimated ultimate losses are reviewed. This method has the advantage that it incorporates both paid and case reserve information in projecting ultimate losses. A potential

disadvantage is that results could be misleading where cumulative paid loss data is incomplete or where our case reserve adequacy differs significantly from overall industry case reserve adequacy.

Within the annual loss reserve studies produced by our external actuaries, exposures for each subsidiary are separated into homogeneous reserving categories for the purpose of estimating IBNR. Each reserving category contains either direct insurance or assumed reinsurance reserves and groups relatively similar types of risks and exposures (e.g. asbestos, environmental, casualty and property) and lines of business written (e.g. marine, aviation and non-marine). Based on the exposure characteristics and the nature of available data for each individual reserving category, a number of methodologies are applied. Recorded reserves for each category are selected from the indications produced by the various methodologies after consideration of exposure characteristics, data limitations and strengths and weaknesses of each method applied. This approach to estimating IBNR has been consistently adopted in the annual loss reserve studies for each period presented.

As of December 31, 2007, we had 19 separate insurance and/or reinsurance subsidiaries whose reserves are categorized into approximately 146 reserve categories in total, including 22 distinct asbestos reserving categories and 20 distinct environmental reserving categories.

The five methodologies described above are applied for each of the 22 asbestos reserving categories and each of the 20 environmental reserving categories. As is common in actuarial practice, no one methodology is exclusively or consistently relied upon when selecting a recorded reserve. Consistent reliance on a single methodology to select a recorded reserve would be inappropriate in light of the dynamic nature of both the A&E liabilities in general, and our actual exposure portfolios in particular.

In selecting a recorded reserve, our management considers the range of results produced by the methods, and the strengths and weaknesses of the methods in relation to the data available and the specific characteristics of the portfolio under consideration. Trends in both our data and industry data are also considered in the reserve selection process. Recent trends or changes in the relevant tort and legal environments are also considered when assessing methodology results and selecting an appropriate recorded reserve amount for each portfolio.

The liability for unpaid losses and LAE, inclusive of A&E reserves, reflects our best estimate for future amounts needed to pay losses and related LAE as of each of the balance sheet dates reflected in the financial statements herein in accordance with GAAP. As of December 31, 2007, we had net loss reserves of \$355.2 million for asbestos-related claims and \$64.8 million for environmental pollution-related claims. The following table provides an analysis of our gross and net loss and ALAE reserves from A&E exposures at year-end 2007, 2006 and 2005 and the movement in gross and net reserves for those years:

|                              | 2007       |            | 20             | 06              | 2005       |            |  |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|--|
|                              | Gross      | Net        | Gross          | Net             | Gross      | Net        |  |
|                              |            | (          | In thousands o | of U.S. dollars | )          |            |  |
| Provisions for A&E claims    |            |            |                |                 |            |            |  |
| and ALAE at January 1,       | \$ 666,075 | \$ 389,086 | \$ 578,079     | \$ 385,021      | \$ 743,294 | \$ 481,214 |  |
| A&E losses and ALAE          |            |            |                |                 |            |            |  |
| incurred during the year     | 22,728     | 23,294     | 90,482         | 43,617          | (93,705)   | (32,668)   |  |
| A&E losses and ALAE paid     |            |            |                |                 |            |            |  |
| during the year              | (57,184)   | (25,457)   | (80,333)       | (60,635)        | (78,635)   | (69,014)   |  |
| Provision for A&E claims and | 45,991     | 33,054     | 77,847         | 21,083          | 7,125      | 5,489      |  |
| ALAE acquired during the     |            |            |                |                 |            |            |  |

year

| Provision for A&E claims and |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| ALAE at December 31,         | \$ 677,610 | \$ 419,977 | \$ 666,075 | \$ 389,086 | \$ 578,079 | \$ 385,021 |

During 2007, excluding the impact of loss reserves acquired during the year, our reserves for A&E liabilities decreased by \$34.5 million on a gross basis and by \$2.2 million on a net basis. The reduction arose from paid claims, successful commutations, policy buy-backs, generally favorable claim settlements and a reduction in IBNR resulting from actuarial analysis of remaining liabilities during the year.

During 2006, excluding the impact of loss reserves acquired during the year, our reserves for A&E liabilities increased by \$10.1 million on a gross basis and decreased by \$17.0 million on a net basis. The increase in gross reserves arose from adverse incurred development and actuarial analysis of remaining liabilities from one particular insurance subsidiary of ours amounting to \$104.7 million less claim settlements of \$73.2 million. As the entity in question benefits from substantial reinsurance protection, the gross incurred loss of \$104.7 million is reduced to \$10.1 million on a net basis.

Excluding the impact of loss reserves acquired during the year, our reserves for A&E liabilities decreased during 2005 by \$172.3 million on a gross basis (\$101.7 million on a net basis). The reduction arose from paid claims, successful commutations, policy buybacks, generally favorable claim settlements and actuarial analysis of remaining liabilities during the year.

Asbestos continues to be the most significant and difficult mass tort for the insurance industry in terms of claims volume and expense. We believe that the insurance industry has been adversely affected by judicial interpretations that have had the effect of maximizing insurance recoveries for asbestos claims, from both a coverage and liability perspective. Generally, only policies underwritten prior to 1986 have potential asbestos exposure, since most policies underwritten after this date contain an absolute asbestos exclusion.

In recent years, especially from 2001 through 2003, the industry has experienced increasing numbers of asbestos claims, including claims from individuals who do not appear to be impaired by asbestos exposure. Since 2003, however, new claim filings have been fairly stable. It is possible that the increases observed in the early part of the decade were triggered by various state tort reforms (discussed immediately below). At this point, we cannot predict whether claim filings will return to pre-2004 levels, remain stable, or begin to decrease.

Since 2001, several U.S. states have proposed, and in many cases enacted, tort reform statutes that impact asbestos litigation by, for example, making it more difficult for a diverse group of plaintiffs to jointly file a single case, reducing forum-shopping by requiring that a potential plaintiff must have been exposed to asbestos in the state in which he/she files a lawsuit, or permitting consolidation of discovery. These statutes typically apply to suits filed after a stated date. When a statute is proposed or enacted, asbestos defendants often experience a marked increase in new lawsuits, as plaintiffs attorneys seek to file suit before the effective date of the legislation. Some of this increased claim volume likely represents an acceleration of valid claims that would have been brought in the future, while some claims will likely prove to have little or no merit. As many of these claims are still pending, we cannot predict what portion of the increased number of claims represent valid claims. Also, the acceleration of claims increases the uncertainty surrounding projections of future claims in the affected jurisdictions.

During the same timeframe as tort reform, the U.S. federal and various U.S. state governments sought comprehensive asbestos reform to manage the growing court docket and costs surrounding asbestos litigation, in addition to the increasing number of corporate bankruptcies resulting from overwhelming asbestos liabilities. Whereas the federal government has failed to establish a national asbestos trust fund to address the asbestos problem, several states, including Texas and Florida, have implemented a medical criteria reform approach that only permits litigation to proceed when a plaintiff can establish and demonstrate actual physical impairment.

Much like tort reform, asbestos litigation reform has also spurred a significant increase in the number of lawsuits filed in advance of the law s enactment. We cannot predict whether the drop off in the number of filed claims is due to the accelerated number of filings or an actual trend decline in alleged asbestos injuries.

#### **Environmental Pollution Exposures**

Environmental pollution claims represent another significant exposure for us. However, environmental pollution claims have been developing as expected over the past few years as a result of stable claim trends. Claims against Fortune 500 companies are generally declining, and while insureds with single-site exposures are still active, in many cases claims are being settled for less than initially anticipated due to improved site remediation technology and effective policy buy-backs.

Despite the stability of recent trends, there remains significant uncertainty involved in estimating liabilities related to these exposures. Unlike asbestos claims which are generated primarily from allegedly injured private individuals, environmental claims generally result from governmentally initiated activities. First, the number of

waste sites subject to cleanup is unknown. Approximately 1,200 sites are included on the National Priorities List (NPL) of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, or USEPA. State authorities have separately identified many additional sites and, at times, aggressively implement site cleanups. Second, the liabilities of the insureds themselves are difficult to estimate. At any given site, the allocation of remediation cost among the potentially responsible parties varies greatly depending upon a variety of factors. Third, as with asbestos liability and coverage issues, judicial precedent regarding liability and coverage issues regarding pollution claims does not provide clear guidance. There is also uncertainty as to the U.S. federal Superfund law itself and, at this time, we cannot predict what, if any, reforms to this law might be enacted by the U.S. federal government, or the effect of any such changes on the insurance industry.

#### **Other Latent Exposures**

While we do not view health hazard exposures such as silica and tobacco as becoming a material concern, recent developments in lead litigation have caused us to watch these matters closely. Recently, municipal and state governments have had success, using a public nuisance theory, pursuing the former makers of lead pigment for the abatement of lead paint in certain home dwellings. As lead paint was used almost exclusively into the early 1970 s, large numbers of old housing stock contain lead paint that can prove hazardous to people and, particularly, children. Although governmental success has been limited thus far, we continue to monitor developments carefully due to the size of the potential awards sought by plaintiffs.

#### Investments

### Investment Strategy and Guidelines

We derive a significant portion of our income from our invested assets. As a result, our operating results depend in part on the performance of our investment portfolio. Because of the unpredictable nature of losses that may arise under our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries insurance or reinsurance policies and as a result of our opportunistic commutation strategy, our liquidity needs can be substantial and may arise at any time. We generally follow a conservative investment strategy designed to emphasize the preservation of our invested assets and provide sufficient liquidity for the prompt payment of claims and settlement of commutation payments.

As of March 31, 2008, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$1.8 billion. Our cash and cash equivalent portfolio is comprised mainly of high-grade fixed deposits, commercial paper with maturities of less than three months and liquid reserve funds.

Our investment portfolio consists primarily of investment grade-rated, liquid, fixed-maturity securities of short-to-medium term duration, and mutual funds 89.7% of our total investment portfolio as of March 31, 2008 consisted of investment grade securities. In addition, we have other investments, which are non-investment grade securities these investments accounted for 10.3% of our total investment portfolio as of March 31, 2008. Assuming the commitments to the other investments were fully funded as of March 31, 2008 out of cash balances on hand at that time, the percentage of investments held in other than investment grade securities would increase to 14.1%.

We strive to structure our investments in a manner that recognizes our liquidity needs for future liabilities. In that regard, we attempt to correlate the maturity and duration of our investment portfolio to our general liability profile. If our liquidity needs or general liability profile unexpectedly change, we may not continue to structure our investment portfolio in its current manner and would adjust as necessary to meet new business needs.

Our investment performance is subject to a variety of risks, including risks related to general economic conditions, market volatility, interest rate fluctuations, foreign exchange risk, liquidity risk and credit and default risk. Interest

rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary policies, domestic and international economic and political conditions and other factors beyond our control. A significant increase in interest rates could result in significant losses, realized or unrealized, in the value of our investment portfolio. A significant portion of our non-investment grade securities consists of alternative investments that subject us to restrictions on redemption, which may limit our ability to withdraw funds for some period of time after the initial investment. The values of, and returns on, such investments may also be more volatile.

#### Investment Committee and Investment Manager

The investment committee of our board of directors supervises our investment activity. The investment committee regularly monitors our overall investment results which it ultimately reports to the board of directors.

We have engaged Goldman Sachs & Co. to provide investment management services. We have agreed to pay investment management fees based on the month-end market values of a portion of the investments in the portfolio. The fees, which vary depending on the amount of assets under management, are included in net investment income.

### **Investment Portfolio**

#### Accounting Treatment

Our investments primarily consist of fixed income securities. Our fixed income investments are comprised of available-for-sale, held to maturity and trading investments as defined in FAS 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. Held to maturity investments are carried at their amortized cost and both the available-for-sale and trading investments are carried at their fair value on the balance sheet date. Unrealized holdings gains and losses on trading investments, which represent the difference between the amortized cost and the fair market value of securities, are recorded as investment income in net earnings.

#### Composition as of March 31, 2008

As of March 31, 2008, our aggregate invested assets totaled approximately \$3.0 billion. Aggregate invested assets include cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and cash equivalents, fixed-maturity securities, equities, short-term investments and other investments.

The following table shows the types of securities in our portfolio, including cash equivalents, and their fair market values and amortized costs as of March 31, 2008:

|  | Amortized<br>Cost             | March<br>Unrealized<br>Gains<br>(In thousands | Fair Market<br>Value     |                               |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents(1)   | \$ 1,798,386                  | \$  | \$                       | \$ 1,798,386                  |
| U.S. government & agencies<br>Non-U.S. government securities<br>Corporate securities | 550,292<br>203,741<br>341,032 | 13,853<br>1,322<br>1,904                      | (239)<br>(73)<br>(3,267) | 563,906<br>204,990<br>339,669 |
| Fixed income<br>Other investments<br>Equities  | 1,095,065<br>105,391<br>4,615 | 17,079  | (3,579)                  | 1,108,565<br>105,391<br>4,615 |
| Total investments  | 1,205,071                     | 17,079  | (3,579)                  | 1,218,571                     |
| Total cash & investments   | \$ 3,003,457                  | \$ 17,079                                     | \$ (3,579)               | \$ 3,016,957                  |

(1) Includes restricted cash and cash equivalents of \$317,691.

#### U.S. Government and Agencies

U.S. government and agency securities are comprised primarily of bonds issued by the U.S. Treasury, the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and the Federal National Mortgage Association.

#### Non-U.S. Government Securities

Non-U.S. government securities represent the fixed income obligations of non-U.S. governmental entities.

#### **Corporate Securities**

Corporate securities are comprised of bonds issued by corporations that are diversified across a wide range of issuers and industries. The largest single issuer of corporate securities in our portfolio was Goldman Sachs Group Inc., which represented 7.6% of the aggregate amount of corporate securities and had a credit rating of AA- by Standard & Poor s, as of March 31, 2008.

#### **Other Investments**

In December 2005, we invested in New NIB, a Province of Alberta limited partnership, in exchange for an approximately 1.6% limited partnership interest. New NIB was formed for the purpose of purchasing, together with certain affiliated entities, 100% of the outstanding share capital of NIBC. J. Christopher Flowers, a member of our board of directors and one of our largest shareholders, is a director of New NIB and is on the supervisory board of NIBC. Certain affiliates of J.C. Flowers I L.P., which is managed by J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC, of which Mr. Flowers and Mr. John J. Oros, our Executive Chairman, are managing directors, also participated in the acquisition of NIBC. Certain of our officers and directors made personal investments in New NIB.

We own a non-voting 7% membership interest in Affirmative Investment LLC, or Affirmative. J.C. Flowers I LP, a private investment fund formed by J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC, of which Mr. Flowers and Mr. Oros are managing directors, owns the remaining 93% interest in Affirmative. Affirmative owns approximately 51.2% of the outstanding stock of Affirmative Insurance Holdings, a publicly traded company.

We have a capital commitment of up to \$10 million in the GSC European Mezzanine Fund II, LP, or GSC. GSC invests in mezzanine securities of middle and large market companies throughout Western Europe. As of March 31, 2008, the capital contributed to GSC was \$5.3 million with the remaining commitment being \$4.7 million. The \$10 million represents 8.5% of the total commitments made to GSC.

We have also committed to invest up to \$100 million in the Flowers Fund. During 2008, we funded a total of \$17.7 million of our remaining commitment to the Flowers Fund, which increased our total contributions to the Flowers Fund to \$50.3 million as of March 31, 2008. We intend to use cash on hand to fund our remaining commitment.

We have total investments of \$34.4 million in two Australian equity funds. One fund invests in a diversified portfolio of high yielding debt assets which are spread across a range of industry sectors. The second fund invests in funds which invest in shares listed on the Australian Stock Exchange.

### Equities

During 2007 we purchased two equity portfolios that invest in both small and large market capitalization publicly traded U.S. companies. The equity portfolios are actively managed by a third-party manager.

### Ratings as of March 31, 2008

The investment ratings (provided by major rating agencies) for our fixed income investments held as of March 31, 2008 and the percentage of investments they represented on that date were as follows:

March 31, 2008

Percentage of

|                            | Amortized                      | Fair Market  | Total Fair<br>Market |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                            | Cost                           | Value        | Value                |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | (In thousands of U.S. dollars) |              |                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government & agencies | \$ 550,292                     | \$ 563,905   | 50.9%                |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAA or equivalent          | 322,771                        | 323,395      | 29.2%                |  |  |  |  |  |
| AA                         | 121,716                        | 122,031      | 11.0%                |  |  |  |  |  |
| A or equivalent            | 85,329                         | 84,073       | 7.6%                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| BBB and BB                 | 14,957                         | 15,161       | 1.3%                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total                      | \$ 1,095,065                   | \$ 1,108,565 | 100.0%               |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            |                                |              |                      |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Maturity Distribution as of March 31, 2008

The maturity distribution for our fixed income investments held as of March 31, 2008 was as follows:

|  | March 31, 2008 |                  |    |                   |    |                    |    |                      |  |
|--|----------------|------------------|----|-------------------|----|--------------------|----|----------------------|--|
|  | А              | mortized<br>Cost |    | realized<br>Gains |    | realized<br>Losses | Fa | Fair Market<br>Value |  |
|  |                |                  |    |                   |    |                    |    |                      |  |
| Due within one year                    | \$             | 293,559          | \$ | 225               | \$ | (165)              | \$ | 293,619              |  |
| Due after one year through five years  |                | 394,268          |    | 5,234             |    | (839)              |    | 398,663              |  |
| Due after five years through ten years |                | 224,496          |    | 5,207             |    | (426)              |    | 229,277              |  |
| Due after ten years                    |                | 182,742          |    | 6,413             |    | (2,149)            |    | 187,006              |  |
| Total                                  | \$             | 1,095,065        | \$ | 17,079            | \$ | (3,579)            | \$ | 1,108,565            |  |

# Investment Returns for the Three Months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 and the Years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Our investment returns for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 and the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

|   | Three<br>Months<br>Ended<br>March 31,<br>2008 |                | Three Months<br>Ended<br>March 31,<br>2007<br>(In thousand |               | Year Ended<br>December 31,<br>2007<br>ds of U.S. dollars) |               | Year Ended<br>December 31,<br>2006 |                |
|---|---|----------------|--|---------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Net investment income<br>Net realized (losses) gains            | \$  | 590<br>(1,084) | \$   | 19,938<br>571 | \$  | 64,087<br>249 | \$                                 | 48,099<br>(98) |
| Net investment (loss) income<br>and net realized gains (losses) | \$  | (494)          | \$   | 20,509        | \$  | 64,336        | \$                                 | 48,001         |
| Effective annualized yield(1)                                   |   | (1.95)%        |  | 5.05%         |   | 4.57%         |                                    | 4.43%          |

(1) Effective annualized yield is calculated by dividing net investment income by the average balance of aggregate cash and cash equivalents, equities and fixed income securities on an amortized cost basis. Trading securities where the investment return is for the benefit of insureds and reinsurers are excluded from the calculation.

#### Competition

We compete in international markets with domestic and international reinsurance companies to acquire and manage reinsurance companies in run-off. The acquisition and management of reinsurance companies in run-off is highly competitive. Some of these competitors have greater financial resources than we do, have been operating for longer than we have and have established long-term and continuing business relationships throughout the reinsurance industry, which can be a significant competitive advantage. As a result, we may not be able to compete successfully in the future for suitable acquisition candidates or run-off portfolio management engagements.

# Employees

As of March 31, 2008, we had approximately 253 employees, 5 of whom were executive officers. All non-Bermudian employees who operate out of our Bermuda office are subject to approval of any required work permits. None of our employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements, and our management believes that our relationship with our employees is excellent.

### Legal Proceedings

We are, from time to time, involved in various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business, including litigation regarding claims. We do not believe that the resolution of any currently pending legal proceedings, either individually or taken as a whole, will have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Nevertheless, we cannot assure you that lawsuits, arbitrations or other litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. We anticipate that, similar to the rest of the insurance and reinsurance industry, we will continue to be subject to litigation and arbitration proceedings in the ordinary course of business, including litigation generally related to the scope of coverage with respect to asbestos and environmental claims. There can be no assurance that any such future litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In April 2008, we, Enstar US, Inc., or Enstar US, Dukes Place Limited and certain affiliates of Dukes Place, or, collectively, Dukes Place, were named as defendants in a lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York by National Indemnity Company, or NICO, an indirect subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway. The complaint alleges, among other things, that Dukes Place, we and Enstar US: (i) interfered with the rights of NICO as reinsurer under reinsurance agreements entered into between NICO and each of Stonewall and Seaton, two Rhode Island domiciled insurers that are indirect subsidiaries of Dukes Place, and (ii) breached certain duties owed to NICO under management agreements between Enstar US and each of Stonewall and Seaton. The suit was filed shortly after Virginia Holdings Ltd., our indirect subsidiary, or Virginia, completed a hearing before the Rhode Island Department of Business Regulation as part of Virginia s application to buy a 44.4% interest in the insurers from Dukes Place. The suit does not seek a stated amount of damages. Our management and our US legal counsel believe the claims in the suit are without merit and will not have a material impact on us or our subsidiaries. Our management intends to vigorously defend both us and Enstar US against the claims.

# MANAGEMENT

The table below sets forth certain information concerning our directors and executive officers:

| Name                   | Age | Position   |
|------------------------|-----|--|
| Dominic F. Silvester   | 48  | Chief Executive Officer and Director                                 |
| Paul J. O Shea         | 50  | Executive Vice President, Joint Chief Operating Officer and Director |
| Nicholas A. Packer     | 45  | Executive Vice President and Joint Chief Operating Officer           |
| Richard J. Harris      | 46  | Chief Financial Officer  |
| John J. Oros           | 61  | Executive Chairman and Director                                      |
| J. Christopher Flowers | 50  | Director   |
| Gregory L. Curl        | 59  | Director   |
| Robert J. Campbell     | 59  | Director   |
| T. Whit Armstrong      | 61  | Director   |
| T. Wayne Davis         | 61  | Director   |
| Paul J. Collins        | 71  | Director   |

*Dominic F. Silvester* has served as a director and our Chief Executive Officer since our formation in 2001. In 1993, Mr. Silvester began a business venture in Bermuda to provide run-off services to the insurance and reinsurance industry. In 1995, the business was assumed by Enstar Limited, which is now our subsidiary, for which Mr. Silvester was the Chief Executive Officer. From 1988 until 1993, Mr. Silvester served as the Chief Financial Officer of Anchor Underwriting Managers Limited.

*Paul J. O Shea* has served as a director, our Executive Vice President and our Joint Chief Operating Officer since our formation in 2001. Mr. O Shea served as a director and Executive Vice President of Enstar Limited, which is now our subsidiary, from 1995 until 2001. In 1994, Mr. O Shea joined Messrs. Dominic F. Silvester and Nicholas A. Packer in their run-off business venture in Bermuda. From 1985 until 1994, he served as the Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and a director of Belvedere Group/Caliban Group.

*Nicholas A. Packer* has served as our Executive Vice President and our Joint Chief Operating Officer since our formation in 2001. He served as one of our directors from January 2007 to August 2007, when he resigned from that position. From 1996 to 2001, Mr. Packer was Chief Operating Officer of Enstar (EU) Limited, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Enstar Limited, which is now itself our subsidiary. Mr. Packer served as Enstar Limited s Chief Operating Officer from 1995 until 1996. From 1993 to 1995, Mr. Packer joined Mr. Silvester in forming a run-off business venture in Bermuda. Mr. Packer served as Vice President of Anchor Underwriting Managers Limited from 1991 until 1993. Prior to joining Anchor, he was a joint deputy underwriter at CH Bohling & Others, an affiliate of Lloyd s of London.

*Richard J. Harris* has served as our Chief Financial Officer since May 2003. From 2000 until April 2003, Mr. Harris served as Managing Director of RiverStone Holdings Limited & Subsidiary Companies, the European run-off operations of Fairfax Financial Holdings Limited. Previously, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Sphere Drake Group.

*John J. Oros* has served as a director since November 2001 and became our Executive Chairman on January 31, 2007. Mr. Oros served as a director of The Enstar Group, Inc. from 2000 through the Merger on January 31, 2007. Mr. Oros served as Executive Vice President of The Enstar Group, Inc. from March 2000 through June 2001, when Mr. Oros was named President and Chief Operating Officer. Following the Merger, Mr. Oros has continued to serve as President of The Enstar Group, Inc., which is now named Enstar USA, Inc. and is our wholly-owned subsidiary. Before joining The Enstar Group, Inc., Mr. Oros was an investment banker at Goldman, Sachs & Co. in the Financial Institutions Group. Mr. Oros joined Goldman, Sachs & Co. in 1980 and was made a General Partner in 1986. Mr. Oros resigned from Goldman, Sachs & Co. in March 2000 to join The Enstar Group, Inc. In February 2006, Mr. Oros became a Managing Director of J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC, which serves as investment advisor to

J.C. Flowers II L.P., a private equity fund affiliated with J. Christopher Flowers, another of our directors. Mr. Oros splits his time between J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC and us.

*J. Christopher Flowers* has been a director since November 2001. Mr. Flowers served as a director of The Enstar Group, Inc. from October 1996 through the Merger on January 31, 2007, including serving as Vice Chairman of the board of The Enstar Group, Inc. from December 1998 through July 2003. Mr. Flowers has been a Managing Director of J.C. Flowers & Co., LLC, a financial services investment advisory firm, since 2002. Mr. Flowers is a director of Shinsei Bank Limited (since 2000), NIBC Capital Bank N.V. (since 2005), Fox-Pitt Kelton Cochran Caronia Waller (USA) LLC (since 2006) and HSH-Nordbank AG (since 2006).

*Gregory L. Curl* became a director of on January 31, 2007 in connection with the completion of the Merger. Mr. Curl served as a director of The Enstar Group, Inc. from July 2003 through the Merger. Mr. Curl has been Director of Corporate Planning and Strategy for Bank of America since December 1998. Previously, Mr. Curl was Vice Chairman of Corporate Development and President of Specialized Lending for Bank of America from 1997 to 1998.

*Robert J. Campbell* was appointed to the position of director in August 2007. Mr. Campbell has been a Partner with the investment advisory firm of Beck, Mack & Oliver, LLC since 1990. Since 1999, Mr. Campbell has also served as a director of Camden National Corporation.

*T. Whit Armstrong* became a director on January 31, 2007 in connection with the completion of the Merger. Mr. Armstrong served as a director of The Enstar Group, Inc. from June 1990 through the Merger. Mr. Armstrong has been President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of The Citizens Bank, Enterprise, Alabama, and its holding company, Enterprise Capital Corporation, Inc. for more than five years. Mr. Armstrong is also a director of Alabama Power Company of Birmingham, Alabama.

*T. Wayne Davis* became a director on January 31, 2007 in connection with the completion of the Merger. Mr. Davis served as a director of The Enstar Group, Inc. from June 1990 through the Merger. Mr. Davis was Chairman of the Board of General Parcel Service, Inc., a parcel delivery service, from January 1989 to September 1997 and was Chairman of the Board of Momentum Logistics, Inc. from September 1997 to March 2003. He also is a director of MPS Group, Inc.

*Paul J. Collins* became a director on January 31, 2007 in connection with the completion of the Merger. Mr. Collins served as a director of The Enstar Group, Inc. from May 2004 through the Merger. Mr. Collins retired as a Vice Chairman and member of the Management Committee of Citigroup Inc. in September 2000. From 1985 to 2000, Mr. Collins served as a director of Citicorp and its principal subsidiary, Citibank; from 1988 to 1998, he also served as Vice Chairman of such entities. Mr. Collins currently serves as a director of BG Group, as a member of the supervisory board of Actis Capital LLP and as a trustee of the University of Wisconsin Foundation and the Glyndebourne Arts Trust. He is also a member of the Advisory Board of Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe, a private equity firm.

## CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

#### Transactions Involving J. Christopher Flowers and Affiliated Entities

We and certain of our subsidiaries have entered into transactions with companies and partnerships that are affiliated with Messrs. Flowers and/or Oros, including the Flowers Fund. In addition, Shinsei Bank Limited, an entity of which Mr. Flowers is a director and the largest shareholder, owns a minority interest in Hillcot Holdings, Ltd., one of our subsidiaries. Messrs. Flowers and Oros are members of our Board of Directors. Mr. Flowers is also one of our largest shareholders. These transactions are described below.

### Investments in the Flowers Fund and Entities Managed by J. Christopher Flowers

We have committed to invest up to \$100 million in the Flowers Fund. As of the date of this prospectus, our remaining outstanding commitment to the fund is \$49.7 million. Our outstanding commitment may be drawn down over approximately the next six years. No fees or other compensation will be payable by us to the Flowers Fund, JCF Associates II L.P., J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC, or Mr. Flowers in connection with this investment.

John J. Oros, who serves as our Executive Chairman and a member of our Board of Directors, is a managing director of J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. Mr. Oros splits his time between J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC and us.

We earned management fees in the amounts of \$0.8 million and \$0.2 million for advisory services provided to the Flowers Fund for the year ended December 31, 2007 and the three months ended March 31, 2008, respectively.

As of March 31, 2008, we had investments in the following entities affiliated with Mr. Flowers: New NIB, Affirmative Insurance Holdings, Inc., and the Flowers Fund. At March 31, 2008, these investments had a total value of \$65.0 million.

Commitments of Dominic F. Silvester, Paul J. O Shea, Nicholas A. Packer, Richard J. Harris, John J. Oros, Paul J. Collins, T. Wayne Davis, T. Whit Armstrong and Robert J. Campbell, current directors and/or executive officers of the Company, and Nimrod T. Frazer, a director of the Company until August 7, 2007, to invest in the Flowers Fund were accepted by the Flowers Fund in 2006. Messrs. Silvester, Oros, Collins, Harris, O Shea, Packer, Davis and Campbell are also investors in New NIB, which is affiliated with Mr. Flowers and certain entities affiliated with Mr. Flowers and Mr. Oros. Messrs. Silvester and O Shea also invested in The HSH Co-Invest (Cayman) Trust B, which is affiliated with Mr. Flowers and Mr. Oros.

In April 2007, we entered into a Third Party Equity Commitment Letter, or the Commitment Letter, with the Flowers Fund, which provided for us to contribute up to an aggregate of \$200 million to participate alongside the Flowers Fund and certain other investors in the proposed acquisition of SLM Corporation. On January 27, 2008, we received notice from J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC that the merger agreement related to the acquisition of SLM Corporation had been terminated. Accordingly, the Commitment Letter has been terminated in accordance with its terms and we have no further obligations thereunder.

### **Transactions**

In December 2007, we, in conjunction with JCF FPK, formed U.K.-based Shelbourne, to invest in RITC transactions (the transferring of liabilities from one Lloyd s Syndicate to another), with Lloyd s of London insurance and reinsurance syndicates in run-off. JCF FPK is a joint investment program between Fox-Pitt Kelton Cochran Caronia

Waller (USA) LLC, or FPK, and the Flowers Fund. In addition, an affiliate of the Flowers Fund controls approximately 40% of FPK. Shelbourne is a holding company of a Lloyd s Managing Agency, Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited. We own 50.1% of Shelbourne, which in turn owns 100% of Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited, the Managing Agency for Lloyd s Syndicate 2008, a syndicate approved by Lloyd s of London on December 16, 2007 to undertake RITC transactions with Lloyd s syndicates in run-off. In February 2008, Lloyd s Syndicate 2008 entered into RITC agreements with four Lloyd s syndicates with total gross insurance reserves of approximately \$471.2 million.

On February 29, 2008, we funded our capital commitment of approximately £36.0 million (approximately \$72.0 million) by way of a letter of credit issued by a London-based bank to Lloyd s Syndicate 2008. The letter of

credit was secured by a parental guarantee from us in the amount of £12.0 million (approximately \$24.0 million); approximately £11.0 million (approximately \$22.0 million) from the Flowers Fund (acting in its own capacity and not through JCF FPK), by way of a non-voting equity participation; and approximately £13.0 million (approximately \$26.0 million) from available cash on hand. JCF FPK s capital commitment to Lloyd s Syndicate 2008 is approximately £14.0 million (approximately \$28.0 million).

On February 29, 2008, we completed the acquisition of Guildhall. The aggregate purchase price paid for Guildhall was approximately £33.4 million (approximately \$65.9 million) financed by the drawdown of approximately £16.5 million (approximately \$32.5 million) from a facility loan agreement with a London-based bank; approximately £5.0 million (approximately \$10.0 million) from the Flowers Fund, by way of non-voting equity participation; and approximately £11.9 million (approximately \$23.5 million) from available cash on hand.

On March 5, 2008, we completed the acquisition of Gordian. The acquisition was effected through Enstar Australia Holdings Pty Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Cumberland Holdings Limited, of which we own 70% and the Flowers Fund owns 30% through a non-voting equity interest. The aggregate purchase price paid for Gordian was approximately AUS\$436.9 million (approximately \$405.4 million) with approximately AUS\$301.0 million (approximately \$276.5 million) from bank financing provided jointly by a London-based bank and a German bank in which the Flowers Fund is a significant shareholder; approximately AUS\$41.6 million (approximately \$39.5 million) from the Flowers Fund, by way of non-voting equity participation; and approximately AUS\$98.7 million (approximately \$93.6 million) from available cash on hand.

#### Other Agreements with Directors and Executive Officers Arising in Connection with the Merger

We entered into several transactions with certain directors and executive officers in connection with the Merger and related transactions. These transactions are described below.

On January 31, 2007, immediately prior to the Merger and pursuant to the terms of the Recapitalization Agreement, we paid three of our directors and executive officers, Nicholas A. Packer, Paul J. O Shea, and Dominic F. Silvester, \$989,956, \$989,956 and \$2,969,868, respectively. These payments were intended to provide a cash incentive for these individuals to remain with us following the Merger in lieu of any other cash payments to which they may have been entitled.

On January 31, 2007, we entered into a Registration Rights Agreement, or the Registration Rights Agreement, with certain of our shareholders identified as signatories thereto. The Registration Rights Agreement provides that, after the expiration of one year from the date of the agreement, any of Trident, Mr. Flowers and Mr. Silvester, each referred to as a requesting holder, may require that we effect the registration under the Securities Act of all or any part of such holder s registrable securities. Trident and its affiliates are entitled to make three requests and Messrs. Flowers and Silvester are each entitled to make two requests. The Registration Rights Agreement further provides that, after the expiration of 90 days from the date of the Registration Rights Agreement and prior to the first anniversary of such date, Trident had the right to require us to effect the registration of up to 750,000 shares of registrable securities, or the Initial Demand Right. Trident exercised the Initial Demand Right and we filed a registration statement on Form S-3 with respect 750,000 of its shares on May 17, 2007. As of May 15, 2008, Trident held 11.16% of our shares outstanding.

Pursuant to the Severance Benefits Agreement, dated May 21, 1998, between The Enstar Group, Inc. and Nimrod T. Frazer, Mr. Frazer was paid \$350,000 upon the termination of his employment with The Enstar Group, Inc. in connection with the completion of the Merger on January 31, 2007.

### Indemnification of Directors and Officers; Directors Indemnity Agreements

### Table of Contents

Also on January 31, 2007 and in connection with the Merger, we entered into Indemnification Agreements with each of Dominic F. Silvester, Paul J. O Shea, Nicholas A. Packer, J. Christopher Flowers, John J. Oros, Nimrod T. Frazer, Gregory L. Curl, Paul J. Collins, T. Wayne Davis and T. Whit Armstrong. Each individual was, at the time of his agreement, a member of our Board of Directors and Messrs. Silvester, O Shea, Packer and Oros were also executive officers of the Company. Messrs. Frazer and Packer resigned from our Board of Directors on August 7, 2007. On August 8, 2007, Robert J. Campbell joined the Board of Directors and entered into an Indemnification Agreement.

Each Indemnification Agreement provides, among other things, that we will, to the extent permitted by applicable law, indemnify and hold harmless each indemnitee if, by reason of such indemnitee s status as a director or officer of the Company, such indemnitee was, is or is threatened to be made a party or participant in any threatened, pending or completed proceeding, whether of a civil, criminal, administrative, regulatory or investigative nature, against all judgments, fines, penalties, excise taxes, interest and amounts paid in settlement and incurred by such indemnitee in connection with such proceeding. In addition, each of the Indemnification Agreements provides for the advancement of expenses incurred by the indemnitee in connection with any proceeding covered by the agreement, subject to certain exceptions. None of the Indemnification Agreements precludes any other rights to indemnification or advancement of expenses to which the indemnitee may be entitled, including but not limited to, any rights arising under our governing documents, or any other agreement, any vote of our shareholders or any applicable law.

### **Other Related Transactions**

On February 23, 2007, we repurchased 7,180 shares from T. Whit Armstrong for total consideration of \$0.7 million. This repurchase was made in accordance with the letter agreement dated May 23, 2006 between Mr. Armstrong, T. Wayne Davis and us pursuant to which we agreed to repurchase from Messrs. Armstrong and Davis, upon their request, during a 30-day period commencing January 15, 2007, at then prevailing market prices, such number of our ordinary shares as provided an amount sufficient for them to pay taxes on compensation income resulting from the exercise of options by them on May 23, 2006 for 50,000 shares of The Enstar Group, Inc. common stock in the aggregate. Mr. Davis did not elect to sell shares under the agreement. Messrs. Armstrong and Davis are directors of the Company.

On August 8, 2007, Robert J. Campbell was appointed to the Board of Directors to fill a vacancy created by the resignation of Nimrod T. Frazer. Mr. Campbell is a Partner with the firm of Beck, Mack & Oliver, LLC, or Beck Mack, in New York City. Beck Mack purchased, on behalf of its clients, 750,000 of our ordinary shares from Trident, pursuant to a stock purchase agreement dated as of May 23, 2007. We were a party to that agreement pursuant to our obligations to Trident under the Registration Rights Agreement, and for the purpose of making certain representations regarding the registration statement on Form S-3 and our listing on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. As of May 15, 2008, Beck Mack owned 7.6% of our outstanding shares.

## PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth information as of May 15, 2008 regarding beneficial ownership of our ordinary shares by each of the following, in each case based on information provided to us by these individuals:

each person or group known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our ordinary shares;

each of our directors;

our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and each of our executive officers; and

all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each of the beneficial owners identified is c/o Enstar Group Limited, P.O. Box 2267, Windsor Place, 3rd Floor, 18 Queen Street, Hamilton HM JX, Bermuda and each person has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to all such shares.

| Name of Beneficial Owner                        | Number of<br>Shares | Number of<br>Shares<br>Subject to<br>Option | Percent<br>of<br>Class(1) |
|---|---------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Deminia E. Silvester(2)                         | 2 241 600           | 0   | 10.00                     |
| Dominic F. Silvester(2)                         | 2,241,699           | 0   | 18.8%                     |
| Trident II, L.P. and related affiliates(3)      | 1,332,236           | 0   | 11.2%                     |
| J. Christopher Flowers(4)                       | 1,226,611           | 0   | 10.3%                     |
| Beck, Mack & Oliver LLC(5)                      | 902,049             | 0   | 7.6%                      |
| Paul J. O Shea(6)                               | 728,207             | 0   | 6.1%                      |
| Nicholas A. Packer(7)                           | 713,273             | 0   | 6.0%                      |
| John J. Oros(8)                                 | 204,150             | 294,224                                     | 4.1%                      |
| T. Wayne Davis(9)                               | 151,017             | 14,711                                      | 1.4%                      |
| Robert J. Campbell(10)                          | 162,049             | 0   | 1.4%                      |
| Richard J. Harris(11)                           | 54,665              | 0   | *                         |
| T. Whit Armstrong(12)                           | 35,075              | 14,711                                      | *                         |
| Paul J. Collins(13)                             | 16,823              | 4,903                                       | *                         |
| Gregory L. Curl(14)                             | 1,671               | 4,903                                       | *                         |
| All Executive Officers and Directors as a group |                     |   |                           |
| (11 Persons)(15)                                | 5,535,240           | 333,452                                     | 47.9%                     |

\* Less than 1%.

(1) Our bye-laws reduce the total voting power of any U.S. shareholder or direct foreign shareholder group owning 9.5% or more of our ordinary shares to less than 9.5% of the voting power of all of our shares.

(2) Includes 646,953 ordinary shares held directly by Mr. Silvester, 531,582 ordinary shares held by the Left Trust and 1,063,164 ordinary shares held by Right Trust. Mr. Silvester and his immediate family are the sole

beneficiaries of the Left Trust and the Right Trust. The trustee of the Left Trust is R&H Trust Co. (NZ) Limited, a New Zealand company, whose registered office is 162 Wickstead Street, Wanganui 5001, New Zealand. The trustee of the Right Trust is R&H Trust Co. (BVI) Ltd., or RHTCBV, a British Virgin Islands Company, whose registered office is Woodbourne Hall, P.O. Box 3162, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands.

(3) Based on information provided jointly by Trident II, L.P., or Trident II, Trident Capital II, L.P., or Trident GP, Marsh & McLennan Capital Professionals Fund, L.P., or Trident PF, Marsh & McLennan Employees Securities Company, L.P., or Trident ESC, and Stone Point Capital LLC, or Stone Point. Based on information provided by Trident, as of May 15, 2008, the number of ordinary shares beneficially owned includes (a) 1,258,297 ordinary shares held by Trident II; (b) 35,970 ordinary shares held by Trident PF; and (c) 37,969 ordinary shares held by Trident ESC. The sole general partner of Trident II is Trident GP, and the manager of Trident II is Stone Point. The general partners of Trident GP are four single member limited liability companies that are owned by individuals who are members of Stone Point. The sole general partner of Trident PF is a company controlled by individuals who are members of Stone Point. The sole general partner of

Trident ESC is a company that is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., or MMC. Stone Point has authority to execute documents on behalf of the general partner of Trident ESC pursuant to a limited power of attorney, but Stone Point is not affiliated with MMC. The principal address for Trident II, Trident GP, Trident PF and Trident ESC is c/o Maples & Calder, Ugland House, Box 309, South Church Street, George Town, Grand Cayman, Cayman Islands. The principal address for Stone Point is 20 Horseneck Lane, Greenwich, CT 06830. Trident PF and Trident ESC have agreed with Trident II that (i) Trident ESC will divest its holdings in the Company only in parallel with Trident II, (ii) Trident PF will not dispose of its holdings in the Company before Trident II disposes of its interest, and (iii) to the extent that Trident PF elects to divest its interest in the Company at the same time as Trident II, Trident PF will divest its holdings in parallel with Trident II may be deemed to beneficially own 73,939 ordinary shares directly held by Trident PF and Trident ESC collectively, and Trident PF and Trident II disclaims beneficially own 1,258,297 ordinary shares of the Company directly held by Trident II. Trident PF and Trident ESC each disclaims beneficial ownership of the ordinary shares that are, or may be deemed to be, beneficially owned by Trident PF or Trident ESC, and Trident PF and Trident ESC each disclaims beneficial ownership of the ordinary shares that are, or may be deemed to be, beneficially owned by Trident PF or Trident ESC, and Trident PF and Trident ESC each disclaims beneficial ownership of the ordinary shares that are, or may be deemed to be, beneficially owned by Trident PF or Trident ESC, and Trident PF and Trident ESC each disclaims beneficial ownership of the ordinary shares that are, or may be deemed to be, beneficially owned by Trident PF or Trident ESC, and Trident PF and Trident ESC each disclaims beneficial ownership of the ordinary shares that are, or may be deemed to be,

- (4) Includes: (a) 1,221,555 ordinary shares owned outright, (b) 541 shares issuable pursuant to the Enstar Group Limited Deferred Compensation and Ordinary Share Plan for Non-Employee Directors and (c) 4,515 restricted share units of the Company received in the Merger in exchange for 4,515 restricted stock units of The Enstar Group, Inc. As a result of the Company s bye-law provision described in footnote 1 above, Mr. Flowers only has voting power with respect to 1,125,590 of the ordinary shares he beneficially owns. The principal address for Mr. Flowers is 717 Fifth Ave., 26th floor, New York, NY 10022.
- (5) Based on information provided by Beck, Mack & Oliver LLC, or Beck Mack, a registered investment adviser under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act. The ordinary shares beneficially owned by Beck Mack are owned by investment advisory clients of Beck Mack. These clients have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, such securities. No one of these clients owns more than 5% of such class of securities. Beck Mack has shared dispositive power with respect to 902,049 shares and sole voting power with respect to 829,299 shares. The principal address for Beck Mack is 360 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10017. Robert J. Campbell, one of our directors, is a Partner at Beck Mack. Beck Mack disclaims beneficial ownership of the ordinary shares of the Company that are, or may be deemed to be, beneficially owned by Mr. Campbell.
- (6) Includes 19,432 ordinary shares held directly by Mr. O Shea and 708,775 ordinary shares held by the Elbow Trust. Mr. O Shea and his immediate family are the sole beneficiaries of the Elbow Trust. The trustee of the Elbow Trust is RHTCBV.
- (7) Includes 4,498 ordinary shares held directly by Mr. Packer and 708,775 ordinary shares held by Hove Investments Holding Limited, a British Virgin Islands company. The Hove Trust owns all of the equity interests of Hove Investments Holding Limited. Mr. Packer and his immediate family are the sole beneficiaries of the Hove Trust. The trustee of the Hove Trust is RHTCBV.
- (8) Includes 4,150 ordinary shares held directly by Mr. Oros and 200,000 ordinary shares indirectly owned by Mr. Oros through Brittany Ridge Investment Partners, L.P., of which 62,500 ordinary shares are pledged in a brokerage margin account.
- (9) Includes: (a) 32,300 ordinary shares held directly by Mr. Davis, (b) 3,100 ordinary shares held by Mr. Davis wife, (c) 17,200 ordinary shares held in trust, (d) 79,025 shares held in a private foundation for which Mr. Davis has voting and investment power, but is not a beneficiary, (e) 600 ordinary shares held indirectly by

Mr. Davis through T. Wayne Davis PA, (f) 1,000 ordinary shares held indirectly by Mr. Davis through Redwing Land Company, (g) 500 ordinary shares held indirectly by Mr. Davis through Redwing Properties Inc., (h) 1,000 ordinary shares held in a SEP, (i) 1,500 ordinary shares held in an IRA, (j) 646 shares issuable pursuant to the Enstar Group Limited Deferred Compensation and Ordinary Share Plan for Non-Employee Directors and (k) 14,146 restricted share units of the Company received in the Merger in exchange for 14,146 restricted stock units of The Enstar Group, Inc.

(10) Includes: (a) 51,645 shares owned outright, (b) 35,500 shares held by a self-directed pension plan,(c) 32,300 shares owned by Mr. Campbell s spouse and pledged in a brokerage margin account,



(d) 25,050 shares owned by Osprey Partners, (e) 12,600 owned by Mr. Campbell s children, (f) 3,000 shares owned by the Robert J. Campbell Family Trust, (g) 1,500 shares owned by the F.W. Spellissy Trust and (h) 454 shares issuable pursuant to the Enstar Group Limited Deferred Compensation and Ordinary Share Plan for Non-Employee Directors. Does not include 2,830 ordinary shares owned by a charitable foundation of which Mr. Campbell and his spouse constitute two of three trustees. Neither Mr. Campbell nor his spouse receives a performance fee or any other compensation from the foundation and neither he, nor any member of his immediate family is a beneficiary of the foundation. Mr. Campbell disclaims beneficial ownership of the ordinary shares of the Company that are, or may be deemed to be, beneficially owned by the foundation. Mr. Campbell disclaims beneficial ownership of the ordinary shares of the Company that are, or may be deemed to be, beneficially owned by the foundation.

- (11) Includes 8,730 ordinary shares that are issued, but remain subject to certain vesting restrictions.
- (12) Includes 686 shares issuable pursuant to the Enstar Group Limited Deferred Compensation and Ordinary Share Plan for Non-Employee Directors and 14,922 restricted share units of the Company received in the Merger in exchange for 14,922 restricted stock units of The Enstar Group, Inc. Of the shares beneficially owned by Mr. Armstrong, 19,000 shares are pledged as security to BankSouth.
- (13) Includes 518 shares issuable pursuant to the Enstar Group Limited Deferred Compensation and Ordinary Share Plan for Non-Employee Directors and 1,304 restricted share units of the Company received in the Merger in exchange for 1,304 restricted stock units of The Enstar Group, Inc.
- (14) Includes 288 shares issuable pursuant to the Enstar Group Limited Deferred Compensation and Ordinary Share Plan for Non-Employee Directors and 1,383 restricted share units of the Company received in the Merger in exchange for 1,383 restricted stock units of The Enstar Group, Inc.

(15) See footnotes 2, 4 and 6 through 14.

# MATERIAL TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following summary of our taxation and the taxation of our shareholders under certain tax laws does not purport to be a comprehensive discussion of all the tax considerations that may be relevant to a decision to purchase ordinary shares and is for general information only. The discussion is based solely upon current law. That law is subject to change through legislation, court decisions or administrative regulations or rulings. Any such changes may be retroactive and could affect the tax treatment of us and our shareholders. The tax treatment of a holder of ordinary shares, or of a person treated as a holder of ordinary shares for U.S. federal income, state, local or non-U.S. tax purposes, may vary depending on the holder s particular tax situation. Statements contained in this prospectus as to our beliefs, expectations and conditions as to the application of such tax laws or facts represent the view of management as to the application of such laws and do not represent the opinions of counsel.

You are urged to consult your own tax advisor concerning the U.S. federal, state, local and non-U.S. tax consequences of acquiring, owning and disposing of ordinary shares in light of your particular circumstances.

### **Taxation of Enstar and Subsidiaries**

#### Bermuda

Under current Bermuda law, there is no income, corporate or profits tax or withholding tax, capital gains tax or capital transfer tax payable by us or our Bermuda subsidiaries. We and our Bermuda subsidiaries have each obtained from the Minister of Finance under the Exempted Undertaking Tax Protection Act 1966 of Bermuda, as amended, an assurance that, in the event that Bermuda enacts legislation imposing tax computed on profits, income, any capital asset, gain or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance, then the imposition of any such tax shall not be applicable to us or our Bermuda subsidiaries or to any of their respective operations, shares, debentures or other obligations, until March 28, 2016. We and our Bermuda subsidiaries could be subject to taxes in Bermuda after that date. This assurance is subject to the proviso that it is not to be construed so as to prevent the application of any tax or duty to such persons as are ordinarily resident in Bermuda or to prevent the application to any property leased to us or our Bermuda subsidiaries. We and our Bermuda subsidiaries each pay annual Bermuda government fees, and our Bermuda subsidiaries pay annual insurance license fees. In addition, all entities employing individuals in Bermuda are required to pay a payroll tax and there are other sundry taxes payable, directly or indirectly, to the Bermuda government.

#### **United Kingdom**

Our U.K. subsidiaries are companies incorporated and managed in the United Kingdom and are, as such, resident in the United Kingdom for U.K. tax purposes and thus subject to U.K. corporation tax on their worldwide profits (including revenue profits and capital gains).

Harper Insurance Limited is a company incorporated in Switzerland that operates a U.K. branch. The U.K. branch of Harper Insurance Limited is subject to U.K. corporation tax on the profits generated by the U.K. branch only.

It is not expected that, in the context of the group s profitability as a whole, any such tax charges will be seen to be significant. The maximum rate of U.K. corporation tax applicable to taxable profits is currently 28%. No U.K. withholding tax applies to dividends paid by our U.K. subsidiaries.

Except for our U.K. subsidiaries, we should not be treated as being resident in the United Kingdom unless our central management and control is exercised in the United Kingdom. Our managers intend to continue to manage our affairs so that only our U.K. subsidiaries are resident in the United Kingdom for U.K. tax purposes.

A company not resident in the United Kingdom for U.K. corporation tax purposes can nevertheless be subject to U.K. corporation tax if it carries on a trade through a permanent establishment in the United Kingdom, but the charge to U.K. corporation tax is limited to profits (including revenue profits and chargeable (i.e., capital) gains) connected with such permanent establishment.

Our management intends that we will continue to operate in such a manner that none of our non-U.K. subsidiaries, except Harper Insurance Limited and Compagnie Européenne d Assurances Industrielles S.A., carries

on a trade through a permanent establishment in the United Kingdom. Nevertheless, because neither case law nor statute definitively defines the activities that constitute trading in the United Kingdom through a permanent establishment, HM Revenue & Customs might contend that we and our other subsidiaries, other than our U.K. subsidiaries, is/are trading through a permanent establishment in the United Kingdom.

There are circumstances in which companies that are neither resident in the United Kingdom for U.K. tax purposes nor entitled to the protection afforded by a double tax treaty between the United Kingdom and the jurisdiction in which they are resident may be exposed to income tax in the United Kingdom (other than by deduction or withholding) on the profits of a trade carried on there even if that trade is not carried on through a branch or agency, but our management intends that we will continue to operate in such a manner that we will not fall within the charge to income tax in the United Kingdom (other than by deduction or withholding) in this respect.

If we or any of our subsidiaries, other than our U.K. subsidiaries, were treated as being resident in the United Kingdom for U.K. corporation tax purposes, or if we or any of our subsidiaries, other than Harper Insurance Limited were treated as carrying on a trade through a permanent establishment in the United Kingdom, our results of operations and your investment could be materially adversely affected.

## United States

*U.S. Subsidiaries.* Our U.S. subsidiaries are Delaware, Georgia and Florida corporations and, as such, each will be subject to taxation in the United States at regular federal corporate income tax rates. State and local taxes may also apply, depending on the location of the offices of these subsidiaries. In addition, a U.S. federal withholding tax will generally apply to any dividends paid by a U.S. subsidiary to its non-U.S. parent.

*Taxation of Foreign Corporations*. A foreign corporation that is engaged in the conduct of a U.S. trade or business will be subject to U.S. tax as described below, unless entitled to the benefits of an applicable tax treaty. We and our non-U.S. subsidiaries intend generally to avoid conducting a U.S. trade or business, but whether such a trade or business is being conducted in the United States is an inherently factual determination. Because the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, or the Code, and regulations and court decisions interpreting it, do not definitively identify activities that constitute being engaged in a trade or business in the United States, there can be no assurance that the IRS will not contend (and a court will not hold) that we and/or our non-U.S. subsidiaries are or will be engaged in a trade or business in the United States.

A foreign corporation engaged in a U.S. trade or business will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates, as well as the branch profits tax, on its income that is effectively connected with the conduct of that trade or business unless the corporation is entitled to relief under the permanent establishment provision of an applicable tax treaty, as discussed below. These federal taxes, if imposed, would be based on effectively connected income computed in a manner generally analogous to that applied to the income of a U.S. corporation, except that a foreign corporation may be entitled to deductions and credits only if it timely files a U.S. federal income tax return. The highest marginal federal income tax rates currently are 35% for a corporation s effectively connected income and 30% for the additional branch profits tax.

*The Bermuda-U.S. Tax Treaty.* Certain Bermuda insurance companies are entitled to benefits under the income tax treaty between Bermuda and the United States, or the Bermuda Treaty. The Bermuda Treaty limits U.S. federal income tax on such an insurance company s effectively connected income to income that is attributable to a permanent establishment in the United States.

A permanent establishment generally consists of an office or other fixed place of business, but no regulations interpreting the Bermuda Treaty have been issued and the treatment of insurance agency relationships and reinsurance

arrangements for these purposes may be uncertain. Our Bermuda insurance company subsidiaries currently intend to conduct their activities so that they do not have a permanent establishment in the United States, but there can be no assurance that they will achieve this result.

Moreover, a Bermuda insurance company subsidiary generally is entitled to the benefits of the Bermuda Treaty only if (1) more than 50% of its shares are owned beneficially, directly or indirectly, by individual residents of the United States or Bermuda or U.S. citizens and (2) its income is not used in substantial part, directly or indirectly, to make disproportionate distributions to, or to meet certain liabilities of, persons who are neither residents of either the United States or Bermuda nor U.S. citizens. There can be no assurance that our Bermuda insurance company

subsidiaries are eligible for Bermuda Treaty benefits, or will be eligible in the future, because of factual and legal uncertainties regarding the residency and citizenship of our shareholders.

*Taxation of Insurance Company Investment Income.* A foreign insurance company carrying on an insurance business within the United States is treated as recognizing a certain minimum amount of effectively connected net investment income, determined in accordance with a formula that depends, in part, on the amount of U.S. risks insured or reinsured by the company. If one or more of our Bermuda insurance company subsidiaries are considered to be engaged in the conduct of an insurance business in the United States and are not entitled to the benefits of the Bermuda Treaty, a significant portion of such a subsidiary s investment income could be subject to U.S. income tax. In addition, although the Bermuda Treaty clearly applies to premium income, it is uncertain whether the Bermuda subsidiary is considered engaged in the conduct of an insurance business in the United States and is entitled to the benefits of the benefits of the Bermuda Treaty is considered engaged in the conduct of an insurance business in the United States and is entitled to the benefits of the subsidiary is considered engaged in the conduct of an insurance business in the United States and is entitled to the benefits of the Bermuda Treaty in general, but the Bermuda Treaty is interpreted to not apply to investment income, a significant portion of the subsidiary is investment income could be subject to U.S. income tax.

*The U.K.-U.S. Tax Treaty.* Under the income tax treaty between the United Kingdom and the United States, or the U.K. Treaty, our U.K. subsidiaries, if entitled to the benefits of the U.K. Treaty, will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on any income found to be effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business unless that trade or business is conducted through a permanent establishment in the United States. Each of those subsidiaries will generally be entitled to the benefits of the U.K. Treaty if (1) during at least half of the days of the relevant taxable year, at least 50% of our outstanding shares are beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, by citizens or residents of the United States and the United Kingdom, and less than 50% of each subsidiary s gross income for the relevant taxable year is paid or accrued, directly or indirectly, to persons who are not U.S. or U.K. residents in the form of payments that are deductible for purposes of U.K. taxation or (2) with respect to specific items of income, profit or gain derived from the United States, if that income, profit or gain is considered to be derived in connection with, or incidental to, the subsidiary s business conducted in the United Kingdom.

Although there can be no assurance that our U.K. subsidiaries will be eligible for treaty benefits under the U.K. Treaty because of factual and legal uncertainties regarding (1) the residency and citizenship of our shareholders and (2) the interpretation of what constitutes income incidental to or connected with a trade or business in the United Kingdom, those subsidiaries will endeavor to so qualify. Also, our U.K. subsidiaries intend to conduct their activities in a such a manner as to avoid having a permanent establishment in the United States, but there can be no assurance that they will achieve this result.

*U.S. Withholding Taxes.* Foreign corporations are also generally subject to U.S. income tax imposed by withholding on the gross amount of certain fixed or determinable annual or periodic gains, profits and income derived from sources within the United States (such as dividends and certain interest on investments). Generally under the U.K. Treaty, the withholding rate on dividends from less than 10% owned corporations is reduced to 15% and on interest is reduced to 0%. The Bermuda Treaty does not reduce the U.S. withholding rate on U.S.-source investment income, or on dividends paid to us by our U.S. subsidiaries.

*Excise Tax on Premiums Paid to Foreign Insurers and Reinsurers.* The United States also imposes an excise tax on insurance and reinsurance premiums paid to foreign insurers or reinsurers with respect to risks located in the United States. The rates of tax applicable to premiums paid to our non-U.S. insurance company subsidiaries are 4% for casualty insurance premiums and 1% for reinsurance premiums.

*Personal Holding Companies.* Our U.S. subsidiaries could be subject to U.S. tax on certain income if any of these companies is considered to be a personal holding company, or a PHC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes. A U.S. corporation generally will be classified as a PHC in a given taxable year if (1) at any time during the last half of

the year, five or fewer individuals (without regard to their citizenship or residency) own or are deemed to own (pursuant to certain constructive ownership rules) more than 50% of the stock of the corporation by value and (2) at least 60% of the corporation s gross income in the year consists of PHC income (the PHC rules do not apply to foreign corporations). PHC income includes, among other things, dividends, interest, royalties, annuities and, under certain circumstances, rents. Under these constructive ownership rules, among other things, an individual partner in a partnership will be treated as owning a proportionate amount of the stock owned by the partnership and as owning the stock owned by his or her partners. Additionally, certain entities (such as certain tax-exempt organizations and pension funds) will be treated as individuals.

If any of our U.S. subsidiaries were a PHC in a given taxable year, such subsidiary would be subject to a 15% PHC tax on its undistributed PHC income. For taxable years beginning after 2010, the PHC tax rate would be the highest marginal rate on ordinary income applicable to individuals.

Based upon information regarding our existing shareholder base, none of our subsidiaries currently constitute a PHC for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Additionally, we intend to manage our business to minimize the possibility that any of these companies will meet the 60% income threshold.

There can be no assurance, however, that our U.S. subsidiaries will not become PHCs in the future because of factors including legal and factual uncertainties regarding the application of the constructive ownership rules, the makeup of our then shareholder base, the gross income of our U.S. subsidiaries and other circumstances that could change the application of the PHC rules to our U.S. subsidiaries. In addition, if our U.S. subsidiaries were to become PHCs, there can be no assurance that the amount of PHC income will be immaterial.

## **Other Jurisdictions**

Certain of our subsidiaries are formed under the laws of, or have operations in, Australia, Belgium, Luxembourg and Switzerland, and are therefore subject to the tax laws of those jurisdictions.

### **Taxation of Shareholders**

#### **Bermuda** Taxation

Currently, there is no Bermuda stamp, income, capital gains, gift, estate, withholding or other tax payable on any principal or interest payable by us, on dividends paid to the holders of our ordinary shares, on sales, exchanges or other dispositions of our ordinary shares, or on transfers of ordinary shares by gift or upon death.

## United States Taxation

The following is a discussion of the material U.S. federal income tax considerations related to the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares. Unless otherwise stated, this summary deals only with shareholders that are U.S. Persons (as defined below) who hold their ordinary shares as capital assets within the meaning of section 1221 of the Code and as beneficial owners. The following discussion is only a discussion of the material U.S. federal income tax matters as described herein and does not purport to address all of the U.S. federal income tax consequences that may be relevant to a particular shareholder in light of the shareholder s specific circumstances. Therefore, you should consult your own tax advisor regarding your anticipated tax treatment from acquiring, owning and disposing of our shares.

In addition, the following summary does not address the U.S. federal income tax consequences that may be relevant to special classes of shareholders, such as financial institutions, insurance companies, regulated investment companies, real estate investment trusts, financial asset securitization investment trusts, dealers or traders in securities, tax exempt organizations, expatriates, persons who are considered with respect to us and our subsidiaries as United States shareholders for purposes of the controlled foreign corporation rules of the Code (generally, a U.S. Person, as defined below, who owns or is deemed constructively to own 10% or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of our or any of our non-U.S. subsidiaries (i.e., a 10% U.S. Shareholder, as defined below)), or persons who hold the ordinary shares as part of a hedging or conversion transaction or as part of a short-sale or straddle, who may be subject to special rules or treatment under the Code.

This discussion is based upon the Code, the regulations promulgated under it and any relevant administrative rulings or pronouncements or judicial decisions, all as in effect on the date hereof and as currently interpreted, and does not take into account possible changes in those tax laws or interpretations of them, which may apply retroactively. This discussion does not include any description of the tax laws of any state or local governments within the United States and does not address any aspect of U.S. federal taxation other than income taxation.

For purposes of this discussion, the term U.S. Person means: (1) a citizen or resident of the United States, (2) a partnership or corporation, or entity treated as a corporation, created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any political subdivision thereof, (3) an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of source, (4) a trust if either (a) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary

supervision over the administration of such trust and one or more U.S. Persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of such trust or (b) the trust has a valid election in effect to be treated as a U.S. Person for U.S. federal income tax purposes and (5) any other person or entity that is treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as if it were one of the foregoing. References to a foreign person refer to a person that is not a U.S. Person.

*Taxation of Dividends.* Subject to the discussions below relating to the potential application of the controlled foreign corporation, or CFC, related person insurance income, or RPII, and passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, rules, cash distributions, if any, made with respect to our ordinary shares will constitute dividends for U.S. federal income tax purposes to the extent paid out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits (as computed using U.S. tax principles). Any distributions taxable as dividends we may pay before 2011 will be eligible for the 15% rate applicable to qualifying dividend income when received by a shareholder who is an individual (or an estate or trust) because our ordinary shares will be treated as readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States. However, our dividends will not be eligible for the dividends-received deduction when received by a shareholder that is a corporation. To the extent any such distributions exceed our earnings and profits, they will be treated first as a return of the shareholder s basis in the ordinary shares to the extent thereof, and then as gain from the sale of a capital asset.

Classification of Us or Our Non-U.S. Subsidiaries as Controlled Foreign Corporations. Each 10% U.S. Shareholder (as defined below) of a foreign corporation that is a CFC for an uninterrupted period of 30 days or more during a taxable year who owns shares in the CFC, directly or indirectly through foreign entities, on the last day of the CFC s taxable year, must include in gross income for U.S. federal income tax purposes the shareholder s pro rata share of the CFC s subpart F income, even if the subpart F income is not distributed. Subpart F income of a foreign insurance corporation typically includes foreign base company sales and services income and foreign personal holding company income (such as interest, dividends and other types of passive income), as well as insurance income (including underwriting and investment income) attributable to the insurance or reinsurance of risks situated outside the CFC s country of incorporation. Except as described below in The RPII CFC Provisions a foreign corporation is considered a CFC if 10% U.S. Shareholders own (directly, indirectly through foreign entities or by attribution under the constructive ownership rules of section 958(b) of the Code (i.e., constructively )) more than 50% of the total combined voting power of all classes of voting stock of the foreign corporation, or more than 50% of the total value of all stock of the corporation. In general, for purposes of taking into account insurance income, these ownership thresholds are reduced to 25%. A 10% U.S. Shareholder is a U.S. Person who owns (directly, indirectly through foreign entities or constructively) at least 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote of the foreign corporation.

Because of the dispersion of our share ownership, provisions in our organizational documents that limit voting power and other factors, no U.S. Person who owns our ordinary shares, directly or indirectly through one or more foreign entities, will be treated as owning (directly, indirectly through foreign entities, or constructively) 10% or more of the total voting power of all classes of shares of our stock or the stock of any of our non-U.S. subsidiaries. It is possible, however, that the IRS could challenge the effectiveness of these provisions and that a court could sustain such a challenge.

*The RPII CFC Provisions.* The following discussion generally is applicable only if the RPII of a non-U.S. insurance company subsidiary, determined on a gross basis, is 20% or more of that company s gross insurance income for a taxable year and the 20% Ownership Exception (as defined below) is not met. The following discussion generally will not apply for any taxable year in which such a company s RPII falls below the 20% threshold or the 20% Ownership Exception is met. Although there can be no assurance, we do not believe that the discussion that follows regarding RPII is applicable, because we believe that each of our non-U.S. insurance company subsidiaries meets the 20% Ownership Exception and the gross RPII of each of them as a percentage of its gross insurance income was in prior years of operations and will be for the foreseeable future below the 20% threshold for each year.

RPII is any insurance income (as defined below) attributable to policies of insurance or reinsurance with respect to which the person (directly or indirectly) insured is a RPII shareholder (as defined below) or a related person (as defined below) to such RPII shareholder. In general, and subject to certain limitations, insurance

income is income (including premium and investment income) attributable to the issuing of any insurance or reinsurance contract that would be taxed under the portions of the Code relating to insurance companies if the income were the income of a domestic insurance company. For purposes of inclusion of the RPII of one of our non-U.S. subsidiaries in the income of RPII shareholders, unless an exception applies, the term RPII shareholder means any U.S. Person who owns (directly or indirectly through foreign entities) any of our ordinary shares. Generally, the term related person for this purpose means someone who controls or is controlled by the RPII shareholder or someone who is controlled by the same person or persons that control the RPII shareholder. Control is measured by either more than 50% in value or more than 50% in voting power of stock applying certain constructive ownership principles. A corporation s pension plan is ordinarily not a related person with respect to the corporation unless the pension plan owns, directly or indirectly through the application of certain constructive ownership rules, more than 50%, measured by vote or value, of the stock of the corporation. Each of our non-U.S. insurance company subsidiaries will be treated as a CFC under the RPII provisions if RPII shareholders are treated as owning (directly, indirectly through foreign entities or constructively) 25% or more of our shares by vote or value.

RPII Exceptions. The special RPII rules will not apply to a non-U.S. insurance company subsidiary of ours if (1) direct and indirect insureds and persons related to such insureds, whether or not U.S. Persons, are treated as owning (directly or indirectly through entities) less than 20% of the voting power and less than 20% of the value of our outstanding shares, or the 20% Ownership Exception, (2) RPII, determined on a gross basis, is less than 20% of gross insurance income of the subsidiary for the taxable year, or the 20% Gross Income Exception, (3) the subsidiary elects to be taxed on its RPII as if the RPII were effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business, waives all treaty benefits with respect to RPII and meets certain other requirements or (4) the subsidiary elects to be treated as a U.S. corporation, waives all treaty benefits and meets certain other requirements. Where none of these exceptions applies to one of our non-U.S. insurance company subsidiaries, each U.S. Person owning directly or indirectly through foreign entities, any of our shares on the last day of the subsidiary s taxable year will be required to include in gross income for U.S. federal income tax purposes that person s allocable share of the RPII of the subsidiary for the portion of the taxable year during which the subsidiary was a CFC under the RPII provisions, determined as if all such RPII were distributed proportionately only to those U.S. Persons at that date, but limited by each such U.S. Person s share of that subsidiary s current-year earnings and profits as reduced by the U.S. Person s share, if any, of certain prior-year deficits in earnings and profits. Our non-U.S. insurance company subsidiaries intend to operate in a manner that is intended to ensure that each qualifies for the 20% Gross Income Exception.

*Computation of RPII.* In order to determine how much RPII a company has earned in each taxable year, we may obtain and rely upon information from its insureds and reinsureds to determine whether any of the insureds, reinsureds or persons related thereto own (directly or indirectly through foreign entities) our shares and are U.S. Persons. We may not be able to determine whether any of the underlying direct or indirect insureds to which our non-U.S. subsidiaries provide insurance or reinsurance is a shareholder of us or a related person to such a shareholder. Consequently, we may not be able to determine accurately the gross amount of RPII earned by each non-U.S. insurance company subsidiary in a given taxable year.

If, as expected, the RPII of each of our non-U.S. insurance company subsidiaries is less than 20% of its gross insurance income, RPII shareholders will not be required to include RPII in their taxable income. The amount of RPII includible in the income of a RPII shareholder is based upon the net RPII income for the year after deducting related expenses such as losses, loss reserves and operating expenses.

Apportionment of RPII to U.S. Holders. Every RPII shareholder who owns ordinary shares on the last day of any taxable year of a subsidiary in which the 20% Ownership Exception does not apply and the subsidiary s gross insurance income constituting RPII for that year equals or exceeds 20% of the subsidiary s gross insurance income should expect that for such year the RPII shareholder will be required to include in gross income its share of such company s RPII for the portion of the taxable year during which such company was a CFC under the RPII provisions,

whether or not distributed, even though the RPII shareholder may not have owned the shares throughout such period. A RPII shareholder who owns ordinary shares during such a taxable year but not on the last day of the taxable year is not required to include in gross income any part of a subsidiary s RPII.

For any year in which gross RPII of such a subsidiary is 20% or more of its gross insurance income for the year and the 20% Ownership Exception does not apply, we may also seek information from our shareholders as to whether the beneficial owners of our ordinary shares at the end of the year are U.S. Persons so that the RPII may be determined and apportioned among those persons. To the extent we are unable to determine whether a beneficial owner of ordinary shares is a U.S. Person, we may assume that such an owner is not a U.S. Person, thereby increasing the per share RPII amount for all known RPII shareholders.

*Basis Adjustments.* A RPII shareholder s tax basis in our ordinary shares will be increased by the amount of any RPII that the shareholder includes in income. The RPII shareholder may exclude from income the amount of any distributions by us out of previously taxed RPII income. The RPII shareholder s tax basis in our ordinary shares will then be reduced by the amount of any such distributions that are excluded from income in this fashion.

*Uncertainty as to Application of RPII.* The RPII provisions of the Code have never been interpreted by the courts, and the U.S. Treasury Department has not yet issued final regulations under those provisions. The regulations interpreting the RPII provisions exist only in proposed form. It is not certain whether these regulations will ultimately be adopted as proposed, or what changes or clarifications may be made to them, or whether any such changes, as well as any other interpretation or application of RPII by the IRS, the courts or otherwise, might have retroactive effect. The RPII statutory provisions include the grant of authority to the Treasury Department to prescribe such regulations as may be necessary to carry out the purpose of this subsection including ... regulations preventing the avoidance of this subsection through cross insurance arrangements or otherwise. Accordingly, the meaning of the RPII provisions and the application of RPII or the amounts of the RPII inclusions for any particular RPII shareholder, if any, will not be subject to adjustment based upon subsequent IRS examination. You should consult your tax advisor as to the effects of these uncertainties.

*Information Reporting.* Under certain circumstances, U.S. Persons owning stock in a foreign corporation are required to file IRS Form 5471 with their U.S. federal income tax returns. Generally, information reporting on Form 5471 is required by (1) a person who is treated as a RPII shareholder, (2) a 10% U.S. Shareholder of a foreign corporation that is a CFC for an uninterrupted period of 30 days or more during any tax year of the foreign corporation, and who owned the stock on the last day of that year, and (3) under certain circumstances, a U.S. Person who acquires stock in a foreign corporation and as a result owns 10% or more of the voting power or value of the outstanding stock of the foreign corporation, whether or not the foreign corporation is a CFC, or who ceases to be such a 10% shareholder in a taxable year. For any taxable year in which we determine that gross RPII constitutes 20% or more of any of one of our non-U.S. insurance company subsidiaries gross insurance income and the 20% Ownership Exception does not apply, we intend to provide to all identifiable U.S. Persons registered as shareholders of its ordinary shares a completed Form 5471 or the relevant information necessary to complete the form. Failure to file a required Form 5471 may result in substantial penalties.

*Tax-Exempt Shareholders.* Tax-exempt entities will generally be required to treat their allocable shares of certain subpart F insurance income, including RPII, if any, as unrelated business taxable income, or UBTI. **Prospective investors that are tax-exempt entities are urged to consult their tax advisors as to the potential impact of the UBTI provisions of the Code.** A tax-exempt organization that is treated as a 10% U.S. Shareholder or a RPII Shareholder also must file IRS Form 5471 in the circumstances described above.

*Dispositions of Ordinary Shares.* Subject to the discussions below relating to the potential application of section 1248 of the Code and the PFIC rules, U.S. Persons who own ordinary shares generally will recognize capital gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes on the sale, exchange or other disposition of ordinary shares in the same manner as on the sale, exchange or other disposition of any other shares held as capital assets. If the holding period for these ordinary shares exceeds one year, any gain will be subject to tax at a current maximum marginal tax rate of 15% for

individuals and certain other non-corporate shareholders and 35% for corporations. There are limitations on the use of capital losses. Moreover, gain, if any, generally will be a U.S. source gain.

Section 1248 of the Code provides that if a U.S. Person sells or exchanges stock in a foreign corporation and the person owned, directly, indirectly through certain foreign entities or constructively, 10% or more of the voting power of the stock of the corporation at any time during the five-year period ending on the date of disposition when

the corporation was a CFC, any gain from the sale or exchange of the shares will be treated as a dividend to the extent of the CFC s earnings and profits (determined under U.S. federal income tax principles) during the period that the shareholder held the shares and while the corporation was a CFC (with certain adjustments). Because of the dispersion of the ownership of our shares, provisions in our organizational documents that limit voting power and other factors, no U.S. person should be treated as owning (directly, indirectly through foreign entities or constructively) 10% or more of the total voting power of our outstanding shares. To the extent this is the case, the application of section 1248 under the regular CFC rules will not apply to dispositions of our ordinary shares. It is possible, however, that the IRS could challenge the effectiveness of these provisions and that a court could sustain such a challenge. Section 1248 also applies, by its literal terms, to the sale or exchange of shares in a foreign corporation if the foreign corporation is a CFC for RPII purposes, regardless whether the shareholder is a 10% U.S. Shareholder or whether the 20% Gross Income Exception or the 20% Ownership Exception applies. Existing proposed regulations do not address whether section 1248 will apply if a foreign corporation is not a CFC but the foreign corporation has a subsidiary that is a CFC and that would be taxed as an insurance company if it were a domestic corporation. However, this application of section 1248 under the RPII rules should not apply to dispositions of our ordinary shares because it will not be directly engaged in the insurance business. There can be no assurance, however, that the IRS will not interpret the proposed regulations in a contrary manner or that the Treasury Department will not amend the proposed regulations to provide that these rules will apply to dispositions of ordinary shares. Prospective investors should consult their tax advisors regarding the effects of these rules on a disposition of ordinary shares.

*Passive Foreign Investment Companies.* In general, a foreign corporation will be a PFIC during a given year if (1) 75% or more of its gross income constitutes passive income, or the 75% test, or (2) 50% or more of its assets produce (or are held for the production of) passive income, or the 50% test.

If we were characterized as a PFIC during a given year, U.S. Persons holding our ordinary shares would be taxed at ordinary income, rather than capital gains, rates on any gain and would be subject to a penalty tax at the time of the sale at a gain of, or receipt of an excess distribution with respect to, their shares, unless they made a qualified electing fund, or QEF, election or a mark-to-market election. In general, a shareholder receives an excess distribution if the amount of the distribution is more than 125% of the average distribution with respect to the shares during the three preceding taxable years (or shorter period during which the taxpayer held the shares). The penalty tax is computed by reference to the interest charges on taxes that would have been due during the period the shareholder owned the shares, computed by assuming that the excess distribution or gain (in the case of a sale) with respect to the shares were earned as ordinary income spread in equal portions over each year in which the shareholder owned the shares and taxed at the highest tax rate applicable to ordinary income in that year. The interest charge is equal to the applicable interest rate imposed on underpayments of U.S. federal income tax. In addition, a distribution paid by us to U.S. shareholders that is characterized as a dividend and is not characterized as an excess distribution would not be a qualified dividend for purposes of the reduced rate of tax generally applicable to dividends received by individuals and certain other non-corporate taxpayers. Moreover, upon the death of any U.S. individual owning ordinary shares in a PFIC, the individual s estate or heirs may not be entitled to a step-up in tax basis of the shares that would otherwise be available under U.S. federal income tax laws.

The PFIC consequences described above (other than the denial of the reduced rate for dividends paid to non-corporate shareholders) would not apply if a QEF election were made on a timely basis. In such event, the shareholder would be required to include in gross income each year its share of our ordinary income and net capital gain, whether or not distributed. It is uncertain, however, that we would be able to provide our shareholders with the information necessary to make a QEF election.

These consequences also would not apply if a mark-to-market election is timely made. As a result of such an election, the shareholder generally would be required to recognize ordinary income (or, subject to limitations, ordinary loss) each year based on the increase (or decrease) in the market value of our ordinary shares held by such person during

the year. In addition, any gain (or loss) from a sale or other disposition of ordinary shares would be treated as ordinary income (or, subject to limitations, ordinary loss). So long as our ordinary shares are traded on Nasdaq, under current regulations a mark-to-market election generally would be available if our ordinary shares are traded, other than in de minimis quantities, on at least 15 days during each calendar quarter.

Although passive income for purposes of the 75% test and the 50% test generally includes interest, dividends, annuities and other investment income, the PFIC rules provide that income derived in the active conduct of an insurance business by a corporation which is predominantly engaged in an insurance business is not treated as passive income. The PFIC provisions also contain a look-through rule under which a foreign corporation shall be treated as if it received directly its proportionate share of the income, and as if it held its proportionate share of the assets, of any other corporation in which the foreign corporation owns at least 25% of the value of the stock.

The insurance income exception is intended to ensure that income derived by a bona fide insurance company is not treated as passive income, except to the extent attributable to financial reserves in excess of the reasonable needs of the insurance business. We expect that each of our non-U.S. insurance company subsidiaries will be predominantly engaged in an insurance business and is unlikely to have financial reserves in excess of the reasonable needs of its insurance business in each year of operations. Accordingly, none of the income or assets of those subsidiaries would be treated as passive. We also expect that the passive income and assets of our other direct and indirect subsidiaries will be relatively small in relation to the active income and assets of each such subsidiary. Accordingly, in each year of operations, we believe that neither we nor any of our subsidiaries would be a PFIC. There can be no assurance, however, that the IRS will not challenge this position or that a court will not sustain such challenge.

*Foreign Tax Credit.* If U.S. Persons own a majority of our shares, only a portion of the current income inclusions, if any, under the CFC, RPII and PFIC rules and of dividends paid by us (including any gain from the sale of shares that is treated as a dividend under section 1248 of the Code) will be treated as foreign source income for purposes of computing a shareholder s U.S. foreign tax credit limitations. We will consider providing shareholders with information regarding the portion of such amounts constituting foreign source income to the extent such information is reasonably available. It is also likely that substantially all of the subpart F income, RPII and dividends that are foreign source income will constitute either passive or general income. Thus, it may not be possible for most shareholders to utilize excess foreign tax credits to reduce U.S. tax on such income.

*Backup Withholding on Distributions and Disposition Proceeds.* Information returns may be filed with the IRS in connection with distributions on the ordinary shares and the proceeds from a sale or other disposition of the ordinary shares unless the holder of the ordinary shares establishes an exemption from the information reporting rules. A holder of ordinary shares that does not establish such an exemption may be subject to U.S. backup withholding tax on these payments if the holder is not a corporation or other exempt recipient and fails to provide a taxpayer identification number or otherwise comply with the backup withholding rules. The amount of any backup withholding from a payment to a U.S. Person will be allowed as a credit against the U.S. Person s U.S. federal income tax liability and may entitle the U.S. Person to a refund, provided that the required information is furnished to the IRS.

*Proposed U.S. Tax Legislation.* Legislation has been introduced in the U.S. Congress intended to eliminate certain perceived tax advantages of companies (including insurance companies) that have legal domiciles outside the United States but have certain U.S. connections. It is possible that legislation could be introduced and enacted by the current Congress or future Congresses that could have an adverse impact on us or our shareholders. For example, legislation has been introduced in Congress that would, if enacted, deny qualified dividend income treatment to amounts paid by any corporation organized under the laws of a foreign country which does not have a comprehensive income tax system, such as Bermuda. It is possible that this legislative proposal, if enacted, could apply retroactively. Therefore, depending on whether, when and in what form this legislative proposal is enacted, we cannot assure you that any dividends paid by us in the future would qualify for reduced rates of tax.

Additionally, the U.S. federal income tax laws and interpretations regarding whether a company is engaged in a trade or business within the United States or is a PFIC, or whether U.S. Persons would be required to include in their gross income the subpart F income or the RPII of a CFC, are subject to change, possibly on a retroactive basis. There are currently no regulations regarding the application of the PFIC rules to insurance companies and the regulations

regarding RPII are still in proposed form. New regulations or pronouncements interpreting or clarifying such rules may be forthcoming. We cannot be certain if, when or in what form such regulations or pronouncements may be provided and whether such guidance will have a retroactive effect.

## UNDERWRITING

Fox-Pitt Kelton Cochran Caronia Waller (USA) LLC, or FPK, is acting as the bookrunning manager of the offering. Subject to the terms and conditions stated in the purchase agreement, dated the date of this prospectus, among us and the underwriters listed below, we have agreed to sell to the underwriters, and the underwriters have agreed to purchase, the following respective numbers of our ordinary shares.

#### Underwriters

Fox-Pitt Kelton Cochran Caronia Waller (USA) LLC Dowling & Partners Securities

Total

The purchase agreement provides that the obligations of the underwriters to purchase the shares included in this offering are subject to approval of legal matters by counsel and to other conditions. Under the terms and conditions of the purchase agreement, the underwriters are committed to purchase all of the shares offered by this prospectus, other than those covered by the over-allotment option described below, if any shares are purchased. The purchase agreement also provides that, if an underwriter defaults, the purchase commitment of the non-defaulting underwriter may be increased or this offering may be terminated.

The underwriters initially propose to offer the ordinary shares directly to the public at the public offering price set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. If all of the shares are not sold at the offering price, the underwriters may change the offering price and the other selling terms, including the concession and the reallowance. The underwriters may also purchase the shares as principal and sell them from time to time in the market as conditions warrant.

We have granted the underwriters an option, exercisable not later than 30 days after the date of this prospectus, to purchase from us up to 180,000 additional ordinary shares at the public offering price, less the underwriting discount and an amount per share, if any, equal to any dividends or distributions declared by us and payable on the ordinary shares that comprise the initial commitment but not payable on the additional ordinary shares, set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. The underwriters may exercise the option solely to cover over-allotments, if any, made in connection with this offering. To the extent that the underwriters exercise the option, each underwriter will become obligated, as long as the conditions of the underwriter sinitial commitment as indicated in the table above. We will be obligated, pursuant to the option, to sell these additional ordinary shares to the underwriters to the extent the option is exercised. If any additional ordinary shares are purchased pursuant to the option, the underwriters will offer the additional shares on the same terms as those on which the other shares are being offered hereby.

The Flowers Fund has expressed its intent to us and the underwriters to purchase in the offering ordinary shares with a value of approximately \$20 to \$25 million at the public offering price. There can be no assurance that the Flowers Fund will purchase any of these shares. The aggregate number of shares offered by us will not be affected by the number of shares, if any, purchased by the Flowers Fund.

Shares

Number of

1,200,000

The following table shows the underwriting discounts and commissions that we are to pay to the underwriters in connection with this offering. The information in the table assumes either no exercise or full exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option.

|  | Per Share                 |                        | Total                     |                        |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
|  | Without<br>Over-Allotment | With<br>Over-Allotment | Without<br>Over-Allotment | With<br>Over-Allotment |
| Underwriting discounts and commissions |                           |                        |                           |                        |
| paid by us                             | \$                        | \$                     | \$                        | \$                     |
| Expenses payable by us                 | \$                        | \$                     | \$                        | \$                     |

We and our directors and executive officers have agreed, subject to certain exceptions, that, during a period of 180 days from the date of this prospectus (subject to extension as set forth in the applicable agreement), we will not, without the prior written consent of the underwriters (i) directly or indirectly, offer, pledge, sell, contract to sell, sell any option or contract to purchase, purchase any option or contract to sell, grant any option, right or warrant to

purchase, or otherwise transfer or dispose of any ordinary shares or any securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for ordinary shares or file any registration statement under the Securities Act with respect to any of the foregoing, or (ii) enter into any swap or any other agreement or any transaction that transfers, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, the economic consequence of ownership of any of our ordinary shares, whether any such swap or transaction described in clause (i) or (ii) above is to be settled by delivery of ordinary shares or such other securities, in cash or otherwise. Trident II, L.P. and its affiliates, including Marsh & McLennan Capital Professionals Fund, L.P. and Marsh & McLennan Employees Security Company, L.P., have agreed to the same restrictions during a period of 60 days from the date of this prospectus. The underwriters, in their sole discretion, may release any of the ordinary shares from the lock-up agreements prior to their expiration without notice.

Our ordinary shares trade on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol ESGR. We have applied for listing of the additional ordinary shares being offered hereby on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, under such symbol.

In connection with this offering, the underwriters, being qualified market makers on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, may engage in passive market making transactions in our ordinary shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market in accordance with Rule 103 of Regulation M under the Securities Act of 1933. Rule 103 permits passive market making during the period when Regulation M would otherwise prohibit market making activity by the participants in our offering of ordinary shares. Passive market making may occur during the business day before the pricing of our offering, before the commencement of offers or sales of our ordinary shares. Passive market makers must comply with the applicable volume and price limitations and must be identified as a passive market maker. In general, a passive market maker maker must display its bid at a price not in excess of the highest independent bid for the security. If all independent bids are lowered below the bid of the passive market maker on each day are limited to a specified percentage of the passive market maker s average daily trading volume in our ordinary shares during a specified period and must be discontinued when that limit is reached. The underwriters and other dealers are not required to engage in passive market making activities at any time.

In addition, the underwriters may engage in transactions that are intended to stabilize, maintain or otherwise affect the price of the ordinary shares during and after the offering.

Stabilizing transactions permit bids to purchase our ordinary shares so long as the stabilizing bids do not exceed a specified maximum.

Over-allotment transactions involve sales by the underwriters of our ordinary shares in excess of the number of shares the underwriters are obligated to purchase. This creates a syndicate short position which may be either a covered short position or a naked short position. In a covered short position, the number of shares over-allotted by the underwriters is not greater than the number of shares that they may purchase in the over-allotment option. In a naked short position, the number of shares involved is greater than the number of shares in the over-allotment option. The underwriters may close out any short position by either exercising their over-allotment option and/or by purchasing shares in the open market.

Syndicate covering transactions involve purchases of our ordinary shares in the open market after the distribution has been completed in order to cover syndicate short positions. In determining the source of shares to close out the short position, the underwriters will consider, among other things, the price of shares available for purchase in the open market as compared with the price at which they may purchase shares through exercise of the over-allotment option. If the underwriters sell more shares than could be covered through exercise of the over-allotment option and, therefore, have a naked short position, the position can be closed out only by buying shares in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that after pricing there could be downward pressure on the price of the shares in the

open market that could adversely affect investors who purchase in the offering.

Penalty bids permit the representatives to reclaim a selling concession from a syndicate member when any of our ordinary shares originally sold by that syndicate member are purchased in a stabilizing or syndicate cover transaction to cover syndicate short positions.

The effect of these transactions may be to stabilize or maintain the market price of our ordinary shares at a level above that which might otherwise prevail in the open market. The imposition of a penalty bid may also affect the price of our ordinary shares to the extent that it discourages resales. No representation is made as to the magnitude or effect of any such stabilization or other transactions. Such transactions may be effected in the Nasdaq Global Select Market or otherwise and, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time.

The underwriters may, from time to time, engage in transactions with and perform services for us or our affiliates in the ordinary course of their business for which they will receive customary fees and commissions.

This offering is being conducted in accordance with the applicable provisions of Rule 2720 of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority Conduct Rules because this offering is being made by an underwriter, FPK, with whom we are affiliated. J. Christopher Flowers, one of our directors and largest shareholders, controls JCF Associates II L.P. and J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. John J. Oros, our Executive Chairman and a member of our board of directors, is a Managing Director of J.C. Flowers & Co LLC. JCF Associates II L.P. is the general partner and J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC is the investment advisor of the Flowers Fund. An affiliate of the Flowers Fund controls approximately 40% of FPK. In addition, we have entered into a joint investment program with an affiliate of one of the underwriters, FPK, as described below.

In December 2007, we, in conjunction with JCF FPK, formed U.K.-based Shelbourne, to invest in RITC transactions (the transferring of liabilities from one Lloyd s Syndicate to another), with Lloyd s of London insurance and reinsurance syndicates in run-off. JCF FPK is a joint investment program between FPK and the Flowers Fund. Shelbourne is a holding company of a Lloyd s Managing Agency, Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited. We own 50.1% of Shelbourne, which in turn owns 100% of Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited, the Managing Agency for Lloyd s Syndicate 2008, a syndicate approved by Lloyd s of London on December 16, 2007 to undertake RITC transactions with Lloyd s syndicates in run-off. We have committed capital of approximately £36.0 million (approximately \$72.0 million) to Lloyd s Syndicate 2008. Our capital commitment was financed by approximately £12.0 million (approximately \$24.0 million) from bank finance; approximately £11.0 million (approximately \$22.0 million) from the Flowers Fund (acting in its own capacity and not through JCF FPK), by way of non-voting equity participation; and approximately £13.0 million (approximately \$26.0 million) from available cash on hand. JCF FPK s capital commitment to Lloyd s Syndicate 2008 is approximately £14.0 million (approximately \$28.0 million).

George Cochran, Chairman of FPK, is a director of two of our subsidiaries, Shelbourne Group Limited and Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited. Timothy Hanford, Co-Head of FPK Capital, the private equity vehicle of FPK, is a director of three of our subsidiaries: Shelbourne Group Limited, Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited and SGL No. 1 Limited. In addition, James Lewisohn, Co-Head of FPK Capital, is a director of Shelbourne Group Limited.

We have agreed to indemnify the underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act, or to contribute to payments the underwriters may be required to make in respect of such liabilities.

A prospectus in electronic format may be made available on Internet sites or through other online services maintained by one or more of underwriters participating in this offering, or by their affiliates. In those cases, prospective investors may view the prospectus online and, depending upon the particular underwriter, prospective investors may be allowed to place orders online. The underwriters may agree with us to allocate a specific number of shares for sale to online brokerage account holders. Any such allocation for online distributions will be made by the representatives on the same basis as other allocations. In addition, one or more of the underwriters participating in this offering may distribute prospectuses electronically.

Other than the prospectus in electronic format, information on the underwriters and any information contained in any other website maintained by an underwriter is not part of this prospectus or the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part, has not been approved and/or endorsed by us or any underwriter in its capacity as underwriter and should not be relied on by investors.

## **United Kingdom**

Each underwriter has severally represented and agreed that:

it has only communicated or caused to be communicated and will only communicate or cause to be communicated an invitation or inducement to engage in investment activity (within the meaning of Section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000, or FSMA) in connection with the issue or sale of the shares in circumstances in which Section 21(1) of the FSMA does not apply to us; and

it has complied and will comply with all applicable provisions of the FSMA with respect to anything done by it in relation to our ordinary shares in, from or otherwise involving the United Kingdom.

### Ireland

Each of the underwriters has severally represented to and agreed with us that:

it has not offered or sold and will not offer or sell any ordinary shares except in conformity with the provisions of the Prospective Directive (Directive 2003/71/EC) and applicable implementing measures in any relevant jurisdiction and Ireland, and the provisions of the Companies Acts 1963 to 2006, as amended, of Ireland and every other enactment that is to be read together with any of those Acts;

it has only issued or passed on, and will only issue or pass on, any document received by it in connection with the issue of ordinary shares to persons who are persons to whom the document may otherwise lawfully be issued or passed on; and

to the extent it is not exempt from complying with the following under the terms thereof, it has complied and will comply with all applicable provisions of Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 and any applicable implementing measures in any relevant jurisdiction and Ireland, any applicable code of conduct or practice made thereunder, and, to the extent applicable (if any), it will operate within the terms of its authorization under the foregoing when providing investment services (as defined in foregoing Directive).

#### The Netherlands

Our ordinary shares may not be offered or acquired, directly or indirectly, in the Netherlands, except to or by individuals or entities who or which are qualified investors within the meaning of Article 1:1 of the Financial Supervision Act (Wet op het financieel toezicht) as amended from time to time, or to less than 100 persons or entities, not being qualified investors as referred to above.

We are not licensed by the Netherlands Authority for Financial Markets, or AFM, and are not supervised by the AFM and the Dutch Central Bank (including prudential and market conduct supervision).

## Germany

Each of the underwriters has severally represented to and agreed with us that it shall not offer or sell our ordinary shares in the Federal Republic of Germany other than in compliance with the German Securities Prospectus Act (Wertpapierprospektgesetz) and any other laws and regulations applicable in the Federal Republic of Germany governing the issue, the offering and the sale of securities.

The distribution of our ordinary shares has not been notified, and our ordinary shares are not registered or authorized for public distribution, in the Federal Republic of Germany under the German Securities Prospectus Act (Wertpapierprospektgesetz). Accordingly, this prospectus has not been filed or deposited with the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht BaFin).

## Italy

The offering of our ordinary shares offered hereby in the Republic of Italy, or Italy, has not been registered with the Commissione Nazionale per la Società e la Borsa, or CONSOB, pursuant to Italian securities legislation and, accordingly, the ordinary shares offered hereby cannot be offered, sold or delivered in Italy, nor may any copy of this

prospectus or any other document relating to the ordinary shares offered hereby be distributed in Italy other than to professional investors, or clienti professionali, as defined in CONSOB Regulation No. 16190 of October 29, 2007, pursuant to Articles 100 and 100 bis of the Legislative Decree No. 58 of February 24, 1998 as amended from time to time. Any offer, sale or delivery of the ordinary shares offered hereby or distribution of copies of this prospectus or any other document relating to the ordinary shares offered hereby in Italy must be made:

by an investment firm, bank or intermediary permitted to conduct such activities in Italy in accordance with Legislative Decree No. 58 of 24 February 1998 and Legislative Decree No. 385 of 1 September 1993, or the Banking Act, as amended from time to time;

in compliance with Article 129 of the Banking Act, and the implementing guidelines of the Bank of Italy as amended from time to time; and

in compliance with any other applicable laws and regulations and other possible requirements or limitations which may be imposed by Italian authorities.

#### Italian Provisions Relating to Secondary Market

Investors in our ordinary shares should also note that, in any subsequent distribution of the ordinary shares in Italy, Article 100-*bis* of Decree No. 58 may require compliance with the law relating to public offers of securities. Where our ordinary shares are then systematically resold on the secondary market at any time in the 12 months following such placing, purchasers of securities who are acting outside of the course of their business or profession may in certain circumstances be entitled to declare such purchase void and to claim damages from any authorized person at whose premises the ordinary shares were purchased, unless an exemption provided for under Decree No. 58 applies. Furthermore, where our ordinary shares are resold on the secondary markets the seller may also be required to guarantee the solvency of us to investors which are not Professional Investors.

#### Switzerland

Each of the underwriters has severally represented to and agreed with us that it will make sure that its selling and/or marketing of our ordinary shares does not qualify as a public offering in the meaning of Art. 1156 Para. 1 of the Swiss Code of Obligations or any other applicable Swiss laws, regulations, rules, codes and practices of any nature whatsoever.

#### **European Economic Area Selling Restriction**

In relation to each Member State of the European Economic Area which has implemented the Prospectus Directive, each being a Relevant Member State, each underwriter has severally represented and agreed that an offer to the public of any ordinary shares which are the subject of this offering may not be made in that Relevant Member State except that an offer to the public in that Relevant Member State of any ordinary shares may be made at any time under the following exemptions under the Prospectus Directive, if they have been implemented in that Relevant Member State:

to legal entities which are authorized or regulated to operate in the financial markets or, if not so authorized or regulated, whose corporate purpose is solely to invest in securities;

subject to the rejections of the Relevant Member State, to any company which has two or more of (1) an average of at least 250 employees during the last financial year; (2) a total balance sheet of more than 43,000,000; and (3) an annual net turnover of more than 50,000,000, in each case, as shown in its last annual or consolidated accounts;

to fewer than 100 natural or legal persons per Member State (other than qualified investors as defined in the Prospectus Directive) subject to obtaining the prior consent of the lead underwriter; or

in any other circumstances falling within Article 3(2) of the Prospectus Directive;

provided that no such offer of our ordinary shares shall require us or any underwriter to publish a prospectus pursuant to Article 3 of the Prospectus Directive or supplement a prospectus pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive.

For the purposes of this provision, the expression an offer of ordinary shares to the public in relation to any of our ordinary shares in any Relevant Member State means the communication in any form and by any means of sufficient information on the terms of the offer and the ordinary shares to be offered so as to enable an investor to decide to purchase or subscribe the ordinary shares, as the same may be varied in that Member State by any measure implementing the Prospectus Directive in that Member State and the expression Prospectus Directive means Directive 2003/71/EC and includes any relevant implementing measure in each Relevant Member State.

The prospectus has been prepared on the basis that all offers of the ordinary shares will be made pursuant to an exemption under the Prospectus Directive, as implemented in Relevant Member States, from the requirement to produce a prospectus for offers of our ordinary shares. Accordingly any person making or intending to make any offer within the European Economic Area of our ordinary shares which are the subject of the offering described in this prospectus should only do so in circumstances in which no obligation arises for us or any of the underwriters to produce a prospectus for such offer. Neither we nor the underwriters have authorized nor do we or they authorize, the making of any offer of ordinary shares through any financial intermediary, other than offers made by the underwriters which constitute the final placement of the ordinary shares contemplated in this prospectus.

## LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the issuance of the ordinary shares offered hereby will be passed upon for us by Conyers Dill & Pearman. Various legal matters relating to the offering will be passed upon for us by Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP. Various legal matters relating to the offering will be passed upon for the underwriters by Sidley Austin LLP.

#### **EXPERTS**

The financial statements of Enstar Group Limited and the related financial statement schedule included and incorporated in this prospectus by reference from Enstar Group Limited s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 and the effectiveness of Enstar Group Limited s internal control over financial reporting have been audited by Deloitte & Touche, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their reports, which are included and incorporated herein by reference, and have been so included and incorporated in reliance upon the reports of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

The financial statements of Enstar Australia Limited (formerly Cobalt Solutions Australia Limited), Church Bay Limited (formerly AMPG (1992) Limited), Gordian Runoff Limited, TGI Australia Limited and Harrington Sound Limited (formerly AMP General Insurance Limited), incorporated in this prospectus by reference from Amendment No. 1 to the Form 8-K filed by Enstar Group Limited with the SEC on May 21, 2008 have been audited by Ernst & Young, independent auditors, as stated in their reports, which are incorporated herein by reference, and have been so incorporated in reliance upon the report of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

With respect to the unaudited interim financial information for Enstar Group Limited for the periods ended March 31, 2007 and 2008, which is included and incorporated herein by reference from Enstar Group Limited s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A filed with the SEC on June 5, 2008, Deloitte & Touche, an independent registered public accounting firm, have applied limited procedures in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) for a review of such information. Deloitte & Touche are not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act for their reports on the unaudited interim financial information because those reports are not reports or a part of the Registration Statement prepared or certified by an accountant within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Securities Act.

#### WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We are subject to the information reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, and, in accordance with these requirements, we are required to file periodic reports and other information with the SEC. The reports and other information filed by us with the SEC may be inspected and copied at the public reference facilities maintained by the SEC as described below.

You may copy and inspect any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information about the operation of the public reference rooms. The SEC also maintains an internet website at *http://www.sec.gov* that contains our filed reports, proxy and information statements, and other information that we file electronically with the SEC. Additionally, we make these filings available, free of charge, on our website *at www.enstargroup.com* as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such materials with, or furnish them to, the SEC. The information on our website, other than the filings incorporated by reference in this prospectus, is not, and should not be, considered part of this prospectus, is not incorporated by reference into this document, and should not be relied upon in connection with making any investment decision with respect to our ordinary shares.

112

## INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION BY REFERENCE

We disclose important information to you by referring you to documents that we have previously filed with the SEC or documents that we will file with the SEC in the future. The information incorporated by reference is considered to be part of this prospectus, and information in documents that we file later with the SEC will automatically update and supersede information in this prospectus. We incorporate by reference the documents listed below into this prospectus, and any future filings made by us with the SEC under Section 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) or the Exchange Act until we close this offering, including all filings made after the date of the initial registration statement and prior to the effectiveness of the registration statement. We hereby incorporate by reference the following documents, which have been filed with the SEC (File No. 001-33289):

1. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on February 29, 2008;

2. Our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, filed with the SEC on May 12, 2008 and amended on June 5, 2008;

3. Our Current Reports on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 31, 2008, March 5, 2008, May 21, 2008, June 5, 2008 and June 25, 2008; and

4. The description of our share capital contained in Exhibit 99.1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 and any amendments or reports filed for the purpose of updating any such description.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, information furnished under Items 2.02 and 7.01 of any Current Report on Form 8-K, including the related exhibits under Item 9.01, is not incorporated by reference in this prospectus.

Any statement contained in a document incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference in this prospectus is modified or superseded for purposes of the prospectus to the extent that a statement contained in this prospectus or in any other subsequently filed document which also is or is deemed to be incorporated by reference herein modifies or supersedes such statement. Any statement so modified or superseded does not, except as so modified or superseded, constitute a part of this prospectus.

You may request a copy of these filings, at no cost, by written or oral request made to us at the following address or telephone number:

Enstar Group Limited P.O. Box HM 2267 Windsor Place, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 18 Queen Street Hamilton HM JX, Bermuda Attention: Corporate Secretary Telephone: (441) 292-3645

## INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

| December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005  |      |
|---|------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm   | F-2  |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006  | F-3  |
| Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005              | F-4  |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005  | F-5  |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 |      |
| and 2005  | F-6  |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005            | F-7  |
| Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements  | F-8  |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm   | F-37 |
| Schedule II Condensed Financial Information of Registrant   | F-38 |
| March 31, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited) (Restated)  |      |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, As of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (Unaudited)         | F-42 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings, Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 and 2007             |      |
| (Unaudited)   | F-43 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 and      |      |
| 2007 (Unaudited)  | F-44 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity, Three Months Ended March 31,     |      |
| 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)   | F-45 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 and 2007           |      |
| (Unaudited)   | F-46 |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) (Restated)                           | F-47 |
| Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm   | F-63 |
|   |      |

#### **REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Enstar Group Limited (formerly known as Castlewood Holdings Limited)

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Enstar Group Limited (formerly known as Castlewood Holdings Limited) and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Enstar Group Limited and subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 29, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche

Hamilton, Bermuda February 29, 2008

# ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

## (FORMERLY KNOWN AS CASTLEWOOD HOLDINGS LIMITED) CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS As of December 31, 2007 and 2006

|   | 2007 2006<br>(Expressed in thousands o<br>U.S. dollars, except share<br>data) |           |    |                   |
|---|---|-----------|----|-------------------|
| ASSETS  |   |           |    |                   |
| Short-term investments, available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2007                   |   |           |    |                   |
| \$15,480; 2006 \$273,556)   | \$  | 15,480    | \$ | 273,556           |
| Fixed maturities, available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2007 \$7,006;                |   |           |    |                   |
| 2006 \$5,581)   |   | 6,878     |    | 5,581             |
| Fixed maturities, held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: 2007 \$210,998;                |   | 211.015   |    | 222 750           |
| 2006 \$328,183)<br>Fixed maturities, trading, at fair value (amortized cost: 2007 \$318,199; 2006 |   | 211,015   |    | 332,750           |
| \$93,581)   |   | 323,623   |    | 93,221            |
| Equities, trading, at fair value (cost: 2007 \$5,087; 2006 \$nil)                                 |   | 4,900     |    | ,5,221            |
| Other investments, at fair value  |   | 75,300    |    | 42,421            |
|   |   |           |    |                   |
| Total investments   |   | 637,196   |    | 747,529           |
| Cash and cash equivalents   |   | 995,237   |    | 450,817           |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents  |   | 168,096   |    | 62,746            |
| Accrued interest receivable   |   | 7,200     |    | 7,305             |
| Accounts receivable, net  |   | 25,379    |    | 17,758            |
| Income taxes recoverable  |   | 658       |    | 409 142           |
| Reinsurance balances receivable   |   | 465,277   |    | 408,142<br>17,998 |
| Investment in partly owned company<br>Goodwill  |   | 21,222    |    | 21,222            |
| Other assets  |   | 96,878    |    | 40,735            |
| Other assets  |   | 70,070    |    | +0,755            |
| TOTAL ASSETS  | \$  | 2,417,143 | \$ | 1,774,252         |
| LIABILITIES   |   |           |    |                   |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses   | \$  | 1,591,449 | \$ | 1,214,419         |
| Reinsurance balances payable  | Ψ   | 189,870   | Ψ  | 62,831            |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  |   | 21,383    |    | 29,191            |
| Income taxes payable  |   | 21,000    |    | 1,542             |
| Loans payable   |   | 60,227    |    | 62,148            |
| Other liabilities   |   | 40,178    |    | 29,991            |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES   |   | 1,903,107 |    | 1,400,122         |
| MINORITY INTEREST   |   | 63,437    |    | 55,520            |
| Table of Ocidents   |   |           |    | 000               |

Table of Contents

# SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| Share capital  |              |              |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Authorized issued and fully paid, par value \$1 each (Authorized 2007:       |              |              |
| 156,000,000; 2006: 99,000,000)   |              |              |
| Ordinary shares (Issued and outstanding 2007: 11,920,377; 2006: 18,885)      | 11,920       | 19           |
| Non-voting convertible ordinary shares (Issued 2007: 2,972,892; 2006: \$nil) | 2,973        |              |
| Treasury stock at cost (non-voting convertible ordinary shares 2007:         |              |              |
| 2,972,892; 2006: \$nil)  | (421,559)    |              |
| Additional paid-in capital   | 590,934      | 111,371      |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income                                       | 6,035        | 4,565        |
| Retained earnings  | 260,296      | 202,655      |
|  |              |              |
| TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY  | 450,599      | 318,610      |
|  |              |              |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY                                    | \$ 2,417,143 | \$ 1,774,252 |
|  |              |              |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

# ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

## (FORMERLY KNOWN AS CASTLEWOOD HOLDINGS LIMITED) CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

|  | 2007<br>(Expressed in<br>except sh               | 2006<br>usands of U<br>ind per shar               |                                       |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| INCOME<br>Consulting fees<br>Net investment income<br>Net realized gains (losses)  | \$<br>31,918<br>64,087<br>249                    | \$<br>33,908<br>48,099<br>(98)                    | \$<br>22,006<br>28,236<br>1,268       |
|  | 96,254   | 81,909  | 51,510                                |
| EXPENSES<br>Net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities<br>Salaries and benefits<br>General and administrative expenses<br>Interest expense<br>Net foreign exchange (gain) loss    | (24,482)<br>46,977<br>31,413<br>4,876<br>(7,921) | (31,927)<br>40,121<br>18,878<br>1,989<br>(10,832) | (96,007)<br>40,821<br>10,962<br>4,602 |
|  | 50,863   | 18,229  | (39,622)                              |
| EARNINGS BEFORE INCOME TAXES, MINORITY<br>INTEREST AND SHARE OF NET EARNINGS OF PARTLY<br>OWNED COMPANIES<br>INCOME TAXES<br>MINORITY INTEREST<br>SHARE OF NET EARNINGS OF PARTLY OWNED<br>COMPANIES | 45,391<br>7,441<br>(6,730)                       | 63,680<br>318<br>(13,208)<br>518                  | 91,132<br>(914)<br>(9,700)<br>192     |
| EARNINGS BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY GAIN<br>Extraordinary gain Negative goodwill (net of minority interest<br>of \$nil, \$4,329 and \$nil, respectively)   | 46,102<br>15,683                                 | 51,308<br>31,038                                  | 80,710                                |
| NET EARNINGS   | \$<br>61,785                                     | \$<br>82,346                                      | \$<br>80,710                          |
| PER SHARE DATA:<br>Earnings per share before extraordinary gain basic<br>Extraordinary gain per share basic  | \$<br>3.93<br>1.34                               | \$<br>5.21<br>3.15                                | \$<br>8.29                            |
| Earnings per share basic   | \$<br>5.27                                       | \$<br>8.36  | \$<br>8.29                            |
| Earnings per share before extraordinary gain diluted<br>Extraordinary gain per share diluted   | \$<br>3.84<br>1.31                               | \$<br>5.15<br>3.11                                | \$<br>8.14                            |

# Edgar Filing: Enstar Group LTD - Form POS AM

| Earnings per share diluted   |                  | \$                       | 5.15 | \$<br>8.26         | \$<br>8.14             |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Weighted average shares outstanding<br>Weighted average shares outstanding | basic<br>diluted | 11,731,908<br>12,009,683 |      | 357,194<br>966,960 | 9,739,560<br>9,918,823 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

# ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

## (FORMERLY KNOWN AS CASTLEWOOD HOLDINGS LIMITED) CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

|  | 2007<br>(Expre | 2005<br>nds of |           |
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| NET EARNINGS   | \$ 61,785      | \$ 82,346      | \$ 80,710 |
| Other comprehensive income:<br>Unrealized holding (losses) gains on investments arising during the period<br>Reclassification adjustment for net realized (gains) losses included in net | 249            | (98)           | 1,268     |
| earnings   | (249)          | 98             | (1,268)   |
| Currency translation adjustment  | 1,470          | 3,555          | (899)     |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)  | 1,470          | 3,555          | (899)     |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME   | \$ 63,255      | \$ 85,901      | \$ 79,811 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

# ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

## (FORMERLY KNOWN AS CASTLEWOOD HOLDINGS LIMITED) CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY For the Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 and 2005

|   | 2007 2006<br>(Expressed in thousands of U<br>except share and per sha |  |    |                                  |    |                         |
|---|---|--|----|----------------------------------|----|-------------------------|
| Share Capital Ordinary Shares<br>Balance, beginning of year<br>Redemption of Class E shares<br>Grant of Class D shares<br>Conversion of shares  | \$  | 19<br>6,029                                      | \$ | 22,661<br>(22,642)               | \$ | 22,912<br>(252)<br>1    |
| Issue of shares<br>Shares repurchased<br>Share awards granted/vested  |   | 5,775<br>(7)<br>104                              |    |                                  |    |                         |
| Balance, end of year  | \$  | 11,920   | \$ | 19                               | \$ | 22,661                  |
| Share Capital Non-Voting Convertible Ordinary SharesBalance, beginning of yearConversion of shares  | \$  | 2,973  | \$ |                                  | \$ |                         |
| Balance, end of year  | \$  | 2,973  | \$ |                                  | \$ |                         |
| <b>Treasury stock</b><br>Balance, beginning of year<br>Shares acquired, at cost   | \$  | (421,559)  | \$ |                                  | \$ |                         |
| Balance, end of year  | \$  | (421,559)  | \$ |                                  | \$ |                         |
| Additional Paid-in Capital<br>Balance, beginning of year<br>Reclassification of deferred compensation<br>Share awards granted/vested<br>Shares repurchased<br>Issue of shares<br>Amortization of share awards | \$  | 111,371<br>3,665<br>(16,755)<br>490,269<br>2,384 | \$ | 89,090<br>(112)<br>112<br>22,281 | \$ | 85,341<br>(30)<br>3,779 |
| Balance, end of year  | \$  | 590,934  | \$ | 111,371                          | \$ | 89,090                  |
| <b>Deferred compensation</b><br>Balance, beginning of year<br>Amortization of deferred compensation<br>Reclassification of deferred compensation  | \$  |  | \$ | (112)<br>112                     | \$ | (371)<br>259            |

| Edgar Filing: Ensta | r Group LTD | - Form POS AM |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------|
|---------------------|-------------|---------------|

| Balance, end of year   | \$                     | \$            | \$<br>(112)   |
|--|------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive income<br>Balance, beginning of year | \$<br>4,565            | \$<br>1,010   | \$<br>1,909   |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss)                                    | 1,470                  | 3,555         | (899)         |
| Balance, end of year   | \$<br>6,035            | \$<br>4,565   | \$<br>1,010   |
| Retained earnings  |                        |               |               |
| Balance, beginning of year<br>Adjustment to initially apply FIN 48   | \$<br>202,655<br>4,858 | \$<br>148,257 | \$<br>67,547  |
| Adjusted balance, beginning of period<br>Conversion of shares        | 207,513<br>(9,002)     | 148,257       | 67,547        |
| Dividend paid  |                        | (27,948)      |               |
| Net earnings   | 61,785                 | 82,346        | 80,710        |
| Balance, end of year   | \$<br>260,296          | \$<br>202,655 | \$<br>148,257 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

# ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

## (FORMERLY KNOWN AS CASTLEWOOD HOLDINGS LIMITED) CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

|   | 2007 2006<br>(Expressed in thousa<br>U.S. dollars) |           |    |             |    |           |
|---|--|-----------|----|-------------|----|-----------|
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES:   |  |           |    |             |    |           |
| Net earnings  | \$   | 61,785    | \$ | 82,346      | \$ | 80,710    |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to cash flows provided by |  |           |    |             |    |           |
| operating activities:   |  |           |    |             |    |           |
| Minority interest   |  | 6,730     |    | 13,208      |    | 9,700     |
| Negative goodwill (2006: net of minority interest of \$4,329)   |  | (15,683)  |    | (31,038)    |    |           |
| Share of undistributed net earnings of partly owned companies   |  |           |    | (518)       |    | (192)     |
| Amortization of deferred compensation                           |  |           |    |             |    | 259       |
| Share-based compensation expense                                |  | 2,384     |    | 22,393      |    | 3,780     |
| Net realized and unrealized investment loss (gain)              |  | (249)     |    | 453         |    | (1,268)   |
| Other items   |  | 5,374     |    | (11,983)    |    | 20,321    |
| Depreciation and amortization                                   |  | 951       |    | 503         |    | 493       |
| Amortization of bond premiums and discounts                     |  | 176       |    | 1,959       |    | 564       |
| Net movement of trading securities                              |  | 104,363   |    | 12,122      |    | 76,695    |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:                              |  | 110.050   |    | (50 (50)    |    | 446.00    |
| Reinsurance balances receivable                                 |  | 118,850   |    | (52,453)    |    | 116,887   |
| Other assets  |  | (7,580)   |    | (1.1.0.0.0) |    |           |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses                             |  | (105,115) |    | (14,922)    |    | (282,718) |
| Reinsurance balances payable                                    |  | (74,472)  |    | (17,904)    |    | (31,552)  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities                        |  | (5,926)   |    |             |    |           |
| Other liabilities   |  | (17,914)  |    |             |    |           |
| Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities       |  | 73,674    |    | 4,166       |    | (6,321)   |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES:   |  |           |    |             |    |           |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired                              | \$   | 5,653     | \$ | 4,698       | \$ | 16,561    |
| Purchase of available-for-sale securities                       |  | (74,827)  |    | (100,644)   |    | (112,010) |
| Sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities           |  | 411,573   |    | 305,387     |    | 201,712   |
| Purchase of held-to-maturity securities                         |  | (29,512)  |    | (171,250)   |    | (133,492) |
| Maturity of held-to-maturity securities                         |  | 229,818   |    | 143,298     |    | 46,220    |
| Movement in restricted cash and cash equivalents                |  | (53,358)  |    |             |    |           |
| Funding of other investments                                    |  | (11,824)  |    | (11,009)    |    | (26,360)  |
| Other investing activities                                      |  | (2,396)   |    | 8,816       |    | (6,704)   |
| Net cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities       |  | 475,127   |    | 179,296     |    | (14,073)  |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES:   |  |           |    |             |    |           |
| Redemption of shares  | \$   |           | \$ | (22,642)    | \$ | (282)     |
|   |  |           |    |             |    |           |

| Distribution of capital to minority shareholders<br>Contribution to surplus of subsidiary by minority interest<br>Dividend paid<br>Dividend paid to minority shareholders<br>Receipt of loans<br>Repayment of loans<br>Repurchase of shares |          | 1,187<br>42,125<br>(31,032)<br>(16,762) |          | (11,765)<br>22,918<br>(27,948)<br>(13,715)<br>86,356<br>(46,839) |          | (548)               |
|---|----------|---|----------|--|----------|---------------------|
| Net cash flows used in financing activities   |          | (4,482)                                 |          | (13,635)   |          | (830)               |
| TRANSLATION ADJUSTMENT  |          | 101                                     |          | 778  |          | (533)               |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH<br>EQUIVALENTS<br>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR   |          | 544,420<br>450,817                      |          | 170,605<br>280,212   |          | (21,757)<br>301,969 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR  | \$       | 995,237                                 | \$       | 450,817  | \$       | 280,212             |
| Supplement Cash Flow Information<br>Net income taxes recovered (paid)<br>Interest paid  | \$<br>\$ | 5,241<br>4,597                          | \$<br>\$ | 647<br>1,041   | \$<br>\$ | (1,733)             |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

#### ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED (FORMERLY KNOWN AS CASTLEWOOD HOLDINGS LIMITED)

#### NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (Expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars except share and per share data)

### 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Enstar Group Limited (formerly Castlewood Holdings Limited) (Enstar or the Company) was formed in August 2001 under the laws of Bermuda to acquire and manage insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off, and to provide management, consulting and other services to the insurance and reinsurance industry. On January 31, 2007, Enstar completed the merger (the Merger) of CWMS Subsidiary Corp., a Georgia corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of Enstar, with and into The Enstar Group Inc. (EGI), a Georgia corporation. As a result of the Merger, EGI, renamed Enstar USA, Inc., is now a wholly-owned subsidiary of Enstar. Prior to the Merger, EGI owned approximately 32% economic and 50% voting interest in Enstar.

## 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

*Basis of preparation* The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The major estimates reflected in the Company s financial statements include, but are not limited to, the reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses and reinsurance balances receivable.

The terms FAS and FASB used in these notes refer to Statements of Financial Standards issued by the United States Financial Accounting Standards Board.

*Basis of consolidation* The consolidated financial statements include the assets, liabilities and results of operations of the Company as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. Results of operations for subsidiaries acquired are included from the dates of their acquisition by the Company. Intercompany transactions are eliminated on consolidation.

*Cash and cash equivalents* For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash and cash equivalents.

#### Investments

*a) Short-Term Investments:* Short-term investments comprise securities with a maturity greater than three months but less than one year from the date of purchase. Short-term investments classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from net earnings and reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Amortization expenses derive from the difference between the nominal value and purchase cost and they are spread over the time to maturity of the debt securities.

*b) Fixed Maturities:* Debt securities classified as held-to-maturity investments are carried at purchase cost adjusted for amortization of premiums and discounts. Debt investments classified as trading securities are carried at fair value,

## Edgar Filing: Enstar Group LTD - Form POS AM

with unrealized holding gains and losses recognized in net investment income. Debt securities classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from net earnings and reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Amortization expenses derive from the difference between the nominal value and purchase cost and they are spread over the time to maturity of the debt securities.

## ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED (FORMERLY KNOWN AS CASTLEWOOD HOLDINGS LIMITED)

#### NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (cont d)

*c) Equity Securities:* Equity investments are classified as trading securities and are carried at fair value with realized and unrealized holding gains and losses recognized in realized gains and losses.

*d) Other Investments:* Other investments include investments in limited partnerships and limited liability companies which value their investments at fair value. The Company has no significant influence and does not participate in the management of these investments. Other investments are accounted for under the equity method whereby the investment is initially recorded at cost and adjusted to reflect the Company s proportionate share of income or loss for the period and reduced by dividends received. Significant estimates are involved in the valuation of other investments. Because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation, the estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the other investments existed. The differences could be significant.

Investments classified as held to maturity and available-for-sale are reviewed on a regular basis to determine if they have sustained an impairment of value that is considered to be other than temporary. There are several factors that are considered in the assessment of an investment, which include (i) the time period during which there has been a significant decline below cost, (ii) the extent of the decline below cost, (iii) the Company s intent and ability to hold the security, (iv) the potential for the security to recover in value, (v) an analysis of the financial condition of the issuer and (vi) an analysis of the collateral structure and credit support of the security, if applicable. The identification of potentially impaired investments involves significant management judgment. Any unrealized depreciation in value considered by management to be other than temporary is recognized in net earnings in the period that it is determined. Realized gains and losses on sales of investments classified as available-for-sale and trading securities are recognized in the consolidated statements of earnings. Investment purchases and sales are recorded on a trade-date basis.

*Investment in partly owned company* Investment in a partly owned company, where the Company has significant influence, is carried on the equity basis whereby the investment is initially recorded at cost and adjusted to reflect the Company s share of after-tax earnings or losses, unrealized investment gains and losses and reduced by dividends received.

Loss and loss adjustment expenses The liability for loss and loss adjustment expenses includes an amount determined from loss reports and individual cases and an amount, based on historical loss experience and industry statistics, for losses incurred but not reported. These estimates are continually reviewed and are necessarily subject to the impact of future changes in such factors as claim severity and frequency. While management believes that the amount is adequate, the ultimate liability may be significantly in excess of, or less than, the amounts provided. Adjustments will be reflected as part of net increase or reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities in the periods in which they become known. Premium and commission adjustments may be triggered by incurred losses and any amounts are reflected in net loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities at the same time the related incurred loss is recognized.