

SILVER HORN MINING LTD.  
Form 10-Q  
November 14, 2011

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 000-25097

Silver Horn Mining Ltd.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation of organization)

65-0783722  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

3346 W. Guadalupe Rd., Apache Junction, Arizona 85120  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(480) 288-6530  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Edgar Filing: SILVER HORN MINING LTD. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company)	<input type="radio"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)  
Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. 211,833,555 shares of common stock are issued and outstanding as of November 11, 2011.

---

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
FORM 10-Q  
September 30, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
<b>PART I. - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>		
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements.</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)</u>	4
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)</u>	5
	<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.</u>	6
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u>	20
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u>	26
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures.</u>	26
<b>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</b>		
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings.</u>	27
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.</u>	27
Item 3.	<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities.</u>	27
Item 4.	<u>(Removed and Reserved).</u>	27
Item 5.	<u>Other Information.</u>	27
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits.</u>	27

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

Unless specifically set forth to the contrary, "Silver Horn," "Eclips," "we," "us," "our" and similar terms refer to Silver Horn Mining Ltd., a Delaware corporation, and subsidiaries.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash	\$ 196,292	\$ 94,053
Prepaid expenses	54,069	85,542
Debt issuance cost - current portion	2,080	6,249
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>252,441</b>	<b>185,844</b>
Debt issuance cost - long term portion	-	520
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 252,441</b>	<b>\$ 186,364</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 485,069	\$ 215,195
Convertible debentures, net of debt discount	346,596	-
Derivative liabilities	6,923,676	6,708,815
Liabilities of discontinued operations	112,397	155,641
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>7,867,738</b>	<b>7,079,651</b>
<b>LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:</b>		
Convertible debentures, net of debt discount	-	317,292
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>7,867,738</b>	<b>7,396,943</b>
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
Preferred stock, \$.0001 par value; 10,000,000 authorized		
Series A, 3,000,000 issued and outstanding	300	300
Series B, none issued and outstanding	-	-
Series C, none issued and outstanding	-	-
and outstanding, respectively		
Common stock; \$.0001 par value; 750,000,000 shares authorized; 211,833,555 and 170,613,692 shares issued		
and outstanding, respectively	21,183	17,061
Additional paid-in capital	39,123,893	28,831,876
Accumulated deficit	(46,760,673 )	(36,059,816 )
<b>Total Stockholders' Deficit</b>	<b>(7,615,297 )</b>	<b>(7,210,579 )</b>

Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit	\$ 252,441	\$ 186,364
---	------------	------------

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		Period Incep Expl s (Ap 2 th Sep 2 (Un
	September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)	2011 (Unaudited)
Net revenues	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
<b>Operating expenses:</b>					
Payroll expense and stock based compensation	206,676	101,875	321,709	969,167	304,817
Exploration cost	63,292	-	124,912	-	124,912
Impairment of mineral rights	-	-	500,000	-	500,000
Professional and consulting	131,155	74,912	395,249	2,808,623	284,817
General and administrative expenses	142,897	37,468	212,319	278,137	188,817
Total operating expenses	544,020	214,255	1,554,189	4,055,927	1,407,363
Loss from operations	(544,020 )	(214,255 )	(1,554,189 )	(4,055,927 )	(1,407,363 )
<b>Other income (expense)</b>					
Gain from settlement of debt	-	-	28,244	-	-
Interest income (expense), net	(69,315 )	(145,286 )	(526,494 )	(256,817 )	(229,315 )
Derivative liability expense	-	-	-	(3,260,076 )	-
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	4,413,763	(366,480 )	(8,648,418 )	2,032,567	(3,141,115 )
Total other income (expense)	4,344,448	(511,766 )	(9,146,668 )	(1,484,326 )	(3,470,430 )
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	3,800,428	(726,021 )	(10,700,857 )	(5,540,253 )	(4,877,793 )
Provision for income taxes	-	-	-	-	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	3,800,428	(726,021 )	(10,700,857 )	(5,540,253 )	(4,877,793 )
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	-	(1,421,064 )	-	(1,427,998 )	-
Net income (loss)	\$3,800,428	\$(2,147,085 )	\$(10,700,857 )	\$(6,968,251 )	\$(4,877,793 )
<b>Income (loss) per common share, basic and diluted:</b>					

Edgar Filing: SILVER HORN MINING LTD. - Form 10-Q

Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.02	\$-	\$(0.05)	) \$(0.03)	) \$(0.00)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$-	\$(0.01)	) \$-	\$(0.01)	) \$-
	\$0.02	\$(0.01)	) \$(0.05)	) \$(0.04)	) \$(0.00)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	211,833,555	204,825,336	197,348,065	172,920,939	208,000,000

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Period from Inception of Exploration stage (April 25, 2011) through September 30, 2011
	2011 (Unaudited)	2010 (Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>			
Net loss	\$(10,700,857 )	\$(6,968,251 )	\$ (4,813,403 )
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:</b>			
Depreciation	-	3,254	-
Amortization of intangible asset	-	233	-
Amortization of prepaid expenses	118,978	13,108	73,411
Amortization of debt issuance costs	4,689	4,168	3,126
Amortization of debt discount	499,304	226,667	210,450
Impairment of mineral rights	500,000	-	500,000
Impairment loss	-	1,216,295	-
Derivative liability expense	-	3,260,076	-
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	8,648,418	(2,032,567 )	3,180,707
Stock based consulting	-	2,650,000	-
Stock based compensation expense	207,584	879,167	207,584
Contributed services	-	10,000	-
Gain from settlement of debt	(28,244 )	-	-
<b>(Increase) Decrease in:</b>			
Interest receivable	-	(2,157 )	-
Prepaid expense	(87,505 )	(192,200 )	(13,305 )
Deposits	-	(8,509 )	-
Assets of discontinued operations	-	(89,086 )	-
<b>Increase (Decrease) in:</b>			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	279,872	(31,531 )	241,391
Liabilities of discontinued operations	(15,000 )	382,179	-
<b>Net cash used in operating activities</b>	<b>(572,761 )</b>	<b>(679,154 )</b>	<b>(410,039 )</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>			
Cash acquired in acquisition	-	5,057	-
Cash used in acquisition	-	(110,000 )	-
Payment of leasehold improvement	-	(14,025 )	-
Purchase of equipment	-	(23,451 )	-



Edgar Filing: SILVER HORN MINING LTD. - Form 10-Q

Investment in note receivable	-	(171,100 )	-
Net cash used in investing activities	-	(313,519 )	-
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	550,000	75,000	550,000
Proceeds from exercise of stock warrants	125,000	-	-
Net proceeds from debentures	-	937,500	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	675,000	1,012,500	550,000
Net increase (decrease) in cash	102,239	19,827	139,961
Cash, beginning of period	94,053	-	56,331
Cash, end of period	\$ 196,292	\$ 19,827	\$ 196,292

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest	\$-	\$-	\$ -
Income Taxes	\$-	\$-	\$ -

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:

Issuance of common stock in connection with acquisition of business	\$-	\$ 800,000	\$ -
Contributed capital in connection with an extinguishment of a convertible debenture	\$ 95,000	\$-	\$ 31,666
Issuance of Common Stock for convertible debentures	\$ 375,000	\$-	\$ 125,000
Issuance of Common Stock for accrued director's fees	\$ 10,000	\$-	\$ -
Reclassification of derivative liability to equity	\$ 8,433,555	\$-	\$ 5,238,285

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and Description of Business

The Company was incorporated under the name “Swifty Carwash & Quick-Lube, Inc.” in the state of Florida on September 25, 1997. On October 22, 1999, the Company changed its name from “Swifty Carwash & Quick-Lube, Inc.” to “SwiftyNet.com, Inc.” On January 29, 2001, the Company changed its name from “SwiftyNet.com, Inc.” to “Yseek, Inc.” On June 10, 2003, the Company changed its name from “Yseek, Inc.” to “Advanced 3-D Ultrasound Services, Inc.”

The Company merged with a private Florida corporation known as World Energy Solutions, Inc. effective August 17, 2005. Advanced 3D Ultrasound Services, Inc. (“A3D”) remained as the surviving entity as the legal acquirer, and the Company was the accounting acquirer. On November 7, 2005, the Company changed its name to World Energy Solutions, Inc. (“WESI”). On November 7, 2005, WESI merged with Professional Technical Systems, Inc. (“PTS”). WESI remained as the surviving entity as the legal acquirer, while PTS was the accounting acquirer. On February 26, 2009, the Company had changed its name to EClips Energy Technologies, Inc. On April 25, 2011, the Company changed its name to “Silver Horn Mining Ltd.” from “EClips Media Technologies, Inc.”.

On March 16, 2010, the Company had filed a definitive information statement on Schedule 14C (the “Definitive Schedule 14C”) with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) notifying its stockholders that on March 2, 2010, a majority of the voting capital stock of the Company took action in lieu of a special meeting of stockholders authorizing the Company to enter into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “Merger Agreement”) with its then newly-formed wholly-owned subsidiary, EClips Media Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“EClips Media”) for the purpose of changing the state of incorporation of the Company to Delaware from Florida. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, the Company had merged with and into EClips Media with EClips Media continuing as the surviving corporation on April 21, 2010. On the effective date of the Merger, (i) each issued and outstanding share of common stock of the Company was converted into two (2) shares of EClips Media common stock, (ii) each issued and outstanding share of Series D preferred stock of the Company was converted into two (2) shares of EClips Media Series A preferred stock and (iii) the outstanding shares of EClips Media Common Stock held by the Company were retired and cancelled and resuming the status of authorized and unissued EClips Media common stock. The outstanding 6% convertible debentures of the Company were assumed by EClips Media and converted into outstanding 6% convertible debentures of EClips Media. All options and rights to acquire the Company’s common stock, and all outstanding warrants or rights outstanding to purchase the Company’s common stock, were automatically converted into equivalent options, warrants and rights to purchase two (2) times the number of shares of EClips Media common stock at fifty (50%) percent of the exercise, conversion or strike price of such converted options, warrants and rights. Trading of the Company’s securities on a 2:1 basis commenced May 17, 2010 upon approval of the FINRA. All shares and per share values are retroactively stated at the effective date of merger.

On June 21, 2010, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary SD Acquisition Corp., a New York corporation (“SD”), acquired (the “Acquisition”) all of the business and assets and assumed certain liabilities of Brand Interaction Group, LLC, a New Jersey limited liability company (“BIG”) which is described below. In September 2010, the Company decided to discontinue the operations of SD because of the disappointing performance and negative results of its most recent fantasy league event in August 2010. In December 2010, the Company entered into a spin off agreement (the “Spinoff”) with BIG and Mr. Eric Simon, the Company’s former CEO, pursuant to which the Company returned the Superdraft business to Mr. Simon by exchanging 100% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of SD which owned and operated the Superdraft business, for the cancellation of 30,000,000 shares of the Company owned

by Mr. Simon and BIG, the cancellation of the Asset Purchase Agreement and Employment Agreement entered into between the Company, Mr. Simon and BIG in June 2010.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES  
(continued)

Under the Agreement BIG is obligated to make payments for a total of \$95,000 directly to certain holders of the Company's outstanding convertible debentures in order to retire, or reduce, on a dollar for dollar basis, amounts due and payable by the Company to such holders. In connection with the foregoing, BIG entered into a six month promissory note for \$95,000 with the Company's debenture holders, payable in six equal monthly installments on the first day of each succeeding calendar month in the amount of \$15,833 with the first payment due in January 2011. Between January 2011 and June 2011, BIG has paid approximately \$95,000 in connection with the spinoff agreement and such amount reduced the principal balance of the outstanding convertible debentures (see Note 4).

Effective April 25, 2011, the Company changed its name to "Silver Horn Mining Ltd." from "EClips Media Technologies, Inc.". The name change was effected pursuant to Section 253 of the Delaware General Corporation Law by merging a newly-formed, wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company with and into the Company, with the Company as the surviving corporation in the merger. Following the subsidiary merger, the Company intends to focus its efforts on mining and resources, principally silver exploration and production. As a result of the Company's focus on mineral exploration, the Company is considered an exploration stage company.

#### EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY

The Company has been in the exploration stage since April 25, 2011 and has not yet realized any revenues from its planned operations. The Company intends to focus on acquiring and exploring natural resource properties. Accordingly, the Company is an exploration stage company as defined in ASC 915 "Development Stage Entities".

#### Discontinued Operations

The Company's former operations were developing and manufacturing products and services, which reduce fuel costs, save power & energy and protect the environment. The products and services were made available for sale into markets in the public and private sectors. In December 2009, the Company discontinued these operations and disposed of certain of its subsidiaries, and prior periods have been restated in the Company's consolidated financial statements and related footnotes to conform to this presentation. Additionally, in September 2010, the Company decided to discontinue the operations of SD Acquisition Corp. because of the disappointing performance and negative results of its most recent fantasy league event in August 2010.

The remaining liabilities of discontinued operations are presented in the balance sheet under the caption "Liabilities of discontinued operation" and relates to the discontinued operations of developing and manufacturing of energy saving and fuel efficient products and services. The carrying amounts of the major classes of these liabilities as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets of discontinued operations	\$ -	\$ -
Liabilities		
Accounts payables and accrued expenses	\$ (112,397 )	\$ (155,641 )
Liabilities of discontinued operations	\$ 112,397	\$ 155,641

#### Basis of presentation

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("US GAAP"). The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related footnotes as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included in the Company's Form 10-K at December 31, 2010.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of September 30, 2011, and the results of operations and cash flows for the nine months ending September 30, 2011 have been included. The results of operations for the nine months ending September 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES  
(continued)

FASB Accounting Standards Codification

The issuance by the FASB of the Accounting Standards Codification<sup>TM</sup> (the “Codification”) on July 1, 2009 (effective for interim or annual reporting periods ending after September 15, 2009), changes the way that GAAP is referenced. Beginning on that date, the Codification officially became the single source of authoritative nongovernmental GAAP; however, SEC registrants must also consider rules, regulations, and interpretive guidance issued by the SEC or its staff. The change affects the way the Company refers to GAAP in financial statements and in its accounting policies. All existing standards that were used to create the Codification became superseded. Instead, references to standards consist solely of the number used in the Codification’s structural organization.

Use of Estimates

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the statements of financial condition, and revenues and expenses for the years then ended. Actual results may differ significantly from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include, but are not limited to, the assumptions used to calculate stock-based compensation, derivative liabilities, and debt discount.

Reclassification

Certain amounts in the 2010 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2011 presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on the reported net loss.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of nine months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company places its cash with a high credit quality financial institution.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents. The Company’s account at this institution is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) up to \$250,000. In addition to the basic insurance deposit coverage, the FDIC is providing temporary unlimited coverage for non-interest bearing transaction accounts through December 31, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company has not reached bank balances exceeding the FDIC insurance limit. While the Company periodically evaluates the credit quality of the financial institutions in which it holds deposits, it cannot reasonably alleviate the risk associated with the sudden failure of such financial institutions. The Company’s investment policy is to invest in low risk, highly liquid investments. The Company does not believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk in its cash investment.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" ("ASC 820"), for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 820 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to existing generally accepted accounting principles that require the use of fair value measurements, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements. The adoption of ASC 820 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or operating results, but did expand certain disclosures.

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, ASC 820 requires the use of valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. These inputs are prioritized below:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which require the use of the reporting entity's own assumptions.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES  
(continued)

The Company analyzes all financial instruments with features of both liabilities and equity under the FASB's accounting standard for such instruments. Under this standard, financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Depending on the product and the terms of the transaction, the fair value of notes payable and derivative liabilities were modeled using a series of techniques, including closed-form analytic formula, such as the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the derivative liability measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable input (Level 3) from January 1, 2011 to September 30, 2011:

	Conversion feature derivative liability	Warrant liability
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 3,102,896	\$ 3,605,919
Extinguishment of derivative liability upon conversion		
of debt to equity	(4,845,337 )	(3,594,067 )
Change in fair value included in earnings	4,134,371	4,519,894
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 2,391,930	\$ 4,531,746

Total derivative liabilities at September 30, 2011 amounted to \$6,923,676.

Cash and cash equivalents include money market securities that are considered to be highly liquid and easily tradable as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. These securities are valued using inputs observable in active markets for identical securities and are therefore classified as Level 1 within our fair value hierarchy.

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their estimated fair market value based on the short-term maturity of these instruments. The carrying amount of the convertible debentures at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximate their respective fair value based on the Company's incremental borrowing rate.

The Company did not identify any other non-recurring assets and liabilities that are required to be presented on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value in accordance with the relevant accounting standards.

#### Prepaid Expenses

Prepaid expenses of \$54,069 and \$85,542 at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, include prepayments of insurance, public relation services and other administrative expenses which are being amortized over the terms of the agreements.

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-Lived Assets of the Company are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable, pursuant to guidance established in ASC 360-10-35-15, "Impairment



or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets”. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The amount of impairment is measured as the difference between the asset’s estimated fair value and its book value. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recorded impairment of mineral rights of \$500,000. Management has performed an impairment analysis as of September 30, 2011 and determined such cost is not recoverable and exceeds fair value. In addition the Company has not identified proven and probable reserves in its mineral properties.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES  
(continued)

Mineral Property Acquisition and Exploration Costs

Costs of lease, acquisition, exploration, carrying and retaining unproven mineral lease properties are expensed as incurred. The Company has chosen to expense all mineral acquisition and exploration costs as incurred given that it is still in the exploration stage. Once the Company has identified proven and probable reserves in its investigation of its properties and upon development of a plan for operating a mine, it would enter the development stage and capitalize future costs until production is established. When a property reaches the production stage, the related capitalized costs will be amortized, using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the probable-proven reserves. When the Company has capitalized mineral properties, these properties will be periodically assessed for impairment of value and any diminution in value. To date, the Company has not established the commercial feasibility of any exploration prospects; therefore, all costs are being expensed. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company incurred exploration cost of \$124,912. As of September 30, 2011, the Company has yet to establish proven or probable reserves on any of its mineral properties.

Stock Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is accounted for based on the requirements of the Share-Based Payment Topic of ASC 718 which requires recognition in the consolidated condensed financial statements of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments over the period the employee or director is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively, the vesting period). The ASC also requires measurement of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

Pursuant to ASC Topic 505-50, for share-based payments to consultants and other third-parties, compensation expense is determined at the “measurement date.” The expense is recognized over the vesting period of the award. Until the measurement date is reached, the total amount of compensation expense remains uncertain. The Company initially records compensation expense based on the fair value of the award at the reporting date.

Related Parties

Parties are considered to be related to the Company if the parties that, directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, control, are controlled by, or are under common control with the Company. Related parties also include principal owners of the Company, its management, members of the immediate families of principal owners of the Company and its management and other parties with which the Company may deal if one party controls or can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the other to an extent that one of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests. The Company discloses all related party transactions. All transactions shall be recorded at fair value of the goods or services exchanged.

Net Loss per Common Share

Net loss per common share is calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 260: Earnings Per Share (“ASC 260”). Basic loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock

outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted net earnings per share does not include dilutive common stock equivalents in the weighted average shares outstanding as they would be anti-dilutive. At September 30, 2011, the Company has 36,000,000 outstanding warrants, 30,000,000 outstanding options and 19,200,000 shares equivalent issuable pursuant to embedded conversion features. The Company has 41,000,000 outstanding warrants and 41,000,000 shares equivalent issuable pursuant to embedded conversion features at September 30, 2010.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2010-06, “Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements” an amendment to ASC Topic 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.” This amendment requires an entity to: (i) disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers and (ii) present separate information for Level 3 activity pertaining to gross purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements. ASU No. 2010-06 is effective for the Company for interim and annual reporting beginning after December 15, 2009, with one new disclosure effective after December 15, 2010. The adoption of ASU No. 2010-06 did not have a material impact on the results of operations and financial condition.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES  
(continued)

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2011-04, “Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS” (“ASU No. 2011-04”). ASU No. 2011-04 provides guidance which is expected to result in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements between U.S. GAAP and IFRS. It changes the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. It is not intended for this update to result in a change in the application of the requirements in Topic 820. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-04 are to be applied prospectively. ASU No. 2011-04 is effective for public companies for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application is not permitted. This update is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, “Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income” (“ASU No. 2011-05”). In ASU No. 2011-05, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-05 do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. They also do not change the presentation of related tax effects, before related tax effects, or the portrayal or calculation of earnings per share. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-05 should be applied retrospectively. The amendment is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. The amendments do not require any transition disclosures. This update is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, “Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)” (“ASU No. 2011-08”). In ASU No. 2011-08, an entity is permitted to make a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that a reporting unit’s fair value is less than its carrying amount before applying the two-step goodwill impairment test. If an entity concludes that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, it would not be required to perform the two-step impairment test for that reporting unit. The ASU’s objective is to simplify how an entity tests goodwill for impairment. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-08 are effective for annual and interim goodwill and impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed as of a date before September 15, 2011, if an entity’s financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued. The Company is evaluating the requirements of ASU No. 2011-08 and has not yet determined whether a revised approach to evaluation of goodwill impairment will be used in future assessments. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU No. 2011-08 to have a material impact on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by FASB that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

NOTE 2 – GOING CONCERN CONSIDERATIONS

The accompanying consolidated financial statements are prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. At September 30, 2011, the Company had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$46.8 million, and a working capital deficiency of \$7,615,297. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company incurred net losses of \$10,700,857 and had negative cash flows from operations in the amount of \$572,761. The ability of the Company to continue as a going concern is dependent upon obtaining additional capital and financing. Management intends to attempt to raise additional funds by way of a public or private offering. While the Company believes in the viability of its strategy to raise additional funds, there can be no assurances to that effect.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

NOTE 3 – MINERAL CLAIMS

THE 76 PROPERTY

The 76 Property is located in Yavapai County, Arizona, 50 miles northwest of Pheonix, Arizona. The property consists of 36 federal unpatented lode mining claims on Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”) land totaling 720 acres that the Company acquired pursuant to a quitclaim deed that was purchased from Can-Am Gold Corp. for \$10.00 on April 26, 2011 (see Note 8). To maintain the mining claims in good standing, the Company must make annual maintenance fee payments to the BLM, in lieu of annual assessment work. These claim fees are \$140 per claim per year, plus an annual fee of \$10 per claim per year to Yavapai County. The Company is currently planning an exploration program consisting of sampling, mapping and assaying to determine potential targets for drilling and further development. The 76 Property does not currently have any reserves. All activities undertaken and currently proposed at the 76 Property are exploratory in nature.

THE COD PROPERTY

The COD Property is located in Mohave County, Arizona, 7 miles southwest of Chloride, Arizona. The property consists of 14 federal unpatented lode mining claims on BLM land totaling 280 acres. The Company filed the claims with the BLM on July 1, 2011. To maintain the mining claims in good standing, the Company must make annual maintenance fee payments to the BLM, in lieu of annual assessment work. These claim fees are \$140 per claim per year, plus an annual fee of \$10 per claim per year to Mohave County. The Company is currently planning an exploration program consisting of sampling, mapping and assaying to determine potential targets for drilling and further development. The COD Property does not currently have any reserves. All activities undertaken and currently proposed at the COD Property are exploratory in nature. On September 18, 2011, the Company received a notice from a third party claiming that, of the Company’s 14 mining claims on the COD Property in Mohave County, Arizona, 9 are situated overlapping this third party’s 7 claims that allegedly predate the Company’s claims, and requesting that the Company cease and desist from sampling or removing any ores from these properties. The Company believes that the third party’s demands are without merit. On October 3, 2011 the Company requested that the third party disclaim any interest in its alleged claims by executing and delivering to the Company a quitclaim deed with respect to the third party’s 7 claims. If the third party fails to execute and deliver the quitclaim deed by October 25, 2011, the Company may pursue any and all available legal actions and remedies. On October 25, 2011, the third party failed to execute and deliver the quitclaim deed and the Company may pursue any and all legal actions and remedies regarding this matter.

NOTE 4 – DERIVATIVE LIABILITIES

In June 2008, a FASB approved guidance related to the determination of whether a freestanding equity-linked instrument should be classified as equity or debt under the provisions of FASB ASC Topic No. 815-40, Derivatives and Hedging – Contracts in an Entity’s Own Stock. The adoption of this requirement will affect accounting for convertible instruments and warrants with provisions that protect holders from declines in the stock price (“down-round” provisions). Warrants with such provisions will no longer be recorded in equity and would have to be reclassified to a liability. The Issue is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application by an entity that has previously adopted an alternative accounting policy is not permitted.

Instruments with down-round protection are not considered indexed to a company's own stock under ASC Topic 815, because neither the occurrence of a sale of common stock by the company at market nor the issuance of another equity-linked instrument with a lower strike price is an input to the fair value of a fixed-for-fixed option on equity shares.

ASC Topic 815 guidance is to be applied to outstanding instruments as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the Issue is applied. The cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle shall be recognized as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings (or other appropriate components of equity) for that fiscal year, presented separately. If an instrument is classified as debt, it is valued at fair value, and this value is re-measured on an ongoing basis, with changes recorded on the statement of operations in each reporting period. The Company did not have outstanding instruments with down-round provisions as of the beginning of fiscal 2009 thus no adjustment will be made to the opening balance of retained earnings.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

## NOTE 5 – CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES

In connection with the issuance of the 6% Senior Convertible Debentures, the Company has determined that the terms of the convertible debenture include a down-round provision under which the conversion price could be affected by future equity offerings undertaken by the Company until the 18 month anniversary of such convertible debenture. Accordingly, the convertible instrument is accounted for as a liability at the date of issuance and adjusted to fair value through earnings at each reporting date. The Company has recognized a derivative liability of \$6,923,676 and \$6,708,815 at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The loss resulting from the increase in fair value of this convertible instrument was \$8,648,418 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Derivative liability expense and the gain resulting from the decrease in fair value of this convertible instrument was \$3,260,076 and \$2,032,567 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company reclassified \$8,433,555 of the derivative liability to paid-in capital due to the payment of convertible debentures, the exercise of certain stock warrants in connection therewith and the termination of the down-round provision for convertible debentures and warrants after 18 months from such issuance.

The Company used the following assumptions for determining the fair value of the convertible instruments granted under the Black-Scholes option pricing model:

September 30,  
2011

Expected volatility	207% - 255%
Expected term	0.35 - 4 Years
Risk-free interest rate	0.02% - 1.76%
Expected dividend yield	0%

On December 17, 2009, to obtain funding for working capital, the Company entered into securities purchase agreement with an accredited investor pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue its 6% Senior Convertible Debentures for an aggregate purchase price of \$75,000. The Debenture bears interest at 6% per annum and matures twenty-four months from the date of issuance. The Debenture will be convertible at the option of the holder at any time into shares of common stock, at an initial conversion price equal to the lesser of (i) \$0.05 per share or (ii) until the eighteen (18) month anniversary of the Debenture, the lowest price paid per share or the lowest conversion price per share in a subsequent sale of the Company's equity and/or convertible debt securities paid by investors after the date of the Debenture. On February 4, 2010, the Company amended the terms of this agreement (see note below).

On February 4, 2010 the Company entered into securities purchase agreement with an accredited investor pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue \$200,000 of its 6% convertible debentures for an aggregate purchase price of \$200,000. The Debenture bears interest at 6% per annum and matures twenty-four months from the date of issuance. The Debenture is convertible at the option of the holder at any time into shares of common stock, at an initial conversion price equal to the lesser of (i) \$0.05 per share or (ii) until the eighteen (18) month anniversary of the Debenture, the lowest price paid per share or the lowest conversion price per share in a subsequent sale of the Company's equity and/or convertible debt securities paid by investors after the date of the Debenture. In connection



with the Agreement, the Investor received a warrant to purchase 4,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The Warrant is exercisable for a period of five years from the date of issuance at an initial exercise price of \$0.05, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. The Investor may exercise the Warrant on a cashless basis if the Fair Market Value (as defined in the Warrant) of one share of common stock is greater than the Initial Exercise Price. In accordance with ASC 470-20-25, the convertible debentures were considered to have an embedded beneficial conversion feature because the effective conversion price was less than the fair value of the Company's common stock. These convertible debentures were fully convertible at the issuance date thus the value of the beneficial conversion and the warrants were treated as a discount on the 6% Senior Convertible debentures and were valued at \$200,000 to be amortized over the debenture term. The fair value of this warrant was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: expected dividend yield of 0%; expected volatility of 219%; risk-free interest rate of 2.29% and an expected holding period of five years. The Company paid a legal fee of \$12,500 in connection with this debenture. Accordingly, the Company recorded debt issuance cost of \$12,500 which will be amortized over the term of the debenture. As of September 30, 2011, amortization of debt issuance cost amounted to \$4,689 and is included in interest expense. As a result of the Merger with EClips Media on March 16, 2010, the new conversion price of this debenture is equivalent to \$0.025 and the warrants increased to 8,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

NOTE 5 – CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES (continued)

On February 4, 2010, the Company amended the 6% Senior Convertible Debentures agreement dated December 17, 2009 with a principal amount of \$75,000. Pursuant to the terms of the original agreement, the investor was granted the right to receive the benefit of any more favorable terms or provisions provided to subsequent investors for a period of 18 months following the closing of the transaction. As a result of the issuance of the \$200,000 note payable above, the investor was issued a Debenture in the aggregate principal amount of \$75,000 and received a warrant to purchase 1,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock on the same terms and conditions as previously described. The original Debenture was cancelled. These warrants were treated as an additional discount on the 6% Senior Convertible debentures amounting to \$7,610 to be amortized over the debenture term. The fair value of this warrant was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: expected dividend yield of 0%; expected volatility of 219%; risk-free interest rate of 2.29% and an expected holding period of five years. As a result of the Merger with EClips Media on March 16, 2010, the new conversion price of this debenture was equivalent to \$0.025 and the warrants increased to 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. During 2010, in a private equity transaction, a shareholder of the Company transferred 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock he owned to the holder of this Senior Convertible Debentures amounting to \$75,000. As a result of this private equity transaction and pursuant to a release notice agreement, the Company was released from this Senior Convertible Debentures. During fiscal 2010, the Company cancelled such debenture and recognized capital contribution of \$75,000 to additional paid in capital.

Between March 2010 and June 2010, the Company entered into securities purchase agreements with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue an aggregate of \$750,000 of its 6% Senior Convertible Debentures with the same terms and conditions of the debentures issued on February 4, 2010. In connection with the Agreement, the Investors received warrants to purchase 30,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The Warrants are exercisable for a period of five years from the date of issuance at an initial exercise price of \$0.025, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. In accordance with ASC 470-20-25, the convertible debentures were considered to have an embedded beneficial conversion feature because the effective conversion price was less than the fair value of the Company's common stock. These convertible debentures were fully convertible at the issuance date thus the value of the beneficial conversion and the warrants were treated as a discount on the 6% Senior Convertible debentures and were valued at \$750,000 to be amortized over the debenture term. The fair value of this warrant was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: expected dividend yield of 0%; expected volatility of 211%; risk-free interest rate of 2.43% and an expected holding period of five years.

In January 2011, two note holders (the "Assignors") of the Company's 6% convertible debentures entered into an Assignment agreement with an unrelated party (the "Assignee") whereby the Assignors assigned a total principal amount of \$250,000 of the convertible debentures (the "Assigned Debenture") and 5,000,000 warrants (the "Assigned Warrants") (the Assigned Debenture and the Assigned Warrants collectively, the "Assigned Securities"). The Assignee purchased the Assigned Securities for \$300,000. Contemporaneously with the closing of this agreement, the Assignee converted the Assigned Debenture into shares of the Company's common stock and exercised the Assigned Warrants for total net proceeds of \$125,000 to the Company. The Company issued 10,000,000 shares in connection with the conversion of the Assigned Debenture and 5,000,000 shares in connection with the exercise of the Assigned Warrants. The fair value of such shares issued amounted to \$0.025 per share.

In April 2011, a note holder (the “Assignor”) of the Company’s 6% convertible debentures entered into an Assignment agreement with two unrelated parties (the “Assignees”) whereby the Assignor assigned a total principal amount of \$125,000 of the convertible debentures (the “Assigned Debenture”). The Assignees purchased the Assigned Debenture for \$125,000. Contemporaneously with the closing of this agreement, the Assignees converted the Assigned Debenture into shares of the Company’s common stock. The Company issued 5,000,000 shares in connection with the conversion of the Assigned Debenture. The fair value of such shares issued amounted to \$0.025 per share.

Between January 2011 and June 2011, BIG has paid approximately \$95,000 in connection with the spinoff agreement entered into during fiscal 2010 and such amount reduced the principal balance of the outstanding convertible debentures held by the Company’s debenture holders and recognized capital contribution of \$95,000 to additional paid in capital.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

## NOTE 5 – CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES (continued)

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, convertible debentures – current portion consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Convertible debentures – current portion	\$ 480,000	\$ —
Less: debt discount	(133,404)	—
Long-term convertible debentures – net	\$ 346,596	\$ —

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, convertible debentures – long term portion consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Convertible debentures – long term portion	\$ -	\$ 950,000
Less: debt discount	-	(632,708)
Long-term convertible debentures – net	\$ -	\$ 317,292

Total amortization of debt discounts for the convertible debentures amounted to \$499,304 and \$226,667 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and is included in interest expense. Accrued interest as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 amounted to \$65,570 and \$42,916 respectively.

In accordance with ASC Topic 815 “Derivatives and Hedging”, these convertible debentures include a down-round provision under which the conversion price could be affected by future equity offerings (see Note 4). Instruments with down-round protection are not considered indexed to a company's own stock under ASC Topic 815, because neither the occurrence of a sale of common stock by the company at market nor the issuance of another equity-linked instrument with a lower strike price is an input to the fair value of a fixed-for-fixed option on equity shares.

## NOTE 6 - STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

## Capital Structure

On March 16, 2010, the Company had filed the Definitive Schedule 14C with the SEC notifying its stockholders that on March 2, 2010, a majority of the voting capital stock of the Company took action in lieu of a special meeting of stockholders authorizing the Company to enter into the Merger Agreement with its then newly-formed wholly-owned subsidiary, EClips Media Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation for the purpose of changing the state of incorporation of the Company to Delaware from Florida. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, the Company had merged with and into EClips Media with EClips Media continuing as the surviving corporation on April 12, 2010.

On the effective date of the Merger, (i) each issued and outstanding share of Common Stock of the Company had been converted into two (2) shares of EClips Media Common Stock, (ii) each issued and outstanding share of Series D Preferred Stock of the Company had been converted into two (2) shares of EClips Media Series A Preferred Stock and (iii) the outstanding share of EClips Media Common Stock held by the Company shall be retired and canceled and

shall resume the status of authorized and unissued EClips Media Common Stock. All shares and per share values were retroactively stated at the effective date of merger. Except as otherwise noted, amounts set forth as of September 30, 2011 reflects the effect of the merger.

The authorized capital of the Company consists of 750,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share of which 3,000,000 shares have been designated as series A Preferred Stock.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

## NOTE 6 - STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT (continued)

## Common Stock

In January 2011, the Company issued 219,863 shares in connection with the payment of accrued directors' fee of \$10,000. The Company valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grants at approximately \$0.045 per share or \$10,000.

In January 2011, two note holders (the "Assignors") of the Company's 6% convertible debentures entered into an Assignment agreement with an unrelated party (the "Assignee") whereby the Assignors assigned a total principal amount of \$250,000 of the convertible debentures (the "Assigned Debenture") and 5,000,000 warrants (the "Assigned Warrants")(the Assigned Debenture and the Assigned Warrants collectively, the "Assigned Securities"). The Assignee purchased the Assigned Securities for \$300,000. Contemporaneously with the closing of this agreement, the Assignee converted the Assigned Debenture into shares of the Company's common stock and exercised the Assigned Warrants for total net proceeds of \$125,000 to the Company. The Company issued 10,000,000 shares in connection with the conversion of the Assigned Debenture and 5,000,000 shares in connection with the exercise of the Assigned Warrants. The fair value of such shares issued amounted to \$0.025 per share.

In April 2011, a note holder (the "Assignor") of the Company's 6% convertible debentures entered into an Assignment agreement with two unrelated parties (the "Assignees") whereby the Assignor assigned a total principal amount of \$125,000 of the convertible debentures (the "Assigned Debenture"). The Assignees purchased the Assigned Debenture for \$125,000. Contemporaneously with the closing of this agreement, the Assignees converted the Assigned Debenture into shares of the Company's common stock. The Company issued 5,000,000 shares in connection with the conversion of the Assigned Debenture. The fair value of such shares issued amounted to \$0.025 per share.

On May 2, 2011, the Board of Directors appointed Daniel Bleak as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. On May 2, 2011 the Company issued to Daniel Bleak 10 million shares of the Company's common stock and a five year option to purchase 30 million shares of Common Stock. The option may be exercised for cash or shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.05 per share. The options vest and become exercisable in equal installments of the first three anniversaries of the effective date, provided Mr. Bleak continues to serve the Company as a director on such dates. The option was issued in connection with the appointment of Mr. Bleak as the Chairman and Chief Executive of the Company and the transfer and conveyance of certain silver mining claims owned by Can-Am Gold Corp. whereby its President and sole director is Mr. Bleak. The Company valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$0.05 per share (based on the recent selling price of the Company's common stock below) or \$500,000. Accordingly, the Company recorded mineral cost of \$500,000 in connection with the transfer and conveyance of certain silver mining claims to the Company.

On May 23, 2011, the Company entered into subscription agreements with certain investors whereby it sold an aggregate of 11 million shares of the Company's common stock at a purchase price of \$0.05 per share or an aggregate purchase price of \$550,000. As set forth in the subscription agreements, the Company has agreed to file a "resale" registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") covering 50% of the shares of the Common Stock sold to each investor in this offering within 60 days (the "Filing Date"). The Company has agreed to use its best efforts to cause the registration statement to be declared effective within 120 days (the "Effectiveness Deadline"). The Company has agreed to maintain the effectiveness of the registration statement from the effective date until the date all securities have been sold or are otherwise freely tradeable under the Securities Act of 1933, as

amended (the “Securities Act”). If a registration statement is not filed on or prior to the Filing Date, or is not effective with the SEC on or prior to the Effectiveness Deadline, the Company will make payments to this investors of 1% of the investors’ investment for every thirty (30) day period up to a maximum of 5% following the Filing Date or the Effectiveness Deadline, as applicable. Such payments shall be made to these investors in cash or shares of common stock, at the Company’s option.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

## NOTE 6 - STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT (continued)

## Stock Options

On May 2, 2011, the Board of Directors appointed Daniel Bleak as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. On May 2, 2011 the Company issued to Daniel Bleak a five year option to purchase 30 million shares of Common Stock. The option may be exercised for cash or shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.05 per share as defined in the option agreement. The options vest and become exercisable in equal installments of the first three anniversaries of the effective date, provided Mr. Bleak continues to serve the Company as a director on such dates. The option was issued in connection with the appointment of Mr. Bleak as the Chairman and Chief Executive of the Company and the transfer and conveyance of certain silver mining claims owned by Can-Am Gold Corp. whereby its President and sole director is Mr. Bleak. The 30 million options were valued on the grant date at \$0.05 per option or a total of \$1,494,596 using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: stock price of \$0.05 per share (based on the recent selling price of the Company's common stock), volatility of 259%, expected term of 5 years, and a risk free interest rate of 1.96%. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$207,584.

A summary of the status of the Company's outstanding stock options as of September 30, 2011 and changes during the period then ended is as follows:

A summary of the stock options as of September 30, 2011 and changes during the period are presented below:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
Balance at beginning of year	-	\$-	-
Granted	30,000,000	0.05	5.0
Exercised	-	-	-
Forfeited	-	-	-
Cancelled	-	-	-
Balance outstanding at the end of period	30,000,000	\$0.05	4.83
Options exercisable at end of period	-	\$-	
Options expected to vest	-		
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period		\$0.05	

Stock options outstanding at September 30, 2011 as disclosed in the above table have approximately \$4,500,000 intrinsic value at the end of the period.



SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

## NOTE 6 - STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT (continued)

## Stock Warrants

A summary of the status of the Company's outstanding stock warrants as of September 30, 2011 and changes during the period then ended is as follows:

	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance at December 31, 2010	41,000,000	\$ 0.025
Granted	-	-
Exercised	(5,000,000)	0.025
Balance at September 30, 2011	36,000,000	\$ 0.025
Warrants exercisable at end of period	36,000,000	\$ 0.025
Weighted average fair value of warrants granted during the period		\$ -

The following table summarizes the Company's stock warrants outstanding at September 30, 2011:

Range of Exercise Price	Warrants Outstanding		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Warrants Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding at September 30, 2011	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life		Number Exercisable at September 30, 2011	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 0.025	36,000,000	3.56 Years	\$ 0.025	36,000,000	\$ 0.025
	36,000,000		\$ 0.025	36,000,000	\$ 0.025

## NOTE 7 – COMMITMENTS

## Settlement Agreement

In March 2011, the Company entered into a Settlement and Release Agreement (the "Agreement") with an unrelated party whereby the Company settled the amounts due to the unrelated party for past legal services provided amounting to approximately \$15,000 and certain lease payments in connection with the Company's previous headquarters in Florida amounting to approximately \$28,000 which amounts were included in the liabilities of discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010. Pursuant to this agreement, the Company paid \$15,000 to release the Company from further obligation including the termination of the lease in Florida. Accordingly, the Company recorded a gain from settlement of debt of \$28,244 during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 30, 2011

NOTE 8 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On April 26, 2011, the Company purchased a quitclaim deed for the 76 Property from Can-Am Gold Corp. that conveyed to it all of Can-Am Gold Corp.'s rights, title and interest in 36 unpatented lode mining claims located in Yavapai County, Arizona. The Company paid ten dollars (\$10.00) as consideration for the quitclaim deed. Mr. Bleak, the Company's current Chief Executive Officer, Chairman and Chief Financial Officer, is the president and sole director of Can-Am Gold Corp.

Between May 2011 and September 2011 the Company rented warehouse space in Apache Junction, Arizona from Pinal Realty Investments, Inc. pursuant to a month to month lease agreement. The Company paid Pinal Realty Investments, Inc., an aggregate of \$1,000 a month in rent and management fees. Mr. Bleak and his son each own 16.45% of Pinal Realty Investments, Inc.

On June 1, 2011, the Company entered into a services and employee leasing agreement with MJI Resource Management Corp. ("MJI") pursuant to which the Company agreed to pay MJI \$15,000 a month and MJI agreed to make available to the Company six of its employees, including Mr. Bleak, for the purpose of performing management, operations, legal, accounting and resource location services. This agreement may be terminated at any time by either party. Mr. Eckersley, one of the Company's directors, is the President of MJI. On August 1, 2011, the Company amended this agreement whereby the Company agreed to pay MJI \$25,000 per month.

NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company moved to its current facilities in Apache Junction, Arizona on October 3, 2011. Its current facilities are provided to it at no cost by MJI. Mr. Eckersley is the President of MJI.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

## Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 including those relating to our liquidity, our belief that we will not have sufficient cash and borrowing capacity to meet our working capital needs for the next 12 months without further financing, our expectations regarding acquisitions and new lines of business, gross profit, gross margins and capital expenditures. Additionally, words such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “believes,” “will” and similar words are used to identify forward-looking statements.

Some or all of the results anticipated by these forward-looking statements may not occur. Important factors, uncertainties and risks that may cause actual results to differ materially from these forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the Risk Factors which appear in our filings and reports made with the Securities and Exchange Commission, our lack of working capital, the value of our securities, the impact of competition, the continuation or worsening of current economic conditions, technology and technological changes, a potential decrease in consumer spending and the condition of the domestic and global credit and capital markets. Additionally, these forward-looking statements are presented as of the date this Form 10-Q is filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We do not intend to update any of these forward-looking statements.

## Overview

We were incorporated under the name “Swifty Carwash & Quick-Lube, Inc.” in the state of Florida on September 25, 1997. On October 22, 1999, we changed our name from “Swifty Carwash & Quick-Lube, Inc.” to “SwiftyNet.com, Inc.” On January 29, 2001, we changed our name from “SwiftyNet.com, Inc.” to “Yseek, Inc.” On June 10, 2003, we changed our name from “Yseek, Inc.” to “Advanced 3-D Ultrasound Services, Inc.” We merged with World Energy Solutions, Inc., a private Florida corporation, on August 17, 2005. Advanced 3D Ultrasound Services, Inc. remained as the surviving entity and legal acquirer, and World Energy Solutions, Inc. was the accounting acquirer. On November 7, 2005, we changed our name to “World Energy Solutions, Inc.” and merged with Professional Technical Systems, Inc. We remained as the surviving entity and legal acquirer, while Professional Technical Systems, Inc. was the accounting acquirer. On February 26, 2009, we changed our name to “EClips Energy Technologies, Inc.” For the purpose of changing our state of incorporation to Delaware, we had merged with and into our then newly-formed wholly-owned subsidiary, EClips Media Technologies, Inc. on April 21, 2010, with EClips Media Technologies, Inc. continuing as the surviving corporation. Effective April 25, 2011, we changed our name to “Silver Horn Mining Ltd.” from “EClips Media Technologies, Inc.” pursuant to Section 253 of the Delaware General Corporation Law by merging a newly-formed, wholly-owned subsidiary of ours with and into the Company, with the Company as the surviving corporation in the merger.

Upon the appointment of Daniel Bleak as our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman on May 2, 2011, we focused our business efforts on the acquisition and exploration of properties that may contain mineral resources, principally silver. Our target properties are those that have been the subject of historical exploration or previous production. We have filed federal unpatented lode mining claims in Arizona for the purpose of exploration and potential development of silver on a total of approximately 1,000 acres. We plan to review opportunities to acquire additional mineral properties with current or historic silver mineralization with meaningful exploration potential. As a result of our focus on mineral exploration, we are considered an exploration stage company.

Our properties do not have any reserves. We plan to conduct exploration programs on these properties with the objective of ascertaining whether any of our properties contain concentrations of silver that are prospective for mining.

## Recent Events

On June 21, 2010, through our wholly-owned subsidiary SD Acquisition Corp, we acquired all of the business and assets of Brand Interaction Group, LLC. Brand Interaction Group, LLC owned and operated Superdraft, a sports entertainment and media business focused on promotion of fantasy league events through live and online events. In connection with the acquisition, Eric Simon, the control person of Brand Interaction Group, LLC, was appointed as our Chief Executive Officer and was issued 10,000,000 shares of our common stock. We also issued Brand Interaction Group, LLC 20,000,000 shares of our common stock and assumed certain debt that it had previously issued to several of its creditors.

In the fall of 2010, we decided to discontinue the operations of SD Acquisition Corp. because of the disappointing performance and negative results of its most recent fantasy league event in August 2010. Mr. Simon resigned as our Chief Executive Officer on November 15, 2010 and on December 7, 2010, we entered into a spinoff agreement with Brand Interaction Group, LLC, Mr. Simon, SD Acquisition Corp. and certain holders of our outstanding convertible debentures pursuant to which we agreed to spinoff SD Acquisition Corp. to Brand Interaction Group, LLC and Mr. Simon and cancel the 30,000,000 shares of common stock previously issued to Brand Interaction Group, LLC and Mr. Simon. Upon the execution of the spinoff, we were released from any obligations and agreements incurred by Mr. Simon on behalf of SD Acquisition Corp. As set forth in the spinoff agreement, Brand Interaction Group, LLC is obligated to make direct payments of an aggregate of \$95,000 to certain holders of our convertible debentures in order to retire or reduce, on a dollar for dollar basis, amounts due and payable by us to such holders. In connection with the foregoing, Brand Interaction Group, LLC issued a \$95,000 promissory note to these holders. The note is payable in six equal monthly installments of \$15,833, with the first payment due on January 21, 2011. Between January 2011 and June 2011, Brand Interaction Group, LLC paid the holders approximately \$95,000 and such amount reduced the principal balance of our convertible debentures issued to these holders.

Effective April 25, 2011, we changed our name to “Silver Horn Mining Ltd.” from “EClips Media Technologies, Inc.” Effective April 27, 2011, our common stock began trading under a new symbol, “SILV”, on the OTC Bulletin Board. Until such date, our common stock traded under the symbol “EEMT”. On April 26, 2011, the Can-Am Gold Corp. delivered a quitclaim deed that conveyed to us all of its rights, title and interest in 36 unpatented lode mining claims located in Yavapai County, Arizona. We paid Can-Am Gold Corp. ten dollars (\$10.00) as consideration for the quitclaim deed. On May 2, 2011, our Board of Directors appointed Daniel Bleak, Can-Am Gold Corp.'s President and sole director, as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Upon the effectiveness of Mr. Bleak’s appointment, we commenced focusing our business efforts on mining and resources, principally silver exploration and production.

On May 23, 2011, we entered into subscription agreements with certain investors whereby we sold an aggregate of 11,000,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$0.05 per share and an aggregate purchase price of \$550,000. As set forth in the subscription agreements, we agreed to file a “resale” registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission covering 50% of the shares of the common stock sold to each investor in the offering within 60 days of the closing of the offering. We agreed to use our best efforts to cause the registration statement to be declared effective within 120 days.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we had a net loss of \$10,700,857, net loss of \$4,813,403 from inception of exploration stage (April 25, 2011) through September 30, 2011, and \$572,761 of net cash used in operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. At September 30, 2011, we had a working capital deficiency of \$7,615,297. Additionally, at September 30, 2011, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$46.8 million and stockholder’s deficit of \$7,615,297. These matters and our expected needs for capital investments required to support operational growth raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible effects on recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from our inability to continue as a going concern.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management's applications of accounting policies. Critical accounting policies for our company include accounting for derivative liabilities and stock based compensation.

#### Stock Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is accounted for based on the requirements of the Share-Based Payment Topic of ASC 718 which requires recognition in the consolidated condensed financial statements of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments over the period the employee or director is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively, the vesting period). The ASC also requires measurement of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

Pursuant to ASC Topic 505-50, for share-based payments to consultants and other third-parties, compensation expense is determined at the “measurement date.” The expense is recognized over the vesting period of the award. Until the measurement date is reached, the total amount of compensation expense remains uncertain. The Company initially records compensation expense based on the fair value of the award at the reporting date.

#### Use of Estimates

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the statements of financial condition, and revenues and expenses for the years then ended. Actual results may differ significantly from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include, but are not limited to, the assumptions used to calculate stock-based compensation, derivative liabilities, and debt discount.

## Derivative Liabilities

In June 2008, a FASB approved guidance related to the determination of whether a freestanding equity-linked instrument should be classified as equity or debt under the provisions of FASB ASC Topic No. 815-40, “Derivatives and Hedging – Contracts in an Entity’s Own Stock” (“ASC 815-40”). The adoption of this requirement will affect accounting for convertible instruments and warrants with provisions that protect holders from declines in the stock price (“down-round” provisions). Warrants with such provisions will no longer be recorded in equity and would have to be reclassified to a liability. Instruments with down-round protection are not considered indexed to a company's own stock under ASC 815-40, because neither the occurrence of a sale of common stock by the company at market nor the issuance of another equity-linked instrument with a lower strike price is an input to the fair value of a fixed-for-fixed option on equity shares. ASC 815-40 guidance is to be applied to outstanding instruments as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which it is applied. The cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle shall be recognized as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings (or other appropriate components of equity) for that fiscal year, presented separately. If an instrument is classified as debt, it is valued at fair value, and this value is re-measured on an ongoing basis, with changes recorded on the statement of operations in each reporting period.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2010-06, “Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements” (ASU 2010-06”) an amendment to ASC Topic 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.” This amendment requires an entity to: (i) disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers and (ii) present separate information for Level 3 activity pertaining to gross purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements. ASU 2010-06 is effective for us for interim and annual reporting beginning after December 15, 2009, with one new disclosure effective after December 15, 2010. The adoption of ASU 2010-06 did not have a material impact on the results of operations and financial condition.

In February 2010, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting standards related to the accounting for, and disclosure of, subsequent events in an entity’s consolidated financial statements. This standard amends the authoritative guidance for subsequent events that was previously issued and among other things exempts Securities and Exchange Commission registrants from the requirement to disclose the date through which it has evaluated subsequent events for either original or restated financial statements. This standard does not apply to subsequent events or transactions that are within the scope of other applicable GAAP that provides different guidance on the accounting treatment for subsequent events or transactions. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, “Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses” (“ASU 2010-20”). ASU 2010-20 requires additional disclosures about the credit quality of a company’s loans and the allowance for loan losses held against those loans. Companies will need to disaggregate new and existing disclosures based on how it develops its allowance for loan losses and how it manages credit exposures. Additional disclosure is also required about the credit quality indicators of loans by class at the end of the reporting period, the aging of past due loans, information about troubled debt restructurings, and significant purchases and sales of loans during the reporting period by class. The new guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. We anticipate that adoption of these additional disclosures will not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by FASB that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements upon adoption.





## Results of Operations

**Net Revenues.** We have not generated revenues during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

**Operating Expenses.** Total operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$1,554,189, a decrease of \$2,501,738, or approximately 62%, from total operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 of \$4,055,927. Total operating expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were \$544,020, an increase of \$329,765, or approximately 154%, from total operating expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2010 of \$214,255. This increase (decrease) is primarily attributable to:

Payroll and stock based compensation expenses were \$321,709 and \$969,167 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, a decrease of \$647,458 or 67%. Payroll and stock based compensation expenses were \$206,676 and \$101,875 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$104,801 or 103%. The decrease during the nine months period ended was primarily attributable to the issuance of 10,000,000 shares of common stock to our former Chief Executive Officer and Chairman pursuant to a consulting agreement in February 2010 and another 10,000,000 shares of common stock to our former Chief Executive Officer pursuant to an employment agreement dated on June 21, 2010 during the prior period 2010. We did not have a comparable expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to the 30 million options issued in connection with the appointment of Mr. Bleak as the Chairman and Chief Executive of the Company.

Exploration costs were \$63,292 and \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$63,292. Exploration costs were \$124,912 and \$0 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$124,912. Exploration cost includes costs of lease, acquisition, exploration, carrying and retaining unproven mineral lease properties. The Company has chosen to expense all mineral acquisition and exploration costs as incurred given that it is still in the exploration stage. We did not have a comparable expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Professional and consulting expenses were \$395,249 and \$2,808,623 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, a decrease of \$2,413,374 or 86%. Professional and consulting expenses were \$131,155 and \$74,912 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$56,243 or 75%. Professional expenses were incurred for our audits and public filing requirements. The decrease during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily attributable to the issuance of our common stock to four consultants for services rendered amounting to \$2,610,000. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to the increase in legal fees related to litigation matters and SEC filings.

Impairment of mineral rights was \$500,000 and \$0 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$500,000. We recognized an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The amount of impairment is measured as the difference between the asset's estimated fair value and its book value. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded impairment of mineral rights of \$500,000. Management has performed an impairment analysis as of September 30, 2011 and determined such cost is not recoverable and exceeds fair value. In addition we have not identified proven and probable reserves in our mineral properties.

General and administrative expenses, which consist of office expenses, insurance, rent and general operating expenses totaled \$212,319 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$278,137 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, a decrease of \$65,818 or 24%. General and administrative expenses decreased as a result of cost cutting measures during the nine months period. General and administrative expenses, which consist of office

expenses, insurance, rent and general operating expenses totaled \$142,897 for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$37,468 for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$105,429 or 281%. Such increase is primarily attributable to management fees paid to MJI Resource Management Corp. of \$65,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2011. We expect general and administrative expenses to increase for the remainder of our current fiscal year due to an increase in operations and the expected overall growth in our business as we focus our business efforts on the acquisition and exploration of properties that may contain mineral resources.

Total Other Expense. Our total other expenses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 primarily included expenses associated with derivative liabilities and interest expense.

### Change in Fair Value of Derivative Liabilities and Derivative Liabilities Expense

We recorded derivative liability of \$6,923,676 in connection with the issuance of convertible debentures and warrants at September 30, 2011. Change in fair value of derivative liabilities expense consisted of income or expense associated with the change in the fair value of derivative liabilities as a result of the application of ASC 815-40 to our financial statements. The variation in fair value of the derivative liabilities between measurement dates amounted to an (increase) decrease of \$(8,648,418) and \$2,032,567 during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The variation in fair value of the derivative liabilities between measurement dates amounted to an (increase) decrease of \$4,413,763 and \$(366,480) during the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase/decrease in fair value of the derivative liabilities has been recognized as other expense/income. We also recognized derivative liability expense of \$3,260,076 during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 upon issuance of the convertible debentures and warrants in fiscal 2010.

The adoption of ASC 815-40's requirements will affect accounting for convertible instruments and warrants with provisions that protect holders from declines in the stock price ("down-round" provisions). Warrants with such provisions will no longer be recorded in equity. Instruments with down-round protection are not considered indexed to a company's own stock under ASC 815-40, because neither the occurrence of a sale of common stock by the company at market nor the issuance of another equity-linked instrument with a lower strike price is an input to the fair value of a fixed-for-fixed option on equity shares. In connection with the issuance of our 6% convertible debentures beginning on December 17, 2009, we have determined that the terms of the convertible debenture include a down-round provision under which the conversion price could be affected by future equity offerings undertaken by us until the 18 month anniversary of such convertible debenture.

So long as convertible instruments and warrants with down-round provisions that protect holders from declines in the stock price remain outstanding, we will recognize other income or expense in future periods based upon the fluctuation of the market price of our common stock. This non-cash income or expense is reasonably anticipated to materially affect our net loss in future periods. We are, however, unable to estimate the amount of such income/expense in future periods as the income/expense is partly based on the market price of our common stock at the end of a future measurement date. In addition, in the future if we issue securities which are classified as derivatives we will incur expense and income items in future periods. Investors are cautioned to consider the impact of this non-cash accounting treatment on our financial statements.

### Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense consists primarily of interest recognized in connection with the amortization of debt discount, amortization of debt issuance cost and interest on our convertible debentures. The increase in interest expense when compared to the same period in 2010 is primarily attributable to the amortization of the debt discount amounting to approximately \$60,493 and \$499,304 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, associated with the 6% convertible debenture as compared to \$128,125 and \$226,667 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. We did not have a comparable amortization of debt discount as most of the convertible promissory notes were issued between April 2010 and June 2010.

### Loss from Operations

We recorded loss from operations of \$544,020 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$214,255 for the three months ended September 30, 2010. We recorded loss from operations of \$1,554,189 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$4,055,927 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

### Net Loss

We recorded net income (loss) of \$3,800,428 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$(2,147,085) for the three months ended September 30, 2010. We recorded net loss of \$10,700,857 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$6,968,251 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. As a result of the factors described above, our income (loss) from continuing operations per share (basic and diluted) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$0.02 and \$(0.05) per share, respectively as compared to \$0.00 and \$(0.03) per share during the same period in 2010, respectively. Our income (loss) from discontinued operations per share (basic and diluted) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$0.00 and \$0.00 per share, respectively as compared to \$(0.01) and \$(0.01) per share during the same period in 2010, respectively.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability of a company to generate funds to support its current and future operations, satisfy its obligations, and otherwise operate on an ongoing basis. At September 30, 2011, we had a cash balance of \$196,292. Our working capital deficit is \$7,615,297 at September 30, 2011. We reported a net loss of \$10,700,857 during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. We do not anticipate we will be profitable in fiscal 2011.

We reported a net increase in cash for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$102,239. While we currently have no material commitments for capital expenditures, at September 30, 2011 we owed \$480,000 under various convertible debentures. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we have raised net proceeds of \$550,000 and \$125,000 from sale of our stocks and exercise of stock warrants, respectively. We do not presently have any external sources of working capital.

We do not have revenues to fund our operating expenses. We presently do not have any available credit, bank financing or other external sources of liquidity. We will need to obtain additional capital in order to expand operations and become profitable. In order to obtain capital, we may need to sell additional shares of our common stock or borrow funds from private lenders. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining additional funding. Additional capital is being sought, but we cannot guarantee that we will be able to obtain such investments. Financing transactions may include the issuance of equity or debt securities, obtaining credit facilities, or other financing mechanisms. However, the trading price of our common stock and a downturn in the U.S. equity and debt markets could make it more difficult to obtain financing through the issuance of equity or debt securities. Even if we are able to raise the funds required, it is possible that we could incur unexpected costs and expenses, fail to collect significant amounts owed to us, or experience unexpected cash requirements that would force us to seek alternative financing. Furthermore, if we issue additional equity or debt securities, stockholders may experience additional dilution or the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing holders of our common stock. If additional financing is not available or is not available on acceptable terms, we will have to curtail our operations.

## Operating Activities

Net cash flows used in operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 amounted to \$572,761 and were primarily attributable to our net losses of \$10,700,857, offset by amortization of debt discount and debt issuance costs of \$503,993, change in fair value of derivative liabilities of \$8,648,418, amortization of prepaid expenses of \$118,978, stock based compensation of \$207,584, impairment of mineral rights of \$500,000, total changes in assets and liabilities of \$177,367 and add back gain from settlement of debt of \$28,244. Net cash flows used in operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 amounted to \$679,154 and was primarily attributable to our net losses of \$6,968,251, offset by amortization of debt discount and debt issuance costs of \$230,835, stock based expenses of \$3,529,167, derivative liability expense of \$3,260,076, change in fair value of derivative liabilities of (\$2,032,567), contributed services of \$10,000, depreciation of \$3,254, impairment loss of \$1,216,295, and total changes in assets and liabilities from continued and discontinued activities of \$58,696.

## Investing Activities

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$0 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Net cash flows used in investing activities was \$313,519 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. We paid leasehold improvement of \$14,025, purchase of equipment of \$23,451, cash used in acquisition (net of cash acquired) of \$104,943 and invested \$171,100 on a 6% demand promissory note receivable.

## Financing Activities

Net cash flows provided by financing activities were \$675,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. We received net proceeds from exercise of stock warrants of \$125,000 and sale of our stocks of \$550,000. Net cash flows provided by financing activities was \$1,012,500 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. We received net proceeds from convertible debentures of \$950,000 offset by debt issuance cost of \$12,500 and the sale of our common stock of \$75,000.

## Debenture Financing

Between December 2009 and June 2010 we entered into various securities purchase agreements with accredited investors pursuant to which we agreed to issue an aggregate of \$1,025,000 of our 6% convertible debentures for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,025,000. The debentures bear interest at 6% per annum and mature two years from the dates of issuance. The debentures are convertible at the option of the holder at any time into shares of common stock, at a conversion price equal to the lesser of (i) \$0.025 per share or (ii) until the 18 month anniversary of the debenture, the lowest price paid per share or the lowest conversion price per share in a subsequent sale of our equity and/or convertible debt securities paid by investors after the date of the debenture. In connection with the agreements, the investors received an aggregate of 41,000,000 warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years from the date of issuance at an exercise price of \$0.025, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. Warrant holders may exercise the warrant on a cashless basis if the fair market value (as defined in the warrant) of one share of common stock is greater than the initial exercise price. At September 30, 2011, we owed \$480,000 under these convertible debentures.

## Contractual Obligations

We have certain fixed contractual obligations and commitments that include future estimated payments. Changes in our business needs, cancellation provisions, changing interest rates, and other factors may result in actual payments differing from the estimates. We cannot provide certainty regarding the timing and amounts of payments. We have presented below a summary of the most significant assumptions used in our determination of amounts presented in the tables, in order to assist in the review of this information within the context of our consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

The following tables summarize our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2011.

	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	5 Years +
<b>Contractual Obligations:</b>					
Convertible debenture – long term	\$480,000	\$480,000	\$—	\$—	\$—
<b>Total Contractual Obligations:</b>	<b>\$480,000</b>	<b>\$480,000</b>	<b>\$—</b>	<b>\$—</b>	<b>\$—</b>

## Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any other financial guarantees or other commitments to guarantee the payment obligations of any third parties. We have not entered into any derivative contracts that are indexed to our shares and classified as stockholder's equity or that are not reflected in our consolidated financial statements. Furthermore, we do not have any retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to such entity.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

We maintain “disclosure controls and procedures,” as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.



With respect to the quarterly period ending September 30, 2011, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operations of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon this evaluation, our management has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 30, 2011 due to our limited internal resources and lack of ability to have multiple levels of transaction review.

Management is in the process of determining how best to change our current system and implement a more effective system to insure that information required to be disclosed in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported accurately. Our management acknowledges the existence of this problem, and intends to developed procedures to address them to the extent possible given limitations in financial and manpower resources. While management is working on a plan, no assurance can be made at this point that the implementation of such controls and procedures will be completed in a timely manner or that they will be adequate once implemented.

#### Changes in Internal Controls.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended September 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

None.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

### Item 4. (Removed and Reserved).

### Item 5. Other Information.

None.

### Item 6. Exhibits.

- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002\*
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002\*

\* Filed herein



SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SILVER HORN MINING LTD.

Date: November 14, 2011

By: /s/ Daniel Bleak  
Daniel Bleak  
Chief Executive Officer  
(principal executive officer,  
principal financial and accounting  
officer)