Customers Bancorp, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2013
Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES 

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 

Washington, D.C. 20549

## Form 10-Q

x Quarterly report pursuant Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

Transition report pursuant Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the transition period from $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ .

333-166225
(Commission File number)

## Edgar Filing: Customers Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

## Pennsylvania (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

27-2290659
(IRS Employer

Identification No.)
1015 Penn Avenue

## Suite 103

## Wyomissing PA 19610

(Address of principal executive offices)
(610) 933-2000
(Registrant $s$ telephone number, including area code)

## N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No *

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No *

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer *.
Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer $\quad$ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller Reporting Company Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes " No x

On August 6, 2013, 19,970,122 shares of Voting Common Stock and 4, 691,897 shares of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock were issued and outstanding.
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## CUSTOMERS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET UNAUDITED

|  | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> (dollars in thousands, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | December 31, |  |
|  | ASSETS | 10,728 |

## LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| Liabilities: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits: |  |  |
| Demand, non-interest bearing | \$ 265,842 | \$ 219,687 |
| Interest bearing | 2,509,867 | 2,221,131 |
| Total deposits | 2,775,709 | 2,440,818 |
| Federal funds purchased | 120,000 | 5,000 |
| Other borrowings | 505,000 | 471,000 |
| Subordinated debt | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 10,776 | 12,941 |
| Total liabilities | 3,413,485 | 2,931,759 |
| Shareholders equity: |  |  |
| Preferred stock, no par value or as set by the board; 100,000,000 shares authorized; none issued | 0 | 0 |
| Common stock, par value $\$ 1.00$ per share; 200,000,000 shares authorized; $24,709,638$ shares issued and $24,662,019$ outstanding at June 30, 2013 and 18,507,121 shares issued and 18,459,502 outstanding at |  |  |
| December 31, 2012 | 24,710 | 18,507 |
| Additional paid in capital | 305,364 | 212,090 |
| Retained earnings | 53,729 | 38,314 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | $(3,530)$ | 1,064 |

Total shareholders equity

## Table of Contents

## CUSTOMERS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME UNAUDITED

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans held for sale | \$ 11,157 | \$ 1,469 | \$ 22,041 | \$ 2,491 |
| Loans receivable, taxable, including fees | 19,099 | 15,881 | 35,126 | 30,482 |
| Loans receivable, non-taxable, including fees | 97 | 41 | 169 | 55 |
| Investment securities, taxable | 1,082 | 2,219 | 1,911 | 5,131 |
| Investment securities, non-taxable | 0 | 21 | 0 | 43 |
| Other | 114 | 69 | 222 | 134 |
| Total interest income | 31,549 | 19,700 | 59,469 | 38,336 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 5,136 | 5,424 | 10,272 | 10,496 |
| Federal funds purchased | 74 | 1 | 79 | 3 |
| Borrowed funds | 330 | 106 | 568 | 240 |
| Subordinated debt | 17 | 17 | 33 | 35 |
| Total interest expense | 5,557 | 5,548 | 10,952 | 10,774 |
| Net interest income | 25,992 | 14,152 | 48,517 | 27,562 |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,620 | 2,738 | 5,720 | 4,538 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 21,372 | 11,414 | 42,797 | 23,024 |


| Non-interest income |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Deposit fees | 159 | 117 | 289 | 233 |
| Mortgage warehouse transactional fees | 3,868 | 3,384 | 7,536 | 5,483 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 567 | 323 | 1,043 | 589 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities | 0 | 8,797 | 0 | 9,006 |
| Accretion of FDIC loss sharing receivable | 2,505 | 0 | 3,722 | 655 |
| Gain on sale of loans | 358 | 339 | 408 | 339 |
| Other | 721 | 278 | 1,295 | 665 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-interest income | 8,178 | 13,238 | 14,293 | 16,970 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest expense |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 8,508 | 5,598 | 15,905 | 11,095 |
| Occupancy | 2,110 | 1,849 | 4,020 | 3,228 |
| Technology, communications and bank operations | 1,061 | 691 | 1,902 | 1,338 |
| Advertising and promotion | 408 | 301 | 523 | 576 |
| Professional services | 1,252 | 769 | 1,958 | 1,655 |
| FDIC assessments, taxes, and regulatory fees | 1,058 | 867 | 2,405 | 1,536 |
| Other real estate owned | 525 | 709 | 561 | 815 |
| Loan workout | 72 | 543 | 746 | 902 |
| Loss contingency | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | 0 |
| Stock-offering expenses | 0 | 1,340 | 0 | 1,340 |
| Other | 1,901 | 1,907 | 3,355 | 2,716 |


| Total non-interest expense | 16,895 | 14,574 | 33,375 | 25,201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income before income tax expense | 12,655 | 10,078 | 23,715 | 14,793 |
| Income tax expense | 4,429 | 3,574 | 8,300 | 5,177 |
| Net income | \$ 8,226 | \$ 6,504 | \$ 15,415 | \$ 9,616 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.85 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.38 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 0.83 |
| See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements. |  |  |  |  |

## Table of Contents

## CUSTOMERS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME UNAUDITED

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income |  | 8,226 | \$ 6,504 | \$ 15,415 |  | 9,616 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) on securities arising during the period |  | $(5,976)$ | 543 | $(7,069)$ |  | 629 |
| Income tax effect |  | 2,092 | (190) | 2,475 |  | (220) |
| Unrealized holding gain on securities transferred from the held-to-maturity category into the available-for-sale category |  | 0 | 8,509 | 0 |  | 8,509 |
| Income tax effect |  | 0 | $(2,978)$ | 0 |  | $(2,978)$ |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income |  | 0 | $(8,797)$ | 0 |  | $(9,006)$ |
| Income tax effect |  | 0 | 3,079 | 0 |  | 3,152 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax |  | $(3,884)$ | 166 | $(4,594)$ |  | 86 |
| Comprehensive income |  | 4,342 | \$ 6,670 | \$ 10,821 |  | 9,702 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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CUSTOMERS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY UNAUDITED

|  | Shares of <br> Common Stock | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Common } \\ & \text { Stock } \end{aligned}$ | Six Mont | ded Jun | , 20 | nd 20 | Treasury Stock |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Additional <br> Paid in <br> Capital <br> (doll |  Accumulated <br> Other <br>  Comprehensive <br> Retained <br> Earnings <br> Income <br> (Loss) <br> in thousands)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, January 1, 2012 | 11,347,683 | \$ 11,395 | \$ 122,602 | \$ 14,496 |  | (245) | \$ | (500) | \$ 147,748 |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  | 9,616 |  | 86 |  |  | 9,702 |
| Share-based-compensation expense |  |  | 1,266 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,266 |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | 11,347,683 | \$ 11,395 | \$ 123,868 | \$ 24,112 | \$ | (159) | \$ | (500) | \$ 158,716 |


|  | Shares of <br> Common Stock | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Common } \\ & \text { Stock } \end{aligned}$ |   Accumulated <br> Other <br> Additional  Comprehensive <br> Paid in <br> Capital <br> (dollars in thousands) Retained <br> Earnings <br> (Loscome  |  |  |  | Treasury Stock |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, January 1, 2013 | 18,459,502 | \$ 18,507 | \$ 212,090 | \$ 38,314 | \$ | 1,064 | \$ | (500) | \$ 269,475 |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  | 15,415 |  | $(4,594)$ |  |  | 10,821 |
| Share-based-compensation expense |  |  | 1,535 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,535 |
| Public offering of common stock, net of costs of \$5,811 | 6,179,104 | 6,179 | 91,511 |  |  |  |  |  | 97,690 |
| Issuance of common stock under share-based-compensation arrangements | 23,413 | 24 | 228 |  |  |  |  |  | 252 |
| Balance, June 30, 2013 | 24,662,019 | \$ 24,710 | \$ 305,364 | \$ 53,729 | \$ | $(3,530)$ | \$ | (500) | \$ 379,773 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## CUSTOMERS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS UNAUDITED

| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2013 <br> (dollars | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 \\ & \text { usands) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities |  |  |
| Net income | 15,415 | \$ 9,616 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 5,720 | 4,538 |
| Loss contingency | 2,000 | 0 |
| Provision for depreciation and amortization | 1,279 | 687 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,535 | 1,266 |
| Deferred taxes | 1 | 188 |
| Net amortization of investment securities premiums and discounts | 228 | 193 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities | 0 | $(9,006)$ |
| Gain on sale of loans | (408) | (339) |
| Origination/purchase of loans held for sale | $(12,445,164)$ | $(1,359,676)$ |
| Proceeds from the sale of loans held for sale | 12,467,413 | 1,251,140 |
| Net increase in FDIC loss sharing receivable | $(4,815)$ | (741) |
| Amortization (accretion) of fair value discounts | (273) | 46 |
| Net loss on sales of other real estate owned | 180 | 601 |
| Impairment charges on other real estate owned | 13 | 0 |
| Change in investment in bank-owned life insurance | $(1,043)$ | (633) |
| (Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable and other assets | $(3,492)$ | 471 |
| Decrease in accrued interest payable and other liabilities | $(1,913)$ | $(3,185)$ |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used In) Operating Activities | 36,676 | $(104,834)$ |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal repayments of investment securities available for sale | 9,252 | 23,543 |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale | - | 306,610 |
| Purchases of investment securities available for sale | $(69,770)$ | $(108,249)$ |
| Proceeds from maturities and principal repayments of investment securities held to maturity | 0 | 50,968 |
| Net increase in loans | $(377,601)$ | $(312,624)$ |
| Purchase of loan portfolio | $(155,306)$ | 0 |
| Proceeds from sales of SBA loans | 3,900 | 3,689 |
| Purchases of bank-owned life insurance | $(10,465)$ | $(10,000)$ |
| Net (purchases of) proceeds from restricted stock | $(3,025)$ | 1,693 |
| Reimbursements from the FDIC on loss sharing agreements | 3,128 | 1,442 |
| Purchases of bank premises and equipment | $(1,344)$ | $(1,558)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned | 2,599 | 4,022 |
| Net Cash Used In Investing Activities | $(598,632)$ | $(40,464)$ |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities |  |  |
| Net increase in deposits | 334,935 | 346,780 |
| Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowed funds | 99,000 | $(153,000)$ |
| Proceeds from FHLB borrowings | 50,000 | 0 |
| Net proceeds from stock offering | 97,690 | 0 |
| Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities | 581,625 | 193,780 |
| Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 19,669 | 48,482 |


| Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning |  | 186,016 |  | 73,570 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents - Ending | \$ | 205,685 | \$ | 122,052 |
| Supplementary Cash Flows Information |  |  |  |  |
| Interest paid | \$ | 10,955 | \$ | 10,916 |
| Income taxes paid |  | 5,574 |  | 4,855 |
| Non-cash items: |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$ | 5,424 | \$ | 4,941 |
| Transfer of held to maturity investments to available for sale |  | 0 |  | 268,671 |
| See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements. |  |  |  |  |
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## CUSTOMERS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## NOTE 1 DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Customers Bancorp, Inc. (the Bancorp or Customers Bancorp ) is a Pennsylvania corporation formed on April 7, 2010 to facilitate the reorganization of Customers Bank (the Bank or Customers Bank ) into a bank holding company structure. The reorganization was completed on September 17, 2011.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( U.S. GAAP ) for interim financial statements and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) for interim reporting. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations, although the Bancorp believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading. The Bancorp s unaudited consolidated interim financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for fair statement of the results of interim periods presented. Certain amounts reported in the 2012 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2013 presentation. These reclassifications did not significantly impact the Bancorp s financial position or results of operations.

The accounting policies of Customers Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries, as applied in the consolidated interim financial statements presented herein, are substantially the same as those followed on an annual basis as disclosed on pages 85 through 93 of Customers Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. It is suggested that these financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in the latest Form 10-K.

Operating results for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The Bancorp evaluated its June 30, 2013 consolidated financial statements for subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued. The Bancorp is not aware of any additional subsequent events which would require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

## NOTE 2 ACQUISITION ACTIVITY

## CMS Bancorp Acquisition

Effective as of April 22, 2013, the Bancorp entered into an Amendment to the Agreement and Plan of Merger ( Amendment ) to that certain Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 10, 2012 ( Merger Agreement ), by and between the Bancorp and CMS Bancorp, Inc. ( CMS ).

The Amendment extended from April 30, 2013 to December 31, 2013 the initial date at which, if the merger of CMS with and into the Bancorp pursuant to the Merger Agreement, as amended, has not closed, either the Bancorp or CMS may terminate the Agreement, subject to the termination date being extended until March 31, 2014 under certain specified circumstances.

The Amendment also updated the definitions of CMS Valuation and Customers Valuation, establishing the valuation date for book value as of March 31, 2013. The exchange ratio will remain fixed for the pendency of the transaction, using the multiples of 0.95 x for CMS common equity, and 1.25 x for Customers common equity for purposes of calculating the exchange ratio.

Other key terms agreed to by the Bancorp and CMS under the Amendment provided for:

CMS s ability to have terminated the Merger Agreement, as amended, exercisable at any time after May 20, 2013, if either (i) the Bancorp had not made an investment in CMS of $\$ 1.5$ million of CMS Preferred Stock, or (ii) the Bancorp and CMS had not agreed upon the terms of a $\$ 2.0$ million senior secured lending facility that the Bancorp will have made available to CMS;
the Bancorp s payment of $\$ 300,000$ to CMS as partial reimbursement for merger-related expenses incurred as of March 31, 2013; and
the Bancorp to pay to CMS a termination fee of $\$ 1.0$ million in the event the Merger Agreement, as amended, is terminated under certain provisions primarily relating to failure to consummate the Parent Merger due to non-receipt of required government approvals.

On May 22, 2013, the Bancorp purchased $\$ 1.5$ million ( 1,500 shares) of CMS Series A Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, satisfying the first obligation listed above.

On April 23, 2013, the Bancorp paid to CMS $\$ 300,000$, satisfying the second obligation listed above.
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## Acacia Federal Savings Bank Acquisition

On April 4, 2013, Customers Bancorp, Inc., Acacia Life Insurance Company ( Acacia ) and Ameritas Life Insurance Corp. (together with Acacia, Sellers ) announced their mutual decision, due to delays in the receipt of regulatory approvals, not to extend the term of that certain Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 20, 2012, as amended by those certain Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2012, Amendment No. 2 to Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of January 30, 2013, and Amendment No. 3 to Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of February 28, 2013, by and among the Company and Sellers (the Purchase Agreement ). Instead, on April 4, 2013, the parties entered into a Termination and Non-Renewal Agreement to terminate the Purchase Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby (the Termination Agreement). Each party will bear its own costs and expenses in connection with the terminated transaction, without penalties. The parties mutually agreed that the termination was in each company s best interest. Costs related to the acquisition have been expensed.

## New England Commercial Lending Acquisition

On March 28, 2013, Customers Bank completed the purchase of certain commercial loans from Michigan-based Flagstar Bank. Under the terms of the agreement, Customers Bank acquired $\$ 182.3$ million in commercial loan commitments, of which $\$ 155.1$ million has been drawn at the date of acquisition. Also, as part of the agreement, Customers Bank assumed the leases for two of Flagstar s commercial lending offices in New England. The purchase price was $98.7 \%$ of loans outstanding.

## NOTE 3 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Purchased Loans

The Bancorp believes that the varying circumstances under which it purchases loans and the diverse quality of loans purchased should drive the decision as to whether or not loans in a portfolio should be deemed to be purchased-credit-impaired loans ( PCI loans). Therefore, loan acquisitions are and will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to determine the appropriate accounting treatment. Loans acquired that do not have evidence of credit deterioration at the purchase date are and will be accounted for in accordance with ASC 310-20, Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs, and loans acquired with evidence of credit deterioration since origination and for which it is probable that all contractually required payments will not be collected are and will be accounted for in accordance with ASC 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality.

## Loans that are purchased that do not have evidence of credit deterioration

Purchased performing loans are recorded at fair value and include credit and interest rate marks associated with acquisition accounting adjustments, as accounted for under the contractual cash flow method of accounting. The fair value adjustment is accreted as an adjustment to yield over the estimated contractual lives of the loans. There is no allowance for loan losses established at the acquisition date for the acquired performing loans. A provision for loan losses is recorded for any credit deterioration in these loans subsequent to acquisition.

Loans that are purchased that have evidence of credit deterioration since origination and for which it is probable that all contractually required payments will not be collected

For purchases of this type of loan, evidence of deteriorated credit quality may include past-due and non-accrual status, borrower credit scores and recent loan-to-value percentages.

The fair value of loans with evidence of credit deterioration is recorded net of a nonaccretable difference and, if appropriate, an accretable yield. The difference between contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is the nonaccretable difference, which is not included in the carrying amount of acquired loans. Subsequent to acquisition, estimates of cash flows expected to be collected are updated each reporting period based on updated assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, and other factors that are reflective of current market conditions. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows will generally result in a provision for loan losses. Subsequent increases in cash flows result in a reversal of the provision for loan losses to the extent of prior charges, or a reclassification of the difference from nonaccretable to accretable with a positive impact on accretion of interest income in future periods. Further, any excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the loan when there is a reasonable expectation about the amount and timing of those cash flows.

PCI loans acquired in the same fiscal quarter may be aggregated into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics. A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. On

## Edgar Filing: Customers Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

a quarterly basis, the Bank re-estimates the total cash flows (both principal and interest) expected to be collected over the remaining life of each pool. These estimates incorporate assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, the amounts and timing of prepayments and other factors that reflect then-current market conditions. If the timing and/or amounts of expected cash flows on PCI loans were determined not to be reasonably estimable, no interest would be accreted and the loans would be reported as non-accrual loans; however, when the timing and amounts of expected cash flows for PCI loans are reasonably estimable, interest is being accreted and the loans are being reported as performing loans.
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## Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In January, 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standard Update ( ASU ) 2013-01, Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities, to clarify that the scope of ASU 2011-11 applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with relevant accounting guidance or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The guidance in this ASU was effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after January 1, 2013 (the same effective date for ASU 2011-11) and is to be applied retrospectively. See NOTE 13
DISCLOSURES ABOUT OFFSETTING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES for the required disclosures.
In October, 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-06, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). This ASU requires an entity to subsequently account for the change in the measurement of the indemnification asset on the same basis as the change in the assets subject to indemnification. The amendments in this ASU were effective for the first interim periods or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2012 and are to be applied prospectively. Adoption of this ASU has not had a significant impact on the Bancorp $s$ results of operations or financial position.

In February, 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, which adds new disclosure requirements for items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income ( AOCI ). The ASU requires entities to disclose additional information about reclassification adjustments, including (1) changes in accumulated other comprehensive income balances by component and (2) significant items reclassified out of AOCI. The new disclosure requirements were effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2012 for public companies. See NOTE 4 CHANGES IN ACCUMULATED OTHER
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME BY COMPONENT for the required disclosures.

## NOTE 4 CHANGES IN ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME BY COMPONENT (a)

|  | Unrealized Gains and Losses on Available-for-Sale Securities <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance - April 1, 2013 | \$ | 354 |
| Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications |  | $(3,884)$ |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income |  | 0 |
| Net current-period other comprehensive loss |  | $(3,884)$ |
| Ending balance - June 30, 2013 | \$ | $(3,530)$ |
|  | Unrealized Gains and Losses on Available-for-Sale Securities <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |
| Beginning balance - January 1, 2013 | \$ | 1,064 |
| Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications |  | $(4,594)$ |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income |  | 0 |$(4,594)$Ending balance - June 30, 2013

$$
\$
$$

$$
(3,530)
$$

(a) All amounts are net of tax. Amounts in parentheses indicate debits.
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## NOTE 5 EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following are the components and results of the Bancorp s earnings per share calculation for the periods presented:


The following is a summary of securities that could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in the future that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because to do so would have been anti-dilutive for the periods presented:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 <br> 2012 |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 20132012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted average anti-dilutive securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Share-based compensation awards | 55,561 | 1,590,162 | 81,572 | 1,594,976 |
| Warrants | 118,745 | 567,329 | 118,745 | 569,232 |
| Total anti-dilutive securities | 174,306 | 2,157,491 | 200,317 | 2,164,208 |

## NOTE 6 INVESTMENT SECURITIES

In May 2012, Customers Bancorp reclassified its $\$ 269.0$ million held-to-maturity investment portfolio to available for sale. Due to its strong outlook for loan growth, falling interest rates, and its decision to postpone its initial public offering of stock, the Bancorp decided to proceed with this reclassification to provide liquidity. In accordance with regulatory and accounting requirements, the Bancorp is prohibited from classifying security purchases as held to maturity for a period of two years.

The amortized cost and approximate fair value of investment securities as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Gross Gross <br> Unrealized Unrealized <br> Gains Losses <br> (dollars in thousands)  |  |  |  | Fair <br> Value |
| Available for Sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities (1) | \$ 162,739 | \$ | 37 | \$ | $(5,433)$ | \$ 157,343 |
| Corporate notes | 25,000 |  | 65 |  | (100) | 24,965 |
| Equities | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 6 |

(1) Includes private-label securities with an aggregate amortized cost of $\$ 561$ and an aggregate fair value of $\$ 549$.
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|  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost |  | Gross nrealized Gains (dollars | Un | ross ealized osses ds) | Fair <br> Value |
| Available for Sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities (1) | \$ 102,449 | \$ | 1,795 | \$ | (109) | \$ 104,135 |
| Corporate notes | 25,000 |  | 89 |  | (137) | 24,952 |
| Equities | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 6 |
|  | \$ 127,455 | \$ | 1,884 | \$ | (246) | \$ 129,093 |

(1) Includes private-label securities with an aggregate amortized cost of $\$ 629$ and an aggregate fair value of $\$ 612$.

The following table shows proceeds from the sale of available-for-sale investment securities and gross gains and gross losses realized on those sales for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

|  | Three months ended June 30, 20132012 |  |  | Six 201 | m | en | June 30, 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities | \$ 0 |  | 57,645 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 306,610 |
| Gross gains | \$ 0 | \$ | 8,797 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 9,006 |
| Gross losses | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Net gains | \$ 0 | \$ | 8,797 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 9,006 |

These gains and losses were determined using the specific identification method and were included in non-interest income.
The following table shows available-for-sale debt securities by stated maturity. Debt securities backed by mortgages have expected maturities that differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to call or prepay and are, therefore, classified separately with no specific maturity date:

|  | June 30, 2013 <br> Amortized <br> Cost <br> (dollars in |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fair <br> Value |  |  |  |
| Due in one year or less | 0 | $\$$ | 0 |
| Due after one year through five years | 25,000 | 24,965 |  |
| Due after five years through ten years | 0 | 0 |  |
| Due after ten years | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 162,739 | 157,343 |  |
| Total debt securities | $\$ 187,739$ | $\$ 182,308$ |  |

The Bancorp s investments gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

|  | Less Than 12 Months Unrealized |  |  | June 30, 2013 |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | Un | nealized Losses | Fair Value (dollars in | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Uni } \\ \text { L } \\ \text { thou } \end{array}$ | alized <br> sses <br> ands) | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |  |
| Available for Sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 155,124 | \$ | $(5,419)$ | \$ 323 | \$ | (14) | \$ 155,447 | \$ | $(5,433)$ |
| Corporate notes | 4,912 |  | (88) | 4,988 |  | (12) | 9,900 |  | (100) |
| Total | \$ 160,036 | \$ | $(5,507)$ | \$ 5,311 | \$ | (26) | \$ 165,347 |  | $(5,533)$ |
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|  | Less Than <br> Fair Value |  | ths <br> lized ses |  | Decem <br> 2 Mon <br> Value <br> dollars | $\begin{gathered} \text { or } \\ \text { Un } \end{gathered}$ | 012 <br> ore alized sses nds) |  | V Value |  | ealized osses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available for Sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 5,695 | \$ | (87) | \$ | 429 | \$ | (22) | \$ | 6,124 | \$ | (109) |
| Corporate notes | 0 |  | 0 |  | 9,862 |  | (137) |  | 9,862 |  | (137) |
| Total | \$ 5,695 | \$ | (87) |  | 0,291 | \$ | (159) |  | 15,986 | \$ | (246) |

At June 30, 2013, there were 20 available-for-sale investment securities in the less-than-twelve-month category and seven available-for-sale investment securities in the twelve-month-or-more category. At December 31, 2012, there were two available-for-sale investment securities in the less-than-twelve-month category and eight available-for-sale investment securities in the twelve-month-or-more category. In management s opinion, the unrealized losses reflect primarily changes in interest rates due to changes in economic conditions and the liquidity of the market, and not credit quality. In addition, the Bancorp does not believe that it will be more likely than not that the Bancorp will be required to sell the securities prior to maturity or market-price recovery.

During June 2012, Moody s downgraded all five corporate bonds in the Bancorp sportfolio. This downgrade was anticipated since Moody s placed these bonds on negative watch in February 2012. The Bancorp analyzed these bonds in more detail at the time of downgrade. The Bancorp does not intend to sell these debt securities prior to recovery, and it is more likely than not that the Bancorp will not have to sell these debt securities prior to recovery. These bonds continue to pay their scheduled interest payments on time. No additional downgrades are anticipated at this time. The holdings are all in the financial services industry and all issuers are well capitalized.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, Customers Bank had pledged investment securities aggregating $\$ 156.8$ million and $\$ 103.5$ million, respectively, as collateral for borrowings from the FHLB.

## NOTE 7 LOANS RECEIVABLE AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The composition of net loans receivable was as follows:

|  | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| Construction | 25,769 | $\$$ |
| Commercial real estate | 35,901 | 4,792 |
| Commercial and industrial | 7,226 | 11,153 |
| Residential real estate | 19,213 | 19,952 |
| Manufactured housing | 3,505 | 3,728 |
|  |  |  |
| Total loans receivable covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements (1) | 91,614 | 107,526 |
|  |  |  |
| Construction | 33,459 | 28,897 |
| Commercial real estate | $1,255,481$ | 835,488 |
| Commercial and industrial | 189,013 | 75,118 |
| Mortgage warehouse | 7,560 | 9,565 |
| Manufactured housing | 145,927 | 154,703 |
| Residential real estate | 120,782 | 109,430 |
| Consumer | 1,808 | 2,061 |
| Total loans receivable not covered under FDIC loss sharing |  |  |
| agreements | $1,754,030$ | $1,215,262$ |
| Total loans receivable |  |  |


| Deferred (fees) costs, net | $(372)$ | 1,679 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(28,142)$ | $(25,837)$ |
| Loans receivable, net | $\$ 1,817,130$ | $\$ 1,298,630$ |

(1) Loans that were acquired in two FDIC-assisted transactions and are covered under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC are referred to as covered loans throughout these financial statements.
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Customers Bank takes advantage of Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) programs for overnight and term borrowings. Under the terms of a blanket collateral agreement, advances from the FHLB are collateralized by qualifying first-mortgage loans.

## Non-Covered Nonaccrual Loans and Loans Past Due

The following tables summarize non-covered loans, by class:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-89 } \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater } \\ & \text { Than } \\ & 90 \text { Days (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Past } \\ & \text { Due (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A | Nonccrual rs in tho |  |  | C | urrent (2) <br> s) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCI } \\ & \text { oans (5) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Loans (4) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 0 |  |  | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 186,057 |  | 2,456 |  | 189,013 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 341 |  | 0 |  | 341 |  | 14,296 |  | 1,199,236 |  | 41,608 |  | 1,255,481 |
| Construction |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2,613 |  | 30,072 |  | 774 |  | 33,459 |
| Residential real estate |  | 307 |  | 0 |  | 307 |  | 951 |  | 108,674 |  | 10,850 |  | 120,782 |
| Consumer |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 56 |  | 1,308 |  | 443 |  | 1,808 |
| Mortgage warehouse |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 7,560 |  | 0 |  | 7,560 |
| Manufactured housing (3) |  | 6,388 |  | 2,435 |  | 8,823 |  | 1,152 |  | 130,444 |  | 5,508 |  | 145,927 |
| Total |  | 7,037 | \$ | 2,435 | \$ | 9,472 |  | 19,568 |  | 1,663,351 |  | 61,639 |  | 1,754,030 |


|  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-89 } \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  | eater <br> han <br> ays (1) |  | tal Past Due (1) | NonAccrual ollars in tho | ands | rrent (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { PCI } \\ \text { Loans (5) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total ans (4) |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 38 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 38 | \$ 288 | \$ | 72,715 | \$ 2,077 | \$ | 75,118 |
| Commercial real estate | 1,437 |  | 0 |  | 1,437 | 17,770 |  | 770,508 | 45,773 |  | 835,488 |
| Construction | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 2,423 |  | 25,022 | 1,452 |  | 28,897 |
| Residential real estate | 381 |  | 0 |  | 381 | 1,669 |  | 95,396 | 11,984 |  | 109,430 |
| Consumer | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 56 |  | 1,486 | 519 |  | 2,061 |
| Mortgage warehouse | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 9,565 | 0 |  | 9,565 |
| Manufactured housing (3) | 9,234 |  | 1,966 |  | 11,200 | 141 |  | 135,924 | 7,438 |  | 154,703 |
| Total | \$ 11,090 | \$ | 1,966 |  | 13,056 | \$ 22,347 |  | ,110,616 | \$ 69,243 |  | 215,262 |

(1) Loan balances do not include non-accrual loans.
(2) Loans where payments are due within 29 days of the scheduled payment date.
(3) Purchased manufactured housing loans, purchased in 2010, are subject to cash reserves held at the Bank that are used to fund the past-due payments when the loan becomes 90 -days or more delinquent.
(4) Loans exclude deferred costs and fees.
(5) PCI loans that were aggregated into pools are accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows, the past due status of the pools, or that of the individual loans within the pools, is not meaningful. Because we recognize interest income on each pool of loans, they are all considered to be performing. PCI loans that are not in pools accrete interest when the timing and amount of their expected cash flows are reasonably estimable, and being reported as performing loans.
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## Covered Nonaccrual Loans and Loans Past Due

The following tables summarize covered loans, by class:

|  |  Greater Than <br> 30-89 90 Days <br> Days Past Due <br> Past Due (1) (1) |  |  |  | Total Past Due (1) |  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { Alars }}{ }$ | Non- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & \text { (2)(3) } \\ & \text { ds) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCI } \\ & \text { ans (5) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total ans (4) |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 0 |  | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 53 | \$ 4,470 |  | 2,703 | \$ | 7,226 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3,267 | 16,209 |  | 16,425 |  | 35,901 |
| Construction |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4,055 | 5,924 |  | 15,790 |  | 25,769 |
| Residential real estate |  | 297 |  | 0 |  | 297 |  | 564 | 14,082 |  | 4,270 |  | 19,213 |
| Manufactured housing |  | 52 |  | 0 |  | 52 |  | 41 | 3,352 |  | 60 |  | 3,505 |
| Total |  | 349 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 349 |  | 7,980 | \$ 44,037 |  | 39,248 | \$ | 91,614 |


|  |  Greater Than <br> 30-89 90 Days <br> Days Past Due <br> Past Due (1) $(1)$ |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Past } \\ & \text { Due (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Non-AccrualCurrent(2)(3) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PCI } \\ \text { Loans (5) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Loans (4) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 220 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 220 | \$ | 100 | \$ 8,404 | \$ 2,429 |  | 11,153 |
| Commercial real estate | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3,712 | 20,859 | 20,330 |  | 44,901 |
| Construction | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 5,244 | 6,472 | 16,076 |  | 27,792 |
| Residential real estate | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,358 | 14,226 | 4,368 |  | 19,952 |
| Manufactured housing | 48 |  | 0 |  | 48 |  | 90 | 3,527 | 63 |  | 3,728 |
| Total | \$ 268 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 268 |  | 0,504 | \$ 53,488 | \$ 43,266 |  | 107,526 |

(1) Loans balances do not include nonaccrual loans.
(2) Loans receivable that were not identified upon acquisition as a loan with credit deterioration.
(3) Loans where payments are due within 29 days of the scheduled payment date.
(4) Loans exclude deferred costs and fees.
(5) PCI loans that were aggregated into pools are accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows, the past due status of the pools, or that of the individual loans within the pools, is not meaningful. Because we recognize interest income on each pool of loans, they are all considered to be performing. PCI loans that are not in pools accrete interest when the timing and amount of their expected cash flows are reasonably estimable, and being reported as performing loans.
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## Impaired Loans Covered and Non-Covered

The following table presents a summary of impaired loans:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unpaid Principal Balance (1) | Related Allowance (dollars | Average Recorded Investment ousands) |  | rest ome nized |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 7,970 |  | \$ 5,249 | \$ | 118 |
| Commercial real estate | 28,187 |  | 24,277 |  | 554 |
| Construction | 5,609 |  | 7,075 |  | 10 |
| Consumer | 77 |  | 100 |  | 0 |
| Residential real estate | 2,272 |  | 2,436 |  | 14 |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | 2,177 | \$ 602 | 886 |  | 63 |
| Commercial real estate | 5,157 | 1,818 | 8,095 |  | 105 |
| Construction | 5,361 | 1,533 | 6,172 |  | 3 |
| Consumer | 0 | 0 | 45 |  | 0 |
| Residential real estate | 629 | 327 | 1,035 |  | 2 |
| Total | \$ 57,439 | \$ 4,280 | \$ 55,370 | \$ | 869 |

(1) Also represents the recorded investment.

|  | December 31, 2012 |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance (1) | Related Allowance (dollar | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment <br> ousands) |  | Interest Income Recognized |  |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 3,844 |  |  | 5,593 | \$ | 72 |
| Commercial real estate | 26,626 |  |  | 20,329 |  | 303 |
| Construction | 6,588 |  |  | 7,788 |  | 16 |
| Consumer | 101 |  |  | 101 |  | 0 |
| Residential real estate | 3,188 |  |  | 2,131 |  | 10 |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | 374 | \$ 295 |  | 806 |  | 4 |
| Commercial real estate | 8,708 | 2,505 |  | 8,955 |  | 81 |
| Construction | 5,116 | 1,541 |  | 7,196 |  | 100 |
| Consumer | 100 | 14 |  | 20 |  | 1 |
| Residential real estate | 1,331 | 270 |  | 822 |  | 9 |
| Total | \$ 55,976 | \$ 4,625 | \$ | 53,741 | \$ | 596 |

(1) Also represents the recorded investment.
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## Troubled Debt Restructurings

At June 30, 2013, there were $\$ 9.2$ million in loans categorized as troubled debt restructurings ( TDR ), and at June 30, 2012, there were $\$ 7.7$ million in loans categorized as troubled debt restructurings. All TDRs are considered impaired loans in the calendar year of their restructuring. In subsequent years, a TDR may cease being classified as impaired if the loan was modified at a market rate and has performed according to the modified terms for at least six months. A loan that has been modified at a below-market rate will be returned to performing status if it satisfies the six-month performance requirement; however, it will remain classified as impaired.

Modification of PCI loans that are accounted for within loan pools in accordance with the accounting standards for PCI loans do not result in the removal of these loans from the pool even if modifications would otherwise be considered a TDR. Accordingly, as each pool is accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows, modifications of loans within such pools are not TDRs.

The following is an analysis of loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring by type of concession for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. There were no modifications that involved forgiveness of debt.

|  | TDRs in <br> Compliance with Their Modified Terms and Accruing Interest |  | DRs in pliance Their dified ms and Not cruing terest in thousands) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Three months ended June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Extended under forbearance | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ 0 |
| Multiple extensions resulting from financial difficulty | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Interest-rate reductions | 93 |  | 910 | 1,003 |
| Total | \$ 93 | \$ | 910 | \$ 1,003 |
| Six months ended June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Extended under forbearance | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ 0 |
| Multiple extensions resulting from financial difficulty | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Interest-rate reductions | 93 |  | 1,167 | 1,260 |
| Total | \$ 93 | \$ | 1,167 | \$ 1,260 |
| Three months ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Extended under forbearance | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ 0 |
| Multiple extensions resulting from financial difficulty | 47 |  | 0 | 47 |
| Interest-rate reductions | 241 |  | 0 | 241 |
| Total | \$ 288 | \$ | 0 | \$ 288 |

Six months ended June 30, 2012

| Extended under forbearance | $\$ 0$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Multiple extensions resulting from financial difficulty | 47 |  | 0 | 47 |  |
| Interest-rate reductions | 268 |  | 0 | 268 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 315$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$ 315$ |  |

## Table of Contents

The following table provides, by class, the number of loans modified in troubled debt restructurings and the recorded investments and unpaid principal balances during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.
$\left.\begin{array}{ll|l|l} & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { TDRs in } \\ \text { Compliance } \\ \text { with Their } \\ \text { Modified }\end{array} \\ \text { Terms and Not }\end{array}\right)$

At June 30, 2013 and 2012, there were no commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose terms have been modified in troubled debt restructuring.

## Edgar Filing: Customers Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

All loans modified in troubled debt restructurings are evaluated for impairment. The nature and extent of impairment of TDRs, including those which have experienced a subsequent default, is considered in the determination of an appropriate level of allowance for credit losses. There was $\$ 0$ in specific reserves resulting from the addition of TDR modifications for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. There were no TDRs that defaulted in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.
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## Credit Quality Indicators

Credit quality indicators for commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, residential real estate, and construction loans are based on an internal risk-rating system and are assigned at the loan origination and reviewed on a periodic or on an as needed basis. Consumer, mortgage warehouse, and manufactured housing loans are evaluated on the basis of the payment activity of the loan.

To facilitate the monitoring of credit quality within the commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, construction portfolio, and residential real estate classes, and for purposes of analyzing historical loss rates used in the determination of the allowance for loan losses for the respective portfolio class, the Bank utilizes the following categories of risk ratings: pass/satisfactory, special mention, substandard, and doubtful. The risk rating categories, which are derived from standard regulatory rating definitions, are assigned upon initial approval of credit to borrowers and updated periodically thereafter. Pass/satisfactory ratings, which are assigned to those borrowers that do not have identified potential or well defined weaknesses and for which there is a high likelihood of orderly repayment, are updated periodically based on the size and credit characteristics of the borrower. All other categories are updated on a quarterly basis during the month preceding the end of the calendar quarter. While assigning risk ratings involves judgment and estimates, the risk rating process is intended to permit management to identify riskier credits in a timely manner and allocate the appropriate resources to managing the loans.

The Bank assigns a special mention rating to loans that have potential weaknesses that deserve management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may, at some future date, result in the deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan and the Bank s credit position.

The Bank assigns a substandard rating to loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged. Substandard loans have well defined weaknesses or weaknesses that could jeopardize the orderly repayment of the debt. Loans in this category also are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies noted are not addressed and corrected.

The Bank assigns a doubtful rating to loans that have all the attributes of a substandard rating with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of loss is extremely high, but because of certain important and reasonable specific pending factors that may work to the advantage of and strengthen the credit quality of the loan, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status may be determined. Pending factors may include a proposed merger or acquisition, liquidation proceeding, capital injection, perfecting liens on additional collateral or refinancing plans. When it is determined that these loans are uncollectible they are charged off in the period in which they are determined to be uncollectible.

Risk ratings are not established for home equity loans, consumer loans, and installment loans, mainly because these portfolios consist of a larger number of homogenous loans with smaller balances. Instead, these portfolios are evaluated for risk mainly based on aggregate payment history, through the monitoring of delinquency levels and trends and are classified as performing and nonperforming.

The following presents the credit quality tables as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 for the non-covered loan portfolio.

June 30, 2013

|  | Commercial <br> and <br> Industrial | Commercial <br> Real Estate <br> (dollars in thousands) | Construction <br> Real Estate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pass/Satisfactory | $\$ 178,927$ | $\$ 1,217,390$ | $\$$ | 30,364 | $\$ 117,946$ |
| Special Mention | 9,526 | 19,638 | 389 | 1,070 |  |
| Substandard | 560 | 18,453 | 2,706 | 1,766 |  |
| Doubtful | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | $\$ 189,013$ | $\$ 1,255,481$ | $\$$ | 33,459 | $\$ 120,782$ |
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|  | Consumer | Mortgage <br> Warehouse <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |  | Manufactured <br> Housing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performing | $\$ 1,751$ | $\$ 7,560$ | $\$$ | 145,917 |  |
| Nonperforming (1) | 57 |  | 0 | 10 |  |
| Total | $\$ 1,808$ | $\$$ | 7,560 | $\$$ | 145,927 |

(1) Includes loans that are on nonaccrual status at June 30, 2013.

|  | Commercial <br> and | December 31, 2012 <br> Industrial |  | Commercial <br> Real Estate <br> (dollars in thousands) | Construction | Residential <br> Real Estate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pass/Satisfactory | $\$ 70,955$ | $\$ 794,187$ | $\$$ | 26,020 | $\$ 105,490$ |  |
| Special Mention | 3,836 | 18,737 | 454 | 1,017 |  |  |
| Substandard | 327 | 21,801 | 1,971 | 2,919 |  |  |
| Doubtful | 0 | 763 | 452 | 4 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Consumer | Mortgage <br> Warehouse <br> (dollars in thousands) | Manufactured <br> Housing |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Performing | $\$ 2,005$ | $\$$ | 9,565 | $\$$ | 154,562 |
| Nonperforming (1) | 56 |  | 0 |  | 141 |
| Total | $\$ 2,061$ | $\$$ | 9,565 | $\$$ | 154,703 |

(1) Includes loans that are on nonaccrual status at December 31, 2012.

The following presents the credit quality tables as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 for the covered loan portfolio.

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Industrial } \end{gathered}$ | Commercial Real Estate |  | Construction housands) |  | Residential <br> Real Estate |  |
| Pass/Satisfactory | \$ 4,827 | \$ | 16,930 | \$ | 1,976 | \$ | 14,170 |
| Special Mention | 121 |  | 3,063 |  | 3,949 |  | 455 |
| Substandard | 2,278 |  | 15,908 |  | 19,844 |  | 4,588 |
| Doubtful | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total | \$7,226 | \$ | 35,901 | \$ | 25,769 | \$ | 19,213 |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lcr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Manufactured } \\
\text { Housing }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(dollars in thousands)\end{array}\right]\)| \$ |
| :--- |

(1) Includes loans that are on nonaccrual status at June 30, 2013.
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|  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial <br> and <br> Industrial | Commercial Real Estate |  | Construction ousands) |  | Residential <br> Real Estate |  |
| Pass/Satisfactory | \$ 8,888 | \$ | 26,195 | \$ | 2,434 | \$ | 14,021 |
| Special Mention | 51 |  | 225 |  | 4,038 |  | 455 |
| Substandard | 2,214 |  | 18,481 |  | 21,320 |  | 5,476 |
| Doubtful | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total | \$ 11,153 | \$ | 44,901 | \$ | 27,792 |  | 19,952 |

\(\left.\left.$$
\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Manufactured } \\
\text { Housing }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(dollars in thousands)\end{array}\right] $$
\begin{array}{l}\$, 638\end{array}
$$\right]\)| Performing |
| :--- |
| Nonperforming (1) |
| Total |

(1) Includes loans that are on nonaccrual status at December 31, 2012.

Allowance for loan losses
The changes in the allowance for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and the loans and allowance for loan losses by loan segment based on impairment evaluation method are as follows. Please read in conjunction with disclosures in the Bancorp s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

| Commercial | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and | Commercial |  | Real | Manufactured | Mortgage |  |  |
| Industrial | Real Estate | Construction | Estate | Housing | Consumer | Warehouse Unallocated | Total | (dollars in thousands)

## Three months ended

## June 30, 2013

Beginning Balance,

| April 1, 2013 | $\$ 1,990$ | $\$$ | 15,223 | $\$$ | 4,279 | $\$$ | 3,248 | $\$$ | 846 | $\$$ | 141 | $\$$ | 54 | $\$$ | 658 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Charge-offs | $(76)$ | $(1,481)$ | $(1,471)$ | $(65)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(3,439)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries | 154 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 176 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 417 | 2,935 | 1,509 | 359 | $(168)$ | $(39)$ | 2 | $(395)$ | 4,620 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ending Balance, June 30, $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll} & \$ 2,485 & \$ & 16,685 & \$ & 4,317 & \$ & 3,552 & \$ & 678 & \$ & 106 & \$ & 56 & \$ & 263 & \$ & 28,142\end{array}$

Six months ended
June 30, 2013
Beginning Balance,

| January 1, 2013 | $\$ 1,477$ | $\$$ | 15,439 | $\$$ | 3,991 | $\$$ | 3,233 | $\$$ | 750 | $\$$ | 154 | $\$$ | 71 | $\$$ | 722 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Charge-offs | $(96)$ | $(1,891)$ | $(1,471)$ | $(198)$ | 0 | 0 | 25,837 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries | 165 |  | 60 | 0 | 7 |  | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | $(3,656)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 939 | 3,077 | 1,797 | 510 |  | $(72)$ | $(57)$ | $(15)$ | $(459)$ | 5,720 |  |  |  |  |  |

Ending Balance, June 30, $2013 \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll} & \$ & 2,485 & \$ & 16,685 & \$ & 4,317 & \$ & 3,552 & \$ & 678 & \$ & 106 & \$ & 56 & \$ & 263\end{array}$

## At June 30, 2013

## Loans:

| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 10,147 | \$ | 33,344 | \$ | 10,970 | \$ | 2,901 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 77 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 57,439 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 180,933 |  | ,200,004 |  | 31,695 |  | 121,973 |  | 143,925 |  | 1,228 |  | 7,560 |  | 1,687,318 |
| Loans acquired with credit deterioration | 5,159 |  | 58,034 |  | 16,563 |  | 15,121 |  | 5,507 |  | 503 |  | 0 |  | 100,887 |

\$ 1,845,644

| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 602 | \$ | 1,818 | \$ | 1,533 | \$ | 327 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 4,280 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 1,477 |  | 9,402 |  | 279 |  | 1,022 |  | 76 |  | 48 |  | 56 |  | 263 |  | 12,623 |
| Loans acquired with credit deterioration |  | 406 |  | 5,465 |  | 2,505 |  | 2,203 |  | 602 |  | 58 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 11,239 |
|  | \$ | 2,485 | \$ | 16,685 | \$ | 4,317 | \$ | 3,552 | \$ | 678 | \$ | 106 | \$ | 56 | \$ | 263 | \$ | 28,142 |
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## Three months ended

## June 30, 2012

| Beginning Balance, April 1, | \$ | 1,464 | \$ | 7,117 | \$ | 5,099 | \$ | 775 | \$ | 33 | \$ | 95 | \$ | 763 | \$ | 54 | \$ | 15,400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge-offs |  | 0 |  | (938) |  | (979) |  | (179) |  | 0 |  | (10) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(2,106)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 66 |  | 14 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 5 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 86 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | (27) |  | 2,073 |  | 232 |  | 483 |  | 8 |  | (16) |  | 39 |  | (54) |  | 2,738 |
| Ending Balance, June 30, 2012 | \$ | 1,503 | \$ | 8,266 | \$ | 4,352 | \$ | 1,080 | \$ | 41 | \$ | 74 | \$ | 802 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 16,118 |

## Six months ended June 30, <br> $\underline{2012}$

| Beginning Balance, January 1, 2012 | \$ | 1,441 | \$ | 7,029 | \$ | 4,656 | \$ | 844 | \$ | 18 | \$ | 61 | \$ | 929 | \$ | 54 | \$ | 15,032 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge-offs |  | (34) |  | $(1,143)$ |  | $(2,191)$ |  | (200) |  | 0 |  | (10) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(3,578)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 66 |  | 50 |  | 0 |  | 5 |  | 0 |  | 5 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 126 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 30 |  | 2,330 |  | 1,887 |  | 431 |  | 23 |  | 18 |  | (127) |  | (54) |  | 4,538 |
| Ending Balance, June 30, 2012 | \$ | 1,503 | \$ | 8,266 | \$ | 4,352 | \$ | 1,080 | \$ | 41 | \$ | 74 | \$ | 802 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 16,118 |

## At June 30, 2012

| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 3,232 | \$ | 25,648 | \$ | 14,309 | \$ | 1,754 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 83 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 45,026 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 68,212 |  | 405,632 |  | 7,809 |  | 89,617 |  | 96,713 |  | 5,141 |  | 801,994 |  | 0 |  | 1,475,118 |
| Loans acquired with credit deterioration | 12,253 |  | 77,378 |  | 23,203 |  | 22,413 |  | 9,097 |  | 722 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 145,066 |

Allowance for loan losses:

| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 481 | \$ | 1,651 | \$ | 3,007 | \$ | 45 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 5,184 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 868 |  | 4,995 |  | 181 |  | 994 |  | 41 |  | 57 |  | 802 |  | 0 |  | 7,938 |
| Loans acquired with credit deterioration |  | 154 |  | 1,620 |  | 1,164 |  | 41 |  | 0 |  | 17 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2,996 |
|  | \$ | ,503 | \$ | 8,266 | \$ | 4,352 | \$ | 1,080 | \$ | 41 | \$ | 74 | \$ | 802 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 16,118 |

The non-covered manufactured housing portfolio was purchased in August 2010. A portion of the purchase price may be used to reimburse the Bank under the specified terms in the Purchase Agreement for defaults of the underlying borrower and other specified items. At June 30, 2013 and 2012, funds available for reimbursement, if necessary, were $\$ 2.7$ million and $\$ 5.0$ million, respectively. Quarterly, these funds are evaluated to determine if they would be sufficient to absorb probable losses within the manufactured housing portfolio.

The changes in accretable yield related to PCI loans since origination for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| For the Three Months Ended June 30, | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> (dollars in | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> thousands) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$ 29,665$ | $\$ 44,703$ |
| Accretion to interest income | $(1,601)$ | 0 |
| Reclassification from nonaccretable difference and disposals, net | $(415)$ | $(1,473)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Balance, end of period | $\$ 27,649$ | $\$ 43,230$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| For the Six Months Ended June 30, | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
|  | $($ dollars in thousands) |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$ 32,174$ | $\$ 45,358$ |
| Accretion to interest income | $(3,672)$ | $(2,059)$ |
| Reclassification from nonaccretable difference and disposals, net | $(853)$ | $(69)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Balance, end of period | $\$ 27,649$ | $\$ 43,230$ |
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## FDIC Loss Sharing Receivable

The following table summarizes the activity related to the FDIC loss sharing receivable for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

| Three Months Ended June 30, | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$ 12,043$ | $\$ 14,149$ |
| Change in FDIC loss sharing receivable | 2,884 | $(449)$ |
| Reimbursement from the FDIC | $(758)$ | $(1,324)$ |
| Balance, end of period | $\$ 14,169$ | $\$ 12,376$ |


| Six Months Ended June 30, | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> (dollars in | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> Balance, beginning of period |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Change in FDIC loss sharing receivable | $\$ 12,343$ | $\$ 13,077$ |
| Reimbursement from the FDIC | 4,954 | 741 |
|  | $(3,128)$ | $(1,442)$ |
| Balance, end of period | $\$ 14,169$ | $\$ 12,376$ |

## NOTE 8 SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

On May 22, 2013, the Bancorp raised $\$ 103.5$ million in gross proceeds by issuing $6,179,104$ shares of its voting common stock at a price to the public of $\$ 16.75$ per share. The net proceeds to Customers after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses were $\$ 97.7$ million.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Bancorp sold $7,111,819$ shares of common stock in private offerings. The proceeds, net of offering costs, were $\$ 94.6$ million.

On May 8, 2012, the Bancorp announced that, due to market conditions, it had postponed its initial public offering of voting common stock. Costs related to this postponed offering in the amount of $\$ 1.3$ million were expensed.

## NOTE 9 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

## Stock Options

Options to purchase an aggregate of 617,910 and 92,687 shares of voting common stock, representing $10 \%$ and $1.5 \%$ of the number of shares issued in the May 2013 offering of voting common stock, were granted to the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Operating Officer in connection with the completion of the offering pursuant to their existing employment agreements, respectively. The options will vest over five years from the date of grant, subject to a $50 \%$ increase in the value options to purchase of the Bancorp s Voting Common Stock and have a term of 10 years. In addition, in matters unrelated to the May 2013 offering, options to purchase an aggregate of 50,000 shares of Voting Common Stock were granted to certain other officers. The fair values of the options were estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The following table presents the weighted-average assumptions used and the resultant weighted-average fair value of the options.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted-average risk-free interest rate | $1.39 \%$ | $1.15 \%$ |
| Expected dividend yield | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weighted-average expected volatility | $6.54 \%$ | $17.47 \%$ |

Expected life (in years) 7.00
Weighted-average fair value
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The following table summarizes stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2013.


The Bank and the Bancorp are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet the minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary-actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Bancorp s consolidated financial statements. At June 30, 2013, the Bank and the Bancorp met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

The Bank experienced rapid loan growth during the final days of 2012. During the standard closing process of the Bank s December 2012 financial statements, management determined on January 30, 2013 that the rapid loan growth resulted in a reduction in the Bank s capital ratios, causing the Bank to become adequately capitalized as of December 31, 2012. Management immediately transferred sufficient capital from the Bancorp to the Bank, returning the Bank to well-capitalized status. Sufficient cash is maintained at the Bancorp to ensure that the Bank remains well capitalized, and management remains committed to taking all steps necessary to ensure that both the Bancorp and the Bank remain well capitalized going forward. The Bank is well capitalized as of June 30, 2013. Since the Bank was adequately capitalized at December 31, 2012, regulatory approval was required to accept, renew or roll over any brokered deposits. Effective January 1, 2013, the interest rate paid for deposits by institutions that are less than well capitalized is limited to 75 basis points above the national rate for similar products unless the institution can support to the FDIC that prevailing rates in its market area exceed the national average. This has been subsequently lifted based upon the well-capitalized status as of June 30, 2013.
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The Bancorp s and the Bank s capital amounts and ratios at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Actual |  | For Capital Adequacy Purposes |  |  | To Be Well Capitalized Under <br> Prompt Corrective Action Provisions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount | Ratio |
| As of June 30, 2013: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 406,314 | 13.32\% | \$ | 244,036 | 8.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 378,972 | 12.43\% | \$ | 243,915 | 8.0\% |  | \$ 304,894 | 10.0\% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 377,617 | 12.38\% | \$ | 122,018 | 4.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 350,275 | 11.49\% | \$ | 121,958 | 4.0\% |  | \$ 182,937 | 6.0\% |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 377,617 | 11.20\% | \$ | 134,895 | 4.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 350,275 | 10.39\% | \$ | 134,845 | 4.0\% |  | \$ 168,556 | 5.0\% |
| As of December 31, 2012: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 289,035 | 11.26\% | \$ | 205,443 | 8.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 244,710 | 9.53\% | \$ | 205,442 | 8.0\% |  | \$ 256,802 | 10.0\% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 262,719 | 10.23\% | \$ | 102,722 | 4.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 218,394 | 8.50\% | \$ | 102,721 | 4.0\% |  | \$ 154,081 | 6.0\% |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 262,719 | 9.30\% |  | 112,939 | 4.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 218,394 | 7.74\% |  | 112,896 | 4.0\% |  | \$ 141,120 | 5.0\% |

NOTE 11 DISCLOSURES ABOUT FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Bancorp uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and to disclose the fair value of its financial instruments. FASB ASC 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure of the estimated fair value of an entity s assets and liabilities considered to be financial instruments. For the Bancorp, as for most financial institutions, the majority of its assets and liabilities are considered to be financial instruments. However, many of such instruments lack an available trading market as characterized by a willing buyer and willing seller engaging in an exchange transaction. For fair value disclosure purposes, the Bancorp utilized certain fair value measurement criteria under the FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement, as explained below.

## Cash and cash equivalents:

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets fair values.

## Investment Securities:

The fair value of investment securities available for sale and held to maturity are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted prices, or externally developed models that use unobservable inputs due to limited or no market activity of the instrument (Level 3).

The carrying amount of restricted stock approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.
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## Loans held for sale:

The fair value of loans receivable held for sale is based on commitments on hand from investors within the secondary market for loans with similar characteristics.

## Loans held for sale Mortgage warehouse loans:

## The Fair Value Option

The Bancorp elected the fair value option for warehouse lending transactions documented under a Master Repurchase Agreement originated after July 1, 2012 in order to more accurately represent the short-term nature of the transaction and its inherent credit risk. This adoption was in accordance with the parameters established by Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 825-10-25, Financial
Instruments-Overall-Recognition: The Fair Value Option. As a result of this election, warehouse lending transactions are classified as Loans held for sale on the balance sheet. The interest income from the warehouse lending transactions is classified in Interest Income Loans held for sale on the income statement. An allowance for loan losses is not recorded for the warehouse lending transactions when measured at fair value since the exit price (the repurchase price) for warehouse lending transactions considers the effect of expected credit losses.

The fair value of mortgage warehouse loans is the amount of cash initially advanced to fund the mortgage, plus accrued interest and fees, as specified in the respective agreements. The loan is used by the mortgage company as short-term bridge financing between the funding of mortgage loans and the finalization of the sale of the loans to an investor. Changes in fair value are not expected to be recognized since at inception of the transaction the underlying loans have already been sold to an approved investor or they have been hedged by the mortgage company. Additionally, the interest rate is variable, and the transaction is short-term, with an average life of 17 days from purchase to sale.

## Loans receivable, net:

The fair values of loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market rates at the balance sheet date that reflect the credit and interest rate-risk inherent in the loans. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal. Generally, for variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values.

## Impaired loans:

Impaired loans are those that are accounted for under FASB ASC 450, Contingencies, in which the Bancorp has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan s collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

## FDIC loss sharing receivable:

The FDIC loss sharing receivable is measured separately from the related covered assets, as it is not contractually embedded in the assets and is not transferable with the assets should the assets be sold. Fair value is estimated using projected cash flows related to the loss sharing agreements based on the expected reimbursements for losses using the applicable loss share percentages and the estimated true-up payment. These cash flows are discounted to reflect the estimated timing of the receipt of the loss share reimbursement from the FDIC.

## Other real estate owned:

The fair value of OREO is determined using appraisals, which may be discounted based on management $s$ review and changes in market conditions (Level 3 Inputs). All appraisals must be performed in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice ( USPAP ). Appraisals are certified to the Bancorp and performed by appraisers on the Bancorp s approved list of appraisers. Evaluations are completed by a person independent of management. The content of the appraisal depends on the complexity of the property. Appraisals are completed on a retail value and an as is value .

## Accrued interest receivable and payable:

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.

## Deposit liabilities:

The fair values disclosed for deposits (e.g., interest and noninterest checking, passbook savings and money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.
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## Federal funds purchased:

For those short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

## Borrowings:

Borrowings consist of FHLB advances. The carrying amount of short-term FHLB borrowings approximates its fair value. Fair values of long-term FHLB advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new FHLB advances with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices obtained from this active market represent a market value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

## Subordinated debt:

Fair values of subordinated debt are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on market rates currently offered on such debt with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity.

## Derivatives (Assets and Liabilities):

The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using models that incorporate readily observable market data into a market standard methodology. The methodology nets the discounted future fixed cash receipts and the discounted expected variable cash payments. The discounted variable cash payments are based on expectations of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rate curves. In addition, fair value is adjusted for the effect of nonperformance risk by incorporating credit valuation adjustments for the Bancorp and its counterparties.

## Off-balance-sheet financial instruments:

Fair values for the Bancorp s off-balance-sheet financial instruments (lending commitments and letters of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing.

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Bancorp since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Bancorp sassets. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Bancorp s disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful.
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The estimated fair values of the Bancorp s financial instruments were as follows at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(1) Included in Other Assets
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In accordance with FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, the fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Bancorp s various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

The fair value guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value, focusing on an exit price in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, a change in valuation technique or the use of multiple valuation techniques may be appropriate. In such instances, determining the price at which willing market participants would transact at the measurement date under current market conditions depends on the facts and circumstances and requires the use of significant judgment. The fair value is a reasonable point within the range that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions.

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported with little or no market activity).
An asset s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

For financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

June 30, 2013
Fair Value Measurements at the End of the Reporting Period Using Quoted Prices
in
Active Markets for

| Identical | Significant |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets | Significant Other | Unobservable |
| (Level | Observable Inputs | Inputs |
| 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |

Total
1)
(Level 2)
(Level 3)
(dollars in thousands)

## Recurring Fair Value Measurements

## Assets

| Available-for-sale securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 0 | \$ | 157,343 | \$ | 0 | \$ 157,343 |
| Corporate notes | 0 |  | 24,965 |  | 0 | 24,965 |
| Equities | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 6 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments (1) | 0 |  | 1,919 |  | 0 | 1,919 |
| Mortgage warehouse loans held for sale | 0 |  | 1,414,943 |  | 0 | 1,414,943 |
| Total assets - recurring fair value measurements | \$ 6 | \$ | 1,599,170 | \$ | 0 | \$ 1,599,176 |

Liabilities
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments (2) } & \$ 0 & \$ & 1,868 & \$ & 0 & \$ & 1,868\end{array}$

## Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

| Assets | $\$ 0$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 9,044 | $\$$ | 9,044 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Impaired loans, net of specific reserves of $\$ 4,280$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 5,424 |  | 5,424 |
| Other real estate owned |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets - nonrecurring fair value measurements | $\$ 0$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 14,468 | $\$$ | 14,468 |

(1) Included in Other Assets
(2) Included in Other Liabilities
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|  | Fair Value <br> Quoted <br> Prices <br> in <br> Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) |  | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) <br> (doll | Significant Unobservable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 3) <br> housands) |  | riod | Using <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recurring Fair Value Measurements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available-for-sale securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 0 | \$ | 104,135 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 104,135 |
| Corporate notes | 0 |  | 24,952 |  | 0 |  | 24,952 |
| Equities | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 6 |
| Mortgage warehouse loans held for sale | 0 |  | 1,248,935 |  | 0 |  | 1,248,935 |
| Total assets - recurring fair value measurements | \$ 6 | \$ | 1,378,022 | \$ | 0 |  | 1,378,028 |
| Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans, net of specific reserves of \$4,625 | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 11,004 | \$ | 11,004 |
| Other real estate owned | 0 |  | 0 |  | 5,737 |  | 5,737 |
| Total assets - nonrecurring fair value measurements | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 16,741 | \$ | 16,741 |

The changes in Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are summarized as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lcr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Loans } \\ \text { Held for } \\ \text { Sale (1) }\end{array} \\ \text { (dollars in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

|  | Mortgage- <br> backed <br> Securities | Corporate <br> Notes | Total <br> (dollars in thousands) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Balance at April 1, 2012 | $\$ 2,790$ | $\$ 19,546$ | $\$ 22,336$ |
| Total losses included in other comprehensive income (before taxes) | 0 | $(343)$ | $(343)$ |
| Amortization included in interest income | $(158)$ | 0 | $(158)$ |
| Purchases | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | $\$ 2,632$ | $\$ 24,203$ | $\$ 26,835$ |
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$\left.\begin{array}{lrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Loans } \\ \text { Held for } \\ \text { Sale (1) }\end{array} \\ \text { (dollars in thousands) }\end{array}\right\}$

Balance at June 30, $2013 \quad \$ \quad 0$

|  | Mortgage- <br> backed <br> Securities | Corporate <br> Notes | Total |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  | \$22,111

(1) The Bancorp s policy is to recognize transfers between levels when events or circumstances warrant transfers. During the first quarter of 2013, a suspected fraud was discovered in the Bank s loans held-for-sale portfolio. Total loans involved in this fraud initially appeared to be $\$ 5.2$ million, and management believed the range of possible loss to have been between $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 3.2$ million. Accordingly, management provided a loss contingency of $\$ 2.0$ million at March 31, 2013. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the amount of loss, management transferred these loans and the related loss contingency from Level 2 to Level 3. During the second quarter of 2013, the Bank determined that an aggregate of $\$ 1.0$ million of the loans were not involved in the fraud, and these loans were subsequently sold. In addition, the Bank recovered $\$ 1.5$ million in cash from the alleged perpetrator. The remaining aggregate of $\$ 2.7$ million of loans and the related $\$ 2.0$ million reserve were transferred to Other assets. Since it was resolved that the loans no longer meet the definition of a loan, combined with the fact that the Company is pursuing restitution through the involved parties, the Company has determined this to be a receivable and, accordingly, transferred the asset to Other assets.
The following table summarizes financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value as of June 30, 2013 on a recurring and nonrecurring basis for which the Bancorp utilized Level 3 inputs to measure fair value. The valuation techniques, unobservable inputs, and ranges (weighted average) are the same as those disclosed at December 31, 2012.

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

| June 30, 2013 | Fair Value <br> Estimate | Valuation <br> Technique | Unobservable <br> Input | Range (Weighted <br> Average) (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired loans | $\$ 9,044$ | Collateral appraisal (1) | Liquidatation expenses (2) | $-3 \%$ to $-8 \%(-5.5 \%)$ |
| Other real estate owned | $\$ 5,424$ | Collateral appraisal (1) | Liquidation expenses (2) | $-3 \%$ to $-8 \%(-5.5 \%)$ |

(1) Obtained from independent third-parties approved appraisers. Appraisals are current and in compliance with credit policy. The Bancorp does not discount appraisals.
(2) Fair value is adjusted for costs to sell.
(3) Presented as a percentage of the value determined by appraisal.

## Table of Contents

## NOTE 12 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

## Accounting Policy for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Bancorp records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Currently, none of the derivatives are designated in qualifying hedging relationships, as the derivatives are not used to manage risks with the Bancorp s assets or liabilities. As such, all changes in fair value of the derivatives are recognized directly in earnings.

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the Bancorp made an accounting policy election to measure credit risk of its derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a gross basis.

## Risk Management Objectives of Using Derivatives

The Bancorp is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Bancorp manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and durations of its assets and liabilities. The Bank s existing interest-rate derivatives result from a service provided to certain qualifying customers, and therefore, are not used to manage interest-rate risk in assets or liabilities. The Bank manages a matched book with respect to its derivative instruments in order to minimize its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions.

## Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

None of the Bancorp s derivatives are designated in qualifying hedging relationships. Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and result from a service implemented in the first quarter of 2013 that the Bank provides to certain customers. The Bank executes interest rate swaps with commercial banking customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. Those interest rate swaps are simultaneously hedged by offsetting interest rate swaps that the Bank executes with a third party in order to minimize its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. Since the interest rate swaps associated with this program do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements, changes in the fair value of both the customer swaps and the offsetting swaps are recognized directly in earnings. At June 30, 2013, the Bancorp had 14 interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of $\$ 88.2$ million related to this program.

## Fair Value of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The following table presents the fair value of the Bancorp s derivative financial instruments as well as the classification on the balance sheet.

|  | Fair Value of Derivative Instruments June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asset De <br> Balance sheet location | atives <br> Fair value <br> (dollar | Liability De Balance sheet location housands) | ati | ves |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate products | Other assets | \$ 1,919 | Other liabilities |  | 1,868 |

## Effect of Derivative Instruments on Comprehensive Income

The following table presents the effect of the Bancorp s derivative financial instruments on comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Location of gain
recognized in income

Amount of gain
recognized in income on derivatives

# on derivatives 

(dollars in thousands)
Derivatives not designated as hedging
instruments
Interest rate products Other non-interest income $\quad \$ \quad 155$
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$\left.\begin{array}{|cc|}\hline \text { Effect of Derivative Instruments on Comprehensive Income } \\ \text { Six Months Ended June 30, } 2013 \\ \text { Location of gain } \\ \text { recognized in income } \\ \text { on derivatives } \\ \text { (dollars in thousands) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Amount of gain } \\ \text { recognized in income } \\ \text { on derivatives }\end{array}\right\}$

## Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

By entering into derivative contracts, the Bank is exposed to credit risk. The credit risk associated with derivatives executed with Bank customers is the same as that involved in extending the related loans and is subject to the same standard credit policies. To mitigate the credit-risk exposure to major derivative dealer counterparties, the Bancorp only enters into agreements with those that maintain credit ratings of high quality.

Agreements with major derivative dealer counterparties contain provisions whereby default on any of the Bancorp s indebtedness would be considered a default on its derivative obligations. The Bancorp also has entered into agreements that contain provisions under which the counterparty could require the Bancorp to settle its obligations if the Bancorp fails to maintain its status as a well/adequately-capitalized institution. As of June 30, 2013, the termination value of derivatives in a net liability position (which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance-risk) related to these agreements was $\$ 1.7$ million. In addition, the Bancorp has minimum collateral posting thresholds with certain of these counterparties, and at June 30, 2013 had posted $\$ 2.5$ million as collateral.

## NOTE 13 DISCLOSURES ABOUT OFFSETTING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following tables present derivative instruments that are subject to enforceable master netting arrangements. The Bancorp has not made a policy election to offset its derivative positions.

Offsetting of Financial Assets and Derivative Assets

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013
Gross amounts not offset in the consolidated balance sheet

|  | Gross amounts <br> offset in <br> the | Net amounts <br> of <br> Gross <br> amount <br> of | consolidated <br> balance <br> in the | consolidated <br> balance sheet <br> (dollars in thousands) | Financial <br> instruments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Cash collateral |
| :---: |
| received |$\quad$ Net amount

## Offsetting of Financial Liabilities and Derivative Liabilities

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013


# sheet balance sheet 

(dollars in thousands)

| Description |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest rate derivatives with institutional <br> counterparties | $\$ 1,720$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 1,720 | $\$$ | 208 | $\$$ | 1,512 | $\$$ | 0 |

Edgar Filing: Customers Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q
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## NOTE 14 LOSS CONTINGENCY

During the first quarter of 2013, a suspected fraud was discovered in the Bank s loans held-for-sale portfolio. Total loans involved in this fraud initially appeared to be $\$ 5.2$ million, and, management believed the range of possible loss to have been between $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 3.2$ million. Accordingly, management has provided a loss contingency of $\$ 2.0$ million at March 31, 2013. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the amount of loss, management transferred these loans and the related loss contingency from Level 2 to Level 3. During the second quarter of 2013, the Bank determined that an aggregate of $\$ 1.0$ million of the loans were not involved in the fraud, and these loans were subsequently sold. In addition, the Bank recovered $\$ 1.5$ million in cash from the alleged perpetrator. Since it was resolved that the loans no longer meet the definition of a loan, combined with the fact that the Company is pursuing restitution through the involved parties, the Company has determined this to be a receivable and, accordingly, transferred the asset to Other assets. Therefore, the remaining aggregate of $\$ 2.7$ million of loans and the related $\$ 2.0$ million contingency were transferred to Other assets.

## NOTE 15 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 30, 2013, the Bancorp completed an underwritten public offering of $\$ 55.0$ million non-callable five-year senior unsecured notes, with interest at $6.375 \%$ payable quarterly. The Bancorp granted the underwriters a 30 -day option to purchase up to an additional $15 \%$ of the aggregate principal amount of the senior notes ( $\$ 8.25$ million) sold in the offering, solely to cover overallotments, if any. Net proceeds are estimated to be $\$ 52.6$ million, or $\$ 60.6$ million, if the underwriters were to exercise the overallotment option in full.

The Bancorp intends to use the net proceeds from the offering to invest in its subsidiary, Customers Bank, to fund its organic growth, and for working capital and other general corporate purposes. The Bancorp may also use a portion of the net proceeds to pursue acquisitions or investments in its current and prospective markets.
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## ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report and all attachments hereto as well as other written or oral communications made from time to time by Customers Bancorp may contain certain forward-looking information within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These statements relate to future events or future predictions, including events or predictions relating to future financial performance, and are generally identifiable by the use of forward-looking terminology such as believes, expects, may, will, should, plan, anticipates, strategies or the negative thereof or comparable terminology, or by discussion of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements are only predictions and estimates regarding future events and circumstances and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including the risks described under Risk Factors that may cause actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. This information is based on various assumptions that may not prove to be correct. These forward-looking statements are subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond the control of the Bancorp and the Bank. Although the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are currently believed to be reasonable, future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements cannot be guaranteed. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that actual results will meet expectations or will not be materially lower than the results contemplated in this report and attachments hereto. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report or, in the case of documents referred to, the dates of those documents. Neither the Bancorp nor the Bank undertakes any obligation to release publicly or otherwise provide any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as may be required under applicable law.

Management s discussion and analysis represents an overview of the financial condition and results of operations, and highlights the significant changes in the financial condition and results of operations, as presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for Customers Bancorp, a financial holding company, and its wholly owned subsidiaries, including Customers Bank. This information is intended to facilitate your understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to Customers Bancorp sfinancial condition and results of operations as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. All quarterly information in this Management s Discussion and Analysis is unaudited. You should read this section in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation for the year ended December 31, 2012 included in Customers Bancorp s filing on Form 10-K/A (Amendment No. 1) for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

## Critical Accounting Policies

We have adopted various accounting policies that govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and that are consistent with general practices within the banking industry in the preparation of our financial statements. Our significant accounting policies are described in NOTE 3 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES to our audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 included in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by Customers Bancorp that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. We consider these accounting policies to be critical accounting policies. The judgment and assumptions used are based on historical experience and other factors, which are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of the judgments and assumptions management makes, actual results could differ from these judgments and estimates, which could have a material impact on the carrying values of our assets and liabilities and our results of operations. Actual results could differ from these estimates. There have been no material changes in our critical accounting policies, judgments and estimates, including assumptions or estimation techniques utilized, as compared to those disclosed in our 2012 Form 10-K.

## Second Quarter Events of Note

On May 22, 2013, we announced the closing of our underwritten public offering of voting common stock ( the May 2013 Offering ). We raised $\$ 103.5$ million in gross proceeds by issuing $6,179,104$ shares of our voting common stock at a price to the public of $\$ 16.75$ per share. Our net proceeds after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses were approximately $\$ 97.7$ million. We also issued options to purchase 710,597 shares of our common stock to our CEO and COO exercisable at the public offering price pursuant to their respective employment agreements in connection with the May 2013 Offering.

On June 26, 2013, we announced the formation of a business collaboration with and plans to invest up to $\$ 51$ million in Religare Enterprises Limited ( Religare ), which is a diversified financial services company in India that is applying for a banking license in India. We have agreed, subject to completion of definitive agreements and the receipt of any necessary approvals, to purchase $\$ 22$
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million of Religare common stock from one of its promoters, $\$ 1$ million of Religare common stock from Religare, and warrants to purchase $\$ 28$ million of Religare common stock. We will be required to pay $25 \%$ of the total value of the warrants ( $\$ 7$ million) in advance, and this amount is non-refundable. These transactions are being negotiated and may change. We expect to fund the Religare investments with cash currently on hand and dividends from Customers Bank.

Working with Religare, we also plan to establish a small group of personnel at Customers Bank that will cater to professionals from South Asia and businesses that want to take advantage of opportunities in South Asia. This is a rapidly growing niche in our core markets from Boston to Washington. We also expect to refer business opportunities in India to Religare and expect that Religare will refer business opportunities within the United States to us. We expect this investment and collaboration to enhance our shareholder value.

The initial investment is expected to be completed during the third quarter of 2013, and the warrants must be exercised within 18 months of our initial investment.

## Subsequent Events

On July 30, 2013, we completed an underwritten public offering of $\$ 55.0$ million non-callable five-year senior unsecured notes, with interest at $6.375 \%$ payable quarterly. We granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional $15 \%$ of the aggregate principal amount of the senior notes ( $\$ 8.25$ million) sold in the offering, solely to cover over-allotments, if any. Net proceeds were estimated to be $\$ 52.6$ million, or $\$ 60.6$ million, if the underwriters were to exercise the over-allotment option in full.

We intend to use the net proceeds from the offering to invest in our subsidiary, Customers Bank, to fund our organic growth, and for working capital and other general corporate purposes. We may also use a portion of the net proceeds to pursue acquisitions or investments in our current and prospective markets.

## Acquisition Activity

## CMS Bancorp Acquisition

Effective as of April 22, 2013, the Bancorp entered into an Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger ( Amendment ) to that certain Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 10, 2012 ( Merger Agreement ), by and between the Bancorp and CMS Bancorp, Inc. ( CMS ).

The Amendment extended from April 30, 2013 to December 31, 2013 the initial date at which, if the merger of CMS with and into the Bancorp pursuant to the Merger Agreement, as amended, has not closed, either the Bancorp or CMS may terminate the Agreement, subject to the termination date being extended until March 31, 2014 under certain specified circumstances.

The Amendment also updated the definitions of CMS Valuation and Customers Valuation, establishing the valuation date for book value as of March 31, 2013. The exchange ratio will remain fixed for the pendency of the transaction, using the multiples of 0.95 x for CMS common equity, and 1.25 x for Customers common equity for purposes of calculating the exchange ratio.

Other key terms agreed to by the Bancorp and CMS under the Amendment provided for:

CMS s ability to have terminated the Merger Agreement, as amended, exercisable at any time after May 20, 2013, if either (i) the Bancorp had not made an investment in CMS of $\$ 1.5$ million of CMS Preferred Stock, or (ii) the Bancorp and CMS had not agreed upon the terms of a $\$ 2.0$ million senior secured lending facility that the Bancorp will have made available to CMS;
the Bancorp s payment of $\$ 300,000$ to CMS as partial reimbursement for merger-related expenses incurred as of March 31, 2013; and
the Bancorp to pay to CMS a termination fee of $\$ 1.0$ million in the event the Merger Agreement, as amended, is terminated under certain provisions primarily relating to failure to consummate the Parent Merger due to non-receipt of required government approvals.

On May 22, 2013, the Bancorp purchased $\$ 1.5$ million ( 1,500 shares) of CMS Series A Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, satisfying the first obligation listed above.

On April 23, 2013, the Bancorp paid to CMS $\$ 300,000$, satisfying the second obligation listed above.
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## Acacia Federal Savings Bank Acquisition

On April 4, 2013, we, Acacia Life Insurance Company ( Acacia ) and Ameritas Life Insurance Corp. (together with Acacia, Sellers ) announced a mutual decision, due to delays in the receipt of regulatory approvals, not to extend the term of that certain Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 20, 2012, as amended by those certain Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2012, Amendment No. 2 to Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of January 30, 2013, and Amendment No. 3 to Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of February 28, 2013, by and among the Bancorp and Sellers (the Purchase Agreement ). Instead, on April 4, 2013, the parties entered into a Termination and Non-Renewal Agreement to terminate the Purchase Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby (the Termination Agreement ). Each party will bear its own costs and expenses in connection with the terminated transaction, without penalties. The parties mutually agreed that the termination was in each company $s$ best interest. All of Bancorp s costs related to this transaction have been expensed.

## New England Commercial Lending Acquisition

On March 28, 2013, Customers Bank completed the purchase of certain commercial loans from Michigan-based Flagstar Bank. Under the terms of the agreement, Customers Bank acquired $\$ 182.3$ million in commercial loan commitments, of which $\$ 155.1$ million had been drawn at March 28, 2013. Also, as part of the agreement, Customers Bank assumed the leases for two of Flagstar s commercial lending offices in New England. The purchase price was $98.7 \%$ of loans outstanding.

## Results of Operations

## Second Quarter 2013 Compared to Second Quarter 2012

We had net income of $\$ 8.2$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and net income of $\$ 6.5$ million for the three months ended June 30 , 2012, an increase of $\$ 1.7$ million or $26.5 \%$. Diluted earnings per share were $\$ 0.38$ and $\$ 0.56$ for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30,2012 , respectively, a comparative decrease of $\$ 0.18$ per share or $32.6 \%$. The decrease in diluted earnings per share is primarily the result of the inclusion of the 6.2 million shares issued in the public offering in May 2013 and the 7.1 million shares issued in the private offering in the third quarter of 2012 in the 2013 calculations of earnings per share. See NOTE 5 EARNINGS PER SHARE.
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## Net Interest Income

Net interest income (the difference between the interest earned on loans, investments and interest-earning deposits with other banks, and interest paid on deposits and borrowings) is the primary source of our earnings. The following table summarizes net interest income and the related spread and margin for the periods indicated:


| Interest spread | $3.21 \%$ | $2.87 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net interest margin | $3.25 \%$ | $2.90 \%$ |
| Net interest margin tax equivalent (C) | $3.26 \%$ | $2.91 \%$ |
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(A) For presentation in this table, balances and the corresponding average rates for investment securities are based upon historical cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts.
(B) Includes non-accrual loans, the effect of which is to reduce the yield earned on loans, and deferred loan fees.
(C) Full tax equivalent basis, using a $35 \%$ statutory tax rate to approximate interest income as a taxable asset.

Net interest income increased by $\$ 11.8$ million, or $83.7 \%$ for the three months ended June 30,2013 to $\$ 26.0$ million, from $\$ 14.2$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. This net increase was attributable to increases of $\$ 1.2$ billion in average volume of average interest-earning assets, offset by an increase of $\$ 1.0$ billion in average interest-bearing liabilities. The primary driver of the increase in net interest income was from higher loan volume from the following:
$\$ 392.8$ million increase in average mortgage warehouse loans due to growth of the mortgage warehouse lending business;
$\$ 342.3$ million increase in average commercial loans primarily due to growth of the commercial real estate loan portfolio; and
$\$ 457.2$ million increase in average multi-family loans due to growth of the multi-family lending business.
The key measure of our net interest income is net interest margin. Our net interest margin increased to $3.26 \%$ for the three months ended June 30,2013 from $2.91 \%$ for the same period in 2012. The changes in yields were secondary to the changes in loan volume.

Additionally, interest income from mortgage warehouse loans, and commercial real estate loans increased by $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 2.9$ million, respectively offset by a decrease from investment securities of $\$ 115,000$. Driving the rise in interest income was higher average loan volume for mortgage warehouse loans of $\$ 392.8$ million, and commercial loan volume of $\$ 342.3$ million. The higher loan volume was a result of our strategy to grow our mortgage warehouse lending and commercial real estate businesses. Sales of investment securities in the second quarter of 2012 lead to their lower average volume in the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same quarter in 2012. Interest expense for borrowings increased by $\$ 318,000$, due to the increase in the level of borrowings.

## Provision for Loan Losses

We have established an allowance for loan losses through a provision for loan losses charged as an expense on the statement of income. The loan portfolio is reviewed quarterly to evaluate the outstanding loans and to measure both the performance of the portfolio and the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. At June 30, 2013, approximately $2.81 \%$ of the loan portfolio was covered under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. Charge-offs incurred above the original estimated value are taken as additional provisions, and a corresponding receivable due from the FDIC is recorded through non-interest income for the portion anticipated to be recovered under the loss sharing agreements.

The provision for loan losses increased by $\$ 1.9$ million to $\$ 4.6$ million for the three months ended June 30 , 2013 , compared to $\$ 2.7$ million for the same period in 2012. The increase in the 2013 provision is attributable to several different factors that include an increase in specific reserve requirements for loans not covered under FDIC loss share agreements as well as increased reserves due to the cash flow re-estimation process for the PCI loans which occurs on a quarterly basis. Additional reserves were also needed for new loan growth in the portfolio.

For more information about our provision and allowance for loan losses and our loss experience, see Credit Risk and Asset Quality herein.
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## Non-Interest Income

The chart below shows our results in the various components of non-interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, <br> 2013 <br> 2012 <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposit fees | \$ | 159 | \$ | 117 |
| Mortgage warehouse transactional fees |  | 3,868 |  | 3,384 |
| Bank-owned life insurance |  | 567 |  | 323 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities, net |  | 0 |  | 8,797 |
| Accretion of FDIC loss sharing receivable |  | 2,505 |  | 0 |
| Gain on sale of loans |  | 358 |  | 339 |
| Other |  | 721 |  | 278 |
| Total non-interest income | \$ | 8,178 | \$ | 13,238 |

Non-interest income was $\$ 8.2$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, a decrease of $\$ 5.1$ million from $\$ 13.2$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease was due to security gains in the amount of $\$ 8.8$ million during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same quarter ended June 30,2013 when there were $\$ 0$ security gains. This was offset by accretion of the FDIC loss sharing receivable in the amount of $\$ 2.5$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013.

## Non-Interest Expense

The below chart shows our results in the various components of non-interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, <br> 2013 <br> 2012 <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ | 8,508 | \$ | 5,598 |
| Occupancy |  | 2,110 |  | 1,849 |
| Technology, communication and bank operations |  | 1,061 |  | 691 |
| Advertising and promotion |  | 408 |  | 301 |
| Professional services |  | 1,252 |  | 769 |
| FDIC assessments, taxes and regulatory fees |  | 1,058 |  | 867 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 525 |  | 709 |
| Loan workout |  | 72 |  | 543 |
| Loss contingency |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Stock-offering expenses |  | 0 |  | 1,340 |
| Other |  | 1,901 |  | 1,907 |
| Total non-interest expenses | \$ | 16,895 | \$ | 14,574 |

Non-interest expense was $\$ 16.9$ million for the three months ended June 30,2013 , an increase of approximately $\$ 2.3$ million as compared to non-interest expense of $\$ 14.6$ million for the same period in 2012.

Salaries and employee benefits, which represent the largest component of non-interest expense, increased by $52.0 \%$ or $\$ 2.9$ million to $\$ 8.5$ million in the second quarter of 2013 versus $\$ 5.6$ million in the same period in 2012. The primary reason for this increase was the addition of 80 full-time equivalent employees since June 30, 2012. This was directly related to the need for additional employees to support our organic growth and the expansion into new markets and lines of business.

## Edgar Filing: Customers Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Occupancy expense increased by $14.1 \%$, or $\$ 261,000$, rising from $\$ 1.8$ million in the second quarter of 2012 to $\$ 2.1$ million in the second quarter of 2013. The increase was related to building the infrastructure to support our growth as well as the cost of expansion into new markets.
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Technology, communication and bank operations increased by $53.6 \%$ or $\$ 370,000$ from $\$ 691,000$ in the second quarter of 2012 to $\$ 1.1$ million in the second quarter of 2013. The primary reason for this increase was related to building the infrastructure to support the growth through increased technology improvements and upgrades as well as the costs related to expanding of technological platforms into new markets. This corresponds with our philosophy of high touch, high tech , whereby we provide an exceptional level of customer service supported by state-of-the-art technology.

Professional services expense increased to $\$ 1.3$ million in the second quarter of 2013 from $\$ 769,000$ for the same period of 2012. This increase was primarily attributable to higher legal and consulting expenses in 2013 related to regulatory filings and other matters.

FDIC assessments, taxes and regulatory fees increased by $22.0 \%$ or $\$ 191,000$ from $\$ 867,000$ in the second quarter of 2012 to $\$ 1.1$ million in the second quarter of 2013. The primary reason for this increase was related to increased FDIC assessments for the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same period ended 2012 which approximated $\$ 264,000$. This was offset by a decrease in state franchise and sales and use tax in the amount of $\$ 71,000$ from the second quarter of 2012 as compared to the same quarter of 2013.Other expenses remained constant at $\$ 1.9$ million in the second quarter of 2013 compared to $\$ 1.9$ million in the second quarter of 2012. In general, there was an overall increase in most categories within other expense as a result of the growth and expansion of the franchise. Loan origination expense increased by $\$ 350,000$ for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012. This was offset by a decrease of $\$ 317,000$ and $\$ 150,000$ in loan insurance expense and other miscellaneous expenses, respectively, for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 in contrast to the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

## Income Taxes

The income tax expense was $\$ 4.4$ million and $\$ 3.6$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in the income tax provision was primarily due to the increase in net income before taxes of approximately $\$ 2.6$ million.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was approximately 35 percent.
Six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012
We had net income of $\$ 15.4$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, and net income of $\$ 9.6$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2012, an increase of $\$ 5.8$ million or $60.3 \%$. Diluted earnings per share were $\$ 0.76$ for the six months ended June 30,2013 and $\$ 0.83$ per share for the six months ended June 30, 2012, a comparative decrease of $\$ 0.07$ per share. The decrease in diluted earnings per share is primarily the result of the inclusion of the 6.2 million shares issued in the public offering in May 2013 and the 7.1 million shares issued in the private offering in the third quarter of 2012 in the 2013 calculations of earnings per share. See NOTE 5 EARNINGS PER SHARE.
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## Net-Interest Income

Net interest income (the difference between the interest earned on loans, investments and interest-earning deposits with other banks, and interest paid on deposits and borrowings) is the primary source of our earnings. The following table summarizes net interest income and the related spread and margin for the periods indicated:

|  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Balance | 2013 <br> Interest Income or Expense | Average  <br> Yield or Average <br> Cost Balance |  | 2012 <br> Interest Income or Expense | Average Yield or Cost |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest earning deposits | \$ | 176,757 | \$ 222 | 0.25\% | \$ 103,392 | \$ 134 | 0.26\% |
| Investment securities, taxable (A) |  | 162,407 | 1,911 | 2.35\% | 319,474 | 5,131 | 3.21\% |
| Investment securities, non taxable (A) |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 2,067 | 43 | 4.15\% |
| Loans held for sale |  | 1,141,295 | 22,041 | 3.89\% | 132,195 | 2,491 | 3.79\% |
| Loans, taxable (B) |  | 1,538,982 | 35,126 | 4.60\% | 1,332,238 | 30,482 | 4.60\% |
| Loans, non-taxable (B) |  | 13,016 | 169 | 2.61\% | 4,886 | 55 | 2.25\% |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses |  | $(26,417)$ |  |  | $(15,609)$ |  |  |
| Total interest earning assets |  | 3,006,040 | 59,469 | 3.99\% | 1,878,643 | 38,336 | 4.10\% |
| Non-interest earning assets |  | 165,569 |  |  | 114,055 |  |  |
| Total assets |  | 3,171,609 |  |  | \$ 1,992,698 |  |  |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest checking | \$ | 40,016 | 85 | 0.43\% | \$ 34,611 | 99 | 0.57\% |
| Money market |  | 1,030,921 | 3,568 | 0.70\% | 756,298 | 3,997 | 1.06\% |
| Other savings |  | 23,052 | 55 | 0.48\% | 19,314 | 59 | 0.61\% |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 1,235,853 | 6,564 | 1.07\% | 814,265 | 6,341 | 1.57\% |
| Total interest bearing deposits |  | 2,329,842 | 10,272 | 0.89\% | 1,624,488 | 10,496 | 1.30\% |
| Other borrowings |  | 265,072 | 680 | 0.52\% | 65,607 | 278 | 0.85\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  | 2,594,914 | 10,952 | 0.85\% | 1,690,095 | 10,774 | 1.28\% |
| Non-interest-bearing deposits |  | 262,279 |  |  | 142,594 |  |  |
| Total deposits \& borrowings |  | 2,857,193 |  | 0.77\% | 1,832,689 |  | 1.18\% |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities |  | 13,915 |  |  | 7,196 |  |  |
| Total liabilities |  | 2,871,108 |  |  | 1,839,885 |  |  |
| Shareholders Equity |  | 300,501 |  |  | 152,813 |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity |  | 3,171,609 |  |  | \$ 1,992,698 |  |  |
| Net interest earnings |  |  | 48,517 |  |  | 27,562 |  |
| Tax equivalent adjustment (C) |  |  | 91 |  |  | 53 |  |
| Net interest earnings |  |  | \$ 48,608 |  |  | \$ 27,615 |  |


| Interest spread | $3.21 \%$ | $2.92 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net interest margin (D) | $3.25 \%$ | $2.95 \%$ |
| Net interest margin tax equivalent (C) | $3.26 \%$ | $2.95 \%$ |

(A) For presentation in this table, balances and the corresponding average rates for investment securities are based upon historical cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts.
(B) Includes non-accrual loans, the effect of which is to reduce the yield earned on loans, and deferred loan fees.
(C) Full tax equivalent basis, using a $35 \%$ statutory tax rate to approximate interest income as a taxable asset.
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Net interest income was $\$ 48.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to $\$ 27.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, an increase of $\$ 20.9$ million, or $76.0 \%$. Increases in interest income, when comparing the six months ended June 30,2013 to the six months ended June 30, 2012, were primarily comprised of mortgage warehouse loans interest income in the amount of $\$ 8.2$ million, commercial real estate loans of $\$ 5.3$ million, and interest income related to multi-family loans in the amount of $\$ 7.4$ million. Growth in loan volume for these areas was responsible for these results. The sale of investment securities in the second quarter of 2012 was the primary cause of a $\$ 3.3$ million decrease in interest income from investment securities for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period of 2012. Interest expense for deposits of $\$ 10.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was a slight decrease from $\$ 10.5$ million at June 30, 2012. Even though total deposits increased to $\$ 2.8$ billion as of June 30, 2013, the deposit cost dropped from $1.30 \%$ at June 30,2012 to $0.89 \%$ at June 30, 2013. The increase in non-interest bearing deposits, which went from $\$ 142.6$ million at June 30,2012 to $\$ 262.3$ million at June 30, 2013, contributed to the decrease in interest expense of $\$ 224,000$ when comparing the six months ended June 30, 2012 versus 2013.

## Provision for Loan Losses

We have established an allowance for loan losses through a provision for loan losses charged as an expense on the statement of income. The loan portfolio is reviewed quarterly to evaluate the outstanding loans and to measure both the performance of the portfolio and the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. At June 30, 2013, approximately $2.81 \%$ of the loan portfolio was covered under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. Charge-offs incurred above the original estimated value are taken as additional provisions and a corresponding receivable due from the FDIC is recorded through non-interest income for the portion anticipated to be recovered under the loss sharing agreements.

The provision for loan losses increased by $\$ 1.2$ million to $\$ 5.7$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to $\$ 4.5$ million for the same period in 2012. The increase in the 2013 provision is attributable to several different factors that include an increase in charge-offs of $\$ 1$ million, $10.6 \%$ growth in the loan portfolio, and the cash flow re-estimation process for the PCI loans which occurs on a quarterly basis. Offsetting the increase was a decline in specific reserves needed for impaired loans in the portfolio.

## Non-Interest Income

The chart below shows our results in the various components of non-interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 2012 <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposit fees | \$ | 289 | \$ | 233 |
| Mortgage warehouse transactional fees |  | 7,536 |  | 5,483 |
| Bank-owned life insurance |  | 1,043 |  | 589 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities, net |  | 0 |  | 9,006 |
| Accretion of FDIC loss sharing receivable |  | 3,722 |  | 655 |
| Gain on sale of loans |  | 408 |  | 339 |
| Other |  | 1,295 |  | 665 |
| Total non-interest income | \$ | 14,293 | \$ | 16,970 |

Non-interest income was $\$ 14.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, a decrease of $\$ 2.7$ million from $\$ 17.0$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease was primarily the result of the $\$ 9.0$ million gain realized on the sale of available-for-sale securities, the majority of which were realized in the second quarter of 2012 in the amount of $\$ 8.8$ million, which was executed to provide funding for loan growth. Growth in warehouse lending volume, which is part of our strategic plan, contributed $\$ 7.5$ million of fee income, year to date, to the increase in non-interest income. In addition, bank owned life insurance as well as accretion of FDIC loss sharing receivable effectuated increases of $\$ 454,000$ and $\$ 3.1$ million, respectively, in non-interest income when comparing the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.
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## Non-Interest Expense

The below chart shows ours results in the various components of non-interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> (dollars in <br> in <br> thousands) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Salaries and employee benefits | $\$ 15,905$ | $\$ 1,095$ |
| Occupancy | 4,020 | 3,228 |
| Technology, communication and bank operations | 1,902 | 1,338 |
| Advertising and promotion | 523 | 576 |
| Professional services | 1,958 | 1,655 |
| FDIC assessments, taxes and regulatory fees | 2,405 | 1,536 |
| Other real estate owned | 561 | 815 |
| Loan workout | 746 | 902 |
| Loss contingency | 2,000 | 0 |
| Stock offering expenses | 0 | 1,340 |
| Other | 3,355 | 2,716 |
|  |  |  |
| Total non-interest expenses | $\$ 33,375$ | $\$ 25,201$ |

Non-interest expense was $\$ 33.4$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of $\$ 8.2$ million when compared to $\$ 25.2$ million for the same period in 2012. Salaries and employee benefits, which represent the largest component of non-interest expense, increased by $43.4 \%$ or $\$ 4.8$ million to $\$ 15.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The primary reason for this increase was the addition of 80 full-time equivalent employees since June 30, 2012. This was directly related to the need for additional employees to support our organic growth. In addition, stock-based compensation expense was $\$ 1.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and $\$ 1.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 due to increased issuances of stock-based awards to certain officers of the Bancorp and Bank.

Occupancy expense increased $24.5 \%$ or $\$ 792,000$, to $\$ 4.0$ million for the six months ended June 30,2013 from $\$ 3.2$ million for the same period in 2012. The increase was related to building the infrastructure to support the growth of the Bank.

Technology, communications, and bank operations expense was $\$ 1.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of $42.1 \%$ or $\$ 564,000$, over $\$ 1.3$ million in the same period of 2012. This increase was primarily due to an increase in technology requirements to account for loans acquired with deteriorated credit combined with the cost to expand into new markets.

Professional services expense increased to $\$ 1.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 when compared to $\$ 1.7$ million for the same period of 2012. This increase was primarily attributable to legal and consulting expenses incurred in 2013 related to regulatory filings and other matters.

In March 2013, a suspected fraud was discovered in the Bank s loans held-for-sale portfolio. Total loans involved in this fraud initially appeared to be $\$ 5.2$ million. In estimating the loss exposure, we believed a range of possible loss to have been between $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 3.2$ million. Accordingly we have provided a loss contingency of \$2.0 million at June 30, 2013.

As a result of the postponement in May 2012 of our public offering of voting common stock, we recorded stock-offering expenses of $\$ 1.3$ million. All of the costs for the May 2013 offering have been recorded through additional paid in capital at June 30, 2013.

Other expenses increased by $\$ 639,000$ to $\$ 3.4$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 2.7$ million during the same period in 2012. An increase in loan origination expenses of $\$ 566,000$, combined with an increase of $\$ 217,000$ in other miscellaneous expenses were offset by a decrease in insurance expense of $\$ 385,000$. The Company experienced higher expenses in most categories due to the expansion of the franchise which contributed to the overall increase in other expenses.

## Income Taxes

The income tax expense was $\$ 8.3$ million and $\$ 5.2$ million, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The increase in the income tax provision was primarily due to the increase in net income before taxes of $\$ 8.9$ million.

The effective tax rate for each of the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was approximately 35 percent.
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## Financial Condition

## General

Total assets were $\$ 3.8$ billion at June 30, 2013, a $\$ 592.0$ million, or $18.49 \%$, increase from total assets of $\$ 3.2$ billion at December 31 , 2012 . Total liabilities were $\$ 3.4$ billion at June 30 , 2013, an increase of $\$ 481.7$ million, or $16.43 \%$, from total liabilities of $\$ 2.9$ billion at December 31 , 2012.

The major changes within our financial position occurred as the result of the growth in loans receivable not covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, which increased by $44.10 \%$ or $\$ 536.7$ million to $\$ 1.8$ billion at June 30, 2013, from $\$ 1.2$ billion at December 31 , 2012. Approximately $29 \%$ of the loan growth from December 31,2012 was the result of the purchase of $\$ 155.1$ million of commercial loans from Flagstar Bank on March 28, 2013. In addition, as part of our organic growth strategy, we plan to establish a branch in the City of Philadelphia with the intention of servicing underserved and lower-and-moderate-income customers.

The following table sets forth certain key condensed balance sheet data:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ <br> (dollar | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ <br> ousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 205,685 | \$ 186,016 |
| Investment securities, available for sale | 182,314 | 129,093 |
| Loans held for sale (including \$1,148,001 and \$1,248,935 of mortgage warehouse loans at fair value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012) | 1,414,943 | 1,439,889 |
| Loans receivable not covered under FDIC Loss Sharing Agreements | 1,753,658 | 1,216,941 |
| Total loans receivable covered under FDIC Loss Sharing Agreements | 91,614 | 107,526 |
| Total loans receivable, net of the allowance for loan losses | 1,817,130 | 1,298,630 |
| Total assets | 3,793,258 | 3,201,234 |
| Total deposits | 2,775,709 | 2,440,818 |
| Federal funds purchased | 120,000 | 5,000 |
| Total other borrowings | 505,000 | 471,000 |
| Subordinated debt | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Total liabilities | 3,413,485 | 2,931,759 |
| Total shareholders equity | 379,773 | 269,475 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | 3,793,258 | 3,201,234 |

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents is comprised of cash and due from banks and interest earning deposits. Cash and due from banks consisted mainly of vault cash and cash items in the process of collection which amounted to $\$ 10.7$ million and $\$ 12.9$ million at June 30 , 2013 and December 31 , 2012, respectively. In addition, the interest-bearing deposits portion of cash and cash equivalents is primarily comprised of balances at the Federal Reserve Bank in the amount of $\$ 192.6$ million and $\$ 172.0$ million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, as well as at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh in the amount of $\$ 1.5$ million at June 30, 2013 and $\$ 1.1$ million at December 31, 2012. In total, cash and cash equivalents increased $\$ 19.7$ million, or $10.6 \%$, to $\$ 205.7$ million at June 30,2013 when compared to $\$ 186.0$ million at December $31,2012$.

## Investment Securities

Our investment securities portfolio is an important source of interest income and liquidity. It consists of government agency and mortgage-backed securities (guaranteed by an agency of the United States government or non-agency) and domestic corporate debt. In addition to generating revenue, the investment portfolio is maintained to manage interest-rate risk, provide liquidity, provide collateral for other borrowings, and diversify the credit risk of earning assets. The portfolio is structured to maximize net interest income, given changes in the economic environment, liquidity position, and balance sheet mix.

At June 30, 2013, our investment securities were $\$ 182.3$ million compared to $\$ 129.1$ million in December 31 , 2012. The increase is primarily the result of our purchase of FNMA and GNMA Agency Community Reinvestment Act (CRA)-eligible mortgage-backed securities. These
securities are comprised of loans in our assessment area. During the second quarter ended June 30, 2013, the Bank purchased over $\$ 34.1$ million of these securities.
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Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are included in other comprehensive income and reported as a separate component of shareholders equity, net of the related tax effect.

## Loans

Existing lending relationships are primarily with small businesses and individual consumers primarily in Bucks, Berks, Chester, Montgomery, Delaware, and Philadelphia Counties, Pennsylvania; Camden and Mercer Counties, New Jersey; and Westchester County and New York City, New York; and the New England area. The loan portfolio is primarily comprised of commercial real estate, construction, and commercial and industrial loans. In addition, we have a mortgage warehouse product line that provides financing to mortgage companies nationwide from the time of the home purchase or refinancing of a mortgage loan through the sale of the loan by the mortgage originator into the secondary market, either through a repurchase facility or the purchase of the underlying mortgages.

On March 28, 2013, Customers Bank completed the purchase of certain commercial loans from Michigan-based Flagstar Bank. Under the terms of the agreement, Customers Bank acquired $\$ 182.3$ million in commercial loan commitments, of which $\$ 155.1$ million was outstanding. Also, as part of the agreement, Customers Bank assumed the leases for two of Flagstar s commercial lending offices in New England. The purchase price was $98.7 \%$ of loans outstanding.

Loans receivable, net, increased by $\$ 518.5$ million to $\$ 1.8$ billion at June 30, 2013 from $\$ 1.3$ billion at December 31, 2012. In the first quarter of 2013, the bank acquired $\$ 182.3$ million in commercial loans from Michigan-based Flagstar Bank of which $\$ 151.6$ million is currently outstanding. In addition, the increase in Loans receivable, net, was also attributable to higher balances for commercial real estate of $\$ 420.0$ million. Offsetting the increase was normal loan runoff for PCI and Covered loans.

The composition of net loans receivable at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was as follows:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & \text { 2013, } \\ & \text { (dollars } \end{aligned}$ | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cember 31, } \\ & \text { 2012 } \\ & \text { ands) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction | \$ 25,769 | \$ | 27,792 |
| Commercial real estate | 35,901 |  | 44,901 |
| Commercial and industrial | 7,226 |  | 11,153 |
| Residential real estate | 19,213 |  | 19,952 |
| Manufactured housing | 3,505 |  | 3,728 |
| Total loans receivable covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements (1) | 91,614 |  | 107,526 |
| Construction | 33,459 |  | 28,897 |
| Commercial real estate | 1,255,481 |  | 835,488 |
| Commercial and industrial | 189,013 |  | 75,118 |
| Mortgage warehouse | 7,560 |  | 9,565 |
| Manufactured housing | 145,927 |  | 154,703 |
| Residential real estate | 120,782 |  | 109,430 |
| Consumer | 1,808 |  | 2,061 |
| Total loans receivable not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements | 1,754,030 |  | 1,215,262 |
| Total loans receivable | 1,845,644 |  | 1,322,788 |
| Deferred (fees) costs, net | (372) |  | 1,679 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(28,142)$ |  | $(25,837)$ |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ 1,817,130 | \$ | 1,298,630 |

## Edgar Filing: Customers Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(1) Loans that were acquired in two FDIC assisted transactions and are covered under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC are referred to as covered loans throughout this Management s Discussion and Analysis.
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The commercial and construction credit loan relationships are monitored on a periodic basis to evaluate the cash flows available for the repayment of loans. Management emphasizes loan quality and close monitoring of potential problem credits. Credit risk identification and review processes are utilized to assess and monitor the degree of risk in the loan portfolio. The lending and credit administration groups are charged with reviewing the loan portfolio and identifying changes in the economy or in a borrower s circumstances which may affect the borrower s ability to repay the loan, or the underlying value of the pledged collateral.

As a mortgage warehouse lender, we provide a form of financing to mortgage bankers by purchasing for resale the underlying residential mortgages on a short-term basis under a master repurchase agreement. We are subject to the risks associated with such lending, including, but not limited to, the risks of fraud, bankruptcy and default of the mortgage banker or of the underlying residential borrower, any of which could result in credit losses. The mortgage warehouse lending division monitors these mortgage originators by obtaining financial and other relevant information to reduce these risks during the lending period.

Covered loans are monitored and evaluated in the same manner to address the provision of the loss sharing arrangements with the FDIC.
It is the legacy and acquired construction and commercial real estate secured portion of the loan portfolio in which we are experiencing the most difficulty with delinquent and non-accrual loans. In September 2009, we tightened the underwriting policies for commercial real estate lending and construction lending. Although we believe that we have identified and appropriately allocated reserves against the riskiest of the loans in construction and commercial real estate, the possibility of further deterioration before the real estate market turns around may result in increased allocations of the Allowance for Loan Losses in that area in the future.

Other than the concentrations already addressed in warehouse lending, construction and commercial real estate, and manufactured housing, at June 30, 2013, we had no large exposures in other risky industries such as restaurants, home heating oil businesses or other industries that are typically viewed as high risk.

## Credit Risk

Customers Bancorp manages credit risk by maintaining diversification in its loan portfolio, by establishing and enforcing rigorous underwriting standards, by intensive collection efforts and by establishing and performing periodic loan classification reviews. Management also attempts to anticipate and allow for credit risks by maintaining an adequate allowance for loan losses, to which credit losses are charged when they are identified and to which provisions are added when it is appropriate. The allowance for loan losses is evaluated periodically as management deems appropriate. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 4.6$ million and $\$ 2.7$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and $\$ 5.7$ million and $\$ 4.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 28.1$ million or $.96 \%$ of total non-covered loans at June 30, 2013 and $\$ 25.8$ million, or $1.20 \%$ of total non-covered loans, at December 31, 2012.
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The chart below depicts changes in Customers Bancorp s allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated.

## Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses



We had impaired loans totaling $\$ 57.4$ million at June 30,2013 , compared to $\$ 56.0$ million at December 31, 2012. Non-accrual non-covered loans totaled $\$ 19.6$ million at June 30, 2013, down from $\$ 22.3$ million at December 31, 2012. We had net charge-offs of $\$ 2.9$ million for the second quarter of 2013 and $\$ 2.0$ million for the second quarter of 2012 . We had net charge-offs of $\$ 3.4$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and $\$ 3.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. There was $\$ 6.2$ million and $\$ 4.0$ million of non-covered other real estate owned as a result of foreclosure or voluntary transfer to us at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

To better understand our asset quality and related reserve adequacy, we break our loan portfolio into two categories; loans that were originated and loans that were acquired. Management believes that this additional information will allow investors to better understand the risk in our portfolio and the various types of credit reserves that are available to support loan losses in the future. Originated loans are supported with allowance for loan loss reserves. Acquired loans are supported with allowance for loan losses, non-accretable difference fair value marks, and cash reserves as described below.

Table of Contents


Table of Contents

| Asset Quality at June 30, 2013 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan Type | Total Loans | NPLs <br> (dollars in th | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ALL } \\ \text { ousands) } \end{array}$ | Credit <br> Marks | Cash <br> Reserve | Total Credit Reserves | Reserves <br> to Loans (\%) | Reserves to NPLs <br> (\%) |
| Originated Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legacy (prior to 9/09) | \$ 87,463 | \$ 12,579 | \$ 2,976 | 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 2,976 | 3.40 | 23.66 |
| Warehouse - Repo | 7,546 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0.76 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Warehouse - HFS | 1,414,943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Manufactured | 3,779 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 2.01 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Commercial | 533,290 | 877 | 6,270 | 0 | 0 | 6,270 | 1.18 | 714.94 |
| Multi-family | 662,592 | 0 | 3,315 | 0 | 0 | 3,315 | 0.50 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Consumer/Mortgage | 91,435 | 0 | 685 | 0 | 0 | 685 | 0.75 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| TDRs | 4,192 | 2,613 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 5.25 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total Originated Loans | 2,805,240 | 16,069 | 13,599 | 0 | 0 | 13,599 | 0.48 | 84.63 |
| Acquired Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Berkshire | 13,697 | 2,348 | 740 | 0 | 0 | 740 | 5.40 | 31.52 |
| FDIC - Covered | 51,743 | 7,980 | 2,265 | 0 | 0 | 2,265 | 4.38 | 28.38 |
| FDIC - Non-covered | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | n/a |
| Manufactured Housing 2010 | 78,441 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,747 | 2,747 | 3.50 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Manufactured Housing 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | n/a |
| Manufactured Housing 2012 | 55,955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | n/a |
| Flagstar | 151,596 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | n/a |
| TDRs | 3,010 | 1,152 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 1.16 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total Acquired Loans | 354,460 | 11,480 | 3,040 | 0 | 2,747 | 5,787 | 1.63 | 50.41 |
| Acquired PCI Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Berkshire | 55,287 | 0 | 3,946 | $(2,077)$ | 0 | 1,869 | 3.38 | n/a |
| FDIC - Covered | 39,248 | 0 | 6,692 | (719) | 0 | 5,973 | 15.22 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Manufactured Housing 2011 | 6,352 | 0 | 602 | 4,602 | 0 | 5,204 | 81.93 | n/a |
| Total Acquired PCI Loans | 100,887 | 0 | 11,240 | 1,806 | 0 | 13,046 | 12.93 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Subtotal | 3,260,587 | 27,549 | 27,879 | 1,806 | 2,747 | 32,432 |  |  |
| Unearned Origination Fees | (372) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Unallocated | 0 | 0 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 263 |  |  |
| Total Portfolio | \$ 3,260,215 | \$ 27,549 | \$ 28,142 | \$ 1,806 | \$ 2,747 | \$ 32,695 | 1.00 | 118.68 |

## Originated Loans

Loans that the Bank has originated (excluding Warehouse-HFS) totaled $\$ 1.4$ billion at June 30, 2013, or about $75.3 \%$ of total loans. Of these, $\$ 87.5$ million represented loans that were originated prior to September 2009 ( Legacy Loans ), when the new management team lead by Jay Sidhu introduced new underwriting standards that management believes are more conservative. At June 30, 2013, the loans originated prior to September 2009 included $\$ 17.1$ million of non-performing assets (NPAs ) or $83.0 \%$ of total NPAs for originated loans. Loans originated after September 2009, which totaled approximately $\$ 1.3$ billion, included $\$ 3.5$ million of NPAs.

The high level of non-performing loans ( NPLs ) in the Legacy Loan portfolio ( $14.3 \%$ NPL / Loans) were supported with $\$ 3.0$ million of reserves, or about $3.4 \%$ of total Legacy Loans. Commercial loans and multifamily loans totaled $\$ 1.2$ billion and were supported with $\$ 9.6$ million of the allowance for loan losses. Consumer and mortgage loans totaled $\$ 91.4$ million and were supported by $\$ 685$ thousand of the allowance for loan losses.

Acquired Loans
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At June 30, 2013, we carried $\$ 455.3$ million of acquired loans which was $24.67 \%$ of total loans excluding Warehouse HFS. When loans are acquired, they are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Acquired loans include purchased portfolios, FDIC failed-bank acquisitions, and unassisted acquisitions. At June 30, 2013, (i) $33.3 \%$ of acquired loans were purchased from Michigan-based Flagstar Bank on March 28, 2013, (ii) $15.2 \%$ of acquired loans were from the Berkshire Bancorp acquisition, (iii) $19.9 \%$ of acquired loans were from FDIC assisted acquisitions, which have loss share protection of $80 \%$ of credit losses being covered by the FDIC, and (iv) $30.9 \%$ of acquired loans represented manufactured housing loans that were purchased from Tammac Holding Corporation, a consumer finance company. Of the loans purchased from Tammac prior to $2012,92.5 \%$ were supported by a $\$ 2.7$ million cash reserve that is maintained in a demand deposit account at the Bank. All current losses and delinquent interest are covered with this reserve. We estimate that this cash reserve will be adequate to cover future losses and delinquent interest over the life of the portfolio. For the manufactured housing loans purchased in 2012 in the amount of $\$ 56.0$ million, Tammac has an obligation to pay us the full payoff amount of a defaulted loan once the borrower vacates the property, which includes any principal, unpaid interest, or advances on the loans.
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Most of the acquired loans were purchased at a discount. The price paid factored into management s judgment on the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the portfolio at the time of purchase. Every quarter, management reassesses the risk and adjusts the fair value to incorporate changes in the credit outlook. Total NPAs in the acquired portfolio were $\$ 17.6$ million, or $46.1 \%$ of total NPAs. Of this total, $70.1 \%$ have FDIC loss share protection ( $80 \%$ FDIC coverage of losses). At June 30, 2013, the FDIC-covered loans had $\$ 9.0$ million of allowance for loan losses and $\$ 719$ thousand of non-accretable difference fair value marks to support future credit losses. $9.7 \%$ of total NPAs were from loans related to the Berkshire acquisition, while $1.1 \%$ of total NPAs were from loans acquired from Tammac.

Acquired loans have a significantly higher percentage of non-performing assets than loans originated after September 2009. Management acquired these loans with the expectation that losses would be elevated, and therefore incorporated that expectation into the price paid. Management also created a Special Assets group that has a major focus on workouts for these acquired non-performing assets.

## Held-For-Sale Loans

The loans held-for-sale portfolio included $\$ 1.1$ billion of warehouse loans and $\$ 266.9$ million of mortgages. Held-for-sale-loans are carried on our balance sheet at fair value or lower of cost or market, so an allowance for loan losses is not needed.

## Nonperforming loans and assets not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements

The tables below set forth non-performing loans and non-performing assets not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements and the corresponding asset quality ratios at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Non-accruals loans decreased $\$ 2.7$ million through June 30, 2013 when compared to December 31, 2012.

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { June 30, } \\ \text { 2013 } \\ \text { (dollars in }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { December 31, } \\ \text { 2012 }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loans $90+$ days delinquent |  |  |  |$)$

(1) Net of credit marks.

|  | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accrual non-covered loans to total non-covered loans | $1.12 \%$ | $1.84 \%$ |
| Non-performing, non-covered assets to total non-covered assets | $1.47 \%$ | $2.16 \%$ |
| Non-accrual loans and $90+$ days delinquent to total non-covered <br> assets | $1.25 \%$ | $1.99 \%$ |
| Allowance for loan losses to: | $0.96 \%$ |  |
| Total non-covered loans | $86.37 \%$ | $6.20 \%$ |
| Non-performing, non-covered loans | $65.48 \%$ | $55.34 \%$ |
| Non-performing, non-covered assets |  |  |

We seek to manage our credit risk through the diversification of the loan portfolio and the application of policies and procedures designed to foster sound credit standards and monitoring practices. While various degrees of credit risk are associated with substantially all investing activities, the lending function carries the greatest degree of potential loss.

The tables below set forth non-accrual loans and non-performing assets covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

|  | June 30, 2013 (doll | December 31, $2012$ <br> housands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accrual covered loans (1) | \$ 7,980 | \$ | 10,504 |
| Covered other real estate owned | 19,569 |  | 4,109 |
| Non-performing, covered assets | \$ 27,549 | \$ | 14,613 |

(1) Net of credit marks.
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## Deposits

We offer a variety of deposit accounts, including checking, savings, money market and time deposits. Deposits are obtained primarily from within our service area and through wholesale and broker networks. These networks provide low cost funding alternatives to retail deposits and provide diversity to our sources of funds. Total deposits grew by $13.7 \%$ to $\$ 2.8$ billion at June 30, 2013 from $\$ 2.4$ billion at December 31, 2012. Non-Interest Bearing Demand deposits grew $\$ 46.2$ million while certificate of deposits grew $\$ 165.1$ million. Time deposits $\$ 100,000$ and over, increased $\$ 214.7$ million compared to December 31, 2012 due to deposit promotions and the addition of the CDARS deposit program.

The components of deposits were as follows:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2013 \\ & \text { (dollars } \end{aligned}$ | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cember 31, } \\ & 2012 \\ & \text { ands) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand, non-interest bearing | \$ 265,842 | \$ | 219,687 |
| Demand, interest bearing | 1,139,631 |  | 1,020,946 |
| Savings | 25,223 |  | 20,299 |
| Time, \$100,000 and over | 923,159 |  | 708,487 |
| Time, other | 421,856 |  | 471,399 |
| Total deposits | \$ 2,775,711 | \$ | 2,440,818 |

## Capital Adequacy

Shareholders equity increased by $\$ 110.3$ million to $\$ 379.8$ million at June 30, 2013, from $\$ 269.5$ million at December 31, 2012. Net income was $\$ 15.4$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. On May 22, 2013, we raised $\$ 103.5$ million in gross proceeds by issuing $6,179,104$ shares of our voting common stock at a price to the public of $\$ 16.75$ per share. Net proceeds after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses were $\$ 97.7$ million.

In addition, the recognition of stock-based compensation increased equity by $\$ 1.5$ million, which increase was offset by unrealized losses on securities of $\$ 4.6$ million. Lastly, 23,413 shares of Voting Common Stock were issued during the first quarter of 2013 to directors who were entitled to receive these as compensation for their service as a director of Customers Bancorp or Customers Bank, which resulted in a $\$ 252,000$ increase in shareholders equity.

We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements that are monitored by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can lead to supervisory actions by regulators; any supervisory action could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, Customers Bancorp downstreamed $\$ 114$ million in cash to Customers Bank. At June 30, 2013, we met all capital adequacy requirements to which we were subject and were well capitalized.
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The capital ratios for the Bank and Customers Bancorp at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

|  | Actual |  | For Capital Adequacy Purposes |  |  | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount | Ratio |
| As of June 30, 2013: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 406,314 | 13.32\% | \$ | 244,036 | 8.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 378,972 | 12.43\% | \$ | 243,915 | 8.0\% | \$ | 304,894 | 10.0\% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 377,617 | 12.38\% |  | 122,018 | 4.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 350,275 | 11.49\% | \$ | 121,958 | 4.0\% | \$ | 182,937 | 6.0\% |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 377,617 | 11.20\% |  | 134,895 | 4.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 350,275 | 10.39\% |  | 134,845 | 4.0\% | \$ | 168,556 | 5.0\% |
| As of December 31, 2012: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 289,035 | 11.26\% |  | 205,443 | 8.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 244,710 | 9.53\% | \$ | 205,442 | 8.0\% | \$ | 256,802 | 10.0\% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 262,719 | 10.23\% | \$ | 102,722 | 4.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 218,394 | 8.50\% | \$ | 102,721 | 4.0\% | \$ | 154,081 | 6.0\% |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers Bancorp, Inc. | \$ 262,719 | 9.30\% |  | 112,939 | 4.0\% |  | N/A | N/A |
| Customers Bank | \$ 218,394 | 7.74\% |  | 112,896 | 4.0\% | \$ | 141,120 | 5.0\% |

Liquidity for a financial institution is a measure of that institution $s$ ability to meet depositors needs for funds, to satisfy or fund loan commitments, and for other operating purposes. Ensuring adequate liquidity is an objective of the Asset/Liability Management process. We coordinate our management of liquidity with our interest-rate sensitivity and capital position. We strive to maintain a strong liquidity position.

Our investment portfolio provides periodic cash flows through regular maturities and amortization and can be used as collateral to secure additional liquidity funding. Our principal sources of funds are proceeds from stock and debt issuances, deposits, principal and interest payments on loans, and other funds from operations. We also maintain borrowing arrangements with the FHLB and the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia to meet short-term liquidity needs. At June 30 , 2013, our borrowing capacity with the FHLB was $\$ 792.4$ million of which $\$ 110.0$ million was utilized in long-term borrowings. Furthermore, at June 30, 2013, our available borrowing capacity with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia was $\$ 82.7$ million.

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were $\$ 36.7$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. Proceeds of loans held for sale in excess of the origination of loans held for sale contributed $\$ 22.2$ million to cash flows provided by operating activities. Investing activities used net cash flows of $\$ 598.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, as purchases less proceeds from maturities, calls and principal repayments of investment securities used $\$ 60.5$ million. The net increase in loans in the amount of $\$ 377.6$ million as well as the purchase of the Flagstar loans of $\$ 155.3$ million used cash of $\$ 532.9$ million. An additional purchase of bank-owned life insurance in the amount of $\$ 10$ million during the first quarter ended March 31, 2013 also contributed to the total cash used in investing activities on a six-month year-to-date basis.
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A $\$ 334.9$ million net increase in deposits combined with an increase in both short-term borrowed funds and FHLB borrowings in the amounts of $\$ 99.0$ million and $\$ 50.0$ million, respectively, provided support for operating activities.

On May 22, 2013, we raised $\$ 103.5$ million in gross proceeds by issuing $6,179,104$ shares of our voting common stock at a price to the public of $\$ 16.75$ per share. Net proceeds after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses were $\$ 97.7$ million and were used for general corporate purposes.

Ultimately, the net result was an increase in cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 19.7$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to an increase of $\$ 48.5$ for the same period ended June 30, 2012.

## Other Information

## Regulatory Matters and Pending Legislation

In 2008, the U.S. financial system and broader economy faced the most severe financial crisis since the Great Depression. The crisis threatened the stability of the financial system and contributed to the failure of numerous financial institutions, including some large, complex financial institutions. In response to the crisis, Congress passed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), which became law on July 21, 2010. The act includes numerous reforms to strengthen oversight of financial services firms and consolidate certain consumer protection responsibilities within the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, commonly known as the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB). Although the Dodd-Frank Act exempts small institutions, such as community banks and credit unions, from several of its provisions, and authorizes federal regulators to provide small institutions with relief from certain regulations, it also contains provisions that will impose additional restrictions and compliance costs on these institutions. Determining which provisions will affect us is difficult, because the impact may depend on how agencies implement certain provisions through their rules, and many of the rules needed to implement the act have not been finalized.

On September 12, 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision announced an agreement to a strengthened set of capital requirements for internationally active banking organizations in the United States and around the world, known as Basel III. Basel III narrows the definition of capital, introduces requirements for minimum Tier 1 common capital, increases requirements for minimum Tier 1 capital and total risk-based capital, and changes risk-weighting methodologies. Basel III is scheduled to be phased in over time until fully phased in by January 1, 2019. On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve adopted a final rule regarding new capital requirements pursuant to Basel III. These rules, which are currently scheduled to become effective on January 1, 2015 for community banks, could increase the required amount of regulatory capital that we must hold and lead to limitations on the dividend payments to us by Customers Bank. We are evaluating the impact of the new capital requirements on our business.

## Effect of Government Monetary Policies

Our earnings are and will be affected by domestic economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government and its agencies. An important function of the Federal Reserve Board is to regulate the money supply and interest rates. Among the instruments used to implement those objectives are open market operations in United States government securities and changes in reserve requirements against member bank deposits. These instruments are used in varying combinations to influence overall growth and distribution of bank loans, investments, and deposits, and their use may also affect rates charged on loans or paid for deposits.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

At June 30, 2013, there have been no material changes in the information disclosed under Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included within Customers Bancorp s 2012 Form 10-K.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, Customers Bancorp carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of Customers Bancorp s management, including Customers Bancorp s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of Customers Bancorp s disclosure controls and procedures as defined and in the Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon the evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Customers Bancorp s disclosure
controls and procedures were effective at June 30, 2013.
During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, there have been no changes in the Bancorp s internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Bancorp sinternal control over financial reporting.
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## Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Except as described below, neither Customers Bancorp nor any of its subsidiaries is involved in, nor is any of their property the subject of, any material legal proceedings other than ordinary routine litigation incident to their business.

On August 7, 2013, we received a letter from the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (Reserve Bank) of its determination, in connection with its consumer compliance and Community Reinvestment Act examinations of the Bank, to make a referral to the Department of Justice. The Reserve Bank has informed us that it has made the referral based on its contention that the Bank has not complied with certain provisions of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Fair Housing Act and Regulation B with regard to portions of the City of Philadelphia. As of the date hereof, we have not received any communication from the Department of Justice with respect to this matter. We believe that the Bank has complied with these laws and regulations. We intend to cooperate fully with the Department of Justice if and when any review regarding this matter is commenced.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Risk Factors included within the 2012 Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ and below. The risks described herein and in the 2012 Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results. See Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.
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The short-term and long-term impact of the new regulatory capital standards and the forthcoming new capital rules on U.S. banks is uncertain.

On September 12, 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, announced an agreement to a strengthened set of capital requirements for internationally active banking organizations in the United States and around the world, known as Basel III. Basel III narrows the definition of capital, introduces requirements for minimum Tier 1 common capital, increases requirements for minimum Tier 1 capital and total risk-based capital, and changes risk-weighting methodologies. Basel III is scheduled to be phased in over time until fully phased in by January 1, 2019.

On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve adopted a final rule regarding new capital requirements pursuant to Basel III. These rules, which are currently scheduled to become effective on January 1,2015 for community banks, could increase the required amount of regulatory capital that we must hold and lead to limitations on the dividend payments to us by Customers Bank.

Various provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act increase the capital requirements of bank holding companies, such as Customers Bancorp, and non-bank financial companies that are supervised by the Federal Reserve. The leverage and risk-based capital ratios of these entities may not be lower than the leverage and risk-based capital ratios for insured depository institutions. While the Basel III changes and other regulatory capital requirements will likely result in generally higher regulatory capital standards, we are evaluating the new rules and their effect on us and our bank subsidiaries.

## We may suffer losses due to minority investments in other financial institutions or related companies.

From time to time, we may make or consider making minority investments in other financial institutions or technology companies in the financial services business. If we do so, we may not be able to influence the activities of companies in which we invest, and may suffer losses due to these activities. Investments in foreign companies could pose additional risks as a result of distance, language barriers and potential lack of information (for example, foreign institutions, including foreign financial institutions, may not be obligated to provide as much information regarding their operations as those in the United States). Our previously announced,
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contemplated investment in Religare Enterprises Limited (or Religare), which is a diversified financial services company and is applying for a banking license in India, represents such an investment. Our investment in Religare securities is subject to approval by India soreign Investment Promotion Board and may be subject to our regulators, including the Federal Reserve, and if Religare obtains a banking license, we also may be required to obtain approval to hold $5 \%$ or more of Religare s common stock. There is no assurance of the timing or Religare sability to obtain a banking license in India, which is important to our anticipated investment and cross-referral strategy and the results of this strategy, cannot be predicted. Our investment in Religare common stock is not conditioned on Religare receiving a banking license in India. Our alliance and referrals to and from Religare are yet to be defined, and our levels of new business derived from such referrals cannot be predicted. These and other factors may result in lower-than-expected returns, or a loss, on our investment in Religare. We do not expect to receive any dividends on our investment in Religare securities. In addition, our investment in Religare may not have the market liquidity needed to realize a gain or avoid losses on our investment and any dispositions of our Religare common stock or warrants may be limited or delayed by market conditions or the need for regulatory or other approvals in India, and the value of our investment will be subject to fluctuations in the currency exchange rates between the Indian rupee and the United States dollar. The warrants we have agreed to purchase will be subject to mandatory exercise within 18 months of issuance. We cannot be assured that the mandatory exercise of the warrants will be in our best interest and while the warrants are expected to be listed on an India securities exchange, we may not be able to find a purchaser for the warrants prior to the mandatory exercise deadline. In addition, our upfront payment of $\$ 7$ million for the warrants is non-refundable and unless the warrants are exercised or sold timely, we could lose this entire amount. The definitive terms of the Religare transactions and relationships are being negotiated, and the currently contemplated terms and conditions may change.

## We will be required to hold capital for United States bank regulatory purposes to support our investment in Religare securities.

Under the newly adopted U.S. capital adequacy rules, which are currently scheduled to be effective as of January 1, 2015, we will have to hold risk based capital based on the amount of Religare common stock we own. The impact of the final capital adequacy rules is still being evaluated. Depending upon the implementation of the final U.S. capital adequacy rules, these investments, to the extent still held, will be risk weighted within a range of $100 \%$ to $400 \%$ of the amount of the investment. Any capital that is required to be used to support our Religare investment will not be available to support our United States operations or Customers Bank, if needed.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On May 22, 2013, the Bancorp completed an underwritten public offering of its voting common stock under its shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (Registration Statement No. 333-188040) which was declared effective by the SEC on April 30, 2013, including the prospectus contained therein, and the preliminary prospectus supplement dated May 9, 2013 and final prospectus supplement dated May 15, 2013.

In the offering, the Bancorp registered and issued 6,179,104 shares of its voting common stock, including 805,970 shares as a result of the exercise of an underwriters over-allotment option, at a price to the public of $\$ 16.75$ per share, resulting in gross proceeds of $\$ 103.5$ million. FBR Capital Markets \& Co., Sterne, Agee \& Leach, Inc., and Boenning \& Scattergood, Inc. acted as the underwriters for the offering.

The net proceeds to the Bancorp after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses were approximately $\$ 97.7$ million. Underwriting discounts and commissions equaled $\$ 5.2$ million, and a reasonable estimate of the total expenses of the offering, excluding underwriting discounts and commissions, was approximately $\$ 2.0$ million, which includes $\$ 1.4$ million in share-based compensation expense (that will be recognized over the five-year vesting period) relating to options to purchase an aggregate of 617,910 and 92,687 shares of voting common stock, representing $10 \%$ and $1.5 \%$ of the number of shares issued in the May 2013 offering of voting common stock, that were granted to the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Operating Officer in connection with the completion of the offering pursuant to their existing employment agreements, respectively.

As of June 30, 2013, the Bancorp had invested $\$ 75.0$ million of the net proceeds in its wholly owned subsidiary, Customers Bank, and deposited the remaining $\$ 22.7$ million in a non-interest-bearing account at Customers Bank.

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information
None
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## Item 6. Exhibits

## Exhibit

31.1. Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule15d-14(a)
31.2. Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule15d-14(a)
32.1. Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2. Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101 The Exhibits furnished* as part of this report are as follows:
101.INS XBRL Instance Document.*
101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.*
101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.*

XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.*
101.PRE

XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.*
XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Linkbase Document.*

* These interactive data files are being filed as part of this Report, and, in accordance with Rule 402 of Regulation S-T, shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## Customers Bancorp, Inc.

By: /s/ Jay S. Sidhu
Name: Jay S. Sidhu
Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

## Customers Bancorp, Inc.

By: /s/ James D. Hogan
Name: James D. Hogan
Title: Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)
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## Exhibit Index

1.1 Underwriting Agreement, dated as of May 15, 2013, by and among Customers Bancorp, Inc., Customers Bank and FBR Capital Markets \& Co., as representative of the underwriters named therein, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Customers Bancorp 8-K filed with the SEC on May 16, 2013
1.2 Underwriting Agreement, dated as of July 24, 2013, by and among Customers Bancorp, Inc., Customers Bank and Janney Montgomery Scott LLC, as representative of the underwriters named therein, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Customers Bancorp 8-K filed with the SEC on July 26, 2013
2.1 Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 22, 2013, by and among Customers Bancorp, Inc. and CMS Bancorp, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Customers Bancorp Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 24, 2013
3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Customers Bancorp, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Customers Bancorp s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 30, 2012
3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Customers Bancorp, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Customers Bancorp s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 30, 2012
3.3 Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Customers Bancorp, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Customers Bancorp Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 2, 2012
4.1 Indenture, dated as of July 30, 2013, by and between Customers Bancorp, Inc., as Issuer, and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Customers Bancorp 8-K filed with the SEC on July 31, 2013
4.2 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 30, 2013, by and between Customers Bancorp, Inc., as Issuer, and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Customers Bancorp 8-K filed with the SEC on July 31, 2013
$4.36 .375 \%$ Global Note in aggregate principal amount of $\$ 55,000,000$, issued July 30, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Customers Bancorp 8-K filed with the SEC on July 31, 2013
10.1 Termination and Non-Renewal Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2013, by and among Customers Bancorp, Inc., Acacia Life Insurance Company, and Ameritas Life Insurance Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Customers Bancorp Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 10, 2013
31.1. Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule15d-14(a)
31.2. Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule15d-14(a)
32.1. Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2. Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101 The Exhibits furnished* as part of this report are as follows:
101.INS XBRL Instance Document.*
101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.*
101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.*
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.*
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.*
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Linkbase Document.*

* These interactive data files are being filed as part of this Report, and, in accordance with Rule 402 of Regulation S-T, shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as
amended, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

