

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

RAYTECH CORP
Form 10-K
March 31, 2003

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

- Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the Fiscal Year ended December 29, 2002 or
- Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number 1-9298

RAYTECH CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

DELAWARE

06-1182033

(State or Other Jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer Incorporation or
Organization)
Identification No.)

Suite 295, Four Corporate Drive
Shelton, Connecticut

06484

(Address of Principal Executive Office)

(Zip Code)

(203) 925-8023

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class -----	Name of Each Exchange On Which Registered -----
Common Stock - \$1.00 Par Value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filed requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

Yes [X] No []

As of March 20, 2003, 41,701,554 shares of common stock were outstanding and the aggregate market value of these shares (based upon the closing price of these shares on the New York Stock Exchange) on such date held by non-affiliates was approximately \$49.9 million.

Documents incorporated by reference: None

INDEX TO RAYTECH CORPORATION 2002 FORM 10-K

PART I.

	Page ----
Item 1. Business	
(a) Overview	4
(b) Financial Information About Industry Segments	4
(c) Narrative Description of Business	4
Introduction	4
Sales Methods	5
Raw Material Availability	5
Patents and Trademarks	6
Competition, Significant Customers and Backlog ..	6
Employees	7
Capital Expenditures	7
Research and Development	7
Environmental Matters	7
(d) Financial Information About Foreign Operations	8
Item 2. Properties	9
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	10

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....	11
PART II.	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	12
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	13
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15
Item 7a. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36

-2-

	Page ----
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	37
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	92
PART III.	
Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	93
Item 11. Executive Compensation	96
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.....	101
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	102
Item 14. Controls and Procedures	102
PART IV.	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K	102
(a) (1) Financial Statements	102
(a) (2) Financial Statement Schedules	103
(a) (3) Exhibits	103
(b) Reports on Form 8-K	103
(c) Index of Exhibits.....	103
(d) Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules (reference).	105
Signatures	106

Item 1. Business

(a) Overview

Raytech Corporation ("Raytech" or the "Company") was incorporated in June 1986 in Delaware and held as a subsidiary of Raymark Corporation ("Raymark"). In October 1986, Raytech became the publicly traded (NYSE) holding company of Raymark stock through a triangular merger restructuring plan approved by Raymark's shareholders whereby each share of common stock of Raymark was automatically converted into a share of Raytech common stock. In May 1988, Raytech divested all of the Raymark stock. In accordance with the restructuring plan, Raytech, through its subsidiaries, purchased certain non-asbestos businesses of Raymark. Despite the restructuring plan implementation and subsequent divestiture of Raymark, Raytech was named a co-defendant with Raymark and other named defendants in numerous asbestos-related lawsuits as a successor in liability to Raymark. In order to stay the asbestos-related litigation, on March 10, 1989, Raytech filed a petition seeking relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11, United States Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Connecticut. In April 2001, the Company emerged from protection of the Bankruptcy Court under Chapter 11 of Title 11, United States Code. The bankruptcy was predicated on certain asbestos personal injury claims and certain environmental claims which were discharged at the reorganization date.

(b) Financial Information About Industry Segments

The sales, gross profit, operating profit (loss) and other financial information pertaining to the operation of the business segments is contained in Note F Segment Reporting in the notes to the consolidated financial statements of Raytech Corporation. The reporting reflects the pre-emergence from bankruptcy (Predecessor Company) and post emergence from bankruptcy (Successor Company) financial information.

(c) Narrative Description of Business

Introduction

Raytech Corporation and its subsidiaries manufacture and distribute engineered products for heat resistant, inertia control, energy absorption and transmission applications. The Company's operations are categorized into three business segments: Wet Friction, Dry Friction and Aftermarket.

The Wet Friction operations produce specialty engineered products for heat resistant, inertia control, energy absorption and transmission applications used in an oil immersed environment. The Company markets its products to automobile and heavy duty original equipment manufacturers ("OEM"), as well as to farm machinery, mining, truck and bus manufacturers.

The Dry Friction operations produce engineered friction products, which are not used in an oil immersed environment, primarily used in original equipment automobile and truck manual transmissions. The clutch facings produced by this segment are marketed to companies who assemble the manual transmission systems used in automobiles and trucks.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

-4-

The Aftermarket segment produces specialty engineered products used for wet friction applications, primarily for automobile and light truck transmissions. In addition to these products, this segment markets transmission filters and other transmission related components. The focus of this segment is marketing to warehouse distributors and certain retail operations in the automotive aftermarket.

The percentage of net sales for each segment of the consolidated net sales over the past three years is as follows:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	For the Year Ended 2002	For the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	For the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	For the Year Ended 2000
Wet Friction operations	61%	61%	62%	64%
Dry Friction operations	17%	15%	14%	12%
Aftermarket operations	22%	24%	24%	24%

Additional segment information is contained in the Management's Discussion and Analysis section and in Note F in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Sales Methods

The Wet Friction operations, predominantly a domestic operation, serve the on-highway and off-highway vehicular markets through sale of its products to OEM's of heavy duty trucks, buses, automobiles, construction and mining equipment and agricultural machinery, and through distributors supplying components and replacement parts for these vehicles. Sales to certain vehicular markets in the Wet Friction operation are made through a wholly-owned distributor in the Aftermarket segment.

The Aftermarket, predominantly a domestic operation, sells its products primarily to equipment distributors and in certain instances directly to retail outlets.

The Dry Friction operation sells dry friction facings to clutch assemblers who in turn supply the OEM and aftermarket in Europe and the Far East.

Sales are made in all segments by Company sales representatives. Sales are made under standard sales contracts for all or a portion of a customer's products over a period of time or on an open order basis.

Raytech's products are sold around the world, through export from its U.S. plants, through its wholly-owned subsidiaries in Germany, the United Kingdom and China, and through distributors.

Raw Material Availability

The principal raw materials used in the manufacture of energy absorption and transmission products include cold-rolled steel, metal powders, synthetic resins, plastics and synthetic and natural fibers. All of these

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

materials are readily available from a number of competitive suppliers.

-5-

Patents and Trademarks

Raytech owns a number of patents, both foreign and domestic. Such patents expire between 2003 and 2018. In the opinion of management, the business is not dependent upon the protection of any of its patents or licenses and would not be materially affected by the expiration of any of such patents and licenses.

Raytech operates under a number of registered and common law trademarks, including the trademark "RAYBESTOS." Certain trademarks have been licensed on a limited basis. Some trademarks are registered internationally.

Competition, Significant Customers and Backlog

Raytech faces vigorous competition with respect to price, service and product performance in all of its markets from both foreign and domestic competitors.

Domestic sales as a percentage of total Raytech sales to two customers are as follows:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	For the Year Ended 2002	For the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	For the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	For the Year Ended 2000
Caterpillar	10.9%	14.3%	13.2%	13.0%
DaimlerChrysler	13.9%	14.0%	13.8%	15.4%

In the wet friction original equipment automotive automatic transmission parts sector, there are approximately four competitors, including one foreign company, utilizing price, service and product performance to attempt to gain market share. Though not the largest company competing in this market, Raytech is highly competitive due to cost efficient plants, dedicated and skilled employees and products that are high in quality and reliability. The original equipment heavy-duty, off-highway vehicle sector is highly competitive with approximately three companies vying for the business, including two foreign companies, and approximately three competitors for the oil-immersed friction plate sector. Raytech competes in these markets using its integrated, cost efficient operations and its high quality products and service. Sales backlog for the Wet Friction segment at the end of 2002, 2001, and 2000 was approximately \$79 million, \$70 million, and \$72 million, respectively. It is anticipated that current backlog will be filled in 2003.

In the Dry Friction segment, the European markets in which the Company participates are competitive with approximately two competitors in the passenger car clutch sector. Raytech entered the Asian market with manufacturing that began in China in 1998. The Asian markets are competitive with several Chinese and other Asian-based manufacturers competing for the business. Sales backlog at the end of 2002, 2001, and 2000 was approximately \$3.5 million, \$2.7 million,

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

and \$.7 million, respectively. It is anticipated that current backlog will be filled in 2003.

In the Aftermarket segment, the domestic automotive, automatic transmission sector has approximately five competitors. Here, Raytech believes that some of its competitors have a broader product line and greater financial resources, but the Company is able to compete due to customer

-6-

acceptance of both its high quality and low cost product lines. The transmission filter business is competitive with approximately five competitors. Sales backlog at the end of 2002, 2001, and 2000 was approximately \$2 million, \$2 million, and \$6 million, respectively. It is anticipated that current backlog will be filled in 2003.

Competition in all markets served by Raytech is based on product quality, service and price. On such basis, Raytech believes that it is competitive in all markets in which it is engaged.

Employees

At December 29, 2002, Raytech employed 1,593 employees, compared with 1,531 employees at the end of 2001. Raytech has agreements with labor unions relating to wages, hours, fringe benefits and other conditions of employment which cover most of its production employees. The term of the labor contract at Raybestos Products Company in Crawfordsville, Indiana, is due to expire in May 2006. The term of the labor contract at Automotive Composites Company in Sterling Heights, Michigan, is due to expire in October 2004.

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures were \$9.6 million for 2002 (Successor Company), \$7.5 million for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), \$2.7 million for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company), and \$13.5 million for 2000 (Predecessor Company). Capital expenditures for 2003 are projected at \$12.2 million.

Research and Development

Research and development costs for the Successor Company for 2002 were approximately \$7.3 million, \$5.3 million for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 and for the Predecessor Company \$1.7 million for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 and \$6.8 million in 2000. Separate research and development facilities are maintained at appropriate manufacturing plants for the purpose of developing new products, improving existing production techniques, supplying technical service to the business units and customers, and discovering new applications for existing products. Research and development costs for 2003 are projected at \$ 8.0 million.

Environmental Matters

Various federal, state and local laws and regulations related to the discharge of potentially hazardous materials into the environment, and the occupational exposure of employees to airborne particles, gases and noise have applied to and will continue to apply to the Registrant's operations, both directly and indirectly, in the future. Environmental requirements are taken into consideration in the Company's operations. Pollution and hazardous waste controls are continually being upgraded at the existing manufacturing facilities to help to ensure environmental compliance. Expenditures for upgrading of

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

pollution and hazardous waste controls for environmental compliance, including capital expenditures, are projected to be \$.8 million for 2003. In addition, the Company has been engaged in an environmental investigation and remediation project pursuant to a Federal Order issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) near its manufacturing facility in Crawfordsville, Indiana. The Company has an accrued liability of \$7.0 million at December 29, 2002 for estimated environmental investigation and remediation costs for this project that might be incurred during 2003 and which management expects should be sufficient to meet all Company cleanup obligations regarding the project. See Note E to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more details. Because

-7-

environmental regulations are constantly being revised and are subject to differing interpretations by regulatory agencies, Raytech is unable to predict the long-range cost of compliance with environmental laws and regulations.

(d) Financial Information about Foreign Operations

Financial information about the foreign operations of Raytech for the Successor Company for the year ended December 29, 2002, the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 and for the Predecessor Company for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 and the year ended December 31, 2000 is set forth in Note F to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included herein.

-8-

Item 2. Properties

Raytech, through its three operating segments, has plants as follows:

The Wet Friction operations have a Crawfordsville, Indiana, facility that is owned and consists of approximately 461,000 square feet of office, production, research and warehousing space that is suitable and adequate to provide the productive capacity to meet reasonably anticipated demand of products. The Sterling Heights, Michigan, facility is owned and consists of approximately 111,000 square feet of office, production, research and warehousing space that is suitable and adequate to provide the productive capacity to meet reasonably anticipated demand of products. The Liverpool, England, facility is leased and consists of 52,000 square feet of office, production, research and warehousing space. Wet friction also leases sales office space in Leverkusen, Germany and Peoria, Illinois, and leases an administrative office in Indianapolis, Indiana.

The Dry Friction operations have a Morbach, Germany, plant that is owned and consists of 108,000 square feet of office, production, research and warehousing space that is suitable and adequate to provide the production capacity to meet reasonably anticipated demand of products. The Suzhou, China, facility is owned and consists of 52,000 square feet of office, production, research and warehousing space that is suitable and adequate to provide the production capacity to meet reasonably anticipated demand of products.

The Aftermarket operations have two facilities in Sullivan, Indiana, that are owned and consist of 130,000 and 37,500 square feet of office and warehousing space that is suitable and adequate to provide the capacity to meet anticipated demand of products. The capacity is underutilized, leaving space for future demand. A separate Crawfordsville, Indiana, facility is owned and consists of approximately 41,000 square feet, which is currently being evaluated

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

for alternative uses. Aftermarket also leases sales office space in Floral Park, New York.

Raytech also leases office space in Shelton, Connecticut, for its headquarters staff.

Raytech believes that its properties are substantially suitable and adequate for its purposes.

-9-

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is subject to certain legal matters that have arisen in the ordinary course of business, and management does not expect them to have a material adverse effect. In addition, the Company is involved in the following litigation.

In April 1996, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management ("IDEM") advised Raybestos Products Company ("RPC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, that it may have contributed to the release of lead and PCB's (polychlorinated biphenyls) found in a drainage ditch near its Indiana facility. In June 1996, IDEM named RPC as a potentially responsible party ("PRP"). RPC notified its insurers of the IDEM action and one insurer responded by filing a complaint in January 1997 in the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Indiana, captioned Reliance Insurance Company vs. RPC seeking a declaratory judgment that any liability of RPC is excluded from its policy with RPC. In January 2000, the District Court granted summary judgment to RPC, indicating that the insurer has a duty to defend and indemnify losses stemming from the IDEM claim. However, in June 2001, Reliance Insurance Company was placed in rehabilitation in Pennsylvania. The effect upon RPC's claim is not known at this time. Three additional insurers have been added to the Reliance case as ordered by the District Court. IDEM has turned the matter over to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"). In December 2000, the EPA issued a Unilateral Administrative Order under CERCLA ("Order") demanding removal of contaminated soils from the referenced drainage ditch. RPC has prepared a plan for implementation and is in compliance with the cleanup Order. The Company has estimated that the cost to comply with the Order will be approximately \$14.3 million of which \$7.3 million has been spent through December 29, 2002. The remaining balance of \$7.0 million is included in accrued liabilities. It is at least reasonably possible that the assessment of estimated costs to comply with the Order may be modified as the project progresses and that there may be additional assessments from the EPA.

Prior to IDEM's relinquishment of control of the cleanup to the EPA, IDEM and RPC had reached an Agreed Order providing for a risk-based remediation of the contamination different from the EPA's Order. IDEM withdrew from the Agreed Order, which was ruled to be a breach of contract by an Indiana State Superior Court. In July 2002, RPC filed an action against IDEM for damages based on the difference between the costs of cleanup under the EPA Order and the IDEM Agreed Order. The outcome of this litigation is not known.

In February 2002, lawyers claiming to represent the Committee of Equity Holders filed a motion in U.S. Bankruptcy Court to compel Raytech to either issue up to approximately 700,000 additional shares to the pre-reorganization holders of shares in Raytech or their successors or to proportionately reduce the shareholdings of the general unsecured creditor shareholders under the Plan of Reorganization. The ultimate outcome of this matter is unknown; however, it is possible that its resolution could cause the Company to issue additional shares to the original shareholder group, or to retire shares held by the

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

general unsecured creditor shareholder group. This might directly impact the earnings per share calculations of the Company. The Company has filed a motion for summary judgment asking the Court to dismiss the action.

On January 8, 2002, the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality ("MDEQ") sent a letter to the Company alleging Company responsibility for trichloroethylene ("TCE") contamination at a Ferndale, Michigan, industrial site leased and occupied by Advanced Friction Materials Company ("AFM") from approximately 1974 to 1985. AFM was acquired by the Company in 1998. The

-10-

Company is cooperating with the MDEQ in evaluating the subsurface of the site to obtain data concerning the alleged contamination. The Company's liability at this site is indeterminable at this time.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

Article SEVENTH of the Amended Certificate of Incorporation of Raytech Corporation currently provides that the Board of Directors shall consist of not more than nine and not less than three Directors. In February 2003, the Board proposed that this provision of Article SEVENTH be revised to state that the entire Board of Directors shall consist of not more than eleven and not less than three directors, as such number may be fixed by the Board of Directors from time to time. The Raytech Corporation Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust, which beneficially owns approximately 83% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of Raytech Corporation, has given its consent to this Amendment. In March 2003, Raytech Corporation mailed its Schedule 14C Information Statement to its shareholders. This Amendment to the Amended Certificate of Incorporation of Raytech Corporation will be filed with the State of Delaware and will become effective on or about April 15, 2003.

-11-

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

The Registrant's (Raytech) common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol RAY. As of March 20, 2003, there were 1,535 holders of record of the Registrant's common stock.

Information regarding the quarterly high and low sales prices for 2002 and 2001 and information with respect to dividends is set forth in Note P of the Consolidated Financial Statements, Part II, Item 8 hereof.

-12-

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Consolidated Five-Year Financial Summary

Selected historical consolidated financial data is presented for the five fiscal years ended December 29, 2002. The information is separated between Predecessor Company, pre-emergence from bankruptcy, and Successor Company, post-emergence from bankruptcy. As a result of reorganization and fresh-start

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

adjustments recorded in conjunction with the Company's emergence from bankruptcy, the financial data of the Successor Company is not comparable to that of the Predecessor Company.

-13-

Item 6. Selected Financial Data (continued)

FIVE-YEAR REVIEW OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	As of and for the Period 2002	As of and for the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	As of and for the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001(4)	As of and for the Period 2000
Operating Results				
Net sales	\$ 209,866	\$ 146,050	\$ 55,205	\$ 239,530
Gross profit	36,771	21,460	11,394	59,480
Operating profit (loss)	4,440	(3,291)	3,652	27,210
Interest expense	903	873	444 (2)	2,210
(Loss) income before extraordinary items	(2,825)	(6,531)	72,334	(7,058,970)
Extraordinary items	-	954 (5)	6,922,923	
Net (loss) income	(2,825)	(5,577)	6,995,257	(7,058,970)
Share Data				
Basic (loss) earnings per share	\$ (.07)	\$ (.13)	\$ 1,778.88	\$ (2,015.40)
Weighted average shares	41,608,057	41,527,307	3,932,385	3,502,520
Diluted (loss) earnings				
per share	\$ (.07)	\$ (.13)	\$ 1,772.62	\$ (2,015.40)
Adjusted weighted average shares	41,608,057	41,527,307	3,946,282	3,502,520
Balance sheet				
Total assets	\$ 294,221	\$ 320,788	\$ 323,636	\$ 320,310
Working capital	23,317	28,157	26,753	21,400
Long-term obligations (6)	82,850	85,410	69,330	31,230
Liabilities subject to compromise (3)	-	-	-	7,211,430
Commitments and contingencies (1)				
Total shareholders' equity (deficit)	142,110	144,083	158,352	(6,979,130)
Property, plant and equipment				
Capital expenditures	\$ 9,648	\$ 7,488	\$ 2,717	\$ 13,530
Depreciation	14,943	10,585	3,180	11,540

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Dividends declared per share \$ - \$ - \$ - \$

- (1) See Notes E and M to the consolidated financial statements.
- (2) Includes cessation of interest accruals on Raymark note in connection with a Bankruptcy Court Order.
- (3) Includes recording of the estimated amount of allowed claims in the amount of \$7.2 billion relating to asbestos personal injury, environmental and employee benefits issues. See Note Q to the consolidated financial statements.
- (4) Includes the reorganization and the adoption of fresh-start reporting as a result of the Company's emergence from bankruptcy (See Notes R and S).
- (5) Represents an extraordinary gain net of taxes of \$594 as a result of a settlement of a note payable to a former AFM principal.
- (6) Includes long-term liabilities and minority interest.

-14-

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Safe Harbor Statement

Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995: Statements under the "Market Conditions and Outlook" and "Future Liquidity" headings below and other statements herein that relate to future operating periods are subject to important risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially. Forward-looking statements relating to the Company's businesses involve certain factors that are subject to change, including the many interrelated factors that affect consumer confidence, including worldwide demand for automotive and heavy duty products, general economic conditions, the environment, actions of competitors in the various industries in which the Company competes; production difficulties, including capacity and supply constraints; dealer practices; labor relations; interest and currency exchange rates; technological difficulties; accounting standards, and other risks and uncertainties. Further information, including factors that potentially could materially affect the Company's financial results, is included in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Results of Operations and Liquidity and Capital Resources

Raytech Corporation and its subsidiaries manufacture and distribute engineered products for heat resistant, inertia control, energy absorption and transmission applications. The Company's operations are categorized into three business segments: wet friction, dry friction and aftermarket. Additional information on these business segments is presented in Note F Segment Reporting in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Raytech Corporation, at December 29, 2002, completed its first full year of operation as the Successor Company post-emergence from bankruptcy. In April 2001, Raytech Corporation emerged from the protection of Bankruptcy Court under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Raytech Corporation had been under the Chapter 11 protection since March 1989. The bankruptcy history and emergence are described in more detail in Note Q to the

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Consolidated Financial Statements.

As of April 2, 2001, the Company adopted fresh-start reporting pursuant to the guidance provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountant's Statement of Position 90-7, "Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code" ("SOP 90-7"). The Effective Date of the Company's emergence from bankruptcy was considered to be the close of business on April 2, 2001 for financial reporting purposes. The periods presented prior to April 2, 2001 have been designated "Predecessor Company" and the periods subsequent to April 2, 2001 have been designated "Successor Company." In accordance with fresh-start reporting, all assets and liabilities were recorded at their respective fair values. The fair value of substantially all of the Company's long-lived assets was determined using information provided by third-party appraisers.

The Company has determined that the most meaningful presentation of financial information would be to provide comparative analysis of the financial performance of the Successor Company for the thirty-nine-week periods ended December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001. This is designated below as Successor Company discussion and analysis.

Additionally, the financial analysis detailed below provides a comparative analysis of the financial performance of the Successor Company

-15-

for the period December 31, 2001 through March 31, 2002 compared to the Predecessor Company financial performance for the period January 1, 2001 through April 1, 2001.

The Company has also presented comparative analysis of the financial performance for the Successor Company for the periods April 3, 2001 through December 30, 2001 compared to the Predecessor Company for the period April 3, 2000 through December 31, 2000.

Additionally, the Predecessor Company financial analysis detailed below provides a comparative analysis of the financial performance of Raytech Corporation for the thirteen-week periods ended April 1, 2001 and April 2, 2000. The adjustments relating to the recording of reorganization expenses and other fresh-start adjustments for the one-day period ended April 2, 2001 are detailed in Note R to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company has elected not to present a comparative analysis for the fifty-two-week period ended December 29, 2002 since such information in the prior period would require consolidating statements of the Predecessor Company and the Successor Company. It was determined that the significance of the adjustments relating to the emergence from bankruptcy would render such an analysis not meaningful.

Accounting Policies

The Company's accounting policies are detailed in Note A - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to the audited Financial Statements. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Raytech Corporation and its majority-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The investment by third parties in Allomatic Products Company is accounted for as minority interest in the consolidated financial statements. There are no unconsolidated entities and Raytech does not use Special Purpose Entities (SPE's). The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported revenue and expenses made in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant estimates include inventory, receivable and environmental reserves; depreciable lives of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets, pension and other postretirement and postemployment benefits; and the recoverable value of deferred tax assets.

The most significant areas involving management's judgment are described below.

Accruals for environmental matters are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated or if an amount is likely to fall within a range and no amount within the range can be determined to be the better estimate, the minimum amount of the range is recorded. Remediation obligations are not recorded on a discounted basis. Reimbursements from insurance carriers relating to environmental matters are not recorded until it is probable that such recoveries will be realized. The accrual for environmental matters is

-16-

discussed in this Management Discussion and Analysis under the heading Provision for Environmental remediation.

Pension benefits and welfare benefits represent financial obligations that will be ultimately settled in the future with employees who meet eligibility requirements. Because of the uncertainties involved in estimating the timing and amount of future payments, significant estimates are required to calculate pension and welfare benefit expenses and liabilities related to the Company's plans. The Company utilizes the services of independent actuaries, whose models are used to facilitate these calculations. Several key assumptions are used in actuarial models to calculate pension expense and welfare benefit expense and liability amounts recorded in the financial statements. Management believes the three most significant variables in the pension models are the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, the discount rate, and the expected rate of compensation increase. Management believes the most significant assumption in the welfare benefit model is the healthcare cost trend rate. The actuarial models also use assumptions for various other factors, including employee turnover, retirement age, and mortality. Company management believes the assumptions used in the actuarial calculations are reasonable and are within accepted practices in each of the respective geographic locations in which we operate.

At December 29, 2002, the Company had goodwill and other intangibles of \$70.6 million, which were recorded as a result of the fresh-start accounting process in 2001. Management reviews goodwill and indefinite lived intangibles for impairment annually or when events or circumstances indicate that its value may have declined. In order to evaluate impairment of goodwill, assumptions about the future condition and operations of the business unit to which the goodwill and other indefinite lived intangible asset relates are made. Using these assumptions, management determines, with the assistance of other professionals, whether an impairment charge is required to reduce goodwill to its estimated fair value. The impairment evaluation process for other intangibles uses projected future cash flows (undiscounted). This test is performed when circumstances and events indicate that the carrying amount of an individual asset or grouping of assets may not be recoverable. Should undiscounted cash flows be less than the carrying amount of the assets, an impairment charge reducing the carrying amount to fair value is required.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Management believes that the assumptions made to evaluate goodwill and other intangibles impairment are appropriate and reasonable. However, changes in circumstances or conditions affecting these assumptions could result in impairment charges in future periods that may be material.

Successor Company Discussion and Analysis for the Thirty-Nine-Week Period Ended December 29, 2002 Compared to the Thirty-Nine-Week Period Ended December 30, 2001

In developing a comparative analysis for the thirty-nine-week periods ended December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001, the following table sets forth the quantitative information for the two periods.

-17-

	(in thousands)	
	Successor Company	
	Thirty-Nine Week Period Ended	
	December 29, 2002	December 30, 2001
	-----	-----
Sales	\$ 157,157	\$ 146,050
Cost of sales	(130,911)	(124,590)
	-----	-----
Gross profit	26,246	21,460
SG&A	(24,737)	(24,782)
Other operating income	-	31
	-----	-----
Operating profit (loss)	1,509	(3,291)
Interest expense	(626)	(873)
Reorganization items	-	(784)
Other	(2)	598
Provision for environmental and other claims	(5,400)	(5,860)
	-----	-----
Loss before income taxes, minority interest and extraordinary items	(4,519)	(10,210)
Income tax benefit	1,007	4,564
	-----	-----
Loss before minority interest and extraordinary items	(3,512)	(5,646)
Minority interest	(643)	(885)
	-----	-----
Loss before extraordinary item	(4,155)	(6,531)
Extraordinary item, net of tax	-	954
	-----	-----
Net loss	\$ (4,155)	\$ (5,577)
	=====	=====

Net Sales

Worldwide net sales were \$157.2 million for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 29, 2002 compared to \$146.1 million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$11.1 million or 7.6%. The detailed discussion for the increased sales period-over-period is contained in the Business Segment section.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Gross Profit

The gross profit for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 29, 2002 of \$26.2 million compares to the gross profit of \$21.5 million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$4.7 million or 22%. Gross profit as a percent of sales for the 2002 period is 16.7% compared to 14.7% for the same thirty-nine-week period in 2001, an increase in margin points of 2.0%. The gross profit in the 2001 period was reduced by \$5.9 million due to a step up in inventory value to fair value at April 2, 2001, which was an effect of the application of fresh-start accounting. The gross profit comparative period-to-period, taking into consideration the inventory adjustment, shows a margin decline of 2.0%. This decline is due substantially to certain startup costs associated with a new product line in the Wet Friction segment.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 29, 2002 were \$24.7 million compared to \$24.8 million in the same period in the prior year, a decrease of \$.1 million or 0.4%. The SG&A costs in the 2002 period include approximately \$1.5 million for severance costs for two officers of the Company and \$21 thousand for employment related costs associated with the new hires. SG&A expense as a percent of sales in the 2002 period of 15.7% compares to 17.0% in the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 29, 2002 totaled \$.6 million compared to \$.9 million for the same period in the prior year. Interest expense represents less than 1% of total expenses in both

-18-

periods.

Reorganization Item

In connection with the bankruptcy proceedings, Raytech incurred approximately \$784 thousand in professional fees during the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001. These fees related to accounting, legal, consulting and other miscellaneous services.

Provision for Environmental Remediation

The Company recorded, in the thirty-nine-week period ended December 29, 2002, a charge of \$5.4 million for the completion of the remediation project related to the Crawfordsville, Indiana, manufacturing facility. The accrued liability for the completion of this project at year-end is \$7.0 million. The historical and legal discussion of this project is contained in Note E to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. This remediation project, which is the subject of a unilateral administrative order of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, requires the removal of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB's), which were found in a drainage ditch near the Indiana facility. The remediation project consists of three separate segments of the ditch. The first segment was completed in the summer of 2002. The second segment was started in the fall of 2002 and completed in February 2003. The work on the final segment is expected to begin in the spring of 2003 and be completed by the fall of 2003. The completion of the third segment will fulfill the Company's obligation under the U.S. EPA order. In addition to the current year charge of \$5.4 million, the Company recorded a charge for remediation of \$5.9 million in 2001 and \$3.0

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

million in 2000. The Company is currently pursuing legal remedies against various parties to recover the cost of the remediation. These legal actions are discussed in the litigation section of this document.

Income Tax (Provision) Benefit

For tax reporting purposes, the Company's emergence from bankruptcy did not create a new tax reporting entity. Accordingly, the adjustments to adopt fresh-start accounting are not applicable for the Company's tax reporting. Therefore, with the exception of goodwill, these adjustments have created new deferred tax items.

The effective tax rate for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 29, 2002 was a 22.3% benefit compared to a 44.7% benefit in the same period in the prior year.

The effective tax rate for the year ended December 29, 2002 was 5.0% compared to 28.2% for the year ended December 30, 2001. In calculating the effective tax rate, the distinction between Successor Company and Predecessor Company is not relevant as explained above; therefore, the taxable income for book purposes in 2001 was \$93.3 million. The income tax provision for the current year is \$.08 million, hence the effective rate for the year is as noted, 5.0%.

The Company's effective tax rate differs from the federal statutory benefit of 35% due primarily to the effect of providing for certain State, taxes and the effect of an increase in the tax benefits payable to the PI Trust.

In connection with the Company's emergence from bankruptcy, the Company

-19-

recorded an income tax receivable and payable to the PI Trust in the amount of \$38 million resulting from net operating losses arising from the transfer of stock and cash to the PI Trust carried back to 1991 through 2000. Pursuant to the Tax Benefits Assignment and Assumption Agreement (the "Agreement"), all tax benefits received by the Company due to the reorganization are to be passed onto the PI Trust as received. During 2002, Raytech received tax refunds of \$33.1 million, which were paid to the PI Trust. Additionally, future payments to the PI Trust and others will create additional tax deductions, which will inure to the benefit of the PI Trust in accordance with the Agreement. These include deductions for payments to the PI Trust of tax benefits associated with the utilization of the operating losses created by the reorganization, and contributions made to the Raymark pension plan. To the extent that Raytech Corporation generates losses in future periods, exclusive of losses attributable to the payments discussed above, those losses will be retained by the Company. The method of allocation in utilizing future operating losses between the PI Trust and Raytech Corporation has not been determined at this time. The Company has tax loss carryforwards of \$74.8 million and tax credit carryforwards of \$1.2 million at December 29, 2002. The net operating loss carryforwards are allocated between Raytech Corporation and the PI Trust in the amounts of \$2.8 million and \$72.0 million, respectively. Additional tax recoveries expected to be received in future periods are shown as deferred tax assets and a deferred payable to the PI Trust which amounted to \$42.4 million at December 29, 2002 and \$41.8 million at December 30, 2001.

The Company is under audit for 1996 through 2001. Any tax assessment, up to the amount of the refunds received, arising from this audit, or any other years in the carryback period, are, pursuant to the Agreement, the responsibility of the PI Trust and will therefore reduce the deferred tax asset

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

associated with, and liability payable to, the PI Trust.

At December 29, 2002, the Company had foreign loss carryforwards of \$4.1 million (Germany \$.6 million and U.K. \$3.5 million), which do not expire. A full valuation allowance has been provided against the tax benefit of the U.K. carryforwards due to uncertainty of future profitability of these operations.

In 2000, the Company recorded a deferred tax asset of \$2.767 billion relating to the tax effects of the liabilities subject to compromise. Total deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2000 amounted to \$2.772 billion. Based on its historical domestic taxable income, the Company expected to realize approximately \$140 million of the deferred tax asset through the ten-year carryback of the previously paid domestic taxes and the expected tax benefits during the twenty-year carryforward period. In addition, the Company has recognized a deferred tax asset in connection with German loss carryforwards. Accordingly, the Company in 2000 had recorded a valuation allowance of \$2.633 billion against the deferred tax asset to state it at its expected net realizable value. The Plan became effective during 2001 and the liabilities subject to compromise were settled for less than the recorded amount of allowed claims. The net deferred tax asset was adjusted accordingly.

The Company owns 57% of the stock of Allomatic Products Company ("APC"). The Company has not recorded a deferred tax liability for the undistributed earnings of APC since management expects that those earnings will be distributed to the Company in a tax-free transaction. However, the deferred tax liability on the undistributed earnings of APC would be approximately \$1.3 million at

-20-

December 29, 2002, if all of APC's earnings were to be distributed through the distribution of dividends.

Extraordinary Item

A note payable to the former AFM principal dated April 1998 was settled in October 2001. The settlement agreement required a payment of \$3.1 million. Prior to the settlement, the Company had a note payable of \$3.0 million and accrued interest of \$1.6 million recorded. The Company has recorded an extraordinary gain in the fourth quarter of 2001 in the amount of \$954 thousand net of taxes of \$594 thousand, which was comprised substantially of accrued interest.

Business Segment and Geographic Area Results

The following discussion of operating results by industry segment and geographic area related to information contained in Note F in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Operating profit is income before income taxes, minority interest, provision for asbestos litigation, provision for environmental claims and extraordinary items.

	(in thousands)	
	Thirty-Nine-Week Period Ended	
Sales Summary	December 29, 2002	December 30, 2001
Wet Friction	\$ 103,582	\$ 95,512

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Aftermarket	33,708	34,384
Dry Friction	27,091	22,074
Eliminations	(7,224)	(5,920)
	-----	-----
 Net sales	 \$ 157,157	 \$ 146,050
	=====	=====

Wet Friction Segment

The Wet Friction segment recorded sales of \$103.6 million for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 29, 2002 compared to \$95.5 million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$8.1 million or 8.5%. The increase was due to increased sales to the automotive OEM component of the Wet Friction segment. The increased sales were substantially the result of acquiring additional business with General Motors, which provided additional sales of \$6.0 million, and a stronger automotive production environment in the thirty-nine-week period in 2002, which contributed additional sales of \$3.3 million, compared to the automotive market in the same period in 2001. Sales growth in the automotive OEM component was offset by a decline in sales of \$1.2 million in the heavy duty component of this segment due to the slow economy in construction and mining and strong competition.

The operating profit for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 29, 2002 of \$1.8 million compares to the operating profit of \$3.4 million for the same period in the prior year. The reduced operating profit is the result of startup costs associated with the expanded automotive OEM business acquired in 2002.

Aftermarket Segment

The Aftermarket segment recorded sales of \$33.7 million for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 29, 2002 compared to \$34.4 million for the same period in the prior year, a decrease of \$.7 million or 2.0%. Operating profit for this thirty-nine-week period of \$5.4 million compares to \$6.0 million for the

-21-

same period in the prior year, a decrease of \$.6 million, a reduction of 10.0%. The reduced operating profit is attributable to the lower sales period-over-period and increased SG&A expenses.

Dry Friction Segment

The Dry Friction segment recorded sales of \$27.1 million for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 29, 2002 compared to \$22.1 million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$5.0 million or 22.6%. The sales increase was due to improved sales through the production facility in China, which accounted for a substantial portion of the sales growth. Sales through the production facility in Germany measured in the euro, which is the functional currency, were EUR21.6 million compared to EUR20.5 million in the same period in the prior year. The operating profits for the Dry Friction segment for the thirty-nine-week period of \$.9 million compares to operating profit of \$.6 million in the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$.3 million. Operating profit measured in euros for the German operation was EUR.7 million compared to EUR.8 million in 2001. The increased profit is attributable to the growth in China.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Results of Operations for the Successor Company for the Period December 31, 2001 through March 31, 2002 and Predecessor Company for the Period January 1, 2001 through April 1, 2001

Net Sales

Worldwide net sales of \$52.7 million for the thirteen-week period ended March 31, 2002 compared to \$55.2 million for the same period in the prior year for a decline of \$2.5 million or 4.5%. The details of the sales performance are presented below distinguishing the sales performance in each business segment.

The Wet Friction segment reported sales of \$34.3 million in the first quarter of 2002 compared to \$37.0 million in the same period in the prior year, a decline of \$2.7 million, representing a significant portion of the sales decline for the Company in the period. The primary market impacted is the heavy duty component of this segment in both Europe and domestically. The automobile OEM component of this segment reflected sales at the same level as 2001.

The Aftermarket segment recorded net sales of \$12.5 million for the thirteen-week period ended March 31, 2002 compared to \$13.1 million for the same period in the prior year, a decline of \$.6 million or 4.6%. The sales decline was due to a variety of issues including the mild winter weather, better inventory management at our customers and the improved quality of components at the OEM level.

The Dry Friction segment recorded sales of \$8.2 million for the first quarter of 2002 compared to \$8.1 million in the same period in the prior year. The sales reflect increased sales through the operation in China of \$1.3 million offset by reduced sales through the operation in Germany of approximately the same amount. The reduction in German sales includes a negative currency translation impact of approximately \$.4 million.

Gross Profit

The Company recorded gross profit of \$10.5 million for the thirteen-week period ended March 31, 2002 on sales of \$52.7 million yielding a gross margin percentage of 20.0%. This compares to a gross profit of \$11.4 million for the same period in the prior year on sales of \$55.2 million, a gross profit margin of 20.6%. The gross profit in 2002 was reduced by \$1.1 million due to increased

-22-

depreciation and amortization as a result of the application of fresh-start accounting post first quarter of 2001. The impact of the increased amortization and depreciation was a reduction in the gross profit margin of 2.1%. On a comparable basis, the gross margin has increased period-over-period 1.5 percentage points due to cost reduction programs instituted throughout 2001 and the first quarter of 2002.

Selling, General and Administrative

The selling, general and administrative expenses for the thirteen-week period ended March 31, 2002 were \$7.6 million compared to \$7.7 million for the same period in the prior year, a reduction of \$.1 million or 1.3%. The lower costs reflect the impact of certain cost reduction programs implemented in 2001 balanced with Raytech's commitment to investing in technology for future growth.

Interest Expense

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Interest expense for the first quarter of \$.3 million compares to interest expense, excluding Raymark interest, of \$.4 million in the same period in the prior year, a reduction of 25.0%. The reduction is due to lower rates in 2002 on domestic debt. The interest rate on foreign debt is approximately the same.

Operating Profits

The following discussion of operating profits by industry segment relates to information contained in Note F - Segment Reporting to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Operating profit is income before provision for asbestos litigation, provision for environmental and other claims, income taxes, minority interest and extraordinary items.

Operating profit of \$2.8 million was recorded for the first quarter of 2002 compared to \$3.5 million for the same period in the prior year, a decrease of \$.7 million or 20.0%. The operating profit was negatively affected by the reduced sales period-over-period of \$2.5 million. Additionally, operating profits were reduced by the impact of fresh-start accounting due to the increase in depreciation and amortization of \$1.1 million in comparing first quarter 2002 to the first quarter of 2001.

The Wet Friction segment posted operating profits of \$1.5 million, an increase of \$.2 million over the same period in the prior year, or an increase of 15.4%. This increase was accomplished on lower sales of \$2.7 million and was due to implementing cost reduction programs in 2001 and 2002.

The Aftermarket segment recorded operating profit for the quarter of \$2.6 million compared to \$2.1 million in the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$.5 million or 23.8%. The improved operating income performance despite a decrease in sales is due to cost reduction programs initiated in 2001 and 2002 coupled with improved material pricing. Additionally, management works closely with the work force in this segment to maximize the peaks and valleys of manufacturing and shipping product in the aftermarket industry.

The Dry Friction segment recorded operating profit of \$.8 million for the thirteen-week period ended March 31, 2002 compared to \$.8 million in the same period in the prior year. The operating income reflects improved operating profits from the operation in China offset by reduced operating profit in Europe. The decline in Europe is due primarily to lower volume sales.

Income Taxes

-23-

The effective tax rate for the thirteen-week period ended March 31, 2002 is 38.5% compared to an effective rate of 42% for the same period in the prior year. The rate for the current period reflects a statutory federal rate adjusted for state and foreign taxes. The rate differs from the 2001 rate by 3.5 percentage points caused primarily by certain adjustments in the prior period related to the bankruptcy process.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents at December 29, 2002 were \$20.0 million compared to \$14.5 million at December 30, 2001, an increase of \$5.5 million year-over-year. Cash flow from operating activities for the 2002 year were \$13.0 million compared to \$14.2 million in 2001, a decrease of \$1.2 million year-over-year. Capital expenditures for the 2002 year of \$9.6 million were approximately the same compared to the 2001 capital expenditures of \$10.2

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

million. Both years' capital expenditures were in line with management's expectations. The net cash from financing activities for 2002 of \$1.8 million compares to net cash used in financing activities in 2001 of \$3.1 million, a change year-over-year of \$4.9 million. The net cash provided by financing activities in 2002 represents net borrowings of \$1.3 million in addition to the proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$.5 million.

Accounts receivable at December 29, 2002 of \$26.6 million compares to \$23.0 million at December 30, 2001, an increase of \$3.6 million. Days sales in accounts receivable at year-end 2002 of 46 days compares to 42 days sales in accounts receivable at year-end 2001, an increase of 4 days.

Inventory at year-end 2002 of \$34.1 million compares to \$31.6 million at year-end 2001, an increase of \$2.5 million. Days sales in inventory at year-end 2002 of 72 days represents an increase of 4 days over the 2001 level of 68 days.

The Company maintains borrowing facilities both domestically and with foreign lenders, the details of which are contained in Note D to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The following represents the outstanding debt and available lines of credit at year-end 2002 and 2001:

	(in thousands)				
	2002			2001	
	Current	Non-Current	Total	Current	Non-Cu
Domestic bank debt	\$11,306	\$ -	\$11,306	\$ 6,209	\$ 2,750
Foreign bank debt	3,609	4,095	7,704	3,934	3,895
Total bank debt	14,915	4,095	19,010	10,143	6,645
Leases	176	198	374	119	175
Total borrowings	\$15,091	\$ 4,293	\$19,384	\$10,262	\$ 6,820

Available lines of credit:

	2002	2001
Domestic	\$ 5,006	\$ 6,129
Foreign	3,829	1,265
Total	\$ 8,835	\$ 7,394

The current domestic loan agreement with Congress Financial Corporation has a covenant requiring the borrowing companies to maintain a twelve-month rolling earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) of \$15 million (see Note D). The domestic borrowing facility matures in

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

September 2003. The Company is reviewing borrowing alternatives and intends to enter into a new lending arrangement by September 2003.

The Company assumed the liability for the Raymark pension plans as part of the reorganization. Funding for the plans in 2003 is expected to be approximately \$7.6 million.

The Company is complying with a Federal Order issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at its manufacturing facility in Crawfordsville, Indiana. The Company reevaluated the projected cost to complete this project in 2002 and determined that, based on work completed to date, the estimate previously received from its environmental engineering firm was not sufficient to complete the project. The Company has employed a new environmental engineering firm and a new construction company to complete this project. The scope of the cleanup plan has not changed, and the additional charge recorded during the year, of \$5.4 million, is expected to be sufficient to complete this project.

The Company has an accrued liability at December 29, 2002 of \$7.0 million for the completion of the remediation. The Company began the year with an accrued liability of \$6.8 million, reduced by payments of \$5.2 million and increased by an additional accrual of \$5.4 million, providing for the ending accrual of \$7.0 million. This remediation project is more fully described under the heading Provision for Environmental Remediation. Additionally, see Note E to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pursuant to the Tax Benefits Assignment and Assumption Agreement (the "Agreement"), all tax benefits received by the Company due to the reorganization are to be passed onto the PI Trust as received. At December 29, 2002, the Company had tax loss carryforwards of \$ 74.8 million and tax credit carryforwards of \$1.2 million. The net operating loss carryforwards are allocated between Raytech Corporation and the PI Trust in the amounts of \$2.8 million and \$72.0 million, respectively. Additionally, future payments to the PI Trust and others will create additional tax deductions, which will inure to the benefit of the PI Trust in accordance with the Agreement. These include deductions for payments to the PI Trust of tax benefits associated with the utilization of the net operating losses created by the reorganization, and contributions made to the Raymark pension plan. To the extent Raytech Corporation generates net operating losses in future periods, exclusive of net operating losses attributable to the payments discussed above, those net operating losses will be retained by the Company. The method of allocation in utilizing future net operating losses between the PI Trust and Raytech Corporation has not been determined at this time. Additional tax recoveries expected to be received in future periods are shown as deferred tax assets and a deferred payable to the PI Trust which amounted to \$42.4 million at December 29, 2002.

The Company is under an IRS audit for 1996 through 2001. Any tax assessment, up to the amount of the refunds received, arising from this audit, or any other years in the carryback period, are, pursuant to the Agreement, the

-25-

responsibility of the PI Trust and will therefore reduce the deferred tax assets associated with, and liability payable to, the PI Trust.

Management believes that existing cash balances, the Company's ability to replace the current lending facility and cash flow from operations during 2003 will be sufficient to meet all of the Company's obligations arising in the normal course of business, including anticipated capital investments.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Financial Risks

The Company maintains lines of credit with United States and foreign banks, as well as other creditors detailed in Note D in the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company is naturally exposed to various interest rate risk and foreign currency risk in its normal course of business.

The Company effectively manages its accounts receivable as evidenced by the average days sales in trade receivables of 46 days. This allows for minimum borrowings in supporting inventory and trade receivables. Management does not anticipate a significant change in fiscal policy in any of its borrowing markets in 2003 given current economic conditions. Further, the Company can reduce the short-term impact of interest rate fluctuation through deferral of capital investment should the need arise.

The Company maintains borrowings in both fixed rate and variable rate debt instruments. The fixed rate debt at year-end 2002 of \$6.3 million had rates of interest that ranged from 2.5% to 6.2%. The variable rate debt at year-end 2002 of \$13.1 million had rates of interest that ranged from 4.2% to 9.6%. The variable debt reprices either at prime rate or the Eurodollar rate. The Company has not entered into any interest rate management programs such as interest rate swaps or other derivative type transactions. The amount of exposure which could be created by increases in rates is not considered significant by management.

The local currencies of the Company's foreign subsidiaries have been designated as their functional currencies. Accordingly, financial statements of foreign operations are translated using the exchange rate at the balance sheet date for assets and liabilities, historical exchange rates for elements of stockholders' equity and an average exchange rate in effect during the year for revenues and expenses. Where possible, the Company attempts to mitigate foreign currency translation effects by borrowing in local currencies to fund operations. The Company does not believe that the fluctuation in foreign currency will have a material adverse effect on the Company's overall financial condition. Additionally, the Company does not enter into agreements to manage any currency transaction risks.

Outlook

The Company presently estimates financial performance in 2003 to be similar to the 2002 year financial performance, absent the charges for environmental remediation. There are typical business risks which exist in the domestic and foreign markets, which could negatively impact management's estimate.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standard No. 145 (SFAS 145) "Recision of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13 and Technical Corrections." The Statement rescinds Statement 4, which required all gains and losses from extinguishment of debt to be aggregated and, when material, classified as an extraordinary item net of the related income tax effect. FAS 145 also amends FAS 13 to require that certain lease modifications having economic effect similar to sale-leaseback transactions be accounted for in the same manner as

-26-

sale-leaseback transactions. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 145 as of April 1, 2002 except for the provisions which rescind SFAS 4, which will

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

be adopted in fiscal 2003. SFAS 145 did not have an effect on the financial position or results of operations for 2002.

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standard No. 146 (SFAS No. 146), "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." The objectives of SFAS No. 146 are to address financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities. SFAS No. 146 nullified Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." The principal difference between SFAS No. 146 and Issue No. 94-3 relates to its requirements for recognition of a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity to be recognized when the liability is incurred. Under Issue No. 94-3, a liability for an exit cost as defined in Issue No. 94-3 was recognized at the date of an entity's commitment to an exit plan. The provisions of SFAS No. 146 will be effective for the Company for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002.

In December 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standard No. 148 (SFAS No. 148) "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure." This Statement amends FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily changes to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also amends the disclosure provisions of that Statement to require prominent disclosure about the effects on reported net income of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation. Finally, this Statement amends APB Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting, to require disclosure about those effects in interim financial information. The Company adopted the disclosure provisions of this Statement as of December 29, 2002. Should the Company choose to implement the fair value based method for its stock-based compensation in the future, it will be required to adopt the remaining provisions of SFAS No. 148.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45 (FIN 45), "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others." FIN 45 clarifies the requirements of SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," relating to a guarantor's accounting for, and disclosure of, the issuance of certain types of guarantees. For certain guarantees issued after December 31, 2002, FIN 45 requires a guarantor to recognize, upon issuance of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligations it assumes under the guarantee. Guarantees issued prior to January 1, 2003 are not subject to liability recognition but are subject to expanded disclosure requirements. We do not believe that the adoption of this Interpretation will have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or statement of operations.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46) "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51." Under FIN 46, which requires companies to consolidate variable interest entities for which the company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary, and to disclose information about variable interest entities in which the company has a significant variable interest. FIN 46 became effective immediately for variable interest entities formed after January 31, 2003 and will become effective in the third quarter of 2003 for any variable interest entities formed prior to February 1, 2003. Raytech Corporation will adopt FIN 46 as it becomes effective but does not have any such entities.

Results of Operations for the Successor Company for the Period April 3, 2001

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

through December 30, 2001 compared with the Predecessor Company for the Period April 3, 2000 through December 31, 2000

Raytech Corporation revenues for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 were \$146.1 million compared to \$172.1 million in the same period in the prior year. Raytech Corporation recorded a net loss of \$5.6 million for the 39-week period ended December 30, 2001 compared to a net loss of \$7.1 billion for the same period in the prior year. The Company recorded \$.13 and \$2,012.64 loss per basic share for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 and December 31, 2000, respectively.

In developing a comparative analysis of the thirty-nine-week periods ended December 30, 2001 and December 31, 2000, the following table sets forth the quantitative information for the two periods. The periods are unusual due to the recording of certain adjustments relating to the emergence from bankruptcy in 2001 and the recording of the significant amounts pertaining to the liabilities subject to compromise in 2000. It is important for the reader to be aware of these events in reading the comparative information.

	(in thousands)	
	Thirty-Nine-Week Period Ended	
	December 30, 2001	December 31, 2000
	-----	-----
	(Successor Company)	(Predecessor Company)
Sales	\$ 146,050	\$ 172,057
Cost of sales	(124,590)	(130,677)
	-----	-----
Gross profit	21,460	41,380
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(24,782)	(23,706)
Other	31	166
	-----	-----
Operating (loss) profit	(3,291)	17,840
Interest expense	(873)	(1,639)
Reorganization items	(784)	-
Other	598	1,034
Provision for environmental	(5,860)	(450,250)
Provision for asbestos litigation	-	(6,760,000)
	-----	-----
Loss before minority interest, income taxes and extraordinary item	(10,210)	(7,193,015)
Income tax benefit	4,564	130,247
	-----	-----
Loss before minority interest and extraordinary item	(5,646)	(7,062,768)
Minority interest	(885)	(1,030)
	-----	-----
Loss before extraordinary item	(6,531)	(7,063,798)
Extraordinary item	954	-
	-----	-----
Net loss	\$ (5,577)	\$ (7,063,798)
	=====	=====

Net Sales

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Worldwide net sales were \$146.1 million for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 compared to \$172.1 million in the same period in the prior year, a reduction of \$26 million or 15.1%. The Wet Friction segment sales for the period of \$95.5 million were lower than the prior period amount of \$117.9 million by \$22.4 million or 19.0%. The sales shortfall in this segment is caused by a reduction in sales in the heavy duty original equipment component of this segment as well as the automotive original equipment market. The poor economy in the United States was the primary reason for this decline. The Aftermarket segment recorded sales of \$34.4 million for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 compared to \$43.4 million for the same

-28-

period in the prior year, a reduction of \$9.0 million or 20.7%. The reduction is due to the loss of business at major customers. The Dry Friction segment recorded sales for the period of \$22.1 million compared to \$20.6 million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$1.5 million or 7.3%. The increase was due substantially to improved production and sales through the facility in China.

The changes in the individual segments are more fully detailed in the Business Segment section.

Gross Profit

The gross profit for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 of \$21.5 million is \$19.9 million less than the recorded amount of \$41.4 million for the same period in the prior year, a decline of 48%. The gross profit expressed as a percentage was 14.7% for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 compared to 24.1% for the same period in the prior year, a decline of 9.4 percentage points. The gross profit was reduced period-over-period by increased amortization of intangibles of \$1.5 million and increased depreciation of \$1.6 million due to the adjustments to the balance sheet accounts in applying fresh-start accounting. In addition, the gross profit for the period was reduced by \$5.9 million due to the step-up in inventory value to fair value also as a consequence of applying fresh-start accounting. The sum of the aforementioned adjustments reduced gross profit by \$9 million, or 21.7%, in comparison to the results for the same period in the prior year. The remaining reduction of \$10.9 million (26.3%) is a result of the reduced sales period-over-period of \$26 million. The 42% impact on gross margin is consistent with the historic contribution margins maintained by the Company. During the 2001 period, the Company instituted various cost reduction programs focused on reducing material and labor costs and the variable components of overhead costs. Overall the number of employees were reduced from 1,642 to 1,531, a reduction of 111 employees or 6.8%.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses for the period April 3, 2001 through December 30, 2001 were \$24.8 million compared to \$23.7 million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$1.1 million or 5%. The increase period-over-period is due in part to the increase in the amortization of intangible assets of \$2 million, which is a result of the application of fresh-start accounting. In addition, legal and professional fees for the period amounted to \$2.5 million related to environmental issues, certain costs associated with litigation in support of the Raymark actions for recovery of certain assets, other legal matters and other professional fees. Certain of these fees were historically used to offset the Raymark debt (under the Raymark indemnification agreement) in the prior period. In connection with the

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

bankruptcy proceedings, Raytech assumed the liability for the Raymark pension plans. The pension cost for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 amounted to \$784 thousand. This plan was not a liability for Raytech in the same period in the prior year. These increased expenses

-29-

were offset by cost reduction programs, primarily in human resources, within the traditional costs associated with SG&A.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 totaled \$.9 million compared to \$1.6 million in the same period in the prior year, a decrease of \$.7 million or 44%. The elimination of the Raymark debt, as a component of the Plan of Reorganization accounted for \$.2 million of the decline. The remaining \$.5 million was due to the reduction in the domestic borrowing rate from an average of 5.0% for the period April 3, 2001 through December 30, 2001 compared to an average borrowing rate of 9.5% for the same period in the prior year.

Reorganization Items

In connection with the bankruptcy proceedings, Raytech incurred approximately \$784 thousand in professional fees during the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001. These fees related to accounting, legal, consulting and other miscellaneous services.

Provision for Environmental and Asbestos Litigation

The Company recorded an expense for environmental liability during the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 of \$5.9 million. The Company is complying with a Federal Order issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at its manufacturing facility in Crawfordsville, Indiana. The Company has an accrued liability of \$7.4 million at December 30, 2001, which should provide for full remediation and fines in compliance with the Order. See Note E in the Consolidated Financial Statements for more details.

In addition, in the thirty-nine-week period ended December 31, 2000, the Company recorded as a component of the liabilities subject to compromise an expense of \$450.3 million, which consisted of \$431.8 million in claims from the Federal and certain State Governments for certain environmental issues, \$16 million as an estimate for the Raymark pension obligation and \$2.5 million for certain claims related to other Raymark employee plans. In addition, an expense of \$6.8 billion was recorded during the period to reflect the estimated claims associated with the asbestos litigation. All of the above matters were settled in conjunction with the Plan of Reorganization.

Income Tax Benefit

For tax reporting purposes, the Company's emergence from bankruptcy did not create a new tax reporting entity. Accordingly, the adjustments to adopt fresh-start accounting are not applicable for the Company's tax reporting. Therefore, with the exception of goodwill, these adjustments have created new deferred tax items.

The effective tax rate for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 was a 45% benefit compared to a 1.8% benefit in the same period in the prior year.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

The effective tax rate for the year ended December 30, 2001 was 28% compared to 42% for the year ended December 31, 2000, excluding the deferred tax benefit in 2000 referred to below. In calculating the effective tax rate, the distinction between Successor Company and Predecessor Company is not relevant as explained above; therefore, the taxable income for book purposes in 2001 is \$93.3 million. The income tax provision for this period is \$26.3

-30-

million, hence the effective rate for the year is as noted, 28%.

The Company's tax rate differs from the federal statutory rate of 35% due primarily to the effect of recording goodwill as part of the fresh-start adjustments, which reduced the tax rate for the period approximately 9%. Additionally, the effect of providing for certain State, foreign and other tax adjustments increased the effective rate 2%.

In connection with the Company's emergence from bankruptcy, the Company recorded an income tax receivable and payable to the PI Trust in the amount of \$38 million resulting from net operating losses arising from the transfer of stock and cash to the PI Trust carried back to 1991 through 2000. Pursuant to the Tax Benefits Assignment and Assumption Agreement (the "Agreement"), all tax benefits received by the Company due to the reorganization are to be passed onto the PI Trust as received. The Company has tax loss carryforwards of \$30.2 million and tax credit carryforwards of \$4.9 million at December 30, 2001, all of which will inure to the benefit of the PI Trust. Additionally, future payments to the PI Trust and others will create additional tax deductions, which will inure to the benefit of the PI Trust in accordance with the Agreement. These include deductions for payments to the PI Trust of tax benefits associated with the utilization of the operating losses created by the reorganization, and contributions made to the Raymark pension plan. To the extent Raytech Corporation generates losses in future periods, exclusive of losses attributable to the payments discussed above, those losses will be retained by the Company. The method of allocation in utilizing future operating losses between the PI Trust and Raytech Corporation has not been determined at this time. Additional tax recoveries to be received in future periods are shown as deferred tax assets and a deferred payable to the PI Trust which amounted to \$41.8 million at December 30, 2001.

The Company has filed for and received in 2002 Federal tax refunds of \$32.1 million. Pursuant to the Agreement, Raytech has paid over to the Trust \$22.5 million of the refund and retained \$9.6 million as required by the holdback provision of the Agreement. The Company expects to file returns relating to the \$6 million due from state governments in 2002.

The Company is under audit for 1996 through 1998, and it is anticipated the audit will be expanded through year-end 2001. Any tax assessment, up to the amount of the refunds received, arising from this audit, or the future audit of the current year, or any other years in the carryback period, are, pursuant to the Agreement, the responsibility of the PI Trust and will therefore reduce the deferred tax asset associated with, and liability payable to, the PI Trust.

At December 30, 2001, the Company had foreign loss carryforwards of \$3.1 million (Germany \$1.2 million, China \$0.2 million and U.K. \$1.7 million), which do not expire. A valuation allowance has been provided against the tax benefit of the U.K. carryforwards due to uncertainty of future profitability of these operations.

In 2000, the Company recorded a deferred tax asset of \$2.767 billion relating to the tax effects of the liabilities subject to compromise. Total

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2000 amounted to \$2.772 billion. Based on its historical domestic taxable income, the Company expected to realize approximately \$140 million of the deferred tax asset through the ten-year carryback of the previously paid domestic taxes and the expected tax benefits during the twenty-year carryforward period. In addition, the Company has recognized a deferred tax asset in connection with German loss carryforwards. Accordingly, the Company in 2000 had recorded a valuation

-31-

allowance of \$2.633 billion against the deferred tax asset to state it at its expected net realizable value. The Plan became effective during 2001 and the liabilities subject to compromise were settled for less than the recorded amount of allowed claims. The net deferred tax asset was adjusted accordingly.

The Company owns 56.95% of the stock of Allomatic Products Company ("APC"). The Company has not recorded a deferred tax liability for the undistributed earnings of APC since management expects that those earnings will be distributed to the Company in a tax-free transaction. However, the deferred tax liability on the undistributed earnings of APC would be approximately \$1.0 million at December 30, 2001, if all of APC's earnings were to be distributed through the distribution of dividends.

Extraordinary Item

A note payable to the former AFM principal dated April 1998 was settled in October 2001. The settlement agreement required a payment of \$3.1 million. Prior to the settlement, the Company had a note payable of \$3.0 million and accrued interest of \$1.6 million recorded. The Company has recorded an extraordinary gain in the fourth quarter of 2001 in the amount of \$954 thousand net of taxes of \$594 thousand, which was comprised substantially of accrued interest.

Business Segment and Geographic Area Results

The following discussion of operating results by industry segment and geographic area relates to information contained in Note F in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Operating profit is income before provision for asbestos litigation, provision for environmental and other claims, income taxes, minority interest, and extraordinary items.

Successor Company Net Sales by Business Segment (in thousands)

Wet Friction	\$ 95,512
Aftermarket	34,384
Dry Friction	22,074

Wet Friction Segment

(in thousands)
Net Sales Operating Profit

SUCCESSOR COMPANY
April 3, 2001 through

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

December 30, 2001	\$ 95,512	\$ 3,387
	-----	-----
PREDECESSOR COMPANY		
April 3, 2000 through		
December 31, 2000	\$ 117,927	\$11,740
	-----	-----

Wet Friction Segment

Revenues decreased 19.0% percent to \$95.5 million during the period April 3, 2001 through December 30, 2001 as compared with \$117.9 million in the same period in the prior year. The decline was caused primarily by the low automobile production in North America as the slow economy impacted the buying patterns of consumers. As inventory levels of automobiles increased during the period April through September 2001, production schedules at our facilities were adjusted to reflect the changes in demand. In the period October through December 2001, automobile sales increased due to favorable financing packages available to consumers. These increased sales served to reduce automobile

-32-

inventory levels rather than provide for increased production at our facilities. In addition to the impact of the poor economy in the United States, the Company provided price concessions totaling \$.9 million. Further, the loss of business in the automobile OEM component of the segment reflected \$2.8 million of lost business due to technology changes in certain transmissions manufactured by our customer base. This reduction was offset somewhat by providing components for the new technology. Additionally, the loss of certain business to foreign production amounted to \$2.4 million in reduced sales. The remaining sales decline of \$12.9 million was attributed to the poor economy.

The operating profit for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 of \$3.4 million compared unfavorably to the \$11.7 million recorded in the same period in the prior year, a reduction of \$8.3 million. The sales reduction of \$22.4 million for the period was the cause of the reduced operating profit. The relationship between sales and operating profit reflects a 65% decrease in operating profit as a percentage of reduced sales. The Company was able to maintain this ratio through cost reduction programs, which were implemented in a timely manner.

Aftermarket Segment

	(in thousands)	
	Net Sales	Operating Profit
	-----	-----
SUCCESSOR COMPANY		
April 3, 2001 through		
December 30, 2001	\$ 34,384	\$ 6,035
	-----	-----
PREDECESSOR COMPANY		
April 3, 2000 through		
December 31, 2000	\$ 43,448	\$ 8,207
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

The Aftermarket segment recorded sales of \$34.4 million for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 compared to \$43.4 million for the same period in the prior year, a decrease of \$9.0 million or 20.7%. The decrease in sales is due to the loss of business at major customers. In addition to the poor economy, this segment lost sales due to the acquisition of a major customer by a group which was more aligned to purchasing friction products from a major competitor of Raytech. This accounted for \$6.3 million in reduced sales. Further, another major customer brought in-house the manufacturing of certain steel plate parts, which was reflected in reduced sales of \$2.3 million. The remaining sales reduction of \$.4 million was attributed to the poor U.S. economy.

Operating profits for the Aftermarket segment for the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 were \$6.0 million compared to \$8.2 million for the same period in the prior year, a reduction of \$2.2 million or 26.8%. The Aftermarket segment reacted in a timely manner to the sales decline and reduced costs through an internal reorganization which streamlined certain distribution functions and also reduced costs in the manufacturing process.

-33-

Dry Friction Segment

	(in thousands)	
	Net Sales	Operating Profit (Loss)
	-----	-----
SUCCESSOR COMPANY		
April 3, 2001 through		
December 30, 2001	\$ 22,074	\$ 603
	-----	-----
PREDECESSOR COMPANY		
April 3, 2000 through		
December 31, 2000	\$ 20,581	\$ (.3)
	-----	-----

Revenues of \$22.1 million were recorded in the thirty-nine-week period ended December 30, 2001 compared to \$20.6 for the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$1.5 million or 7.3%. The increase was due to increased sales through the operations in China, which were opened in 1998. Revenues for the China operations for the period were \$4.8 million compared to \$3.0 million for the same period in 2000, an increase of \$1.8 million or 60%. The revenue generated through the German operation was substantially the same as in the same period in the prior year.

The operating profits for this segment for the period of \$.6 million compared to a loss in the same period in the prior year of \$.3 million, an increase period-over-period of \$.9 million. This improved operating profit is attributable entirely to the increased sales through the China facility.

Results of Operations for the Predecessor Company for the Thirteen-Week-Periods ended April 1, 2001 and April 2, 2000

Raytech Corporation recorded net income for the thirteen-week period ended April 1, 2001 of \$1.7 million or \$.49 per basic share as compared to \$4.8 million or \$1.38 per basic share for the same period in the prior year. The

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

reduced earnings were due primarily to the slow U.S. economy and the significantly lower automobile production for Raytech's original equipment manufacturing customers. As detailed below, the Wet Friction segment was hardest hit, recording lower sales of \$9.9 million compared to 2000, a decline of 22.5%.

The Aftermarket segment was also negatively affected by the poor economy, which is reflected in the reduced sales of \$1.9 million compared to the same period in 2000, a reduction of 12.7%.

Net Sales

Worldwide net sales of \$55.2 million for the thirteen-week period ended April 1, 2001 were less than net sales for the same period in the prior year of \$67.5 million by \$12.3 million or 18.2%.

The Wet Friction segment reported sales of \$37.0 million in the first quarter of 2001 compared to \$47.2 million for the same period in the prior year, a decline of \$10.2 million or 21.6%. Approximately 50% of the reduced sales in this segment were due to lower demand from the automotive original equipment customers as the demand for new cars and light trucks was lower in the first quarter of 2001 compared with the prior year first quarter. Additionally, the production of new cars and light trucks was further affected by the apparent desire of the Big 3 U.S. automobile manufacturers to reduce inventory levels. In the North American market, light vehicle production fell approximately 20% period-over-period. In addition to the decline in automobile original equipment sales, this segment was also affected by the loss of a portion of the business of a heavy duty customer. This loss of business to foreign competition accounted for approximately \$5.1 million or 50% of the sales decline period-over-period.

-34-

The Aftermarket segment reported sales of \$13.1 million for the thirteen-week period ended April 1, 2001 compared to \$15.0 million for the same period in 2000, a decrease of \$1.9 million or 12.7%. The sales decline was due to a variety of issues, most significantly the softness in the U.S. economy and the automobile sector in particular. Further, the competitive issues in this market segment have continued from the prior year.

The Dry Friction segment recorded sales of \$8.1 million for the first quarter of 2001 compared to \$8.7 million for the same period in the prior year, a decline of \$.6 million or 6.9%. The German operation, which represents over 92% of the sales of this segment, reported sales of DM16.0 million in the first quarter of 2001 compared to DM16.3 million in the same period in the prior year. The reduced sales for this segment is substantially due to the decline in the deutsche mark period-over-period.

Gross Profit

Gross profit as a percentage of sales for the thirteen-week period ended April 1, 2001 was 20.6% as compared to 26.8% for the same period in the prior year, a decrease of 6.2 percentage points. The reduced gross profit is a direct result of the reduced sales volume experienced by Raytech in the first quarter of 2001 compared to 2000. The decrease in sales period-over-period was \$12.3 million. The resulting decrease in gross profit is caused primarily by under absorbed overhead.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased 11.5% to \$7.7 million, as compared to \$8.7 million in the first quarter of the prior year. The

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

decrease is attributable to lower salary expenses and employee reductions.

Interest Expense

Interest expense, excluding Raymark interest, for the period of \$.4 million is \$.1 million less than the same period in the prior year amount of \$.5 million, a reduction of 20%. The reduction in interest expense is due to the 1% reduction in the interest rate on domestic bank debt period-over-period.

Operating Profits

The following discussion of operating results by industry segment relates to information contained in Note F to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Operating profit is income before provision for asbestos litigation, provision for environmental and other claims, income taxes, minority interest and extraordinary items.

Operating profit decreased \$5.6 million or 61.5% in the first quarter of 2001 to \$3.5 million as compared to \$9.1 million in the first quarter of 2000. The decline in operating profit, as more fully explained below, was due to the reduced sales of \$12.3 million as compared to the same period in the prior year.

The Wet Friction segment posted operating profit of \$1.3 million in the first quarter of 2001 as compared to \$6.4 million in 2000, a decline of \$5.1 million or 79.7%. The decline in sales of this segment of 21.4%, or \$10.1 million, and the resulting under absorption of overhead, was the primary cause of the reduced operating profit in this segment; a more detailed discussion of sales is contained in the "Net Sales" section of this report. Raytech Corporation has taken certain steps to address the decreased operating profit in this segment, including reductions in both the hourly and salaried work force, wage and new hire containment programs and a stronger focus on reducing

-35-

material costs.

The cost containment programs outlined above are in place in all segments of Raytech.

The Aftermarket segment recorded an operating profit in the first quarter of \$2.1 million, which was less than the prior year amount of \$2.6 million by \$.5 million or 19.2%. The reduced operating profit reflects the impact of the lower sales, compared period to period of \$1.9 million.

The Dry Friction segment recorded operating profit of \$.8 million compared to \$1.0 million in the same period in the prior year, a reduction of \$.2 million or 20%. The operating profit decline is due substantially to negative currency translations.

Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the thirteen-week period ended April 1, 2001 was 42%, which is the same tax rate used in the same period in the prior year. The rate differs from the statutory federal rate principally because of state and foreign taxes.

Item7a. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

See Item 7.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

-36-

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Financial Statements:

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 29, 2002 (Successor Company) and December 30, 2001 (Successor Company)

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 29, 2002 (Successor Company), for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and for the year ended December 31, 2000 (Predecessor Company)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the year ended December 29, 2002 (Successor Company), for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and for the year ended December 31, 2000 (Predecessor Company)

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the year ended December 29, 2002 (Successor Company), for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and for the year ended December 31, 2000 (Predecessor Company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Report of Independent Accountants

-37-

RAYTECH CORPORATION
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands, except share data)

At Fiscal Year Ended	December 29, 2002	December 30, 2001
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 19,983	\$ 14,463
Restricted cash	2,027	5,396
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance of \$824 for 2002 and \$729 for 2001	26,640	22,961
Inventories, net	34,057	31,562
Income tax receivable	4,793	37,877
Other current assets	5,078	7,048
Total current assets	92,578	119,307

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Property, plant and equipment	131,378	119,678
Less accumulated depreciation	(25,257)	(10,386)

Net property, plant and equipment	106,121	109,292

Intangible assets, net	70,562	72,790
Deferred income taxes	21,906	16,600
Other assets	3,054	2,799

Total assets	\$ 294,221	\$ 320,788
=====		
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities		
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 15,091	\$ 10,262
Current portion of pension obligation	8,030	7,049
Accounts payable	15,089	13,268
Accrued liabilities	26,258	22,694
Payable to the PI Trust	4,793	37,877

Total current liabilities	69,261	91,150

Long-term debt	4,293	6,820
Pension obligation	12,815	15,409
Postretirement benefits other than pension	13,800	12,876
Deferred payable to the PI Trust	42,356	41,759
Other long-term liabilities	827	987

Total liabilities	143,352	169,001

Minority interest	8,759	7,704

Commitments and contingencies		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Capital stock		
Cumulative preferred stock, no par value		
5,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, par value \$1.00, 50,000,000 shares authorized, 41,701,554 and 41,528,520 issued and outstanding for 2002 and 2001, respectively	41,701	41,528
Additional paid in capital	117,458	116,843
Accumulated deficit	(8,402)	(5,577)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(8,647)	(8,711)

Total shareholders' equity	142,110	144,083

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 294,221	\$ 320,788
=====		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Fiscal Year	Successor Company		Predecessor
	Ended For the Year 2002	For the Period Apr. 3, 2001 to Dec. 30, 2001	For the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001
Net sales	\$ 209,866	\$ 146,050	\$ 55,205
Cost of sales	(173,095)	(124,590)	(43,811)
Gross profit	36,771	21,460	11,394
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(32,331)	(24,782)	(7,742)
Other operating income, net	-	31	-
Operating profit (loss)	4,440	(3,291)	3,652
Currency transaction (loss) gain	(352)	194	55
Interest expense - Raymark	-	-	(70)
Interest expense	(903)	(873)	(374)
Reorganization items	-	(784)	99,996
Other income, net	529	404	235
Income (loss) before provision for asbestos litigation, provision for environmental claims, income taxes, minority interest and extraordinary items	3,714	(4,350)	103,494
Provision for environmental and other claims	(5,400)	(5,860)	-
Provision for asbestos litigation	-	-	-
(Loss) income before income taxes, minority interest and extraordinary items	(1,686)	(10,210)	103,494
Income tax (provision) benefit	(84)	4,564	(30,846)
(Loss) income before minority interest and extraordinary items	(1,770)	(5,646)	72,648
Minority interest	(1,055)	(885)	(314)
(Loss) income before extra- ordinary items	(2,825)	(6,531)	72,334
Extraordinary items, net of taxes of \$594 and \$135,977	-	954	6,922,923
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,825)	\$ (5,577)	\$ 6,995,257

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Basic (loss) earnings per share	\$ (.07)	\$ (.13)	\$ 1,778.88
=====			
Diluted (loss) earnings per share	\$ (.07)	\$ (.13)	\$ 1,772.62
=====			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

-39-

RAYTECH CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands, except share data)

Fiscal Year	Successor Company		Predecessor Co
	For the Year Ended 2002	For the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	For the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001

Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,825)	\$ (5,577)	\$ 6,995,257
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operations:			
Deferred income tax	(2,068)	(5,661)	29,395
Inventory fair value adjustments	-	5,923	-
Depreciation and amortization	17,171	12,253	3,382
Reorganization items, fresh-start adjustments	-	-	(99,996)
Extraordinary items	-	(954)	(6,922,923)
Income applicable to minority interest	1,055	885	314
Adjustment for asbestos- related claims	-	-	-
Net loss on sale of fixed assets	91	133	6
Other non-cash items	(1,586)	(551)	423
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Trade accounts receivable	(3,218)	6,598	(5,097)
Income tax receivable	33,084	-	-
Inventories	(1,720)	1,119	383
Other current assets	3,461	(2,400)	(1,339)
Other long-term assets	(1,362)	189	(234)
Accounts payable	1,524	(404)	1,088
Payable to the PI Trust	(32,487)	-	-
Accrued liabilities	5,428	3,154	(3,474)
Other long-term liabilities	(3,591)	1,967	342

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	12,957	16,674	(2,473)

Cash flows from investing activities:

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Capital expenditures	(9,648)	(7,488)	(2,717)
Proceeds on sales of property, plant and equipment	125	131	10
<hr/>			
Net cash used in investing activities:	(9,523)	(7,357)	(2,707)
<hr/>			
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net (payments) borrowings (on) from short-term notes	2,579	(2,710)	2,113
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	240	105	32
Principal payments on long-term debt	(1,547)	(1,153)	(482)
Payments on borrowings from Raymark	-	-	(703)
Cash overdrafts	-	-	(371)
Exercise of stock options	540	19	-
<hr/>			
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,812	(3,739)	589
<hr/>			
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	274	14	(78)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	5,520	5,592	(4,669)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	14,463	8,871	13,540
<hr/>			
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 19,983	\$ 14,463	\$ 8,871
<hr/>			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

-40-

RAYTECH CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(in thousands, except shares)

PREDECESSOR COMPANY:

	Common Stock	Additional Paid in Capital	(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Treasury Stock at Cost (2,132,059 Shares)
Balance, January 2, 2000	\$ 5,613	\$ 70,564	\$ 9,337	\$ (165)	\$ (4,561)
Comprehensive loss:					
Net loss			(7,058,978)		
Changes during the year				(1,053)	

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Total comprehensive loss			(7,058,978)	(1,053)	
Stock options exercised (38,409 shares)	38	67			
Balance, December 31, 2000	5,651	70,631	(7,049,641)	(1,218)	(4,561)
Comprehensive income:					
Net income			6,995,257		
Changes during the period				(284)	
Total comprehensive income			6,995,257	(284)	
Reorganization	35,870	46,200	54,384	1,502	4,561
Balance, April 2, 2001	\$41,521	\$116,831	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
SUCCESSOR COMPANY:					
Balance April 2, 2001	\$41,521	\$116,831	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Comprehensive loss:					
Net loss			(5,577)		
Changes during the period				(8,711)	
Total comprehensive loss			(5,577)	(8,711)	
Stock options exercised (6,596 shares)	7	12			
Balance, December 30, 2001	41,528	116,843	(5,577)	(8,711)	-
Comprehensive loss:					
Net loss			(2,825)		
Changes during the year				64	
Total comprehensive loss			(2,825)	64	
Stock options exercised (173,034 shares)	173	367			
Tax benefits associated with stock options		248			
Balance, December 29, 2002	\$41,701	\$117,458	\$ (8,402)	\$ (8,647)	\$ -

=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

-41-

RAYTECH CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, unless otherwise noted,
except per share data)

Note A - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Raytech Corporation and its majority-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The investment by third parties in Allomatic Products Company is accounted for as minority interest in the consolidated financial statements. Certain amounts for prior years have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. The more significant accounting policies follow:

1. Nature of Operations

Raytech Corporation and its subsidiaries manufacture and distribute engineered products for heat resistant, inertia control, energy absorption and transmission applications. The Company's operations are categorized into three business segments: Wet Friction, Dry Friction and Aftermarket. These segments are more fully described in Note F - Segment Information. Demand for the Company's product is derived primarily from the automotive original equipment markets for both manual and automatic transmissions, the original equipment markets for agriculture, construction and mining equipment and the aftermarket for primarily automatic transmission products. All of these markets are highly competitive and can be highly influenced by prevailing economic conditions.

Raytech Corporation ("Raytech" or the "Company") was incorporated in June 1986 in Delaware and held as a subsidiary of Raymark Corporation ("Raymark"). In October 1986, Raytech became the publicly traded (NYSE) holding company of Raymark stock through a triangular merger restructuring plan approved by Raymark's shareholders whereby each share of common stock of Raymark was automatically converted into a share of Raytech common stock. In May 1988, Raytech divested all of the Raymark stock. In accordance with the restructuring plan, Raytech, through its subsidiaries, purchased certain non-asbestos businesses of Raymark. Despite the restructuring plan implementation and subsequent divestiture of Raymark, Raytech was named a co-defendant with Raymark and other named defendants in numerous asbestos-related lawsuits as a successor in liability to Raymark. In order to stay the asbestos-related litigation, on March 10, 1989, Raytech filed a petition seeking relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11, United States Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Connecticut.

2. Emergence from Bankruptcy

The Effective Date of the Company's emergence from bankruptcy was April 18, 2001; however, for accounting purposes, the Company has accounted for the reorganization and fresh-start adjustments on April 2, 2001. All financial information prior to that date is presented as pertaining

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

to the Predecessor Company while all financial information after that date is presented as pertaining to the Successor Company. Accordingly, the Statement of Operations includes the results of the reorganization and fresh-start adjustments in the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 as

-42-

Note A, continued

Predecessor Company information. Consequently, after giving effect to the reorganization and fresh-start adjustments, the financial statements of the Successor Company are not comparable to those of the Predecessor Company. For financial reporting purposes, the results of the Predecessor Company and the Successor Company cannot be combined for the 2001 fiscal year.

3. Fiscal Year

The Company reports on a 52-53 week fiscal year; the last three fiscal years ended December 29, 2002, December 30, 2001, and December 31, 2000.

4. Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents are recorded at cost, which approximates fair value and consist of certificates of deposit with maturities of three months or less when purchased.

5. Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with cost determined primarily by using the FIFO (first in, first out) method. Costs included in inventories consist of materials, labor and manufacturing overhead, which are related to the purchase and production of inventories.

6. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment was adjusted on April 2, 2001 to reflect their fair values based on independent appraisals. Additions subsequent to April 2, 2001 have been recorded at cost. Depreciation is based on the estimated service life of the related asset and is provided using the straight line method. Maintenance and repairs that do not increase the useful life of an asset are expensed as incurred. Interest is capitalized on major capital expenditures during the period of construction and to the date such asset is placed in service. Upon disposal of property, plant and equipment, the appropriate accounts are reduced by the related costs and accumulated depreciation. The resulting gains or losses are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If the sum of the expected future net cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment is recognized, and the asset is written down to its fair value.

7. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets subsequent to April 2, 2001 consist of goodwill,

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

trademarks, unpatented technology, and distribution base. The unpatented technology and distribution base are being amortized on a straight line basis between 6 and 20 years. The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of these intangible assets when events and circumstances warrant such a review. The carrying value is considered impaired and written down to its appropriate value when the anticipated undiscounted cash flow from such asset is separately identified and is less than its carrying value. The goodwill and trademarks are considered to be

-43-

Note A, continued

indefinite-lived assets, and are not being amortized. The goodwill is reviewed for impairment at the reporting unit level annually. Trademarks are reviewed for impairment annually. The carrying value of trademarks and goodwill is considered impaired when the carrying value exceeds its implied fair value.

Prior to April 2, 2001, intangible assets consisted of goodwill and the intangible pension asset. Goodwill was amortized on a straight-line basis over 40 years or less. The intangible pension asset was remeasured and adjusted annually through an actuarial calculation.

8. Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability method which recognizes the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and recognizes deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the financial statements or tax returns.

The Company has not provided for deferred U.S. taxes on the undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries since a taxable distribution of those earnings is not anticipated. In addition, deferred U.S. income taxes have not been provided on the cumulative translation adjustment component of accumulated other comprehensive loss in shareholders' equity due to management's decision to permanently reinvest those earnings.

9. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share is computed based on the weighted average number of common and dilutive potential common shares during the year.

10. Translation of Foreign Currencies

The local currencies of the Company's subsidiaries in Germany, the United Kingdom and China have been designated as their functional currencies. Accordingly, financial statements of foreign operations are translated using the exchange rate at the balance sheet date for assets and liabilities, historical rates for elements of stockholders' equity and an average exchange rate in effect during the year for revenue and expense items. The effects of translating the Company's foreign subsidiaries' financial statements are recorded as a component of other accumulated comprehensive loss in shareholders' equity. Gains and losses on intercompany foreign currency transactions that are of a

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

long-term investment nature are reported as translation adjustments as a component of other accumulated comprehensive loss in shareholders' equity.

11. Revenue Recognition

In accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements," which became effective in 2000, revenue from the sale of the Company's products is recognized upon shipment

-44-

Note A, continued

to the customer and when title has transferred. Substantially all of the Company's revenues are derived from fixed price purchase orders. Costs and related expenses to manufacture the products are recorded as costs of sales when the related revenue is recognized. The Company establishes bad debt reserves based on historical experience and believes that collections of revenues, net of the bad debt reserves, is reasonably assured.

12. Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported revenues and expenses made in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant estimates include inventory, receivables and environmental reserves; depreciable lives of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets; pension and other post retirement and postemployment benefits; and the recoverable value of deferred tax assets.

13. Environmental Matters

Accruals for environmental matters are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated or if an amount is likely to fall within a range and no amount within the range can be determined to be the better estimate, the minimum amount of the range is recorded. Environmental remediation obligations are not recorded on a discounted basis. Revenues from insurance carriers relating to environmental matters are not recorded until it is probable that such recoveries will be realized.

14. Stock-Based Compensation

SFAS No. 123 encourages a fair value based method of accounting for employee stock options and similar equity instruments, which generally would result in the recording of additional compensation expense in the Company's financial statements. The Statement also allows the Company to continue to account for stock-based employee compensation using the intrinsic value for equity instruments using APB Opinion No. 25. The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for the stock option plans in the accompanying financial

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

statements.

There was no pro forma impact on net income (loss) for the Successor Company or Predecessor Company during any of the periods presented. The options outstanding were fully vested in 1999. The fair value of the options granted during 1998 was estimated at \$2.01 per common share on the date of grant, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: the expected volatility was 54%, the dividend yield was \$0, the risk free interest rate used was 5.42% and the expected life of four years was used for the options.

-45-

Note A, continued

15. Website Access to Information

Raytech maintains a website at www.raytech.com, which provides, free of charge, access to its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

16. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standard No. 145 (SFAS 145) "Recision of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13 and Technical Corrections." The Statement rescinds Statement 4, which required all gains and losses from extinguishment of debt to be aggregated and, when material, classified as an extraordinary item net of the related income tax effect. SFAS No. 145 also amends SFAS 13 to require that certain lease modifications having economic effect similar to sale-leaseback transactions be accounted for in the same manner as sale-leaseback transactions. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 145 as of April 1, 2002 except for the provisions which rescind SFAS 4, which will be adopted in fiscal 2003. SFAS 145 did not have an effect on the financial position or results of operations for 2002.

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standard No. 146 (SFAS No. 146), "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." The objectives of SFAS No. 146 are to address financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities. SFAS No. 146 nullified Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." The principal difference between SFAS No. 146 and Issue No. 94-3 relates to its requirements for recognition of a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity to be recognized when the liability is incurred. Under Issue No. 94-3, a liability for an exit cost as defined in Issue No. 94-3 was recognized at the date of an entity's commitment to an exit plan. The provisions of SFAS No. 146 will be effective for the Company for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002.

In December 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standard No. 148 (SFAS No. 148) "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure." This Statement amends FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation,

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily changes to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also amends the disclosure provisions of that Statement to require prominent disclosure about the effects on reported net income of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation. Finally, this Statement amends APB Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting, to require disclosure about those effects in interim financial information. The Company adopted the disclosure provisions of this Statement as of December 29, 2002. Should the Company choose to implement the fair value based method for its stock-based compensation in the future, it will be required to adopt the remaining provisions of SFAS No. 148.

Note A, continued

-46-

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45 (FIN 45), "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others." FIN 45 clarifies the requirements of SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," relating to a guarantor's accounting for, and disclosure of, the issuance of certain types of guarantees. For certain guarantees issued after December 31, 2002, FIN 45 requires a guarantor to recognize, upon issuance of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligations it assumes under the guarantee. Guarantees issued prior to January 1, 2003 are not subject to liability recognition but are subject to expanded disclosure requirements. We do not believe that the adoption of this Interpretation will have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or statement of operations.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46) "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51." Under FIN 46, which requires companies to consolidate variable interest entities for which the company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary, and to disclose information about variable interest entities in which the company has a significant variable interest. FIN 46 became effective immediately for variable interest entities formed after January 31, 2003 and will become effective in the third quarter of 2003 for any variable interest entities formed prior to February 1, 2003. Raytech Corporation will adopt FIN 46 as it becomes effective but does not have any such entities.

-47-

Note B - Inventories

Net Inventories

Inventories, net of inventory reserves, are as follows:

Successor Company

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

	2002 ----	2001 ----
Raw material	\$11,049	\$ 10,829
Work in process	8,349	7,207
Finished goods	14,659	13,526
	-----	-----
	\$34,057	\$ 31,562
	=====	=====

Inventory Reserves

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	2002 ----	for the Period Apr. 3, 2001 to Dec. 30, 2001 -----	for the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 -----	2000 ----
Beginning balance	\$ 2,427	\$ 2,901	\$ 3,025	\$ 2,579
Provisions for obsolete and slow moving inventory	858	407	30	869
Charge-offs	(111)	(881)	(154)	(423)

Ending balance	\$ 3,174	\$ 2,427	\$ 2,901	\$ 3,025
	=====			

In connection with the implementation of fresh-start reporting on April 2, 2001, the Company adjusted the value of its inventories by \$5.9 million on the Effective Date to their estimated selling prices less costs to complete, cost of disposal and a reasonable profit allowance for the completing and selling effort as required by fresh-start reporting. This adjustment of \$5.9 million was recorded as cost of sales in the Statement of Operations during the second quarter of 2001 as the inventory was sold.

-48-

Note C - Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment, at cost, is summarized as follows:

At	2002	2001

Land	\$ 3,999	\$ 3,889
Buildings and improvements	30,920	29,343
Machinery and equipment	90,728	83,676

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Capital leases	796	445
Construction in progress	4,935	2,325
	-----	-----
	131,378	119,678
Less accumulated depreciation	(25,257)	(10,386)
	-----	-----
Net property, plant and equipment	\$106,121	\$109,292
	=====	=====

The estimated useful life for buildings and improvements range from 5 to 40 years; the estimated useful lives for machinery and equipment range from 3 to 20 years.

In connection with the implementation of fresh-start reporting on April 2, 2001, the Company adjusted the value of property, plant and equipment to reflect the fair values of the assets as determined by independent third-party appraisers. This included the elimination of accumulated depreciation on that date.

Capital leases consist primarily of automobiles, telephones and computer equipment and are amortized over the economic life of the assets or the term of the leases, whichever is shorter. Maintenance and repairs charged to expense amounted to approximately \$9,355 for 2002 (Successor Company), \$6,651 for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), \$2,028 for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company), and \$10,601 for 2000 (Predecessor Company). Depreciation expense relating to property, plant and equipment was \$14,943 for the year ended 2002 (Successor Company). Depreciation expense was \$10,585 for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), \$3,180 for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and \$11,545 for 2000 (Predecessor Company).

-49-

Note D - Debt

Debt consists of the following:

	2002			2001		
	Current	Non-Current	Total	Current	Non-Current	Total
Domestic bank debt	\$ 11,306	\$ -	\$ 11,306	\$ 6,209	\$ 2,750	\$ 8,959
Foreign bank debt	3,609	4,095	7,704	3,934	3,895	7,829
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total bank debt	14,915	4,095	19,010	10,143	6,645	16,788
Leases	176	198	374	119	175	294
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total borrowings	\$ 15,091	\$ 4,293	\$ 19,384	\$ 10,262	\$ 6,820	\$ 17,082
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

The aggregate maturities of debt are as follows:

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

2003	\$ 15,091
2004	982
2005	786
2006	751
2007	697
Thereafter	1,077

	\$ 19,384
	=====

The domestic bank debt of the Company is at variable interest rates, and the carrying amount approximates fair value. The Company maintains certain of its foreign borrowings in fixed rate debt which approximates market value.

Domestic Bank Debt

The Company, through its subsidiaries Raybestos Products Company and Raytech Automotive Components Company, maintains a Loan and Security Agreement ("Agreement"), with Congress Financial Corporation ("Congress"). The Agreement provides for Raybestos Products Company ("RPC") and Raytech Automotive Components Company ("RACC") to borrow up to \$30 million in the aggregate. The Agreement consists of a \$25 million revolving line of credit and a term loan of \$5 million. The revolving line of credit is limited through a formula which provides availability based on qualified accounts receivable and inventory. The term note is payable in 36 monthly payments of \$83, commencing November 1, 2000 and maturing on September 30, 2003, with the final payment being the remainder of the balance. The revolving line of credit also matures September 30, 2003. The revolving line of credit and the term note are collateralized by accounts receivable, inventory and machinery and equipment. The notes bear interest at either 2.25% above the adjusted Eurodollar rate or prime rate at the discretion of the Company. The interest rates at December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001 were 4.25% and 5.0%, respectively. The agreement includes certain covenants, the most restrictive of which requires the borrowers to maintain quarterly minimum twelve-month rolling earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) of \$15 million. At December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001, the net pledged assets of RPC and RACC amounted to \$90.3 million and \$90.9 million, respectively, consisting of cash, accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment and all other tangible and intangible assets. At December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001, the outstanding balance from the revolving line of credit amounted to \$8.6 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, with \$5.0 million and \$6.1 million, respectively, available in additional Note D, continued

-50-

borrowings (availability is determined based on qualified accounts receivable and inventory). The balance under the term loan at December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001 was \$2.7 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, of which \$2.7 million and \$1.0 million is classified as current at December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001, respectively.

The Company is reviewing borrowing alternatives and intends to enter into a new lending arrangement by September 2003.

Foreign Bank Debt

The Company's wholly-owned German subsidiary, Raybestos

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Industrie-Produkte GmbH ("RIP"), has available lines of credit with several German banks amounting to EUR5.0 million (\$5.2 million) as of December 29, 2002 and EUR4.1 (\$3.6 million) at December 30, 2001. Interest is charged at rates between 5.07% and 8.0%. The lines are repayable on demand. The amounts outstanding under these available lines of credit at December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001 (which includes Raytech Composites Europe ["RCE"] in 2001) were EUR1.4 million (\$1.4 million) and EUR2.7 million (\$2.4 million), respectively, and are classified as current debt. At December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001, the remaining available lines of credit amounted to EUR3.6 million (\$3.8 million) and EUR1.3 million (\$1.2 million), respectively.

At December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001, RIP and RCE had various loan agreements with Commerzbank for EUR4.5 million (\$4.8 million). The maturities range from September 2006 through December 2012. The loans bear interest at rates ranging from 2.5% through 6.2%. At December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001, respectively, the net pledged assets amounted to EUR10.2 million (\$10.7 million) and EUR10.8 million (\$9.6 million), consisting of machinery and equipment. At December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001 the outstanding balances were EUR4.5 (\$4.8 million) and EUR4.9 million (\$4.3 million), respectively. The current portion of this debt is EUR.6 million (\$.7 million) and EUR.5 million (\$.4 million) at December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001, respectively.

In September 2002, the Company's wholly-owned Chinese subsidiary [Raybestos Friction Products (Suzhou) Co. Ltd. ("RFP")] entered into a loan agreement with the ABC Bank of China. The loan bears interest at 2.58% per annum and matures in September 2003. As of December 29, 2002 the loan amounted to Rmb 9 million (\$1.1 million) and is classified as current debt. In July 2002, RFP entered into a loan agreement with the ABC Bank of China for Rmb 5.0 million (\$.6 million). The loan bears interest at 2.76% per annum and matures in July 2003. As of December 29, 2002, the balance due on the loan amounted to Rmb 3.3 (\$.4 million) and is classified as current debt.

In December 2001, RFP entered into a loan agreement with the Industrial and Commercial Bank of China. The loan bears interest at 5.85% per annum and matured in December 2002. As of December 30, 2001, the balance due on the loan amounted to Rmb 4.0 million (\$.5 million) and is classified as current debt. In December 2000, RFP entered into a loan agreement with the Industrial and Commercial Bank of China for Rmb 5.0 million (\$.6 million). The loan bears interest at 5.94% per annum and matured in December 2002. This was classified as current in 2001.

-51-

Note D, continued

The weighted average rates on all domestic and foreign bank notes payable at December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001 were 4.04% and 5.81%, respectively.

Note Payable to Former AFM Principal

The note payable to the former AFM principal dated April 1998 was settled in October 2001. The settlement agreement required a payment of \$3.1 million. Prior to the settlement, the Company had a note payable of \$3.0 million and accrued interest of \$1.6 million recorded related to this debt. Accordingly, the Company has recognized an extraordinary gain in the fourth quarter of 2002 in the amount of \$1.0 million net of taxes of \$.6 million.

Raymark Debt

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

The Raymark debt is the result of the purchase of the Wet Clutch and Brake Division and a German subsidiary from Raymark in 1987. Prior to April 2, 2001, costs incurred by the Company, which were subject to an indemnification clause contained in the debt agreement, were being applied as a reduction of the note obligation. These costs amounted to \$0 for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and \$9.6 million for 2000 (Predecessor Company).

Upon emergence from the Plan of Reorganization, the Raymark debt was canceled per agreement between Raymark and Raytech. The amount canceled amounted to \$10,709 plus accrued interest.

-52-

NOTE E - Litigation

The Company is subject to certain legal matters that have arisen in the ordinary course of business, and management does not expect them to have a material adverse effect. In addition, the Company is involved in the following litigation.

In April 1996, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management ("IDEM") advised Raybestos Products Company ("RPC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, that it may have contributed to the release of lead and PCB's (polychlorinated biphenyls) found in a drainage ditch near its Indiana facility. In June 1996, IDEM named RPC as a potentially responsible party ("PRP"). RPC notified its insurers of the IDEM action and one insurer responded by filing a complaint in January 1997 in the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Indiana, captioned Reliance Insurance Company vs. RPC seeking a declaratory judgment that any liability of RPC is excluded from its policy with RPC. In January 2000, the District Court granted summary judgment to RPC, indicating that the insurer has a duty to defend and indemnify losses stemming from the IDEM claim. However, in June 2001, Reliance Insurance Company was placed in rehabilitation in Pennsylvania. The effect upon RPC's claim is not known at this time. Three additional insurers have been added to the Reliance case as ordered by the District Court. IDEM has turned the matter over to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"). In December 2000, the EPA issued a Unilateral Administrative Order under CERCLA ("Order") demanding removal of contaminated soils from the referenced drainage ditch. RPC has prepared a plan for implementation and is in compliance with the cleanup Order. The Company has estimated that the cost to comply with the Order will be approximately \$14.3 million of which \$7.3 million has been spent through December 29, 2002. The remaining balance of \$7.0 million is included in accrued liabilities. It is at least reasonably possible that the assessment of estimated costs to comply with the Order may be modified as the project progresses and that there may be additional assessments from the EPA.

Prior to IDEM's relinquishment of control of the cleanup to the EPA, IDEM and RPC had reached an Agreed Order providing for a risk-based remediation of the contamination different from the EPA's Order. IDEM withdrew from the Agreed Order, which was ruled to be a breach of contract by an Indiana State Superior Court. In July 2002, RPC filed an action against IDEM for damages based on the difference between the costs of cleanup under the EPA Order and the IDEM Agreed Order. The outcome of this litigation is not known.

In February 2002, lawyers claiming to represent the Committee of Equity Holders filed a motion in U.S. Bankruptcy Court to compel Raytech to either issue up to approximately 700,000 additional shares to the pre-reorganization holders of shares in Raytech or their successors or to proportionately reduce

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

the shareholdings of the general unsecured creditor shareholders under the Plan of Reorganization. The ultimate outcome of this matter is unknown; however, it is possible that its resolution could cause the Company to issue additional shares to the original shareholder group, or to retire shares held by the general unsecured creditor shareholder group. This might directly impact the earnings per share calculations of the Company. The Company has filed a motion for summary judgment asking the Court to dismiss the action.

-53-

Note E, continued

On January 8, 2002, the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality ("MDEQ") sent the Company a letter alleging responsibility for trichloroethylene ("TCE") contamination at a Ferndale, Michigan, industrial site formerly occupied by Advanced Friction Materials Company ("AFM") from 1974 to 1985. AFM was acquired by the Company in 1998. The Company is cooperating with the MDEQ in evaluating the subsurface of the site to obtain data concerning the alleged contamination. The Company's liability at this site is indeterminable at this time.

-54-

Note F - Segment Reporting

The Company's operations are categorized into three business segments based on management structure, product type and distribution channel as described below.

The Wet Friction segment produces specialty engineered products for heat resistant, inertia control, energy absorption and transmission applications. The Company markets its products to automobile original equipment manufacturers and heavy duty original equipment manufacturers, as well as farm machinery, mining, truck and bus manufacturers.

The Dry Friction segment produces engineered friction products, primarily used in original equipment automobile and truck transmissions. The clutch facings produced by this segment are marketed to companies who assemble the manual transmission systems used in automobiles and trucks.

The Aftermarket segment produces specialty engineered products primarily for automobile and lift truck transmissions. In addition to these products, this segment markets transmission filters and other transmission related components. The focus of this segment is marketing to warehouse distributors and certain retail operations in the automotive aftermarket.

In 2001, the Company recorded the impact of fresh-start reporting as a part of its corporate expenses. As a result, the segments do not reflect any adjustments for fresh-start accounting (see Note R). The Company in 2002 continues to report those adjustments related to fresh-start accounting as corporate expenses.

Information relating to operations by industry segment follows:

-55-

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Note F, Continued

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	2002	April 3, 2001 December 30, 2001	January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	2000
NET SALES				
Wet Friction	\$137,930	\$ 95,512	\$ 37,047	\$ 165,000
Aftermarket	46,192	34,384	13,111	58,000
Dry Friction	35,244	22,074	8,147	29,000
Intersegment elimination (1)	(9,500)	(5,920)	(3,100)	(13,000)
Net sales to external customers	<u>\$209,866</u>	<u>\$146,050</u>	<u>\$ 55,205</u>	<u>\$ 239,000</u>
GROSS PROFIT				
Wet Friction	\$ 19,724	\$ 15,201	\$ 5,513	\$ 35,000
Aftermarket	12,993	9,814	3,555	17,000
Dry Friction	8,856	4,829	2,272	6,000
Corporate	(4,802)	(8,384)	54	
Consolidated	<u>\$ 36,771</u>	<u>\$ 21,460</u>	<u>\$ 11,394</u>	<u>\$ 59,000</u>
OPERATING PROFIT (LOSS) (2)				
Wet Friction	\$ 3,276	\$ 3,387	\$ 1,327	\$ 18,000
Aftermarket	7,990	6,035	2,109	10,000
Dry Friction	2,452	603	754	
Corporate (4)	(15,404)	(20,235)	99,304	(7,213,000)
Consolidated	<u>\$ (1,686)</u>	<u>\$ (10,210)</u>	<u>\$103,494</u>	<u>\$ (7,183,000)</u>
DEPRECIATION				
Wet Friction	\$ 8,945	\$ 6,161	\$ 2,112	\$ 7,000
Aftermarket	1,553	1,167	491	1,000
Dry Friction	2,664	1,607	563	2,000
Corporate	1,781	1,650	14	
Consolidated	<u>\$ 14,943</u>	<u>\$ 10,585</u>	<u>\$ 3,180</u>	<u>\$ 11,000</u>
INTEREST EXPENSE, NET				
Wet Friction	\$ 1,282	\$ 409	\$ 242 (3)	\$ 1,000
Aftermarket	(39)	20	44	
Dry Friction	841	412	154	
Corporate	(1,181)	32	4	
Consolidated	<u>\$ 903</u>	<u>\$ 873</u>	<u>\$ 444</u>	<u>\$ 2,000</u>
EXPENDITURES FOR PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT				
Wet Friction	\$ 5,010	\$ 4,707	\$ 1,822	\$ 8,000
Aftermarket	1,068	1,061	127	2,000

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Dry Friction	3,444	1,669	768	2,
Corporate	126	51	-	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Consolidated	\$ 9,648	\$ 7,488	\$ 2,717	\$ 13,
	=====	=====	=====	=====
SEGMENT ASSETS				
Wet Friction	\$ 95,369	\$ 97,821	\$113,403	\$ 110,
Aftermarket	31,031	27,376	32,427	33,
Dry Friction	32,230	39,869	23,591	22,
Corporate	135,591	155,722	154,215	153,
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Consolidated	\$294,221	\$320,788	\$323,636	\$ 320,
	=====	=====	=====	=====

-56-

Note F, continued

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	2002	April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	2000
	-----	-----	-----	-----
LONG-LIVED ASSETS BY GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION				
United States	\$ 84,996	\$ 90,747	\$ 65,525	\$ 65,438
Germany	13,362	11,356	9,291	10,012
Other foreign countries	7,763	7,189	7,322	7,255
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Consolidated	\$106,121	\$109,292	\$ 82,138	\$ 82,705
	=====	=====	=====	=====
SALES BY GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION				
United States	\$161,020	\$116,129	\$ 43,379	\$199,634
Germany	38,218	25,094	10,485	36,454
Other foreign countries	10,628	4,827	1,341	3,444
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Consolidated	\$209,866	\$146,050	\$ 55,205	\$239,532
	=====	=====	=====	=====
SALES TO CUSTOMERS IN EXCESS OF 10% OF TOTAL SALES				
Customer A	\$ 30,324	\$ 20,872	\$ 7,276	\$ 31,159
Customer B	23,948	20,501	7,640	36,820
Customer C	18,701	10,068	3,076	18,430

- (1) The Company records intersegment sales at an amount negotiated between the segments. All intersegment sales are eliminated in consolidation.
- (2) The Company's management reviews the performance of its reportable segments on an operating profit basis, consisting of income before provision for asbestos litigation, provision for environmental and other claims, income taxes, minority interest, and extraordinary items.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

- (3) Interest on debt due to affiliate and to Raymark.
- (4) Includes the cost of operating the corporate office, the impact of recording the liabilities subject to compromise in 2000, and the impact of the reorganization costs and fresh-start accounting in 2001.

-57-

Note G - Income Taxes

For tax reporting purposes, the Company's emergence from bankruptcy did not create a new tax reporting entity. Accordingly, the adjustments to adopt fresh-start accounting are not applicable for the Company's tax reporting. Therefore, with the exception of goodwill, these adjustments have created new deferred tax items in 2001.

(Loss) income before (provision) benefit for income taxes, minority interest and extraordinary items consists of:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	For the Year Ended 2002	for the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	for the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	For the Year Ended 2000
Domestic	\$ (2,513)	\$ (7,959)	\$ 101,882	\$ (7,186,663)
Foreign	827	(2,251)	1,612	2,754
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ (1,686)	\$ (10,210)	\$ 103,494	\$ (7,183,909)
	=====	=====	=====	=====

The Company's income tax (provision) benefit consists of the following:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	For the Year Ended 2002	for the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	for the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	For the Year Ended 2000
Federal	\$ (1,373)	\$ (1,045)	\$ (1,265)	\$ (7,698)
State	(620)	(501)	(127)	(1,823)
Foreign	(159)	(104)	(77)	(330)
Deferred:				
Federal	1,973	5,668	(26,797)	118,236
State	203	546	(2,580)	18,494
Foreign	(108)	-	-	(457)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total income taxes	\$ (84)	\$ 4,564	\$ (30,846)	\$ 126,422
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Reconciliation of (loss) income from operations multiplied by the statutory federal tax rate to reported income tax (provision) benefit is

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

summarized as follows:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Co	
	For the Year Ended 2002	for the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	for the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	Fo
Pretax (loss) income multiplied by the statutory rate (35%)	\$ 590	\$ 3,574	\$ (36,223)	\$
Increases (decreases) resulting from:				
Effect of foreign income taxes net of loss carryforwards utilized	22	(892)	487	
Reorganization adjustments	-	(275)	8,202	
Utilization of tax credits	-	-	-	
Net change in federal valuation allowance	-	-	-	
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	(271)	29	(1,759)	
Adjustment of prior years' accruals	-	1,425	-	
Raymark indemnification payments	-	-	-	
Raymark pension provision	(412)	-	-	
Amortization of nondeductible intangibles	-	-	(72)	
Other	(13)	703	(1,481)	
Income tax (provision) benefit	\$ (84)	\$ 4,564	\$ (30,846)	\$

-58-

Note G, continue

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) are comprised of the following:

	Successor Company	
	2002	2001
Tax benefit to PI Trust	\$ 42,356	\$ 41,759
Raytech minimum pension liability	360	-
Excess of book provisions over tax deductions	5,403	5,171
Postretirement benefit	5,531	5,030
Excess of tax basis over book basis of assets due to restructuring	655	863

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Foreign loss carryforwards	1,286	916
Non PI Trust net operating loss carryforwards	963	-
Other	-	-
	-----	-----
Gross deferred tax assets	56,554	53,739
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance	(1,063)	(543)
	-----	-----
Deferred tax assets	55,491	53,196
Excess of book basis of intangibles over tax basis	(13,735)	(14,589)
Excess of book basis of fixed assets over tax basis	(17,318)	(17,327)
	-----	-----
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 24,438	\$ 21,280
	=====	=====

In connection with the Company's emergence from bankruptcy, the Company recorded an income tax receivable and payable to the PI Trust in the amount of \$38 million resulting from net operating losses arising from the transfer of stock and cash to the PI Trust carried back to 1991 through 2000. Pursuant to the Tax Benefits Assignment and Assumption Agreement (the "Agreement"), all tax benefits received by the Company due to the reorganization are to be passed onto the PI Trust as received. During 2002, Raytech received tax refunds of \$33.1 million, which were paid to the PI Trust. Additionally, future payments to the PI Trust and others will create additional tax deductions, which will inure to the benefit of the PI Trust in accordance with the Agreement. These include deductions for payments to the PI Trust of tax benefits associated with the utilization of the operating losses created by the reorganization, and contributions made to the Raymark pension plan. To the extent that Raytech Corporation generates losses in future periods, exclusive of losses attributable to the payments discussed above, those losses will be retained by the Company. The method of allocation in utilizing future operating losses between the PI Trust and Raytech Corporation has not been determined at this time. The Company has tax loss carryforwards of \$74.8 million and tax credit carryforwards of \$1.2 million at December 29, 2002. The net operating loss carryforwards are allocated between Raytech Corporation and the PI Trust in the amounts of \$2.8 million and \$72.0 million, respectively. Additional tax recoveries expected to be received in future periods are shown as deferred tax assets and a deferred payable to the PI Trust which amounted to \$42.4 million at December 29, 2002 and \$41.8 million at December 30, 2001.

-59-

Note G, continued

The Company is under audit for 1996 through 2001. Any tax assessment, up to the amount of the refunds received, arising from this audit, or any other years in the carryback period, are, pursuant to the Agreement, the responsibility of the PI Trust and will therefore reduce the deferred tax asset associated with, and liability payable to, the PI Trust.

At December 29, 2002, the Company had foreign loss carryforwards of \$4.1 million (Germany \$.6 million and U.K. \$3.5 million), which do not expire. A full valuation allowance has been provided against the tax benefit of the U.K. carryforwards due to uncertainty of future profitability of these operations.

In 2000, the Company recorded a deferred tax asset of \$2.767 billion

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

relating to the tax effects of the liabilities subject to compromise. Total deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2000 amounted to \$2.772 billion. Based on its historical domestic taxable income, the Company expected to realize approximately \$140 million of the deferred tax asset through the ten-year carryback of the previously paid domestic taxes and the expected tax benefits during the twenty-year carryforward period. In addition, the Company has recognized a deferred tax asset in connection with German loss carryforwards. Accordingly, the Company in 2000 had recorded a valuation allowance of \$2.633 billion against the deferred tax asset to state it at its expected net realizable value. The Plan became effective during 2001 and the liabilities subject to compromise were settled for less than the recorded amount of allowed claims. The net deferred tax asset was adjusted accordingly.

The Company owns 57% of the stock of Allomatic Products Company ("APC"). The Company has not recorded a deferred tax liability for the undistributed earnings of APC since management expects that those earnings will be distributed to the Company in a tax-free transaction. However, the deferred tax liability on the undistributed earnings of APC would be approximately \$1.3 million at December 29, 2002, if all of APC's earnings were to be distributed through the distribution of dividends.

-60-

Note H - Liquidity

Cash and cash equivalents at December 29, 2002 were \$20.0 million compared to \$14.5 million at December 30, 2001, an increase of \$5.5 million year-over-year. Cash flow from operating activities for the 2002 year was \$13.0 million compared to \$14.2 million in 2001, a decrease of \$1.2 million year-over-year. Capital expenditures for the 2002 year of \$9.6 million were approximately the same compared to the 2001 capital expenditures of \$10.2 million. Both years' capital expenditures were in line with management's expectations. The net cash from financing activities for 2002 of \$1.8 million compares to net cash used in financing activities in 2001 of \$3.1 million, a change year-over-year of \$4.9 million. The net cash provided by financing activities in 2002 represents net borrowings of \$1.3 million in addition to the proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$.5 million.

Accounts receivable at December 29, 2002 of \$26.6 million compares to \$23.0 million at December 30, 2001, an increase of \$3.6 million. Days sales in accounts receivable at year-end 2002 of 46 days compares to 42 days sales in accounts receivable at year-end 2001, an increase of 4 days.

Inventory at year-end 2002 of \$34.1 million compares to \$31.6 million at year-end 2001, an increase of \$2.5 million. Days sales in inventory at year-end 2002 of 72 days represents an increase of 4 days over the 2001 level of 68 days.

The Company maintains borrowing facilities both domestically and with foreign lenders, the details of which are contained in Note D to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The following represents the outstanding debt and available lines of credit at year-end 2002 and 2001:

(in thousands)					
2002			2001		
Current	Non-Current	Total	Current	Non-Current	Total
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Domestic bank debt	\$ 11,306	\$ -	\$ 11,306	\$ 6,209	\$ 2,750	\$ 8,959
Foreign bank debt	3,609	4,095	7,704	3,934	3,895	7,829
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total bank debt	14,915	4,095	19,010	10,143	6,645	16,788
Leases	176	198	374	119	175	294
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total borrowings	\$ 15,091	\$ 4,293	\$ 19,384	\$ 10,262	\$ 6,820	\$ 17,082
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

Available lines of credit:

	2002	2001
	----	----
Domestic	\$ 5,006	\$ 6,129
Foreign	3,829	1,265
	-----	-----
Total	\$ 8,835	\$ 7,394
	=====	=====

The current domestic loan agreement with Congress Financial Corporation has a covenant requiring the borrowing companies to maintain a twelve-month rolling earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) of \$15 million (see Note D).

The domestic borrowing facility matures in September 2003. The Company is reviewing borrowing alternatives and intends to enter into a new lending arrangement by September 2003.

-61-

Note H, continued

The Company assumed the liability for the Raymark pension plans as part of the reorganization. Funding for the plans in 2003 is expected to be approximately \$7.6 million.

The Company is complying with a Federal Order issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at its manufacturing facility in Crawfordsville, Indiana. The Company reevaluated the projected cost to complete this project in 2002 and determined that, based on work completed to date, the estimate previously received from its environmental engineering firm was not sufficient to complete the project. The Company has employed a new environmental engineering firm and a new construction company to complete this project. The scope of the cleanup plan has not changed, and the additional charge recorded during the year, of \$5.4 million, is expected to be sufficient to complete this project.

The Company has an accrued liability at December 29, 2002 of \$7.0 million for the completion of the remediation. The Company began the year with an accrued liability of \$6.8 million, reduced by payments of \$5.2 million and increased by an additional accrual of \$5.4 million, providing for the ending accrual of \$7.0 million. This remediation project is more fully described under

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

the heading Provision for Environmental Remediation. Additionally, see Note E to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pursuant to the Tax Benefits Assignment and Assumption Agreement (the "Agreement"), all tax benefits received by the Company due to the reorganization are to be passed onto the PI Trust as received. At December 29, 2002, the Company had tax loss carryforwards of \$ 74.8 million and tax credit carryforwards of \$1.2 million. The net operating loss carryforwards are allocated between Raytech Corporation and the PI Trust in the amounts of \$2.8 million and \$72.0 million, respectively. Additionally, future payments to the PI Trust and others will create additional tax deductions, which will inure to the benefit of the PI Trust in accordance with the Agreement. These include deductions for payments to the PI Trust of tax benefits associated with the utilization of the net operating losses created by the reorganization, and contributions made to the Raymark pension plan. To the extent Raytech Corporation generates net operating losses in future periods, exclusive of net operating losses attributable to the payments discussed above, those net operating losses will be retained by the Company. The method of allocation in utilizing future net operating losses between the PI Trust and Raytech Corporation has not been determined at this time. Additional tax recoveries expected to be received in future periods are shown as deferred tax assets and a deferred payable to the PI Trust which amounted to \$42.4 million at December 29, 2002 and \$41.8 million at December 30, 2001.

The Company is under an IRS audit for 1996 through 2001. Any tax assessment, up to the amount of the refunds received, arising from this audit, or any other years in the carryback period, are, pursuant to the Agreement, the

-62-

Note H, continued

responsibility of the PI Trust and will therefore reduce the deferred tax assets associated with, and liability payable to, the PI Trust.

Management believes that existing cash balances, the Company's ability to replace the current lending facility and cash flow from operations during 2003 will be sufficient to meet all of the Company's obligations arising in the normal course of business, including anticipated capital investments.

-63-

Note I - Earnings Per Share

	Successor Company		Pr
	for the Period April 3, 2001 to Dec. 30, 2001		For the Per January 1, 2 April 2, 2
	2002		
Basic EPS Computation			
Numerator:			
(Loss) income before extraordinary items	\$ (2,825)	\$ (6,531)	\$ 72,3
Extraordinary items	-	954	6,922,9

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Net (loss) income	\$ (2,825)	\$ (5,577)	\$ 6,995,2
Denominator:			
Weighted average shares	41,528,520	41,521,924	3,519,3
Weighted average shares issued as a result of reorganization	-	-	413,0
Weighted average stock options exercised	79,537	5,383	
Adjusted weighted average shares	41,608,057	41,527,307	3,932,3
Basic (loss) earnings per share:			
(Loss) income before extraordinary items	\$ (.07)	\$ (.15)	\$ 18.
Extraordinary items	-	.02	1,760.
Net (loss) income	\$ (.07)	\$ (.13)	\$ 1,778.
Diluted EPS Computation			
Numerator:			
(Loss) income before extraordinary items	\$ (2,825)	\$ (6,531)	\$ 72,3
Extraordinary items	-	954	6,922,9
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,825)	\$ (5,577)	\$ 6,995,2
Denominator:			
Weighted average shares	41,528,520	41,521,924	3,519,3
Weighted average shares issued as a result of reorganization	-	-	413,0
Weighted average stock options exercised	79,537	5,383	
Dilutive potential common shares	-	-	13,8
Adjusted weighted average shares and equivalents	41,608,057	41,527,307	3,946,2
Diluted (loss) earnings per share:			
(Loss) income before extraordinary items	\$ (.07)	\$ (.15)	\$ 18.
Extraordinary items	-	.02	1,754.
Net (loss) income	\$ (.07)	\$ (.13)	\$ 1,772.

Note I, continued

The dilutive potential common shares from outstanding stock options of 70,707, 9,658 and 26,853 for 2002 (Successor Company), the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company) and 2000 (Predecessor Company), respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

because of their anti-dilutive effect due to the Company incurring a net loss for the period.

Options to purchase 483,815, 487,550 and 495,020 shares of common stock at \$4.25 were outstanding during the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and for 2000 (Predecessor Company), respectively. These shares were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the option's exercise price was greater than average market price of the common shares.

In connection with the Plan of Reorganization, 38 million shares were issued.

On February 12, 2002, the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders filed a motion in the United States Bankruptcy Court objecting to the allocation of common shares under the Plan of Reorganization between the unsecured creditors and the existing equity holders. The ultimate outcome of this matter is unknown; however, it is possible that its resolution could cause the Company to issue additional shares, or to retire shares, in the future. This might directly impact the earnings per share calculations of the Company.

-65-

Note J - Stock Option Plans

In 1991, the shareholders approved the adoption of a non-qualified stock option plan ("1990 Plan"). In general, options granted under the 1990 Plan were at 100% of the fair market value on grant date or par value, whichever was higher. Once granted, options became exercisable in whole or in part after one year and expired on the tenth anniversary of the grant. The Plan provided for the grant of options for up to 500,000 shares of common stock authorized for such purpose by the shareholders. Effective November 1, 1992, the Company granted 479,071 non-qualified options at an option price of \$2.75. At the date of grant the market price per share was \$2.375. In 1997, the shareholders approved an amendment of the 1990 Plan authorizing 500,000 additional shares of common stock for grant. Effective August 13, 1998, the Company granted 500,000 non-qualified options at the option price of \$4.25 which was the market price per share at the date of the grant. The term during which options could be granted under the 1990 Plan expired on December 31, 2000.

In 2002, the shareholders approved the adoption of the Incentive Compensation Plan ("2002 Plan"). Types of grants covered under the 2002 Plan at the discretion of the Board of Directors include Non-Qualified Stock Options, Incentive Stock Options, Stock Appreciation Rights, Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Units, Performance Units, Performance Shares, Stock Awards, and Cash-Based Awards. No grants have been made under the 2002 Plan. The total number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2002 Plan is 4,000,000. Such shares have been previously authorized but unissued and will be registered and listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

Changes during the three years ended December 29, 2002 in shares under option were as follows:

Successor Company		Predecessor Company
2002	2001	2000
Weighted	Weighted	Weighted

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

	Options	Average Exercise Price	Options	Average Exercise Price	Options	Average Exercise Price
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Outstanding at beginning of year	573,757	\$ 3.78	700,413	\$3.79	758,013	\$3.73
Exercised	(173,034)	3.13	(6,596)	2.75	(38,409)	2.75
Lapsed	(53,234)	2.89	-	-	-	-
Canceled	(15,472)	4.03	(120,060)	3.92	(19,191)	3.33
	-----		-----		-----	-----
Outstanding at end of year	332,017	\$ 4.25	573,757	\$3.78	700,413	\$3.79
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Options exercisable at end of year	332,017	\$ 4.25	573,757	\$3.78	700,413	\$3.79
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

There were no options available for future awards at December 29, 2002, December 30, 2001, and December 31, 2000 under the 1990 Plan.

Options outstanding and exercisable at December 29, 2002 were as follows:

		Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable	
		-----		-----	
Range of Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
\$ 4.25	332,017	5.62	\$ 4.25	332,017	\$ 4.25

-66-

Note K - Employee Benefits

Raytech Corporation sponsors both defined benefit plans and defined contribution plans for certain groups of employees and additionally, assumed the role of plan sponsor for two Raymark defined benefit plans as a result of the emergence from bankruptcy. Raytech also provides a post retirement benefit plan, which covers the hourly workers at the Crawfordsville, Indiana, facility and certain other employees who qualify for benefits based on age and years of service.

The plans are summarized as follows:

- The Raytech Corporation Retirement Plan for Hourly Employees provides defined benefits for the hourly employees located at the Crawfordsville, Indiana, manufacturing facility.
- The German pension plan provides for defined benefits for certain

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

salaried employees of Raytech's German subsidiary.

- The Raymark Industries, Inc. Retirement Plan for Hourly Paid Employees provides for defined benefits for hourly employees of the former Raymark Corporation. There are two separate plans based on the former manufacturing locations of Raymark.
- The Postretirement Benefit Plan provides for certain welfare benefits for hourly employees of the Crawfordsville, Indiana, facility. In addition, certain other employees of Raytech are eligible for these benefits based on a combination of years of service and age.
- The Company also sponsors certain defined contribution plans for salaried and hourly employees. The contributions are based on a percent of annual base compensation, the percentage ranging from 4% to 6%, dependent on performance to Plan. Company contributions to the defined contribution plans were \$520 for 2002 (Successor Company) and \$409 for the period April 3, 2001 through December 30, 2001. Contributions were \$150 for the period January 1, 2001 through April 2, 2001 and \$874 in 2000 (Predecessor Company).

-67-

Note K, continued

Financial Disclosures of Employee Benefits

RAYTECH PENSION PLAN	Successor Company	
	2002	2001
Change in benefit obligations		
Projected benefit obligations at beginning of year	\$ 5,779	\$4,618
Service cost	344	324
Interest cost	395	353
Actuarial loss	461	592
Benefits paid	(135)	(108)
	\$ 6,844	\$5,779
	=====	=====
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$ 5,317	\$4,382
Actual return on plan assets	292	279
Employer contribution	-	764
Benefits paid	(135)	(108)
	\$ 5,474	\$5,317
	=====	=====
Funded Status Reconciliation		
Funded status	\$ (1,370)	\$ (462)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	923	439

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Net amount recognized	----- \$ (447) =====	----- \$ (23) =====
Amounts recognized in the statements of financial position consist of:		
Accrued benefit liability	\$ (1,370)	\$ (462)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	923	439
Net amount recognized	----- \$ (447) =====	----- \$ (23) =====
Weighted average assumptions		
Discount rate	6.50%	7.00%
Expected return on plan assets	6.00%	6.00%
Rate of compensation increase	n/a	n/a

-68-

Note K, continued

RAYTECH PENSION PLAN, continued

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	2002	for the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	for the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	2000
Net Periodic Benefit Expense	-----	-----	-----	-----
Service cost	\$ 344	\$ 243	\$ 81	\$ 302
Interest cost obligations	395	265	88	302
Expected return on plan assets	(315)	(208)	(65)	(217)
Amortization of prior service costs	-	-	9	37
Total net periodic benefit cost	----- \$ 424 =====	----- \$ 300 =====	----- \$ 113 =====	----- \$ 424 =====

In connection with the implementation of fresh-start reporting on April 2, 2001, the Company increased the value of the liability related to the Raytech pension plan by \$.8 million to reflect the present value of future obligations.

Raytech German Pension

The Company's German subsidiaries have unfunded defined benefit plan covering certain employees.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

GERMAN PLAN -----	Successor Company -----	
	2002 ----	2001 ----
Change in benefit obligations		
Projected benefit obligations at beginning of year	\$ 2,455	\$ 2,611
Service cost	75	58
Interest cost	154	144
Actuarial loss (gain)	47	(127)
Benefits paid	(89)	(96)
Translation adjustment	535	(135)
	-----	-----
Projected benefit obligations at end of year	\$ 3,177 =====	\$ 2,455 =====
Accumulated benefit obligations	\$ 3,037 =====	\$ 2,012 =====
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$ -	\$ -
Actual return on plan assets	-	-
Employer contribution	89	96
Plan participants' contributions	-	-
Benefits paid	(89)	(96)
	-----	-----
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$ - =====	\$ - =====

Note K, continued

-69-

GERMAN PLAN, continued

	Successor Company -----	
	2002 ----	2001 ----
Funded Status Reconciliation		
Funded status	\$ (3,177)	\$ (2,012)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	599	-
	-----	-----
Net amount recognized	\$ (2,578) =====	\$ (2,012) =====
Amounts recognized in the statements of financial position consist of:		
Accrued benefit liability	\$ (3,037)	\$ (2,455)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	459	443
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Net amount recognized	\$ (2,578)	\$ (2,012)
	=====	=====
Weighted average assumptions		
Discount rate	6.00%	7.00%
Expected return on plan assets	n/a	n/a
Rate of compensation increase	n/a	n/a

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
		for the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	for the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	
Net Periodic Benefit Expense	2002			2000
-----	----	-----	-----	----
Service cost	\$ 75	\$ 43	\$ 15	\$ 60
Interest cost	154	107	37	150
Amortization of transition obligations	-	-	-	45
Amortization of net actuarial loss (gain)	47	-	-	(124)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 276	\$ 150	\$ 52	\$ 131
	=====	=====	=====	=====

In connection with the implementation of fresh-start reporting on April 2, 2001, the Company increased the value of the liability related to the German pension plan by \$.4 million to reflect the present value of future obligations.

-70-

Note K, continued

POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLAN	Successor Company	
-----	2002	2001
	----	----
Change in benefit obligations		
Benefit obligations at beginning of year	\$ 13,815	\$ 11,757
Service cost	587	532
Interest cost	1,004	894
Plan participants' contributions	25	23
Actuarial loss	1,514	991
Benefits paid	(358)	(382)
	-----	-----
Benefit obligations at end of year	\$ 16,587	\$ 13,815
	=====	=====
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$ -	\$ -

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Employer contribution	333	359
Plan participants' contribution	25	23
Benefits paid	(358)	(382)
	-----	-----
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$ -	\$ -
	=====	=====
Funded Status Reconciliation		
Funded status	\$ (16,587)	\$ (13,815)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	2,185	671
	-----	-----
Net amount recognized	\$ (14,402)	\$ (13,144)
	=====	=====
Amounts recognized in the statements of financial position consist of:		
Accrued benefit liability	\$ (14,402)	\$ (13,144)
	=====	=====
Weighted average assumptions		
Discount rate	6.50%	7.00%
Expected return on plan assets	n/a	n/a
Rate of compensation increase	5.00%	5.00%
Healthcare trend rate	8.00%	8.50%

-71-

Note K, continued

POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLAN (continued)

Sensitivity Analysis, Postretirement Benefits:

For measurement purposes, a 8% annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered healthcare benefits was assumed for 2002. This rate was assumed to decrease gradually to 5% through 2008 and remain at that level thereafter. The healthcare cost trend rate assumption has a significant effect on the amounts reported. To illustrate the impact, increasing or decreasing the assumed health care cost trend rates by 1 percentage point in each year would have the following effects:

	1 Percentage Point Increase		1 Percentage Point Decrease	
	2002	2001	2002	2001
	----	----	----	----
Effect on total of service and interest cost components of expense	\$ 152	\$ 133	\$ (134)	\$ (118)
Effect on accumulated post- retirement benefit obligations	1,347	1,095	(1,201)	(994)

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Net Periodic Benefit Expense	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	2002	for the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	for the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	2000
Service cost	\$ 587	\$ 399	\$ 133	\$ 499
Interest cost obligations	1,004	670	224	801
Amortization of prior service cost	-	-	1	8
Amortization of net actuarial gain	-	-	(3)	(59)
Early retirement window	-	-	-	50
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$1,591	\$ 1,069	\$ 355	\$1,299

In connection with the implementation of fresh-start reporting on April 2, 2001, the Company decreased the value of the liability of the postretirement benefit plan by \$1.3 million to reflect the present value of future obligations.

-72-

Note K, continued

RAYMARK PENSION PLANS

In connection with the bankruptcy proceedings, Raytech assumed the liability of \$11.2 million for underfunded Raymark pension plans.

	Successor Company	
	2002	2001
Change in benefit obligations		
Projected benefit obligations at beginning of period	\$ 36,199	\$ 30,632
Interest cost	2,268	1,859
Actuarial (gain) loss	(936)	4,180
Benefits paid	(2,631)	(472)
Projected benefit obligations at end of period	\$ 34,900	\$ 36,199
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period	\$ 16,215	\$ 19,432
Actual return on plan assets	(1,262)	(2,745)
Employer contribution	6,140	-
Benefits paid	(2,631)	(472)
Fair value of plan assets at end of period	\$ 18,462	\$ 16,215

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

	=====	=====
Funded Status Reconciliation		
Funded status	\$ (16,438)	\$ (19,984)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	9,555	8,000
	-----	-----
Net amount recognized	\$ (6,883)	\$ (11,984)
	=====	=====
Amounts recognized in the statements of financial position consist of:		
Accrued benefit liability	\$ (16,438)	\$ (19,984)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	9,555	8,000
	-----	-----
Net amount recognized	\$ (6,883)	\$ (11,984)
	=====	=====
Weighted average assumptions		
Discount rate	6.50%	7.00%
Expected return on plan assets	8.00%	8.00%
Rate of compensation increase	n/a	n/a
Net Periodic Benefit Expense		
Interest cost	\$ 2,268	\$ 1,859
Expected return on plan assets	(1,324)	(1,075)
Recognized actuarial loss	95	-
	-----	-----
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1,039	\$ 784
	=====	=====

-73-

Note L - Statements of Cash Flows

The following table sets forth certain supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	for the Period Apr. 3, 2001 to Dec. 30, 2001		for the Period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	
2002	-----	-----	-----	2000
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Cash paid during the period for:				
Income taxes	\$ 1,874	\$ 1,800	\$ 61	\$ 8,619
Interest	746	839	306	1,700
Non-cash investing and financing activities:				
PP&E in accounts payable or under capital lease	933	549	(769)	140
Deferred payable to the PI Trust	597	5,123	36,636	-

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Minimum pension liability	2,498	8,439	-	292
---------------------------	-------	-------	---	-----

-74-

Note M - Commitments

Rental expense amounted to \$1,570 for the period December 30, 2001 to December 29, 2002, \$914 for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company) and \$313 for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and \$1,379 for 2000 (Predecessor Company). The approximate minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable leases at December 29, 2002, were as follows: 2003, \$989; 2004, \$735; 2005 \$466; 2006, \$403; and 2007, \$384.

-75-

Note N - Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk, consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and trade receivables. The Company places its cash with high credit quality institutions. At times such amounts may be in excess of the FDIC insurance limits. The primary businesses of the Company are the automotive and heavy duty equipment markets and the related aftermarkets within the United States, Europe and Asia. At December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001, the Company's five largest uncollateralized receivables represented approximately \$10.9 million or 40.9% and \$9.7 million or 42.1%, respectively, of the Company's trade accounts receivable balance. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition but does not require collateral to support customer receivables. The Company establishes an allowance for doubtful accounts based upon factors surrounding the credit risk of specific customers, historical trends and other information.

-76-

Note O - Supplementary Financial Statement Detail

At	2002	2001

OTHER CURRENT ASSETS		
Deferred income taxes	\$ 2,532	\$ 4,680
Non-trade receivables	541	1,207
Prepaid insurance	145	252
Other	1,860	909

	\$ 5,078	\$ 7,048
=====		
ACCRUED LIABILITIES		
Property taxes	\$ 3,625	\$ 2,971
Wages and other compensation and		

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

related taxes	7,808	3,646
Income taxes payable	2,409	2,692
Employee benefits	1,313	2,210
Environmental cleanup	7,023	6,782
Other	4,080	4,393
	-----	-----
	\$ 26,258	\$ 22,694
	=====	=====

Fiscal Year	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	2002	For the Period	For the Period	2000
		April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	

ALLOWANCE FOR BAD DEBTS

Beginning balance	\$ 729	\$1,234	\$ 1,234	\$ 1,350
Provisions	239	130	143	26
Charge-offs	(144)	(635)	(143)	(142)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Ending balance	\$ 824	\$ 729	\$ 1,234	\$ 1,234
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Fiscal Year	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	2002	for the Period	for the Period	2000
		April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001	January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001	

OTHER INCOME, NET

Interest income	\$ 342	\$ 474	\$ 106	\$ 592
Other income (expense), net	187	(70)	129	436
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 529	\$ 404	\$ 235	\$ 1,028
	=====	=====	=====	=====

-77-

Note P - Summarized Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)
(in thousands except share and market data)

Fiscal Quarters Ended 2002

	Qtr. 1	Qtr. 2	Qtr. 3	
	As Reported	As Revised	As Revised	Qtr. 4

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Net sales	\$ 52,709	\$ 55,305	\$ 51,740	\$ 50,112
Gross profit	10,525	11,086	8,566	6,594
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	2,833	2,331	(4,803)	(2,047)
Net income (loss)	1,330	1,070	(3,189)	(2,036)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	.03	.03	(.08)	(.05)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	.03	.03	(.08)	(.05)
Market range:				
-high	4.65	9.12	9.30	8.25
-low	2.35	3.85	4.85	4.98
Dividends				

The Company determined subsequent to the filing of the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 29, 2002 that depreciation expense had been overstated in both the second quarter filing, at June 30, 2002, by \$475 and in the third quarter filing, at September 29, 2002, by \$368. The quarterly reporting above reflects the corrected amounts for these two quarters. The impact on earnings per share would have been an increase of \$.01 in the second quarter and no impact in the third quarter. The quarters, as reported in the Company's Form 10-Q filings for 2002, were as follows:

	Fiscal Quarters Ended 2002		
	Qtr. 1	Qtr. 2	Qtr. 3
Net sales	\$ 52,709	\$ 55,305	\$ 51,740
Gross profit	10,525	10,611	8,198
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	2,833	1,856	(5,171)
Net income (loss)	1,330	778	(3,428)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	.03	.02	(.08)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	.03	.02	(.08)
Market range:			
-high	4.65	9.12	9.30
-low	2.35	3.85	4.85
Dividends			

-78-

Note P, continued

	Fiscal Quarters Ended 2001 (2)	
	Predecessor Company	Successor Company

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

	Qtr. 1	Qtr. 2	Qtr. 3	Qtr. 4
		4/2/01	4/3/01 to 7/1/01	
Net sales	\$ 55,205	\$ -	\$ 50,561	\$ 48,752
Gross profit	11,394	-	4,563	9,364
Income (loss) before provision for taxes, minority interest and extraordinary items	3,498	99,996	(3,327)	(1,157)
Net income (loss)	1,715	6,993,542	(2,395)	881
Basic earnings (loss) per share (1)	.49	- (2)	(.06)	.02
Diluted earnings (loss) per share (1)	.48	- (2)	(.06)	.02
Market range:				
-high	3.50	-	3.70	2.95
-low	2.19	-	2.22	2.02
Dividends	-	-	-	-

Earnings per share are computed independently for each of the quarters presented. Therefore, the sum of the quarterly earnings per share does not equal the total computed for the year.

(1) Includes the effect of the Plan of Reorganization and fresh-start reporting (See Notes R and S).

(2) Earnings per share is not meaningful for the one-day results.

-79-

NOTE Q - Formation of Raytech Corporation, Sale of Raymark, Chapter 11 Proceeding and Emergence from Bankruptcy

Raytech Corporation ("Raytech" or the "Company") was incorporated in June, 1986 in Delaware and held as a subsidiary of Raymark Corporation ("Raymark"). In October 1986, Raytech became the publicly traded (NYSE) holding company of Raymark stock through a triangular merger restructuring plan approved by Raymark's shareholders whereby each share of common stock of Raymark was automatically converted into a share of Raytech common stock. In May 1988, Raytech divested all of the Raymark stock.

In accordance with the restructuring plan, Raytech, through its subsidiaries, purchased certain non-asbestos businesses of Raymark in 1987, including the Wet Clutch and Brake Division and Raybestos Industrie-Produkte GmbH, a German subsidiary. Despite the restructuring plan implementation and subsequent divestiture of Raymark, Raytech was named a co-defendant with Raymark and other named defendants in numerous asbestos-related lawsuits as a successor in liability to Raymark.

In one of the asbestos-related personal injury cases decided in October 1988 in a U.S. District Court in Oregon, Raytech was ruled under Oregon equity law to be a successor to Raymark's asbestos-related liability. The successor

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

ruling was appealed by Raytech and in October 1992 the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the District Court's judgment. The effect of this decision extended beyond the Oregon District due to a Third Circuit Court of Appeals decision in a related case wherein Raytech was collaterally estopped (precluded) from relitigating the issue of its successor liability for Raymark's asbestos-related liabilities.

In order to stay the asbestos-related litigation, on March 10, 1989, Raytech filed a petition seeking relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11, United States Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Connecticut.

After several Court rulings, including an appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court, the Oregon case, as affirmed by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, remained as the prevailing decision holding Raytech to be a successor to Raymark's asbestos-related liabilities.

As a result of the referenced Court rulings, in October, 1998 Raytech reached a tentative settlement with its creditors for a consensual plan of reorganization (the "Plan"), providing for all general unsecured creditors including all asbestos and environmental claimants to receive 90% of the equity in Raytech in exchange for their claims. As such, an asbestos personal injury trust (the "PI Trust") established under the Bankruptcy Code would receive approximately 83% of the equity of Raytech and the Governments and others would receive approximately 6% of the equity of Raytech. In addition, any and all refunds of taxes resulting from the implementation of the Plan would be paid to the PI Trust. The existing equity holders in Raytech were to retain 10% of the equity in Raytech.

As a result of the final estimation of allowed claims, Raytech recorded asbestos claims of \$6.76 billion, Government claims of \$431.8 million, pension liability claims of \$16 million and retiree benefit claims of \$2.5 million during 2000. The total estimated amount of allowed claims was \$7.2 billion.

-80-

Note Q, continued

On August 31, 2000, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed Raytech's Plan, which confirmation was affirmed by the U.S. District Court on September 13, 2000. The Plan became effective on April 18, 2001 ("Effective Date"), resulting in Raytech emerging from bankruptcy. On the Effective Date, a channeling injunction ordered by the Bankruptcy Court pursuant to Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code has and will permanently and forever stay, enjoin and restrain any asbestos-related claims against Raytech and subsidiaries, thereby channeling such claims to the PI Trust for resolution. On the Effective Date, the rights afforded and the treatment of all claims and equity interests in the Plan were in exchange for and in complete satisfaction, discharge and release of, all claims and equity interests against Raytech. The Company's Certificate of Incorporation was amended and restated in accordance with the Plan providing for authority to issue up to 55 million shares of stock, of which 50 million is common and 5 million is preferred. In settlement of the estimated amount of allowed claims of \$7.2 billion, approximately 38 million shares of common stock were issued and \$2.5 million in cash was payable to the allowed claimants and a commitment was made to pay to the PI Trust any and all refunds of taxes paid or net reductions in taxes resulting from the implementation of the Plan. The shares issued were exempt from registration pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code; however, shares issued to the PI Trust have restrictions on resale as a result of the high percentage of ownership in Raytech.

In addition, Raytech had assumed the liability for the Raymark pension

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

plan claim. In September 2002 with the final Court decision denying Raytech's appeal, the Company assumed the administration of the plans. It has been represented to Raytech by the Raymark Trustee that the retiree benefit claim will be retained by Raymark. Settlement of the Raymark claims resulted in cancellation in full of the Raymark debt and accrued interest of \$12.0 million and a commitment of Raytech to backstop the Raymark Trustee for professional fees in the event the Raymark Trustee has insufficient recovery of funds for such purposes up to \$1 million. At December 29, 2002, the Company has \$1 million included in accrued liabilities related to this commitment.

See Note R - Fresh-Start Reporting.

-81-

Note R - Fresh-Start Reporting

The Effective Date of the Company's emergence from bankruptcy was April 18, 2001; however, for accounting purposes it is considered to be the close of business on April 2, 2001. As of April 2, 2001, the Company adopted fresh-start reporting pursuant to the guidance provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountant's Statement of Position 90-7, "Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code" ("SOP 90-7"). In accordance with fresh-start reporting, all assets and liabilities were recorded at their respective fair market values. The fair value of substantially all of the Company's property, plant and equipment and identifiable intangible assets were determined by independent third-party appraisers.

The reorganization value of the Successor Company was determined based on the equity value (which represents enterprise value less debt) of the Successor Company plus the Successor Company's outstanding liabilities. The reorganization value was approximately \$324 million, which was approximately \$35 million in excess of the aggregate fair value of the Company's tangible and identifiable intangible assets. Such excess is classified as goodwill in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet and is being accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" (see Note V).

To facilitate the calculation of the equity value of the Successor Company, the Company developed a set of financial projections. Based on these financial projections, the equity value was determined by the Company, with the assistance of a financial advisor, using various valuation methods, including (i) a comparison of the Company and its projected performance to the market values of comparable companies, (ii) a review and analysis of several recent transactions of companies in similar industries to the Company, and (iii) a calculation of the present value of the future cash flows under the projections. The estimated equity value is highly dependent upon achieving the future financial results set forth in the projections as well as the realization of certain other assumptions which are not guaranteed. The total equity value as of the Effective Date was determined to be approximately \$158 million.

The reorganization and the adoption of fresh-start reporting resulted in the following adjustments to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of April 2, 2001:

-82-

Note R, continued

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Adjustments to Record
Effectiveness of the Plan of Reorganization
(in thousands)

	Predecessor Balance Sheet April 2, 2001	Reorganization Adjustments	Fresh-Start Adjustments	Reorganized Balance Sheet April 2, 2001
ASSETS				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,371	\$ (2,500) (a)	\$	\$ 8,871
Restricted cash	1,986	2,500 (a)		4,486
Trade accounts receivable	29,207			29,207
Inventories	32,590		5,923 (b)	38,513
Income taxes receivable	-	37,877 (c)		37,877
Other current assets	6,134		(2,381) (f)	3,753
Total current assets	81,288	37,877	3,542	122,707
Net property, plant and equipment				
	82,138		30,823 (d)	112,961
Goodwill	18,923		15,844 (e)	34,767
Other intangible assets	375		39,316 (g)	39,691
Deferred income taxes	137,202	(99,341) (f) (c)	(27,308) (f)	10,553
Other assets	2,957			2,957
Total assets	\$ 322,883	\$ (61,464)	\$62,217	\$ 323,636
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities				
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 12,144	\$	\$	\$ 12,144
Raymark debt	10,709	(10,709) (h)		-
Current portion of pension obligations	353	8,500 (j)	134 (k)	8,987
Accounts payable	14,220	2,500 (a)		16,720
Accrued liabilities	20,501	(275) (i)		20,226
Payable to PI Trust	-	37,877 (c)		37,877
Total current liabilities	57,927	37,893	134	95,954
Liabilities subject to compromise				
	7,211,433	(7,211,433) (j)		-
Long-term debt	8,536			8,536
Pension obligations	1,636	10,000 (j)	(6,916) (k)	4,720
Postretirement benefits other than pensions	13,404		(1,308) (k)	12,096
Deferred payable to the PI Trust	-	36,636 (c)		36,636
Other long-term liabilities	7,654		(312) (f)	7,342
Total liabilities	7,300,590	(7,126,904)	(8,402)	165,284
Total shareholders' (deficit) equity	(6,977,707)	7,065,440 (l)	70,619 (m)	158,352
Total liabilities and				

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

shareholders' (deficit) equity	\$ 322,883	\$ (61,464)	\$62,217	\$ 323,636
--------------------------------	------------	-------------	----------	------------

=====

-83-

Note R, continued

The explanation of the "Reorganization Adjustments" and "Fresh Start Adjustments" columns of the condensed consolidated balance sheet in the preceding table are as follows:

- a) The Plan required the Company to pay \$2.5 million to the unsecured creditors, which has been reflected as restricted cash. During April 2001, \$2.1 million of the liability was paid and \$.4 million has been retained by the Company as restricted cash.
- b) Finished goods and work-in-progress inventories have been valued based on their estimated net selling prices less costs to complete, costs of disposal and a reasonable profit allowance for estimated completing and selling effort.
- c) Income taxes receivable and the payable to the PI Trust reflect the payable to the PI Trust of current tax recoveries in accordance with the Plan. Additional tax recoveries to be received in future periods are shown as deferred tax assets and a deferred payable to the PI Trust.
- d) Property, plant and equipment has been adjusted to reflect the fair values of the assets based on independent appraisals.
- e) The unamortized balance of goodwill of the Predecessor Company has been eliminated. Reorganization value in excess of amounts allocable to identifiable assets has been classified as goodwill. The goodwill is being accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 142 (see Note V).
- f) Deferred tax assets and liabilities have been adjusted for the settlement of the liabilities subject to compromise and the recording of deferred taxes relating to the differences in book and tax bases of assets and liabilities after applying fresh start reporting. The Company has used using a statutory tax rate of approximately 38%, which approximates the Company's historic tax rate.
- g) Other intangible assets have been adjusted to reflect their fair values as determined by an independent valuation (see Note V).
- h) Raymark debt has been canceled to reflect the resolution of the claims on the Effective Date.
- i) Accrued liabilities have been adjusted to reflect the \$1 million backstop commitment agreed to as a result of the settlement of the Raymark debt (see Note Q), the write-off of accrued interest on the Raymark debt (\$2.2 million), and an accrual for bankruptcy-related fees (\$.9 million) that were recorded against the Raymark debt in accordance with the previous indemnification between Raymark and the Company prior to the effective date.
- j) Liabilities Subject to Compromise have been adjusted to reflect the settlement of the claims for cash, assumption of certain pension obligations, the issuance of common shares in the reorganized company

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

and tax recoveries in accordance with the Plan.

- k) The pension and post retirement benefits other than pensions have been adjusted to include the present values of future obligations.
- l) Shareholders' equity was adjusted to reflect adjustments for the issuance of 90% of the outstanding common shares to the unsecured creditors at an overall equity value of \$158.3 million in accordance with the Plan.
- m) Shareholders' equity was adjusted to reflect the elimination of the accumulated deficit, accumulated other comprehensive loss and treasury shares (which have been retired).

NOTE S - Reorganization Items

-84-

Reorganization (expense) income included in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations consists of the following items:

	Successor Company ----- for the Period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 -----	Predecessor Company ----- for April 2, 2001 -----
Fresh-start adjustments	\$ -	\$ 99,996
Professional fees	(784)	-
	-----	-----
	\$ (784)	\$ 99,996
	=====	=====

The fresh-start adjustments are discussed in Note R. The professional fees listed above include accounting, legal, consulting, appraisal and other miscellaneous services associated with the implementation of the Plan. There were no reorganization items for any periods prior to April 2, 2001 due to the indemnification agreement between Raytech and Raymark, which allowed for all bankruptcy-related costs to be offset against the outstanding Raytech debt to Raymark.

NOTE T - Extraordinary Items

As a result of the consummation of the Plan, the Company recognized an extraordinary gain on the debt discharge on April 2, 2001 as follows:

Settlement of liabilities subject to compromise	\$ 7,211,433
Assumption of pension-related obligations	(18,500)
Settlement of Raymark debt	11,984
Cash payment to the PI Trust	(2,500)
Back-stop settlement with Raymark	(1,000)

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Issuance of common stock	(142,517)

Sub-total	7,058,900
Tax expense	(135,977)

Extraordinary gain on debt discharge	\$ 6,922,923
	=====

In October 2001, the Company settled a note payable with a former principal of Advanced Friction Materials in the amount of \$3.1 million. As a result of the settlement, the Company recognized an extraordinary gain in the amount of \$954, net of taxes of \$594 (see Note D).

-85-

Note U - Comprehensive Income

The components of and changes in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income are as follows:

	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Minimum Pension Liability Adjustments	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income
	-----	-----	-----
Predecessor Company			
Balance January 2, 2000	\$ (165)	\$ -	\$ (165)
Changes during the year	(761)	(292)	(1,053)
	-----	-----	-----
Balance December 31, 2000	(926)	(292)	(1,218)
Changes during the period	926	292	1,218
	-----	-----	-----
Balance April 2, 2001	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	=====	=====	=====
=====			
Successor Company			
Balance April 2, 2001	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Changes during the period	(272)	(8,439)	(8,711)
	-----	-----	-----
Balance December 30, 2001	(272)	(8,439)	(8,711)
Changes during the period	2,202	(2,138)	64
	-----	-----	-----
Balance December 29, 2002	\$ 1,930	\$ (10,577)	\$ (8,647)
	=====	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

In connection with the implementation of fresh-start reporting on April 2, 2001, the Company eliminated accumulated other comprehensive loss as required by fresh-start reporting.

The Company has not provided for the future tax deduction associated with foreign currency translation adjustments due to management's decision to permanently reinvest the earnings of their foreign subsidiaries.

The \$583 thousand additional minimum pension liability recorded during 2002 for the Raytech and German pension plans is net of taxes of \$360 thousand. No tax benefit has been provided for the future tax deduction associated with the additional minimum pension liability recorded for the Raytech and German pension plans for the periods April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company) and January 3, 1999 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) due to the limitations on the realizability of deferred tax assets.

The tax benefits resulting from any tax deductions relating to the Raymark Pension Plan have been assigned to the PI Trust in accordance with the Plan, and therefore, Raytech will not receive the future tax deduction. Accordingly, the future tax deduction relating to the minimum pension liability for 2002 (Successor Company) and for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company) was recorded as a deferred tax asset with a corresponding payable to the PI Trust.

-86-

Note V - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations" and No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." SFAS No. 141 requires that the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001, establishes specific criteria for the recognition of intangible assets separately from goodwill, and requires that unallocated negative goodwill be written off immediately as an extraordinary gain instead of being deferred and amortized. SFAS No. 142 addresses the accounting for goodwill and intangible assets subsequent to their acquisition. Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles need to be reviewed for impairment at least annually. In addition, the amortization period of intangible assets with finite lives will no longer be limited to forty years. As discussed in Note R, the Company adopted fresh-start reporting as described in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Statement of Position No. 90-7, "Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code." SOP 90-7 requires that any change in accounting principles that will be required within the twelve months following the adoption of fresh-start reporting should be adopted at that time. Accordingly, the Company has adopted SFAS No. 141 and No. 142 as of April 2, 2001. All intangible assets and goodwill have been valued at fair value as of the date of fresh-start reporting.

		Successor Company -----	
		2002 ----	2001 ----
Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

	-----	-----	-----	-----
Finite life intangible assets:				
Unpatented technology	\$ 16,262	\$ 3,396	\$ 16,262	\$ 1,455
Distribution base	5,716	500	5,716	213
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Sub-total	21,978	\$ 3,896	21,978	\$ 1,668
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Indefinite life intangible assets:				
Trademarks	17,713		17,713	
	-----		-----	
Goodwill	34,767		34,767	
	-----		-----	
Intangible assets, net	\$ 70,562		\$ 72,790	
	=====		=====	

The weighted-average amortization periods for the unpatented technology and the distribution base are between 6 and 20 years. Amortization expense for 2002 (Successor Company) and the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company) amounted to \$2.2 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

-87-

Note V, continued

Estimated annual amortization expense is as follows:

For the year ending:

2003	\$ 2,226
2004	2,226
2005	2,226
2006	2,226
2007	2,226

As required by SFAS No. 142, trademarks and goodwill for the Successor Company will not be amortized but will be reviewed for impairment annually. The Company's three operating segments have been defined as reporting units for purposes of testing goodwill for impairment. The amount of goodwill has been assigned to each of the Company's segments as follows: \$28.9 million for the Wet Friction segment and \$5.9 million for the Aftermarket segment. No goodwill has been allocated to the Dry Friction segment. The Company performed its annual impairment review of the trademarks and goodwill in accordance with SFAS 142, as of March 31, 2002. That effort, which was performed with assistance from a third party valuation firm, indicated that no impairment adjustment was necessary. Accordingly, there were no changes in the carrying amount of trademarks or goodwill during the period from December 31, 2001 to December 29, 2002.

Reported net income presented exclusive of amortization expense (including any related tax effects) recognized in prior periods relating to goodwill of the Predecessor Company would have been:

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

	Predecessor Company	
	Period from January 1, 2001 to April 1, 2001	2000
Reported net income (loss)	\$ 1,715	\$ (7,058,978)
Add back goodwill amortization	207	822
Adjusted net income (loss)	\$ 1,922	\$ (7,058,156)
Basic earnings (loss) per share:		
Reported net income (loss)	\$.49	\$ (2,015.40)
Goodwill amortization	.06	.24
Adjusted net income (loss)	\$.55	\$ (2,015.16)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:		
Reported net income (loss)	\$.48	\$ (2,015.40)
Goodwill amortization	.06	.24
Adjusted net income (loss)	\$.54	\$ (2,015.16)

-88-

Note W - Research and Development

Cost of research and new product development amounted to \$7,318 for 2002, \$5,314 for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company) and \$1,726 for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and \$6,822 in 2000 (Predecessor Company). All of the aforementioned costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Note X - Restricted Cash

Restricted cash relates to the following:

At	2002	2001
Pension escrow	\$ -	\$ 3,000
Letters of credit	1,617	1,986
Other	410	410
	\$ 2,027	\$ 5,396

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

The letters of credit collateralize certain obligations relating primarily to workers' compensation.

-89-

Note Y - Related Parties

In 1990 and 1991, Raytech Powertrain, Inc., a subsidiary of the Company, and owner of all of the common stock of Allomatic Products Company ("APC"), sold approximately 45% of common stock of APC to a group of outside investors for the purpose of providing needed financing of APC's business activities. In January 2002, approximately 40% of the common stock of APC was acquired by Raymark from minority shareholders. Raymark is in bankruptcy and controlled by a court appointed trustee. With the majority of the creditors of Raymark being asbestos-related claimants, it is anticipated that the assets of Raymark, including the 40% of APC's common stock, will be transferred to the Raytech personal injury trust that owns approximately 83% of Raytech, a related party.

During 1998 and 1997, the Company purchased yarn from Universal Friction Composites ("UFC"), a company that is in bankruptcy which was consolidated with the Raymark bankruptcy in January 2002. With the majority of the creditors of UFC being asbestos-related claimants, it is anticipated that the assets of UFC will be transferred to Raytech's personal injury trust that owns approximately 83% of Raytech, a related party. At December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001, \$246 is included in accounts payable relating to these purchases.

In 1998, the Company acquired manufacturing equipment from UFC for \$1,051, of which \$907 is included in accounts payable at December 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001.

Also see discussion regarding Raymark in Note A.

-90-

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
of Raytech Corporation:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) on page 105 present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Raytech Corporation (the "Company," a holding company) and its subsidiaries at December 29, 2002 (Successor Company) and December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the fiscal year ended December 29, 2002 (Successor Company), the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company), and the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 (Predecessor Company), in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note Q to the consolidated financial statements, effective April 18, 2001, the Company was reorganized under a plan confirmed by the United States Bankruptcy Court and adopted fresh-start reporting as further described in Notes A and R to the consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, the consolidated financial statements for the periods subsequent to the reorganization (Successor Company financial statements) are not comparable to the consolidated financial statements presented for the prior periods (Predecessor Company financial statements).

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

Hartford, Connecticut
March 14, 2003

-91-

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on
Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable

-92-

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Directors

Article SEVENTH of the Amended Certificate of Incorporation of Raytech Corporation currently provides that the Board of Directors shall consist of not more than nine and not less than three Directors. In February 2003, the Board proposed that this provision of Article SEVENTH be revised to state that the entire Board of Directors shall consist of not more than eleven and not less than three directors, as such number may be fixed by the Board of Directors from time to time. The Raytech Corporation Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust, which beneficially owns approximately 83% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of Raytech Corporation, has given its consent to this Amendment. In March 2003, Raytech Corporation mailed its Schedule 14C Information Statement to its shareholders. This Amendment to the Amended Certificate of Incorporation of Raytech Corporation will be filed with the State of Delaware and will become effective on or about April 15, 2003.

Set forth below are the names of all Directors, together with their ages, principal occupations and business experience during the last five years, present directorships, and the year each first became a Director.

Principal Occupation Business Experience During Last 5 Years and Present	First Became
---	-----------------

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Name ----	Age ---	Directorships -----	Director -----
(Serving until 2003 Stockholders' Meeting)			
Albert A. Canosa	57	President and Chief Executive Officer, Raytech Corporation; Previously, Vice President of Administration, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, Raytech Corporation; Director, Quinnipiac University	1998
Robert F. Carter	57	Attorney, Carter & Civitello	2001
Archie R. Dykes	72	Senior Chairman of PepsiAmericas, Inc.; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Holdings, Inc.; Non-Executive Chairman and Director, Fleming Companies, Inc.; Director, Midas, Inc.	2002

-93-

Name ----	Age ---	Principal Occupation Business Experience During Last 5 Years and Present Directorships -----	First Became Director -----
(Serving until 2003 Stockholders' Meeting)			
David N. Forman	65	President, DBL Company; Director, The Collaborative Group, Ltd.	2002
John H. Laeri, Jr.	67	Chairman, Meadowcroft Associates, Inc.; President and Chief Executive Officer, The GolfCoach, Inc.; Director, Celotex Corp., Claims Processing Facility, Inc., The GolfCoach, Inc.	2001
Stanley J. Levy	68	Attorney, Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg LLP	2001

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Richard A. Lippe	64	Attorney, Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein & Schlissel, P.C.; Director, Long Island Software & Technology Network, Long Island Life Sciences Initiative	2002
------------------	----	---	------

Gene Locks	66	Attorney, Greitzer and Locks; Director, UNR Industries, Inc.	2001
------------	----	--	------

(Serving until 2004
Stockholders' Meeting)

Kevin S. Flannery	58	President, Whelan Financial Corporation; Chairman, Telespectrum, Inc.; Director, Palatin Technologies, Inc., Sarcom Inc., Centis Corporation, Sheffield Steel Corporation, Geneva Steel Corporation	2001
-------------------	----	---	------

-94-

Directors' Compensation

The Directors' compensation includes an annual retainer of \$25,000 (except the Chairman of the Board has an annual retainer of \$75,000) and non-chair committee members have an additional annual retainer of \$2,000 for each committee appointment (except that non-chair Audit Committee members have an additional annual retainer of \$4,000). The annual retainer for the chair of each committee shall be \$5,000 (except that the annual retainer for the chair of the Audit Committee shall be \$10,000). In addition, each Director receives \$1,500 for each Director's meeting attended and \$1,500 for each committee meeting attended. There is no minimum attendance rule and any Director that misses all meetings would receive the annual retainer but no meeting fees.

Executive Officers

Name -----	Age ---	Positions Held -----	First Became Officer -----
Albert A. Canosa	57	President and Chief Executive Officer	1986
John B. Devlin	51	Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer	1998
Harold L. Pope	56	Vice President	2002
Edgar P. DeVlylder	58	Vice President,	2002

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Administration,
General Counsel
and Secretary

-95-

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Summary Compensation Table:

The following Summary Compensation Table identifies current, long-term and stock-related compensation paid to the Chief Executive Officer and the three most highly compensated executive officers for 2002 and two prior years:

Name/ Position(1)	Year	Long-Term Compensation				All Other Compensation (\$)(2)
		Annual Salary (\$)	Compensation Bonus (\$)	Awards Options #	Payouts LTIP \$	
Albert A. Canosa President and Chief Executive Officer	2002	321,352	-	-	-	13,449
	2001	311,352	-	-	-	12,248
	2000	298,800	311,352	-	-	15,429
John B. Devlin Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer	2002	187,377	-	-	-	8,937
	2001	168,451	-	-	-	8,439
	2000	161,771	162,865	-	-	10,684
Edgar P. DeVyllder(4) Vice President, Administration, General Counsel and Secretary	2002					
	2001					
	2002					
John J. Easton(5)	2002	189,938	-	-	-	327,108
	2001	201,083	-	-	-	10,822
	2000	199,056	201,083	-	-	14,222
Harold L. Pope(3) Vice President	2002					
	2001					
	2000					
LeGrande L. Young(6)	2002	202,818	-	-	-	479,388
	2001	201,083	-	-	-	9,816
	2000	197,706	201,083	-	-	13,216

(1) Registrant has only four executive officers, including the CEO.

(2) The numbers stated for each year include Registrant contributions to Messrs. Canosa, Devlin, Easton and Young under its defined contribution plan [401(k)] in the amounts of \$8,000, \$6,937, \$7,597 and \$7,621,

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

respectively, for 2002; \$6,800, \$6,742, \$6,800 and \$6,800, respectively, for 2001, and in the amounts of \$10,200, \$9,870, \$10,200, and \$10,200, respectively, for 2000. The numbers stated for Messrs. Easton and Young in 2002 include severance pay.

- (3) Mr. Pope was hired in November 2002 and accordingly had insufficient compensation to report and had no compensation in the two prior years from the Registrant.
- (4) Mr. DeVyllder was hired in December 2002 and accordingly had insufficient compensation to report and had no compensation in the two prior years from the Registrant.
- (5) Mr. Easton was formerly a Vice President who retired in November 2002.
- (6) Mr. Young was formerly Vice President, Administration, Secretary and General Counsel who retired in December 2002.

-96-

Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and FY-End Option Values

Name ----	Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) -----	Value Realized (\$) -----	Number of Unexercised Options at 12/29/02 Exercisable (#) -----	Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options at 12/29/02 Exercisable (\$) -----
Albert A. Canosa (CEO)	33,418	202,847	126,363	259,044
John B. Devlin	22,000	46,340	-	-
John J. Easton(1)	32,059	73,736	34,507	70,739
LeGrande L. Young(2)	36,722	140,470	97,186	199,231

(1) Retired in November 2002.

(2) Retired in December 2002.

Performance Graph (Table)

The following Performance Graph (Table) compares the Registrant's cumulative total shareholder return on its common stock with certain indexes and peer groups for a five-year period:

COMPARISON OF FIVE-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN
AMONG RAYTECH CORPORATION, RUSSELL 2000 INDEX*
AND DOW JONES AUTO PARTS INDUSTRY GROUP INDEX*

Russell 2000	Dow Jones Auto Parts Industry
--------------	----------------------------------

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

	Raytech -----	Index -----	Group Index -----
1997	100	100	100
1998	52	100	101
1999	61	120	98
2000	40	115	73
2001	45	117	93
2002	115	91	82

* Based on closing index on the last trading day of the calendar year.

Assumes \$100 invested on December 31, 1997 in Raytech common stock, Russell 2000 Index, and Dow Jones Auto Parts Industry Group Index

-97-

Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Registrant, consisting of four Directors, makes this report of its compensation policies applicable to the executive officers and the basis for the Chief Executive Officer's compensation for the last completed fiscal year.

The compensation philosophy of the Compensation Committee is based upon the premise that all salaried personnel should be eligible to receive additional compensation for outstanding contribution to the Corporation and consists of the following two elements: a fixed base salary and a management incentive in variable amounts in accordance with the levels of eligibility and performance criteria. The objectives under this philosophy are to maintain an equitable internal classification of positions by grade, to maintain compensation opportunity equal to or greater than the competition, to provide for aggregate compensation related to performance achievement, to maintain an effective system of salary planning and control and to provide executives with the opportunity to earn additional compensation based on achievement of certain goals for the Corporation and its shareholders attributable to excellence in management and performance.

To accomplish the compensation objectives, all salaried positions, including the Chief Executive Officer, are graded to reflect level of responsibility inherent in the position and market value. The grading takes into account the following factors: organizational relationships, knowledge requirements, impact potential on corporate profitability, scope of monetary responsibility and managerial control and the areas of functional responsibility requiring direction. The Compensation Committee considers all such factors but places no relative weight on any of the factors. Though the determination of executive compensation is performed in an organized manner, using documented criteria as referenced below, the Compensation Committee generally retains full discretionary authority in establishing executive compensation.

The base salary for executive officers is set in relation to the base salary policy and practice of other bonus paying employers in the metalworking/fabricating industry. The data source for determining the base salary practice of bonus paying employers is Hewitt Associates Total Compensation Measurement, which resulted from an integration of Management Compensation Services Project 777 Study and Hewitt's Compensation Data Base used in the past. This data source was selected as a model for executives' salaries based upon the similarities of industry, operations and products to the Registrant and the prestige of the sponsoring firm. Special pay practice surveys

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

may be conducted if the Compensation Committee deems it appropriate in its discretion but have not done so within the last three years. The other bonus paying employers used in establishing the base salary of executives are listed in the reference Total Compensation Measurement. Of all industry groups of corporations set forth in the Total Compensation Measurement, the metalworking/fabricating group was determined by the Compensation Committee to be the closest and most fitting in type of operations, products and job responsibilities to the Registrant. The base salaries of executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, were generally low compared to the survey listed. Since this base salary tends to be lower than the salary policy of non-bonus paying employers, comparable levels of total compensation are achieved or exceeded only when the variable element of compensation is added to the base. To strengthen the executives commitment to improvement of the financial performance of the Corporation, the amount available for distribution as variable compensation in any year is determined by either the return on equity or earnings before tax at the Board's discretion. The formula necessitates that the Corporation achieve a stipulated earnings before

-98-

tax or return on equity goal before variable compensation is paid. Payment of shareholder dividends in the year variable compensation is earned is a prerequisite to payment; provided, however, that such compensation may be paid in any event if the Board finds that unusual circumstances justify such payments.

In accordance with the philosophy recited above, the Board stipulated earnings before tax goals in each of the fiscal years 2000, 2001 and 2002 based upon a Board approved Business Plan for each year. The stipulated earnings before tax goal was achieved for the year 2000 but not for 2001 and 2002 resulting in variable compensation or bonus to the executive officers but not for 2001 and 2002, including the Chief Executive Officer, as well as other key employees, in amounts established in the variable compensation plan. Earnings before tax are recited in the Registrant's 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K herein. The total compensation of the executive officers in the years in which variable compensation or bonus was paid based on performance was high compared to the Hewitt's Total Compensation Measurement Survey grouping referenced above.

The bonus opportunities in the fiscal years 2000, 2001 and 2002 for executive officers and the Chief Executive Officer were therefore based on the following factors:

- (i) Each such position was graded in accordance with the level of responsibility inherent in the position including market value, organizational relationships, knowledge requirements, impact on corporate profitability, scope of monetary responsibility, scope of managerial control and areas of functional responsibility, all as set forth in the established compensation plan and was determined to be eligible for participation in variable compensation.
- (ii) The executive officers' positions all received a grade providing for variable compensation eligibility of 75% or 100% of each executive officer's base salary.
- (iii) The Chief Executive Officer's position received a grade providing for variable compensation eligibility of 100% of the Chief Executive Officer's base salary.
- (iv) The corporate earnings before tax goals stipulated by the Board for 2000, 2001 and 2002 if met in the amount of 100%

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

would result in a variable compensation opportunity to each executive officer of 100%, of 75% or 100% of each such officer's base salary and resulting in variable compensation opportunity to the Chief Executive Officer of 100% of such officer's base salary. Actual variable compensation awarded would then be determined by the evaluation of performance of each officer to specific written objectives submitted at the beginning of each year.

In addition to the variable compensation opportunities based upon achieving earnings before tax goals annually, the Variable Compensation Plan provides for long-term variable compensation opportunities for any three-year strategic planning period determined by earnings per share goals established at the Board's discretion. Being part of the Variable Compensation Plan, the strategic plan variable compensation program has an identical philosophy to the annual variable compensation program recited above. Additionally, the strategic plan variable compensation program is designed to (i) provide shareholder returns comparable to other high performance publicly traded companies; (ii) strengthen key management commitment to improve the long-term financial performance of the Corporation; (iii) provide key management with a

-99-

shareholder perspective; and (iv) focus key employee resources on technology driven growth.

In accordance with the recited philosophy above, the Board stipulated annual earnings per share goals for the strategic planning period beginning 2000 through 2002. The stipulated earnings per share goals were achieved for each of the year 2000 but not 2002 or 2001 resulting in no long-term (three-year) variable compensation payouts to the executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer.

Reiterating, the base salary of the Chief Executive Officer is based upon comparable positions in the metalworking/fabrication industry grouping of Hewitt's Total Compensation Measurement Survey and is low in comparison. The variable or bonus portion of the Chief Executive Officer compensation is subject to achievement of the earnings goals referenced above and is high in comparison to total compensation of other chief executive officers similarly positioned in the survey. As stated, the achievement of the stipulated earnings before tax goal was directly related to the variable compensation or bonus received by the Chief Executive Officer in 2000.

The Registrant's contributions under the defined contribution plan [401(k)] to the executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, were made to all participants in the plan in accordance with the operative provisions of said plan. Such provisions, which apply to all participants, provide for a basic Company contribution, a matching Company contribution and a supplemental Company contribution. Only the supplemental Company contribution is discretionary under the plan and if granted is made to all participants.

The Registrant currently has not established any policy with respect to qualifying compensation paid to executive officers under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. In the event such a policy is established, it will be included in this Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation.

The preceding Performance Graph (Table) compares the Registrant's cumulative total shareholder return on its common stock with the Russell 2000 Index and the Dow Jones Auto Parts Industry Group Index. The Russell 2000 Index was selected as a broad equity market index comparison in place of Standard &

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Poor's 500 for the reasons that the Registrant is not included in the Standard & Poor's 500, but is included in the Russell 2000 Index, and such Index includes companies that are of comparable market capitalization. The Dow Jones Auto Parts Industry Group Index was selected in lieu of a Registrant-constructed peer group index for the reasons that difficulties were encountered in presenting the requisite peer comparison due to a very limited peer group and such peers essentially being privately held companies or subsidiaries or divisions of larger publicly held companies which necessary data to draw a comparison is not publicly available. Further, the Dow Jones Auto Parts Industry Group Index includes companies that trade in the same industry and have similar market capitalizations.

Compensation Committee
 Robert F. Carter
 Stanley J. Levy
 Richard A. Lippe
 Gene Locks

-100-

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Directors

	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	
	Total	Percent Of Class
	-----	-----
Albert A. Canosa	161,781 (a)	.39%

Executive Officers

Albert A. Canosa President and Chief Executive Officer	161,781 (a)	.39%
John B. Devlin Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer		
Harold L. Pope Vice President		
Edgar P. DeVlyder Vice President, Administration, General Counsel and Secretary		
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (12)	161,781 (b)	.39%

(a) Total includes 126,363 shares which Mr. Canosa holds the option to purchase within 60 days.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

- (b) Total includes 126,363 shares which the Executive Officers as a group hold the option to purchase within 60 days.

-101-

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

None

Item 14. Controls and Procedures

- (a) Based on evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company disclosure controls and procedures, which evaluation was made under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer within the 90-day period prior to the filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer have each concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.
- (b) No significant changes were made to the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K

- (a) The following financial statements are included in Part II, Item 8:

(1) Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 29, 2002 (Successor Company) and December 30, 2001 (Successor Company)

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 29, 2002, for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company), and for the year ended December 31, 2000 (Predecessor Company)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the year ended December 29, 2002, for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company), and for the year ended December 31, 2000 (Predecessor Company)

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the year ended December 29, 2002, for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company), and for the year ended December 31, 2000 (Predecessor Company).

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Report of Independent Accountants

-102-

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Schedules not included with this additional financial information have been omitted either because they are not applicable or because the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or footnotes.

(3) The Exhibits are listed in the index of Exhibits at Item (c) hereafter.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

None

(c) Index of Exhibits

Page

- 2(a) Raytech Corporation's Second Amended Plan of Reorganization (j)
- 3(a) Certificate of Amendment and Restatement of the Certificate of Incorporation of Raytech (j)
- 3(b) Amended and Restated By-Laws of Raytech (j)
- 4(a) Amendment No. 1 to Form S-4 Registration Statement, Registration No. 33-7491 (b)
- 10(a) Raytech Corporation's 1990 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan (e)
- 10(b) Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of September 4, 1986 (a)
- 10(c) Stock Purchase Agreement dated March 30, 1987 between Raymark Industries, Inc. and Raytech Composites (c), Amendment dated July 18, 1991 (f) and Amendment dated December 21, 1992 (g)
- 10(d) Asset Purchase Agreement dated October 29, 1987 between Raymark Industries, Inc. and Raytech Composites, Inc. (c), Amendment dated July 18, 1991 (f) and Amendment dated December 21, 1992 (g)
- 10(e) Stock Purchase Agreement dated May 18, 1988 between Raytech Corporation and Asbestos Litigation Management, Inc. (d)
- 10(f) Asset Purchase Agreement (Notarial Deed) dated June 19, 1992 between Ferodo Beral GmbH and Raytech Composites, Inc. and Raybestos Reibbelag GmbH (g)
- 10(g) Loan Agreement dated September 16, 1993 between Raytech Composites, Inc. and Raymark Industries, Inc. (h)
- 10(h) Loan Agreement dated January 10, 1994 between Raytech Composites,

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Inc. and Raymark Industries, Inc. (h)

- 10(i) Memorandum of Understanding dated July 23, 1998 Re. Consensual Plan of Reorganization (i)

-103-

- 21 Subsidiaries of Raytech
- 22 Matters Submitted to Vote of Security Holders (k)
- 23 Consent of Independent Accountants

Footnotes to Exhibits

- (a) Filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Amendment No. 1 to Form S-4, Registration Statement, Registration No. 33-7491, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 5, 1986.
- (b) Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 5, 1986.
- (c) Included as an Exhibit to Registrant's Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 1988, as amended by Form 8 filed on April 11, 1988 and Form 8 filed on April 19, 1988.
- (d) Included as an Exhibit to Registrant's Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 1989.
- (e) Included in Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 33-42420) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 23, 1991.
- (f) Included as an Exhibit to Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 29, 1991, as amended by Form 8 filed on February 27, 1992.
- (g) Included as an Exhibit to Registrant's Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 22, 1993.
- (h) Included as an Exhibit to Registrant's Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 14, 1994.
- (i) Included as an Exhibit to Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 6, 1998.
- (j) Included as an Exhibit to Registrant's Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 23, 2001.
- (k) Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 25, 2003 on Schedule 14C.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Copies of exhibits which are not included herewith and which have not previously been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission may be obtained by submitting a written request, specifying the name of the exhibit and including payment of \$2.00 for each exhibit to cover handling and postage, to: Edgar P. DeVyllder, Secretary, Raytech Corporation, Suite 295, Four Corporate Drive, Shelton, Connecticut 06484.

- (d) The Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules is included beginning on page 105 hereafter.

-104-

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

Financial Statements:	Page

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 29, 2002 (Successor Company) and December 30, 2001 (Successor Company)	38
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 29, 2002 (Successor Company), for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and for the year ended December 31, 2000 (Predecessor Company)	39
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the year ended December 29, 2002 (Successor Company), for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and for the year ended December 31, 2000 (Predecessor Company)	40
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the year ended December 29, 2002 (Successor Company), for the period April 3, 2001 to December 30, 2001 (Successor Company), for the period January 1, 2001 to April 2, 2001 (Predecessor Company) and for the year ended December 31, 2000 (Predecessor Company)	41
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	42
Report of Independent Accountants	91

-105-

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

RAYTECH CORPORATION

By: /s/JOHN B. DEVLIN

John B. Devlin
Vice President, Treasurer
and Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 31, 2003

-106-

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

RAYTECH CORPORATION

By: /s/ALBERT A. CANOSA

Albert A. Canosa
President and
Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 31, 2003

-107-

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities shown on March 31, 2003.

Signature and Title	Signature and Title
/s/ALBERT A. CANOSA ----- Albert A. Canosa President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	/s/DAVID N. FORMAN ----- David N. Forman Director
/s/JOHN B. DEVLIN ----- John B. Devlin Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer	/s/STANLEY J. LEVY ----- Stanley J. Levy Director
/s/ROBERT F. CARTER ----- Robert F. Carter Director	/s/JOHN H. LAERI, JR. ----- John H. Laeri, Jr. Director
/s/ARCHIE R. DYKES ----- Archie R. Dykes	/s/RICHARD A. LIPPE ----- Richard A. Lippe

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Director

/s/KEVIN S. FLANNERY

Kevin S. Flannery
Director

Director

/s/GENE LOCKS

Gene Locks
Director

-108-

CERTIFICATION

I, John B. Devlin, Chief Financial Officer of Raytech Corporation (the "Registrant"), certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Raytech Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report.
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - (b) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - (c) presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;.
5. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the Registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal controls; and

6. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: March 31, 2003

/s/JOHN B. DEVLIN

John B. Devlin
Vice President, Treasurer
and Chief Financial Officer

-109-

CERTIFICATION

I, Albert A. Canosa, President and Chief Executive Officer of Raytech Corporation (the "Registrant"), certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Raytech Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report.
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - (b) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - (c) presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;.
5. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

on our most recent evaluation, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

- (a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the Registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal controls; and
6. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: March 31, 2003

/s/ALBERT A. CANOSA

Albert A. Canosa
President and Chief Executive Officer

-110-

CERTIFICATION

I, John B. Devlin, Chief Financial Officer of Raytech Corporation (the "Company"), pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. The annual report on Form 10-K of the Company for the period ended December 29, 2002 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. The information contained in such Form 10-K fairly presents, in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have executed this Certification this 31st day of March, 2003.

/s/JOHN B. DEVLIN

John B. Devlin
Vice President, Treasurer
and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Albert A. Canosa, President and Chief Executive Officer of Raytech

Edgar Filing: RAYTECH CORP - Form 10-K

Corporation (the "Company"), pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, do hereby certify as follows:

1. The annual report on Form 10-K of the Company for the period ended December 29, 2002 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in such Form 10-K fairly presents, in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have executed this Certification this 31st day of March, 2003.

/s/ALBERT A. CANOSA

Albert A. Canosa
President and
Chief Executive Officer