

NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/
Form DEF 14A
March 15, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Newfield Exploration Company
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other
Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

NEWFIELD EXPLORATION COMPANY
Houston, Texas

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
May 7, 2010

To the stockholders of Newfield Exploration Company:

Our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders will be held at 8:00 a.m., local time, on Friday, May 7, 2010, in the 19th Floor Front Range Room of our Rocky Mountains office located at 1001 Seventeenth Street, Denver, Colorado, for the following purposes:

- (1) to elect the 11 nominees for directors named in this Proxy Statement to serve until our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders;
- (2) to approve the Newfield Exploration Company 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan;
- (3) to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as our independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2010; and
- (4) to transact any other business that may properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the annual meeting.

The close of business on March 9, 2010 has been fixed as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the annual meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the meeting. This Notice, Proxy Statement and the form of proxy/voting instruction card are first being sent or made available to stockholders on or about March 23, 2010.

You are cordially invited to attend the meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ John D. Marziotti

John D. Marziotti
Secretary

March 15, 2010

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

You are urged to vote your shares via the Internet, our toll-free telephone number or by signing, dating and promptly returning your proxy card in the enclosed envelope.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE STOCKHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 7, 2010</u>	1
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MEETING</u>	1
<u>Who is soliciting my vote?</u>	1
<u>What is the purpose of the meeting?</u>	1
<u>Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?</u>	1
<u>What constitutes a quorum?</u>	2
<u>What are your Board's recommendations?</u>	2
<u>What vote is required to elect the directors and approve each proposal?</u>	2
<u>If my shares are held in "street name" by my broker, will my broker vote my shares for me?</u>	2
<u>What if I abstain?</u>	2
<u>How do I give voting instructions?</u>	3
<u>Can I change my vote?</u>	3
<u>Can I access Newfield's Proxy Statement and Annual Report from the Internet?</u>	4
<u>Can I receive these materials electronically in the future?</u>	4
<u>What is householding?</u>	4
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	4
<u>ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (Proposal 1 on Proxy Card)</u>	5
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	10
<u>SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	12
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	12
<u>General</u>	12
<u>Board of Directors</u>	12
<u>Committees</u>	16
<u>Audit Committee</u>	16
<u>Compensation & Management Development Committee</u>	17
<u>Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee</u>	17
<u>COMPENSATION & MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	20
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	20
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	20

<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	34
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Equity Awards in 2009</u>	37
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2009</u>	39
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Awards Vested in 2009</u>	42
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	43
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control</u>	44
<u>NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	53
<u>Non-Employee Director Compensation Program for 2009</u>	53
<u>Non-Employee Director Compensation Program for 2010</u>	54
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u>	55
<u>INTERESTS OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS</u>	55
<u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION</u>	55

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>APPROVAL OF THE NEWFIELD EXPLORATION COMPANY 2010 EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN (Proposal 2 on Proxy Card) Summary of the Plan</u>	56
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	59
<u>RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (Proposal 3 on Proxy Card)</u>	60
<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	60
<u>OTHER BUSINESS</u>	61
<u>PROXY SOLICITATION</u>	61
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2011 ANNUAL MEETING AND DIRECTOR NOMINATIONS</u>	61
<u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AVAILABLE</u>	61
<u>APPENDIX A – NEWFIELD EXPLORATION COMPANY 2010 EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN</u>	A-1

Table of Contents

NEWFIELD EXPLORATION COMPANY
363 N. Sam Houston Parkway E.
Suite 100
Houston, Texas 77060
(281) 847-6000
www.newfield.com

PROXY STATEMENT

For the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE
STOCKHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 7, 2010

The notice of the meeting, this Proxy Statement and our 2009 Annual Report (which includes our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009) are available at:

<http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=63798&p=proxy>.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MEETING

Who is soliciting my vote?

Newfield's Board of Directors is soliciting your vote at our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders.

What is the purpose of the meeting?

The purpose of the meeting is to:

- elect the 11 nominees for directors named in this Proxy Statement;
- approve the Newfield Exploration Company 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan;
- ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as our independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2010; and
- transact any other business that may properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the annual meeting.

Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 9, 2010, the record date for the meeting, are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the meeting. Stockholders are entitled to one vote for each share of our common stock that they owned as of the record date. Stockholders may not

cumulate their votes in the election of directors. On the record date, we had 133,448,765 shares of our common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

What constitutes a quorum?

The presence at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote will constitute a quorum at the meeting. All shares of our common stock represented at the meeting, including shares for which proxies have been received but for which stockholders have abstained and broker non-votes, will be treated as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum.

What are your Board's recommendations?

Our Board recommends that you vote:

- "FOR" each of the 11 nominees proposed in this Proxy Statement for election as directors;
- "FOR" approval of the Newfield Exploration Company 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan; and
- "FOR" ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as our independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2010.

If any other matters are brought before the meeting, the proxy holders will vote as recommended by our Board. If no recommendation is given, the proxy holders will vote in their discretion.

What vote is required to elect the directors and approve each proposal?

Under our Bylaws, to be elected as a director, each of the 11 nominees named in this Proxy Statement for election as directors must receive a majority of the votes cast, which means that the number of shares voted "FOR" a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast "AGAINST" that nominee.

Under our Bylaws, the approval of each of (1) the Newfield Exploration Company 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and (2) the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm also requires a majority of the votes cast. However, under New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") rules, the total votes cast in favor of the 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan must represent a majority of all issued and outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote on the proposal.

If my shares are held in "street name" by my broker, will my broker vote my shares for me?

If you are a beneficial owner and your broker holds your shares in its name (that is, in "street name"), your broker is permitted to vote your shares on the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm, even if your broker does not receive instructions from you. Your broker may not vote on the election of directors or the 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan without instructions from you. Without your voting instructions, a broker non-vote will occur with regard to those matters.

A broker non-vote will be counted for purposes of determining a quorum, but will have the same effect as a vote "AGAINST" the election of the 11 nominees for directors and the approval of the 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote on the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm.

What if I abstain?

Abstentions are counted as present for purposes of determining a quorum. If you abstain, it will have no effect on the election of the 11 nominees for directors or the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public

accounting firm. However, if you abstain, it will have the same effect as a vote “AGAINST” the approval of the 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

Table of Contents

How do I give voting instructions?

As described on the enclosed proxy card, proxies may be submitted:

- over the Internet;
- by telephone; or
- by mail.

If you submit a proxy by telephone or the Internet or by returning a signed proxy card by mail, your shares will be voted as you indicate. If you sign your proxy card without indicating your vote, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of our Board.

Proxies submitted over the Internet or by telephone must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 6, 2010.

If you hold your Newfield shares in a brokerage account, your ability to vote over the Internet or by telephone depends on your broker's voting process. Please follow the directions on your proxy card or the voter instruction card from your broker carefully.

Even if you plan to attend the meeting, we encourage you to vote your shares by proxy. If you plan to vote in person at the meeting and you hold your Newfield stock in street name, you must obtain a proxy or voter instruction card from your broker and bring that proxy to the meeting.

For participants in our 401(k) Plan, the plan permits you to direct the plan trustee on how to vote the Newfield common stock allocated to your account. Your instructions to the plan trustee regarding how to vote your plan shares will be delivered via the enclosed proxy card, which may be returned as described on the enclosed proxy card:

- over the Internet;
- by telephone; or
- by mail.

Your proxy for shares held in the 401(k) plan must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 3, 2010. The plan administrator will direct the trustee to vote shares as to which no instructions are received in proportion to voting directions received by the trustee from all plan participants who vote.

Can I change my vote?

Yes. You may revoke or change a proxy before the vote is taken at the annual meeting by:

- giving notice of the revocation in writing to our Secretary at 363 N. Sam Houston Parkway E., Suite 100, Houston, Texas 77060;
 - submitting another valid proxy by mail, telephone or over the Internet that is later dated and
 - o if mailed, is properly signed; or
 - o if submitted by telephone or over the Internet, is received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 6, 2010;
 - voting in person at the meeting; or
- if you have instructed your broker or other nominee to vote your shares, by following the directions received from your broker or nominee to change those instructions.

If your shares are held in our 401(k) plan, you also may revoke or change your proxy by submitting another valid proxy by mail, telephone or over the Internet that is later dated and, if mailed, is properly signed. The new 401(k) plan participant proxy must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 3, 2010.

Table of Contents

Can I access Newfield's Proxy Statement and Annual Report from the Internet?

Yes. These documents are available at <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=63798&p=proxy>.

Can I receive these materials electronically in the future?

Yes. You also can help us reduce future printing and mailing costs and the environmental impact by signing up to receive future Newfield stockholder communications electronically via email or the Internet. With electronic delivery, you will receive documents such as our Annual Report and Proxy Statement as soon as they are available, without waiting for them to arrive in the mail. Electronic delivery can also help us eliminate duplicate mailings. To sign up for electronic delivery of future stockholder communications, please follow the instructions on the proxy card to vote using the Internet (at www.proxyvote.com) and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access future stockholder communications electronically. Your electronic delivery enrollment will be effective until cancelled.

What is householding?

We have adopted a procedure approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission known as "householding." Under this procedure, multiple stockholders residing at the same address have the convenience of receiving a single copy of our Annual Report and Proxy Statement, unless they have notified us that they want to continue receiving multiple copies. This allows us to save money by reducing the number of documents we print and mail, and helps reduce the environmental impact as well.

If you received a householded mailing this year and you would like to have additional copies of the Annual Report and/or Proxy Statement mailed to you, or you would like to revoke your consent to the householding of documents, please submit your request to Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. either by calling 1-800-542-1061 or by writing to Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., Household Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717. If you received a householded mailing this year and would like to have additional copies mailed to you, Broadridge will promptly deliver the requested copies. If you revoke your consent, you will begin to receive individual copies of future mailings within 30 days after we receive your revocation notice.

Unfortunately, householding for bank and brokerage accounts is limited to accounts within the same bank or brokerage firm. For example, if you and your spouse each have two accounts containing our common stock at two different brokerage firms, your household will receive two copies of our annual meeting materials—one from each brokerage firm. To reduce the number of duplicate sets of materials your household receives, you may wish to enroll some or all of your accounts in our electronic delivery program. See "Can I receive these materials electronically in the future?"

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the amounts set forth in this Proxy Statement in the disclosure regarding executive compensation are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These amounts include estimates of future amounts payable under awards, plans and agreements or the present value of future amounts, as well as the estimated value at December 31, 2009 of awards the vesting of which will depend on performance over future periods. Estimating future payments of this nature is necessarily subject to contingencies and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict. In order to estimate amounts that may be paid in the future, we had to make assumptions as to a number of variables, which may, and in many cases will, differ from future actual conditions. These variables include the price of our common stock, the date of termination of employment, final pay, interest rates, applicable tax rates and other assumptions. Accordingly, amounts and awards paid out in future periods may vary from the related estimates and values set forth in this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Proposal 1 on Proxy Card

The Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee of our Board has nominated the 11 people named below for election as directors at our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders. If elected, each director will serve until our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders and thereafter until his or her successor has been elected and qualified, or until his or her earlier death, resignation or removal. Unless instructions to the contrary are given, all properly delivered proxies will be voted for the election of these 11 nominees as directors.

Our Bylaws require that each director receive a majority of the votes cast with respect to such director in uncontested elections (the number of shares voted “FOR” a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast “AGAINST” that nominee). All director nominees identified in the following list currently are serving on our Board. If our stockholders do not elect a nominee who is serving as a director, Delaware law provides that the director would continue to serve on the Board as a “holdover director.” Under our Bylaws, if a nominee who currently is serving as a director does not receive a sufficient number of votes for re-election, that director must submit an irrevocable resignation in writing to the Chairman of the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee of our Board. The Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee must make a recommendation to our Board regarding whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether other action should be taken. Our Board would then act on the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee’s recommendation and, if the resignation is rejected, publicly disclose its decision and the rationale behind it within 90 days after the date that the election results were certified.

If any nominee is unable or unwilling to serve, the proxy holders will vote for such other person as may be nominated by the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee. Alternatively, our Board may reduce the size of the Board. We have no reason to believe that any of the nominees will be unable or unwilling to serve if elected as a director.

Dennis R. Hendrix and David A. Trice are retiring as members of our Board of Directors and are not standing for re-election as directors at our 2010 annual meeting. Mr. Trice retired as our Chief Executive Officer in May 2009, and agreed to continue to serve as our Chairman of the Board in a non-executive capacity until our 2010 annual meeting. Lee K. Boothby was appointed to succeed Mr. Trice as our Chief Executive Officer upon Mr. Trice’s retirement in May 2009. Subject to his re-election as a director, our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee has recommended, and our Board has approved, the appointment of Mr. Boothby to serve as our Chairman of the Board beginning May 7, 2010. See “Corporate Governance—Board of Directors” for a description of our leadership structure.

Our Board is a collection of individuals with a variety of complimentary skills derived from their diverse backgrounds and experiences. Our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee has nominated the 11 people named below for election as directors through a process that focuses on the make-up of our entire Board as a whole. See “Corporate Governance—Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee” for a description of the processes and guidelines used by the committee when considering director nominees. All of our director nominees currently serve on our Board, and our Board has determined that each of our nominees, other than Mr. Boothby (our President and Chief Executive Officer), is independent. The following information, which is as of March 1, 2010, is furnished with respect to each of the nominees for election at our 2010 annual meeting:

Table of Contents

Lee K. Boothby, 48 Mr. Boothby was first elected as a member of our Board on May 7, 2009 and, subject to his re-election as a director, will become Chairman of our Board on May 7, 2010. He currently serves as our President and Chief Executive Officer. He was promoted to the position of President on February 5, 2009 and to the additional role of Chief Executive Officer on May 7, 2009. Prior to February 5, 2009, Mr. Boothby served as our Senior Vice President—Acquisitions & Business Development since October 2007. He managed our Mid-Continent operations from February 2002 to October 2007, and was promoted from General Manager to Vice President in November 2004. Mr. Boothby's first assignment with us, from 1999 to 2002, was as Vice President and General Manager of our previous Australian business unit, managed from Perth, Australia.

Prior to joining Newfield, Mr. Boothby worked for Cockrell Oil Corporation, British Gas and Tenneco Oil Company. He holds a degree in petroleum engineering from Louisiana State University and an M.B.A. from Rice University.

As our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Boothby gives our Board insight and in-depth knowledge of our industry and our specific operations and strategies. He also provides leadership skills, international experience, petroleum engineering expertise and knowledge of our local community and business environment, which he has gained through his long career in the oil and gas industry.

Philip J. Burguieres, 66 Mr. Burguieres has been a member of our Board since 1998 and, subject to his re-election as a director, will become our independent Lead Director on May 7, 2010. He is Chairman of our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee and a member of our Compensation & Management Development Committee.

He currently serves as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of EMC Holdings, LLC (a private energy investment firm), Vice Chairman of Houston Texans, Chairman Emeritus of Weatherford International, Inc. and as a director of FMC Technologies, Inc.

Mr. Burguieres previously served as President and Chief Executive Officer for Weatherford International, Panhandle Eastern Corporation and Cameron Iron Works, Inc. He holds a degree in mechanical engineering from the

University of Louisiana-Lafayette and an M.B.A. from The Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania.

Through his long career in the oilfield service industry, including his other public company directorships, previous service as Chief Executive Officer of three Fortune 500 companies and his service as Chief Executive Officer of a private energy investment firm, Mr. Burguières provides our Board with extensive industry experience, leadership skills, international and financial experience, as well as knowledge of our local community and business environment.

Table of Contents

Pamela J. Gardner, 53 Ms. Gardner has been a member of our Board since 2005. She is a member of our Compensation & Management Development Committee and our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee.

She currently serves as President, Business Operations of Houston McLane Company d/b/a Houston Astros Baseball Club. She holds a degree in vocational rehabilitation and psychology from the University of Wisconsin—Stout.

Ms. Gardner manages all business and operational aspects of the Houston Astros Baseball Club, including oversight of all revenue areas, building management, customer service, finances, sponsorship and ticket sales, community, advertising and marketing, as well as non-baseball events at Minute Maid Park. She brings to our Board a diverse business background, talent management and leadership skills, as well as knowledge of our local community and business environment.

John Randolph Kemp III, 65 Mr. Kemp has been a member of our Board since 2003. He is the Chairman of our Compensation & Management Development Committee and a member of our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee.

He is the Principal of The Kemp Company, and is the retired President, Exploration Production, Americas of Conoco Inc. He holds a degree in petroleum and natural gas engineering from The Pennsylvania State University.

Mr. Kemp progressed through a series of engineering and managerial roles of increasing responsibility during his more than 30 years with Conoco, including many international positions. Through his broad range of domestic and international experiences in the oil and gas industry and his directorships (public and private companies), Mr. Kemp provides our Board with oil and gas engineering expertise, leadership skills, international experience in our industry and knowledge of our local community and business environment.

J. Michael Lacey, 64 Mr. Lacey has been a member of our Board since 2004. He is a member of our Audit Committee and a member of our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee.

He is the retired Senior Vice President – Exploration and Production of Devon Energy Corporation. Mr. Lacey

holds graduate and undergraduate degrees in petroleum engineering from the Colorado School of Mines, and was a registered Professional Engineer prior to his retirement from Devon in 2004.

Mr. Lacey held multiple roles of increasing technical and managerial responsibility during his career with Devon Energy Corporation and previously with Tenneco Oil Company. Mr. Lacey brings the Board worldwide exploration and production industry experience, extensive knowledge in the areas of mergers, acquisitions and corporate finance, as well as leadership skills and knowledge of his local community and business environment.

Table of Contents

Joseph H. Netherland, 63 Mr. Netherland has been a member of our Board since 2004. He is a member of our Compensation & Management Development Committee and our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee. He also currently serves as a director of FMC Technologies, Inc. (an oil and gas equipment and services company) and Tidewater Inc. (a provider of vessels for the global offshore energy industry).

He retired as Chairman of the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc. on December 31, 2008. Mr. Netherland also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of FMC Technologies, Inc. from February 2001 to March 2006. He holds a degree in industrial engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from The Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania.

Through his more than 30 years with FMC Technologies, including serving as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, and his other directorships, Mr. Netherland has a broad background in oil and gas equipment and services. He provides our Board with extensive oilfield service sector industry knowledge, leadership skills and international experience, as well as knowledge of our local community and business environment.

Howard H. Newman, 62 Mr. Newman has been a member of our Board since 1990. He is a member of our Compensation & Management Development Committee.

He has served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Pine Brook Road Partners, LLC (a private equity firm) and its predecessor since April 2006. Mr. Newman was a general partner of Warburg, Pincus & Co. from January 1987 to April 2005 and was Vice Chairman and Senior Advisor of Warburg Pincus LLC from January 2001 to April 2006. He also currently serves as a director of SLM Corporation (known as "Sallie Mae"). Mr. Newman previously served as a director of ADVO, Inc. (a marketing services company) from 1986 to 2007, Cox Insurance Holdings Plc (an insurer) from 1996 to 2005, Spinnaker Exploration, Inc. (an oil and gas exploration and development company) from 1996 to 2005 and Encore Acquisition Company (an oil and gas acquisition and development company) from 1998 to 2005. He holds undergraduate and graduate degrees in economics from Yale University and a Ph.D. in business economics from

Harvard University.

Mr. Newman has extensive historical knowledge about our company through his role at Warburg, one of our early investors before the initial public offering of our common stock. Through his roles at Pine Brook Road Partners and Warburg and his service as a director of multiple energy companies and other public companies, Mr. Newman also brings to our Board investment and financial experience, as well as experience analyzing risks and strategy of energy investments.

Table of Contents

Thomas G. Ricks, 56	<p>Mr. Ricks has been a member of our Board since 1992. He is the Chairman of our Audit Committee and a member of our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee.</p> <p>He currently serves as Chief Investment Officer of H&S Ventures L.L.C. (a private investment firm) and was Chief Executive Officer of The University of Texas Investment Management Company. He holds a degree in economics from Trinity College and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago and is a certified public accountant.</p> <p>Through his roles at H&S Ventures and The University of Texas Investment Management Company and his other private company directorships, Mr. Ricks provides our Board with investment and financial experience, and accounting and audit experience. Our Board also has determined that Mr. Ricks qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission.</p>
Juanita F. Romans, 59	<p>Ms. Romans has been a member of our Board since 2005. She is a member of our Audit Committee and our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee.</p> <p>She currently serves as Chief Executive Officer and Central Market Leader of Memorial Hermann – Texas Medical Center. Ms. Romans was Senior Vice President of Memorial Hermann Healthcare System and Chief Executive Officer of Memorial Hermann Hospital from January 2003 to June 2006. She holds a Masters degree in nursing from Wayne State University.</p> <p>Ms. Romans has held roles of increasing responsibility with Memorial Hermann and brings to our Board a diverse business background, with leadership experience in a heavily-regulated industry and people-intensive business. She also provides knowledge of our local community and business environment.</p>
C. E. (Chuck) Shultz, 70	<p>Mr. Shultz has been a member of our Board since 1994. He has served as our Lead Director since July 2005 and is a member of our Audit Committee.</p> <p>He currently serves as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Dauntless Energy Inc., and Chairman Emeritus of Canadian Oil Sands Ltd. (previously served as Chairman since its inception) and a director of Enbridge Inc. Mr. Shultz previously served as Chief Executive</p>

Officer of Gulf Canada and as a senior executive of Tenneco Oil Company. Mr. Shultz holds a degree in geological engineering from the Colorado School of Mines, is the former Chairperson of the Canadian Energy Research Institute and holds an ICD.D designation as a professional director from the Canadian Institute of Corporate Directors.

Mr. Shultz has more than 30 years of North American and International executive experience in the upstream oil and gas industry. Through his extensive industry experience and service as a director of other public companies, Mr. Shultz brings to our Board geological engineering expertise, international experience, leadership skills and guidance regarding corporate governance matters.

Table of Contents

J. Terry Strange, 66

Mr. Strange has been a member of our Board since 2004. He is a member of our Audit Committee and our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee.

He is the retired Vice Chairman of KPMG, LLP and currently serves as a director of Group 1 Automotive, Inc., New Jersey Resources Corporation and SLM Corporation (known as "Sallie Mae"). Mr. Strange previously served as a director of BearingPoint, Inc. from 2003 to 2009. He holds undergraduate and Masters degrees in accounting from the University of North Texas.

Mr. Strange has more than 30 years of experience with KPMG in the audit division, including six years as Vice Chairman of KPMG and global managing partner of the audit division. He has extensive experience serving on the audit committees of multiple public companies, and our Board has determined that Mr. Strange qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition to his audit and leadership experience, Mr. Strange provides the Board with international experience and experience analyzing risk.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth beneficial ownership information with respect to our common stock as of March 1, 2010 for (1) each person known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of our outstanding common stock, (2) each of our directors and nominees for director, (3) each of our named executive officers referenced in the Summary Compensation Table, and (4) all of our directors and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise noted, each person listed below has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of our common stock listed below as beneficially owned by the person.

None of the shares beneficially owned by our executive officers or directors has been pledged as security for an obligation. Our insider trading policy prohibits our executive officers and directors from holding Newfield securities in a margin account or pledging Newfield securities as collateral for a loan.

Table of Contents

Name of Beneficial Owner	Beneficial Ownership(1)	
	Shares	Percent
Holders of More Than 5%:		
BlackRock, Inc. (2)	15,996,487	12.04
Goldman Sachs Asset Management (3)	9,686,087	7.30
Wellington Management Company, LLP (4)	6,894,791	5.19
Executive Officers and Directors:		
Lee K. Boothby	112,881	*
Philip J. Burguieres	23,896	*
George T. Dunn	146,756	*
Pamela J. Gardner	9,378	*
Dennis R. Hendrix	34,434	*
John Randolph Kemp III	13,886	*
J. Michael Lacey	10,374	*
Joseph H. Netherland	10,374	*
Howard H. Newman	181,112	*
Gary D. Packer	158,160	*
Terry W. Rathert	218,147	*
Thomas G. Ricks	15,602	*
Juanita F. Romans	9,378	*
William D. Schneider	97,750	*
C. E. (Chuck) Shultz	25,012	*
J. Terry Strange	10,374	*
David A. Trice	669,262	*
Executive officers and directors as a group (consisting of 29 persons)	2,428,900	1.82

* Less than 1%

(1) The amounts shown include, as of March 1, 2010: (a) shares of common stock held under Newfield's 401(k) plan for the accounts of participants; (b) shares of restricted stock; and (c) shares of common stock that may be acquired within 60 days through the exercise of stock options or the vesting of restricted stock units. The shares beneficially owned by Messrs. Boothby, Dunn, Packer, Rathert, Schneider and Trice and by our executive officers and directors as a group include 12,000 shares, 7,200 shares, 48,000 shares, 70,000 shares, 12,500 shares, 215,000 shares and 547,860 shares, respectively, that may be acquired by such persons within 60 days through the exercise of stock options. The shares beneficially owned by Mr. Trice and by our executive officers and directors as a group include 100,000 shares and 101,000 shares, respectively, that may be acquired by such persons within 60 days through the vesting of restricted stock units. None of our named executive officers (other than Mr. Trice) owns restricted stock units that may vest within 60 days after March 1, 2010. Until stock options are exercised or restricted stock units vest, these individuals have neither voting nor investment power over the underlying shares of common stock.

(2) BlackRock, Inc., in its capacity as a parent holding company or control person for various subsidiaries (none of which individually owns more than 5% of our outstanding common stock), may be deemed to beneficially own the indicated shares. BlackRock's address is 40 East 52nd St., New York, NY 10022. This information is based on BlackRock's most recent Statement on Schedule 13G.

(3) Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P. and GS Investment Strategies, LLC (collectively, "Goldman Sachs Asset Management") are wholly owned subsidiaries of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Goldman Sachs Asset

Management, in its capacity as an investment adviser, may be deemed to beneficially own the indicated shares, with respect to which its clients have or may have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, the indicated shares held in their accounts. Goldman Sachs Asset Management has shared voting power over 9,397,611 shares and shared investment power over 9,686,087 shares. Goldman Sachs Asset Management's address is 32 Old Slip, New York, NY 10005. This information is based on Goldman Sachs Asset Management's most recent Statement on Schedule 13G.

- (4) Wellington Management Company, LLP, in its capacity as an investment adviser, may be deemed to beneficially own the indicated shares, which are held of record by clients of Wellington. Wellington has shared voting power over 1,799,060 shares and shared investment power over 6,894,791 shares. Wellington's address is 75 State St., Boston, MA 02109. This information is based on Wellington's most recent Statement on Schedule 13G.

Table of Contents

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our officers and directors and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of beneficial ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). These persons are required by SEC rules to furnish us with copies of these reports. Based solely on our review of the copies of these reports received by us and representations from certain reporting persons that they have complied with the relevant filing requirements, we believe that all such filing requirements were complied with during the year ended December 31, 2009 or prior fiscal years, with the exception of one inadvertent late Form 4 filing with respect to one transaction during 2009 by Samuel E. Langford and five inadvertent late Form 4 filings with respect to five gifts made by David A. Trice from September 2002 to April 2008.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Set forth below in question and answer format is a discussion about our corporate governance policies and practices, some of which have been modified since last year's annual meeting, and other matters relating to our Board and its committees.

General

Have you adopted corporate governance guidelines?

Yes. Our Board has formally adopted corporate governance guidelines that address matters such as director qualification standards, director responsibilities, Board committees, director access to management and independent advisors, director compensation, director orientation and continuing education, evaluation of our Chief Executive Officer, management succession and performance evaluations of our Board and its committees.

Have you adopted a code of ethics and conduct?

Yes. Our Board has formally adopted a corporate code of business conduct and ethics applicable to our directors, officers and employees. Our corporate code includes a financial code of ethics applicable to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller or Chief Accounting Officer.

How can I view or obtain copies of your corporate governance materials?

The guidelines and codes mentioned above, as well as the charters for the Audit Committee, Compensation & Management Development Committee and Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee of our Board, are available on our website for viewing and printing. Go to <http://www.newfield.com> and then to the "Corporate Governance — Overview" tab.

Board of Directors

How many independent directors do you have? How do you determine whether a director is independent?

Our Board has affirmatively determined that 11 of our 13 current directors are independent, as that term is defined by NYSE rules. In making this determination, our Board considered various transactions and relationships between each director nominee or his or her immediate family and our company and its subsidiaries. The purpose of this review by our Board was to determine whether any such relationships or transactions were material and, therefore, inconsistent with a determination that the director is independent. In the ordinary course of business during 2009, we entered into

purchase and sale transactions for products and services with certain companies affiliated with members of our Board of Directors, as described below (amounts are shown net to our interest, where applicable):

12

Table of Contents

- Mr. Burguieres and Mr. Netherland are directors of FMC Technologies, Inc. In 2009, we paid FMC Technologies and its subsidiaries approximately \$7.5 million for well head and other equipment.
 - Mr. Burguieres is Chairman Emeritus of Weatherford International, Inc. In 2009, we paid Weatherford and its subsidiaries approximately \$15.7 million for various oilfield services.
- Ms. Gardner was a member of the Advisory Board of JPMorgan Chase until the Advisory Board dissolved in February 2009. JPMorgan Chase is the agent and a lender under our revolving credit facility and has been an underwriter in our public debt offerings. We also are parties to commodity and interest rate hedge agreements with JPMorgan Chase.
- Mr. Netherland is a director of Tidewater Inc. In 2009, we paid Tidewater and its subsidiaries approximately \$1.0 million for various marine services.
 - Mr. Newman is a director of Phoenix Exploration Company LP. In 2009, we paid Phoenix Exploration Company approximately \$137,000 as the operator of wells in which we own non-operated interests.
- Mr. Shultz is a director of Enbridge Inc. In 2009, Enbridge and its subsidiaries paid us approximately \$5.2 million for purchases of natural gas from us.
- Mr. Trice is a director of Hornbeck Offshore Services Inc. In 2009, we paid Hornbeck approximately \$3.1 million for various offshore oil and gas services.

In each case, the transactions were for less than 2% of the consolidated gross revenues of the director-affiliated company. See also "Interests of Management and Others in Certain Transactions." We generally expect transactions of a similar nature to occur during 2010.

As a result of its review, our Board affirmatively determined, based on its understanding of such transactions and relationships, that all of our current directors are independent of our company under the standards set forth by the NYSE, with the exception of Lee K. Boothby and David A. Trice. Mr. Trice currently serves as our Chairman and served as our Chief Executive Officer until May 7, 2009. Mr. Boothby currently serves as our President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Trice is not standing for re-election at our 2010 annual meeting and our Board of Directors has announced that Mr. Boothby will serve as our new Chairman of the Board beginning May 7, 2010, subject to his re-election as a director at our 2010 annual meeting. Dennis R. Hendrix, one of our independent directors, also is not standing for re-election at our 2010 annual meeting. See "Election of Directors" for information regarding our nominees for election as directors. There are no family relationships between any of our directors, nominees for director or executive officers.

How many times did your Board meet last year?

Our Board met in person or by telephone conference nine times during 2009.

Did any of your directors who served on your Board during 2009 attend fewer than 75% of the meetings of your Board and his or her assigned committees during 2009?

No.

Do you have a policy regarding director attendance at annual meetings of stockholders?

Yes. Directors are expected to attend the annual meetings of stockholders. All of our directors attended the 2009 annual meeting, with the exception of Ms. Pamela J. Gardner, who was unable to attend due to an unexpected scheduling conflict.

Do your non-management directors and independent directors meet in executive session?

Yes. Our non-management directors and independent directors meet in executive session on a regular basis – usually at each regularly scheduled meeting of our Board. All of our non-management directors are independent, other than Mr. Trice. Mr. Trice is not standing for re-election at our 2010 annual meeting. Our corporate governance guidelines provide that our independent directors will meet in executive session at least annually and more frequently as needed at the call of one or more of our independent directors. Our corporate governance guidelines also provide that executive sessions will be presided over by our independent “Lead Director.” C. E. (Chuck) Shultz has served as our Lead Director since July 2005. Subject to his re-election as a director at our 2010 annual meeting, our Board of Directors has determined that Philip J. Burguières will serve as our independent Lead Director beginning May 7, 2010. If the Lead Director is not in attendance, these executive sessions will be presided over by such other person chosen by vote of the non-management or independent directors, as applicable.

Table of Contents

How is your Board's leadership structured?

We historically have combined the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, other than for periods of time after the retirement of a Chief Executive Officer. David A. Trice currently serves as our Chairman of the Board. Mr. Trice was elected as our Chief Executive Officer in early 2000 and as our Chairman of the Board in 2004. Prior to Mr. Trice's service as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, our Founder Joe B. Foster held those roles.

Mr. Trice retired as our Chief Executive Officer in May 2009, and agreed to continue to serve as our Chairman of the Board in a non-executive capacity for a transition period until our 2010 annual meeting. Lee K. Boothby was appointed to succeed Mr. Trice as Chief Executive Officer upon Mr. Trice's retirement in May 2009. Since the early 2009 announcement of Mr. Trice's anticipated retirement and succession by Mr. Boothby, our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee and the other independent members of our Board of Directors have evaluated the appropriate leadership structure for our company. As part of their evaluation, they considered our past leadership structures, the leadership structures of peer companies in our industry, corporate governance trends and Mr. Boothby's development as our President and Chief Executive Officer. After considering all of these factors, our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee recommended, and our Board of Directors approved, subject to their re-election as directors, the appointment of Mr. Boothby to serve as our Chairman of the Board and Mr. Burguières to serve as our independent Lead Director beginning May 7, 2010.

Our Chairman of the Board is responsible for ensuring the overall effectiveness of the Board. The Chairman generally:

- sets the agendas and presides over meetings of the Board of Directors;
- serves as a liaison between the Board of Directors and management; and
- chairs the annual stockholder meetings.

Our independent Lead Director:

- presides over executive sessions of our non-management and independent directors;
- serves as a liaison between our non-management and independent directors and the Chairman of the Board and other directors;
 - presides over meetings of the Board of Directors at which the Chairman of the Board is not present;
- coordinates the retention of consultants and advisors who report directly to the Board (as opposed to committee consultants and advisors); and
- as requested from time to time by the Chairman of the Board, meets with management to preview significant matters (such as potential acquisitions and other large capital commitments) expected to be presented to the Board and serves as a general resource to the Chairman of the Board.

At this time, our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee and Board believe that this leadership structure is appropriate for us in light of our historical success using this structure, the comparability of this leadership structure with those used at our peer companies, Mr. Boothby's performance as President and Chief Executive Officer during 2009 and the Board's confidence in his future performance as Chairman of the Board. We believe that having the Chief Executive Officer also serve as Chairman of the Board provides us with a clear leadership structure, provides

the Board with valuable insight into our operations and strategies from management's perspective and facilitates the flow of information between management and the Board. We also believe that our leadership structure ensures the appropriate level of independent oversight because:

- we have an independent Lead Director, who presides over the executive sessions of our non-management and independent directors (which usually occur at each regularly scheduled Board meeting);

Table of Contents

- our Board committees are composed entirely of independent directors;
- our independent Compensation & Management Development Committee annually evaluates the performance of our Chief Executive Officer and reviews the evaluation with the independent members of our Board; and
- all of our director nominees, other than Mr. Boothby (our Chief Executive Officer), are independent under the standards set forth by the NYSE.

Can interested parties communicate directly with your non-management directors?

Yes. We have established a toll-free Ethics Line so that investors, employees and any other interested parties can anonymously report through a third party any practices thought to be in violation of our corporate governance policies. The Ethics Line also can be used to make concerns known to our non-management or independent directors (individually or as a group), including our Lead Director, on a direct and confidential basis. The telephone number for the Ethics Line is 1-866-843-8694. Additional information regarding the Ethics Line is available on our website at <http://www.newfield.com> under the tab “Corporate Governance — Overview.”

What is your Board of Directors’ role in risk oversight?

Management is responsible for implementing our financial and business strategies and assessing and managing the risks relating to our company and its performance under those strategies on a daily basis. Our Board reviews, approves (where appropriate) and monitors our financial and business objectives, strategies, plans and major corporate actions, and assesses major risks relating to our company and its performance and reviews options to mitigate and address such risks.

Our Board retains the primary responsibility for strategic and risk oversight. To assist the Board in discharging its oversight responsibilities, members of management report to the Board and its committees on areas of risk to our company, and our Board committees consider specific areas of risks inherent in their respective areas of oversight and report to the full Board regarding their activities. For example, our Audit Committee discusses with management our major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures. Our Compensation & Management Development Committee incorporates risk considerations, including the risk of loss of key personnel, as it evaluates the performance of our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers, reviews management development and succession plans, and determines compensation structure and amounts. Our Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee focuses on issues relating to Board composition, leadership structures and corporate governance matters. In addition to receiving reports from Board committees regarding the risks considered in their respective areas, to ensure that our Board has a broad view of our strategy and overall risk management process, the Board will specifically review our long-term strategic plans and the principal issues and risks that we may face, as well as the processes through which we manage risk, during at least one Board meeting per year. This enables the full Board to coordinate risk oversight, especially with respect to risk interrelationships. At this point, we believe that combining the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer enhances the Board’s administration of its risk oversight function because, through his role as Chairman of the Board, our Chief Executive Officer is able to provide the Board with valuable insight into our risk profile and the options to mitigate and address our risks based on his experiences with the daily management of our business as our Chief Executive Officer.

How are your directors compensated?

Only non-employee directors are compensated for serving as directors. See “Non-Employee Director Compensation” beginning on page 53 for information about our non-employee director compensation.

Table of Contents

Committees

Does your Board have any standing committees?

Yes. Our Board presently has the following significant standing committees:

- Audit Committee;
- Compensation & Management Development Committee; and
- Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee.

Each of these committees is composed entirely of independent directors.

Has your Board adopted charters for each of these committees? If so, how can I view or obtain copies of them?

Yes. Our Board has adopted a charter for each of these committees. The charters are available on our website for viewing and printing. Go to <http://www.newfield.com> and then to the “Corporate Governance — Overview” tab.

Audit Committee

What does the Audit Committee do?

The primary purposes of the committee are to assist the Board in monitoring:

- the integrity of our financial statements and financial reporting processes and systems of internal control;
- the qualifications and independence of our independent auditors;
- the performance of our internal audit function and independent auditors; and
- our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

The Audit Committee also prepares a report each year in conformity with the rules of the SEC for inclusion in our annual proxy statement. The Audit Committee is responsible for appointing, retaining and terminating our independent auditors and also performs the specific functions set forth in its charter.

Who are the members of the committee?

The committee currently consists of Dennis R. Hendrix, J. Michael Lacey, Thomas G. Ricks, Juanita F. Romans, C. E. (Chuck) Shultz and J. Terry Strange, with Mr. Ricks serving as chairman. Each committee member is independent, under the standards set forth by the NYSE. Mr. Strange also serves on the audit committees of Group 1 Automotive, Inc., New Jersey Resources Corporation and SLM Corporation. Our Board has determined that such simultaneous service on these other audit committees and on our Audit Committee would not impair the ability of Mr. Strange to serve effectively on our Audit Committee.

Does the committee have an audit committee financial expert?

Yes. Our Board has determined that each of Messrs. Ricks and Strange meets the qualifications of an audit committee financial expert, as defined by SEC regulations. Our Board has determined that each of Messrs. Ricks and Strange is

independent, under the standards set forth by the NYSE.

How many times did the committee meet last year?

The committee held seven meetings in person or by telephone conference during 2009.

16

Table of Contents

Compensation & Management Development Committee

What does the Compensation & Management Development Committee do?

The primary purposes of the committee are:

- reviewing, evaluating, modifying and approving the compensation of our executive officers and other key employees;
- producing a report on executive compensation each year for inclusion in our annual proxy statement;
- overseeing the evaluation and development of company management; and
- overseeing succession planning for our Chief Executive Officer and other senior executive officers.

The committee has authority to oversee the administration of compensation programs applicable to all of our employees, including executive officers, and also performs the specific functions set forth in its charter. The committee may delegate some or all of its authority to subcommittees when it deems appropriate.

Who are the members of the committee?

The committee currently consists of Philip J. Burguières, Pamela J. Gardner, John Randolph Kemp III, Joseph H. Netherland and Howard H. Newman, with Mr. Kemp serving as chairman. Each committee member is independent, under the standards set forth by the NYSE.

How many times did the committee meet last year?

The committee held six meetings in person or by telephone conference during 2009.

What are the committee's processes and procedures for consideration and determination of executive compensation?

Executive compensation is reviewed at least annually by the committee. The committee generally makes its decisions regarding the annual compensation of our executive officers at its regularly scheduled meeting in February of each year. These decisions include adjustments to base salary, grants of current and long-term cash awards under our incentive compensation plan and grants of long-term equity awards. The committee also makes compensation adjustments as necessary at other times during the year in the case of promotions, changes in employment status and for competitive purposes. The committee may delegate some or all of its authority to subcommittees when it deems appropriate. See "Executive Compensation — Compensation Discussion and Analysis" beginning on page 20 for more information regarding the committee's processes and procedures for consideration and determination of executive compensation.

Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee

What does the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee do?

The primary purposes of the committee are:

- advising our Board about the appropriate composition of the Board and its committees;
- evaluating potential or suggested director nominees and identifying individuals qualified to be directors;

- nominating directors for election at our annual meetings of stockholders or for appointment to fill vacancies;
- recommending to our Board the directors to serve as members of each committee of our Board and the individual members to serve as chairpersons of the committees;

Table of Contents

- approving the compensation structure for all non-employee directors;
- advising our Board about corporate governance practices, developing and recommending to the Board appropriate corporate governance practices and policies and assisting the Board in implementing those practices and policies;
 - overseeing the evaluation of our Board and its committees through an annual performance review; and
 - overseeing the new director orientation program and the continuing education program for all directors.

The committee also performs the specific functions set forth in its charter.

Who are the members of the committee?

The committee currently consists of Philip J. Burguieres, Pamela J. Gardner, John Randolph Kemp III, J. Michael Lacey, Joseph H. Netherland, Thomas G. Ricks, Juanita F. Romans and J. Terry Strange, with Mr. Burguieres serving as chairman. Each committee member is independent, under the standards set forth by the NYSE.

How many times did the committee meet last year?

The committee held five meetings in person or by telephone conference during 2009.

What processes and guidelines does the committee follow when considering a director nominee for a position on your Board?

Our Board is a collection of individuals with a variety of complimentary skills derived from their diverse backgrounds and experiences. Annually, the committee considers director candidates and the advisability or need for any changes in the number of directors or composition of the Board and recommends any proposed changes to our Board for full discussion and approval. The committee is responsible for identifying individuals qualified to become directors and for evaluating potential or suggested director nominees. The committee has the authority to retain a search firm to help identify director candidates, with the functions of the firm determined by the committee at the time.

Although the committee has not established written criteria or a set of specific minimum qualifications, our corporate governance guidelines provide that an assessment of a potential director nominee will include a review of independence, background, ability, judgment, desired skills (such as industry knowledge or specific expertise, such as financial expertise), experience, diversity, legal requirements and other factors that are relevant in the context of the make-up and needs of our Board at the time. Our corporate governance guidelines also provide that a person must be age 71 or younger to be eligible for nomination as a director. The committee does not have a specific policy regarding diversity and believes that the backgrounds and qualifications of the directors, considered as a group, should provide a diverse mix of experiences, knowledge, attributes and abilities that will allow the Board to fulfill its responsibilities. The committee conducts its assessment through committee discussions that generally focus on the make-up of the Board as a whole at the time, without assigning specific weights to particular experiences or qualifications. In addition, as part of their annual self evaluations, the committee and the entire Board consider whether the Board as a whole possesses the right skills and background to address the issues facing our company at the time. See "Election of Directors" beginning on page 5 of this Proxy Statement for information regarding each of our 11 nominees for election as a director.

Does the committee consider candidates for your Board submitted by stockholders and, if so, what are the procedures for submitting such recommendations?

Yes. As provided in the committee's charter, it is the committee's policy to consider suggestions from many sources, including stockholders, regarding possible candidates for director. The committee generally intends to use the same process to evaluate candidates recommended by stockholders as it uses to evaluate all other director candidates, as set forth in response to the previous question. However, if a candidate is recommended by a specific stockholder or a group of stockholders, the committee would evaluate the candidate to assess whether the candidate could impartially represent the interests of all stockholders without unduly favoring the particular interests of the recommending stockholder or group of stockholders.

Table of Contents

If a stockholder wants the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee to consider a possible candidate for director, the name of the possible candidate, together with appropriate biographical information, should be submitted to the Chairman of the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee, c/o John D. Marziotti, Secretary, Newfield Exploration Company, 363 N. Sam Houston Parkway E., Suite 100, Houston, Texas 77060. Stockholders who wish to propose a matter for action at a stockholders' meeting, including the nomination of directors, must comply with the provisions of our Bylaws that are described in this Proxy Statement in the section entitled "Stockholder Proposals for 2011 Annual Meeting and Director Nominations."

What are the committee's processes and procedures for consideration and determination of director compensation?

The committee has the sole authority to approve the compensation structure for all of our non-employee directors. The committee may delegate some or all of its authority to subcommittees when it deems appropriate.

Director compensation is reviewed at least annually by the committee. The committee seeks to set director compensation at an adequate level to compensate directors for their time and effort expended in satisfying their obligations to us without jeopardizing their independence.

In February 2009, the committee determined that no changes to director compensation were necessary for the annual period beginning with the 2009 annual meeting. In considering non-employee director compensation for the annual period beginning with the 2010 annual meeting, the committee retained Longnecker & Associates to provide the committee with industry data regarding director compensation to assist the committee with its evaluation of whether our non-employee director compensation is commensurate with peer companies in our industry and is adequate compensation for their service on our Board. As a result of the committee's evaluation, for the annual period beginning with our 2010 annual meeting, the committee revised non-employee director compensation as follows:

- eliminated Board and committee meeting fees;
- increased the annual fee for the chairperson of the Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee from \$6,000 to \$7,500;
- increased the value of the annual restricted stock award from \$100,000 to \$150,000; and
- increased the annual fee for the Lead Director from \$15,000 to \$30,000.

See "Non Employee Director Compensation" beginning on page 53 of this Proxy Statement for a description of our non-employee director compensation programs.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION & MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation & Management Development Committee of the Newfield Board of Directors currently consists of the five directors whose names appear below. Each member of the committee is “independent” as defined in the NYSE’s listing standards. The primary purposes of the committee are:

- reviewing, evaluating, modifying and approving the compensation of Newfield’s executive officers and other key employees;
- producing a report on executive compensation each year for inclusion in Newfield’s proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders;
- overseeing the evaluation and development of Newfield’s management; and
- overseeing succession planning for Newfield’s chief executive and other senior executive officers.

The committee performs the specific functions set forth in its charter, which is available on Newfield’s website. Go to <http://www.newfield.com> and then to the “Corporate Governance — Overview” tab.

The committee has reviewed and discussed with Newfield’s management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in this Proxy Statement. Based on this review and discussion, the committee recommended to the Board of Directors of Newfield that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

This report is submitted on behalf of the Compensation & Management Development Committee.

John Randolph Kemp III, Chairman
Philip J. Burguieres
Pamela J. Gardner
Joseph H. Netherland
Howard H. Newman

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Our Compensation & Management Development Committee oversees the administration of compensation programs applicable to all of our employees, including our executive officers. The committee generally makes its decisions regarding the annual compensation of our executive officers at its regularly scheduled meeting in February of each year. These decisions include adjustments to base salary, grants of current and long-term cash awards under our incentive compensation plan and grants of long-term equity awards. The committee also makes compensation adjustments as necessary at other times during the year in the case of promotions, changes in employment status and for competitive purposes.

Our recent performance highlights and changes in our executive compensation structure are summarized below:

- | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 2009 Performance Highlights: | Restructured Executive Compensation: |
| · Stock price increased 144% | · Better alignment with stakeholders |
| · Proved reserves increased 23% | |

- Production increased 9%
- Added 500,000 net acres in resource plays
- Disciplined use of capital
- Successful leadership transition
- Larger percentage equity-based compensation, including performance-based long-term awards
- More competitive base salaries
- Annual incentive is cash for current performance
- Consistent compensation approach over time

Compensation Overview and Objectives. The cornerstone of our compensation program at all levels is “pay for performance.” We measure performance at individual, business unit and corporate levels. We design our compensation program to attract and retain key employees and encourage growth in long-term stockholder value. The oil and gas industry has experienced robust conditions in recent years. Despite the adverse economic conditions in 2009 and the second half of 2008, the competition for geoscientists, petroleum engineers and other talented employees has remained strong. We believe that it is imperative that we maintain highly competitive compensation programs to attract and retain quality personnel.

Table of Contents

Historically, to achieve our objectives, we have structured our annual compensation program for executive officers to include a base salary, current and long-term cash awards under our performance-based incentive compensation plan and grants of long-term equity awards. Base salaries for most of our executive officers historically have been set below the median for comparable positions at peer companies. We have used our incentive compensation plan cash awards to keep our officers' current cash compensation competitive and reward performance (using current cash awards) and also to provide a retention incentive (using long-term cash awards). As a result, our executive officers' total annual compensation generally has included a larger total cash component (base salaries plus current and long-term cash awards) and a smaller equity component compared to compensation at our peer companies. In addition, the form of our long-term equity awards has in the past varied between time-vesting and performance-based vesting equity awards, depending on the mix of then-outstanding unvested awards, in an effort to balance our pay for performance objectives with retention incentives. The combination of our past awards provided a performance incentive for stock price appreciation on both an absolute basis and relative to our industry peers, and has created meaningful ownership stakes for our executive officers, aligning their interests with our stockholders.

Over the past years, our company has evolved into one with a well diversified portfolio of assets capable of sustained growth. Our number of employees has expanded from approximately 230 employees at the end of 1999 to almost 1,150 employees at the end of 2009. In recognition of the changes in our company, the committee began a comprehensive assessment of our executive officer compensation programs in mid-2009. The committee retained Hewitt Associates LLC, a consulting firm, to assist the committee with its assessment. The committee also asked management to reassess our executive officer compensation programs and suggest improvements.

The goal of the committee's comprehensive assessment was to evaluate our overall compensation structure and make changes as necessary to (1) ensure that our program structure could be applied consistently over many years as the company continues to grow, (2) provide a balanced performance orientation for both short-term and long-term performance, and (3) enable talent attraction and retention in the future. Although the committee's philosophy regarding competitive levels of total annual compensation for our executive officers remains unchanged, our executive officers' annual compensation has been restructured beginning in 2010 as illustrated in the graphics below to focus on the following:

- Base salaries approximating median for comparable positions at a selected group of peer companies;
 - Annual incentive awards paid in cash;
- Long-term equity awards divided between time-vesting awards and performance-based vesting awards; and
 - Changing the mix of total annual compensation paid to our executive officers by:
 - o Reducing the percentage of total annual compensation paid to our executive officers in cash;
 - o Increasing the percentage of total annual compensation paid in equity; and
 - o Providing balanced incentive opportunities covering varying time periods.

Table of Contents

Base salaries provide a stable base of competitive cash compensation. By increasing the annual base salaries for our executive officers to a more competitive level, the committee can use payments of annual cash incentive awards to focus on performance-based differentiation. Equity awards that vest over a three-year period are designed to provide a retention incentive for our executive officers, and equity awards with the potential to vest based on our stock price performance relative to our peers over a five-year period are designed to more directly align our officers' interests with stockholder return and provide an incentive to consistently improve performance over a longer-term performance horizon. The ratio of time-vesting to performance-based equity awards varies by officer, based on the officer's ability to influence overall corporate results. For example, the equity awards for Messrs. Boothby, Rathert and Packer, our most senior executive officers, are more heavily weighted towards performance-based vesting, with 50% of the estimated fair value of their annual equity awards being in the form of performance-based awards. No less than 25% of the estimated fair value of the annual equity awards to each of our other officers will be in the form of performance-based awards. The committee provides both time-vesting and performance-based vesting long-term equity awards to balance the retention incentive provided by the time-vesting awards with the strong tie to relative long-term stockholder return provided by the performance-based awards. At the same time, the mix of our executive officers' total annual compensation is shifted more heavily in favor of equity compensation.

Compensation Recommendations and Peer Comparisons. The committee oversees the administration of the compensation programs applicable to our employees, including our executive officers. Our Chief Executive Officer prepares an evaluation of each of the other executive officers, and makes compensation recommendations to the committee. The committee has retained Hewitt Associates LLC as its consultant to assist the committee in compensation matters. Hewitt reports exclusively to the committee, which has sole authority to retain any compensation consultant to be used by the committee to assist in the evaluation of compensation for our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers, as well as our overall executive compensation structure.

Performance Considerations. We generally take into account the following items of corporate performance in making compensation decisions for our executive officers:

- our financial and operational performance for the year as compared to our budget, after taking into account industry conditions, and in comparison to our peers;
- capital efficient growth of oil and natural gas reserves and production as measured against annual goals and objectives;
- projected future growth through the development of existing projects, the creation and capture of new oil and gas plays and the potential for new transactions;
 - total return to our stockholders as compared to our peers;

Table of Contents

- leadership and representation of our company; and
- contribution to the overall success of our company.

In assessing a specific executive officer's impact during the year and his or her overall value to our company, we generally take into account long-term and current-year performance in the officer's primary area of responsibility, strategic initiatives, leadership, the officer's role in succession planning and development, and other intangible qualities that contribute to corporate and individual success. See "Compensation Decisions" below.

Consultants.

Committee Consultant. Hewitt assists the committee in developing a competitive total compensation program that is consistent with our philosophy of "pay for performance" and that will allow us to attract and retain top executives. Hewitt's services include providing an annual analysis of the compensation of our top executive officers and their counterparts at peer companies. The analysis consists of a comparison of each element of compensation and a comparison of total compensation, which we consider to include salary, current and long-term cash incentive awards and long-term equity awards. Hewitt also provides the committee with assistance in the redesign of compensation and benefit programs and ongoing support with respect to regulatory and other considerations impacting compensation and benefit programs, as requested by the committee. For example, as described above, the committee retained Hewitt to assist with the committee's in-depth assessment of our existing compensation programs to help the committee understand alternative compensation structures the company may want to consider. This assessment extended beyond the traditional elements of total compensation analyzed by Hewitt annually and covered broader aspects of executive compensation structure, such as risks associated with different types of compensation, vesting periods for time-based and performance-based equity awards, the structure of change of control and severance benefits and stock ownership guidelines. Hewitt attended or participated in five committee meetings during 2009. Hewitt does not provide any other services for our company.

Management Consultant. In response to the committee's request for management to reassess our executive officer compensation programs and recommend improvements, in 2009 our Vice President of Human Resources retained Longnecker & Associates, an independent executive compensation consulting firm, to assist management. Longnecker's services included: evaluating the market competitiveness of, and providing recommendations for, the total direct compensation of our executive officers; reviewing market competitive practices regarding executive stock ownership guidelines; and providing information, guidance and advice to management on current market trends and human resources best practices with respect to compensation, benefits and perquisites.

Chief Executive Officer. Annually, our Chief Executive Officer provides the committee with an evaluation of his performance that is based, in large part, upon the items listed above under "Performance Considerations," as well as his broader leadership roles as a member of our Board of Directors and representing our company in the investment community. The committee evaluates our Chief Executive Officer on these and other criteria. The total compensation package for our Chief Executive Officer is determined based on the committee's evaluation and input from Hewitt, and reflects his performance, the performance of our company and competitive industry practices.

David A. Trice retired as our Chief Executive Officer in May 2009, after serving as President after May 1999, as Chief Executive Officer since February 2000 and as Chairman since September 2004. The committee, with the participation of the full Board of Directors, approved a Retirement Agreement for Mr. Trice in April 2009, as described below under "Compensation Decisions—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement."

Lee K. Boothby succeeded Mr. Trice as our President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Boothby's incentive compensation award for 2008 and his annual base salary and equity awards for 2009 were determined in February 2009 prior to his promotion, using the same process as described for all other executive officers. In May 2009, in

connection with his promotion to Chief Executive Officer, the committee increased Mr. Boothby's compensation as described below under "Compensation Decisions."

Other Executive Officers. Our Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations to the committee on all compensation actions (other than his own compensation) affecting our executive officers. In developing his recommendation for an executive officer, our Chief Executive Officer considers the self-evaluation prepared by the executive officer, the recommendations of his executive team, input from Longnecker, as well as his own evaluation. Our Chief Executive Officer's evaluation includes an assessment of the impact that the executive officer has had on our company during the award year and the executive officer's overall value to the company as a senior leader, taking into account the items listed above under "Performance Considerations."

Table of Contents

The committee is provided with our Chief Executive Officer's evaluation of each officer's performance and contributions to our company. Hewitt reviews and provides comments to the committee on our Chief Executive Officer's recommendations. The committee considers the information and recommendations provided by our Chief Executive Officer and Hewitt when it establishes base salaries, current and long-term cash awards under our incentive compensation plan and grants of long-term equity awards.

Peer Groups. In November 2008, Hewitt provided an analysis of Newfield's 2008 compensation relative to prevailing compensation levels at industry peers, considering the relative enterprise value of Newfield among those peers. The committee used this analysis in the February 2009 compensation review process where the committee determined base salaries and grants of long-term equity awards for 2009 and current and long-term cash awards under our incentive compensation plan for the 2008 performance period. The peer companies used for the analysis were:

Hewitt Peer Group

Apache Corporation	Forest Oil Corporation	Pioneer Natural Resources Company
Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation	Murphy Oil Corporation	Plains Exploration & Production Company
Cimarex Energy Co.	Nexen Inc.	Range Resources Corporation
EOG Resources, Inc.	Noble Energy, Inc.	Southwestern Energy Company

The peer companies included in Hewitt's November 2008 analysis were the same as those used in Hewitt's November 2007 analysis, with the addition of Cimarex Energy Co., Range Resources Corporation and Southwestern Energy Company and the removal of Chesapeake Energy Corporation. The peer group was developed by Hewitt after considering other exploration and production companies. The committee reviews the peer group with Hewitt periodically for reasonableness, considering peer company metrics such as asset size and enterprise value, comparability of asset portfolio and the availability of compensation data. The committee and Hewitt have amended the list over time based on factors that either diminish or improve comparability to Newfield (such as business combinations, divestitures or changes in asset strategy).

For purposes of the February 2010 compensation review process, Hewitt provided its analysis to the committee in November 2009, using the same peer companies as used in Hewitt's November 2008 analysis. Hewitt compared Newfield's 2009 compensation to the prevailing 2009 compensation of industry peers. In addition, in November 2009, management provided the committee with a copy of the analysis that Longnecker prepared for management. For its analysis, Longnecker used a different peer group, consisting of the following companies:

Longnecker Peer Group

Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation	Noble Energy Inc.	Range Resources Corporation
Cimarex Energy Co.	Petrohawk Energy Corporation	SandRidge Energy, Inc.
Denbury Resources Inc.	Pioneer Natural Resources Company	Southwestern Energy Company
EXCO Resources, Inc.	Plains Exploration & Production Company	Ultra Petroleum Corporation
Forest Oil Corporation		

Longnecker consulted with management and developed this peer group by screening exploration and production companies primarily based upon revenues, market capitalization and asset size, but also considering the geographic location of the assets, the geographic location of the headquarters, the entrepreneurial culture and company performance.

Although the Longnecker peer group has a tighter range of peer company sizes (based upon revenues, market capitalization and asset size), there is overlap between the Longnecker and Hewitt peer groups and the two analyses generally yielded similar results, as described under “Compensation Decisions” below.

Elements of Compensation. As described above under “Compensation Overview and Objectives,” our compensation program for executive officers has traditionally included a base salary, current and long-term cash awards under our incentive compensation plan and grants of long-term equity awards. We do not offer defined pension benefits or significant perquisites to our executive officers. We encourage our executive officers to save for retirement by matching (subject to the limits described below) each executive’s contribution to our 401(k) plan and deferred compensation plan for highly compensated employees.

Table of Contents

Base Salary. As described above under “Compensation Overview and Objectives,” historically, base salaries for most of our executive officers have been set below the median for comparable positions within our industry. The committee generally increased executive base salaries in February 2010 to be more competitive with salaries for comparable positions within our industry.

Current and Long-Term Cash Awards Under Our Incentive Compensation Plan. Substantially all of our employees, including the named executive officers, are participants in our incentive compensation plan. Our incentive compensation plan provides for the creation each calendar year of an award pool that is based on our adjusted net income, as defined in the plan and described below under “Compensation Decisions—Incentive Compensation Awards.” All awards under the plan are paid in cash.

In addition to providing a reward for our company’s profitability, we grant awards under our incentive compensation plan to reward individual performance that contributed to the performance of our company. Historically, the vast majority of awards have consisted of both a current and a long-term portion. Annual current awards generally have been set to bring total cash compensation to a competitive level for comparable positions within our industry as justified by performance. A significant portion of the grants to our executive officers under the plan historically have been in the form of long-term awards designed to serve as retention incentives. Long-term cash awards are paid in four annual installments, each installment consisting of 25% of the long-term award plus interest. As described above under “Compensation Overview and Objectives,” beginning in February 2010, the committee restructured our executive compensation programs. The new structure does not include long-term cash awards for executive officers and instead uses long-term equity awards to balance retention incentives with a strong tie to relative long-term stockholder return.

For additional information regarding our incentive compensation plan and the specific awards to our named executive officers, see “Compensation Decisions—Incentive Compensation Awards” below and “Summary Compensation Table” and “Nonqualified Deferred Compensation” beginning on pages 34 and 43, respectively, of this Proxy Statement.

Long-Term Equity Awards Under Our Omnibus Stock Plan. Historically, we have provided equity-based compensation and incentives to our executive officers through different types of awards, as determined by the committee during its annual compensation review. Long-term equity awards are granted to executive officers as a reward for performance and to align their interests with the long-term growth and profitability of our company while also serving as a retention incentive. For information regarding the specific long-term equity awards to our named executive officers, see “Compensation Decisions—Stock Awards” below and “Summary Compensation Table,” “Grants of Plan-Based Equity Awards in 2009” and “Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2009” beginning on pages 34, 37 and 39, respectively, of this Proxy Statement.

In February 2009, the committee and our Board approved a new omnibus stock plan for our employees, including our named executive officers, and the plan was approved by our stockholders at our 2009 annual meeting. The new plan is substantially the same as our previous omnibus plans, but we added several additional stockholder-friendly features to the new omnibus stock plan, such as:

- a fungible share pool design where the shares available for grant under the plan are reduced by 1.5 times the number of shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units awarded under the plan, and are reduced by 1 times the number of shares subject to stock options awarded under the plan;
- the aggregate shares available under the plan will not be increased for shares that are tendered in payment of an option, shares withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations or shares repurchased by us with option proceeds;
- not less than three-year full vesting for awards that are not performance-based and not less than one-year full vesting for performance-based awards (with limited exceptions for up to 5% of the shares under the plan and for death, disability, retirement or change of control); and
- any dividend payments on restricted stock (performance-based or time vesting) are withheld by us until the forfeiture restrictions on the restricted stock lapse, and participants do not have the right to receive dividends or

dividend-equivalent payments on restricted stock units or options.

Table of Contents

Our previous omnibus stock plans terminated upon approval of the new omnibus stock plan, such that new grants can no longer be made under our previous omnibus stock plans.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan. In February 2010, the committee and our Board approved an employee stock purchase plan for our employees, including our named executive officers, and we are requesting that our stockholders approve the new plan at the annual meeting. The plan approved in February 2010 will replace our existing plan. No new options may be granted under our existing employee stock purchase plan after December 31, 2010 and, if the new plan is approved by our stockholders, the existing plan will terminate early such that no new options will be granted under our existing plan after June 30, 2010. The new plan has substantially the same economic terms as our existing employee stock purchase plan. We believe that, by providing our employees with an opportunity to purchase our common stock at a discount through an employee stock purchase plan, we further incent them to work for our continued success. See “Approval of the Newfield Exploration Company 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan” beginning on page 56 of this Proxy Statement for a description of the terms of the new plan.

Savings/Deferred Compensation Plans. Our 401(k) plan and deferred compensation plan for highly compensated employees allow an eligible executive to defer up to 90% of his or her salary and all of his or her bonus on an annual basis. We make a matching contribution for up to 8% of the executive’s base salary. Account balances under the 401(k) plan and the deferred compensation plan can be invested in substantially the same investment options, at the direction of the participant. For additional information regarding our deferred compensation plan, see “Nonqualified Deferred Compensation” beginning on page 43 of this Proxy Statement.

Perquisites. We do not provide significant perquisites to our executive officers. For additional information regarding the nature of these benefits, see the All Other Compensation Table in footnote 6 to the Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 35 of this Proxy Statement.

Stock Ownership. We do not have stock ownership requirements or guidelines for our executive officers. However, the committee is considering the appropriateness of adopting such guidelines as part of its ongoing comprehensive assessment of our executive compensation programs. All of our executive officers receive a significant amount of their total compensation in the form of grants of long-term equity awards. Based on beneficial ownership as of March 1, 2010, as set forth under “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” beginning on page 10 of this Proxy Statement, and the March 1, 2010 closing price of our common stock, Messrs. Boothby, Rathert, Packer, Dunn and Schneider beneficially own shares of our common stock valued between nine and 28 times their respective base salaries. Our employees and directors are prohibited from trading in any derivatives related to our stock, subject to limited exceptions for long-term “hedging” transactions by our officers and directors that are approved in advance by our Board. None of our officers and directors has entered into hedging transactions involving our stock. In addition, our insider trading policy prohibits our executive officers and directors from holding Newfield securities in a margin account or pledging Newfield securities as collateral for a loan.

Financial Restatements. Although our Board has not adopted a formal policy regarding the effects of a financial restatement on prior awards, our incentive compensation plan does provide for adjustments to future award pools for financial restatements. In addition, our employees generally are entitled to an installment of a long-term award under our incentive compensation plan only if they are still employed by us through the date of payment of the installment. Even employees who generally are entitled to regular installments under the terms of our incentive compensation plan regardless of their employment status because they have been continuously employed by us since January 1, 1993 are not entitled to installments of their long-term awards if we terminate their employment for cause.

Change of Control Severance Agreements. None of our named executive officers have employment contracts; however, we have entered into change of control severance agreements with our named executive officers. The change of control severance agreements generally provide certain payments to the named executive officers if their employment is terminated during a two or three-year protected period after a change of control (depending on the

officer). The agreements provide our named executive officers, whose jobs generally would be at the greatest risk in a change of control, with a greater level of financial security in a change of control. This additional security helps ensure that these officers remain focused on our performance and the continued creation of stockholder value throughout the change of control transaction rather than on the potential uncertainties associated with their own employment. The potential payments to our named executive officers upon a termination of employment or a change of control, as well as other information regarding the change of control definition and other material terms of the agreements, are disclosed under “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control” beginning on page 44 of this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

Tax Deductibility Considerations. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally disallows a tax deduction to a public company for compensation paid to its chief executive officer or any of its four other most highly compensated executive officers to the extent that the compensation of any of these officers exceeds \$1 million in any calendar year. Qualifying performance-based compensation is not subject to the deduction limit.

The committee's primary goal is to design compensation strategies that the committee believes further the best interests of our company and our stockholders, and meet the compensation objectives described above. The performance-based restricted stock awards that the committee began granting to executive officers in 2010 under our omnibus stock plan are designed to qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m). No other components of our compensation awarded in 2009 or 2010 currently qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m).

Compensation Decisions. In February 2009, the committee determined the 2009 annual base salaries, the 2009 long-term equity awards and the cash awards for the 2008 performance period for our executive officers. In February 2010, the committee determined the 2010 annual base salaries, the 2010 long-term equity awards and the cash awards for the 2009 performance period for our executive officers.

Hewitt's analysis for the committee provided valuable data points at both the February 2009 and February 2010 meetings, and Longnecker's analysis for management provided additional data for the committee's February 2010 meeting. The consultants' analyses aided in the committee's consideration of the level of total compensation for our executive officers, allocations between current and long-term cash awards under our incentive compensation plan (for the 2008 performance period), allocations between cash compensation and long-term equity compensation and the types of long-term equity awards.

The committee's review of Hewitt's November 2008 market data illustrated that, for the named executive officers, total compensation for Messrs. Trice and Rathert approximated the 50th percentile among individuals in similar roles at our peers. Total compensation for Mr. Boothby was slightly below the 25th percentile and for Messrs. Packer, Dunn and Schneider was above the 75th percentile among our peers. The Hewitt November 2008 market data illustrated that base salaries for the named executive officers were near the 25th percentile among our peers, leaving a large portion of total compensation dependent upon performance.

As described above under "Compensation Overview and Objectives," the committee began a comprehensive analysis of our executive compensation structure in mid-2009 and restructured our executive officers' compensation beginning in 2010. The committee decided to increase base salaries to a more competitive level, approximating the median for comparable positions at a selected group of peer companies. To determine annual incentive payments for the 2009 performance period, the committee then considered performance and the committee's desire to alter the mix of total annual compensation paid to our executive officers by reducing the percentage of total annual compensation paid to our executive officers in cash. To facilitate the committee's analysis, the Hewitt and Longnecker analyses included data regarding base salary, total cash compensation (base salaries plus cash incentive awards) and total compensation (base salaries plus cash incentive awards plus equity awards).

Using both the Hewitt and Longnecker analyses, the committee's review of the November 2009 market data illustrated that the base salaries, total cash compensation and total annual compensation for Messrs. Boothby, Rathert and Packer were within the percentile ranges set forth below relative to their respective roles among industry peers in the peer groups:

Table of Contents

Base Salary		
Name	Longnecker	Hewitt
Mr. Boothby	< 25th	< 25th
Mr. Rathert	Slightly < 50th	25th – 50th
Mr. Packer	25th – 50th	< 25th

Total Cash Compensation		
Name	Longnecker	Hewitt
Mr. Boothby	Slightly < 50th	Slightly < 50th
Mr. Rathert	> 75th	>75th
Mr. Packer	Slightly > 75th	>75th

Total Annual Compensation		
Name	Longnecker	Hewitt
Mr. Boothby	Slightly > 25th	< 25th
Mr. Rathert	50th – 75th	Slightly < 50th
Mr. Packer	Slightly > 50th	Slightly > 50th

The executive officers who lead our business units, such as Messrs. Dunn and Schneider, have broad responsibilities for all aspects of the operations in their regional business units. We consider their responsibilities to be similar to those of a chief executive officer or chief operating officer of a smaller company similar in size to our different business units. As a result, we, Hewitt and Longnecker concluded that it was difficult to find comparable positions at peer companies against which to benchmark their compensation. Accordingly, when determining their compensation, we reviewed compensation data for vice president positions at the Hewitt and Longnecker peer group companies, as well as compensation data for chief executive officers and chief operating officers of a variety of additional smaller energy companies similar in size to our different business units. These additional companies included:

Smaller Company Comparisons

Atlas America, Inc.	Comstock Resources, Inc.	Mariner Energy, Inc.
ATP Oil & Gas Corporation	Concho Resources Inc.	Quicksilver Resources Inc.
Berry Petroleum Company	Continental Resources, Inc.	Stone Energy Corporation
Bill Barrett Corporation	Encore Acquisition Company	Swift Energy Company
Carrizo Oil & Gas, Inc.	Energy Partners, Ltd.	Venoco, Inc.
Clayton Williams Energy, Inc.		

However, we did not use the data from the two peer groups or the additional smaller energy companies as a benchmark against which we would set a specific percentile of compensation for Messrs. Dunn and Schneider. Rather, the committee used all of the available information as well as the committee's knowledge of the broad responsibilities of our individual business unit leaders when making compensation decisions for our business unit leaders (including Messrs. Dunn and Schneider) in February 2010.

Since Mr. Trice retired in May 2009, the committee did not consider his compensation as part of its February 2010 review process.

Despite the adverse economic conditions in 2009 and the second half of 2008, we feel that our company performed extremely well operationally and financially. We maintained a strong hedge position in both years, met our annual

production guidance provided to investors and honored our commitment to carefully control our 2009 capital expenditures. As compared to the companies in our peer groups:

- Our stock price increased 144% during 2009, better than all but one of our peers;
- Our 23% increase in proved reserves in 2009 ranked in the second quartile of our peers; and
- Our 2009 production increased by 9% compared to 2008, in the top half of our initial production guidance and ranking in the second quartile of our peers.

Table of Contents

In making compensation decisions, the committee specifically considered these factors as well as the successful transitions in leadership roles at our company during 2009, in addition to the information described above.

A description of the committee's decisions and analyses relating to 2009 compensation, as well as its more recent decisions and analyses regarding 2010 compensation, is provided below.

Base Salary. Although Hewitt's November 2008 market data indicated that the base salaries of our named executive officers were near the 25th percentile among peers, in light of the significant decline in economic conditions and oil and gas prices, particularly during the second half of 2008, the committee did not increase the annual base salaries for our named executive officers at its February 2009 meeting. In connection with Mr. Boothby's promotion to Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Rathert's promotion to Executive Vice President and Mr. Packer's promotion to Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer and his relocation to our corporate headquarters in Houston, Texas, the committee increased their annual base salaries on May 7, 2009 as set forth below to reflect their additional responsibilities:

Name	Base Salary Prior to Promotion	Base Salary After Promotion
Lee K. Boothby	\$325,000	\$500,000
Gary D. Packer	\$265,000	\$400,000
Terry W. Rathert	\$325,000	\$400,000

Consistent with our pay for performance philosophy, the base salaries for our named executive officers represented between 11% and 24% of their total compensation for 2009, as set forth in the Summary Compensation Table on page 34 of this Proxy Statement (other than for Mr. Trice, whose base salary represented 3% of his total compensation for 2009 due to his additional compensation in connection with his Retirement Agreement, as described below).

As described above under "Compensation Overview and Objectives," for 2010 the committee generally increased executive base salaries to be more competitive with salaries for comparable positions within our industry, providing a stable base of competitive cash compensation while rewarding performance through annual cash incentive awards and performance-based equity awards. As mentioned above, the committee made this change from past practice as part of its effort to alter the overall mix of executive compensation. At its February 2010 meeting, the committee increased the annual base salaries for our named executive officers (other than Mr. Trice, who retired in May 2009) between 2% and 30% compared to 2009 base salaries (30% for Mr. Boothby, 6% for Mr. Rathert, 13% for Mr. Packer, 2% for Mr. Dunn and 6% for Mr. Schneider). The peer group information reviewed by the committee indicated that the base salaries for Messrs. Boothby, Rathert and Packer were below their peers, especially for Messrs. Boothby and Packer in their first year serving as our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer, respectively. The increases in February 2010 brought the base salaries for these three named executive officers closer to (but still below, in the cases of Messrs. Boothby and Packer) the median salaries for comparable positions at peer companies. In addition to the peer group information (and, in the case of Messrs. Dunn and Schneider, the smaller energy company information), the increases also were based on general levels of market salary increases, cost of living adjustments, individual performance and our overall financial and operating results, without any specific relative weight assigned to any of these factors.

Incentive Compensation Awards. Our incentive compensation plan provides the committee with the discretion to make adjustments to our adjusted net income, as defined in the plan, for purposes of determining the annual award pool. Adjustments may be made for extraordinary or other unusual items or other items not contemplated at the time the plan was adopted, such as changes in generally accepted accounting principles, ceiling test writedowns or other non-recurring items. The committee has used this discretion when determining the available award pool under the plan, and the impact of these decisions on the available award pool for the 2009 performance period is described below. The committee also retains the discretion to grant awards outside of the plan, as described below.

North Sea Business Sale. In 2007, we recognized a \$341 million gain on the sale of our U.K. North Sea business. Due to the extraordinary nature of the gain, the committee elected to defer approximately \$9 million of the 2007 available award pool amount attributable to the gain on the sale of the U.K. North Sea business to future periods. This deferral increased the available award pool for the 2009 performance period by approximately \$4.5 million. The committee made this decision to more closely match the periods when the operating results from this business would have been realized.

Table of Contents

Crude Oil Hedge Unwind and Reset. We unwound and reset our 2009 and 2010 crude oil hedge positions during 2008. The transaction effectively moved the associated derivative liability on the balance sheet to long-term debt and had no impact on net income for 2008, as defined in the plan. The committee decided to take the unwind and reset into consideration in determining net income for incentive compensation plan purposes for the 2009 and 2010 performance periods because to ignore it would overstate net income, as defined in the plan, for each of 2009 and 2010. This had the effect of reducing the available award pool for the 2009 performance period by approximately \$12.5 million.

Full Cost Ceiling Test Writedown Charges. We had full cost ceiling test writedown charges in the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009. These charges were the result of a prescriptive test under the full cost accounting rules that measures the carrying value of our oil and gas assets using a point in time valuation of commodity prices and costs. The committee felt that these charges were not representative of operating results in the 2008 and 2009 periods and, therefore, excluded the charges from the determination of net income, as defined in the plan. For purposes of determining the available award pool under the incentive compensation plan in years after the respective 2008 and 2009 charges, the unit of production DD&A (depreciation, depletion and amortization) costs will be increased by such amounts until the charges are fully amortized by country – effectively reducing net income, as defined in the plan, until the full amount of the ceiling test charges are recovered. This treatment is consistent with how the committee treated material full cost ceiling test writedowns of prior years and aligns the determination of net income for incentive compensation plan purposes with applicable period operating results. This had the effect of reducing the available award pool for the 2009 performance period by approximately \$12.4 million.

Goodwill Impairment. Like the full cost ceiling test writedown charges described above, the committee felt that the year-end 2008 impairment of goodwill was not reflective of operating results in 2008. The committee chose to amortize this expense evenly across the 2008-2010 performance periods, which had the effect of reducing the available award pool for the 2009 performance period by approximately \$1 million.

Generally, the amount of each employee's cash award was based upon the employee's impact on our 2009 results and overall value to our company including consideration of future expectations, without any specific relative weight assigned to each of these factors. The committee established awards for each of our named executive officers after considering the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer (with respect to the other named executive officers), Mr. Boothby's self-evaluation and Hewitt's recommendations. Mr. Trice was not eligible for an award with respect to 2009 performance pursuant to the terms of our incentive compensation plan due to his retirement in May 2009. See "David A. Trice Retirement Agreement" below.

Our company performed well operationally and financially during 2009, even with the difficult economic conditions of 2009, and we believe the 144% increase in our stock price during 2009 is recognition of our strong performance. For example:

- our proved reserves increased 23% at year-end 2009 as compared to year-end 2008 and our proved reserve life index increased to approximately 14 years, reflecting our continued growth in longer-lived "resource plays;"
- even after deferring approximately 3 Bcfe of production in our Mid-Continent division during the second half of 2009 due to low natural gas prices, our 2009 production was approximately 257 Bcfe, which is a 9% increase compared to 2008 production (with 26% growth in the Woodford Shale located in our Mid-Continent division, led by Mr. Dunn) and is in the upper-end of our initial production guidance of 250 - 260 Bcfe for 2009;
- strong performance as compared to our peers in several key categories—our share price increase during 2009 exceeded all but one of our peers, our reserve growth in 2009 ranked in the second quartile of our peers and our production growth in 2009 ranked in the second quartile of our peers;

Table of Contents

- we added more than 500,000 net acres in domestic resource plays, including finalizing in early 2010 the acquisition of more than 300,000 net acres in southwest Texas in a transaction led by Messrs. Packer and Schneider late in 2009;
- we upheld our commitment to investors to carefully control our capital expenditures during the difficult economic environment of 2009, spending within our 2009 capital budget and reducing outstanding borrowings under our credit arrangements by almost \$200 million during 2009, under the leadership of Messrs. Mr. Rathert, Boothby and Packer;
- we continued to have a strong balance sheet and have provided for future stability through our crude oil and natural gas hedging program, which had a mark-to-market value of almost \$300 million at the end of 2009;
 - we continued our excellent health, safety and environmental performance in all categories during 2009; and
- Messrs. Boothby and Packer provided strong leadership to our company during 2009 in their new roles as our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer, respectively, as our company transitioned after Mr. Trice's May 2009 retirement as our Chief Executive Officer, with critical financial leadership provided by Mr. Rathert—exhibiting the teamwork needed to plan for our future success even during the depressed economic environment in 2009.

As described above under “Compensation Overview and Objectives,” while not changing its philosophy regarding competitive levels of total compensation for our executive officers, the committee restructured our executive compensation programs beginning in February 2010 and altered the mix of total annual compensation paid to our executive officers by increasing base salaries while reducing the percentage of total annual compensation paid to our executive officers in cash and increasing the percentage of total annual compensation paid in equity. The increase in base salaries in February 2010 to a more competitive level, combined with the committee's desire to reduce the percentage of total annual compensation paid to our executive officers in cash, influenced the size of the cash incentive compensation awards to our executive officers in February 2010. In addition, as part of the restructuring of our executive officers' compensation, the committee is no longer providing long-term cash awards for our executive officers and long-term equity awards are being used to balance retention incentives with a strong tie to relative long-term stockholder return. See “Stock Awards” below.

Incentive compensation award amounts for our named executive officers represented between 27% and 41% of their total compensation for 2009, as set forth in the Summary Compensation Table on page 34 of this Proxy Statement (other than for Mr. Trice, whose pro rated bonus for 2009 represented 7% of his total compensation due to his additional compensation in connection with his Retirement Agreement, as described below). The cash incentive compensation awards granted to Messrs. Rathert, Packer, Dunn and Schneider for the 2009 performance period were reduced as compared to the 2008 performance period by between 15% and 53% (38% for Mr. Rathert, 15% for Mr. Packer and 53% for each of Messrs. Dunn and Schneider). Mr. Boothby's award for the 2009 performance period remained level with his award for the 2008 performance period. The cash incentive award for the 2008 performance period consisted of both a current cash award and a long-term cash award and, as described above, the cash incentive award for the 2009 performance period included only a current cash award. Accordingly, although our company performed well in 2009, the reduced awards for the 2009 performance period reflected the decision by the committee to alter the mix of total annual compensation paid to our executive officers and no longer provide long-term cash awards for executive officers. With respect to Messrs. Boothby (whose award remained level), Packer and Rathert (whose awards decreased less than others), the decisions regarding their awards were made in recognition of the critical roles that they played during the leadership transition at our company after Mr. Trice's retirement as our Chief Executive Officer in May 2009. Specifically, in setting the award for Mr. Boothby, the committee recognized his strong overall corporate leadership in his first year serving as our Chief Executive Officer, including his success in his roles as a member of our Board of Directors and representing our company in the investment community, and provided his award with respect to the 2009 performance period outside of the incentive plan. The committee also

recognized the strong operational leadership role played by Mr. Packer in his first year serving as our Chief Operating Officer, including his role in several important transactions during 2009, and the strong financial leadership provided by Mr. Rathert during the difficult economic environment of 2009 and his support of the leadership transition.

Table of Contents

Stock Awards. In light of the significant decline in economic conditions and oil and gas prices during the second half of 2008, the committee substantially reduced the value of the long-term equity awards granted in February 2009. The aggregate grant date fair market value of the February 2009 restricted stock awards to Messrs. Boothby, Rathert and Packer (33,600 shares each), Dunn (24,000 shares) and Schneider (19,200 shares) was approximately 38% less than the aggregate grant date fair market value of the equity awards provided to those officers in February 2008.

In conjunction with this reduction in long-term incentive value, and based on the recommendation of our Chief Executive Officer, the committee approved grants of time-vested restricted stock in February 2009 to provide a strong retention element and make our long-term equity program more competitive with peers in our industry. In determining the size of the awards, the committee considered economic conditions at the time and the sharp decline in the economy and financial markets since the 2008 grants, the relative mix of performance-based vesting and time-vesting awards outstanding at the time, the significant portion of our named executive officers' outstanding stock option awards that had exercise prices above the \$19.75 per share closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2008 (preceding the grant date for the February 2009 awards), individual performance, industry trends, as well as corporate succession planning. In addition, in view of the competitive conditions in our industry, all restricted stock unit awards granted in 2009 contained qualified retirement vesting provisions that are conditioned upon the signing of a non-compete agreement and providing a specific amount of advance notice before retirement to allow adequate time for a smooth transition.

Since Mr. Trice announced that he would be retiring as our Chief Executive Officer at our annual meeting on May 7, 2009, the committee did not grant Mr. Trice a long-term equity award in February 2009. In connection with their promotions in May 2009, the committee granted Messrs. Boothby and Packer additional time-vesting restricted stock unit awards (40,000 and 28,000 units, respectively) in recognition of the additional responsibilities associated with their new positions. For more information regarding the terms of the 2009 awards, as well as the fair value of the 2009 awards on their grant date, see "Grants of Plan-Based Equity Awards in 2009" beginning on page 37 of this Proxy Statement.

As described above under "Compensation Overview and Objectives," beginning in February 2010, the committee restructured our executive compensation programs and altered the mix of compensation for our executive officers to increase the percentage of total annual compensation provided through equity awards and to include both time-vesting and performance-based long-term equity awards. The ratio of time-vesting to performance-based vesting awards varied by officer, based on the officer's ability to influence overall corporate results, with more senior executive officers' awards being more heavily weighted toward awards with performance-based vesting. By providing both types of awards each year, the committee feels it will be better able to consistently balance the retention incentive provided by the time-vesting awards with the strong tie to relative long-term stockholder return provided by the performance-based awards.

The February 2010 time-vesting awards vest in three equal annual installments, beginning on the first anniversary of the grant. The February 2010 performance-based awards vest, if at all, based on our total stockholder return relative to a peer group. The peer group for the performance-based awards includes the peer companies in the Longnecker November 2009 analysis, four additional companies with which we believe that we compete in the capital markets (Berry Petroleum Corporation, Chesapeake Energy Corporation, Comstock Resources, Inc. and Whiting Petroleum Corporation), as well as the Dow Jones Industrial Average Index and the S&P 500 Index. Vesting under the performance-based awards has the possibility of occurring monthly from April 15, 2013 through April 15, 2015 based on our total stockholder return ranking, as measured at the end of each immediately preceding month, as follows:

Table of Contents

Total stockholder return for a particular month means the rate of return (expressed as percentage) achieved with respect to our common stock, the primary common equity security of each other company and index in the peer group if: (1) \$100 was invested in each security or index on the last day of March 2010 assuming a purchase price equal to the average closing price of the security or index for all of the trading days in March 2010; (2) if the record date for any dividend with respect to a particular security occurs during the period beginning March 31, 2010 and ending on the last day of the determination month, such dividend was reinvested in the security as of the record date for the dividend (using the closing price of the security on the record date); and (3) the valuation of such security or index at the end of the determination month is based on the average closing price for all of the trading days in the determination month. In view of the competitive conditions in our industry, all restricted stock unit awards continue to contain qualified retirement vesting provisions that are conditioned upon the signing of a non-compete agreement and providing a specific amount of advance notice before retirement to allow adequate time for a smooth transition.

David A. Trice Retirement Agreement. In anticipation of Mr. Trice's May 2009 retirement, the committee and Board approved a Retirement Agreement with Mr. Trice in April 2009. The Retirement Agreement contained a release of any claims and a two-year non-compete agreement by Mr. Trice and described (1) the regular separation benefits to which Mr. Trice was already entitled as a result of his employment at Newfield, regardless of the Retirement Agreement, and (2) the additional separation benefits to which Mr. Trice became entitled as a result of the Retirement Agreement. The additional separation benefits included the acceleration of vesting of certain of his outstanding long-term equity awards, the extension of the post-retirement exercise period for his outstanding stock option awards and a pro rated bonus for the portion of 2009 during which he was a Newfield employee. In considering Mr. Trice's Retirement Agreement in total, the committee and Board took into account Mr. Trice's overall contributions to our company during his 9-year tenure as Chief Executive Officer and his specific contributions during the leadership transition in 2009. In deciding to award Mr. Trice a discretionary pro rated bonus in November 2009 (pursuant to the terms of his Retirement Agreement), the committee considered his performance during the portion of 2009 during which he was a Newfield employee, including his role in planning for his succession, assisting in the orderly transition in connection with his retirement, helping to develop our hedging strategy, our 2009 budget and our overall business strategy and his other actions to benefit our company during 2009. The Board and committee also felt it was important for Mr. Trice to remain as Chairman for a transition period as Mr. Boothby assumed the role of Chief Executive Officer. Accordingly, in connection with his Retirement Agreement, the committee granted Mr. Trice a new restricted stock unit award in recognition of his agreement to serve as non-executive Chairman of the Board until our 2010 annual meeting and his agreement to enter into the two-year non-compete agreement. For a description of the terms of the Retirement Agreement, bonus and restricted stock unit award, see "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement" beginning on page 44 of this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information with respect to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, our former Chief Executive Officer (who retired in May 2009) and our three other most highly compensated executive officers (referred to as our “named executive officers”) for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(1) (\$)	Bonus(1)(2)		Stock Awards(3) (\$)	Nonqualified Option Awards(4) (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation(5) Earnings(\$)	All Other Compensation(6) (\$)	Total (\$)
			Current (\$)	Long-Term (\$)					
Lee K. Boothby President and Chief Executive Officer(7)	2009	439,018	1,200,000		2,035,256		19,428	223,722	3,917,424
	2008	320,833	400,000	800,000	484,450	489,000	4,719	56,611	2,555,613
	2007	254,583	575,000	575,000	1,726,412		4,760	98,751	3,234,506
Terry W. Rathert Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer(8)	2009	373,866	750,000		653,856		19,763	41,406	1,838,891
	2008	319,833	400,000	800,000	1,090,013		5,402	36,678	2,651,926
	2007	291,667	625,000	625,000	1,032,075		6,328	33,706	2,613,776
David A. Trice Chairman of the Board and Former Chief Executive Officer(9)	2009	261,250	600,000		4,550,121	1,244,405	40,588	2,371,017	9,067,381
	2008	587,500	900,000	1,600,000	968,900	2,200,500	12,594	58,990	6,328,484
	2007	520,833	1,350,000	1,350,000	2,293,504		18,692	53,117	5,586,146
Gary D. Packer Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer(10)	2009	352,957	850,000		1,620,836		16,360	271,957	3,112,110
	2008	258,333	335,000	665,000	847,788	407,500	4,167	28,614	2,546,402
	2007	223,333	550,000	550,000	688,050		4,096	25,584	2,041,063
George T. Dunn Vice President – Mid- Continent(11)	2009	265,002	375,000		467,040		12,887	89,918	1,209,847
	2008	260,333	264,000	536,000	339,115	293,400	3,179	59,181	1,755,208
	2007	226,333	350,000	350,000	1,171,100		4,353	31,652	2,133,438
William D. Schneider Vice President – Onshore	2009	250,002	375,000		373,632		13,656	29,558	1,041,848
	2008	245,833	264,000	536,000	581,340		4,044	27,356	1,658,573
	2007	223,333	500,000	500,000	688,050		4,880	24,624	1,940,887

Gulf Coast and
International(12)

- (1) See “Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Compensation Decisions” beginning on page 27 of this Proxy Statement for an explanation of the amount of salary and bonus in proportion to total compensation.
- (2) For all named executive officers other than for Mr. Trice for 2009, the amounts shown reflect cash incentive compensation awards made in February 2010, 2009 and 2008, based upon performance in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Long-term awards are paid in four annual installments, with each installment consisting of 25% of the award plus interest. See “Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Compensation Decisions—Incentive Compensation Awards” beginning on page 29 of this Proxy Statement. Mr. Trice was not eligible for an award for 2009 pursuant to the terms of our incentive compensation plan due to his retirement in May 2009. The amount shown for Mr. Trice for 2009 reflects the discretionary cash bonus paid to Mr. Trice pursuant to his Retirement Agreement in recognition of his services to Newfield during 2009 before his May 2009 retirement. For a description of Mr. Trice’s Retirement Agreement, see “Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Compensation Decisions—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement” and “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement.”
- (3) The amounts shown in the Stock Awards column reflect the full grant date fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units awarded in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, computed in accordance with applicable accounting guidance, as required by new SEC regulations. Amounts shown for 2008 and 2007 have been recalculated in accordance with the new SEC regulations and, therefore, differ from previously reported amounts.

During 2007, the named executive officers received annual grants of restricted stock that vest based on performance, which are considered market-based awards under the accounting guidance. For these market-based awards of restricted stock that vest based on performance, the grant date fair value was determined using a lattice-based option pricing model and the fair market value per share was \$24.04.

During, 2007, 2008 and 2009, the named executive officers received annual grants of time-vested restricted stock or restricted stock units. Messrs. Boothby and Dunn also received grants of time-vested restricted stock in October 2007 in connection with their 2007 promotions, and Messrs. Boothby and Packer received grants of time-vested restricted stock units in May 2009 in connection with their 2009 promotions. Mr. Trice did not receive an annual grant in 2009; however, he received a time-vested grant of restricted stock units in 2009 in connection with his Retirement Agreement and his agreement to serve as non-executive Chairman of the Board until our 2010 annual meeting and his agreement to enter into a non-compete agreement. For the time-vested restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards, the grant date fair value is based on the mean of the high and low sales prices of our common stock on the date of grant.

Table of Contents

The amounts shown for Mr. Trice for 2009 also include the incremental fair value of certain of his outstanding awards, the terms of which were modified under his Retirement Agreement. The fair value of the modified awards is based on the mean of the high and low sales prices of our common stock on May 29, 2009, the last trading day before the May 31, 2009 date of the modification. For a description of the modifications, see “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement” beginning on page 44.

For assumptions made in the valuation, see also Note 11, Stock-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the SEC. See also “Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Compensation Decisions—Stock Awards” beginning on page 32, “Grants of Plan-Based Equity Awards in 2009” beginning on page 37 and “Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2009” beginning on page 39 for a description of the awards.

(4) The amounts shown in the Option Awards column for 2008 reflect the full grant date fair value of stock options awarded in 2008, computed in accordance with applicable accounting guidance, as required by new SEC regulations. The amounts shown for 2008 have been recalculated in accordance with the new SEC regulations and, therefore, differ from previously reported amounts. Stock options were not awarded in 2009 or 2007. Accordingly, under new SEC regulations, no amounts are reported in the Option Awards column for those years, except for Mr. Trice in 2009, as described below in this note.

The fair value of each option award in 2008 was estimated, based on several assumptions, on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option valuation model. The fair value and assumptions used for the stock options awarded in 2008 are shown below:

Fair value per share of options granted	\$ 16.30
Fair value assumptions:	
Dividend yield	None
Expected volatility	31.70 %
Risk-free interest rate	2.83 %
Expected term, in years	5.2

For assumptions made in the valuation, see also Note 11, Stock-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the SEC.

The amount shown for Mr. Trice in 2009 includes the incremental fair value of certain modifications made to his outstanding stock option awards under his Retirement Agreement during 2009. The modifications were to stock option awards originally granted in 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2008. The incremental fair value and assumptions used for Mr. Trice’s modified awards are shown below:

	Original Grant Date				
	February 10, 2000	February 9, 2001	February 7, 2002	November 26, 2002	February 7, 2008
Fair value per share of options immediately after modification on May 31, 2009	\$ 21.27	\$ 19.56	\$ 21.50	\$ 21.19	\$ 12.64
Fair value assumptions:					
Dividend yield	None	None	None	None	None
Expected volatility	56.5 %	55.5 %	53.8 %	53.8 %	53.8 %
Risk-free interest rate	0.20 %	0.42 %	0.62 %	0.80 %	1.74 %

Expected term, in years	0.35	0.85	1.34	1.74	3.70
-------------------------	------	------	------	------	------

See also “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control” beginning on page 44 for a description of the stock options and the modifications to Mr. Trice’s stock options.

(5) Reflects above-market interest (as defined in SEC regulations) earned in 2009, 2008 and 2007 on long-term cash awards under our incentive compensation plan. See “Nonqualified Deferred Compensation” beginning on page 43 for a description of the incentive compensation plan.

(6) For 2009, the All Other Compensation column reflects:

- the amount we contributed under our deferred compensation plan or our 401(k) plan as a matching contribution for the benefit of each named executive officer;
- the compensation cost computed in accordance with applicable accounting guidance attributable to each named executive officer’s participation in our employee stock purchase plan;
 - club dues paid by us;
 - premiums we paid with respect to term life insurance for the benefit of each named executive officer;
 - expenses paid in connection with officer relocations; and
- in the case of Mr. Trice, the value of the vesting of his incentive compensation plan long-term awards pursuant to his Retirement Agreement.

See the All Other Compensation Table below for more information regarding these items for 2009. See “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement” for a description of Mr. Trice’s Retirement Agreement.

Table of Contents

In October 2007, Mr. Boothby was promoted to Senior Vice President – Acquisitions and Business Development and relocated to our corporate headquarters in Houston, Texas, and Mr. Dunn was promoted to Vice President – Mid-Continent and relocated to our office in Tulsa, Oklahoma. In May 2009, Mr. Packer was promoted to Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer and relocated to our corporate headquarters in Houston, Texas. During 2009, we paid certain expenses in connection with these officer relocations. We engaged a relocation service to purchase and sell Mr. Boothby’s home in Oklahoma. The relocation service purchased Mr. Boothby’s home in Oklahoma in January 2008 and sold the home to a third party in January 2009, resulting in a loss to us of \$196,234 on the sale of the home. With respect to Messrs. Dunn and Packer, we paid \$59,100 and \$233,695, respectively, in 2009 in connection with their relocations, which represents housing and car rental expenses and related allowances.

In addition, Newfield maintains season tickets to various sporting events, which primarily are used for business purposes. However, to the extent that such tickets are not required for business purposes, all Newfield employees, including the named executive officers, are permitted to use the tickets. There is no incremental cost to Newfield associated with any personal use of these tickets.

All Other Compensation Table

Name	Matching 401(k) or Deferral Plan Contribution (\$)	Employee Stock Purchase Plan Compensation Cost (\$)	Club Dues (\$)	Life Insurance Premiums (\$)	Expenses in Connection with Relocation (\$)	Value of Vesting of Incentive Plan Upon Retirement (\$)	Total (\$)
Mr. Boothby	16,500	9,377	1,341	270	196,234		223,722
Mr. Rathert	29,909	9,772	1,455	270			41,406
Mr. Trice	24,375		404	270		2,345,968	2,371,017
Mr. Packer	27,601	9,583	808	270	233,695		271,957
Mr. Dunn	19,433	9,363	1,752	270	59,100		89,918
Mr. Schneider	20,000	9,288		270			29,558

(7) Mr. Boothby was promoted to President on February 5, 2009 and to the additional role of Chief Executive Officer on May 7, 2009. The summary compensation information presented above includes compensation paid to Mr. Boothby in those capacities from the indicated dates, in his capacity as Senior Vice President – Acquisitions and Business Development from October 1, 2007 until February 5, 2009 and in his capacity as Vice President – Mid-Continent prior thereto.

(8) The summary compensation information presented above includes compensation paid to Mr. Rathert in his capacity as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since May 7, 2009, as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from May 1, 2008 until May 7, 2009 and in his capacity as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary prior thereto.

(9) Mr. Trice ceased serving as our President on February 5, 2009 and as our Chief Executive Officer on May 7, 2009. He retired as an employee of ours on May 31, 2009. The summary compensation information presented above includes compensation paid to Mr. Trice as our employee. After his May 31, 2009 retirement as an employee, Mr. Trice continued to serve as Chairman of our Board and received cash payments for his service as a non-employee member of our Board. See “Non-Employee Director Compensation” for Mr. Trice’s 2009 compensation for his service as a non-employee director after May 31, 2009.

- (10) Mr. Packer was promoted to the position of Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer on May 7, 2009. The summary compensation information presented above includes compensation paid to Mr. Packer in that capacity since May 7, 2009 and in his capacity as Vice President – Rocky Mountains prior thereto.
- (11) The summary compensation information presented above includes compensation paid to Mr. Dunn in his capacity as Vice President – Mid-Continent since October 1, 2007 and in his capacity as Vice President – Gulf Coast prior thereto.
- (12) The summary compensation information presented above includes compensation paid to Mr. Schneider in his capacity as Vice President – Onshore Gulf Coast and International since December 9, 2008 and in his capacity as Vice President – International prior thereto.

Table of Contents

Grants of Plan-Based Equity Awards in 2009

The following table contains information about grants of plan-based restricted stock and restricted stock units to our named executive officers during 2009.

Name	Grant Date	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(1) (\$)
Mr. Boothby	(2) 02/04/09	33,600	653,856
	(3) 05/07/09	40,000	1,381,400
Mr. Rathert	(2) 02/04/09	33,600	653,856
Mr. Trice	(4) 04/20/09	100,000	2,709,500
	(5) 05/31/09	(5)	3,085,026
Mr. Packer	(2) 02/04/09	33,600	653,856
	(3) 05/07/09	28,000	966,980
Mr. Dunn	(2) 02/04/09	24,000	467,040
Mr. Schneider	(2) 02/04/09	19,200	373,632

(1) Reflects the full grant date fair value of the equity awards computed in accordance with applicable accounting guidance. For assumptions made in the valuation, see also Note 11, Stock-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the SEC and see “Summary Compensation Table” beginning on page 34 of this Proxy Statement. For Mr. Trice, his award of May 31, 2009 reflects the incremental fair value of certain of his outstanding awards, the terms of which were modified under his Retirement Agreement as described in footnote 5 below and in “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement” beginning on page 44 of this Proxy Statement.

(2) Reflects time-vested restricted stock awarded under our 2004 omnibus stock plan.

(3) Reflects time-vested restricted stock units awarded to Messrs. Boothby and Packer under our 2009 omnibus stock plan in connection with their promotions in May 2009.

(4) Reflects time-vested restricted stock units awarded to Mr. Trice under our 2007 omnibus stock plan in connection with his Retirement Agreement in April 2009 in recognition of his agreement to serve as non-executive Chairman of the Board until our 2010 annual meeting and his agreement to enter into a non-compete agreement.

(5) Reflects modifications to Mr. Trice’s outstanding equity awards on May 31, 2009 under his Retirement Agreement. For a description of the modifications, see “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement” beginning on page 44 of this Proxy Statement. The modified awards were originally granted on the following dates, and the modification upon Mr. Trice’s May 31, 2009 retirement resulted in incremental fair value to Mr. Trice as follows:

Original Grant Date	Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Fair Value of the Modification to the Award
---------------------	--	---	---

		on May 31, 2009 (\$)
02/10/00	60,000	3,714
02/09/01	40,000	97,923
02/07/02	40,000	89,791
11/26/02	40,000	116,028
02/12/03	66,667	1,461,777
02/08/05	(a)	(a)
02/07/08	72,000	499,706
02/07/08	63,000	437,243
02/07/08	20,000	378,844
		3,085,026

(a) Under his Retirement Agreement, Mr. Trice forfeited 10,826 shares of restricted stock on May 31, 2009, his retirement date. See “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement” beginning on page 44 of this Proxy Statement.

The time-vested restricted stock granted to Messrs. Boothby, Rathert, Packer, Dunn and Schneider in February 2009 vests, subject to continuous employment, in three equal annual installments beginning on the second anniversary of the grant date. Generally, upon death, disability (as defined in the award agreement) or a change of control (as defined in our 2004 omnibus stock plan), all of the restricted stock will vest. In addition, if the executive’s employment with us is terminated due to a qualified retirement (as defined in the award agreement), a pro rata portion of the restricted stock not previously forfeited will vest and the remainder will be forfeited. The pro rata portion that will vest is determined by multiplying the number of shares of restricted stock with respect to which forfeiture restrictions would otherwise have lapsed on the next anniversary of the grant date by the fraction that results from dividing (1) the number of days elapsed (excluding the retirement date) since the most recent anniversary date by (2) 365. In order for the pro rata portion to vest on qualified retirement, the employee must meet the conditions in the award agreement by (1) providing the required notice, (2) having at least 10 years of qualified service and (3) if the employee is not at least 62 years old at the time of the retirement, signing a non-compete agreement until age 62. See also “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control” beginning on page 44 of this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

The time-vested restricted stock units granted to Messrs. Boothby and Packer in connection with their promotions in May 2009 vest, subject to continuous employment, in three equal annual installments beginning on the third anniversary of the grant date. Generally, upon death, disability (as defined in and subject to the conditions contained in the award agreement) or a change of control (as defined in our 2009 omnibus stock plan and subject to the conditions contained in the award agreement), all of the restricted stock units will vest. In addition, if the executive's employment with us is terminated due to a qualified retirement (as defined in the award agreement), a pro rata portion of the restricted stock units not previously forfeited will vest and the remainder will be forfeited. The pro rata portion that will vest is determined by multiplying the number of restricted stock units with respect to which forfeiture restrictions would otherwise have lapsed on the next anniversary of the grant date by the fraction that results from dividing (1) the number of days elapsed (excluding the retirement date) since the most recent anniversary date by (2) 365. In order for the pro rata portion to vest on qualified retirement, the employee must meet the conditions in the award agreement by (1) providing the required notice, (2) having at least 10 years of qualified service and (3) if the employee is not at least 62 years old at the time of retirement, signing a non-compete agreement until age 62. See also "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" beginning on page 44 of this Proxy Statement.

Mr. Trice retired as an employee of ours in May 2009 and the Board and Compensation & Management Development Committee approved a Retirement Agreement with Mr. Trice in April 2009. Mr. Trice was granted 100,000 restricted stock units in April 2009 in connection with his Retirement Agreement in recognition of his agreement to serve as non-executive Chairman of the Board until our 2010 annual meeting and his agreement to enter into a non-compete agreement lasting for 24 months after his retirement as an employee on May 31, 2009. Under Mr. Trice's Retirement Agreement, the Board and Compensation & Management Development Committee also modified certain of Mr. Trice's outstanding equity awards. For further details regarding the Retirement Agreement, Mr. Trice's April 2009 restricted stock unit award and the modifications to Mr. Trice's outstanding equity awards, see "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement" beginning on page 44 of this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2009

The following table contains information about our named executive officers' outstanding equity awards at December 31, 2009.

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
		Exercisable	Unexercisable	Option Price (\$)	Expiration Date	Market Value of Shares or Units That Have Not Vested(1)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested(1)	
Mr. Boothby	02/12/03					16,001 (3)	771,728		
	02/08/05							20,000 (4)	964,600
	02/14/07					5,556 (5)	267,966	33,334 (6)	1,607,699
	10/01/07					12,000 (5)	578,760		
	02/07/08	6,000 (7)	24,000 (7)	48.45	02/07/11	18,000 (8)	482,300		
	02/04/09					33,600 (9)	1,620,528		
	05/07/09					40,000 (10)	1,929,200		
Mr. Rathert	02/09/01	20,000		19.02	02/09/11				
	02/07/02	30,000		16.87	02/07/12				
	11/26/02	20,000		17.84	11/26/12				
	02/12/03					40,001 (3)	1,929,248		
	02/08/05							35,000 (4)	1,688,050
	02/14/07					5,000 (5)	241,150	30,000 (6)	1,446,900
	02/07/08					22,500 (8)	1,085,175		
	02/04/09					33,600 (9)	1,620,528		
Mr. Trice	02/09/01	40,000		19.02	02/09/11				
	02/07/02	40,000		16.87	02/07/12				
	11/26/02	40,000		17.84	11/26/12				
	02/08/05							69,174 (4)	3,336,262
	02/14/07							66,668 (6)	3,215,398

Edgar Filing: NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

	02/07/08	135,000 ⁽⁷⁾		48.45	05/31/14				
	04/20/09					100,000 ⁽¹¹⁾	4,823,000		
Mr. Packer	02/09/01	5,000		19.02	02/09/11				
	02/07/02	18,000		16.87	02/07/12				
	08/14/02	15,000		16.25	08/14/12				
	02/12/03					20,001 (3)	964,648		
	02/08/05							20,000 (4)	964,600
	02/14/07					3,334 (5)	160,799	20,000 (6)	964,600
	02/07/08	5,000 (7)	20,000 (7)	48.45	02/07/12	18,500 (8)	844,025		
	02/04/09					33,600 (9)	1,620,528		
	05/07/09					28,000 (10)	1,350,440		
Mr. Dunn	02/12/03					20,001 (3)	964,648		
	02/08/05							20,000 (4)	964,600
	02/14/07					3,334 (5)	160,799	20,000 (6)	964,600
	10/01/07					10,000 (5)	482,300		
	02/07/08	3,600 (7)	14,400 (7)	48.45	02/07/12	7,800 (8)	337,610		
	02/04/09					24,000 (9)	1,157,520		
Mr. Schneider	02/07/02	2,500		16.87	02/07/12				
	11/26/02	10,000		17.84	11/26/12				
	02/12/03					20,001 (3)	964,648		
	02/08/05							20,000 (4)	964,600
	02/14/07					3,334 (5)	160,799	20,000 (6)	964,600
	02/07/08					12,000 (8)	578,760		
	02/04/09					19,200 (9)	926,016		

(1) Calculated by multiplying the number of shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units that have not vested by the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on December 31, 2009 of \$48.23.

(2) Reflects the maximum number of shares of restricted stock covered by each award.

Table of Contents

(3) Reflects shares of restricted stock that were awarded on February 12, 2003 under our 2000 omnibus stock plan. Mr. Rathert was awarded 60,000 shares, Mr. Boothby was awarded 24,000 shares, and Messrs. Packer and Dunn each were awarded 30,000 shares. The restricted stock vests on January 31, 2012. However, the restricted stock may vest earlier, in accordance with the schedule listed below. With respect to the measurement period ended January 31, 2006, 33 % of the restricted stock vested. No restricted stock vested with respect to the measurement periods ended January 31, 2007, January 31, 2008, January 31, 2009 or January 31, 2010. Upon death, disability or a change of control (as defined in our 2000 omnibus stock plan), the restricted stock will vest and become nonforfeitable.

Measurement period	TSR Rank	Percentage of Restricted Shares Remaining unvested that vest
36 Months Ending January 31, 2006	Top 25%	100%
	Top 33 %	50%
	Top 50%	33 %
	50% or Below	0%
48 Months Ending January 31, 2007	Top 25%	100%
	Top 33 %	80%
	Top 50%	50%
	50% or Below	0%
60 Months Ending January 31, 2008	Top 25%	100%
72 Months Ending January 31, 2009	Top 33 %	100%
90 Months Ending January 31, 2010	Top 50%	50%
102 Months Ending January 31, 2011	50% or Below	0%

“TSR Rank” means the result (expressed as a percentage) obtained by dividing (a) our rank from one, to one plus the number of Qualified Peer Companies for the relevant measurement period set forth in the schedule above, with us and each such other company ranked from best to worst based on each such company’s Total Stockholder Return for such measurement period by (b) one greater than the number of Qualified Peer Companies for such measurement period.

“Total Stockholder Return” for a particular measurement period means the rate of return (expressed as a percentage) achieved with respect to our common stock and the common stock of each Qualified Peer Company for such measurement period if (a) \$100 were invested in the common stock of each such company at the beginning of such measurement period based on the closing price of the applicable common stock on January 31, 2003, (b) all dividends declared with respect to a particular common stock during such measurement period were reinvested in such common stock as of the payment date using the closing price on such date and (c) the per share valuation of such common stock at the end of such measurement period equaled the closing price on the last trading day occurring on or before the last January 31 of such measurement period.

“Qualified Peer Company” means each company included in the Initial Peer Group that (a) has been listed or traded on a national securities exchange or the NASDAQ National Market (or any successor thereto) throughout the relevant measurement period and (b) has not at any time during the relevant measurement period had a significant change in its capital structure or ownership as a result of a merger, consolidation, recapitalization, reorganization or similar transaction such that, in the discretion of the Compensation & Management Development Committee of our Board, such company should no longer be considered as one of our peers. The following companies no longer meet the definition of a “Qualified Peer Company”: Pogo Producing Company; The Houston Exploration Company; Westport Resources Corporation; Tom Brown Inc.; Kerr-McGee Corporation; and Burlington Resources Inc.

“Initial Peer Group” means the following companies and their successors: Pogo Producing Company; Noble Energy, Inc.; The Houston Exploration Company; Stone Energy Corporation; XTO Energy Inc.; Westport Resources Corporation; Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation; EOG Resources, Inc.; Forest Oil Corporation; Chesapeake Energy Corporation; Swift Energy Company; St. Mary Land & Exploration Company; Pioneer Natural Resources Company; Tom Brown Inc.; Kerr-McGee Corporation; Apache Corporation; Burlington Resources Inc.; Anadarko Petroleum Corporation; Devon Energy Corporation; Murphy Oil Corporation; and any other companies designated by the Compensation & Management Development Committee from time to time.

(4) Reflects performance-based shares of restricted stock that were granted on February 8, 2005 under our 2004 omnibus stock plan and vest in accordance with the schedule below. No restricted stock vested with respect to the measurement periods ended January 31, 2008 or January 31, 2009. Based on our TSR Rank, the restricted stock vested 100% on February 1, 2010, with respect to the measurement period ended January 31, 2010.

Measurement period	TSR Rank	Percentage of Restricted Shares Remaining unvested that vest
36 Months Ending January 31, 2008	Top 7	100%
	Top 10	50%
	Top 15	33 %
	Below 15	0%
48 Months Ending January 31, 2009	Top 7	100%
	Top 10	80%
	Top 15	50%
	Below 15	0%
60 Months Ending January 31, 2010	Top 7	100%
	Top 10	100%
	Top 15	100%
	Below 15	0%

“TSR Rank” means our rank from one, to one plus the number of companies and indices comprising the Qualified Peer Group for the relevant measurement period set forth in the schedule above, with us, each such other company and each such index together ranked from best to worst based on our, each such other company’s and each such index’s Total Stockholder Return for such measurement period.

Table of Contents

“Total Stockholder Return” for a particular measurement period means the rate of return (expressed as a percentage) achieved with respect to our common stock, the common stock of each company in the Qualified Peer Group and each index in the Qualified Peer Group for such measurement period if (a) \$100 were invested in our common stock, the common stock of each such company and each such index at the beginning of such measurement period based on the closing price of the applicable common stock or index on January 31, 2005, (b) all dividends declared with respect to a particular common stock during such measurement period were reinvested in such common stock as of the payment date using the closing price on such date and (c) the per share valuation of such common stock or such index at the end of such measurement period equaled the average closing price for the last ten trading days occurring on or before the last January 31 of such measurement period.

“Qualified Peer Group” means the Dow Jones Industrial Average Index, the S&P 500 Index and each company included in the Initial Peer Group that has had its primary common equity security listed or traded on a national securities exchange or the NASDAQ National Market (or any successor thereto) throughout the relevant measurement period. The following companies included in the “Initial Peer Group” no longer qualify for the “Qualified Peer Group”: Burlington Resources Inc.; Kerr-McGee Corporation; Pogo Producing Company; Spinnaker Exploration Company; The Houston Exploration Company; Vintage Petroleum, Inc.; and Western Gas Resources, Inc.

“Initial Peer Group” means the following companies: Apache Corporation; Anadarko Petroleum Corporation; Burlington Resources Inc.; Chesapeake Energy Corporation; Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation; Denbury Resources Inc.; Devon Energy Corporation; Encana Corporation; EOG Resources, Inc.; Forest Oil Corporation; Kerr-McGee Corporation; Murphy Oil Corporation; Nexen Inc.; Noble Energy, Inc.; Pioneer Natural Resources; Pogo Producing Company; Southwestern Energy Company; Spinnaker Exploration Company; St. Mary Land & Exploration Company; Stone Energy Corporation; Swift Energy Company; The Houston Exploration Company; Talisman Energy Inc.; Ultra Petroleum Corp.; Vintage Petroleum, Inc.; Western Gas Resources, Inc.; and XTO Energy Inc.

(5) Reflects time-vested restricted stock units that were awarded to the named executive officers on February 14, 2007, 12,000 time-vested shares of restricted stock that were awarded to Mr. Boothby on October 1, 2007 and 10,000 time-vested shares of restricted stock that were awarded to Mr. Dunn on October 1, 2007, in each case, under our 2004 omnibus stock plan. Subject to continuous employment, the time-vested shares of restricted stock granted to Mr. Boothby and Mr. Dunn in October 2007 vest on October 1, 2010. The time-vested restricted stock units granted to our named executive officers in February 2007 vest, subject to continuous employment, in three equal annual installments beginning on the second anniversary of the grant date. The first third vested on February 14, 2009, and the table reflects the remaining two-thirds of the restricted stock units granted in February 2007. The restricted stock and restricted stock units will vest upon death or disability and the restricted stock units also will vest if the executive’s employment with us is terminated by reason of a qualified retirement (as defined in the award agreements). In addition, upon a change of control (as defined in our 2004 omnibus stock plan), all of the restricted stock units and shares of restricted stock will vest.

(6) Reflects performance-based shares of restricted stock that were awarded to the named executive officers on February 14, 2007 under our 2004 omnibus stock plan. The restricted stock awarded to our named executive officers in February 2007 was divided equally between “Base Restricted Shares” and “Bonus Restricted Shares,” with forfeiture restrictions lapsing on March 1, 2010, in accordance with the schedule set forth below. Based on our TSR Rank, 100% of the Base Restricted Shares and 50% of the Bonus Restricted Shares vested on March 1, 2010. The remaining 50% of the Bonus Restricted Shares forfeited on March 1, 2010.

TSR Rank	Percentage of Base Restricted Shares as to Which Forfeiture Restrictions Lapse	Percentage of Bonus Restricted Shares as to Which Forfeiture Restrictions Lapse
Top 6	100%	100%

Top 7	100%	87.5%
Top 8	100%	75%
Top 9	100%	62.5%
Top 10	100%	50%
Top 11	100%	40%
Top 12	100%	30%
Top 13	100%	20%
Top 14	100%	10%
Top 15	100%	0%
Top 16	90%	0%
Top 17	80%	0%
Top 18	70%	0%
Top 19	60%	0%
Top 20	50%	0%
Below 20	0%	0%

“TSR Rank” means our rank from one, to one plus the total number of companies and indices comprising the Qualified Peer Group, with us, each such other company and each such index together ranked from best to worst based on our, each such other company’s and each such index’s Total Stockholder Return.

“Total Stockholder Return” means the rate of return (expressed as a percentage) achieved with respect to our common stock, the primary common equity security of each company in the Qualified Peer Group and each index included in the Qualified Peer Group if (a) \$100 was invested in each such security or index on the first day of the Measurement Period based on the average closing price of each such security or index for the 20 trading days immediately preceding such day, (b) if the record date for any dividend to be paid with respect to a particular security occurs during the Measurement Period, such dividend was reinvested in such security as of the record date for such dividend (using the closing price of such security on such record date) and (c) the valuation of such security or index at the end of the Measurement Period is based on the average closing price of each such security or index for the 20 trading days immediately preceding March 1, 2010.

“Qualified Peer Group” means (a) the Dow Jones Industrial Average Index, (b) the S&P 500 Index and (c) each company included in the Initial Peer Group that has had its primary common equity security listed or traded on a national securities exchange or the NASDAQ National Market (or any successor thereto) throughout the Measurement Period. Pogo Producing Company was included in the “Initial Peer Group” but no longer qualifies for the “Qualified Peer Group.”

Table of Contents

“Initial Peer Group” means the following companies: Apache Corporation; Anadarko Petroleum Corporation; Berry Petroleum Company; Bill Barrett Corporation; Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation; Chesapeake Energy Corporation; Cimarex Energy Co.; Denbury Resources Inc.; Devon Energy Corporation; Encana Corporation; EOG Resources, Inc.; Forest Oil Corporation; Murphy Oil Corporation; Nexen Inc.; Noble Energy, Inc.; Pioneer Natural Resources; Pogo Producing Company; Questar Corporation; Range Resources Corporation; Southwestern Energy Company; St. Mary Land & Exploration Company; Stone Energy Corporation; Swift Energy Company; Talisman Energy Inc.; Ultra Petroleum Corp., Inc.; W&T Offshore, Inc.; and XTO Energy Inc.

“Measurement Period” means the period beginning on March 1, 2007 and ending on February 28, 2010.

- (7) Reflects stock options that were awarded to the named executive officers on February 7, 2008. All of the stock options were granted under our 2000 omnibus stock plan, with the exception of stock options covering 63,000 shares granted to Mr. Trice under our 2007 omnibus stock plan. Since Mr. Trice’s employment with us terminated as a result of a qualified retirement, his stock options granted in February 2008 may be exercised in full at any time during the five-year period after his May 31, 2009 retirement as an employee of ours. Subject to continuous employment, the stock options granted to Messrs. Boothby, Packer and Dunn in February 2008 vest in five equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. If their employment with us is terminated due to death or disability, the options may be exercised in full for one year after the termination, after which time the options will terminate. If they terminate their respective employment with us voluntarily or if employment is terminated involuntarily for cause (as defined in the award agreement), the options will terminate immediately and not be exercisable. Subject to earlier termination or expiration, if their respective employment with us terminates involuntarily other than for cause, the vested portion of the option may be exercised for 90 days after the termination (or for one year after death if the employee dies during the 90-day period). Pursuant to the terms of the 2000 omnibus stock plan, their stock options will vest in full upon a change of control.
- (8) Reflects time-vested restricted stock units that were awarded to the named executive officers on February 7, 2008 under our 2007 omnibus stock plan. Subject to continuous employment, the restricted stock units vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the second anniversary of the grant date. The restricted stock units will vest upon death, disability or change of control (as defined in our 2007 omnibus stock plan). In addition, if the executive’s employment with us is terminated due to a qualified retirement (as defined in the award agreement), a pro rata portion of the restricted stock units not previously forfeited will vest. The pro rata portion that will vest is determined by multiplying the number of restricted stock units granted by the fraction that results from dividing (1) the number of days elapsed since the grant date by (2) the number of days from the grant date until the fourth anniversary of the grant date.
- (9) Reflects time-vested restricted stock that was awarded to Messrs. Boothby, Rathert, Packer, Dunn and Schneider on February 4, 2009 under our 2004 omnibus stock plan. See “Grants of Plan-Based Equity Awards in 2009” above for the terms of these awards.
- (10) Reflects time-vested restricted stock units that were awarded to Messrs. Boothby and Packer on May 7, 2009 under our 2009 omnibus stock plan in connection with their promotions. See “Grants of Plan-Based Equity Awards in 2009” above for the terms of these awards.
- (11) Reflects time-vested restricted stock units that were awarded to Mr. Trice on April 20, 2009 under our 2007 omnibus stock plan in connection with his Retirement Agreement in recognition of his agreement to serve as non-executive Chairman of the Board until our 2010 annual meeting and his agreement to enter into a non-compete agreement. See “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control—David A. Trice Retirement Agreement” for the terms of this award.

Option Exercises and Stock Awards Vested in 2009

The following table contains information regarding the exercise of stock options by our named executive officers during 2009 and the vesting during 2009 of restricted stock and restricted stock units previously granted to our named executive officers.

Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise(1) (#)	Option Awards	Stock Awards
		Value	