ALLERGAN INC Form 10-K February 28, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES b **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934** For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2007

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES 0 **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File No. 1-10269 Allergan. Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware

(State of Incorporation) **2525 Dupont Drive** Irvine. California

95-1622442 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) 92612 (Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

(714) 246-4500

(Registrant s telephone number) Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Common Stock, \$0.01 par value Preferred Share Purchase Rights Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer b Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

As of June 29, 2007, the aggregate market value of the registrant s common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$17,685 million based on the closing sale price as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

Common Stock outstanding as of February 22, 2008 307,511,888 shares (including 1,582,188 shares held in treasury).

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III of this report incorporates certain information by reference from the registrant s proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 6, 2008, which proxy statement will be filed no later than 120 days after the close of the registrant s fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I.</u>		1		
<u>Item 1.</u>	Business	1		
<u>Item 1A.</u>	Risk Factors	26		
<u>Item 1B.</u>	Unresolved Staff Comments	42		
<u>Item 2.</u>	Properties	42		
<u>Item 3.</u>	Legal Proceedings	42		
<u>Item 4.</u>	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	42		
<u>PART II.</u>		43		
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market For Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer			
	Purchases of Equity Securities	43		
<u>Item 6.</u>	Selected Financial Data	44		
<u>Item 7.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of			
	<u>Operations</u>	44		
<u>Item 7A.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	74		
<u>Item 8.</u>	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	77		
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial			
	Disclosure	77		
<u>Item 9A.</u>	Controls and Procedures	78		
<u>Item 9B.</u>	Other Information	78		
<u>PART III.</u>		79		
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	79		
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	79		
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related			
	Stockholder Matters	79		
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	79		
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	79		
<u>PART IV.</u>		80		
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	80		
SIGNATURES		87		
i				

Statements made by us in this report and in other reports and statements released by us that are not historical facts constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements are necessarily estimates reflecting the best judgment of our senior management based on our current estimates, expectations, forecasts and projections and include comments that express our current opinions about trends and factors that may impact future operating results. Disclosures that use words such as we believe. anticipate. estimate. intend. could. project plan. expect. of these, as well as similar expressions, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and rely on a number of assumptions concerning future events, many of which are outside of our control, and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We discuss such risks, uncertainties and other factors throughout this report and specifically under the caption Risk Factors in Item 1A of Part I of this report below. Any such forward-looking statements, whether made in this report or elsewhere, should be considered in the context of the various disclosures made by us about our businesses including, without limitation, the risk factors discussed below. Except as required under the federal securities laws and the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, we do not have any intention or obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, changes in assumptions or otherwise.

PART I

Item 1. Business

General Overview of our Business

We are a multi-specialty health care company focused on developing and commercializing innovative pharmaceuticals, biologics and medical devices that enable people to see more clearly, move more freely and express themselves more fully. Our diversified approach enables us to follow our research and development into new specialty areas where unmet needs are significant.

We discover, develop and commercialize specialty pharmaceutical, medical device and over-the-counter products for the ophthalmic, neurological, medical aesthetics, medical dermatological, breast aesthetics, obesity intervention, urological and other specialty markets in more than 100 countries around the world. We are a pioneer in specialty pharmaceutical research, targeting products and technologies related to specific disease areas such as glaucoma, retinal disease, chronic dry eye, psoriasis, acne, movement disorders, neuropathic pain and genitourinary diseases.

In March 2006, we completed the acquisition of Inamed Corporation, or Inamed, a global healthcare manufacturer and marketer of breast implants, a range of dermal filler products to correct facial wrinkles, and bariatric medical devices for approximately \$3.3 billion, consisting of approximately \$1.4 billion in cash and 34,883,386 shares of our common stock.

In January 2007, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Groupe Cornéal Laboratoires, or Cornéal, a healthcare company that develops, manufactures and markets dermal fillers, viscoelastics and a range of ophthalmic surgical device products, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$209.2 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition of Cornéal expanded our marketing rights to *Juvéderm*tm and a range of hyaluronic acid dermal fillers from the United States, Canada and Australia to all countries worldwide and provided us with control over the manufacturing process and future research and development of *Juvéderm*tm and other dermal fillers.

In October 2007, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Esprit Pharma Holding Company, Inc., or Esprit, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$370.7 million, net of cash acquired. In addition to marketing

Sanctura[®] (trospium chloride), a twice-a-day anticholinergic approved for the treatment of overactive bladder, or OAB, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, approved *Sanctura XR*tm (trospium chloride extended release capsules) for the once-daily treatment of OAB in August 2007. By acquiring Esprit, we obtained an exclusive license to market *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm in the United States and its territories from Indevus

Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Indevus. We pay royalties to Indevus based upon our sales of *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm and assumed obligations of Esprit to pay certain other third-party royalties, also based upon sales of *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm. We entered into a co-promotion agreement with Indevus pursuant to which Indevus will co-promote *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm through at least September 2008, subject to Indevus right to extend the agreement for up to six months. We launched *Sanctura XR*tm in the United States in January 2008.

We were founded in 1950 and incorporated in Delaware in 1977. Our principal executive offices are located at 2525 Dupont Drive, Irvine, California, 92612, and our telephone number at that location is (714) 246-4500. Our Internet website address is <u>www.allergan.com</u>. We make our periodic and current reports, together with amendments to these reports, available on our Internet website, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. Members of the public may read and copy any materials we file with, or furnish to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. To obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room, please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site at <u>www.sec.gov</u> that contains the reports, proxy statements and other information that we file electronically with the SEC. The information on our Internet website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Operating Segments

Through the first fiscal quarter of 2006, we operated our business on the basis of a single reportable segment specialty pharmaceuticals. Due to the Inamed acquisition, beginning in the second fiscal quarter of 2006, we operated our business on the basis of two reportable segments specialty pharmaceuticals and medical devices. The specialty pharmaceuticals segment produces a broad range of pharmaceutical products, including: ophthalmic products for glaucoma therapy, ocular inflammation, infection, allergy and chronic dry eye; *Botox*[®] for certain therapeutic and aesthetic indications; skin care products for acne, psoriasis and other prescription and over-the-counter dermatological products; and, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2007, urologics products. The medical devices segment produces a broad range of medical devices, including: breast implants for augmentation, revision and reconstructive surgery; obesity intervention products, including the *Lap-Band*[®] System and the *BIB*tm *BioEnterics*[®] Intragastric Balloon; and facial aesthetics products. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, product net sales for each of our product lines within our specialty pharmaceuticals segment and medical devices segment and medical devices segment. Admessite and medical devices segment:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2007	2006 (in millions)	2005	
Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment Product Net Sales by				
Product Line				
Eye Care Pharmaceuticals	\$ 1,776.5	\$ 1,530.6	\$ 1,321.7	
Botox [®] /Neuromodulator	1,211.8	982.2	830.9	
Skin Care Products	110.7	125.7	120.2	
Urologics	6.0			
Other(1)			46.4	
Total Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment Product Net Sales	\$ 3,105.0	\$ 2,638.5	\$ 2,319.2	

Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment Product Net Sales			
Domestic	65.8%	67.9%	67.5%
International	34.2%	32.1%	32.5%

	Year Ended December 31, 2007 2006 2005 (in millions)		·
Medical Devices Segment Product Net Sales by Product Line(2) Breast Aesthetics Obesity Intervention Facial Aesthetics	\$ 298.4 270.1 202.8	\$ 177.2 142.3 52.1	\$
Core Medical Devices Other(3)	771.3 2.7	371.6	
Total Medical Devices Segment Product Net Sales	\$ 774.0	\$ 371.6	\$
Medical Devices Segment Product Net Sales(2) Domestic International	65.1% 34.9%	64.2% 35.8%	% %
Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment Operating Income(4) Medical Devices Segment Operating Income(2)(4)	\$ 1,047.9 207.1	\$ 888.8 119.9	\$ 762.9
Consolidated Long-Lived Assets Domestic International	\$ 3,702.0 557.5	\$ 3,279.0 244.0	\$ 470.7 199.3

- (1) Other specialty pharmaceutical product sales primarily consist of sales to a former subsidiary that was spun off to our stockholders in 2002.
- (2) Due to the Inamed acquisition, beginning in the second quarter of 2006, we operated our business on the basis of two reportable segments specialty pharmaceuticals and medical devices.
- (3) Other medical device product sales primarily consist of sales of ophthalmic surgical devices pursuant to a manufacturing and supply agreement entered into as part of the July 2007 sale of the former Cornéal ophthalmic surgical device business, which was substantially concluded in December 2007.
- (4) Management evaluates business segment performance on an operating income basis exclusive of general and administrative expenses and other indirect costs, restructuring charges, in-process research and development expenses, amortization of identifiable intangible assets related to the Esprit, EndoArt, Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions and certain other adjustments, which are not allocated to our business segments for performance assessment by our chief operating decision maker. Other adjustments excluded from our business segments for purposes of performance assessment represent income or expenses that do not reflect, according to established company-defined criteria, operating income or expenses associated with our core business activities.

We do not discretely allocate assets to our operating segments, nor does our chief operating decision maker evaluate operating segments using discrete asset information.

See Note 16, Business Segment Information, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for further information concerning our foreign and domestic operations.

Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment

Eye Care Pharmaceuticals Product Line

We develop, manufacture and market a broad range of prescription and non-prescription products designed to treat diseases and disorders of the eye, including glaucoma, chronic dry eye, inflammation, infection and allergy.

Glaucoma. The largest segment of the market for ophthalmic prescription drugs is for the treatment of glaucoma, a sight-threatening disease typically characterized by elevated intraocular pressure leading to optic nerve damage. Glaucoma is currently the world s second leading cause of blindness, and we estimate that over 60 million people worldwide have glaucoma. According to IMS Health Incorporated, an independent marketing research firm,

3

our products for the treatment of glaucoma, including *Lumigan*[®] (bimatoprost ophthalmic solution) 0.03%, or *Lumigan*[®], *Alphagan*[®] (brimonidine tartrate ophthalmic solution) 0.2%, or *Alphagan*[®], *Alphagan*[®] *P* (brimonidine tartrate ophthalmic solution) 0.15%, or *Alphagan*[®] *P*, *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.1% (brimonidine tartrate ophthalmic solution) 0.1%, or *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.1%, *Combigan*tm (brimonidine tartrate/timolol maleate ophthalmic solution) 0.2%/0.5%, or *Combigan*tm and *Ganfort*[®] (bimatoprost/timolol maleate ophthalmic solution) captured approximately 18% of the worldwide glaucoma market for the first nine months of 2007.

Lumigan[®] is a topical treatment indicated for the reduction of elevated intraocular pressure in patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension who are either intolerant or insufficiently responsive when treated with other intraocular pressure-lowering medications. We currently sell *Lumigan*[®] in over 70 countries worldwide and it is now our largest selling eye care product. According to IMS Health Incorporated, *Lumigan*[®] was the third largest selling glaucoma product in the world for the first nine months of 2007. In March 2002, the European Commission approved *Lumigan*[®] through its centralized procedure. In January 2004, the European Union s Committee for Proprietary Medicinal Products approved *Lumigan*[®] as a first-line therapy for the reduction of elevated intraocular pressure in chronic open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension. In June 2006, the FDA approved *Lumigan*[®] as a first-line therapy. In May 2004, we entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., or Senju, under which Senju became responsible for the development and commercialization of *Lumigan*[®] in Japan. Senju incurs associated costs, makes clinical development and commercialization milestone payments and makes royalty-based payments on product sales. We agreed to work collaboratively with Senju on overall product strategy and management. In June 2007, Senju filed a new drug application in Japan for *Lumigan*[®].

In November 2003, we filed a New Drug Application with the FDA for *Ganfort*[®], a *Lumigan*[®] and timolol combination designed to treat glaucoma or ocular hypertension. In August 2004, we announced that the FDA issued an approvable letter for *Ganfort*[®], setting out the conditions, including additional clinical investigation, that we must meet in order to obtain final FDA approval. In May 2006, we received a license from the European Commission to market *Ganfort*[®] in the European Union. Combined sales of *Lumigan*[®] and *Ganfort*[®] represented approximately 10% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2007. Sales of *Lumigan*[®] represented approximately 11% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2006 and 12% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2006 and 12% of our total consolidated product net sales in consolidated product net sales as a result of the Inamed acquisition.

Our third largest selling eye care pharmaceutical products are the ophthalmic solutions *Alphagan*[®], *Alphagan*[®] *P*, and *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.1%. *Alphagan*[®], *Alphagan*[®] *P* and *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.1% lower intraocular pressure by reducing aqueous humor production and increasing uveoscleral outflow. *Alphagan*[®] *P* and *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.1% are improved reformulations of *Alphagan*[®] containing brimonidine, *Alphagan*[®] s active ingredient, preserved with *Purit*[®]. We currently market *Alphagan*[®], *Alphagan*[®] *P*, and *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.1% in over 70 countries worldwide.

Alphagan[®], *Alphagan*[®] P and *Alphagan*[®] P 0.1% combined were the fifth best selling glaucoma products in the world for the first nine months of 2007, according to IMS Health Incorporated. Combined sales of *Alphagan*[®], *Alphagan*[®] P and *Alphagan*[®] P 0.1% and *Combigan*tm represented approximately 9% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2007, 10% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2006 and 12% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2005. The decline in the percentage of our total net sales represented by sales of *Alphagan*[®], *Alphagan*[®] P, *Alphagan*[®] P 0.1% and *Combigan*tm primarily resulted from the significant increase in our net sales as a result of the Inamed acquisition. In July 2002, based on the acceptance of *Alphagan*[®] P, we discontinued the U.S. distribution of *Alphagan*[®]. In May 2004, we entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., or Kyorin, under which Kyorin became responsible for the development and commercialization of *Alphagan*[®] P in Japan s ophthalmic specialty area. Kyorin subsequently sublicensed its rights under the agreement to Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Under the licensing agreement, Senju incurs associated costs, makes clinical development and commercialization milestone payments, and makes royalty-based payments on product sales. We

agreed to work collaboratively with Senju on overall product strategy and management. *Alphagan*[®] P 0.1% was launched in the U.S. market in the first quarter of 2006. The marketing exclusivity period for *Alphagan*[®] P expired in the United States in September 2004 and the marketing exclusivity period for *Alphagan*[®] P 0.1% will expire in August 2008, although we have a number of patents covering the *Alphagan*[®] P and *Alphagan*[®] P 0.1% technology that extend to 2021 in the United States and 2009 in Europe, with

corresponding patents pending in Europe. In May 2003, the FDA approved the first generic of *Alphagan*[®]. Additionally, a generic form of *Alphagan*[®] is sold in a limited number of other countries, including Canada, Mexico, India, Brazil, Colombia and Argentina. See Item 3 of Part I of this report, Legal Proceedings and Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for further information regarding litigation involving *Alphagan*[®]. Falcon Pharmaceuticals, Ltd., an affiliate of Alcon Laboratories, Inc., or Alcon, attempted to obtain FDA approval for and to launch a brimonidine product to compete with our *Alphagan*[®] *P* product. However, pursuant to a March 2006 settlement with Alcon, Alcon agreed not to sell, offer for sale or distribute its brimonidine product until September 30, 2009, or earlier if specified sales conditions occur. The primary sales condition will have occurred if prescriptions of *Alphagan*[®] *P* have been converted to other brimonidine-containing products we market above a specified threshold.

In addition to our *Alphagan*[®] and *Lumigan*[®] products, we developed the ophthalmic solution *Combigan*tm, a brimonidine and timolol combination designed to treat glaucoma and ocular hypertension in people who are not responsive to treatment with only one medication and are considered appropriate candidates for combination therapy. In November 2005, we received positive opinions for *Combigan*tm from 20 concerned member states included in the *Combigan*tm Mutual Recognition Procedure for the European Union, and we launched *Combigan*tm in the European Union during the following year. In October 2007, the FDA approved *Combigan*tm and we launched *Combigan*tm in the United States in November 2007. *Combigan*tm is now sold in over 30 countries worldwide.

Chronic Dry Eye, Restasis[®] (cyclosporine ophthalmic emulsion) 0.05%, or Restasis[®], is the first and currently the only prescription therapy for the treatment of chronic dry eye worldwide. *Restasis®* is our second largest selling eye care product. Chronic dry eye is a painful and irritating condition involving abnormalities and deficiencies in the tear film initiated by a variety of causes. The incidence of chronic dry eye increases markedly with age, after menopause in women and in people with systemic diseases such as Sjogren s syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis. Until the approval of *Restasis*[®], physicians used lubricating tears as a temporary measure to provide palliative relief of the debilitating symptoms of chronic dry eye. We launched Restasis® in the United States in April 2003 under a license from Novartis AG, or Norvartis, for the ophthalmic use of cyclosporine. Restasis® is currently approved in 28 countries. In April 2005, we entered into a royalty buy-out agreement with Novartis related to *Restasis*[®] and agreed to pay \$110 million to Novartis in exchange for Novartis worldwide rights and obligations, excluding Japan, for technology, patents and products relating to the topical ophthalmic use of cyclosporine A, the active ingredient in *Restasis*[®]. Under the royalty buy-out agreement, we no longer make royalty payments to Novartis in connection with our sales of Restasis®. In June 2001, we entered into a licensing, development and marketing agreement with Inspire Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Inspire, under which we obtained an exclusive license to develop and commercialize Inspire s product candidate, Prolacriatm (diquafosol tetrasodium), or Prolacriatm, a treatment to relieve the signs of chronic dry eye by rehydrating conjunctival mucosa and increasing non-lacrimal tear component production, in exchange for our agreement to make royalty payments to Inspire on sales of both Restasis® and, ultimately Prolacriatm, and for Inspire to promote Restasis[®] in the United States. In December 2003, the FDA issued an approvable letter for Prolacriatm and also requested additional clinical data. In February 2005, Inspire announced that Prolacriatm failed to demonstrate statistically significant improvement as compared to a placebo for the primary endpoint of the incidence of corneal clearing. Inspire also announced that *Prolacriatm* achieved improvement compared to a placebo for a number of secondary endpoints. Inspire filed a New Drug Application amendment with the FDA in the second quarter of 2005. In December 2005, Inspire announced that it had received a second approvable letter from the FDA in connection with Prolacriatm.

Inflammation. Our leading ophthalmic anti-inflammatory product is *Acular*[®] (ketorolac ophthalmic solution) 0.5%, or *Acular*[®]. *Acular*[®] is a registered trademark of and is licensed from its developer, Syntex (U.S.A.) Inc., a business unit of Hoffmann-LaRoche Inc. *Acular*[®] is indicated for the temporary relief of itch associated with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis, the inflammation of the mucus membrane that lines the inner surface of the eyelids, and for the

treatment of post-operative inflammation in patients who have undergone cataract extraction. Acular $PF^{\text{®}}$ was the first, and currently remains the only unit-dose, preservative-free topical non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, or NSAID, in the United States. Acular $PF^{\text{®}}$ is indicated for the reduction of ocular pain and photophobia following incisional refractive surgery. Acular $LS^{\text{®}}$ (ketorolac ophthalmic solution) 0.4% is a version of Acular[®] that has been reformulated for the reduction of ocular pain, burning and stinging

following corneal refractive surgery. The *Acular*[®] franchise was the highest selling ophthalmic NSAID in the world during the first nine months of 2007, according to IMS Health Incorporated.

Our ophthalmic anti-inflammatory product *Pred Forte*[®] remains a leading topical steroid worldwide based on 2007 sales. *Pred Forte*[®] has no patent protection or marketing exclusivity and faces generic competition.

Infection. Our *Ocuflox*[®]/*Oflox*[®]/*Exocin*[®] ophthalmic solution is a leading product in the ophthalmic anti-infective market. *Ocuflox*[®] has no patent protection or marketing exclusivity and faces generic competition.

We license Zymar[®] (gatifloxacin ophthalmic solution) 0.3%, or Zymar[®], from Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., and have worldwide ophthalmic commercial rights excluding Japan, Korea, Taiwan and certain other countries in Asia. We launched Zymar[®] in the United States in April 2003. Zymar[®] is a fourth-generation fluoroquinolone for the treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis and is currently approved in 29 countries. Laboratory studies have shown that Zymar[®] kills the most common bacteria that cause eye infections as well as specific resistant bacteria. According to Verispan, an independent research firm, Zymar[®] was the number two ophthalmic anti-infective prescribed by ophthalmologists in the United States in 2007. Zymar[®] was the third best selling ophthalmic anti-infective product in the world (and second in the United States) for the first nine months of 2007, according to IMS Health Incorporated.

Allergy. The allergy market is, by its nature, a seasonal market, peaking during the spring months. We market *Alocril*[®] ophthalmic solution for the treatment of itch associated with allergic conjunctivitis. We license *Alocril*[®] from Fisons Ltd., a business unit of Sanofi-Aventis, and hold worldwide ophthalmic commercial rights excluding Japan. *Alocril*[®] is approved in the United States, Canada and Mexico. We license *Elestat*[®] from Boehringer Ingelheim AG, and hold worldwide ophthalmic commercial rights excluding Japan. *Elestat*[®] is used for the prevention of itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis. We co-promote *Elestat*[®] in the United States under an agreement with Inspire within the ophthalmic specialty area and to allergists. Under the terms of our agreement with Inspire, Inspire provided us with an up-front payment and we make payments to Inspire based on *Elestat*[®] net sales. In addition, the agreement reduced our existing royalty payment to Inspire for *Restasis*[®]. Inspire has primary responsibility for selling and marketing activities in the United States during 2004. *Elestat*[®] (together with sales under its brand names *Relestat*[®] and *Purivist*[®] during 2004, and Inspire launched *Elestat*[®] in the United States during 2004. *Elestat*[®] (together with sales under its brand names *Relestat*[®] and *Purivist*[®]) is currently approved in 38 countries and was the fifth best selling ophthalmic allergy product in the world (and fourth in the United States) for the first nine months of 2007, according to IMS Health Incorporated.

Neuromodulator

Our neuromodulator product, *Botox*[®] (botulinum toxin type A), has a long-established safety profile and has been approved by the FDA for more than 18 years to treat a variety of medical conditions, as well as for aesthetic use since 2002. With more than 3,000 publications on botulinum toxin type A in scientific and medical journals, results of dozens of clinical trials involving more than 10,000 patients and having been used in clinical practice to treat more than a million patients worldwide, *Botox*[®] is a widely researched medicine with more than 100 therapeutic and aesthetic uses reported in the medical literature. *Botox*[®] is now accepted in many global regions as the standard therapy for indications ranging from therapeutic neuromuscular disorders and related pain to facial aesthetics. The versatility of *Botox*[®] is based on its localized treatment effect. Marketed as *Botox*[®], *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, *Vistabel*[®] or *Vistabex*[®], depending on the indication and country of approval, the product is currently approved in 77 countries for up to 20 unique indications. Sales of *Botox*[®] represented approximately 31%, 33% and 36% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2007, 2006 and 2005 respectively. The decline in the percentage of our total net sales represented by sales of *Botox*[®] primarily resulted from the significant increase in our net sales as a result of the Inamed acquisition.

 $Botox^{(B)}$ is used therapeutically for the treatment of certain neuromuscular disorders which are characterized by involuntary muscle contractions or spasms. The approved therapeutic indications for $Botox^{(B)}$ in the United States are as follows:

blepharospasm, the uncontrollable contraction of the eyelid muscles which can force the eye closed and result in functional blindness;

strabismus, or misalignment of the eyes, in people 12 years of age and over;

cervical dystonia, or sustained contractions or spasms of muscles in the shoulders or neck in adults, along with associated pain; and

severe primary axillary hyperhidrosis (underarm sweating) that is inadequately managed with topical agents.

In many countries outside of the United States, *Botox*[®] is also approved for treating hemifacial spasm, pediatric cerebral palsy and post-stroke focal spasticity. We are currently pursuing approvals for *Botox*[®] in the United States and Europe for new indications, including headache, post-stroke focal spasticity, overactive bladder and benign prostatic hypertrophy. In April 2005, we announced plans to move forward with a large Phase III clinical trial program to investigate the safety and efficacy of *Botox*[®] as a prophylactic therapy in patients with chronic migraine, and all patients have now exited the double blind phase of these studies. In May 2005, we reached agreement with the FDA to enter Phase III clinical trials for *Botox*[®] to treat neurogenic overactive bladder and Phase II clinical trials for *Botox*[®] to treat idiopathic overactive bladder. In December 2005, we initiated Phase II clinical trials for *Botox*[®] to treat benign prostatic hypertrophy.

Botox[®] *Cosmetic.* The FDA has approved *Botox*[®] for the temporary improvement in the appearance of moderate to severe glabellar lines in adult men and women age 65 or younger. Referred to as *Botox*[®], *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, *Vistabel*[®] or *Vistabex*[®], depending on the country of approval, this product is designed to relax wrinkle-causing muscles to smooth the deep, persistent, glabellar lines between the brow that often develop during the aging process. Currently, over 50 countries have approved facial aesthetic indications for *Botox*[®], *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, *Vistabel*[®] or *Vistabex*[®]. Health Canada, the Canadian national regulatory body, also approved *Botox*[®] Cosmetic for the treatment of upper facial lines in November 2005, and this indication has also been approved in Australia and New Zealand. In 2002, we launched comprehensive direct-to-consumer marketing campaigns, including television commercials, radio commercials, print advertising and interactive media aimed at dermatologists, plastic and reconstructive surgeons and other aesthetic specialty physicians, as well as consumers, in Canada and the United States and these campaigns continue. We also continue to sponsor aesthetic specialty physician training in approved countries to further expand the base of qualified physicians using *Botox*[®], *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, *Vistabel*[®] or *Vistabex*[®]. With the integration of the former Inamed medical products into our *Total Facial Rejuvenation*^{1m} portfolio, we now have a worldwide leadership position in the facial aesthetics market.

In October 2005, we entered into a long-term arrangement with GlaxoSmithKline, or GSK, under which GSK agreed to develop and promote $Botox^{(B)}$ in Japan and China and we agreed to co-promote GSK s products *Imitrex STATdose System*^(B) (sumatriptan succinate) and *Amerge*^(B) (naratriptan hydrochloride) in the United States. Under the terms of the arrangement, we licensed to GSK all clinical development and commercial rights to $Botox^{(B)}$ in Japan and China, markets in which GSK has extensive commercial, regulatory and research and development resources, as well as expertise in neurology. We received an up-front payment, and we receive royalties on GSK s Japan and China Botox sales. We also manufacture $Botox^{(B)}$ for GSK as part of a long-term supply agreement and collaboratively support GSK in its new clinical developments for $Botox^{(B)}$ and its strategic marketing in those markets, for which we receive payments. In addition, we obtained the right to co-promote GSK s products *Imitrex STATdose System* and *Amerge*^(B) in the United States to neurologists for a 5-year period, for which we receive fixed and performance payments from

GSK. *Imitrex STATdose System*[®] is approved for the treatment of acute migraine in adults and for the acute treatment of cluster headache episodes. *Amerge*[®] is approved for the acute treatment of migraine attacks with and without an aura in adults.

Skin Care Product Line

Our skin care product line focuses on the psoriasis, acne and physician-dispensed skin care markets, particularly in the United States and Canada.

 $Avage^{\text{(B)}}$. Our product $Avage^{\text{(B)}}$ is a tazarotene cream indicated for the treatment of facial fine wrinkling, mottled hypoand hyperpigmentation (blotchy skin discoloration) and benign facial lentigines (flat patches of skin discoloration) in patients using a comprehensive skin care and sunlight avoidance program. We launched $Avage^{\text{(B)}}$ in the United States in January 2003.

Azelex[®]. *Azelex*[®] cream is approved by the FDA for the topical treatment of mild to moderate inflammatory acne and is licensed from Intendis GmbH, or Intendis, a division of Bayer Schering Pharma AG. We market *Azelex*[®] cream primarily in the United States.

Finacea[®]. We co-promoted *Finacea*[®] (azelaic acid gel 15%), or *Finacea*[®], a topical rosacea treatment, with Intendis GmbH through a collaboration with Intendis that ended by its terms in February 2008. Following the termination of the collaboration, we no longer promote *Finacea*[®] but continue to receive certain payments for up to three years.

Tazarotene Products. We market *Tazorac*[®] gel in the United States for the treatment of plaque psoriasis, a chronic skin disease characterized by dry red patches, and acne. We also market a cream formulation of *Tazorac*[®] in the United States for the treatment of psoriasis and the topical treatment of acne. We have also engaged Pierre Fabre Dermatologie as our promotion partner for *Zorac*[®] in certain parts of Europe, the Middle East and Africa. We entered into a strategic collaboration agreement with Stiefel Laboratories, Inc. to develop and market new products involving tazarotene for dermatological use worldwide, and to co-promote *Tazorac*[®] in the United States.

M.D. Forte[®]. We develop and market glycolic acid-based skin care products. We market our *M.D. Forte*[®] line of alpha hydroxy acid products to physicians in the United States.

Prevage[®]. In January 2005, we launched *Prevage*[®] cream, containing 1% idebenone, a clinically tested antioxidant designed to reduce the appearance of fine lines and wrinkles, as well as provide protection against environmental factors, including sun damage, air pollution and cigarette smoke. In May 2005, we entered into an exclusive license agreement with Elizabeth Arden, Inc., or Elizabeth Arden, granting Elizabeth Arden the right to globally market a new formulation of *Prevage*[®] containing 0.5% idebenone, to leading department stores and other prestige cosmetic retailers. In September 2005, we began marketing *Prevage*[®] MD, containing 1% idebenone, to physicians in the United States.

*Vivite*tm. In April 2007, we launched *Vivite*tm, an advanced anti-aging skin care line that uses proprietary *GLX Technology*tm, creating a highly specialized blend of glycolic acid and natural antioxidants. We market our *Vivite*tm line of skin care products to physicians in the United States.

In January 2008, we entered into a strategic collaboration with Clinique Laboratories, LLC, or Clinique, a subsidiary of the Estée Lauder Companies Inc., to develop and exclusively market a new line of science-based skin care products to complement in-office aesthetic procedures that may affect the skin. The new skin care product line, which will incorporate the Clinique brand name, will be sold exclusively in physicians offices in the United States and is expected to launch in the fall of 2008. As part of the agreement, Clinique will formulate, develop and manufacture the new product line and we will market and distribute the new product line to physicians. The agreement with Clinique also led to the expansion of our sales force dedicated to physician-dispensed skin care products.

Urologics

Sanctura[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm. Following our October 2007 acquisition of Esprit, we began marketing *Sanctura*[®] (trospium chloride), or *Sanctura*[®], a twice-a-day anticholinergic approved for the treatment of overactive bladder, or OAB. In August 2007, the FDA approved *Sanctura XR*tm (trospium chloride extended release capsules), or *Sanctura XR*tm, a once-daily anticholinergic for the treatment of OAB, and we launched *Sanctura XR*tm in January 2008.

*Sanctura XR*tm is well tolerated by patients and has demonstrated improvements in certain adverse side effects common in existing OAB treatments, including dry mouth. We obtained an exclusive license to market *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm in the United States and its territories from Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Indevus. We pay royalties to Indevus based upon our sales of *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm and assumed obligations of Esprit to pay certain other third-party royalties, also based upon sales of *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm. We have also entered into

a co-promotion agreement with Indevus pursuant to which Indevus will co-promote *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm through at least September 2008, subject to Indevus right to extend the co-promotion period for up to six months.

Medical Devices Segment

Breast Aesthetics

For more than 25 years, our silicone gel-filled and saline-filled breast implants, consisting of a variety of shapes, sizes and textures, have been available to women in more than 60 countries for breast augmentation, revision and reconstructive surgery. Our breast implants consist of a silicone elastomer shell filled with either a saline solution or silicone gel with varying degrees of cohesivity. This shell can consist of either a smooth or textured surface. We market our breast implants under the trade names *Natrelletm*, *Inspira®*, *McGhan®* and *CUI®* and the trademarks *BioCell®*, *BioDimensional*tm and *Inamed®*. We currently market over 1,000 breast implant product variations worldwide to meet our customers preferences and needs.

Saline-Filled Breast Implants. We sell saline-filled breast implants in the United States and worldwide for use in breast augmentation, revision and for reconstructive surgery. The U.S. market is the primary consumer of our saline-filled breast implants.

Silicone Gel-Filled Breast Implants. We sell silicone gel-filled breast implants in the United States and worldwide for use in breast augmentation, revision and reconstructive surgery. The safety of our silicone gel-filled breast implants is supported by our extensive pre-clinical device testing, their use in approximately 1,000,000 women worldwide and nearly a decade of U.S. clinical experience involving more than 80,000 women. FDA approval of our silicone gel-filled breast implants, which we received in November 2006, was granted based on the FDA s review of our 10-year core clinical study and our preclinical studies, its review of studies by independent scientific bodies and the deliberations of advisory panels of outside experts. Following approval, we are also required to comply with a number of conditions, including our distribution of labeling to physicians and the distribution of our patient planner, which includes our informed consent process to help patients fully consider the risks associated with breast implant surgery. In addition and pursuant to the conditions placed on the FDA s approval of our silicone gel-filled breast implants, we continue to monitor patients in the 10-year core clinical study and the 5-year adjunct clinical study and we initiated the Breast Implant Follow-Up Study, or BIFS, a 10-year post-approval clinical study. The 10-year core clinical study, which we began in 1999 and had fully enrolled in 2000 with approximately 940 augmentation, revision or reconstructive surgery patients, was designed to establish the safety and effectiveness of our silicone gel-filled breast implants. We plan to continue to monitor patients in the 10-year core clinical study through the end of the study. In November 2006, we terminated new enrollment into our 5-year adjunct study, which was designed to further support the safety and effectiveness of silicone gel-filled breast implants and which includes over 80,000 revision or reconstructive surgery patients. We plan to continue to monitor patients in the 5-year adjunct study through the end of the study. Finally, pursuant to the conditions placed on the FDA s approval of our silicone gel-filled breast implants, we initiated the BIFS study, a new 10-year post-approval study of approximately 40,000 augmentation, revision or reconstructive surgery patients with silicone gel-filled implants and approximately 20,000 augmentation, revision or reconstructive surgery patients with saline-filled implants acting as a control group. The BIFS study is designed to provide data on a number of endpoints including, for example, long-term local complications, connective tissue disease issues, neurological disease issues, offspring issues, reproductive issues, lactation issues, cancer, suicide, mammography issues and to study magnetic resonance imaging compliance and rupture results.

Tissue Expanders. We sell a line of tissue expanders for breast reconstruction and as an alternative to skin grafting to cover burn scars and correct birth defects.

Facial Aesthetics

We develop, manufacture and market dermal filler products designed to improve facial appearance by smoothing wrinkles and folds. Our primary facial aesthetics products are the *Juvéderm*tm dermal filler family of products, *Zyderm*[®] and *Zyplast*[®] and *CosmoDerm*[®] and *CosmoPlast*[®].

*Juvéderm*tm. Our *Juvéderm*tm dermal filler family of products, including *Juvéderm*tm, *Hydrafill*tm and *Surgiderm*[®], are developed using our proprietary *Hylacross*tm technology, a technologically advanced manufacturing process that results in a malleable, smooth gel. This technology is based on the delivery of a homogeneous gel-based hyaluronic acid, as opposed to a particle gel-based hyaluronic acid technology, which is used in other dermal filler products. In addition, the *Juvéderm*tm dermal family of products do not require a pre-treatment skin test. In June 2006, the FDA approved *Juvéderm*tm , indicated for wrinkle and fold correction, for sale in the United States and we began selling *Juvéderm*tm Ultra and *Juvéderm*tm Ultra Plus in January 2007 following the completion of an experience trial with a group of dermatologists, plastic and reconstructive surgeons and aesthetic specialty physicians. In Europe, we market various formulations of *Juvéderm*tm, *Hydrafill*tm and *Surgiderm*[®] for wrinkle and fold augmentation. The *Juvéderm*tm dermal filler family of products are currently approved or registered in over 34 countries, including all major European markets.

In June 2007, the FDA approved label extensions in the United States for *Juvederm*tm Ultra and *Juvederm*tm Ultra Plus based on new clinical data demonstrating that effects of both products may last for up to one year, which is a longer period of time than was reported in clinical studies that supported FDA approval of other hyaluronic acid dermal fillers. We began selling *Juvéderm*tm Ultra 3, containing lidocaine, an anesthetic that alleviates pain during injections, in Europe in January 2008.

Zyderm[®] and *Zyplast*[®]. *Zyderm*[®] and *Zyplast*[®] dermal fillers are injectable formulations of bovine collagen. The *Zyderm*[®] family of dermal fillers is formulated for people with fine line wrinkles or superficial facial contour defects. *Zyderm*[®] and *Zyplast*[®] dermal fillers require a skin test, with a requisite 30-day period to observe the possibility of allergic reaction in the recipient. Both of these products are formulated with lidocaine. *Zyderm*[®] and *Zyplast*[®] are approved for marketing in the United States and Europe.

CosmoDerm[®] and CosmoPlast[®]. CosmoDerm[®] and *CosmoPlast[®]* dermal fillers are a line of injectable human skin-cell derived collagen products. *CosmoDerm[®]* and *CosmoPlast[®]* dermal fillers are formulated for people with fine line wrinkles or superficial facial contour defects. *CosmoDerm[®]* and *CosmoPlast[®]* implants do not require a skin test pre-treatment. Both of these products are formulated with lidocaine. *CosmoDerm[®]* and *CosmoPlast[®]* are approved for marketing in the United States, Canada and a number of European countries.

In January 2007, in response to a reduction in anticipated future market demand for human and bovine collagen products, our Board of Directors approved a plan to sell or close the collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California that we acquired in the Inamed acquisition. In connection with the restructuring and eventual sale or closure of the facility, we estimate that total pre-tax charges for severance, lease termination and contract settlement costs will be between \$6.0 million and \$8.0 million, all of which are expected to be cash expenditures. The foregoing estimates are based on assumptions relating to, among other things, a reduction of approximately 59 positions, consisting principally of manufacturing positions at our facility. We began recording these costs in the first quarter of 2007 and expect to continue to incur them up through and including the fourth quarter of 2008. Prior to any closure of our facility, we intend to manufacture a sufficient quantity of our collagen products to meet estimated market demand through 2010.

Obesity Intervention

We develop, manufacture and market several medical devices for the treatment of obesity. Our principal product in this area, the *Lap-Band*[®] System, is designed to provide minimally invasive long-term treatment of severe obesity and is used as an alternative to more invasive procedures such as gastric bypass surgery or stomach stapling. The *Lap-Band*[®] System is an adjustable silicone elastomer band that is laparoscopically placed around the upper part of the stomach through a small incision, creating a small pouch at the top of the stomach. This new pouch fills faster to make the patient feel full sooner, and the adjustable component of the band regulates the passage of food to retain that

feeling of fullness for longer periods of time.

The *Lap-Band*[®] System has achieved widespread acceptance in the United States and worldwide. In 2001, the FDA approved the *Lap-Band*[®] System to treat severe obesity in adults who have failed more conservative weight reduction alternatives. The *Lap-Band*[®] VG, a version of the *Lap-Band*[®] System with a larger band circumference, was approved by the FDA in January 2004, and meets the needs of a wider range of patients. In June 2007, we launched the *Lap-Band*[®] System, an evolution of the *Lap-Band*[®] System. The *Lap-Band* APtm System has proprietary

360-degree *OMNIFORM*tm technology, which is designed to evenly distribute pressure throughout the band s adjustment range. The *Lap-Band AP*tm also serves those who are physically larger, have thicker gastric walls or have substantial internal fat. Over 350,000 *Lap-Band*[®] System units have been sold worldwide since 1993. In November 2007, we completed enrollment into a 5-year adolescent pivotal study of *Lap-Band*[®] patients aged 14 to 17 and plan to review interim results at one year. Also in November 2007, we began enrollment of our lower body mass index, or BMI, 3-year pivotal study for *Lap-Band*[®] patients with a BMI of 30 to 40 and plan to review interim results at one year.

In November 2007, we entered into a co-promotion agreement with a subsidiary of Covidien Ltd., or Covidien, a leading global provider of healthcare products, under which Covidien will co-promote the *Lap-Band*[®] System to bariatric and other surgeons in the United States. Under the multi-year agreement, which became effective in November 2007, Covidien will utilize its surgical devices sales force and other specialized staff, as an adjunct to our bariatric sales force and other specialized staff, to promote, educate and train surgeons on the *Lap-Band*[®] System.

In February 2007, we completed the acquisition of Swiss medical technology developer EndoArt SA, or EndoArt, a pioneer in the field of telemetrically-controlled (or remote-controlled) gastric bands used to treat morbid obesity and other conditions. We paid approximately \$97.1 million, net of cash acquired, for all of the outstanding EndoArt shares in an all cash transaction. The EndoArt acquisition gave us ownership of EndoArt s proprietary technology platform, including *FloWatch*[®] technology, which powers the *EasyBand*[®] Remote Adjustable Gastric Band System, a next-generation, telemetrically-adjustable gastric banding device for the treatment of morbid obesity.

In September 2005, the *EasyBand*tm received CE clearance for commercialization of the *EasyBand*tm in Europe. The *EasyBand*tm, like the *Lap-Band*[®] System, is implanted laparoscopically through a small incision. Clinical benefits for the *EasyBand*tm are similar to the *Lap-Band*[®] System s clinical benefit, except that the *EasyBand*tm s adjustments are done telemetrically rather than hydraulically allowing for greater ease in adjustments and greater patient comfort.

We also sell the *BIB*tm System, which is a fixed-term weight loss therapy designed for use with moderately obese patients. Approved for sale in 67 countries but not in the United States, the *BIB*tm System includes a silicone elastomer balloon that is filled with saline after transoral insertion into the patient s stomach to reduce stomach capacity and create an earlier sensation of fullness. The *BIB*tm System is removed endoscopically within six months of being implanted, and works best when used in conjunction with a comprehensive diet and exercise program.

Other Products

Contigen[®] is our collagen product used for treatment of urinary incontinence due to intrinsic sphincter deficiency. C. R. Bard, Inc., or Bard, licenses from us the exclusive worldwide marketing and distribution rights to *Contigen*[®]. We plan to supply Bard s collagen needs through the expiration of our agreement with Bard in 2010 prior to closing the Fremont facility by the end of 2008. We also plan to provide other collagen products for use by other medical manufacturers prior to closing the Fremont facility.

International Operations

Our international sales represented 34.3%, 32.6% and 32.5% of our total consolidated product net sales for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Our products are sold in over 100 countries. Marketing activities are coordinated on a worldwide basis, and resident management teams provide leadership and infrastructure for customer-focused, rapid introduction of new products in the local markets.

Sales and Marketing

We sell our products directly and through independent distributors in over 100 countries worldwide. We maintain a global marketing team, as well as regional sales and marketing organizations, to support the promotion and sale of our products. We also engage contract sales organizations to promote certain products. Our sales efforts and promotional activities are primarily aimed at eye care professionals, neurologists, dermatologists, plastic and reconstructive surgeons, aesthetic specialty physicians, bariatric surgeons, urologists and primary care physicians (in the case of *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura* XRtm) who use, prescribe and recommend our products. We advertise in

professional journals, participate in medical meetings and utilize direct mail and Internet programs to provide descriptive product literature and scientific information to specialists in the ophthalmic, dermatological, medical aesthetics, bariatric, neurology, movement disorder and urology fields. We have developed training modules and seminars to update physicians regarding evolving technology in our products. In 2007, we also utilized direct-to-consumer advertising for *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, *Juvederm*tm, the *Lap-Band*[®] System, *Natrelle*tm, *Optive*tm and *Refresh*[®] artificial tears and *Restasis*[®].

Our products are sold to drug wholesalers, independent and chain drug stores, pharmacies, commercial optical chains, opticians, mass merchandisers, food stores, hospitals, group purchasing organizations, integrated direct hospital networks, ambulatory surgery centers and medical practitioners, including ophthalmologists, neurologists, dermatologists, plastic and reconstructive surgeons, aesthetic specialty physicians, bariatric surgeons, pediatricians, urologists and general practitioners. As of December 31, 2007, we employed approximately 2,407 sales representatives throughout the world. We also utilize distributors for our products in smaller international markets.

U.S. sales, including manufacturing operations, represented 65.7%, 67.4% and 67.5% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Sales to Cardinal Healthcare for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were 11.2%, 13.0% and 14.9% respectively, of our total consolidated product net sales. Sales to McKesson Drug Company for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were 11.1%, 13.0% and 14.2% respectively, of our total consolidated product net sales. No other country, or single customer, generated over 10% of our total product net sales.

We supplement our marketing efforts with exhibits at medical conventions, advertisements in trade journals, sales brochures and national media. In addition, we sponsor symposia and educational programs to familiarize physicians with the leading techniques and methods for using our products.

Research and Development

Our global research and development efforts currently focus on eye care, skin care, neuromodulators, medical aesthetics, obesity intervention, urology and neurology. We have a fully integrated research and development organization with in-house discovery programs, including medicinal chemistry, high throughput screening and biological sciences. We supplement our own research and development activities with our commitment to identify and obtain new technologies through in-licensing, research collaborations, joint ventures and acquisitions.

As of December 31, 2007, we had approximately 1,491 employees involved in our research and development efforts. Our research and development expenditures for 2007, 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$718.1 million, \$1,055.5 million and \$388.3 million, respectively. Research and development expenditures in 2007 were less than 2006 largely due to in-process research and development expenses of \$579.3 million recorded in 2006 in connection with the Inamed acquisition compared to only \$72.0 million of in-process research and development expenses recorded in 2007 in connection with the EndoArt acquisition. Excluding in-process research and development expenses by over \$413.4 million in the past five years.

In 2004, we completed construction of a new \$75 million research and development facility in Irvine, California, which provides us with approximately 175,000 square feet of additional laboratory space. In 2005, we completed construction of a new biologics facility on our Irvine, California campus at an aggregate cost of approximately \$50 million. Both facilities are occupied and in use.

Our strategy includes developing innovative products to address unmet medical needs and conditions associated with aging, and otherwise assisting patients in reaching life s potential. Our top priorities include furthering our leadership

in medical aesthetics and neuromodulators, identifying new potential compounds for sight-threatening diseases such as glaucoma, age-related macular degeneration and other retinal disorders and developing novel therapies for chronic dry eye, pain and genitourinary diseases. We plan to continue to build on our strong market positions in medical aesthetics, ophthalmic pharmaceuticals, medical dermatology, obesity intervention and neurology, and to explore new therapeutic areas that are consistent with our focus on specialty physician groups.

Our research and development efforts for the ophthalmic pharmaceuticals business focus primarily on new therapeutic products for retinal disease, glaucoma and chronic dry eye. As part of our focus on diseases of the retina, we acquired Oculex Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in 2003. With this acquisition, we obtained a novel posterior segment drug delivery system for use with compounds to treat eye diseases, including age-related macular degeneration and other retinal disorders. We have subsequently begun Phase III studies for *Posurdex*[®], dexamethasone delivered in a bioerodable implant for macular edema and retinal vein occlusion. In March 2005, we entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Sanwa Kagaku Kenkyusho Co., Ltd., or Sanwa, to develop and commercialize Posurdex® for the ophthalmic specialty market in Japan. Under the terms of the agreement, Sanwa is responsible for the development and commercialization of *Posurdex*[®] in Japan and associated costs. Sanwa pays us a royalty based on net sales of Posurdex[®] in Japan, makes clinical development and commercialization milestone payments and reimburses us for certain expenses associated with our continuing Phase III studies outside of Japan. We are working collaboratively with Sanwa on the clinical development of *Posurdex*[®], as well as overall product strategy and management. We are also in phase III clinical trials for Trivaristm (triamcinolone acetonide), a steroid used for the treatment of retinal disease. In September 2005, we entered into a multi-year alliance with Sirna Therapeutics, Inc., which was subsequently acquired by Merck & Co., Inc., to develop Sirna-027, a novel RNAi-based therapeutic currently in clinical trials for age-related macular degeneration, and to discover and develop other novel RNAi-based therapeutics against select gene targets for ophthalmic diseases.

We license memantine from Merz GmbH & Co. KGaA, or Merz, and hold worldwide rights for ophthalmic use. Memantine is approved by the FDA for Alzheimer s disease in the United States and is marketed as *Namend*[®] by Forest Laboratories and as *Axura*[®] by Merz and as *Ebixa*[®] by Lundbeck in Europe. Two Phase III clinical trials have been conducted over the last ten years. We recently released the topline data from the second Phase III clinical trial. Although the study showed that the progression of disease was significantly lower in patients receiving the higher dose of memantine compared to patients receiving the lower dose of memantine, there was no significant benefit compared to patients receiving placebo. Therefore, the study failed to meet its primary endpoint and to sufficiently replicate the results of the first Phase III trial. While additional analyses are ongoing, we do not believe that these analyses will support an approval of the drug.

We continue to invest heavily in the research and development of neuromodulators, primarily $Botox^{\text{(B)}}$. We focus on both expanding the approved indications for $Botox^{\text{(B)}}$ and pursuing next generation neuromodulator-based therapeutics. This includes expanding the approved uses for $Botox^{\text{(B)}}$ to include treatment for spasticity, headache, brow furrow and urologic conditions, including overactive bladder. Also, we are conducting Phase II clinical trials of $Botox^{\text{(B)}}$ for the treatment of benign prostatic hypertrophy. In collaboration with Syntaxin, a newly formed company, whose technology was contributed by the United Kingdom government s Health Protection Agency, we are focused on engineering new neuromodulators for the treatment of severe pain. We are also continuing our investment in the areas of biologic process development and manufacturing and the next generation of neuromodulator products, and we are conducting a Phase IV study of $Botox^{\text{(B)}}$ for the treatment of palmar hyperhidrosis, as part of our conditions of approval for axiliar hyperhidrosis by the FDA.

We also continue to invest in research and development around our *Juvéderm*[®] family of dermal filler products, including preparation for and ongoing clinical trials.

In connection with our obesity intervention products, we are planning to conduct clinical trials of the *EasyBand*tm and *BIB*tm System, both of which are currently approved in Europe, with the goal of obtaining approval in the United States. We anticipate beginning those trials in 2008.

We are also working to leverage our technologies in therapeutic areas outside of our current specialties, such as our Phase II clinical trials for the use of alpha agonists for the treatment of neuropathic pain.

We have a strategic research collaboration and license agreement with ExonHit Therapeutics, or ExonHit. The goals of this collaboration are to identify new molecular targets based on ExonHit s gene profiling *DATA*th technology and to work collaboratively to develop unique compounds and commercial products based on these targets. Our strategic alliance with ExonHit provides us with the rights to compounds developed in the fields of neurodegenerative disease, pain and ophthalmology. In 2007, we began development of a compound for a neurological indication as part of our collaboration with ExonHit.

The continuing introduction of new products supplied by our research and development efforts and in-licensing opportunities are critical to our success. There are intrinsic uncertainties associated with research and development efforts and the regulatory process. We cannot assure you that any of the research projects or pending drug marketing approval applications will result in new products that we can commercialize. Delays or failures in one or more significant research projects and pending drug marketing approval applications could have a material adverse affect on our future operations.

Manufacturing

We manufacture the majority of our commercial products in our own plants located at the following locations: Arklow and Westport, Ireland; San José, Costa Rica; Annecy, France; Fremont, California; Waco, Texas; and Guarulhos, Brazil. We maintain sufficient manufacturing capacity at these facilities to support forecasted demand as well as a modest safety margin of additional capacity to meet peaks of demand and sales growth in excess of expectations. We increase our capacity as required in anticipation of future sales increases. In the event of a very large or very rapid unforeseen increase in market demand for a specific product or technology, supply of that product or technology could be negatively impacted until additional capacity is brought on line. Third parties manufacture a small number of commercial products for us, including *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura* XRtm. For a discussion of the risks relating to the use of third party manufacturers, see Item 1A of Part I of this report, Risk Factors We could experience difficulties obtaining or creating the raw materials needed to produce our products and interruptions in the supply of raw materials could disrupt our manufacturing and cause our sales and profitability to decline.

In January 2007, we announced that we are closing the collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California that we acquired in the Inamed acquisition, which we intend to conclude in the fourth quarter of 2008. Prior to the closure of this facility, we intend to manufacture a sufficient quantity of our collagen products to meet estimated market demand through 2010. In January 2008, we announced that production at our Arklow, Ireland breast implant manufacturing facility, which we acquired in connection with the Inamed acquisition and which employs approximately 360 persons, will be transferred to our San José, Costa Rica manufacturing plant and that production at our Arklow, Ireland manufacturing facility will be phased out by the end of 2009.

We are vertically integrated into the production of plastic parts and produce our own bottles, tips and caps for use in the manufacture of our ophthalmic solutions. Additionally, we ferment, purify and characterize the botulinum toxin used in our product *Botox*[®]. With these two exceptions, we purchase all other significant raw materials from qualified domestic and international sources. Where practical, we maintain more than one supplier for each material, and we have an ongoing alternate program that identifies additional sources of key raw materials. In some cases, however, most notably with active pharmaceutical ingredients, we are a niche purchaser of specialty chemicals, which, in certain cases, are sole sourced. These sources are identified in filings with regulatory agencies, including the FDA, and cannot be changed without prior regulatory approval. In these cases, we maintain inventories of the raw material itself and precursor intermediates to mitigate the risk of interrupted supply. A lengthy interruption of the supply of one of these materials required to manufacture certain of our products are derived from biological sources which could be subject to contamination and recall by their suppliers. We use multiple lots of these raw materials at any one time in order to mitigate such risks. However, a shortage, contamination or recall of these products could disrupt our ability to maintain an uninterrupted commercial supply of our finished goods.

Manufacturing facilities producing pharmaceutical and medical device products intended for distribution in the United States and internationally are subject to regulation and periodic review by the FDA, international regulatory authorities and European notified bodies for certain of our medical devices. All of our facilities are currently approved by the FDA, the relevant notified bodies and other regulatory authorities to manufacture medical devices for distribution in the United States and international markets.

Competition

The pharmaceutical and medical device industries are highly competitive and require an ongoing, extensive search for technological innovation. They also require, among other things, the ability to effectively discover, develop, test and obtain regulatory approvals for products, as well as the ability to effectively commercialize, market and promote approved products, including communicating the effectiveness, safety and value of products to actual and prospective customers and medical professionals. Numerous companies are engaged in the development, manufacture and marketing of health care products competitive with those that we manufacture, develop and market. Many of our competitors have greater resources than we have. This enables them, among other things, to make greater research and development investments and spread their research and development costs, as well as their marketing and promotion costs, over a broader revenue base. Our competitors may also have more experience and expertise in obtaining marketing approvals from the FDA and other regulatory authorities. In addition to product development, testing, approval and promotion, other competitive factors in the pharmaceutical and medical device industries include industry consolidation, product quality and price, product technology, reputation, customer service and access to technical information. We believe that our products principally compete on the basis of quality, product design, an experienced sales force, physicians and surgeons familiarity with our products embodying new technologies.

Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment

Eye Care Products. Our major eye care competitors include Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Bausch & Lomb Incorporated, Pfizer Inc., Novartis AG and Merck & Co., Inc. For our eye care products to be successful, we must be able to manufacture and effectively detail them to a sufficient number of eye care professionals such that they use or continue to use our current products and the new products we may introduce. Glaucoma must be treated over an extended period and doctors may be reluctant to switch a patient to a new treatment if the patient s current treatment for glaucoma is effective and well tolerated.

We also face competition from generic drug manufacturers in the United States and internationally. For instance, Falcon Pharmaceuticals, Ltd., an affiliate of Alcon, attempted to obtain FDA approval for and to launch a brimonidine product to compete with our *Alphagan® P* product. However, pursuant to a March 2006 settlement with Alcon, Alcon agreed not to sell, offer for sale or distribute its brimonidine product until September 30, 2009, or earlier if specified sales conditions occur. The primary sales condition will have occurred if prescriptions of *Alphagan® P* have been converted to other brimonidine-containing products we market above a specified threshold. In addition, Apotex, Inc., or Apotex, attempted to obtain FDA approval for and to launch a generic form of *Acular®*. Pursuant to a federal court ruling in June 2006, Apotex is barred from obtaining approval before our *Acular®* patent expires in 2009. See Item 3 of Part I of this report, Legal Proceedings and Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for information concerning our current litigation.

Neuromodulators. With respect to neuromodulators, until December 2000, $Botox^{\text{(B)}}$ was the only neuromodulator approved by the FDA. At that time, the FDA approved $Myobloc^{\text{(B)}}$, a neuromodulator formerly marketed by Elan Pharmaceuticals and now marketed by Solstice Neurosciences Inc. In addition, Ipsen Ltd., or Ipsen, is seeking FDA approval of its $Dysport^{\text{(B)}}$ neuromodulator for certain therapeutic indications, and Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation, or Medicis, its licensee for the United States, Canada and Japan, is seeking approval of $Reloxin^{\text{(B)}}$ for cosmetic indications. Ipsen and Medicis submitted a Biologics License Application, or BLA, to the FDA for $Reloxin^{\text{(B)}}$ in December 2007. In January 2008, the FDA announced that it had denied the BLA for $Reloxin^{\text{(B)}}$ because the application was not sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review. The FDA s determination may delay $Reloxin^{\text{(B)}}$ is launch in the United States. Ipsen has marketed $Dysport^{\text{(B)}}$ in Europe since 1991, prior to our European commercialization of $Botox^{\text{(B)}}$ in 1992. In June 2006, Ipsen received marketing authorization for a cosmetic indication

for *Dysport*[®] in Germany. In 2007, Ipsen granted Galderma, a joint venture between Nestle and L Oreal Group, an exclusive development and marketing license for *Dysport*[®] for aesthetic indications in the European Union, Russia, Eastern Europe and the Middle East, and first rights of negotiation for other countries around the world, except the United States, Canada and Japan. In January 2008, Galderma became Ipsen s sole distributor for *Dyspo*[®] in Brazil, Argentina and Paraguay. Ipsen has also been seeking approval for *Reloxin*[®] for

cosmetic indications across the European Union, including submitting a file to the French regulatory authority in May 2003. We expect, based on statements made by Galderma, that *Reloxin*[®] will be approved in France in 2009.

Mentor Corporation is conducting clinical trials for a competing neuromodulator in the United States. In addition, we are aware of competing neuromodulators currently being developed and commercialized in Asia, Europe, South America and other markets. A Chinese entity received approval to market a botulinum toxin in China in 1997, and we believe that it has launched or is planning to launch its botulinum toxin product in other lightly regulated markets in Asia, South America and Central America. These lightly regulated markets may not require adherence to the FDA s current Good Manufacturing Practice regulations, or cGMPs, or the regulatory requirements of the European Medical Evaluation Agency or other regulatory agencies in countries that are members of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. Therefore, companies operating in these markets may be able to produce products at a lower cost than we can. In addition, Merz received approval for Xeomin® in Germany and launched its product in July 2005, received approval in Mexico in 2006 and commenced sales in the United Kingdom and France in early 2008, and is pursuing additional approvals in the European Union and Latin America. Merz is currently in clinical trials in the United States for cervical dystonia, blepharospasm and cosmetic indications and is awaiting therapeutic licenses for Xeomin® in many countries across the European Union. A Korean botulinum toxin product, Meditoxin®, was approved for sale in Korea in June 2006. The company, Medy-Tox Inc., received exportation approval from Korean authorities in early 2005 to ship their product under the tradename *Neuronox*[®]. In February 2007, Q-Med A.B. announced a worldwide license for *Neuronox*[®], with the exception of certain countries in Asia where Medy-Tox may retain the marketing rights.

Skin Care Product Line. Our skin care business competes against a number of companies, including among others, Dermik, a division of Sanofi-Aventis, Galderma, Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation, Stiefel Laboratories, Inc., Novartis AG, Schering-Plough Corporation, Johnson & Johnson, Obagi Medical Products, Inc., L Oréal Group, SkinMedica, Inc. and Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, most of which have greater resources than us.

Urologics. Our urologics business competes against a number of companies, including among others, Pfizer Inc., Watson Pharma Inc., Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, the Proctor & Gamble Company, Astellas Pharma US, Inc. and GlaxoSmithKline plc, many of which have greater resources than us. We also face competition from generic urologic drug manufacturers in the United States and internationally. For our urologic products to be successful, we must be able to effectively detail our products to a sufficient number of urologists, obstetrician/gynecologists, primary care physicians and other medical specialists such that they recommend our products to their patients. We will also have to demonstrate that our products are safe and reduce patients sense of urgency, frequency and urge urinary incontinence episodes while also having limited side effects, such as dry mouth, constipation, blurred vision, drowsiness and headaches.

Medical Devices Segment

Breast Aesthetics. We compete in the U.S. breast implant market with Mentor Corporation, or Mentor. Mentor announced that, like us, it received FDA approval in November 2006 to sell its silicone breast implants in the United States. The conditions under which Mentor is allowed to market its silicone breast implants in the United States are similar to ours, including indications for use and the requirement to conduct post-marketing studies. If patients or physicians prefer Mentor s breast implant products to ours or perceive that Mentor s breast implant products are safer than ours, our sales of breast implants could materially suffer. In the United States, Sientra, Inc., or Sientra, is conducting clinical studies of breast implant products. Internationally, we compete with several manufacturers, including Mentor Corporation, Sientra, MediCor Ltd, Poly Implant Prostheses, Nagor and Laboratories Sebbin.

Obesity Intervention. Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., a Johnson & Johnson company, received FDA approval in September 2007 to market its gastric band product, the *Realizetm* Personalized Banding Solution, or the *Realizetm*

band, in the U.S. market, and the *Realize*tm band competes with our *Lap-Band*[®] System. Outside the United States, the *Lap-Band*[®] System competes primarily with the *Realize*tm band and the *Heliogast*[®] Adjustable Gastric Ring (manufactured by Helioscopie, S.A., France). There are at least two other gastric bands on the market internationally. The *Lap-Band*[®] System also competes with surgical obesity procedures, including gastric bypass, vertical banded gastroplasty, sleeve gastrectomy and biliopancreatic diversion. No intragastric balloons for the treatment of obesity are commercially available in the United States, and we are currently aware of only one

16

other company outside the United States that offers an intragastric balloon. Helioscopie recently launched its intragastric balloon, the *Heliosphere*tm. We are not aware of any published clinical studies that support this device s effectiveness.

Facial Aesthetics. Our facial products compete in the dermatology and plastic surgery markets with other hyaluronic acid products, substantially different treatments, such as laser treatments, chemical peels, fat injections, gelatin- or cadaver-based collagen products, and botulinum toxin-based products, as well as other polymer-based injectables. In addition, several companies are engaged in research and development activities examining the use of collagen, hyaluronic acids and other biomaterials for the correction of soft tissue defects. Internationally, we compete with products such as *Restylane®*, *Restylane®* Fine Lines, and *Perlanetm* (all manufactured by Q-Med A.B.) and many other hyaluronic acid, bioceramic, protein and other polymer-based dermal fillers. We have competed in the U.S. dermal filler market with *Restylane®* since January 2004 and with *Perlanetm* since May 2007, both of which are distributed by Medicis. Also, in December 2006, *Radiesse®*, a bioceramic-based dermal filler from BioForm Medical, Inc., received approval in the United States.

Government Regulation

Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment

Drugs and biologics are subject to regulation by the FDA, state agencies and by foreign health agencies. Pharmaceutical products and biologics are subject to extensive pre- and post-market regulation by the FDA, including regulations that govern the testing, manufacturing, safety, efficacy, labeling, storage, record keeping, advertising and promotion of the products under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FFDCA, regulations with respect to drugs and the Public Health Services Act and its implementing regulations with respect to biologics, and by comparable agencies in foreign countries. Failure to comply with applicable FDA or other requirements may result in civil or criminal penalties, recall or seizure of products, partial or total suspension of production or withdrawal of a product from the market.

The process required by the FDA before a new drug or biologic may be marketed in the United States is long and expensive. We must complete preclinical laboratory and animal testing; submit an Investigational New Drug Application, or IND, which must become effective before United States clinical trials may begin; and perform adequate and well controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed drug or biologic for its intended use. Clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases, which may overlap, and must satisfy extensive Good Clinical Practice regulations and informed consent regulations. Further, an independent institutional review board, or IRB, for each medical center or medical practice proposing to conduct the clinical trial must review and approve the plan for any clinical trial before it commences at that center or practice and must monitor the study until completed. The FDA, the IRB, or the study sponsor may suspend a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the subjects or patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk.

We must submit a New Drug Application, or NDA, for a new drug, or a Biologics License Application, or BLA, for a biologic, and the NDA or BLA must be reviewed and approved by the FDA before the drug or biologic may be legally marketed in the United States. To satisfy the criteria for approval, an NDA or BLA must demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the product based on results of preclinical studies and the three phases of clinical trials. Both NDAs and BLAs must also contain extensive manufacturing information, and the applicant must pass an FDA pre-approval inspection of the manufacturing facilities at which the drug or biologic is produced to assess compliance with the FDA s current Good Manufacturing Practice, or cGMP, regulations prior to commercialization. Satisfaction of FDA pre-market approval requirements typically takes several years and the actual time required may vary substantially based on the type, complexity and novelty of the product, and we cannot be certain that any approvals for our products will be granted on a timely basis, or at all.

Once approved, the FDA may require post-marketing clinical studies, known as Phase IV studies, and surveillance programs to monitor the effect of approved products. The FDA may limit further marketing of the product based on the results of these post-market studies and programs. Further, any modifications to the drug or biologic, including changes in indications, labeling or manufacturing processes or facilities, may require the

submission of a new or supplemental NDA or BLA, which may require that we develop additional data or conduct additional preclinical studies and clinical trials.

The manufacture and distribution of drugs and biologics are subject to continuing regulation by the FDA, including recordkeeping requirements, reporting of adverse experiences associated with the drug, and cGMPs, which regulate all aspects of the manufacturing process and impose certain procedural and documentation requirements. Drug and biologic manufacturers and their subcontractors are required to register their establishments, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and certain state agencies for compliance with regulation requirements. If the manufacturer or distributor fails to comply with the statutory and regulatory requirements, or if safety concerns arise, the FDA may take legal or regulatory action, including civil or criminal penalties, suspension, withdrawal or delay in the issuance of approvals, or seizure or recall of products, any one or more of which could have a material adverse effect upon us.

The FDA imposes a number of complex regulatory requirements on entities that advertise and promote pharmaceuticals and biologics, including, but not limited to, standards and regulations for direct-to-consumer advertising, off-label promotion, industry sponsored scientific and educational activities, and promotional activities including Internet marketing. Drugs and biologics can only be marketed for approved indications and in accordance with the labeling approved by the FDA. Failure to abide by these regulations can result in penalties, including the issuance of a warning letter directing us to correct deviations from FDA standards, a requirement that future advertising and promotional materials be pre-cleared by the FDA, and state and federal civil and criminal investigations and prosecutions. The FDA does not regulate the behavior of physicians in their choice of treatment. Physicians may prescribe (although we are not permitted to promote) legally available drugs and biologics for uses that are not described in the product s labeling and that differ from those tested by us and approved by the FDA. Such off-label uses are common across medical specialties.

We are also subject to various laws and regulations regarding laboratory practices, the experimental use of animals, and the use and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances in connection with our research. In each of these areas, as above, the FDA has broad regulatory and enforcement powers, including the ability to levy fines and civil penalties, suspend or delay our operations and the issuance of approvals, seize or recall products, and withdraw approvals, any one or more of which could have a material adverse effect upon us.

Internationally, the regulation of drugs is also complex. In Europe, our products are subject to extensive regulatory requirements. As in the United States, the marketing of medicinal products has for many years been subject to the granting of marketing authorizations by medicine agencies. Particular emphasis is also being placed on more sophisticated and faster procedures for reporting adverse events to the competent authorities. The European Union procedures for the authorization of medicinal products are intended to improve the efficiency of operation of both the mutual recognition and centralized procedures. Additionally, new rules have been introduced or are under discussion in several areas, including the harmonization of clinical research laws and the law relating to orphan drugs and orphan indications. Outside the United States, reimbursement pricing is typically regulated by government agencies.

The total cost of providing health care services has been and will continue to be subject to review by governmental agencies and legislative bodies in the major world markets, including the United States, which are faced with significant pressure to lower health care costs. Legislation passed in recent years, such as the Medicare Prescription Drug Modernization Act of 2003 and the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, has imposed certain changes to the way in which pharmaceuticals, including our products, are covered and reimbursed in the United States. For instance, recent federal legislation and regulations have created a voluntary prescription drug benefit, Medicare Part D, and have imposed significant revisions to the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program. These changes have resulted in and may continue to result in coverage and reimbursement restrictions and increased rebate obligations. In addition, there is growing political pressure to allow the importation of pharmaceutical and medical device products from outside the

United States. These reimbursement restrictions or other price reductions or controls or imports of pharmaceutical or medical device products from outside of the United States could materially and adversely affect our revenues and financial condition. Additionally, price reductions and rebates have recently been mandated in several European countries, principally Germany, Italy, Spain and the United Kingdom. Certain products are also no longer eligible for reimbursement in France, Italy and Germany. Reference pricing is used in several markets around

the world to reduce prices. Furthermore, parallel trade within the European Union, whereby products flow from relatively low-priced to high-priced markets, has been increasing.

We cannot predict the likelihood or pace of any significant regulatory or legislative action in these areas, nor can we predict whether or in what form health care legislation being formulated by various governments will be passed. Initiatives in these areas could subject Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement rates to change at any time. We cannot predict with precision what effect such governmental measures would have if they were ultimately enacted into law. However, in general, we believe that such legislative activity will likely continue.

Medical Devices Segment

Medical devices are subject to regulation by the FDA, state agencies and by foreign government health agencies. FDA regulations, as well as various U.S. federal and state laws, govern the development, clinical testing, manufacturing, labeling, record keeping and marketing of medical device products. The majority of our medical device product candidates, including our breast implants, must undergo rigorous clinical testing and an extensive government regulatory approval process prior to sale in the United States and other countries. The lengthy process of clinical development and submissions for approvals, and the continuing need for compliance with applicable laws and regulations, require the expenditure of substantial resources. Regulatory approval, when and if obtained, may be limited in scope, and may significantly limit the indicated uses for which a product may be marketed. Approved products and their manufacturers are subject to ongoing review, and discovery of previously unknown problems with products may result in restrictions on their manufacture, sale, or use, or their withdrawal from the market.

Our medical device products are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA in the United States. Unless an exemption applies, each medical device we market in the United States must have a 510(k) clearance or a Premarket Approval, or PMA, application in accordance with the FFDCA and its implementing regulations. The FDA classifies medical devices into one of three classes, depending on the degree of risk associated with each medical device and the extent of controls that are needed to ensure safety and effectiveness. Devices deemed to pose a lower risk are placed in either Class I or Class II, which may require the manufacturer to submit to the FDA a premarket notification under Section 510(k) of the FFDCA requesting permission for commercial distribution. Devices deemed by the FDA to pose the greatest risk, such as life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices, or a device deemed to be not substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device, are placed in Class III. In general, a Class III device cannot be marketed in the United States unless the FDA approves the device after submission of a PMA application. The majority of our medical device products, including our breast implants, are regulated as Class III medical devices.

When we are required to obtain a 510(k) clearance for a device we wish to market, we must submit a premarket notification to the FDA demonstrating that the device is substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device or a device that was in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976 for which the FDA had not yet called for the submission of PMA applications. By regulation, the FDA is required to respond to a 510(k) premarket notification within 90 days after submission of the notification, although clearance can take significantly longer. If a device receives 510(k) clearance, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or efficacy, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, design or manufacture requires a new 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. The FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination initially, but the FDA can review any such decision and can disagree with a manufacturer s determination. If the FDA disagrees with a manufacturer s determination that a new clearance or approval is not required for a particular modification, the FDA can require the manufacturer to cease marketing and/or recall the modified device until 510(k) clearance or premarket approval is obtained.

A PMA application must be submitted if the device cannot be cleared through the 510(k) process. The PMA process is much more demanding than the 510(k) clearance process. A PMA application must be supported by extensive

information, including data from preclinical and clinical trials, sufficient to demonstrate to the FDA s satisfaction that the device is safe and effective for its intended use. The FDA, by statute and regulation, has 180 days to review and accept a PMA application, although the review generally occurs over a significantly longer period of time, and can take up to several years. The FDA may also convene an advisory panel of experts outside the FDA to review and evaluate the PMA application and provide recommendations to the FDA as to the approvability of the device. New PMA applications or supplemental PMA applications are required for significant modifications to the

manufacturing process, labeling and design of a medical device that is approved through the PMA process. PMA supplements require information to support the changes and may include clinical data.

A clinical trial is almost always required to support a PMA application and is sometimes required for a 510(k) premarket notification. These trials generally require submission of an application for an investigational device exemption, or IDE, which must be supported by appropriate data, such as animal and laboratory testing results, showing that it is safe to test the device in humans and that the testing protocol is scientifically sound, as well as approval by the FDA and the IRB overseeing the trial. We, the FDA or the IRB at each site at which a clinical trial is being performed may suspend a clinical trial at any time for various reasons, including a belief that the study subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. The results of clinical testing may not be sufficient to obtain approval of the product.

After a device is placed on the market, numerous regulatory requirements apply. These include:

establishing registration and device listings with the FDA;

Quality System Regulation, which requires manufacturers to follow design, testing, control documentation and other quality assurance procedures during the manufacturing process;

labeling regulations, which prohibit the promotion of products for unapproved or off-label uses and impose other restrictions on labeling;

medical device reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if it were to recur; and

corrections and removal reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA field corrections and product recalls or removals if undertaken to reduce a risk to health posed by the device or to remedy a violation of the FFDCA that may present a health risk.

A Class III device may have significant additional obligations imposed in its conditions of approval. Compliance with regulatory requirements is assured through periodic, unannounced facility inspections by the FDA and other regulatory authorities, and these inspections may include the manufacturing facilities of our subcontractors or other third party manufacturers. Failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements can result in enforcement action by the FDA, which may include any of the following sanctions: warning letters or untitled letters; fines, injunctions and civil penalties; recall or seizure of our products; operating restrictions, partial suspension or total shutdown of production; refusing our request for 510(k) clearance or PMA approval of new products; withdrawing 510(k) clearance or PMAs that are already granted; and criminal prosecution.

Products that are marketed in the European Union, or EU, must comply with the requirements of the Medical Device Directive, or MDD, as implemented into the national legislation of the EU member states. The MDD, as implemented, provides for a regulatory regime with respect to the design, manufacture, clinical trials, labeling and adverse event reporting for medical devices to ensure that medical devices marketed in the EU are safe and effective for their intended uses. Medical devices that comply with the MDD, as implemented, are entitled to bear a CE marking and may be marketed in the EU. Medical device laws and regulations similar to those described above are also in effect in many of the other countries to which we export our products. These range from comprehensive device approval requirements for some or all of our medical device products to requests for product data or certifications. Failure to comply with these domestic and international regulatory requirements could affect our ability to market and sell our products in these countries.

Other Regulations

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations, including the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Act, the U.S. Toxic Substances Control Act, the U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act and other current and potential future federal, state or local regulations. Our manufacturing and research and development activities involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals and biological materials, which require compliance with various laws and

20

regulations regarding the use, storage and disposal of such materials. We cannot assure you, however, that environmental problems relating to properties owned or operated by us will not develop in the future, and we cannot predict whether any such problems, if they were to develop, could require significant expenditures on our part. In addition, we are unable to predict what legislation or regulations may be adopted or enacted in the future with respect to environmental protection and waste disposal. Additionally, we may be subject either directly or by contract to federal and state laws pertaining to the privacy and security of personal health information.

We are also subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to health care fraud and abuse and gifts to health care practitioners. For example, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute makes it illegal to solicit, offer, receive or pay any remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, in exchange for, or to induce, the referral of business, including the purchase or prescription of a particular product, for which payment may be made under government health care programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. The U.S. federal government has published regulations that identify safe harbors or exemptions for certain practices from enforcement actions under the Anti-Kickback Statute. We seek to comply with the safe harbors where possible. Due to the breadth of the statutory provisions and in the absence of guidance in the form of regulations or court decisions addressing some of our practices, it is possible that our practices might be challenged under the Anti-Kickback Statute or similar laws. The federal False Claims Act prohibits anyone from, among other things, knowingly and willingly presenting, or causing to be presented for payment to third party payors (including Medicare and Medicaid), claims for reimbursed products or services that are false or fraudulent. claims for items or services not provided as claimed, or claims for medically unnecessary items or services. The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, prohibits executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to health care matters. In addition, many states have adopted laws similar to the federal fraud and abuse laws discussed above, which, in some cases, apply to all payors whether governmental or private. Our activities, particularly those relating to the sale and marketing of our products, may be subject to scrutiny under these and other laws. Violations of fraud and abuse laws may be punishable by criminal and/or civil sanctions, including fines and civil monetary penalties, as well as the possibility of exclusion from federal health care programs (including Medicare and Medicaid).

Patents, Trademarks and Licenses

We own, or are licensed under, numerous U.S. and foreign patents relating to our products, product uses and manufacturing processes. We believe that our patents and licenses are important to all segments of our business.

With the exception of the U.S. and European patents relating to *Lumigan*[®], *Alphagan*[®] *P*, *Combigan*tm and the U.S. patents relating to *Restasis*[®], *Acular*[®] and *Zymar*[®], no one patent or license is materially important to our specialty pharmaceuticals segment. The U.S. patents covering *Lumigan*[®] expire in 2012 and 2014. The European patent covering *Lumigan*[®] expires in various countries between 2013 and 2017. The U.S. patent covering the commercial formulation of *Acular*[®] expires in 2009. The U.S. patents covering the commercial formulation of *Alphagan*[®] *P* expire in 2012 and 2021 and in 2009 in Europe, with corresponding patents pending. The U.S. patents covering *Restasis*[®] expire in 2009 and 2014. The U.S. patents covering *Zymar*[®] expire in 2010, 2015 and 2019. The U.S. patents for *Combigan*tm expire in 2022 and the European patents in 2023.

We have rights in well over 100 issued *Botox*[®] related U.S. and European use and process patents covering, for example, treatment of migraine, hyperhydrosis, overactive bladder and benign prostatic hyperplasia. We have granted worldwide, royalty-bearing patent licenses to Merz Pharmaceuticals with regard to *Xeomin*[®], and to Solstice Neurosciences with regard to *MyoBloc*[®]. In addition, in December 2007, the FDA s grant of orphan exclusivity for *Botox*[®] for the treatment of certain aspects of cervical dystonia expired.

With the exception of certain U.S. and European patents relating to the *Lap-Band*[®] System and our *Inspira*[®] and *Natrelle*tm Collection of breast implants, no one patent or license is materially important to our specialty medical

device segment based on overall sales. The patents covering our *Lap-Band*[®] System, some of which we license from third parties, expire in 2011, 2013 and 2014 in the U.S. and in 2013 in Europe. The patents covering our *Inspira*[®] and *Natrelle*tm Collection of breast implants expire in 2018 in the U.S. and in 2017 in Europe.

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain patents or rights to patents, protect trade secrets and other proprietary technologies and processes, operate without infringing upon the proprietary rights of others, and prevent

others from infringing on our patents, trademarks, service marks and other intellectual property rights. Upon the expiration or loss of patent protection for a product, we can lose a significant portion of sales of that product in a very short period of time as other companies manufacture generic forms of our previously protected product at lower cost, without having had to incur significant research and development costs in formulating the product. In addition, the issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its validity or as to the enforceable scope of the claims of the patent. It is impossible to anticipate the breadth or degree of protection that any such patents will afford, or that any such patents will not be successfully challenged in the future. Accordingly, our patents may not prevent other companies from developing substantially identical products. Hence, if our patent applications are not approved or, even if approved, such patents are circumvented, our ability to competitively exploit our patented products and technologies may be significantly reduced. Also, such patents may or may not provide competitive advantages for their respective products, in which case our ability to commercially exploit these products may be diminished.

Third parties may challenge, invalidate or circumvent our patents and patent applications relating to our products, product candidates and technologies. Challenges may result in significant harm to our business. The cost of responding to these challenges and the inherent costs to defend the validity of our patents, including the prosecution of infringements and the related litigation, can require a substantial commitment of our management s time, require us to incur significant legal expenses and can preclude or delay the commercialization of products. See Item 3 of Part I of this report, Legal Proceedings and Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for information concerning our current intellectual property litigation.

From time to time, we may need to obtain licenses to patents and other proprietary rights held by third parties to develop, manufacture and market our products. If we are unable to timely obtain these licenses on commercially reasonable terms, our ability to commercially exploit such products may be inhibited or prevented. See Item 1A of Part I of this report, Risk Factors.

We market our products under various trademarks, for which we have both registered and unregistered trademark protection in the United States and certain countries outside the United States. We consider these trademarks to be valuable because of their contribution to the market identification of our products. Any failure to adequately protect our rights in our various trademarks and service marks from infringement could result in a loss of their value to us. If the marks we use are found to infringe upon the trademark or service mark of another company, we could be forced to stop using those marks and, as a result, we could lose the value of those marks and could be liable for damages caused by infringing those marks. In addition to intellectual property protections afforded to trademarks, service marks and proprietary know-how through confidentiality agreements with third parties, including our partners, customers, employees and consultants. These agreements may be breached or become unenforceable, and we may not have adequate remedies for any such breach. It is also possible that our trade secrets will become known or independently developed by our competitors, resulting in increased competition for our products.

In addition, we are currently engaged in various collaborative ventures for the development, manufacturing and distribution of current and new products. These projects include the following:

We have entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., under which Kyorin became responsible for the development and commercialization of *Alphagan®* and *Alphagan® P* in Japan. Kyorin subsequently sublicensed its rights under the agreement to Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Under the licensing agreement, Senju incurs associated costs, makes clinical development and commercialization milestone payments, and makes royalty-based payments on product sales. We are working collaboratively with Senju on overall product strategy and management.

We have entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., under which Senju became responsible for the development and commercialization of *Lumigan*[®] in Japan s ophthalmic specialty area. Senju incurs associated costs, makes development and commercialization milestone payments and makes royalty-based payments on product sales. We are working collaboratively with Senju on overall product strategy and management.

We have licensed from Novartis the worldwide, excluding Japan, rights for technology, patents and products relating to the topical ophthalmic use of cyclosporine A, the active ingredient in *Restasis*[®]. In April 2005, we entered into a royalty buy-out agreement with Novartis related to *Restasis*[®] and agreed to pay \$110 million to Novartis. As a result of the buy-out agreement, we no longer pay royalties to Novartis based on sales of *Restasis*[®].

We license to GSK all clinical development and commercial rights to $Botox^{(B)}$ in Japan and China. We receive royalties on GSK s Japan and China $Boto\Re$ sales. We also manufacture $Botox^{(B)}$ for GSK as part of a long-term supply agreement and collaboratively support GSK in its new clinical developments for $Botox^{(B)}$ and its strategic marketing in those markets, for which we receive payments.

As a result of the Esprit acquisition, we obtained an exclusive license to market *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm in the United States and its territories from Indevus. We pay royalties to Indevus based upon our sales of *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm and assumed obligations of Esprit to pay certain other third-party royalties, also based upon sales of *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm. We have also entered into a co-promotion agreement with Indevus pursuant to which Indevus will co-promote *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm through at least September 2008, subject to Indevus right to extend the co-promotion period for up to six months.

Through Inamed, in June 2004, we entered into a settlement agreement with Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. pursuant to which, among other terms, we were granted a worldwide, royalty-bearing, non-exclusive license with respect to a portfolio of U.S. and international patents applicable to adjustable gastric bands.

We are also a party to license agreements allowing other companies to manufacture products using some of our technology in exchange for royalties and other compensation or benefits.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations. We believe that our operations comply in all material respects with applicable environmental laws and regulations in each country where we have a business presence. We also pride ourselves on our comprehensive and successful environmental health and safety programs and performance against internal objectives. We have been recognized many times for superior environmental health and safety performance.

Although we continue to make capital expenditures for environmental protection, we do not anticipate any expenditures in order to comply with such laws and regulations that would have a material impact on our earnings or competitive position. We are not aware of any pending litigation or significant financial obligations arising from current or past environmental practices that are likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial position. We cannot assure you, however, that environmental problems relating to properties owned or operated by us will not develop in the future, and we cannot predict whether any such problems, if they were to develop, could require significant expenditures on our part. In addition, we are unable to predict what legislation or regulations may be adopted or enacted in the future with respect to environmental protection and waste disposal.

Seasonality

Our business, both taken as a whole and by our business segments, is not materially affected by seasonal factors, although we have noticed an historical trend with respect to sales of our *Botox*[®] product. Specifically, sales of *Botox*[®] have tended to be lowest during the first fiscal quarter, with sales during the second and third fiscal quarters being comparable and marginally higher than sales during the first fiscal quarter. *Botox*[®] sales during the fourth fiscal

quarter have tended to be the highest due to patients obtaining their final therapeutic treatment at the end of the year, presumably to fully utilize deductibles and to receive additional cosmetic treatments prior to the holiday season.

Third Party Coverage and Reimbursement

Health care providers generally rely on third-party payors, including governmental payors such as Medicare and Medicaid, and private insurance carriers, to adequately cover and reimburse the cost of pharmaceuticals and medical devices. Such third-party payors are increasingly challenging the price of medical products and services

and instituting cost containment measures to control or significantly influence the purchase of medical products and services. The market for some of our products therefore is influenced by third-party payors policies. This includes the placement of our pharmaceutical products on drug formularies or lists of medications.

Purchases of aesthetic products and procedures using those products generally are not covered by most third-party payors, and patients incur out-of-pocket costs for such products and associated procedures. This includes breast aesthetics products for augmentation and facial aesthetics products. Since 1998, however, U.S. federal law has mandated that group health plans, insurance companies and health maintenance organizations offering mastectomy coverage must also provide coverage for reconstructive surgery following a mastectomy, which includes coverage for breast implants. Outside the United States, reimbursement for breast implants used in reconstructive surgery following a mastectomy may be available, but the programs vary on a country by country basis.

Furthermore, treatments for obesity alone may not be covered by third-party payors. In February 2006, Medicare began covering certain designated bariatric surgical services, including gastric bypass surgery and procedures using the *Lap-Band*[®] System, for Medicare patients who have previously been unsuccessfully treated for obesity and who have a body mass index, or BMI, equal to or greater than 40 or a BMI of 35 and who have at least one co-morbidity. However, the policy reiterates that treatments for obesity alone are not covered, because such treatments are not considered reasonable and necessary. While Medicare policies are sometimes adopted by other third-party payors, other governmental and private insurance coverage currently varies by carrier and geographic location, and we actively work with major insurance carriers to obtain reimbursement coverage for procedures using our *Lap-Band*[®] System product. For instance, the Technology Evaluation Center of the Blue Cross/Blue Shield National Association provided a positive assessment of the *Lap-Band*[®] System, an important step in providing private payor reimbursement for the procedure.

Outside the United States, reimbursement programs vary on a country by country basis. In some countries, both the procedure and product are fully reimbursed by the government healthcare systems for all citizens who need it, and there is no limit on the number of procedures that can be performed. In other countries, there is complete reimbursement but the number of procedures that can be performed at each hospital is limited either by the hospital s overall budget or by the national budget for the type of product.

In the United States, there have been and continue to be a number of legislative initiatives to contain health care coverage and reimbursement by governmental and other payors. For example, effective January 1, 2006, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 implemented a new Part D prescription drug benefit under which Medicare beneficiaries can purchase certain prescription drugs at discounted prices from private sector entities, or Part D plan sponsors. Currently, drug manufacturers negotiate directly with Part D plan sponsors to determine whether their drugs will be listed on a Part D formulary and the prices at which such drugs will be listed. Industry competition to be included in formularies maintained by both private payors and Part D plans can result in downward pricing pressures on pharmaceutical companies. Although certain lawmakers have suggested in the past that the federal government should be granted the authority to negotiate the prices of drugs included on Part D formularies, at this time the federal government does not have such authority. There has also been an increased emphasis in the marketplace on the delivery of more cost-effective medical devices as well as a number of federal and state proposals to limit payments by local governmental payors for medical devices and the procedures in which medical devices are used.

Breast Implant Replacement Programs

We conduct our product development, manufacturing, marketing and service and support activities with careful regard for the consequences to patients. As with any medical device manufacturer, however, we receive communications from surgeons or patients with respect to our various breast implant products claiming the products were defective,

lost volume or have resulted in injury to patients. In the event of a loss of shell integrity resulting in breast implant rupture or deflation that requires surgical intervention with respect to our breast implant products sold and implanted in the United States, in most cases our *ConfidencePlus*tm programs provide lifetime product replacement and some financial assistance for surgical procedures required within ten years of implantation. Breast implants sold and implanted outside of the United States are subject to a similar program. We do not warrant any

level of aesthetic result and, as required by government regulation, make extensive disclosure concerning the risks of our products and implantation surgery.

Employee Relations

At December 31, 2007, we employed approximately 7,886 persons throughout the world, including approximately 4,188 in the United States. None of our U.S.-based employees are represented by unions. We believe that our relations with our employees are generally good.

Executive Officers

Our executive officers and their ages as of February 28, 2008 are as follows:

Name	Age	Principal Position with Allergan
David E.I. Pyott	54	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
F. Michael Ball	52	President, Allergan
James F. Barlow	49	Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller
		(Principal Accounting Officer)
Raymond H. Diradoorian	50	Executive Vice President, Global Technical Operations
Jeffrey L. Edwards	47	Executive Vice President, Finance and Business
		Development, Chief Financial Officer
		(Principal Financial Officer)
Douglas S. Ingram, Esq.	45	Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer,
		General Counsel and Secretary
Scott M. Whitcup, M.D.	48	Executive Vice President, Research & Development

Officers are appointed by and hold office at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

Mr. Pyott has been Allergan s Chief Executive Officer since January 1998 and in 2001 became the Chairman of the Board. Mr. Pyott also served as Allergan s President from January 1998 until February 2006. Previously, he was head of the Nutrition Division and a member of the executive committee of Novartis AG, a publicly-traded company focused on the research and development of products to protect and improve health and well-being, from 1995 until December 1997. From 1992 to 1995, Mr. Pyott was President and Chief Executive Officer of Sandoz Nutrition Corp., Minneapolis, Minnesota, a predecessor to Novartis, and General Manager of Sandoz Nutrition, Barcelona, Spain, from 1990 to 1992. Prior to that, Mr. Pyott held various positions within the Sandoz Nutrition group from 1980. Mr. Pyott is also a member of the board of directors of Avery Dennison Corporation, a publicly-traded company focused on pressure-sensitive technology and self-adhesive solutions, and Edwards Lifesciences Corporation, a publicly-traded company focused on products and technologies to treat advanced cardiovascular disease. Mr. Pyott is a member of the Directors Board of The Paul Merage School of Business at the University of California, Irvine (UCI). Mr. Pyott serves on the board of directors and the Executive Committee of the California Healthcare Institute and the Board of the Biotechnology Industry Organization. Mr. Pyott also serves as a member of the board of directors of the Pan-American Ophthalmological Foundation, the International Council of Ophthalmology.

Mr. Ball has been President, Allergan since February 2006. Mr. Ball was Executive Vice President and President, Pharmaceuticals from October 2003 until February 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Ball was Corporate Vice President and

President, North America Region and Global Eye Rx Business since May 1998 and prior to that was Corporate Vice President and President, North America Region since April 1996. He joined Allergan in 1995 as Senior Vice President, U.S. Eye Care after 12 years with Syntex Corporation, a multinational pharmaceutical company, where he held a variety of positions including President, Syntex Inc. Canada and Senior Vice President, Syntex Laboratories. Mr. Ball serves on the board of directors of STEC, Inc., a publicly-traded manufacturer and marketer of computer memory and hard drive storage solutions.

Mr. Barlow has been Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller since February 2005. Mr. Barlow joined Allergan in January 2002 as Vice President, Corporate Controller. Prior to joining Allergan, Mr. Barlow served as Chief Financial Officer of Wynn Oil Company, a division of Parker Hannifin Corporation. Prior to Wynn Oil Company, Mr. Barlow was Treasurer and Controller at Wynn s International, Inc., a supplier of automotive and industrial components and specialty chemicals, from July 1990 to September 2000. Before working for Wynn s International, Inc., Mr. Barlow was Vice President, Controller from 1986 to 1990 for Ford Equipment Leasing Company. From 1983 to 1985 Mr. Barlow worked for the accounting firm Deloitte, Haskins and Sells.

Mr. Diradoorian has served as Allergan s Executive Vice President, Global Technical Operations since February 2006. From April 2005 to February 2006, Mr. Diradoorian served as Senior Vice President, Global Technical Operations. From February 2001 to April 2005, Mr. Diradoorian served as Vice President, Global Engineering and Technology. Mr. Diradoorian joined Allergan in July 1981. Prior to joining Allergan, Mr. Diradoorian held positions at American Hospital Supply and with the Los Angeles Dodgers baseball team.

Mr. Edwards has been Executive Vice President, Finance and Business Development, Chief Financial Officer since September 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Edwards was Corporate Vice President, Corporate Development since March 2003 and previously served as Senior Vice President Treasury, Tax, and Investor Relations. He joined Allergan in 1993. Prior to joining Allergan, Mr. Edwards was with Banque Paribas and Security Pacific National Bank, where he held various senior level positions in the credit and business development functions.

Mr. Ingram has been Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, as well as our Chief Ethics Officer, since October 2006. From October 2003 through October 2006, Mr. Ingram served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, as well as our Chief Ethics Officer. Prior to that, Mr. Ingram served as Corporate Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, as well as our Chief Ethics Officer, since July 2001. Prior to that he was Senior Vice President and General Counsel since January 2001, and Assistant Secretary since November 1998. Prior to that, Mr. Ingram was Associate General Counsel from August 1998, Assistant General Counsel from January 1998 and Senior Attorney and Chief Litigation Counsel from March 1996, when he first joined Allergan. Prior to joining Allergan, Mr. Ingram was, from August 1988 to March 1996, an attorney with the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. Mr. Ingram manages the Global Legal Affairs organization, Global Regulatory Affairs, Compliance and Internal Audit, Corporate Communications, Global Trade Compliance, the Global Human Resources organization and the Information Technology organization. Mr. Ingram serves as a member of the board of directors of Volcom, Inc., a publicly-traded designer and distributor of clothing and accessories.

Dr. Whitcup has been Executive Vice President, Research and Development since July 2004. Dr. Whitcup joined Allergan in January 2000 as Vice President, Development, Ophthalmology. In January 2004, Dr. Whitcup became Allergan s Senior Vice President, Development, Ophthalmology. From 1993 until 2000, Dr. Whitcup served as the Clinical Director of the National Eye Institute at the National Institutes of Health. As Clinical Director, Dr. Whitcup s leadership was vital in building the clinical research program and promoting new ophthalmic therapeutic discoveries. Dr. Whitcup is a faculty member at the Jules Stein Eye Institute/David Geffen School of Medicine at the University of California, Los Angeles. Dr. Whitcup serves on the board of directors of Avanir Pharmaceuticals, a publicly-traded pharmaceutical company.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of risks. The following discussion highlights some of these risks and others are discussed elsewhere in this report. These and other risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, prospects, operating results or cash flows. The following risk factors are not an exhaustive list of the risks associated with our business. New factors may emerge or changes to these risks

could occur that could materially affect our business.

We operate in a highly competitive business.

The pharmaceutical and medical device industries are highly competitive and they require an ongoing, extensive search for technological innovation. They also require, among other things, the ability to effectively discover, develop, test and obtain regulatory approvals for products, as well as the ability to effectively

commercialize, market and promote approved products, including communicating the effectiveness, safety and value of products to actual and prospective customers and medical professionals.

Many of our competitors have greater resources than we have. This enables them, among other things, to make greater research and development investments and spread their research and development costs, as well as their marketing and promotion costs, over a broader revenue base. Our competitors may also have more experience and expertise in obtaining marketing approvals from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, and other regulatory authorities. In addition to product development, testing, approval and promotion, other competitive factors in the pharmaceutical and medical device industries include industry consolidation, product quality and price, product technology, reputation, customer service and access to technical information.

It is possible that developments by our competitors could make our products or technologies less competitive or obsolete. Our future growth depends, in part, on our ability to develop products which are more effective. For instance, for our eye care products to be successful, we must be able to manufacture and effectively market those products and effectively detail them to a sufficient number of eye care professionals such that they determine to use or continue to use our current products and the new products we may introduce. Glaucoma must be treated over an extended period and doctors may be reluctant to switch a patient to a new treatment if the patient s current treatment for glaucoma remains effective. Sales of our existing products may decline rapidly if a new product is introduced by one of our competitors or if we announce a new product that, in either case, represents a substantial improvement over our existing products. Similarly, if we fail to make sufficient investments in research and development programs, our current and planned products could be surpassed by more effective or advanced products developed by our competitors.

Until December 2000, *Botox*[®] was the only neuromodulator approved by the FDA. At that time, the FDA approved *Myobloc*[®], a neuromodulator formerly marketed by Elan Pharmaceuticals and now marketed by Solstice Neurosciences, Inc. Ipsen Ltd., or Ipsen, is seeking FDA approval of its *Dysport*[®] neuromodulator for certain therapeutic indications, and Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation, or Medicis, its licensee for the United States, Canada and Japan, is seeking approval of *Reloxin*[®] for cosmetic indications. Ipsen and Medicis submitted a Biologics License Application, or BLA, to the FDA for *Reloxin*[®] in December 2007. Ipsen has marketed *Dysport*[®] in Europe since 1991, prior to our European commercialization of *Botox*[®] in 1992. In June 2006, Ipsen received marketing authorization for a cosmetic indication for *Dysport*[®] in Germany. In 2007, Ipsen granted Galderma, a joint venture between Nestle and L Oreal Group, an exclusive development and marketing license for *Dysport*[®] for aesthetic indications in the European Union, Russia, Eastern Europe and the Middle East, and first rights of negotiation for other countries around the world, except the United States, Canada and Japan. In January 2008, Galderma became Ipsen s sole distributor for *Dysport*[®] in Brazil, Argentina and Paraguay. Ipsen is also seeking approval for *Reloxin*[®] for cosmetic indications in the European Union, having submitted a file to the French regulatory authority in May 2003. We expect, based on comments made by Galderma, that *Reloxin*[®] will be approved in France in 2009.

Mentor Corporation is conducting clinical trials for a competing neuromodulator in the United States. In addition, we are aware of competing neuromodulators currently being developed and commercialized in Asia, Europe, South America and other markets. A Chinese entity received approval to market a botulinum toxin in China in 1997, and we believe that it has launched or is planning to launch its botulinum toxin product in other lightly regulated markets in Asia, South America and Central America. These lightly regulated markets may not require adherence to the FDA s current Good Manufacturing Practice, or cGMP, regulations or the regulatory requirements of the European Medical Evaluation Agency or other regulatory agencies in countries that are members of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. Therefore, companies operating in these markets may be able to produce products at a lower cost than we can. In addition, Merz received approval for *Xeomin*[®] in Germany and launched its product in July 2005, received approval in Mexico in 2006 and commenced sales in the United Kingdom and France in early 2008, and is pursuing additional approvals in the European Union and Latin America. Merz is currently in clinical trials in

the United States for cervical dystonia, blepharospasm and cosmetic indications and is awaiting therapeutic licenses for *Xeomin*[®] in many countries across the European Union. A Korean botulinum toxin, *Meditoxin*[®], was approved for sale in Korea in June 2006. The company, Medy-Tox Inc., received exportation approval from Korean authorities in early 2005 to ship their product under the trade name *Neuronox*[®]. In February 2007, Q-Med announced a worldwide license for *Neuronox*[®], with the exception of certain

countries in Asia where Medy-Tox may retain the marketing rights. Our sales of $Botox^{(B)}$ could be materially and negatively impacted by this competition or competition from other companies that might obtain FDA approval or approval from other regulatory authorities to market a neuromodulator.

Mentor Corporation is our principal competitor in the United States for breast implants. Mentor announced that, like us, it received FDA approval in November 2006 to sell its silicone breast implants. The conditions under which Mentor is allowed to market its silicone breast implants in the United States are similar to ours, including indications for use and the requirement to conduct post-marketing studies. If patients or physicians prefer Mentor s breast implant products to ours or perceive that Mentor s breast implant products are safer than ours, our sales of breast implants could materially suffer. We are aware of several companies conducting clinical studies of breast implant products in the United States. Internationally, we compete with several manufacturers, including Mentor Corporation, Sientra, Inc., MediCor Ltd, Poly Implant Prostheses, Nagor and Laboratoires Sebbin.

Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation began marketing the dermal fillers *Restylane*[®] in January 2004 and *Perlane*tm in May 2007. Through our purchase of Cornéal, we acquired the rights to sell the *Juvéderm*tm family of products worldwide. *Juvéderm*tm 30, *Juvéderm*tm Ultra and *Juvéderm*tm Ultra Plus were approved by the FDA for sale in the United States in June 2006, and we announced nationwide availability of *Juvéderm*tm Ultra and *Juvéderm*tm Ultra Plus in January 2007. We cannot assure you that our *Juvéderm*tm family of products will offer equivalent or greater facial aesthetic benefits to competitive dermal filler products, that it will be competitive in price or gain acceptance in the marketplace.

In addition, in June 2007, the FDA approved label extensions for *Juvederm*tm Ultra and *Juvederm*tm Ultra Plus based on new clinical data demonstrating that effects of both products may last for up to one year, which is a longer period of time than was reported in clinical studies that supported FDA approval of other hyaluronic acid dermal fillers. We cannot assure you that other dermal fillers, including hyaluronic acid dermal fillers, do not have or will not obtain labels or label extensions that demonstrate product effects that are equivalent to or better than our products. Should our competitors obtain such labels or label extensions demonstrating product effects that are equivalent to or better than our products, our sales of *Juvederm*tm could be materially and negatively impacted.

In September 2007, Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., a Johnson & Johnson company, announced FDA approval of its gastric band product, the *Realize*tm band, which competes with our *Lap-Band*[®] System in the U.S. market. The *Lap-Band*[®] System also competes with surgical obesity procedures, including gastric bypass, vertical banded gastroplasty, sleeve gastrectomy and biliopancreatic diversion.

Our products for the treatment of over-active-bladder, or OAB, *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm, compete with several other OAB treatment products, most of which have been on the market for a longer period of time, including Pfizer Inc. s *Detrol* and *Detrol*[®] *LA*, Watson Pharma Inc. s *Oxytrol*, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation and the Proctor & Gamble Company s *Enable* and Astellas Pharma US, Inc. and GlaxoSmithKline s *Vesicar*. While we believe that *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm have advantages over these competing products, we cannot assure you that *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm offer more effective treatment of OAB for all patients, will be competitive in price or will obtain, maintain or expand market share in the OAB treatment market.

We also face competition from generic drug manufacturers in the United States and internationally. For instance, Falcon Pharmaceuticals, Ltd., an affiliate of Alcon, attempted to obtain FDA approval for a brimonidine product to compete with our *Alphagan*[®] *P* product. Pursuant to our March 2006 settlement with Alcon, Alcon may sell, offer for sale or distribute its brimonidine product after September 30, 2009, or earlier if specified market conditions occur. The primary market condition will have occurred if the extent to which prescriptions of *Alphagan*[®] *P* have been converted to other brimonidine-containing products we market has increased to a specified threshold. In May 2005, we received a paragraph 4 Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Apotex, Inc., in which it purports to have sought FDA approval

to market a generic form of *Acular LS*[®]. In February 2007, we received a paragraph 4 Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Exela PharmSci, Inc. in which it purports to have sought FDA approval to market a generic form of *Alphagan*[®] P. In May 2007, we received a paragraph 4 Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Apotex, Inc. in which it purports to have sought FDA approval to market a generic form of *Alphagan*[®] P. In October 2007, we received a paragraph 4 Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Apotex approval to market a generic form of *Alphagan*[®] P. In October 2007, we received a paragraph 4 Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Apotex Corp. in which it purports to have sought FDA approval to market a generic form of *Alphagan*[®] P. In October 2007, we received a paragraph 4 Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Apotex Corp. in which it purports to have sought FDA approval to market a generic form of *Alphagan*[®] P. In October 2007, we received a paragraph 4 Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Apotex Corp. in which it purports to have sought FDA approval to market a generic form of *Zymar*[®]. See Item 3 of Part I of

this report, Legal Proceedings and Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for information concerning our current litigation.

Changes in the consumer marketplace and economic conditions may adversely affect sales or the profitability of our products.

Facial aesthetic products, such as *Botox*[®] Cosmetic and dermal fillers, obesity intervention products and, to a significant extent, breast implants, are products based on consumer choice. If we fail to anticipate, identify or react to competitive products or if consumer preferences in the cosmetic marketplace shift to alternative treatments, we may experience a decline in demand for these products. In addition, the popular media has at times in the past produced, and may continue in the future to produce, negative reports and publicity regarding the efficacy, safety or side effects of these products. Consumer perceptions of these products may be negatively impacted by these reports and for other reasons, including the use of unapproved botulinum toxins that result in injury, which may cause demand to decline.

Breast augmentation, *Botox*[®] Cosmetic and dermal fillers are elective aesthetic procedures and are not typically covered by insurance. Adverse changes in the economy may cause consumers to reassess their spending choices and reduce the demand for these procedures and our other over-the-counter products, and this shift could have an adverse effect on our sales and profitability.

Reimbursement for obesity surgery, including use of our products, is available to various degrees in most of our international markets. In the United States, coverage and reimbursement by insurance plans are increasing, but not widely available to all insured patients. Adverse changes in the economy could have an adverse effect on consumer spending and governmental health care resources. This shift could have an adverse effect on the sales and profitability of our obesity intervention business.

We could experience difficulties obtaining or creating the raw materials needed to produce our products and interruptions in the supply of raw materials could disrupt our manufacturing and cause our sales and profitability to decline.

The loss of a material supplier or the interruption of our manufacturing processes could adversely affect our ability to manufacture or sell many of our products. We obtain the specialty chemicals that are the active pharmaceutical ingredients in certain of our products from single sources, who must maintain compliance with the FDA s cGMP regulations. We also obtain *Sanctura*[®] and *Sanctura XR*tm under a manufacturing agreement with a sole source supplier. If we experience difficulties acquiring sufficient quantities of these materials or products from our existing suppliers, or if our suppliers are found to be non-compliant with the cGMPs, obtaining the required regulatory approvals, including from the FDA or the European Medical Evaluation Agency, or EMEA, to use alternative suppliers may be a lengthy and uncertain process. A lengthy interruption of the supply of one or more of these materials could adversely affect our ability to manufacturing process to create the raw material necessary to produce *Botox*[®] is technically complex and requires significant lead-time. Any failure by us to forecast demand for, or to maintain an adequate supply of, the raw material and finished product could result in an interruption in the supply of *Botox*[®] and a resulting decrease in sales of the product.

We also rely on a single supplier for silicone raw materials used in some of our products, including breast implants. Although we have an agreement with this supplier to transfer the necessary formulations to us in the event that it cannot meet our requirements, we cannot guarantee that we would be able to produce or obtain a sufficient amount of quality silicone raw materials in a timely manner. We depend on third party manufacturers for silicone molded components. These third party manufacturers must maintain compliance with the FDA s Quality System Regulation, or

QSR, which sets forth the current good manufacturing practice standard for medical devices and requires manufacturers to follow design, testing and control documentation and air quality assurance procedures during the manufacturing process. Any material reduction in our raw material supply or a failure by our third party manufacturers to maintain compliance with the QSR could result in decreased sales of our products and a decease in

our revenues. Additionally, certain of our manufacturing processes that we perform are only performed at one location worldwide.

Our future success depends upon our ability to develop new products, and new indications for existing products, that achieve regulatory approval for commercialization.

For our business model to be successful, we must continually develop, test and manufacture new products or achieve new indications or label extensions for the use of our existing products. Prior to marketing, these new products and product indications must satisfy stringent regulatory standards and receive requisite approvals or clearances from regulatory authorities in the United States and abroad. The development, regulatory review and approval, and commercialization processes are time consuming, costly and subject to numerous factors that may delay or prevent the development, approval or clearance, and commercialization of new products, including legal actions brought by our competitors. To obtain approval or clearance of new indications or products in the United States, we must submit, among other information, the results of preclinical and clinical studies on the new indication or product candidate to the FDA. The number of preclinical and clinical studies that will be required for FDA approval varies depending on the new indication or product candidate, the disease or condition for which the new indication or product candidate is in development and the regulations applicable to that new indication or product candidates are promising, the FDA may find such data to be insufficient to support approval of the new indication or product. The FDA can delay, limit or deny approval or clearance of a new indication or product candidate for many reasons, including:

a determination that the new indication or product candidate is not safe and effective; the FDA may interpret our preclinical and clinical data in different ways than we do; the FDA may not approve our manufacturing processes or facilities; the FDA may require us to perform post-marketing clinical studies; or the FDA may change its approval policies or adopt new regulations.

Products that we are currently developing, other future product candidates or new indications or label extensions for our existing products, may or may not receive the regulatory approvals or clearances necessary for marketing or may receive such approvals or clearances only after delays or unanticipated costs. Delays or unanticipated costs in any part of the process or our inability to obtain timely regulatory approval for our products, including those attributable to, among other things, our failure to maintain manufacturing facilities in compliance with all applicable regulatory requirements, including the cGMPs and QSR, could cause our operating results to suffer and our stock price to decrease. Our facilities, our suppliers facilities and other third parties facilities on which we rely must pass pre-approval reviews and plant inspections and demonstrate compliance with the cGMPs and QSR.

Further, even if we receive FDA and other regulatory approvals for a new indication or product, the product may later exhibit adverse effects that limit or prevent its widespread use or that force us to withdraw the product from the market or to revise our labeling to limit the indications for which the product may be prescribed. In addition, even if we receive the necessary regulatory approvals, we cannot assure you that new products or indications will achieve market acceptance. Our future performance will be affected by the market acceptance of products such as *Acular LS*[®], *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.1%, *Botox*[®], *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, *Combigan*tm, *Ganfort*[®], *Juvéderm*tm, the *Lap-Band*[®] System, *Lumigan*[®], *Restasis*[®], *Sanctura*[®], *Sanctura* XRtm and *Zymar*[®], as well as silicone breast implant products, new indications for *Botox*[®] and new products such as *Posurdex*[®] and *Trivaris*tm. We cannot assure you that our currently marketed products will not be subject to further regulatory review and action or that any other compounds or products that we are developing for commercialization will be approved by the FDA or foreign regulatory bodies for marketing or that we will be able to commercialize them on terms that will be profitable, or at all. If any of our products cannot be successfully or timely commercialized, our operating results could be materially adversely

affected.

Our product development efforts may not result in commercial products.

We intend to continue an aggressive research and development program. Successful product development in the pharmaceutical and medical device industry is highly uncertain, and very few research and development projects produce a commercial product. Product candidates that appear promising in the early phases of development, such as in early human clinical trials, may fail to reach the market for a number of reasons, such as:

the product candidate did not demonstrate acceptable clinical trial results even though it demonstrated positive preclinical trial results;

- the product candidate was not effective in treating a specified condition or illness;
- the product candidate had harmful side effects in humans or animals;

the necessary regulatory bodies, such as the FDA, did not approve the product candidate for an intended use; the product candidate was not economical for us to manufacture and commercialize;

other companies or people have or may have proprietary rights to the product candidate, such as patent rights, and will not sell or license these rights to us on reasonable terms, or at all;

the product candidate is not cost effective in light of existing therapeutics or alternative devices; and certain of our licensors or partners may fail to effectively conduct clinical development or clinical manufacturing activities.

Several of our product candidates have failed or been discontinued at various stages in the product development process. Of course, there may be other factors that prevent us from marketing a product. We cannot guarantee we will be able to produce commercially successful products. Further, clinical trial results are frequently susceptible to varying interpretations by scientists, medical personnel, regulatory personnel, statisticians and others, which may delay, limit or prevent further clinical development or regulatory approvals of a product candidate. Also, the length of time that it takes for us to complete clinical trials and obtain regulatory approval for product marketing has in the past varied by product and by the intended use of a product. We expect that this will likely be the case with future product candidates and we cannot predict the length of time to complete necessary clinical trials and obtain regulatory approval.

If we are unable to obtain and maintain adequate protection for our intellectual property rights associated with the technologies incorporated into our products, our business and results of operations could suffer.

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain patents or rights to patents, protect trade secrets and other proprietary technologies and processes, and prevent others from infringing on our patents, trademarks, service marks and other intellectual property rights. Upon the expiration or loss of patent protection for a product, we can lose a significant portion of sales of that product in a very short period of time as other companies manufacture generic forms of our previously protected product or manufacture similar products or devices at lower cost, without having had to incur significant research and development costs in formulating the product or designing the device. Therefore, our future financial success may depend in part on obtaining patent protection for technologies incorporated into our products. We cannot assure you that such patents will be issued, or that any existing or future patents will be of commercial benefit. In addition, it is impossible to anticipate the breadth or degree of protection that any such patents will afford, and we cannot assure you that any such patents will not be successfully challenged in the future. If we are unsuccessful in obtaining or preserving patent protection, or if any of our products rely on unpatented proprietary technology, we cannot assure you that others will not commercialize products substantially identical to those products. Generic drug manufacturers are currently challenging the patents covering certain of our products, and we expect that they will continue to do so in the future.

Third parties may challenge, invalidate or circumvent our patents and patent applications relating to our products, product candidates and technologies. Challenges may result in potentially significant harm to our business. The cost of

responding to these challenges and the inherent costs to defend the validity of our patents, including the prosecution of infringements and the related litigation, could be substantial and can preclude or delay commercialization of products. Such litigation also could require a substantial commitment of our management s time. For certain of our product candidates, third parties may have patents or pending patents that they claim prevent us from commercializing certain product candidates in certain territories. Our success depends in part on our ability

to obtain and defend patent rights and other intellectual property rights that are important to the commercialization of our products and product candidates. For additional information on our material patents, see Patents, Trademarks and Licenses in Item 1 of Part I of this report, Business.

We also believe that the protection of our trademarks and service marks is an important factor in product recognition and in our ability to maintain or increase market share. If we do not adequately protect our rights in our various trademarks and service marks from infringement, their value to us could be lost or diminished, seriously impairing our competitive position. Moreover, the laws of certain foreign countries do not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. In addition to intellectual property protections afforded to trademarks, service marks and proprietary know-how by the various countries in which our proprietary products are sold, we seek to protect our trademarks, service marks and proprietary know-how through confidentiality and proprietary information agreements with third parties, including our partners, customers, employees and consultants. These agreements may not provide meaningful protection or adequate remedies for violation of our rights in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of confidential information. It is possible that these agreements will be breached or that they will not be enforceable in every instance, and that we will not have adequate remedies for any such breach. It is also possible that our trade secrets will become known or independently developed by our competitors.

We may be subject to intellectual property litigation and infringement claims, which could cause us to incur significant expenses and losses or prevent us from selling our products.

We cannot assure you that our products will not infringe patents or other intellectual property rights held by third parties. In the event we discover that we may be infringing third party patents or other intellectual property rights, we may not be able to obtain licenses from those third parties on commercially attractive terms or at all. We may have to defend, and have defended, against charges that we violated patents or the proprietary rights of third parties. Litigation is costly and time-consuming, and diverts the attention of our management and technical personnel. In addition, if we infringe the intellectual property rights of others, we could lose our right to develop, manufacture or sell products or could be required to pay monetary damages or royalties to license proprietary rights from third parties. An adverse determination in a judicial or administrative proceeding or a failure to obtain necessary licenses could prevent us from manufacturing or selling our products, which could harm our business, financial condition, prospects, results of operations and cash flows. See Item 3 of Part I of this report, Legal Proceedings and Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for information concerning our current intellectual property litigation.

Importation of products from Canada and other countries into the United States and intra-European Union trade may lower the prices we receive for our products.

In the United States, some of our pharmaceutical products are subject to competition from lower priced versions of those products and competing products from Canada, Mexico and other countries where government price controls or other market dynamics result in lower prices. Our products that require a prescription in the United States are often available to consumers in these other markets without a prescription, which may cause consumers to further seek out our products in these lower priced markets. The ability of patients and other customers to obtain these lower priced imports has grown significantly as a result of the Internet, an expansion of pharmacies in Canada and elsewhere targeted to American purchasers, the increase in U.S.-based businesses affiliated with Canadian pharmacies marketing to American purchasers and other factors. These foreign imports are illegal under current U.S. law, with the sole exception of limited quantities of prescription drugs imported for personal use. However, the volume of imports continues to rise due to the limited enforcement resources of the FDA and the U.S. Customs Service, and there is increased political pressure to permit the imports as a mechanism for expanding access to lower priced medicines.

In December 2003, Congress enacted the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003. This law contains provisions that may change U.S. import laws and expand consumers ability to import lower priced versions of our products and competing products from Canada, where there are government price controls. These changes to U.S. import laws will not take effect unless and until the Secretary of Health and Human

Services certifies that the changes will lead to substantial savings for consumers and will not create a public health safety issue. The Secretary of Health and Human Services has not made such a certification. However, it is possible that the current Secretary or a subsequent Secretary could make such a certification in the future. As directed by Congress, a task force on drug importation conducted a comprehensive study regarding the circumstances under which drug importation could be safely conducted and the consequences of importation on the health, medical costs and development of new medicines for U.S. consumers. The task force issued its report in December 2004, finding that there are significant safety and economic issues that must be addressed before importation of prescription drugs is permitted. In addition, federal legislative proposals have been made to implement the changes to the U.S. import laws without any certification, and to broaden permissible imports in other ways. Even if the changes to the U.S. import laws do not take effect, and other changes are not enacted, imports from Canada and elsewhere may continue to increase due to market and political forces, and the limited enforcement resources of the FDA, the U.S. Customs Service and other government agencies. For example, Public Law Number 109-295, which was signed into law in October 2006 and provides appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for the 2007 fiscal year, expressly prohibits the U.S. Customs Services from using funds to prevent individuals from importing from Canada less than a 90-day supply of a prescription drug for personal use, when the drug otherwise complies with the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. In addition, certain state and local governments have implemented importation schemes for their citizens and, in the absence of federal action to curtail such activities, other states and local governments may also launch importation efforts.

The importation of foreign products adversely affects our profitability in the United States. This impact could become more significant in the future, and the impact could be even greater if there is a further change in the law or if state or local governments take further steps to import products from abroad.

Our ownership of real property and the operation of our business will continue to expose us to risks of environmental liabilities.

Under various U.S. federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of real property may be liable for the cost of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances on, under or in such property. Such laws often impose liability whether or not the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. Environmental laws also may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or the businesses that may be operated, and these restrictions may require expenditures. Environmental laws provide for sanctions in the event of noncompliance and may be enforced by governmental agencies or, in certain circumstances, by private parties. In connection with the acquisition and ownership of our properties, we may be potentially liable for such costs. The cost of defending against claims of liability, complying with environmental regulatory requirements or remediating any contaminated property could have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations. Any costs or expenses relating to environmental matters may not be covered by insurance.

Our product development programs and manufacturing processes involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals and toxic compounds. These programs and processes expose us to risks that an accidental contamination could lead to noncompliance with environmental laws, regulatory enforcement actions and claims for personal injury and property damage. If an accident or environmental discharge occurs, or if we discover contamination caused by prior operations, including by prior owners and operators of properties we acquire, we could be liable for cleanup obligations, damages and fines. The substantial unexpected costs we may incur could have a significant and adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We may experience losses due to product liability claims, product recalls or corrections.

The design, development, manufacture and sale of our products involve an inherent risk of product liability or other claims by consumers and other third parties. We have in the past been, and continue to be, subject to various product liability claims and lawsuits. In addition, we have in the past and may in the future recall or issue field corrections related to our products due to manufacturing deficiencies, labeling errors or other safety or regulatory reasons. We cannot assure you that we will not in the future experience material losses due to product liability claims, lawsuits, product recalls or corrections.

As part of the Inamed acquisition, we assumed Inamed s product liability risks, including any product liability for its past and present manufacturing of breast implant products. The manufacture and sale of breast implant products has been and continues to be the subject of a significant number of product liability claims due to allegations that the medical devices cause disease or result in complications and other health conditions due to rupture, deflation or other product failure. See Item 3 of Part I of this report, Legal Proceedings and Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for information concerning our current products liability litigation. Historically, other breast implant manufacturers that suffered such claims in the 1990 s were forced to cease operations or even to declare bankruptcy.

Additionally, recent FDA marketing approval for our silicone breast implants requires that:

we monitor patients in our core study out to 10 years if there has been explantation without replacement; patients in the core study receive magnetic resonance imaging tests, or MRIs, at seven and nine years; we conduct a large, 10-year postapproval study; and

we conduct additional smaller studies, including a study aimed at ensuring patients are adequately informed about the risks of our silicone breast implants and that the format and content of patient labeling is adequate.

We are seeking marketing approval for other silicone breast implants in the United States, and if we obtain this approval, it may similarly be subject to significant restrictions and requirements, including the need for a patient registry, follow up MRIs and substantial Phase IV clinical trial commitments.

We also face a substantial risk of product liability claims from our eye care, neuromodulator, urology, skin care, obesity intervention and facial aesthetics products. Additionally, our pharmaceutical and medical device products may cause, or may appear to cause, serious adverse side effects or potentially dangerous drug interactions if misused, improperly prescribed, improperly implanted or based on faulty surgical technique. We are subject to adverse event reporting regulations that require us to report to the FDA or similar bodies in other countries if our products are associated with a death or serious injury. These adverse events, among others, could result in additional regulatory controls, such as the performance of costly post-approval clinical studies or revisions to our approved labeling, which could limit the indications or patient population for our products or could even lead to the withdrawal of a product from the market. Furthermore, any adverse publicity associated with such an event could cause consumers to seek alternatives to our products, which may cause our sales to decline, even if our products are ultimately determined not to have been the primary cause of the event.

Negative publicity concerning the safety of our products may harm our sales, force us to withdraw products and cause a decline in our stock price.

Physicians and potential and existing patients may have a number of concerns about the safety of our products, including *Botox*[®], breast implants, eye care pharmaceuticals, urology pharmaceuticals, skin care products, obesity intervention products and facial dermal fillers, whether or not such concerns have a basis in generally accepted science or peer-reviewed scientific research. Negative publicity whether accurate or inaccurate about our products, based on, for example, news about *Botox*[®], breast implant litigation or regulatory activities and developments, whether involving us or a competitor, or new government regulation, could materially reduce market acceptance of our products and could result in product withdrawals. For example, recent activities by an advocacy group requesting labeling changes for botulinum toxins marketed in the United States resulted in significant adverse media attention. Significant negative publicity could result in an increased number of product liability claims, whether or not these claims have a basis in scientific fact. Furthermore, adverse publicity associated with such an event could cause our stock price to decline or consumers to seek alternatives to our products, which may cause our sales to decline, and could lead to a further decline in our stock price, even if our products are ultimately determined not to have been the

primary cause of the event.

Health care initiatives and other third-party payor cost-containment pressures could cause us to sell our products at lower prices, resulting in decreased revenues.

Some of our products are purchased or reimbursed by state and federal government authorities, private health insurers and other organizations, such as health maintenance organizations, or HMOs, and managed care

organizations, or MCOs. Third-party payors increasingly challenge pharmaceutical and other medical device product pricing. There also continues to be a trend toward managed healthcare in the United States. Pricing pressures by third-party payors and the growth of organizations such as HMOs and MCOs could result in lower prices and/or a reduction in demand for our products.

In addition, legislative and regulatory proposals and enactments to reform healthcare and government insurance programs, including the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, or MMA, the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, or DRA, and the hospital outpatient prospective payment system, or HOPPS, could significantly influence the manner in which pharmaceutical products and medical devices are prescribed and purchased. For example, effective January 1, 2006, the MMA established a new Medicare outpatient prescription drug benefit under Part D. The MMA also established a competitive acquisition program, or CAP, in which physicians who administer drugs in their offices are offered an option to acquire drugs covered under the Medicare Part B benefit from vendors who are selected in a competitive bidding process. Implementation of the CAP began in July 2006. Further, the DRA requires the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, the federal agency that both administers the Medicare program and administers and overseas the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program, to amend certain formulas used to calculate pharmacy reimbursement and rebates under Medicaid. In July 2007, CMS issued a final rule that, among other things, clarifies and changes how drug manufacturers must calculate and report key pricing data under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program. This data is used by CMS and state Medicaid agencies to calculate rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program and to calculate the federal upper limits on cost-sharing for certain prescription drugs. In December 2007, following a judicial challenge brought by a national association of pharmacies, a federal judge ordered an injunction that prevents CMS from implementing its July rule. If CMS is ultimately permitted to implement its rule, changes could lead to reduced payments to pharmacies for certain pharmaceutical products. In addition and effective January 1, 2008, Medicare reduced reimbursement for separately payable physician-administered drugs under HOPPS, and may continue to reduce reimbursement in the future. These or other reimbursement reductions may make it financially impractical for hospitals to offer treatment, which could negatively affect our ability to sell our products in the hospital market. These and other cost containment measures and healthcare reforms could adversely affect our ability to sell our products.

Furthermore, individual states have become increasingly aggressive in passing legislation and implementing regulations designed to control pharmaceutical product pricing, including price or patient reimbursement constraints, discounts, restrictions on certain product access, and to encourage importation from other countries and bulk purchasing. Legally-mandated price controls on payment amounts by third-party payors or other restrictions could negatively and materially impact our revenues and financial condition. We encounter similar regulatory and legislative issues in most countries outside the United States.

We expect there will continue to be federal and state laws and/or regulations, proposed and implemented, that could limit the amounts that foreign, federal and state governments will pay for health care products and services. The extent to which future legislation or regulations, if any, relating to the health care industry or third-party coverage and reimbursement may be enacted or what effect such legislation or regulation would have on our business remains uncertain. Such measures or other health care system reforms that are adopted could have a material adverse effect on our ability to successfully commercialize our products or could limit or eliminate our spending on development projects and affect our ultimate profitability.

In addition, regional healthcare authorities and individual hospitals are increasingly using bidding procedures to determine what pharmaceutical and medical device products and which suppliers will be included in their prescription drug and other health care programs. This can reduce demand for our products or put pressure on our product pricing, which could negatively affect our revenues and profitability.

Our ability to sell our products to United States hospitals depends in part on our relationships with group purchasing organizations, or GPOs. Many existing and potential customers for our products become members of GPOs. GPOs negotiate pricing arrangements and contracts, sometimes exclusive, with medical supply manufacturers and distributors, and these negotiated prices are made available to a GPO s affiliated hospitals and other members. If we are not one of the providers selected by a GPO, affiliated hospitals and other members may be less likely to purchase our products, and if the GPO has negotiated a strict sole source, market share

Table of Contents

compliance or bundling contract for another manufacturer s products, we may be precluded from making sales to members of the GPO for the duration of the contractual arrangement. Our failure to renew contracts with GPOs may cause us to lose market share and could have a material adverse effect on our sales, financial condition and results of operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to renew these contracts at the current or substantially similar terms. If we are unable to keep our relationships and develop new relationships with GPOs, our competitive position would likely suffer.

We are subject to risks arising from currency exchange rates, which could increase our costs and may cause our profitability to decline.

We collect and pay a substantial portion of our sales and expenditures in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Therefore, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates affect our operating results. We cannot assure you that future exchange rate movements, inflation or other related factors will not have a material adverse effect on our sales or operating expenses.

We are subject to risks associated with doing business internationally.

Our business is subject to certain risks inherent in international business, many of which are beyond our control. These risks include, among other things:

- adverse changes in tariff and trade protection measures;
- reductions in the reimbursement amounts we receive for our products from foreign governments and foreign insurance providers;
- unexpected changes in foreign regulatory requirements, including quality standards and other certification requirements;
- potentially negative consequences from changes in or interpretations of tax laws;
- differing labor regulations;
- changing economic conditions in countries where our products are sold or manufactured or in other countries; differing local product preferences and product requirements;
- exchange rate risks;
- restrictions on the repatriation of funds;
- political unrest and hostilities;
- product liability, intellectual property and other claims;
- new export license requirements;
- differing degrees of protection for intellectual property; and
- difficulties in coordinating and managing foreign operations, including ensuring that foreign operations comply with foreign laws as well as U.S. laws applicable to U.S. companies with foreign operations, such as export laws and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act.

Any of these factors, or any other international factors, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We cannot assure you that we can successfully manage these risks or avoid their effects.

The consolidation of drug wholesalers and other wholesaler actions could increase competitive and pricing pressures on pharmaceutical manufacturers, including us.

We sell our pharmaceutical products primarily through wholesalers. These wholesale customers comprise a significant part of the distribution network for pharmaceutical products in the United States. This distribution network is continuing to undergo significant consolidation. As a result, a smaller number of large wholesale distributors control a

significant share of the market. We expect that consolidation of drug wholesalers will increase competitive and pricing pressures on pharmaceutical manufacturers, including us. In addition, wholesalers may apply pricing pressure through fee-for-service arrangements, and their purchases may exceed customer demand, resulting in reduced wholesaler purchases in later quarters. We cannot assure you that we can manage these pressures or that wholesaler purchases will not decrease as a result of this potential excess buying.

Our failure to attract and retain key managerial, technical, selling and marketing personnel could adversely affect our business.

Our success depends upon our retention of key managerial, technical, selling and marketing personnel. The loss of the services of key personnel might significantly delay or prevent the achievement of our development and strategic objectives.

We must continue to attract, train and retain managerial, technical, selling and marketing personnel. Competition for such highly skilled employees in our industry is high, and we cannot be certain that we will be successful in recruiting or retaining such personnel. We also believe that our success depends to a significant extent on the ability of our key personnel to operate effectively, both individually and as a group. If we are unable to identify, hire and integrate new employees in a timely and cost-effective manner, our operating results may suffer.

We may acquire companies in the future and these acquisitions could disrupt our business.

As part of our business strategy, we regularly consider and, as appropriate, make acquisitions of technologies, products and businesses that we believe are complementary to our business. Acquisitions typically entail many risks and could result in difficulties in integrating the operations, personnel, technologies and products of the companies acquired, some of which may result in significant charges to earnings. If we are unable to successfully integrate our acquisitions with our existing business, we may not obtain the advantages that the acquisitions were intended to create, which may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows, our ability to develop and introduce new products and the market price of our stock. In connection with acquisitions, we could experience disruption in our business or employee base, or key employees of companies that we acquire may seek employment elsewhere, including with our competitors. Furthermore, the products of companies we acquire may overlap with our products or those of our customers, creating conflicts with existing relationships or with other commitments that are detrimental to the integrated businesses.

Uncertainties exist in integrating the business and operations of Inamed, Cornéal and Esprit into our own.

We are currently integrating certain of Inamed s, Cornéal s and Esprit s functions and operations into our own, although there can be no assurance that we will be successful in this endeavor. There are inherent challenges in integrating the operations that could result in a delay or the failure to achieve the anticipated synergies and, therefore, any potential cost savings and increases in earnings. Issues that must be addressed in integrating the operations of Inamed, Cornéal and Esprit into our own include, among other things:

conforming standards, controls, procedures and policies, business cultures and compensation structures between the companies;

conforming information technology and accounting systems;

consolidating corporate and administrative infrastructures;

consolidating sales and marketing operations;

retaining existing customers and attracting new customers;

retaining key employees;

identifying and eliminating redundant and underperforming operations and assets;

minimizing the diversion of management s attention from ongoing business concerns;

coordinating geographically dispersed organizations;

managing tax costs or inefficiencies associated with integrating the operations of the combined company; and making any necessary modifications to operating control standards to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

If we are not able to adequately address these challenges, we may not realize the anticipated benefits of the integration of the companies. Actual cost and sales synergies, if achieved at all, may be lower than we expect and may take longer to achieve than we anticipate.

Compliance with the extensive government regulations to which we are subject is expensive and time consuming, and may result in the delay or cancellation of product sales, introductions or modifications.

Extensive industry regulation has had, and will continue to have, a significant impact on our business, especially our product development and manufacturing capabilities. All companies that manufacture, market and distribute pharmaceuticals and medical devices, including us, are subject to extensive, complex, costly and evolving regulation by federal governmental authorities, principally by the FDA and the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, or DEA, and similar foreign and state government agencies. Failure to comply with the regulatory requirements of the FDA, DEA and other U.S. and foreign regulatory agencies may subject a company to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions, including, among others, a refusal to approve a pending application to market a new product or a new indication for an existing product. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Controlled Substances Act and other domestic and foreign statutes and regulations govern or influence the research, testing, manufacturing, packing, labeling, storing, record keeping, safety, effectiveness, approval, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution of our products. Under certain of these regulations, we are subject to periodic inspection of our facilities, production processes and control operations and/or the testing of our products by the FDA, the DEA and other authorities, to confirm that we are in compliance with all applicable regulations, including FDA cGMP regulations with respect to drug and biologic products and the QSR with respect to medical device products. The FDA conducts pre-approval and post-approval reviews and plant inspections of us and our direct and indirect suppliers to determine whether our record keeping, production processes and controls, personnel and quality control are in compliance with the cGMPs, the QSR and other FDA regulations. We are also required to perform extensive audits of our vendors, contract laboratories and suppliers to ensure that they are compliant with these requirements. In addition, in order to commercialize our products or new indications for an existing product, we must demonstrate that the product or new indication is safe and effective, and that our and our suppliers manufacturing facilities are compliant with applicable regulations, to the satisfaction of the FDA and other regulatory agencies.

The process for obtaining governmental approval to manufacture and to commercialize pharmaceutical and medical device products is rigorous, typically takes many years and is costly, and we cannot predict the extent to which we may be affected by legislative and regulatory developments. We are dependent on receiving FDA and other governmental approvals prior to manufacturing, marketing and distributing our products. We may fail to obtain approval from the FDA or other governmental authorities for our product candidates, or we may experience delays in obtaining such approvals, due to varying interpretations of data or our failure to satisfy rigorous efficacy, safety and manufacturing quality standards. Consequently, there is always a risk that the FDA or other applicable governmental authorities will not approve our products, or will take post-approval action limiting or revoking our ability to sell our products, or that the rate, timing and cost of such approvals will adversely affect our product introduction plans, results of operations and stock price. Despite the time and expense exerted, regulatory approval is never guaranteed.

Even after we obtain regulatory approval or clearance for a product candidate or new indication, we are subject to extensive regulation, including ongoing compliance with the FDA s cGMP and QSR regulations, completion of post-marketing clinical studies mandated by the FDA, and compliance with regulations relating to labeling, advertising, marketing and promotion. In addition, we are subject to adverse event reporting regulations that require us to report to the FDA if our products are associated with a death or serious injury. If we or any third party that we involve in the testing, packing, manufacture, labeling, marketing and distribution of our products fail to comply with any such regulations, we may be subject to, among other things, warning letters, product seizures, recalls, fines or other civil penalties, injunctions, suspension or revocation of approvals, operating restrictions and/or criminal prosecution. The FDA recently has increased its enforcement activities related to the advertising and promotion of pharmaceutical and medical device industry s compliance with the agency s regulations and guidance governing direct-to-consumer advertising, and has increased its scrutiny of such promotional materials. For example, we received a warning letter from the FDA in May 2007 stating that we submitted a false and misleading journal

advertisement for *Acular LS*[®]. The FDA may limit or, with respect to certain products, terminate our dissemination of direct-to-consumer advertisements in the future, which could cause sales of those products to decline. Physicians

may prescribe pharmaceutical and biologic products, and utilize medical device products for uses that are not described in a product s labeling or differ from those tested by us and approved by the FDA. While such off-label uses are common and the FDA does not regulate a physician s choice of treatment, the FDA does restrict a manufacturer s communications on the subject of off-label use. Companies cannot actively promote FDA-approved pharmaceutical, biologic or medical device products for off-label uses, but they may disseminate to physicians articles published in peer-reviewed journals. To the extent allowed by law, we disseminate peer-reviewed articles on our products to targeted physicians. If, however, our promotional activities fail to comply with the FDA s or another regulatory body s regulations or guidelines, we may be subject to warnings from, or enforcement action by, the FDA or another enforcement agency.

From time to time, legislative or regulatory proposals are introduced that could alter the review and approval process relating to our products. It is possible that the FDA or other governmental authorities will issue additional regulations further restricting the sale of our present or proposed products. Any change in legislation or regulations that govern the review and approval process relating to our current and future products could make it more difficult and costly to obtain approval for new products, or to produce, market and distribute existing products.

If we market products in a manner that violates health care fraud and abuse laws, we may be subject to civil or criminal penalties.

The Federal health care program Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits, among other things, knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving remuneration to induce or in return for purchasing, leasing, ordering or arranging for the purchase, lease or order of any health care item or service reimbursable under Medicare, Medicaid or other federally financed health care programs. This statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical or medical device manufacturers, on the one hand, and prescribers, purchasers and formulary managers, on the other hand. Although there are a number of statutory exemptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting certain common activities from prosecution, the exemptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly, and practices that involve remuneration intended to induce prescribing, purchases or recommendations may be subject to scrutiny if they do not qualify for an exemption or safe harbor.

Federal false claims laws prohibit any person from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to the federal government, or knowingly making, or causing to be made, a false statement to get a false claim paid. Pharmaceutical companies have been prosecuted under these laws for a variety of alleged promotional and marketing activities, such as allegedly providing free product to customers with the expectation that the customers would bill federal programs for the product; reporting to pricing services inflated average wholesale prices that were then used by federal programs to set reimbursement rates; engaging in off-label promotion that caused claims to be submitted to Medicaid for non-covered off-label uses; and submitting inflated best price information to the Medicaid Rebate Program.

HIPAA created two new federal crimes: health care fraud, and false statements relating to health care matters. The health care fraud statute prohibits knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any health care benefit program, including private payors. The false statements statute prohibits knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for health care benefits, items or services.

The majority of states also have statutes or regulations similar to these federal laws, which apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs, or, in several states, apply regardless of the payor. In addition, some states have laws that require pharmaceutical companies to adopt comprehensive compliance programs. For example, under California law, pharmaceutical companies must comply with both the April 2003 Office of Inspector General Compliance Program Guidance for Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and the July 2002 PhRMA Code on

Interactions with Healthcare Professionals. We have adopted and implemented a compliance program which we believe satisfies the requirements of these laws.

Sanctions under these federal and state laws may include civil monetary penalties, exclusion of a manufacturer s products from reimbursement under government programs, criminal fines and imprisonment. Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of the safe harbors, it is possible that some of our business activities could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. For example, we and several other

pharmaceutical companies are currently subject to suits by governmental entities in several jurisdictions, including Erie, Oswego and Schenectady Counties in New York and in Alabama alleging that we and these other companies, through promotional, discounting and pricing practices, reported false and inflated average wholesale prices or wholesale acquisition costs and failed to report best prices as required by federal and state rebate statutes, resulting in the plaintiffs overpaying for certain medications. If our past or present operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or other similar governmental regulations to which we are subject, we may be subject to the applicable penalty associated with the violation which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results.

We could be adversely affected by violations of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar worldwide anti-bribery laws.

The U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar anti-bribery laws in other jurisdictions generally prohibit companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to non-U.S. government officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. Our policies mandate compliance with these anti-bribery laws. We operate in many parts of the world that have experienced governmental corruption to some degree and in certain circumstances, strict compliance with anti-bribery laws may conflict with local customs and practices. We cannot assure you that our internal control policies and procedures always will protect us from reckless or criminal acts committed by our employees or agents. These laws are complex and often difficult to interpret and apply, and we may be required in the future to alter our practices to be in compliance. Allegations that we have violated these laws could disrupt our business and subject us to criminal or civil enforcement actions. Violations are punishable by severe criminal penalties, including fines or imprisonment. In addition, violations may result in civil penalties, including fines, loss of our export licenses, suspension of our ability to do business with the federal government, denial of government reimbursement for our products and exclusion from participation in government healthcare programs. These penalties could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If our collaborative partners do not perform, we will be unable to develop and market products as anticipated.

We have entered into collaborative arrangements with third parties to develop and market certain products, including our arrangement with GlaxoSmithKline to market *Botox*[®] in Japan and China and certain other products in the United States, our arrangement with Indevus to market *Sanctura XR*tm in the United States, our co-promotion agreement with Covidien to promote the *Lap-Band*[®] System in the United States, our agreement with Clinique to develop, market and distribute a new physician dispensed skin care line for sale in the United States and our agreement with Stiefel to co-promote our current *Tazorac*[®] products to dermatologists and pediatricians and to develop and commercialize new products that include tazarotene. We cannot assure you that these collaborations will be successful, lead to additional sales of our products or lead to the creation of additional products. If we fail to maintain our existing collaborative arrangements or fail to enter into additional collaborative arrangements, our licensing revenues and/or the number of products from which we could receive future revenues could decline.

Our dependence on collaborative arrangements with third parties subjects us to a number of risks. These collaborative arrangements may not be on terms favorable to us. Agreements with collaborative partners typically allow partners significant discretion in marketing our products or electing whether or not to pursue any of the planned activities. We cannot fully control the amount and timing of resources our collaborative partners may devote to products based on the collaboration, and our partners may choose to pursue alternative products to the detriment of our collaboration. In addition, our partners may not perform their obligations as expected. Business combinations, significant changes in a collaborative partner s business strategy, or its access to financial resources may adversely affect a partner s willingness or ability to complete its obligations. Moreover, we could become involved in disputes with our partners, which could lead to delays or termination of the collaborations and time-consuming and expensive litigation or arbitration. Even if we fulfill our obligations under a collaborative agreement, our partner can terminate the agreement under certain

circumstances. If any collaborative partners were to terminate or breach our agreements with them, or otherwise fail to complete their obligations in a timely manner, we could be materially and adversely affected.

Unanticipated changes in our tax rates or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could affect our profitability.

We are subject to income taxes in both the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Our effective tax rate could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with different statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in or interpretations of tax laws, including pending tax law changes, changes in our manufacturing activities and changes in our future levels of research and development spending. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other state and foreign tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our estimated income tax liabilities. There can be no assurance that the outcomes from these continuous examinations will not have an adverse effect on our provision for income taxes and estimated income tax liabilities.

Changes in applicable tax laws may adversely affect sales or the profitability of *Botox*[®], *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, our dermal fillers or breast implants. Because *Botox*[®] and *Botox*[®] Cosmetic are pharmaceutical products, we generally do not collect or pay state sales or other tax on sales of *Botox*[®] or *Botox*[®] Cosmetic. We could be required to collect and pay state sales or other tax associated with prior, current or future years on sales of *Botox*[®] or *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, our dermal fillers or breast implants. In addition to any retroactive taxes and corresponding interest and penalties that could be assessed, if we were required to collect or pay state sales or other tax associated to collect or pay state sales or other tax associated with current or future years on sales of *Botox*[®], *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, our dermal fillers or breast implants, our sales of, or our profitability from, *Botox*[®], *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, our dermal fillers or breast implants, our sales of, or our profitability from, associated with those products.

The terms of our debt agreements impose many restrictions on us. Failure to comply with these restrictions could result in acceleration of our substantial debt. Were this to occur, we might not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient cash to pay our accelerated indebtedness.

Our total indebtedness as of December 31, 2007 was approximately \$1,629.9 million. This indebtedness may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which it operates and, consequently, place us at a competitive disadvantage to our competitors. The operating and financial restrictions and covenants in our debt agreements may adversely affect our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage in new business activities. For example, our debt agreements restrict our ability to, among other things:

incur liens or engage in sale lease-back transactions; and engage in consolidations, mergers and asset sales.

In addition, our debt agreements include financial covenants that we maintain certain financial ratios. As a result of these covenants and ratios, we have certain limitations on the manner in which we can conduct our business, and we may be restricted from engaging in favorable business activities or financing future operations or capital needs. Accordingly, these restrictions may limit our ability to successfully operate our business. Failure to comply with the financial covenants or to maintain the financial ratios contained in our debt agreements could result in an event of default that could trigger acceleration of our indebtedness. We cannot assure you that our future operating results will be sufficient to ensure compliance with the covenants in our debt agreements or to remedy any such default. In addition, in the event of any default and related acceleration of obligations, we may not have or be able to obtain sufficient funds to make any accelerated payments.

Litigation may harm our business or otherwise distract our management.

Substantial, complex or extended litigation could cause us to incur large expenditures and distract our management. For example, lawsuits by employees, stockholders, customers or competitors could be very costly and substantially

disrupt our business. Disputes from time to time with such companies or individuals are not uncommon, and we cannot assure you that that we will always be able to resolve such disputes out of court or on terms favorable to us.

Our publicly-filed SEC reports are reviewed by the SEC from time to time and any significant changes required as a result of any such review may result in material liability to us and have a material adverse impact on the trading price of our common stock.

The reports of publicly-traded companies are subject to review by the Securities and Exchange Commission from time to time for the purpose of assisting companies in complying with applicable disclosure requirements and to enhance the overall effectiveness of companies public filings, and comprehensive reviews of such reports are now required at least every three years under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. SEC reviews may be initiated at any time. While we believe that our previously filed SEC reports comply, and we intend that all future reports will comply in all material respects with the published rules and regulations of the SEC, we could be required to modify or reformulate information contained in prior filings as a result of an SEC review. Any modification or reformulation of information contained in such reports could be significant and could result in material liability to us and have a material adverse impact on the trading price of our common stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our operations are conducted in owned and leased facilities located throughout the world. We believe our present facilities are adequate for our current needs. Our headquarters and primary administrative and research facilities, which we own, are located in Irvine, California. We lease additional facilities in California to provide administrative, research and raw material support, manufacturing, warehousing and distribution. We own one facility in Texas for manufacturing and warehousing.

Outside of the United States, we own, lease and operate various facilities for manufacturing and warehousing. Those facilities are located in Brazil, France, Ireland and Costa Rica. Other material facilities include leased facilities for administration in Australia, Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Singapore, Spain and the United Kingdom.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, in our notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

We did not submit any matter during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report to a vote of security holders, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

PART II

Item 5. Market For Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table shows the quarterly price range of our common stock and the cash dividends declared per share of common stock during the periods listed.

		2007(1)	2006(1)				
Calendar Quarter	Low	High	Div.	Low	High	Div.	
First	\$ 52.50	\$ 60.61	\$ 0.05	\$ 52.51	\$ 59.00	\$ 0.05	
Second	55.15	62.50	0.05	46.29	54.66	0.05	
Third	56.96	66.15	0.05	51.40	57.82	0.05	
Fourth	60.79	69.15	0.05	52.92	61.51	0.05	

(1) Adjusted to reflect the effect of our two-for-one stock split that was completed on June 22, 2007.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange and is traded under the symbol AGN. In newspapers, stock information is frequently listed as Alergn.

The approximate number of stockholders of record of our common stock was 5,731 as of February 12, 2008.

On January 29, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.05 per share, payable March 7, 2008 to stockholders of record on February 15, 2008.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The information included under Item 12 of Part III of this report, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters, is hereby incorporated by reference into this Item 5 of Part II of this report.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table discloses the purchases of our equity securities during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2007.

		Total Number of Shares	Maximum Number (or
		Purchased as Part of	Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that
Total Number	Average	Publicly Announced	May Yet Be Purchased
of Shares	Price Paid	Plans	Under

Period	Purchased(1)	per Share	or Programs	the Plans or Programs(2)
September 29, 2007 to October 31,				
2007	0	N/A	0	17,859,995
November 1, 2007 to November 30,				
2007	1,928,907	\$ 64.66	0	16,210,947
December 1, 2007 to December 31,				
2007	0	N/A	0	16,794,929
Total	1,928,907	\$ 64.66	0	N/A

- (1) We maintain an evergreen stock repurchase program, which we first announced on September 28, 1993. Under the stock repurchase program after giving effect to our June 22, 2007 two-for-one stock split, we may maintain up to 18.4 million repurchased shares in our treasury account at any one time. As of December 31, 2007, we held approximately 1.6 million treasury shares under this program.
- (2) The share numbers reflect the maximum number of shares that may be purchased under our stock repurchase program and are as of the end of each of the respective periods.

43

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

3
5.4
9.4 5.0
0.0
).8
5.9
4.5
5.9
2.6
5.0
).4)
3.7)
5.8)
,
9.5)
2.5)
2.5)
20)
_0)
20)
_ • /
18
3.2
5.2 1.8
1.9

Long-term debt, excluding current portion	1,590.2	1,606.4	57.5	570.1	573.3
Total stockholders equity	3,738.6	3,143.1	1,566.9	1,116.2	718.6

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This financial review presents our operating results for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, and our financial condition at December 31, 2007. Except for the historical information contained herein, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements which are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We discuss such risks, uncertainties and other factors throughout this report and specifically under Item 1A of Part I of this report, Risk Factors. In addition, the following review should be read in connection with the information presented in our consolidated financial statements and the related notes to our consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation and presentation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, requires us to establish policies and to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements. In our judgment, the accounting policies,

44

estimates and assumptions described below have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements. Accounting assumptions and estimates are inherently uncertain and actual results may differ materially from our estimates.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from product sales when goods are shipped and title and risk of loss transfer to our customers. A substantial portion of our revenue is generated by the sale of specialty pharmaceutical products (primarily eye care pharmaceuticals and skin care products) to wholesalers within the United States, and we have a policy to attempt to maintain average U.S. wholesaler inventory levels at an amount less than eight weeks of our net sales. A portion of our revenue is generated from consigned inventory of breast implants maintained at physician, hospital and clinic locations. These customers are contractually obligated to maintain a specific level of inventory and to notify us upon the use of consigned inventory. Revenue for consigned inventory is recognized at the time we are notified by the customer that the product has been used. Notification is usually through the replenishing of the inventory, and we periodically review consignment inventories to confirm the accuracy of customer reporting.

We generally offer cash discounts to customers for the early payment of receivables. Those discounts are recorded as a reduction of revenue and accounts receivable in the same period that the related sale is recorded. The amounts reserved for cash discounts were \$1.8 million and \$2.3 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Provisions for cash discounts deducted from consolidated sales in 2007, 2006 and 2005 were \$35.1 million, \$30.9 million and \$26.6 million, respectively. We permit returns of product from most product lines by any class of customer if such product is returned in a timely manner, in good condition and from normal distribution channels. Return policies in certain international markets and for certain medical device products, primarily breast implants, provide for more stringent guidelines in accordance with the terms of contractual agreements with customers. Our estimates for sales returns are based upon the historical patterns of products returned matched against the sales from which they originated, and management s evaluation of specific factors that may increase the risk of product returns. The amount of allowances for sales returns recognized in our consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2007 and 2006 were \$29.8 million and \$20.1 million, respectively, and are recorded in Other accrued expenses and Trade receivables, net in our consolidated balance sheet. See Note 5, Composition of Certain Financial Statement Captions in the notes to our consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules. Provisions for sales returns deducted from consolidated sales were \$297.4 million. \$146.5 million and \$30.6 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in the allowance for sales returns at December 31, 2007 compared to December 31, 2006 and the increase in the provision for sales returns in 2007 and 2006 compared to the corresponding prior year were primarily due to growth in net sales of medical device products, primarily breast implants, which generally have a significantly higher rate of return than specialty pharmaceutical products. Historical allowances for cash discounts and product returns have been within the amounts reserved or accrued.

We participate in various managed care sales rebate and other incentive programs, the largest of which relates to Medicaid and Medicare. Sales rebate and other incentive programs also include chargebacks, which are contractual discounts given primarily to federal government agencies, health maintenance organizations, pharmacy benefits managers and group purchasing organizations. Sales rebates and incentive accruals reduce revenue in the same period that the related sale is recorded and are included in Other accrued expenses and Trade receivables, net in our consolidated balance sheets. See Note 5, Composition of Certain Financial Statement Captions in the notes to our consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules. The amounts accrued for sales rebates and other incentive programs were \$82.0 million and \$71.2 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Provisions for sales rebates and other incentive programs deducted from consolidated sales were \$224.1 million, \$175.6 million and \$167.4 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in the provision for sales rebates and other incentive programs during 2007 and 2006 compared to the

corresponding prior year is primarily due to an increase in U.S. specialty pharmaceutical sales, principally eye care pharmaceutical products, which are subject to such rebate and incentive programs. In addition, an increase in our published list prices in the United States for pharmaceutical products, which occurred for several of our products early in each of 2007 and 2006, generally results in higher provisions for sales rebates and other incentive programs deducted from consolidated sales.

Our procedures for estimating amounts accrued for sales rebates and other incentive programs at the end of any period are based on available quantitative data and are supplemented by management s judgment with respect to many factors, including but not limited to, current market dynamics, changes in contract terms, changes in sales trends, an evaluation of current laws and regulations and product pricing. Quantitatively, we use historical sales, product utilization and rebate data and apply forecasting techniques in order to estimate our liability amounts. Qualitatively, management s judgment is applied to these items to modify, if appropriate, the estimated liability amounts. There are inherent risks in this process. For example, customers may not achieve assumed utilization levels; customers may misreport their utilization to us; and actual movements of the U.S. Consumer Price Index Urban (CPI-U), which affect our rebate programs with U.S. federal and state government agencies, may differ from those estimated. On a quarterly basis, adjustments to our estimated liabilities for sales rebates and other incentive programs related to sales made in prior periods have not been material and have generally been less than 0.5% of consolidated product net sales. An adjustment to our estimated liabilities of 0.5% of consolidated product net sales on a quarterly basis would result in an increase or decrease to net sales and earnings before income taxes of approximately \$5 million to \$6 million. The sensitivity of our estimates can vary by program and type of customer. Additionally, there is a significant time lag between the date we determine the estimated liability and when we actually pay the liability. Due to this time lag, we record adjustments to our estimated liabilities over several periods, which can result in a net increase to earnings or a net decrease to earnings in those periods. Material differences may result in the amount of revenue we recognize from product sales if the actual amount of rebates and incentives differ materially from the amounts estimated by management.

We recognize license fees, royalties and reimbursement income for services provided as other revenues based on the facts and circumstances of each contractual agreement. In general, we recognize income upon the signing of a contractual agreement that grants rights to products or technology to a third party if we have no further obligation to provide products or services to the third party after entering into the contract. We defer income under contractual agreements when we have further obligations that indicate that a separate earnings process has not been completed.

Pensions

We sponsor various pension plans in the United States and abroad in accordance with local laws and regulations. Our U.S. pension plans account for a large majority of our aggregate pension plans net periodic benefit costs and projected benefit obligations. In connection with these plans, we use certain actuarial assumptions to determine the plans net periodic benefit costs and projected benefit obligations, the most significant of which are the expected long-term rate of return on assets and the discount rate.

Our assumption for the weighted average expected long-term rate of return on assets in our U.S. funded pension plan for determining the net periodic benefit cost is 8.25% for 2007, which is the same rate used for 2006 and 2005. Our assumptions for the weighted average expected long-term rate of return on assets in our non-U.S. funded pension plans were 6.43%, 6.19% and 6.89% for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. For our U.S. funded pension plan, we determine, based upon recommendations from our pension plan s investment advisors, the expected rate of return using a building block approach that considers diversification and rebalancing for a long-term portfolio of invested assets. Our investment advisors study historical market returns and preserve long-term historical relationships between equities and fixed income in a manner consistent with the widely-accepted capital market principle that assets with higher volatility generate a greater return over the long run. They also evaluate market factors such as inflation and interest rates before long-term capital market assumptions are determined. For our non-U.S. funded pension plans, the expected rate of return was determined based on asset distribution and assumed long-term rates of return on fixed income instruments and equities. Market conditions and other factors can vary over time and could significantly affect our estimates of the weighted average expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. The expected rate of return is applied to the market-related value of plan assets. As a sensitivity measure, the effect of a 0.25% decline in our rate of return on assets assumptions for our U.S. funded pension plans would increase our expected 2008

pre-tax pension benefit cost by approximately \$1.3 million.

The weighted average discount rates used to calculate our U.S. and non-U.S. pension benefit obligations at December 31, 2007 were 6.25% and 5.50%, respectively, and at December 31, 2006 were 5.90% and 4.65%, respectively. The weighted average discount rates used to calculate our U.S. and non-U.S. net periodic benefit costs

for 2007 were 5.90% and 4.65%, respectively, for 2006, 5.60% and 4.24%, respectively, and for 2005, 5.95% and 5.05%, respectively. We determine the discount rate largely based upon an index of high-quality fixed income investments (for our U.S. plans, we use the U.S. Moody s Aa Corporate Long Bond Index and for our non-U.S. plans, we use the iBoxx Corporate AA 10+ Year Index and the iBoxx £ Corporate AA 15+ Year Index) and, for our U.S. plans, a constructed hypothetical portfolio of high quality fixed income investments with maturities that mirror the pension benefit obligations at the plans measurement date. Market conditions and other factors can vary over time and could significantly affect our estimates for the discount rates used to calculate our pension benefit obligations and net periodic benefit costs for future years. As a sensitivity measure, the effect of a 0.25% decline in the discount rate assumption for our U.S and non-U.S. pension plans would increase our expected 2008 pre-tax pension benefit costs by approximately \$3.3 million and increase our pension plans projected benefit obligations at December 31, 2007 by approximately \$27.8 million.

Share-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS No. 123R), which requires measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors. Under SFAS No. 123R, the fair value of share-based payment awards is estimated at the grant date using an option pricing model, and the portion that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as compensation cost over the requisite service period. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of share-based awards and recognize shared-based compensation cost over the vesting period using the straight-line single option method. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we accounted for share-based awards using the intrinsic value method prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, as allowed under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. Under the intrinsic value method, no share-based compensation cost was recognized for awards to employees or directors if the exercise price of the award was equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. Accordingly, no compensation expense for stock option awards was recognized in the periods before January 1, 2006.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes is determined using an estimated annual effective tax rate, which is generally less than the U.S. federal statutory rate, primarily because of lower tax rates in certain non-U.S. jurisdictions, research and development, or R&D, tax credits available in the United States and other jurisdictions, and deductions available in the United States for domestic production activities. Our effective tax rate may be subject to fluctuations during the year as new information is obtained, which may affect the assumptions we use to estimate our annual effective tax rate, including factors such as our mix of pre-tax earnings in the various tax jurisdictions in which we operate, valuation allowances against deferred tax assets, the recognition or derecognition of tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions, utilization of R&D tax credits and changes in or the interpretation of tax laws in jurisdictions where we conduct business. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities along with net operating loss and tax credit carryovers. We record a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets to reduce the net carrying value to an amount that we believe is more likely than not to be realized. When we establish or reduce the valuation allowance against our deferred tax essets will increase or decrease, respectively, in the period such determination is made. Reductions to valuation allowances related to net operating loss carryforwards of acquired businesses will be treated as adjustments to purchased goodwill up through and until the end of our 2008 fiscal year.

Effective January 1, 2007, we adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax

position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Historically, our policy has been to account for uncertainty in income taxes in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, which considered whether the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position was probable of being sustained. Under FIN 48, the tax benefit from uncertain tax positions may be

recognized only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained, based solely on its technical merits, with the taxing authority having full knowledge of all relevant information. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities along with net operating loss and tax credit carryovers only for tax positions that meet the more likely than not recognition criteria. We record a liability for unrecognized tax benefits from uncertain tax positions as discrete tax adjustments in the first interim period that the more likely than not threshold is not met. Due to the inherent risks in the estimates and assumptions used in determining the sustainability of our tax positions and in the measurement of the related tax, our provision for income taxes and our effective tax rate may vary significantly from our estimates and from amounts reported in future or prior periods. We discuss this change in accounting principle and its effect on our consolidated financial statements in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, and Note 9, Income Taxes, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

Valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets were \$99.9 million and \$20.8 million at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Changes in the valuation allowances, when they are recognized in the provision for income taxes, are included as a component of the estimated annual effective tax rate. The increase in the amount of valuation allowances at December 31, 2007 compared to December 31, 2006 is primarily due to our October 2007 acquisition of Esprit Pharma Holding Company, Inc., or Esprit, and our February 2007 acquisition of EndoArt SA, or EndoArt. Material differences in the estimated amount of valuation allowances may result in an increase or decrease in the provision for income taxes if the actual amounts for valuation allowances required against deferred tax assets differ from the amounts we estimate. Reductions to valuation allowances related to net operating loss carryforwards of acquired businesses will be treated as adjustments to purchased goodwill up through and until the end of our 2008 fiscal year.

We have not provided for withholding and U.S. taxes for the unremitted earnings of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries because we have currently reinvested these earnings indefinitely in these foreign operations. At December 31, 2007, we had approximately \$1,007.0 million in unremitted earnings outside the United States for which withholding and U.S. taxes were not provided. Income tax expense would be incurred if these funds were remitted to the United States. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of the deferred tax liability on such unremitted earnings. Upon remittance, certain foreign countries impose withholding taxes that are then available, subject to certain limitations, for use as credits against our U.S. tax liability, if any. We annually update our estimate of unremitted earnings outside the United States after the completion of each fiscal year.

Purchase Price Allocation

The purchase price allocation for acquisitions requires extensive use of accounting estimates and judgments to allocate the purchase price to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired, including in-process research and development, and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values. Additionally, we must determine whether an acquired entity is considered to be a business or a set of net assets, because a portion of the purchase price can only be allocated to goodwill in a business combination.

On October 16, 2007, we acquired Esprit for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$370.7 million, net of cash acquired. On February 22, 2007, we acquired EndoArt for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$97.1 million, net of cash acquired. On January 2, 2007, we acquired Groupe Cornéal Laboratoires, or Cornéal, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$209.2 million, net of cash acquired. On March 23, 2006, we completed the acquisition of Inamed Corporation, or Inamed, for approximately \$3.3 billion, consisting of approximately \$1.4 billion in cash and 34,883,386 shares of our common stock with a fair value of approximately \$1.9 billion. The purchase prices for the acquisitions were allocated to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the acquisition dates. We engaged an independent third-party valuation firm to

assist us in determining the estimated fair values of in-process research and development, identifiable intangible assets and certain tangible assets. Such a valuation requires significant estimates and assumptions, including but not limited to, determining the timing and estimated costs to complete the in-process projects, projecting regulatory approvals, estimating future cash flows, and developing appropriate discount rates. We believe the estimated fair values assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on reasonable assumptions. Fair value estimates for purchase price allocations may change during the allowable

allocation period, which is currently up to one year from the acquisition dates, if additional information becomes available.

Discontinued Operations

On July 2, 2007, we completed the sale of the ophthalmic surgical device business that we acquired as a part of the Cornéal acquisition in January 2007, for net cash proceeds of \$28.6 million. The net assets of the disposed business consisted of current assets of \$24.3 million, non-current assets of \$9.8 million and current liabilities of \$4.2 million. We recorded a pre-tax loss of \$1.3 million (\$1.0 million net of tax) associated with the sale.

The following amounts related to the ophthalmic surgical device business have been segregated from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations through the date of disposition. We did not account for our ophthalmic surgical device business as a separate legal entity. Therefore, the following selected financial data for the discontinued operations is presented for informational purposes only and does not necessarily reflect what the net sales or earnings would have been had the business operated as a stand-alone entity. The financial information for the discontinued operations includes allocations of certain expenses to the ophthalmic surgical device business. These amounts have been allocated to the discontinued operations on the basis that is considered by management to reflect most fairly or reasonably the utilization of the services provided to, or the benefit obtained by, the ophthalmic surgical device business.

The following table sets forth selected financial data of our discontinued operations for 2007. There were no comparable amounts for 2006 or 2005.

Selected Financial Data for Discontinued Operations

	(in millions)
Net sales	\$ 20.0
Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes	\$ (1.1)
Loss from discontinued operations	\$ (0.7)

Continuing Operations

Headquartered in Irvine, California, we are a multi-specialty health care company focused on developing and commercializing innovative pharmaceuticals, biologics and medical devices that enable people to see more clearly, move more freely and express themselves more fully. Our diversified approach enables us to follow our research and development into new specialty areas where unmet needs are significant.

We discover, develop and commercialize specialty pharmaceutical, medical device and over-the-counter products for the ophthalmic, neurological, medical aesthetics, medical dermatological, breast aesthetics, obesity intervention, urological and other specialty markets in more than 100 countries around the world. We are a pioneer in specialty pharmaceutical research, targeting products and technologies related to specific disease areas such as glaucoma, retinal disease, chronic dry eye, psoriasis, acne, movement disorders, neuropathic pain and genitourinary diseases. Additionally, we are a leader in discovering, developing and marketing therapeutic and aesthetic biologic, pharmaceutical and medical device products, including saline and silicone gel-filled breast implants, cosmetic injections, dermal fillers and obesity intervention products. At December 31, 2007, we employed approximately 7,886 persons around the world. Our principal markets are the United States, Europe, Latin America and Asia Pacific.

Results of Continuing Operations

Through the first fiscal quarter of 2006, we operated our business on the basis of a single reportable segment specialty pharmaceuticals. Due to the Inamed acquisition, beginning in the second fiscal quarter of 2006, we operate our business on the basis of two reportable segments specialty pharmaceuticals and medical devices. The specialty pharmaceuticals segment produces a broad range of pharmaceutical products, including: ophthalmic products for glaucoma therapy, ocular inflammation, infection, allergy and chronic dry eye; *Botox*[®] for certain therapeutic and aesthetic indications; skin care products for acne, psoriasis and other prescription and over-the-counter dermatological

products; and, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2007, urologics products. The medical devices segment produces a broad range of medical devices, including: breast implants for augmentation, revision and reconstructive surgery; obesity intervention products, including the *Lap-Band*[®] System and the *BIB*tm *BioEnterics*[®] Intragastric Balloon; and facial aesthetics products. We provide global marketing strategy teams to coordinate the development and execution of a consistent marketing strategy for our products in all geographic regions that share similar distribution channels and customers.

Management evaluates our business segments and various global product portfolios on a revenue basis, which is presented below in accordance with GAAP. We also report sales performance using the non-GAAP financial measure of constant currency sales. Constant currency sales represent current period reported sales, adjusted for the translation effect of changes in average foreign exchange rates between the current period and the corresponding period in the prior year. We calculate the currency effect by comparing adjusted current period reported sales, calculated using the monthly average foreign exchange rates for the corresponding period in the prior year, to the actual current period reported sales. We routinely evaluate our net sales performance at constant currency so that sales results can be viewed without the impact of changing foreign currency exchange rates, thereby facilitating period-to-period comparisons of our sales. Generally, when the U.S. dollar either strengthens or weakens against other currencies, the growth at constant currency rates will be higher or lower, respectively, than growth reported at actual exchange rates.

The following table compares net sales by product line within each reportable segment and certain selected pharmaceutical products for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	Year Ended December 31,		Pro	Change in duct Net Sa		Percent Change in Product Net Sales			
	2007	2006	Total Performanc C urrency (in millions)			Total I	Performance	Currency	
Net Sales by Product Line: Specialty Pharmaceuticals:									
Eye Care Pharmaceuticals	\$ 1,776.5	\$ 1,530.6	\$ 245.9	\$ 200.1	\$ 45.8	16.1%	13.1%	3.0%	
<i>Botox</i> [®] /Neuromodulator	1,211.8	982.2	229.6	201.9	27.7	23.4%	20.6%	2.8%	
Skin Care	110.7	125.7	(15.0)	(15.1)	0.1	(11.9)%	(12.0)%	0.1%	
Urologics	6.0		6.0	6.0		%	%	%	
Total Specialty									
Pharmaceuticals	3,105.0	2,638.5	466.5	392.9	73.6	17.7%	14.9%	2.8%	
Medical Devices:									
Breast Aesthetics	298.4	177.2	121.2	114.1	7.1	68.4%	64.4%	4.0%	
Obesity Intervention	270.1	142.3	127.8	124.0	3.8	89.8%	87.1%	2.7%	
Facial Aesthetics	202.8	52.1	150.7	147.8	2.9	289.3%	283.7%	5.6%	
Core Medical Devices	771.3	371.6	399.7	385.9	13.8	107.6%	103.8%	3.8%	
Other(a)	2.7		2.7	2.7		%	%	%	
Total Medical Devices	774.0	371.6	402.4	388.6	13.8	108.3%	104.5%	3.8%	
Total product net sales	\$ 3,879.0	\$ 3,010.1	\$ 868.9	\$ 781.5	\$ 87.4	28.9%	26.0%	2.9%	

Domestic product net sales		65.7%		67.4%								
International product net sales		34.3%		32.6%								
Selected Product Sales:												
Alphagan [®] P, Alphagan [®]	¢	241 4	¢	205.0	¢	15 5	¢	25 1	¢ 10 1	15 101	12 007	2 107
and Combigan tm	\$	341.4	\$	295.9	\$		\$		\$ 10.1	15.4%	12.0%	3.4%
Lumigan [®] Franchise		391.7		327.5		64.2		52.1	12.1	19.6%	15.9%	3.7%
Other Glaucoma		15.3		16.3		(1.0)		(2.1)	1.1	(6.5)%	(12.9)%	6.4%
Restasis®		344.5		270.2		74.3		74.1	0.2	27.5%	27.4%	0.1%
Sanctura [®] Franchise		4.9				4.9		4.9		%	%	%
						50						

		Ended ber 31, 2005	Change in Product Net Sales Total Performanc€urrency (in millions)			Percent Change in Product Net Sales Total PerformanceCurrency			
Net Sales by Product Line: Specialty Pharmaceuticals: Eye Care Pharmaceuticals <i>Botox</i> [®] /Neuromodulator Skin Care	\$ 1,530.6 982.2 125.7	\$ 1,321.7 830.9 120.2	\$ 208.9 151.3 5.5	\$ 200.0 145.1 5.4	\$ 8.9 6.2 0.1	15.8% 18.2% 4.6%	15.1% 17.5% 4.5%	0.7% 0.7% 0.1%	
Subtotal Pharmaceuticals Other(b)	2,638.5	2,272.8 46.4	365.7 (46.4)	350.5 (46.4)	15.2	16.1% (100.0)%	15.4% (100.0)%	0.7% %	
Total Specialty Pharmaceuticals	2,638.5	2,319.2	319.3	304.1	15.2	13.8%	13.1%	0.7%	
Medical Devices: Breast Aesthetics Obesity Intervention Facial Aesthetics	177.2 142.3 52.1		177.2 142.3 52.1	177.2 142.3 52.1		% % %	% % %	% % %	
Total Medical Devices	371.6		371.6	371.6		%	%	%	
Total product net sales	\$ 3,010.1	\$ 2,319.2	\$ 690.9	\$ 675.7	\$ 15.2	29.8%	29.1%	0.7%	
Domestic product net sales International product net sales	67.4% 32.6%	67.5% 32.5%							
Selected Product Sales: Alphagan [®] P, Alphagan [®] and Combigan tm Lumigan [®] Franchise Other Glaucoma Restasis [®]	\$ 295.9 327.5 16.3 270.2	\$ 277.2 267.6 18.0 190.9	\$ 18.7 59.9 (1.7) 79.3	\$ 16.9 57.8 (1.9) 79.2	\$ 1.8 2.1 0.2 0.1	6.7% 22.4% (9.2)% 41.6%	6.1% 21.6% (10.4)% 41.5%	$0.6\% \\ 0.8\% \\ 1.2\% \\ 0.1\%$	

(a) Other medical devices sales primarily consist of sales of ophthalmic surgical devices pursuant to a manufacturing and supply agreement entered into as part of the July 2007 sale of the former Cornéal ophthalmic surgical device business, which was substantially concluded in December 2007.

(b) Other specialty pharmaceuticals sales primarily consist of sales to Advanced Medical Optics, Inc., or AMO, pursuant to a manufacturing and supply agreement entered into as part of the June 2002 AMO spin-off that terminated as scheduled in June 2005.

Product Net Sales

The \$868.9 million increase in product net sales in 2007 compared to 2006 was the combined result of an increase of \$466.5 million in our specialty pharmaceuticals product net sales and an increase of \$402.4 million in our medical devices product net sales. The increase in specialty pharmaceuticals product net sales is due primarily to increases in sales of our eye care pharmaceuticals and *Botox*[®] product lines. The increase in medical devices product net sales is 2007 compared to 2006 was also positively impacted by the March 2006 Inamed and January 2007 Cornéal business acquisitions. We did not detect any significant impact on our sales during 2007 from declines in consumer spending in the United States or other major international markets.

Eye care pharmaceuticals sales increased in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily because of strong growth in sales of *Restasis*[®], our therapeutic treatment for chronic dry eye disease, an increase in sales of our glaucoma drug *Lumigan*[®], including strong sales growth from *Ganfort*[®], our *Lumigan*[®] and timolol combination, which we launched in 2006 in certain European markets, an increase in product net sales of *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.1%, our most recent generation of *Alphagan*[®] for the treatment of glaucoma that we launched in the United States in the first quarter of 2006, an increase in sales of *Combigan*tm in Europe, Latin America, Asia, Canada and, to a lesser degree, in the United States due to the initial U.S. launch of *Combigan*tm late in the fourth quarter of 2007, an increase in sales of *Acular LS*[®], our more recent non-steroidal anti-inflammatory, and growth in sales of eye drop products, primarily *Refresh*[®] and *Optive*tm, our artificial tear that was launched in the United States, Europe, Latin America, Asia and Australia during 2007. In addition, net sales of eye care pharmaceuticals benefited from an increase in net

51

sales of *Elestat®*, our topical antihistamine used for the prevention of itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis, and Zymar[®], an ophthalmic anti-infective product for the treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis, in 2007 compared to 2006. These increases in eye care pharmaceuticals sales were partially offset by lower sales of Alphagan[®] P 0.15% due to a general decline in U.S. wholesaler demand resulting from a decrease in promotion efforts. We continue to believe that generic formulations of Alphagan[®] may have a negative effect on future net sales of our Alphagan[®] franchise. We estimate the majority of the increase in our eye care pharmaceuticals sales during 2007 was due to a shift in sales mix to a greater percentage of higher priced products, and an overall net increase in the volume of product sold. We increased the published list prices for certain eye care pharmaceutical products in the United States, ranging from seven percent to nine percent, effective February 3, 2007. We increased the published U.S. list price for *Restasis*[®] by seven percent, *Lumigan*[®] by seven percent, *Alphagan*[®] P 0.15% and *Alphagan*[®] P 0.1% by eight percent, Acular LS® by nine percent, Elestat® by seven percent and Zymar® by seven percent. This increase in prices had a positive net effect on our U.S. sales for 2007, but the actual net effect is difficult to determine due to the various managed care sales rebate and other incentive programs in which we participate. Wholesaler buying patterns and the change in dollar value of prescription product mix also affected our reported net sales dollars, although we are unable to determine the impact of these effects. We have a policy to attempt to maintain average U.S. wholesaler inventory levels of our specialty pharmaceutical products at an amount less than eight weeks of our net sales. At December 31, 2007, based on available external and internal information, we believe the amount of average U.S. wholesaler inventories of our specialty pharmaceutical products was near the lower end of our stated policy levels.

Botox[®] sales increased in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to strong growth in demand in the United States and in international markets for both cosmetic and therapeutic use. Effective January 1, 2007, we increased the published price for *Botox*[®] and *Botox*[®] Cosmetic in the United States by approximately four percent, which may have had a positive effect on our U.S. sales growth in 2007, primarily related to sales of *Botox*[®] Cosmetic. In the United States, the actual net effect from the increase in price for sales of *Botox*[®] for therapeutic use is difficult to determine, primarily due to rebate programs with U.S. federal and state government agencies. International *Botox*[®] sales benefited from strong sales growth for both cosmetic and therapeutic use in Europe, Latin America and Asia Pacific. Based on internal information and assumptions, we estimate in 2007 that *Botox*[®] therapeutic sales accounted for approximately 50% of total consolidated *Botox*[®] sales and grew at a rate of approximately 19% compared to 2006. In 2007, *Botox*[®] cosmetic sales accounted for approximately 50% of total consolidated for approximately 50% of total consolidated *Botox*[®] sales and grew at a rate of approximately 29% compared to 2006. We believe our worldwide market share for neuromodulators, including *Botox*[®], is currently over 85%.

Skin care sales, which are presently concentrated in the United States, decreased in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to lower sales of *Tazorac*[®], principally due to the impact of a negative change in formulary positions at key managed cared plans from the end of 2006, and lower sales of other physician dispensed creams, including *M.D. Forte*[®] and *Prevage*tm MD, partially offset by an increase in sales of *Vivité*tm, a new line of physician dispensed skin care products. Net sales of *Tazorac*[®], *Zorac*[®] and *Avage*[®] decreased \$11.3 million, or 12.4%, to \$79.9 million in 2007, compared to \$91.2 million in 2006. We increased the published U.S. list price for *Tazorac*[®], *Zorac*[®] and *Avage*[®] by nine percent effective February 3, 2007. On January 24, 2008, we announced a strategic collaboration with Clinique Laboratories, LLC to develop and market a new skin care line, which will be sold exclusively in physicians offices. In the third quarter of 2007, we entered into a collaboration with Stiefel Laboratories, Inc. to develop and market new products involving tazarotene for dermatological use worldwide, and to co-promote *Tazorac*[®] in the United States.

In connection with our Esprit acquisition in October 2007, we established a new product line that is focused on the urologics market. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2007, we began to recognize sales of *Sanctura*[®], Esprit s twice-a-day anticholinergic for the treatment of over-active bladder. In January 2008, we launched *Sanctura XR*tm, our improved once-daily treatment for over-active bladder.

Breast aesthetics product net sales, which consist primarily of sales of silicone gel-filled and saline-filled breast implants and tissue expanders, increased \$121.2 million, or 68.4%, to \$298.4 million in 2007 compared to \$177.2 million in 2006 primarily due to strong sales growth in all of our principal geographic markets and the full year impact of the Inamed acquisition in 2007 compared to only nine months of sales activity in 2006. The November 2006 U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, and Health Canada, approvals of certain silicone gel-

filled breast implants for breast augmentation, revision or reconstructive surgery and the transition of the market from lower priced saline products to higher priced silicone products in North America had a positive effect on net sales in the United States and Canada in 2007 compared to 2006.

Obesity intervention product net sales, which consist primarily of sales of devices used for minimally invasive long-term treatments of obesity such as our *Lap-Band*[®] and *Lap-Band AP*tm Systems and *BIB*tm System, increased \$127.8 million, or 89.8%, to \$270.1 million in 2007 compared to \$142.3 million in 2006 primarily due to strong sales growth across all of our principal geographic markets and the full year impact of the Inamed acquisition in 2007 compared to only nine months of sales activity in 2006. Net sales of obesity intervention products were also positively benefited in 2007 compared to 2006 by an approximately three percent increase in the published U.S. list price for our *Lap-Band*[®] System effective July 2, 2007 and our introduction in the United States of a premium priced, next generation Advanced Performance (AP) Band.

Facial aesthetics product net sales, which consist primarily of sales of hyaluronic acid-based and collagen-based dermal fillers used to correct facial wrinkles, increased \$150.7 million, or 289.3%, to \$202.8 million in 2007 compared to \$52.1 million in 2006 primarily due to strong sales growth in all of our principal geographic markets and the full year impact in 2007 of the Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions. Our January 2007 launch of our FDA approved hyaluronic acid-based dermal fillers *Juvéderm*tm Ultra and *Juvéderm*tm Ultra Plus had a positive effect on net sales in the United States in 2007 compared to 2006. The 2007 launch of these products in Canada and Australia also had a positive effect on net sales growth in 2007 compared to 2006. The increase in net sales was partially offset by a general decline in sales of collagen-based dermal fillers due to our reduced promotion efforts associated with those products. Our acquisition of Cornéal in January 2007, had a positive effect on our net sales of facial aesthetic products in Europe and Asia in 2007 compared to 2006.

Net sales of other medical devices were \$2.7 million in 2007 and consisted of sales of ophthalmic surgical devices under a manufacturing and supply agreement. The manufacturing and supply agreement was entered into as part of the July 2007 sale of the former Cornéal ophthalmic surgical device business. This agreement was substantially concluded in December 2007.

Foreign currency changes increased product net sales by \$87.4 million in 2007 compared to 2006, primarily due to the strengthening of the euro, Brazilian real, U.K. pound, Australian dollar and the Canadian dollar compared to the U.S. dollar.

U.S. sales as a percentage of total product net sales decreased by 1.7 percentage points to 65.7% in 2007 compared to U.S. sales of 67.4% in 2006, due primarily to an increase in international specialty pharmaceutical product net sales as a percentage of total specialty pharmaceuticals net sales, partially offset by an increase in U.S. sales of medical devices as a percentage of total medical devices net sales, primarily driven by growth in U.S. sales of *Juvéderm*tm dermal fillers and a decrease in U.S. skin care sales. The increase in the international percentage of specialty pharmaceutical net sales was primarily due to growth in international product net sales of *Botox*[®] and eye care pharmaceuticals.

The \$690.9 million increase in product net sales in 2006 compared to 2005 primarily resulted from \$371.6 million of medical devices product net sales in 2006 following the Inamed acquisition and an increase of \$319.3 million in our specialty pharmaceuticals product net sales. The increase in specialty pharmaceuticals product net sales is due primarily to increases in sales of our eye care pharmaceuticals and *Botox*[®] product lines, partially offset by a decrease in other specialty pharmaceuticals sales, primarily consisting of contract sales to AMO that terminated as scheduled in June 2005.

Eye care pharmaceuticals sales increased in 2006 compared to 2005 primarily because of strong growth in sales of *Restasis*[®], our therapeutic for the treatment of chronic dry eye disease, an increase in sales of our glaucoma drug *Lumigan*[®], growth in sales of eye drop products, primarily *Refresh*[®], an increase in sales of *Elestat*[®], our topical antihistamine used for the prevention of itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis, an increase in sales of *Combigan*tm in Europe, Latin America and Canada, an increase in new product sales of *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.1%, our recently introduced next generation of *Alphagan*[®] for the treatment of glaucoma that was launched in the United States in the first quarter of 2006, strong sales growth of *Zymar*[®], a newer anti-infective, and an increase in sales of *Acular LS*[®], our newer non-steroidal anti-inflammatory. This increase in eye care pharmaceuticals sales was

partially offset by lower sales of *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.15% due to a general decline in U.S. wholesaler demand and the negative effect of generic *Alphagan*[®] competition, a decrease in sales of *Acular*[®], our older generation anti-inflammatory, and lower sales of other glaucoma products. We estimate the majority of the increase in our eye care pharmaceuticals sales was due to a shift in sales mix to a greater percentage of higher priced products, and an overall net increase in the volume of product sold. We increased the published list prices for certain eye care pharmaceutical products in the United States, ranging from five percent to nine percent, effective January 22, 2006. We increased the published U.S. list price for *Lumigan*[®] by five percent, *Restasis*[®] by seven percent, *Alphagan*[®] *P* 0.15% by five percent, *Zymar*[®] by seven percent, and *Acular LS*[®] by nine percent. This increase in prices had a positive net effect on our U.S. sales for 2006, but the actual net effect is difficult to determine due to the various managed care sales rebate and other incentive programs in which we participate. Wholesaler buying patterns and the change in dollar value of prescription product mix also affected our reported net sales dollars, although we are unable to determine the impact of these effects. We have a policy to attempt to maintain average U.S. wholesaler inventory levels of our specialty pharmaceutical products at an amount less than eight weeks of our net sales. At December 31, 2006, based on available external and internal information, we believe the amount of average U.S. wholesaler inventories of our specialty pharmaceutical products was near the lower end of our stated policy levels.

Botox[®] sales increased in 2006 compared to 2005 primarily due to strong growth in demand in the United States and in international markets, excluding Japan, for both cosmetic and therapeutic use. Effective January 1, 2006, we increased the published price for *Botox*[®] and *Botox*[®] Cosmetic in the United States by approximately four percent, which we believe had a positive effect on our U.S. sales growth in 2006, primarily related to sales of Botox® Cosmetic. In the United States, the actual net effect from the increase in price for sales of *Botox*[®] for therapeutic use is difficult to determine, primarily due to rebate programs with U.S. federal and state government agencies. International Botox® sales benefited from strong sales growth for both cosmetic and therapeutic use in Europe, Latin America and Asia Pacific outside Japan. This increase in international *Botox*[®] sales was partially offset by a \$38.8 million decrease in international sales of *Botox*[®] for therapeutic use in Japan, where we adopted a third party license and distribution business model as a result of our long-term agreement with GlaxoSmithKline, or GSK, that commenced in September 2005. Based on internal information and assumptions, we estimate in 2006 that Botox® therapeutic sales accounted for approximately 52% of total consolidated *Botox*[®] net sales and cosmetic sales accounted for approximately 48% of total consolidated $Botox^{(0)}$ net sales. Therapeutic and cosmetic net sales increased by approximately 8% and 32%. respectively in 2006 compared to 2005. The growth rate in *Botox*[®] therapeutic net sales was negatively impacted in 2006 by the \$38.8 million reduction in net sales in Japan in 2006 compared to 2005 due to our long-term agreement with GSK. Excluding this net sales reduction of \$38.8 million in Japan, therapeutic *Botox*® net sales increased by 17% in 2006 compared to 2005. We believe our worldwide market share for neuromodulators, including *Botox*[®], was over 85%.

Skin care sales increased in 2006 compared to 2005 primarily due to higher sales of *Tazorac*[®], *Zorac*[®], *Avage*[®] and *MD Forte*[®]. Net sales of *Tazorac*[®], *Zorac*[®] and *Avage*[®] increased \$4.3 million, or 4.9%, to \$91.2 million in 2006, compared to \$86.9 million in 2005. The increase in sales of *Tazorac*[®], *Zorac*[®] and *Avage*[®] resulted primarily from our increasing the published U.S. list price for these products by nine percent effective January 14, 2006.

Net sales from medical device products were \$371.6 million in 2006. Product net sales consisted of \$177.2 million related to breast aesthetics, \$142.3 million for obesity intervention and \$52.1 million for facial aesthetics. Medical device product net sales have been included in our consolidated product net sales effective March 23, 2006, the date of the Inamed acquisition.

Foreign currency changes increased product net sales by \$15.2 million in 2006 compared to 2005, primarily due to the strengthening of the euro, U.K. pound, Canadian dollar and Brazilian real, partially offset by the weakening of the Australian dollar and other Asian and Latin American currencies compared to the U.S. dollar.

U.S. sales as a percentage of total product net sales decreased by 0.1 percentage points to 67.4% in 2006 compared to U.S. sales of 67.5% in 2005, due primarily to the impact of sales of medical device products, which had a lower amount of U.S. sales as a percentage of total product net sales compared to our pharmaceutical products, and a decrease in U.S. other non-pharmaceutical sales, partially offset by an increase in U.S. *Botox*[®] sales as a percentage of total pharmaceutical product net sales.

Other Revenues

Other revenues increased \$6.7 million to \$59.9 million in 2007 compared to \$53.2 million in 2006. The increase in other revenues in 2007 compared to 2006 is primarily due to an increase of approximately \$7.7 million in royalty income earned principally from sales of *Botox*[®] in Japan and China by GlaxoSmithKline, or GSK, under a license agreement, and other miscellaneous royalty income, partially offset by a decrease of approximately \$1.0 million in reimbursement income, primarily related to services provided in connection with a contractual agreement for the development of *Posurdex*[®] for the ophthalmic specialty pharmaceutical market in Japan.

Other revenues increased \$29.8 million to \$53.2 million in 2006 compared to \$23.4 million in 2005. The increase in other revenues in 2006 compared to 2005 is primarily related to an increase of approximately \$18.0 million in royalty income earned principally from sales of *Botox*[®] in Japan by GSK and other miscellaneous royalty agreements, and an increase of approximately \$11.8 million in reimbursement income, earned primarily from services provided in connection with contractual agreements related to the development and promotion of *Botox*[®] in Japan and China, the co-promotion of GSK s products *Imitrex Statdose System* and *Amerge*[®] in the United States to neurologists, and services performed under a co-promotion agreement for a third-party skin care product.

Income and Expenses

The following table sets forth the relationship to product net sales of various items in our consolidated statements of operations:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Product net sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Other revenues	1.5	1.7	1.0
Operating costs and expenses:			
Cost of sales (excludes amortization of acquired intangible assets)	17.4	19.1	16.6
Selling, general and administrative	43.3	44.3	40.4
Research and development	18.5	35.1	16.7
Amortization of acquired intangible assets	3.1	2.6	0.8
Restructuring charges	0.7	0.7	1.9
Operating income (loss)	18.5	(0.1)	24.6
Non-operating income (expense)	(0.8)	(0.5)	1.2
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest	17.7%	(0.6)%	25.8%
Net earnings (loss) from continuing operations	12.9%	(4.2)%	17.4%

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales increased \$97.5 million, or 16.9%, in 2007 to \$673.2 million, or 17.4% of product net sales, compared to \$575.7 million, or 19.1% of product net sales in 2006. Cost of sales includes charges of \$3.3 million in 2007 and

Table of Contents

\$47.9 million in 2006 for purchase accounting fair-market value inventory adjustment rollouts related to the 2007 acquisitions of Cornéal and Esprit and the 2006 acquisition of Inamed, respectively. Excluding the effect of these purchase accounting charges, cost of sales increased \$142.1 million, or 26.9%, in 2007 compared to 2006. This increase in cost of sales, excluding the effect of purchase accounting charges, in 2007 compared to the 2006 primarily resulted from the 28.9% increase in product net sales. Cost of sales as a percentage of product net sales, excluding the effect of 17.3% in 2007 from 17.5% in 2006. Cost of sales as a percentage of product net sales declined during 2007 compared to 2006 primarily as a result of the January 2007 launch of *Juvéderm*tm Ultra and *Juvéderm*tm Ultra Plus and the November 2006 FDA approval of certain silicone gel-filled breast implants in the United States. These products generally have lower cost of sales as a percentage of

product net sales compared to our collagen-based dermal fillers and saline-filled breast implants. Additionally, higher levels of production of medical device products during 2007 compared to 2006 led to improved manufacturing efficiencies. These improvements in cost of sales as a percentage of product net sales were partially offset by the impact of the overall increase in our medical device product net sales, which generally have a higher cost of sales percentage compared to our specialty pharmaceutical products.

Cost of sales increased \$190.4 million, or 49.4%, in 2006 to \$575.7 million, or 19.1% of product net sales, compared to \$385.3 million, or 16.6% of product net sales in 2005. Cost of sales in dollars increased in 2006 compared to 2005 primarily as a result of the 29.8% increase in product net sales and the increase in the mix of medical device product net sales relative to total product net sales. Our cost of sales as a percentage of product net sales for 2006 increased 2.5 percentage points from our cost of sales percentage in 2005, primarily as a result of incremental cost of sales of \$47.9 million associated with the Inamed acquisition purchase accounting fair-market value inventory adjustment that was fully recognized as cost of sales in 2006, sales of our medical device products, which generally have a higher cost of sales percentage for *Botox*[®]. Cost of sales in 2006 also includes \$0.9 million related to integration and transition costs associated with the Inamed acquisition and \$3.0 million of costs associated with stock option compensation. The increase in the cost of sales percentage in 2006 compared to 2005 was partially offset by the \$46.4 million decrease in other non-pharmaceutical sales, primarily contract manufacturing sales related to AMO, which had a significantly higher cost of sales percentage than our pharmaceutical sales.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative, or SG&A, expenses increased \$346.7 million, or 26.0%, to \$1,680.1 million, or 43.3% of product net sales, in 2007 compared to \$1,333.4 million, or 44.3% of product net sales in 2006. The current year increase in the dollar amount of SG&A expenses primarily relates to a substantial increase in promotion, selling and marketing expenses and an increase in general and administrative expenses to support the continuing growth in revenues. Promotion expenses primarily increased due to additional costs to promote our medical device product lines that we obtained in the Inamed acquisition, including an increase in direct-to-consumer advertising and other promotional costs for our Lap-Band® System, Juvédermtm Ultra and Juvédermtm Ultra Plus dermal fillers, and Natrelle® silicone breast implant products. The increase in selling and marketing expenses principally relate to personnel and related incentive compensation costs driven by the expansion of our U.S. and European facial aesthetics, neuroscience, breast implant and obesity intervention sales forces. The increase in selling and marketing expenses in 2007 compared to 2006 was also impacted by an increase in our U.S. and European ophthalmology sales forces, the addition of the Esprit sales personnel in the fourth quarter of 2007 and launch related expenses for Sanctura XRtm and Combigantm. General and administrative expenses increased in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to an increase in incentive compensation, legal, finance, information systems, human resources and facilities costs. Additionally, we did not incur any significant SG&A expenses related to our medical device product lines prior to our acquisition of Inamed in March 2006. In 2007, SG&A expenses also include \$14.5 million of integration and transition costs related to the Esprit, Cornéal, EndoArt and Inamed acquisitions, \$6.4 million of expenses associated with the settlement of a patent dispute assumed in the Inamed acquisition that related to tissue expanders and \$2.3 million of expenses associated with the settlement of a pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement between Cornéal and one of our subsidiaries. In 2006, SG&A expenses also included a \$28.5 million contribution to The Allergan Foundation, \$19.6 million of integration and transition costs related to the acquisition of Inamed and \$5.7 million of transition and duplicate operating expenses, including a loss of \$3.4 million on the sale of our Mougins, France facility, primarily related to the restructuring and streamlining of our European operations. SG&A expenses as a percentage of product net sales declined in 2007 compared to 2006 due primarily to lower general and administrative and selling expenses, partially offset by higher promotion and marketing expenses, as a percentage of product net sales.

SG&A expenses increased \$396.6 million, or 42.3%, to \$1,333.4 million, or 44.3% of product net sales in 2006 compared to \$936.8 million, or 40.4% of product net sales in 2005. The increase in the dollar amount of SG&A expenses primarily related to increased SG&A expenses associated with the Inamed acquisition, an increase in selling expenses, principally personnel costs driven by the expansion of our U.S. facial aesthetics, neuroscience and ophthalmology sales forces and our European glaucoma sales force to promote growth in consolidated product

sales, especially for *Restasis*[®], *Lumigan*[®], *Combigan*tm, *Botox*[®] and *Botox*[®] Cosmetic, and to support our agreement with GSK to promote GSK s Imitrex Statdose System and Amerge® products in the United States. SG&A also increased in 2006 compared to 2005 due to an increase in marketing expenses supporting our expanded selling efforts, higher general and administrative expenses, primarily incentive compensation costs, legal costs and bank fees, an increase in integration and transition costs related to the Inamed acquisition of \$19.6 million, additional costs associated with the recording of stock option compensation of \$34.6 million starting in 2006, and a \$1.9 million increase in transition and duplicate operating expenses associated with the restructuring and streamlining of our European operations, to \$5.7 million in 2006, which includes a loss of \$3.4 million on the sale of our Mougins, France facility, compared to \$3.8 million in 2005. In addition, SG&A expenses increased in 2006 compared to 2005 due to pre-tax gains in 2005 totaling \$14.2 million that did not recur in 2006. These gains in 2005 consisted of a \$7.9 million pre-tax gain on the sale of our contact lens care and surgical distribution business in India to a subsidiary of AMO, a \$5.7 million pre-tax gain on the sale of assets primarily used for contract manufacturing and the former distribution of AMO related products at our manufacturing facility in Ireland, and a \$0.6 million pre-tax gain from the sale of a former manufacturing plant in Argentina. SG&A expenses in 2006 also included a \$28.5 million contribution to The Allergan Foundation compared to a \$2.0 million contribution in 2005. SG&A expenses as a percentage of product net sales increased in 2006 compared to 2005 due primarily to higher selling expenses and general and administrative costs, partially offset by lower promotion expenses as a percentage of product net sales.

Research and Development

Research and development, or R&D, expenses decreased \$337.4 million, or 32.0%, to \$718.1 million in 2007, or 18.5% of product net sales, compared to \$1,055.5 million, or 35.1% of product net sales in 2006. For the year ended December 31, 2007, R&D expenses include a charge of \$72.0 million for in-process research and development assets acquired in the EndoArt acquisition, and for 2006 include a charge of \$579.3 million for in-process research and development assets acquired in the Inamed acquisition. In-process research and development represents an estimate of the fair value of purchased in-process technology as of the date of acquisition that had not reached technical feasibility and had no alternative future uses in its current state. Excluding the effect of the in-process research and development charges, R&D expenses increased by \$169.9 million, or 35.7%, to \$646.1 million in 2007, or 16.7% of product net sales, compared to \$476.2 million, or 15.8% of product net sales in 2006. The increase in R&D expenses, excluding the in-process research and development charges, primarily resulted from higher rates of investment in our eye care pharmaceuticals and *Botox*[®] product lines, increased spending for new pharmaceutical technologies and the addition of development expenses associated with our medical device products acquired in the EndoArt, Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions. R&D spending increases in 2007 compared to 2006 were primarily driven by an increase in clinical trial costs associated with *Posurdex*[®], *Trivaris*tm, certain *Botox*[®] indications for overactive bladder and migraine headache, and alpha agonists for the treatment of neuropathic pain, and an increase in costs related to breast implant follow-up studies and additional spending on obesity intervention technologies. R&D spending on memantine declined during 2007 compared to 2006. The increase in R&D expenses, excluding the in-process research and development charges, as a percentage of product net sales in 2007 compared to 2006 was primarily due to the 35.7% increase in R&D expenses relative to the lower percentage increase in product net sales during the same period.

R&D expenses increased \$667.2 million, or 171.8%, to \$1,055.5 million in 2006, or 35.1% of product net sales, compared to \$388.3 million, or 16.7% of product net sales in 2005. For the year ended December 31, 2006, R&D expenses include a charge of \$579.3 million for in-process research and development acquired in the Inamed acquisition. Excluding the effect of the \$579.3 million Inamed in-process research and development charge, R&D expenses increased by \$87.9 million, or 22.6%, to \$476.2 million in 2006, or 15.8% of product net sales, compared to \$388.3 million, or 16.7% of product net sales in 2005. The increase in R&D expenses, excluding the \$579.3 million in-process research and development charge, was primarily a result of higher rates of investment in our eye care pharmaceuticals and *Botox*[®] product lines, increased spending for new pharmaceutical technologies, the addition of development expenses associated with our medical device products acquired in the Inamed acquisition, and

\$11.0 million of additional costs associated with stock option compensation, partially offset by a decline in spending for our skin care product line. R&D expenses in 2006

include \$0.2 million of integration and transition costs related to the Inamed acquisition and \$0.5 million of transition and duplicate operating expenses related to the restructuring and streamlining of our operations in Europe. Included in our spending for research and development in 2005 is approximately \$10.4 million in costs, which did not recur in 2006, associated with two third party technology license and development agreements associated with in-process technologies and a buy-out of a license agreement with John Hopkins University associated with ongoing *Botox*[®] research activities. Spending increases in 2006 compared to 2005 were primarily driven by an increase in clinical trial costs associated with *Posurdex*[®], memantine, and certain *Botox*[®] indications for overactive bladder, migraine headache and benign prostatic hypertrophy. The decrease in R&D expenses, excluding the in-process research and development charge, as a percentage of product net sales in 2006 compared to 2005 was primarily due to our medical device products acquired in the acquisition of Inamed, which have a lower level of R&D spending as a percentage of product net sales relative to our specialty pharmaceutical products.

Amortization of Acquired Intangible Assets

Amortization of acquired intangible assets increased \$41.7 million to \$121.3 million in 2007, or 3.1% of product net sales, compared to \$79.6 million, or 2.6% of product net sales in 2006. This increase in amortization expense in dollars and as a percentage of product net sales is primarily due to an increase in amortization of acquired intangible assets related to the 2007 acquisitions of Esprit, EndoArt and Cornéal and a full-year impact during 2007 from the Inamed acquisition that was completed on March 23, 2006.

Amortization of acquired intangible assets increased \$62.1 million to \$79.6 million in 2006, or 2.6% of product net sales, compared to \$17.5 million, or 0.8% of product net sales in 2005. This increase in amortization expense in dollars and as a percentage of product net sales in 2006 compared to 2005 is primarily due to an increase in amortization of intangible assets related to the Inamed acquisition and capitalized payments to third party licensors related to achievement of regulatory approvals to commercialize *Juvéderm*tm dermal filler products in the United States and Australia.

Restructuring Charges, Integration Costs and Transition and Duplicate Operating Expenses

Restructuring charges in 2007 were \$26.8 million compared to \$22.3 million in 2006 and \$43.8 million in 2005. The \$4.5 million increase in restructuring charges in 2007 compared to 2006 is primarily due to an increase in restructuring costs associated with the integration of the Cornéal operations, partially offset by a decrease in restructuring costs associated with the integration of the Inamed operations and the streamlining of our European operations. The \$21.5 million decrease in restructuring cost are restructuring activities related to the streamlining of our European operations and the termination of our manufacturing and supply agreement with AMO, which terminated as scheduled in June 2005, partially offset by an increase in restructuring costs associated with the integration of the Inamed operations that we acquired in 2006.

Restructuring and Integration of Cornéal Operations

In connection with our January 2007 Cornéal acquisition, we initiated a restructuring and integration plan to merge the Cornéal facial aesthetics business operations with our operations. Specifically, the restructuring and integration activities involve moving key business functions to our locations, integrating Cornéal s distributor operations with our existing distribution network and integrating Cornéal s information systems with our information systems. We currently estimate that the total pre-tax charges resulting from the restructuring and integration of the Cornéal facial aesthetics business operations will be between \$29.0 million and \$36.0 million, consisting primarily of contract termination costs, salaries, travel and consulting costs, all of which are expected to be cash expenditures.

The foregoing estimates are based on assumptions relating to, among other things, a reduction of approximately 20 positions, principally general and administrative positions at Cornéal locations. Charges associated with the workforce reduction, including severance, relocation and one-time termination benefits, and payments to public employment and training programs, are currently expected to total approximately \$3.5 million to \$4.5 million. Estimated charges include estimates for contract termination costs, including the

termination of duplicative distribution arrangements. Contract termination costs are expected to total approximately \$16.0 million to \$21.0 million.

We began to record costs associated with the restructuring and integration of the Cornéal facial aesthetics business in the first quarter of 2007 and expect to continue to incur costs up through and including the second quarter of 2008. The restructuring charges primarily consist of employee severance, one-time termination benefits, employee relocation, termination of duplicative distributor agreements and other costs related to the restructuring of the Cornéal operations. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded \$16.6 million related to the restructuring of the Cornéal operations. The integration and transition costs primarily consist of salaries, travel, communications, recruitment and consulting costs. During 2007, we also recorded \$8.5 million of integration and transition costs associated with the Cornéal integration, consisting of \$0.1 million in cost of sales and \$8.4 million in SG&A expenses.

The following table presents the cumulative restructuring activities related to the Cornéal operations during the year ended December 31, 2007:

	Employee Severance	Contract Termination Costs (in millions)	Total
Net charge during 2007 Spending	\$ 3.8 (1.0)	\$ 12.8 (4.9)	\$ 16.6 (5.9)
Balance at December 31, 2007 (\$6.0 million included in Other accrued expenses and \$4.7 million included in Accounts payable)	\$ 2.8	\$ 7.9	\$ 10.7

Restructuring and Integration of Inamed Operations

In connection with the March 2006 Inamed acquisition, we initiated a global restructuring and integration plan to merge Inamed s operations with our operations and to capture synergies through the centralization of certain general and administrative and commercial functions. Specifically, the restructuring and integration activities involved a workforce reduction of approximately 60 positions, principally general and administrative positions, moving key commercial Inamed business functions to our locations around the world, integrating Inamed s distributor operations with our existing distribution network and integrating Inamed s information systems with our information systems.

On January 30, 2007, our Board of Directors approved an additional plan to restructure and eventually sell or close our collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California that we acquired in the Inamed acquisition. This plan is the result of a reduction in anticipated future market demand for human and bovine collagen products.

With the exception of the restructuring of the collagen manufacturing facility, which currently is expected to be completed by the end of the fourth quarter of 2008, we substantially completed all activities related to the restructuring and operational integration of the former Inamed operations during 2007. As of December 31, 2007, we have recorded cumulative pre-tax restructuring charges of \$22.7 million, cumulative pre-tax integration and transition costs of \$26.0 million, and \$1.6 million for income tax costs related to intercompany transfers of trade businesses and net assets. Cumulative restructuring charges consist of \$21.0 million related to the global restructuring and integration plan to merge Inamed s operations with our operations, and \$1.7 million related to the restructuring of the collagen manufacturing facility. The restructuring charges primarily consist of employee severance, one-time termination

benefits, employee relocation, termination of duplicative distributor agreements and other costs related to restructuring the former Inamed operations. During 2007 and 2006, we recorded pre-tax restructuring charges of \$9.2 million and \$13.5 million, respectively. The integration and transition costs primarily consist of salaries, travel, communications, recruitment and consulting costs. During 2007, we recorded \$5.3 million of integration and transition costs associated with the Inamed integration, consisting of \$0.1 million in cost of sales and \$5.2 million in SG&A expenses. During 2006, we recorded \$20.7 million of integration and transition costs, consisting of \$0.9 million in cost of sales, \$19.6 million in SG&A expenses and \$0.2 million in R&D expenses. During 2006, we also recorded \$1.6 million for income tax costs related to intercompany transfers of trade businesses and net assets, which we included in our provision for income taxes.

In connection with the restructuring and eventual sale or closure of the collagen manufacturing facility, we estimate that total pre-tax restructuring charges for severance, lease termination and contract settlement costs will be between \$6.0 million and \$8.0 million, all of which are expected to be cash expenditures. The foregoing estimates are based on assumptions relating to, among other things, a reduction of approximately 59 positions, consisting principally of manufacturing positions at the facility, that are expected to result in estimated total employee severance costs of approximately \$1.5 million to \$2.0 million. Estimated charges for contract and lease termination costs are expected to total approximately \$4.5 million to \$6.0 million. We began to record these costs in the first quarter of 2007 and expect to continue to incur them up through and including the fourth quarter of 2008. Prior to any closure or sale of the collagen manufacturing facility, we intend to manufacture a sufficient quantity of collagen products to meet estimated market demand through 2010.

The following table presents the cumulative restructuring activities related to the combined effects of the global restructuring of the Inamed operations and restructuring of the collagen manufacturing facility through December 31, 2007:

	Employee Severance	Contract and Lease Termination Costs (in millions)	Total
Net charge during 2006	\$ 6.1	\$ 7.4	\$ 13.5
Spending	(2.1)	(2.5)	(4.6)
Balance at December 31, 2006	4.0	4.9	8.9
Net charge during 2007	3.6	5.6	9.2
Spending	(5.7)	(9.5)	(15.2)
Balance at December 31, 2007 (included in Other accrued expenses)	\$ 1.9	\$ 1.0	\$ 2.9

Restructuring and Streamlining of European Operations

Effective January 2005, our Board of Directors approved the initiation and implementation of a restructuring of certain activities related to our European operations to optimize operations, improve resource allocation and create a scalable, lower cost and more efficient operating model for our European R&D and commercial activities. Specifically, the restructuring involved moving key European R&D and select commercial functions from our Mougins, France and other European locations to our Irvine, California, Marlow, United Kingdom and Dublin, Ireland facilities and streamlining functions in our European management services group. The workforce reduction began in the first quarter of 2005 and was substantially completed by the close of the second quarter of 2006.

As of December 31, 2006, we substantially completed all activities related to the restructuring and streamlining of our European operations. As of December 31, 2006, we recorded cumulative pre-tax restructuring charges of \$37.5 million, primarily related to severance, relocation and one-time termination benefits, payments to public employment and training programs, contract termination costs and capital and other asset-related expenses. During 2007, we recorded an additional \$1.0 million of restructuring charges for an abandoned leased facility related to our European operations. During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, we recorded \$8.6 million and \$28.9 million, respectively, of restructuring charges related to our European operations. As of December 31, 2007,

remaining accrued expenses of \$6.2 million for restructuring charges related to the restructuring and streamlining of our European operations are included in Other accrued expenses and Other liabilities in the amount of \$2.8 million and \$3.4 million, respectively.

Additionally, as of December 31, 2006, we had incurred cumulative transition and duplicate operating expenses of \$11.8 million relating primarily to legal, consulting, recruiting, information system implementation costs and taxes in connection with the European restructuring activities. For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recorded \$6.2 million of transition and duplicate operating expenses, including a \$3.4 million loss related to the sale of our Mougins, France facility, consisting of \$5.7 million in SG&A expenses and \$0.5 million in R&D expenses. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we recorded \$5.6 million of transition and duplicate operating expenses. There

were no transition and duplicate operating expenses related to the restructuring and streamlining of our European operations recorded in 2007.

Other Restructuring Activities and Integration Costs

Included in 2007 are \$0.8 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, of SG&A expenses related to miscellaneous integration costs associated with the Esprit and EndoArt acquisitions.

Included in 2006 and 2005 are \$0.6 million and \$14.5 million, respectively, of restructuring charges related to the scheduled June 2005 termination of our manufacturing and supply agreement with Advanced Medical Optics, which we spun-off in June 2002. Also included in 2006 and 2005 is a \$0.4 million restructuring charge reversal and \$2.3 million of restructuring charges, respectively, related to the streamlining of our operations in Japan.

On January 30, 2008, we announced the phased closure of our breast implant manufacturing facility at Arklow, Ireland and the transfer of production to our state-of-the-art manufacturing plant in Costa Rica. The Arklow facility was acquired by us in connection with our 2006 Inamed acquisition and employs 360 people. Production at the plant will be phased out between 2008 and 2009. We currently expect to incur restructuring and other transition related costs beginning in the first quarter of 2008 and continuing up through 2009 of between \$60 million and \$65 million.

Operating Income (Loss)

Management evaluates business segment performance on an operating income (loss) basis exclusive of general and administrative expenses and other indirect costs, restructuring charges, in-process research and development expenses, amortization of identifiable intangible assets related to the Esprit, EndoArt, Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions and certain other adjustments, which are not allocated to our business segments for performance assessment by our chief operating decision maker. Other adjustments excluded from our business segments for purposes of performance assessment represent income or expenses that do not reflect, according to established company-defined criteria, operating income or expenses associated with our core business activities.

General and administrative expenses, other indirect costs and other adjustments not allocated to our business segments for purposes of performance assessment consisted of the following items: for 2007, general and administrative expenses of \$292.1 million, integration and transition costs related to the Esprit, EndoArt, Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions of \$14.7 million, \$6.4 million of expenses associated with the settlement of a patent dispute, \$2.3 million of expenses associated with the settlement of a pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement between Cornéal and one of our subsidiaries, purchase accounting fair-market value inventory adjustments related to the Esprit and Cornéal acquisitions of \$3.3 million and other net indirect costs of \$18.1 million; for 2006, general and administrative expenses of \$244.8 million, integration and transition costs related to Inamed operations of \$20.7 million, a purchase accounting fair-market value inventory adjustment related to the Inamed acquisition of \$47.9 million, transition and duplicate operating expenses relating to the restructuring and streamlining of our operations in Europe of \$6.2 million, a contribution to The Allergan Foundation of \$28.5 million, and other net indirect costs of \$3.6 million; and for 2005, general and administrative expenses of \$159.0 million, transition and duplicate operating expenses relating to the restructuring and streamlining of our operations in Europe of \$5.6 million, pre-tax gains totaling \$14.2 million on the sale of our contact lens care and surgical distribution business in India, the sale of assets at our manufacturing facility in Ireland and the sale of a former manufacturing plant in Argentina, the buyout of a license agreement of \$3.0 million, and other net indirect income of \$5.2 million.

The following table presents operating income (loss) for each reportable segment for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and a reconciliation of our segment operating income to consolidated operating income (loss):

	2007	2006 (in millions)	2005
Operating income (loss): Specialty pharmaceuticals	\$ 1,047.9	\$ 888.8	\$ 762.9
Medical devices	207.1	119.9	ψ 702.9
Total segments General and administrative expenses, other indirect costs and other	1,255.0	1,008.7	762.9
adjustments In-process research and development	336.9 72.0	351.7 579.3	148.2
Amortization of acquired intangible assets(a) Restructuring charges	99.9 26.8	58.6 22.3	43.8
Total operating income (loss)	\$ 719.4	\$ (3.2)	\$ 570.9

(a) Represents amortization of identifiable intangible assets related to the Esprit, EndoArt, Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions, as applicable.

Our consolidated operating income for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$719.4 million, or 18.5% of product net sales, compared to a consolidated operating loss of \$3.2 million, or (0.1)% of product net sales in 2006. The \$722.6 million increase in consolidated operating income was due to an \$868.9 million increase in product net sales, a \$6.7 million increase in other revenues and a \$337.4 million decrease in R&D expenses, partially offset by a \$97.5 million increase in cost of sales, a \$346.7 million increase in SG&A expenses, a \$41.7 million increase in amortization of acquired intangible assets and a \$4.5 million increase in restructuring charges.

Our specialty pharmaceuticals segment operating income in 2007 was \$1,047.9 million, compared to operating income of \$888.8 million in 2006. The \$159.1 million increase in specialty pharmaceuticals segment operating income was due primarily to an increase in product net sales of our eye care pharmaceuticals and *Botox*[®] product lines, partially offset by an increase in cost of sales, an increase in promotion, selling and marketing expenses, primarily due to increased sales personnel costs and additional promotion and marketing expenses to support our expanded selling efforts and new products, including new products acquired in the Esprit acquisition, and an increase in R&D expenses.

Our medical devices segment operating income in 2007 was \$207.1 million, compared to operating income of \$119.9 million in 2006. The increase in our medical devices segment operating income of \$87.2 million in 2007 was due primarily to an increase in product net sales, and the combined operating results of the EndoArt, Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions in the current year compared to only nine months of operating results for the Inamed acquisition in 2006, partially offset by an increase in cost of sales, an increase in promotion, selling and marketing expenses, including an increase in direct-to-consumer advertising expenses, and an increase in R&D expenses.

Our consolidated operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 3.2 million, or (0.1)% of product net sales, compared to consolidated operating income of 570.9 million, or 24.6% of product net sales in 2005. The

\$574.1 million decrease in consolidated operating income was due to a \$190.4 million increase in cost of sales, a \$396.6 million increase in SG&A expenses, a \$667.2 million increase in R&D expenses, and a \$62.1 million increase in amortization of acquired intangible assets, partially offset by a \$690.9 million increase in product net sales, a \$29.8 million increase in other revenues and a \$21.5 million decrease in restructuring charges.

Our specialty pharmaceuticals segment operating income in 2006 was \$888.8 million, compared to operating income of \$762.9 million in 2005. The \$125.9 million increase in specialty pharmaceuticals segment operating income was due primarily to an increase in product net sales of our eye care pharmaceuticals and *Botox*[®] product lines, partially offset by an increase in cost of sales, including the effect of a small increase in our cost of sales

percentage for *Botox*[®], an increase in selling and marketing expenses, primarily due to increased personnel costs, and an increase in research and development expenses.

The increase in our medical devices segment operating income of \$119.9 million in 2006 compared to 2005 was due to the March 2006 Inamed acquisition. We did not have medical devices segment operating income prior to the Inamed acquisition.

Non-Operating Income and Expenses

Total net non-operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007 were \$31.7 million compared to \$16.3 million in 2006. Interest income in 2007 was \$65.3 million compared to interest income of \$48.9 million in 2006. The increase in interest income was primarily due to higher average cash equivalent balances, earning interest, of approximately \$143 million and an increase in average interest rates earned on all cash equivalent balances earning interest of approximately 0.27% in 2007 compared to 2006 and a \$4.9 million reversal during 2006 of previously recognized estimated statutory interest income related to a matter involving the recovery of previously paid state income taxes. Interest expense increased \$11.2 million to \$71.4 million in 2007 compared to \$60.2 million in 2006, primarily due to an increase in average outstanding borrowings for 2007 compared to 2006 and a \$4.9 million reversal of previously accrued statutory interest expense included in 2006 associated with the resolution of several significant uncertain income tax audit issues, partially offset by the write-off of unamortized debt origination fees of \$4.4 million in 2006 due to the redemption of our Zero Coupon Convertible Senior Notes due 2022, or 2022 Notes. We incurred a substantial increase in borrowings to fund the Inamed acquisition on March 23, 2006.

We recorded a net gain of \$0.3 million on the sale of third party equity investments in 2006. There were no similar gains or losses recognized during 2007. At December 31, 2007, we had a carrying amount of \$8.0 million, with a cost basis of \$5.0 million, in third party equity investments with public and privately held companies. These investments are subject to review for other than temporary declines in fair value on a quarterly basis.

During 2007, we recorded a net unrealized loss on derivative instruments of \$0.4 million compared to a net unrealized loss of \$0.3 million in 2006. Other, net expense was \$25.2 million in 2007, consisting primarily of \$25.0 million in net realized losses from foreign currency transactions primarily due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar. Other, net expense was \$5.0 million in 2006, which includes \$2.7 million of costs for the settlement of a previously disclosed contingency involving non-income taxes in Brazil and net realized losses from foreign currency transactions of \$3.2 million.

Total net non-operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$16.3 million compared to net non-operating income of \$28.3 million in 2005. Interest income in 2006 was \$48.9 million compared to interest income of \$35.4 million in 2005. The increase in interest income in 2006 was primarily due to higher average cash equivalent balances earning interest of approximately \$139 million and an increase in average interest rates earned on all cash equivalent balances earning interest of approximately 1.44% in 2006 compared to 2005. The increase in interest income in 2006 compared to 2005 was partially offset by a \$4.9 million reversal of previously recognized estimated statutory interest income related to a matter involving the expected recovery of previously paid state income in 2005 included the recognition of \$2.1 million to \$60.2 million in 2006 compared to \$12.4 million in 2005, primarily due to an increase in borrowings to fund the Inamed acquisition and the write-off of unamortized debt origination fees of \$4.4 million due to the redemption of our 2022 Notes, partially offset by a \$4.9 million reversal of previously accrued statutory interest expense associated with the resolution of several significant uncertain income tax audit issues.

Gains on investments of \$0.3 million in 2006 and \$0.8 million in 2005 resulted from the sale of miscellaneous third party equity investments.

During 2006, we recorded a net unrealized loss on derivative instruments of \$0.3 million compared to a net unrealized gain of \$1.1 million in 2005. Other, net expense was \$5.0 million in 2006 compared to Other, net income

of \$3.4 million in 2005. In 2006, Other, net expense primarily includes \$2.7 million of costs for the settlement of a previously disclosed contingency involving non-income taxes in Brazil and net realized losses from foreign currency transactions of \$3.2 million. In 2005, Other, net primarily includes a gain of \$3.5 million for the receipt of a technology transfer fee related to the assignment of a third party patent licensing arrangement covering the use of botulinum toxin type B for cervical dystonia and net realized losses from foreign currency transactions of \$1.0 million.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate in 2007 was 27.1% compared to the effective tax rate of 551.3% in 2006. Included in our operating income for 2007 are pre-tax charges of \$72.0 million for in-process research and development acquired in the EndoArt acquisition, a \$3.3 million charge to cost of sales associated with the combined Esprit and Cornéal purchase accounting fair-market value inventory adjustment rollouts, \$2.3 million of expenses associated with the settlement of a pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement between Cornéal and one of our subsidiaries, total integration and transition costs of \$14.7 million related to the Esprit, EndoArt, Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions, total restructuring charges of \$26.8 million and a legal settlement cost of \$6.4 million. In 2007, we recorded income tax benefits of \$1.3 million related to the combined Esprit and Cornéal purchase accounting fair-market value inventory adjustment rollouts, \$3.6 million related to the total integration and transition costs, \$8.0 million related to the total restructuring charges and \$2.5 million related to the legal settlement cost. We did not record any income tax benefit for the in-process research and development charges or the expenses associated with the settlement of the pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement between Cornéal and one of our subsidiaries. Also included in the provision for income taxes in 2007 is \$1.6 million of tax benefit related to state income tax refunds resulting from the settlement of tax audits. Excluding the impact of the total pre-tax charges of \$125.5 million and the total net income tax benefit of \$17.0 million for the items discussed above, our adjusted effective tax rate for 2007 was 25.0%. We believe that the use of an adjusted effective tax rate provides a more meaningful measure of the impact of income taxes on our results of operations because it excludes the effect of certain discrete items that are not included as part of our core business activities. This allows stockholders to better determine the effective tax rate associated with our core business activities.

The calculation of our adjusted effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2007 is summarized below:

	2007 (in millions)
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest, as reported	\$ 687.7
In-process research and development expense	72.0
Esprit and Cornéal fair-market value inventory rollouts	3.3
Settlement of pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement with Cornéal	2.3
Total integration and transition costs	14.7
Total restructuring charges	26.8
Legal settlement cost	6.4
	\$ 813.2
Provision for income taxes, as reported	\$ 186.2
Income tax benefit for:	
Esprit and Cornéal fair-market value inventory rollouts	1.3
Total integration and transition costs	3.6
Total restructuring charges	8.0
Legal settlement cost	2.5
State income tax refunds	1.6
	\$ 203.2

Adjusted effective tax rate

Our effective tax rate in 2006 was 551.3% compared to the effective tax rate of 32.1% in 2005. Included in our operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2006 are pre-tax charges of \$579.3 million for in-process research and development acquired in the Inamed acquisition, a \$47.9 million charge to cost of sales associated with the Inamed purchase accounting fair-market value inventory adjustment rollout, total integration, transition and duplicate operating expenses of \$26.9 million related to the Inamed acquisition and restructuring and streamlining of our European operations, a \$28.5 million contribution to The Allergan Foundation and total restructuring charges of \$22.3 million. In 2006, we recorded income tax benefits of \$15.7 million related to the Inamed purchase accounting fair-market value inventory adjustment rollout, \$9.1 million related to total integration, transition and duplicate operating expenses, \$11.3 million related to the contribution to The Allergan Foundation and \$3.5 million related to total restructuring charges. Also included in the provision for income taxes in 2006 is a \$17.2 million reduction in the provision for income taxes due to the reversal of the valuation allowance against a deferred tax asset that we have determined is realizable, a reduction of \$14.5 million in estimated income taxes payable primarily due to the resolution of several significant previously uncertain income tax audit issues associated with the completion of an audit by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service for tax years 2000 to 2002, a \$2.8 million reduction in income taxes payable previously estimated for the 2005 repatriation of foreign earnings that had been permanently re-invested outside the United States, a beneficial change of \$1.2 million for the expected income tax benefit for previously paid state income taxes, which became recoverable due to a favorable state court decision concluded in 2004, an unfavorable adjustment of \$3.9 million for a previously filed income tax return currently under examination and a

25.0%

provision for income taxes of \$1.6 million related to intercompany transfers of trade businesses and net assets associated with the Inamed acquisition. Excluding the impact of the total pre-tax charges of \$704.9 million and the total net income tax benefits of \$69.8 million for the items discussed above, our adjusted effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 25.9%.

The calculation of our adjusted effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2006 is summarized below:

	2006 (in millions)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest, as reported	\$ (19.5)
In-process research and development expense	579.3
Inamed fair-market value inventory rollout	47.9
Total integration, transition and duplicate operating expenses	26.9
Contribution to The Allergan Foundation	28.5
Total restructuring charges	22.3
	\$ 685.4
Provision for income taxes, as reported	\$ 107.5
Income tax (provision) benefit for:	
Inamed fair-market value inventory rollout	15.7
Total integration, transition and duplicate operating expenses	9.1
Contribution to The Allergan Foundation	11.3
Total restructuring charges	3.5
Reduction in valuation allowance associated with a deferred tax asset	17.2
Resolution of uncertain income tax audit issues	14.5
Adjustment to estimated taxes on 2005 repatriation of foreign earnings	2.8
Recovery of previously paid state income taxes	1.2
Unfavorable adjustment for previously filed tax return currently under examination	(3.9)
Intercompany transfers of trade businesses and net assets	(1.6)
	\$ 177.3

Adjusted effective tax rate

Our effective tax rate in 2005 was 32.1%. Included in our operating income in 2005 are pre-tax restructuring charges of \$43.8 million, transition and duplicate operating expenses associated with our European restructuring activities of \$5.6 million, a gain of \$7.9 million on the sale of our distribution business in India and a gain of \$5.7 million on the sale of assets used primarily for contract manufacturing of AMO products. In 2005, we recorded income tax benefits of \$7.6 million related to the pre-tax restructuring charges and \$1.1 million related to transition and duplicate operating expenses, and a provision for income taxes of \$1.7 million on the gain on sale of the distribution business in India and \$0.6 million on the gain on sale of assets used primarily for contract manufacturing. Included in the provision for income taxes in 2005 is an estimated \$29.9 million income tax provision associated with our decision to repatriate \$674.0 million in extraordinary dividends as defined by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, or the Act, from unremitted foreign earnings that were previously considered indefinitely reinvested by certain non-U.S. subsidiaries. Also included in the provision for income taxes in 2005 is an estimated \$19.7 million associated with our decision to repatriate approximately \$85.8 million in additional dividends above the base and extraordinary dividend amounts, as defined by the Act, from unremitted foreign earnings that were previously considered in the provision for income taxes in 2005 is a nestimated provision of \$19.7 million in additional dividends above the base and extraordinary dividend amounts, as defined by the Act, from unremitted foreign earnings that were previously considered in the provision for income taxes in 2005 is a nestimated provision of \$19.7 million associated with our decision to repatriate approximately \$85.8 million in additional dividends above the base and extraordinary dividend amounts, as defined by the Act, from unremitted foreign earnings that were pr

25.9%

\$1.4 million beneficial change in estimate for the expected income tax benefit for previously paid state income taxes, which became recoverable due to a favorable state court decision that became final during 2004, and an estimated \$24.1 million reduction in estimated income taxes payable primarily due to the resolution of several significant previously uncertain income tax audit issues, including the resolution of certain transfer pricing issues for which an Advance Pricing Agreement, or APA, was executed with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service during the third quarter of 2005. The APA covers tax years 2002 through 2008. The \$24.1 million reduction in estimated income taxes payable also includes beneficial changes associated with other transfer price settlements for

a discontinued product line, which was not covered by the APA, the deductibility of transaction costs associated with the 2002 spin-off of AMO and intangible asset issues related to certain assets of Allergan Specialty Therapeutics, Inc. and Bardeen Sciences Company, LLC, which we acquired in 2001 and 2003, respectively. This change in estimate relates to tax years currently under examination or not yet settled through expiry of the statute of limitations.

Excluding the impact of the pre-tax restructuring charges, transition and duplicate operating expenses and gains from the sale of the distribution business in India and the sale of assets used for contract manufacturing, and the related income tax provision (benefit) associated with these pre-tax amounts, the provision for income taxes due to the extraordinary dividends and additional dividends above the base and extraordinary dividend amounts, the decrease in the provision for income taxes resulting from the additional income tax benefit for previously paid state income taxes which became recoverable, and reduction in estimated income taxes payable due to the resolution of several significant uncertain income tax audit issues, our adjusted effective tax rate for 2005 was 27.5%.

The calculation of our adjusted effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2005 is summarized below:

	2005 (in millions)
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest, as reported	\$ 599.2
Total restructuring charges	43.8
Transition and duplicate operating expenses associated with the European restructuring	5.6
Gain on sale of distribution business in India	(7.9)
Gain on sale of assets used for contract manufacturing	(5.7)
	\$ 635.0
Provision for income taxes, as reported Income tax (provision) benefit for:	\$ 192.4
Total restructuring charges	7.6
Transition and duplicate operating expenses associated with the European restructuring	1.1
Gain on sale of distribution business in India	(1.7)
Gain on sale of assets used for contract manufacturing	(0.6)
Recovery of previously paid state income taxes	1.4
Resolution of uncertain income tax audit issues	24.1
Extraordinary dividend of \$674.0 million under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004	(29.9)
Additional dividends of \$85.8 million above the base and extraordinary dividend amounts	(19.7)
	\$ 174.7
Adjusted effective tax rate	27.5%

The decrease in the adjusted effective tax rate to 25.0% in 2007 compared to the adjusted effective tax rate in 2006 of 25.9% is primarily due to an increase in the mix of earnings in lower tax rate jurisdictions and the beneficial tax rate effect of increased deductions in the United States for interest expense and increased deductions for the amortization of acquired intangible assets associated with the Esprit, Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions.

....

The decrease in the adjusted effective tax rate to 25.9% in 2006 compared to the adjusted effective tax rate in 2005 of 27.5% is primarily due to the beneficial tax rate effects from increased U.S. deductions for interest expense and the amortization of acquired intangible assets associated with the Inamed acquisition, stock option

compensation expense, and an increase in the utilization of R&D tax credits, partially offset by an increase in the mix of earnings in higher tax rate jurisdictions.

Earnings (Loss) from Continuing Operations

Our earnings from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2007 were \$501.0 million compared to a loss from continuing operations of \$127.4 million in 2006. The \$628.4 million increase in earnings from continuing operations was primarily the result of the increase in operating income of \$722.6 million, partially offset by the increase in net non-operating expense of \$15.4 million, the increase in the provision for income taxes of \$78.7 million and the increase in minority interest expense of \$0.1 million.

Our loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$127.4 million compared to net earnings from continuing operations of \$403.9 million in 2005. The \$531.3 million decrease in net earnings from continuing operations was primarily the result of the decrease in operating income of \$574.1 million and the increase in net non-operating expense of \$44.6 million, partially offset by a decrease in the provision for income taxes of \$84.9 million and a decrease in minority interest expense of \$2.5 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We assess our liquidity by our ability to generate cash to fund our operations. Significant factors in the management of liquidity are: funds generated by operations; levels of accounts receivable, inventories, accounts payable and capital expenditures; the extent of our stock repurchase program; funds required for acquisitions; adequate credit facilities; and financial flexibility to attract long-term capital on satisfactory terms.

Historically, we have generated cash from operations in excess of working capital requirements. The net cash provided by operating activities was \$792.5 million in 2007 compared to \$746.9 million in 2006 and \$424.6 million in 2005. Cash flow from operating activities increased in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily as a result of an increase in earnings from operations, including the effect of adjusting for non-cash items, of \$162.7 million, partially offset by a net increase in cash required to fund growth in net operating assets and liabilities, principally inventories and other current and non-current assets and income taxes payable, and an increase in income taxes paid. We paid pension contributions of \$23.2 million in 2007 compared to \$15.8 million in 2006.

Cash flow from operating activities increased in 2006 compared to 2005 primarily as a result of an increase in earnings from operations, including the effect of adjusting for non-cash items, a decrease in income taxes paid, a decrease in contributions made to our pension plans, a decrease in cash requirements for our inventories and a net decrease in cash required to fund changes in other net operating assets and liabilities, partially offset by an increase in cash required to fund growth in our trade receivables, primarily in North America and Europe. The decrease in income taxes paid in 2006 compared to 2005 was primarily due to payments made in 2005 related to the estimated U.S. income tax liability for the repatriation of certain foreign earnings and advance payments in anticipation of income tax audit settlements. We paid pension contributions of \$15.8 million in 2006 compared to \$49.2 million in 2005. The decrease in pension contributions in 2006 compared to 2005 was primarily due to 2005 was primarily due to beneficial changes in actuarial assumptions, primarily the discount rate, and a change in our funding policy during 2006.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$833.1 million in 2007, compared to \$1,484.6 million in 2006 and \$182.1 million in 2005. In 2007, we paid \$683.7 million, net of cash acquired, for the acquisitions of Esprit, EndoArt and Cornéal. In 2006, we paid \$1,328.7 million, net of cash acquired, for the cash portion of the Inamed acquisition. In 2007, we received \$23.9 million from the sale of the ophthalmic surgical device business that we acquired as a part of the Cornéal acquisition. We invested \$141.8 million in new facilities and equipment during 2007 compared to \$131.4 million during 2006 and \$78.5 million in 2005. Additionally, in 2007 we capitalized \$10.0 million as

intangible assets in connection with a milestone payment related to *Restasis®*, our drug for the treatment of chronic dry eye disease, and an upfront licensing payment related to urologics products incurred subsequent to the Esprit acquisition, and collected \$9.2 million primarily from a final installment payment related to the 2006 sale of our Mougins, France facility. In 2006, we capitalized \$11.5 million as intangible assets primarily related to milestone payments for regulatory approvals to commercialize the *Juvéderm*tm dermal filler family of products in the United States and Australia and collected \$4.8 million primarily from the sale of our Mougins, France facility. In 2005, we paid \$110.0 million in connection with a royalty buyout agreement relating to

Restasis[®], of which \$99.3 million was capitalized as an intangible licensing asset and \$10.7 million was used to pay previously accrued net royalty obligations, and we collected \$7.8 million primarily from the sale of our contact lens care and surgical products distribution business in India to a subsidiary of AMO. Net cash used in investing activities also includes \$30.7 million, \$18.4 million and \$13.6 million to acquire software during 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We currently expect to invest between \$210 million and \$220 million in capital expenditures for manufacturing and administrative facilities, manufacturing equipment and other property, plant and equipment during 2008. In July 2007, our Board of Directors approved the investment of up to \$95 million for the construction of a new office building at our main facility in Irvine, California. We currently expect to incur design related costs for this office building in 2008, followed by major construction activities beginning in 2009.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$182.4 million in 2007 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$803.0 million in 2006 and \$160.3 million in 2005. In 2007, we repurchased approximately 3.0 million shares of our common stock for \$186.5 million, had net repayments of notes payable of \$108.5 million and paid \$60.8 million in dividends. This use of cash was partially reduced by \$137.4 million received from the sale of stock to employees and \$36.0 million in excess tax benefits from share-based compensation. In 2006, we borrowed \$825.0 million under a bridge credit facility to fund part of the cash portion of the Inamed purchase price. On April 12, 2006, we completed concurrent private placements of \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of 1.50% Convertible Senior Notes due 2026, or 2026 Convertible Notes, and \$800 million in aggregate principal amount of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2016, or 2016 Notes. We used part of the proceeds from these debt issuances to repay all borrowings under the bridge credit facility. Additionally, in 2006, we received \$182.7 million from the sale of stock to employees, \$13.0 million upon termination of an interest rate swap contract related to the 2016 Notes and \$35.4 million in excess tax benefits from share-based compensation. These amounts were partially reduced by net repayments of notes payable of \$67.5 million, cash payments of \$20.2 million in offering fees related to the issuance of the 2026 Convertible Notes and the 2016 Notes, cash paid on the conversion of our 2022 Notes of \$521.9 million, repurchase of approximately 5.8 million shares of our common stock for approximately \$307.8 million and \$58.4 million in dividends paid to stockholders. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$160.3 million in 2005, composed primarily of a \$157.0 million increase in notes payable and \$149.9 million of cash provided by the sale of stock to employees, partially reduced by \$94.3 million of cash used for the purchase of treasury stock and \$52.3 million for payment of dividends.

Effective January 29, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.05 per share, payable on March 7, 2008 to stockholders of record on February 15, 2008. We maintain an evergreen stock repurchase program. Our evergreen stock repurchase program authorizes us to repurchase our common stock for the primary purpose of funding our stock-based benefit plans. Under the stock repurchase program, we may maintain up to 18.4 million repurchased shares in our treasury account at any one time. As of December 31, 2007, we held approximately 1.6 million treasury shares under this program. Effective January 1, 2008, we entered into a Rule 10b5-1 plan that authorizes our broker to purchase our common stock traded in the open market pursuant to our evergreen stock repurchased, and certain quarterly maximum and minimum volume limits. The term of our Rule 10b5-1 plan ends on December 31, 2009 and is cancellable at any time in our sole discretion.

The 2026 Convertible Notes pay interest semi-annually at a rate of 1.50% per annum and are convertible, at the holder s option, at an initial conversion rate of 15.7904 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes. In certain circumstances the 2026 Convertible Notes may be convertible into cash in an amount equal to the lesser of their principal amount or their conversion value. If the conversion value of the 2026 Convertible Notes exceeds their principal amount at the time of conversion, we will also deliver common stock or, at our election, a combination of cash and common stock for the conversion value in excess of the principal amount. We will not be permitted to redeem the 2026 Convertible Notes prior to April 5, 2009, will be permitted to redeem the 2026 Convertible Notes from and after April 5, 2009 to April 4, 2011 if the closing price of our common stock reaches a specified threshold,

and will be permitted to redeem the 2026 Convertible Notes at any time on or after April 5, 2011. Holders of the 2026 Convertible Notes will also be able to require us to redeem the 2026 Convertible Notes on April 1, 2011, April 1, 2016 and April 1, 2021 or upon a change in control of us. The 2026 Convertible Notes mature on April 1, 2026, unless previously redeemed by us or earlier converted by the note holders.

The 2016 Notes were sold at 99.717% of par value with an effective interest rate of 5.79%, pay interest semi-annually at a rate of 5.75% per annum, and are redeemable at any time at our option, subject to a make-whole provision based on the present value of remaining interest payments at the time of the redemption. The aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 2016 Notes is due and payable on April 1, 2016, unless earlier redeemed by us.

On January 31, 2007, we entered into a nine-year, two-month interest rate swap with a \$300.0 million notional amount with semi-annual settlements and quarterly interest rate reset dates. The swap receives interest at a fixed rate of 5.75% and pays interest at a variable interest rate equal to 3-month LIBOR plus 0.368%, and effectively converts \$300.0 million of our 2016 Notes to a variable interest rate. Based on the structure of the hedging relationship, the hedge meets the criteria for using the short-cut method for a fair value hedge under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS No. 133). Under the provisions of SFAS No. 133, the investment in the derivative and the related long-term debt are recorded at fair-value. As a result, we have recognized an asset associated with the fair-value of the derivative of \$17.1 million reported in Investments and other assets and a corresponding increase in Long-term debt of sassumed to exactly equal the related change in the fair-value of the derivative is assumed to exactly equal the related change in the fair-value of the derivative is no gain or loss reported in our consolidated balance sheet as the 2016 Notes, so there is no gain or loss reported in our consolidated to the interest rate hedge.

At December 31, 2007, we had a committed long-term credit facility, a commercial paper program, a medium term note program, an unused debt shelf registration statement that we may use for a new medium term note program and other issuances of debt securities, and various foreign bank facilities. In May 2007, we amended the termination date of our committed long-term credit facility to May 2012. The termination date can be further extended from time to time upon our request and acceptance by the issuer of the facility for a period of one year from the last scheduled termination date for each request accepted. The committed long-term credit facility allows for borrowings of up to \$800 million. The commercial paper program also provides for up to \$600 million in borrowings. The current medium term note program allows us to issue up to an additional \$5.4 million in registered notes on a non-revolving basis. The debt shelf registration statement provides for up to \$350 million in additional debt securities. Borrowings under the committed long-term credit facility and medium-term note program are subject to certain financial and operating covenants that include, among other provisions, maximum leverage ratios. Certain covenants also limit subsidiary debt. We believe we were in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2007. As of December 31, 2007, we had no borrowings under our committed long-term credit facility, \$59.6 million in borrowings outstanding under the medium term note program, \$5.1 million in borrowings outstanding under various foreign bank facilities and no borrowings under our commercial paper program. Commercial paper, when outstanding, is issued at current short-term interest rates. Additionally, any future borrowings that are outstanding under the long-term credit facility will be subject to a floating interest rate.

As of December 31, 2007, we have net pension and post-retirement benefit obligations totaling \$56.5 million. Future funding requirements are subject to change depending on the actual return on net assets in our funded pension plans and changes in actuarial assumptions. In 2008, we expect to pay pension contributions of between approximately \$18.0 million and \$19.0 million.

On October 16, 2007, we completed the acquisition of Esprit. As of December 31, 2007, we substantially completed the integration activities to merge the Esprit operations with our operations.

In connection with our January 2007 Cornéal acquisition, we initiated a restructuring and integration plan to merge the Cornéal facial aesthetics business operations with our operations. As of December 31, 2007, we have recorded pre-tax restructuring and integration costs of \$25.1 million. We currently estimate that the total pre-tax charges resulting from the restructuring and integration of the Cornéal facial aesthetics business operations will be between \$29.0 million and

\$36.0 million, all of which are expected to be cash expenditures.

In connection with our March 2006 Inamed acquisition, we initiated a global restructuring and integration plan to merge the Inamed operations with our operations and to capture synergies through the centralization of certain general and administrative functions. In addition, in January 2007, we initiated an additional plan to restructure and eventually sell or close our collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California that we acquired in connection with the Inamed acquisition. As of December 31, 2007, with the exception of the restructuring of our collagen

manufacturing facility, which currently is expected to be completed by the end of the fourth quarter of 2008, we substantially completed all activities related to the restructuring and operational integration of the former Inamed operations. As of December 31, 2007, we recorded cumulative pre-tax restructuring and integration charges of \$48.7 million, including \$1.7 million of restructuring charges related to the restructuring of the collagen manufacturing facility, and \$1.6 million of income tax costs related to intercompany transfers of trade businesses and net assets. In addition to the pre-tax charges, we incurred capital expenditures of approximately \$13.0 million, primarily related to the integration of information systems. We currently estimate that the total pre-tax charges resulting from the restructuring of the collagen manufacturing facility will be between \$6.0 and \$8.0 million, all of which are expected to be cash expenditures.

On January 30, 2008, we announced the phased closure of our breast implant manufacturing facility at Arklow, Ireland and the transfer of production to our state-of-the-art manufacturing plant in Costa Rica. The Arklow facility was acquired by us in connection with our 2006 Inamed acquisition and employs 360 people. Production at the plant will be phased out between 2008 and 2009. We currently expect to incur restructuring and other transition related costs beginning in the first quarter of 2008 and continuing up through 2009 of between \$60 million and \$65 million.

A significant amount of our existing cash and equivalents are held by non-U.S. subsidiaries. We currently plan to use these funds in our operations outside the United States. Withholding and U.S. taxes have not been provided for unremitted earnings of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries because we have reinvested these earnings indefinitely in such operations. As of December 31, 2007, we had approximately \$1,000.7 million in unremitted earnings outside the United States for which withholding and U.S. taxes were not provided. Tax costs would be incurred if these funds were remitted to the United States.

We believe that the net cash provided by operating activities, supplemented as necessary with borrowings available under our existing credit facilities and existing cash and equivalents, will provide us with sufficient resources to meet our current expected obligations, working capital requirements, debt service and other cash needs over the next year.

Inflation

Although at reduced levels in recent years, inflation continues to apply upward pressure on the cost of goods and services that we use. The competitive and regulatory environments in many markets substantially limit our ability to fully recover these higher costs through increased selling prices. We continually seek to mitigate the adverse effects of inflation through cost containment and improved productivity and manufacturing processes.

Foreign Currency Fluctuations

Approximately 34.3% of our product net sales in 2007 were derived from operations outside the United States, and a portion of our international cost structure is denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. As a result, we are subject to fluctuations in sales and earnings reported in U.S. dollars due to changing currency exchange rates. We routinely monitor our transaction exposure to currency rates and implement certain economic hedging strategies to limit such exposure, as we deem appropriate. The net impact of foreign currency fluctuations on our sales was an increase of \$87.4 million, \$15.2 million and \$22.3 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The 2007 sales increase included \$44.5 million related to the euro, \$11.7 million related to the Brazilian real, \$8.3 million related to the Canadian dollar, \$8.2 million related to the U.K. pound and \$6.5 million related to other Asian and Latin American currencies. The 2006 sales increase included \$7.8 million related to the Canadian dollar, \$2.0 million related to the euro and \$1.0 million related to the U.K. pound, partially offset by decreases of \$1.7 million primarily related to the Australian dollar and other Asian and Latin American currencies. The 2005 sales increase included \$1.1 million related to the euro, \$5.2 million related to the Canadian dollar, \$1.3 million related to the Australian dollar, \$10.9 million related to the canadian dollar, \$1.0 million related to the Canadian dollar, \$1.0 million related to the Canadian dollar, \$1.0 million primarily related to the euro and \$1.0 million related to the Canadian dollar, \$1.3 million primarily related to the Australian dollar and other Asian and Latin American currencies. The 2005 sales increase included \$1.1 million related to the euro, \$5.2 million related to the Canadian dollar, \$1.3 million related to the Australian dollar, \$10.9 million related to the

the Brazilian real, \$1.2 million related to the Mexican peso and \$2.3 million related to other Latin American currencies. See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for a description of our accounting policy on foreign currency translation.

Esprit Acquisition

On October 16, 2007, we completed the acquisition of Esprit, a pharmaceutical company based in the United States with expertise in the genitourinary market, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$370.7 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition was funded from our cash and equivalents balances. See Note 2, Acquisitions, in the notes to our consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

We believe the fair values assigned to the Esprit assets acquired and liabilities assumed were based on reasonable assumptions. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of net assets acquired:

	(in millions)
Current assets	\$ 35.8
Identifiable intangible assets	358.0
Goodwill	122.6
Other non-current assets	8.6
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(24.2)
Deferred tax liabilities	(128.9)
Other non-current liabilities	(1.2)
	\$ 370.7

Our fair value estimates for the Esprit purchase price allocation may change during the allowable allocation period, which is up to one year from the acquisition date, if additional information becomes available.

EndoArt Acquisition

On February 22, 2007, we completed the acquisition of EndoArt, a provider of telemetrically-controlled (or remote-controlled) implants used in the treatment of morbid obesity and other conditions. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of EndoArt for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$97.1 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition consideration was all cash, funded from our cash and equivalents balances. See Note 2, Acquisitions, in the notes to our consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

We believe the fair values assigned to the EndoArt assets acquired and liabilities assumed were based on reasonable assumptions. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of net assets acquired:

(in millions)

Current assets	\$ 0.8
Property, plant and equipment	0.7
Identifiable intangible assets	17.6
In-process research and development	72.0
Goodwill	7.4
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(0.8)

Deferred tax liabilities

(0.6)

Cornéal Acquisition

On January 2, 2007, we purchased all of the outstanding common stock of Cornéal, a privately held healthcare company that develops, manufactures and markets dermal fillers, viscoelastics and a range of ophthalmic surgical device products, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$209.2 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition was funded from our cash and equivalents balances and our committed long-term credit facility. See Note 2, Acquisitions, in the notes to our consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

We believe the fair values assigned to the Cornéal assets acquired and liabilities assumed were based upon reasonable assumptions. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the net assets acquired:

	(in millions)
Current assets	\$ 38.9
Property, plant and equipment	19.5
Identifiable intangible assets	115.7
Goodwill	109.2
Other non-current assets	1.5
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(16.4)
Current portion of long-term debt	(11.6)
Deferred tax liabilities non-current	(45.0)
Other non-current liabilities	(2.6)
	\$ 209.2

Inamed Acquisition

On March 23, 2006, we completed the acquisition of Inamed, a global healthcare company that develops, manufactures and markets a diverse line of products, including breast implants, a range of facial aesthetics and obesity intervention products, for approximately \$3.3 billion, consisting of approximately \$1.4 billion in cash and 34,883,386 shares of our common stock with a fair value of approximately \$1.9 billion. See Note 2, Acquisitions, in the notes to our consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The table below presents information about our contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2007:

	Payments Due by Period								
	Less than One Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years (in millions)	More than Five Years	Total				
Notes payable, convertible notes and									
long-term debt obligations(a)	\$ 39.7	\$	\$ 775.0	\$ 798.1	\$ 1,612.8				
Operating lease obligations	42.4	58.2	29.8	51.1	181.5				
Purchase obligations	200.5	71.2	40.3	50.3	362.3				
Pension minimum funding(b)	18.9	36.5	34.3		89.7				
Other long-term liabilities (including unrecognized tax benefit liabilities but excluding deferred income and pension liabilities) reflected on our consolidated									
balance sheet		46.7	2.6	125.3	174.6				
Total	\$ 301.5	\$ 212.6	\$ 882.0	\$ 1,024.8	\$ 2,420.9				

- (a) Excludes the interest rate swap fair value adjustment of \$17.1 million.
- (b) For purposes of this table, we assume that we will be required to fund our U.S. and non-U.S. funded pension plans based on the minimum funding required by applicable regulations. In determining the minimum required funding, we utilize current actuarial assumptions and exchange rates to forecast estimates of amounts that may be payable for up to five years in the future. In management s judgment, minimum funding estimates beyond a five year time horizon cannot be reliably estimated. Where minimum funding as determined for each individual plan would not achieve a funded status to the level of local statutory requirements, additional discretionary funding may be provided from available cash resources.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

In the normal course of business, our operations are exposed to risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. We address these risks through controlled risk management that includes the use of derivative financial instruments to economically hedge or reduce these exposures. We do not enter into financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. See Note 12, Financial Instruments, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for activities relating to interest rate and foreign currency risk management.

To ensure the adequacy and effectiveness of our interest rate and foreign exchange hedge positions, we continually monitor our interest rate swap positions and foreign exchange forward and option positions both on a stand-alone basis and in conjunction with our underlying interest rate and foreign currency exposures, from an accounting and economic perspective.

However, given the inherent limitations of forecasting and the anticipatory nature of the exposures intended to be hedged, we cannot assure you that such programs will offset more than a portion of the adverse financial impact resulting from unfavorable movements in either interest or foreign exchange rates. In addition, the timing of the accounting for recognition of gains and losses related to mark-to-market instruments for any given period may not coincide with the timing of gains and losses related to the underlying economic exposures and, therefore, may adversely affect our consolidated operating results and financial position.

Interest Rate Risk

Our interest income and expense is more sensitive to fluctuations in the general level of U.S. interest rates than to changes in rates in other markets. Changes in U.S. interest rates affect the interest earned on our cash and equivalents, interest expense on our debt as well as costs associated with foreign currency contracts.

On January 31, 2007, we entered into a nine-year, two-month interest rate swap with a \$300.0 million notional amount with semi-annual settlements and quarterly interest rate reset dates. The swap receives interest at a fixed rate of 5.75% and pays interest at a variable interest rate equal to 3-month LIBOR plus 0.368%, and effectively converts \$300.0 million of our \$800 million aggregate principal amount 2016 Notes issued in April 2006 to a variable interest rate. Based on the structure of the hedging relationship, the hedge meets the criteria for using the short-cut method for a fair value hedge under the provisions of SFAS No. 133. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 133, the investment in the derivative and the related long-term debt are recorded at fair value. At December 31, 2007, we have recognized an asset associated with the fair-value of the derivative of \$17.1 million reported in Investments and other assets and a corresponding increase in Long-term debt of \$17.1 million reported as an adjustment of interest expense related to the 2016 Notes. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized \$0.3 million as a reduction of interest expense.

In February 2006, we entered into interest rate swap contracts based on the 3-month LIBOR with an aggregate notional amount of \$800 million, a swap period of 10 years and a starting swap rate of 5.198%. We entered into these swap contracts as a cash flow hedge to effectively fix the future interest rate for our 2016 Notes. In April 2006, we terminated the interest rate swap contracts and received approximately \$13.0 million. The total gain is being amortized as a reduction to interest expense over a 10 year period to match the term of the 2016 Notes. As of December 31, 2007, the remaining unrecognized gain, net of tax, of \$6.5 million is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss.

At December 31, 2007, we had approximately \$4.2 million of variable rate debt. If interest rates were to increase or decrease by 1% for the year, annual interest expense, including the effect of the \$300.0 million notional amount of the interest rate swap entered into on January 31, 2007, would increase or decrease by approximately

\$3.0 million. Commercial paper, when outstanding, is issued at current short-term interest rates. Additionally any future borrowings that are outstanding under the long-term credit facility will be subject to a floating interest rate. Therefore, higher interest costs could occur if interest rates increase in the future.

The tables below present information about certain of our investment portfolio and our debt obligations at December 31, 2007 and 2006:

	December 31, 2007								
		2008	2009	2010 (Maturin; 2011 in millions, c	2012	Thereafter est rates)	Total	Fair Market Value
ASSETS Cash Equivalents: Commercial Paper Weighted Average Interest Rate Foreign Time Deposits Weighted Average	\$	871.0 4.62% 108.1	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 871.0 4.62% 108.1	\$ 871.0 108.1
Interest Rate Other Cash Equivalents Weighted Average Interest Rate <i>Total Cash</i> <i>Equivalents</i> <i>Weighted Average</i> <i>Interest Rate</i>	\$ 1	3.55% 96.9 5.52% ,076.0 4.59%	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	3.55% 96.9 5.52% \$ 1,076.0 4.59%	96.9 \$ 1,076.0
LIABILITIES Debt Obligations: Fixed Rate (US\$) Weighted Average Interest Rate Fixed Rate (non-US\$) Weighted Average Interest Rate Other Variable Rate (non-US\$)	\$	 34.6 6.91% 0.9 4.15% 4.2 	\$	\$	\$ 750.0 1.50%	\$ 25.0 7.47%	\$ 798.1 5.79%	\$ 1,607.7 3.84% 0.9 4.15% 4.2	\$ 1,768.4 0.9 4.2
Weighted Average Interest Rate <i>Total Debt</i> <i>Obligations(a)</i> <i>Weighted Average</i> <i>Interest Rate</i>	\$	4.42% 39.7 6.59%	\$	\$	\$ 750.0 1.50%	\$ 25.0 7.47%	\$ 798.1 5.79%	4.42% \$ 1,612.8 3.84%	\$ 1,773.5

INTEREST RATE DERIVATIVES Interest Rate Swaps: Fixed to Variable (US\$) Average Pay Rate Average Receive	\$ \$	\$ \$	\$ \$ 300.0 5.10%	\$ 300.0 5.10%	\$ 17.1
Rate			5.75%	5.75%	

(a) Total debt obligations in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2007 include debt obligations of \$1,612.8 million and the interest rate swap fair value adjustment of \$17.1 million.

December 31, 2006

	2007	2008		2010	ıring in 2011 ns, except int	Thereafter terest rates)	Total	Fair Market Value
ASSETS								
Cash Equivalents:	¢ 120.0	¢	¢	¢	¢	¢	¢ 120.0	¢ 100.0
Repurchase Agreements Weighted Average	\$ 130.0	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 130.0	\$ 130.0
Interest Rate	5.35%						5.35%	
Commercial Paper	771.0						771.0	771.0
Weighted Average								
Interest Rate	5.29%						5.29%	
Foreign Time Deposits	288.6						288.6	288.6
Weighted Average Interest Rate	3.75%						3.75%	
Other Cash Equivalents	138.7						138.7	138.7
Weighted Average								
Interest Rate	5.91%						5.91%	
Total Cash Equivalents	\$ 1,328.3	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,328.3	\$ 1,328.3
Weighted Average Interest Rate	5.03%						5.03%	
Interest Kute	5.05%						5.05%	
LIABILITIES								
Debt Obligations:								
Fixed Rate (US\$)	\$	\$ 33.5	\$	\$	\$ 750.0	\$ 822.9	\$ 1,606.4	\$ 1,686.7
Weighted Average Interest Rate		6.91%			1.50%	5.84%	3.84%	
Other Variable Rate		0.91 //			1.50 //	J.04 /0	5.0470	
(non-US\$)	102.0						102.0	102.0
Weighted Average								
Interest Rate	5.46%	* ~ ~ ~	.	.	• • • • •	* • • • • •	5.46%	• • • • • • • •
Total Debt Obligations Weighted Average	\$ 102.0	\$ 33.5	\$	\$	\$ 750.0	\$ 822.9	\$ 1,708.4	\$ 1,788.7
Interest Rate	5.46%	6.91%			1.50%	5.84%	3.93%	

Foreign Currency Risk

Overall, we are a net recipient of currencies other than the U.S. dollar and, as such, benefit from a weaker dollar and are adversely affected by a stronger dollar relative to major currencies worldwide. Accordingly, changes in exchange rates, and in particular a strengthening of the U.S. dollar, may negatively affect our consolidated revenues or operating costs and expenses as expressed in U.S. dollars.

From time to time, we enter into foreign currency option and forward contracts to reduce earnings and cash flow volatility associated with foreign exchange rate changes to allow our management to focus its attention on our core business issues. Accordingly, we enter into various contracts which change in value as foreign exchange rates change to economically offset the effect of changes in the value of foreign currency assets and liabilities, commitments and

anticipated foreign currency denominated sales and operating expenses. We enter into foreign currency option and forward contracts in amounts between minimum and maximum anticipated foreign exchange exposures, generally for periods not to exceed one year.

We use foreign currency option contracts, which provide for the sale or purchase of foreign currencies to offset foreign currency exposures expected to arise in the normal course of our business. While these instruments are subject to fluctuations in value, such fluctuations are anticipated to offset changes in the value of the underlying exposures.

All of our outstanding foreign currency option contracts are entered into to reduce the volatility of earnings generated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily earnings denominated in the Canadian dollar, Mexican peso, Australian dollar, Brazilian real, euro, Japanese yen, Swedish krona, Swiss franc and U.K. pound. Current changes in the fair value of open foreign currency option contracts are recorded through earnings as Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments, net while any realized gains (losses) on settled contracts are recorded through earnings as

Other, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The premium costs of purchased foreign exchange option contracts are recorded in Other current assets and amortized to Other, net over the life of the options.

All of our outstanding foreign exchange forward contracts are entered into to protect the value of certain intercompany receivables or payables denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. The realized and unrealized gains and losses from foreign currency forward contracts and the revaluation of the foreign denominated intercompany receivables or payables are recorded through Other, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

The following table provides information about our foreign currency derivative financial instruments outstanding as of December 31, 2007 and 2006. The information is provided in U.S. dollars, as presented in our consolidated financial statements.

	Decemb	er 31, 2007 Average Contract	Decemb	oer 31, 2006 Average Contract		
	Notional Amount (in millions)	Rate or Strike Amount	Notional Amount (in millions)	Rate or Strike Amount		
Foreign currency forward contracts: (Receive U.S. dollar/pay foreign currency)						
Euro	\$ 175.5	1.44	\$ 142.3	1.32		
Australian dollar	9.0	0.85	9.1	0.78		
Swiss franc	3.7	1.15				
Canadian dollar			1.8	1.15		
	\$ 188.2		\$ 153.2			
Estimated fair value	\$ (1.1)		\$ (0.7)			
Foreign currency sold put options:						
Canadian dollar	\$ 50.3	1.00	\$ 35.0	1.14		
Mexican peso	¢ 30.3 14.2	11.17	¢ 55.0 14.3	11.00		
Australian dollar	21.3	0.86	20.6	0.78		
Brazilian real	17.6	1.86	11.7	2.24		
Euro	151.2	1.47	73.0	1.34		
Japanese yen	10.5	107.92	9.6	113.06		
Swedish krona	10.0	6.41	7.7	6.79		
Swiss franc	4.7	1.12	6.1	1.18		
	\$ 279.8		\$ 178.0			
Estimated fair value	\$ 7.3		\$ 3.8			

Foreign currency purchased call options:

U.K. pound	\$ 16.0	2.05	\$ 15.3	1.96
Estimated fair value	\$ 0.1		\$ 0.2	

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the financial statements set forth in Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Allergan have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision- making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, we have investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As we do not control or manage these entities, our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those we maintain with respect to our consolidated subsidiaries.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2007, the end of the annual period covered by this report. The evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures included a review of the disclosure controls and procedures objectives, design, implementation and the effect of the controls and procedures on the information generated for use in this report. In the course of our evaluation, we sought to identify data errors, control problems or acts of fraud and to confirm the appropriate corrective actions, including process improvements, were being undertaken.

Based on the foregoing, our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and were operating at the reasonable assurance level.

Further, management determined that, as of December 31, 2007, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Our management report on internal control over financial reporting and the report of our independent registered public accounting firm on our internal control over financial reporting are contained in Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

For information required by this Item regarding our executive officers, see Item 1 of Part I of this report, Business.

The information to be included in the sections entitled Election of Directors and Corporate Governance in the Proxy Statement to be filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 (the Proxy Statement) is incorporated herein by reference.

The information to be included in the section entitled Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

The information to be included in the section entitled Code of Business Conduct and Ethics in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

We have filed, as exhibits to this report, the certifications of our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer required pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

On May 17, 2007, we submitted to the New York Stock Exchange the Annual CEO Certification required pursuant to Section 303A.12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information to be included in the sections entitled Executive Compensation and Non-Employee Directors Compensation in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information to be included in the section entitled Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information to be included in the sections entitled Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information to be included in the section entitled Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm's Fees in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) 1. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data:

The following financial statements are included herein under Item 8 of Part II of this report, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data :

	Page Number
Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	F-1
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for Each of the Years in the Three Year Period Ended	
December 31, 2007	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for Each of the Years in the Three Year Period	
Ended December 31, 2007	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Each of the Years in the Three Year Period Ended	
December 31, 2007	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8