

PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST
Form SC 13D/A
June 16, 2011

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549 SCHEDULE 13D/A

(Amendment No. 11)

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust (PMO)

(Name of Issuer) Common Stock

(Title of Class of Securities) 746922103

(CUSIP Number) George W. Karpus, President
Karpus Management, Inc.,
d/b/a Karpus Investment Management
183 Sully's Trail
Pittsford, New York 14534
(585) 586-4680

**(Name, Address and Telephone Number of Person
Authorized to Receive Notices and Communications)** June 15, 2011

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form SC 13D/A

(Date of Event which Requires Filing of this Statement) If the filing person has previously filed a statement on Schedule 13G to report the acquisition that is the subject of this Schedule 13D, and is filing this schedule because of 240.13d-1(e), 240.13d-1(f) or 240.13d-1(g), check the following box. 127

CUSIP No. 58 746922103

1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only). Karpus Management, Inc., d/b/a Karpus Investment Management I.D. #16-1290558

2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)

- (a) 127
(b) 32 32X
-

3. SEC Use Only

4. Source of Funds (See Instructions) AF

5. Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) N/A

6. Citizenship or Place of Organization New York

Number of Shares Beneficially Owned by Each reporting Person With:

7. Sole Voting Power 6,353,078 **8. Shared Voting Power** N/A **9. Sole Dispositive Power** 6,353,078 **10. Shared Dispositive Power** N/A

11. Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person 6,353,078

12. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) N/A

13. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (11) 14.82%

14. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions) IA

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form SC 13D/A

The following constitutes Amendment No. 11 ("Amendment No. 11") to the Schedule 13D filed by the undersigned. This Amendment amends the original Schedule 13D as specifically set forth.

Item 3. Source and Amount of Funds or Other Considerations. KIM, an independent investment advisor, has accumulated 6,353,078 shares of Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust on behalf of accounts that are managed by KIM (the “Accounts”) under limited powers of attorney, which represents 14.82% of the outstanding shares. All funds that have been utilized in making such purchases are from such Accounts. **Item 4. Purpose of Transaction.** KIM has purchased Shares for the Accounts for investment purposes. However, KIM reserves the right to contact management with regard to concerns that they have with respect to the Fund. This may include letters to the Board and/or other communications with Fund management. Being an independent registered investment advisor, with a specialty focus in closed end funds, the profile of Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust fits the investment guidelines for various Accounts. Shares have been acquired since April 21, 2005. **Item 5. Interest in Securities of the Issuer.** (a) As of the date of this Report, KIM represents beneficial ownership of 6,353,078 shares or 14.82% of the outstanding shares. George W. Karpus presently owns 204,337 shares. Mr. Karpus purchased shares on May 13, 2005 at \$11.88 (3000 shares), May 18, 2005 at \$11.96 (3000 shares), June 13, 2005 at \$11.90 (4000 shares), August 22, 2005 at \$11.81 (400 shares), August 23, 2005 at \$11.79 (800 shares), August 24, 2005 at \$11.81 (550 shares), August 25, 2005 at \$11.81 (550 shares), August 26, 2005 at \$11.82 (450 shares), August 29, 2005 at \$11.82 (600 shares), August 30, 2005 at \$11.81 (700 shares), August 31, 2005 at \$11.78 (550 shares), September 1, 2005 at \$11.79 (150 shares), January 17, 2007 at \$11.98 (3000 shares), June 19, 2008 at \$10.78 (110 shares), June 20, 2008 at \$10.62 (70 shares), June 26, 2008 at \$10.74 (3000 shares), December 11, 2008 at \$7.98 (29200 shares), December 12, 2008 at \$7.76 (2100 shares), December 15, 2008 at \$7.82 (10800 shares), December 23, 2008 at \$8.55 (7000 shares), February 10, 2009 at \$9.24 (2200 shares), February 25, 2009 at \$9.01 (5200 shares), February 26, 2009 at \$9.07 (11100 shares), March 10, 2009 at \$9.04 (7635 shares), March 17, 2009 at \$9.09 (3000 shares), March 25, 2009 at \$9.20 (275 shares), June 12, 2009 at \$10.05 (3400 shares), June 15, 2009 at \$10.02 (3800 shares), June 25, 2009 at \$10.05 (2472 shares), July 20, 2009 at \$10.10 (1559 shares), July 21, 2009 at \$10.15 (9314 shares), July 22, 2009 at \$10.11 (12600 shares), August 7, 2009 at \$10.31 (4624 shares), August 10, 2009 at \$10.31 (5100 shares), September 18, 2009 at \$11.30 (1000 shares), September 24, 2009 at \$11.33 (6400 shares), September 25, 2009 at \$11.34 (2300 shares), October 1, 2009 at \$11.43 (274 shares), October 2, 2009 at \$11.44 (3000 shares), October 5, 2009 at \$11.51 (600 shares), October 6, 2009 at \$11.54 (900 shares), October 8, 2009 at \$11.47 (400 shares), October 9, 2009 at \$11.36 (3200 shares), October 12, 2009 at \$11.24 (3500 shares), October 14, 2009 at \$11.20 (1800 shares), October 15, 2009 at \$11.03 (5126 shares), August 3, 2010 at \$11.75 (300 shares), November 11, 2010 at \$11.56 (5900 shares), November 12, 2010 at \$11.52 (800 shares), and on November 15, 2010 at \$11.38 (2700 shares). Mr. Karpus had 38,857.197 shares transferred in on February 26, 2008 and 1,330 shares transferred in on November 19, 2010. Mr. Karpus sold shares on November 30, 2005 at \$11.15 (7000 shares), February 17, 2006 at \$11.85 (50 shares), February 22, 2006 at \$11.92 (100 shares), February 23, 2006 at \$11.92 (100 shares), February 24, 2006 at \$11.93 (100 shares), March 17, 2006 at \$11.91 (50 shares), March 31, 2006 at \$11.79 (150 shares), April 5, 2006 at \$11.80 (100 shares), April 6, 2006 at \$11.81 (300 shares), April 10, 2006 at \$11.77 (200 shares), April 12, 2006 at \$11.76 (50 shares), April 13, 2006 at \$11.73 (50 shares), April 17, 2006 at \$11.68 (100 shares), April 28, 2006 at \$11.68 (50 shares), May 9, 2006 at \$11.67 (50 shares), July 20, 2007 at \$12.57 (2736 shares), October 26, 2007 at \$11.55 (200 shares), February 26, 2008 at \$11.19 (1.197 shares), February 29, 2008 at \$10.76 (2100 shares), and on March 3, 2008 at \$10.92 (1800 shares). Dana R. Conslor presently owns 1,967 shares. Mr. Conslor purchased shares on November 11, 2008 at \$9.48 (350 shares), December 16, 2008 at \$7.82 (200 shares), April 1, 2009 at \$9.33 (200 shares), May 14, 2009 at \$10.03 (300 shares), October 12, 2009 at \$11.24 (100 shares), October 22, 2009 at \$11.09 (200 shares), August 3, 2010 at \$11.75 (100 shares), November 11, 2010 at \$11.51 (200 shares), and on November 15, 2010 at \$11.37 (200 shares). Mr. Conslor had 117 shares transferred in on September 17, 2008. JoAnn Van Degrieff presently owns 18,939 shares. Ms. Van Degrieff purchased shares on June 30, 2005 at \$12.00 (1500 shares), August 12, 2005 at \$11.82 (700 shares), January 3, 2007 at \$11.96 (800 shares), January 5, 2009 at \$9.00 (2500 shares), April 24, 2009 at \$9.74 (100 shares), June 2, 2009 at \$10.10 (800 shares), June 4, 2009 at \$10.12 (200 shares), June 5, 2009 at \$10.11 (300 shares), June 16, 2009 at \$10.03 (400 shares), June 17, 2009 at \$10.01 (200 shares), June 18, 2009 at \$10.08 (300 shares), June 23, 2009 at \$10.02 (200 shares), June 24, 2009 at \$10.04 (100 shares), June 29, 2009 at \$9.90 (100 shares), October 9, 2009 at \$11.36 (200 shares), October 12, 2009 at \$11.24 (300 shares), October 14, 2009 at \$11.20 (200 shares), October 15, 2009 at \$11.03 (100 shares), November 2, 2009 at \$10.99 (900 shares), November 5, 2009 at \$11.03 (100 shares), November 18, 2009 at \$10.97 (100 shares), November 11, 2010 at \$11.50 (1500 shares), November 12, 2010 at \$11.46 (200 shares), and on November 15, 2010 at \$11.38 (500 shares). Ms. Van Degrieff sold shares on February 24, 2006 at \$11.93 (50 shares), March 31, 2006 at \$11.79 (50 shares), April 5, 2006 at \$11.80 (50 shares), April 6, 2006 at \$11.81 (50 shares), April 10, 2006 at \$11.77 (50 shares), April 28, 2006 at \$11.68 (50 shares), July 20, 2007 at \$12.57 (794 shares), October 26, 2007 at \$11.55 (100 shares), March 3, 2008 at \$10.92 (100 shares), August 21, 2008 at \$10.83 (206 shares), August 21, 2008 at \$10.83 (494 shares), July 17, 2009 at \$10.07 (300 shares), July 21, 2009 at \$10.15 (200 shares), July 22, 2009 at \$10.11 (533 shares), and on July 23, 2009 at \$10.13 (7600 shares). Karpus Management Management Defined Benefit Plan presently owns 4,323 shares. The Benefit Plan purchased shares on September 16, 2008 at \$11.20 (750 shares), November 11, 2007 at \$9.48 (1400 shares), August 3, 2010 at \$11.75 (700 shares), and on November 15, 2010 at \$11.38 (700 shares). The Benefit Plan had 773 shares transferred in on February 26, 2008. Karpus Investment Management Profit Sharing Plan presently owns 6,825 shares. The Profit Sharing Plan purchased shares on September 16, 2008 at \$11.20 (1175 shares), December 4, 2008 at \$8.73 (2350 shares), October 22, 2009 at \$11.09 (500 shares), October 30, 2009 at \$10.96 (200 shares), November 2, 2009 at \$10.99 (100 shares), August 6, 2010 at \$11.75 (1200 shares), and on November 15, 2010 at \$11.38 (1300 shares). Karpus Management, Inc. presently owns 12,098 shares. Karpus Management, Inc. purchased shares on June 3, 2005 at \$12.07 (1500 shares), November 22, 2006 at \$11.76 (50 shares), November 27, 2006 at \$11.87 (500 shares), November 28, 2006 at \$11.91 (50 shares), October 27, 2008 at \$9.56 (200 shares), October 29, 2008 at \$9.53 (900 shares), November 3, 2008 at \$9.25 (600 shares), November 11, 2008 at \$9.48 (150 shares), November 14, 2008 at \$9.45 (100 shares), November 17, 2008 at \$9.44 (200 shares), November 18, 2008 at \$9.45 (100 shares), January 14, 2009 at \$9.11 (300 shares), February 17, 2009 at \$9.26 (300 shares), February 18, 2009 at \$9.20 (300 shares), February 19, 2009 at \$9.18 (400 shares), February 20, 2009 at \$9.06 (400 shares), May 13, 2009 at \$10.01 (200 shares), July 27, 2009 at \$10.14 (2000 shares), September 28, 2009 at \$11.37 (400 shares), October 2, 2009 at \$11.44 (400 shares), October 9, 2009 at \$11.36 (600 shares), October 12, 2009 at \$11.24 (400 shares), October 14, 2009 at \$11.20 (200 shares), November 12, 2009 at \$11.00 (200 shares), December 7, 2010 at \$11.11 (500 shares), and on December 10, 2010 at \$10.76 (400 shares). Karpus Management, Inc. had 2772.526 shares transferred in on February 26, 2008. Karpus Management, Inc. sold shares on March 31, 2006 at \$11.79 (50 shares), April 6, 2006 at \$11.81 (50 shares), April 10, 2006 at \$11.77 (50 shares), July 20, 2007 at \$12.57 (574 shares), February 26, 2008 at \$11.20 (0.526 shares), February 28, 2008 at \$10.91 (700 shares), February 29, 2008 at \$10.76 (500 shares), and on March 3, 2008 at \$10.92 (100 shares). None of the other principals of KIM presently own shares of PMO. (b) KIM has the sole power to dispose of and to vote all of such Shares under limited powers of attorney. (c) Open market purchases for the last 60 days for the Accounts. There have been no dispositions and no acquisitions, other than by such open market purchases:

Date Price Per Share

3/16/2011	1500	\$10.76
3/21/2011	(6000)	\$10.79
3/22/2011	(13500)	\$10.73
3/23/2011	(19150)	\$10.75
3/25/2011	(521)	\$10.73

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form SC 13D/A

3/29/2011	(4800)	\$10.80
3/30/2011	(12200)	\$10.83
3/31/2011	(2431)	\$10.85
4/8/2011	(100)*	\$10.83
4/13/2011	(83)*	\$10.75
4/14/2011	(30300)*	\$10.71
4/18/2011	(7954)*	\$10.69
4/27/2011	(557)*	\$10.71
4/28/2011	(11947)*	\$10.68
4/29/2011	(9594)*	\$10.68
5/19/2011	(33100)*	\$11.01
5/20/2011	(1250)*	\$10.95
5/25/2011	(370)*	\$10.93
6/3/2011	(7700)*	\$11.23
6/6/2011	(302)*	\$11.22
6/9/2011	(4500)*	\$11.30
6/13/2011	(5000)*	\$11.22
6/14/2011	(9500)*	\$11.30

*- shares sold due to account liquidation.

The Accounts have the right to receive all dividends from, and any proceeds from the sale of the Shares. None of the Accounts has an interest in Shares constituting more than 5% of the Shares outstanding. **Item 6. Contracts, Arrangements, Understandings, or Relationships with Respect to Securities of the Issuer.** Except as described above, there are no contracts, arrangements, understandings or relationships of any kind among the Principals and KIM and between any of them and any other person with respect to any of the PMO securities. **Item 7. Materials to be Filed as Exhibits.** As is indicated in Item 4, above, KIM has purchased PMO for the Accounts for investment purposes. However, KIM has reserved the right to contact management with regard to concerns that they have with respect to the Fund, including letters to the Board and/or other communications with fund management. Accordingly, KIM submitted a proposal to the Fund on September 21, 2010, submitted another proposal on November 29, 2010, and submitted trustee nominees to the Fund pursuant to its advanced notice bylaws on January 21, 2011. Last is a complaint filed with the SEC on May 9, 2011 regarding remarketing service fees paid on the Auction Rate Preferred Securities of the fund and a complaint filed with the SEC on June 15, 2011 regarding breach of fiduciary duty of the preferred directors. A copy of the proposals are attached as Exhibits 1 & 2, a copy of the referenced nomination letters are attached as Exhibit 3, and a copy of the complaints filed with the SEC is attached as Exhibits 4 & 5.

SIGNATURE

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete, and correct.

Karpus Management, Inc.

By: /s/

Name: Cody B. Bartlett Jr., CFA

Title: Managing Director of Investments

Date: June 16, 2011

EXHIBIT 1

**Proposal Letter to the Fund
Transmitted September 21, 2010**

Jonathan S. Horwitz, Executive Vice-President, Treasurer,
Principal Executive Officer and Compliance Liason
The Putnam Funds
One Post Office Square
Boston, MA 02109

September 21, 2010

Re: Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust ("PMO")

Mr. Horwitz:

Karpus Management, Inc., d/b/a Karpus Investment Management ("Karpus") is the beneficial owner of 206 shares of common stock of Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust ("PMO" or the "Fund"). Karpus submits the enclosed shareholder proposal (the "Proposal") to terminate the management contract between PMO and Putnam Investment Management, LLC, for inclusion in the proxy statement that PMO plans to circulate to its shareholders in advance of the 2011 PMO annual meeting, anticipated to be held in April 2011 (the "Meeting"). Karpus submits this Proposal pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 14a-8. Karpus has continuously owned at least \$2,000 in market value of PMO common stock for at least a one year period prior to the date of the submission of this Proposal. Attached hereto is a letter from U. S. Bank N.A., as well as a written statement from the "record" holder of the referenced 206 common shares, confirming such continuous ownership of PMO securities by Karpus. Karpus intends to hold the shares referenced in the enclosed attachments through the date of the Meeting. Please advise us immediately if this notice is deficient in any way or any additional information is required so that we may promptly provide it in order to cure any deficiency.

Sincerely,

/s/

Brett D. Gardner
Senior Corporate Governance Analyst/Portfolio Manager

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL

TO TERMINATE THE MANAGEMENT CONTRACT BETWEEN PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST AND PUTNAM INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC Submitted by Karpus Management, Inc., d/b/a Karpus Investment Management ("Karpus") BE IT RESOLVED, that the Management Contract between Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust ("PMO" or the "Fund") and Putnam Investment Management, LLC (the "Manager") shall be terminated.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

As both common and preferred shareholders of PMO, Karpus is greatly concerned with the quality of the management of the Fund. Specifically, Karpus is most concerned with the Fund's performance as well as the "advice" the Manager has offered to the detriment of Fund shareholders and to the benefit of the Manager and the Fund's Board of Trustees. PMO's performance has been at best mediocre across multiple time periods within its stated peer group, the Lipper General Muni Debt Leveraged category. Specifically, PMO's performance falls in the 47th percentile for one year performance, while it is below average for longer 3, 5, and 10-year periods (Source: Putnam, as of 8/31/2010). While the Fund's discount is narrow relative to its historic average, it remains wide relative to its Lipper leveraged closed-end fund category peers (Source: Lipper). Moreover, the "advice" offered to the Board by the Manager has failed miserably. According to multiple press releases for the Fund, the Manager advised the Board of Trustees to delay a proposed merger of the Fund into an open-end fund and then ultimately advised the Board to indefinitely suspend any proposed mergers. Due to this "advice," all classes of PMO shareholders were denied the ability to realize the intrinsic value of their shares. Further, in our opinion, PMO shareholders were also misled into believing they would be able to receive net asset value for their shares and added to their positions based on the "advice" offered by our Fund's manager. In the current market environment, many closed-end fund municipal bond shareholders have been able to receive net asset value for their common shares and par value for their preferred shares. This has not been the case with our Fund despite the fact that all four original reasons cited for merging PMO into an open-end fund are still valid to this day. Our Manager's apparent advice to our Board is to continue to lock in both common and preferred shareholders and deny them the ability to receive the intrinsic value of their shares. This is not acceptable. PMO has been plagued by poor management long enough. To address our concerns, Karpus believes that a change is necessary and that Putnam Investment Management, LLC's management contract with PMO must be terminated. Karpus strongly urges your support for the proposal to terminate the management contract with Putnam Investment Management, LLC.

END OF PROPOSAL

EXHIBIT 2

**Proposal Letter to the Fund
Transmitted November 29, 2010**

Jonathan S. Horwitz, Executive Vice-President, Treasurer, Principal
Executive Officer and Compliance Liason
The Putnam Funds
One Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

November 29, 2010

Re: Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust (NYSE: PMO), cusip no. 746922103

Mr. Horwitz:

Karpus Management, Inc., d/b/a Karpus Investment Management ("Karpus") is the beneficial owner of 317 shares of common stock and one share of preferred stock of Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust ("PMO" or the "Fund") and by this letter is notifying the Fund of its intention to submit the enclosed non 14a-8 shareholder proposal (the "Proposal") for consideration at the Fund's 2011 Annual Meeting anticipated to be held in April 2011 (as well as any postponement or adjournment thereof) (the "Meeting"). As the attached indicates, our proposal requests that the Board of Trustees of PMO take all steps necessary to cause PMO to redeem all outstanding auction rate preferred shares at par and utilize Municipal Term Preferred Securities (MTPS), Variable Rate Demand Preferred Securities (VRDPS) and/or Tender Option Bonds (TOBs) as alternate sources of leverage. Also attached is a letter from U. S. Bank N.A., as well as a written statement from the "record" holder of the referenced 317 common and one preferred share, confirming ownership of the PMO securities by Karpus. Karpus intends to hold the shares referenced in the enclosed attachments at least through the date of the Meeting. Please advise us immediately if this notice is deficient in any way or any additional information is required so that we may promptly provide that information or cure any deficiency. Sincerely, Brett D. Gardner
Senior Corporate Governance Analyst/Portfolio Manager

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL Submitted by Karpus Management, Inc., d/b/a Karpus Investment Management ("Karpus")

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees of Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust ("PMO" or the "Fund") consider taking all steps necessary to cause PMO to redeem all outstanding auction rate preferred shares at par and to utilize Municipal Term Preferred Securities (MTPS), Variable Rate Demand Preferred Securities (VRDPS) and/or Tender Option Bonds (TOBs) as alternate sources of leverage.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

When PMO holds its 2011 Annual Shareholder meeting, it will have been more than three years since the last auction process for PMO's auction rate preferred shares ("ARPS") took place. ARPS holders' investments are frozen, with no liquidity. The Fund has held ARPS shareholders' capital hostage long enough and we feel that it is time for the Fund to act in a proactive fashion by redeeming all remaining outstanding ARPS at par and replacing the leverage provided by the ARPS with MTPS, VRDPS and/or TOBs (should the Board deem it appropriate to maintain the current closed-end structure). In a presentation last year, the Fund stated that "the Independent Trustees have acted in shareholders' best interests since the auction rate-securities market collapsed." We disagree. If the Fund had truly wanted to act in the interests of both common and preferred shareholders, it would have either: (1) merged the Fund into the an open-end fund as previously announced; or (2) replaced all outstanding auction rate preferred shares at par with the above-stated alternate forms of leverage. To address the first action, if the Fund had followed through on its

own recommendation in a timely fashion (rather than delaying and then suspending), all shareholders would have benefitted by receiving full value for their shares. Additionally, we feel that it is important to note that in the current market environment, many closed-end fund municipal bond shareholders have been able to receive net asset value for their common shares and par value for their preferred shares. This has not been the case with our Fund, despite the fact that all four original reasons cited for merging PMO into an open-end fund are still valid to this day. Addressing the second action, if the Fund would have taken steps to complete the replacement of all outstanding ARPS, the Fund could benefit existing ARPS shareholders by providing liquidity at par and also could benefit common shareholders by taking advantage of low interest rate vehicles while simultaneously mitigating the risk of a significant increase in the cost of leverage should short-term interest rates rise. Shareholders deserve a definitive plan from their Fund and Trustees. To our knowledge, no solutions beyond the auction rate preferred redemptions completed in anticipation of the merger of PMO into the stated open-end fund have been announced. **This is not acceptable.** ARPS holders must be able to receive the intrinsic value of their shares and common shareholders must be protected from a potentially higher cost of leverage should short-term interest rates rise.

EXHIBIT 3

Cede & Co. Nomination Letter Submitted to the Fund

**ADVANCED NOTICE OF SHAREHOLDER NOMINATIONS FOR
TRUSTEES TO BE ELECTED BY THE VOTE OF THE COMMON AND
AUCTION MARKET PREFERRED STOCK VOTING TOGETHER FOR
PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST**

Date: January 21, 2011

The Depository Trust Company
55 Water Street
New York, New York 10041
Attn: Proxy Department

RE: Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust ("PMO" or the "Fund")
Common Stock, CUSIP 746922103
U.S. Bank N.A. Participant account #2803

Gentlemen:

Please have your nominee, Cede & Co., sign the attached nomination letter, nominating Walter S. Baer, Donald Chapman, Glen Insley, Jeffrey P. Lessard, Ph.D., CFA, Tom McDonald, Robert Oden, Brad Orvieto, Dwight A. Pike, CFA, Arthur Charles Regan, G. William Schwert, Ph.D., Douglas Skinner, Ph.D., and Gerard Wenzke for election as trustees of the Fund to be elected by the vote of the common and auction market preferred stock, voting together as a class to elect 12 trustees at the 2011 Annual Meeting, with respect to 317 shares of the above-referenced common stock credited to our DTC Participant account on the date hereof. In addition to acknowledging that this request is subject to the indemnification provided for in DTC Rule 6; the undersigned certifies to DTC and Cede & Co. that the information and facts set forth in the attached Demand are true and correct; including the number of shares credited to our DTC Participant account that are beneficially owned by our customer.

Please Federal Express the signed nomination to:

U.S. Bank
Attn: Megan Condon
1555 N. RiverCenter Dr.
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53212

Very truly yours,
U.S. BANK N.A.

BY: _____

NAME: _____

TITLE: _____

Medallion Stamp

Enclosure

Cede & Co.
C/o The Depository Trust Company
55 Water Street
New York, New York 10041

The Putnam Funds
One Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02109
Attention: Judith Cohen - Vice President, Clerk, and Assistant Treasurer

Date: January ____, 2011

RE: Advanced Notice of Shareholder Nominations for Election as Trustees of Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust ("PMO" or the "Fund") to be Elected by the Vote of the Common and Auction Market Preferred Stock Voting Together as a Class to Elect 12 Trustees at the 2011 Annual Meeting of the Fund's Shareholders

Ms. Cohen: Cede & Co., the nominee of The Depository Trust Company ("Cede & Co."), is a holder of record of shares of common stock, of Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust, (the "Fund" or "PMO") (The "Common Stock"). These shares are registered on the stock transfer books of the Fund in the name of Cede & Co. Cede & Co. is informed by its Participant U.S. Bank N.A. (the "Participant"), that on the date hereof 317 shares of Common Stock, CUSIP 746922103, which is credited to the Participant's account, is beneficially owned by Karpus Management, Inc., d/b/a Karpus Investment Management ("Karpus"). At the request of Participant, on behalf of Karpus, Cede & Co., as holder of record of the referenced shares of Common Stock, is hereby submitting this notice (the "Notice") to the Fund in accordance with the requirements of the Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Fund, nominating Walter S. Baer, Donald Chapman, Glen Insley, Jeffrey P. Lessard, Ph.D., CFA, Tom McDonald, Robert Oden, Brad Orvieto, Dwight A. Pike, CFA, Arthur Charles Regan, G. William Schwert, Ph.D., Douglas Skinner, Ph.D., and Gerard Wenzke for election as trustees of the Fund to be elected by the vote of the common and auction market preferred stock, voting together as a class to elect 12 trustees at the 2011 annual meeting of the Fund's shareholders anticipated to be held in April 2011, including any adjournments or postponements thereof and any meeting which may be called in lieu thereof (the "Annual Meeting"). Cede & Co. intends, and has been informed by Karpus that Karpus intends, to appear at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy to submit the business specified in this Notice and all exhibits hereto. Cede and Co.'s address is: c/o The Depository Trust Company, 55 Water Street, New York, New York 10041-0099. Participant U.S. Bank N.A.'s address is: 1555 N. RiverCenter Dr., Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53212, Attn: Megan Condon. Beneficial owner Karpus' address is: 183 Sully's Trail, Pittsford, New York 14534. As stated above, Cede & Co. has been informed by Karpus that Karpus is seeking at the Annual Meeting to elect Walter S. Baer, Donald Chapman, Glen Insley, Jeffrey P. Lessard, Ph.D., CFA, Tom McDonald, Robert Oden, Brad Orvieto, Dwight A. Pike, CFA, Arthur Charles Regan, G. William Schwert, Ph.D., Douglas Skinner, Ph.D., and Gerard Wenzke for election as trustees of the Fund to be elected by the vote of the common and auction market preferred stock, voting together as a class to elect 12 trustees at the 2011 Annual Meeting, and in that regard Cede & Co. hereby nominates Walter S. Baer, Donald Chapman, Glen Insley, Jeffrey P. Lessard, Ph.D., CFA, Tom McDonald, Robert Oden, Brad Orvieto, Dwight A. Pike, CFA, Arthur Charles Regan, G. William Schwert, Ph.D., Douglas Skinner, Ph.D., and Gerard Wenzke (each a "Nominee") as nominees for election as trustees of the Fund, for election as trustees of the Fund to be elected by the vote of the common and auction market preferred stock, voting together as a class to elect 12 trustees at the 2011 Annual Meeting. Each Nominee's consent to be named as a nominee for election as a trustee of the Fund to be elected by the vote of the common and auction market preferred stock voting together as a class to elect 12 trustees at the 2011 Annual Meeting, and their consent to serve if elected is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. Cede & Co. has been informed by Karpus that Karpus believes the nominations included in this Notice, and all exhibit hereto, are proper matters for shareholder action. Further, Karpus deems the Nominees to be appropriate candidates for election to be elected by the vote of the common and auction market preferred stock voting together as a class to elect 12 trustees at the Annual Meeting, and also believes that the Nominees presence on the Board of Trustees of the Fund will help to enhance shareholder value, although there can be no assurance that the election of the Nominees will improve the Fund's business or otherwise enhance shareholder value. As a Common Stock shareholder, Karpus does not feel that it is receiving adequate representation from the existing trustees elected by the vote of the common and preferred stock voting together as a class and therefore submits the Nominees listed in this Notice. Except as set forth herein or in any of the exhibits hereto, to the best of Karpus' knowledge: (i) Karpus does not believe that any Nominee is an "interested person" of PMO as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940; (ii) it does not believe there is other information with respect to any Nominee that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of trustees or is otherwise required by the rules and regulations of securities and Exchange Commission promulgated under the Securities Exchange act of 1934, as amended; and (iii) there are no contracts, arrangements, understandings or

relationships of any kind among Karpus and each Nominee and any other person with respect to PMO. Additionally, Cede & Co. has been informed by Karpus that certain required information relating to each of the Nominees is required by the Amended and Restated Bylaws. Such information is set forth in the attached draft preliminary proxy statement (Exhibit 2), drafted by Karpus in anticipation of the 2011 Annual Meeting for submission to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Matters disclosed in any part of this Notice and all exhibits submitted herewith, should therefore be deemed disclosed for all purposes of this Notice. Please advise both Karpus and Cede & Co. immediately if this Notice with respect to nominating the Nominees is deficient in any way or any additional information is required so that we may promptly provide that information or cure any deficiency in a timely fashion. While Cede & Co. is furnishing this Notice as the stockholder of record of the shares of Common Stock nominating Walter S. Baer, Donald Chapman, Glen Insley, Jeffrey P. Lessard, Ph.D., CFA, Tom McDonald, Robert Oden, Brad Orvieto, Dwight A. Pike, CFA, Arthur Charles Regan, G. William Schwert, Ph.D., Douglas Skinner, Ph.D., and Gerard Wenzke for election as trustees of the Fund to be elected by the vote of the common and auction market preferred stock voting together as a class to elect 12 trustees at the Annual Meeting, it does so at the request of Participant and Karpus and only as a nominal party for the true party in interest, Karpus. Cede & Co. has no interest in this matter other than to take those steps which are necessary to ensure that Karpus is not denied its rights as the owner of Common Stock, and Cede & Co. assumes no further responsibility in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Cede & Co.

BY: _____

Partner

EXHIBIT 1 NOMINEE CONSENT FORMS

EXHIBIT 2 DRAFT PRELIMINARY PROXY STATEMENT (SUBJECT TO REVISION)

EXHIBIT 4

**Complaint Filed with the SEC
Transmitted May 9, 2011**

Douglas J. Scheidt, Esq.
Associate Director and Chief Counsel
Division of Investment Management
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F. Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549

Re: Auction Fees

I am writing today to express a long held concern our firm has had regarding the payment of fees by closed-end investment companies to brokers for remarketing services on auction rate preferred securities. Many fund companies (BlackRock, Eaton Vance, Invesco, Pimco, Duff and Phelps, etc...) have reduced this fee to reflect the fact that auctions have not, and likely will continue to not, function. However, we believe they did not reduce fees to levels commensurate with work performed. A few companies stubbornly refuse to reduce this fee or preferably shop out the service to the lowest bidder. Putnam is one such company. The fact that they keep their auction fee at the .25 percent it was prior to auctions failing is inexcusable considering the fact that they claim to be not refinancing the preferred for the benefit of common shareholders. Why then are they charging common shareholders 10 bps more than many fund companies for the exact same service? I appeal to your agency in extreme frustration from the lack of response that I have received from Andrew Cuomo at the Attorney General's Office of the State of New York. While several fund companies may be deemed to be effectively stealing millions of dollar from common shareholders for services not rendered, regulators appear to be sitting on their hands waiting for the problem to go away. I implore you to look into all issuing fund companies that have not reduced their auction fees in light of the failure of preferred auction. I respectfully encourage the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to actively advocate the "shopping" of these services to obtain the lowest cost for fund shareholders. I believe the continued overpayment for these services is an improper use of fund assets that rises to the level of breach of fiduciary duty spelled out in section 37 of the 1940 act. Specifically, please look into whether any of the brokers who are paid the auction fees are distributors of Fund shares. This would represent a clear conflict of interest which should, at the very least, be disclosed to fund shareholders. Our firm projects that the administrative duties still performed by brokers receiving auction fees are worth between 4 and 5 bps per annum. (We would gladly perform these services for 5 bps.) I realize that the SEC has much larger problems on its desk but this situation has been occurring since February 2008 and 10 bps adds up to a lot of money over that long of a period of time. I look forward to your response to this issue.

Sincerely,

Cody B Bartlett Jr, CFA
Managing Director of Investments

EXHIBIT 5

**Complaint Filed with the SEC
Transmitted June 15, 2011**

Douglas Scheidt, Associate Director and Chief Counsel
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20549-1090

June 15, 2011

**Re: Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust and
Preferred Trustees Breach of Fiduciary Duty**

Dear Mr. Scheidt:

We write this letter to you to express our concerns with respect to the two preferred Trustees of Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust ("PMO"). We feel that it is appropriate to bring our concerns to the Commission's attention because one of its stated missions is "to protect investors." As explained more fully below, we believe PMO's two preferred Trustees may have breached their fiduciary duty to the very shareholders who have the sole voting authority to provide for their election. Section 1 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act") declares that "the policy and purposes of this title ... are to mitigate and, so far as feasible, to eliminate the conditions enumerated in this section which adversely affect the national public interest and interest of investors" [emphasis added]. Further, Section 1(b)(3) states: "... it is hereby declared that the national public interest and the interest of investors are adversely affected: [3] when investment companies issue securities containing inequitable or discriminatory provisions, or fail to protect the preferences and privileges of the holders of their outstanding securities" [emphasis added]. Given the special circumstances surrounding auction rate preferred shares, we feel that PMO and its incumbent preferred trustees may be in violation of Section 1 because they have failed to protect the preferences and privileges of the holders of their outstanding preferred shares during a time when the interest of investors are clearly "adversely affected" as defined by Section 1 of the Act (a situation which the Commission has clearly acknowledged on many occasions). Additionally, Section 18(a)(2)(e) provides: "such class of stock shall have complete priority over any other class as to distribution of assets and payment of dividends, which dividends shall be cumulative" [emphasis added]. As the Commission is aware, preferred share remarketing failures have occurred since the beginning of 2008, and have resulted in preferred shareholders being stuck holding a security with no liquidity at par. These preferred shareholders are faced with two options: (1) sell their preferred shares on the secondary market at a substantial discount (10%-20%), or (2) put their faith in their preferred trustees to help allow them to finally realize full value of their shares. Our preferred Trustees, John A. Hill and Robert E. Patterson, have on *three* occasions denied preferred shareholders their sole objective - liquidity at par. In each instance, these preferred Trustees had an opportunity to support a proposal or recommendation that would have allowed preferred shareholders to realize par value for their shares, and in each instance they voted or recommended AGAINST the proposal. First, in October 2008, in response to the illiquidity issues facing preferred shareholders, our Trustees announced and formally approved a plan to merge PMO with an open-end fund. This plan, by its nature, would have caused the redemption of all preferred shares. However, in June 2009, the Trustees changed course and authorized Putnam Investments to suspend further efforts to implement the merger, thus denying preferred shareholders an opportunity to realize the value of their shares (we see no evidence that the preferred trustees dissented with respect to this recommendation). Next, in Karpus' proxy statement dated March 8, 2010, we requested that the Trustees consider converting the Fund from a closed-end fund to an open-end fund. This proposal would have once again allowed preferred shareholders to realize par value for their shares. Our preferred directors, Messrs. Hill and Patterson, unanimously recommended that shareholders vote AGAINST this proposal, once again denying preferred shareholder par value for their shares. Why should any rational preferred shareholder- a shareholder who wants the option of liquidity at par- vote against this proposal? Last, Karpus submitted a proposal to request that the Board of Trustees consider taking all steps necessary to cause PMO to redeem all outstanding auction rate preferred shares at par. Again, and most incredibly of all, our preferred Trustees

unanimously recommended AGAINST this proposal. In each of these three instances, the preferred trustees' actions have prolonged the harm caused to preferred shareholders. Further, each instance clearly indicates that these two trustees' "recommendations" have resulted in the benefit of one class of shareholders (the common shareholders) to the expense of another (the preferred shareholders). Consequently, we feel that the preferred trustees' actions have resulted in a breach of their fiduciary duty to preferred shareholders. To us, it is clear that the spirit and intent of the 1940 Act was to provide ARPS shareholders with at least two directors that would look after the best interests of preferred shareholders with priority over other non-senior classes of stock. Further, no mention is made that these representatives of the preferred shareholders would represent the interests of any other class of shareholder. If these directors do not have their representative shareholders' best interests in mind, then why are preferred shareholders of 1940 Act companies afforded the opportunity of solely electing these two "representatives" in the first place? What would be the purpose of such a provision? As investors, we understand that we have a limited private right of action. Consequently, in order to address what appears to be a critical breach of fiduciary duty (which has spanned nearly three years), we implore the Commission to bring an action against PMO and its incumbent preferred trustees and seek an injunction enjoining PMO's preferred share trustees from recommending against any future proposals before them that would afford the Fund's auction rate preferred shareholders liquidity at par. It is our understanding that the Commission is authorized to do so under Section 36 of the Act, which provides:

The Commission is authorized to bring an action in the proper district court of the United States ... alleging that a person serving or acting in one or more of the following capacities has engaged within five years of the commencement of the action or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a breach of fiduciary duty involving personal misconduct in respect of any registered investment company for which such person so serves or acts ... if such allegations are established, the court may enjoin such persons from acting in any or all such capacities either permanently or temporarily and award such injunctive or other relief against such person as may be reasonable and appropriate in the circumstances, having due regard to the protection of investors and to the effectuation of the policies declared in section 1(b) of this title. Thank you in advance for your time and consideration and please do not hesitate to contact me at (585) 586-4680 with any further questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Brett D. Gardner
Sr. Corporate Governance Analyst/Portfolio Manager

cc: Mr. Jim Curtis, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Carlo Forcione, Esq. (Putnam Investments)
