

MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/

Form 10-Q

July 15, 2011

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 17, 2011

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 1-13881

MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|--|---|
| Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | 52-2055918 (IRS Employer Identification No.) |
| 10400 Fernwood Road, Bethesda, Maryland (Address of principal executive offices) | 20817 (Zip Code) |
| (301) 380-3000 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) | |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
 Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller Reporting Company
 Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 352,902,263 shares of Class A Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding at July 1, 2011.

Table of Contents

MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|----------|---|
| Part I. | Financial Information (Unaudited): |
| Item 1. | <u>Financial Statements</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income-Twelve Weeks and Twenty-Four Weeks Ended June 17, 2011 and June 18, 2010</u> |
| | 2 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets-as of June 17, 2011, and December 31, 2010</u> |
| | 3 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows-Twenty-Four Weeks Ended June 17, 2011 and June 18, 2010</u> |
| | 4 |
| | <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> |
| | 5 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> |
| | 23 |
| | <u>Forward-Looking Statements</u> |
| | 23 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> |
| | 51 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> |
| | 52 |
| Part II. | Other Information: |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> |
| | 53 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> |
| | 53 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> |
| | 61 |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> |
| | 61 |
| Item 4. | <u>Removed and Reserved</u> |
| | 61 |
| Item 5. | <u>Other Information</u> |
| | 61 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> |
| | 62 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> |
| | 63 |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC. (MARRIOTT)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

| | Twelve Weeks Ended | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Base management fees | \$ 149 | \$ 136 | \$ 283 | \$ 261 |
| Franchise fees | 120 | 105 | 223 | 196 |
| Incentive management fees | 50 | 46 | 92 | 86 |
| Owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue | 249 | 255 | 473 | 484 |
| Timeshare sales and services | 288 | 289 | 564 | 574 |
| Cost reimbursements | 2,116 | 1,940 | 4,115 | 3,800 |
| | 2,972 | 2,771 | 5,750 | 5,401 |
| OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Owned, leased, and corporate housing-direct | 220 | 224 | 424 | 441 |
| Timeshare-direct | 245 | 239 | 470 | 474 |
| Reimbursed costs | 2,116 | 1,940 | 4,115 | 3,800 |
| General, administrative, and other | 159 | 142 | 318 | 280 |
| | 2,740 | 2,545 | 5,327 | 4,995 |
| OPERATING INCOME | | | | |
| | 232 | 226 | 423 | 406 |
| Gains and other income | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| Interest expense | (37) | (44) | (78) | (89) |
| Interest income | 3 | 3 | 7 | 7 |
| Equity in losses | 0 | (4) | (4) | (15) |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | | | | |
| | 201 | 184 | 353 | 313 |
| Provision for income taxes | (66) | (65) | (117) | (111) |
| NET INCOME | | | | |
| | \$ 135 | \$ 119 | \$ 236 | \$ 202 |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE-Basic | | | | |
| Earnings per share | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.65 | \$ 0.56 |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE-Diluted | | | | |
| Earnings per share | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.63 | \$ 0.54 |

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| CASH DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE | \$ 0.1000 | \$ 0.0400 | \$ 0.1875 | \$ 0.0800 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC. (MARRIOTT)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(\$ in millions)

| | (Unaudited) | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and equivalents | \$ 117 | \$ 505 |
| Accounts and notes receivable (including from VIEs of \$119 and \$125 respectively) | 1,006 | 938 |
| Inventory | 1,365 | 1,489 |
| Current deferred taxes, net | 237 | 246 |
| Prepaid expenses | 93 | 81 |
| Other (including from VIEs of \$47 and \$31 respectively) | 120 | 123 |
| | 2,938 | 3,382 |
| Property and equipment | 1,483 | 1,307 |
| Intangible assets | | |
| Goodwill | 875 | 875 |
| Contract acquisition costs and other | 844 | 768 |
| | 1,719 | 1,643 |
| Equity and cost method investments | 278 | 250 |
| Notes receivable (including from VIEs of \$799 and \$910, respectively) | 1,127 | 1,264 |
| Deferred taxes, net | 910 | 932 |
| Other (including from VIEs of \$14 and \$14, respectively) | 336 | 205 |
| | \$ 8,791 | \$ 8,983 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt (including from VIEs of \$122 and \$126, respectively) | \$ 482 | \$ 138 |
| Accounts payable | 607 | 634 |
| Accrued payroll and benefits | 674 | 692 |
| Liability for guest loyalty program | 487 | 486 |
| Other (including from VIEs of \$5 and \$3, respectively) | 667 | 551 |
| | 2,917 | 2,501 |
| Long-term debt (including from VIEs of \$773 and \$890, respectively) | 2,440 | 2,691 |
| Liability for guest loyalty program | 1,361 | 1,313 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 937 | 893 |
| Marriott shareholders equity | | |
| Class A Common Stock | 5 | 5 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 3,615 | 3,644 |
| Retained earnings | 3,401 | 3,286 |
| Treasury stock, at cost | (5,874) | (5,348) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (11) | (2) |

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| | 1,136 | 1,585 |
|--|-------|-------|

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| | \$ 8,791 | \$ 8,983 |
|--|----------|----------|

The abbreviation VIEs above means Variable Interest Entities.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC. (MARRIOTT)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(\$ in millions)

(Unaudited)

| | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$ 236 | \$ 202 |
| Adjustments to reconcile to cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 76 | 81 |
| Income taxes | 74 | 61 |
| Timeshare activity, net | 113 | 125 |
| Liability for guest loyalty program | 35 | 26 |
| Restructuring costs, net | (4) | (6) |
| Asset impairments and write-offs | 5 | 6 |
| Working capital changes and other | (54) | 27 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 481 | 522 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Capital expenditures | (91) | (64) |
| Loan advances | (11) | (10) |
| Loan collections and sales | 88 | 9 |
| Equity and cost method investments | (70) | (9) |
| Contract acquisition costs | (47) | (20) |
| Other | (95) | 25 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (226) | (69) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Commercial paper/credit facility, net | 156 | (329) |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (129) | (179) |
| Issuance of Class A Common Stock | 62 | 54 |
| Dividends paid | (64) | (14) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (668) | 0 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (643) | (468) |
| DECREASE IN CASH AND EQUIVALENTS | (388) | (15) |
| CASH AND EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period | 505 | 115 |
| CASH AND EQUIVALENTS, end of period | \$ 117 | \$ 100 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements present the results of operations, financial position, and cash flows of Marriott International, Inc. (Marriott, and together with its subsidiaries we, us, or the Company). In order to make this report easier to read, we refer throughout to (i) our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as our Financial Statements, (ii) our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income as our Income Statements, our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as our Balance Sheets, (iii) our properties, brands, or markets in the United States and Canada as North America or North American, and (iv) our properties, brands, or markets outside of the United States and Canada as international.

These condensed consolidated financial statements have not been audited. We have condensed or omitted certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Although we believe our disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, you should read the financial statements in this report in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes to those financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, (2010 Form 10-K). Certain terms not otherwise defined in this Form 10-Q have the meanings specified in our 2010 Form 10-K.

Preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods, and the disclosures of contingent liabilities. Accordingly, ultimate results could differ from those estimates.

Our 2011 second quarter ended on June 17, 2011; our 2010 fourth quarter ended on December 31, 2010; and our 2010 second quarter ended on June 18, 2010. In our opinion, our financial statements reflect all normal and recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly our financial position as of June 17, 2011, and December 31, 2010, the results of our operations for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, and June 18, 2010, and cash flows for the twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, and June 18, 2010. Interim results may not be indicative of fiscal year performance because of seasonal and short-term variations. We have eliminated all material intercompany transactions and balances between entities consolidated in these financial statements. We have also reclassified certain prior year amounts to conform to our 2011 presentation. See Footnote No. 13, Business Segments, for additional information on the reclassification of segment revenues, segment financial results, and segment assets to reflect movement of Hawaii to our North American segments from our International segment.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash in our Balance Sheets at the end of the 2011 second quarter and year-end 2010 is recorded as \$62 million and \$55 million, respectively, in the Other current assets line and \$114 million and \$30 million, respectively, in the Other long-term assets line. Restricted cash primarily consists of cash proceeds of a note receivable that are restricted as collateral for other debt; cash held in a reserve account related to Timeshare segment notes receivable securitizations; cash held internationally that we have not repatriated due to statutory, tax and currency risks; and deposits received, primarily associated with timeshare interval, fractional ownership, and residential sales that are held in escrow until the contract has closed.

Table of Contents

2. New Accounting Standards

Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06 Provisions Effective in the 2011 First Quarter (ASU No. 2010-06)

Certain provisions of ASU No. 2010-06 became effective during our 2011 first quarter. Those provisions, which amended Subtopic 820-10, require us to present as separate line items all purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements of financial instruments valued using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) in the reconciliation of fair value measurements, in contrast to the previous aggregate presentation as a single line item. The adoption did not have a material impact on our financial statements or disclosures.

Future Adoption of Accounting Standards

Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04 Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU No. 2011-04)

ASU No. 2011-04 generally provides a uniform framework for fair value measurements and related disclosures between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Additional disclosure requirements in the update include: (1) for Level 3 fair value measurements, quantitative information about unobservable inputs used, a description of the valuation processes used by the entity, and a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements to changes in the unobservable inputs; (2) for an entity's use of a nonfinancial asset that is different from the asset's highest and best use, the reason for the difference; (3) for financial instruments not measured at fair value but for which disclosure of fair value is required, the fair value hierarchy level in which the fair value measurements were determined; and (4) the disclosure of all transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. ASU 2011-04 will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011, which for us will be our 2012 first quarter. We are currently evaluating the impact ASU 2011-04 will have on our financial statements.

See the Fair Value Measurements caption of Footnote No. 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of our 2010 Form 10-K for additional information on the three levels of fair value measurements.

Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income (ASU No. 2011-05)

ASU No. 2011-05 amends existing guidance by allowing only two options for presenting the components of net income and other comprehensive income: (1) in a single continuous financial statement, statement of comprehensive income or (2) in two separate but consecutive financial statements, consisting of an income statement followed by a separate statement of other comprehensive income. Also, items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income must be presented on the face of the financial statements. ASU No. 2011-05 requires retrospective application, and it is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 (for us this will be our 2012 first quarter), with early adoption permitted. We believe the adoption of this update will change the order in which certain financial statements are presented and provide additional detail on those financial statements when applicable, but will not have any other impact on our financial statements.

3. Income Taxes

We file income tax returns, including returns for our subsidiaries, in various jurisdictions around the world. We filed an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) refund claim relating to 2000 and 2001 for certain software development costs. The IRS disallowed the claims, and in July 2009, we protested the disallowance. This issue was settled in the 2011 second quarter. The IRS has examined our federal income tax returns, and we have settled all issues for tax years through 2009.

We participated in the IRS Compliance Assurance Program (CAP) for the 2010 tax year, and are participating in CAP for 2011. This program accelerates the examination of key transactions with the

Table of Contents

goal of resolving any issues before the tax return is filed. Various income tax returns are also under examination by foreign, state and local taxing authorities.

For the second quarter of 2011, we decreased unrecognized tax benefits by \$4 million from \$38 million at the end of the 2011 first quarter primarily due to the closing of the 2005 through 2008 IRS audit examinations. For the first half of 2011, we decreased unrecognized tax benefits by \$5 million from \$39 million at year-end 2010 primarily due to the closing of the 2005 through 2008 IRS audit examinations. The unrecognized tax benefits balance of \$34 million at the end of the 2011 second quarter included \$20 million of tax positions that, if recognized, would impact our effective tax rate.

As a large taxpayer, the IRS and other taxing authorities continually audit us. Although we do not anticipate that a significant impact to our unrecognized tax benefit balance will occur during the next 52 weeks as a result of these audits, it remains possible that the amount of our liability for unrecognized tax benefits could change over that time period.

4. Share-Based Compensation

Under our 2002 Comprehensive Stock and Cash Incentive Plan (the *Comprehensive Plan*), we award: (1) stock options to purchase our Class A Common Stock (*Stock Option Program*); (2) stock appreciation rights (*SARs*) for our Class A Common Stock (*SAR Program*); (3) restricted stock units (*RSUs*) of our Class A Common Stock; and (4) deferred stock units. We grant awards at exercise prices or strike prices equal to the market price of our Class A Common Stock on the date of grant.

We recorded share-based compensation expense related to award grants of \$22 million and \$26 million for the twelve weeks ended June 17, 2011 and June 18, 2010, respectively, and \$43 million and \$50 million for the twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011 and June 18, 2010, respectively. Deferred compensation costs related to unvested awards totaled \$171 million and \$113 million at June 17, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

RSUs

We granted 2.5 million RSUs during the first half of 2011 to certain officers and key employees, and those units vest generally over four years in equal annual installments commencing one year after the date of grant. RSUs granted in the first half of 2011 had a weighted average grant-date fair value of \$40.

SARs

We granted 0.7 million SARs to officers and key employees during the first half of 2011. These SARs expire 10 years after the date of grant and both vest and are exercisable in cumulative installments of one quarter at the end of each of the first four years following the date of grant. These SARs had a weighted average grant-date fair value of \$16 and a weighted average exercise price of \$41.

We use a binomial method to estimate the fair value of each SAR granted, under which we calculate the weighted average expected SARs terms as the product of a lattice-based binomial valuation model that uses suboptimal exercise factors. We use historical data to estimate exercise behaviors and terms to retirement for separate groups of retirement eligible and non-retirement eligible employees.

We used the following assumptions to determine the fair value of the Employee SARs granted during the first half of 2011.

| | |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Expected volatility | 32% |
| Dividend yield | 0.73% |
| Risk-free rate | 3.4% |
| Expected term (in years) | 8 |

In making these assumptions, we based risk-free rates on the corresponding U.S. Treasury spot rates for the expected duration at the date of grant, which we converted to a continuously compounded rate. We based expected volatility on the weighted-average historical volatility, with periods with atypical stock movement given a lower weight to reflect stabilized long-term mean volatility.

Table of Contents*Other Information*

At the end of the 2011 second quarter, 54 million shares were reserved under the Comprehensive Plan, including 27 million shares under the Stock Option Program and the SAR Program.

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We believe that the fair values of our current assets and current liabilities approximate their reported carrying amounts. We show the carrying values and the fair values of non-current financial assets and liabilities that qualify as financial instruments, determined in accordance with current guidance for disclosures on the fair value of financial instruments, in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | At June 17, 2011 | | At Year-End 2010 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Cost method investments | \$ 31 | \$ 26 | \$ 60 | \$ 63 |
| Loans to timeshare owners securitized | 799 | 969 | 910 | 1,097 |
| Loans to timeshare owners non-securitized | 217 | 233 | 170 | 176 |
| Senior, mezzanine, and other loans non-securitized | 111 | 77 | 184 | 130 |
| Restricted cash | 114 | 114 | 30 | 30 |
| Marketable securities | 52 | 52 | 18 | 18 |
| Total long-term financial assets | \$ 1,324 | \$ 1,471 | \$ 1,372 | \$ 1,514 |
| Non-recourse debt associated with securitized notes receivable | \$ (773) | \$ (814) | \$ (890) | \$ (921) |
| Senior Notes | (1,285) | (1,450) | (1,631) | (1,771) |
| Commercial paper | (156) | (156) | 0 | 0 |
| Other long-term debt | (143) | (138) | (142) | (138) |
| Other long-term liabilities | (105) | (103) | (71) | (67) |
| Long-term derivative liabilities | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Total long-term financial liabilities | \$ (2,463) | \$ (2,662) | \$ (2,735) | \$ (2,898) |

We estimate the fair value of the securitized notes receivable using a discounted cash flow model. We believe this is comparable to the model that an independent third party would use in the current market. Our model uses default rates, prepayment rates, coupon rates and loan terms for our securitized notes receivable portfolio as key drivers of risk and relative value, that when applied in combination with pricing parameters, determines the fair value of the underlying notes receivable.

We estimate the fair value of the portion of our non-securitized notes receivable that we believe will ultimately be securitized in the same manner as securitized notes receivable. We value the remaining non-securitized notes receivable at their carrying value, rather than using our pricing model. We believe that the carrying value of such notes receivable approximates fair value because the stated interest rates of these loans are consistent with current market rates and the reserve for these notes receivable appropriately accounts for risks in default rates, prepayment rates, and loan terms.

We estimate the fair value of our senior, mezzanine, and other loans by discounting cash flows using risk-adjusted rates. We estimate the fair value of our cost method investments by applying a cap rate to stabilized earnings (a market approach). The carrying value of our restricted cash approximates its fair value.

We estimate the fair value of our non-recourse debt associated with securitized notes receivable using internally generated cash flow estimates derived by modeling all bond tranches for our active notes receivable securitization transactions, with consideration for the collateral specific to each tranche. The key drivers in our analysis include default rates, prepayment rates, bond interest rates and other structural factors, which we use to estimate the projected cash flows. In order to estimate market credit spreads by rating, we reviewed market spreads from timeshare notes

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

receivable securitizations and other asset-backed transactions that occurred in the market during the first half of 2011 and fiscal year 2010. We then applied those estimated market spreads to swap rates in order to estimate an underlying discount rate for calculating the fair value of the active bonds payable.

Table of Contents

We estimate the fair value of our other long-term debt, excluding leases, using expected future payments discounted at risk-adjusted rates, and we determine the fair value of our senior notes using quoted market prices. At the end of the 2011 second quarter the carrying value of our commercial paper approximated its fair value due to the short maturity. Other long-term liabilities represent guarantee costs and reserves and deposit liabilities. The carrying values of our guarantee costs and reserves approximate their fair values. We estimate the fair value of our deposit liabilities primarily by discounting future payments at a risk-adjusted rate.

We are required to carry our marketable securities at fair value. We value these securities using directly observable Level 1 inputs. The carrying value of our marketable securities at the end of our 2011 second quarter was \$52 million, which included debt securities of the U.S. Government, its sponsored agencies and other U.S. corporations invested for our self-insurance programs as well as shares of a publicly traded company. During the 2011 second quarter, a company in which we owned an investment that we accounted for using the cost method became a publicly traded company. Accordingly, we reclassified the investment to marketable securities and now record our investment at fair value. As of the end of the 2011 second quarter, this investment had a fair value of \$32 million, which was \$10 million lower than our cost basis. We do not believe this investment is other-than-temporarily impaired based on the following factors: the operating performance of the underlying company; the relatively short time period that the company has been publicly traded; overall share price volatility in the lodging sector during the 2011 second quarter; and we have the intent and ability to hold this investment for the foreseeable future. We have reflected the unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive loss at June 17, 2011.

We are also required to carry our derivative assets and liabilities at fair value. As of the end of our 2011 second quarter, we had derivative instruments in a long-term liability position of \$1 million valued using Level 3 inputs. We value our Level 3 input derivatives using valuations that we calibrate to the initial trade prices, with subsequent valuations based on unobservable inputs to the valuation model, including interest rates and volatilities.

See the [Fair Value Measurements](#) caption of Footnote No. 1, [Summary of Significant Accounting Policies](#) of our 2010 Form 10-K for additional information.

6. Earnings Per Share

The table below illustrates the reconciliation of the earnings and number of shares used in our calculations of basic and diluted earnings per share.

| | Twelve Weeks Ended | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| <i>(in millions, except per share amounts)</i> | | | | |
| Computation of Basic Earnings Per Share | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 135 | \$ 119 | \$ 236 | \$ 202 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 356.9 | 362.1 | 362.0 | 360.7 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.65 | \$ 0.56 |
| Computation of Diluted Earnings Per Share | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 135 | \$ 119 | \$ 236 | \$ 202 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 356.9 | 362.1 | 362.0 | 360.7 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | | | | |
| Employee stock option and SARs plans | 9.1 | 11.5 | 9.8 | 10.7 |
| Deferred stock incentive plans | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| Restricted stock units | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| Shares for diluted earnings per share | 369.4 | 377.4 | 375.9 | 375.5 |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.63 | \$ 0.54 |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|

Table of Contents

We compute the effect of dilutive securities using the treasury stock method and average market prices during the period. We determine dilution based on earnings.

In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance for calculating earnings per share, we have not included the following stock options and SARs in our calculation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise prices were greater than the average market prices for the applicable periods:

- (a) for the twelve-week period ended June 17, 2011, 1.0 million options and SARs, with exercise prices ranging from \$36.22 to \$49.03;
- (b) for the twelve-week period ended June 18, 2010, 2.5 million options and SARs, with exercise prices ranging from \$34.11 to \$49.03;
- (c) for the twenty-four week period ended June 17, 2011, 1.0 million options and SARs, with exercise prices ranging from \$ 40.84 to \$49.03; and
- (d) for the twenty-four week period ended June 18, 2010, 3.7 million options and SARs, with exercise prices ranging from \$31.05 to \$49.03.

7. Inventory

Inventory, totaling \$1,365 million as of June 17, 2011 and \$1,489 million as of December 31, 2010, consists primarily of Timeshare segment interval, fractional ownership, and residential products totaling \$1,346 million as of June 17, 2011 and \$1,472 million as of December 31, 2010. Inventory totaling \$19 million and \$17 million as of June 17, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, primarily relates to hotel operating supplies for the limited number of properties we own or lease. We primarily record Timeshare segment interval, fractional ownership, and residential products at the lower of cost or fair market value, in accordance with applicable accounting guidance, and we generally value operating supplies at the lower of cost (using the first-in, first-out method) or market. Consistent with recognized industry practice, we classify Timeshare segment interval, fractional ownership, and residential products inventory, which has an operating cycle that exceeds 12 months, as a current asset.

We show the composition of our Timeshare segment inventory balances in the following table:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | June 17, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 582 | \$ 732 |
| Work-in-process | 240 | 101 |
| Land and infrastructure | 524 | 639 |
| | \$ 1,346 | \$ 1,472 |

8. Property and Equipment

We show the composition of our property and equipment balances in the following table:

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | June 17, 2011 December 31, 2010 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| Land | \$ 614 | \$ 514 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 963 | 854 |
| Furniture and equipment | 1,067 | 984 |
| Construction in progress | 143 | 204 |
| | 2,787 | 2,556 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (1,304) | (1,249) |
| | \$ 1,483 | \$ 1,307 |

Table of Contents**9. Notes Receivable**

We show the composition of our notes receivable balances (net of reserves and unamortized discounts) in the following table:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | June 17, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Loans to timeshare owners securitized | \$ 913 | \$ 1,028 |
| Loans to timeshare owners non-securitized | 275 | 225 |
| Senior, mezzanine, and other loans non-securitized | 120 | 191 |
| | 1,308 | 1,444 |
| Less current portion | | |
| Loans to timeshare owners securitized | (114) | (118) |
| Loans to timeshare owners non-securitized | (58) | (55) |
| Senior, mezzanine, and other loans non-securitized | (9) | (7) |
| | \$ 1,127 | \$ 1,264 |

We classify notes receivable due within one year as current assets in the caption Accounts and notes receivable in our Balance Sheets. We show the composition of our long-term notes receivable balances (net of reserves and unamortized discounts) in the following table:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | June 17, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Loans to timeshare owners | \$ 1,016 | \$ 1,080 |
| Loans to equity method investees | 2 | 2 |
| Other notes receivable | 109 | 182 |
| | \$ 1,127 | \$ 1,264 |

The following tables show future principal payments (net of reserves and unamortized discounts) as well as interest rates, reserves and unamortized discounts for our securitized and non-securitized notes receivable.

Notes Receivable Principal Payments (net of reserves and unamortized discounts) and Interest Rates

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Non-Securitized Notes Receivable | Securitized Notes Receivable | Total |
|---|---|---|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$ 46 | \$ 66 | \$ 112 |
| 2012 | 57 | 116 | 173 |
| 2013 | 34 | 121 | 155 |
| 2014 | 28 | 122 | 150 |
| 2015 | 24 | 118 | 142 |
| Thereafter | 206 | 370 | 576 |
| Balance at June 17, 2011 | \$ 395 | \$ 913 | \$ 1,308 |
| | | | |
| Weighted average interest rate at June 17, 2011 | 9.5% | 13.1% | 12.0% |

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

Range of stated interest rates at June 17, 2011 0 to 19.5% 5.2 to 19.5% 0 to 19.5%

Notes Receivable Reserves

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Non-Securitized Notes Receivable | Securitized Notes Receivable | Total |
|--------------------------|---|---|--------------|
| Balance at year-end 2010 | \$ 203 | \$ 89 | \$ 292 |
| Balance at June 17, 2011 | \$ 200 | \$ 73 | \$ 273 |

Notes Receivable Unamortized Discounts ⁽¹⁾

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Non-Securitized Notes Receivable | Securitized Notes Receivable | Total |
|--------------------------|---|---|--------------|
| Balance at year-end 2010 | \$ 13 | \$ 0 | \$ 13 |
| Balance at June 17, 2011 | \$ 13 | \$ 0 | \$ 13 |

⁽¹⁾ The discounts for both June 17, 2011 and year-end 2010 relate entirely to our Senior, Mezzanine, and Other Loans.

Table of Contents*Senior, Mezzanine, and Other Loans*

We reflect interest income associated with Senior, mezzanine, and other loans in the Interest income caption in our Income Statements. At the end of the 2011 second quarter, our recorded investment in impaired Senior, mezzanine, and other loans was \$98 million. We had a \$78 million notes receivable reserve representing an allowance for credit losses, leaving \$20 million of our investment in impaired loans, for which we had no related allowance for credit losses. At year-end 2010, our recorded investment in impaired Senior, mezzanine, and other loans was \$83 million, and we had a \$74 million notes receivable reserve representing an allowance for credit losses, leaving \$9 million of our investment in impaired loans, for which we had no related allowance for credit losses. During the 2011 and 2010 first halves, our average investment in impaired Senior, mezzanine, and other loans totaled \$90 million and \$143 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes the activity related to our Senior, mezzanine, and other loans notes receivable reserve for the first half of 2011:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Notes Receivable Reserve |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Balance at year-end 2010 | \$ 74 |
| Additions | 1 |
| Reversals | (6) |
| Transfers and other | 9 |
| Balance at June 17, 2011 | \$ 78 |

At the end of the 2011 second quarter, past due senior, mezzanine, and other loans totaled \$6 million.

Loans to Timeshare Owners

We reflect interest income associated with Loans to timeshare owners of \$37 million and \$43 million for the 2011 and 2010 second quarters, respectively, and \$76 million and \$88 million for the twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011 and June 18, 2010, respectively, in our Income Statements in the Timeshare sales and services revenue caption. Of the \$37 million of interest income we recognized from these loans in the 2011 second quarter, \$30 million was associated with securitized loans and \$7 million was associated with non-securitized loans, compared with \$33 million associated with securitized loans and \$10 million associated with non-securitized loans recognized in the 2010 second quarter. Of the \$76 million of interest income we recognized in the first half of 2011, \$62 million was associated with securitized loans and \$14 million was associated with non-securitized loans, compared with \$69 million associated with securitized loans and \$19 million associated with non-securitized loans in the first half of 2010.

The following table summarizes the activity related to our Loans to timeshare owners notes receivable reserve for the first half of 2011:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Non-Securitized Notes Receivable Reserve | Securitized Notes Receivable Reserve | Total |
|---|---|---|---------------|
| Balance at year-end 2010 | \$ 129 | \$ 89 | \$ 218 |
| Additions for current year securitizations | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| Write-offs | (38) | 0 | (38) |
| Defaulted note repurchase activity ⁽¹⁾ | 22 | (22) | 0 |
| Other ⁽²⁾ | (5) | 6 | 1 |
| Balance at June 17, 2011 | \$ 122 | \$ 73 | \$ 195 |

- (1) Decrease in securitized reserve and increase in non-securitized reserve was attributable to the transfer of the reserve when we repurchased the notes.
- (2) Consists of static pool and default rate assumption changes.
- As of June 17, 2011 and year-end 2010, we estimated average remaining default rates of 7.76 percent and 9.25 percent, respectively, for both non-securitized and securitized timeshare notes receivable.

Table of Contents

We show our recorded investment in nonaccrual Loans to timeshare owners loans in the following table:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Non-Securitized Notes Receivable | Securitized Notes Receivable | Total |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--------|
| Investment in loans on nonaccrual status at June 17, 2011 | \$ 103 | \$ 18 | \$ 121 |
| Investment in loans on nonaccrual status at year-end 2010 | \$ 113 | \$ 15 | \$ 128 |
| Average investment in loans on non-accrual status during the first half of 2011 | \$ 108 | \$ 16 | \$ 124 |
| Average investment in loans on non-accrual status during the first half of 2010 | \$ 115 | \$ 11 | \$ 126 |

We show the aging of the recorded investment (before reserves) in Loans to timeshare owners in the following table:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | June 17, 2011 |
|---------------------------------|---------------|
| 31 - 90 days past due | \$ 33 |
| 91 - 150 days past due | 20 |
| Greater than 150 days past due | 101 |
| Total past due | 154 |
| Current | 1,229 |
| Total loans to timeshare owners | \$ 1,383 |

10. Long-term Debt

We provide detail on our long-term debt balances in the following table:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | June 17, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| Non-recourse debt associated with securitized notes receivable, interest rates ranging from 0.27% to 7.20% (weighted average interest rate of 4.97%) | \$ 895 | \$ 1,016 |
| Less current portion | (122) | (126) |
| | 773 | 890 |
| Senior Notes: | | |
| Series F, interest rate of 4.625%, face amount of \$348, maturing June 15, 2012 (effective interest rate of 5.01%) ⁽¹⁾ | 348 | 348 |
| Series G, interest rate of 5.810%, face amount of \$316, maturing November 10, 2015 (effective interest rate of 6.52%) ⁽¹⁾ | 305 | 304 |
| Series H, interest rate of 6.200%, face amount of \$289, maturing June 15, 2016 (effective interest rate of 6.28%) ⁽¹⁾ | 289 | 289 |
| | 291 | 291 |

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Series I, interest rate of 6.375%, face amount of \$293, maturing June 15, 2017 (effective interest rate of 6.43%) ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Series J, interest rate of 5.625%, face amount of \$400, maturing February 15, 2013 (effective interest rate of 5.69%) ⁽¹⁾ | 399 | 399 |
| Commercial paper, average interest rate of 0.3523% at June 17, 2011 | 156 | 0 |
| \$2,404 Effective Credit Facility | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 239 | 182 |
| | 2,027 | 1,813 |
| Less current portion | (360) | (12) |
| | 1,667 | 1,801 |
| | \$ 2,440 | \$ 2,691 |

⁽¹⁾ Face amount and effective interest rate are as of June 17, 2011.

The non-recourse debt associated with securitized notes receivable was, and to the extent currently outstanding is, secured by the related notes receivable. All of our other long-term debt was, and to the extent currently outstanding is, recourse to us but unsecured. Other debt in the preceding table includes capital leases, among other items.

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

On June 23, 2011, after the end of the second quarter, we amended and restated our multicurrency revolving credit agreement (the Credit Facility) to extend the facility's expiration from May 14, 2012 to June 23, 2016 and reduce (at our direction) the facility size from \$2.404 billion to \$1.75 billion of aggregate effective borrowings. The material terms of the amended and restated Credit Facility are

Table of Contents

otherwise unchanged, and the facility continues to support general corporate needs, including working capital, capital expenditures, and letters of credit. The availability of the Credit Facility also supports our commercial paper program. Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at LIBOR (the London Interbank Offered Rate) plus a spread, based on our public debt rating. We also pay quarterly fees on the Credit Facility at a rate also based on our public debt rating. While any outstanding commercial paper borrowings and/or borrowings under our Credit Facility generally have short-term maturities, we classify the outstanding borrowings as long-term based on our ability and intent to refinance the outstanding borrowings on a long-term basis. See the Cash Requirements and Our Credit Facilities caption later in this report in the Liquidity and Capital Resources section for information on our available borrowing capacity at June 17, 2011.

Each of our securitized notes receivable pools contains various triggers relating to the performance of the underlying notes receivable. If a pool of securitized notes receivable fails to perform within the pool's established parameters (default or delinquency thresholds by deal) transaction provisions effectively redirect the monthly excess spread we typically receive from that pool (related to the interests we retained), to accelerate the principal payments to investors based on the subordination of the different tranches until the performance trigger is cured. During the first quarter of 2011, one pool that reached a performance trigger at year-end 2010 returned to meeting performance thresholds, while one other pool reached a performance trigger. At the end of the first quarter of 2011, this was the only pool that was still not meeting performance thresholds. This pool returned to compliance during the 2011 second quarter. At the end of the 2011 second quarter, there were no pools out of compliance. As a result of performance triggers, a total of \$2 million in cash of excess spread was used to pay down debt during the first half of 2011. At June 17, 2011, we had 13 securitized notes receivable pools outstanding.

We show future principal payments (net of unamortized discounts) and unamortized discounts for our securitized and non-securitized debt in the following tables:

Debt Principal Payments (net of unamortized discounts)

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Non-Recourse Debt | Other Debt | Total |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$ 70 | \$ 6 | \$ 76 |
| 2012 | 124 | 517 | 641 |
| 2013 | 129 | 415 | 544 |
| 2014 | 131 | 71 | 202 |
| 2015 | 125 | 313 | 438 |
| Thereafter | 316 | 705 | 1,021 |
| Balance at June 17, 2011 | \$ 895 | \$ 2,027 | \$ 2,922 |

As the contractual terms of the underlying securitized notes receivable determine the maturities of the non-recourse debt associated with them, actual maturities may occur earlier due to prepayments by the notes receivable obligors.

Unamortized Debt Discounts

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Non-Recourse Debt | Other Debt | Total |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 0 | \$ 16 | \$ 16 |
| Balance at June 17, 2011 | \$ 0 | \$ 14 | \$ 14 |

We paid cash for interest, net of amounts capitalized, of \$65 million in the first half of 2011 and \$74 million in the first half of 2010.

Table of Contents**11. Comprehensive Income and Capital Structure**

We detail comprehensive income in the following table:

| (\$ in millions) | Twelve Weeks Ended | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| Net income | \$ 135 | \$ 119 | \$ 236 | \$ 202 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 10 | (6) | 15 | (19) |
| Other derivative instrument adjustments | (13) | 1 | (14) | 1 |
| Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities | (10) | 0 | (10) | 0 |
| Total other comprehensive loss, net of tax | (13) | (5) | (9) | (18) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 122 | \$ 114 | \$ 227 | \$ 184 |

The following table details changes in shareholders' equity.

(in millions, except per share amounts)

| Common Shares Outstanding | | Total | Class | | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock, at Cost | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | | | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in- Capital | | | |
| 366.9 | Balance at year-end 2010 | \$ 1,585 | \$ 5 | \$ 3,644 | \$ 3,286 | \$ (5,348) | \$ (2) |
| 0 | Net income | 236 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | Other comprehensive loss | (9) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (9) |
| 0 | Cash dividends (\$0.1875 per share) | (68) | 0 | 0 | (68) | 0 | 0 |
| 4.6 | Employee stock plan issuance | 67 | 0 | (29) | (53) | 149 | 0 |
| (18.5) | Purchase of Treasury stock | (675) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (675) | 0 |
| 353.0 | Balance at June 17, 2011 | \$ 1,136 | \$ 5 | \$ 3,615 | \$ 3,401 | \$ (5,874) | \$ (11) |

12. Contingencies*Guarantees*

We issue guarantees to certain lenders and hotel owners, primarily to obtain long-term management contracts. The guarantees generally have a stated maximum amount of funding and a term of four to ten years. The terms of guarantees to lenders generally require us to fund if cash flows from hotel operations are inadequate to cover annual debt service or to repay the loan at the end of the term. The terms of the guarantees to hotel owners generally require us to fund if the hotels do not attain specified levels of operating profit. Guarantee fundings to lenders and hotel owners are generally recoverable as loans repayable to us out of future hotel cash flows and/or proceeds from the sale of hotels. We also enter into project completion guarantees with certain lenders in conjunction with hotels and Timeshare segment properties that we or our joint venture partners are building.

We show the maximum potential amount of future fundings for guarantees where we are the primary obligor and the carrying amount of the liability for expected future fundings in the following table.

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

| (\$ in millions) | Maximum Potential | |
|---|---|--|
| | Amount of Future Fundings at June 17, 2011 | Liability for Expected Future Fundings at June 17, 2011 |
| Guarantee Type | | |
| Debt service | \$ 58 | \$ 6 |
| Operating profit | 153 | 55 |
| Other | 49 | 2 |
| Total guarantees where we are the primary obligor | \$ 260 | \$ 63 |

We included our liability for expected future fundings at June 17, 2011, in our Balance Sheet as follows: \$3 million in the Other current liabilities and \$60 million in the Other long-term liabilities.

Table of Contents

Our guarantees listed in the preceding table include \$24 million of operating profit guarantees that will not be in effect until the underlying properties open and we begin to operate the properties.

The guarantees in the preceding table do not include the following:

\$116 million of guarantees related to Senior Living Services lease obligations of \$73 million (expiring in 2013) and lifecare bonds of \$43 million (estimated to expire in 2016), for which we are secondarily liable. Sunrise Senior Living, Inc. (Sunrise) is the primary obligor on both the leases and \$6 million of the lifecare bonds; Health Care Property Investors, Inc., as successor by merger to CNL Retirement Properties, Inc. (CNL), is the primary obligor on \$36 million of the lifecare bonds, and Five Star Senior Living is the primary obligor on the remaining \$1 million of lifecare bonds. Before we sold the Senior Living Services business in 2003, these were our guarantees of obligations of our then consolidated Senior Living Services subsidiaries. Sunrise and CNL have indemnified us for any fundings we may be called upon to make under these guarantees. While we currently do not expect to fund under the guarantees, Sunrise's SEC filings suggest that Sunrise's continued ability to meet these guarantee obligations cannot be assured given Sunrise's financial position and limited access to liquidity.

Lease obligations, for which we became secondarily liable when we acquired the Renaissance Hotel Group N.V. in 1997, consisting of annual rent payments of approximately \$6 million and total remaining rent payments through the initial term of approximately \$53 million. Most of these obligations expire by the end of 2020. CTF Holdings Ltd. (CTF) had originally provided \$35 million in cash collateral in the event that we are required to fund under such guarantees, approximately \$7 million (\$5 million) of which remained at June 17, 2011. Our exposure for the remaining rent payments through the initial term will decline to the extent that CTF obtains releases from the landlords or these hotels exit the system. Since the time we assumed these guarantees, we have not funded any amounts, and we do not expect to fund any amounts under these guarantees in the future.

A project completion guarantee to a lender for a joint venture project with an estimated aggregate total cost of \$592 million. We are liable on a several basis with our partners in an amount equal to our 34 percent pro rata ownership in the joint venture. Our liability associated with this guarantee had a carrying value of \$16 million at June 17, 2011, as further discussed in Footnote No. 15, Variable Interest Entities.

A project completion guarantee that we provided to another lender for a joint venture project with an estimated aggregate total cost of \$520 million (Canadian \$508 million). The associated joint venture will satisfy payments for cost overruns for this project through contributions from the partners or from borrowings, and we are liable on a several basis with our partners in an amount equal to our 20 percent pro rata ownership in the joint venture. In 2010, our partners executed documents indemnifying us for any payments that may be required for this guarantee obligation. Our liability associated with this project completion guarantee had a carrying value of \$3 million at June 17, 2011.

In addition to the guarantees described in the preceding paragraphs, in conjunction with financing obtained for specific projects or properties owned by joint ventures in which we are a party, we may provide industry standard indemnifications to the lender for loss, liability, or damage occurring as a result of the actions of the other joint venture owner or our own actions.

Commitments and Letters of Credit

In addition to the guarantees noted in the preceding paragraphs, as of June 17, 2011, we had the following commitments outstanding:

Table of Contents

A commitment to invest up to \$8 million of equity for noncontrolling interests in partnerships that plan to purchase North American full-service and limited-service properties, or purchase or develop hotel-anchored mixed-use real estate projects. We expect to fund this commitment within three years.

A commitment, with no expiration date, to invest up to \$29 million (20 million) in a joint venture in which we are a partner. We do not expect to fund under this commitment.

A commitment for \$18 million (HKD \$141 million) to purchase vacation ownership units upon completion of construction for sale in our Timeshare segment. We have already made deposits of \$11 million in conjunction with this commitment. We expect to pay the remaining \$7 million upon acquisition of the units in the 2011 third quarter.

A commitment, with no expiration date, to invest up to \$11 million in a joint venture for development of a new property that we expect to fund within three years.

A commitment, with no expiration date, to invest up to \$7 million in a joint venture that we do not expect to fund.

\$3 million (2 million) of other purchase commitments that we expect to fund over the next three years, as follows: \$1 million in each of 2012, 2013 and 2014.

Commitments to subsidize vacation ownership associations for costs that otherwise would be covered by annual maintenance fees associated with vacation ownership interests or units that have not yet been built were \$6 million, which we expect will be paid in 2011.

\$3 million of loan commitments that we have extended to owners of lodging properties. We expect to fund approximately \$1 million of these commitments within three years, and do not expect to fund the remaining \$2 million of commitments, \$1 million of which will expire within three years and \$1 million of which will expire after five years.

A \$1 million commitment, with no expiration date, to a hotel real estate investment trust in which we have an ownership interest that we do not expect to fund. The commitment is pledged as collateral for certain trust investments.

A commitment to invest up to \$3 million in a joint venture, which we expect to fund in 2011.

We have a right and under certain circumstances an obligation to acquire our joint venture partner's remaining 50 percent interest in two joint ventures over the next ten years at a price based on the performance of the ventures. We made a \$7 million (5 million) deposit in conjunction with this contingent obligation in the 2011 first quarter and expect to make two additional deposits of 5 million each in fiscal year 2012, after certain conditions are met. The deposits are refundable to the extent we do not acquire our joint venture partner's remaining interests.

We have a commitment to invest up to \$5 million (4 million) in an existing joint venture during the third quarter of 2011 if certain events take place.

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

We have a right and under certain circumstances an obligation to acquire the landlord's interest in the real estate property and attached assets of a hotel that we lease for approximately \$64 million (\$45 million) during the next three years. At June 17, 2011, we had \$78 million of letters of credit outstanding (\$75 million under our Credit Facility and \$3 million outside the Credit Facility), the majority of which related to our self-insurance programs. Surety bonds issued as of June 17, 2011, totaled \$201 million, the majority of which federal, state and local governments requested in connection with our lodging operations, Timeshare segment operations, and self-insurance programs.

Table of Contents

13. Business Segments

We are a diversified hospitality company with operations in five business segments:

North American Full-Service Lodging, which includes the Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Marriott Conference Centers, JW Marriott, Renaissance Hotels, Renaissance ClubSport, and Autograph Collection properties located in the United States and Canada;

North American Limited-Service Lodging, which includes the Courtyard, Fairfield Inn & Suites, SpringHill Suites, Residence Inn, TownePlace Suites, and Marriott ExecuStay properties located in the United States and Canada;

International Lodging, which includes the Marriott Hotels & Resorts, JW Marriott, Renaissance Hotels, Autograph, Courtyard, AC Hotels by Marriott, Fairfield Inn & Suites, Residence Inn, and Marriott Executive Apartments properties located outside the United States and Canada;

Luxury Lodging, which includes The Ritz-Carlton, Bulgari Hotels & Resorts, and EDITION properties worldwide (together with residential properties associated with some Ritz-Carlton hotels); and

Timeshare, which includes the development, marketing, operation, and sale of Marriott Vacation Club, The Ritz-Carlton Destination Club, The Ritz-Carlton Residences, and Grand Residences by Marriott timeshare, fractional ownership, and residential properties worldwide. See Footnote No. 16, *Planned Spin-off*, later in this report for a discussion of our plans for a spin-off of our timeshare operations and timeshare development business.

In 2011, we changed the management reporting structure for properties located in Hawaii. In conjunction with that change, we now report revenues, financial results, assets, and liabilities for properties located in Hawaii in our North American segments rather than in our International segment. In addition, we now recognize in our Timeshare segment some base management fees we previously recognized in our International segment. For comparability, we have reclassified prior year segment revenues, segment financial results, and segment assets to reflect these changes. These reclassifications only affect our segment reporting, and do not change our total consolidated revenue, operating income, or net income or our total segment revenues or total segment financial results.

We evaluate the performance of our segments based primarily on the results of the segment without allocating corporate expenses, income taxes, or indirect general, administrative, and other expenses. With the exception of our Timeshare segment, we do not allocate interest income or interest expense to our segments. We include interest income and interest expense associated with our Timeshare segment notes in our Timeshare segment results because financing sales and securitization transactions are an integral part of that segment's business. In addition, we allocate other gains and losses, equity in earnings or losses from our joint ventures, divisional general, administrative, and other expenses, and income or losses attributable to noncontrolling interests to each of our segments. Other unallocated corporate represents that portion of our revenues, general, administrative, and other expenses, equity in earnings or losses, and other gains or losses that we do not allocate to our segments.

We aggregate the brands presented within our North American Full-Service, North American Limited-Service, International, Luxury, and Timeshare segments considering their similar economic characteristics, types of customers, distribution channels, the regulatory business environment of the brands and operations within each segment and our organizational and management reporting structure.

Table of Contents**Revenues**

| (\$ in millions) | Twelve Weeks Ended | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| North American Full-Service Segment | \$ 1,305 | \$ 1,243 | \$ 2,556 | \$ 2,413 |
| North American Limited-Service Segment | 564 | 507 | 1,066 | 968 |
| International Segment | 301 | 274 | 567 | 532 |
| Luxury Segment | 391 | 364 | 776 | 730 |
| Timeshare Segment | 390 | 364 | 748 | 724 |
| Total segment revenues | 2,951 | 2,752 | 5,713 | 5,367 |
| Other unallocated corporate | 21 | 19 | 37 | 34 |
| | \$ 2,972 | \$ 2,771 | \$ 5,750 | \$ 5,401 |

Net Income

| (\$ in millions) | Twelve Weeks Ended | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| North American Full-Service Segment | \$ 89 | \$ 83 | \$ 167 | \$ 154 |
| North American Limited-Service Segment | 98 | 82 | 170 | 141 |
| International Segment | 45 | 42 | 81 | 74 |
| Luxury Segment | 20 | 21 | 38 | 42 |
| Timeshare Segment | 29 | 32 | 64 | 58 |
| Total segment financial results | 281 | 260 | 520 | 469 |
| Other unallocated corporate | (58) | (49) | (120) | (102) |
| Interest expense and interest income ⁽¹⁾ | (22) | (27) | (47) | (54) |
| Income taxes | (66) | (65) | (117) | (111) |
| | \$ 135 | \$ 119 | \$ 236 | \$ 202 |

- ⁽¹⁾ Of the \$37 million and \$78 million of interest expense shown on the Income Statement for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, respectively, we allocated \$12 and \$24 million, respectively, to our Timeshare Segment. Of the \$44 million and \$89 million of interest expense shown on the Income Statement for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended June 18, 2010, respectively, we allocated \$14 and \$28 million, respectively, to our Timeshare segment.

Equity in Losses of Equity Method Investees

| (\$ in millions) | Twelve Weeks Ended | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| North American Full-Service Segment | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 0 | \$ 1 |
| North American Limited-Service Segment | 1 | (1) | (1) | (6) |
| International Segment | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) |
| Luxury Segment | (1) | 1 | (2) | 0 |
| Timeshare Segment | 0 | (3) | 0 | (8) |

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

Total segment equity in losses \$ 0 \$ (4) \$ (4) \$ (15)

Assets

| (\$ in millions) | At Period End | |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| North American Full-Service Segment | \$ 1,240 | \$ 1,221 |
| North American Limited-Service Segment | 520 | 465 |
| International Segment | 1,024 | 822 |
| Luxury Segment | 899 | 871 |
| Timeshare Segment | 3,172 | 3,310 |
| Total segment assets | 6,855 | 6,689 |
| Other unallocated corporate | 1,936 | 2,294 |
| | \$ 8,791 | \$ 8,983 |

We estimate that the cash outflow associated with completing all phases of our existing portfolio of owned timeshare properties currently under development will be approximately \$214 million. This

Table of Contents

estimate is based on our current development plans, which remain subject to change, and we expect the phases currently under development will be completed by 2016.

14. Acquisitions

In the first quarter of 2011, we contributed approximately \$51 million (37 million) in cash for the intellectual property and associated 50 percent interests in two new joint ventures formed for the operation, management and development of AC Hotels by Marriott, initially in Europe but eventually in other parts of the world. The hotels will be managed by the joint ventures or franchised at the direction of the joint ventures. As noted in Footnote No. 12, Contingencies, we have a right and, in some circumstances, an obligation to acquire the remaining interest in the joint ventures over the next ten years.

In the first quarter of 2011, we acquired certain assets and a leasehold on a hotel for an initial payment of \$34 million (25 million) in cash plus fixed annual rent. See Footnote No. 17, Leases, for additional information. As noted in Footnote No. 12, Contingencies, we also have a right and, in some circumstances, an obligation to acquire the landlord's interest in the real estate property and attached assets of this hotel for \$64 million (45 million) during the next three years.

15. Variable Interest Entities

In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance for the consolidation of variable interest entities, we analyze our variable interests, including loans, guarantees, and equity investments, to determine if an entity in which we have a variable interest is a variable interest entity. Our analysis includes both quantitative and qualitative reviews. We base our quantitative analysis on the forecasted cash flows of the entity, and our qualitative analysis on our review of the design of the entity, its organizational structure including decision-making ability, and relevant financial agreements. We also use our qualitative analyses to determine if we must consolidate a variable interest entity as its primary beneficiary.

Variable interest entities related to our timeshare note securitizations

We periodically securitize, without recourse, through special purpose entities, notes receivable originated by our Timeshare segment in connection with the sale of timeshare interval and fractional products. These securitizations provide funding for us and transfer the economic risks and substantially all the benefits of the loans to third parties. In a securitization, various classes of debt securities that the special purpose entities issue are generally collateralized by a single tranche of transferred assets, which consist of timeshare notes receivable. We service the notes receivable. With each securitization, we may retain a portion of the securities, subordinated tranches, interest-only strips, subordinated interests in accrued interest and fees on the securitized receivables or, in some cases, overcollateralization and cash reserve accounts.

At June 17, 2011, consolidated assets on our Balance Sheet included collateral for the obligations of those variable interest entities with a carrying amount of \$979 million, comprised of \$114 million of current notes receivable and \$799 million of long-term notes receivable (each net of reserves), \$5 million of interest receivable and \$47 million and \$14 million, respectively, of current and long-term restricted cash. Further, at June 17, 2011, consolidated liabilities on our Balance Sheet included liabilities for those variable interest entities with a carrying amount of \$900 million, comprised of \$5 million of interest payable, \$122 million of current portion of long-term debt, and \$773 million of long-term debt. The noncontrolling interest balance for those entities was zero. The creditors of those entities do not have general recourse to us. As a result of our involvement with these entities, we recognized \$62 million of interest income, offset by \$24 million of interest expense to investors.

Table of Contents

We show our cash flows to and from the timeshare notes securitization variable interest entities in the following table:

| (\$ in millions) | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| Cash inflows: | | |
| Principal receipts | \$ 110 | \$ 116 |
| Interest receipts | 64 | 69 |
| Total | 174 | 185 |
| Cash outflows: | | |
| Principal to investors | (100) | (105) |
| Repurchases | (22) | (29) |
| Interest to investors | (20) | (24) |
| Total | (142) | (158) |
| Net Cash Flows | \$ 32 | \$ 27 |

Under the terms of our timeshare note securitizations, we have the right at our option to repurchase defaulted mortgage notes at the outstanding principal balance. The transaction documents typically limit such repurchases to 10 to 20 percent of the transaction's initial mortgage balance. We made voluntary repurchases of defaulted notes of \$22 million during the first half of 2011 and \$29 million during the first half of 2010. Our maximum exposure to loss relating to the entities that own these notes is the overcollateralization amount (the difference between the loan collateral balance and the balance on the outstanding notes), plus cash reserves and any residual interest in future cash flows from collateral.

Other variable interest entities

We have an equity investment in and a loan receivable due from a variable interest entity that develops and markets fractional ownership and residential interests. We concluded that the entity is a variable interest entity because the equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional support from other parties. We have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary as power to direct the activities that most significantly impact economic performance of the entity is shared among the variable interest holders, and therefore we do not consolidate the entity. In 2009, we fully impaired our equity investment and certain loans receivable due from the entity. In 2010, the continued application of equity losses to our outstanding loan receivable balance reduced its carrying value to zero. We may fund up to an additional \$16 million and do not expect to recover this amount, which we have accrued and included in current liabilities. We do not have any remaining exposure to loss related to this entity.

In conjunction with the transaction with CTF described more fully in Footnote No. 8, Acquisitions and Dispositions, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2007, under the caption 2005 Acquisitions, we manage hotels on behalf of tenant entities 100 percent owned by CTF, which lease the hotels from third-party owners. Due to certain provisions in the management agreements, we account for these contracts as operating leases. At June 17, 2011, we managed ten hotels on behalf of three tenant entities. The entities have minimal equity and minimal assets comprised of hotel working capital and furniture, fixtures, and equipment. In conjunction with the 2005 transaction, CTF had placed money in a trust account to cover cash flow shortfalls and to meet rent payments. In turn, we released CTF from its guarantees fully in connection with seven of these properties and partially in connection with the other three properties. At the end of the 2011 first quarter, the trust account had been fully depleted. The tenant entities are variable interest entities because the holder of the equity investment at risk, CTF, lacks the ability through voting rights to make key decisions about the entities' activities that have a significant effect on the success of the entities. We do not consolidate the entities because we do not bear the majority of the expected losses. We are liable for rent payments for seven of the ten hotels if there are cash flow shortfalls. Future minimum lease payments through the end of the lease term for these hotels totaled approximately \$33 million. In addition, we are liable for rent payments of up to an aggregate cap of \$16 million for the three other hotels if there are cash flow shortfalls. Our maximum exposure to loss is limited to the rent payments and certain other tenant obligations under the lease, for which we are secondarily liable.

Table of Contents**16. Planned Spin-off**

On February 14, 2011, we announced a plan to separate the company's businesses into two separate, publicly traded companies. Under the plan, we expect to spin-off our timeshare operations and timeshare development business as a new independent company through a special tax-free dividend to our shareholders in late 2011. The new company will focus on the timeshare business as the exclusive developer and operator of timeshare, fractional, and related products under the Marriott brand and the exclusive developer of fractional and related products under The Ritz-Carlton brand. In the separation, we will retain the lodging management and franchise businesses. We expect to receive franchise fees totaling approximately two percent of developer contract sales plus \$50 million annually for the new timeshare company's use of the Marriott timeshare and Ritz-Carlton fractional brands. The franchise fee is also expected to include a periodic inflation adjustment. We also expect that the anticipated spin-off will result in the recognition over a number of years of several hundred million dollars of cash tax benefits to Marriott relating to the value of the timeshare business.

The new timeshare company, Marriott Vacations Worldwide Corporation (MVW), filed an initial Form 10 registration statement with the SEC on June 28, 2011. We expect that the common stock of MVW will be listed on a national securities exchange. We do not expect that MVW will pay a quarterly cash dividend or be investment grade in the near term. The transaction is subject to final approval by our board of directors, the receipt of normal and customary regulatory approvals and third-party consents, the execution of inter-company agreements, receipt of a favorable ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, arrangement of adequate financing facilities, and other related matters. We anticipate the receipt of the IRS private-letter tax ruling in September, confirming that the distribution of shares of Marriott Vacations Worldwide common stock will not result in the recognition, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, of income, gain or loss by us or our shareholders, except, in the case of our shareholders, for cash received in lieu of fractional shares. The transaction will not require shareholder approval and will have no impact on Marriott's contractual obligations to the existing securitizations. While we expect that the planned spin-off will be completed before year-end 2011, we cannot assure you that it will be completed on the anticipated schedule or that its terms will not change. See Part II, Item 1A Risk Factors for certain risk factors relating to the Planned Spin-off Risks.

Because of the anticipated continuing involvement between the companies, we do not expect the planned spin-off of the timeshare operations and timeshare development business to qualify under GAAP for discontinued operations presentation in our financial statements.

17. Leases

As noted in Footnote No. 14, Acquisitions, in the 2011 first quarter we acquired a leasehold on a hotel for an initial payment of \$34 million (25 million) in cash plus fixed annual rent. We account for this leasehold as a capital lease, and the following table details the aggregate minimum lease payments through the initial lease term, which ends in 2014:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | Minimum Lease Payments |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 1 |
| 2012 | 2 |
| 2013 | 2 |
| 2014 | 65 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 70 |
| Less: amount representing interest | (6) |
| Present value of net minimum lease payments | \$ 64 |

See Footnote No. 21, Leases, of our 2010 Form 10-K for information regarding our other leases.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

We make forward-looking statements in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this report based on the beliefs and assumptions of our management and on information currently available to us. Forward-looking statements include information about our possible or assumed future results of operations, which follow under the headings Business and Overview, Liquidity and Capital Resources, and other statements throughout this report preceded by, followed by or that include the words believes, expects, anticipates, intends, plans, estimates or similar expressions.

Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those we express in these forward-looking statements, including the risks and uncertainties described below and other factors we describe from time to time in our periodic filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). We therefore caution you not to rely unduly on any forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this report speak only as of the date of this report, and we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

In addition, see the Item 1A. Risk Factors caption in the Part II-OTHER INFORMATION section of this report.

BUSINESS AND OVERVIEW

Lodging

Conditions for our lodging business improved in the first half of 2011 reflecting an improving economic climate in most markets around the world, strong unit growth, and the impact of operating efficiencies across our company. While the recent recession significantly affected lodging demand and hotel pricing, occupancies began to improve late in 2009 and that improvement continued throughout 2010. Room rates began to stabilize and improve in some markets in the 2010 second quarter, and that improvement continued, strengthened and expanded to other markets throughout the rest of 2010. In the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the year ago quarter, worldwide average daily rates increased 3.6 percent on a constant dollar basis to \$135.84 for comparable systemwide properties, with RevPAR increasing 6.8 percent to \$98.39 and occupancy increasing 2.1 percentage points to 72.4 percent. For the first half of 2011, as compared to the first half of 2010, worldwide average daily rates increased 3.3 percent on a constant dollar basis to \$132.73 for comparable systemwide properties, with RevPAR increasing 6.7 percent to \$91.14 and occupancy increasing 2.2 percentage points to 68.7 percent.

While worldwide RevPAR for the first half of 2011 remains well below 2008 levels, we continued to see strengthening in comparable properties in South America, Asia, Europe, and the Caribbean, and in our luxury properties around the world. Demand at properties in the Middle East weakened further reflecting unrest in that region. While demand in Japan was weak in the 2011 first quarter reflecting the impact of the aftermath of the earthquake and tsunami, demand began to improve in the 2011 second quarter, but remained below 2010 levels. For comparable properties in North America, most markets reflect strong demand and modest supply growth. In Washington, D.C., a shorter Congressional calendar and continued budget concerns reduced lodging demand in the 2011 first half.

We monitor market conditions continuously and carefully price our rooms daily to meet individual hotel demand levels. We modify the mix of our business to increase revenue as demand changes. Demand for higher rated rooms improved in 2010 and that improvement has continued into 2011, which allowed us to reduce discounting and special offers for transient business. This mix improvement benefited average daily rates at many hotels.

Our hotels serve both transient and group customers. Overall, business transient and leisure transient demand is strong, while group demand remains soft, but is improving. Group customers typically book rooms and meeting space with significant lead times. Typically, two-thirds of group business is booked

Table of Contents

prior to the year of arrival and one-third is booked in the year of arrival. Group pricing tends to lag transient pricing due to the significant lead times for group bookings.

Properties in our system continue to maintain very tight cost controls. Where appropriate for market conditions, we have maintained many of our 2009 property-level cost saving initiatives regarding menus and restaurant hours, room amenities, cross-training personnel, and utilizing personnel at multiple properties where feasible. We also control above-property costs, which we allocate to hotels, by remaining focused on systems, processing, and support areas. In addition, we continue to require (where legally permitted) or encourage employees to use their vacation time accrued during the year.

Our lodging business model involves managing and franchising hotels, rather than owning them. At June 17, 2011, we operated 44 percent of the hotel rooms in our system under management agreements, our franchisees operated 53 percent under franchise agreements, we owned or leased two percent, and one percent were operated or franchised through unconsolidated joint ventures. Our emphasis on long-term management contracts and franchising tends to provide more stable earnings in periods of economic softness, while the addition of new hotels to our system generates growth. This strategy has allowed substantial growth while reducing financial leverage and risk in a cyclical industry. In addition, we believe we increase our financial flexibility by reducing our capital investments and adopting a strategy of recycling the investments that we make.

During the first half of 2011, we added 18,332 rooms (gross) to our system. Approximately 70 percent of new rooms were located outside the United States, 38 percent of the new rooms were associated with the new AC Hotels joint venture, and 15 percent of the room additions were conversions from competitor brands. We currently have more than 100,000 rooms in our lodging development pipeline. For the full 2011 fiscal year, we expect to add approximately 35,000 rooms (gross) to our system. The figures in this paragraph do not include residential, timeshare, or ExecuStay units.

We consider RevPAR, which we calculate by dividing room sales for comparable properties by room nights available to guests for the period, to be a meaningful indicator of our performance because it measures the period-over-period change in room revenues for comparable properties. RevPAR may not be comparable to similarly titled measures, such as revenues. References to RevPAR throughout this report are in constant dollars, unless otherwise noted. Constant dollar statistics are calculated by applying exchange rates for the current period to the prior comparable period.

Company-operated house profit margin is the ratio of property-level gross operating profit (also known as house profit) to total property-level revenue. We consider house profit margin to be a meaningful indicator of our performance because this ratio measures our overall ability as the operator to produce property-level profits by generating sales and controlling the operating expenses over which we have the most direct control. House profit includes room, food and beverage, and other revenue and the related expenses including payroll and benefits expenses, as well as repairs and maintenance, utility, general and administrative, and sales and marketing expenses. House profit does not include the impact of management fees, furniture, fixtures and equipment replacement reserves, insurance, taxes, or other fixed expenses.

We earn base management fees and incentive management fees on the hotels that we manage, and we earn franchise fees on the hotels operated by others under franchise agreements with us. Base fees are typically a percentage of property-level revenue while incentive fees are typically a percentage of net house profit adjusted for a specified owner return. Net house profit is calculated as gross operating profit (house profit) less non-controllable expenses such as insurance, real estate taxes, capital spending reserves, and the like. As compared to the first half of 2010, base management, incentive and franchise fees increased in the first half of 2011 reflecting strengthening RevPAR and unit growth.

Timeshare

On February 14, 2011, we announced a plan to split the company's businesses into two separate, publicly traded companies. Under the plan, we expect to spin-off our timeshare operations and timeshare development business as a new independent company (Marriott Vacation Worldwide Corporation)

Table of Contents

through a special tax-free dividend to our shareholders in late 2011. Please see Footnote No. 16, *Planned Spin-off*, of the Notes to our Financial Statements and Part II, Item 1A *Risk Factors; Planned Spin-off Risks* for additional information.

Timeshare segment contract sales, including sales made by our timeshare joint venture projects, represent sales of timeshare interval, fractional ownership, and residential ownership products before the adjustment for percentage-of-completion accounting. Contract sales for our timeshare, fractional, and residential products decreased in the first half of 2011, compared to 2010, largely due to difficult comparisons driven by sales promotions in the first half of 2010 as well as the start-up impact of our shift from the sale of weeks-based to points-based products. By year-end 2010, timeshare pricing had improved because we had largely discontinued or reduced the purchase incentives. In addition, beginning in May of 2010, we began targeting more of our marketing efforts towards our existing owner base as we launched our points-based Marriott Vacation Club Destinations program. Contract sales to existing owners totaled 61 percent of contract sales for both the 2011 second quarter and the 2011 first half and 48 percent of contract sales for both the 2010 second quarter and the 2010 first half. While sales to existing customers were strong, with fewer sales to new customers and a lower average contract price, contract sales declined as compared to the 2010 first half. We expect to shift our marketing focus from the existing owner base to new owners in the second half of 2011. Demand for luxury fractional and residential units remains weak.

As with Lodging, our Timeshare properties continue to maintain very tight cost controls, and during 2011 we continue to require (where legally permitted) or encourage employees to use their vacation time accrued during 2011.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS

The following discussion presents an analysis of results of our operations for the twelve weeks and twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, compared to the twelve weeks and twenty-four weeks ended June 18, 2010.

Revenues

Twelve Weeks. Revenues increased by \$201 million (7 percent) to \$2,972 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$2,771 million in the second quarter of 2010, as a result of higher: cost reimbursements revenue (\$176 million); base management and franchise fees (\$28 million); and incentive management fees (\$4 million (comprised of a \$2 million increase for North America and a \$2 million increase outside of North America)). These increases were partially offset by lower owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue (\$6 million) and lower Timeshare sales and services revenue (\$1 million).

The increases in base management fees, to \$149 million in the 2011 second quarter from \$136 million in the 2010 second quarter, and in franchise fees, to \$120 million in the 2011 second quarter from \$105 million in the 2010 second quarter, primarily reflected stronger RevPAR and the impact of unit growth across the system. The increase in incentive management fees primarily reflected higher net property-level income at many hotels driven by strong demand.

The decrease in owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue, to \$249 million in the 2011 second quarter, from \$255 million in the 2010 second quarter, primarily reflected \$6 million of lower revenue from owned and leased properties and \$6 million of lower hotel agreement termination fees, partially offset by \$5 million of higher corporate housing and other revenue. The decrease in owned and leased revenue primarily reflected lower revenues at one leased property and the conversion of one property from leased to managed. Combined branding fees associated with affinity card endorsements and the sale of branded residential real estate totaled \$18 million for each of the 2011 and 2010 second quarters.

The decrease in Timeshare sales and services revenue to \$288 million in the 2011 second quarter, from \$289 million in the 2010 second quarter, primarily reflected lower financing revenue and lower other revenue, mostly offset by higher development revenue and higher services revenue. See *BUSINESS SEGMENTS: Timeshare* later in this report for additional information on our Timeshare segment.

Table of Contents

Cost reimbursements revenue represents reimbursements of costs incurred on behalf of managed and franchised properties and relates, predominantly, to payroll costs at managed properties where we are the employer. As we record cost reimbursements based upon costs incurred with no added markup, this revenue and related expense has no impact on either our operating income or net income attributable to us. The increase in cost reimbursements revenue, to \$2,116 million in the 2011 second quarter from \$1,940 million in the 2010 second quarter, reflected the impact of higher property-level demand and growth across the system, partially offset by lower property-level costs in response to cost controls. Net of hotels exiting the system, we added 5,606 managed rooms and 11,415 franchised rooms to our system since the end of the 2010 second quarter.

Twenty-four Weeks. Revenues increased by \$349 million (6 percent) to \$5,750 million in the first half of 2011 from \$5,401 million in the first half of 2010, as a result of higher: cost reimbursements revenue (\$315 million); base management and franchise fees (\$49 million); and incentive management fees (\$6 million (comprised of a \$3 million increase for North America and a \$3 million increase outside of North America)). These increases were partially offset by lower owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue (\$11 million) and lower Timeshare sales and services revenue (\$10 million).

The increases in base management fees, to \$283 million in the first half of 2011 from \$261 million in the first half of 2010, and in franchise fees, to \$223 million in the first half of 2011 from \$196 million in the first half of 2010, primarily reflected stronger RevPAR and the impact of unit growth across the system. The increase in incentive management fees primarily reflected higher net property-level income at many hotels driven by strong demand.

The decrease in owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue, to \$473 million in the first half of 2011, from \$484 million in the first half of 2010, reflected \$16 million of lower revenue from owned and leased properties and \$4 million of lower hotel agreement termination fees, partially offset by \$5 million of higher corporate housing and other revenue and \$3 million of higher total branding fees. The decrease in owned and leased revenue primarily reflected lower revenues at one leased property and the conversion of one property from leased to managed. Combined branding fees associated with affinity card endorsements and the sale of branded residential real estate totaled \$34 million and \$31 million in the first halves of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The decrease in Timeshare sales and services revenue to \$564 million in the first half of 2011, from \$574 million in the first half of 2010, primarily reflected \$12 million of lower financing revenue and \$10 million of lower other revenue, partially offset by \$11 million of higher services revenue. Development revenue increased by \$1 million and reflected favorable reportability related to reserves on notes recorded in the first half of 2010, almost entirely offset by lower sales volumes. See **BUSINESS SEGMENTS: Timeshare** later in this report for additional information on our Timeshare segment.

The increase in cost reimbursements revenue, to \$4,115 million in the first half of 2011 from \$3,800 million in the first half of 2010, reflected the impact of higher property-level demand and growth across the system, partially offset by lower property-level costs in response to cost controls.

Operating Income

Twelve Weeks. Operating income increased by \$6 million to \$232 million in the 2011 second quarter from \$226 million in the 2010 second quarter. The increase reflected a \$28 million increase in franchise and base management fees and \$4 million of higher incentive management fees, partially offset by a \$17 million increase in general, administrative, and other expenses, \$7 million of lower Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses, and \$2 million of lower owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses. We discuss the reasons for the increases of \$28 million in franchise and base management fees and \$4 million in incentive management fees as compared to the 2010 second quarter in the preceding **Revenues** section.

General, administrative, and other expenses increased by \$17 million (12 percent) to \$159 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$142 million in the second quarter of 2010. The increase primarily reflected

Table of Contents

the following items: \$7 million of higher legal expenses, \$6 million of higher compensation costs, a \$5 million performance cure payment for a North American Full-Service property, and \$3 million of transaction-related expenses associated with the planned spin-off of the timeshare business, partially offset by a \$5 million reversal of a loan loss provision in the 2011 second quarter related to one property with increased expected future cash flows.

The \$17 million increase in total general, administrative, and other expenses consisted of a \$7 million increase that we did not allocate to any of our segments; a \$6 million increase allocated to our North American Full-Service segment; a \$3 million increase allocated to our International segment; a \$2 million increase allocated to our Timeshare segment; a \$1 million increase allocated to our North American Limited-Service segment; partially offset by a \$2 million decrease allocated to our Luxury segment.

Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses totaled \$43 million in the second quarter of 2011 and \$50 million in the 2010 second quarter. The decrease of \$7 million as compared to the second quarter of 2010, primarily reflected \$6 million of lower financing revenue net of expense and \$1 million of lower development revenue net of product costs and marketing and selling costs. The \$6 million decrease in financing revenue net of expense primarily reflected decreased interest income due to lower notes receivable balances. Lower development revenue net of product costs and marketing and selling costs primarily reflected higher product costs, mostly offset by higher development revenue. See BUSINESS SEGMENTS: Timeshare, later in this report for additional information on our Timeshare segment.

The \$2 million (6 percent) decrease in owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses was primarily attributable to \$4 million of lower hotel agreement termination fees, net of termination costs, \$4 million lower results at a hotel in Japan that experienced lower demand as a result of the recent earthquake and tsunami, partially offset by \$2 million of net stronger results at some owned and leased hotels primarily driven by higher RevPAR and property-level margins, and \$3 million of higher other revenue net of expenses.

Twenty-four Weeks. Operating income increased by \$17 million to \$423 million in the first half of 2011 from \$406 million in the first half of 2010. The increase reflected a \$49 million increase in franchise and base management fees, \$6 million of higher owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses and \$6 million of higher incentive management fees, partially offset by a \$38 million increase in general, administrative, and other expenses and \$6 million of lower Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses. We address the reasons for the increases of \$49 million in franchise and base management fees and \$6 million in incentive management fees as compared to the first half of 2010 in the preceding Revenues section.

The \$6 million (14 percent) increase in owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses was primarily attributable to \$5 million of net stronger results at some owned and leased properties due to higher RevPAR and property-level margins, \$3 million of higher branding fees, \$3 million favorable impact of unit additions, net of unit deletions and \$2 million of higher other revenue net of expenses, partially offset by \$5 million of lower results at a hotel in Japan that experienced lower demand as a result of the recent earthquake and tsunami and \$2 million of lower hotel agreement termination fees, net of termination costs.

General, administrative, and other expenses increased by \$38 million (14 percent) to \$318 million in the first half of 2011 from \$280 million in the first half of 2010. The increase primarily reflected the following items: \$13 million of higher compensation costs, \$7 million of higher legal expenses, \$5 million of transaction-related expenses, primarily associated with the planned spin-off of the timeshare business, a \$5 million performance cure payment for a North American Full-Service property, \$5 million of increased other expenses primarily associated with higher costs in international markets and initiatives to enhance and grow our brands globally, and a \$5 million reversal in the first half of 2010 of guarantee accruals, primarily related to a completion guarantee for which we satisfied the related requirements, partially offset by a \$5 million reversal in the 2011 first half of a loan loss provision related to one property with increased expected future cash flows.

Table of Contents

The \$38 million increase in total general, administrative, and other expenses consisted of an \$18 million increase that we did not allocate to any of our segments; an \$8 million increase allocated to our North American Full-Service segment; a \$6 million increase allocated to our Luxury segment; a \$3 million increase allocated to our International segment; a \$2 million increase allocated to our Timeshare segment; and a \$1 million increase allocated to our North American Limited-Service segment.

Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses totaled \$94 million in the first half of 2011 and \$100 million in the 2010 first half. The decrease of \$6 million as compared to the first half of 2010, primarily reflected \$12 million of lower financing revenue and \$1 million of lower services revenue net of expenses, partially offset by \$8 million of higher development revenue net of product costs and marketing and selling costs. The \$12 million decrease in financing revenue primarily reflected decreased interest income due to lower notes receivable balances. Higher development revenue net of product costs and marketing and selling costs primarily reflected a favorable variance from a net \$12 million reserve in the first half of 2010 and lower products costs in the 2011 first half, partially offset by lower sales volumes in the 2011 first half. See BUSINESS SEGMENTS: Timeshare, later in this report for additional information on our Timeshare segment.

Gains and Other Income

We show our gains and other income for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, and June 18, 2010 in the following table:

| (\$ in millions) | Twelve Weeks Ended | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| Gains on sales of real estate and other | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | \$ 5 | \$ 4 |
| Income from cost method joint ventures | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ 5 | \$ 4 |

Interest Expense

Twelve Weeks. Interest expense decreased by \$7 million (16 percent) to \$37 million in the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$44 million in the second quarter of 2010. This decrease was primarily driven by: (1) a \$3 million increase in capitalized interest associated with construction projects; (2) a \$2 million decrease in interest expense on securitized notes, which reflected a lower average outstanding balance and a lower average interest rate on those notes; and (3) a \$1 million decrease in interest expense associated with our revolving credit facility and commercial paper program, which reflected lower average borrowings and interest rates. See the LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES caption later in this report for additional information regarding our credit facility.

Twenty-four Weeks. Interest expense decreased by \$11 million (12 percent) to \$78 million in the first half of 2011 compared to \$89 million in the first half of 2010. This decrease was primarily driven by: (1) a \$4 million decrease in interest expense on securitized notes, which reflected a lower average outstanding balance and a lower average interest rate on those notes; (2) a \$3 million increase in capitalized interest associated with construction projects; and (3) a \$2 million decrease in interest expense associated with our revolving credit facility and commercial paper program, which reflected lower average borrowings and interest rates.

Interest Income and Income Tax

Twelve Weeks. Interest income was unchanged at \$3 million in the second quarter of each of 2011 and 2010.

Our tax provision increased by \$1 million (2 percent) to a tax provision of \$66 million in the second quarter of 2011 from a tax provision of \$65 million in the second quarter of 2010. The increase was primarily due to higher pretax income in 2011. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in the effective tax rate due to a greater percentage of pre-tax income in low tax jurisdictions and by a decrease in unrecognized tax benefits due to the closing of the 2005 through 2008 IRS audit examinations.

Table of Contents

Twenty-four Weeks. Interest income was unchanged at \$7 million in the first half of each of 2011 and 2010.

Our tax provision increased by \$6 million (5 percent) to a tax provision of \$117 million in the first half of 2011 from a tax provision of \$111 million in the first half of 2010. The increase was primarily due to higher pretax income in 2011. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in the effective tax rate due to a greater percentage of pre-tax income in low tax jurisdictions and by a decrease in unrecognized tax benefits due to the closing of the 2005 through 2008 IRS audit examinations.

Equity in Losses

Twelve Weeks. Equity in losses of \$0 in the second quarter of 2011 decreased by \$4 million from \$4 million in the second quarter of 2010 and primarily reflected \$2 million of lower losses for a Timeshare segment residential and fractional project joint venture and \$2 million of increased earnings from stronger property-level performance at two North American Limited-Service joint ventures.

Twenty-four Weeks. Equity in losses of \$4 million in the first half of 2011 decreased by \$11 million from \$15 million in the first half of 2010 and primarily reflected \$7 million of lower losses for a Timeshare segment residential and fractional project joint venture (we stopped recognizing our share of the joint venture's losses as our investment, including loans due from the joint venture, was reduced to zero in 2010) and a favorable variance from joint venture impairment charges in the 2010 first half of \$3 million (\$2 million associated with our North American Limited-Service segment and \$1 million associated with our Timeshare segment).

Net Income

Twelve Weeks. Net income increased by \$16 million (13 percent) to \$135 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$119 million in the second quarter of 2010, and diluted earnings per share increased by \$0.06 (19 percent) to \$0.37 per share from \$0.31 per share in the second quarter of 2010. As discussed in more detail in the preceding sections beginning with *Operating Income*, the \$16 million increase in net income compared to the prior year was due to higher franchise and base management fees (\$28 million), lower interest expense (\$7 million), higher incentive management fees (\$4 million), and lower equity in losses (\$4 million). Higher general, administrative, and other expenses (\$17 million), lower Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses (\$7 million), lower owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses (\$2 million) and higher income taxes (\$1 million) partially offset these items.

Twenty-four Weeks. Net income increased by \$34 million (17 percent) to \$236 million in the first half of 2011 from \$202 million in the first half of 2010, and diluted earnings per share increased by \$0.09 (17 percent) to \$0.63 per share from \$0.54 per share in the first half of 2010. As discussed in more detail in the preceding sections beginning with *Operating Income*, the \$34 million increase in net income compared to the prior year was due to higher franchise and base management fees (\$49 million), lower equity in losses (\$11 million), lower interest expense (\$11 million), higher owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses (\$6 million), higher incentive management fees (\$6 million), and higher gains and other income (\$1 million). Higher general, administrative, and other expenses (\$38 million), lower Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses (\$6 million), and higher income taxes (\$6 million) partially offset these items.

Earnings Before Interest Expense, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA)

EBITDA, a financial measure that is not prescribed or authorized by United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), reflects earnings excluding the impact of interest expense, provision for income taxes, depreciation and amortization. We consider EBITDA to be an indicator of operating performance because we use it to measure our ability to service debt, fund capital expenditures, and expand our business. We also use EBITDA, as do analysts, lenders, investors and others, to evaluate companies because it excludes certain items that can vary widely across different industries or among companies within the same industry. For example, interest expense can be dependent on a company's capital structure, debt levels and credit ratings. Accordingly, the impact of interest expense on earnings can vary significantly among companies. The tax positions of companies can also vary because of their

Table of Contents

differing abilities to take advantage of tax benefits and because of the tax policies of the jurisdictions in which they operate. As a result, effective tax rates and provision for income taxes can vary considerably among companies. EBITDA also excludes depreciation and amortization because companies utilize productive assets of different ages and use different methods of both acquiring and depreciating productive assets. These differences can result in considerable variability in the relative costs of productive assets and the depreciation and amortization expense among companies.

EBITDA has limitations and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for performance measures calculated in accordance with GAAP. This non-GAAP measure excludes certain cash expenses that we are obligated to make. We show our EBITDA calculations and reconcile that measure with Net Income in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Twelve Weeks Ended | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| Net Income | \$ 135 | \$ 119 | \$ 236 | \$ 202 |
| Interest expense | 37 | 44 | 78 | 89 |
| Tax provision | 66 | 65 | 117 | 111 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 41 | 42 | 76 | 81 |
| Less: Depreciation reimbursed by third-party owners | (3) | (3) | (7) | (6) |
| Interest expense from unconsolidated joint ventures | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| Depreciation and amortization from unconsolidated joint ventures | 7 | 6 | 13 | 12 |
| EBITDA | \$ 287 | \$ 278 | \$ 521 | \$ 499 |

BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We are a diversified hospitality company with operations in five business segments: North American Full-Service Lodging, North American Limited-Service Lodging, International Lodging, Luxury Lodging, and Timeshare. See Footnote No. 13, Business Segments, of the Notes to our Financial Statements for further information on our segments including how we aggregate our individual brands into each segment, the reclassification of certain 2010 segment revenues, segment financial results, and segment assets to reflect our movement of Hawaii to our North American segments from our International segment, and other information about each segment, including revenues, net income, equity in earnings (losses) of equity method investees, and assets.

We added 194 properties (31,518 rooms) and 27 properties (5,722 rooms) exited our system since the end of the 2010 second quarter. These figures do not include residential or ExecuStay units. During that time we also added 5 residential properties (824 units) and no residential properties exited the system. These property additions include 72 hotels (7,421 rooms) which are operated or franchised as part of our unconsolidated joint venture with AC Hoteles, S.A. See Footnote No. 14, Acquisitions, for additional information about AC Hotels by Marriott.

Twelve Weeks. Total segment financial results increased by \$21 million (8 percent) to \$281 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$260 million in the second quarter of 2010, and total segment revenues increased by \$199 million to \$2,951 million in the second quarter of 2011, a 7 percent increase from revenues of \$2,752 million in the second quarter of 2010.

The quarter-over-quarter increase in revenues included a \$176 million increase in cost reimbursements revenue, which does not impact operating income or net income. The results, compared to the year-ago quarter, reflected a \$28 million increase in franchise and base management fees to \$269 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$241 million in the second quarter of 2010, \$4 million of lower joint venture equity losses, \$4 million of higher incentive management fees to \$50 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$46 million in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of \$3 million in gains and other income, and a \$2 million decrease in interest expense. A \$10 million increase in general, administrative, and other expenses, a decrease of \$7 million in Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses, and a decrease of \$3 million in owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses partially offset these favorable variances. For more detailed information regarding the variances see the preceding sections beginning with Operating Income.

Table of Contents

The \$28 million increase in franchise and base management fees primarily reflected stronger RevPAR and the impact of unit growth across the system. In the second quarter of both 2011 and 2010, 25 percent of our managed properties paid incentive management fees to us. In addition, in the second quarter of 2011, 61 percent of our incentive fees came from properties outside the United States versus 62 percent in the 2010 second quarter.

See *Statistics* below for detailed information on Systemwide RevPAR and Company-operated RevPAR by segment, region, and brand.

Compared to the second quarter of 2010, worldwide comparable company-operated house profit margins in the second quarter of 2011 increased by 70 basis points and worldwide comparable company-operated house profit per available room (HP-PAR) increased by 8.0 percent on a constant U.S. dollar basis, reflecting higher occupancy, rate increases, and the impact of tight cost controls in 2011 at properties in our system, partially offset by higher property-level compensation. North American company-operated house profit margins increased by 100 basis points compared to the 2010 second quarter reflecting higher occupancy, rate increases, and the impact of tight cost controls, partially offset by lower attrition fees, higher property-level compensation and increased state unemployment taxes. HP-PAR at those same properties increased by 9.0 percent reflecting higher demand and RevPAR. International company-operated house profit margins increased by 10 basis points and HP-PAR at those properties increased by 6.2 percent reflecting increased demand and higher RevPAR in most locations and continued tight property-level cost controls, partially offset by higher property-level compensation and the effects of low demand in the Middle East.

Twenty-four Weeks. Total segment financial results increased by \$51 million (11 percent) to \$520 million in the first half of 2011 from \$469 million in the first half of 2010, and total segment revenues increased by \$346 million to \$5,713 million in the first half of 2011, a 6 percent increase from revenues of \$5,367 million in the first half of 2010.

The year-over-year increase in revenues included a \$315 million increase in cost reimbursements revenue, which does not impact operating income or net income. The results, compared to the first half of 2010, primarily reflected a \$49 million increase in franchise and base management fees to \$506 million in the first half of 2011 from \$457 million in the first half of 2010, \$11 million of lower joint venture equity losses, an increase of \$4 million in owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses, \$6 million of higher incentive management fees to \$92 million in the first half of 2011 from \$86 million in the first half of 2010, a \$4 million decrease in interest expense, and an increase of \$3 million in gains and other income. A \$20 million increase in general, administrative, and other expenses and a decrease of \$6 million in Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses partially offset these favorable variances. For more detailed information regarding the variances see the preceding sections beginning with *Operating Income*.

The \$49 million increase in franchise and base management fees primarily reflected stronger RevPAR and the impact of unit growth across the system. In the first half of 2011, 27 percent of our managed properties paid incentive management fees to us versus 26 percent in the first half of 2010. For both the 2011 and 2010 first halves, 61 percent of our incentive fees came from properties outside the United States.

See *Statistics* below for detailed information on Systemwide RevPAR and Company-operated RevPAR by segment, region, and brand.

Compared to the first half of 2010, worldwide comparable company-operated house profit margins in the first half of 2011 increased by 50 basis points and worldwide comparable company-operated HP-PAR increased by 7.4 percent on a constant U.S. dollar basis, reflecting higher occupancy, rate increases, and the impact of tight cost controls in 2011 at properties in our system, partially offset by higher property-level compensation. North American company-operated house profit margins increased by 40 basis points compared to the first half of 2010 reflecting higher occupancy, rate increases, and the impact of

Table of Contents

tight cash controls, partially offset by increased state unemployment tax rates, lower attrition fees, and higher property-level compensation. HP-PAR at those same properties increased by 6.6 percent reflecting higher demand and RevPAR. International company-operated house profit margins increased by 70 basis points and HP-PAR at those properties increased by 9.0 percent reflecting increased demand and higher RevPAR in most locations and continued tight property-level cost controls, partially offset by higher property-level compensation and the effects of low demand in the Middle East.

Summary of Properties by Brand

Including residential properties, we added 32 lodging properties (4,512 rooms) during the second quarter of 2011, while 10 properties (1,630 rooms) exited the system, increasing our total properties to 3,661 (633,704 rooms). These figures include 36 home and condominium products (3,774 units), for which we manage the related owners' associations.

Unless otherwise indicated, our references to Marriott Hotels & Resorts throughout this report include JW Marriott and Marriott Conference Centers, references to Renaissance Hotels include Renaissance ClubSport, and references to Fairfield Inn & Suites include Fairfield Inn.

Table of Contents

At June 17, 2011, we operated or franchised the following properties by brand (excluding 2,068 corporate housing rental units):

| Brand | Company-Operated | | Franchised | | Unconsolidated Joint Ventures | |
|--|------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | Properties | Rooms | Properties | Rooms | Properties | Rooms |
| U.S. Locations | | | | | | |
| Marriott Hotels & Resorts | 142 | 73,057 | 182 | 55,381 | 0 | 0 |
| Marriott Conference Centers | 11 | 3,298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JW Marriott | 14 | 9,226 | 7 | 2,914 | 0 | 0 |
| Renaissance Hotels | 37 | 16,899 | 40 | 11,478 | 0 | 0 |
| Renaissance ClubSport | 0 | 0 | 2 | 349 | 0 | 0 |
| Autograph Collection | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4,118 | 0 | 0 |
| The Ritz-Carlton | 39 | 11,587 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Ritz-Carlton-Residential ⁽¹⁾ | 28 | 3,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EDITION | 1 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Courtyard | 282 | 44,210 | 519 | 68,229 | 0 | 0 |
| Fairfield Inn & Suites | 3 | 1,055 | 649 | 57,945 | 0 | 0 |
| SpringHill Suites | 34 | 5,311 | 245 | 27,453 | 0 | 0 |
| Residence Inn | 135 | 19,477 | 462 | 52,590 | 0 | 0 |
| TownePlace Suites | 34 | 3,658 | 161 | 15,939 | 0 | 0 |
| Marriott Vacation Club ⁽²⁾ | 42 | 9,882 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Ritz-Carlton Destination Club ⁽²⁾ | 8 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Ritz-Carlton Residences ^{(1), (2)} | 3 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Residences by Marriott-Fractional ⁽²⁾ | 1 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Residences by Marriott-Residential ^{(1), (2)} | 2 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-U.S. Locations | | | | | | |
| Marriott Hotels & Resorts | 135 | 40,145 | 36 | 10,740 | 0 | 0 |
| JW Marriott | 28 | 10,539 | 2 | 574 | 0 | 0 |
| Renaissance Hotels | 53 | 17,750 | 19 | 5,465 | 0 | 0 |
| Autograph Collection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 278 |
| The Ritz-Carlton | 37 | 11,253 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Ritz-Carlton-Residential ⁽¹⁾ | 2 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Ritz-Carlton Serviced Apartments | 4 | 579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EDITION | 1 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AC Hotels by Marriott | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 7,143 |
| Bulgari Hotels & Resorts | 2 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marriott Executive Apartments | 21 | 3,463 | 1 | 99 | 0 | 0 |
| Courtyard | 55 | 12,023 | 48 | 8,296 | 0 | 0 |
| Fairfield Inn & Suites | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1,361 | 0 | 0 |
| SpringHill Suites | 0 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 0 | 0 |
| Residence Inn | 3 | 405 | 15 | 2,154 | 0 | 0 |
| TownePlace Suites | 0 | 0 | 1 | 105 | 0 | 0 |
| Marriott Vacation Club ⁽²⁾ | 11 | 2,106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Ritz-Carlton Destination Club ⁽²⁾ | 2 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Ritz-Carlton Residences ^{(1), (2)} | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Residences by Marriott-Fractional ⁽²⁾ | 1 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1,172 | 300,969 | 2,417 | 325,314 | 72 | 7,421 |

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

- (1) Represents projects where we manage the related owners' association. We include residential products once they possess a certificate of occupancy.
- (2) Indicates a Timeshare product. Includes products in active sales as well as those that are sold out.

Table of Contents**Total Lodging and Timeshare Products by Segment**

At June 17, 2011, we operated or franchised the following properties by segment (excluding 2,068 corporate housing rental units associated with our ExecuStay brand):

| | Total Lodging and Timeshare Products | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------|-------|---------|----------|---------|
| | Properties | | | Rooms | | |
| | U.S. | Non-U.S. | Total | U.S. | Non-U.S. | Total |
| North American Full-Service Lodging Segment ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| Marriott Hotels & Resorts | 324 | 14 | 338 | 128,438 | 5,244 | 133,682 |
| Marriott Conference Centers | 11 | 0 | 11 | 3,298 | 0 | 3,298 |
| JW Marriott | 21 | 1 | 22 | 12,140 | 221 | 12,361 |
| Renaissance Hotels | 77 | 2 | 79 | 28,377 | 790 | 29,167 |
| Renaissance ClubSport | 2 | 0 | 2 | 349 | 0 | 349 |
| Autograph Collection | 16 | 0 | 16 | 4,118 | 0 | 4,118 |
| | 451 | 17 | 468 | 176,720 | 6,255 | 182,975 |
| North American Limited-Service Lodging Segment ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| Courtyard | 801 | 17 | 818 | 112,439 | 2,929 | 115,368 |
| Fairfield Inn & Suites | 652 | 10 | 662 | 59,000 | 1,155 | 60,155 |
| SpringHill Suites | 279 | 1 | 280 | 32,764 | 124 | 32,888 |
| Residence Inn | 597 | 17 | 614 | 72,067 | 2,450 | 74,517 |
| TownePlace Suites | 195 | 1 | 196 | 19,597 | 105 | 19,702 |
| | 2,524 | 46 | 2,570 | 295,867 | 6,763 | 302,630 |
| International Lodging Segment ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| Marriott Hotels & Resorts | 0 | 157 | 157 | 0 | 45,641 | 45,641 |
| JW Marriott | 0 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 10,892 | 10,892 |
| Renaissance Hotels | 0 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 22,425 | 22,425 |
| Courtyard | 0 | 86 | 86 | 0 | 17,390 | 17,390 |
| Fairfield Inn & Suites | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 206 | 206 |
| Residence Inn | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 109 | 109 |
| Marriott Executive Apartments | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 3,562 | 3,562 |
| | 0 | 366 | 366 | 0 | 100,225 | 100,225 |
| Luxury Lodging Segment | | | | | | |
| The Ritz-Carlton | 39 | 37 | 76 | 11,587 | 11,253 | 22,840 |
| Bulgari Hotels & Resorts | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 117 | 117 |
| EDITION | 1 | 1 | 2 | 353 | 78 | 431 |
| The Ritz-Carlton-Residential ⁽²⁾ | 28 | 2 | 30 | 3,197 | 271 | 3,468 |
| The Ritz-Carlton Serviced Apartments | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 579 | 579 |
| | 68 | 46 | 114 | 15,137 | 12,298 | 27,435 |
| Unconsolidated Joint Ventures | | | | | | |
| Autograph Collection | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 278 | 278 |
| AC Hotels by Marriott | 0 | 68 | 68 | 0 | 7,143 | 7,143 |
| | 0 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 7,421 | 7,421 |
| Timeshare Segment ⁽³⁾ | | | | | | |
| Marriott Vacation Club | 42 | 11 | 53 | 9,882 | 2,106 | 11,988 |
| The Ritz-Carlton Destination Club | 8 | 2 | 10 | 359 | 117 | 476 |

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| The Ritz-Carlton Residences ⁽²⁾ | 3 | 1 | 4 | 222 | 16 | 238 |
| Grand Residences by Marriott-Fractional | 1 | 1 | 2 | 199 | 49 | 248 |
| Grand Residences by Marriott-Residential ^{(1), (2)} | 2 | 0 | 2 | 68 | 0 | 68 |
| | 56 | 15 | 71 | 10,730 | 2,288 | 13,018 |
| Total | 3,099 | 562 | 3,661 | 498,454 | 135,250 | 633,704 |

(1) North American includes properties located in the United States and Canada. International includes properties located outside the United States and Canada.

(2) Represents projects where we manage the related owners' association. We include residential products once they possess a certificate of occupancy.

(3) Includes resorts that are in active sales as well as those that are sold out. Products in active sales may not be ready for occupancy.

Table of Contents

The following table provides additional detail, by brand, as of June 17, 2011, for our Timeshare properties:

| | Total Properties (1) | Properties in Active Sales (2) |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 100 Percent Company-Developed | | |
| Marriott Vacation Club | 53 | 27 |
| The Ritz-Carlton Destination Club and Residences | 12 | 9 |
| Grand Residences by Marriott and Residences | 4 | 3 |
| Joint Ventures | | |
| The Ritz-Carlton Destination Club and Residences | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 71 | 41 |

(1) Includes products that are in active sales as well as those that are sold out. We include residential products once they possess a certificate of occupancy.

(2) Products in active sales may not be ready for occupancy.

Statistics

The following tables show occupancy, average daily rate, and RevPAR for comparable properties, for each of the brands in our North American Full-Service and North American Limited-Service segments, for our International segment by region, and the principal brand in our Luxury segment, The Ritz-Carlton. We have not presented statistics for company-operated Fairfield Inn & Suites properties in these tables because the brand is predominantly franchised and we operate very few properties, so such information would not be meaningful (identified as nm in the tables that follow). Systemwide statistics include data from our franchised properties, in addition to our owned, leased, and managed properties.

The occupancy, average daily rate, and RevPAR statistics we use throughout this report for the twelve weeks ended June 17, 2011, include the period from March 26, 2011, through June 17, 2011, and the statistics for the twelve weeks ended June 18, 2010, include the period from March 27, 2010, through June 18, 2010, (except in each case, for The Ritz-Carlton brand properties and properties located outside of the United States, which for those properties includes the period from March 1 through the end of May). The occupancy, average daily rate, and RevPAR statistics we use throughout this report for the twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, include the period from January 1, 2011, through June 17, 2011, and the statistics for the twenty-four weeks ended June 18, 2010, include the period from January 2, 2010, through June 18, 2010, (except in each case, for The Ritz-Carlton brand properties and properties located outside of the United States, which for those properties includes the period from January 1 through the end of May).

Table of Contents

| | Comparable Company-Operated North American Properties ⁽¹⁾ | | Comparable Systemwide North American Properties ⁽¹⁾ | |
|--|---|--------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | Twelve Weeks Ended | | Twelve Weeks Ended | |
| | June 17, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 | June 17, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 |
| Marriott Hotels & Resorts ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 74.6% | 0.9% pts. | 71.7% | 1.2% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 168.64 | 4.2% | \$ 153.14 | 3.6% |
| RevPAR | \$ 125.79 | 5.4% | \$ 109.79 | 5.5% |
| Renaissance Hotels & Resorts | | | | |
| Occupancy | 72.4% | 1.0% pts. | 71.9% | 1.0% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 168.37 | 3.6% | \$ 150.41 | 3.0% |
| RevPAR | \$ 121.82 | 5.1% | \$ 108.16 | 4.5% |
| Composite North American Full-Service ⁽³⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 74.2% | 0.9% pts. | 71.7% | 1.2% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 168.59 | 4.1% | \$ 152.65 | 3.5% |
| RevPAR | \$ 125.05 | 5.4% | \$ 109.50 | 5.3% |
| The Ritz-Carlton North America | | | | |
| Occupancy | 73.9% | 3.8% pts. | 73.9% | 3.8% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 311.67 | 4.8% | \$ 311.67 | 4.8% |
| RevPAR | \$ 230.43 | 10.6% | \$ 230.43 | 10.6% |
| Composite North American Full-Service and Luxury ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 74.1% | 1.3% pts. | 71.9% | 1.4% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 185.82 | 4.6% | \$ 164.47 | 4.0% |
| RevPAR | \$ 137.78 | 6.4% | \$ 118.24 | 6.0% |
| Residence Inn | | | | |
| Occupancy | 78.6% | 2.2% pts. | 79.5% | 2.0% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 117.77 | 1.2% | \$ 115.73 | 2.3% |
| RevPAR | \$ 92.55 | 4.1% | \$ 91.96 | 4.9% |
| Courtyard | | | | |
| Occupancy | 71.0% | 3.1% pts. | 71.5% | 2.8% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 112.61 | 3.2% | \$ 114.21 | 3.2% |
| RevPAR | \$ 79.92 | 7.9% | \$ 81.72 | 7.4% |
| Fairfield Inn | | | | |
| Occupancy | nm | nm pts. | 69.2% | 3.5% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ nm | nm | \$ 89.20 | 3.8% |
| RevPAR | \$ nm | nm | \$ 61.75 | 9.4% |
| TownePlace Suites | | | | |
| Occupancy | 74.8% | 6.0% pts. | 75.3% | 4.7% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 75.02 | 2.4% | \$ 82.82 | 3.2% |
| RevPAR | \$ 56.15 | 11.3% | \$ 62.32 | 10.1% |
| SpringHill Suites | | | | |
| Occupancy | 71.3% | 3.4% pts. | 71.7% | 3.7% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 100.61 | 4.2% | \$ 99.91 | 2.6% |
| RevPAR | \$ 71.75 | 9.3% | \$ 71.62 | 8.1% |
| Composite North American Limited-Service ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 73.4% | 3.1% pts. | 73.3% | 3.0% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 110.98 | 2.7% | \$ 106.36 | 2.8% |
| RevPAR | \$ 81.47 | 7.1% | \$ 77.99 | 7.2% |
| Composite North American ⁽⁶⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 73.8% | 2.0% pts. | 72.8% | 2.4% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 154.62 | 3.7% | \$ 127.87 | 3.1% |

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--------|----|--------|------|----|-------|------|
| RevPAR | \$ | 114.17 | 6.6% | \$ | 93.07 | 6.6% |
|--------|----|--------|------|----|-------|------|

- (1) Statistics are for the twelve weeks ended June 17, 2011, and June 18, 2010, except for The Ritz-Carlton, for which the statistics are for the three months ended May 31, 2011, and May 31, 2010. North American statistics include only properties located in the United States.
- (2) Marriott Hotels & Resorts includes JW Marriott properties.
- (3) Composite North American Full-Service statistics include Marriott Hotels & Resorts and Renaissance Hotels properties.
- (4) Composite North American Full-Service and Luxury includes Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Renaissance Hotels, and The Ritz-Carlton properties.
- (5) Composite North American Limited-Service statistics include Residence Inn, Courtyard, Fairfield Inn & Suites, TownePlace Suites, and SpringHill Suites properties.
- (6) Composite North American statistics include Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Renaissance Hotels, Residence Inn, Courtyard, Fairfield Inn & Suites, TownePlace Suites, SpringHill Suites, and The Ritz-Carlton properties.

Table of Contents

| | Comparable Company-Operated Properties ⁽¹⁾ | | Comparable Systemwide Properties ⁽¹⁾ | |
|---|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| | Three Months Ended May 31, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 | Three Months Ended May 31, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 |
| Caribbean and Latin America ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 74.0% | 2.9% pts. | 72.1% | 2.4% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 190.44 | 4.8% | \$ 177.33 | 8.7% |
| RevPAR | \$ 140.95 | 9.1% | \$ 127.79 | 12.4% |
| Europe ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 74.9% | 2.0% pts. | 73.2% | 2.3% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 176.92 | 6.1% | \$ 174.02 | 5.7% |
| RevPAR | \$ 132.47 | 8.9% | \$ 127.42 | 9.1% |
| Middle East and Africa ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 56.9% | -19.0% pts. | 56.7% | -16.9% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 148.70 | 10.6% | \$ 144.79 | 9.1% |
| RevPAR | \$ 84.59 | -17.0% | \$ 82.15 | -15.9% |
| Asia Pacific ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 72.2% | 6.1% pts. | 71.4% | 4.2% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 139.56 | 7.8% | \$ 144.07 | 5.2% |
| RevPAR | \$ 100.79 | 17.7% | \$ 102.86 | 11.8% |
| Regional Composite ⁽³⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 72.3% | 1.4% pts. | 71.2% | 1.3% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 165.41 | 6.7% | \$ 164.08 | 6.5% |
| RevPAR | \$ 119.66 | 8.9% | \$ 116.86 | 8.5% |
| International Luxury ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 65.2% | -2.5% pts. | 65.2% | -2.5% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 312.48 | 5.2% | \$ 312.48 | 5.2% |
| RevPAR | \$ 203.60 | 1.4% | \$ 203.60 | 1.4% |
| Total International ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 71.5% | 1.0% pts. | 70.6% | 1.0% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 181.90 | 5.9% | \$ 177.77 | 5.8% |
| RevPAR | \$ 129.98 | 7.3% | \$ 125.54 | 7.3% |

⁽¹⁾ We report financial results for all properties on a period-end basis, but report statistics for properties located outside the United States and Canada on a month-end basis. The statistics are for March 1 through the end of May. For the properties located in countries that use currencies other than the U.S. dollar, the comparison to 2010 was on a constant U.S. dollar basis.

⁽²⁾ Regional information includes Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Renaissance Hotels, and Courtyard properties located outside of the United States and Canada.

⁽³⁾ Regional Composite statistics include properties located outside of the United States and Canada for Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Renaissance Hotels, and Courtyard brands.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes The Ritz-Carlton properties located outside the United States and Canada and Bulgari Hotels & Resorts properties.

⁽⁵⁾ Total International includes Regional Composite statistics and statistics for The Ritz-Carlton International and Bulgari Hotels & Resorts brands.

Table of Contents

| | Comparable Company-Operated Properties ⁽¹⁾ | | Comparable Systemwide Properties ⁽¹⁾ | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| | Three Months Ended May 31, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 | Three Months Ended May 31, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 |
| Composite Luxury ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 70.3% | 1.2% pts. | 70.3% | 1.2% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 311.98 | 5.0% | \$ 311.98 | 5.0% |
| RevPAR | \$ 219.17 | 6.8% | \$ 219.17 | 6.8% |
| Total Worldwide ⁽³⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 73.1% | 1.7% pts. | 72.4% | 2.1% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 162.41 | 4.3% | \$ 135.84 | 3.6% |
| RevPAR | \$ 118.79 | 6.8% | \$ 98.39 | 6.8% |

⁽¹⁾ We report financial results for all properties on a period-end basis, but report statistics for properties located outside the United States and Canada on a month-end basis. For the properties located in countries that use currencies other than the U.S. dollar, the comparison to 2010 was on a constant U.S. dollar basis.

⁽²⁾ Composite Luxury includes worldwide properties for The Ritz-Carlton and Bulgari Hotels & Resorts brands.

⁽³⁾ Total Worldwide statistics include properties worldwide for Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Renaissance Hotels, Residence Inn, Courtyard, Fairfield Inn & Suites, TownePlace Suites, SpringHill Suites, and The Ritz-Carlton brands. Statistics for properties located in the United States (except for The Ritz-Carlton) represent the twelve weeks ended June 17, 2011, and June 18, 2010. Statistics for The Ritz-Carlton brand properties and properties located outside of the United States represent the three months ended May 31, 2011, and May 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

| | Comparable Company-Operated North American Properties (1) | | Comparable Systemwide North American Properties (1) | |
|---|---|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| | Twenty-Four Weeks | | Twenty-Four Weeks | |
| | Ended June 17, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 | Ended June 17, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 |
| Marriott Hotels & Resorts (2) | | | | |
| Occupancy | 70.8% | 0.2% pts. | 68.5% | 1.2% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 166.08 | 4.3% | \$ 150.85 | 3.2% |
| RevPAR | \$ 117.54 | 4.6% | \$ 103.36 | 4.9% |
| Renaissance Hotels & Resorts | | | | |
| Occupancy | 69.2% | 1.2% pts. | 68.7% | 1.3% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 165.56 | 3.9% | \$ 149.29 | 3.6% |
| RevPAR | \$ 114.63 | 5.8% | \$ 102.53 | 5.6% |
| Composite North American Full-Service (3) | | | | |
| Occupancy | 70.5% | 0.4% pts. | 68.5% | 1.2% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 165.98 | 4.2% | \$ 150.57 | 3.2% |
| RevPAR | \$ 117.00 | 4.8% | \$ 103.21 | 5.0% |
| The Ritz-Carlton North America | | | | |
| Occupancy | 71.3% | 3.4% pts. | 71.3% | 3.4% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 313.37 | 4.0% | \$ 313.37 | 4.0% |
| RevPAR | \$ 223.55 | 9.2% | \$ 223.55 | 9.2% |
| Composite North American Full-Service and Luxury (4) | | | | |
| Occupancy | 70.6% | 0.7% pts. | 68.7% | 1.3% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 181.16 | 4.5% | \$ 160.76 | 3.5% |
| RevPAR | \$ 127.85 | 5.5% | \$ 110.47 | 5.5% |
| Residence Inn | | | | |
| Occupancy | 74.7% | 1.7% pts. | 75.7% | 2.0% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 117.35 | 1.5% | \$ 114.50 | 2.3% |
| RevPAR | \$ 87.63 | 3.8% | \$ 86.73 | 5.0% |
| Courtyard | | | | |
| Occupancy | 66.7% | 2.4% pts. | 67.2% | 2.3% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 111.66 | 2.9% | \$ 112.98 | 2.9% |
| RevPAR | \$ 74.42 | 6.8% | \$ 75.90 | 6.6% |
| Fairfield Inn | | | | |
| Occupancy | nm | nm pts. | 63.9% | 3.2% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ nm | nm | \$ 87.95 | 3.7% |
| RevPAR | \$ nm | nm | \$ 56.22 | 9.2% |
| TownePlace Suites | | | | |
| Occupancy | 68.6% | 5.2% pts. | 70.6% | 5.1% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 75.02 | 1.5% | \$ 82.68 | 2.7% |
| RevPAR | \$ 51.50 | 9.8% | \$ 58.38 | 10.6% |
| SpringHill Suites | | | | |
| Occupancy | 66.8% | 3.0% pts. | 67.6% | 3.8% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 102.69 | 5.3% | \$ 99.29 | 2.6% |
| RevPAR | \$ 68.58 | 10.2% | \$ 67.15 | 8.7% |
| Composite North American Limited-Service (5) | | | | |
| Occupancy | 69.0% | 2.4% pts. | 68.9% | 2.7% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 110.53 | 2.6% | \$ 105.36 | 2.6% |
| RevPAR | \$ 76.29 | 6.3% | \$ 72.64 | 6.9% |
| Composite North American (6) | | | | |

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|----|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Occupancy | | 69.9% | 1.4% pts. | 68.9% | 2.2% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ | 151.46 | 3.6% | \$ 125.86 | 2.8% |
| RevPAR | \$ | 105.89 | 5.8% | \$ 86.67 | 6.2% |

- (1) Statistics are for the twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, and June 18, 2010, except for The Ritz-Carlton, for which the statistics are for the five months ended May 31, 2011, and May 31, 2010. North American statistics include only properties located in the United States.
- (2) Marriott Hotels & Resorts includes JW Marriott properties.
- (3) Composite North American Full-Service statistics include Marriott Hotels & Resorts and Renaissance Hotels properties.
- (4) Composite North American Full-Service and Luxury includes Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Renaissance Hotels, and The Ritz-Carlton properties.
- (5) Composite North American Limited-Service statistics include Residence Inn, Courtyard, Fairfield Inn & Suites, TownePlace Suites, and SpringHill Suites properties.
- (6) Composite North American statistics include Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Renaissance Hotels, Residence Inn, Courtyard, Fairfield Inn & Suites, TownePlace Suites, SpringHill Suites, and The Ritz-Carlton properties.

Table of Contents

| | Comparable Company-Operated Properties ⁽¹⁾ | | Comparable Systemwide Properties ⁽¹⁾ | |
|---|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| | Five Months Ended May 31, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 | Five Months Ended May 31, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 |
| Caribbean and Latin America ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 74.3% | 3.1% pts. | 71.0% | 2.9% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 192.57 | 4.7% | \$ 178.95 | 8.5% |
| RevPAR | \$ 143.12 | 9.3% | \$ 126.98 | 13.2% |
| Europe ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 69.8% | 1.4% pts. | 68.2% | 1.8% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 170.88 | 6.2% | \$ 167.52 | 5.5% |
| RevPAR | \$ 119.28 | 8.3% | \$ 114.18 | 8.4% |
| Middle East and Africa ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 57.3% | -14.0% pts. | 57.2% | -11.9% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 153.01 | 12.4% | \$ 148.54 | 10.8% |
| RevPAR | \$ 87.69 | -9.6% | \$ 85.01 | -8.3% |
| Asia Pacific ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 68.8% | 7.0% pts. | 68.4% | 5.5% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 136.86 | 7.1% | \$ 142.51 | 4.6% |
| RevPAR | \$ 94.21 | 19.3% | \$ 97.49 | 13.7% |
| Regional Composite ⁽³⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 69.0% | 1.9% pts. | 67.8% | 2.0% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 162.26 | 6.4% | \$ 160.92 | 6.2% |
| RevPAR | \$ 111.90 | 9.5% | \$ 109.14 | 9.4% |
| International Luxury ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 64.3% | -0.1% pts. | 64.3% | -0.1% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 317.60 | 5.5% | \$ 317.60 | 5.5% |
| RevPAR | \$ 204.31 | 5.4% | \$ 204.31 | 5.4% |
| Total International ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 68.4% | 1.7% pts. | 67.5% | 1.8% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 180.23 | 6.0% | \$ 175.76 | 5.8% |
| RevPAR | \$ 123.26 | 8.7% | \$ 118.60 | 8.7% |

⁽¹⁾ We report financial results for all properties on a period-end basis, but report statistics for properties located outside the United States and Canada on a month-end basis. The statistics are for January 1 through the end of May. For the properties located in countries that use currencies other than the U.S. dollar, the comparison to 2010 was on a constant U.S. dollar basis.

⁽²⁾ Regional information includes Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Renaissance Hotels, and Courtyard properties located outside of the United States and Canada.

⁽³⁾ Regional Composite statistics include properties located outside of the United States and Canada for Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Renaissance Hotels, and Courtyard brands.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes The Ritz-Carlton properties located outside the United States and Canada and Bulgari Hotels & Resorts properties.

⁽⁵⁾ Total International includes Regional Composite statistics and statistics for The Ritz-Carlton International and Bulgari Hotels & Resorts brands.

Table of Contents

| | Comparable Company-Operated Properties ⁽¹⁾ | | Comparable Systemwide Properties ⁽¹⁾ | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| | Five Months Ended May 31, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 | Five Months Ended May 31, 2011 | Change vs. 2010 |
| Composite Luxury ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 68.4% | 1.9% pts. | 68.4% | 1.9% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 315.04 | 4.6% | \$ 315.04 | 4.6% |
| RevPAR | \$ 215.47 | 7.6% | \$ 215.47 | 7.6% |
| Total Worldwide ⁽³⁾ | | | | |
| Occupancy | 69.5% | 1.5% pts. | 68.7% | 2.2% pts. |
| Average Daily Rate | \$ 158.70 | 4.3% | \$ 132.73 | 3.3% |
| RevPAR | \$ 110.34 | 6.6% | \$ 91.14 | 6.7% |

⁽¹⁾ We report financial results for all properties on a period-end basis, but report statistics for properties located outside the United States and Canada on a month-end basis. For the properties located in countries that use currencies other than the U.S. dollar, the comparison to 2010 was on a constant U.S. dollar basis.

⁽²⁾ Composite Luxury includes worldwide properties for The Ritz-Carlton and Bulgari Hotels & Resorts brands.

⁽³⁾ Total Worldwide statistics include properties worldwide for Marriott Hotels & Resorts, Renaissance Hotels, Residence Inn, Courtyard, Fairfield Inn & Suites, TownePlace Suites, SpringHill Suites, and The Ritz-Carlton brands. Statistics for properties located in the United States (except for The Ritz-Carlton) represent the twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, and June 18, 2010. Statistics for The Ritz-Carlton brand properties and properties located outside of the United States represent the five months ended May 31, 2011, and May 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

North American Full-Service Lodging includes *Marriott Hotels & Resorts, JW Marriott, Renaissance Hotels, and Autograph Collection*.

(\$ in millions)

| | Twelve Weeks Ended | | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | | |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | Change 2011/2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | Change 2011/2010 |
| Segment revenues | \$ 1,305 | \$ 1,243 | 5% | \$ 2,556 | \$ 2,413 | 6% |
| Segment results | \$ 89 | \$ 83 | 7% | \$ 167 | \$ 154 | 8% |

Since the second quarter of 2010, across our North American Full-Service Lodging segment we added 14 properties (6,435 rooms) and 6 properties (1,728 rooms) left the system.

Twelve Weeks. In the second quarter of 2011, RevPAR for comparable company-operated North American Full-Service properties increased by 5.4 percent to \$125.05, occupancy for these properties increased by 0.9 percentage points to 74.2 percent, and average daily rates increased by 4.1 percent to \$168.59.

The \$6 million increase in segment results, compared to the 2010 second quarter, reflected \$7 million of higher base management and franchise fees and \$5 million of higher owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses, partially offset by \$6 million of higher general, administrative, and other expenses.

Higher base management and franchise fees primarily reflected increased RevPAR and unit growth, including properties added to the Autograph Collection.

The \$5 million increase in owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses is primarily due to stronger results driven by higher RevPAR and property-level margins.

The \$6 million increase in general, administrative, and other expenses primarily reflected a performance cure payment for one property in the 2011 second quarter.

Cost reimbursements revenue and expenses associated with our North American Full-Service Lodging segment properties totaled \$1,159 million in the second quarter of 2011, compared to \$1,099 million in the second quarter of 2010.

Twenty-four Weeks. In the first half of 2011, RevPAR for comparable company-operated North American Full-Service properties increased by 4.8 percent to \$117.00, occupancy for these properties increased by 0.4 percentage points to 70.5 percent, and average daily rates increased by 4.2 percent to \$165.98.

The \$13 million increase in segment results, compared to the first half of 2010, reflected \$12 million of higher base management and franchise fees, \$7 million of higher owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses, and \$2 million of higher incentive management fees, partially offset by \$8 million of higher general, administrative, and other expenses.

Higher base management and franchise fees primarily reflected increased RevPAR and unit growth, including properties added to the Autograph Collection. The increase in incentive management fees was largely due to higher property-level revenue and continued tight property-level cost controls that improved house profits.

The \$7 million increase in owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses is primarily due to stronger results driven by higher RevPAR and property-level margins.

The \$8 million increase in general, administrative, and other expenses primarily reflected a \$5 million performance cure payment for one property in the 2011 first half.

Table of Contents

Cost reimbursements revenue and expenses associated with our North American Full-Service Lodging segment properties totaled \$2,277 million in the first half of 2011, compared to \$2,135 million in the first half of 2010.

North American Limited-Service Lodging includes *Courtyard, Fairfield Inn & Suites, SpringHill Suites, Residence Inn, TownePlace Suites, and Marriott ExecuStay.*

| (\$ in millions) | Twelve Weeks Ended | | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | | |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | Change 2011/2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | Change 2011/2010 |
| Segment revenues | \$ 564 | \$ 507 | 11% | \$ 1,066 | \$ 968 | 10% |
| Segment results | \$ 98 | \$ 82 | 20% | \$ 170 | \$ 141 | 21% |

Since the second quarter of 2010, across our North American Limited-Service Lodging segment we added 72 properties (8,751 rooms) and 10 properties (1,061 rooms) left the system. The majority of the properties that left the system were older Residence Inn and Fairfield Inn properties.

Twelve Weeks. In the second quarter of 2011, RevPAR for comparable company-operated North American Limited-Service properties increased by 7.1 percent to \$81.47, occupancy for these properties increased by 3.1 percentage points to 73.4 percent, and average daily rates increased by 2.7 percent to \$110.98.

The \$16 million increase in segment results, compared to the second quarter of 2010, reflected \$12 million of higher franchise and base management fees, \$2 million of decreased joint venture equity losses, and \$1 million of higher owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses.

Higher franchise and base management fees primarily reflected higher RevPAR and new unit growth.

The \$2 million decrease in joint venture equity losses primarily reflected increased earnings primarily from stronger property-level performance at two joint ventures.

Cost reimbursements revenue and expenses associated with our North American Limited-Service Lodging segment properties totaled \$400 million in the second quarter of 2011, compared to \$361 million in the second quarter of 2010.

Twenty-four Weeks. In the first half of 2011, RevPAR for comparable company-operated North American Limited-Service properties increased by 6.3 percent to \$76.29, occupancy for these properties increased by 2.4 percentage points to 69.0 percent, and average daily rates increased by 2.6 percent to \$110.53.

The \$29 million increase in segment results, compared to the first half of 2010, reflected \$22 million of higher franchise and base management fees, \$5 million of decreased joint venture equity losses, and \$2 million of higher owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses.

Higher franchise and base management fees primarily reflected higher RevPAR and new unit growth.

The \$5 million decrease in joint venture equity losses primarily reflected a \$2 million impairment charge in the 2010 first half and decreased losses in the 2011 first half at one joint venture primarily from stronger property-level performance.

The \$2 million increase in owned, leased, corporate housing, and other revenue net of direct expenses primarily reflected stronger results driven by higher RevPAR and property-level margins.

Table of Contents

Cost reimbursements revenue and expenses associated with our North American Limited-Service Lodging segment properties totaled \$769 million in the first half of 2011, compared to \$703 million in the first half of 2010.

International Lodging includes *Marriott Hotels & Resorts, JW Marriott, Renaissance Hotels, Autograph Hotels, Courtyard, AC Hotels by Marriott, Fairfield Inn & Suites, Residence Inn, and Marriott Executive Apartments* located outside the United States and Canada.

| (\$ in millions) | Twelve Weeks Ended | | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | | |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | Change 2011/2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | Change 2011/2010 |
| Segment revenues | \$ 301 | \$ 274 | 10% | \$ 567 | \$ 532 | 7% |
| Segment results | \$ 45 | \$ 42 | 7% | \$ 81 | \$ 74 | 9% |

Since the second quarter of 2010, across our International Lodging segment we added 100 properties (14,390 rooms) and 10 properties (2,809 rooms) left the system, largely due to quality issues. The properties added include 72 properties (7,421 rooms) that are operated or franchised as part of our joint venture with AC Hoteles, S.A. of Spain which was completed during the 2011 first quarter.

Twelve Weeks. In the second quarter of 2011, RevPAR for comparable company-operated international properties increased by 8.9 percent to \$119.66, occupancy for these properties increased by 1.4 percentage points to 72.3 percent, and average daily rates increased by 6.7 percent to \$165.41. Comparable company-operated RevPAR improved significantly in Thailand, South America, India, China, and France and, to a lesser extent, in Germany, while Egypt and Bahrain experienced RevPAR declines.

The \$3 million increase in segment results in the second quarter of 2011, compared to the second quarter of 2010, primarily reflected a \$6 million increase in base management and franchise fees, a \$3 million increase in incentive management fees, and \$1 million of decreased joint venture equity losses, partially offset by a \$5 million decrease in owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses and \$3 million of higher general, administrative, and other expenses.

The \$6 million increase in base management and franchise fees primarily reflected strong RevPAR and new unit growth. The \$3 million increase in incentive management fees primarily reflected higher net property-level income and, to a lesser extent, new unit growth.

The \$5 million decrease in owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses primarily reflected \$4 million of lower termination fees and \$2 million of lower income related to the conversion of one property from leased to managed.

Cost reimbursements revenue and expenses associated with our International Lodging segment properties totaled \$145 million in the second quarter of 2011, compared to \$127 million in the second quarter of 2010.

Twenty-four Weeks. In the first half of 2011, RevPAR for comparable company-operated international properties increased by 9.5 percent to \$111.90, occupancy for these properties increased by 1.9 percentage points to 69.0 percent, and average daily rates increased by 6.4 percent to \$162.26. Comparable company-operated RevPAR improved significantly in South America, India, China, Thailand, and France and, to a lesser extent, in Germany, while Egypt and Bahrain experienced RevPAR declines.

The \$7 million increase in segment results in the first half of 2011, compared to the first half of 2010, primarily reflected a \$9 million increase in base management and franchise fees and a \$2 million increase in incentive management fees, partially offset by a \$3 million decrease in owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses and \$3 million of higher general, administrative, and other expenses.

Table of Contents

The \$9 million increase in base management and franchise fees primarily reflected strong RevPAR and new unit growth.

The \$3 million decrease in owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses primarily reflected \$3 million of lower income related to the conversion of one property from leased to managed and \$2 million of lower termination fees, partially offset by increased income at one leased property driven by strong demand.

Cost reimbursements revenue and expenses associated with our International Lodging segment properties totaled \$273 million in the first half of 2011, compared to \$245 million in the first half of 2010.

Luxury Lodging includes *The Ritz-Carlton*, *Bulgari Hotels & Resorts*, and *EDITION* worldwide.

(\$ in millions)

| | Twelve Weeks Ended | | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | | |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | Change 2011/2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | Change 2011/2010 |
| Segment revenues | \$ 391 | \$ 364 | 7% | \$ 776 | \$ 730 | 6% |
| Segment results | \$ 20 | \$ 21 | (5)% | \$ 38 | \$ 42 | (10)% |

Since the second quarter of 2010, across our Luxury Lodging segment we added 7 properties (1,758 rooms) and 1 property (124 rooms) left the system. Since the 2010 second quarter, we also added 5 residential products (824 units) and no residential products left the system.

Twelve Weeks. In the second quarter of 2011, RevPAR for comparable company-operated luxury properties increased by 6.8 percent to \$219.17, occupancy increased by 1.2 percentage points to 70.3 percent, and average daily rates increased by 5.0 percent to \$311.98.

The \$1 million decrease in segment results, compared to the second quarter of 2010, primarily reflected a \$4 million decrease in owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses, partially offset by a \$3 million increase in base management fees.

The \$3 million increase in base management fees was largely driven by RevPAR growth associated with stronger demand and, to a lesser extent, new unit growth.

The \$4 million decrease in owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses primarily reflected a decline in income associated with our leased property in Japan, which experienced lower demand as a result of the recent earthquake and tsunami.

Cost reimbursements revenue and expenses associated with our Luxury Lodging segment properties totaled \$323 million in the second quarter of 2011, compared to \$291 million in the second quarter of 2010.

Twenty-four Weeks. In the first half of 2011, RevPAR for comparable company-operated luxury properties increased by 7.6 percent to \$215.47, occupancy increased by 1.9 percentage points to 68.4 percent, and average daily rates increased by 4.6 percent to \$315.04.

The \$4 million decrease in segment results, compared to the first half of 2010, primarily reflected \$6 million of increased general, administrative, and other expenses and \$2 million of lower owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses, partially offset by a \$5 million increase in base management fees.

The \$6 million increase in general, administrative, and other expenses primarily reflected an unfavorable variance from a \$5 million reversal of a completion guarantee accrual in the 2010 first half and \$2 million in other net cost increases in the first half of 2011.

Table of Contents

The \$5 million increase in base management fees was largely driven by RevPAR growth associated with stronger demand and, to a lesser extent, new unit growth.

The \$2 million decrease in owned, leased, and other revenue net of direct expenses primarily reflected a \$5 million decline in income associated with our leased property in Japan, which experienced lower demand as a result of the recent earthquake and tsunami, partially offset by higher income at two properties.

Cost reimbursements revenue and expenses associated with our Luxury Lodging segment properties totaled \$638 million in the first half of 2011, compared to \$592 million in the first half of 2010.

Timeshare includes *Marriott Vacation Club, the Ritz-Carlton Destination Club and Residences, and Grand Residences by Marriott* brands worldwide.

| (\$ in millions) | Twelve Weeks Ended | | | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | Change 2011/2010 | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 | Change 2011/2010 |
| Segment revenues | | | | | | |
| Base fee revenue | \$ 13 | \$ 13 | | \$ 26 | \$ 25 | |
| Sales and services revenue | | | | | | |
| Development | 153 | 148 | | 296 | 295 | |
| Services | 90 | 84 | | 178 | 167 | |
| Financing revenue | | | | | | |
| Interest income non-securitized notes | 7 | 10 | | 14 | 19 | |
| Interest income-securitized notes | 30 | 33 | | 62 | 69 | |
| Other financing revenue | 2 | 1 | | 3 | 3 | |
| Total financing revenue | 39 | 44 | | 79 | 91 | |
| Other revenue | 6 | 13 | | 11 | 21 | |
| Total sales and services revenue | 288 | 289 | | 564 | 574 | |
| Cost reimbursements | 89 | 62 | | 158 | 125 | |
| Segment revenues | \$ 390 | \$ 364 | 7% | \$ 748 | \$ 724 | 3% |
| Segment Results | | | | | | |
| Base fee revenue | \$ 13 | \$ 13 | | \$ 26 | \$ 25 | |
| Timeshare sales and services, net | 43 | 50 | | 94 | 100 | |
| Joint venture equity losses | 0 | (3) | | 0 | (8) | |
| Gains and other income | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | |
| General, administrative, and other expense | (16) | (14) | | (33) | (31) | |
| Interest expense | (12) | (14) | | (24) | (28) | |
| Segment results | \$ 29 | \$ 32 | -9% | \$ 64 | \$ 58 | 10% |
| Contract Sales | | | | | | |
| Timeshare | \$ 155 | \$ 155 | | \$ 286 | \$ 306 | |
| Fractional | 5 | 8 | | 15 | 16 | |
| Residential | 1 | 2 | | 2 | 6 | |
| Total company | 161 | 165 | | 303 | 328 | |

Edgar Filing: MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|----|--------|--------|-----|
| Fractional | 2 | (1) | 6 | 0 | | |
| Residential | 0 | (3) | 0 | (3) | | |
| Total joint venture | 2 | (4) | 6 | (3) | | |
| Total Timeshare segment contract sales | \$ 163 | \$ 161 | 1% | \$ 309 | \$ 325 | -5% |

Twelve Weeks. Timeshare segment contract sales increased by \$2 million to \$163 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$161 million in the second quarter of 2010 reflecting a \$2 million increase in residential contract sales and unchanged fractional and timeshare contract sales. Residential and fractional contract sales benefited from a net \$6 million decrease in cancellation allowances that we recorded in the 2010 second quarter in anticipation that a portion of contract revenue previously recorded for certain residential and fractional projects would not be realized due to contract cancellations prior to closing. This benefit was offset by weaker fractional and residential demand in 2011.

The \$26 million increase in Timeshare segment revenues to \$390 million from \$364 million primarily reflected a \$27 million increase in cost reimbursements revenue, partially offset by a \$1 million decrease

Table of Contents

in Timeshare sales and services revenue. The decrease in Timeshare sales and services revenue primarily reflected: (1) lower financing revenue from lower interest income; (2) lower other revenue which primarily reflected lower resales revenue due to lower closings and lower Marriott Rewards revenue recognized due to the timing of redemptions; partially offset by (3) higher development revenue from favorable reportability primarily related to reserves on notes recorded in the 2010 second quarter, partially offset by lower sales volumes; and (4) higher services revenue from increased rental revenue driven by increased rates and occupancy.

Segment results of \$29 million in the second quarter of 2011 decreased by \$3 million from \$32 million in the second quarter of 2010, and primarily reflected \$7 million of lower Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses, partially offset by \$3 million of lower joint venture equity losses and \$2 million of lower interest expense.

The \$7 million decrease in Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses primarily reflected \$6 million of lower financing revenue net of expense and \$1 million of lower development revenue net of product costs and marketing and selling costs. The \$6 million decrease in financing revenue net of expense primarily reflected decreased interest income due to lower notes receivable balances. Lower development revenue net of product costs and marketing and selling costs primarily reflected higher product costs, mostly offset by higher development revenue.

Joint venture equity losses decreased by \$3 million and primarily reflected lower losses from a residential and fractional project joint venture for which we stopped recognizing our portion of the losses since our investment, including loans due from the joint venture, was reduced to zero in 2010.

The \$2 million decrease in interest expense was a result of lower interest rates and lower outstanding debt obligations related to securitized notes receivable.

Twenty-four Weeks. Timeshare segment contract sales decreased by \$16 million to \$309 million in the first half of 2011 from \$325 million in the first half of 2010 primarily reflecting a \$20 million decrease in timeshare contract sales and a \$1 million decrease in residential contract sales, partially offset by a \$5 million increase in fractional contract sales. Timeshare contract sales decreased in the first half of 2011 as a result of difficult comparisons driven by sales promotions in the first half of 2010 as well as the start-up impact of our shift from the sale of weeks-based to points-based products. Fractional and residential contract sales benefited from a net \$15 million decrease in cancellation allowances that we recorded in the first half of 2010 in anticipation that a portion of contract revenue, previously recorded for certain residential and fractional projects would not be realized due to contract cancellations prior to closing.

The \$24 million increase in Timeshare segment revenues to \$748 million from \$724 million primarily reflected a \$33 million increase in cost reimbursements revenue, partially offset by a \$10 million decrease in Timeshare sales and services revenue. The decrease in Timeshare sales and services revenue primarily reflected: (1) \$12 million of lower financing revenue from lower interest income; (2) \$10 million of lower other revenue which primarily reflected lower resales revenue due to lower closings and lower Marriott Rewards revenue recognized due to the timing of redemptions; partially offset by (3) \$11 million of higher services revenue from increased rental occupancies and rates; and (4) \$1 million of higher development revenue which reflected favorable reportability related to reserves on notes recorded in the first half of 2010, almost entirely offset by lower sales volumes.

Segment results of \$64 million in the first half of 2011 increased by \$6 million from \$58 million in the first half of 2010, and primarily reflected \$8 million of lower joint venture equity losses and \$4 million of lower interest expense, partially offset by \$6 million of lower Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses.

Joint venture equity losses decreased by \$8 million and primarily reflected lower losses from a residential and fractional project joint venture for which we stopped recognizing our portion of the losses since our investment, including loans due from the joint venture, was reduced to zero in 2010.

Table of Contents

The \$4 million decrease in interest expense was a result of lower interest rates and lower outstanding debt obligations related to previously securitized notes receivable.

The \$6 million decrease in Timeshare sales and services revenue net of direct expenses primarily reflected \$12 million of lower financing revenue and \$1 million of lower services revenue net of expenses, partially offset by \$8 million of higher development revenue net of product costs and marketing and selling costs. The \$12 million decrease in financing revenue primarily reflected decreased interest income due to lower notes receivable balances. Higher development revenue net of product costs and marketing and selling costs primarily reflected a favorable variance from a net \$12 million reserve in the first half of 2010 and lower products costs, partially offset by lower sales volumes.

SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Under our 2002 Comprehensive Stock and Cash Incentive Plan, we award: (1) stock options to purchase our Class A Common Stock (Stock Option Program); (2) stock appreciation rights (SARs) for our Class A Common Stock (Stock Appreciation Right Program); (3) restricted stock units (RSUs) of our Class A Common Stock; and (4) deferred stock units. We grant awards at exercise prices or strike prices that equal the market price of our Class A Common Stock on the date of grant.

During the first half of 2011, we granted 2.5 million RSUs and 0.7 million Employee SARs. See Footnote No. 4, Share-Based Compensation, of the Notes to our Financial Statements for additional information.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

See Footnote No. 1, Basis of Presentation, and Footnote No. 2, New Accounting Standards, of the Notes to our Financial Statements for information related to our adoption of new accounting standards in the first half of 2011 and for information on our anticipated adoption of recently issued accounting standards.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash Requirements and Our Credit Facilities

On June 23, 2011, after the end of the second quarter, we amended and restated our multicurrency revolving credit agreement (the Credit Facility) to extend the facility's expiration from May 14, 2012 to June 23, 2016 and reduce (at our direction) the facility size from \$2.404 billion to \$1.75 billion of aggregate effective borrowings. The material terms of the amended and restated Credit Facility are otherwise unchanged, and the facility continues to support general corporate needs, including working capital, capital expenditures, and letters of credit. The availability of the Credit Facility also supports our commercial paper program. Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at LIBOR (the London Interbank Offered Rate) plus a spread, based on our public debt rating. We also pay quarterly fees on the Credit Facility at a rate based on our public debt rating. For additional information on our Credit Facility, including participating financial institutions, see Exhibit 10, Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 27, 2011.

The Credit Facility contains certain covenants, including a single financial covenant that limits our maximum leverage (consisting of the ratio of Adjusted Total Debt to Consolidated EBITDA, each as defined in the Credit Facility) to not more than 4 to 1. Our outstanding public debt does not contain a corresponding financial covenant or a requirement that we maintain certain financial ratios. We currently satisfy the covenants in our Credit Facility and public debt instruments, including the leverage covenant under the Credit Facility, and do not expect the covenants to restrict our ability to meet our anticipated borrowing and guarantee levels or increase those levels should we decide to do so in the future.

We believe the Credit Facility and our access to capital markets, together with cash we expect to generate from operations, remains adequate to meet our short-term and long-term liquidity requirements, finance our long-term growth plans, meet debt service, and fulfill other cash requirements.

Until the financial crisis of 2008, we regularly issued short-term commercial paper, primarily in the United States. With improved liquidity in the commercial paper markets and upgrades in our credit ratings in the 2011 first quarter, in March 2011 we resumed issuing commercial paper in the United States. We do not have purchase commitments from buyers for our commercial paper and therefore our issuances are subject to market demand. We classify any outstanding commercial paper and Credit Facility borrowings as long-term debt based on our ability and intent to refinance it on a long-term basis. We reserve unused capacity under our Credit Facility to repay outstanding commercial paper borrowings in the event that the commercial paper market is not available to us for any reason when outstanding borrowings mature. We do not expect fluctuations in the demand for commercial paper to affect our liquidity, given our borrowing capacity under the Credit Facility.

At June 17, 2011, our available borrowing capacity amounted to \$2.290 billion and reflected borrowing capacity of \$2.173 billion under our Credit Facility, prior to its being amended and restated as described above, and our cash balance of \$117 million. We calculated that borrowing capacity by taking \$2.404 billion of effective aggregate bank commitments, then available, under our Credit Facility and subtracting \$75 million of outstanding letters of credit under our Credit Facility and \$156 million of outstanding commercial paper. We had no outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility at the end of the 2011 second quarter. We anticipate that available borrowing capacity under the June 23, 2011 amended and restated Credit Facility will be adequate to fund our liquidity needs, including repayment of debt obligations. Since we continue to have ample flexibility under the Credit Facility's covenants, we also expect that undrawn bank commitments under the Credit Facility will remain available to us even if business conditions were to deteriorate markedly.

Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$117 million at June 17, 2011, a decrease of \$388 million from year-end 2010, reflecting cash outflows associated with the following: purchase of treasury stock (\$668 million), debt repayments (\$129 million), other investing cash outflows (\$95 million), capital expenditures (\$91 million), equity and cost method investments (\$70 million), dividend payments (\$64 million), and contract acquisition costs (\$47 million). The following cash inflows partially offset

Table of Contents

these cash outflows: operating cash inflows (\$481 million), increased borrowings related to the issuance of commercial paper (\$156 million), loan collections and sales, net of loan advances (\$77 million), and common stock issuances (\$62 million).

The company expects investment spending for the 2011 fiscal year will total approximately \$500 million to \$700 million, including \$50 million to \$100 million for maintenance capital spending. Investment spending will also include other capital expenditures (including property acquisitions), loan advances, contract acquisition costs, and equity and other investments. See our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for information regarding year-to-date investment spending for the twenty-four week period ended June 17, 2011.

Timeshare Cash Flows

While our Timeshare segment historically generates positive operating cash flow, year-to-year cash flow varies based on the timing of both cash outlays for the acquisition and development of new resorts and cash received from purchaser financing. We include timeshare reportable sales we finance in cash from operations when we collect cash payments. We show the net operating activity from our Timeshare segment (which does not include income from our Timeshare segment) in the following table. In the first halves of 2011 and 2010, new Timeshare segment mortgages totaled \$103 million and \$113 million, respectively, and collections totaled \$154 million (which included collections on securitized notes of \$110 million) and \$173 million (which included collections on securitized notes of \$116 million), respectively.

| (\$ in millions) | Twenty-Four Weeks Ended | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|
| | June 17, 2011 | June 18, 2010 |
| Timeshare segment development less than cost of sales | \$ 60 | \$ 60 |
| Timeshare segment collections (net of new mortgages) | 51 | 60 |
| Financially reportable sales (in excess of) less than closed sales | (4) | 16 |
| Other cash inflows (outflows) | 6 | (11) |
| Net cash inflows from Timeshare segment activity | \$ 113 | \$ 125 |

See Footnote No. 10, Long-term Debt, for additional information on the failure of some Timeshare securitized notes receivable pools to perform within established parameters and the resulting redirection of cash flows. As of June 17, 2011, all of our 13 securitized notes receivable pools met performance thresholds. We anticipate that during the third quarter of 2011 one pool will not meet performance thresholds, but will cure by the end of the fourth quarter of 2011. We expect that for the 2011 fiscal year we will redirect approximately \$3 million of cash flows as a result of reaching the performance triggers.

We estimate that the cash outflow associated with completing all phases of our existing portfolio of owned timeshare properties currently under development will be approximately \$214 million. This estimate is based on our current development plans, which remain subject to change, and we expect the phases currently under development will be completed by 2016.

Contractual Obligations

There have been no significant changes to our Contractual Obligations table in Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of our 2010 Form 10-K, other than those resulting from: (1) changes in the amount of outstanding debt; and (2) a new leasehold we acquired that we account for as a capital lease.

As of the end of the 2011 second quarter, debt had increased by \$93 million to \$2,922 million compared to \$2,829 million at year-end 2010, and reflected a \$156 million increase in commercial paper and other debt (which includes capital leases) increases of \$58 million, partially offset by a \$121 million decrease in non-recourse debt associated with previously securitized notes. At the end of the 2011 second quarter, future debt payments not including capital leases plus interest totaled \$3,380 million and are due as follows: \$149 million in 2011; \$761 million in 2012; \$635 million in 2013; \$217 million in 2014; \$510 million in 2015; and \$1,108 million thereafter.

Table of Contents

As further described in Footnote No. 17, Leases, future minimum lease payments associated with a capital lease entered into in 2011, for each of the next three years are as follows: \$1 million in 2011; \$2 million in each of 2012 and 2013; and \$65 million in 2014.

Guarantee Commitments

There have been no significant changes to our Guarantee Commitments table in Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of our 2010 Form 10-K, other than those resulting from: (1) changes in the amount of guarantees where we are the primary obligor; and (2) changes in the amount of guarantees where we are secondarily liable.

As of the end of the 2011 second quarter, guarantees where we are the primary obligor had increased by \$61 million to \$260 million compared to \$199 million at year-end 2010, and reflected a \$29 million increase in debt service guarantees and a \$32 million increase in operating profit guarantees. At the end of the 2011 second quarter, future guarantee commitment expirations are as follows: \$10 million in 2011; \$46 million in 2012; \$26 million in 2013; \$36 million in 2014; \$15 million in 2015; and \$127 million thereafter.

As of the end of the 2011 second quarter, guarantees where we are secondarily liable had decreased by \$16 million to \$169 million compared to \$185 million at year-end 2010, and primarily reflected a \$17 million decrease in guarantees associated with lease obligations and lifecare bonds. At the end of the 2011 second quarter, future guarantee commitment expirations are as follows: \$24 million in 2011; \$44 million in 2012; \$44 million in 2013; \$15 million in 2014; \$15 million in 2015; and \$27 million thereafter.

Share Repurchases

We purchased 18.5 million shares of our Class A Common Stock during the twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, at an average price of \$36.49 per share. See Part II, Item 2 of this Form 10-Q for additional information on our share repurchases. As of June 17, 2011, 30.4 million shares remained available for repurchase under authorizations from our Board of Directors.

Dividends

On February 11, 2011, our board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.0875 per share, which was paid on April 1, 2011 to shareholders of record on February 25, 2011. On May 6, 2011, our board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per share, which was paid on June 24, 2011 to shareholders of record on May 20, 2011.

Planned Spin-Off

See Footnote No. 16, Planned Spin-off, earlier in this report for a discussion of our plans for a spin-off of our timeshare operations and timeshare development business. Additionally, we expect to incur spin-off transaction costs in the second half of 2011 which could be material.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and related disclosures. We have discussed those estimates that we believe are critical and require the use of complex judgment in their application in our 2010 Form 10-K. Since the date of our 2010 Form 10-K, there have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies or the methodologies or assumptions we apply under them.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk has not materially changed since December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, we evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)), and management necessarily applied its judgment in assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures, which by their nature, can provide only reasonable assurance about management's control objectives. You should note that the design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and we cannot assure you that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote. Based upon the foregoing evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and operating to provide reasonable assurance that we record, process, summarize and report the information we are required to disclose in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and to provide reasonable assurance that we accumulate and communicate such information to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions about required disclosure.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We made no changes in internal control over financial reporting during the second quarter of 2011 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are subject to certain legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business, including adjustments proposed during governmental examinations of the various tax returns we file. While management presently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not materially harm our financial position, cash flows, or overall trends in results of operations, legal proceedings are inherently uncertain, and unfavorable rulings could, individually or in aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or operating results.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We are subject to various risks that could have a negative effect on us or on our financial condition. You should understand that these risks could cause results to differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements contained in this report and in other Company communications. Because there is no way to determine in advance whether, or to what extent, any present uncertainty will ultimately impact our business, you should give equal weight to each of the following:

Lodging and Timeshare Industry Risks

Our industries are highly competitive, which may impact our ability to compete successfully with other hotel and timeshare properties for customers. We generally operate in markets that contain numerous competitors. Each of our hotel and timeshare brands competes with major hotel chains in national and international venues and with independent companies in regional markets. Our ability to remain competitive and to attract and retain business and leisure travelers depends on our success in distinguishing the quality, value, and efficiency of our lodging products and services from those offered by others. If we cannot compete successfully in these areas, this could limit our operating margins, diminish our market share, and reduce our earnings.

We are subject to the range of operating risks common to the hotel, timeshare, and corporate apartment industries. The profitability of the hotels, vacation timeshare resorts, and corporate apartments that we operate or franchise may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including:

- (1) the availability of and demand for hotel rooms, timeshare interval, fractional ownership, and residential products, and apartments;
- (2) pricing strategies of our competitors;
- (3) international, national, and regional economic and geopolitical conditions;
- (4) the impact of war, actual or threatened terrorist activity and heightened travel security measures instituted in response to war, terrorist activity or threats, and political or civil unrest;
- (5) the desirability of particular locations and changes in travel patterns;
- (6) travelers' fears of exposure to contagious diseases, such as H1N1 Flu, Avian Flu and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS);
- (7) the occurrence of natural or man-made disasters, such as earthquakes, tsunamis, hurricanes, and oil spills;

- (8) events that may be beyond our control that could affect the reputation of one or more of our properties or more generally impact the reputation of our brands;

Table of Contents

- (9) taxes and government regulations that influence or determine wages, prices, interest rates, construction procedures, and costs;
- (10) the costs and administrative burdens associated with compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including, among others, those associated with franchising, timeshare, lending, privacy, marketing and sales, licensing, labor, employment, immigration, the environment, and the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Asset Control and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act;
- (11) the availability and cost of capital to allow us and potential hotel owners and joint venture partners to fund investments;
- (12) regional and national development of competing properties;
- (13) increases in wages and other labor costs, energy, healthcare, insurance, transportation and fuel, and other expenses central to the conduct of our business or the cost of travel for our customers, including recent increases in energy costs and any resulting increase in travel costs or decrease in airline capacity;
- (14) organized labor activities, which could cause the diversion of business from hotels involved in labor negotiations, loss of group business, and/or increased labor costs;
- (15) currency exchange fluctuations; and
- (16) trademark or intellectual property infringement.

Any one or more of these factors could limit or reduce the demand or the prices our hotels, timeshare units, residential units, and corporate apartments can charge or increase our costs and therefore reduce the profit of our lodging businesses. Reduced demand for hotels could also give rise to losses under loans, guarantees, and noncontrolling equity investments that we have made in connection with hotels that we manage. Even where such factors do not reduce demand, property-level profit margins may suffer if we cannot fully recover increased operating costs from our guests. Similarly, weak property-level revenue or profitability could decrease our fee revenue.

Our hotel management and franchise agreements may also be subject to premature termination in certain circumstances, such as the bankruptcy of a hotel owner or franchisee, or a failure under some agreements to meet specified financial or performance criteria that are subject to the risks described in this section, which the Company fails or elects not to cure. A significant loss of agreements due to premature terminations could hurt our financial performance or our ability to grow our business.

General economic uncertainty and weak demand in the lodging and timeshare industries could continue to impact our financial results and growth. Weak economic conditions in the United States, Europe and much of the rest of the world and the uncertainty over the duration of these conditions could continue to have a negative impact on the lodging and timeshare industries. As a result of current economic conditions, we continue to experience weakened demand for our hotel rooms and timeshare products. Recent improvements in demand trends globally may not continue, and our future financial results and growth could be further harmed or constrained if the recovery stalls or conditions worsen.

Planned Spin-off Risk

The planned spin-off of our Timeshare division may not occur as or when planned or at all, or could result in issues we do not yet anticipate. Unanticipated developments could delay, prevent the completion of, or otherwise adversely affect the planned spin-off of our Timeshare division, including any problems or delays in obtaining financing for the new timeshare company, regulatory approvals, third-party consents, a favorable letter ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), or disruptions either in general market conditions or in the lodging or timeshare business. The transaction is also subject to final approval by our board of directors. Completion of the planned spin-off may require significant time,

Table of Contents

effort, and expense, and may divert management's attention from other aspects of our business operations, which could adversely affect those operations. Any delays in completion of the planned spin-off may increase the amount of time, effort, and expense that we devote to the transaction. Moreover, we may not be able to complete the planned spin-off on the terms currently anticipated as a result of financing issues or accommodations we may have to make to obtain the consent of regulators or other third parties.

In addition, if we complete the planned spin-off, the actual results may differ materially from the results we anticipate. Specifically, the proposed transaction could adversely affect our relationships with our customers or employees (including those of the Timeshare segment) or disrupt our operations. The separated businesses could also face unanticipated problems in operating independently, and thus may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the separation.

The planned spin-off could result in significant tax liability to us or our shareholders. The planned spin-off of our Timeshare division is conditioned upon our receipt of a private letter ruling from the IRS and an opinion from our tax counsel that the distribution of Marriott Vacations Worldwide Corporation common stock will not result in recognition, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, of income, gain or loss by us or our shareholders (except with respect to cash received in lieu of fractional shares of Marriott Vacations Worldwide Corporation common stock). Any private letter ruling and opinion that we receive will be subject to the continuing validity of any assumptions and representations reflected therein. In addition, an opinion from our tax counsel is not binding on the IRS or a court. Accordingly, even if we receive a private letter ruling and an opinion, the IRS could determine that the distribution of the Marriott Vacations Worldwide Corporation common stock is a taxable transaction and a court could agree with the IRS. If the distribution of the Marriott Vacations Worldwide Corporation common stock is a taxable transaction, we and our shareholders could have significant tax liabilities.

The planned spin-off might not produce the cash tax benefits we anticipate. Prior to and in connection with the planned spin-off of our Timeshare division, we will complete an internal reorganization, which includes transactions that have been structured in a manner that we expect to result, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, in our recognition of significant built-in losses in properties used in the North American and Luxury segments of the Timeshare division. The amount of the cash tax benefits we receive as a result of these transactions will depend upon the fair market value of the assets used in the North American and Luxury segments of the Timeshare division and our tax basis in such assets, neither of which will be determinable until after we have completed the internal reorganization. We have applied for a private letter ruling from the IRS regarding recognition of the losses that generate these cash tax benefits and any private letter ruling received will be subject to the continuing validity of any factual representations and assumptions reflected in the private letter ruling. Accordingly, even if we receive a private letter ruling, the IRS could later change its determination regarding the recognition of those losses, and a court could agree with the IRS.

Operational Risks

Our lodging operations are subject to global, regional and national conditions. Because we conduct our business on a global platform, our activities are susceptible to changes in the performance of both global and regional economies. In recent years, our business has been hurt by decreases in travel resulting from weak economic conditions and the heightened travel security measures that have resulted from the threat of further terrorism. Our future economic performance could be similarly affected by the economic environment in each of the regions in which we operate, the resulting unknown pace of business travel, and the occurrence of any future incidents in those regions.

The growing significance of our operations outside of the United States also makes us increasingly susceptible to the risks of doing business internationally, which could lower our revenues, increase our costs, reduce our profits or disrupt our business. We currently operate or franchise hotels and resorts in 71 countries, and our operations outside the United States represented approximately 16 percent of our revenues in the 2011 second quarter. We expect that the international share of our total revenues will increase in future years. As a result, we are increasingly exposed to a number of challenges and risks

Table of Contents

associated with doing business outside the United States, including the following, any of which could reduce our revenues or profits, increase our costs, or disrupt our business: (1) compliance with complex and changing laws, regulations and policies of governments that may impact our operations, including foreign ownership restrictions, import and export controls, and trade restrictions; (2) compliance with U.S. laws that affect the activities of U.S. companies abroad; (3) limitations on our ability to repatriate non-U.S. earnings in a tax effective manner; (4) the difficulties involved in managing an organization doing business in many different countries; (5) uncertainties as to the enforceability of contract and intellectual property rights under local laws; and (6) rapid changes in government policy, political or civil unrest, including in the Middle East, acts of terrorism or the threat of international boycotts or U.S. anti-boycott legislation.

Our new programs and new branded products may not be successful. We cannot assure that our recently launched EDITION, Autograph Collection and AC Hotels by Marriott brands and the Marriott Vacation Club Destination points-based timeshare program, or any new programs or products we may launch in the future will be accepted by hotel owners, potential franchisees, or the traveling public or other customers. We also cannot be certain that we will recover the costs we incurred in developing the brands or any new programs or products, or that the brands or any new programs or products will be successful. In addition, some of our new brands involve or may involve cooperation and/or consultation with one or more third parties, including some shared control over product design and development, sales and marketing, and brand standards. Disagreements with these third parties could slow the development of these new brands and/or impair our ability to take actions we believe to be advisable for the success and profitability of such brands.

Risks relating to natural or man-made disasters, contagious disease, terrorist activity, and war could reduce the demand for lodging, which may adversely affect our revenues. So called Acts of God, such as hurricanes, earthquakes, tsunamis, and other natural disasters, man-made disasters such as last year's oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico and, more recently, the aftermath of the earthquake and tsunami in Japan, and the spread of contagious diseases, such as H1N1 Flu, Avian Flu, and SARS, in locations where we own, manage or franchise significant properties, and areas of the world from which we draw a large number of customers could cause a decline in the level of business and leisure travel and reduce the demand for lodging. Actual or threatened war, terrorist activity, political unrest or civil strife, such as recent events in Egypt, Libya and Bahrain, and other geopolitical uncertainty could have a similar effect. Any one or more of these events may reduce the overall demand for hotel rooms, timeshare units, and corporate apartments or limit the prices that we can obtain for them, both of which could adversely affect our profits.

Disagreements with the owners of the hotels that we manage or franchise may result in litigation or may delay implementation of product or service initiatives. Consistent with our focus on management and franchising, we own very few of our lodging properties. The nature of our responsibilities under our management agreements to manage each hotel and enforce the standards required for our brands under both management and franchise agreements may be subject to interpretation and will from time to time give rise to disagreements, which may include disagreements over the need for or payment for new product or service initiatives. Such disagreements may be more likely while hotel returns are weaker as a result of the 2008-2009 economic slow down. We seek to resolve any disagreements in order to develop and maintain positive relations with current and potential hotel owners and joint venture partners but are not always able to do so. Failure to resolve such disagreements has resulted in litigation, and could do so in the future. If any such litigation results in a significant adverse judgment, settlement or court order, we could suffer significant losses, our profits could be reduced, or our future ability to operate our business could be constrained.

Damage to, or other potential losses involving, properties that we own, manage or franchise may not be covered by insurance. We have comprehensive property and liability insurance policies with coverage features and insured limits that we believe are customary. Market forces beyond our control may nonetheless limit the scope of the insurance coverage we can obtain or our ability to obtain coverage at reasonable rates. Certain types of losses, generally of a catastrophic nature, such as earthquakes, hurricanes and floods, or terrorist acts, may be uninsurable or too expensive to justify obtaining insurance.

Table of Contents

As a result, we may not be successful in obtaining insurance without increases in cost or decreases in coverage levels. In addition, in the event of a substantial loss, the insurance coverage we carry may not be sufficient to pay the full market value or replacement cost of our lost investment or that of hotel owners or in some cases could result in certain losses being totally uninsured. As a result, we could lose some or all of the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property, and we could remain obligated for guarantees, debt, or other financial obligations related to the property.

Development and Financing Risks

While we are predominantly a manager and franchisor of hotel properties, we depend on capital to buy, develop, and improve hotels and to develop timeshare properties, and we or our hotel owners may be unable to access capital when necessary. In order to fund new hotel investments, as well as refurbish and improve existing hotels, both the Company and current and potential hotel owners must periodically spend money. The availability of funds for new investments and improvement of existing hotels by our current and potential hotel owners depends in large measure on capital markets and liquidity factors, over which we can exert little control. Instability in the financial markets and the contraction of available liquidity and leverage continue to constrain the capital markets for hotel and real estate investments. In addition, owners of existing hotels that we franchise or manage may have difficulty meeting required debt service payments or refinancing loans at maturity.

Our growth strategy depends upon third-party owners/operators, and future arrangements with these third parties may be less favorable. Our present growth strategy for development of additional lodging facilities entails entering into and maintaining various arrangements with property owners. The terms of our management agreements, franchise agreements, and leases for each of our lodging facilities are influenced by contract terms offered by our competitors, among other things. We cannot assure you that any of our current arrangements will continue or that we will be able to enter into future collaborations, renew agreements, or enter into new agreements in the future on terms that are as favorable to us as those that exist today.

Our ability to grow our management and franchise systems is subject to the range of risks associated with real estate investments. Our ability to sustain continued growth through management or franchise agreements for new hotels and the conversion of existing facilities to managed or franchised Marriott brands is affected, and may potentially be limited, by a variety of factors influencing real estate development generally. These include site availability, financing, planning, zoning and other local approvals, and other limitations that may be imposed by market and submarket factors, such as projected room occupancy, changes in growth in demand compared to projected supply, territorial restrictions in our management and franchise agreements, costs of construction, and anticipated room rate structure.

Our development activities expose us to project cost, completion, and resale risks. We develop new hotel, timeshare interval, fractional ownership, and residential properties, both directly and through partnerships, joint ventures, and other business structures with third parties. As demonstrated by the 2009 impairment charges associated with our Timeshare business, our ongoing involvement in the development of properties presents a number of risks, including that: (1) continued weakness in the capital markets may limit our ability, or that of third parties with whom we do business, to raise capital for completion of projects that have commenced or for development of future properties; (2) properties that we develop could become less attractive due to further decreases in demand for residential, fractional or interval ownership, increases in mortgage rates and/or decreases in mortgage availability, market absorption or oversupply, with the result that we may not be able to sell such properties for a profit or at the prices or selling pace we anticipate, potentially requiring additional changes in our pricing strategy that could result in further charges; (3) construction delays, cost overruns, lender financial defaults, or so called "Acts of God" such as earthquakes, hurricanes, floods or fires may increase overall project costs or result in project cancellations; and (4) we may be unable to recover development costs we incur for these projects that are not pursued to completion.

Table of Contents

Development activities that involve our co-investment with third parties may result in disputes that could increase project costs, impair project operations, or increase project completion risks. Partnerships, joint ventures, and other business structures involving our co-investment with third parties generally include some form of shared control over the operations of the business and create additional risks, including the possibility that other investors in such ventures could become bankrupt or otherwise lack the financial resources to meet their obligations, or could have or develop business interests, policies or objectives that are inconsistent with ours. Although we actively seek to minimize such risks before investing in partnerships, joint ventures or similar structures, actions by another investor may present additional risks of project delay, increased project costs, or operational difficulties following project completion. Such disputes may also be more likely in the current difficult business environment.

Other Risks Associated with Timeshare and Residential Properties

Disruption in the credit markets could impair our ability to securitize the loans that our Timeshare business generates. Our Timeshare business provides financing to purchasers of our timeshare and fractional properties, and we periodically securitize interests in those loans in the capital markets. Any future deterioration in the financial markets could preclude, delay or increase the cost to us of future note securitizations.

Risks associated with development and sale of residential properties that are associated with our lodging and timeshare properties or brands may reduce our profits. In certain hotel and timeshare projects we participate, through noncontrolling interests and/or licensing fees, in the development and sale of residential properties associated with our brands, including luxury residences and condominiums under our Ritz-Carlton and Marriott brands. Such projects pose additional risks beyond those generally associated with our lodging and timeshare businesses, which may reduce our profits or compromise our brand equity, including the following:

The continued weakness in residential real estate, vacation home prices, and demand generally will continue to reduce our profits and could result in losses on residential sales, increase our carrying costs due to a slower pace of sales than we anticipated, and could make it more difficult to convince future hotel development partners of the value added by our brands;

Increases in interest rates, reductions in mortgage availability, or increases in the costs of residential ownership could prevent potential customers from buying residential products or reduce the prices they are willing to pay; and

Residential construction may be subject to warranty and liability claims, and the costs of resolving such claims may be significant. *Purchaser defaults on the loans our Timeshare business generates could reduce our Timeshare revenues and profits.* We are also subject to the risk of default on the financing we provide to purchasers of our timeshare and fractional properties. Purchaser defaults could force us to foreclose on the loan and reclaim ownership of the financed property, both for loans that we have not securitized and in our role as servicer for the loans we have securitized. If we cannot resell foreclosed properties in a timely manner or at a price sufficient to repay the loans and our costs, we could incur losses or impairment charges on loans we have yet to securitize or loss of future income from our residual interest in loans that we have securitized.

Technology, Information Protection, and Privacy Risks

A failure to keep pace with developments in technology could impair our operations or competitive position. The lodging and timeshare industries continue to demand the use of sophisticated technology and systems, including those used for our reservation, revenue management and property management systems, our Marriott Rewards and The Ritz-Carlton Rewards programs, and technologies we make available to our guests. These technologies and systems must be refined, updated, and/or replaced with more advanced systems on a regular basis. If we are unable to do so as quickly as our competitors or within budgeted costs and time frames, our business could suffer. We also may not achieve the benefits

Table of Contents

that we anticipate from any new technology or system, and a failure to do so could result in higher than anticipated costs or could impair our operating results.

An increase in the use of third-party Internet services to book online hotel reservations could adversely impact our business. Some of our hotel rooms are booked through Internet travel intermediaries such as Expedia.com[®], Travelocity.com[®], and Orbitz.com[®], as well as lesser-known online travel service providers. These intermediaries initially focused on leisure travel, but now also provide offerings for corporate travel and group meetings. Although Marriott's Look No Further Best Rate Guarantee has greatly reduced the ability of intermediaries to undercut the published rates at our hotels, intermediaries continue to use a variety of aggressive online marketing methods to attract customers, including the purchase, by certain companies, of trademarked online keywords such as Marriott from Internet search engines such as Google[®], Bing[®] and Yahoo[®] to steer customers toward their websites (a practice currently being challenged by various trademark owners in federal court). Although Marriott has successfully limited these practices through contracts with key online intermediaries, the number of intermediaries and related companies that drive traffic to intermediaries' websites is too large to permit us to eliminate this risk entirely. Our business and profitability could be harmed if online intermediaries succeed in significantly shifting loyalties from our lodging brands to their travel services, diverting bookings away from www.Marriott.com, or through their fees increasing the overall cost of Internet bookings for our hotels.

Failure to maintain the integrity of internal or customer data could result in faulty business decisions, operational inefficiencies, damage of reputation and/or subject us to costs, fines, or lawsuits. Our businesses require collection and retention of large volumes of internal and customer data, including credit card numbers and other personally identifiable information of our customers in various information systems and those of our service providers. We also maintain personally identifiable information about our employees. The integrity and protection of that customer, employee, and company data is critical to us. If that data is inaccurate or incomplete, we could make faulty decisions. Our customers and employees also have a high expectation that we and our service providers will adequately protect their personal information. The regulatory environment surrounding information, security and privacy is also increasingly demanding, in both the United States and other jurisdictions in which we operate. Our systems may be unable to satisfy changing regulatory requirements and employee and customer expectations, or may require significant additional investments or time in order to do so. Our information systems and records, including those we maintain with our service providers, may be subject to security breaches, system failures, viruses, operator error or inadvertent releases of data. A significant theft, loss, or fraudulent use of customer, employee, or company data maintained by us or by a service provider could adversely impact our reputation and could result in remedial and other expenses, fines, or litigation. A breach in the security of our information systems or those of our service providers could lead to an interruption in the operation of our systems, resulting in operational inefficiencies and a loss of profits.

We were recently notified by Epsilon, a marketing vendor used by the Company to manage customer emails, that an unauthorized third party gained access to a number of Epsilon's accounts including the Company's email list. Epsilon has stated that the unauthorized person(s) had access only to names and email addresses of the Company's customers and did not have access to other customer information, such as physical addresses, loyalty program point balances, account logins and passwords, credit card information or other personal data. We have informed our affected customers of the breach and that it may result in receiving unsolicited emails.

Changes in privacy law could adversely affect our ability to market our products effectively. We rely on a variety of direct marketing techniques, including telemarketing, email marketing, online advertising, and postal mailings. Any further restrictions in laws such as the Telemarketing Sales Rule, CANSPAM Act, and various U.S. state laws, or new federal laws, regarding marketing and solicitation or international data protection laws that govern these activities could adversely affect the continuing effectiveness of telemarketing, email, online advertising, and postal mailing techniques and could force further changes in our marketing strategy. If this occurs, we may not be able to develop adequate alternative marketing strategies, which could impact the amount and timing of our sales of timeshare units and other products. We also obtain access to potential customers from travel service providers or other companies with whom

Table of Contents

we have substantial relationships and market to some individuals on these lists directly or by including our marketing message in the other company's marketing materials. If access to these lists was prohibited or otherwise restricted, our ability to develop new customers and introduce them to our products could be impaired.

Other Risks

Changes in tax and other laws and regulations could reduce our profits or increase our costs. Our businesses are subject to regulation under a wide variety of laws, regulations and policies in jurisdictions around the world. In response to the recent economic crisis and the recent recession, we anticipate that many of the jurisdictions in which we do business will review tax and other revenue raising laws, regulations and policies, and any resulting changes could impose new restrictions, costs or prohibitions on our current practices and reduce our profits. In particular, governments may revise tax laws, regulations or official interpretations in ways that could have a significant impact on us, including modifications that could reduce the profits that we can effectively realize from our non-U.S. operations, or that could require costly changes to those operations, or the way in which they are structured. For example, most U.S. company effective tax rates reflect the fact that income earned and reinvested outside the United States is generally taxed at local rates, which are often much lower than U.S. tax rates. If changes in tax laws, regulations or interpretations significantly increase the tax rates on non-U.S. income, our effective tax rate could increase and our profits could be reduced. If such increases resulted from our status as a U.S. company, those changes could place us at a disadvantage to our non-U.S. competitors if those competitors remain subject to lower local tax rates.

If we cannot attract and retain talented associates, our business could suffer. We compete with other companies both within and outside of our industry for talented personnel. If we cannot recruit, train, develop, and retain sufficient numbers of talented associates, we could experience increased associate turnover, decreased guest satisfaction, low morale, inefficiency, or internal control failures. Insufficient numbers of talented associates could also limit our ability to grow and expand our businesses.

Delaware law and our governing corporate documents contain, and our Board of Directors could implement, anti-takeover provisions that could deter takeover attempts. Under the Delaware business combination statute, a stockholder holding 15 percent or more of our outstanding voting stock could not acquire us without Board of Director consent for at least three years after the date the stockholder first held 15 percent or more of the voting stock. Our governing corporate documents also, among other things, require supermajority votes in connection with mergers and similar transactions. In addition, our Board of Directors could, without stockholder approval, implement other anti-takeover defenses, such as a stockholder's rights plan to replace the stockholder's rights plan that expired in March 2008.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**(a) Unregistered Sale of Securities

None.

(b) Use of Proceeds

None.

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(in millions, except per share amounts)

| Period | Total Number | | Total Number | Maximum Number |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| | of Shares Purchased | Average Price per Share | Shares Purchased Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1) | Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1) |
| March 26, 2011-April 22, 2011 | 5.5 | \$ 34.95 | 5.5 | 10.5 |
| April 23, 2011-May 20, 2011 | 2.3 | 35.61 | 2.3 | 33.2 |
| May 21, 2011-June 17, 2011 | 2.8 | 35.57 | 2.8 | 30.4 |

(1) On May 6, 2011, we announced that our Board of Directors increased, by 25 million shares, the authorization to repurchase our Class A Common Stock for a total outstanding authorization of approximately 34 million shares on that date. As of June 17, 2011, 30.4 million shares remained available for repurchase under those authorizations. We repurchase shares in the open market and in privately negotiated transactions.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved**Item 5. Other Information**

None.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Exhibits**

| | | Incorporation by Reference |
|--------------------|---|---|
| | | (where a report is indicated below, that |
| | | document has been previously filed with |
| | | the SEC and the applicable exhibit is |
| Exhibit No. | Description | incorporated by reference thereto) |
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. | Exhibit No. 3.(i) to our Form 8-K filed August 22, 2006 (File No. 001-13881). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws. | Exhibit No. 3.(i) to our Form 8-K filed November 12, 2008 (File No. 001-13881). |
| 10 | U.S. \$1,750,000,000 Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 23, 2011 with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and certain banks. | Exhibit No. 10 to our Form 8-K filed June 27, 2011 (File No. 001-13881). |
| 12 | Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. | <i>Filed with this report.</i> |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a). | <i>Filed with this report.</i> |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a). | <i>Filed with this report.</i> |
| 32 | Section 1350 Certifications. | <i>Furnished with this report.</i> |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. | <i>Furnished with this report.</i> |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. | <i>Submitted electronically with this report.</i> |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document. | <i>Submitted electronically with this report.</i> |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. | <i>Submitted electronically with this report.</i> |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document. | <i>Submitted electronically with this report.</i> |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document. | <i>Submitted electronically with this report.</i> |

We have attached the following documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) as Exhibit 101 to this report: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, and June 18, 2010, respectively; (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 17, 2011, and December 31, 2010; and (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the twenty-four weeks ended June 17, 2011, and June 18, 2010, respectively. We advise users of this data that pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

15th day of July, 2011

/s/ Arne M. Sorenson
Arne M. Sorenson
President and Chief Operating Officer

/s/ Carl T. Berquist
Carl T. Berquist
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer