

CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP

Form 10-Q

July 31, 2009

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000 31977

CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

77-0539125

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

7100 N. Financial Dr, Suite 101, Fresno, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

93720

(Zip code)

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Registrant's telephone number (559) 298-1775

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 30, 2009 there were 7,664,802 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP

2009 QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART 1: FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
<u>ITEM 1: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	3
<u>ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	16
<u>ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	37
<u>ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	38
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	39
<u>ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	39
<u>ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS</u>	39
<u>ITEM 2 CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	39
<u>ITEM 3 DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	39
<u>ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u>	39
<u>ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION</u>	40
<u>ITEM 6 EXHIBITS</u>	40
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	41

Table of Contents**PART 1: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited)**

(In thousands, except share amounts)	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 16,879	\$ 18,061
Federal funds sold	10,047	1,457
Total cash and cash equivalents	26,926	19,518
Interest bearing deposits in other banks	100	
Available-for-sale investment securities (Amortized cost of \$169,084 at June 30, 2009 and \$185,405 at December 31, 2008)	163,642	185,718
Held-to-maturity, at amortized cost	5,701	7,040
Loans, less allowance for credit losses of \$8,592 at June 30, 2009 and \$7,223 at December 31, 2008	483,506	477,015
Bank premises and equipment, net	6,566	6,900
Other real estate owned	2,550	
Bank owned life insurance	10,808	10,808
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,140	3,140
Goodwill	23,773	23,773
Core deposit intangibles	1,819	2,026
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	19,092	16,775
Total assets	\$ 747,623	\$ 752,713
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing	\$ 143,416	\$ 162,106
Interest bearing	478,303	472,952
Total deposits	621,719	635,058
Short-term borrowings	15,000	6,368
Long-term debt	14,000	19,000
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	5,155	5,155
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	10,996	11,757
Total liabilities	666,870	677,338
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Shareholders equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value; \$1,000 per share liquidation preference; authorized - 10,000,000 shares issued and outstanding - 7,000 shares and none at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	6,578	
Common stock, no par value; 80,000,000 authorized issued and outstanding - 7,664,802 at June 30, 2009 and 7,642,280 at December 31, 2008	31,193	30,479
Retained earnings	46,247	44,708
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(3,265)	188

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Total shareholders equity		80,753		75,375
Total assets	\$	747,623	\$	752,713

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except earnings per share amounts)	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
INTEREST INCOME:				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 7,515	\$ 6,201	\$ 15,055	\$ 12,686
Interest on Federal funds sold	3	57	14	128
Interest and dividends on investment securities:				
Taxable	2,128	1,013	4,339	1,917
Exempt from Federal income taxes	806	228	1,513	457
Total interest income	10,452	7,499	20,921	15,188
INTEREST EXPENSE:				
Interest on deposits	1,499	1,516	3,281	3,192
Interest on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	36		77	
Other	169	257	330	421
Total interest expense	1,704	1,773	3,688	3,613
Net interest income before provision for credit losses	8,748	5,726	17,233	11,575
PROVISION FOR CREDIT LOSSES	2,500	135	4,417	270
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	6,248	5,591	12,816	11,305
NON-INTEREST INCOME:				
Service charges	858	843	1,678	1,646
Appreciation in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	97	62	195	124
Loan placement fees	75	22	121	55
Federal Home Loan Bank stock dividends		29		56
Net realized gains on sales and calls of investment securities	62		511	
Other income	309	318	634	631
Total non-interest income	1,401	1,274	3,139	2,512
NON-INTEREST EXPENSES:				
Salaries and employee benefits	3,642	2,847	7,330	5,716
Occupancy and equipment	944	675	1,889	1,310
Other expense	2,543	1,444	4,750	2,912
Total non-interest expenses	7,129	4,966	13,969	9,938
Income before provision for income taxes	520	1,899	1,986	3,879
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	56	584	263	1,259
Net income	\$ 464	\$ 1,315	\$ 1,723	\$ 2,620
Preferred stock dividends and accretion	\$ (135)	\$	\$ (184)	\$
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 329	\$ 1,315	\$ 1,539	\$ 2,620
Net income per common share:				
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.44
Weighted average common shares used in basic computation	7,651,918	5,991,101	7,647,128	5,984,025
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.42
Weighted average common shares used in diluted computation	7,760,014	6,277,690	7,765,519	6,277,015
Cash dividends per share	\$	\$ 0.10	\$	\$ 0.10

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008
AND THE SIX MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

(Unaudited)

(In thousands except share and per share amounts)	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income (Net of Taxes)	Total	Total					
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Earnings	(Net of Taxes)	Shareholders Equity	Comprehensive Income (Loss)					
Balance, January 1, 2008		\$	5,975,316	\$	13,571	\$	40,483	\$	140	\$	54,194		
Comprehensive income:													
Net income						5,139		5,139		\$	5,139		
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:													
Net change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale investment securities							48	48			48		
Total comprehensive income												\$	5,187
Cash dividend - \$.10 per share						(598)		(598)					
Repurchase and retirement of common stock			(5,436)	(56)				(56)					
Stock issued for acquisition			1,628,397	16,600				16,600					
Stock-based compensation expense				100				100					
Stock options exercised and related tax benefit			44,003	264				264					
Cumulative effect of adopting Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 06-04						(316)		(316)					
Balance, December 31, 2008			7,642,280	30,479	44,708	188	75,375						
Comprehensive loss:													
Net income						1,723		1,723		\$	1,723		
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax:													
Net change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale investment securities							(3,453)	(3,453)			(3,453)		
Total comprehensive loss												\$	(1,730)
Issuance of preferred stock	7,000	6,540						6,540					
Issuance of common stock warrants				460				460					
				149				149					

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Stock-based compensation
expense

Stock options exercised and related tax benefit			22,522		105				105
Preferred stock dividends and accretion			38				(184)		(146)
Balance, June 30, 2009	7,000	\$	6,578	7,664,802	\$	31,193	\$	46,247	\$ (3,265) \$ 80,753

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 AND 2008

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	2009	2008
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 1,723	\$ 2,620
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Net increase (decrease) in deferred loan fees	110	(66)
Depreciation, accretion and amortization, net	(312)	533
Stock-based compensation	149	122
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	(8)	(57)
Provision for loan losses	4,417	270
Net realized gains on sales and calls of available-for-sale investment securities	(511)	
Increase in bank owned life insurance, net of expenses	(190)	(124)
FHLB stock dividends		(56)
Net increase in accrued interest receivable and other assets	(143)	(1,172)
Net (decrease) increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(1,204)	5,176
Provision for deferred income taxes	(8)	(22)
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,023	7,224
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of available-for-sale investment securities	(25,490)	(30,489)
Purchases of held-to-maturity investment securities	(3)	
Proceeds from sales or calls of available-for-sale investment securities	23,620	
Proceeds from maturity of available-for-sale investment securities	2,885	5,250
Proceeds from principal repayments of available-for-sale investment securities	16,872	9,539
Proceeds from principal repayments of held-to-maturity investment securities	1,500	
Net increase in interest bearing deposits in other banks	(100)	(5,000)
Proceeds from bank owned life insurance	430	
Net increase in loans	(13,266)	(11,140)
Purchases of premises and equipment	(359)	(742)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	6,089	(32,582)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net (decrease) increase in demand, interest bearing and savings deposits	(19,997)	13,827
Net increase in time deposits	6,658	658
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and warrants	7,000	
Proceeds from short-term borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank	10,000	145,500
Proceeds from long-term borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank		19,000
Repayments of short-term borrowings to Federal Home Loan Bank		(145,600)
Repayments of borrowings from other financial institutions	(6,368)	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	97	150
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	8	57
Cash dividend payments	(102)	(598)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(2,704)	32,994
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	7,408	7,636
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	19,518	31,644
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 26,926	\$ 39,280
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid during the period for:		

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Interest expense	\$	3,930	\$	3,526
Income taxes	\$	450	\$	1,965
Non-Cash Investing Activities:				
Change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale investment securities, net of tax	\$	(3,453)	\$	(2,087)
Non-Cash Financing Activities:				
Tax benefit from stock options exercised	\$	8	\$	57
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	\$	2,550	\$	

See notes to unaudited condensed **consolidated** financial statements

Table of Contents

CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Central Valley Community Bancorp and subsidiary have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). These interim condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Central Valley Community Bancorp and its wholly owned subsidiary Central Valley Community Bank (the Bank) (collectively, the Company). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted. The Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position and shareholders' equity at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, and the results of its operations for the three and six month interim periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 and its cash flows for the six month interim periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 have been included. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the 2009 presentation. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year.

The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Management has determined that since all of the banking products and services offered by the Company are available in each branch of the Bank, all branches are located within the same economic environment and management does not allocate resources based on the performance of different lending or transaction activities, it is appropriate to aggregate the Bank branches and report them as a single operating segment. No customer accounts are for more than 10 percent of revenues for the Company or the Bank.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued the following three FASB Staff Positions (FSPs) intended to provide additional guidance and enhance disclosures regarding fair value measurements and impairment of securities:

FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157 when

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have decreased significantly. FSP FAS 157-4 also provides guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. The provisions of FSP FAS 157-4 were adopted by the Company on January 1, 2009 and did not have a significant effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, requires disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies that were previously only required to be disclosed in annual financial statements. The provisions of FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 are effective for the Company's interim period ending on June 30, 2009. As FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 amends only the disclosure requirements about fair value of financial instruments in interim periods, the adoption of FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 is not expected to affect the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, amends current other-than-temporary impairment guidance in GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. This FSP does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. The provisions of FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 were adopted by the Company on January 1, 2009. Management has determined that there was no material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations from the adoption of the standards.

On May 28, 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS 165). Under SFAS 165, companies are required to evaluate events and transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before the date the financial statements are issued, or available to be issued in the case of non-public entities. SFAS 165 requires entities to recognize in the financial statements the effect of all events or transactions that provide additional evidence of conditions that existed at the balance sheet date, including the estimates inherent in the financial preparation process. Entities shall not recognize the impact of events or transactions that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the balance sheet date but arose after that date. SFAS 165 also requires entities to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. SFAS 165 was effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS 165 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, as required, and adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements taken as a whole. The Company evaluated all events or transactions that occurred from June 30, 2009 to July 31, 2009, the date the Company issued these financial statements. During this period the Company did not have any material recognizable or non recognizable subsequent events.

Table of Contents

On June 12, 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets* (SFAS 166), and SFAS No.167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (SFAS 167), which change the way entities account for securitizations and special-purpose entities as follows:

SFAS 166 is a revision to FASB SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, and will require more information about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. SFAS 166 also eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets and requires additional disclosures.

SFAS 167 is a revision to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, and changes how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance.

Both SFAS 166 and SFAS 167 will be effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. The recognition and measurement provisions of SFAS 166 shall be applied to transfers that occur on or after the effective date. The Company will adopt both SFAS 166 and SFAS 167 on January 1, 2010, as required. Management has not determined the impact adoption may have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On June 29, 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles – a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 (SFAS 168)*. SFAS 168 establishes the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification™* as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP. SFAS 168 will be effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, for most entities. On the effective date, all non-SEC accounting and reporting standards will be superseded. The Company will adopt SFAS 168 for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009, as required, and adoption is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements taken as a whole.

Note 2. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has three stock-based compensation plans which are described as follows:

During 1992, the Bank established a Stock Option Plan for which shares are reserved for issuance to employees and directors under incentive and nonstatutory agreements. The Company assumed all obligations under this plan as of November 15, 2000, and options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock were substituted for options to purchase shares of common stock of the Bank. Outstanding options under this plan are exercisable until their expiration, however, no new options will be granted under this plan.

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

On November 15, 2000, the Company adopted, and subsequently amended on December 20, 2000, the Central Valley Community Bancorp 2000 Stock Option Plan for which 771,359 shares remain reserved for issuance for options already granted to employees and directors under incentive and nonstatutory agreements and 10,936 remain reserved for future grants as of June 30, 2009. The plan requires that the option price may not be less than the fair market value of the stock at the date the option is granted, and that the option price must be paid in full at the time it is exercised. The options under the plan expire on dates determined by the Board of Directors, but not later than ten years from the date of grant. The vesting period is determined by the Board of Directors and is generally over five years.

In May 2005, the Company adopted the Central Valley Community Bancorp 2005 Omnibus Incentive Plan (2005 Plan). The plan provides for awards in the form of incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, stock appreciation rights, and restricted stock. The plan also allows for performance awards that may be in the form of cash or shares of the Company, including restricted stock. The maximum number of shares that can be issued with respect to all awards under the plan is 476,000. Currently under the 2005 Plan, there are 43,500 shares reserved for issuance for options already granted to employees and 432,500 remain reserved for future grants as of June 30, 2009. The plan requires that the exercise price may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the stock at the date the option is granted, and that the option price must be paid in full at the time it is exercised. The options and awards under the plan expire on dates determined by the Board of Directors, but not later than 10 years from the date of grant. The vesting period for the options and option related stock appreciation rights is determined by the Board of Directors and is generally over five years.

Stock Option Compensation

For the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the compensation cost recognized for stock option compensation was \$149,000 and \$122,000, respectively. For the quarter ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, compensation cost recognized was \$72,000 and \$59,000, respectively. The recognized tax benefit for stock option compensation expense was \$19,000 and \$57,000, for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For the three month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, recognized tax benefits were \$13,000 and \$49,000, respectively. As of June 30, 2009, there was \$589,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under all Plans. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.6 years.

Table of Contents

The Company bases the fair value of the options granted on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model that uses assumptions based on expected option life and the level of estimated forfeitures, expected stock volatility, risk free interest rate, and dividend yield. The simplified method described in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110 was used to determine the expected term of the Company's options in 2009 and 2008. Stock volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The risk-free rate is based on the U. S. Treasury yield curve for the periods within the contractual life of the options in effect at the time of grant. The compensation cost for options granted is based on the weighted average grant date fair value per share.

In 2009, options to purchase 13,500 shares of the Company's common stock were issued from the 2005 Plan at an exercise price equal to the fair market value at the grant date. In December 2008, the Company cancelled options to purchase 90,550 shares of the Company's common stock previously granted from the 2000 Plan on October 17, 2007 and options to purchase 15,000 shares of the Company's common stock previously granted from the 2005 Plan on October 1, 2007 and on December 17, 2008 granted options to purchase 90,550 shares of the Company's common stock from the 2000 Plan and options to purchase 15,000 shares of the Company's common stock from the 2005 Plan at an exercise price of \$6.70, the fair market value on the grant date. The Board granted new options to the directors, senior managers and other employees in the same numbers and to the same employees who were holders of the cancelled options. Also, from the 2005 Plan, new options to purchase 15,000 shares of the Company's common stock were granted in 2008 at an exercise price of \$6.70.

Stock Option Activity

A summary of the combined activity of the plans follows:

		Six Months Ended June 30, 2009			
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term		Average Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Options outstanding, beginning of period	823,881	\$ 6.60			
Options granted	13,500	\$ 5.21			
Options exercised	(22,522)	\$ 4.32			
Options outstanding, end of period	814,859	\$ 6.64	3.73	\$	563
Options vested or expected to vest at June 30, 2009	791,446	\$ 6.54	5.05	\$	563
Options exercisable, end of period	669,009	\$ 6.11	2.91	\$	563

There were 22,522 options exercised in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the total intrinsic value of options exercised in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$18,000 and \$142,000, respectively.

Note 3. Earnings per share

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Basic earnings per share (EPS), which excludes dilution, is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock, such as stock options, stock appreciation rights settled in stock or restricted stock awards, result in the issuance of common stock which shares in the earnings of the Company. There was no difference in the net income used in the calculation of basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share.

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS computations is as follows:

Basic Earnings Per Share In thousands (except share and per share amounts)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income	\$ 464	\$ 1,315	\$ 1,723	\$ 2,620
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion	135		184	
Income available to common shareholders	\$ 329	\$ 1,315	\$ 1,539	\$ 2,620
Weighted average shares outstanding	7,651,918	5,991,101	7,647,128	5,984,025
Net income per share	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.44

Diluted Earnings Per Share In thousands (except share and per share amounts)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income	\$ 464	\$ 1,315	\$ 1,723	\$ 2,620
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion	135		184	
Income available to common shareholders	\$ 329	\$ 1,315	\$ 1,539	\$ 2,620
Weighted average shares outstanding	7,651,918	5,991,101	7,647,128	5,984,025
Effect of dilutive stock options	108,096	286,589	118,391	292,990
Weighted average shares of common stock and common stock equivalents	7,760,014	6,277,690	7,765,519	6,277,015
Net income per diluted share	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.42

Table of Contents**Note 4. Investments**

Our investment portfolio consists primarily of agency securities, mortgage backed securities, and municipal securities and are classified as either available-for-sale or held to maturity. As of June 30, 2009, \$88,558,000 was held as collateral for borrowing arrangements, public funds, and for other purposes. Total investments were \$169,343,000 at June 30, 2009 compared to \$192,758,000 at December 31, 2008, a decrease of \$23,415,000 or 12.1%.

The fair value of the available-for-sale investment portfolio reflected an unrealized loss of \$5,442,000 at June 30, 2009 compared to an unrealized gain of \$313,000 at December 31, 2008.

The following table sets forth the carrying values and estimated fair values of our investment securities portfolio at the dates indicated:

June 30, 2009	Amortized		Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized		Market
Investment Type - Available-for-Sale	Cost		Gains		Losses		Value
U.S. Government agencies	\$	1,867	\$	34	\$		\$ 1,901
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		66,395		1,914		(1,416)	66,893
U.S. Government agencies collateralized by mortgage obligations		42,515		980		(1,393)	42,102
Other securities		58,307		902		(6,463)	52,746
	\$	169,084	\$	3,830	\$	(9,272)	\$ 163,642

June 30, 2009	Amortized		Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized		Market
Investment Type Held to Maturity	Cost		Gains		Losses		Value
Other securities	\$	5,701	\$	3	\$	(139)	\$ 5,565

December 31, 2008	Amortized		Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized		Market
Investment Type - Available-for-Sale	Cost		Gains		Losses		Value
U.S. Government agencies	\$	12,745	\$	116	\$	(1)	\$ 12,860
Obligations of states and political Subdivisions		56,961		2,469		(808)	58,622
U.S. Government agencies collateralized by mortgage obligations		44,967		813		(23)	45,757
Other securities		70,732		4,069		(6,322)	68,479
	\$	185,405	\$	7,467	\$	(7,154)	\$ 185,718

December 31, 2008	Amortized		Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized		Market
Investment Type Held-to-Maturity	Cost		Unrealized		Unrealized		Value

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

		Gains		Losses		
Other securities	\$	7,040	\$	(340)	\$	6,700

Table of Contents

Management periodically evaluates each investment security for other than temporary impairment, relying primarily on industry analyst reports, observation of market conditions and interest rate fluctuations. Management has the ability and intent to hold securities with established maturity dates until recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, and believes it will be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms for all of the underlying investment securities.

At June 30, 2009, the Company had a total of 52 whole loan CMO holdings with a remaining principal balance of \$57,309,000 and a net unrealized loss of approximately \$7,167,000. Five of these securities account for \$5,863,000 of the unrealized loss at June 30, 2009. The Company continues to perform extensive analyses on these securities as well as all whole loan CMOs. By analyzing the projected future cash flows of the tranche of the specific securities, the Company has determined that there is no impairment and as such, is not taking any action to write-down these securities. These investment securities continue to demonstrate cash flows as expected and the credit support component of these tranches has increased from the origination date. As of June 30, 2009, management does not believe the loss in market value of these securities is other than temporary. We have also evaluated the credit ratings of our other investment securities and, based on our evaluation, management does not consider any investments to be other-than-temporarily-impaired. However, no assurance can be made that the credit quality of certain securities will not deteriorate in the future which may necessitate future loss provisions.

Note 5. Fair Value MeasurementsFair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated carrying and fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial assets:				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 16,879	\$ 16,879	\$ 18,061	\$ 18,061
Federal funds sold	10,047	10,047	1,457	1,457
Available-for-sale investment securities	163,642	163,642	185,718	185,718
Held-to-maturity investment securities	5,701	5,565	7,040	6,700
Loans and leases, net	483,506	508,230	477,081	482,819
Bank owned life insurance	10,808	10,808	10,808	10,808
FHLB stock	3,140	3,140	3,140	3,140
Accrued interest receivable	3,440	3,440	3,710	3,710
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	621,719	623,297	635,058	638,359
Accrued interest payable	790	790	718	718
Short-term borrowings	15,000	15,000	6,368	6,368
Subordinated debentures	5,155	5,155	5,155	5,155
Long-term debt	14,000	14,565	19,000	19,740

Table of Contents

These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument for sale at one time, nor do they attempt to estimate the value of anticipated future business related to the instruments. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of these estimates.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments. For cash and cash equivalents, variable-rate loans and leases, accrued interest receivable and payable, FHLB stock, demand deposits and short-term borrowings, the carrying amount is estimated to be fair value. For investment securities, fair values are based on quoted market prices, quoted market prices for similar securities, and discounted cash flow modeling from an independent pricing service. The fair values for fixed-rate loans and leases are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered at each reporting date for loans with similar terms to borrowers of comparable creditworthiness. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses using interest rates offered at each reporting date by the Company for certificates with similar remaining maturities. The fair value of subordinated debentures was determined based on the current market for like-kind instruments of a similar maturity and structure. The fair values of commitments are estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements and are not significant and, therefore, not included in the above table.

Fair Value Measurements under SFAS 157

Fair Value Hierarchy

In accordance with FASB Statement No. 157 (SFAS 157), *Fair Value Measurements*, the Company groups its assets and liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. Valuations within these levels are based upon:

Level 1 Quoted market prices for identical instruments traded in active exchange markets.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect the Company's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use on pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include management judgment and estimation which may be significant.

Assets Recorded at Fair Value

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The following tables present information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2009:

Recurring Basis

The Company is required or permitted to record the following assets at fair value on a recurring basis under other accounting pronouncements.

Description	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available-for-sale investment securities	\$ 163,642	\$ 16	\$ 150,928	\$ 12,698

Fair values for available-for-sale investment securities, which include debt securities of U.S. Governmental agencies and obligations of states and political subdivisions, are based on quoted market prices for similar securities.

Non-recurring Basis

The Company may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These include assets that are measured at the lower of cost or market value that were recognized at fair value which was below cost at the reporting date.

Description	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Impaired loans	\$ 14,524	\$	\$	\$ 14,524
Other real estate owned	2,550	\$	\$	2,550
Total	\$ 17,074	\$	\$	\$ 17,074

The fair value of impaired loans and other real estate owned is based on the fair value of the collateral for all collateral dependent loans and for other impaired loans is estimated using a discounted cash flow model. Impaired loans and other real estate owned were determined to be collateral dependent and categorized as Level 3 due to ongoing real estate market conditions resulting in inactive market data, which in turn required the use of unobservable inputs and assumptions in fair value measurements.

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized as follows.

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs

(Level 3)

(In thousands)	Available For Sale Investment Securities	
	Three Months Ended June 30, 2009	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 13,197	\$ 16,164
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized)		
Included in earnings		241
Included in other comprehensive income	4,631	6,270
Purchases, sales and principal payments	(6,270)	(11,463)
Transfers into and/or out of Level 3	1,365	1,486
Balance, end of period	\$ 12,698	\$ 12,698

12

Table of Contents

Note 6. Comprehensive Income

Total comprehensive income is comprised of net earnings and net unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, which is the Company's only source of other comprehensive income. Total comprehensive (loss) income for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$(1,359,000) and \$711,000, and was \$(1,730,000) and \$533,000 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Note 7. Merger of Service 1st Bancorp into Central Valley Community Bancorp

After the close of business on November 12, 2008, the Company and Service 1st completed their previously announced merger and Service 1st was merged into the Company, and the Service 1st subsidiary, S1 Bank merged into the Bank. The Company acquired 100% of the outstanding common shares of Service 1st and the results of Service 1st's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements beginning November 13, 2008. Management believes that the merger will allow the Bank to further accommodate a growing customer base in San Joaquin County and provide Service 1st customers with more convenient locations in the Central Valley, as well as offer new advancement and geographic opportunities for their employees. As a result of the above factors, management believes that the potential for the combined performance exceeds what each entity could accomplish independently and the goodwill in this transaction arose from the synergies associated with the merger. The acquisition is part of the Company's long-term strategy to increase its presence from Sacramento to Bakersfield along the Highway 99 corridor and the surrounding foothills.

As of the date of acquisition, Service 1st had total assets of \$224,000,000, including loans totaling \$116,028,000 (net of allowance for credit losses of \$2,786,000) and \$193,488,000 in deposits.

The total consideration paid to Service 1st shareholders was approximately \$22,728,000. Under the merger agreement, Service 1st shareholders received in exchange for each share of Service 1st common stock held, cash in the amount of \$2.50 and shares of the Company's common stock based on an exchange ratio of 0.682304, representing an aggregate cash amount of \$5,972,000 and an aggregate share amount of 1,628,397 (valued at \$16,600,000 for purposes of the merger agreement) subject to a cash holdback of \$3,500,000, or approximately \$1.36 per share, that was deposited into an escrow account pending the outcome of certain litigation matters. Total consideration paid to Service 1st shareholders was established under the terms of the merger agreement based on a value of \$9.52 per share of Service 1st common stock.

During the first half of 2009, the Company sold its participation interest in a loan which was the subject of the \$3,500,000 cash holdback, the lead bank that purchased the Company's participating interest in the loan at a discount and indemnified the Company against any further actions pursuant to the lawsuit. Consequent to the lead bank purchasing the Company's position, the Company collected \$1,046,000 from the escrow fund to cover the portion of the loan that was not recovered, accrued and unpaid interest and other costs. In accordance with the escrow agreement, until the litigation is completely satisfied the remaining \$2,454,000 may remain in the escrow fund.

The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired was \$14,839,000, which was recorded as goodwill, is not subject to amortization, and is not deductible for tax purposes. In addition, assets acquired also included a core deposit intangible of \$1,400,000 which is being amortized using a straight-line method over a period of seven years with no significant residual value. Amortization expense

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

recognized in 2009 was \$104,000. Management has not identified any impairment indicators as of June 30, 2009.

The results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 include the operating results of Service 1st for the full six months. The following supplemental pro forma information discloses selected financial information for the periods indicated as though the Service 1st merger had been completed as of the beginning of each of the periods being reported. Dollars are in thousands except per share data.

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Revenue	\$ 24,060	\$ 25,129
Net income	\$ 1,723	\$ 3,138
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.33

Table of Contents

Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheets. The contract or notional amounts of these instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for loans.

Commitments to extend credit amounting to \$133,204,000 and \$160,450,000 were outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract unless waived by the bank. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee.

Included in commitments to extend credit are undisbursed lines of credit totaling \$74,936,000 and \$100,491,000 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Undisbursed lines of credit are revolving lines of credit whereby customers can repay principal and request principal advances during the term of the loan at their discretion and most expire between one and 12 months.

The Company has undisbursed portions of construction loans totaling \$11,263,000 and \$14,270,000 as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. These commitments are agreements to lend to a customer, subject to meeting certain construction progress requirements established in the contract. The underlying construction loans have fixed expiration dates.

Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees amounting to \$610,000 and \$1,554,000 were outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support private borrowing arrangements. Most standby letters of credit and guarantees carry a one year term or less. The fair value of the liability related to these standby letters of credit, which represents the fees received for their issuance, was not significant at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. The Company recognizes these fees as revenue over the term of the commitment or when the commitment is used.

The Company generally requires collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk. Management does not anticipate any material loss will result from the outstanding commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees.

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to such actions will not materially affect the consolidated financial position or consolidated results of operations of the Company.

Note 9. Income Taxes

The Company files its income taxes on a consolidated basis with its subsidiaries. The allocation of income tax expense (benefit) represents each entity's proportionate share of the consolidated provision for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment. On the consolidated balance sheets, net deferred tax assets are included in accrued interest receivable and other assets.

Accounting for uncertainty in income taxes - The benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above is reflected as a liability for unrecognized tax benefits in the accompanying balance sheet along with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination. The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of tax expense in the consolidated statements of income. There have been no significant changes to unrecognized tax benefits or accrued interest and penalties for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents**Note 10. Borrowing Arrangements**

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances: Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of San Francisco consisted of the following:

Amount	June 30, 2009 Rate (Dollars in thousands)	Maturity Date	Amount	December 31, 2008 Rate (Dollars in thousands)	Maturity Date
\$ 10,000	0.58%	July 8, 2009	\$ 5,000	2.73%	February 5, 2010
5,000	2.73%	February 5, 2010	5,000	3.00%	February 7, 2011
5,000	3.00%	February 7, 2011	5,000	3.10%	February 14, 2011
5,000	3.10%	February 14, 2011	4,000	3.59%	February 13, 2013
4,000	3.59%	February 13, 2013			
29,000			19,000		
(15,000)	Less short-term portion			Less short-term portion	
\$ 14,000	Long-term debt		\$ 19,000	Long-term debt	

FHLB advances are secured by investment securities and loans with amortized costs totaling \$136,825,000 and \$54,350,000, and market values totaling \$142,346,000 and \$52,783,000 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. The Bank's credit limit varies according to the amount and composition of the investment and loan portfolios pledged as collateral.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had Federal funds purchased of \$6,368,000.

Note 11. Capital Purchase Program - Troubled Asset Relief Program

On January 30, 2009, the Company participated in the United States Department of the Treasury's (the Treasury) Capital Purchase Program, and in connection therewith, entered into a Letter Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) with Treasury, pursuant to which the Company issued and sold (i) 7,000 shares of the Company's Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (the Series A Preferred Stock) and (ii) a warrant (the Warrant) to purchase 158,133 shares of the Company's common stock, no par value, (the Common Stock) for an aggregate purchase price of \$7,000,000 in cash.

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The Series A Preferred Stock will qualify as Tier 1 capital and will pay cumulative dividends quarterly at a rate of 5% per annum for the first five years, and 9% per annum thereafter. In accordance with the Purchase Agreement, the Series A Preferred Stock may be redeemed by the Company after three years. Prior to the end of three years, the Series A Preferred Stock may be redeemed by the Company only with proceeds from the sale of qualifying equity securities of the Company (a Qualified Equity Offering). Notwithstanding the preceding, pursuant to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the EESA) was amended to add a new Section 111(g), which would allow the Company to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock at any time, subject to the approval of the appropriate federal banking agency, without raising additional cash proceeds from qualified equity offerings or without regard to waiting periods.

The Warrant has a 10-year term. Half of the warrants were immediately exercisable upon issuance and the remaining half will be exercisable in one year from issuance. The warrants have an exercise price, subject to antidilution adjustments, equal to \$6.64 per share of the Common Stock.

If the Company receives aggregate gross cash proceeds of not less than \$7,000,000 from Qualified Equity Offerings on or prior to December 31, 2009, the number of shares of Common Stock issuable pursuant to the Treasury's exercise of the Warrant will be reduced by one half of the original number of shares, taking into account all adjustments, underlying the Warrant. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Treasury has agreed not to exercise voting power with respect to any shares of Common Stock issued upon exercise of the Warrant.

The Series A Preferred Stock and the Warrant were issued in a private placement exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Upon the request of the Treasury at any time, the Company has agreed to promptly enter into a deposit arrangement pursuant to which the Preferred Stock may be deposited and depositary shares (the Depositary Shares) representing fractional shares of the Preferred Stock, may be issued. The Company has agreed to register the Series A Preferred Stock, the Warrant, the shares of Common Stock underlying the Warrant (the Warrant Shares), and Depositary Shares, as soon as practicable after the date of the issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock and the Warrant in accordance with the terms of the Purchase Agreement. Neither the Series A Preferred Stock nor the Warrant will be subject to any contractual restrictions on transfer, except that the Treasury may only transfer or exercise an aggregate of one-half of the Warrant Shares prior to the earlier of the redemption of 100% of the shares of Series A Preferred Stock or December 31, 2009.

The Series A Preferred Stock shall be non-voting, other than class voting rights on (i) any authorization or issuance of shares ranking senior to the Series A Preferred Stock, (ii) any amendment to the rights of the Series A Preferred Stock, or (iii) any merger, exchange or similar transaction which would adversely affect the rights of the Series A Preferred Stock.

Table of Contents

If dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock are not paid in full for six dividend periods, whether or not consecutive, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock will have the right to elect two directors. The right to elect directors will end when full dividends have been paid for four consecutive dividend periods.

In the Purchase Agreement, the Company agreed that, until such time as the Treasury ceases to own any debt or equity securities of the Company acquired pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Company will take all necessary action to ensure that its benefit plans with respect to its senior executive officers comply with Section 111(b) of the EESA as implemented by any guidance or regulation under the EESA that has been issued and is in effect as of the date of issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock and the Warrant, and has agreed to not adopt any benefit plans with respect to, or which cover, its senior executive officers that do not comply with the EESA, and the applicable executives have consented to the foregoing. Furthermore, the Purchase Agreement allows the Treasury to unilaterally amend the terms of the agreement.

With respect to dividends on the Company's common stock, the Treasury's consent shall be required for any increase in common dividends per share until the third anniversary of the date of its investment unless prior to such third anniversary the Series A Preferred Stock is redeemed in whole or the Treasury has transferred all of the Series A Preferred Stock to third parties. Furthermore, for as long as any Series A Preferred Stock is outstanding, no dividends may be declared or paid on junior preferred shares, preferred shares ranking pari passu with the Series A Preferred Stock, or common shares (other than in the case of pari passu preferred shares, dividends on a pro rata basis with the Series A Preferred Stock), nor may the Company repurchase or redeem any junior preferred shares, preferred shares ranking pari passu with the Series A Preferred Stock or common shares, unless all accrued and unpaid dividends for all past dividend periods on the Series A Preferred Stock are fully paid.

The Company allocated the proceeds received between the Series A Preferred Stock and the Warrant based on the estimated relative fair value of each. The fair value of the Warrant was estimated based on a Black-Scholes-Merton model and totaled \$460,000. The discount recorded on the Series A Preferred Stock will be amortized using the level-yield method over five years.

ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Certain matters discussed in this report constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements contained herein that are not historical facts, such as statements regarding the Company's current business strategy and the Company's plans for future development and operations, are based upon current expectations. These statements are forward-looking in nature and involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to (1) significant increases in competitive pressure in the banking industry; (2) the impact of changes in interest rates, a decline in economic conditions at the international, national or local level on the Company's results of operations, the Company's ability to continue its internal growth at historical rates, the Company's ability to maintain its net interest margin, and the quality of the Company's earning assets; (3) changes in the regulatory environment; (4) fluctuations in the real estate market; (5) changes in business conditions and inflation; (6) changes in securities markets; and (7) risks associated with acquisitions, relating to difficulty in integrating combined operations and related negative impact on earnings, and incurrence of substantial expenses. Therefore, the information set forth in such forward-looking statements should be carefully considered when evaluating the business prospects of the Company.

When the Company uses in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q the words anticipate, estimate, expect, project, intend, commit, and similar expressions, the Company intends to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are not guarantees of performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including those described in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual

results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated, expected, projected, intended, committed or believed. The future results and shareholder values of the Company may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. Many of the factors that will determine these results and values are beyond the Company's ability to control or predict. For those statements, the Company claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) maintains a web site which contains reports, proxy statements, and other information pertaining to registrants that file electronically with the SEC, including the Company. The internet address is: www.sec.gov. In addition, our periodic and current reports are available free of charge on our website at www.cvcb.com as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

Table of Contents

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

In April 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued the following three FASB Staff Positions (FSPs) intended to provide additional guidance and enhance disclosures regarding fair value measurements and impairment of securities:

FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157 when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have decreased significantly. FSP FAS 157-4 also provides guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. The provisions of FSP FAS 157-4 were adopted by the Company on January 1, 2009 and did not have a significant effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, requires disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies that were previously only required to be disclosed in annual financial statements. The provisions of FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 are effective for the Company's interim period ending on June 30, 2009. As FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 amends only the disclosure requirements about fair value of financial instruments in interim periods, the adoption of FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 is not expected to affect the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, amends current other-than-temporary impairment guidance in GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. This FSP does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. The provisions of FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 were adopted by the Company on January 1, 2009. Management has determined that there was no material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations from the adoption of the standards.

On May 28, 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS 165). Under SFAS 165, companies are required to evaluate events and transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before the date the financial statements are issued, or available to be issued in the case of non-public entities. SFAS 165 requires entities to recognize in the financial statements the effect of all events or transactions that provide additional evidence of conditions that existed at the balance sheet date, including the estimates inherent in the financial preparation process. Entities shall not recognize the impact of events or transactions that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the balance sheet date but arose after that date. SFAS 165 also requires entities to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. SFAS 165 was effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS 165 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, as required, and adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements taken as a whole. The Company evaluated all events or transactions that occurred from June 30, 2009 to July 31, 2009, the date the Company issued these financial statements. During this period the Company did not have any material recognizable or non recognizable subsequent events.

On June 12, 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets* (SFAS 166), and SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (SFAS 167), which change the way entities account for securitizations and special-purpose entities as follows:

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

SFAS 166 is a revision to FASB SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, and will require more information about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. SFAS 166 also eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets and requires additional disclosures.

SFAS 167 is a revision to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, and changes how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance.

Both SFAS 166 and SFAS 167 will be effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. The recognition and measurement provisions of SFAS 166 shall be applied to transfers that occur on or after the effective date. The Company will adopt both SFAS 166 and SFAS 167 on January 1, 2010, as required. Management has not determined the impact adoption may have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On June 29, 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles – a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 (SFAS 168)*. SFAS 168 establishes the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification™* as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP. SFAS 168 will be effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, for most entities. On the effective date, all non-SEC accounting and reporting standards will be superseded. The Company will adopt SFAS 168 for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009, as required, and adoption is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements taken as a whole.

There have been no other changes to the Company's critical accounting policies from those discussed in the Company's 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K.

This discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this report.

Table of Contents

OVERVIEW

Second Quarter 2009

On November 12, 2008, the Company completed its acquisition of Service 1st Bancorp with total assets of \$224 million (the Service 1st merger). The Company paid cash of \$5,972,000 inclusive of a \$3,500,000 escrow amount related to litigation on one Service 1st loan and issued 1,628,397 new shares of common stock in conjunction with this acquisition, adding full-service branches in Stockton, Lodi and Tracy, \$192 million in deposits and \$123 million in loans. In connection with the transaction, Service 1st Bank was merged with and into Central Valley Community Bank. The results of operations for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2009 include the operating results of Service 1st for the full periods.

In the second quarter of 2009, our consolidated net income was \$464,000 compared to net income of \$1,315,000 for the same period in 2008. Diluted EPS was \$0.04 for the second quarter 2009 compared to \$0.21 for the second quarter 2008. The decrease in net income was principally due to increases in the provision for loan losses and non-interest expenses, partially offset by decreasing rates paid on interest bearing liabilities outpacing the decreases in yields on earning assets. Our net interest margin increased 47 basis points for the second quarter of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. Additionally, average total loans increased 38.7% and average total interest-bearing liabilities increased 57.4%.

Annualized return on average equity for the second quarter of 2009 was 2.28% compared to 9.71% for the same period in 2008. Total average equity was \$81,537,000 for the second quarter 2009 compared to \$54,136,000 for the second quarter 2008. Equity increased primarily as a result of the net income included in retained earnings and proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock offset by an increase in other comprehensive loss.

First Six Months of 2009

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, our consolidated net income was \$1,723,000 compared to net income of \$2,620,000 for the same period in 2008. Diluted EPS was \$0.20 for the first six months of 2009 compared to \$0.42 for the first six months of 2008. The decrease in net income was primarily due to an increase in the provision for loan losses and an increase in FDIC insurance assessments. The decrease in interest rates reflective of the 500 basis point decline in rates by the Federal Reserve Bank since September 2007 was also a factor. During the first half of 2009, the Company has reduced interest rates on deposits while maintaining the yield on interest-earning assets, and as a result our net interest margin has increased. Net interest income increased \$5,658,000 or 48.9%. Non-interest income also increased \$627,000 or 25.0%, however the provision for credit losses increased \$4,417,000 and non-interest expense increased \$4,031,000 in the first six months of 2009 compared to 2008.

Annualized return on average equity for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 4.21% compared to 9.63% for the same period in 2008. Annualized return on average assets for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 0.46% compared to 1.06% for the same period in 2008. Total average equity was \$81,819,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$54,400,000 for the same period in 2008. Equity increased primarily as a result of the Service 1st acquisition, issuance of preferred stock and common stock warrants to the US Treasury, and our net income included in retained earnings.

In comparing the first half of 2009 to the first half of 2008, our balance sheet increased primarily as a result of the Service 1st acquisition. Average total assets increased \$257,994,000 or 52.0%. Average total loans increased \$141,433,000 or 40.8% in the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 while average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$201,746,000 or 65.3% over the same period. Our net interest margin for the first six months of 2009 was 5.37% compared to 5.22% for the same period in 2008. The margin increased principally due to the decrease in rates on interest-bearing liabilities outpacing the decrease in yield on earning assets. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the effective yield on loans decreased 94 basis points reflecting the declining interest rates since September 2007. The effective yield on investment securities including Federal funds sold increased 171 basis points. This resulted in the effective yield on interest earning assets decreasing 34 basis points to 6.47% compared to 6.81% for the same period in 2008. The cost of total interest-bearing liabilities decreased 88 basis points to 1.46% compared to 2.34% for the same period in 2008. The cost of total deposits decreased 53 basis points to 1.05% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 1.58% for the same period in 2008. Net interest income for the first half of 2009 was \$17,233,000, compared to \$11,575,000 for the same period in 2008, an increase of \$5,658,000 or 48.9%. Net interest income increased as a result of the increased levels of earning assets partially offset by the increased levels of interest-bearing liabilities. The increases were primarily from the Service 1st acquisition and also from our organic growth. The Bank had non-accrual loans totaling \$14,524,000 at June 30, 2009, compared to \$15,750,000 at December 31, 2008 and \$366,000 at June 30, 2008. The Company had other real estate owned at June 30, 2009 totaling \$2,550,000, compared to none at December 31, 2008, or June 30, 2008.

We participated in the U. S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program (CPP) under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act. The Company issued preferred stock and warrants to issue common stock and received \$7,000,000 in cash under this program. The Company agreed to restrict dividend payments on common stock to no more than historic levels while our preferred stock is owned by the U. S. Treasury. See Note 11 to the unaudited Financial Statements for a more detailed discussion.

Table of Contents

Central Valley Community Bancorp (Company)

We are a central California-based bank holding company for a one-bank subsidiary, Central Valley Community Bank (Bank). We provide traditional commercial banking services to small and medium-sized businesses and individuals in the communities along the Highway 99 corridor in the Fresno, Madera, Sacramento, Stanislaus, and San Joaquin Counties of central California. Additionally, we have a private banking office in Sacramento County, a loan production office in Modesto, and a planned branch opening in Merced, California. As a bank holding company, the Company is subject to supervision, examination and regulation by the Federal Reserve Bank.

At June 30, 2009, we had total loans of \$492,098,000, total assets of \$747,623,000, total deposits of \$621,719,000, and shareholders' equity of \$80,753,000.

Central Valley Community Bank (Bank)

The Bank commenced operations in January 1980 as a state-chartered bank. As a state-chartered bank, the Bank is subject to primary supervision, examination and regulation by the Department of Financial Institutions. The Bank's deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to the applicable limits thereof, and the Bank is subject to supervision, examination and regulations of the FDIC.

The Bank operates 15 branches which serve the communities of Fresno, Clovis, Kerman, Prather, Oakhurst, Madera, Tracy, Stockton, Lodi, and Sacramento, California; and a loan production office which serves the Modesto, California community. A new office (the Bank's 16th branch) is planned to open in Merced, California during the third quarter of 2009. Additionally the Bank operates Real Estate, Agribusiness and SBA departments that originate loans in California. According to the June 30, 2008 FDIC data, the Bank's branches in Fresno, Madera and San Joaquin Counties had a 2.40% combined deposit market share of all depositories including credit unions, thrifts, and savings banks.

Key Factors in Evaluating Financial Condition and Operating Performance

As a publicly traded community bank holding company, we focus on several key factors including:

- Return to our stockholders;
- Return on average assets;
- Return on average equity;
- Asset quality;
- Asset growth;
- Operating efficiency; and
- Liquidity.

Return to Our Stockholders

Our return to our stockholders is measured in the form of return on average equity (ROE). Our annualized ROE was 4.21% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 8.82% for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 9.63% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Our net income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased \$897,000 or 34.2% to \$1,723,000 compared to \$2,620,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Net income decreased due to increases in the provision for credit losses and non-interest expenses, offset by increases in net interest income, and non-interest income. Net interest margin (NIM) increased 15 basis points comparing the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. Diluted EPS was \$0.20 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and \$0.42 for the same period in 2008.

Return on Average Assets

Our return on average assets (ROA) is a measure we use to compare our performance with other banks and bank holding companies. Our annualized ROA for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 0.46% compared to 0.95% for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 1.06% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in ROA compared to December 2008 is due to the decrease in net income relative to our increase in average assets. Average assets for the six months ended June 30, 2009 were \$753,938,000 compared to \$541,789,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008. ROA for our peer group was -0.37% at March 31, 2009. Peer group from SNL Financial data includes certain bank holding companies in central California with assets from \$300 million to \$1 billion.

Table of Contents

Development of Core Earnings

Over the past several years, we have focused on not only improving net income, but improving the consistency of our revenue streams in order to create more predictable future earnings and reduce the effect of changes in our operating environment on our net income. Specifically, we have focused on net interest income through a variety of processes, including increases in average interest earning assets as a result of loan generation and retention, and minimizing the effects of the recent interest rate decline on our net interest margin by focusing on core deposits and managing the cost of funds. The Company's net interest margin (fully tax equivalent basis) was 5.37% for the first half of 2009, compared to 5.22% for the same period in 2008. The increase in net interest margin is principally due to a decrease in the Company's cost of funds which was greater than the decrease in our yield on earning assets. In comparing the two periods, the effective yield on total earning assets decreased 34 basis points, while the cost of total interest bearing liabilities decreased 88 basis points and the cost of total deposits decreased 53 basis points. The Company's total cost of deposits for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 1.05% compared to 1.58% for the same period in 2008. The Company has less exposure than many of its competitors to interest rate risk as 24.5% of its average deposits are non-interest bearing. Net interest income for the first half of 2009 was \$17,233,000 compared to \$11,575,000 for the same period in 2008.

Our non-interest income is generally made up of service charges and fees on deposit accounts, fee income from loan placements and other services, and gains from sales of investment securities. Non-interest income for the first six months of 2009 increased \$627,000 or 25.0% to \$3,139,000 compared to \$2,512,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 mainly due to increases in gains from sales and calls of investment securities, service charge income, loan placement fees, and other income, partially offset by a decrease in FHLB stock dividends. Further detail of non-interest income is provided below.

Asset Quality

For all banks and bank holding companies, asset quality has a significant impact on the overall financial condition and results of operations. Asset quality is measured in terms of non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans and total assets, and is a key element in estimating the future earnings of a company. The Company had non-performing loans totaling \$14,524,000 or 2.95% of total loans as of June 30, 2009, \$15,750,000 or 3.25% of total loans at December 31, 2008, and \$366,000 or 0.10% of total loans as of June 30, 2008. Management maintains certain loans that have been brought current by the borrower (less than 30 days delinquent) on non-accrual status until such time as management has determined that the loans are likely to remain current in future periods and collectibility has been reasonably assured. The Company had other real estate owned at June 30, 2009 totaling \$2,550,000, and none at December 31, 2008, or June 30, 2008.

Asset Growth

As revenues from both net interest income and non-interest income are a function of asset size, the continued growth in assets has a direct impact in increasing net income and therefore ROE and ROA. The majority of our assets are loans and investment securities, and the majority of our liabilities are deposits, and therefore the ability to generate deposits as a funding source for loans and investments is fundamental to our asset growth. Total assets decreased slightly by 0.7% during the first six months of 2009 to \$747,623,000 as of June 30, 2009 from \$752,713,000 as of December 31, 2008. Total gross loans increased 1.6% to \$492,098,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$484,238,000 as of December 31, 2008. Total deposits decreased 2.1% to \$621,719,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$635,058,000 as of December 31, 2008. Our loan to deposit ratio at June 30, 2009 was 79.2% compared to 76.3% at December 31, 2008. The loan to deposit ratio of our peers was 93.5% at March 31, 2009. Further discussion of loans and deposits is below.

Operating Efficiency

Operating efficiency is the measure of how efficiently earnings before taxes are generated as a percentage of revenue. The Company's efficiency ratio (operating expenses, excluding amortization of intangibles, divided by net interest income plus non-interest income, excluding gains from sales of securities) was 69.3% for the first six months of 2009 compared to 69.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The improvement in the efficiency ratio is due to an increase in net interest income partially offset by an increase in operating expenses. The Company's net interest income before provision for credit losses plus non-interest income increased 44.6% to \$20,372,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$14,087,000 for the same period in 2008, while operating expenses increased 40.6% to \$13,969,000 from \$9,938,000 for the same period in 2008.

Liquidity

Liquidity management involves our ability to meet cash flow requirements arising from fluctuations in deposit levels and demands of daily operations, which include funding of securities purchases, providing for customers' credit needs and ongoing repayment of borrowings. Our liquidity is actively managed on a daily basis and reviewed periodically by our management and Directors' Asset/Liability Committee. This process is intended to ensure the maintenance of sufficient liquidity to meet our funding needs, including adequate cash flow for off-balance sheet commitments. Our primary sources of liquidity are derived from financing activities which include the acceptance of customer and, to a lesser extent, broker deposits, Federal funds facilities and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco. These funding sources are augmented by collection of principal and interest on loans, the routine maturities and pay downs of securities from our investment securities portfolio, the stability of our core deposits, and the ability to sell investment securities. Primary uses of funds include withdrawals of and interest payments on deposits, origination and purchases of loans, purchases of investment securities, and payment of operating expenses.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income for the First Six Months of 2009 Compared to the Six months ended June 30, 2008:

Net income decreased to \$1,723,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$2,620,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Basic earnings per share were \$0.20 and \$0.44 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Diluted earnings per share were \$0.20 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and \$0.42 for the same period in 2008. Annualized ROE was 4.21% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 9.63% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Annualized ROA for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 0.46% compared to 1.06% for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in the prior year decreased due mainly to increases in the provision for credit losses and non-interest expenses, partially offset by increases in net interest income and non-interest income, and a decrease in the provision for income taxes. Net interest income increased due to an increase in the level of interest earning assets and a decrease in our cost of interest-bearing liabilities, partially offset by a decrease in the yield on interest earning assets and an increase in the level of average interest-bearing-liabilities. Non-interest expenses increased primarily due to salaries and benefits and other expenses including a significant increase in our FDIC insurance assessments. Further discussion of non-interest expenses is below.

Interest Income and Expense

Net interest income is the most significant component of our income from operations. Net interest income (the interest rate spread) is the difference between the gross interest and fees earned on the loan and investment portfolio and the interest paid on deposits and other borrowings. Net interest income depends on the volume of and interest rate earned on interest earning assets and the volume of and interest rate paid on interest bearing liabilities.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth a summary of average balances with corresponding interest income and interest expense as well as average yield and cost information for the periods presented. Average balances are derived from daily balances, and non-accrual loans are not included as interest earning assets for purposes of this table.

CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP**SCHEDULE OF AVERAGE BALANCES AND AVERAGE YIELDS AND RATES**

(Dollars in thousands)

(Dollars in thousands)	FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009			FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Interest Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Interest Rate
ASSETS						
Interest-earning deposits in other banks	\$ 1,196	\$ 5	0.84%	\$ 137	\$ 2	2.92%
Securities						
Taxable securities	118,943	4,334	7.29%	70,968	1,915	5.40%
Non-taxable securities (1)	62,980	2,292	7.28%	24,731	692	5.60%
Total investment securities	181,923	6,626	7.28%	95,699	2,607	5.45%
Federal funds sold	10,136	14	0.28%	10,118	128	2.54%
Total securities	193,255	6,645	6.88%	105,954	2,737	5.17%
Loans (2) (3)	474,456	15,055	6.40%	346,831	12,686	7.34%
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,140			2,044	56	5.48%
Total interest-earning assets	670,851	\$ 21,700	6.47%	454,829	\$ 15,479	6.81%
Allowance for credit losses	(7,665)			(3,986)		
Non-accrual loans	13,947			139		
Other real estate owned	2,038			0		
Cash and due from banks	18,357			16,183		
Bank premises and equipment	6,722			5,863		
Other non-earning assets	49,688			22,916		
Total average assets	\$ 753,938			\$ 495,944		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS						
EQUITY						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Savings and NOW accounts	\$ 130,370	\$ 452	0.70%	\$ 72,541	\$ 125	0.35%
Money market accounts	131,539	668	1.02%	95,124	1,006	2.12%
Time certificates of deposit, under \$100,000	87,588	877	2.02%	59,951	1,012	3.39%
Time certificates of deposit, \$100,000 and over	125,916	1,284	2.06%	52,221	1,049	4.03%
Total interest-bearing deposits	475,413	3,281	1.39%	279,837	3,192	2.29%
Other borrowed funds	35,528	407	2.31%	29,358	421	2.88%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	510,941	\$ 3,688	1.46%	309,195	\$ 3,613	2.34%
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	153,995			126,897		
Other liabilities	7,183			5,452		
Shareholders equity	81,819			54,400		
Total average liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 753,938			\$ 495,944		
Interest income and rate earned on average earning assets		\$ 21,700	6.47%		\$ 15,479	6.81%

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Interest expense and interest cost related to average interest-bearing liabilities	3,688	1.46%	3,613	2.34%
Net interest income and net interest margin (4)	\$ 18,012	5.37%	\$ 11,866	5.22%

(1) Calculated on a fully tax equivalent basis, which includes Federal tax benefits relating to income earned on municipal bonds totaling \$779 and \$235 in 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(2) Loan interest income includes loan fees of \$274 in 2009 and \$406 in 2008.

(3) Average loans do not include non-accrual loans.

(4) Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by total average interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

Interest and fee income from loans increased \$2,369,000 or 18.7% in the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. Average total loans for the first six months of 2009 increased 40.8% to \$488,403,000 compared to \$346,970,000 for the same period in 2008. While loan growth was positive, the yield on average total loans decreased 94 basis points to 6.40% for the first six months of 2009 compared to 7.34% for the same period in 2008 largely due to the 500 basis points decline in interest rates by the Federal Reserve Bank since September 2007. We have been successful in implementing interest rate floors on many of our adjustable rate loans to partially offset the effects of the decrease in the prime interest rate. We are committed to providing our customers with the best price; however we are also committed to increasing our value to shareholders and strong asset quality.

Interest income from total investments (total investments include investment securities, Federal funds sold, interest bearing deposits with other banks, and other securities) increased \$3,364,000 in the first six months of 2009 to \$5,866,000 compared to \$2,502,000 for the same period in 2008, mainly due to the 82.4% increase in average balances of total investment securities and increased yields due to the acquisition of Service 1st. Income from investments represents 34.0% of net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 21.6% for the same period in 2008.

In an effort to increase yields, without accepting unreasonable risk, a significant portion of the investment portfolio is in high quality mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs). At June 30, 2009, we held \$96,524,000 or 57.0% of the total market value of the investment portfolio in MBS and CMOs with an average yield of 7.4%. We understand the interest rate risks, credit risks, and prepayment risks associated with MBS and CMOs. In a declining interest rate environment, prepayments from MBS and CMOs could be expected to increase and the expected life of the investment could be expected to shorten. Conversely, if interest rates increase, prepayments could be expected to decline and the average life of the MBS and CMOs could be expected to extend. Additionally, changes in interest rates are reflected in the market value of the investment portfolio. During declining interest rates, the investment portfolio could be expected to have market value gains and in increasing rate environments, the market value could be expected to be negative. The change in market value, net of tax-effect, of the available-for-sale investment portfolio is also reflected in the Company's equity. At June 30, 2009, the average life of the investment portfolio was 8.4 years and the market value reflected a pre-tax unrealized loss of \$5,442,000.

A component of the Company's strategic plan has been to use its investment portfolio to offset, in part, its interest rate risk relating to variable rate loans. At June 30, 2009, an immediate rate increase of 200 basis points would result in an estimated decrease in the market value of the investment portfolio by approximately \$15,865,000. Conversely, with an immediate rate decrease of 200 basis points, the estimated increase in the market value of the investment portfolio is \$14,246,000. The modeling environment assumes management would take no action during an immediate shock of 200 basis points. However, the Company uses those increments to measure its interest rate risk in accordance with regulatory requirements and to measure the possible future risk in the investment portfolio. For further discussion of the Company's market risk, refer to Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Management's review of all investments before purchase includes an analysis of how the security will perform under several interest rate scenarios to monitor whether investments are consistent with our investment policy. The policy addresses issues of average life, duration, and concentration guidelines, prohibited investments, impairment, and prohibited practices.

Total interest income for the first six months of 2009 increased \$5,733,000 or 37.8% to \$20,921,000 compared to \$15,188,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase was due to the 47.5% increase in the average balance of interest earning assets partially offset by the 34 basis point decrease in the yield on those assets. The yield on interest earning assets decreased to 6.47% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from 6.81% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Average interest earning assets increased to \$670,851,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$454,829,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The \$216,022,000 increase in average earning assets can be attributed to the Service 1st acquisition and our own organic growth in loans.

Interest expense on deposits for the six months ended June 30, 2009 increased \$89,000 or 2.8% to \$3,281,000 compared to \$3,192,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This increase was due to an increase in average interest bearing deposits of \$195,576,000 or 69.9% partially offset by an 90 basis point decrease in deposit rates due to the repricing of deposits in the lower current interest rate environment. Average interest-bearing deposits were \$475,413,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$279,837,000 for the same period ended June 30, 2008. Average transaction accounts (including interest bearing checking, money market accounts and non interest bearing demand deposits) increased 42.9% to \$394,161,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$275,806,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Total average CDs increased 90.3% to \$213,504,000 from \$112,172,000. The overall cost of our deposits decreased to 1.05% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 1.58% for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Average other borrowed funds increased \$6,170,000 or 21.0% to \$35,528,000 with an effective rate of 2.31% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$29,358,000 with an effective rate of 2.88% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. As a result interest expense increased \$75,000 to \$3,688,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from \$3,613,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Other borrowings are advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). The FHLB advances are fixed rate short-term and long term borrowings. Short-term advances were utilized to provide liquidity during the period and long-term advances were utilized as part of a leveraged strategy in the first quarter of 2008 to purchase investment securities. See the section on Financial Condition for more detail.

Table of Contents

Net Interest Income before Provision for Credit Losses

Net interest income before provision for credit losses for the six months ended June 30, 2009 increased by \$5,658,000 or 48.9% to \$17,233,000 compared to \$11,575,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This increase was primarily due to the increase in average interest earning assets along with the 15 basis point increase in the net interest margin partially offset by an increase in average interest bearing liabilities. Average interest earning assets were \$670,851,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 with a net interest margin of 5.37% compared to \$454,829,000 with a net interest margin of 5.22% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Average interest bearing liabilities were \$510,941,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$309,195,000 for the same period in 2008. For a discussion of the repricing of our assets and liabilities, see Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk.

Provision for Credit Losses

We provide for possible credit losses by a charge to operating income based upon the composition of the loan portfolio, past delinquency levels, losses and non-performing assets, economic and environmental conditions and other factors which, in management's judgment, deserve recognition in estimating credit losses. Loans are charged off when they are considered uncollectible or of such little value that continuance as an active earning bank asset is not warranted.

The establishment of an adequate credit allowance is based on both an accurate risk rating system and loan portfolio management tools. The Board has established initial responsibility for the accuracy of credit risk grades with the individual credit officer. The grading is then submitted to the Chief Credit Administrator (CCA), who reviews the grades for accuracy and makes recommendations to Credit Review who gives final approval. The risk grading and reserve allocations are analyzed annually by a third party credit reviewer and by various regulatory agencies.

Quarterly, the CCA sets the specific reserve for all adversely risk-graded credits. This process includes the utilization of loan delinquency reports, classified asset reports, and portfolio concentration reports to assist in accurately assessing credit risk and establishing appropriate reserves. Reserves are also allocated to credits that are not adversely graded. Historical loss experience within the portfolio along with peer bank loss experiences are used in determining the level of the reserves held.

The allowance for credit losses is reviewed at least quarterly by the Board's Audit/Compliance Committee and by the Board of Directors. Reserves are allocated to loan portfolio categories using percentages which are based on both historical risk elements such as delinquencies and losses and predictive risk elements such as economic, competitive and environmental factors. We have adopted the specific reserve approach to allocate reserves to each adversely graded asset, as well as to each impaired asset for the purpose of estimating potential loss exposure. Although the allowance for credit losses is allocated to various portfolio categories, it is general in nature and available for the loan portfolio in its entirety. Additions may be required based on the results of independent loan portfolio examinations, regulatory agency examinations, or our own internal review process. Additions are also required when, in management's judgment, the allowance does not properly reflect the portfolio's potential loss exposure.

The allocation of the allowance for credit losses is set forth below:

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Loan Type (Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2009 Amount	% of Total Loans	December 31, 2008 Amount	% of Total Loans
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,640	27.1%	\$ 1,777	26.7%
Agricultural land and production	602	8.2%	235	6.7%
Real estate	3,032	42.5%	2,570	44.6%
Real estate - construction and other land loans	917	12.5%	820	11.9%
Equity loans and lines of credit	208	7.1%	64	6.8%
Consumer and installment	426	2.5%	593	3.1%
Other	38	0.1%	64	0.2%
Unallocated reserves	729		1,100	
Total allowance for credit losses	\$ 8,592	100.0%	\$ 7,223	100.0%

Managing credits identified through the risk evaluation methodology includes developing a business strategy with the customer to mitigate our potential losses. Management continues to monitor these credits with a view to identifying as early as possible when, and to what extent, additional provisions may be necessary.

Additions to the allowance for credit losses in the first six months of 2009 were \$4,417,000 compared to \$270,000 for the same period in 2008. The increase in 2009 is due to our assessment of the required level and overall adequacy of the allowance for credit losses and growth in the portfolio. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company had net charge offs totaling \$3,048,000 compared \$81,000 for the same period in 2008.

Table of Contents

The Company had non-performing loans totaling \$14,524,000 as of June 30, 2009, \$15,750,000 as of December 31, 2008, and \$366,000 as of June 30, 2008. Non-performing loans as a percentage of loans were 2.95% at June 30, 2009 compared to 3.25% at December 31, 2008, and 0.10% at June 30, 2008. Other Real Estate Owned at June 30, 2009 was \$2,550,000, and none at December 31, 2008 or June 30, 2008.

The net charge off ratio, which reflects net charge-offs to average loans for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 0.62% compared to 0.02% for the same period in 2008. The annual net charge off ratios for 2008, 2007, and 2006 were 0.20%, 0.12% and 0.11%, respectively.

Based on information currently available, management believes that the allowance for credit losses is adequate to absorb estimated probable losses in the portfolio. However, no assurance can be given that we may not sustain charge-offs which are in excess of the allowance in any given period. Refer to Allowance for Credit Losses below for further information on the allowance for credit losses.

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income is comprised of customer service charges, loan placement fees, gains on sales of investment securities, appreciation in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance, Federal Home Loan Bank stock dividends, and other income. Non-interest income was \$3,139,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$2,512,000 for the same period ended June 30, 2008. The \$627,000 or 25.0% increase in non-interest income was primarily due to increases in gains on sales and calls of investment securities, customer service charges, appreciation in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance, loan placement fees, and other income partially offset by decreases in FHLB stock dividends.

During the first six months of 2009, we realized net gains on sales and calls of investment securities of \$511,000. There were no sales of investment securities for the comparable period in 2008. As the Company marked the investment securities portfolio acquired from Service 1st to market at the acquisition date, securities subsequently called at par value contributed to the first half of 2009 gains.

Customer service charges increased \$32,000 to \$1,678,000 or 1.9% for the first six months of 2009 compared to \$1,646,000 for the same period in 2008, mainly due to an increase in transaction account service charge income. These increases are mainly due to an increase in the activity level as the average number of transaction accounts has increased due to the Service 1st acquisition and the increase in fees generated by the overdraft protection program.

Income from the appreciation in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance (BOLI) increased \$71,000 or 57.3% comparing the first half of 2009 with the same period in 2008. The average balance in this portfolio increased comparing the two periods as a result of the Service 1st acquisition. The Bank's salary continuation, deferred compensation plans and the related BOLI are used as a retention tool for directors and key executives of the Bank.

The Bank holds stock from the Federal Home Loan Bank in relationship with the borrowing capacity and generally earns quarterly dividends. We currently hold \$3,140,000 in FHLB stock. We received no dividends in the first six months of 2009 compared to \$56,000 during the same period in 2008.

Non-Interest Expenses

Salaries and employee benefits, occupancy, professional services, and data processing are the major categories of non-interest expenses. Non-interest expenses increased \$4,031,000 or 40.6% to \$13,969,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$9,938,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

The Company's efficiency ratio, measured as the percentage of non-interest expenses (exclusive of amortization of core deposit intangible assets) to net interest income before provision for credit losses plus non-interest income, was 69.3% for the first six months of 2009 compared to 69.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The primary drivers for this change were the increases in net interest income, salaries and benefits expenses, and occupancy expenses, partially offset by the increased non-interest income.

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$1,614,000 or 28.2% to \$7,330,000 for the first six months of 2009 compared to \$5,716,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in salaries and employee benefits for the 2009 period can be attributed to the additional of personnel in connection with the Service 1st acquisition along with normal cost increases for salaries and employee benefits.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased \$579,000 or 44.2% to \$1,889,000 for the first six months of 2009 compared to \$1,310,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase is due mainly to the addition of three new branch facilities in Tracy, Stockton and Lodi as a result of the Service 1st acquisition and to the relocation of our Herndon and Fowler branch in Clovis, California during the second quarter of 2008 from an in-store location to a larger traditional branch facility.

Other categories of non-interest expenses increased \$1,838,000 or 63.1% in the period under review. The increase is due primarily to the increase in FDIC insurance premiums as a result of an increase in deposit balances due to the Service 1st acquisition, an increase in the assessment rates recently enacted by the FDIC, and an FDIC imposed Special Assessment that was effective during the second quarter of 2009. The following table shows significant components of other non-interest expense as a percentage of average assets.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended June 30, (Dollars in thousands)	Other Expense 2009	Annualized % Avg. Assets	Other Expense 2008	Annualized % Avg. Assets
Regulatory assessments	\$ 1,010	0.41%	\$ 135	0.05%
Data/item processing	646	0.26%	418	0.17%
Advertising	369	0.10%	250	0.10%
Audit/accounting	228	0.09%	193	0.08%
ATM/debit card expenses	225	0.09%	163	0.07%
Amortization of core deposit intangibles	207	0.08%	107	0.04%
Legal fees	164	0.07%	49	0.02%
Telephone	134	0.05%	97	0.04%
Stationery/supplies	125	0.05%	110	0.04%
Postage	109	0.04%	85	0.03%
Director fees and related expenses	102	0.04%	86	0.03%
General Insurance	67	0.03%	58	0.02%
Education/training	62	0.02%	76	0.03%
Donations	48	0.02%	50	0.02%
Operating losses	3	0.00%	18	0.01%
Other	1,251	0.50%	1,017	0.41%
Total other non-interest expense	\$ 4,750		\$ 2,912	

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 13.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 32.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Provision for income taxes totaled \$263,000 and \$1,259,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate in the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the prior year comparable period is due primarily to increases, as a percentage of pretax income, in the federal tax deduction for tax free municipal bonds, the state tax deduction for loans in designated enterprise zones in California, and state hiring tax credits.

Preferred Stock Dividends and Accretion

On January 30, 2009, the Company entered into a Letter Agreement with the United States Department of the Treasury under the Capital Purchase Program, and issued and sold 7,000 shares of the Company's Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (Preferred Stock) and a warrant to purchase 158,133 shares of the Company's common stock, no par value, for an aggregate purchase price of \$7,000,000 in cash. The Company accrued preferred stock dividends to the United States Department of the Treasury and accretion of the warrants in the amount of \$184,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Net Income for the Second Quarter of 2009 Compared to the Second Quarter of 2008:

Net income was \$464,000 for the second quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$1,315,000 for the second quarter ended June 30, 2008. Basic earnings per share were \$0.04 and \$0.22 for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Diluted earnings per share were \$0.04 and \$0.21 for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Annualized ROE was 2.28% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

compared to 9.71% for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Annualized ROA for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was 0.25% compared to 1.04% for the quarter ended June 30, 2008.

The decrease in net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in the prior year was mainly due to the increase in the provision for credit losses and non-interest expenses, offset by increases in net interest income and non-interest income and a decrease in the provision for income taxes. Net interest income increased due to an increase in the level of average earning assets and a decrease in our cost of interest bearing liabilities, partially offset by an increase in the level of average interest-bearing liabilities and a slight decrease in the yield on earning assets. Non-interest expenses increased primarily due to salaries and benefits, occupancy expenses and other expenses. Our results of operations for the second quarter of 2009 reflect the Service 1st acquisition for the full quarter.

Interest Income and Expense

The following table sets forth a summary of average balances with corresponding interest income and interest expense as well as average yield and cost information for the periods presented. Average balances are derived from daily balances, and non-accrual loans are not included as interest earning assets for purposes of this table.

Table of Contents

CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP

SCHEDULE OF AVERAGE BALANCES AND AVERAGE YIELDS AND RATES

(Dollars in thousands)

(Dollars in thousands)	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009			FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Interest Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Interest Rate
ASSETS						
Interest-earning deposits in other banks	\$ 2,341	\$ 5	0.85%	\$ 275	\$ 2	2.91%
Securities						
Taxable securities	110,402	2,123	7.69%	73,867	1,011	5.47%
Non-taxable securities (1)	67,499	1,221	7.24%	24,728	345	5.59%
Total investment securities	177,901	3,344	7.52%	98,595	1,356	5.50%
Federal funds sold	4,831	3	0.25%	11,726	57	1.94%
Total securities	185,073	3,352	7.25%	110,596	1,415	5.12%
Loans (2) (3)	476,924	7,515	6.30%	353,402	6,201	7.02%
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,140		0.00%	2,065	29	5.62%
Total interest-earning assets	665,137	\$ 10,867	6.54%	466,063	\$ 7,645	6.56%
Allowance for credit losses	(8,005)			(4,038)		
Non-accrual loans	13,341			175		
Cash and due from banks	15,840			16,737		
Bank premises & equipment	6,617			5,921		
Other real estate owned	2,550			0		
Other non-earning assets	48,885			22,225		
Total average assets	\$ 744,365			\$ 507,083		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS						
EQUITY						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Savings and NOW accounts	\$ 127,008	\$ 199	0.63%	\$ 71,686	\$ 61	0.34%
Money market accounts	130,488	292	0.90%	99,411	506	2.04%
Time certificates of deposit, under \$100,000	75,157	367	1.95%	56,029	496	3.54%
Time certificates of deposit, \$100,000 and over	136,681	641	1.88%	56,512	453	3.21%
Total interest-bearing deposits	469,334	1,499	1.28%	283,638	1,516	2.14%
Other borrowed funds	37,201	205	2.20%	38,188	257	2.69%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	506,535	\$ 1,704	1.35%	321,826	\$ 1,773	2.20%
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	147,788			125,516		
Other liabilities	8,505			5,605		
Shareholders equity	81,537			54,136		
Total average liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 744,365			\$ 507,083		
Interest income and rate earned on average earning assets						
		\$ 10,867	6.54%		\$ 7,645	6.56%
Interest expense and interest cost related to average interest-bearing liabilities						
		1,704	1.35%		1,773	2.20%
Net interest income and net interest margin (4)		\$ 9,163	5.51%		\$ 5,872	5.04%

(1)

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Calculated on a fully tax equivalent basis, which includes Federal tax benefits relating to income earned on municipal bonds totaling \$415 and \$117 in 2009 and 2008, respectively

- (2) Loan interest income includes loan fees of \$126 in 2009 and \$195 in 2008.
- (3) Average loans do not include non-accrual loans.
- (4) Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by total average interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

Interest and fee income from loans increased \$1,314,000 or 21.2% to \$7,515,000 for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$6,201,000 for the same period in 2008. Average total loans for the second quarter of 2009 increased \$136,688,000 or 38.7% to \$490,265,000 compared to \$353,577,000 for the same period in 2008, while the yield on loans decreased by 72 basis points. The decrease in loan yield is primarily due to the 500 basis points decline in interest rates by the Federal Reserve Bank since September 2007 offset by our efforts to place interest rate floors on our variable rate loans. Our loan yield for the second quarter of 2009 was 6.30% compared to 7.02% for the same period in 2008.

Interest income from total investments (total investments include investment securities, Federal funds sold, interest bearing deposits with other banks, and other securities) increased \$1,639,000 or 126.3% in the second quarter of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, mainly due to an increase in the average investment balance for the second quarter of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 and an increase in yield. The average balance of investments increased \$74,477,000 or 67.3% to \$185,073,000 for the second quarter of 2009 from \$110,596,000 for the comparable 2008 period primarily due to the acquisition of Service 1st. The yield on total investments for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 was 7.25% compared to 5.12% for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Income from investments represents 33.6% of net interest income for the second quarter of 2009 compared to 22.7% for the same quarter in 2008.

Total interest income for the second quarter of 2009 increased \$2,953,000 or 39.4%, to \$10,452,000 compared to \$7,499,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. The increase was due to the, \$199,074,000 or 42.7% increase in the average balance of interest earning assets, partially offset by the 2 basis point decrease in the yield on those assets. The yield on interest earning assets decreased to 6.54% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to 6.56% for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Average interest earning assets increased to \$665,137,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$466,063,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. The \$199,074,000 increase in average earning assets can be attributed to the Service 1st acquisition and our own organic growth.

Interest expense on deposits for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 decreased \$17,000 or 1.1% to \$1,499,000 compared to \$1,516,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. The cost of deposits, calculated by dividing annualized interest expense on interest bearing deposits by total deposits, decreased 51 basis points to 0.97% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to 1.48% for the same period in 2008. This decrease was due to the repricing of interest bearing deposits in the lower current interest rate environment. Average interest bearing deposits increased 65.5% or \$185,696,000 comparing the second quarter of 2009 to the same period in 2008. Average interest-bearing deposits were \$469,334,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, with an effective rate paid of 1.28%, compared to \$283,638,000 for the same period in 2008, with an effective rate paid of 2.14%.

Average other borrowed funds decreased \$987,000 to \$37,201,000 with an effective rate of 2.20% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$38,188,000 with an effective rate of 2.69% for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. As a result, total interest expense decreased \$69,000 to \$1,704,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 from \$1,773,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Other borrowings are advances from the FHLB. The FHLB advances are fixed rate short-term and long term borrowings. Short-term advances were utilized to provide liquidity during the period and long-term advances were utilized as part of a leveraged strategy in the first quarter of 2008 to purchase investment securities.

The cost of all of our interest bearing liabilities decreased 85 basis points to 1.35% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to 2.20% for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. The decrease is due to the lower current interest rate environment as mentioned above. Additionally, non-interest bearing demand deposits increased in the periods under review. Average demand deposits increased 17.7% to an average \$147,788,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 from \$125,516,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Average transaction accounts (including interest bearing checking, money market accounts and non-interest bearing demand deposits) increased 37.6% to \$383,036,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$278,313,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Average time certificates of deposit increased \$99,297,000 or 88.2% to \$211,838,000 for the first half of 2009 compared to \$112,541,000 for the same period in 2008.

Net Interest Income before Provision for Credit Losses

Net interest income before provision for credit losses for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 increased \$3,022,000 or 52.8% to \$8,748,000 compared to \$5,726,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. This increase was primarily due to an increase in average interest earning assets and an increase in the net interest margin of 47 basis points, partially offset by an increase in average interest-bearing liabilities. Average interest earning assets were \$665,137,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 with a net interest margin of 5.51% compared to \$466,063,000 with a net interest margin of 5.04% for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. For a discussion of the repricing of our assets and liabilities, see Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk.

Provision for Credit Losses

Additions to the allowance for credit losses in the second quarter of 2009 were \$2,500,000 compared to \$135,000 for the second quarter of 2008. The increase in 2009 is principally due to the increase in non-performing loans, the volume of outstanding loans and our assessment of the overall adequacy of the allowance for credit losses.

The Company had non-performing loans totaling \$14,524,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$15,750,000 as of December 31, 2008 and \$366,000 as of June 30, 2008. Other real estate owned at June 30, 2009 was \$2,550,000 and none at December 31, 2008 or June 30, 2008.

Table of Contents

The Company had \$1,574,000 net loan charge-offs for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$35,000 for the same quarter in 2008 and \$1,474,000 for the first quarter of 2009. The net charge-off ratio, which reflects net charge-offs to average loans, was 0.32% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to 0.01% for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Refer to the allowance for credit losses section for further discussion of credit quality.

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income is comprised primarily of customer service charges, loan placement fees and other service fees, net gains on sales of investments and assets, appreciation in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance, FHLB stock dividends, and other income. Non-interest income was \$1,401,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$1,274,000 for the same period ended June 30, 2008. The \$127,000 or 10.0% increase in non-interest income comparing the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to the same period in 2008 was primarily due to the acquisition of Service 1st, as well as increases in service charges, loan placement fees, appreciation in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance, and net gains on sales of investments, offset by decreases in FHLB stock dividends and other income.

Customer service charges increased \$15,000 or 1.8% to \$858,000 for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$843,000 for the same period in 2008 due primarily to an increase in analysis service charges on business checking accounts and overdraft fees on consumer checking accounts. The appreciation in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance increased \$35,000 or 56.5% to \$97,000 for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$62,000 for the same period in 2008 due primarily to an increase in BOLI policies as a result of the Service 1st acquisition. Loan placement fees increased \$53,000 to \$75,000 for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$22,000 for the same period in 2008 due to an increase in home sales and refinancing activity. The Company did not receive any FHLB stock dividends during the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$29,000 for the second quarter of 2008. Net realized gains from sales and calls of investment securities in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 were \$62,000 compared to none during the same period in 2008. Other income decreased \$9,000 to \$309,000 for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$318,000 for the same period in 2008.

Non-Interest Expenses

Salaries and employee benefits, occupancy, professional services, and data processing are the major categories of non-interest expenses. Non-interest expenses increased \$2,163,000 or 43.6% to \$7,129,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$4,966,000 for the same period in 2008.

The Company's efficiency ratio, measured as the percentage of non-interest expenses (exclusive of amortization of core deposit intangible assets) to net interest income before provision for credit losses plus non-interest income (excluding net gains from sales of securities and assets), was 69.3% for the second quarter of 2009 compared to 69.8% for the second quarter of 2008.

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$795,000 or 27.9% to \$3,642,000 for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$2,847,000 for the second quarter of 2008. The increase in salaries and employee benefits for the second quarter of 2009 can be attributed to an increase in the number of employees following the Service 1st acquisition and normal cost increases for salaries and benefits.

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Occupancy and equipment expense increased \$269,000 to \$944,000 for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$675,000 for the second quarter of 2008. The 39.9% increase in occupancy expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 is due mainly to the addition of three new branch facilities in Tracy, Stockton and Lodi as a result of the Service 1st acquisition and to the relocation of our Herndon and Fowler branch in Clovis, California during the second quarter of 2008 from an in-store location to a larger traditional branch facility.

Other non-interest expenses increased \$1,099,000 or 76.1% to \$2,543,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$1,444,000 for the same period in 2008. The increase is due primarily to the increase in FDIC insurance premiums as a result of the growth in deposit balances due to the Service 1st acquisition, an increase in the assessment rates recently enacted by the FDIC, and an FDIC imposed Special Assessment that was effective during the second quarter of 2009. Our FDIC insurance assessments for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 were \$626,000 compared to \$63,000 for the same period in 2008.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 10.8% for the second quarter of 2009 compared to 30.8% for the same period in 2008. Provision for income taxes totaled \$56,000 and \$584,000 for the quarters ended June 30, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the prior year comparable period is due primarily to graduated tax rates, increases in the federal tax deduction for tax-free municipal bonds, the state tax deduction for loans in designated enterprise zones in California, and state hiring tax credits.

Preferred Stock Dividends and Accretion

On January 30, 2009, the Company entered into a Letter Agreement with the United States Department of the Treasury under the Capital Purchase Program, and issued and sold 7,000 shares of the Company's Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (Preferred Stock) and a warrant to purchase 158,133 shares of the Company's common stock, no par value, for an aggregate purchase price of \$7,000,000 in cash. The Company accrued preferred stock dividends to the United States Department of the Treasury and accretion of the warrants in the amount of \$135,000 during the quarter ended June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Summary of Changes in Consolidated Balance Sheets

June 30, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008

As of June 30, 2009, total assets were \$747,623,000, a decrease of 0.7%, or \$5,090,000, compared to \$752,713,000 as of December 31, 2008. Total gross loans increased 3.6% or \$7,860,000, to \$492,098,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$484,238,000 as of December 31, 2008. Total deposits decreased 2.1% or \$13,339,000 to \$621,719,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$635,058,000 as of December 31, 2008. Stockholders' equity increased \$5,378,000 or 7.1% to \$80,753,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$75,375,000 as of December 31, 2008 primarily due to the issuance of preferred stock and net income included in retained earnings, partially offset by an increase in other comprehensive losses.

Fair Value

The Company measures the fair values of its financial instruments utilizing a hierarchical disclosure framework associated with the level of observable pricing scenarios utilized in measuring financial instruments at fair value. The degree of judgment utilized in measuring the fair value of financial instruments generally correlates to the level of the observable pricing scenario. Financial instruments with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of observable pricing and a lesser degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial instruments rarely traded or not quoted will generally have little or no observable pricing and a higher degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Observable pricing scenarios are impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new to the market and not yet established and the characteristics specific to the transaction.

See Note 4 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* for additional information about the level of pricing transparency associated with financial instruments carried at fair value.

Investments

Our investment portfolio consists primarily of agency securities, mortgage backed securities, municipal securities, and overnight investments in the Federal Funds market and are all classified as available-for-sale. As of June 30, 2009, \$88,558,000 was held as collateral for public funds, treasury, tax, and for other purposes. Our investment policies are established by the Board of Directors and implemented by our Investment/Asset Liability Committee. It is designed primarily to provide and maintain liquidity, to enable us to meet our pledging requirements for public money and borrowing arrangements, to generate a favorable return on investments without incurring undue interest rate and credit risk, and to complement our lending activities.

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The level of our investment securities, as described in the table below, is generally considered higher than our peers due mostly to our relatively low loan to deposit ratio. The amortized cost of these investment securities decreased 9.2% to \$174,785,000 at June 30, 2009 from \$192,445,000 at December 31, 2008. The fair value of the available-for-sale investment portfolio reflected an unrealized loss of \$5,442,000 at June 30, 2009 compared to an unrealized gain of \$313,000 at December 31, 2008.

The following table sets forth the carrying values and estimated fair values of our investment securities portfolio at the dates indicated:

June 30, 2009 Investment Type - Available-for-Sale	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Market Value
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 1,867	\$ 34	\$	\$ 1,901
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	66,395	1,914	(1,416)	66,893
U.S. Government agencies collateralized by mortgage obligations	42,515	980	(1,393)	42,102
Other securities	58,307	902	(6,463)	52,746
	\$ 169,084	\$ 3,830	\$ (9,272)	\$ 163,642

June 30, 2009 Investment Type - Held to Maturity	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Market Value
Other securities	\$ 5,701	\$ 3	\$ (139)	\$ 5,565

December 31, 2008 Investment Type - Available-for-Sale	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Market Value
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 12,745	\$ 116	\$ (1)	\$ 12,860
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	56,961	2,469	(808)	58,622
U.S. Government agencies collateralized by mortgage obligations	44,967	813	(23)	45,757
Other securities	70,732	4,069	(6,322)	68,479
	\$ 185,405	\$ 7,467	\$ (7,154)	\$ 185,718

December 31, 2008 Investment Type - Held-to-Maturity	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Market Value
Other securities	\$ 7,040	\$	\$ (340)	\$ 6,700

Table of Contents

Management periodically evaluates each investment security for other than temporary impairment, relying primarily on industry analyst reports, observation of market conditions and interest rate fluctuations. Management has the ability and intent to hold securities with established maturity dates until recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, and believes it will be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms for all of the underlying investment securities.

At June 30, 2009, the Company had a total of 52 whole loan CMO holdings with a remaining principal balance of \$57,309,000 and a net unrealized loss of approximately \$7,167,000. Five of these securities account for \$5,863,000 of the unrealized loss at June 30, 2009. The Company continues to perform extensive analyses on these securities as well as all whole loan CMOs. By analyzing the tranche of the specific securities, the Company has determined that there is no impairment and as such, is not taking any action to write-down these securities. These investment securities continue to demonstrate cash flows as expected and the credit support component of these tranches has increased from the origination date. As of June 30, 2009, management does not believe the loss in market value of these securities is other than temporary. We have also evaluated the credit ratings of our other investment securities and, based on our evaluation, management does not consider any investments to be other-than-temporarily-impaired. However, no assurance can be made that the credit quality of certain securities will not deteriorate in the future which may necessitate future loss provisions.

We held \$3,140,000 in Federal Home Loan Bank stock as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Loans

Total gross loans increased 1.6% or \$7,860,000, to \$492,098,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$484,238,000 as of December 31, 2008. The following table sets forth information concerning the composition of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated:

Loan Type (Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2009	% of Total loans	December 31, 2008	% of Total loans
Commercial:				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 133,292	27.1%	\$ 129,563	26.7%
Agricultural land and production	40,357	8.2%	32,408	6.7%
Total commercial	173,649	35.3%	161,971	33.4%
Real estate:				
Owner occupied	108,414	22.0%	113,414	23.4%
Real estate-construction and other land loans	61,761	12.5%	57,923	11.9%
Commercial real estate	68,840	14.0%	64,358	13.3%
Other	31,969	6.5%	38,060	7.9%
Total real estate	270,984	55.0%	273,755	56.5%
Consumer:				
Equity loans and lines of credit	34,987	7.1%	32,874	6.8%
Consumer and installment	12,250	2.5%	14,993	3.1%
Other	556	0.1%	863	0.2%
Total consumer	47,793	9.7%	48,730	10.1%
Deferred loan fees, net	(328)		(218)	
Total gross loans	492,098	100.0%	484,238	100.0%

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Allowance for credit losses	(8,592)	(7,223)
Total loans	\$ 483,506	\$ 477,015

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2009, a concentration of loans existed in loans collateralized by real estate (real estate, real estate construction, land development and other land loans, and equity lines of credit) comprising 62.2% of total loans. This level of concentration is consistent with 63.3% at December 31, 2008. Although management believes the loans within this concentration have no more than the normal risk of collectibility, a substantial further decline in the performance of the economy in general or a further decline in real estate values in the our primary market areas, in particular, could have an adverse impact on collectibility, increase the level of real estate-related non-performing loans, or have other adverse effects which alone or in the aggregate could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. The Company is not involved in any sub-prime mortgage lending activities at June 30, 2009 or December 31, 2008.

We believe that our commercial real estate loan underwriting policies and practices result in prudent extensions of credit, but recognize that our lending activities result in relatively high reported commercial real estate lending levels. Commercial real estate loans include certain loans which represent low to moderate risk and certain loans with higher risks.

The Board of Directors reviews and approves concentration limits and exceptions to limitations of concentration are reported to the Board of Directors at least quarterly.

Non-Performing Assets. Non-performing assets consist of non-performing loans, other real estate owned (OREO), and repossessed assets. Non-performing loans are those loans which have (i) been placed on non-accrual status, (ii) been subject to troubled debt restructurings, (iii) been classified as doubtful under our asset classification system, or (iv) become contractually past due 90 days or more with respect to principal or interest and have not been restructured or otherwise placed on non-accrual status. A loan is classified as non-accrual when 1) it is maintained on a cash basis because of deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower, 2) payment in full of principal or interest under the original contractual terms is not expected, or 3) principal or interest has been in default for a period of 90 days or more unless the asset is both well secured and in the process of collection.

At June 30, 2009, we had OREO of \$2,550,000, repossessed assets of \$97,000, and restructured loans of \$6,725,000. At December 31, 2008, we had no OREO or repossessed assets, and we had restructured loans totaling \$1,703,000. At June 30, 2009 we had non-accrual loans, including restructured loans, totaling \$14,524,000 compared to \$15,750,000 at December 31, 2008. At June 30, 2009, we estimated the potential for any losses from these credits would have a minimal impact on the allowance for credit losses. Management can give no assurance that non-accrual and other non-performing loans will not increase in the future.

During the first half of 2009, the Company sold its participation interest in a loan to the Regent Hotel, LLC back to the lead bank, reducing non-accrual loans by \$3,486,000. The Bank was party to a lawsuit filed by Regent Hotel, LLC against First Bank (Lead Bank), as the lead bank in a loan participation, and East West Bank and Service 1st Bank, which was acquired by the Bank on November 13, 2008, which were participating in the loan. In the first half of 2009, the Lead Bank purchased the Bank's participating interest in the Regent Hotel loan at a discount and indemnified the Bank against any further actions pursuant to the lawsuit. Included in the merger consideration paid by the Company to acquire Service 1st was \$3,500,000 placed into an escrow fund to protect the Company and the Bank from all losses and liabilities that related to the loan participation and/or the Regent Litigation. Consequent to the Lead Bank buying the Bank's position, the Bank collected \$1,046,000 from the escrow fund to cover the portion of the loan that was not recovered, accrued and unpaid interest and other costs. In accordance with the escrow agreement, until the litigation is completely satisfied the remaining \$2,454,000 may remain in the escrow fund.

We measure our impaired loans by using the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent and the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate if the loan is not collateral-dependent. At June 30, 2009, we estimated that the potential for any losses from these credits would not have a significant impact on the allowance for credit losses. Based on our valuation and the

government guarantees on these loans, we have no specific reserves for these loans.

A summary of non-accrual, restructured, and past due loans at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is set forth below. Management can give no assurance that non-accrual and other non-performing loans will not increase in the future.

Table of Contents**Composition of Non-accrual, Past Due and Restructured Loans**

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Non-accrual Loans		
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 263	\$ 1,125
Real estate	3,174	5,159
Real estate construction and land development	2,963	7,635
Consumer	1,399	80
Other		48
Restructured loans (non-accruing)		
Commercial and industrial		
Real estate	6,691	1,108
Real estate construction and land development	34	595
Total non-accrual	14,524	15,750
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more		
Total non-performing loans	\$ 14,524	\$ 15,750
Nonperforming loans to total loans	2.95%	3.25%
Ratio of non-performing loans to allowance for credit losses	169.04%	218.05%
Loans considered to be impaired	\$ 14,524	\$ 15,750
Related allowance for credit losses on impaired loans	\$	\$ 125

As of June 30, 2009 the Company has no allowance for credit losses on impaired loans as it has charged off all amounts that are deemed uncollectible.

Classified Assets. From time to time, management has reason to believe that certain borrowers may not be able to repay their loans within the parameters of the present repayment terms, even though, in some cases, the loans are current at the time. These loans are graded in the classified loan grades of substandard, doubtful, or loss and include non-performing loans. Each classified loan is monitored monthly.

Allowance for Credit Losses. We have established a methodology for the determination of provisions for credit losses. The methodology is set forth in a formal policy and takes into consideration the need for an overall allowance for credit losses as well as specific allowances that are tied to individual loans. Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of several key elements, which include the formula allowance and a specific allowance for identified problem loans.

In originating loans, we recognize that losses will be experienced and that the risk of loss will vary with, among other things, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan, general economic conditions and, in the case of a secured loan, the quality of the collateral securing the loan. The allowance is increased by provisions charged against earnings and reduced by net loan charge offs. Loans are charged off when they are deemed to be uncollectible, or partially charged off when portions of a loan are deemed to be uncollectible. Recoveries are generally recorded only when cash payments are received.

The allowance for credit losses is maintained to cover losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The responsibility for the review of our assets and the determination of the adequacy lies with management and our Audit Committee. They delegate the authority to the CCA to determine the loss reserve ratio for each type of asset and reviews, at least quarterly, the adequacy of the allowance based on an evaluation of the portfolio,

past experience, prevailing market conditions, amount of government guarantees, concentration in loan types and other relevant factors.

Table of Contents

The allowance for credit losses is an estimate of the losses that may be sustained in our loan and lease portfolio. The allowance is based on two principles of accounting: (1) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, which requires that losses be accrued when they are probable of occurring and estimable; and (2) SFAS No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan and SFAS No. 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan Income Recognition and Disclosures, which requires that losses be accrued based on the differences between the value of collateral, present value of future cash flows or values that are observable in the secondary market and the loan balance.

Credit Administration adheres to an internal asset review system and loss allowance methodology designed to provide for timely recognition of problem assets and adequate valuation allowances to cover expected asset losses. The Bank's asset monitoring process includes the use of asset classifications to segregate the assets, largely loans and real estate, into various risk categories. The Bank uses the various asset classifications as a means of measuring risk and determining the adequacy of valuation allowances by using a nine-grade system to classify assets. All credit facilities exceeding 90 days of delinquency require classification.

The following table sets forth information regarding our allowance for credit losses at the dates and for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 7,223	\$ 3,887
Provision charged to operations	4,417	1,290
Losses charged to allowance	(3,334)	(851)
Recoveries	286	111
Allowance from acquisition of Service 1st		2,786
Balance, end of period	\$ 8,592	\$ 7,223
Ratio of non-performing loans to allowance for credit losses	169.04%	218.05%
Allowance for credit losses to total loans	1.75%	1.49%

As of June 30, 2009 the balance in the allowance for credit losses was \$8,592,000 compared to \$7,223,000 as of December 31, 2008. The increase was due to net charge offs during the first half of 2009 being less than the amount of the provision for credit losses. Net charge offs totaled \$3,048,000 while the provision for credit losses was \$4,417,000. The balance of commitments to extend credit on undisbursed construction and other loans and letters of credit was \$132,594,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$158,896,000 as of December 31, 2008. Risks and uncertainties exist in all lending transactions, and even though there have historically been no charge offs on construction and other loans that have not been fully disbursed, our management and Directors' Loan Committee have established reserve levels based on historical losses as well as economic uncertainties and other risks that exist as of each reporting period.

As of June 30, 2009 the allowance for credit losses was 1.75% of total gross loans compared to 1.49% as of December 31, 2008. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, there were no major changes in loan concentrations that significantly affected the allowance for credit losses. There have been no significant changes in estimation methods during the periods presented. Assumptions regarding the collateral value of various under performing loans may affect the level and allocation of the allowance for credit losses in future periods. The allowance may also be affected by trends in the amount of charge offs experienced or expected trends within different loan portfolios. Non-performing loans totaled \$14,524,000 as of June 30, 2009, and \$15,750,000 as of December 31, 2008. Management believes the allowance at June 30, 2009 is adequate based upon its ongoing analysis of the loan portfolio, historical loss trends and other factors. However, no assurance can be given that the Company may not sustain charge-offs which are in excess of the allowance in any given period.

Deposits and Borrowings

Total deposits decreased \$13,339,000 or 2.1% to \$621,719,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$635,058,000 as of December 31, 2008. Interest bearing deposits increased \$5,351,000 or 1.1% to \$478,303,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$472,952,000 as of December 31, 2008. Non-interest bearing deposits decreased \$18,690,000 or 11.5% to \$143,416,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$162,106,000 as of December 31, 2008. Interest bearing deposits include \$5,000,000 in callable brokered CDs, the proceeds from which were used to purchase investment securities.

The composition of the deposits and average interest rates paid at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is summarized in the table below.

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2009	% of Total Deposits	Effective Rate	December 31, 2008	% of Total Deposits	Effective Rate
NOW accounts	\$ 107,649	17.3%	0.79%	\$ 111,494	17.6%	0.47%
MMA accounts	129,627	20.8%	1.02%	128,239	20.2%	1.87%
Time deposits	218,645	35.2%	2.04%	211,987	33.4%	3.09%
Savings deposits	22,382	3.6%	0.24%	21,232	3.3%	0.35%
Total interest-bearing	478,303	76.9%	1.39%	472,952	74.5%	2.01%
Non-interest bearing	143,416	23.1%		162,106	25.5%	
Total deposits	\$ 621,719	100.0%		\$ 635,058	100.0%	

Table of Contents

Short-term borrowings totaled \$15,000,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$6,368,000 as of December 31, 2008. Short-term borrowings at June 30, 2009, represent FHLB advances with weighted average interest rates of 1.30% and coming due within the third quarter of 2009 and first quarter of 2010. We maintain a line of credit with the FHLB collateralized by government securities. Refer to Liquidity below for further discussion of FHLB advances.

Long-term borrowings of \$14,000,000 at June 30, 2009 represent FHLB advances with weighted average interest of 3.20% and weighted average maturity of 2.3 years. Long-term borrowings at December 31, 2008 were \$19,000,000.

The Bank is eligible to issue certain debt that is backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, up to a limit of \$9,424,000, under the FDIC's Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program. Any senior unsecured debt with a stated maturity of more than thirty days issued by the Bank up to its debt guarantee limit falls under this program. The Bank will be charged an annualized assessment from the FDIC, ranging from 50 to 100 basis points, based on the term and amount of the debt outstanding under the program. At June 30, 2009, the Company had no borrowings under this debt guarantee program. The Bank is participating in the FDIC Transaction Account Guarantee Program. Under this program, all noninterest-bearing transaction accounts are fully guaranteed by the FDIC for the entire amount in the account and the Bank is assessed an annual fee of 10 basis points for all deposit amounts exceeding the existing deposit insurance limit of \$250,000.

The Company succeeded to all of the rights and obligations of Service 1st Capital Trust I, a Delaware business trust, in connection with the merger with Service 1st as of November 12, 2008. The Trust was formed on August 17, 2006 for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Service 1st. Under applicable regulatory guidance, the amount of trust preferred securities that is eligible as Tier 1 capital is limited to 25% of the Company's Tier 1 capital on a pro forma basis. At June 30, 2009, all of the trust preferred securities that have been issued qualify as Tier 1 capital. The trust preferred securities mature on October 7, 2036, are redeemable at the Company's option beginning after five years, and require quarterly distributions by the Trust to the holder of the trust preferred securities at a variable interest rate which will adjust quarterly to equal the three month LIBOR plus 1.60%.

The Trust used the proceeds from the sale of the trust preferred securities to purchase approximately \$5,155,000 in aggregate principal amount of Service 1st's junior subordinated notes (the Notes). The Notes bear interest at the same variable interest rate during the same quarterly periods as the trust preferred securities. The Notes are redeemable by the Company on any January 7, April 7, July 7, or October 7 on or after October 7, 2011 or at any time within 90 days following the occurrence of certain events, such as: (i) a change in the regulatory capital treatment of the Notes (ii) in the event the Trust is deemed an investment company or (iii) upon the occurrence of certain adverse tax events. In each such case, the Company may redeem the Notes for their aggregate principal amount, plus any accrued but unpaid interest.

The Notes may be declared immediately due and payable at the election of the trustee or holders of 25% of the aggregate principal amount of outstanding Notes in the event that the Company defaults in the payment of any interest following the nonpayment of any such interest for 20 or more consecutive quarterly periods. Holders of the trust preferred securities are entitled to a cumulative cash distribution on the liquidation amount of \$1,000 per security. For each January 7, April 7, July 7 or October 7 of each year, the rate will be adjusted to equal the three month LIBOR plus 1.60%. As of June 30, 2009, the rate was 2.73%. Interest expense recognized by the Company for the period ended June 30, 2009 was \$77,000.

Capital

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Our stockholders' equity was \$80,753,000 as of June 30, 2009 compared to \$75,375,000 as of December 31, 2008. The increase in stockholders' equity is a result of \$7,000,000 in preferred stock and common stock warrants issued to the Treasury under the Capital Purchase Program, net income of \$1,723,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, and the effect of stock-based compensation expense of \$149,000, offset by an increase in unrealized losses on the available-for-sale investment securities of \$3,453,000, and preferred stock dividends and accretion of \$184,000.

We participated in the Treasury Capital Purchase Program under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act. The Company issued preferred stock and warrants to issue common stock and received \$7,000,000 in cash under this program. The Company agreed to restrict dividend payments on common stock to no more than historic levels while our preferred stock is owned by the Treasury. See Note 11 to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements in this report for a more detailed discussion.

Management considers capital requirements as part of its strategic planning process. The strategic plan calls for continuing increases in assets and liabilities, and the capital required may therefore be in excess of retained earnings. The ability to obtain capital is dependent upon the capital markets as well as our performance. Management regularly evaluates sources of capital and the timing required to meet its strategic objectives.

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Company's and the Bank's capital ratios as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<u>Tier 1 Leverage Ratio</u>				
Central Valley Community Bancorp and Subsidiary	\$ 63,416	8.82%	\$ 54,519	8.67%
Minimum regulatory requirement	\$ 28,751	4.00%	\$ 25,148	4.00%
Central Valley Community Bank	\$ 60,402	8.43%	\$ 51,296	8.18%
Minimum requirement for Well-Capitalized institution	\$ 35,815	5.00%	\$ 31,360	5.00%
Minimum regulatory requirement	\$ 28,652	4.00%	\$ 25,088	4.00%
<u>Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio</u>				
Central Valley Community Bancorp and Subsidiary	\$ 63,416	10.54%	\$ 54,519	9.33%
Minimum regulatory requirement	\$ 24,071	4.00%	\$ 23,374	4.00%
Central Valley Community Bank	\$ 60,402	10.08%	\$ 51,296	8.81%
Minimum requirement for Well-Capitalized institution	\$ 35,971	6.00%	\$ 34,934	6.00%
Minimum regulatory requirement	\$ 23,981	4.00%	\$ 23,289	4.00%
<u>Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio</u>				
Central Valley Community Bancorp and Subsidiary	\$ 70,952	11.79%	\$ 61,742	10.57%
Minimum regulatory requirement	\$ 48,141	8.00%	\$ 46,748	8.00%
Central Valley Community Bank	\$ 67,910	11.33%	\$ 58,519	10.05%
Minimum requirement for Well-Capitalized institution	\$ 59,951	10.00%	\$ 58,223	10.00%
Minimum regulatory requirement	\$ 47,961	8.00%	\$ 46,579	8.00%

Liquidity

Liquidity management involves our ability to meet cash flow requirements arising from fluctuations in deposit levels and demands of daily operations, which include funding of securities purchases, providing for customers' credit needs and ongoing repayment of borrowings. Our liquidity is actively managed on a daily basis and reviewed periodically by our management and Directors' Asset/Liability Committees. This process is intended to ensure the maintenance of sufficient funds to meet our needs, including adequate cash flow for off-balance sheet commitments.

Our primary sources of liquidity are derived from financing activities which include the acceptance of customer and, to a lesser extent, broker deposits, Federal funds facilities with correspondent banks, and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco. These funding sources are augmented by payments of principal and interest on loans, the routine maturities and pay downs of securities from the securities portfolio, the stability of our core deposits and the ability to sell investment securities. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had unpledged securities totaling \$80,785,000 available as a secondary source of liquidity. Primary uses of funds include withdrawal of and interest payments on deposits, originations and purchases of loans, purchases of investment securities, and payment of operating expenses.

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

As a means of augmenting our liquidity, we have established federal funds lines with correspondent banks. At June 30, 2009 our available borrowing capacity includes approximately \$39,000,000 in Federal funds lines with our correspondent banks and \$85,709,000 in unused FHLB advances. We believe our liquidity sources to be stable and adequate. At June 30, 2009, we were not aware of any information that was reasonably likely to have a material effect on our liquidity position.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the Company's credit lines, balances outstanding, and pledged collateral at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

Credit Lines (In thousands)	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Unsecured Credit Lines		
(interest rate varies with market):		
Credit limit	\$ 39,000	\$ 39,000
Balance outstanding	\$	\$ 6,368
Federal Home Loan Bank		
(interest rate at prevailing interest rate):		
Credit limit	\$ 114,709	\$ 38,207
Balance outstanding	\$ 29,000	\$ 19,000
Collateral pledged	\$ 136,825	\$ 54,350
Fair value of collateral	\$ 142,346	\$ 52,783
Federal Reserve Bank		
(interest rate at prevailing discount interest rate):		
Credit limit	\$ 1,657	\$ 1,878
Balance outstanding	\$	\$
Collateral pledged	\$ 1,657	\$ 1,885
Fair value of collateral	\$ 1,688	\$ 1,929

The liquidity of the parent company, Central Valley Community Bancorp is primarily dependent on the payment of cash dividends by its subsidiary, Central Valley Community Bank, subject to limitations imposed by the regulations.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ITEMS

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance risk. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Such financial instruments are recorded in the financial statements when they are funded or related fees are incurred or received. For a fuller discussion of these financial instruments, refer to Note 4 Commitments and Contingencies of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements included herein and Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies in the Company's 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is party to various operating leases. For a fuller discussion of these financial instruments, refer to Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies in the Company's 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest rate risk (IRR) and credit risk constitute the two greatest sources of financial exposure for insured financial institutions. IRR represents the impact that changes in absolute and relative levels of market interest rates may have upon our net interest income (NII). Changes in the NII are the result of changes in the net interest spread between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (timing risk), the relationship between various rates (basis risk), and changes in the shape of the yield curve.

We realize income principally from the differential or spread between the interest earned on loans, investments, other interest-earning assets and the interest incurred on deposits and borrowings. The volumes and yields on loans, deposits and borrowings are affected by market interest rates. As of June 30, 2009, approximately 82.3% of our loan portfolio was tied to adjustable rate indices. The majority of these adjustable rate loans are tied to prime and reprice within 90 days. The majority of our time deposits have a fixed rate of interest. As of June 30, 2009, 92.4% of our time deposits mature within one year or less.

Changes in the market level of interest rates directly and immediately affect our interest spread, and therefore profitability. Sharp and significant changes to market rates can cause the interest spread to shrink or expand significantly in the near term, principally because of the timing differences between the adjustable rate loans and the maturities (and therefore repricing) of the deposits and borrowings.

Our management and Board of Director s Asset/Liability Committees (ALCO) are responsible for managing our assets and liabilities in a manner that balances profitability, IRR and various other risks including liquidity. The ALCO operates under policies and within risk limits prescribed by, reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

The ALCO seeks to stabilize our NII by matching rate-sensitive assets and liabilities through maintaining the maturity and repricing of these assets and liabilities at appropriate levels given the interest rate environment. When the amount of rate-sensitive liabilities exceeds rate-sensitive assets within specified time periods, NII generally will be negatively impacted by an increasing interest rate environment and positively impacted by a decreasing interest rate environment. Conversely, when the amount of rate-sensitive assets exceeds the amount of rate-sensitive liabilities within specified time periods, net interest income will generally be positively impacted by an increasing interest rate environment and negatively impacted by a decreasing interest rate environment. The speed and velocity of the repricing of assets and liabilities will also contribute to the effects on our NII, as will the presence or absence of periodic and lifetime interest rate caps and floors.

Simulation of earnings is the primary tool used to measure the sensitivity of earnings to interest rate changes. Earnings simulations are produced using a software model that is based on actual cash flows and repricing characteristics for all of our financial instruments and incorporate market-based assumptions regarding the impact of changing interest rates on current volumes of applicable financial instruments.

Interest rate simulations provide us with an estimate of both the dollar amount and percentage change in NII under various rate scenarios. All assets and liabilities are normally subjected to up to 300 basis point increases and decreases in interest rates in 100 basis point increments. Under each interest rate scenario, we project our net interest income. From these results, we can then develop alternatives in dealing with the tolerance thresholds.

Approximately 82.3% of our loan portfolio is tied to adjustable rate indices and 26.0% of our loan portfolio reprices within 90 days. As of June 30, 2009, we had 386 loans totaling \$182,706,000 with floors ranging from 4% to 8.5% and ceilings ranging from 7% to 25%. In the current rate environment, the number of loans affected by floors and ceilings is minimal.

The following table shows the effects of changes in projected net interest income for the twelve months ending June 30, 2010 under the interest rate shock scenarios stated. The table was prepared as of June 30, 2009, at which time prime interest rate was 3.25%. The amounts identified in the table are not materially different from what we showed at December 31, 2008.

Sensitivity Analysis of Impact on Interest Income of Rate Changes

Hypothetical Change in Rates	Projected Net Interest Income (\$000)	\$ Change from Rates at June 30, 2009 (\$000)	Percent Change from Rates at June 30, 2009
UP 300 bps	\$ 39,506	3,864	10.84%
UP 200 bps	38,147	2,505	7.03%
UP 100 bps	36,799	1,157	3.25%
UNCHANGED	35,642		
DOWN 25 bps	35,480	(162)	(0.45)%

Assumptions are inherently uncertain, and, consequently, the model cannot precisely measure net interest income or precisely predict the impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes, as well as changes in market conditions and management strategies which might moderate the negative consequences of interest rate deviations. In the model above, the simulation shows that the Company is neutral over the one-year horizon. If interest rates increase or decline, there will be similar positive and negative impact to net interest income.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures with respect to the information generated for use in this Quarterly Report. The evaluation was based in part upon reports provided by a number of executives. Based upon, and as of the date of that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures, as so amended, were effective to provide reasonable assurances that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There was no change in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

In designing and evaluating disclosure controls and procedures, the Company's management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances of achieving the desired control objectives and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None to report.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

ITEM 2 CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None to report.

ITEM 3 DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None to report.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

a. The Company's 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held May 20, 2009.

b. At the 2009 annual meeting the shareholders took the following actions:

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

- Elected Directors of the Company to serve until the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until their successors are elected and qualified.

- In the election for directors, no candidates were nominated for election as a director other than the nominees of the Board of Directors whose names were set forth in the Company's proxy statement dated April 8, 2009. Set forth below is a tabulation of the votes cast in the election of Directors with respect to each nominee for office:

Director	Votes Cast for Election	Withheld
Sidney B. Cox	5,860,319	29,773
Daniel N. Cunningham	5,858,671	31,421
Edwin S. Darden, Jr.	5,806,709	83,383
Daniel J. Doyle	5,862,916	27,176
Steven D. McDonald	5,858,059	32,033
Louis McMurray	5,874,282	15,810
William S. Smittcamp	5,863,070	27,022
Joseph B. Weirick	5,857,439	32,653

- The ratification of the appointment of Perry-Smith LLP for the 2009 fiscal year as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The appointment was ratified by the following votes:

Votes for: 5,846,785

Votes against: 14,910

Abstentions: 28,397

- The adoption of a non-binding advisory resolution approving executive compensation. The resolution was ratified by the following votes:

Votes for: 5,364,194

Votes against: 282,137

Abstentions: 243,761

Table of Contents

ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION

None to report.

ITEM 6 EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Central Valley Community Bancorp

Date: July 31, 2009

/s/ Daniel J. Doyle
Daniel J. Doyle
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: July 31, 2009

/s/ David A. Kinross
David A. Kinross
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002