

SABINE OIL & GAS CORP  
Form 10-K  
March 31, 2015  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-K

---

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014  
or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from            to

Commission File Number: 01-13515

---

SABINE OIL & GAS CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York

25-0484900

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

1415 Louisiana Street, Suite 1600  
Houston, Texas 77002

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (832) 242-9600

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (b) of the Act:

Title of class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, Par Value \$0.10 Per Share OTCQB Marketplace

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act: None

---

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15 (d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2014 was approximately \$268 million, based upon the closing price of \$2.28 per share as reported by the New York Stock Exchange on such date.

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

214,669,984 shares of our \$0.10 par value common stock were outstanding on March 15, 2015.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's notice of annual meeting of shareholders and proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

---

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

As discussed in “Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties” below, on December 16, 2014, Sabine Oil & Gas LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“Sabine O&G”), and Forest Oil Corporation, a New York corporation, completed the combination of their respective businesses through a series of transactions whereby certain indirect equity holders of Sabine O&G contributed the equity interests in Sabine O&G to Forest Oil Corporation. In exchange for this contribution, the equity holders of Sabine O&G received shares of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation (“Sabine”) common stock and Series A senior non-voting equity-equivalent preferred stock collectively representing approximately a 73.5% economic interest in Sabine and 40% of the total voting power in Sabine (the “Combination”). On December 19, 2014, Forest Oil Corporation changed its name to “Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation.” Because Sabine O&G was considered the accounting acquirer in the Combination under GAAP, Sabine O&G is also considered the accounting predecessor of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation. Accordingly, the historical financial and operating data of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K which cover periods prior to the completion of the Combination, reflect the assets, liabilities and operations of Sabine O&G, the predecessor to Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, and do not reflect the assets, liabilities and operations of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation (which was then known as “Forest Oil Corporation”) prior to the Combination. References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to “Sabine,” “the Company,” “we,” “us” and “our” refer (i) with respect to the period from and after December 16, 2014, to the group of entities within the consolidated group of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, and (ii) with respect to the period prior to December 16, 2014, to the group of entities within the consolidated group of Sabine O&G, the predecessor, unless, in each case, otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires. References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to “Forest” refer to Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation prior to the Combination, when it was known as “Forest Oil Corporation.” For more information regarding Forest’s historical operating data, please see the Company’s prior Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

---

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

<u>PART I</u>		10
<u>Items 1 and 2.</u>	<u>Business and Properties</u>	10
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	30
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	52
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	53
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	56
<u>PART II</u>		57
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	57
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	61
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	64
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	91
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	93
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	139
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	139
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	142
<u>PART III</u>		142
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	142
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	142
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	142
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	142
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	142
<u>PART IV</u>		143
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	143

Table of Contents

Certain Terms Used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the following terms have the meanings set forth below:

- “Combination” refers to the consummation of a series of transactions whereby certain indirect equity holders of Sabine O&G contributed the equity interests in Sabine O&G to Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation (which was then known as “Forest Oil Corporation”). In exchange for this contribution, the equity holders of Sabine O&G received shares of Sabine common stock and Series A senior non-voting equity-equivalent preferred stock (“Sabine Series A preferred stock”) collectively representing approximately a 73.5% economic interest in Sabine and 40% of the total voting power in Sabine. The Combination was completed on December 16, 2014.
- “Forest” refers to Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, a New York corporation, prior to the Combination, which was then known as “Forest Oil Corporation.” Forest changed its name to “Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation” on December 19, 2014.
- “Sabine,” “we,” “us” or the “Company” refers (i) with respect to the period from and after December 16, 2014, the date of the Combination, to the group of entities within the consolidated group of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, a New York corporation and the entity which survived the Combination and (ii) with respect to the period prior to December 16, 2014, to the group of entities within the consolidated group of Sabine O&G.
- “Sabine Investor Holdings” refers to Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, of which the common equity interests are owned by affiliates of First Reserve, certain members of the Company’s management and board of directors.
- “Sabine O&G” refers to Sabine Oil & Gas LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and the accounting predecessor of Sabine.
- “Sabine O&G Properties” refer to the oil and natural gas properties historically owned by Sabine O&G prior to the Combination.
- “Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation” refers to Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, a New York corporation.

Table of Contents

Glossary of Oil and Gas Terms

The terms defined in this section are used throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain definitions, including the definitions of proved reserves, proved developed reserves, and proved undeveloped reserves, have been abbreviated from the applicable definitions contained in Rule 4-10 (a) of Regulation S-X under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Bbl. One stock tank barrel, or 42 U.S. gallons liquid volume, of crude oil or liquid hydrocarbons.

Bcf. Billion cubic feet of natural gas.

Bcfe. Billion cubic feet equivalent, determined using the ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of crude oil, condensate, or natural gas liquids.

Bbtu. One billion British Thermal Units.

Boe. Barrels of oil equivalent in which six Mcf of natural gas equals one Bbl of oil. This ratio does not assume price equivalency and, given price differentials, the price for a barrel of oil equivalent for natural gas may differ significantly from the price for a barrel of oil.

Btu. A British Thermal Unit, or the amount of heat necessary to raise the temperature of one pound of water one degree Fahrenheit.

Condensate. A mixture of hydrocarbons that exists in the gaseous phase at original reservoir temperature and pressure, but that, when produced, is in the liquid phase at surface temperature and pressure.

Developed acreage. Acreage that is held by producing wells or wells capable of production.

Development well. A well drilled within the proved area of an oil or gas reservoir to the depth of a stratigraphic horizon known to be productive.

Dry hole; dry well. A well found to be incapable of producing either oil or gas in sufficient quantities to justify completion as an oil or gas well. Also referred to as a non-productive well.

Equivalent volumes. Equivalent volumes are computed with oil and natural gas liquid quantities converted to Mcf on an energy equivalent ratio of one barrel to six Mcf.

Exploratory well. A well drilled to find a new field or to find a new reservoir in a field previously found to be productive of oil or gas in another reservoir. Generally, an exploratory well is any well that is not a development well, an extension well, a service well, or a stratigraphic test well.

Farmout. An assignment of an interest in a drilling location and related acreage conditional upon the drilling of a well on that location or the undertaking of other work obligations.

Field. An area consisting of either a single reservoir or multiple reservoirs, all grouped on or related to the same individual geological structural feature and/or stratigraphic condition.

Full cost pool. The full cost pool consists of all costs associated with property acquisition, exploration, and development activities for a company using the full cost method of accounting. Additionally, any internal costs that

can be directly identified with acquisition, exploration, and development activities are included. Any costs related to production, general and administrative expense, or similar activities are not included.

Gas. Natural Gas.

Gross acres or gross wells. The total acres or wells, as the case may be, in which a working interest is owned.

HH or Henry Hub. Henry Hub is the major exchange for pricing natural gas futures on the NYMEX.

5

---



Table of Contents

Hydraulic fracturing. A process used to stimulate production of hydrocarbons. The process involves the injection of water, sand, and chemicals under pressure into the formation to fracture the surrounding rock and stimulate production.

Lease operating expenses. The expenses of lifting oil or gas from a producing formation to the surface, constituting part of the current operating expenses of a working interest, and also including labor, superintendence, supplies, repairs, short-lived assets, maintenance, allocated overhead costs, and other expenses incidental to production, but not including lease acquisition or drilling or completion expenses.

Liquids. Oil, condensate, and natural gas liquids.

MBbls. Thousand barrels of crude oil or other liquid hydrocarbons.

MMBbl. One million barrels of crude oil or other liquid hydrocarbons.

MBoe. Thousand barrels of crude oil equivalent determined using the ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of crude oil, condensate, or natural gas liquids.

MMBoe. One million barrels of oil equivalent.

Mcf. Thousand cubic feet of natural gas.

Mcfe. Thousand cubic feet equivalent determined using the ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of crude oil, condensate, or natural gas liquids.

MMbtu. One million British Thermal Units. One British thermal unit is the amount of heat required to raise the temperature of one pound of water by one degree Fahrenheit.

MMcf. Million cubic feet of natural gas.

MMcfe. Million cubic feet equivalent determined using the ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of crude oil, condensate, or natural gas liquids.

MMcfe/d. One million cubic feet of gas equivalent per day.

NGL or natural gas liquids. Liquid hydrocarbons found in natural gas which may be extracted as separate components, including ethane, propane, butanes, and natural gasoline.

Net acres or net wells. The sum of the fractional working interest owned in gross acres or gross wells expressed in whole numbers and fractions of whole numbers.

Net revenue interest. An owner's share of petroleum after satisfaction of all royalty and other non-cost bearing interests.

NYMEX. New York Mercantile Exchange.

Oil. Crude oil, condensate and natural gas liquids.

Operator. The individual or company responsible for the exploration and/or exploitation and/or production of an oil or gas well or lease.

Productive wells. Producing wells and wells that are mechanically capable of production.

Proved developed reserves. Estimated proved reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods.

Proved reserves. Quantities of oil and gas, which, by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible—from a given date forward, from known reservoirs, and under

Table of Contents

existing economic conditions, operating methods, and government regulations—prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate expire, unless evidence indicates that renewal is reasonably certain, regardless of whether deterministic or probabilistic methods are used for the estimation. The project to extract the hydrocarbons must have commenced or the operator must be reasonably certain that it will commence the project within a reasonable time. Existing economic conditions include prices and costs at which economic producibility from a reservoir is to be determined. The price shall be the average price during the twelve-month period prior to the end of the reporting period, determined as an unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month price for each month within such period, unless prices are defined by contractual arrangements, excluding escalations based upon future conditions.

Proved undeveloped reserves or PUDs. Estimated proved reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion.

Reservoir. A porous and permeable underground formation containing a natural accumulation of producible oil and/or gas that is confined by impermeable rock or water barriers and is individual and separate from other reservoirs.

Royalty. An interest in an oil and gas lease that gives the owner of the interest the right to receive a portion of the production from the leased acreage (or of the proceeds of the sale thereof), but generally does not require the owner to pay any portion of the costs of drilling or operating the wells on the leased acreage. Royalties may be either landowner's royalties, which are reserved by the owner of the leased acreage at the time the lease is granted, or overriding royalties, which are usually reserved by an owner of the leasehold in connection with a transfer to a subsequent owner.

Spot market price. The price for a one-time open market transaction for immediate delivery of a specific quantity of product at a specific location where the commodity is purchased "on the spot" at current market rates.

Tcfe. One trillion cubic feet of gas equivalent.

Standardized measure or present value of estimated future net revenues. An estimate of the present value of the estimated future net revenues from proved oil and gas reserves at a date indicated after deducting estimated production and property taxes, future capital costs, operating expenses, and estimated future income taxes. The estimated future net revenues are discounted at an annual rate of 10%, in accordance with the SEC's requirements, to determine their "present value." The present value is shown to indicate the effect of time on the value of the revenue stream and should not be construed as being the fair market value of the properties. Estimates of future net revenues are made using oil and natural gas prices and operating costs at the estimation date in accordance with the SEC's regulations and are held constant for the life of the reserves.

Undeveloped acreage. Acreage on which wells have not been drilled or completed to a point that would permit the production of economic quantities of oil or natural gas, regardless of whether such acreage contains proved reserves.

Working interest. An operating interest which gives the owner the right to drill, produce, and conduct operating activities on the property, and to receive a share of production.

Workover. A series of operations on a producing well to restore or increase production.

WTI or West Texas Intermediate. A grade of crude oil used as a benchmark in oil pricing.

3-D Seismic. Advanced technology method of detecting accumulations of hydrocarbons identified through a three-dimensional picture of the subsurface created by the collection and measurement of the intensity and timing of

sound waves transmitted into the earth as they reflect back to the surface.

7

---

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents referred to in this Annual Report on Form 10-K contain “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (as amended, the “Securities Act”) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Forward-looking statements are statements that are not statements of historical fact, including statements about beliefs, opinions and expectations. Forward-looking statements are based on, and include statements about, our plans, prospects, expected future financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, dividends and dividend plans, objectives, beliefs, financing plans, business strategies, budgets, goals, future events, future revenues or performance, financing needs, outcomes of litigation, projected costs, operating metrics, capital expenditures, competitive positions, acquisitions, investment opportunities, integration, cost savings, synergies, growth opportunities, dispositions, plans and objectives of management for future operations and any other information that is not historical information. These statements, which may include statements regarding the period following completion of the reincorporation merger and the related transactions, include, without limitation, words such as “may,” “will,” “could,” “should,” “would,” “expect,” “plan,” “project,” “forecast,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “predict,” “suggest,” “view,” “potential,” “pursue,” “target,” “continue” and similar expressions as well as the negative of these terms. These statements involve risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that are difficult to predict and that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in them or indicated by them.

These risks and uncertainties are not exhaustive. Other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K describe additional factors that could adversely affect our business and financial performance. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risks and uncertainties emerge from time to time, and it is not possible to predict all risks and uncertainties, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, level of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any of these forward-looking statements. You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. We are under no duty to update any of these forward-looking statements after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K to conform our prior statements to actual results or revised expectations and we do not intend to do so.

These forward-looking statements appear in a number of places and include statements with respect to, among other things:

- estimates of our oil and natural gas reserves;
- our future financial condition, results of operations, revenues, cash flows, and expenses;
- our future levels of indebtedness, liquidity, and compliance with debt covenants;
- our ability to access the capital markets and the terms on which capital may be available to us;
- our ability to fund our operations and capital expenditures;
- our future business strategy and other plans and objectives for future operations;
- our ability to integrate the historical Forest and Sabine O&G businesses and achieve synergies related to the Combination;
- our business’ competitive position;
- our outlook on oil and natural gas prices;



Table of Contents

- the amount, nature, and timing of our future capital expenditures, including future development costs;
- our potential future asset dispositions and other transactions, the timing of closing of such transactions and the use of proceeds, if any, from such transactions;
- the risks associated with potential acquisitions or alliances by us;
- the recruitment and retention of our officers and employees;
- our expected levels of compensation;
- the likelihood of success of and impact of litigation on us;
- our assessment of our counterparty risk and the ability of our counterparties to perform their future obligations; and
- the impact of federal, state, and local political, regulatory, and environmental developments in the United States where we conduct business operations.

We expressly qualify in its entirety each forward-looking statement attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. Except to the extent required by applicable law or regulation, we do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

## Table of Contents

### PART I

You should read this entire report carefully, including the risks described under Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors and our consolidated financial statements and the notes to those consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. For the reasons discussed in the Explanatory Note to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to “Sabine,” “the Company,” “we,” “us” and “our” refer (i) with respect to the period from and after December 16, 2014, to the group of entities within the consolidated group of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, and (ii) with respect to the period prior to December 16, 2014, to the group of entities within the consolidated group of Sabine O&G, the accounting predecessor, unless, in each case, otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires. References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to “Forest” refer to Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation prior to the Combination, when it was known as “Forest Oil Corporation.”

#### Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties

##### General

We are an independent oil and natural gas company engaged in the acquisition, development, exploitation and exploration of oil and natural gas properties onshore in the United States.

On December 16, 2014, pursuant to a series of transaction agreements, certain indirect equity holders of Sabine O&G (such indirect equity holders are referred to as the “Legacy Sabine Investors”) contributed the equity interests in Sabine O&G to us (we were then known as “Forest Oil Corporation”). In exchange for this contribution, the Legacy Sabine Investors received shares of our common stock and our Series A preferred stock collectively representing approximately a 73.5% economic interest in us and 40% of the total voting power in us. Holders of our common stock immediately prior to the closing of the Combination continued to hold their common stock following the closing, which immediately following the closing represented approximately a 26.5% economic interest in us and 60% of the total voting power in us.

On December 19, 2014, we filed a certificate of amendment with the New York Secretary of State to change our name from “Forest Oil Corporation” to “Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation.” Our principal executive offices and corporate headquarters are located at 1415 Louisiana Street, Suite 1600, Houston, Texas 77002. Our telephone number at that address is (832) 242-9600.

##### Our Properties

##### Overview

Our properties are primarily focused in three core geographic areas:

- East Texas, targeting the Cotton Valley Sand, Haynesville Shale and Pettet formations;
- South Texas, targeting the Eagle Ford Shale formation; and
- North Texas, targeting the Granite Wash formation.

As of December 31, 2014, we held interests in approximately 278,500 gross (219,200 net) acres in East Texas, 88,100 gross (58,700 net) acres in South Texas and 51,400 gross (36,900 net) acres in North Texas. As of December 31, 2014, we were the operator on 89%, 99% and 99% of our net acreage positions in East Texas, South Texas and North Texas, respectively.

The hydrocarbon content of our drilling inventory ranges from predominantly oil to entirely natural gas, providing significant optionality for our capital allocation to maximize returns in a wide variety of commodity price



environments. In the near term, our capital program is expected to be focused primarily in the Cotton Valley Sand and Haynesville formations, where we have a history of development activities with consistent and reliable economic results. Our acreage in the Haynesville Shale in East Texas and our acreage in the Eagleville area in South Texas are primarily held by production.

## Table of Contents

The 2014 drilling and completion capital program associated with our properties was focused on projects that exhibited the most attractive economics based on commodity prices at that time. Full year 2014 capital expenditures were approximately \$562 million, including approximately \$512 million on drilling and completion activities and approximately \$50 million on leasing and other activities. Drilling and completion expenditures included approximately \$149 million for the development of proved undeveloped reserves and approximately \$363 million for the development of unproved reserves. Our full year 2015 capital expenditures are forecasted to total approximately \$230 million to \$275 million.

## Our Acquisition History

During 2012 through 2014, we successfully completed the Combination as well as additional acquisitions that, coupled with farm out agreements, established our positions in the Eagle Ford Shale in South Texas and in the Granite Wash area in North Texas, and expanded our positions in the Cotton Valley Sand and Haynesville Shale areas in East Texas. Our key acquisitions and development activities during such period were as follows:

- We established our initial position in the Eagle Ford Shale in South Texas in 2012 through a farm-out agreement, which obligated us to drill and complete two wells in the play to earn approximately 15,500 net acres. Subsequently, we have grown our position in the Eagle Ford Shale to approximately 34,800 net acres as of December 31, 2014, excluding the effects of the Combination, via four additional transactions and grass roots leasing.
- In December 2012, we acquired interests in over 60,000 net leasehold acres with then-current net production of approximately 6,500 Boe/d, which established our position in the Granite Wash and Cleveland Sand in North Texas. We have since divested the Cleveland Sand assets. Our remaining net acres in the Granite Wash were approximately 36,900 net acres as of December 31, 2014, which includes the acquisitions of additional interests through two 2014 transactions.
- In December 2014, we completed the Combination, under which we combined the respective businesses of Sabine O&G and Forest.

## Operating Regions Associated with Our Properties

### East Texas

The East Texas portion of our properties is characterized by several productive horizons, such as the Cotton Valley Sand, Haynesville Shale, Haynesville Lime, Pettet, Bossier Shale, Travis Peak and other formations. Currently, our primary operational focus in this area is directed at the Cotton Valley Sand and Haynesville Shale formations. We believe the Cotton Valley Sand formation is a well-understood play given its history of extensive vertical development, making it a predictable and repeatable development opportunity. Geologically, the Cotton Valley Sand formation is a thick, consolidated sand formation at depths ranging from approximately 7,800 feet to 10,800 feet, and has had over 400 horizontal wells drilled in the play in our properties' core operating area.

Our other primary target in East Texas, the Haynesville Shale, lies approximately 1,500 feet below the Cotton Valley Sand formation. The Haynesville Shale is a Jurassic age reservoir, which is as much as 300 feet thick, is composed of organic-rich black shale and is found under much of the East Texas acreage position associated with our properties at depths ranging from approximately 11,000 feet to 12,000 feet. We believe this Haynesville Shale position represents a large gas resource, which is strategically positioned geographically to benefit from a growing foreign demand for domestic natural gas.

Our East Texas properties are primarily located in Harrison, Panola and Rusk Counties in Texas and Red River Parish in Northern Louisiana with estimated proved reserves of 1,197 Bcfe as of December 31, 2014, of which 78% is natural gas and 51% is developed. As of December 31, 2014, our properties were producing from 1,282 wells in East Texas, and we operated 1,125, or 88%, of those wells. Average net daily production in East Texas from our properties for the three months ended December 31, 2014 was 170 MMcfe/d, on a pro forma basis after giving effect to the

Combination.

11

---

## Table of Contents

Primary operations are in the following areas for which a significant portion of our Cotton Valley Sand and Haynesville Shale acreage overlaps geographically, representing two distinct targets and development opportunities:

- Cotton Valley Sand—As of December 31, 2014, approximately 182,200 gross (145,500 net) acres of this East Texas position was prospective for the liquids-rich Cotton Valley Sand formation, 89% of which was held by production. As of December 31, 2014, our properties produced from 101 horizontal and 1,099 vertical wells in the Cotton Valley Sand, and we operated 1,056, or 88%, of those wells.
- Haynesville Shale—As of December 31, 2014, approximately 86,100 gross (70,000 net) acres of our East Texas position was prospective for the Haynesville Shale, 81% of which was held by production. Approximately 9,900 gross (9,400 net) of this acreage is located in Red River Parish, Louisiana. As of December 31, 2014, we produced from 73 horizontal wells in the Haynesville Shale, and we operated 69, or 84%, of those wells.
- Other—As of December 31, 2014, approximately 60,100 gross (46,600 net) of our East Texas position represents acreage located primarily in Cherokee, Leon, Angelina, Freestone, Wood and Bowie Counties, 67% of which is held by production.

### South Texas

The South Texas assets associated with our properties are primarily prospective for the Eagle Ford Shale formation. The first horizontal wells in the Eagle Ford Shale were drilled in 2008, and the play has become one of the largest unconventional oil producing plays in North America. The formation is characterized as having low geologic risks and repeatable drilling opportunities. Geologically, the Eagle Ford Shale is a thick, organic-rich, carbonaceous shale reservoir found at depths ranging from 4,000 feet to 13,000 feet, and in much of the deeper portions of the play is over-pressurized, enhancing well performance.

In South Texas, as of December 31, 2014, our properties represented interests in approximately 88,100 gross (58,700 net) acres in DeWitt, Lavaca and Gonzales Counties prospective for the Eagle Ford Shale, approximately 59% of which was held by production. This area had estimated proved reserves of 106 Bcfe as of December 31, 2014, of which 67% was oil or NGLs and 92% was developed. As of December 31, 2014, our properties were producing from 186 wells in South Texas, and we operated 184, or 99%, of those wells. Average net daily production associated with our properties in South Texas for the three months ended December 31, 2014 was 78 MMcfe/d, on a pro forma basis after giving effect to the Combination.

Primary operations are in the following areas:

- Sugarkane Area—As of December 31, 2014, the Sugarkane area included approximately 3,000 gross (2,700 net) acres, 93% of which was held by production. As of December 31, 2014, our properties were producing from 20 horizontal wells, 19 of which we operated. The shape of this acreage block makes it well-suited for full field pad development, and we are the operator for all of our identified drilling locations.
- Shiner Area—As of December 31, 2014, the Shiner area included approximately 38,500 gross (32,000 net) acres, 32% of which was held by production. As of December 31, 2014, our properties were producing from 48 horizontal wells, 47 of which we operated.
- Eagleville Area—As of December 31, 2014, the Eagleville area included approximately 46,600 gross (24,000 net) acres, 92% of which was held by production. As of December 31, 2014, our properties were producing from 118 horizontal wells, all 118 of which we operated.

### North Texas

The North Texas properties are located in the Anadarko Basin with the Granite Wash as the target horizon. The Granite Wash is a series of stacked, silty-sandy deposits found at depths of 8,500 feet to 11,000 feet that were laid down throughout the Pennsylvanian era and into early Permian time, and is over 3,000 feet thick.



Table of Contents

In North Texas, as of December 31, 2014, we held rights to develop approximately 51,400 gross (36,900 net) acres primarily in Roberts County in Texas, approximately 13% of which was held by production. The North Texas acreage as of December 31, 2014 includes approximately 32,200 net acres that are subject to a continuous drilling clause which requires us to drill one gross well every 180 days to hold the entire approximately 32,200 net acre position.

This area has estimated proved reserves of 43 Bcfe as of December 31, 2014, of which 71% was oil or NGLs and 62% was developed. As of December 31, 2014, our properties were producing from 49 wells in North Texas, 92% of which we operated. Average net daily production in North Texas for the three months ended December 31, 2014 was 27 MMcfe/d on a pro forma basis after giving effect to the Combination.

**Other**

As of December 31, 2014, our position outside of our three core geographic areas included approximately 77,800 gross (35,300 net) acres primarily in North Dakota, South Dakota, Mississippi and Wyoming.

**Estimated Proved Reserves**

The information with respect to our estimated proved reserves as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 presented below has been prepared by our independent petroleum engineering firm, Ryder Scott Company, L.P. (“Ryder Scott”), in accordance with rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) applicable to companies involved in oil and natural gas producing activities in effect at the applicable time. The reports of Ryder Scott are dated January 20, 2015 and January 24, 2014. The reports of Ryder Scott are filed as Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K. These proved reserve estimates as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were prepared using the unweighted average of the historical first-day-of-the-month prices for the prior twelve months. It should not be assumed that the present value of future net revenues from our proved reserves is the current market value of our estimated reserves. Actual future prices and costs may differ materially from those used in the present value estimates.

The following table sets forth information regarding the estimated present value of our proved reserves, by region, for the periods indicated. The information in the table does not give any effect to or reflect commodity hedges. Although the SEC’s rules also permit the presentation of estimated “probable” or “possible” reserves, we have limited our presentation to estimated proved reserves.

	At December 31,	
	2014 (1)	2013 (2) (3)
	Proved reserves	Proved reserves
	(Bcfe)	(Bcfe)
Operating area		
East Texas (4)	1,198	596
South Texas	106	182
North Texas	43	61
Other (5)	10	—
Total	1,357	839

(1) Data for December 31, 2014 is based on the unweighted average of the first-day-of-the-month (a) West Texas Intermediate posted prices for the prior 12 months of \$94.99 per Bbl for oil and (b) Henry Hub spot market prices

for the prior 12 months of \$4.35 per MMBtu for natural gas.

- (2) Data for December 31, 2013 is based on the unweighted average of the first-day-of-the-month (a) West Texas Intermediate posted prices for the prior 12 months of \$96.78 per Bbl for oil and (b) Henry Hub spot market prices for the prior 12 months of \$3.67 per MMBtu for natural gas.
- (3) Estimates of proved reserves relate only to those associated with the Sabine O&G Properties at December 31, 2013.
- (4) Includes Northern Louisiana.
- (5) Includes Wyoming, North Dakota and the Permian Basin.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth additional information regarding our estimated proved reserves at the dates indicated.

	At December 31,			
	2014 (1)	2013 (2) (3)		
Estimated proved reserves:				
Oil (MMBbl)	20.1	16.9		
NGLs (MMBbl)	41.1	25		
Natural gas (Bcf)	989.8	588.1		
Total estimated proved reserves (Bcfe)	1,357.2	839.3		
Proved developed producing reserves:				
Oil (MMBbl)	13.2	5.5		
NGLs (MMBbl)	23.0	11.0		
Natural gas (Bcf)	498.1	348.3		
Total proved developed producing reserves (Bcfe)	715.5	447.7		
Proved developed non-producing:				
Oil (MMBbl)	0.5	0.5		
NGLs (MMBbl)	0.8	0.6		
Natural gas (Bcf)	22.3	12.3		
Total proved developed non-producing reserves (Bcfe)	30.0	18.4		
Total proved undeveloped:				
Oil (MMBbl)	6.5	10.9		
NGLs (MMBbl)	17.2	13.4		
Natural gas (Bcf)	469.4	227.5		
Total proved undeveloped reserves (Bcfe)	611.7	373.2		
Percent developed	54.9	%	55.5	%

(1) Data for December 31, 2014 is based on the unweighted average of the first-day-of-the-month (a) West Texas Intermediate posted prices for the prior 12 months of \$94.99 per Bbl for oil and (b) Henry Hub spot market prices for the prior 12 months of \$4.35 per MMbtu for natural gas.

(2) Data for December 31, 2013 is based on the unweighted average of the first-day-of-the-month (a) West Texas Intermediate posted prices for the prior 12 months of \$96.78 per Bbl for oil and (b) Henry Hub spot market prices for the prior 12 months of \$3.67 per MMbtu for natural gas.

(3) The additional information regarding our estimates of proved reserves relate only to those associated with the Sabine O&G Properties at December 31, 2013.

#### Controls and Qualifications of Technical Persons

In accordance with the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information promulgated by the Society of Petroleum Engineers and guidelines established by the SEC, Ryder Scott, independent reserve engineers, estimated 100% of our proved reserve information as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2014. The technical persons responsible for preparing the reserves estimates presented herein meet the requirements regarding qualifications, independence, objectivity and confidentiality set forth in the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information promulgated by the Society of Petroleum Engineers.

We maintain an internal staff of petroleum engineers and geoscience professionals who worked closely with our independent reserve engineers to ensure the integrity, accuracy and timeliness of the data used to calculate our proved reserves relating to our assets. Our internal technical team members met with our independent reserve engineers periodically during the period covered by the reserve report to discuss the assumptions and methods used in the



proved reserve estimation process. We provide historical information to the independent reserve engineers for our properties such as ownership interest, oil and natural gas production, well test data, commodity prices and operating and development costs.

## Table of Contents

The preparation of proved reserve estimates was completed in accordance with our procedures, which are intended to ensure reliability of reserve estimations, include the following:

- review and verification of historical production data, which data is based on actual production as reported by us;
- preparation of reserve estimates by our Vice President—Corporate Engineering or under his direct supervision;
- review by our Vice President—Corporate Engineering of all of our reported proved reserves at the close of each quarter, including the review of all significant reserve changes and all new proved undeveloped reserves additions;
- direct reporting responsibilities by our Vice President—Corporate Engineering to our Chief Operating Officer; and
- verification of property ownership by our land department.

Barrett Frizzell, Vice President—Corporate Engineering, is the technical person primarily responsible for overseeing the preparation of our reserve estimates. Mr. Frizzell is a graduate of Montana Tech with a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum Engineering. Mr. Frizzell has 15 years of energy experience and our geoscience staff has an average of more than 19 years of industry experience per person.

The reserves estimates shown herein have been independently estimated by Ryder Scott, a worldwide leader of petroleum property analysis for industry and financial organizations and government agencies. Ryder Scott was founded in 1937 and performs consulting petroleum engineering services under Texas Board of Professional Engineers Registration No. F-1580. Within Ryder Scott, the technical person primarily responsible for overseeing the estimates set forth in the Ryder Scott evaluation letters incorporated herein is Mr. Joseph E. Blankenship. Mr. Blankenship has been practicing consulting petroleum engineering at Ryder Scott since 1982. Mr. Blankenship is a Licensed Professional Engineer in the State of Texas (No. 62093) and has over 30 years of experience in petroleum engineering and in the estimation and evaluation of reserves. Mr. Blankenship graduated from the University of Alabama in 1977 with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Mechanical Engineering. Mr. Blankenship is a member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers (“SPE”) and a member of the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers (“SPEE”). Mr. Blankenship exceeds the education, training, and experience requirements set forth in the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information promulgated by the SPE. Mr. Blankenship is proficient in judiciously applying industry standard practices to engineering and geoscience evaluations as well as applying SEC and other industry reserves definitions and guidelines.

### Technology Used to Establish Proved Reserves

Under the SEC rules, proved reserves are those quantities of oil and natural gas that by analysis of geoscience and engineering data can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible from a given date forward from known reservoirs, and under existing economic conditions, operating methods and government regulations. The term “reasonable certainty” implies a high degree of confidence that the quantities of oil and/or natural gas actually recovered will equal or exceed the estimate. Reasonable certainty can be established using techniques that have been proven effective by actual production from projects in the same reservoir or an analogous reservoir or by other evidence using reliable technology that establishes reasonable certainty. Reliable technology is a grouping of one or more technologies (including computational methods) that has been field tested and has been demonstrated to provide reasonably certain results with consistency and repeatability in the formation being evaluated or in an analogous formation.

To establish reasonable certainty with respect to our estimated proved reserves, our independent reserve engineers, Ryder Scott, employed technologies that have been demonstrated to yield results with consistency and repeatability. The technologies and economic data used in the estimation of our proved reserves include, but are not limited to, open hole logs, core analyses, geologic maps, available downhole and production data and seismic data. Reserves attributable to producing wells with sufficient production history were estimated using appropriate decline curves, material balance calculations or other performance relationships. Reserves attributable to producing wells with limited production history



Table of Contents

and for undeveloped locations were estimated using pore volume calculations and performance from analogous wells in the surrounding area and geologic data to assess the reservoir continuity. These wells were considered to be analogous based on production performance from the same formation and completion using similar techniques.

## Proved Undeveloped Reserves (PUDs)

## Year Ended December 31, 2014

As of December 31, 2014, our proved undeveloped reserves totaled 6 MMBbls of oil, 17 MMBbls of NGLs and 469 Bcf of natural gas, for a total of 612 Bcfe. There were a total of 121 PUDs booked with 93, 14, 8, 3 and 3 wells booked in the Cotton Valley Sand, Granite Wash, Haynesville Shale, Eagle Ford and Pettet formations, respectively.

Changes in PUDs that occurred during 2014 were primarily due to:

- additions of 129,105 MMcf attributable to historical Forest properties as a result of the Combination and two other acquisitions;
- additions of 161,717 MMcf attributable to extensions resulting from strategic drilling of wells by us to delineate our acreage position;
- the conversion of approximately 44,168 MMcf attributable to PUDs into proved developed reserves net of revisions; and
- negative revisions of approximately 8,146 MMcf in PUDs due to a combination of adjustments in PUD working interest, performance revisions and pricing.

Costs incurred relating to the development of PUDs were approximately \$149 million during the twelve months ended December 31, 2014.

As of December 31, 2014, 2% of our total proved reserves were classified as proved developed non-producing.

## Productive Wells

Our principal properties consist of developed and undeveloped oil and natural gas leases in the operating areas described above and the reserves associated with these leases. Generally, developed oil and natural gas leases remain in force as long as production is maintained. Undeveloped oil and natural gas leaseholds are generally for a primary term of three to five years. In most cases, the terms of our undeveloped leases can be extended by paying delay rentals or by producing oil and natural gas reserves that are discovered under those leases. The following table sets forth the number of productive wells in which we owned a working interest at December 31, 2014. Productive wells consist of producing wells identified as proved developed producing (“PDP”) per the December 31, 2014 reserve report prepared by Ryder Scott. Gross wells are the total number of productive wells in which we have working interests, and net wells are the sum of our fractional working interests owned in gross wells. Approximately 73% of future net revenue associated with our properties is from natural gas while the remaining 27% is from oil and NGLs.

	Oil		Gas	
	Gross Wells	Net Wells	Gross Wells	Net Wells
East Texas	36	24	1,255	1,030
South Texas	153	95	18	17
North Texas	34	21	2	—
Total	223	140	1,275	1,047

## Drilling Activities

The table below sets forth the results of our drilling activities for the periods indicated. The information should not be considered indicative of future performance, nor should it be assumed that there is necessarily any correlation among the number of productive wells drilled, quantities of reserves found or economic value. Productive wells are those that

16

---

Table of Contents

produce, or are capable of producing, commercial quantities of hydrocarbons, regardless of whether they produce a reasonable rate of return. Dry wells are those that prove to be incapable of producing hydrocarbons in sufficient quantities to justify completion.

	For the Year Ended December 31,					
	2014 (1)		2013 (2)		2012 (2)	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Exploratory Wells:						
Productive (3)	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.3	3.0	2.5
Dry	0.0	0.0	—	—	—	—
Total Exploratory	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.3	3.0	2.5
Development Wells:						
Productive (3)	65.0	49.1	43.0	30.8	7.0	7.0
Dry	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.4	—	—
Total Development	65.0	49.1	44.0	31.2	7.0	7.0
Total Wells:						
Productive (3)	65.0	49.1	45.0	32.1	10.0	9.5
Dry	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.4	—	—
Total	65.0	49.1	46.0	32.5	10.0	9.5

- (1) Drilling activities for the year ended December 31, 2014 include the results of Forest for the period beginning December 16, 2014 and ending December 31, 2014. For the period from January 1, 2014 through December 15, 2014, Forest drilled a total of 18 gross (16.3 net) productive wells.
- (2) The drilling activities for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 relate only to those associated with the Sabine O&G Properties.
- (3) Although a well may be classified as productive upon completion, future changes in oil and natural gas prices, operating costs and production may result in the well becoming uneconomical, particularly exploratory wells where there is no production history.

## Developed and Undeveloped Acreage

Our properties include interests in developed and undeveloped oil and natural gas acreage in the regions set forth in the table below. Also set forth in the table below, is the percentage of acreage held by production (“HBP”). These interests generally take the form of working interests in oil and natural gas leases or licenses that have varying terms. The following table presents a summary of our acreage interests as of December 31, 2014:

	Developed acreage		Undeveloped acreage		Total acreage		HBP	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	%	%
East Texas	216,080	179,386	62,413	39,769	278,493	219,155	82	%
South Texas	60,221	34,675	27,832	24,067	88,053	58,742	59	%
North Texas	8,140	4,621	43,242	32,231	51,382	36,852	13	%
Total Acreage	284,441	218,682	133,487	96,067	417,928	314,749	82	%

Our inventory of undeveloped oil and natural gas leaseholds is comprised of three to five year term leases and leases that are held by production beyond their primary term. In most cases, the terms of the undeveloped leases can be extended by paying delay rentals or by producing oil and natural gas reserves that are discovered under those leases, however undeveloped acreage could expire subject to development requirements.

Table of Contents

## Undeveloped Acreage Expirations

The following table sets forth the number of total net undeveloped acres as of December 31, 2014 that will expire in 2015, 2016 and 2017 unless production is established within the spacing units covering the acreage prior to the expiration dates or unless such leasehold rights are extended or renewed.

	2015	2016	2017	Total
East Texas(1)	17,889	7,454	1,566	26,909
South Texas	27,250	13,454	4,836	45,540
North Texas	4,281	2,040	—	6,321
Total	49,420	22,948	6,402	78,770

## Production, Revenues and Price History

Oil and natural gas are commodities. The prices we receive for the oil, natural gas and NGLs we produce are largely a function of market supply and demand. We are not committed to provide any material fixed or determinable quantities of oil or natural gas under any existing contracts or agreements. Demand is impacted by general economic conditions, weather and other seasonal conditions, including hurricanes and tropical storms. Over or under supply of oil or natural gas can result in substantial price volatility. Historically, commodity prices have been volatile and we expect that volatility to continue in the future. Oil and natural gas prices declined significantly in the last half of 2014 with continued weakness in 2015. A further decline or sustained depression in oil or natural gas prices could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, access to capital and ability to meet our financial commitments and other obligations. For additional information on commodity price volatility and related risks, see “Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors.” For a description of our working capital policy, see “Part II, Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Working Capital.” See “Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” for information regarding our profits, losses and total assets relating to our production, revenues and price history.



Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding oil and natural gas production, revenues and realized prices and production costs for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. For additional information on price calculations, see information set forth in “Part II, Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2014 (1)	2013 (2)	2012 (2)
Oil, NGLs and natural gas sales by product (in thousands):			
Oil	\$ 181,313	\$ 132,513	\$ 30,343
NGL	62,420	59,772	36,957
Natural gas	218,630	161,938	110,122
Total	\$ 462,363	\$ 354,223	\$ 177,422
Production data:			
Oil (MBbl)	2,169.52	1,403.62	317.07
NGL (MBbl))	2,120.56	1,842.47	931.26
Natural gas (Bcf)	49.22	44.29	41.12
Combined (Bcfe) (3)	74.96	63.77	48.61
Average prices before effects of economic hedges (4):			
Oil (per Bbl)	\$ 83.57	\$ 94.41	\$ 95.70
NGL (per Bbl)	\$ 29.44	\$ 32.44	\$ 39.68
Natural gas (per Mcf)	\$ 4.44	\$ 3.66	\$ 2.68
Combined (per Mcfe) (3)	\$ 6.17	\$ 5.55	\$ 3.65
Average realized prices after effects of economic hedges (4):			
Oil (per Bbl)	\$ 81.79	\$ 90.59	\$ 95.79
NGL (per Bbl)	\$ 29.44	\$ 32.44	\$ 39.68
Natural gas (per Mcf)	\$ 4.30	\$ 4.82	\$ 5.17
Combined (per Mcfe) (3)	\$ 6.02	\$ 6.28	\$ 5.81
Average costs (per Mcfe) (3):			
Lease operating expenses	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.90
Marketing, gathering, transportation and other	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.36
Production and ad valorem taxes	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.09
General and administrative expenses	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.44
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	\$ 2.53	\$ 2.15	\$ 1.88

- 
- (1) Production data for the year ended December 31, 2014 include the results of Forest for the period beginning December 16, 2014 and ending December 31, 2014.
- (2) Production data for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 relate only to those associated with the Sabine O&G Properties.
- (3) Oil and NGL production was converted at 6 Mcf per Bbl to calculate combined production and per Mcfe amounts.
- (4) Average prices shown in the table reflect prices both before and after the effects of our realized commodity derivative transactions. Our calculation of such effects includes realized gains or losses on cash settlements for commodity derivative transactions.

## Risk Management

We have designed a risk management strategy using derivative instruments in an attempt to provide partial protection against declines in oil and natural gas prices by reducing the risk of price volatility and the effect it could have on our operations and our ability to finance our capital budget and operations. Our decision on the quantity and price at which we choose to hedge our production is based on our view of existing and forecasted production volumes, budgeted drilling projects and current and future market conditions. While there are many different types of derivatives available, we typically use oil and natural gas price collars and swap agreements to attempt to manage price risk more effectively. The collar agreements are put and call options used to establish floor and ceiling commodity prices for a fixed volume of production during a certain time period. Periodically, we may pay a fixed premium to increase the floor price above the existing market value at the time we enter into the arrangement. All collar agreements provide for payments to

## Table of Contents

counterparties if the index price exceeds the ceiling and payments from the counterparties if the index price is below the floor. The price swaps call for payments to, or receipts from, counterparties based on whether the market price of oil and natural gas for the period is greater or less than the fixed price established for that period when the swap is put in place. Additionally, we have purchased natural gas puts and sold oil and natural gas calls. For the oil and natural gas calls, the counterparty has the option to purchase a set volume of the contracted commodity at a contracted price on a contracted date in the future. For the purchased and sold natural gas puts, the counterparty (sold) or we (purchased) have the option to sell a contracted volume of the commodity at a contracted price on a contracted date in future.

We enter into derivatives arrangements only with counterparties within the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2014, a \$2,000,000,000 reserve based revolving credit facility with an initial borrowing base of \$1,000,000,000 (the “New Revolving Credit Facility”), which amended and restated the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 28, 2009, maturing on April 7, 2016, by and among Sabine O&G, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and the lenders and other parties party thereto (the “Former Revolving Credit Facility”). The New Revolving Credit Facility allows us to hedge up to 100% of current production for 24 months, 75% of current production for months 25 through 36, and 50% of current production for months 37 through 60. For this purpose, “current production” refers to our latest monthly production total. For additional information on our hedging position, see “Part II, Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Commodity Hedging Activities.”

## Competitive Conditions in the Business

The oil and natural gas industry is highly competitive and we compete with a substantial number of other companies that have greater financial and other resources than we do. Many of these companies explore for, produce and market oil and natural gas, as well as carry on refining operations and market the resultant products on a worldwide basis. The primary areas in which we encounter substantial competition are in locating and acquiring desirable leasehold acreage for our drilling and development operations, locating and acquiring attractive producing oil and natural gas properties, obtaining sufficient rig availability, obtaining purchasers and transporters of the oil and natural gas we produce and hiring and retaining key employees. Our larger competitors may be able to pay more for productive oil and natural gas properties and exploratory prospects or define, evaluate, bid for and purchase a greater number of properties and prospects than our financial or human resources permit and may be able to expend greater resources to attract and maintain industry personnel. In addition, these companies may have a greater ability to continue exploration activities during periods of low oil and natural gas market prices. Also, our level of indebtedness may adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund operations and limit our ability to fund future capital expenditures and working capital, to engage in future acquisitions or development activities, or to otherwise realize the value of our assets and opportunities fully because of the need to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments of interest and principal on our debt or to comply with any restrictive terms of our debt. This could limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business or industry in which we operate, placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors who are less highly leveraged and who therefore may be able to take advantage of opportunities that our leverage prevents us from exploring.

There is also competition between oil and natural gas producers and other industries producing energy and fuel. Furthermore, competitive conditions may be substantially affected by various forms of energy legislation and/or regulation considered from time to time by the governments of the United States and the jurisdictions in which we operate. It is not possible to predict the nature of any such legislation or regulation which may ultimately be adopted or its effects upon our future operations. Such laws and regulations may substantially increase the costs of exploring for, developing or producing oil and natural gas and may prevent or delay the commencement or continuation of a given operation. Our larger competitors may be able to absorb the burden of existing, and any changes to, federal, state and local laws and regulations more easily than we can, which would adversely affect our competitive position.

Marketing and Significant Customers

We market the majority of the natural gas residue, crude oil, and natural gas liquids from properties we operate for both our account and the account of the other working interest owners in these properties.

20

---

## Table of Contents

In East Texas, we have approximately 85% of our NGL's under three to five year gathering and processing contracts to a variety of midstream companies. The remainder of our NGL's are being sold under gathering and processing contracts which are past their primary term with a 30 day evergreen. We sell approximately 60% of our residue under NAESB contracts on a year to year term ending October 31, 2015 at competitive market prices. The remainder of the residue is sold in conjunction with the NGL sale to the midstream companies processing our NGL's. In East Texas, our oil is sold to one purchaser under a short-term contract which is month-to-month.

In South Texas, we sell our Sugarkane NGL's under two five-year gathering and processing contracts. Our N. Shiner NGL's are sold under a five year gas services agreement. Our N. Shiner NGL's are sold under a five year gathering and processing agreement. We sell all our STX residue under NAESB contracts on a year-to-year term ending October 31, 2015. In South Texas, our oil is sold to various purchasers under short-term contracts which are month-to-month.

In North Texas, we sell our natural gas residue and NGLs production under a long-term contract to one midstream company, through an acreage dedication. Our oil is sold under a three year contract which allows us to offtake to a dedicated lease automatic custody transfer unit.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, purchases by four companies exceeded 10% of our total oil, NGLs and natural gas sales. Purchases by Enbridge Pipelines, NGL Crude Logistics LLC, Laclede Energy and Eastex Crude Company accounted for approximately 13%, 12%, 12% and 10% of our oil, NGLs and natural gas sales, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2013, purchases by three companies exceeded 10% of our total oil, NGLs and natural gas sales. Purchases by Eastex Crude Company, Enbridge Pipeline (East Texas) LP and CP Energy LLC accounted for approximately 19%, 16% and 11% of our oil, NGLs and natural gas sales, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2012, purchases by four companies exceeded 10% of our total oil, NGLs and natural gas sales. Purchases by Enbridge Pipeline (East Texas) LP, Shell Trading (US) Company, Texla Energy Management LLC and Eastex Crude Company accounted for approximately 17%, 14%, 13% and 12% of our oil, NGLs and natural gas sales, respectively. We believe that the loss of any of the purchasers above would not result in a material adverse effect on our ability to competitively market future oil and natural gas production.

## Seasonality of Business

Weather conditions affect the demand for, and prices of, oil and natural gas and can also delay drilling activities, disrupting our overall business plans. Demand for natural gas is typically higher in the fourth and first quarters resulting in higher natural gas prices. Due to these seasonal fluctuations, results of operations for individual quarterly periods may not be indicative of the results that may be realized on an annual basis.

## Regulation of the Oil and Natural Gas Industry

Our operations are substantially affected by federal, state and local laws and regulations. In particular, natural gas production and related operations are, or have been, subject to price controls, taxes and numerous other laws and regulations. All of the jurisdictions in which we own or operate producing oil and natural gas properties have statutory provisions regulating the exploration for and production of oil and natural gas, including provisions related to permits for the drilling of wells, bonding requirements to drill or operate wells, the location of wells, the method of drilling and casing wells, the surface use and restoration of properties upon which wells are drilled, sourcing and disposal of water used in the drilling and completion process, and the abandonment of wells. Our operations are also subject to various conservation laws and regulations. These include the regulation of the size of drilling and spacing units or proration units, the number of wells which may be drilled in an area, and the unitization or pooling of crude natural gas wells, as well as regulations that generally prohibit the venting or flaring of natural gas, and impose certain requirements regarding the ratable or fair apportionment of production from fields and individual wells.

Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations can result in substantial penalties. The regulatory burden on the industry increases the cost of doing business and affects profitability. Although we believe we are in substantial compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, such laws and regulations are frequently amended or reinterpreted. Therefore, we are unable to predict the future costs or impact of compliance. Additional proposals and proceedings that

21

---

## Table of Contents

affect the natural gas industry are regularly considered by Congress, the states, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”), and the courts. We cannot predict when or whether any such proposals may become effective.

We believe that continued substantial compliance with existing requirements will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, current regulatory requirements may change, currently unforeseen environmental incidents may occur, or past non-compliance with environmental laws or regulations may be discovered.

### Regulation of Production

The production of oil and natural gas is subject to regulation under a wide range of local, state and federal statutes, rules, orders and regulations. Federal, state and local statutes and regulations require permits for drilling operations, drilling bonds and reports concerning operations. All of the states in which we own and operate properties have regulations governing conservation matters, including provisions for the unitization or pooling of oil and natural gas properties, the establishment of maximum allowable rates of production from oil and natural gas wells, the regulation of well spacing, and plugging and abandonment of wells. The effect of these regulations is to limit the amount of oil and natural gas that we can produce from our wells and to limit the number of wells or the locations at which we can drill, although we can apply for exceptions to such regulations or to have reductions in well spacing. Moreover, each state generally imposes a production or severance tax with respect to the production and sale of oil, natural gas and NGLs within its jurisdiction.

The failure to comply with these rules and regulations can result in substantial penalties. Our competitors in the oil and natural gas industry are subject to the same regulatory requirements and restrictions that affect our operations.

### Regulation of Transportation of Oil

Sales of crude oil, condensate and NGLs are not currently regulated and are made at negotiated prices. Nevertheless, Congress could enact price controls in the future.

Our sales of crude oil are affected by the availability, terms and cost of transportation. The transportation of oil by common carrier pipelines is also subject to rate and access regulation. FERC regulates interstate oil pipeline transportation rates under the Interstate Commerce Act. In general, interstate oil pipeline rates must be cost-based, although settlement rates agreed to by all shippers are permitted and market-based rates may be permitted in certain circumstances. Effective January 1, 1995, FERC implemented regulations establishing an indexing system (based on inflation) for transportation rates for oil pipelines that allows a pipeline to increase its rates annually up to a prescribed ceiling, without making a cost of service filing. Every five years, FERC reviews the appropriateness of the index level in relation to changes in industry costs. Intrastate oil pipeline transportation rates are subject to regulation by state regulatory commissions. The basis for intrastate oil pipeline regulation, and the degree of regulatory oversight and scrutiny given to intrastate oil pipeline rates, varies from state to state. Insofar as effective interstate and intrastate rates are equally applicable to all comparable shippers, we believe that the regulation of oil transportation rates will not affect our operations in any way that is of material difference from those of our competitors who are similarly situated.

Further, interstate and intrastate common carrier oil pipelines must provide service on a non-discriminatory basis. Under this open access standard, common carriers must offer service to all similarly situated shippers requesting service on the same terms and under the same rates. When oil pipelines operate at full capacity, access is generally governed by prorationing provisions set forth in the pipelines’ published tariffs. Accordingly, we believe that access to oil pipeline transportation services generally will be available to us to the same extent as to our similarly situated competitors.

Regulation of Transportation and Sales of Natural Gas

Historically, the transportation and sale for resale of natural gas in interstate commerce have been regulated by agencies of the U.S. federal government, primarily FERC. FERC regulates interstate natural gas transportation rates and service conditions, which affects the marketing of natural gas that we produce, as well as the revenues we receive for sales of our natural gas. Since 1985, FERC has endeavored to make natural gas transportation more accessible to natural gas buyers and sellers on an open and non-discriminatory basis. The open access policies implemented by FERC since the mid-1980s serve to enhance the competitive structure of the interstate natural gas pipeline industry and create a



## Table of Contents

regulatory framework that puts natural gas sellers into direct contractual relations with natural gas buyers by, among other things, ensuring that the sale of natural gas is unbundled from the sale of transportation and storage services. In the past, the federal government has regulated the prices at which natural gas could be sold. While sales by producers of natural gas can currently be made at market prices, Congress could reenact price controls in the future.

Deregulation of wellhead natural gas sales began with the enactment of the Natural Gas Policy Act and culminated in adoption of the Natural Gas Wellhead Decontrol Act which removed controls affecting wellhead sales of natural gas effective January 1, 1993. The transportation and sale for resale of natural gas in interstate commerce is regulated primarily under the Natural Gas Act (the “NGA”) and by regulations and orders promulgated under the NGA by FERC. In certain limited circumstances, intrastate transportation and wholesale sales of natural gas may also be affected directly or indirectly by laws enacted by Congress and by FERC regulations.

We cannot accurately predict how FERC’s actions will impact competition in markets in which our natural gas is sold. Additional proposals and proceedings that might affect the natural gas industry are regularly pending before FERC and the courts, as the natural gas industry historically has been very heavily regulated. Therefore, we cannot provide any assurance that any of the measures established by FERC will continue in effect or that they will not be materially altered, potentially on short notice. However, we do not believe that any action taken will affect us in a way that materially differs from the way it affects other natural gas producers.

The price at which we sell natural gas is not currently subject to federal rate regulation and, for the most part, is not subject to state regulation. However, with regard to our physical sales of energy commodities, we are required to observe anti-market manipulation laws and related regulations enforced by FERC and/or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the “CFTC”) and the Federal Trade Commission. Should we violate the anti-market manipulation laws and regulations, we could also be subject to related third party damage claims by, among others, sellers, royalty owners and taxing authorities.

Gathering services, which occur upstream of FERC jurisdictional transmission services, are regulated by the states onshore and in state waters. Although FERC has set forth a general test for determining whether facilities perform a non-jurisdictional gathering function or a jurisdictional transmission function, FERC’s determinations as to the classification of facilities is done on a case by case basis. State regulation of natural gas gathering facilities generally includes various safety, environmental and, in some circumstances, nondiscriminatory take requirements. Although such regulation has not generally been affirmatively applied by state agencies, natural gas gathering may receive greater regulatory scrutiny in the future.

Intrastate natural gas transportation and facilities are also subject to regulation by state regulatory agencies, and certain transportation services provided by intrastate pipelines are also regulated by FERC. The basis for intrastate regulation of natural gas transportation and the degree of regulatory oversight and scrutiny given to intrastate natural gas pipeline rates and services varies from state to state. Insofar as such regulation within a particular state will generally affect all intrastate natural gas shippers within the state on a comparable basis, we believe that the regulation of similarly situated intrastate natural gas transportation in any states in which we operate and ship natural gas on an intrastate basis will not affect our operations in any way that is of material difference from those of our competitors. Like the regulation of interstate transportation rates, the regulation of intrastate transportation rates affects the marketing of natural gas that we produce, as well as the revenues we receive for sales of our natural gas.

### Regulation of Pipeline Safety

Natural gas and crude oil pipelines are subject to regulation by the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) of the U.S. Department of Transportation under the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 1968, as amended (“NGPSA”), with respect to natural gas, and the Hazardous Liquids Pipeline Safety Act of 1979, as

amended (“HLPSA”), with respect to crude oil, NGLs and condensates. Both the NGPSA and the HLPSA were amended by the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 (“PSI Act”) and the Pipeline Inspection, Protection, Enforcement, and Safety Act of 2006 (“PIPES Act”). The NGPSA and HLPSA, as amended, govern the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of natural gas, crude oil, NGL and condensate pipeline facilities. Pursuant to these acts, PHMSA has promulgated regulations governing, among other things, pipeline wall thickness, design pressures, maximum operating pressures, pipeline patrols and leak surveys, minimum depth requirements, and

## Table of Contents

emergency procedures, as well as other matters intended to ensure adequate protection for the public and to prevent accidents and failures. Additionally, PHMSA has promulgated regulations requiring pipeline operators to develop and implement integrity management programs for certain gas and hazardous liquid pipelines that, in the event of a pipeline leak or rupture, could affect “high consequence areas,” which are areas where a release could have the most significant adverse consequences, including high-population areas, certain drinking water sources and unusually sensitive ecological areas. At present, our operations are not subject to PHMSA’s integrity management regulations. We believe that our pipeline operations are in substantial compliance with applicable NGPSA and HLPSA requirements; however, due to the possibility of new or amended laws and regulations or reinterpretation of existing laws and regulations, future compliance with the NGPSA and HLPSA could result in increased costs.

We, or the entities in which we own an interest, inspect our pipelines regularly in compliance with state and federal maintenance requirements. Nonetheless, the adoption of new or amended regulations by PHMSA or the states that result in more stringent or costly pipeline integrity management or safety standards could have a significant adverse effect on us and similarly situated operators. For instance, in August 2011, PHMSA published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking and sought public comment on a number of proposed changes to regulations governing the safety of gas transmission pipelines and gathering lines, including, for example, revising the definitions of “high consequence areas” and “gathering lines” and strengthening integrity management requirements as they apply to existing regulated operators and to currently exempt operators should certain exemptions be removed. Most recently, in an August 2014 report to Congress from the U.S. Government Accountability Office (“GAO”), the GAO acknowledged PHMSA’s continued assessment of the safety risks posed by gathering lines and recommended that PHMSA move forward with rulemaking to address larger-diameter, higher-pressure gathering lines, including subjecting such pipelines to emergency response planning requirements that currently do not apply. Our gathering line assets only include small diameter, low-pressure pipelines. Based on current regulatory initiatives and statements made by PHMSA, we do not expect our gathering assets to become regulated as a result of any future rulemakings related to gathering lines. However, we cannot guarantee that PHMSA will not attempt to extend its jurisdiction over our assets at some point in the future.

## Environmental Regulation

Our operations are subject to stringent federal, state and local laws regulating the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to health and safety or the protection of the environment. Numerous governmental agencies, such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) and analogous state agencies, issue regulations to implement and enforce these laws, which often require difficult and costly compliance measures. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of substantial administrative, civil and criminal penalties, as well as the issuance of injunctions limiting or prohibiting our activities. In addition, some laws and regulations relating to protection of the environment may, in certain circumstances, impose strict liability for environmental contamination, rendering a person liable for environmental damages and cleanup costs without regard to negligence or fault on the part of that person. Adherence to these regulatory requirements increases our cost of doing business and consequently affects our profitability.

Environmental regulatory programs typically regulate the permitting, construction and operations of a facility. Many factors can materially impact the ability to secure an environmental construction or operation permit. Enforcement actions brought by a regulatory agency can include significant civil penalties for regulatory violations regardless of intent and, under some circumstances, a regulatory agency can request an injunction prohibiting operations. In addition, in some cases private individuals can bring causes of action in court regarding compliance with environmental laws and regulations. New programs and changes in existing regulatory programs are anticipated, some of which include regulations related to the management of naturally occurring radioactive materials, oil and natural gas exploration and production, waste management, and underground injection of waste material and the regulation of hydraulic fracturing. Environmental laws and regulations have been subject to frequent changes over the years, and

the imposition of more stringent requirements could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. From time to time, we may be involved in lawsuits related to alleged pollution or environmental damage. In addition, following the closing of the Combination, we inherited potential liability for several legacy lawsuits filed against Forest. Adverse judgments against us related to these matters could have a material impact on our business. Please see “Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings” for more information.

## Table of Contents

The following is a summary of select existing environmental and occupational health and safety laws, as amended from time to time, to which our business operations are subject and for which compliance may have a material adverse impact on our capital expenditures, results of operations or financial position.

### Hazardous Substances and Wastes

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”) and comparable state statutes and their implementing regulations, regulate the generation, storage, treatment, transportation, disposal and cleanup of certain hazardous and non-hazardous solid wastes. Under the auspices of the EPA, most states administer some or all of the provisions of RCRA, sometimes in conjunction with their own, more stringent requirements. Drilling fluids, produced waters and most of the other wastes associated with the exploration, development and production of oil or natural gas, if properly handled, are exempt from regulation as hazardous waste under Subtitle C of RCRA. These wastes, instead, are regulated under RCRA’s less stringent nonhazardous solid waste provisions, state laws or other laws. However, it is possible that certain oil and natural gas exploration, development and production wastes now classified by regulatory agencies as nonhazardous solid wastes could be classified as hazardous wastes in the future. A loss of the RCRA hazardous waste exclusion for drilling fluids, produced waters and related wastes could result in an increase in our costs to manage and dispose of generated wastes, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position. In addition, in the course of our operations, we generate ordinary industrial wastes, such as paint wastes, waste solvents and waste oils that may become regulated as hazardous wastes if such wastes have hazardous characteristics.

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), also known as the Superfund law and comparable state laws impose liability, without regard to fault or legality of conduct, on classes of persons considered to be responsible for the release of certain “hazardous substances” into the environment. These persons can include the current and past owner or operator of the site where the release occurred and anyone who disposed or arranged for the disposal of a hazardous substance released at the site. Under CERCLA, such persons may be subject to joint and several, strict liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources and for the costs of certain health studies. CERCLA also authorizes the EPA and, in some instances, third parties to act in response to threats to the public health or the environment and to seek to recover from the responsible classes of persons the costs they incur. In addition, neighboring landowners and other third-parties may file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the hazardous substances released into the environment. Although petroleum, including crude oil or any fraction thereof, is not a CERCLA “hazardous substance,” we generate materials in the course of our operations that may be regulated as CERCLA hazardous substances if such wastes are determined to have hazardous characteristics.

We currently own, lease, operate and/or have acquired numerous properties that have been used for oil and natural gas exploration and production activities for many years. Although we believe that we have utilized operating and waste disposal practices that were standard in the industry at the time, hazardous substances, wastes, or petroleum hydrocarbons may have been released on, under or from the properties owned or leased by us, or on, under or from other locations, including off-site locations, where such substances have been taken for recycling or disposal. In addition, some of our properties have been operated by third parties or by previous owners or operators whose treatment and disposal of hazardous substances, wastes, or petroleum hydrocarbons was not under our control. These properties and the substances disposed or released on, under or from them may be subject to CERCLA, RCRA and analogous state laws. Under such laws, we could be required to undertake response or corrective measures, which could include removal of previously disposed substances and wastes, cleanup of contaminated property or performance of remedial plugging or pit closure operations to prevent future contamination.

### Water Discharges and Releases

Our operations are also subject to the Clean Water Act (the “CWA”) and analogous state laws. The CWA and similar state laws regulate discharges of wastewater, oil, and other pollutants to certain surface water bodies, such as lakes, rivers, wetlands, and streams. Failure to obtain permits for such discharges could result in civil and criminal penalties, orders to cease such discharges, and costs to remediate and pay natural resources damages. In addition, spill prevention, control and countermeasure plan requirements imposed under the CWA require appropriate containment berms and similar structures to help prevent the contamination of navigable waters in the event of a petroleum hydrocarbon tank spill, rupture or leak. The CWA and analogous state laws also require individual permits or coverage

## Table of Contents

under general permits for discharges of storm water runoff from certain types of facilities, and also prohibits the discharge of dredge and fill material in regulated waters, including wetlands, unless authorized by permit. We believe that we will be able to obtain, or be included under, these permits, where necessary, and would be able to make whatever minor modifications to existing facilities and operations are necessary to comply with CWA requirements and that such modifications would not have a material effect on us.

### Hydraulic Fracturing

Hydraulic fracturing is an essential and common practice in the oil and natural gas industry used to stimulate production of natural gas and/or oil from dense subsurface rock formations. Hydraulic fracturing involves using water, sand, and certain chemicals to fracture the hydrocarbon-bearing rock formation to allow flow of hydrocarbons into the wellbore. We engage third parties to provide hydraulic fracturing or other well stimulation services to us in connection with many of the wells for which we are the operator. While hydraulic fracturing has historically been regulated by state oil and natural gas commissions, the EPA has asserted federal regulatory authority over certain hydraulic-fracturing activities under the federal Safe Drinking Water Act (“SDWA”) involving the use of diesel fuels and published revised permitting guidance in February 2014 addressing the performance of such activities using diesel fuels. Also, in May 2014, the EPA published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking under the Toxic Substances Control Act seeking stakeholder input on development of a requirement regarding disclosure of information on chemical substances and mixtures used in hydraulic fracturing. The public comment period on the EPA’s advance notice ended in September 2014, and the resultant proposed rule is expected in 2015.

On May 24, 2013, the federal Bureau of Land Management published a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking governing hydraulic fracturing on federal and Indian lands that replaces a prior draft of proposed rulemaking issued by the agency in May 2012. The revised proposed rule requires public disclosure of chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing on federal and Indian lands, confirmation that wells used in fracturing operations meet appropriate construction standards, and development of appropriate plans for managing flowback water that returns to the surface; however, to date, no further action has been taken.

There are also certain governmental reviews either underway or being proposed that focus on environmental aspects of hydraulic-fracturing practices. The White House Council on Environmental Quality is coordinating an administration-wide review of hydraulic-fracturing practices. The EPA has commenced a study of the potential environmental effects of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water and groundwater. A draft report concerning the potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources is expected to be released sometime in the first half of 2015. Moreover, the EPA has announced that it will develop effluent limitations for the treatment and discharge of wastewater resulting from hydraulic fracturing activities by early 2015. Other governmental agencies, including the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Department of the Interior, have evaluated or are evaluating various other aspects of hydraulic fracturing. These ongoing or proposed studies, depending on their degree of pursuit and any meaningful results obtained, could spur initiatives to further regulate hydraulic fracturing under the federal SDWA or other regulatory mechanisms.

### Underground Injection Wells

Our oil and natural gas exploration and production operations generate produced water, drilling muds, and other waste streams, some of which may be disposed via injection in underground wells situated in non-producing subsurface formations. The disposal of oil and natural gas wastes into underground injection wells are subject to the SDWA’s Underground Injection Control (“UIC”) program and analogous state programs. EPA directly administers the UIC program in some states and in others it delegates administration to the state. Permits must be obtained before drilling salt water disposal wells, and casing integrity monitoring must be conducted periodically to ensure the casing is not leaking saltwater to groundwater. Contamination of groundwater by oil and natural gas drilling, production, and

related operations may result in fines, penalties, and remediation costs, among other sanctions and liabilities under the SDWA and state laws. In addition, third party claims may be filed by landowners and other parties claiming damages for alternative water supplies, property damages, and bodily injury. In response to recent seismic events near underground injection wells used for the disposal of oil and gas-related wastewaters, federal and some state agencies have begun investigating whether such wells have caused increased seismic activity, and some states have shut down or imposed moratoria on the use of such injection wells. In response to these concerns, regulators in some states are considering additional requirements related to seismic safety. For example, the Texas Railroad Commission (“RRC”) on October 28,



## Table of Contents

2014, adopted new oil and gas permit rules for wells used to dispose of saltwater and other fluids resulting from the production of oil and natural gas in order to address these seismic activity concerns within the state. Among other things, the rules require companies seeking permits for disposal wells to provide seismic activity data in permit applications, provide for more frequent monitoring and reporting for certain wells, and allow the RRC to modify, suspend, or terminate permits on grounds that a disposal well is likely to be, or determined to be, causing seismic activity. If new regulatory initiatives are implemented that restrict or prohibit the use of underground injection wells in areas where we rely upon the use of such wells in our operations, our costs to operate may significantly increase and our ability to continue production may be delayed or limited, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position.

### Air Emissions

The federal Clean Air Act (the “CAA”) and comparable state laws regulate emissions of various air pollutants through air emissions permitting programs and the imposition of other requirements. In addition, the EPA has developed and continues to develop stringent regulations governing emissions of toxic air pollutants at specified sources. Federal and state regulatory agencies can impose administrative, civil and criminal penalties for non-compliance with air permits or other requirements of the CAA and associated state laws and regulations. Our operations are in certain circumstances and locations be subject to permitting requirements and restrictions under these statutes for emissions of air pollutants.

Over the next several years, we may be required to incur certain capital expenditures for air pollution control equipment or other air emissions related issues. For example, in January 2013, the EPA published revised regulations under the CAA to control emissions of hazardous air pollutants from existing stationary reciprocal internal combustion engines. The revised rule requires management practices for all covered engines and requires the installation of oxidation catalysts or non-selective catalytic reduction equipment on larger equipment at sites that are not deemed “remote” under the rule. We believe our operations are in substantial compliance with the requirements of this rule.

In addition, the EPA has issued final rules under the Clean Air Act that subject oil and natural gas production, processing, transmission, and storage operations to regulation under the New Source Performance Standards (“NSPS”) and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants programs. These rules restrict volatile organic compound emissions from three subcategories of fractured and refractured gas wells for which well completion operations are conducted: wildcat (exploratory) and delineation gas wells; low reservoir pressure non-wildcat and non-delineation gas wells; and all “other” fractured and refractured gas wells. All three subcategories of wells must route flow back emissions to a gathering line or be captured and combusted using a combustion device such as a flare. “Other” wells, however, must use reduced emission completions, also known as “green completions,” with or without combustion devices. The capture of flowback emissions is required only after the facility’s processing system can be brought to pressure. These regulations also establish specific requirements regarding emissions from production-related wet seal and reciprocating compressors, pneumatic controllers, and storage vessels. The EPA received numerous requests for reconsideration of these rules, and court challenges to the rules were also filed. The EPA has issued, and will likely continue to issue, revised rules responsive to some of these requests. For example, on December 19, 2014, the EPA finalized amendments and clarifications to the NSPS rules, including, for example, updates and clarifications to requirements related to well completion activities, storage tanks, and leak detection. To date, our costs to comply with the NSPS have not been material. In addition, the EPA has announced that it will issue new regulations in the summer of 2015 to reduce methane emissions from new and modified sources in the oil and natural gas sector by up to 45 percent below 2012 levels by 2025. These standards, as well as any future laws and their implementing regulations, may require us to obtain pre-approval for the expansion or modification of existing facilities or the construction of new facilities, or utilize specific equipment or technologies to control emissions. Compliance with these requirements could increase our costs of development and production, which costs could be

significant.

#### Climate Change

The EPA has determined that emissions of greenhouses gases (“GHGs”) present an endangerment to public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are, according to the EPA, contributing to the warming of the earth’s atmosphere and other climatic changes. Based on these findings, the EPA has adopted various regulations regarding GHGs under existing provisions of the CAA. For example, the EPA has adopted rules requiring the monitoring and reporting of GHG emissions from specified sources in the United States, including, among others, certain oil and

27

---

## Table of Contents

natural gas production facilities on an annual basis, which includes certain of our operations. Further, the EPA recently proposed a rule that would require reporting of GHG emissions from gathering and boosting systems, oil well completions and workovers using hydraulic fracturing, and blowdowns of natural gas transmission pipelines. The expansion of the EPA's GHG reporting program could result in increased compliance costs.

While Congress has from time to time considered legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs, there has not been significant activity in the form of adopted legislation to reduce GHG emissions at the federal level in recent years. In the absence of such federal climate legislation, a number of state and regional efforts have emerged that are aimed at tracking and/or reducing GHG emissions by means of cap and trade programs that typically require major sources of GHG emissions, such as electric power plants, to acquire and surrender emission allowances in return for emitting those GHGs. Although it is not possible at this time to predict how legislation or new regulations that may be adopted to address GHG emissions would impact our business, any such future laws and regulations that require reporting of GHGs or otherwise limit emissions of GHGs from our equipment and operations could require us to incur costs to monitor and report on GHG emissions or reduce emissions of GHGs associated with our operations, and such requirements also could adversely affect demand for the oil and natural gas that we produce. Finally, it should be noted that some scientists have concluded that increasing concentrations of GHGs in the earth's atmosphere may produce climate changes that have significant physical effects, such as increased frequency and severity of storms, droughts and floods and other climatic events. If any such effects were to occur, they could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

### Threatened and Endangered Species

Various state and federal statutes prohibit certain actions that adversely affect endangered or threatened species and their habitat, including migratory birds. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ("FWS") may designate critical habitat and suitable habitat areas that it believes are necessary for survival of threatened or endangered species. A critical habitat or suitable habitat designation could result in further material restrictions to federal land use and private land use and could delay or prohibit land access or development. Moreover, as a result of a settlement approved by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in September 2011, the FWS is required to make a determination on listing of more than 250 species as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act ("ESA") by no later than completion of the agency's 2017 fiscal year. For example, in March 2014, FWS listed the lesser prairie chicken as a threatened species under the ESA. The designation of previously unprotected species as threatened or endangered in areas where underlying property operations are conducted could cause us to incur increased costs arising from species protection measures or could result in limitations on our exploration and production activities that could have an adverse impact on our ability to develop and produce reserves.

### OSHA

We are subject to the requirements of the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act, as amended ("OSHA") and comparable state statutes whose purpose is to protect the health and safety of workers. In addition, the OSHA hazard communication standard, the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act and comparable state statutes and any implementing regulations require that we organize and/or disclose information about hazardous materials used or produced in our operations and that this information be provided to employees, state and local governmental authorities and citizens. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with all applicable laws and regulations relating to worker health and safety.

### Related Permits and Authorizations

Many environmental laws require us to obtain permits or other authorizations from state and/or federal agencies before initiating certain drilling, construction, production, operation, or other oil and natural gas activities, and to

maintain these permits and compliance with their requirements for on-going operations. These permits are generally subject to protest, appeal, or litigation, which can in certain cases delay or halt projects and cease production or operation of wells, pipelines, and other operations.

28

---

## Table of Contents

### Related Insurance

We maintain an insurance program designed to provide coverage for our property and casualty exposures. Our risk management program provides coverage types, limits, and deductibles commensurate with companies of comparable size and with similar risk profiles. As is common in the oil and natural gas industry, we do not insure fully against all risks associated with our business either because such insurance is not available or because we believe the premium costs are prohibitive. A loss not fully covered by insurance could have a materially adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations. There can be no assurance that the insurance coverage that we maintain will be sufficient to cover every claim made against us in the future. As hydraulic fracturing is a key component of our operational strategy, we maintain Claims Made Pollution Liability Insurance, which provides coverage for long-term gradual seepage pollution events. A loss in connection with our oil and natural gas operations could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations to the extent that the insurance coverage provided under our policies is inadequate to cover any such loss.

### Employees

As of December 31, 2014, we had 289 full-time employees. We hire independent contractors on an as needed basis. We have no collective bargaining agreements with our employees. We believe that our employee relationships are satisfactory.

### Geographical Data

We operate in one industry segment, oil and gas exploration and production, and have one reportable geographical business segment, the United States.

### Available Information

We are required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any documents filed by us with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1 800 SEC 0330. Our filings with the SEC are also available to the public from commercial document retrieval services and at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

We also make available on our website ([www.sabineoil.com](http://www.sabineoil.com)) all of the documents that we file with the SEC, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with the SEC. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Financial Code of Ethics and Regulation FD Policy are also available on our website and in print free of charge to any stockholder who requests them. Requests should be sent by mail to 1415 Louisiana, Suite 1600, Houston, Texas 77002, attention Secretary. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10 K.

### Legal Proceedings

We are party to lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of our business. We cannot predict the outcome of any such lawsuits with certainty, but our management team does not expect the outcome of pending or threatened legal matters to have a material adverse impact on our financial condition. Please see "Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings" for more information.



## Table of Contents

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following are certain risk factors that affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Many of these risks are beyond our control. These risk factors should be considered in connection with evaluating the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones that we face. If any of the events described below were to actually occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be adversely affected and our results could differ materially from expected and historical results, any of which may also adversely affect the holders of our stock.

#### Risks Relating to Our Business

Due to our substantial liquidity concerns, we may be unable to continue as a going concern.

Our existing and future debt agreements could create issues as interest payments become due and the debt matures that will threaten our ability to continue as a going concern. For example, absent any action with respect to the repayment or refinancing of our existing indebtedness or any waivers or amendments to the agreements governing our existing indebtedness, our Term Loan will mature on November 16, 2016 and our New Revolving Credit Facility will mature on April 7, 2016. Additionally, the borrowing base under our New Revolving Credit Facility is subject to at least semi-annual redetermination and as a result, availability thereunder could be reduced and advances in excess of the new availability would need to be repaid. We also have substantial interest payments due during the next twelve months on our 2017 Notes and Legacy Forest Notes. If we fail to satisfy our obligations with respect to our indebtedness or fail to comply with the financial and other restrictive covenants contained in the debt agreements governing our indebtedness, an event of default could result, which would permit acceleration of such debt and which could result in an event of default under and acceleration of our other debt and could permit our secured lenders to foreclose on any of our assets securing such debt. Any accelerated debt would become immediately due and payable. While we will attempt to take appropriate mitigating actions to refinance any indebtedness prior to its maturity or otherwise extend the maturity dates, and to cure any potential defaults, there is no assurance that any particular actions with respect to refinancing existing indebtedness, extending the maturity of existing indebtedness or curing potential defaults in our existing and future debt agreements will be sufficient. The uncertainty associated with our ability to repay our outstanding debt obligations as they become due raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

The report of our independent registered public accounting firm that accompanies our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 contains an explanatory paragraph regarding the substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. As a result, we are in default under our New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility.

Our New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility require that our annual financial statements include a report from our independent registered public accounting firm with an unqualified opinion without an explanatory paragraph as to going concern. In consideration of the uncertainty mentioned above, the report of our independent registered public accounting firm that accompanies our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 contains an explanatory paragraph regarding the substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. As a result, we are in default under our New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility. We are currently in discussions with the lenders under our New Revolving Credit Facility regarding a waiver of this requirement. If we do not obtain a waiver of this requirement under within 30 days, there will exist an event of default under the New Revolving Credit Facility and the lenders under the New Revolving Credit Facility will be able to accelerate the debt. Similarly, if we do not obtain a waiver under the Term Loan Facility within 180 days, there will exist an event of default under the Term Loan Facility and the lenders under the Term Loan Facility will be able to accelerate the debt. Any acceleration of the debt obligations under the New Revolving Credit Facility or Term

Loan Facility would result in a cross-default and potential acceleration of the maturity of our other outstanding debt obligations. Therefore, all our outstanding debt obligations in the amount of \$2.0 billion (net of discount) are presented in current liabilities as of December 31, 2014. Additionally, the lenders under the Term Loan Facility are subject to a 180-day standstill before they are able to exercise remedies as a result of the uncured event of default. Following the expiration of the 180-day standstill, the lenders are permitted to foreclose on the collateral securing the Term Loan Facility.



Table of Contents

Oil, natural gas and NGLs prices are volatile. The recent decline in oil, natural gas and NGLs prices has adversely affected our financial position, financial results, cash flow, access to capital and ability to grow.

Our future financial condition, revenues, results of operations and rate of growth depend primarily upon the prices we receive for our oil and natural gas production, and the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties is dependent upon prevailing prices for oil, natural gas and NGLs. Oil, natural gas and NGLs prices historically have been volatile, and are likely to continue to be volatile in the future, especially given current economic and geopolitical conditions. The New York Mercantile Exchange (“NYMEX”) natural gas prices during 2014 ranged from a high of \$8.15 to a low of \$2.74 per MMBtu and the NYMEX oil prices during 2014 ranged from a high of \$107.95 to a low of \$53.45 per Bbl. Thus far in 2015, commodity prices have continued to be depressed and volatile, with NYMEX natural gas prices ranging from a high of \$3.32 to a low of \$2.62 per MMBtu and the NYMEX oil prices ranging from a high of \$53.56 to a low of \$43.93 per Bbl through March 15, 2015. This price volatility also affects the amount of cash flow available for capital expenditures and our ability to borrow money or raise additional capital.

The recent decreases in oil and gas prices have adversely affected our revenues, net income, cash flow and proved reserves. Continued periods of depressed commodity prices or further price decreases could have a material adverse effect on our operations and limit our ability to fund capital expenditures. Without the ability to fund capital expenditures, we would be unable to replace reserves and production. Sustained low commodity prices will further adversely affect our revenues, net income, cash flows, proved reserves and our ability to fund capital expenditures.

Prices for oil, natural gas and NGLs may fluctuate widely in response to relatively minor changes in the supply of and demand for oil and natural gas, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control, such as:

- the regional, domestic and foreign supply of oil and natural gas;
- uncertainty in capital and commodities markets;
- the price of foreign imports;
- the ability and willingness of members of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries to agree to and maintain oil price and production controls;
- overall domestic and global economic conditions;
- political and economic conditions in oil and natural gas producing countries, including the Middle East, Africa, South America and Russia including the imposition of trade sanctions;
- the level of consumer product demand;
- weather conditions;
- technological advances affecting energy consumption;
- domestic and foreign governmental regulations and taxes;
- proximity and capacity of oil and natural gas pipelines and other transportation facilities;
- the price and availability of competitors’ supplies of oil and natural gas and alternative fuels;
- variations between product prices at sales points and applicable index prices; and
- the continued threat of terrorism and the impact of military and other action, including U.S. military operations in the Middle East.

## Table of Contents

Due to reduced commodity prices and lower operating cash flows, coupled with substantial interest payments, we may be unable to maintain adequate liquidity and our ability to make interest payments in respect of our indebtedness could be adversely affected.

Recent declines in commodity prices have caused a reduction in our available liquidity and we may not have the ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operations and, therefore, sufficient liquidity to meet our anticipated working capital, debt service and other liquidity needs. We are currently evaluating strategic alternatives to address our liquidity issues and high debt levels. We cannot assure you that any of these efforts will be successful or will result in cost reductions or additional cash flows or the timing of any such cost reductions or additional cash flows. In order to increase our liquidity to levels sufficient to meet our commitments, we are currently pursuing or considering a number of actions including (i) dispositions of non-core assets, (ii) actively managing our debt capital structure through a number of alternatives, including debt repurchases, debt-for-debt exchanges, debt-for-equity exchanges and secured financing, (iii) in- and out-of-court restructuring, (iv) minimizing our capital expenditures, (v) obtaining waivers or amendments from our lenders, (vi) effectively managing our working capital and (vii) improving our cash flows from operations. There can be no assurance that sufficient liquidity can be raised from one or more of these transactions or that these transactions can be consummated within the period needed to meet certain obligations. We cannot assure you that any refinancing or debt or equity restructuring would be possible or that additional equity or debt financing could be obtained on acceptable terms, if at all. Furthermore, we cannot assure you that any of our strategies will yield sufficient funds to meet our working capital or other liquidity needs, including for payments of interest and principal on our debt in the future, and any such alternative measures may be unsuccessful or may not permit us to meet scheduled debt service obligations, which could cause us to default on our obligations.

Our level of indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in our business or our industry and place us at a competitive disadvantage.

As of March 15, 2015, the total outstanding principal amount of our long-term indebtedness was \$2.821 billion, consisting of indebtedness under the New Revolving Credit Facility, our 9.75% Senior Notes due 2017 (the “2017 Notes”), our 7.25% Senior Notes due 2019 (the “2019 Notes”) and our 7.50% Senior Notes due 2020 (the “2020 Notes”) and, together with the 2019 Notes, the “Legacy Forest Notes”), and our \$700 million term loan facility (as amended, the “Term Loan Facility”), and, as of March 15, 2015, no extensions of credit are available under the New Revolving Credit Facility after giving effect to \$29 million of outstanding letters of credit.

If we do not generate sufficient cash flow from operations to satisfy our debt obligations, we may have to undertake alternative financing plans such as refinancing or restructuring our debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying scheduled expansions and capital investments, including planned drilling and completion activity, or seeking to raise additional capital.

We cannot assure you that we would be able to enter into these alternative financing plans on commercially reasonable terms or at all. However, any alternative financing plans that we undertake, if necessary, may not allow us to meet our debt obligations. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations or to obtain alternative financing could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and business prospects, as well as our ability to satisfy our obligations in respect of the New Revolving Credit Facility, the 2017 Notes, the 2019 Notes, the 2020 Notes and the Term Loan Facility.

Our debt could have important consequences to you. For example, it could (i) increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions, (ii) limit our ability to fund future capital expenditures and working capital, to engage in future acquisitions or development activities, or to otherwise realize the value of our assets and opportunities fully because of the need to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments of interest and principal on our debt or to comply with any restrictive terms of our debt, (iii) result in an event of

default if we fail to satisfy our obligations with respect to our indebtedness or fail to comply with the financial and other restrictive covenants contained in the New Revolving Credit Facility, the indentures governing the 2017 Notes, the 2019 Notes and the 2020 Notes, the Term Loan Facility or other agreements governing our indebtedness, which event of default could result in all of our debt becoming immediately due and payable and could permit our lenders to foreclose on any of our assets securing such debt, (iv) increase our cost of borrowing, (v) restrict us from making strategic acquisitions or causing us to make non-strategic divestitures, (vi) limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our

## Table of Contents

business or industry in which we operate, placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors who are less highly leveraged and who therefore may be able to take advantage of opportunities that our leverage prevents us from exploring and (vii) impair our ability to obtain additional financing in the future.

In addition, if we fail to comply with the covenants or other terms of any agreements governing our debt, our lenders may have the right to accelerate the maturity of that debt and foreclose upon the collateral securing that debt. Such an occurrence would adversely affect our financial condition.

The borrowing base under the New Revolving Credit Facility may be reduced by our lenders, and we may be required to repay a portion of the borrowings under the New Revolving Credit Facility.

The New Revolving Credit Facility limits the amounts we can borrow up to the lesser of the committed amount and a borrowing base amount, which is subject to redeterminations by the lenders semi-annually each April 1 and October 1, beginning April 1, 2015 or such later time as we may agree upon request of the administrative agent, or as the majority lenders may agree upon our request. We and the lenders comprising two-thirds of the lenders as measured by exposure may each request two unscheduled borrowing base redeterminations during any 12-month period. The borrowing base under the New Revolving Credit Facility could increase or decrease in connection with a redetermination with increases being subject to the approval of all lenders and decreases (and redeterminations maintaining the borrowing base) being subject to the approval of two-thirds of the lenders as measured by exposure. If the prices for oil and natural gas remain weak or deteriorate, if we have a downward revision in estimates of our proved reserves, or if we sell oil and natural gas reserves, our borrowing base may be reduced. The borrowing base is also subject to reduction as a result of certain issuances of additional debt, certain asset sales, cancellation of certain hedging positions or lack of sufficient title information. Based on discussions with the lenders under our New Revolving Credit Facility, we believe that our borrowing base may be decreased significantly in April 2015. Because our New Revolving Credit Facility is fully drawn, any decrease in our borrowing base as a result of the redetermination will result in a deficiency which must be repaid within 30 days or in six monthly installments thereafter, at our election. We may not have the financial resources in the future to make any mandatory deficiency principal prepayments required under our New Revolving Credit Facility, which could result in an event of default. Additionally, failure to make any mandatory deficiency principal payment under our New Revolving Credit Facility may result in a cross-default under our Term Loan Facility and certain of our senior notes.

Our substantial indebtedness, liquidity issues and the potential for restructuring transactions may impact our business, financial condition and operations.

Due to our substantial indebtedness, liquidity issues and the potential for restructuring, there is risk that, among other things:

- third parties' confidence in our ability to explore and produce oil and natural gas could erode, which could impact our ability to execute on our business strategy;
- it may become more difficult to retain, attract or replace key employees;
- employees could be distracted from performance of their duties or more easily attracted to other career opportunities; and
- our suppliers, hedge counterparties, vendors and service providers could renegotiate the terms of our arrangements, terminate their relationship with us or require financial assurances from us.

The occurrence of certain of these events has already negatively affected our business and may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.



## Table of Contents

The trustee for our 2019 Notes has asserted certain claims against us related to the Combination.

On February 26, 2015, we were served with a complaint (the “Complaint”) concerning the indenture that governs our 2019 Notes that generally alleges that certain events of default had occurred with respect to the 2019 Notes due to the Combination. Specifically, the Complaint alleges that the Combination constituted a change of control under the indenture which requires us to offer to purchase the 2019 Notes at 101% of the outstanding principal, plus accrued and outstanding interest of the notes. We also received a notice of default and acceleration from the Trustee with respect to the 2019 Notes containing similar allegations. While we believe these allegations against us are without merit, if we are not successful in our defense of the Complaint, we may be required to purchase the holders of the 2019 Notes, and may not have sufficient liquidity to fund such purchase. If the court determines we are in default under the indenture governing the 2019 Notes, a cross default and acceleration under our other debt agreements may result, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

Our debt ratings were recently downgraded. This recent downgrade could restrict our access to, and negatively impact the terms of, current or future financings or trade credit.

Our ability to obtain financings, hedging arrangements and trade credit and the terms of any financings, hedging arrangements or trade credit is, in part, dependent on the credit ratings assigned to our debt by independent credit rating agencies. Our debt ratings were recently downgraded. Additionally, we cannot provide assurance that any of our current ratings will remain in effect for any given period of time or that a rating will be further lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency if, in its judgment, circumstances so warrant. Factors that may impact our credit ratings include debt levels, planned asset purchases or sales and near-term and long-term production growth opportunities, liquidity, asset quality, cost structure, product mix and commodity pricing levels. Our recent ratings downgrade and any future downgrade could adversely impact our ability to access financings, hedging arrangements or trade credit, increase our borrowing costs and potentially require us to post letters of credit for certain obligations.

Restrictions in our existing and future debt agreements could limit our growth and our ability to respond to changing conditions.

The New Revolving Credit Facility, the Term Loan Facility and the indentures governing the 2017 Notes, the 2019 Notes and the 2020 Notes contain a number of significant covenants in addition to covenants restricting the incurrence of certain kinds of additional debt. For example, the New Revolving Credit Facility requires us, among other things, to maintain a financial maintenance ratio in the form of a first lien secured leverage ratio not to exceed 3.0 to 1.0 commencing with the period ending March 31, 2015. These restrictions also limit our ability to obtain future financings to withstand a future downturn in our business or the economy in general, or to otherwise conduct necessary corporate activities. We may also be prevented from taking advantage of business opportunities that arise because of the limitations that the restrictive covenants under the indentures governing the 2017 Notes, the 2019 Notes and the 2020 Notes, our Term Loan Facility and our New Revolving Credit Facility impose on us. In addition, complying with these covenants may also cause us to take actions that are not favorable to holders of our common stock and may make it more difficult for us to successfully execute our business strategy and compete against companies that are not subject to such restrictions.

The New Revolving Credit Facility, the Term Loan Facility and the indentures governing the 2017 Notes, the 2019 Notes and the 2020 Notes contain certain other covenants, including restrictions on our ability to create or incur liens, make dividends and other restricted payments, sell assets, engage in transactions with affiliates or merge or consolidate, in each case subject to certain carve-outs and exceptions. For more information regarding the existing debt agreements, please see “Part II, Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

A breach of any covenant in our New Revolving Credit Facility, the Term Loan Facility, the indentures governing the 2017 Notes, the 2019 Notes and the 2020 Notes or other agreements governing any other indebtedness that we may incur from time to time would result in a default under such agreement after any applicable grace periods. The report of our independent registered public accounting firm that accompanies our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 contains an explanatory paragraph regarding the substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. As a result, we are in default under our New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility. A default, if not waived, could result in acceleration of the debt outstanding under the agreement and a default

Table of Contents

with respect to, and an acceleration of, the debt outstanding under other debt agreements. The accelerated debt would become immediately due and payable. If that occurs, we may not be able to make all of the required payments or borrow sufficient funds to refinance such debt. Even if new financing were available at that time, it may not be on terms that are acceptable to us. If we are unable to repay the accelerated amounts, our creditors could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure such debt. If our debt is in default for any reason, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

We may be unable to maintain compliance with certain financial ratio covenants of our outstanding indebtedness which could result in an event of default that, if not cured or waived, would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our New Revolving Credit Facility requires us to maintain certain financial ratios or to reduce our indebtedness if we are unable to comply with such ratios. For example, the New Revolving Credit Facility requires us, among other things, to maintain a financial maintenance ratio in the form of a first lien secured leverage ratio not to exceed 3.0 to 1.0 commencing with the period ending March 31, 2015. As of December 31, 2014, we were in compliance with our financial covenants; however, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to comply with such terms at all times in the future. Any failure to comply with the conditions and covenants in our New Revolving Credit Facility that is not waived by our lenders or otherwise cured could lead to a termination of our New Revolving Credit Facility, acceleration of all amounts due under our New Revolving Credit Facility, or trigger cross-default provisions under other financing arrangements. These restrictions may limit our ability to obtain future financings to withstand a future downturn in our business or the economy in general, or to otherwise conduct necessary corporate activities. We may also be prevented from taking advantage of business opportunities that arise because of the limitations that the restrictive covenants under our indebtedness impose on us.

We failed to meet applicable New York Stock Exchange requirements following the Combination and as a result our stock was delisted from the New York Stock Exchange, which could adversely affect the market liquidity of our common stock and harm our businesses.

Upon the closing of the Combination, the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") suspended trading in our common stock and commenced delisting proceedings due to our failure to meet the initial listing standards under Rule 102.01 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. On December 17, 2014, our common stock began trading over the counter on the OTCQB Marketplace (the "OTCQB") under the ticker symbol "FSTO" and is now trading under the ticker symbol "SOGC." We continue to file periodic reports with the SEC in accordance with the requirements of Section 12 (g) of the Exchange Act.

Our delisting from the NYSE and commencement of trading on the OTCQB has resulted and may continue to result in a reduction in some or all of the following, each of which could have a material adverse effect on our stockholders:

- the liquidity of our common stock;
- the market price of shares of our common stock;
- our ability to obtain financing for the continuation of our operations;
- the number of institutional and other investors that will consider investing in shares of our common stock;
- the number of market makers in shares of our common stock;
- the availability of information concerning the trading prices and volume of shares of our common stock; and
- the number of broker-dealers willing to execute trades in shares of our common stock.



## Table of Contents

We may encounter difficulties in integrating Forest's business with our business and realizing the anticipated benefits of the Combination.

The Combination involved the combination of two companies which previously operated as independent companies. We have had to devote management attention and resources to integrating our business practices and operations. Potential difficulties we may encounter in the integration process include the following:

- the inability to successfully integrate the respective businesses of Forest and Sabine in a manner that permits us to achieve the cost savings and operating synergies anticipated to result from the Combination, which could result in the anticipated benefits of the Combination not being realized partly or wholly in the time frame currently anticipated or at all;
- lost sales and customers as a result of certain customers of either or both of the two companies deciding not to do business with us, or deciding to decrease their amount of business in order to reduce their reliance on a single company;
- integrating personnel from the two companies while maintaining focus on providing consistent, high quality products and services;
- preserving significant business relationships;
- consolidating corporate and administrative functions;
- potential unknown liabilities and unforeseen increased expenses, delays or regulatory conditions associated with the Combination;
- conforming standards, controls, procedures and policies, business cultures and compensation structures between Forest and Sabine O&G;
- retaining key employees;
- changes in estimates or errors impacting purchase accounting and the financial statements; and
- performance shortfalls as a result of the diversion of management's attention caused by completing the merger and integrating the companies' operations.

We expect to incur substantial expenses related to the integration of Forest's business with our business.

We expect to incur substantial expenses in connection with integrating the respective businesses, policies, procedures, operations, technologies and systems of Forest and Sabine. There are a large number of systems that must be integrated, including information management, purchasing, accounting and finance, sales, billing, payroll and benefits, fixed asset and lease administration and regulatory compliance. There are a number of factors beyond our control that could affect the total amount or the timing of all of the expected integration expenses. These expenses could, particularly in the near term, reduce the savings that we expect to achieve from the elimination of duplicative expenses and the realization of economies of scale and cost savings related to the integration of the businesses following the completion of the merger. These integration expenses may result in us taking significant charges against earnings now that the Combination has been completed.

Business issues historically faced by one company may be imputed to the operations of the other company.

To the extent that either we or Forest had or were perceived by customers to have had operational challenges, those challenges may raise concerns by customers of the other company now that the Combination has been completed, which may limit or impede our future ability to obtain additional work from those customers.

## Table of Contents

Estimates of reserves and future net cash flows are not precise. The actual quantities of our reserves and future net cash flows may prove to be lower than estimated.

Numerous uncertainties exist in estimating quantities of reserves and future net cash flows therefrom. Our estimates of reserves and related future net cash flows are based on various assumptions, which may ultimately prove to be inaccurate. Petroleum engineering is a subjective process of estimating accumulations of oil or natural gas that cannot be measured in an exact manner. Estimates of economically recoverable oil and natural gas reserves and of future net cash flows depend upon a number of variable factors and assumptions, including the following:

- historical production from the area compared with production from other producing areas;
- the quality, quantity and interpretation of available relevant data;
- the assumed effects of regulations by governmental agencies;
- assumptions concerning future commodity prices; and
- assumptions concerning future operating costs, severance, ad valorem and excise taxes, development costs, and workover and remedial costs.

Because all reserve estimates are to some degree subjective, each of the following items, or other items not identified below, may differ materially from those assumed in estimating reserves:

- the quantities of oil and natural gas that are ultimately recovered;
- the production and operating costs incurred;
- the amount and timing of future development expenditures; and
- future commodity prices.

Furthermore, different reserve engineers may make different estimates of reserves and cash flows based on the same data. Our actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to reserves will likely be different from estimates and the differences may be material.

The prices used in calculating our estimated proved reserves and the estimated discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves are, in accordance with SEC requirements, calculated by determining the unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month commodity prices for the preceding 12 months. For the 12-months ended December 31, 2014, average prices used to calculate our estimated proved reserves and estimated discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves were \$94.99 per Bbl for crude oil and \$4.35 per MMBtu for natural gas. Commodity prices declined significantly in the fourth quarter of 2014 and if such prices do not increase significantly, it could have a negative impact on our future calculations of estimated proved reserves and the estimated discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves will be significantly lower than as of December 31, 2014. This could result in our having to remove non-economic reserves from our proved reserves in future periods.

Holding all other factors constant, if March SEC pricing of \$82.72 per Bbl for crude oil and \$3.88 per Mcf for natural gas is used in our year-end reserve estimates, our estimated discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves at December 31, 2014 would decrease by approximately \$363 million, or 21%.

Actual future net cash flows also will be affected by other factors, including:

- the amount and timing of actual production;
- levels of future capital spending;
- increases or decreases in the supply of or demand for oil and natural gas; and

Table of Contents

- changes in governmental regulations or taxation.

Accordingly, estimates included herein of future net cash flows may be materially different from the future net cash flows that are ultimately received. In addition, the ten percent discount factor mandated by the rules and regulations of the SEC to be used in calculating discounted future net cash flows may not be the most appropriate discount factor based on interest rates in effect from time to time and risks associated with us or the oil and natural gas industry in general. Therefore, the estimates of discounted future net cash flows included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K should not be construed as accurate estimates of the current market value of our proved reserves.

Our business requires substantial capital and we may be unable to obtain needed capital or financing on satisfactory terms or at all, which could lead to a loss of properties and a decline in our oil and natural gas reserves and production.

The oil and natural gas industry is capital intensive. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we incurred approximately \$562 million and \$431 million in capital expenditures (excluding acquisitions and divestitures), respectively, and our full year capital expenditure forecast for 2015 is expected to total between approximately \$230 million to \$275 million (excluding acquisitions and divestitures). Additionally, prior to the completion of the Combination on December 16, 2014, Forest had incurred approximately \$245 million in capital expenditures for property exploration, development and leasehold acquisitions in 2014 and \$350 million during the year ended December 31, 2013.

We expect to continue to make substantial capital expenditures for the acquisition, development and production of oil and natural gas reserves. The actual amount and timing of our future capital expenditures may differ materially from our estimates as a result of, among other things, commodity prices, actual drilling results, the availability of drilling rigs and other services and equipment, and regulatory, technological and competitive development.

To date, we have financed our capital expenditures primarily with capital contributions by our equity sponsors (for periods prior to the Combination), proceeds from bank borrowings, cash generated by operations and net proceeds from the sale of the 2017 Notes. We intend to finance future capital expenditures through, among other things, cash on hand, cash flow from operations, borrowings under the New Revolving Credit Facility to the extent we repay current borrowings, the issuance of debt or equity securities and the sale of assets. Our cash flow from operations and access to capital are subject to a number of variables, including:

- our proved reserves;
- the level of oil and natural gas we are able to produce from existing wells;
- the prices at which we are able to sell oil, natural gas and NGLs;
- the costs of developing and producing our oil and natural gas reserves;
- our ability to acquire, locate and produce new reserves;
- global credit and securities markets; and
- the ability and willingness of lenders and investors to provide capital and the cost of that capital.

If our cash flows or the borrowing base under the New Revolving Credit Facility decrease as a result of lower oil, natural gas and NGLs prices, operating difficulties, declines in reserves or for any other reason, we may be required to seek additional debt or equity financing to fund our operations and capital expenditures. Our Term Loan Facility, the New Revolving Credit Facility and the indentures governing the 2017 Notes, the 2019 Notes and the 2020 Notes restrict our ability to obtain certain kinds of new financing, and we may not be able to obtain debt or equity financing on terms favorable to us, or at all. If we are unable to secure sufficient capital to meet our capital requirements, we may be required to curtail operations, which could lead to a possible loss of properties and an adverse impact on our oil and natural gas reserves, production, revenues and results of operations.



## Table of Contents

Drilling for and producing oil and natural gas are risky activities with many uncertainties that could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our drilling activities are subject to many risks, including the risk that we will not discover commercially productive reservoirs. Drilling for oil and natural gas can be unprofitable, not only from dry holes, but from productive wells that do not produce sufficient revenues to return a profit. Our decisions to purchase, explore, develop or otherwise exploit prospects or properties will depend in part on our evaluation of data obtained through geophysical and geological analyses, production data and engineering studies, the results of which are often inconclusive or subject to varying interpretations. Our cost of drilling, completing, equipping and operating wells is often uncertain before drilling commences. Overruns in budgeted expenditures are common risks that can make a particular project uneconomical or less economic than forecasted. Further, many factors may curtail, delay or cancel drilling, including the following:

- delays imposed by or resulting from compliance with regulatory and contractual requirements;
- pressure or irregularities in geological formations;
- shortages of or delays in obtaining equipment and qualified personnel or other services or in obtaining water for hydraulic fracturing activities;
- equipment failures or accidents;
- adverse weather conditions;
- reductions in oil, natural gas and NGL prices;
- surface access restrictions;
- loss of title or other title related issues;
- pipe or cement failures or casing collapses;
- compliance with environmental and other government requirements;
- environmental hazards, such as natural gas leaks, groundwater contamination resulting from improper well casing and cementing, oil spills, pipeline and tank ruptures, encountering naturally occurring radioactive materials, and unauthorized discharges of brine, well stimulation and completion fluids, toxic gases or other pollutants into the surface or subsurface environment;
- fires, blowouts, surface craterings and explosions;
- uncontrollable flows of oils, natural gas, formation water, or well fluids;
- oil, natural gas or NGLs gathering, transportation and processing availability restrictions or limitations; and
- limitations in the market for oil and natural gas.

The occurrence of certain of these events could also affect third parties, including persons living near our operations, our employees and employees of our contractors, leading to injuries or death or property damage. As a result, we face the possibility of liabilities from these events that could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Unless we replace our oil and natural gas reserves, our reserves and production will decline, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Producing oil and natural gas reservoirs generally are characterized by declining production rates that vary depending upon reservoir characteristics and other factors. The rate of decline will change if production from existing

Table of Contents

wells declines in a different manner than we have estimated and can change under other circumstances. Thus, our future oil and natural gas reserves and production and, therefore, our cash flow and results of operations are highly dependent upon our success in efficiently developing and exploiting our current properties and economically finding or acquiring additional recoverable reserves. We may not be able to develop, find or acquire additional reserves to replace our current and future production at acceptable costs. If we are unable to replace our current and future production, the value of our reserves will decrease, and our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Drilling locations that we have identified may not yield oil, natural gas or NGLs in commercially viable quantities.

Our drilling locations are in various stages of evaluation, ranging from a location which is ready to drill to a location that will require substantial additional interpretation. It is impossible to predict in advance of drilling and testing whether any particular location will yield oil, natural gas or NGLs in sufficient quantities to recover drilling or completion costs or to be economically viable. The use of technologies and the study of producing fields in the same area will not enable us to know conclusively prior to drilling whether oil, natural gas or NGLs will be present or, if present, whether oil, natural gas or NGLs will be present in sufficient quantities to be economically viable. Even if sufficient amounts of oil, natural gas or NGLs exist, we may damage the potentially productive hydrocarbon bearing formation or experience mechanical difficulties while drilling or completing the well, resulting in a reduction in production from or abandonment of the well. If we drill additional wells that we identify as dry holes in our current and future drilling locations, our drilling success rate may decline and materially harm our business. In sum, the cost of drilling, completing and operating any well is often uncertain, and new wells may not be productive.

Our identified drilling location inventories are scheduled out over many years, making them susceptible to uncertainties that could materially alter the occurrence or timing of their drilling.

Our management has specifically identified drilling locations as an estimation of our future multi-year drilling activities on our existing acreage. These identified drilling locations represent a significant part of our business strategy. Our ability to drill and develop these locations depends on a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control, including the availability and cost of capital, weather conditions, including seasonal restrictions, regulatory approvals, oil, natural gas and NGLs prices, costs and drilling results. As a consequence, we do not know if the numerous potential drilling locations we have identified will ever be drilled or if we will be able to produce oil or natural gas from these or any other potential drilling locations. Therefore, our actual drilling activities may materially differ from those presently identified, which could adversely affect our business.

As a result of the uncertainties described above, we may be unable to drill many of our potential resource play drilling locations. In addition, depending on the timing and concentration of the development of the non-proved locations, we would be required to generate or raise significant capital to develop all of our potential drilling locations should we elect to do so. Estimated reserves related to our properties as of December 31, 2014 assumed that capital costs of approximately \$1.073 billion would be required over a period of approximately five years in order to develop our proved undeveloped reserves. We may not be able to raise or generate the capital required to drill or develop these additional non-proved locations. Any drilling activities we are able to conduct on these potential locations may not be successful or allow us to add additional proved reserves to our overall proved reserves or may result in a downward revision of estimated proved reserves, which could have a material adverse effect on our future business and results of operations.

We have incurred losses from operations for various periods since our inception and may do so in the future.

Our development of and participation in an increasingly larger number of prospects has required and will continue to require substantial capital expenditures. The uncertainty and factors described throughout this “Risk Factors” section

may impede our ability to economically find, develop, exploit and acquire oil and natural gas reserves. As a result, we may not be able to sustain profitability or positive cash flows from operating activities in the future.

## Table of Contents

We cannot be certain that the insurance coverage we maintain will be adequate to cover all losses that may be sustained in connection with our oil and natural gas producing activities.

We maintain an insurance program designed to provide coverage for our property and casualty exposures. Our risk management program provides coverage types, limits and deductibles commensurate with companies of comparable size and with similar risk profiles. Our insurance program includes the following coverage:

- Commercial general liability covering:
  - o bodily injury and property damage;
  - o advertising injury and personal injury;
  - o production and completed operations;
  - o medical expenses; and
  - o underground resources and equipment property damage;
- Business automobile covering:
  - o liability on all autos, including owned, hired and non-owned vehicles;
- Claims made pollution liability covering:
  - o sudden and accidental and gradual seepage pollution events; and
  - o on-site cleanup;
- Workers' compensation and employer's liability covering statutory coverage in all states in which we operate;
- Umbrella and excess liability;
- Property and equipment;
- Crime; and
- Control of covering:
  - o cost of well control;
  - o pollution clean-up and debris removal;
  - o restoration and redrill; and
  - o care, custody and control.

As is common in the oil and natural gas industry, we do not insure fully against all risks associated with our business either because such insurance is not available or because we believe the premium costs are prohibitive. A loss not fully covered by insurance could have a materially adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations. There can be no assurance that the insurance coverage that we maintain will be sufficient to cover every claim made against us in the future. As hydraulic fracturing is a key component of our operational strategy, we maintain claims made pollution liability insurance, which provides coverage for long-term gradual seepage pollution events. A loss in connection with our oil and natural gas operations could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations to the extent that the insurance coverage provided under our policies is inadequate to cover any such loss.



## Table of Contents

Lower oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids prices and other factors have resulted, and in the future may result, in ceiling test write-downs.

We use the full cost method of accounting to report our oil and natural gas activities. Under this method, we capitalize the cost to acquire, explore for, and develop oil and natural gas properties. Under full cost accounting rules, the net capitalized costs of proved oil and natural gas properties may not exceed a ceiling limit, which is based upon the present value of estimated future net cash flows from proved reserves, discounted at 10%. If net capitalized costs of proved oil and natural gas properties exceed the ceiling limit, we must charge the amount of the excess to earnings. This is called a ceiling test writedown. Under the accounting rules, we are required to perform a ceiling test each quarter. A ceiling test write-down does not impact cash flows from operating activities, but it does reduce our shareholders' equity.

The risk that we will be required to write-down the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties increases when oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids prices are low. In addition, write-downs may occur if we experience downward adjustments to our estimated proved reserves, or if estimated future development or operating costs increase. For example, during 2012, 2013 and 2014 we incurred a ceiling test write-down of \$641.8 million, zero and \$247.7 million, respectively.

Additional write-downs may be required in subsequent periods if, among other things, the unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids prices used in the calculation of the present value of future net revenue from estimated production of estimated proved reserves decline compared to prices used as of December 31, 2014, estimated proved reserve volumes are revised downward, or costs incurred in exploration, development, or acquisition activities exceed the discounted future net cash flows from the additional reserves, if any. For example, as of December 31, 2014, the unweighted average of the historical first day of the month pricing for the previous twelve months of oil and natural gas were \$94.99 per Bbl and \$4.35 per MMBtu, respectively, compared to \$82.72 per Bbl and \$3.88 per MMBtu for oil and natural gas, respectively, in March 2015. Holding all other factors constant, if commodity prices used in our year-end reserve estimates were decreased by \$12.27 per Bbl for crude oil and \$0.47 per Mcf for natural gas, thereby approximating the pricing environment existing in March 2015, our estimated discounted future cash flows from proved reserves at December 31, 2014 would decrease by approximately \$363 million, or 21%.

In connection with certain audits and reviews of Sabine O&G's financial statements in prior years, our independent registered public accounting firm identified and reported misstatements to management. Certain of such misstatements were deemed to be the result of internal control deficiencies that constituted material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. In addition, Forest's management concluded that certain material weaknesses existed in its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. If one or more material weaknesses recur or if we fail to establish and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting, our ability to accurately report our financial results could be adversely affected.

Sabine O&G restated its financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 with respect to the accounting and disclosures for certain derivative financial transactions in both the 2012 and 2011 periods and with respect to reversing a bargain purchase gain recognized for the acquisition of certain oil and natural gas properties in 2012. Sabine O&G concluded that these restatements constituted material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting. A material weakness is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Additionally, Forest previously concluded that material weaknesses existed in its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 with respect to certain information technology general controls, controls over

division of interests and controls associated with inputs to the ceiling limitation test. Our management is in the process of reviewing the remediation of controls over these processes and has not reached a conclusion regarding the effectiveness of such remediation. We anticipate complying with Section 404 certification and attestation requirements for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Our efforts to develop and maintain internal controls may not be successful, and we may be unable to maintain effective controls over our financial processes and reporting in the future. Further, our remediation efforts may not

Table of Contents

enable us to remedy or avoid material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in the future. Any failure to remediate deficiencies and to develop or maintain effective controls, or any difficulties encountered in our implementation or improvement of our internal control over financial reporting could result in material misstatements that are not prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Poor general economic, business or industry conditions, including commodity prices, may adversely affect our ability to refinance our debt, results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

During the last several years, economic uncertainty for the global economy has arisen due to concerns relating to the global financial crisis, including the mortgage and real estate markets in the United States, high levels of unemployment in the United States, increased levels of sovereign and individual debt, energy costs, geopolitical issues and the availability and cost of credit. In addition, oil, natural gas and NGL prices historically and recently have been volatile, and are likely to continue to be volatile in the future, and as such economic uncertainty for the oil and gas industry exists.

Concerns about global economic conditions have had a significant adverse impact on global financial markets and commodity prices and the volatility of oil and gas prices may have a significant effect on the oil and gas industry. If the economic recovery in the United States or abroad slows or is not sustained, demand for petroleum products could diminish or stagnate, which could affect the price at which we can sell our production and affect our vendors', suppliers' and customers' ability to continue operations. Similarly, if the price of oil and gas does not increase, it may affect our production plans and profitability and affect our vendors', suppliers' and customers' ability to continue operations.

Further, our ability to access the capital markets or borrow money may be restricted or more expensive at a time when we would like, or need, to raise capital, which could have an adverse impact on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions and on our ability to fund operations and capital expenditures in the future or refinance our debt as it becomes current and matures. Economic circumstances, including commodity prices, could have an impact on our lenders or customers, causing them to fail to meet their obligations to us, and on the liquidity of our operating partners, resulting in delays in operations or their failure to make required payments. Also, market conditions could have an impact on commodities derivatives transactions if our counterparties are unable to perform their obligations or seek bankruptcy protection. The ultimate outcome and impact of current economic conditions cannot be predicted and may have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

The recent decreases in oil and gas prices have adversely affected our revenues, net income, cash flow and proved reserves. Continued price decreases could have a material adverse effect on our operations and limit our ability to fund capital expenditures. Without the ability to fund capital expenditures, we would be unable to replace reserves and production. Sustained decreases in oil and gas prices will further adversely affect our revenues, net income, cash flows, proved reserves and our ability to fund capital expenditures.

The results of our horizontal drilling activities are subject to drilling and completion technique risks, and actual drilling results may not meet our expectations for reserves or production. As a result, we may incur material impairment of the carrying value of our unevaluated properties, and the value of our undeveloped acreage could decline if drilling results are unsuccessful.

During the year ended December 31, 2014 in the Eagle Ford Shale in South Texas and the Granite Wash in North Texas, we drilled 36 gross (27.0 net) and 19 gross (12.3 net) wells and completed 42 gross (32.2 net) and 18 gross (11.2 net) wells, respectively, and, prior to the Combination, Forest drilled a total of 18 gross (16.3 net) wells relating to their properties during the same year. Risks that we face while horizontally drilling include, but are not limited to,

landing our well bore in the desired drilling zone, staying in the desired drilling zone while drilling horizontally through the formation, running our casing the entire length of the well bore and being able to run tools and other equipment consistently through the horizontal well bore. Risks that we face while completing our horizontal wells include, but are not limited to, being able to fracture stimulate the planned number of stages, being able to run tools the entire length of the well bore during completion operations and successfully cleaning out the well bore after completion of the final fracture stimulation stage. Ultimately, the success of these horizontal drilling and completion techniques can only be evaluated over time as more wells are drilled and production profiles are established over a sufficiently long time period. If our horizontal drilling results are less than anticipated, the return on our investment in these areas may not be as attractive as we anticipate. The carrying value of our unevaluated properties could become impaired, which would

## Table of Contents

increase our depletion rate per Mcfe if there were no corresponding additions to recoverable reserves, and the value of our undeveloped acreage could decline in the future.

Our business depends on transportation by truck for our oil and condensate production, and our natural gas production depends on transportation facilities that are owned by third parties.

We transport a significant portion of our oil and condensate production by truck, which is more expensive and less efficient than transportation via pipeline, and can be less reliable than transportation via pipeline in circumstances when availability of trucks is constrained. Our natural gas production depends in part on the availability, proximity and capacity of pipeline systems and processing facilities owned by third parties. Federal and state regulation of oil and natural gas production and transportation, tax and energy policies, changes in supply and demand, pipeline pressures, damage to or destruction of pipelines and general economic conditions could adversely affect our ability to produce, gather and transport oil and natural gas. The disruption of third-party facilities due to maintenance or weather could negatively affect our ability to market and deliver our products. We have no control over when or if such facilities are restored or what prices will be charged in such situations. A total shut-in of production could materially affect us due to a lack of cash flows, and if a substantial portion of the production is hedged at lower than market prices, those financial hedges would have to be paid from borrowings absent sufficient cash flows.

Our operations are substantially dependent on the availability of water. Restrictions on our ability to obtain water may have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Water is an essential component of deep shale oil and natural gas production during both the drilling and hydraulic fracturing processes. Historically, we have been able to purchase water from local landowners for use in our operations. Over the past several years, areas where we operate have experienced severe drought conditions, and it is possible that such conditions could persist in the future. If we are unable to obtain water to use in our operations from local sources, we may be unable to economically produce our reserves, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We are subject to complex federal, state, local and other laws and regulations that could adversely affect the cost, manner or feasibility of doing business.

Companies that explore for and develop, produce and sell oil and natural gas in the United States are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations, including complex tax, environmental, occupational, health and safety laws and the corresponding regulations, and are required to obtain various permits and approvals from federal, state and local agencies. If these permits are not issued or unfavorable restrictions or conditions are imposed on our drilling activities, we may not be able to conduct our operations as planned. We may be required to make large expenditures to comply with such governmental regulations. Matters subject to regulation may include:

- water use, discharge and disposal permits for drilling operations;
- drilling bonds;
- drilling permits;
- reports concerning operations;
- air quality, noise levels and related permits;
- spacing of wells;
- rights-of-way and easements;
- unitization and pooling of properties;
- gathering, transportation and marketing of oil and natural gas;



Table of Contents

- taxation; and
- waste transport and disposal permits and requirements.

Failure to comply with these laws may result in the suspension or termination of our operations and subject us to liabilities under administrative, civil and criminal penalties. Compliance costs can be significant. Moreover, these laws or the enforcement thereof could change in ways that substantially increase the costs of doing business. Any such liabilities, penalties, suspensions, terminations or regulatory changes could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Numerous governmental agencies, such as the EPA, issue regulations to implement and enforce environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, which often require difficult and costly compliance measures. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may also result in the suspension or termination of our operations and subject us to administrative, civil and criminal penalties, including the assessment of natural resource damages, as well injunctions limiting or prohibiting our activities. Under certain environmental, occupational, health and safety laws and regulations, we could be held liable for personal injuries, property damage (including site clean-up and restoration costs) and other damages. Some laws and regulations may impose strict as well as joint and several liability for environmental contamination, which could subject us to liability for the conduct of others or for our own actions that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time such actions were taken. Under such laws, we could be held liable for environmental contamination at our currently or formerly owned, leased or operated properties as well as third-party locations (such as treatment or disposal facilities). Environmental and other governmental laws and regulations also increase the costs to plan, design, drill, install, operate and abandon oil and natural gas wells. Moreover, public interest in environmental protection has increased in recent years, and environmental organizations have opposed, with some success, certain drilling projects. In addition, in some cases private individuals can bring causes of action in court regarding compliance with environmental laws and regulations. Environmental laws and regulations have been subject to frequent changes over the years, and the imposition of more stringent requirements could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, our activities are subject to the regulation by oil and natural gas-producing states relating to conservation practices and protection of correlative rights. These regulations affect our operations and limit the quantity of oil and natural gas we may produce and sell. A major risk inherent in our drilling plans is the need to obtain drilling permits from state and local authorities. Delays in obtaining regulatory approvals or drilling permits, the failure to obtain a drilling permit for a well or the receipt of a permit with excessive conditions or costs could have a material adverse effect on our ability to explore on or develop our properties. Many factors, including the protection of certain species as well as public opposition, can materially affect the ability to secure construction or operation permits. Seasonal restrictions may limit our ability to operate in protected areas and can intensify competition for drilling rigs, oilfield equipment, services, supplies and qualified personnel, which may lead to periodic shortages when drilling is allowed. These constraints and the resulting shortages or high costs could delay our operations and materially increase our operating and capital costs. Permanent restrictions imposed to protect endangered species could prohibit drilling in certain areas or require the implementation of expensive mitigation measures. The designation of previously unprotected species in areas where we operate as threatened or endangered could cause us to incur increased costs arising from species protection measures or could result in limitations on our exploration, development and production activities that could have an adverse impact on our ability to develop and produce our reserves. Once operational, enforcement measures can include significant civil penalties for regulatory violations. Under appropriate circumstances, an administrative agency can request a cease and desist order to terminate operations.

Furthermore, the oil and natural gas regulatory environment could change in ways that might substantially increase the financial and managerial costs to comply with the requirements of these laws and regulations and, consequently, adversely affect our profitability. Furthermore, we may be put at a competitive disadvantage to larger companies in our industry that can spread these additional costs over a greater number of wells and larger operating area.





## Table of Contents

Federal and state legislation and regulatory initiatives relating to hydraulic fracturing could result in increased costs and additional operating restrictions or delays.

Hydraulic fracturing is an essential and common practice in the oil and natural gas industry used to stimulate production of oil and/or natural gas from dense subsurface rock formations. Hydraulic fracturing involves using water, sand, and certain chemicals to fracture the hydrocarbon-bearing rock formation to allow flow of hydrocarbons into the wellbore. We routinely apply hydraulic-fracturing techniques in our drilling and completion programs. While hydraulic fracturing has historically been regulated by state oil and natural gas commissions, the EPA has asserted federal regulatory authority over certain hydraulic-fracturing activities under the SDWA involving the use of diesel fuels and published revised permitting guidance in February 2014 addressing the performance of such activities. Also, in May 2014, the EPA published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking under the Toxic Substances Control Act seeking stakeholder input on development of a requirement regarding disclosure of information on chemical substances and mixtures used in hydraulic fracturing. The public comment period on the EPA's advance notice ended in September 2014, and the resultant proposed rule is expected in 2015. More recently, on May 24, 2013, the federal Bureau of Land Management published a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking governing hydraulic fracturing on federal and Indian lands that replaces a prior draft of proposed rulemaking issued by the agency in May 2012. The revised proposed rule requires public disclosure of chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing on federal and Indian lands, confirmation that wells used in fracturing operations meet appropriate construction standards, and development of appropriate plans for managing flowback water that returns to the surface; however, to date, no further action has been taken.

In addition, Congress from time to time has considered the adoption of legislation to provide for federal regulation of hydraulic fracturing and to require disclosure of the chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing process. Certain states, including Texas, have adopted, and other states are considering adopting, regulations that could impose more stringent permitting, public disclosure, and well construction requirements on hydraulic-fracturing operations or otherwise seek to ban fracturing activities altogether. For example in May 2013, the RRC adopted new rules governing well casing, cementing and other standards for ensuring that hydraulic fracturing operations do not contaminate nearby water resources. Further, in October 2014, the RRC adopted disposal well rule amendments designed to address disposal well operations in areas of historical or future seismic activity. In addition to state laws, local land use restrictions, such as city ordinances, may restrict or prohibit the performance of well drilling in general and/or hydraulic fracturing in particular. In the event state, local, or municipal legal restrictions are adopted in areas where we are currently conducting, or in the future plan to conduct operations, we may incur additional costs to comply with such requirements that may be significant in nature, experience delays or curtailment in the pursuit of exploration, development, or production activities, and perhaps even be precluded from the drilling of wells.

There are also certain governmental reviews either underway or being proposed that focus on environmental aspects of hydraulic-fracturing practices. The White House Council on Environmental Quality is coordinating an administration-wide review of hydraulic-fracturing practices. The EPA has commenced a study of the potential environmental effects of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water and groundwater. A draft report drawing conclusions about the potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources is expected to be released sometime in the first half of 2015. Moreover, the EPA has announced that it will develop effluent limitations for the treatment and discharge of wastewater resulting from hydraulic fracturing activities by early 2015. Other governmental agencies, including the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Department of the Interior, have evaluated or are evaluating various other aspects of hydraulic fracturing. These ongoing or proposed studies could spur initiatives to further regulate hydraulic fracturing under the federal SDWA or other regulatory mechanisms.

Increased regulation and attention given to the hydraulic fracturing process could lead to greater opposition to oil and natural gas production activities using hydraulic fracturing techniques. Additional legislation or regulation could also lead to operational delays or increased operating costs in the production of oil and natural gas, including from the

developing shale plays, or could make it more difficult to perform hydraulic fracturing. The adoption of any federal, state or local laws or the implementation of regulations regarding hydraulic fracturing could potentially cause a decrease in the completion of new oil and natural gas wells, increased compliance costs and time, any of which could adversely affect our business.

Table of Contents

Regulation related to global warming and climate change could have an adverse effect on our operations and demand for oil and natural gas.

The EPA has determined that emissions of GHGs present an endangerment to public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are, according to the EPA, contributing to the warming of the earth's atmosphere and other climatic changes. Based on these findings, the EPA has adopted various regulations regarding GHGs under existing provisions of the CAA. For example, the EPA has adopted rules requiring the monitoring and reporting of GHG emissions from specified sources in the United States, including, among others, certain oil and natural gas production facilities on an annual basis, which includes certain of our operations. Further, the EPA recently proposed a rule that would require reporting of GHG emissions from gathering and boosting systems, oil well completions and workovers using hydraulic fracturing, and blowdowns of natural gas transmission pipelines. The expansion of the EPA's GHG reporting program could result in increased compliance costs.

While Congress has from time to time considered legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs, there has not been significant activity in the form of adopted legislation to reduce GHG emissions at the federal level in recent years. In the absence of such federal climate legislation, a number of state and regional efforts have emerged that are aimed at tracking and/or reducing GHG emissions by means of cap and trade programs that typically require major sources of GHG emissions, such as electric power plants, to acquire and surrender emission allowances in return for emitting those GHGs. Although it is not possible at this time to predict how legislation or new regulations that may be adopted to address GHG emissions would impact our business, any such future laws and regulations that require reporting of GHGs or otherwise limit emissions of GHGs from our equipment and operations could require us to incur costs to monitor and report on GHG emissions or reduce emissions of GHGs associated with our operations, and such requirements also could adversely affect demand for the oil and natural gas that we produce. Finally, it should be noted that some scientists have concluded that increasing concentrations of GHGs in the earth's atmosphere may produce climate changes that have significant physical effects, such as increased frequency and severity of storms, droughts and floods and other climatic events. If any such effects were to occur, they could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

The majority of our operations are located in Texas, making operations vulnerable to risks associated with operating in a limited number of major geographic areas.

Our operations are focused primarily in East Texas and Northern Louisiana, South Texas and North Texas, which means our current producing properties and new drilling opportunities are geographically concentrated in these areas. Because our operations are not as diversified geographically as many of our competitors, the success of our operations and our profitability may be disproportionately exposed to the effect of any regional events, including fluctuations in prices of oil, natural gas and NGLs produced from the wells in these areas, natural disasters, restrictive governmental regulations, transportation capacity constraints, curtailment of production or interruption of transportation, and any resulting delays or interruptions of production from existing or planned new wells.

We rely on independent experts and technical or operational service providers over whom we may have limited control.

We use independent contractors to provide us with technical assistance and services. We rely upon the owners and operators of rigs and drilling equipment, and upon providers of field services, to drill and develop our prospects to production. In addition, we rely upon the services of other third parties to explore or analyze our prospects to determine a method in which the prospects may be developed in a cost-effective manner. Our limited control over the activities and business practices of these providers, any inability on our part to maintain satisfactory commercial relationships with them or their failure to provide quality services could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our use of 2-D and 3-D seismic data is subject to interpretation and may not accurately identify the presence of oil and natural gas, which could adversely affect the results of our drilling operations.

Even when properly used and interpreted, 2-D and 3-D seismic data and visualization techniques are only tools used to assist geoscientists in identifying subsurface structures and hydrocarbon indicators and do not enable the interpreter to

47

---

Table of Contents

know whether hydrocarbons are, in fact, present in those structures. In addition, the use of 3-D seismic and other advanced technologies requires greater predrilling expenditures than traditional drilling strategies, and we could incur losses as a result of such expenditures. As a result, our drilling activities may not be successful or economical.

Conservation measures and technological advances could reduce demand for oil and natural gas.

Fuel conservation measures, alternative fuel requirements, increasing consumer demand for alternatives to oil and natural gas, technological advances in fuel economy and energy generation devices could reduce demand for oil and natural gas. The impact of the changing demand for oil and natural gas products may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Properties that we buy may not produce as projected and we may be unable to determine the reserve potential, identify liabilities associated with the properties or obtain protection from sellers against us.

One of our growth strategies is to capitalize on opportunistic acquisitions of oil and natural gas reserves. However, our reviews of acquired properties are inherently incomplete, because it generally is not feasible to review in detail every individual property involved in each acquisition. Ordinarily, we will focus our review efforts on the higher value properties and will sample the remaining properties for reserve potential. We may also perform only a cursory review of title to these properties at the time we acquire interests in them, particularly if we do not intend to drill on the properties immediately. However, even a detailed review of records and properties may not necessarily reveal existing or potential problems, nor will it permit a buyer to become sufficiently familiar with the properties to assess fully their deficiencies and potential. Inspections may not always be performed on every well, and environmental problems, such as ground water contamination, are not necessarily observable even when an inspection is undertaken. Even when problems are identified, we often assume certain environmental and other risks and liabilities in connection with acquired properties.

Approximately 27% of our core net leasehold acreage was undeveloped as of December 31, 2014, and that acreage may not ultimately be developed or become commercially productive, which could cause us to lose rights under our leases as well as have a material adverse effect on our oil and natural gas reserves and future production and, therefore, our future cash flow and income.

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 27% of our core net leasehold acreage was undeveloped, or acreage on which wells have not been drilled or completed to a point that would permit the production of commercial quantities of oil and natural gas regardless of whether such acreage contains proved reserves. In addition, substantially all of our oil and natural gas leases require us to drill wells that are commercially productive, and if we are unsuccessful in drilling such wells, we could lose our rights under such leases. Our future oil and natural gas reserves and production and, therefore, our future cash flow and income are highly dependent on successfully developing our undeveloped leasehold acreage.

Approximately 45% of our total estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2014 were proved undeveloped reserves.

Recovery of proved undeveloped reserves requires significant capital expenditures and successful drilling operations. The reserve data included in our reserve engineer report assumes that substantial capital expenditures are required to develop such reserves. Although cost and reserve estimates attributable to our oil and natural gas reserves have been prepared in accordance with industry standards, we cannot be sure that the estimated costs are accurate, that development will occur as scheduled or that the results of such development will be as estimated. If we choose not to spend the capital to develop these reserves for any reason, including because we are not able to fund capital expenditures, or if we are not otherwise able to successfully develop these reserves, we will be required to remove the associated volumes from our reported proved reserves. In addition, under the SEC's reserve rules, because proved

undeveloped reserves may be booked only if they relate to wells scheduled to be drilled within five years of the date of booking, we may be required to remove any proved undeveloped reserves not developed within this five-year time frame. A removal of such reserves could adversely affect our operations.

## Table of Contents

Market conditions or operational impediments may hinder our access to oil and natural gas markets or delay our production.

Market conditions or the unavailability of satisfactory oil and natural gas transportation arrangements may hinder our access to oil and natural gas markets or delay our production. The availability of a ready market for our oil and natural gas production depends on a number of factors, including the demand for and supply of oil and natural gas and the proximity of our reserves to pipelines and terminal facilities. Our ability to market our production depends in substantial part on the availability and capacity of gathering systems, pipelines and processing facilities owned and operated by third parties. Our failure to obtain such services on acceptable terms could materially harm our business. We may be required to shut down wells for a lack of a market or because of inadequacy or unavailability of natural gas pipeline, gathering system capacity or processing facilities. If that were to occur, we would be unable to realize revenue from those wells until production arrangements were made to deliver the production to market.

Our hedging activities could result in financial losses or could reduce our income.

To achieve a more predictable cash flow and to reduce our exposure to adverse fluctuations in commodity prices, we currently enter into hedging arrangements for a portion of our oil and natural gas production and may in the future enter into such arrangements for portions of our oil and natural gas production. These hedging arrangements expose us to the risk of financial loss in some circumstances, including when:

- production is less than expected;
- the counterparty to the hedging contract defaults on its contractual obligations; or
- there is a change in the expected differential between the underlying price in the hedging agreement and actual prices received.

In addition, these types of hedging arrangements limit the benefit we would receive from increases in the prices for natural gas or oil and may expose us to cash margin requirements.

Our counterparties are typically financial institutions who are lenders under our New Revolving Credit Facility. The risk that a counterparty may default on its obligations is heightened by the recent financial sector crisis and other losses incurred by many banks and other financial institutions, including our counterparties or their affiliates. These losses may affect the ability of the counterparties to meet their obligations to us on hedge transactions, which would reduce our revenues from hedges at a time when we are also receiving a lower price for our oil and natural gas sales, thus triggering the hedge payments. As a result, our operations, liquidity and financial condition could be materially, adversely affected.

Our commodity price risk management activities could have the effect of reducing our net income. At December 31, 2014, the net unrealized gain represented by our commodity price risk management contracts was \$153.3 million, but in the past we have incurred significant unrealized losses in connection with our commodity price risk management contracts. In the future, we may continue to incur significant unrealized gains or losses in the future from our commodity price risk management activities to the extent market prices increase or decrease and our derivatives contracts remain in place. In addition, because of the recent decrease in commodity prices, it may become costlier for us to enter into hedging arrangements in the future than it has been historically, in more favorable commodity price environments.

Oil and natural gas prices are volatile. A substantial portion of our hedges are set to expire in 2015. If we choose not to replace hedges as those contracts expire, our cash flows from operations will be subjected to increased volatility.

We enter into hedging transactions of our oil and natural gas production revenues to reduce our exposure to fluctuations in the price of oil and natural gas. A substantial portion of our hedges are set to expire in 2015. As our

hedges expire, more of our future production will be sold at market prices, exposing us to the fluctuations in the price of oil and natural gas, unless we enter into additional hedging transactions. We may choose not to replace existing hedges as those contracts expire, which will subject our cash flows from operations to increased volatility.



## Table of Contents

We are exposed to credit risks of our hedging counterparties, third parties participating in our wells and our customers.

Our principal exposures to credit risk are through receivables resulting from commodity derivatives instruments (\$160.2 million at December 31, 2014), joint interest receivables (\$22.6 million at December 31, 2014) and the sale of our oil, natural gas and NGLs production (\$85.5 million in receivables at December 31, 2014), which we market to energy marketing companies and refineries. Joint interest receivables arise from billing entities who own partial interest in the wells we operate. These entities participate in our wells primarily based on their ownership in leases on which we wish to drill. We can do very little to choose who participates in our wells. We are also subject to credit risk due to concentration of our oil, natural gas and NGLs receivables with several significant customers.

These transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of our counterparty, principally with respect to hedging agreements but also insurance contracts and bank lending commitments. We do not require most of our customers to post collateral. The inability or failure of our significant customers to meet their obligations to us or their insolvency or liquidation may adversely affect our financial results. Deterioration in the credit markets may impact the credit ratings of our current and potential counterparties and affect their ability to fulfill their existing obligations to us and their willingness to enter into future transactions with us.

We depend on a limited number of key personnel who would be difficult to replace. The volatility in commodity prices and business performance may affect our ability to retain senior management and the loss of these key employees may affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Many key responsibilities within our business have been assigned to a small number of employees. The loss of any member of our senior management or other key employees could negatively impact our ability to execute our strategy. The volatility in commodity prices and business performance may affect our ability to retain senior management or key employees. The loss of the services of key management personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, if we are unable to find, hire and retain needed key personnel in the future, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Further, we do not maintain "key person" life insurance policies on any of our employees. As a result, we are not insured against any losses resulting from the death of our key employees.

Competition in the oil and natural gas industry is intense, which may adversely affect our ability to succeed.

The oil and natural gas industry is intensely competitive, and we compete with other companies that have greater resources. Many of these companies not only explore for and produce oil and natural gas, but also carry on refining operations and market petroleum and other products on a regional, national or worldwide basis. These companies may be able to pay more for productive oil and natural gas properties and exploratory prospects or define, evaluate, bid for and purchase a greater number of properties and prospects than our financial or human resources permit. In addition, these companies may have a greater ability to continue exploration activities during periods of low oil and natural gas market prices. Our larger competitors may be able to absorb the burden of present and future federal, state, local and other laws and regulations more easily than we can, which would adversely affect our competitive position.

Our ability to acquire additional properties and to discover reserves in the future will be dependent upon our ability to evaluate and select suitable properties and to consummate transactions in a highly competitive environment. In addition, because we have fewer financial and human resources than many companies in our industry, we may be at a disadvantage in bidding for exploratory prospects and producing oil and natural gas properties.

We have limited control over activities on properties we do not operate, which could reduce our production and revenues.

A portion of our business activities is conducted through joint operating agreements under which we own partial interests in oil and natural gas properties. If we do not operate the properties in which we own an interest, we do not have control over normal operating procedures, expenditures or future development of underlying properties. The failure of an operator of our wells to adequately perform operations or an operator's breach of the applicable agreements could reduce our production and revenues. The success and timing of our drilling and development activities on properties operated by

50

---

Table of Contents

others, therefore, depends upon a number of factors outside of our control, including the operator's timing and amount of capital expenditures, expertise and financial resources, inclusion of other participants in drilling wells and use of technology. Because we do not have a majority interest in most wells that we do not operate, we may not be in a position to remove the operator in the event of poor performance.

The inability of one or more of our customers to meet their obligations may adversely affect our financial results.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from a few customers. For the year ended December 31, 2014, four customers accounted for approximately 47% of our total revenues. If these customers fail to timely pay for our production or they cease purchasing our production and we are unable to secure alternative purchasers for our production on a timely basis, our financial condition and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

The recent adoption of derivatives legislation by the U.S. Congress could have an adverse effect on our ability to use derivative instruments to reduce the effect of commodity price, interest rate and other risks associated with our business.

Historically, we have entered into a number of commodity derivative contracts in order to hedge a portion of our oil and natural gas production, and, in the future, we may enter into derivative contracts to hedge a portion of our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), which requires the SEC and the CFTC to promulgate rules and regulations implementing the new legislation. Although the CFTC has finalized certain regulations, others remain to be finalized or implemented and it is not possible at this time to predict when this will be accomplished.

In October 2011, the CFTC issued regulations to set position limits for certain futures and option contracts in the major energy markets and for swaps that are their economic equivalents. The initial position limits rule was vacated by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in September 2012. However, in November 2013, the CFTC proposed new rules that would place limits on positions in certain core futures and equivalent swaps contracts for or linked to certain physical commodities, subject to exceptions for certain bona fide hedging transactions. As these new position limit rules are not yet final, the impact of those provisions on us is uncertain at this time.

The CFTC has designated certain interest rate swaps and credit default swaps for mandatory clearing and the associated rules also will require us, in connection with covered derivative activities, to comply with clearing and trade-execution requirements or take steps to qualify for an exemption to such requirements. Although we expect to qualify for the end-user exception from the mandatory clearing requirements for swaps entered to hedge our commercial risks, the application of the mandatory clearing and trade execution requirements to other market participants, such as swap dealers, may change the cost and availability of the swaps that we use for hedging. In addition, for uncleared swaps, the CFTC or federal banking regulators may require end-users to enter into credit support documentation and/or post initial and variation margin. Posting of collateral could affect liquidity and reduce cash available to us for capital expenditures, therefore reducing our ability to execute hedges to reduce risk and protect cash flows. The proposed margin rules are not yet final, and therefore the impact of those provisions to us is uncertain at this time.

The Dodd-Frank Act also may require the counterparties to our derivative instruments to spin off some of their derivatives activities to a separate entity, which may not be as creditworthy as the current counterparty.

The full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act and related regulatory requirements upon our business will not be known until the regulations are implemented and the market for derivatives contracts has adjusted. The Dodd-Frank Act and any new regulations could significantly increase the cost of derivative contracts, materially alter the terms of derivative

contracts, reduce the availability of derivatives to protect against risks we encounter, reduce our ability to monetize or restructure our existing derivative contracts or increase our exposure to less creditworthy counterparties. If we reduce our use of derivatives as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act and regulations implementing the Dodd-Frank Act, the results of our operations may become more volatile and our cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect our ability to plan for and fund capital expenditures.

Finally, the Dodd-Frank Act was intended, in part, to reduce the volatility of oil and natural gas prices, which some legislators attributed to speculative trading in derivatives and commodity instruments related to oil and natural gas. Our

Table of Contents

revenues could therefore be adversely affected if a consequence of the Dodd-Frank Act and implementing regulations is to lower commodity prices.

Any of these consequences could have a material adverse effect on us, our financial condition and the results of our operations.

Our business and financial results may be adversely affected if proposed tax reforms are enacted or similar initiatives are implemented as part of the U.S. government's efforts to reduce budget deficits.

The Obama administration's budget proposals for fiscal year 2015 contain numerous proposed tax changes, and from time to time, legislation has been introduced that would enact many of these proposed changes. The proposed budget and legislation would repeal many tax incentives and deductions that are currently available to U.S. oil and natural gas companies. Among others, the provisions include: elimination of the ability to fully deduct intangible drilling and development costs in the year incurred; repeal of the percentage depletion deduction for oil and natural gas properties; repeal of the domestic manufacturing tax deduction for oil and natural gas companies; and increase in the geological and geophysical amortization period for independent producers. It is unclear whether any of these or similar changes will be enacted and, if enacted, how soon any such changes could become effective. The passage of legislation containing some or all of these provisions or any other similar change in U.S. federal income tax law could eliminate or postpone certain tax deductions that are currently available to us with respect to oil and natural gas exploration and development, and any such change could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Loss of our information and computer systems could adversely affect our business.

We are heavily dependent on our information systems and computer based programs, including our well operations information, seismic data, electronic data processing and accounting data. If any of such programs or systems were to fail or create erroneous information in our hardware or software network infrastructure, possible consequences include our loss of communication links, inability to find, produce, process and sell oil and natural gas and inability to automatically process commercial transactions or engage in similar automated or computerized business activities. Any such consequence could have a material adverse effect on our business.

A terrorist attack or armed conflict could harm our business.

Terrorist activities, anti-terrorist efforts and other armed conflicts involving the United States or other countries may adversely affect the United States and global economies and could prevent us from meeting our financial and other obligations. If any of these events occur, the resulting political instability and societal disruption could reduce overall demand for oil and natural gas, potentially putting downward pressure on demand for our products and causing a reduction in our revenues. Oil and natural gas related facilities could be direct targets of terrorist attacks, and our operations could be adversely affected if infrastructure integral to our customers' operations is destroyed or damaged. Costs for insurance and other security may increase as a result of these threats, and some insurance coverage may become more difficult to obtain, if available at all.

Our operations are subject to the risk of cyber-attacks that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our information technology systems are subject to possible breaches and other threats that could cause us harm. If our systems for protecting against cyber security risks prove not to be sufficient, we could be adversely affected by the loss or damage of intellectual property, proprietary information, or client data, interruption of business operations, or additional costs to prevent, respond to, or mitigate cyber security attacks. These risks could have a material adverse

effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Item 1B.Unresolved Staff Comments

There were no unresolved SEC staff comments at December 31, 2014.

52

---

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are party to lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of our business. We cannot predict the outcome of any such lawsuits with certainty, but our management team does not expect the outcome of pending or threatened legal matters to have a material adverse impact on our financial condition.

*Augenbaum v. Lone Pine Resources Inc. et al.*

This claim was filed on May 25, 2012, as a purported class action in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County against Forest, Lone Pine, certain of Lone Pine's current and former directors and officers (the "Individual Defendants"), and certain underwriters (the "Underwriter Defendants") of Lone Pine's initial public offering (the "IPO"), which was completed on June 1, 2011. The class action was subsequently removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged that Lone Pine's registration statement and prospectus issued in connection with the IPO contained untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts relating to forest fires that occurred in Northern Alberta in May 2011, the rupture of a third-party oil sales pipeline in Northern Alberta in April 2011, and the impact of those events on Lone Pine, that the alleged misstatements or omissions violated Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"), and that Lone Pine, the Individual Defendants, and the Underwriter Defendants are liable for such violations. (The complaint was subsequently amended to drop the allegation regarding the forest fires.) The complaint further alleged that the Underwriter Defendants offered and sold Lone Pine's securities in violation of Section 12 (a) (2) of the Securities Act, and the putative class members sought rescission of the securities purchased in the IPO that they continued to own and rescissionary damages for securities that they had sold. Finally, the complaint asserted a claim against Forest under Section 15 of the Securities Act, alleging that Forest was a "control person" of Lone Pine at the time of the IPO. The complaint alleged that the putative class, which purchased shares of Lone Pine's common stock pursuant and/or traceable to Lone Pine's registration statement and prospectus, was damaged when the value of the stock declined in August 2011.

On March 26, 2014, the judge overseeing the lawsuit granted Defendants' motion to dismiss, with prejudice, for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiffs appealed the decision on April 28, 2014, and briefing was completed on August 5, 2014. Forest subsequently agreed to a settlement with the named plaintiff, all of which will be paid by Lone Pine's insurance carrier. The appeal was dismissed on December 3, 2014.

*The Parish of Jefferson v. Destin Operating Company, Inc., et al.*

On November 11, 2013, Jefferson Parish filed suit against Forest and fourteen (14) other defendants, alleging that certain of defendants' oil and gas exploration, production, and transportation operations associated with the development of the Bay de Chene, Queen Bess Island, and Saturday Island oil and gas fields in Jefferson Parish, Louisiana were conducted in violation of Louisiana's State and Local Coastal Resources Management Act and its associated rules and regulations, and that these activities caused substantial damage to land and waterbodies located in the Jefferson Parish Coastal Zone. Forest tendered a claim for indemnity to Texas Petroleum Investment Company ("TPIC"), which TPIC rejected. Forest responded with a reservation of rights to indemnity from TPIC. The case was removed to federal court and is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. The case has been on hold pending the court's decision regarding federal jurisdiction in a similar lawsuit. That lawsuit was recently remanded to Louisiana state court, so the parties have filed a motion to reopen this case and set a status conference. Plaintiffs seek unspecified monetary damages and restoration of the Jefferson Parish Coastal Zone to its original condition. This matter is in the very early stages of litigation.

*The Parish of Plaquemines v. ConocoPhillips Company, et al.*

On November 8, 2013, Plaquemines Parish filed suit against Forest and seventeen (17) other defendants, alleging that certain of defendants' oil and gas exploration, production, and transportation operations associated with the development of the Bay Batiste, Grand Ecaille, Lake Washington, Manila Village, Manila Village Southeast, Saturday Island, and Saturday Island Southeast oil and gas fields in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana were conducted in violation of Louisiana's State and Local Coastal Resources Management Act and its associated rules and regulations, and that these activities caused substantial damage to land and waterbodies in the Plaquemines Parish Coastal Zone. Forest tendered a claim for indemnity to Texas Petroleum Investment Company ("TPIC"), which TPIC rejected. Forest responded with a



Table of Contents

reservation of rights to indemnity from TPIC. The case was removed to federal court and is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. A motion to remand is scheduled to be heard in early 2015. Plaintiffs seek unspecified monetary damages and restoration of the Plaquemines Parish Coastal Zone to its original condition. This matter is in the very early stages of litigation.

Forest Oil Corporation v. El Rucio Land and Cattle Company, Inc., et al.

On February 29, 2012, two members of a three-member arbitration panel reached a decision adverse to Forest in the proceeding styled Forest Oil Corp., et al. v. El Rucio Land & Cattle Co., et al., which occurred in Harris County, Texas. The third member of the arbitration panel dissented. The proceeding was initiated in January 2005 and involves claims asserted by the landowner-claimant based on the diminution in value of its land and related damages allegedly resulting from operational and reclamation practices employed by Forest in the 1970s, 1980s, and early 1990s. The arbitration decision awarded the claimant \$23 million in damages and attorneys' fees and additional injunctive relief regarding future surface-use issues. On October 9, 2012, after vacating a portion of the decision imposing a future bonding requirement on Forest, the trial court for the 55th Judicial District, in the District Court in Harris County, Texas, reduced the arbitration decision to a judgment. The judgment was affirmed by the Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas on July 24, 2014, and a motion for rehearing was denied on August 8, 2014. Forest filed a petition for review with the Texas Supreme Court on January 5, 2015.

We are a party to various other lawsuits, claims, and proceedings in the ordinary course of business. These proceedings are subject to uncertainties inherent in any litigation, and the outcome of these matters is inherently difficult to predict with any certainty. We believe that the amount of any potential loss associated with these proceedings would not be material to our consolidated financial position; however, in the event of an unfavorable outcome, the potential loss could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flow.

Stourbridge Investments, LLC v. Forest Oil Corporation, et al., Raul v. Carroll, et al., Rothenberg v. Forest Oil Corporation, et al., Gawlikowski v. Forest Oil Corporation, et al., Edwards v. Carroll, et al., Jabri v. Forest Oil Corporation, et al., Olinatz v. Forest Oil Corporation, et al.

Following the May 6, 2014 announcement of the proposed Transactions, six putative class action lawsuits were filed by Forest Oil shareholder in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, alleging breaches of fiduciary duty by the directors of Forest Oil and aiding and abetting of those breaches of fiduciary duty by Sabine entities in connection with the proposed Transactions. By order dated July 8, 2014, the six New York cases were consolidated for all purposes under the caption In re Forest Oil Corporation Shareholder Litigation, Index No. 651418/2014. On July 17, 2014, plaintiffs in the consolidated New York action filed a Consolidated Class Action Complaint (the "Consolidated Complaint"). The Consolidated Complaint seeks to certify a plaintiff class consisting of all holders of Forest Oil common stock other than the defendants and their affiliates. The defendants named in these actions include the directors of Forest Oil (Patrick R. McDonald, James H. Lee, Dod A. Fraser, James D. Lightner, Loren K. Carroll, Richard J. Carty, and Raymond I. Wilcox), as well as Sabine and certain of its affiliates (specifically, Sabine Oil & Gas LLC, Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings LLC, and Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings II LLC). The Consolidated Complaint also purports to identify FR XI Onshore AIV, L.L.C. as a defendant, but no causes of action are alleged against that entity.

The Consolidated Complaint alleges that the proposed Transactions arise out of a series of unlawful actions by the board of directors of Forest Oil seeking to ensure that Sabine and affiliates of First Reserve Corporation ("First Reserve") acquire the assets of, and take control over, Forest Oil through an alleged "three-step merger transaction" that allegedly does not represent a value-maximizing transaction for the shareholders of Forest Oil. The Consolidated Complaint also complains that the proposed Transactions have been improperly restructured to require only a majority vote of current Forest Oil shareholders to approve the Combination with Sabine, rather than a two-thirds majority as

would have been required under the original transaction structure. The Consolidated Complaint additionally alleges that members of Forest Oil's board, as well as Forest Oil's financial adviser for the proposed Transactions, are subject to conflicts of interest that compromise their loyalty to Forest Oil's shareholders, that the defendants have improperly sought to "lock up" the proposed Transactions with certain inappropriate "deal protection devices" that impede Forest Oil from pursuing superior potential transactions with other bidders.

## Table of Contents

The Consolidated Complaint asserts causes of action against the directors of Forest Oil for breaches of fiduciary duty and violations of the New York Business Corporation Law, as well as a cause of action against the Sabine defendants for aiding and abetting the directors' breaches of duty and violations of law, and it seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to enjoin consummation of the proposed Transactions or, in the alternative, rescission and/or rescissory and other damages in the event that the proposed Transactions are consummated before the lawsuit is resolved.

In addition to these New York proceedings, one putative class action lawsuit has been filed by Forest Oil shareholders in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. That action, captioned Olinatz v. Forest Oil Corp., No. 1:14-cv-01409-MSK-CBS, was commenced on May 19, 2014, and plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint (the "Olinatz Complaint") on June 13, 2014. The Olinatz Complaint also alleges breaches of fiduciary duty by the directors of Forest Oil and aiding and abetting of those breaches of fiduciary duty by the Sabine defendants in connection with the proposed Transactions, as well as related claims alleging violations of Section 14 (a) and 20 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 14a-9 promulgated thereunder, in connection with alleged misstatements in a Form S-4 Registration Statement filed by Forest Oil on May 29, 2014, which recommends that Forest Oil shareholders approve the proposed Transactions. The Olinatz Complaint names as defendants Forest Oil and certain of its affiliates (specifically, Forest Oil Corporation, New Forest Oil Inc., and Forest Oil Merger Sub Inc.), the directors of Forest Oil (Patrick R. McDonald, James H. Lee, Dod A. Fraser, James D. Lightner, Loren K. Carroll, Richard J. Carty, and Raymond I. Wilcox), and Sabine and certain of its affiliates (specifically, Sabine Oil & Gas LLC, Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings LLC, and Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings II LLC), and seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to enjoin consummation of the proposed Transactions or, in the alternative, rescission in the event the proposed Transactions are consummated before the lawsuit is resolved, as well as imposition of a constructive trust on any alleged benefits improperly received by defendants.

On October 14, 2014, on motion by the Colorado plaintiffs, the Court in the Colorado action entered an order directing the Clerk of the Court to administratively close the action, subject to reopening on good cause shown.

On November 11, 2014, the defendants reached an agreement in principle with plaintiffs in the New York action regarding a settlement of that action, and that agreement is reflected in a memorandum of understanding executed by the parties on that date. The settlement, if consummated, will also resolve the Colorado action. In connection with the settlement contemplated by the memorandum of understanding, Forest Oil agreed to make certain additional disclosures related to the proposed transaction with Sabine, which are contained in Forest Oil's November 12, 2014 Form 8-K, and Sabine agreed that, within 120 days after the closing of the proposed combination transaction, Sabine Investor Holdings LLC will designate for a period of no less than three (3) years at least one additional independent director, as defined in Section 303A.02 of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual, as a Sabine Nominee (as defined in Section 1.4 of the Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger). The total number of Sabine Nominees will remain unchanged, but at least one of the remaining two Sabine Nominees that had not yet been determined was required to be independent. In connection with the closing of the Combination, Thomas Chewing, an independent director as defined in Section 303A.02 of the New York Exchange Listed Company Manual, was appointed as a Sabine Nominee. The memorandum of understanding contemplates that the parties will enter into a stipulation of settlement.

The stipulation of settlement will be subject to customary conditions, including court approval. In the event the parties enter into a stipulation of settlement, a hearing will be scheduled at which the New York Court will consider the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the settlement. If the settlement is finally approved by the court, it will resolve and release all claims or actions that were or could have been brought challenging any aspect of the proposed combination transaction, the Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, the merger agreement originally entered into by Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, Forest Oil, New Forest Oil Inc. and certain of their affiliated entities

on May 5, 2014, any disclosure made in connection therewith, including the Definitive Proxy Statement, and all other matters that were the subject of the complaint in the New York action, pursuant to terms that will be disclosed to stockholders prior to final approval of the settlement. In addition, in connection with the settlement, the parties contemplate that the parties will negotiate in good faith regarding the amount of attorney's fees and expenses that shall be paid to plaintiffs' counsel in connection with the Actions. There can be no assurances that the parties will ultimately enter into a stipulation of settlement or that the New York Court will approve the settlement even if the parties were to enter into such stipulation. In such event, the proposed settlement as contemplated by the memorandum of

Table of Contents

understanding may be terminated. The parties are presently negotiating the stipulation of settlement. At this time, the Company is unable to guarantee the potential outcome of this litigation or the ultimate exposure.

On March 13, 2015, plaintiffs informed Sabine that they believe Sabine has materially violated the terms of the memorandum of understanding executed on November 11, 2014 by (i) failing to replace or create a mechanism to replace an independent director who resigned from the board of directors in January of 2015, and (ii) making changes to the terms of the merger agreement that were not necessary or required to facilitate the consummation of the proposed transaction without first disclosing and permitting shareholders to vote on the changes. Sabine disagrees with plaintiffs and will respond to their letter in due course. If plaintiffs prevail in their position concerning the memorandum of understanding, the proposed settlement as contemplated by the memorandum of understanding may be terminated.

Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB v. Forest Oil Corporation

On February 26, 2015, we were served with a complaint concerning the indenture governing our 2019 Notes. The complaint is pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York and generally alleges that certain events of default had occurred with respect to the 2019 Notes due to the business combination between Forest Oil Corporation and Sabine Oil & Gas LLC. We also received a notice of default and acceleration from the trustee with respect to the 2019 Notes containing similar allegations. If we are not successful in our defense of this complaint, we may be required to redeem the holders of the 2019 Notes at 101% of the outstanding principal, plus accrued and outstanding interest of the notes, and if the court determines we are in default under the indenture governing the 2019 Notes, a cross-default and acceleration under our other debt agreements may result. We believe these allegations against us are without merit and intend to vigorously defend against such claims and pursue any and all defenses available. However, we are unable to predict the outcome of such matter, and the proceedings may have a negative impact on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

We are separately evaluating potential claims that we may assert against the trustee for the 2019 Notes for any and all losses we may suffer as a result of the complaint or notice. We can provide no guarantee that any such claims, if brought by us, will be successful or, if successful, that the responsible parties will have the financial resources to address any such claims.

Additional claims, lawsuits, or proceedings may be filed or commenced arising out of the indentures to which we are a party and with respect to the business combination.

While we intend to vigorously defend the claims against us and believe they are without merit, an adverse ruling could cause our indebtedness to become immediately due and payable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

## Common Stock

We have one class of common shares outstanding, our common stock, par value \$0.10 per share ("Common Stock"). Our Common Stock is traded on the OTCQB under the symbol "SOGC." On March 15, 2015, there were 214,669,984 shares of our Common Stock outstanding held by 691 holders of record. The number of holders does not include the shareholders for whom shares are held in a "nominee" or "street" name.

Under our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, we are authorized to issue up to 650,000,000 shares of our Common Stock, and up to 10,000,000 shares of our Preferred Stock. On March 15, 2015, there were 2,508,945 shares of our Series A preferred shares outstanding.

For periods prior to the Combination on December 16, 2014, when we were listed on the NYSE under the symbol "FST," the table below reflects the high and low intraday sales prices per share of the Common Stock as reported by the NYSE. For periods following our delisting on the NYSE, we commenced trading on the OTCQB under the symbol "SOGC." Beginning on December 17, 2014, the table below reflects the high and low intraday sales price per share of the Common Stock as reported by the OTCQB. There were no cash dividends declared on the Common Stock in 2013 or 2014. On March 15, 2015, the closing price of our Common Stock was \$0.13. Our Common Stock's trading range during the periods indicated was as follows:

	Common Stock	
	High	Low
2013		
First Quarter	\$ 7.44	\$ 5.18
Second Quarter	5.43	3.77
Third Quarter	6.67	4.02
Fourth Quarter	6.52	3.43
2014		
First Quarter	\$ 3.73	\$ 1.68
Second Quarter	2.59	1.75
Third Quarter	2.43	1.16
Fourth Quarter	1.31	0.16

## Dividend Restrictions

Our present or future ability to pay dividends is governed by (i) the provisions of the New York Business Corporation Law, (ii) our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, (iii) the indentures governing the 2017 Notes, the 2019 Notes and the 2020 Notes, (iv) the Term Loan Facility and (v) the New Revolving Credit Facility. The provisions in the indentures pertaining to these senior notes, the Term Loan Facility and the New Revolving Credit Facility limit our ability to make restricted payments, which include dividend payments. On September 30, 2011, Forest distributed a special stock dividend in connection with the spin-off of Lone Pine Resources, Inc.; however, prior to the

Combination, Forest had not paid cash dividends on its Common Stock during the previous five years. The future payment of cash dividends, if any, on the Common Stock is within the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on our earnings, capital requirements, financial condition, and other relevant factors. We do not currently intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future, and there is no assurance that we will pay any cash dividends. For further information regarding our equity securities and our ability to pay dividends on our Common Stock, please see “Note 7. Long-Term Debt” and “Note 8. Shareholders’ Equity” to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Table of Contents

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

On December 16, 2014, in connection with the closing of the Combination, we issued an aggregate of 79,241,916 common shares and 2,508,945 Series A preferred shares (convertible into 250,894,494 common shares) to Sabine Investor Holdings and FR XI Onshore AIV, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“AIV Holdings”). The issuance of the common shares and Series A preferred shares was made in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4 (2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving a public offering. We did not make any other sales of unregistered equity securities during the quarter ended December 31, 2014.

The Series A preferred shares are convertible into our common shares at the option of Sabine Investor Holdings if (1) Sabine Investor Holdings is able to convert a portion of the Series A preferred shares into our common shares and, as a result of such conversion, would not, together with affiliates, hold more than 50% of our voting power and (2) our board of directors approves such conversion (such approval not to be unreasonably withheld). In addition, Series A preferred shares will convert automatically if Sabine Investor Holdings transfers such shares to a third party and such third party would not, together with its affiliates, hold more than 50% of our voting power upon receipt of such shares as voting securities.

The Series A preferred shares are non-voting. Initially, in connection with a conversion of Series A preferred shares into our common shares as described in the preceding paragraph, each Series A preferred share will be convertible into 100 of our common shares. If our reincorporation from New York to Delaware (the “Reincorporation Merger”) is not approved by holders of the requisite number of our shares at the first special meeting of our shareholders held for such purpose, then from the date of such special meeting until the time at which the Reincorporation Merger is approved by our shareholders, the conversion ratio will be adjusted upwards such that, on an annualized basis, the adjustment results in the Series A preferred shares being convertible into an additional number of our common shares equal to 10% of the total number of our common shares underlying all of the then outstanding Series A preferred shares (assuming all such Series A preferred shares were then convertible into our common shares). The adjustment to the foregoing conversion ratio will be calculated quarterly.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not purchase any of our equity securities during the fourth quarter of 2014.



Table of Contents

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return on our Common Stock during the five years ended December 31, 2014 with the cumulative total shareholder return of the Russell 2000 Index, the Standard & Poors 500 Index, the Dow Jones U.S. Select Oil Exploration & Production Index (DJSOEP), and the State Street SPDR of the Standard & Poors Oil & Gas Exploration & Production Select Industry Index (“XOP”), of which the ten largest weighted company holdings as of March 27, 2015 are SandRidge Energy Incorporated, Energy XXI Ltd., SM Energy Company, Range Resources Corporation, Laredo Petroleum Incorporated, EXCO Resources Incorporated, Rice Energy Incorporated, California Resources Corporation, EP Energy Corporation, and Callon Petroleum Company. The comparison assumes an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2009 in each of our Common Stock, the Russell 2000 Index and the XOP.

Comparison Of 5 Year Cumulative Total Return\*

Among Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, the Russell 2000, the S&P500, the DJSOEP and the XOP

---

\*\$100 invested on 12/31/09 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.

The information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K appearing under the heading “Stock Performance Graph” is being furnished pursuant to Item 201 (e) of Regulation S-K and shall not be deemed to be “soliciting material” or “filed” with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C, other than as provided in Item 201 (e) of Regulation S-K, or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act.

In our annual report for the year ended December 31, 2013, we had prepared the Comparison of 5 Year Cumulative Total Return by comparing the cumulative total shareholder return on our common stock during the five years ended December 31, 2013 with the cumulative total shareholder return of the S&P 500 Index and the Dow Jones U.S. Exploration and Production Index. Given the significant decrease in our market capitalization since December 31, 2013, we believe a comparison to the Russell 2000 Index and the XOP, which are comprised of companies more similarly sized to us, is more meaningful to investors.

Table of Contents

## Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

In November 2014, we adopted the 2014 Long Term Incentive Plan (the “2014 LTIP”) under which nonstatutory options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, bonus stock, dividend equivalents, and other stock-based awards may be granted to our employees, directors and consultants. The aggregate number of shares of common stock that the Company may issue under the 2014 LTIP may not exceed 20 million shares. The following table summarizes the restricted stock activity in the 2014 LTIP for the year ended December 31, 2014.

	Restricted Stock Awards Weighted		
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value (\$)	Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance under 2014 LTIP (\$)
Unvested at December 31, 2013	—	—	20,000,000
Awarded	16,859,403	0.34	(16,859,403)
Vested	(2,871,173)	0.34	—
Forfeited	(65,000)	0.34	65,000
Unvested at December 31, 2014	13,923,230	0.34	3,205,597

## Incentive Units

The Incentive Units were issued pursuant to the Combination in exchange for Incentive Units that were outstanding prior to the Combination, and were amended in connection with the closing of the Combination. The Incentive Units that were outstanding prior to the Combination were not a substantive class of equity and participated only upon liquidation events meeting certain requisite financial thresholds which were not considered probable, and, as such, were considered to be liability-based awards with no fair value recognized as of December 31, 2013. As amended, the Incentive Units represent the equivalent of stock appreciation rights redeemable for an applicable number of common shares of the Company (based on the value of the common shares). As such, the Incentive Units as amended in connection with the Combination were considered to be equity-based awards with a grant date fair value of approximately \$2.1 million, of which compensation expense will be recognized on a straight line basis over the requisite service period.

Table of Contents

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected historical financial and operating information was derived from Sabine's Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the five years ended December 31, 2014. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplemental Information on Oil and Natural Gas Producing Activities in "Part I, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and "Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)				
					(unaudited)
Total revenues	\$ 464,723	\$ 354,978	\$ 177,446	\$ 201,552	\$ 133,452
Total operating expenses	762,566	246,656	843,627	58,182	108,700
Total other income (expenses)	6,110	(97,745)	(20,618)	31,813	69,544
Net income (loss) before taxes	(291,733)	10,577	(686,799)	175,183	94,296
Income tax expense	34,987	—	—	—	—
Total operating income (loss)					
including noncontrolling interests	(326,720)	10,577	(686,799)	175,183	94,296
Less: Net income (loss)					
applicable to noncontrolling					
interest	—	—	17	(117)	(260)
Net income (loss) applicable to					
controlling interests	(326,720)	10,577	(686,782)	175,066	94,036
Net income (loss) per share (1)					
Basic	\$ (2.67)	\$ 0.09	\$ (6.92)	\$ 2.01	\$ 1.14
Diluted	\$ (2.67)	\$ 0.09	\$ (6.92)	\$ 2.01	\$ 1.14
Weighted average shares					
outstanding – basic	122,237	118,863	99,179	87,084	82,570
Weighted average shares					
outstanding – diluted	122,237	118,863	99,179	87,084	82,570
Balance Sheet Data					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,252	\$ 11,821	\$ 6,193	\$ 4,306	\$ 4,437
Total property, plant and					
equipment, net	2,066,068	1,380,042	1,256,210	1,351,815	648,044
Total Assets	2,438,350	1,678,719	1,560,559	1,529,069	801,552
Debt, net of discount	1,988,883	1,243,312	1,242,538	764,782	440,153
Total shareholders' (deficit)					
equity	(63,792)	201,010	200,433	624,128	247,207
Total liabilities and shareholders'					
(deficit) equity	2,438,350	1,678,719	1,560,559	1,529,069	801,552
Cash Flow Data					
Cash flows provided by operating					
activities	209,201	217,198	144,166	159,032	105,715
Cash flows used in investing					
activities	(438,614)	(193,809)	(687,385)	(680,922)	(325,389)

Cash flows provided by (used in)					
financing activities	220,844	(17,761)	545,106	521,759	221,622
Other Financial Data					
Adjusted EBITDA	330,880	296,057	194,986	194,272	129,222

---

- (1) Earnings per share and share information presented in the consolidated financial statements for periods prior to December 16, 2014 are based on the Company's common shares calculated by multiplying the number of Sabine O&G's units outstanding at the end of each period using an exchange ratio as derived from the agreement governing the Combination. The Company retroactively adjusted its Statement of Shareholders' (Deficit) Equity to reflect the legal capital of the accounting acquiree. Beginning on December 16, 2014, common shares are presented for the combined company.

Table of Contents

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010 (unaudited)
Reconciliation of consolidated net income (loss) to Adjusted EBITDA					
Net income (loss) applicable to controlling interests	\$ (326,720)	\$ 10,577	\$ (686,782)	\$ 175,066	\$ 94,036
Adjustments to derive adjusted EBITDA					
Interest, net of capitalized interest	115,586	99,471	49,387	39,632	33,468
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	189,516	137,068	91,353	75,424	47,547
Impairments	423,092	1,125	664,438	4,192	1,711
Gain on bargain purchase	—	—	—	(99,548)	(372)
Other	25,974	1,739	599	439	1,156
Amortization of deferred rent	(72)	(249)	(532)	(406)	(320)
Accretion	958	952	862	628	493
Loss (gain) on derivative instruments	(120,848)	46,545	75,734	(1,272)	(51,996)
Option premium amortization	(11,593)	(1,171)	(56)	—	3,239
Income tax expense	34,987	—	—	—	—
Net income applicable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(17)	117	260
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 330,880	\$ 296,057	\$ 194,986	\$ 194,272	\$ 129,222

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010 (unaudited)
Reconciliation of net cash flows from operating activities to Adjusted EBITDA					
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	\$ 209,201	\$ 217,198	\$ 144,166	\$ 159,032	\$ 105,715
Interest adjustments	94,976	79,556	42,995	35,357	17,190
Working capital and other adjustments	26,703	(697)	7,825	(117)	6,317
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 330,880	\$ 296,057	\$ 194,986	\$ 194,272	\$ 129,222

“Adjusted EBITDA” is a non-GAAP financial measure which Sabine uses in its business. This measure is not calculated or presented in accordance with US GAAP.

We believe the presentation of Adjusted EBITDA provides useful information to investors to evaluate the operations of our business excluding certain items and for the reasons set forth below. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered an alternative to net income, operating income, cash flow operating activities or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with US GAAP. Our Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of another company because all companies may not calculate Adjusted EBITDA in the same manner.

We use Adjusted EBITDA for the following purposes:

- to assess the financial performance of our assets, without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;
- to assess our operating performance and return on capital as compared to those of other companies in the oil and gas industry, without regard to financing or capital structure;
- to assess the viability of acquisition and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return on alternative investment opportunities;
- to assess the ability of our assets to generate cash sufficient to pay interest costs and support indebtedness;
- for various purposes, including strategic planning and forecasting;

62

---

Table of Contents

- the Term Loan Facility and the indenture governing the 2017 Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness unless the ratio of adjusted consolidated EBITDA to adjusted consolidated interest expense and other fixed charges over the trailing four fiscal quarters will be at least 2.0 to 1.0 (subject to exceptions for borrowings within certain limits);
- the Legacy Forest Notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness unless the ratio of adjusted consolidated EBITDA to adjusted consolidated interest expense over the trailing four fiscal quarters will be at least 2.25 to 1.00 (subject to exceptions within certain limits); and
- the New Revolving Credit Facility requires us to comply with a financial maintenance ratio in the form of a first lien secured leverage ratio not to exceed 3.0 to 1.0 which is defined as a ratio of consolidated first lien secured debt as of the last day of a fiscal quarter to adjusted EBITDA for the period of four fiscal quarters then ending.

## Table of Contents

### Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following discussion contains "forward-looking statements" that reflect our future plans, estimates, beliefs and expected performance. We caution that assumptions, expectations, projections, intentions, or beliefs about future events may, and often do, vary from actual results and the differences can be material. Some of the key factors which could cause actual results to vary from expectations include changes in oil and natural gas prices, the timing of planned capital expenditures, availability of acquisitions, uncertainties in estimating proved reserves and forecasting production results, operational factors affecting the commencement or maintenance of producing wells, the condition of the capital markets generally, as well as our ability to access them and uncertainties regarding environmental regulations or litigation and other legal or regulatory developments affecting our business, as well as those factors discussed below and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, all of which are difficult to predict. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed may not occur. See "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements."

#### Overview

We are an independent oil and natural gas company engaged in the acquisition, development, exploitation and exploration of oil and natural gas properties onshore in the United States. Our properties are primarily focused in three core geographic areas:

- East Texas targeting the Cotton Valley Sand, Haynesville Shale and Pettet formations;
- South Texas, targeting the Eagle Ford Shale formation; and
- North Texas, targeting the Granite Wash formation.

As of December 31, 2014, we held interests in approximately 278,500 gross (219,200 net) acres in East Texas, 88,100 gross (58,700 net) acres in South Texas and 51,400 gross (36,900 net) acres in North Texas. As of December 31, 2014, we were the operator on 89%, 99% and 99% of our net acreage positions in East Texas, South Texas and North Texas, respectively.

Our full year 2015 capital expenditures are forecasted to total approximately \$230 million to \$275 million. As a result, we expect production growth from our 2015 capital program will not offset production declines, which will result in material decreases to our production and related cash flows. Consistent with our historical practice, we periodically review our capital expenditures and adjust our budget based on liquidity, commodity prices and drilling results.

We expect to focus operations in the exploration and production segment of the energy industry in the United States. Our gathering and processing assets are primarily dedicated to supporting the natural gas volumes we produce and do not generate any material amounts of revenue. Our ability to develop and produce current reserves and add additional reserves is driven by several factors, including:

- success in the drilling of new wells;
- commodity prices;
- the availability of attractive acquisition opportunities and our ability to execute them;
- the activities and elections of third parties under our joint development agreements;
- the availability of capital and the amount we invest in the leasing and development of properties and the drilling of wells;
- facility or equipment availability and unexpected delays or downtime, including delays imposed by or resulting from compliance with regulatory requirements; and





## Table of Contents

· the rate at which production volumes naturally decline.

### Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

We have significant pending maturities on our debt obligations. If we are unable to refinance our 2017 Notes to mature at least 91 days after December 31, 2018, our Term Loan Facility in an outstanding amount of \$700 million will mature on November 16, 2016. Our New Revolving Credit Facility, which currently has \$971 million of debt outstanding, will mature on April 7, 2016. Our ability to repay the principal amount of our debt upon the pending maturities has been negatively impacted by significant decreases in the market price for oil, natural gas, and NGLs during the fourth quarter of 2014 with continued weakness into the first quarter of 2015. Additionally, our borrowing base under our New Revolving Credit Facility is subject to its next semi-annual redetermination in April 2015. Based on discussions with the lenders under our New Revolving Credit Facility, we believe that our borrowing base may be decreased significantly. Because our New Revolving Credit Facility is fully drawn, any decrease in our borrowing base as a result of the redetermination will result in a deficiency which must be repaid within 30 days or in six monthly installments thereafter, at our election. The uncertainty associated with our ability to repay our outstanding debt obligations as they become due raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

### The Combination

On December 16, 2014, the Legacy Sabine Investors contributed the equity interests in Sabine O&G to Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, which was then known as Forest Oil Corporation. In exchange for this contribution, the Legacy Sabine Investors received shares of Sabine common stock and Sabine Series A preferred stock, collectively representing approximately a 73.5% economic interest in Sabine and 40% of the total voting power in Sabine. Immediately following the contribution, Sabine O&G and related holding companies merged into Forest, with Forest surviving the mergers. Holders of Sabine common stock immediately prior to the closing of the Combination continued to hold their Sabine common stock following the closing, which immediately following the closing represented approximately a 26.5% economic interest in Sabine and 60% of the total voting power in Sabine. On December 19, 2014, Forest Oil Corporation changed its name to Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation. In connection with the completion of the Combination, the executive management team of Sabine O&G were appointed as the executive management team of Sabine, and the members of the former executive management team of Forest resigned or were removed from their positions.

Sabine O&G is considered the predecessor of Sabine or acquirer of Forest, and, accordingly, the historical financial statements and results of operations of Sabine for periods prior to the completion of the Combination are those of Sabine O&G, as the predecessor, and the historical financial statements and results of operations for the year ending December 31, 2014 include the historical financial statements of Sabine O&G, with the combined operating results of Forest consolidated therein only from the closing date of December 16, 2014 and thereafter. Accordingly, our results of operations discussed in this section may not be indicative of our results of operation following the Combination. The underlying Forest assets acquired and liabilities assumed by us were based on their respective fair market values. No goodwill resulted from the Combination as the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed approximated purchase price.

Prior to the Combination, Sabine O&G was a privately-held company and Forest's common stock was listed on the NYSE. Following the Combination, our common stock trades on the OTCQB, currently under the ticker symbol SOGC.

### Source of Revenues

We derive substantially all of our revenue from the sale of oil, NGLs and natural gas that are produced from our interests in properties located onshore in the United States. Oil and natural gas prices are inherently volatile and are

influenced by many factors outside of our control. Oil and natural gas prices decreased significantly in the second half of 2014 and have remained low throughout the first quarter of 2015. If commodity prices remain at current levels, we expect significantly lower revenues and operating cash flows compared to historical results.

To achieve more predictable cash flows and to reduce exposure to downward price fluctuations, we use derivative instruments to hedge future sales prices on a significant portion of oil and natural gas production. We currently use a combination of fixed price oil and natural gas swaps and options for which we receive a fixed price (via either swap

Table of Contents

price, floor or collar or put price) for future production in exchange for a payment of the variable market price received at the time future production is sold. See “Commodity Hedging Activities” below for more information regarding our economic hedge positions.

Principal Components of Cost Structure

- Lease operating, marketing, gathering, transportation and other. These are costs incurred to produce oil and natural gas and deliver the volumes to the market, together with the costs incurred to maintain producing properties, such as maintenance and repairs. These costs, which have both a fixed and variable component, are primarily a function of volume of oil and natural gas produced from currently producing wells and incrementally from new production from drilling and completion activities. Lease operating expenses include workover expenses.
- Production and ad valorem taxes. Production taxes are paid on produced oil and natural gas primarily based on the wellhead value of production. The applicable rates vary across the areas in which we operate. As the proportion of production changes from area to area, production tax rates will vary depending on the quantities produced from each area and the applicable production tax rates then in effect. Ad valorem taxes are typically computed on the basis of a property valuation as determined by certain state and local taxing authorities and will vary annually based on commodity price fluctuations.
- General and administrative. This cost includes all overhead associated with our business activities, including payroll and benefits for corporate staff, costs of maintaining our headquarters, audit, tax, legal and other professional and consulting fees, insurance and other costs necessary in the management of our production and development operations.

As a full cost method of accounting company, we capitalize general and administrative expenses that are directly attributable to our oil and natural gas activities. We capitalized \$10.1 million, \$6.6 million and \$2.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

- Depletion, depreciation and amortization. This includes the systematic expensing of the capitalized costs incurred to acquire and develop oil and natural gas properties. As a full cost company, we capitalize all costs associated with acquisition, exploration, development and related efforts and deplete these costs using the units-of-production method.
- Impairments. We evaluate the impairment of proved oil and natural gas properties on a full cost basis. Property impairment charges result from application of the ceiling test under the full cost accounting rules, which we are required to calculate on a quarterly basis. The ceiling test requires that a non-cash impairment charge be taken to reduce the carrying value of oil and natural gas properties if the carrying value exceeds a defined cost-center ceiling. Because current commodity prices, and related calculations of the discounted present value of reserves, are significant factors in the full cost ceiling test, impairment charges may result from declines in oil, NGLs and natural gas prices. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we recorded \$247.7 million, no impairment, and \$641.8 million, respectively, of non-cash impairment charges as a result of the full cost ceiling limitation.

We could have a future reduction in asset carrying value for oil and natural gas properties if the average of the unweighted first day of the month oil and natural gas prices for the prior twelve month period declines. For example, as of December 31, 2014, the unweighted average of the historical first day of the month pricing for oil and natural gas were \$94.99 per Bbl and \$4.35 per MMbtu, respectively, compared to \$82.72 per Bbl and \$3.88 per MMbtu for oil and natural gas, respectively, in March 2015. Holding all other factors constant, if commodity prices used in our year-end reserve estimates were decreased by \$12.27 per Bbl for crude oil and \$0.47 per Mcf for natural gas, thereby approximating the pricing environment existing in March 2015, our estimated discounted future cash flows from proved reserves at December 31, 2014 would decrease by approximately \$363 million, or 21%. We review all of our unevaluated properties quarterly to determine whether or not and to what extent proved reserves have been assigned to the properties and otherwise if impairment has occurred. In addition, we analyze our unevaluated leasehold and transfer to evaluated properties



Table of Contents

leasehold that can be associated with proved reserves, leasehold that expired in the quarter or leasehold that is not a part of our development strategy and will be abandoned.

We evaluate gas gathering and processing equipment for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we recorded impairment charges for gas gathering and processing equipment of \$1.7 million, no impairment, and \$21.4 million, respectively, based on expected present value and estimated future cash flows using current volume throughput and pricing assumptions. Additionally, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we recorded impairment charges for other assets of \$0.2 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis as of October 1 of each year and more frequently if changes in circumstances warrant. Due to a drop in commodity prices and the \$247.7 million ceiling impairment, a December 31, 2014 impairment test was also performed and resulted in the impairment of our \$173.5 million of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2014.

- Interest. During the periods presented, we have historically financed a portion of our working capital requirements and acquisitions with borrowings under the Former Revolving Credit Facility, the New Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Loan Facility. As a result, we incurred interest expense that was affected by the level of drilling, completion and acquisition activities, as well as fluctuations in interest rates and our financing decisions. We also incurred interest expense on our 2017 Notes, and, for the period following the completion of the Combination on December 16, 2014, the 2019 Notes and 2020 Notes. As of March 15, 2015, the total outstanding principal amount of our long-term indebtedness was \$2.821 billion, consisting of indebtedness under the New Revolving Credit Facility, the 2017 Notes, the Legacy Forest Notes, and the Term Loan Facility, which will continue to expose us to interest rates. As of March 15, 2015, no extensions of credit are available under the New Revolving Credit Facility. We will likely continue to incur significant interest expense as we continue to grow. To date, we have not entered into any interest rate hedging arrangements to mitigate the effects of interest rate changes. Additionally, we capitalized \$6.5 million, \$13.0 million and \$4.3 million of interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.
- Income Tax Expense. Prior to the Combination, we were a limited liability company treated as a partnership for federal and state income tax liabilities and/or benefits of Sabine O&G being passed through to its member. Accordingly, no provision for federal or state income taxes was recorded prior to the Combination as our equity holders were responsible for income tax on our profits. In connection with the completion of the Combination, we merged into a corporation and became subject to federal and state income taxes. Our book and tax basis in assets and liabilities differed at the time of our change in tax status due primarily to different cost recovery periods utilized for book and tax purposes for our oil and natural gas properties.

For the year December 31, 2014, we recorded total income tax expense of \$35 million. The significant differences between our blended federal and state statutory income tax rate of 36% were primarily due to earnings prior to the corporate merger that are not subject to corporate income tax, recording the initial book and tax basis differences associated with the change in tax status, and impairment of non-deductible goodwill and changes in the valuation allowance.

Table of Contents

## Significant Transactions

Other than the Combination, which is described under “The Combination” above, the following table presents a summary of our significant property acquisitions from 2012 through 2014:

Primary locations of acquired properties	Transaction Date	Purchase Price (in millions)
North Texas – Granite Wash (TX)	June 2014	\$ 18
North Texas – Granite Wash (TX)	March 2014	\$ 20
South Texas – Eagleford Shale (TX)	April 2013	\$ 15
North Texas – Granite Wash/Cleveland Sand (TX)	December 2012	\$ 658
South Texas – Eagle Ford Shale (TX)	December 2012	\$ 79

Our acquisitions were financed with a combination of funding from equity contributions from sponsors, borrowings under the Former Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility and cash flow from operations. Because of our substantial recent acquisition activity, the discussion and analysis of our historical financial condition and results of operations for the periods discussed below may not necessarily be comparable with or applicable to future results of operations. Our historical results include the results from recent acquisitions beginning on the closing dates indicated in the table above.

In December 2013, we sold our working interest in approximately 27,000 net acres in the Texas Panhandle and surrounding Oklahoma areas for an adjusted purchase price of approximately \$169 million. This includes primarily the Cleveland Sand assets acquired in 2012. In addition, we sold all of our oil and natural gas properties located in the Rocky Mountain region in the second quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2014 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013

The following table sets forth selected operating data for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2014      2013 (in thousands)		Amount of Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change	
Revenues					
Oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas	\$ 462,363	\$ 354,223	\$ 108,140	31	%
Other	2,360	755	1,605	213	%
Total revenues	464,723	354,978	109,745	31	%
Operating expenses					
Lease operating	51,262	44,620	6,642	15	%
Marketing, gathering, transportation and other	23,621	17,567	6,054	34	%
Production and ad valorem taxes	18,161	17,824	337	2	%
General and administrative	30,373	27,469	2,904	11	%
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	189,516	137,068	52,448	38	%
Accretion	958	952	6	1	%
Impairments	423,092	1,125	421,967		*
Other operating expenses (income)	25,583	(858)	26,441		*
Total operating expenses	762,566	245,767	516,799	210	%
Other income (expenses)					
Interest, net of capitalized interest	(115,586)	(99,471)	16,115	16	%
Gain on derivative instruments	121,669	814	(120,855)		*
Other income	27	23	(4)		*
Total other income (expenses)	6,110	(98,634)	(104,744)		*
Net (loss) income before income taxes	\$ (291,733)	\$ 10,577	\$ (302,310)		*
Income tax expense	34,987	—	34,987		*
Net (loss) income	\$ (326,720)	\$ 10,577	\$ (337,297)		*
Reconciliation to derive Adjusted EBITDA (1):					
Interest, net of capitalized interest	115,586	99,471			
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	189,516	137,068			
Impairments	423,092	1,125			
Other	25,974	1,739			
Amortization of deferred rent	(72)	(249)			
Accretion	958	952			
(Gain) loss on derivative instruments	(120,848)	46,545			
Option premium amortization	(11,593)	(1,171)			
Income tax expense	34,987	—			
Adjusted EBITDA (1)	\$ 330,880	\$ 296,057			



\* Not meaningful or applicable

(1) Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please see “—Non-GAAP Financial Measure.”

69

---

Table of Contents

	For the Year Ended December 31,		Amount of	Percent	
	2014	2013	Increase (Decrease)	Change	
Oil, NGL and natural gas sales by product (in thousands):					
Oil	\$ 181,313	\$ 132,513	\$ 48,800	37	%
NGL	62,420	59,772	2,648	4	%
Natural gas	218,630	161,938	56,692	35	%
Total	\$ 462,363	\$ 354,223	\$ 108,140	31	%
Production data:					
Oil (MBbl)	2,169.52	1,403.62	765.90	55	%
NGL (MBbl)	2,120.56	1,842.47	278.09	15	%
Natural gas (Bcf)	49.22	44.29	4.93	11	%
Combined (Bcfe) (1)	74.96	63.77	11.19	18	%
Average prices before effects of economic hedges (2):					
Oil (per Bbl)	\$ 83.57	\$ 94.41	\$ (10.84)	(11)	%
NGL (per Bbl)	\$ 29.44	\$ 32.44	\$ (3)	(9)	%
Natural gas (per Mcf)	\$ 4.44	\$ 3.66	\$ 0.78	21	%
Combined (per Mcfe) (1)	\$ 6.17	\$ 5.55	\$ 0.62	11	%
Average realized prices after effects of economic hedges (2):					
Oil (per Bbl)	\$ 81.79	\$ 90.49	\$ (8.70)	(10)	%
NGL (per Bbl)	\$ 29.44	\$ 32.44	\$ (3.00)	(9)	%
Natural gas (per Mcf)	\$ 4.30	\$ 4.82	\$ (0.52)	(11)	%
Combined (per Mcfe)(1)	\$ 6.02	\$ 6.28	\$ (0.26)	(4)	%
Average costs (per Mcfe) (1):					
Lease operating	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.70	\$ (0.02)	(3)	%
Marketing, gathering, transportation and other	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.04	14	%
Production and ad valorem taxes	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.28	\$ (0.04)	(14)	%
General and administrative	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.43	\$ (0.02)	(5)	%
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	\$ 2.53	\$ 2.15	\$ 0.37	18	%

(1) Oil and NGL production was converted at 6 Mcf per Bbl to calculate combined production and per Mcfe amounts.

(2) Average prices shown in the table reflect prices both before and after the effects of cash settlements on commodity derivative transactions. The Company's calculation of such effects includes gains or losses on cash settlements for commodity derivative transactions.

Oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales. Revenues from production of oil and natural gas increased from \$354.2 million in 2013 to \$462.4 million in 2014, an increase of 31%. This increase of \$108.1 million was primarily the result of an increase in oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas revenues of \$48.8 million, \$2.6 million and \$56.7 million, respectively, due to an increase in production in South Texas through an active and successful development program in this region as well as an increase in realized price for natural gas of 21%. These increases were partially offset by the December 2013 sale of our interests in certain oil and natural gas properties in the Texas Panhandle and surrounding Oklahoma area and a decrease in realized price for oil of 11%.



Table of Contents

The following table sets forth additional information concerning our production volumes for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013:

	For the Year Ended		Percent Change	
	December 31, 2014	2013		
	(in Bcfe)			
South Texas	22.65	9.89	129	%
East Texas	44.39	42.05	6	%
North Texas	7.92	11.83	(33)	%
Total	74.96	63.77	18	%

Lease operating. Lease operating expenses increased from \$44.6 million in 2013 to \$51.3 million in 2014, an increase of 15%. The increase in lease operating expense of \$6.6 million is primarily due to an increase in producing properties as a result of development activities in South Texas partially offset by the December 2013 sale of our interests in certain oil and natural gas properties in the Texas Panhandle and surrounding Oklahoma area. Lease operating expenses decreased from \$0.70 per Mcfe in 2013 to \$0.68 per Mcfe in 2014. The decrease of \$0.02 per Mcfe in the year ended December 31, 2014 versus the year ended December 31, 2013 is primarily due to the December 2013 sale of our interests in certain oil and natural gas properties in the Texas Panhandle and surrounding Oklahoma area with offsetting increases in South Texas and East Texas as a result of increasing development activities. The following table displays the lease operating expense by area for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	For the Year Ended		December 31,	
	2014	Per Mcfe	2013	Per Mcfe
	(in thousands, except per Mcfe data)			
South Texas	\$ 8,185	\$ 0.36	\$ 2,266	\$ 0.23
East Texas	40,089	0.94	36,183	0.86
North Texas	3,008	0.38	6,162	0.52
Other	(20)	—	9	—
Total	\$ 51,262	\$ 0.68	\$ 44,620	\$ 0.70

Marketing, gathering, transportation and other. Marketing, gathering, transportation and other expenses increased from \$17.6 million in 2013 to \$23.6 million in 2014, an increase of 34%. Marketing, gathering, transportation and other expense increased on a per unit basis from \$0.28 per Mcfe in 2013 to \$0.32 per Mcfe in 2014. The increase of \$0.04 per Mcfe in the year ended December 31, 2014 versus the year ended December 31, 2013 is primarily due to increased processing of gas volumes associated with our South Texas development activities as well as gas volumes associated with our Haynesville development activities in East Texas, which were subject to higher fees due to lack of pipeline infrastructure, partially offset by decreases in the average rate per Mcfe due to the December 2013 sale of our

interests in certain oil and gas properties in the Texas Panhandle and surrounding Oklahoma area coupled with increasing oil volumes associated with development activities in that area.

Production and ad valorem taxes. Production and ad valorem taxes increased from \$17.8 million in 2013 to \$18.2 million in 2014, an increase of 2%. Production and ad valorem taxes decreased on a per unit basis from \$0.28 per Mcfe in 2013 to \$0.24 per Mcfe in 2014. The decrease of \$0.04 per Mcfe in the year ended December 31, 2014 versus the year ended December 31, 2013 is primarily due to a decrease in North Texas production due to the December 2013 sale of our interests in certain oil and natural gas properties in the Texas Panhandle and surrounding Oklahoma area. This decrease in the rate per Mcfe is partially offset by increases in production tax expenses primarily due to increased production in the South Texas region which is incurring higher production taxes on oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas production. We expect to experience continued variability in our production taxes as a result of timing of approval for high cost gas tax exemptions. Production and ad valorem taxes as a percentage of oil and natural gas revenues were 4% and 5% for 2014 and 2013, respectively.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses increased from \$27.5 million in 2013 to \$30.4 million in 2014, an increase of \$2.9 million, or 11%, primarily as a result of higher overhead associated with our growing

Table of Contents

business. General and administrative expenses decreased on a per unit basis from \$0.43 per Mcfe in 2013 to \$0.41 per Mcfe in 2014 due to increased production without a proportionate increase in general and administrative expenses.

Depletion, depreciation and amortization. DD&A increased from \$137.1 million in 2013 to \$189.5 million in 2014, an increase of \$52.4 million. Depletion, depreciation, and amortization increased from \$2.15 per Mcfe in 2013 to \$2.53 per Mcfe in 2014, or an increase of 18%. The increase in the DD&A rate per Mcfe is driven by reductions to proved reserves due to the sale of certain oil and natural gas properties in North Texas during the fourth quarter of 2013 as well as an increase in the amortization base as a result of development activities without a proportionate increase in reserve volumes.

Impairments. In 2014, there were non-cash impairment charges related to oil and natural gas properties of \$247.7 million, impairment charges for gas gathering and processing equipment of \$1.7 million and impairment charges for other assets of \$0.2 million. Additionally, due to a drop in commodity prices and the \$247.7 million ceiling impairment, a December 31, 2014 goodwill impairment test resulted in the impairment of our \$173.5 million of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2014. In 2013, there were impairment charges for other assets of \$1.1 million. There were no impairments related to oil and natural gas properties recognized in 2013 as a result of favorable unweighted average of the historical first day of the month pricing for the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$3.67 per MMBtu as compared to \$2.76 per MMBtu for the year ended December 31, 2012 as well as favorable performance from our 2013 development activities.

Other operating expenses. Other operating expenses in 2014 relate primarily to \$25.5 million of transaction costs related to the Combination and \$2.0 million for the write-off of previously deferred public offering costs related to offerings which were aborted prior to our decision to commence the Combination, partially offset by the gain on sale of other assets of \$1.5 million, as compared to \$0.9 million of other operating income for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased from \$99.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$115.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, an increase of \$16.1 million, or 16%, primarily as a result of increased borrowings on the New Revolving Credit Facility and \$5.8 million of interest expense on the 2019 Notes and the 2020 Notes. Additionally, capitalized interest has decreased due to reclassification of unproved oil and natural gas properties in 2014 into the full cost pool as a result of development activities or impairments due to lease expirations and abandonments. We capitalized \$6.5 million and \$13.0 million of interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Gain on derivative instruments. Gains and losses from the change in fair value of derivative instruments as well as cash settlements on commodity derivatives are recognized in our results of operations. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we recognized net gains on derivative instruments of \$121.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. The amount of future gain or loss recognized on derivative instruments is dependent upon future commodity prices, which will affect the value of the contracts.

Income Tax Expense. Prior to the Combination, no provision for federal or state income taxes was recorded, as we were a limited liability company and not subject to federal or state income tax. In connection with the completion of the Combination, we merged into a corporation and became subject to federal and state income taxes. For December 31, 2014, we recorded an estimated net deferred tax expense of \$35 million to recognize a deferred tax liability for the initial book and tax basis difference associated with the change in tax status, impairment of a non-deductible goodwill and changes in the valuation allowance.



Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

The following table sets forth selected operating data for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2013      2012 (in thousands)		Amount of Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change	
Revenues					
Oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas	\$ 354,223	\$ 177,422	\$ 176,801	100	%
Other	755	24	731		*
Total revenues	354,978	177,446	177,532	100	%
Operating expenses					
Lease operating	44,620	43,649	971	2	%
Marketing, gathering, transportation and other	17,567	17,491	76	0	%
Production and ad valorem taxes	17,824	4,400	13,424	305	%
General and administrative	27,469	21,434	6,035	28	%
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	137,068	91,353	45,715	50	%
Accretion	952	862	90	10	%
Impairments	1,125	664,438	(663,313)		*
Other operating expenses (income)	(858)	516	(1,374)		*
Total operating expenses	245,767	844,143	(598,376)	(71)	%
Other income (expenses)					
Interest, net of capitalized interest	(99,471)	(49,387)	50,084	101	%
Gain on derivative instruments	814	29,267	28,453		*
Other income	23	18	(5)		*
Total other expenses	(98,634)	(20,102)	78,532		*
Net income (loss), including noncontrolling interests	10,577	(686,799)	697,376		*
Less: Net income applicable to noncontrolling interests	—	17	(17)	(100)	%
Net income (loss) applicable to controlling interests	\$ 10,577	\$ (686,782)	\$ 697,359		*
Reconciliation to derive Adjusted EBITDA (1):					
Interest, net of capitalized interest	99,471	49,387			
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	137,068	91,353			
Impairments	1,125	664,438			
Other	1,739	599			
Amortization of deferred rent	(249)	(532)			
Accretion	952	862			
Loss (gain) on derivative instruments	46,545	75,734			
Option premium amortization	(1,171)	(56)			
Net income applicable to noncontrolling interests	—	(17)			



Adjusted EBITDA (1)	\$ 296,057	\$ 194,986
---------------------	------------	------------

---

\* Not meaningful or applicable

(1) Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please see “—Non-GAAP Financial Measure.”

73

---

Table of Contents

	For the Year Ended December 31,		Amount of Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change	
	2013	2012			
Oil, NGL and natural gas sales by product (in thousands):					
Oil	\$ 132,513	\$ 30,343	102,170	337	%
NGL	59,772	36,957	22,815	62	%
Natural gas	161,938	110,122	51,816	47	%
Total	\$ 354,223	\$ 177,422	176,801	100	%
Production data:					
Oil (MBbl)	1,403.62	317.07	1,086.55	343	%
NGL (MBbl)	1,842.47	931.26	911.21	98	%
Natural gas (Bcf)	44.29	41.12	3.17	8	%
Combined (Bcfe) (1)	63.77	48.61	15.16	31	%
Average prices before effects of economic hedges (2):					
Oil (per Bbl)	\$ 94.41	\$ 95.70	(1.29)	(1)	%
NGL (Bbl)	\$ 32.44	\$ 39.68	(7.24)	(18)	%
Natural gas (per Mcf)	\$ 3.66	\$ 2.68	0.98	37	%
Combined (per Mcfe) (1)	\$ 5.55	\$ 3.65	1.90	52	%
Average realized prices after effects of economic hedges (2):					
Oil (per Bbl)	\$ 90.49	\$ 95.79	(5.30)	(6)	%
NGL (Bbl)	\$ 32.44	\$ 39.68	(7.24)	(18)	%
Natural gas (per Mcf)	\$ 4.82	\$ 5.17	(0.35)	(7)	%
Combined (per Mcfe) (1)	\$ 6.28	\$ 5.81	0.47	(8)	%
Average costs (per Mcfe) (1):					
Lease operating	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.90	(0.20)	(22)	%
Marketing, gathering, transportation and other	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.36	(0.08)	(22)	%
Production and ad valorem taxes	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.09	0.19	211	%
General and administrative	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.44	(0.01)	(2)	%
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	\$ 2.15	\$ 1.88	0.27	14	%

- (1) Oil and NGL production was converted at 6 Mcf per Bbl to calculate combined production and per Mcfe amounts.
- (2) Average prices shown in the table reflect prices both before and after the effects of cash settlements on commodity derivative transactions. The Company's calculation of such effects includes gains or losses on cash settlements for commodity derivative transactions.

Oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales. Revenues from production of oil and natural gas increased from \$177.4 million in 2012 to \$354.2 million in 2013, an increase of 100%. This increase of \$176.8 million was primarily the result of an increase in liquids revenues of \$125.0 million due to an increase in liquids production subsequent to our

North Texas and South Texas acquisitions and our active and successful development program in these regions contributing approximately \$140.1 million, partially offset by decreased liquids pricing of approximately \$15.2 million. Additionally, natural gas revenues increased \$51.8 million, or 47%, due to an increase in realized natural gas prices of 37% contributing approximately \$43.4 million, and increased natural gas production contributing approximately \$8.5 million due to acquisitions in North Texas and South Texas and the successful development programs in these regions, partially offset by lower East Texas volumes and the sale of the Rockies assets.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth additional information concerning our production volumes for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012:

	For the Year Ended		Percent Change	
	December 31, 2013	2012		
	(in Bcfe)			
South Texas	9.89	0.38	2,508	%
East Texas	42.05	45.83	(8)	%
North Texas	11.83	0.54	2,091	%
Rockies (through August 31, 2012)	—	1.86	(100)	%
Total	63.77	48.61	31	%

Lease operating expenses. Lease operating expenses increased from \$43.6 million in 2012 to \$44.6 million in 2013, an increase of 2%. The increase in lease operating expense of \$1.0 million is primarily due to our December 2012 acquired properties. Lease operating expenses decreased from \$0.90 per Mcfe in 2012 to \$0.70 per Mcfe in 2013. The decrease of \$0.20 per Mcfe is primarily due to the commencement of lower cost production in South Texas and North Texas following our December 2012 acquisitions in these areas as well as a lower realized cost on our higher volume East Texas 2013 completions. The following table displays the lease operating expense by area for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the Year Ended		December 31,	
	2013	Per Mcfe	2012	Per Mcfe
	(in thousands, except per Mcfe data)			
South Texas	\$ 2,266	\$ 0.23	\$ 246	\$ 0.65
East Texas	36,183	0.86	40,360	0.88
North Texas	6,162	0.52	248	0.46
Rockies (through August 31, 2012)	(11)	—	2,795	1.50
Giant (1)	20	—	—	—
Total	\$ 44,620	\$ 0.70	\$ 43,649	\$ 0.90

(1) Giant Gas Gathering LLC, acquired in December 2012, owns and operates gas gathering and processing equipment servicing certain wells in North Texas.

Marketing, gathering, transportation and other. Marketing, gathering, transportation and other expenses increased from \$17.5 million in 2012 to \$17.6 million in 2013. Marketing, gathering, transportation and other expense decreased on a per unit basis from \$0.36 per Mcfe in 2012 to \$0.28 per Mcfe in 2013. The per unit basis decrease is primarily associated with our North Texas and South Texas regions resulting from our 2012 acquisitions and current year development activities, as well as a reduction in fees on a per unit of production basis attributable to volumes from our 2013 completions in East Texas and the sale of our Rockies assets.

Production and ad valorem taxes. Production and ad valorem taxes increased from \$4.4 million in 2012 to \$17.8 million in 2013, an increase of 305%. Production and ad valorem taxes increased on a per unit basis from \$0.09 per Mcfe in 2012 to \$0.28 per Mcfe in 2013. The increase is primarily related to increased production in our North Texas and South Texas regions which are incurring higher production taxes on oil and NGLs production and not earning tax credits attributed to high cost gas exemptions for our wells in 2013 compared to 2012. We also expect to experience continued variability in our production taxes as a result of timing of approval for high cost gas tax exemptions. Production and ad valorem taxes as a percentage of oil and natural gas revenues were 5% and 3% for 2013 and 2012, respectively.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased from \$21.4 million in 2012 to \$27.5 million in 2013, an increase of \$6.0 million, or 28%, as a result of increased legal and consulting fees related to various current year projects and higher overhead associated with our growing business. General and administrative expenses decreased from \$0.44 per Mcfe in 2012 to \$0.43 per Mcfe in 2013.

## Table of Contents

Depletion, depreciation and amortization (DD&A). DD&A increased from \$91.4 million in 2012 to \$137.1 million in 2013, an increase of \$45.7 million, or 50%. Depletion, depreciation, and amortization increased from \$1.88 per Mcfe in 2012 to \$2.15 per Mcfe in 2013, or an increase of 14%. The increase in the DD&A rate is primarily the result of our December 2012 acquisitions.

Impairments. In 2012, there were non-cash impairment charges related to oil and natural gas properties of \$641.8 million, impairment charges for gas gathering and processing equipment of \$21.4 million and impairment charges for other assets of \$1.2 million. In 2013, there were impairment charges for other assets of \$1.1 million. There were no impairments related to oil and natural gas properties recognized in 2013 as a result of favorable unweighted average of the historical first day of the month pricing for the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$3.67 per MMBtu as compared to \$2.76 per MMBtu for the year ended December 31, 2012 as well as favorable performance from our 2013 development activities.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased from \$49.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$99.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, an increase of \$50.1 million, or 101%, primarily as a result of the Term Loan Facility. Additionally, we capitalized \$13.0 million and \$4.3 million of interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Gain (loss) on derivative instruments. Gains and losses from the change in fair value of derivative instruments as well as cash settlements on commodity derivatives are recognized in our results of operations. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we recognized net gains on derivative instruments of \$0.8 million and \$29.3 million, respectively. The amount of future gain or loss recognized on derivative instruments is dependent upon future commodity prices, which will affect the value of the contracts.

## Capital Resources and Liquidity

Our primary sources of liquidity have historically been equity contributions, borrowings under the New Revolving Credit Facility, net cash provided by operating activities, net proceeds from the issuance of the 2017 Notes and proceeds from the Term Loan Facility. Our primary use of capital has been the acquisition and development of oil and natural gas properties. In connection with funding our liquidity and the Combination, we have incurred substantial additional debt. As of March 15, 2015, the total outstanding principal amount of our long-term indebtedness was \$2.821 billion, consisting of indebtedness under the New Revolving Credit Facility, the 2017 Notes, the Legacy Forest Notes, and the Term Loan Facility, and, as of March 15, 2015, no extensions of credit are available under the New Revolving Credit Facility after giving effect to \$29 million of outstanding letters of credit. We have substantial interest payment obligations related to this debt of approximately \$145 million for the remainder of 2015. In addition, if we are unable to obtain the consent of the agent or lenders under the Term Loan, our New Revolving Credit Facility will mature April 7, 2016. We may not be able to refinance our debt on terms acceptable to us or at all. For a description of our outstanding debt instruments, please see “—Cash Flow Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities.”

Our ability to service our debt obligations and fund our capital expenditures has been negatively impacted by significant decreases in the market price for oil, NGLs and natural gas during the fourth quarter of 2014 with continued weakness into the first quarter of 2015. The decrease in the market price for our production directly reduces our operating cash flow. While we use hedging arrangements to reduce our exposure to fluctuations in the prices of oil, NGLs and natural gas, only a portion of our production is hedged and we may be unable to effectively hedge our production for future periods. In addition, the decrease in the market price for our production indirectly impacts our other sources of potential liquidity. Lower market prices for our production may result in lower borrowing capacity under our New Revolving Credit Facility or higher borrowing costs from other potential sources of debt financing as our borrowing capacity and borrowing costs are generally related to the value of our estimated proved reserves.

Our borrowing base under our New Revolving Credit Facility is subject to its next semi-annual redetermination in April 2015. On February 25, 2015, we borrowed \$356 million under our New Revolving Credit Facility which represented the remaining undrawn amount under the New Revolving Credit Facility, and our cash balance at March 15, 2015 was approximately \$326.8 million. Based on discussions with the lenders under our New Revolving Credit Facility, we believe that our borrowing base may be decreased significantly. Because our New Revolving Credit Facility is fully drawn, any reduction in our borrowing base as a result of the redetermination will result in a deficiency which must be

## Table of Contents

repaid within 30 days or in six monthly installments thereafter, at our election. Despite our significant cash balance, we may be unable to service the interest payments on our debt or fund our capital expenditure program during the remainder of 2015 if our borrowing base is materially decreased.

In order to increase our liquidity to levels sufficient to meet our commitments, we are currently pursuing or considering a number of actions including (i) dispositions of non-core assets, (ii) actively managing our debt capital structure through a number of alternatives, including debt repurchases, debt-for-debt exchanges, debt-for-equity exchanges and secured financing, (iii) in- and out-of-court restructuring, (iv) minimizing our capital expenditures, (v) obtaining waivers or amendments from our lenders, (vi) effectively managing our working capital and (vii) improving our cash flows from operations. There can be no assurance that sufficient liquidity can be raised from one or more of these transactions or that these transactions can be consummated within the period needed to meet certain obligations, which may affect the overall timing of our current development plan associated with our proved undeveloped reserves. Additionally, covenants contained in our debt agreements may limit our ability to pursue certain of the above strategies. The terms of our New Revolving Credit Facility, Term Loan Facility and the indentures governing our senior notes require that some or all of the proceeds from certain asset sales be used to permanently reduce outstanding debt which could substantially reduce the amount of proceeds we retain. The covenants in these debt agreements also impose limitations on the amount and type of additional indebtedness we can incur, which may significantly reduce our ability to obtain liquidity through the incurrence of additional indebtedness. Furthermore, our ability to refinance any of our existing indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms may be materially and adversely impacted by the current conditions in the energy industry and our financial condition. If commodity prices do not significantly increase from current levels and we are unable to complete some or all of the above mentioned actions, our liquidity position will be significantly constrained in the future.

### Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

We have significant pending maturities on our debt obligations. If we are unable to refinance our 2017 Notes to mature at least 91 days after December 31, 2018, our Term Loan Facility in an outstanding amount of \$700 million will mature on November 16, 2016. Our New Revolving Credit Facility, which currently has \$971 million of debt outstanding, will mature on April 7, 2016. Our ability to repay the principal amount of our debt upon the pending maturities has been negatively impacted by significant decreases in the market price for oil, natural gas, and NGLs during the fourth quarter of 2014 with continued weakness into the first quarter of 2015. Additionally, our borrowing base under our New Revolving Credit Facility is subject to its next semi-annual redetermination in April 2015. Based on discussions with the lenders under our New Revolving Credit Facility, we believe that our borrowing base may be decreased significantly. Because our New Revolving Credit Facility is fully drawn, any decrease in our borrowing base as a result of the redetermination will result in a deficiency which must be repaid within 30 days or in six monthly installments thereafter, at our election. The uncertainty associated with our ability to repay our outstanding debt obligations as they become due raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility require that our annual financial statements include a report from our independent registered public accounting firm with an unqualified opinion without an explanatory paragraph as to going concern. In consideration of the uncertainty mentioned above, the report of our independent registered public accounting firm that accompanies our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 contains an explanatory paragraph regarding the substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. As a result, we are in default under our New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility. We are currently in discussions with the lenders under our New Revolving Credit Facility regarding a waiver of this requirement. If we do not obtain a waiver of this requirement within 30 days, there will exist an event of default under the New Revolving Credit Facility and the lenders under the New Revolving Credit Facility will be able to accelerate the debt. Similarly, if we do not obtain a waiver under the Term Loan Facility within 180 days, there will exist an event of default under the Term Loan Facility and the lenders under the Term Loan Facility will be able to



accelerate the debt. Any acceleration of the debt obligations under the New Revolving Credit Facility or Term Loan Facility would result in a cross-default and potential acceleration of the maturity of our other outstanding debt obligations. Therefore, all of our outstanding debt obligations in the amount of \$2.0 billion (net of discount) are presented in current liabilities as of December 31, 2014. Additionally, the lenders under the Term Loan Facility are subject to a 180-day standstill before they are able to exercise remedies as a result of the uncured event of default. Following the expiration of the 180-day standstill, the lenders are permitted to foreclose on the collateral securing the Term Loan Facility. These defaults create

## Table of Contents

additional uncertainty associated with our ability to repay our outstanding debt obligations as they become due and raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

In order to increase our liquidity to levels sufficient to meet our commitments, we are currently pursuing or considering a number of actions including (i) dispositions of non-core assets, (ii) actively managing our debt capital structure through a number of alternatives, including debt repurchases, debt-for-debt exchanges, debt-for-equity exchanges and secured financing, (iii) in- and out-of-court restructuring, (iv) minimizing our capital expenditures, (v) obtaining waivers or amendments from our lenders, (vi) effectively managing our working capital and (vii) improving our cash flows from operations. There can be no assurance that sufficient liquidity can be raised from one or more of these transactions or that these transactions can be consummated within the period needed to meet certain obligations.

### Working Capital

Our working capital balance fluctuates as a result of timing and amount of borrowings or repayments under our credit arrangements, changes in the fair value of our outstanding commodity derivative instruments, the timing of receiving reimbursement of amounts paid by us for the benefit of joint venture partners as well as changes in revenue receivables as a result of price and volume fluctuations.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we had a decrease in working capital of \$1,986.7 million compared to a decrease in working capital of \$127.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in working capital is primarily due to the classification of \$1,988.9 million of debt as current liabilities at December 31, 2014 versus long-term liabilities at December 31, 2013 and an increase of \$60.4 million in accrued capital and operating expenditures. These fluctuations are partially offset by an increase of \$159.4 million in our net current asset derivative position and the settlement of derivative contracts during 2014. In addition, fluctuations are due to the timing and amount of the receivable collections, development activities, payments made by us to vendors, and the timing and amount of advances from our joint operations. For more information on the classification of debt, please see Note 2 within "Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

In order to increase our liquidity to levels sufficient to meet our commitments, we are currently pursuing or considering a number of actions including (i) dispositions of non-core assets, (ii) actively managing our debt capital structure through a number of alternatives, including debt repurchases, debt-for-debt exchanges, debt-for-equity exchanges and secured financing, (iii) in- and out-of-court restructuring, (iv) minimizing our capital expenditures, (v) obtaining waivers or amendments from our lenders, (vi) effectively managing our working capital and (vii) improving our cash flows from operations.

### Cash Flow Provided by Operating Activities

Cash flows from operations are our primary source of capital and liquidity and are primarily affected by the sale of oil, NGLs and natural gas, as well as commodity prices, net of effects of derivative contract settlements and changes in working capital. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$209.2 million, \$217.2 million and \$144.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in cash flow from operations for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to 2013 was primarily the result of the excess in cash outflows for settlements of derivatives, higher interest payments, costs incurred for the Combination and the December 2013 sale of our interests in certain oil and natural gas properties in the Texas Panhandle and surrounding Oklahoma, offset by greater cash proceeds due to 18% higher volumes and 11% higher realized prices. The increase in cash flow from operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily the result of an increase of 31% in production volumes. This increase was due to acquisitions and development, offset by the sale of our Rockies assets and decreases due to higher expenditures as a result of an increased rig count and development program.

Our operating cash flow is sensitive to many variables, the most significant of which is the volatility of prices for oil and natural gas production. Prices for these commodities are determined primarily by prevailing market conditions. Regional and worldwide economic activity, weather, infrastructure capacity to reach markets and other variable factors influence market conditions for these products. These factors are beyond our control and are difficult to predict. For additional information on the impact of changing prices on our financial position, see “Part II, Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk” below.

78

---

Table of Contents

## Cash Flow Used in Investing Activities

During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, cash flows used in investing activities were \$438.6 million, \$193.8 million and \$687.4 million, respectively, primarily related to capital expenditures for drilling, development and acquisition costs. The increase in cash flows used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily the result of increased capital expenditures incurred in the 2014 drilling program over 2013, partially offset by cash received in the Combination with Forest. Further, in 2013 we collected \$171.8 million in cash proceeds from sale of assets, versus \$17.3 million collected in 2014. The decrease in cash flows used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily the result of 2012 acquisitions.

Our capital expenditures for drilling, development and acquisition costs for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 are summarized in the following table:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in millions)		
South Texas	\$ 337	\$ 272	\$ 118
East Texas	120	55	87
North Texas	144	104	492
Rockies (through August 31, 2012)	—	—	(39)
Total capital expenditures for drilling, development and acquisitions	\$ 601	\$ 431	\$ 658

Our planned 2015 capital expenditures budget is expected to total approximately \$230 million to \$275 million. The amount, timing and allocation of capital expenditures are largely discretionary and within our control. If oil and natural gas prices decline to levels below our acceptable levels or costs increase to levels above our acceptable levels, we could choose to defer a significant portion of budgeted capital expenditures until later periods to achieve the desired balance between sources and uses of liquidity and prioritize capital projects that we believe have the highest expected returns and potential to generate near-term cash flow. We routinely monitor and adjust our capital expenditures in response to changes in prices, availability of financing, drilling and acquisition costs, industry conditions, the timing of regulatory approvals, the availability of rigs, success or lack of success in drilling activities, contractual obligations, internally generated cash flow and other factors both within and outside our control. Such historical adjustments to our capital expenditures have not resulted in an unfavorable liquidity position. However, a significant reduction in our capital program could result in a decline in our oil and natural gas reserves and production and cash flows, as well as a decline in our borrowing base under the New Revolving Credit Facility and limit our ability to obtain needed capital or financing.

## Cash Flow Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$220.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 was primarily the result of net borrowings under the New Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Loan Facility of \$240.0 million and debt issuance costs of \$19.2 million. Net cash used in financing activities of \$17.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily the result of net repayments under the Former Revolving Credit Facility of \$155.0 million and debt issuance costs of \$6.3 million offset by borrowings under the Term Loan Facility of \$153.5 million. Net cash provided by financing activities of \$545.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily

the result of borrowings under the Term Loan Facility of \$490.0 million and equity contributions of \$87.5 million offset by net repayments under the Former Revolving Credit Facility of \$13.0 million and debt issuance costs of \$19.2 million.

**New Revolving Credit Facility.** On December 16, 2014, we amended and restated the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 28, 2009, maturing on April 7, 2016, by and among us, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and the lenders and other parties party thereto with the New Revolving Credit Facility. The New Revolving Credit Facility provides for a \$2 billion revolving credit facility, with an initial borrowing base of \$1 billion. The New Revolving Credit Facility includes a sub-limit permitting up to \$100 million of letters of credit.

## Table of Contents

The borrowing base is subject to redeterminations by the lenders semi-annually, each April 1 and October 1, beginning April 1, 2015 or such later time as we may agree upon request of the administrative agent, or as the majority lenders may agree upon our request. We and, after the first scheduled redetermination, the lenders comprising two-thirds of lenders as measured by exposure may each request two unscheduled borrowing base redeterminations during any 12-month period. The borrowing base under the New Revolving Credit Facility could increase or decrease in connection with a redetermination with increases being subject to the approval of all lenders and decreases (and redeterminations maintaining the borrowing base) being subject to the approval of two-thirds of the lenders as measured by exposure. The borrowing base is also subject to reduction as a result of certain issuances of additional debt, certain asset sales, cancellation of certain hedging positions or lack of sufficient title information. A reduction of the borrowing base would require us to repay outstanding exposure under the New Revolving Credit Facility in excess of the new borrowing base in one payment or six equal monthly installments and/or provide additional mortgages over oil and gas properties to support a larger borrowing base, at our option.

On December 16, 2014, following the Combination we borrowed a net \$131.8 million under the New Revolving Credit Facility, which was used to, among other things, refinance borrowings under the prior revolving credit agreements of Forest and Sabine O&G and to fund costs and expenses in connection with the transactions.

Loans under the New Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at our option at either:

- the sum of (1) the Alternate Base Rate, which is defined as the highest of (a) Wells Fargo Bank, National Association's prime rate; (b) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50%; or (c) the Eurodollar Rate (as defined in the New Revolving Credit Facility) for a one-month interest period plus 1% and (2) a margin varying from 0.50% to 1.50% depending on our most recent borrowing base utilization percentage; or
- the Eurodollar Rate plus a margin varying from 1.50% to 2.50% depending on our most recent borrowing base utilization percentage.

The unused portion of the New Revolving Credit Facility is subject to a commitment fee ranging from 0.375% to 0.50% per annum depending on our most recent borrowing base utilization percentage.

The New Revolving Credit Facility also provides for certain representations and warranties, events of default, affirmative covenants and negative covenants customary for transactions of this type, including a financial maintenance covenant in the form of a first lien secured leverage ratio not to exceed 3.0 to 1.0. The New Revolving Credit Facility provides that all obligations thereunder as well as certain swap and cash management obligations will, subject to certain terms and exceptions, be jointly and severally guaranteed by the guarantors described therein. The New Revolving Credit Facility also contains certain other covenants, including restrictions on additional indebtedness and dividends. Sabine was in compliance with such covenants as of December 31, 2014. Any failure to comply with the conditions and covenants in the New Revolving Credit Facility that is not waived by our lender or otherwise cured could lead to a termination of our New Revolving Credit Facility, acceleration of all amounts due under our New Revolving Credit Facility, or trigger cross-default provisions under other financing arrangements. For additional information, please see "Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors."

The New Revolving Credit Facility provides that all such obligations and the guarantees will be secured by a lien on at least 80% of the PV-9 of the borrowing base properties evaluated in the most recent reserve report delivered to the administrative agent and a pledge of all of the capital stock of our restricted subsidiaries, subject to certain customary grace periods and exceptions. The New Revolving Credit Facility matures on the earlier of (1) the date that is the fifth anniversary of December 16, 2014 and (2) the date that is 91 days prior to the maturity date of the Second Lien Credit Agreement (as defined below), if it is in existence at such time, and is subject to terms of the Intercreditor Agreement, which prohibits the extension of the maturity date of the Former Revolving Credit Facility and the New Revolving Credit Facility. Accordingly, unless we receive the consent of the lenders or the agent for the lenders under the Term Loan Facility to amend or waive the applicable provisions in the Intercreditor Agreement, the New Revolving Credit

Facility will mature on April 7, 2016.

80

---

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, borrowings outstanding under the New Revolving Credit Facility and the Former Revolving Credit Facility totaled \$545 million and \$250 million, respectively, and had a weighted average interest rate of 2.4% for each of the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Subsequent to the period ended December 31, 2014 through March 15, 2015, we have drawn an additional \$426 million under the New Revolving Credit Facility. As of March 31, 2015, the total outstanding principal amount of the Company's long-term indebtedness was \$2.821 billion, consisting of indebtedness under the New Revolving Credit Facility, the 2017 Notes, the Legacy Forest Notes, and the Term Loan Facility, and as of March 15, 2015, no extensions of credit are available under the New Revolving Credit Facility, reflecting that \$29 million of outstanding letters of credit had been made under the New Revolving Credit Facility.

Our New Revolving Credit Facility requires that our annual financial statements include a report from our independent registered public accounting firm with an unqualified opinion without an explanatory paragraph as to going concern. In consideration of the substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, the report of our independent registered public accounting firm that accompanies our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 contains an explanatory paragraph regarding the substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. As a result, we are in default under our New Revolving Credit Facility. We are currently in discussions with the lenders under our New Revolving Credit Facility regarding a waiver of this requirement. If we do not obtain a waiver of this requirement under within 30 days, there will exist an event of default under the New Revolving Credit Facility and the lenders under the New Revolving Credit Facility will be able to accelerate the debt. Any acceleration of the debt obligations under the New Revolving Credit Facility would result in a cross-default and potential acceleration of the maturity of our other outstanding debt obligations.

**Term Loan Facility.** Sabine O&G entered into a \$500 million second lien term loan agreement on December 14, 2012 with a maturity date of December 31, 2018 (provided that if the 2017 Senior Notes are not refinanced to mature at least 91 days thereafter, the maturity date shall be 91 days prior to the February 15, 2017 maturity date of the 2017 Senior Notes). On January 23, 2013, the syndication was completed with an additional funding of \$150 million of proceeds pursuant to the first amendment to the Term Loan Facility bringing the outstanding balance to \$650 million as of December 31, 2013. Proceeds from the Term Loan Facility were used to acquire oil and natural gas properties in December 2012 and repay borrowings under the Former Revolving Credit Facility in the first quarter of 2013.

In connection with the consummation of the Combination, on December 16, 2014, we entered into an amendment to the Term Loan Facility to provide for \$50 million of incremental term loans (the "Incremental Term Loans"). The Incremental Term Loans are fungible with the existing \$650 million of second lien loans under the Term Loan Facility, including with respect to interest and, in the case of eurodollar borrowings, they bear interest at the Adjusted Eurodollar Rate (as defined in the Term Loan Facility) plus 7.50%, with an interest rate floor of 1.25%, and, in the case of alternate base rate borrowings, they bear interest at the Alternate Base Rate (as defined in the Term Loan Facility) plus 6.50%, with an interest rate floor of 2.25%. The weighted average interest rate incurred on this indebtedness for both the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was 8.8%.

All of our restricted subsidiaries that guarantee our New Revolving Credit Facility have guaranteed the Term Loan Facility. The obligations under the Term Loan Facility are secured by the same collateral that secures the New Revolving Credit Facility, but the liens securing such obligations are second priority liens to the liens securing the New Revolving Credit Facility.

The Term Loan Facility provides for certain representations and warranties, events of default, affirmative covenants and negative covenants customary for transactions of this type, including restrictions on additional indebtedness and dividends. The Term Loan Facility provides that all obligations thereunder, subject to certain terms and exceptions, be jointly and severally guaranteed by the guarantors described therein.



Additionally, our Term Loan Facility requires that an auditors' opinion unqualified as to going concern accompany our financial statements, and since the auditors' opinion included herein includes an explanatory note as to going concern, we are in default under our Term Loan Facility. If we do not obtain a waiver of this requirement under the Term Loan Facility within 180-days, there will exist an event of default under the Term Loan Facility and the lenders under the Term Loan Facility will be able to accelerate the debt. Any acceleration of the debt obligations under the Term

Table of Contents

Loan Facility would result in a cross-default and potential acceleration of the maturity of our other outstanding debt obligations. Additionally, the lenders under the Term Loan Facility are subject to a 180-day standstill before they are able to exercise remedies as a result of the uncured event of default. Following the expiration of the 180-day standstill, the lenders are permitted to foreclose on the collateral securing the Term Loan Facility.

2017 Notes. On February 12, 2010, Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, formerly NFR Energy LLC, and our subsidiary Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation, formerly NFR Energy Finance Corporation, co-issued \$200 million in 9.75% senior unsecured notes due 2017 in a private placement to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities and to persons outside the United States in compliance with Regulation S of the Securities. The 2017 Notes bear interest at a rate of 9.75% per annum, payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15 each year commencing August 15, 2010. The 2017 Notes were issued at 98.73% of par. In conjunction with the issuance of the 2017 Notes, the Company recorded a discount of \$2.5 million to be amortized over the remaining life of the 2017 Notes utilizing the simple interest method. The remaining unamortized discount was \$0.8 million and \$1.1 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The 2017 Notes were issued under and are governed by an indenture dated February 12, 2010 by and among the Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation, the Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. as trustee, and guarantors party thereto.

All of our restricted subsidiaries that guarantee our New Revolving Credit Facility (other than Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation, which is the co-Issuer of the 2017 Notes) have guaranteed the 2017 Notes on a senior unsecured basis. We have significant pending maturities on our debt obligations. If we are unable to refinance our 2017 Notes to mature at least 91 days after December 31, 2018, the Term Loan will mature on November 16, 2016. The New Revolving Credit Facility will mature on April 7, 2016.

On April 14, 2010, Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation and Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation issued an additional \$150 million in senior notes at 9.75% due 2017. The additional notes were issued at 98.75% of par and bear interest at a rate of 9.75% per annum, payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15 of each year commencing August 15, 2010. The additional notes were issued under the same indenture as the 2017 Notes issued on February 12, 2010. The Company recorded a discount of \$1.9 million to be amortized over the remaining life of the 2017 Notes utilizing the simple interest method. The remaining unamortized discount was \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Due to the amortization of the discount, the effective interest rate on the 2017 Notes is 9.93%.

We may redeem the 2017 Notes, in whole or in part, at any time on or after February 15, 2014, at a redemption price (expressed as a percentage of principal amount) set forth in the following table plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on February 15 of the years indicated below:

Year	Percentage
2014	104.875
2015	102.438
2016 and thereafter	100.000

The indenture governing the 2017 Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness; pay dividends or repurchase or redeem equity interests or subordinated indebtedness; limit dividends or other payments by restricted subsidiaries that are not guarantors to us

or our other subsidiaries; make certain investments; incur liens; enter into certain types of transactions with our affiliates; and sell assets or consolidate or merge with or into other companies. However, if the 2017 Notes have an investment grade rating from Standard & Poor's Ratings Group, Inc. and Moody's Investors Service, Inc., and no default or event of default exists under the indenture, we will not be subject to certain of the foregoing covenants.

2019 Notes. In connection with the consummation of the Combination, on December 16, 2014, the Company assumed \$577.9 million in 7¼% senior notes due 2019 (the "2019 Notes") originally issued by Forest on June 6, 2007. Interest on the 2019 Notes is payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15. In conjunction with the consummation of the Combination, the Company recorded the 2019 Notes at a fair value of \$290.4 million and recorded a discount of \$287.5 million to be amortized over the remaining life of the 2019 Notes utilizing the simple interest method. The

Table of Contents

remaining unamortized discount was \$284.9 million at December 31, 2014. Due to the amortization of the discount, the effective interest rate on the 2019 Notes is 18.31%.

The 2019 Notes are redeemable, at our option, at the prices set forth below, expressed as percentages of the principal amount redeemed, plus accrued but unpaid interest, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on June 15 of the years indicated below:

Year	Percentage
2014	101.208
2015 and thereafter	100.000

The indenture governing the 2019 Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness unless the ratio of our adjusted consolidated EBITDA to our adjusted consolidated interest expense over the trailing four fiscal quarters will be at least 2.25 to 1.0 (subject to exceptions for borrowings within certain limits); pay dividends or repurchase or redeem equity interests; limit dividends or other payments by restricted subsidiaries that are not guarantors to us or our other subsidiaries; make certain investments; incur liens; enter into certain types of transactions with our affiliates; and sell assets or consolidate or merge with or into other companies. However, if the 2019 Notes have an investment grade rating from Standard & Poor's Ratings Group, Inc. and Moody's Investors Service, Inc., no default or event of default exists under the indenture and the New Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Loan Facility cease to be secure, we will not be subject to certain of the foregoing covenants.

On February 25, 2015, we received a notice of default and acceleration from the trustee with respect to our 2019 Notes and on February 26, 2015 were served a complaint alleging the same. If we are not successful in our defense of this complaint, we may be required to redeem the holders of the 2019 Notes at 101% of the outstanding principal, plus accrued and outstanding interest of the notes, and if the court determines we are in default under the indenture governing the 2019 Notes, a cross default and acceleration under our other debt agreements may result. For more information, please see "Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings."

2020 Notes. In connection with the consummation of the Combination, on December 16, 2014, the Company assumed \$222.1 million in 7½% senior notes due 2020 (the "2020 Notes") originally issued by Forest on September 17, 2012. Interest on the 2020 Notes is payable semiannually on March 15 and September 15. In conjunction with the consummation of the Combination, the Company recorded the 2020 Notes at a fair value of \$104.4 million and recorded a discount of \$117.7 million to be amortized over the remaining life of the 2020 Notes utilizing the simple interest method. The remaining unamortized discount was \$116.9 million at December 31, 2014. Due to the amortization of the discount, the effective interest rate on the 2020 Notes is 16.72%.

The 2020 Notes are redeemable, at our option, at the prices set forth below, expressed as percentages of the principal amount redeemed, plus accrued but unpaid interest, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on September 15 of the years indicated below:

Year	Percentage
------	------------

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

2016	103.750
2017	101.875
2018 and thereafter	100.000

The indenture governing the 2020 Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness unless the ratio of our adjusted consolidated EBITDA to our adjusted consolidated interest expense over the trailing four fiscal quarters will be at least 2.25 to 1.0 (subject to exceptions for borrowings within certain limits); pay dividends or repurchase or redeem equity interests; limit dividends or other payments by restricted subsidiaries that are not guarantors to us or our other subsidiaries; make certain investments; incur liens; enter into certain types of transactions with our affiliates; and sell assets or consolidate or merge with or into other companies. However, if the 2020 Notes have an investment grade rating from Standard & Poor's Ratings Group, Inc. and Moody's Investors Service, Inc., no default or event of default exists under the indenture and the

## Table of Contents

New Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Loan Facility cease to be secure, we will not be subject to certain of the foregoing covenants.

We may also redeem the 2020 Notes, in whole or in part, at any time prior to September 15, 2016, at a price equal to the principal amount plus a make-whole premium, calculated using the applicable Treasury yield plus 0.5%, plus accrued but unpaid interest. In addition, prior to September 15, 2015, we may, at any time or from time to time, redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2020 Notes with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings at 107.5% of the principal amount of the 2020 Notes, plus any accrued but unpaid interest, if at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2020 Notes remains outstanding after such redemption and the redemption occurs within 120 days of the date of the closing of such equity offering.

## Commodity Hedging Activities

Our primary market risk exposure is in the prices we receive for oil and natural gas production. Realized pricing is primarily driven by the prevailing worldwide price for crude oil and spot regional market prices applicable to our U.S. natural gas production. Pricing for oil and natural gas production has been volatile and unpredictable for several years, and we expect this volatility to continue in the future. The prices we receive for production depends on many factors outside of our control, including volatility in the differences between product prices at sales points and the applicable index price.

To mitigate the potential negative impact on cash flow caused by changes in oil and natural gas prices, we have entered into financial commodity derivative contracts in the form of fixed price oil and natural gas swap agreements, three-way collar swaps utilizing purchased and written option contracts, sold swaption contracts and fixed price swaps with sub floors in order to receive fixed prices or set price floors for a portion of our future oil and natural gas production when management believes that favorable future prices can be secured. We typically hedge the NYMEX Henry Hub price for natural gas and the NYMEX West Texas Intermediate (“WTI”) price for crude oil.

Our hedging activities are intended to support oil and natural gas prices at targeted levels and to manage exposure to oil and natural gas price fluctuations. Under the terms of our fixed price swap agreements, the counterparty is required to make a payment to us for the difference between the fixed price specified in the contract and the settlement price, which is based on market prices on the settlement date, if the settlement price is below the fixed price. We are required to make a payment to the counterparty for the difference between the fixed price and the settlement price if the fixed price is below the settlement price. Additionally, we set pricing floors for certain production by executing combinations of option agreements including written calls, purchased puts and written puts to create three-way collars. Three-way collar contracts combine a short put (the lower price), a long put (the middle price) and a short call (the higher price) to provide a higher ceiling price as compared to a regular collar and limit downside risk to the market price plus the difference between the middle price and the lower price if market price drops below the lower price. For these contracts, if the applicable monthly price indices settle outside the range of the floor, sub floor and ceiling prices set by the various options, us and the counterparty to the option contracts would be required to settle the difference. Swaps with sub floor consist of a standard swap contract plus a put option sold with a strike below the associated fixed swap. This structure enables us to increase the fixed price swap with the value received through the sale of the put. If the settlement price for any settlement period falls equal to or below the put strike, then we will only receive the difference between the swap price and the put strike price. If the settlement price is greater than the put strike, the result is the same as it would have been with a standard swap only agreement at a contracted price on contracted volumes before an expiration date. Our sold swaption contract expires at December 31, 2015.

At December 31, 2014, we had in place oil and natural gas swaps and purchased and written oil and natural gas options covering portions of anticipated production through December 2016. The New Revolving Credit Facility allows us to hedge up to 100% of Current Production (as defined in the New Revolving Credit Facility) for 24 months,

75% of Current Production for months 25 to 36 and 50% of Current Production for months 37 to 60.

All derivative instruments are recorded at fair market value and are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as assets or liabilities. All fair values are adjusted for non-performance risk. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we economically hedged approximately 82% of our combined oil and natural gas volumes, which resulted in operating cash outflows from commodity derivative instruments of approximately \$10.8 million. For the year ended December 31,

84

---

Table of Contents

2013, we economically hedged approximately 79% of our combined oil and natural gas volumes, which resulted in operating cash flows from commodity derivative instruments of approximately \$46.2 million.

We expect continued volatility in the fair value of our derivative instruments. Cash flow is only impacted when the underlying physical sales transaction takes place in the future and when the associated derivative instrument contract is settled by making or receiving a payment to or from the counterparty. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the estimated fair value of all of our commodity derivative instruments was a net asset of \$153.3 million and net liability of \$10.8 million, respectively, which is comprised of current and noncurrent assets and liabilities.

The table below summarizes the gains (losses) related to oil and natural gas derivative instruments for years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Recognized in Other Income (Expenses) for the Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Cash received (paid) on settlements of derivative instruments	\$ (10,773)	\$ 46,188
Change in fair value of derivative instruments	132,442	(45,374)
Total gain on derivative instruments	\$ 121,669	\$ 814

As of December 31, 2014, we had economically hedged a portion of oil and natural gas production through December 2015 as follows:

Year ending December 31, 2015	Natural Gas		Oil	
	MMbtu/d	Average price	Bbl/Day	Average price
	222,932	\$ 4.18	6,975	\$ 89.95

Additionally, we have purchased and sold certain options on oil and natural gas; using these contracts in combination with oil and natural gas swap agreements to further mitigate pricing risk associated with anticipated production. We received a premium on certain sold options, which was used to execute natural gas swap contracts above market. The details of our hedge positions and options sold are as follows:

## Natural Gas

Settlement Period	Derivative Instrument	Notional Amount (MMbtu)	Weighted Average Prices			Ceiling
			Swap	Sub Floor	Floor (\$/MMbtu)	



Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

2015	Collar	5,450,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4.23	\$ 4.63
2015	Swap	38,325,000	\$ 4.15	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
2015	Swap with sub floor	37,595,000	\$ 4.20	\$ 3.54	\$ —	\$ —
2016	Sold call	21,960,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5.00

Oil

Settlement Period	Derivative Instrument	Notional Amount (Bbl)	Weighted Average Prices			
			Sub Floor	Swap (\$/Bbl)	Floor	Ceiling
2015	Swap	2,206,350	\$ 90.02	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
2015	Swap with sub floor	339,450	\$ 89.50	\$ 73.5	\$ —	\$ —
2016	Swaption	365,000	\$ 98.00	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

By removing price volatility from a portion of expected oil and natural gas production through December 2015, we have partially mitigated the potential effects of changing prices on operating cash flow for those periods. While mitigating negative effects of falling commodity prices, these derivative contracts also limit the benefits we would receive from increases in commodity prices.

Effective February 3, 2015, Sabine executed additional oil swap agreements on 366,000 Bbl at \$64.25/Bbl of anticipated 2016 production, collar agreements on 366,000 Bbl at (\$60.00/Bbl / \$68.05/Bbl) of anticipated 2016

Table of Contents

production and natural gas swap agreements on 11,712,000 MMBtu at an average price of \$3.26/MMBtu of anticipated 2016 production. Additionally, effective February 18, 2015, Sabine executed oil swap agreements on 274,500 Bbl of anticipated Bbl at \$62.79 of anticipated 2016 production and 547,500 Bbl at \$64.80/Bbl of anticipated 2017 production and natural gas swap agreements on 12,810,000 MMBtu at an average price of \$3.27/MMBtu of anticipated 2016 production.

By using derivative instruments to hedge exposures to changes in commodity prices, we expose ourselves to the credit risk of counterparties. Credit risk is the potential failure of the counterparty to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. When the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, the counterparty is expected to owe us, which creates credit risk. To minimize the credit risk in derivative instruments, it is our policy to enter into derivative contracts only with counterparties that are creditworthy financial institutions deemed by management as competent and competitive market makers. The creditworthiness of our counterparties is subject to periodic review. We have derivative instruments in place with eight different counterparties. As of December 31, 2014, contracts with Barclays, Huntington, Wells Fargo, Natixis, Citibank, Bank of America Merrill Lynch, JPMorgan Chase & Company and Comerica accounted for 28%, 18%, 16%, 13%, 11%, 8%, 4% and 2%, respectively, of the net fair market value of our derivative assets. We believe all of these institutions currently are acceptable credit risks. We are not required to provide credit support or collateral to any of our counterparties under current contracts, nor are they required to provide credit support to us. As of December 31, 2014, we did not have any past due receivables from counterparties.

Contractual obligations. A summary of our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2014 is provided in the following table.

## Payments due by period

	For the Year Ending December 31,						Total
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter	
	(in millions)						
Senior secured revolving credit facility (1)	\$ 545.0	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 545.0
Term Loan Facility (1)	700.0	—	—	—	—	—	700.0
2017 Senior Notes (2)	396.9	—	—	—	—	—	396.9
2019 Senior Notes (2)	621.6	—	—	—	—	—	621.6
2020 Senior Notes (2)	243.6	—	—	—	—	—	243.6
Drilling rig commitments (3)	21.7	21.6	11.8	—	—	—	55.1
Office and equipment leases	8.0	3.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	6.2	22.8
Operating commitments (4)	11.5	16.8	8.4	4.8	3.2	10.0	54.7
Legal obligations (5)	29.0	—	—	—	—	—	29.0
Other	14.8	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4	63.0	96.0
Total	\$ 2,592.1	\$ 46.7	\$ 26.4	\$ 11.0	\$ 9.3	\$ 79.2	\$ 2,764.7

(1) Includes outstanding principal amounts at December 31, 2014. This table does not include future commitment fees, interest expense or other fees on these facilities because they are floating rate instruments and we cannot determine with accuracy the timing of future loan advances, repayments or future interest rates to be charged. As of December 31, 2014, the New Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Loan Facility had weighted average interest rates of 2.40% and 8.75%, respectively. For more information on the classification of debt, please see Note 2 within "Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

- (2) The 2017 Notes include interest at a rate of 9.75% per annum, payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15. The 2019 Notes include interest at a rate of 7.25% per annum, payable semi-annually on June 15 and December 15. The 2020 Notes include interest at a rate of 7.50% per annum, payable semi-annually on March 15 and September 15. For more information on the classification of debt, please see Note 2 within “Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”
- (3) At December 31, 2014, we had one drilling rig under contract which expires in 2016 and two drilling rigs under contracts which expire in 2017 and are reflected in the values in the table. Any other rig performing work for us is doing so on a well-by-well basis and therefore can be released without penalty at the conclusion of drilling on the current well. These types of drilling obligations have not been included in the table above. The values in the table

## Table of Contents

represent the gross amounts that we are committed to pay. However, we will record in the financials our proportionate share based on our working interest.

- (4) Operating commitments consist of committed production and development activities. The gas and condensate gathering agreements for the transportation and processing of natural gas and condensate cover certain properties with contractually obligated annual minimum volume commitments of gas and condensate. Under the terms of the agreements, we are required to make annual deficiency payments for any shortfalls in delivering the minimum volumes under these commitments. The drilling commitment requires an annual minimum of one well be drilled each year through May 2, 2017. Under the terms of the agreement, we are required to purchase the associated gathering facilities should this commitment not be met.
- (5) From time to time, the Company may be a plaintiff or defendant in a pending or threatened legal proceeding arising in the normal course of its business. All known liabilities are accrued when probable and reasonably estimable based on the Company's best estimate of the potential loss. While the outcome and impact of currently pending legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company's management and legal counsel believe that the resolution of these proceedings through settlement or adverse judgment will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated operating results, financial position or cash flows. As of December 31, 2014, there were \$29 million of outstanding letters of credit, including \$25 million reserved for litigation and \$4 million reserved for other purposes incidental to the Company's normal course of business.
- (6) Other is comprised primarily of pension and other postretirement benefit obligations, asset retirement obligations and future settlements of deferred service charges, for which neither the ultimate settlement amounts nor the timing of settlement can be precisely determined in advance. See "Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates, Judgments, and Assumptions" below for a more detailed discussion of the nature of the accounting estimates involved in estimating asset retirement obligations.

## Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates, Judgments, and Assumptions

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, as well as assets and liabilities reported in purchase price allocations. Certain accounting policies involve judgments and uncertainties to such an extent that there is reasonable likelihood that materially different amounts could have been reported under different conditions, or if different assumptions had been used. We evaluate our estimates and assumptions on a regular basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates and assumptions used in preparation of our consolidated financial statements. See "Note 3. Significant Accounting Policies" to our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for an expanded discussion of significant accounting policies and estimates made by management.

## Full Cost Method of Accounting

We use the full cost method to account for our oil and natural gas activities. Under this method, all costs incurred in the acquisition, exploration and development of oil and natural gas properties are capitalized and accumulated into a cost center (the amortization base), whether or not the activities to which they apply are successful. This includes any internal costs that are directly related to acquisition, exploration and development activities but does not include any costs associated with production and general corporate activities, which are expensed in the period incurred. The capitalized costs of our oil and natural gas properties, plus an estimate of our future development and abandonment costs, are amortized on a unit-of-production method based on our estimate of reserves. Unevaluated costs are excluded

from the full cost pool and are periodically considered for impairment. Upon impairment, these costs are transferred to the full cost pool and amortized. Proceeds received from disposals are credited against accumulated cost except when the sale represents a significant disposal of reserves, in which case a gain or loss is calculated and recognized. The application of the full cost method generally results in higher capitalized costs and higher depletion rates compared to its alternative, the successful efforts method.

## Table of Contents

### Oil and Gas Reserves Estimates

Our estimates of proved reserves are based on the quantities of oil and natural gas, which, by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible—from a given date forward, from known reservoirs, and under existing economic conditions, operating methods, and government regulations—prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate expire, unless evidence indicates that renewal is reasonably certain. The accuracy of any reserves estimate is a function of the quality of available data, engineering and geological interpretation, and judgment. For example, we must estimate the amount and timing of future operating costs, production and property taxes, development costs, workover costs and abandonment liabilities, all of which may in fact vary considerably from actual results. In addition, as oil, natural gas, and NGL prices that we are required to use pursuant to SEC regulations change from period-to-period, the estimate of proved reserves will also change and the change can be significant. Despite the inherent uncertainty in these engineering estimates, our reserves are used throughout the financial statements. For example, since we use the units-of- production method to amortize oil and natural gas properties, the quantity of reserves could significantly impact DD&A expense. Our oil and natural gas properties are also subject to a ceiling test limitation based in part on the quantity of proved reserves. Finally, these reserves are the basis for our supplemental oil and gas disclosures.

Reference should be made to “Part I, Item 1, Business and Properties” and “Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors.”

### Revenue Recognition

We record revenues from the sales of oil, NGLs and natural gas when produced, sold and collectability is ensured. We use the entitlement method that requires revenue recognition for our net revenue interest of sales from our properties. Accordingly, oil, NGLs and natural gas sales are not recognized for deliveries in excess of our net revenue interest, while oil, NGLs and natural gas sales are recognized for any under delivered volumes. Production imbalances are generally recorded at estimated sales prices of the anticipated future settlements of the imbalances.

### Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis as of October 1 of each year.

The testing of goodwill for impairment is done via a two-step process. The first step of the process compares the fair value of the country-wide cost center, which we have determined to be one reportable geographic segment, with its carrying amount including goodwill. The fair value of the country-wide cost center will be determined by using a discounted cash flows model which relies primarily on our reserve data which include significant assumptions, judgments and estimates, as well as a calculated weighted average cost of capital (“WACC”), derived through analysis of the capital structures of selected peer companies and relevant statistical market data. When the fair value derived exceeds the carrying amount, no impairment is present and the test is concluded.

When the carrying amount exceeds the fair value derived, the second step of the impairment test is performed to compare the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by assigning the fair value of a reporting unit to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit as if the unit had been acquired in a business combination. The excess of fair value of a reporting unit over the amounts assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. Impairment is recognized for the amount of carrying value in excess of implied fair value, limited to the total carrying value of goodwill.

Factors, such as significant decreases in commodity prices and unfavorable changes in the significant assumptions, judgments and estimates used to estimate reserves could result in a goodwill impairment charge. A goodwill impairment charge would have no effect on our liquidity or capital resources. However, it would adversely affect our

results of operations in that period.

Due to a drop in commodity prices and the \$247.7 million ceiling impairment, a December 31, 2014 impairment test was performed which resulted in the impairment of our \$173.5 million of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2014.

88

---

## Table of Contents

### Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

We use the income approach in determining the fair value of our derivative instruments, utilizing present value techniques for valuing our swaps and option-pricing models for valuing our collars, swaptions, and puts. Inputs to these valuation techniques include published forward prices, volatilities, and credit risk considerations, including the incorporation of published interest rates and credit spreads. The values we report in the financial statements change as these estimates are revised to reflect changes in market conditions or other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

While not designated for hedge accounting, all of our market risk sensitive instruments were entered into for hedging purposes, rather than for speculative trading. All of our derivative instruments serve as economic hedges and are recorded at fair value with gains and losses recognized immediately in earnings. These marked-to-market adjustments will produce a degree of earnings volatility that can be significant from period to period, but such adjustments will have no cash flow impact relative to changes in market prices. The impact to cash flow occurs upon settlement of the underlying contract.

### Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial statement carrying values of assets and liabilities and their respective income tax bases (temporary differences). Income tax assets and liabilities are measured using the tax rates expected to be in effect when the temporary differences are likely to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on income tax assets and liabilities is included in earnings in the period in which the change is enacted. The book value of income tax assets is limited to the amount of the tax benefit that is more likely than not to be realized in the future.

In assessing the need for a valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. In making this assessment, we consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, available taxes in carryback periods, tax planning strategies, and projected future taxable income. If the ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future book income, assessing the need for, or the sufficiency of, a valuation allowance requires the evaluation of all available evidence, both negative and positive, as to whether it is more likely than not that a deferred tax asset will be realized.

### Asset Retirement Obligations

We have obligations to remove tangible equipment and restore locations at the end of the oil and natural gas production operations. Estimating the future restoration and removal costs, or asset retirement obligations (“ARO”), requires us to make estimates and judgments, because most of the obligations are many years in the future, and contracts and regulations often have vague descriptions of what constitutes removal. Asset removal technologies and costs periodically change, as do regulatory, political, environmental, safety, and public relations considerations.

Inherent in the calculation of the present value of our ARO are numerous assumptions and judgments, including the ultimate settlement amounts, inflation factors, credit adjusted discount rates, timing of settlement, and changes in the legal, regulatory, environmental, and political environments. To the extent future revisions to these assumptions impact the present value of the existing ARO liability, a corresponding adjustment is made to the oil and natural gas property balance. Increases in the discounted ARO liability resulting from the passage of time are reflected as accretion expense.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements



From time to time, we enter into off-balance sheet arrangements and other transactions that can give rise to off-balance sheet obligations. As of December 31, 2014, the off-balance sheet arrangements and other transactions that we have entered into include (i) undrawn letters of credit, (ii) operating lease agreements, (iii) operating commitments for production and development activities, and (iv) other contractual obligations for which we have recorded estimated liabilities on the balance sheet, but the ultimate settlement amounts are not fixed and determinable, such as derivative contracts, pension and other postretirement benefit obligations, and asset retirement obligations. We do not believe that any of these arrangements are reasonably likely to materially affect our liquidity or availability of, or requirements for, capital resources.

## Table of Contents

### Surety Bonds

In the ordinary course of our business and operations, we are required to post surety bonds from time to time with third parties, including governmental agencies. In addition, while we appeal the arbitration award in *Forest Oil Corp., et al. v. El Rucio Land & Cattle Co., et al.* (see “Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings”), we are required to post a supersedeas bond in the amount of \$25 million. As of February 19, 2014, we had obtained this supersedeas bond and were subsequently required by the surety to obtain a letter of credit in the surety’s favor in the amount of \$25 million. We also have posted surety bonds from a number of insurance and bonding institutions covering certain of our current and former operations in the United States in the aggregate amount of approximately \$37.1 million.

### Non-GAAP Financial Measure

Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure. We believe the presentation of Adjusted EBITDA provides useful information to investors to evaluate the operations of our business excluding certain items and for the reasons set forth below. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered an alternative to net income, operating income, cash flow operating activities or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with US GAAP. Our Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of another company because all companies may not calculate Adjusted EBITDA in the same manner.

We use Adjusted EBITDA for the following purposes:

- to assess the financial performance of our assets, without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;
- to assess our operating performance and return on capital as compared to those of other companies in the oil and gas industry, without regard to financing or capital structure;
- to assess the viability of acquisition and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return on alternative investment opportunities;
- to assess the ability of our assets to generate cash sufficient to pay interest costs and support indebtedness;
- for various purposes, including strategic planning and forecasting;
- the Term Loan Facility and the indenture governing the 2017 Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness unless the ratio of adjusted consolidated EBITDA to adjusted consolidated interest expense and other fixed charges over the trailing four fiscal quarters will be at least 2.0 to 1.0 (subject to exceptions for borrowings within certain limits);
- the Legacy Forest Notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness unless the ratio of adjusted consolidated EBITDA to adjusted consolidated interest expense over the trailing four fiscal quarters will be at least 2.25 to 1.00 (subject to exceptions within certain limits); and
- the New Revolving Credit Facility requires us to comply with a financial maintenance ratio in the form of a first lien secured leverage ratio not to exceed 3.0 to 1.0 which is defined as a ratio of consolidated first lien secured debt as of the last day of a fiscal quarter to adjusted EBITDA for the period of four fiscal quarters then ending.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) (“ASU 2014-09”), which supersedes nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance under existing generally accepted accounting principles. This new standards is based upon the principal that revenue is recognized to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 also



## Table of Contents

requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts. ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is not permitted and entities have the option of using either a retrospective or modified approach to adopt ASU 2014-09. We are currently evaluating the new guidance and have not determined the impact this standard may have on our financial statements or decided upon the method of adoption.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern (“ASU 2014-15”). ASU 2014-15 provides guidance about management’s responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern and sets rules for how this information should be disclosed in the financial statements. ASU 2014-15 is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016 and interim periods thereafter. We plan to adopt ASU 2014-15 prospectively for the annual period ending December 31, 2016. Pursuant to ASU 2014-15, the Company is required to consider whether there are adverse conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued and the probability that management’s plans will mitigate the adverse conditions or events (if any). Adverse conditions or events would include, but not be limited to, negative financial trends (such as recurring operating losses, working capital deficiencies, or insufficient liquidity), a need to restructure outstanding debt to avoid default, and industry developments (for example commodity price declines and regulatory changes).

### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The primary objective of the following information is to provide forward-looking quantitative and qualitative information about potential exposure to market risk. The term “market risk” refers to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in oil and natural gas and interest rates. The disclosures are not meant to be precise indicators of expected future losses, but rather indicators of reasonably possible losses. This forward-looking information provides indicators of how we view and manage our ongoing market risk exposures. All of our market risk sensitive instruments were entered into for hedging purposes, rather than for speculative trading.

#### Commodity Price Risk and Hedges

We periodically enter into derivative positions on a portion of projected oil and natural gas production through a variety of financial and physical arrangements intended to manage fluctuations in cash flows resulting from changes in commodity prices. We typically use swaps and options to mitigate commodity price risk.

On December 31, 2014, we had open natural gas derivatives with a fair value asset position of \$67.0 million. A ten percent increase in natural gas prices would reduce the asset by approximately \$18.7 million, while a ten percent decrease in prices would increase the asset by approximately \$16.8 million. We also had open oil derivatives with a fair value asset position of \$86.3 million. A ten percent increase in oil prices would reduce the asset by approximately \$14.6 million, while a ten percent decrease in prices would increase the asset by approximately \$14.3 million. These fair value changes assume volatility based on prevailing market parameters at December 31, 2014. For additional discussion of how we use financial commodity derivative contracts to mitigate some of the potential negative impact on our cash flow caused by changes in oil and natural gas prices, see “Part II, Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Commodity Hedging Activities”.

#### Interest Rate Risks

At December 31, 2014, we had indebtedness outstanding under the New Revolving Credit Facility of \$545 million and approximately \$29 million of letters of credit. At December 31, 2013, Sabine O&G had indebtedness outstanding under the Former Revolving Credit Facility of \$250 million. The average interest rate incurred on this indebtedness

for each of the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was approximately 2.4%. A 100 basis points increase in each of the average LIBOR rate and federal funds rate for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 would have resulted in an estimated \$4.7 million and \$6.5 million increase in interest expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

On December 14, 2012, Sabine O&G entered into a second lien term loan agreement with a syndicate of banks. As of December 31, 2014, we had indebtedness outstanding under the Term Loan Facility of \$700 million which bears interest at a floating rate. The average interest rate incurred on this indebtedness for both the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was approximately 8.8%. Interest is accrued on Eurodollar loans at a rate per annum equal to the Eurodollar rate, with a Eurodollar floor of 1.25%, plus an applicable margin of 750 basis points.

A 100 basis points increase in each of the average LIBOR rate and federal funds rate for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 would have resulted in an estimated \$6.6 million and \$6.5 million increase in interest expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

We do not currently have any derivatives in place to mitigate the effects of interest rate risk. We may implement an interest rate hedging strategy in the future.

Counterparty and Customer Credit Risk

Our principal exposure to credit risk is through receivables resulting from commodity derivative instruments (\$160.2 million at December 31, 2014), joint interest receivables (\$22.6 million at December 31, 2014) and the sale of oil and natural gas production (\$85.5 million in receivables at December 31, 2014), which the Company markets to energy marketing companies and refineries. Joint interest receivables arise from billing entities who own partial interest in the wells we operate. These entities participate in our wells primarily based on their ownership in leases on which we drill. We can do very little to choose who participates in our wells. We are also subject to credit risk due to concentration of oil and natural gas receivables with several significant customers. We do not require our customers to post collateral unless required based on our evaluation of their creditworthiness. The inability or failure of our significant customers to meet their obligations to us or their insolvency or liquidation may adversely affect our financial results.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	94
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	95
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	96
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' (Deficit) Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	97
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	98
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	99
<u>Supplemental Information on Oil and Natural Gas Producing Activities</u>	134

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation

Houston, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' (deficit) equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the uncertainty associated with the Company's ability to repay its outstanding debt obligations as they become due raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas

March 31, 2015





Table of Contents

## Consolidated Financial Statements

## Sabine Oil &amp; Gas Corporation

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013

	December 31, 2014 (in thousands)	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,252	\$ 11,821
Accounts receivable	108,110	71,384
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	11,537	2,910
Derivative instruments	160,217	7,806
Other short-term assets	8,120	—
Total current assets	291,236	93,921
Property, plant and equipment:		
Oil and natural gas properties (full cost method)		
Proved	4,214,260	3,204,317
Unproved	319,256	208,823
Gas gathering and processing equipment	14,315	19,577
Office furniture and fixtures	14,030	11,167
	4,561,861	3,443,884
Accumulated depletion, depreciation and amortization	(2,495,793)	(2,063,842)
Total property, plant and equipment, net	2,066,068	1,380,042
Other assets:		
Derivative instruments	—	4,332
Deferred financing costs, net	34,862	26,502
Goodwill	—	173,547
Deferred income taxes	46,084	—
Other long-term assets	100	375
Total other assets	81,046	204,756
Total assets	\$ 2,438,350	\$ 1,678,719
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable – trade	\$ 83,282	\$ 16,148
Royalties payable	41,368	33,964
Accrued exploration and development	112,580	75,819
Accrued operating expenses and other	71,244	47,602
Accrued interest payable	30,946	23,891

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

Derivative instruments	4,645	11,625
Deferred income taxes	46,084	—
Current maturities of long-term debt, net of discount	1,988,883	—
Other short-term liabilities	14,304	278
Total current liabilities	2,393,336	209,327
Long-term liabilities:		
Long-term debt, net of discount	—	1,243,312
Asset retirement obligation	39,382	13,798
Derivative instruments	2,269	11,272
Other long-term liabilities	67,155	—
Total long-term liabilities	108,806	1,268,382
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 authorized shares, 2,508,945 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014; no shares authorized at December 31, 2013	25	—
Common stock, \$0.10 par value, 650,000,000 authorized shares; 200,975,778 and 118,862,689 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively	20,096	11,885
Additional paid in capital	1,564,805	1,511,123
Accumulated deficit	(1,648,718)	(1,321,998)
Total shareholders' (deficit) equity	(63,792)	201,010
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,438,350	\$ 1,678,719

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Financial Statements

Sabine Oil &amp; Gas Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the Years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)		
Revenues			
Oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas	\$ 462,363	\$ 354,223	\$ 177,422
Other	2,360	755	24
Total revenues	464,723	354,978	177,446
Operating expenses			
Lease operating	51,262	44,620	43,649
Marketing, gathering, transportation and other	23,621	17,567	17,491
Production and ad valorem taxes	18,161	17,824	4,400
General and administrative	30,373	27,469	21,434
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	189,516	137,068	91,353
Accretion	958	952	862
Impairments	423,092	1,125	664,438
Other operating expenses (income)	25,583	(858)	516
Total operating expenses	762,566	245,767	844,143
Other income (expenses)			
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(115,586)	(99,471)	(49,387)
Gain on derivative instruments	121,669	814	29,267
Other income	27	23	18
Total other income (expenses)	6,110	(98,634)	(20,102)
Net income (loss) before income taxes	(291,733)	10,577	(686,799)
Income tax expense	34,987	—	—
Net income (loss) including noncontrolling interests	\$ (326,720)	\$ 10,577	\$ (686,799)
Less: Net income (loss) applicable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	17
Net income (loss) applicable to controlling interests	(326,720)	10,577	(686,782)
Net income (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$ (2.67)	\$ 0.09	\$ (6.92)
Diluted	\$ (2.67)	\$ 0.09	\$ (6.92)
Weighted average shares outstanding – basic	122,237	118,863	99,179
Weighted average shares outstanding – diluted	122,237	118,863	99,179

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

## Consolidated Financial Statements

## Sabine Oil &amp; Gas Corporation

## Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' (Deficit) Equity

For the Years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

(in thousands)

	Preferred Stock Shares	Value	Common Stock Shares	Value	Additional Paid In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Non- controlling Interests	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance as of December 31, 2011	—	\$ —	98,279	\$ 9,827	\$ 1,257,830	\$ (645,793)	\$ 2,264	\$ 624,128
Contributions	—	—	6,810	681	86,827	—	—	87,508
In-kind contributions	—	—	13,774	1,377	176,623	—	—	178,000
Net loss applicable to controlling interests	—	—	—	—	—	(686,782)	—	(686,782)
Distributions	—	—	—	—	—	—	(175)	(175)
Distributions for state tax withholdings	—	—	—	—	(157)	—	—	(157)
Sale of noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2,072)	(2,072)
Net loss applicable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	(17)	(17)
Balance as of December 31, 2012	—	\$ —	118,863	\$ 11,885	\$ 1,521,123	\$ (1,332,575)	\$ —	\$ 200,433
Distributions	—	—	—	—	(10,000)	—	—	(10,000)
Net Income	—	—	—	—	—	10,577	—	10,577
Balance as of December 31, 2013	—	\$ —	118,863	\$ 11,885	\$ 1,511,123	\$ (1,321,998)	\$ —	\$ 201,010

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

Consideration transferred	—	—	79,242	7,924	32,489	—	—	40,413
Issuance of preferred stock	2,509	25	—	—	(25)	—	—	—
Restricted stock	—	—	2,871	287	(287)	—	—	—
Stock based compensation	—	—	—	—	1,041	—	—	1,041
Tax effect of transactions with entities under common control	—	—	—	—	20,464	—	—	20,464
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(326,720)	—	(326,720)
Balance as of December 31, 2014	2,509	\$ 25	200,976	\$ 20,096	\$ 1,564,805	\$ (1,648,718)	\$ —	(63,792)

(1) Earnings per share and share information presented in the consolidated financial statements for periods prior to December 16, 2014 are based on the Company's common shares calculated by multiplying the number of Sabine O&G's units outstanding at the end of each period using an exchange ratio as derived from the agreement governing the Combination. The Company retroactively adjusted its Statement of Shareholders' (Deficit) Equity to reflect the legal capital of the accounting acquiree. Beginning on December 16, 2014, common shares are presented for the combined company.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## Consolidated Financial Statements

## Sabine Oil &amp; Gas Corporation

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss), including noncontrolling interest	\$ (326,720)	\$ 10,577	\$ (686,799)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	189,516	137,068	91,353
Impairments	423,092	1,125	664,438
(Gain) loss on sale of assets	(1,375)	—	651
Accretion expense	958	952	862
Deferred tax expense	34,987	—	—
Accrued interest expense and debt discount amortization	9,257	10,328	2,372
Amortization of deferred rent	(72)	(249)	(532)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	11,341	9,587	4,020
(Gain) loss on derivative instruments	(125,226)	46,545	75,735
Amortization of option premiums	(7,216)	(1,171)	(56)
Amortization of prepaid expenses	2,912	4,787	2,546
Non-cash stock based compensation	1,041	—	—
Distributions for state tax withholdings	—	—	(157)
Working capital and other changes:			
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	26,286	(38,195)	(8,431)
Increase in other assets	(16,192)	(7,248)	(5,811)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, royalties payable and accrued liabilities	(13,388)	43,092	3,975
Net cash provided by operating activities	209,201	217,198	144,166
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Oil and natural gas property additions	(548,841)	(360,080)	(170,970)
Oil and natural gas property acquisitions	(36,772)	—	(559,066)
Cash received in Forest acquisition	134,887	—	—
Cash received from insurance proceeds	—	604	12,680
Gas processing equipment additions	(2,988)	(4,014)	(5,409)
Other asset additions	(2,242)	(2,075)	(384)
Cash received from sale of assets	17,342	171,756	35,764
Net cash used in investing activities	(438,614)	(193,809)	(687,385)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings under senior secured revolving credit facility	1,209,835	193,000	123,000



Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

Borrowings under second lien term loan	—	153,500	490,000
Debt repayments for the senior secured revolving credit facility	(969,835)	(348,000)	(136,000)
Debt issuance costs	(19,156)	(6,261)	(19,227)
Contributions	—	—	87,508
Distributions	—	(10,000)	(175)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	220,844	(17,761)	545,106
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(8,569)	5,628	1,887
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	11,821	6,193	4,306
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 3,252	\$ 11,821	\$ 6,193
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid for interest, net of interest capitalized	\$ 96,701	\$ 76,701	\$ 42,797
Income tax payments	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Organization

Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation (“Sabine” or the “Company”) is an independent oil and natural gas company engaged in the acquisition, development, exploitation and exploration of oil and natural gas properties in North America. Sabine was incorporated in New York in 1924, as the successor to a company formed in 1916, and has been a publicly held company since 1969.

On December 16, 2014, pursuant to a series of transaction agreements (the “Combination”), certain indirect equity holders (such indirect equity holders are referred to as the “Legacy Sabine Investors”) of Sabine Oil & Gas LLC (“Sabine O&G”) contributed the equity interests in Sabine O&G to Sabine (which was then known as “Forest Oil Corporation”). In exchange for this contribution, the Legacy Sabine Investors received shares of Sabine common stock and Sabine Series A preferred stock collectively representing approximately a 73.5% economic interest in Sabine and 40% of the total voting power in Sabine. Holders of Sabine common stock immediately prior to the closing of the Combination continued to hold their Sabine common stock following the closing, which immediately following the closing represented approximately a 26.5% economic interest in Sabine and 60% of the total voting power in Sabine.

On December 19, 2014, the Company filed a certificate of amendment with the New York Secretary of State to change its name from “Forest Oil Corporation” to “Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation.”

2. Liquidity and Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

As of March 15, 2015, the total outstanding principal amount of the Company’s debt obligations was \$2.821 billion, consisting of \$971 million of borrowings under the New Revolving Credit Facility, \$350 million of the 2017 Notes, \$800 million of the Legacy Forest Notes, and a \$700 million Term Loan Facility. On February 25, 2015, the Company borrowed \$356 million under its New Revolving Credit Facility which represented the remaining undrawn amount under the New Revolving Credit Facility. As a result, as of March 15, 2015, no extensions of credit are available under the New Revolving Credit Facility, which also includes \$29 million of outstanding letters of credit that had been made under the New Revolving Credit Facility. Additionally, the Company’s cash balance at March 15, 2015 was approximately \$326.8 million. The Company has substantial interest payment obligations related to this debt over the next twelve months. For additional detail on each of the debt obligations, please see Note 7 herein.

The Company also has significant pending maturities on its debt obligations. If the Company is unable to refinance its 2017 Notes to mature at least 91 days after December 31, 2018, its Term Loan Facility in an outstanding amount of \$700 million will mature on November 16, 2016. The Company’s New Revolving Credit Facility, which currently has \$971 million of debt outstanding, will mature on April 7, 2016. The Company’s ability to repay the principal amount of its debt upon the pending maturities has been negatively impacted by significant decreases in the market price for oil, natural gas, and NGLs during the fourth quarter of 2014 with continued weakness into the first quarter of 2015. Additionally, the Company’s borrowing base under its New Revolving Credit Facility is subject to its next semi-annual redetermination in April 2015. Based on discussions with the lenders under its New Revolving Credit Facility, the Company believes that its borrowing base may be decreased significantly. Since the Company’s New Revolving Credit Facility is fully drawn, any decrease in the Company’s borrowing base as a result of the redetermination will result in a deficiency which must be repaid within 30 days or in six monthly installments thereafter, at the Company’s election. The uncertainty associated with the Company’s ability to repay its outstanding debt obligations as they become due raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company's New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility require that the Company's annual financial statements include a report from its independent registered public accounting firm with an unqualified opinion without an explanatory paragraph as to going concern. In consideration of the uncertainty mentioned above, the report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm that accompanies its audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 contains an explanatory paragraph regarding the substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. As a result, the Company is in default under its New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility. The Company is currently in discussions with the lenders under its New Revolving Credit Facility

## Table of Contents

regarding a waiver of this requirement. If it does not obtain a waiver of this requirement under within 30 days, there will exist an event of default under the New Revolving Credit Facility and the lenders under the New Revolving Credit Facility will be able to accelerate the debt. Similarly, if the Company does not obtain a waiver under the Term Loan Facility within 180 days, there will exist an event of default under the Term Loan Facility and the lenders under the Term Loan Facility will be able to accelerate the debt. Any acceleration of the debt obligations under the New Revolving Credit Facility or Term Loan Facility would result in a cross-default and potential acceleration of the maturity of the Company's other outstanding debt obligations. Therefore, all of the Company's outstanding debt obligations in the amount of \$2.0 billion (net of discount) are presented in current liabilities as of December 31, 2014. Additionally, the lenders under the Term Loan Facility are subject to a 180-day standstill before they are able to exercise remedies as a result of the uncured event of default. Following the expiration of the 180-day standstill, the lenders are permitted to foreclose on the collateral securing the Term Loan Facility. These defaults create additional uncertainty associated with the Company's ability to repay its outstanding debt obligations as they become due and raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern.

In order to increase the Company's liquidity to levels sufficient to meet the Company's commitments, the Company is currently pursuing or considering a number of actions including (i) dispositions of non-core assets, (ii) actively managing the Company's debt capital structure through a number of alternatives, including debt repurchases, debt-for-debt exchanges, debt-for-equity exchanges and secured financing, (iii) in- and out-of-court restructuring, (iv) minimizing the Company's capital expenditures, (v) obtaining waivers or amendments from the Company's lenders, (vi) effectively managing the Company's working capital and (vii) improving the Company's cash flows from operations. There can be no assurance that sufficient liquidity can be raised from one or more of these transactions or that these transactions can be consummated within the period needed to meet certain obligations.

The consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K have been prepared on a going concern basis of accounting, which contemplates continuity of operations, realization of assets, and satisfaction of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business. The consolidated financial statements do not reflect any adjustments that might result from the outcome of the uncertainties as discussed above.

### 3. Significant Accounting Policies

#### Basis of Presentation

The Company presents its consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The accompanying consolidated financial statements include Sabine and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Certain other reclassifications have been made to prior periods in order to conform to current period presentation.

Sabine O&G is considered the accounting predecessor of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation. Accordingly, the historical financial information of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K which cover periods prior to the completion of the Combination, reflect the assets, liabilities and operations of Sabine O&G, the accounting predecessor to Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, and do not reflect the assets, liabilities and operations of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation. The assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Combination were recognized in the consolidated balance sheet at their preliminary fair value as of December 16, 2014 and the operating results of the acquired properties are included in the consolidated financial statements for the period beginning thereafter. See Note 6 for details of the Combination.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments purchased with an initial maturity of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company's significant receivables are comprised of oil and natural gas revenue receivables. The amounts are due from a limited number of entities; therefore, the collectability is dependent upon the general economic conditions of

100

---

## Table of Contents

a few purchasers. The Company regularly reviews collectability and establishes the allowance for doubtful accounts as necessary using the specific identification method. The receivables are not collateralized (see Note 4).

Derivative instruments subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk (see Note 11).

### Oil and Natural Gas Properties and Equipment

The Company uses the full cost method of accounting for its investment in oil and natural gas properties. Under this method, the Company capitalizes all acquisition, exploration, and development costs incurred for the purpose of finding oil and natural gas reserves, including salaries, benefits, and other internal costs directly attributable to these activities. The Company capitalized \$10.1 million, \$6.6 million and \$2.7 million of internal costs during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Costs associated with production and general corporate activities are expensed in the period incurred. The Company also includes the present value of its dismantlement, restoration and abandonment costs within the capitalized oil and natural gas property balance (see "Asset Retirement Obligations" below). Unless a significant portion of the Company's proved reserve quantities is sold (greater than 25%), proceeds from the sale of oil and natural gas properties are accounted for as a reduction to capitalized costs, and gains and losses are not recognized unless such adjustments would significantly alter the relationship between capitalized costs and proved reserves of oil and natural gas.

Depletion of proved oil and natural gas properties is computed using the units-of-production method based upon estimated proved oil and natural gas reserves. The costs of unproved properties are withheld from the depletion base until such time as they are either developed or abandoned. Unproved properties are reviewed on a quarterly basis for impairment, and if impaired, are reclassified to proved properties and included in the depletion base.

Under the full cost method of accounting, a ceiling test is performed on a quarterly basis. The full cost ceiling test is an impairment test prescribed by SEC Regulation S-X Rule 4-10. The ceiling test determines a limit on the book value of oil and natural gas properties. The capitalized costs of proved oil and natural gas properties, net of accumulated depletion in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets, may not exceed the estimated future net cash flows from proved oil and natural gas reserves, excluding future cash outflows associated with settling asset retirement obligations that have been accrued in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets, using the unweighted average first day of the month commodity sales prices for the previous twelve months (adjusted for quality and basis differentials), held constant for the life of production, discounted at 10%, plus the cost of unevaluated properties and major development projects excluded from the costs being amortized. If capitalized costs exceed this limit, the excess is charged to expense and reflected as accumulated depletion.

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized an impairment of \$247.7 million, zero and \$641.8 million, respectively, for the carrying value of proved oil and natural gas properties in excess of the ceiling limitation. The average of the historical unweighted first day of the month prices for the prior twelve month periods ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$4.35, \$3.67 and \$2.76, respectively, for natural gas. The average of the historical unweighted first day of the month prices for the prior twelve month periods ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$94.99, \$96.78 and \$94.71, respectively, for oil.

The Company's depletion expense on oil and natural gas properties is calculated each quarter utilizing period end proved reserve quantities. The Company recorded \$186.8 million, \$134.2 million and \$87.6 million of depletion on oil and natural gas properties for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As a rate of production, depletion was \$2.49 per Mcfe, \$2.10 per Mcfe and \$1.80 per Mcfe for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Gathering assets and related facilities, certain other property and equipment, and furniture and fixtures are depreciated using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, generally ranging from 3 to

30 years. These assets are tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is then recognized if the carrying amount is not recoverable and exceeds fair value. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded impairment charges for gas gathering and processing equipment of \$1.7 million, zero and \$21.4 million, respectively, utilized based on expected present value and estimated future cash flows using current volume throughput and pricing

## Table of Contents

assumptions. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their economic lives or the lease term. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed in the period incurred.

No insurance proceeds were received during the year ended December 31, 2014. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company received insurance proceeds of \$0.6 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, which were netted with the replacement costs recognized in oil and natural gas properties. Insurance proceeds were received as a result of control of well events during drilling or completion operations in East Texas.

### Capitalized Interest

The Company capitalizes interest costs to oil and natural gas properties on expenditures made in connection with exploration and development projects that are not subject to current depletion. Interest is capitalized only for the period that activities are in progress to bring these projects to their intended use. The Company capitalized \$6.5 million, \$13.0 million and \$4.3 million of interest during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

### Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to achieve a more predictable cash flow from its oil and natural gas production by reducing its exposure to price fluctuations. Such derivative instruments, which are placed with major financial institutions who are participants in the Company's New Revolving Credit Facility (see Note 7) that the Company believes are minimal credit risks, may take the form of forward contracts, futures contracts, swaps, options, or basis swaps.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, substantially all of Sabine's oil and natural gas derivative contracts are settled based upon reported New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") prices. The Company's derivative contracts are with multiple counterparties to minimize the Company's exposure to any individual counterparty, and the Company has netting arrangements with all of its counterparties that provide for offsetting payables against receivables from separate hedging arrangements with that counterparty. The oil and natural gas reference prices, upon which the commodity derivative contracts are based, reflect various market indices that have a generally high degree of historical correlation with actual prices received by the Company for its oil and natural gas production. The Company's fixed-price swap and option agreements are used to fix the sales price for the Company's anticipated future oil and natural gas production. Upon settlement, the Company receives a fixed price for the hedged commodity and receives or pays the counterparty a floating market price, as defined in each instrument. The instruments are settled monthly. When the floating price exceeds the fixed price for a contract month, the Company pays the counterparty. When the fixed price exceeds the floating price, the counterparty is required to make a payment to the Company.

The Company's derivatives instruments at December 31, 2014 and 2013 included fixed price oil and natural gas options in addition to fixed price swaps. The Company has bought and sold natural gas puts, bought and sold oil and natural gas calls, sold oil puts and sold oil swaptions in 2014, while in 2013 the Company has bought and sold natural gas puts, bought and sold oil and natural gas calls, and sold oil puts. For the oil and natural gas calls, the counterparty has the option to purchase a set volume of the contracted commodity at a contracted price on a contracted date in the future. For the oil and natural gas puts, the counterparty has the option to sell a contracted volume of the commodity at a contracted price on a contracted date in future.

The Company records balances resulting from commodity risk management activities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as either assets or liabilities measured at fair value. Gains and losses from the change in fair value of derivative instruments and cash settlements on commodity derivatives are presented within "Gain on derivative instruments" located in Other income (expenses) in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

### Deferred Financing Costs



Deferred financing costs of approximately \$19.7 million and \$6.3 million were incurred during 2014 and 2013, respectively, and include costs associated with the Company's term loan agreement ("Term Loan Facility"), the Former Revolving Credit Facility and the New Revolving Credit Facility (see Note 7). Deferred financing costs associated with the Term Loan Facility, New Revolving Credit Facility and 9.75% senior unsecured notes due 2017 (the "2017 Notes")

## Table of Contents

are being amortized over the life of the respective obligations with \$9.5 million, \$9.0 million and \$3.2 million included in interest expense during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

### Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable are carried at cost, which approximates fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments. The Company's New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility are reported at carrying value which approximates fair value based on current rates available to the Company for similar instruments. Since considerable judgment is required to develop estimates of fair value, the estimates provided are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize upon the purchase or refinancing of such instruments. The Company's derivative instruments are reported at fair value based on Level 2 fair value methodologies. The 2017 Notes, the 2019 Notes and 2020 Notes are carried at nominal value, net of unamortized discount. See footnote 12 for fair value measurements related to these instruments.

### Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis as of October 1 of each year and more frequently if changes in circumstances warrant.

The testing of goodwill for impairment is done via a two-step process. The first step of the process compares the fair value of the country-wide cost center, which Sabine has determined to be its one reportable geographical business segment, with its carrying amount including goodwill. The fair value of the country-wide cost center will be determined by using a discounted cash flows model which relies primarily on Sabine's reserve data which include significant assumptions, judgments and estimates, as well as a calculated weighted average cost of capital ("WACC"), derived through analysis of the capital structures of selected peer companies and relevant statistical market data. When the fair value derived exceeds the carrying amount, no impairment is present and the test is concluded.

When the carrying amount exceeds the fair value derived, the second step of the impairment test is performed to compare the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by assigning the fair value of a reporting unit to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit as if the unit had been acquired in a business combination. The excess of fair value of a reporting unit over the amounts assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. Impairment is recognized for the amount of carrying value in excess of implied fair value, limited to the total carrying value of goodwill.

Factors, such as significant decreases in commodity prices and unfavorable changes in the significant assumptions, judgments and estimates used to estimate reserves could result in a goodwill impairment charge. A goodwill impairment charge would have no effect on Sabine's liquidity or capital resources. However, it would adversely affect Sabine's results of operations in that period.

Goodwill totaled \$173.5 million at December 31, 2013 and no impairment was recognized for the year ended December 31, 2013. The October 1, 2014 impairment test did not yield impairment; however, due to the drop in commodity prices during the fourth quarter of 2014 and the \$247.7 million ceiling test impairment on December 31, 2014, the Company performed an additional impairment test as of December 31, 2014. As a result of this impairment test, the Company recognized a \$173.5 million impairment of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2014. No goodwill remained as of December 31, 2014.

### Asset Retirement Obligations

If a reasonable estimate of the fair value of an obligation to perform site reclamation, dismantle facilities or plug and abandon wells can be made, the Company records an "Asset retirement obligation" ("ARO") as a liability and capitalizes the present value of the asset retirement cost in "Oil and natural gas properties" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets in the period in which the retirement obligation is incurred. In general, the amount of an ARO and the costs capitalized

will be equal to the estimated future cost to satisfy the abandonment obligation assuming the normal operation of the asset, using current prices that are escalated by an assumed inflation factor up to the estimated settlement date, which is then discounted back to the date that the abandonment obligation was incurred using an assumed cost of funds for the

103

---

Table of Contents

Company. After recording these amounts, the ARO is accreted to its future estimated value using the same assumed cost of funds and the additional capitalized costs are depleted on a unit of production basis within the related full cost pool.

The information below reconciles the recorded amount of the Company's asset retirement obligations:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$ 13,798	\$ 13,580
Liabilities incurred (1)	34,048	993
Liabilities disposed	—	(1,678)
Liabilities settled	(111)	(49)
Revisions	89	—
Accretion expense	958	952
Ending balance	\$ 48,782	\$ 13,798

(1) Includes approximately \$33.3 million of liabilities assumed in the Combination with Forest Oil Corporation in December 2014, of which \$9.4 million is included in "Other short-term obligations" in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company records revenues from the sales of oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas when produced, sold and collectability is ensured. The Company uses the entitlement method that requires revenue recognition for the Company's net revenue interest of sales from its properties. Accordingly, oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales are not recognized for deliveries in excess of the Company's net revenue interest, while oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales are recognized for any under delivered volumes. Production imbalances are generally recorded at estimated sales prices of the anticipated future settlements of the imbalances. The Company had no material overproduction or underproduction at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements for the Company in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

The Company's consolidated financial statements are based on a number of significant estimates, including acquisition purchase price allocations, fair value of derivative instruments, oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas reserve quantities that are the basis for the calculation of DD&A and impairment of oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas properties, assumptions underlying the goodwill impairment calculation and timing and costs associated with its asset retirement obligations.

#### Income Taxes

The Company recognizes deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between financial accounting bases and tax bases of assets and liabilities. The tax benefits of tax loss carryforwards and other deferred tax benefits are recorded as an asset to the extent that management assesses the

utilization of such assets to be more likely than not. When the future realization of some portion of the deferred tax asset is determined not to be more likely than not, a valuation allowance is provided to reduce the recorded deferred tax assets.

#### Earnings (Loss) per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed using the two-class method by dividing net earnings (loss) attributable to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. The two-class

## Table of Contents

method of computing earnings (loss) per share is required to be used because the Company has participating unvested restricted stock granted under the 2014 Long Term Incentive Plan (the “2014 LTIP”). The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings (loss) per share for each class of common stock and participating unvested restricted stock according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Holders of restricted stock issued under the Company’s 2014 LTIP have the right to receive non-forfeitable dividends if and when declared by the Company, participating on an equal basis with common stock issued and outstanding.

Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net earnings (loss) attributable to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, increasing the denominator to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential common shares (including unvested common shares issued under the 2014 LTIP and additional common shares calculated by assuming that all Series A preferred shares were converted into common shares at the beginning of the period) had been issued. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the more dilutive of the treasury stock method or the two-class method. Under the treasury stock method, the dilutive effect of potential common shares is computed by assuming common shares are issued for these securities at the beginning of the period, with the assumed proceeds from exercise, which include average unamortized stock-based compensation costs, assumed to be used to purchase common shares at the average market price for the period, and the incremental shares (the difference between the number of shares assumed issued and the number of shares assumed purchased) included in the denominator of the diluted earnings per share computation. Under the two-class method, the dilutive effect of non-participating potential common shares is determined and undistributed earnings are reallocated between common shares and participating securities. No potential common shares are included in the computation of any diluted per share amount when a net loss exists because they would be deemed antidilutive, as was the case for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Company retroactively adjusted its earnings (loss) per share for 2013 and 2012. It was not necessary to include unvested restricted stock grants in the calculations of diluted shares for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 as grants of restricted stock occurred in 2014, and thus there are no differences between basic and diluted shares in 2013 and 2012.

## Industry Segment and Geographic Information

The Company has evaluated how it is organized and managed and has identified only one operating segment, which is the exploration and production of crude oil, NGLs and natural gas. The Company considers its gathering, processing and marketing functions as an ancillary to its oil and gas producing activities. All of the Company’s operations and assets are located in the United States, and substantially all of its revenues are attributable to United States customers.

## Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation including grants of restricted stock and management incentive units in the consolidated statements of operations based on their estimated fair values. The Company recognizes expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the respective grant.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) (“ASU 2014-09”), which supersedes nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance under existing generally accepted accounting principles. This new standard is based upon the principal that revenue is recognized to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts. ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is not permitted and entities have the option of using either a retrospective or modified approach to

adopt ASU 2014-09. The Company is currently evaluating the new guidance and has not determined the impact this standard may have on its financial statements or decided upon the method of adoption.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern (“ASU 2014-

105

---

Table of Contents

15”). ASU 2014-15 provides guidance about management’s responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern and sets rules for how this information should be disclosed in the financial statements. ASU 2014-15 is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016 and interim periods thereafter. The Company plans to adopt ASU 2014-15 prospectively for the annual period ending December 31, 2016. Pursuant to ASU 2014-15, the Company is required to consider whether there are adverse conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued and the probability that management’s plans will mitigate the adverse conditions or events (if any). Adverse conditions or events would include, but not be limited to, negative financial trends (such as recurring operating losses, working capital deficiencies, or insufficient liquidity), a need to restructure outstanding debt to avoid default, and industry developments (for example commodity price declines and regulatory changes).

## 4. Significant Customers

During the year ended December 31, 2014, purchases by four companies exceeded 10% of the total oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales of the Company. Purchases by Enbridge Pipeline (East Texas) LP, NGL Crude Logistics LLC, Laclede Energy and Eastex Crude Company accounted for approximately 13%, 12%, 12% and 11% of oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2013, purchases by three companies exceeded 10% of the total oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales of the Company. Purchases by Eastex Crude Company, Enbridge Pipeline (East Texas) LP and CP Energy LLC accounted for approximately 19%, 16% and 11% of oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2012, purchases by four companies exceeded 10% of the total oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales of the Company. Purchases by Enbridge Pipeline (East Texas) LP, Shell Trading (US) Company, Texla Energy Management LLC and Eastex Crude Company accounted for approximately 17%, 14%, 13% and 12% of oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales, respectively.

## 5. Income Taxes

## Income Tax Provision

The table below sets forth the provision for income taxes attributable to continuing operations for the periods presented.

	Year Ended December 31, 2014 (In Thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2013 (In Thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2012 (In Thousands)
Current:			
Federal	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
State	—	—	—
Total Current Tax Expense	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Deferred:			
Federal	\$ 33,499	\$ —	\$ —
State	1,488	—	—
Total Deferred Tax Expense	\$ 34,987	\$ —	\$ —
Total Income Tax Expense	\$ 34,987	\$ —	\$ —



Prior to its corporate merger, the Company was a partnership and not subject to federal income tax or state income tax (in most states). Accordingly, no provision for federal or state income taxes was recorded prior to the corporate merger as the Company's equity holders were responsible for income tax on the Company's profits. In connection with the closing of the merger, the Company merged into a corporation and became subject to federal and state income taxes. The Company's book and tax basis in assets and liabilities differed at the time of its change in tax status due primarily to different cost recovery periods utilized for book and tax purposes for the Company's oil and natural gas properties.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2014, the Company recorded a net deferred tax expense of \$35 million, which includes estimated deferred tax expense of \$81.7 million to recognize a deferred tax liability related to the Company's initial book and tax basis differences from the change in tax status. This deferred tax liability is preliminary and includes estimates related to the pre-corporate reorganization period of 2014. Estimates about utilization of tax loss carryforwards obtained through the merger with Forest and tax loss carryforwards generated in the post-corporate reorganization period are also preliminary and are reducing the deferred tax impact. These preliminary calculations are based on information available to management at the time such estimates were made.

As part of the corporate merger, the Company's historical owners contributed entities that were under common control into Forest. At December 31, 2014, the Company also estimated a net deferred tax asset of \$20.5 million related to tax loss carryforwards for these entities. The Company recognized the benefit of the net deferred tax asset in equity. This deferred tax asset is preliminary and is based on information available to management at the time the estimate was made. The Company may record adjustments as a result of changes in such estimates upon filing the corporate income tax return.

The Company's effective tax rate differs from the federal statutory rate of 35% due to earnings prior to the corporate merger that are not subject to corporate income tax, recording the initial book and tax basis differences associated with the change in tax status, state income taxes and impairment of non-deductible goodwill.

The reconciliation of income taxes calculated at the U.S. federal tax statutory rate to the Company's effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2014 is set forth below:

	Year Ended December 31, 2014 (In Thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2013 (In Thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2012 (In Thousands)
Federal income tax at 35% of earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ (102,107)	\$ 3,702	(240,374)
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefits	(2,131)	—	—
Earnings not subject to tax	(40,509)	(3,702)	240,374
Change in non-tax deductible goodwill	16,767	—	—
Change in tax status	81,728	—	—
Other	8	—	—
Change in valuation allowance (current year activity only)	81,231	—	—
Total income tax	\$ 34,987	\$ —	\$ —
Effective Tax Rate	(11.99)	% 0.00	% 0.00 %

Table of Contents

## Net Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

The components of net deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31, 2014 (In Thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2013 (In Thousands)
Deferred tax assets:		
Property and equipment	\$ 277,558	\$ —
Goodwill	38,570	—
Net operating loss carryforwards	39,487	—
Other	45,509	—
Total gross deferred tax assets	\$ 401,124	\$ —
Less valuation allowance	(204,093)	—
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 197,031	\$ —
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Unrealized gains on derivative instruments, net	\$ (54,638)	\$ —
Long-term liabilities	(140,396)	—
Other	(1,997)	—
Total gross deferred tax liabilities	\$ (197,031)	\$ —
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 0	\$ —
Current deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ (46,084)	\$ —
Non-current deferred tax assets (liabilities)	46,084	—
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ 0	\$ —

## Tax Attributes

## Net Operating Losses

U.S. federal net operating loss carryforwards (“NOLs”) at December 31, 2014 were approximately \$112.8 million, of which \$81.1 million is subject to limitation under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. The NOL balance excludes NOLs the Company believes the likelihood of utilization to be remote as a result of limitations imposed under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. The NOLs are scheduled to expire in 2019. Additional analysis of the IRC 382 limitations will be done upon filing the corporate income tax return and could result in a change to the value of the NOLs.

The statute of limitations is closed for Sabine’s U.S. federal income tax returns for years ending on or before December 31, 2010. The statute of limitations is also closed for Forest’s U.S. federal income tax returns for years ending on or before December 31, 2008. However, Forest has utilized, and the Company will continue to utilize, NOLs in its open tax years. The earliest available NOLs were generated in the tax year beginning January 1, 1999, but are potentially subject to adjustment by the federal tax authorities in the tax year in which they are utilized. Thus, the Company’s earliest U.S. federal income tax return that is closed to potential audit adjustment is the tax year ending

December 31, 1998.

#### Valuation Allowance

A valuation allowance is established to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company believes it is more likely than not that the overall deferred tax asset will not be realized. At December 31, 2014, the Company has a valuation allowance of \$204.1 million, which is the amount of deferred tax assets that exceed deferred tax liabilities. The Company's deferred tax liability of \$81.7 million related to change in status is partially offset against the Company's post-merger deferred tax asset and reduced the total valuation allowance recorded in 2014. As discussed above, the deferred tax expense for change in tax

108

---

Table of Contents

status is based on preliminary calculations based on information available to management at the time such estimates were made. Further analysis will be made upon filing the tax returns that will result in a change to the net deferred tax expense recorded.

## Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

The table below sets forth the reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits. The Company records interest and penalty accrual in income tax expense, to the extent they apply. The Company does not expect a material amount of unrecognized tax benefits to reverse in the next twelve months. If recognized, none of the uncertain tax positions would impact tax expense.

	Year Ended December 31, 2014 (In Thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2013 (In Thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2012 (In Thousands)
Gross unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of period	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Increases as a result of tax positions taken during a prior period	8,691	—	—
Decreases as a result of tax positions taken during a prior period	—	—	—
Gross unrecognized tax benefits at end of period	\$ 8,691	\$ —	\$ —

## 6. Property Acquisitions and Divestitures

The results of the Combination and the acquisitions described below are included in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations since each acquisition's respective close date.

On December 16, 2014, the Legacy Sabine Investors contributed the equity interests in Sabine O&G to Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, which was then known as "Forest Oil Corporation." In exchange for this contribution, the Legacy Sabine Investors received shares of Sabine common stock and Sabine Series A preferred stock, collectively representing approximately a 73.5% economic interest in Sabine and 40% of the total voting power in Sabine. Immediately following the contribution, Sabine O&G and related holding companies merged into Forest Oil Corporation ("Forest"), with Forest Oil Corporation surviving the mergers. Holders of Forest common stock immediately prior to the closing of the Combination continued to hold their common stock following the closing, which immediately following the closing represented approximately a 26.5% economic interest in Sabine and 60% of the total voting power in Sabine. On December 19, 2014, Forest Oil Corporation changed its name to "Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation." Sabine Oil & Gas LLC was the accounting acquirer in the Combination. The business purpose for the Combination was to combine Forest and Sabine O&G's complementary asset portfolios to create a larger company that would benefit from drilling optimization and economies of scale.

## Calculation of Consideration Transferred

The following details the fair value of consideration used to effect the Combination:

Number of shares of Forest Oil Corporation outstanding as of the date of the Combination (in thousands)	118,863
Forest Oil Corporation closing stock price on December 16, 2014	\$ 0.34
Common stock equity consideration (in thousands)	\$ 40,413

Preliminary Allocation of Consideration Transferred to Net Assets Acquired

The following amounts represent the preliminary estimates of the fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Combination. The final determination of fair value for certain assets and liabilities will be

109

---

Table of Contents

completed as soon as the information necessary to complete the analysis is obtained. These amounts will be finalized as soon as possible, but no later than one year from the date of the Combination.

(Stated in thousands of dollars)	December 16, 2014 (in thousands)
Cash	134,887
Account receivable	61,889
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,225
Derivative instruments	31,621
Oil and natural gas properties, proved	343,127
Oil and natural gas properties, unproved	189,877
Other long term assets	8,120
Other fixed assets	697
Net deferred tax asset	14,524
Accounts payable	(76,766)
Royalties payable	(7,446)
Accrued exploration and development	(27,982)
Accrued operating expense and other	(29,246)
Accrued interest	(4,730)
Other short-term obligations(1)	(15,191)
Revolving credit facility	(105,000)
Senior notes	(394,783)
Asset retirement obligations	(23,946)
Other long-term obligations	(66,464)
Total identifiable net assets and consideration transferred	\$ 40,413

(1) Includes \$9.4 million of asset retirement obligations.

All assets and liabilities including oil and gas properties were recorded at their fair value. In determining the fair value of the oil and gas properties, the Company prepared estimates of oil and natural gas reserves. The Company used estimated future prices to apply to the estimated reserve quantities acquired and the estimated future operating and development costs to arrive at the estimates of future net revenues. The valuations to derive the purchase price included the use of both proved and unproved categories of reserves, expectation for timing and amount of future development and operating costs, projections of future rates of production, expected recovery rates, and risk adjusted discount rates. Other significant estimates were used by management to calculate fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The Company may record purchase price adjustments as a result of changes in such estimates.

The actual impact of the Combination was an increase to “Total revenues” in the Consolidated Statement of Operations of \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 and a decrease to “Net loss” in the consolidated Statement of Operations of \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The unaudited pro forma results presented below have been prepared to give the effect of the acquisition discussed above on our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 as if it had been consummated on January 1, 2013. The unaudited pro forma results do not purport to represent what our actual results of operations would have been if the acquisition had been completed on such date or to project our results of operations for any future date or period.

	Year Ended December 31, 2014		Year Ended December 31, 2013	
	Actual	Pro forma	Actual	Pro forma
	(in thousands)			
Pro forma (unaudited)				
Total revenues	464,723	673,641	354,978	518,167
Net income/ (loss)	(326,720)	(286,052)	10,577	(8,226)

On June 10, 2014 and March 25, 2014, the Company acquired working interests in certain oil and natural gas properties in North Texas for a total of \$38.0 million, net of purchase price adjustments. The Company recorded a fair value of \$33.4 million for proved properties and \$4.6 million for unproved properties. No material ARO liability was



Table of Contents

assumed. The valuations to derive the purchase price included both proved and unproved categories of reserves, expectation for timing and amount of future development and operating costs, projections of future rates of production, expected recovery rates, risk adjusted discount rates and fair value of unevaluated leaseholds.

The total pro forma impact of the June 10, 2014 and March 25, 2014 acquisitions was an increase to “Total revenues” on the Consolidated Statement of Operations of \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, and an increase to “Net loss” on the Consolidated Statement of Operations of \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

On December 18, 2013, the Company closed on the sale of its interests in certain oil and natural gas properties in the Texas Panhandle and surrounding Oklahoma area for \$169.0 million, net of certain purchase price adjustments. The sale of the Texas Panhandle and surrounding Oklahoma properties was accounted for as an adjustment to the full cost pool with no gain or loss recognized. Subsequent to December 31, 2013, the Company has recorded purchase price adjustments of approximately \$8.4 million in additional proceeds as a result of clearing title defects and adjusting post effective date estimates.

On April 30, 2013, Sabine closed on the purchase of interests in approximately 5,000 net acres in South Texas for approximately \$14.9 million. The acquisition does not qualify as a business combination because the assets acquired do not meet the definition of a business.

Total costs incurred for oil and natural gas property acquisitions for 2012 were approximately \$737.1 million, net of purchase price adjustments, of which \$145.1 million related to unproved property, \$420.2 million related to proved property acquisitions, and \$173.5 million related to goodwill. Total costs incurred for related gathering and processing facilities was approximately \$5.7 million, net of purchase price adjustments. The goodwill resulted most significantly from movement in inputs used by Sabine, such as estimated type curves, recovery rates, and future rates of production that were updated in addition to applying risk adjustment discount rates, as well as expected synergies from combining operations of the acquiree and the acquirer.

On December 14, 2012, the Company closed the acquisition of certain oil and natural gas properties in the Texas Panhandle and surrounding Oklahoma area for \$657.8 million, net of purchase price adjustments. The acquisition was funded in part by \$181.6 million of equity contributed by Sabine O&G’s member with the remaining balance funded from the proceeds of the Term Loan Facility. This acquisition qualified as a business combination. The Company recorded a fair value of \$340.9 million for proved property and \$145.1 million for unproved acreage, net of the ARO liability assumed of \$1.7 million. This transaction resulted in the recognition of \$173.5 million of goodwill for the excess of the consideration transferred over the net assets received and represented the future economic benefits arising from assets acquired that could not be individually identified and separately recognized. See Note 3 – Goodwill. The valuation to derive the purchase price included both proved and unproved categories of reserves, expectation for timing and amount of future development and operating costs, projections of future rates of production, expected recovery rates and risk adjusted discount rates.

The unaudited pro forma results presented below have been prepared to give effect of the acquisition discussed above on the Company’s results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 as if it had been consummated on January 1, 2011. The unaudited pro forma results do not purport to represent what the Company’s actual results of operations would have been if the acquisition had been completed on such date or to project the Company’s results of operations for any future date or period.

Year ended December 31, 2012

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

	Actual (in thousands)	Pro forma
Pro forma (unaudited)		
Total revenues	\$ 177,446	\$ 258,362
Net loss applicable to controlling interests	\$ (686,782)	\$ (637,985)

On December 17, 2012, the Company closed the acquisition of certain oil and natural gas properties in South Texas for \$79.3 million, net of purchase price adjustments. This acquisition qualified as a business combination pursuant to ASC 805. The Company recorded a fair value of \$79.3 million for proved property. The valuation to derive the purchase

Table of Contents

price included proved and unproved categories of reserves, expectation for timing and amount of future development and operating costs, projections of future rates of production, expected recovery rates and risk adjusted discount rates.

The unaudited pro forma results presented below have been prepared to give the effect of the acquisition discussed above on our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 as if it had been consummated on January 1, 2011. The unaudited pro forma results do not purport to represent what our actual results of operations would have been if the acquisition had been completed on such date or to project our results of operations for any future date or period.

	Year ended December 31, 2012	
	Actual	Pro forma
	(in thousands)	
Pro forma (unaudited)		
Total revenues	\$ 177,446	\$ 181,197
Net loss applicable to controlling interests	\$ (686,782)	\$ (686,075)

Acquired properties that are considered to be business combinations are recorded at their fair value. In determining the fair value of the properties, the Company prepares estimates of oil and natural gas reserves. The Company uses estimated future prices to apply to the estimated reserve quantities acquired and the estimated future operating and development costs to arrive at the estimates of future net revenues. For the fair value assigned to proved reserves, the future net revenues are discounted using a market-based weighted average cost of capital rate determined appropriate at the time of the acquisition. To compensate for inherent risks of estimating and valuing reserves, proved undeveloped, probable and possible reserves are reduced by additional risk-weighting factors.

On August 31, 2012, the Company closed on the sale of its interests in Montana oil and natural gas properties for \$15.8 million, net of purchase price adjustments. The sale of the Montana oil and natural gas properties was accounted for as an adjustment to the full cost pool with no gain or loss recognized. Concurrently with the sale of the Montana oil and natural gas properties, the Company closed on the sale of its controlling ownership interests in Montana gathering entities Lodge Creek Pipelines, LLC and Willow Creek Gathering, LLC for a combined \$2.5 million, net of purchase price adjustments.

On May 22, 2012, the Company closed on the sale of its interests in Utah oil and natural gas properties for \$18.2 million, net of purchase price adjustments. The sale of the Utah oil and natural gas properties was accounted for as an adjustment to the full cost pool with no gain or loss recognized.

The table below sets forth the cost of unproved properties excluded from the amortization base as of December 31, 2014 and the year in which the associated costs were incurred:

	Year of Acquisition				
	2014	2013	2012	Prior	Total
	(in millions)				
Unproved properties(1)	\$ 224.2	\$ 16.9	\$ 27.8	\$ 17.3	\$ 286.2

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

Development costs (2)	15.9	2.7	2.6	1.1	22.3
Capitalized interest	2.1	5.4	1.3	2.0	10.8
Total	\$ 242.2	\$ 25.0	\$ 31.7	\$ 20.4	\$ 319.3

---

- (1) Unproved properties consist of the fair value of unproved properties acquired in acquisitions as well as costs of acreage purchased through independent leasing.
- (2) Development costs excluded from the amortization base in accordance with full cost accounting rules. Substantially all of the development costs excluded from the amortization base as of December 31, 2014 relate to projects that will be completed in the first half of 2015 and either the determination of proved reserves or impairment will occur. The leasehold acquisition costs were incurred for leases which will be developed, impaired or will expire over approximately ten years.

Table of Contents

## 7. Debt

## Senior Notes

## 2017 Notes

On February 12, 2010, Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, formerly NFR Energy LLC, and the Company's subsidiary Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation, formerly NFR Energy Finance Corporation, co-issued \$200 million in 9.75% senior unsecured notes due 2017 (the "2017 Notes") in a private placement to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933 and to persons outside the United States in compliance with Regulation S of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). The 2017 Notes bear interest at a rate of 9.75% per annum, payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15 each year commencing August 15, 2010. The 2017 Notes were issued at 98.73% of par. In conjunction with the issuance of the 2017 Notes, the Company recorded a discount of \$2.5 million to be amortized over the remaining life of the 2017 Notes utilizing the simple interest method. The remaining unamortized discount was \$0.8 million and \$1.1 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The 2017 Notes were issued under and are governed by an indenture dated February 12, 2010 by and among the Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation, Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation, the Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. as trustee, and guarantors party thereto.

All of the Company's restricted subsidiaries that guarantee its New Revolving Credit Facility (other than Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation, which is the co-Issuer of the 2017 Notes) have guaranteed the 2017 Notes on a senior unsecured basis.

On April 14, 2010, Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation and Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation issued an additional \$150 million in senior notes at 9.75% due 2017. The additional notes were issued at 98.75% of par and bear interest at a rate of 9.75% per annum, payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15 of each year commencing August 15, 2010. The additional notes were issued under the same indenture as the 2017 Notes issued on February 12, 2010. The Company recorded a discount of \$1.9 million to be amortized over the remaining life of the 2017 Notes utilizing the simple interest method. The remaining unamortized discount was \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Due to the amortization of the discount, the effective interest rate on the 2017 Notes is 9.93%.

The Company may redeem the 2017 Notes, in whole or in part, at any time on or after February 15, 2014, at a redemption price (expressed as a percentage of principal amount) set forth in the following table plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on February 15 of the years indicated below:

Year	Percentage
2014	104.875
2015	102.438
2016 and thereafter	100.000

The indenture governing the 2017 Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness unless the ratio of our adjusted consolidated EBITDA to our adjusted consolidated interest expense over the trailing four fiscal quarters will be at least 2.0 to 1.0 (subject to exceptions for borrowings within certain limits under our New Revolving Credit Facility); pay dividends or repurchase or redeem equity interests or subordinated indebtedness; limit dividends or other payments by restricted subsidiaries that are not guarantors to it or its other subsidiaries; make certain investments; incur liens; enter into certain types of transactions with its affiliates; and sell assets or consolidate or merge with or into other companies.

However, if the 2017 Notes have an investment grade rating from Standard & Poor's Ratings Group, Inc. and Moody's Investors Service, Inc., and no default or event of default exists under the indenture, the Company will not be subject to certain of the foregoing covenants.

Table of Contents

## 2019 Notes

In connection with the consummation of the Combination, on December 16, 2014, the Company assumed \$577.9 million in 7¼% senior notes due 2019 (the “2019 Notes”) originally issued by Forest on June 6, 2007. Interest on the 2019 Notes is payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15. In conjunction with the consummation of the Combination, the Company recorded a discount of \$287.5 million to be amortized over the remaining life of the 2019 Notes utilizing the simple interest method. The remaining unamortized discount was \$284.9 million at December 31, 2014. Due to the amortization of the discount, the effective interest rate on the 2019 Notes is 18.31%.

The 2019 Notes are redeemable, at our option, at the prices set forth below, expressed as percentages of the principal amount redeemed, plus accrued but unpaid interest, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on June 15 of the years indicated below:

Year	Percentage
2014	101.208
2015 and thereafter	100.000

The indenture governing the 2019 Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit the Company’s ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness unless the ratio of the Company’s adjusted consolidated EBITDA to its adjusted consolidated interest expense over the trailing four fiscal quarters will be at least 2.25 to 1.0 (subject to exceptions for borrowings within certain limits); pay dividends or repurchase or redeem equity interests; limit dividends or other payments by restricted subsidiaries that are not guarantors to the Company or its other subsidiaries; make certain investments; incur liens; enter into certain types of transactions with our affiliates; and sell assets or consolidate or merge with or into other companies. However, if the 2019 Notes have an investment grade rating from Standard & Poor’s Ratings Group, Inc. and Moody’s Investors Service, Inc., no default or event of default exists under the indenture and the New Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Loan Facility cease to be secure, the Company will not be subject to certain of the foregoing covenants.

## 2020 Notes

In connection with the consummation of the Combination, on December 16, 2014, the Company assumed \$222.1 million in 7½% senior notes due 2020 (the “2020 Notes”) originally issued by Forest on September 17, 2012. Interest on the 2020 Notes is payable semiannually on March 15 and September 15. In conjunction with the consummation of the Combination, the Company recorded a discount of \$117.7 million to be amortized over the remaining life of the 2020 Notes utilizing the simple interest method. The remaining unamortized discount was \$116.9 million at December 31, 2014. Due to the amortization of the discount, the effective interest rate on the 2020 Notes is 16.72%.

The 2020 Notes are redeemable, at the Company’s option, at the prices set forth below, expressed as percentages of the principal amount redeemed, plus accrued but unpaid interest, if redeemed during the twelve month period beginning on September 15 of the years indicated below:

Year	Percentage
2016	103.750
2017	101.875

2018 and thereafter 100.000

The indenture governing the 2020 Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness unless the ratio of the Company's adjusted consolidated EBITDA to its adjusted consolidated interest expense over the trailing four fiscal quarters will be at least 2.25 to 1.0 (subject to exceptions for borrowings within certain limits); pay dividends or repurchase or redeem equity interests; limit dividends or other payments by restricted subsidiaries that are not guarantors to the Company or its other subsidiaries; make certain investments; incur liens; enter into certain types of transactions with the Company's affiliates; and sell assets or consolidate or merge with or into other companies. However, if the 2020 Notes have an investment grade rating from Standard & Poor's Ratings Group, Inc. and Moody's Investors Service, Inc., no default or event of

114

---



Table of Contents

default exists under the indenture and the New Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Loan Facility cease to be secure, the Company will not be subject to certain of the foregoing covenants.

The Company may also redeem the 2020 Notes, in whole or in part, at any time prior to September 15, 2016, at a price equal to the principal amount plus a make-whole premium, calculated using the applicable Treasury yield plus 0.5%, plus accrued but unpaid interest. In addition, prior to September 15, 2015, the Company may, at any time or from time to time, redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2020 Notes with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings at 107.5% of the principal amount of the 2020 Notes, plus any accrued but unpaid interest, if at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2020 Notes remains outstanding after such redemption and the redemption occurs within 120 days of the date of the closing of such equity offering.

**The New Revolving Credit Facility**

On November 30, 2007, the Company entered into a senior secured revolving credit facility (the “Former Revolving Credit Facility”) with a syndicate of banks. Through a series of redeterminations, the Company has amended and restated the Credit Facility, with a maturity date of April 7, 2016. The most recent redetermination effective November 12, 2014, increased the borrowing base from \$700 million to \$750 million. On December 16, 2014, in connection with the consummation of the Combination, the Company amended and restated the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 28, 2009, maturing on April 7, 2016, by and among Sabine O&G, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and the lenders and other parties party thereto (the “Former Revolving Credit Facility”) with the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “New Revolving Credit Facility”). The New Revolving Credit Facility provides for a \$2 billion revolving credit facility, with an initial borrowing base of \$1 billion. The New Revolving Credit Facility includes a sub-limit permitting up to \$100 million of letters of credit.

The New Revolving Credit Facility currently matures on April 7, 2016. Pursuant to the terms of the new Revolving Credit Facility, it matures on the earlier of (1) the date that is the fifth anniversary of December 16, 2014 and (2) the date that is 91 days prior to the maturity date of the Term Loan Facility (as defined below), if it is in existence at such time, and is subject to terms of the Intercreditor Agreement, which prohibits the extension of the maturity date of the Former Revolving Credit Facility and New Revolving Credit Facility. Accordingly, unless the Company receives the consent of the lenders or agent for the lenders under the Term Loan Facility to amend or waive the applicable provision in the Intercreditor Agreement, the New Revolving Credit Facility will mature on April 7, 2016.

The borrowing base is subject to redeterminations by the lenders semi-annually, each April 1 and October 1, beginning April 1, 2015 or such later time as the Company may agree upon request of the administrative agent, or as the majority lenders may agree upon the request of the Company. The Company and, after the first scheduled redetermination, the lenders comprising two-thirds of the lenders as measured by exposure may each request two unscheduled borrowing base redeterminations during any 12-month period. The borrowing base under the New Revolving Credit Facility could increase or decrease in connection with a redetermination with increases being subject to the approval of all lenders and decreases (and redeterminations maintaining the borrowing base) being subject to the approval of two-thirds of the lenders as measured by exposure. The borrowing base is also subject to reduction as a result of certain issuances of additional debt, certain asset sales, cancellation of certain hedging positions or lack of sufficient title information. In the event of a reduction of the borrowing base, the Company would be required to repay outstanding exposure under the New Revolving Credit Facility in excess of the new borrowing base in one payment or six equal monthly installments and/or provide additional mortgages over oil and gas properties to support a larger borrowing base, at the Company’s option.

On December 16, 2014, the Company borrowed \$750.8 million under the New Revolving Credit Facility, which included the refinancing of Sabine’s former revolving credit facility of \$619 million and Forest’s revolving credit agreement of \$105 million.

Loans under the New Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at the Company's option at either:

- the sum of (1) the Alternate Base Rate, which is defined as the highest of (a) Wells Fargo Bank, National Association's prime rate; (b) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50%; or (c) the Eurodollar Rate (as defined

## Table of Contents

in the New Revolving Credit Facility) for a one-month interest period plus 1% and (2) a margin varying from 0.50% to 1.50% depending on the Company's most recent borrowing base utilization percentage; or

- the Eurodollar Rate plus a margin varying from 1.50% to 2.50% depending on the Company's most recent borrowing base utilization percentage.

The unused portion of the New Revolving Credit Facility is subject to a commitment fee ranging from 0.375% to 0.50% per annum depending on the Company's most recent borrowing base utilization percentage.

The New Revolving Credit Facility also provides for certain representations and warranties, events of default, affirmative covenants and negative covenants customary for transactions of this type, including a financial maintenance covenant in the form of a first lien secured leverage ratio not to exceed 3.0 to 1.0, of which the covenant is effective March 31, 2015. The New Revolving Credit Facility also contains certain other covenants, including restrictions on additional indebtedness and dividends. The Company was in compliance with such covenants as of December 31, 2014. The New Revolving Credit Facility provides that all obligations thereunder as well as certain swap and cash management obligations will, subject to certain terms and exceptions, be jointly and severally guaranteed by the guarantors described therein. Any failure to comply with the conditions and covenants in the New Revolving Credit Facility that is not waived by the Company's lender or otherwise cured could lead to a termination of the Company's New Revolving Credit Facility, acceleration of all amounts due under the Company's New Revolving Credit Facility, or trigger cross-default provisions under other financing arrangements.

The New Revolving Credit Facility provides that all such obligations and the guarantees will be secured by a lien on at least 80% of the PV-9 of the borrowing base properties evaluated in the most recent reserve report delivered to the administrative agent and a pledge of all of the capital stock of the Company's restricted subsidiaries, subject to certain customary grace periods and exceptions.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, borrowings outstanding under the New Revolving Credit Facility and the Former Revolving Credit Facility totaled \$545 million and \$250 million, respectively, and had a weighted average interest rate of 2.4% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. Subsequent to the period ended December 31, 2014 through March 15, 2015, we have drawn an additional \$426 million under the New Revolving Credit Facility.

The Company's New Revolving Credit Facility requires that the Company's annual financial statements include a report from its independent registered public accounting firm with an unqualified opinion without an explanatory paragraph as to going concern. In consideration of the uncertainty mentioned in Note 2, the report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm that accompanies its audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 contains an explanatory paragraph regarding the substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. As a result, the Company is in default under its New Revolving Credit Facility. The Company is currently in discussions with the lenders under its New Revolving Credit Facility regarding a waiver of this requirement. If it does not obtain a waiver of this requirement under within 30 days, there will exist an event of default under the New Revolving Credit Facility and the lenders under the New Revolving Credit Facility will be able to accelerate the debt. Any acceleration of the debt obligations under the New Revolving Credit Facility would result in a cross-default and potential acceleration of the maturity of the Company's other outstanding debt obligations.

### Term Loan Facility

Sabine O&G entered into a \$500 million second lien term loan agreement ("Term Loan Facility") on December 14, 2012 with a maturity date of December 31, 2018 (provided that if the 2017 Senior Notes are not refinanced to mature at least 91 days thereafter, the maturity date shall be 91 days prior to the February 15, 2017 maturity date of the 2017 Senior Notes). On January 23, 2013, the syndication was completed with an additional funding of \$150 million of proceeds pursuant to the first amendment to the Term Loan Facility bringing the outstanding balance to \$650 million as of December 31, 2013. Proceeds from the Term Loan Facility were used to acquire oil and natural gas properties in December 2012 and repay borrowings under the Former Revolving Credit Facility in the first quarter of 2013.



## Table of Contents

In connection with the consummation of the Combination, on December 16, 2014, the Company entered into an amendment to the Term Loan Facility to provide for \$50 million of incremental term loans (the “Incremental Term Loans”). The Incremental Term Loans are fungible with the existing \$650 million of second lien loans under the Term Loan Facility, including with respect to interest and, in the case of eurodollar borrowings, they bear interest at the Adjusted Eurodollar Rate (as defined in the Term Loan Facility) plus 7.50%, with an interest rate floor of 1.25%, and, in the case of alternate base rate borrowings, they bear interest at the Alternate Base Rate (as defined in the Term Loan Facility) plus 6.50%, with an interest rate floor of 2.25%. The weighted average interest rate incurred on this indebtedness for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was 8.8%.

All of the Company’s restricted subsidiaries that guarantee its New Revolving Credit Facility have guaranteed the Term Loan Facility. The obligations under the Term Loan Facility are secured by the same collateral that secures the New Revolving Credit Facility, but the liens securing such obligations are second priority liens to the liens securing the New Revolving Credit Facility.

The Term Loan Facility provides for certain representations and warranties, events of default, affirmative covenants and negative covenants customary for transactions of this type, including restrictions on additional indebtedness and dividends. The Term Loan Facility provides that all obligations thereunder, subject to certain terms and exceptions, be jointly and severally guaranteed by the guarantors described therein.

The Company’s Term Loan Facility requires that the Company’s annual financial statements include a report from its independent registered public accounting firm with an unqualified opinion without an explanatory paragraph as to going concern. In consideration of the uncertainty mentioned in Note 2, the report of the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm that accompanies its audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 contains an explanatory paragraph regarding the substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. As a result, the Company is in default under its Term Loan Facility. If the Company does not obtain a waiver of this requirement under the Term Loan Facility within 180-days, there will exist an event of default under the Term Loan Facility and the lenders under the Term Loan Facility will be able to accelerate the debt. Any acceleration of the debt obligations under the Term Loan Facility would result in a cross-default and potential acceleration of the maturity of the Company’s other outstanding debt obligations. Additionally, the lenders under the Term Loan Facility are subject to a 180-day standstill before they are able to exercise remedies as a result of the uncured event of default. Following the expiration of the 180-day standstill, the lenders are permitted to foreclose on the collateral securing the Term Loan Facility.

### 8. Shareholders’ (Deficit) Equity

#### Common Stock

At December 31, 2013, Sabine O&G was authorized to issue one class of units to be designated as “Common Units”. The units were not represented by certificates. All Common Units were issued at a price equal to \$1,000 per unit. In the year ended December 31, 2013, Sabine O&G made a one-time payment to Nabors Industries LTD “Nabors” in the amount of \$10 million in order to satisfy commitments to Nabors that were otherwise guaranteed by First Reserve.

On December 16, 2014, in connection with the Combination, certain indirect equity holders of Sabine O&G contributed the equity interests in Sabine O&G to Sabine. In exchange for this contribution, the equity holders of Sabine O&G received approximately 79.2 million shares of Sabine common stock (the “Common Shares”) and approximately 2.5 million Series A senior non-voting preferred stock (“Series A Preferred Shares”; see “—Preferred Stock” below) collectively representing approximately a 73.5% economic interest in Sabine and 40% of the total voting power in Sabine.

Holders of Forest common stock immediately prior to the closing of the Combination continued to hold their common stock following the closing of the Combination representing approximately a 26.5% economic interest in Sabine and

60% of the total voting power in Sabine. Common Shares of Sabine held by the holders of Forest common stock is 118.9 million shares.

117

---

## Table of Contents

Additionally, 16.9 million shares of service-based restricted stock were awarded subsequent to the consummation of the Combination. Refer to Note 9.

At December 31, 2014, the Company had 650.0 million Common Shares, par value \$0.10 per share, authorized and 201.0 million shares issued and outstanding.

Earnings per share and share information presented in the consolidated financial statements for periods prior to December 16, 2014 are based on the Company's common shares calculated by multiplying the number of Sabine O&G's units outstanding at the end of each period using an exchange ratio as derived from the agreement governing the Combination. The Company retroactively adjusted its Statement of Shareholders' (Deficit) Equity at the end of each period using an exchange ratio as derived from the agreement governing the Combination to reflect the legal capital of the accounting acquiree. Beginning on December 16, 2014 common shares are presented for the combined company.

### Preferred Stock

On December 16, 2014, in connection with the Combination, certain indirect equity holders of Sabine O&G received 2.5 million Series A Preferred Shares.

The Series A Preferred Shares are convertible into Sabine Common Shares at the option of certain indirect equity holders of Sabine O&G if (1) the indirect equity holders of Sabine O&G are able to convert a portion of the Series A Preferred Shares into Common Shares and, as a result of such conversion, would not, together with affiliates, hold more than 50% of the Company's voting power and (2) Sabine's board of directors (the "Board") approves such conversion (such approval not to be unreasonably withheld). In addition, Series A Preferred Shares will convert automatically if the indirect equity holders of Sabine O&G transfer such shares to a third party and such third party would not, together with its affiliates, hold more than 50% of the Company's voting power upon receipt of such shares as voting securities.

The Series A Preferred Shares are non-voting. Initially, in connection with a conversion of Series A Preferred Shares into Common Shares as described in the preceding paragraph, each Series A Preferred Share will be convertible into 100 Common Shares.

At December 31, 2014, the Company had 10.0 million Series A Preferred Shares, par value \$0.01, authorized and 2.5 million shares issued and outstanding.

### Incentive Units

The Incentive Units were issued pursuant to the Combination in exchange for Incentive Units that were outstanding prior to the Combination, and were amended in connection with the closing of the Combination. The Incentive Units that were outstanding prior to the Combination were not a substantive class of equity and participated only upon liquidation events meeting certain requisite financial thresholds which were not considered probable, and, as such, were considered to be liability-based awards with no fair value recognized as of December 31, 2013. As amended, the Incentive Units represent the equivalent of stock appreciation rights redeemable for an applicable number of common shares of the Company (based on the value of the common shares). As such, the Incentive Units as amended in connection with the Combination were considered to be equity-based awards with a grant date fair value of approximately \$2.1 million, of which compensation expense will be recognized on a straight line basis over the requisite service period. The compensation expense recognized during the period following the Combination to December 31, 2014 was not material.

## 9. Stock-Based Compensation

### Description of plan

In November 2014, the Company adopted the 2014 LTIP under which nonstatutory options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, bonus stock, dividend equivalents, and other stock-based awards may be granted to employees, directors and consultants of the Company. The aggregate number of shares of common stock that the Company may issue under the 2014 LTIP may not exceed 20 million shares. On December 16, 2014, in connection with the closing of the Combination, subject to the approval of the Sabine shareholders, the board of directors of Sabine voted to amend the 2014 LTIP to increase the total number of Common Shares reserved for issuance



Table of Contents

in connection with awards under the 2014 LTIP from 20 million to 40 million, effective as of the date of such shareholder approval. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had 3.1 million shares available for issuance under the 2014 LTIP.

## Restricted stock

The following table summarizes the restricted stock activity in the 2014 LTIP for the year ended December 31, 2014.

	Restricted Stock Awards		Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance under 2014 LTIP
	Weighted Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	
Unvested at December 31, 2013	—	\$ —	20,000,000
Awarded	16,859,403	0.34	(16,859,403)
Vested	(2,871,173)	0.34	—
Forfeited	(65,000)	0.34	65,000
Unvested at December 31, 2014	13,923,230	0.34	3,205,597

The grant date fair value of restricted stock is determined by averaging the high and low stock price of a share of Sabine common stock as published by the OTC Bulletin Board on the date of grant. Of the unvested restricted stock as of December 31, 2014, 12,690,663 shares vest as follows: (i) two-thirds will vest in one-fourth increments on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant and (ii) one-third will vest in full on the fourth anniversary of the date of grant; 1,032,567 shares vest ratably over three years; and 200,000 shares vest ratably over four years. Restricted stock may vest earlier upon a qualifying disability, death, certain involuntary terminations, or a change in control of the Company in accordance with the terms of the underlying agreement.

## Compensation costs

The following table is a reconciliation of the Company's stock-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2014.

	Restricted Stock Awards 2014 (in thousands)
Stock-based compensation costs expensed	1,041
Unamortized stock-based compensation costs as of December 31, 2014	4,686
Total stock-based compensation costs	5,727

10. Statement of Cash Flows

During the year ended December 31, 2014, Sabine's noncash investing and financing activities consisted primarily of the following transactions:

- The Combination with Forest Oil. Refer to Note 6 for preliminary allocation of consideration transferred to net assets acquired.
- Recognition of an asset retirement obligation for the plugging and abandonment costs related to Sabine O&G's oil and natural gas properties valued at \$0.7 million.
- Accrued and payable capital expenditures as of December 31, 2014 were \$140.6 million.

119

---

## Table of Contents

- Accrued debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2014 were \$0.5 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, Sabine's noncash investing and financing activities consisted primarily of the following transactions:

- Recognition of an asset retirement obligation for the plugging and abandonment costs related to Sabine's oil and natural gas properties valued at \$1.0 million.
- Accrued and payable capital expenditures as of December 31, 2013 were \$90.3 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, Sabine's noncash investing and financing activities consisted primarily of the following transactions:

- Recognition of an asset retirement obligation for the plugging and abandonment costs related to Sabine's oil and natural gas properties valued at \$1.9 million.
- Accrued and payable capital expenditures as of December 31, 2012 were \$25.9 million.
- In-kind contribution of assets for an equity interest in Sabine of \$178.0 million.

### 11. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company is exposed to risks associated with unfavorable changes in the market price of oil and natural gas as a result of the forecasted sale of its production and uses derivative instruments to hedge or reduce its exposure to certain of these risks. For these derivative instruments, the Company did not elect hedge accounting for accounting purposes and, accordingly, recorded the net change in the mark-to-market valuation of these derivative instruments in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

All of Sabine's derivative instruments serve as economic hedges and are recorded at fair value with gains and losses recognized immediately in earnings. These marked-to-market adjustments will produce a degree of earnings volatility that can be significant from period to period, but such adjustments will have no cash flow impact relative to changes in market prices. The impact to cash flow occurs upon settlement of the underlying contract.

Throughout the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company has executed derivative contracts as market conditions allowed in order to economically hedge anticipated future cash flows from oil and natural gas producing activities. These include both oil and natural gas fixed-price swap agreements covering certain portions of anticipated 2015 production volumes. The Company executed option contracts including purchased and written oil and natural gas call agreements, as well as purchased and written oil and natural gas put agreements, covering certain portions of anticipated 2015 oil and natural gas production. Additionally, Sabine has sold a swaption agreement allowing the counterparty the option to execute a fixed price swap agreement at a contracted price on contracted volumes before an expiration date. Sabine's sold swaption contract expires at December 31, 2015. No material premiums were recognized as a result of these option agreements. None of the fixed-price swap or option contracts were designated for hedge accounting, with all mark-to-market changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings. See the table below for specific volume, timing, and pricing details regarding Sabine's outstanding trade positions.

Additionally, prior to the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company purchased natural gas puts, wrote oil and natural gas calls, and wrote oil and natural gas puts for periods from 2015 through 2016, for which a net premium was recognized. In March 2014, Sabine restructured certain sold call contracts for which the Company had previously recognized a premium liability related to 2015 volumes. As a result of this restructuring, the Company released \$4.4 million of premium liability into earnings, recognized in "Gain (loss) on derivative instruments" on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2014. The net unamortized premium included in short-term derivative liabilities is \$4.6 million at December 31, 2014. No unamortized premium remained in long-term derivative liabilities at December 31, 2014. See the table below for specific volume, timing, and pricing details regarding Sabine's derivative positions.



Table of Contents

Throughout the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company executed derivative contracts as market conditions allowed in order to economically hedge our anticipated future cash flows from oil and natural gas producing activities. These include both oil and natural gas fixed-price swap agreements covering certain portions of our anticipated 2013, 2014, and 2015 production volumes. Additionally, the Company executed option contracts including purchased and written oil and natural gas call agreements, as well as purchased and written oil and natural gas put agreements, covering certain portions of our anticipated 2014 oil and natural gas production. No material premiums were recognized as a result of these option agreements. None of the fixed-price swap or option contracts executed during 2013 were designated for hedge accounting, with all mark-to-market changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings. The net unamortized premium included in short term and long term derivative liabilities was \$7.2 million and \$9.0 million, respectively, at December 31, 2013.

The following swaps and options were outstanding with associated notional volumes and contracted swap, floor, and ceiling prices that represent hedge weighted average prices for the index specified as of December 31, 2014:

## Natural Gas

Settlement Period	Derivative Instrument	Notional Amount (MMbtu)	Weighted Average Prices			
			Swap (\$/MMbtu)	Sub Floor (\$/MMbtu)	Floor (\$/MMbtu)	Ceiling (\$/MMbtu)
2015	Collar	5,450,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4.23	\$ 4.63
2015	Swap	38,325,000	\$ 4.15	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
2015	Swap with sub floor	37,595,000	\$ 4.20	\$ 3.54	\$ —	\$ —
2016	Sold call	21,960,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5.00

## Oil

Settlement Period	Derivative Instrument	Notional Amount (Bbl)	Weighted Average Prices			
			Swap (\$/Bbl)	Sub Floor	Floor	Ceiling
2015	Swap	2,206,350	\$ 90.02	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
2015	Swap with sub floor	339,450	\$ 89.50	\$ 73.47	\$ —	\$ —
2016	Swaption	366,000	\$ 98.00	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

The following swaps and options were outstanding with associated notional volumes and contracted swap, floor, and ceiling prices that represent hedge weighted average prices for the index specified as of December 31, 2013:

## Natural Gas

Derivative Instrument	Notional Amount	Weighted Average Prices			
		Swap	Sub Floor	Floor	Ceiling

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

Settlement  
Period

		(MMbtu)	(\$/MMbtu)	(\$/MMbtu)	(\$/MMbtu)	(\$/MMbtu)
2014	Swap	19,722,000	\$ 4.06	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
2014	Swap with sub floor	3,128,000	\$ 3.99	\$ 3.25	\$ —	\$ —
2014	Three-way collar	4,554,000	\$ —	\$ 3.50	\$ 4.50	\$ 5.25
2014	Three-way collar	3,096,000	\$ —	\$ 3.50	\$ 4.50	\$ 4.50
2014	Three-way collar	18,775,000	\$ —	\$ 3.25	\$ 4.50	\$ 4.50
2015	Swap	18,250,000	\$ 4.09	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
2015	Sold call	21,900,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5.25
2016	Sold call	21,960,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5.00

Oil

Settlement Period	Derivative Instrument	Notional Amount (Bbl)	Weighted Average Prices			
			Swap (\$/Bbl)	Sub Floor	Floor	Ceiling
2014	Swap	1,264,725	\$ 92.25	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
2014	Swap with sub floor	122,275	\$ 89.13	\$ 70.00	\$ —	\$ —
2014	Sold call	73,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 100.00
2015	Swap	365,000	\$ 89.50	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
2015	Sold call	200,750	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 106.36

Effective February 3, 2015, Sabine executed additional oil swap agreements on 366,000 Bbl at \$64.25/Bbl of anticipated 2016 production, collar agreements on 366,000 Bbl at (\$60.00/Bbl / \$68.05/Bbl) of anticipated 2016 production and natural gas swap agreements on 11,712,000 MMBtu at an average price of \$3.26/MMbtu of anticipated 2016 production. Additionally, effective February 18, 2015, Sabine executed oil swap agreements on 274,500 Bbl of

Table of Contents

anticipated Bbl at \$62.79 of anticipated 2016 production and 547,500 Bbl at \$64.80/Bbl of anticipated 2017 production and natural gas swap agreements on 12,810,000 MMBtu at an average price of \$3.27/MMBtu of anticipated 2016 production.

The Company recorded a short-term derivative asset of \$160.2 million, and recorded a short-term and a long term derivative liability of \$4.6 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, for the fair value of the derivative instruments as of December 31, 2014. The Company recorded a short term and a long term derivative asset of \$7.8 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, and recorded a short term and a long term derivative liability of \$11.6 million and \$11.3 million, respectively, related to the fair value of the derivative instrument's prices on related volumes as of December 31, 2013.

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Gain on commodity derivative instruments	\$ 121,669	\$ 814	\$ 29,267

Sabine paid \$10.8 million, received \$46.2 million and received \$104.9 million on settlements of derivatives in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Sabine's derivative contracts are executed with counterparties under certain master netting agreements that allow the Company to offset assets due from, and liabilities due to, the counterparties. The table below presents the carrying value of Sabine's derivative assets and liabilities both before and after the impact of such netting agreements on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

		Derivative Assets	
		December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
		(in thousands)	
		Fair Value	
Current assets	Derivative Instruments	\$ 191,765	\$ 15,859
Current liabilities (1)	Derivative Instruments	—	2,826
Total current asset fair value		\$ 191,765	18,685
Other assets	Derivative Instruments	—	6,488
Long-term liabilities (1)	Derivative Instruments	—	223
Total long-term asset fair value		—	6,711
Less: Counterparty set-off		(31,548)	(13,258)
Total derivative asset net fair value		\$ 160,217	\$ 12,138

Derivative Liabilities		December 31, December 31, 2014                      2013 (in thousands)	
		Fair Value	
Current liabilities	Derivative Instruments	\$ (4,645)	\$ (14,451)
Current assets (1)	Derivative Instruments	(31,548)	(8,052)
Total current liability fair value		(36,193)	(22,503)
Long-term liabilities	Derivative Instruments	(2,269)	(11,496)
Other assets (1)	Derivative Instruments	—	(2,156)
Total long-term liability fair value		(2,269)	(13,652)
Less: Counterparty set-off		31,548	13,258
Total derivative liability net fair value		\$ (6,914)	\$ (22,897)

---

(1) Impact of counterparty right of set-off for derivative instruments subject to certain master netting agreements.



Table of Contents

At December 31, 2014, and December 31, 2013, none of the Company's outstanding derivatives contained credit-risk related contingent features that would result in a material adverse impact to Sabine upon any change in the Company's credit ratings.

## 12. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). The Company utilizes market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable. The Company classifies fair value balances based on the observability of those inputs.

The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement).

The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. Level 1 primarily consists of financial instruments such as exchange-traded derivatives, marketable securities and listed equities.

Level 2 – Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. Level 2 includes those financial instruments that are valued using models or other valuation methodologies. These models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, volatility factors, and current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures. Substantially all of these assumptions are observable in the marketplace throughout the full term of the instrument, can be derived from observable data, or are supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the marketplace. Instruments in this category generally include non-exchange-traded derivatives such as commodity swaps, basis swaps, options, and collars.

Level 3 – Pricing inputs include significant inputs that are generally less observable from objective sources. These inputs may be used with internally developed methodologies that result in management's best estimate of fair value.

The following table sets forth, by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	Recurring Fair Value Measurements (in millions)			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
As of December 31, 2014				
Derivative Assets	\$ —	\$ 191.8	\$ —	\$ 191.8

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

Derivative Liabilities	—	(38.5)	—	(38.5)
Total	\$ —	\$ 153.3	\$ —	\$ 153.3

Table of Contents

	Recurring Fair Value Measurements (in millions)			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
As of December 31, 2013				
Derivative Assets	\$ —	\$ 25.4	\$ —	\$ 25.4
Derivative Liabilities	—	(36.2)	—	(36.2)
Total	\$ —	\$ (10.8)	\$ —	\$ (10.8)

The Company's financial assets and liabilities consist solely of the derivative assets and liabilities also disclosed in Note 11. Derivatives listed above include commodity swaps and put and call options that are carried at fair value. The fair value amounts on the Consolidated Balance Sheets associated with the Company's derivatives resulted from Level 2 fair value methodologies, that is, the Company is able to value the assets and liabilities based on observable market data for similar instruments. The amounts above include the impact of netting assets and liabilities with counterparties with which the right of offset exists.

The observable data includes the forward curve for commodity prices and interest rates based on quoted markets prices and prospective volatility factors related to changes in commodity prices, as well as the impact of the Company's non-performance risk as well as the non-performance risk of its counterparties which is derived using credit default swap values.

The Company measures fair value of its long-term debt based on a Level 2 methodology using quoted market prices which include consideration of the Company's credit risk. The carrying value of the Company's New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility approximate fair value based on current rates applicable to similar instruments. The following table outlines the fair value of the 2017 Notes, 2019 Notes and 2020 Notes as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	December 31, December 31, 2014 2013 (in thousands)	
2017 Senior Notes		
Carrying Value, net of discount	\$ 348,669	\$ 348,040
Fair Value	\$ 190,400	\$ 327,698
2019 Senior Notes		
Carrying Value, net of discount	\$ 293,064	\$ —
Fair Value	\$ 184,932	\$ —
2020 Senior Notes		
Carrying Value, net of discount	\$ 105,234	\$ —
Fair Value	\$ 73,311	\$ —

Sabine utilizes fair value on a non-recurring basis to perform impairment tests as required on the Company's inventory, property, plant and equipment and goodwill. The testing of goodwill for impairment was done via a two-step process.

The first step of the process compared the fair value of the country-wide cost center with its carrying amount including goodwill. The fair value of the country-wide cost center was determined by using a discounted cash flows model which relied primarily on the Company's reserve data which include significant assumptions, judgment and estimates, as well as a calculated weighted average cost of capital, derived through analysis of the capital structures of selected peer companies and relevant statistical market data. The fair value was below the carrying amount; therefore, the Company performed the second step to compare the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill was determined by assigning the fair value of a reporting unit to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit as if the unit had been acquired in a business combination. The Company recognized a \$173.5 million impairment of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Company recorded impairment charges for gas gathering and processing equipment of \$1.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 based on expected present value and estimated future cash flows using current volume throughput and pricing assumptions. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized no impairment charges for gas gathering and processing equipment. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recognized \$21.4 million of impairment

Table of Contents

charges for gas gathering and processing equipment based on expected present value and estimated future cash flows using current volume throughput and pricing assumptions. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, Sabine recognized \$0.2 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, of impairment charges related to the write-down of carrying value of certain sizes of casing inventory. Assets and liabilities acquired in business combinations are recorded at their fair value as of the date of acquisition (Note 6). The inputs used to determine such fair value are primarily based upon internally developed cash flow models and would generally be classified as Level 3. Additionally, the Company uses fair value to determine the inception value of the Company's asset retirement obligations. The inputs used to determine such fair value are primarily based upon costs incurred historically for similar work, as well as estimates for costs that would be incurred to restore leased property to the contractually stipulated condition, and would generally be classified as Level 3.

### 13. Commitments and Contingencies

From time to time, the Company may be a plaintiff or defendant in a pending or threatened legal proceeding arising in the normal course of its business. All known liabilities are accrued when probable and reasonably estimable based on the Company's best estimate of the potential loss. The Company has recognized \$27.4 million of accrued liabilities in relation to legal proceedings which is classified within "Other long-term liabilities" in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2014, including an accrual of \$25.4 million for an arbitration award against the Company in *Forest Oil Corp., et al. v. El Rucio Land & Cattle Co., et al.* The Company is seeking to have this award reversed on appeal and believes it has meritorious arguments in support thereof. However, the Company is unable to predict the final outcome in this matter.

Following the May 6, 2014 announcement of the proposed Transactions, six putative class action lawsuits were filed by Forest Oil shareholder in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, alleging breaches of fiduciary duty by the directors of Forest Oil and aiding and abetting of those breaches of fiduciary duty by Sabine entities in connection with the proposed Transactions. By order dated July 8, 2014, the six New York cases were consolidated for all purposes under the caption *In re Forest Oil Corporation Shareholder Litigation*, Index No. 651418/2014. On July 17, 2014, plaintiffs in the consolidated New York action filed a Consolidated Class Action Complaint (the "Consolidated Complaint"). The Consolidated Complaint seeks to certify a plaintiff class consisting of all holders of Forest Oil common stock other than the defendants and their affiliates. The defendants named in these actions include the directors of Forest Oil (Patrick R. McDonald, James H. Lee, Dod A. Fraser, James D. Lightner, Loren K. Carroll, Richard J. Carty, and Raymond I. Wilcox), as well as Sabine and certain of its affiliates (specifically, Sabine Oil & Gas LLC, Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings LLC, and Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings II LLC). The Consolidated Complaint also purports to identify FR XI Onshore AIV, L.L.C. as a defendant, but no causes of action are alleged against that entity.

The Consolidated Complaint alleges that the proposed Transactions arise out of a series of unlawful actions by the board of directors of Forest Oil seeking to ensure that Sabine and affiliates of First Reserve Corporation ("First Reserve") acquire the assets of, and take control over, Forest Oil through an alleged "three-step merger transaction" that allegedly does not represent a value-maximizing transaction for the shareholders of Forest Oil. The Consolidated Complaint also complains that the proposed Transactions have been improperly restructured to require only a majority vote of current Forest Oil shareholders to approve the Combination with Sabine, rather than a two-thirds majority as would have been required under the original transaction structure. The Consolidated Complaint additionally alleges that members of Forest Oil's board, as well as Forest Oil's financial adviser for the proposed Transactions, are subject to conflicts of interest that compromise their loyalty to Forest Oil's shareholders, that the defendants have improperly sought to "lock up" the proposed Transactions with certain inappropriate "deal protection devices" that impede Forest Oil from pursuing superior potential transactions with other bidders.

The Consolidated Complaint asserts causes of action against the directors of Forest Oil for breaches of fiduciary duty and violations of the New York Business Corporation Law, as well as a cause of action against the Sabine defendants

for aiding and abetting the directors' breaches of duty and violations of law, and it seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to enjoin consummation of the proposed Transactions or, in the alternative, rescission and/or rescissory and other damages in the event that the proposed Transactions are consummated before the lawsuit is resolved.

125

---

Table of Contents

In addition to these New York proceedings, one putative class action lawsuit has been filed by Forest Oil shareholders in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. That action, captioned Olinatz v. Forest Oil Corp., No. 1:14-cv-01409-MSK-CBS, was commenced on May 19, 2014, and plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint (the “Olinatz Complaint”) on June 13, 2014. The Olinatz Complaint also alleges breaches of fiduciary duty by the directors of Forest Oil and aiding and abetting of those breaches of fiduciary duty by the Sabine defendants in connection with the proposed Transactions, as well as related claims alleging violations of Section 14 (a) and 20 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 14a-9 promulgated thereunder, in connection with alleged misstatements in a Form S-4 Registration Statement filed by Forest Oil on May 29, 2014, which recommends that Forest Oil shareholders approve the proposed Transactions. The Olinatz Complaint names as defendants Forest Oil and certain of its affiliates (specifically, Forest Oil Corporation, New Forest Oil Inc., and Forest Oil Merger Sub Inc.), the directors of Forest Oil (Patrick R. McDonald, James H. Lee, Dod A. Fraser, James D. Lightner, Loren K. Carroll, Richard J. Carty, and Raymond I. Wilcox), and Sabine and certain of its affiliates (specifically, Sabine Oil & Gas LLC, Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings LLC, and Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings II LLC), and seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to enjoin consummation of the proposed Transactions or, in the alternative, rescission in the event the proposed Transactions are consummated before the lawsuit is resolved, as well as imposition of a constructive trust on any alleged benefits improperly received by defendants.

On October 14, 2014, on motion by the Colorado plaintiffs, the Court in the Colorado action entered an order directing the Clerk of the Court to administratively close the action, subject to reopening on good cause shown.

On November 11, 2014, the defendants reached an agreement in principle with plaintiffs in the New York action regarding a settlement of that action, and that agreement is reflected in a memorandum of understanding executed by the parties on that date. The settlement, if consummated, will also resolve the Colorado action. In connection with the settlement contemplated by the memorandum of understanding, Forest Oil agreed to make certain additional disclosures related to the proposed transaction with Sabine, which are contained in Forest Oil’s November 12, 2014 Form 8-K, and Sabine agreed that, within 120 days after the closing of the proposed combination transaction, Sabine Investor Holdings LLC will designate for a period of no less than three (3) years at least one additional independent director, as defined in Section 303A.02 of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual, as a Sabine Nominee (as defined in Section 1.4 of the Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger). The total number of Sabine Nominees will remain unchanged, but at least one of the remaining two Sabine Nominees that had not yet been determined was required to be independent. In connection with the closing of the Combination, Thomas Chewning, an independent director as defined in Section 303A.02 of the New York Exchange Listed Company Manual, was appointed as a Sabine Nominee. The memorandum of understanding contemplates that the parties will enter into a stipulation of settlement.

The stipulation of settlement will be subject to customary conditions, including court approval. In the event the parties enter into a stipulation of settlement, a hearing will be scheduled at which the New York Court will consider the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the settlement. If the settlement is finally approved by the court, it will resolve and release all claims or actions that were or could have been brought challenging any aspect of the proposed combination transaction, the Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, the merger agreement originally entered into by Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, Forest Oil, New Forest Oil Inc. and certain of their affiliated entities on May 5, 2014, any disclosure made in connection therewith, including the Definitive Proxy Statement, and all other matters that were the subject of the complaint in the New York action, pursuant to terms that will be disclosed to stockholders prior to final approval of the settlement. In addition, in connection with the settlement, the parties contemplate that the parties will negotiate in good faith regarding the amount of attorney’s fees and expenses that shall be paid to plaintiffs’ counsel in connection with the Actions. There can be no assurances that the parties will ultimately enter into a stipulation of settlement or that the New York Court will approve the settlement even if the parties were to enter into such stipulation. In such event, the proposed settlement as contemplated by the memorandum of

understanding may be terminated. The parties are presently negotiating the stipulation of settlement. At this time, the Company is unable to predict the potential outcome of this litigation or the ultimate exposure.

On March 13, 2015, plaintiffs informed Sabine that they believe Sabine has materially violated the terms of the memorandum of understanding executed on November 11, 2014 by (i) failing to replace or create a mechanism to replace an independent director who resigned from the board of directors in January 2015, and (ii) making changes to the terms of the merger agreement that were not necessary or required to facilitate the consummation of the proposed transaction without first disclosing and permitting shareholders to vote on the changes. Sabine disagrees with plaintiffs



Table of Contents

and will respond to their letter in due course. If plaintiffs prevail in their position concerning the memorandum of understanding, the proposed settlement as contemplated by the memorandum of understanding may be terminated.

In addition, on February 26, 2015, the Company was served with a complaint (WILMINGTON SAVINGS FUND SOCIETY, FSB v. FOREST OIL CORPORATION) concerning the indenture governing its 2019 Notes. The complaint is pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York and generally alleges that certain events of default had occurred with respect to the 2019 Notes due to the business combination between Forest Oil Corporation and Sabine Oil & Gas LLC. The Company also received a notice of default and acceleration from the trustee with respect to the 2019 Notes containing similar allegations. If the Company is not successful in its defense of this complaint, it may be required to redeem the holders of the 2019 Notes at 101% of the outstanding principal, plus accrued and outstanding interest of the notes, and if the court determines the Company is in default under the indenture governing the 2019 Notes, a cross-default and acceleration under its other debt agreements may result. The Company believes these allegations against it are without merit and intend to vigorously defend against such claims and pursue any and all defenses available. However, the Company is unable to predict the outcome of such matter, and the proceedings may have a negative impact on the Company's liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Furthermore, the Company has a Committed Oilfield Services Agreement (the "Services Agreement") with Nabors, which commits to Nabors service contracts with revenues of no less than 20% and 75% of certain of the Company's gross spend on hydraulic fracturing services and drilling and directional services, respectively, through December 13, 2016. If at any yearly anniversary of the execution of the Services Agreement, Sabine has failed to meet the revenue commitment for the previous 12-month period and Nabors has complied with its service obligations under the Services Agreement, the Company may be required to pay Nabors an amount equal to the revenue commitment shortfall multiplied by 40%. No revenue commitment shortfall liability was recorded as of December 31, 2014 for the annual period ended December 13, 2014 under the Services Agreement. For the annual period ended December 13, 2013, the Company recognized a revenue commitment shortfall liability amount due to Nabors of \$1.7 million which is included in "Accrued operating expenses and other" liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and "Other income (expense)" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 and was paid in January 2014 pursuant to the terms of the Services Agreement.

As part of Sabine's ongoing operations, since inception the Company has contracted with affiliates of Nabors to secure drilling rigs and other services for the oil and natural gas well activity the Company has undertaken. Amounts paid to affiliates of Nabors under these agreements totaled \$104.2 million, \$55.2 million and \$42.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and the Company recognized a liability in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 of \$11.8 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, for these services which are reflected in "Accounts payable – trade" and "Accrued exploration and development" balances in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

As of December 31, 2014 total future commitments relating to the Company's secured rig and servicing contracts were \$55.1 million over the next five years.

During 2014, the Company executed ten year gas and condensate gathering agreements for the transportation and processing of natural gas and condensate, covering certain properties in South Texas with contractually obligated annual minimum volume commitments to deliver a cumulative 88.5 Bcfe of gas and 5,150 MBbl of condensate by September 22, 2024. The gathering and transportation rates under these contracts are considered by management to be consistent with competitive market rates of other service providers. Under the terms of the agreements, the Company is required to make annual deficiency payments for any shortfalls in delivering the minimum annual volumes under these commitments beginning in the third quarter of 2015, which shall be partially offset by then-existing credit balances for production in excess of minimum commitments, if any. As of December 31, 2014, the Company has no

material shortfall related to these contracts; however, as the Company continues to execute its development plan for these and other oil and gas assets, it could experience insufficient future production from the applicable assets and dedicated area to meet its transportation and processing commitments.

The Company leases approximately 73,000 square feet of office space in downtown Houston, Texas, under a lease, which was amended effective January 1, 2014 to terminate on April 30, 2016. The average rent for this space over the

127

---

Table of Contents

life of the lease is approximately \$1.6 million per year. As of December 31, 2014, total future commitments are \$3.3 million.

Additionally, through the December 2014 Combination, the Company assumed leases of approximately 119,000 square feet and 47,000 square feet in Houston, Texas and Denver, Colorado, respectively. The Houston lease terminates on September 30, 2023 and as of December 31, 2014 the average rent for this space over the life of the lease is approximately \$1.6 million per year. The Denver lease terminates on January 31, 2016 and as of December 31, 2014 the total future commitments are \$3.9 million, including a termination penalty of \$0.8 million.

Rent expense was approximately \$2.6 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company leases various office and production equipment. As of December 31, 2014, total future commitments are \$1.3 million. The majority of Sabine's operating leases continue with a month to month lease term after initial contractual obligations have expired.

As is customary in the oil and natural gas industry, the Company may at times have commitments in place to reserve or earn certain acreage positions or wells. If the Company does not pay such commitments, the acreage positions or wells may be lost.

A summary of Sabine's contractual obligations as of December 31, 2014 is provided in the following table:

## Payments due by period

	For the Year Ending December 31,						Total
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter	
Senior secured revolving credit facility (1)	\$ 545.0	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 545.0
Term Loan Facility (1)	700.0	—	—	—	—	—	700.0
2017 Senior Notes (2)	396.9	—	—	—	—	—	396.9
2019 Senior Notes (2)	621.6	—	—	—	—	—	621.6
2020 Senior Notes (2)	243.6	—	—	—	—	—	243.6
Drilling rig commitments (3)	21.7	21.6	11.8	—	—	—	55.1
Office and equipment leases	8.0	3.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	6.2	22.8
Operating commitments (4)	11.5	16.8	8.4	4.8	3.2	10.0	54.7
Legal obligations (5)	29.0	—	—	—	—	—	29.0
Other (6)	14.8	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4	63.0	96.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,592.1</b>	<b>\$ 46.7</b>	<b>\$ 26.4</b>	<b>\$ 11.0</b>	<b>\$ 9.3</b>	<b>\$ 79.2</b>	<b>\$ 2,764.7</b>

(1) Includes outstanding principal amounts at December 31, 2014. This table does not include future commitment fees, interest expense or other fees on these facilities because they are floating rate instruments and Sabine cannot determine with accuracy the timing of future loan advances, repayments or future interest rates to be charged. As of December 31, 2014, the New Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan Facility had weighted average interest rates of 2.40% and 8.75%, respectively. For more information on the classification of debt, please see Note 2 herein.

(2)

2017 Notes include interest at a rate of 9.75% per annum, payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15.  
2019 Notes include interest at a rate of 7.25% per annum, payable semi-annually on June 15 and December 15.  
2020 Notes include interest at a rate of 7.50% per annum, payable semi-annually on March 15 and September 15.  
For more information on the classification of debt, please see Note 2 herein.

- (3) At December 31, 2014, Sabine had one drilling rig under contract which expires in 2016 and two drilling rigs under contracts which expire in 2017 and are reflected in the values in the table. Any other rig performing work for Sabine is doing so on a well-by-well basis and therefore can be released without penalty at the conclusion of drilling on the current well. These types of drilling obligations have not been included in the table above. The values in the table represent the gross amounts that Sabine is committed to pay. However, Sabine will record in the financials its proportionate share based on its working interest.

Table of Contents

- (4) Operating commitments consist of committed production and development activities. The gas and condensate gathering agreements for the transportation and processing of natural gas and condensate cover certain properties with contractually obligated annual minimum volume commitments of gas and condensate. Under the terms of the agreements, we are required to make annual deficiency payments for any shortfalls in delivering the minimum volumes under these commitments. The drilling commitment requires an annual minimum of one well be drilled each year through May 2, 2017. Under the terms of the agreement, we are required to purchase the associated gathering facilities should this commitment not be met.
- (5) From time to time, the Company may be a plaintiff or defendant in a pending or threatened legal proceeding arising in the normal course of its business. All known liabilities are accrued when probable and reasonably estimable based on the Company's best estimate of the potential loss. While the outcome and impact of currently pending legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company's management and legal counsel believe that the resolution of these proceedings through settlement or adverse judgment will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated operating results, financial position or cash flows. As of December 31, 2014, there were \$29 million of outstanding letters of credit, including \$25 million reserved for litigation and \$4 million reserved for other purposes incidental to the Company's normal course of business.
- (6) Other is comprised primarily of pension and other postretirement benefit obligations, asset retirement obligations and future settlements of deferred service charges, for which neither the ultimate settlement amounts nor the timing of settlement can be precisely determined in advance. See "Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates, Judgments, and Assumptions" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a more detailed discussion of the nature of the accounting estimates involved in estimating asset retirement obligations.

## 14. Employee Benefit Plans

## Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits

As a result of the Combination between Sabine and Forest, Sabine assumed qualified defined benefit pension plans (the "Forest Pension Plan" and the "Wiser Pension Plan"). Sabine also assumed non-qualified unfunded supplementary retirement plans (the "Forest SERP" and the "THX SERP," and together, the "SERP") that provide certain retired executives with defined retirement benefits in excess of qualified plan limits imposed by federal tax law. The Forest Pension Plan and the Forest SERP were curtailed and all benefit accruals under both plans were suspended effective May 31, 1991. The Wiser Pension Plan was curtailed and all benefit accruals were suspended effective December 11, 1998. The THX SERP was curtailed and all benefit accruals were suspended effective January 1, 2008. The Forest Pension Plan, the Wiser Pension Plan, the Forest SERP, and the THX SERP are hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Pension Plans."

In addition to the Pension Plans described above, as a result of the Combination between Sabine and Forest, Sabine also assumed a plan that provides postretirement benefits to certain former Forest employees hired on or prior to January 1, 2009, their beneficiaries, and covered dependents. These medical and dental benefits are hereinafter referred to as the "Postretirement Benefits Plan."

## Expected Benefit Payments

As of December 31, 2014, it is anticipated Sabine will be required to provide benefit payments from the Forest Pension Plan trust and the Wiser Pension Plan trust and fund benefit payments directly for the SERP and the Postretirement Benefits Plan in the following amounts:

2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 - 2024
(in thousands)					

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

Forest Pension Plan (1)	\$ 2,156	\$ 2,122	\$ 2,081	\$ 2,058	\$ 2,015	\$ 9,477
Wiser Pension Plan (1)	859	857	844	827	812	3,860
SERP	128	124	120	116	112	485
Postretirement Benefits Plan	736	771	829	864	857	4,566

---

(1) Benefit payments expected to be made to participants in the Forest Pension Plan and Wiser Pension Plan are expected to be paid out of funds held in trusts established for each plan.

129

---

Table of Contents

Sabine anticipates that it will make contributions in 2015 totaling \$1.9 million to the Pension Plans and \$0.6 million to the Postretirement Benefits Plan, net of retiree contributions, as applicable.

## Benefit Obligations

The following table sets forth the estimated benefit obligations associated with the Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits Plan.

	Year Ended December 31, 2014	
	Pension Plans (in thousands)	Postretirement Benefits Plan
Benefit obligation at the beginning of the year	\$ —	\$ —
Business combination	44,400	18,052
Benefit obligation at the end of the year	\$ 44,400	\$ 18,052

Sabine determined that the differences between the December 16, 2014 Combination date and December 31, 2014 year-end values for the Pension Plans and the Postretirement Benefits Plan recorded in the purchase price allocation were immaterial. Therefore, Sabine recorded the December 31, 2014 values in the purchase price allocation, which resulted in no net periodic expense or accumulated other comprehensive income being recorded as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014.

## Fair Value of Plan Assets

The assets of the Forest Pension Plan and the Wisser Pension Plan measured at fair value on a recurring basis are set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy in the table below. There are no assets set aside under the SERP and the Postretirement Benefits Plan.

	December 31, 2014			Total (1)
	Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Using Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3	\$ 63	\$ —	\$ 66
Investment funds—equities:				
Research equity portfolio(2)	—	12,027	—	12,027
International stock funds(3)	10,956	—	—	10,956
Investment funds—fixed income:				
Short-term fund(4)	1,958	—	—	1,958

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

Bond fund(5)	6,229	—	—	6,229
Oil and gas royalty interests(6)	—	—	199	199
	\$ 19,146	\$ 12,090	\$ 199	\$ 31,435

---

- (1) The total fair value of the plan assets of \$31.4 million as of December 31, 2014 does not include net accrued expenses of \$0.04 million.
- (2) This investment fund's assets are primarily large capitalization U.S. equities. The investment approach of this fund, which held approximately 220 different securities at December 31, 2014, focuses on diversifying the investment portfolio by delegating the equity selection process to research analysts with expertise in their respective industries. Industry weights are kept similar to those of the S&P 500 Index. As of December 31, 2014, the approximate sector weighting of this fund was comprised of the following: financials (19%), information technology (18%), health care (15%), consumer discretionary (13%), industrials (10%), consumer staples (10%), and other (15%). The fair value of this investment fund was determined based on the net asset value per unit provided by the fund. Sabine performs

130

---



Table of Contents

procedures to validate the net asset value per unit provided by the fund. Such procedures include verifying the pricing of a sample of the underlying securities, with such pricing being directly observable in the marketplace.

- (3) These three investment funds seek long-term growth of principal and income by investing primarily in diversified portfolios of equity securities issued by foreign, medium-to-large companies in international markets including emerging markets. The first fund, which comprises \$5.9 million of the international stock funds, seeks to invest in solid, well-established global leaders with emphasis on strong corporate governance, positive future growth opportunities, and growing return on capital. As of December 31, 2014, the approximate sector weighting of this fund, which seeks diversification across regions, countries, and market sectors, was comprised of the following: financials (27%), information technology (16%), consumer discretionary (15%), health care (13%), and other (29%). The second fund, which comprises \$3.4 million of the international stock funds, seeks to obtain growth through long-term appreciation of its holdings, selecting investments based upon their current fundamentals. As of December 31, 2014, the approximate sector weighting of this fund, which invests in Asian (excluding Japanese) growth equities with a focus on domestic demand growth rather than an export orientation, was comprised of the following: financials (29%), consumer staples (21%), information technology (16%), and other (34%). The third fund, which comprises \$1.6 million of the international stock funds, seeks to deliver equity-like returns with significantly less volatility by investing in emerging markets equity securities, with country allocations not exceeding 25%. As of December 31, 2014, the approximate sector weighting of this fund was comprised of the following: industrials (17%), information technology (16%), financials (13%), consumer discretionary (13%), materials (11%), and other (30%). The fair value of these investment funds was determined based on the funds' net asset values per unit, which are directly observable in the marketplace.
- (4) This investment fund's assets are high-quality short-term fixed income securities. This fund generally limits its foreign currency exposure to 20% of its total assets and is actively managed as an enhanced cash strategy, seeking to derive excess returns versus money market fund indices by capturing term, transactional liquidity, credit, and volatility premiums. As of December 31, 2014, the approximate sector weighting of this fund was comprised of the following: investment grade (52%), mortgage (15%), and other (33%). The fair value of this investment fund was determined based on the fund's net asset value per unit, which is directly observable in the marketplace.
- (5) These two investment funds consist of diversified portfolios of bonds. The main investments of the first fund, which comprises \$5.1 million of the bond fund, are intermediate maturity fixed income securities with a duration between three and six years, with a maximum of 10% of the portfolio being invested in securities below Baa grade, and up to 30% of the portfolio being invested in non-U.S. dollar denominated securities. As of December 31, 2014, the approximate sector weighting of this fund was comprised of the following: government-related (40%), mortgage (23%), emerging markets (17%), and other (20%). The second fund, which comprises \$1.1 million of the bond fund, seeks to deliver equity-like returns with significantly less volatility by investing in emerging markets debt securities, with country allocations not exceeding 25%. As of December 31, 2014, the approximate sector weighting of this fund was comprised of the following: sovereign-local currency (37%), sovereign-hard currency (33%), inflation linked (17%), corporate-hard currency (11%), and other (2%). The fair value of these investment funds was determined based on the funds' net asset values per unit, which are directly observable in the marketplace.
- (6) The oil and gas royalty interests are valued at their estimated discounted future cash flows, which approximate fair value.

The following table sets forth a rollforward of the fair value of the plan assets.

Year Ended	
December 31, 2014	
	Postretirement
Pension Plans	Benefits Plan

Edgar Filing: SABINE OIL & GAS CORP - Form 10-K

	(in thousands)		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of the year	\$ —	\$	—
Business combination	31,395		—
Fair value of plan assets at the end of the year	\$ 31,395	\$	—

131

---

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the plan assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

	Year Ended December 31, 2014 Oil and Gas Royalty Interests (in thousands)
Balance at beginning of period	\$ —
Actual return on plan assets	—
Purchases, sales, and settlements (net)	199
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	—
Balance at end of period	\$ 199

## Investments of the Plans

The Forest Pension Plan and the Wisser Pension Plan assets are invested with a view toward the long-term in order to fulfill the obligations promised to participants as well as to control future funding levels. Sabine plans to regularly review the levels of funding and investment strategy for the pension plans. Generally, the strategy includes allocating the assets between equity securities and fixed income securities, depending on economic conditions and funding needs, although the strategy does not define any specified minimum exposure for any point in time. The equity and fixed income asset allocation levels in place from time to time are intended to achieve an appropriate balance between capital appreciation, preservation of capital, and current income.

The overall investment goal for the pension plans' assets is to achieve an investment return that allows the assets to achieve the assumed actuarial interest rate and to exceed the rate of inflation. In order to manage risk, in terms of volatility, the portfolios are designed with the intent of avoiding a loss of 20% during any single year and expressing no more volatility than experienced by the S&P 500 Index. The pension plans' investment allocation target is up to 75% equity, with discretion to vary the mix temporarily, in response to market conditions.

The weighted average asset allocations of the Forest Pension Plan and Wisser Pension Plan are set forth in the following table:

	December 31, 2014			
	Forest Pension Plan		Wisser Pension Plan	
Fixed income securities	26	%	26	%
Equity securities	73	%	74	%
Other	1	%	—	%
	100	%	100	%

## Funded Status

The following table sets forth the funded status of the Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits Plan.

	December 31, 2014	
	Pension Plans	Postretirement Benefits Plan
	(in thousands)	
Excess of benefit obligation over plan assets, net amount recognized	\$ 13,004	\$ 18,052
Amounts recognized in the balance sheet consist of:		
Accrued benefit liability—current	\$ 128	\$ 628
Accrued benefit liability—noncurrent	12,876	17,424
Net amount recognized	\$ 13,004	\$ 18,052

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the projected and accumulated benefit obligations for the Pension Plans and the fair value of the plan assets.

	December 31, 2014 (in thousands)
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 44,400
Accumulated benefit obligation	44,400
Fair value of plan assets	31,395

## Actuarial Assumptions

The discount rates used to determine the Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits Plan benefit obligations at December 31, 2014 were 3.18% and 3.56%, respectively. These discount rates were determined by adjusting composite AA bond yields to reflect the difference between the duration of the future estimated cash flows of the Pension Plans and the Postretirement Benefits Plan benefit obligations and the duration of the composite AA bond yields.

The assumed health care cost trend rate for the next year and thereafter that was used to measure the expected cost of benefits covered by the Postretirement Benefits Plan was 5.5%. Assumed health care cost trend rates can have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the Postretirement Benefits Plan. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

	December 31, 2014 Postretirement Benefits Plan	
	1% Increase	1% Decrease
	(in thousands)	
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	\$ 3,221	\$ (2,519)

## Other Employee Benefit Plans

The Company co-sponsors a 401 (k) tax deferred savings plan (the Plan) and makes it available to employees. The Plan is a defined contribution plan, and the Company may make discretionary matching contributions of up to 6% of each participating employee's compensation to the Plan. The contributions made by the Company totaled approximately \$1.1 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.9 million during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As a result of the Combination between Sabine and Forest, Sabine assumed the obligation to provide life insurance benefits for certain Forest retirees and former executives under split dollar life insurance plans. Under the life insurance plans, Sabine is assigned a portion of the benefits. No current employees are covered by these plans. Sabine has recognized a liability for the estimated cost of maintaining the insurance policies during the postretirement periods of the retirees and former executives, with such liability accreted each period to its present value. Sabine's estimate of

costs expected to be paid in 2015 to maintain these life insurance policies is \$0.8 million. The split dollar life insurance obligation recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheet was \$6.7 million as of December 31, 2014. The discount rate used to determine the obligation was 2.98%. The cash surrender value of the split dollar life insurance policies recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets was \$6.4 million as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

## 15. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)				
2014				
Total oil, natural gas liquids, and natural gas sales	\$ 112,306	\$ 120,970	\$ 122,125	\$ 106,962
Costs and expenses associated directly with products sold (1)	\$ 60,059	\$ 78,280	\$ 83,016	\$ 87,746
Net income (loss) before income taxes (2)	\$ (1,686)	\$ (9,880)	\$ 42,544	\$ (322,711)
Net income (loss) (2)	\$ (1,686)	\$ (9,880)	\$ 42,544	\$ (357,698)
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share (3)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.36	\$ (2.70)
2013				
Total oil, natural gas liquids, and natural gas sales	\$ 67,523	\$ 81,356	\$ 96,007	\$ 109,337
Costs and expenses associated directly with products sold (1)	\$ 44,207	\$ 49,825	\$ 57,578	\$ 65,563
Net income (loss) before income taxes (2)	\$ (25,575)	\$ 28,291	\$ 6,546	\$ 1,315
Net income (loss) (2)	\$ (25,575)	\$ 28,291	\$ 6,546	\$ 1,315
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share	(0.22)	0.24	0.06	0.01

- (1) Costs and expenses associated directly with products sold is comprised of lease operating expenses, production and property taxes, marketing, gathering and transportation, depletion, depreciation and amortization, and accretion of asset retirement obligations.
- (2) Net income (loss) before income taxes and net income (loss) have been impacted by a non-cash ceiling write-down in the fourth quarter of 2014, as discussed in Note 2, and are also subject to large fluctuations due to Sabine's election not to use cash flow hedge accounting for derivative instruments as discussed in Note 10. Also impacting the fourth quarter of 2014 is a \$173.5 million write-down of goodwill, as discussed in Note 2.
- (3) Earnings per share and share information presented in the consolidated financial statements for periods prior to December 16, 2014 are based on the Company's common shares calculated by multiplying the number of Sabine O&G's units outstanding at the end of each period using an exchange ratio as derived from the agreement governing the Combination. The Company retroactively adjusted its Statement of Shareholders' (Deficit) Equity to reflect the legal capital of the accounting acquiree. Beginning on December 16, 2014, common shares are presented for the combined company.

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION ON OIL AND NATURAL GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES (UNAUDITED)

The following supplemental information regarding the Company's oil and natural gas producing activities is presented in accordance with the requirements of Section 932-235-50 of the ASC.

## Costs Incurred

The costs incurred in oil and natural gas acquisitions, exploration and development activities were as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Property acquisition costs, proved	\$ 378,037	\$ —	\$ 429,682
Property acquisition costs, unproved	227,285	51,184	165,657
Exploration and extension well costs	—	4,553	43,097
Development costs	533,212	371,525	56,112
Asset retirement costs	702	993	1,887
Total Costs	\$ 1,139,236	\$ 428,255	\$ 696,435



Table of Contents

## Capitalized Costs

The capitalized costs in oil and natural gas properties were as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Proved properties	\$ 4,214,260	\$ 3,204,317	\$ 2,825,430
Unproved properties	319,256	208,823	332,898
	4,533,516	3,413,140	3,158,328
Accumulated depletion, depreciation and amortization	(2,483,583)	(2,049,132)	(1,914,919)
Net capitalized costs	\$ 2,049,933	\$ 1,364,008	\$ 1,243,409

## Results of Operations

Results of operations for oil and natural gas producing activities, which exclude processing and other activities, corporate general and administrative expenses, and straight-line depreciation expense on non-oil and natural gas assets, were as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Revenues			
Oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas	\$ 462,363	\$ 354,223	\$ 177,422
Operating expenses:			
Lease operating	51,262	44,620	43,649
Marketing, gathering, transportation and other	23,621	17,567	17,491
Production and ad valorem taxes	18,161	17,824	4,400
Depletion	186,799	134,213	87,625
Accretion	958	952	862
Impairments	247,652	—	641,891
Income tax benefit	(14,387)	—	—
Results of operations	\$ (51,703)	\$ 139,047	\$ (618,496)

## Oil and Natural Gas Reserves and Related Financial Data

Users of this information should be aware that the process of estimating quantities of “proved” and “proved developed” natural gas and crude oil reserves is very complex, requiring significant subjective decisions in the evaluation of all available geological, engineering and economic data for each reservoir. The data for a given reservoir also may change substantially over time as a result of numerous factors, including additional development activity, evolving production history and continual reassessment of the viability of production under varying economic conditions. Consequently, material revisions to existing reserve estimates may occur from time to time.

The following tables set forth our total proved reserves and the changes in our total proved reserves. These reserve estimates are based in part on reports prepared by Ryder Scott and Miller and Lents, independent petroleum engineers, utilizing data compiled by us. In preparing their reports, Ryder Scott evaluated properties representing all of our proved reserves at December 31, 2014 and 2013 and Miller and Lents evaluated properties representing all of our proved reserves at December 31, 2012. Our proved reserves are located onshore in the United States. There are many uncertainties inherent in estimating proved reserve quantities, and projecting future production rates and the timing of future development expenditures. In addition, reserve estimates of new discoveries are more imprecise than those of properties with production history. Accordingly, these estimates are subject to change as additional information becomes available. Proved reserves are the estimated quantities of oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas that geoscience and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be economically producible in future years from known oil and natural gas reservoirs under existing economic conditions, operating methods and government regulations at the end

Table of Contents

of the respective years. Proved developed reserves are those reserves expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods.

Proved reserves as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were estimated using the average of the historical unweighted first-day-of-the-month prices of oil and natural gas for the prior twelve months as required under SEC rules. The average of the historical unweighted first-day-of-the-month prices for the prior twelve month periods ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$4.35, \$3.67 and \$2.76, respectively, for natural gas. The average of the historical unweighted first-day-of-the-month prices for the prior twelve month periods ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$94.99, \$96.78 and \$94.71, respectively, for oil. With respect to future development costs and operating expenses, the Company derived estimates using the current cost environment at year end, which is consistent with current SEC rules.

	Oil (MBbls)	NGLS (MBbls)	Natural Gas (Bcf)	Natural Gas Equivalents (Bcfe)
Estimated Proved Reserves				
Balance at December 31, 2011	5.9	26.0	1,170.0	1,361.4
Revisions of previous estimates	(2.2)	(12.2)	(504.3)	(591.1)
Extensions and discoveries	2.2	0.4	2.6	18.0
Production	(0.3)	(0.9)	(41.1)	(48.6)
Purchases of minerals in place	10.5	16.2	117.5	277.8
Sales of minerals in place	(0.1)	(0.1)	(35.7)	(36.7)
Balance at December 31, 2012	16.0	29.4	709.0	980.8
Revisions of previous estimates	0.1	—	(58.3)	(57.4)
Extensions and discoveries	6.9	5.4	73.7	147.5
Production	(1.4)	(1.8)	(44.0)	(63.4)
Sales of minerals in Place	(4.7)	(8.0)	(92.1)	(168.2)
Balance at December 31, 2013	16.9	25.0	588.3	839.3
Revisions of previous estimates	(3.4)	(4.0)	(53.9)	(97.9)
Extensions, additions and discoveries	1.6	6.9	257.3	308.1
Production	(2.1)	(2.1)	(48.3)	(73.4)
Purchases of minerals in place	7.2	15.3	246.5	381.8
Sales of minerals in Place	(0.1)	—	(0.1)	(0.9)
Balance at December 31, 2014	20.1	41.1	989.8	1,357.0
Estimated Proved Developed Reserves				
December 31, 2012:				
Proved developed	3.8	10.3	415.0	499.2
Proved undeveloped	12.2	19.1	294.0	481.6
Total	16.0	29.4	709.0	980.8
December 31, 2013:				
Proved developed	6.0	11.6	360.6	466.1
Proved undeveloped	10.9	13.4	227.7	373.2
Total	16.9	25.0	588.3	839.3
December 31, 2014:				
Proved developed	13.6	23.8	520.4	745.4
Proved undeveloped	6.5	17.3	469.4	611.6

Total	20.1	41.1	989.8	1,357.0
-------	------	------	-------	---------

The proved oil and natural gas reserves utilized in the preparation of the financial statements were estimated by Ryder Scott as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and Miller & Lents as of December 31, 2012. These independent petroleum consultants made their estimations in accordance with guidelines established by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Financial Accounting Standards Board, which require that reserve reports be prepared under existing economic and operating conditions with no provision for price and cost escalation except by contractual agreement.

136

---

Table of Contents

Revisions of previous estimates. Negative revisions of 504.3 Bcf in 2012, were primarily the result of reclassification of proved undeveloped reserves to probable undeveloped reserves for proved undeveloped reserves which were not expected to be developed five years from the time the reserves were initially disclosed. As a result of declining gas price from \$4.12 in 2011 to \$2.76 in 2012, certain natural gas-weighted projects no longer met economic investment criteria based on the unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month commodity prices utilized in calculating the reserve estimates. In addition, lower natural gas prices also delayed Sabine's initial expected development time frame for drilling certain of its proved undeveloped natural gas locations beyond five years from the time the associated reserves were originally recorded. In 2013, as a result of increased development and operating costs, the Company reduced the development program and rig count which resulted in reclassification of certain proved undeveloped reserves to probable undeveloped reserves. In 2014, as a result of a decline in oil and natural gas prices in late 2014, the Company further reduced the development program and rig count which resulted in reclassification of certain proved undeveloped oil reserves in the Eagle Ford to probable undeveloped reserves. In addition to pricing, 2014 downward revisions were attributable to a combination of adjustments in working interest and performance revisions.

Extensions and discoveries. In 2013, the Company had 147.5 Bcfe of extensions and discoveries, which were primarily due to exploration and development activities in the Texas Panhandle and Eagle Ford in South Texas. In 2014 the Company had 308.1 Bcfe of extensions and discoveries, which were primarily due to refocusing the Company's development program on primarily gas assets in East Texas.

Purchases and sales of minerals in place. Purchases and sales of reserves in place for each of the years presented in the table above represent the acquisition and sale of oil and natural gas property interests. See Note 6 for a description of these transactions.

#### Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows Relating to Proved Oil and Natural Gas Reserves

The following information was developed utilizing procedures prescribed by ASC 932, Disclosures about Oil and Natural Gas Producing Activities. The information is based on estimates prepared by our petroleum engineering staff. The "standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows" should not be viewed as representative of the current value of our proved oil and natural gas reserves. It and the other information contained in the following tables may be useful for certain comparative purposes, but should not be solely relied upon in evaluating us or our performance.

In reviewing the information that follows, we believe that the following factors should be taken into account:

- future costs and sales prices will probably differ from those required to be used in these calculations;
- actual production rates for future periods may vary significantly from the rates assumed in the calculations;
- a 10% discount rate may not be reasonable relative to risk inherent in realizing future net oil and natural gas revenues.

Under the standardized measure, future cash inflows were estimated by using the average of the historical unweighted first-day-of-the-month prices of oil and natural gas for the prior twelve month periods ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. Future cash inflows do not reflect the impact of open hedge positions. Future cash inflows were reduced by estimated future development and production costs based on year end costs in order to arrive at net cash flows before tax. Use of a 10% discount rate and year-end prices and costs are required by ASC 932.

In general, management does not rely on the following information in making investment and operating decisions. Such decisions are based upon a wide range of factors, including estimates of probable as well as proved reserves and varying price and cost assumptions considered more representative of a range of possible outcomes.

Table of Contents

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows from our estimated proved oil and natural gas reserves follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Future cash inflows	\$ 7,275,793	\$ 4,667,459	\$ 4,615,745
Less related future:			
Production costs	(2,393,000)	(1,127,359)	(1,413,634)
Development costs	(1,158,096)	(682,876)	(1,055,357)
Income taxes	(24,211)	—	—
Future net cash inflows	3,700,486	2,857,224	2,146,754
10% annual discount for estimated timing of cash flows	(1,989,342)	(1,506,352)	(1,236,961)
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows	\$ 1,711,144	\$ 1,350,872	\$ 909,793

A summary of the changes in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows applicable to proved oil and natural gas reserves follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Beginning Balance	\$ 1,350,872	\$ 909,793	\$ 1,169,269
Revisions of previous estimates			
Changes in prices and costs	75,758	186,943	(105,480)
Changes in quantities	(180,481)	45,167	(561,009)
Additions to proved reserves	181,845	392,752	35,351
Purchases of reserves	540,948	—	467,885
Sales of reserves	(4,334)	(152,677)	(26,436)
Accretion of discount	135,087	90,973	116,927
Sales of oil and gas, net	(369,318)	(274,180)	(114,520)
Change in estimated future development costs	(71,391)	22,181	(5,636)
Previously estimated development costs incurred	112,926	117,377	29,068
Changes in rate of production and other, net	(55,099)	12,543	(95,626)
Net change in the income tax	(5,669)	—	—
Net change	360,272	441,079	(259,476)
Ending Balance	\$ 1,711,144	\$ 1,350,872	\$ 909,793



Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

In connection with the closing of the Combination, we engaged Deloitte & Touche, LLP (“Deloitte”) as our independent registered public accounting firm effective December 16, 2014. Deloitte had previously served as the independent registered public accounting firm of Sabine O&G since the year ended December 31, 2012.

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and through December 16, 2014, Forest did not, nor did anyone on Forest’s behalf, consult with Deloitte with respect to either (1) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on Forest’s consolidated financial statements, and neither a written report nor oral advice was provided to Forest that was an important factor Forest considered in reaching a decision as to any accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (2) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement (as defined in Item 304 (a) (1) (iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions to Item 304 of Regulation S-K) or a reportable event (as defined in Item 304 (a) (1) (v) of Regulation S-K).

Concurrent with the appointment of Deloitte, Ernst & Young was dismissed as Forest’s independent registered public accounting firm effective December 16, 2014. The decision to change our independent registered public accounting firm was approved by our audit committee.

The audit report of Ernst & Young on the consolidated balance sheets of Forest as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and shareholders’ equity for the years then ended did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor was it modified as to audit scope or accounting principles. However, Ernst & Young’s report did contain an explanatory paragraph indicating that there was substantial doubt about Forest’s ability to continue as a going concern.

The audit report of Ernst & Young on the effectiveness of Forest’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 contained an adverse opinion on Forest’s internal control over financial reporting due to the effect of material weaknesses in Forest’s internal controls as identified by management. These related to the design and operation of information technology general controls, specifically user access and program change management. This deficiency impacted controls over the financial statement close process and other review controls relying on electronic data that generally impacted all classes of transactions and thus all significant financial statement accounts. Further, Forest identified a material weakness related to the design and operating effectiveness of controls over the maintenance of its division of interests, and a material weakness related to the design and operating effectiveness of controls over its oil and gas property ceiling limitation test.

During Forest’s fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and through December 16, 2014 (including any subsequent interim period), there were no (i) disagreements (as defined in Item 304 (a) (1) (iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions to Item 304 of Regulation S-K) between Forest and Ernst & Young on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedures, which disagreements if not resolved to the satisfaction of Ernst & Young would have caused it to make reference thereto in their report on Forest’s audited financial statements and (ii) no “reportable events” as that term is defined in Item 304 (a) (1) (v) of Regulation S-K, except for the material weaknesses described above.

Forest previously provided Ernst & Young a copy of the disclosure it is making in this Annual Report on Form 10-K with respect to Ernst & Young, prior to filing such disclosure on a Current Report on Form 8-K on December 22, 2014, and at such time requested that Ernst & Young furnish it with a letter addressed to the SEC stating whether or not it agrees with the Company’s statements. A copy of the letter furnished by Ernst & Young in response to that request, dated December 22, 2014, is included as Exhibit 16.1 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.



Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, David J. Sambrooks, and our Chief Financial Officer, Michael D. Magilton, Jr., we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15 (e) and 15d-15 (e) under the

139

---

## Table of Contents

Exchange Act, as of December 31, 2014 (the “Evaluation Date”). Because of the matters discussed below under “Management’s Annual Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting ,” Messrs. Sambrooks and Magilton have concluded that as of December 31, 2014, there is not sufficient evidence to conclude that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in providing reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports filed or submitted by us under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

### Management’s Annual Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

The management of Sabine did not consider it practicable to complete an assessment of internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 which would be meaningful to investors.

On December 16, 2014, Sabine O&G and Forest completed the Combination, whereby indirect equity holders of Sabine O&G contributed their equity interests to Forest in exchange for common stock and preferred stock representing approximately 73.5% economic interest in Sabine. Because Sabine O&G was considered the accounting acquirer in the Combination under GAAP, Sabine O&G is also considered the accounting predecessor of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation. Accordingly, the historical financial and operating data of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K which cover periods prior to the completion of the Combination, reflect the assets, liabilities and operations of Sabine O&G, and do not reflect the assets, liabilities and operations of Forest prior to the Combination. Following the acquisition method of accounting with Sabine O&G as the accounting acquirer, Sabine O&G’s assets and liabilities retained their carrying values and Forest’s assets and liabilities were recognized and consolidated by Sabine O&G based on their fair value measurements as of December 16, 2014. Prior to the Combination, Sabine O&G was a privately-held company and was not subject to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

In anticipation of becoming subject to the provisions of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, management reviewed SEC guidance and determined that performing an assessment of internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 that would be meaningful to investors was not practicable, and, accordingly, that it was appropriate to omit such an assessment from this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Specifically, management concluded: (i) with respect to an assessment of the internal controls of Sabine O&G in place as of December 31, 2014, it would be impracticable to complete such an assessment due to the brief period between the completion of the Combination on December 16, 2014 and the assessment date of December 31, 2014, particularly because, Sabine O&G was not previously subject to the relevant provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act; and (ii) with respect to the Forest controls and processes that remained effective as of December 31, 2014, that any assessment of such controls would be not relevant and potentially misleading to investors because (a) the impact of Forest’s operating results for the 15-day period on our consolidated financials for the year ended December 31, 2014 was insignificant; (b) because Forest’s assets and liabilities were measured and recorded at their fair values as of the closing date of the Combination by Sabine O&G management, as opposed to being derived from the historical financials prepared by Forest, and (c) a majority of the controls and processes in place as of December 31, 2014 were legacy Sabine O&G controls that had replaced or substantially modified existing Forest controls.

As a result of the Combination, as of December 31, 2014, Forest’s internal controls involving corporate policies, executive approvals and board level oversight were succeeded by those of Sabine O&G. Similarly, internal controls within the financial reporting process and those requiring significant judgment such as hedging activities, debt instruments, income tax provisioning and reserves reporting, were largely performed by, or under the direct supervision of, Sabine O&G management. The majority of Forest’s internal controls were either superseded by Sabine O&G controls or were determined to be obsolete as of December 31, 2014, leaving largely lower level transactional

controls and certain information technology controls for possible assessment. We anticipate complying with Section 404 certification and attestation requirements for the year ending December 31, 2015.

#### Commentary Regarding Previously Disclosed Forest Internal Control Issues

Forest previously disclosed that its internal control over financial reporting was ineffective at December 31, 2013, but concluded that the existence of these material weaknesses did not result in a material misstatement of Forest's

140

---

## Table of Contents

financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 or in any subsequent period. In addition, because Forest management determined that the material weaknesses were not adequately remediated as of the end of the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014, Forest disclosed that its internal control over financial reporting was still ineffective as of September 30, 2014. The control deficiencies which constituted material weaknesses in Forest's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, as well as their potential impact on the consolidated financial statements of Sabine as of December 31, 2014, are summarized below:

- Information technology general controls - User access and program change management general controls were determined to be ineffective. Compensating controls designed by management lacked the level of precision needed and were ineffective because they relied on electronic data from systems with ineffective information technology general controls. Thus Forest's controls in all areas, some of which were review controls, that relied on electronic data generated from systems with ineffective information technology general controls were inappropriately designed and operating. While Sabine is in part relying upon the operational transactions from the systems with ineffective information technology general controls, we consider these transactions to be insignificant to our consolidated financial statements, comprising approximately 9% of total assets, 2% of total revenues and 2% of net loss, and we have completed supplementary review procedures to validate the accuracy and completeness of such transactions.
- Division of interests - Controls over division of interests were determined to be ineffective because changes to Forest's division of interest master files are not produced in a report that is reviewed by an individual other than the preparer in order to ensure that all changes are appropriate. Further, Forest's controls were not designed so that changes to the division of interests themselves (as opposed to the master files) would be reviewed by an individual other than the person or persons making the changes. These control deficiencies provided for the opportunity for inappropriate recognition of revenues, operating costs, capital charges, and amounts due to and from third parties as a result of incorrect division of interests.
- Ceiling limitation test - Several controls, including review controls, associated with the inputs to the ceiling limitation test (oil and gas reserves, unproved properties, capital accrual, asset retirement obligations, and general and administrative cost allocation) lacked sufficient appropriate design or operating precision to prevent reasonably possible errors related to the ceiling limitation test that, when aggregated, could be material. Legacy Forest controls associated with inputs to the ceiling test limitation are not applicable to Sabine as of December 31, 2014 with the exception of general and administrative cost allocation and capital accruals. Oil and gas reserves, oil and gas properties including unproved properties, and asset retirement obligations were recognized by Sabine at their fair values as of the Combination date. For the period from December 16, 2014 through December 31, 2014, Sabine relied upon the controls and processes of Forest with respect to general and administrative cost allocations and capital accruals to consolidate these operating results and has performed supplementary review procedures to validate the accuracy and completeness of these inputs. Subsequent to December 31, 2014, Sabine transitioned operating transactions to the Sabine O&G systems.

Subsequent to the completion of the Combination, Sabine management reviewed the plans adopted and actions implemented by Forest management to remediate the material weaknesses. While many steps were largely complete and new internal controls were in place, had been tested and found effective by Forest, Sabine management identified that certain control deficiencies continued to exist as of the completion of the Combination. Since we did not perform an assessment of internal controls over financial reporting, we can give no assurance that the material weaknesses are remediated.

### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As described in more detail under "Management's Annual Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting" above, Sabine O&G and Forest completed the Combination on December 16, 2014, which represented a change in internal control over financial reporting. An assessment of Sabine O&G's internal control over financial reporting had not been required prior to the Combination due to its status as a privately-held company. As noted above, our management did

not consider it practicable to assess the internal control over financial reporting as it pertains to Sabine O&G and did not believe that an assessment of the residual Forest controls and procedures that were relied upon by the

141

---

Table of Contents

management of Sabine O&G for the period from December 16, 2014 through December 31, 2014, would be relevant to investors.

In late 2014, our management initiated an evaluation and program of documentation, implementation and testing of internal control over financial reporting, with the expectation that we will provide management's report on internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, and the related attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2015.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information as to Item 10 will be set forth in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in June 2015 (the "Annual Meeting") and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information as to Item 11 will be set forth in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information as to Item 12 will be set forth in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information as to Item 13 will be set forth in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information as to Item 14 will be set forth in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(1)Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation and Subsidiaries and the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are included in Part II, Item 8 of this report. Reference is made to the accompanying Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2)Financial Statement Schedules

All financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is presented in the financial statements or the notes thereto.

(3)Index to Exhibits

The exhibits required to be filed or furnished pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K are set forth below.

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 9, 2014, by and among Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings II LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas LLC, Forest Oil Corporation and FR XI Onshore AIV, LLC, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed July 10, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
2.2	Amendment No. 1, dated December 16, 2014, to the Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 9, 2014, by and among Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas Holdings II LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas LLC, Forest Oil Corporation and FR XI Onshore AIV, LLC, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
3.1*	Certificate of Incorporation of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation.
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of Forest Corporation, filed December 16, 2014, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K for Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation filed December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
3.3	Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of Forest Corporation, filed December 16, 2014, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K for Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation filed December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
3.4	Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of Forest Oil Corporation, filed December 19, 2014, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Form 8-K for Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation filed December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
3.5	Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of Forest Oil Corporation, filed July 10, 2014, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed July 10, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
3.6	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Forest Oil Corporation, restated as of October 11, 2012, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed October 12, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
3.7	Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended December 16, 2014, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to Form 8-K for Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation filed December 22, 2014 (File No.

001-13515).

143

---



Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
4.1	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 16, 2014, under the Indenture, dated as of June 6, 2007, among Forest Oil Corporation, Sabine East Texas Basin LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation, Sabine Williston Basin LLC, Sabine Bear Paw Basin LLC, Redrock Drilling, LLC, Sabine South Texas LLC, Giant Gas Gathering LLC, Sabine Mid-Continent Gathering LLC, Sabine South Texas Gathering LLC, Sabine Mid-Continent LLC, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
4.2	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 16, 2014 under the Indenture, dated as of September 17, 2012, among Forest Oil Corporation, Sabine East Texas Basin LLC, Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation, Sabine Williston Basin LLC, Sabine Bear Paw Basin LLC, Redrock Drilling, LLC, Sabine South Texas LLC, Giant Gas Gathering LLC, Sabine Mid-Continent Gathering LLC, Sabine South Texas Gathering LLC, Sabine Mid-Continent LLC and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
4.3	Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 16, 2014 under the Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2010, among Forest Oil Corporation, Sabine Oil & Gas LLC (f/k/a NFR Energy LLC), Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation (f/k/a NFR Energy Finance Corporation) and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A, as trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
4.4	Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2010, by and among Sabine Oil & Gas LLC (f/k/a NFR Energy LLC), Sabine Oil & Gas Finance Corporation (f/k/a NFR Energy Finance Corporation), the guarantors party thereto and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A, as trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
4.5	Indenture dated as of June 6, 2007 between Forest Oil Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association, including the form of notes issued thereunder, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 10-Q for Forest Oil Corporation for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 (File No. 001-13515).
4.6	Indenture dated as of February 17, 2009 between Forest Oil Corporation, Forest Oil Permian Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association, including the form of notes issued thereunder, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 10-K for Forest Oil Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 001-13515).
10.1	Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation 2014 Long Term Incentive Plan, included as Annex I to the Forest Oil Corporation's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A (File No. 001-13515) filed October 20, 2014 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.2*	Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation 2014 Long Term Incentive Plan - Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement.
10.3*	Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation 2014 Long Term Incentive Plan – Form of Restricted Stock Agreement.
10.4*	Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation Form of Indemnification Agreement.
10.5	Intercreditor Agreement, dated December 14, 2012, by and among Sabine Oil & Gas LLC (f/k/a NFR Energy LLC), as borrower, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent for the senior indebtedness and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as administrative agent for the second lien obligations, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).



Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.6	Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2014 among Forest Oil Corporation, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, the lenders party thereto and the other parties thereto, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
10.7	Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement, dated as of January 23, 2013, among Sabine Oil & Gas LLC (f/k/a NFR Energy LLC), Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, the lenders party thereto and the other parties thereto, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
10.8	Amendment No. 2 to the Credit Agreement, dated December 16, 2014, among Sabine Oil & Gas LLC, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, the lenders party thereto and the other parties thereto, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
10.9	Second Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2014, by and among Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, Forest Oil Corporation and FR XI Onshore AIV, LLC, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
10.10	Second Amended and Restated Stockholder's Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2014, by and among Sabine Investor Holdings LLC, FR XI Onshore AIV, LLC and Forest Oil Corporation, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
10.11	Assumption Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2014, entered into by Forest Oil Corporation, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
10.12	Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation Severance and Change in Control Agreement with David J. Sambrooks, dated December 22, 2014, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 23, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
10.13	Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation Severance and Change in Control Agreement with R. Todd Levesque, dated December 22, 2014, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 23, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
10.14	Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation Severance and Change in Control Agreement with Cheryl R. Levesque, dated December 22, 2014, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 23, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
10.15	Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation Severance and Change in Control Agreement with Timothy D. Yang, dated December 22, 2014, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 23, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
10.16	Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation Severance and Change in Control Agreement with Michael Magilton, dated January 6, 2015, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K for Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation dated January 7, 2015 (File No. 001-13515).
10.17	Offer Letter between Sabine Oil & Gas and Michael Magilton, dated December 12, 2014, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K for Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation dated January 7, 2015 (File No. 001-13515).
10.18	Forest Oil Corporation 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended and restated, included as Annex A to the Forest Oil Corporation's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A (File No. 001-13515) filed March 26, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.19	Amendment No. 5 to Forest Oil Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed May 7, 2013 (File No. 001-13515).



Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.20	Form of 2013 Restricted Stock Award Agreement—Cliff Vesting (updated), incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed May 24, 2013 (File No. 001-13515).
10.21	Form of 2013 Phantom Stock Unit Award Agreement—Cliff Vesting (updated), incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed May 24, 2013 (File No. 001-13515).
10.22	Form of 2013 Phantom Stock Unit Award Agreement—Annual Vesting (updated), incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed May 24, 2013 (File No. 001-13515).
10.23	Form of 2013 Performance Unit Award Agreement—Stock Settled, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed May 24, 2013 (File No. 001-13515).
10.24	Form of 2013 Performance Unit Award Agreement—Cash Settled, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed May 24, 2013 (File No. 001-13515).
10.25	Form of Severance Agreement for Grandfathered Executive Officer, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation dated December 17, 2007 (File No. 001-13515).
10.26	Form of Amendment to Form of Severance Agreement for Grandfathered Executive Officer, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to Form 10-K for Forest Oil Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 001-13515).
10.27	Form of 409A Amendment to Severance Agreement for Grandfathered Vice President and Senior Vice President – No 5-Day Release Provision, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Form 10-Q for Forest Oil Corporation for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.28	Form of CEO Severance Agreement, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed October 1, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.29	Amendment to CEO Severance Agreement, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed December 21, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.30	Form of SVP Best Net Severance Agreement, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed December 21, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.31	Form of SVP Best Net Grandfathered Severance Agreement, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed December 21, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.32	Form of VP Best Net Severance Agreement, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed December 21, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.33*	Letter between Forest Oil Corporation and Richard Schelin, dated January 24, 2014.
10.34	Forest Oil Corporation 2013 Annual Incentive Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed May 17, 2013 (File No. 001-13515).
10.35	Registration Rights Agreement dated June 1, 2011, by and between Forest Oil Corporation and Lone Pine Resources Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed June 1, 2011 (File No. 001-13515).
10.36	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 17, 2012, by and among Forest Oil Corporation, Forest Oil Permian Corporation and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as representative for the Initial Purchasers, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed September 17, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).



Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.37	Share Purchase and Sale Agreement, effective as of March 31, 2012, by and among African International Energy PLC, Forest Oil Corporation, Anschutz South Africa Corporation, Forest Exploration International (South Africa) (Proprietary) Ltd and Anschutz Overseas (South Africa) (Proprietary) Ltd, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed April 13, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.38	Share Purchase and Sale Agreement, effective as of March 31, 2012, by and between African International Energy PLC and Forest Oil Netherlands BV, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed April 13, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.39	Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets, dated as of October 11, 2012, by and between Forest Oil Corporation and Texas Petroleum Investment Company, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed October 12, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.40	Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2012, by and among Forest Oil Corporation, Richard J. Carty, West Face Capital Inc. and West Face Long Term Opportunities Global Market L.P., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed October 24, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.41	Confidentiality Agreement, dated October 22, 2012, by and between Forest Oil Corporation and West Face Capital Inc., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed October 24, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.42	Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets, dated as of January 2, 2012, by and between Forest Oil Corporation, Forest Oil Permian Corporation, and Forcenergy Onshore Inc. (as Seller) and Hilcorp Energy I, L.P. (as Purchaser), incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed January 3, 2012 (File No. 001-13515).
10.43	Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 12, 2013, by and among Forest Oil Corporation, Forest Oil Permian Corporation and the Initial purchasers named therein, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed September 18, 2013 (File No. 001-13515).
10.44	Acquisition and Development Agreement, dated April 11, 2013, by and between Forest Oil Corporation, STC Eagleville, LLC, Schlumberger Technology Corporation, Smith International, Inc., and M-I L.L.C., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed April 17, 2013 (File No. 001-13515).
10.45	Operating Agreement, dated April 11, 2013, by and between Forest Oil Corporation and STC Eagleville LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed April 17, 2013 (File No. 001-13515)
10.46	Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets, dated as of October 3, 2013, by and between Forest Oil Corporation, Forest Oil Permian Corporation, and Templar Energy LLC, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed October 4, 2013 (File No. 001-13515).
10.47*	Committed Oilfield Services Agreement, dated December 13, 2012, by and between Nabors Industries, Inc. and NFR Holdings LLC.
10.48	Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets, dated November 17, 2014, by and between Forest Oil Corporation and Camterra Resources Partners, Ltd, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K for Forest Oil Corporation filed November 17, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
16.1	Letter of Ernst & Young LLP, dated December 22, 2014, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 16.1 to Form 8-K for Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation filed December 22, 2014 (File No. 001-13515).
21.1*	List of Subsidiaries of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation.





Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
23.1*	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation.
23.2*	Consent of Ryder Scott L.P., independent reserve engineers for the Company and for Sabine Oil & Gas LLC.
31.1*	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1**	Certificate by the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2**	Certificate by the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1	Ryder Scott Company, L.P., Summary of Reserves of Sabine Oil & Gas LLC at December 31, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to Form S-4 for New Forest Oil Inc. filed May 29, 2014 (File No. 333-196346).
99.2*	Ryder Scott Company, L.P., Summary of Reserves of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation at December 31, 2014.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

---

\*Filed herewith.

\*\*Furnished herewith.

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SABINE OIL & GAS CORPORATION

By: /s/ David J. Sambrooks

Name: David J. Sambrooks

Title: President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman

Date: March 31, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ David J. Sambrooks David J. Sambrooks	President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors (principal executive officer)	March 31, 2015
/s/ Michael D. Magilton, Jr. Michael D. Magilton, Jr.	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)	March 31, 2015
/s/ Lindsay R. Bourg Lindsay R. Bourg	Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller (principal accounting officer)	March 31, 2015
/s/ Duane C. Radtke Duane C. Radtke	Director	March 31, 2015
/s/ Alex T. Krueger Alex T. Krueger	Director	March 31, 2015
/s/ John Yearwood John Yearwood	Director	March 31, 2015
/s/ Thomas N. Chewning Thomas N. Chewning	Director	March 31, 2015
/s/ Brooks M. Shughart Brooks M. Shughart	Director	March 31, 2015
/s/ Patrick R. McDonald Patrick R. McDonald	Director	March 31, 2015

