ROYAL GOLD INC Form 10-Q May 09, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-O

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006 Commission File Number 001-13357

(a Delaware corporation)

Royal Gold, Inc. 1660 Wynkoop Street, Suite 1000 Denver, Colorado 80202-1132 (303) 573-1660

(Name, State of Incorporation, Address and Telephone Number)
I.R.S. Employer Identification Number 84-0835164

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No o Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date: 23,532,811 shares of the Company s Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, were outstanding as of April 30, 2006.

INDEX

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	PAGE
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Consolidated Balance Sheets Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income Consolidated Statements of Stockholders	1 2-3 4 5 6
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	33
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	34
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	35
Item 1A. Risk Factors	35
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use Proceeds	36
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	36
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	36
Item 5. Other Information	36
Item 6. Exhibits	36
SIGNATURES Guaranty and Agreement in Support of Somita Funding Agreement Pledge Agreement Guarantee Agreement Pledge of Securities Contribution Agreement Performance Share Agreement Certification of Chairman and CEO Pursuant to Section 302 Certification of Treasure and Chief Accounting Officer Pursuant to Section 302 Certification of Treasure and CEO Pursuant to Section 906 Certification of Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer Pursuant to Section 906	37

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Assets	March 31, 2006 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2005
Current assets		
Cash and equivalents	\$ 79,910,270	\$ 48,840,371
Royalty receivables	4,729,696	6,601,329
Deferred tax assets	152,707	452,730
Prepaid expenses and other	739,206	333,883
Total current assets	85,531,879	56,228,313
Royalty interests in mineral properties, net (Note 5)	81,000,050	44,817,242
Available for sale securities (Note 4)	2,016,564	554,812
Deferred tax assets	623,386	160,417
Other assets	405,086	557,771
Total assets	\$ 169,576,965	\$ 102,318,555
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,418,215	\$ 1,140,509
Income taxes payable	67,398	253,496
Dividend payable	1,297,620	1,050,628
Accrued compensation	187,500	278,500
Other	243,621	175,095
Total current liabilities	4,214,354	2,898,228
Deferred tax liabilities	7,290,639	7,586,402
Other long-term liabilities	76,834	96,634
Total liabilities	11,581,827	10,581,264
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8) Stockholders equity Common stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 40,000,000 shares; and		
issued 23,762,035 and 21,258,576 shares, respectively	237,619	212,585
Additional paid-in capital	165,043,413	104,163,515
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	516,348	(284,920)
Deferred compensation	,	(524,659)
Accumulated deficit	(6,705,370)	(10,732,358)
Treasury stock, at cost (229,224 shares)	(1,096,872)	(1,096,872)

Total stockholders equity 157,995,138 91,737,291

Total liabilities and stockholders equity \$ 169,576,965 \$ 102,318,555

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

1

Table of Contents

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

]	For The Three I March 31, 2006		ns Ended March 31, 2005
Royalty revenues	\$	5,760,750	\$	5,868,538
Costs and expenses Costs of operations General and administrative Exploration and business development Depreciation, depletion and amortization Total costs and expenses		475,682 1,325,572 1,210,452 1,006,467 4,018,173		400,885 939,935 391,980 695,152 2,427,952
Operating income		1,742,577		3,440,586
Interest and other income Gain on sale of available for sale securities		815,692		202,827 51
Interest and other expense		(61,537)		(22,034)
Income before income taxes		2,496,732		3,621,430
Current tax expense Deferred tax benefit (expense)		(976,681) 299,088		(599,445) (295,896)
Net income	\$	1,819,139	\$	2,726,089
Adjustments to comprehensive income Unrealized change in market value of available for sale securities, net of tax Realization of the change in market value on sale of available for sale securities, net of tax		576,114		65,448 (33)
Comprehensive income	\$	2,395,253	\$	2,791,504
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.08	\$	0.13
Basic weighted average shares outstanding		23,522,539		20,894,921
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.08	\$	0.13
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding The accompanying notes are an integral part of these conso	lidate	23,810,698 d financial staten	nents	21,099,404

2

Table of Contents

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

	For The Nine March 31, 2006	Months Ended March 31, 2005		
Royalty revenues	\$ 20,163,677	\$	17,824,462	
Costs and expenses	4 700 000		4 207 402	
Costs of operations	1,582,889		1,385,182	
General and administrative	3,933,077		2,844,608	
Exploration and business development	2,671,702		1,446,438	
Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	2,934,936		2,422,461	
Total costs and expenses	11,122,604		8,098,689	
Operating income	9,041,073		9,725,773	
Interest and other income	2,269,347		515,241	
Gain on sale of available for sale securities			163,577	
Interest and other expense	(116,315)		(80,069)	
Income before income taxes	11,194,105		10,324,522	
Current tax expense	(4,331,408)		(1,807,979)	
Deferred tax benefit (expense)	921,168		(673,708)	
Net income	\$ 7,783,865	\$	7,842,835	
Adjustments to comprehensive income				
Unrealized change in market value of available for sale securities, net of tax	801,268		142,042	
Realization of the change in market value on sale of available for sale	001,200		142,042	
securities, net of tax			(104,689)	
Comprehensive income	\$ 8,585,133	\$	7,880,188	
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.34	\$	0.38	
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	22,635,447		20,830,368	
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.34	\$	0.37	

Diluted weighted average shares outstanding

22,909,476

21,027,613

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

3

Table of Contents

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity for the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2006 (Unaudited)

	Common	Shares	Additional Paid-In	Accumulat Other Comprehens Income	ed sive Deferred	Accumulated	Treas	sury Stock	Total Stockholder
1	Shares	Amount	Capital		Compensation	n Deficit	Shares	Amount	Equity
lance at ne 30, 2005	21,258,576	\$ 212,585	\$ 104,163,513	5 \$ (284,920	0) \$ (524,659)	\$ (10,732,358)	229,224	\$ (1,096,872)) \$ 91,737,29
nuance of mmon stock									
uity offering ercise of	2,227,912	22,279	54,696,15	7					54,718,43
eck options esting of estricted	271,797	2,718	3,809,158	8					3,811,87
ock	3,750	37	88,500	0					88,53
x benefit of ock option ercises			890,693	5					890,69
recognition of n-cash mpensation pense for are-based mpensation ote 3)			1,920,04	7					1,920,04
eversal of ferred impensation ote 3)			(524,659	9)	524,659				
et income and imprehensive come for the ne months				0015					0.505
ded vidends				801,26	8	7,783,865			8,585,13
clared						(3,756,877)			(3,756,87
lance at									

Table of Contents 10

arch 31, 2006 23,762,035 \$237,619 \$165,043,413 \$516,348 \$ \$ (6,705,370) 229,224 \$(1,096,872) \$157,995,13

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

4

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	For The Nine March 31, 2006			s Ended March 31, 2005	
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$	7,783,865	\$	7,842,835	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization Gain on available for sale securities Deferred tax (benefit) expense Non-cash employee stock option compensation expense Tax benefit from exercise of stock options		2,934,936 (921,168) 2,008,584 (890,695)		2,422,461 (163,577) 673,708 162,213	
Changes in assets and liabilities: Royalty receivables Prepaid expenses and other assets Accounts payable Income taxes payable Accrued liabilities and other current liabilities Other long-term liabilities		1,871,633 (321,728) 1,277,706 704,597 (15,822) (19,800)		95,846 33,403 755,936 86,310 (19,800)	
Net cash provided by operating activities		14,412,108		11,889,335	
Cash flows from investing activities: Capital expenditures for property and equipment Acquisition of royalty interests in mineral properties (Note 2) Purchase of available for sale securities (Notes 2 and 4) Proceeds from sale of available for sale securities	((9,618) 39,039,035) (204,715)		(130,558) (7,500,000) (1,000,000) 539,960	
Net cash used in investing activities	(39,253,368)		(8,090,598)	
Cash flows from financing activities: Tax benefit from exercise of stock options Dividends paid Net proceeds from issuance of common stock		890,695 (3,509,885) 58,530,349		(2,601,415) 882,766	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		55,911,159		(1,718,649)	

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-Q

Net increase in cash and equivalents		31,069,899		2,080,088
Cash and equivalents at beginning of period		48,840,371		44,800,901
Cash and equivalents at end of period	\$	79,910,270	\$	46,880,989
Supplemental cash flow information: Cash paid during the period for: Income taxes	\$	3,642,212	\$	1,755,000
Non-cash financing activities: Deferred compensation (equity offset)	\$		\$	729,960
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consol 5	idate	ed financial stater	nents	

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) 1. OPERATIONS, SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Operations

Royal Gold, Inc. (Royal Gold, the Company, we, us, or our), together with its subsidiaries, is engaged in the bus of acquiring and managing precious metals royalties. Royalties are passive (non-operating) interests in mining projects that provide the right to revenue from the project after deducting specified costs, if any.

We seek to acquire existing royalties or to finance projects that are in production or near production in exchange for royalty interests. We also fund exploration on properties thought to contain precious metals and seek to obtain royalties and other carried ownership interests in such properties through the subsequent transfer of operating interests to other mining companies. Substantially all of our revenues are and will be expected to be derived from royalty interests. We do not conduct mining operations at this time.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments which are of a normal recurring nature considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included in this Form 10-Q. Operating results for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2006, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. These interim unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2005.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Effective July 1, 2005, the Company adopted FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R)), which is a revision of FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS 123). The Company has adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective application transition method. SFAS 123(R) supersedes Accounting Principles Board No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25), and amends FASB Statement No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. In October 2005, the FASB issued FSP FAS123(R)-2, *Practical Accommodation to the Application of Grant Date as Defined in FASB Statement No. 123(R)*, which provides guidance on the application of grant date as defined in SFAS 123(R). The guidance in the FSP has been applied upon the Company's initial adoption of SFAS 123(R). In November 2005, the FASB issued FSP FAS123(R)-3, *Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards*. This FSP requires an entity to follow either the transition guidance for the additional-paid-in-capital pool as prescribed in SFAS 123(R), or the alternative method as described in the FSP. An entity that adopts SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective application may make a one-time election to adopt the transition method described in this FSP. An entity may take up to one year from the later of its adoption of SFAS 123(R) or the effective date of this FSP to

6

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

evaluate its available transition alternatives and make its one-time election. This FSP became effective in November 2005. We continue to evaluate the impact that the adoption of this FSP could have on our financial statements.

2. ROYALTY ACQUISITIONS

Taranis Exploration Alliance

On November 4, 2005, Royal Gold entered into two Exploration and Earn-In Agreements (the Agreements) with Taranis Resources Inc. (Taranis) with respect to its exploration program in Finland. As part of the first Agreement, the Company will obtain a 2% net smelter return (NSR) royalty and future earn-in rights on any new property acquired by Taranis in Finland as a result of its regional exploration program, in exchange for a \$321,638 investment in 937,500 shares of Taranis common stock and 468,750 warrants.

As part of the Agreements, we have funded \$500,000 to Taranis for exploration work on the Kettukuusikko property in Lapland, Finland, in exchange for a 2% NSR royalty on the property. As of March 31, 2006, we have funded and expensed the entire \$500,000 commitment. We also have an option to fund up to an additional \$600,000. If we fund the entire additional amount, we will earn a 51% joint venture interest in the Kettukuusikko project, and we will release our 2% NSR royalty. The Company has elected to exercise this option. In the event that Royal Gold does not fully fund the \$600,000 to earn the joint venture interest, we would retain our 2% NSR royalty.

Taranis is publicly traded and therefore we have recorded the acquisition of the Taranis common stock and warrants as *Available for sale securities* on our consolidated balance sheets at their relative fair values. Our cost basis in the Taranis common stock and warrants is \$204,715. We have expensed the remaining \$116,923 of the \$321,638 investment, plus approximately \$34,000 in transaction costs, *as Exploration and business development* expense on our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. Finally, amounts funded to Taranis as part of the \$500,000 Kettukuusikko exploration commitment have been expensed as a component of *Exploration and business development* expense on our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. As of March 31, 2006, we have funded and expensed the entire \$500,000 commitment.

Robinson and Mulatos Royalties

On December 28, 2005, Royal Gold paid \$25 million to Kennecott Minerals (Kennecott) in exchange for two existing royalty interests held by Kennecott, including a 3% NSR royalty on the Robinson mine, located in eastern Nevada, and a sliding-scale NSR royalty on the Mulatos mine, located in Sonora, Mexico.

The Robinson mine is an open pit copper mine with significant gold and molybdenum credits. The mine has been owned and operated by Quadra Mining Ltd. (Quadra) since 2004. Royal Gold will begin receiving revenue from the Robinson royalty when a \$20.0 million reclamation trust account is fully funded by Quadra. As of March 31, 2006, cumulative funding of the trust account by Quadra totaled approximately \$18.4 million. The account is expected to be fully funded near the beginning of the first quarter of fiscal 2007, at which time royalty payments will begin to accrue and be paid to Royal Gold.

The Mulatos project, owned and operated by Alamos Gold, Inc. (Alamos), is an open pit, heap leach gold mine. According to Alamos, commercial production has been achieved effective April 1, 2006. The Mulatos mine sliding-scale royalty, capped at two million ounces of gold production, ranges from 0.30% for gold prices below \$300 up to 1.50% for gold prices above \$400.

7

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The Kennecott transaction has been accounted for as a purchase of assets. As such, the \$25 million acquisition cost, and approximately \$267,000 of our direct legal and other acquisition costs, have been allocated to the two acquired royalties according to their relative fair values, as separate components of *Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties* on our consolidated balance sheets. Accordingly, \$17.8 million has been allocated to the Robinson royalty and \$7.4 million has been allocated to the Mulatos royalty.

Taparko Project Royalties

On March 1, 2006, Royal Gold entered into an Amended and Restated Funding Agreement (Funding Agreement) with Societe des Mines de Taparko, also known as Somita SA (Somita), a 90% owned subsidiary of High River Gold Mines Ltd. (High River), to acquire two initial production payments equivalent to gross smelter return (GSR) royalties and two subsequent GSR royalty interests on the Taparko-Bouroum Project (Taparko Project) in Burkina Faso, West Africa. The Funding Agreement amended and restated the initial Funding Agreement dated December 1, 2005, among Royal Gold, High River and Somita. The Taparko Project is operated by Somita. Royal Gold s funding of the project will total \$35 million over approximately a one-year period, which will be used for the development and construction of the Taparko Project. Construction of the Taparko Project has been initiated by Somita and is expected to be largely completed during the fourth quarter of calendar 2006, with production commencing during the first quarter of calendar 2007.

As of March 31, 2006, Royal Gold completed the second funding tranche and scheduled funding totaling approximately \$7.1 million with High River, which resulted in total funding by Royal Gold of approximately \$13.8 million. Upon completion of the second tranche funding, we obtained the following mineral interests, all related to the Taparko Project:

- 1. TB-GSR1 A production payment equivalent to a fifteen percent (15%) gross smelter return (GSR) royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko Project. TB-GSR1 remains in force until cumulative production of 804,420 ounces of gold is achieved, or until cumulative payments of \$35 million have been made to us, whichever is earlier. Payments under TB-GSR1 are to be paid to us on a quarterly basis and will be calculated by taking the product of (i) total gold ounces produced during the quarter, (ii) the average price of gold (London P.M Fix) for the quarter, and (iii) the 15% GSR royalty rate.
- 2. TB-GSR2 A production payment equivalent to a GSR sliding-scale royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko Project. TB-GSR2 will be paid concurrently with, and remains in force until the termination of TB-GSR1. Payments under TB-GSR2 are to be paid to us on a quarterly basis and will be calculated by taking the product of (i) total gold ounces produced during the quarter, (ii) the average price of gold (London P.M Fix) for the quarter, and (iii) the sliding-scale royalty rate, which is to be determined as follows:
 - a. When the average price of gold is \$430 per ounce or more, the rate will be equal to the average price divided by 100 = 4.4%.
 - b. When the average gold price is \$385 per ounce or less, the rate will be equal to the average price divided by 90 (e.g., a \$350 gold price divided by 90 = 3.88%).
 - c. When the average price is between \$385 and \$430 per ounce, the rate is 4.3%.
- 3. TB-GSR3 A perpetual 2% GSR royalty on all gold contained in and produced from the Taparko Project area (as defined in the Funding Agreement). Payments under TB-GSR3 are calculated in the same manner as the TB-GSR1 royalty. This royalty is perpetual and will commence upon termination of the TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2 royalties.

8

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

4. TB-MR1 A 0.75% milling fee royalty, calculated in the same manner as the TB-GSR1 royalty, on all gold processed through the Taparko Project processing facilities that is mined from any area outside of the Taparko Project area (as defined in the Funding Agreement). TB-MR1 royalty is subject to a cap of 1.1 million tons per year (e.g., if in a given year, the Taparko Project processing facility processes 881,854 tons of ore from the Taparko Project area and 551,159 tons of ore from areas outside the Taparko Project area, the 881,854 tons from the Taparko Project area would be subject to TB-GSR1, TB-GSR2, or TB-GSR3 and the TB-MR1 would only apply to 220,463 tons of ore.

The Taparko transaction has been accounted for as a purchase of assets. Accordingly, the four components of the transaction noted above have been recorded at their allocated relative fair values as components of *Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties* on our consolidated balance sheets. The remaining funding amounts will be allocated according to their relative fair values as funding occurs. The first funding tranche was classified as an *Advance to High River Gold* on our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2005.

In order to secure our investment during the period between funding by Royal Gold and project completion (as defined in the funding agreement), High River has pledged its 90% interest in the equity of Somita. Royal Gold will maintain its security interest, in the form of the Somita shares, through the construction period. The security interest will be released upon the project meeting Project Completion, as defined in the Funding Agreement. In addition to the 90% interest in Somita, Royal Gold also obtained as collateral a pledge of shares of two equity investments held by High River. The equity value underlying the pledge of these shares is valued at approximately \$12.7 million and includes 12,015,000 common shares in the capital stock of Pelangio Mines, Inc. and 1,790,941 common shares in the capital stock of Intrepid Minerals Corporation. The purpose of this collateral is to maintain a construction reserve that can be used to remedy any construction defects noted during the construction contract warranty period. This collateral can only be used to remedy identified construction defects and cannot be used to repay any of Royal Gold s investment. This security interest will be released by Royal Gold at the end of the construction contract warranty period.

3. STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY AND STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION 2004 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan

In November 2004, our shareholders approved and we adopted an Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (2004 Plan). The 2004 Plan replaced our Equity Incentive Plan. Under the 2004 Plan, 900,000 shares of common stock are available for future grants to officers, directors, key employees and other persons. The 2004 Plan provides for the grant of stock options, unrestricted stock, restricted stock, dividend equivalent rights, stock appreciation rights, and cash awards. Any of these awards may, but need not, be made as performance incentives. Stock options granted under the 2004 Plan may be non-qualified stock options or incentive stock options.

For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2006, we recorded total non-cash stock compensation expense related to our equity compensation plans of \$695,758 and \$2,008,584, respectively, compared to \$43,088 and \$162,213 for the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2005, respectively. Non-cash stock compensation is allocated among cost of operations, general and administrative, and exploration and business development in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The total non-cash compensation expense allocated to cost of operations, general and administrative, and exploration and business development for the three months ended March 31, 2006, was \$103,097, \$337,237 and \$255,424, respectively, compared to \$5,613, \$26,160 and \$11,315 for the three months ended March 31, 2005, respectively. The total non-cash compensation expense allocated to cost of operations, general and administrative, and exploration and business development for the nine

9

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

months ended March 31, 2006, was \$259,761, \$1,078,265 and \$670,558, respectively, compared to \$11,225, \$128,358 and \$22,630 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005.

The total income tax benefit associated with non-cash stock compensation expense was approximately \$253,000 and \$731,000 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2006, respectively, compared to approximately \$16,000 and \$58,000 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005. In accordance with SFAS 123(R), the Company reversed \$524,659 of deferred compensation upon adoption of SFAS 123(R).

The Company granted various awards under the 2004 Plan during November 2005, as detailed below. As of March 31, 2006, there are 451,875 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2004 Plan. Stock Options

Stock option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of the Company s stock at the date of grant. Stock option awards granted to officers, key employees and other persons vest based on one to three years of continuous service. Stock option awards granted to directors vest immediately with respect to 50% of the shares granted and after one year with respect to the remaining 50% granted. Stock option awards have 10 year contractual terms.

To determine non-cash stock compensation expense for stock option awards, the fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton (Black-Scholes) option pricing model for all periods presented. The Black-Scholes model requires key assumptions in order to determine fair value and those key assumptions are noted in the following table:

	2006	2005
Weighted average expected volatility	61.20%	69.77%
Weighted average expected option term in years	5.4	4.5
Weighted average dividend yield	1.00%	1.14%
Weighted average risk free interest rate	4.5%	3.6%
Weighted average grant fair value	\$12.04	\$ 9.23

On November 8, 2005, 92,500 stock options under the 2004 Plan were granted to certain employees and officers under the 2004 Plan. These options have an exercise price of \$22.22, which was the closing market price for our common stock on the date of grant. On November 9, 2005, 15,000 stock options under the 2004 Plan were granted to the Board of Directors (Directors) at an exercise price of \$23.61, which was the closing market price of our common stock on the date of grant. The options have vesting terms ranging from one to three years. Directors options vest 50% upon grant and 50% vest after one year.

10

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

A summary of stock option activity under our equity compensation plans as of March 31, 2006, and changes during the period is presented below:

		Weighted-Average Remaining				
				Contractual		Aggregate
		Weigh	ted-Average	Term		Intrinsic
		E	exercise			
Options	Shares		Price	(Years)		Value
Outstanding at July 1, 2005	711,024	\$	13.53			
Granted	107,500	\$	22.41			
Exercised	(271,797)	\$	14.03			
Forfeited and Expired						
Outstanding at March 31, 2006	546,727	\$	15.02	6.7	\$	11,574,102
Exercisable at March 31, 2006	401,060	\$	12.95	4.1	\$	9,322,537

The weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted during the period ended

March 31, 2006, and 2005, was \$12.04 and \$9.23, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2006, were \$1,992,609 and \$5,516,335, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2005, was \$2,128,630 and \$2,510,732, respectively.

A summary of the status of the Company s non-vested stock options as of March 31, 2006, and changes during the period ended March 31, 2006, is presented below:

		U	ted-Average t Date Fair
	Shares		Value
Non-vested at July 1, 2005	133,850	\$	9.26
Granted	107,500	\$	12.04
Vested	(95,683)	\$	9.50
Forfeited			
Non-vested at March 31, 2006	145,667	\$	11.15

As of March 31, 2006, there was \$1,142,254 of total unrecognized non-cash stock compensation expense related to non-vested stock options granted under our equity compensation plans, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the three months ended March 31, 2006, and 2005, was \$0. The total fair value of shares vested during the nine months ended March 31, 2006, and 2005 was \$503,472 and \$267,468, respectively.

Prior to July 1, 2005, we measured compensation cost as prescribed by APB 25. No compensation cost related to the granting of stock options has been recognized in the financial statements prior to July 1, 2005, as the exercise price of all option grants was equal to the market price of our common stock at the date of grant. In October 1995, the FASB issued SFAS 123. SFAS 123 defines a fair value based method of accounting for employee options or similar equity instruments. Had compensation cost been determined under the provisions of SFAS 123, the following pro forma net income and per share amounts would have been recorded:

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Net income, as reported		For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 2,726,089	For The Nine Months Ended March 31, 2005 7,842,835
Net income, as reported	Ф	2,720,069	7,042,033
Add: Stock-based compensation expense for restricted stock awards included in reported net income, net of related tax effects Less: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined		27,576	103,816
under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects		(174,960)	(487,572)
Pro forma net income	\$	2,578,705	7,459,079
Earnings per share:			
Basic, as reported	\$	0.13	0.38
Basic, pro forma	\$	0.12	0.36
Diluted, as reported	\$	0.13	0.37
Diluted, pro forma	\$	0.12	0.35

Stock-based Compensation

On November 8, 2005, certain employees and officers were granted 41,000 shares of restricted common stock that can be earned only if either one of two defined multi-year performance goals is met within five years of the date of grant (Performance Shares). If the performance goals are not earned by the end of this five year period, the Performance Shares will be forfeited. Vesting of Performance Shares is subject to certain performance measures being met and can be based on an interim earn out of 25%, 50%, 75% or 100%. The defined performance goals are tied to two different performance measures: (1) growth of free cash flow per share on a trailing twelve month basis; and (2) growth of royalty ounces in reserves on an annual basis.

A summary of the status of the Company s non-vested Performance Shares as of March 31, 2006, and changes during the period ended March 31, 2006, is presented below:

		Weighted-Average
		Grant Date Fair
	Shares	Value
Non-vested at July 1, 2005	58,250	\$ 17.38
Granted	41,000	\$ 22.22
Vested		\$
Forfeited		\$

Non-vested at March 31, 2006

99,250

\$ 19.38

We measure the fair value of the Performance Shares based upon the market price of our common stock as of the date of grant. In accordance with SFAS 123(R), the measurement date for the Performance Shares will be determined at such time that the performance goals are attained or that it is probable they will be attained. At such time that it is probable that a performance condition will be achieved, compensation expense will be measured by the number of shares that will ultimately be earned based on the grant date market price of our common stock. Interim recognition of compensation expense will be

12

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

made at such time as management can reasonably estimate the number of shares that will be earned. As of March 31, 2006, our estimates indicated that it is probable that approximately 70% of our non-vested Performance Shares will be earned. As a result, for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2006, we recorded non-cash stock compensation expense associated with our Performance Shares of \$343,578 and \$817,207, respectively. As of March 31, 2006, total unrecognized non-cash stock compensation expense related to our Performance Shares is \$527,658, which is expected to be recognized over the next 2.25 years, the period over which it is probable that the performance goals will be attained.

On November 8, 2005, certain employees and officers were granted 56,500 shares of restricted common stock, which vest by continued service alone (Restricted Stock). For employees and officers, the vesting period for Restricted Stock begins after a three-year holding period from the date of grant with one-third of the shares vesting in years four, five and six, respectively. On November 9, 2005, our non-executive directors were granted 7,500 shares of Restricted Stock. The non-executive Directors—shares of Restricted Stock vest as to 50% immediately and 50% one year after the date of grant. Shares of Restricted Stock represent issued and outstanding shares of common stock, with dividend and voting rights. We measure the fair value of the Restricted Stock based upon the market price of our common stock as of the date of grant. Restricted Stock is amortized over the applicable vesting period using the straight-line method. Unvested shares of Restricted Stock are subject to forfeiture upon termination of employment with the Company. A summary of the status of the Company—s non-vested Restricted Stock as of March 31, 2006, and changes during the period ended March 31, 2006, is presented below:

		Weighted-Average	
		Grant Date Fair	
	Shares	Value	
Non-vested at July 1, 2005	37,625	\$ 17.38	
Granted	64,000	\$ 22.38	
Vested	(8,125)	\$ 20.26	
Forfeited	(625)	\$ 17.38	
Non-vested at March 31, 2006	92,875	\$ 20.58	

XX7 ' 1 4 1 A

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, and 2005, we recorded non-cash stock compensation expense associated with the Restricted Stock of \$98,523 and \$43,088, respectively. For the nine months ended March 31, 2006, and 2005, we recorded non-cash stock compensation associated with the Restricted Stock of \$328,672 and \$162,213. As of March 31, 2006, total unrecognized non-cash stock compensation expense related to Restricted Stock was \$1,628,493, which is expected to be recognized over the remaining vesting period or 5.50 years.

Stock Issuances

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, options to purchase 79,236 shares were exercised, resulting in proceeds of \$854,505. During the nine months ended March 31, 2006, options to purchase 271,797 shares were exercised, resulting in proceeds of \$3,809,158. During the three months ended March 31, 2005, options to purchase 156,339 shares were exercised, resulting in proceeds of \$752,212. During the nine months ended March 31, 2005, options to purchase 184,203 shares were exercised, resulting in proceeds of \$882,766.

In September 2005, we sold 2,227,912 shares of our common stock in an underwritten public offering, at a price of \$26.00 per share, resulting in proceeds of approximately \$54.7 million, which is net of the underwriters discount of \$2.9 million and estimated transaction costs of approximately \$327,000. The net

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

proceeds in this equity offering have been and will continue to be used to fund the acquisition and financing of additional royalty interests and for general corporate purposes.

4. AVAILABLE FOR SALE SECURITIES

Investments in securities that have readily determinable market values are classified as available for sale investments. Unrealized gains and losses on these investments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (net of tax) as a separate component of stockholders—equity. We recorded unrealized gains (net of tax) of \$576,114 and \$65,448 for the three months ended March 31, 2006, and 2005, respectively. We recorded unrealized gains (net of tax) of \$801,268 and \$142,042 for the nine months ended March 31, 2006, and 2005, respectively. When investments are sold, the realized gains and losses on the sale of these investments, as determined using the specific identification method, are included in determining net income. We had no sales of available for sale investments during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2006. We recorded a gain on sale of available for sale securities of \$51 and \$163,577 during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005.

We hold 1.3 million shares of Revett Silver Company (Revett hat are recorded as an investment in available for sale securities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The market value for our investment in the shares of Revett was \$1.5 million as of March 31, 2006. Our cost basis in the Revett shares is \$1.0 million. We also hold 937,500 and 468,750 shares of common stock and warrants, respectively, in Taranis as part of the alliance with Taranis as explained in Note 2. Our cost basis in the Taranis common stock and warrants is \$204,715. The market value for our investment in Taranis common stock and warrants was \$473,186 as of March 31, 2006.

14

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) 5. ROYALTY INTERESTS IN MINERAL PROPERTIES

The following table summarizes the net book value of each of our royalty interests in mineral properties as of March 31, 2006, and June 30, 2005.

As of March 31, 2006:

	Gross	Accumulated Depletion & Amortization	Net
Production stage royalty interests:			
Pipeline Mining Complex			
GSR1	\$	\$	\$
GSR2			
GSR3	8,105,020	(5,923,212)	2,181,808
NVR1	2,135,107	(1,545,913)	589,194
Bald Mountain	1,978,547	(1,809,371)	169,176
SJ Claims	20,788,444	(4,597,642)	16,190,802
Robinson mine	17,824,776		17,824,776
Mulatos mine	7,441,779		7,441,779
Troy mine GSR royalty	7,250,000	(916,734)	6,333,266
Troy mine Perpetual royalty	250,000	,	250,000
Leeville South	1,775,809	(1,741,551)	34,258
Leeville North	14,240,418	(132,681)	14,107,737
Martha	172,810	(172,810)	
	81,962,710	(16,839,914)	65,122,796
Development stage royalty interest:			
Taparko Project	10 220 622		10 220 622
TB-GSR1	10,230,622		10,230,622
TB-GSR2	2,994,216		2,994,216
TB-GSR3	418,670		418,670
	13,643,508		13,643,508
Exploration stage royalty interests:			
Taparko Project			
TB-GSR3	78,061		78,061
TB-MR1	50,910		50,910
Leeville North	2,305,845	(271,187)	2,034,658
Buckhorn South	70,117	,	70,117
	2,504,933	(271,187)	2,233,746
Total royalty interests in mineral properties	\$ 98,111,151	\$ (17,111,101)	\$ 81,000,050
	15		

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

As of June 30, 2005:

Production stage royalty interests:	Gross	Accumulated Depletion & Amortization	Net
Pipeline Mining Complex			
GSR1	\$	\$	\$
GSR2	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ
GSR3	8,105,020	(5,586,436)	2,518,584
NVR1	2,135,107	(1,475,264)	659,843
Bald Mountain	1,978,547	(1,785,945)	192,602
SJ Claims	20,788,444	(2,936,632)	17,851,812
Troy mine GSR royalty	7,250,000	(388,594)	6,861,406
Leeville South	1,775,809	(1,638,007)	137,802
Martha	172,810	(172,810)	
	42,205,737	(13,983,688)	28,222,049
Development stage royalty interests:			
Leeville North	14,240,418		14,240,418
Exploration stage royalty interests:			
Leeville North	2,305,845	(271,187)	2,034,658
Troy mine Perpetual royalty	250,000	(, , , , , ,	250,000
Buckhorn South	70,117		70,117
	2,625,962	(271,187)	2,354,775
Total royalty interests in mineral properties	\$ 59,072,117	\$ (14,254,875)	\$ 44,817,242

Discussed below is a status of each of our royalty interests in mineral properties.

Pipeline Mining Complex

We own two sliding-scale gross smelter return royalties (GSR1 ranging from 0.40% to 5.0% and GSR2 ranging from 0.72% to 9.0%), a 0.71% fixed gross smelter royalty (GSR3), and a 0.39% net value royalty (NVR1) over the Pipeline Mining Complex that includes the Pipeline and South Pipeline gold deposits in Lander County, Nevada. The Pipeline Mining Complex is owned by the Cortez Joint Venture, a joint venture between Barrick Gold Corporation (60%), and Kennecott Explorations (Australia) Ltd. (40%), a subsidiary of Rio Tinto plc.

16

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Bald Mountain

We own a 1.75% to 3.5% sliding-scale net smelter return, or NSR, royalty that burdens a portion of the Bald Mountain mine, in White Pine County, Nevada. Bald Mountain is an open pit, heap leach mine operated by Barrick Gold Corporation (Barrick). The sliding-scale royalty increases or decreases with the gold price, adjusted by the 1986 Producer Price Index. Our royalty rate is calculated quarterly and would currently increase to 2% at a quarterly average gold price of approximately \$630 per ounce in today s dollars.

SJ Claims

We own a 0.9% NSR on the SJ Claims that covers a portion of the Betze-Post mine, in Eureka County, Nevada. Betze-Post is an open pit mine operated by Barrick at its Goldstrike property.

Leeville Project

We own a 1.8% carried working interest, equal to a 1.8% NSR royalty, which covers the majority of the Leeville Project, in Eureka County, Nevada. Current production from the Leeville Project is derived from Leeville South and Leeville North underground mines, which are operated by Newmont Mining Corporation (Newmont). During our first fiscal quarter of 2006, Newmont began mining operations at Leeville North. Accordingly, during our first fiscal quarter of 2006, we reclassified our cost basis in Leeville North as a production stage royalty interest. As such, we began depleting our cost basis using the units of production method during the quarter ended September 30, 2005. Prior to our first fiscal quarter of 2006, we carried our interest in the proven and probable reserves at Leeville North as a development stage royalty interest.

We carry our interest in the non-reserve portion of Leeville North as an exploration stage royalty interest, which is not subject to periodic amortization. In the event that future proven and probable reserves are developed at Leeville North associated with our royalty interest, the cost basis of our exploration stage royalty interest will be reclassified as a development stage royalty interest or a production stage royalty interest in future periods, as appropriate. In the event that future circumstances indicate that the non-reserve portion of Leeville North will not be converted into proven and probable reserves, we will evaluate our carrying value in the exploration stage interest for impairment.

Martha Mine

We own a 2% NSR royalty on the Martha mine located in the Santa Cruz Province of Argentina, operated by Coeur d Alene Mining Corporation.

Trov Mine

We own a production payment equivalent to a 7.0% GSR royalty from all metals and products produced and sold from the Troy mine, located in northeastern Montana and operated by Revett. The GSR royalty will extend until either cumulative production of approximately 9.9 million ounces of silver and 84.6 million pounds of copper, or the Company receives \$10.5 million in cumulative payments, whichever occurs first. As of March 31, 2006, we have received payments associated with the GSR royalty totaling \$1.8 million. We carry our interest in the proven and probable reserves for the GSR royalty as a

17

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

production stage royalty interest, which is depleted using the units of production method estimated by using proven and probable reserves. Mining operations commenced at the Troy mine during December 2004, with the first shipment of concentrate occurring during January 2005. Amortization of our production stage interest commenced with the first concentrate shipment from the Troy mine during the third quarter of our fiscal year 2005. We also own a perpetual GSR royalty (Perpetual Royalty) at the Troy mine. The royalty rate for the Perpetual Royalty begins at 6.1% on any production in excess of 11.0 million ounces of silver and 94.1 million pounds of copper, and steps down to a perpetual 2% after cumulative production has exceeded 12.7 million ounces of silver and 108.2 million pounds of copper. Effective January 1, 2006, we have re-classified our interest in the Perpetual Royalty from an exploration stage royalty interest to a production stage interest due to an increase in reserves at the Troy mine. We will deplete our interest in the Perpetual Royalty using the units of production method as production occurs in future periods.

Taparko Mine

We own a production payment equivalent to a 15.0% GSR (TB-GSR1) royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko Project, located in Burkina Faso and operated by Somita. TB-GSR1 remains in-force until cumulative production of 804,420 ounces of gold is achieved or until cumulative payments of \$35 million have been made to Royal Gold, whichever is earlier. We also own a production payment equivalent to a GSR sliding-scale royalty (TB-GSR2) on all gold produced from the Taparko Project. TB-GSR2 is effective concurrently with TB-GSR1, and remains in-force until the termination of TB-GSR1. We carry our interests in TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2 as development stage royalty interests, which are not currently subject to periodic amortization.

We also own a perpetual 2% GSR royalty (TB-GSR3) royalty on all gold contained in and produced from the Taparko Project area. TB-GSR3 will commence upon termination of TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2 royalties. A portion of the TB-GSR3 royalty is associated with existing proven and probable reserves and has been classified as a development stage royalty interest, which is not subject to periodic amortization at this time. The remaining portion of the TB-GSR3 royalty, which is not currently associated with proven and probable reserves, is classified as an exploration stage royalty interest, which is also not subject to periodic amortization at this time.

In addition, we own a 0.75% milling fee royalty (TB-MR1) on all gold processed through the Taparko Project processing facilities that is mined from any area outside of the Taparko Project area, subject to a 1.1 million tons per year cap. TB-MR1 is classified as an exploration stage royalty interest and is not subject to periodic amortization at this time.

Robinson Mine

We own a 3% NSR royalty on the Robinson mine, located in eastern Nevada. The Robinson mine is an open pit copper mine with significant gold and molybdenum credits. The mine has been owned and operated by Quadra since 2004. Royal Gold will begin receiving revenue from the Robinson royalty when a \$20.0 million reclamation trust account is fully funded by Quadra. As of March 31, 2006, cumulative funding of the trust account by Quadra totaled approximately \$18.4 million. The account is expected to be fully funded near the beginning of the first quarter of our fiscal year 2007, at which time, royalty payments will begin to accrue and be paid to Royal Gold.

Mulatos Mine

We own a sliding-scale NSR royalty on the Mulatos mine, located in Sonora, Mexico. The Mulatos mine, owned and operated by Alamos, is an open pit, heap leach gold mine. The Mulatos mine sliding-scale

18

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

royalty, capped at two million ounces of gold production, ranges from 0.30% for gold prices below \$300 up to 1.50% for gold prices above \$400.

Buckhorn South

We hold a 16.5% net profits interest royalty on the Buckhorn South property, located in Eureka County, Nevada. Buckhorn South is a property of approximately 5,000 acres, subject to 265 unpatented mining claims, located approximately 2 miles south of the Buckhorn mine. The Buckhorn South interest is classified as an exploration stage royalty interest.

6. EARNINGS PER SHARE (EPS) COMPUTATION

	For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2006			
	Income	Shares	Per-Share	
	(Numerator)	(Denominator)	Amount	
Basic EPS				
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 1,819,139	23,522,539	\$ 0.08	
Effect of dilutive securities		288,159		
D'1-4-1 EDC	¢ 1.010.120	22 010 600	Φ 0.00	
Diluted EPS	\$ 1,819,139	23,810,698	\$ 0.08	

As of March 31, 2006, all outstanding options were included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise price of all the options was less than the average market price of the common shares for the period.

	For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2005				
	Income Shares		Per-Share		
	(Numerator)	(Denominator) A		Amount	
Basic EPS					
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 2,726,089	20,894,921	\$	0.13	
Effect of dilutive securities		204,483			
D.H. 1700		24 000 404		0.40	
Diluted EPS	\$ 2,726,089	21,099,404	\$	0.13	

Options to purchase 409,540 shares of common stock, at an average purchase price of \$19.11 per share, were outstanding at March 31, 2005, but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise price of these options was greater than the average market price of the common shares for the period.

19

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

	For The Nine Months Ended March 31, 2006				
	Income			Per-Share Amount	
	(Numerator)				
Basic EPS					
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 7,783,865	22,635,447	\$	0.34	
Effect of dilutive securities		274,029			
Diluted EPS	\$ 7,783,865	22,909,476	\$	0.34	

As of March 31, 2006, all outstanding options were included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise price of all the options was less than the average market price of the common stock for the period.

	For The Nine Months Ended March 31, 2005				
	Income Shares		Per-Share		
	(Numerator)	(Denominator) An		mount	
Basic EPS					
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 7,842,835	20,830,368	\$	0.38	
Effect of dilutive securities		197,245			
Diluted EPS	\$ 7,842,835	21,027,613	\$	0.37	

Options to purchase 409,540 shares of common stock, at an average purchase price of \$19.11 per share, were outstanding at March 31, 2005, but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise price of these options was greater than the average market price of the common shares for the period.

7. INCOME TAXES

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, we recorded current and deferred tax expense of \$677,593 compared with \$895,341 during the three months ended March 31, 2005. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2006, was 27.1%, compared with 24.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase in our effective tax rate between periods was the result of the release of a valuation allowance associated with the available for sale securities of approximately \$320,000 during fiscal year 2005.

For the nine months ending March 31, 2006, we recognized current and deferred tax expense totaling \$3,410,240 compared with \$2,481,687 during the nine months ended March 31, 2005. This resulted in an effective tax rate of 30.5% in the current period compared with 24.0% in the prior period. The increase in our effective tax rate is the result of the release of a valuation allowance associated with the sale of available for sale securities of approximately \$320,000 during the nine months ended March 31, 2005.

During the nine months ending March 31, 2006, and March 31, 2005, we remitted \$3,642,212 and \$1,755,000 in cash taxes, respectively.

20

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Taparko Project

As discussed in Note 2, on March 1, 2006, Royal Gold entered into a Funding Agreement with Somita to acquire two initial production payments equivalent to GSR royalties and two subsequent GSR royalty interests burdening the Taparko Project in Burkina Faso, West Africa. The Funding Agreement amended and restated the initial Funding Agreement, dated December 1, 2005, among Royal Gold, High River and Somita. The Taparko Project is operated by Somita. Royal Gold s funding of the project will total \$35 million over approximately a one-year period, which will be used for the development and construction of the Taparko Project. Construction of the Taparko Project has been initiated by Somita and is expected to be completed during the first quarter of calendar 2007.

As part of the \$35 million funding commitment, Royal Gold has completed the second funding tranche, which resulted in total funding by Royal Gold of approximately \$13.8 million as of March 31, 2006. Subsequent funding of the Taparko Project will be made in installments over the construction period. The Funding Agreement outlines the construction milestones that must be met prior to each specific funding installment. The project is projected to meet all construction requirements (as defined in the Funding Agreement) no later than September 30, 2007, at which time the entire \$35 million will have been funded by Royal Gold.

Under a separate Contribution Agreement between Royal Gold and High River, once Royal Gold has funded \$13.8 million (this funding level has been completed by Royal Gold as of March 31, 2006), High River is responsible for contributing additional equity contributions in the amount of \$10.8 million to cover currently anticipated cost overruns prior to any additional funding by Royal Gold. High River is expected to complete its additional equity contributions by July 1, 2007. High River is also responsible for any additional cost overruns incurred during the construction and construction warranty periods.

If High River is unable to make the required equity contributions, Royal Gold has the right to either (a) provide funding that High River failed to fund, or (b) declare a default under the Funding agreement. In the event that Royal Gold elects to provide funding in the amount that High River fails to fund, Royal Gold may elect to acquire either an equity interest in High River, consisting of units of common shares and warrants of High River as defined, or to obtain additional royalty interests in the Taparko Project in an amount in proportion to the amount of the additional funding compared with Royal Gold s original \$35 million funding commitment. As of April 26, 2006, High River has made all required equity commitments as scheduled, under its Contribution Agreement.

Taranis

As discussed in note 2, on November 4, 2005, we entered into an agreement for exploration of the Taranis Kettukuusikko project in Finland with Taranis. We have funded exploration totaling \$500,000 in return for a 2% NSR royalty. We also have an option to fund up to an additional \$600,000. If we fund the entire additional amount, we will earn a 51% joint venture interest in the Kettukuusikko project, and we will release our 2% NSR royalty. The Company has elected to exercise this option. In the event that Royal Gold does not fully fund the \$600,000 to earn the joint venture interest, we would retain our 2% NSR royalty.

21

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Revett

Under the terms of the Revett purchase agreement, the Company has the right, but not the obligation, to cure any default by Revett under their obligations pursuant to an existing mortgage payable, secured by a promissory note, to Kennecott Montana Company, a third party and prior joint venture interest owner of the Troy mine. If the Company elects to exercise its right, it would have the subsequent right to reimbursement from Revett for any amounts disbursed in curing such defaults. The principal and accrued interest under the promissory note as of March 31, 2006, was approximately \$6.0 million with a maturity date of February 2008.

Casmalia

On March 24, 2000, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) notified Royal Gold and 92 other entities that they were considered potentially responsible parties (PRPs) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (Superfund), at the Casmalia Resources Hazardous Waste Disposal Site (the Site) in Santa Barbara County, California. EPA s allegation that Royal Gold was a PRP was based on the disposal of allegedly hazardous petroleum exploration wastes at the Site by Royal Gold s predecessor, Royal Resources, Inc., during 1983 and 1984.

After extensive negotiations, on September 23, 2002, Royal Gold, along with 35 members of the PRP group targeted by EPA, entered into a Partial Consent Decree with the United States of America intending to settle their liability for past and future clean-up costs incurred at the Site. Based on the minimal volume of allegedly hazardous waste that Royal Resources, Inc. disposed of at the Site, our share of the \$25.3 million settlement amount was \$107,858, which we deposited into the escrow account that the PRP group set up for that purpose in January 2002. The funds were paid to the United States of America on May 9, 2003. The United States of America may only pursue Royal Gold and the other PRPs for additional clean-up costs if the United States of America total clean-up costs at the Site significantly exceed the expected cost of approximately \$272 million. We believe this to be a remote possibility; therefore, we consider our potential liability to the United States of America to be resolved.

The Partial Consent Decree does not resolve Royal Gold s potential liability to the State of California (State) for its response costs or for natural resource damages arising from the Site. The State has not expressed any interest in pursuing natural resource damages. However, on October 1, 2002, the State notified Royal Gold and the rest of the PRP group that participated in the settlement with the United States of America that the State would be seeking response costs totaling approximately \$12.5 million from them. It is not known what portion of these costs the State expects to recover from this PRP group in settlement. If the State agrees to a volumetric allocation, we will be liable for 0.438% of any settlement amount. However, we expect that our share of liability will be completely covered by a \$15 million, zero-deductible insurance policy that the PRP group purchased specifically to protect itself from claims such as that brought by the State.

22

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to provide information to assist you in better understanding and evaluating our financial condition and results of operations. We recommend that you read this MD&A in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K. This MD&A contains forward-looking information. Our important note about forward-looking statements, which you will find following this MD&A and following the MD&A in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, applies to these forward-looking statements.

We refer to GSR, NSR and other types of royalty interests throughout this MD&A. These terms are defined in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

Royal Gold, Inc. (Royal Gold, the Company, we, us, or our), together with its subsidiaries, is engaged in the bus of acquiring and managing precious metals royalties. Royalties are passive (non-operating) interests in mining projects that provide the right to revenue from the project after deducting specified costs, if any.

We seek to acquire existing royalties or to finance projects that are in production or near production in exchange for royalty interests. We also explore and develop properties thought to contain precious metals and seek to obtain royalty interests and other carried ownership interests in these properties through the subsequent transfer of interests to other mining companies. We expect that substantially all of our revenues are and will be derived from royalty interests. We do not conduct mining operations at this time. During the quarter ended March 31, 2006, we focused on the management of our existing royalty interests, the acquisition of royalty interests, and the creation of royalty interests through financing and exploration.

Our financial results are closely tied to the price of gold and production from our royalty properties. For the quarter ended March 31, 2006, the price of gold averaged \$554 per ounce, compared with an average price of \$427 per ounce for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. As a result of the increased gold price, our GSR1 sliding-scale royalty at the Pipeline Mining Complex was 5.0% compared with a rate of 4.25% during the prior period. Lower production at the Pipeline Mining Complex, which was largely offset by rising metal prices and an increase in our sliding-scale royalty, along with increased revenues from SJ Claims and Bald Mountain resulted in revenues of \$5,760,750 during the quarter ended March 31, 2006, compared with revenues of \$5,868,538 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. We believe, based on estimates from the operators of our royalty properties, that the expected continued ramp-up of production at the Leeville and Troy mines, increased levels of production attributable to our royalty interest at Bald Mountain, the start of royalty payments at the Mulatos property in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, and the commencement of payments from the Robinson and Tarparko royalties in fiscal 2007 will provide revenue growth in future periods.

Our principal mineral property interests are set forth below:

§ We hold four royalty interests at the Pipeline Mining Complex, which includes the Pipeline and South Pipeline gold deposits. The Pipeline Mining Complex is operated by the Cortez Joint Venture, which is a joint venture between Barrick Gold Corporation (Barrick) (60%), and

23

Table of Contents

Kennecott Explorations (Australia) Ltd. (40%), a subsidiary of Rio Tinto plc. Our four royalty interests at the Pipeline Mining Complex are:

- o GSR1, a sliding-scale GSR royalty that covers the current mine footprint which includes the Pipeline and South Pipeline deposits and ranges from 0.4% at a gold price below \$210 per ounce to 5.0% at a gold price of \$470 per ounce or above;
- o GSR2, a sliding-scale GSR royalty that covers areas outside the current Pipeline mine footprint and ranges from 0.72% at a gold price below \$210 per ounce to 9.0% at a gold price of \$470 per ounce or above:
- o GSR3, a 0.71% fixed rate GSR royalty on the production covered by GSR1 and GSR2; and
- o NVR1, a fixed rate 0.39% net value royalty on all production on the South Pipeline and Crossroads area, not covering the Pipeline deposit.
- § We hold 1.8% NSR royalty on the majority of the Leeville Project, which includes Leeville South and Leeville North underground mines, located in Nevada and operated by Newmont Mining Corporation.
- § We hold a 0.9% NSR royalty on the SJ Claims, which covers a portion of the Betze-Post open pit mine, at the Goldstrike operation, located in Nevada and operated by Barrick.

Our other producing royalty interests include:

- § Two royalty interests in the Troy underground silver and copper mine, operated by Revett, located in northwestern Montana:
 - o A production payment equivalent to a 7.0% GSR royalty until either cumulative production of approximately 9.9 million ounces of silver and 84.6 million pounds of copper, or we receive \$10.5 million in cumulative payments, whichever occurs first; and
 - o A GSR royalty which begins at 6.1% on any production in excess of 11.0 million ounces of silver and 94.1 million pounds of copper, and steps down to a 2% GSR royalty after cumulative production has exceeded 12.7 million ounces of silver and 108.2 million pounds of copper;
- § A 2% NSR royalty on a number of properties in Santa Cruz Province, Argentina, including the Martha silver mine, which is operated by Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation;
- § A 1.75% NSR sliding-scale royalty interest that increases to 2% at a gold price of approximately \$630 and covers a portion of the Bald Mountain mine in Nevada, operated by Barrick.

During the nine months ended March 31, 2006, we acquired the following royalty interests (see below for further discussion of these royalty acquisitions):

- § We hold four royalty interests on the Taparko-Bouroum Project (Taparko Project), located in Burkina Faso and operated by High River Gold Mines Ltd. (High River). Our four royalty interests at the Taparko Project are:
 - TB-GSR1, a production payment equivalent to a 15% GSR royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko Project until either cumulative production of 100% of the currently identified recoverable proven and probable reserve (804,420 ounces of gold) is achieved or until we receive \$35 million in cumulative payments;

24

Table of Contents

- o TB-GSR2, a production payment equivalent to a GSR sliding-scale royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko Project. TB-GSR2 remains in-force until the termination of TB-GSR1;
- o TB-GSR3, a perpetual 2% GSR royalty on all gold contained in and produced from the Taparko Project area. TB-GSR3 will commence upon the termination of the TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2 royalties; and
- o TB-MR1, a 0.75% milling fee royalty, calculated in the same manner as the TB-GSR1 royalty, on all gold, subject to annual caps, processed through the Taparko Project processing facilities that is mined from any area outside the Taparko Project area.
- § A 3% NSR royalty on the Robinson mine, located in eastern Nevada and operated by Quadra Mining Ltd. (Quadra);
- § A sliding-scale NSR royalty on the Mulatos mine, located in Sonora, Mexico, and operated by Alamos Gold, Inc. (Alamos). The sliding-scale NSR royalty, capped at two million ounces of gold production, ranges from 0.30% payout for gold prices below \$300 up to a maximum rate of 1.50% for gold prices above \$400. Estimates received from the mine operators during the first quarter of calendar year 2006 indicated that gold production, attributable to our royalty interests, for calendar year 2006 was expected to be approximately 385,000 ounces from the Pipeline Mining Complex, 32,000 ounces from the Leeville South mine and 209,000 from the Leeville North mine at the Leeville Project, 903,000 ounces from the SJ Claims, 53,500 ounces from the Robinson mine, and 139,000 ounces from the Mulatos mine. The Martha silver mine is expected to produce 2.5 million ounces of silver attributable to our royalty interest for the 2006 calendar year. The Troy mine is expected to produce 1.8 million ounces of silver and 15.6 million pounds of copper attributable to our royalty interest for the 2006 calendar year. The Robinson mine is expected to produce 128 to 132 million pounds of copper and 0.5 to 1.0 million pounds of molybdenum.

We will begin earning royalty payments from our Mulatos mine royalty in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006. We will begin receiving revenue from the Robinson royalty when a \$20.0 million reclamation trust account is fully funded by Quadra. As of March 31, 2006, cumulative funding of the trust account by Quadra totaled approximately \$18.4 million. The account is expected to be fully funded near the beginning of our first quarter of fiscal 2007, at which time royalty payments will begin to accrue and be paid to us.

As of March 31, 2006, the mine operators have reported production attributable to our royalty interests of 98,802 ounces from the Pipeline Mining Complex, 13,200 ounces from the Leeville South, 12,382 ounces from Leeville North, and 261,602 ounces from the SJ Claims. Revett reported that the Troy mine produced 225,580 ounces of silver and 1,754,517 pounds of copper during the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Royalty Acquisitions

Taranis Exploration Alliance

On November 4, 2005, we entered into two Exploration and Earn-In Agreements (the Agreements) with Taranis Resources Inc. (Taranis) with respect to its exploration program in Finland. As part of the first Agreement, we will obtain a 2% NSR royalty and future earn-in rights on any new property acquired by Taranis in Finland as a result of its regional exploration program, in exchange for a \$321,638 investment in 937,500 shares of Taranis common stock and 468,750 warrants.

25

Table of Contents

As part of the Agreements, we have funded \$500,000 to Taranis for exploration work on the Kettukuusikko property in Lapland, Finland, in exchange for a 2% NSR royalty on the property. As of March 31, 2006, we have funded and expensed the entire \$500,000 commitment. We also have an option to fund up to an additional \$600,000. If we fund the entire additional amount, we will earn a 51% joint venture interest in the Kettukuusikko project, and we will release our 2% NSR royalty. We have elected to exercise this option. In the event that we do not fully fund the \$600,000 to earn the joint venture interest, we would retain our 2% NSR royalty.

High River Gold Tarparko Project Financing

On March 1, 2006, Royal Gold entered into an Amended and Restated Funding Agreement (Funding Agreement) Societe des Mines de Taparko, also known as Somita SA (Somita), a 90% owned subsidiary of High River Gold Mines Ltd. (High River), to acquire two initial production payments equivalent to gross smelter return (GSR) royalties and two subsequent GSR royalty interests on the Taparko-Bouroum Project (Taparko Project) in Burkina Faso, West Africa. The Funding Agreement amended and restated the initial Funding Agreement dated December 1, 2005, among Royal Gold, High River and Somita. The Taparko Project is operated by Somita. Royal Gold s funding of the project will total \$35 million over approximately a one-year period, which will be used for the development and construction of the Taparko Project. Construction of the Taparko Project has been initiated by Somita and is expected to be near completion during the fourth quarter of calendar 2006, with production commencing during the first quarter of calendar 2007.

As of March 31, 2006, we completed the second funding tranche and additional scheduled funding totalling approximately \$7.1 million with High River, which resulted in total funding by us of approximately \$13.8 million. Upon completion of the second funding tranche, we obtained the following mineral interests, all related to the Taparko Project:

- 1. TB-GSR1 A production payment equivalent to a fifteen percent (15%) gross smelter return (GSR) royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko Project. TB-GSR1 remains in force until cumulative production of 804,420 ounces of gold is achieved or until cumulative payments of \$35 million have been made to us, whichever is earlier. Payments under TB-GSR1 are to be paid to us on a quarterly basis and will be calculated by taking the product of (i) total gold ounces produced during the quarter, (ii) the average price of gold (London P.M Fix) for the quarter, and (iii) the 15% GSR royalty rate.
- 2. TB-GSR2 A production payment equivalent to a GSR sliding-scale royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko Project. TB-GSR2 will be paid concurrently with TB-GSR1, and remains in force until the termination of TB-GSR1. Payments under TB-GSR2 are to be paid to us on a quarterly basis and will be calculated by taking the product of (i) total gold ounces produced during the quarter, (ii) the average price of gold (London P.M Fix) for the quarter, and (iii) the sliding-scale royalty rate, which is to be determined as follows:
 - a. When the average price of gold is \$430 per ounce or more, the rate will be equal to the average price divided by $100 (\underline{e.g.}, a $440 \text{ gold price divided by } 100 = 4.4\%)$.
 - b. When the average gold price is \$385 per ounce or less, the rate will be equal to the average price divided by 90 (e.g., a \$350 gold price divided by 90 = 3.88%).
 - c. When the average price is between \$385 and \$430 per ounce, the rate is 4.3%.
- 3. TB-GSR3 A perpetual 2% GSR royalty on all gold contained in and produced from the Taparko Project area (as defined in the Funding Agreement). Payments under TB-GSR3 are calculated in the same manner as the TB-GSR1 royalty, are perpetual and will commence upon termination of the TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2 royalties.

26

Table of Contents

4. TB-MR1 A 0.75% milling fee royalty, calculated in the same manner as the TB-GSR1 royalty, on all gold processed through the Taparko Project processing facilities that is mined from any area outside of the Taparko Project area (as defined in the Funding Agreement). TB-MR1 royalty is subject to a cap of 1.1 million tons per year (e.g., if in a given year, the Taparko Project processing facility processes 881,854 tons of ore from the Taparko Project area and 551,159 tons of ore from areas outside the Taparko Project area, the 881,854 tons from the Taparko Project area would be subject to TB-GSR1, TB-GSR2, or TB-GSR3 and the TB-MRI would only apply to 220,463 tons of ore).

As part of the \$35 million funding commitment, we have completed the second funding tranche, which resulted in total funding by us of approximately \$13.8 million as of March 31, 2006. Subsequent funding of the Taparko Project will be made in installments over the construction period. The Funding Agreement outlines the construction milestones that must be met prior to each specific funding installment. The project is projected to meet all construction requirements (as defined in the Funding Agreement) no later than September 30, 2007, at which time the entire \$35 million will have been funded by us.

Under a separate Contribution Agreement, High River is responsible for contributing additional equity contributions in the amount of \$10.8 million to cover currently anticipated cost overruns prior to any additional funding by us. High River is expected to complete its additional equity contributions by July 1, 2007. High River is also responsible for any additional cost overruns incurred during the construction and construction warranty periods.

If High River is unable to make the required equity contributions, we have the right to either (a) provide funding that High River failed to fund, or (b) declare a default under the Funding Agreement. In the event that we elect to provide funding in the amount that High River fails to fund, we may elect to acquire either an equity interest in High River, consisting of units of common shares and warrants of High River as defined, or to obtain additional royalty interests in the Taparko Project in an amount in proportion to the amount of the additional funding compared with our original \$35 million funding commitment. As of April 30, 2006, High River has made all required equity commitments as scheduled, under its Contribution Agreement.

In order to secure our investment during the period between funding by us and project completion (as defined in the Funding Agreement), High River has pledged its 90% interest in the equity of Somita. We will maintain our security interest, in the form of the Somita shares, through the construction period. The security interest will be released upon the project meeting Project Completion, as defined in the Funding Agreement.

In addition to the 90% interest in Somita, we have also obtained as collateral a pledge of shares of two equity investments held by High River. The equity value underlying the pledge of these shares is valued at approximately \$12.7 million and includes 12,015,000 common shares in the capital stock of Pelangio Mines, Inc. (traded on the Toronto Stock Exchange and valued at approximately \$10.5 million as of April 30, 2006) and 1,790,941 common shares in the capital stock of Intrepid Minerals Corporation (traded on the Toronto Stock exchange and valued at approximately \$2.2 million as of April 30, 2006). The purpose of this collateral is to maintain a construction reserve that can be used to remedy any construction defects noted during the construction contract warranty period. These shares can only be used to remedy identified construction defects and cannot be used to repay any of our investment. This security interest will be released by the Company at the end of the construction contract warranty period.

27

Table of Contents

Robinson and Mulatos Royalties

On December 28, 2005, we paid \$25 million to Kennecott Minerals (Kennecott) in exchange for two existing royalty interests held by Kennecott, including a 3% NSR royalty on the Robinson mine, located in eastern Nevada, and a sliding-scale NSR royalty on the Mulatos mine, located in Sonora, Mexico.

The Robinson mine is an open pit copper mine with significant gold and molybdenum credits. The mine has been owned and operated by Quadra since 2004. Quadra estimates that calendar year 2006 production will be approximately 53,500 ounces of gold and 128 to 132 million pounds of copper. Quadra completed construction of a molybdenum circuit during the first quarter of 2006, which is expected to produce 0.5 to 1.0 million pounds of molybdenum in calendar 2006.

We will begin receiving revenue from the Robinson royalty when a \$20.0 million reclamation trust account is fully funded by Quadra. As of March 31, 2006, cumulative funding of the trust account by Quadra totaled approximately \$18.4 million. The account is expected to be fully funded near the beginning of our first quarter of fiscal 2007, at which time royalty payments will begin to accrue and be paid to us.

The Mulatos project, owned and operated by Alamos, is an open pit, heap leach gold mine. According to Alamos, commercial production has been achieved effective April 1, 2006. Alamos anticipates that once full production is reached, yearly production is expected to average 150,000 ounces of gold. The Mulatos mine sliding-scale royalty, capped at two million ounces of gold production, ranges from 0.30% for gold prices below \$300 up to 1.50% for gold prices above \$400.

Results of Operations

Quarter Ended March 31, 2006, Compared to Quarter Ended March 31, 2005

For the quarter ended March 31, 2006, we recorded net earnings of \$1,819,139, or \$0.08 per basic and diluted share, as compared to net earnings of \$2,726,089, or \$0.13 per basic and diluted share, for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. For the quarter ended March 31, 2006, we received total royalty revenues of \$5,760,750 consisting of \$3,197,056 from our royalties at the Pipeline Mining Complex, \$1,306,259 from the SJ Claims, \$130,016 from Leeville South, \$121,981 from Leeville North, \$432,362 from the Troy mine, \$427,889 from Bald Mountain and \$145,187 from the Martha mine, compared to total royalty revenues of \$5,868,538 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. This decrease in royalty revenue compared with the quarter ended March 31, 2005, resulted from lower production at the Pipeline Mining Complex. The lower production at the Pipeline Complex was partially offset by a higher gold price during the period, which resulted in an increase in our sliding-scale royalty to 5% compared to 4.25% in the prior period. Increased production at the SJ Claims and Bald Mountain also offset the lower production at the Pipeline Complex during the period.

Cost of operations increased to \$475,682 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$400,885 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to non-cash employee compensation expense of \$103,097, which is discussed below.

General and administrative expenses increased to \$1,325,572 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$939,935 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to non-cash employee compensation expense of \$337,237, which is discussed below.

28

Table of Contents

Exploration and business development expenses increased to \$1,210,452 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$391,980 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to non-cash employee compensation expense of \$255,424, discussed below, and an increase in our exploration funding of approximately \$463,000, due to the Taranis Resources exploration alliance, as discussed in Note 2 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization increased to \$1,006,467 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$695,152 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to increased production at our SJ Claims royalty.

As discussed in Note 3 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)). SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, restricted stock, and performance shares, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. The Company has adopted SFAS 123(R) as of July 1, 2005, using the modified prospective application transition method. As a result of the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company recorded total non-cash stock compensation expense related to our equity compensation plans of \$695,758 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, which is allocated among cost of operations, general and administrative, and exploration and business development in our Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. The total non-cash compensation expense allocated to cost of operations, general and administrative expenses, and exploration and business development expenses for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, was \$103,097, \$337,237 and \$255,424, respectively. The total income tax benefit associated with non-cash stock compensation expense was approximately \$186,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006. As of March 31, 2006, there was \$1,142,254, \$1,628,493, and \$527,658 of total unrecognized non-cash stock compensation expense related to our non-vested stock options, Restricted Stock and Performance Shares, respectively, granted under our equity compensation plan. We expect to recognize the non-cash compensation expense related to our non-vested stock options, Restricted Stock and Performance Shares over the remaining vesting period or 2.5 years, 5.5 years, and 2.25 years, respectively.

Interest and other income increased to \$815,692 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$202,827 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The increase is primarily due to higher interest rates and an increase in funds available for investing over the prior period, as a result of our public offering of our common stock during the first quarter of fiscal 2006 and cash flow from operations.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2005, we sold certain available for sale securities. The sale resulted in a gain of approximately \$51, which is included in gain on sale of available for sale securities in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. We had no sales of our available for sale securities for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2006, we recorded current and deferred tax expense of \$677,593 compared with \$895,341 during the three months ended March 31, 2005. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2006, was 27.1%, compared with 24.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase in our effective tax rate between periods was the result of the release of a valuation allowance associated with the available for sale securities of approximately \$320,000 during fiscal year 2005.

29

Table of Contents

Nine Months Ended March 31, 2006, Compared to Nine Months Ended March 31, 2005

For the nine months ended March 31, 2006, we recorded net earnings of \$7,783,865, or \$0.34 per basic and diluted share, as compared to net earnings of \$7,842,835, or \$0.38 per basic and \$0.37 per diluted share, for the nine months ended March 31, 2005.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2006, we received total royalty revenues of \$20,163,677, consisting of \$14,094,020 from our royalties at the Pipeline Mining Complex, \$3,415,633 from the SJ Claims, \$422,093 from Leeville South, \$201,418 from Leeville North, \$1,081,666 from the Troy mine, \$639,680 from Bald Mountain and \$309,167 from the Martha mine, compared to total royalty revenues of \$17,842,462 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005. This increase in royalty revenue compared with the nine months ended March 31, 2005, resulted from a higher sliding scale-royalty rate from the Pipeline Mining Complex due to a higher gold price during the period and increased production at the SJ Claims and Bald Mountain.

Cost of operations increased to \$1,582,889 for the nine months ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$1,385,182 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to non-cash employee compensation expense of \$259,761, discussed below, and an increase in the Nevada Net Proceeds Tax, which is due to increased royalty revenue. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in consulting services.

General and administrative expenses increased to \$3,933,077 for the nine months ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$2,844,608 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to non-cash employee compensation expense of \$1,078,265, discussed below.

Exploration and business development expenses increased to \$2,671,702 for the nine months ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$1,446,438 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to non-cash employee compensation expense of \$670,558, discussed below, and an increase in our exploration funding of approximately \$651,000, due to the Taranis Resources exploration alliance, as discussed in Note 2 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. These increases were partially offset due to the Company fully funding the RG Russia project during fiscal year 2005.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization increased to \$2,934,936 for the nine months ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$2,422,461 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to increased production at our SJ Claims royalty and Troy mine royalty, both resulting in additional depletion.

As discussed in Note 3 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R)). SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, restricted stock, and performance shares, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. The Company has adopted SFAS 123(R) as of July 1, 2005, using the modified prospective application transition method. As a result of the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company recorded total non-cash stock compensation expense related to our equity compensation plans of \$2,008,584 for the nine months ended March 31, 2006, which is allocated among cost of operations, general and administrative expenses, and exploration and business development expenses in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The total non-cash compensation expense allocated to cost of operations, general and administrative, and exploration and business development for the nine months ended March 31, 2006, was \$259,761, \$1,078,265 and \$670,558, respectively. The total income tax benefit associated with non-cash stock compensation expense was approximately \$613,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2006. Interest and other income increased to \$2,269,347 for the nine months ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$515,241 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005. The increase is primarily due to higher interest

30

Table of Contents

rates and an increase in funds available for investing over the prior period, as a result of our public offering of our common stock during the first quarter of fiscal 2006 and cash flow from operations.

For the nine months ending March 31, 2005, we sold all of our remaining available for sale securities. The sale resulted in a gain of approximately \$163,577, which is included in gain on sale of available for sale securities in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. We had no sales of our available for sale securities for the nine months ended March 31, 2006.

For the nine months ending March 31, 2006, we recognized current and deferred tax expense totaling \$3,410,240 compared with \$2,481,687 during the nine months ended March 31, 2005. This resulted in an effective tax rate of 30.5% in the current period compared with 24.0% in the prior period. The increase in our effective tax rate is the result of the release of a valuation allowance associated with the sale of available for sale securities of approximately \$320,000 during the nine months ended March 31, 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At March 31, 2006, we had current assets of \$85.5 million compared to current liabilities of \$4.2 million for a current ratio of nearly 20 to 1. This compares to current assets of \$56.2 million and current liabilities of \$2.9 million at June 30, 2005, resulting in a current ratio of 19 to 1. The increase is due primarily to an increase in our cash and equivalents. We continue to have no long-term debt.

During the nine months ended March 31, 2006, liquidity needs were met from \$20,163,677 in royalty revenues, net proceeds from the issuance of common stock of approximately \$58,600,000, our available cash resources, and interest and other income of \$2,269,347.

We have a line of credit from HSBC that may be used to acquire producing royalties and for general corporate purposes. During our second quarter, we finalized a line of credit expansion with HSBC to raise the availability under the line of credit from \$10 million to \$30 million. Any loan under the line of credit will be secured by a mortgage on our GSR1, GSR3 and NVR1 royalties at the Pipeline Mining Complex, and by a security interest in the cash proceeds from our royalty interests. As of March 31, 2006, no funds have been drawn under the line of credit. Costs associated with the line of credit expansion were approximately \$78,000. These costs were capitalized as a component of other assets on the consolidated balance sheets and will be amortized over the life of the credit facility.

We believe that our current financial resources and funds generated from operations will be adequate to cover anticipated expenditures for general and administrative expense costs, exploration and business development costs, and capital expenditures for the foreseeable future. Our current financial resources are also available for royalty acquisitions and to fund dividends. Our long-term capital requirements are primarily affected by our ongoing business development activities. In the event of a substantial royalty or other acquisition, we may seek additional debt or equity financing.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Effective July 1, 2005, the Company adopted FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R)), which is a revision of FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS 123). The Company has adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective application transition method. SFAS 123(R) supersedes Accounting Principles Board No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25), and amends FASB Statement No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. In October 2005, the FASB issued FSP FAS123(R)-2, *Practical Accommodation to the Application of Grant Date as Defined in FASB Statement No. 123(R)*, which provides guidance on the application of

31

Table of Contents

grant date as defined in SFAS 123(R). The guidance in the FSP has been applied upon the Company s initial adoption of SFAS 123(R).

In November 2005, the FASB issued FSP FAS123(R)-3, *Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards*. This FSP requires an entity to follow either the transition guidance for the additional-paid-in-capital pool as prescribed in SFAS 123(R), or the alternative method as described in the FSP. An entity that adopts SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective application may make a one-time election to adopt the transition method described in this FSP. An entity may take up to one year from the later of its adoption of SFAS 123(R) or the effective date of this FSP to evaluate its available transition alternatives and make its one-time election. This FSP became effective in November 2005. We continue to evaluate the impact that the adoption of this FSP could have on our financial statements.

32

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

Cautionary Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. With the exception of historical matters, the matters discussed in this report are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from projections or estimates contained herein. Such forward-looking statements include statements regarding projected production estimates from the operators of our royalty properties, the adequacy of financial resources and funds to cover anticipated expenditures for general and administrative expenses as well as capital expenditures and costs associated with business development and exploration, settlement of the Casmalia matter, the potential need for additional funding for acquisitions, our future capital commitments and our expectation that substantially all our revenues will be derived from royalty interests. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from these forward-looking statements include, among others:

- **§** changes in gold and other metals prices;
- **§** the performance of the Pipeline Mining Complex;
- § decisions and activities of the operators of our royalty properties;
- § unanticipated grade, geological, metallurgical, processing or other problems at these properties;
- § changes in project parameters as plans of the operators are refined;
- § changes in estimates of reserves and mineralization by the operators of our royalty properties;
- § the completion of the construction of the Taparko Project in 2007;
- § economic and market conditions:
- § future financial needs;
- **§** the availability and size of acquisitions; and
- § the ultimate additional liability, if any, to the State of California in connection with Casmalia matter; as well as other factors described elsewhere in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Most of these factors are beyond our ability to predict or control. We disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statement made herein. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our earnings and cash flow are significantly impacted by changes in the market price of gold. Gold prices can fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors, such as demand, production levels, economic policies of central banks, producer hedging, world political and economic events, and the strength of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies. Please see Risk Factors - Decreases in prices of precious metals would reduce our royalty revenues, under Part I, Items 1 & 2 Business and Properties of our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information on factors that can affect gold prices. During the last five years, the market price for gold has fluctuated between \$255 per ounce and \$644 per ounce.

33

Table of Contents

During the nine month period ended March 31, 2006, we reported royalty revenues of \$20,163,677, with an average gold price for the period of \$493 per ounce. Our GSR1 royalty on the Pipeline Mining Complex, which produced the majority of our revenues for the period, is a sliding-scale royalty with variable royalty rate steps based on the average London PM gold price for the period. These variable steps are described in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K. For the quarter ended March 31, 2006, if the price of gold had averaged higher or lower by \$20 per ounce, we would have recorded an increase or decrease in revenues of approximately \$187,000. Due to the set price steps in GSR1, the effects of changes in the price of gold cannot be extrapolated on a linear basis.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The SEC defines the term disclosure controls and procedures to mean a company s controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. The definition further states that disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our chief executive officer and our chief accounting officer, based on their evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2006, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective for this purpose.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2006, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

34

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Not applicable.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Information regarding risk factors appears in Item 2 MD&A Forward-Looking Statements, and various risks faced by us are also discussed elsewhere in Item 2 MD&A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In addition, risk factors are included in Part I Items 1 and 2 Business and Properties of our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K except as reflected in this Item 1A or in Item 2 MD&A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Foreign operations are subject to many risks.

Note: In addition to the other risks presented in the risk factor with this heading in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, language has been added in this risk factor to reflect our investments in Burkina Faso, West Africa and Mexico. We have not repeated language here that specifically describes risks regarding Russia and Argentina already included in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our foreign activities are subject to the risks normally associated with conducting business in foreign countries. This includes exchange controls and currency fluctuations, limitations on repatriation of earnings, foreign taxation, foreign environmental laws and enforcement, expropriation or nationalization of property, labor practices and disputes, and uncertain political and economic environments. There are also risks of war and civil disturbances, as well as other risks that could cause exploration or development difficulties or stoppages, restrict the movement of funds or result in the deprivation or loss of contract rights or the taking of property by nationalization or expropriation, without fair compensation. Exploration licenses granted by some foreign countries do not include the right to mine. Each country has discretion in determining whether to grant a license to mine. If an operator cannot secure a mining license following exploration of a property, the value of our royalty interest would be negatively affected. Foreign operations could also be adversely impacted by laws and policies of the United States affecting foreign trade, investment and taxation. We currently have interests in projects in Argentina, Burkina Faso, Finland, Mexico and Russia. We also pursue precious metal royalty acquisitions or development opportunities in other parts of the world, including Canada, Central America, Northern Europe, Australia, other Republics of the former Soviet Union, Asia, Africa and South America.

We are also subject to the risks of operating in Burkina Faso, West Africa. Countries in the region have historically experienced periods of political uncertainty, exchange rate fluctuations, balance of payments and trade difficulties as well as problems associated with extreme poverty and unemployment. Any of these economic or political risks could adversely affect the Taparko Project.

Our operations in Mexico are subject to risks such as the effects of local political developments and unrest and environmental regulations that have become increasingly stringent over the past decade. In the past, Mexico has experienced prolonged periods of weak economic conditions characterized by exchange rate instability, increased inflation and negative economic growth which could occur again in the future. Any of these risks could adversely affect the Mulatos mine.

35

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not applicable

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 10.1 Guaranty and Agreement in Support of Somita Funding Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, from High River Gold Mines Ltd. to and for the benefit of Royal Gold Inc.
- 10.2 Pledge Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, between High River Gold Mines (International) Ltd., High River Gold Mines (West Africa) Ltd. and Royal Gold, Inc.
- 10.3 Guarantee Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, by High River Gold Mines Ltd. in favor of Royal Gold, Inc.
- 10.4 Pledge of Securities dated as of February 22, 2006, by High River Gold Mines Ltd. in favor of Royal Gold, Inc.
- 10.5 Contribution Agreement in Support of Somita Funding Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, from High River Gold Mine Ltd. to and for the benefit of Royal Gold Inc.
- 10.6 Form of Performance Share Agreement.
- 31.1 Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350).
- 32.2 Certification of the Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350).

36

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

Date: May 9, 2006 By: /s/ Stanley Dempsey

Stanley Dempsey

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 9, 2006 By: /s/ Stefan Wenger

Stefan Wenger

Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer

37

Table of Contents

EXHIBITS INDEX

Exhibit No	Description
10.1	Guaranty and Agreement in Support of Somita Funding Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, from High River Gold Mine Ltd. to and for the benefit of Royal Gold Inc.
10.2	Pledge Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, between High River Gold Mines (International) Ltd., High River Gold Mines (West Africa) Ltd. and Royal Gold, Inc.
10.3	Guarantee Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, by High River Gold Mines Ltd. in favor of Royal Gold, Inc.
10.4	Pledge of Securities dated as of February 22, 2006, by High River Gold Mines Ltd. in favor of Royal Gold, Inc.
10.5	Contribution Agreement in Support of Somita Funding Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, from High River Gold Mine Ltd. to and for the benefit of Royal Gold Inc.
10.6	Form of Performance Share Agreement.
31.1	Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350).
32.2	Certification of the Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350).