

Google Inc.
Form 10-K
March 16, 2006
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 000-50726

Google Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

77-0493581
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

1600 Amphitheatre Parkway

Mountain View, CA 94043

(Address of principal executive offices)

(650) 253-4000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Class A Common Stock, \$0.001 par value

Class B Common Stock, \$0.001 par value

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

At June 30, 2005, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, there were 177,033,940 shares of the Registrant's Class A common stock and 101,678,686 shares of the Registrant's Class B common stock outstanding, and the aggregate market value of such shares held by non-affiliates of the Registrant (based upon the closing sale price of such shares on The Nasdaq National Market on June 30, 2005) was approximately \$53,030,610,961. Shares of the Registrant's Class A common stock and Class B common stock held by each executive officer and director and by each entity or person that, to the Registrant's knowledge, owned 5% or more of the Registrant's outstanding common stock as of June 30, 2005 have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates of the Registrant. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

At February 28, 2006, there were 207,095,945 shares of the Registrant's Class A common stock outstanding and 90,141,280 shares of the Registrant's Class B common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated herein by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the extent stated herein.

Table of Contents

Form 10-K

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005

INDEX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	1
<u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	18
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	20
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	38
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	38
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	38
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	38
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for The Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Services</u>	39
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	41
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	43
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	69
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	70
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	101
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	101
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	101
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	102
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	102
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	102
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	102
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	102
<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	103

Table of Contents

advertisements that are relevant to the information people seek. Our technology automatically rewards ads that users prefer and removes ads that users do not find helpful.

Multiple Access Platforms. Mobile phones are a fundamental development platform for us. Many people around the world have their first experience of the Internet and Google on their mobile phones. We have continued to invest in improving mobile search and recently introduced the beta of Google Local for Mobile a downloadable application for mobile phones that combines maps, directions, and satellite imagery to let people find relevant information when and where they need it, even if they are not close to a computer.

Our Advertisers

As more people spend additional time and money online, advertisers are increasingly turning to the Internet to market their products and services to consumers and business users. For these advertisers, we offer Google AdWords, an auction-based advertising program that enables them to deliver relevant ads targeted to search queries or web content. Our AdWords program provides advertisers with a cost-effective way to deliver ads to customers across Google sites and through the Google Network. The advertisers using AdWords range from small businesses targeting local customers to many of the world's largest global enterprises. AdWords is accessible to advertisers in 41 different interface languages.

The AdWords program offers advertisers the following benefits:

Strong Return on Investment. Many advertising dollars are spent delivering messages in an untargeted fashion, and payment for these advertisements is not tied to performance. With Google AdWords, businesses can achieve greater cost-effectiveness with their marketing budgets for two reasons AdWords shows ads only to people seeking information related to what the advertisers are selling, and advertisers choose how much they pay when a user clicks on their ad (though they are subject to a minimum price per click). Because we offer a simple ad format, advertisers can avoid incurring significant design, copywriting or other production costs associated with creating ads. As a result, even small advertisers find AdWords cost-effective for connecting with potential customers. In addition, advertisers can easily create many different ads, increasing the likelihood that an ad is exactly suited to a user's search. Users can find advertisements for exactly what they are seeking, and advertisers can find users who want exactly what they are offering. When the interests of users and advertisers align, both are well-served.

Effective Branding. We now also offer Site Targeting, a service that allows advertisers to target specific web sites that have signed up to participate in AdSense our network of content sites with text, image, and Flash ads, so that they can more effectively reach customers. In addition to targeting sites by content, advertisers targeting U.S.-based users can choose placements on sites based on their user demographic attributes. To protect user privacy, we use only third-party opt-in panel data to map the demographics of sites in our networks. Site Targeting is an auction-based system where bidding is based on a maximum cost per impression, and Site-Targeted ads compete with keyword-targeted ads in the same auction.

Access to the Google Search and Content Network. We serve AdWords ads on Google properties, our syndicated search partners' web sites, and the thousands of third-party web sites that make up the Google Content Network. We are thus able to offer extensive search and content inventory on which advertisers can advertise. Apart from keyword-based Search Targeting and Site Targeting, we also offer advertisers an effective contextual advertising option Content Targeting that displays their ads on relevant content pages across our network of partner sites and products. As a result, AdWords advertisers can target users on Google properties and on search and content sites across the web. This gives advertisers increased exposure to people who are likely to be interested in their offerings. The Google Network significantly enhances our ability to attract interested advertisers.

Table of Contents

Broader Range of Media. Our experiments with targeted ads in new media also open up new inventory options to AdWords advertisers. With the acquisition of dMarc in February 2006, Google plans to unite our network of advertisers with dMarc's innovative radio ad distribution product. In addition, we have begun testing ad placements in mobile search in Japan. In each of these cases, our goal is to provide targeted advertisements with measurable performance. We are also currently testing ad placements in select magazines and newspapers and, among other things, experimenting with ways of streamlining the process of placing print ads.

Precise Campaign Control. Google AdWords gives advertisers hands-on control over most elements of their ad campaigns. Advertisers can specify the relevant search or content topics for each of their ads. Advertisers can also manage expenditures by setting a maximum daily budget and determining how much they are willing to pay whenever a user clicks on an ad (or views an ad, in the case of Site Targeting). Our online tracking tools and reports give advertisers timely updates on how well their campaigns are performing and enable them to make changes or refinements quickly. Advertisers also can target their campaigns by city, country, regional area or language.

Effective Campaign Tools. Google has developed several new tools to help advertisers more easily manage their campaigns. For example, the AdWords API allows large-scale AdWords advertisers to communicate directly with our ad servers to modify keywords, bids and ads themselves. We also recently launched the beta version of AdWords Editor, enabling easy and rapid modification of ad campaigns through a client application rather than through the conventional AdWords web interface.

Global Support. We provide customer service to our advertiser base through our global support organization as well as through 32 field sales offices in 19 countries. AdWords is available on a self-service basis with email and real-time chat support. At certain spending levels and through certain signup channels, phone support is also available. Advertisers with more extensive needs and advertising budgets can request strategic support services, which include an account team of experienced professionals to help them set up, manage and optimize their campaigns.

Web Sites, Content Owners and Producers

Google indexes a huge amount of information to provide relevant results to our users. Our users do searches and are directed to relevant web sites. Google provides a significant amount of traffic to web sites with which we have no business relationship. We syndicate our search services to properties across the web, including some of the most popular portal destinations. These services, including web, news, image, and local search, fit easily into an existing user experience and enable our partners to enrich their offerings with services that users demand. Many web sites are able to generate revenue from search traffic that we direct to them, but others have difficulty doing so. We created Google AdSense to address this opportunity. We are enthusiastic about helping sites monetize their content, thereby facilitating the creation of better content to search. If there is better content on the web, people are likely to do more searches, and we expect that will be good for our business and for users. Our Google AdSense program enables the web sites—large and small—that make up the Google Network to deliver AdWords ads that are relevant to the search results or content on their pages. We share most of the revenue generated from ads shown by a member of the Google Network with that member—creating an additional revenue stream for them. The key benefits we offer to content owners and web sites in the Google Network include:

Access to Advertisers. Many small web site companies and content producers do not have the time or resources to develop effective programs for generating revenue from online advertising. Even larger sites, with dedicated sales teams, may find it difficult to generate revenue from pages with specialized content. We believe that Google AdSense enables Google Network members to generate revenue from their sites effectively and efficiently. Google AdSense promotes effective revenue generation by providing Google Network members immediate access to Google's base of advertisers and their broad collection of ads. Our technology automatically starts delivering ads on a web site as soon as the site joins the Google Network. Because the ads are related to

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

Weather provides weather conditions and a four-day forecast for U.S. locations

News, Product, Local, Image, Book and Groups Information when relevant, we also display results from Google News, Froogle, Google Local, Google Image Search, Google Book Search and Google Groups.

Q&A provides quick answers to fact-based questions, with links to information sources.

Google Image Search. Google Image Search is our searchable index of images found across the web. To extend the usefulness of Google Image Search, we offer advanced features, such as searching by image size, format and coloration and restricting searches to specific web sites or domains.

Google Groups. The original Google Groups enabled easy participation in Internet discussion groups by providing users with tools to search, read and browse these groups and to post messages of their own. Google Groups now contains more than 1 billion messages from Usenet Internet discussion groups dating back to 1981. The discussions in these groups cover a broad range of discourse and provide a comprehensive look at evolving viewpoints, debate and advice on many subjects.

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

AutoLink turns street addresses into links to online maps.

WordTranslator translates English words into other languages.

Table of Contents

SpellCheck checks spelling when typing in web forms.

Custom buttons allow users to search their favorite websites, stay updated on their favorite feeds, and more. A Custom Button API is also available for developers.

Link sharing allows users to share web pages easily through Gmail, SMS, or blogs.

Google Earth. Google Earth enables PC and Macintosh users to see and explore the world from their desktop. Users can fly virtually to a specific location and learn about that area through detailed satellite and aerial images, 3D topography, street maps and millions of data points describing the location of businesses, schools, parks, and other points of interest around the globe. Google Earth also provides access to Local search from the Google web index in a highly-interactive 3D environment.

Picasa. Picasa is a downloadable client application that helps users find, edit and share all the pictures on their computers. It streamlines the digital photography experience, allowing pictures to be easily transferred from digital cameras, organized, manipulated, and shared over email. Picasa's hello service also lets users share pictures with others and chat about them in real-time, or post them to blogs. Picasa integrates with other Google services including Gmail, Blogger, and Froogle and is available in 38 languages on 133 domains.

Google Pack. Google Pack is a free collection of software from Google and other companies. It includes the Google Updater, a tool that intelligently downloads, installs, and maintains all the software in the Google Pack.

Mobile

Google Mobile. Google Mobile offers people the ability to search and view both the mobile web, consisting of pages created specifically for wireless devices, and the entire Google index, including popular products like Image Search and Froogle. Google Mobile works on a wide range of devices that support WML, XHTML, WAP, WAP 2.0, i-mode or j-sky mobile Internet protocols. In addition, users can access a variety of information using Google SMS by typing a query to the Google shortcode, and check their email using Gmail Mobile. Google Mobile is available through many wireless and mobile phone services worldwide, including the BlackBerry.

Google Local for Mobile. Google Local for Mobile is a downloadable Java client application that enables users to view maps and satellite imagery, find local businesses and obtain driving directions on mobile devices. Local for Mobile offers many of the same functions as Google Local such as draggable maps combined with satellite imagery for free, and is supported on over 40 mobile devices, including the BlackBerry.

Labs

Google Labs is our test bed for our engineers and for adventurous Google users. On Google Labs, we post product prototypes and solicit feedback on how the technology could be used or improved. Current Google Labs examples include:

Froogle Wireless gives people the ability to search for product information from their mobile phones and other wireless devices.

Google Suggest guesses what you're typing and offers suggestions in real time. This is similar to Google's "Did you mean?" feature that offers alternative spellings for your query after you search, except that it works in real time.

Google Transit enables users to plan trips using public transportation (currently in Portland, Oregon only).

Google Ridefinder enables users to find a taxi, limousine or shuttle using real time position of vehicles.

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

Eric Schmidt has served as our Chief Executive Officer since July 2001 and served as Chairman of our board of directors from March 2001 to April 2004. In April 2004, Eric was named Chairman of the Executive

Table of Contents

Committee of our board of directors. Prior to joining us, from April 1997 to November 2001, Eric served as Chairman of the board of Novell, a computer networking company, and, from April 1997 to July 2001, as the Chief Executive Officer of Novell. From 1983 until March 1997, Eric held various positions at Sun Microsystems, a supplier of network computing solutions, including Chief Technology Officer from February 1994 to March 1997 and President of Sun Technology Enterprises from February 1991 until February 1994. Eric has a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering from Princeton University, and a Masters degree and Ph.D. in computer science from the University of California at Berkeley.

Sergey Brin, one of our founders, has served as a member of our board of directors since our inception in September 1998 and as our President of Technology since July 2001. From September 1998 to July 2001, Sergey served as our President. Sergey holds a Masters degree in computer science from Stanford University, a Bachelor of Science degree with high honors in mathematics and computer science from the University of Maryland at College Park and is currently on leave from the Ph.D. program in computer science at Stanford University.

Larry Page, one of our founders, has served as a member of our board of directors since our inception in September 1998 and as our President of Products since July 2001. From September 1998 to July 2001, Larry served as our Chief Executive Officer and from September 1998 to July 2002 as our Chief Financial Officer. Larry holds a Masters degree in computer science from Stanford University, a Bachelor of Science degree with high honors in engineering, with a concentration in computer engineering, from the University of Michigan and is currently on leave from the Ph.D. program in computer science at Stanford University.

Omid Kordestani was named Senior Vice President of Global Sales and Business Development in January 2006. Previously, he had served as Senior Vice President of Worldwide Sales and Field Operations since May 1999. Prior to joining us, from 1995 to 1999, Omid served as Vice President of Business Development at Netscape, an Internet software and services company. Prior to Netscape, he held positions in business development, product management and marketing at The 3DO Company, Go Corporation and Hewlett-Packard. Omid holds a Masters of Business Administration degree from Stanford University and a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering from San Jose State University.

David C. Drummond was named Senior Vice President of Corporate Development and General Counsel in January 2006. Previously, he had served as Vice President of Corporate Development and General Counsel since February 2002. Prior to joining us, from July 1999 to February 2002, David served as Chief Financial Officer of SmartForce, an educational software applications company. Prior to that, David was a partner at the law firm of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, our outside counsel. David holds a J.D. from Stanford University and a Bachelor of Arts degree in history from Santa Clara University. On July 20, 2004, David was advised by the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission that it intends to recommend that the Securities and Exchange Commission bring a civil injunction action against David, alleging violation of federal securities laws, including the anti-fraud provisions. The Securities and Exchange Commission's recommendation arises out of David's prior employment as Chief Financial Officer of SmartForce, and involves certain disclosure and accounting issues relating to SmartForce's financial statements. None of the allegations involve Google. The staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission has, in accordance with its customary practices, offered David the opportunity to make a Wells Submission setting forth why David believes that such action should not be brought and David has made this submission.

George Reyes was named Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in January 2006. Previously, he had served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since July 2002. Prior to joining us, George served as Interim Chief Financial Officer for ONI Systems, a provider of optical networking equipment, from February 2002 until June 2002. From April 1999 to September 2001, George served as Vice President and Treasurer of Sun Microsystems, a supplier of networking computing solutions, and as Vice President, Corporate Controller of Sun Microsystems from April 1994 to April 1999. George is also a director of BEA Systems, an application infrastructure software company, and Symantec, an information security company. George holds a Masters of Business Administration degree from Santa Clara University and a Bachelor of Arts degree in accounting from the University of South Florida.

Table of Contents

Jonathan J. Rosenberg was named Senior Vice President of Product Management in January 2006. Previously, he had served as Vice President of Product Management since February 2002. Prior to joining us, from October 2001 to February 2002, Jonathan served as Vice President of Software of palmOne, a provider of handheld computer and communications solutions. From November 2000 until October 2001, Jonathan was not formally employed. From March 1996 to November 2000, Jonathan held various executive positions at Excite@Home, an Internet media company, most recently as its Senior Vice President of Online Products and Services. Jonathan holds a Masters of Business Administration degree from the University of Chicago and a Bachelor of Arts degree with honors in economics from Claremont McKenna College.

Shona L. Brown was named Senior Vice President of Business Operations in January 2006. Previously, she had served as Vice President of Business Operations since September 2003. Prior to joining us, from October 1995 to August 2003, Shona was at McKinsey & Company, a management consulting firm where she had been a partner since December 2000. Shona holds a Ph.D. and Post-Doctorate in industrial engineering and engineering management from Stanford University, a Masters of Arts degree from Oxford University (as a Rhodes Scholar), and a Bachelor of Science degree in computer systems engineering from Carleton University.

Alan Eustace was named Senior Vice President of Engineering in January 2006. Previously, he had served as Vice President of Engineering since July 2003. Prior to joining us, from May 2002 to June 2003, Alan was at Hewlett-Packard, where he most recently served as Director of the Western Research Laboratory. Prior to that, Alan worked at Compaq from June 1998 until its acquisition by Hewlett-Packard in May 2002. Prior to that, Alan held various positions at Digital Equipment Corporation until its acquisition by Compaq in June 1998. Alan holds a B.S., M.S. and a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of Central Florida.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We face significant competition from Microsoft and Yahoo.

We face formidable competition in every aspect of our business, and particularly from other companies that seek to connect people with information on the web and provide them with relevant advertising. Currently, we consider our primary competitors to be Microsoft Corporation and Yahoo! Inc. Microsoft has announced plans to develop features that make web search a more integrated part of its Windows operating system or other desktop software products. We expect that Microsoft will increasingly use its financial and engineering resources to compete with us. Both Microsoft and Yahoo have more employees than we do (in Microsoft's case, approximately 11 times as many). Microsoft also has significantly more cash resources than we do. Both of these companies also have longer operating histories and more established relationships with customers and end users. They can use their experience and resources against us in a variety of competitive ways, including by making acquisitions, investing more aggressively in research and development and competing more aggressively for advertisers and web sites. Microsoft and Yahoo also may have a greater ability to attract and retain users than we do because they operate Internet portals with a broad range of content products and services. If Microsoft or Yahoo are successful in providing similar or better web search results compared to ours or leverage their platforms or products to make their web search services easier to access than ours, we could experience a significant decline in user traffic. Any such decline in traffic could negatively affect our revenues.

We face competition from other Internet companies, including web search providers, Internet access providers, Internet advertising companies and destination web sites that may also bundle their services with Internet access.

In addition to Microsoft and Yahoo, we face competition from other web search providers, including companies that are not yet known to us. We compete with Internet advertising companies, particularly in the areas of pay-for-performance and keyword-targeted Internet advertising. Also, we may compete with companies that sell products and services online because these companies, like us, are trying to attract users to their web sites to search for information about products and services.

Table of Contents

We also compete with destination web sites that seek to increase their search-related traffic. These destination web sites may include those operated by Internet access providers, such as cable and DSL service providers. Because our users need to access our services through Internet access providers, they have direct relationships with these providers. If an access provider or a computer or computing device manufacturer offers online services that compete with ours, the user may find it more convenient to use the services of the access provider or manufacturer. In addition, the access provider or manufacturer may make it hard to access our services by not listing them in the access provider's or manufacturer's own menu of offerings, or may charge users to access our websites or the websites of our Google Network members. Also, because the access provider gathers information from the user in connection with the establishment of a billing relationship, the access provider may be more effective than we are in tailoring services and advertisements to the specific tastes of the user.

There has been a trend toward industry consolidation among our competitors, and so smaller competitors today may become larger competitors in the future. If our competitors are more successful than we are at generating traffic, our revenues may decline.

We face competition from traditional media companies, and we may not be included in the advertising budgets of large advertisers, which could harm our operating results.

In addition to Internet companies, we face competition from companies that offer traditional media advertising opportunities. Most large advertisers have set advertising budgets, a very small portion of which is allocated to Internet advertising. We expect that large advertisers will continue to focus most of their advertising efforts on traditional media. If we fail to convince these companies to spend a portion of their advertising budgets with us, or if our existing advertisers reduce the amount they spend on our programs, our operating results would be harmed.

We expect our revenue growth rate to decline and anticipate downward pressure on our operating margin in the future.

We expect that our revenue growth rate will decline over time and anticipate that there will be downward pressure on our operating margin. We believe our revenue growth rate will generally decline as a result of increasing competition and the inevitable decline in growth rates as our revenues increase to higher levels. We believe our operating margin will experience downward pressure as a result of increasing competition and increased expenditures for many aspects of our business. Our operating margin will also experience downward pressure to the extent the proportion of our revenues generated from our Google Network members increases. The margin on revenue we generate from our Google Network members is significantly less than the margin on revenue we generate from advertising on our web sites. Additionally, the margin we earn on revenue generated from our Google Network could decrease in the future if our Google Network members demand a greater portion of the advertising fees, which could be the result of increased competition for these members.

Our operating results may fluctuate, which makes our results difficult to predict and could cause our results to fall short of expectations.

Our operating results may fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, many of which are outside of our control. For these reasons, comparing our operating results on a period-to-period basis may not be meaningful, and you should not rely on our past results as an indication of our future performance. Our quarterly and annual expenses as a percentage of our revenues may be significantly different from our historical or projected rates. Our operating results in future quarters may fall below expectations. Any of these events could cause our stock price to fall. Each of the risk factors listed in Item 1A, Risk Factors, and the following factors, may affect our operating results:

Our ability to continue to attract users to our web sites.

Our ability to monetize (or generate revenue from) traffic on our web sites and our Google Network members' web sites.

Our ability to attract advertisers to our AdWords program.

Table of Contents

Our ability to attract web sites to our AdSense program.

The mix in our revenues between those generated on our web sites and those generated through our Google Network.

The amount and timing of operating costs and capital expenditures related to the maintenance and expansion of our businesses, operations and infrastructure.

Our focus on long term goals over short term results.

The results of our investments in risky projects.

Payments made in connection with the resolution of litigation matters.

General economic conditions and those economic conditions specific to the Internet and Internet advertising.

Our ability to keep our web sites operational at a reasonable cost and without service interruptions.

Our ability to forecast revenue from agreements under which we guarantee minimum payments.

Geopolitical events such as war, threat of war or terrorist actions.

Because our business is changing and evolving, our historical operating results may not be useful to you in predicting our future operating results. In addition, advertising spending has historically been cyclical in nature, reflecting overall economic conditions as well as budgeting and buying patterns. For example, in 1999, advertisers spent heavily on Internet advertising. This was followed by a lengthy downturn in ad spending on the web. Also, user traffic tends to be seasonal. Our rapid growth has masked the cyclical nature and seasonality of our business. As our growth rate has slowed, the cyclical nature and seasonality in our business has become more pronounced and may cause our operating results to fluctuate.

If we do not continue to innovate and provide products and services that are useful to users, we may not remain competitive, and our revenues and operating results could suffer.

Our success depends on providing products and services that people use for a high quality Internet experience. Our competitors are constantly developing innovations in web search, online advertising and providing information to people. As a result, we must continue to invest significant resources in research and development in order to enhance our web search technology and our existing products and services and introduce new high-quality products and services that people can easily and effectively use. If we are unable to ensure that our users and customers have a high quality experience with our products and services, then they may become dissatisfied and move to competitors' products and services. In addition, if we are unable to predict user preferences or industry changes, or if we are unable to modify our products and services on a timely basis, we may lose users, advertisers and Google Network members. Our operating results would also suffer if our innovations are not responsive to the needs of our users, advertisers and Google Network members, are not appropriately timed with market opportunity or are not effectively brought to market. As search technology continues to develop, our competitors may be able to offer search results that are, or that are perceived to be, substantially similar or better than those generated by our search services. This may force us to compete in different ways with our competitors and to expend significant resources in order to remain competitive.

We generate our revenue almost entirely from advertising, and the reduction in spending by or loss of advertisers could seriously harm our business.

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

We generated approximately 99% of our revenues in 2005 from our advertisers. Our advertisers can generally terminate their contracts with us at any time. Advertisers will not continue to do business with us if their investment in advertising with us does not generate sales leads, and ultimately customers, or if we do not deliver their advertisements in an appropriate and effective manner. If we are unable to remain competitive and provide value to our advertisers, they may stop placing ads with us, which would negatively affect our revenues and business.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In addition to historical information, this report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements include, among other things, statements concerning our expectations:

regarding the growth of our operations, business and revenues and the growth rate of our revenues and costs and expenses;

that seasonal fluctuations in Internet usage and traditional advertising seasonality are likely to affect our business;

that growth in advertising revenues from our web sites may exceed that from our Google Network members' web sites;

that we expect to employ a significant number of temporary employees;

regarding our expansion into international markets and international revenues as a percentage of total revenues;

regarding our income tax rates, tax liabilities, the proportion of our earnings we expect our Irish subsidiary to recognize and our expectations with respect to our provision for income taxes as a result of disqualifying dispositions;

that research and development, sales and marketing (including promotional and advertising) and general and administrative expenses will increase in the future;

our plans to increase the number of international sales personnel;

our expectations regarding the settlement of a class-action lawsuit and our accounting for it;

regarding our future stock-based compensation charges and anticipated increases in cash compensation per employee;

regarding the amount our expected future stock awards will represent as a percentage of common shares then outstanding;

our expectations with respect to making minimum revenue share payments under certain AdSense agreements;

regarding our expectations with respect to our operating margins;

that we will continue to pay most of the Google AdSense fees we receive from advertisers to our Google Network members;

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

regarding our spending on property and equipment, including costs related to information technology infrastructure and land and buildings;

regarding the sufficiency of our existing cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities and cash generated from operations;

regarding the impact of accounting pronouncements;

regarding the timing and nature of our expanded strategic relationship with AOL, including our indirect equity investment in AOL;

regarding our expected further contributions to the Google Foundation and investments in other philanthropic endeavors; as well as other statements regarding our future operations, financial condition and prospects and business strategies. These forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this report, and in particular, the risks discussed in Item 1A, Risk Factors. We undertake no obligation to revise or publicly release the results of any revision to these forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

Overview

Google is a global technology leader focused on improving the ways people connect with information. Our innovations in web search and advertising have made our web site a top Internet destination and our brand one of the most recognized in the world. Our mission is to organize the world's information and make it universally accessible and useful. We serve three primary constituencies:

Users. We provide users with products and services that enable people to more quickly and easily find, create and organize information that is useful to them.

Advertisers. We provide advertisers our Google AdWords program, an auction-based advertising program that enables them to deliver relevant ads targeted to search results or web content. Our AdWords program provides advertisers with a cost-effective way to deliver ads to customers across Google sites and through the Google Network under our AdSense program.

Web sites. We provide members of our Google Network our Google AdSense program, which allows these members to deliver AdWords ads that are relevant to the search results or content on their web sites. We share most of the fees these ads generate with our Google Network members creating an important revenue stream for them.

How We Generate Revenue

We derive most of our revenues from fees we receive from our advertisers.

Our original business model consisted of licensing our search engine services to other web sites. In the first quarter of 2000, we introduced our first advertising program. Through our direct sales force we offered advertisers the ability to place text-based ads on our web sites targeted to our users' search queries under a program called Premium Sponsorships. Advertisers paid us based on the number of times their ads were displayed on users' search results pages, and we recognized revenue at the time these ads appeared. In the fourth quarter of 2000, we launched Google AdWords, an online self-service program that enables advertisers to place targeted text-based ads on our web sites. AdWords customers originally paid us based on the number of times their ads appeared on users' search results pages. In the first quarter of 2002, we began offering AdWords exclusively on a cost-per-click basis, which means that an advertiser pays us only when a user clicks on one of its ads. AdWords is also available through our direct sales force.

Effective beginning the first quarter of 2004 until the end of the first quarter of 2005, the AdWords cost-per-click pricing structure was the only pricing structure available to our advertisers. However, during the second quarter of 2005, we launched an AdWords cost-per-impression program that enables advertisers to pay us based on the number of times their ads appear on Google Network members' sites specified by the advertiser. For advertisers using our AdWords cost-per-click pricing, we recognize as revenue the fees charged advertisers each time a user clicks on one of the text-based ads that appears next to the search results on our web sites, or next to the search results or content on Google Network members' sites. For advertisers using our AdWords cost-per-impression pricing, we recognize as revenue the fees charged advertisers each time their ads are displayed on the Google Network members' sites. In addition, in the third quarter of 2005, we launched the Google Publication Ads Program through which we distribute our advertisers' ads for publication in the magazines of our Google Network members. We recognize as revenue the fees charged advertisers when their ads are published in magazines. Our AdWords agreements are generally terminable at any time by our advertisers.

Google AdSense is the program through which we distribute our advertisers' AdWords ads for display on the web sites of our Google Network members. Our AdSense program includes AdSense for search and AdSense for content. AdSense for search, launched in the first quarter of 2002, is our service for distributing relevant ads from our advertisers for display with search results on our Google Network members' sites. AdSense for content, launched in the first quarter of 2003, is our service for distributing ads from our advertisers that are relevant to content on our Google Network members' sites. Our advertisers pay us a fee each time a user clicks on one of

Table of Contents

our advertisers' ads displayed on Google Network members' web sites or, for those advertisers who choose our cost-per-impression pricing, as their ads are displayed. To date, we have paid most of these advertiser fees to the members of the Google Network, and we expect to continue doing so for the foreseeable future. We recognize these advertiser fees as revenue and the portion of the advertiser fee we pay to our Google Network members as traffic acquisition costs under cost of revenues. In some cases, we guarantee our Google Network members minimum revenue share payments. Members of the Google Network do not pay any fees associated with the use of our AdSense program on their web sites. Some of our Google Network members separately license our search technology and pay related licensing fees to us. Our agreements with Google Network members consist largely of uniform online click-wrap agreements that members enter into by interacting with our registration web sites. Agreements with our larger members are individually negotiated. The click-wrap agreements have no stated term and are terminable at will. The negotiated agreements vary in duration. Both the click-wrap agreements and the negotiated agreements contain provisions requiring us to share with the Google Network member a portion of the advertiser fees generated by users clicking on ads on the Google Network member's web site. The click-wrap agreements have uniform revenue share terms. The negotiated agreements vary as to revenue share terms and are heavily negotiated.

We believe the factors that influence the success of our advertising programs include the following:

The relevance, objectivity and quality of our search results.

The number and type of searches initiated at our web sites.

The number and type of searches initiated at, as well as the number of visits to and the content of, our Google Network members' web sites.

The advertisers' return on investment (ad cost per sale or cost per conversion) from advertising campaigns on our web sites or our Google Network members' web sites compared to other forms of advertising.

The number of advertisers and the breadth of items advertised.

The total and per click advertising spending budgets of each advertiser.

The monetization of (or generation of revenue from) traffic on our web sites and our Google Network members' web sites. We believe that the monetization of traffic on our web sites and our Google Network members' web sites is affected by the following factors:

The relevance and quality of ads displayed with each search results page on our web sites and our Google Network members' web sites, as well as with each content page on our Google Network members' web sites.

The number and prominence of ads displayed with each search results page on our web sites and our Google Network members' web sites, as well as with each content page on our Google Network members' web sites.

The rate at which our users and users of our Google Network members' web sites click on advertisements.

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

Our minimum fee per click.

Advertising revenues made up 97%, 99% and 99% of our revenues in 2003, 2004 and 2005. We derive the balance of our revenues from the license of our web search technology, the license of our search solutions to enterprises and the sale and license of other products and services.

Table of Contents

Trends in Our Business

Our business has grown rapidly since inception, resulting in substantially increased revenues, and we expect that our business will continue to grow. However, our revenue growth rate has generally declined over time, and we expect it will continue to do so as a result of increasing competition and the difficulty of maintaining growth rates as our revenues increase to higher levels. In addition, the main focus of our advertising programs is to provide relevant and useful advertising to our users, reflecting our commitment to constantly improve their overall web experience. As a result, we may take steps to improve the relevance of the ads displayed on our web sites, such as removing ads that generate low click-through rates, that could negatively affect our near-term advertising revenues.

Both seasonal fluctuations in Internet usage and traditional retail seasonality have affected, and are likely to continue to affect, our business. Internet usage generally slows during the summer months, and commercial queries typically increase significantly in the fourth calendar quarter of each year. These seasonal trends have caused and will likely continue to cause, fluctuations in our quarterly results, including fluctuations in sequential revenue growth rates. Prior to the second quarter of 2004, these seasonal trends may have been masked by the substantial quarter over quarter growth of Internet traffic focused on commercial transactions and ultimately by the substantial quarter over quarter growth in our revenues. In addition, in the third quarters of 2004 and 2005 these seasonal trends may have been masked by certain monetization improvements to our advertising programs, as well as by the continued expansion of our global advertiser base and partner network. Our seasonality is further discussed below in Quarterly Results of Operations.

From the inception of the Google Network in 2002 through the first quarter of 2004, the growth in advertising revenues from our Google Network members' web sites exceeded that from our web sites, which had a negative impact on our operating margins. The operating margin we realize on revenues generated from ads placed on our Google Network members' web sites through our AdSense program is significantly lower than revenue generated from ads placed on our web sites. This lower operating margin arises because most of the advertiser fees from ads served on Google Network member web sites are shared with our Google Network members, leaving only a portion of these fees for us. However, beginning in the second quarter of 2004, growth in advertising revenues from our web sites has exceeded that from our Google Network members' web sites. We expect that this will continue in the foreseeable future although the relative rate of growth in revenues from our web sites compared to the rate of growth in revenues from our Google Network members' web sites may vary over time.

Our operating margin may decrease as we invest in building the necessary employee and systems infrastructures required to manage our anticipated growth. We have experienced and expect to continue to experience substantial growth in our operations as we invest significantly in our research and development programs, expand our user, advertiser and Google Network member bases and increase our presence in international markets, as well as promote the distribution of our Google toolbar and other products in order to make our services easier to access. This growth has required us to continually hire new personnel and make substantial investments in property and equipment. Our full-time employee headcount has grown from 3,021 at December 31, 2004 to 5,680 at December 31, 2005. Also, we have employed a significant number of temporary employees in the past and expect to continue to do so in the foreseeable future. Our capital expenditures have grown from \$319.0 million in 2004 to \$838.2 million in 2005. Our investments in property and equipment, including information technology infrastructure and land and buildings, will likely be significantly greater in 2006 compared to 2005. Our capital spending between periods may fluctuate significantly depending on the availability and price of suitable property and equipment. Management of our growth will continue to require the devotion of significant employee and other resources. We may not be able to manage this growth effectively. Finally, investments in our business are generally made with a focus on our long-term operations. Accordingly, there may be little or no linkage between our spending and our revenues in any particular quarter.

Our international revenues have grown as a percentage of our total revenues to 39% in 2005 from 34% in 2004. This increase in the percentage of our revenues derived from international markets results largely from

Table of Contents

increased acceptance of our advertising programs in international markets, an increase in our direct sales resources and customer support operations in international markets and our continued progress in developing versions of our products tailored for these markets. Although we expect to continue to make investments in international markets, they may not result in an increase in our international revenues as a percentage of total revenues in 2006 or thereafter.

We currently anticipate that our effective tax rate will decrease to approximately 30% in 2006 from 31.6% in 2005, primarily because we expect that our Irish subsidiary will recognize proportionately more of our earnings in 2006 as compared to 2005, and such earnings are taxed at a lower statutory tax rate than in the U.S. However, if future earnings recognized by our Irish subsidiary are not as proportionately great as we expect, our effective tax rate will be higher than we currently expect.

Results of Operations

The following is a more detailed discussion of our financial condition and results of operations for the periods presented.

The following table presents our historical operating results as a percentage of revenues for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,			Three Months Ended	
	2003	2004	2005	September 30, 2005	December 31, 2005
	(unaudited)				
Consolidated Statement of Income Data:					
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of revenues	42.7	45.7	41.9	41.4	40.4
Research and development	6.2	7.1	7.9	9.6	8.2
Sales and marketing	8.2	7.7	7.1	6.7	8.1
General and administrative	3.9	4.4	5.4	5.9	5.9
Stock-based compensation	15.6	8.7	3.3	2.9	3.0
Contribution to Google Foundation			1.5		4.7
Non-recurring portion of settlement of disputes with Yahoo		6.3			
Total costs and expenses	76.6	79.9	67.1	66.5	70.3
Income from operations	23.4	20.1	32.9	33.5	29.7
Interest income and other, net	0.2	0.3	2.0	1.3	3.6
Income before income taxes	23.6	20.4	34.9	34.8	33.3
Net income	7.2%	12.5%	23.9%	24.1%	19.4%

Table of Contents**Revenues**

The following table presents our revenues, by revenue source, for the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,			Three Months Ended	
	2003	2004	2005	September 30, 2005	December 31, 2005
				(unaudited)	
	(in thousands)				
Advertising Revenues					
Google web sites	\$ 792,063	\$ 1,589,032	\$ 3,377,060	\$ 884,679	\$ 1,098,213
Google Network web sites	628,600	1,554,256	2,687,942	675,012	798,573
Total advertising revenues	1,420,663	3,143,288	6,065,002	1,559,691	1,896,786
Licensing and other revenues	45,271	45,935	73,558	18,765	22,307
Revenues	\$ 1,465,934	\$ 3,189,223	\$ 6,138,560	\$ 1,578,456	\$ 1,919,093

The following table presents our revenues, by revenue source, as a percentage of total revenues for the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,			Three Months Ended	
	2003	2004	2005	September 30, 2005	December 31, 2005
				(unaudited)	
Advertising Revenues					
Google web sites	54%	50%	55%	56%	57%
Google Network web sites	43	49	44	43	42
Total advertising revenues	97	99	99	99	99
<i>Google web sites as % of advertising revenues</i>	56	51	56	57	58
<i>Google Network web sites as % of advertising revenues</i>	44	49	44	43	42
Licensing and other revenues	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%

Growth in our revenues from 2004 to 2005 and from 2003 to 2004 resulted primarily from growth in advertising revenues. The advertising revenue growth resulted primarily from increases in the total number of paid clicks and ads displayed through our programs, rather than from changes in the average fees realized. The increase in the number of paid clicks was due to an increase in aggregate traffic both on our web sites and those of our Google Network members, an increase in the number of Google Network members, certain improvements in the monetization of traffic on our web sites and our Google Network member sites, and the continued expansion of our global advertiser base.

Growth in our revenues from the three months ended September 30, 2005 to the three months ended December 31, 2005 resulted primarily from growth in revenues from ads on our web sites and growth in revenues from ads on our Google Network members' web sites. The advertising revenue growth resulted primarily from increases in the total number of paid clicks, rather than from changes in the average fees realized. Our revenues grew by 14.0% from the three month period ended June 30, 2005 to the three month period ended September 30, 2005, but grew by 21.6% from the three month period ended September 30, 2005 to the three month period ended December 31, 2005. The reasons for the increases in the sequential quarter revenue growth rates are described in the following two paragraphs.

Growth in advertising revenues from our web sites from the three months ended September 30, 2005 to the three months ended December 31, 2005 was \$213.5 million or 24.1% compared to \$147.5 million or 20.0% from the three months ended June 30, 2005 to the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in the

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

Cost of revenues	0.8%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Research and development	7.1	6.5	5.2	3.4	2.4	2.0	1.6	1.7
Sales and marketing	2.1	1.9	1.5	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4
General and administrative	1.7	1.9	1.5	1.2	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8
	11.7%	10.7%	8.5%	5.8%	3.9%	3.4%	2.9%	3.0%

Table of Contents

estimate of the future minimum guaranteed payments. Actual guaranteed payments may differ from the estimates presented above. To date, total advertiser fees generated under these AdSense agreements have exceeded the total guaranteed minimum revenue share payments. Five of our Google Network members account for approximately 88% of the total future guaranteed minimum revenue share payments and 10 of our Google Network members account for 95% of these payments. At December 31, 2005, our aggregate outstanding non-cancelable minimum guarantee commitments totaled \$234.3 million and these commitments are expected to be settled through 2007.

In addition, in connection with some other AdSense agreements, we have agreed to make an aggregate of \$5.2 million of minimum revenue share payments through 2007. This amount is not included in the above table since we generally have the right to cancel these agreements at any time. Because we sometimes cancel agreements that perform poorly, we do not expect to make all of these minimum revenue share payments.

Operating Leases

We have entered into various other non-cancelable operating lease agreements for our offices and certain of our data centers throughout the U.S. and internationally with original lease periods expiring between 2006 and 2021. We recognize rent expense on our operating leases on a straight-line basis at the commencement of the lease. Certain of these leases have free or escalating rent payment provisions. We recognize rent expense under such leases on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

During 2003, we entered into a nine-year sublease for our headquarters in Mountain View, California. According to the terms of the sublease, we began making payments in April 2005 and payments will increase at three percent per annum thereafter. The lease terminates on December 31, 2012; however, we may exercise two five-year renewal options at our discretion. We have an option to purchase the property for approximately \$172.4 million, which is exercisable in 2006.

Purchase Obligations

Purchase obligations represent non-cancelable contractual obligations at December 31, 2005. In addition, we had \$71.0 million of open purchase orders for which we have not received the related services or goods at December 31, 2005. This amount is not included in the above table since we have the right to cancel the purchase orders upon 10 days notice prior to the date of delivery. The majority of our purchase obligations are related to data center operations. These non-cancelable contractual obligations and open purchase orders amounts do not include payments we may be obligated to make to vendors upon their attainment of milestones under the related agreements.

Other long-term liabilities

Other long-term liabilities consist of deferred rent liabilities related to certain operating leases and royalty payments related to certain licensing agreements.

Off-Balance Sheet Entities

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, we were not involved with any variable interest entities, as defined by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (Revised 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities - An Interpretation of ARB No. 51*, having a significant effect on the financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. In doing so, we have to make estimates and assumptions that affect our reported amounts

Table of Contents

down to its impaired value, which becomes the new cost basis. FSP Nos. FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. We do not believe that adoption of FSP Nos. FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1 on January 1, 2006 will have a material effect on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in currency exchange rates and interest rates.

Foreign Exchange Risk

Our exposure to foreign currency transaction gains and losses is the result of certain net receivables due from our foreign subsidiaries and customers being denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily the British Pound, the Euro and the Japanese Yen, as well as cash denominated in currencies other than the local currency of the subsidiary. Our foreign subsidiaries conduct their businesses in local currency. Effective January 2004, we began to bill our international online sales through a foreign subsidiary, which has lowered our exposure to foreign currency transaction gains and losses. In addition, effective January 2004 our board of directors approved a foreign exchange hedging program designed to minimize the future potential impact due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The program allows for the hedging of transaction exposures. The types of derivatives that can be used under the policy are forward contracts, options and foreign exchange swaps. The primary vehicle we use are forward contracts. We also generate revenue in certain countries in Asia where there are limited forward currency exchange markets, thus making these exposures difficult to hedge. We have entered into forward foreign exchange contracts to offset the foreign exchange risk on certain intercompany assets, as well as cash denominated in currencies other than the local currency of the subsidiary. The notional principal of forward exchange contracts to purchase U.S. dollars with foreign currencies was \$477.0 million at December 31, 2005. There were no other forward exchange contracts outstanding at December 31, 2005.

Our exposure to foreign currency translation gains and losses arises from the translation of net assets of our subsidiaries to U.S. dollars during consolidation. We recognized translation losses of \$18.0 million in 2005 primarily as a result of generally weakening foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar.

We considered the historical trends in currency exchange rates and determined that it was reasonably possible that adverse changes in exchange rates of 10% for all currencies could be experienced in the near term. These changes would have resulted in an adverse impact on income before taxes of approximately \$2.2 million and \$1.7 million at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. The adverse impact at December 31, 2005 is after consideration of the offsetting effect of approximately \$63.3 million from forward exchange contracts in place for the month of December 2005. These reasonably possible adverse changes in exchange rates of 10% were applied to total monetary assets denominated in currencies other than the local currencies at the balance sheet dates to compute the adverse impact these changes would have had on our income before taxes in the near term.

Interest Rate Risk

We invest in a variety of securities, consisting primarily of investments in interest-bearing demand deposit accounts with financial institutions, tax-exempt money market funds and highly liquid debt securities of municipalities. By policy, we limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer.

Investments in both fixed rate and floating rate interest earning products carry a degree of interest rate risk. Fixed rate securities may have their fair market value adversely impacted due to a rise in interest rates, while floating rate securities may produce less income than predicted if interest rates fall. Due in part to these factors, our income from investments may decrease in the future.

Table of Contents

We considered the historical volatility of short term interest rates and determined that it was reasonably possible that an adverse change of 100 basis points could be experienced in the near term. A hypothetical 1.00% (100 basis-point) increase in interest rates would have resulted in a decrease in the fair values of our investment securities of approximately \$60.4 million and \$19.0 million at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. The increase in this amount from December 31, 2004 to December 31, 2005 is due to the substantial increase in our investment balances as a result of proceeds from our follow-on stock offering completed in September 2005.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Google Inc.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Contents

	Page
<u>Reports of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	71
Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	73
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	74
<u>Consolidated Statements of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock Warrant and Stockholders' Equity</u>	75
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	76
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	77

Table of Contents

**REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP,
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Google Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Google Inc. as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, redeemable convertible preferred stock warrant and stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a) 2. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Google Inc. at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Google Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 10, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

San Francisco, California

March 10, 2006

Table of Contents

**REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP,
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Google Inc.

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that Google Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Google Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Google Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, Google Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the 2005 consolidated financial statements of Google Inc. and our report dated March 10, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

San Francisco, California

March 10, 2006

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity

\$ 3,313,351

\$ 10,271,813

See accompanying notes.

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

investments, net of tax effect of \$2,612								
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax effect of \$1,128					7,572			7,572
Net income						399,119		399,119

Total comprehensive income 402,895

Balance at December 31, 2004	266,917	267	2,582,352	(249,470)	5,436	590,471	2,929,056
Issuance of common stock in connection with follow-on public offering and acquisitions, net	14,869	15	4,316,022	(2,036)			4,314,001
Stock-based award activity	11,241	11	579,418	132,491			711,920
Comprehensive income:							
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale investments, net of tax effect of \$11,404					16,580		16,580
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax effect of \$1,372					(17,997)		(17,997)
Net income						1,465,397	1,465,397

Total comprehensive income 1,463,980

Balance at December 31, 2005	\$	\$	293,027	\$ 293	\$ 7,477,792	\$	\$ (119,015)	\$ 4,019	\$ 2,055,868	\$ 9,418,957
------------------------------	----	----	---------	--------	--------------	----	--------------	----------	--------------	--------------

See accompanying notes.

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

Cash paid for interest	\$ 1,739	\$ 709	\$ 216
Cash paid for taxes	\$ 247,422	\$ 183,776	\$ 153,628
Acquisition related activities:			
Issuance of equity in connection with acquisitions, net of deferred stock-based compensation	\$ 73,540	\$ 25,714	\$ 22,407

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**Google Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 1. Google Inc. and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies***Nature of Operations*

We were incorporated in California in September 1998. We were reincorporated in the State of Delaware in August 2003. We provide highly targeted advertising and global Internet search solutions as well as intranet solutions via an enterprise search appliance.

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Google and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that effect the amounts reported and disclosed in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to the accounts receivable allowance, fair values of marketable securities, fair values of acquired intangible assets and goodwill, useful lives of intangible assets and property and equipment, fair values of options to purchase our common stock and income taxes, among others. In addition, we used estimates to value common stock prior to our initial public offering for the purpose of determining stock-based compensation (see below). We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities.

Prior to our initial public offering, we typically granted stock options at exercise prices equal to the values of the underlying stock as determined by our board of directors on the date of option grant. For purposes of financial accounting for stock-based compensation, management applied hindsight within each year or quarter to arrive at reassessed values for the shares underlying these options and those issued under other transactions that were higher than the values determined by the board. These reassessed values were determined based on a number of factors, including input from advisors, our historical and forecasted operating results and cash flows, and comparisons to publicly-held companies. The reassessed values were used to determine the amount of stock-based compensation recognized related to stock and stock option grants to employees and non-employees, the amount of expense related to stock warrants issued to third-parties and the purchase prices of our acquisitions.

Revenue Recognition

The following table presents our revenues:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2004	2005
	(in thousands)		
Advertising revenues:			
Google web sites	\$ 792,063	\$ 1,589,032	\$ 3,377,060
Google Network web sites	628,600	1,554,256	2,687,942
Total advertising revenues	1,420,663	3,143,288	6,065,002
Licensing and other revenues	45,271	45,935	73,558
Revenues	\$ 1,465,934	\$ 3,189,223	\$ 6,138,560

Table of Contents

Google Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In the first quarter of 2000, we introduced our first advertising program through which we offered advertisers the ability to place text-based ads on Google web sites targeted to users' search queries. Advertisers paid us based on the number of times their ads were displayed on users' search results pages and we recognized revenue at the time these ads appeared. In the fourth quarter of 2000, we launched Google AdWords, an online self-service program that enables advertisers to place text-based ads on Google web sites. AdWords is also available through our direct sales force. AdWords advertisers originally paid us based on the number of times their ads appeared on users' search results pages. In the first quarter of 2002, we began offering AdWords exclusively on a cost-per-click basis, so that an advertiser pays us only when a user clicks on one of its ads. We recognize as revenue the fees charged advertisers each time a user clicks on one of the text-based ads that are displayed next to the search results on Google web sites. From January 1, 2004 until the end of the first quarter of 2005, the AdWords cost-per-click pricing structure was the only structure available to our advertisers. However, during the second quarter of 2005, we launched an AdWords program that enables advertisers to pay us based on the number of times their ads appear on Google Network member sites specified by the advertiser. We recognize as revenue the fees charged advertisers each time their ads are displayed on the Google Network member sites. In addition, in the third quarter of 2005, we launched the Google Publication Ads Program through which we distribute our advertisers' ads for publication in the magazines of our Google Network members. We recognize as revenue the fees charged advertisers when ads are published in these magazines.

Google AdSense is the program through which we distribute our advertisers' ads for display on the web sites of our Google Network members. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 99-19, *Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal Versus Net as an Agent*, we recognize as revenues the fees charged advertisers each time a user clicks on one of the text-based ads that are displayed next to the search results or on the content pages of our Google Network members' web sites and, for those advertisers who use our cost-per impression pricing, the fees charged advertisers each time an ad is displayed on our members' sites. These revenues, along with those related to the fees charged advertisers for ads published in the magazines of our Google Network members, is reported on a gross basis primarily because we are the primary obligor to our advertisers.

We generate fees from search services through a variety of contractual arrangements, which include per-query search fees and search service hosting fees. Revenues from set-up and support fees and search service hosting fees are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the contract, which is the expected period during which these services will be provided. Our policy is to recognize revenues from per-query search fees in the period queries are made and results are delivered.

We provide search services pursuant to certain AdSense agreements. We believe that search services and revenue share arrangements represent separate units of accounting pursuant to EITF 00-21 *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. These separate services are provided simultaneously to the Google Network member and are recognized as revenues in the periods provided.

We also generate fees from the sale and license of our Search Appliance, which includes hardware, software and 12 to 24 months of post-contract support. We recognize revenue in accordance with Statement of Position 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, as amended. For transactions in which the elements are not sold separately, sufficient vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value does not exist for the allocation of revenue. As a result, commencing with the delivery of the hardware and software, the fee for the entire arrangement is recognized ratably over the term of the post-contract support arrangement.

Deferred revenue is recorded when payments are received in advance of our performance in the underlying agreement on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

Google Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues consists primarily of traffic acquisition costs. Traffic acquisition costs consist of amounts ultimately paid to Google Network members, as well as to partners who direct search queries to our web site. These amounts are primarily based on revenue share arrangements under which we pay our Google Network members and other partners a portion of the fees we receive from our advertisers. In addition, certain AdSense agreements obligate us to make guaranteed minimum revenue share payments to Google Network members based on their achieving defined performance terms, such as number of search queries or advertisements displayed. We amortize guaranteed minimum revenue share prepayments (or accrete an amount payable to a Google Network member if the payment is due in arrears) based on the number of search queries or advertisements displayed on the Google Network member's web site or the actual revenue share amounts, whichever is greater. In addition, concurrent with the commencement of a small number of AdSense and other agreements, we have purchased certain items from, or provided other consideration to, our Google Network members and partners. We have determined that certain of these amounts are prepaid traffic acquisition costs and are amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related agreements. Traffic acquisition costs were \$526.5 million, \$1,228.7 million and \$2,114.9 million in 2003, 2004 and 2005.

In addition, cost of revenues consists of the expenses associated with the operation of our data centers, including depreciation, labor, energy and bandwidth costs. Cost of revenues also includes credit card and other transaction fees relating to processing customer transactions, expenses related to the amortization of purchased and licensed technologies as well as expenses related to acquiring content on our web sites.

Stock-based Compensation

Deferred stock-based compensation is recorded for certain stock awards issued to employees, and is amortized to expense over the related vesting periods. In addition, stock-based compensation is recorded directly to expense for certain other stock awards issued to employees and non-employees and is recognized over the related vesting periods.

As permitted by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-based Compensation* (SFAS 123), we account for employee stock-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25), and related interpretations. Under APB 25, deferred stock-based compensation for options granted to employees is equal to its intrinsic value, determined as the difference between the exercise prices and the values of the underlying stock on the dates of grant.

Prior to the initial public offering, we typically granted stock options at exercise prices equal to or less than the values of the underlying stock as determined by our board of directors on the dates of option grant. For purposes of financial accounting, we applied hindsight within each year or quarter prior to our initial public offering to arrive at reassessed values for the shares underlying these options and recorded deferred stock-based compensation equal to the difference between these reassessed values and the exercise prices. After the initial public offering, we have primarily granted options at exercise prices equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the dates of option grant. We have recorded deferred stock-based compensation for these options equal to any difference between the exercise prices and the fair market values of the underlying stock on the dates of grant.

Deferred stock-based compensation for restricted shares and restricted stock units (RSUs) under our Founders Award and other programs is equal to the fair value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. These restricted shares have been issued to employees in connection with an acquisition of a business and vest

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2004	2005
	(in thousands)		
Interest income	\$ 2,663	\$ 15,996	\$ 121,038
Interest expense	(1,931)	(862)	(776)
Other	3,458	(5,092)	4,137
Interest income and other, net	\$ 4,190	\$ 10,042	\$ 124,399

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

Patents and developed technology and customer contracts and other have weighted-average useful lives from the date of purchase of 3.2 and 2.2 years.

Amortization expense of acquisition-related intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005 was \$6.3 million, \$19.9 million and \$37.0 million.

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

Total minimum payments required	\$ 688,648	\$ 20,328	\$ 668,320
---------------------------------	------------	-----------	------------

Rent expense under operating leases was \$9.8 million, \$27.1 million and \$41.2 million in 2003, 2004, and 2005. Sub-lease income was not material in any year presented.

Table of Contents

Google Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 11. 401(k) Plan

We have a 401(k) Savings Plan (the 401(k) Plan) that qualifies as a deferred salary arrangement under Section 401 (k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Under the 401(k) Plan, participating employees may elect to contribute up to 60% of their eligible compensation, subject to certain limitations. We match employee contributions up to \$2,200 per year. Employee and our contributions are fully vested when contributed. We contributed approximately \$1.7 million, \$4.4 million and \$8.4 million during 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

Note 12. Income Taxes

Income before income taxes included income (loss) from foreign operations of approximately \$(6.5) million, \$(42.3) million and \$590.8 million for 2003, 2004 and 2005.

The provision for income taxes consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2004	2005
Current:			
Federal	\$ 187,686	\$ 215,503	\$ 506,322
State	52,336	68,004	141,101
Foreign	965	1,581	7,694
Total	240,987	285,088	655,117
Deferred:			
Federal	712	(18,310)	14,273
State	(693)	(15,663)	6,890
Total	19	(33,973)	21,163
Provision for income taxes	\$ 241,006	\$ 251,115	\$ 676,280

The reconciliation of federal statutory income tax rate to our effective income tax rate is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2004	2005
Expected provision at federal statutory rate, 35%	\$ 121,329	\$ 227,582	\$ 749,588
State taxes, net of federal benefit	33,568	34,022	96,194
Stock based compensation expense	79,764	18,703	25,058
Disqualifying dispositions of incentive stock options		(36,221)	(46,092)
Foreign rate differential	3,249	16,370	(134,185)
In process research and development	4,066	3,970	7,714
Federal research credit utilization	(2,433)	(6,317)	(12,287)
Tax exempt interest	(750)	(4,755)	(20,177)
Other permanent differences	2,213	(2,239)	10,467
Provision for income taxes	\$ 241,006	\$ 251,115	\$ 676,280

Table of Contents**Google Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Deferred Tax Assets*

Deferred income taxes reflect the net effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financing reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	As of December 31,	
	2004	2005
Deferred tax assets:		
Deferred compensation	\$ 68,242	\$
State taxes	6,090	
Deferred revenue	2,046	2,904
Accruals and reserves not currently deductible	15,574	33,072
Tax credits		11,047
Charitable contribution carryforwards		16,471
Other	48	58
Total deferred tax assets	92,000	63,552
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation	(46,076)	(15,014)
Identified intangibles	(9,885)	(20,286)
Unrealized gains/(losses) on investments and other	793	(10,786)
Other	(5,779)	(3,544)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(60,947)	(49,630)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 31,053	\$ 13,922

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the Act), enacted on October 22, 2004, provides for a temporary 85% dividends received deduction on certain foreign earnings repatriated during either 2004 or 2005. We did not elect this provision in 2004 nor in 2005. The deduction would result in an approximate 5.25% federal tax rate on the repatriated earnings. To qualify for the deduction, the earnings must be reinvested in the United States pursuant to a domestic reinvestment plan established by our chief executive officer and approved by our board of directors. Certain other criteria in the Act must be satisfied as well.

No provision has been made for federal income taxes on \$533.7 million of gross cumulative unremitted earnings through December 31, 2005 of our foreign subsidiaries since we plan to indefinitely reinvest all such earnings. If these earnings were distributed to the U.S. in the form of dividends or otherwise, then we would be subject to U.S. income taxes of approximately \$208.9 million (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits).

As of December 31, 2005, we had \$18.4 million of California research and development tax credit carryforwards which does not expire. In addition, we had \$37.5 million and \$36.1 million of federal and state charitable contribution carryforwards which if not utilized, will expire in 2011.

Note 13. Information about Geographic Areas

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

Our chief operating decision-makers (i.e., chief executive officer and his direct reports) review financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by disaggregated information about revenues by geographic region for purposes of allocating resources and evaluating financial performance. There are no segment managers who are held accountable for operations, operating results and plans for levels or components below the consolidated unit level. Accordingly, we consider ourselves to be in a single reporting segment and operating unit structure.

Table of Contents

Google Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Revenues by geography are based on the billing address of the advertiser. The following table sets forth revenues and long-lived assets by geographic area (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2004	2005
Revenues:			
United States	\$ 1,038,409	\$ 2,119,043	\$ 3,756,886
United Kingdom	141,508	402,802	878,110
Rest of the world	286,017	667,378	1,503,564
Total revenues	\$ 1,465,934	\$ 3,189,223	\$ 6,138,560
	As of December 31,		
	2003	2004	2005
Long-lived assets:			
United States	\$ 267,348	\$ 552,857	\$ 1,080,236
International	43,876	67,029	190,506
Total long-lived assets	\$ 311,224	\$ 619,886	\$ 1,270,742

Note 14. Subsequent Events*Acquisition of dMarc Broadcasting, Inc.*

On February 17, 2006, we completed our acquisition of all of the outstanding equity interests in dMarc Broadcasting, Inc., a privately held company, for total up-front cash consideration of \$102.0 million. In addition, we are obligated to make additional cash payments of up to \$1.136 billion if certain product integration, net revenue and advertising inventory targets are met through December 31, 2008. Since these contingent payments are based on the achievement of performance targets, actual payments may be substantially lower. Substantially all of the payments will be accounted for as part of the purchase price for the transaction.

Investment in America Online, Inc.

On December 20, 2005, we entered into a letter agreement (Letter Agreement) with Time Warner Inc. and America Online, Inc. (AOL) pursuant to which we agreed to purchase a five percent equity interest in a wholly owned subsidiary of Time Warner that will own all of the outstanding equity interests in AOL for \$1.0 billion in cash, subject to certain conditions, including entering into definitive agreements with respect to certain commercial arrangements described in the Letter Agreement. We have substantially completed negotiations with respect to definitive agreements governing this \$1.0 billion investment in AOL and currently expect that the investment will close in the second quarter of 2006.

Our investment in this non-marketable equity security will be accounted for at historical cost. In addition, this investment will be subject to a periodic impairment review. To the extent any impairment is considered other-than-temporary, this investment would be written down to its fair value and the loss would be recorded in interest income and other, net.

Beginning on July 1, 2008, we will have certain rights to require a registration of these shares for sale in a public offering. If we exercise this right, Time Warner, the parent of AOL, will have the right to purchase our interests for cash or shares of Time Warner stock based on an appraised fair market value of our equity interest in AOL in lieu of conducting a public offering. In addition, in the event of a sale of all or

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

substantially all of the assets of AOL, Time Warner would cause the distribution to us of our pro rata portion of such sale.

At the time we entered into the Letter Agreement, we also agreed to enter into certain arms-length commercial arrangements with AOL pursuant to the Letter Agreement.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended (the Exchange Act). In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. In addition, the design of disclosure controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and that we are required to apply our judgment in evaluating the benefits of possible controls and procedures relative to our costs.

Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2005, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act (i) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and (ii) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

(c) Management's report on internal control over financial reporting.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2005. Management reviewed the results of their assessment with our Audit Committee. Management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information required by this item concerning our directors, compliance with Section 16 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and our code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in the sections entitled Election of Directors, Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance and Election of Directors Corporate Governance Matters Code of Conduct in our Proxy Statement for our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 (the 2006 Proxy Statement).

The information required by this item concerning our executive officers is set forth under the heading Executive Officers of the Registrant in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in the sections entitled Election of Directors Director Compensation and Executive Compensation in the 2006 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in the sections entitled Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information in the 2006 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in the section entitled Certain Transactions in the 2006 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in the section entitled Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Accounting Fees in the 2006 Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents**PART IV****ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a) We have filed the following documents as part of this Form 10-K:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements

<u>Reports of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	71
<u>Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	73
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	74
<u>Consolidated Statements of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock Warrant and Stockholders' Equity</u>	75
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	76
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	77

2. Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule II: Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not required, not applicable, or the required information is otherwise included.

Schedule II: Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Charged to Expenses/ Against Revenue	Write-Offs Net of Recoveries	Balance at End of Year
(In thousands)				
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Sales Credits				
Year ended December 31, 2003	\$ 2,297	\$ 6,106	\$ (3,733)	\$ 4,670
Year ended December 31, 2004	\$ 4,670	\$ 5,387	\$ (6,095)	\$ 3,962
Year ended December 31, 2005	\$ 3,962	\$ 18,264	\$ (7,374)	\$ 14,852

Note: Additions to the allowance for doubtful accounts are charged to expense. Additions to the allowance for sales credits are charged against revenues.

3. Exhibits. See Item 15(b) below.

(b) *Exhibits.* We have filed, or incorporated into the Form 10-K by reference, the exhibits listed on the accompanying Index to Exhibits immediately following the signature page of this Form 10-K.

(c) *Financial Statement Schedule.* See Item 15(a) above.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on March 16, 2006.

GOOGLE INC.

By: */s/* ERIC E. SCHMIDT
Eric E. Schmidt
 Chairman of the Executive Committee and
 Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Eric E. Schmidt and George Reyes, jointly and severally, his or her attorney-in-fact, with the power of substitution, for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact, or his or her substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<i>/s/</i> ERIC E. SCHMIDT Eric E. Schmidt	Chairman of the Executive Committee and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/</i> GEORGE REYES George Reyes	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/</i> SERGEY BRIN Sergey Brin	President of Technology, Assistant Secretary and Director	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/</i> LARRY PAGE Larry Page	President of Products, Assistant Secretary and Director	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/</i> L. JOHN DOERR L. John Doerr	Director	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/</i> MICHAEL MORITZ Michael Moritz	Director	March 16, 2006
<i>/s/</i> K. RAM SHRIRAM	Director	March 16, 2006

Edgar Filing: Google Inc. - Form 10-K

K. Ram Shriram

/s/ JOHN L. HENNESSY

Director

March 16, 2006

John L. Hennessy

104

Table of Contents

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ ARTHUR D. LEVINSON Arthur D. Levinson	Director	March 16, 2006
/s/ PAUL S. OTELLINI Paul S. Otellini	Director	March 16, 2006
/s/ SHIRLEY TILGHMAN Shirley Tilghman	Director	March 16, 2006
/s/ ANN MATHER Ann Mather	Director	March 16, 2006

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporated by reference herein Form	Date
3.01	Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant as filed August 24, 2004	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	August 9, 2004
3.02	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant, effective as of August 24, 2004	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	August 9, 2004
4.01	Investor Rights Agreement dated May 31, 2002	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
4.01.1	Amendment to Investor Rights Agreement dated August 17, 2004	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	August 18, 2004
4.02	Specimen Class A Common Stock certificate	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	August 18, 2004
4.03	Specimen Class B Common Stock certificate	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	August 18, 2004
10.01	Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into between Registrant, its affiliates and its directors and officers	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	July 12, 2004
10.02	♥ 1998 Stock Plan, as amended, and form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.03	1999 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan, as amended, and form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.04	♥ 2000 Stock Plan, as amended, and form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.05	2003 Stock Plan, as amended, and form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.06	2003 Stock Plan (No. 2) and form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.07	2003 Stock Plan (No. 3) and form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.08	Intentionally skipped		
10.08.1	♥ 2004 Stock Plan Stock Option Agreement	Annual Report on Form 10-K	March 30, 2005
10.08.2	♥ 2004 Stock Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement	Annual Report on Form 10-K	March 30, 2005
10.09	Google Technology Sublease Agreement dated July 9, 2003 by and between Silicon Graphics, Inc. and Registrant	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.09.1	Amendment No. 1 to Sublease dated November 18, 2003 by and between Silicon Graphics, Inc. and Registrant	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.09.2	Amendment No. 2 to Sublease dated December 17, 2003 by and between Silicon Graphics, Inc. and Registrant	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.09.3	Landlord-Subtenant Agreement dated July 9, 2003 by and among WXIII/Amphitheatre Realty, L.L.C., Silicon Graphics, Inc. and Registrant	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.09.4	Second Amendment to Commercial Lease dated July 9, 2003 by and among WXIII/Amphitheatre Realty, L.L.C., Silicon Graphics, Inc. and Registrant	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.09.5	Amendment to Commercial Lease dated April 19, 2001 by and among the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Silicon Graphics, Inc. and Silicon Graphics Real Estate, Inc.	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.09.6	Lease between the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and Silicon Graphics, Inc. dated December 29, 2000	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004
10.09.7	Nondisturbance and Attornment Agreement between Registrant and WXIII/Amphitheatre Realty, L.L.C.	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporated by reference herein Form	Date
10.10	Amended and Restated License Agreement dated October 13, 2003 by and between The Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University and Registrant	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	August 16, 2004
10.10.1	License Agreement dated July 2, 2001 by and between The Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University and Registrant	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	August 18, 2004
10.11	Employment Agreement dated March 14, 2001 by and between Eric Schmidt and Google Inc.	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	August 9, 2004
10.12	2003 Equity Incentive Plan and form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	July 26, 2004
10.13	Lifescape Solutions, Inc. 2001 Stock Plan and form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-119282)	September 24, 2004
10.14	Picasa, Inc. Employee Bonus Plan	Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-119378)	September 29, 2004
10.15	Keyhole, Inc. 2000 Equity Incentive Plan and form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-120099)	October 29, 2004
10.16	♥ 2005 Senior Executive Bonus Plan	Current Report on Form 8-K	February 18, 2005
10.17	♥ Google Inc. 2004 Stock Plan, as amended.	Current Report on Form 8-K	May 16, 2005
10.18	♥ Letter agreement between the Company and Shirley Tilghman dated August 16, 2005.	Current Report on Form 8-K	October 6, 2006
10.19	♥ Letter agreement between the Company and Ann Mather dated November 8, 2005.	Current Report on Form 8-K	November 29, 2005
10.20	* Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of AOL Holdings LLC		
10.21	* Contribution Agreement among Time Warner Inc., Google Inc. and America Online, Inc.		
10.22	* Google Registration Rights Agreement among Time Warner Inc., AOL Holdings LLC and Google Inc.		
21.01	* List of subsidiaries of Registrant		
23.01	* Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm		
24.01	* Power of Attorney (incorporated by reference to the signature page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K)		
31.01	* Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2003		
31.02	* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2003		
32.01	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2003		

♥ Indicates management compensatory plan, contract or arrangement. Confidential treatment has been granted for portions of this exhibit.

* Filed herewith.

Furnished herewith.