

Dhaduk Vithalbhai D
 Form 5
 February 14, 2019

FORM 5

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

OMB APPROVAL
 OMB Number: 3235-0362
 Expires: January 31, 2005
 Estimated average burden hours per response... 1.0

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).
 Form 3 Holdings Reported Form 4 Transactions Reported

ANNUAL STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *
 Dhaduk Vithalbhai D
 (Last) (First) (Middle)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol
 FNCB Bancorp, Inc. [FNCB]

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer
 (Check all applicable)

C/O FNCB BANK, 102 E. DRINKER ST.
 (Street)

3. Statement for Issuer's Fiscal Year Ended (Month/Day/Year)
 12/31/2018

Director 10% Owner
 Officer (give title below) Other (specify below)

DUNMORE, PA 18512
 (City) (State) (Zip)

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed (Month/Day/Year)

6. Individual or Joint/Group Reporting
 (check applicable line)

Form Filed by One Reporting Person
 Form Filed by More than One Reporting Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	(A) or (D)	Price	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned at end of Issuer's Fiscal Year (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Indirect Beneficial Ownership (Instr. 4)
COMMON STOCK	06/07/2018		P	533	A	\$ 9	66,000	D	
COMMON STOCK	12/19/2018		J ⁽¹⁾	21.1635	A	\$ 9.6222	62,592.9544	I	By Spouse
COMMON STOCK	09/19/2018		J ⁽¹⁾	15.4053	A	\$ 10.535	62,571.7909	I	By Spouse
COMMON STOCK	06/22/2018		J ⁽¹⁾	18.0492	A	\$ 8.9518	62,556.3856	I	By Spouse
	03/21/2018		J ⁽¹⁾	17.6407	A		62,538.3364	I	

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

COMMON STOCK						\$ 9.1191			By Spouse
COMMON STOCK	12/29/2017	Â	J(1)	21.6957	A	\$ 7.3747	62,520.6957	I	By Spouse

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 2270 (9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned
(e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	8. Price of Derivative Security (Instr. 5)	9. Price of Underlying Security (Instr. 5)
--	--	--------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------	---	--	---	--	--

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
Dhaduk Vithalbhai D C/O FNCB BANK 102 E. DRINKER ST. DUNMORE, PA 18512	Â X	Â	Â	Â

Signatures

/s/ James M. Bone, Jr., As Attorney in Fact
02/14/2019
**Signature of Reporting Person Date

Explanation of Responses:

- * If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
 - ** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- (1) Dr. Dhaduk's spouse is a participant in the Issuer's Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan ("DRP"). The transaction reported here is the result of her participation in the DRP, which become effective on the Transaction Date.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space provided is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. font-size:10pt;">

Apollo Lincoln Fixed Income Fund, L.P.
1,941

1.041

1,398

0.993

Apollo Lincoln Private Credit Fund, L.P.
211

0.990

194

0.990

Apollo Structured Credit Recovery Master Fund III, L.P.
1,804

0.293

315

0.126

Apollo Total Return Fund L.P.
162

0.032

163

0.046

Explanation of Responses:

Apollo Credit Short Opportunities Fund L.P.

20

0.012

19

0.027

MidCap FinCo Limited (“MidCap”)

79,326

4.940

—

—

Apollo Energy Opportunity Fund, L.P. (“AEOF”)

8,898

2.440

—

—

- 156-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Apollo A-N Credit Fund, L.P.	4,962	1,970	—	—
Apollo Tactical Value SPN Investments, L.P.	1,168	1,482	—	—
Apollo Union Street Partners, L.P.	1,139	2,002	—	—
Apollo Hercules Partners L.P.	1,094	2,439	—	—
Total Credit Funds ⁽⁵⁾	313,067		212,914	
Real Estate:				
ARI ⁽³⁾	13,845	⁽¹⁾ 1,043	⁽¹⁾ 13,989	⁽²⁾ 1,495 ⁽²⁾
U.S. RE Fund I	9,275	5,000	10,519	1,845
U.S. RE Fund II	2,712	1,886	38	4,761
CPI Capital Partners North America, L.P.	28	0,404	137	0,408
CPI Capital Partners Europe, L.P.	5	0,001	5	0,001
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific, L.P.	80	0,039	96	0,039
Apollo GSS Holding (Cayman), L.P.	3,082	4,750	3,564	4,750
BEA/AGRE China Real Estate Fund, L.P.	83	1,030	87	1,031
Apollo-IC, L.P. (Shanghai Village)	359	3,100	—	—
Other	10	NM	—	—
Total Real Estate Funds ⁽⁵⁾	29,479		28,435	
Total	\$615,669		\$380,878	

(1) Amounts are as of September 30, 2015.

(2) Amounts are as of September 30, 2014.

Investment value includes the fair value of RSUs granted to the Company as of the grant date. These amounts are (3) not considered in the percentage of ownership until the RSUs are vested and issued to the Company, at which point the RSUs are converted to common stock and delivered to the Company.

(4) The value of the Company's investment in AINV was \$41,833 and \$53,693 based on the quoted market price as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

(5) Certain funds invest across multiple segments. The presentation in the table above is based on the classification of the majority of such funds' investments.

AAA was deconsolidated effective January 1, 2015 as a result of the Company's adoption of new accounting (6) guidance, as described in note 2. As a result, the Company's investment in AAA no longer eliminates in consolidation.

The Company's equity method investment in Athene Holding, for which the fair value option was elected, met the significance criteria as defined by the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2015. As such, the following tables present summarized financial information of Athene Holding as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

	As of December 31, 2015 ⁽¹⁾ in millions	2014
Statements of Financial Condition		
Investments	\$57,284	\$59,050
Assets	74,335	82,182
Liabilities	68,865	77,584
Equity	5,470	4,598

Explanation of Responses:

The financial statement information for the year ended December 31, 2015 is presented a quarter in arrears and is (1) comprised of the financial information as of September 30, 2015, which represents the latest available financial information as of the date of this report.

- 157-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2014	2013
	in millions		
Statements of Operations			
Revenues	\$2,767	\$4,133	\$1,760
Expenses	2,161	3,598	750
Income before income tax provision	606	535	1,010
Income tax provision (benefit)	71	40	(1)
Net income	535	495	1,011
Net income attributable to Non-controlling Interests	(43)	(12)	(116)
Net income available to Athene common shareholders	\$492	\$483	\$895

The financial statement information for the year ended December 31, 2015 is presented a quarter in arrears and is (1) comprised of the financial information for the year ended September 30, 2015, which represents the latest available financial information as of the date of this report.

The tables below present summarized aggregate financial information of the Company's equity method investments, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

	Private Equity		Credit		Real Estate		Aggregate Totals	
	As of		As of		As of		As of	
	December 31,		December 31,		December 31,		December 31,	
Statement of Financial Condition	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2014 ⁽¹⁾	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2014 ⁽¹⁾	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2014 ⁽¹⁾	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2014 ⁽¹⁾
Investments	\$17,080,292	\$16,082,723	\$18,830,120	\$17,888,199	\$3,188,822	\$2,584,097	\$39,099,234	\$36,555,019
Assets	17,970,417	16,924,291	21,255,463	20,076,656	3,484,842	2,772,857	42,710,722	39,773,804
Liabilities	37,416	128,257	7,646,492	6,216,702	1,287,051	1,028,203	8,970,959	7,373,162
Equity	17,933,001	16,796,034	13,608,971	13,859,954	2,197,791	1,744,654	33,739,763	32,400,642

	Private Equity			Credit			Real Estate		
	For the Year Ended			For the Year Ended			For the Year Ended		
	December 31,			December 31,			December 31,		
Statement of Operations	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2014 ⁽¹⁾	2013 ⁽¹⁾	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2014 ⁽¹⁾	2013 ⁽¹⁾	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2014 ⁽¹⁾	2013 ⁽¹⁾
Revenues/Investment Income	\$408,971	\$340,380	\$675,844	\$1,352,017	\$1,954,270	\$1,297,324	\$120,340	\$89,579	\$73,416
Expenses	306,044	326,126	239,750	464,610	417,967	583,410	35,340	29,022	39,153
Net Investment Income	102,927	14,254	436,094	887,407	1,536,303	713,914	85,000	60,557	34,270
Net Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)	20,757	1,300,343	10,411,556	(1,643,758)	(548,088)	953,227	(1,699)	62,516	214,760
Net Income	\$123,684	\$1,314,597	\$10,847,650	\$(756,351)	\$988,215	\$1,667,141	\$83,301	\$123,073	\$249,000

(1)

Explanation of Responses:

Certain private equity, credit and real estate fund amounts are as of and for the twelve months ended September 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

5. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

As described in note 2, the Company consolidates entities that are VIEs for which the Company has been designated as the primary beneficiary. There is no recourse to the Company for the consolidated VIEs' liabilities.

- 158-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

Apollo has consolidated VIEs in accordance with the policy described in note 2. Through its role as investment manager of these VIEs, the Company determined that Apollo has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these VIEs. Additionally, Apollo determined that its interests, both directly and indirectly from these VIEs, represent rights to returns that could potentially be significant to such VIEs. As a result, Apollo determined that it is the primary beneficiary and therefore should consolidate the VIEs.

Deconsolidation of CLOs

CLOs are generally determined to be VIEs if they are formed solely to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt and therefore do not have sufficient total equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. Prior to adoption of the new consolidation guidance, Apollo was considered to possess a controlling financial interest in, and therefore consolidated, such CLOs as Apollo's role as collateral manager provided the Company with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impacted the CLO's economic performance and the Company had the right to receive certain benefits from the CLO through incentive fees that could potentially be significant to the CLO. Under the new guidance, the majority of these CLOs have been deconsolidated as the incentive fees received by Apollo from the deconsolidated CLOs are not considered variable interests. Accordingly, the Company deconsolidated approximately \$14.6 billion in assets and \$13.7 billion in liabilities related to these entities reflected as of January 1, 2015. The net impact of the deconsolidation is reflected in the consolidated statement of changes in shareholders' equity within Appropriated Partners Capital for the year ended December 31, 2015.

As a result of the adoption, certain deconsolidation adjustments have been recorded to various line items on the consolidated financial statements, including adjustments to remove the impact of intercompany eliminations. These adjustments impacted multiple line items within total revenues and other income, as well as net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests on the consolidated statements of operations, as well as multiple line items within the consolidated statements of financial condition, including goodwill (See note 3 to our consolidated financial statements for further detail regarding the impact related to goodwill).

Consolidated CLOs

Certain CLOs remain consolidated by Apollo as the Company continues to be considered to hold a controlling financial interest through direct and indirect interests in these CLOs exclusive of management and performance based fees received. Through its role as collateral manager of these VIEs, the Company determined that Apollo had the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these VIEs. These CLOs were formed for the sole purpose of issuing collateralized notes to investors. The assets of these VIEs are primarily comprised of senior secured loans and the liabilities are primarily comprised of debt.

The assets of these consolidated CLOs are not available to creditors of the Company. In addition, the investors in these consolidated VIEs have no recourse against the assets of the Company. The Company has elected the fair value option for financial instruments held by its consolidated CLOs, which includes investments in loans and corporate bonds, as well as debt obligations and contingent obligations held by such consolidated CLOs. Other assets include amounts due from brokers and interest receivables. Other liabilities include payables for securities purchased, which represent open trades within the consolidated VIEs and primarily relate to corporate loans that are expected to settle within the next 60 days. From time to time, Apollo makes investments in certain consolidated CLOs denominated in foreign currencies. As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had invested \$42.3 million and \$47.4 million, respectively, in consolidated foreign currency denominated CLOs, which eliminates in consolidation. Investment in Champ L.P.

On September 30, 2014, the Company, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, acquired a 25.6% ownership interest in Champ L.P. following which a wholly-owned subsidiary of Champ L.P. then acquired a 35% ownership interest in KBC Bank Deutschland AG ("KBC Bank"), the German subsidiary of Belgian KBC Group NV (the "KBC Transaction").

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Following the closing of the transaction, KBC Bank was renamed Bremer Kreditbank AG and the bank began to operate under the name BKB Bank. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had invested \$18.2 million in Champ L.P. The Company, together with other affiliated investors which are not consolidated, in aggregate, own 100% of Champ L.P.

- 159-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The Company, through its aforementioned wholly-owned subsidiary, is the general partner and primary beneficiary of Champ L.P., which meets the definition of a VIE. Accordingly, the Company has consolidated Champ L.P. in accordance with the policy described in note 2. The Company's investment in Champ L.P. is eliminated in consolidation.

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

The following table presents net gains (losses) from investment activities of the consolidated VIEs for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net unrealized gains (losses) from investment activities	\$9,021	\$(317,591)	\$(33,275)
Net realized gains from investment activities	6,766	79,057	87,472
Net gains (losses) from investment activities	15,787	(238,534)	54,197
Net unrealized gains (losses) from debt	3,057	809	(232,509)
Net realized gains from debt	—	101,745	137,098
Net gains from debt	3,057	102,554	(95,411)
Interest and other income	37,404	666,486	674,324
Interest and other expenses	(37,198)	(507,942)	(433,368)
Net Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities	\$19,050	\$22,564	\$199,742

Senior Secured Notes and Subordinated Notes—Included within debt are amounts due to third-party institutions by the consolidated VIEs. The following table summarizes the principal provisions of the debt of the consolidated VIEs as of December 31, 2015 and 2014:

	As of December 31, 2015			As of December 31, 2014		
	Principal Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years	Principal Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years
Senior Secured Notes ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	\$735,792	2.17 %	12.1	\$13,459,387	1.60 %	7.8
Subordinated Notes ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	82,365	N/A	⁽¹⁾ 15.1	1,183,834	N/A	⁽¹⁾ 9.0
Total	\$818,157			\$14,643,221		

(1) The subordinated notes do not have contractual interest rates but instead receive distributions from the excess cash flows of the VIEs.

(2) The fair value of Senior Secured Notes and Subordinated Notes as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$801.3 million and \$14,123.1 million, respectively.

(3) The debt at fair value of the consolidated VIEs is collateralized by assets of the consolidated VIEs and assets of one vehicle may not be used to satisfy the liabilities of another vehicle. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the fair value of the consolidated VIE assets was \$1,030.8 million and \$17,070.8 million, respectively. This collateral consisted of cash and cash equivalents, investments, at fair value, and other assets.

The consolidated VIEs' debt obligations contain various customary loan covenants as described above. As of December 31, 2015, the Company was not aware of any instances of non-compliance with any of these covenants.

- 160-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

As of December 31, 2015, the table below presents the contractual maturities for debt of the consolidated VIEs:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Thereafter	Total
Senior Secured Notes	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$735,792	\$735,792
Subordinated Notes	—	—	—	—	—	82,365	82,365
Total Obligations as of December 31, 2015	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$818,157	\$818,157

Variable Interest Entities Which are Not Consolidated

The Company holds variable interests in certain VIEs which are not consolidated, as it has been determined that Apollo is not the primary beneficiary.

The following tables present the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities of the VIEs for which Apollo has concluded that it holds a significant variable interest, but that it is not the primary beneficiary as of December 31, 2015 and 2014. In addition, the tables present the maximum exposure to losses relating to these VIEs. As noted earlier, as a result of the adoption of the FASB's new consolidation guidance, the Company is no longer considered to have a variable interest in many of the entities that it manages where its sole interest in an entity is either through carried interest, performance fees or other indirect interests which are not considered to absorb more than an insignificant amount of expected losses or returns of the entity.

	As of December 31, 2015		
Total	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Apollo Exposure
	\$5,378,456	(1) \$1,626,743	(2) \$202,146 (3)

(1) Consists of \$219.8 million in cash, \$5,149.0 million in investments and \$9.6 million in receivables.

(2) Represents \$1,626.7 million in debt and other payables.

Represents Apollo's direct equity method investment in those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest. Additionally, cumulative carried interest income is subject to reversal in the event of future losses. The (3) maximum amount of future reversal of carried interest income from all of Apollo's funds, including those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest, was \$2.4 billion as of December 31, 2015 as discussed in note 16.

	As of December 31, 2014		
Total	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Apollo Exposure
	\$11,676,038	(1) \$729,515	(2) \$30,752 (3)

(1) Consists of \$794.5 million in cash, \$10,456.0 million in investments and \$425.6 million in receivables.

(2) Represents \$362.0 million in debt and other payables, \$359.4 million in securities sold, not purchased, and \$8.2 million in capital withdrawals payable.

Represents Apollo's direct equity method investment in those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest. Additionally, cumulative carried interest income is subject to reversal in the event of future losses. The (3) maximum amount of future reversal of carried interest income from all of Apollo's funds, including those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest, was \$2.9 billion as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

6. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following tables summarize the valuation of the Company's financial assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected by the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

	As of December 31, 2015				Cost of Investments, at Fair Value
	Level I ⁽⁵⁾	Level II ⁽⁵⁾	Level III	Total	
Assets					
Investments, at fair value:					
Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund	\$—	\$26,913	\$1,634	\$28,547	\$29,344
Other investments	—	—	434	434	831
Investment in Athene Holding ⁽¹⁾	—	—	510,099	510,099	387,526
Total investments, at fair value	—	26,913	512,167	539,080	⁽⁶⁾ \$417,701
Investments of VIEs, at fair value ⁽³⁾	—	803,412	107,154	910,566	
Total Assets	\$—	\$830,325	\$619,321	\$1,449,646	
Liabilities					
Liabilities of VIEs, at fair value ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$—	\$801,270	\$11,411	\$812,681	
Contingent consideration obligations ⁽²⁾	—	—	79,579	79,579	
Total Liabilities	\$—	\$801,270	\$90,990	\$892,260	
As of December 31, 2014					
	Level I ⁽⁵⁾	Level II ⁽⁵⁾	Level III	Total	Cost of Investments, at Fair Value
Assets					
Investments, at fair value:					
Investment in AAA Investments	\$—	\$—	\$2,144,118	\$2,144,118	\$1,494,358
Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund	—	25,537	4,359	29,896	30,100
Other investments	—	—	600	600	3,318
Investment in Athene Holding ⁽¹⁾	—	—	324,514	324,514	324,293
Total investments, at fair value	—	25,537	2,473,591	2,499,128	⁽⁶⁾ \$1,852,069
AAA/Athene Receivable ⁽¹⁾	—	—	61,292	61,292	
Investments of VIEs, at fair value ⁽³⁾	176	13,135,564	2,522,913	15,658,653	
Total Assets	\$176	\$13,161,101	\$5,057,796	\$18,219,073	
Liabilities					
Liabilities of VIEs, at fair value ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$—	\$1,793,353	\$12,343,021	\$14,136,374	
Contingent consideration obligations ⁽²⁾	—	—	96,126	96,126	
Total Liabilities	\$—	\$1,793,353	\$12,439,147	\$14,232,500	

Explanation of Responses:

- (1) See note 15 for further disclosure regarding the investment in Athene Holding and the AAA/Athene receivable.
- (2) See note 16 for further disclosure regarding contingent consideration obligations.
- (3) See note 5 for further disclosure regarding VIEs.

(4) As of December 31, 2015, liabilities of VIEs, at fair value included debt and other liabilities of \$801.3 million and \$11.4 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, liabilities of VIEs, at fair value included debt and other liabilities of \$14,123.1 million and \$13.3 million, respectively. Other liabilities include contingent obligations classified as Level III.

(5) All Level I and Level II investments and liabilities were valued using third party pricing.

(6) See note 4 to our consolidated financial statements for further detail regarding our investments at fair value and reconciliation to the consolidated statements of financial condition.

- 162-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

There were no transfers of financial assets into Level I for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. In addition, there were no transfers of financial liabilities between Level I and Level II for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The following table summarizes the transfers of financial assets from Level I into Level II for positions that existed as of the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Transfers from Level I into Level II	\$—	\$4,084

Transfers were a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these investments to various criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

The following tables summarize the changes in fair value in financial assets measured at fair value for which Level III inputs have been used to determine fair value for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

	Investment in AAA Investments	Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund	Other Investments	Investment in Athene Holding	AAA/Athene Receivable	Investment in RCAP	Investments of Consolidated VIEs	Total
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$2,144,118	\$4,359	\$600	\$324,514	\$61,292	\$—	\$2,522,913	\$5,057,796
Adoption of accounting guidance	(2,144,118)	—	—	—	—	—	(2,399,130)	(4,543,248)
Fees	—	—	—	—	1,942	—	—	1,942
Purchases	—	5,913	272	—	—	25,000	44,116	75,301
Sales of investments/distributions	—	(6,996)	(115)	—	—	(25,667)	(36,909)	(69,687)
Net realized gains/accrued interest	—	48	—	—	—	667	5,539	6,254
Changes in net unrealized gains (losses)	—	(263)	(323)	122,351	—	—	8,816	130,581
Cumulative translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	—	(12,111)	(12,111)
Transfer into Level III ⁽¹⁾	—	5,439	—	—	—	—	59,316	64,755
Transfer out of Level III ⁽¹⁾	—	(6,866)	—	—	—	—	(85,396)	(92,262)
Settlement of receivable ⁽²⁾	—	—	—	63,234	(63,234)	—	—	—
Balance, End of Period	\$—	\$1,634	\$434	\$510,099	\$—	\$—	\$107,154	\$619,321
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) included in net gains (losses) from investment activities related to investments	\$—	\$(677)	\$(323)	\$122,351	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$121,351

Explanation of Responses:

still held at reporting date
 Change in net unrealized
 gains included in Net
 Gains from Investment
 Activities of
 Consolidated VIEs
 related to investments
 still held at reporting date

—	—	—	—	—	—	8,963	8,963
---	---	---	---	---	---	-------	-------

Transfers between Level II and III were a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these financial assets to various (1) criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

(2) See note 15 for further disclosure regarding the settlement of the AAA/Athene receivable and the investment in Athene Holding.

- 163-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2014							
	Investment in AAA Investments	Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund	Other Investments	Athene and AAA Services Derivatives	Investment in Athene Holding	AAA/Athene Receivable	Investments Consolidated VIEs	Total
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$1,942,051	\$892	\$40,373	\$130,709	\$—	\$—	\$1,919,537	\$4,033,562
Elimination of investments attributable to consolidation of VIEs	—	—	—	—	—	—	19,187	19,187
Fees	—	—	—	60,422	—	178,332	—	238,754
Purchases	—	4,707	1,844	—	2,080	—	1,036,810	1,045,441
Sales of investments/distributions	(2,500)	(1,543)	(51,052)	—	—	—	(825,429)	(880,524)
Net realized gains (losses)	—	10	(12,871)	24,242	—	—	20,972	32,353
Changes in net unrealized gains (losses)	204,567	(66)	22,306	(10,203)	224	—	(9,302)	207,526
Cumulative translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	—	(5,834)	(5,834)
Transfer into Level III ⁽¹⁾	—	1,594	—	—	—	—	1,413,688	1,415,282
Transfer out of Level III ⁽¹⁾	—	(1,235)	—	—	—	—	(1,046,716)	(1,047,951)
Settlement of derivatives ⁽²⁾	—	—	—	(205,170)	322,210	(117,040)	—	—
Balance, End of Period	\$2,144,118	\$4,359	\$600	\$—	\$324,514	\$61,292	\$2,522,913	\$5,057,796
Change in net unrealized gains included in Net Gains from Investment Activities related to investments still held at reporting date	\$204,567	\$(66)	\$580	\$—	\$224	\$—	\$—	\$205,305
Change in net unrealized gains included in Net Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated VIEs related to investments still held at reporting date	—	—	—	—	—	—	(52,485)	(52,485)

Transfers between Level II and III were a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these financial assets to various (1) criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

(2)

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

See note 15 for further disclosure regarding the settlement of the AAA/Athene receivable and the investment in Athene Holding.

	For the Year Ended December 31,			2014		
	2015		Total	2014		Total
	Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	Contingent Consideration Obligations	Total	Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	Contingent Consideration Obligations	Total
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$12,343,021	\$96,126	\$12,439,147	\$9,994,147	\$135,511	\$10,129,658
Elimination of debt attributable to consolidation of VIEs	—	—	—	13,493	—	13,493
Adoption of accounting guidance	(11,433,815)	—	(11,433,815)	—	—	—
Additions	—	—	—	3,965,725	—	3,965,725
Payments/Extinguishment ⁽⁴⁾	—	(15,743)	(15,743)	(1,551,533)	(50,666)	(1,602,199)
Net realized gains	—	—	—	(101,745)	—	(101,745)
Changes in net unrealized (gains) losses ⁽²⁾	(8,244)	(804)	(9,048)	(25,685)	11,281	(14,404)
Cumulative translation adjustment	(92,593)	—	(92,593)	(71,558)	—	(71,558)
Transfers into Level III	—	—	—	500,837	⁽¹⁾ —	500,837
Transfers out of Level III	(796,958)	⁽³⁾ —	(796,958)	(380,660)	⁽¹⁾ —	(380,660)
Balance, End of Period	\$11,411	\$79,579	\$90,990	\$12,343,021	\$96,126	\$12,439,147
Change in net unrealized gains included in Net Gains from Investment Activities of consolidated VIEs related to liabilities still held at reporting date	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$(113,874)	\$—	\$(113,874)

Transfers between Level II and III were a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these financial liabilities to (1) various criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

(2) Changes in fair value of contingent consideration obligations are recorded in profit sharing expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Upon adoption of new accounting guidance (see note 2), the debt obligations of consolidated CLOs are no longer categorized as Level III financial liabilities under the fair value hierarchy. Effective January 1, 2015, these (3) financial liabilities are measured and leveled on the basis of the fair value of the financial assets of the consolidated CLOs and were categorized as Level II as of December 31, 2015.

(4) For the year ended December 31, 2014, includes a \$13.4 million extinguishment of contingent consideration obligations, which is recorded in other income on the consolidated statements of operations.

- 164-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables summarize the quantitative inputs and assumptions used for financial assets and liabilities categorized as Level III under the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

As of December 31, 2015

	Fair Value	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Ranges	Weighted Average
Financial Assets					
Investments of Consolidated Apollo Funds:					
Apollo Senior Loan Fund	\$1,634	Third Party Pricing ⁽¹⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Investments in Other	434	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Investment in Athene Holding	510,099	Book Value Multiple	Book Value Multiple	1.18x	1.18x
Investments of Consolidated VIEs:					
Bank Debt Term Loans	15,776	Third Party Pricing ⁽¹⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Corporate Loans/Bonds/CLO Notes	22,409	Third Party Pricing ⁽¹⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Equity Securities	62,756	Market Comparable Companies	Comparable Multiples	0.60x	0.60x
Other	6,213	Discounted Cash Flow Net Asset Value	Discount Rate	14.6%	14.6%
Total Investments of Consolidated VIEs	107,154				
Total Financial Assets	\$619,321				
Financial Liabilities					
Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs:					
Contingent Obligation	\$11,411	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Contingent Consideration Obligation	79,579	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	11.0% - 18.5%	17.0%
Total Financial Liabilities	\$90,990				

(1) These securities are valued primarily using unadjusted broker quotes.

- 165-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	As of December 31, 2014				
	Fair Value	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Ranges	Weighted Average
Financial Assets					
Investments of Consolidated Apollo Funds:					
AAA Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$2,144,118	Net Asset Value	N/A	N/A	N/A
Apollo Senior Loan Fund	4,359	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Investments	600	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Investment in Athene Holding	324,514	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	15.0%	15.0%
AAA/Athene Receivable	61,292	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	15.0%	15.0%
Investments of Consolidated VIEs:					
	1,340,296	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bank Debt Term Loans	87,314	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	7.1% - 14.0%	8.4%
Corporate Loans/Bonds/CLO Notes ⁽³⁾					
	1,009,873	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
	930	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Equity Securities	4,610	Market Comparable Companies	Comparable Multiples	5.8x	5.8x
	58,923	Transaction	Purchase Price	N/A	N/A
	20,967	Transaction	Implied Multiple	5.2x	5.2x
Total Investments of Consolidated VIEs	2,522,913				
Total Financial Assets	\$5,057,796				
Financial Liabilities					
Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs:					
			Discount Rate	10.0% - 12.5%	11.5%
Subordinated Notes	\$908,831	Discounted Cash Flow	Default Rate	1.0% - 2.0%	1.7%
			Recovery Rate	75.0%	75.0%
Subordinated Notes	106,090	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Senior Secured Notes	9,283,534	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Discount Rate	1.6% - 1.8%	1.7%
Senior Secured and Subordinated Notes	2,031,292	Discounted Cash Flow	Default Rate	2.0%	2.0%
			Recovery Rate	15.0% - 75.0%	69.0%
Contingent Obligation	13,274	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	12,343,021				
Contingent Consideration Obligation	96,126	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	11.0% - 18.5%	15.7%
Total Financial Liabilities	\$12,439,147				

(1)

Explanation of Responses:

The net asset value of the underlying securities held by AAA Investments represents its sole investment in Athene, offset by other net liabilities. The investment in Athene was valued at \$2,244.2 million as of December 31, 2014 using the embedded value method based on the present value of the future expected regulatory distributable income generated by the net assets of Athene plus the excess capital (i.e., the capital in excess of what is required to be held against Athene's liabilities). The unobservable inputs and respective ranges used are the same as noted for the Investment in Athene Holding and the AAA/Athene Receivable in the table above. See note 15 for discussion of the investment in Athene Holding.

(2) These securities are valued primarily using unadjusted broker quotes.

Balance includes investments in an affiliated fund, which primarily invests in corporate loans, bonds, and CLO

(3) notes. Balance at December 31, 2014 includes investments in an affiliated fund in the amount of \$865.9 million, which were valued based on NAV.

Investment in Athene Holding and AAA/Athene Receivable

The Company elected the fair value option for its investment in Athene Holding at the time of settlement of the derivative contract between Athene and Apollo (the "Athene Services Derivative") and the derivative contract between AAA Investments and Apollo (the "AAA Services Derivative"). The Company has classified this investment as a Level III asset in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. The investment is valued based on the price of a common share of Athene Holding. During the third quarter of 2015, the Company changed the valuation method used to value its investment in Athene Holding from the embedded value approach to the GAAP book value multiple approach. This change was driven by developments in Athene's business as noted below.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Athene's business was principally built through a series of acquisitions of individual portfolios of fixed index annuities since its inception in 2009. As of and prior to June 30, 2015, in valuing Apollo's investment in Athene Holding, the embedded value method was employed to determine the fair value of shares in Athene Holding in periods where there was not an observable market value. The embedded value methodology is widely used by market participants in the insurance industry in private company acquisitions of individual portfolios of annuities. The embedded value method estimates the present value of the future expected regulatory distributable income generated by the net assets plus the excess capital (i.e., the capital in excess of what is required to be held against liabilities) in determining fair value. Thus the embedded value method, as historically applied to the Athene valuation, was used to derive a value of Athene's existing block of business as well as the value of undeployed capital equivalent to the excess capital held. As of June 30, 2015 and prior, Apollo also calculated an implied U.S. GAAP book value multiple for Athene, based on a projected U.S. GAAP book value, and compared that multiple to Athene's publicly traded insurance peers as a secondary valuation point to assess the reasonableness of the valuation derived under the embedded value method. As of December 31, 2015, the fair value of Apollo's investment in Athene Holding was estimated under the U.S. GAAP book value multiple approach by applying a book value multiple to the U.S. GAAP book value per share of Athene Holding. The conversion price for all Athene management incentive shares granted was added to Athene's U.S. GAAP book value excluding accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") for purposes of determining U.S. GAAP book value per share. Apollo calculated a multiple for public company peers of Athene by dividing each peer's market capitalization by its reported U.S. GAAP equity, excluding AOCI. A regression analysis was then prepared based on the calculated multiple of each peer relative to its expected return on U.S. GAAP equity, excluding AOCI, relative to Athene. From this analysis, a comparable book value multiple for Athene was derived and then appropriately discounted to factor in the projected time frame of an initial public offering ("IPO") of Athene and subsequent liquidity of shares (taking into consideration any post-IPO lock-up restrictions on the shares). As a result of the above analysis, Apollo concluded it was appropriate to apply a multiple of 1.18 to Athene's U.S. GAAP book value per share, in estimating the value per share of Athene Holding at December 31, 2015.

The unrealized gain recorded during the year ended December 31, 2015 was driven by activity as Athene continued to evolve its business model and position itself for becoming a public company, including achieving "A-" ratings from all of Athene's three ratings agencies, hiring a new President and CFO, investing in a broad-based marketing campaign for its retail product offering, launching a Funding Agreement Backed Note ("FABN") program, and diversifying into new businesses via the closing of the acquisition of Athene Germany. Further, during the year ended December 31, 2015, Athene published its 2014 audited U.S. GAAP financial statements and issued its unaudited U.S. GAAP financial statements for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 (which facilitated the ability to use a book value multiple as a primary methodology). All of these activities are drivers of incremental value that occurred during 2015. The embedded valuation methodology is well suited for valuing individual insurance portfolios, however, management believes the book value multiple methodology best reflects the fair value of Athene going forward given the evolution of Athene's business in 2015. The U.S. GAAP book value multiple also serves as a common industry benchmark for Athene's public insurance company peers. In addition, as a secondary valuation consideration, the Company performed analysis under other methodologies including price to earnings multiple and embedded value approaches which supported the reasonableness of the fair market value estimate by the book value multiple method. As of December 31, 2015, the significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the investment in Athene Holding was the U.S. GAAP book value multiple. This input in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when the U.S. GAAP book value multiple method is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the U.S. GAAP book value multiple itself. An increase in the U.S. GAAP book value multiple can significantly increase the fair value of an investment; conversely a decrease in the U.S. GAAP book value multiple can significantly decrease the fair value of an investment. The sensitivity of the valuation to changes in the multiple is directly proportional to the change in the multiple itself.

As of December 31, 2014, Athene's fair value was determined using the embedded value method which was based on the present value of the future expected regulatory distributable income generated by the net assets of Athene plus the excess capital (i.e., the capital in excess of what is required to be held against Athene's liabilities). The net assets of Athene consist of the current and projected assets less the current and projected liabilities related to in force insurance contracts. For purposes of the excess capital calculation the assets are valued at fair value using the Company's valuation methodology. The approach of using actuarially projected asset and liability income to value an insurance company is widely used by market participants in the insurance industry, particularly in private company acquisitions. The embedded value of the in force insurance contracts incorporates actuarial projections of expected income utilizing most recently available policyholder contract and experience data, industry information and assumptions, general economic and market conditions, and other factors deemed relevant, including the cost of capital. In addition, consideration is also given to comparable company multiples in the determination of fair value.

- 167-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

As of December 31, 2014, the significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the investment in Athene Holding was the discount rate applied in the valuation model. This input in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. An increase in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of an investment; conversely a decrease in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of an investment. The discount rate is determined based on the expected required rate of return based on the risk profile of similar cash flows.

Apollo Senior Loan Fund

The Company is the sole investor in the Apollo Senior Loan Fund and therefore consolidates the assets and liabilities of the fund. The fund invests in U.S. denominated senior secured loans, senior secured bonds and other income generating fixed-income investments.

Consolidated VIEs

Investments

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the bank debt term loans and equity securities include the discount rate applied and the multiples applied in the valuation models. These unobservable inputs in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of an investment; conversely decreases in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of an investment. The discount rate is determined based on the market rates an investor would expect for a similar investment with similar risks. When a comparable multiple model is used to determine fair value, the comparable multiples are generally multiplied by the underlying companies' earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") to establish the total enterprise value of the company. The comparable multiple is determined based on the implied trading multiple of public industry peers.

Liabilities

As of December 31, 2015, due to the adoption of new accounting guidance (see note 2), the debt obligations of the consolidated CLOs were measured on the basis of the fair value of the financial assets of the CLOs as the financial assets were determined to be more observable and, as a result, categorized as Level II in the fair value hierarchy. As of December 31, 2014, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the subordinated and senior secured notes include the discount rate applied in the valuation models, default and recovery rates applied in the valuation models. These inputs in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of subordinated and senior secured notes; conversely a decrease in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of subordinated and senior secured notes. The discount rate is determined based on the market rates an investor would expect for similar subordinated and senior secured notes with similar risks.

Contingent Consideration Obligations

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the contingent consideration obligations is the discount rate applied in the valuation models. This input in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations; conversely a decrease in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations. The discount rate was based on the weighted average cost of capital for the Company. See note 16 for further discussion of the

contingent consideration obligations.

- 168-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

7. CARRIED INTEREST RECEIVABLE

Carried interest receivable from private equity, credit and real estate funds consisted of the following:

	As of December 31, 2015	As of December 31, 2014
Private Equity	\$373,871	\$672,119
Credit	240,844	226,430
Real Estate	29,192	13,117
Total carried interest receivable	\$643,907	\$911,666

The table below provides a roll-forward of the carried interest receivable balance for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Private Equity	Credit	Real Estate	Total
Carried interest receivable, January 1, 2014	\$1,867,771	\$408,342	\$10,962	\$2,287,075
Change in fair value of funds	231,983	159,350	6,104	397,437
Fund cash distributions to the Company	(1,427,635)	(341,262)	(3,949)	(1,772,846)
Carried interest receivable, December 31, 2014	\$672,119	\$226,430	\$13,117	\$911,666
Change in fair value of funds	42,016	126,426	13,074	181,516
Fund distributions to the Company	(340,264)	(152,370)	(4,035)	(496,669)
Adoption of new accounting guidance	—	40,358	7,036	47,394
Carried interest receivable, December 31, 2015	\$373,871	\$240,844	\$29,192	\$643,907

The change in fair value of funds includes the reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of a fund's net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement of the fund. See note 15 for further disclosure regarding the general partner obligation.

The timing of the payment of carried interest due to the general partner or investment manager varies depending on the terms of the applicable fund agreements. Generally, carried interest with respect to the private equity funds and certain credit and real estate funds is payable and is distributed to the fund's general partner upon realization of an investment if the fund's cumulative returns are in excess of the preferred return. For most credit funds, carried interest is payable based on realizations after the end of the relevant fund's fiscal year or fiscal quarter, subject to certain return thresholds, or "high water marks," having been achieved.

8. PROFIT SHARING PAYABLE

Profit sharing payable from private equity, credit and real estate funds consisted of the following:

	As of December 31, 2015	As of December 31, 2014
Private Equity	\$118,963	\$240,595
Credit	165,392	186,307
Real Estate	11,319	7,950
Total profit sharing payable	\$295,674	\$434,852

Explanation of Responses:

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The table below provides a roll-forward of the profit sharing payable balance for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Private Equity	Credit	Real Estate	Total
Profit sharing payable, January 1, 2014	\$751,192	\$234,504	\$6,544	\$992,240
Profit sharing expense ⁽¹⁾	178,373	95,070	2,747	276,190
Payments/other	(688,970)) (143,267) (1,341) (833,578
Profit sharing payable, December 31, 2014	\$240,595	\$186,307	\$7,950	\$434,852
Profit sharing expense ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	52,807	42,172	5,076	100,055
Payments/other	(174,439)) (63,087) (1,707) (239,233
Profit sharing payable, December 31, 2015	\$118,963	\$165,392	\$11,319	\$295,674

(1) Includes (i) changes in amounts payable to employees and former employees entitled to a share of carried interest income in Apollo's funds and (ii) changes to the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations recognized in connection with certain Apollo acquisitions. See notes 6 and 16 for further disclosure regarding the contingent consideration obligations.

(2) The Company has recorded a receivable from the Contributing Partners and certain employees and former employees for the potential return of profit sharing distributions that would be due if certain funds were liquidated as of December 31, 2015. See note 15 for further disclosure.

9. OTHER ASSETS

Other assets consisted of the following:

	As of December 31,	
	2015	2014
Fixed assets	\$105,439	\$104,617
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(73,803) (68,711
Fixed assets, net	31,636	35,906
Prepaid expenses	48,421	32,873
Tax receivables	4,466	23,286
Interest Receivable	105	11,059
Other	11,216	11,117
Total Other Assets	\$95,844	\$114,241

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$10.5 million, \$10.2 million and \$11.0 million, respectively.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

10. OTHER INCOME, NET

Other income, net consisted of the following:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Tax receivable agreement adjustment	\$—	\$32,182	\$13,038
Gain on derivatives	—	14,039	10,203
Gain (Loss) on extinguishment of liability/debt	—	13,395	(2,741)
Rental income	4,349	5,566	5,334
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	1,719	(7,131)	4,142
Loss on assets held for sale	—	—	(1,087)
Other	1,605	2,541	11,225
Total Other Income, Net	\$7,673	\$60,592	\$40,114

11. INCOME TAXES

The Company is treated as a partnership for income tax purposes and is therefore not subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes. APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is subject to U.S. federal, state and local corporate income taxes. Certain other subsidiaries of the Company are subject to NYC UBT attributable to the Company's operations apportioned to New York City. In addition, certain non-U.S. subsidiaries of the Company are subject to income taxes in their local jurisdictions.

The Company's provision for income taxes totaled \$26.7 million, \$147.2 million and \$107.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate was approximately 7.1%, 16.8% and 4.3% for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The provision for income taxes is presented in the following table:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Current:			
Federal income tax	\$(10,108)	\$53,426	\$30,422
Foreign income tax	7,842	(1) 6,080	4,733
State and local income tax	2,573	7,369	9,728
Subtotal	307	66,875	44,883
Deferred:			
Federal income tax	19,581	28,702	40,955
Foreign income tax	(256)	(1) (137)	130
State and local income tax	7,101	51,805	21,601
Subtotal	26,426	80,370	62,686
Total Income Tax Provision	\$26,733	\$147,245	\$107,569

(1) The foreign income tax provision was calculated on \$27.6 million of pre-tax income generated in foreign jurisdictions.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table reconciles the provision for taxes to the U.S. Federal statutory tax rate:

	For the Year Ended December 31,					
	2015		2014		2013	
U.S. Statutory Tax Rate	35.0		% 35.0		% 35.0	%
Income Passed Through to Non-Controlling Interests	(26.4)	(23.4)	(24.1)
Income Passed Through to Class A Shareholders	(4.4)	0.1		(7.9)
Equity Based Compensation - AOG Units	—		—		0.2	
Foreign Income Tax	1.1		0.4		0.1	
State and Local Income Taxes (net of Federal Benefit)	2.1		4.7		1.1	
Amortization & Other Accrual Adjustments	(0.3)	—		(0.1)
Effective Income Tax Rate	7.1		% 16.8		% 4.3	%

Deferred income taxes are provided for the effects of temporary differences between the tax basis of an asset or liability and its reported amount in the consolidated statements of financial condition. These temporary differences result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years.

The Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities on the consolidated statements of financial condition consist of the following:

	As of December 31,	
	2015	2014
Deferred Tax Assets:		
Depreciation and amortization	\$567,018	\$543,288
Revenue recognition	31,363	40,250
Net operating loss carryforwards	47,139	—
Equity-based compensation - RSUs and AAA RDUs	4,551	35,678
Foreign tax credit	8,996	3,457
Other	5,472	1,437
Total Deferred Tax Assets	664,539	624,110
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		
Unrealized gains from investments	13,274	13,053
Other	5,058	4,340
Total Deferred Tax Liabilities	\$18,332	\$17,393

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had approximately \$121.3 million of federal net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards and \$94.8 million of state and local net operating loss carryforwards that will begin to expire in 2036. As a result of certain realization requirements of ASC 718, the table of deferred tax assets and liabilities does not include certain deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2015 that arose directly from tax deductions related to equity-based compensation greater than compensation recognized for financial reporting. Equity will be increased by \$22.3 million if and when such excess tax benefits are ultimately realized. The Company uses tax law ordering when determining when excess tax benefits have been realized. In addition, the Company's foreign tax credit carryforwards will begin to expire in 2021.

The Company considered its historical and current year earnings, current utilization of existing deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities, the 15 year amortization periods of the tax basis of its intangible assets and short and long term business forecasts in evaluating whether it should establish a valuation allowance. Based on this positive evidence, the Company concluded it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized and that no valuation

allowance was needed at December 31, 2015.

- 172-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Under U.S. GAAP, a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Based upon the Company's review of its federal, state, local and foreign income tax returns and tax filing positions, the Company determined that no unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions were required to be recorded. In addition, the Company does not believe that it has any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that it will be required to record significant amounts of unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months.

The Company's primary jurisdictions in which it operates are the United States, New York State, New York City, California and the United Kingdom. In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to examination by federal and certain state, local and foreign tax authorities. With a few exceptions, as of December 31, 2015, the Company's U.S. federal, state, local and foreign income tax returns for the years 2012 through 2015 are open under the general statute of limitations provisions and therefore subject to examination. Currently, the Internal Revenue Service is examining the tax return of a subsidiary for the 2012 tax year. The State and City of New York are examining certain subsidiaries' tax returns for tax years 2011 and 2013, and the City of Los Angeles is examining certain subsidiaries' tax returns for the years 2011 to 2013. Additionally, the Company completed the Internal Revenue Service examination of the tax return for 2011 for Apollo Global Management, LLC with no change.

The Company has recorded a deferred tax asset for the future amortization of tax basis intangibles as a result of the 2007 Reorganization. The Company recorded additional deferred tax assets as a result of the step-up in tax basis of intangibles from subsequent exchanges of AOG Units for Class A shares. A related tax receivable agreement liability was recorded in due to affiliates in the consolidated statements of financial condition for the expected payments under the tax receivable agreement entered into by and among APO Corp., the Managing Partners, the Contributing Partners, and other parties thereto (as amended, the "tax receivable agreement") (see note 15). The increases in the deferred tax asset less the related liability resulted in increases to additional paid-in capital which were recorded in the consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The amortization period for these tax basis intangibles is 15 years and the deferred tax assets will reverse over the same period.

The tables below present the transactions related to the exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 and the resulting impact to the deferred tax asset, tax receivable agreement liability and additional paid-in capital.

Exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares	Increase in Deferred Tax Asset	Increase in Tax Receivable Agreement Liability	Increase to Additional Paid In Capital
For the Year Ended December 31, 2015	\$61,720	\$45,432	\$16,288
For the Year Ended December 31, 2014	58,696	47,878	10,818
For the Year Ended December 31, 2013	149,327	126,928	22,399

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company adjusted the estimated rate of tax it expects to pay in the future and thereby reduced its net deferred tax assets, and increased its income tax provision, by \$36.2 million and \$16.9 million, respectively (see note 15 for details regarding the impact on the tax receivable agreement liability).

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

12. DEBT

Debt consisted of the following:

	As of December 31, 2015		As of December 31, 2014	
	Outstanding Balance	Annualized Weighted Average Interest Rate	Outstanding Balance	Annualized Weighted Average Interest Rate
2013 AMH Credit Facilities - Term Facility ⁽¹⁾	\$499,327	1.44 %	\$499,107	1.36 %
2024 Senior Notes ⁽²⁾	494,555	4.00	493,902	4.00
2014 AMI Term Facility I ⁽³⁾	14,543	2.15	16,204	2.34
2014 AMI Term Facility II ⁽⁴⁾	16,830	1.85	18,752	1.93
Total Debt	\$1,025,255		\$1,027,965	

(1) Outstanding balance is presented net of unamortized debt issuance costs of \$0.7 million and \$0.9 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

(2) Includes impact of any amortization of note discount. Outstanding balance is presented net of unamortized debt issuance costs of \$4.6 million and \$5.2 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

(3) On July 3, 2014, Apollo Management International LLP ("AMI"), a subsidiary of the Company, entered into a €13.4 million five year credit agreement (the "2014 AMI Term Facility I"). Proceeds from the borrowing were used to fund the Company's investment in a European CLO it manages.

(4) On December 9, 2014, AMI entered into a €15.5 million five year credit agreement (the "2014 AMI Term Facility II"). Proceeds from the borrowing were used to fund the Company's investment in a European CLO it manages.

2007 AMH Credit Agreement—On April 20, 2007, Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. ("AMH"), a subsidiary of the Company which is a Delaware limited partnership, entered into a \$1.0 billion seven year credit agreement (the "2007 AMH Credit Agreement"). Interest payable under the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement was based on Eurodollar LIBOR or Alternate Base Rate ("ABR") as determined by the borrower. On December 20, 2010, Apollo amended the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement to extend the maturity date of \$995.0 million (including the \$90.9 million of fair value debt repurchased by the Company) of the term loan from April 20, 2014 to January 3, 2017 and modified certain other terms of the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement. On December 20, 2010, an affiliate of AMH that was a guarantor under the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement repurchased approximately \$180.8 million of the term loan in connection with the extension of the maturity date of such loan and thus the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement (excluding the portions held by AMH affiliates) had a remaining balance of \$728.3 million. Interest expense incurred by the Company related to the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement was \$28.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Amortization expense related to the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement was \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The outstanding loans under the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement were refinanced on December 18, 2013 with the net proceeds from the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities (as defined below). Additionally, the net proceeds were used to pay fees and expenses associated with the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities. The 2007 AMH Credit Agreement and all related loan documents and security with respect thereto were terminated in connection with the refinancing.

2013 AMH Credit Facilities—On December 18, 2013, AMH and its subsidiaries and certain other subsidiaries of the Company (collectively, the "Borrowers") entered into new credit facilities (the "2013 AMH Credit Facilities") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities provide for (i) a term loan facility to AMH (the "Term Facility") that includes \$750 million of the term loan from third-party lenders and \$271.7 million of the term loan held

by a subsidiary of the Company and (ii) a \$500 million revolving credit facility (the “Revolver Facility”), in each case, with a final maturity date of January 18, 2019.

Interest on the borrowings is based on an adjusted LIBOR rate or alternate base rate, in each case plus an applicable margin, and undrawn revolving commitments bear a commitment fee. Under the terms of the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities, the applicable margin ranges from 1.125% to 1.75% for LIBOR loans and 0.125% to 0.75% for alternate base rate loans, and the undrawn revolving commitment fee ranges from 0.125% to 0.25%, in each case depending on the Company’s corporate rating assigned by Standard & Poor’s Ratings Group, Inc. The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities do not require any scheduled amortization payments or other mandatory prepayments (except with respect to overadvances on the Revolver Facility) prior to the final maturity date, and the Borrowers may prepay the loans and/or terminate or reduce the revolving commitments under the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities at any time without penalty. In connection with the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes (as defined below), \$250 million

- 174-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

of the proceeds were used to repay a portion of the Term Facility outstanding with third party lenders at par. The interest rate on the \$500 million Term Facility as of December 31, 2015 was 1.65% and the commitment fee as of December 31, 2015 on the \$500 million undrawn Revolver Facility was 0.125%. Interest expense incurred by the Company related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities was \$7.8 million, \$9.0 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Debt issuance cost amortization expense related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities was \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt obligation related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities is approximately \$501.3 million based on obtained broker quotes as of December 31, 2015. The \$500.0 million carrying value of debt that is recorded on the consolidated statements of financial condition at December 31, 2015 is the amount for which the Company expects to settle the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities. The Company has determined that the long-term debt obligation related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities would be categorized as a Level III liability in the fair value hierarchy based on the number and quality of broker quotes obtained, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

As of December 31, 2015, the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities were guaranteed and collateralized by AMH and its subsidiaries, Apollo Management, L.P., Apollo Capital Management, L.P., Apollo International Management, L.P., AAA Holdings, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings X, L.P., ST Holdings GP, LLC and ST Management Holdings, LLC. The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities contain affirmative and negative covenants which limit the ability of the Borrowers, the guarantors and certain of their subsidiaries to, among other things, incur indebtedness and create liens. Additionally, the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities contain financial covenants which require the Borrowers and their subsidiaries to maintain (1) at least \$40 billion of Fee-Generating Assets Under Management and (2) a maximum total net leverage ratio of not more than 4.00 to 1.00 (subject to customary equity cure rights). The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities also contain customary events of default, including events of default arising from non-payment, material misrepresentations, breaches of covenants, cross default to material indebtedness, bankruptcy and changes in control of the Company.

Borrowings under the Revolver Facility may be used for working capital and general corporate purposes, including, without limitation, permitted acquisitions. In addition, the Borrowers may incur incremental facilities in respect of the Revolver Facility and the Term Facility in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$500 million plus additional amounts so long as the Borrowers are in compliance with a net leverage ratio not to exceed 3.75 to 1.00. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Revolver Facility was undrawn.

2024 Senior Notes—On May 30, 2014, AMH issued \$500 million in aggregate principal amount of its 4.000% Senior Notes due 2024 (the "2024 Senior Notes"), at an issue price of 99.722% of par. Interest on the 2024 Senior Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 30 and November 30 of each year. The 2024 Senior Notes will mature on May 30, 2024. The discount will be amortized into interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations over the term of the 2024 Senior Notes. Interest expense incurred by the Company related to the 2024 Senior Notes was \$20.0 million and \$11.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Prior to the adoption of the updated debt issuance cost guidance as described in note 2, the Company capitalized debt issuance costs of \$5.5 million incurred in connection with the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes, which was recorded in other assets in the consolidated statements of financial condition as of December 31, 2015, to be amortized over the term of the notes. As a result of the Company's adoption of the new accounting guidance, the Company has retrospectively adjusted the debt issuance costs that were initially capitalized and reported in other assets to debt as a

direct deduction of the carrying amount of the related debt arrangement. The debt issuance costs will continue to be amortized as an increase to interest expense over the term of the debt arrangement. As such, the debt issuance cost amortization expense related to the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes was \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

As of December 31, 2015, the 2024 Senior Notes were guaranteed by Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings X, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and any other entity that is required to become a guarantor of the notes under the terms of the indenture governing the 2024 Senior Notes (the "2024 Senior Notes Indenture"). The 2024 Senior Notes Indenture includes covenants that restrict the ability of AMH and, as applicable, the guarantors to incur

- 175-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

indebtedness secured by liens on voting stock or profit participating equity interests of their respective subsidiaries or merge, consolidate or sell, transfer or lease assets. The 2024 Senior Notes Indenture also provides for customary events of default.

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt obligation related to the 2024 Senior Notes is approximately \$495.3 million based on obtained broker quotes as of December 31, 2015. The face amount of \$500.0 million related to the 2024 Senior Notes is the amount for which the Company is obligated to settle the 2024 Senior Notes. The Company has determined that the long-term debt obligation related to the 2024 Senior Notes would be categorized as a Level II liability in the fair value hierarchy based on the number and quality of broker quotes obtained, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

As of December 31, 2015, the table below presents the contractual maturities for the Company's debt arrangements:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Thereafter	Total
2013 AMH Credit Facilities - Term Facility	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$500,000	\$—	\$—	\$500,000
2024 Senior Notes	—	—	—	—	—	500,000	\$500,000
2014 AMI Term Facility I	—	—	—	14,543	—	—	\$14,543
2014 AMI Term Facility II	—	—	—	16,830	—	—	\$16,830
Total Obligations as of December 31, 2015	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$531,373	\$—	\$500,000	\$1,031,373

13. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER CLASS A SHARE

The table below presents basic and diluted net income per Class A share using the two-class method for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

	Basic and Diluted		
	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Numerator:			
Net income attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$134,497	\$168,229	\$659,391
Distributions declared on Class A shares	(339,397) ⁽¹⁾	(483,458) ⁽¹⁾	(556,954) ⁽¹⁾
Distributions on participating securities ⁽⁴⁾	(28,497)	(72,074)	(93,235)
Earnings allocable to participating securities	— ⁽²⁾	— ⁽²⁾	(1,394)
Undistributed income (loss) attributable to Class A shareholders: Basic and Diluted	(233,397)	(387,303)	7,808
Dilution effect on undistributed income attributable to Class A shareholders	—	—	9,106
Dilution effect on distributable income attributable to participating securities	—	—	(1,329)
Undistributed income (loss) attributable to Class A shareholders: Diluted	\$(233,397)	\$(387,303)	\$15,585
Denominator:			
Weighted average number of Class A shares outstanding: Basic	173,271,666	155,349,017	139,173,386
Dilution effect of share options and unvested RSUs	—	—	3,040,964
Weighted average number of Class A shares outstanding: Diluted	173,271,666	155,349,017	142,214,350

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Net Income per Class A share: Basic			
Distributed Income	\$1.96	\$3.11	\$4.00
Undistributed Income (Loss)	(1.35)	(2.49)	0.06
Net Income per Class A Share: Basic	\$0.61	\$0.62	\$4.06
Net Income per Class A share: Diluted ⁽³⁾			
Distributed Income	\$1.96	\$3.11	\$3.92
Undistributed Income (Loss)	(1.35)	(2.49)	0.11
Net Income per Class A Share: Diluted	\$0.61	\$0.62	\$4.03

- 176-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

(1) See note 15 for information regarding the quarterly distributions declared and paid during 2015, 2014 and 2013.

(2) No allocation of undistributed losses was made to the participating securities as the holders do not have a contractual obligation to share in the losses of the Company with Class A shareholders.

For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had an undistributed loss attributable to Class A shareholders and none of the classes of securities resulted in dilution. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, all of the classes of securities were anti-dilutive. For the year ended December 31, 2013 share options and

(3) unvested RSUs were determined to be dilutive, and were accordingly included in the diluted earnings per share calculation. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the AOG Units and participating securities were determined to be anti-dilutive and were accordingly excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation.

(4) Participating securities consist of vested and unvested RSUs that have rights to distributions and unvested restricted shares.

The Company has granted RSUs that provide the right to receive, subject to vesting, Class A shares of Apollo Global Management, LLC, pursuant to the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. Certain RSU grants to employees provide the right to receive distribution equivalents on vested RSUs on an equal basis any time a distribution is declared. The Company refers to these RSU grants as "Plan Grants." For certain Plan Grants, distribution equivalents are paid in January of the calendar year next following the calendar year in which a distribution on Class A shares was declared. In addition, certain RSU grants to employees provide that both vested and unvested RSUs participate in distribution equivalents on an equal basis with the Class A shareholders any time a distribution is declared. The Company refers to these as "Bonus Grants."

Any distribution equivalent paid to an employee will not be returned to the Company upon forfeiture of the award by the employee. Vested and unvested RSUs that are entitled to non-forfeitable distribution equivalents qualify as participating securities and are included in the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share computations using the two-class method. The holder of an RSU participating security would have a contractual obligation to share in the losses of the entity if the holder is obligated to fund the losses of the issuing entity or if the contractual principal or mandatory redemption amount of the participating security is reduced as a result of losses incurred by the issuing entity. Because the RSU participating securities do not have a mandatory redemption amount and the holders of the participating securities are not obligated to fund losses, neither the vested RSUs nor the unvested RSUs are subject to any contractual obligation to share in losses of the Company.

Holders of AOG Units are subject to the vesting requirements and transfer restrictions set forth in the agreements with the respective holders, and may a limited number of times each year, upon notice (subject to the terms of the Exchange Agreement), exchange their AOG Units for Class A shares on a one-for-one basis. A limited partner must exchange one partnership unit in each of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships to effectuate an exchange for one Class A share.

Apollo Global Management, LLC has one Class B share outstanding, which is held by BRH Holdings GP, Ltd. ("BRH"). The voting power of the Class B share is reduced on a one vote per one AOG Unit basis in the event of an exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares, as discussed above. The Class B share has no net income (loss) per share as it does not participate in Apollo's earnings (losses) or distributions. The Class B share has no distribution or liquidation rights. The Class B share has voting rights on a pari passu basis with the Class A shares. The Class B share represented 61.4%, 65.4% and 69.3% of the total voting power of the Company's shares entitled to vote as of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The following table summarizes the anti-dilutive securities for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Weighted average vested RSUs	9,984,862	19,541,458	20,664,694

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Weighted average unvested RSUs	4,858,935	9,556,131	—
Weighted average unexercised options	227,086	548,441	—
Weighted average AOG Units outstanding	219,575,738	225,005,386	234,132,052
Weighted average unvested restricted shares	90,985	—	—

- 177-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The table below presents transactions in Class A shares each quarter during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, and the resulting impact on the Company's and Holdings' ownership interests in the Apollo Operating Group:

Date	Type of Class A Shares Transaction	Number of Shares Issued in Class A Shares Transaction (in thousands)	Apollo Global Management, LLC ownership% in Apollo Operating Group before Class A Shares Transaction	Apollo Global Management, LLC ownership% in Apollo Operating Group after Class A Shares Transaction	Holdings ownership% in Apollo Operating Group before Class A Shares Transaction	Holdings ownership% in Apollo Operating Group after Class A Shares Transaction
Quarter Ended March 31, 2013	Issuance	2,091	35.1%	35.5%	64.9%	64.5%
Quarter Ended June 30, 2013	Issuance/Offering	9,577	(1) 35.5	38.0	64.5	62.0
Quarter Ended September 30, 2013	Issuance	1,977	38.0	38.3	62.0	61.7
Quarter Ended December 31, 2013	Issuance/Exchange	2,581	(1) 38.3	39.0	61.7	61.0
Quarter Ended March 31, 2014	Issuance	2,672	39.0	39.4	61.0	60.6
Quarter Ended June 30, 2014	Issuance/Exchange	7,344	(1) 39.4	41.2	60.6	58.8
Quarter Ended September 30, 2014	Issuance	3,660	41.2	41.8	58.8	58.2
Quarter Ended December 31, 2014	Issuance/Exchange	3,090	(1) 41.8	42.3	58.2	57.7
Quarter Ended March 31, 2015	Issuance/Exchange	4,866	(1) 42.3	43.0	57.7	57.0
Quarter Ended June 30, 2015	Issuance/Exchange	4,275	(1) 43.0	43.8	57.0	56.2
Quarter Ended September 30, 2015	Issuance/Exchange	6,819	(1) 43.8	45.3	56.2	54.7
Quarter Ended December 31, 2015	Issuance/Exchange	2,067	45.3	45.6	54.7	54.4

Explanation of Responses:

(1) In May 2013, November 2013, May 2014, October 2014, February 2015, May 2015, August 2015 and November 2015, certain holders of AOG Units exchanged their AOG Units for Class A shares and approximately 8.8 million, 2.3 million, 6.2 million, 0.1 million, 0.2 million, 1.8 million, 4.4 million and 27.5 thousand Class A shares, respectively, were issued by the Company in the exchanges.

14. EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION

AOG Units

The fair value of the AOG Units of approximately \$5.6 billion was charged to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the five or six year service period, as applicable. For the year ended December 31, 2013, compensation expense of \$30.0 million was recognized. The AOG Units were fully vested and amortized as of June 30, 2013.

- 178-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table summarizes the activity of the AOG Units for the year ended December 31, 2013:

	AOG Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Balance at January 1, 2013	1,500,366	\$20.00
Vested	(1,500,366) 20.00
Balance at December 31, 2013	—	\$—

RSUs

The Company grants RSUs under the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. These grants are accounted for as a grant of equity awards in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The fair value of all grants after March 29, 2011 is based on the grant date fair value, which considers the public share price of the Company. For Plan Grants, the grant date fair value is based on the grant date public share price of the Company's Class A shares discounted primarily for transfer restrictions and lack of distributions until vested. For Bonus Grants, the grant date fair value is based on the grant date public share price of the Company's Class A shares discounted primarily for transfer restrictions and in certain cases timing of distributions. The following table summarizes the weighted average discounts for Plan Grants and Bonus Grants for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

	For the Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014	2013	
Plan Grants:				
Discount for the lack of distributions until vested ⁽¹⁾	26.0	% 32.5	% 30.5	%
Marketability discount for transfer restrictions ⁽²⁾	4.2	% 5.1	% 6.0	%
Bonus Grants:				
Marketability discount for transfer restrictions ⁽²⁾	2.2	% 3.2	% 3.2	%

(1)Based on the present value of a growing annuity calculation.

(2)Based on the Finnerty Model calculation.

The estimated total fair value is charged to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, which for Plan Grants is generally up to six years, with the first installment vesting one year after grant and quarterly vesting thereafter, and for Bonus Grants is annual vesting over three years. The fair value of grants made during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$70.6 million, \$149.1 million, and \$56.6 million, respectively. The actual forfeiture rate was 1.2%, 6.7% and 5.3% for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Compensation expense recognized for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$65.7 million, \$80.7 million, and \$87.7 million, respectively.

In addition, during 2014, the Company entered into an agreement with an executive officer providing for the grant of RSUs when certain metrics have been achieved. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, equity-based compensation expense is recognized only when certain metrics are met or deemed probable. Accordingly, for the years ended December 31, 2015, and 2014, no equity-based compensation expense was recognized relating to these RSUs.

- 179-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table summarizes RSU activity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

	Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Vested	Total Number of RSUs Outstanding	
Balance at January 1, 2013	14,724,474	\$11.62	22,512,930	37,237,404	(1)
Granted	2,101,277	26.95	—	2,101,277	
Forfeited	(888,594)	13.30	—	(888,594))
Delivered	—	12.30	(6,879,050)	(6,879,050))
Vested	(7,159,871)	12.60	7,159,871	—	
Balance at December 31, 2013	8,777,286	14.32	22,793,751	31,571,037	(1)
Granted	7,046,490	21.16	—	7,046,490	
Forfeited ⁽²⁾	(1,055,639)	12.19	—	(1,055,639))
Delivered	—	12.96	(9,490,011)	(9,490,011))
Vested ⁽²⁾	(4,050,502)	16.75	4,050,502	—	
Balance at December 31, 2014	10,717,635	18.11	17,354,242	28,071,877	(1)
Granted	4,634,950	15.24	—	4,634,950	
Forfeited	(186,741)	20.70	—	(186,741))
Delivered	—	13.16	(15,185,890)	(15,185,890))
Vested	(4,125,701)	19.35	4,125,701	—	
Balance at December 31, 2015	11,040,143	\$16.40	6,294,053	17,334,196	(1)

(1) Amount excludes RSUs which have vested and have been issued in the form of Class A shares.

(2) In connection with the departure of an employee from the Company, such employee vested in 625,000 RSUs that were previously granted to him and forfeited 625,000 RSUs that were previously granted to him. As a result of the additional vesting, the Company recorded an incremental compensation expense of \$17.5 million related to the relevant RSU award for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Units Expected to Vest—As of December 31, 2015, approximately 10,400,000 RSUs were expected to vest over the next 3.3 years.

Restricted Share Awards

In connection with the Venator Acquisition and a performance-based incentive plan, the Company issued \$5.0 million of restricted Class A shares. Based on the terms of the awards of the Company's Class A shares, equity-based compensation will be expensed over two years. For the year ended December 31, 2015, 359,367 restricted shares were granted. Compensation expense recognized for the year ended December 31, 2015 related to these restricted shares was \$2.7 million. There were no forfeitures of restricted shares during the year ended December 31, 2015.

- 180-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Share Options

The Company has granted options under the 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, compensation expense of \$0.1 million, \$28.2 million and \$4.7 million was recognized as a result of these grants, respectively. In connection with the departure of an employee from the Company, such employee vested in 1,250,000 share options that were previously granted to him and forfeited 1,250,000 share options that were previously granted to him. As a result of the additional vesting, the Company recorded an incremental compensation expense of \$28.1 million related to the relevant option award agreement for the year ended December 31, 2014.

There were no share options granted during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013. Apollo measures the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The following table summarizes the share option activity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Fair Value	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Balance at January 1, 2013	5,275,000	\$8.44	\$29,020	8.01
Exercised	(2,324,997)	8.12	(12,896)	—
Balance at December 31, 2013	2,950,003	8.69	16,124	7.08
Exercised	(1,468,750)	8.03	(8,217)	—
Forfeited	(1,250,000)	8.00	(7,025)	—
Balance at December 31, 2014	231,253	16.60	882	7.93
Exercised	(8,333)	12.38	(17)	—
Balance at December 31, 2015	222,920	17.69	\$865	6.95
Exercisable at December 31, 2015	118,751	\$17.14	\$384	6.99

Options Expected to Vest—As of December 31, 2015, approximately 100,000 options were expected to vest.

The expected life of the options granted represents the period of time that options are expected to be outstanding and is based on the contractual term of the option. Unamortized compensation cost related to unvested share options at December 31, 2015 was \$0.3 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.5 years. The intrinsic value of options exercised was \$0.1 million, \$26.6 million and \$42.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Delivery of Class A Shares - RSUs and Share Options

During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company delivered Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options. The Company has generally allowed holders of vested RSUs and exercised share options to settle their tax liabilities by reducing the number of Class A shares delivered to them, which the Company refers to as “net share settlement.” Additionally, the Company has generally allowed holders of share options to settle their exercise price by reducing the number of Class A shares delivered to them at the time of exercise by an amount sufficient to cover the exercise price. The net share settlement results in a liability for the Company and a corresponding accumulated deficit adjustment. This adjustment for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$78.9 million, \$0.4 million and \$85.9 million, respectively.

The delivery of Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options does not cause a transfer of amounts in the consolidated statements of changes in shareholders’ equity to the Class A shareholders. The delivery of Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options causes the income allocated to the Non-Controlling Interests to shift to the Class A shareholders from the date of delivery forward. The table below

summarizes the delivery of Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

- 181-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Class A shares delivered or issued	11,296,388	10,491,649	5,181,389
Gross value of shares ⁽¹⁾	\$325,747	\$289,000	\$212,900

(1)Based on the closing price of a Class A share at the time of delivery.

AAA RDUs

Incentive units that provide the right to receive AAA restricted depository units (“RDUs”) following vesting are granted periodically to employees of Apollo. These grants are accounted for as equity awards in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The incentive units granted to employees generally vest over three years. The fair value at the date of the grants is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period (or upon grant in the case of fully vested AAA RDUs). The grant date fair value is based on the public share price of AAA. Vested AAA RDUs can be converted into ordinary common units of AAA subject to applicable securities law restrictions. During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the actual forfeiture rate was 0.0%, 1.1% and 0.0%, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, compensation expense of \$0.7 million, \$0.4 million and \$1.2 million was recognized, respectively. The following table summarizes RDU activity for the years ended year ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

	Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Vested	Total Number of RDUs Outstanding
Balance at January 1, 2013	338,430	\$8.85	114,896	453,326
Granted	27,286	26.90	—	27,286
Delivered	—	9.02	(114,896)	(114,896)
Vested	(120,354)	9.83	120,354	—
Balance at December 31, 2013	245,362	10.38	120,354	365,716
Granted	18,426	33.05	—	18,426
Forfeited	(2,861)	8.36	—	(2,861)
Delivered	—	9.02	(120,354)	(120,354)
Vested	(96,267)	11.17	96,267	—
Balance at December 31, 2014	164,660	12.49	96,267	260,927
Delivered	—	11.17	(96,267)	(96,267)
Vested	(96,268)	11.17	96,268	—
Balance at December 31, 2015	68,392	\$14.35	96,268	164,660

Units Expected to Vest—As of December 31, 2015, approximately 64,288 RDUs were expected to vest over the next 1.2 years.

- 182-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table summarizes the activity of RDUs available for future grants:

	RDUs Available For Future Grants
Balance at January 1, 2013	1,685,345
Purchases	6,236
Granted/Issued	(39,272)
Forfeited	—
Balance at December 31, 2013	1,652,309
Purchases	9,719
Granted/Issued	(18,426)
Forfeited	2,861
Balance at December 31, 2014 and 2015	1,646,463

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Awards—ARI and AMTG

ARI restricted stock awards, ARI restricted stock unit awards ("ARI RSUs") and AMTG restricted stock unit awards ("AMTG RSUs") granted to the Company and certain of the Company's employees generally vest over three years, either quarterly or annually. The awards granted to the Company are accounted for as investments and deferred revenue in the consolidated statements of financial condition. As these awards vest, the deferred revenue is recognized as management fees. The investment is accounted for using the equity method of accounting for awards granted to the Company and as a deferred compensation asset for the awards granted to employees. Compensation expense is recognized on a straight line-basis over the vesting period for the awards granted to the employees. The Company recorded an asset and a liability upon receiving the awards on behalf of the Company's employees. The fair value of the awards to employees is based on the grant date fair value, which utilizes the public share price of ARI and AMTG, less discounts for transfer restrictions as well as timing of distributions for the AMTG RSUs. The awards granted to the Company's employees are remeasured each period to reflect the fair value of the asset and other liabilities and any changes in these values are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations.

The following table summarizes the management fees, compensation expense, and forfeiture rates for the ARI restricted stock awards and ARI RSUs for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Management fees	\$3,334	\$1,326	\$2,837
Compensation expense	3,081	1,329	2,047
Forfeiture rate	1.3	% —	% 1.6

The following table summarizes the management fees, compensation expense, and forfeiture rates for the AMTG RSUs for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Management fees	\$1,171	\$915	\$849
Compensation expense	1,171	828	804
Forfeiture rate	2.5	% 2.5	% 1.3

- 183-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables summarize activity for the ARI restricted stock awards, ARI RSUs and AMTG RSUs that were granted to both the Company and certain of its employees for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

	ARI RSUs Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	ARI RSUs Vested	Total Number of ARI RSUs Outstanding
Balance at January 1, 2013	237,542	\$14.62	113,148	350,690
Granted to employees of the Company	205,000	16.58	—	205,000
Granted to the Company	40,000	17.59	—	40,000
Forfeited by employees of the Company	(5,000) 16.66	—	(5,000
Delivered	—	13.32	(18,978) (18,978
Vested awards of employees of the Company	(137,807) 15.48	137,807	—
Vested awards of the Company	(65,333) 15.41	65,333	—
Balance at December 31, 2013	274,402	15.86	297,310	571,712
Granted to employees of the Company	400,254	16.59	—	400,254
Delivered	—	14.76	(307,731) (307,731
Vested awards of employees of the Company	(129,148) 15.55	129,148	—
Vested awards of the Company	(65,333) 15.41	65,333	—
Balance at December 31, 2014	480,175	16.61	184,060	664,235
Granted to employees of the Company	642,056	17.15	—	642,056
Forfeited by employees of the Company	(13,500) 17.17	—	(13,500
Delivered	—	14.99	(33,981) (33,981
Vested awards of employees of the Company	(201,586) 17.02	201,586	—
Vested awards of the Company	(13,335) 17.59	13,335	—
Balance at December 31, 2015	893,810	\$16.88	365,000	1,258,810

Units Expected to Vest—As of December 31, 2015, approximately 840,181 ARI RSUs were expected to vest over the next 2.7 years.

- 184-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	AMTG RSUs Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	AMTG RSUs Vested	Total Number of AMTG RSUs Outstanding
Balance at January 1, 2013	161,257	\$20.28	12,862	174,119
Granted to employees of the Company	25,848	14.73	—	25,848
Forfeited by employees of the Company	(2,359) 18.74	—	(2,359
Vested awards of employees of the Company	(51,259) 20.30	51,259	—
Vested awards of the Company	(6,250) 18.20	6,250	—
Balance at December 31, 2013	127,237	19.28	70,371	197,608
Granted to employees of the Company	130,124	16.01	—	130,124
Forfeited by employees of the Company	(4,855) 21.22	—	(4,855
Delivered	—	17.56	(31,167) (31,167
Vested awards of employees of the Company	(57,982) 19.56	57,982	—
Vested awards of the Company	(4,688) 18.20	4,688	—
Balance at December 31, 2014	189,836	16.93	101,874	291,710
Forfeited by employees of the Company	(4,676) 15.75	—	(4,676
Delivered	—	20.60	(138,862) (138,862
Vested awards of employees of the Company	(94,569) 18.02	94,569	—
Balance at December 31, 2015	90,591	\$15.85	57,581	148,172

Units Expected to Vest—As of December 31, 2015, approximately 85,156 AMTG RSUs were expected to vest over the next 1.9 years.

Restricted Share Awards—Athene Holding

Athene Holding has granted restricted share awards (“AHL Awards”) to certain employees of Apollo which function similarly to options in that they are exchangeable for Class A shares of Athene Holdings upon payment of a conversion price and other conditions being met. Certain of the awards granted are subject to time-based vesting conditions that generally vest over five years and certain of the awards vest once certain metrics have been achieved, such as attainment of certain rates of return and realized cash received by certain investors in Athene Holding upon sale of their shares. The AHL Awards are not convertible into Class A shares of Athene Holding until the completion of an initial public offering of Athene Holding. During 2014, the vesting terms of some of the AHL Awards were modified such that the portion of AHL Awards related to services provided from the date of grant were deemed vested.

The AHL Awards, are accounted for as a prepaid compensation asset within other assets and deferred revenue in the consolidated statements of financial condition. From the date of grant, the deferred revenue is recognized as management fees and the prepaid compensation asset is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period. The fair value of the awards to employees is based on the grant date fair value, which utilizes the share price of Athene Holding, less discounts for transfer restrictions. Shares granted as part of the AHL Awards were valued using a multiple-scenario model, which considers the price volatility of the underlying stock price of Athene Holding, time to expiration and the risk-free rate. The awards granted are recognized as liability awards and are remeasured each period to reflect the fair value of the prepaid compensation asset and deferred revenue. Any changes in fair value are recorded in management fees and equity-based compensation expense in the consolidated statements of operations. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, \$24.2 million and \$16.7 million of equity-based compensation expense was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations, respectively, related to AHL Awards granted to employees of Athene Asset Management.

The following table summarizes activity for the AHL Awards that were granted to certain employees of the company for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

- 185-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	AHL Awards Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	AHL Awards Vested	Total Number of AHL Awards Outstanding
Balance at January 1, 2014	1,717,568	\$1.23	—	1,717,568
Granted to employees of the Company	850,000	9.31	—	850,000
Vested awards of the employees of the Company	(849,495)	3.69	849,495	—
Balance at December 31, 2014	1,718,073	4.00	849,495	2,567,568
Granted to employees of the Company	583,268	2.17	—	583,268
Vested awards of employees of the Company	(195,374)	6.04	195,374	—
Transfers ⁽¹⁾	(590,089)	2.72	—	(590,089)
Balance at December 31, 2015	1,515,878	\$3.54	1,044,869	2,560,747

(1) On January 1, 2015, certain employees of Athene Asset Management who had been granted AHL Awards became employees of Athene Holding, an unconsolidated affiliate of the Company.

There were no AHL Awards converted into Class A shares of Athene Holding during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Units Expected to Vest—As of December 31, 2015, approximately 463,052 AHL Awards were expected to vest over the next 2.4 years and 1,052,826 AHL Awards may vest if certain metrics are achieved.

Equity-Based Compensation Allocation

Equity-based compensation is allocated based on ownership interests. Therefore, the amortization of the AOG Units is allocated to shareholders' equity attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC and the Non-Controlling Interests, which results in a difference in the amounts charged to equity-based compensation expense and the amounts credited to shareholders' equity attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the year ended December 31, 2015:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
RSUs and Share Options	\$68,535	—	% \$—	\$68,535
AHL Awards	24,180	54.4	13,158	11,022
Other equity-based compensation awards	4,961	54.4	2,699	2,262
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$97,676		15,857	81,819
Less other equity-based compensation awards ⁽²⁾			(15,857)	(13,860)
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$—	\$67,959

(1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuances during the period.

(2) Includes equity-based compensation reimbursable by certain funds.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the year ended December 31, 2014:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
RSUs and Share Options	\$ 107,017	—	% \$—	\$ 107,017
AHL Awards	16,738	57.7	9,938	6,800
Other equity-based compensation awards	2,565	57.7	1,517	1,048
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$ 126,320		11,455	114,865
Less other equity-based compensation awards ⁽²⁾			(11,455)	(5,994)
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$—	\$ 108,871

(1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuances during the period.

(2) Includes equity-based compensation reimbursable by certain funds.

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the year ended December 31, 2013:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
AOG Units	\$ 30,007	61.0	% \$ 19,163	\$ 10,844
RSUs and Share Options	92,185	—	—	92,185
Other equity-based compensation awards	4,035	61.0	2,494	1,541
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$ 126,227		21,657	104,570
Less other equity-based compensation awards ⁽²⁾			(2,494)	365
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$ 19,163	\$ 104,935

(1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuances during the period.

(2) Includes equity-based compensation reimbursable by certain funds.

15. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND INTERESTS IN CONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

The Company typically facilitates the initial payment of certain operating costs incurred by the funds that it manages as well as their affiliates. These costs are normally reimbursed by such funds and are included in due from affiliates.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Due from affiliates and due to affiliates are comprised of the following:

	As of December 31,	
	2015	2014
Due from Affiliates:		
Due from private equity funds	\$21,532	\$30,091
Due from portfolio companies	36,424	41,844
Due from credit funds ⁽¹⁾	124,660	174,197
Due from Contributing Partners, employees and former employees	42,491	1,721
Due from real estate funds	22,728	20,162
Total Due from Affiliates	\$247,835	\$268,015
Due to Affiliates:		
Due to Managing Partners and Contributing Partners in connection with the tax receivable agreement	\$506,162	\$509,149
Due to private equity funds	16,293	1,158
Due to credit funds	57,981	5,343
Due to real estate funds	580	—
Distributions payable to employees	13,520	49,503
Total Due to Affiliates	\$594,536	\$565,153

(1) As of December 31, 2014, includes unsettled monitoring fee receivable and management fee receivable from AAA and Athene as discussed in “Athene” below.

Tax Receivable Agreement and Other

Subject to certain restrictions, each of the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners has the right to exchange their vested AOG Units for the Company’s Class A shares. Certain Apollo Operating Group entities have made an election under Section 754 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Internal Revenue Code”), which will result in an adjustment to the tax basis of the assets owned by the Apollo Operating Group at the time of the exchange. These exchanges will result in increases in tax deductions that will reduce the amount of tax that APO Corp. will otherwise be required to pay in the future.

The tax receivable agreement provides for the payment to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners of 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state, local and foreign income taxes that APO Corp. would realize as a result of the increases in tax basis of assets that resulted from the 2007 Reorganization and exchanges of AOG Units for Class A shares. If the Company does not make the required annual payment on a timely basis as outlined in the tax receivable agreement, interest is accrued on the balance until the payment date. These payments are expected to occur approximately over the next 15 years.

As a result of exchanges of AOG Units for Class A shares during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, a \$45.4 million, \$47.9 million and \$126.9 million liability was recorded, respectively (see note 11), to estimate the amount of these future expected payments to be made by APO Corp. to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners pursuant to the tax receivable agreement.

In April 2015, 2014 and 2013, Apollo made cash payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement resulting from the realized tax benefit for each respective tax year. Included in the payments was interest paid to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners. The table below presents the cash payments made during April, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

- 188-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Date	Cash Payment	Interest Paid to Managing Partners	Interest Paid to Contributing Partners
April, 2015	\$48,420	\$13,090	\$555
April, 2014	32,032	8,272	469
April, 2013	30,403	7,645	333

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company reduced the tax receivable agreement liability and recorded \$32.2 million and \$13.0 million, respectively, in other income, net in the consolidated statement of operations due to changes in estimated tax rates.

Due from Contributing Partners, Employees and Former Employees

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, due from Contributing Partners, Employees and Former Employee balances include various amounts due to the Company including director fee receivables. In addition, as of December 31, 2015, the balance included interest-bearing employee loans receivable of \$25.0 million. The outstanding principal amount of the loans as well as all accrued and unpaid interest is required to be repaid at the earlier of the eighth anniversary of the date of the relevant loan or at the date of the relevant employee's resignation from the Company.

The Company has recorded a receivable from the Contributing Partners and certain employees and former employees for the potential return of profit sharing distributions that would be due if certain funds were liquidated as of December 31, 2015 with respect to ACLF, Fund V, ANRP I and a performance-based incentive plan of \$6.9 million, \$4.9 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2015.

- 189-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Distributions

In addition to other distributions such as payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement, the table below presents information regarding the quarterly distributions which were made at the sole discretion of the manager of the Company during 2015, 2014 and 2013 (in millions, except per share data):

Distribution Declaration Date	Distribution per Class A Share	Distribution Payment Date	Distribution to Class A Shareholders	Distribution to Non-Controlling Interest Holders in the Apollo Operating Group	Total Distributions from Apollo Operating Group	Distribution Equivalents on Participating Securities
February 8, 2013	\$1.05	February 28, 2013	\$138.7	\$252.0	\$390.7	\$25.0
April 12, 2013	—	April 12, 2013	—	55.2	(1) 55.2	—
May 6, 2013	0.57	May 30, 2013	80.8	131.8	212.6	14.3
August 8, 2013	1.32	August 30, 2013	189.7	305.2	494.9	30.8
November 7, 2013	1.01	November 29, 2013	147.7	231.2	378.9	24.1
For the year ended December 31, 2013	\$3.95		\$556.9	\$975.4	\$1,532.3	\$94.2
February 7, 2014	\$1.08	February 26, 2014	\$160.9	\$247.3	\$408.2	\$25.5
April 3, 2014	—	April 3, 2014	—	49.5	(1) 49.5	—
May 8, 2014	0.84	May 30, 2014	130.0	188.4	318.4	20.9
June 16, 2014	—	June 16, 2014	—	28.5	(1) 28.5	—
August 6, 2014	0.46	August 29, 2014	73.6	102.5	176.1	10.2
September 11, 2014	—	September 11, 2014	—	12.4	(1) 12.4	—
October 30, 2014	0.73	November 21, 2014	119.0	162.6	281.6	15.5
December 15, 2014	—	December 15, 2014	—	25.2	(1) 25.2	—
For the year ended December 31, 2014	\$3.11		\$483.5	\$816.4	\$1,299.9	\$72.1
February 5, 2015	\$0.86	February 27, 2015	\$144.4	\$191.3	\$335.7	\$15.3
April 11, 2015	—	April 11, 2015	—	22.4	(1) 22.4	—
May 7, 2015	0.33	May 29, 2015	56.8	72.8	129.6	4.9
July 29, 2015	0.42	August 31, 2015	74.8	91.2	166.0	5.1
October 28, 2015	0.35	November 30, 2015	\$63.4	\$75.7	\$139.1	\$3.1
For the year ended December 31, 2015	\$1.96		\$339.4	\$453.4	\$792.8	\$28.4

On April 12, 2013, April 3, 2014, June 16, 2014, September 11, 2014, December 15, 2014, and April 11, 2015, the (1) Company made a \$0.23, \$0.22, \$0.13, \$0.06, \$0.11, and \$0.10 distribution per AOG Unit, respectively, to the Non-Controlling Interest holders in the Apollo Operating Group.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Indemnity

Carried interest income from certain funds that the Company manages can be distributed to the Company on a current basis, but is subject to repayment by the subsidiary of the Apollo Operating Group that acts as general partner of the fund in the event that certain specified return thresholds are not ultimately achieved. The Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain other investment professionals have personally guaranteed, subject to certain limitations, the obligation of these subsidiaries in respect of this general partner obligation. Such guarantees are several and not joint and are limited to a particular Managing Partner's or Contributing Partner's distributions. An existing shareholders agreement includes clauses that indemnify each of the Company's Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners against all amounts that they pay pursuant to any of these personal guarantees in favor of certain funds that the Company manages (including costs and expenses related to investigating the basis for or objecting to any claims made in respect of the guarantees) for all interests that the Company's Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have contributed or sold to the Apollo Operating Group.

Accordingly, in the event that the Company's Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain investment professionals are required to pay amounts in connection with a general partner obligation for the return of previously made distributions, the Company will be obligated to reimburse the Company's Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners for the indemnifiable percentage of amounts that they are required to pay even though the Company did not receive the certain distribution to which that general partner obligation related. The Company recorded an indemnification liability of \$4.6 million as of December 31, 2015. There was no indemnification liability recorded as of December 31, 2014.

Due to Private Equity Funds

Based upon a hypothetical liquidation of Fund V, APC and ANRP I as of December 31, 2015, the Company has recorded a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income, which represents amounts due to these funds. As such, there was a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to Fund V, APC and ANRP I of \$10.8 million, \$2.1 million and \$3.4 million accrued as of December 31, 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, there was no general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income. The actual determination and any required payment of a general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of the fund's investments based on contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement of the fund.

Due to Credit Funds

Based upon a hypothetical liquidation of certain of our credit funds, as of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company has recorded a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income, which represents amounts due to these funds. As such, there was a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to ACLF, COF II and certain SIAs within the credit segment of \$25.6 million, \$0.4 million and \$29.7 million accrued as of December 31, 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, there was a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to ACLF and an SIA of \$2.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, there was a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to an SIA and APC of \$19.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. The actual determination and any required payment of a general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of the fund's investments based on contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement or other governing document of the fund.

Athene

Athene Holding is the ultimate parent of various insurance company operating subsidiaries. Through its subsidiaries, Athene Holding provides insurance products focused primarily on the retirement market and its business centers primarily on issuing or reinsuring fixed indexed annuities.

Athene Asset Management receives a management fee equal to 0.40% per annum on all assets under management in accounts owned by or related to Athene (the “Athene Accounts”), with certain limited exceptions. In addition, the Company receives sub-advisory management fees and carried interest income with respect to a portion of the assets in the Athene Accounts. With respect to capital invested in an Apollo fund, Apollo receives management fees directly from the relevant funds under the investment management agreements with such funds. Athene Asset Management and other Apollo subsidiaries incur all expenses associated with their provision of services to Athene, including but not limited to, asset allocation services, direct asset management services, risk management, asset and liability matching management, mergers and acquisitions asset diligence, hedging and other services.

- 191-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Under a transaction advisory services agreement with Athene (the “Athene Services Agreement”), effective February 5, 2013 through December 31, 2014, Apollo earned a quarterly monitoring fee of 0.50% of Athene’s capital and surplus as of the end of the applicable quarter multiplied by 2.5, excluding the shares of Athene Holding that were newly acquired (and not in satisfaction of prior commitments to buy such shares) by AAA Investments in the contribution of certain assets by AAA to Athene in October 2012 (the “Excluded Athene Shares”). The Athene Services Agreement was amended in connection with the Athene Private Placement described below (the “Amended Athene Services Agreement”). The Amended Athene Services Agreement adjusted the calculation of Athene Holding’s capital and surplus downward by an amount equal to (x) the equity capital raised in the Athene Private Placement and (y) certain disproportionate increases to the statutory capital and surplus of Athene, as compared to the stockholders’ equity of Athene calculated on a U.S. GAAP basis, as a result of certain future acquisitions by Athene. Prior to the consummation of the Athene Private Placement, all such monitoring fees were paid pursuant to the Athene Services Derivative. In connection with the Athene Private Placement, the Athene Services Derivative was settled on April 29, 2014 by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding, and as a result, such derivative was terminated. Following settlement of the Athene Services Derivative, future monitoring fees paid to Apollo pursuant to the Amended Athene Services Agreement, were paid on a quarterly basis in arrears by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding. Unsettled monitoring fees pursuant to the Amended Athene Services Agreement are recorded as due from affiliates in the consolidated statements of financial condition. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, Apollo earned \$226.4 million and \$107.9 million, respectively related to this monitoring fee. The monitoring fee is recorded in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, in the consolidated statements of operations. As of December 31, 2014, Apollo had a \$58.2 million receivable recorded in due from affiliates on the consolidated statements of financial condition.

In accordance with the services agreement among AAA, AAA Investments and the other service recipients party thereto and Apollo (the “AAA Services Agreement”), Apollo receives a management fee for managing the assets of AAA Investments. In connection with each of the contribution of certain assets by AAA to Athene in October 2012, and the initial closing of the Athene Private Placement on April 4, 2014, the AAA Services Agreement was amended (the “Amended AAA Services Agreement”). Pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement, the parties agreed that there will be no management fees payable by AAA Investments with respect to the Excluded Athene Shares. AAA Investments agreed to continue to pay Apollo the same management fee on its investment in Athene Holding (other than with respect to the Excluded Athene Shares), except that Apollo agreed that the obligation to pay the existing management fee terminated on December 31, 2014 (although services will continue through December 31, 2020). Prior to the consummation of the Athene Private Placement, all such management fees were accrued pursuant to the AAA Services Derivative. In connection with the Athene Private Placement, the AAA Services Derivative was settled on April 29, 2014 by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding, and as a result, such derivative was terminated. Following settlement of the AAA Services Derivative, future management fees paid to Apollo pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement were paid on a quarterly basis in arrears by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding. Unsettled management fees pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement are recorded as due from affiliates in the consolidated statements of financial condition. There were no management fees receivable as of December 31, 2015 as AAA Investments’ obligation to pay the existing management fee terminated on December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, Apollo had a \$3.1 million receivable recorded in due from affiliates related to this management fee on the consolidated statements of financial condition. The total management fees earned by Apollo related to the Amended AAA Services Agreement were \$3.4 million, \$1.9 million and \$2.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These management fees are recorded in management fees from affiliates in the consolidated statements of operations.

Prior to the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative, the Amended Athene Services Agreement and the Amended AAA Services Agreement together with the Athene Services Derivative and

the AAA Services Derivative, met the definition of derivatives under U.S. GAAP. The Company had classified these derivatives as Level III assets in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. After the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivatives the unsettled shares receivable recorded in due from affiliates related to the Amended Athene Services Agreement and the Amended AAA Services Agreement are valued at fair value based on the price of a common share of Athene Holding. The Company had classified the derivative and the shares receivable as Level III assets in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. See note 6 for further discussion regarding fair value measurements.

Prior to the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative, the change in unrealized market value of the derivatives was reflected in other income, net in the consolidated statements of operations. For the year ended December 31, 2013, there was a \$10.2 million change in market value recognized related to these derivatives.

- 192-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

In addition, Apollo, as general partner of AAA Investments, is generally entitled to a carried interest that allocates to it 20% of the realized returns (net of related expenses, including borrowing costs) on the investments of AAA Investments, except that Apollo will not be entitled to receive any carried interest in respect of the Excluded Athene Shares. Carried interest receivable from AAA Investments will be paid in common shares of Athene Holding (valued at the then fair market value) if there is a distribution in kind of shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act) or paid in cash if AAA sells the shares of Athene Holding. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, the Company recorded carried interest income less the related profit sharing expense of \$36.1 million, \$14.6 million and \$27.6 million from AAA Investments, respectively, which is recorded in the consolidated statements of operations. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had a \$185.5 million and a \$121.5 million carried interest receivable, respectively, related to AAA Investments. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had a related profit sharing payable of \$62.8 million and \$34.9 million, respectively, recorded in profit sharing payable in the consolidated statements of financial condition.

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, Apollo earned gross revenues in the aggregate totaling \$526.5 million, \$546.5 million and \$435.1 million, respectively, consisting of management fees, sub-advisory and monitoring fees and carried interest income from Athene after considering the related profit sharing expense and changes in the market value of the Athene Holding shares owned directly by Apollo, which is recorded in the consolidated statements of operations. These amounts exclude the deferred revenue recognized as management fees associated with the vesting of AHL Awards granted to employees of Athene Asset Management as further described in note 14.

On April 4, 2014, Athene Holding completed an initial closing of a private placement offering of common equity in which it raised \$1.048 billion of primary commitments from third-party institutional and certain existing investors in Athene Holding (the "Athene Private Placement"). Shares in the Athene Private Placement were offered at a price per common share of Athene Holding of \$26.00. In connection with the Athene Private Placement, Athene raised an additional \$80 million of third party capital at \$26.00 per share, all of which was used to buy back a portion of the shares of one of its existing investors at a price of \$26.00 per share in a transaction that was consummated on April 29, 2014. As announced by AAA on June 24, 2014, a second closing of the Athene Private Placement occurred in which Athene Holding raised \$170.0 million of commitments primarily from employees of Athene and its affiliates at a price per common share of Athene Holding of \$26.00. The Athene Private Placement offering was concluded in the first quarter of 2015 with a final closing of \$60.0 million of additional capital commitments from affiliates of Athene. The Investment Partnership did not purchase any additional common shares of Athene Holding as part of the Athene Private Placement.

The Company had an approximate 9.2% economic ownership interest in Athene Holding as of December 31, 2015, which comprises Apollo's direct ownership of 8.0% of the economic equity of Athene Holding plus an additional 1.2% economic ownership interest, which is calculated as the sum of the Company's approximate 2.4% economic ownership interest in AAA and the Company's approximate 0.06% economic ownership interest in AAA Investments, multiplied by AAA Investments' approximate 46.3% economic ownership interest in Athene, calculated without giving effect to restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan as of December 31, 2015. As disclosed in note 2, as a result of the adoption of new accounting guidance, AAA was deconsolidated as of January 1, 2015.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company, through its consolidation of AAA, had an approximate 47.7% economic ownership interest in Athene through its investment in AAA Investments, (calculated as if the commitments on the Athene Private Placement closed through December 31, 2014 were fully drawn down but without giving effect to (i) restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan, (ii) common shares to be issued under the Amended Athene Services Agreement subsequent to December 31, 2014 or (iii) the common shares to be issued under the Amended AAA Services Agreement subsequent to December 31, 2014). The Company effectively held 45% of the voting power of Athene as of December 31, 2014.

The Company had an approximate 8.1% economic ownership interest in Athene Holding as of December 31, 2014, which comprises Apollo's direct ownership of 6.9% of the economic equity of Athene Holding plus an additional 1.2% economic ownership interest, which is calculated as the sum of the Company's approximate 2.5% economic ownership interest in AAA and the Company's approximate 0.06% economic ownership interest in AAA Investments, multiplied by AAA Investments' approximate 47.7% economic ownership interest in Athene as of December 31, 2014. During 2014, the remaining ownership interest in AAA was recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of operations as Non-Controlling Interest in consolidated entities.

- 193-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

MidCap

During the year ended December 31, 2015, Apollo, through its subsidiary Apollo MidCap Holdings (Cayman), L.P., entered into a subscription agreement providing for an aggregate commitment of \$50.0 million to subscribe for (i) Class A Variable Funding Subordinated Notes due 2114 (“Class A Notes”) of MidCap FinCo Limited (“MidCap”), a private limited company domiciled in Ireland focused on direct lending opportunities in the senior secured credit market across a diverse range of industries and asset classes that includes the former operations and assets of MidCap Financial Holdings, LLC, a leading specialty finance firm focused on senior secured direct origination in the healthcare sector, and (ii) ordinary shares of nominal value in MidCap’s holding company, MidCap FinCo Holdings Limited (“Ordinary Shares”). The subscription agreement has a commitment period of three years (subject to extension under certain circumstances). The commitment was fully funded as of December 31, 2015. Pursuant to an investment management agreement, Apollo, through its subsidiary Apollo Capital Management, L.P., is acting as the investment manager of MidCap’s credit business. Certain third parties have also entered into subscription agreements for direct or indirect ownership of Class A Notes and Ordinary Shares.

Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2015, AAA Investments (Co-Invest VII), L.P. (“Co-Invest VII”) contributed all of its ownership interest in MidCap Financial Holdings, LLC to MidCap in exchange for Class A Notes pursuant to a transfer agreement dated January 21, 2015. As a result of this contribution, Apollo, through its subsidiary AAA Associates (Co-Invest VII), L.P., the general partner of Co-Invest VII, realized \$29.9 million of carried interest from Co-Invest VII, which Co-Invest VII settled with a payment of Class A Notes to AAA Associates (Co-Invest VII), L.P.

Apollo has recorded a \$79.3 million equity method investment in MidCap as of December 31, 2015, which is reflected in Investments in the consolidated statement of financial condition.

Regulated Entities

Apollo Global Securities, LLC (“AGS”) is a registered broker dealer with the SEC and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, subject to the minimum net capital requirements of the SEC. AGS was in compliance with these requirements at December 31, 2015. From time to time, this entity is involved in transactions with affiliates of Apollo, including portfolio companies of the funds Apollo manages, whereby AGS earns underwriting and transaction fees for its services.

Apollo Management International LLP, is authorized and regulated by the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority and as such is subject to the capital requirements of the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority. This entity has continuously operated in excess of these regulatory capital requirements.

Certain other of the Company’s U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries are subject to various regulations, including a number of U.S. entities that are registered as investment advisors with the SEC. To the extent applicable, these entities have continuously operated in excess of any minimum regulatory capital requirements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Interests in Consolidated Entities

The table below presents equity interests in Apollo's consolidated, but not wholly-owned, subsidiaries and funds. Net income and comprehensive income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests consisted of the following:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
AAA ⁽¹⁾	\$—	\$(196,964)	\$(331,504)
Interest in management companies and a co-investment vehicle ⁽²⁾	(10,543)	(13,186)	(18,872)
Other consolidated entities	(10,821)	(17,590)	43,357
Net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(21,364)	(227,740)	(307,019)
Net (income) loss attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽³⁾	—	70,729	(149,934)
Net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group	(194,634)	(404,682)	(1,257,650)
Net Income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$(215,998)	\$(561,693)	\$(1,714,603)
Net income (loss) attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽⁴⁾	—	(70,729)	149,934
Other comprehensive (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	7,020	591	(41)
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$(208,978)	\$(631,831)	\$(1,564,710)

Reflects the Non-Controlling Interests in the net (income) loss of AAA and is calculated based on the Non-Controlling Interests ownership percentage in AAA as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, which was (1) approximately 97.5% and 97.4%, respectively. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, Apollo owned approximately 2.5% and 2.6% of AAA, respectively. AAA was deconsolidated effective January 1, 2015 as a result of the Company's adoption of new accounting guidance, as described in note 2.

(2) Reflects the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit funds.

(3) Reflects net income of the consolidated CLOs classified as VIEs.

Appropriated Partners' Capital is included in total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity and is (4) therefore not a component of comprehensive income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests on the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

16. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Investment Commitments—As a limited partner, general partner and manager of the Apollo funds, Apollo has unfunded capital commitments as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 of \$566.3 million and \$646.6 million, respectively.

Apollo has an ongoing obligation to acquire additional common units of AAA in an amount equal to 25% of the aggregate after-tax cash distributions, if any, that are made by AAA to Apollo's affiliates pursuant to the carried interest distribution rights that are applicable to investments made through AAA Investments. In addition, on April 30, 2015, Apollo entered into a revolving credit agreement with AAA Investments ("AAA Investments Credit Agreement"). Under the terms of the AAA Investments Credit Agreement, the Company shall make available to AAA Investments one or more advances at the discretion of AAA Investments in the aggregate amount not to exceed a balance of \$10.0 million at an applicable rate of LIBOR plus 1.5%. The Company receives an annual commitment fee of 0.125% on the unused portion of the loan. As of December 31, 2015 no advance on the AAA Investments Credit Agreement has been made by the Company.

Debt Covenants—Apollo's debt obligations contain various customary loan covenants. As of December 31, 2015, the Company was not aware of any instances of non-compliance with the financial covenants contained in the documents

governing the Company's debt obligations.

Litigation and Contingencies—Apollo is, from time to time, party to various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business including claims and lawsuits, reviews, investigations or proceedings by governmental and self regulatory agencies regarding its business.

In March 2012, plaintiffs filed two putative class actions, captioned Kelm v. Chase Bank (No. 12-cv-332) and Miller v. 1-800-Flowers.com, Inc. (No. 12-cv-396), in the District of Connecticut on behalf of a class of consumers alleging online fraud. The defendants included, among others, Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. ("Trilegiant"), its parent company, Affinion Group, LLC

- 195-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

("Affinion"), and Apollo Global Management, LLC ("AGM"), which is affiliated with funds that are the beneficial owners of 68% of Affinion's common stock. In both cases, plaintiffs allege that Trilegiant, aided by its business partners, who include e-merchants and credit card companies, developed a set of business practices intended to create consumer confusion and ultimately defraud consumers into unknowingly paying fees to clubs for unwanted services. Plaintiffs allege that AGM is a proper defendant because of its indirect stock ownership and ability to appoint the majority of Affinion's board. The complaints assert claims under the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act; the Electronic Communications Privacy Act; the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act; and the California Business and Professional Code, and seek, among other things, restitution or disgorgement, injunctive relief, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. The allegations in Kelm and Miller are substantially similar to those in Schnabel v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 3:10-cv-957), a putative class action filed in the District of Connecticut in 2010 that names only Trilegiant and Affinion as defendants. The court has consolidated the Kelm, Miller, and Schnabel cases under the caption In re: Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. and ordered that they proceed on the same schedule. On June 18, 2012, the court appointed lead plaintiffs' counsel, and on September 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed their consolidated amended complaint ("CAC"), which alleges the same causes of action against AGM as did the complaints in the Kelm and Miller cases. Defendants filed motions to dismiss on December 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed opposition papers on February 7, 2013, and defendants filed replies on April 5, 2013. On December 5, 2012, plaintiffs filed another putative class action, captioned Frank v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 12- cv-1721), in the District of Connecticut, naming the same defendants and containing allegations substantially similar to those in the CAC. On January 23, 2013, plaintiffs moved to transfer and consolidate Frank into In re: Trilegiant. On July 24, 2013 the Frank court transferred the case to Judge Bryant, who is presiding over In re: Trilegiant, and on March 28, 2014, Judge Bryant granted the motion to consolidate. On September 25, 2013, the court held oral argument on defendants' motions to dismiss. On March 28, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part motions to dismiss filed by Affinion and Trilegiant on behalf of all defendants, and also granted separate motions to dismiss filed by certain defendants, including AGM. On that same day, the court directed the clerk to terminate AGM as a defendant in the consolidated action. The case is proceeding against several defendants, and so plaintiffs' time to file their notice of appeal as to the dismissed defendants has not begun running.

Various state attorneys general and federal and state agencies have initiated industry-wide investigations into the use of placement agents in connection with the solicitation of investments, particularly with respect to investments by public pension funds. Certain affiliates of Apollo have received subpoenas and other requests for information from various government regulatory agencies and investors in Apollo's funds, seeking information regarding the use of placement agents. California Public Employees' Retirement System ("CalPERS"), one of Apollo's Strategic Investors, announced on October 14, 2009, that it had initiated a special review of placement agents and related issues. The report of the CalPERS' Special Review was issued on March 14, 2011. That report does not allege any wrongdoing on the part of Apollo or its affiliates. Apollo is continuing to cooperate with all such investigations and other reviews. In addition, on May 6, 2010, the California Attorney General filed a civil complaint against Alfred Villalobos and his company, Arvco Capital Research, LLC ("Arvco") (a placement agent that Apollo has used) and Federico Buenrostro Jr., the former CEO of CalPERS, alleging conduct in violation of certain California laws in connection with CalPERS's purchase of securities in various funds managed by Apollo and another asset manager. Apollo is not a party to the civil lawsuit and the lawsuit does not allege any misconduct on the part of Apollo. Likewise, on April 23, 2012, the SEC filed a lawsuit alleging securities fraud on the part of Arvco, as well as Messrs. Buenrostro and Villalobos, in connection with their activities concerning certain CalPERS investments in funds managed by Apollo. This lawsuit also does not allege wrongdoing on the part of Apollo, and alleges that Apollo was defrauded by Arvco, Villalobos, and Buenrostro. On March 14, 2013, the United States Department of Justice unsealed an indictment against Messrs. Villalobos and Buenrostro alleging, among other crimes, fraud in connection with those same activities; again, Apollo

is not accused of any wrongdoing and in fact is alleged to have been defrauded by the defendants. The criminal action was set for trial in a San Francisco federal court in July 2014, but was put on hold after Mr. Buenrostro pleaded guilty on July 11, 2014. As part of Mr. Buenrostro's plea agreement, he admitted to taking cash and other bribes from Mr. Villalobos in exchange for several improprieties, including attempting to influence CalPERS' investing decisions and improperly preparing disclosure letters to satisfy Apollo's requirements. There is no suggestion that Apollo was aware that Mr. Buenrostro had signed the letters with a corrupt motive. The government has indicated that they will file new charges against Mr. Villalobos incorporating Mr. Buenrostro's admissions. On August 7, 2014, the government filed a superseding indictment against Mr. Villalobos asserting additional charges. Trial had been scheduled for February 23, 2015, but Mr. Villalobos passed away on January 13, 2015. Additionally, on April 15, 2013, Mr. Villalobos, Arvco and related entities (the "Arvco Debtors") brought a civil action in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (the "Bankruptcy Court") against Apollo. The action is related to the ongoing bankruptcy proceedings of the Arvco Debtors. This action alleges that Arvco served as a placement agent for Apollo in connection with several funds associated with Apollo, and seeks to recover purported fees the Arvco Debtors claim Apollo has not paid them for a portion of Arvco's placement agent services. In addition, the Arvco Debtors allege that Apollo has interfered with the Arvco Debtors' commercial relationships with third parties, purportedly causing the Arvco Debtors to lose business and to incur fees and expenses in the defense of various investigations and litigations. The Arvco Debtors also seek

- 196-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

compensation from Apollo for these alleged lost profits and fees and expenses. The Arvco Debtors' complaint asserts various theories of recovery under the Bankruptcy Code and common law. Apollo denies the merit of all of the Arvco Debtors' claims and will vigorously contest them. The Bankruptcy Court had stayed this action pending the result in the criminal case against Mr. Villalobos but lifted the stay on May 1, 2015; in light of Mr. Villalobos's death, the criminal case was dismissed. For these reasons, no estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

On June 18, 2014, BOKF N.A. (the "First Lien Trustee"), the successor indenture trustee under the indenture governing the First Lien Notes issued by Momentive Performance Materials, Inc. ("Momentive"), commenced a lawsuit in the Supreme Court for the State of New York, New York County against AGM and members of an ad hoc group of Second Lien Noteholders (including, but not limited to, Euro VI (BC) S.a.r.l.). The First Lien Trustee amended its complaint on July 2, 2014 (the "First Lien Intercreditor Action"). In the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the First Lien Trustee seeks, among other things, a declaration that the defendants violated an intercreditor agreement entered into between holders of the First Lien Notes and holders of the second lien notes. On July 16, 2014, the successor indenture trustee under the indenture governing the 1.5 Lien Notes (the "1.5 Lien Trustee," and, together with the First Lien Trustee, the "Indenture Trustees") filed an action in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County that is substantially similar to the First Lien Intercreditor Action (the "1.5 Lien Intercreditor Action," and, together with the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the "Intercreditor Actions"). AGM subsequently removed the Intercreditor Actions to federal district court, and the Intercreditor Actions were automatically referred to the Bankruptcy Court adjudicating the Momentive chapter 11 bankruptcy cases. The Indenture Trustees then filed motions with the Bankruptcy Court to remand the Intercreditor Actions back to the state court (the "Remand Motions"). On September 9, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Remand Motions. On August 15, 2014, the defendants in the Intercreditor Actions (including AGM) filed a motion to dismiss the 1.5 Lien Intercreditor Action and a motion for judgment on the pleadings in the First Lien Intercreditor Action (the "Dismissal Motions"). On September 30, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court granted the Dismissal Motions. In its order granting the Dismissal Motions, the Bankruptcy Court gave the Indenture Trustees until mid-November 2014 to move to amend some, but not all, of the claims alleged in their respective complaints. On November 14, 2014, the Indenture Trustees moved to amend their respective complaints pursuant to the Bankruptcy Court's order (the "Motions to Amend"). On January 9, 2015, the defendants filed their oppositions to the Motions to Amend. On January 16, 2015, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Motions to Amend (the "Dismissal Order"), but gave the Indenture Trustees until March 2, 2015 to seek to amend their respective complaints. On March 2, 2015, the First Lien Trustee filed a motion seeking to amend its complaint. On April 10, 2015, the defendants, including AGM and Euro VI (BC) S.a.r.l., filed an opposition to the First Lien Trustee's motion to amend. Instead of moving again to amend its complaint, the 1.5 Lien Trustee chose to appeal the Dismissal Order (the "1.5 Lien Appeal"). On March 30, 2015, the 1.5 Lien Trustee filed its Statement of Issues and Designation of Record on Appeal. On March 31, 2015, because the legal issues presented in the 1.5 Lien Appeal are substantially similar to those presented in the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the parties in the 1.5 Lien Appeal submitted a joint stipulation and proposed order to the District Court staying the briefing schedule on the 1.5 Lien Appeal pending the outcome of the First Lien Trustee's most recent motion to amend. On April 13, 2015, the Defendants filed their Counter-Designation of the Record on Appeal in the 1.5 Lien Appeal. On May 8, 2015, the Bankruptcy Court denied the motion to amend filed on March 2, 2015 by the First Lien Trustee. On May 27, 2015, the First Lien Trustee filed a notice of appeal from the orders of the Bankruptcy Court dismissing the First Lien Intercreditor Action and denying the First Lien Trustee's motions to amend (the "First Lien Appeal"). On June 2, 2015, the First Lien Trustee filed its Statement of Issues and Designation of Record on Appeal. On June 24, 2015, the defendants filed their Counter-Designation of the Record on Appeal in the First Lien Appeal. On July 31, 2015, the 1.5 Lien Trustee sent a letter to the federal district court hearing the 1.5 Lien Appeal asking the court to consolidate the 1.5 Lien Appeal with the First Lien Appeal which had been assigned to a different judge (the "Consolidation Request"). On August 4, 2015,

the First Lien Trustee asked the federal district court hearing the First Lien Appeal to stay all further proceedings in the First Lien Appeal until the court hearing the 1.5 Lien Appeal decided whether to consolidate the First Lien Appeal with the 1.5 Lien Appeal. On August 5, 2015, the court granted the First Lien Trustee's request to stay the First Lien Appeal pending the other court's decision on whether to consolidate the First Lien Appeal with the 1.5 Lien Appeal. As a result of the Consolidation Request, the 1.5 Lien Trustee has taken the position that the 1.5 Lien Appeal has also been stayed, and therefore no briefs have been filed in either the First Lien Appeal or the 1.5 Lien Appeal. On November 16, 2015, the 1.5 Lien Trustee filed its motion in support of the Consolidation Request. On December 16, 2015, the defendants filed a statement of No Objection to the Consolidation Request. Apollo is unable at this time to assess a potential risk of loss. In addition, Apollo does not believe that AGM is a proper defendant in these actions.

On June 13, 2014, plaintiffs Stark Master Fund Ltd and Stark Global Opportunities Master Fund Ltd filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin against AGM and Apollo Management Holdings, (the "Apollo Defendants"), as well as Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Deutsche Bank Securities (USA) LLC (the "Bank Defendants"). The complaint alleges that the Apollo Defendants and the other defendants entered into an undisclosed and improper

- 197-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

agreement concerning the financing of a potential acquisition of Hexion Specialty Chemicals Inc., and on this basis alleges a variety of common law misrepresentation claims, both intentional and negligent. The Apollo Defendants and Bank Defendants filed motions to dismiss the complaint on October 15, 2014. Rather than respond to the motions, plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint on November 5, 2014. The Apollo Defendants and Bank Defendants filed motions to dismiss the Amended Complaint on December 23, 2014. Plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to conduct jurisdictional discovery on February 2, 2015. On April 9, 2015, the Court issued an order granting plaintiffs' motion for leave to conduct limited jurisdictional discovery. Pursuant to the parties' stipulation approved by the Court, Plaintiffs must file their opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on or before 30 days following the close of jurisdictional discovery. Because the claims against the Apollo Defendants are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

There are several pending actions concerning transactions related to Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc.'s ("CEOC") restructuring efforts. Apollo is not a defendant in these matters.

In re: Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc. bankruptcy proceedings, No. 15-10047 (Del. Bankr.) (the "Delaware Bankruptcy Action") and No. 15-01145 (N.D. Ill. Bankr.) (the "Illinois Bankruptcy Action"). On January 12, 2015, three holders of CEOC second lien notes filed an involuntary bankruptcy petition against CEOC in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. On January 15, 2015, CEOC and certain of its affiliates (collectively the "Debtors") filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy in the Northern District of Illinois. On February 2, 2015, the court in the Delaware Bankruptcy Action ordered that all bankruptcy proceedings relating to the Debtors should take place in the Illinois Bankruptcy Action. On March 11, 2015, the Debtors filed an adversary complaint in the Illinois Bankruptcy Action to stay, pending resolution of the bankruptcy, the Trustee, Meehancombs, Danner, and BOKF Actions described below. On June 3-4, 2015, the court held an evidentiary hearing on the Debtors' stay request. On July 22, 2015, the court denied the Debtors' stay request (the "Stay Denial"). On October 8, 2015, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (No. 15-06504 (N.D. Ill.)) affirmed the Stay Denial, and the Debtors filed an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit (No. 15-3259 (7th Cir.)). On December 23, 2015, the Seventh Circuit vacated the lower court opinions denying the injunction and remanded the dispute to the Bankruptcy Court for further proceedings. On January 11, 2016, the CEOC noteholders submitted a petition for rehearing before the Seventh Circuit en banc. The Seventh Circuit denied the petition, and on February 26, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court granted the stay request as to the BOKF Action until the sooner of 60 days after the Examiner releases his report or May 9, 2016. The Bankruptcy Court continued consideration of the stay request as to the other proceedings, and scheduled a status hearing for May 4, 2016. Separately, the Bankruptcy Court held an evidentiary hearing to determine whether the Debtors' petition date was January 12, 2015 or January 15, 2015. The Bankruptcy Court has indicated that it will decide that issue on March 16, 2016. Certain of the Debtors' creditors have indicated in filings with the Illinois bankruptcy court that an investigation into certain acts and transactions that predated the Debtors' bankruptcy filing could lead to claims against a number of parties, including Apollo. To date, no such claims have been brought against Apollo.

Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB v. Caesars Entertainment Corp. et al., No. 10004-CVG (Del. Ch.) (the "Trustee Action"). On August 4, 2014, Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB ("WSFS"), as trustee for certain CEOC second-lien notes, sued Caesars Entertainment Corporation ("Caesars Entertainment"), CEOC, other Caesars Entertainment-affiliated entities, and certain of Caesars Entertainment's directors, including Marc Rowan, Eric Press, David Sambur (each an Apollo Partner) and Jeff Benjamin (a consultant to Apollo), in Delaware's Court of Chancery. WSFS (i) asserts claims (against some or all of the defendants) for fraudulent conveyance, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of contract, corporate waste and aiding and abetting related to certain transactions among CEOC and other

Caesars Entertainment affiliates, and (ii) requests (among other things) that the court unwind the challenged transactions and award damages. WSFS served a subpoena for documents on Apollo on September 11, 2014, but Apollo's response was stayed during the pendency of motions to dismiss under a September 23, 2014 stipulated order. On March 18, 2015, the Court denied Defendants' motion to dismiss. Apollo served responses and objections to the Trustee's subpoena on March 25, 2015. Caesars Entertainment answered the complaint on April 1, 2015.

- 198-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

During the pendency of CEOC's bankruptcy proceedings, the Trustee Action has been automatically stayed with respect to CEOC. WSFS additionally advised the bankruptcy court that, during CEOC's bankruptcy proceedings, the Trustee would only pursue claims in the Trustee Action relating to whether Caesars Entertainment remains liable on a guarantee of certain of CEOC's second priority notes. On July 17, 2015, WSFS served supplemental subpoenas to several entities affiliated with Apollo. Apollo has substantially completed its production of non-privileged documents responsive to those subpoenas.

Meehancombs Global Credit Opportunities Master Fund, L.P., et al. v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-7091 (S.D.N.Y.) (the "Meehancombs Action"). On September 3, 2014, institutional investors allegedly holding approximately \$137 million in CEOC unsecured senior notes sued CEOC and Caesars Entertainment for breach of contract and the implied covenant of good faith, Trust Indenture Act ("TIA") violations and a declaratory judgment challenging the August 2014 private financing transaction in which a portion of outstanding senior unsecured notes were purchased by Caesars Entertainment, and a majority of the noteholders agreed to amend the indenture to terminate Caesars Entertainment's guarantee of the notes and modify certain restrictions on CEOC's ability to sell assets. Caesars Entertainment and CEOC filed a motion to dismiss on November 12, 2014. On January 15, 2015, the court granted the motion with respect to a TIA claim by Meehancombs but otherwise denied the motion. On January 30, 2015, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint seeking relief against Caesars Entertainment only, and Caesars Entertainment answered on February 12, 2015. On October 2, 2014, a related putative class action complaint was filed on behalf of the holders of these notes captioned Danner v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-7973 (S.D.N.Y.) (the "Danner Action"), against Caesars Entertainment alleging claims similar to those in the Meehancombs Action. On February 19, 2015, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint, and Caesars Entertainment answered the amended complaint on February 25, 2015. In March 2015, each of Meehancombs and Danner served subpoenas for documents on Apollo. Apollo produced responsive, non-privileged documents in response to those subpoenas. In July 2015, Meehancombs and Danner served subpoenas for depositions on Apollo and those depositions were completed on September 22, 2015. On October 23, 2015, Meehancombs and Danner filed motions for partial summary judgment, related to TIA and breach of contract claims. On December 29, 2015, the court denied the motions for partial summary judgment. The parties are currently engaged in expert discovery. Trial in the Meehancombs and Danner Actions is scheduled to begin May 9, 2016.

UMB Bank v. Caesars Entertainment Corporation, et al., No. 10393 (Del. Ch.) (the "UMB Action"). On November 25, 2014, UMB Bank, as trustee for certain CEOC notes, sued Caesars Entertainment, CEOC, other Caesars Entertainment-affiliated entities, and certain of Caesars Entertainment's directors, including Marc Rowan, Eric Press, David Sambur (each an Apollo Partner) and Jeffrey Benjamin (an Apollo consultant), in Delaware Chancery Court. The lawsuit alleges claims for actual and constructive fraudulent conveyance and transfer, insider preferences, illegal dividends, breach of contract, intentional interference with contractual relations, breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, usurpation of corporate opportunities, and unjust enrichment. The UMB Action seeks appointment of a receiver for CEOC, a constructive trust, and other relief. The UMB Action has been assigned to the same judge overseeing the Trustee Action. Upon filing the complaint, UMB Bank moved to expedite its claim, seeking a receiver, on which the court held oral argument on December 17, 2014. On January 15, 2015, the court entered a stipulated order staying the UMB Action as to all parties due to CEOC's bankruptcy filing.

Koskie v. Caesars Acquisition Company, et al., No. A-14-711712-C (Clark Cnty Nev. Dist. Ct.) (the "Koskie Action"). On December 30, 2014, Nicholas Koskie brought a shareholder class action on behalf of shareholders of Caesars Acquisition Company ("CAC") against CAC, Caesars Entertainment, and members of CAC's Board of Directors, including Marc Rowan and David Sambur (each an Apollo partner). The lawsuit challenges CAC and Caesars

Entertainment's plan to merge, alleging that the proposed transaction will not give CAC shareholders fair value. Koskie asserts claims for breach of fiduciary duty relating to the director defendants' interrelationships with

- 199-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

the entities involved the proposed transaction. The deadline for CAC to respond to this lawsuit has been adjourned indefinitely by agreement of the parties.

BOKF, N.A. v. Caesars Entertainment Corporation, No. 15-156 (S.D.N.Y.) (the “BOKF Action”). On March 3, 2015, BOKF, N.A., as trustee for certain CEOC notes, sued Caesars Entertainment in the Southern District of New York. The lawsuit alleges claims for breach of contract, intentional interference with contractual relations and a declaratory judgment, and seeks to enforce Caesars Entertainment’s guarantee of certain CEOC notes. The BOKF Action has been assigned to the same judge as the Meehancombs and Danner Actions. On March 25, 2015, Caesars Entertainment filed an answer to the complaint. On May 19, 2015, BOKF sent the court a letter requesting permission to file a partial summary judgment motion on Counts II and V of its complaint, related to the validity and enforceability of Caesars Entertainment’s guarantee of certain notes issued by CEOC and alleged violations of the Trust Indenture Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 76aaa, et seq. The Meehancombs and Danner plaintiffs did not join BOKF’s request to file for partial summary judgment. On May 28, 2015, the court granted BOKF permission to move for partial summary judgment. On June 15, 2015, another related complaint captioned UMB Bank, N.A. v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 15-cv-4634 (S.D.N.Y.) (the “UMB SDNY Action”) was filed by UMB Bank, N.A., solely in its capacity as Indenture Trustee of certain first lien notes (“UMB”), against Caesars Entertainment alleging claims similar to those alleged in the BOKF, Meehancombs and Danner Actions. On June 16, 2015, UMB sent a letter to the court requesting permission to file a partial summary judgment motion on the same schedule with BOKF. On June 26, 2015, BOKF and UMB filed partial summary judgment motions (the “Partial Summary Judgment Motions”). On July 24, 2015, Caesars Entertainment filed its opposition to the Partial Summary Judgment Motions, and on August 7, 2015, BOKF and UMB filed reply briefs in further support of the Partial Summary Judgment Motions. On August 27, 2015, the Court denied the Partial Summary Judgment Motions and certified its opinion for an interlocutory appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. On December 22, 2015, the Second Circuit declined to hear the interlocutory appeal. Separately, on November 20, 2015, BOKF and UMB filed a second set of motions for partial summary judgment, on the issue of the disputed contract interpretation related to indenture release provisions. On January 5, 2016 the District Court denied these motions. At a hearing on February 22, 2015, the Court bifurcated the trial in the BOKF and UMB Actions and scheduled the trial on the breach of contract and TIA claims to begin on March 14, 2016. The Court ordered a separate trial on the claims for breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing and tortious interference with contract to begin at a later date to be determined. On February 24, 2016, Caesars Entertainment filed a motion for partial summary judgment to dispose of the claims for (1) breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing brought by BOKF and UMB, and (2) intentional interference with contractual relations brought by BOKF. The plaintiffs’ responses are due on March 23, 2016, and Caesars Entertainment’s reply is due on April 1, 2016. Separately, on October 20, 2015, another related complaint captioned Wilmington Trust, National Association v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., No. 15-cv-08280 (S.D.N.Y.) (the “Wilmington Trust Action”) was filed by Wilmington Trust, N.A., solely in its capacity as Indenture Trustee for the 10.75% Notes due 2016 (“Wilmington Trust”), against Caesars Entertainment alleging claims similar to those alleged in the BOKF, UMB, Meehancombs, and Danner Actions. The Wilmington Trust Action has been referred to the same judge as the other Southern District of New York litigations.

Apollo believes that the claims in the Trustee Action, the UMB Action, the Meehancombs Action, the Danner Action, the Koskie Action, the BOKF Action, the UMB SDNY Action, and the Wilmington Trust Action are without merit. For this reason, and because of pending bankruptcy proceedings involving CEOC, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Following the January 16, 2014 announcement that CEC Entertainment, Inc. (“CEC”) had entered into a merger agreement with certain entities affiliated with Apollo (the “Merger Agreement”), four putative shareholder class actions were filed in the District Court of Shawnee County, Kansas on behalf of purported stockholders of CEC against, among others, CEC, its directors and Apollo and certain of its affiliates, which include Queso Holdings Inc., Q Merger Sub Inc., Apollo Management VIII, L.P., and AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P. The first purported class action, which is captioned Hilary Coyne v. Richard M. Frank et

- 200-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

al., Case No. 14C57, was filed on January 21, 2014 (the “Coyne Action”). The second purported class action, which was captioned John Solak v. CEC Entertainment, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 14C55, was filed on January 22, 2014 (the “Solak Action”). The Solak Action was dismissed for lack of prosecution on October 14, 2014. The third purported class action, which is captioned Irene Dixon v. CEC Entertainment, Inc. et al., Case No. 14C81, was filed on January 24, 2014 and additionally names as defendants Apollo Management VIII, L.P. and AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P. (the “Dixon Action”). The fourth purported class action, which is captioned Louisiana Municipal Public Employees’ Retirement System v. Frank, et al., Case No. 14C97, was filed on January 31, 2014 (the “LMPERS Action”) (together with the Coyne and Dixon Actions, the “Shareholder Actions”). A fifth purported class action, which was captioned McCullough v. Frank, et al., Case No. CC-14-00622-B, was filed in the County Court of Dallas County, Texas on February 7, 2014. This action was dismissed for want of prosecution on May 21, 2014. Each of the Shareholder Actions alleges, among other things, that CEC’s directors breached their fiduciary duties to CEC’s stockholders in connection with their consideration and approval of the Merger Agreement, including by agreeing to an inadequate price, agreeing to impermissible deal protection devices, and filing materially deficient disclosures regarding the transaction. Each of the Shareholder Actions further alleges that Apollo and certain of its affiliates aided and abetted those alleged breaches. As filed, the Shareholder Actions seek, among other things, rescission of the various transactions associated with the merger, damages and attorneys’ and experts’ fees and costs. On February 7, 2014 and February 11, 2014, the plaintiffs in the Shareholder Actions pursued a consolidated action for damages after the transaction closed. Thereafter, the Shareholder Actions were consolidated under the caption In re CEC Entertainment, Inc. Stockholder Litigation, Case No. 14C57, and the parties engaged in limited discovery. On July 21, 2015, a consolidated class action complaint was brought by Twin City Pipe Trades Pension Trust in the Shareholder Actions that did not name as defendants Apollo, Queso Holdings Inc., Q Merger Sub Inc., Apollo Management VIII, L.P., or AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P., continued to assert claims against CEC and its former directors, and added The Goldman Sachs Group Inc. (“Goldman Sachs”) as a defendant. The consolidated complaint alleges, among other things, that CEC’s former directors breached their fiduciary duties to CEC’s stockholders by conducting a deficient sales process, agreeing to impermissible deal protection devices, and filing materially deficient disclosures regarding the transaction. It further alleges that two members of the board who also served as the senior managers of the company had material conflicts of interest and that Goldman Sachs aided and abetted the board’s breaches as a result of various conflicts of interest facing the bank. The consolidated complaint seeks, among other things, to recover damages, attorneys’ fees and costs. On October 22, 2015, the parties to the consolidated action moved to dismiss the complaint. Although Apollo cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the consolidated action, and therefore no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time, Apollo believes that such action is without merit.

On June 10, 2014, Magnetar Global Event Driven Fund Ltd., Spectrum Opportunities Master Fund, Ltd., Magnetar Capital Master Fund, Ltd., and Blackwell Partners LLC, as the purported beneficial owners of shares held as of record by the nominal petitioner Cede & Co., (the “Appraisal Petitioners”), filed an action for statutory appraisal under Kansas state law against CEC in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas, captioned Magnetar Global Event Driven Master Fund Ltd, et al. v. CEC Entertainment, Inc., 2:14-cv-02279-RDR-KGS. The Appraisal Petitioners seek appraisal of 750,000 shares of common stock. CEC has answered the complaint and filed a verified list of stockholders, as required under Kansas law. On September 3, 2014, the court entered a scheduling order that contemplated that discovery would commence in the fall of 2014 and would be substantially completed by May 2015. On January 13, 2015, the court entered a revised scheduling order that contemplated that fact discovery would be completed by March 13, 2015, expert discovery would be completed by June 15, 2015. On June 25, 2015, the court entered an order requiring the Appraisal Petitioners to produce additional documents to CEC. On June 29, 2015, the court held a pretrial conference. Following this conference, on June 30, 2015, the court entered a pretrial order. On December 11, 2015, the court scheduled a trial to begin on February 16, 2016. After executing a settlement agreement

to resolve the Appraisal Petitioners' claims, on February 4, 2016, the court entered an order dismissing the action with prejudice.

On June 12, 2015, a putative class action was commenced in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California by Rachel Silva and Don Hudson, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, against Aviva plc; Athene Annuity and Life Company f/k/a Aviva Life and Annuity Company ("Aviva"); Athene USA Corporation f/k/a Aviva USA Corporation; Athene Holding; Athene Life Re Ltd.; Athene Asset Management; and AGM. The complaint in this action alleges violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 1962(c) and (d). The plaintiffs allege that commencing in 2007 and continuing thereafter, Aviva and its then management engaged in a scheme to, among other things, falsely represent the financial strength of and hide the true financial condition of Aviva by, among other things, allegedly ceding risky liabilities to Aviva's undercapitalized subsidiaries and affiliates, misvaluing assets, and failing to make required disclosures to purchasers of policies, and that after Athene Holding purchased all of the outstanding stock of Aviva's parent effective October 2, 2013 the scheme was unwound and rewound so as to continue, and that as a result thereof some of the purchasers of annuity products issued by Aviva were charged an excessive price and were damaged as a result thereof. All defendants (except Aviva plc) have (a) moved to transfer this action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa and (b) moved to dismiss

- 201-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

this action. Aviva plc separately moved to dismiss the action for lack of jurisdiction over it. All of these motions were heard by the Court on December 15, 2015, and the Court reserved decisions. In connection with these motions, the plaintiffs served discovery requests limited to the motion to transfer and Aviva plc's motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. If the action is not dismissed, Athene Asset Management and AGM (and the other defendants) will deny the material allegations of the complaint and will vigorously defend themselves against these claims. Although neither Athene Asset Management nor AGM can predict the ultimate outcome of this action, each believes that it is without merit, and because this action is in its early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Following the June 1, 2015 announcement that OM Group, Inc. ("OM Group") had entered into a merger agreement (the "OM Group Merger Agreement") with certain entities affiliated with AGM and an entity affiliated with Platform Specialty Products Corporation ("PSP"), six putative class actions were filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware on behalf of purported OM Group stockholders against certain current and former OM Group directors, the merger entities affiliated with AGM, which include Duke Acquisition Holdings, LLC and Duke Acquisition, Inc. (together with AGM, the "Apollo Parties"), and, except in one action, the merger entity affiliated with PSP, MacDermid Americas Acquisitions Inc. (together with PSP, the "PSP Parties"). AGM, PSP, and OM Group were also named as defendants in some of these putative class actions. On July 16, 2015, these six actions were consolidated into a putative class action captioned In re OM Group Inc. Stockholders Litigation, Consol. Case No. 11216-VCN (the "Consolidated Action"). The plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action subsequently designated the complaint previously filed in the action captioned City of Sarasota Firefighters' Pension Fund v. Apollo Global Management, LLC, Case No. 11249-VCN as the Consolidated Action's operative complaint. That complaint challenges, among other things, the OM Group Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, alleging, among other things, that OM Group's directors breached their fiduciary duties to OM Group stockholders by engaging in a flawed sales process, agreeing to a price that does not adequately compensate OM Group stockholders, agreeing to certain unfair deal protection terms in the OM Group Merger Agreement and by failing to disclose material information to OM Group stockholders. The complaint also alleges that the Apollo Parties and the PSP Parties aided and abetted these alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. The complaint seeks various remedies, including declaratory relief and preliminary and permanent injunctive relief. While plaintiffs had declared their intent to pursue preliminary injunctive relief, and a hearing had been scheduled for August 6, plaintiffs dropped that request on August 2, 2015. The court has not yet set a schedule for resolving the case on the merits. Because this action is in its early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made. Apollo believes that the allegations in the complaint are without merit and intends to vigorously defend the Consolidated Action.

On January 26, 2016, Verso Corporation and its subsidiaries ("Verso"), a portfolio company of certain of our private equity funds, filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. In connection with the bankruptcy filing, Verso entered into a debtor-in-possession financing package totaling \$775 million.

As has been reported in the press, the SEC has focused recently on the disclosure to limited partners of the acceleration of certain special fees. The Company provided information about this topic to the staff of the SEC in connection with the SEC's periodic examination of the Company in 2013. The Company recently received an informal request for additional information from the staff of the SEC on this topic and certain ancillary issues. The Company is fully and voluntarily cooperating with the informal requests and is in discussions with the SEC regarding a potential resolution of these matters. As of December 31, 2015, the Company accrued a \$45.0 million legal reserve in connection with these matters.

The Company received an informal request for information from the staff of the SEC concerning the use of designated lender counsel with respect to financing buyout transactions, an issue recently covered in the press. The Company is fully cooperating with the SEC's request for information.

Although the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be ascertained at this time, Apollo is of the opinion, after consultation with counsel, that the resolution of any such matters to which it is a party at this time will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements. Legal actions material to Apollo could, however, arise in the future.

Commitments—Apollo leases office space and certain office equipment under various lease and sublease arrangements, which expire on various dates through 2024. As these leases expire, it can be expected that in the normal course of business, they will be renewed or replaced. Certain lease agreements contain renewal options, rent escalation provisions based on certain costs incurred by the landlord or other inducements provided by the landlord. Rent expense is accrued to recognize lease escalation provisions and inducements provided by the landlord, if any, on a straight-line basis over the lease term and renewal periods where applicable. Apollo has entered into various operating lease service agreements in respect of certain assets.

- 202-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

As of December 31, 2015, the approximate aggregate minimum future payments required for operating leases were as follows:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Thereafter	Total
Aggregate minimum future payments	\$37,812	\$35,871	\$31,207	\$30,641	\$14,159	\$10,817	\$160,507

Expenses related to non-cancellable contractual obligations for premises, equipment, auto and other assets were \$41.9 million, \$42.5 million and \$42.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Other Long-term Obligations—These obligations relate to payments with respect to certain consulting agreements entered into by Apollo Investment Consulting LLC, a subsidiary of Apollo, as well as long-term service contracts. A significant portion of these costs are reimbursable by funds or portfolio companies. As of December 31, 2015, fixed and determinable payments due in connection with these obligations were as follows:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Thereafter	Total
Other long-term obligations	\$10,594	\$5,282	\$4,908	\$2,329	\$—	\$—	\$23,113

Contingent Obligations—Carried interest income with respect to private equity funds and certain credit and real estate funds is subject to reversal in the event of future losses to the extent of the cumulative carried interest recognized in income to date. If all of the existing investments became worthless, the amount of cumulative revenues that have been recognized by Apollo through December 31, 2015 and that would be reversed approximates \$2.4 billion. Management views the possibility of all of the investments becoming worthless as remote. Carried interest income is affected by changes in the fair values of the underlying investments in the funds that Apollo manages. Valuations, on an unrealized basis, can be significantly affected by a variety of external factors including, but not limited to, bond yields and industry trading multiples. Movements in these items can affect valuations quarter to quarter even if the underlying business fundamentals remain stable.

Additionally, at the end of the life of certain funds that the Company manages, there could be a payment due to a fund by the Company if the Company, as general partner, has received more carried interest income than was ultimately earned. The general partner obligation amount, if any, will depend on final realized values of investments at the end of the life of each fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement of the fund. See note 15 to our consolidated financial statements for further detail regarding the general partner obligation.

Certain funds may not generate carried interest income as a result of unrealized and realized losses that are recognized in the current and prior reporting period. In certain cases, carried interest income will not be generated until additional unrealized and realized gains occur. Any appreciation would first cover the deductions for invested capital, unreturned organizational expenses, operating expenses, management fees and priority returns based on the terms of the respective fund agreements.

One of the Company's subsidiaries, AGS, provides underwriting commitments in connection with securities offerings to the portfolio companies of the funds Apollo manages. As of December 31, 2015, there were no underwriting commitments outstanding related to such offerings.

Contingent Consideration

In connection with the acquisition of Stone Tower in April 2012, the Company agreed to pay the former owners of Stone Tower a specified percentage of any future carried interest income earned from certain of the Stone Tower funds, CLOs, and strategic investment accounts. This contingent consideration liability was determined based on the present value of estimated future carried interest payments, and is recorded in profit sharing payable in the consolidated statements of financial condition. The fair value of the remaining contingent obligation was \$70.9 million and \$84.5 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Explanation of Responses:

In connection with the Gulf Stream acquisition, the Company agreed to make payments to the former owners of Gulf Stream under a contingent consideration obligation which required the Company to transfer cash to the former owners of Gulf Stream based on a specified percentage of carried interest income. The contingent liability had a fair value of \$8.7 million and

- 203-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

\$11.6 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which was recorded in profit sharing payable in the consolidated statements of financial condition.

The contingent consideration obligations will be remeasured to fair value at each reporting period until the obligations are satisfied. The changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations is reflected in profit sharing expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

The contingent consideration obligations are measured at fair value and are characterized as Level III liabilities. See note 6 for further information regarding fair value measurements.

17. MARKET AND CREDIT RISK

In the normal course of business, Apollo encounters market and credit risk concentrations. Market risk reflects changes in the value of investments due to changes in interest rates, credit spreads or other market factors. Credit risk includes the risk of default on Apollo's investments, where the counterparty is unable or unwilling to make required or expected payments.

The Company is subject to a concentration risk related to the investors in its funds. As of December 31, 2015, there were more than 1,000 investors in Apollo's active private equity, credit and real estate funds, and no individual investor accounted for more than 10% of the total committed capital to Apollo's active funds.

Apollo's derivative financial instruments contain credit risk to the extent that its counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreements. Apollo seeks to minimize this risk by limiting its counterparties to highly rated major financial institutions with good credit ratings. Management does not expect any material losses as a result of default by other parties.

Substantially all amounts on deposit with major financial institutions that exceed insured limits are invested in interest-bearing accounts with U.S. money center banks.

Apollo is exposed to economic risk concentrations insofar as Apollo is dependent on the ability of the funds that it manages to compensate it for the services it provides to these funds. Further, the carried interest income component of this compensation is based on the ability of such funds to generate returns above certain specified thresholds.

Additionally, Apollo is exposed to interest rate risk. Apollo has debt obligations that have variable rates. Interest rate changes may therefore affect the amount of interest payments, future earnings and cash flows. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, \$530.7 million and \$534.1 million of Apollo's debt balance (excluding debt of the consolidated VIEs) had a variable interest rate, respectively.

18. SEGMENT REPORTING

Apollo conducts its business primarily in the United States and substantially all of its revenues are generated domestically. Apollo's business is conducted through three reportable segments namely private equity, credit and real estate.

These business segments are differentiated based on the varying investment strategies. The performance is measured by management on an unconsolidated basis because management makes operating decisions and assesses the performance of each of Apollo's business segments based on financial and operating metrics and data that exclude the effects of consolidation of any of the affiliated funds.

The Company's financial results vary since carried interest, which generally constitutes a large portion of the income from the funds that Apollo manages, as well as the transaction and advisory fees that the Company receives, can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. As a result, the Company emphasizes long-term financial growth and profitability to manage its business.

- 204-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Economic Income (Loss)

Economic Income, or EI, is a key performance measure used by management in evaluating the performance of Apollo's private equity, credit and real estate segments. Management believes the components of EI, such as the amount of management fees, advisory and transaction fees and carried interest income, are indicative of the Company's performance. Management uses EI in making key operating decisions such as the following:

- Decisions related to the allocation of resources such as staffing decisions including hiring and locations for deployment of the new hires;

- Decisions related to capital deployment such as providing capital to facilitate growth for the business and/or to facilitate expansion into new businesses; and

- Decisions relating to expenses, such as determining annual discretionary bonuses and equity-based compensation awards to its employees. With respect to compensation, management seeks to align the interests of certain professionals and selected other individuals with those of the investors in such funds and those of the Company's shareholders by providing such individuals a profit sharing interest in the carried interest income earned in relation to the funds. To achieve that objective, a certain amount of compensation is based on the Company's performance and growth for the year.

EI is a measure of profitability and has certain limitations in that it does not take into account certain items included under U.S. GAAP. EI represents segment income (loss) before income tax provision excluding transaction-related charges arising from the 2007 private placement, and any acquisitions. Transaction-related charges include equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets, contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions. In addition, segment data excludes non-cash revenue and expense related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company, as well as the assets, liabilities and operating results of the funds and VIEs that are included in the consolidated financial statements.

During the first quarter of 2015 the definition of Economic Income ("EI") was changed to exclude transaction-related charges related to contingent consideration associated with acquisitions, which only impacted the credit segment. The impact of this change on EI has been made to prior period financial data to conform to the current period presentation and resulted in the following impact to the Company's credit segment for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Impact of Revised Definition on Economic Income (Loss)		
	Total EI as Previously Reported	Impact of Revised Definition	Total EI After Revised Definition
For the Year Ended December 31, 2014	\$755,546	\$(495) \$755,051
For the Year Ended December 31, 2013	2,127,651	61,449	2,189,100

These changes have been made to prior period financial data to conform to the current period presentation.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table presents financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2015			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$(7,485) \$17,246	\$4,425	\$14,186
Management fees from affiliates	295,836	565,241	50,816	911,893
Carried interest income from affiliates:				
Unrealized gains (losses) ⁽¹⁾	(314,161) (80,534) 7,154	(387,541
Realized gains	339,822	139,152	5,857	484,831
Total Revenues	314,012	641,105	68,252	1,023,369
Expenses:				
Compensation and benefits:				
Salary, bonus and benefits	104,367	213,479	38,076	355,922
Equity-based compensation	31,324	26,683	4,177	62,184
Profit sharing expense	46,572	34,384	5,075	86,031
Total compensation and benefits	182,263	274,546	47,328	504,137
Other expenses	80,109	127,767	22,869	230,745
Total Expenses	262,372	402,313	70,197	734,882
Other Income:				
Net interest expense	(9,878) (13,740) (2,915) (26,533
Net gains from investment activities	6,933	114,199	—	121,132
Income (loss) from equity method investments	19,125	(6,025) 2,978	16,078
Other income, net	3,148	3,574	1,455	8,177
Total Other Income	19,328	98,008	1,518	118,854
Non-Controlling Interests	—	(11,684) —	(11,684
Economic Income (Loss)	\$70,968	\$325,116	\$(427) \$395,657
Total Assets	\$1,255,340	\$2,143,813	\$192,469	\$3,591,622

Included in unrealized carried interest losses from affiliates for the year ended December 31, 2015 was a reversal (1) of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income. See note 15 for further detail regarding the general partner obligation.

- 206-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2014			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$58,241	\$255,186	\$2,655	\$316,082
Management fees from affiliates	315,069	538,742	47,213	901,024
Carried interest income from affiliates:				
Unrealized gains (losses) ⁽¹⁾	(1,196,093) (156,644) 4,951	(1,347,786)
Realized gains	1,428,076	322,233	3,998	1,754,307
Total Revenues	605,293	959,517	58,817	1,623,627
Expenses:				
Compensation and benefits:				
Salary, bonus and benefits	96,689	210,546	32,611	339,846
Equity-based compensation	49,526	47,120	8,849	105,495
Profit sharing expense	178,373	83,788	2,747	264,908
Total compensation and benefits	324,588	341,454	44,207	710,249
Other expenses	70,286	151,252	21,669	243,207
Total Expenses	394,874	492,706	65,876	953,456
Other Income:				
Net interest expense	(7,883) (9,274) (1,941) (19,098)
Net gains from investment activities	—	9,062	—	9,062
Income from equity method investments	30,418	18,812	5,675	54,905
Other income, net	14,027	35,263	3,409	52,699
Total Other Income	36,562	53,863	7,143	97,568
Non-Controlling Interests	—	(12,688) —	(12,688)
Economic Income	\$246,981	\$507,986	\$84	\$755,051
Total Assets	\$1,833,254	\$2,136,173	\$202,395	\$4,171,822

Included in unrealized carried interest losses from affiliates for the year ended December 31, 2014 was a reversal (1) of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income. See note 15 for further detail regarding the general partner obligation.

- 207-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2013			Total
	Private	Credit	Real	Reportable
	Equity	Segment	Estate	Segments
	Segment		Segment	
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$78,371	\$114,643	\$3,548	\$196,562
Management fees from affiliates	284,833	392,433	53,436	730,702
Carried interest income from affiliates:				
Unrealized gains (losses) ⁽¹⁾	454,722	(56,568)	4,681	402,835
Realized gains	2,062,525	430,260	541	2,493,326
Total Revenues	2,880,451	880,768	62,206	3,823,425
Expenses:				
Compensation and benefits:				
Salary, bonus and benefits	109,761	153,056	31,936	294,753
Equity-based compensation	31,967	24,167	10,207	66,341
Profit sharing expense	1,030,404	81,279	123	1,111,806
Total compensation and benefits	1,172,132	258,502	42,266	1,472,900
Other expenses	100,896	147,525	24,528	272,949
Total Expenses	1,273,028	406,027	66,794	1,745,849
Other Income:				
Net interest expense	(10,701)	(9,686)	(2,804)	(23,191)
Net loss from investment activities	—	(12,593)	—	(12,593)
Income from equity method investments	78,811	30,678	3,722	113,211
Other income, net	13,774	32,193	2,115	48,082
Total Other Income	81,884	40,592	3,033	125,509
Non-Controlling Interests	—	(13,985)	—	(13,985)
Economic Income (Loss)	\$1,689,307	\$501,348	\$(1,555)	\$2,189,100

Included in unrealized carried interest losses from affiliates for the year ended December 31, 2013 was a reversal (1) of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income. See note 15 for further detail regarding the general partner obligation.

- 208-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables reconcile the total reportable segments to Apollo's income before income tax provision and total assets as of and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2015		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$1,023,369	\$18,301	(1) \$1,041,670
Expenses	734,882	96,093	(2) 830,975
Other income	118,854	47,679	(3) 166,533
Non-Controlling Interests	(11,684)	11,684	—
Economic Income / Income before income tax provision	\$395,657	(4) \$(18,429)	\$377,228
Total Assets	\$3,591,622	\$968,186	(5) \$4,559,808

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2014		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$1,623,627	\$(63,544)	(1) \$1,560,083
Expenses	953,456	90,107	(2) 1,043,563
Other income	97,568	263,079	(3) 360,647
Non-Controlling Interests	(12,688)	12,688	—
Economic Income / Income before income tax provision	\$755,051	(4) \$122,116	\$877,167
Total Assets	\$4,171,822	\$19,000,966	(5) \$23,172,788

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2013		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$3,823,425	\$(89,854)	(1) \$3,733,571
Expenses	1,745,849	195,866	(2) 1,941,715
Other income	125,509	564,198	(3) 689,707
Non-Controlling Interests	(13,985)	13,985	—
Economic Income / Income before income tax provision	\$2,189,100	(4) \$292,463	\$2,481,563

Represents advisory fees, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are (1) eliminated in consolidation. Includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and VIEs and transaction-related charges.

(2) Transaction-related charges include equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets, contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions.

(3) Results from the following:

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014	2013	
Net gains from investment activities	\$591	\$204,181	\$342,828	
Net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	19,050	22,564	199,742	
Loss from equity method investments	(1,223) (1,048) (5,861)
Other income, net	29,261	37,382	27,489	
Total consolidation adjustments	\$47,679	\$263,079	\$564,198	

(4) The reconciliation of Economic Income to income before income tax provision reported in the consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014	2013	
Economic Income	395,657	755,051	2,189,100	
Adjustments:				
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities and appropriated partners' capital	21,364	157,011	456,953	
Transaction-related charges ⁽⁶⁾	(39,793) (34,895) (164,490)
Total consolidation adjustments and other	\$(18,429) \$122,116	\$292,463	
Income before income tax provision	\$377,228	\$877,167	\$2,481,563	

Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and VIEs. Upon adoption of new accounting guidance (see (5) note 2), debt issuance costs previously recorded in other assets in the consolidated statements of financial condition were reclassified as a direct deduction of the carrying amount of the related debt arrangement.

Transaction-related charges include equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets, contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions. Equity-based compensation (6) adjustment includes non-cash revenues and expenses related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

19. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 14, 2016, the Company issued 529,395 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs. This issuance caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase from 45.6% to 45.7%.

On February 3, 2016, the Company declared a cash distribution of \$0.28 per Class A share, which will be paid on February 29, 2016 to holders of record on February 19, 2016.

In February 2016, Apollo adopted a plan to repurchase up to \$250 million in the aggregate of its Class A shares, including up to \$150 million in the aggregate of its outstanding Class A shares through a share repurchase program and up to \$100 million through a reduction of Class A shares to be issued to employees to satisfy associated tax obligations in connection with the settlement of equity-based awards granted under the Company's equity incentive plan. Under the share repurchase program, shares may be repurchased from time to time in open market transactions, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, with the size and timing of these repurchases depending on legal requirements, price, market and economic conditions and other factors.

On February 5, 2016, the Company issued 2,745,799 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs. This issuance caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase from 45.7% to 46.0%.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

20. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

	For the Three Months Ended			
	March 31, 2015 ⁽¹⁾	June 30, 2015	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2015
Revenues	\$303,024	\$351,727	\$193,268	\$193,651
Expenses	223,996	244,539	174,911	187,529
Other Income	7,984	49,978	84,793	23,778
Income Before Provision for Taxes	\$87,012	\$157,166	\$103,150	\$29,900
Net Income	\$81,498	\$148,074	\$96,559	\$24,364
Net income attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$30,927	\$56,428	\$41,051	\$6,091
Net Income per Class A Share - Basic	\$0.09	\$0.30	\$0.20	\$0.02
Net Income per Class A Share - Diluted	\$0.09	\$0.30	\$0.20	\$0.02

Apollo adopted new U.S. GAAP consolidation and collateralized financing entity (“CFE”) guidance during the three months ended June 30, 2015 which resulted in the deconsolidation of certain funds and VIEs as of January 1, 2015 and a measurement alternative of the financial assets and liabilities of the remaining consolidated CLOs as of (1) January 1, 2015. The adoption did not impact net income attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC but did impact various line items within the statement of operations and financial condition. See note 2 for details regarding the Company’s adoption of the new consolidation and CFE guidance.

	For the Three Months Ended			
	March 31, 2014	June 30, 2014	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2014
Revenues	\$491,400	\$572,152	\$221,135	\$275,396
Expenses	314,119	354,369	177,388	197,687
Other Income (Loss)	314,912	69,556	(82,135)	58,314
Income Before Provision for Taxes	\$492,193	\$287,339	\$(38,388)	\$136,023
Net Income (Loss)	\$459,644	\$252,302	\$(67,764)	\$85,740
Net income attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$72,169	\$71,668	\$2,210	\$22,182
Net Income (Loss) per Class A Share - Basic	\$0.32	\$0.33	\$(0.05)	\$0.04
Net Income (Loss) per Class A Share - Diluted	\$0.32	\$0.33	\$(0.05)	\$0.04

	For the Three Months Ended			
	March 31, 2013	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2013
Revenues	\$1,309,073	\$497,261	\$1,132,089	\$795,148
Expenses	622,602	322,787	600,115	396,211
Other Income (Loss)	132,173	(8,165)	210,820)	354,879
Income Before Provision for Taxes	\$818,644	\$166,309	\$742,794	\$753,816
Net Income	\$800,065	\$148,170	\$695,590	\$730,169
Net income attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$248,978	\$58,737	\$192,516	\$159,160
Net Income per Class A Share-Basic	\$1.60	\$0.32	\$1.13	\$0.94

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Net Income per Class A Share - Diluted	\$1.59	\$0.32	\$1.13	\$0.93
--	--------	--------	--------	--------

- 211 -

Table of ContentsITEM 8A. UNAUDITED SUPPLEMENTAL PRESENTATION OF STATEMENTS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (Unaudited)
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2015			
	Apollo Global Management, LLC and Consolidated Subsidiaries	Consolidated Funds and VIEs	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$612,505	\$—	\$—	\$612,505
Cash and cash equivalents held at consolidated funds	—	4,817	—	4,817
Restricted cash	5,700	—	—	5,700
Investments	1,223,407	28,547	(97,205)	1,154,749
Assets of consolidated variable interest entities				
Cash and cash equivalents	—	56,793	—	56,793
Investments, at fair value	—	910,858	(292)	910,566
Other assets	—	63,413	—	63,413
Carried interest receivable	643,907	—	—	643,907
Due from affiliates	248,972	—	(1,137)	247,835
Deferred tax assets	646,207	—	—	646,207
Other assets	93,452	2,636	(244)	95,844
Goodwill	88,852	—	—	88,852
Intangible assets, net	28,620	—	—	28,620
Total Assets	\$3,591,622	\$1,067,064	\$(98,878)	\$4,559,808
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$92,012	\$—	\$—	\$92,012
Accrued compensation and benefits	54,836	—	—	54,836
Deferred revenue	177,875	—	—	177,875
Due to affiliates	594,536	—	—	594,536
Profit sharing payable	295,674	—	—	295,674
Debt	1,025,255	—	—	1,025,255
Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities:				
Debt, at fair value	—	843,584	(42,314)	801,270
Other liabilities	—	86,226	(244)	85,982
Due to affiliates	—	1,137	(1,137)	—
Other liabilities	38,750	4,637	—	43,387
Total Liabilities	2,278,938	935,584	(43,695)	3,170,827
Shareholders' Equity:				
Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity:				
Additional paid in capital	2,005,509	—	—	2,005,509
Accumulated deficit	(1,348,386)	34,468	(34,466)	(1,348,384)

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Appropriated partners' capital	—	—	—	—
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(5,171) (2,496) 47	(7,620)
Total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity	651,952	31,972	(34,419)	649,505
Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	7,817	99,508	(20,764)	86,561
Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	652,915	—	—	652,915
Total Shareholders' Equity	1,312,684	131,480	(55,183)	1,388,981
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$3,591,622	\$ 1,067,064	\$ (98,878)	\$ 4,559,808

- 212-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (Unaudited)
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2014			
	Apollo Global Management, LLC and Consolidated Subsidiaries	Consolidated Funds and VIEs	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,204,052	\$—	\$—	\$ 1,204,052
Cash and cash equivalents held at consolidated funds	—	1,611	—	1,611
Restricted cash	6,353	—	—	6,353
Investments	857,391	2,173,989	(151,374)	2,880,006
Assets of consolidated variable interest entities				
Cash and cash equivalents	—	1,088,952	—	1,088,952
Investments, at fair value	—	15,658,948	(295)	15,658,653
Other assets	—	323,932	(692)	323,240
Carried interest receivable	958,846	—	(47,180)	911,666
Due from affiliates	278,632	—	(10,617)	268,015
Deferred tax assets	606,717	—	—	606,717
Other assets	110,940	3,578	(277)	114,241
Goodwill	88,852	—	(39,609)	49,243
Intangible assets, net	60,039	—	—	60,039
Total Assets	\$4,171,822	\$19,251,010	\$ (250,044)	\$23,172,788
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$43,772	\$474	\$—	\$44,246
Accrued compensation and benefits	59,278	—	—	59,278
Deferred revenue	199,614	—	—	199,614
Due to affiliates	564,799	354	—	565,153
Profit sharing payable	434,852	—	—	434,852
Debt	1,027,965	—	—	1,027,965
Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities:				
Debt, at fair value	—	14,170,474	(47,374)	14,123,100
Other liabilities	—	728,957	(239)	728,718
Due to affiliates	—	58,526	(58,526)	—
Other liabilities	42,183	4,218	—	46,401
Total Liabilities	2,372,463	14,963,003	(106,139)	17,229,327
Shareholders' Equity:				
Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity:				
Additional paid in capital	2,256,054	—	(1,771)	2,254,283
Accumulated deficit	(1,433,759) 2,175,406	(2,142,308)	(1,400,661)
Appropriated partners' capital	—	972,774	(39,608)	933,166
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	33,052	—	(33,358)	(306)
Total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity	855,347	3,148,180	(2,217,045)	1,786,482

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	9,228	1,139,827	2,073,140	3,222,195
Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	934,784	—	—	934,784
Total Shareholders' Equity	1,799,359	4,288,007	(143,905)	5,943,461
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$4,171,822	\$19,251,010	\$ (250,044)	\$23,172,788

- 213-

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain “disclosure controls and procedures”, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired objectives. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) are effective at the reasonable assurance level to accomplish their objectives of ensuring that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act) occurred during our most recent quarter, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Apollo is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Apollo’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of its principal executive and principal financial officers to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of its consolidated financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Apollo’s internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets, provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the directors, and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Apollo’s assets that could have a material effect on its financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of Apollo's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 based on the framework established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has determined that Apollo's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 was effective. Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited Apollo's financial statements included in this annual report on Form 10-K and issued its report on the effectiveness of Apollo's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, which is included herein.

- 214-

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table presents certain information concerning our board of directors and executive officers:

Name	Age	Position(s)
Leon Black	64	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Joshua Harris	51	Senior Managing Director and Director
Marc Rowan	53	Senior Managing Director and Director
Martin Kelly	48	Chief Financial Officer
John Suydam	56	Chief Legal Officer
Michael Ducey	67	Director
Paul Fribourg	62	Director
Robert Kraft	74	Director
A.B. Krongard	79	Director
Pauline Richards	67	Director

Leon Black. Mr. Black is the Chairman of the board of directors and Chief Executive Officer of Apollo and a Managing Partner of Apollo Management, L.P. In 1990, Mr. Black founded Apollo Management, L.P. and Lion Advisors, L.P. to manage investment capital on behalf of a group of institutional investors, focusing on corporate restructuring, leveraged buyouts and taking minority positions in growth-oriented companies. From 1977 to 1990, Mr. Black worked at Drexel Burnham Lambert Incorporated, where he served as a Managing Director, head of the Mergers & Acquisitions Group, and co-head of the Corporate Finance Department. Mr. Black also serves on the board of directors of the general partner of AAA and previously served on the board of directors of Sirius XM Radio Inc. Mr. Black is a Co-Chairman of The Museum of Modern Art and a trustee of The Mount Sinai Medical Center and The Asia Society. He is also a member of The Council on Foreign Relations and The Partnership for New York City. He is also a member of the boards of directors of FasterCures and the Port Authority Task Force. Mr. Black graduated summa cum laude from Dartmouth College in 1973 with a major in Philosophy and History and received an MBA from Harvard Business School in 1975. Mr. Black has significant experience making and managing private equity investments on behalf of Apollo and has over 36 years' experience financing, analyzing and investing in public and private companies. In his prior positions with Drexel and in his positions at Apollo, Mr. Black is responsible for leading and overseeing teams of professionals. His extensive experience allows Mr. Black to provide insight into various aspects of Apollo's business and is of significant value to the board of directors.

Joshua Harris. Mr. Harris is a Senior Managing Director and a member of the board of directors of Apollo and a Managing Partner of Apollo Management, L.P., which he co-founded in 1990. Prior to 1990, Mr. Harris was a member of the Mergers and Acquisitions group of Drexel Burnham Lambert Incorporated. Mr. Harris has previously served on the board of directors of Berry Plastics Group Inc., EP Energy Corporation, EPE Acquisition, LLC, CEVA Logistics, Momentive Performance Materials Holdings LLC, Constellium N.V., LyondellBasell Industries B.V., Momentive Specialty Chemicals Inc. and Momentive Specialty Chemicals Holdings LLC. Mr. Harris is a member of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York's Investor Advisory Committee, the Council of Foreign Relations, and is on the Board of Trustees of Mount Sinai Medical Center. He participates on the University of Pennsylvania's Wharton School's Board of Overseers, the Board of Trustees at the Harvard Business School and certain other charitable and educational boards. Mr. Harris is a Managing Member of the Philadelphia 76ers and a Managing Member of the New Jersey Devils. Mr. Harris graduated summa cum laude and Beta Gamma Sigma from the University of Pennsylvania's

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Wharton School of Business with a B.S. in Economics and received his M.B.A. from the Harvard Business School, where he graduated as a Baker and Loeb

- 215-

Table of Contents

Scholar. Mr. Harris has significant experience in making and managing private equity investments on behalf of Apollo and has over 26 years' experience in financing, analyzing and investing in public and private companies. Mr. Harris's extensive knowledge of Apollo's business and experience in a variety of senior leadership roles enhance the breadth of experience of the board of directors.

Marc Rowan. Mr. Rowan is a Senior Managing Director and member of the board of directors of Apollo and a Managing Partner of Apollo Management, L.P., which he co-founded in 1990. Prior to 1990, Mr. Rowan was a member of the Mergers & Acquisitions Group of Drexel Burnham Lambert Incorporated, with responsibilities in high yield financing, transaction idea generation and merger structure negotiation. Mr. Rowan currently serves on the boards of directors of, inter alia, Athene Holding Ltd, Caesars Entertainment Corporation, Caesars Acquisition Co. and Caesars Entertainment Operating Co. He has previously served on the boards of directors of, inter alia, the general partner of AAA, AMC Entertainment, Inc., Cablecom GmbH, Culligan Water Technologies, Inc., Countrywide Holdings Limited, Furniture Brands International Inc., Mobile Satellite Ventures, LLC, National Cinemedia, Inc., National Financial Partners, Inc., New World Communications, Inc., Norwegian Cruise Lines, Quality Distribution, Inc., Samsonite Corporation, SkyTerra Communications Inc., Unity Media SCA, Vail Resorts, Inc. and Wyndham International, Inc. Mr. Rowan is also active in charitable activities. He is a founding member and Chairman of the YRF-Darca and is a member of the Board of Overseers of the University of Pennsylvania's Wharton School of Business and serves on the boards of directors of Jerusalem Online and the New York City Police Foundation. Mr. Rowan graduated summa cum laude from the University of Pennsylvania's Wharton School of Business with a B.S. and an M.B.A. in Finance. Mr. Rowan has significant experience making and managing private equity investments on behalf of Apollo and has over 27 years' experience financing, analyzing and investing in public and private companies. Mr. Rowan's extensive financial background and expertise in private equity investments enhance the breadth of experience of the board of directors.

Martin Kelly. Mr. Kelly joined Apollo in 2012 as Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Kelly also oversees the Firm's IT, Risk, Operations and Audit groups. From 2008 to 2012, Mr. Kelly was with Barclays Capital and, from 2000 to 2008, Mr. Kelly was with Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. Prior to departing Barclays Capital, Mr. Kelly served as Managing Director, CFO of the Americas, and Global Head of Financial Control for their Corporate and Investment Bank. Prior to joining Lehman Brothers in 2000, Mr. Kelly spent 13 years with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, including serving in the Financial Services Group in New York from 1994 to 2000. Mr. Kelly was appointed a Partner of the firm in 1999. Mr. Kelly received a degree in Commerce, majoring in Finance and Accounting, from the University of New South Wales in 1989.

John Suydam. Mr. Suydam joined Apollo in 2006 and serves as Apollo's Chief Legal Officer. From 2002 to 2006, Mr. Suydam was a partner at O'Melveny & Myers LLP where he served as head of Mergers and Acquisitions and co-head of the Corporate Department. Prior to that time, Mr. Suydam served as Chairman of the law firm O'Sullivan, LLP which specialized in representing private equity investors. Mr. Suydam serves on the boards of The Legal Action Center, Environmental Solutions Worldwide, Inc. and New York University School of Law, and is a member of the Department of Medicine Advisory Board of the Mount Sinai Medical Center. Mr. Suydam received his J.D. from New York University and graduated magna cum laude with a B.A. in History from the State University of New York at Albany.

Michael Ducey. Mr. Ducey has served as an independent director of Apollo and a member of the audit committee and as Chairman of the conflicts committee of our board of directors since 2011. Mr. Ducey was with Compass Minerals International, Inc., from March 2002 to May 2006, where he served in a variety of roles, including as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director prior to his retirement in May 2006. Prior to joining Compass Minerals International, Inc., Mr. Ducey worked for nearly 30 years at Borden Chemical, Inc., in various management, sales, marketing, planning and commercial development positions, and ultimately as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director. Mr. Ducey is currently a director of and serves as the Chairman of the audit committee of Verso Paper Holdings, Inc. He is also the Chairman of the compliance and governance committee and the nominations committee of the board of directors of HaloSource, Inc. Mr. Ducey joined Ciner Resources Corporation (formerly OCI Resources LP) as an independent member of the board of directors in September 2014, where he serves on the audit committee and the conflicts committee. From September 2009 to December 2012, Mr. Ducey was the non-executive Chairman of

TPC Group, Inc. and served on the audit committee and the environmental health and safety committee. From June 2006 to May 2008, Mr. Ducey served on the board of directors of and as a member of the governance and compensation committee of the board of directors of UAP Holdings Corporation. Also, from July 2010 to May 2011, Mr. Ducey was a member of the board of directors and served on the audit committee of Smurfit-Stone Container Corporation. Mr. Ducey graduated from Otterbein University with a degree in Economics and an M.B.A. in finance from the University of Dayton. Mr. Ducey's comprehensive corporate background and his experience serving on various boards and committees add significant value to the board of directors.

Paul Fribourg. Mr. Fribourg has served as an independent director of Apollo and as a member of the conflicts committee of our board of directors since 2011. From 1997 to the present, Mr. Fribourg has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Continental Grain Company. Prior to 1997, Mr. Fribourg served in a variety of other roles at Continental Grain Company, including Merchandiser, Product Line Manager, Group President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Fribourg serves on the boards of directors of Restaurant Brands International Inc., Loews Corporation, Castleton Commodities International LLC and The Estee Lauder Companies, Inc. He also serves as a board member of the Rabobank International North American Agribusiness Advisory Board,

- 216-

Table of Contents

the New York University Mitchell Jacobson Leadership Program in Law and Business Advisory Board and Endeavor Global Inc. Mr. Fribourg is also a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and the International Business Leaders Advisory Council for The Mayor of Shanghai. Mr. Fribourg graduated magna cum laude from Amherst College and completed the Advanced Management Program at Harvard Business School. Mr. Fribourg's extensive corporate experience enhances the breadth of experience and independence of the board of directors.

Robert Kraft. Mr. Kraft has served as an independent director of Apollo and as a member of the conflicts committee of our board of directors since 2014. Mr. Kraft is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Kraft Group, which includes the New England Patriots, New England Revolution, Gillette Stadium, Rand-Whitney Group and International Forest Products Corporation. Mr. Kraft serves on a number of NFL Committees, including the Executive Committee, Finance Committee and Broadcast Committee (Chairman). Since 2006, Mr. Kraft has been a member of the board of directors of Viacom Inc. He also serves as Chairman for both the New England Patriots Charitable Foundation and the Robert and Myra Kraft Family Foundation, and is a director of the Dana Farber Cancer Institute. Mr. Kraft's corporate strategic and operational experience combined with his strong relationships in the business community make him a valuable member of the board of directors.

A.B. Krongard. Mr. Krongard has served as an independent director of Apollo and as a member of the audit committee of our board of directors since 2011. From 2001 to 2004, Mr. Krongard served as Executive Director of the Central Intelligence Agency. From 1998 to 2001, Mr. Krongard served as Counselor to the Director of Central Intelligence. Prior to 1998, Mr. Krongard served in various capacities at Alex Brown, Incorporated, including serving as Chief Executive Officer beginning in 1991 and assuming additional duties as Chairman of the board of directors in 1994. Upon the merger of Alex Brown, Incorporated with Bankers Trust Corporation in 1997, Mr. Krongard served as Vice-Chairman of the Board of Bankers Trust Corporation and served in such capacity until joining the Central Intelligence Agency. Mr. Krongard serves as the Lead Director and audit committee Chairman of Under Armour, Inc. and also serves as a board member of Iridium Communications Inc., Seventy-Seven Energy Inc. and In-Q-Tel, Inc. Mr. Krongard graduated with honors from Princeton University and received a J.D. from the University of Maryland School of Law, where he also graduated with honors. Mr. Krongard also serves as the Vice Chairman of the Johns Hopkins Health System. Mr. Krongard's comprehensive corporate background contributes to the range of experience of the board of directors.

Pauline Richards. Ms. Richards has served as an independent director of Apollo and as Chairman of the audit committee of our board of directors since 2011. Ms. Richards currently serves as Chief Operating Officer of Armour Group Holdings Limited, a position she has held since 2008. Ms. Richards also serves as a member of the Audit and Compensation Committees of the board of directors of Wyndham Worldwide, a position she has held since 2006; is a director of Hamilton Insurance Group, serving on the audit and investment committees, a position she has held since 2013; and is the Treasurer of the board of directors of PRIDE Bermuda, a drug prevention organization of which she has been a member for over 20 years. Prior to 2008, Ms. Richards served as Director of Development of Saltus Grammar School from 2003 to 2008, as Chief Financial Officer of Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch (Bermuda) Limited from 2001 to 2003, and as Treasurer of Gulf Stream Financial Limited from 1999 to 2000. Ms. Richards also served as a member of the Audit Committee and chair of the Corporate Governance Committee of the board of directors of Butterfield Bank from 2006 to 2013. Ms. Richards graduated from Queen's University, Ontario, Canada, with a BA in psychology and has obtained certification as a CPA, CMA. Ms. Richards' extensive finance experience and her service on the boards of other public companies add significant value to the board of directors.

- 217-

Table of Contents**Our Manager**

Our operating agreement provides that so long as the Apollo Group beneficially owns at least 10% of the aggregate number of votes that may be cast by holders of outstanding voting shares, our manager, which is owned and controlled by our Managing Partners, will manage all of our operations and activities and will have discretion over significant corporate actions, such as the issuance of securities, payment of distributions, sales of assets, making certain amendments to our operating agreement and other matters, and our board of directors will have no authority other than that which our manager chooses to delegate to it. We refer to the Apollo Group's beneficial ownership of at least 10% of such voting power as the "Apollo control condition." For purposes of our operating agreement, the "Apollo Group" means (i) our manager and its affiliates, including their respective general partners, members and limited partners, (ii) Holdings and its affiliates, including their respective general partners, members and limited partners, (iii) with respect to each Managing Partner, such Managing Partner and such Managing Partner's "group" (as defined in Section 13(d) of the Exchange Act), (iv) any former or current investment professional or other employee of an "Apollo employer" (as defined below) or the Apollo Operating Group (or such other entity controlled by a member of the Apollo Operating Group), (v) any former or current executive officer of an Apollo employer or the Apollo Operating Group (or such other entity controlled by a member of the Apollo Operating Group); and (vi) any former or current director of an Apollo employer or the Apollo Operating Group (or such other entity controlled by a member of the Apollo Operating Group). With respect to any person, "Apollo employer" means Apollo Global Management, LLC or such other entity controlled by Apollo Global Management, LLC or its successor as may be such person's employer but does not include any portfolio companies.

Decisions by our manager are made by its executive committee, which is composed of our three Managing Partners. Each Managing Partner will remain on the executive committee for so long as he is employed by us, provided that Mr. Black, upon his retirement, may at his option remain on the executive committee until his death or disability or any commission of an act that would constitute cause if Mr. Black had still been employed by us. Other than those actions that require unanimous consent, actions by the executive committee are determined by majority vote of its voting members, except as to the following matters, as to which Mr. Black will have the right of veto: (i) the designations of directors to our board, or (ii) a sale or other disposition of the Apollo Operating Group and/or its subsidiaries or any portion thereof, through a merger, recapitalization, stock sale, asset sale or otherwise, to an unaffiliated third party (other than through an exchange of Apollo Operating Group units, transfers by a Managing Partner or a permitted transferee to another permitted transferee, or the issuance of bona fide equity incentives to any of our non-Managing Partner employees) that constitutes (x) a direct or indirect sale of a ratable interest (or substantially ratable interest) in each entity that constitutes the Apollo Operating Group or (y) a sale of all or substantially all of the assets of Apollo (this clause (ii), an "LB Approval Event"). Exchanges of Apollo Operating Group units for Class A shares that are not pro rata among our Managing Partners or in which each Managing Partner has the option not to participate are not subject to Mr. Black's right of veto.

Subject to limited exceptions described in our operating agreement, our manager may not sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets and those of our subsidiaries, taken as a whole, in a single transaction or a series of related transactions without the approval of holders of a majority of the aggregate number of voting shares outstanding; provided, however, that this does not preclude or limit our manager's ability, in its sole discretion, to mortgage, pledge, hypothecate or grant a security interest in all or substantially all of our assets and those of our subsidiaries (including for the benefit of persons other than us or our subsidiaries, including affiliates of our manager) and does not apply to any forced sale of any or all of our assets pursuant to the foreclosure of, or other realization upon, any such encumbrance.

We will reimburse our manager and its affiliates for all costs incurred in managing and operating us, and our operating agreement provides that our manager will determine the expenses that are allocable to us. The agreement does not limit the amount of expenses for which we will reimburse our manager and its affiliates.

Board Composition and Limited Powers of Our Board of Directors

For so long as the Apollo control condition is satisfied, our manager shall (i) nominate and elect all directors to our board of directors, (ii) set the number of directors of our board of directors and (iii) fill any vacancies on our board of directors. After the Apollo control condition is no longer satisfied, each of our directors will be elected by the vote of

a plurality of our shares entitled to vote, voting as a single class, to serve until his or her successor is duly elected or appointed and qualified or until his or her earlier death, retirement, disqualification, resignation or removal. Our board currently consists of eight members. For so long as the Apollo control condition is satisfied, our manager may remove any director, with or without cause, at any time. After such condition is no longer satisfied, a director or the entire board of directors may be removed by the affirmative vote of holders of 50% or more of the total voting power of our shares.

As noted, so long as the Apollo control condition is satisfied, our manager will manage all of our operations and activities, and our board of directors will have no authority other than that which our manager chooses to delegate to it. In the event that the Apollo control condition is not satisfied, our board of directors will manage all of our operations and activities.

- 218-

Table of Contents

Pursuant to a delegation of authority from our manager, which may be revoked, our board of directors has established and at all times will maintain audit and conflicts committees of the board of directors that have the responsibilities described below under “—Committees of the Board of Directors—Audit Committee” and “—Committees of the Board of Directors—Conflicts Committee.”

Where action is required or permitted to be taken by our board of directors or a committee thereof, a majority of the directors or committee members present at any meeting of our board of directors or any committee thereof at which there is a quorum shall be the act of our board or such committee, as the case may be. Our board of directors or any committee thereof may also act by unanimous written consent.

Under the Agreement Among Managing Partners (as described under “Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Lenders Rights Agreement—Amendments to Managing Partner Transfer Restrictions”), the vote of a majority of the independent members of our board of directors will decide the following: (i) in the event that a vacancy exists on the executive committee of our manager and the remaining members of the executive committee cannot agree on a replacement (other than a replacement for Mr. Black nominated by Mr. Black or his representative, which requires the approval of only one member of the executive committee), the independent members of our board of directors shall select one of the two nominees to the executive committee of our manager presented to them by the remaining members of such executive committee to fill the vacancy on such executive committee and (ii) in the event that Mr. Black wishes to exercise his ability to cause an LB Approval Event, the affirmative vote of the majority of the independent members of our board of directors shall be required to approve such a transaction. We are not a party to the Agreement Among Managing Partners, and neither we nor our shareholders (other than our Strategic Investors, as described under “Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Lenders Rights Agreement—Amendments to Managing Partner Transfer Restrictions”) have any right to enforce the provisions described above. Such provisions can be amended or waived upon agreement of our Managing Partners at any time.

Committees of the Board of Directors

We have established an audit committee as well as a conflicts committee. Our audit committee has adopted a charter that complies with current SEC and NYSE rules relating to corporate governance matters. Our board of directors may from time to time establish other committees of our board of directors.

Audit Committee

The primary purpose of our audit committee is to assist our manager in overseeing and monitoring (i) the quality and integrity of our financial statements, (ii) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) our independent registered public accounting firm’s qualifications and independence and (iv) the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm.

The current members of our audit committee are Messrs. Ducey and Krongard and Ms. Richards. Ms. Richards currently serves as Chairperson of the committee. Each of the members of our audit committee meets the independence standards and financial literacy requirements for service on an audit committee of a board of directors pursuant to the Exchange Act and NYSE rules applicable to audit committees and corporate governance. Furthermore, our manager has determined that Ms. Richards is an “audit committee financial expert” within the meaning of Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K. Our audit committee has a charter which is available on our website at www.agm.com under the “Investor Relations” section.

Conflicts Committee

The current members of our conflicts committee are Messrs. Ducey, Fribourg and Kraft. Mr. Ducey currently serves as Chairman of the committee. The purpose of the conflicts committee is to review specific matters that our manager believes may involve conflicts of interest. The conflicts committee will determine whether the resolution of any conflict of interest submitted to it is fair and reasonable to us. Any matters approved by the conflicts committee will be conclusively deemed to be fair and reasonable to us and not a breach by us of any duties that we may owe to our shareholders. In addition, the conflicts committee may review and approve any related person transactions, other than those that are approved pursuant to our related person policy, as described under “Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions—Statement of Policy Regarding Transactions with Related Persons,” and may establish guidelines or rules to cover specific categories of transactions.

Table of Contents

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We have a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which applies to, among others, our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at www.agm.com under the “Investor Relations” section. We intend to disclose any amendment to or waiver of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics on behalf of an executive officer or director either on our website or in an 8-K filing.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

We have Corporate Governance Guidelines that address significant issues of corporate governance and set forth procedures by which our manager and board of directors carry out their respective responsibilities. The guidelines are available for viewing on our website at www.agm.com under the “Investor Relations” section. We will also provide the guidelines, free of charge, to shareholders who request them. Requests should be directed to our Secretary at Apollo Global Management, LLC, 9 West 57th Street, 43rd Floor, New York, New York 10019.

Communications with the Board of Directors

A shareholder or other interested party who wishes to communicate with our directors, a committee of our board of directors, our independent directors as a group or our board of directors generally may do so in writing. Any such communications may be sent to our board of directors by U.S. mail or overnight delivery and should be directed to our Secretary at Apollo Global Management, LLC, 9 West 57th Street, 43rd Floor, New York, New York 10019, who will forward them to the intended recipient(s). Any such communications may be made anonymously. Unsolicited advertisements, invitations to conferences or promotional materials, in the discretion of our Secretary, are not required, however, to be forwarded to the directors.

Executive Sessions of Independent Directors

The independent directors serving on our board of directors meet periodically in executive sessions during the year at regularly scheduled meetings of our board of directors. These executive sessions will be presided over by one of the independent directors serving on our board of directors selected on an ad-hoc basis.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company’s equity securities to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC and furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. To our knowledge, based solely on our review of the copies of such reports furnished to us or written representations from such persons that they were not required to file a Form 5 to report previously unreported ownership or changes in ownership, we believe that, with respect to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, such persons complied with all such filing requirements.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of Compensation Philosophy

Alignment of Interests with Investors and Shareholders. Our principal compensation philosophy is to align the interests of our Managing Partners and other senior professionals with those of our Class A shareholders and fund investors. This alignment, which we believe is a key driver of our success, has been achieved principally by our Managing Partners’ and other investment professionals’ direct beneficial ownership of equity in our business in the form of AOG Units and Class A shares, their ownership of rights to receive a portion of the incentive income earned from our funds, the direct investment by our investment professionals in our funds, and our practice of paying annual incentive compensation partly in the form of equity-based grants that are subject to vesting. As a result of this alignment, the compensation of our professionals is closely tied to the performance of our businesses.

Significant Personal Investment. Our investment professionals generally make significant personal investments in our funds (as more fully described under “Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions”), directly or indirectly, and our professionals who receive carried interests in our funds are generally required to invest their own capital in the funds on which they work in amounts that are generally proportionate to the size of their participation in

incentive income. We believe that these investments help to ensure that our professionals have capital at risk and reinforce the linkage between the success of the funds we manage, the success of the Company and the compensation paid to our professionals.

- 220-

Table of Contents

Long-Term Performance and Commitment. Most of our professionals have been issued RSUs, which provide rights to receive Class A shares and, in some instances, distribution equivalents on those shares. The vesting requirements and minimum retained ownership requirements for these awards contribute to our professionals' focus on long-term performance while enhancing retention of these professionals. RSUs are not awarded to our Managing Partners, whose beneficial ownership of equity interests in the company is generally in the form of AOG units, as discussed below under "—Note on Distributions on Apollo Operating Group Units."

Discouragement of Excessive Risk-Taking. Although investments in alternative assets can pose risks, we believe that our compensation program includes significant elements that discourage excessive risk-taking while aligning the compensation of our professionals with our long-term performance. For example, notwithstanding that we accrue compensation for our carried interest programs (described below) as increases in the value of the portfolio investments are recorded in the related funds, we generally make payments in respect of carried interest allocations to our employees only after profitable investments have actually been realized. This helps to ensure that our professionals take a long-term view that is consistent with the interests of the Company, our shareholders and the investors in our funds. Moreover, if a fund fails to achieve specified investment returns due to diminished performance of later investments, our carried interest program relating to that fund generally permits, for the benefit of the limited partner investors in that fund, the return of carried interest payments (generally net of tax) previously made to us or our employees. These provisions discourage excessive risk-taking and promote a long-term view that is consistent with the interests of our fund investors and shareholders. Our general requirement that our professionals invest in the funds we manage further aligns the interests of our professionals, fund investors and Class A shareholders. Finally, the minimum retained ownership requirements of our RSUs and AOG Units, as well as a requirement that certain investment professionals use a portion of their distributions of carried interest income and incentive fees to purchase Class A restricted shares, discourage excessive risk-taking because the value of these interests is tied directly to the long-term performance of our Class A shares.

Note on Distributions on Apollo Operating Group Units

We note that all of our Managing Partners beneficially own AOG Units. In particular, as of December 31, 2015, the Managing Partners beneficially owned, through their interest in Holdings, approximately 48.4% of the total limited partner interests in the Apollo Operating Group. When made, distributions on these units are in the same amount per unit as distributions made to us in respect of the AOG Units we hold. Although distributions on AOG Units are distributions on equity rather than compensation, they play a central role in aligning our Managing Partners' interests with those of our Class A shareholders, which is consistent with our compensation philosophy. In 2015, the Managing Partners were required to retain 77.5% of their AOG Units.

Compensation Elements for Named Executive Officers

Consistent with our emphasis on alignment of interests with our fund investors and Class A shareholders, compensation elements tied to the profitability of our different businesses and that of the funds that we manage are the primary means of compensating our five executive officers listed in the tables below, or the "named executive officers." The key elements of the compensation of our named executive officers during fiscal year 2015 are described below. We distinguish among the compensation components applicable to our named executive officers as appropriate in the below summary. Messrs. Black, Harris and Rowan are the three members of the group referred to elsewhere in this report as the "Managing Partners."

Annual Salary. Each of our named executive officers receives an annual salary. The base salaries of our named executive officers are set forth in the Summary Compensation Table below, and those base salaries were set by our Managing Partners in their judgment after considering the historic compensation levels of the officer, competitive market dynamics, and each officer's level of responsibility and anticipated contributions to our overall success. RSUs. In 2015, a portion of our named executive officers' compensation (other than for our Managing Partners) was paid in the form of RSUs. We refer to our annual grants of RSUs as Bonus Grants. The RSUs are subject to multi-year vesting and minimum retained ownership requirements. In 2015, all named executive officers who have received RSUs were required to retain at least 77.5% of any Class A shares issued to them pursuant to RSU awards, net of the number of gross shares sold or netted to pay applicable income or employment taxes. The named executive officer Plan Grants and Bonus Grants are described below under "—Narrative Disclosure to the Summary Compensation Table

and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table—Awards of Restricted Share Units Under the Equity Plan.”
Carried Interest and Incentive Fees. Carried interests and incentive fee entitlements with respect to our funds confer rights to receive distributions if a distribution is made to investors following the realization of an investment or receipt of operating profit from an investment by the fund. Distributions of carried interest generally are subject to contingent repayment (generally net of tax) if the fund fails to achieve specified investment returns due to diminished performance of later investments, while distributions in respect of incentive fees are generally not subject to contingent repayment. The actual gross amount of carried interest allocations or incentive fees available for distribution are a function of the performance of the applicable fund. For these

- 221-

Table of Contents

reasons, we believe that participation in carried interest and incentive fees generated by our funds aligns the interests of our participating named executive officers with those of our Class A shareholders and fund investors. We currently have two principal types of carried interest programs, which we refer to as dedicated and incentive pool. Messrs. Kelly and Suydam have been awarded rights to participate in a dedicated percentage of the carried interest or incentive fee income earned by the general partners of certain of our funds. Participation in dedicated carried interest in our private equity funds is typically subject to vesting, which rewards long-term commitment to the firm and thereby enhances the alignment of participants' interests with the Company. As with our distributions in respect of incentive fees, our financial statements characterize the carried interest income allocated to participating professionals in respect of their dedicated carried interests as compensation. Actual distributions in respect of dedicated carried interests and incentive fees are included in the "All Other Compensation" column of the summary compensation table. Our performance based incentive arrangement referred to as the incentive pool further aligns the overall compensation of certain of our professionals to the realized performance of our business. The incentive pool provides for compensation based on carried interest realizations earned by us during the year and enhances our capacity to offer competitive compensation opportunities to our professionals. "Carried interest realizations earned" means carried interest earned by the general partners of our funds under the applicable fund limited partnership agreements based upon transactions that have closed or other rights to cash that have become fixed in the applicable calendar year period. Under this arrangement, Messrs. Kelly and Suydam, among other of our professionals, were awarded incentive pool compensation based on carried interest realizations earned during 2015. Allocations to participants in the incentive pool contain both a fixed component and a discretionary component, both of which may vary year-to-year, including as a result of our overall realized performance and the contributions and performance of each participant. The Managing Partners determine the amount of the carried interest realizations to place into the incentive pool in their discretion after considering various factors, including Company profitability, management company cash requirements and anticipated future costs, provided that the incentive pool consists of an amount equal to at least one percent (1%) of the carried interest realizations attributable to profits generated after creation of the incentive pool that were taxable in the applicable year and not allocable to dedicated carried interests. Each participant in the incentive pool is entitled to receive, as a fixed component of participation in the incentive pool, his or her pro rata allocation of this 1% amount each year, provided the participant remains employed by us at the time of allocation. Our financial statements characterize the carried interest income allocated to participating professionals in respect of incentive pool interests as compensation. The "All Other Compensation" column of the summary compensation table includes actual distributions paid from the incentive pool.

Restricted Shares. We require that a portion of the carried interest and incentive fee distributions in respect of certain of the investment funds we manage is used by our employees who receive those distributions to purchase Class A restricted shares issued under our 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. This practice further promotes alignment with our shareholders and encourages participating professionals to maximize the success of the Company as a whole. Like our RSUs, the restricted shares are subject to multi-year vesting, which fosters retention. In 2015, the funds with respect to which Messrs. Kelly and Suydam have rights subject to this restricted share purchase requirement had not yet commenced making carried interest or incentive fee distributions.

Determination of Compensation of Named Executive Officers

Our Managing Partners make all final determinations regarding named executive officer compensation. Decisions about the variable elements of a named executive officer's compensation, including participation in our carried interest and incentive fee programs, discretionary bonuses (if any) and grants of equity-based awards, are based primarily on our Managing Partners' assessment of such named executive officer's individual performance, operational performance for the department or division in which the officer (other than a Managing Partner) serves, and the officer's impact on our overall operating performance and potential to contribute to long-term shareholder value. In evaluating these factors, our Managing Partners do not utilize quantitative performance targets but rather rely upon their judgment about each named executive officer's performance to determine an appropriate reward for the current year's performance. The determinations by our Managing Partners are ultimately subjective, are not tied to specified annual, qualitative or individual objectives or performance factors, and reflect discussions among the Managing Partners. Factors that our Managing Partners typically consider in making such determinations include the named executive

officer's type, scope and level of responsibilities, active participation in managing a team of professionals, corporate citizenship and the named executive officer's overall contributions to our success. Our Managing Partners also consider each named executive officer's prior-year compensation, the appropriate balance between incentives for long-term and short-term performance, competitive market dynamics, compensation provided to the named executive officer by other entities, and the compensation paid to the named executive officer's peers within the Company. The Managing Partners determined that, based on the above factors, including the named executive officers' overall compensation levels, discretionary cash bonuses would not be awarded to any named executive officer for 2015.

- 222-

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Our board of directors does not have a compensation committee. Our Managing Partners make all compensation determinations with respect to executive officer compensation. For a description of certain transactions between us and the Managing Partners, see “Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions.”

Compensation Committee Report

As noted above, our board of directors does not have a compensation committee. The executive committee of our manager identified below has reviewed and discussed with management the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis and, based on such review and discussion, has determined that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis should be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Leon Black

Joshua Harris

Marc Rowan

Summary Compensation Table

The following summary compensation table sets forth information concerning the compensation earned by, awarded to or paid to our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. The earnings of our Managing Partners, Messrs. Black, Harris and Rowan, derive predominantly from distributions they receive as a result of their indirect beneficial ownership of AOG Units and their rights under the tax receivable agreement (described elsewhere in this report, including above under “Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities—Cash Distribution Policy”), rather than from compensation, and accordingly are not included in the tables below. We recently reevaluated our management structure and the group of persons with policy making functions as of January 2015. As a result, certain members of our management are no longer considered to be executive officers. The executive officers named in the table are referred to as the named executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽²⁾	Total (\$)
Leon Black, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director	2015	100,000	—	—	144,751	244,751
	2014	100,000	—	—	173,980	273,980
	2013	100,000	—	—	173,053	273,053
Martin Kelly, Chief Financial Officer	2015	1,000,000	—	681,643	1,300,000	2,981,643
	2014	1,000,000	—	698,444	1,300,000	2,998,444
	2013	1,000,000	—	541,246	950,000	2,491,246
John Suydam, Chief Legal Officer	2015	2,500,000	—	499,058	1,640,003	4,639,062
	2014	3,000,000	—	511,370	5,420,540	8,931,910
	2013	3,000,000	949,788	504,345	7,148,168	11,602,301
Joshua Harris, Senior Managing Director and Director	2015	100,000	—	—	281,204	381,204
Marc Rowan, Senior Managing Director and Director	2015	100,000	—	—	169,671	269,671

For Messrs. Kelly and Suydam, represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted, as applicable, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The amounts shown do not reflect compensation (1) actually received by the named executive officers, but instead represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards. See note 14 to our consolidated financial statements for further information concerning the assumptions made in valuing our RSU awards.

(2) Amounts included for 2015 represent, in part, actual cash distributions in respect of dedicated carried interest allocations for Mr. Suydam of \$1,111,472. The 2015 amounts also include actual incentive pool cash distributions

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

of \$1,300,000 for Mr. Kelly and \$500,000 for Mr. Suydam. The “All Other Compensation” column for 2015 also includes costs relating to Company-provided cars and drivers for the business and personal use of Messrs. Black, Harris, Rowan and Suydam. We provide this benefit because we believe that its cost is outweighed by

- 223-

Table of Contents

the convenience, increased efficiency and added security and confidentiality that it offers. The personal use cost was approximately \$130,076 for Mr. Black, \$172,095 for Mr. Harris, \$154,996 for Mr. Rowan and \$26,631 for Mr. Suydam. For Messrs. Black, Harris and Rowan, this amount includes both fixed and variable costs, including lease costs, driver compensation, driver meals, fuel, parking, tolls, repairs, maintenance and insurance, and, for Mr. Rowan, car service costs. For Mr. Suydam, this amount includes the costs to the Company associated with his use of a car service. For Mr. Harris, this amount also includes \$94,434 in information technology services. Except as discussed in this paragraph, no 2015 perquisites or personal benefits individually exceeded the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total amount of all perquisites and other personal benefits reported for the named executive officer. The cost of excess liability insurance provided to our named executive officers falls below this threshold. Mr. Kelly did not receive perquisites or personal benefits in 2015, except for incidental benefits having an aggregate value of less than \$10,000. Our named executive officers also receive secretarial support with respect to personal matters. We incur no incremental cost for the provision of such additional benefits. Accordingly, no such amount is included in the Summary Compensation Table.

Narrative Disclosure to the Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table
Employment, Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreements with Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and with each Senior Managing Director

In July 2012, we entered into an employment, non-competition and non-solicitation agreement with Leon Black, our chairman and chief executive officer, and with each of Joshua Harris and Marc Rowan, our senior managing directors, all of whom are members of our manager's executive committee. These agreements superseded and were substantially similar to agreements with our Managing Partners dated July 13, 2007. The term of the 2012 agreements concluded on July 19, 2015. Since that date, our Managing Partners' employment has continued on the same terms as provided under the agreements, and each continues to receive an annual salary of \$100,000 and to participate in our employee benefit plans, as in effect from time to time.

Employment, Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreement with Chief Financial Officer

On July 2, 2012, we entered into an employment, non-competition and non-solicitation agreement with Martin Kelly, our chief financial officer. His annual base salary is \$1,000,000. As provided in his employment agreement, Mr. Kelly received a Plan Grant of 375,000 RSUs in connection with his commencement of employment. He is eligible for an annual bonus in an amount to be determined by the Managing Partners in their discretion. Mr. Kelly participates in the incentive pool and is eligible to receive distributions thereunder.

Employment Terms of Chief Legal Officer

John Suydam, our chief legal officer, does not have an employment agreement with us.

Awards of Restricted Share Units Under the Equity Plan

On October 23, 2007, we adopted our 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. Grants of RSUs under the plan have been made to certain of our named executive officers primarily pursuant to two programs, which we call the "Plan Grants" and the "Bonus Grants." Following the 2007 Reorganization, Plan Grants were made to Mr. Suydam and a broad range of our other employees. Plan Grants have also been made to subsequent employee hires, including Mr. Kelly. The Plan Grants generally vest over six years, with the first installment becoming vested approximately one year after grant and the balance vesting thereafter in equal quarterly installments. Holders of Plan Grant RSUs become entitled to distribution equivalents on their vested RSUs if we pay ordinary distributions on our outstanding Class A shares. The administrator of the 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan determines when shares issued pursuant to the RSU Awards may be disposed of, except that a participant will generally be permitted to sell shares if necessary to cover taxes. Under our retained ownership requirements, in 2015, all executive officers who received RSU awards were required to retain at least 77.5% of any Class A shares issued to them under those awards (net of the number of gross shares sold or netted to pay applicable income or employment taxes).

The RSUs advance several goals of our compensation program. The Plan Grants align employee interests with those of our shareholders by making our employees, upon delivery of the underlying Class A shares, shareholders themselves. Because they vest over time, the Plan Grants reward employees for sustained contributions to the Company and foster retention. The size of the Plan Grants is determined by the Plan administrator based on the

grantee's level of responsibility and contributions to the Company. The restrictive covenants contained in the RSU agreements reinforce our culture of fiduciary protection of our fund investors and shareholders by requiring RSU holders to abide by the provisions regarding non-competition, confidentiality and other limitations on behavior described in the immediately preceding paragraph.

The Bonus Grants are also grants of RSUs under the 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. However, the Bonus Grants constitute payment of a portion of the annual compensation earned by certain of our professionals, including Messrs. Kelly and Suydam, subject to the employee's continued service through the vesting dates. Our named executive officers' Bonus Grants

- 224-

Table of Contents

generally differ from their Plan Grants in the following principal ways:

• The RSU Shares underlying Bonus Grants are generally scheduled to vest in three equal annual installments. Distribution equivalents are earned on Bonus Grant RSUs (whether or not vested) when ordinary distributions are made on Class A shares after the grant date, but distribution equivalents are earned on Plan Grant RSUs only after they have vested.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table presents information regarding RSUs granted to Messrs. Kelly and Suydam under our 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan in 2015. No options were granted to a named executive officer in 2015.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts under Equity Incentive Plan Awards Target (#)	Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) ⁽¹⁾	Grant Date Fair Value or Modification Date Incremental Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾
Leon Black	—	—	—	—
Martin Kelly	December 29, 2015	—	45,871	681,643
John Suydam	December 29, 2015	—	33,584	499,058
Joshua Harris	—	—	—	—
Marc Rowan	—	—	—	—

(1) Represents the aggregate number of RSUs covering our Class A shares (none of the Bonus Grants awarded in 2015 vested in 2015). For a discussion of these grants, please see the discussion above under “—Narrative Disclosure to the Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table—Awards of Restricted Share Units Under the Equity Plan.”

(2) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the RSUs granted in 2015, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received, but instead represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the award.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table presents information regarding unvested RSU awards made by us to our named executive officers under our 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan that were outstanding at December 31, 2015. Our named executive officers did not hold any options at fiscal year-end.

Name		Stock Awards Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽⁵⁾
Leon Black	—	—	—
	December 29, 2015	45,871	(1) 696,322
Martin Kelly	December 29, 2014	20,567	(2) 312,207
	December 26, 2013	6,038	(3) 91,657
	September 30, 2012	171,875	(4) 2,609,063
	December 29, 2015	33,584	(1) 509,805
John Suydam	December 29, 2014	15,059	(2) 228,596
	December 26, 2013	5,627	(3) 85,418

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Joshua Harris
Marc Rowan

— — —
— — —

- 225-

Table of Contents

- (1) Bonus Grant RSUs that vest in substantially equal annual installments on December 31 of each of 2016, 2017 and 2018.
- (2) Bonus Grant RSUs that vest in substantially equal annual installments on December 31 of each of 2016 and 2017.
- (3) Bonus Grant RSUs that vest on December 31, 2016.
- (4) Plan Grant RSUs that vest in substantially equal installments over the 11 calendar quarters beginning March 31, 2016.
- (5) Amounts calculated by multiplying the number of unvested RSUs held by the named executive officer by the closing price of \$15.18 per Class A share on December 31, 2015.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table presents information regarding the number of outstanding initially unvested RSUs held by our named executive officers that vested during 2015 and the number of options exercised by our named executive officers in 2015. The amounts shown below do not reflect compensation actually received by the named executive officers, but instead are calculations of the number of RSUs that vested during 2015 based on the closing price of our Class A shares on the date of vesting. Shares received by our named executive officers are subject to our retained ownership requirements. No options were exercised by our named executive officers in 2015.

Name	Type of Award	Stock Awards	
		Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting(#)	Value Realized on Vesting(\$)
Leon Black	—	—	—
Martin Kelly	RSUs	87,832	1,573,759 (1)
John Suydam	RSUs	23,268	353,208 (1)
Joshua Harris	—	—	—
Marc Rowan	—	—	—

Amounts calculated by multiplying the number of RSUs held by the named executive officer that vested on each applicable vesting date in 2015 by the closing price per Class A share on that date. Class A shares underlying these (1) vested RSUs are issued to the named executive officer in accordance with the schedules described above under “—Narrative Disclosure to the Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table—Awards of Restricted Share Units Under the Equity Plan.”

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

None of the named executive officers is entitled to payment or other benefits in connection with a change in control. None of Messrs. Black, Harris or Rowan is entitled to severance or other payments or benefits in connection with an employment termination. Messrs. Black, Harris and Rowan are required to protect the confidential information of Apollo both during and after employment. In addition, until one year after employment termination, each is required to refrain from soliciting employees under specified circumstances or interfering with our relationships with investors and to refrain from competing with us in a business that involves primarily (i.e., more than 50%) third-party capital. These post-termination covenants survive any termination or expiration of the Agreement Among Managing Partners (described elsewhere in this report under “Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions—Agreement Among Managing Partners”). If any of Messrs. Black, Harris or Rowan becomes subject to a potential termination for cause or by reason of disability, our manager may appoint an investment professional to perform his functional responsibilities and duties until cause or disability definitively results in his termination or is determined not to have occurred, but the manager may so appoint an investment professional only if such Managing Partner is unable to perform his responsibilities and duties or, as a matter of fiduciary duty, should be prohibited from doing so. During any such period, the Managing Partner shall continue to serve on the executive committee of our manager unless otherwise prohibited from doing so pursuant to the Agreement Among Managing Partners.

If Mr. Kelly’s employment is terminated by us without cause or he resigns for good reason, he will be entitled to severance of six months’ base pay and reimbursement of health insurance premiums paid in the six months following

his employment termination. If Mr. Kelly's employment is terminated by us without cause or he resigns for good reason, he will vest in 50% of any unvested portion of his Plan Grant RSUs. If his employment is terminated by reason of death or disability, he will vest in 50% of any unvested portion of his Plan Grant and Bonus Grant RSUs. We may terminate Mr. Kelly's employment with or without cause, and we will provide 90 days' notice (or payment in lieu of such period of notice) prior to a termination without cause. Mr. Kelly is required to give us 90 days' notice prior to a resignation for any reason. He is required to protect the confidential information of Apollo both during and after employment. In addition, during employment and for 12 months after employment, Mr. Kelly is

- 226-

Table of Contents

also obligated to refrain from soliciting our employees, interfering with our relationships with investors or other business relations, and competing with us in a business that manages or invests in assets substantially similar to those managed or invested in by Apollo or its affiliates.

If Mr. Suydam's employment is terminated by reason of death or disability, he will vest in 50% of his then unvested RSUs. Mr. Suydam is required to protect our confidential information at all times. During his employment and for 12 months thereafter, Mr. Suydam is also obligated to refrain from soliciting our employees, interfering with our relationships with investors or other business relations, and competing with us in a business that manages or invests in assets substantially similar to those invested in or managed by Apollo or its affiliates. Mr. Suydam is required to provide 90 days' notice prior to a resignation for any reason.

The named executive officers' obligations during and after employment were considered by the Managing Partners in determining appropriate post-employment payments and benefits for the named executive officers.

The following table lists the estimated amounts that would have been payable to each of our named executive officers in connection with a termination that occurred on the last day of our last completed fiscal year and the value of any additional equity that would vest upon such termination. When listing the potential payments to named executive officers under the plans and agreements described above, we have assumed that the applicable triggering event occurred on December 31, 2015 and that the price per share of our Class A shares was \$15.18, which is equal to the closing price on such date. For purposes of this table, RSU values are based on the \$15.18 closing price.

Name	Reason for Employment Termination	Estimated Value of Cash Payments (\$)	Estimated Value of Equity Acceleration (\$)	
Leon Black	Cause Death, disability	—	—	
Martin Kelly	Without cause, by executive for good reason Death, disability	517,673	(1) 1,304,531	(2)
John Suydam	Without cause; by executive for good reason Death, disability	—	—	(2)
Joshua Harris	Cause Death, disability	—	—	
Marc Rowan	Cause Death, disability	—	—	

(1) This amount would have been payable to the named executive officer had his employment been terminated by the Company without cause (and other than by reason of death or disability) or for good reason on December 31, 2015. This amount represents the additional equity vesting that the named executive officer would have received had his employment terminated in the circumstances described in the column, "Reason for Employment Termination," on (2) December 31, 2015, based on the closing price of a Class A share on such date. Please see our "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End" table above for information regarding the named executive officer's unvested equity as of December 31, 2015

Director Compensation

We do not pay additional remuneration to our employees, including Messrs. Black, Harris and Rowan, for their service on our board of directors. The 2015 compensation of Messrs. Black, Harris and Rowan is set forth above on the Summary Compensation Table.

During 2015, each independent director received (1) a base annual director fee of \$125,000, (2) an additional annual director fee of \$25,000 if he or she a member of the audit committee, (3) an additional annual director fee of \$10,000 if he or she was a member of the conflicts committee, (4) an additional annual director fee of \$25,000 (incremental to the fee described in (2)) if he or she served as the chairperson of the audit committee, and (5) an additional annual director fee of \$15,000 (incremental to the fee described in (3)) if he or she served as the chairperson of the conflicts committee. In addition, independent directors were reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in attending board

meetings.

Currently, upon initial election to the board of directors, an independent director receives a grant of RSUs with a value of \$300,000 that vests in equal annual installments on June 30 of each of the first, second and third years following the year that the grant is made. Mr. Kraft received this type of award on July 14, 2014 in connection with his appointment to the board of directors. Incumbent independent directors who have fully vested in their initial RSU award receive an annual RSU award with a

- 227-

Table of Contents

value of \$100,000 that vests on June 30 of the year following the year that the grant is made, and the directors listed on the below table (other than Mr. Kraft) received that award on July 22, 2015.

The following table provides the compensation for our independent directors during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Total (\$)
Michael Ducey	175,000	81,974	256,974
Paul Fribourg	135,000	81,974	216,974
Robert Kraft	135,000	—	135,000
A. B. Krongard	150,000	81,974	231,974
Pauline Richards	175,000	81,974	256,974

Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted, as applicable, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See note 14 to our consolidated financial statements for further information concerning the assumptions made in valuing our RSU awards. The amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received (1) by the independent directors, but instead represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards. Unvested director RSUs are not entitled to distributions or distribution equivalents. As of December 31, 2015, each of Ms. Richards and Messrs. Ducey, Fribourg and Krongard, held 4,514 RSUs that were unvested and outstanding, and Mr. Kraft held 7,240 RSUs that were unvested and outstanding.

**ITEM SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND
12. RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our Class A shares as of February 22, 2016 by (i) each person known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of the voting Class A shares of Apollo Global Management, LLC, (ii) each of our directors, (iii) each person who is a named executive officer for 2015 and (iv) all directors and executive officers as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. To our knowledge, each person named in the table below has sole voting and investment power with respect to all of the Class A shares and interests in our Class B share shown as beneficially owned by such person, except as otherwise set forth in the notes to the table and pursuant to applicable community property laws. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person named in the table is c/o Apollo Global Management, LLC, 9 West 57th Street, New York, NY 10019.

In respect of our Class A shares, the table set forth below assumes the exchange by Holdings of all AOG Units for our Class A shares with respect to which the person listed below has the right to direct such exchange pursuant to the exchange agreement described under “Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions—Exchange Agreement,” and the distribution of such shares to such person as a limited partner of Holdings.

- 228-

Table of Contents

	Class A Shares Beneficially Owned			Class B Share Beneficially Owned		
	Number of Shares	Percent ⁽¹⁾	Total Percentage of Voting Power ⁽²⁾	Number of Shares	Percent	Total Percentage of Voting Power ⁽²⁾
Directors and Executive Officers:						
Leon Black ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	92,727,166	33.6%	60.9%	1	100%	60.9%
Joshua Harris ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	53,932,643	22.7%	60.9%	1	100%	60.9%
Marc Rowan ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	46,296,921	20.2%	61.1%	1	100%	60.9%
Pauline Richards	26,867	*	*	—	—	—
Alvin Bernard Krongard ⁽⁵⁾	274,218	*	*	—	—	—
Michael Ducey ⁽⁶⁾	32,232	*	*	—	—	—
Robert Kraft ⁽⁷⁾	263,620	*	*	—	—	—
Paul Fribourg	29,063	*	*	—	—	—
Martin Kelly ⁽⁸⁾	146,872	*	*	—	—	—
John Suydam ⁽⁹⁾	1,019,972	*	*	—	—	—
All directors and executive officers as a group (ten persons) ⁽¹⁰⁾	194,749,574	51.8%	54.9%	1	100%	60.9%
BRH ⁽⁴⁾	—	—	—	1	100%	60.9%
AP Professional Holdings, L.P. ⁽¹¹⁾	216,169,856	54.1%	60.9%	—	—	—
5% Stockholders:						
UBS Group AG ⁽¹²⁾	10,226,989	5.6%	2.9%	—	—	—

*Represents less than 1%.

(1) The percentage of beneficial ownership of our Class A shares is based on voting and non-voting Class A shares outstanding.

(2) The total percentage of voting power is based on voting Class A shares and the Class B share.

The number of Class A shares presented are held by estate planning vehicles, for which this individual disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein. The number of Class A shares presented do not include any Class A shares owned by Holdings with respect to which this individual, as one of the three owners of all of the interests in BRH, the general partner of Holdings, or as a party to the Agreement Among

(3) Managing Partners described under “Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions—Agreement Among Managing Partners” or the Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement described under “Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions—Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement,” may be deemed to have shared voting or dispositive power. Each of these individuals disclaims any beneficial ownership of these shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

BRH, the holder of the Class B share, is one third owned by Mr. Black, one third owned by Mr. Harris and one third owned by Mr. Rowan. Pursuant to the Agreement Among Managing Partners, the Class B share is to be voted and disposed of by BRH based on the determination of at least two of the three Managing Partners; as such, they (4) share voting and dispositive power with respect to the Class B share. As of February 22, 2016, Mr. Rowan beneficially owned an additional 565,519 Class A shares through an estate planning vehicle, for which voting and investment control are exercised by Mr. Rowan.

Includes 250,000 Class A shares held by a trust for the benefit of Mr. Krongard’s children, for which Mr. Krongard’s (5) children are the trustees. Mr. Krongard disclaims beneficial ownership with respect to such shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

Includes 2,616 Class A shares held by two trusts for the benefit of Mr. Ducey’s grandchildren, for which Mr. Ducey (6) and several of Mr. Ducey’s immediate family members are trustees and have shared investment power. Mr. Ducey disclaims beneficial ownership of the Class A shares held in the trusts, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

Explanation of Responses:

- (7) Includes 260,000 Class A shares held by two entities, which are under the sole control of Mr. Kraft, and may be deemed to be beneficially owned by Mr. Kraft.
- (8) Includes 15,625 RSUs covering Class A shares which will vest and with respect to which Mr. Kelly will have the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days of February 22, 2016.
Includes 249,009 Class A shares held by a trust for the benefit of Mr. Suydam's spouse and children, for which Mr. Suydam's spouse is the trustee. Mr. Suydam disclaims beneficial ownership with respect to such shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.
- (9) Refers to shares beneficially owned by the individuals who were directors and executive officers as of February 22, 2016.
Assumes that no Class A shares are distributed to the limited partners of Holdings. The general partner of Holdings, is BRH, which is one third owned by Mr. Black, one third owned by Mr. Harris and one third owned by Mr. Rowan. BRH is also the general partner of BRH Holdings, L.P., the limited partnership through which Messrs. Black, Harris and Rowan indirectly beneficially own (through estate planning vehicles) their limited partner interests in Holdings. These individuals disclaim any beneficial ownership of these Class A shares, except to the extent of their pecuniary interest therein.
- (10) Based on a Schedule 13G filed on February 9, 2016, by UBS Group AG. The address of UBS Group AG is Bahnhofstrasse 45, PO BOX CH-8021, Zurich, Switzerland.

- 229-

Table of Contents

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Incentive Plans

The following table sets forth information concerning the awards that may be issued under the Company's Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan as of December 31, 2015.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights ⁽¹⁾	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) ⁽²⁾
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders	17,551,579	\$17.69	37,324,169
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Security Holders	—	—	—
Total	17,551,579	\$17.69	37,324,169

(1) Reflects the aggregate number of outstanding options and RSUs granted under the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (the "Equity Plan") as of December 31, 2015.

The Class A shares reserved under the Equity Plan are increased on the first day of each fiscal year by (i) the amount (if any) by which (a) 15% of the number of outstanding Class A shares and AOG Units exchangeable for Class A shares on a fully converted and diluted basis on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal year exceeds (b) the number of shares then reserved and available for issuance under the Equity Plan, or (ii) such lesser amount by which the administrator may decide to increase the number of Class A shares. The number of shares reserved under the Equity Plan is also subject to adjustment in the event of a share split, share dividend, or other change in our capitalization. Generally, employee shares that are forfeited, canceled, surrendered or exchanged from awards under the Equity Plan will be available for future awards. We have filed a registration statement and intend to file additional registration statements on Form S-8 under the Securities Act to register Class A shares under the Equity Plan (including pursuant to automatic annual increases). Any such Form S-8 registration statement will automatically become effective upon filing. Accordingly, Class A shares registered under such registration statement will be available for sale in the open market.

Table of Contents

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Agreement Among Managing Partners

Our Managing Partners have entered into the Agreement Among Managing Partners. The Managing Partners own Holdings in accordance with their respective sharing percentages, or “Sharing Percentages,” as set forth in the Agreement Among Managing Partners. For the purposes of the Agreement Among Managing Partners, “Pecuniary Interest” means, with respect to each Managing Partner, the number of AOG Units that would be distributable to him assuming that Holdings was liquidated and its assets distributed in accordance with its governing agreements.

Pursuant to the Agreement Among Managing Partners, each Managing Partner is vested in full in their respective AOG Units. We may not terminate a Managing Partner except for cause or by reason of disability.

The transfer by a Managing Partner of any portion of his Pecuniary Interest to a permitted transferee will in no way affect any of his obligations under the Agreement Among Managing Partners; provided, that all permitted transferees are required to sign a joinder to the Agreement Among Managing Partners.

The Managing Partners’ respective Pecuniary Interests in certain funds, or the “Heritage Funds,” within the Apollo Operating Group are not held in accordance with the Managing Partners’ respective Sharing Percentages. Instead, each Managing Partner’s Pecuniary Interest in such Heritage Funds is held in accordance with the historic ownership arrangements among the Managing Partners, and the Managing Partners continue to share the operating income in such Heritage Funds in accordance with their historic ownership arrangement with respect to such Heritage Funds.

The Agreement Among Managing Partners may be amended and the terms and conditions of the Agreement Among Managing Partners may be changed or modified upon the unanimous approval of the Managing Partners. We, our shareholders (other than the Strategic Investors, as set forth under “-Lenders Rights Agreement-Amendments to Managing Partner Transfer Restrictions”) and the Apollo Operating Group have no ability to enforce any provision of the Agreement Among Managing Partners or to prevent the Managing Partners from amending it.

Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement

We have entered into the Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement with our Managing Partners. The Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement provides the Managing Partners with certain rights with respect to the approval of certain matters and the designation of nominees to serve on our board of directors, as well as registration rights for our securities that they own.

Board Representation

The Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement requires our board of directors, so long as the Apollo control condition is satisfied, to nominate individuals designated by our manager such that our manager will have a majority of the designees on our board.

Transfer Restrictions

The Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement provides that no Managing Partner may, nor shall any of such Managing his permitted transferees, directly or indirectly, voluntarily effect cumulative transfers of Pecuniary Interests (as defined in the Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement), representing more than: (i) 22.5% of his Pecuniary Interests at any time on or after the fourth anniversary and prior to the fifth anniversary of our IPO; (ii) 30% of his Pecuniary Interests at any time on or after the fifth anniversary and prior to the sixth anniversary of our IPO; and (iii) 100% of his Pecuniary Interests at any time on or after the sixth anniversary of our IPO, other than, in each case, with respect to transfers (a) from one Managing Partner to another Managing Partner, (b) to a permitted transferee of such Managing Partner, or (c) in connection with a sale by one or more of our Managing Partners in one or a related series of transactions resulting in the Managing Partners owning or controlling, directly or indirectly, less than 50.1% of the economic or voting interests in us or the Apollo Operating Group, or any other person exercising control over us or the Apollo Operating Group by contract, which would include a transfer of control of our manager. The percentages referenced in the preceding paragraph will apply to the aggregate amount of Equity Interests held by each Managing Partner (and his permitted transferees) as of July 13, 2007. Following the sixth anniversary of the IPO, each Managing Partner and his permitted transferees may transfer all of the Pecuniary Interests of such Managing Partner to any person or entity in accordance with Rule 144, in a registered public offering or in a transaction exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. The above transfer restrictions will lapse with respect to a Managing Partner if he dies or becomes disabled.

A “permitted transferee” means, with respect to each Managing Partner and his permitted transferees, (i) such Managing Partner’s spouse, (ii) a lineal descendant of such Managing Partner’s parents (or any such descendant’s spouse), (iii) a charitable

- 231-

Table of Contents

institution controlled by such Managing Partner, (iv) a trustee of a trust (whether inter vivos or testamentary), the current beneficiaries and presumptive remaindermen of which are one or more of such Managing Partner and persons described in clauses (i) through (iii) above, (v) a corporation, limited liability company or partnership, of which all of the outstanding shares of capital stock or interests therein are owned by one or more of such Managing Partner and persons described in clauses (i) through (iv) above, (vi) an individual mandated under a qualified domestic relations order, (vii) a legal or personal representative of such Managing Partner in the event of his death or disability, (viii) any other Managing Partner with respect to transactions contemplated by the Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement, and (ix) any other Managing Partner who is then employed by Apollo or any of its affiliates or any permitted transferee of such Managing Partner in respect of any transaction not contemplated by the Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement, in each case that agrees in writing to be bound by these transfer restrictions.

Any waiver of the above transfer restrictions may only occur with our consent. As our Managing Partners control the management of our company, however, they have discretion to cause us to grant one or more such waivers.

Accordingly, the above transfer restrictions might not be effective in preventing our Managing Partners from selling or transferring their Pecuniary Interests.

Indemnity

Carried interest income from our funds can be distributed to us on a current basis, but is subject to repayment by the subsidiaries of the Apollo Operating Group that act as general partners of the funds in the event that certain specified return thresholds are not ultimately achieved. The Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain other investment professionals have personally guaranteed, subject to certain limitations, the obligations of these subsidiaries in respect of this general partner obligation. Such guarantees are several and not joint and are limited to a particular Managing Partner's, Contributing Partner's or other investment professional's distributions. Pursuant to the Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement, we agreed to indemnify each of our Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners against all amounts that they pay pursuant to any of these personal guarantees in favor of Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI (including costs and expenses related to investigating the basis for or objecting to any claims made in respect of the guarantees) for all interests that our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have contributed or sold to the Apollo Operating Group.

Accordingly, in the event that our Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain other investment professionals are required to pay amounts in connection with a general partner obligation for the return of previously made distributions with respect to Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI, we will be obligated to reimburse our Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners for the indemnifiable percentage of amounts that they are required to pay even though we did not receive the distribution to which that general partner obligation related.

Registration Rights

Pursuant to the Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement, we have granted Holdings, an entity through which our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners own their AOG Units, and its permitted transferees the right, under certain circumstances and subject to certain restrictions, to require us to register under the Securities Act our Class A shares held or acquired by them. Under the Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement, the registration rights holders (i) have "demand" registration rights that require us to register under the Securities Act the Class A shares that they hold or acquire, (ii) may require us to make available registration statements permitting sales of Class A shares they hold or acquire in the market from time to time over an extended period and (iii) have the ability to exercise certain piggyback registration rights in connection with registered offerings requested by other registration rights holders or initiated by us. We have agreed to indemnify each registration rights holder and certain related parties against any losses or damages resulting from any untrue statement or omission of material fact in any registration statement or prospectus pursuant to which such holder sells our shares, unless such liability arose from the holder's misstatement or omission, and each registration rights holder has agreed to indemnify us against all losses caused by his misstatements or omissions. We have filed a shelf registration statement in connection with the rights described above.

Roll-Up Agreements

Pursuant to the Roll-Up Agreements, the Contributing Partners received interests in Holdings, which we refer to as AOG Units, in exchange for their contribution of assets to the Apollo Operating Group. The AOG Units received by our Contributing Partners and any units into which they have been exchanged are fully vested. AOG Units were

subject to a lock-up until two years after our IPO. Thereafter, 7.5% of the AOG Units became, or will become, tradable on each of the second, third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of our IPO, with the remaining AOG Units becoming tradable on the sixth anniversary of our IPO or upon subsequent vesting. Our Contributing Partners have the ability to direct Holdings to exercise Holdings' registration rights described above under "-Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement-Registration Rights."

Under their Roll-Up Agreements, each of our Contributing Partners is subject to a noncompetition provision until the first anniversary of the date of termination of his service as a partner to us. During that period, our Contributing Partners are prohibited from (i) engaging in any business activity in which we operate, (ii) rendering any services to any alternative asset

- 232-

Table of Contents

management business (other than that of us or our affiliates) that involves primarily (i.e., more than 50%) third-party capital or (iii) acquiring a financial interest in, or becoming actively involved with, any competitive business (other than as a passive holding of a specified percentage of publicly traded companies). In addition, our Contributing Partners are subject to nonsolicitation, nonhire and noninterference covenants during employment and for at least 12 months thereafter. Our Contributing Partners are also bound to a nondisparagement covenant with respect to us and our Contributing Partners and to confidentiality restrictions. Resignation by any of our Contributing Partners shall require ninety days' notice. Any restricted period applicable to a Contributing Partner will commence after the ninety-day notice of termination period.

Amended and Restated Exchange Agreement

We have entered into an exchange agreement with Holdings under which, subject to certain procedures and restrictions (including any applicable transfer restrictions and lock-up agreements described above) upon 60 days' written notice prior to a designated quarterly date, each Managing Partner and Contributing Partner (or certain transferees thereof) has the right to cause Holdings to exchange the AOG Units that he owns through Holdings for our Class A shares and to sell such Class A shares at the prevailing market price (or at a lower price that such Managing Partner or Contributing Partner is willing to accept). To effect the exchange, Holdings distributes the AOG Units to be exchanged to the applicable Managing Partner or Contributing Partner. Under the exchange agreement, the Managing Partner or Contributing Partner must then simultaneously exchange one AOG Unit (being an equal limited partner interest in each Apollo Operating Group entity) for each Class A share received from our intermediate holding companies. As a Managing Partner or Contributing Partner exchanges his AOG Units, our interest in the AOG Units will be correspondingly increased and the voting power of the Class B share will be correspondingly decreased. The exchange agreement was amended and restated on May 6, 2013 and further amended and restated on March 5, 2014. The amendments to the original exchange agreement (i) permit exchanging holders certain rights to revoke exchanges of their AOG Units in whole, but not in part, in certain circumstances; (ii) permit transfers of a holder's exchanged shares to a qualifying entity that can sell them under a Rule 10b5-1 trading plan; (iii) require the Company to use its commercially reasonable efforts to file and keep effective a shelf registration statement relating to the exchange of Class A shares received upon an exchange of AOG Units; (iv) modify the exchange mechanics to address certain tax considerations of an exchange for exchanging holders; and (v) require exchanging holders to reimburse APO Corp. for any incremental U.S. federal income tax incurred by APO Corp. as a result of the modification of the exchange mechanics.

Amended and Restated Tax Receivable Agreement

As a result of each of AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and the Apollo Operating Group entities controlled by it or Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. having made an election under Section 754 of the Internal Revenue Code, any exchanges by a Managing Partner or Contributing Partner of AOG Units that he owns through Holdings (together with the corresponding interest in our Class B share) for our Class A shares in a taxable transaction may result in an adjustment to the tax basis of a portion of the assets owned by the Apollo Operating Group at the time of the exchange. The taxable exchanges may result in increases in the tax depreciation and amortization deductions from depreciable and amortizable assets, as well as an increase in the tax basis of other assets, of the Apollo Operating Group that otherwise would not have been available. A portion of these increases in tax depreciation and amortization deductions, as well as the increase in the tax basis of such other assets, will reduce the amount of tax that APO Corp. would otherwise be required to pay in the future. Additionally, our acquisition of AOG Units from the Managing Partners or Contributing Partners, such as our acquisition of AOG Units from the Managing Partners in the Strategic Investors Transaction, have resulted, and may continue to result, in increases in tax deductions and tax basis that reduces the amount of tax that APO Corp. would otherwise be required to pay in the future.

APO Corp. has entered into a tax receivable agreement with our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners that provides for the payment by APO Corp. to an exchanging or selling Managing Partner or Contributing Partner of 85% of the amount of actual cash savings, if any, in U.S. Federal, state, local and foreign income tax that APO Corp. realizes (or is deemed to realize in the case of an early termination payment by APO Corp. or a change of control, as discussed below) as a result of these increases in tax deductions and tax basis, and certain other tax benefits, including imputed interest expense, related to payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement. APO Corp. expects to benefit

from the remaining 15% of actual cash savings, if any, in income tax that it realizes. For purposes of the tax receivable agreement, cash savings in income tax will be computed by comparing our actual income tax liability to the amount of such taxes that APO Corp. would have been required to pay had there been no increase to the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of the applicable Apollo Operating Group entity as a result of the transaction and had APO Corp. not entered into the tax receivable agreement. The tax savings achieved may not ensure that we have sufficient cash available to pay our tax liability or generate additional distributions to our investors. Also, we may need to incur additional debt to repay the tax receivable agreement if our cash flow needs are not met. The term of the tax receivable agreement will continue until all such tax benefits have been utilized or expired, unless APO Corp. exercises the right to terminate the tax receivable agreement by paying an amount based on the present value of payments remaining to be made under the agreement with respect to units that have been exchanged or sold and units which have not yet been exchanged or sold. Such present value

- 233-

Table of Contents

will be determined based on certain assumptions, including that APO Corp. would have sufficient taxable income to fully utilize the deductions that would have arisen from the increased tax deductions and tax basis and other benefits related to the tax receivable agreement. In the event that other of our current or future U.S. subsidiaries become taxable as corporations and acquire AOG Units in the future, or if we become taxable as a corporation for U.S. Federal income tax purposes, each U.S. corporation will become subject to a tax receivable agreement with substantially similar terms. In connection with an amendment of the AMH partnership agreement in April 2010, the tax receivable agreement was revised to reflect the Managing Partners' agreement to defer 25% of required payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement that were attributable to the 2010 fiscal year until 2015.

The IRS could challenge our claim to any increase in the tax basis of the assets owned by the Apollo Operating Group that results from the exchanges entered into by the Managing Partners or Contributing Partners. The IRS could also challenge any additional tax depreciation and amortization deductions or other tax benefits we claim as a result of such increase in the tax basis of such assets. If the IRS were to successfully challenge a tax basis increase or tax benefits we previously claimed from a tax basis increase, our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners would not be obligated under the tax receivable agreement to reimburse APO Corp. for any payments previously made to it (although future payments would be adjusted to reflect the result of such challenge). As a result, in certain circumstances, payments could be made to our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners under the tax receivable agreement in excess of 85% of APO Corp.'s actual cash tax savings. In general, estimating the amount of payments that may be made to our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners under the tax receivable agreement is by its nature, imprecise, in the absence of an actual transaction, insofar as the calculation of amounts payable depends on a variety of factors. The actual increase in tax basis and the amount and timing of any payments under the tax receivable agreement will vary depending upon a number of factors, including:

- the timing of the transactions—for instance, the increase in any tax deductions will vary depending on the fair market value, which may fluctuate over time, of the depreciable or amortizable assets of the Apollo Operating Group entities at the time of the transaction;

- the price of our Class A shares at the time of the transaction—the increase in any tax deductions, as well as tax basis increase in other assets, of the Apollo Operating Group entities, is directly proportional to the price of the Class A shares at the time of the transaction;

- the taxability of exchanges—to the extent an exchange is not taxable for any reason, increased deductions will not be available; and

- the amount and timing of our income—APO Corp. will be required to pay 85% of the tax savings as and when realized, if any. If APO Corp. does not have taxable income, it is not required to make payments under the tax receivable agreement for that taxable year because no tax savings were actually realized.

In addition, the tax receivable agreement provides that, upon a merger, asset sale or other form of business combination or certain other changes of control, APO Corp.'s (or its successor's) obligations with respect to exchanged or acquired units (whether exchanged or acquired before or after such change of control) would be based on certain assumptions, including that APO Corp. would have sufficient taxable income to fully utilize the deductions arising from the increased tax deductions and tax basis and other benefits related to entering into the tax receivable agreement. As noted above, no payments will be made if a Managing Partner or Contributing Partner elects to exchange his or her AOG Units in a tax-free transaction.

In connection with the first amendment and restatement of the exchange agreement, the tax receivable agreement was amended and restated on May 6, 2013 to conform the agreement to the amended and restated exchange agreement, particularly to address the modified exchange mechanics, and to make non-substantive updates to recognize certain additional Apollo Operating Group entities that have been formed since the original tax receivable agreement was entered into in 2007.

Strategic Relationship Agreement

On April 20, 2010, we announced a new strategic relationship agreement with CalPERS, whereby we agreed to reduce management fees and other fees charged to CalPERS on funds we manage, or in the future will manage, solely for CalPERS by \$125 million over a five-year period or as close a period as required to provide CalPERS with that benefit. The agreement further provides that we will not use a placement agent in connection with securing any future

capital commitments from CalPERS. Through December 31, 2015, the Company had reduced fees charged to CalPERS on the funds it manages by approximately \$100.7 million.

Strategic Investors Transaction

On July 13, 2007, we sold securities to the Strategic Investors in return for a total investment of \$1.2 billion. Through our intermediate holding companies, we used all of the proceeds from the issuance of such securities to the Strategic Investors to

- 234-

Table of Contents

purchase AOG Units from our Managing Partners, and to purchase from our Contributing Partners a portion of their points. The Strategic Investors hold non-voting Class A shares, which represented 24.9% of our issued and outstanding Class A shares and 11.3% of the economic interest in the Apollo Operating Group, in each case as of December 31, 2015.

As all of their holdings in us are non-voting, neither of the Strategic Investors has any means for exerting control over our company.

Lenders Rights Agreement

In connection with the Strategic Investors Transaction, we entered into a shareholders agreement, or the “Lenders Rights Agreement,” with the Strategic Investors.

Transfer Restrictions

Each Strategic Investor may transfer (i) up to 75% of its non-voting Class A shares at any time after the fourth anniversary and prior to the fifth anniversary of our IPO and (ii) 100% of its non-voting Class A shares at any time after the fifth anniversary of our IPO.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, at no time following the registration effectiveness date may a Strategic Investor make a transfer representing 2% or more of our total Class A shares to any one person or group of related persons.

Registration Rights

Pursuant to the Lenders Rights Agreement, each Strategic Investor is afforded four demand registrations with respect to its non-voting Class A shares, covering offerings of at least 2.5% of our total equity ownership and customary piggyback registration rights. All cutbacks between the Strategic Investors and Holdings (or its partners) in any such demand registration shall be pro rata based upon the number of shares available for sale at such time (regardless of which party exercises a demand).

Amendments to Managing Partner Transfer Restrictions

Each Strategic Investor has a consent right with respect to any amendment or waiver of any transfer restrictions that apply to our Managing Partners.

Apollo Operating Group Limited Partnership Agreements

Pursuant to the partnership agreements of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships, the indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of Apollo Global Management, LLC that are the general partners of those partnerships have the right to determine when distributions will be made to the partners of the Apollo Operating Group and the amount of any such distributions. If a distribution is authorized, such distribution will be made to the partners of the Apollo Operating Group pro rata in accordance with their respective partnership interests.

The partnership agreements of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships also provide that substantially all of our expenses, including substantially all expenses solely incurred by or attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC, will be borne by the Apollo Operating Group; provided that obligations incurred under the tax receivable agreement by Apollo Global Management, LLC and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, income tax expenses of Apollo Global Management, LLC and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and indebtedness incurred by Apollo Global Management, LLC and its wholly-owned subsidiaries shall be borne solely by Apollo Global Management, LLC and its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Employment Arrangements

Please see the section entitled “Item 11. Executive Compensation-Narrative Disclosure to the Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table” and “-Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” for a description of the employment agreements of our named executive officers who have employment agreements.

In addition, Joshua Black and Benjamin Black, sons of Leon Black, are each employed by the Company as a Principal and an Associate, respectively, in the Company’s private equity business. They are each entitled to receive a base salary, incentive compensation and employee benefits comparable to those offered to similarly situated employees of the Company. Each is also eligible to receive an annual performance-based bonus in an amount determined by the Company in its discretion.

Reimbursements

In the normal course of business, our personnel have made use of aircraft owned as personal assets by Messrs. Black, Rowan and Harris. Messrs. Black, Rowan and Harris paid for their purchases of the aircraft and bear all operating,

personnel and

- 235-

Table of Contents

maintenance costs associated with their operation for personal use. Payment by us for the business use of these aircraft by Messrs. Black, Rowan and Harris and other of our personnel totaled \$947,963, \$1,770,837 and \$988,154 for 2015 to Messrs. Black, Rowan and Harris, respectively (which amounts are determined based on the lower of the actual costs of operating the aircraft or a specified hourly market rate).

Investments In Apollo Funds

Our directors and executive officers are generally permitted to invest their own capital (or capital of estate planning vehicles that they control) directly in our funds and affiliated entities. In general, such investments are not subject to management fees, and in certain instances, may not be subject to carried interest. The opportunity to invest in our funds in the same manner is available to all of the senior Apollo professionals and to those of our employees whom we have determined to have a status that reasonably permits us to offer them these types of investments in compliance with applicable laws. From our inception through December 31, 2015, our professionals have committed or invested approximately \$1.2 billion of their own capital to our funds.

The amount invested in our investment funds by our directors and executive officers (and their estate planning vehicles) during 2015 was \$14,938,433, \$15,905,028, \$7,807,205, \$2,530,157 and \$262,832 for Messrs. Black, Harris, Rowan, Suydam, and Kelly, respectively. The amount of distributions, including profits and return of capital to our directors and executive officers (and their estate planning vehicles) during 2015 was \$17,850,541, \$15,515,746, \$7,715,598, \$2,516,110 and \$7,718 for Messrs. Black, Harris, Rowan, Suydam, and Kelly, respectively.

Sub-Advisory Arrangements and Strategic Investment Accounts

From time to time, we have entered into sub-advisory arrangements with, or established strategic investment accounts for, certain of our directors and executive officers or vehicles they manage. Such arrangements have been approved in advance in accordance with our policy regarding transactions with related persons. In addition, such sub-advisory arrangements or strategic investment accounts have been entered into with, or advised by, an Apollo entity serving as investment advisor registered under the Investment Advisers Act, and any fee arrangements, if applicable, have been on an arms-length basis. The amount of such fees paid by our directors and executive officers or vehicles they manage to the Company during 2015 was \$162,291 for Mr. Rowan.

Indemnification of Directors, Officers and Others

Under our operating agreement, in most circumstances we will indemnify the following persons, to the fullest extent permitted by law, from and against all losses, claims, damages, liabilities, joint or several, expenses (including legal fees and expenses), judgments, fines, penalties, interest, settlements or other amounts: our manager; any departing manager; any person who is or was an affiliate of our manager or any departing manager; any person who is or was a member, partner, tax matters partner, officer, director, employee, agent, fiduciary or trustee of us or our subsidiaries, our manager or any departing manager or any affiliate of us or our subsidiaries, our manager or any departing manager; any person who is or was serving at the request of our manager or any departing manager or any affiliate of our manager or any departing manager as an officer, director, employee, member, partner, agent, fiduciary or trustee of another person; or any person designated by our manager. We have agreed to provide this indemnification unless there has been a final and non-appealable judgment by a court of competent jurisdiction determining that these persons acted in bad faith or engaged in fraud or willful misconduct. We have also agreed to provide this indemnification for criminal proceedings. Any indemnification under these provisions will only be out of our assets. We may purchase insurance against liabilities asserted against and expenses incurred by persons for our activities, regardless of whether we would have the power to indemnify the person against liabilities under our operating agreement.

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors, executive officers and certain of our employees which set forth the obligations described above.

We have also agreed to indemnify each of our Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners against certain amounts that they are required to pay in connection with a general partner obligation for the return of previously made carried interest distributions in respect of Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI. See the above description of the indemnity provisions of the Managing Partner Shareholders Agreement.

Statement of Policy Regarding Transactions with Related Persons

Our board of directors has adopted a written statement of policy regarding transactions with related persons, which we refer to as our “related person policy.” Our related person policy requires that a “related person” (as defined in paragraph (a) of Item 404 of Regulation S-K) must promptly disclose to our Chief Legal Officer any “related person transaction” (defined as any transaction that is reportable by us under Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K in which we were or are to be a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and in which any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest) and all

- 236-

Table of Contents

material facts with respect thereto. Our Chief Legal Officer will then promptly communicate that information to our manager. No related person transaction will be consummated without the approval or ratification of the executive committee of our manager or any committee of our board of directors consisting exclusively of disinterested directors. It is our policy that persons interested in a related person transaction will recuse themselves from any vote of a related person transaction in which they have an interest.

Director Independence

Because more than fifty percent of our voting power is controlled by BRH, we are considered a “controlled company” as defined in the listing standards of the NYSE and we are exempt from the NYSE rules that require that:

- our board of directors be comprised of a majority of independent directors;
- we establish a compensation committee composed solely of independent directors; and
- we establish a nominating and corporate governance committee composed solely of independent directors.

While our board of directors is currently comprised of a majority of independent directors, we plan on availing ourselves of the controlled company exceptions. We have elected not to have a nominating and corporate governance committee comprised entirely of independent directors, nor a compensation committee comprised entirely of independent directors. Our board of directors has determined that five of our eight directors meet the independence standards under the NYSE and the SEC. These directors are Messrs. Ducey, Fribourg, Krongard and Kraft and Ms. Richards.

At such time that we are no longer deemed a controlled company, our board of directors will take all action necessary to comply with all applicable rules within the applicable time period under the NYSE listing standards.

Table of Contents

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The following table summarizes the aggregate fees for professional services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, and their respective affiliates (collectively, the "Deloitte Entities") for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014		
	(in thousands)			
Audit fees	\$10,185	(1)	\$12,810	(1)
Audit fees for Apollo fund entities	20,389	(2)	20,413	(2)
Audit-related fees	6,138	(3)(4)	7,360	(3)(4)
Tax fees	2,188	(5)	3,275	(5)
Tax fees for Apollo fund entities	19,150	(2)	16,857	(2)

Audit fees consisted of fees for (a) the audits of our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on (1) Form 10-K and services attendant to, or required by, statute or regulation; (b) reviews of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

(2) Audit and Tax fees for Apollo fund entities consisted of services to investment funds managed by Apollo in its capacity as the general partner and/or manager of such entities.

(3) Audit-related fees consisted of comfort letters, consents and other services related to SEC and other regulatory filings.

(4) Includes audit-related fees for Apollo fund entities of \$0.9 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

(5) Tax fees consisted of fees for services rendered for tax compliance and tax planning and advisory services.

Our audit committee charter requires the audit committee of our board of directors to approve in advance all audit and non-audit related services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. All services reported in the Audit, Audit-related, Tax and Other categories above were approved by the committee.

- 238-

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2.1	Transaction Agreement, dated as of August 6, 2015, by and among AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P., AR Capital, LLC and AR Global, LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).
2.2	Termination Agreement and Release, dated as of November 8, 2015, by and among AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P., Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., AR Capital, LLC, AR Global Investments, LLC, Nicholas S. Schorsch, Peter M. Budko, William M. Kahane, Edward M. Weil, Jr. and Brian S. Block. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).
2.3	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 6, 2015, by and among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., RCS Capital Corporation and RCS Capital Holdings, LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).
2.4	First Amendment to the Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 19, 2015, by and among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., RCS Capital Corporation and RCS Capital Holdings, LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).
2.5	Amended and Restated Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 8, 2015, by and among RCS Capital Corporation, RCS Capital Holdings, LLC and Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.5 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).
3.1	Certificate of Formation of Apollo Global Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
3.2	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Apollo Global Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
4.1	Specimen Certificate evidencing the Registrant's Class A shares (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
4.2	Indenture dated as of May 30, 2014, among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., the Guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

4.3 First Supplemental Indenture dated as of May 30, 2014, among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., the Guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

4.4 Form of 4.000% Senior Note due 2024 (included in Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107), which is incorporated by reference).

- 239-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
4.5	Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of January 30, 2015, among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., the Guarantors party thereto, Apollo Principal Holdings X, L.P. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
4.6	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of August 19, 2015, by and among RCS Capital Corporation and Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.1	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of AGM Management, LLC dated as of July 10, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.2	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.3	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.4	Third Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.5	Third Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.6	Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.7	Agreement Among Principals, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan, Joshua J. Harris, Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P. and BRH Holdings, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.8	Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P., BRH Holdings, L.P., Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan and Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

10.9

Second Amended and Restated Exchange Agreement, dated as of March 5, 2014, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and the Apollo Principal Holders (as defined therein) from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

- 240-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.10	Amended and Restated Tax Receivable Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, by and among APO Corp., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and each Holder defined therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 7, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.11	Employment Agreement with Leon D. Black (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.12	Employment Agreement with Marc J. Rowan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.13	Employment Agreement with Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.14	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.15	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.16	Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.17	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.18	Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.19	Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings X, L.P. dated as of April 8, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.20	Fourth Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. dated as of October 30, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.21	

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Settlement Agreement, dated December 14, 2008, by and among Huntsman Corporation, Jon M. Huntsman, Peter R. Huntsman, Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc., Hexion LLC, Nimbus Merger Sub, Inc., Craig O. Morrison, Leon Black, Joshua J. Harris and Apollo Global Management, LLC and certain of its affiliates (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

- 241-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.22	First Amendment and Joinder, dated as of August 18, 2009, to the Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P., BRH Holdings, L.P., Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan and Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.23	Form of Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.24	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Plan Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.25	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Bonus Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.26	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for new independent directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.27	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for continuing independent directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.28	Form of Restricted Share Award Grant Notice and Restricted Share Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.29	Form of Share Award Grant Notice and Share Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Retired Partners) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.30	Apollo Management Companies AAA Unit Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.31	Non-Qualified Share Option Agreement pursuant to the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan with Marc Spilker dated December 2, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

- 10.32 Amended Form of Independent Director Engagement Letter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
- +10.33 Employment Agreement with Martin Kelly, dated July 2, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).

- 242-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.34	Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P., dated November 30, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.35	Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VI, L.P., dated as of April 14, 2005 and amended as of August 26, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.36	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VII, L.P. dated as of July 1, 2008 and effective as of August 30, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.37	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Opportunity Advisors I, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.38	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Opportunity Advisors II, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.39	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Liquidity Advisors, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.40	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Liquidity CM Executive Carry, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.41	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement Apollo Credit Opportunity CM Executive Carry I, L.P. dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.42	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Opportunity CM Executive Carry II, L.P. dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.43	

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of AGM Incentive Pool, L.P., dated June 29, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).

10.44

Credit Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2013, by and among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., as the Term Facility Borrower and a Revolving Facility Borrower, the other Revolving Facility Borrowers party thereto, the other guarantors party thereto from time to time, the lenders party thereto from time to time, the issuing banks party thereto from time to time and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).

- 243-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.45	Guarantor Joinder Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2015, by Apollo Principal Holdings X, L.P. to the Credit Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2013, by and among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., as the Term Facility Borrower and a Revolving Facility Borrower, the other Revolving Facility Borrowers party thereto, the existing guarantors party thereto, the lenders party thereto from time to time, the issuing banks party thereto from time to time and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.46	Form of Letter Agreement under the Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VIII, L.P. effective as of January 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.47	Form of Award Letter under the Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VIII, L.P. effective as of January 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.48	Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo EPF Advisors, L.P., dated as of February 3, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.49	First Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo EPF Advisors II, L.P. dated as of April 9, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.50	Amended and Restated Agreement of Exempted Limited Partnership of Apollo CIP Partner Pool, L.P., dated as of December 18, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.51	Form of Award Letter under the Amended and Restated Agreement of Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo CIP Partner Pool, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.52	Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Apollo Credit Opportunity Advisors III (APO FC), L.P., dated as of December 18, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.53	Form of Award Letter under Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Apollo Credit Opportunity Advisors III (APO FC), L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

Edgar Filing: Dhaduk Vithalbhai D - Form 5

10.54 Guaranty and Support Agreement, dated as of August 6, 2015, by and among AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P., Nicholas S. Schorsch, Peter M. Budko, William M. Kahane, Edward M. Weil, Jr. and Brian S. Block. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).

10.55 Investment Agreement, dated as of August 6, 2015, by and between Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. and RCS Capital Corporation. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2015 (File No. 001-35107)).

- 244-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
*21.1	Subsidiaries of Apollo Global Management, LLC
*23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.
*31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
*31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
*32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
*32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
*101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
*101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document
*101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
*101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
*101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
*101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
*	Filed herewith.
+	Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

The agreements and other documents filed as exhibits to this report are not intended to provide factual information or other disclosure other than with respect to the terms of the agreements or other documents themselves, and you should not rely on them for that purpose. In particular, any representations and warranties made by us in these agreements or other documents were made solely within the specific context of the relevant agreement or document and may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Apollo Global Management, LLC
(Registrant)

Date: February 29, 2016

By: /s/ Martin Kelly

Name: Martin Kelly

Chief Financial Officer

Title: (principal financial officer and
authorized signatory)

- 246-

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Leon Black Leon Black	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	February 29, 2016
/s/ Martin Kelly Martin Kelly	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)	February 29, 2016
/s/ Chris Weidler Chris Weidler	Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)	February 29, 2016
/s/ Joshua Harris Joshua Harris	Senior Managing Director and Director	February 29, 2016
/s/ Marc Rowan Marc Rowan	Senior Managing Director and Director	February 29, 2016
/s/ Michael Ducey Michael Ducey	Director	February 29, 2016
/s/ Paul Fribourg Paul Fribourg	Director	February 29, 2016
/s/ Robert Kraft Robert Kraft	Director	February 29, 2016
/s/ AB Krongard AB Krongard	Director	February 29, 2016
/s/ Pauline Richards Pauline Richards	Director	February 29, 2016

- 247-