MAGNETEK INC Form 10-Q May 12, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

ý

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended: April 2, 2006

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

Commission file number 1-10233

MAGNETEK, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

95-3917584

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

8966 Mason Ave.

Chatsworth, California 91311

(Address of principal executive offices)

(818) 727	7-2216
-----------	--------

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer O

Accelerated filer ý

Non-accelerated filer O

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No ý

The number of shares outstanding of Registrant s Common Stock, as of April 28, 2006, was 29,111,133 shares.

2006 MAGNETEK, INC. FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR THE QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q $\label{eq:contents}$ FOR THE FISCAL QUARTER ENDED APRIL 2, 2006

MAGNETEK, INC.

Part I. Financial Information

Part I.

Item 3. Item 4. Part II.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Controls and Procedures Other Information
Item 1. Item 2.	<u>Financial Statements</u> <u>Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>

Item 1.Legal ProceedingsItem 1A.Risk Factors

<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>

Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>

<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>

Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits

Signatures

Item 5.

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 Financial Statements

MAGNETEK, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED

April 2, 2006 and April 3, 2005

(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	Three Month			ths Ended			
		(13 weeks) April 2, 2006		(13 weeks) April 3, 2005 (restated)			
Net sales	\$	57,212	\$	57,038			
Cost of sales		44,833		42,874			
Gross profit		12,379		14,164			
Research and development		4,214		3,991			
Selling, general and administrative		10,717		11,053			
Loss from operations		(2,552)		(880)			
Interest expense, net		863		418			
Other expense		175		68			
Loss from continuing operations before provision for income taxes		(3,590)		(1,366)			
Provision for income taxes		850		550			
Net loss from continuing operations		(4,440)		(1,916)			
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		10		(23,085)			
Net loss	\$	(4,430)	\$	(25,001)			
Earnings (loss) per common share							
Basic and diluted:							
Net loss from continuing operations	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.07)			
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	·	0.00		(0.81)			
Net loss	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.88)			
Weighted shares outstanding:							
Basic		28,911		28,544			
Diluted		29,460		28,544			

See accompanying notes

MAGNETEK, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED

April 2, 2006 and April 3, 2005

(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended			ed
	,	89 Weeks) April 2, 2006		(40 Weeks) April 3, 2005 (restated)
Net sales	\$	173,056	\$	185,245
Cost of sales		130,882		139,358
Gross profit		42,174		45,887
Research and development		11,690		11,180
Selling, general and administrative		30,935		32,136
Income (loss) from operations		(451)		2,571
Interest expense, net		2,065		999
Other (income) expense		700		(1,115)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before provision for income taxes		(3,216)		2,687
Provision for income taxes		2,550		1,725
Net income (loss) from continuing operations		(5,766)		962
Loss from discontinued operations		(1,443)		(25,261)
Loss from discontinued operations		(1,443)		(23,201)
Net loss	\$	(7,209)	\$	(24,299)
Earnings (loss) per common share				
Basic and diluted:				
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(0.20)	\$	0.04
Loss from discontinued operations		(0.05)		(0.89)
Net loss	\$	(0.25)	\$	(0.85)
Weighted shares outstanding:				
Basic		28,905		28,525
Diluted		28,905		29,229

See accompanying notes

MAGNETEK, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

April 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005

(amounts in thousands)

	April 2, 2006 (unaudited)	July 3, 2005
<u>ASSETS</u>	(,	
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 1,426	6,854
Restricted cash - escrow account	22,602	•
Accounts receivable, net	54,918	
Inventories, net	55,335	49,950
Prepaid expenses and other	4,943	5,713
Assets held for sale	6,339	4,727
Total current assets	145,563	121,266
Property, plant and equipment	134,735	129,473
Less accumulated depreciation	(104,437	(97,534)
Net property, plant and equipment	30,298	31,939
Goodwill	63,820	63,656
Other assets	11,621	
Total assets	\$ 251,302	\$ 229,180
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 33,598	\$ 36,974
Accrued liabilities	11,017	
Accrued arbitration award	22,602	22,602
Liabilities held for sale	1,570	
Current portion of long-term debt	29,359	5,702
Total current liabilities	98,146	75,021
Long-term debt, net of current portion	19,168	19,528
Pension benefit obligations, net	73,418	70,568
Other long-term obligations	8,196	7,627
Deferred income taxes	11,522	10,376
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity:		
Common stock	287	
Additional paid in capital	129,260	
Retained earnings	32,809	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(121,504	
Total stockholders' equity	40,852	46,060

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity \$ 251,302 \$ 229,180

See accompanying notes

MAGNETEK, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED

April 2, 2006 and April 3, 2005

(amounts in thousands)

(unaudited)

		Nine Mont	ths Ended		
	A	Weeks) pril 2, 2006	A	Weeks) pril 3, 2005 estated)	
Cash flows from continuing operating activities:					
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(5,766)	\$	962	
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) from continuing operations to net cash					
provided by continuing operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization		6,746		7,270	
Tax refund proceeds, net				3,332	
Stock based compensation expense		361			
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		818		(5,782)	
Total adjustments		7,925		4,820	
Net cash provided by continuing operating activities		2,159		5,782	
Cash flows from discontinued operations:					
Loss from discontinued operations		(1,443)		(25,261)	
Adjustments to reconcile loss from discontinued operations to net cash used in		() -)		(- , - ,	
discontinued operations:					
Arbitration award expense				21,977	
Depreciation and amortization				94	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		(1,262)		(550)	
Capital expenditures		, i		(16)	
Net cash used in discontinued operations		(2,705)		(3,756)	
•					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		(546)		2,026	
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Capital expenditures		(4,146)		(6,076)	
Net cash used in investing activities		(4,146)		(6,076)	
Net cash used in investing activities		(4,140)		(0,070)	
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Deposit into escrow account		(22,602)			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		236		366	
Borrowings under line-of-credit agreements		3,361		6,024	
Principal repayments under capital lease obligations		(180)		(417)	
Borrowings under long term notes		20,817			
Repayments of long term notes		(701)		(829)	
Increase in deferred financing costs		(1,667)		(82)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(736)		5,062	
Net increase (decrease) in cash		(5,428)		1,012	

Cash at the beginning of the period	6,854	2,318
Cash at the end of the period	\$ 1,426	\$ 3,330

See accompanying notes

MAGNETEK, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

APRIL 2, 2006

(Amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

(unaudited)

1. <u>Basis of Presentation</u>

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Magnetek, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended July 3, 2005 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In the Company's opinion, these unaudited statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial position of the Company as of April 2, 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the three-month and nine-month periods ended April 2, 2006 and April 3, 2005. Results for the nine-months ended April 2, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be experienced for the full fiscal year.

The Company uses a fifty-two, fifty-three week fiscal year ending on the Sunday nearest to June 30. Fiscal quarters are the thirteen or fourteen week periods ending on the Sunday nearest September 30, December 31, March 31 and June 30. The three-month periods ended April 2, 2006 and April 3, 2005 each contained 13 weeks; the nine-month periods ended April 2, 2006 and April 3, 2005 contained 39 and 40 weeks, respectively.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Restatement - The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been restated for the three- and nine-month periods ended April 3, 2005.

Since fiscal 2002 the Company has provided valuation reserves against its U.S. deferred tax assets that result in a zero net deferred tax position (net deferred assets equal to deferred tax liabilities). In its 2005 fiscal year-end review of its tax accounts, the Company determined that a portion of its deferred tax liability related to tax-deductible amortization of goodwill that is no longer amortized for financial reporting purposes. Under applicable accounting rules, such deferred tax liabilities are considered to have an indefinite life and are therefore ineligible to be considered as a source of future taxable income in assessing the realization of deferred tax assets.

The Company has determined that such deferred tax liabilities existed at July 3, 2005, and therefore increased its valuation allowance for deferred tax assets and the related provision for income taxes for fiscal year 2005 by \$1.6 million. The Company further determined that the increased provision for income taxes should have been recorded on a pro-rata basis throughout fiscal year 2005, and as a result, the Company has restated its quarterly results for fiscal 2005. The impact of this restatement on the three- and nine-month periods ended April 3, 2005 was an increase in the Company s valuation allowance for deferred tax assets and related provision for income taxes of \$0.4 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

The restatement did not have a material impact on the Company s financial position at the end of the reported period and had no impact on the Company s cash flows for the restated periods.

The table below reflects the impact of the restatement on the Company s results of operations for the three- and nine- month periods ended April 3, 2005:

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Three Mon	nded	Nine Months Ended			
For the periods ended April 3, 2005 (Amounts in thousands except per share amounts)	As reviously reported		As restated	As previously reported		As restated
Provision for income taxes	\$ 150	\$	550 \$	525	\$	1,725
Net loss	\$ (24,601)	\$	(25,001) \$	(23,099)	\$	(24,299)
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.86)	\$	(0.88) \$	(0.81)	\$	(0.85)

<u>Use of Estimates</u> - The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant areas requiring management estimates include the following key financial areas:

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable represent receivables from customers in the ordinary course of business. The Company is subject to losses from uncollectible receivables in excess of its allowances. The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses from customers inability to make required payments. In order to estimate the appropriate level of this allowance, the Company analyzes historical bad debts, customer concentrations, current customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment patterns. If the financial conditions of the Company s customers were to deteriorate and to impair their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required in future periods. The Company s management believes that all appropriate allowances have been provided.

Inventories

The Company s inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method, including material, labor and factory overhead. Inventory on hand may exceed future demand either because the product is obsolete, or the amount on hand is more than can be used to meet future needs. The Company identifies potentially obsolete and excess inventory by evaluating overall inventory levels. In assessing the ultimate realization of inventories, the Company is required to make judgments as to future demand requirements and compare those with the current or committed inventory levels. If future demand requirements are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required.

Reserves for Contingencies

The Company periodically records the estimated impacts of various conditions, situations or circumstances involving uncertain outcomes. The accounting for such events is prescribed under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. SFAS No. 5 defines a contingency as an existing condition, situation, or set of circumstances involving uncertainty as to possible gain or loss to an enterprise that will ultimately be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur.

SFAS No. 5 does not permit the accrual of gain contingencies under any circumstances. For loss contingencies, the loss must be accrued if (1) information is available that indicates it is probable that the loss has been incurred, given the likelihood of uncertain events; and (2) that the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

The accrual of a contingency involves considerable judgment on the part of management. The Company uses its internal expertise, and outside experts, as necessary, to help estimate the probability that a loss has been incurred and the amount (or range) of the loss.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the liability method to account for income taxes. The preparation of consolidated financial statements involves estimating the Company's current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in the consolidated balance sheets. An assessment of the recoverability of the deferred tax assets is made, and a valuation allowance is established based upon this assessment.

The Company does not record deferred taxes on domestic pre-tax income or losses, due to (1) the availability of net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards that have been fully reserved through valuation allowances for pre-tax income, and (2) uncertainty surrounding the timing of realizing NOL carryforwards generated in the current period in future periods.

With the exception of approximately \$3.0 million of foreign earnings that the Company repatriated during the nine months ended April 2, 2006 for various financing needs, the Company presently intends to reinvest any earnings overseas indefinitely.

Pension Benefits

The valuation of the Company s pension plan requires the use of assumptions and estimates to develop actuarial valuations of expenses, assets and liabilities. These assumptions include discount rates, investment returns and mortality rates. Changes in assumptions and future investments returns could potentially have a material impact on the Company s pension expense and related funding requirements.

Revenue Recognition The Company s policy is to recognize revenue when the earnings process is complete. The criteria used in making this determination are persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Sales are recorded net of returns and allowances, which are estimated using historical data at the time of sale.

Revenue is recognized upon shipment, except in those few cases where terms of shipment are FOB destination, or where product is shipped to customers with consignment stock agreements, wherein revenue is recognized when the customer receives the product, or removes the product from consignment stock. With the foregoing exceptions, terms of shipment are FOB shipping point, and payment is not contingent upon resale or any other matter other than passage of time. Amounts billed to customers for shipping costs are reflected in net sales; shipping costs are reflected in cost of sales.

Sales to distributors are recorded with appropriate reserves for future returns in accordance with SFAS No. 48, *Revenue Recognition When Right of Return Exists*, and generally do not include future installation obligations or acceptance requirements.

Stock-Based Compensation On December 16, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. SFAS No. 123(R) supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and amends SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. Generally, the approach in SFAS No. 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative.

Prior to fiscal year 2006, as was permitted under SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, the Company accounted for stock-based awards using the intrinsic-value method under APB No. 25. Under APB No. 25, the Company recognized no compensation expense

with respect to such awards, as the exercise prices of stock option grants were always equal to or greater than the market price of the stock at the grant date. Accordingly, no stock-based employee compensation expense for stock options is reflected in determining net loss in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for the three- and nine-month periods ended April 3, 2005.

Effective July 4, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (R), which requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the Company s financial statements based upon their fair values. The Company selected the modified prospective method of adoption in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date. Compensation cost recognized for the three- and nine-month periods ended April 2, 2006 is the same as that which would have been recognized had the fair value method of SFAS No. 123 been applied from its original effective date. In accordance with the modified prospective method of adoption, the Company s results of operations for prior periods have not been restated.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company approved the acceleration of the vesting of all out-of-the-money (underwater) unvested stock options held by the Company s current employees, including executive officers, on June 1, 2005. No stock options held by directors were subject to the acceleration. The decision to accelerate vesting of these underwater options was made primarily to avoid recognizing compensation cost in the consolidated statement of operations upon adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R), as described above. As a result of the acceleration, the

Company reduced the stock option compensation expense it otherwise would be required to record by approximately \$1.9 million in fiscal 2006, \$1.4 million in fiscal 2007 and less than \$0.1 million in fiscal 2008 on a pre-tax basis, resulting in an additional \$3.4 million of pro-forma expense in fiscal 2005. The accelerated vesting was a modification of outstanding awards as defined by SFAS No. 123, which resulted in incremental pro-forma compensation expense of \$0.3 million in fiscal 2005.

The Company did not issue any stock options during the nine-month period ended April 2, 2006. In August 2005, the Company granted 500,000 shares of restricted stock with a fair value of \$2.77 per share. The restricted shares fully vest on January 1, 2009. The total estimated compensation expense of \$1.4 million related to the grant will be recorded ratably from the grant date through the vesting date. Compensation expense related to the restricted stock grant included in the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three- and nine-month periods ended April 2, 2006 is \$103 and \$252 respectively. The remaining portion of stock-based compensation expense recorded in the three- and nine-month periods ended April 2, 2006, of \$36 and \$109 respectively, relates to non-vested director stock option grants.

The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and loss per share as if the fair value method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards in each period:

	Three Months	Enc	ded	Nine Mon	ths E	nded
(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)	April 2, 2006		April 3, 2005 (restated)	April 2, 2006		April 3, 2005 (restated)
Net loss, as reported	\$ (4,430)	\$	(25,001) \$	(7,209)	\$	(24,299)
Add: Stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects	139			361		
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(139)		(1,137)	(361)		(3,521)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (4,430)	\$	(26,138) \$	(7,209)	\$	(27,820)
Loss per share as reported: Basic and diluted	\$ (0.15)	\$	(0.88) \$	(0.25)	\$	(0.85)
Pro forma loss per share: Basic and diluted	\$ (0.15)	\$	(0.92) \$	(0.25)	\$	(0.98)

The fair value of the Company's stock-based awards to employees was estimated using the Black-Scholes model, assuming no dividends, using the following historical assumptions:

Weighted average assumptions used in recent fiscal years:	2006	Options 2005	2004
Expected life (years)	6.1	6.1	6.1
Expected stock price volatility	72.2%	72.2%	65.8%
Risk-free interest rate	4.9%	4.4%	3.5%

As stated above, no options were issued in the first nine months of fiscal 2006.

In fiscal year 2005, a total of 750,000 options were granted with exercise prices equal to the market price of the stock on the grant date. The weighted average exercise price was \$7.48 and the average fair value of the options was \$5.04. In addition, the Company issued 240,000 shares of restricted stock in fiscal 2005.

In fiscal year 2004, a total of 1,836,000 options were granted with exercise prices equal to the market price of the stock on the grant date. The weighted average exercise price was \$4.58 and the average fair value of the options was \$2.83. The Company did not issue any shares of restricted stock in fiscal 2004.

<u>Property, Plant and Equipment</u> Additions and improvement are capitalized at cost, whereas expenditures for maintenance and repair are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets principally on the straight-line method (machinery and equipment normally five to ten years, buildings and improvements normally ten to forty years).

Goodwill In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, the Company reviews the carrying value of goodwill at least annually, and more frequently if indicators of potential impairment arise, using discounted future cash flow analyses as prescribed in SFAS No. 142.

<u>Deferred Financing Costs</u> Costs incurred to obtain financing are deferred and included in other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Deferred financing costs are amortized over the term of the financing facility.

<u>Warranties</u> The Company offers warranties for certain products that it manufactures, with warranty terms generally ranging from one to two years. Warranty reserves are established for costs expected to be incurred after the sale and delivery of products under warranty, based mainly on known product failures and historical experience. Actual repair costs incurred for products under warranty are charged against the established reserve balance as incurred.

Earnings per Share In accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share, basic earnings per share are computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share incorporate the incremental shares issuable upon the assumed exercise of stock options as if all exercises had occurred at the beginning of the fiscal period.

Derivative Financial Instruments The Company periodically uses derivative financial instruments to reduce financial market risks. These instruments are used to hedge foreign currency and interest rate market exposures. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. The accounting policies for these instruments are based on the Company s designation of such instruments as hedging transactions. The criteria the Company uses for designating an instrument as a hedge include the instrument s effectiveness in risk reduction and the matching of the derivative to the underlying transaction. The resulting gains or losses are accounted for as part of the transactions being hedged, except that losses not expected to be recovered upon the completion of the hedge transaction are expensed. The Company had no derivative financial instruments at April 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005.

<u>Foreign Currency Translation</u> - The Company s foreign entities accounts are measured using local currency as the functional currency. Assets and liabilities are translated at the exchange rate in effect at the fiscal period-end. Revenues and expenses are translated at the rates of exchange prevailing during the reported fiscal period. Unrealized translation gains and losses arising from differences in exchange rates from period to period are included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss in stockholders equity.

<u>Reclassifications</u> Certain prior year balances were reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, which changes the accounting for and the reporting of voluntary changes in accounting principles. SFAS No. 154 requires changes in accounting principles to be applied retrospectively to prior period financial statements, where practicable, unless specific transition provisions permit alternative transition methods. SFAS No. 154 will be effective in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company s adoption of SFAS No. 154 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Costs*, which amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, *Inventory Pricing*. This amendment clarifies the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and wasted material (spoilage). This Statement requires that those items be recognized as current-period charges, regardless of whether they meet the criteria specified in ARB 43 of so abnormal . In addition, this Statement requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS No. 151 is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company adopted SFAS No. 151 during the three month period ended October 2, 2005, and the adoption did not have a material impact on the results of operations or the financial position of the Company.

3. <u>Discontinued Operations</u>

In the third quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company committed to a plan to divest its telecom power business and began marketing the business. Management determined that the assets and liabilities to be sold constituted a disposal group under SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment of Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, and that all of the assets held for sale criteria outlined in SFAS No. 144 were met. Accordingly, the operating results of this business are classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations and its assets and liabilities are classified as held for sale in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets for all periods presented. The estimated fair value of the disposal group at April 2, 2006 is \$4.8 million.

The Company also recorded other expenses related to divested businesses as discontinued operations, primarily legal fees related to a patent infringement claim and a related indemnification agreement provided by the Company upon the sale of its lighting business in prior years. These expenses are reported as other discontinued operations expense in the table below.

The results of discontinued operations are as follows:

	Three Month	s En	ded	Nine Mon	ths En	ıded
	April 2, 2006		April 3, 2005	April 2, 2006		April 3, 2005
Net Sales	\$ 6,921	\$	1,896 \$	14,713	\$	6,893
Income (loss) from telecom business, net						
of tax	\$ 364	\$	(435) \$	230	\$	(1,029)
Other discontinued operations expense	(354)		(22,650)	(1,673)		(24,232)
Income (loss) from discontinued						
operations	\$ 10	\$	(23,085) \$	(1,443)	\$	(25,261)

The Company did not allocate any interest expense to discontinued operations, and no tax benefit was recorded related to discontinued operations for any of the periods presented.

4. Inventories

Inventories at April 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005 consist of the following:

	April : 2006	*	July 3, 2005
Raw materials and stock parts	\$	31,097 \$	30,257
Work-in-process		11,907	9,452
Finished goods		12,331	10,241
	\$	55,335 \$	49,950

5. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation Product Liability

The Company has settled or otherwise resolved all of the product liability lawsuits associated with its discontinued business operations and believes that any new claims associated with discontinued operations would either qualify as an assumed liability, as defined in the various purchase agreements related to the sale of those businesses, or would be barred by an applicable statute of limitations. The Company was a named party in two product liability lawsuits related to the Telemotive Industrial Controls business acquired in December 2002 through the purchase of the stock of MXT Holdings, Inc. The Company tendered both claims to the insurance companies that provided coverage for MXT Holdings, Inc. against such losses and the tenders were accepted by the carriers, subject to a reservation of rights. One of the cases has settled, with the insurer bearing all liability for the claim, and management believes that the insurer will bear all liability, if any, with respect to the remaining case and that the proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

The Company has been named, along with multiple other defendants, in asbestos-related lawsuits associated with business operations previously acquired by the Company, but which are no longer owned. During the Company s ownership, none of the businesses produced or sold asbestos-containing products. With respect to these claims, the Company is either contractually indemnified against liability for asbestos-related claims or believes that it has no liability for such claims. The Company aggressively seeks dismissal from these proceedings, and has also tendered the defense of these cases to the insurers of the previously acquired businesses and is awaiting their response. The Company has also filed a late claim in the amount of \$2.5 million in the Federal-Mogul bankruptcy proceedings to recover attorney s fee paid for the defense of these claims, which the Company believes is an obligation of Federal Mogul although the claim is subject to challenge. Management does not believe the asbestos proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Litigation Patent Infringement

In April 1998, Mr. Ole K. Nilssen (Nilssen) filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois alleging infringement by the Company of seven of his patents pertaining to electronic ballast technology, and seeking unspecified damages and injunctive relief to preclude the Company from making, using or selling products allegedly infringing his patents. In April 2003, Nilssen s lawsuit against the Company and the Company s counterclaims against Nilssen were dismissed with prejudice and both parties agreed to submit limited issues in dispute to binding arbitration before an arbitrator with a relevant technical background. The arbitration occurred in November, 2004 and a decision awarding Nilssen \$23.4 million was issued on May 3, 2005. Nilssen s motion to enter the award as a judgment in U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois and Magnetek s counter-motion to vacate the award on grounds that it was fraudulently obtained are pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. Magnetek s request for oral argument was granted and the hearing was held on October 19, 2005. The judge is expected to render his decision by mail after further consideration. An unfavorable decision by the Court could result in payment of the award by the Company to Nilssen in the amount of \$22.6 million (the arbitration award of \$23.4 million net of previously paid amounts of \$0.8 million), which would have a material adverse effect on the Company s cash flows during the period such payment would be made. In November 2005, the Company deposited \$22.6 million into an escrow account to ensure that it is able to satisfy the Nilssen arbitration award in the event that its appeal of the award is not successful.

In June 2001, the Company sold its lighting business to Universal Lighting Technologies, Inc. (ULT), and agreed to provide a limited indemnification against certain claims of infringement that Nilssen might allege against ULT. In February 2003, Nilssen filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois alleging infringement by ULT of 29 of his patents pertaining to electronic ballast technology, and seeking unspecified damages and injunctive relief to preclude ULT from making, using or selling products allegedly infringing his patents. ULT made a claim for indemnification, which the Company accepted, subject to the limitations set forth in the sale agreement. A response was filed denying that the products infringe any valid patent and asserting affirmative defenses, as well as a counterclaim for a judicial declaration that the patents are unenforceable and invalid. The case was removed to the District Court for the Central District of Tennessee and Nilssen voluntarily dismissed claims related to all but four of the patents. The Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) has accepted ULT s request for reexamination of the four remaining patents. A motion for summary judgment that various claims of the patents at issue are invalid and that the accused ULT products do not infringe a claim of one of the patents was filed on November 1, 2005 but no hearing had been set as of January 5, 2006 when the Court granted ULT s motion to stay proceedings in the lawsuit pending the PTO reexamination. The Company will continue to aggressively defend the claims against ULT however, a decision in the lawsuit that is ultimately unfavorable to ULT could have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, cash flows and results of operations as a result of its indemnification obligations.

Environmental

From time to time, Magnetek has taken action to bring certain facilities associated with previously owned businesses into compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. Upon the subsequent sale of those businesses, the Company agreed to indemnify the buyers against environmental claims associated with the divested operations, subject to certain conditions and limitations. Remediation activities, including those related to the Company s indemnification obligations, did not involve material expenditures during the third quarter of fiscal year

2006 and are not expected to result in material expenditures for the remainder of fiscal 2006.

Magnetek has also been identified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency and certain state agencies as a potentially responsible party for cleanup costs associated with alleged past waste disposal practices at several previously owned facilities and offsite locations. Its remediation activities as a potentially responsible party were not material during the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 and are not expected to be material during the remainder of fiscal 2006. Although the materiality of future expenditures for environmental activities may be affected by the level and type of contamination, the extent and nature of cleanup activities required by governmental authorities, the nature of the Company s alleged connection to the contaminated sites, the number and financial resources of other potentially responsible parties, the availability of indemnification rights against third parties and the identification of additional contaminated sites, the Company s estimated share of liability, if any, for environmental remediation, including its indemnification obligations, is not expected to be material.

Environmental - Century Electric (McMinnville, Tennessee)

Prior to the Company's purchase of Century Electric, Inc. (Century Electric) in 1986, Century Electric acquired a business from Gould Inc. (Gould) in May 1983 that included a leasehold interest in a fractional horsepower electric motor manufacturing facility located in McMinnville, Tennessee. Gould agreed to indemnify Century Electric from and against liabilities and expenses arising out of the handling and cleanup of certain waste materials, including but not limited to cleaning up any polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) at the McMinnville facility (the 1983 Indemnity). The presence of PCBs and other substances, including solvents, in the soil and in the groundwater underlying the facility and in certain offsite soil, sediment and biota samples has been identified. The McMinnville plant is listed as a Tennessee Inactive Hazardous Waste Substance Site and plant employees were notified of the presence of contaminants at the facility. Gould has completed an interim remedial excavation and disposal of onsite soil containing PCBs and a preliminary investigation and cleanup of certain onsite and offsite contamination. The Company believes the cost of further investigation and remediation (including ancillary costs) are covered by the 1983 Indemnity. The Company sold its leasehold interest in the McMinnville plant in August 1999 and while the Company believes that Gould will continue to perform substantially under its indemnity obligations, Gould's substantial failure to perform such obligations could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, cash flows and results of operations.

Environmental - Effect of Fruit of the Loom Bankruptcy (Bridgeport, Connecticut)

In 1986, the Company acquired the stock of Universal Manufacturing Company (Universal) from a predecessor of Fruit of the Loom (FOL), and the predecessor agreed to indemnify the Company against certain environmental liabilities arising from pre-acquisition activities at a facility in Bridgeport, Connecticut. Environmental liabilities covered by the indemnification agreement include completion of additional cleanup activities, if any, at the Bridgeport facility (sold in connection with the sale of the transformer business in June 2001) and defense and indemnification against liability for potential response costs related to offsite disposal locations. FOL, the successor to Universal s indemnification obligation, filed a petition for Reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in 1999 and the Company filed a proof of claim in the proceeding for obligations related to the environmental indemnification agreement. The Company believes that FOL had substantially completed the clean-up obligations required by the indemnification agreement prior to the bankruptcy filing. In November 2001, the Company and FOL entered into an agreement involving the allocation of certain potential tax credits and Magnetek withdrew its claims in the bankruptcy proceeding. FOL s obligation to the state of Connecticut was not discharged in the reorganization proceeding. FOL s inability to satisfy its remaining obligations related to the Bridgeport facility and any offsite disposal locations, or the discovery of additional environmental contamination at the Bridgeport facility could have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

6. <u>Comprehensive Loss</u>

For the three- and nine-month periods ended April 2, 2006 and April 3, 2005, comprehensive loss consisted of the following:

	Three Mont	nded	Nine Mon	Ended			
	April 2, 2006		April 3, 2005 (restated)	April 2, 2006		April 3, 2005 (restated)	
Net loss	\$ (4,430)	\$	(25,001) \$	(7,209)	\$	(24,299)	
Currency translation adjustment	1,247		(2,874)	1,404		4,817	
Comprehensive loss	\$ (3,183)	\$	(27,875) \$	(5,805)	\$	(19,482)	

7. <u>Earnings (Loss) Per Share</u>

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the three- and nine- month periods ended April 2, 2006 and April 3, 2005.

	Three Months I	d	Nine Months Ended			
	April 2, 2006		April 3, 2005 (restated)	2006 200		April 3, 2005 (restated)
Numerator:						
Net income (loss) from continuing						
operations	\$ (4,440)	\$	(1,916) \$	(5,766)	\$	962
Income (loss) from discontinued						
operations	10		(23,085)	(1,443)		(25,261)
Net loss	\$ (4,430)	\$	(25,001) \$	(7,209)	\$	(24,299)
<u>Denominator:</u>						
Weighted average shares for basic						
earnings per share	28,911		28,544	28,905		28,525
Add dilutive effective of stock options						
outstanding	549					704
Weighted average shares for diluted						
earnings per share	29,460		28,544	28,905		29,229
Basic & Diluted:						
Net income (loss) per share from						
continuing operations	\$ (0.15)	\$	(0.07) \$	(0.20)	\$	0.04
Income (loss) per share from discontinued						
operations	\$ 0.00	\$	(0.81) \$	(0.05)	\$	(0.89)
Net loss per share	\$ (0.15)	\$	(0.88) \$	(0.25)	\$	(0.85)