

INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC.
Form 10-Q
November 05, 2009

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number	Registrant; State of Incorporation; Address; and Telephone Number	IRS Employer Identification No.
1-11337	INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. (A Wisconsin Corporation) 130 East Randolph Drive Chicago, Illinois 60601-6207 (312) 228-5400	39-1775292

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). (Registrant is not yet required to provide financial disclosure in an Interactive Data File format.)

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Common stock, \$1 par value,
76,420,113 shares outstanding at
November 3, 2009

INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC.
FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	<u>COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS</u>	3
	<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	4
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	6
Item 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)	6
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of <u>Income</u>	6
	Condensed Consolidated <u>Balance Sheets</u>	7
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of <u>Cash Flows</u>	8
	CONDENSED <u>NOTES</u> TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF Integrys Energy Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries	9 – 46
		Page
	Note 1 Financial Information	9
	Note 2 Cash and Cash Equivalents	9
	Note 3 Risk Management Activities	10
	Note 4 Integrys Energy Services Strategy Change	15
	Note 5 Discontinued Operations	16
	Note 6 Investment in ATC	17
	Note 7 Inventories	17
	Note 8 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets	18
	Note 9 Short-Term Debt and Lines of Credit	20
	Note 10 Long-Term Debt	21
	Note 11 Asset Retirement Obligations	22
	Note 12 Income Taxes	22
	Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies	23
	Note 14 Guarantees	31
	Note 15 Employee Benefit Plans	32
	Note 16 Stock-Based Compensation	33
	Note 17 Comprehensive Income (Loss)	35
	Note 18 Common Equity	35
	Note 19 Fair Value	37
	Note 20 Miscellaneous Income	39
	Note 21 Regulatory Environment	39
	Note 22 Segments of Business	43
	Note 23 New Accounting Pronouncements	46
<u>Item 2.</u>	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	47 – 79

<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	80
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	81

<u>PART II.</u>	OTHER INFORMATION	82
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	82
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	82
Item 6.	Exhibits	82
<u>Signature</u>		83

EXHIBIT 84
INDEX

3.1	Amendments to the By-Laws of Integrys Energy Group, Inc. effective September 17, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Integrys Energy Group’s Form 8-K filed September 21, 2009)
3.2	Integrys Energy Group, Inc. By-Laws as in effect at September 17, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Integrys Energy Group’s Form 8-K filed September 21, 2009)
12	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for Integrys Energy Group, Inc.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for Integrys Energy Group, Inc.
32	Written Statement of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 for Integrys Energy Group, Inc.

Commonly Used Acronyms

AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
ASC	Accounting Standards Codification
ATC	American Transmission Company LLC
EEP	Enhanced Efficiency Program
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
GAAP	United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
IBS	Integrys Business Support, LLC
ICC	Illinois Commerce Commission
IRS	United States Internal Revenue Service
LIFO	Last-in, first-out
MERC	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation
MGU	Michigan Gas Utilities Corporation
MISO	Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
MPSC	Michigan Public Service Commission
MPUC	Minnesota Public Utility Commission
N/A	Not Applicable
NSG	North Shore Gas Company
NYMEX	New York Mercantile Exchange
PEC	Peoples Energy Corporation
PGL	The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company
PSCW	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
SEC	United States Securities and Exchange Commission
SFAS	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards
UPPCO	Upper Peninsula Power Company
WDNR	Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
WPS	Wisconsin Public Service Corporation
WRPC	Wisconsin River Power Company

Forward-Looking Statements

In this report, Integrys Energy Group and its subsidiaries make statements concerning expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, and future events or performance. Such statements are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements are subject to assumptions and uncertainties; therefore, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Although Integrys Energy Group and its subsidiaries believe that these forward-looking statements and the underlying assumptions are reasonable, they cannot provide assurance that such statements will prove correct.

Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning management's expectations and projections regarding earnings, regulatory matters, fuel costs, sources of electric energy supply, coal and natural gas deliveries, remediation costs, environmental and other capital expenditures, liquidity and capital resources, trends, estimates, completion of construction projects, and other matters.

Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Some risk factors that could cause results to differ from any forward-looking statement include those described in Item 1A of Integrys Energy Group's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as may be amended or supplemented in Part II, Item 1A of this report. Other factors include:

- Resolution of pending and future rate cases and negotiations (including the recovery of deferred costs) and other regulatory decisions impacting Integrys Energy Group's regulated businesses;

- The impact of recent and future federal and state regulatory changes, including legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding deregulation and restructuring of the electric and natural gas utility industries and future initiatives to address concerns about global climate change, changes in environmental, tax, and other laws and regulations to which Integrys Energy Group and its subsidiaries are subject, as well as changes in the application of existing laws and regulations;

- Current and future litigation, regulatory investigations, proceedings, or inquiries, including, but not limited to, manufactured gas plant site cleanup, reconciliation of revenues from the Gas Charge (as defined in Note 13, "Commitments and Contingencies") and related natural gas costs, and the proceeding regarding the Weston 4 air permit;

- The impacts of changing financial market conditions, credit ratings, and interest rates on the liquidity and financing efforts of Integrys Energy Group and its subsidiaries;

- The risks associated with executing Integrys Energy Group's plan to significantly reduce the scope and scale of, or divest in its entirety, the nonregulated energy services business;

- The risks associated with changing commodity prices (particularly natural gas and electricity) and the available sources of fuel and purchased power, including their impact on margins;

- Resolution of audits or other tax disputes with the IRS and various state, local, and Canadian revenue agencies;

- The effects, extent, and timing of additional competition or regulation in the markets in which Integrys Energy Group's subsidiaries operate;

- The retention of market-based rate authority;

- The risk associated with the value of goodwill or other intangibles and their possible impairment;

Investment performance of employee benefit plan assets;
Advances in technology;
Effects of and changes in political and legal developments, as well as economic conditions and the related impact on customer demand;
Potential business strategies, including mergers, acquisitions, and construction or disposition of assets or businesses, which cannot be assured to be completed timely or within budgets;
The direct or indirect effects of terrorist incidents, natural disasters, or responses to such events;
The effectiveness of risk management strategies and the use of financial and derivative instruments;
The risks associated with the inability of Integrys Energy Group's and its subsidiaries' counterparties, affiliates, and customers to meet their obligations;

Weather and other natural phenomena, in particular the effect of weather on natural gas and electricity sales;
The utilization of tax credit and loss carryforwards;
The effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by standard-setting bodies;
and
Other factors discussed elsewhere herein and in other reports filed by Integrys Energy Group from time to time with the SEC.

Except to the extent required by the federal securities laws, Integrys Energy Group and its subsidiaries undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF
INCOME (Unaudited)

(Millions, except per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Nonregulated revenues	\$ 754.0	\$ 2,543.0	\$ 3,355.3	\$ 7,556.4
Utility revenues	543.8	680.1	2,570.9	3,073.1
Total revenues	1,297.8	3,223.1	5,926.2	10,629.5
Nonregulated cost of fuel, natural gas, and purchased power	661.7	2,640.9	3,139.7	7,470.2
Utility cost of fuel, natural gas, and purchased power	220.6	338.0	1,402.6	1,927.6
Operating and maintenance expense	238.4	242.3	805.7	780.7
Goodwill impairment loss	-	-	291.1	6.5
Restructuring expense	2.4	-	21.5	-
Depreciation and amortization expense	57.5	56.7	172.0	163.8
Taxes other than income taxes	23.9	21.4	72.5	69.1
Operating income (loss)	93.3	(76.2)	21.1	211.6
Miscellaneous income	25.9	23.7	67.9	64.5
Interest expense	(41.7)	(39.5)	(124.4)	(110.9)
Other expense	(15.8)	(15.8)	(56.5)	(46.4)
Income (loss) before taxes	77.5	(92.0)	(35.4)	165.2
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	28.0	(33.6)	59.3	62.2
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	49.5	(58.4)	(94.7)	103.0
Discontinued operations, net of tax	2.3	-	2.6	0.1
Net income (loss)	51.8	(58.4)	(92.1)	103.1
Preferred stock dividends of subsidiary	0.7	0.7	2.3	2.3
Net income (loss) attributed to common shareholders	\$ 51.1	\$ (59.1)	\$ (94.4)	\$ 100.8
Average shares of common stock				
Basic	76.8	76.7	76.8	76.5
Diluted	76.9	76.7	76.8	76.9
Earnings (loss) per common share (basic)				
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.64	\$ (0.77)	\$ (1.26)	\$ 1.32

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Discontinued operations, net of tax	0.03	-	0.03	-
Earnings (loss) per common share (basic)	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.77)	\$ (1.23)	\$ 1.32
Earnings (loss) per common share (diluted)				
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.63	\$ (0.77)	\$ (1.26)	\$ 1.31
Discontinued operations, net of tax	0.03	-	0.03	-
Earnings (loss) per common share (diluted)	\$ 0.66	\$ (0.77)	\$ (1.23)	\$ 1.31
Dividends per common share declared	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.67	\$ 2.04	\$ 2.01

The accompanying condensed notes are an integral part of these statements.

INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (Millions)	September 30 2009	December 31 2008
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 149.4	\$ 254.1
Accounts receivable and accrued unbilled revenues, net of reserves of \$71.1 and \$62.5, respectively	926.3	2,155.3
Inventories	414.9	722.8
Assets from risk management activities	2,045.3	1,991.8
Regulatory assets	117.4	244.0
Assets held for sale	-	270.5
Deferred income taxes	169.0	-
Other current assets	203.1	280.8
Current assets	4,025.4	5,919.3
Property, plant, and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,839.8 and \$2,710.0, respectively	4,915.1	4,773.3
Regulatory assets	1,452.6	1,444.8
Assets from risk management activities	1,016.1	730.2
Goodwill	642.8	933.9
Other long-term assets	513.2	471.0
Total assets	\$ 12,565.2	\$ 14,272.5
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Short-term debt	\$ 86.0	\$ 1,209.0
Current portion of long-term debt	271.0	155.2
Accounts payable	640.0	1,534.3
Liabilities from risk management activities	2,125.5	1,967.9
Regulatory liabilities	107.9	58.8
Liabilities held for sale	-	253.8
Deferred income taxes	-	71.6
Other current liabilities	420.7	494.8
Current liabilities	3,651.1	5,745.4
Long-term debt	2,396.7	2,288.0
Deferred income taxes	648.2	435.7
Deferred investment tax credits	35.9	36.9
Regulatory liabilities	279.9	275.5
Environmental remediation liabilities	643.9	640.6
Pension and other postretirement benefit obligations	645.9	636.5
Liabilities from risk management activities	1,000.0	731.3
Asset retirement obligations	187.4	179.1
Other long-term liabilities	148.6	152.8
Long-term liabilities	5,986.5	5,376.4

Commitments and contingencies

Preferred stock of subsidiary - \$100 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; 511,882 shares issued; 510,495 shares outstanding	51.1	51.1
Common stock - \$1 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 76,424,213 shares issued; 76,010,558 shares outstanding	76.4	76.4
Additional paid-in capital	2,494.2	2,487.9
Retained earnings	374.0	624.6
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(52.6)	(72.8)
Treasury stock and shares in deferred compensation trust	(15.5)	(16.5)
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 12,565.2	\$ 14,272.5

The accompanying condensed notes are an integral part of these statements.

INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH
FLOWS (Unaudited)

(Millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008
Operating Activities		
Net income (loss)	\$ (92.1)	\$ 103.1
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities		
Discontinued operations, net of tax	(2.6)	(0.1)
Goodwill impairment loss	291.1	6.5
Depreciation and amortization expense	172.0	163.8
Recoveries and refunds of regulatory assets and liabilities	30.8	50.2
Net unrealized losses (gains) on nonregulated energy contracts	151.1	(37.9)
Nonregulated lower of cost or market inventory adjustments	36.0	119.5
Bad debt expense	49.7	54.6
Pension and other postretirement expense	51.0	36.6
Pension and other postretirement contributions	(30.9)	(27.0)
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credit	(32.2)	65.8
(Gain) Loss on sale of assets	(1.8)	1.5
Equity income, net of dividends	(11.9)	(11.3)
Other	(28.0)	(28.3)
Changes in working capital		
Accounts receivable and accrued unbilled revenues	1,170.5	169.8
Inventories	347.5	(696.3)
Other current assets	86.4	(95.0)
Accounts payable	(678.5)	18.5
Other current liabilities	(13.5)	(193.2)
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	1,494.6	(299.2)
Investing Activities		
Capital expenditures	(342.3)	(355.2)
Proceeds from the sale or disposal of assets	37.9	9.2
Purchase of equity investments	(23.9)	(27.6)
Cash paid for transmission interconnection	-	(17.4)
Proceeds received from transmission interconnection	-	99.7
Other	(9.9)	4.0
Net cash used for investing activities	(338.2)	(287.3)
Financing Activities		
Short-term debt, net	(951.9)	632.1
Redemption of notes payable	(157.9)	-

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from sale of borrowed natural gas	148.6	402.6
Purchase of natural gas to repay natural gas loans	(370.1)	(221.8)
Issuance of long-term debt	230.0	-
Repayment of long-term debt	(2.0)	(54.7)
Payment of dividends		
Preferred stock of subsidiary	(2.3)	(2.3)
Common stock	(155.2)	(152.9)
Other	(4.8)	(2.3)
Net cash (used for) provided by financing activities	(1,265.6)	600.7
Change in cash and cash equivalents - continuing operations	(109.2)	14.2
Change in cash and cash equivalents - discontinued operations		
Net cash provided by investing activities	4.5	-
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(104.7)	14.2
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	254.1	41.2
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 149.4	\$ 55.4

The accompanying condensed notes are an integral part of these statements

INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2009

NOTE 1--FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Integrys Energy Group, Inc. have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and in accordance with GAAP. Accordingly, these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for annual financial statements. These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes in the Integrys Energy Group Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are unaudited, but, in management's opinion, include all adjustments (which, unless otherwise noted, include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements. Subsequent events at Integrys Energy Group were evaluated for potential recognition or disclosure through November 4, 2009, which is the date the financial statements were issued. Financial results for this interim period are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the year ending December 31, 2009.

Integrys Energy Group adopted SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements," (now incorporated as part of FASB ASC 810-10) as of January 1, 2009. This standard clarifies that noncontrolling interests should be reported in equity and that net income or loss should include amounts attributable to both common shareholders and noncontrolling interests. As a result, Integrys Energy Group changed the presentation of the preferred stock dividends of WPS, a subsidiary of Integrys Energy Group, on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for all periods presented. After adoption of the standard, these subsidiary preferred stock dividends are included below net income or loss in the presentation of net income or loss attributed to common shareholders.

As discussed in Note 4, "Integrys Energy Services Strategy Change," Integrys Energy Group has reclassified the assets and liabilities related to its Canadian energy marketing operations at December 31, 2008 to assets and liabilities held for sale.

NOTE 2--CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less are reported as cash equivalents.

The following is supplemental disclosure to the Integrys Energy Group Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows:

(Millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008
Cash paid for interest	\$ 100.5	\$ 101.2
Cash paid for income taxes	25.2	123.1

Significant non-cash transactions were:

Nine Months Ended
September 30

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(Millions)	2009	2008
Construction costs funded through accounts payable	\$ 26.2	\$ 38.0
Intangible assets (customer contracts) received in exchange for risk management assets	17.0	-

-9-

NOTE 3--RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

The following table shows Integrys Energy Group's assets and liabilities from risk management activities:

(Millions)	Balance Sheet Presentation *	Risk Management Assets		Risk Management Liabilities	
		September 30 2009	December 31 2008	September 30 2009	December 31 2008
Utility Segments					
Non-hedge derivatives					
Commodity contracts	Current	\$ 23.2	\$ 28.6	\$ 45.5	\$ 161.6
Commodity contracts	Long-term	3.9	-	1.1	9.0
Cash flow hedges					
Commodity contracts	Current	0.2	-	0.5	1.5
Commodity contracts	Long-term	-	-	-	-
Nonregulated Segments					
Non-hedge derivatives					
Commodity contracts	Current	2,007.4	1,849.6	2,022.5	1,722.6
Commodity contracts	Long-term	1,008.2	721.6	981.7	699.8
Interest rate swaps	Current	-	-	1.3	1.0
Interest rate swaps	Long-term	-	-	2.9	3.3
Foreign exchange contracts	Current	1.4	2.2	1.0	0.3
Foreign exchange contracts	Long-term	1.3	2.4	1.2	0.8
Fair value hedges					
Commodity contracts	Current	-	14.2	-	-
Interest rate swaps	Current	1.8	1.1	-	-
Interest rate swaps	Long-term	0.7	2.1	-	-
Cash flow hedges					
Commodity contracts	Current	11.3	81.3	51.8	79.4
Commodity contracts	Long-term	2.0	4.1	13.1	14.8
Interest rate swaps	Current	-	-	2.9	1.5
Interest rate swaps	Long-term	-	-	-	3.6
Foreign exchange contracts	Current	-	14.8	-	-
Total		\$ 3,061.4	\$ 2,722.0	\$ 3,125.5	\$ 2,699.2

* All derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet at their fair value unless they qualify for the normal purchases and sales exception found in FASB ASC 815. Integrys Energy Group continually assesses its contracts designated as normal and will discontinue the treatment of these contracts as normal if the required criteria are no longer met. Assets and liabilities from risk management activities are classified as current or long-term based upon the maturities of the underlying contracts.

The following table shows Integrys Energy Group's assets and liabilities from risk management activities classified as held for sale at December 31, 2008. For more information see Note 4, "Integrys Energy Services Strategy Change."

(Millions)	Balance Sheet Presentation *	Assets Held For Sale	Liabilities Held For Sale
Nonregulated Segments			

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Non-hedge derivatives					
Commodity contracts	Current	\$	231.3	\$	222.2
Commodity contracts	Long-term		28.4		29.9
Foreign exchange contracts	Current		0.6		0.2
Foreign exchange contracts	Long-term		0.1		1.5
Total		\$	260.4	\$	253.8

* These risk management assets and liabilities were classified as current or long-term at December 31, 2008. At September 30, 2009, they were reclassified to assets and liabilities held for sale, all in the current section of the balance sheet.

FASB ASC 815-10-45 provides the option to present certain asset and liability derivative positions net on the balance sheet and to net the related cash collateral against these net derivative positions. Integrys

Energy Group elected not to net these items in its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following table shows Integrys Energy Group's cash collateral positions:

(Millions)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Cash collateral provided to others	\$ 238.6	\$ 256.4
Cash collateral received from others	90.9	18.9

On the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, the cash collateral provided to others is reflected in accounts receivable and accrued unbilled revenues, and the cash collateral received from others is reflected in other current liabilities.

Certain of Integrys Energy Group's derivative and nonderivative commodity instruments contain provisions that could require the posting of additional collateral for instruments in net liability positions, if triggered by a decrease in credit ratings. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk related contingent features that were in a liability position at September 30, 2009, was \$1,918.0 million. As of September 30, 2009, Integrys Energy Group had not posted any cash collateral related to the credit-risk related contingent features of these commodity instruments.

If all of the credit-risk related contingent features contained in commodity instruments (including derivatives, non-derivatives, normal purchase and normal sales contracts, and applicable payables and receivables) had been triggered at September 30, 2009, Integrys Energy Group would have been required to post collateral of \$644.8 million. Of this \$644.8 million, Integrys Energy Group had already satisfied \$203.9 million with letters of credit. Therefore, the remaining collateral requirement would have been \$440.9 million.

Utility Segments

Non-Hedge Derivatives

Utility derivatives include a limited number of natural gas purchase contracts, financial derivative contracts (futures, options, and swaps), and financial transmission rights (FTRs) used to manage electric transmission congestion costs. The futures, options, and swaps were used by both the electric and natural gas utility segments to mitigate the risks associated with the market price volatility of natural gas supply costs and the costs of gasoline and diesel fuel used by utility vehicles.

Derivative instruments at the utilities are entered into in accordance with the terms of the risk management plans approved by their respective Boards of Directors and, if applicable, by their respective regulators. Most energy-related physical and financial derivatives at the utilities qualify for regulatory deferral. These derivatives are marked to fair value; the resulting risk management assets are offset with regulatory liabilities or decreases to regulatory assets, and risk management liabilities are offset with regulatory assets or decreases to regulatory liabilities. Management believes any gains or losses resulting from the eventual settlement of these derivative instruments will be refunded to or collected from customers in rates.

The table below shows the unrealized gains (losses) recorded related to non-hedge derivatives at the utilities.

(Millions)	Financial Statement Presentation	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009
Commodity contracts	Balance Sheet – Regulatory assets (current)	\$ 54.5	\$ 109.1
Commodity contracts	Balance Sheet – Regulatory assets (long-term)	4.7	9.0
Commodity contracts	Balance Sheet – Regulatory liabilities (current)	(4.6)	3.1
Commodity contracts	Balance Sheet – Regulatory liabilities (long-term)	(0.8)	(0.7)
Commodity contracts	Income Statement – Utility cost of fuel, natural gas, and purchased power	0.1	0.3
Commodity contracts	Income Statement – Operating and maintenance expense	(0.1)	0.1

At September 30, 2009, the utilities had the following notional volumes of outstanding non-hedge derivative contracts:

	Purchases	Other Transactions
Natural gas (millions of therms)	615.1	N/A
FTRs (millions of kilowatt-hours)	N/A	7,867.6
Petroleum products (barrels)	24,896	N/A

Cash Flow Hedges

PGL uses commodity contracts designated as cash flow hedges to hedge changes in the price of natural gas used to support operations. These contracts extend through December 2011. At September 30, 2009, PGL had the following notional volumes of outstanding contracts that were designated as cash flow hedges:

	Purchases
Natural gas (millions of therms)	10.8

Changes in the fair values of the effective portions of these contracts are included in other comprehensive income (OCI), net of taxes. Amounts recorded in OCI related to these cash flow hedges will be recognized in earnings when the hedged transactions occur, or if it is probable that the hedged transaction will not occur. The tables below show the amounts related to cash flow hedges recorded in OCI and in earnings.

(Millions)	Unrealized Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative Instrument (Effective Portion)			
	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Commodity contracts	\$ 0.2	\$ (2.9)	\$ (1.0)	\$ 0.1

Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into
Income (Effective Portion)

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(Millions)	Income Statement Presentation	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
		September 30		September 30	
		2009	2008	2009	2008
	Operating and maintenance				
	Settled commodity contracts expense	\$ (0.8)	\$ 0.3	\$ (2.2)	\$ 0.6

The amount reclassified from accumulated OCI into earnings as a result of the discontinuance of cash flow hedge accounting for certain hedge transactions was not significant for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008. Cash flow hedge ineffectiveness related to these commodity contracts was not significant for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008. When testing for effectiveness, no portion of the derivative instruments was excluded. In the next 12 months, PGL expects that an insignificant pre-tax loss will be recognized in earnings as the hedged transactions occur.

Nonregulated Segments

Non-Hedge Derivatives

IntegrYS Energy Group's nonregulated segments enter into derivative contracts such as futures, forwards, options, and swaps that are not designated as accounting hedges under GAAP. In most cases, these contracts are used to manage commodity price risk associated with customer related contracts, interest rate risk associated with expected future natural gas purchases, and foreign currency exchange rate risk related to the wrap up of the Canadian marketing operations. In very limited circumstances, IntegrYS Energy Services may also enter into non-hedge derivative contracts to take advantage of opportunities and inefficiencies in the natural gas and electric energy markets unrelated to its customer positions to profit on price movements.

At September 30, 2009, the nonregulated segments had the following notional volumes of outstanding non-hedge derivative contracts:

(Millions)	Purchases	Sales	Other Transactions
Commodity contracts			
Natural gas (therms)	4,510.9	4,548.6	N/A
Power (kilowatt-hours)	145,162.9	139,173.2	N/A
Interest rate swaps	N/A	N/A	\$ 240.6
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 42.6	\$ 46.5	N/A

Gains and losses related to non-hedge derivatives are recognized currently in earnings, as shown in the table below.

(Millions)	Income Statement Presentation	Gain (Loss) During	
		Three Months Ended September 30, 2009	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009
Commodity contracts	Nonregulated revenue	\$ 19.0	\$ (9.8)
	Nonregulated revenue (reclassified from accumulated OCI)	(0.3)	(2.3)
Commodity contracts		(0.3)	(2.3)
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense	(0.8)	(0.5)
Foreign exchange contracts	Nonregulated revenue	(0.7)	(1.8)
Total		\$ 17.2	\$ (14.4)

Fair Value Hedges

At PEC, an interest rate swap designated as a fair value hedge is used to hedge changes in the fair value of \$50.0 million of PEC Series A 6.9% notes due January 15, 2011. The changes in the fair value of this hedge are recognized currently in earnings, as are the changes in fair value of the hedged item. Unrealized gains (losses) related to the fair value hedge and the related hedged item are shown in the table below.

(Millions)	Income Statement Presentation	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Interest rate swap	Interest expense	\$	(0.4)	\$	(0.7)
Debt hedged by swap	Interest expense		0.4		0.7
Total		\$	-	\$	-

Fair value hedge ineffectiveness recorded in interest expense on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income was not significant for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008. No amounts were excluded from effectiveness testing related to the interest rate swap during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, Integrys Energy Services did not have any commodity derivative contracts designated as fair value hedges. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Integrys Energy Services had commodity derivative contracts designated as fair value hedges to mitigate the risk of changes in the price of natural gas held in storage. Fair value hedge ineffectiveness recorded in nonregulated revenue on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income was not significant for the three months ended September 30, 2008, and was a pre-tax loss of \$2.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Changes in the difference between the spot and forward prices of natural gas were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness and reported directly in nonregulated revenue. The amount excluded was a pre-tax loss of \$2.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2008, and was not significant during the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Cash Flow Hedges

Commodity futures, forwards, and swaps that are designated as cash flow hedges extend through April 2014, and are used to mitigate the risk of cash flow variability associated with future purchases and sales of natural gas and electricity. Integrys Energy Group also has two interest rate swaps that are designated as cash flow hedges to fix the interest rate on an unsecured term loan through June 2010. At September 30, 2009, the nonregulated segments had the following notional volumes of outstanding contracts that were designated as cash flow hedges:

(Millions)	Purchases	Sales	Other Transactions
Commodity contracts			
Natural gas (therms)	62.2	53.8	N/A
Power (kilowatt-hours)	6.8	-	N/A
Interest rate swaps	N/A	N/A	\$ 65.6

Changes in the fair values of the effective portions of contracts designated as cash flow hedges are included in OCI, net of taxes. Amounts recorded in OCI related to cash flow hedges will be recognized in earnings when the hedged transactions occur, or if it is probable that the hedged transaction will not occur. In March 2009, Integrys Energy Group settled two forward foreign currency exchange contracts that were designated as cash flow hedges to mitigate the variability in the foreign currency exposure of a fixed rate Japanese yen denominated term loan that matured in March 2009. The tables below show the amounts related to cash flow hedges recorded in OCI and in earnings.

(Millions)	Unrealized Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative Instrument (Effective Portion)			
	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Commodity contracts	\$ (7.1) *	\$ 67.7	\$ (57.6) *	\$ 33.8
Interest rate swaps	0.7	0.2	2.2	0.5

*In the second and third quarters of 2009, cash flow hedge accounting was discontinued for certain transactions, as management made the assessment that these transactions were no longer probable of occurring. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, unrealized gains of \$6.7 million were recognized in OCI related to these transactions, bringing the total to \$6.4 million for 2009. In accordance with FASB ASC 815, the amount recorded in OCI is amortized to earnings over the term of the contracts.

Income Statement	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	
	Nine Months Ended	

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

(Millions)	Presentation	Three Months Ended		September 30	
		September 30 2009	2008	2009	2008
Settled/Realized					
Commodity contracts	Nonregulated revenue	\$ (42.2)	\$ 78.1	\$ (79.3)	\$ 63.9
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.9
Hedge Designation Discontinued					
Commodity contracts	Nonregulated revenue	0.1	0.3	(0.3)	(2.6)
Total		\$ (41.8)	\$ 78.7	\$ (78.7)	\$ 62.2

(Millions)	Income Statement Presentation	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative Instruments (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)			
		Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
		September 30		September 30	
		2009	2008	2009	2008
Commodity contracts	Nonregulated revenue	\$ 0.4	\$ 3.5	\$ (0.9)	\$ -

In the next 12 months, subject to changes in market prices of natural gas and electricity, a pre-tax loss of \$51.7 million related to cash flow hedges of commodity contracts is expected to be recognized in earnings as the hedged transactions occur. This amount is expected to be substantially offset by the settlement of the related nonderivative hedged contracts.

NOTE 4--INTEGRYS ENERGY SERVICES STRATEGY CHANGE

Restructuring Costs

Integrys Energy Group has decided to divest of or significantly reduce the size of its nonregulated energy services business segment to a smaller segment with significantly reduced credit and collateral support requirements. In connection with this strategy, the following restructuring costs were expensed:

(Millions)		Three Months Ended September 30, 2009		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009
Employee-related costs	\$	0.3	\$	11.1
Software write-offs and accelerated depreciation		0.2		5.4
Legal and consulting		1.7		4.7
Miscellaneous		0.2		0.3
Total restructuring costs	\$	2.4	\$	21.5

All of the above costs were related to the Integrys Energy Services segment and were included in the restructuring expense line item on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

Integrys Energy Group expects to incur total employee-related restructuring costs of approximately \$20 million to \$26 million by the end of 2010, including the \$11.1 million accrued as of September 30, 2009. As of September 30, 2009, none of these employee-related restructuring costs had been paid.

Sale of Canadian Natural Gas and Electric Power Portfolio

In September 2009, Integrys Energy Services of Canada, a subsidiary of Integrys Energy Services, sold nearly all of its Canadian natural gas and electric power contract portfolio. In a separate transaction, Integrys Energy Services of Canada transferred a 2-bcf natural gas storage contract to a counterparty. With these two transactions, Integrys Energy Services exited the majority of its electric and natural gas marketing business in Canada.

The following table shows the carrying values of the major classes of assets and liabilities included in the transactions at the closing dates and classified as held for sale at December 31, 2008.

(Millions)	As of the		December 31, 2008
	Closing Dates		
Inventories	\$	5.3	\$ 10.1
Current risk management assets		134.7	231.9
Long-term risk management assets		48.6	28.5
Total assets	\$	188.6	\$ 270.5
Current risk management liabilities	\$	119.8	\$ 222.4
Long-term risk management liabilities		32.3	31.4
Total liabilities	\$	152.1	\$ 253.8

Proposed Sale of United States Wholesale Natural Gas Marketing Business

In October 2009, Integrys Energy Services signed an agreement to sell its United States wholesale natural gas marketing business in a two-part transaction. The closing is contingent upon obtaining certain customary contractual consents and necessary regulatory approvals. The first part of this transaction involves substantially all of Integrys Energy Services' wholesale natural gas marketing business and is anticipated to close in the fourth quarter of 2009. The second part of this transaction includes wholesale natural gas storage contracts. Certain of these storage contracts are expected to be sold by the end of the first quarter of 2010. Integrys Energy Services will provide fee-based services to the buyer of the wholesale natural gas marketing business utilizing the remaining storage contracts through April 2011 and will sell those contracts upon completion of the services at that time.

As of September 30, 2009, the wholesale natural gas marketing business did not meet the criteria to be reported as held for sale. The carrying values of the major classes of assets and liabilities included in the sale agreement were as follows:

(Millions)	September 30, 2009	
Inventories	\$	27.0
Current risk management assets		268.4
Long-term risk management assets		59.9
Total assets	\$	355.3
Accounts payable	\$	73.6
Current risk management liabilities		282.7
Long-term risk management liabilities		66.2
Total liabilities	\$	422.5

NOTE 5--DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Energy Management Consulting Business

In July 2009, Integrys Energy Services completed the sale of its energy management consulting business for \$4.5 million. This business provided consulting services relating to long-term strategies for managing energy costs for its customers. The historical financial results of this business were not significant. The gain on the sale of this business recorded in discontinued operations during the third quarter of 2009 was \$3.8 million (\$2.3 million after tax).

WPS Niagara Generation, LLC

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, Integrys Energy Services recorded a \$0.3 million after-tax gain in discontinued operations related to a refund received in connection with the overpayment of auxiliary power service in prior years.

-16-

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Integrys Energy Services recorded a \$0.1 million after-tax gain in discontinued operations related to amortization of an environmental indemnification guarantee included as part of the sale agreement.

NOTE 6--INVESTMENT IN ATC

Integrys Energy Group had an approximate 34% ownership interest in ATC at September 30, 2009. ATC is a for-profit, transmission-only company. ATC owns, maintains, monitors, and operates electric transmission assets in portions of Wisconsin, Michigan, Minnesota, and Illinois.

The following table shows changes to Integrys Energy Group's investment in ATC during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. Integrys Energy Group's investment in ATC is recorded in other long-term assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009	
Balance at the beginning of period	\$	369.2	\$	346.9
Equity in net income		19.3		55.7
Capital contributions		8.5		23.9
Dividends received		(15.2)		(44.7)
Balance at the end of period	\$	381.8	\$	381.8

ATC's financial data is included in the following tables:

(Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30					
	2009	2008	2009	2008				
Income statement data								
Revenues	\$	132.3	\$	119.9	\$	387.5	\$	345.1
Operating expenses		58.7		52.0		172.3		156.2
Other expense		19.8		18.2		57.8		51.1
Net income *	\$	53.8	\$	49.7	\$	157.4	\$	137.8

* As most income taxes are the responsibility of its members, ATC does not report a provision for its members' income taxes in its income statements.

(Millions)	September 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
Balance sheet data				
Current assets	\$	48.2	\$	50.8
Noncurrent assets		2,729.9		2,480.0
Total assets	\$	2,778.1	\$	2,530.8
Current liabilities	\$	286.2	\$	252.0
Long-term debt		1,259.6		1,109.4
Other noncurrent liabilities		78.8		120.2
Members' equity		1,153.5		1,049.2
Total liabilities and members' equity	\$	2,778.1	\$	2,530.8

NOTE 7--INVENTORIES

PGL and NSG price natural gas storage injections at the calendar year average of the cost of natural gas supply purchased. Withdrawals from storage are priced on the LIFO cost method. For interim periods, the difference between current projected replacement cost and the LIFO cost for quantities of natural gas temporarily withdrawn from storage is recorded as a temporary LIFO liquidation debit or credit. At September 30, 2009, all LIFO layers were replenished and the LIFO liquidation balance was zero.

-17-

NOTE 8--GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Integrys Energy Group had the following changes to the carrying amount of goodwill for the nine months ended September 30, 2009:

(Millions)	Natural Gas Utility Segment	Integrys Energy Services	Total
Goodwill recorded at December 31, 2008	\$ 927.0	\$ 6.9	\$ 933.9
Impairment loss	(291.1)	-	(291.1)
Goodwill recorded at September 30, 2009	\$ 635.9	\$ 6.9	\$ 642.8

Goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized, but are subject to an annual impairment test. WPS, MGU, MERC, PGL, NSG, and Integrys Energy Services, which are Integrys Energy Group's reporting units containing goodwill, perform their annual goodwill impairment tests during the second quarter of each year. Interim impairment tests are performed whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. In the first quarter of 2009, the combination of the decline in equity markets as well as the increase in the expected weighted-average cost of capital indicated that a potential impairment of goodwill might exist, triggering an interim goodwill impairment analysis. Based upon the results of the interim goodwill impairment analysis, Integrys Energy Group recorded a non-cash goodwill impairment loss of \$291.1 million (\$248.8 million after-tax) in the first quarter of 2009, all within the natural gas utility segment. This impairment related to MGU and MERC (acquired in 2006) and PGL and NSG (acquired in 2007). Key factors contributing to the impairment charge included disruptions in the global credit and equity markets and the resulting increase in the weighted-average cost of capital used to value the natural gas utility operations, and the negative impact that the global decline in equity markets had on the valuation of natural gas distribution companies in general. No further goodwill impairments were identified during annual testing procedures performed during the second quarter of 2009.

Identifiable intangible assets other than goodwill are included as a component of other assets within the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as listed below.

(Millions)	September 30, 2009			December 31, 2008		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Amortized intangible assets (liabilities)						
Customer-related (1)	\$ 32.6	\$ (17.3)	\$ 15.3	\$ 32.6	\$ (14.2)	\$ 18.4
Natural gas and electric contract assets (2) (3)	76.0	(60.6)	15.4	60.1	(54.6)	5.5
Natural gas and electric contract liabilities (2) (4)	(33.6)	25.2	(8.4)	(33.6)	20.2	(13.4)
Renewable energy credits (5)	3.3	(2.0)	1.3	3.4	(2.1)	1.3
Nonregulated easements (6)	3.5	(0.1)	3.4	-	-	-
Emission allowances (7)	2.1	(0.1)	2.0	2.3	(0.1)	2.2
Other	4.2	(1.1)	3.1	3.0	(1.0)	2.0
Total	88.1	(56.0)	32.1	67.8	(51.8)	16.0
Unamortized intangible assets						
MGU trade name	5.2	-	5.2	5.2	-	5.2

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Total intangible assets	\$	93.3	\$	(56.0)	\$	37.3	\$	73.0	\$	(51.8)	\$	21.2
-------------------------	----	------	----	--------	----	------	----	------	----	--------	----	------

(1) Includes customer relationship assets associated with both PEC's former nonregulated retail natural gas and electric operations and MERC's nonutility ServiceChoice business. The remaining weighted-average

-18-

amortization period for customer-related intangible assets at September 30, 2009, was approximately seven years.

- (2) Represents the fair value of certain PEC natural gas and electric customer contracts acquired in the merger that were not considered to be derivative instruments, as well as other electric customer contracts acquired in exchange for risk management assets.
- (3) Includes both short-term and long-term intangible assets related to customer contracts in the amount of \$7.3 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, at September 30, 2009, and \$3.1 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, at December 31, 2008. The remaining weighted-average amortization period for these intangible assets at September 30, 2009, was 3.3 years.
- (4) Includes both short-term and long-term intangible liabilities related to customer contracts in the amount of \$3.6 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, at September 30, 2009, and \$6.0 million and \$7.4 million, respectively, at December 31, 2008. The remaining weighted-average amortization period for these intangible liabilities at September 30, 2009, was 3.1 years.
- (5) Used at Integrys Energy Services to comply with state Renewable Portfolio Standards, as well as for trading purposes.
- (6) Relates to easements supporting a pipeline at Integrys Energy Services. The easements are amortized on a straight-line basis, with a remaining amortization period of 14.75 years.
- (7) Emission allowances do not have a contractual term or expiration date.

Intangible asset amortization, excluding amortization related to natural gas and electric contracts, was recorded as a component of depreciation and amortization expense. Amortization for the three months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, was \$1.5 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. Amortization for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, was \$4.5 million and \$5.8 million, respectively.

Amortization expense for the next five fiscal years is estimated to be:

(Millions)	
For year ending December 31, 2009	\$ 1.6
For year ending December 31, 2010	4.0
For year ending December 31, 2011	3.4
For year ending December 31, 2012	2.4
For year ending December 31, 2013	1.7

Amortization of the natural gas and electric contract intangible assets and liabilities were recorded as a component of nonregulated cost of fuel, natural gas, and purchased power. Amortization of these contracts for the three months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, resulted in an increase to nonregulated cost of fuel, natural gas, and purchased power of \$2.4 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. Amortization of these contracts resulted in an increase to nonregulated cost of fuel, natural gas, and purchased power of \$2.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and \$10.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Amortization expense of these contracts for the next five fiscal years is estimated to be:

(Millions)

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

For year ending December 31, 2009	\$	1.4
For year ending December 31, 2010		3.1
For year ending December 31, 2011		0.8
For year ending December 31, 2012		0.8
For year ending December 31, 2013		0.6

NOTE 9--SHORT-TERM DEBT AND LINES OF CREDIT

Integrys Energy Group's short-term borrowings consist of sales of commercial paper, borrowings under revolving credit facilities, and short-term notes.

(Millions, except percentages)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Commercial paper outstanding	\$ 76.0	\$ 552.9
Average discount rate on outstanding commercial paper	0.44%	4.78%
Borrowings under revolving credit facilities	-	\$ 475.0
Average interest rate on outstanding borrowings under revolving credit facilities	-	2.41%
Short-term notes payable outstanding	\$ 10.0	\$ 181.1
Average interest rate on outstanding short-term notes payable	0.21%	3.40%

The commercial paper at September 30, 2009, had varying maturity dates ranging from October 1, 2009, through October 23, 2009.

Integrys Energy Group manages its liquidity by maintaining adequate external financing commitments. The information in the table below relates to Integrys Energy Group's short-term debt, lines of credit, and remaining available capacity:

(Millions)	Maturity	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Revolving credit facility (Integrys Energy Group) (1)	6/02/10	\$ 500.0	\$ 500.0
Revolving credit facility (Integrys Energy Group) (1)	6/09/11	500.0	500.0
Revolving credit facility (Integrys Energy Group) (2)	5/03/09	-	250.0
Revolving credit facility (Integrys Energy Group) (3)	5/26/10	425.0	-
Revolving credit facility (Integrys Energy Group) (4)	6/04/10	35.0	-
Revolving credit facility (WPS) (5)	6/02/10	115.0	115.0
Revolving credit facility (PEC) (1) (6)	6/13/11	400.0	400.0
Revolving credit facility (PGL) (7)	7/12/10	250.0	250.0
Revolving credit facility (Integrys Energy Services) (8)	6/29/09	-	175.0
Revolving short-term notes payable (WPS) (9)	5/13/10	10.0	10.0
Short-term notes payable (Integrys Energy Group) (10)	3/30/09	-	171.1
Total short-term credit capacity		2,235.0	2,371.1
Less:			
Letters of credit issued inside credit facilities		292.8	414.6
Loans outstanding under credit agreements and notes payable		10.0	656.1
Commercial paper outstanding		76.0	552.9
Accrued interest or original discount on outstanding commercial paper		-	0.8
Available capacity under existing agreements		\$ 1,856.2	\$ 746.7

(1) Provides support for Integrys Energy Group's commercial paper borrowing program.

(2) This facility matured in May 2009, and the revolving credit agreement was terminated.

- (3) In May 2009, Integrys Energy Group entered into a revolving credit agreement to provide support for Integrys Energy Group's commercial paper borrowing program.
- (4) In June 2009, Integrys Energy Group entered into a revolving credit agreement to provide support for Integrys Energy Group's commercial paper borrowing program.
- (5) Provides support for WPS's commercial paper borrowing program.
- (6) Borrowings under this agreement are guaranteed by Integrys Energy Group.
- (7) Provides support for PGL's commercial paper borrowing program.
- (8) This facility matured in June 2009, at which time the borrowings were paid in full, and the revolving credit agreement was terminated. This facility was previously guaranteed by Integrys Energy Group.
- (9) This note is renewed every six months and is used for general corporate purposes.

(10) This facility matured in March 2009, at which time the borrowings were paid in full, and the short-term debt agreement was terminated.

At September 30, 2009, Integrys Energy Group and its subsidiaries were in compliance with all financial covenants related to outstanding short-term debt. Integrys Energy Group and certain subsidiaries' revolving credit agreements contain financial and other covenants, including, but not limited to, a requirement to maintain a debt to total capitalization ratio not to exceed 65%, excluding non-recourse debt. Failure to meet these covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in accelerated due dates and/or termination of the agreements.

NOTE 10--LONG-TERM DEBT

(Millions)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
WPS	\$ 872.1	\$ 872.1
UPPCO (1)	11.7	11.7
PEC	327.4	328.2
PGL (2)	576.0	501.0
NSG	75.3	75.3
Integrys Energy Group (3)	705.0	550.0
Unsecured term loan – Integrys Energy Group (4)	65.6	65.6
Term loans – nonrecourse, collateralized by nonregulated assets (5)	4.6	6.6
Other term loan (6)	27.0	27.0
Total	2,664.7	2,437.5
Unamortized discount and premium on bonds and debt	3.0	5.7
Total debt	2,667.7	2,443.2
Less current portion	(271.0)	(155.2)
Total long-term debt	\$ 2,396.7	\$ 2,288.0

(1) Prior to November 1, 2009, UPPCO will make a \$0.9 million sinking fund payment under the terms of its First Mortgage Bonds. As a result, this payment is included in the current portion of long-term debt on Integrys Energy Group's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2009.

(2) PGL has outstanding \$51.0 million of Adjustable Rate, Series OO bonds, due October 1, 2037, which are currently in a 35-day Auction Rate mode (the interest rate is reset every 35 days through an auction process). Recent auctions have failed to receive sufficient clearing bids. As a result, these bonds are priced each 35 days at the maximum auction rate, until such time a successful auction occurs. The maximum auction rate is determined based on the lesser of the London Interbank Offered Rate or the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index rate plus a defined premium. The year-to-date weighted-average interest rate at September 30, 2009 was 0.9% for these bonds.

In March 2010, \$50.0 million of PGL's First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds will mature. As a result, these notes are included in the current portion of long-term debt on Integrys Energy Group's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2009.

In September 2009, PGL issued \$75.0 million of Series UU, 4.63%, 10-year First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds due September 1, 2019. The net proceeds from the issuance of these bonds were used for general corporate utility purposes and to increase liquidity. The first and refunding mortgage Bonds were sold in a private placement and are not registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

(3) In June 2009, Integrys Energy Group issued \$100.0 million of 7.27%, 5-year Unsecured Senior Notes due June 1, 2014 and \$55.0 million of 8.0%, 7-year Unsecured Senior Notes due June 1, 2016. The net proceeds from the issuance of the Senior Notes were used to refinance existing short-term debt and for general corporate purposes. The senior notes were sold in a private placement and are not registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

On November 1, 2009, \$150.0 million of Integrys Energy Group Unsecured Senior Notes matured. As a result, these notes are included in the current portion of long-term debt on Integrys Energy Group's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2009.

- (4) In June 2010, Integrys Energy Group's \$65.6 million unsecured term loan will mature. As a result, this loan is included in the current portion of long-term debt on Integrys Energy Group's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2009.
- (5) By May 2010, \$4.6 million of nonrecourse term loans will mature. As a result, these amounts are included in the current portion of long-term debt on Integrys Energy Group's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2009.
- (6) WPS Westwood Generation, LLC, a subsidiary of Integrys Energy Services, has outstanding \$27.0 million of Refunding Tax Exempt Bonds. The interest rate at September 30, 2009 was 4.32% for these bonds.

At September 30, 2009, Integrys Energy Group and each of its subsidiaries were in compliance with all respective financial covenants related to outstanding long-term debt. Integrys Energy Group and certain subsidiaries' long-term debt obligations contain covenants related to payment of principal and interest when due and various financial reporting obligations. In addition, certain long-term debt obligations contain financial and other covenants, including, but not limited to a requirement to maintain a debt to total capitalization ratio not to exceed 65%. Failure to comply with these covenants could result in an event of default which, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of outstanding debt obligations.

NOTE 11--ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

The following table shows changes to the asset retirement obligations of Integrys Energy Group through September 30, 2009.

(Millions)	Regulated Utilities	Integrys Energy Services	Total
Asset retirement obligations at December 31, 2008	\$ 178.9	\$ 0.2	\$ 179.1
Accretion	6.9	0.1	7.0
Additions and revisions to estimated cash flows	1.3	-	1.3
Asset retirement obligations at September 30, 2009	\$ 187.1	\$ 0.3	\$ 187.4

NOTE 12--INCOME TAXES

Integrys Energy Group's effective tax rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, was 36.1% and (167.5)%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, was 36.5% and 37.7%, respectively.

Integrys Energy Group calculates its provision for income taxes based on an interim effective tax rate that reflects its projected annual effective tax rate before certain discrete items such as the goodwill impairment loss.

The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, differs from the federal tax rate of 35%, primarily because a large portion (approximately \$186.2 million) of the \$291.1 million goodwill impairment loss recognized in the first quarter was not deductible for income tax purposes.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the liability for uncertain tax positions increased \$9.4 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, due primarily to the results of IRS examinations.

In February 2009, Wisconsin Act 2 was signed into law. This act requires Integrys Energy Group and its subsidiaries to file their Wisconsin income tax return as a combined group. As a result, all of Integrys Energy Group's income is now subject to apportionment and taxation in Wisconsin, requiring an adjustment to deferred taxes under the Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC. This resulted in a credit adjustment to deferred taxes and an increase in income tax expense of \$1.7 million, which was recorded during 2009.

NOTE 13--COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Commodity Purchase Obligations and Purchase Order Commitments

Integrys Energy Group routinely enters into long-term purchase and sale commitments that have various quantity requirements and durations. The regulated natural gas utilities have obligations to distribute and sell natural gas to their customers, and the regulated electric utilities have obligations to distribute and sell electricity to their customers. The utilities expect to recover costs related to these obligations in future customer rates. Additionally, the majority of the energy supply contracts entered into by Integrys Energy Services are to meet its obligations to deliver energy to customers.

The obligations described below are as of September 30, 2009.

The electric utility segment has obligations related to coal supply and transportation that extend through 2016 and total \$373.2 million, obligations of \$1,239.9 million for either capacity or energy related to purchased power that extend through 2027, and obligations for other commodities totaling \$13.5 million, which extend through 2013. The natural gas utility segment has obligations related to natural gas supply and transportation contracts totaling \$1,365.9 million, some of which extend through 2028. Integrys Energy Services has obligations related to energy and natural gas supply contracts that extend through 2019 and total \$3,573.3 million. The majority of these obligations end by 2011, with obligations totaling \$247.7 million extending beyond 2011.

Integrys Energy Group also has commitments in the form of purchase orders issued to various vendors, which totaled \$543.4 million and relate to normal business operations, including construction projects.

Environmental

EPA Section 114 Request

Weston and Pulliam Plants:

In 2000, WPS received a request from the EPA under Section 114 of the Clean Air Act, seeking information related to work performed on the coal-fired boilers located at WPS's Pulliam and Weston electric generation stations. WPS filed a response with the EPA in early 2001.

In May 2002, WPS received a follow-up request from the EPA seeking additional information regarding specific boiler-related work performed on Pulliam Units 3, 5, and 7, as well as information on WPS's maintenance program for Pulliam Units 3-8 and Weston Units 1 and 2. WPS filed a final response to the EPA's follow-up request in June 2002.

To date, the EPA has not responded to the 2001 and 2002 filings made by WPS. However, in March 2008, a data request was received from the EPA seeking information related to operations and projects for the Pulliam and Weston coal-fired boilers from January 2000 to the present. WPS submitted its response in April 2008. In July 2009, WPS received an inquiry requesting clarification with respect to documents provided in the April 2008 response and the response has been submitted. In August 2009, WPS received a data request seeking further information on two specific projects at Pulliam and four at Weston. The response has been submitted.

Columbia Plant:

In 2000 and 2002, Wisconsin Power and Light Company (WP&L) received a similar series of EPA information requests relating to work performed on certain coal-fired boilers and related equipment at the Columbia generation station (a facility located in Portage, Wisconsin, jointly owned by WP&L, Madison Gas and Electric Company (MG&E), and WPS). WP&L is the operator of the plant and is responsible for responding to governmental inquiries relating to the operation of the facility. WP&L filed its response for the Columbia facility in July 2002.

To date, the EPA has not responded to the 2002 filing made by WP&L. In December 2008, WP&L received an additional data request and has submitted its response. On October 10, 2009, WPS, along with its co-owners, received from the Sierra Club, a Notice of Intent to file a civil lawsuit based on allegations that major modifications were made at the Columbia generation station without complying with the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Title V operating permit requirements of the Clean Air Act. The allegations suggest that PSD permits that imposed Best Available Control Technology limits on emissions from the facilities should have been obtained for both the Columbia generation station, which is jointly owned by WP&L, MG&E and WPS and operated by WP&L, and another generation station solely owned by WP&L. WPS is reviewing the allegations but Integrys Energy Group is currently unable to predict the impact of the allegations on its financial position or results of operations.

Settlements with Other Utilities:

In response to the EPA's Clean Air Act enforcement initiative, several utilities elected to settle with the EPA, while others are in litigation. The fines and penalties (including the cost of supplemental environmental projects) associated with settlements involving comparably-sized facilities range between \$7 million and \$30 million. The regulatory interpretations upon which the lawsuits or settlements are based may change based on future court decisions of the pending litigations.

Depending upon the results of the EPA's review of the information provided by WPS and WP&L, the EPA may perform any of the following:

- issue notices of violation (NOV) asserting that a violation of the Clean Air Act occurred,
- seek additional information from WPS, WP&L, and/or third parties who have information relating to the boilers, and/or
- close out the investigation.

In addition, under the Clean Air Act, citizen groups may pursue a claim. Except as noted above for the Columbia plant, WPS has no notice of such a claim based on the information submitted to the EPA.

If the federal government brings a claim against WPS and if it were determined by a court that historic projects at WPS's Pulliam and Weston plants required either a state or federal Clean Air Act permit, WPS may, under the applicable statutes, be required to:

- shut down any unit found to be operating in non-compliance,
- install additional pollution control equipment,
- pay a fine, and/or
- pay a fine and conduct a supplemental environmental project in order to resolve any such claim.

Weston 4 Air Permit

In November 2004, the Sierra Club filed a petition with the WDNR under Section 285.61 of the Wisconsin Statutes seeking a contested case hearing on the construction permit issued for the Weston 4 generation station, which was a necessary predicate to plant construction under the pertinent air emission regulations (hereinafter referred to as the "Weston 4 air permit"). In February 2006, the administrative law judge affirmed the Weston 4 air permit with changes to the emission limits for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide from the coal-fired boiler and particulate from the cooling tower. The changes, which were implemented by the WDNR in a revised permit issued on March 28, 2007, set limits that were more stringent than those originally set by the WDNR (hereinafter referred to as the "March 28, 2007 permit language").

On April 27, 2007, the Sierra Club filed a second petition requesting a contested case hearing regarding the March 28, 2007 permit language, which was granted by the WDNR. Both parties subsequently moved for summary judgment. In a decision issued on November 8, 2007, the administrative law judge granted WPS's motion for summary judgment in that proceeding, upholding the March 28, 2007 permit language. The Sierra Club filed petitions with the Dane County Circuit Court on April 27, 2007, and November 14, 2007, for judicial review of the Weston 4 air permit and the underlying proceedings before

the administrative law judge. These two judicial review proceedings were consolidated by the Court. On February 12, 2009, the Court upheld the administrative law judge's final order, which affirmed the WDNR's actions. The Sierra Club appealed this decision and the parties have completed filing briefs.

These activities did not stay the construction and startup of the Weston 4 facility or the administrative law judge's decision on the Weston 4 air permit. WPS believes that it has substantial defenses to the Sierra Club's challenges. Until the Sierra Club's challenges are finally resolved, Integrys Energy Group will not be able to make a final determination of the probable cost impact, if any, of compliance with any changes to the Weston 4 air permit on its future costs.

In December 2008, an NOV was issued to WPS by the WDNR alleging various violations of the air permits for Weston 4, as well as Weston 1 and 2. The alleged violations include an exceedance of the carbon monoxide and volatile organic compound limits at Weston 4, exceedances of the hourly sulfur dioxide limit in ten three-hour periods during startup/shutdown and during one separate event at Weston 4, and two that address baghouse operation at Weston 1 and 2. On July 22, 2009, an NOV was issued to WPS by the WDNR alleging violations of the opacity limits during two six-minute periods (one each at Weston 2 and 4) and of the sulfur dioxide average limit during one three-hour period at Weston 4. An NOV was issued to WPS in September 2009 relating to one event involving baghouse operation at Weston 1 and 2 that occurred in December 2008. Corrective actions have been taken for the events in the three NOVs. An enforcement conference was held on January 7, 2009, for the December 2008 NOV and on August 26, 2009, for the July 2009 NOV. Discussions with the WDNR on the severity classification of the events continue. Management believes it is likely that the WDNR will refer the NOVs to the state Justice Department for enforcement. Management does not believe that these matters will have a material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operations of Integrys Energy Group.

Weston Operating Permits

In early November 2006, it came to the attention of WPS that previous ambient air quality computer modeling done by the WDNR for the Weston facility (and other nearby air sources) did not take into account the emissions from the existing Weston 3 facility for purposes of evaluating air quality increment consumption under the required Prevention of Significant Deterioration. WPS believes it has undertaken and completed corrective measures to address any identified modeling issues and anticipates issuance of a revised Title V permit that will resolve this issue. Integrys Energy Group currently is not able to make a final determination of the probable cost impact of this issue, if any.

In December 2008, and July 2009, NOVs were issued to WPS by the WDNR that include alleged violations of the air permit at Weston 1 and 2. These NOVs are discussed above under "Weston 4 Air Permit."

Columbia Air Permit

The renewal of the Title V air permit for the Columbia generation station, jointly owned by WP&L, MG&E, and WPS and operated by WP&L, was issued by the WDNR on September 2, 2008. On October 8, 2009, the EPA issued an order objecting to the Title V air permit. The order responds to a petition filed by the Sierra Club and determined that a project in 2006 to replace the economizer, final superheater, and related components on Unit 1 should have been permitted as a "major modification." The order directs the WDNR to resolve the EPA's objections within 90 days and "terminate, modify, or revoke and reissue" the Title V permit accordingly. It is not known how the WDNR will respond to the order.

Mercury and Interstate Air Quality Rules

Mercury

The State of Wisconsin revised the state mercury rule, Chapter NR 446. Phase I of the revised rule requires a 40% reduction from the 2002 through 2004 baseline mercury emissions, beginning

-25-

January 1, 2010, through the end of 2014. Beginning in 2015, electric generating units above 150 megawatts will be required to reduce mercury emissions by 90%. Reductions can be phased in and the 90% target can be delayed until 2021 if additional sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide reductions are implemented. By 2015, electric generating units above 25 megawatts but less than 150 megawatts must reduce their mercury emissions to a level defined by the Best Available Control Technology rule. WPS estimates capital costs of approximately \$25 million for Phase I, which includes estimates for both wholly owned and jointly owned plants, to achieve the required reductions. The capital costs are expected to be recovered in future rate cases. Following the promulgation of a federal mercury control and monitoring rule by the EPA in 2005, the State of Wisconsin filed suit along with other states in opposition of this rule. On February 8, 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (Court of Appeals) ruled in favor of the petitioners and vacated the federal rule. In May 2008, the EPA's appeal of the ruling was denied. The EPA is reviewing options for a new rulemaking.

Sulfur Dioxide and Nitrogen Oxide

The EPA issued the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), formerly known as the Interstate Air Quality Rule, in 2005. CAIR was originally intended to reduce sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions from utility boilers located in 29 states, including Wisconsin, Michigan, Pennsylvania, and New York. CAIR required reduction of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions in two phases. The first phase required about a 50% reduction beginning in 2009 for nitrogen oxide and beginning in 2010 for sulfur dioxide. The second phase was to begin in 2015 for both pollutants and required about a 65% reduction in emissions. CAIR allowed the State of Wisconsin to either require utilities located in the state to participate in the EPA's interstate cap and trade program or meet the state's emission budget for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide through measures to be determined by the state. Wisconsin's rule, which incorporates the cap and trade approach, had completed the state legislative review and was forwarded to the EPA for final review.

On July 11, 2008, the Court of Appeals issued a decision vacating CAIR and the associated Federal Implementation Plan, putting the status of both CAIR nitrogen oxide allowance programs in doubt. The EPA requested a rehearing of the decision by the Court of Appeals. On December 23, 2008, the Court of Appeals reversed the CAIR vacatur and, thereby, CAIR was reinstated. The Court of Appeals also directed the EPA to address the deficiencies noted in its July 11, 2008 ruling, and the EPA has indicated they expect to issue a draft revised CAIR rule for comment in early 2010. As a result of the Court of Appeals' decision, CAIR is in place for 2009 and 2010. WPS has not acquired any nitrogen oxide allowances for vintage years beyond 2010 other than those allocated by the EPA, and does not expect any material impact as a result of the vacatur and subsequent reinstatement of CAIR.

The reinstatement of CAIR also affected the status of the Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) rule, which is a rule that addresses regional haze and visibility. The WDNR is evaluating whether air quality improvements under CAIR will be adequate to demonstrate compliance with BART.

For planning purposes, it is still assumed that additional sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide controls will be needed on existing units. The installation of any controls will need to be scheduled as part of WPS's long-term maintenance plan for its existing units. As such, controls may need to be installed before 2015. On a preliminary basis, and assuming controls are still required, WPS estimates capital costs of \$607 million, which includes estimates for both wholly owned and jointly owned plants, in order to meet an assumed 2015 compliance date. This estimate is based on costs of current control technology and current information regarding the final state and federal rules. The capital costs are anticipated to be recovered in future rate cases.

Manufactured Gas Plant Remediation

Integrys Energy Group's natural gas utilities, their predecessors, and certain former affiliates operated facilities in the past at multiple sites for the purpose of manufacturing and storing manufactured gas. In connection with manufacturing and storing manufactured gas, waste materials were produced that may have resulted in soil and groundwater contamination at these sites. Under certain laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, Integrys Energy Group's natural gas utilities are required to undertake remedial action with respect to some of these materials.

Integrys Energy Group's natural gas utilities are responsible for the environmental impacts at 55 manufactured gas plant sites located in Wisconsin, Michigan, and Illinois. All are former regulated utility sites and are being remediated, with costs charged to existing ratepayers at WPS, MGU, PGL, and NSG. Twenty of these sites have been transferred to the EPA Superfund Alternative Sites Program. Integrys Energy Group estimated and accrued for \$642.3 million of future undiscounted investigation and cleanup costs for all sites as of September 30, 2009. Integrys Energy Group may adjust these estimates in the future, contingent upon remedial technology, regulatory requirements, remedy determinations, and any claims of natural resource damages. Integrys Energy Group recorded a regulatory asset of \$663.0 million, which is net of insurance recoveries received of \$56.8 million, related to the expected recovery of both deferred expenditures and estimated future expenditures as of September 30, 2009.

Integrys Energy Group's natural gas utilities are coordinating the investigation and cleanup of the manufactured gas plant sites subject to EPA jurisdiction under what is called a "multi-site" program. This program involves prioritizing the work to be done at the sites, preparation and approval of documents common to all of the sites, and utilization of a consistent approach in selecting remedies.

The EPA identified NSG as a potentially responsible party (PRP) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), at the Waukegan Coke Plant Site located in Waukegan, Illinois (Waukegan Site). The Waukegan Site is part of the Outboard Marine Corporation (OMC) Superfund Site. The EPA also identified OMC, General Motors Corporation (GM), and certain other parties as PRPs at the Waukegan Site. NSG and the other PRPs are parties to a consent decree that requires NSG and GM, jointly and severally, to perform the remedial action and establish and maintain financial assurance of \$27.0 million. The EPA reduced the financial assurance requirement to \$21.0 million to reflect completion of the soil component of the remedial action in August 2005. NSG has met its financial assurance requirement in the form of a net worth test while GM met the requirement by providing a performance and payment bond in favor of the EPA. As a result of the GM bankruptcy filing, the EPA has contacted the surety and the surety has stated that it will provide the EPA access to the surety bond funds which are expected to fund a significant portion of GM's liability. The potential exposure related to the GM bankruptcy that is not expected to be covered by the bond proceeds has been reflected in the accrual identified above. Operation of the groundwater treatment unit began in September 2008 and was operating at full capacity as of July 2009.

With respect to portions of certain sites in the City of Chicago (Chicago), PGL received demands from site owners and others asserting standing regarding the investigation or remediation of their parcels. Some of these demands seek to require PGL to perform extensive investigations or remediations. These demands include notice letters sent to PGL by River Village West. In April 2005, River Village West filed suit against PGL in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois under Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The suit, River Village West LLC et al. v. The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company, No. 05-C-2103 (N.D. Ill. 2005) (RVW II), seeks an order directing PGL to remediate three former sites: the former South Station, the former Throop Street Station, and the former Hough Place Station.

In August 2006, a member of River Village West individually filed suit against PGL in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois under the RCRA. The suit, Thomas A. Snitzer v. The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company, No. 06-C-4465 (N.D. Ill. 2006) (Snitzer I), seeks an order directing PGL to remediate the Willow Street Station former manufactured gas plant site which is located along the Chicago River. In October 2006, the same individual filed another suit in the United States

District Court for the Northern District of Illinois under RCRA and CERCLA. The suit, Thomas A. Snitzer v. The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company, No. 06-C-5901 (N.D. Ill. 2006) (Snitzer II), seeks an order directing PGL to remediate four former manufactured gas plant sites, which are located on or near the Chicago River: 22nd Street Station, Division Street Station, Hawthorne Station, and North Shore Avenue Station. This individual also notified PGL of his intent to file suit under RCRA and CERCLA seeking an order directing PGL to remediate two other such sites: Calumet Station and North Station.

In February 2007, Snitzer I and Snitzer II were consolidated with the RVW II case. In June 2007, PGL filed a motion to dismiss, or in the alternative, stay the consolidated litigation on the basis of the transfer of the sites at issue in the litigation to the EPA Superfund Removal program. On September 28, 2007, the federal district court issued a ruling staying the litigation "pending the conclusion of the United States EPA actions" at these sites. The plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration. The court reconsidered the stay and on September 25, 2008, granted PGL's motion for a judgment on the pleadings dismissing the suit. On October 24, 2008, the plaintiffs appealed the district court's ruling. On February 5, 2009, the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals stayed the appeal. The parties have executed a settlement agreement and this matter has been dismissed. The amount of the settlement is not material to Integrys Energy Group.

Management believes that any costs incurred for environmental activities relating to former manufactured gas plant operations that are not recoverable through contributions from other entities or from insurance carriers have been prudently incurred and are, therefore, recoverable through rates for WPS, MGU, PGL, and NSG. Accordingly, management believes that the costs incurred in connection with former manufactured gas plant operations will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position or results of operations of Integrys Energy Group.

Flood Damage

In May 2003, a fuse plug at the Silver Lake reservoir owned by UPPCO was breached, resulting in subsequent flooding downstream on the Dead River, located in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. All litigation matters have been resolved. All environmental claims have been resolved with the State of Michigan and a Consent Judgment on the environmental matters was filed and approved in June 2009.

As part of UPPCO's 2009 Power Supply Cost Recovery Plan (PSCR) filing with the MPSC, UPPCO requested recovery of the remaining deferred replacement power costs related to the Silver Lake incident. Through September 30, 2009, UPPCO deferred replacement power costs of \$3.2 million, non-fuel operating and maintenance costs of \$0.8 million, and estimated related carrying costs of \$0.7 million. UPPCO offset the non-fuel operating and maintenance costs and related carrying costs, as well as a portion of the replacement power costs, with a settlement of \$2.2 million received from third parties involved in the Silver Lake incident. The remaining replacement power cost requested for recovery from Michigan retail customers was \$2.5 million at September 30, 2009.

As part of a settlement agreement with the MPSC staff and interveners in the PSCR case, UPPCO offset \$1.9 million of the remaining replacement power costs with proceeds from the sale of the Warden plant. The proceeds from the sale of the Warden plant had previously been recorded as a liability to UPPCO customers. The remaining \$0.6 million of replacement power costs was not recoverable and was recorded in operating and maintenance expense in the first quarter of 2009. This settlement has been approved by the MPSC.

The reconstruction of the Silver Lake dam was completed in November 2008. This included a new concrete spillway and a new earthen dam with monitoring instrumentation. The FERC and Board of Consultants were on site and certified the completion. UPPCO received FERC approval of a refill and operations plan in February 2009. It is expected to take approximately two years to return the reservoir to normal operation. Cost recovery for rebuilding the Silver Lake facility is the subject of a current rate proceeding.

Greenhouse Gases

There is increasing concern over the issue of climate change and the effect of greenhouse gas emissions, in particular from the combustion of fossil fuels. Integrys Energy Group is evaluating both the technical and cost implications which may result from future state, regional, or federal greenhouse gas regulatory programs. This evaluation indicates it is probable that any regulatory program which caps emissions or imposes a carbon tax will increase costs for Integrys Energy Group and its customers. The greatest impact is likely to be on fossil fuel-fired generation, with a less significant impact on natural gas storage and distribution operations. Efforts are underway within the utility industry to find a feasible method for capturing carbon dioxide from pulverized coal-fired units and to develop cleaner ways to burn coal. The use of alternate fuels is also being explored by the industry, but there are many cost and availability issues. Recently, efforts have been initiated to develop state and regional greenhouse gas programs, to create federal legislation to limit carbon dioxide emissions (such as the Waxman-Markey bill, which passed the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Kerry-Boxer draft bill which is currently being debated in the U.S. Senate), and to create national renewable portfolio standards. In addition, in April 2009, the EPA declared carbon dioxide and several other greenhouse gases to be a danger to public health and welfare, which is the first step towards the EPA potentially regulating greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act. A risk exists that such legislation or regulation will increase the cost of energy. However, Integrys Energy Group believes the capital expenditures being made at its generation units are appropriate under any reasonable mandatory greenhouse gas program and that future expenditures related to control of greenhouse gas emissions or renewable portfolio standards by its regulated electric utilities will be recoverable in rates. Integrys Energy Group will continue to monitor and manage potential risks and opportunities associated with future greenhouse gas legislative or regulatory actions.

Escanaba Water Permit Issues

UPPCO operates the Escanaba Generating Station (EGS) under contract with its owner, the City of Escanaba (City). While the City owns the water permits for EGS, UPPCO's personnel provide testing and certification of waste water discharges. In September 2008, UPPCO became aware of potential water discharge permit violations regarding reported pH and oil and grease readings at EGS. Corrective actions were implemented at the plant, notification was provided to the City, and UPPCO self reported the potential permit violations to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ). UPPCO filed a final report with the MDEQ on November 25, 2008, and a copy was sent to the City.

In March 2009, MDEQ began its investigation into this matter. Depending upon the results of the MDEQ's review of the information provided by UPPCO, the MDEQ, in consultation with the Michigan Attorney General's Office, may perform any of the following:

- assess a fine and/or seek criminal charges against UPPCO,
- assess a fine and/or seek criminal charges against the former manager who certified the reports,
- and/or
- close out the investigation.

Natural Gas Charge Reconciliation Proceedings and Related Matters

Natural Gas Charge Settlement and Pending Natural Gas Charge Cases

For PGL and NSG, the ICC conducts annual proceedings regarding the reconciliation of revenues from the natural gas charge and related natural gas costs. The natural gas charge represents the cost of natural gas and transportation and storage services purchased by PGL and NSG, as well as gains, losses, and costs incurred under PGL's and NSG's

hedging program (Gas Charge). In these proceedings, interested parties review the accuracy of the reconciliation of revenues and costs and the prudence of natural gas costs recovered through the Gas Charge. If the ICC were to find that the reconciliation was inaccurate or any natural gas costs were imprudently incurred, the ICC would order the utility companies to refund the affected amount to customers through subsequent Gas Charge filings.

In March 28, 2006 orders, the ICC adopted a settlement agreement related to fiscal years 2001 through 2004 natural gas costs. Under certain provisions of the settlement agreement, PEC agreed to provide the Illinois Attorney General (AG) and Chicago up to \$30.0 million for conservation and weatherization programs for which PGL and NSG may not seek rate recovery. PGL and NSG also agreed to implement a reconnection program for customers identified as hardship cases on the date of the agreement. Finally, PGL and NSG agreed to internal audits and an external audit of natural gas supply practices.

With respect to the conservation and weatherization funding, as of September 30, 2009, \$10.2 million remained unpaid, of which \$5.0 million was included in other current liabilities, and \$5.2 million was included in other long-term liabilities. Under the reconnection program, PGL and NSG reconnected customers who participated in the program and took other steps PGL and NSG believed were required by the agreement. The AG and Chicago have indicated that they believe the terms of the reconnection program are broader than what PGL and NSG implemented. Management believes that PGL and NSG have fully complied with the reconnection program obligations of the settlement agreement.

Four of the five annual internal audits required by the settlement agreement have been completed. An auditor hired by the ICC conducted the external audit, and the report was filed on April 10, 2008. The report included 32 recommendations, none of which quantified natural gas costs that the auditor believed should not be recovered by PGL and NSG. On March 31, 2009, PGL and NSG completed their responses to the 25 recommendations they agreed to implement in a June 30, 2008 response to the audit.

The fiscal 2006 Gas Charge reconciliation cases were initiated on November 21, 2006. The ICC staff and interveners (the AG, the Citizens Utility Board, and Chicago, filing jointly) each filed testimony recommending disallowances for PGL and NSG for a bank natural gas adjustment similar to that addressed in the fiscal 2005 Gas Charge reconciliation cases, which PGL and NSG did not contest. In addition, the interveners recommended a disallowance for PGL of \$13.9 million (reduced to \$11.0 million in their brief) associated with PGL's provision of interstate hub services. The ICC staff does not support the interveners' proposal, and PGL does not believe the proposal has merit. A hearing for the PGL and NSG cases was held on December 11, 2008. For PGL, briefing concluded February 27, 2009, and the administrative law judge has not yet prepared a proposed order. For NSG, there were no contested issues, and the parties filed an agreed form of order in January 2009.

Class Action

In February 2004, a purported class action suit was filed in Cook County Circuit Court against PEC, PGL, and NSG by customers of PGL and NSG, alleging among other things, violation of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act related to matters at issue in the utilities' fiscal year 2001 Gas Charge reconciliation proceedings. In the suit, Alport et al. v. Peoples Energy Corporation, the plaintiffs seek disgorgement and punitive damages. PGL and NSG have been dismissed as defendants and the only remaining counts of the suit allege violations of the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act by PEC and that PEC acted in concert with others to commit a tortious act. PEC denies the allegations and is vigorously defending the suit. On July 30, 2008, the plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification and PEC responded in opposition of this motion. On October 20, 2009, the court held a hearing on the plaintiffs' motion for class certification and set November 18, 2009 as the date for ruling on the motion.

Corrosion Control Inspection Proceeding

Illinois state, as well as federal laws require natural gas utilities to conduct periodic corrosion control inspections on natural gas pipelines. On April 19, 2006, the ICC initiated a citation proceeding related to such inspections that were required to be performed by PGL during 2003 and 2004, but which were not completed in the requisite

timeframe. On December 20, 2006, the ICC entered an order approving a stipulation between the parties to this proceeding under which PGL agreed that it had not been in compliance with applicable regulations, and further agreed to pay a penalty of \$1.0 million, pay for a

-30-

consultant to conduct a comprehensive investigation of its compliance with ICC pipeline safety regulations, remain compliant with those regulations, not seek recovery in future rate cases of certain costs related to non-compliance, and hold meetings with Chicago to exchange information. This order resolved only the ICC proceeding and did not constitute a release of any other potential actions outside of the ICC proceeding. With respect to the comprehensive investigation, the ICC selected an auditor for this matter and the auditor issued a final report on August 14, 2008, containing 65 recommendations and an additional placeholder for a possible recommendation. The ICC conducted a public hearing on October 8, 2008, at which time the auditor presented the report to the ICC for its acceptance. PGL submitted a draft plan to the ICC staff in which PGL accepted most of the recommendations and offered an alternative proposal for the remainder. At a subsequent meeting and in concurrence with the ICC staff and the consultant, PGL has revised its implementation plan for some of the recommendations. The auditor's agreement with the ICC provides for a two-year monitoring phase to verify PGL's compliance with the prospective implementation plan, which began in December 2008. On March 17, 2009, the auditor issued the first quarterly interim report. The report acknowledged progress on many initiatives and restated that continual monitoring will be performed to verify sustained progress for the term of the verification phase. On June 22, 2009, the auditor issued its second quarterly interim report. The report stated that verification work has started in all but two major areas and that, while the auditors have completed verification work for only a few recommendations, PGL has made progress on many of the recommendations.

On May 16, 2006, the AG served a subpoena requesting documents relating to PGL's corrosion inspections. PGL's counsel has met with representatives of the AG's office and provided documents relating to the subpoena. On July 10, 2006, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois served a grand jury subpoena on PGL requesting documents relating to PGL's corrosion inspections. PGL's counsel has met with the United States Attorney's office and provided documents relating to corrosion inspections. PGL has had no further communication with the United States Attorney's office since that time. Management cannot predict the outcome of this investigation and has not recorded a liability associated with this contingency.

NOTE 14--GUARANTEES

The following table shows outstanding guarantees at Integrys Energy Group:

(Millions)	Total Amounts Committed at September 30, 2009		Expiration		
			Less Than 1 Year	1 to 3 Years	4 to 5 Years
Guarantees supporting commodity transactions of subsidiaries (1)	\$ 1,653.4	\$ 1,294.0	\$ 233.2	\$ 37.5	\$ 88.7
Guarantees of subsidiary debt and revolving line of credit (2)	756.6	-	725.0	-	31.6
Standby letters of credit (3)	288.1	287.0	1.1	-	-
Surety bonds (4)	3.1	3.0	0.1	-	-
Other guarantees (5)	2.2	1.6	-	-	0.6
Total guarantees	\$ 2,703.4	\$ 1,585.6	\$ 959.4	\$ 37.5	\$ 120.9

(1) Consists of parental guarantees of \$1,476.8 million to support the business operations of Integrys Energy Services, which are subject to the guarantee limit discussed below; \$92.7 million and \$73.9 million, respectively, related to natural gas supply at MERC and MGU, of an authorized \$150.0 million and \$100.0 million, respectively; and \$5.0 million at both PEC and IBS, of an authorized \$125.0 million and \$50.0 million, respectively, to support business operations. These guarantees are not reflected on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

- (2) Consists of agreements to fully and unconditionally guarantee (1) PEC's \$400.0 million revolving line of credit; (2) on a senior unsecured basis, PEC's obligations under its \$325.0 million, 6.90% notes due January 15, 2011; and (3) \$31.6 million supporting outstanding debt at Integrys Energy Services' subsidiaries. Parental guarantees

related to subsidiary debt and credit agreements outstanding are not included on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

- (3) Comprised of \$282.9 million issued to support Integrys Energy Services' operations; \$4.3 million issued for workers compensation coverage in Illinois; and \$0.9 million related to letters of credit at UPPCO, MGU, and MERC. These amounts are not reflected on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.
- (4) Primarily for workers compensation coverage and obtaining various licenses, permits, and rights of way. Surety bonds are not included on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.
- (5) Includes (1) a liability related to WPS's agreement to indemnify Dominion Energy Kewaunee, Inc. for certain costs arising from the resolution of design basis documentation issues incurred prior to the Kewaunee nuclear power plant's scheduled maintenance period in 2009. As of September 30, 2009, WPS had paid \$8.7 million to Dominion Energy Kewaunee, Inc. related to this guarantee, reducing the liability to \$0.2 million. WPS expects to make payments for the entire remaining liability amount by December 31, 2009; (2) a \$1.4 million indemnification provided by Integrys Energy Services related to the sale of Niagara. This indemnification, which terminates on January 31, 2010, related to potential environmental contamination from ash disposal at this facility. Integrys Energy Services expects that the likelihood of required performance under this guarantee is remote; and (3) \$0.6 million issued for workers compensation coverage in Michigan.

Integrys Energy Group has provided total parental guarantees of \$1,792.8 million on behalf of Integrys Energy Services. Integrys Energy Group's exposure under these guarantees related to open transactions at September 30, 2009, was approximately \$604 million. At September 30, 2009, management was authorized to issue corporate guarantees up to an aggregate amount of \$2.65 billion to support the business operations of Integrys Energy Services. The following outstanding amounts were subject to this limit:

(Millions)	September 30, 2009	
Guarantees supporting commodity transactions	\$	1,476.8
Guarantees of subsidiary debt		31.6
Standby letters of credit		282.9
Surety bonds		1.5
Total guarantees subject to \$2.65 billion limit	\$	1,792.8

NOTE 15--EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The following table shows the components of net periodic benefit cost for Integrys Energy Group's benefit plans.

(Millions)	Pension Benefits				Other Postretirement Benefits			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30 2009	September 30 2008	September 30 2009	September 30 2008	September 30 2009	September 30 2008	September 30 2009	September 30 2008
Service cost	\$ 9.7	\$ 9.6	\$ 29.1	\$ 28.8	\$ 3.6	\$ 4.0	\$ 10.7	\$ 11.8
Interest cost	20.2	19.1	60.7	57.2	6.6	6.5	19.9	19.3
Expected return on plan assets	(23.1)	(25.3)	(69.4)	(75.7)	(4.4)	(4.6)	(13.3)	(13.8)
Amortization of transition obligation	-	-	-	-	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Amortization of prior service cost (credit)	1.3	1.3	3.8	3.8	(1.0)	(1.0)	(2.9)	(2.9)
Amortization of net actuarial loss (gain)	0.5	0.1	1.4	0.5	(0.4)	(0.1)	(1.1)	(0.2)
Amortization of merger-related regulatory adjustment	3.1	1.9	9.4	6.0	0.8	0.5	2.5	1.6
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 11.7	\$ 6.7	\$ 35.0	\$ 20.6	\$ 5.3	\$ 5.4	\$ 16.0	\$ 16.0

Transition obligations, prior service costs (credits), and net actuarial losses (gains) that have not yet been recognized as a component of net periodic benefit cost are included in accumulated OCI for Integrys Energy Group's nonregulated entities and are recorded as net regulatory assets for the utilities. All amounts amortized for merger-related regulatory adjustments are from regulatory assets, as these relate to the utilities.

Contributions to the plans are made in accordance with legal and tax requirements and do not necessarily occur evenly throughout the year. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, \$23.1 million of contributions were made to the pension plans, and \$7.8 million of contributions were made to the other postretirement benefit plans. Integrys Energy Group expects to contribute \$4.0 million to its pension plans and \$20.7 million to its other postretirement benefit plans during the remainder of 2009.

NOTE 16--STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Stock Options

The fair value of stock option awards granted in February 2009 was estimated using a binomial lattice model. The expected term of option awards is calculated based on historical exercise behavior and represents the period of time that options are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free interest rate is based on the United States Treasury yield curve. The expected dividend yield incorporates the current dividend rate as well as historical dividend increase patterns. Integrys Energy Group's expected stock price volatility was estimated using its 10-year historical volatility. The following table shows the weighted-average fair value per stock option along with the assumptions incorporated into the valuation model:

	February 2009 Grant
Weighted-average fair value	\$ 3.83
Expected term	8-9 years
Risk-free interest rate	2.50%-2.78%
Expected dividend yield	5.50%
Expected volatility	19%

Compensation cost recognized for stock options during the three months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, was not significant. Compensation cost recognized for stock options was not significant during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and was \$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Compensation cost capitalized during the same periods was not significant. As of September 30, 2009, \$2.0 million of compensation cost related to unvested and outstanding stock options was expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years.

A summary of stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and information related to outstanding and exercisable stock options at September 30, 2009, is presented below:

	Stock Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (Millions)
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	2,700,139	\$ 47.90		
Granted	511,484	\$ 42.12		
Exercised	3,000	\$ 25.69		\$ -
Forfeited	40,774	\$ 52.61		\$ -
Outstanding at September 30, 2009	3,167,849	\$ 46.93	6.31	\$ 0.3
Exercisable at September 30, 2009	1,876,540	\$ 46.48	4.85	\$ 0.3

The aggregate intrinsic value for outstanding and exercisable options in the above table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value that would have been received by the option holders had they all exercised their options at

September 30, 2009. This is calculated as the difference between Integrys Energy Group's closing stock price on September 30, 2009, and the option exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money stock options.

Performance Stock Rights

The fair value of performance stock rights granted in February 2009 was estimated using a Monte Carlo valuation model, incorporating the assumptions in the table below. The risk-free interest rate is based on

the United States Treasury yield curve. The expected dividend yield incorporates the current dividend rate as well as historical dividend increase patterns. The expected volatility was estimated using three years of historical data.

	February 2009 Grant
Expected term	3 years
Risk-free interest rate	1.38%
Expected dividend yield	5.50%
Expected volatility	26%

Compensation cost recorded for performance stock rights during the three months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, was not significant. Compensation cost recorded for performance stock rights during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, was \$3.2 million and \$4.0 million, respectively. Compensation cost capitalized during the same periods was not significant. As of September 30, 2009, \$3.2 million of compensation cost related to unvested and outstanding performance stock rights was expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

A summary of activity related to performance stock rights for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, is presented below:

	Performance Stock Rights	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	263,109	\$ 50.13
Granted	121,220	\$ 37.11
Expired *	79,574	\$ 48.37
Forfeited	3,665	\$ 52.15
Outstanding at September 30, 2009	301,090	\$ 45.33

*No performance shares were distributed because the performance percentage was below the threshold payout level for those rights that were vested and eligible to be distributed during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Restricted Shares and Restricted Share Units

The fair value of restricted share unit awards granted in February 2009 was based on Integrys Energy Group's closing stock price on the day the awards were granted.

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, compensation cost recorded related to restricted share and restricted share unit awards was not significant. Compensation cost recorded for restricted share and restricted share unit awards was \$3.7 million and \$3.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, respectively. Compensation cost capitalized during the same periods was not significant. As of September 30, 2009, \$9.3 million of compensation cost related to these awards was expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.8 years.

A summary of activity related to restricted share and restricted share unit awards for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, is presented below:

	Restricted Share and Restricted Share Unit Awards	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	228,615	\$ 50.19

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Granted	206,357	\$	42.12
Distributed	53,247	\$	50.17
Forfeited	7,248	\$	46.19
Outstanding at September 30, 2009	374,477	\$	45.82

-34-

NOTE 17--COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Integrys Energy Group's total comprehensive income (loss) was as follows:

(Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income (loss) attributed to common shareholders	\$ 51.1	\$ (59.1)	\$ (94.4)	\$ 100.8
Cash flow hedges, net of tax (1)	22.4	(8.6)	17.0	(17.6)
Foreign currency translation, net of tax (2)	1.9	0.1	3.2	(0.7)
Amortizations of unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit costs, net of tax	0.1	0.1	(0.1)	0.1
Unrealized (loss) gain on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	-	(0.3)	0.1	(0.4)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 75.5	\$ (67.8)	\$ (74.2)	\$ 82.2

(1) For the three months ended September 30, 2009, the tax was \$14.3 million, and for the three months ended September 30, 2008, the tax benefit was \$5.4 million. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the tax was \$9.7 million, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the tax benefit was \$10.9 million.

(2) For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the tax was \$2.1 million. The tax was not significant for the other periods presented.

The following table shows the changes to Integrys Energy Group's accumulated other comprehensive loss from December 31, 2008, to September 30, 2009.

(Millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009
December 31, 2008 balance	\$ (72.8)
Cash flow hedges	17.0
Foreign currency translation	3.2
Amortizations of unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit costs	(0.1)
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	0.1
September 30, 2009 balance	\$ (52.6)

NOTE 18--COMMON EQUITY

Integrys Energy Group's reconciliation of shares outstanding at September 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, was as follows:

	September 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Shares	Average Cost	Shares	Average Cost
Common stock issued	76,424,213		76,430,037	
Less:				
Treasury shares	4,000	\$ 25.19	7,000	\$ 25.19
Deferred compensation rabbi trust	359,727	\$ 43.32(1)	367,238	\$ 44.36(1)

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Restricted stock	49,928	\$	54.54(2)	63,031	\$	54.81(2)
Total shares outstanding	76,010,558			75,992,768		

(1) Based on Integrys Energy Group's stock price on the day the shares entered the deferred compensation rabbi trust. Shares paid out of the trust are valued at the average cost of shares in the trust.

(2) Based on the grant date fair value of the restricted stock.

-35-

Integrys Energy Group had the following changes to common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2009:

Integrys Energy Group's common stock shares	
Common stock at December 31, 2008	76,430,037
Restricted stock shares retired	(5,824)
Common stock at September 30, 2009	76,424,213

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

In the first quarter of 2009, Integrys Energy Group adopted FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities," (now incorporated as part of FASB ASC 260-10). This FSP had no effect on previously reported basic earnings (loss) per share.

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributed to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common stock shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributed to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common stock shares outstanding during the period, adjusted for the exercise and/or conversion of all potentially dilutive securities. Such dilutive items include in-the-money stock options, performance stock rights, and restricted stock. The effects of dilutive securities were not included in the computation for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and the three months ended September 30, 2008, because there was a net loss during these periods, which would cause the impact to be anti-dilutive. The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2009, excluded 3.0 million out-of-the-money stock options that had an anti-dilutive effect. The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, excluded an insignificant number of stock options that had an anti-dilutive effect. The following table reconciles the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share:

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Numerator:				
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 49.5	\$ (58.4)	\$ (94.7)	\$ 103.0
Discontinued operations, net of tax	2.3	-	2.6	0.1
Preferred stock dividends of subsidiary	(0.7)	(0.7)	(2.3)	(2.3)
Net income (loss) attributed to common shareholders	\$ 51.1	\$ (59.1)	\$ (94.4)	\$ 100.8
Denominator:				
Average shares of common stock – basic	76.8	76.7	76.8	76.5
Effect of dilutive securities				
Stock-based compensation	0.1	-	-	0.4
Average shares of common stock – diluted	76.9	76.7	76.8	76.9
Earnings (loss) per common share				
Basic	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.77)	\$ (1.23)	\$ 1.32
Diluted	0.66	(0.77)	(1.23)	1.31

NOTE 19--FAIR VALUE

Fair Value Measurements

The following tables show Integrys Energy Group's assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis, categorized by level within the fair value hierarchy.

(Millions)	September 30, 2009			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets				
Risk management assets	\$ 588.3	\$ 1,548.4	\$ 924.7	\$ 3,061.4
Other	0.7	-	-	0.7
Liabilities				
Risk management liabilities	730.0	1,566.3	829.2	3,125.5
Long-term debt hedged by fair value hedge	-	52.5	-	52.5

(Millions)	December 31, 2008			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets				
Risk management assets	\$ 698.4	\$ 1,276.7	\$ 746.9	\$ 2,722.0
Assets held for sale	4.6	247.3	8.5	260.4
Inventory hedged by fair value hedges	-	27.4	-	27.4
Other	0.5	-	-	0.5
Liabilities				
Risk management liabilities	819.5	1,311.3	568.4	2,699.2
Liabilities held for sale	1.0	247.8	5.0	253.8
Long-term debt hedged by fair value hedge	-	53.2	-	53.2

The determination of the fair values above incorporates various factors required under the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC. These factors include not only the credit standing of the counterparties involved, but also the impact of Integrys Energy Group's nonperformance risk on its liabilities.

The risk management assets and liabilities listed in the table include options, swaps, futures, physical commodity contracts, and other instruments used to manage market risks related to changes in commodity prices and interest rates. For more information on Integrys Energy Group's risk management instruments, see Note 3, "Risk Management Activities."

When possible, Integrys Energy Group bases the valuations of its risk management assets and liabilities on quoted prices for identical assets in active markets. These valuations are classified in Level 1. The valuations of certain contracts are based on NYMEX futures prices with an adjustment related to location differences, and certain derivative instruments are valued using broker quotes or prices for similar contracts at the reporting date. These valuations are classified in Level 2.

Certain derivatives are categorized in Level 3 due to the significance of unobservable or internally-developed inputs. The primary reasons for a Level 3 classification are as follows:

While price curves may have been based on observable information, significant assumptions may have been made regarding seasonal or monthly shaping and

locational basis differentials.

Certain transactions were valued using price curves that extended beyond the quoted period. Assumptions were made to extrapolate prices from the last quoted period through the end of the transaction term.

The valuations of certain transactions were based on internal models, although external inputs were utilized in the valuation.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of items categorized as Level 3 measurements:

(Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Balance at the beginning of period	\$ (42.6)	\$ (104.0)	\$ 182.0(1)	\$ 44.6
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) included in earnings	27.7	(75.9)	(12.8)	(158.8)
Net unrealized gain (loss) recorded as regulatory assets or liabilities	(1.8)	(1.7)	4.1	(7.1)
Net unrealized gain (loss) included in other comprehensive income (loss)	13.8	(41.2)	5.1	(15.2)
Net purchases and settlements	(21.6)	29.9	(8.6)	9.4
Net transfers in/out of Level 3	120.0	210.2	(74.3)	144.4
Balance at the end of period	\$ 95.5	\$ 17.3	\$ 95.5	\$ 17.3
Net unrealized gain (loss) included in earnings related to instruments still held at the end of period	\$ 27.8	\$ (78.6)	\$ (10.1)	\$ (170.3)

(1) The balance at the beginning of the period includes \$3.5 million of net risk management assets classified as held for sale.

Derivatives are transferred in or out of Level 3 primarily due to changes in the source of data used to construct price curves as a result of changes in market liquidity.

Unrealized gains and losses included in earnings related to Integrys Energy Services' risk management assets and liabilities are recorded through nonregulated revenue on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Realized gains and losses on these same instruments are recorded in nonregulated revenue or nonregulated cost of fuel, natural gas, and purchased power, depending on the nature of the instrument. Unrealized gains and losses on Level 3 derivatives at the utilities are deferred as regulatory assets or liabilities. Therefore, these fair value measurements have no impact on earnings. Realized gains and losses on these instruments flow through utility cost of fuel, natural gas, and purchased power.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following table shows the financial instruments included on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets of Integrys Energy Group that are not recorded at fair value.

(Millions)	September 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Long-term debt	\$ 2,667.7	\$ 2,774.3	\$ 2,443.2	\$ 2,276.0
Preferred stock	51.1	46.3	51.1	46.0

The fair values of long-term debt instruments are estimated based on the quoted market price for the same or similar issues, or on the current rates offered to Integrys Energy Group for debt of the same remaining maturity, without considering the effect of third-party credit enhancements. The fair values of preferred stock are estimated based on quoted market prices when available, or by using a perpetual dividend discount model.

Due to the short maturity of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, notes payable, and outstanding commercial paper, the carrying amount approximates fair value.

-38-

NOTE 20--MISCELLANEOUS INCOME

Integrys Energy Group's total miscellaneous income was as follows:

(Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Equity earnings on investments	\$ 19.5	\$ 20.0	\$ 56.6	\$ 50.7
Interest and dividend income	1.5	1.3	4.1	4.1
Equity portion of AFUDC	1.7	2.0	4.5	3.3
Weston 4 ATC interconnection agreement	-	-	-	2.5
Other	3.2	0.4	2.7	3.9
Total miscellaneous income	\$ 25.9	\$ 23.7	\$ 67.9	\$ 64.5

NOTE 21--REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

Wisconsin

2010 Rate Case Re-opener

On May 1, 2009, WPS filed an application with the PSCW to adjust its 2010 retail electric and natural gas rates by \$63.3 million for increased costs primarily related to construction of the Crane Creek wind project, pension and benefits, transmission, environmental control, and Wisconsin's Focus on Energy program, offset by production tax credits from the Crane Creek wind project and reductions in fuel and purchased power costs. On September 9, 2009, the PSCW Staff recommended an electric rate increase of \$22.1 million and a natural gas rate increase of \$11.1 million. On September 21, 2009, WPS and the PSCW Staff proposed a refund to customers in 2010 of 2008 and 2009 electric fuel cost over-collections, which would offset the electric rate increase requested for 2010. A final order is expected by the end of 2009.

2009 Rates

On April 23, 2009, the PSCW made the 2009 fuel cost recovery subject to refund, effective April 25, 2009, as actual and projected fuel costs for the remainder of the year are estimated to be below the 2% fuel window. As of September 30, 2009, WPS recorded a liability of \$17.1 million related to this refund.

On December 30, 2008, the PSCW issued a final written order for WPS authorizing no change in retail electric rates from the fuel surcharge adjusted rates authorized effective July 4, 2008, and a \$3.0 million decrease in retail natural gas rates. The PSCW also approved a decoupling mechanism as a four-year pilot program. The mechanism allows WPS to defer and recover or refund in future rate proceedings all or a portion of the differences between the actual and authorized margin per customer impact of variations in volumes. The annual deferral or refund is limited to \$14.0 million for electric service and \$8.0 million for natural gas service. The mechanism does not adjust for changes in volume resulting from changes in customer count and also does not cover large commercial and industrial customers.

2008 Rates

On January 15, 2008, the PSCW issued a final written order for WPS authorizing a retail electric rate increase of \$23.0 million (2.5%), which included recovery of deferred 2005 and 2006 MISO Day 2 costs over a one-year period

and increased electric transmission costs, effective January 16, 2008. On February 11, 2008, WPS filed an application with the PSCW to adjust its 2008 rates for increased fuel and purchased power costs. The application requested an increase in retail electric rates due to a delay in the in-service date of the Weston 4 power plant, increased coal and coal transportation costs, and increased natural gas costs. The PSCW approved an interim annual fuel surcharge increase of \$29.7 million on March 20, 2008, and an additional final fuel surcharge increase of \$18.3 million, effective July 4, 2008.

On September 30, 2008, the PSCW reopened the 2008 fuel surcharge to review forecasted fuel costs, as WPS's current and anticipated annual fuel costs were below those projected in the fuel surcharge. As a result of the lower fuel and purchased power costs, WPS's rates from September 30, 2008, through December 31, 2008, were subject to refund. On February 9, 2009, WPS filed a request with the PSCW to refund approximately \$5 million of 2008 fuel costs to Wisconsin retail electric customers. WPS had accrued this amount as a liability at December 31, 2008. This refund resulted in a credit to customers' bills in March and April 2009. The final amount of the refund is under review by the PSCW, and WPS expects a final order before year-end.

Weston 3 Outage

In October 2007, Weston 3, a coal-fired generating facility located near Wausau, Wisconsin, sustained damage from a major lightning strike that forced the facility out of service until January 14, 2008. The damage required the repair of the generator rotor, turbine rotors, and boiler feed pumps. WPS incurred \$8.9 million of incremental pre-tax non-fuel operating and maintenance expenditures through January 14, 2008, to repair and return Weston 3 to service. WPS has insurance in place that covered all non-fuel operating and maintenance expenditures, less a \$1.0 million deductible. WPS incurred a total of \$26.6 million of incremental pre-tax fuel and purchased power costs during the 14-week outage. WPS was granted approval from the PSCW to defer the replacement fuel and purchased power costs for the Wisconsin retail portion of these costs retroactive to the date of the lightning strike. On December 30, 2008, the PSCW granted WPS recovery of \$17.0 million of the requested \$19.6 million of Weston 3 replacement fuel and power costs from the Wisconsin retail jurisdiction, over a six-year period and without carrying costs.

WPS was granted recovery of \$0.4 million of the requested \$0.5 million of replacement purchased power costs from the Michigan retail jurisdiction through the annual PSCR mechanism.

Michigan

2010 UPPCO Rate Case

On June 26, 2009, UPPCO filed a request with the MPSC to increase retail electric rates by \$12.2 million (12.7%). The filing includes a 12.0% return on common equity and a common equity ratio of 54.8% in its regulatory capital structure. The proposed rate increase is required because of hydroelectric facility replacement and upgrades, increased costs of capital for financing, low sales growth, increased costs for meter reading, and general inflation. UPPCO requested approval of a decoupling mechanism, as well as the authority to implement an uncollectible expense true-up mechanism, which would provide for recovery or refund of 90% of the difference between actual and forecasted uncollectible expense. UPPCO expects interim rates to begin January 1, 2010.

2010 MGU Rate Case

On July 1, 2009, MGU filed a request with the MPSC to increase retail natural gas rates by \$8.4 million (4.5%). The filing includes a 12.0% return on common equity and a common equity ratio of 50.26% in its regulatory capital structure. The proposed rate increase is required because of increased cost of capital for financing, low margin revenue growth, increased costs of customer service functions and employee benefits, and general inflation. MGU requested approval of a decoupling mechanism, as well as the authority to implement an uncollectible expense true-up mechanism, similar to what UPPCO requested in its 2010 rate case discussed above. MGU expects interim rates to begin January 1, 2010.

2009 MGU Rates

On January 13, 2009, the MPSC issued a final written order for MGU approving a settlement agreement authorizing an annual retail natural gas rate increase of \$6.0 million, effective January 14, 2009. The rate increase was required primarily due to general inflation, low margin revenue growth, increased costs of

customer service functions, and increased environmental cleanup costs to remediate former manufactured gas plant sites.

2008 WPS Rates

On December 4, 2007, the MPSC issued a final written order authorizing WPS a retail electric rate increase of \$0.6 million, effective December 5, 2007. WPS's last retail electric rate increase in Michigan was in July 2003. The new rates reflect a 10.6% return on common equity and a common equity ratio of 56.4% in its regulatory capital structure.

Illinois

2010 Rate Case

On February 25, 2009, PGL and NSG filed requests with the ICC to increase natural gas distribution rates by \$161.9 million and \$22.0 million, respectively, for 2010. Both filings included a 12.0% return on common equity and a common equity ratio of 56% in their regulatory capital structures. The filings also included an overall return of 9.34% and 9.18% for PGL and NSG, respectively. The proposed rate increases were requested to allow PGL and NSG to recover their forecasted 2010 cost of service and to earn a reasonable return on their investment. PGL and NSG requested approval of a mechanism for cost recovery of the natural gas cost component of bad debt expense. PGL also requested approval of a mechanism for cost recovery, outside of the rate case, of an accelerated cast iron main replacement program (Infrastructure Cost Recovery Rider, or Rider ICR).

On June 10, 2009, the ICC Staff and interveners filed direct testimony in these cases. The ICC Staff recommended rate increases of approximately \$35 million for PGL and \$10 million for NSG. Based on the return on common equity and other adjustments, the ICC Staff's recommendation includes an overall return of 7.6% for PGL (including a 9.69% return on common equity) and 7.49% for NSG (including a 9.79% return on common equity). Based on the return on common equity and other adjustments, the interveners recommended rate increases of approximately \$48.3 million for PGL and \$11 million for NSG. The interveners' recommendation includes an overall return of 7.36% for PGL and 7.07% for NSG, each including an 8.255% to 8.58% return on common equity. The ICC Staff and certain interveners opposed the accelerated cast iron main replacement recovery mechanisms, and the ICC Staff opposed the bad debt recovery mechanism.

On July 8, 2009, PGL and NSG filed rebuttal testimony in these cases. PGL reduced its requested increase to \$122.4 million and NSG reduced its requested increase to \$20.0 million, based upon updating certain data, agreeing not to contest certain ICC Staff and intervener proposals, and revised overall returns of 9.27% for PGL and 9.06% for NSG, which includes a revised return on common equity of 11.87% for both PGL and NSG. PGL continued to support its requested accelerated cast iron main replacement recovery mechanism. PGL and NSG withdrew their requested bad debt recovery mechanisms when the Governor of Illinois signed Illinois Senate Bill (SB) 1918 in July 2009. SB 1918 contains a provision that allows PGL and NSG to file a rider to recover (or refund) the incremental difference between the rate case authorized uncollectible expense and the actual uncollectible expense per the income statement. As management concluded it was probable of recovery, PGL and NSG began recording the effects of this provision in the third quarter. PGL and NSG filed this rider with the ICC in September 2009, and the ICC must act on the filing by March 2010.

On August 4, 2009, the ICC Staff and interveners filed rebuttal testimony in these cases. Based on the return on common equity and other adjustments, the ICC Staff's rebuttal testimony (as amended) recommended increases of \$53.5 million for PGL and \$13.5 million for NSG. On August 17, 2009, PGL and NSG filed surrebuttal testimony further reducing their requests to \$113.2 million and \$18.1 million, respectively, primarily to reflect additional

updated costs. Hearings were held August 24, 2009, through August 28, 2009. Briefs were filed September 29, 2009, in which the ICC Staff adjusted their recommendation to \$53.3 million for PGL and \$12.2 million for NSG. Chicago and the union representing

-41-

PGL employees both filed briefs in support of the Rider ICR. Reply briefs were filed October 9, 2009, and a proposed order is due from the Administrative Law Judges on November 6, 2009.

PGL and NSG expect receipt of a written order from the ICC by January 2010.

2008 Rates

On February 5, 2008, the ICC issued a final written order authorizing a retail natural gas rate increase of \$71.2 million for PGL and a retail natural gas rate decrease of \$0.2 million for NSG, effective February 14, 2008. The rates for PGL reflect a 10.19% return on common equity and a common equity ratio of 56% in its regulatory capital structure. The rates for NSG reflect a 9.99% return on common equity and a common equity ratio of 56% in its regulatory capital structure. The order included approval of a decoupling mechanism, effective March 1, 2008, as a four-year pilot program, which allows PGL and NSG to adjust rates going forward to recover or refund the difference between the actual and authorized margin impact of variations in volumes. Legislation was introduced at the Illinois state legislature to roll back decoupling but never reached a vote. This legislation was introduced again in the first quarter of 2009. Integrys Energy Group actively supports the ICC's decision to approve this rate setting mechanism. The order also approved an Enhanced Efficiency Program, which allows PGL and NSG to recover up to \$6.4 million and \$1.1 million per year, respectively, of energy efficiency costs.

On March 26, 2008, the ICC denied PGL's and NSG's request for rehearing of their rate orders, and all but one such request from interveners. The only rehearing request granted by the ICC related to a change in the way PGL allocates interstate hub services revenues among customer groups. On June 6, 2008, several parties filed a stipulation to resolve the way PGL allocates interstate hub services revenues among customer groups. The ICC approved the stipulation, effective November 1, 2008, as well as a rehearing order. Following the stipulation approval, PGL and NSG filed appeals in the second district of the Illinois appellate court and four other parties filed appeals in the first district of the Illinois appellate court. PGL's and NSG's appeals were subsequently transferred to the first district of the Illinois appellate court. On appeal, parties may only raise issues on which they sought rehearing at the ICC. These issues include the decoupling mechanism.

Minnesota

On June 29, 2009, the MPUC issued a final written order authorizing MERC a retail natural gas rate increase of \$15.4 million. The new rates reflect a 10.21% return on common equity and a common equity ratio of 48.77% in its regulatory capital structure. After approval of the required compliance filings, MERC expects to implement final rates in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Federal

Through a series of orders issued by the FERC, Regional Through and Out Rates for transmission service between the MISO and the PJM Interconnection were eliminated effective December 1, 2004. To compensate transmission owners for the revenue they will no longer receive due to this rate elimination, the FERC ordered a transitional pricing mechanism called the Seams Elimination Charge Adjustment (SECA) be put into place. Load-serving entities paid these SECA charges during a 16-month transition period from December 1, 2004, through March 31, 2006.

For the 16-month transitional period, Integrys Energy Services received billings of \$19.2 million (pre-tax) for these charges. Integrys Energy Services expensed \$14.7 million of the \$19.2 million, as it is probable that Integrys Energy Services' total exposure will be reduced by at least \$4.5 million due to inconsistencies between the FERC's SECA order and the transmission owners' compliance filings. Integrys Energy Services has reached settlement agreements with three of its vendors for a combined \$1.6 million.

In August 2006, the administrative law judge hearing the case issued an Initial Decision that was in agreement with all of Integrys Energy Services' positions. If the Final Order is consistent with the Initial

-42-

Decision of the administrative law judge, Integrys Energy Services' pre-tax exposure of \$19.2 million may be reduced by as much as \$13 million. The Final FERC Order is subject to rehearing and then court challenges. Any refunds to Integrys Energy Services will include interest for the period from payment to refund.

NOTE 22--SEGMENTS OF BUSINESS

At September 30, 2009, Integrys Energy Group reported four segments, which are described below.

The electric utility segment includes the regulated electric utility operations of WPS and UPPCO.

The natural gas utility segment includes the regulated natural gas utility operations of WPS, MGU, MERC, PGL, and NSG.

Integrys Energy Services is a diversified nonregulated natural gas and electric power supply and services company serving residential, commercial, industrial, and wholesale customers. See Note 4, "Integrys Energy Services Strategy Change," for more information.

The Holding Company and Other segment includes the operations of the Integrys Energy Group holding company and the PEC holding company, along with any nonutility activities at WPS, MGU, MERC, UPPCO, PGL, NSG, and IBS. Equity earnings from Integrys Energy Group's investments in ATC and WRPC are also included in the Holding Company and Other segment.

The tables below present information for the respective periods pertaining to Integrys Energy Group's reportable segments:

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Segments of Business (Millions)	Regulated Utilities			Nonutility and Nonregulated Operations		Reconciling Eliminations	Integrys Energy Group Consolidated
	Electric Utility	Natural Gas Utility	Total Utility	Integrys Energy Services	Holding Company and Other		
Three Months Ended September 30, 2009							
External revenues	\$ 332.3	\$ 211.5	\$ 543.8	\$ 751.2	\$ 2.8	\$ -	\$ 1,297.8
Intersegment revenues	10.0	0.1	10.1	-	-	(10.1)	-
Restructuring expense	-	-	-	2.4	-	-	2.4
Depreciation and amortization expense	22.4	26.4	48.8	4.9	3.8	-	57.5
Miscellaneous income (expense)	1.7	1.0	2.7	3.6	30.6	(11.0)	25.9
Interest expense (income)	10.1	12.6	22.7	4.2	25.8	(11.0)	41.7
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	21.8	(11.9)	9.9	22.3	(4.2)	-	28.0
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	38.8	(19.7)	19.1	21.5	8.9	-	49.5
Discontinued operations	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	2.3
Preferred stock dividends of subsidiary	0.5	0.2	0.7	-	-	-	0.7
Net income (loss) attributed to common shareholders	38.3	(19.9)	18.4	23.8	8.9	-	51.1
Three Months Ended September 30, 2008							
External revenues	\$ 365.1	\$ 315.0	\$ 680.1	\$ 2,540.2	\$ 2.8	\$ -	\$ 3,223.1

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Intersegment revenues	10.2	0.2	10.4	0.6	-	(11.0)	-
Depreciation and amortization expense	21.6	28.1	49.7	3.6	3.4	-	56.7
Miscellaneous income (expense)	1.8	1.0	2.8	1.5	29.4	(10.0)	23.7
Interest expense (income)	8.5	14.7	23.2	3.4	22.9	(10.0)	39.5
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	30.7	(10.8)	19.9	(56.2)	2.7	-	(33.6)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	52.2	(17.7)	34.5	(94.5)	1.6	-	(58.4)
Preferred stock dividends of subsidiary	0.6	0.1	0.7	-	-	-	0.7
Net income (loss) attributed to common shareholders	51.6	(17.8)	33.8	(94.5)	1.6	-	(59.1)

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Segments of Business (Millions)	Regulated Utilities			Nonutility and Nonregulated Operations		Reconciling Eliminations	Integrys Energy Group Consolidated
	Electric Utility	Natural Gas Utility	Total Utility	Integrys Energy Services	Holding Company and Other		
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009							
External revenues	\$ 954.1	\$ 1,616.8	\$ 2,570.9	\$ 3,346.7	\$ 8.6	\$ -	\$ 5,926.2
Intersegment revenues	32.2	0.4	32.6	1.1	-	(33.7)	-
Goodwill impairment loss	-	291.1	291.1	-	-	-	291.1
Restructuring expense	-	-	-	21.5	-	-	21.5
Depreciation and amortization expense	67.4	78.8	146.2	14.7	11.1	-	172.0
Miscellaneous income (expense)	3.9	2.8	6.7	5.9	91.0	(35.7)	67.9
Interest expense (income)	31.1	38.8	69.9	9.9	80.3	(35.7)	124.4
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	48.2	(10.2)	38.0	15.9	5.4	-	59.3
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	90.1	(196.6)	(106.5)	3.5	8.3	-	(94.7)
Discontinued operations	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	2.6
Preferred stock dividends of subsidiary	1.8	0.5	2.3	-	-	-	2.3
Net income (loss) attributed to common shareholders	88.3	(197.1)	(108.8)	6.1	8.3	-	(94.4)
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008							

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

External revenues	\$ 982.1	\$ 2,091.0	\$ 3,073.1	\$ 7,547.9	\$ 8.5	\$ -	\$ 10,629.5
Intersegment revenues	33.5	0.5	34.0	7.6	0.6	(42.2)	-
Goodwill impairment loss	-	6.5	6.5	-	-	-	6.5
Depreciation and amortization expense	61.8	80.6	142.4	10.6	10.8	-	163.8
Miscellaneous income (expense)	5.6	4.8	10.4	4.5	79.8	(30.2)	64.5
Interest expense (income)	25.8	41.4	67.2	6.1	67.8	(30.2)	110.9
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	44.0	34.6	78.6	(21.6)	5.2	-	62.2
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	80.2	49.2	129.4	(34.0)	7.6	-	103.0
Discontinued operations	-	-	-	0.1	-	-	0.1
Preferred stock dividends of subsidiary	1.6	0.7	2.3	-	-	-	2.3
Net income (loss) attributed to common shareholders	78.6	48.5	127.1	(33.9)	7.6	-	100.8

NOTE 23--NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 132(R)-1, "Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets," (now incorporated as part of FASB ASC 715-20) was issued in December 2008. This amendment to previously issued GAAP requires additional disclosures about plan assets. These disclosures include: a description of investment policies and strategies, disclosures of the fair value of each major category of plan assets, information about the fair value measurements of plan assets, and disclosures about significant concentrations of risk in plan assets. This guidance is effective for Integrys Energy Group for the reporting period ending December 31, 2009, and will result in expanded disclosures related to postretirement benefit plan assets.

SFAS No. 167, "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No 46(R)," was issued in June 2009. This statement introduces a requirement to perform ongoing assessments to determine whether an entity is a variable interest entity and whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. In addition, this statement clarifies that the enterprise that is required to consolidate a variable interest entity will have a controlling financial interest evidenced by (1) the power to direct the activities that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance, and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that are potentially significant to the variable interest entity. Additional disclosures are required regarding involvement with variable interest entities, as well as the methodology used to determine the primary beneficiary of any variable interest entities. This standard will be effective for Integrys Energy Group beginning January 1, 2010. Management is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption will have on Integrys Energy Group's consolidated financial statements.

SFAS No. 168, "The FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles – A Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162," was issued in June 2009. This statement creates two levels of GAAP, authoritative and nonauthoritative, and replaces the old GAAP hierarchy found in SFAS No. 162. In addition, this statement establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ as the source of authoritative accounting principles for GAAP and clarifies that rules and interpretations of the SEC are also authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. SFAS No. 168 was effective for Integrys Energy Group for the reporting period ending September 30, 2009. This standard changed the way GAAP is referenced throughout Integrys Energy Group's disclosures but did not have an impact on its results of operations or financial position.

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-5, "Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value," was issued in August 2009. This amendment to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ provides additional guidance for measuring the fair value of a liability under FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures." Under this amendment, when there is a lack of observable market information the fair value of a liability should be measured using a quoted price for an identical or similar liability when traded as an asset, or another valuation technique consistent with the principles found in FASB ASC 820. If a liability is restricted from being traded, entities are not required to include separate inputs or adjustments to inputs in the valuation related to the existence of that restriction. This guidance is effective for Integrys Energy Group for the reporting period ending December 31, 2009. Management does not expect the adoption to have a significant impact on Integrys Energy Group's consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2009-12, "Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent)," was issued in September 2009. This guidance permits a reporting entity, as a practical expedient, to measure the fair value of certain investments using the net asset value per share if that value is calculated in accordance with the principles of FASB ASC 946, "Financial Services – Investment Companies," as of the entity's measurement date. This guidance also requires additional disclosures about the attributes of investments within the scope of the amendments. This guidance is effective for the reporting period ending December 31, 2009. Management is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption will have on Integrys Energy Group's consolidated financial statements.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes and the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

INTRODUCTION

Integrys Energy Group is a diversified energy holding company with regulated electric and natural gas utility operations (serving approximately 2.2 million customers in Illinois, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin), nonregulated energy operations, and an approximate 34% equity ownership interest in ATC (a federally regulated electric transmission company operating in Wisconsin, Michigan, Minnesota, and Illinois).

Strategic Overview

Integrys Energy Group's goal is to create long-term value for shareholders and customers through growth in its core regulated businesses. Integrys Energy Group is in the process of executing its previously announced strategy to divest of or significantly reduce the size of its nonregulated energy services business segment to a smaller segment with significantly reduced credit and collateral support requirements.

The essential components of Integrys Energy Group's business strategy are:

Maintaining and Growing a Strong Regulated Utility Base – A strong regulated utility base is essential to maintain a strong balance sheet, predictable cash flows, a desired risk profile, attractive dividends, and quality credit ratings. This is critical to Integrys Energy Group's success as a strategically focused regulated business. Integrys Energy Group believes the following projects have helped, or will help, maintain and grow its regulated utility base and meet its customers' needs:

- WPS's continued investment in environmental projects to improve air quality and meet the requirements set by environmental regulators. Capital projects to construct and/or upgrade equipment to meet or exceed required environmental standards are planned each year.
- Integrys Energy Group's approximate 34% ownership interest in ATC, a transmission company that has over \$2.7 billion of transmission assets at September 30, 2009. Integrys Energy Group will continue to fund its share of the equity portion of future ATC growth. ATC plans to invest approximately \$2.5 billion during the next ten years.
- Weston 4, a 537-megawatt coal-fired base-load power plant located near Wausau, Wisconsin, was completed and became operational June 30, 2008. WPS holds a 70% ownership interest in the Weston 4 power plant.
- A proposed accelerated annual investment in natural gas distribution facilities (replacement of cast iron mains) at PGL and proposed cost recovery mechanism.
- The investment of approximately \$80 million to connect WPS's natural gas distribution system to the Guardian II natural gas pipeline completed in February 2009.

- WPS's purchase of the 99-megawatt Crane Creek wind generation project constructed in Howard County, Iowa, which is expected to be operational in the fourth quarter of 2009.

For more detailed information on Integrys Energy Group's capital expenditure program, see "Liquidity and Capital Resources, Capital Requirements."

Divest of or Significantly Reduce the Size and the Capital and Liquidity Commitments of the Nonregulated Energy Services Business Segment – Unprecedented energy price volatility, combined with significant growth in the forward contract portion of the business, has increased the collateral requirements of Integrys Energy Services at a time when global credit and financial market conditions are both constraining the availability and increasing the cost of capital. As a result, Integrys Energy Group has decided to divest of or significantly reduce the size of its nonregulated energy services business segment. In the event that a full divestiture of Integrys Energy Services does not occur and a portion of the nonregulated energy services business segment remains, it will be a smaller segment that requires significantly less capital, parental guarantees, and overall financial liquidity from Integrys Energy Group. Integrys Energy Group is committed to significantly reducing credit and collateral support requirements, with substantially all of this accomplished by the end of 2010. Integrys Energy Group is seeking to deploy its capital to areas with more desirable risk-adjusted rates of return. Although Integrys Energy Group anticipates a reduction in future earnings capacity from this business segment going forward, an improvement in the liquidity position, capital deployed, and reduced business risk profile of Integrys Energy Group is expected.

Integrating Resources to Provide Operational Excellence – Integrys Energy Group is committed to integrating resources of all its businesses, while meeting all applicable legal and regulatory requirements. This will provide the best value to customers and shareholders by leveraging the individual capabilities and expertise of each business and lowering costs. Integrys Energy Group believes the following recent developments have helped, or will help, integrate resources and provide operational excellence:

- IBS, a wholly owned service company of Integrys Energy Group, became operational on January 1, 2008. IBS was formed to achieve a significant portion of the cost synergies anticipated from the PEC merger through the consolidation and efficient delivery of various support services, and to provide more consistent and transparent allocation of costs throughout Integrys Energy Group and its subsidiaries.
- "Operational Excellence" initiatives were implemented to provide top performance in the areas of project management, process improvement, contract administration, and compliance in order to reduce costs and manage projects and activities within appropriate budgets, schedules, and regulations.

Placing Strong Emphasis on Asset and Risk Management – Integrys Energy Group's asset management strategy calls for the continuous assessment of existing assets, the acquisition of assets, and contractual commitments to obtain resources that complement its existing business and strategy. The goal is to provide the most efficient use of resources while maximizing return and maintaining an acceptable risk profile. This strategy focuses on the disposition of assets, including property, plant, and equipment and entire business units, which are no longer strategic to ongoing operations, are not performing as needed, or have an unacceptable risk profile. Integrys Energy Group maintains a portfolio approach to risk and earnings. Integrys Energy Group's decision regarding the future of Integrys Energy Services illustrates its asset management strategy.

Integrys Energy Group's risk management strategy includes the management of market, credit, and operational risks through the normal course of business. Forward purchases and sales of electric capacity, energy, natural gas, and other commodities allow for opportunities to secure prices in a volatile energy market. Each business unit manages the risk profile related to these instruments consistent with Integrys Energy Group's risk management policies, which are approved by the Board of Directors. The Corporate Risk Management Group, which reports through the Chief Financial Officer, provides corporate oversight.

Continuing Emphasis on Safe, Reliable, Competitively Priced, and Environmentally Sound Energy and Energy Related Services – Integrys Energy Group's mission is to provide customers with the best value in energy and energy

related services. By effectively operating a mixed portfolio of generation assets and investing in new generation and natural gas distribution assets, while maintaining or

-48-

exceeding environmental standards, Integrys Energy Group is able to provide a safe, reliable, value-priced service to its customers. Integrys Energy Group concentrates its efforts on improving and operating efficiently in order to reduce costs and maintain a low risk profile. Integrys Energy Group actively evaluates opportunities for adding more renewable generation to provide additional environmentally sound energy to its portfolio. Integrys Energy Group believes the following activities have helped, and will continue to help, integrate resources to provide safe, reliable, competitively priced, and environmentally sound energy and energy related services:

- Managing operations to minimize the impact on the environment. WPS's Weston 4 facility, completed in 2008, is one of the most efficient pulverized coal-fired electric generation units in the country with state-of-the-art environmental controls, which allows reductions in the amount of emissions produced. Integrys Energy Group also expects to maintain or decrease the amount of greenhouse gases released over time and supports research and development initiatives that will enable further progress toward decreasing its carbon footprint.
- Effectively operating a mixed portfolio of generation assets and investing in new generation and distribution assets, such as Weston 4, wind projects, and its natural gas connection to the Guardian II pipeline, ensures continued reliability for Integrys Energy Group's customers.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30		% Increase	Nine Months Ended September 30		% Increase
	2009	2008	(Decrease)	2009	2008	(Decrease)
Natural gas utility operations	\$ (19.9)	\$ (17.8)	11.8%	\$ (197.1)	\$ 48.5	N/A
Electric utility operations	38.3	51.6	(25.8)%	88.3	78.6	12.3%
IntegrYS Energy Services' operations	23.8	(94.5)	N/A	6.1	(33.9)	N/A
Holding company and other operations	8.9	1.6	456.3%	8.3	7.6	9.2%
Net income (loss) attributed to common shareholders	\$ 51.1	\$ (59.1)	N/A	\$ (94.4)	\$ 100.8	N/A
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.77)	N/A	\$ (1.23)	\$ 1.32	N/A
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.66	\$ (0.77)	N/A	\$ (1.23)	\$ 1.31	N/A
Average shares of common stock						
Basic	76.8	76.7	0.1%	76.8	76.5	0.4%
Diluted	76.9	76.7	0.3%	76.8	76.9	(0.1)%

Financial Results – Third Quarter 2009 Compared with Third Quarter 2008

IntegrYS Energy Group recognized net income attributed to common shareholders of \$51.1 million (\$0.66 diluted earnings per share) for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, compared with a net loss attributed to common shareholders of \$59.1 million (\$0.77 net loss per share) for the quarter ended September 30, 2008. Significant factors impacting the \$110.2 million increase in earnings were as follows (and are discussed in more detail thereafter):

- The net loss attributed to common shareholders at the regulated natural gas utility segment increased \$2.1 million, from \$17.8 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, to \$19.9 million for the same quarter in 2009. The increase in the net loss was driven by a positive adjustment recognized in the third quarter of 2008 at MGU related to recovery of prior natural gas costs in an MPSC proceeding as well as lower quarter-over-quarter volumes, net of decoupling, attributed primarily to the general economic slowdown. The increase in the net loss was partially offset by the net positive impact that rate increases at MERC and MGU had on margin.
- Net income attributed to common shareholders at the regulated electric utility segment decreased \$13.3 million, from \$51.6 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, to \$38.3 million for the same quarter in 2009. The decrease was driven by fuel and purchased power costs that were lower than what was recovered in rates during the third quarter of 2008, a decrease in sales volumes primarily due to colder quarter-over-quarter weather during the cooling season, and an increase in operating and maintenance expense,

partially offset by higher margin from wholesale customers.

- Earnings at Integrys Energy Services increased \$118.3 million, from a net loss attributed to common shareholders of \$94.5 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, to net income attributed to common shareholders of \$23.8 million for the same quarter in 2009. This increase was driven by a \$113.8 million after-tax increase in Integrys Energy Services' margin quarter-over-quarter. The increase in margin was primarily related to the partial recovery of non-cash accounting losses related to derivative fair value and inventory valuation adjustments recorded in prior periods, an increase in realized wholesale electric margins, and an increase in realized natural gas margins.
- Earnings at the holding company and other segment increased \$7.3 million, from \$1.6 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, to \$8.9 million for the same quarter in 2009, largely due to adjustments required by GAAP to the effective tax rate to ensure the year-to-date interim effective tax rate reflects the projected annual effective tax rate.

Financial Results – Nine Months 2009 Compared with Nine Months 2008

Integrys Energy Group recognized a net loss attributed to common shareholders of \$94.4 million (\$1.23 net loss per share) for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared with net income attributed to common shareholders of \$100.8 million (\$1.31 diluted earnings per share) for the same period in 2008. Significant factors impacting the \$195.2 million decrease in earnings were as follows (and are discussed in more detail thereafter):

- Earnings at the regulated natural gas utility segment decreased \$245.6 million, from net income attributed to common shareholders of \$48.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, to a net loss attributed to common shareholders of \$197.1 million for the same period in 2009. The net loss at the natural gas utility segment was driven by a \$242.3 million increase in after-tax non-cash goodwill impairment losses period-over-period. Lower period-over-period volumes, net of decoupling, attributed to the general economic slowdown and warmer weather during the heating season, also negatively impacted earnings period-over-period. The decrease in earnings was partially offset by the net positive impact that increased rates at MERC, MGU, and PGL had on margin.
- Net income attributed to common shareholders at the regulated electric utility segment increased \$9.7 million, from \$78.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, to \$88.3 million for the same period in 2009. The increase at the regulated electric utility segment was driven by an increase in wholesale margins, fuel and purchased power costs that were lower than what was recovered in rates during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 (compared with fuel and purchased power costs that were higher than what was recovered in rates during the same period in 2008), and a fuel surcharge increase effective July 4, 2008, a portion of which was incorporated into WPS's 2009 non-fuel base retail electric rates. The higher electric margins were partially offset by increases in maintenance expense, employee benefit costs, depreciation expense related to Weston 4, and interest expense.
- Earnings at Integrys Energy Services increased \$40.0 million, from a net loss attributed to common shareholders of \$33.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, to net income attributed to common shareholders of \$6.1 million for the same period in 2009. This increase was driven by a \$73.9 million after-tax increase in Integrys Energy Services' margin period-over-period. This increase in margin was primarily related to the partial recovery of non-cash accounting losses related to derivative fair value and inventory valuation adjustments recorded in prior periods and an increase in realized retail and wholesale electric margins, partially offset by restructuring expenses related to the previously announced strategy change, an increase in operating and maintenance expense, and an increase in the provision for income taxes related to discrete tax items.

Utility Operations

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, utility operations included the regulated natural gas utility segment, consisting of the natural gas operations of PGL, WPS, MERC, MGU, and NSG, and the regulated electric segment, consisting of the regulated electric operations of WPS and UPPCO.

Regulated Natural Gas Utility Segment Operations

(Millions, except heating degree days)	Three Months Ended September 30		% Increase	Nine Months Ended September 30		% Increase
	2009	2008	(Decrease)	2009	2008	(Decrease)
Revenues	\$ 211.6	\$ 315.2	(32.9)%	\$ 1,617.2	\$ 2,091.5	(22.7)%
Purchased natural gas costs	84.1	182.0	(53.8)%	1,002.8	1,468.5	(31.7)%
Margins	127.5	133.2	(4.3)%	614.4	623.0	(1.4)%
Operating and maintenance expense	112.2	112.1	0.1%	390.1	391.2	(0.3)%
Goodwill impairment loss *	-	-	-%	291.1	6.5	4,378.5%
Depreciation and amortization expense	26.4	28.1	(6.0)%	78.8	80.6	(2.2)%
Taxes other than income taxes	8.9	7.8	14.1%	25.2	24.3	3.7%
Operating income (loss)	(20.0)	(14.8)	35.1%	(170.8)	120.4	N/A
Miscellaneous income	1.0	1.0	-%	2.8	4.8	(41.7)%
Interest expense	(12.6)	(14.7)	(14.3)%	(38.8)	(41.4)	(6.3)%
Other expense	(11.6)	(13.7)	(15.3)%	(36.0)	(36.6)	(1.6)%
Income (loss) before taxes	\$ (31.6)	\$ (28.5)	10.9%	\$ (206.8)	\$ 83.8	N/A
Throughput in therms						
Residential	94.7	91.5	3.5%	1,107.3	1,152.0	(3.9)%
Commercial and industrial	35.6	38.5	(7.5)%	353.0	378.8	(6.8)%
Interruptible	3.9	6.0	(35.0)%	28.0	41.7	(32.9)%
Interdepartmental	3.5	5.8	(39.7)%	7.9	24.2	(67.4)%
Transport	248.1	296.2	(16.2)%	1,157.6	1,320.1	(12.3)%
Total sales in therms	385.8	438.0	(11.9)%	2,653.8	2,916.8	(9.0)%
Weather						
Average heating degree days	134	96	39.6%	4,573	4,597	(0.5)%

* See Note 8, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," for more information.

Third Quarter 2009 Compared with Third Quarter 2008

Revenues

Regulated natural gas utility segment revenue decreased \$103.6 million, driven by:

- An approximate \$92 million decrease in revenue as a result of an approximate 53% decrease in the average per-unit cost of natural gas sold by the regulated natural gas utilities in the third quarter of 2009, compared with the same quarter in 2008. For all of Integrys Energy Group's regulated natural gas utilities, prudently incurred natural gas commodity costs are passed directly through to customers in current rates.

- An approximate \$12 million decrease in revenue as a result of lower quarter-over-quarter natural gas throughput volumes driven by:

- An approximate \$9 million decrease related to lower residential customer volumes at WPS resulting from energy conservation efforts, and lower commercial and industrial customer volumes across all the natural gas utilities resulting from lower demand related to changes in plant operations, both of which Integrys Energy Group attributed to the general economic slowdown.
- An approximate \$3 million decrease related to a reduction in volumes sold to the electric utility segment driven by the availability of lower cost power from MISO resulting in a decrease in the need for the electric utility to run its natural gas-fired peaking generation units.

- An approximate \$2 million quarter-over-quarter decrease in revenue from the recovery of environmental cleanup expenditures at PGL and NSG related to former manufactured gas plant sites.
- The decrease in revenue was partially offset by the positive impact of natural gas distribution rate cases at MGU and MERC. Effective January 14, 2009, MGU received a final rate order from the MPSC for a natural gas distribution rate increase. On June 29, 2009, MERC received a final rate order granting a natural gas distribution rate increase. Prior to this final order, MERC had been granted interim rate relief effective October 1, 2008. Together, these rate increases had an approximate \$5 million positive impact on revenue quarter-over-quarter. See Note 21, "Regulatory Environment," for more information on the rate increases at MGU and MERC.

Margins

The regulated natural gas utility segment margin decreased \$5.7 million, driven by:

- An approximate \$3 million quarter-over-quarter decrease in margin at MGU related to an adjustment in the third quarter of 2008 for recovery of prior natural gas costs in an MPSC proceeding.
- An 11.9% decrease in natural gas throughput volumes attributed primarily to the negative impact of the general economic slowdown, which resulted in an approximate \$2 million decrease in natural gas utility segment margin. This quarter-over-quarter decrease in margin was tempered by the impact of decoupling mechanisms that were first effective for PGL and NSG on March 1, 2008, and for WPS on January 1, 2009. Under decoupling, these utilities are allowed to defer the difference between the actual and rate case authorized delivery charge components of margin from certain customers and adjust future rates in accordance with rules applicable to each jurisdiction.
- An approximate \$2 million quarter-over-quarter decrease in margin due to lower recovery of environmental cleanup expenditures at PGL and NSG related to former manufactured gas plant sites. This decrease in margin was offset by a decrease in operating expense due to the amortization of the related regulatory asset and, therefore, had no impact on earnings.
- The decrease in margin was partially offset by a \$1 million net positive quarter-over-quarter impact of rates, driven by rate increases at MERC and MGU. Lower fixed customer charges resulting from an approximate 1% decrease in customer base at PGL and a new rate design at WPS effective January 1, 2009, which incorporates higher volumetric rates and lower fixed customer charges, partially offset the rate increases.

Operating Loss

The operating loss at the regulated natural gas utility segment increased \$5.2 million, driven by the \$5.7 million decrease in natural gas margin, partially offset by a \$0.5 million decrease in operating expenses.

The decrease in operating expenses quarter-over-quarter was the result of:

Edgar Filing: INTEGRYS ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

An \$8.0 million decrease in bad debt expense, primarily driven by PGL's and NSG's election under a new Illinois state law to file to recover from or refund to customers the difference between actual bad debt expense reported as a component of earnings and the bad debt expense included in utility rates retroactive to January 1, 2008.

- The decrease related to the reduction in bad debt expense was partially offset by:
 - A combined \$4.3 million increase in general and administrative salaries and employee benefit costs.
 - A \$1.9 million increase in natural gas maintenance costs, primarily related to increased system inspection and maintenance requirements.

- A \$1.0 million increase in customer account expenses.

Other Expense

Other expense at the regulated natural gas utilities decreased \$2.1 million, driven by a decrease in interest expense from lower quarter-over-quarter interest rates and lower average short-term borrowings, which resulted from lower natural gas prices and a decrease in capital expenditures. A decrease in interest expense paid on customer-related balances also contributed to the decrease in interest expense.

Nine Months 2009 Compared with Nine Months 2008

Revenues

Regulated natural gas utility segment revenue decreased \$474.3 million, driven by:

- An approximate \$392 million decrease in revenue as a result of an approximate 25% decrease in the average per-unit cost of natural gas sold by the regulated natural gas utilities during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared with the same period in 2008. For all of Integrys Energy Group's regulated natural gas utilities, prudently incurred natural gas commodity costs are passed directly through to customers in current rates.
- An approximate \$106 million decrease in revenue as a result of lower period-over-period natural gas throughput volumes, driven by:
 - An approximate \$62 million decrease related to lower residential customer volumes resulting from energy conservation efforts, lower commercial and industrial customer volumes resulting from lower demand related to changes in plant operations, and a decrease in customer base at PGL, all of which Integrys Energy Group attributed to the general economic slowdown.
 - An approximate \$28 million decrease in revenue as a result of warmer weather during the heating season for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared with the same period in 2008.
 - An approximate \$16 million decrease related to a reduction in volumes sold to the electric utility segment driven by the availability of lower cost power from MISO resulting in a decrease in the need for the electric utility to run its natural gas-fired peaking generation units.
- An approximate \$8 million period-over-period decrease in revenue from lower recovery of environmental cleanup expenditures at PGL and NSG related to former manufactured gas plant sites, partially offset by higher recovery of EEP expenses.
- The decrease in revenue was partially offset by the approximate \$28 million period-over-period net positive impact of natural gas distribution rate cases and changes in rate design at the regulated natural gas utilities. See Note 21, "Regulatory Environment," for more information on these rate cases.

-

Effective January 14, 2009, MGU received a final rate order from the MPSC for a natural gas distribution rate increase. On June 29, 2009, MERC received a final rate order granting a natural gas distribution rate increase. Prior to this final order, MERC had been granted interim rate relief effective October 1, 2008. Together, these rate increases had an approximate \$18 million positive impact on revenue.

- In 2009, PGL and NSG received the full impact of their 2008 natural gas distribution rate cases, which were effective February 14, 2008, and drove an approximate \$5 million increase in revenue period-over-period. Also, for the period ending September 30, 2009, revenue increased an approximate \$3 million from other impacts of rate design.

- Effective January 1, 2009, the PSCW required WPS to decrease retail natural gas distribution rates through a new rate design which incorporates higher volumetric rates and lower fixed customer charges. For the period ended September 30, 2009, revenue increased approximately \$2 million related to this change in rate design.

Margins

The regulated natural gas utility segment margin decreased \$8.6 million, driven by:

- A 9.0% decrease in natural gas throughput volumes attributed to the negative impact of the general economic slowdown and warmer period-over-period weather, which resulted in an approximate \$24 million decrease in the natural gas utility segment margin. This period-over-period decrease in margin was tempered by the impact of decoupling mechanisms that were first effective for PGL and NSG on March 1, 2008, and for WPS on January 1, 2009. Under decoupling, these utilities are allowed to defer the difference between the actual and rate case authorized delivery charge components of margin from certain customers and adjust future rates in accordance with rules applicable to each jurisdiction. The decoupling mechanism for WPS's natural gas utility includes an annual \$8.0 million cap for the deferral of any excess or shortfall from the rate case authorized margin. Approximately \$5 million of additional margin was recognized at WPS due to a shortfall from the rate case authorized margin during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.
- An approximate \$8 million period-over-period decrease in margin due to lower recovery of environmental cleanup expenditures at PGL and NSG related to former manufactured gas plant sites, partially offset by an increase in recovery of EEP expenses. This decrease in margin was offset by a net decrease in operating expense from both the amortization of the related regulatory asset and EEP expenses and, therefore, had no impact on earnings.
- An approximate \$3 million period-over-period decrease in margin at MGU related to an adjustment in the third quarter of 2008 for recovery of prior natural gas costs in an MPSC proceeding.
- The decrease in margin was partially offset by the approximate \$28 million net positive period-over-period impact of rate cases and impacts of rate design at the regulated natural gas utilities.

Operating Income (Loss)

Operating income at the regulated natural gas utility segment decreased \$291.2 million, from operating income of \$120.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, to an operating loss of \$170.8 million during the same period in 2009. This decrease was largely driven by a period-over-period increase in non-cash goodwill impairment losses of \$284.6 million and the \$8.6 million decrease in natural gas margin, partially offset by a \$2.0 million decrease in other operating expenses. A non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$291.1 million was recognized in the first quarter of 2009 related to PGL, NSG, MERC, and MGU, compared to a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$6.5 million recognized during the second quarter of 2008 related to NSG. See Note 8, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," for more information.

The \$2.0 million period-over-period decrease in other operating expenses primarily related to:

- A \$10.4 million decrease in bad debt expense, primarily driven by PGL's and NSG's election during the third quarter of 2009, under a new Illinois state law, to file to recover from or refund to customers the difference between actual bad debt expense reported as a component of earnings and the bad debt expenses included in utility rates retroactive to January 1, 2008. The decrease in bad debt expense is also attributable to the impact lower energy prices had on overall accounts receivable balances.
- An approximate \$8 million decrease in amortization of the regulatory asset related to environmental cleanup costs of manufactured gas plant sites, partially offset by an increase in EEP expenses. Both of these costs were recovered from customers in rates.
- These decreases in other operating expense period-over-period was partially offset by:
 - A \$5.3 million increase in natural gas maintenance costs, primarily related to increased system inspection and maintenance requirements.
 - A \$4.6 million increase in employee benefit costs.
 - A \$3.7 million increase in expenses related to injuries and damages expenses, including workers compensation claims.
 - A \$3.4 million combined increase in operating expenses relating to customer account expenses and amortization of rate case costs.

Regulated Electric Utility Segment Operations

(Millions, except heating degree days)	Three Months Ended		% Increase (Decrease)	Nine Months Ended		% Increase (Decrease)
	September 30 2009	September 30 2008		September 30 2009	September 30 2008	
Revenues	\$ 342.3	\$ 375.3	(8.8)%	\$ 986.3	\$ 1,015.6	(2.9)%
Fuel and purchased power costs	147.2	167.4	(12.1)%	434.9	501.8	(13.3)%
Margins	195.1	207.9	(6.2)%	551.4	513.8	7.3%
Operating and maintenance expense	92.3	85.8	7.6%	283.7	274.5	3.4%
Depreciation and amortization expense	22.4	21.6	3.7%	67.4	61.8	9.1%
Taxes other than income taxes	11.4	10.9	4.6%	34.8	33.1	5.1%
Operating income	69.0	89.6	(23.0)%	165.5	144.4	14.6%
Miscellaneous income	1.7	1.8	(5.6)%	3.9	5.6	(30.4)%
Interest expense	(10.1)	(8.5)	18.8%	(31.1)	(25.8)	20.5%
Other expense	(8.4)	(6.7)	25.4%	(27.2)	(20.2)	34.7%
Income before taxes	\$ 60.6	\$ 82.9	(26.9)%	\$ 138.3	\$ 124.2	11.4%
Sales in kilowatt-hours						
Residential	765.7	789.4	(3.0)%	2,275.4	2,307.7	(1.4)%
Commercial and industrial	2,138.7	2,240.4	(4.5)%	6,113.6	6,538.3	(6.5)%
Wholesale	1,376.2	1,331.7	3.3%	3,718.8	3,637.3	2.2%
Other	8.7	9.1	(4.4)%	28.3	30.4	(6.9)%
Total sales in kilowatt-hours	4,289.3	4,370.6	(1.9)%	12,136.1	12,513.7	(3.0)%
Weather						
WPS:						
Heating degree days	225	161	39.8%	5,261	5,036	4.5%
Cooling degree days	163	356	(54.2)%	274	460	(40.4)%
UPPCO:						
Heating degree days	458	405	13.1%	6,249	6,178	1.1%
Cooling degree days	60	109	(45.0)%	99	138	(28.3)%

Third Quarter 2009 Compared with Third Quarter 2008

Revenues

Regulated electric utility segment revenue decreased \$33.0 million, driven by:

- An approximate \$15 million quarter-over-quarter reduction in revenue primarily driven by a refund due to customers related to WPS's over-collection of fuel costs. On April 23, 2009, the PSCW made 2009 fuel

cost recovery subject to refund, effective April 25, 2009, as actual and projected fuel costs for the remainder of the year were estimated to be below the 2% fuel window. See Note 21, "Regulatory Environment," for more information on WPS's fuel window.

- A 4.5% decrease in commercial and industrial sales volumes and a 3.0% decrease in residential sales volumes, which resulted in an approximate \$11 million quarter-over-quarter net decrease in revenue. The primary drivers of this decrease were:
 - An approximate \$9 million decrease primarily related to colder quarter-over-quarter weather during the cooling season as evidenced by the decrease in cooling degree days at both WPS and UPPCO.
 - An approximate \$2 million decrease due to lower demand related to changes in commercial and industrial customers' plant operations, which Integrys Energy Group attributed to the general economic slowdown.

- An approximate \$11 million quarter-over-quarter decrease in opportunity sales driven by lower demand and the availability of lower cost power from the MISO market.
- These decreases were partially offset by an approximate \$6 million quarter-over-quarter increase driven by higher wholesale volumes due to an increase in contracted sales volumes to a large wholesale customer and an increase in the wholesale demand rate, effective January 1, 2009, to recover costs related to Weston 4.

Margins

The regulated electric utility segment margin decreased \$12.8 million, driven by:

- An approximate \$11 million quarter-over-quarter decrease in WPS's regulated electric utility margin due to fuel and purchased power costs that were approximately \$3 million lower than what was recovered in rates during the quarter ended September 30, 2009, compared with fuel and purchased power costs that were approximately \$14 million lower than what was recovered in rates during the same quarter in 2008.
- A 4.5% decrease in commercial and industrial sales volumes and a 3.0% decrease in residential sales volumes which resulted in an approximate \$7 million net decrease in the regulated electric utility segment margin, primarily due to colder quarter-over-quarter weather during the cooling season as evidenced by the decrease in cooling degree days at both WPS and UPPCO. It is important to note that the rate order for the four-year pilot program for electric decoupling has an annual \$14.0 million cap for the deferral of any excess or shortfall from the rate case authorized margin. This cap was reached during the second quarter of 2009; therefore, no additional decoupling deferral was allowed in the third quarter of 2009.
- These decreases were partially offset by an approximate \$5 million quarter-over-quarter increase in regulated electric utility margin from wholesale customers related to increases in contracted sales volumes with an existing customer and an increase in the wholesale demand rate, effective January 1, 2009, to recover costs related to Weston 4.

Operating Income

Operating income at the regulated electric utility segment decreased \$20.6 million quarter-over-quarter, driven by the \$12.8 million decrease in electric margin and a \$7.8 million increase in operating expenses.

The increase in operating expenses quarter-over-quarter was the result of:

- A \$3.2 million increase in employee benefit costs.
- A \$2.4 million increase in electric maintenance expenses, primarily related to a greater number of outages at the generation plants in the third quarter of 2009, compared with the same quarter of 2008.

Other Expense

Other expense at the regulated electric utilities increased \$1.7 million quarter-over-quarter, driven by a \$1.6 million increase in interest expense, primarily related to an increase in long-term borrowings at WPS. The additional borrowings were utilized to fund various construction projects, most notably the Crane Creek wind generation project in Iowa.

-58-

Nine Months 2009 Compared with Nine Months 2008

Revenues

Regulated electric utility segment revenue decreased \$29.3 million, driven by:

- A 6.5% decrease in commercial and industrial sales volumes and a 1.4% decrease in residential sales volumes, which resulted in an approximate \$20 million period-over-period net decrease in revenue, after the impact of decoupling. The primary drivers of this decrease were:
 - An approximate \$26 million period-over-period decrease due to lower demand related to changes in commercial and industrial customers' plant operations, which Integrys Energy Group attributed to the general economic slowdown.
 - An approximate \$11 million decrease related to colder period-over-period weather during the cooling season as evidenced by the decrease in cooling degree days at both WPS and UPPCO.
 - These decreases in volumes were partially offset by the \$14.0 million impact that decoupling, which went into effect on January 1, 2009, had on WPS's revenue. Under decoupling, WPS is allowed to defer the difference between its actual margin and the rate case authorized margin recognized from residential and small commercial and industrial customers. It is important to note that the rate order for this four-year pilot program for electric decoupling has an annual \$14.0 million cap for the deferral of any excess or shortfall from the rate case authorized margin. This cap was reached during the second quarter of 2009; therefore, no additional decoupling deferral is allowed if there are any additional shortfalls from authorized margin for the remainder of the year.
- An approximate \$20 million period-over-period decrease in opportunity sales driven by lower demand and the availability of lower cost power from the MISO market.
- These decreases were partially offset by an approximate \$13 million increase driven by higher wholesale volumes due to an increase in contracted sales volumes to a large wholesale customer and an increase in the wholesale demand rate, effective January 1, 2009, to recover costs related to Weston 4.

Margins

The regulated electric utility segment margin increased \$37.6 million, driven by:

- An approximate \$15 million period-over-period increase in regulated electric utility margin from wholesale customers related to increases in contracted sales volumes with an existing customer and an increase in the wholesale demand rate, effective January 1, 2009, to recover costs related to Weston 4.
- An approximate \$14 million period-over-period increase in WPS's regulated electric utility margin due to fuel and purchased power costs that were approximately \$12 million

lower than what was recovered in rates during the period ended September 30, 2009, compared with fuel and purchased power costs that were approximately \$2 million higher than what was recovered in rates during the same period in 2008.

- An approximate \$12 million period-over-period increase in regulated electric utility margin from the combined effect of the July 4, 2008 fuel surcharge, a portion of which was incorporated into WPS's 2009 non-fuel base retail electric rates, and the year-to-date benefit of the 2008 retail electric rate increase, effective January 16, 2008, for WPS.

- The increase in regulated electric utility segment margin was partially offset by a 5.2% period-over-period decrease in sales volumes to residential and commercial and industrial customers, which resulted in an approximate \$3 million period-over-period net decrease in margin, after the impact of the WPS decoupling mechanism. The \$14.0 million impact of decoupling partially offset the approximate \$17 million decrease in margin due to lower sales volumes, which was attributed to the general economic slowdown and colder period-over-period weather during the cooling season.

Operating Income

Operating income at the regulated electric utility segment increased \$21.1 million period-over-period, driven by the \$37.6 million increase in electric margin, partially offset by a \$16.5 million increase in operating expenses.

The increase in operating expenses period-over-period was the result of:

- A \$7.5 million increase in electric maintenance expenses, primarily related to a greater number of outages at the generation plants during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared with the same period in 2008.
- A \$5.4 million increase in employee benefit costs.
- A \$5.2 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense at WPS, primarily related to Weston 4 being placed in service for accounting purposes in April 2008.
- These increases were partially offset by a \$2.6 million decrease in costs to achieve merger synergies related to Integrys Energy Group's merger with PEC. The decrease is a result of the majority of the integration work being completed in 2007 and 2008.

Other Expense

Other expense at the regulated electric utilities increased \$7.0 million period-over-period, driven by:

- A \$5.3 million increase in interest expense, primarily related to increased long-term borrowings at WPS. The additional borrowings were utilized to fund various construction projects, most notably the Crane Creek wind generation project in Iowa.
- A \$2.5 million decrease in interest earned on the transmission facilities WPS funded on ATC's behalf. WPS was reimbursed by ATC for these transmission facilities in April 2008.

Integrys Energy Services' Operations

Integrys Energy Services is a diversified nonregulated energy supply and services company serving residential, commercial, industrial, and wholesale customers.

Integrys Energy Group is in the process of executing its previously announced strategy to divest of or significantly reduce the size of its nonregulated energy services operations to a smaller segment with significantly reduced credit and collateral support requirements. Integrys Energy Services continues to enter into new transactions with customers

within certain defined parameters, in order to preserve value while focusing on the execution of this strategy.

-60-

Integrys Energy Services' Segment Results of Operations

(Millions, except natural gas sales volumes)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 30 2009	September 30 2008	% Increase (Decrease)	September 30 2009	September 30 2008	% Increase (Decrease)
Revenues	\$ 751.2	\$ 2,540.8	(70.4)%	\$ 3,347.8	\$ 7,555.5	(55.7)%
Cost of fuel, natural gas, and purchased power	660.4	2,639.7	(75.0)%	3,136.2	7,467.1	(58.0)%
Margins	90.8	(98.9)	N/A	211.6	88.4	139.4%
Margin Detail						
Electric and other margins	80.5	(185.7)	N/A	135.0	42.2	219.9%
Natural gas margins	10.3	86.8	(88.1)%	76.6	46.2	65.8%
Operating and maintenance expense	37.4	45.7	(18.2)%	145.9	127.1	14.8%
Restructuring expense	2.4	-	N/A	21.5	-	N/A
Depreciation and amortization	4.9	3.6	36.1%	14.7	10.6	38.7%
Taxes other than income taxes	1.7	0.6	183.3%	6.1	4.7	29.8%
Operating income (loss)	44.4	(148.8)	N/A	23.4	(54.0)	N/A
Miscellaneous income	3.2	1.5	113.3%	5.2	4.5	15.6%
Interest expense	(4.2)	(3.4)	23.5%	(9.9)	(6.1)	62.3%
Minority interest	0.4	-	N/A	0.7	-	N/A
Other expense	(0.6)	(1.9)	(68.4)%	(4.0)	(1.6)	150.0%
Income (loss) before taxes	\$ 43.8	\$ (150.7)	N/A	\$ 19.4	\$ (55.6)	N/A
Gross volumes (includes volumes both physically delivered and net settled)						
Wholesale electric sales volumes in kwh	63,828.8	53,169.2	20.0%	168,938.2	134,834.4	25.3%
Retail electric sales volumes in kwh	4,068.3	4,582.3	(11.2)%	11,902.6	12,627.0	(5.7)%
Wholesale natural gas sales volumes in bcf	99.3	166.0	(40.2)%	376.0	457.9	(17.9)%
Retail natural gas sales volumes in bcf	46.4	72.9	(36.4)%	199.7	254.8	