

GENERAL ELECTRIC CO
Form 8-K
April 13, 2018

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT
Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of
earliest event reported) April
13, 2018

General Electric
Company
(Exact name of registrant as
specified in its charter)

New York
001-00035 14-0689340
(State
or
of the Commission
jurisdiction) Identification
of (IRS
Employer
No.)
incorporation)

41
Farnsworth
Street, 02210
Boston,
MA
(Address
of
principal
executive
offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number,
including area code (617)
443-3000

(Former name or former
address, if changed since last
report.)

Edgar Filing: GENERAL ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instructions A.2. below):

“Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

“Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

“Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

“Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§ 240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging growth company “

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. “

Item 8.01. Other Events

As previously disclosed in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, we were required to adopt several new accounting standards effective as of January 1, 2018. In addition, we voluntarily changed one accounting principle. Except as noted below, each of the accounting changes either required or provided the option to present the change on a retrospective basis. Therefore, our financial statements beginning in the first quarter of 2018 will reflect both current and historical comparable periods on this new basis reflective of these retrospective accounting changes.

A summary of the changes effective as of January 1, 2018 are as follows:

- A new revenue standard, Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-09 (and related amendments, which are jointly referred to as ASC 606 or the new revenue standard below), which replaced most previous revenue guidance.

- A new pension and benefit cost standard, ASU No. 2017-07, which required a change in the presentation of non-operating pension and benefit costs on the income statement.

- A new cash flows related standard, ASU No. 2016-18, which resulted in a reclassification of restricted cash balances.

- A new cash flows related standard, ASU No. 2016-15, which resulted in a reclassification of certain securitization-related cash inflows to be reclassified from operating activities to investing activities.

- A new income tax related standard, ASU No. 2016-16, which eliminated the deferral of tax effects of intra-entity asset transfers other than inventory and was reflected as an adjustment to our January 1, 2018 retained earnings.

We elected to simplify our inventory cost accounting assumption from last-in, first-out (LIFO) to first-in, first-out (FIFO) basis for those U.S. inventories that were previously measured on a LIFO basis. We are now on a more consistent basis of measurement for our inventories.

- While not a change in accounting, we elected to reclassify other income from total revenue within our statement of earnings to clarify our financial reporting.

The following table summarizes the effect of these accounting changes on certain key performance indicators:

	Required change	Continuing Diluted EPS		Total Revenues		Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	
		2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016
(in millions; per-share amounts in dollars)		2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016
As Reported		\$(0.68)	\$1.00	\$122,092	\$123,693	\$(5,748)	\$9,494
Revenue related standard, ASC 606	Yes	(0.17)	(0.13)	(2,224)	(220)	(1,558)	(1,182)
Pension related standard, ASU 2017-07	Yes	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cash Flows standard, ASU 2016-18	Yes	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cash Flows standard, ASU 2016-15	Yes	—	—	—	—	—	—
Income Tax standard, ASU 2016-16	Yes	—	—	—	—	—	—
Inventory cost change from LIFO to FIFO	No	(0.01)	(0.02)	—	—	(46)	(147)
Other Income reclassification	-	—	—	(1,625)	(4,005)	—	—
U.S. tax reform effect on changes above (a)	-	(0.14)	—	—	—	(1,188)	—
As Restated (Unaudited)		\$(0.99)	\$0.85	\$118,243	\$119,469	\$(8,540)	\$8,165

(a) U.S. tax reform effect includes adjustments of \$(0.13) to Continuing Diluted EPS and \$(1,110) million to Earnings (loss) from continuing operations for the ASC 606 change and \$(0.01) to Continuing Diluted EPS and \$(78) million to Earnings (loss) from continuing operations for the Inventory cost change from LIFO to FIFO.

Amounts may not add due to rounding.

CHANGES EFFECTIVE AS OF JANUARY 1, 2018

ASC 606, REVENUE FROM CONTRACTS WITH CUSTOMERS

The new revenue standard requires companies to identify contractual performance obligations and determine whether revenue should be recognized at a point in time or over time based on when control of goods and services transfers to a customer. As a result of the adoption of the standard, we recorded significant changes in the timing of revenue recognition and in the classification between revenues and costs. The new standard does not impact cash or the economics of our underlying customer contracts.

As a result of the adoption of the new revenue standard, our previously reported retained earnings as of January 1, 2016 decreased by \$4,243 million and our previously reported revenues and earnings (loss) from continuing operations decreased by \$2,224 million and \$2,668 million (or \$1,558 million before impact of U.S. tax reform), respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2017 and by \$220 million and \$1,182 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2016. The effect on our statement of financial position was principally comprised of changes to our contract assets, inventories, deferred taxes, deferred income and progress collections balances resulting in an \$8,317 million decrease to previously reported total assets as of December 31, 2017.

In our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 we estimated that our 2016 and 2017 earnings per share would be lower by approximately \$0.13 and \$0.16 (before impact of U.S. tax reform), respectively, and we indicated that our estimates were still being finalized. Upon completion of our implementation effort, our 2016 and 2017 earnings per share is lower by \$0.13 and \$0.17, respectively (before impact of U.S. tax reform).

The changes to our previously reported earnings had a significant impact on our deferred tax balances, which were reflected at the tax rates in effect in the period the activity was recorded. As a result of the enactment of U.S. tax reform, we recorded \$1,110 million of additional deferred income tax to reflect the restated income and deferred tax positions at the lower rate in effect in the fourth quarter of 2017, which further reduced 2017 earnings per share by \$0.13.

As discussed in prior filings, some of the impacts of adopting the new revenue standard are:

Long-Term Service Agreements - For our long-term service agreements, we will continue to recognize revenue over time using percentage of completion based on costs incurred relative to total estimated costs. We will also continue to record cumulative effect adjustments resulting from changes to our estimated contract billings or costs (excluding those resulting from contract modifications as discussed below). Our accounting will be impacted by various changes in the new revenue standard including (1) accounting for contract modifications and their related impacts and (2) changes in the accounting scope and term of our contracts.

Modifications - Under the new revenue standard, contract modifications will generally be accounted for as if we entered into a new contract, resulting in prospective recognition of changes to our estimates of contract billings and costs. That is, cumulative effect adjustments will generally no longer be recognized in the period that modifications occur.

There was limited guidance for accounting for contract modifications under prior U.S. GAAP. As a result, our previous method of accounting for contract modifications was developed with the objective of accounting for the nature of the contract modifications. Generally, contract modifications were accounted for as cumulative effect adjustments, which reflected an update to the contract margin for the impact of the modification (i.e., changes to estimates of future contract billings and costs); however, modifications that substantially changed the economics of the arrangement were effectively accounted for as a new contract.

Scope and term - The new revenue standard provides more prescriptive guidance on identifying the elements of long-term service type contracts that should be accounted for as separate performance obligations. Application of this guidance, which focuses on understanding the nature of the arrangement, including our customers' discretion in purchasing decisions, has resulted in changes to the scope of elements included in our accounting model for long-term service agreements. For example, significant equipment upgrades offered as part of our long-term service agreements will generally be accounted for as separate performance obligations under the new revenue standard.

Also, the term of our contracts is now defined as the shorter of the stated term or the term not subject to unilateral termination. Over this contract term, we estimate our revenues and related costs, including estimates of fixed and variable payments related to asset utilization and related costs to fulfill our performance obligations. Historically, our accounting for long-term contracts did not reflect an expectation that a contract would be terminated prior to the stated term, particularly when the probability of termination was considered remote. Under prior U.S. GAAP, while termination rights were considered, more emphasis was placed on more likely outcomes (i.e., use of best estimates). For example, we used historical experience with similar types of contracts as well as other evidence (e.g., customer intent, economic compulsion and future plans for operating the asset) to determine the contract term for application of our accounting model.

These changes to our long-term service agreement accounting have significantly impacted all of our industrial businesses except for Renewable Energy, Healthcare, and Current and Lighting and were some of the drivers in the reduction of the related contract asset balance of \$8,701 million as of December 31, 2017. While these contract asset balances have been reduced due to the accounting changes, the economics and cash impact of these contracts remain unchanged.

Aviation Commercial Engines - For Aviation Commercial engines our previous method applied contract-specific estimated margin rates, which included the effect of estimated cost improvements over time, to costs incurred to determine the amount of revenue that should be recognized. The new revenue standard will result in a significant change from our previous long-term contract accounting model. While we will continue to recognize revenue at delivery, each engine is now accounted for as a separate performance obligation, reflecting the contractually stated price and manufacturing cost of each engine. The most significant effect of this change is on our new engine launches, where the cost of earlier production units is higher than the cost of later production units driven by expected cost improvements over the life of the engine program, which will generally result in lower earnings or increased losses on our early program engine deliveries to our airframer customers. The effect of this change reduced the related contract asset balance of \$1,755 million as of December 31, 2017.

All Other Large Equipment - For the remainder of our equipment businesses, the new revenue standard's emphasis on transfer of control rather than risks and rewards has resulted in an accelerated timing of revenue recognition versus our previous accounting for certain products. While this change impacts all our businesses, our Renewable Energy business was most significantly impacted on a year over year basis with certain of their products recognized at an earlier point in time compared to historical standards. Consistent with our industry peers, certain of our businesses' products have transitioned either to a point in time or over time recognition based on the nature of the arrangement. This change in timing of revenue had an effect on inventory, contract assets and progress collections to reflect the transfer of control at an earlier point in time.

ASU NO. 2017-07, IMPROVING THE PRESENTATION OF NET PERIODIC PENSION COST AND NET PERIODIC POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT COST

The new pension and benefit cost standard requires the service cost component of the net periodic costs for pension and postretirement plans to be presented in the same line item in the statement of earnings as other employee-related compensation costs. The non-service related costs are now required to be presented separately from the service cost component. This change to the income statement has been reflected on a retrospective basis and had no effect on continuing or net income. The new standard also added guidance requiring entities to exclude these non-service related costs from capitalization in inventory or other internally-developed assets on a prospective basis, which is not expected to have a significant effect.

This change had no effect on our previously reported U.S. GAAP income from operations or our statements of cash flows and financial position. We are aligning our non-GAAP definition of non-operating benefit costs to the new standard and, as a result, are also adjusting certain of our previously reported non-GAAP metrics to reflect this change.

ASU NO. 2016-18, STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS: RESTRICTED CASH

The new cash flows standard requires the changes in the total of cash and restricted cash to be presented in the statement of cash flows. In addition, when cash and restricted cash are presented on separate lines on the balance sheet, an entity is required to reconcile the totals in the statement of cash flows to the related line items in the balance sheet. While not a direct effect of the adoption of the standard, to simplify the reconciliation of the statement of cash flows to the cash balances presented in our statement of financial position, we have elected to present cash and restricted cash as a single line on the balance sheet, which resulted in an increase of \$668 million and \$654 million to our previously reported December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 cash balances, respectively. The change to our cash balances and cash flows has been reflected on a retrospective basis for all periods presented.

ASU NO. 2016-15, STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS: CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN CASH RECEIPTS AND CASH PAYMENTS

The new cash flows standard provides guidance on the classification of certain cash receipts and cash payments, which is required to be reflected on a retrospective basis. As part of the adoption, we will reclassify \$553 million of cash receipts from our beneficial interest in securitized trade receivables within our consolidated statement of cash flows from cash inflows from operating activities to cash inflows from investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 (no effect to periods prior to 2017). The ASU did not have a significant effect on our GE Industrial cash flows.

ASU NO. 2016-16, ACCOUNTING FOR INCOME TAXES: INTRA-ENTITY TRANSFERS OF ASSETS OTHER THAN INVENTORY

The new income tax standard eliminated the deferral of tax effects of intra-entity asset transfers other than inventory. As a result, the tax expense from the intercompany sale of assets, other than inventory, and associated changes to deferred taxes will be recognized when the sale occurs even though the pre-tax effects of the transaction have not been recognized as they are eliminated in consolidation. As required, this standard was adopted on a modified retrospective method, which resulted in a \$464 million cumulative catch-up adjustment to increase retained earnings as of January 1, 2018 and is not reflected in periods prior to this date.

CHANGE IN INVENTORY COST METHODOLOGY

Effective January 1, 2018, we voluntarily changed the cost method of the GE U.S. inventories that were previously measured on a last-in, first-out (LIFO) basis to first-in, first-out (FIFO) basis. We believe the FIFO method is a preferable measure for our inventories as it is expected to better reflect the current value of inventory reported in the consolidated statement of financial position, improve the matching of costs of goods sold with related revenue, and provide for greater consistency and uniformity across our operations with respect to the method of inventory valuation. While this change will also require us to make a conforming change for U.S. income tax purposes, all existing GE businesses previously using LIFO are expected to be in a deflationary cost environment due to the manufacturing life cycle of the products and continuous reduction in the manufacturing costs due to better efficiencies, which would significantly decrease the tax benefit that LIFO would otherwise provide.

As required by U.S. GAAP, we have reflected this change in accounting principle on a retrospective basis, resulting in changes to the historical periods presented. The retrospective application of the change resulted in a decrease to our January 1, 2016 retained earnings of \$105 million and an increase to our loss from continuing operations by \$124 million and a decrease to our earnings from continuing operations by \$147 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. This change did not affect our previously reported cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities.

CHANGE IN PRESENTATION OF OTHER INCOME

While not a required change in accounting, other income will now be presented as a separate financial statement line item outside of total revenue. While other accounting changes have impacted our reported Other income, this is simply a change in presentation on our statement of earnings. Other income will remain as part of industrial segment profit.

The information above as well as within Exhibit 99 to this Current Report on Form 8-K presents, for informational purposes only, unaudited statements of earnings and segment results for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and the quarterly periods of 2017, as well as the unaudited statement of financial position for the year ended December 31, 2017 on an adjusted basis consistent with the new standards.

The information provided pursuant to this Item 8.01, including Exhibit 99, is being furnished and shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities under that Section and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits

The following exhibit is being furnished as part of this report:

Exhibit Description

99 Supplemental Financial Information of General Electric Company.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

General Electric Company
(Registrant)

Date: April 13, 2018 /s/ Jan R. Hauser
Jan R. Hauser
Vice President and Controller

6				
d; TEXT-ALIGN: left">	1,057,587			
Borrowed Money				
Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase			25,000	40,000
Subordinated Debentures			24,229	24,229
Other Borrowed Money		95,784	91,000	145,013
Other Liabilities			155,229	
Commitments and Contingencies			4,739	4,999
Preferred Stock, No Par Value; Authorized 10,000,000 Shares, Issued 28,000 Shares			27,394	27,357
Common Stock, Par Value \$1 a Share; Authorized 20,000,000 Shares, Issued 8,445,208 and 7,229,163 Shares as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, Respectively			8,445	7,229
Paid-In Capital			29,117	25,393
Retained Earnings			29,851	29,554
Restricted Stock - Unearned Compensation			(128)	(159)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax			86	(100)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity			94,765	89,274
			\$1,300,527	\$1,307,089

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

COLONY BANKCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009
(UNAUDITED)
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	Three Months Ended	
	3/31/2010	3/31/2009
Interest Income		
Loans, Including Fees	\$13,432	\$14,197
Federal Funds Sold	26	5
Deposits with Other Banks	3	--
Investment Securities		
U.S. Government Agencies	1,676	2,047
State, County and Municipal	25	88
Corporate Obligations and Asset-Backed Securities	56	123
Dividends on Other Investments	4	--
	15,222	16,460
Interest Expense		
Deposits	4,440	6,173
Federal Funds Purchased	186	232
Borrowed Money	918	995
	5,544	7,400
Net Interest Income	9,678	9,060
Provision for Loan Losses	3,250	4,225
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	6,428	4,835
Noninterest Income		
Service Charges on Deposits	907	988
Other Service Charges, Commissions and Fees	270	236
Mortgage Fee Income	61	98
Securities Gains	781	2,317
Other	521	319
	2,540	3,958
Noninterest Expenses		
Salaries and Employee Benefits	3,554	3,807
Occupancy and Equipment	1,108	1,009
Other	3,651	2,541
	8,313	7,357
Income Before Income Taxes	655	1,436
Income Tax (Benefits) Expense	(29)) 358
Net Income	684	1,078
Preferred Stock Dividends	350	315
Net Income Available to Common Stockholders	\$334	\$763

Edgar Filing: GENERAL ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

Net Income Per Share of Common Stock

Basic	\$0.05	\$0.11
Diluted	\$0.05	\$0.11
Cash Dividends Declared Per Share of Common Stock	\$0.00	\$0.10
Weighted Average Basic Shares Outstanding	7,256,062	7,202,865
Weighted Average Diluted Shares Outstanding	7,256,062	7,202,865

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

6

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

COLONY BANKCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009
(UNAUDITED)
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	Three Months Ended 03/31/10	Three Months Ended 03/31/09
Net Income	\$684	\$1,078
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax		
Gains (Losses) on Securities Arising During the Year	702	(220)
Reclassification Adjustment	(516)	(1,529)
Change in Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Securities Available for Sale, Net of Reclassification Adjustment and Tax Effect	186	(1,749)
Comprehensive Income (Loss)	\$870	\$(671)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

COLONY BANKCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009
(UNAUDITED)
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net Income	\$684	\$1,078
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Depreciation	541	506
Provision for Loan Losses	3,250	4,225
Securities Gains	(781)	(2,317)
Amortization and Accretion	1,090	664
(Gain) Loss on Sale of Other Real Estate and Repossessions	226	(9)
Unrealized Loss on Other Real Estate	554	
(Increase) Decrease in Cash Surrender Value of Life Insurance	63	(100)
Other Prepaids, Deferrals and Accruals, Net	938	(131)
	6,565	3,916
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	--	(73)
Purchases of Investment Securities Available for Sale	(141,625)	(235,070)
Proceeds from Maturities, Calls, and Paydowns of Investment Securities:		
Available for Sale	12,710	10,611
Proceeds from Sale of Investment Securities Available for Sale	118,301	186,664
Increase in Interest-Bearing Deposits in Other Banks	(24,368)	(226)
(Increase) Decrease in Net Loans to Customers	39,171	(7,448)
Purchase of Premises and Equipment	(172)	(414)
Proceeds from Sale of Other Real Estate and Repossessions	2,018	3,303
	6,035	(42,653)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Noninterest-Bearing Customer Deposits	(3,677)	(7,132)
Interest-Bearing Customer Deposits	2,101	11,835
Federal Funds Purchased	--	(1,096)
Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase	(15,000)	--
Dividends Paid On Common Stock	--	(703)
Dividends Paid On Preferred Stock	(350)	(140)
Proceeds from Issuance of Common Stock	5,000	--
Principal Payments on Other Borrowed Money	(1,000)	--
Proceeds from Secured Borrowings	5,784	--
Proceeds Allocated to Issuance of Preferred Stock	--	27,215
Proceeds Allocated to Warrants Issued	--	785
	(7,142)	30,764

Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	5,458	(7,973)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	42,429	29,458
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$47,887	\$21,485

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

COLONY BANKCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Presentation

Colony Bankcorp, Inc. (the Company) is a bank holding company located in Fitzgerald, Georgia. The Company merged all of its operations into one sole subsidiary effective August 1, 2008. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Colony Bankcorp, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Colony Bank (which includes its wholly-owned subsidiary, Colony Mortgage Corp.), Fitzgerald, Georgia. All significant intercompany accounts have been eliminated in consolidation. The accounting and reporting policies of Colony Bankcorp, Inc. conform to generally accepted accounting principles and practices utilized in the commercial banking industry. The Company has evaluated subsequent events for potential recognition and/or disclosure through May 14, 2010, the date the consolidated financial statements included in this quarterly input on Form 10-Q were issued.

All dollars in notes to consolidated financial statements are rounded to the nearest thousand.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of financial position and results of operations for the interim dates and interim periods are included herein.

Nature of Operations

The Bank provides a full range of retail and commercial banking services for consumers and small- to medium-size businesses located primarily in middle and south Georgia. Colony Bank is headquartered in Fitzgerald, Georgia with banking offices in Albany, Ashburn, Broxton, Centerville, Chester, Columbus, Cordele, Douglas, Eastman, Fitzgerald, Leesburg, Moultrie, Pitts, Quitman, Rochelle, Savannah, Soperton, Sylvester, Thomaston, Tifton, Valdosta and Warner Robins. Lending and investing activities are funded primarily by deposits gathered through its retail branch office network.

Use of Estimates

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the balance sheet date and revenues and expenses for the period. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of loans and the valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets.

Accounting Standards Codification

The Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) became effective on July 1, 2009. At that date, the ASC became FASB's officially recognized source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) applicable to all public and non-public non-governmental entities, superseding existing FASB, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) and related literature. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under the authority of federal securities

laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. All other accounting literature is considered nonauthoritative. The switch to the ASC affects the way companies refer to U.S. GAAP in financial statements and accounting policies. Citing particular content in the ASC involves specifying the unique numeric path to the content through the Topic, Subtopic, Section and Paragraph structure.

Reclassifications

In certain instances, amounts reported in prior years' consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to statement presentations selected for 2010. Such reclassifications had no effect on previously reported stockholders' equity or net income.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Concentrations of credit risk can exist in relation to individual borrowers or groups of borrowers, certain types of collateral, certain types of industries, or certain geographic regions. The Company has a concentration in real estate loans as well as a geographic concentration that could pose an adverse credit risk, particularly with the current economic downturn in the real estate market. At

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Concentrations of Credit Risk (Continued)

March 31, 2010, approximately 86 percent of the Company's loan portfolio was concentrated in loans secured by real estate. A substantial portion of borrowers' ability to honor their contractual obligations is dependent upon the viability of the real estate economic sector. The continued downturn of the housing and real estate market that began in 2007 has resulted in an increase of problem loans secured by real estate. These loans are centered primarily in the Company's larger MSA markets. Declining collateral real estate values that secure land development, construction and speculative real estate loans in the Company's larger MSA markets have resulted in higher than normal loan loss provisions in 2010. In addition, a large portion of the Company's foreclosed assets are also located in these same geographic markets, making the recovery of the carrying amount of foreclosed assets susceptible to changes in market conditions. Management continues to monitor these concentrations and has considered these concentrations in its allowance for loan loss analysis.

The success of the Company is dependent, to a certain extent, upon the economic conditions in the geographic markets it serves. Adverse changes in the economic conditions in these geographic markets would likely have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition. The operating results of Colony depend primarily on its net interest income. Accordingly, operations are subject to risks and uncertainties surrounding the exposure to changes in the interest rate environment.

At times, the Company may have cash and cash equivalents at financial institutions in excess of insured limits. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with high credit quality financial institutions whose credit rating is monitored by management to minimize credit risk.

Investment Securities

The Company classifies its investment securities as trading, available for sale or held to maturity. Securities that are held principally for resale in the near term are classified as trading. Trading securities are carried at fair value, with realized and unrealized gains and losses included in noninterest income. Currently, no securities are classified as trading. Securities acquired with both the intent and ability to be held to maturity are classified as held to maturity and reported at amortized cost. All securities not classified as trading or held to maturity are considered available for sale.

Securities available for sale are reported at estimated fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale are excluded from earnings and are reported, net of deferred taxes, in accumulated other comprehensive income, a component of stockholders' equity. Declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. Gains and losses from sales of securities available for sale are computed using the specific identification method. This caption includes securities, which may be sold to meet liquidity needs arising from unanticipated deposit and loan fluctuations, changes in regulatory capital requirements, or unforeseen changes in market conditions.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

Investment in stock of a Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) is required for every federally insured institution that utilizes its services. FHLB stock is considered restricted, as defined in the accounting standards. The FHLB stock is reported in the consolidated financial statements at cost. Dividend income is recognized when earned.

Loans

Loans that the Company has the ability and intent to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity are recorded at their principal amount outstanding, net of unearned interest and fees. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and amortized over the estimated terms of the loans using the straight-line method. Interest income on loans is recognized using the effective interest method.

A loan is considered to be delinquent when payments have not been made according to contractual terms, typically evidenced by nonpayment of a monthly installment by the due date.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Loans (Continued)

When management believes there is sufficient doubt as to the collectibility of principal or interest on any loan or generally when loans are 90 days or more past due, the accrual of applicable interest is discontinued and the loan is designated as nonaccrual, unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. Interest payments received on nonaccrual loans are either applied against principal or reported as income, according to management's judgment as to the collectibility of principal. Loans are returned to an accrual status when factors indicating doubtful collectibility on a timely basis no longer exist.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectibility of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revisions as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as either doubtful or substandard or special mention. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers nonclassified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. During the fourth quarter 2009, the Company changed its methodology regarding the look back period for charge-off experience from five years to one year. With the significant net charge-offs during 2009, this change resulted in a significant increase in loan loss provisions.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are recorded at acquisition cost net of accumulated depreciation.

Depreciation is charged to operations over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives and methods of depreciation are as follows:

Description	Life in Years	Method
Banking Premises	15-40	Straight-Line and Accelerated
Furniture and Equipment	5-10	Straight-Line and Accelerated
Leasehold Improvements	5-20	Straight-Line

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Premises and Equipment (Continued)

Expenditures for major renewals and betterments are capitalized. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. When property and equipment are retired or sold, the cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective accounts and any gain or loss is reflected in other income or expense.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost over the fair value of the net assets purchased in a business combination. Impairment testing of goodwill is performed annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate possible impairment. Testing performed during 2009 indicated total impairment of goodwill and, accordingly, \$2,412,338 was expensed as an impairment during 2009.

Intangible assets consist of core deposit intangibles acquired in connection with a business combination. The core deposit intangible is initially recognized based on a valuation performed as of the consummation date. The core deposit intangible is amortized by the straight-line method over the average remaining life of the acquired customer deposits. Amortization periods are reviewed annually in connection with the annual impairment testing of goodwill.

Transfers of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Statement of Cash Flows

For reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, noninterest-bearing amounts due from banks and federal funds sold. Cash flows from demand deposits, NOW accounts, savings accounts, loans and certificates of deposit are reported net.

Securities Sold Under Repurchase Agreements

The Company sells securities under agreements to repurchase. These repurchase agreements are treated as borrowings. The obligations to repurchase securities sold are reflected as a liability and the securities underlying the agreements are reflected as assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

Advertising Costs

The Company expenses the cost of advertising in the periods in which those costs are incurred.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes is based upon income for financial statement purposes, adjusted for nontaxable income and nondeductible expenses. Deferred income taxes have been provided when different accounting methods have been used in determining income for income tax purposes and for financial reporting purposes.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on future tax consequences attributable to differences arising from the financial statement carrying values of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. The differences relate primarily to depreciable assets (use of different depreciation methods for financial statement and income tax purposes) and allowance for loan losses (use of the allowance method for financial statement purposes and the direct write-off method for tax purposes). In the event of changes in the tax laws, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted in the period of the enactment of those changes, with effects included in the income tax provision. The Company and its subsidiary file a consolidated federal income tax return. The subsidiary pays its proportional share of federal income taxes to the Company based on its taxable income.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Income Taxes (Continued)

Positions taken in the Company's tax returns may be subject to challenge by the taxing authorities upon examination. Uncertain tax positions are initially recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions are both initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the tax authority, assuming full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. The Company provides for interest and, in some cases, penalties on tax positions that may be challenged by the taxing authorities. Interest expense is recognized beginning in the first period that such interest would begin accruing. Penalties are recognized in the period that the Company claims the position in the tax return. Interest and penalties on income tax uncertainties are classified within income tax expense in the consolidated statement of income.

Other Real Estate

Other real estate generally represents real estate acquired through foreclosure and is initially recorded at the lower of cost or estimated market value at the date of acquisition. Losses from the acquisition of property in full or partial satisfaction of debt are recorded as loan losses. Properties are evaluated regularly to ensure the recorded amounts are supported by current fair values, and valuation allowances are recorded as necessary to reduce the carrying amount to fair value less estimated cost of disposal. Routine holding costs and gains or losses upon disposition are included in other losses.

Comprehensive Income

Accounting principles generally require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, represent equity changes from economic events of the period other than transactions with owners and are not reported in the consolidated statements of operations but as a separate component of the equity section of the consolidated balance sheets. Such items are considered components of other comprehensive income (loss). Accounting standards codification requires the presentation in the consolidated financial statements of net income and all items of other comprehensive income (loss) as total comprehensive income.

Off-Balance Sheet Credit Related Financial Instruments

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has entered into commitments to extend credit, commercial letters of credit and standby letters of credit. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded.

Changes in Accounting Principles and Effects of New Accounting Pronouncements

Newly revised standards on Business Combinations applies to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses. An acquirer, upon initially obtaining control of another entity, must recognize the assets, liabilities and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at fair value as of the acquisition date. Contingent consideration is required to be recognized and measured at fair value on the date of acquisition rather than at a later date when the amount of that consideration may be determinable beyond a reasonable

doubt. This fair value approach replaces the cost-allocation process whereby the cost of an acquisition was allocated to the individual assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair value. Acquirers must expense acquisition-related costs as incurred rather than allocating such costs to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as was previously the case. Pre-acquisition contingencies are to be recognized at fair value, unless it is a noncontractual contingency that is not likely to materialize, in which case, nothing should be recognized in purchase accounting and, instead, that contingency would be subject to the probable and estimable recognition criteria of contingency accounting. The revised standards are expected to have an impact on the Company's accounting for business combinations closing on or after January 1, 2009.

Revised standards for Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. A noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary, which is sometimes referred to as minority interest, is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as a component of equity in the consolidated financial statements. Among other requirements, consolidated net income must be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest. Also required are disclosure, on the face of the consolidated statements of income, of the amounts of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest. Requirements were effective for the Company on January 1, 2009 and did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Changes in Accounting Principles and Effects of New Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

In April 2009, standards were issued to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. The standards are effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009 under certain circumstances. Implementation on June 15, 2009 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In April 2009, standards were issued for Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments. These standards amend the other-than-temporary impairment guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. The existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities is not amended. Changes are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. The adoption of the other-than-temporary impairment amendments on June 15, 2009 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In April 2009, new standards were issued providing additional guidance for estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. The standard emphasizes that even if there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability and regardless of the valuation techniques(s) used, the objective of a fair value measurement remains the same. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. The standard is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. Adoption on June 15, 2009 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

New requirements for subsequent events establish general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or available to be issued. The standards define (i) the period after the balance sheet date during which a reporting entity's management should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements (ii) the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and (iii) the disclosures an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. The effective date for the Corporation's financial statements is for periods ending after June 15, 2009. Implementation resulted in no significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

(2) Cash and Balances Due from Banks

Components of cash and balances due from banks are as follows as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Cash on Hand and Cash Items	\$ 10,200	\$ 8,773
Noninterest-Bearing Deposits with Other Banks	12,160	17,223

\$ 22,360 \$ 25,996

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

3) Investment Securities

Investment securities as of March 31, 2010 are summarized as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Securities Available for Sale:				
U.S. Government Agencies				
Mortgage-Backed	\$ 270,650	\$ 1,334	\$ (761)	\$ 271,223
State, County & Municipal	2,198	29	(27)	2,200
Corporate Obligations	4,461	73	(170)	4,364
Asset-Backed Securities	479	--	(347)	132
	\$ 277,788	\$ 1,436	\$ (1,305)	\$ 277,919
Securities Held to Maturity:				
State, County and Municipal	\$ 55	\$ 2	\$ --	\$ 57

The amortized cost and fair value of investment securities as of March 31, 2010, by contractual maturity, are shown hereafter. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Securities			
	Available for Sale		Held to Maturity	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due After One Year Through Five Years	\$2,461	\$2,441	--	--
Due After Five Years Through Ten Years	2,182	2,283	\$55	\$57
Due After Ten Years	2,495	1,972	--	--
	7,138	6,696	55	57
Mortgage-Backed Securities	270,650	271,223	--	--
	\$277,788	\$277,919	\$55	\$57

Investment securities as of December 31, 2009 are summarized as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Securities Available for Sale:				
U.S. Government Agencies				
Mortgage-Backed	\$ 258,433	\$ 1,536	\$ (1,059)	\$ 258,910
State, County & Municipal	4,027	75	(35)	4,067

Edgar Filing: GENERAL ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

Corporate Obligations	4,458	65	(385)	4,138
Asset-Backed Securities	479	--	(347)	132
	\$ 267,397	\$ 1,676	\$ (1,826)	\$ 267,247

Securities Held to Maturity:

State, County and Municipal	\$ 54	\$ 3	\$ --	\$ 57
-----------------------------	-------	------	-------	-------

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

3) Investment Securities (Continued)

Proceeds from the sale of investments available for sale during first three months of 2010 totaled \$118,301 compared to \$186,664 for the first three months of 2009. The sale of investments available for sale during 2010 resulted in gross realized gains of \$781 and gross realized losses of \$0 and the sale of investments available for sale during 2009 resulted in gross realized gain of \$2,361 and losses of \$44.

Investment securities having a carry value approximating \$141,150 and \$157,868 as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes.

Information pertaining to securities with gross unrealized losses at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position, follows:

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
March 31, 2010						
U.S. Government Agencies						
Mortgage-Backed	\$121,962	\$(761)	\$--	\$--	\$121,962	\$(761)
State, County and Municipal	350	(1)	990	(26)	1,340	(27)
Corporate Obligations	--	--	3,291	(170)	3,291	(170)
Asset-Backed Securities	--	--	132	(347)	132	(347)
	\$122,312	\$(762)	\$4,413	\$(543)	\$126,725	\$(1,305)
December 31, 2009						
U.S. Government Agencies						
Mortgage-Backed	\$114,223	\$(1,056)	\$419	\$(3)	\$114,642	\$(1,059)
State, County and Municipal	--	--	1,425	(35)	1,425	(35)
Corporate Obligations	--	--	3,073	(385)	3,073	(385)
Asset-Backed Securities	--	--	132	(347)	132	(347)
	\$114,223	\$(1,056)	\$5,049	\$(770)	\$119,272	\$(1,826)

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

At March 31, 2010, the debt securities with unrealized losses have depreciated 1.02 percent from the Company's amortized cost basis. These securities are guaranteed by either U.S. Government, other governments, or U.S. corporations. These unrealized losses relate principally to current interest rates for similar types of securities. In analyzing an issuer's financial condition, management considers whether the securities are issued by the federal

government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred and the results of reviews of the issuer's financial condition. As management has the ability to hold debt securities until maturity, or for the foreseeable future if classified as available-for-sale, no declines are deemed to be other-than-temporary.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(4) Loans

The composition of loans as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was as follows:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 70,461	\$ 80,984
Real Estate – Construction	101,718	113,117
Real Estate – Farmland	53,764	54,965
Real Estate – Other	606,268	626,994
Installment Loans to Individuals	37,418	38,383
All Other Loans	15,652	16,949
	\$ 885,281	\$ 931,392

Nonaccrual loans are loans for which principal and interest are doubtful of collection in accordance with original loan terms and for which accruals of interest have been discontinued due to payment delinquency. Nonaccrual loans totaled \$34,718 and \$33,535 as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively and total recorded investment in loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest approximated \$27 and \$31, respectively.

(5) Allowance for Loan Losses

Transactions in the allowance for loan losses are summarized below for three months ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009 as follows:

	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009
Balance, Beginning	\$ 31,401	\$ 17,016
Provision Charged to Operating Expenses	3,250	4,225
Loans Charged Off	(5,231)	(2,345)
Loan Recoveries	276	100
Balance, Ending	\$ 29,696	\$ 18,996

(6) Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are comprised of the following as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Land	\$ 7,810	\$ 7,805
Building	23,706	23,642
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	14,475	14,365

Edgar Filing: GENERAL ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

Leasehold Improvements	993	993
Construction in Progress	--	7
	46,984	46,812
Accumulated Depreciation	(18,527)	(17,986)
	\$ 28,457	\$ 28,826

Depreciation charged to operations totaled \$541 and \$506 for March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009, respectively.

Certain Company facilities and equipment are leased under various operating leases. Rental expense approximated \$97 and \$84 for three months ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009, respectively.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(7) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The following is an analysis of the goodwill and core deposit intangible asset activity for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
Goodwill		
Balance, Beginning	--	\$ 2,412
Goodwill Acquired	--	--
Balance, Ending	--	\$ 2,412
Net Core Deposit, Intangible		
Balance, Beginning	\$ 331	\$ 367
Amortization Expense	(9)	(9)
Balance, Ending	\$ 322	\$ 358

The following table reflects the expected amortization for the core deposit intangible at March 31, 2010:

2010	\$27
2011	36
2012	36
2013	36
2014 and thereafter	187
	\$322

(8) Income Taxes

The Company records income taxes under accounting standards requiring an asset and liability approach to financial accounting and reporting for income taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed annually for differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable or refundable for the period plus or minus the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Positions taken in the Company's tax returns may be subject to challenge by the taxing authorities upon examination. Uncertain tax positions are initially recognized in the consolidated financial statements when it is more likely than not the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions are both initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the tax authority, assuming full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. The

company provides for interest and, in some cases, penalties on tax positions that may be challenged by the taxing authorities. Interest expense is recognized beginning in the first period that such interest would begin accruing. Penalties are recognized in the period that the Company claims the position in the tax return. Interest and penalties on income tax uncertainties are classified within income tax expense in the consolidated statements of income. Once the statute of limitations has passed, the Company reverses income tax expenses recorded. The Company reversed \$158 thousand in first quarter 2010.

(9) Fair Value Measurements

Generally accepted accounting principles related to Fair Value Measurements, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(9) Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

- Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy:

Assets

Securities – Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 inputs include securities that have quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flow. Examples of such instruments, which would generally be classified within level 2 of the valuation hierarchy, included certain collateralized mortgage and debt obligations and certain high-yield debt securities. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, securities are classified within level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. When measuring fair value, the valuation techniques available under the market approach, income approach and/or cost approach are used. The Company's evaluations are based on market data and the Company employs combinations of these approaches for its valuation methods depending on the asset class.

Impaired loans – Fair value accounting principles also apply to loans measured for impairment, including impaired loans measured at an observable market price (if available), or at the fair value of the loan's collateral (if the loan is collateral dependent). Fair value of the loan's collateral, when the loan is dependent on collateral, is determined by appraisals for independent valuation which is then adjusted for the cost related to liquidation of the collateral.

Other Real Estate – Certain foreclosed assets, upon initial recognition, are remeasured and reported at fair value less cost to sale through a charge-off to the allowance for loan losses based on the fair value of the foreclosed asset. The fair value of a foreclosed asset is estimated using Level 2 inputs based on observable market price or appraised value. When appraised value is not available and management determines the fair value, the fair value of the foreclosed assets is considered Level 3.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis – The following table presents the recorded amount of the Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2010 aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall.

March 31, 2010	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
	Quoted Prices in	Significant Other	Significant Unobservable

Edgar Filing: GENERAL ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

		Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)
Recurring				
Securities Available for Sale				
Mortgage-backed	\$271,223	\$---	\$271,223	\$ ---
State,County & Municipal	2,200	---	2,200	---
Corporate Obligations	4,364	---	3,514	850
Asset-Backed Securities	132	---	---	132
	\$277,919	\$---	\$276,937	\$ 982
Nonrecurring				
Impaired Loans	\$34,498	\$---	\$---	\$ 34,498
Other Real Estate	\$18,846	\$---	\$---	\$ 18,846

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(9) Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

Liabilities

The Company did not identify any liabilities that are required to be presented at fair value.

The table below presents a reconciliation and statement of income classification of gains and losses for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Fair Value Measurement Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Available for Sale Securities (In Thousands)
Balance, Beginning	\$ 982
Total Realized/Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included In	
Loss on OTTI Impairment	---
Other Comprehensive Income	---
Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements, Net	---
Transfers In and (Out) of Level 3	---
Balance, Ending	\$ 982

(10) Deposits

The aggregate amount of overdrawn deposit accounts reclassified as loan balances totaled \$274 and \$232 as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Components of interest-bearing deposits as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Interest-Bearing Demand	\$ 220,989	\$ 220,168
Savings	37,720	34,851
Time, \$100,000 and Over	337,063	364,064
Other Time	379,676	354,265
	\$ 975,448	\$ 973,348

At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had brokered deposits of \$93,615 and \$136,453 respectively. Of the \$93,615 brokered deposits at March 31, 2010, \$35,727 represented Certificate of Deposits Account Registry Service (CDARS) reciprocal deposits in which customers placed core deposits into the CDARS program for FDIC insurance coverage and the Company received reciprocal brokered deposits in a like amount. Thus, brokered deposits less the reciprocal deposits totaled \$57,888 at March 31, 2010. The aggregate amount of short-term jumbo certificates of deposit, each with a minimum denomination of \$100,000 was approximately \$277,905 and \$316,896 as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Edgar Filing: GENERAL ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the scheduled maturities of certificates of deposits are as follows:

Maturity	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
One Year and Under	\$ 566,142	\$ 620,165
One to Three Years	141,852	94,055
Three Years and Over	8,745	4,109
	\$ 716,739	\$ 718,329

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(11) Securities Sold Under Repurchase Agreements

The Company has securities sold under repurchase agreements in the amount of \$25,000 at March 31, 2010. Barclay's Master Repurchase Agreement originated on June 26, 2008 with the initial draw of \$20,000 on June 30, 2008. The Repurchase Agreement matures on June 30, 2011 and had a one-time call option on December 30, 2009. Interest payments are due quarterly at a fixed rate of 3.34 percent. The Repurchase Agreement is secured by U.S. Government mortgage-backed securities.

South Street Securities Master Repurchase Agreement originated on October 27, 2008 with the initial draw of \$20,000 on October 31, 2008 and the current draw of \$5,000 on March 31, 2010. The Repurchase Agreement is overnight borrowing at a floating interest rate. Interest payments are due monthly, and at March 31, 2010, the floating interest rate was 0.75 percent. The Repurchase Agreement is secured by U.S. Government mortgage-backed securities.

(12) Other Borrowed Money

Other borrowed money at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 is summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Secured Borrowings	\$ 5,784	\$ --
Federal Home Loan Bank Advances	90,000	91,000
	\$ 95,784	\$ 91,000

Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) have maturities ranging from 2010 to 2019 and interest rates ranging from 0.36 percent to 4.75 percent. Under the Blanket Agreement for Advances and Security Agreement with the FHLB, residential first mortgage loans and cash balances held by the FHLB are pledged as collateral for the FHLB advances outstanding. At March 31, 2010, the Company had available line of credit commitments totaling \$195,680, of which \$105,620 was available.

Secured Borrowings represent the transfer of the guaranteed portion of SBA loans at a premium in which the Company is obligated by the SBA to refund the premium to the "purchaser" if the loan is repaid within 90 days of the transfer. Under Current Accounting Standards, this premium refund obligation is a form of recourse, which means that the transferred guaranteed portion of the loan does not meet the definition of a "participating interest" for the 90-day period that the premium refund obligation exists. As a result, the transfer must be accounted for as a secured borrowing during this period.

The aggregate stated maturities of other borrowed money at March 31, 2010 are as follows:

Year	Amount
2010	\$ 24,784
2011	--
2012	41,000
2013 and Thereafter	30,000
	\$ 95,784

The Company also has available federal funds lines of credit with various financial institutions totaling \$47,000, of which \$0 was outstanding at March 31, 2010.

(13) Preferred Stock and Warrants

On January 9, 2009, the Company issued to the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury), in exchange for aggregate consideration of \$28.0 million, (i) 28,000 shares of the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, (the Preferred Stock), and (ii) a warrant (the Warrant) to purchase up to 500,000 shares (the Warrant Common Stock) of the Company's common stock.

The Preferred Stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital and pays cumulative cash dividends quarterly at a rate of 5 percent per annum for the first five years, and 9 percent per annum thereafter. The Preferred Stock is non-voting, other than class voting rights on certain matters that could adversely affect the Preferred Stock. The Preferred Stock may be redeemed by the Company on or after February 15, 2012 at the liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. Prior to this date, the Preferred Stock may not be redeemed unless the Company has received aggregate gross proceeds from one or more qualified equity offerings of

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(13) Preferred Stock and Warrants (Continued)

any Tier 1 perpetual preferred or common stock of the Company equal to \$7.0 million. Subject to certain limited exceptions, until January 9, 2012, or such earlier time as all Preferred Stock has been redeemed, the Company will not, without the Treasury's consent, be able to increase its dividend rate per share of common stock or repurchase its common stock.

The Warrant may be exercised on or before January 9, 2019 at an exercise price of \$8.40 per share. The Treasury may not exercise voting power with respect to any shares of Warrant Common Stock until the Warrant has been exercised.

Upon receipt of the aggregate consideration from the Treasury on January 9, 2009, the Company allocated the \$28,000,000 proceeds on a pro rata basis to the Preferred Stock and the Warrant based on relative fair values. As a result, the Company allocated \$27,220,000 of the aggregate proceeds to the Preferred Stock, and \$780 thousand was allocated to the Warrant. The discount recorded on the Preferred Stock that resulted from allocating a portion of the proceeds to the Warrant is being accreted directly to retained earnings over a 5-year period applying a level yield.

(14) Subordinated Debentures (Trust Preferred Securities)

During the second quarter of 2004, the Company formed a third subsidiary whose sole purpose was to issue \$4,500 in Trust Preferred Securities through a pool sponsored by FTN Financial Capital Markets. The Trust Preferred Securities have a maturity of 30 years and are redeemable after five years with certain exceptions. At March 31, 2010, the floating rate securities had a 2.94 percent interest rate, which will reset quarterly at the three-month LIBOR rate plus 2.68 percent.

During the second quarter of 2006, the Company formed a fourth subsidiary whose sole purpose was to issue \$5,000 in Trust Preferred Securities through a pool sponsored by SunTrust Capital Markets. The Trust Preferred Securities have a maturity of 30 years and are redeemable after five years with certain exceptions. At March 31, 2010 the floating-rate securities had a 1.79 percent interest rate, which will reset quarterly at the three-month LIBOR rate plus 1.50 percent.

During the first quarter of 2007, the Company formed a fifth subsidiary whose sole purpose was to issue \$9,000 in Trust Preferred Securities through a pool sponsored by Trapeza Capital Management, LLC. The Trust Preferred Securities have a maturity of 30 years and are redeemable after five years with certain exceptions. At March 31, 2010, the floating-rate securities had a 1.94 percent interest rate, which will reset quarterly at the three-month LIBOR rate plus 1.65 percent. Proceeds from this issuance were used to payoff the trust preferred securities with the first subsidiary formed in March 2002 as the Company exercised its option to call.

During the third quarter of 2007, the company formed a sixth subsidiary whose sole purpose was to issue \$5,000 in Trust Preferred Securities through a pool sponsored by Trapeza Capital Management, LLC. The Trust Preferred Securities have a maturity of 30 years and are redeemable after five years with certain exceptions. At March 31, 2010, the floating-rate securities had a 1.65 percent interest rate, which will reset quarterly at the three-month LIBOR rate plus 1.40 percent. Proceeds from this issuance were used to payoff the trust preferred securities with the second subsidiary formed in December 2002 as the Company exercised its option to call.

The Trust Preferred Securities are recorded as subordinated debentures on the consolidated balance sheets, but subject to certain limitations, qualify as Tier 1 Capital for regulatory capital purposes. The proceeds from the offering were

used to fund the cash portion of the Quitman acquisition, payoff holding company debt, and inject capital into bank subsidiaries.

(15) Restricted Stock – Unearned Compensation

In 1999, the board of directors of Colony Bankcorp, Inc. adopted a restricted stock grant plan which awards certain executive officers common shares of the Company. The maximum number of shares (split-adjusted) which may be subject to restricted stock awards was 64,701. To date, 77,052 split-adjusted shares have been issued under this plan and since the plan's inception, 12,351 shares have been forfeited; thus, there are not any shares available for restricted stock awards at March 31, 2010. The shares are recorded at fair market value (on the date granted) as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The cost of these shares is being amortized against earnings using the straight-line method over three years (the restriction period.)

In April 2004, the stockholders of Colony Bankcorp, Inc. adopted a restricted stock grant plan which awards certain executive officers common shares of the Company. The maximum number of shares which may be subject to restricted stock awards (split-adjusted) is 143,500. To date, 53,256 shares have been issued under this plan and since the plan's inception 11,848 shares have been forfeited, thus remaining shares which may be subject to restricted stock awards are 102,092 at March 31, 2010. During 2010, there has not been any shares of restricted stock issued and 500 shares have been forfeited. The shares are recorded at fair market value (on the date

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(15) Restricted Stock – Unearned Compensation (Continued)

granted) as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The cost of these shares is being amortized against earnings using the straight-line method over three years (the restriction period).

(16) Profit Sharing Plan

The Company has a profit sharing plan that covers substantially all employees who meet certain age and service requirements. It is the Company's policy to make contributions to the plan as approved annually by the board of directors. The provision for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$0 compared to \$52 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The total provision for contributions to the plan was \$0 for 2010, \$(19) for 2009, and \$206 for 2008.

(17) Commitments and Contingencies

Credit-Related Financial Instruments. The Company is a party to credit related financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and commercial letters of credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

The Company's exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual amount of these commitments. The Company follows the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance sheet instruments.

At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 the following financial instruments were outstanding whose contract amounts represent credit risk:

	Contract Amount	
	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Loan Commitments	\$ 53,520	\$ 56,100
Standby Letters of Credit	1,504	1,475

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. The commitments for equity lines of credit may expire without being drawn upon. Therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Company, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer.

Unfunded commitments under commercial lines of credit, revolving credit lines and overdraft protection agreements are commitments for possible future extensions of credit to existing customers. These lines of credit are uncollateralized and usually do not contain a specified maturity date and may not be drawn upon to the total extent to which the Company is committed.

Standby and performance letters of credit are conditional lending commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those letters of credit are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements. Essentially all letters of credit issued have expiration dates within one year. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

At March 31, 2010, a standby letter of credit in the amount of \$60 was issued by Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta on behalf of Colony Bank.

Legal Contingencies. In the ordinary course of business, there are various legal proceedings pending against Colony and its subsidiaries. The aggregate liabilities, if any, arising from such proceedings would not, in the opinion of management, have a material adverse effect on Colony's consolidated financial position.

(18) Deferred Compensation Plan

Two of the Bank branches have deferred compensation plans covering directors choosing to participate through individual deferred compensation contracts. In accordance with terms of the contracts, the Banks are committed to pay the directors deferred

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 1 (Continued)

(18) Deferred Compensation Plan (Continued)

compensation over a specified number of years, beginning at age 65. In the event of a director's death before age 65, payments are made to the director's named beneficiary over a specified number of years, beginning on the first day of the month following the death of the director.

Liabilities accrued under the plans totaled \$1,256 and \$1,299 as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Benefit payments under the contracts were \$75 and \$44 for the three month period ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009, respectively. Provisions charged to operations totaled \$33 and \$29 for the three month period ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009, respectively.

Fee income recognized with deferred compensation plans totaled \$63 and \$100 for three month period ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009, respectively.

(19) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Generally accepted accounting standards in the U.S. require disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized on the face of the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The assumptions used in the estimation of the fair value of Colony Bankcorp, Inc. and Subsidiary's financial instruments are detailed hereafter. Where quoted prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using discounted cash flows and other valuation techniques. The use of discounted cash flows can be significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. The following disclosures should not be considered a surrogate of the liquidation value of the Company, but rather a good-faith estimate of the increase or decrease in value of financial instruments held by the Company since purchase, origination or issuance.

Cash and Short-Term Investments – For cash, due from banks, bank-owned deposits and federal funds sold, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Investment Securities – Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices where available. If quoted market prices are not available, estimated fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock – The fair value of Federal Home Loan Bank stock approximates carrying value.

Loans – The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings. For variable rate loans, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Deposit Liabilities – The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed maturity certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Federal Funds Purchased – The carrying value of federal funds purchased approximates fair value.

Subordinated Debentures – Fair value approximates carrying value due to the variable interest rates of the subordinated debentures.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase and Other Borrowed Money – The fair value of other borrowed money is calculated by discounting contractual cash flows using an estimated interest rate based on current rates available to the Company for debt of similar remaining maturities and collateral terms.

Unrecognized Financial Instruments – Fair values for off-balance sheet, credit-related financial instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. The fees associated with these instruments are not material.

Disclosures of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or non-recurring basis, are required in the financial statements.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(19) Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

The carrying amount and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	March 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
	(in thousands)			
Assets				
Cash and Short-Term Investments	\$ 78,734	\$ 78,734	\$ 48,908	\$ 48,908
Investment Securities Available for Sale	277,919	277,919	267,247	267,247
Investment Securities Held to Maturity	55	57	54	57
Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	6,345	6,345	6,345	6,345
Loans, Net	855,486	860,959	899,851	908,638
Liabilities				
Deposits	1,056,010	1,059,294	1,057,586	1,059,037
Federal Funds Purchased	--	--	--	--
Subordinated Debentures	24,229	24,229	24,229	24,229
Securities Sold Under				
Agreements to Repurchase	25,000	25,601	40,000	40,671
Other Borrowed Money	95,784	96,899	91,000	91,703

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on many judgments. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing on and off-balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Significant assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments include deferred income taxes and premises and equipment. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in the estimates.

(20) Regulatory Capital Matters

The amount of dividends payable to the parent company from the subsidiary bank is limited by various banking regulatory agencies. Upon approval by regulatory authorities, the Bank may pay cash dividends to the parent

company in excess of regulatory limitations. Additionally, in the third quarter of 2009, the Company suspended the payment of dividends to common shareholders.

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and, possibly, additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. The amounts and ratios as defined in regulations are presented hereafter. Management believes, as of March 31, 2010, the company meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. In the opinion of management, there are no conditions or events since prior notification of capital adequacy from the regulators that have changed the institution's category.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(20) Regulatory Capital Matters (Continued)

The following table summarizes regulatory capital information as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 on a consolidated basis and for each significant subsidiary, as defined.

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of March 31, 2010						
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Consolidated	\$ 120,188	14.14 %	\$ 68,006	8.00 %	NA	NA
Colony Bank	114,690	13.51	67,926	8.00	\$ 84,908	10.00 %
Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Consolidated	109,327	12.86	34,003	4.00	NA	NA
Colony Bank	103,841	12.23	33,963	4.00	50,945	6.00
Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets						
Consolidated	109,327	8.44	51,788	4.00	NA	NA
Colony Bank	103,841	8.03	51,724	4.00	64,655	5.00
As of December 31, 2009						
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Consolidated	\$ 118,848	13.07 %	\$ 76,768	8.00 %	NA	NA
Colony Bank	117,756	12.97	72,622	8.00	\$ 90,777	10.00 %
Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted						

Edgar Filing: GENERAL ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

Assets

Consolidated	107,231	11.79	36,384	4.00	NA	NA
Colony Bank	106,161	11.69	36,311	4.00	54,466	6.00

Tier 1 Capital to
Average Assets

Consolidated	107,231	8.30	51,708	4.00	NA	NA
Colony Bank	106,161	8.22	51,641	4.00	64,551	5.00

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(21) Financial Information of Colony Bankcorp, Inc. (Parent Only)

The parent company's balance sheets as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 and the related statements of income and comprehensive income and cash flows are as follows:

COLONY BANKCORP, INC. (PARENT ONLY)
BALANCE SHEETS
MARCH 31, 2010 AND DECEMBER 31, 2009

ASSETS	March 31, 2010 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2009 (Audited)
Cash	\$4,820	\$556
Premises and Equipment, Net	1,554	1,554
Investment in Subsidiaries, at Equity	112,573	111,451
Other	353	257
Totals Assets	\$119,300	\$113,818
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Liabilities		
Dividends Payable	175	\$175
Other	131	139
	306	314
Subordinated Debt	24,229	24,229
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred Stock, Par Value \$1,000 a Share; Authorized 10,000,000 Shares, Issued 28,000 Shares as of March 31, 2010	27,394	27,357
Common Stock, Par Value \$1 a Share; Authorized 20,000,000 Shares, Issued 8,445,208 and 7,229,163 Shares as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, Respectively	8,445	7,229
Paid-In Capital	29,117	25,393
Retained Earnings	29,851	29,554
Restricted Stock - Unearned Compensation	(128)	(158)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Tax	86	(100)
	94,765	89,275
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$119,300	\$113,818

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(21) Financial Information of Colony Bankcorp, Inc. (Parent Only) (Continued)

COLONY BANKCORP, INC. (PARENT ONLY)
STATEMENT OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND MARCH 31, 2009
(UNAUDITED)

	MARCH 31, 2010	MARCH 31, 2009
Income		
Dividends from Subsidiaries	\$4	\$807
Management Fees	114	--
Other	22	28
	140	835
Expenses		
Interest	121	205
Salaries and Employee Benefits	198	215
Other	182	217
	501	637
Income (Loss) Before Taxes and Equity in Undistributed Earnings of Subsidiaries	(361)	198
Income Tax (Benefits)	(109)	(193)
Income Before Equity in Undistributed Earnings of Subsidiaries	(252)	391
Equity in Undistributed Earnings of Subsidiaries	936	687
Net Income (Loss)	684	1,078
Preferred Stock Dividends	350	315
Net Income (Loss) Available to Common Shareholders	\$334	\$763

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(21) Financial Information of Colony Bankcorp, Inc. (Parent Only) (Continued)

COLONY BANKCORP, INC. (PARENT ONLY)
STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND MARCH 31, 2009
(UNAUDITED)

	2010	2009
Net Income (Loss)	\$684	\$1,078
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax		
Gains (Losses) on Securities Arising During Year	702	(220)
Reclassification Adjustment	(516)	(1,529)
Change in Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Securities Available for Sale, Net of Reclassification Adjustment and Tax Effects	186	(1,749)
Comprehensive Income (Loss)	\$870	\$(671)

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(21) Financial Information of Colony Bankcorp, Inc. (Parent Only) (Continued)

COLONY BANKCORP, INC. (PARENT ONLY)
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND MARCH 31, 2009
(UNAUDITED)

	2010	2009
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net Income (Loss)	\$684	\$1,078
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income (Loss) to Net Cash Provided from Operating Activities		
Depreciation and Amortization	55	73
Equity in Undistributed Earnings of Subsidiary	(936)	(687)
Other	(160)	(244)
	(357)	220
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Capital Infusion in Subsidiary	--	(25,500)
Purchases of Premises and Equipment	(29)	(86)
	(29)	(25,586)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Dividends Paid Preferred Stock	(350)	(140)
Dividends Paid Common Stock	--	(703)
Proceeds from Issuance of Common Stock	5,000	--
Proceeds Allocated to Issuance of Preferred Stock	--	27,215
Proceeds Allocated to Warrants Issued	--	785
	4,650	27,157
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash	4,264	1,791
Cash, Beginning	556	2
Cash, Ending	\$4,820	\$1,793

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 1 (Continued)

(22) Earnings Per Share

Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed and presented hereafter. Basic earnings per share is calculated and presented based on income available to common stockholders divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the reporting periods. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution of restricted stock. The following presents earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, under the requirements of Statement 128:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010			Three Months Ended March 31, 2009		
	Income Numerator	Common Shares Denominator	EPS	Income Numerator	Common Shares Denominator	EPS
Basic EPS						
Income (Loss) Available to Common Stockholders	\$334	7,256	\$0.05	\$763	7,203	\$0.11
Dilutive Effect of Potential Common Stock Restricted Stock						
		0			0	
Diluted EPS						
Income (Loss) Available to Common Stockholders After Assumed Conversions of Dilutive Securities	\$334	7,256	\$0.05	\$763	7,203	\$0.11

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results

Certain statements contained in this Quarterly Report that are not statements of historical fact constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Act), notwithstanding that such statements are not specifically identified. In addition, certain statements may be contained in the Company's future filings with the SEC, in press releases, and in oral and written statements made by or with the approval of the Company that are not statements of historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Act. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: (i) projections of revenues, income or loss, earnings or loss per share, the payment or nonpayment of dividends, capital structure and other financial items; (ii) statements of plans and objectives of Colony Bankcorp, Inc. or its management or Board of Directors, including those relating to products or services; (iii) statements of future economic performance; and (iv) statements of assumptions underlying such statements. Words such as "believes," "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "targeted" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those in such statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- Local and regional economic conditions and the impact they may have on the Company and its customers and the Company's assessment of that impact.
- Changes in estimates of future reserve requirements based upon the periodic review thereof under relevant regulatory and accounting requirements.
- The effects of and changes in trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Federal Reserve Board.
 - Inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations.
 - Political instability.
 - Acts of war or terrorism.
- The timely development and acceptance of new products and services and perceived overall value of these products and services by users.
 - Changes in consumer spending, borrowings and savings habits.
 - Technological changes.
 - Acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses.

- The ability to increase market share and control expenses.
- The effect of changes in laws and regulations (including laws and regulations concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance) with which the Company and its subsidiaries must comply.
- The effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters.
 - Changes in the Company's organization, compensation and benefit plans.
 - The costs and effects of litigation and of unexpected or adverse outcomes in such litigation.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

- Greater than expected costs or difficulties related to the integration of new lines of business.
- The Company's success at managing the risks involved in the foregoing items.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made. The Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

The Company

Colony Bankcorp, Inc. (Colony) is a bank holding company headquartered in Fitzgerald, Georgia that provides, through its wholly owned subsidiary (collectively referred to as the Company), a broad array of products and services throughout 18 Georgia markets. The Company offers commercial, consumer and mortgage banking services.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Accounting Estimates

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and conform to general practices within the banking industry. The Company's financial position and results of operations are affected by management's application of accounting policies, including judgments made to arrive at the carrying value of assets and liabilities and amounts reported for revenues, expenses and related disclosures. Different assumptions in the application of these policies could result in material changes in the Company's financial position and/or results of operations. Critical accounting policies are those policies that management believes are the most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results of operations, and they require management to make estimates that are difficult, subjective or complete.

Allowance for Loan Losses – The allowance for loan losses provides coverage for probable losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio. Management evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses quarterly based on changes, if any, in underwriting activities, the loan portfolio composition (including product mix and geographic, industry or customer-specific concentrations), trends in loan performance, regulatory guidance and economic factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires the use of significant management estimates. Many factors can affect management's estimates of specific and expected losses, including volatility of default probabilities, collateral values, rating migrations, loss severity and economic and political conditions. The allowance is increased through provisions charged to operating earnings and reduced by net charge-offs.

The Company determines the amount of the allowance based on relative risk characteristics of the loan portfolio. The allowance recorded for loans is based on reviews of individual credit relationships and historical loss experience. The allowance for losses relating to impaired loans is based on the loan's observable market price, the discounted cash flows using the loan's effective interest rate, or the value of collateral for collateral dependent loans.

Regardless of the extent of the Company's analysis of customer performance, portfolio trends or risk management processes, certain inherent but undetected losses are probable within the loan portfolio. This is due to several factors, including inherent delays in obtaining information regarding a customer's financial condition or changes in their unique business conditions, the judgmental nature of individual loan evaluations, collateral assessments and the interpretation of economic trends. Volatility of economic or customer-specific conditions affecting the identification and estimation of losses for larger nonhomogeneous credits and the sensitivity of assumptions utilized to establish allowances for homogeneous groups of loans are among other factors. The Company estimates a range of inherent

losses related to the existence of these exposures. The estimates are based upon the Company's evaluation of risk associated with the commercial and consumer levels and the estimated impact of the current economic environment.

Goodwill and Other Intangibles – The Company records all assets and liabilities acquired in purchase acquisitions, including goodwill and other intangibles, at fair value. Goodwill is subject, at a minimum, to annual tests for impairment. Other intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives using straight-line and accelerated methods, and are subject to impairment if events or circumstances indicate a possible inability to realize the carrying amount. The initial goodwill and other intangibles recorded and subsequent impairment analysis require management to make subjective judgments concerning estimates of how the acquired asset will perform in the future. Events and factors that may significantly affect the estimates include, among others, customer attrition, changes in revenue growth trends, specific industry conditions and changes in competition. Testing performed during 2009 indicated total impairment of goodwill and, accordingly, \$2.41 million was expensed as an impairment.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 2 (Continued)

Overview

The following discussion and analysis presents the more significant factors affecting the Company's financial condition as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, and results of operations for each of the three months in the periods ended March 31, 2010 and 2009. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements, notes thereto and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this report.

Taxable-equivalent adjustments are the result of increasing income from tax-free loans and investments by an amount equal to the taxes that would be paid if the income were fully taxable based on a 34 percent federal tax rate, thus making tax-exempt yields comparable to taxable asset yields.

Dollar amounts in tables are stated in thousands, except for per share amounts.

Results of Operations

The Company's results of operations are determined by its ability to effectively manage interest income and expense, to minimize loan and investment losses, to generate noninterest income and to control noninterest expense. Since market forces and economic conditions beyond the control of the Company determine interest rates, the ability to generate net interest income is dependent upon the Company's ability to obtain an adequate spread between the rate earned on earning assets and the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Thus, the key performance for net interest income is the interest margin or net yield, which is taxable-equivalent net interest income divided by average earning assets. Net income available to shareholders totaled \$334 thousand, or \$0.05 diluted per common share, in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to net income available to shareholders of \$763 thousand, or \$0.11 diluted per common share, in three months ended March 31, 2009.

Selected income statement data, returns on average assets and average equity and dividends per share for the comparable periods were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2010	2009
Taxable-equivalent net interest income	\$ 9,723	\$ 9,140
Taxable-equivalent adjustment	45	80
Net interest income	9,678	9,060
Provision for possible loan losses	3,250	4,225
Noninterest income	2,540	3,958
Noninterest expense	8,313	7,357
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 655	\$ 1,436
Income Taxes (Benefits)	(29)	358
Net income (loss)	\$ 684	\$ 1,078

Edgar Filing: GENERAL ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

Preferred stock dividends	350	315		
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 334	\$ 763		
Net Income (loss) available to common shareholders:				
Basic	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.11		
Diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.11		
Return on average assets	0.10	%	0.24	%
Return on average common equity	1.48	%	3.63	%

34

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

Net income from operations for three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$429 thousand, or 56.23 percent, compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was primarily the result of an increase of \$956 thousand in noninterest expense, a decrease of \$1.42 million in noninterest income and an increase of \$35 thousand in preferred stock dividends. This was offset by a decrease of \$975 thousand in provision for possible loan loss, an increase of \$618 thousand in net interest income, and a decrease of \$387 thousand in income taxes. This decrease resulted in an income tax benefit of \$29 thousand for March 31, 2010.

Details of the changes in the various components of net income are further discussed below.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest income on earning assets, such as loans and securities, and interest expense on liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings, which are used to fund those assets. Net interest income is the Company's largest source of revenue, representing 79.21 percent of total revenue for three months ended March 31, 2010 and 69.60 percent for the same period a year ago.

Net interest margin is the taxable-equivalent net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets for the period. The level of interest rates and the volume and mix of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities impact net interest income and net interest margin.

The Federal Reserve Board influences the general market rates of interest, including the deposit and loan rates offered by many financial institutions. The Company's loan portfolio is significantly affected by changes in the prime interest rate. The prime interest rate, which is the rate offered on loans to borrowers with strong credit has ranged from 3.25 percent to 7.75 percent during 2007 to 2010. At year end 2007, the prime rate was 7.25 percent and with the 400 basis point reduction during 2008 the prime rate ended the year at 3.25 percent and remained at 3.25 percent for first quarter 2010. The federal funds rate moved similar to prime rate with interest rates ranging from .25 percent to 4.75 percent during 2007 to 2010. At year end 2007, the federal funds rate was 4.25 percent and with the 400 basis point reduction during 2008 the federal funds rate ended the year at 0.25 percent and remained at 0.25 percent for first quarter 2010. We anticipate the Federal Reserve tightening interest rate policy in 2010, which should improve Colony's net interest margin.

The following table presents the changes in taxable-equivalent net interest income and identifies the changes due to differences in the average volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the changes due to changes in the average interest rate on those assets and liabilities. The changes in net interest income due to changes in both average volume and average interest rate have been allocated to the average volume change or the average interest rate change in proportion to the absolute amounts of the change in each. The Company's consolidated average balance sheets along with an analysis of taxable-equivalent net interest earnings are presented in the Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included elsewhere in this report.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 2 (Continued)

Rate/Volume Analysis

The rate/volume analysis presented hereafter illustrates the change from March 31, 2009 to March 31, 2010 for each component of the taxable equivalent net interest income separated into the amount generated through volume changes and the amount generated by changes in the yields/rates.

(\$ in thousands)	Changes from March 31, 2009 to March 31, 2010		
	Volume	Rate	Total
Interest Income			
Loans, Net-taxable	\$(724)	\$(44)	\$(768)
Investment Securities			
Taxable	455	(893)	(438)
Tax-exempt	(96)	1	(95)
Total Investment Securities	359	(892)	(533)
Interest-Bearing Deposits in other Banks	---	3	3
Federal Funds Sold	25	(4)	21
Other Interest - Earning Assets	---	4	4
Total Interest Income	(340)	(933)	(1,273)
Interest Expense			
Interest-Bearing Demand and Savings Deposits	45	(86)	(41)
Time Deposits	221	(1,913)	(1,692)
Federal Funds Purchased and Repurchase Agreements	(40)	(5)	(45)
Subordinated Debentures	---	(84)	(84)
Other Borrowed Money	26	(20)	6
Total Interest Expense	252	(2,108)	(1,856)
Net Interest Income	\$(592)	\$1,175	\$583

(1) Changes in net interest income for the periods, based on either changes in average balances or changes in average rates for interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, are shown on this table. During each year, there are numerous and simultaneous balance and rate changes; therefore, it is not possible to precisely allocate the changes between balances and rates. For the purpose of this table, changes that are not exclusively due to balance changes or rate changes have been attributed to rates.

Our financial performance is impacted by, among other factors, interest rate risk and credit risk. We do not utilize derivatives to mitigate our interest rate or credit risk, relying instead on an extensive loan review process and our allowance for loan losses.

Interest rate risk is the change in value due to changes in interest rates. The Company is exposed only to U.S. dollar interest rate changes and accordingly, the Company manages exposure by considering the possible changes in the net interest margin. The Company does not have any trading instruments nor does it classify any portion of its investment portfolio as held for trading. The Company does not engage in any hedging activity or utilize any derivatives. The Company has no exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk, commodity price risk and other market risks. This risk is addressed by our Asset & Liability Management Committee (“ALCO”) which includes senior management representatives. The ALCO monitors interest rate risk by analyzing the potential impact of alternative strategies or changes in balance sheet structure.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 2 (Continued)

Interest rates play a major part in the net interest income of financial institutions. The repricing of interest earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities can influence the changes in net interest income. The timing of repriced assets and liabilities is Gap management and our Company has established its policy to maintain a Gap ratio in the one-year time horizon of 0.80 to 1.20.

Our exposure to interest rate risk is reviewed on at least a semiannual basis by our Board of Directors and the ALCO. Interest rate risk exposure is measured using interest rate sensitivity analysis to determine our change in net portfolio value in the event of assumed changes in interest rates, in order to reduce the exposure to interest rate fluctuations, we have implemented strategies to more closely match our balance sheet composition. We are generally focusing our investment activities on securities with terms or average lives in the 2-5 year range.

The Company maintains about 30 percent of its loan portfolio in adjustable rate loans that reprice with prime rate changes, while the bulk of its other loans mature within 3 years. The liabilities to fund assets are primarily in short term certificate of deposits that mature within one year. This balance sheet composition has allowed the Company to be relatively constant with its net interest margin until 2008. During 2006 interest rates increased 100 basis points and during 2007 interest rates decreased 100 basis points. The 100 basis point decrease by the Federal Reserve in 2007 followed by 400 basis point decrease in 2008 resulted in significant pressure in net interest margins. Net interest margin increased to 3.16 percent for three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to 3.06 percent for the same period a year ago. Given the Federal Reserve's aggressive posture during 2008 that ended the year with a range of 0 – 0.25 percent federal funds target rate and remained the same through first quarter 2010, we anticipate a relatively flat net interest margin in 2010.

Taxable-equivalent net interest income for three months ended March 31, 2010 increased \$583 thousand, or 6.38 percent compared to the same period a year ago. The fluctuation between the comparable periods resulted from the negative impact of the significant decrease in interest rates. The average volume of earning assets during three months ended March 31, 2010 increased \$38.1 million compared to the same period a year ago while over the same period the net interest margin increased by 10 basis points from 3.06 percent to 3.16 percent. Growth in average earning assets during 2010 was primarily in federal funds sold and investment securities. The increase in the net interest margin in 2010 was primarily the result of the Federal Reserve reducing interest rates that allowed the Company to reduce its cost of funds.

The average volume of loans decreased \$48.7 million in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the same period a year ago. The average yield on loans decreased 2 basis points in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the same period a year ago. The average volume of investment securities increased \$38.1 million in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the same year ago period, while the average yield on investment securities decreased 142 basis points for the same period comparison. The average volume of deposits increased \$57.9 million in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the same period a year ago, with interest-bearing deposits increasing \$48.0 million in three months ended March 31, 2010. Accordingly, the ratio of average interest-bearing deposits to total average deposits was 92.22 percent in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to 92.76 percent in the same period a year ago. This deposit mix, combined with a general decrease in market rates, had the effect of (i) decreasing the average cost of total deposits by 79 basis points in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the same period a year ago and, (ii) mitigating a portion of the impact of decreasing yields on earning assets.

The Company's net interest spread, which represents the difference between the average rate earned on earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, was 2.99 percent in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to 2.81 percent in the same period a year ago. The net interest spread, as well as the net interest margin, will

be impacted by future changes in short-term and long-term interest rate levels, as well as the impact from the competitive environment. A discussion of the effects of changing interest rates on net interest income is set forth in Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included elsewhere in this report.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is determined by management as the amount to be added to the allowance for loan losses after net charge-offs have been deducted to bring the allowance to a level which, in management's best estimate, is necessary to absorb probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses totaled \$3.25 million in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$4.23 million in the same period a year ago. See the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" elsewhere in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for loan losses.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 2 (Continued)

Noninterest Income

The components of noninterest income were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2010	2009
Service Charges on Deposit Accounts	\$ 907	\$ 988
Other Charges, Commissions and Fees	270	236
Other	521	319
Mortgage Fee Income	61	98
Securities Gains	781	2,317
Total	\$ 2,540	\$ 3,958

Total noninterest income for three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$1.42 million, or 35.83 percent compared to the same period a year ago. Changes in these items and the other components of noninterest income are discussed in more detail below.

Service Charges on Deposit Accounts. Service charges on deposit accounts for three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$81 thousand, or 8.20 percent, compared to the same period a year ago.

Mortgage Fee Income. Mortgage fee income for three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$37 thousand, or 37.76 percent, compared to the same period year ago. The company anticipates fee income to continue to show a decrease over the previous year due to the current mortgage market and slowing economy.

All Other Noninterest Income. Other charges, commissions and fees and other income for three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$791 thousand compared to \$555 thousand in the same year ago period, or an increase of 42.52 percent. The increase in 2010 was from a death benefit on BOLI insurance plan in the amount of \$212 thousand.

Securities Gains. The Company realized gains from the sale of securities of \$781 thousand in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$2.32 million in the same year ago period.

Noninterest Expense

The components of noninterest expense were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2010	2009
	\$ 3,554	\$ 3,807

Salaries and Employee Benefits		
Occupancy and Equipment	1,108	1,009
Other	3,651	2,541
Total	\$ 8,313	\$ 7,357

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

Total noninterest expense for three months ended March 31, 2010 increased \$956 thousand, or 12.99 percent, compared to the same period a year ago. These items and the changes in the various components of noninterest expense are discussed in more detail below.

Salaries and Employee Benefits. Salaries and employee benefits expense for three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$253 thousand, or 6.65 percent, compared to the same period a year ago. The slowing economy and lack of growth resulted in decreases in headcount as a result of normal attrition in both periods. Restructuring due to consolidation efforts initiated during 2008 also reduced salaries and benefits.

Occupancy and Equipment. Occupancy and equipment expense has remained relatively flat in both periods with an increase of \$99 thousand for three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the same year ago period.

All Other Non-Interest Expense. All other noninterest expense for three months ended March 31, 2010 increased \$1.11 million, or 43.68 percent compared to the same year ago period. Significant increases impacting the comparable periods include the FDIC insurance assessment and repossession/foreclosure expenses. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, FDIC insurance assessments increased to \$527 thousand from \$404 thousand in the same year ago period, or an increase of 30.45 percent and credit related foreclosure and repossession expenses increased to \$343 thousand from \$231 thousand in the same year ago period, or an increase of 48.49 percent. FDIC insurance premiums for the entire banking industry have been adjusted up by the FDIC to maintain the FDIC reserve at adequate levels, while the Company has experienced a significant increase in credit related expenses due to the elevation of non-performing assets. In addition the Company realized a loss on the sale or writedown of OREO property during first quarter 2010 of \$780,000 compared to no loss during first quarter of 2009.

Sources and Uses of Funds

The following table illustrates, during the years presented, the mix of the Company's funding sources and the assets in which those funds are invested as a percentage of the Company's average total assets for the period indicated. Average assets totaled \$1.30 billion in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$1.27 billion in three months ended March 31, 2009.

Sources of Funds:	Three Months Ended					
	2010		March 31,		2009	
Deposits:						
Noninterest-Bearing	\$ 82,271	6.31	%	\$ 72,358	5.70	%
Interest-Bearing	974,815	74.78		926,814	73.06	
Federal Funds Purchased and Repo Agreements	33,397	2.56		40,354	3.18	
Long-term Debt and Other Borrowings	118,232	9.07		115,229	9.08	
Other Noninterest-Bearing Liabilities	4,492	0.35		5,539	0.44	
Equity Capital	90,335	6.93		108,318	8.54	
Total	\$ 1,303,542	100.00	%	\$ 1,268,612	100.00	%

Uses of Funds:

Loans	\$ 875,957	67.20 %	\$ 939,490	74.06 %
Securities	260,507	19.98	222,422	17.53
Federal Funds Sold	41,419	3.18	6,980	0.55
Interest-Bearing Deposits in Other				
Banks	14,500	1.11	293	0.02
Other Interest-Earning Assets	6,345	0.49	6,273	0.50
Other Noninterest-Earning Assets	104,814	8.04	93,154	7.34
Total	\$ 1,303,542	100.00 %	\$ 1,268,612	100.00 %

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

Deposits continue to be the Company's primary source of funding. Over the comparable periods, the relative mix of deposits continues to be high in interest-bearing deposits. Interest-bearing deposits totaled 92.22 percent of total average deposits in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to 92.76 percent in the same period a year ago.

The Company primarily invests funds in loans and securities. Loans continue to be the largest component of the Company's mix of invested assets. Total loans were \$885 million at March 31, 2010, down 4.95 percent, compared to loans of \$931 million at December 31, 2009. See additional discussion regarding the Company's loan portfolio in the section captioned "Loans" included elsewhere in this discussion. The majority of funds provided by deposit growth have been invested in investment securities.

Loans

The following table presents the composition of the Company's loan portfolio as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 70,461	\$ 80,984
Real Estate		
Construction	101,718	113,117
Mortgage, Farmland	53,764	54,965
Mortgage, Other	606,268	626,993
Consumer	37,418	38,383
Other	15,652	16,950
	885,281	931,392
Unearned Interest and Fees	(99)	(140)
Allowance for Loan Losses	(29,696)	(31,401)
Loans	\$ 855,486	\$ 899,851

The following table presents total loans as of March 31, 2010 according to maturity distribution and/or repricing opportunity on adjustable rate loans:

Maturity and Repricing Opportunity	(\$ in Thousands)
One Year or Less	\$ 528,268
After One Year through Three Years	297,429
After Three Years through Five Years	49,499
Over Five Years	10,085
	\$ 885,281

Overview. Loans totaled \$885.3 million at March 31, 2010, down 4.95 percent from December 31, 2009 loans of \$931.4 million. The majority of the Company's loan portfolio is comprised of the real estate loans-other, real estate

construction and commercial, financial and agricultural. Real estate-other, which is primarily 1-4 family residential properties and nonfarm nonresidential properties, made up 68.48 percent and 67.32 percent of total loans, real estate construction made up 11.49 percent and 12.15 percent, while commercial, financial, and agricultural based loans made up 7.96 percent and 8.70 percent of total loans at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Loan Origination/Risk Management. In accordance with the Company's decentralized banking model, loan decisions are made at the local bank level. The Company utilizes an Executive Loan Committee to assist lenders with the decision making and underwriting process of larger loan requests. Due to the diverse economic markets served by the Company, evaluation and underwriting criterion may vary slightly by bank. Overall, loans are extended after a review of the borrower's repayment ability, collateral adequacy, and overall credit worthiness.

Commercial purpose, commercial real estate, and industrial loans are underwritten similar to other loans throughout the company. The properties securing the Company's commercial real estate portfolio are diverse in terms of type and geographic location. This diversity helps reduce the Company's exposure to adverse economic events that affect any single market or industry. Management monitors and evaluates commercial real estate loans based on collateral, geography, and risk grade criteria. The Company also utilizes information provided by third-party agencies to provide additional insight and guidance about economic conditions and trends affecting the markets it serves.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

The Company extends loans to builders and developers that are secured by non-owner occupied properties. In such cases, the Company reviews the overall economic conditions and trends for each market to determine the desirability of loans to be extended for residential construction and development. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be pre-committed permanent loans from approved long-term lenders, sales of developed property or an interim mini-perm loan commitment from the Company until permanent financing is obtained. In some cases, loans are extended for residential loan construction for speculative purposes and are based on the perceived present and future demand for housing in a particular market served by the Company. These loans are monitored by on-site inspections and are considered to have higher risks than other real estate loans due to their ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, general economic conditions and trends, the demand for the properties, and the availability of long-term financing.

The Company originates consumer loans at the bank level. Due to the diverse economic markets served by the Company, underwriting criterion may vary slightly by bank. The Company is committed to serving the borrowing needs of all markets served and, in some cases, adjusts certain evaluation methods to meet the overall credit demographics of each market. Consumer loans represent relatively small loan amounts that are spread across many individual borrowers that helps minimize risk. Additionally, consumer trends and outlook reports are reviewed by management on a regular basis.

The Company began utilizing an independent third party company for loan review during fourth quarter 2009. This third party engagement will be ongoing. The Loan Review Company reviews and validates the credit risk program on a periodic basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management and the audit committee. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Company's policies and procedures.

Commercial, Financial and Agricultural. Commercial, financial and agricultural loans at March 31, 2010 decreased 12.99 percent from December 31, 2009 to \$70.5 million. The Company's commercial and industrial loans are a diverse group of loans to small, medium and large businesses. The purpose of these loans varies from supporting seasonal working capital needs to term financing of equipment. While some short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis, most are secured by the assets being financed with collateral margins that are consistent with the Company's loan policy guidelines.

Collateral Concentrations. Concentrations of credit risk can exist in relation to individual borrowers or groups of borrowers, certain types of collateral, certain types of industries, or certain geographic regions. The Company has a concentration in real estate loans as well as a geographic concentration that could pose an adverse credit risk, particularly with the current economic downturn in the real estate market. At March 31, 2010, approximately 86 percent of the Company's loan portfolio was concentrated in loans secured by real estate. A substantial portion of borrowers' ability to honor their contractual obligations is dependent upon the viability of the real estate economic sector. The continued downturn of the housing and real estate market that began in 2007 has resulted in an increase of problem loans secured by real estate. These loans are centered primarily in the Company's larger MSA markets. Declining collateral real estate values that secure land development, construction and speculative real estate loans in the Company's larger MSA markets have resulted in higher than normal loan loss provisions in 2010. In addition, a large portion of the Company's foreclosed assets are also located in these same geographic markets, making the recovery of the carrying amount of foreclosed assets susceptible to changes in market conditions. Management continues to monitor these concentrations and has considered these concentrations in its allowance for loan loss analysis.

Large Credit Relationships. Colony is currently in eighteen counties in middle and south Georgia which include metropolitan markets in Dougherty, Lowndes, Houston, Chatham and Muscogee counties. As a result, the Company originates and maintains large credit relationships with several commercial customers in the ordinary course of business. The Company considers large credit relationships to be those with commitments equal to or in excess of \$5.0 million prior to any portion being sold. Large relationships also include loan participations purchased if the credit relationship with the agent is equal to or in excess of \$5.0 million. In addition to the Company's normal policies and procedures related to the origination of large credits, the Company's Executive Loan Committee and Director Loan Committee must approve all new and renewed credit facilities which are part of large credit relationships. The following table provides additional information on the Company's large credit relationships outstanding at period end.

	March 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Number of Relationships Committed	Period End Balances Outstanding	Number of Relationships Committed	Period End Balances Outstanding
Large Credit Relationships:				
\$10 million and greater	2	\$26,444	3	\$43,142
\$5 million to \$9.9 million	6	\$38,433	6	\$39,159

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 2 (Continued)

Maturities and Sensitivities of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates. The following table presents the maturity distribution of the Company's loans at March 31, 2010. The table also presents the portion of loans that have fixed interest rates or variable interest rates that fluctuate over the life of the loans in accordance with changes in an interest rate index such as the prime rate.

	Due in One Year or Less	After One, but Within Three Years	After Three, but Within Five Years	After Five Years	Total
Loans with fixed interest rates	\$263,094	\$294,520	\$49,358	\$9,511	\$616,483
Loans with floating interest rates	265,174	2,909	141	574	268,798
Total	\$528,268	\$297,429	\$49,499	\$10,085	\$885,281

The Company may renew loans at maturity when requested by a customer whose financial strength appears to support such renewal or when such renewal appears to be in the Company's best interest. In such instances, the Company generally requires payment of accrued interest and may adjust the rate of interest, require a principal reduction or modify other terms of the loan at the time of renewal.

Non-Performing Assets and Potential Problem Loans

Non-performing assets and accruing past due loans as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Loans Accounted for on Nonaccrual	\$ 34,718	\$ 33,535
Loans Past Due 90 Days or More	27	31
Other Real Estate Foreclosed	18,846	19,705
Securities Accounted for on Nonaccrual	132	132
Total Nonperforming Assets	\$ 53,723	\$ 53,403
Nonperforming Assets as a Percentage of:		
Total Loans and Foreclosed Assets	5.94 %	5.62 %
Total Assets	4.13 %	4.09 %
Supplemental Data:		
Trouble Debt Restructured Loans		
In Compliance with Modified Terms	10,839	9,269
Trouble Debt Restructured Loans		
Past Due 30-89 Days	--	459
Accruing Past Due Loans:		
30-89 Days Past Due	\$ 10,073	\$ 25,547
90 or More Days Past Due	27	31
Total Accruing Past Due Loans	\$ 10,100	\$ 25,578

Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans, loans past due 90 days or more, foreclosed real estate and nonaccrual securities. Non-performing assets at March 31, 2010 increased 0.60 percent from December 31, 2009.

Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status if principal or interest payments become 90 days past due and/or management deems the collectibility of the principal and/or interest to be in question, as well as when required by regulatory requirements. Loans to a customer whose financial condition has deteriorated are considered for non-accrual status whether or not the loan is 90 days or more past due. For consumer loans, collectibility and loss are generally determined before the loan reaches 90 days past due. Accordingly, losses on consumer loans are recorded at the time they are determined. Consumer loans that are 90 days or more past due are generally either in liquidation/payment status or bankruptcy awaiting confirmation of a plan. Once interest accruals are discontinued, accrued but uncollected interest is charged to current year operations. Subsequent receipts on non-accrual loans are recorded as a reduction of principal, and interest income is recorded only after principal recovery is reasonably assured. Classification of a loan as non-accrual does not preclude the ultimate collection of loan principal or interest.

Troubled debt restructured loans are loans on which, due to deterioration in the borrower's financial condition, the original terms have been modified in favor of the borrower or either principal or interest has been forgiven.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

Foreclosed assets represent property acquired as the result of borrower defaults on loans. Foreclosed assets are recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs, at the time of foreclosure. Write-downs occurring at foreclosure are charged against the allowance for possible loan losses. On an ongoing basis, properties are appraised as required by market indications and applicable regulations. Write-downs are provided for subsequent declines in value and are included in other non-interest expense along with other expenses related to maintaining the properties.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses includes allowance allocations calculated in accordance with current U.S. accounting standards. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations, specific credit risks, loan loss experience, current loan portfolio quality, present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. Portions of the allowance may be allocated for specific credits; however, the entire allowance is available for any credit that, in management's judgment, should be charged off. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Company's control, including the performance of the Company's loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and the view of the regulatory authorities toward loan classifications.

The company's allowance for loan losses consists of specific valuation allowances established for probable losses on specific loans and historical valuation allowances for other loans with similar risk characteristics.

The allowances established for probable losses on specific loans are based on a regular analysis and evaluation of classified loans. Loans are classified based on an internal credit risk grading process that evaluates, among other things: (i) the obligor's ability to repay; (ii) the underlying collateral, if any; and (iii) the economic environment and industry in which the borrower operates. This analysis is performed at the subsidiary bank level and is reviewed at the parent company level. Once a loan is classified, it is reviewed to determine whether the loan is impaired and, if impaired, a portion of the allowance for possible loan losses is specifically allocated to the loan. Specific valuation allowances are determined after considering the borrower's financial condition, collateral deficiencies, and economic conditions affecting the borrower's industry, among other things.

Historical valuation allowances are calculated from loss factors applied to loans with similar risk characteristics. The loss factors are based on loss ratios for groups of loans with similar risk characteristics. The loss ratios are derived from the proportional relationship between actual loan losses and the total population of loans in the risk category. The historical loss ratios are periodically updated based on actual charge-off experience. The Company's groups of similar loans include similarly risk-graded groups of loans not reviewed for individual impairment. In addition, the Company has also segmented its' real estate portfolio into thirteen separate categories and captured loan loss experience for each category. Most of the company's charge-offs the past two years have been real estate dependent loans and we believe this segmentation provides more accuracy in determining allowance for loan loss adequacy. During fourth quarter 2009, the Company changed the methodology in calculating its loan loss reserve. Previously the look back period for charge-off experience was the average of the charge-offs for the prior five years, however due to the current housing and real estate downturn, management deemed prudent to lower the look back period for charge-off experience to a one year look back. This change resulted in an approximate \$12

million dollar addition to the loan loss reserve during fourth quarter 2009.

Management evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for each of these components on a quarterly basis. Peer comparisons, industry comparisons, and regulatory guidelines are also used in the determination of the general valuation allowance.

Loans identified as losses by management, internal loan review, and/or bank examiners are charged-off.

An allocation for loan losses has been made according to the respective amounts deemed necessary to provide for the possibility of incurred losses within the various loan categories. The allocation is based primarily on previous charge-off experience adjusted for changes in experience among each category. Additional amounts are allocated by evaluating the loss potential of individual loans that management has considered impaired. The reserve for loan loss allocation is subjective since it is based on judgment and estimates, and therefore is not necessarily indicative of the specific amounts or loan categories in which the charge-offs may ultimately occur. The following table shows a comparison of the allocation of the reserve for loan losses for the periods indicated.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 2 (Continued)

	March 31, 2010			December 31, 2009		
	Reserve	%	*	Reserve	%	*
Commercial,						
Financial and Agricultural	\$ 4,454	8	%	\$ 4,710	9	%
Real Estate – Construction	7,424	12	%	7,850	12	%
Real Estate – Farmland	891	6	%	942	6	%
Real Estate – Other	13,066	68	%	13,816	67	%
Loans to Individuals	2,673	4	%	2,826	4	%
All Other Loans	1,188	2	%	1,257	2	%
Total	\$ 29,696	100	%	\$ 31,401	100	%

* Loan balance in each category expressed as a percentage of total end of period loans.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is presented in the following table. There were no charge-offs or recoveries related to foreign loans during any of the periods presented.

The following table presents an analysis of the Company's loan loss experience for the periods indicated.

(\$ in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
Allowance for Loan Losses at Beginning of Quarter	\$ 31,401	\$ 17,016
Charge-Off		
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	235	85
Real Estate – Construction & Land Development	1,531	820
Real Estate – Residential	1,836	721
Real Estate – Nonfarm Residential	1,257	377
Consumer	183	155
All Other	189	187
	5,231	2,345
Recoveries		
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	20	8
Real Estate – Construction & Land Development	1	16
Real Estate – Residential	136	16
Real Estate – Nonfarm Residential	57	--
Consumer	56	60
All Other	6	--
	276	100
Net Charge-Offs	4,955	2,245

Provision for Loan Losses	3,250	4,225		
Allowance for Loan Losses at End of Quarter	\$ 29,696	\$ 18,996		
Ratio of Net Charge-Offs to Average Loans	0.55	%	0.23	%

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered appropriate by management, based on estimated probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the level of net charge-offs or recoveries, among other factors. The provision for loan losses decreased \$975 thousand from \$4.23 million in three months ended March 31, 2009 to \$3.25 million in three months ended March 31, 2010. The provision for loan losses charged to earnings was based upon management's judgment of the amount necessary to maintain the allowance at an adequate level to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio at quarter-end. The amount each period is dependent upon many factors, including changes in the risk ratings of the loan portfolio, net charge-offs, past due ratios, the value of collateral, and other environmental factors that include portfolio loan quality indicators; portfolio growth and composition of commercial real estate and concentrations; portfolio policies, procedures, underwriting standards, loss recognition, collection and recovery practices; local economic business conditions; and the experience, ability, and depth of lending management and staff. Of significance to changes in the allowance during the first quarter was the provision of \$3.25 million. Charge-offs consisted of two multifamily residential property loan totaling \$0.48 million, two 1-4 family residential loan totaling \$0.78 million, two construction and land development totaling \$1.11 million, and two nonfarm properties totaling \$0.96 million. The remainder of the charge-offs were made up of several small loans, most of which were real estate dependent loans. The large charge-offs were attributable to significant declines in collateral value based upon current real estate values. Charge-offs for first quarter 2009 totaled \$2.25 million, of which several small loans totaling \$1.06 million were construction, land development and other loans. The remainder of the charge-offs were made up of several small loans, most of which were real estate dependent loans and commercial loans.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

Provisions continue to be higher than normal primarily due to the elevated risk of residential real estate and land development loans that began during 2007 with the housing and real estate downturn. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and foreclosed assets increased to 5.94 percent at March 31, 2010 compared to 5.62 percent at December 31, 2009 and 4.95 percent at December 31, 2008. Total nonperforming assets at March 31, 2010 were \$53.7 million, of which \$27.5 million were construction, land development and other land loans; \$7.3 million were 1-4 family residential properties; \$3.6 million were multifamily residential properties; \$13.1 million were nonfarm nonresidential properties; \$1.2 million were farmland properties; and the remainder of nonperforming assets totaling \$1.0 million were commercial and consumer loans. All of the classified loans greater than \$50 thousand, including the nonperforming loans, are reviewed throughout the quarter for impairment review. Total nonperforming assets at March 31, 2009 were \$53.1 million, of which \$27.8 million were construction, land development and other land loans; \$1.2 million were farmland; \$5.7 million were 1-4 family residential properties; \$8.7 million were multifamily properties; \$8.2 million were nonfarm nonresidential properties; and the remainder of nonperforming assets totaling \$1.5 million were commercial and consumer loans. The allowance for loan losses of \$29.70 million at March 31, 2010 was 3.35 percent of total loans which compares to \$31.4 million at December 31, 2009, or 3.37 percent of total loans and to \$19.00 million at March 31, 2009, or 1.97 percent. Unusually high levels of loan loss provision have been required as Company management addresses asset quality deterioration. While the nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans was 3.92 percent, 3.60 percent, 4.17 percent, respectively as of March 31, 2010, December 31, 2009, and March 31, 2009, the Company's allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans was 85.47 percent, 93.55 percent, 47.29 percent, respectively as of March 31, 2010, December 31, 2009, and March 31, 2009. We continue to identify new problem loans, though at a slower pace than in previous quarters.

While the allowance for loan losses decreased from \$31.40 million, or 3.37 percent of total loans at December 31, 2009 to \$29.70 million, or 3.35 percent of total loans at March 31, 2010, the Company also reflected an increase in nonperforming loans from \$33.6 million at December 31, 2009 to \$34.7 million at March 31, 2010. The allowance for loan losses is inherently judgmental, nevertheless the Company's methodology is consistently applied based on standards for current accounting by creditors for impairment of a loan and allowance allocations determined in accordance with accounting for contingencies. Loans individually selected for impairment review consist of all loans classified substandard that are \$50 thousand and over. The remaining portfolio is analyzed based on historical loss data. Loans selected for individual review where no individual impairment amount is identified do not receive any contribution to the allowance for loan losses based on historical data. Historical loss rates are updated annually to provide the annual loss rate which is applied to the appropriate portfolio grades. In addition, the Company has also segmented its real estate portfolio into thirteen separate categories and captured loan loss experience for each category. Most of the company's charge-offs the past two years have been real estate dependent loans and we believe this segmentation provides more accuracy in determining allowance for loan loss adequacy. During fourth quarter 2009, the company changed its methodology for the look back period for determination of charge-off experience. Previously, the Company utilized the average of the charge-off experience for the preceding five years, but changed to a one year look back. This resulted in approximately \$12 million additional loan loss provision, but was considered prudent by management to adhere to guidance by regulatory authorities to lower the look back period in light of current economic conditions. In addition, environmental factors as discussed earlier are evaluated for any adjustments needed to the allowance for loan losses determination produced by individual loan impairment analysis and remaining portfolio segmentation analysis. The allowance for loan losses determination is based on reviews throughout the year and an environmental analysis at year end.

As part of our monitoring and evaluation of collateral values for nonperforming and problem loans in determining adequate allowance for loan losses, regional credit officers along with lending officers submit quarterly problem loan reports for loans greater than \$50 thousand in which impairment is identified. This process typically determines

collateral shortfall based upon local market real estate value estimates should the collateral be liquidated. Once the loan is deemed uncollectible, it is transferred to our problem loan department for workout, foreclosure and/or liquidation. The problem loan department gets a current appraisal on the property in order to record a fair market value (less selling expenses) when the property is foreclosed on and moved into other real estate. Trends the past several quarters reflect a decrease in collateral values from two to three years ago on improved properties of fifteen to twenty five percent and on land development and land loans of thirty to fifty percent. The significant reduction in collateral values on nonperforming assets has resulted in the increased loan loss provisions and charge-offs, during this quarter.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

Net charge-offs in three months ended March 31, 2010 increased \$2.71 million compared to the same period a year ago. Net charge-offs of 0.55 percent for first quarter 2010 annualizes to 2.20 percent. Net charge-offs were fairly consistent during 2007, 2006 and 2005; however, the net charge-offs increased significantly in 2008 and 2009 primarily from the write-down of nonperforming credits to appraised values. We anticipate an elevated amount of charge-offs in 2010 as problem credits run through the collection process to resolution.

The allowance for loan losses is \$1.71 million less than the prior quarter end, after factoring in net-charge offs, additional provisions, and the normal determination for an adequate funding level, management believes the level of the allowance for loan losses was adequate as of March 31, 2010. Should any of the factors considered by management in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses change, the Company's estimate of probable loan losses could also change, which could affect the level of future provisions for loan losses.

Investment Portfolio

The following table presents carrying values of investment securities held by the Company as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

(\$ in thousands)	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
State, County and Municipal	\$ 2,255	\$ 4,122
Corporate Obligations	4,364	4,138
Asset-Backed Securities	132	132
Investment Securities	6,751	8,392
Mortgage-Backed Securities	271,223	258,909
Total Investment Securities and Mortgage Backed Securities	\$ 277,974	\$ 267,301

The following table represents maturities and weighted-average yields of investment securities held by the Company as of March 31, 2010. (Mortgage backed securities are based on the average life at the projected speed, while Agencies, State and Political subdivisions and Corporates reflect anticipated calls being exercised.)

	Within 1 Year		After 1 Year But Within 5 Years		After 5 Years But Within 10 Years		After 10 Years		
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	
Mortgage-Backed Securities	\$13,378	1.32	% \$166,731	2.21	% \$64,852	3.72	% \$26,262	3.89	%
State, County, and Municipal	1,045	4.10	200	5.97	1,010	3.76	---	---	
Corporate Obligations	---	---	2,441	5.41	1,073	5.67	850	3.53	
	---	---	---	---	---	---	132	0.00	

Asset-Backed
Securities

Total Investment

Portfolio	\$14,423	1.56	%	\$169,372	2.26	%	\$66,935	3.75	%	\$27,244	3.81	%
-----------	----------	------	---	-----------	------	---	----------	------	---	----------	------	---

Securities are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost when management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Securities are classified as available for sale when they might be sold before maturity. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income. The Company has 99.9 percent of its portfolio classified as available for sale.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

At March 31, 2010, there were no holdings of any one issuer, other than the U.S. government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10 percent of the Company's shareholders' equity.

The average yield of the securities portfolio was 2.72 percent in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to 4.14 percent in the same period a year ago. The decrease in the average yield over the comparable periods primarily resulted from reinvestment of proceeds from the sale of mortgage-backed securities into lower yielding securities and the lower yield on securities as the Federal Reserve began lowering interest rates during 2008.

Deposits

The following table presents the average amount outstanding and the average rate paid on deposits by the Company for the three month periods ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009.

(\$ in thousands)	March 31, 2010		March 31, 2009	
	Average Amount	Average Rate	Average Amount	Average Rate
Noninterest-Bearing Demand Deposits	\$ 82,271		\$ 72,358	
Interest-Bearing Demand and Savings Deposits	253,931	0.71 %	232,967	0.85 %
Time Deposits	720,884	2.21 %	693,847	3.27 %
Total Deposits	\$ 1,057,086	1.68 %	\$ 999,172	2.47 %

The following table presents the maturities of the Company's time deposits as of March 31, 2010.

(\$ in thousands)	Time Deposits \$100,000 or Greater	Time Deposits Less Than \$100,000	Total
Months to Maturity			
3 or Less	\$ 129,752	\$ 79,133	\$ 208,885
Over 3 through 12 Months	148,153	209,104	357,257
Over 12 Months through 36 Months	58,186	83,666	141,852
Over 36 Months	972	7,773	8,745
	\$ 337,063	\$ 379,676	\$ 716,739

Average deposits increased \$57.91 million to \$1,057 million at March 31, 2010 from \$999 million at March 31, 2009. The increase included an increase of \$9.9 million, or 13.7 percent, related to noninterest-bearing deposits. Accordingly the ratio of average noninterest-bearing deposits to total average deposits was 7.78 percent for three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to 7.24 percent for three months ended March 31, 2009. The general decrease in market rates, had the effect of (i) decreasing the average cost of total deposits by 79 basis points in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the same period a year ago; and (ii) mitigating a portion of the impact of

decreasing yields on earning assets.

Total average interest-bearing deposits increased \$48.0 million, or 5.18 percent in three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in average deposits at March 31, 2010 compared to March 31, 2009 was primarily in interest bearing demand accounts and time deposits. With the current interest rate environment, it appears that many customers continue to maintain time deposit accounts, with the prevalent investment period continuing to be short term time deposits.

The Company supplements deposit sources with brokered deposits. As of March 31, 2010, the Company had \$93.6 million, or 8.87 percent of total deposits, in brokered certificates of deposit attracted by external third parties.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 2 (Continued)

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements, Commitments, Guarantees, and Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations and other commitments to make future payments as of March 31, 2010. Payments for borrowings do not include interest. Payments related to leases are based on actual payments specified in the underlying contracts. Loan commitments and standby letters of credit are presented at contractual amounts; however, since many of these commitments are expected to expire unused or only partially used, the total amounts of these commitments do not necessarily reflect future cash requirements.

Payments Due by Period

	1 Year or Less	More than 1 Year but Less Than 3 Years	3 Years or More but Less Than 5 Years	5 Years or More	Total
Contractual obligations:					
Subordinated debentures	\$ ----	\$ ----	\$ ----	\$ 24,229	\$ 24,229
Federal Funds purchased and repurchase agreements	5,000	20,000	----	----	25,000
Secured Borrowings	5,784	----	----	----	5,784
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	19,000	41,000	----	30,000	90,000
Operating leases	129	239	81	----	449
Deposits with stated maturity dates	566,142	141,852	8,605	140	716,739
	596,055	203,091	8,686	54,369	862,201
Other commitments:					
Loan commitments	53,520	----	----	----	53,520
Standby letters of credit	1,504	----	----	----	1,504
Standby letter of credit issued by Federal Home Loan Bank for Bank	60	----	----	----	60
	55,084	----	----	----	55,084
Total contractual obligations and Other commitments	\$ 651,139	\$ 203,091	\$ 8,686	\$ 54,369	\$ 917,285

In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into off-balance sheet financial instruments which are not reflected in the consolidated financial statements. These instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit, performance letters of credit, guarantees and liability for assets held in trust. Such financial instruments are recorded in the financial statements when funds are disbursed or the instruments become

payable. The Company uses the same credit policies for these off-balance sheet financial instruments as they do for instruments that are recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

Loan Commitments. The Company enters into contractual commitments to extend credit, normally with fixed expiration dates or termination clauses, at specified rates and for specific purposes. Substantially all of the Company's commitments to extend credit are contingent upon customers maintaining specific credit standards at the time of loan funding. The Company minimizes its exposure to loss under these commitments by subjecting them to credit approval and monitoring procedures. Management assesses the credit risk associated with certain commitments to extend credit in determining the level of the allowance for possible loan losses. Loan commitments outstanding at March 31, 2010 are included in the table above.

Standby Letters of Credit. Letters of credit are written conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. In the event the customer does not perform in accordance with the terms of the agreement with the third party, the Company would be required to fund the commitment. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make is represented by the contractual amount of the commitment. If the commitment is funded, the Company would be entitled to seek recovery from the customer. The Company's policies generally require that standby letters of credit arrangements contain security and debt covenants similar to those contained in loan agreements. Standby letters of credit outstanding at March 31, 2010 are included in the table above.

Capital and Liquidity

At March 31, 2010, stockholders' equity totaled \$94.8 million compared to \$89.3 million at December 31, 2009. In addition to net income of \$684 thousand, other significant changes in stockholders' equity during three months ended March 31, 2010 included \$350

thousand of dividends declared and an increase of \$27 thousand resulting from the amortization of the stock grant plan and a decrease of \$56 thousand from equity stock grant adjustment. The Company increased stockholders' equity by \$5 million through the sale of common stock through a private placement stock offering. The accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) component of stockholders' equity totaled \$86 thousand at March 31, 2010 compared to \$(100) thousand at December 31, 2009. This fluctuation was mostly related to the after-tax effect of changes in the fair value of securities available for sale. Under regulatory requirements, the unrealized gain or loss on securities available for sale does not increase or reduce regulatory capital and is not included in the calculation of risk-based capital and leverage ratios. Regulatory agencies for banks and bank holding companies utilize capital guidelines designed to measure Tier 1 and total capital and take into consideration the risk inherent in both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet items. Tier 1 capital consists of common stock and qualifying preferred stockholders' equity less goodwill. Tier 2 capital consists of certain convertible, subordinated and other qualifying debt and the allowance for loan losses up to 1.25 percent of risk-weighted assets. The Company has no Tier 2 capital other than the allowance for loan losses and gain on marketable equity securities.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

Using the capital requirements presently in effect, the Tier 1 ratio as of March 31, 2010 was 12.86 percent and total Tier 1 and 2 risk-based capital was 14.14 percent. Both of these measures compare favorably with the regulatory minimum to be adequately capitalized of 4 percent for Tier 1 and 8 percent for total risk-based capital. The Company's Tier 1 leverage ratio as of March 31, 2010 was 8.44 percent, which exceeds the required ratio standard of 4 percent.

For three months ended March 31, 2010, average capital was \$90 million, representing 6.93 percent of average assets for the year. This compares to 8.5 percent for three months ended March 31, 2009 and 8.21 percent for calendar year 2009.

The Company suspended cash dividends beginning in the third quarter of 2009 and paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per common share during the first quarter of 2009.

The Company, primarily through the actions of its subsidiary bank, engages in liquidity management to ensure adequate cash flow for deposit withdrawals, credit commitments and repayments of borrowed funds. Needs are met through loan repayments, net interest and fee income and the sale or maturity of existing assets. In addition, liquidity is continuously provided through the acquisition of new deposits, the renewal of maturing deposits and external borrowings.

Management monitors deposit flow and evaluates alternate pricing structures to retain and grow deposits. To the extent needed to fund loan demand, traditional local deposit funding sources are supplemented by the use of FHLB borrowings, brokered deposits and other wholesale deposit sources outside the immediate market area. Internal policies have been updated to monitor the use of various core and non-core funding sources, and to balance ready access with risk and cost. Through various asset/liability management strategies, a balance is maintained among goals of liquidity, safety and earnings potential. Internal policies that are consistent with regulatory liquidity guidelines are monitored and enforced by the banks.

The investment portfolio provides a ready means to raise cash if liquidity needs arise. As of March 31, 2010, the Company held \$277.9 million in bonds (excluding FHLB stock), at current market value in the available for sale portfolio. At December 31, 2009, the available for sale bond portfolio totaled \$267.2 million. Only marketable investment grade bonds are purchased. Although most of the banks' bond portfolios are encumbered as pledges to secure various public funds deposits, repurchase agreements, and for other purposes, management can restructure and free up investment securities for a sale if required to meet liquidity needs.

Management continually monitors the relationship of loans to deposits as it primarily determines the Company's liquidity posture. Colony had ratios of loans to deposits of 83.8 percent as of March 31, 2010 and 88.1 percent at December 31, 2009. Management employs alternative funding sources when deposit balances will not meet loan demands. The ratios of loans to all funding sources (excluding Subordinated Debentures) at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were 75.2 percent and 78.4 percent, respectively. Management continues to emphasize programs to generate local core deposits as our Company's primary funding sources. The stability of the banks' core deposit base is an important factor in Colony's liquidity position. A heavy percentage of the deposit base is comprised of accounts of individuals and small business with comprehensive banking relationships and limited volatility. At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, Colony had \$337.1 million and \$364.1 million in certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more. These larger deposits represented 31.92 percent and 34.4 percent of respective total deposits. Management seeks to monitor and control the use of these larger certificates, which tend to be more volatile in nature, to ensure an adequate supply of funds as needed. Relative interest costs to attract local core relationships are compared to market

rates of interest on various external deposit sources to help minimize the Company's overall cost of funds.

Local market deposit sources proved insufficient to fund the strong loan growth trends at Colony over the past several years. The Company supplemented deposit sources with brokered deposits. As of March 31, 2010, the Company had \$93.6 million, or 8.87 percent of total deposits, in brokered certificates of deposit attracted by external third parties. Additionally, Colony uses external wholesale or Internet services to obtain out-of-market certificates of deposit at competitive interest rates when funding is needed.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

To plan for contingent sources of funding not satisfied by both local and out-of-market deposit balances, Colony and its subsidiary has established multiple borrowing sources to augment their funds management. The Company has borrowing capacity through membership of the Federal Home Loan Bank program. The banks have also established overnight borrowing for Federal Funds Purchased through various correspondent banks. Management believes the various funding sources discussed above are adequate to meet the Company's liquidity needs in the future without any material adverse impact on operating results.

Liquidity measures the ability to meet current and future cash flow needs as they become due. The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to meet loan requests, to accommodate possible outflows in deposits and to take advantage of interest rate market opportunities. The ability of a financial institution to meet its current financial obligations is a function of balance sheet structure, the ability to liquidate assets, and the availability of alternative sources of funds. The Company seeks to ensure its funding needs are met by maintaining a level of liquid funds through asset/liability management.

Asset liquidity is provided by liquid assets which are readily marketable or pledgeable or which will mature in the near future. Liquid assets include cash, interest-bearing deposits in banks, securities available for sale, maturities and cash flow from securities held to maturity, and federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements.

Liability liquidity is provided by access to funding sources which include core deposits. Should the need arise, the Company also maintains relationships with the Federal Home Loan Bank, Federal Reserve Bank, three Correspondent banks and repurchase agreement lines that can provide funds on short notice.

Since Colony is a bank holding company and does not conduct operations, its primary sources of liquidity are dividends up streamed from the subsidiary bank and borrowings from outside sources.

The liquidity position of the Company is continuously monitored and adjustments are made to the balance between sources and uses of funds as deemed appropriate. Management is not aware of any events that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's liquidity, capital resources or operations. In addition, management is not aware of any regulatory recommendations regarding liquidity, which if implemented, would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The Company's financial statements included herein have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). GAAP presently requires the Company to measure financial position and operating results primarily in terms of historic dollars. Changes in the relative value of money due to inflation or recession are generally not considered. The primary effect of inflation on the operations of the Company is reflected in increased operating costs. In management's opinion, changes in interest rates affect the financial condition of a financial institution to a far greater degree than changes in the inflation rate. While interest rates are greatly influenced by changes in the inflation rate, they do not necessarily change at the same rate or in the same magnitude as the inflation rate. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the control of the Company, including changes in the expected rate of inflation, the influence of general and local economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government, its agencies and various other governmental regulatory authorities, among other things, as further discussed in the next section.

Regulatory and Economic Policies

The Company's business and earnings are affected by general and local economic conditions and by the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government, its agencies and various other governmental regulatory authorities, among other things. The Federal Reserve Board regulates the supply of money in order to influence general economic conditions. Among the instruments of monetary policy available to the Federal Reserve Board are (i) conducting open market operations in United States government obligations, (ii) changing the discount rate on financial institution borrowings, (iii) imposing or changing reserve requirements against financial institution deposits, and (iv) restricting certain borrowings and imposing or changing reserve requirements against certain borrowing by financial institutions and their affiliates. These methods are used in varying degrees and combinations to affect directly the availability of bank loans and deposits, as well as the interest rates charged on loans and paid on deposits. For that reason alone, the policies of the Federal Reserve Board have a material effect on the earnings of the Company.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 2 (Continued)

Governmental policies have had a significant effect on the operating results of commercial banks in the past and are expected to continue to do so in the future; however, the Company cannot accurately predict the nature, timing or extent of any effect such policies may have on its future business and earnings.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, under the section headed Changes in Accounting Principles and Effects of New Accounting Pronouncements included in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Return on Assets and Stockholders' Equity

The following table presents selected financial ratios for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31	
	2010	2009
Return on Assets (1)	0.10 %	0.24 %
Return on Equity (1)	1.48 %	3.63 %
Dividend Payout	0.00 %	88.64 %
Avg. Equity to Avg. Assets	6.93 %	6.63 %
Dividends Declared	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.10

(1) Computed using net income available to common shareholders.

Future Outlook

During 2008 and 2009, the financial services industry experienced tremendous adversities as a result of the collapse of the real estate markets across the country. Colony, like most banking companies, has been affected by these economic challenges that started with a rapid stall of real estate sales and development throughout the country. Focus during 2010 will be directed toward addressing and bringing resolution to problem assets.

During 2009, Colony made significant strides to reduce our operating leverage by seeking a more efficient structure and more consistent products and services throughout the company. We successfully completed the consolidation of our seven banking subsidiaries into the single banking company – Colony Bank. The momentum created by this strategic move will allow Colony to improve future profitability while better positioning the company to take advantage of future growth opportunities. In response to the elevated risk of residential real estate and land development loans, management has extensively reviewed our loan portfolio with a particular emphasis on our residential and land development real estate exposure. Senior management with experience in problem loan workouts have been identified and assigned responsibility to oversee the workout and resolution of problem loans. The Company will continue to closely monitor our real estate dependent loans throughout the company and focus on asset

quality during this economic downturn.

BUSINESS

General

Colony Bankcorp, Inc. (the “Company” or “Colony”) is a Georgia business corporation which was incorporated on November 8, 1982. The Company was organized for the purpose of operating as a bank holding company under the Federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the bank holding company laws of Georgia (Georgia Laws 1976, p. 168, et. seq.). On July 22, 1983, the Company, after obtaining the requisite regulatory approvals, acquired 100 percent of the issued and outstanding common stock of Colony Bank (formerly Colony Bank of Fitzgerald and The Bank of Fitzgerald), Fitzgerald, Georgia, through the merger of the Bank with a subsidiary of the Company which was created for the purpose of organizing the Bank into a one-bank holding company. Since that time, Colony Bank has operated as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Our business is conducted primarily through our wholly-owned subsidiary, which provides a broad range of banking services to its retail and commercial customers. The company headquarters are located at 115 South Grant Street, Fitzgerald, Georgia 31750, its telephone number is 229-426-6000 and its Internet address is <http://www.colonybank.com>. We operate twenty-nine domestic banking offices and one mortgage company office and at March 31, 2010, we had approximately \$1.30 billion in total assets, \$855.49 million in total loans, \$1.06 billion in total deposits and \$94.8 million in stockholder’s equity. Deposits are insured, up to applicable limits, by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)
Item 2 (Continued)

The Parent Company

Because Colony Bankcorp, Inc. is a bank holding company, its principal operations are conducted through its subsidiary bank, Colony Bank (the “Bank”). It has 100 percent ownership of its subsidiary and maintains systems of financial, operational and administrative controls that permit centralized evaluation of the operations of the subsidiary bank in selected functional areas including operations, accounting, marketing, investment management, purchasing, human resources, computer services, auditing, compliance and credit review. As a bank holding company, we perform certain stockholder and investor relations functions.

Colony Bank – Banking Services

Our principal subsidiary is the Bank. The Bank, headquartered in Fitzgerald, Georgia, offers traditional banking products and services to commercial and consumer customers in our markets. Our product line includes, among other things, loans to small and medium-sized businesses, residential and commercial construction and land development loans, commercial real estate loans, commercial loans, agri-business and production loans, residential mortgage loans, home equity loans, consumer loans and a variety of demand, savings and time deposit products. We also offer internet banking services, electronic bill payment services, safe deposit box rentals, telephone banking, credit and debit card services, remote depository products and access to a network of ATMs to our customers. Colony Bank conducts a general full service commercial, consumer and mortgage banking business through thirty offices located in the middle and south Georgia cities of Fitzgerald, Warner Robins, Centerville, Ashburn, Leesburg, Cordele, Albany, Thomaston, Columbus, Sylvester, Tifton, Moultrie, Douglas, Broxton, Savannah, Eastman, Chester, Soperton, Rochelle, Pitts, Quitman and Valdosta, Georgia.

For additional discussion of our loan portfolio and deposit accounts, see “Management’s Discussion of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Loans and Deposits.”

Subordinated Debentures (Trust Preferred Securities)

During the second quarter of 2004, the Company formed Colony Bankcorp Statutory Trust III for the sole purpose of issuing \$4,500,000 in Trust Preferred Securities through a pool sponsored by FTN Financial Capital Market. The securities have a maturity of thirty years and are redeemable after five years with certain exceptions.

During the second quarter of 2006, the Company formed Colony Bankcorp Capital Trust I for the sole purpose of issuing \$5,000,000 in Trust Preferred Securities through a pool sponsored by SunTrust Bank Capital Markets. The securities have a maturity of thirty years and are redeemable after five years with certain exceptions.

During the first quarter of 2007, the Company formed Colony Bankcorp Capital Trust II for the sole purpose of issuing \$9,000,000 in Trust Preferred Securities through a pool sponsored by Trapeza Capital Management, LLC. The securities have a maturity of thirty years and are redeemable after five years with certain exceptions. Proceeds from this issuance were used to pay off trust preferred securities issued on March 26, 2002 through Colony Bankcorp Statutory Trust I.

During the third quarter of 2007, the Company formed Colony Bankcorp Capital Trust III for the sole purpose of issuing \$5,000,000 in Trust Preferred Securities through a pool sponsored by Trapeza Capital Management, LLC. The securities have a maturity of thirty years and are redeemable after five years with certain exceptions. Proceeds from this issuance were used to pay off trust preferred securities issued on December 19, 2002

through Colony Bankcorp Statutory Trust II.

Corporate Restructuring and Business Combinations

On April 30, 1984, after acquiring the requisite regulatory approvals, the Company acquired 100 percent of the issued and outstanding stock of Colony Bank Wilcox (formerly Community Bank of Wilcox and Pitts Banking Company), Pitts, Wilcox County, Georgia. As part of the transaction, Colony issued an additional 17,872 shares of its \$10.00 par value common stock, all of which was exchanged with the holders of shares of common stock of Pitts Banking Company for 100 percent of the 250 issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Pitts Banking Company. Since the date of acquisition, Colony Bank Wilcox operated as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company until it was merged into Colony Bank effective August 1, 2008.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 2 (Continued)

On November 1, 1984, after obtaining the requisite regulatory approvals, the Company acquired 100 percent of the issued and outstanding common stock of Colony Bank Ashburn (formerly Ashburn Bank), Ashburn, Turner County, Georgia, for a combination of cash and interest-bearing promissory notes. Since the date of acquisition, Colony Bank Ashburn operated as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company until it was merged into Colony Bank effective August 1, 2008.

On September 30, 1985, after obtaining the requisite regulatory approvals, the Company acquired 100 percent of the issued and outstanding common stock of Colony Bank of Dodge County, (formerly The Bank of Dodge County), Chester, Dodge County, Georgia. The stock was acquired in exchange for the issuance of 3,500 shares of common stock of Colony. Since the date of acquisition, Colony Bank of Dodge County operated as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company until it was merged into Colony Bank effective August 1, 2008.

On July 31, 1991, after obtaining the requisite regulatory approvals, the Company acquired 100 percent of the issued and outstanding common stock of colony Bank Worth, (formerly Worth Federal Savings and Loan Association and Bank of Worth), Sylvester, Worth County, Georgia. The stock was acquired in exchange for cash and the issuance of 7,661 shares of common stock of Colony for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$718,000. Since the date of acquisition, Colony Bank Worth operated as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company until it was merged into Colony Bank effective August 1, 2008.

On November 8, 1996, Colony organized Colony Management Services, Inc. to provide support services to each subsidiary. Services provided include loan and compliance review, internal audit and data processing. Colony Management Services, Inc. operated as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company until it was merged into Colony Bank effective August 1, 2008.

On November 30, 1996, after obtaining the requisite regulatory approvals, the Company acquired 100 percent of the issued and outstanding common stock of Colony Bank Southeast (formerly Broxton State Bank), Broxton, Coffee County, Georgia in a business combination accounted for as a pooling of interests. Broxton State Bank became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company through the exchange of 157,735 shares of the Company's common stock for all of the outstanding stock of Broxton State Bank. Since the date of acquisition, Colony Bank Southeast operated as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company until it was merged into Colony Bank effective August 1, 2008.

On March 2, 2000, Colony Bank Ashburn purchased the capital stock of Colony Mortgage Corp (formerly Georgia First Mortgage Company) in a business combination accounted for as a purchase. The purchase price of \$346,725 was the fair value of the net assets of Georgia First Mortgage Company at the date of purchase. Colony Mortgage Corp is primarily engaged in residential real estate mortgage lending in the state of Georgia. Colony Mortgage Corp operates as a subsidiary of Colony Bank effective with the August 1, 2008 merger.

On March 29, 2002, after obtaining the requisite regulatory approvals, the Company acquired 100 percent of the issued and outstanding stock of Colony Bank Quitman, FSB, (formerly Quitman Federal Saving Bank), Quitman, Brooks County, Georgia. Quitman Federal Savings Bank became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company through the exchange of 367,093 shares of the Company's common stock and cash for an aggregate acquisition price of \$7,446,163. Since the date of acquisition, Colony Bank Quitman, FSB operated as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company until it was merged into Colony Bank effective August 1, 2008.

On March 19, 2004, Colony Bank Ashburn purchased Flag Bank – Thomaston office in a business combination accounted for as a purchase. Since the date of acquisition, the Thomaston office operated as an office of Colony Bank

Ashburn until August 1, 2008 when it became an office of Colony Bank.

On August 1, 2008, the Company effected a merger of its seven banking subsidiaries and its one nonbank subsidiary into one surviving bank subsidiary, Colony Bank (formerly Colony Bank of Fitzgerald).

On April 2, 1998, the Company was listed on Nasdaq National Market. The Company's common stock trades on the Nasdaq Stock Market under the symbol "CBAN". The Company presently has approximately 2,136 shareholders as of April 15, 2010. "The Nasdaq Stock Market" or "Nasdaq" is a highly-regulated electronic securities market comprised of competing Market Makers whose trading is supported by a communications network linking them to quotation dissemination, trade reporting and order execution systems. This market also provides specialized automation services for screen-based negotiations of transactions, on-line comparison of transactions, and a range of informational services tailored to the needs of the securities industry, investors and issuers. The Nasdaq Stock Market is operated by The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 3

Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS (\$ in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010			Three Months Ended March 31, 2009				
	Average Balances	Income/ Expense	Yields/ Rates	Average Balances	Income/ Expense	Yields/ Rates		
Assets								
Interest-Earning Assets								
Loans, Net of Unearned Interest and fees								
Taxable (1)	\$908,610	\$13,466	5.93	%	\$957,307	\$14,233	5.95	%
Investment Securities								
Taxable	258,206	1,734	2.69	%	213,522	2,173	4.07	%
Tax-Exempt (2)	2,301	34	5.91	%	8,900	129	5.80	%
Total Investment Securities	260,507	1,768	2.72	%	222,422	2,302	4.14	%
Interest-Bearing Deposits	14,500	3	0.11	%	293	--	--	
Federal Funds Sold	41,419	26	0.25	%	6,980	5	0.29	%
Interest-Bearing Other Assets	6,345	4	0.19	%	6,273	--	--	
Total Interest-Earning Assets	1,231,381	\$15,267	4.96	%	1,193,275	\$16,540	5.54	%
Non-interest-Earning Assets								
Cash and Cash Equivalents	21,185				28,096			
Allowance for Loan Losses	(32,653)				(17,817)			
Other Assets	83,629				65,058			
Total Noninterest-Earning Assets	72,161				75,337			
Total Assets	\$1,303,542				\$1,268,612			
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity								
Interest-Bearing Liabilities								
Interest-Bearing Deposits								
Interest-Bearing Demand and Savings	\$253,931	\$453	0.71	%	\$232,967	\$494	0.85	%
Other Time	720,884	3,987	2.21	%	693,847	5,679	3.27	%
Total Interest-Bearing Deposits	974,815	4,440	1.82	%	926,814	6,173	2.66	%
Other Interest-Bearing Liabilities								
Other Borrowed Money	94,003	797	3.39	%	91,000	791	3.48	%
Subordinated Debentures	24,229	121	2.00	%	24,229	205	3.38	%
Federal Funds Purchased and Repurchase Agreements	33,397	186	2.23	%	40,354	231	2.29	%
Total Other Interest-Bearing Liabilities	151,629	1,104	2.91	%	155,583	1,227	3.15	%
Total Interest-Bearing Liabilities	1,126,444	\$5,544	1.97	%	1,082,397	\$7,400	2.73	%
Noninterest-Bearing Liabilities and								

Stockholders' Equity					
Demand Deposits	82,271			72,358	
Other Liabilities	4,492			5,539	
Stockholders' Equity	90,335			108,318	
Total Noninterest-Bearing Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity					
	177,098			186,215	
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity					
	\$ 1,303,542			\$ 1,268,612	
Interest Rate Spread					
		2.99	%	2.81	%
Net Interest Income					
	\$9,723			\$9,140	
Net Interest Margin					
		3.16	%	3.06	%

(1) The average balance of loans includes the average balance of nonaccrual loans. Income on such loans is recognized and recorded on the cash basis. Taxable equivalent adjustments totaling \$34 and \$36 for three month periods ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, are included in tax-exempt interest on loans.

(2) Taxable-equivalent adjustments totaling \$11 and \$44 for three month periods ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, are included in tax-exempt interest on investment securities. The adjustments are based on a federal tax rate of 34 percent with appropriate reductions for the effect of disallowed interest expense incurred in carrying tax-exempt obligations.

Table of ContentsPart I (Continued)
Item 3 (Continued)Colony Bankcorp, Inc. and Subsidiary
Interest Rate Sensitivity

The following table is an analysis of the Company's interest rate-sensitivity position at March 31, 2010. The interest-bearing rate-sensitivity gap, which is the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities by repricing period, is based upon maturity or first repricing opportunity, along with a cumulative interest rate-sensitivity gap. It is important to note that the table indicates a position at a specific point in time and may not be reflective of positions at other times during the year or in subsequent periods. Major changes in the gap position can be, and are, made promptly as market outlooks change.

	Assets and Liabilities Repricing Within					Total
	3 Months or Less	4 to 12 Months	1 Year	1 to 5 Years	Over 5 Years	
(\$ in Thousands)						
EARNING ASSETS:						
Interest-Bearing Deposits	\$30,847	\$---	\$30,847	\$---	---	\$30,847
Federal Funds Sold	25,527	---	25,527	---	---	25,527
Investment Securities	8,283	3,622	11,905	171,627	94,442	277,974
Loans, Net of Unearned Income	361,586	166,682	528,268	346,829	10,085	885,182
Other Interest-Bearing Assets	6,345	---	6,345	---	---	6,345
Total Interest-Earning Assets	432,588	170,304	602,892	518,456	104,527	1,225,875
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES:						
Interest-Bearing Demand Deposits (1)	220,989	---	220,989	---	---	220,989
Savings (1)	37,720	---	37,720	---	---	37,720
Time Deposits	208,885	357,257	566,142	150,457	140	716,739
Other Borrowings (2)	24,784	---	24,784	41,000	30,000	95,784
Subordinated Debentures	24,229	---	24,229	---	---	24,229
Federal Funds Purchased	--	---	--	---	---	--
Repurchase Agreements	5,000	---	5,000	20,000	---	25,000
Total Interest-Bearing Liabilities	521,607	357,257	878,864	211,457	30,140	1,120,461
Interest Rate-Sensitivity Gap	(89,019)	(186,953)	(275,972)	306,999	74,387	105,414
	(89,019)	(275,972)	(275,972)	31,027	105,414	

Cumulative
Interest-Sensitivity Gap

Interest Rate-Sensitivity Gap
as a Percentage of

Interest-Earning Assets	(7.26)%	(15.25)%	(22.51)%	25.04 %	6.07 %
-------------------------	----------	-----------	-----------	---------	--------

Cumulative Interest
Rate-Sensitivity as as a
Percentage of

Interest-Earning Assets	(7.26)%	(22.51)%	(22.51)%	2.53 %	8.60 %
-------------------------	----------	-----------	-----------	--------	--------

(1) Interest-bearing Demand and Savings Accounts for repricing purposes are considered to reprice within 3 months or less.

(2) Short-term borrowings for repricing purposes are considered to reprice within 3 months or less.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 3 (Continued)

The foregoing table indicates that we had a one year negative gap of (\$276) million, or (22.51) percent of total assets at March 31, 2010. In theory, this would indicate that at March 31, 2010, \$276 million more in liabilities than assets would reprice if there were a change in interest rates over the next 365 days. Thus, if interest rates were to increase, the gap would indicate a resulting decrease in net interest margin. However, changes in the mix of earning assets or supporting liabilities can either increase or decrease the net interest margin without affecting interest rate sensitivity. In addition, the interest rate spread between an asset and our supporting liability can vary significantly while the timing of repricing of both the assets and our supporting liability can remain the same, thus impacting net interest income. This characteristic is referred to as a basis risk and, generally, relates to the repricing characteristics of short-term funding sources such as certificates of deposits.

Gap analysis has certain limitations. Measuring the volume of repricing or maturing assets and liabilities does not always measure the full impact on the portfolio value of equity or net interest income. Gap analysis does not account for rate caps on products; dynamic changes such as increasing prepay speeds as interest rates decrease, basis risk, or the benefit of non-rate funding sources. The majority of our loan portfolio reprices quickly and completely following changes in market rates, while non-term deposit rates in general move slowly and usually incorporate only a fraction of the change in rates. Products categorized as non-rate sensitive, such as our noninterest-bearing demand deposits, in the gap analysis behave like long term fixed rate funding sources. Both of these factors tend to make our actual behavior more asset sensitive than is indicated in the gap analysis. In fact, we experience higher net interest income when rates rise, opposite what is indicated by the gap analysis. In fact, during the recent period of declines in interest rates, our net interest margin has declined. Therefore, management uses gap analysis, net interest margin analysis and market value of portfolio equity as our primary interest rate risk management tools.

The Company utilizes FTN Asset/Liability Management Analysis for a more dynamic analysis of balance sheet structure. The Company has established earnings at risk for net-interest income in a +/- 200 basis point rate shock to be no more than a fifteen percent decline. The most recent analysis as of March 31, 2010 indicates that net interest income would deteriorate 17.62 percent with a 200 basis point decrease and would improve 5.42 percent with a 200 basis point increase. The increased exposure to declining rates is mitigated by the low likelihood of a further decline of 200 basis points from the current rate levels. The Company has established equity at risk in a +/- 200 basis points rate shock to be no more than a twenty percent decline. The most recent analysis as of March 31, 2010 indicates that net economic value of equity percentage change would decrease 14.23 percent with a 200 basis point decrease and would decrease 4.74 percent with a 200 basis point increase. The Company has established its one year gap to be 0.80 percent to 1.20 percent. The most recent analysis as of March 31, 2010 indicates a one year gap of 0.84 percent. The analysis suggests net interest margin compression in a declining interest rate environment. Given that interest rates have basically "bottomed-out" with the recent Federal Reserve action, the Company is anticipating interest rates to increase in the future though we believe that interest rates will remain flat most of 2010. The Company is focusing on areas to minimize margin compression in the future by minimizing longer term fixed rate loans, shortening on the yield curve with investments, securing longer term FHLB advances, securing brokered certificates of deposit for longer terms and focusing on reduction of nonperforming assets.

Table of Contents

Part I (Continued)

Item 4

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), as of the end of the period covered by this report, as required by paragraph (b) of Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15 of the Exchange Act. Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, there was not any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15 of the Exchange Act that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

57

Table of Contents

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 – LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None

ITEM 1A – RISK FACTORS

N/A

ITEM 2 – UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On March 30, 2010, Colony Bankcorp, Inc. accepted the subscriptions of several investors in a private placement offering to accredited investors under an exemption from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Rule 506 of Regulation D under the Securities Act. The Company offered a maximum of 1,216,545 shares of its common stock at a price of \$4.11 per share for purchasers after the directors and executive officers of the Company. The price was determined using a twenty day average trading price as quoted on NASDAQ Stock Market immediately prior to the beginning of the offering. The price for directors and officers was determined by NASDAQ Rules to be the closing bid price immediately preceding the entering into a binding agreement to purchase the shares.

All of the shares were purchased for a total of \$5.08 million, less offering expenses of approximately \$20,000. The offering was closed on March 30, 2010. This price ranged from \$4.25 to \$4.50 per share.

ITEM 3 – DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

ITEM 4 – (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

None

ITEM 5 – OTHER INFORMATION

None

Table of Contents

Part II (Continued)

Item 6

ITEM 6 – EXHIBITS

3.1 Articles of Incorporation

-filed as Exhibit 3(a) to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 0-18486), filed with the Commission on April 25, 1990 and incorporated herein by reference

3.2 Bylaws, as Amended

-filed as Exhibit 3(b) to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 0-18486), filed with the Commission on April 25, 1990 and incorporated herein by reference

3.3 Article of Amendment to the Company's Articles of Incorporation Authorizing Additional Capital Stock in the Form of Ten Million Shares of Preferred Stock

-filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-12436) filed with the Commission on January 13, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.

3.4 Articles of Amendment to the Company's Articles of Incorporation Establishing the Terms of the Series A Preferred Stock

-filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-12436) filed with the Commission on January 13, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.

4.1 Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders

-incorporated herein by reference to page 1 of the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 27, 2004, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 3, 2004 (File No. 000-12436)

4.2 Warrant to Purchase up to 500,000 shares of Common Stock

-filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-12436), filed with the Commission on January 13, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.

4.3 Form of Series A Preferred Stock Certificate

-filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-12436), filed with the Commission on January 13, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.1 Deferred Compensation Plan and Sample Director Agreement

-filed as Exhibit 10(a) to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 0-18486), filed with the Commission on April 25, 1990 and incorporated herein by reference

10.2 Profit-Sharing Plan Dated January 1, 1979

-filed as Exhibit 10(b) to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 0-18486), filed with the Commission on April 25, 1990 and incorporated herein by reference

10.3 1999 Restricted Stock Grant Plan and Restricted Stock Grant Agreement

-filed as Exhibit 10(c) the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-12436), filed with the Commission on March 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference

Table of Contents

Part II (Continued)

Item 6

10.4 2004 Restricted Stock Grant Plan and Restricted Stock Grant Agreement

- filed as Exhibit C to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on April 27, 2004, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 3, 2004 (File No. 000-12436) and incorporated herein by reference

10.5 Lease Agreement – Mobile Home Tracts, LLC c/o Stafford Properties, Inc. and Colony Bank Worth

- filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q (File No. 000-12436), filed with Securities and Exchange Commission on November 5, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference

10.6 Letter Agreement, Dated January 9, 2009, Including Securities Purchase Agreement – Standard Terms Incorporated by Reference Therein, Between the Company and the United States Department of the Treasury

- filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-12436), filed with the Commission on January 13, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.7 Form of Waiver, Executed by Each of Messrs Al D. Ross, Terry L. Hester, Henry F. Brown, Jr., Walter P. Patten and Larry E. Stevenson

- filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-12436), filed with the Commission on January 13, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.

11.1 Statement of Computation of Earnings Per Share

31.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes – Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 14, 2010

/s/ Al D. Ross,
Al D. Ross,
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 14, 2010

/s/ Terry L. Hester
Terry L. Hester, Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer