

ALLEGHANY CORP /DE
Form 10-K
February 26, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-9371

ALLEGHANY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

51-0283071

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

7 Times Square Tower,
New York, New York

(Address of principal executive offices)

10036

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

212-752-1356

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Common Stock, \$1.00 par value | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Not applicable

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Edgar Filing: ALLEGHANY CORP /DE - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company.

Yes No

As of June 30, 2009, the aggregate market value (based upon the closing price of these shares on the New York Stock Exchange) of the shares of Common Stock of Alleghany Corporation held by non-affiliates was \$1,944,982,718.

As of February 20, 2010, 8,860,073 shares of Common Stock were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement relating to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Alleghany Corporation to be held on April 23, 2010 are incorporated into Part III of this Form 10-K Report.

ALLEGHANY CORPORATION

**Form 10-K Report
for the year ended December 31, 2009**

Table of Contents

Description

Page

PART I

| | | |
|-----------------------|--|----|
| <u>Items 1 and 2.</u> | <u>Business and Properties</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 31 |

PART II

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 34 |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 62 |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 64 |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 111 |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 111 |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 111 |

PART III

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 112 |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 112 |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 112 |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 112 |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 112 |

PART IV

| | | |
|--|---|-----|
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 113 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | | 114 |
| <u>Index to Financial Statement Schedules</u> | | 116 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | | 117 |
| <u>Financial Statement Schedules</u> | | 118 |
| <u>Index to Exhibits</u> | | 127 |

EX-21

EX-23

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32.1

EX-32.2

Table of Contents

PART I

References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2009, or the Form 10-K Report, to the Company, Alleghany, we, us and our refer to Alleghany Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless context otherwise requires. In addition, unless the context otherwise requires, references to

AIHL are to our insurance holding company subsidiary Alleghany Insurance Holdings LLC,

RSUI are to our subsidiary RSUI Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries,

CATA are to our subsidiary Capitol Transamerica Corporation and its subsidiaries, and also includes the operations and results of Platte River Insurance Company, or Platte River, unless the context otherwise requires,

EDC are to our subsidiary Employers Direct Corporation and its subsidiaries,

AIHL Re are to our subsidiary AIHL Re LLC, and

Alleghany Properties are to our subsidiary Alleghany Properties Holdings LLC and its subsidiaries.

Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties.

Business Overview

We are a Delaware-incorporated company engaged, through AIHL and its subsidiaries RSUI, CATA and EDC, in the property and casualty and surety insurance business. AIHL acquired EDC on July 18, 2007 for a purchase price of approximately \$198.1 million, including approximately \$5.6 million of incurred acquisition costs. CATA has been a subsidiary of AIHL since January 2002, and RSUI has been a subsidiary of AIHL since July 2003. In June 2006, AIHL Re was established as a captive reinsurance subsidiary of AIHL, and AIHL Re has, in the past, provided reinsurance to our insurance operating units and affiliates.

We also own and manage properties in Sacramento, California through our subsidiary Alleghany Properties, and we also own an approximately 38 percent ownership stake in ORX Exploration, Inc., or ORX, a regional oil and gas exploration and production company. In addition, we own an approximately 33 percent stake in Homesite Group Incorporated, or Homesite, a national, full-service, mono-line provider of homeowners insurance. We acquired our stake in ORX on July 18, 2008 through a purchase of participating preferred stock for cash consideration of \$50.0 million. We acquired our shares of Homesite common stock on December 29, 2006 for a purchase price of approximately \$120.0 million.

We owned approximately 55 percent of Darwin Professional Underwriters, Inc., or Darwin, a specialty property and casualty insurer until October 20, 2008, when it was merged with a subsidiary of Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd, or AWAC. We were engaged in the industrial minerals business through World Minerals, Inc. and its subsidiaries, or World Minerals, until July 14, 2005, when we sold that business to Imerys USA, Inc. As a result of our disposition of Darwin and World Minerals, these businesses have been classified as discontinued operations in this Form 10-K Report, and we no longer have any foreign operations.

In 2009, we studied a number of potential acquisitions. We intend to continue to expand our operations through internal growth at our subsidiaries, as well as through possible operating company acquisitions and investments.

At December 31, 2009, we had 768 employees, with 754 at our subsidiaries and 14 at the parent level. Our principal executive offices are located in leased office space of approximately 14,200 square feet at 7 Times Square Tower, New York, New York 10036, and our telephone number is (212) 752-1356.

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, are available, free of charge, on our website at www.alleghany.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish this material to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. Our Financial Personnel Code of Ethics, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines and the charters for our Audit, Compensation and Nominating and

Table of Contents

Governance Committees are also available on our website. In addition, interested parties may obtain, free of charge, copies of any of the above reports or documents upon request to the Secretary of Alleghany.

We refer you to Items 7 and 8 of this Form 10-K Report for further information about our business in 2009. Our consolidated financial statements are set forth in Item 8 of this Form 10-K Report and include our accounts and the accounts of our subsidiaries for all periods presented.

Property and Casualty and Surety Insurance Businesses

General Description of Business

AIHL is our holding company for our property and casualty and surety insurance operations. Property and casualty operations are conducted through RSUI, headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia; CATA, headquartered in Middleton, Wisconsin; and EDC, headquartered in Agoura Hills, California. Surety operations are conducted through CATA. AIHL Re, our Vermont-domiciled captive reinsurance company, has, in the past, provided reinsurance to our insurance operating units and affiliates. Unless we state otherwise, references to AIHL include the operations of RSUI, CATA, EDC and AIHL Re. We also own an approximately 33 percent stake in Homesite, a national, full-service, mono-line provider of homeowners insurance.

In general, property insurance protects an insured against financial loss arising out of loss of property or its use caused by an insured peril. Casualty insurance protects the insured against financial loss arising out of the insured's obligation to others for loss or damage to property or persons, including, with respect to workers' compensation insurance, persons who are employees. In 2009, property insurance accounted for approximately 44.0 percent and casualty insurance accounted for approximately 52.3 percent of AIHL's gross premiums written. Surety bonds, both commercial and contract, are three-party agreements in which the issuer of the bond (the surety) joins with a second party (the principal) in guaranteeing to a third party (the obligee) the fulfillment of some obligation on the part of the principal to the obligee. In 2009, surety bonds accounted for approximately 3.7 percent of AIHL's gross premiums written.

RSUI

General. RSUI, which includes the operations of its operating subsidiaries RSUI Indemnity Company, or RIC, Landmark American Insurance Company, or Landmark, and Covington Specialty Insurance Company, or Covington, underwrites specialty insurance coverages in the property, umbrella/excess, general liability, directors and officers, or D&O, liability and professional liability lines of business. RSUI writes business on an admitted basis primarily through RIC in the 50 states and the District of Columbia where RIC is licensed and subject to form and rate regulations. RSUI writes business on an approved, non-admitted basis primarily through Landmark, which, as a non-admitted company, is not subject to state form and rate regulations and thus has more flexibility in its rates and coverages for specialized or hard-to-place risks. As of December 31, 2009, Landmark was approved to write business on a non-admitted basis in 49 states and on an admitted basis in Oklahoma. Covington, a New Hampshire domiciled insurer, was formed in September 2007 to, among other things, support non-admitted business written primarily by RSUI's binding authority department, which writes small, specialized coverages pursuant to underwriting authority arrangements with managing general agents.

Pursuant to quota share arrangements effective as of January 1, 2009, Landmark and Covington cede 90 percent of all their respective premiums and losses, gross of third party reinsurance, to RIC. As of December 31, 2009, the statutory surplus of RIC was approximately \$1.1 billion, the statutory surplus of Landmark was approximately \$152.0 million, and the statutory surplus of Covington was approximately \$26.2 million. RIC is rated A (Excellent) by A.M. Best Company, Inc., or A.M. Best, an independent organization that analyzes the insurance industry. Landmark is rated A

(Excellent) on a reinsured basis by A.M. Best, and Covington is rated A (Excellent) on a group basis by A.M. Best. RSUI leases approximately 133,000 square feet of office space in Atlanta, Georgia for its headquarters and approximately 34,000 square feet of office space in Sherman Oaks, California.

Distribution. At December 31, 2009, RSUI conducted its insurance business through approximately 162 independent wholesale insurance brokers located throughout the United States and 32 managing general agents.

Table of Contents

RSUI's wholesale brokers are appointed on an individual basis based on management's appraisal of expertise and experience, and only specific locations of a wholesale broker's operations may be appointed to distribute RSUI's products. Producer agreements which stipulate premium collection, payment terms and commission arrangements are in place with each wholesale broker. No wholesale broker holds underwriting, claims or reinsurance authority. RSUI has entered into underwriting authority arrangements with 24 managing general agents for small, specialized coverages. RSUI's top five producing wholesale brokers accounted for approximately 58 percent of gross premiums written by RSUI in 2009. RSUI's top two producing wholesale brokers, Swett & Crawford Group and AmWINS Group, Inc. accounted for, in the aggregate, approximately 31 percent of AIHL's gross premiums written in 2009.

Underwriting. RSUI's underwriting philosophy is based on handling only product lines in which its underwriters have underwriting expertise. RSUI generally focuses on higher severity, lower frequency specialty risks that can be effectively desk underwritten without the need for inspection or engineering reviews. RSUI tracks underwriting results for each of its underwriters and believes that the underwriting systems and applications it has in place facilitate efficient underwriting and high productivity levels. Underwriting authority is delegated on a top-down basis ultimately to individual underwriters based on experience and expertise. This authority is in writing and addresses maximum limits, excluded classes and coverages and premium size referral. Referral to a product line manager is required for risks exceeding an underwriter's authority.

CATA

General. CATA, primarily through its wholly-owned subsidiaries Capitol Indemnity Corporation, or Capitol Indemnity, and Capitol Specialty Insurance Corporation, or CSIC, operates in 50 states and the District of Columbia. Capitol Indemnity conducts its property and casualty insurance business on an admitted basis, with a geographic concentration in the Midwestern and Plains states. Capitol Indemnity also writes surety products such as commercial surety bonds and contract surety bonds on a national basis. Commercial surety bonds include all surety bonds other than contract surety bonds and cover obligations typically required by law or regulation, such as license and permit coverage. Capitol Indemnity offers contract surety bonds in the non-construction segment of the market which secure performance under supply, service and maintenance contracts, and developer subdivision bonds. CSIC conducts substantially all of its business on an approved, non-admitted basis on a national basis and writes primarily specialty lines of property and casualty insurance. Platte River is licensed in 50 states and the District of Columbia and operates in conjunction with Capitol Indemnity primarily by providing surety products and offering pricing flexibility in those jurisdictions where both Capitol Indemnity and Platte River are licensed. The property and casualty business of CATA accounted for approximately 73.0 percent of its gross premiums written in 2009, while the surety business accounted for the remainder.

As of December 31, 2009, the statutory surplus of Capitol Indemnity was approximately \$182.2 million, including the statutory surplus of CSIC of \$32.1 million. As of December 31, 2009, the statutory surplus of Platte River was approximately \$36.7 million. Capitol Indemnity, CSIC and Platte River are rated A (Excellent) on a reinsured basis by A.M. Best. CATA leases approximately 55,000 square feet of office space in Middleton, Wisconsin for its and Platte River's headquarters.

Distribution. CATA conducts its insurance business through independent and general insurance agents located throughout the United States, with a concentration in the Midwestern and Plains states. At December 31, 2009, CATA had approximately 254 independent agents and 65 general agents licensed to write property and casualty and surety coverages, approximately 240 agents specializing in professional liability and approximately 284 independent agents licensed only to write surety coverages. The general agents write very little surety business and have full quoting and binding authority within the parameters of their agency contracts with respect to the property and casualty business that they write. Certain independent agents have binding authority for specific business owner policy products, including property and liability coverages and non-contract surety products. No agent of CATA had writings in

excess of 10 percent of AIHL's gross premiums written in 2009.

Underwriting. Elements of CATA's underwriting process include prudent risk selection, appropriate pricing and coverage customization. All accounts are reviewed on an individual basis to determine underwriting acceptability. CATA is a subscriber to the Insurance Service Organization, or ISO, and the Surety and Fidelity Association of America, or SFAA, insurance reference resources recognized by the insurance

Table of Contents

industry. Underwriting procedures, rates and contractual coverage obligations are based on procedures and data developed by the ISO for property and casualty lines and by the SFAA for surety lines. Underwriting acceptability is determined by type of business, claims experience, length of time in business and business experience, age and condition of premises occupied, and financial stability. Information is obtained from, among other sources, agent applications, financial reports and on-site loss control surveys. If an account does not meet pre-determined acceptability parameters, coverage is declined. If an in-force policy becomes unprofitable due to extraordinary claims activity or inadequate premium levels, a non-renewal notice is issued in accordance with individual state statutes and rules.

Employers Direct Corporation

General. EDC was granted its Certificate of Authority by the California Department of Insurance and began writing workers compensation insurance on January 1, 2003 through its wholly-owned subsidiary Employers Direct Insurance Company, or EDIC. EDIC is currently licensed in California and seven additional states. Workers compensation insurance provides coverage for the statutorily prescribed benefits that employers are obligated to provide for their employees who are injured in the course of employment. EDC leases approximately 66,000 square feet of office space in Agoura Hills, California.

In June 2009, EDC determined that it was unable to write business at rates it deemed adequate due to the current state of the California workers compensation market. As a result, EDC ceased soliciting new or renewal business on a direct basis commencing August 1, 2009 and took corresponding expense reduction steps, including staff reductions, in light of such determination. As a result of EDC's determination to cease writing business on a direct basis and certain other factors, on June 30, 2009, A.M. Best downgraded its rating of EDIC from A- (Excellent), with a negative outlook, to B++ (Good), with a stable outlook. During the 2009 third quarter, EDC sold the renewal rights of its directly placed workers compensation insurance policies and certain other assets and rights to an independent insurance brokerage.

As of December 31, 2009, the statutory surplus of EDIC was approximately \$106.9 million.

AIHL Re LLC

AIHL Re was formed in June 2006 as a captive reinsurance subsidiary of AIHL to provide catastrophe reinsurance coverage for RSUI. AIHL Re and RSUI entered into a reinsurance agreement, effective July 1, 2006, whereby AIHL Re, in exchange for market-based premiums, took that portion of RSUI's catastrophe reinsurance program not covered by third-party reinsurers. This reinsurance coverage expired on April 30, 2007, and AIHL Re has not participated in RSUI's catastrophe reinsurance programs since that date. AIHL Re and Homesite entered into a reinsurance agreement, effective April 1, 2007, whereby AIHL Re, in exchange for annual premium of approximately \$2.0 million, provided \$20.0 million of excess-of-loss reinsurance coverage to Homesite under its catastrophe reinsurance program which is concentrated in the Northeast region of the United States. This reinsurance coverage expired on March 31, 2008, and AIHL Re has not participated in Homesite's catastrophe reinsurance programs since that date.

AIHL Re had no employees at December 31, 2009.

Changes in Historical Net Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

The following table shows changes in historical net loss and loss adjustment expense, or LAE, reserves for AIHL for each year since 2002. The first line of the upper portion of the table shows the net reserves at December 31 of each of the indicated years, representing the estimated amounts of net outstanding losses and LAE for claims arising during

that year and in all prior years that are unpaid, including losses that have been incurred but not yet reported, or IBNR, to AIHL's insurance operating units. The upper (paid) portion of the table shows the cumulative net amounts paid as of December 31 of successive years with respect to the net reserve liability for each year. The lower portion of the table shows the re-estimated amount of the previously recorded net reserves for each year based on experience as of the end of each succeeding year. The estimate changes as more information becomes known about claims for individual years. In evaluating the information in the table, it should be noted that a reserve amount reported in any period includes the effect of any subsequent change in such reserve amount. For example, if

Table of Contents

a loss was first reserved in 2002 at \$100,000 and was determined in 2003 to be \$150,000, the \$50,000 deficiency would be included in the Cumulative (Deficiency) Redundancy row shown below for each of the years 2002 through 2009.

Conditions and trends that have affected the development of the net reserve liability in the past may not necessarily occur in the future. Accordingly, it is not appropriate to extrapolate future redundancies or deficiencies based on this table.

Changes in Historical Net Reserves for Losses and LAE

| | Years Ended December 31 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Net liability as of the end of year | \$ 113.3 | \$ 276.0 | \$ 639.0 | \$ 952.9 | \$ 1,127.5 | \$ 1,412.9 | \$ 1,570.3 | \$ 1,573.3 |
| Cumulative amount of net liability paid as of: | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | 47.4 | 72.6 | 239.4 | 172.7 | 243.3 | 296.1 | 355.6 | |
| Two years later | 80.6 | 116.8 | 310.8 | 356.1 | 421.7 | 515.0 | | |
| Three years later | 100.1 | 149.6 | 365.2 | 493.2 | 529.6 | | | |
| Four years later | 110.1 | 173.7 | 413.6 | 572.2 | | | | |
| Five years later | 115.8 | 191.7 | 446.9 | | | | | |
| Six years later | 121.7 | 208.0 | | | | | | |
| Seven years later | 124.0 | | | | | | | |
| Net liability re-estimated as of: | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | 134.0 | 268.7 | 631.8 | 943.2 | 1,115.4 | 1,370.0 | 1,552.4 | |
| Two years later | 147.7 | 264.6 | 620.1 | 941.2 | 1,047.9 | 1,341.9 | | |
| Three years later | 149.0 | 268.1 | 593.3 | 899.7 | 1,012.5 | | | |
| Four years later | 150.7 | 263.8 | 584.1 | 873.0 | | | | |
| Five years later | 153.5 | 262.0 | 566.7 | | | | | |
| Six years later | 151.7 | 256.1 | | | | | | |
| Seven years later | 148.4 | | | | | | | |
| Cumulative (Deficiency) Redundancy | \$ (35.1) | \$ 19.9 | \$ 72.3 | \$ 79.9 | \$ 115.0 | \$ 71.0 | \$ 17.9 | |
| Gross Liability-End of Year | \$ 258.1 | \$ 438.0 | \$ 1,246.4 | \$ 2,571.9 | \$ 2,228.9 | \$ 2,379.7 | \$ 2,578.6 | \$ 2,521.0 |
| Less: Reinsurance Recoverable | 144.8 | 162.0 | 607.4 | 1,619.0 | 1,101.4 | 966.8 | 1,008.3 | 947.7 |
| Net Liability-End of Year | \$ 113.3 | \$ 276.0 | \$ 639.0 | \$ 952.9 | \$ 1,127.5 | \$ 1,412.9 | \$ 1,570.3 | \$ 1,573.3 |
| Gross Re-estimated Liability-Latest | \$ 285.6 | \$ 430.2 | \$ 1,156.8 | \$ 2,372.8 | \$ 1,979.5 | \$ 2,223.2 | \$ 2,500.0 | \$ 2,521.0 |
| Re-estimated Recoverable-Latest | 137.2 | 174.1 | 590.1 | 1,499.8 | 966.9 | 881.3 | 947.6 | 947.7 |
| Net Re-estimated Liability-Latest | \$ 148.4 | \$ 256.1 | \$ 566.7 | \$ 873.0 | \$ 1,012.6 | \$ 1,341.9 | \$ 1,552.4 | \$ 1,573.3 |
| Gross Cumulative (Deficiency) Redundancy | \$ (27.5) | \$ 7.8 | \$ 89.6 | \$ 199.1 | \$ 249.5 | \$ 156.5 | \$ 78.6 | \$ |

The net cumulative redundancies since 2003 primarily reflect casualty net reserve releases by RSUI and casualty and surety net reserve releases by CATA, partially offset by catastrophe-related net reserve increases by RSUI in 2006 and 2007, as well as reserve increases at EDC in 2008 and 2009. Prior year reserve adjustments are discussed on pages 38 and 39 and pages 50 through 52 of this Form 10-K Report.

The reconciliation between the aggregate net loss and LAE reserves of AIHL reported in the annual statements filed with state insurance departments prepared in accordance with statutory accounting practices, or SAP, and those reported in AIHL's consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted

Table of Contents

accounting principles in the United States of America, or GAAP, for the last three years is shown below (in millions):

Reconciliation of Reserves for Losses and LAE from SAP Basis to GAAP Basis

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Statutory reserves | \$ 1,574.9 | \$ 1,573.1 | \$ 1,417.4 |
| Reinsurance recoverables* | 947.7 | 1,008.3 | 966.8 |
| Purchase accounting adjustment | (1.6) | (2.8) | (4.5) |
| GAAP reserves | \$ 2,521.0 | \$ 2,578.6 | \$ 2,379.7 |

* Reinsurance recoverables in this table include only ceded loss reserves. Amounts reflected under the caption Reinsurance recoverables on our consolidated balance sheets set forth in Item 8 of this Form 10-K Report also include paid loss recoverables.

The reconciliation of beginning and ending aggregate reserves for unpaid losses and LAE of AIHL for the last three years is shown below (in millions):

Reconciliation of Reserves for Losses and LAE

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Reserves as of January 1 | \$ 2,578.6 | \$ 2,379.7 | \$ 2,228.9 |
| Reserves acquired | | | 165.0 |
| Less: reinsurance recoverables | 1,008.3 | 966.8 | 1,101.4 |
| Net reserves | 1,570.3 | 1,412.9 | 1,292.5 |
| Incurred loss, net of reinsurance, related to: | | | |
| Current year | 460.0 | 612.8 | 480.1 |
| Prior years | (17.9) | (42.8) | (31.1) |
| Total incurred loss, net of reinsurance | 442.1 | 570.0 | 449.0 |
| Paid loss, net of reinsurance, related to: | | | |
| Current year | 83.5 | 116.4 | 71.7 |
| Prior years | 355.6 | 296.2 | 256.9 |
| Total paid loss, net of reinsurance | 439.1 | 412.6 | 328.6 |
| Reserves, net of reinsurance recoverables, as of December 31 | 1,573.3 | 1,570.3 | 1,412.9 |
| Reinsurance recoverables as of December 31* | 947.7 | 1,008.3 | 966.8 |
| Reserves, gross of reinsurance recoverables, as of December 31 | \$ 2,521.0 | \$ 2,578.6 | \$ 2,379.7 |

* Reinsurance recoverables in this table include only ceded loss reserves. Amounts reflected under the caption Reinsurance recoverables on our consolidated balance sheets set forth in Item 8 of this Form 10-K Report also include paid loss recoverables.

Asbestos and Environmental Impairment Reserves

AIHL's reserves for losses and LAE include amounts for various liability coverages related to asbestos and environmental impairment claims that arose from reinsurance of certain general liability and commercial multiple peril coverages assumed by Capitol Indemnity between 1969 and 1976. Capitol Indemnity exited this business in 1976. As of December 31, 2009, reserves of CATA totaled approximately \$15.1 million for asbestos liabilities and approximately \$3.8 million for environmental liabilities, resulting in aggregate asbestos and environmental reserves of \$18.9 million. As of December 31, 2008, reserves for asbestos liabilities totaled approximately \$14.9 million and

Table of Contents

reserves for environmental liabilities totaled \$5.5 million, resulting in aggregate asbestos and environmental reserves of \$20.4 million. At December 31, 2009, the reserves for asbestos liabilities were approximately 18 times the average paid claims for the prior three year period, compared with 11 times at December 31, 2008. The reserves for environmental impairment liabilities were approximately five times the average paid claims for the prior three year period, compared with twelve times at December 31, 2008. The significant changes in these metrics from December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2009 primarily reflect fluctuations in the amount and timing in recent years of commutations, which affect paid losses and loss exposure. Additional information regarding the policies that CATA uses to set reserves for these asbestos and environmental impairment claims is set forth on pages 39 and 40 of this Form 10-K Report.

The reconciliation of the beginning and ending aggregate reserves for unpaid losses and LAE related to asbestos and environmental impairment claims of AIHL for the years 2007 through 2009 is shown below (in millions):

Reconciliation of Asbestos-Related Claims Reserves for Losses and LAE

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Reserves as of January 1 | \$ 14.9 | \$ 16.7 | \$ 17.4 |
| Losses and LAE incurred | 0.5 | (0.3) | 0.1 |
| Paid losses* | (0.3) | (1.5) | (0.8) |
| Reserves as of December 31 | \$ 15.1 | \$ 14.9 | \$ 16.7 |
| Type of reserves | | | |
| Case | \$ 1.9 | \$ 2.5 | \$ 3.7 |
| IBNR | 13.2 | 12.4 | 13.0 |
| Total | \$ 15.1 | \$ 14.9 | \$ 16.7 |

* Paid losses include commutations and legal settlements as well as regular paid losses.

Reconciliation of Environmental Impairment Claims Reserves for Losses and LAE

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Reserves as of January 1 | \$ 5.5 | \$ 6.2 | \$ 6.4 |
| Losses and LAE incurred | (0.4) | 0.3 | (0.1) |
| Paid losses* | (1.3) | (1.0) | (0.1) |
| Reserves as of December 31 | \$ 3.8 | \$ 5.5 | \$ 6.2 |
| Type of reserves | | | |
| Case | \$ 0.5 | \$ 0.9 | \$ 1.4 |
| IBNR | 3.3 | 4.6 | 4.8 |

| | | | |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Total | \$ 3.8 | \$ 5.5 | \$ 6.2 |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|

* Paid losses include commutations and legal settlements as well as regular paid losses.

Catastrophe Risk Management

AIHL's insurance operating units, particularly RSUI, expose AIHL to losses on claims arising out of natural or human-made catastrophes, including hurricanes, other windstorms, earthquakes and floods, as well as terrorist activities. The incidence and severity of catastrophes in any short period of time are inherently unpredictable. The extent of gross losses from a catastrophe is a function of both the total amount of insured exposure in the area affected by the event and the severity of the event. Most catastrophes are restricted to small geographic areas;

Table of Contents

however, hurricanes, other windstorms, earthquakes and floods may produce significant damage when those areas are heavily populated. The geographic distribution of AIHL's insurance operating units subjects them to catastrophe exposure in the United States from hurricanes in the Gulf coast regions, Florida, the Mid-Atlantic, and the Northeast, from other windstorms in the Midwest and Southern regions, and earthquakes in California, the Pacific Northwest region and along the New Madrid fault line in the Midwest region.

AIHL's insurance operating units use underwriting controls and systems, including third-party catastrophe modeling software, to help evaluate potential losses. The operating units use modeled loss scenarios to set risk retention levels and help structure their reinsurance programs in an effort to ensure that the aggregate amount of catastrophe exposures conform to established risk tolerances and fit within the existing exposure portfolio. RSUI also relies on reinsurance to limit its exposure to catastrophes, which is discussed in more detail under *Reinsurance* below. Additional information regarding the risks faced by AIHL's insurance operating units, particularly RSUI, with respect to managing their catastrophe exposure risk can be found on pages 27 and 28 of this Form 10-K Report.

With respect to terrorism, to the extent that reinsurers have excluded coverage for terrorist acts or have priced this coverage at rates that are not practical, our insurance operating units do not have reinsurance protection and are exposed to potential losses as a result of any terrorist acts. To the extent an act of terrorism is certified by the U.S. Secretary of Treasury, we may be covered under the Terrorism Act as described below under *Reinsurance*. Information regarding our insurance operating units' coverage for terrorism and the impact of the Terrorism Act on our insurance operating units can be found on pages 22 and 23 of this Form 10-K Report.

Reinsurance

AIHL's insurance operating units reinsure a significant portion of the risks they underwrite in order to mitigate their exposure to losses, manage capacity, and protect capital resources. In general, the insurance operating units obtain reinsurance on a treaty and facultative basis. Treaty reinsurance is based on a contract between a primary insurer or cedent and a reinsurer and covers certain classes of risk specified in the treaty. Under most treaties, the cedent is obligated to offer, and the reinsurer is obligated to accept, a specified portion of a class of risk underwritten by the cedent. Alternatively, facultative reinsurance is the reinsurance of individual risks, whereby a reinsurer separately rates and underwrites each risk and is free to accept or reject each risk offered by the cedent. Facultative reinsurance is normally purchased for risks not otherwise covered or covered only in part by reinsurance treaties, and for unusual or large risks. Treaty and facultative reinsurance can be written on a quota share, surplus share, or excess of loss basis. Under a quota share reinsurance treaty, the cedent and reinsurer share the premiums as well as the losses and expenses of any single risk, or an entire group of risks. Under a surplus share reinsurance treaty, the cedent may transfer, and the reinsurer is required to accept, the part of every risk that exceeds a predetermined amount (commonly referred to as the cedent's retention), with the reinsurer sharing premiums and losses in the same proportion as it shares in the total policy limits of the risk written by the cedent. Under an excess of loss reinsurance treaty, a reinsurer, in exchange for a premium, agrees to reimburse the cedent for all or part of any losses in excess of the cedent's retention, generally up to a predetermined limit, at which point the risk of loss is assumed by another reinsurer or reverts to the cedent.

In 2009, RSUI ceded 40 percent of its gross premiums written to reinsurers. Although the net amount of loss exposure retained by RSUI varies by line of business, in general, as of December 31, 2009, RSUI retained a maximum net exposure for any single property risk of \$10.0 million and any single casualty risk of \$9.75 million, with the exception of losses arising from acts of foreign terrorism.

RSUI reinsures its property lines of business through a program consisting of surplus share treaties, facultative placements, per risk, and catastrophe excess of loss treaties. Under its surplus share treaties, which generally provide coverage on a risk attaching basis (the treaties cover policies which become effective during the treaty coverage period) from January 1 to December 31, RSUI is indemnified on a *pro rata* basis against covered property losses. The

amount indemnified is based on the proportionate share of risk ceded after consideration of a stipulated dollar amount of line for RSUI to retain in relation to the entire limit written. RSUI ceded approximately 29 percent of its property gross premiums written in 2009 under these surplus share treaties. Under RSUI's 2009-2010 per risk reinsurance program, which generally provides coverage on an annual basis for losses occurring

Table of Contents

from May 1 to the following April 30, RSUI is reinsured for \$90.0 million in excess of a \$10.0 million net retention per risk after the application of the surplus share treaties and facultative reinsurance.

RSUI's catastrophe reinsurance program (which covers catastrophe risks including, among others, windstorms and earthquakes) and per risk reinsurance program run on an annual basis from May 1 to the following April 30. The 2009-2010 program provides coverage in two layers for \$400.0 million of losses in excess of a \$100.0 million net retention after application of the surplus share treaties, facultative reinsurance, and per risk covers. The first layer provides coverage for \$100.0 million of losses, before a 33.15 percent co-participation by RSUI, in excess of the \$100.0 million net retention, and the second layer provides coverage for \$300.0 million of losses, before a 5 percent co-participation by RSUI, in excess of \$200.0 million. In addition, RSUI's property per risk reinsurance program for the 2009-2010 period provides RSUI with coverage for \$90.0 million of losses in excess of a \$10.0 million net retention per risk after application of the surplus share treaties and reinsurance.

RSUI reinsures its other lines of business through quota share treaties, except for professional liability and binding authority lines where RSUI retains all of such business. RSUI's quota share reinsurance treaty for umbrella/excess lines for the period June 1, 2009 to May 31, 2010 provides coverage for policies with limits up to \$30.0 million, with RSUI ceding 35 percent of the premium and loss for policies with limits up to \$15.0 million and ceding 67.5 percent of the premium and loss for policies with limits in excess of \$15.0 million up to \$30.0 million. RSUI's quote share primary casualty lines treaty for the period April 15, 2009 to April 14, 2010 provides coverage for policies with limits up to \$2.0 million, with RSUI ceding 25 percent of the premium. RSUI's D&O liability line quota share reinsurance treaty for the period July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010 provides coverage for policies with limits up to \$20.0 million, with RSUI ceding 35 percent of the premium and loss for all policies with limits up to \$10.0 million and ceding 60 percent of the premium and loss for policies with limits in excess of \$10.0 million up to \$20.0 million.

With respect to potential losses at RSUI arising from acts of terrorism, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002, as extended and amended by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act of 2005 and the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007, which we collectively refer to as the Terrorism Act, established a program under which the Federal Government will reimburse insurers for losses arising from certain acts of terrorism. The Terrorism Act is administered by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury and is effective through December 31, 2014, at which time it will automatically expire. The intent of the Terrorism Act is to provide federal assistance to the insurance industry in order to meet the needs of commercial insurance policyholders with potential exposure for losses due to acts of terrorism. The Terrorism Act applies to U.S. risks only, whether it be foreign or domestic terrorism on U.S. soil or on certain U.S. interests abroad. In return for requiring insurers writing certain lines of property and casualty insurance to offer coverage against certain acts of terrorism, the law requires the Federal Government to indemnify such insurers for 85 percent of insured losses during a program year resulting from covered acts of terrorism above certain premium-based deductibles. AIHL's deductible under the Terrorism Act in 2010 will be 20 percent of its direct premiums earned in 2009, or approximately \$255.8 million. In addition, federal compensation will only be paid under the Terrorism Act if the aggregate industry insured losses resulting from the covered act of terrorism exceed \$100.0 million for insured losses occurring in 2010 but no payment shall be made for any portion of aggregate industry insured losses that exceed \$100.0 billion in 2010.

AIHL's terrorism exposure is substantially attributable to RSUI and, as described below, EDC. In general, RSUI's casualty reinsurance programs provide coverage for domestic and foreign acts of terrorism, while RSUI's property reinsurance programs provide coverage only for domestic acts of terrorism. The cost of property reinsurance in the marketplace has increased significantly in recent years, and reinsurance capacity for terrorism exposures is limited and expensive. As a result, RSUI would be liable for these exposures on a net basis, subject to the Terrorism Act coverage, for property policies containing foreign terrorism coverage. Approximately 4.8 percent of all policies and approximately 16.3 percent of all property policies, written by RSUI in 2009 contained coverage for domestic and foreign acts of terrorism. RSUI uses various underwriting strategies to mitigate its exposure to terrorism losses.

CATA uses reinsurance to protect against severity losses. In 2009, CATA reinsured with various reinsurers individual property and casualty and contract surety risks in excess of \$1.5 million. As of December 1, 2009, the commercial surety line was reinsured for individual losses above \$1.5 million. In addition, CATA purchases

Table of Contents

facultative reinsurance coverage for risks in excess of \$6.0 million on property and casualty and \$15.0 million on commercial surety.

EDC uses reinsurance to protect against catastrophe losses. As of December 31, 2009, EDC retained the first \$1.0 million of loss per occurrence and purchased reinsurance with various reinsurers for \$19.0 million above that level. Any loss above \$20.0 million would be the sole responsibility of EDC. EDC uses various catastrophe models to assist it in determining the amount of reinsurance to purchase. All of EDC's current reinsurance includes foreign and domestic terrorism coverage, although nuclear, chemical, biological and radiological events are excluded. Under the Terrorism Act, EDC cannot exclude any form of terrorism from its workers' compensation policies.

At December 31, 2009, AIHL had total reinsurance recoverables of \$976.2 million, consisting of \$947.7 million of ceded outstanding losses and LAE and \$28.5 million of recoverables on paid losses. The reinsurance purchased by AIHL's insurance operating units does not relieve them from their obligations to their policyholders, and therefore, the financial strength of their reinsurers is important. Approximately 93.1 percent of AIHL's reinsurance recoverables balance at December 31, 2009 was due from reinsurers having an A.M. Best financial strength rating of A (Excellent) or higher. AIHL had no allowance for uncollectible reinsurance as of December 31, 2009. Additional information regarding the risks faced by AIHL's insurance operating units with respect to their use of reinsurance can be found on page 28 of this Form 10-K Report.

AIHL's Reinsurance Security Committee, which includes certain of our officers and the chief financial officers of each of AIHL's operating units, meets to track, analyze and manage the use of reinsurance by AIHL's insurance operating units. The Reinsurance Security Committee considers the limits on the maximum amount of unsecured reinsurance recoverables that should be outstanding from any particular reinsurer, the lines of business that should be ceded to a particular reinsurer and, where applicable, the types of collateral that should be posted by reinsurers. Information related to concentration of reinsurance recoverables can be found in Note 5(a) to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Item 8 of this Form 10-K Report.

Investments

The investment portfolios of RSUI, CATA, and EDC are managed under the direction of AIHL. For a discussion of AIHL investment results, please see pages 48 and 49 and pages 55 through 59 of this Form 10-K Report.

Competition

The property and casualty businesses of RSUI, as well as the surety and non-admitted specialty businesses of CATA, compete on a national basis. CATA's admitted property and casualty businesses compete on a regional basis with a primary focus on the Midwestern and Plains states. EDC competes primarily in California. Our insurance operating units compete with a large number of other companies in their selected lines of business. They compete, and will continue to compete, with major U.S. and non-U.S. insurers, other regional companies, mutual companies, specialty insurance companies, underwriting agencies, state funds, and diversified financial services companies. Many competitors have considerably greater financial resources and greater experience in the insurance industry and offer a broader line of insurance products than do AIHL's insurance operating units. Except for regulatory considerations, there are virtually no barriers to entry into the insurance industry. Competition may be domestic or foreign, and competitors are not necessarily required to be licensed by various state insurance departments. Competition in the businesses of our insurance operating units is based on many factors, including the perceived financial strength of the company, premium charges, other terms and conditions offered, services provided, commissions paid to producers, ratings assigned by independent rating agencies, speed of claims payment, and reputation and experience in the lines to be written.

Historically, insurers have experienced significant fluctuations in operating results due to competition, frequency or severity of catastrophic and other loss events, levels of capacity, general economic and social conditions, and other factors. The supply of insurance is related to prevailing prices in relation to emerging loss experience, the level of insured losses and the level of industry capital which, in turn, may fluctuate in response to changes in rates of return on investments being earned in the insurance industry. As a result, the insurance business historically has been a cyclical business characterized by periods of intense price competition due to excessive

Table of Contents

underwriting capacity as well as periods when shortages of capacity permitted favorable price levels. A discussion of the risks faced by our insurance operating units due to competition within, and the cyclicity of, the insurance business can be found on pages 26 and 27 of this Form 10-K Report.

Regulation

AIHL is subject to the insurance holding company laws of several states. In addition, dividends and distributions by an insurance subsidiary are subject to approval by the insurance regulators of the domiciliary state of a subsidiary. Other significant transactions between an insurance subsidiary and its holding company or other subsidiaries of the holding company may require approval by insurance regulators in the domiciliary state of each of the insurance subsidiaries participating in these transactions. AIHL's insurance operating units are subject to regulation in their domiciliary states as well as in the other states in which they do business. This regulation pertains to matters such as approving policy forms and various premium rates, licensing agents, granting and revoking licenses to transact business, and regulating trade practices. In addition, some of AIHL's insurance operating units are in states requiring prior approval by regulators before proposed rates for property or casualty or surety insurance policies may be implemented. Insurance regulatory authorities perform periodic examinations of an insurer's market conduct and other affairs.

Insurance companies are required to report their financial condition and results of operations in accordance with statutory accounting principles prescribed or permitted by state insurance regulators in conjunction with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, or NAIC. State insurance regulators also prescribe the form and content of statutory financial statements, perform periodic financial examinations of insurers, set minimum reserve and loss ratio requirements, establish standards for permissible types and amounts of investments, and require minimum capital and surplus levels. These statutory capital and surplus requirements include risk-based capital, or RBC, rules promulgated by the NAIC. These RBC standards are intended to assess the level of risk inherent in an insurance company's business and consider items such as asset risk, credit risk, underwriting risk and other business risks relevant to its operations. In accordance with RBC formulas, a company's RBC requirements are calculated and compared with its total adjusted capital to determine whether regulatory intervention is warranted. At December 31, 2009, the total adjusted capital of each of AIHL's insurance subsidiaries exceeded the minimum levels required under RBC rules, and each had excess capacity to write additional premiums in relation to these requirements.

The NAIC annually calculates certain statutory financial ratios for most insurance companies in the United States. These calculations are known as the Insurance Regulatory Information System, or IRIS, ratios. There presently are thirteen IRIS ratios, with each ratio having an established usual range of results. The IRIS ratios assist state insurance departments in executing their statutory mandate to oversee the financial condition of insurance companies. A ratio falling outside the usual range is not considered a failing result; rather, unusual values are viewed as part of the regulatory early monitoring system. Furthermore, in some years, it may not be unusual for financially sound companies to have several ratios with results outside the usual ranges. The NAIC reports the ratios to state insurance departments who may then contact a company if four or more of its ratios fall outside the NAIC's usual ranges. Based upon calculations as of December 31, 2009, EDIC had six of its ratios falling outside the NAIC's usual ranges, with three falling outside the usual ranges due to EDIC's underwriting loss in 2009, one falling outside the usual range due to adverse reserve development, one falling outside the usual range due to a decline in gross premiums written by EDIC in 2009, and one falling outside the usual range due to a decline in investment yields.

Certain of AIHL's insurance operating units are required under the guaranty fund laws of most states in which they transact business to pay assessments up to prescribed limits to fund policyholder losses or liabilities of insolvent insurance companies. AIHL's insurance operating units also are required to participate in various involuntary pools, principally involving workers' compensation and windstorms. In most states, the involuntary pool participation of AIHL's insurance operating units is in proportion to their voluntary writings of related lines of business in such states.

In addition to the regulatory requirements described above, a number of legislative and regulatory initiatives under consideration may significantly affect the insurance business in a variety of ways. These measures include,

Table of Contents

among other things, tort reform, consumer privacy requirements, and proposals for the establishment of state or federal catastrophe funds. It is also possible that the structure of insurance regulation may be impacted by the broader financial regulation reform that Congress continues to pursue at the federal level in the wake of the recent financial crisis.

Employees

AIHL's insurance operating units employed 748 persons as of December 31, 2009, 353 of whom were at RSUI and its subsidiaries, 235 of whom were at CATA and its subsidiaries, and 160 of whom were at EDC and its subsidiaries. AIHL's investment management subsidiary, Alleghany Capital Partners LLC, employed 3 people at December 31, 2009.

Corporate Activities

Real Estate Business

Headquartered in Sacramento, California, Alleghany Properties owns and manages properties in Sacramento, California. These properties include primarily improved and unimproved commercial land, as well as residential lots. The majority of these properties are located in the City of Sacramento in the planned community of North Natomas. A considerable amount of development activity has occurred in the North Natomas area since 1998, including the construction of more than 13,500 single family homes, 4,000 apartment units, 1.1 million square feet of office buildings and 2.3 million square feet of retail space. Participating in this growth, Alleghany Properties sold over 387 acres of residential land, and 92 acres of commercial property through December 31, 2008, when development activity within North Natomas was temporarily halted. The temporary halt in development activity was a result of new Federal Emergency Management Agency flood insurance maps for the area which revoked the area's previously certified 100-year flood protection. This action will limit development activity until late 2011 when it is anticipated that sufficient progress on the levee improvements will have occurred to restore 100-year flood protection. At December 31, 2009, Alleghany Properties owned approximately 320 acres of property in various land use categories ranging from multi-family residential to commercial. Alleghany Properties had three employees at December 31, 2009.

Parent Company Operations

At the parent level, we seek out attractive investment opportunities, including strategic investments in operating companies, delegate responsibilities to competent and motivated managers at the operating business level, define risk parameters, set management goals for our operating businesses, ensure that operating business managers are provided with incentives to meet these goals, and monitor their progress. Strategic investments currently include an approximately 33 percent stake in Homesite and an approximately 38 percent stake in ORX.

At December 31, 2009, we had 14 employees at the parent level.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

We face risks from our property and casualty and surety insurance businesses and our investments in debt and equity securities. Discussed below are significant risks that our business faces. If any of the events or circumstances described as risks below actually occurs, our business, results of operations or financial condition could be materially and adversely affected. Our businesses may also be adversely affected by risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently consider immaterial.

Risk Factors Relating to our Operating Units

The reserves for losses and LAE of our insurance operating units are estimates and may not be adequate, which would require our insurance operating units to establish additional reserves. Gross reserves for losses and LAE reported on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 were approximately \$2.5 billion. These loss and LAE reserves reflect our best estimates of the cost of settling all claims and related expenses with respect to insured events that have occurred. Reserves do not represent an exact calculation of liability, but rather an estimate of what

Table of Contents

management expects the ultimate settlement and claims administration will cost for claims that have occurred, whether known or unknown. These reserve estimates, which generally involve actuarial projections, are based on management's assessment of facts and circumstances currently known and assumptions about anticipated loss emergence patterns, including expected future trends in claims severity and frequency, inflation, judicial theories of liability, reinsurance coverage, legislative changes, and other factors.

The inherent uncertainties of estimating reserves are greater for certain types of liabilities, where long periods of time elapse before a definitive determination of liability is made and settlement is reached. Our liabilities for losses and LAE can generally be categorized into two distinct groups, short-tail business and long-tail business. Short-tail business refers to lines of business, such as property, for which losses are usually known and paid shortly after the loss actually occurs. Long-tail business describes lines of business for which specific losses may not be known and reported for some period and losses take much longer to emerge. Given the time frame over which long-tail exposures are ultimately settled, there is greater uncertainty and volatility in these lines than in short-tail lines of business. Our long-tail coverages consist of most casualty lines including professional liability, D&O liability, general liability, umbrella/excess and certain workers' compensation exposures. Some factors that contribute to the uncertainty and volatility of long-tail casualty programs, and thus require a significant degree of judgment in the reserving process, include the inherent uncertainty as to the length of reporting and payment development patterns, the possibility of judicial interpretations or legislative changes that might impact future loss experience relative to prior loss experience, and the potential lack of comparability of the underlying data used in performing loss reserve analyses.

In periods with increased economic volatility, such as under the current financial market conditions, it becomes more difficult to accurately predict claim costs. It is especially difficult to estimate the impact of inflation on loss reserves given the current economic environment and related regulatory and government actions. Reserve estimates are continually refined in an ongoing process as experience develops and further claims are reported and settled. Adjustments to reserves are reflected in the results of the periods in which the adjustments are made. Because setting reserves is inherently uncertain, we cannot assure you that our current reserves will prove adequate in light of subsequent events. Should our insurance operating units need to increase their reserves, our pre-tax income for the period would decrease by a corresponding amount. Although current reserves reflect our best estimate of the costs of settling claims, we cannot assure you that our reserve estimates will not need to be increased in the future.

Significant competitive pressures may prevent our insurance operating units from retaining existing business or writing new business at adequate rates. Our insurance operating units compete with a large number of other companies in their selected lines of business. They compete, and will continue to compete, with major U.S. and non-U.S. insurers, other regional companies, mutual companies, specialty insurance companies, underwriting agencies, state funds, and diversified financial services companies. Many competitors have considerably greater financial resources and greater experience in the insurance industry and offer a broader line of insurance products than do AIHL's insurance operating units. Except for regulatory considerations, there are virtually no barriers to entry into the insurance industry. Competition may be domestic or foreign, and competitors are not necessarily required to be licensed by various state insurance departments. Competition in the businesses of our insurance operating units is based on many factors, including the perceived financial strength of the company, premium charges, other terms and conditions offered, services provided, commissions paid to producers, ratings assigned by independent rating agencies, speed of claims payment, and reputation and experience in the lines to be written. Such competition could cause the supply and/or demand for insurance to change, which could affect the ability of our insurance operating units to price their products at adequate rates. If our insurance operating units are unable to retain existing business or write new business at adequate rates, our results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

In the past few years, our insurance operating units have faced increasing competition as a result of an increased flow of capital into the insurance industry, with both new entrants and existing insurers seeking to gain market share. This has resulted in decreased premium rates and less favorable contract terms and conditions. In particular, RSUI and

CATA's specialty lines of business increasingly encounter competition from admitted companies seeking to increase market share. We expect to continue to face strong competition in these and the other lines of business of our insurance operating units, and our insurance operating units may continue to experience decreases in premium rates and/or premium volume and less favorable contract terms and conditions.

Table of Contents

Our results may fluctuate as a result of many factors, including cyclical changes in the insurance industry. Historically, the financial performance of the property and casualty insurance industry has tended to fluctuate in cyclical periods of price competition and excess underwriting capacity, followed by periods of high premium rates and shortages of underwriting capacity. Although an individual insurance company's financial performance is dependent on its own specific business characteristics, the profitability of most property and casualty insurance companies tends to follow this cyclical market pattern. Further, this cyclical market pattern can be more pronounced in the excess and surplus market, in which RSUI primarily competes, than in the admitted insurance market. When premium rates are high and there is a shortage of capacity in the admitted insurance market, the same factors are present in the excess and surplus market, and growth in the excess and surplus market can be significantly more rapid than growth in the standard insurance market. Similarly, when there is price competition and excess underwriting capacity in the admitted insurance market, many customers that were previously driven into the excess and surplus market may return to the admitted insurance market, exacerbating the effects of price competition. Since cyclicality is due in large part to the actions of our insurance operating units' competitors and general economic factors, we cannot predict the timing or duration of changes in the market cycle. These cyclical patterns cause our revenues and net earnings to fluctuate.

Because our insurance operating units are property and casualty insurers, we face losses from natural and human-made catastrophes. Property and casualty insurers are subject to claims arising out of catastrophes that may have a significant effect on their results of operations, liquidity and financial condition. Catastrophe losses, or the absence thereof, have had a significant impact on our results. For example, pre-tax catastrophe losses, net of reinsurance, at RSUI were minimal in 2009, compared with \$97.9 million in 2008 (primarily reflecting 2008 third quarter hurricane net catastrophe losses for Hurricanes Ike, Gustav and Dolly) and \$47.1 million in 2007. Several states, or underwriting organizations of which our insurance operating units are required to be members, may increase their mandatory assessments as a result of catastrophes and other events, and we may not be able to fully recoup these increased costs.

Natural or human-made catastrophes can be caused by various events, including hurricanes, other windstorms, earthquakes and floods, as well as terrorist activities. The incidence and severity of catastrophes in any short period of time are inherently unpredictable. The extent of gross losses from a catastrophe is a function of both the total amount of insured exposure in the area affected by the event and the severity of the event. Most catastrophes are restricted to small geographic areas; however, hurricanes, other windstorms, earthquakes and floods may produce significant damage when those areas are heavily populated. The geographic distribution of AIHL's insurance operating units subjects them to catastrophe exposure in the United States from hurricanes in the Gulf coast regions, Florida, the Mid-Atlantic, and the Northeast, from other windstorms in the Midwest and Southern regions, and earthquakes in California, the Pacific Northwest region and along the New Madrid fault line in the Midwest region. Catastrophes can cause losses in a variety of our property and casualty lines, and most of our past catastrophe-related claims have resulted from severe hurricanes. It is therefore possible that a catastrophic event or multiple catastrophic events could produce significant losses and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, longer-term natural catastrophe trends may be changing due to climate change, a phenomenon that has been associated with extreme weather events linked to rising temperatures, and includes effects on global weather patterns, greenhouse gases, sea, land and air temperatures, sea levels, rain and snow. Climate change, to the extent it produces rising temperatures and changes in weather patterns, could impact the frequency or severity of weather events such as hurricanes. To the extent climate change increases the frequency and severity of such weather events, our insurance operating units, particularly RSUI, may face increased claims, particularly with respect to properties located in coastal areas. Our insurance operating units take certain measures to mitigate against the frequency and severity of such events by giving consideration to these risks in their underwriting and pricing decisions and through the purchase of reinsurance. To the extent broad environmental factors, exacerbated by climate change or otherwise, lead to increases in insured losses, particularly if those losses exceed the expectations, including reinsurance coverage, of our insurance operating units, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially, adversely

affected.

With respect to terrorism, to the extent that reinsurers have excluded coverage for certain terrorist acts or have priced this coverage at rates that are not practical, our insurance operating units, particularly RSUI, would not have

Table of Contents

reinsurance protection and would be exposed to potential losses as a result of any terrorist acts. To the extent an act of terrorism is certified by the U.S. Secretary of Treasury, we may be covered under the Terrorism Act. Information regarding the Terrorism Act and its impact on our insurance operating units can be found on pages 22 and 23 of this Form 10-K Report.

We cannot guarantee that the reinsurers used by our insurance operating units will pay in a timely fashion, if at all, and, as a result, we could experience losses even if reinsured. Our insurance operating units purchase reinsurance by transferring, or ceding, part of the risk that they have underwritten to a reinsurance company in exchange for part of the premium received by our insurance operating units in connection with that risk. Although reinsurance makes the reinsurer liable to our insurance operating units to the extent the risk is transferred or ceded to the reinsurer, it does not relieve our insurance operating units of their liability to their policyholders. Reinsurers may not pay the reinsurance recoverables that they owe to our insurance operating units or they may not pay these recoverables on a timely basis. This risk may increase significantly if these reinsurers experience financial difficulties as a result of catastrophes and other events. Underwriting results and investment returns of some of the reinsurers used by our insurance operating units may affect their future ability to pay claims. Accordingly, we bear credit risk with respect to our insurance operating units' reinsurers, and if they fail to pay, our financial results would be adversely affected. As of December 31, 2009, the amount due from reinsurers reported on our balance sheet was \$1.0 billion, with approximately \$0.8 billion attributable to RSUI's reinsurers.

If market conditions cause reinsurance to be more costly or unavailable, our insurance operating units may be required to bear increased risks or reduce the level of their underwriting commitments. As part of our overall risk and capacity management strategy, our insurance operating units purchase reinsurance for certain amounts of risk underwritten by them, especially catastrophe risks. The reinsurance programs purchased by our insurance operating units are generally subject to annual renewal. Market conditions beyond their control determine the availability and cost of the reinsurance protection they purchase, which may affect the level of their business written and thus their profitability. If our insurance operating units are unable to renew their expiring facilities or to obtain new reinsurance facilities, either their net exposures would increase, which could increase the volatility of their results or, if they are unwilling to bear an increase in net exposures, they would have to reduce the level of their underwriting commitments, especially catastrophe-exposed risks, which may reduce their revenues and net earnings. Generally, under reinsurance contracts, an insured, to the extent it exhausts its original coverage under a reinsurance contract during a single coverage period (typically a single twelve-month period), can pay a reinsurance reinstatement premium to restore coverage during such coverage period. If our insurance operating units exhaust their original and reinstated coverage under their third-party catastrophic reinsurance contracts during a single coverage period, they will not have any reinsurance coverage available for losses incurred as a result of additional catastrophic events during that coverage period.

RSUI attempts to manage its exposure to catastrophe risk partially through the use of catastrophe modeling software. The failure of this software to accurately gauge the catastrophe-exposed risks RSUI writes could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. As part of its approach to managing catastrophe risk, RSUI has historically used a number of tools, including third-party catastrophe modeling software, to help evaluate potential losses. RSUI has used modeled loss scenarios to set its level of risk retention and help structure its reinsurance programs. Modeled loss estimates, however, have not always accurately predicted RSUI's ultimate losses with respect to hurricane activity. Accordingly, in an effort to better manage its accumulations of risk such that its loss exposure conforms to its established risk tolerances and fits within its reinsurance programs, RSUI periodically reviews its catastrophe exposure management approach, which may result in the implementation of new monitoring tools and a revision of its underwriting guidelines and procedures. However, these efforts may not be successful in sufficiently mitigating risk exposures and losses resulting from future catastrophes.

Our insurance operating units are rated by A.M. Best and a decline in these ratings could affect the standing of our insurance operating units in the insurance industry and cause their premium volume and earnings to decrease. Ratings have become an increasingly important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies. Some of our insurance operating unit companies are rated by A.M. Best, an independent organization that analyzes the insurance industry. A.M. Best's ratings reflect its opinion of an insurance company's financial strength, operating performance, strategic position, and ability to meet its

Table of Contents

obligations to policyholders. These ratings are subject to periodic review, and we cannot assure you that any of our insurance operating unit companies will be able to retain those ratings. In this regard, as a result of EDC's determination in June 2009 to cease writing business on a direct basis and certain other factors, A.M. Best downgraded its rating of EDIC from A- (Excellent), with a negative outlook, to B++ (Good), with a stable outlook on June 30, 2009. If the ratings of our insurance operating unit companies are reduced, or in the case of EDIC, further reduced, from their current levels by A.M. Best, their competitive positions in the insurance industry could suffer and it would be more difficult for them to market their products. A significant downgrade could result in a substantial loss of business as policyholders move to other companies with higher claims-paying and financial strength ratings.

The businesses of our insurance operating units are heavily regulated, and changes in regulation may reduce their profitability and limit their growth. Our insurance operating units are subject to extensive regulation and supervision in the jurisdictions in which they conduct business. This regulation is generally designed to protect the interests of policyholders, and not necessarily the interests of insurers, their stockholders, or other investors. The regulation relates to authorization for lines of business, capital and surplus requirements, investment limitations, underwriting limitations, transactions with affiliates, dividend limitations, changes in control, premium rates, and a variety of other financial and nonfinancial components of an insurance company's business.

Virtually all states in which our insurance operating units conduct their business require them, together with other insurers licensed to do business in that state, to bear a portion of the loss suffered by some insureds as the result of impaired or insolvent insurance companies. In addition, in various states, our insurance operating units must participate in mandatory arrangements to provide various types of insurance coverage to individuals or other entities that otherwise are unable to purchase that coverage from private insurers. A few states require our insurance operating units to purchase reinsurance from a mandatory reinsurance fund. Such reinsurance funds can create a credit risk for insurers if not adequately funded by the state and, in some cases, the existence of a reinsurance fund could affect the prices charged for the policies issued by our insurance operating units. The effect of these and similar arrangements could reduce the profitability of our insurance operating units in any given period or limit the ability of our insurance operating units to grow their business.

In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework has come under increased scrutiny, and some state legislatures have considered or enacted laws that may alter or increase state authority to regulate insurance companies and insurance holding companies. Further, the NAIC and state insurance regulators are continually reexamining existing laws and regulations, specifically focusing on modifications to statutory accounting principles, interpretations of existing laws and the development of new laws and regulations. It is also possible that the structure of insurance regulation may be impacted by the broader financial regulatory reform that Congress continues to pursue in the wake of the recent financial crisis. Any proposed or future state or federal legislation or NAIC initiatives, if adopted, may be more restrictive on the ability of our insurance operating units to conduct business than current regulatory requirements or may result in higher costs.

Risk Factors Relating to our Investments and Assets

A substantial amount of our assets is invested in debt securities and is subject to market fluctuations. A substantial portion of our investment portfolio consists of debt securities. As of December 31, 2009, our investment in debt securities was approximately \$3.3 billion, or 74.5 percent of our total investment portfolio. The fair market value of these assets and the investment income from these assets fluctuate depending on general economic and market conditions. A rise in interest rates would increase the net unrealized loss position of our investment portfolio, offset by our ability to earn higher rates of return on funds reinvested. Conversely, a decline in interest rates would decrease the net unrealized loss position of our investment portfolio, offset by lower rates of return on funds reinvested. In addition, some debt securities, such as mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities, carry prepayment risk, or the risk that principal will be returned more rapidly or slowly than expected, as a result of interest rate fluctuations.

Based upon the composition and duration of our investment portfolio at December 31, 2009, a 100 basis point increase in interest rates would result in a decrease in the fair value of our debt security investments of approximately \$116.7 million.

Table of Contents

Defaults, downgrades or other events impairing the value of our debt securities portfolio may reduce our earnings. We are subject to the risk that the issuers, or guarantors, of debt securities we own may default on principal and interest payments they owe us. The occurrence of a major economic downturn, such as the current downturn in the economy, acts of corporate malfeasance, widening risk spreads, or other events that adversely affect the issuers or guarantors of these debt securities could cause the value of our debt securities portfolio and our net earnings to decline and the default rate of the debt securities in our investment portfolio to increase. In addition, with economic uncertainty, the credit quality of issuers or guarantors could be adversely affected and a ratings downgrade of the issuers or guarantors of the debt securities we own could also cause the value of our debt securities portfolio and our net earnings to decrease. For example, rating agency downgrades of mono-line insurance companies during 2008 contributed to a decline in the carrying value and liquidity of our municipal bond investment portfolio. Any event reducing the value of these securities other than on a temporary basis could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition. We continually monitor the difference between cost and the estimated fair value of our investments in debt securities. If a decline in the value of a particular debt security is deemed to be temporary, we record the decline as an unrealized loss in stockholders' equity. If the decline is deemed to be other than temporary, we write it down to the carrying value of the investment and record an other-than-temporary impairment loss on our statement of earnings, which may be material to our operating results.

Investment returns are currently, and will likely continue to remain, under pressure due to the significant volatility and disruption experienced in the financial markets and the current and continuing economic uncertainty. As a result, our exposure to the risks described above could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We invest some of our assets in equity securities, which are subject to fluctuations in market value. We invest a portion of our investment portfolio in equity securities which are subject to fluctuations in market value. As of December 31, 2009, our investments in equity securities had a fair market value of approximately \$624.5 million, which represented 14.1 percent of our investment portfolio. We hold our equity securities as available for sale, and any changes in the fair value of these securities, net of tax, would be reflected in our accumulated other comprehensive income as a component of stockholders' equity. If a decline in the value of a particular equity security is deemed to be temporary, we record the decline as an unrealized loss in stockholders' equity. If the decline is deemed to be other than temporary, we write it down to the carrying value of the investment and record an other-than-temporary impairment loss on our statement of earnings, which may be material to our operating results, regardless of whether we continue to hold the equity security. A severe and/or prolonged downturn in equity markets could give rise to significant impairment charges.

In 2009, we recorded \$74.1 million of other-than-temporary impairment losses on equity securities, which were primarily based on the severity of the declines in fair value of such securities relative to their cost as of the balance sheet date. Such severe declines are primarily related to a significant deterioration of U.S. equity market conditions during the latter part of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009, which abated somewhat during the remainder of 2009. If U.S. equity market conditions deteriorate in 2010, we may be required to record additional other-than-temporary impairment losses, which could have a material and adverse impact on our results of operations.

As of December 31, 2009, our energy sector equity holdings had an aggregate fair market value of \$399.2 million, which represented 63.9 percent of our equity portfolio. This investment concentration may lead to higher levels of short-term price volatility and variability in the level of unrealized investment gains or losses.

If our business does not perform well, we may be required to recognize an impairment of our goodwill or other long-lived assets or to establish a valuation allowance against the deferred income tax asset, which could adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition. Goodwill represents the excess of the amount we paid to acquire subsidiaries and other businesses over the fair value of their net assets at the date of acquisition. We test goodwill at least annually for impairment. Impairment testing is performed based upon estimates of the fair value

of the operating unit to which the goodwill relates. The fair value of the operating unit is impacted by the performance of the business. The performance of our businesses may be adversely impacted by

Table of Contents

prolonged market declines. If it is determined that the goodwill has been impaired, we must write down the goodwill by the amount of the impairment, with a corresponding charge to net earnings. Such write-downs could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position. For example, in connection with impairment testing of goodwill and other intangible assets as of December 31, 2008, we determined that the \$48.7 million of goodwill associated with our acquisition of EDC was impaired. As a result, as of December 31, 2008, we recorded a non-cash charge of \$48.7 million, representing the entire EDC goodwill balance at such date. EDC also recorded a pre-tax, non-cash impairment charge of \$11.2 million in the 2009 second quarter, representing the entire carrying value of EDC's trade names (originally determined to have indefinite useful lives), renewal rights, distribution rights, and database development, net of accumulated amortization. Further or continued deterioration of financial market conditions could result in a decrease in the expected future earnings of our operating units, which could lead to an impairment of some or all of the goodwill associated with them in future periods.

Deferred income tax represents the tax effect of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are assessed periodically by management to determine if they are recoverable. Factors in management's determination include the performance of the business including the ability to generate capital gains. If it is more likely than not that the deferred income tax asset will not be realized based on available information then a valuation allowance must be established with a corresponding charge to net earnings. Such charges could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position. Additional deterioration of financial market conditions could also result in the impairment of long-lived assets and the establishment of a valuation allowance on our deferred income tax assets.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

There are no unresolved written comments that were received from the SEC staff 180 days or more before the end of our fiscal year relating to our periodic or current reports under the Exchange Act.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Our subsidiaries are parties to pending litigation and claims in connection with the ordinary course of their businesses. Each subsidiary makes provision on its books, in accordance with GAAP, for estimated losses to be incurred in connection with such litigation and claims, including legal costs. In the opinion of management, this provision is adequate under GAAP as of December 31, 2009.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

We did not submit any matter to a vote of security holders during the quarter ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.****Market Information, Holders and Dividends**

As of December 31, 2009, there were 1,020 holders of record of our common stock. The following table indicates quarterly high and low prices of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange in 2009 and 2008. Our ticker symbol is Y.

| Quarter Ended | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| March 31 | \$ 301.47 | \$ 234.83 | \$ 396.00 | \$ 317.23 |
| June 30 | 277.50 | 221.74 | 372.39 | 324.32 |
| September 30 | 288.73 | 250.58 | 411.76 | 279.41 |
| December 31 | 276.52 | 247.01 | 362.75 | 177.74 |

In 2009 and 2008, our Board of Directors declared, as our dividend on our common stock for each such year, a stock dividend consisting of one share of our common stock for every fifty shares outstanding.

Purchases of Equity Securities by Us

The following table summarizes our common stock repurchases for the quarter ended December 31, 2009:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(1) | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | |
| October 1 to October 31 | 55,774 | \$ 259.11 | 55,774 | |
| November 1 to November 30 | 749 | \$ 264.90 | 749 | |
| December 1 to December 31 | 29,629 | \$ 269.66 | 29,269 | |
| Total | 86,152 | \$ 262.76 | 85,792 | \$ 81,718,365 |

- (1) All shares represent shares repurchased pursuant to an authorization of the Board of Directors, announced in February 2008, to repurchase shares of our common stock, at such times and at prices as management may determine advisable, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million.

Table of Contents**PERFORMANCE GRAPH**

The following graph compares for the years 2005 through 2009 the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock, the cumulative total return on the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index (the S&P 500), and the cumulative total return on the Standard & Poor's 500 Property and Casualty Insurance Index (the P&C Index). The graph shows the value at the end of each such year of \$100 invested as of January 1, 2005 in our common stock, the S&P 500 and the P&C Index.

| Company/Index | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| nAlleghany | 101.55 | 132.62 | 149.55 | 107.01 | 106.83 |
| = S&P 500 | 104.91 | 121.48 | 128.16 | 80.74 | 102.11 |
| 5 P&C Index | 115.11 | 129.93 | 111.79 | 78.91 | 88.65 |

This performance graph is based on the following assumptions: (i) cash dividends are reinvested on the ex-dividend date in respect of such dividend; and (ii) the two-percent stock dividends we have paid in each of the years 2005 through 2009 are included in the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.***Alleghany Corporation and Subsidiaries**

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (in millions, except for per share and share amounts) | | | | |
| Operating Data | | | | | |
| Revenues from continuing operations | \$ 1,184.4 | \$ 989.1 | \$ 1,228.6 | \$ 1,060.3 | \$ 1,062.7 |
| Earnings from continuing operations | \$ 271.0 | \$ 40.6 | \$ 287.6 | \$ 240.9 | \$ 43.9 |
| Earnings from discontinued operations | | 107.4 | 11.5 | 7.0 | 8.4 |
| Net earnings | \$ 271.0 | \$ 148.0 | \$ 299.1 | \$ 247.9 | \$ 52.3 |
| Basic earnings per share of common stock** | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 30.43 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 31.89 | \$ 27.40 | \$ 5.15 |
| Discontinued operations | | 12.67 | 1.36 | 0.83 | 0.98 |
| Net earnings | \$ 30.43 | \$ 15.42 | \$ 33.25 | \$ 28.23 | \$ 6.13 |
| Average number of shares of common stock** | 8,704,268 | 8,479,863 | 8,476,152 | 8,465,844 | 8,536,073 |

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Balance Sheet | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 6,192.8 | \$ 6,181.8 | \$ 6,942.1 | \$ 6,178.7 | \$ 5,822.3 |
| Debt | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 80.0 | \$ 80.0 |
| Common stockholders equity | \$ 2,717.5 | \$ 2,347.3 | \$ 2,484.8 | \$ 2,146.4 | \$ 1,894.4 |
| Common stockholders equity per share of common stock** | \$ 306.71 | \$ 278.17 | \$ 292.73 | \$ 254.12 | \$ 221.40 |

* We sold World Minerals on July 14, 2005. World Minerals has been classified as discontinued operations for the year ended 2005. On July 18, 2007, AIHL acquired EDC. We sold Darwin on October 20, 2008. Darwin has been

classified as discontinued operations for the four years ended 2008 and discontinued operations, net of minority interest expense, includes the gain on disposition in 2008.

** Amounts have been adjusted for subsequent common stock dividends.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk contain disclosures which are forward-looking statements as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include all statements that do not relate solely to historical or current facts, and can be identified by the use of words such as may, will, expect, project, estimate, anticipate, plan, believe, potential, should, continue or the negative versions of those words or other comparable words. These forward-looking statements are based upon our current plans or expectations and are subject to a number of uncertainties and risks that could significantly affect current plans, anticipated actions and our future financial condition and results. These statements are not guarantees of future performance, and we have no specific intention to update these statements. The uncertainties and risks include, but are not limited to,

significant weather-related or other natural or human-made catastrophes and disasters;

the cyclical nature of the property and casualty insurance industry;

changes in market prices of our equity investments and changes in value of our debt portfolio;

adverse loss development for events insured by our insurance operating units in either the current year or prior year;

the long-tail and potentially volatile nature of certain casualty lines of business written by our insurance operating units;

the cost and availability of reinsurance;

exposure to terrorist acts;

the willingness and ability of our insurance operating units' reinsurers to pay reinsurance recoverables owed to our insurance operating units;

changes in the ratings assigned to our insurance operating units;

claims development and the process of estimating reserves;

legal and regulatory changes;

the uncertain nature of damage theories and loss amounts; and

increases in the levels of risk retention by our insurance operating units.

Additional risks and uncertainties include general economic and political conditions, including the effects of a prolonged U.S. or global economic downturn or recession; changes in costs; variations in political, economic or other factors; risks relating to conducting operations in a competitive environment; effects of acquisition and disposition activities, inflation rates, or recessionary or expansive trends; changes in interest rates; extended labor disruptions, civil unrest, or other external factors over which we have no control; and changes in our plans, strategies, objectives, expectations, or intentions, which may happen at any time at our discretion. As a consequence, current plans, anticipated actions, and future financial condition and results may differ from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Losses and LAE

Overview. Each of our insurance operating units establishes reserves on its balance sheet for unpaid losses and LAE related to its property and casualty insurance and surety contracts. As of any balance sheet date, historically there have been claims that have not yet been reported, and some claims may not be reported for many years after the date a loss occurs. As a result of this historical pattern, the liability for unpaid losses and LAE includes significant estimates for IBNR. Additionally, reported claims are in various stages of the settlement process. Each claim is settled individually based upon its merits, and certain claims may take years to settle,

Table of Contents

especially if legal action is involved. As a result, the liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE include significant judgments, assumptions and estimates made by management relating to the actual ultimate losses that will arise from the claims. Due to the inherent uncertainties in the process of establishing these liabilities, the actual ultimate loss from a claim is likely to differ, perhaps materially, from the liability initially recorded and could be material to the results of our operations. The accounting policies that our insurance operating units use in connection with the establishment of these liabilities include critical accounting estimates.

As noted above, as of any balance sheet date, not all claims that have occurred have been reported to our insurance operating units, and if reported may not have been settled. The time period between the occurrence of a loss and the time it is settled by the insurer is referred to as the claim tail. Property claims usually have a fairly short claim tail and, absent claim litigation, are reported and settled within no more than a few years of the date they occur. For short-tail lines, loss reserves consist primarily of reserves for reported claims. The process of recording quarterly and annual liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE for short-tail lines is primarily focused on maintaining an appropriate reserve level for reported claims and IBNR, rather than determining an expected loss ratio for the current business. Specifically, we assess the reserve adequacy of IBNR in light of such factors as the current levels of reserves for reported claims and expectations with respect to reporting lags, historical data, legal developments, and economic conditions, including the effects of inflation. At December 31, 2009, the amount of IBNR for short-tail claims represented approximately 1.1 percent, or \$28.6 million, of our total gross loss and LAE liabilities of \$2.5 billion. In conformity with GAAP, our insurance operating units are not permitted to establish IBNR reserves for catastrophe losses that have not occurred. Therefore, losses related to a significant catastrophe, or accumulation of catastrophes, in any reporting period could have a material, negative impact on our results during that period.

Our insurance operating units provide coverage on both a claims-made and occurrence basis. Claims-made policies generally require that claims occur and be reported during the coverage period of the policy. Occurrence policies allow claims which occur during a policy's coverage period to be reported after the coverage period, and as a result, these claims can have a very long claim tail, occasionally extending for decades. Casualty claims can have a very long claim tail, in certain situations extending for many years. In addition, casualty claims are more susceptible to litigation and the legal environment and can be significantly affected by changing contract interpretations, all of which contribute to extending the claim tail. For long-tail casualty lines of business, estimation of ultimate liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE is a more complex process and depends on a number of factors, including the line and volume of the business involved. For these reasons, AIHL's insurance operating units will generally use actuarial projections in setting reserves for all casualty lines of business.

Although we are unable at this time to determine whether additional reserves, which could have a material impact upon our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows, may be necessary in the future, we believe that the reserves for unpaid losses and LAE established by our insurance operating units are adequate as of December 31, 2009.

Methodologies and Assumptions. Our insurance operating units use a variety of techniques that employ significant judgments and assumptions to establish the liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE recorded at the balance sheet date. These techniques include detailed statistical analyses of past claim reporting, settlement activity, claim frequency, internal loss experience, changes in pricing or coverages, and severity data when sufficient information exists to lend statistical credibility to the analyses. More subjective techniques are used when statistical data is insufficient or unavailable. These liabilities also reflect implicit or explicit assumptions regarding the potential effects of future inflation, judicial decisions, changes in laws and recent trends in such factors, as well as a number of actuarial assumptions that vary across our insurance operating units and across lines of business. This data is analyzed by line of business, coverage, and accident year, as appropriate.

Our loss reserve review processes use actuarial methods that vary by insurance operating unit and line of business and produce point estimates for each class of business. The actuarial methods used by our insurance operating units include the following methods:

Reported Loss Development Method: a reported loss development pattern is calculated based on historical data, and this pattern is then used to project the latest evaluation of cumulative reported losses for each accident year to ultimate levels;

Table of Contents

Paid Development Method: a paid loss development pattern is calculated based on historical development data, and this pattern is then used to project the latest evaluation of cumulative paid losses for each accident year to ultimate levels;

Expected Loss Ratio Method: expected loss ratios are applied to premiums earned, based on historical company experience, or historical insurance industry results when company experience is deemed not to be sufficient; and

Bornhuetter-Ferguson Method: the results from the Expected Loss Ratio Method are essentially blended with either the Reported Loss Development Method or the Paid Development Method.

The primary assumptions used by our insurance operating units include the following:

Expected loss ratios represent management's expectation of losses, in relation to earned premium, at the time business is written, before any actual claims experience has emerged. This expectation is a significant determinant of the estimate of loss reserves for recently written business where there is little paid or incurred loss data to consider. Expected loss ratios are generally derived from historical loss ratios adjusted for the impact of rate changes, loss cost trends, and known changes in the type of risks underwritten.

Rate of loss cost inflation (or deflation) represents management's expectation of the inflation associated with the costs we may incur in the future to settle claims. Expected loss cost inflation is particularly important for claims with a substantial medical component, such as workers' compensation.

Reported and paid loss emergence patterns represent management's expectation of how losses will be reported and ultimately paid in the future based on the historical emergence patterns of reported and paid losses and are derived from past experience of our insurance operating units, modified for current trends. These emergence patterns are used to project current reported or paid loss amounts to their ultimate settlement value.

Each of the above actuarial assumptions may also incorporate data from the insurance industry as a whole, or peer companies writing substantially similar insurance coverages, in the absence of sufficiently credible internally-derived historical information. Data from external sources may be used to set expectations, as well as assumptions regarding loss frequency or severity relative to an exposure unit or claim, among other actuarial parameters. Assumptions regarding the application or composition of peer group or industry reserving parameters require substantial judgment. The use of data from external sources was most significant for EDC as of December 31, 2009.

Sensitivity. Loss frequency and severity are measures of loss activity that are considered in determining the key assumptions described above. Loss frequency is a measure of the number of claims per unit of insured exposure, and loss severity is a measure of the average size of claims. Factors affecting loss frequency include the effectiveness of loss controls and safety programs and changes in economic conditions or weather patterns. Factors affecting loss severity include changes in policy limits, retentions, rate of inflation, and judicial interpretations. Another factor affecting estimates of loss frequency and severity is the loss reporting lag, which is the period of time between the occurrence of a loss and the date the loss is reported to our insurance operating units. The length of the loss reporting lag affects our ability to accurately predict loss frequency (loss frequencies are more predictable for lines with short reporting lags), as well as the amount of reserves needed for IBNR. If the actual level of loss frequency and severity is higher or lower than expected, the ultimate losses will be different than management's estimates. A small percentage change in an estimate can result in a material effect on our reported earnings. The following table reflects the impact of changes, which could be favorable or unfavorable, in frequency and severity on our loss estimates for claims occurring in 2009 (dollars in millions):

| Severity | Frequency | | |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| | 1.0% | 5.0% | 10.0% |
| 1.0% | \$ 8.7 | \$ 26.3 | \$ 48.3 |
| 5.0% | \$ 26.3 | \$ 44.6 | \$ 67.4 |
| 10.0% | \$ 48.3 | \$ 67.4 | \$ 91.3 |

Our net reserves for losses and LAE of \$1.6 billion as of December 31, 2009 relate to multiple accident years. Therefore, the impact of changes in frequency or severity for more than one accident year could be higher or lower

Table of Contents

than the amounts reflected above. We believe the above analysis provides a reasonable benchmark for sensitivity as we believe it is within historical variation for our reserves. Currently, none of the scenarios is believed to be more likely than the other.

Prior Year Development. Our insurance operating units continually evaluate the potential for changes, both positive and negative, in their estimates of their loss and LAE liabilities and use the results of these evaluations to adjust both recorded liabilities and underwriting criteria. With respect to liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE established in prior years, these liabilities are periodically analyzed and their expected ultimate cost adjusted, where necessary, to reflect positive or negative development in loss experience and new information, including, for certain catastrophic events, revised industry estimates of the magnitude of a catastrophe. Adjustments to previously recorded liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE, both positive and negative, are reflected in our financial results in the periods in which these adjustments are made and are referred to as prior year reserve development. Each of RSUI, CATA, and EDC adjusted its prior year loss and LAE reserve estimate during 2009 and 2008 based on current information that differed from previous assumptions made at the time such loss and LAE reserves were previously estimated. These reserve increases/(decreases) to prior year net reserves are summarized as follows (in millions):

| | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| RSUI: | | |
| Net casualty reserve releases | \$ (38.4) | \$ (43.7) |
| Reserve release for third quarter 2008 hurricanes | (9.9) | |
| Non-catastrophe property case reserve re-estimation | 11.5 | (6.2) |
| All other, net | 1.6 | (4.8) |
| | \$ (35.2) | \$ (54.7) |
| CATA: | | |
| Net insurance reserve releases | \$ (10.7) | \$ (11.8) |
| EDC: | | |
| Net workers compensation increase | \$ 26.5 | \$ 25.4 |
| All other, net | 1.5 | (1.7) |
| | \$ 28.0 | \$ 23.7 |
| Total incurred related to prior years | \$ (17.9) | \$ (42.8) |

The more significant prior year adjustments affecting 2009 and 2008 are summarized as follows:

For RSUI, loss and LAE for 2009 reflect a net \$38.4 million release of prior accident year casualty loss reserves, compared with a net \$43.7 million release of prior accident year casualty loss reserves during 2008. Both amounts relate primarily to D&O liability, professional liability, and general liability lines of business for the 2003 through 2007 accident years and reflect favorable loss emergence, compared with loss emergence patterns assumed in earlier periods for such lines of business. Specifically, cumulative losses for such lines of business, which include both loss payments and case reserves, in respect of prior accident years were expected to be higher through December 31, 2009 than the actual cumulative losses through that date. This amount of lower cumulative losses, expressed as a percentage of carried loss and LAE reserves at the beginning of the year, was 2.9 percent. Such reduction did not impact the assumptions used in estimating RSUI's loss and LAE

liabilities for business earned in 2009. For RSUI, loss and LAE for 2009 also reflect a net \$9.9 million release of prior accident year loss reserves related to 2008 third quarter Hurricanes Ike, Gustav, and Dolly.

For CATA, loss and LAE for 2009 reflect a net \$10.7 million release of prior accident year loss reserves, compared with a net \$11.8 million release of prior accident year loss reserves during 2008. Both amounts relate primarily to favorable loss emergence in the casualty and surety lines of business, compared with loss emergence patterns assumed in earlier periods for such lines of business. Specifically, cumulative losses for such lines of business, which include both loss payments and case reserves, in respect of prior accident years

Table of Contents

were expected to be higher through December 31, 2009 than the actual cumulative losses through that date. This amount of lower cumulative losses, expressed as a percentage of carried loss and LAE reserves at the beginning of the year, was 2.6 percent. Such reduction did not impact the assumptions used in estimating CATA's loss and LAE liabilities for business earned in 2009.

For EDC, workers' compensation loss and LAE for 2009 reflect a \$26.5 million increase of prior accident year workers' compensation loss reserves, compared with a \$25.4 million increase of prior accident year workers' compensation loss reserves during 2008. Both such reserve increases primarily reflect a significant acceleration in claims emergence and higher than anticipated increases in industry-wide severity. In addition, the \$26.5 million increase in 2009 also reflects the estimated impact of judicial decisions by the Workers Compensation Appeals Board, or WCAB. Such WCAB decisions related to permanent disability determinations that have materially weakened prior workers' compensation reforms instrumental in reducing medical and disability costs in earlier years. These decisions are in the process of being appealed to the California appellate courts but will continue in effect during the appeals process. With respect to the \$26.5 million increase for prior accident years, \$17.7 million primarily reflected higher than expected paid losses and \$8.8 million reflected the estimated impact of the WCAB decisions. Cumulative paid losses in respect of prior accident years were expected to be lower through June 30, 2009 (the date of the reserve increase) than the actual cumulative paid losses through that date. This amount of higher cumulative paid losses, expressed as a percentage of carried loss and LAE reserves at the beginning of the year, was 1.5 percent. Such increases impacted the assumptions used in estimating EDC's loss and LAE liabilities for business earned in 2009 and 2008, causing an increase of current accident year reserves of \$8.0 million and \$10.5 million, respectively. Of the \$8.0 million, \$6.2 million primarily reflected higher than expected paid losses and the remainder reflected the estimated impact of the WCAB decisions. Although EDC believes its reserves, including the provision for the WCAB decisions, were adequate as of December 31, 2009, the WCAB decisions could materially adversely affect the number and amount of EDC's permanent disability payments, including those on its open claims, and the related loss and LAE reserves.

Asbestos & Environmental. Our reserve for unpaid losses and LAE includes \$18.9 million and \$18.8 million of gross and net reserves, respectively, at December 31, 2009, for various liability coverages related to asbestos and environmental impairment claims that arose from reinsurance of certain general liability and commercial multiple peril coverages assumed by Capitol Indemnity between 1969 and 1976. Capitol Indemnity exited this business in 1976. Reserves for asbestos and environmental impairment claims cannot be estimated with traditional loss reserving techniques because of uncertainties that are greater than those associated with other types of claims. Factors contributing to these uncertainties include a lack of historical data, the significant periods of time that often elapse between the occurrence of an insured loss and the reporting of that loss to the ceding company and the reinsurer, uncertainty as to the number and identity of insureds with potential exposure to these risks, unresolved legal issues regarding policy coverage, and the extent and timing of any such contractual liability. Loss reserve estimates for these environmental impairment and asbestos exposures include case reserves, which also reflect reserves for legal and other LAE and IBNR reserves. IBNR reserves are determined based upon CATA's historic general liability exposure base and policy language, previous environmental impairment loss experience, and the assessment of current trends of environmental law, environmental cleanup costs, asbestos liability law, and judicial settlements of asbestos liabilities.

For both asbestos and environmental impairment reinsurance claims, CATA establishes case reserves by receiving case reserve amounts from its ceding companies and verifies these amounts against reinsurance contract terms, analyzing from the first dollar of loss incurred by the primary insurer. In establishing the liability for asbestos and environmental impairment claims, CATA considers facts currently known and the current state of the law and coverage litigation. Additionally, ceding companies often report potential losses on a precautionary basis to protect their rights under the reinsurance arrangement, which generally calls for prompt notice to the reinsurer. Ceding companies, at the time they report potential losses, advise CATA of the ceding companies' current estimate of the

extent of the loss. CATA's claims department reviews each of the precautionary claims notices and, based upon current information, assesses the likelihood of loss to CATA. This assessment is one of the factors used in determining the adequacy of the recorded asbestos and environmental impairment reserves. Although we are unable at this time to determine whether additional reserves, which could have a material impact upon our results of

Table of Contents

operations, may be necessary in the future, we believe that CATA's asbestos and environmental impairment reserves are adequate as of December 31, 2009. Additional information regarding asbestos and environmental impairment claims can be found on pages 19 and 20 of this Form 10-K Report.

Reinsurance. Receivables recorded with respect to claims ceded by our insurance operating units to reinsurers under reinsurance contracts are predicated in large part on the estimates for unpaid losses and, therefore, are also subject to a significant degree of uncertainty. In addition to the factors cited above, reinsurance receivables may prove uncollectible if the reinsurer is unable to perform under the contract. Reinsurance contracts purchased by our insurance operating units do not relieve them of their obligations to their own policyholders. Additional information regarding the use of, and risks related to, the use of reinsurance by our insurance operating units can be found on page 28 of this Form 10-K Report.

Investments Impairment

We hold our equity and debt securities as available for sale, and as such, these securities are recorded at fair value. We continually monitor the difference between cost and the estimated fair value of our investments, which involves uncertainty as to whether declines in value are temporary in nature. If a decline in the value of a particular investment is deemed temporary, we record the decline as an unrealized loss in stockholders' equity. If the decline is deemed to be other than temporary, we write it down to the carrying value of the investment and record an other-than-temporary impairment loss on our statement of earnings, regardless of whether we continue to hold the applicable security. In addition, under GAAP, any portion of such decline that relates to debt securities that is believed to arise from factors other than credit is recorded as a component of other comprehensive income.

Management's assessment of a decline in value includes, among other things,

the duration of time and the relative magnitude to which fair value of the investment has been below cost;

the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer of the investment;

extraordinary events, including negative news releases and rating agency downgrades, with respect to the issuer of the investment; and

our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery.

A debt security is deemed impaired if it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due under the security's contractual terms. An equity security is deemed impaired if, among other things, its decline in estimated fair value has existed for twelve months or more or if its decline in estimated fair value from its cost is greater than 50 percent, absent compelling evidence to the contrary. Further, for securities