

MOTOROLA INC
Form 10-Q
August 05, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the period ended July 3, 2010

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**For the transition period from _____ to
Commission file number: 1-7221**

MOTOROLA, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State of Incorporation)

36-1115800

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**1303 E. Algonquin Road,
Schaumburg, Illinois**

(Address of principal executive offices)

60196

(Zip Code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(847) 576-5000**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the close of business on July 3, 2010:

| Class | Number of Shares |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Common Stock; \$.01 Par Value | 2,333,888,938 |

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | 1 |
| Item 1 Financial Statements | 1 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three Months and Six Months Ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009</u> | 1 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) as of July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u> | 2 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended July 3, 2010</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009</u> | 4 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| <u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>31</u> |
| <u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>57</u> |
| <u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 58 |
| <u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>58</u> |
| <u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u> | <u>58</u> |
| <u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Item 4 (Removed and Reserved)</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Item 5 Other Information</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Item 6 Exhibits</u> | <u>60</u> |

Table of Contents

1

Part I Financial Information

Motorola, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited)

| <i>(In millions, except per share amounts)</i> | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | July 3, 2010 | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | July 3, 2010 | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
| Net sales | \$ 5,414 | \$ 5,497 | \$ 10,458 | \$ 10,868 |
| Costs of sales | 3,412 | 3,787 | 6,670 | 7,662 |
| Gross margin | 2,002 | 1,710 | 3,788 | 3,206 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 896 | 822 | 1,772 | 1,691 |
| Research and development expenditures | 762 | 775 | 1,519 | 1,622 |
| Other charges (income) | (19) | 103 | 58 | 332 |
| Operating earnings (loss) | 363 | 10 | 439 | (439) |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (38) | (30) | (71) | (65) |
| Gain on sales of investments and businesses, net | 53 | 30 | 61 | 10 |
| Other | (33) | 23 | (21) | 93 |
| Total other income (expense) | (18) | 23 | (31) | 38 |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 345 | 33 | 408 | (401) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 179 | (2) | 174 | (148) |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations | 166 | 35 | 234 | (253) |
| Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | 60 |
| Net earnings (loss) | 166 | 35 | 234 | (193) |
| Less: Earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests | 4 | 9 | 3 | 12 |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to Motorola, Inc. | \$ 162 | \$ 26 | \$ 231 | \$ (205) |
| <i>Amounts attributable to Motorola, Inc. common shareholders:</i> | | | | |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | \$ 162 | \$ 26 | \$ 231 | \$ (265) |

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax 60

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----|------------|----|----|----|------------|----|-------|
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ | 162 | \$ | 26 | \$ | 231 | \$ | (205) |
|---------------------|----|------------|----|----|----|------------|----|-------|

Earnings (loss) per common share:

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----|-------------|----|------|----|-------------|----|--------|
| Basic: | | | | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ | 0.07 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | (0.12) |
| Discontinued operations | | | | | | | | 0.03 |
| | \$ | 0.07 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | (0.09) |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----|-------------|----|------|----|-------------|----|--------|
| Diluted: | | | | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ | 0.07 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | (0.12) |
| Discontinued operations | | | | | | | | 0.03 |
| | \$ | 0.07 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | (0.09) |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--|---------|--|----------------|--|---------|
| <i>Weighted average common shares outstanding:</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | 2,328.8 | | 2,293.9 | | 2,322.0 | | 2,286.5 |
| Diluted | | 2,365.0 | | 2,306.4 | | 2,352.9 | | 2,286.5 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------------|----|------|----|-------------|----|------|
| Dividends paid per common share of Motorola, Inc. | \$ | 0.00 | \$ | 0.00 | \$ | 0.00 | \$ | 0.05 |
|---|----|-------------|----|------|----|-------------|----|------|

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

2

Motorola, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)

| <i>(In millions, except par value amounts)</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>December 31, 2009</i> |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,893 | \$ 2,869 |
| Sigma Fund | 5,313 | 5,092 |
| Short-term investments | 25 | 2 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 3,465 | 3,495 |
| Inventories, net | 1,299 | 1,308 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,212 | 1,082 |
| Other current assets | 1,807 | 2,184 |
| Total current assets | 16,014 | 16,032 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 1,968 | 2,154 |
| Sigma Fund | 105 | 66 |
| Investments | 322 | 459 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,882 | 2,284 |
| Goodwill | 2,828 | 2,823 |
| Other assets | 1,693 | 1,785 |
| Total assets | \$ 24,812 | \$ 25,603 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt | \$ 531 | \$ 536 |
| Accounts payable | 2,336 | 2,429 |
| Accrued liabilities | 5,020 | 5,296 |
| Total current liabilities | 7,887 | 8,261 |
| Long-term debt | 2,907 | 3,365 |
| Other liabilities | 3,798 | 4,094 |
| <i>Stockholders' Equity</i> | | |
| Preferred stock, \$100 par value | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value: | 23 | 23 |
| Authorized shares: 4,200.0 | | |
| Issued shares: 07/03/10 2,339.9; 12/31/09 2,314.2 | | |
| Outstanding shares: 07/03/10 2,333.9; 12/31/09 2,312.1 | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 8,411 | 8,211 |
| Retained earnings | 4,058 | 3,827 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2,376) | (2,286) |
| Total Motorola, Inc. stockholders' equity | 10,116 | 9,775 |
| Non-controlling interests | 104 | 108 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 10,220 | 9,883 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 24,812 | \$ 25,603 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

3

Motorola, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity
(Unaudited)

| (In millions) | <i>Motorola, Inc. Shareholders</i> | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Shares | Common Stock and Additional Paid-in Capital | <i>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</i> | | Retirement Benefits Adjustments, Net of Tax | Other Items, Net of Tax | Retained Earnings | Non-controlling Interests | Comprehensive Earnings (Loss) |
| <i>Fair Value Adjustment</i> | | | <i>to Available for Sale Securities, Net of Tax</i> | <i>Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments, Net of Tax</i> | | | | | |
| Balances at December 31, 2009 | 2,314.2 | \$ 8,234 | \$ 70 | \$ (63) | \$ (2,295) | \$ 2 | \$ 3,827 | \$ 108 | |
| Net earnings | | | | | | | 231 | 3 | \$ 234 |
| Net unrealized loss on securities, net of tax of \$(35) | | | (59) | | | | | | (59) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax of \$(11) | | | | (106) | | | | | (106) |
| Amortization of retirement benefit adjustments, net of tax of \$30 | | | | | 57 | | | | 57 |
| Plan amendment, net of tax of \$0 | | | | | 22 | | | | 22 |
| Issuance of common stock and stock options exercised | 25.7 | 43 | | | | | | | |
| Tax shortfalls from stock-based compensation | | (16) | | | | | | | |
| Share-based compensation expense | | 149 | | | | | | | |
| Net loss on derivative instruments, net of tax of \$(2) | | | | | | (4) | | | (4) |
| Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest on subsidiary common stock | | | | | | | | (7) | |
| Reclassification of share-based awards from liability to equity | | 24 | | | | | | | |
| Balances at July 3, 2010 | 2,339.9 | \$ 8,434 | \$ 11 | \$ (169) | \$ (2,216) | \$ (2) | \$ 4,058 | \$ 104 | \$ 144 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

4

Motorola, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

| <i>(In millions)</i> | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
| Operating | | |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to Motorola, Inc. | \$ 231 | \$ (205) |
| Earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests | 3 | 12 |
| Net earnings (loss) | 234 | (193) |
| Earnings from discontinued operations | | 60 |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations | 234 | (253) |
| Adjustments to reconcile earnings (loss) from continuing operations to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 341 | 382 |
| Non-cash other income | (18) | (5) |
| Share-based compensation expense | 149 | 150 |
| Gain on sales of investments and businesses, net | (61) | (10) |
| Loss (gain) from the extinguishment of long-term debt | 12 | (67) |
| Deferred income taxes | 261 | (35) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (17) | (203) |
| Inventories | | 990 |
| Other current assets | 368 | 507 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (356) | (2,203) |
| Other assets and liabilities | (186) | (117) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | 727 | (864) |
| Investing | | |
| Acquisitions and investments, net | (26) | (21) |
| Proceeds from sales of investments and businesses, net | 243 | 226 |
| Capital expenditures | (146) | (137) |
| Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment | 28 | 6 |
| Proceeds from sales (purchases) of Sigma Fund investments, net | (248) | 670 |
| Proceeds from sales (purchases) of short-term investments, net | (23) | 180 |
| Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities | (172) | 924 |
| Financing | | |
| Repayment of short-term borrowings, net | (5) | (54) |
| Repayment of debt | (481) | (129) |
| Issuance of common stock | 68 | 56 |
| Payment of dividends | | (114) |
| Other, net | (7) | 6 |
| Net cash used for financing activities | (425) | (235) |

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents from continuing operations | (106) | (8) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 24 | (183) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 2,869 | 3,064 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 2,893 | \$ 2,881 |

Cash Flow Information

| | | |
|---|--------|--------|
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest, net | \$ 130 | \$ 133 |
| Income taxes, net of refunds | 34 | 174 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

5

Motorola, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Dollars in millions, except as noted)
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements as of July 3, 2010 and for the three and six months ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009, include, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments and reclassifications) necessary to present fairly Motorola, Inc.'s (the "Company's") consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for all periods presented.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The results of operations for the three and six months ended July 3, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected for the full year. Certain amounts in prior period financial statements and related notes have been reclassified to conform to the 2010 presentation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Change in Segment Presentation

On February 11, 2010, the Company announced that it is targeting the first quarter of 2011 for the completion of its planned separation into two independent, publicly traded companies. The Company currently expects that, upon separation, one public company will be comprised of the Company's Mobile Devices and Home businesses and the other public company will be comprised of the Company's Enterprise Mobility Solutions and Networks businesses. As a result of this announcement, Motorola, Inc. realigned its businesses during the first quarter of 2010 and now reports financial results for the following four operating business segments:

Mobile Devices: This segment designs, manufactures, sells and services wireless mobile devices, including smartphones, with integrated software and accessory products, and licenses intellectual property.

Home: This segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services set-top boxes for digital video, Internet Protocol video, satellite and terrestrial broadcast networks, end-to-end video and Internet protocol television distribution systems, broadband access network infrastructure platforms, and associated data and voice customer premise equipment and associated software solutions to cable television and telecommunication service providers.

Enterprise Mobility Solutions: This segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services analog and digital two-way radios, wireless LAN and security products, voice and data communications products and systems for private networks, wireless broadband systems and end-to-end enterprise mobility solutions.

Networks: This segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services wireless network systems, including cellular infrastructure systems and wireless and wireline cable and cellular broadband systems, for wireless service providers.

Additionally, prior to the first quarter of 2010, certain costs, including some elements of share-based compensation, intangible assets amortization expense, business-related asset impairments and other Corporate expenses, were recorded at Corporate and included in Other and Eliminations. In addition to the business realignment discussed above, effective as of the first quarter of 2010, the Company now allocates the costs described above to the operating business segments.

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Accordingly, previously reported segment results for the three and six months ended July 4, 2009, have been revised to reflect the new segment presentation and the allocation of Corporate costs.

Table of Contents

6

Recently Adopted New Accounting Guidance***Revenue Recognition***

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new guidance which amended the accounting standards for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. The new guidance changes the criteria required to separate deliverables into separate units of accounting when they are sold in a bundled arrangement and requires an entity to allocate an arrangement's consideration using estimated selling prices ("ESP") of deliverables if a vendor does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price ("VSOE") or third-party evidence of selling price ("TPE"). The new guidance also eliminates the use of the residual method to allocate an arrangement's consideration.

In October 2009, the FASB also issued new guidance to remove from the scope of software revenue recognition guidance tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver the tangible product's essential functionality.

The new accounting guidance is effective for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after June 15, 2010. The standards permit prospective or retrospective adoption as well as early adoption. The Company elected to adopt this guidance early, at the beginning of its first quarter of fiscal 2010 on a prospective basis for applicable arrangements that were entered into or materially modified after January 1, 2010.

The Company's material revenue streams are the result of a wide range of activities, from the delivery of stand-alone equipment to custom design and installation over a period of time to bundled sales of devices, equipment, software and services. The Company enters into revenue arrangements that may consist of multiple deliverables of its product and service offerings due to the needs of its customers. Additionally, many of the Company's products have both software and non-software components that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality. The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility of the sales price is reasonably assured. In addition to these general revenue recognition criteria, the following specific revenue recognition policies are followed:

Products and Equipment For product and equipment sales, revenue recognition generally occurs when products or equipment have been shipped, risk of loss has transferred to the customer, objective evidence exists that customer acceptance provisions have been met, no significant obligations remain and allowances for discounts, price protection, returns and customer incentives can be reliably estimated. Recorded revenues are reduced by these allowances. The Company bases its estimates of these allowances on historical experience taking into consideration the type of products sold, the type of customer, and the specific type of transaction in each arrangement. Where customer incentives cannot be reliably estimated, the Company recognizes revenue at the time the product sells through the distribution channel to the end customer.

Long-Term Contracts For long-term contracts that involve customization of the Company's equipment or software, the Company generally recognizes revenue using the percentage of completion method based on the percentage of costs incurred to date compared to the total estimated costs to complete the contract. In certain instances, when revenues or costs associated with long-term contracts cannot be reliably estimated or the contract contains other inherent uncertainties, revenues and costs are deferred until the project is complete and customer acceptance is obtained. When current estimates of total contract revenue and contract costs indicate a contract loss, the loss is recognized in the period it becomes evident.

Services Revenue for services is generally recognized ratably over the contract term as services are performed.

Software and Licenses Revenue from pre-paid perpetual licenses is recognized at the inception of the arrangement, presuming all other relevant revenue recognition criteria are met. Revenue from non-perpetual licenses or term licenses is recognized ratably over the period that the licensee uses the license. Revenue from software maintenance, technical support and unspecified upgrades is generally recognized over the period that these services are delivered.

Multiple-Element Arrangements Arrangements with customers may include multiple deliverables, including any combination of products,

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

equipment, services and software. These multiple element arrangements could also include an element accounted for as a long-term contract coupled with other products, equipment, services and software. For the Company's multiple-element arrangements where at least one of the deliverables is not subject to existing software revenue recognition guidance, deliverables are separated into more than one unit of

Table of Contents

7

accounting when (i) the delivered element(s) have value to the customer on a stand-alone basis, and (ii) delivery of the undelivered element(s) is probable and substantially in the control of the Company. Based on the new accounting guidance adopted January 1, 2010, revenue is then allocated to each unit of accounting based on the relative selling price of each unit of accounting based first on VSOE if it exists, based next on TPE if VSOE does not exist, and, finally, if both VSOE and TPE do not exist, based on ESP.

VSOE In many instances, products are sold separately in stand-alone arrangements as customers may support the products themselves or purchase support on a time and materials basis. Additionally, advanced services such as general consulting, network management or advisory projects are often sold in stand-alone engagements. Technical support services are also often sold separately through renewals of annual contracts. The Company determines VSOE based on its normal pricing and discounting practices for the specific product or service when sold separately. In determining VSOE, the Company requires that a substantial majority of the selling prices for a product or service fall within a reasonably narrow pricing range, generally evidenced by the pricing rates of approximately 80% of such historical stand-alone transactions falling within plus or minus 15% of the median rate. In addition, the Company considers the geographies in which the products or services are sold, major product and service groups, customer classification, and other environmental or marketing variables in determining VSOE.

TPE VSOE generally exists only when the Company sells the deliverable separately. When VSOE does not exist, the Company attempts to determine TPE based on competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Generally, the Company's go-to-market strategy for many of its products differs from that of its peers and its offerings contain a significant level of customization and differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality sold by other companies cannot be obtained. Furthermore, the Company is unable to reliably determine what similar competitor products' selling prices are on a stand-alone basis. Therefore, the Company is typically not able to determine TPE.

ESP The objective of ESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. When both VSOE and TPE do not exist, the Company determines ESP for the arrangement element by first collecting all reasonably available data points including sales, cost and margin analysis of the product, and other inputs based on the Company's normal pricing practices. Second, the Company makes any reasonably required adjustments to the data based on market and Company-specific factors. Third, the Company stratifies the data points, when appropriate, based on customer, magnitude of the transaction and sales volume.

Once elements of an arrangement are separated into more than one unit of accounting, revenue is recognized for each separate unit of accounting based on the nature of the revenue as described above.

The Company's arrangements with multiple deliverables may also contain a stand-alone software deliverable that is subject to the existing software revenue recognition guidance. The revenue for these multiple-element arrangements is allocated to the software deliverable and the non-software deliverable(s) based on the relative selling prices of all of the deliverables in the arrangement using the hierarchy in the new revenue accounting guidance. In circumstances where the Company cannot determine VSOE or TPE of the selling price for all of the deliverables in the arrangement, including the software deliverable, ESP is used for the purpose of allocating the arrangement consideration.

The Company's arrangements with multiple deliverables may be comprised entirely of deliverables that are all still subject to the existing software revenue recognition guidance. For these arrangements, revenue is allocated to the deliverables based on VSOE. Should VSOE not exist for the undelivered software element, revenue is deferred until either the undelivered element is delivered or VSOE is established for the element, whichever occurs first. When the fair value of a delivered element has not been established, but fair value exists for the undelivered elements, the Company uses the residual method to recognize revenue if the fair value of all undelivered elements is determinable. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement consideration is allocated to the delivered elements and is recognized as revenue.

Table of Contents

8

Net sales as reported and pro forma net sales that would have been reported during the three and six months ended July 3, 2010, if the transactions entered into or materially modified after January 1, 2010, were still subject to the previous accounting guidance are shown in the following table:

| <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | <i>As Reported</i> | <i>Pro Forma Basis</i> | <i>As Reported</i> | <i>Pro Forma Basis</i> |
| Net sales | \$ 5,414 | \$ 4,984 | \$ 10,458 | \$ 9,589 |

For the three and six months ended July 3, 2010, the difference between the amount of revenue recorded under the new accounting guidance for revenue recognition as compared to the pro forma amount that would have been recorded under the prior accounting guidance relates primarily to sales of smartphones by the Company's Mobile Devices segment. The individual impact to the Company's other businesses was not material. The pro forma basis revenue reflects the recognition of revenue related to smartphones that contain a service element and unspecified software upgrade rights under a subscription-based model under which revenue is recognized ratably over the estimated expected life of the smartphone as the Company was unable to determine VSOE for the undelivered element in the transaction. To the extent that the smartphone arrangement contains a specified software upgrade right, the subscription model is deferred until the specified software upgrade is delivered as the Company was unable to determine VSOE for the specified software upgrade right. Once the specified software upgrade is delivered, revenue is then recognized under the subscription-based model over the remainder of the estimated expected life of the smartphone. The as reported revenue reflects the allocation of revenue related to smartphones shipped under arrangements executed during the three and six months ended July 3, 2010 using ESP for the device, the service, specified software upgrade rights, when applicable, and the unspecified software upgrade rights, resulting in a lower deferral of revenue than under prior accounting guidance. Both the as reported revenue and the pro forma basis revenue contain the revenue recognized under the subscription-based revenue recognition model related to smartphones that contain a service element and unspecified software that shipped under arrangements executed during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Based on the Company's current sales strategies, the newly adopted accounting guidance for revenue recognition is not expected to have a significant effect on the timing and pattern of revenue recognition for sales in periods after the initial adoption when applied to multiple-element arrangements, except for the continued impact on smartphone revenue recognition.

2. Discontinued Operations

During the six months ended July 4, 2009, the Company completed the sale of: (i) Good Technology, and (ii) the biometrics business, which includes its Printrak trademark. Collectively, the Company received \$163 million in net cash and recorded a net gain on sale of the businesses of \$175 million before income taxes, which is included in Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax, in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. The operating results of these businesses, formerly included as part of the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment, are reported as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated financial statements for the period ending July 4, 2009.

The following table displays summarized activity in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations for discontinued operations during the six months ended July 4, 2009, all of which occurred during the three months ended April 4, 2009. The Company had no such activity during the three months ended July 4, 2009.

| <i>Six Months Ended</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
|---|---------------------|
| Net sales | \$ 19 |
| Operating loss | (11) |
| Gains on sales of investments and businesses, net | 175 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 162 |
| Income tax expense | 102 |
| Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax | 60 |

Table of Contents

9

3. Other Financial Data**Statement of Operations Information****Other Charges**

Other charges included in Operating earnings (loss) consist of the following:

| | <i>Three Months</i> | | <i>Six Months</i> | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>Ended</i> | | <i>Ended</i> | |
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
| Other charges: | | | | |
| Separation-related transaction costs | \$ 105 | \$ | \$ 130 | \$ |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 65 | 70 | 130 | 141 |
| Royalty settlement | 21 | | 21 | |
| Reorganization of business charges | 18 | 49 | 34 | 207 |
| Facility impairment charge | | 39 | | 39 |
| Legal settlements | (228) | (55) | (257) | (55) |
| | \$ (19) | \$ 103 | \$ 58 | \$ 332 |

During the three months ended July 4, 2009, the Company classified a facility as held for sale and wrote it down to its fair value, less estimated selling costs, resulting in an impairment loss of \$39 million, which was included in Other charges for the period.

In June 2010, the Company announced that it had entered into a settlement and license agreement with another company, which resolves all outstanding litigation between the two companies. The agreement includes provisions for an upfront payment of \$175 million from the other company to Motorola, Inc., future royalties to be paid by the other company to Motorola, Inc. for the license of certain intellectual property, and the transfer of certain patents between the companies. As a result of this agreement and the valuation of the patents exchanged, the Company recorded a pre-tax gain of \$228 million during the three months ended July 3, 2010, related to the settlement of the outstanding litigation between the parties.

Other Income (Expense)

Interest expense, net, and Other, both included in Other income (expense), consist of the following:

| | <i>Three Months</i> | | <i>Six Months</i> | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>Ended</i> | | <i>Ended</i> | |
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
| Interest income (expense), net: | | | | |
| Interest expense | \$ (59) | \$ (49) | \$ (120) | \$ (111) |
| Interest income | 21 | 19 | 49 | 46 |
| | \$ (38) | \$ (30) | \$ (71) | \$ (65) |
| Other: | | | | |
| Gain (loss) from the extinguishment of the Company's outstanding long-term debt | \$ (12) | \$ | \$ (12) | \$ 67 |
| Investment impairments | (10) | (26) | (19) | (33) |
| Foreign currency loss | (8) | (34) | (5) | (28) |
| Gain (loss) on Sigma Fund investments | (4) | 68 | 12 | 75 |

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| Other | 1 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| | \$ (33) | \$ 23 | \$ (21) | \$ 93 |

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

10

Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

The computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Motorola, Inc. common shareholders is as follows:

| <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Amounts attributable to Motorola, Inc. common shareholders</i> | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>Continuing Operations</i> | | <i>Net Earnings</i> | |
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
| Basic earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Earnings | \$ 162 | \$ 26 | \$ 162 | \$ 26 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 2,328.8 | 2,293.9 | 2,328.8 | 2,293.9 |
| Per share amount | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.01 |
| Diluted earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Earnings | \$ 162 | \$ 26 | \$ 162 | \$ 26 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 2,328.8 | 2,293.9 | 2,328.8 | 2,293.9 |
| Add effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Share-based awards and other | 36.2 | 12.5 | 36.2 | 12.5 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 2,365.0 | 2,306.4 | 2,365.0 | 2,306.4 |
| Per share amount | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.01 |

| <i>Six Months Ended</i> | <i>Amounts attributable to Motorola, Inc. common shareholders</i> | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>Continuing Operations</i> | | <i>Net Earnings (Loss)</i> | |
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Earnings (loss) | \$ 231 | \$ (265) | \$ 231 | \$ (205) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 2,322.0 | 2,286.5 | 2,322.0 | 2,286.5 |
| Per share amount | \$ 0.10 | \$ (0.12) | \$ 0.10 | \$ (0.09) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Earnings (loss) | \$ 231 | \$ (265) | \$ 231 | \$ (205) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 2,322.0 | 2,286.5 | 2,322.0 | 2,286.5 |
| Add effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Share-based awards and other | 30.9 | | 30.9 | |

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 2,352.9 | 2,286.5 | 2,352.9 | 2,286.5 |
|--|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|

| | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| Per share amount | \$ 0.10 | \$ (0.12) | \$ 0.10 | \$ (0.09) |
|------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|

In the computation of diluted earnings per common share from both continuing operations and on a net earnings basis for the three months ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009, 153.9 million and 178.6 million, respectively, out-of-the-money stock options and the assumed vesting of 48.8 million and 42.0 million, respectively, restricted stock units were excluded because their inclusion would have been antidilutive. In the computation of diluted earnings per common share from both continuing operations and on a net earnings basis for the six months ended July 3, 2010, the assumed exercise of 153.1 million stock options and the assumed vesting of 43.9 million restricted stock units were excluded because their inclusion would have been antidilutive. For the six months ended July 4, 2009, the Company was in a net loss position and, accordingly, the assumed exercise of 207.6 million stock options and the assumed vesting of 35.3 restricted stock units were excluded from diluted weighted average shares outstanding because their inclusion would have been antidilutive.

Table of Contents

11

Balance Sheet Information*Cash and Cash Equivalents*

The Company's cash and cash equivalents (which are highly-liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less) were \$2.9 billion at July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Of these amounts, \$216 million and \$206 million, respectively, were restricted.

Sigma Fund

The Sigma Fund consists of the following:

| <i>Fair Value</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | | <i>December 31, 2009</i> | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | <i>Current</i> | <i>Non-current</i> | <i>Current</i> | <i>Non-Current</i> |
| Cash | \$ | \$ | \$ 202 | \$ |
| Securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations | 5,275 | | 4,408 | |
| Corporate bonds | 28 | 66 | 367 | 63 |
| Asset-backed securities | 1 | 2 | 66 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 9 | 37 | 49 | 3 |
| | \$ 5,313 | \$ 105 | \$ 5,092 | \$ 66 |

The fair market value of investments in the Sigma Fund was \$5.4 billion and \$5.2 billion at July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

During the three and six months ended July 3, 2010, the Company recorded a loss on Sigma Fund investments of \$4 million and a gain of \$12 million, respectively, in Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. During the three and six months ended July 4, 2009, the Company recorded gains on Sigma Fund investments of \$68 million and \$75 million, respectively, in Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

Investments

Investments consist of the following:

| <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>Recorded Value</i> | | <i>Less</i> | | <i>Cost Basis</i> |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | <i>Short-term Investments</i> | <i>Investments</i> | <i>Unrealized Gains</i> | <i>Unrealized Losses</i> | |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ 23 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 23 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | |
| U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations | | 24 | 1 | | 23 |
| Corporate bonds | 2 | 9 | | | 11 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 3 | | | 3 |
| Common stock and equivalents | | 46 | 16 | | 30 |

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| | 25 | 82 | 17 | 90 |
| Other securities, at cost | | 187 | | 187 |
| Equity method investments | | 53 | | 53 |
| | \$ 25 | \$ 322 | \$ 17 | \$ 330 |

Table of Contents

12

| December 31, 2009 | Recorded Value | | Less | | Cost Basis |
|---|------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Short-term Investments | Investments | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | |
| U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations | \$ | \$ 23 | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ 22 |
| Corporate bonds | | 2 10 | | | 12 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 3 | | | 3 |
| Common stock and equivalents | | 147 | 111 | (1) | 37 |
| | | 2 183 | 112 | (1) | 74 |
| Other securities, at cost | | 223 | | | 223 |
| Equity method investments | | 53 | | | 53 |
| | \$ | 2 \$ 459 | \$ 112 | \$ (1) | \$ 350 |

During the three and six months ended July 3, 2010, the Company recorded investment impairment charges of \$10 million and \$19 million, respectively, representing other-than-temporary declines in the value of the Company's investment portfolio, primarily related to common stock and equivalents and other securities recorded at cost. During the three and six months ended July 4, 2009, the Company recorded investment impairment charges of \$26 million and \$33 million, respectively, representing other-than-temporary declines in the value of the Company's investment portfolio, primarily related to other securities recorded at cost. Investment impairment charges are included in Other within Other income (expense) in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Accounts Receivable, Net

Accounts receivable, net, consists of the following:

| | July 3, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Accounts receivable | \$ 3,603 | \$ 3,637 |
| Less allowance for doubtful accounts | (138) | (142) |
| | \$ 3,465 | \$ 3,495 |

Inventories, Net

Inventories, net, consist of the following:

| | July 3, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|
| Work-in-process and production materials | \$ 1,229 | \$ 1,062 |
| Finished goods | 809 | 1,062 |
| | 2,038 | 2,124 |
| Less inventory reserves | (739) | (816) |

\$ 1,299 \$ 1,308

Table of Contents

13

Other Current Assets

Other current assets consists of the following:

| | July 3, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Costs and earnings in excess of billings | \$ 562 | \$ 686 |
| Contract-related deferred costs | 531 | 616 |
| Contractor receivables | 257 | 342 |
| Value-added tax refunds receivable | 43 | 95 |
| Other | 414 | 445 |
| | \$ 1,807 | \$ 2,184 |

Property, Plant and Equipment, Net

Property, plant and equipment, net, consists of the following:

| | July 3, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Land | \$ 125 | \$ 127 |
| Building | 1,803 | 1,823 |
| Machinery and equipment | 4,681 | 5,187 |
| | 6,609 | 7,137 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (4,641) | (4,983) |
| | \$ 1,968 | \$ 2,154 |

Depreciation expense for the three months ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009 was \$103 million and \$121 million, respectively. Depreciation expense for the six months ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009 was \$210 million and \$240 million, respectively.

Other Assets

Other assets consists of the following:

| | July 3, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,492 and \$1,375 | \$ 522 | \$ 593 |
| Contract-related deferred costs | 340 | 345 |
| Royalty license arrangements | 256 | 255 |
| Value-added tax refunds receivable | 115 | 127 |
| Long-term receivables, net of allowances of \$3 and \$9 | 201 | 117 |
| Other | 259 | 348 |
| | \$ 1,693 | \$ 1,785 |

Table of Contents

14

Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consists of the following:

| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>December 31, 2009</i> |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Deferred revenue | \$ 1,442 | \$ 1,325 |
| Compensation | 592 | 634 |
| Customer reserves | 408 | 424 |
| Billings in excess of costs and earnings | 307 | 336 |
| Warranty reserves | 236 | 226 |
| Contractor payables | 209 | 240 |
| Tax liabilities | 201 | 258 |
| Customer downpayments | 99 | 178 |
| Other | 1,526 | 1,675 |
| | \$ 5,020 | \$ 5,296 |

Other Liabilities

Other liabilities consists of the following:

| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>December 31, 2009</i> |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Defined benefit plans, including split dollar life insurance policies | \$ 2,328 | \$ 2,450 |
| Deferred revenue | 662 | 713 |
| Postretirement health care benefit plan | 292 | 287 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits | 75 | 196 |
| Other | 441 | 448 |
| | \$ 3,798 | \$ 4,094 |

Stockholders' Equity Information**Payment of Dividends**

During the six months ended July 3, 2010, the Company paid no cash dividends to holders of its common stock. During the six months ended July 4, 2009, the Company paid \$114 million in cash dividends to holders of its common stock, all of which was paid during the three months ended April 4, 2009, related to the payment of a dividend declared in November 2008. In February 2009, the Company announced that its Board of Directors suspended the declaration of quarterly dividends on the Company's common stock.

4. Debt and Credit Facilities**Long-Term Debt**

During the six months ended July 3, 2010, the Company repurchased \$500 million of its outstanding long-term debt for a purchase price of \$477 million, excluding approximately \$5 million of accrued interest, all of which occurred during the three months ended July 3, 2010. The \$500 million of long-term debt repurchased included principal amounts of: (i) \$65 million of the \$379 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2025, (ii) \$75 million of the \$286 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2028, (iii) \$222 million of the \$446 million then outstanding of the 6.625% Senior Notes due 2037, and (iv) \$138 million of the \$252 million then outstanding of the 5.22%

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Debentures due 2097. After accelerating the amortization of debt issuance costs and debt discounts, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$12 million related to this debt tender in Other within Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

During the six months ended July 4, 2009, the Company repurchased \$199 million of its outstanding long-term debt for a purchase price of \$129 million, excluding approximately \$4 million of accrued interest, all of which occurred during the three months ended April 4, 2009. The \$199 million of long-term debt repurchased included principal amounts of: (i) \$11 million of the \$358 million then outstanding of the 7.50% Debentures due

Table of Contents

15

2025, (ii) \$20 million of the \$399 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2025, (iii) \$14 million of the \$299 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2028, and (iv) \$154 million of the \$600 million then outstanding of the 6.625% Senior Notes due 2037. The Company recognized a gain of approximately \$67 million related to these open market purchases in Other within Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

5. Risk Management**Derivative Financial Instruments***Foreign Currency Risk*

The Company uses financial instruments to reduce its overall exposure to the effects of currency fluctuations on cash flows. The Company's policy prohibits speculation in financial instruments for profit on exchange rate price fluctuations, trading in currencies for which there are no underlying exposures, or entering into transactions for any currency to intentionally increase the underlying exposure. Instruments that are designated as part of a hedging relationship must be effective at reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged and are designated as part of a hedging relationship at the inception of the contract. Accordingly, changes in the market values of hedge instruments must be highly correlated with changes in market values of the underlying hedged items both at the inception of the hedge and over the life of the hedge contract.

The Company's strategy related to foreign exchange exposure management is to offset the gains or losses on the financial instruments against losses or gains on the underlying operational cash flows or investments based on the operating business units' assessment of risk. The Company enters into derivative contracts for some of the Company's non-functional currency receivables and payables, which are primarily denominated in major currencies that can be traded on open markets. The Company typically uses forward contracts and options to hedge these currency exposures. In addition, the Company enters into derivative contracts for some firm commitments and some forecasted transactions, which are designated as part of a hedging relationship if it is determined that the transaction qualifies for hedge accounting under the provisions of the authoritative accounting guidance for derivative instruments and hedging activities. A portion of the Company's exposure is from currencies that are not traded in liquid markets and these are addressed, to the extent reasonably possible, by managing net asset positions, product pricing and component sourcing.

At July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding foreign exchange contracts with notional values totaling \$1.4 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively. Management believes that these financial instruments should not subject the Company to undue risk due to foreign exchange movements because gains and losses on these contracts should generally offset losses and gains on the underlying assets, liabilities and transactions, except for the ineffective portion of the instruments, which are charged to Other within Other income (expense) in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The following table shows the five largest net notional amounts of the positions to buy or sell foreign currency as of July 3, 2010 and the corresponding positions as of December 31, 2009:

| <i>Net Buy (Sell) by Currency</i> | <i>Notional Amount</i> | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| | July 3, 2010 | <i>December 31, 2009</i> |
| Chinese Renminbi | \$ (304) | \$ (297) |
| Euro | (287) | (377) |
| Brazilian Real | (265) | (342) |
| Japanese Yen | (121) | (236) |
| British Pound | 161 | 143 |

Interest Rate Risk

At July 3, 2010, the Company's short-term debt consisted primarily of \$1 million of short-term variable rate foreign debt. At July 3, 2010, the Company has \$3.4 billion of long-term debt, including the current portion of long-term debt, which is primarily priced at long-term, fixed interest rates.

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

As part of its liability management program, one of the Company's European subsidiaries has an outstanding interest rate agreement ("Interest Agreement") relating to a Euro-denominated loan. The interest on the

Table of Contents

16

Euro-denominated loan is variable. The Interest Agreement changes the characteristics of interest rate payments from variable to maximum fixed-rate payments. The Interest Agreement is not accounted for as a part of a hedging relationship and, accordingly, the changes in the fair value of the Interest Agreement is included in Other income (expense) in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. At July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value of the Interest Agreement put the Company in a liability position of \$4 million.

Counterparty Risk

The use of derivative financial instruments exposes the Company to counterparty credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties. However, the Company's risk is limited to the fair value of the instruments when the derivative is in an asset position. The Company actively monitors its exposure to credit risk. At present time, all of the counterparties have investment grade credit ratings. The Company is not exposed to material credit risk with any single counterparty. As of July 3, 2010, the Company was exposed to an aggregate credit risk of \$4 million with all counterparties.

The following tables summarize the fair values and location in the condensed consolidated balance sheets of all derivative financial instruments held by the Company at July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

| | <i>Fair Values of Derivative Instruments</i> | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| | <i>Assets</i> | | <i>Liabilities</i> | |
| | <i>Fair Value</i> | <i>Balance Sheet Location</i> | <i>Fair Value</i> | <i>Balance Sheet Location</i> |
| <i>July 3, 2010</i> | | | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$ 2 | Other assets | \$ 5 | Other liabilities |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 7 | Other assets | 3 | Other liabilities |
| Interest agreement contracts | | Other assets | 4 | Other liabilities |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | 7 | | 7 | |
| Total derivatives | \$ 9 | | \$ 12 | |

| | <i>Fair Values of Derivative Instruments</i> | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| | <i>Assets</i> | | <i>Liabilities</i> | |
| | <i>Fair Value</i> | <i>Balance Sheet Location</i> | <i>Fair Value</i> | <i>Balance Sheet Location</i> |
| <i>December 31, 2009</i> | | | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$ 5 | Other assets | \$ 1 | Other liabilities |

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|-------|--------------|----------------------|
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 10 | Other assets | 16 Other liabilities |
| Interest agreement contracts | | Other assets | 4 Other liabilities |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | 10 | | 20 |
| Total derivatives | \$ 15 | | \$ 21 |

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

17

The following table summarizes the effect of derivative instruments in our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009:

| <i>Gain (Loss) on Derivative Instruments</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | | <i>Statement of Operations Location</i> |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments (fair value): | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$ | \$ | Foreign currency income (expense) |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | (4) | (8) Other income (expense) |
| Foreign exchange contracts | | 22 | 31 Other income (expense) |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ | 18 \$ | 23 |

| <i>Gain (Loss) on Derivative Instruments</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | | <i>Statement of Operations Location</i> |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments (fair value): | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$ | \$ | Foreign currency income (expense) |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | (3) | (8) Other income (expense) |
| Foreign exchange contracts | | (54) | (85) Other income (expense) |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ | (57) \$ | (93) |

The following table summarizes the gains and losses recognized in the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009:

| <i>Foreign Exchange Contracts</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | | <i>Financial Statement Location</i> |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | |
| Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships: | | | |
| Loss recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive loss (effective portion) | \$ | (6) \$ | Accumulated other comprehensive (3) loss |
| Gain reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Net earnings (loss) (effective portion) | | 3 | 3 Cost of sales/Sales |
| Gain (loss) recognized in Net earnings (loss) on derivative (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing) | | | Other income (expense) |

Table of Contents

18

| <i>Foreign Exchange Contracts</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | | <i>Financial Statement Location</i> |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | |
| Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships: | | | |
| Loss recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive loss (effective portion) | \$ (2) | \$ (2) | Accumulated other comprehensive loss |
| Loss reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Net earnings (loss) (effective portion) | (4) | (10) | Cost of sales/Sales |
| Gain (loss) recognized in Net earnings (loss) on derivative (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing) | | | Other income (expense) |

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments include cash equivalents, Sigma Fund investments, short-term investments, accounts receivable, long-term receivables, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, derivative financial instruments and other financing commitments. The Company's Sigma Fund, available-for-sale investment portfolios and derivative financial instruments are recorded in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value. All other financial instruments, with the exception of long-term debt, are carried at cost, which is not materially different than the instruments' fair values.

Using quoted market prices and market interest rates, the Company determined that the fair value of long-term debt at July 3, 2010 was \$3.5 billion, compared to a face value of \$3.4 billion. Since considerable judgment is required in interpreting market information, the fair value of the long-term debt is not necessarily indicative of the amount which could be realized in a current market exchange.

6. Income Taxes

At both July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had valuation allowances of \$2.9 billion, including \$376 million and \$422 million, respectively, relating to deferred tax assets for non-U.S. subsidiaries. During the six months ended July 3, 2010, there was no adjustment to the U.S. valuation allowance. The valuation allowance relating to deferred tax assets of non-U.S. subsidiaries was adjusted for exchange rate variances and current year activity.

In March 2008, the Company announced a strategy to separate into two publicly-traded companies. In February 2010, the Company announced that it is targeting the first quarter of 2011 for the completion of this separation. When evaluating the Company's valuation allowances, the Company is precluded from taking into consideration events dependent on future market conditions, such as the announced separation transaction. The Company will reassess its valuation allowance needs based on two separate publicly traded companies in the period the separation transaction occurs. The valuation allowances determined for two separate publicly traded companies may differ from the Company's current valuation allowance balances.

In the first quarter of 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 were signed into law, which eliminated the favorable income tax treatment of Medicare Part D Subsidy receipts effective for tax years starting in 2013. As a result of the tax law change, during the three months ended April 3, 2010 the Company recorded an \$18 million non-cash tax charge to reduce its deferred tax asset associated with Medicare Part D subsidies currently estimated to be received after 2012.

Table of Contents

19

The Company evaluates its permanent reinvestment assertions with respect to foreign earnings at each reporting period and, except for certain earnings that the Company intends to reinvest indefinitely, accrues for the U.S. federal income taxes applicable to the earnings. As the Company realigns its capital structure to meet its current and future needs, the Company concluded that certain foreign earnings are no longer considered to be permanently reinvested and recorded \$82 million of deferred tax expense in the second quarter of 2010. As of July 3, 2010, the Company continues to maintain permanent reinvestment assertions with respect to certain foreign earnings which are restricted from repatriation due to the capital requirements of the foreign subsidiaries or due to local country restrictions.

The Company had unrecognized tax benefits of \$277 million and \$466 million, at July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, of which approximately \$40 million and \$100 million, respectively, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate, net of resulting changes to valuation allowances. During the six months ended July 3, 2010, the Company reduced its unrecognized tax benefits for settlements with tax authorities by \$199 million, of which \$119 million was recognized as a tax benefit and the remainder primarily reduced tax carryforwards and other deferred tax assets.

Based on the potential outcome of the Company's global tax examinations, the expiration of the statute of limitations for specific jurisdictions, or the continued ability to satisfy tax incentive obligations, it is reasonably possible that the unrecognized tax benefits will change within the next 12 months. The associated net tax impact on the effective tax rate, exclusive of valuation allowance changes, is estimated to be in the range of a \$50 million tax charge to a \$125 million tax benefit, with cash payments in the range of \$0 to \$150 million.

During the first half of 2010, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") concluded its audit of Symbol's 2004 through January 9, 2007 pre-acquisition tax years and Motorola, Inc.'s 2004 through 2007 tax years. The Company has audits pending in several tax jurisdictions. Although the final resolution of the Company's global tax disputes is uncertain, based on current information, in the opinion of the Company's management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations. However, an unfavorable resolution of the Company's global tax disputes could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations in the periods in which the matters are ultimately resolved.

Table of Contents

20

7. Retirement Benefits**Pension Benefit Plans**

The net periodic pension costs for the Regular Pension Plan, Officers' Plan, the Motorola Supplemental Pension Plan ("MSPP") and Non-U.S. plans were as follows:

| <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | | | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>Regular Pension</i> | <i>Officers' and MSPP</i> | <i>Non U.S.</i> | <i>Regular Pension</i> | <i>Officers' and MSPP</i> | <i>Non U.S.</i> |
| Service cost | \$ | \$ | \$ 6 | \$ | \$ | \$ 5 |
| Interest cost | 85 | 1 | 21 | 84 | 2 | 15 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (94) | | (20) | (95) | (1) | (12) |
| Amortization of: | | | | | | |
| Unrecognized net loss | 36 | | 4 | 19 | 1 | 1 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | | | (1) | | | |
| Settlement/curtailment loss | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ 27 | \$ 2 | \$ 10 | \$ 12 | \$ 3 | \$ 9 |

| <i>Six Months Ended</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | | | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>Regular Pension</i> | <i>Officers' and MSPP</i> | <i>Non U.S.</i> | <i>Regular Pension</i> | <i>Officers' and MSPP</i> | <i>Non U.S.</i> |
| Service cost | \$ | \$ | \$ 13 | \$ | \$ | \$ 11 |
| Interest cost | 171 | 1 | 49 | 169 | 4 | 31 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (189) | | (48) | (190) | (1) | (26) |
| Amortization of: | | | | | | |
| Unrecognized net loss | 74 | 1 | 10 | 39 | 1 | 2 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | | | (2) | | | |
| Settlement/curtailment loss | | 2 | | | 3 | |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ 56 | \$ 4 | \$ 22 | \$ 26 | \$ 7 | \$ 18 |

During the three months ended July 3, 2010, contributions of \$50 million and \$5 million were made to the Company's Regular Pension Plan and Non-U.S. plans, respectively. During the six months ended July 3, 2010, contributions of \$50 million and \$25 million were made to the Company's Regular Pension Plan and Non-U.S. plans, respectively.

During the six months ended July 3, 2010, the Company recorded the impact of a plan amendment in one of its Non-U.S. plans resulting in a reduction of the amounts recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income of \$22 million. No gain or loss was recognized in the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations as a result of the plan amendment.

Table of Contents

21

Postretirement Health Care Benefit Plans

Net postretirement health care expenses consist of the following:

| | <i>Three Months</i> | | <i>Six Months</i> | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>Ended</i> | | <i>Ended</i> | |
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
| Service cost | \$ 2 | \$ 1 | \$ 3 | \$ 2 |
| Interest cost | 6 | 7 | 13 | 14 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (4) | (4) | (8) | (8) |
| Amortization of: | | | | |
| Unrecognized net loss | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | (1) | (1) | (1) | (2) |
| Net postretirement health care expense | \$ 6 | \$ 5 | \$ 12 | \$ 10 |

The Company made no contributions to its postretirement healthcare fund during the three and six months ended July 3, 2010.

8. Share-Based Compensation Plans

Compensation expense for the Company's employee stock options, stock appreciation rights, employee stock purchase plans, restricted stock and restricted stock units ("RSUs") was as follows:

| <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Three Months</i> | | <i>Six Months</i> | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>Ended</i> | | <i>Ended</i> | |
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
| Share-based compensation expense included in: | | | | |
| Costs of sales | \$ 9 | \$ 8 | \$ 18 | \$ 17 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 42 | 43 | 83 | 84 |
| Research and development expenditures | 26 | 23 | 48 | 49 |
| Share-based compensation expense included in | | | | |
| Operating earnings (loss) | 77 | 74 | 149 | 150 |
| Tax benefit | 25 | 23 | 48 | 47 |
| Share-based compensation expense, net of tax | \$ 52 | \$ 51 | \$ 101 | \$ 103 |
| Decrease in basic earnings per share | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.04) |
| Decrease in diluted earning per share | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.04) |

In the second quarter of 2010, the Company's broad-based equity grant consisted of 29.5 million RSUs and 7.7 million stock options. The total compensation expense related to the RSUs is \$165.4 million, net of estimated forfeitures, with a fair market value of \$6.87 per RSU. The total compensation expense related to stock options is \$20.8 million, net of estimated forfeitures, at a Black-Scholes value of \$3.02 per stock option. The expense for both RSUs and stock options will be recognized over a weighted average vesting period of 3 years.

9. Fair Value Measurements

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

The Company holds certain fixed income securities, equity securities and derivatives, which must be measured using the fair value hierarchy and related valuation methodologies. The guidance specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to each measurement are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's assumptions about current market conditions. The prescribed fair value hierarchy and related valuation methodologies are as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

22

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-derived valuations, in which all significant inputs are observable in active markets.

Level 3 Valuations derived from valuation techniques, in which one or more significant inputs are unobservable.

The fair values of the Company's financial assets and liabilities by level in the fair value hierarchy as of July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were as follows:

| <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>Level 1</i> | <i>Level 2</i> | <i>Level 3</i> | <i>Total</i> |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Sigma Fund securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations | \$ | \$ 5,275 | \$ | \$ 5,275 |
| Corporate bonds | | 72 | 22 | 94 |
| Asset-backed securities | | 3 | | 3 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 46 | | 46 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations | | 24 | | 24 |
| Corporate bonds | | 9 | | 9 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 3 | | 3 |
| Common stock and equivalents | 38 | 8 | | 46 |
| Foreign exchange derivative contracts | | 9 | | 9 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Foreign exchange derivative contracts | | 8 | | 8 |
| Interest agreement derivative contracts | | 4 | | 4 |

| <i>December 31, 2009</i> | <i>Level 1</i> | <i>Level 2</i> | <i>Level 3</i> | <i>Total</i> |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Sigma Fund securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations | \$ | \$ 4,408 | \$ | \$ 4,408 |
| Corporate bonds | | 411 | 19 | 430 |
| Asset-backed securities | | 66 | | 66 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 52 | | 52 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations | | 23 | | 23 |
| Corporate bonds | | 10 | | 10 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 3 | | 3 |
| Common stock and equivalents | 136 | 11 | | 147 |
| Foreign exchange derivative contracts | | 15 | | 15 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Foreign exchange derivative contracts | | 17 | | 17 |
| Interest agreement derivative contracts | | 4 | | 4 |

Table of Contents

23

The following table summarizes the changes in fair value of our Level 3 assets:

| | <i>Three Months</i> | | <i>Six Months</i> | |
|---|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | <i>Ended</i> | | <i>Ended</i> | |
| | <i>July 3,</i> | <i>July 4,</i> | <i>July 3,</i> | <i>July 4,</i> |
| | <i>2010</i> | <i>2009</i> | <i>2010</i> | <i>2009</i> |
| Beginning balance | \$ 22 | \$ 109 | \$ 19 | \$ 134 |
| Transfers to Level 3 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 11 |
| Payments received and securities sold | (4) | (29) | (5) | (53) |
| Mark-to-market on Sigma Fund investments included in Other income (expense) | 1 | (10) | 5 | (12) |
| Ending balance | \$ 22 | \$ 80 | \$ 22 | \$ 80 |

Valuation Methodologies

Level 1 Quoted market prices in active markets are available for investments in common and preferred stock and common stock equivalents. As such, these investments are classified within Level 1.

Level 2 The securities classified as Level 2 are comprised primarily of corporate, government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise bonds. The Company primarily relies on valuation pricing models, recent bid prices, and broker quotes to determine the fair value of these securities. The valuation models for Level 2 assets are developed and maintained by third party pricing services and use a number of standard inputs to the valuation model including benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes where the party is standing ready and able to transact, issuer spreads, benchmark securities, bids, offers and other reference data. The valuation model may prioritize these inputs differently at each balance sheet date for any given security, based on the market conditions. Not all of the standard inputs listed will be used each time in the valuation models. For each asset class, quantifiable inputs related to perceived market movements and sector news may be considered in addition to the standard inputs.

In determining the fair value of the Company's foreign currency derivatives, the Company uses forward contract and option valuation models employing market observable inputs, such as spot currency rates, time value and option volatilities. Since the Company primarily uses observable inputs in its valuation of its derivative assets and liabilities, they are classified as Level 2 assets.

Level 3 Fixed income securities are debt securities that do not have actively traded quotes as of the financial statement date. Determining the fair value of these securities requires the use of unobservable inputs, such as indicative quotes from dealers, extrapolated data, proprietary models and qualitative input from investment advisors. As such, these securities are classified within Level 3.

At July 3, 2010, the Company has \$431 million of investments in money market mutual funds classified as Cash and cash equivalents in its condensed consolidated balance sheets. The money market funds have quoted market prices that are generally equivalent to par.

The Company has no non-financial assets and liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis at July 3, 2010.

Table of Contents

24

10. Long-term Customer Financing and Sales of Receivables*Long-term Customer Financing*

Long-term receivables consist of trade receivables with payment terms greater than twelve months, long-term loans and lease receivables under sales-type leases. Long-term receivables consist of the following:

| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>December 31, 2009</i> |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Long-term receivables | \$ 227 | \$ 154 |
| Less allowance for losses | (3) | (9) |
| | 224 | 145 |
| Less current portion | (23) | (28) |
| Non-current long-term receivables, net | \$ 201 | \$ 117 |

The current portion of long-term receivables is included in Accounts receivable and the non-current portion of long-term receivables is included in Other assets in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Certain purchasers of the Company's infrastructure equipment may request that the Company provide long-term financing (defined as financing with a term of greater than one year) in connection with the sale of equipment. These requests may include all or a portion of the purchase price of the equipment. The Company's obligation to provide long-term financing may be conditioned on the issuance of a letter of credit in favor of the Company by a reputable bank to support the purchaser's credit or a pre-existing commitment from a reputable bank to purchase the long-term receivables from the Company. The Company had outstanding commitments to provide long-term financing to third parties totaling \$329 million and \$444 million at July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these amounts, \$20 million and \$13 million were supported by letters of credit or by bank commitments to purchase long-term receivables at July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The majority of the outstanding commitments at July 3, 2010 are to a small number of network operators in the Middle East region. In response to the recent tightening in the credit markets, certain customers of the Company have requested financing in connection with equipment purchases, and these types of requests have increased in volume and scope.

In addition to providing direct financing to certain equipment customers, the Company also assists customers in obtaining financing directly from banks and other sources to fund equipment purchases. The Company had committed to provide financial guarantees relating to customer financing totaling \$31 million at both July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (including \$27 million at both July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, relating to the sale of short-term receivables). Customer financing guarantees outstanding were \$3 million and \$4 million at July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively (including \$2 million at both July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, relating to the sale of short-term receivables).

Sales of Receivables

From time to time, the Company sells accounts receivable and long-term receivables in transactions that qualify as "true-sales." Certain of these accounts receivable and long-term receivables are sold to third parties on a one-time, non-recourse basis, while others are sold to third parties under committed facilities that involve contractual commitments from these parties to purchase qualifying receivables up to an outstanding monetary limit. Committed facilities may be revolving in nature and, typically, must be renewed annually. The Company may or may not retain the obligation to service the sold accounts receivable and long-term receivables.

As of July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had a \$200 million revolving facility for the sale of accounts receivable due from U.S. customers of our Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment, of which \$16 million and \$60 million, respectively, were utilized.

Under the terms of the facility, which was amended in the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company is required to sell its entire portfolio of outstanding accounts receivable from its U.S. customers of the direct and indirect channel government and public safety business. The initial cash proceeds received by the Company for the sale of these receivables is capped at the lower of eligible receivables less reserves or \$200 million. The Company may also elect, at its option, to receive initial cash proceeds less than the cap. The remaining proceeds due to the

Company for the receivables sold in excess of the initial cash proceeds are deferred until the receivables are collected.

Table of Contents

25

Total sales of accounts receivable and long-term receivables were \$506 million and \$367 million during the three month periods ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009, respectively, and \$968 million and \$626 million for the six month periods ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009, respectively. Cash proceeds related to accounts receivable sold were \$407 million and \$367 million during the three month periods ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009, respectively, and \$649 million and \$626 million for the six month periods ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009, respectively. As of July 3, 2010, the Company is due \$319 million under the deferred payment provisions of the committed facility discussed above. As of July 3, 2010, the Company retained servicing obligations for \$407 million of sold accounts receivables and \$253 million of sold long-term receivables. As of December 31, 2009, the Company retained servicing obligation for \$195 million of sold accounts receivables and \$297 million of sold long-term receivables at December 31, 2009.

Under certain arrangements, the value of accounts receivable sold is covered by credit insurance purchased from third-party insurance companies, less deductibles or self-insurance requirements under the insurance policies. The Company's total credit exposure, less insurance coverage, to outstanding accounts receivables that have been sold was \$27 million at both July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

11. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal

The Company is a defendant in various suits, claims and investigations that arise in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations. However, an unfavorable resolution could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations in the periods in which the matters are ultimately resolved.

Other

The Company is also a party to a variety of agreements pursuant to which it is obligated to indemnify the other party with respect to certain matters. Some of these obligations arise as a result of divestitures of the Company's assets or businesses and require the Company to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from the settlement of these pending obligations. The total amount of indemnification under these types of provisions is \$143 million, of which the Company accrued \$51 million at July 3, 2010 for potential claims under these provisions.

In addition, the Company may provide indemnifications for losses that result from the breach of general warranties contained in certain commercial and intellectual property. Historically, the Company has not made significant payments under these agreements. However, there is an increasing risk in relation to patent indemnities given the current legal climate.

In indemnification cases, payment by the Company is conditioned on the other party making a claim pursuant to the procedures specified in the particular contract, which procedures typically allow the Company to challenge the other party's claims. Further, the Company's obligations under these agreements for indemnification based on breach of representations and warranties are generally limited in terms of duration, and for amounts not in excess of the contract value, and, in some instances, the Company may have recourse against third parties for certain payments made by the Company.

12. Segment Information

The Company reports financial results for the following operating business segments:

The Mobile Devices segment designs, manufactures, sells and services wireless mobile devices, including smartphones, with integrated software and accessory products, and licenses intellectual property.

The Home segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services set-top boxes for digital video, Internet Protocol ("IP") video, satellite and terrestrial broadcast networks, end-to-end digital video and Internet protocol television ("IPTV") distribution systems, broadband access network infrastructure platforms, and associated data and voice customer premises equipment and associated software solutions to cable television ("TV") and telecommunication service providers.

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

The Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services analog and digital two-way radios, wireless LAN and security products, voice and data communications products and systems for private networks, wireless broadband systems and end-to-end enterprise mobility solutions.

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

26

The Networks segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services wireless network systems, including cellular infrastructure systems and wireless and cellular broadband systems, to wireless service providers.

The following table summarizes the Net sales and Operating earnings (loss) by operating business segment:

| <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Net Sales</i> | | <i>Operating Earnings (Loss)</i> | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
| Mobile Devices | \$ 1,724 | \$ 1,829 | \$ 87 | \$ (287) |
| Home | 886 | 1,013 | 29 | 18 |
| Enterprise Mobility Solutions | 1,850 | 1,685 | 181 | 141 |
| Networks | 967 | 988 | 178 | 92 |
| | 5,427 | 5,515 | 475 | (36) |
| Other and Eliminations | (13) | (18) | (112) | 46 |
| | \$ 5,414 | \$ 5,497 | | |
| Operating earnings (loss) | | | 363 | 10 |
| Total other income (expense) | | | (18) | 23 |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | | | \$ 345 | \$ 33 |

| <i>Six Months Ended</i> | <i>Net Sales</i> | | <i>Operating Earnings (Loss)</i> | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> |
| Mobile Devices | \$ 3,365 | \$ 3,630 | \$ (105) | \$ (832) |
| Home | 1,724 | 2,038 | 49 | 21 |
| Enterprise Mobility Solutions | 3,544 | 3,284 | 322 | 207 |
| Networks | 1,863 | 1,954 | 290 | 154 |
| | 10,496 | 10,906 | 556 | (450) |
| Other and Eliminations | (38) | (38) | (117) | 11 |
| | \$ 10,458 | \$ 10,868 | | |
| Operating earnings (loss) | | | 439 | (439) |
| Total other income (expense) | | | (31) | 38 |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | | | \$ 408 | \$ (401) |

The Operating earnings (loss) in Other and Eliminations consists of the following:

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

| | <i>Three Months</i> | | <i>Six Months</i> | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | <i>Ended</i> | | <i>Ended</i> | |
| | <i>July 3,</i> | <i>July 4,</i> | <i>July 3,</i> | <i>July 4,</i> |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Separation-related transaction costs | \$ (105) | \$ | \$ (130) | \$ |
| Reorganization of business charges | (4) | (6) | (2) | (31) |
| Corporate expenses | (3) | (3) | (14) | (13) |
| Legal settlements | | 55 | 29 | 55 |
| | \$ (112) | \$ 46 | \$ (117) | \$ 11 |

Corporate expense are primarily comprised of: (i) general corporate-related expenses, and (ii) the Company's wholly-owned finance subsidiary.

Table of Contents**13. Reorganization of Businesses**

The Company maintains a formal Involuntary Severance Plan (the "Severance Plan"), which permits the Company to offer eligible employees severance benefits based on years of service and employment grade level in the event that employment is involuntarily terminated as a result of a reduction-in-force or restructuring. Effective August 1, 2009, the Company amended and restated the Severance Plan. Under the Amended Severance Plan, severance benefits will be paid in bi-weekly installments rather than in lump sum payments. The Company recognizes termination benefits based on formulas per the Severance Plan at the point in time that future settlement is probable and can be reasonably estimated based on estimates prepared at the time a restructuring plan is approved by management. Exit costs consist of future minimum lease payments on vacated facilities and other contractual terminations. At each reporting date, the Company evaluates its accruals for employee separation and exit costs to ensure the accruals are still appropriate. In certain circumstances, accruals are no longer needed because of efficiencies in carrying out the plans or because employees previously identified for separation resigned from the Company and did not receive severance or were redeployed due to circumstances not foreseen when the original plans were initiated. In these cases, the Company reverses accruals through the consolidated statements of operations where the original charges were recorded when it is determined they are no longer needed.

2010 Charges

During the six months ended July 3, 2010, the Company continued to implement various productivity improvement plans aimed at achieving long-term, sustainable profitability by driving efficiencies and reducing operating costs. All four of the Company's business segments were impacted by these plans. The employees affected were located in all geographic regions.

During the three months ended July 3, 2010, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$25 million, including \$7 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$18 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$25 million are charges of \$32 million for employee separation costs, partially offset by \$7 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

During the six months ended July 3, 2010, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$46 million, including \$12 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$34 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$46 million are charges of \$64 million for employee separation costs, partially offset by \$18 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

The following table displays the net charges incurred by business segment:

| <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Six Months Ended</i> |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mobile Devices | \$ 2 | \$ 17 |
| Home | 5 | 10 |
| Enterprise Mobility Solutions | 14 | 16 |
| Networks | | 1 |
| | 21 | 44 |
| Corporate | 4 | 2 |
| | \$ 25 | \$ 46 |

The following table displays a rollforward of the reorganization of businesses accruals established for exit costs and employee separation costs from January 1, 2010 to July 3, 2010:

| <i>Accruals at January 1, 2010</i> | <i>Additional Charges</i> | <i>Adjustments</i> | <i>Amount Used</i> | <i>Accruals at July 3, 2010</i> |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----|-----|----|----|----|------|----|------|----|----|
| Exit costs | \$ | 58 | \$ | | \$ | (6) | \$ | (23) | \$ | 29 |
| Employee separation costs | | 80 | | 64 | | (14) | | (75) | | 55 |
| | \$ | 138 | \$ | 64 | \$ | (20) | \$ | (98) | \$ | 84 |

Adjustments include translation adjustments.

Table of Contents

28

Exit Costs

At January 1, 2010, the Company had an accrual of \$58 million for exit costs attributable to lease terminations. The additional 2010 charges were not material. The adjustments of \$6 million primarily reflect reversals of accruals no longer needed. The \$23 million used in 2010 reflects cash payments. The remaining accrual of \$29 million, which is included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at July 3, 2010, represents future cash payments, primarily for lease termination obligations that are expected to be paid over a number of years.

Employee Separation Costs

At January 1, 2010, the Company had an accrual of \$80 million for employee separation costs, representing the severance costs for: (i) severed employees who began receiving payments in 2009, and (ii) approximately 1,200 employees who began receiving payments in 2010. The 2010 additional charges of \$64 million represent severance costs for approximately an additional 1,400 employees, of which 400 were direct employees and 1,000 were indirect employees.

The adjustments of \$14 million reflect: (i) \$12 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, and (ii) \$2 million of translation adjustments.

During the first six months of 2010, approximately 1,300 employees, of which 300 were direct employees and 1,000 were indirect employees, were separated from the Company. The \$75 million used in 2010 reflects cash payments to separated employees. The remaining accrual of \$55 million, which is included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at July 3, 2010, is expected to be paid, generally, within one year to: (i) severed employees who have already begun to receive payments, and (ii) approximately 1,000 employees to be separated in 2010.

2009 Charges

During the six months ended July 4, 2009, the Company committed to implement various productivity improvement plans aimed at achieving long-term, sustainable profitability by driving efficiencies and reducing operating costs. All four of the Company's business segments, as well as corporate functions, were impacted by these plans, with the majority of the impact in the Mobile Devices segment. The employees affected were located in all regions.

During the three months ended July 4, 2009, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$58 million, including \$9 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$49 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$58 million are charges of \$60 million for employee separation costs, \$18 million for exit costs and \$1 million for fixed asset impairment charges, partially offset by \$21 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

During the six months ended July 4, 2009, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$262 million, including \$55 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$207 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$262 million are charges of \$264 million for employee separation costs, \$22 million for exit costs and \$18 million for fixed asset impairment charges, partially offset by \$42 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

The following table displays the net charges incurred by business segment:

| <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Six Months Ended</i> |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mobile Devices | \$ 33 | \$ 161 |
| Home | 6 | 16 |
| Enterprise Mobility Solutions | 7 | 37 |
| Networks | 6 | 17 |
| | 52 | 231 |
| Corporate | 6 | 31 |

\$ 58 \$ 262

Table of Contents

29

The following table displays a rollforward of the reorganization of businesses accruals established for exit costs and employee separation costs from January 1, 2009 to July 4, 2009:

| | <i>Accruals at January 1, 2009</i> | <i>Additional Charges</i> | <i>Adjustments</i> | <i>Amount Used</i> | <i>Accruals at July 4, 2009</i> |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|
| Exit costs | \$ 80 | \$ 22 | \$ (8) | \$ (38) | \$ 56 |
| Employee separation costs | 170 | 264 | (33) | (276) | 125 |
| | \$ 250 | \$ 286 | \$ (41) | \$ (314) | \$ 181 |

Adjustments include translation adjustments.

Exit Costs

At January 1, 2009, the Company had an accrual of \$80 million for exit costs attributable to lease terminations. The additional 2009 charges of \$22 million are primarily related to the exit of leased facilities and contractual termination costs, both within the Mobile Devices segment. The adjustments of \$8 million reflected: (i) \$7 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, and (ii) \$1 million of translation adjustments. The \$38 million used in 2009 reflected cash payments. The remaining accrual of \$56 million, which was included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at July 4, 2009, represented future cash payments primarily for lease termination obligations and was expected to be paid over a number of years.

Employee Separation Costs

At January 1, 2009, the Company had an accrual of \$170 million for employee separation costs, representing the severance costs for approximately 2,000 employees. The 2009 additional charges of \$264 million represented severance costs for approximately an additional 6,800 employees, of which 2,200 were direct employees and 4,600 were indirect employees.

The adjustments of \$33 million reflect \$35 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, partially offset by \$2 million of translation adjustments.

During the six months ended July 4, 2009, approximately 7,200 employees, of which 2,900 were direct employees and 4,300 were indirect employees, were separated from the Company. The \$276 million used in 2009 reflected cash payments to these separated employees. The remaining accrual of \$125 million, which was included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at July 4, 2009, was expected to be paid to approximately 1,600 separated employees.

14. Intangible Assets and Goodwill**Intangible Assets**

Amortized intangible assets were comprised of the following:

| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | | <i>December 31, 2009</i> | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | <i>Gross Carrying Amount</i> | <i>Accumulated Amortization</i> | <i>Gross Carrying Amount</i> | <i>Accumulated Amortization</i> |
| Completed technology | \$ 1,188 | \$ 919 | \$ 1,186 | \$ 836 |

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Patents | 342 | 193 | 288 | 166 |
| Customer-related | 203 | 118 | 215 | 115 |
| Licensed technology | 130 | 122 | 130 | 122 |
| Other intangibles | 151 | 140 | 149 | 136 |
| | \$ 2,014 | \$ 1,492 | \$ 1,968 | \$ 1,375 |

Amortization expense on intangible assets, which is included within Other and Eliminations, was \$65 million and \$70 million for the three months ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009, respectively. Amortization expense on intangible assets was \$130 million and \$141 million for the six months ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009, respectively. As of July 3, 2010, annual amortization expense is estimated to be \$263 million in 2010, \$238 million in 2011, \$76 million in 2012, \$41 million in 2013 and \$20 million in 2014.

Table of Contents

30

Amortized intangible assets, excluding goodwill, by business segment:

| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | | <i>December 31, 2009</i> | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | <i>Gross Carrying Amount</i> | <i>Accumulated Amortization</i> | <i>Gross Carrying Amount</i> | <i>Accumulated Amortization</i> |
| Mobile Devices | \$ 98 | \$ 45 | \$ 45 | \$ 45 |
| Home | 654 | 536 | 647 | 509 |
| Enterprise Mobility Solutions | 1,193 | 847 | 1,207 | 758 |
| Networks | 69 | 64 | 69 | 63 |
| | \$ 2,014 | \$ 1,492 | \$ 1,968 | \$ 1,375 |

Goodwill

The following table displays a rollforward of the carrying amount of goodwill by reportable segment from January 1, 2010 to July 3, 2010:

| | <i>Mobile Devices</i> | <i>Home</i> | <i>Enterprise Mobility Solutions</i> | <i>Networks</i> | <i>Total Motorola</i> |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Balances as of January 1, 2010: | | | | | |
| Aggregate goodwill acquired | \$ 55 | \$ 1,358 | \$ 2,981 | \$ 121 | \$ 4,515 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (55) | (73) | (1,564) | | (1,692) |
| Goodwill, net of impairment losses | | 1,285 | 1,417 | 121 | 2,823 |
| Goodwill acquired | | 7 | | | 7 |
| Adjustments | | (1) | | (1) | (2) |
| Balances as of July 3, 2010: | | | | | |
| Aggregate goodwill acquired | 55 | 1,364 | 2,981 | 120 | 4,520 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (55) | (73) | (1,564) | | (1,692) |
| Goodwill, net of impairment losses | \$ | \$ 1,291 | \$ 1,417 | \$ 120 | \$ 2,828 |

15. Subsequent Events

On July 19, 2010, the Company announced an agreement to sell certain assets and liabilities of its Networks business to Nokia Siemens Networks for \$1.2 billion in cash. The Company's iDEN infrastructure business, substantially all the patents related to the Company's wireless network infrastructure business, and certain other assets are excluded from the transaction. The total assets and total liabilities included in the transaction, which are preliminary estimates subject to change, are \$1.8 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively, based on balances as of July 3, 2010. The transaction is expected to close by December 31, 2010.

Table of ContentsMANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 31**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

This commentary should be read in conjunction with Motorola, Inc.'s (the "Company's") condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009, as well as the Company's consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto and management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Executive Overview**What businesses are we in?**

The Company reports financial results for the following operating business segments:

The **Mobile Devices** segment designs, manufactures, sells and services wireless mobile devices, including smartphones, with integrated software and accessory products, and licenses intellectual property. In the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$1.7 billion, representing 32% of the Company's consolidated net sales.

The **Home** segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services set-top boxes for digital video, Internet Protocol ("IP") video, satellite and terrestrial broadcast networks, end-to-end digital video and Internet protocol television ("IPTV") distribution systems, broadband access network infrastructure platforms, and associated data and voice customer premises equipment and associated software solutions to cable television ("TV") and telecommunication service providers. In the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$886 million, representing 16% of the Company's consolidated net sales.

The **Enterprise Mobility Solutions** segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services analog and digital two-way radios, wireless LAN and security products, voice and data communications products and systems for private networks, wireless broadband systems and end-to-end enterprise mobility solutions to a wide range of customers, including government and public safety agencies (which, together with all sales to distributors of two-way communication products, are referred to as the "government and public safety market"), as well as retail, energy and utilities, transportation, manufacturing, healthcare and other commercial customers (which, collectively, are referred to as the "commercial enterprise market"). In the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$1.9 billion, representing 34% of the Company's consolidated net sales.

The **Networks** segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services wireless network systems, including cellular infrastructure systems and wireless and cellular broadband systems, to wireless service providers. In the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$967 million, representing 18% of the Company's consolidated net sales.

Second Quarter Summary

Net Sales were \$5.4 Billion: Our net sales were \$5.4 billion in the second quarter of 2010, down 2% compared to net sales of \$5.5 billion in the second quarter of 2009. Compared to the year-ago quarter, net sales decreased 13% in the Home segment, decreased 6% in the Mobile Devices segment and decreased 2% in the Networks segment, partially offset by a 10% increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment.

Operating Earnings were \$363 Million: We had operating earnings of \$363 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$10 million in the second quarter of 2009. Operating margin was 6.7% of net sales in the second quarter of 2010, compared to 0.2% of net sales in the second quarter of 2009.

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Earnings from Continuing Operations were \$162 Million, or \$0.07 per Share: We had earnings from continuing operations of \$162 million, or \$0.07 per diluted common share, in the second quarter of 2010, compared to a earnings from continuing operations of \$26 million, or \$0.01 per diluted common share, in the second quarter of 2009.

Operating Cash Flow was \$242 Million: We generated cash from operating activities of \$242 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared to \$150 million of cash generated from operating activities in the

Table of Contents32 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

second quarter of 2009. The cash generated from operating activities was primarily driven by: (i) income from continuing operations (adjusted for non-cash items) of \$660 million, and (ii) a \$254 million decrease in other current assets, partially offset by: (i) a \$428 million increase in accounts receivable, and (ii) a \$218 million change in other assets and liabilities.

Highlights for each of our business segments were as follows:

In Mobile Devices: Net sales were \$1.7 billion in the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of 6% compared to net sales of \$1.8 billion in the second quarter of 2009. On a geographic basis, net sales decreased in Latin America and Asia, and increased in North America and the Europe, Middle East and Africa region ("EMEA") compared to the year-ago quarter. The decrease in net sales was primarily driven by a 44% decrease in unit shipments, partially offset by a 65% increase in average selling price ("ASP"). We shipped 8.3 million handsets in the second quarter of 2010, a 3% decrease sequentially compared to shipments of 8.5 million handsets in the first quarter of 2010. We shipped 2.7 million Android-based smartphones in the second quarter of 2010, a 21% increase sequentially compared to shipments of 2.3 million in the first quarter of 2010.

In Home: Net sales were \$886 million in the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of 13% compared to net sales of \$1.0 billion in the second quarter of 2009. The decrease in net sales in the Home segment reflects a 21% decrease in net sales of set-top boxes, primarily due to: (i) a 10% decrease in shipments of set-top boxes to 3.4 million units and (ii) a lower ASP due to a product mix shift within our set-top box product line and competitive pricing pressures. This decrease was partially offset by higher net sales of video and access networks infrastructure equipment. On a geographic basis, net sales decreased in North America, EMEA and Asia, and increased in Latin America.

In Enterprise Mobility Solutions: Net sales were \$1.9 billion in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of 10% compared to net sales of \$1.7 billion in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in net sales reflects a 20% increase in net sales to the commercial enterprise market and a 6% increase in net sales to the government and public safety market. On a geographic basis, net sales increased in all regions.

In Networks: Net sales were \$967 million in the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of 2% compared to net sales of \$988 million in the second quarter of 2009. The 2% decrease in net sales in the Networks segment was primarily driven by lower net sales of GSM infrastructure equipment and WiMAX products, partially offset by higher net sales of CDMA, iDEN and UMTS infrastructure equipment. On a geographic basis, net sales decreased substantially in EMEA, decreased in Asia, and increased in North America and Latin America.

Recent Developments

On July 1, 2010, an initial registration statement on Form 10 was filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Company's planned separation into two independent, publicly traded companies. The Mobile Devices and Home businesses are planned to be separated from Motorola, Inc. and operate as Motorola Mobility. The Company intends to effect the separation through a tax-free stock dividend of shares of Motorola Mobility to Motorola, Inc. shareholders (the "Distribution"). The Distribution is planned for the first quarter of 2011. Completion of the Distribution is subject to a number of conditions, including, among others, confirmation of the tax-free nature of the transaction, as well as effectiveness of the Form 10 registration statement.

On July 19, 2010, the Company announced that Nokia Siemens Networks would acquire the majority of our Networks infrastructure assets for \$1.2 billion in cash. This includes our GSM, CDMA, WiMAX, and LTE businesses. We will retain our iDEN business, substantially all the patents related to the Networks business, and various other assets, including: (i) \$150 million of accounts receivable, (ii) cash and (iii) certain customer financing notes. We expect the transaction to close by the end of 2010, subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory approvals.

After the completion of the Networks asset sale to Nokia Siemens Networks and the separation, Motorola, Inc. will change its name to Motorola Solutions and be comprised of the Company's Enterprise Mobility Solutions business, which will include the iDEN business.

Table of ContentsMANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS 33
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Looking Forward**

In our Mobile Devices, while we expect the overall global mobile device market to remain intensely competitive, we expect annual growth in total industry mobile device demand over the next several years, particularly in smartphones. Our strategy is focused on developing and marketing a comprehensive smartphone portfolio and strengthening our position in priority markets. We plan to launch a significant number of new smartphone devices in 2010 and 2011 with particular focus on: (i) differentiating our products using MOTOBLUR, our proprietary applications and services suite, (ii) enhancing the ecosystem using our Motorola developer network ("MotoDEV") application development program, and (iii) providing a smartphone portfolio across multiple price points for a broad array of carrier, distributor and retail customers. Our initial market priorities are primarily North America, China, and Latin America, followed by Western Europe and other strategic markets. Our mid- to high-tier feature phone portfolio will be more limited than in the past as we shift resources to the smartphone segment of the market. Feature phone unit volumes, including integrated digital enhanced network ("iDEN") devices, are expected to be lower in 2010 than in 2009. For lower-priced, voice-centric mobile devices, we will partner with third-party original device manufacturers, primarily in Asia, to deliver a portfolio to meet certain customer requirements and extend our brand. With growth in the mobile device market, particularly in smartphones, and by accelerating our speed to market, providing rich consumer experiences and building our brand, we expect to continue to improve our financial performance.

In our Home business, we expect demand for set-top boxes to contract in 2010 compared to 2009 due to market conditions, particularly in the U.S. Longer term, growth drivers for the Home business remain intact, as digital households are expected to experience annual growth over the next several years, driven by demand for high definition TV, whole-home network solutions, 3D-TV and advanced interactive services. Analog to digital transitions are still underway, particularly outside North America, and consumer demand is expected to drive infrastructure needs for more bandwidth, optimized networks and storage, and services. We will leverage our position in set-top boxes and video delivery systems and prioritize our research and development efforts to ensure that we are well positioned for growth when demand levels recover.

We believe the combination of the Mobile Devices and Home businesses will allow us to address opportunities resulting from the convergence of mobility, media, computing and the Internet. This includes demand for innovative smartphone devices; uniform, multi-screen experiences; and interactive personalized user driven services in the home and on mobile devices. The Mobile Devices and Home businesses have core strengths in intellectual property, end-to-end solutions, design, operator relationships and a global brand which uniquely positions the combined entity to capitalize on these opportunities.

In our Enterprise Mobility Solutions business, we have market leading positions in both mission critical and business critical communications solutions for customers around the world. While many government customers are facing challenging economic environments, demand levels have remained resilient. We believe that these customers will continue to place a high priority on mission critical communications and homeland security products and solutions. Conditions in our commercial enterprise market have improved as the economic recovery gains traction. Demand in this market is expected to grow in 2010 as many of our customers upgrade their technology to improve supply chain efficiencies, increase productivity of associates and improve end-customer buying experiences. We believe that our prioritized investments in next generation products and solutions, our comprehensive portfolio and market leadership make our Enterprise Mobility Solutions business well positioned for profitable growth.

In our Networks business, we expect the overall 2G and 3G wireless infrastructure market to decline in 2010 compared to 2009. However, growth in smartphones and data traffic is driving continued demand for wireless network expansion and optimization. We will continue to optimize our 2G and 3G businesses while focusing research and development investment on next-generation 4G technologies.

Due to increased demand for products, many electronic manufactures are experiencing shortages for certain components. We continue to work closely with our customers and suppliers to secure adequate supply. In the second half of this year, if demand for our products increases from our current expectations, we may experience shortages.

We conduct our business in highly competitive markets, facing both new and established competitors. The markets for many of our products are characterized by rapidly changing technologies, frequent new product introductions, changing consumer trends, short product life cycles and evolving industry standards. Market disruptions caused by new technologies, the entry of new competitors, consolidations among our customers and competitors, and changes in regulatory requirements, among other matters, can introduce volatility into our businesses. We face challenging, but stabilizing, global economic conditions with more limited visibility than

Table of Contents34 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

historical norms. Meeting all of these challenges requires consistent operational planning and execution and investment in technology, resulting in innovative products that meet the needs of our customers around the world. As we execute on meeting these objectives, we remain focused on taking the necessary action to design and deliver differentiated and innovative products and services that will advance the way the world connects by simplifying and personalizing communications and enhancing mobility.

Results of Operations

| <i>(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)</i> | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | | | | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | July 3, 2010 | % of Sales | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>% of Sales</i> | July 3, 2010 | % of Sales | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>% of Sales</i> |
| Net sales | \$ 5,414 | | \$ 5,497 | | \$ 10,458 | | \$ 10,868 | |
| Costs of sales | 3,412 | 63.0% | 3,787 | 68.9% | 6,670 | 63.8% | 7,662 | 70.5% |
| Gross margin | 2,002 | 37.0% | 1,710 | 31.1% | 3,788 | 36.2% | 3,206 | 29.5% |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 896 | 16.5% | 822 | 15.0% | 1,772 | 16.9% | 1,691 | 15.6% |
| Research and development expenditures | 762 | 14.1% | 775 | 14.1% | 1,519 | 14.5% | 1,622 | 14.9% |
| Other charges (income) | (19) | (0.4)% | 103 | 1.9% | 58 | 0.6% | 332 | 3.1% |
| Operating earnings (loss) | 363 | 6.7% | 10 | 0.2% | 439 | 4.2% | (439) | (4.0)% |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (38) | (0.7)% | (30) | (0.5)% | (71) | (0.7)% | (65) | (0.6)% |
| Gains on sales of investments and businesses, net | 53 | 1.0% | 30 | 0.5% | 61 | 0.6% | 10 | 0.1% |
| Other | (33) | (0.6)% | 23 | 0.4% | (21) | (0.2)% | 93 | 0.9% |
| Total other income (expense) | (18) | (0.3)% | 23 | 0.4% | (31) | (0.3)% | 38 | 0.3% |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 345 | 6.4% | 33 | 0.6% | 408 | 3.9% | (401) | (3.7)% |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 179 | 3.3% | (2) | (0.0)% | 174 | (1.7)% | (148) | (1.4)% |
| | 166 | 3.1% | 35 | 0.6% | 234 | 2.2% | (253) | (2.3)% |
| | 4 | 0.1% | 9 | 0.1% | 3 | 0.0% | 12 | 0.1% |

Less: Earnings
attributable to
non-controlling
interests

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations* | 162 | 3.0% | 26 | 0.5% | 231 | 2.2% | (265) | (2.4)% |
| Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax | | % | | % | | % | 60 | 0.5% |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ 162 | 3.0% | \$ 26 | 0.5% | \$ 231 | 2.2% | \$ (205) | (1.9)% |

**Earnings (loss) per
diluted common
share:**

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|--|----------------|--|----------------|--|------------------|--|
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.07 | | \$ 0.01 | | \$ 0.10 | | \$ (0.12) | |
| Discontinued operations | | | | | | | 0.03 | |
| | \$ 0.07 | | \$ 0.01 | | \$ 0.10 | | \$ (0.09) | |

*

Amounts attributable to Motorola, Inc. common shareholders.

Results of Operations Three months ended July 3, 2010 compared to three months ended July 4, 2009

Net Sales

Net sales were \$5.4 billion in the second quarter of 2010, down 2% compared to net sales of \$5.5 billion in the second quarter of 2009. The decrease in net sales reflects: (i) a \$127 million, or 13%, decrease in net sales in the Home segment, (ii) a \$105 million, or 6%, decrease in net sales in the Mobile Devices segment, and (iii) a \$21 million, or 2%, decrease in net sales in the Networks segment, partially offset by a \$165 million, or 10%, increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The 13% decrease in net sales in the Home segment reflects a 21% decrease in net sales of set-top boxes, primarily due to: (i) a 10% decrease in shipments of set-top boxes to 3.4 million units, and (ii) a lower average selling price ("ASP") due to a product mix shift within our set-top box product lines and competitive pricing pressures. The 6% decrease in net sales in the Mobile Devices segment was primarily driven by a 44% decrease in unit shipments, partially offset by a 65% increase in ASP. The 2% decrease in net sales in the Networks segment was primarily driven by lower net sales of

Table of ContentsMANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS 35
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

GSM infrastructure equipment and WiMAX products, partially offset by higher net sales of CDMA, iDEN and UMTS infrastructure equipment. The 10% increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment reflects a 20% increase in net sales to the commercial enterprise market and a 6% increase in net sales to the government and public safety market.

Gross Margin

Gross margin was \$2.0 billion, or 37.0% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2010, compared to \$1.7 billion, or 31.1% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in gross margin reflects: (i) a significant increase in the Mobile Devices segment, and (ii) increases in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions and Networks segments, partially offset by a slight decrease in the Home segment. The increase in gross margin in the Mobile Devices segment was primarily driven by: (i) a favorable product mix, specifically due to increased volume of smartphone devices, and (ii) lower excess inventory and other related charges in 2010 than in 2009, partially offset by the 6% decrease in net sales. The increase in gross margin in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment was primarily driven by the 10% increase in net sales and a favorable product mix. The increase in gross margin in the Networks segment was primarily due to favorable product mix, partially offset by the 2% decrease in net sales. The decrease in gross margin in the Home segment was due to the 13% decrease in net sales partially offset by a favorable product margin mix across product lines.

The increase in gross margin as a percentage of net sales in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009 reflects an increase in gross margin percentage in all segments. The Company's overall gross margin as a percentage of net sales is impacted by the proportion of overall net sales generated by its various businesses.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses increased 9.0% to \$896 million, or 16.5% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2010, compared to \$822 million, or 15.0% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in SG&A expenses reflects higher SG&A expenses in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions, Mobile Devices and Networks segments, partially offset by slightly lower SG&A expenses in the Home segment. The increase in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment was primarily due to increased selling and marketing expenses related to the increase in net sales. The increase in the Mobile Devices segment was primarily driven by an increase in marketing expenses. The increase in the Networks segment was primarily due to increased expenditures on information technology upgrades. SG&A expenses as a percentage of net sales increased in all segments.

Research and Development Expenditures

Research and development ("R&D") expenditures decreased 2% to \$762 million, or 14.1% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2010, compared to \$775 million, or 14.1% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2009. The decrease in R&D expenditures reflects lower R&D expenditures in the Home and Networks segments, partially offset by increased R&D expenditures in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The decreases in R&D expenditures in the Home and Networks segments are primarily due to savings from cost-reduction initiatives. The increase in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment was primarily due to developmental engineering expenditures for new product development and investment in next-generation technologies.

R&D expenditures as a percentage of net sales decreased in the Networks and Enterprise Mobility Solutions segments and increased in the Mobile Devices and Home segments. The Company participates in very competitive industries with constant changes in technology and, accordingly, the Company continues to believe that a strong commitment to R&D is required to drive long-term growth.

Other Charges (Income)

The Company recorded net income of \$19 million in Other charges (income) in the second quarter of 2010, compared to net charges of \$103 million in the second quarter of 2009. The net other income in the second quarter of 2010 included a \$228 million gain related to a legal settlement, partially offset by: (i) \$105 million of separation-related transaction costs, (ii) \$65 million of charges relating to the amortization of intangibles, (iii) \$21 million of charges relating to a royalty settlement, and (iv) \$18 million of net reorganization of business charges included in

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Other charges (income). The charges in the second quarter of 2009 include: (i) \$70 million of charges relating to the amortization of intangibles, (ii) \$49 million of net reorganization of business charges included in Other charges (income), and (iii) \$39 million of charges related to a facility impairment, partially

Table of Contents

| | |
|----|--|
| 36 | MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS |
|----|--|

offset by income of \$55 million related to a legal settlement. The net reorganization of business charges are discussed in further detail in the "Reorganization of Businesses" section.

Net Interest Expense

Net interest expense was \$38 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared to net interest expense of \$30 million in the second quarter of 2009. Net interest expense in the second quarter of 2010 included interest expense of \$59 million, partially offset by interest income of \$21 million. Net interest expense in the second quarter of 2009 includes interest expense of \$49 million, partially offset by interest income of \$19 million. The increase in net interest expense in 2010 is primarily attributable to the absence of a reversal of approximately \$8 million of interest expense accruals that were no longer needed as a result of the settlement of certain tax audits in the second quarter 2009.

Gains (Losses) on Sales of Investments and Businesses

Gains on sales of investments and businesses were \$53 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared to gains on sales of investments and businesses of \$30 million in the second quarter of 2009. In the second quarter of 2010, the net gain was primarily comprised of: (i) a \$31 million gain on the sale of a single investment, and (ii) a \$20 million gain on the sale of our Israel-based wireless network operator formerly included as part of the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. In the second quarter of 2009 the net gain was primarily comprised of: (i) a gain attributable to the disposition of a single business, and (ii) gains related to sales of certain of the Company's equity investments.

Other

Net Other expense was \$33 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared to net Other income of \$23 million in the second quarter of 2009. The net Other expense in the second quarter of 2010 was primarily comprised of: (i) a \$12 million loss from the extinguishment of debt, (ii) \$10 million of investment impairments, (iii) an \$8 million foreign currency loss, and (iv) a \$4 million loss from Sigma Fund investments. The net Other income in the second quarter of 2009 was primarily comprised of a \$68 million mark-to-market increase in the value of Sigma Fund investments, partially offset by: (i) a \$34 million foreign currency loss, and (ii) \$26 million of investment impairment charges.

Effective Tax Rate

The Company recorded \$179 million of net tax expense in the second quarter of 2010, resulting in an effective tax rate of 52%, compared to \$2 million of net tax benefits in the second quarter of 2009, resulting in an effective tax rate of (6)%. The Company's effective tax rate in the second quarter of 2010 was higher than the U.S. statutory tax rate of 35% primarily due to (i) an increase in the U.S. federal income tax accrual for repatriation of undistributed foreign earnings, and (ii) certain separation-related transaction costs incurred for which the Company recorded no tax benefit offset by a reduction in unrecognized tax benefits for facts that now indicate the extent to which certain tax positions are more-likely-than-not of being sustained.

The Company's effective tax rate will change from period to period based on non-recurring events, such as the settlement of income tax audits, changes in valuation allowances and the tax impact of significant unusual or extraordinary items, as well as recurring factors including changes in the geographic mix of income before taxes and effects of various global income tax strategies.

Earnings (Loss) from Continuing Operations

The Company had net earnings from continuing operations before income taxes of \$345 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared with net earnings from continuing operations before income taxes of \$33 million in the second quarter of 2009. After taxes, and excluding Earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests, the Company had net earnings from continuing operations of \$162 million, or \$0.07 per diluted share, in the second quarter of 2010, compared to net earnings from continuing operations of \$26 million, or \$0.01 per diluted share, in the second quarter of 2009.

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

The improvement in the earnings from continuing operations before income taxes in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009 was primarily attributable to: (i) a \$292 million increase in gross margin, (ii) a \$122 million improvement in Other charges (income), (iii) a \$23 million increase in gains on the sale of investments and businesses, and (iv) a \$13 million decrease in R&D expenditures. These factors were

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 37 |
|--|----|

partially offset by: (i) a \$74 million increase in SG&A expenses, (ii) a \$56 million decrease in net Other income, and (iii) an \$8 million increase in net interest expense.

Results of Operations Six months ended July 3, 2010 compared to six months ended July 4, 2009

Net Sales

Net sales were \$10.5 billion in the first half of 2010, down 4% compared to net sales of \$10.9 billion in the first half of 2009. The decrease in net sales reflects: (i) a \$314 million, or 15%, decrease in net sales in the Home segment, (ii) a \$265 million, or 7%, decrease in net sales in the Mobile Devices segment, and (iii) a \$91 million, or 5%, decrease in net sales in the Networks segment, partially offset by a \$260 million, or 8%, increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The 15% decrease in net sales in the Home segment reflects a 28% decrease in net sales of set-top boxes, primarily due to: (i) a 20% decrease in shipments of set-top boxes to 6.4 million units, and (ii) a lower average selling price ("ASP") due to a product mix shift within our set-top box product lines and competitive pricing pressures. The 7% decrease in net sales in the Mobile Devices segment was primarily driven by a 43% decrease in unit shipments, partially offset by a 61% increase in ASP. The 5% decrease in net sales in the Networks segment was primarily driven by lower net sales of GSM infrastructure equipment, partially offset by: (i) higher net sales of CDMA, UMTS and iDEN infrastructure equipment, and (ii) higher net sales of WiMAX products. The 8% increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment reflects a 18% increase in net sales to the commercial enterprise market and a 5% increase in net sales to the government and public safety market.

Gross Margin

Gross margin was \$3.8 billion, or 36.2% of net sales, in the first half of 2010, compared to \$3.2 billion, or 29.5% of net sales, in the first half of 2009. The increase in gross margin reflects: (i) a significant increase in the Mobile Devices segment, and (ii) increases in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions and Networks segments, partially offset by a slight decrease in the Home segment. The increase in gross margin in the Mobile Devices segment was primarily driven by: (i) a favorable product mix, specifically due to increased volume of smartphone devices, and (ii) lower excess inventory and other related charges in 2010 than in 2009, partially offset by the 7% decrease in net sales. The increase in gross margin in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment was primarily driven by the 8% increase in net sales and a favorable product mix. The increase in gross margin in the Networks segment was primarily due to favorable product mix, partially offset by the 5% decrease in net sales. The decrease in gross margin in the Home segment was due to the 15% decrease in net sales partially offset by a favorable product margin mix across products lines.

The increase in gross margin as a percentage of net sales in the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009 reflects an increase in gross margin percentage in all segments. The Company's overall gross margin as a percentage of net sales is impacted by the proportion of overall net sales generated by its various businesses.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses increased 5% to \$1.8 billion, or 16.9% of net sales, in the first half of 2010, compared to \$1.7 billion, or 15.6% of net sales, in the first half of 2009. The increase in SG&A expenses reflects higher SG&A expenses in all segments. The increase in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment was primarily due to increased selling and marketing expenses related to the increase in net sales. The increase in the Mobile Devices segment was primarily driven by an increase in marketing expenses. The increases in the Networks and Home segments were primarily due to increased expenditures on information technology upgrades. SG&A expenses as a percentage of net sales increased in all segments.

Research and Development Expenditures

Research and development ("R&D") expenditures decreased 6% to \$1.5 billion, or 14.5% of net sales, in the first half of 2010, compared to \$1.6 billion, or 14.9% of net sales, in the first half of 2009. The decrease in R&D expenditures reflects lower R&D expenditures in the Mobile

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Devices, Home and Networks segments, partially offset by increased R&D expenditures in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The decreases in R&D expenditures in the Mobile Devices, Home and Networks segments are primarily due to savings from cost-reduction initiatives. The increase in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment was primarily due to developmental engineering expenditures for new product development and investment in next-generation technologies.

Table of Contents

| | |
|----|--|
| 38 | MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS |
|----|--|

R&D expenditures as a percentage of net sales decreased in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions, Networks and Mobile Devices segments and increased in the Home segment. The Company participates in very competitive industries with constant changes in technology and, accordingly, the Company continues to believe that a strong commitment to R&D is required to drive long-term growth.

Other Charges

The Company recorded net charges of \$58 million in Other charges in the first half of 2010, compared to net charges of \$332 million in the first half of 2009. The charges in the first half of 2010 included: (i) \$130 million of charges relating to the amortization of intangibles, (ii) \$130 million of separation-related transaction costs, (iii) \$34 million of net reorganization of business charges included in Other charges, and (iv) \$21 million of charges relating to a royalty settlement, partially offset by a \$257 million gain related to legal settlements. The charges in the first half of 2009 include: (i) \$207 million of net reorganization of business charges included in Other charges, (ii) \$141 million of charges relating to the amortization of intangibles, and (iii) a \$39 million charge related to a facility impairment, partially offset by income of \$55 million related to a legal settlement.

Net Interest Expense

Net interest expense was \$71 million in the first half of 2010, compared to net interest expense of \$65 million in the first half of 2009. Net interest expense in the first half of 2010 included interest expense of \$120 million, partially offset by interest income of \$49 million. Net interest expense in the first half of 2009 includes interest expense of \$111 million, partially offset by interest income of \$46 million. The increase in net interest expense in 2010 is primarily attributable to increased costs related to the credit facility amended in the second quarter of 2009 and to the absence of a reversal of approximately \$8 million of interest expense accruals that were no longer needed as a result of the settlement of certain tax audits in the second quarter 2009.

Gains (Losses) on Sales of Investments and Businesses

Gains on sales of investments and businesses were \$61 million in the first half of 2010, compared to a gain on sales of investments and businesses of \$10 million in the first half of 2009. In the first half of 2010, the net gain was primarily comprised of: (i) a \$31 million gain on the sale of a single investment, and (ii) a \$20 million gain on the sale of our Israel-based wireless network operator formerly included as part of the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. In the first half of 2009, the net gain primarily relates to sales of certain of the Company's equity investments, partially offset by a net loss on the sale of specific businesses.

Other

Net Other expense was \$21 million in the first half of 2010, compared to net Other income of \$93 million in the first half of 2009. The net Other expense in the first half of 2010 was primarily comprised of: (i) \$19 million of investment impairments, (ii) a \$12 million loss from the extinguishment of debt, and (iii) a \$5 million foreign currency loss, partially offset by a \$12 million gain from Sigma Fund investments. The net income in the first half of 2009 was primarily comprised of: (i) a \$75 million mark-to-market increase in the value of Sigma Fund investments, and (ii) a \$67 million gain related to the extinguishment of a portion of the Company's outstanding long-term debt, partially offset by: (i) \$33 million of other-than-temporary investment impairment charges, and (ii) a \$28 million foreign currency loss.

Effective Tax Rate

The Company recorded \$174 million of net tax expense in the first half of 2010, resulting in an effective tax rate of 43%, compared to \$148 million of net tax benefits in the first half of 2009, resulting in an effective tax rate of 37%. The Company's effective tax rate in the first half of 2010 was higher than the U.S. statutory tax rate of 35% primarily due to (i) an increase in the U.S. federal income tax accrual for repatriation of undistributed foreign earnings (ii) a non-cash tax charge related to the Medicare Part D subsidy tax law change and (iii) certain separation-related transaction costs incurred for which the Company recorded no tax benefit partially offset by reductions in unrecognized tax

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

benefits for facts that now indicate the extent to which certain tax positions are more-likely-than-not of being sustained.

The Company's effective tax rate will change from period to period based on non-recurring events, such as the settlement of income tax audits, changes in valuation allowances and the tax impact of significant unusual or extraordinary items, as well as recurring factors including changes in the geographic mix of income before taxes and effects of various global income tax strategies.

Table of ContentsMANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS 39
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS*Earnings (Loss) from Continuing Operations*

The Company had net earnings from continuing operations before income taxes of \$408 million in the first half of 2010, compared with a net loss from continuing operations before income taxes of \$401 million in the first half of 2009. After taxes, and excluding Earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests, the Company had net earnings from continuing operations of \$231 million, or \$0.10 per diluted share, in the first half of 2010, compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$265 million, or \$0.12 per diluted share, in the first half of 2009.

The improvement in the earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes in the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009 was primarily attributable to: (i) a \$582 million increase in gross margin, (ii) a \$274 million decrease in Other charges, (iii) a \$103 million decrease in R&D expenditures, and (iv) a \$51 million increase in gains on the sale of investments and businesses. These factors were partially offset by: (i) a \$114 million decrease in net Other income, (ii) an \$81 million increase in SG&A expenses, and (iii) a \$6 million increase in net interest expense.

Earnings from Discontinued Operations

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company completed the sales of: (i) Good Technology, and (ii) the Company's former biometrics business unit, which included its Printrak trademark. After taxes, the Company had earnings from discontinued operations of \$60 million, or \$0.03 per diluted share, in the first quarter of 2009.

Reorganization of Businesses

The Company maintains a formal Involuntary Severance Plan (the "Severance Plan"), which permits the Company to offer eligible employees severance benefits based on years of service and employment grade level in the event that employment is involuntarily terminated as a result of a reduction-in-force or restructuring. The Company recognizes termination benefits based on formulas per the Severance Plan at the point in time that future settlement is probable and can be reasonably estimated based on estimates prepared at the time a restructuring plan is approved by management. Exit costs consist of future minimum lease payments on vacated facilities and other contractual terminations. At each reporting date, the Company evaluates its accruals for employee separation and exit costs to ensure the accruals are still appropriate. In certain circumstances, accruals are no longer needed because of efficiencies in carrying out the plans or because employees previously identified for separation resigned from the Company and did not receive severance or were redeployed due to circumstances not foreseen when the original plans were initiated. In these cases, the Company reverses accruals through the consolidated statements of operations where the original charges were recorded when it is determined they are no longer needed.

The Company expects to realize cost-saving benefits of approximately \$51 million during the remaining six months of 2010 from the plans that were initiated during the first half of 2010, representing: (i) \$25 million of savings in R&D expenditures, (ii) \$17 million of savings in SG&A expenses, and (iii) \$9 million of savings in Costs of sales. Beyond 2010, the Company expects the reorganization plans initiated during the first half of 2010 to provide annualized cost savings of approximately \$92 million, representing: (i) \$48 million of savings in R&D expenditures, (ii) \$29 million of savings in SG&A expenses, and (iii) \$15 million of savings in Cost of sales.

2010 Charges

During the first half of 2010, the Company continued to implement various productivity improvement plans aimed at achieving long-term, sustainable profitability by driving efficiencies and reducing operating costs. All four of the Company's business segments are impacted by these plans, with the majority of the impact in the Mobile Devices segment. The employees affected are located in all geographic regions.

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$25 million, including \$7 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$18 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$25 million are charges of \$32 million for employee separation costs, partially offset by \$7 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

During the first half of 2010, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$46 million, including \$12 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$34 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in

the aggregate \$46 million are charges of \$64 million for employee separation costs, partially offset by \$18 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

Table of Contents

| | |
|----|--|
| 40 | MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS |
|----|--|

The following table displays the net charges incurred by business segment:

| <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Six Months Ended</i> |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mobile Devices | \$ 2 | \$ 17 |
| Home | 5 | 10 |
| Enterprise Mobility Solutions | 14 | 16 |
| Networks | | 1 |
| | 21 | 44 |
| Corporate | 4 | 2 |
| | \$ 25 | \$ 46 |

The following table displays a rollforward of the reorganization of businesses accruals established for exit costs and employee separation costs from January 1, 2010 to July 3, 2010:

| | <i>Accruals at January 1, 2010</i> | <i>Additional Charges</i> | <i>Adjustments</i> | <i>Amount Used</i> | <i>Accruals at July 3, 2010</i> |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|
| Exit costs | \$ 58 | \$ | \$ (6) | \$ (23) | \$ 29 |
| Employee separation costs | 80 | 64 | (14) | (75) | 55 |
| | \$ 138 | \$ 64 | \$ (20) | \$ (98) | \$ 84 |

Adjustments include translation adjustments.

Exit Costs

At January 1, 2010, the Company had an accrual of \$58 million for exit costs attributable to lease terminations. The additional 2010 charges were not material. The adjustments of \$6 million primarily reflect reversals of accruals no longer needed. The \$23 million used in 2010 reflects cash payments. The remaining accrual of \$29 million, which is included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at July 3, 2010, represents future cash payments, primarily for lease termination obligations that are expected to be paid over a number of years.

Employee Separation Costs

At January 1, 2010, the Company had an accrual of \$80 million for employee separation costs, representing the severance costs for: (i) severed employees who began receiving payments in 2009, and (ii) approximately 1,200 employees who began receiving payments in 2010. The 2010 additional charges of \$64 million represent severance costs for approximately an additional 1,400 employees, of which 400 are direct employees and 1,000 are indirect employees.

The adjustments of \$14 million reflect: (i) \$12 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, and (ii) \$2 million of translation adjustments.

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

During the first half of 2010, approximately 1,300 employees, of which 300 were direct employees and 1,000 were indirect employees, were separated from the Company. The \$75 million used in 2010 reflects cash payments to separated employees. The remaining accrual of \$55 million, which is included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at July 3, 2010, is expected to be paid, generally, within one year to: (i) severed employees who have begun to receive payments, and (ii) approximately 1,000 employees to be separated in 2010.

2009 Charges

During the first half of 2009, the Company committed to implement various productivity improvement plans aimed at achieving long-term, sustainable profitability by driving efficiencies and reducing operating costs. All four of the Company's business segments, as well as corporate functions, were impacted by these plans, with the majority of the impact in the Mobile Devices segment. The employees affected were located in all regions.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$58 million, including \$9 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$49 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$58 million are

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 41

charges of \$60 million for employee separation costs, \$18 million for exit costs and \$1 million for fixed asset impairment charges, partially offset by \$21 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

During the first half of 2009, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$262 million, including \$55 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$207 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$262 million are charges of \$264 million for employee separation costs, \$22 million for exit costs and \$18 million for fixed asset impairment charges, partially offset by \$42 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

The following table displays the net charges incurred by business segment:

| <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | <i>Six Months Ended</i> |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mobile Devices | \$ 33 | \$ 161 |
| Home | 6 | 16 |
| Enterprise Mobility Solutions | 7 | 37 |
| Networks | 6 | 17 |
| | 52 | 231 |
| Corporate | 6 | 31 |
| | \$ 58 | \$ 262 |

The following table displays a rollforward of the reorganization of businesses accruals established for exit costs and employee separation costs from January 1, 2009 to July 4, 2009:

| | <i>Accruals at January 1, 2009</i> | <i>Additional Charges</i> | <i>Adjustments</i> | <i>Amount Used</i> | <i>Accruals at July 4, 2009</i> |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|
| Exit costs | \$ 80 | \$ 22 | \$ (8) | \$ (38) | \$ 56 |
| Employee separation costs | 170 | 264 | (33) | (276) | 125 |
| | \$ 250 | \$ 286 | \$ (41) | \$ (314) | \$ 181 |

Adjustments include translation adjustments.

Exit Costs

At January 1, 2009, the Company had an accrual of \$80 million for exit costs attributable to lease terminations. The additional 2009 charges of \$22 million are primarily related to the exit of leased facilities and contractual termination costs, both within the Mobile Devices segment. The adjustments of \$8 million reflected: (i) \$7 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, and (ii) \$1 million of translation adjustments. The \$38 million used in 2009 reflected cash payments. The remaining accrual of \$56 million, which is included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at July 4, 2009, represented future cash payments primarily for lease termination obligations.

Employee Separation Costs

At January 1, 2009, the Company had an accrual of \$170 million for employee separation costs, representing the severance costs for approximately 2,000 employees. The 2009 additional charges of \$264 million represented severance costs for approximately an additional 6,800 employees, of which 2,200 were direct employees and 4,600 were indirect employees.

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

The adjustments of \$33 million reflect \$35 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, partially offset by \$2 million of translation adjustments.

During the first half of 2009, approximately 7,200 employees, of which 2,900 were direct employees and 4,300 were indirect employees, were separated from the Company. The \$276 million used in 2009 reflected cash payments to these separated employees. The remaining accrual of \$125 million, which was included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at July 4, 2009, was expected to be paid to approximately 1,600 separated employees.

Table of Contents

| | |
|----|--|
| 42 | MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS |
|----|--|

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As highlighted in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company's liquidity and available capital resources are impacted by four key components: (i) cash and cash equivalents, (ii) operating activities, (iii) investing activities, and (iv) financing activities.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company's cash and cash equivalents (which are highly-liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less) were \$2.9 billion at July 3, 2010, compared to \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2009. At July 3, 2010, \$516 million of this amount was held in the U.S. and \$2.4 billion was held by the Company or its subsidiaries in other countries. At July 3, 2010, restricted cash was \$216 million (including \$152 million held outside the U.S.), compared to \$206 million (including \$143 million held outside the U.S.) at December 31, 2009.

The Company continues to analyze and review various repatriation strategies to continue to efficiently repatriate funds. During the six months of 2010, the Company repatriated \$948 million in funds to the U.S. from international jurisdictions with minimal cash tax cost. The Company has approximately \$2.0 billion of earnings in foreign subsidiaries that are not permanently reinvested and may be repatriated without additional U.S. federal income tax charges to the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, given the U.S. Federal tax provisions previously accrued on undistributed earnings and the utilization of available foreign tax credits. On a cash basis, these repatriations from the Company's non-U.S. subsidiaries could require the payment of additional foreign taxes. While the Company regularly repatriates funds and a portion of offshore funds can be repatriated with minimal adverse financial impact, repatriation of some of these funds could be subject to delay for local country approvals and could have potential adverse tax consequences.

Operating Activities

In the first half of 2010, the cash provided by operating activities was \$727 million, compared to \$864 million of cash used for operating activities in the first half of 2009. The primary contributors to the cash provided in the first half of 2010 were: (i) income from continuing operations (adjusted for net non-cash charges) of \$918 million, and (iii) a \$368 million decrease in other current assets, partially offset by: (i) a \$356 million decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and (ii) a \$186 million increase in other assets and liabilities.

Accounts Receivable: The Company's net accounts receivable were \$3.5 billion at both July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Compared to December 31, 2009, net accounts receivable at July 3, 2010 were lower for the Mobile Devices and Networks segments and higher for the Enterprise Mobility Solutions and Home segments. The Company's businesses sell their products in a variety of markets throughout the world and payment terms can vary by market type and geographic location. Accordingly, the Company's levels of net accounts receivable can be impacted by the timing and level of sales that are made by its various businesses and by the geographic locations in which those sales are made. See related discussion below under "Sales of Receivables".

Inventory: The Company's net inventory was \$1.3 billion at both July 3, 2010, and December 31, 2009. The slight decrease in the Company's net inventory was driven by: (i) a substantial decrease in net inventory in the Mobile Devices segment, partially offset by increased net inventory in the Networks, Enterprise Mobility Solutions and Home segments. The substantial decrease in net inventory in the Mobile Devices segment was due to supply-chain efficiencies. Inventory management continues to be an area of focus as the Company balances the need to maintain strategic inventory levels to ensure competitive delivery performance to its customers against the risk of inventory excess and obsolescence due to rapidly changing technology and customer spending requirements.

Table of ContentsMANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS 43
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Accounts Payable: The Company's accounts payable were \$2.3 billion at July 3, 2010, compared to \$2.4 billion at December 31, 2009. Accounts payable decreased in the Networks, Mobile Devices and Home segments, and increased accounts payable in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The Company buys products in a variety of markets throughout the world and payment terms can vary by market type and geographic location. Accordingly, the Company's levels of accounts payable can be impacted by the timing and level of purchases made by its various businesses and by the geographic locations in which those purchases are made.

Reorganization of Businesses: The Company has implemented reorganization of businesses plans. Cash payments for employee severance and exit costs in connection with a number of these plans were \$98 million in the first half of 2010, as compared to \$314 million in the first half of 2009. Of the \$84 million of reorganization of businesses accruals at July 3, 2010, \$55 million relate to employee separation costs and are expected to be paid in 2010. The remaining \$29 million in accruals relate to lease termination obligations that are expected to be paid over a number of years.

Benefit Plan Contributions: During the first half of 2010, the Company contributed \$25 million to its non-U.S. plans and contributed \$50 million to its U.S. Regular Pension Plan. Additional contributions of \$50 million were made to the Company's U.S. Regular Pension Plan subsequent to the six month period ended July 3, 2010. The Company expects to make additional contributions of approximately \$50 million to its U.S. Regular Pension Plan during the remainder of 2010. The Company expects to make additional cash contributions of approximately \$20 million to its non-U.S. plans and no cash contributions to its retiree health care plan during the remainder of 2010.

Investing Activities

Net cash used for investing activities was \$172 million in the first half of 2010, compared to net cash provided of \$924 million in the first half of 2009. This \$1.1 billion decrease was primarily due to: (i) a \$918 million decrease in cash received from net sales of Sigma Fund investments, and (ii) a \$203 million decrease in proceeds from sales of short-term investments, partially offset by a \$22 million increase in proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment.

Sigma Fund: The Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries invest most of their U.S. dollar-denominated cash in a fund (the "Sigma Fund") that is designed to provide investment returns similar to a money market fund. The Company had net purchases of \$248 million of Sigma Fund investments in the first half of 2010, compared to \$670 million in net proceeds received from sales of the Sigma Fund investments in the first half of 2009. The aggregate fair value of Sigma Fund investments was \$5.4 billion at July 3, 2010 (including \$2.1 billion held by the Company or its subsidiaries outside the U.S.), compared to \$5.2 billion at December 31, 2009 (including \$2.3 billion held by the Company or its subsidiaries outside the U.S.).

The Sigma Fund portfolio is managed by four independent investment management firms. The investment guidelines of the Sigma Fund require that purchased investments must be in high-quality, investment grade (rated at least A/A-1 by Standard & Poor's or A2/P-1 by Moody's Investors Service), U.S. dollar-denominated debt obligations, including certificates of deposit, commercial paper, government bonds, corporate bonds and asset- and mortgage-backed securities. Under the Sigma Fund's investment policies, except for debt obligations of the U.S. government, agencies and government-sponsored enterprises, no more than 5% of the Sigma Fund portfolio is to consist of debt obligations of any one issuer. The Sigma Fund's investment policies further require that floating rate investments must have a maturity at purchase date that does not exceed thirty-six months with an interest rate that is reset at least annually. The average interest rate reset of the investments held by the funds must be 120 days or less. The actual average interest rate reset of the portfolio (excluding cash and defaulted securities) was 16 days at July 3, 2010, compared to 15 days at December 31, 2009.

Investments in the Sigma Fund are carried at fair value. The Company primarily relies on valuation pricing models and broker quotes to determine the fair value of investments in the Sigma Fund. The valuation models are developed and maintained by third-party pricing services, and use a number of standard inputs, including benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes where the counterparty is standing ready and able to transact, issuer spreads, benchmark securities, bids, offers and other reference data. For each asset class, quantifiable inputs related to perceived market movements and sector news may be considered in addition to the standard inputs.

At July 3, 2010, \$5.3 billion of the Sigma Fund investments were classified as current in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets, compared to \$5.1 billion at December 31, 2009. The weighted average

Table of Contents

| | |
|----|--|
| 44 | MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS |
|----|--|

maturity of the Sigma Fund investments classified as current was 1 month (excluding defaulted securities) at July 3, 2010, compared to 1 month (excluding cash of \$202 million and defaulted securities) at December 31, 2009. At July 3, 2010, approximately 97% of the Sigma Fund investments were invested in U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations. This reflects a strategic decision by the Company to prioritize capital preservation rather than returns.

During the first half of 2010, the Company recorded a gain from the Sigma Fund investments of \$12 million in Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statement of operations, compared to a gain from the Sigma Fund investments of \$75 million during the first half of 2009.

Securities with a maturity greater than 12 months and defaulted securities have been classified as non-current in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. At July 3, 2010, \$105 million of the Sigma Fund investments were classified as non-current, and the weighted average maturity of the Sigma Fund investments classified as non-current (excluding defaulted securities) was 106 months. At December 31, 2009, \$66 million of the Sigma Fund investments were classified as non-current.

The Company continuously assesses its cash needs and continues to believe that the balance of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and investments in the Sigma Fund classified as current are more than adequate to meet its current operating requirements over the next twelve months. Therefore, the Company believes it is prudent to hold the \$105 million of securities classified as non-current to maturity.

Strategic Acquisitions and Investments: The Company used net cash for acquisitions and new investment activities of \$26 million in the first half of 2010, compared to net cash used of \$21 million in the first half of 2009. The cash used in the first half of 2010 and 2009 were for small strategic investments across the Company.

Capital Expenditures: Capital expenditures were \$146 million in the first half of 2010, compared to \$137 million in the first half of 2009. The Company's emphasis when making capital expenditure decisions is to focus on strategic investments driven by customer demand and new design capability.

Sales of Investments and Businesses: The Company received \$243 million in net proceeds from the sales of investments and businesses in the first half of 2010, compared to proceeds of \$226 million in the first half of 2009. The \$243 million in proceeds in the first half of 2010 were primarily comprised of: (i) the sale of our Israel-based wireless network operator business formerly included as part of the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment, and the sale of a single investment. The \$226 million in proceeds in the first half of 2009 was primarily related to the sale of the biometrics business, which was sold during the first quarter of 2009.

Investments: In addition to available cash and cash equivalents, the Sigma Fund balances (current and non-current) and short-term investments, the Company views its investments as an additional source of liquidity. The majority of these securities are available-for-sale and cost-method investments in technology companies. The fair market values of these securities are subject to substantial price volatility. In addition, the realizable values of these securities are subject to market and other conditions. At July 3, 2010, the Company's available-for-sale equity securities portfolio had an approximate fair market value of \$46 million, which represented a cost basis of \$30 million and a net unrealized gain of \$16 million. At December 31, 2009, the Company's available-for-sale equity securities portfolio had an approximate fair market value of \$147 million, comprised of a cost basis of \$37 million and a net unrealized gain of \$110 million.

Financing Activities

Net cash used for financing activities was \$425 million in the first half of 2010, compared to \$235 million used in the first half of 2009. Cash used for financing activities in the first half of 2010 was primarily comprised of \$481 million of cash used for the repurchase of long-term debt, partially offset by \$68 million of net cash received from the issuance of common stock in connection with the Company's employee stock option plans and employee stock purchase plan.

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Net cash used for financing activities in the first half of 2009 was primarily: (i) \$129 million of cash used for the repurchase of debt, (ii) \$114 million of cash used to pay dividends, and (iii) \$54 million of net cash used for the repayment of short-term borrowings, partially offset by \$56 million of net cash received from the issuance of common stock in connection with the Company's employee stock option plans and employee stock purchase plan.

Short-Term Debt: At July 3, 2010, the Company's outstanding notes payable and current portion of long-term debt was \$531 million, compared to \$536 million at December 31, 2009. Net cash used for the

Table of ContentsMANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS 45
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

repayment of short-term borrowings was \$5 million in the first half of 2010, compared to repayment of \$54 million of short-term borrowings in the first half of 2009.

Long-term Debt: July 3, 2010, the Company had outstanding long-term debt of \$2.9 billion, compared to \$3.4 billion at December 31, 2009.

During the six months ended July 3, 2010, the Company repurchased \$500 million of its outstanding long-term debt for a purchase price of \$477 million, excluding approximately \$5 million of accrued interest, all of which occurred during the three months ended July 3, 2010. The \$500 million of long-term debt repurchased included principal amounts of: (i) \$65 million of the \$379 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2025, (ii) \$75 million of the \$286 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2028, (iii) \$222 million of the \$446 million then outstanding of the 6.625% Senior Notes due 2037, and (iv) \$138 million of the \$252 million then outstanding of the 5.22% Debentures due 2097. After accelerating the amortization of debt issuance costs and debt discounts, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$12 million related to this debt tender in Other within Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

During the first half of 2009, the Company repurchased \$199 million of its outstanding long-term debt for a purchase price of \$129 million, excluding approximately \$4 million of accrued interest. The \$199 million of long-term debt repurchased included principal amounts of: (i) \$11 million of the \$358 million then outstanding of 7.50% Debentures due 2025 (the "2025 Debentures"), (ii) \$20 million of the \$399 million then outstanding of 6.50% Debentures due 2025, (iii) \$14 million of the \$299 million then outstanding of 6.50% Debentures due 2028, and (iv) \$154 million of the \$600 million then outstanding of 6.625% Senior Notes due 2037. The Company recognized a gain of approximately \$67 million related to these open market purchases in Other within Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The Company believes that it will be able to maintain sufficient access to the capital markets, though there may be periods of time when access to the capital markets is limited for all issuers. The ratings currently assigned by the largest of the national ratings agencies to the Company's senior unsecured long-term debt is split between investment grade (two ratings agencies) and non-investment grade (one rating agency). As a "split rated credit", the Company's ability to issue long-term debt may be limited. The market into which split rated debt is offered can be very volatile and can be unavailable for periods of time. As a result, it may be more difficult for the Company to quickly access the long-term debt market and any debt issued may be more costly, which may impact the Company's operating and financial flexibility.

The Company may from time to time seek to retire certain of its outstanding debt through open market cash purchases, privately-negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, the Company's liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors.

Payment of Dividends: During the first half of 2010, the Company did not pay cash dividends to holders of its common stock. During the first half of 2009, the Company paid \$114 million in cash dividends to holders of its common stock, all of which was paid during the first quarter of 2009, related to the payment of a dividend declared in November 2008. In February 2009, the Company announced that its Board of Directors suspended the declaration of quarterly cash dividends on the Company's common stock.

Credit Facilities

The Company has a domestic syndicated revolving credit facility (as amended from time to time, the "Credit Facility") that is scheduled to mature in December 2011. The size of the Credit Facility is the lesser of: (1) \$1.5 billion, or (2) an amount determined based on eligible domestic accounts receivable and inventory. If the Company elects to borrow under the Credit Facility, only then and not before, it would be required to pledge its domestic accounts receivables and, at its option, domestic inventory. The Credit Facility does not require the Company to meet any financial covenants unless remaining availability under the Credit Facility is less than \$225 million. In addition, until borrowings are made under the Credit Facility, the Company is able to use its working capital assets in any capacity in conjunction with other capital market funding alternatives that may be available to the Company. The Company has never borrowed under this Credit Facility or predecessor domestic syndicated revolving credit facilities.

Long-Term Customer Financing Commitments

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

Outstanding Commitments: Certain purchasers of the Company's infrastructure equipment may request that the Company provide long-term financing (defined as financing with a term of greater than one year) in connection with the sale of equipment. These requests may include all or a portion of the purchase price of the

Table of Contents46 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

equipment. The Company's obligation to provide long-term financing may be conditioned on the issuance of a letter of credit in favor of the Company by a reputable bank to support the purchaser's credit or a pre-existing commitment from a reputable bank to purchase the long-term receivables from the Company. The Company had outstanding commitments to provide long-term financing to third parties totaling \$329 million and \$444 million at July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these amounts, \$20 million and \$13 million were supported by letters of credit or by bank commitments to purchase long-term receivables at July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The majority of the outstanding commitments at July 3, 2010 are to a small number of network operators in the Middle East region. In response to the recent tightening in the credit markets, certain customers of the Company have requested financing in connection with equipment purchases, and these types of requests have increased in volume and scope.

Guarantees of Third-Party Debt: In addition to providing direct financing to certain equipment customers, the Company also assists customers in obtaining financing directly from banks and other sources to fund equipment purchases. The Company had committed to provide financial guarantees relating to customer financing totaling \$31 million at both July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (including \$27 million at both July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, relating to the sale of short-term receivables). Customer financing guarantees outstanding were \$3 million and \$4 million at July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively (including \$2 million at both July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, relating to the sale of short-term receivables).

Outstanding Long-Term Receivables: The Company had net long-term receivables of \$201 million (net of allowances for losses of \$3 million) at July 3, 2010, compared to net long-term receivables of \$117 million (net of allowances for losses of \$9 million) at December 31, 2009. These long-term receivables are generally interest bearing, with interest rates ranging from 4% to 11%.

Sales of Receivables

From time to time, the Company sells accounts receivable and long-term receivables in transactions that qualify as "true-sales." Certain of these accounts receivable and long-term receivables are sold to third parties on a one-time, non-recourse basis, while others are sold to third parties under committed facilities that involve contractual commitments from these parties to purchase qualifying receivables up to an outstanding monetary limit. Committed facilities may be revolving in nature and, typically, must be renewed annually. The Company may or may not retain the obligation to service the sold accounts receivable and long-term receivables.

As of July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had a \$200 million revolving facility for the sale of accounts receivable due from U.S. customers of our Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment, of which \$16 million and \$60 million, respectively, were utilized.

Under the terms of the facility, which was amended in the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company is required to sell its entire portfolio of outstanding accounts receivable from its U.S. customers of the direct and indirect channel government and public safety business. The initial cash proceeds received by the Company for the sale of these receivables is capped at the lower of eligible receivables less reserves or \$200 million. The Company may also elect, at its option, to receive initial cash proceeds less than the cap. The remaining proceeds due to the Company for the receivables sold in excess of the initial cash proceeds are deferred until the receivables are collected.

Total sales of accounts receivable and long-term receivables were \$506 million and \$367 million during the second quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$968 million and \$626 million during the first half of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in total sales of accounts receivable was as a result of the change in the terms of the amended facility discussed above. Cash proceeds related to accounts receivable sold were \$407 million and \$367 million during the second quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$649 million and \$626 million during the first half of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Total cash proceeds from sales of accounts receivable relative to the gross amount of receivables sold was lower in the first half of 2010 as compared to the first half of 2009 due to: i) the Company's decision to reduce the amount of initial cash received for receivables sold and ii) the impact of the \$200 million cap on the amount of cash the Company can receive for sold eligible receivables. As of July 3, 2010, the Company is due \$319 million under the deferred payment provisions of the committed facility discussed above. As of July 3, 2010, the Company retained servicing obligations for \$407 million of sold accounts receivables and \$253 million of sold long-term receivables. As of December 31, 2009, the Company retained servicing obligation for \$195 million of sold accounts receivables and \$297 million of sold long-term receivables at December 31, 2009.

Under certain arrangements, the value of accounts receivable sold is covered by credit insurance purchased from third-party insurance companies, less deductibles or self-insurance requirements under the insurance policies.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 47 |
|--|----|

The Company's total credit exposure, less insurance coverage, to outstanding accounts receivables that have been sold was \$27 million at both July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Other Contingencies

Potential Contractual Damage Claims in Excess of Underlying Contract Value: In certain circumstances, our businesses may enter into contracts with customers pursuant to which the damages that could be claimed by the other party for failed performance might exceed the revenue the Company receives from the contract. Contracts with these types of uncapped damage provisions are fairly rare, but individual contracts could still represent meaningful risk. There is a possibility that a damage claim by a counterparty to one of these contracts could result in expenses to the Company that are far in excess of the revenue received from the counterparty in connection with the contract.

Indemnification Provisions: In addition, the Company may provide indemnifications for losses that result from the breach of general warranties contained in certain commercial, intellectual property and divestiture agreements. Historically, the Company has not made significant payments under these agreements, nor have there been significant claims asserted against the Company. However, there is an increasing risk in relation to intellectual property indemnities given the current legal climate. In indemnification cases, payment by the Company is conditioned on the other party making a claim pursuant to the procedures specified in the particular contract, which procedures typically allow the Company to challenge the other party's claims. Further, the Company's obligations under these agreements for indemnification based on breach of representations and warranties are generally limited in terms of duration, typically not more than 24 months, and for amounts not in excess of the contract value, and in some instances the Company may have recourse against third parties for certain payments made by the Company.

Legal Matters: The Company is a defendant in various lawsuits, claims and actions, which arise in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations. However, an unfavorable resolution could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations in the periods in which the matters are ultimately resolved.

Segment Information

The following commentary should be read in conjunction with the financial results of each reporting segment for the three and six months ended July 3, 2010 and July 4, 2009 as detailed in Note 12, "Segment Information," of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Mobile Devices Segment

| | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | | | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | July 3, 2010 | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>% Change</i> | July 3, 2010 | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>% Change</i> |
| Segment net sales | \$ 1,724 | \$ 1,829 | (6)% | \$ 3,365 | \$ 3,630 | (7)% |
| Operating earnings (loss) | 87 | (287) | *% | (105) | (832) | (87)% |

*

Percentage change is not meaningful.

For the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 32% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 33% in the second quarter of 2009. For the first half of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 32% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 33% in the first half of 2009.

Three months ended July 3, 2010 compared to three months ended July 4, 2009

In the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$1.7 billion, a decrease of 6% compared to net sales of \$1.8 billion in the second quarter of 2009. The 6% decrease in net sales was primarily driven by a 44% decrease in unit shipments, partially offset by a 65% increase in average selling price ("ASP"). The segment's net sales were negatively impacted by a decreased focus on the feature phone portfolio and limited product offerings in the very low-tier, partially offset by higher sales of smartphones. On a geographic basis, net sales decreased in Latin America and Asia, partially offset by increased net sales in North America and the Europe, Middle East and Africa region ("EMEA").

The segment had operating earnings of \$87 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared to an operating loss of \$287 million in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in the operating earnings was primarily due to

Table of Contents

| | |
|----|--|
| 48 | MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS |
|----|--|

an increase in gross margin driven by: (i) a favorable product mix, specifically due to increased sales volume of smartphone devices, and (ii) lower excess inventory and other related charges in 2010 than in 2009, partially offset by the 6% decrease in net sales. Also contributing to the increase in the operating earnings were: (i) a \$228 million gain related to a legal settlement with another company, and (ii) lower reorganization of business charges, partially offset by higher selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses. As a percentage of net sales in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to the second quarter of 2009, gross margin, SG&A expenses, and R&D expenditures all increased.

The segment's industry typically experiences short life cycles for new products. Therefore, it is vital to the segment's success that new, compelling products are continually introduced. Accordingly, a strong commitment to R&D is required and, even amidst challenging global economic conditions, the segment expects to continue to make the appropriate investments to develop a differentiated product portfolio and fuel long-term growth.

Unit shipments in the second quarter of 2010 were 8.3 million units, a 44% decrease compared to shipments of 14.8 million units in the second quarter of 2009 and a 3% decrease sequentially compared to shipments of 8.5 million units in the first quarter of 2010. Android-based smartphone shipments in the second quarter of 2010 were 2.7 million, a 21% increase sequentially compared to shipments of 2.3 million in the first quarter of 2010.

In the second quarter of 2010, ASP increased approximately 65% compared to the second quarter of 2009 and increased approximately 7% compared to the first quarter of 2010. The increase in ASP in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to the first quarter of 2010 was driven by a more favorable product mix. ASP is impacted by numerous factors, including product mix, market conditions and competitive product offerings, and ASP trends often vary over time.

Six months ended July 3, 2010 compared to six months ended July 4, 2009

In the first half of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$3.4 billion, a decrease of 7% compared to net sales of \$3.6 billion in the first half of 2009. The 7% decrease in net sales was primarily driven by a 43% decrease in unit shipments, partially offset by a 61% increase in average selling price ("ASP"). The segment's net sales were negatively impacted by a decreased focus on the feature phone portfolio and limited product offerings in the very low-tier, partially offset by higher sales of smartphones. On a geographic basis, net sales decreased in Latin America and Asia, partially offset by: (i) an increase in net sales in North America, and (ii) a slight increase in net sales in EMEA.

The segment incurred an operating loss of \$105 million in the first half of 2010, compared to an operating loss of \$833 million in the first half of 2009. The decrease in the operating loss was primarily due to an increase in gross margin driven by: (i) a favorable product mix, specifically due to increased sales volume of smartphone devices, and (ii) lower excess inventory and other related charges in 2010 than in 2009, partially offset by the 7% decrease in net sales. Also contributing to the decrease in the operating loss were: (i) a \$228 million gain related to a legal settlement with another company, (ii) lower reorganization of business charges, and (iii) lower R&D expenditures, reflecting savings from cost-reduction initiatives, partially offset by higher SG&A expenses. As a percentage of net sales in the first half of 2010 as compared to the first half of 2009, gross margin and SG&A expenses increased and R&D expenditures decreased.

Unit shipments in the first half of 2010 were 16.9 million units, a 43% decrease compared to shipments of 29.5 million units in the first half of 2009. Android-based smartphone shipments in the first half of 2010 were 5.0 million. In the first half of 2010, ASP increased approximately 61% compared to the first half of 2009 driven by favorable product mix towards smartphones. ASP is impacted by numerous factors, including product mix, market conditions and competitive product offerings, and ASP trends often vary over time.

Home Segment

| | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | | | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>% Change</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>% Change</i> |
| Segment net sales | \$ 886 | \$ 1,013 | (13)% | \$ 1,724 | \$ 2,038 | (15)% |
| | 29 | 18 | 61% | 49 | 21 | 133% |

Operating
earnings

For the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 16% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 18% in the second quarter of 2009. For the first half of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 16% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 19% in the first half of 2009.

Table of ContentsMANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 49**Three months ended July 3, 2010 compared to three months ended July 4, 2009**

In the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$886 million, a decrease of 13% compared to net sales of \$1.0 billion in the second quarter of 2009. The 13% decrease in net sales in the Home segment is primarily attributable to a 21% decrease in net sales of set-top boxes, reflecting: (i) a 10% decrease in shipments of set-top boxes to 3.4 million units, and (ii) a lower ASP due to a product mix shift within our set-top box product lines and competitive pricing pressures. The decrease in net sales of set-top boxes was partially offset by higher net sales of video and access infrastructure equipment.

Shipments of standard definition ("SD") set-top units and high definition ("HD") set-top units decreased significantly, primarily due to lower shipments to large telecommunication and cable operators in North America as a result of lower demand. In addition to the decrease in unit shipments of SD and HD set-tops, HD/digital video recording (together, "HD/DVR") unit shipments also decreased, but to a lesser extent.

On a geographic basis, net sales decreased in North America, EMEA and Asia and increased in Latin America. Net sales in North America continued to comprise a significant portion of the segment's business, accounting for approximately 71% of the segment's net sales in the second quarter of 2010, compared to approximately 77% in the second quarter of 2009.

The segment had operating earnings of \$29 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$18 million in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in operating earnings was primarily due to a decrease in R&D expenditures, reflecting savings from cost-reduction initiatives, partially offset by a slight decrease in gross margin, driven by the decrease in net sales. As a percentage of net sales in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to the second quarter of 2009, gross margin, SG&A expenses and R&D expenditures all increased.

Six months ended July 3, 2010 compared to six months ended July 4, 2009

In the first half of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$1.7 billion, a decrease of 15% compared to net sales of \$2.0 billion in the first half of 2009. The 15% decrease in net sales in the Home segment is primarily attributable to a 28% decrease in net sales of set-top boxes, reflecting: (i) a 20% decrease in shipments of set-top boxes to 6.4 million units, and (ii) a lower ASP due to a product mix shift within our set-top box product lines and competitive pricing pressures. The decrease in net sales of set-top boxes was partially offset by higher net sales of video and access infrastructure equipment.

Shipments of SD and HD set-top units decreased significantly, primarily due to lower shipments to large telecommunication and cable operators in North America as a result of lower demand. The decrease in unit shipments of SD and HD set-tops was partially offset by an increase in HD/DVR unit shipments due to increased demand for DVR capabilities.

On a geographic basis, net sales decreased in North America and Asia and increased in Latin America and EMEA. Net sales in North America continued to comprise a significant portion of the segment's business, accounting for approximately 71% of the segment's net sales in the first half of 2010, compared to approximately 77% in the first half of 2009.

The segment had operating earnings of \$49 million in the first half of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$21 million in the first half of 2009. The increase in operating earnings was primarily due to (i) a decrease in R&D expenditures, reflecting savings from cost-reduction initiatives, and (ii) lower reorganization of business charges, partially offset by a slight decrease in gross margin, driven by the decrease in net sales. As a percentage of net sales in the first half of 2010 as compared to the first half of 2009, gross margin, SG&A expenses and R&D expenditures increased.

Enterprise Mobility Solutions Segment

| | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | | | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | July 3, 2010 | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>% Change</i> | July 3, 2010 | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>% Change</i> |
| Segment net sales | \$ 1,850 | \$ 1,685 | 10% | \$ 3,544 | \$ 3,284 | 8% |

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|
| Operating earnings | 181 | 141 | 28% | 322 | 207 | 56% |
|--------------------|------------|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|

For the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 34% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 31% in the second quarter of 2009. For the first half of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 34% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 30% in the first half of 2009.

Table of Contents

| | |
|----|--|
| 50 | MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS |
|----|--|

Three months ended July 3, 2010 compared to three months ended July 4, 2009

In the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$1.9 billion, a 10% increase compared to net sales of \$1.7 billion in the second quarter of 2009. The 10% increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment reflects a 20% increase in net sales to the commercial enterprise market and a 6% increase in net sales to the government and public safety market. The increase in net sales for the segment reflects higher net sales in all regions. Net sales in North America continued to comprise a significant portion of the segment's business, accounting for approximately 60% of the segment's net sales in the second quarter of 2010, compared to approximately 58% in the second quarter of 2009.

The segment had operating earnings of \$181 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$141 million in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in operating earnings was primarily due to: (i) an increase in gross margin, driven by the 10% increase in net sales and a favorable product mix, partially offset by: (i) increased SG&A expenses primarily due to increased selling and marketing expenses related to the increase in net sales, and (ii) an increase in R&D expenditures primarily due to developmental engineering expenditures for new product development and investment in next-generation technologies. As a percentage of net sales in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to the second quarter of 2009, gross margin and SG&A expenses increased and R&D expenditures decreased.

Six months ended July 3, 2010 compared to six months ended July 4, 2009

In the first half of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$3.5 billion, a 8% increase compared to net sales of \$3.3 billion in the first half of 2009. The 8% increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment reflects a 18% increase in net sales to the commercial enterprise market and a 5% increase in net sales to the government and public safety market. The increase in net sales for the segment reflects higher net sales in all regions. Net sales in North America continued to comprise a significant portion of the segment's business, accounting for approximately 58% of the segment's net sales in both the first half of 2010 and 2009.

The segment had operating earnings of \$322 million in the first half of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$207 million in the first half of 2009. The increase in operating earnings was primarily due to: (i) an increase in gross margin, driven by the 8% increase in net sales and a favorable product mix, and (ii) lower reorganization of business charges. These factors were partially offset by: (i) increased SG&A expenses primarily due to increased selling and marketing expenses related to the increase in net sales, and (ii) an increase in R&D expenditures primarily due to developmental engineering expenditures for new product development and investment in next-generation technologies. As a percentage of net sales in the first half of 2010 as compared to the first half of 2009, gross margin increased and SG&A and R&D expenditures decreased.

Networks Segment

| | <i>Three Months Ended</i> | | | <i>Six Months Ended</i> | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>% Change</i> | <i>July 3, 2010</i> | <i>July 4, 2009</i> | <i>% Change</i> |
| Segment net sales | \$ 967 | \$ 988 | (2)% | \$ 1,863 | \$ 1,954 | (5)% |
| Operating earnings | 178 | 92 | 93% | 290 | 154 | 88% |

For the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 18% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 18% in the second quarter of 2009. For the first half of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 18% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 18% in the first half of 2009.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 51 |
|--|----|

Three months ended July 3, 2010 compared to three months ended July 4, 2009

In the second quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$967 million, a 2% decrease compared to net sales of \$988 million in the second quarter of 2009. The 2% decrease in net sales in the Networks segment was primarily driven by lower net sales of GSM infrastructure equipment and WiMAX products, partially offset by higher net sales of CDMA, iDEN and UMTS infrastructure equipment.

On a geographic basis, net sales decreased substantially in EMEA, and decreased in Asia, partially offset by increased sales in North America and Latin America. The decrease in net sales in EMEA was primarily due to lower net sales of GSM infrastructure equipment. The decreases in net sales in Asia was primarily driven by lower net sales of GSM and CDMA infrastructure equipment, partially offset by higher net sales of UMTS infrastructure equipment and WiMAX products. The increase in net sales in North America was primarily due to higher net sales of CDMA infrastructure equipment, partially offset by lower net sales of WiMAX products. The increase in net sales in Latin America was primarily due to higher net sales of iDEN infrastructure equipment and WiMAX products.

The segment had operating earnings of \$178 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$92 million in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in operating earnings was primarily due to: (i) an increase in gross margin, driven by product mix as well as savings from cost-reduction initiatives, partially offset by the 2% decrease in net sales, (ii) lower reorganization of business charges, and (iii) a decrease in R&D expenditures, reflecting savings from cost-reduction initiatives. These factors were partially offset by an increase in SG&A expense, primarily due to increased expenditures on information technology upgrades. As a percentage of net sales in the second quarter of 2010 as compared the second quarter of 2009, gross margin and SG&A expenses increased and R&D expenditures decreased.

Six months ended July 3, 2010 compared to six months ended July 4, 2009

In the first half of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$1.9 billion, a 5% decrease compared to net sales of \$2.0 billion in the first half of 2009. The 5% decrease in net sales in the Networks segment was primarily driven by lower net sales of GSM infrastructure equipment, partially offset by: (i) higher net sales of CDMA and UMTS infrastructure equipment, and (ii) higher net sales of WiMAX products.

On a geographic basis, net sales decreased substantially in EMEA, partially offset by increased net sales in North America, Latin America and Asia. The decrease in net sales in EMEA was primarily due to lower net sales of GSM infrastructure equipment. The increase in net sales in North America was primarily due to higher net sales of CDMA infrastructure equipment, partially offset by lower net sales of WiMAX products. The increase in net sales in Latin America was primarily due to higher net sales of WiMAX products and iDEN infrastructure equipment. The increases in net sales in Asia was primarily driven by higher net sales of UMTS infrastructure equipment and WiMAX products, partially offset by lower net sales of CDMA infrastructure equipment.

The segment had operating earnings of \$290 million in the first half of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$154 million in the first half of 2009. The increase in operating earnings was primarily due to: (i) an increase in gross margin, driven by product mix as well as savings from cost-reduction initiatives, partially offset by the 5% decrease in net sales, (ii) lower reorganization of business charges, and (iii) a decrease in R&D expenditures, reflecting savings from cost-reduction initiatives. These factors were partially offset by an increase in SG&A expense, primarily due to increased expenditures on information technology upgrades. As a percentage of net sales in the first half of 2010 as compared the first half of 2009, gross margin and SG&A expenses increased and R&D expenditures decreased.

On July 19, 2010, the Company announced an agreement to sell certain assets and liabilities of its Networks business to Nokia Siemens Networks for \$1.2 billion in cash. The Company's iDEN infrastructure business, substantially all the patents related to the Company's wireless network infrastructure business, and certain other assets are excluded from the transaction. The total assets and total liabilities included in the transaction, which are preliminary estimates subject to change, are \$1.8 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively, based on balances as of July 3, 2010. The transaction is expected to close by December 31, 2010.

Significant Accounting Policies

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discusses the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to

Table of Contents

52 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period.

Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience, current economic and industry conditions and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. This forms the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Management believes the following significant accounting policies require significant judgment and estimates:

Revenue recognition

Inventory valuation

Income taxes

Valuation of the Sigma Fund and investment portfolios

Restructuring activities

Retirement-related benefits

Valuation and recoverability of goodwill and long-lived assets

The following discussion addresses a change in the Company's revenue recognition accounting policy during the first quarter of 2010.

Revenue Recognition

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new guidance which amended the accounting standards for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. The new guidance changes the criteria required to separate deliverables into separate units of accounting when they are sold in a bundled arrangement and requires an entity to allocate an arrangement's consideration using estimated selling prices ("ESP") of deliverables if a vendor does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price ("VSOE") or third-party evidence of selling price ("TPE"). The new guidance also eliminates the use of the residual method to allocate an arrangement's consideration.

In October 2009, the FASB also issued new guidance to remove from the scope of software revenue recognition guidance tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver the tangible product's essential functionality.

The new accounting guidance is effective for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after June 15, 2010. The standards permit prospective or retrospective adoption as well as early adoption. The Company elected to early adopt this guidance at the beginning of its first quarter of fiscal 2010 on a prospective basis for applicable arrangements that were entered into or materially modified after January 1, 2010.

The Company's material revenue streams are the result of a wide range of activities, from the delivery of stand-alone equipment to custom design and installation over a period of time to bundled sales of devices, equipment, software and services. The Company enters into revenue arrangements that may consist of multiple deliverables of its product and service offerings due to the needs of its customers. Additionally, many of the Company's products have both software and non-software components that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality. The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the sales price is

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

fixed or determinable, and collectibility of the sales price is reasonably assured. In addition to these general revenue recognition criteria, the following specific revenue recognition policies are followed:

Products and Equipment For product and equipment sales, revenue recognition generally occurs when products or equipment have been shipped, risk of loss has transferred to the customer, objective evidence exists that customer acceptance provisions have been met, no significant obligations remain and allowances for discounts, price protection, returns and customer incentives can be reliably estimated. Recorded revenues are reduced by these allowances. The Company bases its estimates of these allowances on historical experience taking into consideration the type of products sold, the type of customer, and the specific type of transaction in each

Table of ContentsMANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS 53
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

arrangement. Where customer incentives cannot be reliably estimated, the Company recognizes revenue at the time the product sells through the distribution channel to the end customer.

Long-Term Contracts For long-term contracts that involve customization of the Company's equipment or software, the Company generally recognizes revenue using the percentage of completion method based on the percentage of costs incurred to date compared to the total estimated costs to complete the contract. In certain instances, when revenues or costs associated with long-term contracts cannot be reliably estimated or the contract contains other inherent uncertainties, revenues and costs are deferred until the project is complete and customer acceptance is obtained. When current estimates of total contract revenue and contract costs indicate a contract loss, the loss is recognized in the period it becomes evident.

Services Revenue for services is generally recognized ratably over the contract term as services are performed.

Software and Licenses Revenue from pre-paid perpetual licenses is recognized at the inception of the arrangement, presuming all other relevant revenue recognition criteria are met. Revenue from non-perpetual licenses or term licenses is recognized ratably over the period that the licensee uses the license. Revenue from software maintenance, technical support and unspecified upgrades is generally recognized over the period that these services are delivered.

Multiple-Element Arrangements Arrangements with customers may include multiple deliverables, including any combination of products, equipment, services and software. These multiple element arrangements could also include an element accounted for as a long-term contract coupled with other products, equipment, services and software. For the Company's multiple-element arrangements where at least one of the deliverables is not subject to existing software revenue recognition guidance, deliverables are separated into more than one unit of accounting when (i) the delivered element(s) have value to the customer on a stand-alone basis, and (ii) delivery of the undelivered element(s) is probable and substantially in the control of the Company. Based on the new accounting guidance adopted January 1, 2010, revenue is then allocated to each unit of accounting based on the relative selling price of each unit of accounting based first on VSOE if it exists, based next on TPE if VSOE does not exist, and, finally, if both VSOE and TPE do not exist, based on ESP.

VSOE In many instances, products are sold separately in stand-alone arrangements as customers may support the products themselves or purchase support on a time and materials basis. Additionally, advanced services such as general consulting, network management or advisory projects are often sold in stand-alone engagements. Technical support services are also often sold separately through renewals of annual contracts. The Company determines VSOE based on its normal pricing and discounting practices for the specific product or service when sold separately. In determining VSOE, the Company requires that a substantial majority of the selling prices for a product or service fall within a reasonably narrow pricing range, generally evidenced by the pricing rates of approximately 80% of such historical stand-alone transactions falling within plus or minus 15% of the median rate. In addition, the Company considers the geographies in which the products or services are sold, major product and service groups, customer classification, and other environmental or marketing variables in determining VSOE.

TPE VSOE generally exists only when the Company sells the deliverable separately. When VSOE does not exist, the Company attempts to determine TPE based on competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Generally, the Company's go-to-market strategy for many of its products differs from that of its peers and its offerings contain a significant level of customization and differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality sold by other companies cannot be obtained. Furthermore, the Company is unable to reliably determine what similar competitor products' selling prices are on a stand-alone basis. Therefore, the Company is typically not able to determine TPE.

ESP The objective of ESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. When both VSOE and TPE do not exist, the Company determines ESP for the arrangement element by first collecting all reasonably available data points including sales, cost and margin analysis of the product, and other inputs based on the Company's normal pricing practices. Second, the Company makes any reasonably required

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

adjustments to the data based on market and Company-specific factors. Third, the Company stratifies the data points, when appropriate, based on customer, magnitude of the transaction and sales volume.

Once elements of an arrangement are separated into more than one unit of accounting, revenue is recognized for each separate unit of accounting based on the nature of the revenue as described above.

Table of Contents

54 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company's arrangements with multiple deliverables may also contain a stand-alone software deliverable that is subject to the existing software revenue recognition guidance. The revenue for these multiple-element arrangements is allocated to the software deliverable and the non-software deliverable(s) based on the relative selling prices of all of the deliverables in the arrangement using the hierarchy in the new revenue accounting guidance. In circumstances where the Company cannot determine VSOE or TPE of the selling price for all of the deliverables in the arrangement, including the software deliverable, ESP is used for the purpose of allocating the arrangement consideration.

The Company's arrangements with multiple deliverables may be comprised entirely of deliverables that are all still subject to the existing software revenue recognition guidance. For these arrangements, revenue is allocated to the deliverables based on VSOE. Should VSOE not exist for the undelivered software element, revenue is deferred until either the undelivered element is delivered or VSOE is established for the element, whichever occurs first. When the fair value of a delivered element has not been established, but fair value exists for the undelivered elements, the Company uses the residual method to recognize revenue if the fair value of all undelivered elements is determinable. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement consideration is allocated to the delivered elements and is recognized as revenue.

Based on the Company's current sales strategies, the newly adopted accounting guidance for revenue recognition is not expected to have a significant effect on the timing and pattern of revenue recognition for sales in periods after the initial adoption when applied to multiple-element arrangements, except for the continued impact on smartphone revenue recognition. However, the Company expects that this new accounting guidance will facilitate the Company's efforts to optimize its product and service offerings due to better alignment of the economics of an arrangement and the related accounting treatment. This may lead to the Company's engaging in new sales practices in the future. As these go-to-market strategies evolve, the Company may modify its pricing practices in the future, which could result in changes in selling prices, including both VSOE and ESP. As a result, the Company's future revenue recognition for multiple-element arrangements could differ materially from the results reported in the current period. The Company is currently unable to determine the impact that the newly adopted accounting guidance for revenue recognition could have on its reported revenue as these go-to-market strategies evolve.

Changes in costs estimates and the fair values of certain deliverables could negatively impact the Company's operating results. In addition, unforeseen conditions could arise over the contract term that may have a significant impact on operating results.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk****Derivative Financial Instruments*****Foreign Currency Risk***

The Company uses financial instruments to reduce its overall exposure to the effects of currency fluctuations on cash flows. The Company's policy prohibits speculation in financial instruments for profit on exchange rate price fluctuations, trading in currencies for which there are no underlying exposures, or entering into transactions for any currency to intentionally increase the underlying exposure. Instruments that are designated as part of a hedging relationship must be effective at reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged and are designated as part of a hedging relationship at the inception of the contract. Accordingly, changes in the market values of hedge instruments must be highly correlated with changes in market values of the underlying hedged items both at the inception of the hedge and over the life of the hedge contract.

The Company's strategy related to foreign exchange exposure management is to offset the gains or losses on the financial instruments against losses or gains on the underlying operational cash flows or investments based on the operating business units' assessment of risk. The Company enters into derivative contracts for some of the Company's non-functional currency receivables and payables, which are primarily denominated in major currencies that can be traded on open markets. The Company typically uses forward contracts and options to hedge these currency exposures. In addition, the Company enters into derivative contracts for some firm commitments and some forecasted transactions, which are designated as part of a hedging relationship if it is determined that the transaction qualifies for hedge accounting under the provisions of the authoritative accounting guidance for derivative instruments and hedging activities. A portion of the Company's exposure is from currencies that are not traded in liquid markets and these are addressed, to the extent reasonably possible, by managing net asset positions, product pricing and component sourcing.

At July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding foreign exchange contracts with notional values totaling \$1.4 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively. Management believes that these financial instruments should not subject the Company to undue risk due to foreign exchange movements because gains and losses on these contracts should generally offset losses and gains on the underlying assets, liabilities and transactions, except for the ineffective portion of the instruments, which are charged to Other within Other income (expense) in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The following table shows the five largest net notional amounts of the positions to buy or sell foreign currency as of July 3, 2010 and the corresponding positions as of December 31, 2009:

| | <i>Notional Amount</i> | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| <i>Net Buy (Sell) by Currency</i> | July 3, 2010 | <i>December 31, 2009</i> |
| Chinese Renminbi | \$ (304) | \$ (297) |
| Euro | (287) | (377) |
| Brazilian Real | (265) | (342) |
| Japanese Yen | (121) | (236) |
| British Pound | 161 | 143 |

Interest Rate Risk

At July 3, 2010, the Company's short-term debt consisted primarily of \$1 million of short-term variable rate foreign debt. At July 3, 2010, the Company has \$3.4 billion of long-term debt, including the current portion of long-term debt, which is primarily priced at long-term, fixed interest rates.

As part of its liability management program, one of the Company's European subsidiaries has an outstanding interest rate agreement ("Interest Agreement") relating to a Euro-denominated loan. The interest on the Euro-denominated loan is variable. The Interest Agreement changes the characteristics of interest rate payments from variable to maximum fixed-rate payments. The Interest Agreement is not accounted

Edgar Filing: MOTOROLA INC - Form 10-Q

for as a part of a hedging relationship and, accordingly, the changes in the fair value of the Interest Agreement is included in Other income (expense) in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. The weighted average fixed rate payment on this Interest Agreement was 4.99%. At July 3, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value of the Interest Agreement put the Company in a liability position of \$4 million.

Table of Contents

56

Counterparty Risk

The use of derivative financial instruments exposes the Company to counterparty credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties. However, the Company's risk is limited to the fair value of the instruments when the derivative is in an asset position. The Company actively monitors its exposure to credit risk. At present time, all of the counterparties have investment grade credit ratings. The Company is not exposed to material credit risk with any single counterparty. As of July 3, 2010, the Company was exposed to an aggregate credit risk of \$4 million with all counterparties.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments include cash equivalents, Sigma Fund investments, short-term investments, accounts receivable, long-term receivables, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, derivative financial instruments and other financing commitments. The Company's Sigma Fund, available-for-sale investment portfolios and derivative financial instruments are recorded in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value. All other financial instruments, with the exception of long-term debt, are carried at cost, which is not materially different than the instruments' fair values.

Using quoted market prices and market interest rates, the Company determined that the fair value of long-term debt at July 3, 2010 was \$3.5 billion, compared to a face value of \$3.4 billion. Since considerable judgment is required in interpreting market information, the fair value of the long-term debt is not necessarily indicative of the amount which could be realized in a current market exchange.

Forward-Looking Statements

Except for historical matters, the matters discussed in this Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements within the meaning of applicable federal securities law. These statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and generally include words such as "believes", "expects", "intends", "anticipates", "estimates" and similar expressions. We can give no assurance that any future results or events discussed in these statements will be achieved. Any forward-looking statements represent our views only as of today and should not be relied upon as representing our views as of any subsequent date. Readers are cautioned that such forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the statements contained in this Form 10-Q. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements included in: (1) the Executive Summary under "Recent Developments" and "Looking Forward," about: (a) the creation of two independent public companies, the expected form, tax-free nature and results of the separation, and anticipated long-term debt ratings, (b) our business strategies and expected results, (c) our industry and market expectations including demand levels and customer priorities for each of our businesses, (d) the timing and impact of new product launches, (e) adequacy of liquidity, and (f) the timing and completion of pending asset sales; (2) "Management's Discussion and Analysis," about: (a) future payments, charges, use of accruals and expected cost-saving benefits associated with our reorganization of business programs and employee separation costs, (b) the Company's ability and cost to repatriate funds, (c) expected quarterly sales of accounts receivable, (d) the impact of the timing and level of sales and the geographic location of such sales, (e) expectations for the Sigma Fund and other investments, (f) future cash contributions to pension plans or retiree health benefit plans, (g) purchase obligation payments, (h) the Company's ability and cost to access the capital markets, (i) the Company's plans with respect to the level of outstanding debt, (j) expected payments pursuant to commitments under long-term agreements, (k) the outcome of ongoing and future legal proceedings, (l) the completion and impact of pending acquisitions and divestitures, (m) the impact of recent accounting pronouncements on the Company and, (n) the creation of two independent, public companies and expected results; (3) "Legal Proceedings," about the ultimate disposition of pending legal matters, and (4) "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk," about: (a) the impact of foreign currency exchange risks, (b) future hedging activity and expectations of the Company, and (c) the ability of counterparties to financial instruments to perform their obligations. Motorola undertakes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement or risk factor, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Some of the risk factors that affect the Company's business and financial results are discussed in "Item 1A: Risk Factors" on pages 17 through 29 of our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K and in our other SEC filings available for free on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and on Motorola's website at www.motorola.com. We wish to caution the reader that the risk factors discussed in each of these documents and those described in our other Securities and Exchange Commission filings, could cause our actual results to differ materially from those stated in the forward-looking statements.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) *Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.* Under the supervision and with the participation of our senior management, including our chief executive officers and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officers and chief financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to Motorola, including our consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in our Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to Motorola's management, including our chief executive officers and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) *Changes in internal control over financial reporting.* There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended July 3, 2010, that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

58

Part II Other Information**Item 1. Legal Proceedings***St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund Securities Class Action Case and Related Derivative Matter*

A purported class action lawsuit on behalf of the purchasers of Motorola securities between December 6, 2007 and January 23, 2008, *St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighters' Pension Fund v. Motorola, Inc., et al.*, was filed against the Company and certain current and former officers and directors of the Company on January 21, 2010, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. In an amended complaint filed on June 11, 2010, the class period was expanded to apply to purchasers of Motorola securities between October 25, 2007 and January 23, 2008. The amended complaint alleges violations of Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as well as, in the case of the individual defendants, the control person provisions of the Securities Exchange Act. The primary factual allegations are that the defendants knowingly or recklessly made materially misleading statements concerning Motorola's financial projections and sales demand for Motorola phones during the class period. The amended complaint seeks unspecified damages and other relief relating to the purported inflation in the price of Motorola shares during the class period.

In addition, on April 2, 2010, *Waber v. Dorman, et al.* was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois as a purported derivative action on behalf of Motorola against certain of its current and former officers and directors. The plaintiff filed an amended complaint on July 23, 2010. The complaint makes similar factual allegations to those made in the *St. Lucie* complaint and asserts causes of action for breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, and unjust enrichment. The complaint seeks unspecified damages associated with the alleged loss to the Company deriving from the defendants' actions.

Groussman v. Motorola et al. and Orlando v. Motorola et al. ERISA Class Action Cases

Two purported class action lawsuits on behalf of all participants in or beneficiaries of the Motorola 401(k) Plan (the "Plan") between July 1, 2007 and the present and whose accounts included investments in Motorola stock, *Joe M. Groussman v. Motorola, Inc. et al.* and *Angelo W. Orlando v. Motorola, Inc. et al.*, were filed against the Company and certain current and former officers, directors, and employees of the Company, the Motorola 401(k) Plan Committee, the Advisory Committee of Motorola and other unnamed defendants on February 10, 2010, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. On May 20, 2010, the court ordered the cases to be consolidated. On July 16, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint. The amended complaint added as defendants additional current and former employees, the Compensation and Leadership Committee of Motorola, and the Motorola Retirement Benefits Committee, and deleted the Advisory Committee of Motorola as a defendant. The amended complaint also reduced the class period to run from July 1, 2007 to December 31, 2008. The consolidated amended complaint alleges violations of Sections 404 and 405 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"). The primary claims in the amended complaint are that, in connection with alleged incorrect statements concerning Motorola's financial projections and demand for Motorola phones during the class period, various of the defendants failed to prudently and loyally manage the Plan by continuing to offer Motorola stock as a Plan investment option, failed to provide complete and accurate information regarding the performance of Motorola stock to the Plan's participants and beneficiaries, failed to avoid conflicts of interest, and/or failed to monitor the Plan fiduciaries. The amended complaint seeks unspecified damages and other relief relating to the purported losses to the Plan and individual participant accounts.

Motorola is a defendant in various other suits, claims and investigations that arise in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of the Company's pending legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations. However, an unfavorable resolution could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations in the periods in which the matters are ultimately resolved.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The reader should carefully consider, in connection with the other information in this report, the factors discussed below, and in Part I, "Item 1A: Risk Factors" on pages 17 through 29 of the Company's 2009 Annual

Table of Contents

59

Report on Form 10-K. These factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those stated in forward-looking statements contained in this document and elsewhere.

Our future financial results could be negatively impacted if sales of our Networks infrastructure equipment decline in response to our recent agreement to sell the majority of our Networks infrastructure assets.

Our future financial results could be negatively impacted if sales of our Networks infrastructure equipment decline in response to our recent agreement to sell the majority of our Networks infrastructure assets to Nokia Siemens Networks. We expect to operate the Networks business until the closing of the sale to Nokia Siemens Networks and the Company's financial results could be negatively impacted if sales are not at expected levels due to perceived uncertainty as a result of the pending sale.

We are at risk that the sale of the majority of our Networks infrastructure assets is delayed or does not close.

We face risks and uncertainties related to the sale of a majority of our Networks infrastructure assets to Nokia Siemens Networks, which includes: (1) satisfaction of the conditions to closing, including (a) receipt of regulatory approvals, and (b) the absence of a material adverse effect on the assets being sold by us; (2) each company having the ability to consummate the transaction; (3) the impact on our performance and financial results deriving from the benefits from this transaction; and (4) the expected timeline for completing the transaction.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information.

None

Table of Contents

60

Item 6. Exhibits

| <i>Exhibit No.</i> | <i>Exhibit</i> |
|--------------------|---|
| 10.1 | Second Amendment, dated May 28, 2010, to the Employment Agreement dated August 27, 2008, as amended, by and between Motorola, Inc. and Gregory Q. Brown (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Motorola's Report on Form 8-K filed on May 28, 2010 (File No. 1-7221)). |
| 10.2 | Motorola Long Range Incentive Plan (LRIP) of 2009 (as Amended and Restated as of July 26, 2010) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Motorola's Report on Form 8-K filed on July 30, 2010 (File No. 1-7221)). |
| *31.1 | Certification of Gregory Q. Brown pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *31.2 | Certification of Dr. Sanjay K. Jha pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *31.3 | Certification of Edward J. Fitzpatrick pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.1 | Certification of Gregory Q. Brown pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.2 | Certification of Dr. Sanjay K. Jha pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.3 | Certification of Edward J. Fitzpatrick pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| **101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| **101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document |
| **101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| **101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| **101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| **101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

*
 Filed herewith

**
 XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

MOTOROLA and the Stylized M Logo are registered in the US Patent & Trademark Office.

All other product or service names are the property of their respective owners. © 2010 Motorola, Inc. All rights reserved.

Table of Contents

62

EXHIBIT INDEX

| <i>Exhibit No.</i> | <i>Exhibit</i> |
|--------------------|---|
| 10.1 | Second Amendment, dated May 28, 2010, to the Employment Agreement dated August 27, 2008, as amended, by and between Motorola, Inc. and Gregory Q. Brown (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Motorola's Report on Form 8-K filed on May 28, 2010 (File No. 1-7221)). |
| 10.2 | Motorola Long Range Incentive Plan (LRIP) of 2009 (as Amended and Restated as of July 26, 2010) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Motorola's Report on Form 8-K filed on July 30, 2010 (File No. 1-7221)). |
| *31.1 | Certification of Gregory Q. Brown pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *31.2 | Certification of Dr. Sanjay K. Jha pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *31.3 | Certification of Edward J. Fitzpatrick pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.1 | Certification of Gregory Q. Brown pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.2 | Certification of Dr. Sanjay K. Jha pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.3 | Certification of Edward J. Fitzpatrick pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| **101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| **101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document |
| **101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| **101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| **101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| **101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

*
Filed herewith

**
XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.