

AMERICAN SHARED HOSPITAL SERVICES
Form DEF 14A
April 30, 2014

SCHEDULE 14A (Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o
Check the appropriate box:

o Preliminary Proxy Statement
 Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
 x Definitive Proxy Statement
 o Definitive Additional Materials
 o Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-11(c) or Rule 14a-12

American Shared Hospital Services

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x No fee required.
 o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5)

Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1)

Amount previously paid:

(2)

Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3)

Filing Party:

(4)

Date Filed:

**AMERICAN SHARED HOSPITAL SERVICES
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3700
San Francisco, California 94111**

**NOTICE OF 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF
SHAREHOLDERS
To be held on June 10, 2014**

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF AMERICAN SHARED HOSPITAL SERVICES:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to a call of the Board of Directors, the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Meeting) of American Shared Hospital Services, a California corporation (the Company), will be held in the Conference Center, Four Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, CA 94111 at 9:00 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time on Tuesday, June 10, 2014 to consider and to act upon the following matters, all as set forth in the Proxy Statement.

1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS. To elect the following six nominees to the Board of Directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until their successors are elected and have qualified:

Ernest A. Bates, M.D.
S. Mert Ozyurek
Raymond C. Stachowiak

David A. Larson, M.D.
John F. Ruffle
Stanley S. Trotman, Jr.

2. ADVISORY VOTE ON OUR EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION. To provide a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers.

3. RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM. To ratify the appointment of Moss Adams LLP as the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the year ending December 31, 2014.

4. OTHER BUSINESS. To transact such other business and to consider and take action upon any and all matters that may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any and all adjournments thereof.

The Board of Directors knows of no matters, other than those set forth in paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) above, that will be presented for consideration at the Meeting.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 17, 2014 as the Record Date for the determination of shareholders entitled to vote at the Meeting.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, PLEASE DATE, SIGN AND MAIL THE ENCLOSED PROXY IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE. THE PROXY IS REVOCABLE AND WILL NOT AFFECT YOUR RIGHT TO VOTE IN PERSON, IF YOU ATTEND THE MEETING. IN ORDER TO FACILITATE THE PROVISION OF ADEQUATE ACCOMMODATIONS, PLEASE INDICATE ON THE PROXY WHETHER YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Willie R. Barnes
Corporate Secretary

Dated: April 30, 2014
San Francisco, California

AMERICAN SHARED HOSPITAL SERVICES
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3700
San Francisco, California 94111

PROXY STATEMENT
2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
June 10, 2014

INTRODUCTION

This Proxy Statement is being furnished to shareholders of American Shared Hospital Services, a California corporation (the Company), in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Company's Board of Directors (the Board of Directors) for use at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held in the Conference Center, Four Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, CA 94111 at 9:00 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time on Tuesday, June 10, 2014 and at any adjournment or adjournments thereof (the Meeting). It is anticipated that this Proxy Statement and the proxy will first be sent to shareholders on or about May 9, 2014.

The matters to be considered and voted upon at the Meeting will be:

1. To elect six persons to the Board of Directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until their successors are elected and have qualified.
2. To provide a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers.
3. To ratify the appointment of Moss Adams LLP as the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the year ending December 31, 2014.
4. To transact such other business as may properly be brought before the Meeting and any and all adjournments thereof.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on April 17, 2014 (the Record Date) are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting.

Revocability of Proxies

A proxy for use at the Meeting is enclosed. Any shareholder who executes and delivers such proxy may revoke it at any time prior to its use by filing with the Secretary of the Company either written instructions revoking such proxy or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date. Written notice of the death of the person executing a proxy, before the vote is counted, is tantamount to revocation of such proxy. A proxy may also be revoked by attending the Meeting and voting in person.

Solicitation of Proxies

This proxy solicitation is being made by the Board of Directors of the Company. The expense of the solicitation will be paid by the Company. To the extent necessary to assure sufficient representation at the Meeting, proxies may be solicited by any appropriate means by directors, officers, regular employees of the Company and the stock transfer agent for shares of the Company's common stock (the Common Shares), who will not receive any additional compensation therefor. The Company will request that banks, brokers and other fiduciaries solicit their customers who own beneficially the Common Shares listed of record in names of nominees and, although there is no formal arrangement to do so, the Company will reimburse such persons the reasonable expenses of such solicitation. In addition, the Company may pay for and utilize the services of individuals or companies not regularly employed by the Company in connection with the solicitation of proxies, if the Board of Directors of the Company determines that this is advisable.

Outstanding Securities

The Board of Directors has fixed April 17, 2014 as the Record Date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Meeting. At the close of business on the Record Date, there were estimated to be outstanding and entitled to vote 4,608,370 Common Shares. The Common Shares are the only class of securities entitled to vote at the Meeting.

Vote Required and Voting Procedures

Each holder of Common Shares will be entitled to one vote, in person or by proxy, for each share standing in its name on the books of the Company as of the Record Date for the Meeting on each of the matters duly presented for vote at the Meeting, except as indicated below in connection with the election of directors.

In connection with the election of directors, shares are permitted to be voted cumulatively, if (i) a shareholder present at the Meeting has given notice at the Meeting, prior to the voting, of such shareholder's intention to vote its shares cumulatively and (ii) the names of the candidates for whom such shareholder desires to cumulate votes have been placed in nomination prior to the voting. If a shareholder has given such notice, all shareholders may cumulate their votes for candidates in nomination. Cumulative voting allows a shareholder to give one nominee as many votes as is equal to the number of directors to be elected multiplied by the number of shares owned by such shareholder or to distribute the same number of votes between two or more nominees. Discretionary authority to cumulate votes is hereby solicited by the Board of Directors.

In connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of proxies for use at the Meeting, the Board of Directors has designated Ernest A. Bates, M.D. and Craig K. Tagawa as proxies. Common Shares represented by properly executed proxies will be voted at the Meeting in accordance with the instructions specified thereon. If no instructions are specified, the Common Shares represented by any properly executed proxy will be voted FOR (1) the election of the six nominees for the Board of Directors named herein, (2) the approval, on an advisory basis, of the Company's executive compensation, and (3) ratification of the appointment of the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

The Board of Directors is not aware of any matters that will come before the Meeting other than as described above. However, if such matters are presented, the named proxies will, in the absence of instructions to the contrary, vote such proxies in accordance with the judgment of such named proxies with respect to any such other matter properly coming before the Meeting.

All outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock represented by properly executed and unrevoked proxies received in time for the Meeting will be voted. A shareholder has the following voting options for the three proposals discussed herein:

A shareholder may, with respect to the election of directors, (i) vote for the election of all six nominees named herein as directors, (ii) withhold authority to vote for all such director nominees or (iii) vote for the election of all such director nominees other than any nominee(s) with respect to whom the shareholder withholds authority to vote by so indicating in the appropriate space on the proxy. Withholding authority to vote for a director nominee will not prevent such director nominee from being elected.

A shareholder may, with respect to the advisory vote on executive compensation, (i) vote for, or approve on an advisory basis, our executive compensation, (ii) vote against, or disapprove on an advisory basis, our executive compensation, or (iii) abstain.

3.

A shareholder may, with respect to the proposal to ratify the appointment of the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, (i) vote for the ratification, (ii) vote against the ratification, or (iii) abstain.

A proxy submitted by a shareholder may indicate that all or a portion of the shares represented by such proxy are not being voted by such shareholder with respect to a particular matter. This could occur, for example, when a broker is not permitted to vote stock held in street name on certain matters in the absence of instructions from the beneficial owner of the stock. The shares subject to any such proxy which are not being voted with respect to a particular matter (the non-voted shares) will be considered shares not present and

entitled to vote on such matter, although such shares may be considered present and entitled to vote for other purposes and will count for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum. Abstentions are included in the determination of the number of shares represented at the Meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present and are counted as a vote against when determining whether a proposal has been approved.

The rules of the New York Stock Exchange determine whether proposals presented at shareholder meetings are routine or non-routine. If a proposal is routine, a broker or other entity holding shares for an owner in street name may vote for the proposal without receiving voting instructions from the owner under certain circumstances. If a proposal is non-routine, the broker or other entity may vote on the proposal only if the owner has provided voting instructions.

A broker non-vote occurs when the broker or other entity is unable to vote on a proposal because the proposal is non-routine and the owner does not provide any voting instructions.

Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, the election of directors in an uncontested election and the advisory vote on executive compensation are non-routine items. This means that brokers who do not receive voting instructions from their clients as to how to vote their shares for these proposals cannot exercise their discretionary authority to vote your shares to vote for these proposals. Therefore, it is important that you instruct your broker as to how you wish to have your shares voted on these proposals, even if you wish to vote as recommended by the Board of Directors.

A majority of the Common Shares outstanding on the Record Date must be represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting in order to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. In the election of directors, the six candidates receiving the highest number of votes will be elected directors of the Company.

The outcome of the advisory vote on our executive compensation will not be binding on the Board of Directors. Therefore, there is no required vote on these resolutions. The Board of Directors, in the exercise of its fiduciary duties, will consider the outcome of the advisory votes in determining how to proceed following such votes. The compensation of our Named Executive Officers will be approved, on an advisory basis, if the proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Common Stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the proposal.

The proposal to ratify the appointment of the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm requires for approval that a majority of those voting in person or by proxy vote FOR the proposal, provided that an affirmative vote also represents at least a majority of the voting power required to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting.

Provided that the quorum requirement is satisfied, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the election of directors, and broker non-votes will have no effect on the advisory vote on our executive compensation. However, abstentions would have the effect of a No/Against vote on the advisory vote on our executive compensation, and abstentions and broker non-votes would have the effect of a No/Against vote on the ratification of the appointment of the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

The Board of Directors has appointed Alexandra Albrecht of American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, the registrar and transfer agent for the Common Shares, or her designee, as the Inspector of Elections for the Annual Meeting. The Inspector of Elections will determine the number of Common Shares represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting, whether a quorum exists, and the authenticity, validity and effect of proxies and will receive and count the votes. The election of directors will not be by ballot unless a shareholder demands election by ballot at the Annual Meeting before the voting begins.

PROPOSAL NO. 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Board of Directors

The Company's Bylaws provide that there shall be no fewer than five nor more than nine directors and that the exact number shall be fixed from time to time by an amendment to the Bylaws adopted by the Board of Directors. The number of directors currently is fixed at six. There are currently no vacancies on the Board of Directors.

For many years our founder, Ernest A. Bates, M.D., has served as both Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The Board believes that Dr. Bates' intimate knowledge of the Company's business and customers, and his significant ownership of our common stock, closely align him with the interests of all of our constituencies and position him well to lead the Board, which in turn determines the Company's overall direction. Since the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer positions are held by the same person, the Board has elected an independent, non-management director as Lead Director to coordinate the activities of the other non-management directors and preside at their meetings. Mr. Ruffle currently serves as Lead Director.

Management, which is responsible for day-to-day risk management, continually monitors the material risks facing the Company, including strategic risks, operational risks, financial risks and legal and compliance risks. The Board is responsible for exercising oversight of management's identification and management of, and planning for, those risks. The Board has delegated to certain committees oversight responsibility for those risks that are directly related to their area of focus. The responsibilities of the Board's committees, and the areas of risk that they monitor, are described in detail in their charters. In summary, the Audit Committee oversees the preparation of the Company's financial statements and the hiring and work of its independent auditors to mitigate the risk of non-compliance with the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission governing financial reporting. The Compensation Committee oversees the structure of the Company's executive compensation program and has concluded that the program does not create a material risk that individuals will take excessive risks in order to impact their compensation. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees Board organization, membership and structure, director and officer succession planning and corporate governance to promote compliance with the requirements of securities regulators and stock exchanges. While management has the primary responsibility for identifying, assessing and managing risk, the ability of the Board to oversee management in this area is enhanced by the active participation of Dr. Bates as Chairman.

The Board of Directors is proposing the persons named below for election to the Board of Directors. Each of the persons identified below will be nominated for election to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until his successor shall be elected and qualified. Votes will be cast pursuant to the enclosed proxy in such a way as to effect the election of each of the persons named below or as many of them as possible under applicable voting rules. If a nominee is unable or unwilling to accept nomination for election as a director, it is intended that the proxy holders will vote for the election of such substitute nominee, if any, as shall be designated by the Board of Directors. Each of the nominees named below has notified the Board of Directors that, if elected, he is willing to serve as a director.

Set forth below is certain information regarding each of the nominees.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES NAMED BELOW. PROPERLY EXECUTED PROXIES RETURNED TO THE COMPANY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE NOMINEES NAMED BELOW UNLESS OTHERWISE INSTRUCTED.

Nominees

ERNEST A. BATES, M.D., founder of the Company, has served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since the Company's incorporation. A board-certified neurosurgeon, he is currently Emeritus Vice Chairman of the Board of Trustees of The Johns Hopkins University and serves on the Board of Visitors of the Johns Hopkins Medical Center and the Johns Hopkins Neurosurgery Advisory Board. He is an Emeritus member of the board at the University of Rochester. He serves on the boards of Shared Imaging and FasterCures. Dr. Bates was appointed to the California Commission for Jobs and Economic Growth and the Magistrate Judge Merit Selection Panel. From 1981-1987 he was a member of the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges, and he served on the California High Speed Rail Authority from 1997 to 2003. Dr. Bates is a member of the Board of Overseers at the University of California, San Francisco, School of Nursing. He is a Partner in Black Coyote Chateau Wines, LLC. He is a graduate of the School of Arts and Sciences of The Johns Hopkins University and the University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry. Dr. Bates is 77 years old. Dr. Bates is the father of former Board Member and current Company Vice President of Sales and Business Development, Ernest R. Bates.

DAVID A. LARSON, M.D., PhD, FACR, FASTRO, was elected to the Board of Directors in 2011. He is currently employed as a radiation oncology physician at the University of California, San Francisco and the Washington Hospital Healthcare System Gamma Knife Program. He is an internationally recognized authority on brain tumors and on central nervous system and body radiosurgery, intensity modulated radiotherapy, and highly conformal radiotherapy. He holds a PhD degree in high energy physics from the University of Chicago and an MD degree from the University of Miami School of Medicine. He completed his medical internship at the University of California, San Francisco and his radiation oncology residency training at Harvard Medical School, where he also served as attending physician and instructor. Dr. Larson has been a member of the UCSF academic faculty since 1986, leading to joint professorial appointments in the Departments of Radiation Oncology and Neurosurgery. He has authored more than 200 scientific papers, reviews, and book chapters. He was elected by his peers to the presidency of numerous professional societies, including the American Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (ASTRO), the Northern California Radiation Oncology Society (NCROS), and the International Stereotactic Radiosurgery Society (ISRS). He is a Fellow in the American College of Radiation Oncology (FACRO), the American College of Radiology (FACR), and the American Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (FASTRO). He has been recognized as one of America's top doctors every year since 1991. Dr. Larson is 73 years old.

S. MERT OZYUREK was elected to the Board of Directors in 2011. He is currently the President of Ozyurek A.S., the preferred supplier of Elekta Gamma Knife systems to hospitals in Turkey, and EMKA, LLC, in the United States. Prior to that, he served as Vice President in Ozyurek A.S., overseeing international business and business development. He joined Ozyurek A.S., the family business, and served as a Sales Manager for nine years, after completing military service in the Turkish Air Force. Mr. Ozyurek founded a marble export company in Turkey in 1995. He is a member partner in the subsidiary that the Company developed for its operations in Turkey. He received a B.A. degree in Mining Engineering at Middle East Technical University in Ankara, Turkey. Mr. Ozyurek is 39 years old.

JOHN F. RUFFLE has been a director since 1995. He retired in 1993 as Vice-Chairman of the Board and a Director of J.P. Morgan & Co. Incorporated and Morgan Guaranty Trust Co. of New York. He is a Trustee Emeritus of The Johns Hopkins University. From December 1996 to May 2009 he was a member of the board of trustees of certain mutual funds in the J.P. Morgan Family of mutual funds and certain investment funds managed by J.P. Morgan Investment Management, Inc. From March 2004 to January 2007, he was a director for Reckson Associates Realty Corp. Mr. Ruffle graduated from The Johns Hopkins University, has an MBA in finance from Rutgers University, and is a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. Ruffle is 77 years old.

RAYMOND C. STACHOWIAK joined the Board of Directors in 2009. He founded Shared Imaging in 1994 with the purchase of the assets of Shared Imaging Partners, L.P. He served as President and CEO since its inception until March 2013. In 2008, he sold 50% of his interest in Shared Imaging. Shared Imaging is a preferred independent provider of CT, MRI and PET/CT equipment and services. He remains its Founder and Owner. He is also sole owner of RCS Investments, Inc., an investment management company. He received his undergraduate degree in Business from Indiana University in 1979 and received an MBA from Indiana

University in 1985. Mr. Stachowiak has CPA (Certified Public Accountant), CPIM (Certification in Production and Inventory Management) and CIA (Certified Internal Auditor) certifications. Mr. Stachowiak is 56 years old.

STANLEY S. TROTMAN, JR., has been a director of the Company since 1996. He retired in 2001 from UBS Financial Services, Inc. after it acquired in 2000, PaineWebber Incorporated, an investment banking firm where he had been a Managing Director with the Health Care Group since 1995. He is currently a director of Web MD Health Corp.. Mr. Trotman received his undergraduate degree from Yale University in 1965 and obtained an MBA from Columbia Business School in 1967. Mr. Trotman is 70 years old.

Meetings of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors of the Company held four regular meetings during 2013. Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of both the Board of Directors and of the Committees of the Board on which such director served during the year.

Shareholders may communicate with the Board by writing to: Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3700, San Francisco, CA 94111-4107, Attention: Ernest A. Bates, M.D. We encourage directors to attend our annual meeting and all directors attended the 2013 Annual Meeting in person. All shareholder communications to directors are forwarded to them.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Company has standing Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Audit Committees, each of which is described below. The Company is in compliance with The NYSE MKT Stock Exchange (NYSE MKT) enhanced board and board committee independence requirements that became fully applicable to the Company effective July 31, 2005. A majority of our directors are independent under the NYSE MKT rules and Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act and each of the Committees described above is comprised of independent directors.

The only directors who are not independent under NYSE MKT rules and Rule 10A-3 are Dr. Bates, who is the Company's Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Ozyurek, who is not independent due to a business association with a subsidiary of the Company. Each of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees has adopted a formal written charter. These, as well as our Code of Professional Conduct and Ethics, are available on our website at www.ashs.com. You may also request a copy of these documents free of charge by writing our Corporate Secretary. We intend to post on our website any amendments to our Code of Professional Conduct and Ethics, as well as any waivers for directors or executive officers (including our chief accounting officer and controller and anyone else performing similar functions) within five business days after the date of any amendment or waiver. The information on our website is not part of this proxy statement. The Company's independent directors meet at least annually without management and the non-independent directors, as required by the NYSE MKT rules. The Lead Director presides at such meetings.

The Compensation Committee's functions are to (i) establish compensation arrangements and incentive goals for executive officers, (ii) administer compensation plans, (iii) evaluate the performance of executive officers and award incentive compensation, (iv) adjust compensation arrangements as appropriate based upon performance, and (v) review and monitor management development and succession plans and activities. The Compensation Committee did not meet during 2013. The Compensation Committee during 2013 consisted of Dr. Larson, Mr. Ruffle and Mr. Trotman. Dr. Larson is Chair of the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee is authorized to delegate its authority to a subcommittee when appropriate. It is authorized to hire independent compensation consultants and other professionals to assist in the design, formulation,

analysis and implementation of compensation programs for the Company's executive officers and other key employees. The Compensation Committee did not engage a compensation consultant during 2013. In determining or recommending the amount or form of executive officer compensation, the Compensation Committee also takes into consideration information received from the Company's Chief Executive Officer. In doing so, however, the Compensation Committee customarily considers the comparative relationship of the recommended compensation to the compensation paid by other similarly situated companies, individual performance, tenure, internal comparability and the achievement of certain other operational and qualitative goals identified in the Company's strategic plan.

The purpose of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to recommend candidates for election to the Board of Directors. The Company adopted a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter in 2006, which is available on our website. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met once during 2013. In 2014, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommended the nominations of Dr. Bates, Dr. Larson, Mr. Ozyurek, Mr. Ruffle, Mr. Stachowiak and Mr. Trotman for election to the Board. During 2013, Dr. Larson, Mr. Ruffle, Mr. Stachowiak and Mr. Trotman served on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Trotman is Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The purpose of the Audit Committee is to review the financial reporting and internal controls of the Company, to appoint the independent auditors, and to review the reports of such auditors. The Audit Committee during 2013 consisted of Mr. Ruffle, Mr. Stachowiak and Mr. Trotman. Mr. Ruffle is Chair of the Audit Committee. During the year 2013 the Audit Committee held two regular meetings and three special meetings. For further information concerning the Audit Committee, refer to the Audit Committee Report. Mr. Ruffle is a financial expert and meets the applicable independence requirements of the NYSE MKT and Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act.

Identifying and Evaluating Director Nominees

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee uses various methods to identify director nominees. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee assesses the appropriate size and composition of the Board and the particular needs of the Board based on whether any vacancies are expected due to retirement or otherwise. Candidates may come to the attention of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee through current board members, shareholders, or other sources. All candidates are evaluated based on a review of the individual's qualifications, skills, independence and expertise.

To be eligible for consideration for the Board, any proposed candidate must be ethical, have proven judgment and experience, have professional skills and experience in dealing with complex problems that would be complementary to the needs of the Company, have demonstrated the ability to act independently, be willing to represent the interests of all shareholders and not just those of a particular interest, and be willing and able to devote sufficient time to fulfill the needs of a director of the Company.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider director candidates submitted by shareholders to: Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3700, San Francisco, CA 94111-4107, Attention: Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Such recommendations should be accompanied by (i) evidence of the shareholder's stock ownership over the last year, (ii) a statement that the shareholder is not a competitor of the Company, (iii) a resume and contact information for the director candidate, as well as a description of the candidate's qualifications and (iv) a statement as to whether the candidate has expressed interest in serving as a director. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee follows the same process and uses the same criteria for evaluating candidates proposed by shareholders as it does for candidates proposed by other parties. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider such candidacy and will advise the recommending shareholder of its final decision. A shareholder who wishes to nominate a person for director must provide the nomination in writing to the Secretary at the Company's principal offices pursuant to the notice provisions in the Bylaws. Such notice must be received not less than 60 nor more than 90 days prior to the Annual Meeting or, if less than 70 days' notice of the date of such meeting has been given, then within 10 business days following the earlier of the first public disclosure of the meeting date or the mailing of the Company's notice. Any such notice must contain information regarding the nominee and the proponent. Details concerning the nature of such information are available without charge from the Company.

Based on the process described above, the Committee recommended and the Board determined to nominate each of the incumbent directors for re-election at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Committee and Board

concluded that each of the incumbent directors should be nominated for re-election based on the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills identified in the biographical information contained in the *Nominees* section under *Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors*. The Committee and the Board assessed several factors while considering the Company's longstanding history of providing Gamma Knife and other medical services to hospitals and medical centers in the United States, and its anticipated

7

growth in providing similar services internationally, as well as providing proton beam radiation therapy services in the United States. In particular, the Committee and the Board considered the following factors:

The nominees all have extensive experience in guiding business and professional organizations as both executive leaders and board members.

The nominees' experiences reflect a range of occupations and industries, which helps to provide differing viewpoints to help guide the Company. This specifically includes financial services (Mr. Ruffle and Mr. Trotman), health care (Dr. Bates, Dr. Larson, Mr. Ozyurek, Mr. Stachowiak and Mr. Trotman), government and public policy (Dr. Bates, Dr. Larson and Mr. Ruffle), international policy and development (Dr. Bates, Mr. Ozyurek, Mr. Ruffle and Mr. Trotman), and business development (Dr. Bates, Mr. Ozyurek and Mr. Stachowiak).

The nominees have significant and substantive expertise in several areas that are applicable to the Board and its committees, including finance (all of the nominees), public company accounting and financial reporting (Mr. Ruffle and Mr. Stachowiak), strategic planning (all of the nominees), operations management (all of the nominees) and corporate governance (all of the nominees).

The Board particularly believes that Dr. Bates' vast experience in the medical community both as a neurosurgeon and as an entrepreneur, as founder, President and CEO of the Company, brings unparalleled expertise to the board in a variety of areas.

Director Compensation for Fiscal Year 2013

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation earned by or awarded to each non-employee director during the 2013 Fiscal Year.

Name (a)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾ (b)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ (c)	Option Awards (\$) ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ (d)	All Other Compensation (\$) (e)	Total (\$) (f)
David A. Larson	20,000	1,080	2,280	0	23,360
S. Mert Ozyurek	20,000	1,080	2,280	0	23,360
John F. Ruffle	20,000	1,080	2,280	0	23,360
Raymond C. Stachowiak	20,000	1,080	2,280	0	23,360
Stanley S. Trotman	20,000	1,080	2,280	0	23,360

(1) Consists of the annual retainer fees for service as members of the Company's Board of Directors. Each director may choose to have the retainer paid in cash, or make an election to defer all or part of the retainer by converting it to a restricted stock unit award pursuant to the terms of the Company's Deferral Election Program. All directors, with the exception of Mr. Ozyurek, made an election to defer their entire 2013 retainer.

The amounts in column (c) reflect the grant date fair value dollar amount of stock awards granted to each non-employee director, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Assumptions used in the calculation of this amount are included in Note 9 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended (2) December 31, 2013 and included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2014. For further information concerning the restricted stock unit awards granted under the Company's Incentive Compensation Plan, see the section below entitled *Directors' Equity Grants*.

(3) As of December 31, 2013, the following non-employee directors each held unvested stock awards covering 500 shares of the Company's common stock: Dr. Larson, Mr. Ozyurek, Mr. Ruffle, Mr. Stachowiak and Mr. Trotman.

(4)

The amounts in column (d) reflect the grant date fair value dollar amount of stock option awards granted to each non-employee director, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Assumptions used in the calculation of this amount are included in footnote 9 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 and included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2014. The 2,000-share annual stock option awards granted to Dr. Larson and Messrs. Ozyurek, Ruffle, Stachowiak and Trotman

8

during the 2013 fiscal year were at an exercise price per share of \$2.16. For further information concerning the stock option awards granted under the Company's Incentive Compensation Plan, see the section below entitled *Directors Equity Grants*.

As of December 31, 2013, the following non-employee directors held options to purchase the indicated number of shares of the Company's common stock: Dr. Larson, 9,000 shares; Mr. Ozyurek, 9,000 shares; Mr. Ruffle, 23,000 (5) shares; Mr. Stachowiak, 13,000 shares; and Mr. Trotman, 23,000. The options were granted under the Company's Incentive Compensation Plan. For further information concerning the grant of options to non-employee directors, see the section below entitled *Directors Equity Grants*.

Directors Annual Retainer Fees

In 2013, non-employee directors earned an annual retainer of \$20,000. Each director may choose to have the retainer paid in quarterly cash installments, or make an election prior to the beginning of the year to defer all or part of the retainer by converting it to a restricted stock unit award pursuant to the terms of the Company's Deferral Election Program, as discussed below. Non-employee directors also received reimbursement of expenses incurred in attending meetings. No payment is made for attendance at meetings by any director who is a full time employee of the Company.

Deferral Election Program for Non-Employee Board Members

The Company provides a Deferral Election Program for Non-Employee Board Members that is effective for compensation earned for Board service on and after January 1, 2011. The Deferral Election Program is designed to provide non-employee Board members with the opportunity to defer all or a portion of the annual retainer fee they earn for service on the Board and Board committees by converting all or a portion of such fee into a restricted stock unit award under the Company's Incentive Compensation Plan. The deferral election must be filed on or before December 31 of the calendar year preceding the calendar year for which the annual retainer fee is earned. The number of restricted stock units to be issued as a result of the deferral election made by each Board member is determined from the closing market price of the Company's common stock as of the first trading date in January of the calendar year following each election. The issuance of the shares of the Company's common stock that vest under the award is deferred until the board member's cessation of Board service. Other provisions in the program include pro-rata calculations for newly elected Board members, the terms of issuance of the Company's common stock upon cessation of Board service and other miscellaneous provisions.

Directors Equity Grants

Under the Incentive Compensation Plan, each individual who first becomes a non-employee director will, at the time of his or her election to the board, receive an option grant to purchase a specified number of shares of our common stock and a restricted stock unit award covering an additional number of shares of our common stock, provided that such individual has not previously been in the employ of the Company or any of its parents or subsidiaries. The specific number of shares subject to the initial award will be determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, but will not exceed 10,000 shares for the option component or 3,000 shares for the restricted stock unit component. In addition, on the date of each Annual Shareholders Meeting, each individual who will continue to serve as a non-employee director will automatically be granted an option to purchase a specified number of shares of our common stock and a restricted stock unit award covering an additional number of shares of our common stock, provided such individual has served as a non-employee director for at least six months. The specific number of shares subject to the annual award will be determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, but will not exceed 3,000 shares for the option component or 750 shares for the restricted stock unit component. There will be no limit on the number of such annual awards any one eligible non-employee director may receive over his or her period of continued service on the Board of Directors, and non-employee directors who have previously been in the Company's employ will be eligible to receive one or more such annual awards over their period of service on the

Board of Directors. Each initial stock option and restricted stock unit award will vest in four equal annual installments upon the individual's completion of each year of service. Each annual stock option and restricted stock award will vest in one installment upon the individual's completion of one year of board service.

9

On the day of the 2013 Annual Meeting, non-employee Board members Dr. Larson, Mr. Ozyurek, Mr. Ruffle, Mr. Stachowiak and Mr. Trotman each received an option to purchase 2,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The exercise price of these awards was \$2.16 per share, the fair market value of the Company's common stock on that date. Each director also received a grant of 500 restricted stock units pursuant to the terms of the Incentive Compensation Plan.

On the day of the 2014 Annual Meeting, upon re-election to the Board, non-employee Board members will each receive an option to purchase 2,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price per share equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the Annual Meeting, and a grant of 500 restricted stock units pursuant to the terms of the Incentive Compensation Plan.

CERTAIN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of the Company's Common Shares as of April 15, 2014 of (i) each person known to the Company to own beneficially 5% or more of the Common Shares, (ii) each nominee for director of the Company, (iii) each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table, and (iv) all directors and executive officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated in the footnotes to the table or for shares of common stock held in brokerage accounts, which may from time to time, together with other securities held in those accounts, serve as collateral for margin loans made from such accounts, none of the shares reported as beneficially owned are currently pledged as security for any outstanding loan or indebtedness.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Common Shares Owned Beneficially Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership ⁽²⁾	Percent of Class ⁽³⁾
<u>Directors and Named Officers</u>		
Ernest A. Bates, M.D. ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	814,670	16.9 %
David A. Larson, M.D. ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	40,058	
S. Mert Ozyurek ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	48,500	1.1 %
John F. Ruffle ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	298,533	6.4 %
Raymond C. Stachowiak ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	93,613	2.0 %
Stanley S. Trotman, Jr. ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	294,100	6.3 %
Ernest R. Bates ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ Vice President of Sales and Business Development	53,829	1.2 %
Craig K. Tagawa ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ Senior Vice President, Chief Operating and Financial Officer	143,172	3.0 %
All Current Directors & Executive Officers as a Group (8 people) ⁽⁴⁾	1,786,475	34.8 %
<u>5% or More Shareholders</u>		
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP ⁽⁵⁾	232,397	5.0 %

*

Less than 1%.

(1) The address of each such individual is c/o American Shared Hospital Services, Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3700, San Francisco, California 94111.

(2) Each person directly or indirectly has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares listed under this column as being owned by such person.

(3) Shares that any person or group of persons is entitled to acquire upon the exercise of options or warrants within 60 days after April 15, 2014 are treated as issued and outstanding for the purpose of computing the percent of the class owned by such person or group of persons but not for the purpose of computing the percent of the class owned by

any other person.

Includes shares underlying options and restricted stock units that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days following April 15, 2014, as follows: Dr. Bates, 200,000 shares; Mr. Bates, 37,000 (4) shares; Dr. Larson, 32,458 shares; Mr. Ozyurek, 9,500 shares; Mr. Ruffle, 48,613 shares; Mr. Stachowiak, 38,613 shares; Mr. Trotman, 48,613 shares; Mr. Tagawa, 114,500 shares; and Directors and Executive Officers as a group, 529,297 shares.

(5) Based solely on information contained in a Schedule 13G filed on February 10, 2014 by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP, Palisades West, Building One, 6300 Bee Cave Road, Austin, Texas 78746.

11

PROPOSAL 2

ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPANY'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, enacted in July 2010 (Dodd-Frank), we are providing our shareholders with the opportunity to vote to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation of our three senior executives (the Named Executive Officers) as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Shareholders are encouraged to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement for a more detailed discussion of how our compensation programs further the Company's objectives.

At this meeting, the shareholders will be asked to vote on the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders approve the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and related narratives and other materials in this Proxy Statement.

Our Board and Compensation Committee urge shareholders to endorse the compensation program for our executive officers by voting FOR the above resolution. The Board is committed to excellence in governance and recognizes that executive compensation is an important matter for our shareholders. The Board and the Compensation Committee believe that the Company's executive officer compensation program, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and other related sections of this proxy statement, is reasonable and effective in aligning the interests of the executive officers with both the short and long-term interests of the Company's shareholders. We believe that our executive compensation program is designed to reward our Named Executive Officers for the achievement of short-term and long-term strategic and operational goals and the achievement of increased total shareholder value while at the same time avoiding the encouragement of unnecessary or excessive risk-taking. In particular, as described in detail in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis below, our program has the following features.

The base salary of our Chairman and CEO has not been increased in eight years and that of the other Named Executive Officers has not been increased in seven years. Our Chairman and CEO reduced his salary by 10% in 2013. The Company has not paid bonuses (other than sales commissions) to the Named Executive Officers in five years. No sales commissions were paid in 2013.

The Company has not granted options to the Named Executive Officers in six years. Each of the Named Executive Officers owns a significant amount of the Company's stock, and the directors and officers as a group own approximately 27% of the outstanding shares which directly aligns their interests with that of the other shareholders. None of the Named Executive Officers has an employment agreement containing a guaranteed term of employment or providing for any change-in-control or other severance payments.

The vote on this resolution is not intended to address any specific element of compensation; rather, the vote relates to the compensation of our Named Executive Officers, as described in this proxy statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. This vote is advisory, which means that it is not binding on us, our Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. However, the Compensation Committee and our Board of Directors value the views of our shareholders and expect to take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future compensation decisions for our Named Executive Officers.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE ABOVE RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

12

COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction. It is our intent in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis to inform our shareholders of the policies and objectives underlying the compensation programs for our three executive officers, Dr. Ernest A. Bates, Chairman of our Board and our Chief Executive Officer, Craig K. Tagawa, our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer, and Ernest R. Bates, our Vice President of Sales and Business Development. The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors administers the compensation programs for our executive officers with the objective of providing a competitive compensation package. However, we believe that the compensation paid to our executive officers should also be substantially dependent on our financial performance and the value created for our shareholders. For this reason, the Compensation Committee also utilizes our compensation programs to provide meaningful incentives for the attainment of our short-term and long-term strategic objectives and thereby reward those executive officers who make a substantial contribution to the attainment of those objectives.

Compensation Policy for Executive Officers. We have designed the various elements comprising the compensation packages of our executive officers to achieve the following objectives:

attract, retain, motivate and engage executives with superior leadership and management capabilities, provide an overall level of compensation to each executive officer which is externally competitive, internally equitable and performance-driven, and ensure that total compensation levels are reflective of our financial performance and provide the executive officer with the opportunity to earn above-market total compensation for exceptional business performance. Each executive officer's compensation package typically consists of three elements: (i) a base salary, (ii) a cash bonus tied to our attainment of financial objectives or the individual officer's personal performance, and (iii) long-term, stock-based incentive awards designed to align and strengthen the mutuality of interests between our executive officers and our shareholders. In determining the appropriate level for each element of such compensation, the Compensation Committee subjectively reviews and evaluates the level of performance of the Company and the executive's level of individual performance and potential to contribute to the Company's future growth, and seeks to set compensation at a level that is both reasonable and equitable based on that assessment. Consistent with our philosophy of emphasizing pay for performance, the total compensation packages are designed to pay above the target when the Company exceeds its goals and below the target when the Company does not achieve its goals.

Elements of Compensation. Each of the three major elements comprising the compensation package for our executive officers (salary, bonus and equity) is designed to achieve one or more of our overall objectives in fashioning a competitive level of compensation, tying compensation to the attainment of one or more of our strategic business objectives and subjecting a substantial portion of the executive officer's compensation to our financial success as measured in terms of our stock price performance. The manner in which the Compensation Committee has so structured each element of compensation may be explained as follows.

Base Salary. The Compensation Committee periodically reviews the base salary level of each executive officer. The base salary for the executive officers is determined on the basis of their level of responsibility, experience and individual performance. Dr. Bates agreed to a pay reduction of 10% in 2013.

Cash Incentive Compensation. Because the Compensation Committee believes that the significant interests which Dr. Bates, Mr. Tagawa and Mr. Bates have in our common stock provide them with a substantial incentive to contribute to

our financial success and the attainment of our financial goals, the Compensation Committee does not typically implement annual incentive compensation programs for them. From time to time, the Compensation Committee awards cash bonuses in recognition of their personal performance. However, for the 2013 fiscal year, no cash bonuses or commissions were awarded by the Compensation Committee to the Company's executive officers.

Executive Equity Compensation. No equity awards were granted to our executive officers in 2013 because the Compensation Committee believed our executive officers' existing significant equity holdings were providing sufficient incentives.

In 2006 the Board approved the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2006 Plan") to replace the Company's 2001 Stock Option Plan, and the 2006 Plan was approved by our stockholders at the 2006 Annual Meeting. In 2010 the shareholders approved an amendment to the 2006 Plan and, among other things, changed the name of the 2006 Plan to Incentive Compensation Plan and increased the number of shares available under the plan. For many years stock option grants were the sole form of equity award granted to our executive officers, and we continue to use stock option grants to provide long-term incentives to our executive officers. However, we structured the Incentive Compensation Plan to provide us with more flexibility in designing equity incentives in an environment where a number of companies have moved from traditional option grants to other stock or stock-based awards, such as stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units. Accordingly, with the Incentive Compensation Plan, we have a broad array of equity incentives to utilize for purposes of attracting and retaining the services of key individuals, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and other stock-based awards. We continue to rely on equity incentives because we believe that such incentives are necessary for us to remain competitive in the marketplace for executive talent and other key employees. Equity incentives may be awarded under the following programs available in the Incentive Compensation Plan:

Discretionary Grant Program. Under the discretionary grant program, eligible persons may be granted options to purchase shares of our common stock or stock appreciation rights tied to the value of our common stock. The plan administrator will have complete discretion to determine which eligible individuals are to receive such awards, the time or times when those awards are to be made, the number of shares subject to each such award, the vesting schedule (if any) to be in effect for the award, the maximum term for which the award is to remain outstanding and the status of any granted option as either an incentive stock option or a non-statutory option under the federal tax laws.

Stock Issuance Program. Shares may be issued under the stock issuance program subject to performance or service vesting requirements established by the plan administrator. Shares may also be issued as a fully-vested bonus for past services without any cash outlay required of the recipient. Shares of our common stock may also be issued under the program pursuant to restricted stock units which entitle the recipients to receive those shares upon the attainment of designated performance goals or the completion of a prescribed service period or upon the expiration of a designated time period following the vesting of those units, including (without limitation), a deferred distribution date following the termination of the recipient's service with us. Performance shares may also be issued under the program in accordance with parameters defined in the Incentive Compensation Plan.

Incentive Bonus Program. Cash bonus awards, performance unit awards and dividend equivalent rights may be awarded under the incentive bonus program, subject to certain parameters defined in the Incentive Compensation Plan. Cash bonus awards will vest over an eligible individual's designated service period or upon the attainment of pre-established performance goals.

The Compensation Committee generally reviews and considers equity awards in connection with the annual review of the performance of our executive officers and other key employees. However, there may be variance from this practice when warranted by special circumstances. Each grant is designed to align the interests of the executive officer with those of the shareholders and to provide each individual with a significant incentive to manage the company from the perspective of an owner with an equity stake in the business. In past years, the equity awards have been in the form of stock options which generally vest and become exercisable in a series of installments over a five year service period, contingent upon the officer's continued employment with us. Accordingly, each such option will provide a return to the executive officer only to the extent he remains employed with us during the vesting period, and then only if the fair market value of the underlying shares appreciates over the period between grant and exercise of the option.

As described above, no options were awarded to the Company's executive officers in 2013.

Market Timing of Equity Awards. The Compensation Committee does not engage in any market timing of the equity awards made to the executive officers or other award recipients. As indicated above, awards for existing executive officers and employees are considered in connection with the annual review process which typically occurs in the fourth quarter each year. There is no established practice of timing our awards in advance of the release of favorable financial results or adjusting the award date in connection with the release of unfavorable financial developments affecting our business. Equity awards for new hires other than executive officers are typically made at the next scheduled Compensation Committee meeting following the employee's hire date. All stock option grants issued under our Incentive Compensation Plan have an exercise price per share no less than the fair market value per share on the grant date.

Executive Officer Perquisites. It is not our practice to provide our executive officers with any meaningful perquisites.

Other Programs. Our executive officers are eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan and our flexible benefit plan on the same basis as all other regular U.S. employees.

Deferred Compensation Programs. We have not implemented any non-qualified deferred compensation programs for our executive officers or any supplemental executive retirement plans.

Compliance with Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m). Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code disallows a tax deduction to publicly held companies for compensation paid to certain of their executive officers to the extent such compensation exceeds \$1.0 million per covered officer in any year. The limitation applies only to compensation that is not considered to be performance-based under the terms of Section 162(m). The stock options which have been granted to date to our executive officers are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation.

Non-performance-based compensation paid to such officers for 2013 did not exceed the \$1.0 million limit per officer.

However, we believe that in establishing the cash and equity incentive compensation programs for our executive officers, the potential deductibility of the compensation payable under those programs should be only one of a number of relevant factors taken into consideration, and not the sole governing factor. For that reason, we may deem it appropriate to provide one or more executive officers with the opportunity to earn incentive compensation, whether through cash bonuses under the 2006 Incentive Plan or through equity awards, which together with base salary in the aggregate may be in excess of the amount deductible by reason of Section 162(m) or other provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. We believe it is important to maintain cash and equity incentive compensation at the levels needed to attract and retain the executive officers essential to our success, even if all or part of that compensation may not be deductible by reason of the Section 162(m) limitation.

Summary Compensation Information for Fiscal Year 2013

The following table provides certain summary information concerning the compensation earned for services rendered in all capacities to the Company and its subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2013 by the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating and Financial Officer, and Vice President of Sales and Business Development. No other individuals who would have been includable in such table on the basis of total compensation for the 2013 Fiscal Year but for the fact that they were no longer serving as executive officers at the end of the 2013 Fiscal Year are required to be included. The listed individuals are hereinafter referred to as the named executive officers.

Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	Option Awards (\$) (e)	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾ (f)	Total (\$) (g)
Ernest A. Bates, M.D., Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	2013	447,869	0	0	29,361	477,230
	2012	475,000	0	0	30,120	505,120
Craig K. Tagawa, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer	2013	300,000	0	0	15,560	315,560
	2012	351,000	0	0	11,060	362,060
Ernest R. Bates, Vice President of Sales and Business Development	2013	250,000	0	0	16,756	266,756
	2012	250,000	0	0	16,756	266,756

(1) Includes amounts deferred under the Company's Retirement Plan for Employees of American Shared Hospital Services, a qualified plan under section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code.

(2) Amounts include sales commissions in 2012 of \$51,000 for Mr. Tagawa.

(3) The amounts in column (f) include matching contributions under the Company's 401K plan, automobile and parking allowance, and premiums paid by the Company for long term disability coverage.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2013

The following table provides information concerning outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2013.

Name	Option Awards		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#) ⁽¹⁾		
Ernest A. Bates, M.D.	150,000	0	\$ 3.036	December 5, 2014

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SHARED HOSPITAL SERVICES - Form DEF 14A

	50,000	0	\$ 2.959	March 7, 2015
Craig K. Tagawa	14,500	0	\$ 6.16	June 15, 2015
	100,000	0	\$ 2.76	December 5, 2014
	50,000	0	\$ 6.50	February 7, 2014
Ernest R. Bates	25,000	0	\$ 2.76	December 5, 2014
	8,000	0	\$ 6.16	June 16, 2015
	4,000	0	\$ 5.50	June 16, 2014

(1) The options vest in five equal annual installments over the five year period measured from their issue date (which was seven years before the expiration date, or ten years in the case of Mr. Tagawa's award expiring in 2015), provided each employee continues to provide services to the Company through each applicable vesting date. None of the options authorized under these awards had been exercised as of December 31, 2013.

16

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

There were no stock options or stock awards granted in 2013 to any of the named executive officers.

Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Under our Incentive Compensation Plan, in the event a change in control occurs, each outstanding equity award will automatically accelerate in full, unless that award is assumed or replaced by the successor corporation or otherwise continued in effect.

The plan administrator has the discretion to structure one or more equity awards under the Incentive Compensation Plan so that those equity awards will vest in full either immediately upon a change in control or in the event the individual's service with us or the successor entity is terminated (actually or constructively) within a designated period following a change in control transaction, whether or not those equity awards are to be assumed or otherwise continued in effect or replaced with a cash retention program. None of the named executive officers' equity awards provide for such accelerated vesting upon a change of control.

Shares Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2013 with respect to shares of our common stock that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plan.

Plan Category	Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of shares remaining available for future issuance
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾	688,509 ⁽²⁾	3.44 ⁽³⁾	850,034 ⁽⁴⁾
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	688,509	3.44	850,034

(1) Consists of our Incentive Compensation Plan.

(2) Includes 87,929 shares of our common stock subject to restricted stock unit awards that will entitle each holder to one share of our common stock for each such unit that vests over the holder's period of continued service.

(3) Calculated without taking into account 87,929 shares of common stock subject to outstanding restricted stock unit awards that will become issuable, as those units vest, without any cash consideration or other payment required for such shares.

(4) Shares reserved for issuance under the Incentive Compensation Plan may be issued upon the exercise of stock options or stock appreciation rights, through direct stock issuances or pursuant to restricted stock units or other stock based awards that vest upon the attainment of prescribed performance milestones or the completion of

designated service periods.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Reports filed under the Exchange Act and received by the Company on or after January 1, 2013, indicate that during 2013 directors, officers and 10% shareholders of the Company filed all required reports within the periods established by applicable rules, with the following exceptions: (1) On January 3, 2011 John F. Ruffle, Raymond C. Stachowiak, and Stanley S. Trotman each acquired 6,994 shares of the Company's stock, which was not reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission until June 26, 2013; (2) On June 9, 2011 David A. Larson, M.D. acquired 4,839 shares of the Company's stock, which was not reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission until June 26, 2013; (3) On January 3, 2012 David A. Larson, M.D., John F. Ruffle, Raymond C. Stachowiak, and Stanley S. Trotman each acquired 7,634 shares of the Company's stock, which was not reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission until June 26, 2013; (4) On January 2, 2013 David A. Larson, M.D., John F. Ruffle, Raymond C. Stachowiak, and Stanley S. Trotman each acquired

7,143 shares of the Company's stock, which was not reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission until June 26, 2013; (5) On May 29, 2013 Raymond C. Stachowiak acquired 5,000 shares of the Company's stock, which was not reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission until June 6, 2013; (6) On June 11, 2013 David A. Larson, M.D., S. Mert Ozyurek, John F. Ruffle, Raymond C. Stachowiak, and Stanley S. Trotman each acquired 500 shares of the Company's stock, which was not reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission until June 26, 2013; and (7) On June 11, 2013 David A. Larson, M.D., S. Mert Ozyurek, John F. Ruffle, Raymond C. Stachowiak, and Stanley S. Trotman each acquired 2,000 options of the Company's stock, which was not reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission until June 26, 2013.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None.

Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for reviewing and approving all related party transactions as defined under Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations. While we do not have a formal written policy or procedure for the review, approval or ratification of related party transactions, the Audit Committee must review the material facts of any such transaction and approve that transaction.

To identify related party transactions, each year we submit and require our directors and officers to complete director and officer questionnaires identifying transactions with the Company in which the director or officer or their family members have a conflict of interest. The Company reviews the questionnaire for potential related party transactions.

In addition, at any scheduled meeting of the Audit Committee, management may recommend related party transactions to the committee, including the material terms of the proposed transactions, for its consideration.

In making its decision to approve or ratify a related party transaction, the Audit Committee will consider all relevant facts and circumstances available to the committee, including factors such as the aggregate value of the transaction, whether the terms of the related party transaction are no less favorable than terms generally available in an arms length transaction and the benefit of such transaction to us.

Related Party Transactions in 2013

The Company's Gamma Knife and IGRT businesses in Turkey are operated through EWRS Turkey. GKF owns indirectly 70% of EWRS Turkey, through its 70% ownership of EWRS LLC. The remaining 30% ownership of EWRS LLC is held by EMKA LLC (EMKA). EMKA is owned and operated by Mert Ozyurek (Mr. Ozyurek) who also sits on the Board of Directors of the Company. Mr. Ozyurek operates a foreign company called Ozyurek A.S. EWRS Turkey has contracts for service and maintenance on its two Gamma Knife units with Ozyurek A.S. The Company believes all its transactions with Mr. Ozyurek are arm's-length transactions.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The following Report of the Audit Committee does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates the Report by reference therein.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors consists of three directors, all of whom are independent as defined in the listing standards of the NYSE MKT. The primary purposes of the Audit Committee are to review the financial reporting and internal controls of the Company, to appoint independent auditors, to review the reports of such auditors, and to review annually the Audit Committee charter. During 2013, the Audit Committee held five meetings, four of which were held telephonically. Mr. Ruffle is Chair of the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee reviewed and held discussions with management and the independent auditors regarding the financial statements of the Company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. The topics of these discussions included the quality of the Company's internal controls, the audit plans, audit scope and identification of audit risks. In addition, the Committee received assurances that the independent auditors reviewed and discussed with management the interim financial reports prior to each quarterly earnings announcement.

The Company's independent auditors provided a formal written statement that described all relationships between the auditors and the Company with respect to the auditors' independence within the meaning of the federal securities laws administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the Audit Committee satisfied itself as to the auditors' independence.

The Audit Committee discussed with the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm all matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 16, as amended, "Communication with Audit Committees" and, with and without the presence of management, reviewed and discussed the results of the independent auditors' examination of the Company's financial statements. Management, being responsible for the Company's financial statements, represented that the Company's consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The independent auditors are responsible for the examination of those statements.

Based on the Audit Committee's discussions with management and the independent auditors, and the Audit Committee's review as described previously, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Company's audited financial statements be included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors:

John F. Ruffle (Chairman)
Raymond C. Stachowiak
Stanley S. Trotman, Jr.

PROPOSAL NO. 3

RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Company's consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 have been audited by Moss Adams LLP. The Audit Committee has appointed Moss Adams LLP to be the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014, subject to shareholder ratification at the Meeting.

Representatives of Moss Adams LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and will be given an opportunity to make a statement, if they so desire.

The aggregate fees billed by Moss Adams LLP and their respective affiliates for professional services performed for 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	Total Fees
2013	\$ 155,154	\$ 0	\$ 91,720	\$ 0	\$ 246,874
2012	\$ 136,000	\$ 0	\$ 93,600	\$ 0	\$ 229,600

(1) Consists of fees billed for professional services rendered in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements and review of interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in our quarterly reports and services normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

(2) Audit-related fees are generally related to accounting advice, review of SEC comment letters, and other compliance issues.

(3) Consists of tax compliance and preparation and other tax services.

(4) Consists of fees for all other services other than those reported above.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and permissible non-audit services performed by the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in order to assure that the provision of such services and related fees do not impair the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm's independence. The Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm must provide the Audit Committee with an engagement letter outlining the scope of the audit services proposed to be performed during the applicable calendar year and the proposed fees for such audit services. If agreed to by the Audit Committee, the engagement letter will be formally accepted by the Audit Committee as evidenced by the execution of the engagement letter by the Chair of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee approves, if necessary, any changes in terms, conditions and fees resulting from changes in audit scope, Company structure or other matters. The Audit Committee may grant pre-approval for those permissible non-audit services that it believes are services that would not impair the independence of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. The Audit Committee may not grant approval for any services categorized as Prohibited Non-Audit Services by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain non-audit services have been pre-approved by the Audit

Committee, and all other non-audit services must be separately approved by the Audit Committee.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE IN FAVOR OF PROPOSAL NO. 3. PROPERLY EXECUTED PROXIES RETURNED TO THE COMPANY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF MOSS ADAMS LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 UNLESS OTHERWISE INSTRUCTED.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

Shareholders who intend to have a proposal considered for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials for presentation at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "2015 Annual Meeting") pursuant to Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act must submit the proposal to the Company no later than January 9, 2015. Under the Company's Bylaws, in order for a shareholder proposal that is not included in the Company's proxy materials to be properly brought before the annual meeting of shareholders, notice of the proposal must be received at the Company's principal executive offices not less than 60 days nor more than 90 days prior to the scheduled date of the annual meeting. A shareholder's notice should provide a list of each proposal and a brief description of the business to be brought before the meeting; the name and address of the shareholder proposing such business; the number of shares held by the shareholder; and any material interest of the shareholder in the business.

ANNUAL REPORT

The Company's 2013 Annual Report, which includes financial statements, but which does not constitute a part of the proxy solicitation material, accompanies this proxy statement.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Willie R. Barnes
Corporate Secretary

Dated: April 30, 2014
San Francisco, California

23
