BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ Form 10-K February 26, 2010 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

[ü] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

or

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission file number:

1-6523

Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter:

Bank of America Corporation

State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization:

Delaware

IRS Employer Identification No.:

56-0906609

Address of principal executive offices:

Bank of America Corporate Center

100 N. Tryon Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28255

Registrant s telephone number, including area code:

(704) 386-5681

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

class

hares, each Representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of on-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series D hares, each Representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of

ate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series E

hares, each Representing a 1/1,000th Interest in a Share of 8.20% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series H

hares, each Representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of 6.625% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series I

hares, each Representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of 7.25% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series J

Cumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock, Series L

hares, each representing a 1/1,200th interest in a share of Bank of America Corporation Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 1 hares, each representing a 1/1,200th interest in a share of Bank of America Corporation Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 2 hares, each representing a 1/1,200th interest in a share of Bank of America Corporation 6.375% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 3 hares, each representing a 1/1,200th interest in a share of Bank of America Corporation Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 4 hares, each representing a 1/1,200th interest in a share of Bank of America Corporation Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 5 hares, each representing a 1/40th interest in a share of Bank of America Corporation 6.70% Non-cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series 6 hares, each representing a 1/40th interest in a share of Bank of America Corporation 6.25% Non-cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series 7 hares, each representing a 1/1,200th interest in a share of Bank of America Corporation 8.625% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series 8

Preferred Securities of Countrywide Capital IV (and the guarantees related thereto)

al Securities of Countrywide Capital V (and the guarantees related thereto)

rities of BAC Capital Trust I (and the guarantee related thereto)

rities of BAC Capital Trust II (and the guarantee related thereto)

rities of BAC Capital Trust III (and the guarantee related thereto)

al Securities of BAC Capital Trust IV (and the guarantee related thereto)

ecurities of BAC Capital Trust V (and the guarantee related thereto)

ecurities of BAC Capital Trust VIII (and the guarantee related thereto)

l Securities of BAC Capital Trust X (and the guarantee related thereto)

al Securities of BAC Capital Trust XII (and the guarantee related thereto)

Name of each exchange on which

New York Stock Exchange London Stock Exchange Tokyo Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

e Preferred Hybrid Income Term Securities of BAC Capital Trust XIII (and the guarantee related thereto) to Floating Rate Preferred Hybrid Income Term Securities of BAC Capital Trust XIV (and the guarantee related thereto)

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Minimum Return Index EAGLESSM, due June 1, 2010, Linked to the	
Nasdaq-100 Index [®] Minimum Return Index EAGLES [®] , due June 28, 2010, Linked to the S&P	NYSE Amex
500 [®] Index	NYSE Amex
Minimum Return Return Linked Notes, due June 24, 2010, Linked to the	
Nikkei 225 Index	NYSE Amex
Minimum Return Basket EAGLESSM, due August 2, 2010, Linked to a	,
Basket of Energy Stocks Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due October 29, 2010, Linked to the	NYSE Amex
Nasdaq-100 Index®	NYSE Amex
1.50% Index CYCLES TM , due November 26, 2010, Linked to the S&P	
500 [®] Index	NYSE Amex
1.00% Index CYCLES TM , due December 28, 2010, Linked to the S&P	NIVOE A
MidCap 400 Index Return Linked Notes due June 28, 2010, Linked to the Nikkei 225 Index	NYSE Amex NYSE Amex
1.00% Index CYCLES TM , due January 28, 2011, Linked to a Basket of	N I SE Amex
Health Care Stocks	NYSE Amex
Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due January 28, 2011, Linked to the	
Russell 2000® Index	NYSE Amex
1.00% Basket CYCLES TM , due May 27, 2010, Linked to a 70/30 Basket of	NIVCE A
Four Indices and an Exchange Traded Fund Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due June 25, 2010, Linked to the Dow	NYSE Amex
Jones Industrial Average SM	NYSE Amex
1.50% Basket CYCLES TM , due July 29, 2011, Linked to an 80/20 Basket	1,102,1me.
of Four Indices and an Exchange Traded Fund	NYSE Amex
1.25% Index CYCLESTM, due August 25, 2010, Linked to the Dow Jones	
Industrial Average SM	NYSE Amex
1.25% Basket CYCLES TM , due September 27, 2011, Linked to a Basket of Four Indices	NYSE Amex
Minimum Return Basket EAGLES SM , due September 29, 2010, Linked to a	N 13E Alliex
Basket of Energy Stocks	NYSE Amex
Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due October 29, 2010, Linked to the	
S&P 500® Index	NYSE Amex
Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due November 23, 2010, Linked to the	NIVOE A
Nasdaq-100 Index® Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due November 24, 2010, Linked to the	NYSE Amex
CBOE China Index	NYSE Amex
1.25% Basket CYCLES TM , due December 27, 2010, Linked to a 70/30	
Basket of Four Indices and an Exchange Traded Fund	NYSE Amex
1.50% Index CYCLES TM , due December 28, 2011, Linked to a Basket of	,
Health Care Stocks 6 ½ Subordinated InterNotes SM , due 2032	NYSE Amex
5 ½% Subordinated InterNotes SM , due 2032	New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange
5 7/8% Subordinated InterNotes SM, due 2033	New York Stock Exchange
6% Subordinated InterNotes SM , due 2034	New York Stock Exchange
Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due March 25, 2011, Linked to the	
Dow Jones Industrial Average SM	NYSE Amex
1.625% Index CYCLES TM , due March 23, 2010, Linked to the Nikkei 225 Index	NYSE Amex
1.75% Index CYCLES TM , due April 28, 2011, Linked to the S&P 500®	IVIOL Amex
Index	NYSE Amex
Return Linked Notes, due August 26, 2010, Linked to a Basket of Three	
Indices	NYSE Amex
Return Linked Notes, due June 27, 2011, Linked to an 80/20 Basket of Four Indices and an Exchange Traded Fund	NVCE A mov
Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due July 29, 2010, Linked to the S&P	NYSE Amex
500® Index	NYSE Amex
Return Linked Notes, due January 28, 2011, Linked to a Basket of Two	
Indices	NYSE Amex
Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due August 26, 2010, Linked to the	NIVOE A
Dow Jones Industrial Average SM Return Linked Notes, due August 25, 2011, Linked to the Dow Jones	NYSE Amex
EURO STOXX 50® Index	NYSE Amex
Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due October 3, 2011, Linked to the	· -
S&P 500® Index	NYSE Amex

20ga: 1 milg: 27 ii ii (31 7 iii) 21 ii 37 i 33 i ii	, 5 = ,
Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due October 28, 2011, Linked to the AMEX Biotechnology Index	NYSE Amex
Return Linked Notes, due October 27, 2011, Linked to a Basket of Three Indices	NYSE Amex
Return Linked Notes, due November 22, 2010, Linked to a Basket of Two Indices	NYSE Amex
Minimum Return Index EAGLES®, due November 23, 2011, Linked to a Basket of Five Indices	NYSE Amex
Minimum Return Index EAGLES [®] , due December 27, 2011, Linked to the Dow Jones Industrial Average SM	NYSE Amex
0.25% Senior Notes Optionally Exchangeable Into a Basket of Three Common Stocks, due February 2012	NYSE Amex
Return Linked Notes, due December 29, 2011 Linked to a Basket of Three Indices	NYSE Amex
Bear Market Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities [®] , Linked to the iShares [®] Dow Jones U.S. Real Estate Index Fund, due August 3, 2010 Accelerated Return Notes SM , Linked to the S&P 500 [®] Index, due April 5,	NYSE Arca, Inc.
2010 Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500®	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Index, due February 1, 2011 Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities® Linked to the S&P 500®	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Index, due January 11, 2012 Market-Linked Step Up Notes Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due	NYSE Arca, Inc.
December 23, 2011 Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities® Linked to the S&P 500®	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Index, due December 5, 2011 Market-Linked Step Up Notes Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due	NYSE Arca, Inc.
November 26, 2012 Market Index Target-Term Securities® Linked to the Dow Jones Industrial	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Average SM , due December 2, 2014 Market-Linked Step Up Notes Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due	NYSE Arca, Inc.
November 28, 2011 Market-Linked Step Up Notes Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due	NYSE Arca, Inc.
October 28, 2011 Market-Linked Step Up Notes Linked to the Russell 2000® Index, due	NYSE Arca, Inc.
October 28, 2011 Notes Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due October 4, 2011	NYSE Arca, Inc. NYSE Arca, Inc.
Market Index Target-Term Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due September 27, 2013	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Accelerated Return Notes® Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due October 29, 2010	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Leveraged Index Return Notes®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due July 27, 2012 Strategie Accelerated Redemption Securities® Linked to the S&P 500®	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due August 2, 2011 Market Index Target-Term Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due	NYSE Arca, Inc.
July 26, 2013 Leveraged index Return Notes®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due	NYSE Arca, Inc.
June 29, 2012 Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the iShares®	NYSE Arca, Inc.
FTSE/Xinhua 25 Index Fund, due June 1, 2011 Accelerated Return Notes®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due July 30,	NYSE Arca, Inc.
2010 Leveraged Index Return Notes®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due	NYSE Arca, Inc.
June 1, 2012 Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500®	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Index, due June 1, 2011 Market Index Target-Term Securities®, Linked to the Dow Jones Industrial	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Average SM , due May 31, 2013 Capped Leveraged Index Return Notes [®] , Linked to the S&P 500 [®] Index,	NYSE Arca, Inc.
due November 29, 2010 Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the SPDR® Gold	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Trust, due May 3, 2011 Market Index Target-Term Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due	NYSE Arca, Inc.
April 25, 2014 Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500®	NYSE Arca, Inc.
Index, due April 5, 2011 Bear Market Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the Scheme® Day, Jones U.S. Real Estate Index Fund, due Sentember 20, 2010	NYSE Area inc
iShares® Dow Jones U.S. Real Estate Index Fund, due September 30, 2010	NYSE Arca, inc. NYSE Arca, Inc.

Market Index Target-Term Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due March 28,2014

Capped Leveraged Index Return Notes®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due August 27, 2010 NYSE Arca, Inc.

Bear Market Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the S&P Small Cap Regional Banks Index, due August 31, 2010 NYSE Arca, Inc.

Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500®

Index, due March 1, 2011 NYSE Arca, Inc. Market Index Target-Term Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500® Index, due

February 28, 2014 NYSE Arca, Inc.

Accelerated Return NotesSM, Linked to the S&P 500[®] Index, due April 5, 2010 NYSE Arca, Inc.

Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the S&P 500®

Index, due February 1, 2011 NYSE Arca, Inc. Bear Market Strategic Accelerated Redemption Securities®, Linked to the

iShares® Dow Jones U.S. Real Estate Index Fund, due August 3, 2010 NYSE Arca, Inc.

Table of Contents

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ü No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No ü

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \(\mathbf{u}\) No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \(\text{\tilde{u}}\) No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ü Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No ü

The aggregate market value of the registrant s common stock (Common Stock) held by non-affiliates is approximately \$114,282,338,121 (based on the June 30, 2009 closing price of Common Stock of \$13.20 per share as reported on the New York Stock Exchange). As of February 24, 2010, there were 10,032,005,453 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document of the RegistrantPortions of the 2010 Proxy Statement

Form 10-K Reference Locations PART III

Table of Contents

Bank of America Corporation and Subsidiaries

Part I			Page
	Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	1
	Item 1A.	Risk Factors	5
	Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	11
	Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	11
	Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	11
	Item 4.	Submission of Matters To A Vote of Security Holders	11
	<u>Executive</u>	Officers of The Registrant	11
Part II			
	Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	13
	Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	13
	Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	14
	Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	112
	Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	112
	Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	203
	Item 9A.	Controls And Procedures	203
	Item 9B.	Other Information	203
Part III	[
	Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	204
	Item 11.	Executive Compensation	204
	Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	204
	Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	205
	Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	205
Part IV	7		
	Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	206

Part I

Bank of America Corporation and Subsidiaries

Item 1. Business

General

Bank of America Corporation (together, with its consolidated subsidiaries, Bank of America, the Corporation, our company, we or us) is a Delaware corporation, a bank holding company and a financial holding company under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. Our principal executive offices are located in the Bank of America Corporate Center, Charlotte, North Carolina 28255.

Bank of America is one of the world s largest financial institutions, serving individual consumers, small- and middle-market businesses, large corporations and governments with a full range of banking, investing, asset management and other financial and risk management products and services. Through our banking subsidiaries (the Banks) and various nonbanking subsidiaries throughout the United States and in selected international markets, we provide a diversified range of banking and nonbanking financial services and products through six business segments: *Deposits, Global Card Services, Home Loans & Insurance, Global Banking, Global Markets, Global Wealth & Investment Management (GWIM)*, with the remaining operations recorded in *All Other*.

We are a global franchise, serving customers and clients around the world with operations in all 50 U.S. states, the District of Columbia and more than 40 foreign countries. As of December 31, 2009, the Bank of America retail banking footprint includes approximately 80 percent of the U.S. population, and in the United States, we serve approximately 59 million consumer and small business relationships with approximately 6,000 banking centers, more than 18,000 ATMs, nationwide call centers, and the leading online and mobile banking platforms. We have banking centers in 12 of the 15 fastest growing states and have leadership positions in eight of those states. We offer industry-leading support to approximately four million small business owners. We have the No. 1 U.S. retail deposits market share and are the No. 1 issuer of debit cards in the United States. We have the No. 2 market share in credit card products in the United States and we are the No. 1 credit card lender in Europe. We have approximately 8,900 mortgage loan officers and are the No. 1 mortgage servicer and No. 2 mortgage originator in the United States.

In addition, as of December 31, 2009, our commercial and corporate clients include 98 percent of the U.S. Fortune 1,000 and 82 percent of the Global Fortune 500 and we serve more than 11,000 issuer clients and 3,500 institutional investors. We are the No. 1 treasury services provider in the United States and a leading provider globally. With our acquisition of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (Merrill Lynch) in 2009, we significantly enhanced our wealth management business and are a global leader in corporate and investment banking and trading across a broad range of asset classes serving corporations, governments, institutions and individuals around the world. We have one of the largest wealth management businesses in the world with approximately 15,000 financial advisors and more than \$2.1 trillion in net client assets, and we are a leading wealth manager for high-net-worth and ultra high net-worth clients. In addition, we have an economic ownership of approximately 34 percent in BlackRock, Inc., a publicly traded investment management company.

Additional information relating to our businesses and our subsidiaries is included in the information set forth in pages 27 through 42 of Item 7,

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) and *Note 23 Business Segment Information* to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data (Consolidated Financial Statements).

Bank of America s website is www.bankofamerica.com. Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available on our website at http://investor.bankofamerica.com under the heading SEC Filings as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). In addition, we make available on http://investor.bankofamerica.com under the heading Corporate Governance: (i) our Code of Ethics (including our insider trading policy); (ii) our Corporate Governance Guidelines; and (iii) the charter of each committee of our Board of Directors (the Board) (by clicking on the committee names under the Committee Composition link), and we also intend to disclose any amendments to our Code of Ethics, or waivers of our Code of Ethics on behalf of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or Chief Accounting Officer, on our website. All of these corporate governance materials are also available free of charge in print to stockholders who request them in writing to: Bank of America Corporation, Attention: Shareholder Relations Department, 101 South Tryon Street, NC1-002-29-01, Charlotte, North Carolina 28255.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive environment. Our competitors include banks, thrifts, credit unions, investment banking firms, investment advisory firms, brokerage firms, investment companies, insurance companies, mortgage banking companies, credit card issuers, mutual fund companies and e-commerce and other internet-based companies in addition to those competitors discussed more specifically below. We compete with some of these competitors globally and with others on a regional or product basis. Competition is based on a number of factors including, among others, customer service, quality and range of products and services offered, price, reputation, interest rates on loans and deposits, lending limits and customer convenience. Our ability to continue to compete effectively also depends in large part on our ability to attract new employees and retain and motivate our existing employees, while managing compensation and other costs.

More specifically, our consumer banking business competes with banks, thrifts, credit unions, finance companies and other nonbank organizations offering financial services. Our commercial and large corporate lending businesses compete with local, regional and international banks and nonbank financial organizations. In the investment banking, wealth management, investment advisory and brokerage businesses, our nonbanking subsidiaries compete with U.S. and international commercial banking and investment banking firms, investment advisory firms, brokerage firms, investment companies, mutual funds, hedge funds, private equity funds, trust banks, multi-family offices, advice boutiques and other organizations offering similar services and other investment alternatives available to investors. Our mortgage banking business competes with

Bank of America 2009

banks, thrifts, mortgage brokers and other nonbank organizations offering mortgage banking and mortgage related services. Our card business competes in the United States and internationally with banks, consumer finance companies and retail stores with private label credit and debit cards.

We also compete actively for funds. A primary source of funds for the Banks is deposits, and competition for deposits includes other deposit-taking organizations, such as banks, thrifts and credit unions, as well as money market mutual funds. Investment banks and other entities that became bank holding companies and financial holding companies as a result of the recent financial crisis are also competitors for deposits. In addition, we compete for funding in the domestic and international short-term and long-term debt securities capital markets.

Over time, certain sectors of the financial services industry have become more concentrated, as institutions involved in a broad range of financial services have been acquired by or merged into other firms or have declared bankruptcy. This trend continued in 2008 and 2009 as the financial crisis caused additional mergers and asset acquisitions among industry participants. This trend toward consolidation has significantly increased the capital base and geographic reach of some of our competitors. This trend has also hastened the globalization of the securities markets. These developments could result in our remaining competitors gaining greater capital and other resources or having stronger local presences and longer operating histories outside the United States.

Our ability to expand certain of our banking operations in additional U.S. states remains subject to various federal and state laws. See Government Supervision and Regulation General below for a more detailed discussion of interstate banking and branching legislation and certain state legislation.

Employees

As of December 31, 2009, there were approximately 284,000 full-time equivalent employees with Bank of America. Of these employees, 75,800 were employed within *Deposits*, 24,900 were employed within *Global Card Services*, 52,800 were employed within *Home Loans & Insurance*, 22,900 were employed within *Global Banking*, 17,600 were employed within *Global Markets* and 40,400 were employed within *GWIM*. The remainder were employed elsewhere within our company including various staff and support functions.

None of our domestic employees is subject to a collective bargaining agreement. Management considers our employee relations to be good.

Acquisition and Disposition Activity

As part of our operations, we regularly evaluate the potential acquisition of, and hold discussions with, various financial institutions and other businesses of a type eligible for financial holding company ownership or control. In addition, we regularly analyze the values of, and submit bids for, the acquisition of customer-based funds and other liabilities and assets of such financial institutions and other businesses. We also regularly consider the potential disposition of certain of our assets, branches, subsidiaries or lines of businesses. As a general rule, we publicly announce any material acquisitions or dispositions when a definitive agreement has been reached.

On January 1, 2009, we completed the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Additional information on our acquisitions and mergers is included in *Note 2 Merger and Restructuring Activity* to the Consolidated Financial Statements which is incorporated herein by reference.

Government Supervision and Regulation

The following discussion describes, among other things, elements of an extensive regulatory framework applicable to bank holding companies, financial holding companies and banks and specific information about Bank of America. U.S. federal regulation of banks, bank holding companies and financial holding companies is intended primarily for the protection of depositors and the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) rather than for the protection of stockholders and creditors. For additional information about recent regulatory programs, initiatives and legislation that impact us, see Regulatory Initiatives in the MD&A.

General

As a registered bank holding company and financial holding company, Bank of America Corporation is subject to the supervision of, and regular inspection by, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve Board). The Banks are organized as national banking associations, which are subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (Comptroller or OCC), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the Federal Reserve Board and other federal and state regulatory agencies. In addition to banking laws, regulations and regulatory agencies, we are subject to various other laws and regulations and supervision and examination by other regulatory agencies, all of which directly or indirectly affect our operations and management and our ability to make distributions to stockholders. For example, our U.S. broker dealer subsidiaries are subject to regulation by and supervision of the SEC, the New York Stock Exchange and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA); our commodities businesses in the United States are subject to regulation by and supervision of the Commodities Futures Trading Commission (CFTC); and our insurance activities are subject to licensing and regulation by state insurance regulatory agencies.

Our non-U.S. businesses are also subject to extensive regulation by various non-U.S. regulators, including governments, securities exchanges, central banks and other regulatory bodies, in the jurisdictions in which the businesses operate. Our financial services operations in the United Kingdom are subject to regulation by and supervision of the Financial Services Authority (FSA).

A U.S. financial holding company, and the companies under its control, are permitted to engage in activities considered financial in nature as defined by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and Federal Reserve Board interpretations (including, without limitation, insurance and securities activities), and therefore may engage in a broader range of activities than permitted for bank holding companies and their subsidiaries. Unless otherwise limited by the Federal Reserve Board, a financial holding company may engage directly or indirectly in activities considered financial in nature, either de novo or by acquisition, provided the financial holding company gives the Federal Reserve Board after-the-fact notice of the new activities. In addition, if the Federal Reserve Board finds that any of the Banks is not well capitalized or well managed, we would be required to enter into an agreement with the Federal Reserve Board to comply with all applicable capital and management requirements and which may contain additional limitations or conditions relating to our activities. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act also permits national banks, such as the Banks, to engage in activities considered financial in nature through a financial subsidiary, subject to certain conditions and limitations and with the approval of the OCC.

2 Bank of America 2009

U.S. bank holding companies (including bank holding companies that also are financial holding companies) also are required to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board before acquiring more than five percent of any class of voting stock of any non-affiliated bank. Pursuant to the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (Interstate Banking and Branching Act), a bank holding company may acquire banks located in states other than its home state without regard to the permissibility of such acquisitions under state law, but subject to any state requirement that the bank has been organized and operating for a minimum period of time, not to exceed five years, and the requirement that the bank holding company, after the proposed acquisition, controls no more than 10 percent of the total amount of deposits of insured depository institutions in the United States and no more than 30 percent or such lesser or greater amount set by state law of such deposits in that state. At December 31, 2009, we controlled approximately 12 percent of the total amount of deposits of insured institutions in the United States. Subject to certain restrictions, the Interstate Banking and Branching Act also authorizes banks to merge across state lines to create interstate banks. The Interstate Banking and Branching Act also permits a bank to open new branches in a state in which it does not already have banking operations if such state enacts a law permitting de novo branching.

Changes in Regulations

Proposals to change the laws and regulations governing the banking and financial services industries are frequently introduced in Congress, in the state legislatures and before the various bank regulatory agencies as well as by lawmakers and regulators in jurisdictions outside the United States where we operate. For example, in 2009, the U.S. Department of the Treasury (U.S. Treasury), the FDIC and the Federal Reserve Board developed programs and facilities designed to support the banking and financial services industries during the financial crisis. Congress and the U.S. government have continued to evaluate and develop legislation, programs and initiatives designed to, among other things, stabilize the financial and housing markets, stimulate the economy, including the U.S. government s foreclosure prevention program, and prevent future financial crises by further regulating the financial services industry. As a result of the financial crisis and challenging economic environment, we expect additional changes to be proposed and continued legislative and regulatory scrutiny of the financial services industry. The final form of any proposed programs or initiatives or related legislation, the likelihood and timing of any other future proposals or legislation, and the impact they might have on us cannot be determined at this time. For additional information regarding proposed regulatory and legislative initiatives, see Executive Summary Regulatory Overview in the MD&A.

Capital and Operational Requirements

The Federal Reserve Board, the OCC and the FDIC have issued substantially similar risk-based and leverage capital guidelines applicable to U.S. banking organizations. In addition, these regulatory agencies may from time to time require that a banking organization maintain capital above the minimum levels, whether because of its financial condition or actual or anticipated growth. The Federal Reserve Board risk-based guidelines define a three-tier capital framework. Tier 1 capital includes common shareholders—equity, trust preferred securities, noncontrolling interests, qualifying preferred stock and any Common Equivalent Securities (CES), less goodwill and other adjustments. Tier 2 capital consists of preferred stock not qualifying as Tier 1 capital, mandatorily convertible debt, limited amounts of subordinated debt, other qualifying term debt, the allowance for credit losses up to 1.25 percent of risk-weighted assets and other adjustments. Tier 3 capital includes subordinated debt that is

unsecured, fully paid, has an original maturity of at least two years, is not redeemable before maturity without prior approval by the Federal Reserve Board and includes a lock-in clause precluding payment of either interest or principal if the payment would cause the issuing bank s risk-based capital ratio to fall or remain below the required minimum. The sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital less investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries represents our qualifying total capital. Risk-based capital ratios are calculated by dividing Tier 1 and total capital by risk-weighted assets. Assets and off-balance sheet exposures are assigned to one of four categories of risk-weights, based primarily on relative credit risk. The minimum Tier 1 capital ratio is four percent and the minimum total capital ratio is eight percent. Our Tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios under these guidelines at December 31, 2009 were 10.40 percent and 14.66 percent. At December 31, 2009, we had no subordinated debt that qualified as Tier 3 capital. While not an explicit requirement of law or regulation, bank regulatory agencies have stated that they expect common capital to be the primary component of a financial holding company s Tier 1 capital and that financial holding companies should maintain a Tier 1 common capital ratio of at least 4%. The Tier 1 common capital ratio is determined by dividing Tier 1 common capital by risk weighted assets. We calculate Tier 1 common capital as Tier 1 capital, which includes CES, less preferred stock, trust preferred securities, hybrid securities and noncontrolling interest. As of December 31, 2009, our Tier 1 common capital ratio was 7.81 percent.

The leverage ratio is determined by dividing Tier 1 capital by adjusted quarterly average total assets, after certain adjustments. Well-capitalized bank holding companies must have a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of three percent and not be subject to a Federal Reserve Board directive to maintain higher capital levels. Our leverage ratio at December 31, 2009 was 6.91 percent, which exceeded our leverage ratio requirement.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), among other things, identifies five capital categories for insured depository institutions (well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized) and requires the respective federal regulatory agencies to implement systems for prompt corrective action for insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements within such categories. FDICIA imposes progressively more restrictive constraints on operations, management and capital distributions, depending on the category in which an institution is classified. Failure to meet the capital guidelines could also subject a banking institution to capital-raising requirements. An undercapitalized bank must develop a capital restoration plan and its parent holding company must guarantee that bank s compliance with the plan. The liability of the parent holding company under any such guarantee is limited to the lesser of five percent of the bank s assets at the time it became undercapitalized or the amount needed to comply with the plan. Furthermore, in the event of the bankruptcy of the parent holding company, such guarantee would take priority over the parent s general unsecured creditors. In addition, FDICIA requires the various regulatory agencies to prescribe certain non-capital standards for safety and soundness relating generally to operations and management, asset quality and executive compensation, and permits regulatory action against a financial institution that does not meet such standards.

The various regulatory agencies have adopted substantially similar regulations that define the five capital categories identified by FDICIA, using the total risk-based capital, Tier 1 risk-based capital and leverage capital ratios as the relevant capital measures. Such regulations establish various degrees of corrective action to be taken when an institution is considered undercapitalized. Under the regulations, a well capitalized institution must have a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least six percent, a total risk-based capital ratio of at least ten percent and a leverage

Bank of America 2009

3

ratio of at least five percent and not be subject to a capital directive order. Under these guidelines, each of the Banks was considered well capitalized as of December 31, 2009.

Regulators also must take into consideration: (a) concentrations of credit risk; (b) interest rate risk; and (c) risks from non-traditional activities, as well as an institution s ability to manage those risks, when determining the adequacy of an institution s capital. This evaluation is made as a part of the institution s regular safety and soundness examination. In addition, Bank of America Corporation, and any Bank with significant trading activity, must incorporate a measure for market risk in their regulatory capital calculations.

In addition, in June 2004, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision published Basel II, which is designed to address credit risk, market risk and operational risk in the international banking markets. In December 2007, U.S. banking regulators published Basel II final rules which require us and certain of our U.S. Banks to implement Basel II. In December 2009, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision released consultative documents on both capital and liquidity. Additionally, U.S. banking regulators continue to refine market risk requirements, which also have a regulatory capital impact. Revised requirements have not been issued but are expected in 2010. For additional information regarding these regulatory initiatives and proposals, see Executive Summary Regulatory Overview in the MD&A and Note 16 Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Distributions

Our funds for cash distributions to our stockholders are derived from a variety of sources, including cash and temporary investments. The primary source of such funds, and funds used to pay principal and interest on our indebtedness, is dividends received from the Banks. Each of the Banks is subject to various regulatory policies and requirements relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain capital above regulatory minimums. The appropriate federal regulatory authority is authorized to determine under certain circumstances relating to the financial condition of a bank or bank holding company that the payment of dividends would be an unsafe or unsound practice and to prohibit payment thereof.

In addition, the ability of Bank of America Corporation and the Banks to pay dividends may be affected by the various minimum capital requirements and the capital and non-capital standards established under FDICIA, as described above. The right of Bank of America Corporation, our stockholders and our creditors to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of our subsidiaries is further subject to the prior claims of creditors of the respective subsidiaries.

For additional information regarding the requirements relating to the payment of dividends, including the minimum capital requirements, see *Note 15*Shareholders Equity and Earnings Per Common Share and Note 16 Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Source of Strength

According to Federal Reserve Board policy, bank holding companies are expected to act as a source of financial strength to each subsidiary bank and to commit resources to support each such subsidiary. This support may be required at times when a bank holding company may not be able to provide such support. Similarly, under the cross-guarantee provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, in the event of a loss suffered or anticipated by the FDIC either as a result of default of a banking subsidiary or related to FDIC assistance provided to a subsidiary in danger of default the other Banks may be assessed for the FDIC s loss, subject to certain exceptions.

Deposit Insurance

Deposits placed at the U.S. Banks are insured by the FDIC subject to limits and conditions of applicable law and the FDIC s regulations. In 2009, FDIC insurance coverage limits were temporarily increased from \$100,000 to \$250,000 per customer until December 31, 2013. The FDIC administers the DIF, and all insured depository institutions are required to pay assessments to the FDIC that fund the DIF. Assessments are required if the ratio of the DIF to insured deposits in the United States falls below 1.15%. As a result of the ongoing instability in the economy and the failure of other U.S. depository institutions, the DIF ratio currently is below the required level and the FDIC has adopted a restoration plan that will result in substantially higher deposit insurance assessments for all depository institutions over the coming years. On December 30, 2009, the FDIC required all depository institutions to prepay deposit insurance assessments for the next three years in order to provide liquidity to the DIF. Deposit insurance assessment rates are subject to change by the FDIC and will be impacted by the overall economy and the stability of the banking industry as a whole.

Transactions with Affiliates

The U.S Banks are subject to restrictions under federal law that limit certain types of transactions between the Banks and their non-bank affiliates. In general, the U.S Banks are subject to quantitative and qualitative limits on extensions of credit, purchases of assets and certain other transactions involving Bank of America and its non-bank affiliates. Transactions between the U.S. Banks and their nonbank affiliates are required to be on arms length terms.

Privacy and Information Security

We are subject to many U.S., state and international laws and regulations governing requirements for maintaining policies and procedures to protect the non-public confidential information of our customers. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act requires the Banks to periodically disclose Bank of America s privacy policies and practices relating to sharing such information and enables retail customers to opt out of our ability to market to affiliates and non-affiliates under certain circumstances.

Additional Information

See also the following additional information which is incorporated herein by reference: Net Interest Income (under the captions Financial Highlights Net Interest Income and Supplemental Financial Data in the MD&A and Tables I, II and XIII of the Statistical Tables); Securities (under the caption Balance Sheet Analysis Debt Securities and Market Risk Management Interest Rate Risk Management for Nontrading Activities Securities in the MD&A and Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles and Note 5 Securities to the Consolidated Financial Statements); Outstanding Loans and Leases (under the caption Balance Sheet Analysis Loans and Leases and Credit Risk Management in the MD&A, Table IV of the Statistical Tables, and Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles and Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases to the Consolidated Financial Statements); Deposits (under the caption Balance Sheet Analysis Deposits and Liquidity Risk and Capital Management Funding and Liquidity Risk Management in the MD&A and Note 11 Deposits to the Consolidated Financial Statements); Short-term Borrowings (under the caption Balance Sheet Analysis Commercial Paper and Other Short-term Borrowings and Liquidity Risk and Capital Management Funding and Liquidity Risk Management in the MD&A, Table IX of the Statistical Tables and Note 12 Short-term Borrowings and Note 13 Long-term Debt to the Consolidated Financial Statements); Trading Account Assets and Liabilities (under the caption Balance Sheet

4 Bank of America 2009

Analysis Federal Funds Sold and Securities Borrowed or Purchased Under Agreements to Resell , Balance Sheet Analysis Federal Funds Purchased and Securities Loaned or Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase and Market Risk Management Trading Risk Management in the MD&A and Note 3 Trading Account Assets and Liabilities to the Consolidated Financial Statements); Market Risk Management (under the caption Market Risk Management in the MD&A); Liquidity Risk Management (under the caption Compliance Risk Management (under the Caption Compliance Risk Management in the MD&A) and Operational Risk Management (under the caption Operational Risk Management in the MD&A); and Performance by Geographic Area (under Note 25 Performance by Geographical Area to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In the course of conducting our business operations, we are exposed to a variety of risks that are inherent to the financial services industry. The following discusses some of the key inherent risk factors that could affect our business and operations, as well as other risk factors which are particularly relevant to us in the current period of significant economic and market disruption. Other factors besides those discussed below or elsewhere in this report could also adversely affect our business and operations, and these risk factors should not be considered a complete list of potential risks that may affect us.

Our businesses and earnings have been, and may continue to be, negatively affected by adverse business and economic conditions. Our businesses and earnings are affected by general business and economic conditions in the United States and abroad. Given the concentration of our business activities in the United States, we are particularly exposed to downturns in the U.S. economy. For example, as a result of the challenging economic environment there continues to be a greater likelihood that an elevated number of our customers or counterparties will become delinquent on their loans or other obligations to us, which, in turn, may continue to result in a high level of charge-offs and provision for credit losses, all of which would adversely affect our earnings and capital levels.

General business and economic conditions that could affect us include the level and volatility of short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, home prices, unemployment and under-employment levels, bankruptcies, household income, consumer spending, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, liquidity of the global financial markets, the availability and cost of credit, investor confidence, and the strength of the U.S. economy and the other economies in which we operate. The deterioration of any of these conditions can adversely affect our consumer and commercial businesses and securities portfolios, as well as our earnings.

In 2009, weak economic conditions in the United States and abroad continued to adversely affect many of our businesses as well as our earnings. Dramatic declines in the housing market, with falling home prices and increasing foreclosures, and rising unemployment and underemployment, have further negatively impacted the demand for many of our products and the credit performance of our consumer and commercial portfolios. In addition, these conditions resulted in significant write-downs of asset values in several asset classes, notably mortgage-backed securities, commercial real estate and leveraged loans and exposure to monoline insurers. While there are early indications that the U.S. economy is stabilizing, the performance of our overall consumer and commercial portfolios may not significantly improve in the near future. A protracted continuation or worsening of these difficult business or economic conditions would likely exacerbate the adverse effects on us.

We have sold and continue to sell mortgage and other loans, including mortgage loans to third-party buyers and to the Federal National Mortgage

Association and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, under agreements that contain representations and warranties related to, among other things, the process for selecting the loans for inclusion in a sale and compliance with applicable criteria established by the buyer. We also have indirect exposure with respect to our mortgage and other loan sales as a result of credit protection provided by monoline financial guarantors. We have experienced and continue to experience increasing repurchase demands from and disputes with these buyers and monoline financial guarantors. In the event we are required to repurchase these mortgage and other loans or provide indemnification or other recourse, this could significantly increase our losses and thereby affect our future earnings.

Additional factors which could reduce our earnings include, among other things, lower residual net interest income as a result of a decision to deleverage our asset and liability management portfolio, higher than expected losses on our purchased impaired portfolio and compliance with governmental foreclosure prevention and loan modification initiatives.

We are a diversified financial services company providing consumer and commercial banking, credit card, mortgage, investment banking and capital markets trading services and investment services. Although we believe this diversity generally assists us in lessening the effect of a downturn in any of our businesses, it also means that our earnings could be adversely affected by the downturn to the extent not fully offset by any of our other businesses.

For a further discussion of the economic downturn and the resulting adverse impact on our credit performance, see Executive Summary, Financial Highlights and Credit Risk Management in the MD&A.

Our increased credit risk could result in higher credit losses and reduced earnings. When we loan money, commit to loan money or enter into a letter of credit or other contract with a counterparty, we incur credit risk, or the risk of losses if our borrowers do not repay their loans or our counterparties fail to perform according to the terms of their agreements. A number of our products expose us to credit risk, including loans, leases and lending commitments, derivatives, trading account assets and assets held-for-sale. As one of the nation s largest lenders, the credit quality of our consumer and commercial portfolios has a significant impact on our earnings. Current negative economic conditions are likely to continue to increase our credit exposure to third parties who may be more likely to default on their obligations to us. This increased credit risk could adversely affect our consumer credit card, home equity, consumer real estate and purchased

impaired portfolios, among others, including causing increases in delinquencies and default rates, which we expect will continue to impact our charge-offs and provision for credit losses. In addition, this could also adversely affect our commercial loan portfolios where we have experienced increased losses, particularly in our commercial real estate and commercial domestic portfolios, reflecting broad based deteriorations across industries, property types and borrowers.

We estimate and establish reserves for credit risks and credit losses inherent in our lending activities (including unfunded lending commitments), excluding those measured at fair value under the fair value option. This process, which is critical to our financial results and condition, requires difficult, subjective and complex judgments, including forecasts of economic conditions and how our borrowers will react to those conditions. Our ability to assess future economic conditions or the creditworthiness of our customers is imperfect. The ability of our borrowers to repay their loans will likely be impacted by changes in economic conditions, which in turn could impact the accuracy of our forecasts. As with any such assessments, there is also the chance that we will fail to identify the proper factors or that we will fail to accurately estimate the impacts of factors that we identify. In addition, we may underestimate the credit losses in our loan portfolios and suffer unexpected losses if the models and approaches we use to establish reserves and make judgments in extending credit to our bor -

Bank of America 2009

rowers and other counterparties become less predictive of future behaviors, valuations, assumptions or estimates.

In the ordinary course of our business, we also may be subject to a concentration of credit risk to a particular industry, country, counterparty, borrower or issuer. A deterioration in the financial condition or prospects of a particular industry or a failure or downgrade of, or default by, any particular entity or group of entities could negatively impact our businesses, perhaps materially, and the systems by which we set limits and monitor the level of our credit exposure to individual entities, industries and countries may not function as we have anticipated. While our activities expose us to many different industries and counterparties, we routinely execute a high volume of transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment funds and insurers. This has resulted in significant credit concentration with respect to this industry.

We have concentration of credit risk with respect to our consumer real estate, consumer credit card and commercial real estate portfolios, which represent a large percentage of our overall credit portfolio. The current financial crisis and economic slowdown has adversely affected these portfolios and further exposed us to this concentration of risk. Continued economic weakness or deterioration in real estate values or household incomes could result in materially higher credit losses.

For a further discussion of credit risk and our credit risk management policies and procedures, see Credit Risk Management in the MD&A and Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Adverse changes in legislative and regulatory initiatives may significantly impact our earnings, operations, capital position and ability to pursue business opportunities. We are heavily regulated by regulatory agencies at the federal, state and international levels. As a result of the recent financial crisis and economic downturn, we have faced and expect to continue to face increased regulation and regulatory and political scrutiny, which creates significant uncertainty for us and the financial services industry in general.

In 2009, several major regulatory and legislative initiatives were adopted that will have significant future impacts on our businesses and financial results. For instance, in November 2009, the Federal Reserve Board issued amendments to Regulation E, which implements the Electronic Fund Transfer Act. The new rules have a compliance date of July 1, 2010. These amendments change, among other things, the way we and other banks may charge overdraft fees by limiting our ability to charge an overdraft fee for automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions that overdraw a consumer s account, unless the consumer affirmatively consents to payment of overdrafts for those transactions. In connection with the amendments, we announced a program that allowed customers to opt out of overdraft services prior to the effective date of the amendments. In addition, in May 2009, the Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure (CARD) Act of 2009 was enacted that provides for comprehensive reform related to credit card industry practices, including (1) significantly restricting banks ability to change interest rates and assess fees to reflect individual consumer risk, (2) changing the way payments are applied and (3) requiring changes to consumer credit card disclosures. As a result, as we announced in October 2009, we did not increase interest rates on consumer credit accounts in response to provisions in the CARD Act prior to its effective date, unless a customer s account fell past due or was based on a variable interest rate. The most significant provisions of the CARD Act took effect in February 2010. Complying with the Regulation E amendments and the CARD Act requires us to invest significant management attention and resources to make the necessary disclosure and systems changes and will likely adversely affect our earnings.

Federal banking regulatory agencies may from time to time require that we change our required capital levels, including maintaining capital above

minimum levels. In January 2010, U.S. banking regulators issued a final rule regarding risk-based capital that eliminates the exclusion of certain asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP) program assets from risk-weighted assets. As a result of the new rules, as with all other consolidated variable interest entities, a banking organization is required to include the assets of a consolidated ABCP program in risk-weighted assets. The new rules would also eliminate the associated provision in the general risk-based capital rules that excludes from Tier 1 capital the noncontrolling interest in a consolidated ABCP program not included in a banking organization s risk-weighted assets. Beginning with reporting for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we will be required to risk-weight the underlying assets of ABCP conduits as well as the contractual exposures (e.g. liquidity facilities).

In conjunction with the federal banking regulatory agencies Supervisory Capital Assessment Program (SCAP) conducted in May 2009, we were required to increase Tier 1 common capital by approximately \$33.9 billion. Additionally, in order to repay the \$45 billion investment in our preferred stock previously made under the Trouble Asset Relief Program (TARP) by the U.S. Treasury, in December 2009, we raised approximately \$19.3 billion in gross proceeds in an offering of CES and agreed to increase equity by \$3 billion through asset sales by June 30, 2010 and raise up to approximately \$1.7 billion through the issuance of restricted stock in lieu of a portion of incentive cash compensation to certain Bank of America associates as part of normal year-end incentive payments. For a further discussion of the CES, see Executive Summary TARP Repayment in the MD&A.

In July 2009, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision released a consultative document that would significantly increase the capital requirements for trading book activities if adopted as proposed. The proposal recommended implementation by December 31, 2010, but regulatory agencies are currently evaluating the proposed rulemaking and related impacts before establishing final rules. As a result, we cannot determine the implementation date or the final capital impact.

In December 2009, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision released consultative documents on both capital and liquidity. If adopted as proposed, this could increase significantly the aggregate equity that bank holding companies are required to hold, by disqualifying certain instruments that previously have qualified as Tier 1 capital. The proposal currently includes a leverage ratio and increased liquidity and disclosure requirements. The leverage ratio could prove more restrictive than a risk-based measure while the liquidity requirement could result in banks holding greater levels of lower yielding instruments as a percentage of their assets. The proposal could also increase the capital charges imposed on certain assets, potentially making certain businesses more expensive to conduct. U.S. regulatory agencies have not opined on these proposals for U.S. implementation. We continue to assess the potential impact of this proposal. If we are required to increase

our regulatory capital as a result of these or other regulatory or legislative initiatives, we may be required among other things to issue additional shares of common stock, which could dilute our existing stockholders.

As a result of the financial crisis, the financial services industry is facing the possibility of legislative and regulatory changes that would impose significant, adverse changes on its ability to serve both retail and wholesale customers. A proposal is currently being considered to levy a tax or fee on financial institutions with assets in excess of \$50 billion to repay the costs of TARP, although the proposed tax would continue even after those costs are repaid. If enacted as proposed, the tax could significantly affect our earnings, either by increasing the costs of our liabilities or causing us to reduce our assets. It remains uncertain whether the tax will be enacted, to whom it would apply, or the amount of the tax we would be required to pay. It is also unclear the extent to which the costs of such a tax could be recouped through higher pricing.

In addition, various proposals for broad-based reform of the financial regulatory system are pending. A majority of these proposals would not

6 Bank of America 2009

disrupt our core businesses, but a proposal could ultimately be adopted that adversely affects certain of our businesses. The proposals would require divestment of certain proprietary trading activities, or limit private equity investments. Other proposals, which include limiting the scope of an institution s derivatives activities, or forcing certain derivatives activities to be traded on exchanges, would diminish the demand for, and profitability of, certain businesses. Several other proposals would require issuers to retain unhedged interests in any asset that is securitized, potentially severely restricting the secondary market as a source of funding for consumer or commercial lending. There are also numerous proposals pending on how to resolve a failed systemically important institution. Following the passage of a bill in the U.S. House of Representatives and the possibility of similar provisions in a U.S. Senate bill, one ratings agency has placed us and other banks on negative outlook, and therefore adoption of such provisions may adversely affect our access to credit markets.

In addition, other countries, including the United Kingdom and France, have proposed and in some cases adopted certain reforms targeted at financial institutions, including, but not limited to, increased capital and liquidity requirements for local entities, including regulated U.K. subsidiaries of foreign bank holding companies and other financial institutions as well as branches of foreign banks located in the United Kingdom, the creation and production of recovery and resolutions plans (commonly referred to as living wills) by such entities, and a significant payroll tax on bank bonuses paid to employees over a certain threshold.

There can be no assurance as to whether or when any of the parts of these or other proposals will be enacted, and if enacted, what the final initiatives will consist of and what the ultimate impact on us will be.

We also may be required to pay significantly higher FDIC premiums because market developments have significantly depleted the DIF and reduced the ratio of reserves to insured deposits, which could increase our noninterest expense and reduce our earnings.

For more information on these and other legislative and regulatory initiatives, see Regulatory Initiatives in the MD&A.

In addition, Congress is currently considering reinstating income tax provisions whereby a majority of the income of certain foreign subsidiaries would not be subject to current U.S. income tax as a result of long-standing deferral provisions applicable to active finance income. These provisions, which in the past have expired and been extended, expired again for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2010. Absent an extension of these provisions, active financing income earned by our foreign subsidiaries during 2010 will generally be subject to a tax provision that considers the incremental U.S. income tax. The impact of the expiration of the provisions should they not be extended could be significant. The exact impact would depend upon the amount, composition and geographic mix of our future earnings. For more information on these provisions, see Financial Highlights Income Tax Expense in the MD&A.

Compliance with current or future legislative and regulatory initiatives could require us to change certain of our business practices, impose significant additional costs on us, limit the products that we offer, result in a significant loss of revenue, limit our ability to pursue business opportunities in an efficient manner, require us to increase our regulatory capital, cause business disruptions, impact the value of assets that we hold or otherwise adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition. We have recently witnessed the introduction of an ever-increasing number of regulatory proposals that could substantially impact us and others in the financial services industry. The extent of changes imposed by, and frequency of adoption of, any regulatory initiatives could make it more difficult for us to comply in a timely manner, which could further limit our operations, increase compliance costs or divert management attention or other resources. The long-term impact of legislative and

regulatory initiatives on our business practices and revenues will depend upon the successful implementation of our strategies, consumer behavior, and competitors responses to such initiatives, all of which are difficult to predict.

We could suffer losses as a result of the actions of or deterioration in the commercial soundness of other financial services institutions and counterparties, including as a result of derivatives transactions. Our ability to engage in routine trading and funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other market participants. We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and we routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, mutual and hedge funds and other institutional clients. Financial services institutions and other counterparties are inter-related because of trading, funding, clearing or other relationships. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to future losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of a counterparty or client. In addition, our credit risk may be impacted when the collateral held by us cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivatives exposure due us. There is no assurance that any such losses would not materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

We are party to a large number of derivatives transactions, including credit derivatives. Many of these derivative instruments are individually negotiated and non-standardized, which can make exiting, transferring or settling some positions difficult. Many credit derivatives require that we deliver to the counterparty the underlying security, loan or other obligation in order to receive payment. In a number of cases, we do not hold, and may not be able to obtain, the underlying security, loan or other obligation. This could cause us to forfeit the payments due to us under these contracts or result in settlement delays with the attendant credit and operational risk as well as increased costs to us.

Derivatives contracts and other transactions entered into with third parties are not always confirmed by the counterparties on a timely basis. While a transaction remains unconfirmed, we are subject to heightened credit and operational risk and in the event of default may find it more difficult to enforce the contract. In addition, as new and more complex derivatives products have been created, covering a wider array of underlying credit and other instruments, disputes about the terms of the underlying contracts may arise, which could impair our ability to effectively manage our risk exposures from these products and subject us to

increased costs. For a further discussion of our derivatives exposure, see Note 4 -- Derivatives to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Changes in accounting standards or inaccurate estimates or assumptions in the application of accounting policies could adversely affect our financial results. Our accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. Some of these policies require use of estimates and assumptions that may affect the reported value of our assets or liabilities and financial results and are critical because they require management to make difficult, subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain.

Recently, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and other regulators have adopted new guidance or rules relating to financial accounting or regulatory capital standards such as, among other things, the rules related to fair value accounting and new FASB guidance on consolidation of variable interest entities. In addition, accounting standard setters and those who interpret the accounting standards (such as the FASB, the SEC, banking regulators and our independent registered public accounting firm) may amend or even reverse their previous interpretations

Bank of America 2009

or positions on how these standards should be applied. These changes can be hard to predict and can materially impact how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in the Corporation restating prior period financial statements. For a further discussion of some of our critical accounting policies and standards and recent accounting changes, see Regulatory Initiatives and Complex Accounting Estimates in the MD&A and *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our ability to attract and retain customers and employees could be adversely affected to the extent our reputation is harmed. Our ability to attract and retain customers and employees could be adversely affected to the extent our reputation is damaged. Our actual or perceived failure to address various issues could give rise to reputational risk that could cause harm to us and our business prospects, including failure to properly address operational risks. These issues also include, but are not limited to, legal and regulatory requirements; privacy; properly maintaining customer and associate personal information; record keeping; money-laundering; sales and trading practices; ethical issues; appropriately addressing potential conflicts of interest; and the proper identification of the legal, reputational, credit, liquidity and market risks inherent in our products.

We are also facing enhanced public and regulatory scrutiny resulting from the financial crisis, including the U.S. Treasury s previous investment in our preferred stock, our acquisition of Merrill Lynch, modification of mortgages, volume of lending, compensation practices and the suitability of certain trading and investment businesses. Failure to appropriately address any of these issues could also give rise to additional regulatory restrictions, reputational harm and legal risks, which could among other consequences increase the size and number of litigation claims and damages asserted or subject us to enforcement actions, fines and penalties and cause us to incur related costs and expenses.

We face substantial potential legal liability and significant regulatory action, which could have materially adverse financial consequences or cause significant reputational harm to us. We face significant legal risks in our businesses, and the volume of claims and amount of damages and penalties claimed in litigation and regulatory proceedings against us and other financial institutions remain high and are increasing. Increased litigation costs, substantial legal liability or significant regulatory action against us could have material adverse financial effects or cause significant reputational harm to us, which in turn could seriously impact our business prospects. In addition, we face increased litigation risk and regulatory scrutiny as a result of the Merrill Lynch and Countrywide acquisitions. As a result of current economic conditions and the increased level of defaults over the prior couple of years, we have continued to experience increased litigation and other disputes with counterparties regarding relative rights and responsibilities. These litigation and regulatory matters and any related settlements could adversely impact our earnings and lead to volatility of our stock price. For a further discussion of litigation risks, see *Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our liquidity could be impaired by our inability to access the capital markets on favorable terms. Liquidity is essential to our businesses. Under normal business conditions, primary sources of funding for Bank of America Corporation include dividends received from banking and nonbanking subsidiaries and proceeds from the issuance of securities in the capital markets. The primary sources of funding for our banking subsidiaries include customer deposits and wholesale market-based funding. Our liquidity could be impaired by an inability to access the capital markets or by unforeseen outflows of cash, including deposits. This situation may arise due to circumstances that we may be unable to control, such as a general market disruption, negative views about the financial services industry generally, or an operational problem that affects third parties or us. Our

ability to raise certain types of funds as a result of the recent financial crisis has been and could continue to be adversely affected by conditions in the United States and international markets and economies. In 2009, global capital and credit markets continued to experience volatility and disruptions. As a result, we utilized several temporary U.S. government liquidity programs to enhance our liquidity position. Our ability to engage in securitization funding transactions on favorable terms could be adversely affected by continued or subsequent disruptions in the capital markets or other events, including actions by ratings agencies or deteriorating investor expectations.

Our credit ratings and the credit ratings of our securitization trusts are important to our liquidity. The ratings agencies regularly evaluate us and our securities, and their ratings of our long-term and short-term debt and other securities, including asset securitizations, are based on a number of factors, including our financial strength as well as factors not entirely within our control, including conditions affecting the financial services industry generally. During 2009, the ratings agencies took numerous actions to adjust our credit ratings and outlooks, many of which were negative. The ratings agencies have indicated that our credit ratings currently reflect their expectation that, if necessary, we would receive significant support from the U.S. government. In February 2010, Standard & Poor s affirmed our current credit ratings but revised the outlook to negative from stable, based on their belief that it is less certain whether the U.S. government would be willing to provide extraordinary support. In light of the difficulties in the financial services industry and the financial markets, there can be no assurance that we will maintain our current ratings. Failure to maintain those ratings could adversely affect our liquidity and competitive position by materially increasing our borrowing costs and significantly limiting our access to the funding or capital markets, including securitizations. A reduction in our credit ratings also could have a significant impact on certain trading revenues, particularly in those businesses where counterparty credit worthiness is critical. In connection with certain trading agreements, we may be required to provide additional collateral in the event of a credit ratings downgrade.

For a further discussion of our liquidity position and other liquidity matters, including ratings and outlooks and the policies and procedures we use to manage our liquidity risks, see Liquidity Risk and Capital Management in the MD&A.

Changes in financial or capital market conditions could cause our earnings and the value of our assets to decline. Market risk generally represents the risk that values of assets and liabilities or revenues will be adversely affected by changes in market conditions. As a result, we are directly and indirectly affected by changes in market conditions. For example, we rely on bank deposits for a low cost and stable source of funding for the loans that we make. However, changes in

interest rates on bank deposits could adversely affect our net interest margin the difference between the yield we earn on our assets and the interest rate we pay for deposits and other sources of funding which could in turn affect our net interest income and earnings.

Market risk is inherent in the financial instruments associated with our operations and activities, including loans, deposits, securities, short-term borrowings, long-term debt, trading account assets and liabilities and derivatives. Just a few of the market conditions that may change from time to time, thereby exposing us to market risk, include changes in interest and currency exchange rates, equity and futures prices, the implied volatility of interest rates, credit spreads and price deterioration or changes in value due to changes in market perception or actual credit quality of either the issuer or its country of origin. Accordingly, depending on the instruments or activities impacted, market risks can have wide ranging, complex adverse effects on our results of operations and our overall financial condition. We also may incur significant unrealized gains or losses as a result of changes in our credit spreads or those of third parties, which may affect the fair value of our derivatives instruments and debt securities that we hold or issue.

Bank of America 2009

Our models and strategies we use to assess and control our risk exposures are subject to inherent limitations. For example, our models, which rely on historical trends and assumptions, may not be sufficiently predictive of future results due to limited historical patterns, extreme or unanticipated market movements and illiquidity, especially during severe market downturns or stress events. The models that we use to assess and control our risk exposures also reflect assumptions about the degree of correlation or lack thereof among prices of various asset classes or other market indicators. In times of market stress or other unforeseen circumstances, such as the market conditions experienced in 2008 and 2009, previously uncorrelated indicators may become correlated, or previously correlated indicators may move in different directions. These types of market movements have at times limited the effectiveness of our hedging strategies and have caused us to incur significant losses, and they may do so in the future. These changes in correlation can be exacerbated where other market participants are using risk or trading models with assumptions or algorithms that are similar to ours. In these and other cases, it may be difficult to reduce our risk positions due to the activity of other market participants or widespread market dislocations, including circumstances where asset values are declining significantly or no market exists for certain assets. To the extent that we make investments directly in securities that do not have an established liquid trading market or are otherwise subject to restrictions on sale or hedging, we may not be able to reduce our positions and therefore reduce our risk associated with such positions.

For a further discussion of market risk and our market risk management policies and procedures, see Market Risk Management in the MD&A.

Adverse changes in the value of certain of our assets and liabilities could adversely impact our earnings. We have a large portfolio of financial instruments that we measure at fair value, including among others certain corporate loans and loan commitments, loans held-for-sale, structured reverse repurchase agreements and long-term deposits. We also have trading account assets and liabilities, derivatives assets and liabilities, available-for-sale debt and marketable equity securities, consumer-related mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) and certain other assets that are valued at fair value. We determine the fair values of these instruments based on the fair value hierarchy under applicable accounting guidance. The fair values of these financial instruments include adjustments for market liquidity, credit quality and other deal specific factors, where appropriate.

Gains or losses on these instruments can have a direct and significant impact on our earnings, unless we have effectively hedged our exposures. For example, changes in interest rates, among other things, can impact the value of our MSRs and can result in substantially higher or lower mortgage banking income and earnings, depending upon our ability to fully hedge the performance of our MSRs. Fair values may be impacted by declining values of the underlying assets or the prices at which observable market transactions occur and the continued availability of these transactions. The financial strength of counterparties, such as monoline financial guarantors, with whom we have economically hedged some of our exposure to these assets, also will affect the fair value of these assets. Sudden declines and significant volatility in the prices of assets may substantially curtail or eliminate the trading activity for these assets, which may make it very difficult to sell, hedge or value such assets. The inability to sell or effectively hedge assets reduces our ability to limit losses in such positions and the difficulty in valuing assets may increase our risk-weighted assets which requires us to maintain additional capital and increases our funding costs.

As previously disclosed on a current report on Form 8-K, in connection with the \$2.8 billion in cash-settled restricted stock units awarded to some associates as part of their year-end compensation, we may recognize additional expense as a result of changes in the price of our common stock during the vesting period to the extent we do not effectively hedge this exposure. The awards of cash-settled restricted stock units are stock-based compensation paid out over time based on the price of

our common stock. Although we currently plan to make those payments in cash, we have reserved the right to make some or all of the payments in shares of our common stock

Asset values also directly impact revenues in our asset management business. We receive asset-based management fees based on the value of our clients portfolios or investments in funds managed by us and, in some cases, we also receive incentive fees based on increases in the value of such investments. Declines in asset values can reduce the value of our clients portfolios or fund assets, which in turn can result in lower fees earned for managing such assets.

Our ability to successfully identify and manage our compliance and other risks is an important factor that can significantly impact our results. We seek to monitor and control our various risk exposures through a variety of separate but complementary financial, credit, operational, compliance and legal reporting systems. While we employ a broad and diversified set of risk monitoring and risk mitigation techniques, those techniques and the judgments that accompany their application cannot anticipate every economic, financial or regulatory outcome or the specifics or timing of such outcomes. Accordingly, our ability to successfully identify and manage risks facing us is an important factor that can significantly impact our results. Recent economic conditions, increased legislative and regulatory scrutiny and increased complexity of our operations, among other things, have increased and made it more difficult for us to manage our operational, compliance and other risks. For a further discussion of our risk management policies and procedures, see Managing Risk in the MD&A.

We may be unable to compete successfully as a result of the evolving financial services industry and market conditions. We operate in a highly competitive environment. Over time, there has been substantial consolidation among companies in the financial services industry, and this trend accelerated in 2008 and 2009 as the credit crisis led to numerous mergers and asset acquisitions among industry participants and in certain cases reorganization, restructuring, or even bankruptcy. This trend has also hastened the globalization of the securities and financial services markets. We will continue to experience intensified competition as further consolidation in the financial services industry in connection with current market conditions may produce larger and better-capitalized companies that are capable of offering a wider array of financial products and services at more competitive prices. To the extent we expand into new business areas and new geographic regions, we may face competitors with more experience and more established relationships with clients, regulators and industry participants in the relevant market, which could adversely affect our ability to compete. In addition, technological advances and the growth of e-commerce have made it possible for non-depository institutions to offer products and services that traditionally were banking products, and for financial institutions to compete with technology companies in providing electronic and internet-based financial solutions. Increased competition may affect our results by creating pressure to lower prices on our

products and services and reducing market share.

Our continued ability to compete effectively in our businesses, including management of our existing businesses and expansion into new businesses and geographic areas, depends in part on our ability to retain and motivate our existing employees and attract new employees. We face significant competition for qualified employees both within and outside the financial services industry, including foreign-based institutions and institutions not subject to compensation or hiring restrictions imposed under any U. S. government initiatives or not subject to the same regulatory scrutiny. This is particularly the case in emerging markets, where we are often competing for qualified employees with entities that may have a significantly greater presence or more extensive experience in the region. A substantial portion of our annual bonus compensation paid to our senior employees has in recent years been paid in the form of long-term awards. The value of long-term equity awards to senior employees generally

Bank of America 2009

Q

has been impacted by the significant decline in the market price of our common stock. We also reduced the number of employees across nearly all of our businesses in 2008 and 2009. In addition, the consolidation in the financial services industry has intensified the inherent challenges of cultural integration between differing types of financial services institutions. The combination of these events could have a significant adverse impact on our ability to retain and hire the most qualified employees.

Our inability to successfully integrate, or realize the expected benefits from, our recent acquisitions could adversely affect our results. There are significant risks and uncertainties associated with mergers. We have made several significant acquisitions in the last several years, including our acquisition of Merrill Lynch, and there is a risk that integration difficulties or a significant decline in asset valuations or cash flows may cause us not to realize expected benefits from the transactions and may affect our results, including adversely impacting the carrying value of the acquisition premium or goodwill. In particular, the success of the Merrill Lynch acquisition will depend, in part, on our ability to realize the anticipated benefits and cost savings from combining the businesses of Bank of America and Merrill Lynch. To realize these anticipated benefits and cost savings, we must continue to successfully integrate our businesses, systems and operations. If we are not able to achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the acquisition may not be realized fully or may take longer to realize than expected. For example, we may fail to realize the growth opportunities and cost savings anticipated to be derived from the acquisition. Our ability to achieve these objectives has also been made more difficult as a result of the substantial challenges that we are facing in our businesses because of the current economic environment.

In addition, it is possible that the integration process could result in disruption of our and Merrill Lynch songoing businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect our ability to maintain sufficiently strong relationships with clients, customers, depositors and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the acquisition. Integration efforts may also divert management attention and resources. These integration matters could have an adverse effect on us for an undetermined period. We will be subject to similar risks and difficulties in connection with any future acquisitions or decisions to downsize, sell or close units or otherwise change the business mix of the Corporation.

We may be adversely impacted by business, economic and political conditions in the non-U.S. jurisdictions in which we operate. We do business throughout the world, including in developing regions of the world commonly known as emerging markets. Our acquisition of Merrill Lynch has significantly increased our exposure to a number of risks in non-U.S. jurisdictions, including economic, market, reputational, operational, litigation and regulatory risks. Our businesses and revenues derived from non-U.S. jurisdictions are subject to risk of loss from currency fluctuations, social or judicial instability, changes in governmental policies or policies of central banks, expropriation, nationalization and/or confiscation of assets, unfavorable political and diplomatic developments and changes in legislation. Also, as in the United States, many non-U.S. jurisdictions in which we do business have been negatively impacted by recessionary conditions. While a number of these jurisdictions are showing signs of recovery, others continue to experience increasing levels of stress. In addition, the risk of default on sovereign debt in some non-U.S. jurisdictions is increasing and could expose us to losses.

In many countries, the laws and regulations applicable to the securities and financial services industries are uncertain and evolving, and it may be difficult for us to determine the exact requirements of local laws in every market or manage our relationships with multiple regulators in various jurisdictions. Our inability to remain in compliance with local laws in a particular market and manage our relationships with regulators could have a significant and negative effect not only on our business in that market but also on our reputation generally.

In addition, our revenues from emerging markets are particularly exposed to severe political, economic and financial disruptions, including significant currency devaluations, currency exchange controls and low or negative economic growth rates.

We also invest or trade in the securities of corporations and governments located in non-U.S. jurisdictions, including emerging markets. Revenues from the trading of non-U.S. securities may be subject to negative fluctuations as a result of the above factors. Furthermore, the impact of these fluctuations could be magnified, because generally non-U.S. trading markets, particularly in emerging market countries, are smaller, less liquid and more volatile than U.S. trading markets.

We are subject to geopolitical risks, including acts or threats of terrorism, and actions taken by the U.S. or other governments in response and/or military conflicts, that could adversely affect business and economic conditions abroad as well as in the United States.

For a further discussion of our foreign credit and trading portfolio, see Credit Risk Management Foreign Portfolio in the MD&A.

Changes in governmental fiscal and monetary policy could adversely affect our businesses. Our businesses and earnings are affected by domestic and international fiscal and monetary policy. For example, the Federal Reserve Board regulates the supply of money and credit in the United States and its policies determine in large part our cost of funds for lending, investing and capital raising activities and the return we earn on those loans and investments, both of which affect our net interest margin. The actions of the Federal Reserve Board also can materially affect the value of financial instruments we hold, such as debt securities and MSRs, and its policies also can affect our borrowers, potentially increasing the risk that they may fail to repay their loans. Our businesses and earnings are also affected by the fiscal or other policies that are adopted by various U.S. regulatory authorities, non-U.S. governments and international agencies. Changes in domestic and international fiscal and monetary policies are beyond our control and difficult to predict.

We may suffer losses as a result of operational risk or technical system failures. The potential for operational risk exposure exists throughout our organization. Integral to our performance is the continued efficacy of our internal processes, systems, relationships with third parties and the vast array of associates and key executives in our day-to-day and ongoing operations, including losses resulting from unauthorized trades by any associates. Operational risk also encompasses the failure to implement strategic objectives in a successful, timely and cost-effective manner. Failure to properly manage operational risk subjects us to risks of loss

that may vary in size, scale and scope, including loss of customers. This also includes but is not limited to operational or technical failures, unlawful tampering with our technical systems, ineffectiveness or exposure due to interruption in third party support, as well as the loss of key individuals or failure on the part of key individuals to perform properly. For further discussion of operational risks and our operational risk management, see Operational Risk Management in the MD&A.

Our inability to adapt our products and services to evolving industry standards and consumer preferences could harm our businesses. Our business model is based on a diversified mix of businesses that provide a broad range of financial products and services, delivered through multiple distribution channels. Our success depends, in part, on our ability to adapt our products and services to evolving industry standards. There is increasing pressure by competitors to provide products and services at lower prices. This can reduce our net interest margin and revenues from our fee-based products and services. In addition, the widespread adoption of new technologies, including internet services, could require us to incur substantial expenditures to modify or adapt our existing products and services. We might not be successful in developing or introducing new products and services, responding or adapting to changes in

Bank of America 2009

consumer spending and saving habits, achieving market acceptance of our products and services, or sufficiently developing and maintaining loyal customers.

Bank of America Corporation is a holding company and as such is dependent upon its subsidiaries for liquidity, including its ability to pay dividends. Bank of America Corporation is a separate and distinct legal entity from our banking and nonbanking subsidiaries. We therefore depend on dividends, distributions and other payments from our banking and nonbanking subsidiaries to fund dividend payments on our common stock and preferred stock and to fund all payments on our other obligations, including debt obligations. Many of our subsidiaries are subject to laws that authorize regulatory agencies to block or reduce the flow of funds from those subsidiaries to Bank of America Corporation. Regulatory action of that kind could impede access to funds we need to make pay -

ments on our obligations or dividend payments. In addition, our right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary s liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary s creditors. For a further discussion regarding our ability to pay dividends, see Government Supervision and Regulation Distributions on page 4 of this report and Note 15 Shareholders Equity and Earnings Per Common Share and Note 16 Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

There are no unresolved written comments that were received from the SEC s staff 180 days or more before the end of our 2009 fiscal year relating to our periodic or current reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Item 2. Properties

As of December 31, 2009, our principal offices and other materially important properties consisted of the following:

			Owned/	Occupied; Sub-Leased to
Property Bank of America	Туре	Primary Segment	Leased	3 rd parties
Corporate Center				
Charlotte, NC 100 Federal Street	60 story building	Principal executive offices-All Business Segments*	Owned	Occupy 48% (573,734 sq. ft.); sub-lease 50% (603,833 sq. ft.) of building
Boston, MA Bank of America Tower	37 story building	Global Wealth & Investment Management	Owned	Occupy 51% (636,202 sq. ft.); sub-lease 38% (470,029 sq. ft.) of building
One Bryant Park		Global Markets; Global	49%	
New York, NY	52 story building	Wealth & Investment Management	Owned	Occupy 99% of building (1,628,416 sq. ft.)
2 World Financial Center	44 story building (South	Global Markets; Global Wealth & Investment		Occupy 24% (609,155 sq. ft.); sub-lease
New York, NY 4 World Financial Center	Tower)	Management Global Markets; Global	Leased 49%	72% (1,815,833 sq. ft.) of building
New York, NY	34 story building (North Tower)	Wealth & Investment Management	Owned	Occupy 78% (1,215,754 sq. ft.) of building
222 Broadway		Global Markets; Global Wealth & Investment		Occupy 93% (652,633 sq. ft.); sub-lease
New York, NY Hopewell Campus	31 story building 8 building campus	Management All Business Segments	Owned Owned	7% (50,902 sq. ft.) of building

Hopewell, NJ				Occupy 99% (1,561,611 sq. ft.); sub-lease 1% of buildings
Jacksonville Complex				sub lease 1 // of buildings
Jacksonville, FL Jacksonville Campus	9 building campus	All Business Segments	Leased	Occupy 80% (950,842 sq. ft.) of buildings
Jacksonville, FL Concord Campus	4 building campus	All Business Segments	Owned	Occupy 95% (549,436 sq. ft.) of buildings
Concord, CA Merrill Lynch Financial Center	4 building campus	All Business Segments	Owned	Occupy 82% (887,469 sq. ft.) of buildings
London, England	4 building campus	Global Markets; Global Wealth & Investment Management	Leased	Occupy 84% (485,495 sq. ft.) of buildings
Other London Locations London, England Bank of America	3 buildings	Global Markets; Global Wealth & Investment Management	Leased	Occupy 70% (125,962 sq. ft.); sub-lease 5% of buildings
Dank of America				
Merrill Lynch Japan		Global Markets; Global Wealth & Investment		Occupy 60% (158,861 sq. ft.); sub-lease
Tokyo, Japan * All Business Segments consists of Depo Management.	20 story building sits, Global Card Services,	Management	Leased nking, Globa	24% (62,613 sq. ft.) of building I Markets and Global Wealth & Investment

We own or lease approximately 118.7 million square feet in 27,779 locations in 50 states in the United States, the District of Columbia, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Puerto Rico. We also own or lease approximately 6.9 million square feet in 90 cities in 44 foreign countries. We believe that the properties we own or lease are adequate for our needs and well maintained.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

See Litigation and Regulatory Matters in *Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies* to the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page 160 for Bank of America's litigation disclosure which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. Submission of Matters To A Vote of Security Holders

There were no matters submitted to a vote of stockholders during the quarter ended December 31, 2009.

Executive Officers of The Registrant

The name, age and position of each of our current executive officers are listed below along with such officers business experience. Unless otherwise indicated, executive officers are appointed by the Board to hold office until their successors are elected and qualified or until their earlier resignation or removal.

Neil A. Cotty (55) Interim Chief Financial Officer since February 1, 2010 and Chief Accounting Officer since July 2009; Chief Financial Officer, Global Banking and Global Wealth and Investment Management from October 2008 to July 2009; Chief Accounting Officer from April 2004 to September 2008; Senior Finance Executive for Consumer Products supporting Commercial Banking (now Global Commercial Banking) from October 2003 to April 2004; Senior Finance Executive for Consumer Products from January 2003 to October 2003.

David C. Darnell (56) President, Global Commercial Banking since July 2005. President, Middle Market Banking Group from June 2001 to July 2005; President, Bank of America Central Region from August 2000

Bank of America 2009

to June 2001; President, Bank of America Midwest and Texas from September 1996 to August 2000; Executive Vice President and Commercial Division Executive in Florida from January 1989 to September 1996.

Barbara J. Desoer (57) President, Bank of America Home Loans and Insurance, since July 2008; Chief Technology and Operations Officer from August 2004 to July 2008; President, Consumer Products from July 2001 to August 2004; Director of Marketing from September 1999 to July 2001; President, Bank of America Northern California from January 1998 to September 1999.

Sallie L. Krawcheck (45) President, Global Wealth and Investment Management since August 2009; Chairman of Global Wealth Management of Citigroup, Inc. from January 2007 until December 2008; Chief Executive Officer of Global Wealth Management of Citigroup, Inc. from January 2007 to September 2008; Chief Financial Officer and Head of Strategy Citigroup, Inc. from November 2004 to January 2007; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer - SmithBarney of Citigroup, Inc. from October 2002 to November 2004; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Sanford C. Bernstein & Co. prior to 2002.

Thomas K. Montag (52) President, Global Banking and Markets since August 2009; President, Global Markets from January 2009 to August 2009; Executive Vice President and Head of Global Sales and Trading of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. from August 2008 to December 2008; Co-head, Global Securities of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. from 2006 to 2008; Co-president, Japanese Operations of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. from 2002 to 2007; Member, Management Committee of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. from 2002 to 2008; Member, Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities & Equities Executive Committee of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. from 2000 to 2008.

Brian T. Moynihan (50) President and Chief Executive Officer since January 2010; President, Consumer and Small Business Banking, from August 2009 to December 2009; President, Global Banking and Wealth

Management (now Global Wealth and Investment Management) from January 2009 to August 2009; General Counsel from December 2008 to January 2009; President, Global Corporate and Investment Banking (now Global Banking and Markets) from October 2007 to December 2008; President, Global Wealth and Investment Management from April 2004 to October 2007; Executive Vice President of FleetBoston Financial Corporation from 1999 to April 2004, with responsibility for Brokerage and Wealth Management from 2000 and Regional Commercial Financial Services and Investment Management from May 2003.

Edward P. O Keefe (54) General Counsel since January 2009; Deputy General Counsel and Head of Litigation from December 2008 to January 2009; Global Compliance and Operational Risk Executive and Senior Privacy Executive from September 2008 to December 2008; Deputy General Counsel for Staff Support from August 2004 to September 2008.

Joe L. Price (49) President; Consumer, Small Business and Card Banking since February 1, 2010; Chief Financial Officer from January 2007 to January 2010; Global Corporate and Investment Banking Risk Management Executive from June 2003 to December 2006; Senior Vice President, Corporate Strategy and President, Consumer Special Assets from July 2002 to May 2003; President, Consumer Finance from November 1999 to July 2002; Corporate Risk Evaluation Executive and General Auditor from August 1997 to October 1999; Controller from June 1995 to July 1997; Accounting Policy and Finance Executive from April 1993 to May 1995.

Bruce R. Thompson (45) Chief Risk Officer since January 2010; Head of Global Capital Markets from July 2008 to January 2010; Co-head of Capital Markets (now Global Capital Markets) from October 2007 to July 2008; Co-head of Global Credit Products from June 2007 to October 2007; Co-head of Global Leveraged Finance from March 2007 to June 2007; Head of U.S. Leveraged Finance Capital Markets from May 2006 to March 2007; Managing Director of Banc of America Securities LLC, from 1996 to May 2006.

2 Bank of America 2009

Part II

Bank of America Corporation and Subsidiaries

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The principal market on which our common stock is traded is the New York Stock Exchange. Our common stock is also listed on the London Stock Exchange, and certain shares are listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. The following table sets forth the high and low closing sales prices of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange for the periods indicated:

	Quarter	High	Low
2008	first	\$ 45.03	\$ 35.31
	second	40.86	23.87
	third	37.48	18.52
	fourth	38.13	11.25
2009	first	14.33	3.14
	second	14.17	7.05
	third	17.98	11.84
	fourth	18.59	14.58

As of February 24, 2010, there were 257,307 registered shareholders of common stock. During 2008 and 2009, we paid dividends on the common stock on a quarterly basis.

The following table sets forth dividends paid per share of our common stock for the periods indicated:

	Quarter	Dividend
2008	first	\$ 0.64
	second	0.64
	third	0.64
	fourth	0.32
2009	first	0.01
	second	0.01
	third	0.01
	fourth	0.01

For additional information regarding our ability to pay dividends, see the discussion under the heading Government Supervision and Regulation Distributions on page 4 of this report and *Note 15 Shareholders Equity and Earnings Per Common Share* to the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page 171, and *Note 16 Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions* to the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page 175, which are incorporated herein by reference.

For information on our equity compensation plans, see Item 12 beginning on page 204 of this report and *Note 18 Stock-Based Compensation Plans* to the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page 182, both of which are incorporated herein by reference.

The table below presents share repurchase activity for the three months ended December 31, 2009. For additional information regarding share repurchases on these restrictions, see *Note 15 Shareholders Equity and Earnings Per Common Share* to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page 171 which is incorporated

herein by reference.

			Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly	Remaining At	g Buyback athority ⁽²⁾
(Dollars in millions, except per share information; shares in	Common Shares	Weighted Average	Announced		
thousands)	Repurchased (1)	Per Share Price	Programs	Amounts	Shares
October 1 31, 2009	98	15.96	-	3,750	75,000
November 1 30, 2009	24	14.28	-	3,750	75,000
December 1 31, 2009	314	14.50	-	3,750	75,000
Three months ended December 31, 2009	435	14.82			

⁽¹⁾ Consists of shares of our common stock purchased by participants under certain retirement plans and shares acquired by us in connection with satisfaction of tax withholding obligations on vested restricted stock units and certain forfeitures and terminations of employment related to awards under equity incentive plans.

We did not have any unregistered sales of our equity securities in 2009, except as previously disclosed on Form 8-K.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

See Table 6 in the MD&A on page 24 and Table XII of the Statistical Tables on page 105 which are incorporated herein by reference.

Bank of America 2009 13

⁽²⁾ On July 23, 2008, the Board authorized a stock repurchase program of up to 75 million shares of our common stock at an aggregate cost not to exceed \$3.75 billion and is limited to a period of 12 to 18 months. The stock repurchase program expired on January 23, 2010.

Item 7. Bank of America Corporation and Subsidiaries

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Table of Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	16
Financial Highlights	20
Balance Sheet Analysis	22
Supplemental Financial Data	25
Business Segment Operations	27
<u>Deposits</u>	28
Global Card Services	29
Home Loans & Insurance	31
Global Banking	33
Global Markets	35
Global Wealth & Investment Management	38
All Other	41
Obligations and Commitments	42
Regulatory Initiatives	43
Managing Risk	44
Strategic Risk Management	47
Liquidity Risk and Capital Management	47
Credit Risk Management	54
Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management	54
Commercial Portfolio Credit Risk Management	64
Foreign Portfolio	74
Provision for Credit Losses	76
Allowance for Credit Losses	76
Market Risk Management	79
Trading Risk Management	80
Interest Rate Risk Management for Nontrading Activities	83
Mortgage Banking Risk Management	86
Compliance Risk Management	86
Operational Risk Management	86
ASF Framework	87
Complex Accounting Estimates	88
2008 Compared to 2007	92
<u>Overview</u>	92
Business Segment Operations	93
Statistical Tables	95
Glossary	108

Throughout the MD&A, we use certain acronyms and

abbreviations which are defined in the Glossary beginning on page 108.

14 Bank of America 2009

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This report on Form 10-K and the documents into which it may be incorporated by reference may contain, and from time to time our management may make, certain statements that constitute forward-looking statements. Words such as expects, anticipates, believes, estimates and other similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as will, should, would and could are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not historical facts, but instead represent the current expectations, plans or forecasts of Bank of America Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Corporation) regarding the Corporation s integration of the Merrill Lynch and Countrywide acquisitions and related cost savings, future results and revenues, credit losses, credit reserves and charge-offs, delinquency trends, nonperforming asset levels, level of preferred dividends, service charges, the closing of the sales of Columbia Management (Columbia) and First Republic Bank, effective tax rate, noninterest expense, impact of changes in fair value of Merrill Lynch structured notes, impact of new accounting guidance regarding consolidation on capital and reserves, mortgage production, the effect of various legal proceedings discussed in Litigation and Regulatory Matters in Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements and other matters relating to the Corporation and the securities that we may offer from time to time. These statements are not guarantees of future results or performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict and often are beyond the Corporation s control. Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the Corporation s forward-looking statements.

You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement and should consider the following uncertainties and risks, as well as the risks and uncertainties discussed elsewhere in this report, including under Item 1A. Risk Factors, and in any of the Corporation's other subsequent Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings: negative economic conditions that adversely affect the general economy, housing prices, job market, consumer confidence and spending habits which may affect, among other things, the credit quality of our loan portfolios (the degree of the impact of which is dependent upon the duration and severity of these conditions); the Corporation's modification policies and related results; the level and volatility of the capital markets, interest

rates, currency values and other market indices which may affect, among other things, our liquidity and the value of our assets and liabilities and, in turn, our trading and investment portfolios; changes in consumer, investor and counterparty confidence in, and the related impact on, financial markets and institutions; the Corporation's credit ratings and the credit ratings of our securitizations which are important to the Corporation's liquidity, borrowing costs and trading revenues; estimates of fair value of certain of the Corporation's assets and liabilities which could change in value significantly from period to period; legislative and regulatory actions in the United States (including the Electronic Fund Transfer Act, the Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure (CARD) Act of 2009 and related regulations) and internationally which may increase the Corporation's costs and adversely affect the Corporation's businesses and economic conditions as a whole; the impact of litigation and regulatory investigations, including costs, expenses, settlements and judgments; various monetary and fiscal policies and regulations of the U.S. and non-U.S. governments; changes in accounting standards, rules and interpretations (including new accounting guidance on consolidation) and the impact on the Corporation 's financial statements; increased globalization of the financial services industry and competition with other U.S. and international financial institutions; the Corporation's ability to attract new employees and retain and motivate existing employees; mergers and acquisitions and their integration into the Corporation, including our ability to realize the benefits and cost savings from and limit any unexpected liabilities acquired as a result of the Merrill Lynch acquisition; the Corporation's reputation; and decisions to downsize, sell or close units or otherwise change the business mix of the Corporation.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and the Corporation undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that arise after the date the forward-looking statement was made.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements referred to in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) are incorporated by reference into the MD&A. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

Bank of America 2009

15

Executive Summary

Business Overview

The Corporation is a Delaware corporation, a bank holding company and a financial holding company. Our principal executive offices are located in the Bank of America Corporate Center in Charlotte, North Carolina. Through our banking and various nonbanking subsidiaries throughout the United States and in certain international markets, we provide a diversified range of banking and nonbanking financial services and products through six business segments: *Deposits, Global Card Services, Home Loans & Insurance, Global Banking, Global Markets* and *Global Wealth & Investment Management (GWIM)*, with the remaining operations recorded in *All Other*. At December 31, 2009, the Corporation had \$2.2 trillion in assets and approximately 284,000 full-time equivalent employees. On January 1, 2009, we acquired Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (Merrill Lynch) and as a result we have one of the largest wealth management businesses in the world with approximately 15,000 financial advisors and more than \$2.1 trillion in

net client assets. Additionally, we are a global leader in corporate and investment banking and trading across a broad range of asset classes serving corporations, governments, institutions and individuals around the world. On July 1, 2008, we acquired Countrywide Financial Corporation (Countrywide) significantly expanding our mortgage origination and servicing capabilities, making us a leading mortgage originator and servicer.

As of December 31, 2009, we currently operate in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and more than 40 foreign countries. In addition, our retail banking footprint covers approximately 80 percent of the U.S. population and in the U.S. we serve approximately 59 million consumer and small business relationships with approximately 6,000 banking centers, more than 18,000 ATMs, nationwide call centers, and leading online and mobile banking platforms. We have banking centers in 12 of the 15 fastest growing states and have leadership positions in eight of those states. We offer industry-leading support to approximately four million small business owners.

The following table provides selected consolidated financial data for 2009 and 2008.

Table 1 Selected Financial Data

(Dollars in millions, except per share information)	2009		2008
Income statement			
Revenue, net of interest expense (FTE basis)	\$ 120,944	\$	73,976
Net income	6,276		4,008
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	(0.29)		0.54
Average diluted common shares issued and outstanding (in millions)	7,729		4,596
Dividends paid per common share	\$ 0.04	\$	2.24
Performance ratios			
Return on average assets	0.26%		0.22%
Return on average tangible shareholders equit∮¹)	4.18		5.19
Efficiency ratio (FTE basis) (1)	55.16		56.14
Balance sheet at year end			
Total loans and leases	\$ 900,128	\$	931,446
Total assets	2,223,299	1	,817,943
Total deposits	991,611		882,997
Total common shareholders equity	194,236		139,351
Total shareholders equity	231,444		177,052
Common shares issued and outstanding (in millions)	8,650		5,017
Asset quality			
Allowance for loan and lease losses	\$ 37,200	\$	23,071
Nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties	35,747		18,212
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases	111%		141%
Net charge-offs	\$ 33,688	\$	16,231
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases outstanding	3.58%		1.79%
Capital ratios			
Tier 1 common	7.81%		4.80%

Tier 1	10.40	9.15
Total	14.66	13.00
Tier 1 leverage	6.91	6.44

⁽¹⁾ Return on average tangible shareholders equity and the efficiency ratio are non-GAAP measures. Other companies may define or calculate these measures differently. For additional information on these ratios and a corresponding reconciliation to GAAP financial measures, see Supplemental Financial Data beginning on page 25.

2009 Economic Environment

2009 was a transition year as the U.S. economy began to stabilize although unemployment continued to rise. Gross Domestic Product, which fell sharply in the first quarter and continued to decline in the second quarter, rebounded in the second half of the year but remained well below its earlier expansion peak level. Consumer spending, which had declined sharply in the second half of 2008, rose modestly in each quarter of 2009 and received a boost from the U.S. government s Cash-for-Clunkers auto subsidies in the third quarter. Consumer spending remained tentative as households saved more and paid down debt. After reaching lows in January, housing activity increased compared to 2008 as home sales and new housing starts rose through the year lifting residential construction. Nevertheless, large inventories of unsold homes and the

increase in foreclosures continued to weigh heavily on the housing sector.

Businesses cut production, inventories, employment and capital spending aggressively in response to the financial crisis in late 2008 continuing into 2009. Production and capital spending fell in the first half of the year, inventories declined for the first three quarters and employment declined through the entire year although at a progressively lower rate. U.S. exports increased in the second half of the year reflecting the rebound of certain international economies following the global recession. Despite the modest growth in product demand and output in the second half of the year, job layoffs mounted, and the unemployment rate increased to over 10 percent in the fourth quarter, the highest since the early 1980s. Producing more with fewer workers drove improvement in labor productivity, boosting profits in the second half of the year.

Bank of America 2009

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve) lowered the federal funds rate to close to zero percent early in the first quarter and in mid-March announced a program of quantitative easing, in which it purchased U.S. Treasuries, mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and long-term debt of government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs). This program contributed to lower mortgage rates generating an increase in consumer mortgage refinancing which helped homeowners, and along with lower home prices, stimulated activity in the housing market.

In early 2009, the short-term funding markets began to return to normal and the U.S. government began to unwind its alternative liquidity facilities, and loan and asset guarantee programs. By mid-year, order had been restored to most financial market sectors. The stock market fell sharply through mid-March, but rebounded abruptly, triggered in part by the U.S. government s bank stress tests and banks successful capital raising. The stock market rally through year end retraced some of the losses in household net worth and increased consumer confidence.

Our consumer businesses were affected by the economic factors mentioned above, as our *Deposits* business was negatively impacted by spread compression. *Global Card Services* was affected as reduced consumer spending led to lower revenue and a higher level of bankruptcies led to increased provision for credit losses. *Home Loans & Insurance* benefited from the low interest rate environment and lower home prices, driving higher mortgage production income; however, higher unemployment and falling home values drove increases in the provision for credit losses. In addition, the factors mentioned above negatively impacted growth in the consumer loan portfolio including credit card and real estate.

Global Banking felt the impact of the above economic factors as businesses paid down debt reducing loan balances. In addition, the commercial portfolio within Global Banking declined due to further reductions in spending by businesses as they sought to increase liquidity, and the resurgence of capital markets which allowed corporate clients to issue bonds and equity to replace loans as a source of funding. The commercial real estate and commercial domestic portfolios experienced higher net charge-offs reflecting deterioration across a broad range of industries, property types and borrowers. In addition to increased net charge-offs, nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties and commercial criticized utilized exposures were higher which contributed to increased reserves across most portfolios during the year.

Capital markets conditions showed some signs of improvement during 2009 and *Global Markets* took advantage of the favorable trading environment. Market dislocations that occurred throughout 2008 continued to impact our results in 2009, although to a lesser extent, as we experienced reduced write-downs on legacy assets compared to the prior year. During 2009, our credit spreads improved driving negative credit valuation adjustments on the Corporation s derivative liabilities recorded in *Global Markets* and on Merrill Lynch structured notes recorded in *All Other*.

GWIM also benefited from the improvement in capital markets driving growth in client assets resulting in increased fees and brokerage commissions. In addition, we continued to provide support to certain cash funds during 2009 although to a lesser extent than in the prior year. As of December 31, 2009, all capital commitments to these cash funds had been terminated and the funds no longer hold investments in structured investment vehicles (SIVs).

On a going forward basis, the continued weakness in the global economy and recent and proposed regulatory changes will continue to affect many of the markets in which we do business and may adversely impact our results for 2010. The impact of these conditions is dependent upon the timing, degree and sustainability of the economic recovery.

Regulatory Overview

In November 2009, the Federal Reserve issued amendments to Regulation E, which implement the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (Regulation E). The new rules have a compliance date of July 1, 2010. These amendments change, among other things, the way we and other banks may charge overdraft fees; by limiting our ability to charge an overdraft fee for ATM and one-time debit card transactions that overdraw a consumer s account, unless the consumer affirmatively consents to the bank s payment of overdrafts for those transactions. Changes to our overdraft practices will negatively impact future service charge revenue primarily in *Deposits*.

On May 22, 2009, the Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure Act of 2009 (CARD Act) was signed into law. The majority of the CARD Act provisions became effective in February 2010. The CARD Act legislation contains comprehensive credit card reform related to credit card industry practices including significantly restricting banks—ability to change interest rates and assess fees to reflect individual consumer risk, changing the way payments are applied and requiring changes to consumer credit card disclosures. Under the CARD Act, banks must give customers 45 days notice prior to a change in terms on their account and the grace period for credit card payments changes from 14 days to 21 days. The CARD Act also requires banks to review any accounts that were repriced since January 1, 2009 for a possible rate reduction. As announced in October 2009, we did not increase interest rates on consumer card accounts in response to provisions in the CARD Act prior to its effective date unless the customer—s account fell past due or was based on a variable interest rate. Within *Global Card Services*, the provisions in the CARD Act are expected to negatively impact net interest income, due to the restrictions on our ability to reprice credit cards based on risk, and card income due to restrictions imposed on certain fees.

In July 2009, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision released a consultative document entitled Revisions to the Basel II Market Risk Framework that would significantly increase the capital requirements for trading book activities if adopted as proposed. The proposal recommended implementation by December 31, 2010, but regulatory agencies are currently evaluating the proposed rulemaking and related impacts before establishing final rules. As a result, we cannot

determine the implementation date or the final capital impact.

In December 2009, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued a consultative document entitled Strengthening the Resilience of the Banking Sector. If adopted as proposed, this could increase significantly the aggregate equity that bank holding companies are required to hold by disqualifying certain instruments that previously have qualified as Tier 1 capital. In addition, it would increase the level of risk-weighted assets. The proposal could also increase the capital charges imposed on certain assets potentially making certain businesses more expensive to conduct. Regulatory agencies have not opined on the proposal for implementation. We continue to assess the potential impact of the proposal.

As a result of the financial crisis, the financial services industry is facing the possibility of legislative and regulatory changes that would impose significant, adverse changes on its ability to serve both retail and wholesale customers. A proposal is currently being considered to levy a tax or fee on financial institutions with assets in excess of \$50 billion to repay the costs of TARP, although the proposed tax would continue even after those costs are repaid. If enacted as proposed, the tax could significantly affect our earnings, either by increasing the costs of our liabilities or causing us to reduce our assets. It remains uncertain whether the tax will be enacted, to whom it would apply, or the amount of the tax we would be required to pay. It is also unclear the extent to which the costs of such a tax could be recouped through higher pricing.

Bank of America 2009 17

In addition, various proposals for broad-based reform of the financial regulatory system are pending. A majority of these proposals would not disrupt our core businesses, but a proposal could ultimately be adopted that adversely affects certain of our businesses. The proposals would require divestment of certain proprietary trading activities, or limit private equity investments. Other proposals, which include limiting the scope of an institution s derivatives activities, or forcing certain derivatives activities to be traded on exchanges, would diminish the demand for, and profitability of, certain businesses. Several other proposals would require issuers to retain unhedged interests in any asset that is securitized, potentially severely restricting the secondary market as a source of funding for consumer or commercial lending. There are also numerous proposals pending on how to resolve a failed systemically important institution. In light of the current regulatory environment, one ratings agency has placed Bank of America and certain other banks on negative outlook, and therefore adoption of such provisions may adversely affect our access to credit markets. It remains unclear whether any of these proposals will ultimately be enacted, and what form they may take.

For additional information on these items, refer to Item 1A., Risk Factors.

Performance Overview

Net income was \$6.3 billion in 2009, compared with \$4.0 billion in 2008. Including preferred stock dividends and the impact from the repayment of the U.S. government s \$45.0 billion preferred stock investment in the Corporation under the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), income applicable to common shareholders was a net loss of \$2.2 billion, or \$(0.29) per diluted share. Those results compared with 2008 net income applicable to common shareholders of \$2.6 billion, or \$0.54 per diluted share.

Revenue, net of interest expense on a fully taxable-equivalent (FTE) basis, rose to \$120.9 billion representing a 63 percent increase from \$74.0 billion in 2008 reflecting in part the addition of Merrill Lynch and the full-year impact of Countrywide.

Net interest income on a FTE basis increased to \$48.4 billion compared with \$46.6 billion in 2008. The increase was the result of a favorable rate environment, improved hedge results and the acquisitions of Countrywide and Merrill Lynch, offset in part by lower asset and liability management (ALM) portfolio levels, lower consumer loan balances and an increase in nonperforming loans. The net interest yield narrowed 33 basis points (bps) to 2.65 percent.

Noninterest income rose to \$72.5 billion compared with \$27.4 billion in 2008. Higher trading account profits, equity investment income, investment and brokerage services fees and investment banking income reflected the addition of Merrill Lynch while higher mortgage banking and insurance income reflected the full-year impact of Countrywide. Gains on sales of debt securities increased driven by sales of agency MBS and collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs). Equity investment income benefited from pre-tax gains of \$7.3 billion related to the sale of portions of our investment in China Construction Bank (CCB) and a pre-tax gain of \$1.1 billion on our investment in BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock). In addition, trading account profits benefited from decreased write-downs on legacy assets of \$6.5 billion compared to the prior year. The other income (loss) category included a \$3.8 billion gain from the contribution of our merchant processing business to a joint venture. This was partially offset by a decline in card income of \$5.0 billion mainly due to higher credit losses on securitized credit card loans and lower fee income. In addition, noninterest income was negatively impacted by \$4.9 billion in net losses mostly related to credit valuation adjustments on the Merrill Lynch structured notes.

The provision for credit losses was \$48.6 billion, an increase of \$21.7 billion compared to 2008, reflecting deterioration in the economy and housing markets which drove higher credit costs in both the

consumer and commercial portfolios. Higher reserve additions resulted from further deterioration in the purchased impaired consumer portfolios obtained through acquisitions, broad-based deterioration in the core commercial portfolio and the impact of deterioration in the housing markets on the residential mortgage portfolio.

Noninterest expense increased to \$66.7 billion compared with \$41.5 billion in 2008. Personnel costs and other general operating expenses rose due to the addition of Merrill Lynch and the full-year impact of Countrywide. Pre-tax merger and restructuring charges rose to \$2.7 billion from \$935 million a year earlier due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch.

For the year, we recognized a tax benefit of \$1.9 billion compared with tax expense of \$420 million in 2008. The decrease in tax expense was due to certain tax benefits, as well as a shift in the geographic mix of the Corporation s earnings driven by the addition of Merrill Lynch.

TARP Repayment

In efforts to help stabilize financial institutions, in October 2008, the U.S. Department of the Treasury (U.S. Treasury) created the TARP to invest in certain eligible financial institutions in the form of non-voting, senior preferred stock. We participated in the TARP by issuing to the U.S. Treasury non-voting perpetual preferred stock (TARP Preferred Stock) and warrants for a total of \$45.0 billion. On December 2, 2009, the Corporation received approval from the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve to repay the \$45.0 billion investment. In accordance with the approval, on December 9, 2009, we repurchased all shares of the TARP Preferred Stock by using \$25.7 billion from excess liquidity and \$19.3 billion in proceeds from the sale of 1.3 billion units of Common Equivalent Securities (CES) valued at \$15.00 per unit. In addition, the Corporation agreed to increase equity by \$3.0 billion through asset sales in 2010 and approximately \$1.7 billion through the issuance in 2010 of restricted stock in lieu of a portion of incentive cash compensation to certain of the Corporation s associates as part of their 2009 year-end performance award. As a result of repurchasing the TARP Preferred Stock, the Corporation accelerated the remaining accretion of the issuance discount

on the TARP Preferred Stock of \$4.0 billion and recorded a corresponding charge to retained earnings and income (loss) applicable to common shareholders in the calculation of diluted earnings per common share. While participating in the TARP, we recorded \$7.4 billion in dividends and accretion, including \$2.7 billion in cash dividends and \$4.7 billion of accretion on the TARP Preferred Stock (the remaining accretion of \$4.0 billion was included as part of the \$45.0 billion cash payment). Repayment will save us approximately \$3.6 billion in annual dividends, including \$2.9 billion in cash and \$720 million of discount accretion. At the time we repurchased the TARP Preferred Stock, we did not repurchase the related warrants. The U.S. Treasury recently announced its intention to auction, during March 2010, these warrants.

We issued the CES, which qualify as Tier 1 common capital, because we did not have a sufficient number of authorized common shares available for issuance at the time we repaid the TARP Preferred Stock. Each CES consisted of one depositary share representing a 1/1000th interest in a share of our Common Equivalent Junior Preferred Stock, Series S (Common Equivalent Stock) and a contingent warrant to purchase 0.0467 of a share of our common stock for a purchase price of \$0.01 per share. The Corporation held a special meeting of shareholders on February 23, 2010 at which we obtained stockholder approval of an amendment to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock, and following the effective date of the amendment, on February 24, 2010, the Common Equivalent Stock converted in full into our common stock and the contingent warrants expired without having become exercisable and the CES ceased to exist.

Bank of America 2009

Recent Accounting Developments

On January 1, 2010, the Corporation adopted new Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) guidance that results in the consolidation of entities that were off-balance sheet as of December 31, 2009. The adoption of this new accounting guidance resulted in a net incremental increase in assets on January 1, 2010, on a preliminary basis, of \$100 billion, including \$70 billion resulting from consolidation of credit card trusts and \$30 billion from consolidation of other special purpose entities including multi-

seller conduits. These preliminary amounts are net of retained interests in securitizations held on our balance sheet and an \$11 billion increase in the allowance for loan losses, the majority of which relates to credit card receivables. This increase in the allowance for loan losses was recorded on January 1, 2010 as a charge net-of-tax to retained earnings for the cumulative effect of the adoption of this new accounting guidance. Initial recording of these assets and related allowance and liabilities on the Corporation s balance sheet had no impact on results of operations.

Segment Results

Table 2 Business Segment Results

	Total Rev	venue (1)	Net Income (Loss)		
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008	
Deposits	\$ 14,008	\$ 17,840	\$ 2,506	\$ 5,512	
Global Card Services (2)	29,342	31,220	(5,555)	1,234	
Home Loans & Insurance	16,902	9,310	(3,838)	(2,482)	
Global Banking	23,035	16,796	2,969	4,472	
Global Markets	20,626	(3,831)	7,177	(4,916)	
Global Wealth & Investment Management	18,123	7,809	2,539	1,428	
All Other (2)	(1,092)	(5,168)	478	(1,240)	
Total FTE basis	120,944	73,976	6,276	4,008	
FTE adjustment	(1,301)	(1,194)			
Total Consolidated	\$ 119,643	\$ 72,782	\$ 6,276	\$ 4,008	

⁽¹⁾ Total revenue is net of interest expense, and is on a FTE basis for the business segments and *All Other*.

Deposits net income narrowed due to declines in net revenue and increased noninterest expense. Net revenue declined mainly due to a lower net interest income allocation from ALM activities and spread compression as interest rates declined. This decrease was partially offset by growth in average deposits on strong organic growth and the migration of certain client deposits from GWIM partially offset by an expected decline in higher-yielding Countrywide deposits. Noninterest expense increased as a result of higher Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance and special assessment costs.

Global Card Services reported a net loss as credit costs continued to rise reflecting weak economies in the U.S., Europe and Canada. Managed net revenue declined mainly due to lower fee income driven by changes in consumer retail purchase and payment behavior in the current economic environment and the absence of one-time gains that positively impacted 2008 results. The decline was partially offset by higher net interest income as lower funding costs outpaced the decline in average managed loans. Provision for credit losses increased as economic conditions led to higher losses.

Home Loans & Insurance net loss widened as higher credit costs continued to negatively impact results. Net revenue and noninterest expense increased primarily driven by the full-year impact of Countrywide and higher loan production from increased refinance activity. Provision for credit losses increased driven by continued economic and housing market weakness combined with further deterioration in the purchased impaired portfolio.

Global Banking net income declined as increases in revenue driven by strong deposit growth, the impact of the Merrill Lynch acquisition and favorable market conditions for debt and equity issuances were more than offset by increased credit costs. Provision for credit losses increased driven by higher net charge-offs and

⁽²⁾ Global Card Services is presented on a managed basis with a corresponding offset recorded in All Other.

reserve additions in the

commercial real estate and commercial domestic portfolios. These increases reflect deterioration across a broad range of property types, industries and borrowers. Noninterest expense increased as a result of the Merrill Lynch acquisition, and higher FDIC insurance and special assessment costs.

Global Markets net income increased driven by the addition of Merrill Lynch and a more favorable trading environment. Net revenue increased due to improved market conditions and new issuance capabilities due to the addition of Merrill Lynch driving increased fixed income, currency and commodity, and equity revenues. In addition, improved market conditions led to significantly lower write-downs on legacy assets compared with the prior year.

GWIM net income increased driven by the addition of Merrill Lynch partially offset by a lower net interest income allocation from ALM activities, the migration of client balances to Deposits and Home Loans & Insurance, lower average equity market levels and higher credit costs. Net revenue more than doubled as a result of higher investment and brokerage services income due to the addition of Merrill Lynch, the gain on our investment in BlackRock and the lower level of support we provided for certain cash funds. Provision for credit losses increased driven by higher net charge-offs in the consumer real estate and commercial portfolios.

All Other net income increased driven by higher equity investment income and increased gains on the sale of debt securities partially offset by negative credit valuation adjustments on certain Merrill Lynch structured notes as credit spreads improved. Results were also impacted by lower other-than-temporary impairment charges primarily related to non-agency CMOs. Excluding the securitization impact to show Global Card Services on a managed basis, the provision for credit losses increased due to higher credit costs related to our ALM residential mortgage portfolio.

Bank of America 2008 19

Financial Highlights

Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a FTE basis increased \$1.9 billion to \$48.4 billion for 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was driven by the improved interest rate environment, improved hedge results, the acquisitions of Countrywide and Merrill Lynch, the impact of new draws on previously securitized accounts and the contribution from market-based net interest income related to our *Global Markets* business which benefited from the Merrill Lynch acquisition. These items were partially offset by the impact of deleveraging the ALM portfolio earlier in 2009, lower consumer loan levels and the adverse impact of nonperforming loans. The net interest yield on a FTE basis decreased 33 bps to 2.65 percent for 2009 compared to 2008 due to the factors related to the core businesses as described above. For more information on net interest income on a FTE basis, see Tables I and II beginning on page 95.

Noninterest Income

Table 3 Noninterest Income

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Card income	\$ 8,353	\$ 13,314
Service charges	11,038	10,316
Investment and brokerage services	11,919	4,972
Investment banking income	5,551	2,263
Equity investment income	10,014	539
Trading account profits (losses)	12,235	(5,911)
Mortgage banking income	8,791	4,087
Insurance income	2,760	1,833
Gains on sales of debt securities	4,723	1,124
Other income (loss)	(14)	(1,654)
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings on available-for-sale debt securities	(2,836)	(3,461)
Total noninterest income	\$ 72,534	\$ 27,422

Noninterest income increased \$45.1 billion to \$72.5 billion in 2009 compared to 2008.

Card income on a held basis decreased \$5.0 billion primarily due to higher credit losses on securitized credit card loans and lower fee income which was driven by changes in consumer retail purchase and payment behavior in the current economic environment.

Service charges grew \$722 million due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch.

Investment and brokerage services increased \$6.9 billion primarily due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch partially offset by the impact of lower valuations in the equity markets driven by the market downturn in the fourth quarter of 2008, which improved modestly in 2009, and net outflows in the cash funds.

Investment banking income increased \$3.3 billion due to higher debt, equity and advisory fees reflecting the increased size of the investment banking platform from the acquisition of Merrill Lynch.

Equity investment income increased \$9.5 billion driven by \$7.3 billion in gains on sales of portions of our CCB investment and a \$1.1 billion gain related to our BlackRock investment. The results were partially offset by the absence of the Visa-related gain recorded during the prior year.

Trading account profits (losses) increased \$18.1 billion primarily driven by favorable core trading results and reduced write-downs on legacy

assets partially offset by negative credit valuation adjustments on derivative liabilities of \$801 million due to improvement in the Corporation s credit spreads. Mortgage banking income increased \$4.7 billion driven by higher production and servicing income of \$3.2 billion and \$1.5 billion. These increases were primarily due to increased volume as a result of the full-year impact of Countrywide and higher refinance activity partially offset by lower MSR results, net of hedges.

Insurance income increased \$927 million due to the full-year impact of Countrywide s property and casualty businesses.

Gains on sales of debt securities increased \$3.6 billion due to the favorable interest rate environment and improved credit spreads. Gains were primarily driven by sales of agency MBS and CMOs.

The net loss in other decreased \$1.6 billion primarily due to the \$3.8 billion gain from the contribution of our merchant processing business to a joint venture, reduced support provided to cash funds and lower write-downs on legacy assets offset by negative credit valuation adjustments recorded on Merrill Lynch structured notes of \$4.9 billion

Net impairment losses recognized in earnings on available-for-sale (AFS) debt securities decreased \$625 million driven by lower collateralized debt obligation (CDO) related impairment losses partially offset by higher impairment losses on non-agency CMOs.

Provision for Credit Losses

The provision for credit losses increased \$21.7 billion to \$48.6 billion for 2009 compared to 2008.

The consumer portion of the provision for credit losses increased \$15.1 billion to \$36.9 billion for 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was driven by higher net charge-offs in our consumer real estate, consumer credit card and consumer lending portfolios reflecting deterioration in the economy and housing markets. In addition to higher net charge-offs, the provision increase was also driven by higher reserve additions for deterioration in the purchased impaired and residential mortgage portfolios, new draws on previously securitized accounts as well as an approximate \$800 million addition to increase the reserve coverage to approximately 12 months of charge-offs in consumer credit card. These increases were partially offset by lower reserve additions in our unsecured domestic consumer lending portfolios resulting from improved delinquencies and in the home equity portfolio due to the slowdown in the pace of deterioration. In the Countrywide and Merrill Lynch consumer purchased impaired portfolios, the additions to reserves to reflect further reductions in expected principal cash flows were \$3.5 billion in 2009 compared to \$750 million in 2008. The increase was primarily related to the home equity purchased impaired portfolio.

The commercial portion of the provision for credit losses including the provision for unfunded lending commitments increased \$6.7 billion to \$11.7 billion for 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was driven by higher net charge-offs and higher additions to the reserves in the commercial real estate and commercial domestic portfolios reflecting deterioration across a broad range of property types, industries and borrowers. These increases were partially offset by lower reserve additions in the small business portfolio due to improved delinquencies.

Net charge-offs totaled \$33.7 billion, or 3.58 percent of average loans and leases for 2009 compared with \$16.2 billion, or 1.79 percent for 2008. The increased level of net charge-offs is a result of the same factors noted above.

Bank of America 2009

Noninterest Expense

Table 4 Noninterest Expense

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Personnel	\$ 31,528	\$ 18,371
Occupancy	4,906	3,626
Equipment	2,455	1,655
Marketing	1,933	2,368
Professional fees	2,281	1,592
Amortization of intangibles	1,978	1,834
Data processing	2,500	2,546
Telecommunications	1,420	1,106
Other general operating	14,991	7,496
Merger and restructuring charges	2,721	935
Total noninterest expense	\$ 66,713	\$ 41,529

Noninterest expense increased \$25.2 billion to \$66.7 billion for 2009 compared to 2008. Personnel costs and other general operating expenses rose due to the addition of Merrill Lynch and the full-year impact of Countrywide. Personnel expense rose due to increased revenue and the impacts of Merrill Lynch and Countrywide partially offset by a change in compensation that delivers a greater portion of incentive pay over time. Additionally, noninterest expense increased due to higher litigation costs compared to the prior year, a \$425 million pre-tax charge to pay the U.S. government to terminate its asset guarantee term sheet and higher FDIC insurance costs including a \$724 million special assessment in 2009.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax benefit was \$1.9 billion for 2009 compared to expense of \$420 million for 2008 and resulted in an effective tax rate of (44.0) percent compared to 9.5 percent in the prior year. The change in the effective tax rate from the prior year was due to increased permanent tax preference items as well as a shift in the geographic mix of our earnings driven by the addition of Merrill Lynch. Significant permanent tax preference items for 2009 included the reversal of part of a valuation allowance provided for acquired capital loss carryforward tax benefits, annually recurring tax-exempt income and tax credits, a loss on certain foreign subsidiary stock and the effect of audit settlements.

We acquired with Merrill Lynch a deferred tax asset related to a federal capital loss carryforward against which a valuation allowance was recorded at the date of acquisition. In 2009, we recognized substantial capital gains, against which a portion of the capital loss carryforward was utilized.

The income of certain foreign subsidiaries has not been subject to U.S. income tax as a result of long-standing deferral provisions applicable to active finance income. These provisions expired for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2010. On December 9, 2009, the U.S. House of Representatives passed a bill that would have extended these provisions as well as certain other expiring tax provisions through December 31, 2010. Absent an extension of these provisions, this active financing income earned by foreign subsidiaries after January 1, 2010 will generally be subject to a tax provision that considers the incremental U.S. income tax. The impact of the expiration of these provisions would depend upon the amount, composition and geographic mix of our future earnings and could increase our annual income tax expense by up to \$1.0 billion. For more information on income tax expense, see *Note 19 Income Taxes* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Bank of America 2009 21

Balance Sheet Analysis

Table 5 Selected Balance Sheet Data

	Decem	iber 31	Average Balance			
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008		
Assets						
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	\$ 189,933	\$ 82,478	\$ 235,764	\$ 128,053		
Trading account assets	182,206	134,315	217,048	186,579		
Debt securities	311,441	277,589	271,048	250,551		
Loans and leases	900,128	931,446	948,805	910,878		
All other assets (1)	639,591	392,115	764,852	367,918		
Total assets	\$ 2,223,299	\$ 1,817,943	\$ 2,437,517	\$ 1,843,979		
Liabilities						
Deposits	\$ 991,611	\$ 882,997	\$ 980,966	\$ 831,144		
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	255,185	206,598	369,863	272,981		
Trading account liabilities	65,432	51,723	72,207	72,915		
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings	69,524	158,056	118,781	182,729		
Long-term debt	438,521	268,292	446,634	231,235		
All other liabilities	171,582	73,225	204,421	88,144		
Total liabilities	1,991,855	1,640,891	2,192,872	1,679,148		
Shareholders equity	231,444	177,052	244,645	164,831		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 2,223,299	\$ 1,817,943	\$ 2,437,517	\$ 1,843,979		

⁽¹⁾ All other assets are presented net of allowance for loan and lease losses for the year-end and average balances.

At December 31, 2009, total assets were \$2.2 trillion, an increase of \$405.4 billion, or 22 percent, from December 31, 2008. Average total assets in 2009 increased \$593.5 billion, or 32 percent, from 2008. The increases in year-end and average total assets were primarily attributable to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch, which impacted virtually all categories, but particularly federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell, trading account assets, and debt securities. Cash and cash equivalents, which are included in all other assets in the table above, increased due to our strengthened liquidity and capital position. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in year-end loans and leases primarily attributable to customer payments, reduced demand and charge-offs.

At December 31, 2009, total liabilities were \$2.0 trillion, an increase of \$351.0 billion, or 21 percent, from December 31, 2008. Average total liabilities for 2009 increased \$513.7 billion, or 31 percent, from 2008. The increases in year-end and average total liabilities were attributable to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch which impacted virtually all categories, but particularly federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase, long-term debt and other liabilities. In addition to the impact of Merrill Lynch, deposits increased as we benefited from higher savings and movement into more liquid products due to the low rate environment. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings due in part to lower Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) borrowings.

Federal Funds Sold and Securities Borrowed or Purchased Under Agreements to Resell

Federal funds transactions involve lending reserve balances on a short-term basis. Securities borrowed and securities purchased under agreements to resell are utilized to accommodate customer transactions, earn interest rate spreads and obtain securities for settlement. Year-end and average federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell increased \$107.5 billion and \$107.7 billion in 2009, attributable primarily to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch.

Trading Account Assets

Trading account assets consist primarily of fixed income securities (including government and corporate debt), equity and convertible instruments. Year-end and average trading account assets increased \$47.9 billion and \$30.5 billion in 2009, attributable primarily to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch.

Debt Securities

Debt securities include U.S. Treasury and agency securities, MBS, principally agency MBS, foreign bonds, corporate bonds and municipal debt. We use the debt securities portfolio primarily to manage interest rate and liquidity risk and to take advantage of market conditions that create more economically attractive returns on these investments. The year-end and average balances of debt securities increased \$33.9 billion and \$20.5 billion from 2008 due to net purchases of securities and the impact of the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. For additional information on our AFS debt securities, see Market Risk Management Securities beginning on page 84 and *Note 5* Securities to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Loans and Leases

Year-end loans and leases decreased \$31.3 billion to \$900.1 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to lower commercial loans as the result of customer payments and reduced demand, lower customer merger and acquisition activity, and net charge-offs, partially offset by the addition of Merrill Lynch. Average loans and leases increased \$37.9 billion to \$948.8 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to the addition of Merrill Lynch, and the full-year impact of Countrywide. The average consumer loan portfolio increased \$24.4 billion due to the addition of Merrill Lynch domestic and foreign securities-based lending margin loans, Merrill Lynch consumer real estate balances, and the full-year impact of Countrywide, partially offset by lower balance sheet retention, sales and conversions of residential mortgages into retained MBS and net charge-offs. The average commercial loan and lease portfolio increased \$13.5 billion primarily due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. For a more detailed discussion of the loan portfolio, see Credit Risk Management beginning on page 54, and *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Bank of America 2009

All Other Assets

Year-end and average all other assets increased \$247.5 billion and \$396.9 billion at December 31, 2009 driven primarily by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch, which impacted various line items, including derivative assets. In addition, the increase was driven by higher cash and cash equivalents due to our strengthened liquidity and capital position.

Deposits

Year-end and average deposits increased \$108.6 billion to \$991.6 billion and \$149.8 billion to \$981.0 billion in 2009 compared to 2008. The increases were in domestic interest-bearing deposits and noninterest-bearing deposits. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in foreign interest-bearing deposits. We categorize our deposits as core and market-based deposits. Core deposits exclude negotiable CDs, public funds, other domestic time deposits and foreign interest-bearing deposits. Average core deposits increased \$164.4 billion, or 24 percent, to \$861.3 billion in 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was attributable to growth in our average NOW and money market accounts and IRAs and noninterest-bearing deposits due to higher savings, the consumer flight-to-safety and movement into more liquid products due to the low rate environment. Average market-based deposit funding decreased \$14.6 billion to \$119.7 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 due primarily to a decrease in deposits in banks located in foreign countries.

Federal Funds Purchased and Securities Loaned or Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

Federal funds transactions involve borrowing reserve balances on a short-term basis. Securities loaned and securities sold under agreements to repurchase are collateralized financing transactions utilized to accommodate customer transactions, earn interest rate spreads and finance inventory positions. Year-end and average federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase increased \$48.6 billion and \$96.9 billion primarily due to the Merrill Lynch acquisition.

Trading Account Liabilities

Trading account liabilities consist primarily of short positions in fixed income securities (including government and corporate debt), equity and

convertible instruments. Year-end trading account liabilities increased \$13.7 billion in 2009, attributable primarily to increases in equity securities and foreign sovereign debt.

Commercial Paper and Other Short-term Borrowings

Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings provide a funding source to supplement deposits in our ALM strategy. Year-end and average commercial paper and other short-term borrowings decreased \$88.5 billion to \$69.5 billion and \$63.9 billion to \$118.8 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 due, in part, to lower FHLB balances as a result of our strong liquidity position.

Long-term Debt

Year-end and average long-term debt increased \$170.2 billion to \$438.5 billion and \$215.4 billion to \$446.6 billion in 2009 compared to 2008. The increases were attributable to issuances and the addition of long-term debt associated with the Merrill Lynch acquisition. For additional information on long-term debt, see *Note 13 Long-term Debt* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

All Other Liabilities

Year-end and average all other liabilities increased \$98.4 billion and \$116.3 billion at December 31, 2009 driven primarily by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch, which impacted various line items, including derivative liabilities.

Shareholders Equity

Year-end and average shareholders equity increased \$54.4 billion and \$79.8 billion due to a common stock offering of \$13.5 billion, \$29.1 billion of common and preferred stock issued in connection with the Merrill Lynch acquisition, the issuance of CES of \$19.2 billion, an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI) and net income. These increases were partially offset by repayment of TARP Preferred Stock of \$45.0 billion, \$30.0 billion of which was issued in early 2009, and higher preferred stock dividend payments. The increase in accumulated OCI was due to unrealized gains on AFS debt and marketable equity securities. Average shareholders equity was also impacted by the issuance of preferred stock and common stock warrants of \$30.0 billion in early 2009. This preferred stock was part of the TARP repayment in December 2009.

Bank of America 2009 23

Table 6 Five Year Summary of Selected Financial Data

(Dollars in millions, except per share information)	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Income statement					
Net interest income	\$ 47,109	\$ 45,360	\$ 34,441	\$ 34,594	\$ 30,737
Noninterest income	72,534	27,422	32,392	38,182	26,438
Total revenue, net of interest expense	119,643	72,782	66,833	72,776	57,175
Provision for credit losses	48,570	26,825	8,385	5,010	4,014
Noninterest expense, before merger and restructuring					
charges	63,992	40,594	37,114	34,988	28,269
Merger and restructuring charges	2,721	935	410	805	412
Income before income taxes	4,360	4,428	20,924	31,973	24,480
Income tax expense (benefit)	(1,916)	420	5,942	10,840	8,015
Net income	6,276	4,008	14,982	21,133	16,465
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	(2,204)	2,556	14,800	21,111	16,447
Average common shares issued and outstanding (in	= = 20 == 0	4.502.005	4 400 570	4.506.605	4 000 600
thousands)	7,728,570	4,592,085	4,423,579	4,526,637	4,008,688
Average diluted common shares issued and	= = 20 == 0	4.506.400	4.462.212	4.500.550	4.060.250
outstanding (in thousands)	7,728,570	4,596,428	4,463,213	4,580,558	4,060,358
Performance ratios	0.266	0.000	0.046	1 440	1 200
Return on average assets	0.26%	0.22%	0.94%	1.44%	1.30%
Return on average common shareholders equity	n/m	1.80	11.08	16.27	16.51
Return on average tangible common shareholders	,	4.50	26.10	20.22	24.00
equity (1)	n/m	4.72	26.19	38.23	31.80
Return on average tangible shareholders equity ⁽¹⁾	4.18	5.19	25.13	37.80	31.67
Total ending equity to total ending assets	10.41	9.74	8.56	9.27	7.86
Total average equity to total average assets	10.04	8.94	8.53	8.90	7.86
Dividend payout	n/m	n/m	72.26	45.66	46.61
Per common share data					
Earnings (loss)	\$ (0.29)	\$ 0.54	\$ 3.32	\$ 4.63	\$ 4.08
Diluted earnings (loss)	(0.29)	0.54	3.29	4.58	4.02
Dividends paid	0.04	2.24	2.40	2.12	1.90
Book value	21.48	27.77	32.09	29.70	25.32
Tangible book value (1)	11.94	10.11	12.71	13.26	13.51
Market price per share of common stock					
Closing	\$ 15.06	\$ 14.08	\$ 41.26	\$ 53.39	\$ 46.15
High closing	18.59	45.03	54.05	54.90	47.08
Low closing	3.14	11.25	41.10	43.09	41.57
Market capitalization	\$ 130,273	\$ 70,645	\$ 183,107	\$ 238,021	\$ 184,586
Average balance sheet					
Total loans and leases	\$ 948,805	\$ 910,878	\$ 776,154	\$ 652,417	\$ 537,218
Total assets	2,437,517	1,843,979	1,602,073	1,466,681	1,269,892
Total deposits	980,966	831,144	717,182	672,995	632,432
Long-term debt	446,634	231,235	169,855	130,124	97,709
Common shareholders equity	182,288	141,638	133,555	129,773	99,590
Total shareholders equity	244,645	164,831	136,662	130,463	99,861
Asset quality (2)					
Allowance for credit losses (3)	\$ 38,687	\$ 23,492	\$ 12,106	\$ 9,413	\$ 8,440
Nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties					
(4)	35,747	18,212	5,948	1,856	1,603
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of					
total loans and leases outstanding (4)	4.16%	2.49%	1.33%	1.28%	1.40%
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of					
total nonperforming loans and leases (4)	111	141	207	505	532
Net charge-offs	\$ 33,688	\$ 16,231	\$ 6,480	\$ 4,539	\$ 4,562
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and					
leases outstanding (4)	3.58%	1.79%	0.84%	0.70%	0.85%
Nonperforming loans and leases as a percentage of					
total loans and leases outstanding (4)	3.75	1.77	0.64	0.25	0.26
	3.98	1.96	0.68	0.26	0.28

Nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties

as a percentage of total loans, leases and foreclosed

properties (4)

Ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses at

Ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses at					
December 31 to net charge-offs	1.10	1.42	1.79	1.99	1.76
Capital ratios (year end)					
Risk-based capital:					
Tier 1 common	7.81%	4.80%	4.93%	6.82%	6.80%
Tier 1	10.40	9.15	6.87	8.64	8.25
Total	14.66	13.00	11.02	11.88	11.08
Tier 1 leverage	6.91	6.44	5.04	6.36	5.91
Tangible equity (1)	6.42	5.11	3.73	4.47	4.36
Tangible common equity (1)	5.57	2.93	3.46	4.27	4.34

⁽¹⁾ Tangible equity ratios and tangible book value per share of common stock are non-GAAP measures. Other companies may define or calculate these measures differently. For additional information on these ratios and a corresponding reconciliation to GAAP financial measures, see Supplemental Financial Data beginning on page 25.

⁽²⁾ For more information on the impact of the purchased impaired loan portfolio on asset quality, see Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management beginning on page 54 and Commercial Portfolio Credit Risk Management beginning on page 64.

⁽³⁾ Includes the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments.

 $^{^{(4)}}$ Balances and ratios do not include loans accounted for under the fair value option. n/m = not meaningful

²⁴ Bank of America 2009

Supplemental Financial Data

Table 7 provides a reconciliation of the supplemental financial data mentioned below with financial measures defined by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP). Other companies may define or calculate supplemental financial data differently.

We view net interest income and related ratios and analyses (i.e., efficiency ratio and net interest yield) on a FTE basis. Although this is a non-GAAP measure, we believe managing the business with net interest income on a FTE basis provides a more accurate picture of the interest margin for comparative purposes. To derive the FTE basis, net interest income is adjusted to reflect tax-exempt income on an equivalent before-tax basis with a corresponding increase in income tax expense. For purposes of this calculation, we use the federal statutory tax rate of 35 percent. This measure ensures comparability of net interest income arising from taxable and tax-exempt sources.

As mentioned above, certain performance measures including the efficiency ratio and net interest yield utilize net interest income (and thus total revenue) on a FTE basis. The efficiency ratio measures the costs expended to generate a dollar of revenue, and net interest yield evaluates how many bps we are earning over the cost of funds. During our annual planning process, we set efficiency targets for the Corporation and each line of business. We believe the use of this non-GAAP measure provides additional clarity in assessing our results. Targets vary by year and by business, and are based on a variety of factors including maturity of the business, competitive environment, market factors, and other items (e.g., risk appetite).

We also evaluate our business based upon ratios that utilize tangible equity. Return on average tangible common shareholders—equity measures our earnings contribution as a percentage of common shareholders—equity plus CES less goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of related deferred tax liabilities. Return on average tangible shareholders—equity (ROTE) measures our earnings contribution as a percentage of average shareholders—equity reduced by goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of related deferred tax liabilities. The tangible common equity ratio represents common shareholders—equity plus CES less goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of related deferred tax liabilities. The tangible equity ratio represents total shareholders—equity less goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of related deferred tax liabilities divided by total assets less goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of related deferred tax liabilities divided by total assets less goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of related deferred tax liabilities. Tangible book value per common share represents ending common shareholders—equity plus CES less goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of related deferred tax liabilities divided by ending common shares outstanding plus the number of common shares issued upon conversion of CES. These measures are used to evaluate our use of equity (i.e., capital). In addition, profitability, relationship, and investment models all use ROTE as key measures to support our overall growth goals.

The aforementioned performance measures and ratios are presented in Table 6.

Bank of America 2009

 $Table\ 7\ Supplemental\ Financial\ Data\ and\ Reconciliations\ to\ GAAP\ Financial\ Measures$

(Dollars in millions, shares in thousands)		2009		2008		2007		2006		2005
FTE basis data										
Net interest income	\$	48,410	\$	46,554	\$	36,190	\$	35,818	\$	31,569
Total revenue, net of interest expense		120,944		73,976		68,582		74,000		58,007
Net interest yield		2.65%		2.98%		2.60%		2.82%		2.84%
Efficiency ratio		55.16		56.14		54.71		48.37		49.44
Reconciliation of average common shareholders equity to										
average tangible common shareholders equity	ф	102 200	ф	141 (20	ф	122.555	ф	100.772	ф	00.500
Common shareholders equity	\$	182,288	\$	141,638	\$	133,555	\$	129,773	\$	99,590
Common Equivalent Securities		1,213		(70,927)		(60,222)		(66,040)		(45.221)
Goodwill Intensible assets (avalyding MSPs)		(86,034)		(79,827) (9,502)		(69,333) (9,566)		(66,040) (10,324)		(45,331)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs) Related deferred tax liabilities		(12,220)		1.782				` ' '		(3,548)
	\$	3,831 89,078	\$	54,091	\$	1,845 56,501	\$	1,809 55,218	\$	1,014 51,725
Tangible common shareholders equity Reconciliation of average shareholders equity to average	Ф	09,070	Ф	34,091	Ф	30,301	Ф	33,216	ф	31,723
tangible shareholders equity										
Shareholders equity	\$	244,645	\$	164,831	\$	136,662	\$	130,463	\$	99,861
Goodwill	φ	(86,034)	φ	(79,827)	φ	(69,333)	φ	(66,040)	Ψ	(45,331)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)		(12,220)		(9,502)		(9,566)		(10,324)		(3,548)
Related deferred tax liabilities		3,831		1,782		1,845		1,809		1,014
Tangible shareholders equity	\$	150,222	\$	77,284	\$	59,608	\$	55,908	\$	51,996
Reconciliation of year end common shareholders equity to	φ	130,222	φ	11,204	φ	39,000	φ	33,908	Ψ	31,990
year end tangible common shareholders equity										
Common shareholders equity	\$	194,236	\$	139,351	\$	142,394	\$	132,421	\$	101,262
Common Equivalent Securities	Ψ	19,244	Ψ	157,551	Ψ	1 12,57 1	Ψ	132,121	Ψ	101,202
Goodwill		(86,314)		(81,934)		(77,530)		(65,662)		(45,354)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)		(12,026)		(8,535)		(10,296)		(9,422)		(3,194)
Related deferred tax liabilities		3,498		1,854		1,855		1,799		1,336
Tangible common shareholders equity	\$	118,638	\$	50,736	\$	56,423	\$	59,136	\$	54,050
Reconciliation of year end shareholders equity to year end	-	,	-	,	-	,	-	,	_	- 1,
tangible shareholders equity										
Shareholders equity	\$	231,444	\$	177,052	\$	146,803	\$	135,272	\$	101,533
Goodwill	·	(86,314)		(81,934)		(77,530)		(65,662)		(45,354)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)		(12,026)		(8,535)		(10,296)		(9,422)		(3,194)
Related deferred tax liabilities		3,498		1,854		1,855		1,799		1,336
Tangible shareholders equity	\$	136,602	\$	88,437	\$	60,832	\$	61,987	\$	54,321
Reconciliation of year end assets to year end tangible		ŕ								
assets										
Assets	\$:	2,223,299	\$	1,817,943	\$	1,715,746	\$	1,459,737	\$	1,291,803
Goodwill		(86,314)		(81,934)		(77,530)		(65,662)		(45,354)
Intangible assets (excluding MSRs)		(12,026)		(8,535)		(10,296)		(9,422)		(3,194)
Related deferred tax liabilities		3,498		1,854		1,855		1,799		1,336
Tangible assets	\$:	2,128,457	\$	1,729,328	\$	1,629,775	\$	1,386,452	\$	1,244,591
Reconciliation of year end common shares outstanding to										
year end tangible common shares outstanding										
Common shares outstanding	:	8,650,244		5,017,436	4	4,437,885		4,458,151		3,999,688
Assumed conversion of common equivalent shares		1,286,000								
Tangible common shares outstanding		9,936,244	:	5,017,436	4	4,437,885		4,458,151		3,999,688

²⁶ Bank of America 2009

Core Net Interest Income Managed Basis

We manage core net interest income managed basis, which adjusts reported net interest income on a FTE basis for the impact of market-based activities and certain securitizations, net of retained securities. As discussed in the *Global Markets* business segment section beginning on page 35, we evaluate our market-based results and strategies on a total market-based revenue approach by combining net interest income and noninterest income for *Global Markets*. We also adjust for loans that we originated and subsequently sold into credit card securitizations. Noninterest income, rather than net interest income and provision for credit losses, is recorded for assets that have been securitized as we are compensated for servicing the securitized assets and record servicing income and gains or losses on securitizations, where appropriate. We believe the use of this non-GAAP presentation provides additional clarity in managing our results. An analysis of core net interest income managed basis, core average earning assets managed basis and core net interest yield on earning assets managed basis, which adjust for the impact of these two non-core items from reported net interest income on a FTE basis, is shown below.

Core net interest income on a managed basis increased \$2.3 billion to \$52.8 billion for 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was driven by the favorable interest rate environment and the acquisitions of Merrill Lynch and Countrywide. These items were partially offset by the impact of deleveraging the ALM portfolio earlier in 2009, lower consumer loan levels and the adverse impact of our nonperforming loans. For more information on our nonperforming loans, see Credit Risk Management on page 54.

On a managed basis, core average earning assets increased \$130.1 billion to \$1.4 trillion for 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to the acquisitions of Merrill Lynch and Countrywide partially offset by lower loan levels and earlier deleveraging of the AFS debt securities portfolio.

Core net interest yield on a managed basis decreased 19 bps to 3.69 percent for 2009 compared to 2008, primarily due to the addition of lower yielding assets from the Merrill Lynch and Countrywide acquisitions, reduced consumer loan levels and the impact of deleveraging the ALM portfolio earlier in 2009 partially offset by the favorable interest rate environment.

Table 8 Core Net Interest Income Managed Basis

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Net interest income (1)		
As reported	\$ 48,410	\$ 46,554
Impact of market-based net interest income (2)	(6,119)	(4,939)
Core net interest income	42,291	41,615
Impact of securitizations (3)	10,524	8,910
Core net interest income managed basis	\$ 52,815	\$ 50,525
Average earning assets		
As reported	\$ 1,830,193	\$ 1,562,729
Impact of market-based earning assets (2)	(481,542)	(360,667)
Core average earning assets	1,348,651	1,202,062
Impact of securitizations (4)	83,640	100,145
Core average earning assets managed basis	\$ 1,432,291	\$ 1,302,207
Net interest yield contribution (1)		
As reported	2.65%	2.98%
Impact of market-based activities (2)	0.49	0.48
Core net interest yield on earning assets	3.14	3.46
Impact of securitizations	0.55	0.42
Core net interest yield on earning assets managed basis	3.69%	3.88%

⁽¹⁾ FTE basis

Business Segment Operations

⁽²⁾ Represents the impact of market-based amounts included in Global Markets.

⁽³⁾ Represents the impact of securitizations utilizing actual bond costs. This is different from the business segment view which utilizes funds transfer pricing methodologies.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents average securitized loans less accrued interest receivable and certain securitized bonds retained.

Segment Description and Basis of Presentation

We report the results of our operations through six business segments: *Deposits*, *Global Card Services*, *Home Loans & Insurance*, *Global Banking*, *Global Markets* and *GWIM*, with the remaining operations recorded in *All Other*. The Corporation may periodically reclassify business segment results based on modifications to its management reporting methodologies and changes in organizational alignment. Prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

We prepare and evaluate segment results using certain non-GAAP methodologies and performance measures, many of which are discussed in Supplemental Financial Data beginning on page 25. We begin by evaluating the operating results of the segments which by definition exclude merger and restructuring charges. The segment results also reflect certain revenue and expense methodologies which are utilized to determine

net income. The net interest income of the business segments includes the results of a funds transfer pricing process that matches assets and liabilities with similar interest rate sensitivity and maturity characteristics.

Equity is allocated to business segments and related businesses using a risk-adjusted methodology incorporating each segment stand-alone credit, market, interest rate and operational risk components. The nature of these risks is discussed further beginning on page 44. The Corporation benefits from the diversification of risk across these components which is reflected as a reduction to allocated equity for each segment. Average equity is allocated to the business segments and is affected by the portion of goodwill that is specifically assigned to them.

For more information on our basis of presentation, selected financial information for the business segments and reconciliations to consolidated total revenue, net income and year-end total assets, see *Note 23 Business Segment Information* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Bank of America 2009

Deposits

(Dollars in millions) Net interest income (1) Noninterest income:	2009 \$ 7,160	2008 \$ 10,970
Service charges	6,802	6,801
All other income	46	69
Total noninterest income	6,848	6,870
Total revenue, net of interest expense	14,008	17,840
Provision for credit losses	380	399
Noninterest expense	9,693	8,783
Income before income taxes	3,935	8,658
Income tax expense (1)	1,429	3,146
Net income	\$ 2,506	\$ 5,512
Net interest yield (1)	1.77%	3.13%
Return on average equity	10.55	22.55
Efficiency ratio (1)	69.19	49.23
Balance Sheet		
Average	4.00-50	
Total earning assets (2)	\$ 405,563	\$ 349,930
Total assets ⁽²⁾	432,268	379,067
Total deposits	406,833	357,608
Allocated equity	23,756	24,445
Year end	_	
Total earning assets (2)	\$ 418,156	\$ 363,334
Total assets (2)	445,363	390,487
Total deposits	419,583	375,763
(1) FTE basis		

⁽²⁾ Total earning assets and total assets include asset allocations to match liabilities (i.e., deposits).

Deposits includes the results of consumer deposit activities which consist of a comprehensive range of products provided to consumers and small businesses. In addition, *Deposits* includes our student lending results and an allocation of ALM activities. In the U.S., we serve approximately 59 million consumer and small business relationships through a franchise that stretches coast to coast through 32 states and the District of Columbia utilizing our network of 6,011 banking centers, 18,262 domestic-branded ATMs, telephone, online and mobile banking channels.

Our deposit products include traditional savings accounts, money market savings accounts, CDs and IRAs, and noninterest- and interest-bearing checking accounts. Deposit products provide a relatively stable source of funding and liquidity. We earn net interest spread revenues from investing this liquidity in earning assets through client-facing lending and ALM activities. The revenue is allocated to the deposit products using our funds transfer pricing process which takes into account the interest rates and maturity characteristics of the deposits. Deposits also generate fees such as account service fees, non-sufficient funds fees, overdraft charges and ATM fees.

During the third quarter of 2009, we announced changes in our overdraft fee policies intended to help customers limit overdraft fees. These changes negatively impacted net revenue beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009. In addition, in November 2009, the Federal Reserve issued Regulation E which will negatively impact future service charge revenue in *Deposits*. For more information on Regulation E, see Regulatory Overview beginning on page 17.

During 2009, our active online banking customer base grew to 29.6 million subscribers, a net increase of 1.3 million subscribers from December 31, 2008 reflecting our continued focus on increasing the use of alternative banking channels. In addition, our active bill pay users paid \$302.4 billion of bills online during 2009 compared to \$301.1 billion during 2008.

Deposits includes the net impact of migrating customers and their related deposit balances between GWIM and Deposits. During 2009, total deposits of \$43.4 billion were migrated to Deposits from GWIM. Conversely, \$20.5 billion of deposits were migrated from Deposits to GWIM during 2008. The directional shift was mainly due to client segmentation threshold changes resulting from the Merrill Lynch acquisition, partially offset by the acceleration in 2008 of movement of

clients into GWIM as part of our growth initiatives for our more affluent customers. As of the date of migration, the associated net interest income, service charges and noninterest expense are recorded in the segment to which deposits were transferred.

Net income fell \$3.0 billion, or 55 percent, to \$2.5 billion as net revenue declined and noninterest expense rose. Net interest income decreased \$3.8 billion, or 35 percent, to \$7.2 billion as a result of a lower net interest income allocation from ALM activities and spread compression as interest rates declined. Average deposits grew \$49.2 billion, or 14 percent, due to strong organic growth and the net migration of certain households deposits from *GWIM*. Organic growth was driven by the continuing need of customers to manage their liquidity as illustrated by growth in higher spread deposits from new money as well as movement from certificates of deposits to checking accounts and other products. This increase was partially offset by the expected decline in higher-yielding Countrywide deposits.

Noninterest income was flat at \$6.8 billion as service charges remained unchanged for the year. The positive impacts of revenue initiatives were offset by changes in consumer spending behavior attributable to current economic conditions, as well as the negative impact of the implementation in the fourth quarter of 2009 of the new initiatives aimed at assisting customers who are economically stressed by reducing their banking fees.

Noninterest expense increased \$910 million, or 10 percent, due to higher FDIC insurance and special assessment costs, partially offset by lower operating costs related to lower transaction volume due to the economy and productivity initiatives.

8 Bank of America 2009

Global Card Services

(Dollars in millions) Net interest income (1) Noninterest income:	\$ 2	2009 20,264	\$	2008 19,589
Card income		8,555		10,033
All other income		523		1,598
Total noninterest income		9,078		11,631
Total revenue, net of interest expense	2	29,342		31,220
Provision for credit losses (2)	3	30,081		20,164
Noninterest expense		7,961		9,160
Income (loss) before income taxes	((8,700)		1,896
Income tax expense (benefit) (1)	((3,145)		662
Net income (loss)	\$ ((5,555)	\$	1,234
Net interest yield (1)		9.36%		8.26%
Return on average equity		n/m		3.15
Efficiency ratio (1)		27.13		29.34
Balance Sheet				
Average	.			224 744
Total loans and leases		16,654		236,714
Total earning assets		16,410		237,025
Total assets		32,643		258,710
Allocated equity	4	11,409		39,186
Year end	* *		_	
Total loans and leases		01,230		233,040
Total earning assets		00,988		233,094
Total assets (1) FTE basis	21	17,139		252,683

 $^{^{(2)}}$ Represents provision for credit losses on held loans combined with realized credit losses associated with the securitized loan portfolio. n/m = not meaningful

Global Card Services provides a broad offering of products, including U.S. consumer and business card, consumer lending, international card and debit card to consumers and small businesses. We provide credit card products to customers in the U.S., Canada, Ireland, Spain and the United Kingdom. We offer a variety of co-branded and affinity credit and debit card products and are one of the leading issuers of credit cards through endorsed marketing in the U.S. and Europe. On May 22, 2009, the CARD Act which calls for a number of changes to credit card industry practices was signed into law. The provisions in the CARD Act are expected to negatively impact net interest income due to the restrictions on our ability to reprice credit cards based on risk, and card income due to restrictions imposed on certain fees. For more information on the CARD Act, see Regulatory Overview beginning on page 17.

The Corporation reports its *Global Card Services* results on a managed basis which is consistent with the way that management evaluates the results of the business. Managed basis assumes that securitized loans were not sold and presents earnings on these loans in a manner similar to the way loans that have not been sold (i.e., held loans) are

presented. Loan securitization is an alternative funding process that is used by the Corporation to diversify funding sources. Loan securitization removes loans from the Consolidated Balance Sheet through the sale of loans to an off-balance sheet qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE).

Securitized loans continue to be serviced by the business and are subject to the same underwriting standards and ongoing monitoring as held loans. In addition, excess servicing income is exposed to similar credit risk and repricing of interest rates as held loans. Starting late in the third quarter of 2008 and continuing into the first quarter of 2009, liquidity for asset-backed securitizations became disrupted and spreads rose to historic highs which negatively impacted our credit card securitization programs. Beginning in the second quarter of 2009, conditions started to improve with spreads narrowing and liquidity returning to the marketplace, however, we did not return to the credit card securitization market during 2009. For more information, see the Liquidity Risk and Capital Management discussion beginning on page 47.

Global Card Services recorded a net loss of \$5.6 billion in 2009 compared to net income of \$1.2 billion in 2008 due to higher provision for credit losses as credit costs continued to rise driven by weak economies in the U.S., Europe and Canada. Managed net revenue declined \$1.9 billion to \$29.3 billion in 2009 driven by lower noninterest income partially offset by growth in net interest income.

Net interest income grew to \$20.3 billion in 2009 from \$19.6 billion in 2008 driven by increased loan spreads due to the beneficial impact of lower short-term interest rates on our funding costs partially offset by a decrease in average managed loans of \$20.1 billion, or eight percent.

Noninterest income decreased \$2.6 billion, or 22 percent, to \$9.1 billion driven by decreases in card income of \$1.5 billion, or 15 percent, and all other income of \$1.1 billion, or 67 percent. The decrease in card income resulted from lower cash advances primarily related to balance transfers, and lower credit card interchange and fee income primarily due to changes in consumer retail purchase and payment behavior in the current economic environment. This decrease was partially offset by the absence of a negative valuation adjustment on the interest-only strip recorded in 2008. In addition, all other income in 2008 included the gain associated with the Visa initial public offering (IPO).

Provision for credit losses increased by \$9.9 billion to \$30.1 billion as economic conditions led to higher losses in the consumer card and consumer lending portfolios including a higher level of bankruptcies. Also contributing to the increase were higher reserve additions related to new draws on previously securitized accounts as well as an approximate \$800 million addition to increase the reserve coverage to approximately 12 months of charge-offs in consumer credit card. These reserve additions were partially offset by the beneficial impact of reserve reductions from improving delinquency trends in the second half of 2009.

Noninterest expense decreased \$1.2 billion, or 13 percent, to \$8.0 billion due to lower operating and marketing costs. In addition, noninterest expense in 2008 included benefits associated with the Visa IPO.

Bank of America 2009 29

The table below and the following discussion present selected key indicators for the *Global Card Services* and credit card portfolios. Credit card includes U.S., Europe and Canada consumer credit card and does not include business card, debit card and consumer lending.

Key Statistics

(Dollars in millions) Global Card Services	2009	2008
Average total loans: Managed Held	\$ 216,654 118,201	\$ 236,714 132,313
Year end total loans: Managed Held	201,230 111,515	233,040 132,080
Managed net losses (1): Amount Percent (2)	26,655 12.30%	15,723 6.64%
Credit Card Average total loans:	12.30%	0.04%
Managed Held	\$ 170,486 72,033	\$ 184,246 79,845
Year end total loans: Managed Held	160,824 71,109	182,234 81,274
Managed net losses (1): Amount Percent (2)	19,185 11.25%	11,382 6.18%

⁽¹⁾ Represents net charge-offs on held loans combined with realized credit losses associated with the securitized loan portfolio.

Global Card Services managed net losses increased \$10.9 billion to \$26.7 billion, or 12.30 percent of average outstandings, compared to \$15.7 billion, or 6.64 percent in 2008. This increase was driven by portfolio deterioration due to economic conditions including a higher level of bankruptcies. Additionally, consumer lending net charge-offs increased \$2.1 billion to \$4.3 billion, or 17.75 percent of average outstandings compared to \$2.2 billion, or 7.98 percent in 2008. Lower loan balances driven by reduced marketing and tightened credit criteria also adversely impacted net charge-off ratios.

Managed consumer credit card net losses increased \$7.8 billion to \$19.2 billion, or 11.25 percent of average credit card outstandings, compared to \$11.4 billion, or 6.18 percent in 2008. The increase was driven by portfolio deterioration due to economic conditions including elevated unemployment, underemployment and a higher level of bankruptcies.

For more information on credit quality, see Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management beginning on page 54.

30 Bank of America 2009

⁽²⁾ Ratios are calculated as managed net losses divided by average outstanding managed loans during the year.

Home Loans & Insurance

(Dollars in millions) Net interest income (1) Noninterest income:	2009 \$ 4,974	2008 \$ 3,311
Mortgage banking income Insurance income All other income	9,321 2,346	4,422 1,416
Total noninterest income Total revenue, net of interest expense	261 11,928 16,902	161 5,999 9,310
Provision for credit losses Noninterest expense Loss before income taxes Income tax benefit (1) Net loss Net interest yield (1) Efficiency ratio (1)	11,244 11,683 (6,025) (2,187) \$ (3,838) 2.57% 69,12	6,287 6,962 (3,939) (1,457) \$ (2,482) 2.55% 74.78
Balance Sheet		
Average Total loans and leases Total earning assets Total assets Allocated equity	\$ 130,519 193,262 230,234 20,533	\$ 105,724 129,674 147,461 9,517
Year end Total loans and leases Total earning assets Total assets (1) FTE basis	\$ 131,302 188,466 232,706	\$ 122,947 175,609 205,046

Home Loans & Insurance generates revenue by providing an extensive line of consumer real estate products and services to customers nationwide. Home Loans & Insurance products are available to our customers through a retail network of 6,011 banking centers, mortgage loan officers in approximately 880 locations and a sales force offering our customers direct telephone and online access to our products. These products are also offered through our correspondent and wholesale loan acquisition channels. Home Loans & Insurance products include fixed and adjustable rate first-lien mortgage loans for home purchase and refinancing needs, reverse mortgages, home equity lines of credit and home equity loans. First mortgage products are either sold into the secondary mortgage market to investors while retaining MSRs and the Bank of America customer relationships, or are held on our balance sheet in All Other for ALM purposes. Home Loans & Insurance is compensated for the decision on a management accounting basis with a corresponding offset recorded in All Other. In addition, Home Loans & Insurance offers property, casualty, life, disability and credit insurance.

While the results of Countrywide s deposit operations are included in *Deposits*, the majority of its ongoing operations are recorded in *Home Loans & Insurance*. Countrywide s acquired first mortgage and discontinued real estate portfolios are recorded in *All Other* and are managed as part of our overall ALM activities.

Home Loans & Insurance includes the impact of migrating customers and their related loan balances between GWIM and Home Loans & Insurance. As of the date of migration, the associated net interest income

and noninterest expense are recorded in the segment to which the customers were migrated. Total loans of \$11.5 billion were migrated from *GWIM* in 2009 compared to \$1.6 billion in 2008. The increase was mainly due to client segmentation threshold changes resulting from the Merrill Lynch acquisition.

Home Loans & Insurance recorded a net loss of \$3.8 billion in 2009 compared to a net loss of \$2.5 billion in 2008, as growth in noninterest income and net interest income was more than offset by higher provision for credit losses and higher noninterest expense.

Net interest income grew \$1.7 billion, or 50 percent, driven primarily by an increase in average loans held-for-sale (LHFS) and home equity loans. The \$19.1 billion increase in average LHFS was the result of higher mortgage loan volume driven by the lower interest rate environment. The growth in average home equity loans of \$23.7 billion, or 23 percent, was due primarily to the migration of certain loans from *GWIM* to *Home Loans & Insurance* as well as the full-year impact of Countrywide balances.

Noninterest income increased \$5.9 billion to \$11.9 billion driven by higher mortgage banking income which benefited from the full-year impact of Countrywide and lower current interest rates which drove higher production income.

Provision for credit losses increased \$5.0 billion to \$11.2 billion driven by continued economic and housing market weakness particularly in geographic areas experiencing higher unemployment and falling home prices. Additionally, reserve increases in the Countrywide home equity purchased impaired loan portfolio were \$2.8 billion higher in 2009 compared to 2008 reflecting further reduction in expected principal cash flows.

Noninterest expense increased \$4.7 billion to \$11.7 billion largely due to the full-year impact of Countrywide as well as increased compensation costs and other expenses related to higher production volume and delinquencies. Partly contributing to the increase in expenses was the more than doubling of personnel and other costs in the area of our business that is responsible for assisting distressed borrowers with loan modifications or other workout solutions.

Mortgage Banking Income

We categorize *Home Loans & Insurance* mortgage banking income into production and servicing income. Production income is comprised of revenue from the fair value gains and losses recognized on our IRLCs and LHFS and the related secondary market execution, and costs related to representations and warranties in the sales transactions and other obligations incurred in the sales of mortgage loans. In addition, production income includes revenue for transfers of mortgage loans from *Home Loans & Insurance* to the ALM portfolio related to the Corporation s mortgage production retention decisions which is eliminated in *All Other*.

Servicing activities primarily include collecting cash for principal, interest and escrow payments from borrowers, disbursing customer draws for lines of credit and accounting for and remitting principal and interest payments to investors and escrow payments to third parties. Our home retention efforts are also part of our servicing activities, along with responding to customer inquiries and supervising foreclosures and property dispositions. Servicing income includes ancillary income earned in connection with these activities such as late fees, and MSR valuation adjustments, net of economic hedge activities.

Bank of America 2009

The following table summarizes the components of mortgage banking income.

Mortgage Banking Income

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Production income	\$ 5,539	\$ 2,105
Servicing income:		
Servicing fees and ancillary income	6,200	3,531
Impact of customer payments	(3,709)	(3,314)
Fair value changes of MSRs, net of economic hedge results	712	1,906
Other servicing-related revenue	579	194
Total net servicing income	3,782	2,317
Total Home Loans & Insurance mortgage banking income	9,321	4,422
Other business segments mortgage banking		
income (loss) (1)	(530)	(335)
Total consolidated mortgage banking income	\$ 8,791	\$ 4,087

(1) Includes the effect of transfers of mortgage loans from Home Loans & Insurance to the ALM portfolio in All Other.

Production income increased \$3.4 billion in 2009 compared to 2008. This increase was driven by higher mortgage volumes due in large part to Countrywide and also to higher refinance activity resulting from the lower interest rate environment, partially offset by an increase in representations and warranties expense to \$1.9 billion in 2009 from \$246 million in 2008. The increase in representations and warranties expense was driven by increased estimates of defaults reflecting deterioration in the economy and housing markets combined with a higher rate of repurchase or similar requests. For further information regarding representations and warranties, see *Note 8 Securitizations* to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management Residential Mortgage discussion beginning on page 56.

Net servicing income increased \$1.5 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 largely due to the full-year impact of Countrywide which drove an increase of \$2.7 billion in servicing fees and ancillary income partially offset by lower MSR performance, net of hedge activities. The fair value changes of MSRs, net of economic hedge results were \$712 million and \$1.9 billion in 2009 and 2008. The positive 2009 MSRs results were primarily driven by changes in the forward interest rate curve. The positive 2008 MSR results were driven primarily by the expectation that weakness in the housing market would lessen the impact of decreasing market interest rates on expected future prepayments. For further discussion on MSRs and the related hedge instruments, see Mortgage Banking Risk Management on page 86.

The following table presents select key indicators for *Home Loans & Insurance*.

Home Loans & Insurance Key Statistics

(Dollars in millions, except as noted)	2009	2008
Loan production		
Home Loans & Insurance:		
First mortgage	\$ 357,371	\$ 128,945
Home equity	10,488	31,998
Total Corporation (1):		
First mortgage	378,105	140,510
Home equity	13,214	40,489
Year end		
Mortgage servicing portfolio (in billions) (2)	\$ 2,151	\$ 2,057
Mortgage loans serviced for		
investors (in billions)	1,716	1,654
Mortgage servicing rights:		
Balance	19,465	12,733
Capitalized mortgage servicing rights (% of loans serviced for investors)	113 bps	77 bps

(1) In addition to loan production in *Home Loans & Insurance*, the remaining first mortgage and home equity loan production is primarily in *GWIM*.

(2) Servicing of residential mortgage loans, home equity lines of credit, home equity loans and discontinued real estate mortgage loans.

First mortgage production in *Home Loans & Insurance* was \$357.4 billion in 2009 compared to \$128.9 billion in 2008. The increase of \$228.4 billion was due in large part to the full-year impact of Countrywide as well as an increase in the mortgage market driven by a decline in interest rates. Home equity production was \$10.5 billion in 2009 compared to \$32.0 billion in 2008. The decrease of \$21.5 billion was primarily due to our more stringent underwriting guidelines for home equity lines of credit and loans as well as lower consumer demand.

At December 31, 2009, the consumer MSR balance was \$19.5 billion, which represented 113 bps of the related unpaid principal balance as compared to \$12.7 billion, or 77 bps of the related principal balance at December 31, 2008. The increase in the consumer MSR balance was driven by increases in the forward interest rate curve and the additional MSRs recorded in connection with sales of loans. This resulted in the 36 bps increase in the capitalized MSRs as a percentage of loans serviced for investors.

Bank of America 2009

Global Banking

(Dollars in millions) Net interest income (1) Noninterest income:	2009 \$ 11,250	2008 \$ 10,755
Service charges	3,954	3,233
Investment banking income	3,108	1,371
All other income	4,723	1,437
Total noninterest income	11,785	6,041
Total revenue, net of interest expense	23,035	16,796
Provision for credit losses	8,835	3,130
Noninterest expense	9,539	6,684
Income before income taxes	4,661	6,982
Income tax expense (1)	1,692	2,510
Net income	\$ 2,969	\$ 4,472
Net interest yield (1)	3.34%	3.30%
Return on average equity	4.93	8.84
Efficiency ratio (1)	41.41	39.80
Balance Sheet		
Average Total loans and leases	\$ 315,002	\$ 318,325
Total earning assets (2)	337,315	325,764
Total assets (2)	394,140	382,790
Total deposits	211,261	177,528
Allocated equity	60,273	50,583
Year end		
Total loans and leases	\$ 291,117	\$ 328,574
Total earning assets (2)	343,057	338,915
Total assets (2)	398,061	394,541
Total deposits	227,437	215,519
(1) FTE basis		

⁽²⁾ Total earning assets and total assets include asset allocations to match liabilities (i.e., deposits).

Global Banking provides a wide range of lending-related products and services, integrated working capital management, treasury solutions and investment banking services to clients worldwide through our network of offices and client relationship teams along with various product partners. Our clients include multinationals, middle-market and business banking companies, correspondent banks, commercial real estate firms and governments. Our lending products and services include commercial loans and commitment facilities, real estate lending, leasing, trade finance, short-term credit facilities, asset-based lending and indirect consumer loans. Our capital management and treasury solutions include treasury management, foreign exchange and short-term investing options. Our investment banking services provide our commercial and corporate issuer clients with debt and equity underwriting and distribution capabilities as well as merger-related and other advisory services. Global Banking also includes the results of our merchant services joint venture, as discussed below, and the economic hedging of our credit risk to certain exposures utilizing various risk mitigation tools. Our clients are supported in offices throughout the world that are divided into four distinct geographic regions: U.S. and Canada; Asia Pacific; Europe, Middle East and Africa; and Latin America. For more information on our foreign operations, see Foreign Portfolio beginning on page 74.

During the second quarter of 2009, we entered into a joint venture agreement with First Data Corporation (First Data) to form Banc of America Merchant Services, LLC. The joint venture provides payment solutions, including credit, debit and prepaid cards, and check and e-commerce payments to merchants ranging from small businesses to corporate and commercial clients worldwide. We contributed approximately 240,000 merchant relationships, a sales force of approximately 350 associates, and the use of the Bank of America brand name. First Data contributed

approximately 140,000 merchant relationships, 200 sales associates and state of the art technology. The joint venture and clients benefit from both companies comprehensive suite of leading payment solutions capabilities. At December 31, 2009, we owned 46.5 percent of the joint venture and we account for our investment under the equity method of accounting. The third party investor has the right to put their interest to the joint venture which would have the effect of increasing the Corporation s ownership interest to 49 percent. In connection with the formation of the joint venture, we recorded a pre-tax gain of \$3.8 billion

which represents the excess of fair value over the carrying value of our contributed merchant processing business.

Global Banking net income decreased \$1.5 billion, or 34 percent, to \$3.0 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 as an increase in revenue was more than offset by higher provision for credit losses and noninterest expense.

Net interest income increased \$495 million, or five percent, as average deposits grew \$33.7 billion, or 19 percent, driven by deposit growth as our clients remain very liquid. In addition, average deposit growth benefited from a flight-to-safety in late 2008. Net interest income also benefited from improved loan spreads on new, renewed and amended facilities. These increases were partially offset by a \$3.3 billion, or one percent, decline in average loan balances due to decreased client demand as clients are deleveraging and capital markets began to open up so that corporate clients could access other funding sources. In addition, net interest income was negatively impacted by a lower net interest income allocation from ALM activities and increased nonperforming loans.

Noninterest income increased \$5.7 billion, or 95 percent, to \$11.8 billion, mainly driven by the \$3.8 billion pre-tax gain related to the contribution of the merchant processing business into a joint venture, higher investment banking income and service charges. Investment banking income increased \$1.7 billion due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch and strong growth in debt and equity capital markets fees. Service charges increased \$721 million, or 22 percent, driven by the Merrill Lynch acquisition and the impact of fees charged for services provided to the merchant processing joint venture. All other income increased \$3.3 billion compared to the prior year from the gain related to the contribution of the merchant processing business. All other income also includes our proportionate share of the joint venture net income, where prior to formation of the joint venture these activities were reflected in card income. In addition, noninterest income benefited in 2008 from *Global Banking s* share of the Visa IPO gain.

The provision for credit losses increased \$5.7 billion to \$8.8 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily driven by higher net charge-offs and reserve additions in the commercial real estate and commercial domestic portfolios resulting from deterioration across a broad range of property types, industries and borrowers.

Noninterest expense increased \$2.9 billion, or 43 percent, to \$9.5 billion, primarily attributable to the Merrill Lynch acquisition and higher FDIC insurance and special assessment costs. These items were partially offset by a reduction in certain merchant-related expenses that are now incurred by the joint venture and a change in compensation that delivers a greater portion of incentive pay over time. In addition, noninterest expense in 2008 also included benefits associated with the Visa IPO.

Global Banking Revenue

Global Banking evaluates its revenue from two primary client views, global commercial banking and global corporate and investment banking. Global commercial banking primarily includes revenue related to our commercial and business banking clients who are generally defined as companies with sales between \$2 million and \$2 billion including middle-market and multinational clients as well as commercial real estate clients. Global

Bank of America 2009 33

corporate and investment banking primarily includes revenue related to our large corporate clients including multinationals which are generally defined as companies with sales in excess of \$2 billion. Additionally, global corporate and investment banking revenue also includes debt and equity underwriting and merger-related advisory services (net of revenue sharing primarily with *Global Markets*). The following table presents further detail regarding *Global Banking* revenue.

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Global Banking revenue		
Global commercial banking	\$ 15,209	\$ 11,362
Global corporate and investment banking	7,826	5,434
Total Global Banking revenue	\$ 23.035	\$ 16,796

Global Banking revenue increased \$6.2 billion to \$23.0 billion in 2009 compared to 2008. Global Banking revenue consists of credit-related revenue derived from lending-related products and services, treasury services-related revenue primarily from capital and treasury management, and investment banking income.

Global commercial banking revenue increased \$3.8 billion, or 34 percent, primarily driven by the gain from the contribution of the merchant processing business to the joint venture.

Credit-related revenue within global commercial banking increased \$960 million to \$6.7 billion due to improved loan spreads on new, renewed and amended facilities and the Merrill Lynch acquisition. Average loans and leases decreased \$3.7 billion to \$219.0 billion as increased balances due to the Merrill Lynch acquisition were more than offset by reduced client demand.

Treasury services-related revenue within global commercial banking increased \$2.9 billion to \$8.5 billion driven by the \$3.8 billion gain related to the contribution of the merchant services business to the joint venture, partially offset by lower net interest income and the absence of the 2008 gain associated with the Visa IPO. Average treasury services deposit balances increased \$22.7 billion to \$130.9 billion driven by clients managing their balances.

Global corporate and investment banking revenue increased \$2.4 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 driven primarily by the Merrill Lynch acquisition which resulted in increased debt and equity capital markets fees, and higher net interest income due mainly to growth in average deposits.

Credit-related revenue within global corporate and investment banking increased \$387 million to \$2.9 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 driven by improved loan spreads and the Merrill Lynch acquisition, partially offset by the adverse impact of increased nonperforming loans and the higher cost of credit hedging. Average loans and leases remained essentially flat as reduced demand offset the impact of the Merrill Lynch acquisition.

Treasury services-related revenue within global corporate and investment banking decreased \$438 million to \$2.5 billion in 2009 driven by lower net interest income, service fees and card income. Average deposit balances increased \$11.1 billion to \$80.4 billion during 2009 primarily due to clients managing their balances.

Investment Banking Income

Product specialists within *Global Markets* work closely with *Global Banking* on underwriting and distribution of debt and equity securities and certain other products. To reflect the efforts of *Global Markets* and *Global Banking* in servicing our clients with the best product capabilities, we allocate revenue to the two segments based on relative contribution. Therefore, to provide a complete discussion of our consolidated investment banking income, we have included the following table that presents total investment banking income for the Corporation.

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Investment banking income		
Advisory (1)	\$ 1,167	\$ 546
Debt issuance	3.124	1 539

Equity issuance	1,964	624
	6,255	2,709
Offset for intercompany fees (2)	(704)	(446)
Total investment banking income	\$ 5,551	\$ 2,263

⁽¹⁾ Advisory includes fees on debt and equity advisory, and merger and acquisitions.

Investment banking income increased \$3.3 billion to \$5.6 billion in 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was largely due to the Merrill Lynch acquisition and favorable market conditions for debt and equity issuances. Debt issuance fees increased \$1.6 billion due primarily to leveraged finance and investment grade bond issuances. Equity issuance fees increased \$1.3 billion as we benefited from the increased size of the investment banking platform. Advisory fees increased \$621 million attributable to the larger advisory platform partially offset by decreased merger and acquisitions activity.

Bank of America 2009

⁽²⁾ The offset to fees paid on the Corporation s transactions.

Global Markets

(Dollars in millions) Net interest income (1) Noninterest income: Investment and brokerage services Investment banking income Trading account profits (losses) All other income (loss) Total noninterest income (loss) Total revenue, net of interest expense	2009 \$ 6,120 2,552 2,850 11,675 (2,571) 14,506 20,626	2008 \$ 5,151 752 1,337 (5,809) (5,262) (8,982) (3,831)
Provision for credit losses Noninterest expense Income (loss) before income taxes Income tax expense (benefit) (1) Net income (loss) Return on average equity	400 10,042 10,184 3,007 \$ 7,177 23,33%	(50) 3,906 (7,687) (2,771) \$ (4,916) n/m
Efficiency ratio (1) Balance Sheet	48.68	n/m
Average Total trading-related assets (2) Total market-based earning assets Total earning assets Total assets Allocated equity	\$ 507,648 481,542 490,406 656,621 30,765	\$ 338,074 360,667 366,195 427,734 12,839
Year end Total trading-related assets (2) Total market-based earning assets Total earning assets Total assets (1) FTE basis	\$ 411,212 404,467 409,717 538,456	\$ 244,174 237,452 243,275 306,693

 $^{^{(2)}}$ Includes assets which are not considered earning assets (i.e., derivative assets).

n/m = not meaningfu

Global Markets provides financial products, advisory services, financing, securities clearing, settlement and custody services globally to our institutional investor clients in support of their investing and trading activities. We also work with our commercial and corporate clients to provide debt and equity underwriting and distribution capabilities and risk management products using interest rate, equity, credit, currency and commodity derivatives, foreign exchange, fixed income and mortgage-related products. The business may take positions in these products and participate in market-making activities dealing in government securities, equity and equity-linked securities, high-grade and high-yield corporate debt securities, commercial paper, MBS and asset-backed securities (ABS). Underwriting debt and equity, securities research and certain market-based activities are executed through our global broker/dealer affiliates which are our primary dealers in several countries. Global Markets is a leader in the global distribution of fixed income, currency and energy commodity products and derivatives. Global Markets also has one of the largest equity trading operations in the world and is a leader in the origination and distribution of equity and equity-related products.

Net income increased \$12.1 billion to \$7.2 billion in 2009 compared to a loss of \$4.9 billion in 2008 as increased noninterest income driven by trading account profits was partially offset by higher noninterest expense.

Net interest income, almost all of which is market-based, increased \$969 million to \$6.1 billion due to growth in average market-based earning assets which increased \$120.9 billion or 34 percent, driven primarily by the Merrill Lynch acquisition.

Noninterest income increased \$23.5 billion due to the Merrill Lynch acquisition, favorable core trading results and decreased write-downs on legacy assets partially offset by negative credit valuation adjustments on derivative liabilities due to improvement in our credit spreads in 2009. Noninterest expense increased \$6.1 billion, largely attributable to the Merrill Lynch acquisition. This increase was partially offset by a change in compensation that delivers a greater portion of

incentive pay over time.

Sales and Trading Revenue

Global Markets revenue is primarily derived from sales and trading and investment banking activities which are shared between Global Markets and Global Banking. Global Banking originates certain deal-related transactions with our corporate and commercial clients that are executed and distributed by Global Markets. In order to reflect the relative contribution of each business segment, a revenue-sharing agreement has been implemented which attributes revenue accordingly (see page 34 for a discussion of investment banking fees on a consolidated basis). In addition, certain gains and losses related to write-downs on legacy assets and select trading results are also allocated or shared between Global Markets and Global Banking. Therefore, in order to provide a complete discussion of our sales and trading revenue, the following table and related discussion present total sales and trading revenue for the consolidated Corporation. Sales and trading revenue is segregated into fixed income (investment and noninvestment grade corporate debt obligations, commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) and CDOs), currencies (interest rate and foreign exchange contracts), commodities (primarily futures, forwards, swaps and options) and equity income from equity-linked derivatives and cash equity activity.

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Sales and trading revenue (1, 2)		
Fixed income, currencies and commodities (FICC)	\$ 12,727	\$ (7,625)
Equity income	4,901	743
Total sales and trading revenue	\$ 17,628	\$ (6,882)

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$356 million and \$257 million of net interest income on a FTE basis for 2009 and 2008.

Sales and trading revenue increased \$24.5 billion to \$17.6 billion in 2009 compared to a loss of \$6.9 billion in 2008 due to the addition of Merrill Lynch and the improving economy. Write-downs on legacy assets in 2009 were \$3.8 billion with \$2.7 billion included in *Global Markets* as compared to \$10.5 billion in 2008 with \$9.3 billion recorded in *Global Markets*. Further, we recorded negative net credit valuation adjustments on derivative liabilities of \$801 million resulting from improvements in our credit spreads in 2009 compared to a gain of \$354 million in 2008.

FICC revenue increased \$20.4 billion to \$12.7 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily driven by credit and structured products which continued to benefit from improved market liquidity and tighter credit spreads as well as new issuance capabilities.

During 2009, we incurred \$2.2 billion of losses resulting from our CDO exposure which includes our super senior, warehouse, sales and trading positions, hedging activities and counterparty credit risk valuations. This compares to \$4.8 billion in CDO-related losses for 2008. Included in the above losses were \$910 million and \$1.1 billion of losses in 2009 and 2008 related to counterparty risk on our CDO-related exposure. Also included in the above losses were other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$1.2 billion in 2009 compared to \$3.3 billion in 2008 related to CDOs and retained positions classified as AFS debt securities. See the following detailed CDO exposure discussion.

During 2009 we recorded \$1.6 billion of losses, net of hedges, on CMBS funded debt and forward finance commitments compared to losses of \$944 million in 2008. These losses were concentrated in the more difficult to hedge floating-rate debt. In addition, we recorded \$670 million in losses associated with equity investments we made in acquisition-related financing transactions compared to \$545 million in losses in the prior year. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we held \$5.3 billion and \$6.9 billion of funded and unfunded CMBS exposure of which \$4.4 billion and \$6.0 billion were primarily floating-rate

Bank of America 2009

⁽²⁾ Includes \$1.1 billion and \$1.2 billion of write-downs on legacy assets that were allocated to Global Banking for 2009 and 2008.

acquisition-related financings to major, well-known operating companies. CMBS exposure decreased as \$4.1 billion of funded CMBS debt acquired in the Merrill Lynch acquisition was partially offset by a transfer of \$3.8 billion of CMBS funded debt to commercial loans held for investment as we plan to hold these positions and, to a lesser extent, by loan sales and paydowns.

We incurred losses in 2009 on our leveraged loan exposures of \$286 million compared to \$1.1 billion in 2008. At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our leveraged funded positions held for distribution was \$2.4 billion, which included \$1.2 billion from the Merrill Lynch acquisition, compared to \$2.8 billion at December 31, 2008, which did not include Merrill Lynch. At December 31, 2009, 99 percent of the carrying value of the leveraged funded positions was senior secured.

We recorded a loss of \$100 million on auction rate securities (ARS) in 2009 compared to losses of \$898 million in 2008 which reflects stabilizing valuations on ARS during the year. We have agreed to purchase ARS at par from certain customers in connection with an agreement with federal and state securities regulators. During 2009, we purchased a net \$3.8 billion of ARS from our customers and at December 31, 2009, our outstanding buyback commitment was \$291 million.

Equity products sales and trading revenue increased \$4.2 billion to \$4.9 billion in 2009 compared to 2008 driven by the addition of Merrill Lynch s trading and financing platforms.

Collateralized Debt Obligation Exposure

CDO vehicles hold diversified pools of fixed income securities and issue multiple tranches of debt securities including commercial paper, mezzanine and equity securities. Our CDO exposure can be divided into funded and unfunded super senior liquidity commitment exposure, other super senior exposure (i.e., cash positions and derivative contracts), warehouse, and sales and trading positions. For more information on our CDO liquidity commitments, see *Note 9 Variable Interest Entities* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Super senior exposure represents the most senior class of commercial paper or notes that are issued by the CDO vehicles. These financial instruments benefit from the subordination of all other securities issued by the CDO vehicles.

As presented in the following table, at December 31, 2009, our hedged and unhedged super senior CDO exposure before consideration of insurance, net of write-downs was \$3.6 billion

Super Senior Collateralized Debt Obligation Exposure

December 31, 2009

	Total								
			Ret	ained					
(Dollars in millions)	Subp	rime (1)	Pos	itions	Su	bprime	Non-Su	bprime ⁽²⁾	Total
Unhedged	\$	938	\$	528	\$	1,466	\$	839	\$ 2,305
Hedged (3)		661				661		652	1,313
Total	\$	1,599	\$	528	\$	2,127	\$	1,491	\$ 3,618

⁽¹⁾ Classified as subprime when subprime consumer real estate loans make up at least 35 percent of the original net exposure value of the underlying collateral.

We value our CDO structures using the average of all prices obtained from either external pricing services or offsetting trades for approximately 89 percent and 77 percent of the CDO exposure and related retained positions. The majority of the remaining positions where no pricing quotes were available were valued using matrix pricing and projected cash flows. Unrealized losses recorded in accumulated OCI on super senior cash positions and retained positions from liquidated CDOs in aggregate increased \$88 million during 2009 to \$104 million at December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2009, total subprime super senior unhedged exposure of \$1.466 billion was carried at 15 percent and the \$839 million of non-subprime unhedged exposure was carried at 51 percent of their original net exposure amounts. Net hedged subprime super senior exposure of \$661 million was carried at 13 percent and the \$652 million of hedged non-subprime super senior exposure was carried at 64 percent of its original net exposure.

The following table presents the carrying values of our subprime net exposures including subprime collateral content and percentages of certain vintages.

⁽²⁾ Includes highly rated collateralized loan obligations and CMBS super senior exposure.

⁽³⁾ Hedged amounts are presented at carrying value before consideration of the insurance.

Unhedged Subprime Super Senior Collateralized Debt Obligation Carrying Values

December 31, 2009

			Carrying		Vintage of Subpri	me Collateral	
(Dollars in millions)	Subprime Net Exposure		Value as a Percent of Original Net Exposure	Subprime Content of Collateral ⁽¹⁾	Percent in 2006/2007 Vintages	Percent in 2005/Prior Vintages	
Mezzanine super senior liquidity	1100 23	posure	2posare		,ges	v menges	
commitments	\$	88	7%	100%	85%	15%	
Other super senior exposure							
High grade		577	20	43	23	77	
Mezzanine		272	16	34	79	21	
CDO-squared		1	1	100	100		
Total other super senior		850					
Total super senior		938	15				
Retained positions from liquidated CDOs		528	15	28	22	78	
Total	\$	1,466	15				
• •	\$			20	22	70	

⁽¹⁾ Based on current net exposure value.

³⁶ Bank of America 2009

At December 31, 2009, we held purchased insurance on our subprime and non-subprime super senior CDO exposure with a notional value of \$5.2 billion and \$1.0 billion from monolines and other financial guarantors. Monolines provided \$3.8 billion of the purchased insurance in the form of CDS, total return swaps or financial guarantees. In addition, we held collateral in the form of cash and marketable securities of

\$1.1 billion related to our non-monoline purchased insurance. In the case of default, we look to the underlying securities and then to recovery on purchased insurance. The table below provides notional, receivable, counterparty credit valuation adjustment and gains (write-downs) on insurance purchased from monolines.

Credit Default Swaps with Monoline Financial Guarantors

December 31, 2009

		Super	Gu	Other aranteed	
(Dollars in millions)	Senio	or CDOs]	Positions	Total
Notional	\$	3,757	\$	38,834	\$ 42,591
Mark-to-market or guarantor receivable	\$	2,833	\$	8,256	\$ 11,089
Credit valuation adjustment		(1,873)		(4,132)	(6,005)
Total	\$	960	\$	4,124	\$ 5,084
Credit valuation adjustment %		66%		50%	54%
(Write-downs) gains during 2009	\$	(961)	\$	98	\$ (863)

Monoline wrap protection on our super senior CDOs had a notional value of \$3.8 billion at December 31, 2009, with a receivable of \$2.8 billion and a counterparty credit valuation adjustment of \$1.9 billion, or 66 percent. During 2009, we recorded \$961 million of counterparty credit risk-related write-downs on these positions. At December 31, 2008, the monoline wrap on our super senior CDOs had a notional value of \$2.8 billion, with a receivable of \$1.5 billion and a counterparty credit valuation adjustment of \$1.1 billion, or 72 percent.

In addition to the monoline financial guarantor exposure related to super senior CDOs, we had \$38.8 billion of notional exposure to monolines that predominantly hedge corporate collateralized loan obligation and CDO exposure as well as CMBS, RMBS and other ABS cash and synthetic exposures that were acquired from Merrill Lynch. At December 31, 2008, the monoline wrap on our other guaranteed positions was \$5.9 billion of notional exposure. Mark-to-market monoline derivative credit exposure was \$8.3 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to \$694 million at December 31, 2008. This increase was driven

by the addition of Merrill Lynch exposures as well as credit deterioration related to underlying counterparties, partially offset by positive valuation adjustments on legacy assets and terminated monoline contracts.

At December 31, 2009, the counterparty credit valuation adjustment related to non-super senior CDO monoline derivative exposure was \$4.1 billion which reduced our net mark-to-market exposure to \$4.1 billion. We do not hold collateral against these derivative exposures. Also, during 2009 we recognized gains of \$113 million for counterparty credit risk related to these positions.

With the Merrill Lynch acquisition, we acquired a loan with a carrying value of \$4.4 billion as of December 31, 2009 that is collateralized by U.S. super senior ABS CDOs. Merrill Lynch originally provided financing to the borrower for an amount equal to approximately 75 percent of the fair value of the collateral. The loan has full recourse to the borrower and all scheduled payments on the loan have been received. Events of default under the loan are customary events of default, including failure to pay interest when due and failure to pay principal at maturity. Collateral for the loan is excluded from our CDO exposure discussions and the applicable tables.

Bank of America 2009 37

Global Wealth & Investment Management

				2009		
			Merrill	2007		
			Lynch			
			Global			
			Wealth	U.S.	Columbia	
(Dollars in millions)	Total	Manage	ment (1)	Trust	Management	Other
Net interest income (2)	\$ 5,564	\$	4,567	\$ 1,361	\$ 32	\$ (396)
Noninterest income:						
Investment and brokerage services	9,273		6,130	1,254	1,090	799
All other income (loss)	3,286		1,684	48	(201)	1,755
Total noninterest income	12,559		7,814	1,302	889	2,554
Total revenue, net of interest expense	18,123		12,381	2,663	921	2,158
Provision for credit losses	1,061		619	442		
Noninterest expense	13,077		9,411	1,945	932	789
Income (loss) before income taxes	3,985		2,351	276	(11)	1,369
Income tax expense (benefit) (2)	1,446		870	102	(4)	478
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,539	\$	1,481	\$ 174	\$ (7)	\$ 891
Net interest yield (2)	2.53%		2.49%	2.58%	n/m	n/m
Return on average equity (3)	13.44		18.50	3.39	n/m	n/m
Efficiency ratio (2)	72.16		76.01	73.03	n/m	n/m
Year end total assets ⁴⁾	\$ 254,192	\$	195,175	\$ 55,371	\$ 2,717	n/m
				2000		
		3.6 '11	T 1	2008		
		Merrill	Lyncn Global			
			Wealth	U.S.	Columbia	
(Dollars in millions)	Total	Managen		Trust	Management	Other
Net interest income (2)	\$ 4,797	Wianagen \$	3,211	\$ 1,570	\$ 6	\$ 10
Noninterest income:	Ψ,171	Ψ	3,211	φ 1,570	φ	φ 10
Investment and brokerage services	4,059		1,001	1,400	1,496	162
All other income (loss)	(1,047)		58	18	(1,120)	(3)
Total noninterest income	3,012		1,059	1,418	376	159
Total revenue, net of interest expense	7,809		4,270	2,988	382	169
	.,		,	,,		
Provision for credit losses	664		561	103		
Noninterest expense	4,910		1,788	1,831	1,126	165
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,235		1,921	1,054	(744)	4
Income tax expense (benefit) (2)	807		711	390	(275)	(19)
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,428	\$	1,210	\$ 664	\$ (469)	\$ 23
Net interest yield ⁽²⁾	2.97%		2.60%	3.05%	n/m	n/m
Return on average equity (3)	12.20		36.66	14.20	n/m	n/m
Efficiency ratio (2)	62.87		41.88	61.26	n/m	n/m
Year end total assets ⁴)	\$ 189,073	\$ 1	37,282	\$ 57,167	\$ 2,923	n/m

⁽¹⁾ Effective January 1, 2009, as a result of the Merrill Lynch acquisition, we combined Merrill Lynch s wealth management business and our former Premier Banking & Investments business to form Merrill Lynch Global Wealth Management (MLGWM).

⁽²⁾ FTE basis

 $^{^{(3)}}$ Average allocated equity for GWIM was \$18.9 billion and \$11.7 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

⁽⁴⁾ Total assets include asset allocations to match liabilities (i.e., deposits).

n/m = not meaningful

	Decem	iber 31	Average Balance		
(Dollars in millions) Balance Sheet	2009	2008	2009	2008	
Total loans and leases	\$ 99,596	\$ 89,401	\$ 103,398	\$ 87,593	
Total earning assets (1)	219,866	179,319	219,612	161,685	
Total assets (1)	254,192	189,073	251,969	170,973	
Total deposits	224,840	176,186	225,980	160,702	
(1) Total earning assets and total assets include asset allocations to match liabilities (i.e., deposits).					

³⁸ Bank of America 2009

GWIM provides a wide offering of customized banking, investment and brokerage services tailored to meet the changing wealth management needs of our individual and institutional customer base. Our clients have access to a range of services offered through three primary businesses: MLGWM; U.S. Trust, Bank of America Private Wealth Management (U.S. Trust); and Columbia. The results of the Retirement & Philanthropic Services business, the Corporation s approximate 34 percent economic ownership interest in BlackRock and other miscellaneous items are included in Other within GWIM.

As part of the Merrill Lynch acquisition, we added its financial advisors and an economic ownership interest of approximately 50 percent in BlackRock, a publicly traded investment management company. During 2009, BlackRock completed its purchase of Barclays Global Investors, an asset management business, from Barclays PLC which had the effect of diluting our ownership interest in BlackRock and, for accounting purposes, was treated as a sale of a portion of our ownership interest. As a result, upon the closing of this transaction, the Corporation s economic ownership interest in BlackRock was reduced to approximately 34 percent and we recorded a pre-tax gain of \$1.1 billion.

Net income increased \$1.1 billion, or 78 percent, to \$2.5 billion as higher total revenue was partially offset by increases in noninterest expense and provision for credit losses.

Net interest income increased \$767 million, or 16 percent, to \$5.6 billion primarily due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch partially offset by a lower net interest income allocation from ALM activities and the impact of the migration of client balances during 2009 to *Deposits* and *Home Loans & Insurance. GWIM s* average loan and deposit growth benefited from the acquisition of Merrill Lynch and the shift of client assets from off-balance sheet (e.g., money market funds) to on-balance sheet products (e.g., deposits) partially offset by the net migration of customer relationships. A more detailed discussion regarding migrated customer relationships and related balances is provided in the following *MLGWM* discussion.

Noninterest income increased \$9.5 billion to \$12.6 billion primarily due to higher investment and brokerage services income driven by the Merrill Lynch acquisition, the \$1.1 billion gain on our investment in BlackRock and the lower level of support provided to certain cash funds partially offset by the impact of lower average equity market levels and net outflows primarily in the cash complex.

Provision for credit losses increased \$397 million, or 60 percent, to \$1.1 billion, reflecting the weak economy during 2009 which drove higher net charge-offs in the consumer real estate and commercial portfolios including a single large commercial charge-off.

Noninterest expense increased \$8.2 billion to \$13.1 billion driven by the addition of Merrill Lynch and higher FDIC insurance and special assessment costs partially offset by lower revenue-related expenses.

Client Assets

The following table presents client assets which consist of AUM, client brokerage assets, assets in custody and client deposits.

Client Assets

	December	r 31
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Assets under management	\$ 749,852	\$ 523,159
Client brokerage assets (1)	1,270,461	172,106
Assets in custody	274,472	133,726
Client deposits	224,840	176,186
Less: Client brokerage assets and assets in custody included in assets under management	(346,682)	(87,519)
Total net client assets	\$ 2,172,943	\$ 917,658

⁽¹⁾ Client brokerage assets include non-discretionary brokerage and fee-based assets.

The increase in net client assets was driven by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch and higher equity market values at December 31, 2009 compared to 2008 partially offset by outflows that primarily occurred in cash and money market assets due to increasing interest rate pressure.

Merrill Lynch Global Wealth Management

Effective January 1, 2009, as a result of the Merrill Lynch acquisition, we combined the Merrill Lynch wealth management business and our former *Premier Banking & Investments* business to form *MLGWM*. *MLGWM* provides a high-touch client experience through a network of approximately 15,000 client-facing financial advisors to our affluent customers with a personal wealth profile of at least \$250,000 of investable assets. The addition of Merrill Lynch created one of the largest financial advisor networks in the world. Merrill Lynch added \$10.3 billion in revenue and \$1.6 billion in net income during 2009. Total client balances in *MLGWM*, which include deposits, AUM, client brokerage assets and other assets in custody, were \$1.4 trillion at December 31, 2009.

MLGWM includes the impact of migrating customers and their related deposit and loan balances to or from Deposits and Home Loans & Insurance. As of the date of migration, the associated net interest income, noninterest income and noninterest expense are recorded in the segment to which the customers migrated. During 2009, total deposits of \$43.4 billion were migrated to Deposits from MLGWM. Conversely, during 2008, total deposits of \$20.5 billion were migrated from Deposits to MLGWM. During 2009 and 2008, total loans of \$16.6 billion and \$1.7 billion were migrated from MLGWM, of which \$11.5 billion and \$1.6 billion were migrated to Home Loans & Insurance. These changes in 2009 were mainly due to client segmentation threshold changes resulting from the Merrill Lynch acquisition.

Bank of America 2009

Net income increased \$271 million, or 22 percent, to \$1.5 billion as increases in noninterest income and net interest income were partially offset by higher noninterest expense. Net interest income increased \$1.4 billion, or 42 percent, to \$4.6 billion driven by higher average deposit and loan balances due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch partially offset by a lower net interest income allocation from ALM activities, the impact of migration to *Deposits* and *Home Loans & Insurance*, and spread compression on deposits. Noninterest income rose \$6.8 billion to \$7.8 billion due to an increase in investment and brokerage services income of \$5.1 billion driven by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Provision for credit losses increased \$58 million, or 10 percent, to \$619 million primarily driven by increased credit costs related to the consumer real estate portfolio reflecting the weak housing market. Noninterest expense increased \$7.6 billion to \$9.4 billion driven by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. In addition, noninterest expense was adversely impacted by higher FDIC insurance and special assessment costs.

U.S. Trust, Bank of America Private Wealth Management

U.S. Trust provides comprehensive wealth management solutions to wealthy and ultra-wealthy clients with investable assets of more than \$3 million. In addition, U.S. Trust provides resources and customized solutions to meet clients—wealth structuring, investment management, trust and banking needs as well as specialty asset management services (oil and gas, real estate, farm and ranch, timberland, private businesses and tax advisory). Clients also benefit from access to resources available through the Corporation including capital markets products, large and complex financing solutions, and our extensive banking platform.

Net income decreased \$490 million, or 74 percent, to \$174 million driven by higher provision for credit losses and lower net interest income. Net interest income decreased \$209 million, or 13 percent, to \$1.4 billion due to a lower net interest income allocation from ALM activities partially offset by the shift of client assets from off-balance sheet (e.g., money market funds) to on-balance sheet products (e.g., deposits). Noninterest income decreased \$116 million, or eight percent, to \$1.3 billion driven by lower investment and brokerage services income due to lower valuations in the equity markets and a decline in transactional revenues offset by the addition of the Merrill Lynch trust business and lower losses related to ARS. Provision for credit losses increased \$339 million to \$442 million driven by higher net charge-offs, including a single large commercial charge-off, and higher reserve additions in the commercial and consumer real estate portfolios. Noninterest expense increased \$114 million, or six percent, to \$1.9 billion due to higher FDIC insurance and special assessment costs and the addition of the Merrill Lynch trust business which were partially offset by cost containment strategies and lower revenue-related expenses.

Columbia Management

Columbia is an asset management business serving the needs of both institutional clients and individual customers. Columbia provides asset management products and services including mutual funds and separate accounts. Columbia mutual fund offerings provide a broad array of investment strategies and products including equity, fixed income (taxable and nontaxable) and money market (taxable and nontaxable) funds. Columbia distributes its products and services to institutional clients and individuals directly through MLGWM, U.S. Trust, Global Banking and nonproprietary channels including other brokerage firms.

During 2009, the Corporation reached an agreement to sell the long-term asset management business of *Columbia* to Ameriprise Financial, Inc., for consideration of approximately \$900 million to \$1.2 billion subject to certain adjustments including, among other factors, AUM net flows. This includes the management of *Columbia* s equity and fixed

income mutual funds and separate accounts. The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2010, and is subject to regulatory approvals and customary closing conditions, including fund board, fund shareholder and other required client approvals.

Columbia recorded a net loss of \$7 million compared to a net loss of \$469 million in 2008. Net revenue increased \$539 million due to a reduction in losses of \$917 million related to support provided to certain cash funds offset by lower investment and brokerage services income of \$406 million. The decrease in investment and brokerage services income was driven by the impact of lower average equity market levels and net outflows primarily in the cash complex. Noninterest expense decreased \$194 million driven by lower revenue-related expenses, such as lower sub-advisory, distribution and dealer support expenses, and reduced personnel-related expenses.

Cash Funds Support

Beginning in the second half of 2007, we provided support to certain cash funds managed within *Columbia*. The funds for which we provided support typically invested in high quality, short-term securities with a portfolio weighted-average maturity of 90 days or less, including securities issued by SIVs and senior debt holdings of financial services companies. Due to market disruptions, certain investments in SIVs and senior debt securities were downgraded by the ratings agencies and experienced a decline in fair value. We entered into capital commitments under which the Corporation provided cash to these funds in the event the net asset value per unit of a fund declined below certain thresholds. All capital commitments to these cash funds have been terminated. In 2009 and 2008, we recorded losses of \$195 million and \$1.1 billion related to these capital commitments.

Additionally, during 2009 and 2008, we purchased \$1.8 billion and \$1.7 billion of certain investments from the funds. As a result of these purchases, certain cash funds, including the Money Market Funds, managed within *Columbia* no longer have exposure to SIVs or other troubled assets. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we held AFS debt securities with a fair value of \$902 million and \$698 million of which \$423 million and \$279 million were classified as nonperforming AFS debt securities and had \$171 million and \$272 million of related unrealized losses recorded in accumulated OCI. The decline in value of these securities was driven by the lack of market liquidity and the overall deterioration of the financial markets. These unrealized losses are recorded in accumulated OCI as we expect to recover the full principal amount of such investments and it is more-likely-than-not that we will not be required to sell the investments prior to recovery.

Other

Other includes the results of the Retirement & Philanthropic Services business, the Corporation s approximately 34 percent economic ownership interest in BlackRock and other miscellaneous items. Our investment in BlackRock is accounted for under the equity method of accounting with our proportionate share of income or loss recorded in equity investment income.

Net income increased \$868 million to \$891 million compared to 2008. The increase was driven by higher noninterest income offset by higher noninterest expense and lower net interest income. Net interest income decreased \$406 million due to the funding cost on a management accounting basis for carrying the BlackRock investment. Noninterest income increased \$2.4 billion to \$2.6 billion due to the addition of the Retirement & Philanthropic Services business from Merrill Lynch and earnings from BlackRock which contributed \$1.3 billion during 2009, including the \$1.1 billion gain previously mentioned. Noninterest expense increased \$624 million to \$789 million primarily driven by the addition of the Retirement & Philanthropic Services business from Merrill Lynch.

Bank of America 2009

All Other

			2009					2008		
	Reported									
	Basis	Secu	ritization		As	Reported	Secu	ıritization		As
(Dollars in millions)	(1)		Offset (2)	A	djusted	Basis (1)		Offset (2)	Ad	justed
Net interest income (3)	\$ (6,922)	\$	9,250	\$	2,328	\$ (8,019)	\$	8,701	\$	682
Noninterest income:										
Card income (loss)	(895)		2,034		1,139	2,164		(2,250)		(86)
Equity investment income	9,020				9,020	265				265
Gains on sales of debt securities	4,440				4,440	1,133				1,133
All other income (loss)	(6,735)		115		(6,620)	(711)		219		(492)
Total noninterest income	5,830		2,149		7,979	2,851		(2,031)		820
Total revenue, net of interest expense	(1,092)		11,399		10,307	(5,168)		6,670		1,502
Provision for credit losses	(3,431)		11,399		7,968	(3,769)		6,670		2,901
Merger and restructuring charges (4)	2,721				2,721	935				935
All other noninterest expense	1,997				1,997	189				189
Income (loss) before income taxes	(2,379)				(2,379)	(2,523)			((2,523)
Income tax benefit (3)	(2,857)				(2,857)	(1,283)			((1,283)
Net income (loss)	\$ 478	\$		\$	478	\$ (1,240)	\$		\$ ((1,240)

⁽¹⁾ Provision for credit losses represents the provision for credit losses in All Other combined with the Global Card Services securitization offset.

⁽⁴⁾ For more information on merger and restructuring charges, see Note 2 Merger and Restructuring Activity to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(Dollars in millions) <u>Balance Sheet</u>		2009	2008
Average			
Total loans and leases (1)	\$ 15	55,561	\$ 135,789
Total assets (1, 2)	23	39,642	77,244
Total deposits	10	03,122	105,725
Allocated equity (3)	4	49,015	16,563
Year end			
Total loans and leases (1)	\$ 15	52,944	\$ 136,163
Total assets (1, 2)	13	37,382	79,420
Total deposits	7	78,618	86,888

⁽¹⁾ Loan amounts are net of the securitization offset of \$98.5 billion and \$104.4 billion for 2009 and 2008 and \$89.7 billion and \$101.0 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Global Card Services is reported on a managed basis which includes a securitization impact adjustment which has the effect of assuming that loans that have been securitized were not sold and presents these loans in a manner similar to the way loans that have not been sold are presented. All Other s results include a corresponding securitization offset which removes the impact of these securitized loans in order to present the consolidated results on a GAAP basis (i.e., held basis). See the Global Card Services section beginning on page 29 for information on the Global Card Services managed results. The following All Other discussion focuses on the results on an as adjusted basis excluding the securitization offset. In addition to the securitization offset discussed above, All Other includes our Equity Investments businesses and Other.

Equity Investments includes Global Principal Investments, Corporate Investments and Strategic Investments. On January 1, 2009, Global

⁽²⁾ The securitization offset on net interest income is on a funds transfer pricing methodology consistent with the way funding costs are allocated to the businesses. (3) FTE basis

⁽²⁾ Includes elimination of segments excess asset allocations to match liabilities (i.e., deposits) of \$511.0 billion and \$413.1 billion for 2009 and 2008 and \$561.6 billion and \$439.2 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

⁽³⁾ Increase in allocated equity was due to capital raises during 2009.

Principal Investments added Merrill Lynch s principal investments. The combined business is comprised of a diversified portfolio of investments in private equity, real estate and other alternative investments. These investments are made either directly in a company or held through a fund with related income recorded in equity investment income. Global Principal Investments has unfunded equity commitments amounting to \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2009 related to certain of these investments. For more information on these commitments, see *Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Corporate Investments primarily includes investments in publicly traded debt and equity securities and funds which are accounted for as AFS marketable equity securities. Strategic Investments includes investments of \$9.2 billion in CCB, \$5.4 billion in Itaú Unibanco Holding S.A. (Itaú Unibanco), \$2.5 billion in Grupo Financiero Santander, S.A. (Santander) and other investments. Our shares of Itaú Unibanco are accounted for as AFS marketable equity securities. Our investment in Santander is accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

In 2009, we sold 19.1 billion common shares representing our entire initial investment in CCB for \$10.1 billion, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$7.3 billion. During 2008, under the terms of the CCB purchase option, we increased our ownership by purchasing approximately 25.6 billion common shares for \$9.2 billion. We continue to hold the shares purchased in 2008.

These shares are accounted for at cost, are recorded in other assets and are non-transferable until August 2011. We remain a significant shareholder in CCB with an approximate 11 percent ownership interest and intend to continue the important long-term strategic alliance with CCB originally entered into in 2005. As part of this alliance, we expect to continue to provide advice and assistance to CCB.

Bank of America 2009

41

The following table presents the components of *All Other s* equity investment income and reconciliation to the total consolidated equity investment income for 2009 and 2008 and also *All Other s* equity investments at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Equity Investment Income

(Dollars in millions)		2009	2008
Global Principal Investments	\$	1,222	\$ (84)
Corporate Investments		(88)	(520)
Strategic and other investments		7,886	869
Total equity investment income included in All Other		9,020	265
Total equity investment income included in the business segments		994	274
Total consolidated equity investment income	\$:	10,014	\$ 539

Equity Investments

	D	ecember 51
	2009	2008
Global Principal Investments	\$ 14,071	\$ 3,812
Corporate Investments	2,731	2,583
Strategic and other investments	17,860	25,027
Total equity investments included in All Other	\$ 34,662	\$ 31,422

Other includes the residential mortgage portfolio associated with ALM activities, the residual impact of the cost allocation processes, merger and restructuring charges, intersegment eliminations and the results of certain businesses that are expected to be or have been sold or are in the process of being liquidated. Other also includes certain amounts associated with ALM activities, including the residual impact of funds transfer pricing allocation methodologies, amounts associated with the change in the value of derivatives used as economic hedges of interest rate and foreign exchange rate fluctuations, impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations related to revaluation of foreign currency-denominated debt, fair value adjustments on certain structured notes, certain gains (losses) on sales of whole mortgage loans and gains (losses) on sales of debt securities. In addition, Other includes adjustments to net interest income and income tax expense to remove the FTE effect of items (primarily low-income housing tax credits) that are reported on a FTE basis in the business segments. Other also includes a trust services business which is a client-focused business providing trustee services and fund administration to various financial services companies.

First Republic results are also included in *Other*. First Republic, acquired as part of the Merrill Lynch acquisition, provides personalized, relationship-based banking services including private banking, private business banking, real estate lending, trust, brokerage and investment management. First Republic is a stand-alone bank that operates primarily on the west coast and in the northeast and caters to high-end customers. On October 21, 2009, we reached an agreement to sell First Republic to a number of investors, led by First Republic s existing management, Colony Capital, LLC and General Atlantic, LLC. The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2010 subject to regulatory approval.

All Other recorded net income of \$478 million in 2009 compared to a net loss of \$1.2 billion in 2008 as higher total revenue driven by increases in noninterest income, net interest income and an income tax benefit were partially offset by increased provision for credit losses, merger and restructuring charges and all other noninterest expense.

Net interest income increased \$1.6 billion to \$2.3 billion primarily due to unallocated net interest income related to increased liquidity driven in

part by capital raises during 2009 and the addition of First Republic in 2009.

Noninterest income increased \$7.2 billion to \$8.0 billion driven by higher equity investment income of \$8.8 billion, increased gains on sales of debt securities of \$3.3 billion and increased card income of \$1.2 billion. These items were partially offset by a decrease in all other income of \$6.1 billion. The increase in equity investment income was driven by a \$7.3 billion gain on the sale of a portion of our CCB investment and positive valuation adjustments on public and private investments within Global Principal Investments. The decrease in all other income was driven by the \$4.9 billion negative credit valuation adjustments on certain Merrill Lynch structured notes due to an improvement in credit spreads during 2009. In addition, we recorded other-than-temporary impairments of \$1.6 billion related to non-agency CMOs included in the ALM debt securities portfolio during the year.

Provision for credit losses increased \$5.1 billion to \$8.0 billion. This increase was primarily due to higher credit costs related to our ALM residential mortgage portfolio reflecting deterioration in the housing markets and the impacts of a weak economy.

Merger and restructuring charges increased \$1.8 billion to \$2.7 billion due to the Merrill Lynch and Countrywide acquisitions. The Merrill Lynch acquisition was accounted for in accordance with new accounting guidance for business combinations effective on January 1, 2009 requiring that acquisition-related transaction and restructuring costs be charged to expense. Previously these costs were recorded as an adjustment to goodwill. This change in accounting drove a portion of the increase. We recorded \$1.8 billion of merger and restructuring charges during 2009 related to the Merrill Lynch acquisition, the majority of which related to severance and employee-related charges. The remaining merger and restructuring charges related to Countrywide and ABN AMRO North America Holding Company, parent of LaSalle Bank Corporation (LaSalle). For additional information on merger and restructuring charges and systems integrations, see *Note 2 Merger and Restructuring Activity* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. All other noninterest expense increased \$1.8 billion to \$2.0 billion due to higher personnel costs and a \$425 million charge to pay the U.S. government to terminate its asset guarantee term sheet.

Income tax benefit in 2009 increased \$1.6 billion primarily as a result of the release of a portion of a valuation allowance that was provided for an acquired capital loss carryforward.

Obligations and Commitments

We have contractual obligations to make future payments on debt and lease agreements. Additionally, in the normal course of business, we enter into contractual arrangements whereby we commit to future purchases of products or services from unaffiliated parties. Obligations that are legally binding agreements whereby we agree to purchase products or services with a specific minimum quantity defined at a fixed, minimum or variable price over a specified period of time are defined as purchase obligations. Included in purchase obligations are commitments to purchase loans of \$9.5 billion and vendor contracts of \$9.1 billion. The most significant vendor contracts include communication services, processing services and software contracts. Other long-term liabilities include our contractual funding obligations related to the Qualified Pension Plans, Nonqualified Pension Plans and Postretirement Health and Life Plans (the Plans). Obligations to the Plans are based on the current and projected obligations of the Plans, performance of the Plans assets and any participant contributions, if applicable. During 2009 and 2008, we contributed \$414 million and \$1.6 billion to the Plans, and we expect to make at least \$346 million of contributions during 2010.

42 Bank of America 2009

Table 9 presents total long-term debt and other obligations at December 31, 2009.

Table 9 Long-term Debt and Other Obligations

	December 31, 2009						
		\mathbf{D}	Due after 1	Ι	Oue after 3	Due	
	Due in 1	Yea	r through	Year	rs through	after	
(Dollars in millions)	Year or Less		3 Years		5 Years	5 Years	Total
Long-term debt and capital leases	\$ 99,144	\$	124,054	\$	72,103	\$ 143,220	\$ 438,521
Operating lease obligations	3,143		5,072		3,355	8,143	19,713
Purchase obligations	11,957		3,667		1,627	2,119	19,370
Other long-term liabilities	610		1,097		848	1,464	4,019
Total long-term debt and other obligations	\$ 114,854	\$	133,890	\$	77,933	\$ 154,946	\$ 481,623

Debt, lease, equity and other obligations are more fully discussed in *Note 13 Long-term Debt* and *Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Plans are more fully discussed in *Note 17 Employee Benefit Plans* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We enter into commitments to extend credit such as loan commitments, standby letters of credit (SBLCs) and commercial letters of credit to meet the financing needs of our customers. For a summary of the total unfunded, or off-balance sheet, credit extension commitment amounts by expiration date, see the table in *Note Commitments and Contingencies* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Regulatory Initiatives

On November 12, 2009, the Federal Reserve issued the final rule related to changes to Regulation E and on May 22, 2009, the CARD Act was signed into law. For more information on the impact of these new regulations, see Regulatory Overview on page 17.

In December 2009, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision released consultative documents on both capital and liquidity. In addition, we will begin Basel II parallel implementation during the second quarter of 2010. For more information, see Basel Regulatory Capital Requirements on page 52.

On January 21, 2010, the Federal Reserve, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, FDIC and Office of Thrift Supervision (collectively, joint agencies) issued a final rule regarding risk-based capital and the impact of adoption of new consolidation rules issued by the FASB. The final rule eliminates the exclusion of certain asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP) program assets from risk-weighted assets and provides a reservation of authority to permit the joint agencies to require banks to treat structures that are not consolidated under the accounting standards as if they were consolidated for risk-based capital purposes commensurate with the risk relationship of the bank to the structure. In addition, the final rule allows for an optional delay and phase-in for a maximum of one year for the effect on risk-weighted assets and the regulatory limit on the inclusion of the allowance for loan and lease losses in Tier 2 capital related to the assets that must be consolidated as a result of the accounting change. The transitional relief does not apply to the leverage ratio or to assets in VIEs to which a bank provides implicit support. We have elected to forgo the phase-in period, and accordingly, we consolidated the amounts for regulatory capital purposes as of January 1, 2010. For more information on the impact of this guidance, see Impact of Adopting New Accounting Guidance on Consolidation on page 52.

On December 14, 2009, we announced our intention to increase lending to small- and medium-sized businesses to approximately \$1 billion in 2010 compared to approximately \$16 billion in 2009. This announcement is consistent with the U.S. Treasury s initiative, announced as part of the Financial Stability Plan on February 2, 2009, to help increase small

business owners—access to credit. As part of the initiative, the U.S. Treasury began making direct purchases of up to \$15 billion of certain securities backed by Small Business Administration (SBA) loans to improve liquidity in the credit markets and purchasing new securities to ensure that financial institutions feel confident in extending new loans to small businesses. The program also temporarily raises guarantees to up to 90 percent in the SBA s loan program and temporarily eliminates certain SBA loan fees. We continue to lend to creditworthy small business customers through small business credit cards, loans and lines of credit products.

In response to the economic downturn, the FDIC implemented the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP) to strengthen confidence and encourage liquidity in the banking system by allowing the FDIC to guarantee senior unsecured debt (e.g., promissory notes, unsubordinated unsecured notes and commercial paper) up to prescribed limits, issued by participating entities beginning on October 14, 2008, and continuing through October 31, 2009. We participated in this

program; however, as announced in September 2009, due to improved market liquidity and our ability to issue debt without the FDIC guarantee, we, with the FDIC s agreement, exited the program and have stopped issuing FDIC-guaranteed debt. At December 31, 2009, we still had FDIC-guaranteed debt outstanding issued under the TLGP of \$44.3 billion. The TLGP also offered the Transaction Account Guarantee Program (TAGP) that guaranteed noninterest-bearing deposit accounts held at participating FDIC-insured institutions on balances in excess of \$250,000. We elected to opt out of the six-month extension of the TAGP which extends the program to June 30, 2010. We exited the TAGP effective December 31, 2009.

On September 21, 2009, the Corporation reached an agreement to terminate its term sheet with the U.S. government under which the U.S. government agreed in principle to provide protection against the possibility of unusually large losses on a pool of the Corporation s financial instruments that were acquired from Merrill Lynch. In connection with the termination of the term sheet, the Corporation paid a total of \$425 million to the U.S. government to be allocated among the U.S. Treasury, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC.

In addition to exiting the TARP as discussed on page 18, terminating the U.S. Government s asset guarantee term sheet and exiting the TLGP, including the TAGP, we have exited or ceased participation in market disruption liquidity programs created by the U.S. government in response to the economic downturn of 2008. We have exited or repaid borrowings under the Term Auction Facility, U.S. Treasury Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program for Money Market Funds, ABCP Money Market Fund Liquidity Facility, Commercial Paper Federal Funding Facility, Money Market Investor Funding Facility, Term Securities Lending Facility and Primary Dealer Credit Facility.

On November 17, 2009, the FDIC issued a final rule that required institutions to prepay on December 30, 2009 their estimated

Bank of America 2009

quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. For the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, the prepaid assessment rate was based on each institution s total base assessment rate for the third quarter of 2009, modified to assume that the assessment rate in effect on September 30, 2009 had been in effect for the entire third quarter of 2009. The prepaid assessment rates for 2011 and 2012 are equal to the modified third quarter 2009 total base assessment rate plus three bps adjusted quarterly for an estimated five percent annual growth rate in the assessment base through the end of 2012. As the prepayment related to future periods, it was recorded in prepaid assets for financial reporting purposes and will be recognized as expense over the coverage period.

On May 22, 2009, the FDIC adopted a rule designed to replenish the deposit insurance fund. This rule established a special assessment of five bps on each FDIC-insured depository institution s assets minus its Tier 1 capital with a maximum assessment not to exceed 10 bps of an institution s domestic deposits. This special assessment was calculated based on asset levels at June 30, 2009, and was collected on September 30, 2009. The Corporation recorded a net charge of \$724 million in 2009 in connection with this assessment. Additionally, beginning April 1, 2009, the FDIC increased fees on deposits based on a revised risk-weighted methodology which increased the base assessment rates.

Pursuant to the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA), the U.S. Treasury announced the creation of the Financial Stability Plan. This plan outlined a series of key initiatives including a new Capital Assistance Program (CAP) to help ensure that banking institutions have sufficient capital. We, as well as several other large financial institutions, are subject to the Supervisory Capital Assessment Program (SCAP) conducted by federal regulators. The objective of the SCAP is to assess losses that could occur under certain economic scenarios, including economic conditions more severe than anticipated. As a result of the SCAP, in May 2009, federal regulators determined that the Corporation required an additional \$33.9 billion of Tier 1 common capital to sustain more severe economic circumstances assuming a more prolonged and deeper recession over a two-year period than the majority of both private and government economists projected. We achieved the increased capital requirement during the first half of 2009 through strategic transactions that increased common capital, including the expected reductions in preferred dividends and related reduction in deferred tax asset disallowances, by approximately \$39.7 billion and significantly exceeded the SCAP buffer. This Tier 1 common capital increase resulted from the exchange of approximately \$14.8 billion aggregate liquidation preference of non-government preferred shares into approximately 1.0 billion common shares, an at-the-market offering of 1.25 billion common shares for \$13.5 billion, a \$4.4 billion benefit (including associated tax effects) related to the sale of shares of CCB, a \$3.2 billion benefit (net of tax and including an approximate \$800 million reduction in goodwill and intangibles) related to the gain from the contribution of our merchant processing business to a joint venture, \$1.6 billion due to reduced actual and forecasted preferred dividends throughout 2009 and 2010 related to the exchange of preferred for common shares and a \$2.2 billio

On March 4, 2009, the U.S. Treasury provided details related to the \$75 billion Making Home Affordable program (MHA). The MHA is focused on reducing the number of foreclosures and making it easier for customers to refinance loans. The MHA consists of the Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP) which provides guidelines on first lien loan modifications, and the Home Affordable Refinance Program (HARP) which provides guidelines for loan refinancing. The HAMP is designed to help at-risk homeowners avoid foreclosure by reducing payments. This program

provides incentives to lenders to modify all eligible loans that fall under the guidelines of this program. The HARP is available to approximately four to five million homeowners who have a proven payment history on an existing mortgage owned by the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC). The HARP is designed to help eligible homeowners refinance their mortgage loans to take advantage of current lower mortgage rates or to refinance adjustable-rate mortgages (ARM) into more stable fixed-rate mortgages.

As part of the MHA program, on April 28, 2009, the U.S. government announced intentions to create the second lien modification program (2MP) that will be designed to reduce the monthly payments on qualifying home equity loans and lines of credit under certain conditions, including completion of a HAMP modification on the first mortgage on the property. This program will provide incentives to lenders to modify all eligible loans that fall under the guidelines of this program. On January 26, 2010, we formally announced that we will participate in the 2MP once program details are finalized. We will modify eligible second liens regardless of whether the MHA modified first lien is serviced by Bank of America or another participating servicer.

Another addition to the HAMP is the recently announced Home Affordable Foreclosure Alternatives (HAFA) program to assist borrowers with non-retention options instead of foreclosure. The HAFA program provides incentives to lenders to assist all eligible borrowers that fall under the guidelines of this program. Our first goal is to work with the borrower to determine if a loan modification or other homeownership retention solution is available before pursuing non-retention options such as short sales. Short sales are an important option for homeowners who are facing financial difficulty and do not have a viable option to remain in the home. HAFA s short sale guidelines are designed to streamline and standardize the process and will be compatible with Bank of America s new cooperative short sale program.

As of January 2010, approximately 220,000 Bank of America customers were already in a trial-period modification under the MHA program. We will continue to help our customers address financial challenges through these government programs and our own home retention programs.

Managing Risk

Overview

The Corporation s risk management infrastructure is evolving to meet the challenges posed by the increased complexity of the financial services industry and markets, by our increased size and global footprint, and by the rapid and significant financial crisis of the past two years. We have redefined our risk framework, articulated a risk appetite approved by the Board of Directors (the Board), and begun the roll out and implementation of our risk plan. While many of these processes, and roles and responsibilities continue to evolve and mature, we will ensure that we continue to enhance our risk management process with a focus on clarity of roles and accountabilities, escalation of issues, aggregation of risk and data across the enterprise, and effective governance characterized by clarity and transparency.

Given our wide range of business activities as well as the competitive dynamics, the regulatory environment and the geographic span of such activities, risk taking is an inherent activity for the Corporation. Consequently, we take a comprehensive approach to risk management. Risk management planning is fully integrated with strategic, financial and customer/client planning so that goals and responsibilities are aligned across the organization. Risk is managed in a systematic manner by focusing on the Corporation as a whole and managing risk across the enterprise and within individual business units, products, services and transactions. We maintain a governance structure that delineates the

Bank of America 2009

responsibilities for risk management activities, as well as governance and the oversight of those activities, by executive management and the Board.

Economic capital is assigned to each business segment using a risk-adjusted methodology incorporating each segment stand-alone credit, market, interest rate and operational risk components, and is used to measure risk-adjusted returns. Executive management assesses, and the Board oversees, the risk-adjusted returns of each business through review and approval of strategic and financial operating plans. By allocating economic capital to and establishing a risk appetite for a line of business, we effectively manage the ability to take on risk. Businesses operate within their credit, market, compliance, and operational risk standards and limits in order to adhere to the risk appetite. These limits are based on analyses of risk and reward in each line of business, and executive management is responsible for tracking and reporting performance measurements as well as any exceptions to guidelines or limits. The Board monitors financial performance, execution of the strategic and financial operating plans, compliance with the risk appetite and the adequacy of internal controls through its committees.

Our business exposes us to strategic, credit, market, liquidity, compliance, operational and reputational risk. Strategic risk is the risk that adverse business decisions, ineffective or inappropriate business plans, or failure to respond to changes in the competitive environment, business cycles, customer preferences, product obsolescence, execution and/or other intrinsic risks of business will impact our ability to meet our objectives. Credit risk is the risk of loss arising from a borrower s or counterparty s inability to meet its obligations. Market risk is the risk that values of assets and liabilities or revenues will be adversely affected by changes in market conditions such as interest rate movements. Liquidity risk is the inability to accommodate liability maturities and deposit withdrawals, fund asset growth and meet contractual obligations through unconstrained access to funding at reasonable market rates. Compliance risk is the risk posed by the failure to manage regulatory, legal and ethical issues that could result in monetary damages, losses or harm to our reputation or image. Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or external events. Reputational risk, the risk that negative publicity will adversely affect the Corporation, is managed as a natural part of managing the other six types of risk. The following sections, Strategic Risk Management on page 47, Liquidity Risk and Capital Management beginning on page 54, Market Risk Management beginning on page 79, Compliance Risk Management on page 86, and Operational Risk Management beginning on page 86, address in more detail the specific procedures, measures and analyses of the major categories of risk that the Corporation manages.

On October 28, 2009, the Board approved the Risk Framework and Risk Appetite Statement for the Corporation. The Risk Framework is designed to be used by our associates to understand risk management activities, including their individual roles and accountabilities. The Risk Framework defines how risk management is integrated into our core business processes, and it defines the risk management governance structure, including management s involvement. The risk management responsibilities of the lines of business, Governance and Control functions, and Corporate Audit are also clearly defined. The Risk Framework reflects how the Board-approved risk appetite influences business and risk strategy. The management process (i.e., identify and measure risk, mitigate and control risk, monitor and test risk, and report and review risk) was enhanced for execution across all business activities. The Risk Framework supports the accountability of the Corporation and its associates to ensure the integrity of assets and the quality of earnings. The Risk Appetite Statement defines the parameters under which we will take

risk to maximize our long-term results by ensuring the integrity of our assets and the quality of our earnings. Our intent is for our risk appetite to reflect a through the cycle view which will be reviewed and assessed annually.

Risk Management Processes and Methods

To ensure that our corporate goals and objectives, risk appetite, and business and risk strategies are achieved, we utilize a risk management process that is applied in executing all business activities. All functions and roles fall into one of three categories where risk must be managed. These are lines of business, Governance and Control (Global Risk Management or other support groups) and Corporate Audit.

The lines of business are responsible for identifying and managing all existing, reputational and emerging risks in their business units, since this is where most of our risk-taking occurs. Line of business management makes and executes the business plan and is closest to the changing nature of risks and, therefore, we believe is best able to implement procedures and controls that align to policies and limits. Risk self-assessments conducted by the business are used to identify risks and calibrate the severity of potential risk issues. These assessments are reviewed by the lines of business and executive management, including senior Risk executives. To the extent appropriate, the assessments are reviewed by the Board or its committees to ensure appropriate risk management and oversight, and to identify enterprise-wide issues. Our management processes, structures and policies aid us in complying with laws and regulations and provide clear lines for decision-making and accountability. Wherever practical, we attempt to house decision-making authority as close to the transaction as possible while retaining supervisory control functions from both inside and outside of the lines of business.

The Governance and Control functions include our Risk Management, Finance, Treasury, Technology and Operations, Human Resources, and Legal functions. These groups are independent of the lines of business and are organized with both line of business-aligned and enterprise-wide functions. The Governance and Control functions are accountable for setting policies, standards and limits according to the Risk Appetite Statement, providing risk reporting and monitoring, and ensuring compliance. For example, in Global Risk Management, a senior risk executive is assigned to each of the lines of business and is responsible for the oversight of all the risks associated with that line of business and ensuring compliance with policies, standards and limits. Enterprise-level risk executives have responsibility to develop and implement the framework for policies and practices to assess and manage enterprise-wide credit, market, compliance and operational risks.

Corporate Audit provides an independent assessment of our management and internal control systems through testing of key processes and controls across the organization. Corporate Audit activities are designed to provide reasonable assurance that resources are adequately protected; significant financial, managerial and

operating information is materially complete, accurate and reliable; and employees actions are in compliance with the Corporation s policies, standards, procedures, and applicable laws and regulations.

We use a risk management process, applied across the execution of all business activities, that is designed to identify and measure, mitigate and control, monitor and test, and report and review risks. This process enables us to review risks in an integrated and comprehensive manner and make strategic and business decisions based on that comprehensive view. Corporate goals and objectives and risk appetite are established by executive management, approved by the Board, and are inputs to setting business and risk strategy which guide the execution of business activities. Governance, continuous feedback, and independent testing and validation provide structured controls, reporting and audit of the execution

Bank of America 2009 45

of risk processes and business activities. Examples of tools, methods and processes used include: self-assessments conducted by the lines of business in concert with independent risk assessments by Governance and Control (part of identify and measure); a system of controls and supervision which provides assurance that associates act in accordance with laws, regulations, policies and procedures (part of mitigate and control); independent testing of control and mitigation plans by Credit Review and Corporate Audit (part of monitor and test); and a summary risk report which includes key risk metrics that measure the performance of the Corporation against risk limits and the Risk Appetite Statement (part of report and review).

The formal processes used to manage risk represent only one portion of our overall risk management process. Corporate culture and the actions of our associates are also critical to effective risk management. Through our Code of Ethics, we set a high standard for our associates. The Code of Ethics provides a framework for all of our associates to conduct themselves with the highest integrity in the delivery of our products or services to our customers. We instill a risk-conscious culture through communications, training, policies, procedures, and organizational roles and responsibilities. Additionally, we continue to strengthen the linkage between the associate performance management process and individual compensation to encourage associates to work toward enterprise-wide risk goals.

Board Oversight

The Board oversees management of the Corporation s businesses and affairs. In its oversight of the Corporation, the Board s goal is to set the tone for the highest ethical standards and performance of our management, associates and the Corporation as a whole. The Board strongly believes that good corporate governance practices are important for successful business performance. Our corporate governance practices are designed to align the interests of the Board and management with those of our stockholders and to promote honesty and integrity throughout the Corporation. Over the past year, we have enhanced our corporate governance practices in many important ways, and we continue to monitor best practices to promote a high level of performance from the Board, management and our associates. The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines that embody long-standing practices of the Corporation as well as current corporate governance best practices.

In 2009, the Board established a special Board committee with five non-management members (the Special Governance Committee) to review and recommend changes in all aspects of the Board's activities. In recognition of the increased complexity of our company following the major acquisitions of Merrill Lynch and Countrywide, and the challenges of the current business environment, the Board has strengthened its membership by appointing new directors who are independent of management and demonstrate significant banking, financial and investment banking expertise. In addition, the Board has assessed and further developed its structures and processes through which it fulfills its oversight role by the following: modifying committee membership and leadership to best leverage the abilities and backgrounds of the Board members; recasting the Asset Quality Committee as a more targeted and focused Credit Committee and establishing the Enterprise Risk Committee such that these two committees, together with the Audit Committee, work in complement to ensure that key aspects of risk, capital and liquidity management are specifically overseen by committees with clear and affirmative oversight responsibilities set forth in their committee charters; working with management and outside regulatory experts to redesign

management reports to the Board and committees; periodically reviewing the composition of the Board in light of the Corporation s business and structure to identify and nominate director candidates who possess relevant experience, qualifications, attributes and skills to the Board; and enhancing the director orientation process to include, among other changes, increased interaction with executive management and increased focus on key risks.

At the Corporation, the Audit, Credit and Enterprise Risk Committees are charged with a majority of the risk oversight responsibilities on behalf of the Board. In 2009, as noted above, the Board recast the Asset Quality Committee as a more targeted and focused Credit Committee and established a new Enterprise Risk Committee. The Credit Committee oversees, among other things, the management of our credit exposures on an enterprise-wide basis, our response to trends affecting those exposures, the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses and our credit related policies. The Enterprise Risk Committee, among other things, oversees our management of and policies and procedures with respect to material risks on an enterprise-wide basis, including market risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk and reputational risk. It also oversees our capital management and liquidity planning. The Audit Committee retains oversight responsibility for operational risk, the integrity of our consolidated financial statements, compliance, legal risk and overall policies and practices relating to risk management. In addition to the three risk oversight committees, the Compensation and Benefits Committee oversees the Corporation s compensation practices in order that they do not encourage unnecessary and excessive risk taking by our associates.

The Audit, Credit and Enterprise Risk Committees work in tandem to provide enterprise-wide oversight of the Corporation s management and handling of risk. Each of these three committees reports regularly to the Board on risk-related matters within its responsibilities and together this provides the Board with integrated insight about our management of strategic, credit, market, liquidity, compliance, operational and reputational risks.

Starting in 2009, the Board formalized its process of approving the Corporation s articulation of its risk appetite, which is used internally to help the directors and management understand more clearly the Corporation s tolerance for risk in each of the major risk categories, the way those risks are measured and the key controls available that influence the Corporation s level of risk-taking. The Board intends to undertake this process annually going forward. The Board also approves, at a high level, following proposal by management, the Corporation s framework for managing risk.

At meetings of the Board and the Audit, Credit and Enterprise Risk Committees, directors receive updates from management regarding enterprise risk management, including our performance against the identified risk appetite. The Chief Risk Officer, who is responsible for instituting risk management practices that are consistent with our overall business strategy and risk appetite, and the General Counsel, who manages legal risk, both report directly to the Chief Executive Officer and lead management s risk and legal risk discussions at Board and committee meetings. In addition, the Corporate General Auditor, who is responsible for assessing the company s control environment over significant financial, managerial, and operating information, is independent of management and

reports directly to the Audit Committee. The Corporate General Auditor also administratively reports to our Chief Executive Officer. Outside of formal meetings, Board members have regular access to senior executives, including the Chief Risk Officer and the General Counsel.

Bank of America 2009

Strategic Risk Management

Strategic risk is embedded in every line of business and is part of the other major risk categories (credit, market, liquidity, compliance and operational). It is the risk that results from adverse business decisions, ineffective or inappropriate business plans, or failure to respond to changes in the competitive environment, business cycles, customer preferences, product obsolescence, regulatory environment, business strategy execution, and/or other inherent risks of the business including reputational risk. In the financial services industry, strategic risk is high due to changing customer and regulatory environments. The Corporation s appetite for strategic risk is continually assessed within the context of the strategic plan, with strategic risks selectively and carefully taken to maintain relevance in the evolving marketplace. Strategic risk is managed in the context of our overall financial condition and assessed, managed and acted on by the Chief Executive Officer and executive management team. Significant strategic actions, such as material acquisitions or capital actions, are reviewed and approved by the Board.

Using a plan developed by management, executive management and the Board approve a strategic plan every two to three years. Annually, executive management develops a financial operating plan and the Board reviews and approves the plan. Executive management, with Board oversight, ensures that the plans are consistent with the Corporation s strategic plan, core operating tenets and risk appetite. The following are assessed in their reviews: forecasted earnings and returns on capital; the current risk profile and changes required to support the plan; current capital and liquidity requirements and changes required to support the plan; stress testing results; and other qualitative factors such as market growth rates and peer analysis. Executive management, with Board oversight, performs similar analyses throughout the year, and will define changes to the financial forecast or the risk, capital or liquidity positions as deemed appropriate to balance and optimize between achieving the targeted risk appetite and shareholder returns and maintaining the targeted financial strength.

We use proprietary models to measure the capital requirements for credit, country, market, operational and strategic risks. The economic capital assigned to each line of business is based on its unique risk exposures. With oversight by the Board, executive management assesses the risk-adjusted returns of each business in approving strategic and financial operating plans. The businesses use economic capital to define business strategies, price products and transactions, and evaluate client profitability.

Liquidity Risk and Capital Management

Funding and Liquidity Risk Management

We define liquidity risk as the potential inability to meet our contractual and contingent financial obligations, on- or off-balance sheet, as they come due. Our primary liquidity objective is to ensure adequate funding for our businesses throughout market cycles, including during periods of financial stress. To achieve that objective we analyze and monitor our liquidity risk, maintain excess liquidity and access diverse funding sources including our stable deposit base. We define excess liquidity as readily available assets, limited to cash and high-quality liquid unencumbered securities, that we can use to meet our funding requirements as those obligations arise.

Global funding and liquidity risk management activities are centralized within Corporate Treasury. We believe that a centralized approach to funding and liquidity risk management enhances our ability to monitor liquidity requirements, maximizes access to funding sources, minimizes borrowing costs and facilitates timely responses to liquidity events.

The Board approves the Corporation s liquidity policy and contingency funding plan, including establishing liquidity risk tolerance levels. The Asset and Liability Market Risk Committee (ALMRC), in conjunction with the Board and its committees, monitors our liquidity position and reviews the impact of strategic decisions on our liquidity. ALMRC is responsible for managing liquidity risks and ensuring exposures remain within the established tolerance levels. ALMRC delegates additional oversight responsibilities to the Risk Oversight Committee (ROC), which reports to ALMRC. ROC reviews and monitors our liquidity position, cash flow forecasts, stress testing scenarios and results, and implements our liquidity limits and guidelines. For more information, refer to Board Oversight on page 46.

Under this governance framework, we have developed the following funding and liquidity risk management practices:

Maintain excess liquidity at the parent company and selected subsidiaries, including our bank and broker/dealer subsidiaries

Determine what amounts of excess liquidity are appropriate for these entities based on analysis of debt maturities and other potential cash outflows, including those that we may experience during stressed market conditions

Diversify funding sources, considering our asset profile and legal entity structure

Perform contingency planning

Global Excess Liquidity Sources and Other Unencumbered Assets

We maintain excess liquidity available to the parent company and selected subsidiaries in the form of cash and high-quality, liquid, unencumbered securities that together serve as our primary means of liquidity risk mitigation. We call these assets our Global Excess Liquidity Sources, and we limit the composition of high-quality, liquid, unencumbered securities to U.S. government securities, U.S. agency securities, U.S. agency MBS and a select group of non-U.S. government securities. We believe we can quickly obtain cash for these securities, even in stressed market conditions, through repurchase agreements or outright sales. We hold these assets in entities that allow us to meet the liquidity requirements of our global businesses and we consider the impact of potential regulatory, tax, legal

and other restrictions that could limit the transferability of funds among entities.

Our Global Excess Liquidity Sources totaled \$214 billion at December 31, 2009 and were maintained as presented in the table below.

Table 10 Global Excess Liquidity Sources

December 31, 2009

(Dollars in billions)
Parent company
Bank subsidiaries
Broker/dealers

Total global excess liquidity sources

\$ 99
\$ 26
\$ 26

As noted above, the excess liquidity available to the parent company is held in cash and high-quality, liquid, unencumbered securities and totaled \$99 billion at December 31, 2009. Typically, parent company cash is deposited overnight with Bank of America, N.A.

Our bank subsidiaries excess liquidity sources at December 31, 2009 consisted of \$89 billion in cash on deposit at the Federal Reserve and high-quality, liquid, unencumbered securities. These amounts are distinct from the cash deposited by the parent company, as previously described. In addition to their excess liquidity sources, our bank sub -

Bank of America 2009 47

sidiaries hold significant amounts of other unencumbered securities that we believe they could also use to generate liquidity, such as investment grade ABS and municipal bonds. Another way our bank subsidiaries can generate incremental liquidity is by pledging a range of other unencumbered loans and securities to certain FHLBs and the Federal Reserve Discount Window. The cash we could have obtained at December 31, 2009 by borrowing against this pool of specifically identified eligible assets was approximately \$187 billion. We have established operational procedures to enable us to borrow against these assets, including regularly monitoring our total pool of eligible loan and securities collateral. Due to regulatory restrictions, liquidity generated by the bank subsidiaries may only be used to fund obligations within the bank subsidiaries and may not be transferred to the parent company or other nonbank subsidiaries.

Our broker/dealer subsidiaries excess liquidity sources at December 31, 2009 consisted of \$26 billion in cash and high-quality, liquid, unencumbered securities. Our broker/dealers also held significant amounts of other unencumbered securities we believe they could utilize to generate additional liquidity, including investment grade corporate bonds, ABS and equities. Liquidity held in a broker/dealer subsidiary may only be available to meet the liquidity requirements of that entity and may not be transferred to the parent company or other subsidiaries.

Time to Required Funding and Stress Modeling

We use a variety of metrics to determine the appropriate amounts of excess liquidity to maintain at the parent company and our bank and broker/dealer subsidiaries. The primary metric we use to evaluate the appropriate level of excess liquidity at the parent company is Time to Required Funding. This debt coverage measure indicates the number of months that the parent company can continue to meet its unsecured contractual obligations as they come due using only its Global Excess Liquidity Sources without issuing any new debt or accessing any additional liquidity sources. We define unsecured contractual obligations for purposes of this metric as senior or subordinated debt maturities issued or guaranteed by Bank of America Corporation or Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc., including certain unsecured debt instruments, primarily structured notes, which we may be required to settle for cash prior to maturity. ALMRC has established a minimum target for Time to Required Funding of 21 months. Time to Required Funding was 25 months at December 31, 2009.

We also utilize liquidity stress models to assist us in determining the appropriate amounts of excess liquidity to maintain at the parent company and our bank and broker/dealer subsidiaries. We use these models to analyze our potential contractual and contingent cash outflows and liquidity requirements under a range of scenarios with varying levels of severity and time horizons. These scenarios incorporate market-wide and Corporation-specific events, including potential credit rating downgrades for the parent company and our subsidiaries. We consider and utilize scenarios based on historical experience, regulatory guidance, and both expected and unexpected future events.

We consider all sources of funds that we could access during each stress scenario and focus particularly on matching available sources with corresponding liquidity requirements by legal entity. We also use the stress modeling results to manage our asset-liability profile and establish limits and guidelines on certain funding sources and businesses.

Diversified Funding Sources

We fund our assets primarily with a mix of deposits and secured and unsecured liabilities through a globally coordinated funding strategy. We

diversify our funding globally across products, programs, markets, currencies and investor bases.

We fund a substantial portion of our lending activities through our deposit base which was \$992 billion at December 31, 2009. Deposits are primarily generated by our *Deposits, Global Banking* and *GWIM* segments. These deposits are diversified by clients, product types and geography. Domestic deposits may be insured by the FDIC. We consider a substantial portion of our deposits to be a stable, low-cost and consistent source of funding. We believe this deposit funding is generally less sensitive to interest rate changes, market volatility or changes in our credit ratings than wholesale funding sources.

Certain consumer lending activities, primarily in our banking subsidiaries, may be funded through securitizations. Included in these consumer lending activities are the extension of mortgage, credit card, auto loans, home equity loans and lines of credit. If securitization markets are not available to us on favorable terms, we typically finance these loans with deposits or with wholesale borrowings. For additional information on securitizations see *Note 8 Securitizations* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our trading activities are primarily funded on a secured basis through repurchase and securities lending agreements. Due to the underlying collateral, we believe this financing is more cost-efficient and less sensitive to changes in our credit ratings than unsecured financing. Repurchase agreements are generally short-term and often occur overnight. Disruptions in secured financing markets for financial institutions have occurred in prior market cycles which resulted in adverse changes in terms or significant reductions in the availability of such financing. We manage the liquidity risks arising from secured funding by sourcing funding globally from a diverse group of counterparties, providing a range of securities collateral and pursuing longer durations when we finance lower-quality assets.

Unsecured debt, both short- and long-term, is also an important source of funding. We may issue unsecured debt through syndicated U.S. registered offerings, U.S. registered and unregistered medium-term note programs, non-U.S. medium-term note programs, non-U.S. private placements, U.S. and non-U.S. commercial paper and through other methods. We distribute a significant portion of our debt offerings through our retail and institutional sales forces to a large, diversified global investor base. Maintaining relationships with our investors is an important aspect of our funding strategy. We may also make markets in our debt instruments to provide liquidity for investors.

We issue the majority of our unsecured debt at the parent company and Bank of America, N.A. During 2009, we issued \$30.2 billion and \$10.5 billion of long-term senior unsecured debt at the parent company and Bank of America N.A. The primary benefits of this centralized financing strategy include greater control, reduced funding costs, wider name recognition by investors and greater flexibility to meet the variable funding requirements of subsidiaries. Where regulations, time zone differences, or other business considerations make parent company funding impractical, certain other subsidiaries may issue their own debt.

We issue unsecured debt in a variety of maturities and currencies to achieve cost-efficient funding and to maintain an appropriate maturity profile. While the cost and availability of unsecured funding may be negatively impacted by general market conditions or by matters specific to the financial services industry or Bank of America, we seek to mitigate refinancing risk by actively managing the amount of our borrowings that we anticipate will mature within any month or quarter.

At December 31, 2009, our long-term debt was issued in the currencies presented in the following table.

8 Bank of America 2009

Table 11 Long-term Debt By Major Currency

December 31, 2009

(Dollars in millions)	
U.S. Dollar	\$ 281,692
Euros	99,917
Japanese Yen	19,903
British Pound	16,460
Australian Dollar	7,973
Canadian Dollar	4,894
Swiss Franc	2,666
Other	5,016
Total long-term debt	\$ 438,521

We use derivative transactions to manage the duration, interest rate and currency risks of our borrowings, considering the characteristics of the assets they are funding. For further details on our ALM activities, refer to Interest Rate Risk Management for Nontrading Activities beginning on page 83.

We also diversify our funding sources by issuing various types of debt instruments including structured notes. Structured notes are debt obligations that pay investors with returns linked to other debt or equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities. We typically hedge the returns we are obligated to pay on these notes with derivative positions and/or in the underlying instruments so that from a funding perspective, the cost is similar to our other unsecured long-term debt. We could be required to immediately settle certain structured note obligations for cash or other securities under certain circumstances, which we consider for liquidity planning purposes. We believe, however, that a portion of such borrowings will remain outstanding beyond the earliest put or redemption date. At December 31, 2009, we had outstanding structured notes of \$57 billion.

Substantially all of our senior and subordinated debt obligations contain no provisions that could trigger a requirement for an early repayment, require additional collateral support, result in changes to terms, accelerate maturity, or create additional financial obligations upon an adverse change in our credit ratings, financial ratios, earnings, cash flows or stock price.

The U.S. government and joint agencies have introduced various programs to stabilize and provide liquidity to the U.S. financial markets since 2007. We have participated in certain of these initiatives and we repaid our borrowings under U.S. government secured financing programs during 2009. We also participated in the FDIC s TLGP which allowed us to issue senior unsecured debt that it guaranteed in return for a fee based on the amount and maturity of the debt. We issued \$21.8 billion and \$19.9 billion of FDIC-guaranteed long-term debt in 2009 and 2008. We have also issued short-term notes under the program. At December 31, 2009, we had \$41.7 billion outstanding under the program. We no longer issue debt under this program and all of our debt issued under TLGP will mature by June 30, 2012. Under this program, our debt received the highest long-term ratings from the major credit ratings agencies which resulted in a lower total cost of issuance than if we had issued non-FDIC guaranteed long-term debt. The associated FDIC fee for the 2009 issuances was \$554 million and is being amortized into expense over the stated term of

the debt. For additional information on debt funding see Note 13 Long-term Debt to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Contingency Planning

The Corporation maintains contingency funding plans that outline our potential responses to liquidity stress events at various levels of severity. These policies and plans are based on stress scenarios and include potential funding strategies, communication and notification procedures that we would implement in the event we experienced stressed liquidity conditions. We periodically review and test the contingency funding plans to validate efficacy and assess readiness.

Our U.S. bank subsidiaries can access contingency funding through the Federal Reserve Discount Window. Certain non-U.S. subsidiaries have access to central bank facilities in the jurisdictions in which they operate. While we do not rely on these sources in our liquidity modeling, we maintain the policies, procedures and governance processes that would enable us to access these sources if necessary.

Credit Ratings

Our borrowing costs and ability to raise funds are directly impacted by our credit ratings. In addition, credit ratings may be important to customers or counterparties when we compete in certain markets and when we seek to engage in certain transactions including over-the-counter derivatives. It is our objective to maintain high quality credit ratings.

Credit ratings and outlooks are opinions subject to ongoing review by the ratings agencies and may change from time to time based on our financial performance, industry dynamics and other factors. During 2009, the ratings agencies took numerous actions to adjust our credit ratings and outlooks, many of which were negative. The ratings agencies have indicated that our credit ratings currently reflect their expectation that, if necessary, we would receive significant support from the U.S. government. In February 2010, Standard & Poor s affirmed our current credit ratings but revised the outlook to negative from stable based on their belief that it is less certain whether the U.S. government would be willing to provide extraordinary support. Other factors that influence our credit ratings include the ratings agencies assessment of the general operating environment, our relative positions in the markets in which we compete, reputation, liquidity position, the level and volatility of earnings, corporate governance and risk management policies, capital position and capital management practices.

The credit ratings of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. from the three major credit ratings agencies are the same as those of Bank of America Corporation. The major credit ratings agencies have indicated that the primary drivers of Merrill Lynch s credit ratings are Bank of America s credit ratings.

A reduction in our credit ratings or the ratings of certain asset-backed securitizations could potentially have an adverse effect on our access to credit markets, the related cost of funds and our businesses. If Bank of America Corporation or Bank of America, N.A. commercial paper or short-term credit ratings were downgraded by one level, our incremental cost of funds and potential lost funding could be material.

The credit ratings of Bank of America Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. as of February 26, 2010 are reflected in the table below.

Table 12 Credit Ratings

			Bank of America Corporation				Bank of America, N.A.			
		Long-term	Subordinated	Trust	Preferred	Short-term		Long-term	Short-term	
	Outlook	Senior Debt	Debt	Preferred	Stock	Debt	Long-term Senior Debt	Deposits	Debt	
Moody s Investors										
Service	Stable	A2	A3	Baa3	Ba3	P-1	Aa3	Aa3	P-1	
Standard & Poor s	Negative	A	A-	BB	BB	A-1	A+	A+	A-1	
Fitch Ratings	Stable	A+	A	BB	BB-	F1+	A+	AA-	F1+	

Bank of America 2009 4

Regulatory Capital

At December 31, 2009, the Corporation operated its banking activities primarily under two charters: Bank of America, N.A. and FIA Card Services, N.A. With the acquisition of Merrill Lynch on January 1, 2009, we acquired Merrill Lynch Bank USA and Merrill Lynch Bank & Trust Co., FSB. Effective July 1, 2009, Merrill Lynch Bank USA merged into Bank of America, N.A. with Bank of America, N.A. as the surviving entity. Effective November 2, 2009, Merrill Lynch Bank & Trust Co., FSB merged into Bank of America, N.A., with Bank of America, N.A. as the surviving entity. Further, with the acquisition of Countrywide on July 1, 2008, we acquired Countrywide Bank, FSB, and effective April 27, 2009, Countrywide Bank, FSB converted to a national bank with the name Countrywide Bank, N.A. and immediately thereafter merged with and into Bank of America, N.A., with Bank of America, N.A. as the surviving entity.

Certain corporate sponsored trust companies which issue trust preferred securities (Trust Securities) are not consolidated under applicable

accounting guidance. In accordance with Federal Reserve guidance, Trust Securities qualify as Tier 1 capital with revised quantitative limits that will be effective on March 31, 2011. Such limits restrict certain types of capital to 15 percent of total core capital elements for internationally active bank holding companies. In addition, the Federal Reserve revised the qualitative standards for capital instruments included in regulatory capital. Internationally active bank holding companies are those with consolidated assets greater than \$250 billion or on-balance sheet exposure greater than \$10 billion. At December 31, 2009, our restricted core capital elements comprised 11.8 percent of total core capital elements.

Table 13 provides a reconciliation of the Corporation s total shareholders equity at December 31, 2009 and 2008 to Tier 1 common capital, Tier 1 capital and total capital as defined by the regulations issued by the joint agencies. See *Note 16 Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions* to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on our regulatory capital.

Table 13 Reconciliation of Tier 1 Common Capital, Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital

	December 31	
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Total common shareholders equity	\$ 194,236	\$ 139,351
Goodwill	(86,314)	(81,934)
Nonqualifying intangible assets (1)	(8,299)	(4,195)
Net unrealized losses on AFS debt and marketable equity securities and net losses on derivatives		
recorded in accumulated OCI, net-of-tax	1,034	5,479
Unamortized net periodic benefit costs recorded in accumulated OCI, net-of-tax	4,092	4,642
Exclusion of fair value adjustment related to the Merrill Lynch structured notes (2)	3,010	
Common Equivalent Securities	19,290	
Disallowed deferred tax asset	(7,080)	
Other	425	(4)
Total Tier 1 common capital	120,394	63,339
Preferred stock	17,964	37,701
Trust preferred securities	21,448	18,105
Noncontrolling interest	582	1,669
Total Tier 1 capital	160,388	120,814
Long-term debt qualifying as Tier 2 capital	43,284	31,312
Allowance for loan and lease losses	37,200	23,071
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments	1,487	421
Other (3)	(16,282)	(3,957)
Total capital	\$ 226,077	\$ 171,661

⁽¹⁾ Nonqualifying intangible assets include core deposit intangibles, affinity relationships, customer relationships and other intangibles.

⁽²⁾ Represents loss on Merrill Lynch structured notes, net-of-tax, that is excluded from Tier 1 common capital, Tier 1 capital and total capital for regulatory purposes.

⁽³⁾ Balance includes a reduction of \$18.7 billion and \$6.7 billion related to allowance for loan and lease losses exceeding 1.25 percent of risk-weighted assets in 2009 and 2008. Balance also includes 45 percent of the pre-tax unrealized fair value adjustments on AFS marketable equity securities.

At December 31, 2009, the Corporation s Tier 1 common capital, Tier 1 capital, total capital and Tier 1 leverage ratios were 7.81 percent, 10.40 percent, 14.66 percent and 6.91 percent, respectively.

The Corporation calculates Tier 1 common capital as Tier 1 capital including CES less preferred stock, qualifying trust preferred securities, hybrid securities and qualifying noncontrolling interest. CES is included in Tier 1 common capital based upon applicable regulatory guidance and our expectation that the underlying Common Equivalent Stock would convert into common stock following shareholder approval of additional authorized shares. Shareholders approved the increase in the number of authorized shares of common stock at the special meeting of shareholders held on February 23, 2010 and the Common Equivalent Stock converted to common stock on February 24, 2010. Tier 1 common capital increased to \$120.4 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to \$63.3 billion at December 31, 2008. The Tier 1 common capital ratio increased 301 bps to 7.81 percent. This increase was driven primarily by the second quarter at-the-market common stock issuance and the preferred to common stock exchanges which together represented a benefit of 185 bps and the issuance of CES which together provided a benefit of 138 bps to the Tier 1 common capital ratio. In addition, Tier 1 common capital benefited from the common stock that was issued in connection with the

Merrill Lynch acquisition partially offset by an increase in risk-weighted assets due to the acquisition.

Enterprise-wide Stress Testing

As a part of our core risk management practices, the Corporation conducts enterprise-wide stress tests on a periodic basis to better understand earnings, capital and liquidity sensitivities to certain economic scenarios, including economic conditions that are more severe than anticipated. These enterprise-wide stress tests provide an understanding of the potential impacts to our risk profile, capital and liquidity. Scenario(s) are selected by a group comprised of senior line of business, risk and finance executives. Impacts to each line of business from each scenario are then analyzed and determined, primarily leveraging the models and processes utilized in everyday management routines. Impacts are assessed along with potential mitigating actions that may be taken in each scenario. Analysis from such stress scenarios is compiled for and reviewed through our ROC, ALMRC, and the Enterprise Risk Committee of the Board and serves to inform and be incorporated, along with other core business processes, into decision making by management and the Board. The Corporation continues to invest in and improve stress testing capabilities as a core business process.

50 Bank of America 2009

Off-Balance Sheet Liquidity Arrangements with Special Purpose Entities

In the ordinary course of business, we support our customers financing needs by facilitating their access to the commercial paper market. In addition, we utilize certain financing arrangements to meet our balance sheet management, funding and liquidity needs. These activities utilize special purpose entities (SPEs), typically in the form of corporations, limited liability companies, or trusts, which raise funds by issuing short-term commercial paper or other debt or equity instruments to third party investors. These SPEs typically hold various types of financial assets whose cash flows are the primary source of repayment for the liabilities of the SPEs. Investors have recourse to the assets in the SPE and often benefit from other credit enhancements, such as overcollateralization in the form of excess assets in the SPE, liquidity facilities and other arrangements. As a result, the SPEs can typically obtain a favorable credit rating from the ratings agencies, resulting in lower financing costs for us and our customers.

We have liquidity agreements, SBLCs and other arrangements with SPEs, as described below, under which we are obligated to provide funding in the event of a market disruption or other specified event or otherwise provide credit support to the entities. We also fund selected assets via derivative contracts with third party SPEs under which we may be

required to purchase the assets at par value or the third party SPE s cost to acquire the assets. We manage our credit risk and any market risk on these liquidity arrangements by subjecting them to our normal underwriting and risk management processes. Our credit ratings and changes thereto may affect the borrowing cost and liquidity of these SPEs. In addition, significant changes in counterparty asset valuation and credit standing may also affect the ability of the SPEs to issue commercial paper. The contractual or notional amount of these commitments as presented in Table 14 represents our maximum possible funding obligation and is not, in management s view, representative of expected losses or funding requirements.

The table below presents our liquidity exposure to unconsolidated SPEs, which include VIEs and QSPEs. VIEs are SPEs that lack sufficient equity at risk or whose equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest. QSPEs are SPEs whose activities are strictly limited to holding and servicing financial assets. As a result of our adoption of new accounting guidance on consolidation on January 1, 2010 as discussed in the following section, we consolidated all multi-seller conduits, asset acquisition conduits and credit card securitization trusts. In addition, we consolidated certain home equity securitization trusts, municipal bond trusts and credit-linked note and other vehicles.

Table 14 Off-Balance Sheet Special Purpose Entities Liquidity Exposure

	December 31, 2009			
(Dollars in millions)	VIEs	QSPEs	Total	
Commercial paper conduits:				
Multi-seller conduits	\$ 25,135	\$	\$ 25,135	
Asset acquisition conduits	1,232		1,232	
Home equity securitizations		14,125	14,125	
Municipal bond trusts	3,292	6,492	9,784	
Collateralized debt obligation vehicles	3,283		3,283	
Credit-linked note and other vehicles	1,995		1,995	
Customer-sponsored conduits	368		368	
Credit card securitizations		2,288	2,288	
Total liquidity exposure	\$ 35,305	\$ 22,905	\$ 58,210	
	ī	December 31, 2008		
	VIEs	QSPEs	Total	
Commercial paper conduits:				
Multi-seller conduits	\$ 41,635	\$	\$ 41,635	
Asset acquisition conduits	2,622		2,622	
Other corporate conduits		1,578	1,578	
Home equity securitizations		13,064	13,064	
Municipal bond trusts	3,872	2,921	6,793	
	3,072	-,		
Collateralized debt obligation vehicles	542	2,>21	542	
Collateralized debt obligation vehicles Customer-sponsored conduits		_,>_1		

Total liquidity exposure \$49,651 \$18,509 \$68,160

At December 31, 2009, our total liquidity exposure to SPEs was \$58.2 billion, a decrease of \$10.0 billion from December 31, 2008. The decrease was attributable to decreases in commercial paper conduits due to maturities and liquidations partially offset by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Legacy Merrill Lynch related exposures as of December 31, 2009 were \$4.9 billion in municipal bond trusts, \$3.3 billion in CDO vehicles and \$2.0 billion in credit-linked note and other vehicles.

For more information on commercial paper conduits, municipal bond trusts, CDO vehicles, credit-linked note and other vehicles, see *Note 9 Variable Interest Entities* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. For more information on home equity and credit card securitizations, see *Note 8 Securitizations* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Customer-sponsored conduits are established by our customers to provide them with direct access to the commercial paper market. We are typically one of several liquidity providers for a customer s conduit. We do not provide SBLCs or other forms of credit enhancement to these conduits. Assets of these conduits consist primarily of auto loans and student loans. The liquidity commitments benefit from structural protections which vary depending upon the program, but given these protections, we view the exposures as investment grade quality. These commitments are included in *Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. As we typically provide less than 20 percent of the total liquidity commitments to these conduits and do not provide other forms of support, we have concluded that we do not hold a

Bank of America 2009 51

significant variable interest in the conduits and they are not included in our discussion of VIEs in *Note 9* Variable Interest Entities to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Impact of Adopting New Accounting Guidance on Consolidation

On June 12, 2009, the FASB issued new guidance on sale accounting criteria for transfers of financial assets, including transfers to QSPEs and consolidation of VIEs. As described more fully in *Note 8* Securitizations to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Corporation routinely transfers mortgage loans, credit card receivables and other financial instruments to SPEs that meet the definition of a QSPE which are not currently subject to consolidation by the transferor. Among other things, this new guidance eliminates the concept of a QSPE and as a result, existing QSPEs generally will be subject to consolidation under the new guidance.

This new guidance also significantly changes the criteria by which an enterprise determines whether it must consolidate a VIE, as described more fully in *Note 9 Variable Interest Entities* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. A VIE is an entity, typically an SPE, which has insufficient equity at risk or which is not controlled through voting rights held by

equity investors. Currently, a VIE is consolidated by the enterprise that will absorb a majority of the expected losses or expected residual returns created by the assets of the VIE. This new guidance requires that a VIE be consolidated by the enterprise that has both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. This new guidance also requires that an enterprise continually reassesses, based on current facts and circumstances, whether it should consolidate the VIEs with which it is involved

The table below shows the impact on a preliminary basis of this new accounting guidance in terms of incremental GAAP assets and risk-weighted assets for those VIEs and QSPEs that we consolidated on January 1, 2010. The assets and liabilities of the newly consolidated credit card securitization trusts, multi-seller commercial paper conduits, home equity lines of credit and certain other VIEs are recorded at their respective carrying values. The Corporation has elected to account for the assets and liabilities of the newly consolidated asset acquisition commercial paper conduits, municipal bond trusts and certain other VIEs under the fair value option.

Table 15 Preliminary Incremental GAAP and Risk-Weighted Assets Impact

	Preliminary		Estimated		
	Incre	Incremental		Incremental	
	GAAP Assets		Risk-Weighted Assets		
(Dollars in billions)					
Type of VIE/QSPE					
Credit card securitization trusts (1)	\$	70	\$	8	
Asset-backed commercial paper conduits (2)		15		11	
Municipal bond trusts		5		1	
Home equity lines of credit		5		5	
Other		5			
Total	\$	100	\$	25	

⁽¹⁾ The Corporation undertook certain actions during 2009 related to its off-balance sheet credit card securitization trusts. As a result of these actions, we included approximately \$63.6 billion of incremental risk-weighted assets in its risk-based capital ratios as of December 31, 2009.

In addition to recording the incremental assets and liabilities on the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheet, we recorded an after-tax charge of approximately \$6 billion to retained earnings on January 1, 2010 as the cumulative effect of adoption of these new accounting standards. The charge relates primarily to the addition of \$11 billion of allowance for loan losses for the newly consolidated assets, principally credit card related.

⁽²⁾ Regulatory capital requirements changed effective January 1, 2010 for all ABCP conduits. The increase in risk-weighted assets in this table reflects the impact of these changes on all ABCP conduits, including those that were consolidated prior to January 1, 2010.

On January 21, 2010, the joint agencies issued a final rule regarding risk-based capital and the impact of adoption of the new consolidation guidance issued by the FASB. The final rule allows for a phase-in period for a maximum of one year for the effect on risk-weighted assets and the regulatory limit on the inclusion of the allowance for loan and lease losses in Tier 2 capital related to the assets that are consolidated. Our current estimate of the incremental impact is a decrease in our Tier 1 and Tier 1 common capital ratios of 65 to 75 bps. However, the final capital impact will be affected by certain factors, including, the final determination of the cumulative effect of adoption of this new accounting guidance on retained earnings, and limitations of deferred tax assets for risk-based capital purposes. The Corporation has elected to forgo the phase-in period and consolidate the amounts for regulatory capital purposes as of January 1, 2010. For more information, refer to the Regulatory Initiatives section on page 43.

Basel Regulatory Capital Requirements

In June 2004, the Basel II Accord was published with the intent of more closely aligning regulatory capital requirements with underlying risks, similar to economic capital. While economic capital is measured to cover unexpected losses, the Corporation also manages regulatory capital to adhere to regulatory standards of capital adequacy.

The Basel II Final Rule (Basel II Rules), which was published on December 7, 2007, establishes requirements for the U.S. implementation and provided detailed capital requirements for credit and operational risk under Pillar 1, supervisory requirements under Pillar 2 and disclosure requirements under Pillar 3. The Corporation will begin Basel II parallel implementation during the second quarter of 2010.

Financial institutions are required to successfully complete a minimum parallel qualification period before receiving regulatory approval to report regulatory capital using the Basel II methodology. During the parallel period, the resulting capital calculations under both the current (Basel I) rules and the Basel II Rules will be reported to the financial institutions regulatory supervisors for at least four consecutive quarterly periods. Once the parallel period is successfully completed, the financial institution will utilize Basel II as their methodology for calculating regulatory capital. A three-year transitional floor period will follow after which use of Basel I will be discontinued.

In July 2009, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision released a consultative document entitled Revisions to the Basel II Market Risk

52 Bank of America 2009

Framework that would significantly increase the capital requirements for trading book activities if adopted as proposed. The proposal recommended implementation by December 31, 2010, but regulatory agencies are currently evaluating the proposed rulemaking and related impacts before establishing final rules. As a result, we cannot determine the implementation date or the final capital impact.

In December 2009, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued a consultative document entitled Strengthening the Resilience of the Banking Sector. If adopted as proposed, this could increase significantly the aggregate equity that bank holding companies are required to hold by disqualifying certain instruments that previously have qualified as Tier 1 capital. In addition, it would increase the level of risk-weighted assets. The proposal could also increase the capital charges imposed on certain assets potentially making certain businesses more expensive to conduct. Regulatory agencies have not opined on the proposal for implementation. We continue to assess the potential impact of the proposal.

Common Share Issuances and Repurchases

In January 2009, the Corporation issued 1.4 billion shares of common stock in connection with its acquisition of Merrill Lynch. For additional information regarding the Merrill Lynch acquisition, see *Note 2 Merger*

and Restructuring Activity to the Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, during the first quarter of 2009, we issued warrants to purchase approximately 199.1 million shares of common stock in connection with preferred stock issuances to the U.S. government. For more information, see the following preferred stock discussion. During the second quarter of 2009, we issued 1.25 billion shares of common stock at an average price of \$10.77 per share through an at-the-market issuance program resulting in gross proceeds of approximately \$13.5 billion. In addition, we issued approximately 7.4 million shares under employee stock plans.

In connection with the TARP repayment approval, the Corporation agreed to increase equity by \$3.0 billion through asset sales to be approved by the Federal Reserve and contracted for by June 30, 2010. To the extent those asset sales are not completed by the end of 2010, the Corporation must raise a commensurate amount of common equity. We also agreed to raise up to approximately \$1.7 billion through the issuance in 2010 of restricted stock in lieu of a portion of incentive cash compensation to certain of the Corporation s associates as part of their 2009 year-end incentive payments.

For more information regarding our common share issuances, see *Note 15* Shareholders Equity and Earnings Per Common Share to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Common Stock Dividends

The following table provides a summary of our declared quarterly cash dividends on common stock during 2009 and through February 26, 2010.

Table 16 Common Stock Dividend Summary

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per	r Share
January 27, 2010	March 5, 2010	March 26, 2010	\$	0.01
October 28, 2009	December 4, 2009	December 24, 2009		0.01
July 21, 2009	September 4, 2009	September 25, 2009		0.01
April 29, 2009	June 5, 2009	June 26, 2009		0.01
January 16, 2009	March 6, 2009	March 27, 2009		0.01

Preferred Stock Issuances and Exchanges

During the second quarter of 2009, we completed an offer to exchange up to approximately 200 million shares of common stock at an average price of \$12.70 for outstanding depositary shares of portions of certain series of preferred stock. In addition, we also entered into agreements with certain holders of other

non-government perpetual preferred shares to exchange their holdings of approximately \$10.9 billion aggregate liquidation preference of perpetual preferred stock into approximately 800 million shares of common stock. In total, the exchange offer and these privately negotiated exchanges covered the exchange of approximately \$14.8 billion aggregate liquidation preference of perpetual preferred stock into approximately 1.0 billion shares of common stock. During the second quarter of 2009, we recorded an increase to retained earnings and net income applicable to common shareholders of approximately \$580 million related to these exchanges. This represents the net of a \$2.6 billion benefit due to the excess of the carrying value of our non-convertible preferred stock over the fair value of the common stock exchanged. This was partially offset by a \$2.0 billion inducement to convertible preferred shareholders. The inducement represented the excess of the fair value of the common stock exchanged, which was accounted for as an induced conversion of convertible preferred stock, over the fair value of the common stock that would have been issued under the original conversion terms.

On December 2, 2009, we received approval from the U.S. Treasury and Federal Reserve to repay the U.S. government s \$45.0 billion preferred stock investment provided under TARP. In accordance with the approval, on December 9, 2009, we repurchased all outstanding shares of Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock Series N, Series Q and Series R

issued to the U.S. Treasury as part of the TARP. While participating in the TARP we recorded \$7.4 billion in dividends and accretion on the TARP Preferred Stock and repayment will save us approximately \$3.6 billion in annual dividends and accretion. We did not repurchase the related common stock warrants issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with its TARP investment. The U.S. Treasury recently announced its intention to auction these warrants during March 2010. For more detail on the TARP Preferred Stock, refer to *Note 15 Shareholders Equity and Earnings Per Common Share* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Corporation repurchased the TARP Preferred Stock through the use of \$25.7 billion in excess liquidity and \$19.3 billion in proceeds from the sale of 1.3 billion units of CES valued at \$15.00 per unit. The CES consisted of depositary shares representing interests in shares of Common Equivalent Junior Preferred Stock Series S (Common Equivalent Stock) and warrants (Contingent Warrants) to purchase an aggregate 60 million shares of the Corporation s common stock. Each depositary share represented a 1/1000th interest in a share of Common Equivalent Stock and each Contingent Warrant granted the holder the right to purchase 0.0467 of a share of a common stock for \$.01 per share. Each depositary share entitled the holder, through the depository, to a proportional fractional interest in all rights and preferences of the Common Equivalent Stock, including conversion, dividend, liquidation and voting rights.

The Corporation held a special meeting of stockholders on February 23, 2010 at which we obtained stockholder approval of an amendment to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock, and following effectiveness of the amendment, on February 24, 2010, the Common Equivalent Stock converted in full into our common stock and

Bank of America 2009

107

the Contingent Warrants automatically expired without becoming exercisable, and the CES ceased to exist.

Credit Risk Management

The economic recession accelerated in late 2008 and continued to deepen into the first half of 2009 but has shown some signs of stabilization and possible improvement over the second half of the year. Consumers continued to be under financial stress as unemployment and underemployment remained at elevated levels and individuals spent longer periods without work. These factors combined with further reductions in spending by consumers and businesses, continued home price declines and turmoil in sectors of the financial markets continued to negatively impact both the consumer and commercial loan portfolios. During 2009, these conditions drove increases in net charge-offs and nonperforming loans and foreclosed properties as well as higher commercial criticized utilized exposure and reserve increases across most portfolios. The depth, breadth and duration of the economic downturn, as well as the resulting impact on the credit quality of the loan portfolios remain unclear into 2010.

We continue to refine our credit standards to meet the changing economic environment. In our consumer businesses, we have implemented a number of initiatives to mitigate losses. These include increased use of judgmental lending and adjustment of underwriting, and account and line management standards and strategies, including reducing unfunded lines where appropriate. Additionally, we have increased collections, loan modification and customer assistance infrastructures to enhance customer support. In 2009, we provided home ownership retention opportunities to approximately 460,000 customers. This included completion of 260,000 customer loan modifications with total unpaid balances of approximately \$55 billion and approximately 200,000 customers who were in trial-period modifications under the government s Making Home Affordable program. As of January 2010, approximately 220,000 customers were in trial period modifications and more than 12,700 were in permanent modifications. Of the 260,000 modifications done during 2009, in terms of both the volume of modifications and the unpaid principal balance associated with the underlying loans most are in the portfolio serviced for investors and is not on our balance sheet. During 2008, Bank of America and Countrywide completed 230,000 loan modifications. The most common types of modifications include rate reductions, capitalization of past due amounts or a combination of rate reduction and capitalization of past due amounts, which are 17 percent, 21 percent and 40 percent, respectively, of modifications completed in 2009. We also provide rate and payment extensions, principal forbearance or forgiveness, and other actions. These modification types are generally considered TDRs except for certain short-term modifications where we expect to collect the full contractual principal and interest.

A number of initiatives have also been implemented in our small business commercial domestic portfolio including changes to underwriting thresholds augmented by a judgmental decision-making process by experienced underwriters including increasing minimum FICO scores and lowering initial line assignments. We have also increased the intensity of our existing customer line management strategies.

To mitigate losses in the commercial businesses, we have increased the frequency and intensity of portfolio monitoring, hedging activity and our efforts in managing an exposure when we begin to see signs of deterioration. Our lines of business and risk management personnel use a variety of tools to continually monitor the ability of a borrower or counterparty to perform under its obligations. It is our practice to transfer the management of deteriorating commercial exposures to independent Special Asset officers as a credit approaches criticized levels. Our experi -

ence has shown that this discipline generates an objective assessment of the borrower s financial health and the value of our exposure, and maximizes our recovery upon resolution. As part of our underwriting process we have increased scrutiny around stress analysis and required pricing and structure to reflect current market dynamics. Given the volatility of the financial markets, we increased the frequency of various tests designed to understand what the volatility could mean to our underlying credit risk. Given the potential for single name risk associated with any disruption in the financial markets, we use a real-time counterparty event management process to monitor key counterparties.

Additionally, we account for certain large corporate loans and loan commitments (including issued but unfunded letters of credit which are considered utilized for credit risk management purposes) that exceed our single name credit risk concentration guidelines under the fair value option. These loans and loan commitments are then actively managed and hedged, principally by purchasing credit default protection. By including the credit risk of the borrower in the fair value adjustments, any credit spread deterioration or improvement is recorded in other income immediately as part of the fair value adjustment. As a result, the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments are not used to capture credit losses inherent in any nonperforming or impaired loans and unfunded commitments carried at fair value. See the Commercial Loans Carried at Fair Value section on page 69 for more information on the performance of these loans and loan commitments and see *Note 20 Fair Value Measurements* to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on our fair value option elections.

The acquisition of Merrill Lynch contributed to both our consumer and commercial loans and commitments. Acquired consumer loans consisted of residential mortgages, home equity loans and lines of credit and direct/indirect loans (principally securities-based lending margin loans). Commercial exposures were comprised of both investment and non-investment grade loans and included exposures to CMBS, monolines and leveraged finance. Consistent with other acquisitions, we incorporated the acquired assets into our overall credit risk management processes.

Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management

Credit risk management for the consumer portfolio begins with initial underwriting and continues throughout a borrower s credit cycle. Statistical techniques in conjunction with experiential judgment are used in all aspects of portfolio management including underwriting, product pricing, risk appetite, setting credit limits, operating processes and metrics to quantify and balance risks and returns. Statistical models are built using detailed behavioral information from external sources

such as credit bureaus and/or internal historical experience. These models are a component of our consumer credit risk management process and are used, in part, to help determine both new and existing credit decisions, portfolio management strategies including authorizations and line management, collection practices and strategies, determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses, and economic capital allocations for credit risk.

For information on our accounting policies regarding delinquencies, nonperforming status and charge-offs for the consumer portfolio, see *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Consumer Credit Portfolio

Weakness in the economy and housing markets, elevated unemployment and underemployment and tighter credit conditions resulted in deterioration across most of our consumer portfolios during 2009. However,

4 Bank of America 2009

during the last half of the year, the unsecured consumer portfolios within *Global Card Services* experienced lower levels of delinquency and by the fourth quarter consumer credit began to stabilize and in some cases improve. As part of our ongoing risk mitigation and consumer client support initiatives, we have been working with borrowers to modify their loans to terms that better align with their current ability to pay. Under certain circumstances, we identify these as TDRs which are modifications where an economic concession is granted to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty. For more information on TDRs and portfolio impacts, see Nonperforming Consumer Loans and Foreclosed Properties Activity beginning on page 62 and *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table 17 presents our consumer loans and leases and our managed credit card portfolio, and related credit quality information. Nonperforming loans do not include consumer credit card, consumer loans secured by personal property or unsecured consumer loans that are past due as these loans are generally charged off no later than the end of the month in which the account becomes 180 days past due. Real estate-secured past due loans, repurchased pursuant to our servicing agreement with Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) are not reported as nonperforming as repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA). Additionally, nonperforming loans and accruing balances past due 90 days or more do not include the Countrywide purchased impaired loans even though the customer may be contractually past due. Loans that were acquired from Countrywide that were considered impaired were written down to fair value upon acquisition. In addition to being included in the Outstandings column in the following table, these

loans are also shown separately, net of purchase accounting adjustments, for increased transparency in the Countrywide Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio column. For additional information, see *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Under certain circumstances, loans that were originally classified as discontinued real estate loans upon acquisition have been subsequently modified and are now included in the residential mortgage portfolio shown below. The impact of the Countrywide portfolio on certain credit statistics is reported where appropriate. Refer to the Countrywide Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio discussion beginning on page 59 for more information.

Loans that were acquired from Merrill Lynch were recorded at fair value including those that were considered impaired upon acquisition. The Merrill Lynch consumer purchased impaired loan portfolio did not materially alter the reported credit quality statistics of the consumer portfolios and is, therefore, excluded from the Countrywide Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio column and discussion that follows. In addition, the nonperforming loans and delinquency statistics presented below include the Merrill Lynch purchased impaired loan portfolio based on the customer s performance under the contractual terms of the loan. At December 31, 2009, consumer loans included \$47.2 billion from Merrill Lynch of which \$2.0 billion of residential mortgage and \$146 million of home equity loans were included in the Merrill Lynch purchased impaired loan portfolio. There were no reported net charge-offs on these loans during 2009 as the initial fair value at acquisition date already considered the estimated credit losses.

Table 17 Consumer Loans and Leases

				Decen	ıber 3	31				
	0.44		Nonperfo			ccruing 1)		Purcl Impaire	ed Loan
	Outsta	ındings	(1)			Days or I	vior	e (2)	Port	10110
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008		2009		2008	2009	2008
Held basis										
Residential mortgage (3)	\$ 242,129	\$ 248,063	\$ 16,596	\$ 7,057	\$	11,680	\$	372	\$ 11,077	\$ 10,013
Home equity	149,126	152,483	3,804	2,637					13,214	14,099
Discontinued real estate (4)	14,854	19,981	249	77					13,250	18,097
Credit card domestic	49,453	64,128	n/a	n/a		2,158		2,197	n/a	n/a
Credit card foreign	21,656	17,146	n/a	n/a		500		368	n/a	n/a
Direct/Indirect consumer (5)	97,236	83,436	86	26		1,488		1,370	n/a	n/a
Other consumer (6)	3,110	3,442	104	91		3		4	n/a	n/a
Total held	\$ 577,564	\$ 588,679	\$ 20,839	\$ 9,888	\$	15,829	\$	4,311	\$ 37,541	\$ 42,209
Supplemental managed basis data										
Credit card domestic	\$ 129,642	\$ 154,151	n/a	n/a	\$	5,408	\$	5,033	n/a	n/a
Credit card foreign	31,182	28,083	n/a	n/a		799		717	n/a	n/a
Total credit card managed	\$ 160,824	\$ 182,234	n/a	n/a	\$	6,207	\$	5,750	n/a	n/a

⁽¹⁾ Nonperforming held consumer loans and leases as a percentage of outstanding consumer loans and leases were 3.61 percent (3.86 percent excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio) at December 31,

2009 and 2008.

- (2) Accruing held consumer loans and leases past due 90 days or more as a percentage of outstanding consumer loans and leases were 2.74 percent (2.93 percent excluding Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio) and 0.73 percent (0.79 percent excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio) at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Residential mortgages accruing past due 90 days or more represent repurchases of insured or guaranteed loans. See Residential Mortgage discussion for more detail.
- (3) Outstandings include foreign residential mortgages of \$552 million at December 31, 2009 mainly from the Merrill Lynch acquisition. We did not have any foreign residential mortgage loans at December 31, 2008.
- (4) Outstandings include \$13.4 billion and \$18.2 billion of pay option loans and \$1.5 billion and \$1.8 billion of subprime loans at December 31, 2009 and 2008. We no longer originate these products.
- (5) Outstandings include dealer financial services loans of \$41.6 billion and \$40.1 billion, consumer lending loans of \$19.7 billion and \$28.2 billion, securities-based lending margin loans of \$12.9 billion and \$0, and foreign consumer loans of \$8.0 billion and \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- (6) Outstandings include consumer finance loans of \$2.3 billion and \$2.6 billion, and other foreign consumer loans of \$709 million and \$618 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

n/a = not applicable

Bank of America 2009

55

Table 18 presents net charge-offs and related ratios for our consumer loans and leases and net losses and related ratios for our managed credit card portfolio for 2009 and 2008. The reported net charge-off ratios for residential mortgage, home equity and discontinued real estate

benefit from the addition of the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio as the initial fair value adjustments recorded on those loans upon acquisition already included the estimated credit losses.

Table 18 Consumer Net Charge-offs/Net Losses and Related Ratios

	N	et		
	Charge-o	Charge-offs/Losses		
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008
Held basis				
Residential mortgage	\$ 4,350	\$ 925	1.74%	0.36%
Home equity	7,050	3,496	4.56	2.59
Discontinued real estate	101	16	0.58	0.15
Credit card domestic	6,547	4,161	12.50	6.57
Credit card foreign	1,239	551	6.30	3.34
Direct/Indirect consumer	5,463	3,114	5.46	3.77
Other consumer	428	399	12.94	10.46
Total held	\$ 25,178	\$ 12,662	4.22	2.21
Supplemental managed basis data				
Credit card domestic	\$ 16,962	\$ 10,054	12.07	6.60
Credit card foreign	2,223	1,328	7.43	4.17
Total credit card managed	\$ 19,185	\$ 11,382	11.25	6.18

⁽¹⁾ Net charge-off/loss ratios are calculated as held net charge-offs or managed net losses divided by average outstanding held or managed loans and leases.

We believe that the presentation of information adjusted to exclude the impacts of the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio is more representative of the ongoing operations and credit quality of the business. As a result, in the following discussions of the residential mortgage, home equity and discontinued real estate portfolios, we supplement certain reported statistics with information that is adjusted to exclude the impacts of the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio. In addition, beginning on page 59, we separately disclose information on the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio.

Residential Mortgage

The residential mortgage portfolio, which excludes the discontinued real estate portfolio acquired with Countrywide, makes up the largest percentage of our consumer loan portfolio at 42 percent of consumer loans and leases (43 percent excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio) at December 31, 2009. Approximately 15 percent of the residential portfolio is in *GWIM* and represents residential mortgages that are originated for the home purchase and refinancing needs of our affluent customers. The remaining portion of the portfolio is mostly in *All Other* and is comprised of both purchased loans as well as residential loans originated for our customers which are used in our overall ALM activities.

Outstanding loans and leases decreased \$5.9 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 due to lower balance sheet retention of new originations, paydowns and charge-offs as well as sales and conversions of loans into retained MBS. These decreases were offset, in part, by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch and GNMA repurchases. Merrill Lynch added \$21.7 billion of residential mortgage outstandings as of December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest of \$11.7 billion and \$372 million were related to repurchases pursuant to our servicing agreements with GNMA where repayments are insured by the FHA. The increase was driven by the repurchase of delinquent loans from securitizations during the year as we repurchase these loans for economic reasons, with no significant detrimental impact to our risk exposure. Excluding these repurchases, the accruing loans past due 90 days or more as a percentage of consumer loans and leases would have

⁽²⁾ Net charge-off ratios excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio were 1.82 percent and 0.36 percent for residential mortgage, 5.00 percent and 2.73 percent for home equity, 5.57 percent and 1.33 percent for discontinued real estate, and 4.52 percent and 2.29 percent for the total held portfolio for 2009 and 2008. These are the only product classifications materially impacted by the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio for 2009 and 2008. For all loan and lease categories, the dollar amounts of the net charge-offs were unchanged.

been 0.72 percent (0.77 percent excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio) and 0.67 percent (0.72 percent excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio) at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Nonperforming residential mortgage loans increased \$9.5 billion compared to December 31, 2008 due to the impacts of the weak housing markets and economic conditions and in part due to TDRs. For more information on TDRs, refer to the Nonperforming Consumer Loans and Foreclosed Properties Activity discussion on page 62 and *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. At December 31, 2009, \$9.6 billion or approximately 58 percent, of the nonperforming residential mortgage loans were greater than 180 days past due and had been written down to their fair values. Net charge-offs increased \$3.4 billion to \$4.4 billion in 2009, or 1.74 percent (1.82 percent excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired portfolio), of total average residential mortgage loans compared to 0.36 percent (0.36 percent excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired portfolio) for 2008. These increases reflect the impacts of the weak housing markets and the weak economy. See the Countrywide Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio discussion beginning on page 59 for more information.

We mitigate a portion of our credit risk through synthetic securitizations which are cash collateralized and provide mezzanine risk protection of \$2.5 billion which will reimburse us in the event that losses exceed 10 bps of the original pool balance. For further information regarding these synthetic securitizations, see *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The reported net charge-offs for residential mortgages do not include the benefits of amounts reimbursable under cash collateralized synthetic securitizations. Adjusting for the benefit of this credit protection, the residential mortgage net charge-off ratio in 2009 would have been reduced by 25 bps and four bps in 2008. Synthetic securitizations and the protection provided by GSEs together provided risk mitigation for approximately 32 percent and 48 percent of our residential mortgage portfolio at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Our regulatory risk-weighted assets are reduced as a result of these risk protection transactions because we transferred a portion of our credit risk to unaffiliated parties. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, these

Bank of America 2009

transactions had the cumulative effect of reducing our risk-weighted assets by \$16.8 billion and \$34.0 billion, and strengthened our Tier 1 capital ratio by 11 bps and 24 bps and our Tier 1 common capital ratio by eight bps and 12 bps.

Below is a discussion of certain risk characteristics of the residential mortgage portfolio, excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio, which contributed to higher losses. These characteristics include loans with high refreshed LTVs, loans which were originated at the peak of home prices in 2006 and 2007, loans to borrowers located in the states of California and Florida where we have concentrations and where significant declines in home prices have been experienced, as well as interest-only loans. Although the disclosures below address each of these risk characteristics separately, there is significant overlap in loans with these characteristics, which contributed to a disproportionate share of the losses in the portfolio. Excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired portfolio, residential mortgage loans with all of these higher risk characteristics comprised seven percent of the total residential mortgage portfolio at December 31, 2009, but have accounted for 31 percent of the residential mortgage net charge-offs in 2009.

Residential mortgage loans with a greater than 90 percent but less than 100 percent refreshed LTV represented 11 percent of the residential mortgage portfolio and loans with a refreshed LTV greater than 100 percent represented 26 percent at December 31, 2009. Of the loans with a refreshed LTV greater than 100 percent, 90 percent were performing at December 31, 2009. Loans with a refreshed LTV greater than 100 per -

cent reflect loans where the outstanding book balance of the loan is greater than the most recent valuation of the property securing the loan. The majority of these loans have a refreshed LTV greater than 100 percent due primarily to home price deterioration from the weakened economy. Loans with refreshed FICO scores below 620 represented 16 percent of the residential mortgage portfolio.

The 2006 and 2007 vintage loans, which represented 42 percent of our residential mortgage portfolio at December 31, 2009, continued to season and have higher refreshed LTVs and accounted for 69 percent of nonperforming residential mortgage loans at December 31, 2009 and approximately 75 percent of residential mortgage net charge-offs during 2009.

The table below presents outstandings, nonperforming loans and net charge-offs by certain state concentrations for the residential mortgage portfolio. California and Florida combined represented 43 percent of the total residential mortgage portfolio and 47 percent of nonperforming residential mortgage loans at December 31, 2009, but accounted for 58 percent of the residential mortgage net charge-offs for 2009. The Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) within California represented 12 percent and 13 percent of the total residential mortgage portfolio at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Additionally, 37 percent and 24 percent of loans in California and Florida are in reference pools of synthetic securitizations, as described above, which provide mezzanine risk protection.

Table 19 Residential Mortgage State Concentrations

					Year E	nded
		Decemb	ber 31		December 31	
	Outsta	andings	Nonperf	orming	Net Char	rge-offs
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
California	\$ 82,329	\$ 84,847	\$ 5,967	\$ 2,028	\$ 1,726	\$ 411
Florida	16,518	15,787	1,912	1,012	796	154
New York	16,278	15,539	632	255	66	5
Texas	10,737	10,804	534	315	59	20
Virginia	7,812	9,696	450	229	89	32
Other U.S./Foreign	97,378	101,377	7,101	3,218	1,614	303
Total residential mortgage loans (excluding the Countrywide purchased						
impaired residential mortgage loan portfolio)	\$ 231,052	\$ 238,050	\$ 16,596	\$ 7,057	\$ 4,350	\$ 925
Total Countrywide purchased impaired residential mortgage loan portfolio (1)	11,077	10,013				
Total residential mortgage loan portfolio	\$ 242,129	\$ 248,063				

⁽¹⁾ Represents acquired loans from Countrywide that were considered impaired and written down to fair value upon acquisition date. See page 59 for the discussion of the characteristics of the purchased impaired loans.

Of the residential mortgage portfolio, \$84.2 billion, or 35 percent, at December 31, 2009 are interest-only loans of which 89 percent were performing. Nonperforming balances on interest-only residential mortgage loans were \$9.1 billion, or 55 percent, of total nonperforming residential mortgages. Additionally, net charge-offs on the interest-only portion of the portfolio represented 58 percent of the total residential mortgage net charge-offs for 2009.

The Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) encourages banks to meet the credit needs of their communities for housing and other purposes, particularly in neighborhoods with low or moderate incomes. At December 31, 2009, our CRA portfolio comprised six percent of the total residential mortgage loan balances but comprised 17 percent of nonperforming residential mortgage loans. This portfolio also comprised 20 percent of residential mortgage net charge-offs during 2009. While approximately 32 percent of our residential mortgage portfolio carries risk mitigation protection, only a small portion of our CRA portfolio is covered by this protection.

We have sold and continue to sell mortgage and other loans, including mortgage loans, to third-party buyers and to FNMA and FHLMC under agreements that contain representations and warranties related to, among other things, the process for selecting the loans for inclusion in a sale and compliance with applicable criteria established by the buyer. Such agreements contain provisions under which we may be required to either repurchase the loans or indemnify or provide other recourse to the buyer or insurer if there is a breach of the representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interests of the buyer or pursuant to such other standard established by the terms of such agreements. We have experienced and continue to experience increasing repurchase and similar demands from, and disputes with buyers and insurers. We expect to contest such demands that we do not believe are valid. In the event that we are required to repurchase loans that have been the subject of repurchase demands or otherwise provide indemnification or other recourse, this could significantly increase our losses and thereby affect our future earnings. For further information regarding representations and warranties, see *Note 8 Securitizations* to the Consolidated Financial Statements, and Item 1A., Risk Factors.

Bank of America 2009 57

Home Equity

The home equity portfolio is comprised of home equity lines of credit, home equity loans and reverse mortgages. At December 31, 2009, approximately 87 percent of the home equity portfolio was included in *Home Loans & Insurance*, while the remainder of the portfolio was primarily in *GWIM*. Outstanding balances in the home equity portfolio decreased \$3.4 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 due to charge-offs and management of credit lines in the legacy portfolio partially offset by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Of the loans in the home equity portfolio at December 31, 2009 and 2008, approximately \$26.0 billion, or 18 percent, and \$23.2 billion, or 15 percent, were in first lien positions (19 percent and 17 percent excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired home equity loan portfolio). For more information on the Countrywide purchased impaired home equity loan portfolio, see the Countrywide Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio discussion beginning on page 59.

Home equity unused lines of credit totaled \$92.7 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to \$107.4 billion at December 31, 2008. This decrease was driven primarily by higher customer account net utilization and lower attrition as well as line management initiatives on deteriorating accounts with declining equity positions partially offset by the Merrill Lynch acquisition. The home equity line of credit utilization rate was 56 percent at December 31, 2009 compared to 52 percent at December 31, 2008.

Nonperforming home equity loans increased \$1.2 billion compared to December 31, 2008 due to the weak housing market and economic conditions and in part to TDRs. For more information on TDRs, refer to the Nonperforming Consumer Loans and Foreclosed Properties Activity discussion on page 62 and *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. At December 31, 2009, \$721 million, or approximately 20 percent, of the nonperforming home equity loans were greater than 180 days past due and had been written down to their fair values. Net charge-offs increased \$3.6 billion to \$7.1 billion for 2009, or 4.56 percent (5.00 percent excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio) of total average home equity loans compared to 2.59 percent (2.73 percent excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio) in 2008. These increases were driven by continued weakness in the housing markets and the economy.

There are certain risk characteristics of the home equity portfolio, excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio, which have contributed to higher losses. These characteristics include loans with high refreshed CLTVs, loans originated at the peak of home prices in 2006 and 2007 and loans in geographic areas that have experienced the most significant declines in home prices. Home price declines coupled with the fact that most home equity loans are secured by second lien

positions have significantly reduced and, in some cases, eliminated all collateral value after consideration of the first lien position. Although the disclosures below address each of these risk characteristics separately, there is significant overlap in loans with these characteristics, which has contributed to a disproportionate share of losses in the portfolio. Excluding the Countrywide purchased impaired portfolio, home equity loans with all of these higher risk characteristics comprised 11 percent of the total home equity portfolio at December 31, 2009, but have accounted for 38 percent of the home equity net charge-offs for 2009.

Home equity loans with greater than 90 percent but less than 100 percent refreshed CLTVs comprised 12 percent of the home equity portfolio while loans with refreshed CLTVs greater than 100 percent comprised 31 percent of the home equity portfolio at December 31, 2009. Net charge-offs on loans with a refreshed CLTV greater than 100 percent represented 82 percent of net charge-offs for 2009. Of those loans with a refreshed CLTV greater than 100 percent, 95 percent were performing at December 31, 2009. Home equity loans and lines of credit with a refreshed CLTV greater than 100 percent reflect loans where the balance and available line of credit of the combined loans are equal to or greater than the most recent valuation of the property securing the loan. The majority of these high refreshed CLTV ratios are due to the weakened economy and home price declines. In addition, loans with a refreshed FICO score below 620 represented 13 percent of the home equity loans at December 31, 2009. Of the total home equity portfolio, 68 percent at December 31, 2009 were interest-only loans.

The 2006 and 2007 vintage loans, which represent 49 percent of our home equity portfolio, continued to season and have higher refreshed CLTVs and accounted for 62 percent of nonperforming home equity loans at December 31, 2009 and approximately 72 percent of net charge-offs for 2009. Additionally, legacy Bank of America discontinued the program of purchasing non-franchise originated home equity loans in the second quarter of 2007. These purchased loans represented only two percent of the home equity portfolio but accounted for 10 percent of home equity net charge-offs for 2009.

The table below presents outstandings, nonperforming loans and net charge-offs by certain state concentrations for the home equity portfolio. California and Florida combined represented 41 percent of the total home equity portfolio and 50 percent of nonperforming home equity loans at December 31, 2009, but accounted for 60 percent of the home equity net charge-offs for 2009. In the New York area, the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island MSA made up 11 percent of outstanding home equity loans at December 31, 2009 but comprised only six percent of net charge-offs for 2009. The Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana MSA within California made up 11 percent of outstanding home equity loans at December 31, 2009 and 13 percent of net charge-offs for 2009.

Table 20 Home Equity State Concentrations

Year Ended

		Decembe	er 31		December 31	
	Outsta	ndings	Nonper	forming	Net Cha	rge-offs
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
California	\$ 38,573	\$ 38,015	\$ 1,178	\$ 857	\$ 2,669	\$ 1,464
Florida	16,735	17,893	731	597	1,583	788
New York	8,752	8,602	274	176	262	96
New Jersey	8,732	8,929	192	126	225	96
Massachusetts	6,155	6,008	90	48	93	56
Other U.S./Foreign	56,965	58,937	1,339	833	2,218	996
Total home equity loans (excluding the Countrywide purchased						
impaired home equity portfolio)	\$ 135,912	\$ 138,384	\$ 3,804	\$ 2,637	\$ 7,050	\$ 3,496
Total Countrywide purchased impaired home equity portfolio (1)	13,214	14,099				
Total home equity portfolio	\$ 149,126	\$ 152,483				

⁽¹⁾ Represents acquired loans from Countrywide that were considered impaired and written down to fair value at the acquisition date. See page 59 for the discussion of the characteristics of the purchased impaired loans.

8 Bank of America 2009

Discontinued Real Estate

The discontinued real estate portfolio, totaling \$14.9 billion at December 31, 2009, consisted of pay option and subprime loans obtained in the Countrywide acquisition. Upon acquisition, the majority of the discontinued real estate portfolio was considered impaired and written down to fair value. At December 31, 2009, the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio comprised \$13.3 billion, or 89 percent, of the \$14.9 billion discontinued real estate portfolio. This portfolio is included in *All Other* and is managed as part of our overall ALM activities. See the Countrywide Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio discussion below for more information on the discontinued real estate portfolio.

At December 31, 2009, the purchased non-impaired discontinued real estate portfolio was \$1.6 billion. Loans with greater than 90 percent refreshed LTVs and CLTVs comprised 25 percent of this portfolio and those with refreshed FICO scores below 620 represented 39 percent of the portfolio. California represented 37 percent of the portfolio and 30 percent of the nonperforming loans while Florida represented nine percent of the portfolio and 16 percent of the nonperforming loans at December 31, 2009. The Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana MSA within California made up 15 percent of outstanding discontinued real estate loans at December 31, 2009.

Countrywide Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio

Loans acquired with evidence of credit quality deterioration since origination and for which it is probable at purchase that we will be unable to collect all contractually required payments are accounted for under the accounting guidance for purchased impaired loans, which addresses accounting for differences between contractual and expected cash flows to be collected from the Corporation's initial investment in loans if those differences are attributable, at least in part, to credit quality. Evidence of credit quality deterioration as of the acquisition date may include statistics such as past due status, refreshed FICO scores and refreshed LTVs. Purchased impaired loans are recorded at fair value and the applicable accounting guidance prohibits carrying over or creation of valuation allowances in the initial accounting. The Merrill Lynch purchased impaired consumer loan portfolio did not materially alter the reported credit quality statistics of the consumer portfolios. As such, the Merrill Lynch consumer purchased impaired loans are excluded from the following discussion and credit statistics.

Certain acquired loans of Countrywide that were considered impaired were written down to fair value at the acquisition date. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying value was \$37.5 billion and the unpaid principal balance of these loans was \$47.7 billion. Based on the unpaid

principal balance, \$30.6 billion have experienced no charge-offs and of these loans 82 percent, or \$25.1 billion are current based on their contractual terms. Of the \$5.5 billion that are not current, approximately 51 percent, or \$2.8 billion are in early stage delinquency. During 2009, had the acquired portfolios not been accounted for as impaired, we would have recorded additional net charge-offs of \$7.4 billion. During 2009, the Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio experienced further credit deterioration due to weakness in the housing markets and the impacts of a weak economy. As such, in 2009, we recorded \$3.3 billion of provision for credit losses which was comprised of \$3.0 billion for home equity loans and \$316 million for discontinued real estate loans compared to \$750 million in 2008. In addition, we wrote down Countrywide purchased impaired loans by \$179 million during 2009 as losses on certain pools of impaired loans exceeded the original purchase accounting adjustment. The remaining purchase accounting credit adjustment of \$487 million and the allowance of \$3.9 billion results in a total credit adjustment of \$4.4 billion remaining on all pools of Countrywide purchased impaired loans at December 31, 2009. For further information on the purchased impaired loan portfolio, see *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following discussion provides additional information on the Countrywide purchased impaired residential mortgage, home equity and discontinued real estate loan portfolios. Since these loans were written down to fair value upon acquisition, we are reporting this information separately. In certain cases, we supplement the reported statistics on these portfolios with information that is presented as if the acquired loans had not been accounted for as impaired upon acquisition.

Residential Mortgage

The Countrywide purchased impaired residential mortgage portfolio outstandings were \$11.1 billion at December 31, 2009 and comprised 30 percent of the total Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio. Those loans with a refreshed FICO score below 620 represented 33 percent of the Countrywide purchased impaired residential mortgage portfolio at December 31, 2009. Refreshed LTVs greater than 90 percent after consideration of purchase accounting adjustments and refreshed LTVs greater than 90 percent based on the unpaid principal balance represented 65 percent and 80 percent of the purchased impaired residential mortgage portfolio. The table below presents outstandings net of purchase accounting adjustments and net charge-offs had the portfolio not been accounted for as impaired upon acquisition by certain state concentrations.

Table 21 Countrywide Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio Residential Mortgage State Concentrations

Edgar Filing: BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

		ndings ⁽¹⁾ nber 31	Purchased Impaired Portfolio Net Charge-offs ^{(1,} Year Ended December 31				
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008		2009		2008	
California	\$ 6,142	\$ 5,633	\$	496	\$	177	
Florida	843	776		143		103	
Virginia	617	556		30		14	
Maryland	278	253		13		6	
Texas	166	148		5		5	
Other U.S./Foreign	3,031	2,647		237		133	
Total Countrywide purchased impaired residential mortgage							
loan portfolio	\$ 11,077	\$ 10,013	\$	924	\$	438	

⁽¹⁾ Those loans that were originally classified as discontinued real estate loans upon acquisition and have been subsequently modified are now included in the residential mortgage outstandings shown above. Charge-offs on these loans prior to modification are excluded from the amounts shown above and shown as discontinued real estate charge-offs consistent with the product classification of the loan at the time of charge-off.

Bank of America 2009 59

⁽²⁾ Represents additional net charge-offs had the portfolio not been accounted for as impaired upon acquisition.

Home Equity

The Countrywide purchased impaired home equity outstandings were \$13.2 billion at December 31, 2009 and comprised 35 percent of the total Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio. Those loans with a refreshed FICO score below 620 represented 21 percent of the Countrywide purchased impaired home equity portfolio at December 31, 2009. Refreshed CLTVs greater than 90 percent represented 90 percent of the

purchased impaired home equity portfolio after consideration of purchase accounting adjustments and 89 percent of the purchased impaired home equity portfolio based on the unpaid principal balance at December 31, 2009. The table below presents outstandings net of purchase accounting adjustments and net charge-offs had the portfolio not been accounted for as impaired upon acquisition, by certain state concentrations.

Table 22 Countrywide Purchased Impaired Portfolio Home Equity State Concentrations

(1) Represents additional net charge-offs had the portfolio not been accounted for as impaired upon acquisition.

		andings aber 31	Purchased	Impaired Por Year Ended	t Charge-offs ⁽¹⁾ r 31
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008		2009	2008
California	\$ 4,311	\$ 5,110	\$	1,769	\$ 744
Florida	765	910		320	186
Virginia	550	529		77	42
Arizona	542	626		203	79
Colorado	416	402		48	22
Other U.S./Foreign	6,630	6,522		1,057	421
Total Countrywide purchased impaired home equity portfolio	\$ 13,214	\$ 14,099	\$	3,474	\$ 1,494

Discontinued Real Estate

The Countrywide purchased impaired discontinued real estate outstandings were \$13.3 billion at December 31, 2009 and comprised 35 percent of the total Countrywide purchased impaired loan portfolio. Those loans with a refreshed FICO score below 620 represented 51 percent of the Countrywide purchased impaired discontinued real estate portfolio at December 31, 2009. Refreshed LTVs and CLTVs greater than 90 percent represented 52 percent of the purchased impaired discontinued real

estate portfolio after consideration of purchase accounting adjustments. Refreshed LTVs and CLTVs greater than 90 percent based on the unpaid principal balance represented 80 percent of the purchased impaired discontinued real estate portfolio at December 31, 2009. The table below presents outstandings net of purchase accounting adjustments and net charge-offs had the portfolio not been accounted for as impaired upon acquisition, by certain state concentrations.

Table 23 Countrywide Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio Discontinued Real Estate State Concentrations

Outstandings (1) Purchased Impaired Portfolio Net Charge-offs (1, 2)

	December 31			Year Ended December 31				
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008		2009		2008		
California	\$ 7,148	\$ 9,987	\$	1,845	\$	1,010		
Florida	1,315	1,831		393		275		
Arizona	430	666		151		61		
Washington	421	492		30		8		
Virginia	399	580		76		48		
Other U.S./Foreign	3,537	4,541		517		297		
Total Countrywide purchased impaired discontinued real estate								
loan portfolio	\$ 13,250	\$ 18,097	\$	3,012	\$	1,699		

⁽¹⁾ Those loans that were originally classified as discontinued real estate loans upon acquisition and have been subsequently modified are now excluded from amounts shown above. Charge-offs on these loans prior to modification are included in the amounts shown above consistent with the product classification of the loan at the time of charge-off.

Pay option ARMs have interest rates that adjust monthly and minimum required payments that adjust annually (subject to resetting of the loan if minimum payments are made and deferred interest limits are reached). Annual payment adjustments are subject to a 7.5 percent maximum change. To ensure that contractual loan payments are adequate to repay a loan, the fully amortizing loan payment amount is re-established after the initial five or 10-year period and again every five years thereafter. These payment adjustments are not subject to the 7.5 percent limit and may be substantial due to changes in interest rates and the addition of unpaid interest to the loan balance. Payment advantage ARMs have interest rates that are fixed for an initial period of five years. Payments are subject to reset if the minimum payments are made and deferred interest limits are reached. If interest deferrals cause the loan s principal balance

to reach a certain level within the first 10 years of the loans, the payment is reset to the interest-only payment; then at the 10-year point, the fully amortizing payment is required.

The difference between the frequency of changes in the loans interest rates and payments along with a limitation on changes in the minimum monthly payments to 7.5 percent per year can result in payments that are not sufficient to pay all of the monthly interest charges (i.e., negative amortization). Unpaid interest charges are added to the loan balance until the loan balance increases to a specified limit, which is no more than 115 percent of the original loan amount, at which time a new monthly payment amount adequate to repay the loan over its remaining contractual life is established.

Bank of America 2009

⁽²⁾ Represents additional net charge-offs had the portfolio not been accounted for as impaired upon acquisition.

At December 31, 2009, the unpaid principal balance of pay option loans was \$17.0 billion, with a carrying amount of \$13.4 billion, including \$12.5 billion of loans that were impaired upon acquisition. The total unpaid principal balance of pay option loans with accumulated negative amortization was \$15.2 billion and accumulated negative amortization from the original loan balance was \$1.0 billion. The percentage of borrowers electing to make only the minimum payment on option ARMs was 65 percent at December 31, 2009. We continue to evaluate our exposure to payment resets on the acquired negatively amortizing loans and have taken into consideration several assumptions regarding this evaluation (e.g., prepayment rates). We also continue to evaluate the potential for resets on the Countrywide purchased impaired pay option portfolio. Based on our expectations, 21 percent, eight percent and two percent of the pay option loan portfolio is expected to reset in 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. Approximately three percent are expected to reset thereafter, and approximately 66 percent are expected to repay prior to being reset.

We manage these purchased impaired portfolios, including consideration for the home retention programs to modify troubled mortgages, consistent with our other consumer real estate practices.

Credit Card Domestic

The consumer domestic credit card portfolio is managed in *Global Card Services*. Outstandings in the held domestic credit card loan portfolio decreased \$14.7 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 due to lower originations and transactional volume, the conversion of certain credit card loans into held-to-maturity debt securities and charge-offs partially offset by lower payment rates and new

draws on previously securitized accounts. For more information on this conversion, see *Note 8 Securitizations* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Net charge-offs increased \$2.4 billion in 2009 to \$6.5 billion reflecting the weak economy including elevated unemployment underemployment and higher bankruptcies. However, held domestic loans 30 days or more past due and still accruing interest decreased \$668 million from December 31, 2008 driven by improvement in the last three quarters of 2009. Due to the decline in outstandings, the percentage of balances 30 days or more past due and still accruing interest increased to 7.90 percent from 7.13 percent at December 31, 2008.

Managed domestic credit card outstandings decreased \$24.5 billion to \$129.6 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 due to lower originations and transactional volume and credit losses partially offset by lower payment rates. The \$6.9 billion increase in managed net losses to \$17.0 billion was driven by the same factors as described in the held discussion above. Managed loans that were 30 days or more past due and still accruing interest decreased \$856 million to \$9.9 billion compared to \$10.7 billion at December 31, 2008. Similar to the held discussion above, the percentage of balances 30 days or more past due and still accruing interest increased to 7.61 percent from 6.96 percent at December 31, 2008 due to the decline in outstandings.

Managed consumer credit card unused lines of credit for domestic credit card totaled \$438.5 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to \$713.0 billion at December 31, 2008. The \$274.5 billion decrease was driven primarily by account management initiatives on higher risk customers in higher risk states and inactive accounts.

The table below presents asset quality indicators by certain state concentrations for the managed credit card domestic portfolio.

Table 24 Credit Card Domestic State Concentrations Managed Basis

		Decem	iber 31 A	ccruing	Past	Due	Year l Decem		
	Outsta	andings		Days o	r Mo	re	Net L	osses	
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008		2009		2008	2009		2008
California	\$ 20,048	\$ 24,191	\$	1,097	\$	997	\$ 3,558	\$	1,916
Florida	10,858	13,210		676		642	2,178		1,223
Texas	8,653	10,262		345		293	960		634
New York	7,839	9,368		295		263	855		531
New Jersey	5,168	6,113		189		172	559		316
Other U.S.	77,076	91,007		2,806		2,666	8,852		5,434

Total credit card domestic loan portfolio

\$ 129,642 \$ 154,151

\$ 5,408 \$ 5,033

16,962 \$ 10,054

Credit Card Foreign

The consumer foreign credit card portfolio is managed in *Global Card Services*. Outstandings in the held foreign credit card loan portfolio increased \$4.5 billion to \$21.7 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 primarily due to the strengthening of certain foreign currencies, particularly the British pound against the U.S. dollar. Net charge-offs for the held foreign portfolio increased \$688 million to \$1.2 billion in 2009, or 6.30 percent of total average held credit card foreign loans compared to 3.34 percent in 2008. The increase was driven primarily by weak economic conditions and higher unemployment also being experienced in Europe and Canada, including a higher level of bankruptcies/insolvencies.

Managed foreign credit card outstandings increased \$3.1 billion to \$31.2 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 primarily due to the strengthening of certain foreign currencies, partic -

ularly the British pound against the U.S. dollar. Managed consumer foreign loans that were accruing past due 90 days or more increased to \$799 million, or 2.56 percent, compared to \$717 million, or 2.55 percent, at December 31, 2008. The dollar increase was primarily due to the strengthening of foreign currencies, especially the British pound against the U.S. dollar, further exacerbated by continuing weakness in the European and Canadian economies. Net losses for the managed foreign portfolio increased \$895 million to \$2.2 billion for 2009, or 7.43 percent of total average managed credit card foreign loans compared to 4.17 percent in 2008. The increase in managed net losses was driven by the same factors as described in the held discussion above.

Managed consumer credit card unused lines of credit for foreign credit card totaled \$69.0 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to \$80.6 billion at December 31, 2008. The \$11.6 billion decrease was driven primarily by account management initiatives mainly on inactive accounts.

Bank of America 2009

Direct/Indirect Consumer

At December 31, 2009, approximately 45 percent of the direct/indirect portfolio was included in *Global Banking* (dealer financial services automotive, marine and recreational vehicle loans), 22 percent was included in *Global Card Services* (consumer personal loans and other non-real estate secured), 24 percent in *GWIM* (principally other non-real estate secured and unsecured personal loans and securities-based lending margin loans) and the remainder in *Deposits* (student loans).

Outstanding loans and leases increased \$13.8 billion to \$97.2 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 primarily due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch which included both domestic and foreign securities-based lending margin loans, partially offset by lower outstandings in the *Global Card Services* consumer lending portfolio. Net charge-offs increased \$2.3 billion to \$5.5 billion for 2009, or 5.46 per -

cent of total average direct/indirect loans compared to 3.77 percent for 2008. The dollar increase was concentrated in the *Global Card Services* consumer lending portfolio, driven by the effects of a weak economy including higher bankruptcies. Net charge-off ratios in the consumer lending portfolio have also been impacted by a significant slowdown in new loan production due, in part, to a tightening of underwriting criteria. Net charge-off ratios in the consumer lending portfolio were 17.75 percent during 2009, compared to 7.98 percent during 2008. The weak economy resulted in higher charge-offs in the dealer financial services portfolio. Loans that were past due 30 days or more and still accruing interest declined compared to December 31, 2008 driven by the consumer lending portfolio.

The table below presents asset quality indicators by certain state concentrations for the direct/indirect consumer loan portfolio.

Table 25 Direct/Indirect State Concentrations

		Decemb		Past Due	Year Ended I	December 31
	Outsta	ındings	90 Days	or More	Net Cha	rge-offs
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
California	\$ 11,664	\$ 10,555	\$ 228	\$ 247	\$ 1,055	\$ 601
Texas	8,743	7,738	105	88	382	222
Florida	7,559	7,376	130	145	597	334
New York	5,111	4,938	73	69	272	162
Georgia	3,165	3,212	52	48	205	115
Other U.S./Foreign	60,994	49,617	900	773	2,952	1,680
Total direct/indirect loans	\$ 97,236	\$ 83,436	\$ 1,488	\$ 1,370	\$ 5,463	\$ 3,114

Other Consumer

At December 31, 2009, approximately 73 percent of the other consumer portfolio was associated with portfolios from certain consumer finance businesses that we have previously exited and are included in *All Other*. The remainder consisted of the foreign consumer loan portfolio which is mostly included in *Global Card Services* and deposit overdrafts which are recorded in *Deposits*.

Nonperforming Consumer Loans and Foreclosed Properties Activity

Table 26 presents nonperforming consumer loans and foreclosed properties activity during 2009 and 2008. Nonperforming loans held for sale are excluded from nonperforming loans as they are recorded at either fair value or the lower of cost or fair value. Nonperforming loans do not include consumer credit card, consumer loans secured by personal property or unsecured consumer loans that are past due as these loans are generally charged off no later than the end of the month in which the account becomes 180 days past due. Real estate-secured past due loans repurchased pursuant to our servicing agreements with GNMA are not reported as nonperforming as repayments are insured by the FHA. Additionally, nonperforming loans do not include the Countrywide purchased impaired portfolio. For further information regarding nonperforming loans, see *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles to* the Consolidated Financial Statements. Total net additions to nonperforming loans in 2009 were \$11.0 billion compared to \$6.4 billion in 2008. The net additions to nonperforming loans in 2009 were driven primarily by the residential mortgage and home equity portfolios reflecting weak housing markets and economy, seasoning of vintages

originated in periods of higher growth and performing loans that were accelerated into nonperforming loan status upon modification into a TDR. Nonperforming consumer real estate related TDRs as a percentage of total nonperforming consumer loans and foreclosed properties were 21 percent at

December 31, 2009 compared to five percent at December 31, 2008 due primarily to increased modification volume during the year.

The outstanding balance of a real estate secured loan that is in excess of the estimated property value, less costs to sell, is charged off no later than the end of the month in which the account becomes 180 days past due unless repayment of the loan is insured by the FHA. Property values are refreshed at least quarterly with additional charge-offs taken as needed. At December 31, 2009, \$10.7 billion, or approximately 60 percent, of the nonperforming residential mortgage loans and foreclosed properties, comprised of \$9.6 billion of nonperforming loans and \$1.1 billion of foreclosed properties, were greater than 180 days past due and had been written down to their fair values and \$790 million, or approximately 20 percent, of the nonperforming home equity loans and foreclosed properties, comprised of \$721 million of nonperforming loans and \$69 million of foreclosed properties, were greater than 180 days past due and had been written down to their fair values.

In 2009, approximately 16 percent and six percent of the net increase in nonperforming loans were from Countrywide purchased non-impaired loans and Merrill Lynch loans that deteriorated subsequent to acquisition. While we witnessed increased levels of nonperforming loans transferred to foreclosed properties due to the lifting of various foreclosure moratoriums during 2009, the net reductions to foreclosed properties of \$78 million were driven by sales of foreclosed properties and write-downs.

Restructured Loans

As discussed above, nonperforming loans also include certain loans that have been modified in TDRs where economic concessions have been granted to borrowers who have experienced or are expected to experience financial difficulties. These concessions typically result from the Corporation s loss mitigation activities and could include reductions in the interest rate, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions. Certain TDRs are classified as nonperforming at the time of

62 Bank of America 2009

restructure and may only be returned to performing status after considering the borrower s sustained repayment performance for a reasonable period, generally six months. Nonperforming TDRs, excluding those loans modified in the purchased impaired portfolio, are included in Table 26.

The pace of modifications slowed during the second half of 2009 due to the MHA and other programs where the loan goes through a trial period prior to formal modification. For more information on our modification programs, see Regulatory Initiatives beginning on page 43.

At December 31, 2009, residential mortgage TDRs were \$5.3 billion, an increase of \$4.7 billion compared to December 31, 2008. Nonperforming TDRs increased \$2.7 billion during 2009 to \$2.9 billion. Nonperforming residential mortgage TDRs comprised approximately 17 percent and three percent of total residential mortgage nonperforming loans and foreclosed properties at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Residential mortgage TDRs that were performing in accordance with their modified terms and excluded from nonperforming loans in Table 26 were \$2.3 billion, an increase of \$2.0 billion compared to December 31, 2008.

At December 31, 2009, home equity TDRs were \$2.3 billion, an increase of \$2.0 billion compared to December 31, 2008. Nonperforming TDRs increased \$1.4 billion during 2009 to \$1.7 billion. Nonperforming home equity TDRs comprised 44 percent and 11 percent of total home

equity nonperforming loans and foreclosed properties at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Home equity TDRs that were performing in accordance with their modified terms and excluded from nonperforming loans in Table 26 were \$639 million compared to \$1 million at December 31, 2008.

Discontinued real estate TDRs totaled \$78 million at December 31, 2009. This was an increase of \$7 million from December 31, 2008. Of these loans, \$43 million were nonperforming while the remaining \$35 million were classified as performing at December 31, 2009.

We also work with customers that are experiencing financial difficulty by renegotiating consumer credit card and consumer lending loans, while ensuring that we remain within Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC) guidelines. These renegotiated loans are excluded from Table 26 as we do not classify consumer non-real estate unsecured loans as nonperforming. For further information regarding these restructured and renegotiated loans, see *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Certain modifications of loans in the purchased impaired loan portfolio result in removal of the loan from the purchased impaired portfolio pool and subsequent classification as a TDR. These modified loans are excluded from Table 26. For more information on TDRs, renegotiated and modified loans, refer to *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table 26 Nonperforming Consumer Loans and Foreclosed Properties Activity (1)

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Nonperforming loans		
Balance, January 1	\$ 9,888	\$ 3,442
Additions to nonperforming loans:		
New nonaccrual loans and leases (2)	28,011	13,421
Reductions in nonperforming loans:		
Paydowns and payoffs	(1,459)	(527)
Returns to performing status (3)	(4,540)	(1,844)
Charge-offs ⁽⁴⁾	(9,442)	(3,729)
Transfers to foreclosed properties	(1,618)	(875)
Transfers to loans held-for-sale	(1)	
Total net additions to nonperforming loans	10,951	6,446
Total nonperforming loans, December 31 (5)	20,839	9,888
Foreclosed properties		
Balance, January 1	1,506	276
Additions to foreclosed properties:		
New foreclosed properties (6,7)	1,976	2,530
Reductions in foreclosed properties:		

Sales	(1,687)	(1,077)
Write-downs	(367)	(223)
Total net additions (reductions) to foreclosed properties	(78)	1,230
Total foreclosed properties, December 31	1,428	1,506
Nonperforming consumer loans and foreclosed properties, December 31	\$ 22,267	\$ 11,394
Nonperforming consumer loans as a percentage of outstanding consumer loans and leases	3.61%	1.68%
Nonperforming consumer loans and foreclosed properties as a percentage of outstanding consumer loans and foreclosed		
properties	3.85	1.93

⁽¹⁾ Balances do not include nonperforming LHFS of \$2.9 billion and \$3.2 billion in 2009 and 2008.

Bank of America 2009

63

^{(2) 2009} includes \$465 million of nonperforming loans acquired from Merrill Lynch.

⁽³⁾ Consumer loans may be restored to performing status when all principal and interest is current and full repayment of the remaining contractual principal and interest is expected, or when the loan otherwise becomes well-secured and is in the process of collection. Certain TDRs are classified as nonperforming at the time of restructure and may only be returned to performing status after considering the borrower s sustained repayment performance for a reasonable period, generally six months.

⁽⁴⁾ Our policy is not to classify consumer credit card and consumer loans not secured by real estate as nonperforming; therefore, the charge-offs on these loans have no impact on nonperforming activity.

⁽⁵⁾ Approximately half of the 2009 and 2008 nonperforming loans are greater than 180 days past due and have been charged off to approximately 68 percent and 71 percent of original cost.

⁽⁶⁾ Our policy is to record any losses in the value of foreclosed properties as a reduction in the allowance for credit losses during the first 90 days after transfer of a loan into foreclosed properties. Thereafter, all losses in value are recorded as noninterest expense. New foreclosed properties in the table above are net of \$818 million and \$436 million of charge-offs in 2009 and 2008 taken during the first 90 days after transfer.

^{(7) 2009} includes \$21 million of foreclosed properties acquired from Merrill Lynch. 2008 includes \$952 million of foreclosed properties acquired from Countrywide.

Commercial Portfolio Credit Risk Management

Credit risk management for the commercial portfolio begins with an assessment of the credit risk profile of the borrower or counterparty based on an analysis of its financial position. As part of the overall credit risk assessment, our commercial credit exposures are assigned a risk rating and are subject to approval based on defined credit approval standards. Subsequent to loan origination, risk ratings are monitored on an ongoing basis, and if necessary, adjusted to reflect changes in the financial condition, cash flow, risk profile or outlook of a borrower or counterparty. In making credit decisions, we consider risk rating, collateral, country, industry and single name concentration limits while also balancing the total borrower or counterparty relationship. Our lines of business and risk management personnel use a variety of tools to continuously monitor the ability of a borrower or counterparty to perform under its obligations. We use risk rating aggregations to measure and evaluate concentrations within portfolios. In addition, risk ratings are a factor in determining the level of assigned economic capital and the allowance for credit losses.

For information on our accounting policies regarding delinquencies, nonperforming status and charge-offs for the commercial portfolio, see *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Management of Commercial Credit Risk Concentrations

Commercial credit risk is evaluated and managed with a goal that concentrations of credit exposure do not result in undesirable levels of risk. We review, measure and manage concentrations of credit exposure by industry, product, geography and customer relationship. Distribution of loans and leases by loan size is an additional measure of portfolio risk diversification. We also review, measure and manage commercial real estate loans by geographic location and property type. In addition, within our international portfolio, we evaluate borrowings by region and by country. Tables 31, 34, 38, 39 and 40 summarize our concentrations. Additionally, we utilize syndication of exposure to third parties, loan sales, hedging and other risk mitigation techniques to manage the size and risk profile of the loan portfolio.

As part of our ongoing risk mitigation initiatives, we attempt to work with clients to modify their loans to terms that better align with their current ability to pay. In situations where an economic concession has been granted to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty, we identify these loans as TDRs.

We account for certain large corporate loans and loan commitments (including issued but unfunded letters of credit which are considered utilized for credit risk management purposes) that exceed our single name credit risk concentration guidelines under the fair value option. Lending commitments, both funded and unfunded, are actively managed and

monitored, and as appropriate, credit risk for these lending relationships may be mitigated through the use of credit derivatives, with the Corporation s credit view and market perspectives determining the size and timing of the hedging activity. In addition, credit protection is purchased to cover the funded portion as well as the unfunded portion of certain other credit exposures. To lessen the cost of obtaining our desired credit protection levels, credit exposure may be added within an industry, borrower or counterparty group by selling protection. These credit derivatives do not meet the requirements for treatment as accounting hedges. They are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in other income.

Commercial Credit Portfolio

During 2009, continued housing value declines and economic stress impacted our commercial portfolios which experienced higher levels of losses. Broad-based economic pressures, including further reductions in spending by consumers and businesses, have also impacted commercial credit quality indicators. Loan balances continued to decline in 2009 as businesses aggressively managed their working capital and production capacity by maintaining low inventories, deferring capital spending and rationalizing staff and physical locations. Additionally, borrowers increasingly accessed the capital markets for financing while reducing their use of bank credit facilities. Risk mitigation strategies further contributed to the decline in loan balances.

Increases in nonperforming loans were largely driven by continued deterioration in the commercial real estate and commercial domestic portfolios. Nonperforming loans and utilized reservable criticized exposures increased from 2008 levels; however, during the second half of 2009 the pace of increase slowed for nonperforming loans while reservable criticized exposure declined in the fourth quarter.

The loans and leases net charge-off ratios increased across all commercial portfolios. The increase in commercial real estate net charge-offs during 2009 compared to 2008 was driven by both the non-homebuilder and homebuilder portfolios, although homebuilder portfolio net charge-offs declined in the second half of 2009 compared to the first half of 2009. The increases in commercial domestic and commercial foreign net charge-offs were diverse in terms of borrowers and industries.

The acquisition of Merrill Lynch increased our concentrations to certain industries and countries. For more detail on the Merrill Lynch impact, see the Industry Concentrations discussion beginning on page 70 and the Foreign Portfolio discussion beginning on page 74. There were also increased concentrations within both investment and non-investment grade exposures including monolines, and certain leveraged finance and CMBS positions.

Bank of America 2009

Table 27 presents our commercial loans and leases, and related credit quality information at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Loans that were acquired from Merrill Lynch that were considered impaired were written down to fair value upon acquisition. In addition to being included in the Outstandings column below, these loans are also shown separately, net of purchase accounting adjustments, for increased transparency, in the Merrill Lynch Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio column. Nonperforming loans and accruing balances 90 days or more past due do not include Merrill Lynch purchased impaired loans even though the customer may be contractually past due. The portion of the Merrill Lynch port -

folio that was not impaired at acquisition was recorded at fair value in accordance with fair value accounting. This adjustment to fair value incorporates the interest rate, creditworthiness of the borrower and market liquidity compared to the contractual terms of the non-impaired loans at the date of acquisition. For more information, see *Note 2 Merger and Restructuring Activity* and *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The acquisition of Countrywide and related purchased impaired loan portfolio did not impact the commercial portfolios.

Table 27 Commercial Loans and Leases

_		_	
n	ecem	her	31

	Outsta	andings	Nonperfo	Accruing 90 Days o	Past Due r More ⁽²⁾	Merrill Lynch Purchased Impaired Loan Portfolio		
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008		2009
Commercial loans and leases								
Commercial domestic ⁽³⁾	\$ 181,377	\$ 200,088	\$ 4,925	\$ 2,040	\$ 213	\$ 381	\$	100
Commercial real estate (4)	69,447	64,701	7,286	3,906	80	52		305
Commercial lease financing	22,199	22,400	115	56	32	23		
Commercial foreign	27,079	31,020	177	290	67	7		361
•	300,102	318,209	12,503	6,292	392	463		766
Small business commercial domesti65)	17,526	19,145	200	205	624	640		
Total commercial loans excluding loans								
measured at fair value	317,628	337,354	12,703	6,497	1,016	1,103		766
Total measured at fair value (6)	4,936	5,413	15		87			
Total commercial loans and leases	\$ 322,564	\$ 342,767	\$ 12,718	\$ 6,497	\$ 1,103	\$ 1,103	\$	766

⁽¹⁾ Nonperforming commercial loans and leases as a percentage of outstanding commercial loans and leases excluding loans measured at fair value were 4.00 percent (4.01 percent excluding the purchased impaired loan portfolio) and 1.93 percent at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Table 28 presents net charge-offs and related ratios for our commercial loans and leases for 2009 and 2008. The reported net charge-off ratios for commercial domestic, commercial real estate and commercial foreign were impacted by the addition of the Merrill Lynch purchased

impaired loan portfolio as the initial fair value adjustments recorded on those loans upon acquisition would have already included the estimated credit losses.

⁽²⁾ Accruing commercial loans and leases past due 90 days or more as a percentage of outstanding commercial loans and leases excluding loans measured at fair value were 0.32 percent and 0.33 percent at December 31, 2009 and 2008. The December 31, 2009 ratio remained unchanged excluding the purchased impaired loan portfolio.

⁽³⁾ Excludes small business commercial domestic loans.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes domestic commercial real estate loans of \$66.5 billion and \$63.7 billion, and foreign commercial real estate loans of \$3.0 billion and \$979 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

⁽⁵⁾ Small business commercial domestic including card related products.

⁽⁶⁾ Certain commercial loans are accounted for under the fair value option and include commercial domestic loans of \$3.0 billion and \$3.5 billion, commercial foreign loans of \$1.9 billion and \$1.7 billion and commercial real estate loans of \$90 million and \$203 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008. See *Note 20 Fair Value Measurements* to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of fair value for certain financial instruments.

Table 28 Commercial Net Charge-offs and Related Ratios

	Net Cha	Net Charge-off Ratios (1, 2, 3)		
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008
Commercial loans and leases				
Commercial domestic ⁴)	\$ 2,190	\$ 519	1.09%	0.26%
Commercial real estate	2,702	887	3.69	1.41
Commercial lease financing	195	60	0.89	0.27
Commercial foreign	537	173	1.76	0.55
-	5,624	1,639	1.72	0.52
Small business commercial domestic	2,886	1,930	15.68	9.80
Total commercial	\$ 8,510	\$ 3,569	2.47	1.07

⁽¹⁾ Net charge-off ratios are calculated as net charge-offs divided by average outstanding loans and leases excluding loans accounted for under the fair value option.

Bank of America 2009 65

⁽²⁾ Net charge-off ratios excluding the Merrill Lynch purchased impaired loan portfolio were 1.06 percent for commercial domestic, 3.60 percent for commercial real estate, 1.49 percent for commercial foreign, and 2.41 percent for the total commercial portfolio in 2009. These are the only product classifications impacted by the Merrill Lynch purchased impaired loan portfolio in 2009.

⁽³⁾ Although the Merrill Lynch purchased impaired portfolio was recorded at fair value at acquisition on January 1, 2009, actual credit losses have exceeded the initial purchase accounting estimates. Included above are net charge-offs related to the Merrill Lynch purchased impaired portfolio in 2009 of \$55 million for commercial domestic, \$88 million for commercial real estate and \$90 million for commercial foreign.

⁽⁴⁾ Excludes small business commercial domestic.

Table 29 presents commercial credit exposure by type for utilized, unfunded and total binding committed credit exposure. Commercial utilized credit exposure includes funded loans, standby letters of credit, financial guarantees, bankers—acceptances and commercial letters of credit for which the bank is legally bound to advance funds under prescribed conditions, during a specified period. Although funds have not been advanced, these exposure types are considered utilized for credit risk management purposes. Total commercial committed credit exposure decreased by \$10.1 billion, or one percent, at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008. The decrease was largely driven by reductions in loans and leases partially offset by an increase in derivatives due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch.

Total commercial utilized credit exposure decreased to \$494.4 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to \$498.7 billion at December 31,

2008. Funded loans and leases declined due to limited demand for acquisition financing and capital expenditures in the large corporate and middle-market portfolios and as clients utilized the improved capital markets more extensively for their funding needs. With the economic outlook remaining uncertain, businesses are aggressively managing working capital and production capacity, maintaining low inventories and deferring capital spending. The increase in derivative assets was driven by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch substantially offset during 2009 by maturing transactions, mark-to-market adjustments from changing interest and foreign exchange rates, as well as narrower credit spreads.

The loans and leases funded utilization rate was 57 percent at December 31, 2009 compared to 58 percent at December 31, 2008.

Table 29 Commercial Credit Exposure by Type

		Cor					
2009	2008		2009		2008	2009	2008
\$ 322,564	\$ 342,767	\$	293,519	\$	300,856	\$ 616,083	\$ 643,623
80,689	62,252					80,689	62,252
70,238	72,840		6,008		4,740	76,246	77,580
13,473	14,206		781		183	14,254	14,389
3,658	3,382		16		13	3,674	3,395
2,958	2,974		569		791	3,527	3,765
797	328					797	328
\$ 494,377	\$ 498,749	\$	300,893	\$	306,583	\$ 795,270	\$ 805,332
	2009 \$ 322,564 80,689 70,238 13,473 3,658 2,958 797	\$322,564 \$342,767 80,689 62,252 70,238 72,840 13,473 14,206 3,658 3,382 2,958 2,974 797 328	(1, 2) Col 2009 2008 \$ 322,564 \$ 342,767 \$ 80,689 62,252 70,238 72,840 13,473 14,206 3,658 3,382 2,958 2,974 797 328	Commercial Utilized (1,2) Commercial U 2009 2008 2009 \$ 322,564 \$ 342,767 \$ 293,519 80,689 62,252 70,238 72,840 6,008 13,473 14,206 781 3,658 3,382 16 2,958 2,974 569 569 797 328 328 328	Commercial Utilized Commercial Unfun 2009 2008 2009 \$ 322,564 \$ 342,767 \$ 293,519 \$ 80,689 62,252 6,008 6,008 13,473 14,206 781 3,658 3,382 16 2,958 2,974 569 569 797 328	(1, 2) Commercial Unfunded (1, 3, 4) 2009 2008 \$ 322,564 \$ 342,767 \$ 293,519 \$ 300,856 \$ 0,689 62,252 6,008 4,740 13,473 14,206 781 183 3,658 3,382 16 13 2,958 2,974 569 791 797 328	Total Control Commercial Utilized Commercial Unfunded (1, 3, 4) Commit 2009 2008 2009 2008 2009 \$ 322,564 \$ 342,767 \$ 293,519 \$ 300,856 \$ 616,083 80,689 62,252 80,689 70,238 72,840 6,008 4,740 76,246 13,473 14,206 781 183 14,254 3,658 3,382 16 13 3,674 2,958 2,974 569 791 3,527 797 328 797

⁽¹⁾ At December 31, 2009, total commercial utilized, total commercial unfunded and total commercial committed exposure include \$88.5 billion, \$25.7 billion and \$114.2 billion, respectively, related to Merrill Lynch.

Table 30 presents commercial utilized reservable criticized exposure by product type. Criticized exposure corresponds to the Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful asset categories defined by regulatory authorities. In addition to reservable loans and leases, excluding those accounted for under the fair value option, exposure includes SBLCs, financial guarantees, bankers—acceptances and commercial letters of credit for which we are legally bound to advance funds under

⁽²⁾ Total commercial utilized exposure at December 31, 2009 and 2008 includes loans and issued letters of credit accounted for under the fair value option and is comprised of loans outstanding of \$4.9 billion and \$5.4 billion, and letters of credit with a notional amount of \$1.7 billion and \$1.4 billion.

⁽³⁾ Total commercial unfunded exposure at December 31, 2009 and 2008 includes loan commitments accounted for under the fair value option with a notional amount of \$25.3 billion and \$15.5 billion.

⁽⁴⁾ Excludes unused business card lines which are not legally binding.

⁽⁵⁾ Derivative assets are carried at fair value, reflect the effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements, and have been reduced by cash collateral of \$58.4 billion and \$34.8 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Not reflected in utilized and committed exposure is additional derivative collateral held of \$16.2 billion and \$13.4 billion which consists primarily of other marketable securities at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

⁽⁶⁾ Total commercial committed assets held-for-sale exposure consists of \$9.0 billion and \$12.1 billion of commercial LHFS exposure (e.g., commercial mortgage and leveraged finance) and \$5.3 billion and \$2.3 billion of assets held-for-sale exposure at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

prescribed conditions, during a specified period. Although funds have not been advanced, these exposure types are considered utilized for credit risk management purposes. Total commercial utilized reservable criticized

exposure rose by \$21.7 billion primarily due to increases in commercial real estate and commercial domestic. Commercial real estate increased \$10.0 billion primarily due to the non-homebuilder portfolio which has been impacted by the weak economy partially offset by a decrease in the homebuilder portfolio. The \$9.3 billion increase in commercial domestic reflects deterioration across various lines of business and industries, primarily in *Global Banking*. At December 31, 2009, approximately 85 percent of the loans within criticized reservable utilized exposure are secured.

Table 30 Commercial Utilized Reservable Criticized Exposure

	December 31					
	2	2008				
(Dollars in millions)	Amount	Percent (1)	Amount	Percent (1)		
Commercial domesti ⁽²⁾	\$ 28,259	11.66%	\$ 18,963	7.20%		
Commercial real estate	23,804	32.13	13,830	19.73		
Commercial lease financing	2,229	10.04	1,352	6.03		
Commercial foreign	2,605	7.12	1,459	3.65		
	56,897	15.17	35,604	8.99		
Small business commercial domestic	1,789	10.18	1,333	6.94		
Total commercial utilized reservable criticized exposure	\$ 58,686	14.94	\$ 36,937	8.90		

⁽¹⁾ Percentages are calculated as commercial utilized reservable criticized exposure divided by total commercial utilized reservable exposure for each exposure category.

66 Bank of America 2009

⁽²⁾ Excludes small business commercial domestic exposure.

Commercial Domestic (excluding Small Business)

At December 31, 2009, approximately 81 percent of the commercial domestic loan portfolio, excluding small business, was included in *Global Banking* (business banking, middle-market and large multinational corporate loans and leases) and *Global Markets* (acquisition, bridge financing and institutional investor services). The remaining 19 percent was mostly in *GWIM* (business-purpose loans for wealthy individuals). Outstanding commercial domestic loans, excluding loans accounted for under the fair value option, decreased driven primarily by reduced customer demand within *Global Banking*, partially offset by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Nonperforming commercial domestic loans increased \$2.9 billion compared to December 31, 2008. Net charge-offs increased \$1.7 billion in 2009 compared to 2008. The increases in nonperforming loans and net charge-offs were broad-based in terms of borrowers and industries. The acquisition of Merrill Lynch accounts for a portion of the increase in nonperforming loans and reservable criticized exposure.

Commercial Real Estate

The commercial real estate portfolio is predominantly managed in *Global Banking* and consists of loans made primarily to public and private developers, homebuilders and commercial real estate firms. Outstanding loans and leases, excluding loans accounted for under the fair value option, increased \$4.7 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008, primarily due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch partially offset by

portfolio attrition and losses. The portfolio remains diversified across property types and geographic regions. California and Florida represent the two largest state concentrations at 21 percent and seven percent for loans and leases at December 31, 2009. For more information on geographic or property concentrations, refer to Table 31.

For the year, nonperforming commercial real estate loans increased \$3.4 billion and utilized reservable criticized exposure increased \$10.0 billion from December 31, 2008 across most property types and was attributable to the continuing impact of the housing slowdown, elevated unemployment and deteriorating vacancy and rental rates across most non-homebuilder property types and geographies during 2009. The increase in nonperforming loans was driven by the retail, office, multi-use, and land and land development portfolios. The increase in utilized reservable criticized exposure was driven by the office, retail and multi-family rental property types, offset by a \$1.9 billion decrease in the homebuilder portfolio. For 2009, net charge-offs were up \$1.8 billion compared to 2008 driven by increases in net charge-offs in both the non-homebuilder and the homebuilder portfolios.

The following table presents outstanding commercial real estate loans by geographic region and property type. Commercial real estate primarily includes commercial loans and leases secured by non owner-occupied real estate which are dependent on the sale or lease of the real estate as the primary source of repayment.

Table 31 Outstanding Commercial Real Estate Loans

	Decem	ıber 31
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
By Geographic Region (1)		
California	\$ 14,273	\$ 11,270
Northeast	11,661	9,747
Southwest	8,183	6,698
Southeast	6,830	7,365
Midwest	6,505	7,447
Florida	4,568	5,146
Illinois	4,375	5,451
Midsouth	3,332	3,475
Northwest	3,097	3,022
Geographically diversified ⁽²⁾	3,238	2,563
Non-U.S.	2,994	979
Other (3)	481	1,741
Total outstanding commercial real estate loans (4)	\$ 69,537	\$ 64,904

By Property Type

Office	\$ 12,511	\$ 10,388
Multi-family rental	11,169	8,177
Shopping centers/retail	9,519	9,293
Homebuilder (5)	7,250	10,987
Hotels/motels	6,946	2,513
Multi-use	5,924	3,444
Industrial/warehouse	5,852	6,070
Land and land development	3,215	3,856
Other (6)	7,151	10,176
Total outstanding commercial real estate loans (4)	\$ 69,537	\$ 64,904

⁽¹⁾ Distribution is based on geographic location of collateral.

During 2009, deterioration within the commercial real estate portfolio shifted from the homebuilder portfolio to the non-homebuilder portfolio. Non-homebuilder credit quality indicators and appraised values weakened in 2009 due to deteriorating property fundamentals and increased loss severities, whereas homebuilder credit quality indicators, while remaining elevated, began to stabilize. The non-homebuilder portfolio remains most

at risk as occupancy and rental rates continued to deteriorate due to the current economic environment and restrained business hiring and capital investment. We have adopted a number of proactive risk mitigation initiatives to reduce utilized and potential exposure in the commercial real estate portfolios.

Bank of America 2009

07

⁽²⁾ The geographically diversified category is comprised primarily of unsecured outstandings to real estate investment trusts and national home builders whose portfolios of properties span multiple geographic regions.

⁽³⁾ Primarily includes properties in the states of Colorado, Utah, Hawaii, Wyoming and Montana.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes commercial real estate loans accounted for under the fair value option of \$90 million and \$203 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

⁽⁵⁾ Homebuilder includes condominiums and residential land.

⁽⁶⁾ Represents loans to borrowers whose primary business is commercial real estate, but the exposure is not secured by the listed property types or is unsecured.

The following table presents commercial real estate credit quality data by non-homebuilder and homebuilder property types. Commercial real estate primarily includes commercial loans secured by non owner-occu -

pied real estate which is dependent on the sale or lease of the real estate as the primary source of repayment.

Table 32 Commercial Real Estate Credit Quality Data

	December 31 Utilized Reservable						Year Ended December 31						
	Nonr	erform	ing I	Loans and	Ċ	riticized	Exp	osure					
	•		_	perties (1)			2)		N	et Cha	rge-offs	Net Charge-of	f Ratios (3)
(Dollars in millions)		2009		2008		2009		2008		2009	2008	2009	2008
Commercial real estate non-homebuilde	r												
Office	\$	729	\$	95	\$	3,822	\$	801	\$	249	\$	2.01%	%
Multi-family rental		546		232		2,496		822		217	13	1.96	0.18
Shopping centers/retail		1,157		204		3,469		1,442		239	10	2.30	0.11
Hotels/motels		160		9		1,140		67		5	4	0.08	0.09
Industrial/warehouse		442		91		1,757		464		82		1.34	
Multi-use		416		17		1,578		409		146	24	2.58	0.38
Land and land development		968		455		1,657		1,281		286		8.00	
Other (4)		417		88		2,210		973		140	22	1.72	0.42
Total non-homebuilder		4,835		1,191		18,129		6,259		1,364	73	2.13	0.15
Commercial real estate homebuilde(5)		3,228		3,036		5,675		7,571		1,338	814	14.41	6.25
Total commercial real estate	\$	8,063	\$	4,227	\$	23,804	\$	13,830	\$ 2	2,702	\$ 887	3.69	1.41

⁽¹⁾ Includes commercial foreclosed properties of \$777 million and \$321 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

At December 31, 2009, we had total committed non-homebuilder exposure of \$84.4 billion compared to \$84.1 billion at December 31, 2008. The increase was due to the Merrill Lynch acquisition, largely offset by repayments and net charge-offs. Non-homebuilder nonperforming loans and foreclosed properties were \$4.8 billion, or 7.73 percent of total non-homebuilder loans and foreclosed properties at December 31, 2009 compared to \$1.2 billion, or 2.21 percent at December 31, 2008, with the increase driven by deterioration in the shopping center/retail, office, and land and land development portfolios.

Non-homebuilder utilized reservable criticized exposure increased \$11.9 billion to \$18.1 billion, or 27.27 percent of total non-homebuilder utilized reservable exposure at December 31, 2009 compared to \$6.3 billion, or 10.66 percent, at December 31, 2008. The increase was driven primarily by office, shopping center/retail and multi-family rental property types which have been the most adversely affected by high unemployment and the slowdown in consumer spending.

For the non-homebuilder portfolio, net charge-offs increased \$1.3 billion for 2009 compared to 2008 with the increase concentrated in non-homebuilder land and land development, office, shopping center/retail and multi-family rental property types.

Within our total non-homebuilder exposure, at December 31, 2009, we had total committed non-homebuilder construction and land development exposure of \$24.5 billion compared to \$27.8 billion at December 31, 2008. Non-homebuilder construction and land development exposure is mostly secured and diversified across property types and geographies. Assets in the non-homebuilder construction and land development portfolio face significant challenges in the current rental market. Weak rental demand and cash flows and declining property valuations have resulted in increased levels of reservable criticized exposure and

⁽²⁾ Utilized reservable criticized exposure corresponds to the Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful asset categories defined by regulatory authorities. This is defined as loans, excluding those accounted for under the fair value option, SBLCs and bankers—acceptances.

⁽³⁾ Net charge-off ratios are calculated as net charge-offs divided by average outstanding loans excluding loans accounted for under the fair value option during the year for each loan and lease category.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents loans to borrowers whose primary business is commercial real estate, but the exposure is not secured by the listed property types or is unsecured.

⁽⁵⁾ Homebuilder includes condominiums and residential land.

nonperforming loans and foreclosed properties. Nonperforming loans and foreclosed properties and utilized reservable criticized exposure for

the non-homebuilder construction and land development portfolio increased \$2.0 billion and \$6.1 billion from December 31, 2008 to \$2.6 billion and \$8.9 billion at December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2009, we had committed homebuilder exposure of \$10.4 billion compared to \$16.2 billion at December 31, 2008 of which \$7.3 billion and \$11.0 billion were funded secured loans. The decline in homebuilder committed exposure was driven by repayments, charge-offs, reduced new home construction and continued risk mitigation initiatives. Homebuilder nonperforming loans and foreclosed properties stabilized due to the slowdown in the rate of home price declines. Homebuilder utilized reservable criticized exposure decreased by \$1.9 billion driven by higher net charge-offs. The nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties and the utilized reservable criticized ratios for the homebuilder portfolio were 42.16 percent and 74.44 percent at December 31, 2009 compared to 27.07 percent and 66.33 percent at December 31, 2008. Lower loan balances and exposures in 2009 drove a portion of the increase in the ratios. Net charge-offs for the homebuilder portfolio increased \$524 million in 2009 from 2008.

Commercial Foreign

The commercial foreign loan portfolio is managed primarily in *Global Banking*. Outstanding loans, excluding loans accounted for under the fair value option, decreased due to repayments as borrowers accessed the capital markets to refinance bank debt and aggressively managed working capital and investment spending, partially offset by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Reduced merger and acquisition activity was also a factor contributing to modest new loan origination. Net charge-offs increased primarily due to deterioration in the portfolio, particularly in financial services, consumer dependent and housing-related sectors. For additional information on the commercial foreign portfolio, refer to the Foreign Portfolio discussion beginning on page 74.

Bank of America 2009

Small Business Commercial Domestic

The small business commercial domestic loan portfolio is comprised of business card and small business loans primarily managed in *Global Card Services*. In 2009, small business commercial domestic net charge-offs increased \$956 million from 2008. The portfolio deterioration was primarily driven by the impacts of a weakened economy. Approximately 77 percent of the small business commercial domestic net charge-offs for 2009 were credit card related products, compared to 75 percent in 2008.

Commercial Loans Carried at Fair Value

The portfolio of commercial loans accounted for under the fair value option is managed in *Global Markets*. The \$477 million decrease in the fair value loan portfolio in 2009 was driven primarily by reduced corporate borrowings under bank credit facilities. We recorded net gains of \$515 million resulting from changes in the fair value of the loan portfolio during 2009 compared to net losses of \$780 million for 2008. These gains and losses were primarily attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk and were predominantly offset by net gains or net losses from hedging activities.

In addition, unfunded lending commitments and letters of credit had an aggregate fair value of \$950 million and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008 and were recorded in accrued expenses and other liabilities. The associated aggregate notional amount of unfunded lending commitments and letters of credit accounted for under the fair value

option was \$27.0 billion and \$16.9 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008 with the increase driven by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Net gains resulting from changes in fair value of commitments and letters of credit of \$1.4 billion were recorded during 2009 compared to net losses of \$473 million for 2008. These gains and losses were primarily attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk.

Nonperforming Commercial Loans, Leases and Foreclosed Properties Activity

The following table presents the additions and reductions to nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties in the commercial portfolio during 2009 and 2008. The \$16.2 billion in new nonaccrual loans and leases for 2009 was primarily attributable to increases within non-homebuilder commercial real estate property types such as shopping centers/retail, office, land and land development, and multi-use and within commercial domestic excluding small business, where the increases were broad-based across industries and lines of business. Approximately 90 percent of commercial nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties are secured and approximately 35 percent are contractually current. In addition, commercial nonperforming loans are carried at approximately 75 percent of their unpaid principal balance before consideration of the allowance for loan and lease losses as the carrying value of these loans has been reduced to the estimated net realizable value.

Table 33 Nonperforming Commercial Loans, Leases and Foreclosed Properties Activity (1, 2)

(Dollars in millions) Nonperforming loans and leases	2009	2008
Delegar January 1	¢ (407	¢ 2.155
Balance, January 1	\$ 6,497	\$ 2,155
Additions to nonperforming loans and leases:		
Merrill Lynch balance, January 1, 2009	402	
New nonaccrual loans and leases	16,190	8,110
Advances	339	154
Reductions in nonperforming loans and leases:		
Paydowns and payoffs	(3,075)	(1,467)
Sales	(630)	(45)
Returns to performing status (3)	(461)	(125)
Charge-offs (4)	(5,626)	(1,900)
Transfers to foreclosed properties	(857)	(372)

Transfers to loans held-for-sale Total net additions to nonperforming loans and leases Total nonperforming loans and leases, December 31 Foreclosed properties	(76) 6,206 12,703	(13) 4,342 6,497
Balance, January 1	321	75
Additions to foreclosed properties:		
New foreclosed properties	857	372
Reductions in foreclosed properties:		
Sales	(310)	(110)
Write-downs	(91)	(16)
Total net additions to foreclosed properties	456	246
Total foreclosed properties, December 31	777	321
Nonperforming commercial loans, leases and foreclosed properties, December 31	\$ 13,480	\$ 6,818
Nonperforming commercial loans and leases as a percentage of outstanding commercial loans and leases (5)	4.00%	1.93%
Nonperforming commercial loans, leases and foreclosed properties as a percentage of outstanding commercial loans,		
leases and foreclosed properties (5)	4.24	2.02

⁽¹⁾ Balances do not include nonperforming LHFS of \$4.5 billion and \$852 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Bank of America 2009

0)

⁽²⁾ Includes small business commercial domestic activity.

⁽³⁾ Commercial loans and leases may be restored to performing status when all principal and interest is current and full repayment of the remaining contractual principal and interest is expected, or when the loan otherwise becomes well-secured and is in the process of collection. TDRs are generally classified as performing after a sustained period of demonstrated payment performance.

⁽⁴⁾Business card loans are not classified as nonperforming; therefore, the charge-offs on these loans have no impact on nonperforming activity.

⁽⁵⁾ Outstanding commercial loans and leases exclude loans accounted for under the fair value option.

At December 31, 2009, the total commercial TDR balance was \$577 million. Nonperforming TDRs increased \$442 million while performing TDRs increased \$78 million during 2009. Nonperforming TDRs of \$486 million are included in Table 33.

Industry Concentrations

Table 34 presents commercial committed and commercial utilized credit exposure by industry and the total net credit default protection purchased to cover the funded and the unfunded portion of certain credit exposure. Our commercial credit exposure is diversified across a broad range of industries.

Industry limits are used internally to manage industry concentrations and are based on committed exposure and capital usage that are allocated on an industry-by-industry basis. A risk management framework is in place to set and approve industry limits, as well as to provide ongoing monitoring. The Credit Risk Committee (CRC) oversees industry limits governance.

Total commercial committed exposure decreased \$10.1 billion in 2009 across most industries. Those industries that experienced increases in total commercial committed exposure in 2009 were driven by the Merrill Lynch acquisition.

Diversified financials, our largest industry concentration, experienced an increase in committed exposure of \$7.6 billion, or seven percent at December 31, 2009 compared to 2008. The total committed credit exposure increase was driven by the Merrill Lynch portfolio which contributed \$34.7 billion, largely the result of \$28.8 billion in capital markets industry exposure, primarily comprised of derivatives. This was offset, in part, by a reduction in legacy Bank of America positions of \$27.1 billion, the majority of which came from a \$21.2 billion reduction in capital markets industry exposure including the cancellation of \$8.8 billion in facilities to legacy Merrill Lynch.

Real estate, our second largest industry concentration, experienced a decrease in committed exposure of \$12.4 billion, or 12 percent at December 31, 2009 compared to 2008. An \$18.6 billion decrease in legacy Bank of America committed exposure, driven primarily by decreases in homebuilder, unsecured commercial real estate and commercial construction and land development exposure, was partially offset by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Real estate construction and land development exposure comprised 31 percent of the total real estate industry committed exposure at December 31, 2009. For more information on the commercial real estate and related portfolios, refer to the commercial real estate discussion beginning on page 67.

The insurance and utilities committed exposure increased primarily due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Refer to the *Global Markets* discussion beginning on page 35 and to the monoline and related exposure discussion below for more information.

Retailing committed exposure declined 16 percent at December 31, 2009 compared to 2008, driven by the retirement of several large retail exposures and paydowns as retailers and wholesalers worked to reduce inventory levels.

Monoline and Related Exposure

Monoline exposure is reported in the insurance industry and managed under insurance portfolio industry limits. Direct loan exposure to monolines consisted of revolvers in the amount of \$41 million and \$126 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

We have indirect exposure to monolines primarily in the form of guarantees supporting our loans, investment portfolios, securitizations, credit-enhanced securities as part of our public finance business and other selected products. Such indirect exposure exists when we purchase credit protection from monolines to hedge all or a portion of the credit risk on certain credit exposures including loans and CDOs. We underwrite our public finance exposure by evaluating the underlying securities.

We also have indirect exposure to monoline financial guarantors, primarily in the form of guarantees supporting our mortgage and other loan sales. Indirect exposure may exist when we purchase credit protection from monoline financial guarantors to hedge all or a portion of the credit risk on certain mortgage and other loan exposures. A loss may occur when we are required to repurchase a loan and the market value of the loan has declined or when we are required to indemnify or provide recourse for a guarantor s loss. We have experienced and continue to experience increasing repurchase demands from and disputes with monoline financial guarantors. We expect to contest such demands that we do not believe are valid. In the event that we are required to repurchase loans that have been the subject of repurchase demands or otherwise provide indemnification or other recourse, this could significantly increase our losses and thereby affect our future earnings. For further information regarding representations and warranties, see *Note 8 Securitizations* to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Item 1A., Risk Factors.

Monoline derivative credit exposure at December 31, 2009 had a notional value of \$42.6 billion compared to \$9.6 billion at December 31, 2008. Mark-to-market monoline derivative credit exposure was \$11.1 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2008, driven by the addition of Merrill Lynch exposures as well as credit deterioration related to underlying counterparties and spread widening in both wrapped CDO and structured finance related exposures. At December 31, 2009, the counterparty credit valuation adjustment related to monoline derivative exposure was \$6.0 billion, which reduced our net

mark-to-market exposure to \$5.1 billion. We do not hold collateral against these derivative exposures. For more information on our monoline exposure, see the *Global Markets* discussion beginning on page 35.

We also have indirect exposure as we invest in securities where the issuers have purchased wraps (i.e., insurance). For example, municipalities and corporations purchase protection in order to enhance their pricing power which has the effect of reducing their cost of borrowing. If the ratings agencies downgrade the monolines, the credit rating of the bond may fall and may have an adverse impact on the market value of the security. In the case of default, we first look to the underlying securities and then to recovery on the purchased insurance. Investments in securities issued by municipalities and corporations with purchased wraps at December 31, 2009 and 2008 had a notional value of \$5.0 billion and \$6.0 billion. Mark-to-market investment exposure was \$4.9 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to \$5.7 billion at December 31, 2008.

Bank of America 2009

Table 34 Commercial Credit Exposure by Industry (1, 2, 3)

		December 31					
	Commerci	ial Utilized	Total Commercial Committed				
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009 20	800			
Diversified financials	\$ 68,876	\$ 50,327	\$ 110,948 \$ 103,3	306			
Real estate (4)	75,049	79,766	91,479 103,8				
Government and public education	44,151	39,386	61,446 58,6	608			
Capital goods	23,834	27,588	47,413 52,5	522			
Healthcare equipment and services	29,584	31,280	46,370 46,7	785			
Consumer services	28,517	28,715	44,164 43,9)48			
Retailing	23,671	30,736	42,260 50,1	102			
Commercial services and supplies	23,892	24,095	34,646 34,8	367			
Individuals and trusts	25,191	22,752	33,678 33,0)45			
Materials	16,373	22,825	32,898 38,1	05			
Insurance	20,613	11,223	28,033 17,8	355			
Food, beverage and tobacco	14,812	17,257	27,985 28,5	521			
Utilities	9,217	8,230	25,229 19,2	272			
Energy	9,605	11,885	23,619 22,7	132			
Banks	20,299	22,134	23,384 26,4	193			
Media	11,236	8,939	22,832 19,3	301			
Transportation	13,724	13,050	19,597 18,5	561			
Religious and social organizations	8,920	9,539	11,371 12,5	576			
Pharmaceuticals and biotechnology	2,875	3,721	10,343 10,1	11			
Consumer durables and apparel	4,374	6,219	9,829 10,8	362			
Technology hardware and equipment	3,135	3,971	9,671 10,3	371			
Telecommunication services	3,558	3,681	9,478 8,0	036			
Software and services	3,216	4,093	9,306 9,5	590			
Food and staples retailing	3,680	4,282	6,562 7,0)12			
Automobiles and components	2,379	3,093	5,339 6,0	081			
Other	3,596	9,962	7,390 12,7	/81			
Total commercial credit exposure by industry	\$ 494,377	\$ 498,749	\$ 795,270 \$ 805,3				
Net credit default protection purchased on total commitments (5)			\$ (19,025) \$ (9,6)	554)			

⁽¹⁾ Total commercial utilized and total commercial committed exposure includes loans and letters of credit accounted for under the fair value option and are comprised of loans outstanding of \$4.9 billion and \$5.4 billion, and issued letters of credit with a notional amount of \$1.7 billion and \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008. In addition, total commercial committed exposure includes unfunded loan commitments with a notional amount of \$25.3 billion and \$15.5 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Risk Mitigation

Credit protection is purchased to cover the funded portion as well as the unfunded portion of certain credit exposure. To lessen the cost of obtaining our desired credit protection levels, credit exposure may be added within an industry, borrower or counterparty group by selling protection.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had net notional credit default protection purchased in our credit derivatives portfolio to hedge our funded and unfunded exposures for which we elected the fair value option as well as certain other credit exposures of \$19.0 billion and \$9.7 billion. The increase from December 31, 2008 is primarily driven by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. The mark-to-market impacts, including the cost of net credit default protection hedging our credit exposure, resulted in net

losses of \$2.9 billion in 2009 compared to net gains of \$993 million in 2008. The average Value-at-Risk (VAR) for these credit derivative hedges was \$76 million in 2009 compared to \$24 million in 2008. The average VAR for the related credit exposure was \$130 million in 2009 compared to \$57 million in 2008. The

⁽²⁾ Includes small business commercial domestic exposure.

⁽³⁾ At December 31, 2009, total commercial utilized and total commercial committed exposure included \$88.5 billion and \$114.2 billion of exposure due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch which included \$31.7 billion and \$34.7 billion in diversified financials and \$12.3 billion and \$13.0 billion in insurance with the remaining exposure spread across various industries.

⁽⁴⁾ Industries are viewed from a variety of perspectives to best isolate the perceived risks. For purposes of this table, the real estate industry is defined based upon the borrowers or counterparties primary business activity using operating cash flow and primary source of repayment as key factors.

⁽⁵⁾ Represents net notional credit protection purchased. Refer to the Risk Mitigation discussion beginning on page 71 for additional information.

year-over-year increase in VAR was driven by the combination of the Merrill Lynch and Bank of America businesses in 2009. There is a diversification effect between the net credit default protection hedging our credit exposure and the related credit exposure such that the combined average VAR was \$89 million in 2009. Refer to the Trading Risk Management discussion beginning on page 80 for a description of our VAR calculation for the market-based trading portfolio.

Bank of America 2009

71

Tables 35 and 36 present the maturity profiles and the credit exposure debt ratings of the net credit default protection portfolio at December 31, 2009 and 2008. The distribution of debt rating for net

notional credit default protection purchased is shown as a negative and the net notional credit protection sold is shown as a positive amount.

Table 35 Net Credit Default Protection by Maturity Profile

	Decemb	oer 31
	2009	2008
Less than or equal to one year	16%	1%
Greater than one year and less than or equal to five years	81	92
Greater than five years	3	7
Total net credit default protection	100%	100%

Table 36 Net Credit Default Protection by Credit Exposure Debt Rating (1)

(Dollars in millions)	December 31							
		2008						
Ratings (2)	Net Notional	Percent of Total	Net Notional	Percent of Total				
AAA	\$ 15	(0.1)%	\$ 30	(0.3)%				
AA	(344)	1.8	(103)	1.1				
A	(6,092)	32.0	(2,800)	29.0				
BBB	(9,573)	50.4	(4,856)	50.2				
BB	(2,725)	14.3	(1,948)	20.2				
В	(835)	4.4	(579)	6.0				
CCC and below	(1,691)	8.9	(278)	2.9				
NR ⁽³⁾	2,220	(11.7)	880	(9.1)				
Total net credit default protection	\$ (19,025)	100.0%	\$ (9,654)	100.0%				

⁽¹⁾ Ratings are refreshed on a quarterly basis.

In addition to our net notional credit default protection purchased to cover the funded and unfunded portion of certain credit exposures, credit derivatives are used for market-making activities for clients and establishing positions intended to profit from directional or relative value changes. We execute the majority of our credit derivative positions in the over-the-counter market with large, multinational financial institutions, including broker/dealers and, to a lesser degree, with a variety of other investors. Because these transactions are executed in the over-the-counter market, we are subject to settlement risk. We are also

subject to credit risk in the event that these counterparties fail to perform under the terms of these contracts. In most cases, credit derivative transactions are executed on a daily margin basis. Therefore, events such as a credit downgrade (depending on the ultimate rating level) or a breach of credit covenants would typically require an increase in the amount of collateral required of the counterparty (where applicable), and/or allow us to take additional protective measures such as early termination of all trades.

⁽²⁾ The Corporation considers ratings of BBB- or higher to meet the definition of investment grade.

⁽³⁾ In addition to names which have not been rated, NR includes \$2.3 billion and \$948 million in net credit default swaps index positions at December 31, 2009 and 2008. While index positions are principally investment grade, credit default swaps indices include names in and across each of the ratings categories.

72 Bank of America 2009

The notional amounts presented in Table 37 represent the total contract/notional amount of credit derivatives outstanding and include both purchased and written credit derivatives. The credit risk amounts are measured as the net replacement cost in the event the counterparties with contracts in a gain position to us fail to perform under the terms of those contracts. The addition of Merrill Lynch drove the increase in counterparty credit risk for purchased credit derivatives and the increase in the contract/notional amount. For information on the performance risk of our written credit derivatives, see *Note 4 Derivatives* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The credit risk amounts discussed above and noted in the table below take into consideration the effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements while amounts disclosed in *Note 4 Derivatives* to the Consolidated Financial Statements are shown on a gross basis. Credit risk reflects the potential benefit from offsetting exposure to non-credit derivative products with the same counterparties that may be netted upon the occurrence of certain events, thereby reducing the Corporation s overall exposure.

Table 37 Credit Derivatives

		December 31									
	20	2008									
(Dollars in millions)	Contract/Notional	Credit Risk		Contract/Notional	Cr	edit Risk					
Credit derivatives											
Purchased credit derivatives:											
Credit default swaps	\$ 2,800,539	\$	25,964	\$ 1,025,850	\$	11,772					
Total return swaps/other	21,685		1,740	6,601		1,678					
Total purchased credit derivatives	2,822,224		27,704	1,032,451		13,450					
Written credit derivatives:											
Credit default swaps	2,788,760			1,000,034							
Total return swaps/other	33,109			6,203							
Total written credit derivatives	2,821,869			1,006,237							
Total credit derivatives	\$ 5,644,093	\$	27,704	\$ 2,038,688	\$	13,450					

Counterparty Credit Risk Valuation Adjustments

We record a counterparty credit risk valuation adjustment on certain derivatives assets, including our credit default protection purchased, in order to properly reflect the credit quality of the counterparty. These adjustments are necessary as the market quotes on derivatives do not fully reflect the credit risk of the counterparties to the derivative assets. We consider collateral and legally enforceable master netting agreements that mitigate our credit exposure to each counterparty in determining the counterparty credit risk valuation adjustment. All or a portion of these counterparty credit risk valuation adjustments are reversed or otherwise

adjusted in future periods due to changes in the value of the derivative contract, collateral and creditworthiness of the counterparty.

During 2009, credit valuation gains (losses) were recognized in trading account profits (losses) related to counterparty credit risk on derivative assets. For additional information on gains or losses related to the counterparty credit risk on derivative assets, refer to *Note 4 Derivatives* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. For information on our monoline counterparty credit risk, see the discussion beginning on pages 37 and 70, and for information on our CDO-related counterparty credit risk, see the *Global Markets* discussion beginning on page 35.

Bank of America 2009

Foreign Portfolio

Our foreign credit and trading portfolio is subject to country risk. We define country risk as the risk of loss from unfavorable economic and political conditions, currency fluctuations, social instability and changes in government policies. A risk management framework is in place to measure, monitor and manage foreign risk and exposures. Management oversight of country risk including cross-border risk is provided by the Regional Risk Committee, a subcommittee of the CRC.

The following table sets forth total foreign exposure broken out by region at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Foreign exposure includes

credit exposure net of local liabilities, securities, and other investments issued by or domiciled in countries other than the U.S. Total foreign exposure can be adjusted for externally guaranteed outstandings and certain collateral types. Exposures which are assigned external guarantees are reported under the country of the guarantor. Exposures with tangible collateral are reflected in the country where the collateral is held. For securities received, other than cross-border resale agreements, outstandings are assigned to the domicile of the issuer of the securities. Resale agreements are generally presented based on the domicile of the counterparty consistent with FFIEC reporting requirements.

Table 38 Regional Foreign Exposure (1, 2, 3)

	December 31					
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008				
Europe	\$ 170,796	\$ 66,472				
Asia Pacific	47,645	39,774				
Latin America	19,516	11,378				
Middle East and Africa	3,906	2,456				
Other	15,799	10,988				
Total	\$ 257,662	\$ 131,068				

- (1) Local funding or liabilities are subtracted from local exposures consistent with FFIEC reporting requirements.
- (2) Exposures have been reduced by \$34.3 billion and \$19.6 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008 for the cash applied as collateral to derivative assets.
- (3) Generally, resale agreements are presented based on the domicile of the counterparty, consistent with FFIEC reporting requirements. Cross-border resale agreements where the underlying securities are U.S. Treasury securities, in which case the domicile is the U.S., are excluded from this presentation.

Our total foreign exposure was \$257.7 billion at December 31, 2009, an increase of \$126.6 billion from December 31, 2008. Our foreign exposure remained concentrated in Europe, which accounted for \$170.8 billion, or 66 percent, of total foreign exposure. The European exposure was mostly in Western Europe and was distributed across a variety of industries. Asia Pacific was our second largest foreign exposure at \$47.6 billion, or 18 percent. Latin America accounted for \$19.5 billion, or eight percent, of total foreign exposure. The increases of \$104.3 billion, \$7.9 billion and \$8.1 billion in our foreign exposure in Europe, Asia Pacific and Latin America, respectively, from December 31, 2008 were primarily due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. For more information on our Asia Pacific and Latin America exposure, see the discussion of the foreign exposure to selected countries defined as emerging markets below.

As shown in Table 39, at December 31, 2009 and 2008, the United Kingdom had total cross-border exposure of \$60.7 billion and \$13.3 billion, representing 2.73 percent and 0.73 percent of our total assets. The

United Kingdom was the only country where the total cross-border exposure exceeded one percent of our total assets at December 31, 2009. The increase of \$47.4 billion was primarily due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. At December 31, 2009, Germany and France, with total cross-border exposure of \$18.9 billion and \$17.4 billion, representing 0.85 percent and 0.78 percent of total assets were the only other countries that had total cross-border exposure which exceeded 0.75 percent of our total assets.

Exposure includes cross-border claims by our foreign offices including loans, acceptances, time deposits placed, trading account assets, securities, derivative assets, other interest-earning investments and other monetary assets. Amounts also include unused commitments, SBLCs, commercial letters of credit and formal guarantees. Sector definitions are consistent with FFIEC reporting requirements for preparing the Country Exposure Report.

Table 39 Total Cross-border Exposure Exceeding One Percent of Total Assets (1)

									Exposure as a
							Cros	s-border	Percentage of Total
(Dollars in millions)	December 31	Public	Sector	Banks	Priva	te Sector	I	Exposure	Assets
United Kingdom	2009	\$	157	\$ 8,478	\$	52,080	\$	60,715	2.73%
-	2008		543	567		12 167		13 277	0.73

⁽¹⁾ At December 31, 2009 and 2008, total cross-border exposure for the United Kingdom included derivatives exposure of \$5.0 billion and \$3.2 billion, which has been reduced by the amount of cash collateral applied of \$7.1 billion and \$4.5 billion. Derivative assets were collateralized by other marketable securities of \$18 million and \$124 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

74 Bank of America 2009

As presented in Table 40, foreign exposure to borrowers or counterparties in emerging markets increased \$4.7 billion to \$50.6 billion at December 31, 2009, compared to \$45.8 billion at December 31, 2008. The increase was due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch partially offset by

the sale of CCB common shares in 2009. Foreign exposure to borrowers or counterparties in emerging markets represented 20 percent and 35 percent of total foreign exposure at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Table 40 Selected Emerging Markets (1)

														Total		
												Local	E	merging		
	Lo	ans and								1.0		Country		Market		Increase
		Leases,							101	al Cross-	E	Exposure	F	Exposure	(D	ecrease)
		and					S	Securities/				Net of		at		From
		Loan		Other	Der	ivative		Other		border		Local	Dece	mber 31,	Decei	mber 31,
(Dollars in millions)	Comn	nitments	Finai	ncing (2)	As	sets (3)	Inves	tments (4)	Exp	posure (5)	Liab	ilities (6)		2009		2008
Region/Country																
Asia Pacific																
China	\$	572	\$	517	\$	704	\$	10,270	\$	12,063	\$		\$	12,063	\$	(8,642)
India		1,702		1,091		639		1,704		5,136		1,024		6,160		1,726
South Korea		428		803		1,275		2,505		5,011				5,011		335
Hong Kong		391		337		98		276		1,102				1,102		421
Singapore		293		54		228		293		868				868		(701)
Taiwan		279		32		86		127		524		205		729		(113)
Other Asia Pacific (7)		248		63		147		505		963		68		1,031		426
Total Asia Pacific		3,913		2,897		3,177		15,680		25,667		1,297		26,964		(6,548)
Latin America																
Brazil		522		475		156		6,396		7,549		1,905		9,454		5,585
Mexico		1,667		291		524		2,860		5,342		129		5,471		1,314
Chile		604		248		281		26		1,159		2		1,161		582
Other Latin America (7)		150		319		354		446		1,269		211		1,480		833
Total Latin America		2,943		1,333		1,315		9,728		15,319		2,247		17,566		8,314
Middle East and Africa																
South Africa		133		2		93		920		1,148				1,148		821
Bahrain		119		8		36		970		1,133				1,133		(56)
United Arab Emirates		469		12		167		72		720				720		310
Other Middle East and Africa (7)		315		92		142		218		767		1		768		239
Total Middle East and Africa		1,036		114		438		2,180		3,768		1		3,769		1,314
Central and Eastern Europe																
Russian Federation		116		66		273		214		669				669		577
Other Central and Eastern Europe (7)		141		356		289		788		1,574		32		1,606		1,069
Total Central and Eastern Europe		257		422		562		1,002		2,243		32		2,275		1,646
Total emerging market exposure	\$	8,149	\$	4,766	\$	5,492	\$	28,590	\$	46,997	\$	3,577	\$	50,574	\$	4,726

⁽¹⁾ There is no generally accepted definition of emerging markets. The definition that we use includes all countries in Asia Pacific excluding Japan, Australia and New Zealand; all countries in Latin America excluding Cayman Islands and Bermuda; all countries in Middle East and Africa; and all countries in Central and Eastern Europe. There was no emerging market exposure included in the portfolio accounted for under the fair value option at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

⁽²⁾ Includes acceptances, SBLCs, commercial letters of credit and formal guarantees.

⁽³⁾ Derivative assets are carried at fair value and have been reduced by the amount of cash collateral applied of \$557 million and \$152 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, there were \$616 million and \$531 million of other marketable securities collateralizing derivative assets.

⁽⁴⁾ Generally, cross-border resale agreements are presented based on the domicile of the counterparty, consistent with FFIEC reporting requirements. Cross-border resale agreements where the underlying securities are U.S. Treasury securities, in which case the domicile is the U.S., are excluded from this presentation.

⁽⁵⁾ Cross-border exposure includes amounts payable to the Corporation by borrowers or counterparties with a country of residence other than the one in which the credit is booked, regardless of the currency in which the claim is denominated, consistent with FFIEC reporting requirements.

⁽⁶⁾ Local country exposure includes amounts payable to the Corporation by borrowers with a country of residence in which the credit is booked, regardless of the currency in which the claim is denominated. Local funding or liabilities are subtracted from local exposures consistent with FFIEC reporting requirements.

Total amount of available local liabilities funding local country exposure at December 31, 2009 was \$17.6 billion compared to \$12.6 billion at December 31, 2008. Local liabilities at December 31, 2009 in Asia Pacific, Latin America, and Middle East and Africa were \$16.3 billion, \$857 million and \$449 million, respectively, of which \$8.7 billion were in Singapore, \$2.1 billion were in Hong Kong, \$1.5 billion were in both China and India, \$1.3 billion were in South Korea, and \$734 million were in Mexico. There were no other countries with available local liabilities funding local country exposure greater than \$500 million. (7) No country included in Other Asia Pacific, Other Latin America, Other Middle East and Africa, and Other Central and Eastern Europe had total foreign exposure of more than \$500 million.

Bank of America 2009 75

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, 53 percent and 73 percent of the emerging markets exposure was in Asia Pacific. Emerging markets exposure in Asia Pacific decreased by \$6.5 billion driven by the sale of CCB common shares in 2009. Our exposure in China was primarily related to our equity investment in CCB which accounted for \$9.2 billion and \$19.7 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008. For more information on our CCB investment, refer to the *All Other* discussion beginning on page 41.

At December 31, 2009, 35 percent of the emerging markets exposure was in Latin America compared to 20 percent at December 31, 2008. Latin America emerging markets exposure increased by \$8.3 billion due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Our exposure in Brazil was primarily related to the carrying value of our investment in Itaú Unibanco, which accounted for \$5.4 billion and \$2.5 billion of exposure in Brazil at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Our equity investment in Itaú Unibanco represents five percent and eight percent of its outstanding voting and non-voting shares at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Our exposure in Mexico was primarily related to our 24.9 percent investment in Santander, which is classified as securities and other investments in Table 40, and accounted for \$2.5 billion and \$2.1 billion of exposure in Mexico at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, seven percent and six percent of the emerging markets exposure was in Middle East and Africa, with the increase of \$1.3 billion due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, five percent and one percent of the emerging markets exposure was in Central and Eastern Europe which increased by \$1.6 billion due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch.

Provision for Credit Losses

The provision for credit losses increased \$21.7 billion to \$48.6 billion for 2009 compared to 2008.

The consumer portion of the provision for credit losses increased \$15.1 billion to \$36.9 billion for 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was driven by higher net charge-offs in our consumer real estate, consumer credit card and consumer lending portfolios, reflecting deterioration in the economy and housing markets. In addition to higher net charge-offs, the provision increase was also driven by higher reserve additions for deterioration in the purchased impaired and residential mortgage portfolios, new draws on previously securitized accounts as well as an approximate \$800 million addition to increase the reserve coverage to approximately 12 months of charge-offs in consumer credit card. These increases were partially offset by lower reserve additions in our unsecured domestic consumer lending portfolios resulting from improved delinquencies and in the home equity portfolio due to the slowdown in the pace of deterioration. In the Countrywide and Merrill Lynch consumer purchased impaired portfolios, the additions to reserves to reflect further reductions in expected principal cash flows were \$3.5 billion in 2009 compared to \$750 million in 2008. The increase was primarily related to the home equity purchased impaired portfolio.

The commercial portion of the provision for credit losses including the provision for unfunded lending commitments increased \$6.7 billion to \$11.7 billion for 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was driven by higher net charge-offs and higher additions to the reserves in the commercial real estate and commercial domestic portfolios, reflecting deterioration across a broad range of property types, industries and borrowers. These increases were partially offset by lower reserve additions in the small business portfolio due to improved delinquencies.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for loan and lease losses excludes loans accounted for under the fair value option as fair value adjustments related to loans measured at fair value include a credit risk component. The allowance for loan and lease losses is allocated based on two components. We evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses based on the combined total of these two components.

The first component of the allowance for loan and lease losses covers those commercial loans, excluding loans accounted for under the fair value option, that are either nonperforming or impaired, or consumer real estate loans that have been modified in a TDR. These loans are subject to impairment measurement at the loan level based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s contractual effective interest rate (or collateral value or observable market price). When the values are lower than the carrying value of that loan, impairment is recognized. For purposes of computing this specific loss component of the allowance, larger impaired loans are evaluated individually and smaller impaired loans are evaluated as a pool using historical loss experience for the respective product types and risk ratings of the loans.

The second component of the allowance for loan and lease losses covers performing consumer and commercial loans and lease excluding loans accounted for under the fair value option. The allowance for commercial loan and lease losses is established by product type after analyzing historical loss experience by internal risk rating, current economic conditions, industry performance trends, geographic or obligor concentrations within each portfolio segment, and any other pertinent information. The commercial historical loss experience is updated quarterly to incorporate the most recent data reflective of the current economic environment. As of December 31, 2009, quarterly updates to historical loss experience resulted in an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses most significantly in the commercial real estate portfolio. The allowance for consumer and certain homogeneous commercial loan and lease products is based on aggregated portfolio segment evaluations, generally by product type. Loss forecast models are utilized that consider a variety of factors including, but not limited to, historical loss

experience, estimated defaults or foreclosures based on portfolio trends, delinquencies, economic trends and credit scores. These loss forecast models are updated on a quarterly basis to incorporate information reflecting the current economic environment. As of December 31, 2009, quarterly updates to the loss forecast models resulted in increases in the allowance for loan and lease losses in the consumer real estate and foreign credit card portfolios and reductions in the allowance for the *Global Card Services* consumer lending and domestic credit card portfolios.

We monitor differences between estimated and actual incurred loan and lease losses. This monitoring process includes periodic assessments by senior management of loan and lease portfolios and the models used to estimate incurred losses in those portfolios.

Additions to the allowance for loan and lease losses are made by charges to the provision for credit losses. Credit exposures deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses. Recoveries of previously charged off amounts are credited to the allowance for loan and lease losses.

The allowance for loan and lease losses for the consumer portfolio as presented in Table 42 was \$27.8 billion at December 31, 2009, an increase of \$11.1 billion from December 31, 2008. This increase was primarily related to the impact of the weak economy and deterioration in

Bank of America 2009

the housing markets, which drove reserve builds for higher losses across most consumer portfolios. With respect to the Countrywide and Merrill Lynch consumer purchased impaired portfolios, updating of our expected principal cash flows resulted in an increase in reserves of \$3.5 billion in the home equity, discontinued real estate, and residential mortgage portfolios.

The allowance for commercial loan and lease losses was \$9.4 billion at December 31, 2009, a \$3.0 billion increase from December 31, 2008. The increase in allowance levels was driven by reserve increases on the commercial real estate and commercial domestic portfolios within *Global Banking*.

The allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases outstanding was 4.16 percent at December 31, 2009, compared to 2.49 percent at December 31, 2008. The increase in the ratio was primarily driven by consumer reserve increases for higher losses in the residential mortgage, consumer card and home equity portfolios, reflecting deterioration in the housing markets and the impact of the weak economy. The increase was also the result of reserve increases in the commercial real estate and commercial domestic portfolios reflecting broad-based deterioration across various borrowers, industries, and property types. In addition, the December 31, 2009 and 2008 ratios include the impact of the purchased impaired portfolio. Excluding the impacts of the purchased impaired portfolio, the allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases outstanding was 3.88 percent at December 31, 2009, compared to 2.53 percent at December 31, 2008.

Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments

In addition to the allowance for loan and lease losses, we also estimate probable losses related to unfunded lending commitments excluding commitments accounted for under the fair value option, such as letters of credit and financial guarantees, and binding unfunded loan commitments. Unfunded lending commitments are subject to the same assessment as funded loans, except utilization assumptions are considered. The reserve for unfunded lending commitments is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet with changes to the reserve generally made through the provision for credit losses.

The reserve for unfunded lending commitments at December 31, 2009 was \$1.5 billion compared to \$421 million at December 31, 2008. The increase was largely driven by the fair value of the acquired Merrill Lynch unfunded lending commitments.

Bank of America 2009

Table 41 presents a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses for 2009 and 2008.

Table 41 Allowance for Credit Losses

	****	•
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Allowance for loan and lease losses, January 1	\$ 23,071	\$ 11,588
Loans and leases charged off	(4,436)	(964)
Residential mortgage Home equity	(7,205)	(3,597)
Discontinued real estate	(104)	(3,397) (19)
Credit card domestic	(6,753)	(4,469)
Credit card foreign	(1,332)	(639)
Direct/Indirect consumer	(6,406)	(3,777)
Other consumer	(491)	(461)
Total consumer charge-offs	(26,727)	(13,926)
Commercial domestié ¹⁾	(5,237)	(2,567)
Commercial real estate	(2,744)	(895)
Commercial lease financing	(217)	(79)
Commercial foreign	(558)	(199)
Total commercial charge-offs	(8,756)	(3,740)
Total loans and leases charged off	(35,483)	(17,666)
Recoveries of loans and leases previously charged off		
Residential mortgage	86	39
Home equity	155	101
Discontinued real estate	3	3
Credit card domestic	206	308
Credit card foreign	93	88
Direct/Indirect consumer	943	663
Other consumer	63	62
Total consumer recoveries	1,549	1,264
Commercial domestie ²)	161	118
Commercial real estate	42	8
Commercial lease financing	22	19
Commercial foreign	21	26
Total commercial recoveries	246	171
Total recoveries of loans and leases previously charged off	1,795	1,435
Net charge-offs	(33,688)	(16,231)
Provision for loan and lease losses Write-downs on consumer purchased impaired loans (3)	48,366 (179)	26,922 n/a
Other (4)	(370)	792
Allowance for loan and lease losses, December 31	37,200	23,071
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments, January 1	421	518
Provision for unfunded lending commitments	204	(97)
Other (5)	862	(21)
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments, December 31	1,487	421
Allowance for credit losses, December 31	\$ 38,687	\$ 23,492
Loans and leases outstanding at December 31 (6)	\$ 895,192	\$ 926,033
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases outstanding at December 31 (3,6)	4.16%	2.49%
Consumer allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total consumer loans and leases outstanding at		
December 31 (3)	4.81	2.83
Commercial allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total commercial loans and leases outstanding at		
December 31 (3)	2.96	1.90
Average loans and leases outstanding (3, 6)	\$ 941,862	\$ 905,944
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases outstanding (3, 6)	3.58%	1.79%
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases at December 31 (3,6)	111	141
Ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses at December 31 to net charge-offs (3)	1.10	1.42
(1) Includes small business commercial domestic charge-offs of \$3.0 billion and \$2.0 billion in 2009 and 2008.		

- (2) Includes small business commercial domestic recoveries of \$65 million and \$39 million in 2009 and 2008.
- (3) Allowance for loan and lease losses includes \$3.9 billion and \$750 million of valuation allowance for consumer purchased impaired loans at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Excluding the valuation allowance for purchased impaired loans, allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases would have been 99 percent and 136 percent at December 31, 2009 and 2008. For more information on the impact of purchased impaired loans on asset quality, see Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management beginning on page 54 and Commercial Portfolio Credit Risk Management beginning on page 64.
- (4) The 2009 amount includes a \$750 million reduction in the allowance for loan and lease losses related to credit card loans of \$8.5 billion which were exchanged for a \$7.8 billion held-to-maturity debt security that was issued by the Corporation s U.S. Credit Card Securitization Trust and retained by the Corporation. This reduction was partially offset by a \$340 million increase associated with the reclassification to other assets of the December 31, 2008 amount expected to be reimbursed under residential mortgage cash collateralized synthetic securitizations. The 2008 amount includes the \$1.2 billion addition of the Countrywide allowance for loan losses as of July 1, 2008.
- (5) The 2009 amount represents the fair value of the acquired Merrill Lynch unfunded lending commitments excluding those accounted for under the fair value option, net of accretion and the impact of funding previously unfunded portions.
- (6) Outstanding loan and lease balances and ratios do not include loans accounted for under the fair value option. Loans measured at fair value were \$4.9 billion and \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Average loans measured at fair value were \$6.9 billion and \$4.9 billion for 2009 and 2008. n/a = not applicable

8 Bank of America 2009

For reporting purposes, we allocate the allowance for credit losses across products. However, the allowance is available to absorb any credit losses without restriction. Table 42 presents our allocation by product type.

Table 42 Allocation of the Allowance for Credit Losses by Product Type

	December 31								
		2009			2008				
			Percent of			Percent of			
			Loans and		Percent	Loans and			
		Percent	Leases		of	Leases			
(Dollars in millions)	Amount	of Total	Outstanding (1)	Amount	Total	Outstanding (1)			
Allowance for loan and lease losses									
Residential mortgage	\$ 4,607	12.38%	1.90%	\$ 1,382	5.99%	0.56%			
Home equity	10,160	27.31	6.81	5,385	23.34	3.53			
Discontinued real estate	989	2.66	6.66	658	2.85	3.29			
Credit card domestic	6,017	16.18	12.17	3,947	17.11	6.16			
Credit card foreign	1,581	4.25	7.30	742	3.22	4.33			
Direct/Indirect consumer	4,227	11.36	4.35	4,341	18.81	5.20			
Other consumer	204	0.55	6.53	203	0.88	5.87			
Total consumer	27,785	74.69	4.81	16,658	72.20	2.83			
Commercial domesti ⁽²⁾	5,152	13.85	2.59	4,339	18.81	1.98			
Commercial real estate	3,567	9.59	5.14	1,465	6.35	2.26			
Commercial lease financing	291	0.78	1.31	223	0.97	1.00			
Commercial foreign	405	1.09	1.50	386	1.67	1.25			
Total commercial (3)	9,415	25.31	2.96	6,413	27.80	1.90			
Allowance for loan and lease losses	37,200	100.00%	4.16%	23,071	100.00%	2.49%			
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments (4)	1,487			421					
Allowance for credit losses (5)	\$ 38,687			\$ 23,492					

⁽¹⁾ Ratios are calculated as allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of loans and leases outstanding excluding loans accounted for under the fair value option for each loan and lease category. Loans accounted for under the fair value option include commercial domestic loans of \$3.0 billion and \$3.5 billion, commercial foreign loans of \$1.9 billion and \$1.7 billion, and commercial real estate loans of \$90 million and \$203 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Market Risk Management

Market risk is the risk that values of assets and liabilities or revenues will be adversely affected by changes in market conditions such as market movements. This risk is inherent in the financial instruments associated with our operations and/or activities including loans, deposits, securities, short-term borrowings, long-term debt, trading account assets and liabilities, and derivatives. Market-sensitive assets and liabilities are generated through loans and deposits associated with our traditional banking business, customer and other trading operations, ALM process, credit risk mitigation activities and mortgage banking activities. In the event of market volatility, factors such as underlying market movements and liquidity have an impact on the results of the Corporation.

Our traditional banking loan and deposit products are nontrading positions and are generally reported at amortized cost for assets or the amount owed for liabilities (historical cost). However, these positions are still subject to changes in economic value based on varying market conditions, primarily changes in the levels of interest rates. The risk of adverse changes in the economic value of our nontrading positions is managed through our ALM activities. We have elected to account for certain assets and liabilities under the fair value option. For further information on the fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities, see *Note 20 Fair Value Measurements* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our trading positions are reported at fair value with changes currently reflected in income. Trading positions are subject to various risk factors, which include exposures to interest rates and foreign exchange rates, as

⁽²⁾ Includes allowance for small business commercial domestic loans of \$2.4 billion at both December 31, 2009 and 2008.

⁽³⁾ Includes allowance for loan and lease losses for impaired commercial loans of \$1.2 billion and \$691 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

⁽⁴⁾ The majority of the increase from December 31, 2008 relates to the fair value of the acquired Merrill Lynch unfunded lending commitments, excluding commitments accounted for under the fair value option.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes \$3.9 billion and \$750 million related to purchased impaired loans at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

well as mortgage, equity, commodity, issuer and market liquidity risk factors. We seek to mitigate these risk exposures by using techniques that encompass a variety of financial instruments in both the cash and derivatives markets. The following discusses the key risk components along with respective risk mitigation techniques.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk represents exposures to instruments whose values vary with the level or volatility of interest rates. These instruments include, but are not limited to, loans, debt securities, certain trading-related assets and liabilities, deposits, borrowings and derivative instruments. Hedging instruments used to mitigate these risks include related derivatives such as options, futures, forwards and swaps.

Foreign Exchange Risk

Foreign exchange risk represents exposures to changes in the values of current holdings and future cash flows denominated in other currencies. The types of instruments exposed to this risk include investments in foreign subsidiaries, foreign currency-denominated loans and securities, future cash flows in foreign currencies arising from foreign exchange transactions, foreign currency-denominated debt and various foreign exchange derivative instruments whose values fluctuate with changes in the level or volatility of currency exchange rates or foreign interest rates. Hedging instruments used to mitigate this risk include foreign exchange options, currency swaps, futures, forwards, foreign currency-denominated debt and deposits.

Bank of America 2009

79

Mortgage Risk

Mortgage risk represents exposures to changes in the value of mortgage-related instruments. The values of these instruments are sensitive to prepayment rates, mortgage rates, agency debt ratings, default, market liquidity, other interest rates and interest rate volatility. Our exposure to these instruments takes several forms. First, we trade and engage in market-making activities in a variety of mortgage securities including whole loans, pass-through certificates, commercial mortgages, and CMOs including CDOs using mortgages as underlying collateral. Second, we originate a variety of MBS which involves the accumulation of mortgage-related loans in anticipation of eventual securitization. Third, we may hold positions in mortgage securities and residential mortgage loans as part of the ALM portfolio. Fourth, we create MSRs as part of our mortgage origination activities. See *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* and *Note 22 Mortgage Servicing Rights* to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on MSRs. Hedging instruments used to mitigate this risk include options, futures, forwards, swaps, swaptions and securities.

Equity Market Risk

Equity market risk represents exposures to securities that represent an ownership interest in a corporation in the form of domestic and foreign common stock or other equity-linked instruments. Instruments that would lead to this exposure include, but are not limited to, the following: common stock, exchange traded funds, American Depositary Receipts, convertible bonds, listed equity options (puts and calls), over-the-counter equity options, equity total return swaps, equity index futures and other equity derivative products. Hedging instruments used to mitigate this risk include options, futures, swaps, convertible bonds and cash positions.

Commodity Risk

Commodity risk represents exposures to instruments traded in the petroleum, natural gas, power and metals markets. These instruments consist primarily of futures, forwards, swaps and options. Hedging instruments used to mitigate this risk include options, futures and swaps in the same or similar commodity product, as well as cash positions.

Issuer Credit Risk

Issuer credit risk represents exposures to changes in the creditworthiness of individual issuers or groups of issuers. Our portfolio is exposed to issuer credit risk where the value of an asset may be adversely impacted by changes in the levels of credit spreads, by credit migration, or by defaults. Hedging instruments used to mitigate this risk include bonds, CDS and other credit fixed income instruments.

Market Liquidity Risk

Market liquidity risk represents the risk that expected market activity changes dramatically and, in certain cases, may even cease to exist. This exposes us to the risk that we will not be able to transact in an orderly manner and may impact our results. This impact could further be exacerbated if expected hedging or pricing correlations are impacted by the disproportionate demand or lack of demand for certain instruments. We utilize various risk mitigating techniques as discussed in more detail in Trading Risk Management.

Trading Risk Management

Trading-related revenues represent the amount earned from trading positions, including market-based net interest income, which are taken in a diverse range of financial instruments and markets. Trading account assets and liabilities and derivative positions are reported at fair value. For more information on fair value, see *Note 20 Fair Value Measurements* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Trading-related revenues can be volatile and are largely driven by general market conditions and customer demand. Trading-related revenues are dependent on the volume and type of transactions, the level of risk assumed, and the volatility of price and rate movements at any given time within the ever-changing market environment.

The Global Markets Risk Committee (GRC), chaired by the Global Markets Risk Executive, has been designated by ALMRC as the primary governance authority for Global Markets Risk Management including trading risk management. The GRC s focus is to take a forward-looking view of the primary credit and market risks impacting *Global Markets* and prioritize those that need a proactive risk mitigation strategy. Market risks that impact lines of business outside of *Global Markets* are monitored and governed by their respective governance authorities.

At the GRC meetings, the committee considers significant daily revenues and losses by business along with an explanation of the primary driver of the revenue or loss. Thresholds are established for each of our businesses in order to determine if the revenue or loss is considered to be significant for that business. If any of the thresholds are exceeded, an explanation of the variance is made to the GRC. The thresholds are developed in coordination with the respective risk managers to highlight those revenues or losses which exceed what is considered to be normal daily income statement volatility.

Bank of America 2009

The following histogram is a graphic depiction of trading volatility and illustrates the daily level of trading-related revenue for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 as compared with the twelve months ended December 31, 2008. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, positive trading-related revenue was recorded for 88 percent of the trading days of which 72 percent were daily trading gains of over \$25 million, six percent of the trading days had losses greater than \$25 million

and the largest loss was \$100 million. This can be compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2008, where positive trading-related revenue was recorded for 66 percent of the trading days of which 39 percent were daily trading gains of over \$25 million, 17 percent of the trading days had losses greater than \$25 million and the largest loss was \$173 million. The increase in daily trading gains of over \$25 million in 2009 compared to 2008 was driven by more favorable market conditions.

To evaluate risk in our trading activities, we focus on the actual and potential volatility of individual positions as well as portfolios. VAR is a key statistic used to measure market risk. In order to manage day-to-day risks, VAR is subject to trading limits both for our overall trading portfolio and within individual businesses. All limit excesses are communicated to management for review.

A VAR model simulates the value of a portfolio under a range of hypothetical scenarios in order to generate a distribution of potential gains and losses. VAR represents the worst loss the portfolio is expected to experience based on historical trends with a given level of confidence and depends on the volatility of the positions in the portfolio and on how strongly their risks are correlated. Within any VAR model, there are significant and numerous assumptions that will differ from company to company. In addition, the accuracy of a VAR model depends on the availability and quality of historical data for each of the positions in the portfolio. A VAR model may require additional modeling assumptions for new products which do not have extensive historical price data or for illiquid positions for which accurate daily prices are not consistently available.

A VAR model is an effective tool in estimating ranges of potential gains and losses on our trading portfolios. There are however many limitations inherent in a VAR model as it utilizes historical results over a

defined time period to estimate future performance. Historical results may not always be indicative of future results and changes in market conditions or in the composition of the underlying portfolio could have a material impact on the accuracy of the VAR model. To ensure that the VAR model reflects current market conditions, we update the historical data underlying our VAR model on a bi-weekly basis and regularly review the assumptions underlying the model.

We continually review, evaluate and enhance our VAR model to ensure that it reflects the material risks in our trading portfolio. Nevertheless, due to the limitations mentioned above, we have historically used the VAR model as only one of the components in managing our trading risk and also use other techniques such as stress testing and desk level limits. Periods of extreme market stress influence the reliability of these techniques to various degrees.

The accuracy of the VAR methodology is reviewed by backtesting (i.e., comparing actual results against expectations derived from historical data) the VAR results against the daily profit and loss. Graphic representation of the backtesting results with additional explanation of backtesting excesses are reported to the GRC. Backtesting excesses occur when trading losses exceed VAR. Senior management reviews and evaluates the results of these tests.

Bank of America 2009

The following graph shows daily trading-related revenue and VAR for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009. Actual losses did not exceed daily trading VAR in the twelve months ended December 31, 2009. Actual losses exceeded daily trading VAR two times in the twelve months ended December 31, 2008.

Table 43 presents average, high and low daily trading VAR for 2009 and 2008.

Table 43 Trading Activities Market Risk VAR

		2009			2008	
		VAR			VAR	
(Dollars in millions)	Average	High (1)	Low (1)	Average	High (1)	Low (1)
Foreign exchange	\$ 20.3	\$ 55.4	\$ 6.1	\$ 7.7	\$ 11.7	\$ 5.0
Interest rate	73.7	136.7	43.6	28.9	68.3	12.4
Credit	183.3	338.7	123.9	84.6	185.2	44.1
Real estate/mortgage	51.1	81.3	32.4	22.7	43.1	12.8
Equities	44.6	87.6	23.6	28.0	63.9	15.5
Commodities	20.2	29.1	16.0	8.2	17.7	2.4
Portfolio diversification	(187.0)			(69.4)		
Total market-based trading portfolio (2)	\$ 206.2	\$ 325.2	\$ 117.9	\$ 110.7	\$ 255.7	\$ 64.1

⁽¹⁾ The high and low for the total portfolio may not equal the sum of the individual components as the highs or lows of the individual portfolios may have occurred on different trading days.

The increase in average VAR during 2009 as compared to 2008 resulted from the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. In periods of market stress, the GRC members communicate daily to discuss losses and VAR limit excesses. As a result of this process, the lines of business may selectively reduce risk. Where economically feasible, positions are sold or macroeconomic hedges are executed to reduce the exposure.

Counterparty credit risk is an adjustment to the mark-to-market value of our derivative exposures reflecting the impact of the credit quality of counterparties on our derivative assets. Since counterparty credit exposure is not included in the VAR component of the regulatory capital allocation, we do not include it in our trading VAR, and it is therefore not included in the daily trading-related revenue illustrated in our histogram or used for backtesting.

Trading Portfolio Stress Testing

Because the very nature of a VAR model suggests results can exceed our estimates, we also stress test our portfolio. Stress testing estimates the value change in our trading portfolio that may result from abnormal market movements. Various scenarios, categorized as either historical or hypothetical, are regularly run and reported for the overall trading portfolio and individual businesses. Historical scenarios simulate the impact of price changes which occurred during a set of extended historical market events. Generally, a 10-business-day window or longer, representing the most severe point during the crisis, is selected for each historical scenario. Hypothetical scenarios provide simulations of anticipated shocks from predefined market stress events. These stress events include shocks to underlying market risk variables which may be well beyond the shocks found in the historical data used to calculate the VAR. As with the histor -

⁽¹⁾ Our VAR model uses a historical simulation approach based on three years of historical data and an expected shortfall methodology equivalent to a 99 percent confidence level. Statistically, this means that losses will exceed VAR, on average, one out of 100 trading days, or two to three times each year.

⁽²⁾ The table above does not include credit protection purchased to manage our counterparty credit risk.

Bank of America 2009

ical scenarios, the hypothetical scenarios are designed to represent a short-term market disruption. Scenarios are reviewed and updated as necessary in light of changing positions and new economic or political information. In addition to the value afforded by the results themselves, this information provides senior management with a clear picture of the trend of risk being taken given the relatively static nature of the shocks applied. Stress testing for the trading portfolio is also integrated with the enterprise-wide stress testing. A process has been established to ensure consistency between the scenarios used for the trading portfolio and those used for enterprise-wide stress testing. The scenarios used for enterprise-wide stress testing purposes differ from the typical trading portfolio scenarios in that they have a longer time horizon and the results are forecasted over multiple periods for use in consolidated capital and liquidity planning. For additional information on enterprise-wide stress testing, see page 50.

Interest Rate Risk Management for Nontrading Activities

Interest rate risk represents the most significant market risk exposure to our nontrading exposures. Our overall goal is to manage interest rate risk so that movements in interest rates do not adversely affect core net interest income managed basis. Interest rate risk is measured as the potential volatility in our core net interest income managed basis caused by changes in market interest rates. Client-facing activities, primarily lending and deposit-taking, create interest rate sensitive positions on our balance sheet. Interest rate risk from these activities, as well as the impact of changing market conditions, is managed through our ALM activities.

Simulations are used to estimate the impact on core net interest income managed basis using numerous interest rate scenarios, balance sheet trends and strategies. These simulations evaluate how these scenarios impact core net interest income managed basis on short-term financial instruments, debt securities, loans, deposits, borrowings and derivative instruments. In addition, these simulations incorporate assumptions about balance sheet dynamics such as loan and deposit growth and pricing, changes in funding mix, and asset and liability repricing and maturity characteristics. These simulations do not include the impact of hedge ineffectiveness.

Management analyzes core net interest income managed basis forecasts utilizing different rate scenarios with the baseline utilizing the forward interest rates. Management frequently updates the core net interest income managed basis forecast for changing assumptions and differing outlooks based on economic trends and market conditions. Thus, we continually monitor our balance sheet position in an effort to maintain an acceptable level of exposure to interest rate changes.

We prepare forward-looking forecasts of core net interest income managed basis. These baseline forecasts take into consideration expected future business growth, ALM positioning, and the direction of interest rate movements as implied by forward interest rates. We then measure and evaluate the impact that alternative interest rate scenarios have on these static baseline forecasts in order to assess interest rate sensitivity under varied conditions. The spot and 12-month forward monthly rates used in our respective baseline forecasts at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented in the following table.

Table 44 Forward Rates

	December 31						
	2009				2008		
		Three-			Three-		
	Federal	Month	10-Year	Federal	Month	10-Year	
	Funds	LIBOR	Swap	Funds	LIBOR	Swap	
Spot rates	0.25%	0.25%	3.97%	0.25%	1.43%	2.56%	
12-month forward rates	1.14	1.53	4.47	0.75	1.41	2.80	

During 2009, the spread between the spot three-month London InterBank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and the Federal Funds target rate converged. We are typically asset sensitive to Federal Funds and Prime rates, and liability sensitive to LIBOR. Net interest income benefits as the spread between Federal Funds and LIBOR narrows.

Table 45 below reflects the pre-tax dollar impact to forecasted core net interest income managed basis over the next twelve months from

December 31, 2009 and 2008, resulting from a 100 bp gradual parallel increase, a 100 bp gradual parallel decrease, a 100 bp gradual curve flattening (increase in short-term rates or decrease in long-term rates) and a 100 bp gradual curve steepening (decrease in short-term rates or increase in long-term rates) from the forward market curve. For further discussion of core net interest income managed basis see page 27.

Table 45 Estimated Core Net Interest Income Managed Basis at Risk

(Dollars in millions)			Decemb	oer 31
Curve Change	Short Rate (bps)	Long Rate (bps)	2009	2008
+100 bps Parallel shift	+100	+100	\$ 598	\$ 144
-100 bps Parallel shift	-100	-100	(1,084)	(186)
Flatteners				
Short end	+100		127	(545)
Long end		-100	(616)	(638)
Steepeners				
Short end	-100		(444)	453
Long end		+100	476	698

Bank of America 2009 83

The sensitivity analysis above assumes that we take no action in response to these rate shifts over the indicated periods. The estimated exposure is reported on a managed basis and reflects impacts that may be realized primarily in net interest income and card income on the Consolidated Statement of Income. This sensitivity analysis excludes any impact that could occur in the valuation of retained interests in the Corporation securitizations due to changes in interest rate levels. For additional information on securitizations, see *Note 8 Securitizations* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our core net interest income managed basis was asset sensitive to a parallel move in interest rates at both December 31, 2009 and 2008. Beyond what is already implied in the forward market curve, the interest rate risk position has become more exposed to declining rates since December 31, 2008 driven by the acquisition of Merrill Lynch and the actions taken to strengthen our capital and liquidity position. As part of our ALM activities, we use securities, residential mortgages, and interest rate and foreign exchange derivatives in managing interest rate sensitivity.

Securities

The securities portfolio is an integral part of our ALM position and is primarily comprised of debt securities and includes MBS and to a lesser extent corporate, municipal and other investment grade debt securities. At December 31, 2009, AFS debt securities were \$301.6 billion compared to \$276.9 billion at December 31, 2008. During 2009 and 2008, we purchased AFS debt securities of \$185.1 billion and \$184.2 billion, sold \$159.4 billion and \$119.8 billion, and had maturities and received paydowns of \$59.9 billion and \$26.1 billion. We realized \$4.7 billion and \$1.1 billion in gains on sales of debt securities during 2009 and 2008. In addition, we securitized \$14.0 billion and \$26.1 billion of residential mortgage loans into MBS which we retained during 2009 and 2008.

Accumulated OCI includes \$1.5 billion in after-tax gains at December 31, 2009, including \$628 million of net unrealized losses related to AFS debt securities and \$2.1 billion of net unrealized gains related to AFS marketable equity securities. Total market value of the AFS debt securities was \$301.6 billion at December 31, 2009 with a weighted-average duration of 4.5 years and primarily relates to our MBS portfolio.

The amount of pre-tax accumulated OCI loss related to AFS debt securities decreased by \$8.3 billion during 2009 to \$1.0 billion. For those securities that are in an unrealized loss position, we have the intent and ability to hold these securities to recovery and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell the securities prior to recovery.

We recognized \$2.8 billion of other-than-temporary impairment losses through earnings on AFS debt securities during 2009 compared to \$3.5 billion during 2008. We also recognized \$326 million of other-than-temporary impairment losses on AFS marketable equity securities during 2009 compared to \$661 million during 2009.

The impairment of AFS debt and marketable equity securities is based on a variety of factors, including the length of time and extent to which the market value has been less than cost; the financial condition of the issuer of the security and its ability to recover market value; and our intent and ability to hold the security to recovery. Based on our evaluation of the above and other relevant factors, and after consideration of the losses described in the paragraph above, we do not believe that the AFS debt and marketable equity securities that are in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2009 are other-than-temporarily impaired.

We adopted new accounting guidance related to the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairment of debt securities as of January 1, 2009. As prescribed by the new guidance, at December 31, 2009, we recognized the credit component of other-than-temporary

impairment of debt securities in earnings and the non-credit component in OCI for those securities which we do not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery. For more information on the adoption of the new guidance, see *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Residential Mortgage Portfolio

At December 31, 2009, residential mortgages were \$242.1 billion compared to \$248.1 billion at December 31, 2008. We retained \$26.6 billion and \$27.3 billion in first mortgages originated by *Home Loans & Insurance* during 2009 and 2008. We securitized \$14.0 billion and \$26.1 billion of residential mortgage loans into MBS which we retained during 2009 and 2008. During 2009, we had no purchases of residential mortgages related to ALM activities compared to purchases of \$405 million during 2008. We sold \$5.9 billion of residential mortgages during 2009 of which \$5.1 billion were originated residential mortgages and \$771 million were previously purchased from third parties. These sales resulted in gains of \$47 million. This compares to sales of \$30.7 billion during 2008 which were comprised of \$22.9 billion in originated residential mortgages and \$7.8 billion in mortgages previously purchased from third parties. These sales resulted in gains of \$496 million. We received paydowns of \$42.3 billion and \$26.3 billion in 2009 and 2008.

In addition to the residential mortgage portfolio, we incorporated the discontinued real estate portfolio that was acquired in connection with the Countrywide acquisition into our ALM activities. This portfolio s balance was \$14.9 billion and \$20.0 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Interest Rate and Foreign Exchange Derivative Contracts

Interest rate and foreign exchange derivative contracts are utilized in our ALM activities and serve as an efficient tool to manage our interest rate and foreign exchange risk. We use derivatives to hedge the variability in cash flows or changes in fair value on our balance sheet due to interest rate and foreign exchange components. For additional information on our hedging activities, see *Note 4 Derivatives* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our interest rate contracts are generally non-leveraged generic interest rate and foreign exchange basis swaps, options, futures and forwards. In addition, we use foreign exchange contracts, including cross-currency interest rate swaps and foreign currency forward contracts, to mitigate the foreign exchange risk associated with foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities. Table 46 reflects the notional amounts, fair value, weighted-average receive fixed and pay fixed rates, expected maturity and estimated duration of our open ALM derivatives at December 31, 2009 and 2008. These amounts do not include derivative hedges on our net investments in consolidated foreign operations and MSRs.

Changes to the composition of our derivatives portfolio during 2009 reflect actions taken for interest rate and foreign exchange rate risk management. The decisions to reposition our derivatives portfolio are based upon the current assessment of economic and financial conditions including the interest rate environment, balance sheet composition and trends, and the relative mix of our cash and derivative positions. The notional amount of our option positions increased to \$6.5 billion at December 31, 2009 from \$5.0 billion at December 31, 2008. Changes in the levels of the option positions were driven by swaptions acquired as a result of the Merrill Lynch acquisition. Our interest rate swap positions (including foreign exchange contracts) were a net receive fixed position of \$52.2 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to a net receive fixed position of \$50.3 billion at December 31, 2008. Changes in the notional levels of our interest rate swap position were driven by the net addition of

Bank of America 2009

\$104.4 billion in pay fixed swaps, \$83.4 billion in U.S. dollar-denominated receive fixed swaps and the net addition of \$22.9 billion in foreign currency-denominated receive fixed swaps. The notional amount of our foreign exchange basis swaps was \$122.8 billion and \$54.6 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008. The \$42.9 billion increase in same-currency basis swap positions was primarily due to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch. Our futures and forwards net notional position, which reflects the net of long and short positions, was a long position of \$10.6 billion compared to a short position of \$8.8 billion at December 31, 2008.

The following table includes derivatives utilized in our ALM activities including those designated as accounting and economic hedging instruments. The fair value of net ALM contracts increased \$5.8 billion to a gain of \$12.3 billion at December 31, 2009 from a gain of \$6.4 billion at December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to changes in the value of U.S. dollar-denominated receive fixed interest rate swaps of \$1.9 billion, foreign exchange basis swaps of \$1.4 billion, pay fixed interest rate swaps of \$1.2 billion, foreign exchange contracts of \$1.1 billion, option products of \$174 million and same-currency basis swaps of \$107 million. The increase was partially offset by a loss from changes in the value of futures and forward rate contracts of \$66 million.

Table 46 Asset and Liability Management Interest Rate and Foreign Exchange Contracts

December 31, 2009									
				Exp	ected Maturi	ty			
(Dollars in millions, average estimated duration in years) Receive fixed interest rate	Fair Value	Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter	Average Estimated Duration
swaps (1, 2) Notional amount	\$ 4,047	\$ 110,597	\$ 15,212	\$ 8	\$ 35,454	\$ 7,333	\$ 8,247	\$ 44,343	4.34
Weighted-average fixed-rate Pay fixed interest rate swaps		3.65%	1.61%	%		4.06%	3.48%	. ,	
(1)	1,175								4.18
Notional amount		\$ 104,445	\$ 2,500	\$ 50,810	\$ 14,688	\$ 806	\$ 3,729	\$ 31,912	
Weighted-average fixed-rate		2.83%	1.82%	2.37%	2.24%	3.77%	2.61%	3.92%	
Same-currency basis									
swaps ⁽³⁾	107								
Notional amount		\$ 42,881	\$ 4,549	\$ 8,593	\$ 11,934	\$ 5,591	\$ 5,546	\$ 6,668	
Foreign exchange basis									
swaps (2, 4, 5)	4,633								
Notional amount		122,807	7,958	10,968	19,862	18,322	31,853	33,844	
Option products (6)	174	< = 40		• • • •	4 = 44		<0.2		
Notional amount		6,540	656	2,031	1,742	244	603	1,264	
Foreign exchange contracts (2, 5, 7)	2 144								
Notional amount (8)	2,144	103,726	63,158	3,491	3,977	6,795	10,585	15,720	
Futures and forward rate		103,720	03,130	3,491	3,911	0,795	10,585	15,720	
contracts	(8)								
Notional amount (8)	(0)	10,559	10,559						
Net ALM contracts	\$ 12,272	10,557	10,555						
THE PRIME CONTRACTO	Ψ 120,2012								
December 31, 2008				F					
(Dollars in millions, average	Fair			Exp	pected Maturit	У			Average Estimated
estimated duration in years)	Value	Total	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Thereafter	Average Estimated Duration
Receive fixed interest rate	v aiue	Total	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	THEICAILEI	Duration
swaps (1, 2)	\$ 2,103								4.93
Notional amount	Ψ 2,103	\$ 27.166	\$ 17	\$ 4.002	\$	\$ 9.258	\$ 773	\$ 13,116	4.73
Weighted-average fixed-rate		4.08%	7.35%	1.89%	%	,	4.53%	5.27%	
" organica average rixed-rate		7.00/0	1.55/0	1.07/0	/(3.31/0	7.55/0	3.21/0	

Foreign exchange basis swaps ^(2, 4, 5)	3,196							
Notional amount		\$ 54,569	\$ 4,578	\$ 6,192	\$ 3,986	\$ 8,916	\$ 4,819	\$ 26,078
Option products (6)								
Notional amount		5,025	5,000	22				3
Foreign exchange contracts	4.070							
(2, 5, 7)	1,070							
Notional amount (8)		23,063	2,313	4,021	1,116	1,535	486	13,592
Futures and forward rate								
contracts	58							
Notional amount (8)		(8,793)	(8,793)					
Net ALM contracts	\$ 6,427							

⁽¹⁾ At December 31, 2009, the receive fixed interest rate swap notional that represented forward starting swaps and will not be effective until their respective contractual start dates was \$2.5 billion and the forward starting pay fixed swap positions was \$76.8 billion. At December 31, 2008, there were no forward starting pay or receive fixed swap positions.

Bank of America 2009

⁽²⁾ Does not include basis adjustments on fixed-rate debt issued by the Corporation and hedged under fair value hedges pursuant to derivatives designated as hedging instruments that substantially offset the fair values of these derivatives.

⁽³⁾ At December 31, 2009, same-currency basis swaps consist of \$42.9 billion in both foreign currency and U.S. dollar-denominated basis swaps in which both sides of the swap are in the same currency. There were no same-currency basis swaps at December 31, 2008.

⁽⁴⁾ Foreign exchange basis swaps consist of cross-currency variable interest rate swaps used separately or in conjunction with receive fixed interest rate swaps.

⁽⁵⁾ Does not include foreign currency translation adjustments on certain foreign debt issued by the Corporation which substantially offset the fair values of these derivatives.

⁽⁶⁾ Option products of \$6.5 billion at December 31, 2009 were comprised of \$177 million in purchased caps and \$6.3 billion in swaptions. Option products of \$5.0 billion at December 31, 2008 are comprised completely of purchased caps.

⁽⁷⁾ Foreign exchange contracts include foreign currency-denominated and cross-currency receive fixed interest rate swaps as well as foreign currency forward rate contracts. Total notional was comprised of \$46.0 billion in foreign currency-denominated and cross-currency receive fixed swaps and \$57.7 billion in foreign currency forward rate contracts at December 31, 2009, and \$23.1 billion in foreign currency-denominated and cross-currency receive fixed swaps and \$78 million in foreign currency forward rate contracts at December 31, 2008.

⁽⁸⁾ Reflects the net of long and short positions.

We use interest rate derivative instruments to hedge the variability in the cash flows of our assets and liabilities, and other forecasted transactions (cash flow hedges). From time to time, we also utilize equity-indexed derivatives accounted for as derivatives designated as cash flow hedges to minimize exposure to price fluctuations on the forecasted purchase or sale of certain equity investments. The net losses on both open and terminated derivative instruments recorded in accumulated OCI, net-of-tax, were \$2.5 billion and \$3.5 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008. These net losses are expected to be reclassified into earnings in the same period when the hedged cash flows affect earnings and will decrease income or increase expense on the respective hedged cash flows. Assuming no change in open cash flow derivative hedge positions and no changes to prices or interest rates beyond what is implied in forward yield curves at December 31, 2009, the pre-tax net losses are expected to be reclassified into earnings as follows: \$937 million, or 23 percent within the next year, 66 percent within five years, and 88 percent within 10 years, with the remaining 12 percent thereafter. For more information on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, see *Note 4 Derivatives* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition to the derivatives disclosed in Table 46 we hedge our net investment in consolidated foreign operations determined to have functional currencies other than the U.S. dollar using forward foreign exchange contracts that typically settle in 90 days, cross currency basis swaps and by issuing foreign currency-denominated debt. We recorded after-tax losses from derivatives and foreign currency-denominated debt in accumulated OCI associated with net investment hedges which was offset by after-tax unrealized gains in accumulated OCI associated for changes in the value of our net investments in consolidated foreign entities at December 31, 2009.

Mortgage Banking Risk Management

We originate, fund and service mortgage loans, which subject us to credit, liquidity and interest rate risks, among others. We determine whether loans will be held for investment or held for sale at the time of commitment and manage credit and liquidity risks by selling or securitizing a portion of the loans we originate.

Interest rate and market risk can be substantial in the mortgage business. Fluctuations in interest rates drive consumer demand for new mortgages and the level of refinancing activity, which in turn affects total origination and service fee income. Typically, a decline in mortgage interest rates will lead to an increase in mortgage originations and fees and a decrease in the value of the MSRs driven by higher prepayment expectations. Hedging the various sources of interest rate risk in mortgage banking is a complex process that requires complex modeling and ongoing monitoring. IRLCs and the related residential first mortgage LHFS are subject to interest rate risk between the date of the IRLC and the date the loans are sold to the secondary market. To hedge interest rate risk, we utilize forward loan sale commitments and other derivative instruments including purchased options. These instruments are used as economic hedges of IRLCs and residential first mortgage LHFS. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the notional amount of derivatives economically hedging the IRLCs and residential first mortgage LHFS was \$161.4 billion and \$97.2 billion.

MSRs are nonfinancial assets created when the underlying mortgage loan is sold to investors and we retain the right to service the loan. We use certain derivatives such as interest rate options, interest rate swaps, forward settlement contracts, euro dollar futures, as well as mortgage-backed and U.S. Treasury securities as economic hedges of MSRs. The notional amounts of the derivative contracts and other securities designated as economic hedges of MSRs at December 31, 2009 were \$1.3 trillion and \$67.6 billion, for a total notional amount of \$1.4 trillion. At

December 31, 2008, the notional amounts of the derivative contracts and other securities designated as economic hedges of MSRs were \$1.0 trillion and \$87.5 billion, for a total notional amount of \$1.1 trillion. In 2009, we recorded losses in mortgage banking income of \$3.8 billion related to the change in fair value of these economic hedges as compared to gains of \$8.6 billion for 2008. For additional information on MSRs, see *Note 22 Mortgage Servicing Rights* to the Consolidated Financial Statements and for more information on mortgage banking income, see the *Home Loans & Insurance* discussion beginning on page 31.

Compliance Risk Management

Compliance risk is the risk posed by the failure to manage regulatory, legal and ethical issues that could result in monetary damages, losses or harm to our reputation or image. The Seven Elements of a Compliance Program® provides the framework for the compliance programs that are consistently applied across the enterprise to manage compliance risk. This framework includes a common approach to commitment and accountability, policies and procedures, controls and supervision, monitoring, regulatory change management, education and awareness and reporting.

We approach compliance risk management on an enterprise and line of business level. The Operational Risk Committee provides oversight of significant compliance risk issues. Within Global Risk Management, Global Compliance Risk Management develops and guides the strategies, policies and practices for assessing and managing compliance risks across the organization. Through education and communication efforts, a culture of compliance is emphasized across the organization. We also mitigate compliance risk through a broad-based approach to process management and improvement.

The lines of business are responsible for all the risks within the business line, including compliance risks. Compliance Risk executives, working in conjunction with senior line of business executives, have developed key tools to address and measure compliance risks and to ensure compliance with laws and regulations in each line of business.

Operational Risk Management

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, systems or external events. Successful operational risk management is particularly important to diversified financial services companies because of the nature, volume and complexity of the financial services business. Under the Basel II Rules, an operational loss event is an event that results in loss and is associated with any of the following seven operational loss event categories: internal fraud; external fraud; employment practices and workplace safety; clients, products and business practices; damage to physical assets; business disruption and system failures; and execution, delivery and process management. Losses in these categories are captured and mapped to four overall risk categories: people, process, systems and external events. Specific examples of loss events include robberies, internal fraud, processing errors and physical losses from natural disasters.

We approach operational risk management from two perspectives: the enterprise and line of business. The Operational Risk Committee, which reports to the Audit Committee of the Board, is responsible for operational risk policies, measurement and management, and control processes. Within Global Risk Management, Global Operational Risk Management develops and guides the strategies, policies, practices, controls and monitoring tools for assessing and managing operational risks across the organization.

For selected risks, we use specialized support groups, such as Enterprise Information Management and Supply Chain Management, to

Bank of America 2009

develop risk management practices, such as an information security program and a supplier program to ensure that suppliers adopt appropriate policies and procedures when performing work on our behalf. These specialized groups also assist the lines of business in the development and implementation of risk management practices specific to the needs of the individual businesses. These groups also work with line of business executives and risk executives to develop and guide appropriate strategies, policies, practices, controls and monitoring tools for each line of business.

Additionally, where appropriate, we purchase insurance policies to mitigate the impact of operational losses when and if they occur. These insurance policies are explicitly incorporated in the structural features of our operational risk evaluation. As insurance recoveries, especially given recent market events, are subject to legal and financial uncertainty, the inclusion of these insurance policies are subject to reductions in the mitigating benefits expected within our operational risk evaluation.

The lines of business are responsible for all the risks within the business line, including operational risks. Operational risk executives, working in conjunction with senior line of business executives, have developed key tools to help identify, measure, mitigate and monitor risk in each line of business. Examples of these include personnel management practices, data reconciliation processes, fraud management units, transaction processing monitoring and analysis, business recovery planning and new product introduction processes. In addition, the lines of business are responsible for monitoring adherence to corporate practices. Line of business management uses a self-assessment process, which helps to identify and evaluate the status of risk and control issues, including mitigation plans, as appropriate. The goal of the self-assessment process is to periodically assess changing market and business conditions, to evaluate key risks impacting each line of business and assess the controls in place to mitigate the risks. In addition to information gathered from the self-assessment process, key operational risk indicators have been developed and are used to help identify trends and issues on both an enterprise and a line of business level.

ASF Framework

In December 2007, the American Securitization Forum (ASF) issued the Streamlined Foreclosure and Loss Avoidance Framework for Securitized Adjustable Rate Mortgage Loans (the ASF Framework). The ASF Framework was developed to address a large number of subprime loans that are at risk of default when the loans reset from their initial fixed interest rates to variable rates. The objective of the framework is to provide uniform guidelines for evaluating a large number of loans for refinancing in an efficient manner while complying with the relevant tax regulations and off-balance sheet accounting standards for loan securitizations. The ASF Framework targets loans that were originated between January 1, 2005 and July 31, 2007, have an initial fixed interest rate period of 36 months or less and which are scheduled for their first interest rate reset between January 1, 2008 and July 31, 2010.

The ASF Framework categorizes the targeted loans into three segments. Segment 1 includes loans where the borrower is likely to be able to refinance into any available mortgage product. Segment 2 includes loans where the borrower is current but is unlikely to be able to refinance into any readily available mortgage product. Segment 3 includes loans where the borrower is not current. If certain criteria are met, ASF Framework loans in Segment 2 are eligible for fast-track modification under which the interest rate will be kept at the existing initial rate, generally for five years following the interest rate reset date. Upon evaluation, if targeted loans do not meet specific criteria to be eligible for one of the three segments, they are categorized as other loans, as shown in the table below. These criteria include the occupancy status of the borrower, structure and other terms of the loan. In January 2008, the SEC s Office of the Chief Accountant issued a letter addressing the accounting issues relating to the ASF Framework. The letter concluded that the SEC would not object to continuing off-balance sheet accounting treatment for Segment 2 loans modified pursuant to the ASF Framework.

For those current loans that are accounted for off-balance sheet that are modified, but not as part of the ASF Framework, the servicer must perform on an individual basis, an analysis of the borrower and the loan to demonstrate it is probable that the borrower will not meet the repayment obligation in the near term. Such analysis provides sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the loan is in imminent or reasonably foreseeable default. The SEC s Office of the Chief Accountant issued a letter in July 2007 stating that it would not object to continuing off-balance sheet accounting treatment for these loans.

Prior to the acquisition of Countrywide on July 1, 2008, Countrywide began making fast-track loan modifications under Segment 2 of the ASF Framework in June 2008 and the off-balance sheet accounting treatment of QSPEs that hold those loans was not affected. In addition, other workout activities relating to subprime ARMs including modifications (e.g., interest rate reductions and capitalization of interest) and repayment plans were also made. These initiatives have continued subsequent to the acquisition in an effort to work with all of our customers that are eligible and affected by loans that meet the requisite criteria. These foreclosure prevention efforts will reduce foreclosures and the related losses providing a solution for customers and protecting investors.

As of December 31, 2009, the principal balance of beneficial interests issued by the QSPEs that hold subprime ARMs totaled \$70.5 billion and the fair value of beneficial interests related to those QSPEs held by the Corporation totaled \$9 million. The following table presents a summary of loans in QSPEs that hold subprime ARMs as of December 31, 2009 as well as workout and other activity for the subprime loans by ASF categorization for 2009. Prior to the acquisition of Countrywide on July 1, 2008, we did not originate or service significant subprime residential mortgage loans, nor did we hold a significant amount of beneficial interests in QSPEs of subprime residential mortgage loans.

Table 47 QSPE Loans Subject to ASF Framework Evaluation (1)

	December	December 31, 2009			Ended Decer	nber 31, 2009
		Percent of			Workout	
(Dollars in millions)	Balance	Total	Payoffs	Modifications	Activities	Foreclosures
Segment 1	\$ 4,875	6.9%	\$ 443	\$	\$ 675	\$ 78
Segment 2	8,114	11.5	142	27	1,368	155
Segment 3	17,817	25.3	489	6	3,413	3,150
Total subprime ARMs	30,806	43.7	1,074	33	5,456	3,383
Other loans	37,891	53.7	1,228	174	4,355	2,126
Foreclosed properties	1,838	2.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total	\$ 70.535	100.0%	\$ 2,302	\$ 207	\$ 9.811	\$ 5.509

⁽¹⁾ Represents loans that were acquired with the acquisitions of Countrywide on July 1, 2008 and Merrill Lynch on January 1, 2009 that meet the requirements of the ASF Framework.

Bank of America 2009 87

n/a = not applicable

Complex Accounting Estimates

Our significant accounting principles, as described in *Note 1* Summary of Significant Accounting Principles to the Consolidated Financial Statements, are essential in understanding the MD&A. Many of our significant accounting principles require complex judgments to estimate the values of assets and liabilities. We have procedures and processes in place to facilitate making these judgments.

The more judgmental estimates are summarized below. We have identified and described the development of the variables most important in the estimation processes that, with the exception of accrued taxes, involve mathematical models to derive the estimates. In many cases, there are numerous alternative judgments that could be used in the process of determining the inputs to the models. Where alternatives exist, we have used the factors that we believe represent the most reasonable value in developing the inputs. Actual performance that differs from our estimates of the key variables could impact net income. Separate from the possible future impact to net income from input and model variables, the value of our lending portfolio and market sensitive assets and liabilities may change subsequent to the balance sheet date, often significantly, due to the nature and magnitude of future credit and market conditions. Such credit and market conditions may change quickly and in unforeseen ways and the resulting volatility could have a significant, negative effect on future operating results. These fluctuations would not be indicative of deficiencies in our models or inputs.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses, which includes the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments, represents management s estimate of probable losses inherent in the Corporation s lending activities excluding those accounted for under the fair value option. Changes to the allowance for credit losses are reported in the Consolidated Statement of Income in the provision for credit losses. Our process for determining the allowance for credit losses is discussed in the Credit Risk Management section beginning on page 54 and *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Due to the variability in the drivers of the assumptions used in this process, estimates of the portfolio s inherent risks and overall collectability change with changes in the economy, individual industries, countries and borrowers or counterparties ability and willingness to repay their obligations. The degree to which any particular assumption affects the allowance for credit losses depends on the severity of the change and its relationship to the other assumptions.

Key judgments used in determining the allowance for credit losses include: (i) risk ratings for pools of commercial loans and leases, (ii) market and collateral values and discount rates for individually evaluated loans, (iii) product type classifications for consumer and commercial loans and leases, (iv) loss rates used for consumer and commercial loans and leases, (v) adjustments made to address current events and conditions, (vi) considerations regarding domestic and global economic uncertainty, and (vii) overall credit conditions.

Our allowance for loan and lease losses is sensitive to the risk ratings assigned to commercial loans and leases. Assuming a downgrade of one level in the internal risk rating for commercial loans and leases, except loans and leases already risk-rated Doubtful as defined by regulatory authorities, the allowance for loan and lease losses would increase by approximately \$4.9 billion at December 31, 2009. The allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases at December 31, 2009 was 4.16 percent and this hypothetical increase in the allowance would raise the ratio to approximately 4.70 percent. Our allowance for loan and lease losses is also sensitive to the loss rates used for the consumer and commercial portfolios. A 10 percent increase

in the loss rates used on the consumer and commercial loan and lease portfolios covered by the allowance would increase the allowance for loan and lease losses at December 31, 2009 by approximately \$2.9 billion of which \$2.6 billion would relate to consumer and \$266 million to commercial.

Purchased impaired loans are initially recorded at fair value. Applicable accounting guidance prohibits carry-over or creation of valuation allowances in the initial accounting. However, subsequent decreases in the expected principal cash flows from the date of acquisition result in a charge to the provision for credit losses and a corresponding increase to the allowance for loan and lease losses. Our purchased impaired portfolio is also subjected to stress scenarios to evaluate the potential impact given certain events. A one percent decrease in the expected principal cash flows could result in approximately a \$200 million impairment of the portfolio of which approximately \$100 million would relate to our discontinued real estate portfolio.

These sensitivity analyses do not represent management s expectations of the deterioration in risk ratings or the increases in loss rates but are provided as hypothetical scenarios to assess the sensitivity of the allowance for loan and lease losses to changes in key inputs. We believe the risk ratings and loss severities currently in use are appropriate and that the probability of a downgrade of one level of the internal risk ratings for commercial loans and leases within a short period of time is remote.

The process of determining the level of the allowance for credit losses requires a high degree of judgment. It is possible that others, given the same information, may at any point in time reach different reasonable conclusions.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

MSRs are nonfinancial assets that are created when a mortgage loan is sold and we retain the right to service the loan. We account for consumer MSRs at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Income in mortgage banking income. Commercial-related and residential reverse

mortgage MSRs are accounted for using the amortization method (i.e., lower of cost or market) with impairment recognized as a reduction of mortgage banking income. At December 31, 2009, our total MSR balance was \$19.8 billion.

We determine the fair value of our consumer MSRs using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The model incorporates key economic assumptions including estimates of prepayment rates and resultant weighted average lives of the MSRs, and the option-adjusted spread (OAS) levels. These variables can, and generally do change from quarter to quarter as market conditions and projected interest rates change. These assumptions are subjective in nature and changes in these assumptions could materially affect our net income. For example, decreasing the prepayment rate assumption used in the valuation of our consumer MSRs by 10 percent while keeping all other assumptions unchanged could have resulted in an estimated increase of \$895 million in mortgage banking income at December 31, 2009.

We manage potential changes in the fair value of MSRs through a comprehensive risk management program. The intent is to mitigate the effects of changes in the fair value of MSRs through the use of risk management instruments. To reduce the sensitivity of earnings to interest rate and market value fluctuations, securities as well as certain derivatives such as options and interest rate swaps may be used as economic hedges of the MSRs, but are not designated as accounting hedges. These instruments are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in mortgage banking income. The impact provided above does not reflect any hedge strategies that may be undertaken to mitigate such risk.

Bank of America 2009

For additional information on MSRs, including the sensitivity of weighted average lives and the fair value of MSRs to changes in modeled assumptions, see *Note Mortgage Servicing Rights* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We determine the fair values of financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy under applicable accounting guidance which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Applicable accounting guidance establishes three levels of inputs used to measure fair value. We carry trading account assets and liabilities, derivative assets and liabilities, AFS debt and marketable equity securities, certain MSRs, and certain other assets at fair value. Also, we account for certain corporate loans and loan commitments, LHFS, commercial paper and other short-term borrowings, securities financing agreements, asset-backed secured financings, long-term deposits, and long-term debt under the fair value option. For more information, see *Note 20 Fair Value Measurements* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The fair values of assets and liabilities include adjustments for market liquidity, credit quality and other deal specific factors, where appropriate. Valuations of products using models or other techniques are sensitive to assumptions used for the significant inputs. Where market data is available, the inputs used for valuation reflect that information as of our valuation date. Inputs to valuation models are considered unobservable if they are supported by little or no market activity. In periods of extreme volatility, lessened liquidity or in illiquid markets, there may be more variability in market pricing or a lack of market data to use in the valuation process. To ensure the prudent application of estimates and management judgment in determining the fair value of assets and liabilities, we have in place various processes and controls that include: a model validation policy that requires review and approval of quantitative models used for deal pricing; financial statement fair value determination and risk quantification; a trading product valuation policy that requires verification of all traded product valuations; and a periodic review and substantiation of daily profit and loss reporting for all traded products. Primarily through validation controls, we utilize both broker and pricing service inputs which can and do include both market-observable and internally-modeled values and/or value inputs. Our reliance on this information is tempered by the knowledge of how the broker and/or pricing service develops its data with a higher degree of reliance applied to those that are more directly observable and lesser reliance applied to those developed through their own internal modeling. Similarly, broker quotes that are executable are given a higher level of reliance than indicative broker quotes, which are not executable. These processes and controls are performed independently of the business.

Trading account assets and liabilities are carried at fair value based primarily on actively traded markets where prices are from either direct market quotes or observed transactions. Liquidity is a significant factor in the determination of the fair value of trading account assets and liabilities. Market price quotes may not be readily available for some positions, or positions within a market sector where trading activity has slowed significantly or ceased. Situations of illiquidity generally are triggered by market perception of credit uncertainty regarding a single company or a specific market sector. In these instances, fair value is determined based on limited available market information and other factors, principally from reviewing the issuer s financial statements and changes in credit ratings made by one or more of the ratings agencies.

Trading account profits (losses), which represent the net amount earned from our trading positions, can be volatile and are largely driven by general market conditions and customer demand. Trading account

profits (losses) are dependent on the volume and type of transactions, the level of risk assumed, and the volatility of price and rate movements at any given time within the ever-changing market environment. To evaluate risk in our trading activities, we focus on the actual and potential volatility of individual positions as well as portfolios. At a portfolio and corporate level, we use trading limits, stress testing and tools such as VAR modeling, which estimates a potential daily loss that we do not expect to exceed with a specified confidence level, to measure and manage market risk. For more information on VAR, see Trading Risk Management beginning on page 80.

The fair values of derivative assets and liabilities traded in the over-the-counter market are determined using quantitative models that require the use of multiple market inputs including interest rates, prices, and indices to generate continuous yield or pricing curves and volatility factors, which are used to value the positions. The majority of market inputs are actively quoted and can be validated through external sources including brokers, market transactions and third-party pricing services. Estimation risk is greater for derivative asset and liability positions that are either option-based or have longer maturity dates where observable market inputs are less readily available or are unobservable, in which case quantitative-based extrapolations of rate, price or index scenarios are used in determining fair values. The Corporation incorporates within its fair value measurements of over-the-counter derivatives the net credit differential between the counterparty credit risk and our own credit risk. The value of the credit differential is determined by reference to existing direct market reference costs of credit, or where direct references are not available a proxy is applied consistent with direct references for other counterparties that are similar in credit risk. An estimate of severity of loss is also used in the determination of fair value, primarily based on historical experience adjusted for any more recent name specific expectations.

Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and are significant to the overall fair value measurement are classified as Level 3 under the fair value hierarchy established in applicable accounting guidance. The Level 3 financial assets and liabilities include private equity investments, consumer MSRs, ABS, highly structured, complex or long-dated derivative contracts, structured notes and certain CDOs, for which there is not an active market for identical assets from which to determine fair value or where sufficient, current market information about similar assets to use as observable, corroborated data for all significant inputs into a valuation model are not available. In these cases, the fair values of these Level 3 financial assets and liabilities are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, a net asset value approach for certain structured securities, or similar techniques, for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. In 2009, there were no changes

to the quantitative models, or uses of such models, that resulted in a material adjustment to the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Level 3 assets, before the impact of counterparty netting related to our derivative positions, were \$103.6 billion and \$59.4 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008 and represented approximately 14 percent and 10 percent of assets measured at fair value (or five percent and three percent of total assets). Level 3 liabilities, before the impact of counterparty netting related to our derivative positions, were \$21.8 billion and \$8.0 billion as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and represented approximately 10 percent and nine percent of the liabilities measured at fair value (or approximately one percent of total liabilities). At December 31, 2009, \$21.1 billion, or 12 percent, of trading account assets were

Bank of America 2009

89

classified as Level 3 assets, and \$396 million or less than one percent of trading account liabilities were classified as Level 3 liabilities. At December 31, 2009, \$23.0 billion, or 29 percent, of derivative assets were classified as Level 3 assets, and \$15.2 billion and 35 percent of derivative liabilities were classified as Level 3 liabilities. See *Note 20 Fair Value Measurements* to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a tabular presentation of the fair values of Level 1, 2 and 3 assets and liabilities at December 31, 2009 and 2008 and detail of Level 3 activity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

In 2009, we recognized gains of \$10.6 billion on Level 3 assets and liabilities which were primarily gains on net derivatives and consumer MSRs partially offset by losses on long-term debt. We also recorded unrealized gains of \$3.3 billion (pre-tax) in accumulated OCI on Level 3 assets and liabilities during the year, which were driven primarily by improved market-observability as liquidity returned to the market related to non-agency MBS. The gains in net derivatives were driven by high origination volumes of held-for-sale mortgage loans and by positive valuation adjustments on our IRLCs. The increase in the consumer MSR balance benefited from changes in the forward interest rate curve. Losses of \$2.3 billion on long-term debt were driven by the impact of market movements and from improved credit spreads on certain Merrill Lynch structured notes.

Level 3 financial instruments, such as our consumer MSRs, may be economically hedged with derivatives not classified as Level 3, therefore, gains or losses associated with Level 3 financial instruments may be offset by gains or losses associated with financial instruments classified in other levels of the fair value hierarchy. The gains and losses recorded in earnings did not have a significant impact on our liquidity or capital resources.

A review of fair value hierarchy classifications is conducted on a quarterly basis. Transfers into or out of Level 3 are made if the significant inputs used in the financial models measuring the fair values of the assets and liabilities became unobservable or observable, respectively, in the current marketplace. These transfers are effective as of the beginning of the quarter. In 2009, several transfers were made into or out of Level 3. Long-term debt of \$4.3 billion was transferred out of Level 3 due to the decreased significance of unobservable inputs on certain structured notes. Net derivative assets of \$5.7 billion were transferred into Level 3 due to the impact of significant unobservable inputs in the overall valuation of certain derivative products in the marketplace.

Global Principal Investments

Global Principal Investments is included within *Equity Investments* in *All Other* on page 41. Global Principal Investments is comprised of a diversified portfolio of investments in privately-held and publicly-traded companies at all stages of their life cycle. These investments are made either directly in a company or held through a fund. Some of these companies may need access to additional cash to support their long-term business models. Market conditions and company performance may impact whether funding is available from private investors or the capital markets.

At December 31, 2009, this portfolio totaled \$14.1 billion including \$12.4 billion, of non-public investments. Investments with active market quotes are carried at estimated fair value; however, the majority of our investments do not have publicly available price quotes and, therefore, the fair value is unobservable. Valuation of these investments requires significant management judgment. We initially value these investments at transaction price and adjust valuations when evidence is available to support such adjustments. Such evidence includes transactions in similar instruments, market comparables, completed or pending third-party transactions in the underlying investment or comparable entities, subsequent rounds of financing, recapitalizations and other transactions across the capital structure, and changes in financial ratios or cash flows. Invest -

ments are carried at estimated fair value with changes recorded in equity investment income in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Accrued Income Taxes

Accrued income taxes, reported as a component of accrued expenses and other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet, represents the net amount of current income taxes we expect to pay to or receive from various taxing jurisdictions attributable to our operations to date. We currently file income tax returns in more than 100 jurisdictions and consider many factors including statutory, judicial and regulatory guidance, in estimating the appropriate accrued income taxes for each jurisdiction.

In applying the applicable accounting guidance, we monitor relevant tax authorities and change our estimate of accrued income taxes due to changes in income tax laws and their interpretation by the courts and regulatory authorities. These revisions of our estimate of accrued income taxes, which also may result from our income tax planning and from the resolution of income tax controversies, may be material to our operating results for any given period.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The nature of and accounting for goodwill and intangible assets are discussed in detail in *Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* and *Note 10 Goodwill and Intangible Assets* to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Goodwill is reviewed for potential impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis which for the Corporation is performed as of June 30 or in interim periods if events or circumstances indicate a potential impairment. A reporting unit is a business segment or one level below. As reporting units are determined after an acquisition or evolve with changes in business strategy, goodwill is assigned and it no longer retains its association with a particular acquisition. All of the revenue streams and related activities of a reporting unit, whether acquired or organic, are available to support the value of the goodwill.

The Corporation s common stock price, consistent with common stock prices in the financial services industry, has been more volatile over the past 18 months primarily due to the deterioration in the financial markets in 2008 as the overall economy moved into a recession, followed in 2009 by stabilization and improvement in some sectors of the economy. During this period, our market capitalization remained below our recorded book value. The fair value of all reporting units as of the June 30, 2009 annual impairment test was estimated to be \$262.8 billion and the common stock market capitalization of the Corporation as of that date was \$114.2 billion (\$149.6 billion at December 31, 2009, including CES). The implied control premium or the amount a buyer is willing to pay over the current market price of a publicly traded stock to obtain control, was 52 percent after taking into consideration the outstanding preferred stock of \$58.7 billion as of June 30, 2009. As none of our reporting units are publicly traded, individual reporting unit fair value determinations are not directly correlated to the Corporation s stock price. Although we believe it is reasonable to conclude that market capitalization could be an indicator of fair value over time, we do not believe that recent fluctuations in our market capitalization as a result of the market dislocation are reflective of actual cash flows and the fair value of our individual reporting units.

Estimating the fair value of reporting units and the assets, liabilities and intangible assets of a reporting unit is a subjective process that involves the use of estimates and judgments, particularly related to cash flows, the appropriate discount rates and an applicable control premium. The fair values of the reporting units were determined using a combination of valuation techniques consistent with the market approach and the income approach and included the use of independent valuation specialists. Measurement of the fair values of the assets, liabilities and intangibles of a reporting unit was consistent with the requirements of the

Bank of America 2009

fair value measurements accounting guidance and includes the use of estimates and judgments. The fair values of the intangible assets were determined using the income approach.

The market approach we used results in an estimate of the fair value of the individual reporting units by incorporating any combination of the tangible capital, book capital and earnings multiples from comparable publicly traded companies in similar industries to that of the reporting unit. The relative weight assigned to these multiples varies among the reporting units based upon qualitative and quantitative characteristics, primarily the size and relative profitability of the respective reporting unit as compared to the comparable publicly traded companies. Since the fair values determined under the market approach are representative of a noncontrolling interest, a control premium was added to arrive at the fair values of the reporting units on a controlling basis.

For purposes of the income approach, discounted cash flows were calculated by taking the net present value of estimated cash flows using a combination of historical results, estimated future cash flows and an appropriate terminal value. Our discounted cash flow analysis employs a capital asset pricing model in estimating the discount rate (i.e., cost of equity financing) for each reporting unit. The inputs to this model include the risk-free rate of return; beta, a measure of the level of non-diversifiable risk associated with comparable companies for each specific reporting unit; market equity risk premium and in certain cases an unsystematic (company-specific) risk factor. The unsystematic risk factor is the input that specifically addresses uncertainty related to our projections of earnings and growth, including the uncertainty related to loss expectations. We utilized discount rates that we believe adequately reflect the risk and uncertainty in the financial markets generally and specifically in our internally developed forecasts. Expected rates of equity returns were estimated based on historical market returns and risk/return rates for similar industries to that of the reporting unit. We use our internal forecasts to estimate future cash flows and actual results may differ from forecasted results.

We perform our annual goodwill impairment test for all reporting units as of June 30 each year. In performing the first step of the annual impairment analysis, we compared the fair value of each reporting unit to its current carrying amount, including goodwill. To determine fair value, we used a combination of a market approach and an income approach. Under the market approach, we compared earnings and equity multiples of the individual reporting units to multiples of public companies comparable to the individual reporting units. The control premiums used in the June 30, 2009 annual impairment test ranged from 25 percent to 35 percent. Under the income approach, we updated our assumptions to reflect the current market environment. The discount rates used in the June 30, 2009 annual impairment test ranged from 11 percent to 20 percent depending on the relative risk of a reporting unit. Growth rates developed by management for each reporting unit and/or individual revenue and expense items ranged from two percent to 10 percent. For certain revenue and expense items that have been significantly affected by the current economic environment, management developed separate long-term forecasts.

Based on the results of step one of the impairment test, we determined that the carrying amount of the *Home Loans & Insurance* and *Global Card Services* reporting units, including goodwill, exceeded their fair value. The carrying amount of the reporting unit, fair value of the reporting unit and goodwill for *Home Loans & Insurance* were \$16.5 billion, \$14.3 billion and \$4.8 billion, respectively, and for *Global Card Services* were \$41.4 billion, \$41.3 billion and \$22.3 billion, respectively. Because the carrying amount exceeded the fair value, we performed step two of the goodwill impairment test for these reporting units as of June 30, 2009. For all other reporting units, step two was not required as

their fair value exceeded their carrying amount in step one indicating there was no impairment. In step two, we compared the implied fair value of each reporting unit s goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. We determined the implied fair value of goodwill for a reporting unit by assigning the fair value of the reporting unit to all of the assets and liabilities of that unit, including any unrecognized intangible assets, as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. Based on the results of step two of the impairment test as of June 30, 2009, we determined that goodwill was not impaired in the *Home Loans & Insurance* or *Global Card Services* reporting units.

In estimating the fair value of the reporting units in step one of the goodwill impairment analysis, we note that the fair values can be sensitive to changes in the projected cash flows and assumptions. In some instances, minor changes in the assumptions could impact whether the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount. Furthermore, a prolonged decrease or increase in a particular assumption could eventually lead to the fair value of a reporting unit being less than its carrying amount. Also, to the extent step two of the goodwill analysis is required, changes in the estimated fair values of the individual assets and liabilities may impact other estimates of fair value for assets or liabilities and result in a different amount of implied goodwill, and ultimately the amount of goodwill impairment, if any.

Given the results of our annual impairment test and due to continued stress on *Home Loans & Insurance* and *Global Card Services* as a result of current market conditions, we concluded that we should perform an additional impairment analysis for these two reporting units as of December 31, 2009. In step one of the goodwill impairment analysis, the fair value of *Home Loans & Insurance* was estimated with equal weighting assigned to the market approach and the income approach. The fair value of *Global Card Services* was estimated under the income approach. Under the market approach valuation for *Home Loans & Insurance*, significant assumptions were consistent with the assumptions used in our annual impairment tests as of June 30, 2009 and included market multiples and a control premium. In the *Global Card Services* valuation under the income approach, the significant assumptions included the discount rate, terminal value, expected loss rates and expected new account growth. Consistent with the June 30, 2009 annual impairment test, the carrying amount exceeded the fair value for *Home Loans & Insurance* requiring that we perform step two. Although *Global Card Services* passed step one of the goodwill impairment analysis, to further substantiate the value of the goodwill balance, we also performed the step two analysis for this reporting unit. The carrying amount of the reporting unit, fair value of the reporting unit and goodwill for *Home Loans & Insurance* were \$27.3 billion, \$20.3 billion and \$4.8 billion, respectively, and for *Global Card Services* were \$43.4 billion, \$47.3 billion and \$22.3 billion, respectively. The estimated fair value as a percent of the carrying amount at December 31, 2009 was 74 percent for *Home Loans & Insurance* and 109 percent for *Global Card Services*. The increase in the fair value of *Global Card* Services during the fourth quarter of 2009 was primarily attributable to improvement in market conditions and the economic outlook for the reporting unit. Under step two of the g

reporting units, significant assumptions in measuring the fair value of the assets and liabilities of the reporting units including discount rates, loss rates, interest rates and new account growth were updated in light of the improvement in economic conditions. Based on the results of step two of our impairment tests, there was no goodwill impairment as of December 31, 2009.

If economic conditions deteriorate or other events adversely impact the business models and the related assumptions including discount

Bank of America 2009

rates, loss rates, interest rates and new account growth used to value these reporting units, there could be a change in the valuation of our goodwill and intangible assets and may possibly result in the recognition of impairment losses. With any assumption change, when a prolonged change in performance causes the fair value of the reporting unit to fall below the carrying amount of goodwill, goodwill impairment will occur.

Consolidation and Accounting for Variable Interest Entities

Under applicable accounting guidance, a VIE is consolidated by the entity that will absorb a majority of the variability created by the assets of the VIE. The calculation of variability is based on an analysis of projected probability-weighted cash flows based on the design of the particular VIE. Scenarios in which expected cash flows are less than or greater than the expected outcomes create expected losses or expected residual returns. The entity that will absorb a majority of expected variability (the sum of the absolute values of the expected losses and expected residual returns) consolidates the VIE and is referred to as the primary beneficiary.

A variety of qualitative and quantitative assumptions are used to estimate projected cash flows and the relative probability of each potential outcome, and to determine which parties will absorb expected losses and expected residual returns. Critical assumptions, which may include projected credit losses and interest rates, are independently verified against market observable data where possible. Where market observable data is not available, the results of the analysis become more subjective.

As certain events occur, we reconsider which parties will absorb variability and whether we have become or are no longer the primary beneficiary. The consolidation status of a VIE may change as a result of such reconsideration events, which occur when VIEs acquire additional assets, issue new variable interests or enter into new or modified contractual arrangements. A reconsideration event may also occur when we acquire new or additional interests in a VIE.

See the Impact of Adopting New Accounting Guidance on Consolidation section on page 52 for a discussion of new accounting that significantly changes the criteria for consolidation effective January 1, 2010.

2008 Compared to 2007

The following discussion and analysis provides a comparison of our results of operations for 2008 and 2007. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes. Tables 6 and 7 contain financial data to supplement this discussion.

Overview

Net Income

Net income totaled \$4.0 billion in 2008 compared to \$15.0 billion in 2007. Including preferred stock dividends, income applicable to common shareholders was \$2.6 billion, or \$0.54 per diluted share. Those results compared with 2007 net income available to common shareholders of \$14.8 billion, or \$3.29 per diluted share. The return on average common shareholders equity was 1.80 percent in 2008 compared to 11.08 percent in 2007.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a FTE basis increased \$10.4 billion to \$46.6 billion for 2008 compared to 2007. The increase was driven by strong loan growth, as well as the acquisitions of Countrywide and LaSalle, and the contribution from market-based net interest income related to our *Global*

Markets business, which benefited from the steepening of the yield curve and product mix. The net interest yield on a FTE basis increased 38 bps to 2.98 percent for 2008 compared to 2007, due to the improvement in market-based yield, the beneficial impact of the current interest rate environment and loan growth. Partially offsetting these increases were the additions of lower yielding assets from the Countrywide and LaSalle acquisitions.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income decreased \$5.0 billion to \$27.4 billion in 2008 compared to 2007.

Card income decreased \$763 million primarily due to the negative impact of higher credit costs on securitized credit card loans and the related unfavorable change in value of the interest-only strip as well as decreases in interchange income and late fees. Partially offsetting these decreases was higher debit card income.

Service charges grew \$1.4 billion resulting from growth in new deposit accounts and the beneficial impact of the LaSalle acquisition.

Investment and brokerage services decreased \$175 million primarily due to the absence of fees related to the sale of a business that we sold in late 2007 and the impact of significantly lower valuations in the equity markets, partially offset by the full year impact of the U.S. Trust and LaSalle acquisitions.

Investment banking income decreased \$82 million due to reduced advisory fees related to the slowing economy.

Equity investment income decreased \$3.5 billion due to a reduction in gains from our Global Principal Investments portfolio attributable to the lack of liquidity in the marketplace when compared to 2007 and other-than-temporary impairments taken on certain AFS marketable equity securities.

Trading account losses increased \$1.0 billion in 2008 driven by losses related to CDO exposure and the continuing impact of the market disruptions on various parts of *Global Markets*.

Mortgage banking income increased \$3.2 billion in large part as a result of the Countrywide acquisition which contributed significantly to increases in servicing income of \$1.7 billion and production income of \$1.5 billion.

Insurance premiums increased \$1.1 billion primarily due to the Countrywide acquisition.

Gains on sales of debt securities increased \$944 million driven by the sales of MBS and CMOs.

Other income decreased \$2.9 billion due to *Global Markets* related write-downs and \$1.1 billion associated with the support provided to certain cash funds managed within *GWIM*. In addition, 2008 was impacted by the absence of the \$1.5 billion gain from the sale of a business in 2007. These items were partially offset by the gain of \$776 million related to the Visa IPO.

Net impairment losses recognized in earnings on AFS debt securities increased \$3.1 billion primarily due to CDO related write-downs.

Provision for Credit Losses

The provision for credit losses increased \$18.4 billion to \$26.8 billion for 2008 compared to 2007 due to an increase of \$9.8 billion in net charge-offs and higher additions to the reserve. The majority of the reserve additions were in consumer and small business portfolios, reflecting increased weakness in the housing markets and the slowing economy. Reserves were also increased on commercial portfolios for deterioration in the homebuilder and non homebuilder commercial portfolios within *Global Banking*.

2 Bank of America 2009

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased \$4.0 billion to \$41.5 billion for 2008 compared to 2007, primarily due to the acquisitions of Countrywide and LaSalle, which increased various expense categories, partially offset by a reduction in performance-based incentive compensation expense and the impact of certain benefits associated with the Visa IPO transactions.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was \$420 million for 2008 compared to \$5.9 billion for 2007 resulting in effective tax rates of 9.5 percent and 28.4 percent. The effective tax rate decrease was due to permanent tax preference amounts (e.g., tax exempt income and tax credits) offsetting a higher percentage of our pre-tax income.

Business Segment Operations

Deposits

Net income increased \$438 million, or nine percent, to \$5.5 billion compared to 2007 driven by higher net interest income and noninterest income partially offset by an increase in noninterest expense. Net interest income increased \$755 million, or seven percent, driven by a higher contribution from our ALM activities and growth in average deposits partially offset by the impact of competitive deposit pricing. Average deposits grew \$33.3 billion, or 10 percent, due to organic growth, including customers—flight-to-safety, as well as the acquisitions of Countrywide and LaSalle. Organic growth was partially offset by the migration of customer relationships and related deposit balances to *GWIM*. Noninterest income increased \$683 million, or 11 percent, to \$6.9 billion driven by an increase of \$798 million, or 13 percent, in service charges primarily as a result of increased volume, new demand deposit account growth and the addition of LaSalle. Noninterest expense increased \$433 million, or five percent, to \$8.8 billion compared to 2007, primarily due to the LaSalle and Countrywide acquisitions, combined with an increase in accounts and transaction volumes.

Global Card Services

Net income decreased \$3.0 billion, or 71 percent, to \$1.2 billion compared to 2007 as growth in net interest income and noninterest income was more than offset by an \$8.5 billion increase in provision for credit losses. Net interest income grew \$3.0 billion, or 18 percent, to \$19.6 billion driven by higher managed average loans of \$22.3 billion, or 10 percent, combined with the beneficial impact of the decrease in short-term interest rates on our funding costs. Noninterest income increased \$485 million, or four percent, to \$11.6 billion as other income benefited from the \$388 million gain related to *Global Card Services* allocation of the Visa IPO gain as well as a \$283 million gain on the sale of a card portfolio. These increases were partially offset by the decrease in card income of \$137 million, or one percent, due to the unfavorable change in the value of the interest-only strip and decreases in interchange income driven by reduced retail volume and late fees. These decreases were partially offset by higher debit card income due to new account and card growth, increased usage and the addition of LaSalle. Provision for credit losses increased \$8.5 billion, or 73 percent, to \$20.2 billion compared to 2007 primarily driven by portfolio deterioration and higher bankruptcies from impacts of the slowing economy, a lower level of foreign securitizations and growth-related seasoning of the portfolio. Noninterest expense decreased \$217 million, or two percent, to \$9.2 billion compared to 2007, as the impact of certain benefits associated with the Visa IPO transactions and lower marketing expense were partially offset by higher personnel and technology-related expenses from increased customer assistance and collections infrastructure.

Home Loans & Insurance

Home Loans & Insurance net income decreased \$2.6 billion to a net loss of \$2.5 billion compared to 2007 as growth in noninterest income and net interest income was more than offset by higher provision for credit losses and an increase in noninterest expense. Net interest income grew \$1.4 billion, or 74 percent, driven primarily by an increase in average home equity loans and LHFS. The growth in average home equity loans of \$32.9 billion, or 45 percent, and a \$5.5 billion increase in LHFS were attributable to the Countrywide and LaSalle acquisitions as well as increases in our home equity portfolio as a result of slower prepayment speeds and organic growth. Noninterest income increased \$4.2 billion to \$6.0 billion compared to 2007 driven by increases in mortgage banking income and insurance income. Mortgage banking income grew \$3.1 billion due primarily to the acquisition of Countrywide combined with increases in the value of MSRs economic hedge instruments partially offset by a decrease in the value of MSRs. Insurance income increased \$1.1 billion due to the acquisition of Countrywide. Provision for credit losses increased \$5.3 billion to \$6.3 billion compared to 2007. This increase was driven primarily by higher losses inherent in the home equity portfolio reflecting deterioration in the housing markets particularly in geographic areas that have experienced higher levels of declines in home prices. This drove more severe charge-offs as borrowers defaulted. Noninterest expense increased \$4.4 billion to \$7.0 billion primarily driven by the Countrywide acquisition.

Global Banking

Net income increased \$341 million, or eight percent, to \$4.5 billion in 2008 compared to 2007 as increased total revenue and lower noninterest expense were partially offset by an increase in provision for credit losses. Net interest income increased \$2.1 billion, or 24 percent, driven by growth in average loans and leases

of \$64.1 billion, or 25 percent, and average deposits of \$29.6 billion, or 20 percent. The increases in average loans and leases and average deposits were driven by the LaSalle acquisition and organic growth. Noninterest income decreased \$42 million, or one percent, as *Global Banking s* share of write-downs on legacy assets was partially offset by an increase in service charges and the \$388 million gain related to *Global Banking s* allocation of the Visa IPO gain. The increase in service charges was driven by organic growth, changes in our pricing structure and the LaSalle acquisition. The provision for credit losses increased \$2.5 billion to \$3.1 billion in 2008 compared to 2007. The increase was primarily driven by reserve additions and higher charge-offs primarily due to the continued weakness in the housing markets on the homebuilder portfolio. Also contributing to this increase were higher commercial domestic and foreign net charge-offs which increased from very low 2007 levels and higher net charge-offs and reserve increases in the retail dealer-related loan portfolios due to deterioration and seasoning of the portfolio. Noninterest expense decreased \$874 million, or 12 percent, primarily due to lower incentive compensation and the impact of certain benefits associated with the Visa IPO transactions, partially offset by the addition of LaSalle.

Global Markets

Global Markets recognized a net loss of \$4.9 billion in 2008 compared to a net loss of \$3.8 billion in 2007 as increased net interest income and reduced noninterest expense were more than offset by increased sales and trading losses. Sales and trading revenue was a net loss of \$6.9 billion in 2008 as compared to a net loss of \$2.6 billion in 2007. These decreases were driven by losses related to CDO exposure, our hedging activities including counterparty credit risk valuations and the continuing impact of the market disruptions on various parts of our business including the severe volatility, illiquidity and credit dislocations that

Bank of America 2009

were experienced in the debt and equity markets in the fourth quarter of 2008. Partially offsetting these declines were favorable results in our rates and currencies products which benefited from volatility in interest rates and foreign exchange markets which also drove favorable client flows. Noninterest expense declined \$834 million primarily due to lower performance-based incentive compensation.

Global Wealth & Investment Management

Net income decreased \$527 million, or 27 percent, to \$1.4 billion in 2008 as increases in net interest income and investment and brokerage services income were more than offset by losses associated with the support provided to certain cash funds, increases in provision for credit losses and noninterest expense as well as losses related to the buyback of ARS. Net interest income increased \$877 million, or 22 percent, to \$4.8 billion due to higher margin on ALM activities, the acquisitions of U.S. Trust Corporation and LaSalle, and growth in average deposit and loan balances partially offset by spread compression driven by deposit mix and competitive deposit pricing. *GWIM* average deposit growth benefited from the migration of customer relationships and related balances from *Deposits*, organic growth and the U.S. Trust Corporation and LaSalle acquisitions. Noninterest income decreased \$625 million, or 17 percent, to \$3.0 billion driven by \$1.1 billion in losses during 2008 related to the support provided to certain cash funds and losses of \$181 million related to the buyback of ARS. These losses were partially offset by an increase of \$278 million in investment and brokerage services resulting from the U.S. Trust Corporation acquisition partially offset by the impact of significantly lower valuations in the equity markets. Provision for credit losses increased \$649 million to \$664 million as a result of higher credit

costs due to the deterioration in the housing markets and the impacts of a slower economy. Noninterest expense increased \$419 million, or nine percent, to \$4.9 billion due to the addition of U.S. Trust Corporation and LaSalle, and higher initiative spending partially offset by lower discretionary incentive compensation.

All Other

Net income decreased \$4.5 billion to a net loss of \$1.2 billion due to a decrease in total revenue combined with increases in provision for credit losses and merger and restructuring charges. Net interest income increased \$113 million primarily due to increased net interest income related to our functional activities partially offset by the reclassification to card income related to our funds transfer pricing for *Global Card Services* securitizations. Noninterest income declined \$3.3 billion to \$820 million driven by decreases in equity investment income of \$3.5 billion and all other income (loss) of \$1.2 billion partially offset by increases in gains on sales of debt securities of \$953 million and card income of \$653 million. Excluding the securitization offset to present *Global Card Services* on a managed basis provision for credit losses increased \$3.2 billion to \$2.9 billion primarily due to higher credit costs related to our ALM, residential mortgage portfolio reflecting deterioration in the housing markets and the impacts of a slowing economy. Additionally, deterioration in our Countrywide discontinued real estate portfolio subsequent to the July 1, 2008 acquisition as well as the absence of 2007 reserve reductions also contributed to the increase in provision. Merger and restructuring charges increased \$525 million to \$935 million due to the integration costs associated with the Countrywide and LaSalle acquisitions.

94 Bank of America 2009

Statistical Tables

Table I Year-to-date Average Balances and Interest Rates FTE Basis

		2009			2008			2007	
		Interest			Interest			Interest	
	Averag	e Income/	Yield/	Average	Income/	Yield/	Aver	age Income/	Yield/
(Dollars in millions)	Balanc	e Expense	Rate	Balance	Expense	Rate	Bala	nce Expense	Rate
Earning assets		•			1				
Time deposits placed and other short-term									
investments	\$ 27,46	5 \$ 713	2.60%	\$ 10,696	\$ 440	4.11%	\$ 13,	52 \$ 627	4.77%
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or									
purchased under agreements to resell	235,76	4 2,894	1.23	128,053	3,313	2.59	155,8	328 7,722	4.96
Trading account assets	217,04	8,236	3.79	186,579	9,259	4.96	187,2	287 9,747	5.20
Debt securities (1)	271,04	3 13,224	4.88	250,551	13,383	5.34	186,4	66 10,020	5.37
Loans and leases (2):									
Residential mortgage (3)	249,33	5 13,535	5.43	260,244	14,657	5.63	264,0	550 15,112	5.71
Home equity	154,76	6,736	4.35	135,060	7,606	5.63	98,7	7,385	7.48
Discontinued real estate	17,34	1,082	6.24	10,898	858	7.87		n/a n/a	n/a
Credit card domestic	52,37	5,666	10.82	63,318	6,843	10.81	57,8	383 7,225	12.48
Credit card foreign	19,65	5 2,122	10.80	16,527	2,042	12.36	12,3	1,502	12.15
Direct/Indirect consumer (4)	99,99	3 6,016	6.02	82,516	6,934	8.40	70.0	009 6,002	8.57
Other consumer (5)	3,30	3 237	7.17	3,816	321	8.41	4,5	389	8.64
Total consumer	596,76		5.93	572,379	39,261	6.86	508,	76 37,615	7.40
Commercial domestic	223,81	3 8,883	3.97	220,561	11,702	5.31	180,	02 12,884	7.15
Commercial real estate (6)	73,34		3.23	63,208	3,057	4.84	42,9	3,145	7.32
Commercial lease financing	21,97		4.51	22,290	799	3.58	20,4		5.93
Commercial foreign	32,89	9 1,406	4.27	32,440	1,503	4.63	24,4	191 1,452	5.93
Total commercial	352,04	,	3.88	338,499	17,061	5.04	267,9		6.98
Total loans and leases	948,80		5.17	910,878	56,322	6.18	776,		
Other earning assets	130,06	/	3.92	75,972	4,161	5.48	71,3		6.49
Total earning assets (7)	1,830,19	/	4.33	1,562,729	86,878	5.56	1,390,		6.41
Cash and cash equivalents	196,23			45,354	,		33,0		
Other assets, less allowance for loan and lease	, .			- ,			,		
losses	411,08	7		235.896			178,7	90	
Total assets	\$ 2,437,51			\$ 1,843,979			\$ 1,602,0		
Interest-bearing liabilities	. , ,								
Domestic interest-bearing deposits:									
Savings	\$ 33,67	1 \$ 215	0.64%	\$ 32,204	\$ 230	0.71%	\$ 32,3	316 \$ 188	0.58%
NOW and money market deposit accounts	358,84	7 1,557	0.43	267,818	3,781	1.41	220,2	207 4,361	1.98
Consumer CDs and IRAs	218,04	1 5,054	2.32	203,887	7,404	3.63	167,8	301 7,817	4.66
Negotiable CDs, public funds and other time									
deposits	37,66	1 473	1.26	32,264	1,076	3.33	20,5	557 974	4.74
Total domestic interest-bearing deposits	648,22	7,299	1.13	536,173	12,491	2.33	440,8	881 13,340	3.03
Foreign interest-bearing deposits:									
Banks located in foreign countries	19,39	7 144	0.74	37,657	1,063	2.82	42,7	88 2,174	5.08
Governments and official institutions	7,58	18	0.23	13,004	311	2.39	16,5	523 812	4.91
Time, savings and other	55,02			51,363	1,385	2.70	43,4		4.07
Total foreign interest-bearing deposits	82,00		0.62	102,024	2,759	2.70	102,7		4.63
Total interest-bearing deposits	730,22		1.07	638,197	15,250	2.39	543,0		3.33
Federal funds purchased, securities loaned or sold	,	,		,			- /	,,,,	
under agreements to repurchase and other									
short-term borrowings	488,64	4 5,512	1.13	455,710	12,362	2.71	424,8	314 21,967	5.17
Trading account liabilities	72,20	7 2,075	2.87	72,915	2,774	3.80	82,7	21 3,444	4.16
_		*							

Long-term debt	446,634	15.413	3.45	231.235	9,938	4.30	169.855	9,359	5.51
E	- ,	- , -		- ,	- /		/	- /	
Total interest-bearing liabilities ⁽⁷⁾	1,737,708	30,807	1.77	1,398,057	40,324	2.88	1,221,025	52,863	4.33
Noninterest-bearing sources:									
Noninterest-bearing deposits	250,743			192,947			173,547		
Other liabilities	204,421			88,144			70,839		
Shareholders equity	244,645			164,831			136,662		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 2,437,517			\$ 1,843,979			\$ 1,602,073		
Net interest spread			2.56%			2.68%			2.08%
Impact of noninterest-bearing sources			0.09			0.30			0.52
Net interest income/yield on earning assets		\$ 48,410	2.65%		\$ 46,554	2.98%		\$ 36,190	2.60%

⁽¹⁾ Yields on AFS debt securities are calculated based on fair value rather than the cost basis. The use of fair value does not have a material impact on net interest yield.

n/a = not applicable

Bank of America 2009

⁽²⁾ Nonperforming loans are included in the respective average loan balances. Income on these nonperforming loans is recognized on a cash basis.

⁽³⁾ Includes foreign residential mortgages loans of \$622 million in 2009. We did not have any material foreign residential mortgage loans prior to January 1, 2009.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes foreign consumer loans of \$8.0 billion, \$2.7 billion and \$3.8 billion in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes consumer finance loans of \$2.4 billion, \$2.8 billion and \$3.2 billion in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively; and other foreign consumer loans of \$657 million, \$774 million and \$1.1 billion in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

⁽⁶⁾ Includes domestic commercial real estate loans of \$70.7 billion, \$62.1 billion and \$42.1 billion in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively; and foreign commercial real estate loans of \$2.7 billion, \$1.1 billion and \$858 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007.

⁽⁷⁾ Interest income includes the impact of interest rate risk management contracts, which decreased interest income on the underlying assets \$456 million, \$260 million and \$542 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Interest expense includes the impact of interest rate risk management contracts, which increased (decreased) interest expense on the underlying liabilities \$(3.0) billion, \$409 million and \$813 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. For further information on interest rate contracts, see Interest Rate Risk Management for Nontrading Activities beginning on page 83.

Table II Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income FTE Basis

		om 2008 to 20 Change in	009 Net		008 Net	
		(1)		Due to C	Change in (1)	
(Dollars in millions)	Volume	Rate	Change	Volume	Rate	Change
Increase (decrease) in interest income						
Time deposits placed and other short-term investments	\$ 689	\$ (416)	\$ 273	\$ (117)	\$ (70)	\$ (187)
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements						
to resell	2,793	(3,212)	(419)	(1,371)	(3,038)	(4,409)
Trading account assets	1,507	(2,530)	(1,023)	(45)	(443)	(488)
Debt securities	1,091	(1,250)	(159)	3,435	(72)	3,363
Loans and leases:						
Residential mortgage	(619)	(503)	(1,122)	(252)	(203)	(455)
Home equity	1,107	(1,977)	(870)	2,717	(2,496)	221
Discontinued real estate	507	(283)	224	n/a	n/a	858
Credit card domestic	(1,181)	4	(1,177)	677	(1,059)	(382)
Credit card foreign	387	(307)	80	506	34	540
Direct/Indirect consumer	1,465	(2,383)	(918)	1,070	(138)	932
Other consumer	(43)	(41)	(84)	(59)	(9)	(68)
Total consumer			(3,867)			1,646
Commercial domestic	182	(3,001)	(2,819)	2,886	(4,068)	(1,182)
Commercial real estate	493	(1,178)	(685)	1,482	(1,570)	(88)
Commercial lease financing	(12)	203	191	110	(523)	(413)
Commercial foreign	20	(117)	(97)	472	(421)	51
Total commercial			(3,410)			(1,632)
Total loans and leases			(7,277)			14
Other earning assets	2,966	(2,022)	944	302	(770)	(468)
Total interest income			\$ (7,661)			\$ (2,175)
Increase (decrease) in interest expense						
Domestic interest-bearing deposits:						
Savings	\$ 9	\$ (24)	\$ (15)	\$ (1)	\$ 43	\$ 42
NOW and money market deposit accounts	1,279	(3,503)	(2,224)	942	(1,522)	(580)
Consumer CDs and IRAs	511	(2,861)	(2,350)	1,684	(2,097)	(413)
Negotiable CDs, public funds and other time deposits	178	(781)	(603)	555	(453)	102
Total domestic interest-bearing deposits			(5,192)			(849)
Foreign interest-bearing deposits:						
Banks located in foreign countries	(516)	(403)	(919)	(261)	(850)	(1,111)
Governments and official institutions	(130)	(163)	(293)	(174)	(327)	(501)
Time, savings and other	101	(1,140)	(1,039)	323	(705)	(382)
Total foreign interest-bearing deposits			(2,251)			(1,994)
Total interest-bearing deposits			(7,443)			(2,843)
Federal funds purchased, securities loaned or sold under						
agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings	880	(7,730)	(6,850)	1,593	(11,198)	(9,605)
Trading account liabilities	(30)	(669)	(699)	(411)	(259)	(670)
Long-term debt	9,267	(3,792)	5,475	3,382	(2,803)	579
Total interest expense			(9,517)			(12,539)
Net increase in net interest income			\$ 1,856			\$ 10,364

⁽¹⁾ The changes for each category of interest income and expense are divided between the portion of change attributable to the variance in volume and the portion of change attributable to the variance in rate for that category. The unallocated change in rate or volume variance is allocated between the rate and volume variances.

n/a = not applicable

⁹⁶ Bank of America 2009

Table III Preferred Stock Cash Dividend Summary (as of February 26, 2010)

Outstanding Notional Amount

Preferred Stock		(in nillions)	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Per Annum Dividend Rate	Dividend Per Share
Series B (1)	\$	1	January 27, 2010	April 9, 2010	April 23, 2010	7.00%	\$ 1.75
Selies D	φ	1	October 28, 2009	January 11, 2010	January 25, 2010	7.00 %	1.75
			July 21, 2009	October 9, 2009	October 23, 2009	7.00	1.75
			April 29, 2009	July 10, 2009	July 24, 2009	7.00	1.75
			January 16, 2009	April 10, 2009	April 24, 2009	7.00	1.75
Series D (2)	\$	661	January 4, 2010	February 26, 2010	March 15, 2010	6.204%	\$ 0.38775
Series D	Ψ	001	October 2, 2009	November 30, 2009	December 14, 2009	6.204	0.38775
			July 2, 2009	August 31, 2009	September 14, 2009	6.204	0.38775
			April 3, 2009	May 29, 2009	June 15, 2009	6.204	0.38775
			January 5, 2009	February 27, 2009	March 16, 2009	6.204	0.38775
Series E (2)	\$	487	January 4, 2010	January 29, 2010	February 16, 2010	Floating	\$ 0.25556
			October 2, 2009	October 30, 2009	November 16, 2009	Floating	0.25556
			July 2, 2009	July 31, 2009	August 17, 2009	Floating	0.25556
			April 3, 2009	April 30, 2009	May 15, 2009	Floating	0.24722
			January 5, 2009	January 30, 2009	February 17, 2009	Floating	0.25556
Series H (2)	\$	2,862	January 4, 2010	January 15, 2010	February 1, 2010	8.20%	\$ 0.51250
			October 2, 2009	October 15, 2009	November 2, 2009	8.20	0.51250
			July 2, 2009	July 15, 2009	August 3, 2009	8.20	0.51250
			April 3, 2009	April 15, 2009	May 1, 2009	8.20	0.51250
			January 5, 2009	January 15, 2009	February 2, 2009	8.20	0.51250
Series I (2)	\$	365	January 4, 2010	March 15, 2010	April 1, 2010	6.625%	\$ 0.41406
			October 2, 2009	December 15, 2009	January 4, 2010	6.625	0.41406
			July 2, 2009	September 15, 2009	October 1, 2009	6.625	0.41406
			April 3, 2009	June 15, 2009	July 1, 2009	6.625	0.41406
			January 5, 2009	March 15, 2009	April 1, 2009	6.625	0.41406
Series J (2)	\$	978	January 4, 2010	January 15, 2010	February 1, 2010	7.25%	\$ 0.45312
			October 2, 2009	October 15, 2009	November 2, 2009	7.25	0.45312
			July 2, 2009	July 15, 2009	August 3, 2009	7.25	0.45312
			April 3, 2009	April 15, 2009	May 1, 2009	7.25	0.45312
			January 5, 2009	January 15, 2009	February 2, 2009	7.25	0.45312
Series K (3, 4)	\$	1,668	January 4, 2010	January 15, 2010	February 1, 2010	Fixed-to-Floating	\$ 40.00
			July 2, 2009	July 15, 2009	July 30, 2009	Fixed-to-Floating	40.00
			January 5, 2009	January 15, 2009	January 30, 2009	Fixed-to-Floating	40.00
Series L	\$	3,349	December 17, 2009	January 1, 2010	February 1, 2010	7.25%	\$ 18.1250
			September 18, 2009	October 1, 2009	October 30, 2009	7.25	18.1250
			June 19, 2009	July 1, 2009	July 30, 2009	7.25	18.1250
			March 17, 2009	April 1, 2009	April 30, 2009	7.25	18.1250
Series M (3, 4)	\$	1,434	October 2, 2009	October 31, 2009	November 16, 2009	Fixed-to-Floating	\$ 40.625
(1.5)	_		April 3, 2009	April 30, 2009	May 15, 2009	Fixed-to-Floating	40.625
Series N (1, 5)	\$		October 2, 2009	October 31, 2009	November 16, 2009	5.00%	\$ 312.50
			July 2, 2009	July 31, 2009	August 17, 2009	5.00	312.50
			April 3, 2009	April 30, 2009	May 15, 2009	5.00	312.50
g : 0(1.5)	ф		January 5, 2009 ⁽⁶⁾	January 31, 2009	February 17, 2009	5.00	371.53
Series Q (1, 5)	\$		October 2, 2009	October 31, 2009	November 16, 2009	5.00%	\$ 312.50
			July 2, 2009	July 31, 2009	August 17, 2009	5.00	312.50
			April 3, 2009	April 30, 2009	May 15, 2009	5.00	312.50
Carriag D (1.5)	¢.		January 5, 2009 ⁽⁶⁾	January 31, 2009	February 17, 2009	5.00	125.00
Series R (1, 5)	\$		October 2, 2009	October 31, 2009	November 16, 2009	8.00%	\$ 500.00
			July 2, 2009	July 31, 2009	August 17, 2009	8.00	500.00
			April 3, 2009	April 30, 2009	May 15, 2009	8.00	500.00
			January 5, 2009 ⁽⁶⁾	January 31, 2009	February 17, 2009	8.00	161.11

Bank of America 2009 97

Preferred Stock Cash Dividend Summary (as of February 26, 2010) continued

Outstanding Notional Amount

Durfama J CA ala	_	(in	Dedamber Dete	December 1	D D-4-	Per Annum	Dividend
Preferred Stock Series 1 (7)	\$	nillions)	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Rate	Per Share
Series I (7)	\$	146	January 4, 2010	February 15, 2010	February 26, 2010 November 30,	Floating	\$ 0.19167
			October 2, 2009	November 15, 2009	2009	Floating	0.19167
			July 2, 2009	August 15, 2009	August 28, 2009	Floating	0.19167
			April 3, 2009	May 15, 2009	May 28, 2009	Floating	0.18542
			January 5, 2009	February 15, 2009	February 27, 2009	Floating	0.19167
Series 2 ⁽⁷⁾	\$	526	January 4, 2010	February 15, 2010	February 26, 2010 November 30,	Floating	\$ 0.19167
			October 2, 2009	November 15, 2009	2009	Floating	0.19167
			July 2, 2009	August 15, 2009	August 28, 2009	Floating	0.19167
			April 3, 2009	May 15, 2009	May 28, 2009	Floating	0.18542
			January 5, 2009	February 15, 2009	February 27, 2009	Floating	0.19167
Series 3 ⁽⁷⁾	\$	670	January 4, 2010	February 15, 2010	March 1, 2010 November 30,	6.375%	\$ 0.39843
			October 2, 2009	November 15, 2009	2009	6.375	0.39843
			July 2, 2009	August 15, 2009	August 28, 2009	6.375	0.39843
			April 3, 2009	May 15, 2009	May 28, 2009	6.375	0.39843
			January 5, 2009	February 15, 2009	March 2, 2009	6.375	0.39843
Series 4 (7)	\$	389	January 4, 2010	February 15, 2010	February 26, 2010	Floating	\$ 0.25556
			•	•	November 30,	C	
			October 2, 2009	November 15, 2009	2009	Floating	0.25556
			July 2, 2009	August 15, 2009	August 28, 2009	Floating	0.25556
			April 3, 2009	May 15, 2009	May 28, 2009	Floating	0.24722
			January 5, 2009	February 15, 2009	February 27, 2009	Floating	0.25556
Series 5 ⁽⁷⁾	\$	606	January 4, 2010	February 1, 2010	February 22, 2010 November 23,	Floating	\$ 0.25556
			October 2, 2009	November 1, 2009	2009	Floating	0.25556
			July 2, 2009	August 1, 2009	August 21, 2009	Floating	0.25556
			April 3, 2009	May 1, 2009	May 21, 2009	Floating	0.24722
			January 5, 2009	February 1, 2009	February 23, 2009	Floating	0.25556
Series 6 (8)	\$	65	January 4, 2010	March 15, 2010	March 30, 2010 December 30,	6.70%	\$ 0.41875
			October 2, 2009	December 15, 2009	2009	6.70	0.41875
					September 30,		
			July 2, 2009	September 15, 2009	2009	6.70	0.41875
			April 3, 2009	June 15, 2009	June 30, 2009	6.70	0.41875
			January 5, 2009	March 15, 2009	March 30, 2009	6.70	0.41875
Series 7 ⁽⁸⁾	\$	17	January 4, 2010	March 15, 2010	March 30, 2010 December 30,	6.25%	\$ 0.39062
			October 2, 2009	December 15, 2009	2009 September 30,	6.25	0.39062
			July 2, 2009	September 15, 2009	2009	6.25	0.39062
			April 3, 2009	June 15, 2009	June 30, 2009	6.25	0.39062
			January 5, 2009	March 15, 2009	March 30, 2009	6.25	0.39062
Series 8 ⁽⁷⁾	\$	2,673	January 4, 2010	February 15, 2010	March 1, 2010 November 30,	8.625%	\$ 0.53906
			October 2, 2009	November 15, 2009	2009	8.625	0.53906
			July 2, 2009	August 15, 2009	August 28, 2009	8.625	0.53906
			April 3, 2009	May 15, 2009	May 28, 2009	8.625	0.53906

		January 5, 2009	February 15, 2009	March 2, 2009	8.625	0.53906
Series 2 (MC) (9)	\$ 1,200	January 4, 2010	February 15, 2010	March 1, 2010	9.00%	\$ 2,250.00
				November 30,		
		October 2, 2009	November 15, 2009	2009	9.00	2,250.00
		July 2, 2009	August 15, 2009	August 28, 2009	9.00	2,250.00
		April 3, 2009	May 15, 2009	May 28, 2009	9.00	2,250.00
		January 21, 2009	February 15, 2009	March 2, 2009	9.00	2,250.00
Series 3 (MC) (9)	\$ 500	January 4, 2010	February 15, 2010	March 1, 2010	9.00%	\$ 2,250.00
				November 30,		
		October 2, 2009	November 15, 2009	2009	9.00	2,250.00
		July 2, 2009	August 15, 2009	August 28, 2009	9.00	2,250.00
		April 3, 2009	May 15, 2009	May 28, 2009	9.00	2,250.00
		January 21, 2009	February 15, 2009	March 2, 2009	9.00	2,250.00

⁽¹⁾ Dividends are cumulative.

98 Bank of America 2009

⁽²⁾ Dividends per depositary share, each representing a 1/1000th interest in a share of preferred stock.

⁽³⁾ Initially pays dividends semi-annually.

⁽⁴⁾ Dividends per depositary share, each representing 1/25th interest in a share of preferred stock.

⁽⁵⁾ In connection with the repurchase of the TARP preferred stock on December 9, 2009, the Corporation paid accrued and unpaid dividends to the date of repurchase of \$83.33, \$83.33 and \$133.33 per share for Series N, Q and R, respectively.

⁽⁶⁾ Initial dividends

⁽⁷⁾ Dividends per depositary share, each representing a 1/1200th interest in a share of preferred stock.

⁽⁸⁾ Dividends per depositary share, each representing 1/40th interest in a share of preferred stock.

⁽⁹⁾ Represents preferred stock of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. which is mandatorily convertible (MC) on October 15, 2010, but optionally convertible prior to that date.

Table IV Outstanding Loans and Leases

		December 31				
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	
Consumer						
Residential mortgage (1)	\$ 242,129	\$ 248,063	\$ 274,949	\$ 241,181	\$ 182,596	
Home equity	149,126	152,483	114,820	87,893	70,229	
Discontinued real estate (2)	14,854	19,981	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Credit card domestic	49,453	64,128	65,774	61,195	58,548	
Credit card foreign	21,656	17,146	14,950	10,999		
Direct/Indirect consumer (3)	97,236	83,436	76,538	59,206	37,265	
Other consumer (4)	3,110	3,442	4,170	5,231	6,819	
Total consumer	577,564	588,679	551,201	465,705	355,457	
Commercial						
Commercial domesti65)	198,903	219,233	208,297	161,982	140,533	
Commercial real estate (6)	69,447	64,701	61,298	36,258	35,766	
Commercial lease financing	22,199	22,400	22,582	21,864	20,705	
Commercial foreign	27,079	31,020	28,376	20,681	21,330	
Total commercial loans-excluding loans measured at fair value	317,628	337,354	320,553	240,785	218,334	
Commercial loans measured at fair value (7)	4,936	5,413	4,590	n/a	n/a	
Total commercial	322,564	342,767	325,143	240,785	218,334	
Total loans and leases	\$ 900,128	\$ 931,446	\$ 876,344	\$ 706,490	\$ 573,791	

⁽¹⁾ Includes foreign residential mortgages of \$552 million at December 31, 2009 mainly from the Merrill Lynch acquisition. We did not have any material foreign residential mortgage loans prior to January 1, 2009.

n/a = not applicable

Bank of America 2009

⁽²⁾ Includes \$13.4 billion and \$18.2 billion of pay option loans and \$1.5 billion and \$1.8 billion of subprime loans at December 31, 2009 and 2008. The Corporation no longer originates these products.

⁽³⁾ Includes dealer financial services loans of \$41.6 billion, \$40.1 billion, \$37.2 billion, \$33.4 billion and \$27.7 billion; consumer lending of \$19.7 billion, \$28.2 billion, \$24.4 billion, \$16.3 billion and \$0; and foreign consumer loans of \$8.0 billion, \$1.8 billion, \$3.4 billion, \$3.9 billion and \$48 million at December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The 2009 amount includes securities-based lending margin loans of \$12.9 billion.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes consumer finance loans of \$2.3 billion, \$2.6 billion, \$3.0 billion, \$2.8 billion and \$2.8 billion and other foreign consumer loans of \$709 million, \$618 million, \$829 million, \$2.3 billion and \$3.8 billion at December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes small business commercial domestic loans, including card related products, of \$17.5 billion, \$19.1 billion, \$19.3 billion, \$15.2 billion and \$7.2 billion at December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

⁽⁶⁾ Includes domestic commercial real estate loans of \$66.5 billion, \$63.7 billion, \$60.2 billion, \$35.7 billion and \$35.2 billion, and foreign commercial real estate loans of \$3.0 billion, \$979 million, \$1.1 billion, \$578 million and \$585 million at December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

⁽⁷⁾ Certain commercial loans are accounted for under the fair value option and include commercial domestic loans of \$3.0 billion, \$3.5 billion and \$3.5 billion, commercial foreign loans of \$1.9 billion, \$1.7 billion and \$790 million, and commercial real estate loans of \$90 million, \$203 million and \$304 million at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table V Nonperforming Loans, Leases and Foreclosed Properties (1)

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Consumer					
Residential mortgage	\$ 16,596	\$ 7,057	\$ 1,999	\$ 660	\$ 570
Home equity	3,804	2,637	1,340	289	151
Discontinued real estate	249	77	n/a	n/a	n/a
Direct/Indirect consumer	86	26	8	4	3
Other consumer	104	91	95	77	61
Total consumer (2)	20,839	9,888	3,442	1,030	785
Commercial					
Commercial domestié ³⁾	4,925	2,040	852	494	550
Commercial real estate	7,286	3,906	1,099	118	49
Commercial lease financing	115	56	33	42	62
Commercial foreign	177	290	19	13	34
	12,503	6,292	2,003	667	695
Small business commercial domestic	200	205	152	90	31
Total commercial (4)	12,703	6,497	2,155	757	726
Total nonperforming loans and leases	33,542	16,385	5,597	1,787	1,511
Foreclosed properties	2,205	1,827	351	69	92
Total nonperforming loans, leases and foreclosed properties (5)	\$ 35,747	\$ 18,212	\$ 5,948	\$ 1,856	\$ 1,603
40					

⁽¹⁾ Balances do not include purchased impaired loans even though the customer may be contractually past due. Loans accounted for as purchased impaired loans were written down to fair value upon acquisition and accrete interest income over the remaining life of the loan.

n/a = not applicable

100 Bank of America 2009

⁽²⁾ In 2009, \$1.4 billion in interest income was estimated to be contractually due on consumer loans and leases classified as nonperforming at December 31, 2009 provided that these loans and leases had been paying according to their terms and conditions, including troubled debt restructured loans of which \$3.0 billion were performing at December 31, 2009 and not included in the table above. Approximately \$194 million of the estimated \$1.4 billion in contractual interest was received and included in earnings for 2009.

⁽³⁾ Excludes small business commercial domestic loans.

⁽⁴⁾ In 2009, \$450 million in interest income was estimated to be contractually due on commercial loans and leases classified as nonperforming at December 31, 2009, including troubled debt restructured loans of which \$91 million were performing at December 31, 2009 and not included in the table above. Approximately \$128 million of the estimated \$450 million in contractual interest was received and included in earnings for 2009.

⁽⁵⁾ Balances do not include loans accounted for under the fair value option. At December 31, 2009, there were \$15 million of nonperforming loans accounted for under the fair value option. At December 31, 2009, there were \$87 million of loans or leases past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest accounted for under the fair value option.

Table VI Accruing Loans and Leases Past Due 90 Days or More (1)

		December 31					
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005		
Consumer							
Residential mortgage (2)	\$ 11,680	\$ 372	\$ 237	\$ 118	\$		
Credit card domestic	2,158	2,197	1,855	1,991	1,197		
Credit card foreign	500	368	272	184			
Direct/Indirect consumer	1,488	1,370	745	378	75		
Other consumer	3	4	4	7	15		
Total consumer	15,829	4,311	3,113	2,678	1,287		
Commercial							
Commercial domestic ⁽³⁾	213	381	119	66	79		
Commercial real estate	80	52	36	78	4		
Commercial lease financing	32	23	25	26	15		
Commercial foreign	67	7	16	9	32		
	392	463	196	179	130		
Small business commercial domestic	624	640	427	199	38		
Total commercial	1,016	1,103	623	378	168		
Total accruing loans and leases past due 90 days or more (4)	\$ 16,845	\$ 5,414	\$ 3,736	\$ 3,056	\$ 1,455		

⁽¹⁾ Accruing loans past due 90 days or more do not include purchased impaired loans which were written down to fair value upon acquisition and accrete interest income over the remaining life of the loan.

Bank of America 2009 101

⁽²⁾ Balances represent repurchases of insured or guaranteed loans.

⁽³⁾ Excludes small business commercial domestic loans.

⁽⁴⁾ Balances do not include loans accounted for under the fair value option. At December 31, 2009 there were \$87 million of loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest accounted for under the fair value option.

Table VII Allowance for Credit Losses

(Dellars in seillions)	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
(Dollars in millions)			2007	2006	2005
Allowance for loan and lease losses, January 1	\$ 23,071	\$ 11,588	\$ 9,016	\$ 8,045	\$ 8,626
Loans and leases charged off	(4.426)	(064)	(79)	(74)	(50)
Residential mortgage	(4,436) (7,205)	(964) (3,597)	(78) (286)	(74) (67)	(58)
Home equity Discontinued real estate	(104)	(3,397)	n/a	n/a	(46) n/a
Credit card domestic	, ,	` '			
Credit card domestic Credit card foreign	(6,753) (1,332)	(4,469) (639)	(3,410) (453)	(3,546) (292)	(4,018)
Direct/Indirect consumer	(6,406)	(3,777)	(1,885)	(857)	(380)
Other consumer	(491)	(461)	(346)	(327)	(376)
Total consumer charge-offs	(26,727)	(13,926)	(6,458)	(5,163)	(4,878)
Commercial domestie ⁽¹⁾	(5,237)	(2,567)	(1,135)	(5,103)	
Commercial real estate		(895)	(54)	(7)	(535)
Commercial lease financing	(2,744) (217)	(79)	(55)	(28)	(5) (315)
Commercial foreign	(558)	(199)	(28)	(86)	(61)
Total commercial charge-offs	(8,756)	(3,740)	(1,272)	(718)	(916)
Total loans and leases charged off	(35,483)	(17,666)	(7,730)	(5,881)	(5,794)
Recoveries of loans and leases previously charged off	(33,403)	(17,000)	(7,730)	(3,661)	(3,794)
Residential mortgage	86	39	22	35	31
Home equity	155	101	12	16	15
Discontinued real estate	3	3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Credit card domestic	206	308	347	452	366
Credit card domestic Credit card foreign	93	88	74	67	300
Direct/Indirect consumer	943	663	512	247	132
Other consumer	63	62	68	110	101
Total consumer recoveries	1,549	1,264	1,035	927	645
Commercial domestic ²	1,349	1,204	1,033	261	365
Commercial real estate	42	8	7	4	5
Commercial lease financing	22	6 19	53	56	84
Commercial foreign	21	26	27	94	133
Total commercial recoveries	246	171	215	415	587
	1,795	1,435	1,250		1,232
Total recoveries of loans and leases previously charged off	(33,688)	(16,231)	(6,480)	1,342 (4,539)	(4,562)
Net charge-offs Provision for loan and lease losses	48,366	26,922	8,357	5,001	4,021
Write-downs on consumer purchased impaired loans (3)	(179)	20,922 n/a	0,337 n/a	5,001 n/a	4,021 n/a
Other (4)	(370)	792	695	509	(40)
Allowance for loan and lease losses, December 31	37,200	23,071	11,588	9,016	8,045
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments, January 1	37,200 421	518	397	395	8,043 402
Provision for unfunded lending commitments	204	(97)	28	9	(7)
Other (5)	862	(97)	93	(7)	(7)
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments, December 31	1,487	421	518	397	395
Allowance for credit losses, December 31	\$ 38.687	\$ 23,492	\$ 12,106	\$ 9,413	\$ 8,440
Loans and leases outstanding at December 31 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 895,192	\$ 926,033	\$ 871,754	\$ 706,490	\$ 573,791
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans	\$ 693,192	\$ 920,033	\$ 6/1,/34	\$ 700,490	\$ 373,791
and leases outstanding at December 31 (3, 6)	4.16%	2.49%	1.33%	1.28%	1.40%
Consumer allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of	4.10 /6	2.4970	1.55 /0	1.20 /0	1.40 /0
total consumer loans and leases outstanding at December 31 (3)	4.81	2.83	1.23	1.19	1.27
Commercial allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage	4.01	2.63	1.23	1.19	1.27
1 0					
of total commercial loans and leases outstanding at December 31	2.06	1.00	1.51	1.44	1.62
	2.96 \$ 941,862	1.90 \$ 905,944	1.51	1.44 \$ 652 417	1.62
Average loans and leases outstanding (3, 6)	φ 741,00 2	\$ 703, 744	\$ 773,142	\$ 652,417	\$ 537,218
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases	2 5001	1.700/	0.040	0.700	0.050
outstanding (3, 6) Allowance for lean and lesse lesses as a paraentage of total	3.58%	1.79%	0.84%	0.70%	0.85%
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases at December 31 (3, 6)	111	1.41	207	505	522
nonperforming loans and leases at December 31 (3, 9)	111	141	207	505	532

Ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses at December 31

- to net charge-offs (3) 1.10 1.42 1.79 1.99 1.76 (1) Includes small business commercial domestic charge-offs of \$3.0 billion, \$2.0 billion, \$931 million and \$424 million in 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006,
- respectively. Small business commercial domestic charge offs were not material in 2005.
- (2) Includes small business commercial domestic recoveries of \$65 million, \$39 million, \$51 million and \$54 million in 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Small business commercial domestic recoveries were not material in 2005.
- (3) Allowance for loan and leases losses includes \$3.9 billion and \$750 million of valuation allowance for consumer purchased impaired loans at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Excluding the valuation allowance for purchased impaired loans, allowance for loan and leases losses as a percentage of total nonperforming loans and leases would have been 99 percent and 136 percent at December 31, 2009 and 2008. For more information on the impact of purchased impaired loans on asset quality statistics, see Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management beginning on page 54 and Commercial Portfolio Credit Risk Management beginning on page 64.
- (4) The 2009 amount includes a \$750 million reduction in the allowance for loan and lease losses related to credit card loans of \$8.5 billion which were exchanged for a \$7.8 billion held-to-maturity debt security that was issued by the Corporation s U.S. Credit Card Securitization Trust and retained by the Corporation. This reduction was partially offset by a \$340 million increase associated with the reclassification to other assets of the December 31, 2008 amount expected to be reimbursed under residential mortgage cash collateralized synthetic securitizations. The 2008 amount includes the \$1.2 billion addition of the Countrywide allowance for loan losses as of July 1, 2008. The 2007 amount includes the \$725 million and \$25 million additions of the LaSalle and U.S. Trust Corporation allowance for loan losses as of October 1, 2007 and July 1, 2007 and a reduction of \$32 million for the adjustment from the adoption of the fair value option accounting guidance. The 2006 amount includes the \$577 billion addition of the MBNA Corporation allowance for loan losses as of January 1, 2006
- (5) The 2009 amount represents the fair value of the acquired Merrill Lynch unfunded lending commitments excluding those accounted for under the fair value option, net of accretion and the impact of funding previously unfunded positions. The 2007 amount includes the \$124 million addition of the LaSalle reserve for unfunded lending commitments as of October 1, 2007 and a \$28 million reduction for the adjustment from the adoption of the fair value option accounting
- (6) Outstanding loan and lease balances and ratios do not include loans accounted for under the fair value option at and for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007. Loans measured at fair value were \$4.9 billion, \$5.4 billion and \$4.6 billion at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Average loans accounted for under the fair value option were \$6.9 billion, \$4.9 billion and \$3.0 billion for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. n/a = not applicable

102 Bank of America 2009

Table VIII Allocation of the Allowance for Credit Losses by Product Type

					Decembe	er 31				
		2009	200	08	200)7	20	06	200	05
				Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent
		Percent		of		of		of		of
(Dollars in millions)	Amount	of Total	Amount	Total	Amount	Total	Amount	Total	Amount	Total
Allowance for loan and										
lease losses										
Residential mortgage	\$ 4,607	12.38%	\$ 1,382	5.99%	\$ 207	1.79%	\$ 248	2.75%	\$ 277	3.44%
Home equity	10,160	27.31	5,385	23.34	963	8.31	133	1.48	136	1.69
Discontinued real estate	989	2.66	658	2.85	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Credit card domestic	6,017	16.18	3,947	17.11	2,919	25.19	3,176	35.23	3,301	41.03
Credit card foreign	1,581	4.25	742	3.22	441	3.81	336	3.73	-	-
Direct/Indirect consumer	4,227	11.36	4,341	18.81	2,077	17.92	1,378	15.28	421	5.23
Other consumer	204	0.55	203	0.88	151	1.30	289	3.20	380	4.73
Total consumer	27,785	74.69	16,658	72.20	6,758	58.32	5,560	61.67	4,515	56.12
Commercial domestié ¹⁾	5,152	13.85	4,339	18.81	3,194	27.56	2,162	23.98	2,100	26.10
Commercial real estate	3,567	9.59	1,465	6.35	1,083	9.35	588	6.52	609	7.57
Commercial lease financing	291	0.78	223	0.97	218	1.88	217	2.41	232	2.89
Commercial foreign	405	1.09	386	1.67	335	2.89	489	5.42	589	7.32
Total commercial (2)	9,415	25.31	6,413	27.80	4,830	41.68	3,456	38.33	3,530	43.88
Allowance for loan and										
lease losses	37,200	100.00%	23,071	100.00%	11,588	100.00%	9,016	100.00%	8,045	100.00%
Reserve for unfunded										
lending commitments (3)	1,487		421		518		397		395	
Allowance for credit losses										
(4)	\$ 38,687		\$ 23,492		\$ 12,106		\$ 9,413		\$ 8,440	

⁽¹⁾ Includes allowance for small business commercial domestic loans of \$2.4 billion, \$2.4 billion, \$1.4 billion and \$578 million at December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The allowance for small business commercial domestic loans was not material in 2005.

Table IX Selected Loan Maturity Data (1, 2)

	December 31, 2009 Due After One Year					
	Due in One	Through	Due After			
(Dollars in millions)	Year or Less	Five Years	Five Years	Total		
Commercial domestic	\$ 69,112	\$ 90,528	\$ 42,239	\$ 201,879		
Commercial real estate domestic	30,926	26,463	9,154	66,543		
Foreign and other (3)	25,157	8,361	262	33,780		
Total selected loans	\$ 125,195	\$ 125,352	\$ 51,655	\$ 302,202		

⁽²⁾ Includes allowance for loan and lease losses for impaired commercial loans of \$1.2 billion, \$691 million, \$123 million, \$43 million and \$55 million at December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

⁽³⁾ Amounts for 2009 include the Merrill Lynch acquisition. The majority of the increase from December 31, 2008 relates to the fair value of the acquired Merrill Lynch unfunded lending commitments, excluding commitments accounted for under the fair value option.

 $^{^{(4)}}$ Includes \$3.9 billion and \$750 million related to purchased impaired loans at December 31, 2009 and 2008. n/a = not applicable

Percent of total	41.4%	41.5%	17.1%	100.0%
Sensitivity of selected loans to changes in interest rates for loans due after one				
year:				
Fixed interest rates		\$ 12,612	\$ 28,247	
Floating or adjustable interest rates		112,740	23,408	
Total		\$ 125,352	\$ 51,655	

⁽¹⁾ Loan maturities are based on the remaining maturities under contractual terms.

Bank of America 2009 103

⁽²⁾ Includes loans accounted for under the fair value option.

⁽³⁾ Loan maturities include other consumer, commercial real estate and commercial foreign loans.

Table X Non-exchange Traded Commodity Contracts

	December	: 31, 2009			
	Asset	Liability			
(Dollars in millions)	Positions	Positions			
Net fair value of contracts outstanding, January 1, 2009	\$ 9,433	\$ 6,726			
Effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements	30,021	30,021			
Gross fair value of contracts outstanding, January 1, 2009	39,454	36,747			
Contracts realized or otherwise settled	(19,654)	(18,623)			
Fair value of new contracts	9,231	9,284			
Other changes in fair value	(6,210)	(5,865)			
Gross fair value of contracts outstanding, December 31, 2009	22,821	21,543			
Effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements	(17,785)	(17,785)			
Net fair value of contracts outstanding, December 31, 2009	\$ 5,036	\$ 3,758			

Table XI Non-exchange Traded Commodity Contract Maturities

	Decembe	r 31, 2009
	Asset	Liability
(Dollars in millions)	Positions	Positions
Maturity of less than 1 year	\$ 16,161	\$ 15,431
Maturity of 1-3 years	4,603	4,295
Maturity of 4-5 years	774	542
Maturity in excess of 5 years	1,283	1,275
Gross fair value of contracts outstanding	22,821	21,543
Effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements	(17,785)	(17,785)
Net fair value of contracts outstanding	\$ 5,036	\$ 3,758

104 Bank of America 2009

Table XII Selected Quarterly Financial Data

			•000								2000					
(Dollars in millions, except	2009 Quarters								2008 Quarters							
per share information)	Fourt	h	Third		Second		First		Fourth		Third		Second		First	
Income statement	Tourt	.11	Timu		becond		Thist		1 Ourui		Timu		becond		11130	
Net interest income	\$ 11,55	9	\$ 11,423	\$	11,630	\$	12,497	\$	13,106	\$	11,642	\$	10,621	\$	9,991	
Noninterest income	13,51		14,612		21,144		23,261		2,574		7,979		9,789		7,080	
Total revenue, net of interest	- /-		, ,		,		- ,		,		. ,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		.,	
expense	25,07	6	26,035		32,774		35,758		15,680		19,621		20,410		17,071	
Provision for credit losses	10,11	.0	11,705		13,375		13,380		8,535		6,450		5,830		6,010	
Noninterest expense, before																
merger and																
restructuring charges	15,85	2	15,712		16,191		16,237		10,641		11,413		9,447		9,093	
Merger and restructuring																
charges	53	3	594		829		765		306		247		212		170	
Income (loss) before income																
taxes	(1,41		(1,976)		2,379		5,376		(3,802)		1,511		4,921		1,798	
Income tax expense (benefit)	(1,22	,	(975)		(845)		1,129		(2,013)		334		1,511		588	
Net income (loss)	(19	4)	(1,001)		3,224		4,247		(1,789)		1,177		3,410		1,210	
Net income (loss) applicable																
to																
common shareholders	(5,19	(6)	(2,241)		2,419		2,814		(2,392)		704		3,224		1,020	
Average common shares																
issued and	0.624.56	_	0.622.024		7.041.515		C 270 015		4.057.040		4.542.062		4 425 710		4 407 900	
outstanding (in thousands) Average diluted common	8,634,56	13	8,633,834		7,241,515	,	6,370,815		4,957,049	•	4,543,963	4	4,435,719	4	4,427,823	
shares issued and outstanding																
(in thousands)	8,634,56	5	8,633,834		7,269,518		6,431,027		4,957,049		4,547,578		4,444,098		4,461,201	
Performance ratios	0,034,30	3	6,055,654		7,209,310		0,431,027		4,737,047		+,547,576		+,+++,096		+,401,201	
Return on average assets	n/ı	n	n/m		0.53%		0.68%		n/m		0.25%		0.78%		0.28%	
Return on average common			11, 111		0.00 %		0.0076		11/111		0.20 /		0.7076		0.2070	
shareholders equity	n/ı	n	n/m		5.59		7.10		n/m		1.97		9.25		2.90	
Return on average tangible																
common																
shareholders equit(1)	n/ı	n	n/m		12.68		16.15		n/m		5.34		23.78		7.37	
Return on average tangible																
shareholders equity(1)	n/ı	n	n/m		8.86		12.42		n/m		6.11		18.12		7.06	
Total ending equity to total																
ending assets	10.4	1%	11.45%	,	11.32		10.32		9.74%		8.79		9.48		9.00	
Total average equity to total		_														
average assets	10.3		10.71		10.03		9.08		9.06		8.73		9.20		8.77	
Dividend payout	n/ı	n	n/m		3.56		2.28		n/m		n/m		88.67		n/m	
Per common share data	Φ (0.4	·0)	¢ (0.26)	Ф	0.22	ф	0.44	ф	(0.40)	ф	0.15	ф	0.70	ф	0.22	
Earnings (loss)	\$ (0.6	,	\$ (0.26)	\$	0.33	\$	0.44	\$	(0.48)	\$	0.15	\$	0.72	\$	0.23	
Diluted earnings (loss) Dividends paid	(0.6 0.0		(0.26) 0.01		0.33 0.01		0.44 0.01		(0.48) 0.32		0.15 0.64		0.72 0.64		0.23 0.64	
Book value	21.4		22.99		22.71		25.98		27.77		30.01		31.11		31.22	
Tangible book value (1)	11.9		12.00		11.66		10.88		10.11		10.50		11.87		11.90	
Market price per share of	11.9	-	12.00		11.00		10.00		10.11		10.50		11.0/		11.70	
common stock																
Closing	\$ 15.0	6	\$ 16.92	\$	13.20	\$	6.82	\$	14.08	\$	35.00	\$	23.87	\$	37.91	
High closing	18.5		17.98	Ψ	14.17	Ψ	14.33	Ψ	38.13	Ψ	37.48	4	40.86	+	45.03	
Low closing	14.5		11.84		7.05		3.14		11.25		18.52		23.87		35.31	
Market capitalization	\$ 130,27		\$ 146,363	\$	114,199	\$	43,654	\$	70,645	\$	159,672	\$	106,292	\$	168,806	
Average balance sheet			,		*		•		•		•		•		•	
Total loans and leases	\$ 905,91	3	\$ 930,255	\$	966,105	\$	994,121	\$	941,563	\$	946,914	\$	878,639	\$	875,661	

Total assets	2,421,531	2,390,675		2,420,317		2,519,134			1,948,854	1,905,691			1,754,613	1	1,764,927	
Total deposits	995,160	989,295		974,892		964,081		892,141			857,845		786,002	787,623		
Long-term debt	445,440		449,974		444,131		446,975		255,709		264,934		205,194	198,463		
Common shareholders equity	197,123		197,230		173,497	160,739		142,535		142,303		140,243			141,456	
Total shareholders equity	250,599		255,983		242,867		228,766		176,566	166,454			161,428		154,728	
Asset quality (2)					,		,		,		,		,		,	
Allowance for credit losses (3)	\$ 38,687	\$	37,399	\$	35,777	\$	31,150	\$	23,492	\$	20,773	\$	17,637	\$	15,398	
Nonperforming loans, leases			,		,	·	,		-, -		,,,,,		,,,,,,	Ċ	- ,	
and																
foreclosed properties (4)	35,747		33,825		30,982		25,632		18,212		13,576		9,749		7,827	
Allowance for loan and lease	ŕ															
losses as a percentage of total																
loans and leases outstanding																
(4)	4.16%		3.95%		3.61%		3.00%		2.49%		2.17%		1.98%		1.71%	
Allowance for loan and lease																
losses as a percentage of total																
nonperforming loans and																
leases (4)	111		112		116		122		141		173		187		203	
Net charge-offs	\$ 8,421	\$	9,624	\$	8,701	\$	6,942	\$	5,541	\$	4,356	\$	3,619	\$	2,715	
Annualized net charge-offs as																
a percentage of average loans																
and leases outstanding (4)	3.71%		4.13%		3.64%		2.85%		2.36%		1.84%		1.67%		1.25%	
Nonperforming loans and																
leases as a percentage of total																
loans and leases outstanding																
(4)	3.75		3.51		3.12		2.47		1.77		1.25		1.06		0.84	
Nonperforming loans, leases																
and foreclosed properties as a																
percentage of total loans,																
leases and foreclosed																
properties (4)	3.98		3.72		3.31		2.64		1.96		1.45		1.13		0.90	
Ratio of the allowance for																
loan and lease losses at period																
end to annualized net																
charge-offs	1.11		0.94		0.97		1.03		1.05		1.17		1.18		1.36	
Capital ratios (period end)																
Risk-based capital:	= 01 0		7.25%		6.0000		4.400		4.000		4.226		4.700		1 6 100	
Tier 1 common	7.81%		7.25%		6.90%		4.49%		4.80%		4.23%		4.78%		4.64%	
Tier 1	10.40		12.46		11.93		10.09		9.15		7.55		8.25		7.51	
Total	14.66		16.69		15.99		14.03		13.00		11.54		12.60		11.71	
Tier 1 leverage	6.91		8.39		8.21		7.07		6.44		5.51		6.07		5.59	
Tangible equity (1)	6.42		7.55		7.39		6.42		5.11		4.13		4.72		4.26	
Tangible common equity (1)	5.57	_	4.82		4.67		3.13		2.93		2.75		3.24		3.21	

⁽¹⁾ Tangible equity ratios and tangible book value per share of common stock are non-GAAP measures. Other companies may define or calculate these measures differently. For additional information on these ratios and a corresponding reconciliation to GAAP financial measures, see Supplemental Financial Data beginning on page 25.

Bank of America 2009 105

⁽²⁾ For more information on the impact of purchased impaired loans on asset quality statistics, see Consumer Portfolio Credit Risk Management beginning on page 54 and Commercial Portfolio Credit Risk Management beginning on page 64.

⁽³⁾ Includes the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments.

⁽⁴⁾ Balances and ratios do not include loans accounted for under the fair value option.

n/m = not meaningful

Table XIII Quarterly Average Balances and Interest Rates FTE Basis

	Fourth			arter 2009 iterest	9		9				
	Average		Income/		Yield/	Average		Interest Income/		Yield/	
(Dollars in millions)		Balance	Ex	pense	Rate		Balance	E	xpense	Rate	
Earning assets				•					•		
Time deposits placed and other short-term investments	\$	28,566	\$	220	3.06%	\$	29,485	\$	133	1.79%	
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under											
agreements to resell		244,914		327	0.53		223,039		722	1.28	
Trading account assets		218,787		1,800	3.28		212,488		1,909	3.58	
Debt securities (1)		279,231		2,921	4.18		263,712		3,048	4.62	
Loans and leases (2):											
Residential mortgage (3)		236,883		3,108	5.24		241,924		3,258	5.38	
Home equity		150,704		1,613	4.26		153,269		1,614	4.19	
Discontinued real estate		15,152		174	4.58		16,570		219	5.30	
Credit card domestic		49,213		1,336	10.77		49,751		1,349	10.76	
Credit card foreign		21,680		605	11.08		21,189		562	10.52	
Direct/Indirect consumer (4)		98,938		1,361	5.46		100,012		1,439	5.71	
Other consumer (5)		3,177		50	6.33		3,331		60	7.02	
Total consumer		575,747		8,247	5.70		586,046		8,501	5.77	
Commercial domestic		207,050		2,090	4.01		216,332		2,132	3.91	
Commercial real estate (6)		71,352		595	3.31		74,276		600	3.20	
Commercial lease financing		21,769		273	5.04		22,068		178	3.22	
Commercial foreign		29,995		287	3.78		31,533		297	3.74	
Total commercial		330,166		3,245	3.90		344,209		3,207	3.70	
Total loans and leases		905,913		11,492	5.05		930,255		11,708	5.01	
Other earning assets		130,487		1,222	3.72		131,021		1,333	4.05	
Total earning assets (7)	1	1,807,898		17,982	3.96		1,790,000		18,853	4.19	
Cash and cash equivalents		230,618		•			196,116				
Other assets, less allowance for loan and lease losses		383,015					404,559				
Total assets	\$ 2	2,421,531				\$	2,390,675				
Interest-bearing liabilities											
Domestic interest-bearing deposits:											
Savings	\$	33,749	\$	54	0.63%	\$	34,170	\$	49	0.57%	
NOW and money market deposit accounts		392,212		388	0.39		356,873		353	0.39	
Consumer CDs and IRAs		192,779		835	1.72		214,284		1,100	2.04	
Negotiable CDs, public funds and other time deposits		31,758		82	1.04		48,905		118	0.95	
Total domestic interest-bearing deposits		650,498		1,359	0.83		654,232		1,620	0.98	
Foreign interest-bearing deposits:		,		,			•				
Banks located in foreign countries		16,477		30	0.73		15,941		29	0.73	
Governments and official institutions		6,650		4	0.23		6,488		4	0.23	
Time, savings and other		54,469		79	0.57		53,013		57	0.42	
Total foreign interest-bearing deposits		77,596		113	0.58		75,442		90	0.47	
Total interest-bearing deposits		728,094		1,472	0.80		729,674		1,710	0.93	
Federal funds purchased, securities loaned or sold under		,		,			,				
agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings		450,538		658	0.58		411,063		1,237	1.19	
Trading account liabilities		83,118		591	2.82		73,290		455	2.46	
Long-term debt		445,440		3,365	3.01		449,974		3,698	3.27	
Total interest-bearing liabilities (7)	1	1,707,190		6,086	1.42		1,664,001		7,100	1.70	
Noninterest-bearing sources:		, . ,		-,			,,		,		
Noninterest-bearing deposits		267,066					259,621				
Other liabilities		196,676					211,070				
Shareholders equity		250,599					255,983				
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 1	2,421,531				\$	2,390,675				
Net interest spread	Ψ	_, ,			2.54%	Ψ.	_,_,_,			2.49%	
Impact of noninterest-bearing sources					0.08					0.12	
impact of noninterest bearing sources					0.00					0.12	

Net interest income/yield on earning assets

\$ 11,896

2%

11 753

2.61%

- (1) Yields on AFS debt securities are calculated based on fair value rather than the cost basis. The use of fair value does not have a material impact on net interest yield.
- (2) Nonperforming loans are included in the respective average loan balances. Income on these nonperforming loans is recognized on a cash basis. Purchased impaired loans were written down to fair value upon acquisition and accrete interest income over the remaining life of the loan.
- (3) Includes foreign residential mortgage loans of \$550 million, \$662 million, \$650 million and \$627 million for the fourth, third, second and first quarters of 2009, respectively.
- (4) Includes foreign consumer loans of \$8.6 billion, \$8.4 billion, \$8.0 billion and \$7.1 billion in the fourth, third, second and first quarters of 2009, respectively, and \$2.0 billion in the fourth quarter of 2008.
- (5) Includes consumer finance loans of \$2.3 billion, \$2.4 billion, \$2.5 billion and \$2.6 billion in the fourth, third, second and first quarters of 2009, respectively, and \$2.7 billion in the fourth quarter of 2008; and other foreign consumer loans of \$689 million, \$700 million, \$640 million and \$596 million in the fourth, third, second and first quarters of 2009, respectively, and \$654 million in the fourth quarter of 2008.
- (6) Includes domestic commercial real estate loans of \$68.2 billion, \$70.7 billion, \$72.8 billion and \$70.9 billion in the fourth, third, second and first quarters of 2009, respectively, and \$63.6 billion in the fourth quarter of 2008; and foreign commercial real estate loans of \$3.1 billion, \$3.6 billion, \$2.8 billion and \$1.3 billion in the fourth, third, second and first quarters of 2009, respectively, and \$964 million in the fourth quarter of 2008.
- (7) Interest income includes the impact of interest rate risk management contracts, which decreased interest income on assets \$248 million, \$136 million, \$11 million and \$61 million in the fourth, third, second and first quarters of 2009, respectively, and \$41 million in the fourth quarter of 2008. Interest expense includes the impact of interest rate risk management contracts, which increased (decreased) interest expense on liabilities \$(1.1) billion, \$(873) million and \$(512) million in the fourth, third, second and first quarters of 2009, respectively, and \$237 million in the fourth quarter of 2008. For further information on interest rate contracts, see Interest Rate Risk Management for Nontrading Activities beginning on page 83.

106 Bank of America 2009

Quarterly Average Balances and Interest Rates FTE Basis (continued)

		Second Quarter 2009 Interest			First Q	uarter 200 Interest	9	Fourth Quarter 2008 Interest				
		Average			Yield/	Average	Income/	Yield/		Average	Income/	
(Dollars in millions)		Balance			Rate		Expense	Rate			Expense	
Earning assets				F								
Time deposits placed and other short-term												
investments	\$	25,604	\$	169	2.64%	\$ 26,158	\$ 191	2.96%	\$	10,511	\$ 158	5.97%
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or	-	,	-			 ,			-	,		
purchased under agreements to resell		230,955		690	1.20	244,280	1,155	1.90		104,843	393	1.50
Trading account assets		199,820		2,028	4.07	237,350	2,499	4.24		179,687	2,170	4.82
Debt securities (1)		255,159		3,353	5.26	286,249	3,902	5.47		280,942	3,913	5.57
Loans and leases (2):												
Residential mortgage (3)		253,803		3,489	5.50	265,121	3,680	5.57		253,560	3,596	5.67
Home equity		156,599		1,722	4.41	158,575	1,787	4.55		151,943	1,954	5.12
Discontinued real estate		18,309		303	6.61	19,386	386	7.97		21,324	459	8.60
Credit card domestic		51,721		1,380	10.70	58,960	1,601	11.01		64,906	1,784	10.94
Credit card foreign		18,825		501	10.66	16,858	454	10.94		17,211	521	12.05
Direct/Indirect consumer (4)		100,302		1,532	6.12	100,741	1,684	6.78		83,331	1,714	8.18
Other consumer (5)		3,298		63	7.77	3,408	64	7.50		3,544	70	7.83
Total consumer		602,857		8,990	5.97	623,049	9,656	6.25		595,819	10,098	6.76
Commercial domestic		231,639		2,176	3.77	240,683	2,485	4.18		226,095	2,890	5.09
Commercial real estate (6)		75,559		627	3.33	72,206	550	3.09		64,586	706	4.35
Commercial lease financing		22,026		260	4.72	22,056	279	5.05		22,069	242	4.40
Commercial foreign		34,024		360	4.24	36,127	462	5.18		32,994	373	4.49
Total commercial		363,248		3,423	3.78	371,072	3,776	4.12		345,744	4,211	4.85
Total loans and leases		966,105	1	2,413	5.15	994,121	13,432	5.46		941,563	14,309	6.06
Other earning assets		134,338		1,251	3.73	124,325	1,299	4.22		99,127	959	3.85
Total earning assets (7)	1	,811,981	1	9,904	4.40	1,912,483	22,478	4.74		1,616,673	21,902	5.40
Cash and cash equivalents		204,354				153,007				77,388		
Other assets, less allowance for loan and lease												
losses		403,982				453,644				254,793		
Total assets	\$ 2	2,420,317				\$ 2,519,134			\$	1,948,854		
Interest-bearing liabilities												
Domestic interest-bearing deposits:												
Savings	\$	34,367	\$	54	0.63%	\$ 32,378	\$ 58	0.72%	\$	31,561	\$ 58	0.73%
NOW and money market deposit accounts		342,570		376	0.44	343,215	440	0.52		285,410	813	1.13
Consumer CDs and IRAs		229,392		1,409	2.46	235,787	1,710	2.93		229,410	1,835	3.18
Negotiable CDs, public funds and other time												
deposits		39,100		124	1.28	31,188	149	1.94		36,510	270	2.94
Total domestic interest-bearing deposits		645,429		1,963	1.22	642,568	2,357	1.49		582,891	2,976	2.03
Foreign interest-bearing deposits:												
Banks located in foreign countries		19,261		37	0.76	26,052	48	0.75		41,398	125	1.20
Governments and official institutions		7,379		4	0.22	9,849	6	0.25		13,738	30	0.87
Time, savings and other		54,307		78	0.58	58,380	132	0.92		48,836	165	1.34
Total foreign interest-bearing deposits		80,947		119	0.59	94,281	186	0.80		103,972	320	
Total interest-bearing deposits		726,376		2,082	1.15	736,849	2,543	1.40		686,863	3,296	1.91
Federal funds purchased, securities loaned or sold												
under agreements to repurchase and other												
short-term borrowings		503,451		1,396	1.11	591,928	2,221	1.52		459,743	1,910	1.65
Trading account liabilities		62,778		450	2.87	69,481	579	3.38		65,058	524	
Long-term debt		444,131		4,034	3.64	446,975	4,316	3.89		255,709	2,766	
Total interest-bearing liabilities ⁽⁷⁾ Noninterest-bearing sources:	1	,736,736		7,962	1.84	1,845,233	9,659	2.11		1,467,373	8,496	2.30
Noninterest-bearing deposits		248,516				227,232				205,278		
Other liabilities		192,198				217,903				99,637		
		, ,										

Shareholders equity	242,867		228,766		176,566	
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 2,420,317		\$ 2,519,134		\$ 1,948,854	
Net interest spread		2.56%		2.63%		3.10%
Impact of noninterest-bearing sources		0.08		0.07		0.21
Net interest income/yield on earning assets	\$ 11,942	2.64%	\$ 12,819	2.70%	\$ 13,406	3.31%
For Footnotes, see page 106.						

Bank of America 2009 107

Glossary

Alt-A Mortgage Alternative-A mortgage, a type of U.S. mortgage that, for various reasons, is considered riskier than A-paper, or prime, and less risky than subprime, the riskiest category. Alt-A interest rates, which are determined by credit risk, therefore tend to be between those of prime and subprime home loans. Typically, Alt-A mortgages are characterized by borrowers with less than full documentation, lower credit scores and higher LTVs.

Asset-Backed Commercial Paper Money Market Fund Liquidity Facility (AMLF) A lending program created by the Federal Reserve on September 19, 2008 that provides nonrecourse loans to U.S. financial institutions for the purchase of U.S. dollar-denominated high-quality asset-backed commercial paper from money market mutual funds under certain conditions. This program is intended to assist money market funds that hold such paper in meeting demands for redemptions by investors and to foster liquidity in the asset-backed commercial paper market and money markets more generally. Financial institutions generally bear no credit risk associated with commercial paper purchased under the AMLF.

Assets in Custody Consist largely of custodial and non-discretionary trust assets excluding brokerage assets administered for customers. Trust assets encompass a broad range of asset types including real estate, private company ownership interest, personal property and investments.

Assets Under Management (AUM) The total market value of assets under the investment advisory and discretion of *GWIM* which generate asset management fees based on a percentage of the assets market values. AUM reflect assets that are generally managed for institutional, high net-worth and retail clients and are distributed through various investment products including mutual funds, other commingled vehicles and separate accounts.

At-the-market Offering A form of equity issuance where an exchange-listed company incrementally sells newly issued shares into the market through a designated broker/dealer at prevailing market prices, rather than via a traditional underwritten offering of a fixed number of shares at a fixed price all at once.

Bridge Financing A loan or security that is expected to be replaced by permanent financing (debt or equity securities, loan syndication or asset sales) prior to the maturity date of the loan. Bridge loans may include an unfunded commitment, as well as funded amounts, and are generally expected to be retired in one year or less.

CDO-squared A type of CDO where the underlying collateral includes tranches of other CDOs.

Client Brokerage Assets Include client assets which are held in brokerage accounts. This includes non-discretionary brokerage and fee-based assets which generate brokerage income and asset management fee revenue.

Client Deposits Includes GWIM client deposit accounts representing both consumer and commercial demand, regular savings, time, money market, sweep and foreign accounts.

Committed Credit Exposure Includes any funded portion of a facility plus the unfunded portion of a facility on which the lender is legally bound to advance funds during a specified period under prescribed conditions.

Core Net Interest Income Managed Basis Net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis excluding the impact of market-based activities and certain securitizations.

Credit Default Swap (CDS) A derivative contract that provides protection against the deterioration of credit quality and allows one party to receive payment in the event of default by a third party under a borrowing arrangement.

Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure Act of 2009 (CARD Act) Legislation signed into law on May 22, 2009 to provide changes to credit card industry practices including significantly restricting credit card issuers ability to change interest rates and assess fees to reflect individual consumer risk, change the way payments are applied and requiring changes to consumer credit card disclosures. The majority of the provisions became effective in February 2010.

Derivative A contract or agreement whose value is derived from changes in an underlying index such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates or prices of securities. Derivatives utilized by the Corporation include swaps, financial futures and forward settlement contracts, and option contracts.

Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA) Legislation signed into law on October 3, 2008 authorizing the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury to, among other things, establish the Troubled Asset Relief Program.

Excess Servicing Income For certain assets that have been securitized, interest income, fee revenue and recoveries in excess of interest paid to the investors, gross credit losses and other trust expenses related to the securitized receivables are all classified as excess servicing income, which is a component of card income. Excess servicing income also includes the changes in fair value of the Corporation s card related retained interests.

Financial Stability Plan A plan announced on February 10, 2009 by the U.S. Treasury pursuant to the EESA which outlines a series of initiatives including the Capital Assistance Program (CAP); the creation of a new Public-Private Investment Program (PPIP); the expansion of the Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility (TALF); the extension of the FDIC s Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP) to October 31, 2009; the Small Business and Community Lending Initiative; a broad program to stabilize the housing market by encouraging lower mortgage rates and making it easier for homeowners to refinance and avoid foreclosure; and a new framework of governance and oversight related to the use of funds of the Financial Stability Plan.

Interest-only Strip A residual interest in a securitization trust representing the right to receive future net cash flows from securitized assets after payments to third party investors and net credit losses. These arise when assets are transferred to a SPE as part of an asset securitization transaction qualifying for sale treatment under GAAP.

Interest Rate Lock Commitment (IRLC) Commitment with a loan applicant in which the loan terms, including interest rate and price, are guaranteed for a designated period of time subject to credit approval.

Loan-to-value (LTV) A commonly used credit quality metric that is reported in terms of ending and average LTV. Ending LTV is calculated as the outstanding carrying value of the loan at the end of the period divided by the estimated value of the property securing the loan. Estimated property values are primarily determined by utilizing the Case-Schiller Home Index, a widely used index based on data from repeat sales of single family homes. Case-Schiller indices are updated quarterly and are reported on a three-month or one-quarter lag. An additional metric related to LTV is combined loan-to-value (CLTV) which is similar to the LTV metric, yet combines the outstanding balance on the residential mortgage loan and the outstanding carrying value on the home equity loan or available line of credit, both of which are secured by the same property, divided by the estimated value of the property. A LTV of 100 percent reflects a loan that is currently secured by a property valued at an amount exactly equal to the carrying value or available line of the loan. Under certain circumstances, estimated values can also be determined by utilizing an automated valuation method (AVM) or Mortgage Risk Assessment Corporation (MRAC) index. An AVM is a tool that estimates the value of a prop -

108 Bank of America 2009

erty by reference to large volumes of market data including sales of comparable properties and price trends specific to the MSA in which the property being valued is located. The MRAC index is similar to the Case-Schiller Home Index in that it is an index that is based on data from repeat sales of single family homes and is reported on a lag.

Letter of Credit A document issued on behalf of a customer to a third party promising to pay the third party upon presentation of specified documents. A letter of credit effectively substitutes the issuer s credit for that of the customer.

Making Home Affordable Program (MHA) A U.S. Treasury program to reduce the number of foreclosures and make it easier for homeowners to refinance loans. The program is comprised of the Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP) which provides guidelines on loan modifications and is designed to help at-risk homeowners avoid foreclosure by reducing monthly mortgage payments and provides incentives to lenders to modify all eligible loans that fall under the program guidelines and the Home Affordable Refinance Program (HARP) which is available to homeowners who have a proven payment history on an existing mortgage owned by FNMA or FHLMC and is designed to help eligible homeowners refinance their mortgage loans to take advantage of current lower mortgage rates or to refinance ARMs into more stable fixed-rate mortgages. In addition, the Second Lien Program is a part of the MHA. For more information on this program see the separate definition for the Second Lien Program.

Managed Basis Managed basis assumes that securitized loans were not sold and presents earnings on these loans in a manner similar to the way loans that have not been sold (i.e., held loans) are presented. Noninterest income, both on a held and managed basis, also includes the impact of adjustments to the interest-only strip that are recorded in card income.

Managed Net Losses Represent net charge-offs on held loans combined with realized credit losses associated with the securitized loan portfolio.

Mortgage Servicing Right (MSR) The right to service a mortgage loan when the underlying loan is sold or securitized. Servicing includes collections for principal, interest and escrow payments from borrowers and accounting for and remitting principal and interest payments to investors.

Net Interest Yield Net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.

Nonperforming Loans and Leases Includes loans and leases that have been placed on nonaccrual status, including nonaccruing loans whose contractual terms have been restructured in a manner that grants a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties (troubled debt restructurings or TDRs). Loans accounted for under the fair value option, purchased impaired loans and loans held-for-sale are not reported as nonperforming loans and leases. Past due consumer credit card loans, consumer loans secured by personal property, unsecured consumer loans, consumer loans secured by real estate where repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration and business card loans are not placed on nonaccrual status and are, therefore, not reported as nonperforming loans and leases.

Option-adjusted Spread (OAS) The spread that is added to the discount rate so that the sum of the discounted cash flows equals the market price, thus, it is a measure of the extra yield over the reference discount factor (i.e., the forward swap curve) that a company is expected to earn by holding the asset.

Primary Dealer Credit Facility (PDCF) A facility announced on March 16, 2008 by the Federal Reserve to provide discount window loans to primary dealers that settle on the same business day and mature on the following business day, in exchange for a specified range of eligible collateral. The rate paid on the loan is the same as the primary credit rate

at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. In addition, primary dealers are subject to a frequency-based fee after they exceed 45 days of use. The frequency-based fee is calculated on an escalating scale and communicated to the primary dealers in advance. The PDCF was available to primary dealers until February 1, 2010.

Purchased Impaired Loan A loan purchased as an individual loan, in a portfolio of loans or in a business combination with evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination for which it is probable, upon acquisition, that the investor will be unable to collect all contractually required payments. These loans are written down to fair value at the acquisition date.

Qualifying Special Purpose Entity (QSPE) A SPE whose activities are strictly limited to holding and servicing financial assets and which meets the other criteria under applicable accounting guidance. A QSPE is generally not required to be consolidated by any party.

Second Lien Program (2MP) A MHA program announced on April 28, 2009 by the U.S. Treasury that focuses on creating a comprehensive affordability solution for homeowners. By focusing on shared efforts with lenders to reduce second mortgage payments, pay-for-success incentives for servicers, investors and borrowers, and a payment schedule for extinguishing second mortgages, the 2MP is designed to help up to 1.5 million homeowners. The program is designed to ensure that first and second lien holders are treated fairly and consistently with priority of liens, and offers automatic modification of a second lien when a first lien is modified. Details of the program are still being finalized as of the time of this filing.

Securitize/Securitization A process by which financial assets are sold to a SPE, which then issues securities collateralized by those underlying assets, and the return on the securities issued is based on the principal and interest cash flow of the underlying assets.

Structured Investment Vehicle (SIV) An entity that issues short duration debt and uses the proceeds from the issuance to purchase longer-term fixed income securities

Subprime Loans Although a standard industry definition for subprime loans (including subprime mortgage loans) does not exist, the Corporation defines subprime loans as specific product offerings for higher risk borrowers, including individuals with one or a combination of high credit risk factors, such as low FICO scores (generally less than 620 for secured products and 660 for unsecured products), high debt to income ratios and inferior payment history.

Super Senior CDO Exposure Represents the most senior class of commercial paper or notes that are issued by CDO vehicles. These financial instruments benefit from the subordination of all other securities, including AAA-rated securities, issued by CDO vehicles.

Treasury Temporary Guarantee Program for Money Market Funds (TTGP) A voluntary and temporary program announced on September 19, 2008 by the U.S. Treasury which provided for a guarantee to investors that they would receive \$1.00 for each money market fund share held as of September 19, 2008 in the event that a participating fund no longer had a \$1.00 per share net asset value and liquidated. With respect to such shares covered by this program, the guarantee payment would have been equal to any shortfall between the amount received by an investor in a liquidation and \$1.00 per share. Eligible money market mutual funds paid a fee to the U.S. Treasury to participate in this program which expired on September 18, 2009.

Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP) A program announced on October 14, 2008 by the FDIC which is comprised of the Debt Guaran -

Bank of America 2009 109

tee Program (DGP) under which the FDIC guaranteed, for a fee, all newly issued senior unsecured debt (e.g., promissory notes, unsubordinated unsecured notes and commercial paper) up to prescribed limits, issued by participating entities through October 31, 2009, with an emergency guarantee facility available through April 30, 2010; and the Transaction Account Guarantee Program (TAGP) under which the FDIC will guarantee, for a fee, noninterest-bearing deposit accounts held at participating FDIC-insured depository institutions until June 30, 2010.

Term Auction Facility (TAF) A temporary credit facility announced on December 12, 2007 and implemented by the Federal Reserve that allows a depository institution to place a bid for an advance from its local Federal Reserve Bank at an interest rate that is determined as the result of an auction and is aimed to help ensure that liquidity provisions can be disseminated efficiently even when the unsecured interbank markets are under stress. The TAF typically auctions term funds with 28-day or 84-day maturities and is available to all depository institutions that are judged to be in generally sound financial condition by their local Federal Reserve Bank. Additionally, all TAF credit must be fully collateralized.

Term Securities Lending Facility (TSLF) A weekly loan facility established and announced by the Federal Reserve on March 11, 2008 to promote liquidity in U.S. Treasury and other collateral markets and foster the functioning of financial markets by offering U.S. Treasury securities held by the System Open Market Account (SOMA) for loan over a one-month term against other program-eligible general collateral. Loans are awarded to primary dealers based on competitive bidding, subject to a minimum fee requirement. The Open Market Trading Desk of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York auctions general U.S. Treasury collateral (treasury bills, notes, bonds and inflation-indexed securities) held by SOMA for loan against all collateral currently eligible for tri-party repurchase agreements arranged by the Open Market Trading Desk and separately against collateral and investment-grade corporate securities, municipal securities, MBS and ABS.

Tier 1 Common Capital Tier 1 capital including CES, less preferred stock, qualifying trust preferred securities, hybrid securities and qualifying noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries.

Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) A program established under the EESA by the U.S. Treasury to, among other things, invest in financial institutions through capital infusions and purchase mortgages, MBS and certain other financial instruments from financial institutions, in an aggregate amount up to \$700 billion, for the purpose of stabilizing and providing liquidity to the U.S. financial markets.

Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR) Loans whose contractual terms have been restructured in a manner that grants a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties. Concessions could include a reduction in the interest rate on the loan, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions intended to maximize collection. TDRs are reported as nonperforming loans and leases while on nonaccrual status. TDRs that are on accrual status are reported as performing TDRs through the end of the calendar year in which the restructuring occurred or the year in which they are returned to accrual status. In addition, if accruing TDRs bear less than a market rate of interest at the time of modification, they are reported as performing TDRs throughout their remaining lives.

Unrecognized Tax Benefit (UTB) The difference between the benefit recognized for a tax position, which is measured as the largest dollar amount of the position that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon settlement, and the tax benefit claimed on a tax return.

Value-at-risk (VAR) A VAR model estimates a range of hypothetical scenarios to calculate a potential loss which is not expected to be exceeded with a specified confidence level. VAR is a key statistic used to measure and manage market risk.

Variable Interest Entity (VIE) A term for an entity whose equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest. The entity may not have sufficient equity at risk to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from third parties. The equity investors may lack the ability to make significant decisions about the entity s activities, or they may not absorb the losses or receive the residual returns generated by the assets and other contractual arrangements of the VIE. The entity that will absorb a majority of expected variability (the sum of the absolute values of the expected losses and expected residual returns) consolidates the VIE and is referred to as the primary beneficiary.

110 Bank of America 2009

Acronyms

ABCP Asset-backed commercial paper

ABS Asset-backed securities

AFS Available-for-sale

ALMRC Asset and Liability Market Risk Committee

ALM Asset and liability management

ARM Adjustable-rate mortgage
ARS Auction rate securities

ASF American Securitization Forum

BPS Basis points

CDO Collateralized debt obligationCES Common Equivalent Securities

CMBS Commercial mortgage-backed securities

CMO Collateralized mortgage obligation
CRA Community Reinvestment Act

CRC Credit Risk Committee

FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board

FDIC Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

FFIEC Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

FHA Federal Housing Administration

FHLB Federal Home Loan Bank

FHLMC Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation
FICC Fixed income, currencies and commodities
FNMA Federal National Mortgage Association

FTE Fully taxable-equivalent

GAAP Generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America

GNMA Government National Mortgage Association

GRC Global Markets Risk Committee
GSE Government-sponsored enterprise

IPO Initial public offeringLHFS Loans held-for-sale

LIBOR London InterBank Offered Rate

MBS Mortgage-backed securities

MD&A Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

MSA Metropolitan statistical area
OCI Other comprehensive income

RMBS Residential mortgage-backed securities

ROC Risk Oversight Committee

ROTE Return on average tangible shareholders equity

SBA Small Business Administration

SBLCs Standby letters of credit

SEC Securities and Exchange Commission

SPE Special purpose entity

Bank of America 2009 111

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See Market Risk Management in the MD&A beginning on page 79 which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Management on Internal Control

Over Financial Reporting

The management of Bank of America Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting.

The Corporation s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Corporation s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Corporation; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the Corporation are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Corporation; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Corporation s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the framework set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the

Treadway Commission in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework. Based on that assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2009, the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting is effective based on the criteria established in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework.

The Corporation s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their accompanying report which expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009.

Brian T. Moynihan

Chief Executive Officer and President

Neil A. Cotty

Interim Chief Financial Officer

Chief Accounting Officer

12 Bank of America 2009

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Bank of America Corporation:

In our opinion, the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet and the related Consolidated Statement of Income, Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders Equity and Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Bank of America Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Corporation's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall fi

ing included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Charlotte, North Carolina

February 26, 2010

Bank of America 2009 113

Bank of America Corporation and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Income

(Dollars in millions, except per share information) Interest income		2009	Year Ended December 31 2008		2007
Interest and fees on loans and leases	\$	48,703	\$ 56,017	\$	55,681
Interest on debt securities	Ψ	12,947	13,146	Ψ	9,784
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell		2,894	3,313		7,722
Trading account assets		7,944	9,057		9,417
Other interest income		5,428	4,151		4,700
Total interest income		77,916	85,684		87,304
Interest expense		77,910	63,064		07,304
Deposits		7,807	15,250		18,093
Short-term borrowings		5,512	12,362		21.967
Trading account liabilities		2,075	2,774		3,444
Long-term debt		15,413	9,938		9,359
e		30,807	40,324		52,863
Total interest expense		,	,		,
Net interest income Noninterest income		47,109	45,360		34,441
		0 252	12 214		14,077
Card income		8,353 11,038	13,314		,
Service charges		11,038	10,316		8,908
Investment and brokerage services		,	4,972		5,147
Investment banking income		5,551	2,263		2,345
Equity investment income		10,014	539		4,064
Trading account profits (losses)		12,235	(5,911)		(4,889)
Mortgage banking income		8,791	4,087		902
Insurance income		2,760	1,833		761
Gains on sales of debt securities		4,723	1,124		180
Other income (loss)		(14)	(1,654)		1,295
Other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities:					
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses		(3,508)	(3,461)		(398)
Less: Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive					
income		672			
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings on available-for-sale debt securities		(2,836)	\		(398)
Total noninterest income		72,534	27,422		32,392
Total revenue, net of interest expense		119,643	72,782		66,833
Provision for credit losses		48,570	26,825		8,385
Noninterest expense					
Personnel		31,528	18,371		18,753
Occupancy		4,906	3,626		3,038
Equipment		2,455	1,655		1,391
Marketing		1,933	2,368		2,356
Professional fees		2,281	1,592		1,174
Amortization of intangibles		1,978	1,834		1,676
Data processing		2,500	2,546		1,962
Telecommunications		1,420	1,106		1,013
Other general operating		14,991	7,496		5,751
Merger and restructuring charges		2,721	935		410
Total noninterest expense		66,713	41,529		37,524
Income before income taxes		4,360	4,428		20,924
Income tax expense (benefit)		(1,916)	,		5,942

Net income	\$	6,276	\$	4,008	\$	14,982
Preferred stock dividends and accretion		8,480		1,452		182
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$	(2,204)	\$	2,556	\$	14,800
Per common share information						
Earnings (loss)	\$	(0.29)	\$	0.54	\$	3.32
Diluted earnings (loss)		(0.29)		0.54		3.29
Dividends paid		0.04		2.24		2.40
Average common shares issued and outstanding (in thousands)	7	,728,570	4,	592,085	4	,423,579
Average diluted common shares issued and outstanding (in thousands)	7	,728,570	4,	596,428	4	,463,213

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

114 Bank of America 2009

Shareholders equity

Bank of America Corporation and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheet

(Dollars in millions)		Decen 2009	nber 31 2008
Assets Cash and cash equivalents Time deposits placed and other short-term investments	\$	121,339 24,202	\$ 32,857 9,570
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell (includes \$57,775 and \$2,330			
measured at fair value and \$189,844 and \$82,099 pledged as collateral)		189,933	82,478
Trading account assets (includes \$30,921 and \$69,348 pledged as collateral) Derivative assets Debt securities:		182,206 80,689	134,315 62,252
Available-for-sale (includes \$122,708 and \$158,939 pledged as collateral)		301,601	276,904
Held-to-maturity, at cost (fair value \$9,684 and \$685) Total debt securities		9,840 311,441	685 277,589
Loans and leases (includes \$4,936 and \$5,413 measured at fair value and \$118,113 and \$166,891 pledged as collateral) Allowance for loan and lease losses Loans and leases, net of allowance Premises and equipment, net		900,128 (37,200) 862,928 15,500	931,446 (23,071) 908,375 13,161
Mortgage servicing rights (includes \$19,465 and \$12,733 measured at fair value) Goodwill Intangible assets		19,774 86,314 12,026	13,056 81,934 8,535
Loans held-for-sale (includes \$32,795 and \$18,964 measured at fair value) Customer and other receivables		43,874 81,996	31,454 37,608
Other assets (includes \$55,909 and \$55,113 measured at fair value) Total assets Liabilities Deposits in domestic offices:	\$ 2	191,077 2,223,299	124,759 \$ 1,817,943
Noninterest-bearing	\$	269,615	\$ 213,994
Interest-bearing (includes \$1,663 and \$1,717 measured at fair value) Deposits in foreign offices:		640,789	576,938
Noninterest-bearing Interest-bearing Total deposits Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (includes		5,489 75,718 991,611	4,004 88,061 882,997
\$37,325 measured at fair value at December 31, 2009) Trading account liabilities Derivative liabilities		255,185 65,432 43,728	206,598 51,723 30,709
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (includes \$813 measured at fair value at December 31, 2009)		69,524	158,056
Accrued expenses and other liabilities (includes \$19,015 and \$7,542 measured at fair value and \$1,487 and \$421 of reserve for unfunded lending commitments)		127,854	42,516
Long-term debt (includes \$45,451 measured at fair value at December 31, 2009) Total liabilities Commitments and contingencies (Note 9 Variable Interest Entities and Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies)		438,521 1,991,855	268,292 1,640,891

Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 5,246,660 and 8,202,042 shares	37,208	37,701
Common stock and additional paid-in capital, \$0.01 par value; authorized 10,000,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding		
8,650,243,926 and 5,017,435,592 shares	128,734	76,766
Retained earnings	71,233	73,823
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(5,619)	(10,825)
Other	(112)	(413)
Total shareholders equity	231,444	177,052
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 2,223,299	\$ 1,817,943

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Bank of America 2009 115

Bank of America Corporation and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders Equity

(Dollars in millions, shares in thousands)			Common S Additiona Cap	l Paid-in	c	mulated Other ehensive Income		TatalC	omprehensive
	Prefe	rred			Retained			Shareholders	Income
		tock	Shares	Amount	Earnings	(Loss)	Other	Equity	(Loss)
Balance, December 31, 2006		,851	4,458,151	\$ 61,574	\$ 79,024	\$ (7,711)	\$ (466)	\$ 135,272	(,
Cumulative adjustment for accounting									
changes:									
Leveraged leases					(1,381)			(1,381)	
Fair value option and measurement					(208)			(208)	
Income tax uncertainties					(146)			(146)	
Net income					14,982			14,982	\$ 14,982
Net change in available-for-sale debt and									
marketable									
equity securities						9,269		9,269	9,269
Net change in foreign currency translation									
adjustments						149		149	149
Net change in derivatives						(705)		(705)	(705)
Employee benefit plan adjustments						127		127	127
Dividends paid:					(10.606)			(10.606)	
Common					(10,696)			(10,696)	
Preferred	1	550			(182)			(182)	
Issuance of preferred stock	1	,558						1,558	
Common stock issued under employee plans and									
related tax effects			53,464	2,544			10	2,554	
Common stock repurchased			(73,730)	(3,790)			10	(3,790)	
Balance, December 31, 2007	4	,409	4,437,885	60,328	81,393	1,129	(456)	146,803	23,822
Net income	-	,403	4,437,863	00,328	4,008	1,129	(430)	4,008	4,008
Net change in available-for-sale debt and					4,008			4,008	4,008
marketable									
equity securities						(8,557)		(8,557)	(8,557)
Net change in foreign currency translation						(0,557)		(0,557)	(0,557)
adjustments						(1,000)		(1,000)	(1,000)
Net change in derivatives						944		944	944
Employee benefit plan adjustments						(3,341)		(3,341)	(3,341)
Dividends paid:									
Common					(10,256)			(10,256)	
Preferred					(1,272)			(1,272)	
Issuance of preferred stock and stock warrants	33	,242		1,500				34,742	
Stock issued in acquisition			106,776	4,201				4,201	
Issuance of common stock			455,000	9,883				9,883	
Common stock issued under employee plans									
and									
related tax effects			17,775	854			43	897	
Other		50	5.015.135	50.500	(50)	(10.025)	(440)	155.055	
Balance, December 31, 2008	37	,701	5,017,436	76,766	73,823	(10,825)	(413)	177,052	(7,946)

Cumulative adjustment for accounting									
change:									
Other-than-temporary impairment on debt					(- 4)				
securities				71	(71)				
Net income				6,276			6,276		6,276
Net change in available-for-sale debt and									
marketable									
equity securities					3,593		3,593		3,593
Net change in foreign currency translation									
adjustments					211		211		211
Net change in derivatives					923		923		923
Employee benefit plan adjustments					550		550		550
Dividends paid:									
Common				(326)			(326))	
Preferred				(4,537)			(4,537))	
Issuance of preferred stock and stock warrants	26,800		3,200				30,000		
Repayment of preferred stock	(41,014)			(3,986)			(45,000))	
Issuance of Common Equivalent Securities	19,244						19,244		
Stock issued in acquisition	8,605	1,375,476	20,504				29,109		
Issuance of common stock	ŕ	1,250,000	13,468				13,468		
Exchange of preferred stock	(14,797)	999,935	14,221	576			•		
Common stock issued under employee plans	. , ,	,	,						
and									
related tax effects		7,397	575			308	883		
Other	669	.,		(664)		(7)	(2))	
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 37,208	8,650,244	\$ 128,734	\$ 71,233	\$ (5,619)	\$ (112)	\$ 231,444	\$	11,553
	Caa aaaamma	nyina Notas t	o Concolidato	d Einanaial St	otomonto				

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

116 Bank of America 2009

Bank of America Corporation and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

Column is millions Coperating activities Foresting activities Foresting activities Foresting activities Foresting activities Foresting for credit losses Foresting for foresting foresting for foresting foresting for foresting foresting for foresting fores		Yea	ar Ended December	r 31
Net income \$ 6,276 \$ 4,008 \$ 1,4982 Reconcilitation on let income to net cash provided by operating activities: 48,570 20,825 8,385 Gains on sales of debt securities (47,33) (1,104) (1,608) Gains on sales of debt securities 1,2336 1,485 1,168 Amortization of intangibles 1,978 1,834 1,676 Deferred income tax expense (benefit) 370 (5,801) (75,33) Net decrease (increase) in intading and derivative instruments 28,253 (16,971) (8,108) Net decrease (increase) in internal seases 1,168 4,109 4,108 Net decrease (increase) in internal seases 1,100 4,885 4,109 Net decrease in increase) in other assets 1,100 8,885 4,100 Net decrease in time deposits placed and other short-term investments 19,001 2,203 2,191 Net decrease in time deposits placed and other short-term investments 19,001 2,203 2,107 Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale debt securities 19,001 18,115 18,102 Net decrease in tin	(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2007
Reconcilation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Provision for credit losses Gains on sales of debt securities (4,723) (1,124) (180) Depreciation and premises improvements amortization (1,80) (1,80) (1,80) Depreciation of intagibles (1,80) (1,80) (1,80) (1,80) (1,80) (1,80) Deferred income tax expense (benefit) (1,80)	Operating activities			
Provision for credit losses	Net income	\$ 6,276	\$ 4,008	\$ 14,982
Gains on sales of debt securities	Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and premises improvements amortization 2.336	Provision for credit losses	48,570	26,825	8,385
Process of transports 1,834 1,676 1,834 1,676 1,834 1,676 1,834 1,676 1,834 1,676 1,834 1,676 1,834 1,676 1,834 1,676 1,834 1,676 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,660 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,834 1,676 1,835 1,83	Gains on sales of debt securities	(4,723)	(1,124)	(180)
Deferred income tax expense (henefit)	Depreciation and premises improvements amortization	2,336	1,485	1,168
Net decrease (increase) in trading and derivative instruments \$9,822 (16,973) (15,855) Net (decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities \$16,601 (16,601) (8,885) (4,190) Other operating activities, net \$129,731 (4,034) (11,035) Net cach provided by operating activities \$129,731 (4,034) (11,035) Investing activities \$129,731 (4,034) (11,035) Investing activities \$129,731 (4,034) (11,035) Investing activities \$19,081 (2,203) (2,191) Net decrease in time deposits placed and other short-term investments \$19,081 (2,203) (2,191) Net decrease in time deposits placed and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resull \$14,155 (120,972) (28,107) Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities \$9,949 (2,068) (19,233) Purchases of available-for-sale debt securities \$18,145 (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities \$18,145 (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities \$18,145 (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities \$18,145 (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from paydowns and leases \$18,145 (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from sales of loans and leases \$18,145 (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from sales of loans and leases \$18,145 (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from sales of loans and leases, net \$12,277 (69,574) (17,665) Net purchases of premises and equipment \$21,257 (69,574) (17,665) Net purchases of premises and equipment \$1,997 (11,87) (11,87) (11,87) (11,87) (11,87) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties \$1,997 (11,87) (11,87	Amortization of intangibles	1,978	1,834	1,676
Net decrease increase in other assets 10 other operating activities, net 10 other operating activities, net 10 other operating activities, net 11 other operating activities 11 other operating activities 11 other operating activities 11 other operating activities 12 other operations 13 other operations 13 other operations 13 other operations 14 other operations 15 ot	Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	370	(5,801)	(753)
Net (decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities 3,150 9,056 5,531 1,036 11,036	Net decrease (increase) in trading and derivative instruments	59,822	(16,973)	(8,108)
Other operating activities, net 3.150 9.056 5.531 Net cash provided by operating activities 129,731 4.034 11,036 Investing activities 129,731 4.034 11,036 Net decrease in time deposits placed and other short-term investments 19,081 2.203 2.191 Net decrease in federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell 13,369 53,723 6.294 Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale debt securities 164,155 120,972 28,107 Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities (185,145) (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities 2,711 741 630 Proceeds from sales of loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases, net 12,257 (69,574) (177,665) Net purchases of premises and equipment 2,240 (2,098) 2,143 Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 31,804 6,650 (1	Net decrease (increase) in other assets	28,553	(6,391)	(15,855)
Net cash provided by operating activities 11,036 Investing activities 11,036 Investing activities 11,036 Investing activities 11,036 12,031 13,036 13,369 13,36	Net (decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities	(16,601)	(8,885)	4,190
Net decrease in time deposits placed and other short-term investments 19,081 2,03 2,191 Net decrease in infederal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell 31,369 53,723 6,294 Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale debt securities 164,155 120,972 28,107 Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities 59,949 26,068 19,233 Purchases of available-for-sale debt securities 18,145 184,232 (28,016) Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities (3,914) (840) (314) Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities (3,914) (840) (314) Proceeds from sales of loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases 21,257 (69,574) (17,665) Net purchases of premises and equipment (2,240) (2,098) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received paidy upon acquisition, net 31,804 (6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) (10,8480) Prinancing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) (10,8480) Prinancing activities 19,000 (10,100) (10,100) (10,100) (10,100) Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (6,2993) (34,529) (14,488) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) (32,840) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock (45,000) (11,207) (35,002) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock (45,000) (11,208) (11,528) (13,878) Retirement of long-term debt (10,200) (11,528) (13,688	Other operating activities, net	3,150	9,056	5,531
Net decrease in time deposits placed and other short-term investments 19,081 2,203 2,191 Net decrease in federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell 31,369 53,723 6,294 Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities 164,155 120,972 28,107 Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities 189,949 26,068 19,233 Purchases of available-for-sale debt securities 188,145 (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities 2,771 741 630 Purchases of held-to-maturity debt securities 7,592 52,455 57,875 Proceeds from sales of loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases 19,97 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 2,240 (2,098) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 15,7925 (2,930) (108,480) Prinancing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) (34,508) Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in incommercial paper and other short-term borrowings 10,640 (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Retirement of long-term debt (30,000) (30,000) (30,000) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Retirement of long-term debt (30,000) (30,000) (30,000) (30,000) (30,000) (30,000) (30,000) (30,000) (30,000) (30,000) (30,000)	Net cash provided by operating activities	129,731	4,034	11,036
Net decrease in federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell 31,369 53,723 6,294 Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale debt securities 59,949 26,068 19,233 Purchases of available-for-sale debt securities 59,949 26,068 19,233 Purchases of available-for-sale debt securities 18,145 184,232 (28,016) Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities 2,771 741 630 Purchases of held-to-maturity debt securities 3,914 (840) (314) Proceeds from sales of loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases, net 21,257 (69,574) (177,665) Net purchases of permises and equipment (2,240) (2,098) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 31,804 6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 157,925 (2,930) (108,480) Financing activities 10,507 14,830 45,586 Net decrease in deposits (3,4529) (1,448) Net decrease in incommercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (101,247) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock (45,000) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock (45,000) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock (45,000) Proceeds from issuance of common stock (45,000) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock (45,000) Proceeds from issuance of preferre	Investing activities			
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale debt securities 164,155 120,972 28,107 Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities 185,149 26,068 19,233 Purchases of available-for-sale debt securities 185,145 (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities 2,771 741 630 Purchases of held-to-maturity debt securities 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases 21,257 (69,574) (177,665) Net purchases of premises and equipment (2,240) (2,098) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 31,804 6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 157,925 (2,930) (108,480) Financing activities 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) (32,840) Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of common stock (13,468) (11,528) (10,878) Proceeds from issuance of common stock (13,468) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments (199,568) (10,695) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments (199,568) (10,695) (10,697) (10,674) Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents (199,568) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695) (10,695)	Net decrease in time deposits placed and other short-term investments	19,081	2,203	2,191
Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities 59,49 26,068 19,233 Purchases of available-for-sale debt securities (185,145) (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities 2,771 741 630 Purchases of held-to-maturity debt securities 3,914 (840) (314) Proceeds from sales of loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases, net 21,257 (69,574) (177,665) Net purchases of premises and equipment (2,240) (2,098) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 31,804 6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase 62,930 (34,529) (1,448)	Net decrease in federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	31,369	53,723	6,294
Purchases of available-for-sale debt securities (185,145) (184,232) (28,016) Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities 2,771 741 630 (314) Proceeds from sales of loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases, net 21,257 (69,574) (17,665) Net purchases of premises and equipment (2,240) (2,080) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 31,804 6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 157,925 2,930 (108,480) Financing activities 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (10,207) 33,333 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (7,744 43,782 67,370 Retirement of long-term debt <t< td=""><td>Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale debt securities</td><td>164,155</td><td>120,972</td><td>28,107</td></t<>	Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale debt securities	164,155	120,972	28,107
Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities 2,771 741 630 Purchases of held-to-maturity debt securities (3,914) (840) (314) Purchases of held-to-maturity debt securities (3,914) (840) (314) Proceeds from sales of form sales of form sales of forens dead on some deases, net 21,257 (69,574) (177,665) Net purchases of premises and equipment (2,240) (2,098) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 31,804 6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 157,925 (2,930) (108,480) Financing activities 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt 67,744 43,782 67,370 Re	Proceeds from paydowns and maturities of available-for-sale debt securities	59,949	26,068	19,233
Purchases of held-to-maturity debt securities (3,914) (840) (314) Proceeds from sales of loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases, net (21,257 69,574) (177,665) Net purchases of premises and equivalents (2,240) (2,098) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties (1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net (3,944 6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities, net (9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities (15,9725 (2,930) (108,480) Financing activities (15,9725 (2,930) (108,480) Financing activities (15,9725 (2,930) (108,480) Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) (32,840) Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock (45,000) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock (45,000) Proceeds from issuance of common stock (13,480) (11,528) (11,528) Cash dividends paid (4863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (42) (56) (38) Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents (19,568) (10,695) (103,412) Effect of exchange are changes on cash and cash equivalents (19,568) (19,568) (10,695) (103,412) Effect of exchanges are changes on cash and cash equivalents (19,568) (10,695) (10,5412) Effect of exchanges are changes on cash and cash equivalents (19,568) (10,695) (10,5412) Effect of exchanges are changes on cash and cash equivalents (19,568) (10,567) (10,568) Effect of exchanges are changes on cash and cash equivalents (19,568) (10,567) (10,567) (10,567) (10,567	Purchases of available-for-sale debt securities	(185,145)	(184,232)	(28,016)
Proceeds from sales of loans and leases 7,592 52,455 57,875 Other changes in loans and leases, net 21,257 (69,574) (177,665) Net purchases of premises and equipment (2,240) (2,098) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 31,804 6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 157,925 (2,930) (10,8480) Financing activities 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock (49,244) 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) (35,072) (28,942) <tr< td=""><td>Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities</td><td>2,771</td><td>741</td><td>630</td></tr<>	Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity debt securities	2,771	741	630
Other changes in loans and leases, net 21,257 (69,574) (177,665) Net purchases of premises and equipment (2,240) (2,098) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 31,804 6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 157,925 (2,930) (108,800) Financing activities 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net idecrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings 126,426 (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Retirement of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock (45,000) (45,000) Proceeds from issuanc	Purchases of held-to-maturity debt securities	(3,914)	(840)	(314)
Net purchases of premises and equipment (2,240) (2,098) (2,143) Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104 Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 31,804 6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 157,925 (2,930) (108,480) Financing activities 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt 67,744 43,782 67,370 Retirement of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefi	Proceeds from sales of loans and leases	7,592	52,455	57,875
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties 1,997 1,187 104	Other changes in loans and leases, net	21,257	(69,574)	(177,665)
Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net 31,804 6,650 (19,816) Other investing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 15,040 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 10,507 (2,930) (10,8480) Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) 10,127 1,118 Common stock repurchased (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments (42) (56) (38) <tr< td=""><td>Net purchases of premises and equipment</td><td>(2,240)</td><td>(2,098)</td><td>(2,143)</td></tr<>	Net purchases of premises and equipment	(2,240)	(2,098)	(2,143)
Other investing activities, net 9,249 (10,185) 5,040 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 157,925 (2,930) (108,480) Financing activities Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt 67,744 43,782 67,370 Retirement of long-term debt 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) 7 1,118 Common stock repurchased (45,000) 7 1,118 Excess tax benefits of share-based payments (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412	Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties	1,997	1,187	104
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 157,925 (2,930) (108,480) Financing activities Time in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt 67,744 43,782 67,370 Retirement of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) (37,90) Proceeds from issuance of common stock repurchased (45,000) (11,227) 1,118 Common stock repurchased (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments (42) (56) (38) Other financing activities, net (42) (56) (38) <	Cash received (paid) upon acquisition, net	31,804	6,650	(19,816)
Financing activities Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (67,744 43,782 67,370 Retirement of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) (45,000) (45,000) Proceeds from issuance of common stock 13,468 10,127 1,118 Common stock repurchased (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Cash dividends paid (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Other financing activities, net (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412 Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674)	Other investing activities, net	9,249	(10,185)	5,040
Net increase in deposits 10,507 14,830 45,368 Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt (7,770) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) 45,000 45,000 Proceeds from issuance of common stock (11,528) (11,528) (10,878) Cash dividends paid (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments 42 254 Other financing activities, net (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412 Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	157,925	(2,930)	(108,480)
Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (62,993) (34,529) (1,448) Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt 67,744 43,782 67,370 Retirement of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) 13,468 10,127 1,118 Proceeds from issuance of common stock repurchased (3,790) (3,790) Cash dividends paid (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments 42 254 Other financing activities, net (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412 Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 394 (83) 134 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Financing activities			
Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (126,426) (33,033) 32,840 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt 67,744 43,782 67,370 Retirement of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) 13,468 10,127 1,118 Common stock repurchased 13,468 10,127 1,118 Cash dividends paid (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments 42 254 Other financing activities, net (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412 Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 394 (83) 134 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Net increase in deposits	10,507	14,830	45,368
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt 67,744 43,782 67,370 Retirement of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000)	Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	(62,993)	(34,529)	(1,448)
Retirement of long-term debt (101,207) (35,072) (28,942) Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000)	Net (decrease) increase in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings	(126,426)	(33,033)	32,840
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock 49,244 34,742 1,558 Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) Proceeds from issuance of common stock 13,468 10,127 1,118 Common stock repurchased (3,790) (3,790) Cash dividends paid (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments 42 254 Other financing activities, net (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412 Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 394 (83) 134 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	67,744	43,782	67,370
Repayment of preferred stock (45,000) Proceeds from issuance of common stock 13,468 10,127 1,118 Common stock repurchased (3,790) Cash dividends paid (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments 42 254 Other financing activities, net (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412 Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 394 (83) 134 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Retirement of long-term debt	(101,207)	(35,072)	(28,942)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock 13,468 10,127 1,118 Common stock repurchased (3,790) Cash dividends paid (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments 42 254 Other financing activities, net (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412 Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 394 (83) 134 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	49,244	34,742	1,558
Common stock repurchased (3,790) Cash dividends paid (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments 42 254 Other financing activities, net (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412 Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 394 (83) 134 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Repayment of preferred stock	(45,000)		
Cash dividends paid (4,863) (11,528) (10,878) Excess tax benefits of share-based payments 42 254 Other financing activities, net (42) (56) (38) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412 Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 394 (83) 134 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Proceeds from issuance of common stock	13,468	10,127	1,118
Excess tax benefits of share-based payments Other financing activities, net Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Retirement of the payments of the payments of the provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) (10,	Common stock repurchased			(3,790)
Other financing activities, net Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Retirement (9,674) (9,674) (38) (10,695)	Cash dividends paid	(4,863)	(11,528)	(10,878)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (199,568) (10,695) 103,412 Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 394 (83) 134 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Excess tax benefits of share-based payments		42	254
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Other financing activities, net	(42)	(56)	(38)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 88,482 (9,674) 6,102	Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(10,695)	103,412
(9,674) 6,102	Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	394	(83)	134
	Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	88,482		
Cash and cash equivalents at January 1 32,857 42,531 36,429			(9,674)	6,102
	Cash and cash equivalents at January 1	32,857	42,531	36,429

Cash and cash equivalents at December 31	\$ 121,339	\$ 32,857	\$ 42,531
Supplemental cash flow disclosures			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 37,602	\$ 36,387	\$ 51,829
Cash paid for income taxes	2,933	4,700	9,196

During 2009, the Corporation exchanged \$14.8 billion of preferred stock by issuing 1.0 billion shares of common stock valued at \$11.5 billion. During 2009, the Corporation transferred credit card loans of \$8.5 billion and the related allowance for loan and lease losses of \$750 million in exchange for a \$7.8 billion held-to-maturity debt security that was issued by the Corporation s U.S. Credit Card Securitization Trust.

The fair values of noncash assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Merrill Lynch acquisition were \$618.4 billion and \$626.2 billion at January 1, 2009.

Approximately 1.4 billion shares of common stock, valued at approximately \$20.5 billion and 376 thousand shares of preferred stock valued at \$8.6 billion were issued in connection with the Merrill Lynch acquisition.

The Corporation securitized \$14.0 billion and \$26.1 billion of residential mortgage loans into mortgage-backed securities and \$0 and \$4.9 billion of automobile loans into asset-backed securities which were retained by the Corporation during 2009 and 2008.

The fair values of noncash assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Countrywide acquisition were \$157.4 billion and \$157.8 billion at July 1, 2008.

Approximately 107 million shares of common stock, valued at approximately \$4.2 billion were issued in connection with the Countrywide acquisition.

The fair values of noncash assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the LaSalle Bank Corporation acquisition were \$115.8 billion and \$97.1 billion at October 1, 2007.

The fair values of noncash assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the U.S. Trust Corporation acquisition were \$12.9 billion and \$9.8 billion at July 1, 2007.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Bank of America 2009 117

Bank of America Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Principles

Bank of America Corporation (the Corporation), through its banking and nonbanking subsidiaries, provides a diverse range of financial services and products throughout the U.S. and in certain international markets. At December 31, 2009, the Corporation operated its banking activities primarily under two charters: Bank of America, National Association (Bank of America, N.A.) and FIA Card Services, N.A. In connection with certain acquisitions including Merrill Lynch & Co. Inc. (Merrill Lynch) and Countrywide Financial Corporation (Countrywide), the Corporation acquired banking subsidiaries that have been merged into Bank of America, N.A. with no impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation.

On January 1, 2009, the Corporation acquired Merrill Lynch through its merger with a subsidiary of the Corporation in exchange for common and preferred stock with a value of \$29.1 billion. On July 1, 2008, the Corporation acquired all of the outstanding shares of Countrywide through its merger with a subsidiary of the Corporation in exchange for common stock with a value of \$4.2 billion. On October 1, 2007, the Corporation acquired all the outstanding shares of ABN AMRO North America Holding Company, parent of LaSalle Bank Corporation (LaSalle), for \$21.0 billion in cash. On July 1, 2007, the Corporation acquired all the outstanding shares of U.S. Trust Corporation for \$3.3 billion in cash.

The results of operations of the acquired companies were included in the Corporation s results from their dates of acquisition.

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Corporation and its majority-owned subsidiaries, and those variable interest entities (VIEs) where the Corporation is the primary beneficiary. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Results of operations of acquired companies are included from the dates of acquisition and for VIEs, from the dates that the Corporation became the primary beneficiary. Assets held in an agency or fiduciary capacity are not included in the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Corporation accounts for investments in companies for which it owns a voting interest of 20 percent to 50 percent and for which it has the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financing decisions using the equity method of accounting. These investments are included in other assets and are subject to impairment testing. The Corporation s proportionate share of income or loss is included in equity investment income.

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and disclosures. Realized results could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

The Corporation evaluates subsequent events through the date of filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

New Accounting Pronouncements

On July 1, 2009, the Corporation adopted new guidance that established the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the single source of authoritative GAAP. The Codification establishes a common referencing system for accounting standards and is generally organized by subject matter. Use of the Codification has no impact on the Corporation s financial condition or results of operations. In connection with the use of the Codification, this Form 10-K no longer makes reference to specific accounting standards by number or title.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance on transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs. This new accounting guidance, which was effective on January 1, 2010, revises existing sale accounting criteria for transfers of financial assets and significantly changes the criteria by which an enterprise determines whether it must consolidate a VIE. The adoption of this new accounting guidance on January 1, 2010 resulted in the consolidation of certain qualifying special purpose entities (QSPEs) and VIEs that were not recorded on the Corporation s Consolidated Balance Sheet prior to that date. The adoption of this new accounting guidance resulted in a net incremental increase in assets, on a preliminary basis, of approximately \$100 billion, including \$70 billion resulting from consolidation of credit card trusts and \$30 billion from consolidation of other special purpose entities (SPEs) including multi-seller conduits. These amounts are net of retained interests in securitizations held on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and an \$11 billion increase in the allowance for loan losses, the majority of which relates to credit card receivables. This increase in the allowance for loan losses was recorded on January 1, 2010 as a charge net-of-tax to retained earnings for the cumulative effect of the adoption of this new accounting guidance. Initial recording of these assets and related allowance on the Corporation s Consolidated Balance Sheet had no impact on results of operations.

On January 1, 2009, the Corporation elected to early adopt new FASB guidance for determining whether a market is inactive and a transaction is distressed in order to apply the existing fair value measurements guidance. In addition, this new guidance requires enhanced disclosures regarding financial assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value. The adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on the Corporation s financial condition or results of operations. The enhanced disclosures required under this new guidance are included in *Note 20 Fair Value Measurements*.

On January 1, 2009, the Corporation elected to early adopt new FASB guidance on recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairment of debt securities that requires an entity to recognize the credit component of other-than-temporary impairment of a debt security in earnings and the noncredit component in other comprehensive income (OCI) when the entity does not intend to sell the security and it is more-likely-than-not that the entity will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery. This new guidance also requires expanded disclosures. In connection with the adoption of this new guidance, the Corporation recorded a cumulative-effect adjustment to reclassify \$71 million, net-of-tax, from retained earnings to accumulated OCI as of January 1,

Bank of America 2009

2009. This new guidance does not change the recognition of other-than- temporary impairment for equity securities. The expanded disclosures required by this new guidance are included in *Note 5* Securities.

On January 1, 2009, the Corporation adopted new FASB guidance that modifies the accounting for business combinations and requires, with limited exceptions, the acquirer in a business combination to recognize 100 percent of the assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquired company at the acquisition-date fair value. In addition, the guidance requires that acquisition-related transaction and restructuring costs be charged to expense as incurred, and requires that certain contingent assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as well as contingent consideration, be recognized at fair value. This new guidance also modifies the accounting for certain acquired income tax assets and liabilities.

Further, the new FASB guidance requires that assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination that arise from contingencies be recognized at fair value on the acquisition date if fair value can be determined during the measurement period. If fair value cannot be determined, companies should typically account for the acquired contingencies under existing accounting guidance. This new guidance is effective for acquisitions consummated on or after January 1, 2009. The Corporation applied this new guidance to its January 1, 2009 acquisition of Merrill Lynch.

On January 1, 2009, the Corporation adopted new FASB guidance that defines unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends as participating securities that should be included in computing earnings per share (EPS) using the two-class method. Additionally, all prior-period EPS data was adjusted retrospectively. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Corporation s financial condition or results of operations.

On January 1, 2009, the Corporation adopted new FASB guidance that requires expanded qualitative, quantitative and credit-risk disclosures about derivatives and hedging activities and their effects on the Corporation s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The adoption of this new guidance did not impact the Corporation s financial condition or results of operations. The expanded disclosures are included in *Note 4 Derivatives*.

On January 1, 2009, the Corporation adopted new FASB guidance requiring all entities to report noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries as equity in the Consolidated Financial Statements and to account for transactions between an entity and noncontrolling owners as equity transactions if the parent retains its controlling financial interest in the subsidiary. This new guidance also requires expanded disclosure that distinguishes between the interests of the controlling owners and the interests of the noncontrolling owners of a subsidiary. Consolidated subsidiaries in which there are noncontrolling owners are insignificant to the Corporation.

For 2009, the Corporation adopted new accounting guidance that requires disclosures on plan assets for defined pension and other postretirement plans, including how investment decisions are made, the major categories of plan assets, the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets, the effect of Level 3 measurements on changes in plan assets and concentrations of risk within plan assets. The expanded disclosures are included in *Note 17 Employee Benefit Plans*.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, cash items in the process of collection, and amounts due from correspondent banks and the Federal Reserve

Securities Financing Agreements

Securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase (securities financing agreements) are treated as collateralized financing transactions. These agreements are recorded at the amounts at which the securities were acquired or sold plus accrued interest, except for certain securities financing agreements which the Corporation accounts for under the fair value option. Changes in the value of securities financing agreements that are accounted for under the fair value option are recorded in other income. For more information on securities financing agreements which the Corporation accounts for under the fair value option, see *Note 20 Fair Value Measurements*. The Corporation s policy is to obtain possession of collateral with a market value equal to or in excess of the principal amount loaned under resale agreements. To ensure that the market value of the underlying collateral remains sufficient, collateral is generally valued daily and the Corporation may require counterparties to deposit additional collateral or may return collateral pledged when appropriate.

Substantially all securities financing agreements are transacted under master repurchase agreements which give the Corporation, in the event of default, the right to liquidate securities held and to offset receivables and payables with the same counterparty. The Corporation offsets securities financing agreements with the same counterparty on the Consolidated Balance Sheet where it has such a master agreement. In transactions where the Corporation acts as the lender in a securities lending agreement and receives securities that can be pledged or sold as collateral, it recognizes an asset on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at fair value, representing the securities received, and a liability for the same amount, representing the obligation to return those securities.

Collateral

The Corporation accepts collateral that it is permitted by contract or custom to sell or repledge. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of this collateral was \$156.9 billion of which \$126.4 billion was sold or repledged. At December 31, 2008, the fair value of this collateral was \$144.5 billion of which \$117.6 billion was sold or repledged. The primary source of this collateral is repurchase agreements. The Corporation also pledges securities and loans as collateral in transactions that include repurchase agreements, public and trust deposits, U.S. Department of the Treasury (U.S. Treasury) tax and loan notes, and other short-term borrowings. This collateral can be sold or repledged by the counterparties to the transactions.

In addition, the Corporation obtains collateral in connection with its derivative contracts. Required collateral levels vary depending on the credit risk rating and the type of counterparty. Generally, the Corporation accepts collateral in the form of cash, U.S. Treasury securities and other marketable securities. Based on provisions contained in legal netting agreements, the Corporation nets cash collateral against the applicable derivative fair value. The Corporation also pledges collateral on its own derivative positions which can be applied against derivative liabilities.

Trading Instruments

Financial instruments utilized in trading activities are carried at fair value. Fair value is generally based on quoted market prices or quoted market prices for similar assets and liabilities. If these market prices are not available, fair values are estimated based on dealer quotes, pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques where the determination of fair value may require significant management judgment or estimation. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are recognized in trading account profits (losses).

Bank of America 2009

Derivatives and Hedging Activities

Derivatives are held on behalf of customers, for trading, as economic hedges, or as qualifying accounting hedges, with the determination made when the Corporation enters into the derivative contract. The designation may change based upon management s reassessment or changing circumstances. Derivatives utilized by the Corporation include swaps, financial futures and forward settlement contracts, and option contracts. A swap agreement is a contract between two parties to exchange cash flows based on specified underlying notional amounts, assets and/or indices. Financial futures and forward settlement contracts are agreements to buy or sell a quantity of a financial instrument, index, currency or commodity at a predetermined future date, and rate or price. An option contract is an agreement that conveys to the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a quantity of a financial instrument (including another derivative financial instrument), index, currency or commodity at a predetermined rate or price during a period or at a date in the future. Option agreements can be transacted on organized exchanges or directly between parties.

All derivatives are recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at fair value, taking into consideration the effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Corporation to settle positive and negative positions and offset cash collateral held with the same counterparty on a net basis. For exchange-traded contracts, fair value is based on quoted market prices. For non-exchange traded contracts, fair value is based on dealer quotes, pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques for which the determination of fair value may require significant management judgment or estimation.

Valuations of derivative assets and liabilities reflect the value of the instrument including counterparty credit risk. These values also take into account the Corporation s own credit standing, thus including in the valuation of the derivative instrument the value of the net credit differential between the counterparties to the derivative contract.

Trading Derivatives and Economic Hedges

Derivatives held for trading purposes are included in derivative assets or derivative liabilities with changes in fair value included in trading account profits (losses).

Derivatives used as economic hedges are also included in derivative assets or derivative liabilities. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that serve as economic hedges of mortgage servicing rights (MSRs), interest rate lock commitments (IRLCs) and first mortgage loans held-for-sale (LHFS) that are originated by the Corporation are recorded in mortgage banking income. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that serve as asset and liability management (ALM) economic hedges that do not qualify or were not designated as accounting hedges are recorded in other income (loss). Credit derivatives used by the Corporation as economic hedges do not qualify as accounting hedges despite being effective economic hedges, and changes in the fair value of these derivatives are included in other income (loss).

Derivatives Used For Hedge Accounting Purposes (Accounting Hedges)

For accounting hedges, the Corporation formally documents at inception all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as the risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking various accounting hedges. Additionally, the Corporation uses dollar offset or regression analysis at the inception of a hedge and for each reporting period thereafter to assess whether the derivative used in its hedging transaction is expected to be and has been highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item. The Corporation discontinues hedge accounting when it is determined that a derivative is

not expected to be or has ceased to be highly effective as a hedge, and then reflects changes in fair value of the derivative in earnings after termination of the hedge relationship.

The Corporation uses its accounting hedges as either fair value hedges, cash flow hedges or hedges of net investments in foreign operations. The Corporation manages interest rate and foreign currency exchange rate sensitivity predominantly through the use of derivatives. Fair value hedges are used to protect against changes in the fair value of the Corporation s assets and liabilities that are due to interest rate or foreign exchange volatility. Cash flow hedges are used primarily to minimize the variability in cash flows of assets or liabilities, or forecasted transactions caused by interest rate or foreign exchange fluctuations. For terminated cash flow hedges, the maximum length of time over which forecasted transactions are hedged is 26 years, with a substantial portion of the hedged transactions being less than 10 years. For open or future cash flow hedges, the maximum length of time over which forecasted transactions are or will be hedged is less than seven years.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as fair value hedges are recorded in earnings, together and in the same income statement line item with changes in the fair value of the related hedged item. Changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated OCI and are reclassified into the line item in the income statement in which the hedged item is recorded and in the same period the hedged item affects earnings. Hedge ineffectiveness and gains and losses on the excluded component of a derivative in assessing hedge effectiveness are recorded in earnings in the same income statement line item. The Corporation records changes in the fair value of derivatives used as hedges of the net investment in foreign operations, to the extent effective, as a component of accumulated OCI.

If a derivative instrument in a fair value hedge is terminated or the hedge designation removed, the previous adjustments to the carrying amount of the hedged asset or liability are subsequently accounted for in the same manner as other components of the carrying amount of that asset or liability. For interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, such adjustments are amortized to earnings over the remaining life of the respective asset or liability. If a derivative instrument in a cash flow hedge is terminated or the hedge designation is removed, related amounts in accumulated OCI are reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. If it is probable that a forecasted transaction will not occur, any related amounts in accumulated OCI are reclassified into earnings in that period.

Interest Rate Lock Commitments

The Corporation enters into IRLCs in connection with its mortgage banking activities to fund residential mortgage loans at specified times in the future. IRLCs that relate to the origination of mortgage loans that will be held for sale are considered derivative instruments under applicable accounting guidance. As such, these IRLCs are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in mortgage banking income.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Corporation adopted new accounting guidance that requires that the expected net future cash flows related to servicing of a loan be included in the measurement of all written loan commitments accounted for at fair value through earnings. In estimating the fair value of an IRLC, the Corporation assigns a probability to the loan commitment based on an expectation that it will be exercised and the loan will be funded. The fair value of the commitments is derived from the fair value of related mortgage loans which is based on observable market data. Changes to the fair value of IRLCs are recognized based on interest rate changes, changes in the probability that the commitment will be exercised and the passage of time. Changes from the expected future

120 Bank of America 2009

cash flows related to the customer relationship are excluded from the valuation of IRLCs. Prior to January 1, 2008, the Corporation did not record any unrealized gain or loss at the inception of a loan commitment, which is the time the commitment is issued to the borrower, as applicable accounting guidance at that time did not allow expected net future cash flows related to servicing of a loan to be included in the measurement of written loan commitments that are accounted for at fair value through earnings.

Outstanding IRLCs expose the Corporation to the risk that the price of the loans underlying the commitments might decline from inception of the rate lock to funding of the loan. To protect against this risk, the Corporation utilizes forward loan sales commitments and other derivative instruments, including interest rate swaps and options, to economically hedge the risk of potential changes in the value of the loans that would result from the commitments. The changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recorded in mortgage banking income.

Securities

Debt securities are classified based on management s intention on the date of purchase and recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as debt securities as of the trade date. Debt securities which management has the intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity (HTM) and reported at amortized cost. Debt securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of resale in the near term are classified as trading and are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in trading account profits (losses). Other debt securities are classified as available-for-sale (AFS) and carried at fair value with net unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated OCI on an after-tax basis.

The Corporation regularly evaluates each AFS and HTM debt security whose value has declined below amortized cost to assess whether the decline in fair value is other-than-temporary. In determining whether an impairment is other-than-temporary, the Corporation considers the severity and duration of the decline in fair value, the length of time expected for recovery, the financial condition of the issuer, and other qualitative factors, as well as whether the Corporation either plans to sell the security or it is more-likely-than-not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost. Beginning in 2009, under new accounting guidance for impairments of debt securities that are deemed to be other-than-temporary, the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment loss is recognized in earnings and the non-credit component is recognized in accumulated OCI in situations where the Corporation does not intend to sell the security and it is more-likely-than-not that the Corporation will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery. Prior to January 1, 2009, unrealized losses (both the credit and non-credit components) on AFS debt securities that were deemed to be other-than-temporary were included in current period earnings. If there is an other-than-temporary impairment in the fair value of any individual security classified as HTM, the Corporation writes down the security to fair value with a corresponding charge to other income.

Interest on debt securities, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, is included in interest income. Realized gains and losses from the sales of debt securities, which are included in gains (losses) on sales of debt securities, are determined using the specific identification method.

Marketable equity securities are classified based on management s intention on the date of purchase and recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of the trade date. Marketable equity securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of resale in the near term are classified as trading and are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in trading account profits (losses). Other marketable

equity securities are accounted for as AFS and classified in other assets. All AFS marketable equity securities are carried at fair value with net unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated OCI on an after-tax basis. If there is an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of any individual AFS marketable equity security, the Corporation reclassifies the associated net unrealized loss out of accumulated OCI with a corresponding charge to equity investment income. Dividend income on all AFS marketable equity securities is included in equity investment income. Realized gains and losses on the sale of all AFS marketable equity securities, which are recorded in equity investment income, are determined using the specific identification method.

Equity investments without readily determinable fair values are recorded in other assets. Impairment testing is based on applicable accounting guidance and the cost basis is reduced when an impairment is deemed to be other-than-temporary.

Certain equity investments held by Global Principal Investments, the Corporation s diversified equity investor in private equity, real estate and other alternative investments, are subject to investment company accounting under applicable accounting guidance, and accordingly, are carried at fair value with changes in fair value reported in equity investment income. These investments are included in other assets. Initially, the transaction price of the investment is generally considered to be the best indicator of fair value. Thereafter, valuation of direct investments is based on an assessment of each individual investment using methodologies that include publicly traded comparables derived by multiplying a key performance metric (e.g., earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) of the portfolio company by the relevant valuation multiple observed for comparable companies, acquisition comparables, entry level multiples and discounted cash flows, and are subject to appropriate discounts for lack of liquidity or marketability. Certain factors that may influence changes in fair value include but are not limited to, recapitalizations, subsequent rounds of financing and offerings in the equity or debt capital markets. For fund investments, the Corporation generally records the fair value of its proportionate interest in the fund s capital as reported by the fund s respective managers.

Other investments held by Global Principal Investments are accounted for under either the equity method or at cost, depending on the Corporation s ownership interest, and are reported in other assets.

Loans and Leases

Loans measured at historical cost are reported at their outstanding principal balances net of any unearned income, charge-offs, unamortized deferred fees and costs on originated loans, and for purchased loans, net of any premiums or discounts. Loan origination fees and certain direct origination costs are deferred and recognized as adjustments to income over the lives of the related loans. Unearned income, discounts and premiums are amortized to interest income using a level yield methodology. The Corporation elects to account for certain loans under the fair value option. Fair values for these loans are based on market prices, where available, or discounted cash flow analyses using market-based credit spreads of comparable debt instruments or credit derivatives of the specific borrower or comparable borrowers. Results of discounted cash flow analyses may be adjusted, as appropriate, to reflect other market conditions or the perceived credit risk of the borrower.

Purchased Impaired Loans

The Corporation purchases loans with and without evidence of credit quality deterioration since origination. Evidence of credit quality deterioration as of the purchase date may include statistics such as past due status, refreshed borrower credit scores and refreshed loan-to-value (LTV) ratios, some of which are not immediately available as of the purchase date. The

Bank of America 2009

121

Corporation continues to evaluate this information and other credit-related information as it becomes available. Interest income on purchased non-impaired loans is recognized using a level yield methodology based on the contractually required payments receivable. For purchased impaired loans, applicable accounting guidance addresses the accounting for differences between contractual cash flows and expected cash flows from the Corporation s initial investment in loans if those differences are attributable, at least in part, to credit quality. The excess of the cash flows expected to be collected measured as of the acquisition date over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the loan using a level yield methodology. The difference between contractually required payments as of acquisition date and the cash flows expected to be collected is referred to as the nonaccretable difference.

The initial fair values for purchased impaired loans are determined by discounting both principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected using an observable discount rate for similar instruments with adjustments that management believes a market participant would consider in determining fair value. The Corporation estimates the cash flows expected to be collected upon acquisition using internal credit risk, interest rate and prepayment risk models that incorporate management s best estimate of current key assumptions such as default rates, loss severity and payment speeds.

Subsequent decreases to expected principal cash flows result in a charge to provision for credit losses and a corresponding increase to a valuation allowance included in the allowance for loan and lease losses. Subsequent increases in expected principal cash flows result in a recovery of any previously recorded allowance for loan and lease losses, to the extent applicable, and a reclassification from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield for any remaining increase. Changes in expected interest cash flows may result in reclassifications to/from the nonaccretable difference. Loan disposals, which may include sales of loans, receipt of payments in full from the borrower, foreclosure or troubled debt restructuring (TDR), result in removal of the loan from the purchased impaired loan pool at its allocated carrying amount.

Leases

The Corporation provides equipment financing to its customers through a variety of lease arrangements. Direct financing leases are carried at the aggregate of lease payments receivable plus estimated residual value of the leased property less unearned income. Leveraged leases, which are a form of financing leases, are carried net of nonrecourse debt. Unearned income on leveraged and direct financing leases is accreted to interest income over the lease terms using methods that approximate the interest method.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses, which includes the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments, represents management s estimate of probable losses inherent in the Corporation s lending activities. The allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments exclude amounts for loans and unfunded lending commitments accounted for under the fair value option as the fair values of these instruments already reflect a credit component. The allowance for loan and lease losses represents the estimated probable credit losses in funded consumer and commercial loans and leases while the reserve for unfunded lending commitments, including standby letters of credit (SBLCs) and binding unfunded loan commitments, represents estimated probable credit losses on these unfunded credit instruments based on utilization assumptions. Credit exposures deemed to be uncollectible, excluding derivative assets, trad -

ing account assets and loans carried at fair value, are charged against these accounts. Cash recovered on previously charged off amounts is recorded as a recovery to these accounts.

The Corporation performs periodic and systematic detailed reviews of its lending portfolios to identify credit risks and to assess the overall collectability of those portfolios. The allowance on certain homogeneous loan portfolios, which generally consist of consumer loans (e.g., consumer real estate and credit card loans) and certain commercial loans (e.g., business card and small business portfolios), is based on aggregated portfolio segment evaluations generally by product type. Loss forecast models are utilized for these portfolios which consider a variety of factors including, but not limited to, historical loss experience, estimated defaults or foreclosures based on portfolio trends, delinquencies, economic conditions and credit scores. These models are updated on a quarterly basis to incorporate information reflecting the current economic environment. The loss forecasts also incorporate the estimated increased volume and impact of consumer real estate loan modification programs, including losses associated with estimated re-default after modification.

The remaining commercial portfolios are reviewed on an individual loan basis. Loans subject to individual reviews are analyzed and segregated by risk according to the Corporation s internal risk rating scale. These risk classifications, in conjunction with an analysis of historical loss experience, current economic conditions, industry performance trends, geographic or obligor concentrations within each portfolio segment, and any other pertinent information (including individual valuations on nonperforming loans) result in the estimation of the allowance for credit losses. The historical loss experience is updated quarterly to incorporate the most recent data reflecting the current economic environment.

If necessary, a specific allowance is established for individual impaired loans. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Corporation will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the agreement, and once a loan has been identified as individually impaired, management measures impairment. Individually impaired loans are measured based on the present value of payments expected to be received, observable market prices, or for loans that are solely dependent on the collateral for repayment, the estimated fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell. If the recorded investment in impaired loans exceeds this amount, a specific allowance is established as a component of the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Purchased impaired loans are recorded at fair value and applicable accounting guidance prohibits the carrying over or creation of valuation allowances in the initial accounting for impaired loans acquired in a transfer. This applies to the purchase of an individual loan, a pool of loans and portfolios of loans acquired in a purchase business combination. Subsequent to acquisition, decreases in expected principal cash flows of purchased impaired loans are recorded as a valuation allowance included in the allowance for loan and lease losses. Subsequent increases in expected principal cash flows result in a recovery of any previously recorded allowance for loan and lease losses, to the extent applicable. Write-downs on purchased impaired loans in excess of the nonaccretable difference are charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses. For more information on the purchased impaired portfolios associated with acquisitions, see *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases*.

The allowance for loan and lease losses includes two components that are allocated to cover the estimated probable losses in each loan and lease category based on the results of the Corporation s detailed review process described above. The first component covers those commercial loans that are either nonperforming or impaired and

122 Bank of America 2009

consumer real estate loans that have been modified as TDRs. These loans are subject to impairment measurement at the loan level based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s contractual effective interest rate. Where the present value is less than the recorded investment in the loan, impairment is recognized through the provision for credit losses with a corresponding increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses. The second component covers consumer loans and performing commercial loans and leases. Included within this second component of the allowance for loan and lease losses and determined separately from the procedures outlined above are reserves which are maintained to cover uncertainties that affect the Corporation s estimate of probable losses including domestic and global economic uncertainty and large single name defaults. Management evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses based on the combined total of these two components.

In addition to the allowance for loan and lease losses, the Corporation also estimates probable losses related to unfunded lending commitments, such as letters of credit and financial guarantees, and binding unfunded loan commitments. The reserve for unfunded lending commitments excludes commitments accounted for under the fair value option. Unfunded lending commitments are subject to individual reviews and are analyzed and segregated by risk according to the Corporation s internal risk rating scale. These risk classifications, in conjunction with an analysis of historical loss experience, utilization assumptions, current economic conditions, performance trends within specific portfolio segments and any other pertinent information, result in the estimation of the reserve for unfunded lending commitments.

The allowance for credit losses related to the loan and lease portfolio is reported separately on the Consolidated Balance Sheet whereas the reserve for unfunded lending commitments is reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheet in accrued expenses and other liabilities. Provision for credit losses related to the loan and lease portfolio and unfunded lending commitments is reported in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Nonperforming Loans and Leases, Charge-offs and Delinquencies

Nonperforming loans and leases generally include loans and leases that have been placed on nonaccrual status including nonaccruing loans whose contractual terms have been restructured in a manner that grants a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties. Loans accounted for under the fair value option, purchased impaired loans and LHFS are not reported as nonperforming loans and leases.

In accordance with the Corporation s policies, non-bankrupt credit card loans and unsecured consumer loans are charged off no later than the end of the month in which the account becomes 180 days past due. The outstanding balance of real estate-secured loans that is in excess of the estimated property value, less cost to sell, is charged off no later than the end of the month in which the account becomes 180 days past due unless repayment of the loan is guaranteed by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA). Personal property-secured loans are charged off no later than the end of the month in which the account becomes 120 days past due. Accounts in bankruptcy are charged off for credit card and certain unsecured accounts 60 days after bankruptcy notification. For secured products, accounts in bankruptcy are written down to the collateral value, less cost to sell, by the end of the month in which the account becomes 60 days past due. Consumer credit card loans, consumer loans secured by personal property and unsecured consumer loans are not placed on nonaccrual status prior to charge-off and therefore are not reported as nonperforming loans. Real estate-secured loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status and classified as non -

performing at 90 days past due. However, consumer loans secured by real estate where repayments are guaranteed by the FHA are not placed on nonaccrual status, and therefore, are not reported as nonperforming loans. Interest accrued but not collected is reversed when a consumer loan is placed on nonaccrual status. Interest collections on nonaccruing consumer loans for which the ultimate collectability of principal is uncertain are applied as principal reductions; otherwise, such collections are credited to interest income when received. These loans may be restored to accrual status when all principal and interest is current and full repayment of the remaining contractual principal and interest is expected, or when the loan otherwise becomes well-secured and is in the process of collection. Consumer loans whose contractual terms have been modified in a TDR and are current at the time of restructuring remain on accrual status if there is demonstrated performance prior to the restructuring and payment in full under the restructured terms is expected. Otherwise, the loans are placed on nonaccrual status and reported as nonperforming until there is sustained repayment performance for a reasonable period, generally six months. Consumer TDRs that are on accrual status are reported as performing TDRs through the end of the calendar year in which the restructuring occurred or the year in which the loans are returned to accrual status. In addition, if accruing consumer TDRs bear less than a market rate of interest at the time of modification, they are reported as performing TDRs throughout the remaining lives of the loans.

Commercial loans and leases, excluding business card loans, that are past due 90 days or more as to principal or interest, or where reasonable doubt exists as to timely collection, including loans that are individually identified as being impaired, are generally placed on nonaccrual status and classified as nonperforming unless well-secured and in the process of collection. Commercial loans and leases whose contractual terms have been modified in a TDR are placed on nonaccrual status and reported as nonperforming until the loans have performed for an adequate period of time under the restructured agreement. Accruing commercial TDRs are reported as performing TDRs through the end of the calendar year in which the loans are returned to accrual status. In addition, if accruing commercial TDRs bear less than a market rate of interest at the time of modification, they are reported as performing TDRs throughout the remaining lives of the loans. Interest accrued but not collected is reversed when a commercial loan is placed on nonaccrual status. Interest collections on nonaccruing commercial loans and leases for which the ultimate collectability of principal is uncertain are applied as principal reductions; otherwise, such collections are credited to income when received. Commercial loans and leases may be restored to accrual status when all principal and interest is current and full repayment of the remaining contractual principal and interest is expected, or when the loan otherwise becomes well-secured and is in the process of collection. Business card loans are charged off no later than the end of the month in which the account becomes 180 days past due or where 60 days have elapsed since receipt of notification of bankruptcy filing, whichever comes first. These loans are not placed on nonaccrual status prior to charge-off and therefore are not reported as nonperforming loans.

The entire balance of a consumer and commercial loan is contractually delinquent if the minimum payment is not received by the specified due date on the customer s billing statement. Interest and fees continue to accrue on past due loans until the date the loan goes into nonaccrual status, if applicable.

Purchased impaired loans are recorded at fair value at the acquisition date. Although the purchased impaired loans may be contractually delinquent, the Corporation does not classify these loans as nonperforming as the loans were written down to fair value at the acquisition date and the accretable yield is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of

Bank of America 2009 123

the loan. In addition, reported net charge-offs exclude write-downs on purchased impaired loan pools as the fair value already considers the estimated credit losses.

Loans Held-for-Sale

Loans that are intended to be sold in the foreseeable future, including residential mortgages, loan syndications, and to a lesser degree, commercial real estate, consumer finance and other loans, are reported as LHFS and are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or market value (fair value). The Corporation accounts for certain LHFS, including first mortgage LHFS, under the fair value option. Fair values for LHFS are based on quoted market prices, where available, or are determined by discounting estimated cash flows using interest rates approximating the Corporation's current origination rates for similar loans and adjusted to reflect the inherent credit risk. Mortgage loan origination costs related to LHFS which the Corporation accounts for under the fair value option are recognized in noninterest expense when incurred. Mortgage loan origination costs for LHFS carried at the lower of cost or market value (fair value) are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the loans and recognized as a reduction of mortgage banking income upon the sale of such loans. LHFS that are on nonaccrual status and are reported as nonperforming are reported separately from nonperforming loans and leases.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are recognized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Estimated lives range up to 40 years for buildings, up to 12 years for furniture and equipment, and the shorter of lease term or estimated useful life for leasehold improvements.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Corporation accounts for consumer-related MSRs at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in mortgage banking income, while commercial-related and residential reverse mortgage MSRs are accounted for using the amortization method (i.e., lower of cost or market) with impairment recognized as a reduction in mortgage banking income. To reduce the volatility of earnings to interest rate and market value fluctuations, certain securities and derivatives such as options and interest rate swaps may be used as economic hedges of the MSRs, but are not designated as accounting hedges. These economic hedges are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in mortgage banking income.

The Corporation estimates the fair value of the consumer-related MSRs using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. This is accomplished through an option-adjusted spread (OAS) valuation approach that factors in prepayment risk. This approach consists of projecting servicing cash flows under multiple interest rate scenarios and discounting these cash flows using risk-adjusted discount rates. The key economic assumptions used in valuations of MSRs include weighted-average lives of the MSRs and the OAS levels. The OAS represents the spread that is added to the discount rate so that the sum of the discounted cash flows equals the market price, therefore it is a measure of the extra yield over the reference discount factor (i.e., the forward swap curve) that the Corporation expects to earn by holding the asset. These variables can, and generally do, change from quarter to quarter as market conditions and projected interest rates change, and could have an adverse impact on the value of the MSRs and could result in a corresponding reduction in mortgage banking income.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is calculated as the purchase premium after adjusting for the fair value of net assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized but is reviewed for potential impairment on an annual basis, or when events or circumstances indicate a potential impairment, at the reporting unit level. A reporting unit, as defined under applicable accounting guidance, is a business segment or one level below a business segment. Under applicable accounting guidance, the goodwill impairment analysis is a two-step test. The first step of the goodwill impairment test involves comparing the fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying amount including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired; however, if the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step must be performed to measure potential impairment.

The second step involves calculating an implied fair value of goodwill for each reporting unit for which the first step indicated possible impairment. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination, which is the excess of the fair value of the reporting unit, as determined in the first step, over the aggregate fair values of the assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. Measurement of the fair values of the assets and liabilities of a reporting unit is consistent with the requirements of the fair value measurements accounting guidance, which defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The adjustments to measure the assets, liabilities and intangibles at fair value are for the purpose of measuring the implied fair value of goodwill and such adjustments are not reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the goodwill assigned to the reporting unit, there is no impairment. If the goodwill assigned to a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded for the excess. An impairment loss recognized cannot exceed the amount of goodwill assigned to a reporting unit. An impairment loss establishes a new basis in the goodwill and subsequent reversals of goodwill impairment losses are not permitted under applicable accounting guidance. In 2009, 2008 and 2007, goodwill was tested for impairment and it was determined that goodwill was not impaired at any of these dates.

For intangible assets subject to amortization, an impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of the intangible asset is not recoverable and exceeds fair value. The carrying amount of the intangible asset is considered not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset.

Special Purpose Financing Entities

In the ordinary course of business, the Corporation supports its customers financing needs by facilitating customers access to different funding sources, assets and risks. In addition, the Corporation utilizes certain financing arrangements to meet its balance sheet management, funding, liquidity, and market or credit risk management needs. These financing entities may be in the form of corporations, partnerships, limited liability companies or trusts, and are generally not consolidated on the Corporation s Consolidated Balance Sheet. The majority of these activities are basic term or revolving securitization vehicles for mortgages, credit cards or other types of loans which are generally funded through term-amortizing debt structures. Other SPEs finance their activities by issuing short-term commercial paper. The securities issued by these vehicles are designed to be repaid from the underlying cash flows of the vehicles assets or the reissuance of commercial paper.

124 Bank of America 2009

Securitizations

The Corporation securitizes, sells and services interests in residential mortgage loans and credit card loans, and from time to time, automobile, other consumer and commercial loans. The securitization vehicles are typically QSPEs which, in accordance with applicable accounting guidance, are legally isolated, bankruptcy remote and beyond the control of the seller, and are not consolidated in the Corporation's Consolidated Financial Statements. When the Corporation securitizes assets, it may retain a portion of the securities, subordinated tranches, interest-only strips, subordinated interests in accrued interest and fees on the securitized receivables and, in some cases, overcollateralization and cash reserve accounts, all of which are generally considered retained interests in the securitized assets. The Corporation may also retain senior tranches in these securitizations. Gains and losses upon sale of assets to a securitization vehicle are based on an allocation of the previous carrying amount of the assets to the retained interests. Carrying amounts of assets transferred are allocated in proportion to the relative fair values of the assets sold and interests retained.

Quoted market prices are primarily used to obtain fair values of senior retained interests. Generally, quoted market prices for retained residual interests are not available; therefore, the Corporation estimates fair values based upon the present value of the associated expected future cash flows. This may require management to estimate credit losses, prepayment speeds, forward interest yield curves, discount rates and other factors that impact the value of retained interests.

Interest-only strips retained in connection with credit card securitizations are classified in other assets and carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in card income. Other retained interests are recorded in other assets, AFS debt securities or trading account assets and generally are carried at fair value with changes recorded in income or accumulated OCI, or are recorded as HTM debt securities and carried at amortized cost. If the fair value of such retained interests has declined below carrying amount and there has been an adverse change in estimated contractual cash flows of the underlying assets, then such decline is determined to be other-than-temporary and the retained interest is written down to fair value with a corresponding charge to other income.

Other Special Purpose Financing Entities

Other special purpose financing entities (e.g., Corporation-sponsored multi-seller conduits, collateralized debt obligation vehicles and asset acquisition conduits) are generally funded with short-term commercial paper or long-term debt. These financing entities are usually contractually limited to a narrow range of activities that facilitate the transfer of or access to various types of assets or financial instruments and provide the investors in the transaction with protection from creditors of the Corporation in the event of bankruptcy or receivership of the Corporation. In certain situations, the Corporation provides liquidity commitments and/or loss protection agreements.

The Corporation determines whether these entities should be consolidated by evaluating the degree to which it maintains control over the financing entity and will receive the risks and rewards of the assets in the financing entity. In making this determination, the Corporation considers whether the entity is a QSPE, which is generally not required to be consolidated by the seller or investors in the entity. For non-QSPE structures or VIEs, the Corporation assesses whether it is the primary beneficiary of the entity. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the entity that will absorb a majority of expected variability (the sum of the absolute values of the expected losses and expected residual returns) consolidates the VIE and is referred to as the primary beneficiary. As certain events occur, the Corporation reevaluates which parties will absorb varia -

bility and whether the Corporation has become or is no longer the primary beneficiary. Reconsideration events may occur when VIEs acquire additional assets, issue new variable interests or enter into new or modified contractual arrangements. A reconsideration event may also occur when the Corporation acquires new or additional interests in a VIE.

Fair Value

The Corporation measures the fair values of its financial instruments in accordance with accounting guidance that requires an entity to base fair value on exit price and maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to determine the exit price. The Corporation categorizes its financial instruments, based on the priority of inputs to the valuation technique, into a three-level hierarchy, as described below. Trading account assets and liabilities, derivative assets and liabilities, AFS debt and marketable equity securities, MSRs, and certain other assets are carried at fair value in accordance with applicable accounting guidance. The Corporation has also elected to account for certain assets and liabilities under the fair value option, including certain corporate loans and loan commitments, LHFS, commercial paper and other short-term borrowings, securities financing agreements, asset-backed secured financings, long-term deposits and long-term debt. The following describes the three-level hierarchy.

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. Treasury securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2

Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and derivative contracts where value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. This category generally includes U.S. government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities, corporate debt securities, derivative contracts, residential mortgage loans and certain LHFS.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the overall fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. The fair value for such assets and liabilities is generally determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques that incorporate the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability. This category generally includes certain private equity investments and other principal investments, retained residual interests in securitizations, residential MSRs, asset-backed securities (ABS), highly structured, complex or long-dated derivative contracts, certain LHFS, IRLCs and certain collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) where independent pricing information cannot be obtained for a significant portion of the underlying assets.

Bank of America 2009 125

Income Taxes

There are two components of income tax expense: current and deferred. Current income tax expense approximates taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period. Deferred income tax expense results from changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities between periods. These gross deferred tax assets and liabilities represent decreases or increases in taxes expected to be paid in the future because of future reversals of temporary differences in the bases of assets and liabilities as measured by tax laws and their bases as reported in the financial statements. Deferred tax assets are also recognized for tax attributes such as net operating loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts management concludes are more-likely-than-not to be realized.

Income tax benefits are recognized and measured based upon a two-step model: 1) a tax position must be more-likely-than-not to be sustained based solely on its technical merits in order to be recognized, and 2) the benefit is measured as the largest dollar amount of that position that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon settlement. The difference between the benefit recognized and the tax benefit claimed on a tax return is referred to as an unrecognized tax benefit (UTB). The Corporation records income tax-related interest and penalties, if applicable, within income tax expense.

Retirement Benefits

The Corporation has established retirement plans covering substantially all full-time and certain part-time employees. Pension expense under these plans is charged to current operations and consists of several components of net pension cost based on various actuarial assumptions regarding future experience under the plans.

In addition, the Corporation has established unfunded supplemental benefit plans and supplemental executive retirement plans (SERPs) for selected officers of the Corporation and its subsidiaries that provide benefits that cannot be paid from a qualified retirement plan due to Internal Revenue Code restrictions. The SERPs have been frozen and the executive officers do not accrue any additional benefits. These plans are nonqualified under the Internal Revenue Code and assets used to fund benefit payments are not segregated from other assets of the Corporation; therefore, in general, a participant s or beneficiary s claim to benefits under these plans is as a general creditor. In addition, the Corporation has established several postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefit plans.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The Corporation records unrealized gains and losses on AFS debt and marketable equity securities, gains and losses on cash flow accounting hedges, unrecognized actuarial gains and losses, transition obligation and prior service costs on pension and postretirement plans, foreign currency translation adjustments and related hedges of net investments in foreign operations in accumulated OCI, net-of-tax. Unrealized gains and losses on AFS debt and marketable equity securities are reclassified to earnings as the gains or losses are realized upon sale of the securities. Unrealized losses on AFS securities deemed to represent other-thantemporary impairment are reclassified to earnings at the time of the charge. Beginning in 2009, for AFS debt securities that the Corporation does not intend to sell or it is more-likely-than-not that it will not be

required to sell, only the credit component of an unrealized loss is reclassified to earnings. Gains or losses on derivatives accounted for as cash flow hedges are reclassified to earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings. Translation gains or losses on foreign currency translation adjustments are reclassified to earnings upon the substantial sale or liquidation of investments in foreign operations.

Earnings Per Common Share

EPS is computed by dividing net income allocated to common shareholders by the weighted average common shares outstanding. Net income allocated to common shareholders represents net income applicable to common shareholders (net income adjusted for preferred stock dividends including dividends declared, accretion of discounts on preferred stock including accelerated accretion when preferred stock is repaid early, and cumulative dividends related to the current dividend period that have not been declared as of period end) less income allocated to participating securities (see discussion below). Diluted earnings per common share is computed by dividing income allocated to common shareholders by the weighted average common shares outstanding plus amounts representing the dilutive effect of stock options outstanding, restricted stock, restricted stock units, outstanding warrants, and the dilution resulting from the conversion of convertible preferred stock, if applicable.

On January 1, 2009, the Corporation adopted new accounting guidance on earnings per share that defines unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends as participating securities that are included in computing EPS using the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula under which EPS is calculated for common stock and participating securities according to dividends declared and participating rights in undistributed earnings. Under this method, all earnings (distributed and undistributed) are allocated to participating securities and common shares based on their respective rights to receive dividends.

In an exchange of non-convertible preferred stock, income allocated to common shareholders is adjusted for the difference between the carrying value of the preferred stock and the fair value of the common stock exchanged. In an induced conversion of convertible preferred stock, income allocated to common shareholders is reduced by the excess of the fair value of the common stock exchanged over the fair value of the common stock that would have been issued under

the original conversion terms.

Foreign Currency Translation

Assets, liabilities and operations of foreign branches and subsidiaries are recorded based on the functional currency of each entity. For certain of the foreign operations, the functional currency is the local currency, in which case the assets, liabilities and operations are translated, for consolidation purposes, from the local currency to the U.S. dollar reporting currency at period-end rates for assets and liabilities and generally at average rates for operations. The resulting unrealized gains or losses as well as gains and losses from certain hedges, are reported as a component of accumulated OCI on an after-tax basis. When the foreign entity s functional currency is determined to be the U.S. dollar, the resulting remeasurement currency gains or losses on foreign currency-denominated assets or liabilities are included in earnings.

126 Bank of America 2009

Credit Card and Deposit Arrangements

Endorsing Organization Agreements

The Corporation contracts with other organizations to obtain their endorsement of the Corporation s loan and deposit products. This endorsement may provide to the Corporation exclusive rights to market to the organization s members or to customers on behalf of the Corporation. These organizations endorse the Corporation s loan and deposit products and provide the Corporation with their mailing lists and marketing activities. These agreements generally have terms that range from two to five years. The Corporation typically pays royalties in exchange for their endorsement. Compensation costs related to the credit card agreements are recorded as contra-revenue in card income.

Cardholder Reward Agreements

The Corporation offers reward programs that allow its cardholders to earn points that can be redeemed for a broad range of rewards including cash, travel and discounted products. The Corporation establishes a rewards liability based upon the points earned that are expected to be redeemed and the average cost per point redeemed. The points to be redeemed are estimated based on past redemption behavior, card product type, account transaction activity and other historical card performance. The liability is reduced as the points are redeemed. The estimated cost of the rewards programs is recorded as contra-revenue in card income.

Insurance Income & Insurance Expense

Property and casualty and credit life and disability premiums are recognized over the term of the policies on a pro-rata basis for all policies except for certain of the lender-placed auto insurance and the guaranteed auto protection (GAP) policies. For GAP insurance, revenue recognition is correlated to the exposure and accelerated over the life of the contract. For lender-placed auto insurance, premiums are recognized when collections become probable due to high cancellation rates experienced early in the life of the policy. Mortgage reinsurance premiums are recognized as earned. Insurance expense includes insurance claims and commissions, both of which are recorded in other general operating expense.

NOTE 2 Merger and Restructuring Activity

Merrill Lynch

On January 1, 2009, the Corporation acquired Merrill Lynch through its merger with a subsidiary of the Corporation in exchange for common and preferred stock with a value of \$29.1 billion, creating a financial services franchise with significantly enhanced wealth management, investment banking and international capabilities. Under the terms of the merger agreement, Merrill Lynch common shareholders received 0.8595 of a share of Bank of America Corporation common stock in exchange for each share of Merrill Lynch common stock. In addition, Merrill Lynch non-convertible preferred shareholders received Bank of America Corporation preferred stock having substantially identical terms. Merrill Lynch convertible preferred stock remains outstanding and is convertible into Bank of America common stock at an equivalent exchange ratio. With the acquisition, the Corporation has one of the largest wealth management businesses in the world with approximately 15,000 financial advisors and more than \$2.1 trillion in client assets. Global investment management capabilities include an economic ownership interest of approximately 34 percent in BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock), a publicly traded investment management company. In addition, the acquisition adds strengths in debt and equity underwriting, sales and trading, and merger and acquisition advice, creating significant opportunities to deepen relationships with corporate and institutional clients around the globe. Merrill Lynch s results of operations were included in the Corporation s results beginning January 1, 2009.

The purchase price was allocated to the acquired assets and liabilities based on their estimated fair values at the Merrill Lynch acquisition date as summarized in the following table. Goodwill of \$5.1 billion was calculated as the purchase premium after adjusting for the fair value of net assets acquired and represents the value expected from the synergies created from combining the Merrill Lynch wealth management and corporate and investment banking businesses with the Corporation s capabilities in consumer and commercial banking as well as the economies of scale expected from combining the operations of the two companies. No goodwill is expected to be deductible for federal income tax purposes. The goodwill was allocated principally to the *Global Wealth & Investment Management (GWIM)* and *Global Markets* business segments.

Merrill Lynch Purchase Price Allocation

(Dollars in billions, except per share amounts)

Purchase price	
Merrill Lynch common shares exchanged (in millions)	1,600
Exchange ratio	0.8595
The Corporation s common shares issued (in millions)	1,375
Purchase price per share of the Corporation s common stock ⁽¹⁾	\$ 14.08
Total value of the Corporation s common stock and cash exchanged for fractional shares	\$ 19.4
Merrill Lynch preferred stock	8.6
Fair value of outstanding employee stock awards	1.1
Total purchase price	\$ 29.1
Allocation of the purchase price	
Merrill Lynch stockholders equity	19.9
Merrill Lynch goodwill and intangible assets	(2.6)
Pre-tax adjustments to reflect acquired assets and liabilities at fair value:	
Derivatives and securities	(1.9)
Loans	(6.1)
Intangible assets (2)	5.4
Other assets/liabilities	(0.8)
Long-term debt	16.0
Pre-tax total adjustments	12.6
Deferred income taxes	(5.9)
After-tax total adjustments	6.7
Fair value of net assets acquired	24.0
Goodwill resulting from the Merrill Lynch acquisition	\$ 5.1

⁽¹⁾ The value of the shares of common stock exchanged with Merrill Lynch shareholders was based upon the closing price of the Corporation s common stock at December 31, 2008, the last trading day prior to the date of acquisition.

Bank of America 2009 127

⁽²⁾ Consists of trade name of \$1.5 billion and customer relationship and core deposit intangibles of \$3.9 billion. The amortization life is 10 years for the customer relationship and core deposit intangibles which are primarily amortized on a straight-line basis.

Condensed Statement of Net Assets Acquired

The following condensed statement of net assets acquired reflects the values assigned to Merrill Lynch s net assets as of the acquisition date.

(Dollars in billions)	January	1, 2009
Assets	¢.	120.0
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	\$	138.8
Trading account assets		87.9
Derivative assets		96.4
Investment securities		70.5
Loans and leases		55.9
Intangible assets		5.4
Other assets		195.3
Total assets	\$	650.2
Liabilities		
Deposits	\$	98.1
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase		111.6
Trading account liabilities		18.1
Derivative liabilities		72.0
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings		37.9
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		99.6
Long-term debt		188.9
Total liabilities		626.2
Fair value of net assets acquired	\$	24.0
Contingencies		

The fair value of net assets acquired includes certain contingent liabilities that were recorded as of the acquisition date. Merrill Lynch has been named as a defendant in various pending legal actions and proceedings arising in connection with its activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Some of these legal actions and proceedings include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. Merrill Lynch is also involved in investigations and/or proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory agencies. Due to the number of variables and assumptions involved in assessing the possible outcome of these legal actions, sufficient information did not exist to reasonably estimate the fair value of these contingent liabilities. As such, these contingences have been measured in accordance with accounting guidance on contingencies which states that a loss is recognized when it is probable of occurring and the loss amount can be reasonably estimated. For further information, see *Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies*.

In connection with the Merrill Lynch acquisition, on January 1, 2009, the Corporation recorded certain guarantees, primarily standby liquidity facilities and letters of credit, with a fair value of approximately \$1 billion. At the time of acquisition, the maximum amount that could be drawn from these guarantees was approximately \$20 billion.

Countrywide

On July 1, 2008, the Corporation acquired Countrywide through its merger with a subsidiary of the Corporation. Under the terms of the merger agreement, Countrywide shareholders received 0.1822 of a share of Bank of America Corporation common stock in exchange for each share of Countrywide common stock. The acquisition of Countrywide significantly expanded the Corporation s mortgage originating and servicing capabilities, making it a leading mortgage originator and servicer. As provided by the merger agreement, 583 million shares of Countrywide common stock were exchanged for 107 million shares of the Corporation s common stock. Countrywide s results of operations were included in the Corporation s results beginning July 1, 2008.

The Countrywide purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values at the Countrywide

acquisition date as summarized in the following table. No goodwill is deductible for federal income tax purposes. All the goodwill was allocated to the *Home Loans & Insurance* business segment.

Countrywide Purchase Price Allocation

(Dollars in billions) Purchase price (1) 4.2 Allocation of the purchase price Countrywide stockholders equit(2) 8.4 Pre-tax adjustments to reflect assets acquired and liabilities assumed at fair value: (9.8)Investments in other financial instruments (0.3)Mortgage servicing rights (1.5)Other assets (0.8)Deposits (0.2)Notes payable and other liabilities (0.9)Pre-tax total adjustments (13.5)Deferred income taxes 4.9 After-tax total adjustments (8.6)Fair value of net assets acquired (0.2)Goodwill resulting from the Countrywide acquisition 4.4

The Corporation acquired certain loans for which there was, at the time of the merger, evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and for which it was probable that all contractually required payments would not be collected. For more information, see the Countrywide purchased impaired loan discussion in *Note 6 Outstanding Loans and Leases*.

Other Acquisitions

On October 1, 2007, the Corporation acquired all the outstanding shares of LaSalle, for \$21.0 billion in cash. LaSalle s results of operations were included in the Corporation s results beginning October 1, 2007.

On July 1, 2007, the Corporation acquired all the outstanding shares of U.S. Trust Corporation for \$3.3 billion in cash. U.S. Trust Corporation s results of operations were included in the Corporation s results beginning July 1, 2007.

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information

If the Merrill Lynch and Countrywide acquisitions had been completed on January 1, 2008, total revenue, net of interest expense would have been \$66.8 billion, net loss from continuing operations would have been \$26.0 billion, and basic and diluted loss per common share would have been \$5.37 for 2008. These results include the impact of amortizing certain purchase accounting adjustments such as intangible assets as well as fair value adjustments to loans, securities and debt. The pro forma financial information does not include the impact of possible business model changes nor does it consider any potential impacts of current market conditions or revenues, expense efficiencies, asset dispositions, share repurchases or other factors. For 2009, Merrill Lynch contributed \$23.3 billion in revenue, net of interest expense, and \$4.7 billion in net income. These amounts exclude the impact of intercompany transfers of businesses and are before the consideration of certain merger-related costs, revenue opportunities and certain consolidating tax benefits that were recognized in legacy Bank of America legal entities.

128 Bank of America 2009

⁽¹⁾ The value of the shares of common stock exchanged with Countrywide shareholders was based upon the average of the closing prices of the Corporation s common stock for the period commencing two trading days before and ending two trading days after January 11, 2008, the date of the Countrywide merger agreement.

⁽²⁾ Represents the remaining Countrywide shareholders equity as of the acquisition date after the cancellation of the \$2.0 billion of Series B convertible preferred shares owned by the Corporation.

Merger and Restructuring Charges

Merger and restructuring charges are recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Income and include incremental costs to integrate the operations of the Corporation and its recent acquisitions. These charges represent costs associated with these one-time activities and do not represent ongoing costs of the fully integrated combined organization. On January 1, 2009, the Corporation adopted new accounting guidance, on a prospective basis, that requires that acquisition-related transaction and restructuring costs be charged to expense as incurred. Previously, these expenses were recorded as an adjustment to goodwill.

The following table presents severance and employee-related charges, systems integrations and related charges, and other merger-related charges.

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2007
Severance and employee-related charges	\$ 1,351	\$ 138	\$ 106
Systems integrations and related charges	1,155	640	240
Other	215	157	64
Total merger and restructuring charges	\$ 2,721	\$ 935	\$ 410

Included for 2009 are merger-related charges of \$1.8 billion related to the Merrill Lynch acquisition, \$843 million related to the Countrywide acquisition, and \$97 million related to the LaSalle acquisition. Included for 2008 are merger-related charges of \$623 million related to the LaSalle acquisition, \$205 million related to the Countrywide acquisition, and \$107 million related to the U.S. Trust Corporation acquisition. Included for 2007 are merger-related charges of \$233 million related to the 2006 MBNA Corporation (MBNA) acquisition, \$109 million related to the U.S. Trust Corporation acquisition and \$68 million related to the LaSalle acquisition.

During 2009, the \$1.8 billion merger-related charges for the Merrill Lynch acquisition included \$1.2 billion for severance and other employee-related costs, \$480 million of system integration costs, and \$129 million in other merger-related costs.

Merger-related Exit Cost and Restructuring Reserves

The following table presents the changes in exit cost and restructuring reserves for 2009 and 2008. Exit cost reserves were established in purchase accounting resulting in an increase in goodwill. Restructuring reserves are established by a charge to merger and restructuring charges.

Exit costs were not recorded in purchase accounting for the Merrill Lynch acquisition in accordance with amendments to the accounting guidance for business combinations which were effective January 1, 2009.

	Exit Rese	Restructuring Reserves		
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008
Balance, January 1	\$ 523	\$ 377	\$ 86	\$ 108
Exit costs and restructuring charges:				
Merrill Lynch	n/a	n/a	949	n/a
Countrywide		588	191	71
LaSalle	(24)	31	(6)	25
U.S. Trust Corporation		(3)	(1)	40
MBNA		(6)		(3)
Cash payments	(387)	(464)	(816)	(155)
Balance, December 31	\$ 112	\$ 523	\$ 403	\$ 86
n/a = not applicable				

At December 31, 2008, there were \$523 million of exit cost reserves related principally to the Countrywide acquisition, including \$347 million for severance, relocation and other employee-related costs and \$176 million for contract terminations. During 2009, \$24 million of exit cost reserve adjustments were recorded for the LaSalle acquisition primarily due to lower than expected contract terminations. Cash payments of \$387 million during 2009 consisted of \$271 million in

severance, relocation and other employee-related costs and \$116 million in contract terminations. At December 31, 2009, exit cost reserves of \$112 million related principally to Countrywide.

At December 31, 2008, there were \$86 million of restructuring reserves related to the Countrywide, LaSalle and U.S. Trust Corporation acquisitions related to severance and other employee-related costs. During 2009, \$1.1 billion was added to the restructuring reserves related to severance and other employee-related costs primarily associated with the Merrill Lynch acquisition. Cash payments of \$816 million during 2009 were all related to severance and other employee-related costs. As of December 31, 2009, restructuring reserves of \$403 million included \$328 million for Merrill Lynch and \$74 million for Countrywide.

Payments under exit cost and restructuring reserves associated with the U.S. Trust Corporation acquisition were completed in 2009 while payments associated with the LaSalle, Countrywide and Merrill Lynch acquisitions will continue into 2010.

NOTE 3 Trading Account Assets and Liabilities

The following table presents the components of trading account assets and liabilities at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

	Decen	nber 31
(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008
Trading account assets		
U.S. government and agency securities (1)	\$ 44,585	\$ 60,038
Corporate securities, trading loans and other	57,009	34,056
Equity securities	33,562	20,258
Foreign sovereign debt	28,143	13,614
Mortgage trading loans and asset-backed securities	18,907	6,349
Total trading account assets	\$ 182,206	\$ 134,315
Trading account liabilities		
U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 26,519	\$ 27,286
Equity securities	18,407	12,128
Foreign sovereign debt	12,897	7,252
Corporate securities and other	7,609	5,057
Total trading account liabilities	\$ 65,432	\$ 51,723

(1) Includes \$23.5 billion and \$52.6 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008 of government-sponsored enterprise (GSE) obligations.

Bank of America 2009 129

NOTE 4 Derivatives

Derivative Balances

Derivatives are held for trading, as economic hedges, or as qualifying accounting hedges. The Corporation enters into derivatives to facilitate client transactions, for proprietary trading purposes and to manage risk exposures. The following table identifies derivative instruments included

on the Corporation s Consolidated Balance Sheet in derivative assets and liabilities at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Balances are provided on a gross basis, prior to the application of the impact of counterparty and collateral netting. Total derivative assets and liabilities are adjusted on an aggregate basis to take into consideration the effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements and have been reduced by the cash collateral applied.

		December 31, 2009								
		Gross Derivative Assets					Gross Derivative Liabilities			
	Contract/	Trading Derivatives and Economic		-	lifying unting		Trading Derivatives and Economic	Qualifying Accounting		
(Dollars in billions)	Notional (1)		Hedges		dges (2)	Total	Hedges		lges (2)	Total
Interest rate contracts					-8				-8	
Swaps	\$ 45,261.5	\$	1,121.3	\$	5.6	\$ 1,126.9	\$ 1,105.0	\$	0.8	\$ 1,105.8
Futures and forwards	11,842.1		7.1			7.1	6.1			6.1
Written options	2,865.5						84.1			84.1
Purchased options	2,626.7		84.1			84.1				
Foreign exchange contracts										
Swaps	661.9		23.7		4.6	28.3	27.3		0.5	27.8
Spot, futures and forwards	1,750.8		24.6		0.3	24.9	25.6		0.1	25.7
Written options	383.6						13.0			13.0
Purchased options	355.3		12.7			12.7				
Equity contracts										
Swaps	58.5		2.0			2.0	2.0			2.0
Futures and forwards	79.0		3.0			3.0	2.2			2.2
Written options	283.4						25.1		0.4	25.5
Purchased options	273.7		27.3			27.3				
Commodity contracts										
Swaps	65.3		6.9		0.1	7.0	6.8			6.8
Futures and forwards	387.8		10.4							