

METTLER TOLEDO INTERNATIONAL INC/

Form DEF 14A

March 22, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A
PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11(c) or §240.14a-12
METTLER-TOLEDO INTERNATIONAL INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No Fee Required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the

Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

**Proxy
Statement
for the
Annual
Meeting of
Shareholders
2011**

Mettler-Toledo International Inc.

Table of Contents

Mettler-Toledo International Inc.

**Im Langacher 44
8606 Greifensee
Switzerland**

**1900 Polaris Parkway
Columbus, Ohio 43240
USA**

March 15, 2011

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Mettler-Toledo International Inc. to be held on Wednesday, May 4, 2011, at 8:00 a.m. at the offices of Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP on 375 Park Avenue (between 52nd and 53rd Streets), 36th Floor, New York, New York.

The Secretary's notice of the meeting and the proxy statement which appear on the following pages describe the matters to be acted upon at the meeting.

We have distributed a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to some shareholders instead of delivering paper copies of the proxy materials. The Notice sent provides information about accessing the proxy materials online and describes the voting methods available to all shareholders. Shareholders receiving the notice will also have the opportunity to request a paper copy of the proxy materials through the instructions provided. Any shareholders that do not receive the notice will receive a paper copy of all proxy materials through the mail. To change the way you receive proxy statements in the future please make a request in the appropriate space on the proxy card.

Please sign and return your proxy as soon as possible so that your vote will be counted. You may also vote over the Internet by following the instructions on your proxy card.

Sincerely yours,

Robert F. Spoerry
Chairman of the Board

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Notice to Shareholders of Annual Meeting</u>	iii
<u>About the Meeting and Voting</u>	1
<u>Proposal One: Election of Directors</u>	2
<u>Board of Directors General Information</u>	6
<u>Board of Directors Operation</u>	10
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	12
<u>Proposal Two: Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	14
<u>Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Report</u>	15
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	17
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	28
<u>Proposal Three: Approval of the POBS Plus Incentive System for Group Management</u>	29
<u>Proposal Four: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	31
<u>Proposal Five: Advisory Vote on Frequency of Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	32
<u>Share Ownership</u>	33
<u>Additional Information</u>	34

Table of Contents

Mettler-Toledo International Inc.

Notice to Shareholders of Annual Meeting

- Time:** 8:00 a.m. on Wednesday, May 4, 2011
- Place:** Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP, 375 Park Avenue (between 52nd and 53rd Streets), 36th Floor New York, New York
- Items of Business:**
1. To elect nine directors
 2. To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent registered public accounting firm
 3. Approval of the POBS Plus Incentive System for Group Management
 4. Advisory vote on executive compensation
 5. Advisory vote on the frequency of the vote on executive compensation
 6. To transact any other business properly brought before the meeting
- Who Can Vote:** You can vote if you were a shareholder of record on March 7, 2011
- Annual Report:** A copy of our 2010 Annual Report is enclosed
- Date of Mailing:** On or about March 15, 2011

By order of the Board of Directors

James T. Bellerjeau
General Counsel and Secretary

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING TO BE HELD ON May 4, 2011: This proxy statement and our 2010 Annual Report are available at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Annual Reports and Proxy Statements (<http://investor.mt.com>).

Whether or not you plan to attend this annual meeting, please complete the enclosed proxy card and promptly return it in the accompanying envelope. You may also vote over the Internet at <http://proxyonline.mt.com>.

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by Mettler-Toledo International Inc. on behalf of the Board of Directors for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Table of Contents

ABOUT THE MEETING AND VOTING

Proposals to be Voted On

The following proposals will be voted on at the meeting. The board has not received proper notice of, and is not aware of, any additional business to be transacted at the meeting other than as indicated below.

Proposals

1. The election of nine directors for one-year terms
2. The ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm
3. Approval of the POBS Plus Incentive System for Group Management
4. Advisory vote on executive compensation
5. Advisory vote on the frequency of the vote on executive compensation

We know of no other matter to be brought before the annual meeting. If any other matter requiring a vote of the shareholders should come before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the proxy to vote the proxies with respect to any such matter in accordance with their reasonable judgment.

Shareholders Entitled to Vote

Each share of common stock outstanding as of the close of business on March 7, 2011 (the record date), is entitled to one vote at the annual meeting on each matter properly brought before the meeting. As of the record date, 32,243,125 shares of common stock were outstanding.

A quorum needs to be present at the meeting in order to hold the meeting. A quorum is a majority of the company's outstanding shares of common stock as of the record date. Your shares are counted as present at the meeting if you attend the meeting and vote in person; vote by Internet; or properly return a proxy card by mail. Abstentions shall also be counted in determining whether a quorum is present.

If you do not provide a proxy or vote the shares yourself, your shares will not be voted. Proxies that are signed and returned but do not contain instructions will be voted FOR the items of business described in the proxy.

How to Vote

BY PROXY You may vote your shares by proxy. If you vote your shares by proxy, you are legally designating another person to vote the stock you own in accordance with your desired vote. To vote by proxy, complete, sign and return the enclosed proxy card by mail to the address stated on your proxy card. You may also vote over the Internet at <http://proxyonline.mt.com>.

IN PERSON You may vote your shares by attending the meeting and voting your shares in person. The meeting is being held at the offices of Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP at the address indicated in the Notice to

Shareholders.

Even if you plan to attend the meeting, we encourage you to vote your shares by proxy. This will enable us to receive votes in advance of the meeting to ensure that a quorum (defined below) is present for the meeting. If you vote by proxy and subsequently decide to change your vote, you may revoke your proxy at any time before the polls close at the meeting. However, you may only do this by signing another proxy with a later date, completing a written notice of revocation and returning it to the address on the proxy card before the meeting; or voting in person at the meeting.

Vote Tabulation; Voting Results

The company appoints an independent inspector of election, who also tabulates the voting results. The meeting's voting results will be disclosed promptly following the meeting in a Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL ONE:
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The nominees for the Board of Directors are listed below. Each nominee, if elected, will hold office until next year's annual meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. All nominees are currently directors. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that any nominee would be unable or unwilling to serve if elected. In the event that a nominee is unable to serve, the person designated as proxyholder for the company will vote for the remaining nominees and for such other person as the Board of Directors may nominate.

Directors shall be elected by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast with respect to each director, provided that if the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected, directors shall be elected by the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast. Votes cast shall include votes for, against or to withhold authority for a director. An abstention shall not count as a vote cast with respect to a director. If an incumbent director fails to be reelected by a majority vote when such vote is required and offers to resign, and if that resignation is not accepted by the Board of Directors, such director shall continue to serve until the next annual meeting and until his or her successor is duly elected, or his or her earlier accepted resignation or removal. If a director's resignation is accepted by the Board of Directors, or if a nominee for director is not elected and the nominee is not an incumbent director, then the Board of Directors, in its sole discretion, may fill any resulting vacancy, or may decrease the size of the Board of Directors, in each case pursuant to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of Article II of the company's by-laws.

Qualifications of Director Nominees

The members of our Board of Directors have had diverse backgrounds and experiences during the course of their careers. These individual backgrounds and experiences better enable the board to perform its duties.

Robert F. Spoerry is 55 years old and has been a director since October 1996. Mr. Spoerry was President and Chief Executive Officer of the company from 1993 to 2007. Mr. Spoerry has been Chairman of the Board of Directors since May 1998 and served as Executive Chairman in 2008. Mr. Spoerry has a Masters in Mechanical Engineering from the Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich, Switzerland, and a Master of Business Administration from the University of Chicago. Mr. Spoerry is also a Director of Conzzeta Holding AG, Geberit AG, Holcim Ltd., Schaffner Holding AG and Sonova Holding AG.

As the former President and CEO of the company, Mr. Spoerry has long-standing experience in the global precision instrument industry and a deep knowledge of the company, including its organization, products, markets, customers and competitors. He has a strong technical background and experience with innovation-driven companies. Mr. Spoerry has broad international experience across industries and businesses relevant to the company, including by virtue of his service on several other boards of directors.

Mr. Spoerry spends an average of one to two days per week devoted to his service as Chairman of the Board. His deep understanding of the company, its markets, customers and competitors, which was developed over more than twenty years of service, is a unique and valuable qualification that we believe provides a substantial benefit to the company and its shareholders. His service on five other public company boards (which each typically take approximately twenty days per year) has not affected his ability to fulfill his role as Chairman.

Wah-Hui Chu is 59 years old and has been a director since January 2007 and serves on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Chu has been Executive Director and Chief Executive Officer of Next Media Limited since October 2008. He was non-executive Chairman of PepsiCo International's Asia Region from April 2007 to April

2008. From March 1998 to March 2007 he was the President of PepsiCo International China Beverages Business Unit. Mr. Chu has a Masters in Business Administration from Roosevelt University. Mr. Chu is also a Director of Li Ning Company Limited.

Mr. Chu has extensive professional experience in management positions at leading U.S. companies Asian businesses, having spent 25 of the past 31 years in Asia with Quaker Oats Company, H.J. Heinz Company, Whirlpool Corporation, Monsanto Company and PepsiCo., Inc. For 20 of those 25 years in Asia, Mr. Chu had direct

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL ONE:
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

responsibilities over businesses in China, including building a more than \$1 billion business for PepsiCo. The company has significant operations in Asia and is making significant investments in Asia, particularly China, and a person with Mr. Chu's background will assist the company in this regard.

Francis A. Contino is 65 years old and has been a director since October 2004 and serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee. Mr. Contino has been Managing Director of FAC&B LLC since July 2008. He was a member of the Management Committee, Executive Vice President and a member of the Board of Directors of McCormick & Company, Inc. from 1998 to 2008. He was Chief Financial Officer from 1998 through October 2007. In addition to his CFO responsibilities, he was Executive Vice President responsible for Supply Chain from 2002 to 2004 and responsible for Strategy from 2004 to 2008. Prior to joining McCormick, Mr. Contino was Managing Partner of the Baltimore office of Ernst & Young.

Mr. Contino has extensive financial experience from his background as a Certified Public Accountant, his 20-year tenure as an Audit Partner at Ernst & Young, where he served as coordinating partner for large multinational public companies, and from his 10-year service as the Chief Financial Officer of McCormick & Company, a \$3 billion public company. Mr. Contino is considered one of the board's financial experts and serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee. With his experience at McCormick, Mr. Contino also brings valuable insights into the food sector, which is a key end-user market for the company.

Olivier A. Filliol is 44 years old and has been a director since January 2009. He has been President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since January 1, 2008. Mr. Filliol served as Head of Global Sales, Service and Marketing of the Company from April 2004 to December 2007, and Head of Process Analytics of the Company from June 1999 to December 2007. From June 1998 to June 1999 he served as General Manager of the Company's U.S. checkweighing operations. Prior to joining the Company, he was a Strategy Consultant with the international consulting firm Bain & Company working in the Geneva, Paris and Sydney offices. Mr. Filliol has a Masters and Ph.D. in Business Administration from the University of St. Gallen, Switzerland, and has completed executive education at the Business School of Stanford University.

Mr. Filliol has broad experience across many of the company's businesses. He led one of the company's divisions over an eight year period and he was the principal architect behind the company's growth initiative in sales and marketing. He has particular strengths in both strategy development and execution. As CEO of the company, Mr. Filliol also brings the board the necessary insights into understanding the global operations of the company.

Michael A. Kelly is 54 years old and has been a director since July 2008 and serves on the Compensation Committee. Mr. Kelly has been Executive Vice President, Display and Graphics Business of 3M Company since October 2006. Prior to this, he served in various management positions in the U.S., Singapore, Korea and Germany since he joined 3M in 1981. Mr. Kelly has completed executive education at The Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania.

In his role as the Executive Vice President of 3M's Display and Graphics Business, Mr. Kelly has global responsibility for all operational and strategic elements of this \$4 billion business, including the Optical Systems, Traffic Safety, Commercial Graphics, Architectural Markets, and Mobile Device segments. Mr. Kelly's business also has responsibility for all film manufacturing for 3M. In running this complex and highly technical set of global businesses, Mr. Kelly has experience in several topics relevant to the company, including strategic planning, restructuring, shifting business focus to emerging markets, and operational matters generally.

Martin D. Madaus, Ph.D., is 51 years old and has been a director since June 2009 and serves on the Audit Committee. Dr. Madaus has been Executive Chairman of Quanterix Corporation since November 2010. Quanterix is a privately-held development stage diagnostics company seeking to develop and commercialize blood tests that measure clinically important proteins in blood. Prior to joining Quanterix, Dr. Madaus was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Millipore Corporation, a life sciences company serving the bioscience research and biopharmaceutical manufacturing industry, from November 2004 to July 2010, and Chairman from March 2005 to July 2010, when Millipore was acquired by Merck KGaA. Dr. Madaus provided consulting services to

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL ONE:
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

Merck from July 2010 to December 2010. Prior to joining Millipore, he was at Roche Diagnostics Corporation where, as President and Chief Executive Officer, he was responsible for the North American operations. Dr. Madaus has a Doctor of Veterinary Medicine from the University of Munich, Germany, and a Ph.D. in veterinary medicine from the Veterinary School of Hanover, Germany.

Dr. Madaus has more than twenty years of experience in the diagnostics and life science industries. A significant portion of the company's business relates to the life science industry. As the former CEO of Millipore and Executive Chairman of Quanterix, Dr. Madaus faces similar challenges as the company. He can be helpful to the board and the CEO in analyzing comparable issues he faces. Dr. Madaus is also considered one of the board's financial experts.

Hans Ulrich Maerki is 64 years old and has been a director since September 2002 and serves on the Compensation and Nominating & Corporate Governance Committees. Mr. Maerki was the Chairman of IBM Europe/Middle East/Africa (EMEA) from August 2001 to March 2008. From July 2003 to May 2005, Mr. Maerki was also the General Manager of IBM EMEA. From 1996 to July 2001, Mr. Maerki was General Manager of IBM Global Services, EMEA. Mr. Maerki worked at IBM in various positions from 1973 to 2008. Mr. Maerki has a Masters in Business Administration from the University of Basel, Zurich. Mr. Maerki is also a Director of ABB Ltd. and Swiss Re.

In his 35-year tenure at IBM, including most recently running a business with approximately \$35 billion in revenue across 124 countries, Mr. Maerki has made extensive contributions in addressing service, software and other IT-related topics, and also has deep experience in marketing and sales. These are areas of increasing importance to the company's business, and as a result this experience is very relevant. By virtue of his service on the board of ABB, Mr. Maerki also has insight into the industrial end-user market, which is another key market for the company.

George M. Milne, Jr., Ph.D., is 67 years old and has been a director since September 1999 and serves as Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Dr. Milne is a venture partner of Radius Ventures, LLC. From 1970 to July 2002, Dr. Milne held various management positions with Pfizer Corporation, including most recently Executive Vice President, Pfizer Global Research and Development and President, Worldwide Strategic and Operations Management. Dr. Milne was also a Senior Vice President of Pfizer Inc. and a member of the Pfizer Management Council. He was President of Central Research from 1993 to July 2002 with global responsibility for Pfizer's Human and Veterinary Medicine Research and Development. Dr. Milne has a Ph.D. in Organic Chemistry from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). Dr. Milne is a Director of Athersys Inc. and Charles River Laboratories, Inc. and also serves on the boards of several private companies and charitable organizations. He was previously a director of Aspreva, Inc., Conor Medsystems, Inc. and MedImmune, Inc.

With his long tenure at Pfizer Corporation, his work as a venture partner with Radius Ventures and through his service on multiple life science boards, Dr. Milne has a deep understanding of R&D processes and the services, tools and technologies being used in the life sciences industry. The life science industry is one of the company's most important end-user markets and the board wishes to have members with broad exposure to this industry. This helps the board understand industry trends, and to assess product development and marketing strategies.

Thomas P. Salice is 51 years old and has been a director since October 1996 and serves on the Audit Committee and as Chairman of the Compensation Committee. Mr. Salice is a co-founder and principal of SFW Capital Partners, LLC, a private equity firm. He has served as a Managing Member of SFW Capital Partners since January 2005. From June 1989 to December 2004, Mr. Salice served in a variety of capacities with AEA Investors, Inc., including Managing

Director, President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice-Chairman. Mr. Salice has a Masters in Business Administration from Harvard University. Mr. Salice is also a Director of Agdata, L.P. and Waters Corporation. He has previously served on numerous other boards including Agere Systems, CasTech

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL ONE:
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

Aluminum Group Inc., Dal-Tile International, Manchester Tank & Equipment Co., Marbo, Inc. and Sovereign Specialty Chemicals, Inc.

Mr. Salice has more than twenty years private equity experience, including as an investor in the analytical tools and related service sectors, which has given him extensive operational, industry and strategic knowledge in key company business areas. Mr. Salice led the team at AEA Investors in the acquisition of the company in 1996 and has served on the board since that time. Mr. Salice has in-depth experience in strategic planning, corporate finance, capital structure, investor relations, mergers and acquisitions, and other topics that are relevant to the board. Mr. Salice is also considered one of the board's financial experts.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote *FOR* the election of each of the directors listed above. Proxies will be voted **FOR each nominee unless otherwise specified in the proxy.**

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS GENERAL INFORMATION

Composition of the Board; Board Leadership Structure

In accordance with the company's by-laws, the Board of Directors consists of between five and ten directors, with the exact number currently fixed at nine, including a Chairman, the CEO and seven independent, outside directors. Each director holds a one-year term until the next annual meeting of shareholders. The board has three committees: (i) the Audit Committee; (ii) the Compensation Committee; and (iii) the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The primary tasks of the board include the oversight of the company's strategy and governance matters, review of the company's financial matters, and evaluation of how the company executes against objectives. Management's tasks include setting strategy and running the company's operations. The company believes having a separate CEO and Chairman allows the Chairman to function as an important liaison between management and the board, helping ensure that the board fulfills its oversight responsibilities.

To ensure the board has sufficient independence from management, the board has also established a lead independent director (the Presiding Director), who oversees executive sessions of the non-management directors and all meetings of directors at which the Chairman is not present. The Presiding Director also coordinates with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee relating to director nominations as described in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee report below. Mr. Salice is currently serving as the Presiding Director.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The board has established corporate governance guidelines that contribute to the overall operating framework of the board and the company. These guidelines cover topics including director qualifications and the director nomination process, the responsibilities of directors, including with respect to leadership development and management succession, meetings of non-management directors, and director compensation. The guidelines are available on the company's website at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Corporate Governance and are available in print to any shareholder who requests them at the address and phone number set forth above.

Responsibility of the Board of Directors in Governance & Role in Risk Oversight

It is the responsibility of the Board of Directors to establish and monitor the company's internal governance practices and work toward the long-term success of the company. The company has adopted a code of business conduct and ethics, known as the code of conduct. All actions of the company's Board of Directors, executive officers (including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller) and employees are governed by the company's code of conduct. No waiver of the code of conduct by an executive officer or director was approved by the board in 2010. A copy of the code of conduct is available at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Corporate Governance and is available in print to any shareholder who requests it. Shareholders may request copies free of charge from Investor Relations, Mettler-Toledo International Inc., 1900 Polaris Parkway, Columbus, OH 43240, USA, telephone +1 614 438 4748.

The board is involved in the oversight of the company's risk management process as follows. Each year, the company conducts an enterprise risk assessment under the supervision of the Chief Financial Officer. The full board receives the results of the assessment, including an evaluation of risks and a description of actions taken by the company to mitigate risk. The Audit Committee reviews the results in detail and reports on its review to the board.

Compensation-Related Risk

Management and the Compensation Committee have evaluated the company's compensation programs generally at different levels throughout the organization. Among other things, we considered that for executives who have the largest potential incentive compensation, a significant portion of total compensation is comprised of stock options that vest over five years and have a ten-year life, which drives emphasis on long-term performance. We also considered the applicability of the various situations described in Item 402(s) of Regulation S-K. We

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS GENERAL INFORMATION

concluded from our evaluation that risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company.

Minimum Qualifications for Directors

Members of the Board of Directors must demonstrate integrity, reliability, knowledge of corporate affairs, and an ability to work well together. Diversity in business background, area of expertise, gender and ethnicity are also considered when selecting board nominees. Additional details are contained in the company's corporate governance guidelines available at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Corporate Governance .

Independence of the Board

The board uses the following criteria in evaluating independence: (i) independence under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange; and (ii) no relationships with the company (other than as a director or shareholder) or only immaterial relationships. The independence criteria are contained in the corporate governance guidelines available on the company's website at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Corporate Governance . The board solicits information from directors as to any relationship the director or his immediate family member has with the company that might affect the director's independence. The board also evaluates directors' independence pursuant to current New York Stock Exchange rules.

The Board of Directors has determined that the following types of relationships are categorically immaterial:

Commercial business relationships where METTLER TOLEDO buys from or sells to companies where directors serve as employees, or where their immediate family members serve as executive officers, and where the annual purchases or sales are less than the greater of \$1 million or 2% of either company's consolidated gross revenues.

In light of these criteria, the board has determined that Messrs. Chu, Contino, Kelly, Madaus, Maerki, Milne and Salice are independent under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange and either have no relationships with the company (other than as director and shareholder) or have only immaterial relationships with the company. Mr. Spoerry, Chairman of the Board, and Mr. Filliol, President and Chief Executive Officer, are not independent under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, as they are employees of the company.

Meeting of Non-Management Directors

The board schedules regular executive sessions for its non-management members, typically as part of each board meeting. The Presiding Director acts as chairman of these meetings.

Director Attendance at Board Meetings and the Annual Meeting

The board expects that its members will attend all meetings of the board and the annual meeting of shareholders. The Board of Directors met four times in 2010. Each director attended at least 75% of all board and committee meetings of which the director is a member and all directors attended the 2010 annual meeting of shareholders.

Policy Limiting Director Service on Other Public Company Boards; Director Resignation

The board has adopted a policy that directors may not serve on more than six public company boards. The board also has a policy that directors will offer their resignation upon a change in professional position or in circumstances that might affect a director's ability to serve on the board. In such circumstances, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee takes the lead on determining the appropriate course of action.

Table of Contents**BOARD OF DIRECTORS GENERAL INFORMATION****Director Retirement Policy**

The Board of Directors has adopted a retirement policy, pursuant to which directors will retire immediately after the board meeting that follows their 72nd birthday. In adopting the policy, the Board of Directors considered the importance of ensuring a mix of ages among board members and the balance of continuity versus fresh perspectives.

Contacting the Board of Directors

Interested parties, including shareholders, may contact the Board of Directors, the Presiding Director individually or the non-management directors as a group via: EMAIL to PresidingDirector@mt.com; or REGULAR MAIL to Mettler-Toledo International Inc., Im Langacher 44, 8606 Greifensee, Switzerland, Attention: Presiding Director.

Director Compensation

The Chairman receives a salary and an option grant, participates in the various Swiss personnel insurances (pension plan, accident and disability insurance) and receives certain miscellaneous benefits described below.

The other directors (except the CEO, Mr. Filliol, whose compensation is described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis) are compensated by an annual cash retainer, committee member fees, and per meeting fees for board and committee meetings attended. Board members may also receive a \$750 meeting fee for performing interviews of board candidates. Directors are reimbursed for traveling costs and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending board and committee meetings. Directors also receive an annual stock option grant and a grant of restricted stock units. The following provides an overview of the elements of 2010 director compensation:

Annual cash retainer	\$ 45,000
Fee per board meeting attended	\$ 1,000
Fee per committee meeting attended	\$ 750
Annual grant of stock options number granted	2,600
Annual grant of restricted stock units number granted	180
Annual grant of restricted stock units to the Presiding Director number granted	280
Committee member fees:	
Audit	\$ 10,000
Compensation	\$ 5,000
Nominating and Corporate Governance	\$ 3,000
Committee Chair fees (in addition to member fees):	
Audit	\$ 10,000
Compensation	\$ 5,000
Nominating and Corporate Governance	\$ 3,000

Table of Contents**BOARD OF DIRECTORS GENERAL INFORMATION**

The actual amounts paid to each director with respect to 2010 are set out in the following table.

2010 Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards(1)	Option Awards(1)	Nonqualified Deferred		Total
				Compensation Earnings(3)	All Other Compensation(2)	
Wah-Hui Chu	\$ 53,500	\$ 23,940	\$ 98,384	\$	\$	\$ 175,824
Francis A. Contino	72,000	23,940	98,384			194,324
Michael A. Kelly	57,750	23,940	98,384			180,074
Martin D. Madaus	62,000	23,940	98,384			184,324
Hans Ulrich Maerki	62,250	23,940	98,384			184,574
George M. Milne	56,500	23,940	98,384			178,824
Thomas P. Salice	75,750	37,240	98,384			211,374
Robert F. Sperry	383,436		550,004	84,356	45,696	1,063,492

(1) Represents the grant date fair value of restricted stock unit awards and option awards, respectively, computed in accordance with ASC 718 Compensation Stock Compensation (ASC 718). The valuation assumptions associated with such awards are discussed in Note 12 to the company's financial statements included in the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010.

At December 31, 2010, each director held unvested restricted stock units and stock options (vested and unvested) with respect to the following number of shares:

	Restricted Stock Units	Stock Options
Wah-Hui Chu	684	16,684
Francis A. Contino	644	22,684
Michael A. Kelly	524	10,684
Hans Ulrich Maerki	644	28,684
Martin D. Madaus	344	5,984
George M. Milne	644	28,684
Thomas P. Salice	812	28,684
Robert F. Sperry		324,490

(2) Reflects miscellaneous benefits, none of which individually exceeds \$25,000 in value. These benefits include a car allowance, expense allowance and children's allowance.

(3)

Represents the change in actuarial present value of Mr. Spoerry's accumulated benefit under the Mettler-Toledo Fonds pension plan, a Swiss cash balance benefit plan, consisting of the company's contributions to the plan on behalf of Mr. Spoerry.

Table of Contents**BOARD OF DIRECTORS OPERATION**

The Board of Directors has three committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Each committee has the authority to engage advisors or consultants as it deems appropriate to carry out its responsibilities. The membership and meetings of the committees are described in the following table.

Name	Audit(1)	Compensation(2)	Nominating & Corporate Governance
Wah-Hui Chu			X
Francis A. Contino	X		
Michael A. Kelly		X	
Hans Ulrich Maerki		X	X
Martin D. Madaus	X		
George M. Milne			X
Thomas P. Salice	X	X	
Total meetings in 2010	4	5	2

(1) Messrs. Contino, Madaus and Salice are each considered financial experts as determined by the Board of Directors pursuant to the relevant SEC definition, and all are independent. No Audit Committee member serves on more than two other public company audit committees. Our Chief Financial Officer, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and General Counsel attend Audit Committee meetings at the request of the Audit Committee and give reports to and answer inquiries from the Audit Committee.

(2) No member of the Compensation Committee was at any time during 2010 an officer or employee of the company or any of its subsidiaries, and no interlocks exist with respect to Compensation Committee members.

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS OPERATION

Committee Charters

Each committee of the Board of Directors has a written charter, setting forth the responsibilities of the committee in detail. The charters are reviewed annually and updated to comply with relevant regulations. The committee charters can be found on the company's website at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Corporate Governance and are available free of charge in print to any shareholder who requests them. The primary functions of the committees are as follows:

Audit	Compensation	Nominating & Corporate Governance
Oversees the accounting and financial reporting process of the company	Discharges the responsibilities of the company's Board of Directors relating to compensation of the company's executives	Identifies, screens and recommends qualified candidates to serve as directors of the company
Assists with board oversight of the integrity of the company's financial statements, and the sufficiency of the independent registered public accounting firm's review of the company's financial statements	Reviews and monitors compensation arrangements so that the company continues to retain, attract and motivate quality employees	Advises the board on the structure and membership of committees of the board
Assists with board oversight of the performance of the company's internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm, and the accounting firm's qualifications and independence	Reviews an annual report on executive compensation for inclusion in the company's proxy statement	Develops and recommends to the board corporate governance guidelines applicable to the company
Assists with board oversight of the company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements	Reviews the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in the company's proxy statement	

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee assists the board in overseeing the accounting and financial reporting processes of the company. The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a written charter, a copy of which can be found on the company's website at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Corporate Governance . The committee is responsible for overseeing the accounting and financial reporting processes of the company and audits of the financial statements of the company. In discharging its oversight role, the Audit Committee discussed the audited financial statements contained in the 2010 annual report separately with the company's independent registered public accounting firm and the company's management and reviewed the company's internal controls and financial reporting.

The company's independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), is responsible for auditing the company's consolidated financial statements as well as the company's internal control over financial reporting. PwC issues opinions as to (1) whether the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the company and its subsidiaries in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and (2) whether the company maintained, in all material respects, effective control over financial reporting.

Audited Financial Statements

In reviewing the company's audited financial statements with the independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee discussed with PwC the matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended and adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, and other matters including, without limitation:

PwC's responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards, including the nature and scope of their audits;

the written disclosures and confirming letter from PwC regarding their independence required under the Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1;

significant accounting policies, such as revenue recognition, goodwill and other intangible assets, and income taxes;

management judgments and accounting estimates;

any material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal controls over financial reporting; and

the extent of any significant accounting adjustments.

In reviewing the company's audited financial statements with the company's management, the Audit Committee discussed the same topics listed above with management, including, without limitation, the process used by management in formulating accounting estimates and the reasonableness of those estimates.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the board approved, that the audited financial statements be included in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees

	Audit Fees	Audit-Related Fees	Tax Fees	All Other Fees
2010	\$ 2,968,000	\$ 233,000	\$ 446,000	\$ 3,000
2009	\$ 2,847,000	\$ 257,000	\$ 276,000	\$ 0

Audit Fees Represents fees for the audit of the annual financial statements, including the Sarbanes-Oxley § 404 attestation opinion, and review of financial statements included in quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

Audit-Related Fees The audit-related fees in 2010 and 2009 related primarily to due diligence work in connection with acquisition transactions and services provided in relation to our Blue Ocean program of information technology investment.

Tax Fees The 2010 and 2009 tax fees were primarily for tax compliance-related services.

Other Fees No significant other services were performed by PwC for the company in 2010 or 2009.

The Audit Committee has determined that PwC's provision of the services included in the categories Tax Fees and Other Fees is compatible with maintaining PwC's independence. All non-audit services were approved in advance by the Audit Committee pursuant to the procedures described below.

Audit Committee Approval of Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee approves all non-audit services provided by PwC in accordance with the following framework:

If the project is in an approved category and less than \$50,000 in fees, it is considered pre-approved by the Audit Committee. Specific projects in excess of this amount and any potential projects not included in the pre-approval framework are presented to the full Audit Committee for their advance approval.

On a quarterly basis, PwC reports all non-audit services outside of the pre-approval framework to the Audit Committee and any proposals for non-audit services in the upcoming quarter.

All non-audit fees are reviewed at least annually by the Audit Committee.

The independent registered public accounting firm ensures that all audit and non-audit services provided to the company have been approved by the Audit Committee. Each year, the company's management and the independent registered public accounting firm confirm to the Audit Committee that every non-audit service being proposed is permissible.

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2011

The Audit Committee has appointed PwC as the company's independent registered public accounting firm to audit and report on the company's consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011 and to perform such other services as may be required of them.

Respectfully submitted by the members of the
Audit Committee:

Francis A. Contino, *Chairman*
Martin Madaus
Thomas P. Salice

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL TWO:
RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

==

You are being asked to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) as the company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee has appointed PwC, independent public accountants, to audit and report on the company's consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011 and to perform such other services as may be required of them.

Auditor Attendance at Annual Meeting

Representatives of PwC are expected to be present at the annual meeting. They will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate shareholder questions.

Limitation on Amount of Audit Fees

We have no existing direct or indirect understandings or agreements with PwC that place a limit on current or future years' audit fees. Please see the Audit Committee Report in this proxy statement for further details concerning the fees charged by PwC.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote *FOR* ratification of the appointment of PwC as independent registered public accounting firm. Proxies will be voted **FOR ratification of the appointment of PwC unless otherwise specified in the proxy.**

Table of Contents

NOMINATING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee assists the board in identifying and recommending individuals to be nominated for election to the Board of Directors by shareholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee operates pursuant to a written charter, a copy of which can be found on the company's website at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Corporate Governance . The committee is responsible for advising the board on the structure and membership of committees of the board as well as developing corporate governance guidelines applicable to the operation of the company. We describe below the process established by the committee to nominate directors to the Board of Directors as well as some of the recent corporate governance activities undertaken by the committee.

Director Nomination Process

When there is an actual or anticipated board vacancy, candidates for the Board of Directors may be recommended by (i) any member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, (ii) other board members, (iii) third parties engaged for that purpose by the committee, and/or (iv) the company's shareholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider candidates recommended by shareholders and evaluate them in the same manner as other candidates. Shareholders interested in recommending a person to be a director of the company must make such recommendation in writing. The recommendation must be forwarded to the Secretary of the company at: Mettler-Toledo International Inc., Im Langacher 44, 8606 Greifensee, Switzerland. Shareholder recommendations must include the information and be sent within the time-frames specified in the company's by-laws, a copy of which can be obtained from the Secretary. Additional details regarding minimum qualifications for director nominees can be found in the corporate governance guidelines on the company's website at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Corporate Governance .

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee follows the following process in nominating candidates for a position on the company's Board of Directors.

- (1) The committee begins by working with the Presiding Director and Chairman of the Board to determine the specific qualifications, qualities and skills that are desired for potential candidates to fill the vacancy on the board. The committee makes this determination based upon the current composition of the board, the specific needs of the company and the Minimum Qualifications for Directors included in the corporate governance guidelines. These state that the Board of Directors should be composed of successful individuals who demonstrate integrity, reliability, knowledge of corporate affairs, a general understanding of the company's business, and an ability to work well together. The committee considers diversity in business background, area of expertise, gender and ethnicity. The committee also evaluates longer-term board succession, taking into account the demographics of respective board members.
- (2) The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Presiding Director and Chairman of the Board will then compile a list of all candidates recommended to fill the vacancy on the board. Candidates who meet the desired qualifications, qualities and skills will be required to complete a questionnaire that solicits information regarding the candidates' background, experience, independence and other information.
- (3) Members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Presiding Director, the Chairman of the Board and, in appropriate cases, other board members will interview those candidates who have completed the questionnaire.

- (4) Following these interviews, the full Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the qualifications of each candidate to ensure that each candidate meets the specific qualities and skills that are desired. The committee will forward to the Board of Directors for consideration a list of candidates qualified for the position.

Table of Contents

NOMINATING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

With regard to the current board nominees, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has evaluated the qualifications and contributions of each of the board nominees and has recommended to the board that the nine current directors be nominated for re-election.

Respectfully submitted by the members of the
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee:

George M. Milne, *Chairman*
Wah-Hui Chu
Hans Ulrich Maerki

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The Compensation Committee oversees compensation of the company's executive officers. In carrying out its duties, the Compensation Committee receives information and recommendations from the Chairman, the Head of Human Resources and the Chief Executive Officer, and may consult with outside compensation consultants as it deems appropriate. The Compensation Committee has historically used the firm Pearl Meyer & Partners to provide market surveys of executive compensation in technology firms in comparable industries (including scientific instrument firms), which are considered in setting compensation levels.

The objectives of the company's executive compensation programs are to:

Create a strong link between pay and performance. The company sets challenging, objectively measurable targets, and compensation is designed to reward overachievement of those targets. At the same time, when performance is only at or below target, compensation tends to be below market.

To tie a significant portion of executive compensation to shareholder value creation. The company does this in part by linking long-term compensation to the company's long-term performance. The annual cash incentive is also tied to short-term metrics, including growth in earnings per share.

Align executives' interests with those of the company's shareholders through the equity ownership guidelines described below.

Attract and retain the best talent. Total compensation must be competitive in the global personnel market in which we operate.

2010 Compensation Highlights

Our 2010 results, and accordingly 2010 executive compensation, reflected our executives' ability to successfully manage the company through the economic downturn. In 2010, we grew revenues by 14% and grew our net earnings by over 34%.

In light of these achievements, the key components of 2010 executive compensation were as follows:

Salaries Base salaries were reviewed and adjusted based on salary survey data, local market conditions and individual performance. Mr. Filliol's base salary was increased by 7%. The change in base salaries of the other named executive officers was between 0% and 2%.

Annual Cash Incentives The average target achievement for our named executive officers in 2010 was 121%, resulting in incentive payments of between 113% and 155% of base salary. In recent years, the average target achievement for executive officers has ranged from 95% to approximately 125%, resulting in cash incentives of between 23% and 155% of base salary.

Long-Term Incentives The value of stock options granted to our named executive officers in 2010 increased by between 1% and 10% compared to 2009, reflecting both survey data and the team's success in managing the

business in a difficult operating environment.

Compensation Program Elements

The company's compensation program consists of three main elements: base salary, an annual cash incentive and long-term incentive compensation, and other elements of a smaller magnitude. The majority of executive compensation is performance based, and is paid in the form of the annual cash incentive and long-term incentive compensation.

Our goal is to ensure that the three main elements of compensation are carefully considered and fair, and that executives are motivated to further the interests of shareholders, both short-term and long-term.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Each year the Compensation Committee separately reviews each of the three elements, as well as total compensation, taking into account the company's growth and performance, individual executive performance, and developments in the markets in which we compete for talent. In evaluating the competitiveness of the company's executive compensation, the Compensation Committee periodically conducts both broad based surveys of executive compensation and surveys of the compensation of executives in the instruments and electronics industries. In 2010, Pearl Meyer & Partners provided US-based survey data using confidential surveys relating to CEO and senior executive compensation at technology companies in comparable industries, including scientific instruments firms, and similar size firms to the company. They also provided data on peer company CEO compensation at Ametek, Beckman Coulter, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Millipore, Pall, PerkinElmer, Rockwell Automation, Roper Industries, Teledyne Technologies and Waters. The Compensation Committee also reviewed CEO compensation data from certain Swiss industrial public companies of a similar size and international organizational structure as the company.

Base Salary

The company's goal is to pay average base salaries that are approximately at or somewhat below the median. Based on broad based and peer company surveys, we believe base salaries for executive officers are generally slightly lower than those at peer companies. Although a competitive base salary is necessary and appropriate to attract and retain high quality talent, we believe the majority of executive compensation should be paid in ways that link pay with performance. We accomplish this through the annual cash incentive and long-term incentives.

Changes in 2010 Compensation

Based on its review of salary survey data referred to above, local market conditions, and taking into account each individual's performance, the Compensation Committee increased the 2010 base salary for Mr. Filliol to CHF 882,750 and for Mr. de la Guéronnière to Euro 151,592. The base salaries of the other officers were unchanged. Based on the quality of leadership of Mr. Filliol and the management team, and the overall performance of the company, the committee believes management's compensation is appropriate.

Annual Cash Incentive

We link pay with performance through our cash incentive plan, called POBS Plus. The purpose of the incentive plan is to provide an incentive to key employees of the company to reward them for driving the financial success of the company as measured based on objective financial criteria. The incentive plan is administered by the Compensation Committee. At the end of each year, the Compensation Committee establishes the performance targets on which each participant's incentive is based for the coming year. The financial targets used relate closely to our annual plan and budget, which are approved by the full Board of Directors each year. The targets are set taking into account the economic environment, the health of the company's end-user markets, and the challenges and opportunities of the company's various businesses. See *2010 Performance Targets and Actual Target Achievement* below.

In addition, between 12 and 20 percent of the incentive for each participant is based on individual objective performance targets relating to the company's annual business objectives. The Compensation Committee directly evaluates the Chief Executive Officer's performance on his individual targets, and reviews the CEO's recommendation on the individual target performance of the other executive officers. After the conclusion of each year, the

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Compensation Committee reviews the audited results of the company's performance against each participant's performance targets and determines the incentive payment, if any, earned by each participant.

Cash Incentive Payment as % of Base Salary

Name	Achievement vs. Target Levels		
	<90%	100% (Target)	130% (Maximum)
Olivier A. Filliol		50%	169%
William P. Donnelly		45%	158%
Other Named Executive Officers		45%	161%

The plan provides that targets for 100% achievement should be challenging and ambitious, but also realistic and attainable such that it is possible to achieve and exceed them. If targets are met total cash compensation is approximately equal to the levels of peer companies. The impact of over- or under-achieving targets on the annual incentive can be significant. The company and Board of Directors therefore approach the target setting process with care and consideration. We believe targets are set consistently with the philosophy of the POBS Plus plan that they be challenging and ambitious. In recent years the average target achievement for executive officers has ranged from 95% to approximately 125%, resulting in incentive payments of between 25% and 150% of base salary.

2010 Performance Targets and Actual Target Achievement

2010 Performance Targets	Target	Actual
Adjusted Non-GAAP Earnings Per Share(1)	\$ 6.03	\$ 6.94
Net Cash Flow(2)	247.9 million	279.9 million
Last 12 Months Inventory Turnover	5.30	4.75
Group Sales (at budgeted currency rates)	1,817.9 million	1,984.7 million

(1) Excludes purchased intangible amortization (net of tax) of \$3.7 million, restructuring charges (net of tax) of \$3.6 million, a net discrete tax benefit of \$5.2 million and a loss (net of tax) of \$3.8 million associated with the sale of the company's retail software business for in-store item and inventory management solutions, offset in part by a benefit from unrealized contingent consideration from a previous acquisition (net of tax) of \$1.2 million.

(2) Represents cash flow from operations less capital expenditures, tax payments and restructuring payments of \$11.1 million.

The 2010 performance relative to targets resulted in the following incentive payments as a percent of base salary under the POBS Plus plan for 2010:

Mr. Filliol	155%
Mr. Donnelly	127%
Mr. Caratsch	129%
Mr. de la Guéronnière	113%
Mr. Widmer	146%

Long-Term Incentives

Another method we have historically used to link pay with performance is awarding stock options, which we believe aligns management's long-term interests with those of the company's shareholders. Our stock options (including those granted in 2010) typically vest over five years, 20% per year, starting on the first anniversary of the date of grant. In 2008, we granted certain performance options that will vest in five years if the company meets certain EPS growth targets. Options generally have a term of ten years, except for certain grants to Swiss residents having terms of ten and a half years.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

In determining the size of each named executive officer's stock option grants, the Compensation Committee evaluates the relative importance of the individual's job, the contribution and performance of the individual, their years of service and their total compensation, as well as competitive information about equity as described above relative to each individual. In 2010, this analysis led to the grant of stock options with the grant date fair values each as described in the table Grant of Plan-Based Awards .

The Compensation Committee believes that past performance is just one factor to take into account in determining the size of future awards.

Option Grant Practices and Policy

The Compensation Committee approves all option grants. Option grants are typically made once each year on the date of the Compensation Committee meeting at which the overall annual compensation review takes place (typically in late October or early November each year). The Compensation Committee meeting dates are set up to two years in advance, and the option grants are made on the meeting date. This is typically shortly before the announcement of the company's earnings. In the past, the Committee has also made initial grants to individual executive officers at the time they started serving as executive officers. All options have an exercise price equal to the closing price of the company's shares on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant.

Equity Ownership Guidelines

The Compensation Committee feels it is important for senior executives to have a significant portion of their ongoing compensation tied to the interests of shareholders. In 2009, the Compensation Committee implemented equity ownership guidelines for directors and executive officers that call for the individuals to accumulate equity ownership as follows:

Category	Value of Equity Ownership Required
Directors	5x cash retainer (5x salary for Chairman)
CEO	5x base salary
CFO	3x base salary
Other executive officers	2x base salary

The following types of equity will count towards the ownership requirement: shares held directly, vested and unvested restricted stock units (if any), and the in-the-money value of vested stock options. Individuals have a five-year period to meet the ownership requirement, running from July 2009 for all current directors and officers. Prospectively the five-year period will run from the date of promotion or date of election to the board. If an individual does not meet the requirement within the relevant time periods, the Compensation Committee has the discretion not to make further equity grants to that person. If an individual has met their requirement but subsequently falls below due to a drop in share price, they will have 24 months to rebuild their ownership, subject to Compensation Committee discretion. As of June 30, 2010, all directors and officers who had been serving with the company for more than three years had already met their equity ownership target.

Share Purchase Plan

To help encourage executives to be direct shareholders, the board approved the Mettler-Toledo 2007 Share Purchase Plan on November 1, 2007. Under the plan, executive officers may purchase company shares using all or a portion of their cash incentive payable under the POBS Plus plan, subject to approval of the Compensation Committee. The issue price for shares under the plan will be equal to the New York Stock Exchange closing price on the date of issuance, which occurs before March 15 of each year. All shares issued pursuant to the plan are restricted for a period of five years from the date of issuance, during which time they may not be sold, assigned, transferred or otherwise disposed of, nor may they be pledged or otherwise hypothecated, except in the case of death or disability. Shares purchased under the plan are disclosed in footnote 3 of the Summary Compensation Table below.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Swiss Pension Plan

The Swiss-based executive officers (Messrs. Filliol, Caratsch and Widmer) participate in a Swiss pension plan called Mettler-Toledo Fonds, which is a cash balance benefit (or pension) plan. Each year we contribute to the plan 22% of each participating named executive officer's covered salary. The covered salary for pension purposes is equal to 77.27% of the individual's target salary (consisting of the base salary plus the cash incentive earned at 100% target achievement) and was capped by Swiss law at a maximum of CHF 820,800 in 2010 and 2009 and CHF 795,600 in 2008. Individual employees may also make their own direct contributions to the plan from their own funds. Amounts in the plan bear interest depending on the annual performance of the pension plan, including certain minimum amounts as set by Swiss law. Retirement benefits are paid in the form of a lump-sum payment when the employee reaches the normal retirement age under the plan of 65.

Tax Treatment

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code prohibits the company from deducting compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to certain employees, generally its CEO and its three other most highly compensated executive officers (excluding the CFO), unless that compensation qualifies as performance-based compensation. We maintain flexibility to balance the need to fairly compensate the company's executive officers with the company's ability to deduct compensation pursuant to Section 162(m).

Tax Equalization Agreements (Swiss Executives)

The company is a party to tax equalization agreements with Messrs. Filliol, Caratsch and Widmer, who are non-U.S. citizens and non-U.S. residents and who pay income tax on their earnings in Switzerland. The individuals do not receive any cash benefit from the agreements, the principle of which is to leave the employee in exactly the same position (i.e., no better and no worse off) than if they had not become subject to incremental U.S. taxation on a portion of their income. Under the tax equalization agreements, the company has agreed to pay taxes borne by these executives in respect of incremental taxation being due in the United States by virtue of their work for the company there. Because the individuals are left no better and no worse off than had they not become subject to U.S. taxation, the Compensation Committee does not believe it is appropriate to take into account the U.S. taxes paid by the company under the tax equalization agreements when determining the employees' compensation each year. In cases where the individual's Swiss taxes are lower as a result of the company having paid these U.S. tax amounts, the individual may need to make a payment to the company under the tax equalization agreement.

Employment Agreements

The company is a party to employment agreements with each of the named executive officers. These agreements provide for a base salary subject to adjustment and participation in our cash incentive plan and other employee benefit plans. Each agreement prohibits the executive from competing with the company for a period of 12 months after termination of employment. The agreements may be terminated without cause by either party on 12 months' notice (six months' notice for Messrs. de la Guéronnière and Widmer), during which periods the executive is entitled to full compensation under the agreement, including payment of base salary, target cash incentive, and continuation of benefits.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

The equity compensation arrangements are separately described in the sections below entitled Grants of Plan-Based Awards and Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End. The operation of the employment agreements in the context of a termination or a change in control is separately described below under Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.

Summary Compensation Table(1)

Name and Principal Position	Year	Base Salary (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(3)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred	All Other Compensation (\$)(5)	Total (\$)
						Compensation Earnings (\$)(4)		
Olivier A. Filliol President and Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$ 846,194		\$ 2,545,118	\$ 1,310,417	\$ 173,098	\$ 104,173	\$ 4,979,001
	2009	790,836		2,312,091	274,420	173,098	(4,860)	3,545,586
	2008	718,942		4,343,150	691,981	167,784	45,439	5,967,206
William P. Donnelly Chief Financial Officer	2010	375,000		949,973	477,413	n.a.	32,899	1,835,285
	2009	375,000		903,969	122,850	n.a.	36,943	1,438,763
	2008	375,000		1,784,298	299,362	n.a.	36,042	2,494,702
Thomas Caratsch Head of Laboratory	2010	293,328		399,969	378,071	72,305	3,730	1,147,403
	2009	293,328		381,732	66,527	71,951	26,784	840,321
	2008	287,577		745,122	135,880	70,888	28,277	1,267,743
Marc de la Guéronnière(6) Head of European Market Organizations	2010	201,024		475,081	227,137	53,712	102,520	1,059,473
Urs Widmer Head of Industrial	2010	322,661		385,022	470,214	79,536	69,752	1,327,185
	2009	322,661		381,732	76,374	79,536	15,195	875,497
	2008	322,661		965,213	166,977	79,146	59,313	1,539,309

(1) All amounts shown were paid in Swiss francs, except amounts paid to Mr. Donnelly and U.S. tax equalization payments, which were paid in U.S. dollars, and amounts paid to Mr. de la Guéronnière, which were paid in Euros. For purposes of this table, all amounts paid in Swiss francs were converted to U.S. dollars at a rate of CHF 1.0432 to \$1.00, and amounts paid in Euros were converted to U.S. dollars at a rate of EUR 0.7541 to \$1.00, in each case the respective average exchange rate in 2010.

(2) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock option awards for each individual computed in accordance with ASC 718. The valuation assumptions associated with such awards are discussed in Note 12 to the company's

financial statements included in the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010. The 2008 figures reflect two grants made in that year for all officers. In connection with Mr. Filliol becoming the CEO, the Compensation Committee approved a one-time grant of performance options made on January 3, 2008. The regular annual grant of options was made on November 6, 2008.

- (3) Amounts shown are the annual cash incentive earned under the company's POBS Plus incentive plan. Pursuant to the Share Purchase Plan described above, Mr. Filliol purchased company shares with a portion of the incentive as follows: CHF 360,938 for 2008 and CHF 286,275 for 2009.
- (4) Represents the change in actuarial present value of each individual's accumulated benefit under the Mettler-Toledo Fonds pension plan, a Swiss cash balance benefit plan, consisting of the company's contributions to the plan on behalf of each individual. In the case of Mr. de la Guéronnière, represents the company's contributions to the French pension plan.
- (5) Includes tax equalization payments and other miscellaneous benefits as set out below. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, the individuals do not receive any cash benefit from the tax equalization payments. The principle of the tax equalization is to leave the employee in exactly the same position (i.e., no better and no worse) than if they had not become subject to U.S. taxation on a portion of their income. As such, the Compensation Committee does not believe it is appropriate to include these tax equalization amounts when determining the employees' compensation each year. Negative amounts represent payments by the individual to the company, for example as a result of lower Swiss taxes being due by virtue of the U.S. tax payments.

Miscellaneous personal benefits, none of which individually exceeds \$25,000 in value unless otherwise stated, include car allowances, expense allowances, tax return preparation, and the value of meals in the company cafeteria. In Mr. Donnelly's case, they also include the company's matching payments under its 401(k) plan, access to a company-rented apartment in Columbus in lieu of hotel accommodations

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

and the dollar value of life insurance premiums paid by the company. In Mr. de la Guéronnière's case, benefits in 2010 include a housing allowance of \$45,803 and a schooling allowance of \$31,341.

Name	Year	Tax Equalization	Miscellaneous Benefits
Olivier A. Filliol	2010	76,316	27,857
	2009	(35,343)	30,483
	2008	13,858	31,491
William P. Donnelly	2010	n.a.	32,899
	2009	n.a.	36,943
	2008	n.a.	36,042
Thomas Caratsch	2010	(17,513)	21,243
	2009	(6,129)	32,913
	2008	3,975	24,302
Marc de la Guéronnière	2010	n.a.	102,520
	2010	45,633	24,119
Urs Widmer	2009	(8,962)	24,157
	2008	34,819	24,494

(6) Mr. de la Guéronnière became an executive officer in December 2010.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Name	Estimated Future Payouts			Grant Date (2)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(3)
	Under Non-Equity Incentive						
	Plan Awards(1) [POBS Plus Cash Incentive]						
	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)				
Olivier A. Filliol	0	\$ 423,097	\$ 1,433,284	11/3/2010	67,260	\$ 133.00	\$ 2,545,118
William P. Donnelly	0	168,750	590,625	11/3/2010	25,105	\$ 133.00	949,973
Thomas Caratsch	0	131,998	470,792	11/3/2010	10,570	\$ 133.00	399,969
Marc de la Guéronnière	0	90,461	322,643	11/3/2010	12,555	\$ 133.00	475,081
Urs Widmer	0	145,197	517,871	11/3/2010	10,175	\$ 133.00	385,022

- (1) Represents the range of cash incentive payments possible under the company's POBS Plus incentive plan in respect of the 2010 fiscal year. The maximum incentive possible is 169.4% of base salary for Mr. Filliol, 157.5% for Mr. Donnelly, and 160.5% of base salary for the other named officers. The target cash incentive is 50% of base salary for Mr. Filliol and 45% of base salary for the other named officers. The actual incentive earned in each year is included in the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (2) Each of the option awards was made under the Mettler-Toledo International Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. The grants vest in five equal annual installments starting on the first anniversary of the date of grant.
- (3) The grant date fair value of the options of \$37.84 per share has been computed in accordance with ASC 718 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, based upon the following assumptions: estimated time until exercise of five years; a risk-free interest rate of 1.17%; a volatility rate of 30%; and a zero dividend yield. The Black-Scholes option pricing model is only one method of valuing options. The actual value of the options may significantly differ, and depends on the excess of the market value of the common stock over the exercise price at the time of exercise.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS****Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

Name	Number of Securities Underlying		Option Awards(1)			Stock Awards(2)	
	Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Exercise Price (\$)	Option Grant Date	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)
Olivier A. Filliol	30,000	0	\$ 46.20	6/1/2001	12/1/2011		
	30,000	0	\$ 45.91	10/31/2001	4/30/2012		
	30,000	0	\$ 33.23	11/7/2002	5/6/2013		
	30,000	0	\$ 37.56	8/27/2003	2/27/2014		
	15,000	0	\$ 37.56	8/27/2003	2/27/2014		
	40,000	0	\$ 47.95	10/28/2004	4/28/2015		
	55,000	0	\$ 52.37	11/3/2005	5/3/2016		
	36,000	9,000	\$ 68.06	11/2/2006	5/2/2017		
	40,080	26,720	\$ 105.11	11/1/2007	11/1/2017		
	0	66,800	\$ 112.37	1/3/2008	1/3/2018		
	44,000	66,000	\$ 73.69	11/6/2008	11/6/2018		
	16,620	66,480	\$ 90.76	10/28/2009	10/28/2019		
	0	67,260	\$ 133.00	11/03/2010	11/03/2020		
William P. Donnelly	12,500	0	\$ 45.91	10/31/2001	4/30/2012		
	30,000	0	\$ 37.56	8/27/2003	8/27/2013		
	3,750	0	\$ 37.56	8/27/2003	8/27/2013		
	40,000	0	\$ 47.95	10/28/2004	10/28/2014		
	55,000	0	\$ 52.37	11/3/2005	11/3/2015		
	36,000	9,000	\$ 68.06	11/2/2006	11/2/2016		
	18,150	12,100	\$ 105.11	11/1/2007	11/1/2017		
	0	27,500	\$ 112.37	1/3/2008	1/3/2018		
	18,040	27,060	\$ 73.69	11/6/2008	11/6/2018		
	6,498	25,992	\$ 90.76	10/28/2009	10/28/2019		
0	25,105	\$ 133.00	11/03/2010	11/03/2020			
Thomas Caratsch(3)						240	\$ 36,290
	3,000	4,500	\$ 112.37	1/3/2008	1/3/2018		
	0	12,000	\$ 112.37	1/3/2008	1/3/2018		
	7,200	10,800	\$ 73.69	11/6/2008	11/6/2018		

Edgar Filing: METTLER TOLEDO INTERNATIONAL INC/ - Form DEF 14A

	2,744	10,976	\$ 90.76	10/28/2009	10/28/2019		
	0	10,570	\$ 133.00	11/03/2010	11/03/2020		
Marc de la Guéronnière						220	\$ 33,266
	5,520	3,680	\$ 105.11	11/1/2007	11/1/2017		
	0	13,750	\$ 112.37	1/3/2008	1/3/2018		
	7,800	11,700	\$ 73.69	11/6/2008	11/6/2018		
	3,105	12,420	\$ 90.76	10/28/2009	10/28/2019		
	0	12,555	\$ 133.00	11/03/2010	11/03/2020		
Urs Widmer	15,000	0	\$ 45.91	10/31/2001	4/30/2012		
	15,000	0	\$ 37.56	8/27/2003	2/27/2014		
	25,000	0	\$ 47.95	10/28/2004	4/28/2015		
	35,000	0	\$ 52.37	11/3/2005	5/3/2016		
	22,000	5,500	\$ 68.06	11/2/2006	5/2/2017		
	11,010	7,340	\$ 105.11	11/1/2007	11/1/2017		
	0	16,050	\$ 112.37	1/3/2008	1/3/2018		
	9,000	13,500	\$ 73.69	11/6/2008	11/6/2018		
	2,744	10,976	\$ 90.76	10/28/2009	10/28/2019		
	0	10,175	\$ 133.00	11/03/2010	11/03/2020		

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

- (1) Each of the options vests ratably over five years starting from the first anniversary of the date of grant, except certain options granted in 2003, which vested on the first and second anniversary of the date of grant, and the January 3, 2008 grants, which will vest in full on March 1, 2013, provided the company has achieved at least 15% compound annual growth in its fully diluted earnings per share (subject to certain defined adjustments) over the five-year period January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2012.
- (2) Mr. Caratsch received a grant of 600 restricted stock units in November 2007 and Mr. de la Guéronnière received a grant of 1,100 restricted stock units in November 2006 and 1,000 restricted stock units in November 2005, in each case prior to their becoming an executive officer. The restrictions on these RSUs lapse ratably over five years from the first anniversary of the date of grant. The market value figure shown in the Stock Awards column is calculated using the closing share price of \$151.21 on December 31, 2010.
- (3) Of the January 3, 2008 option grants made to Mr. Caratsch, 12,000 are the above-referenced performance options. The remaining 7,500 options were granted in connection with Mr. Caratsch becoming an executive officer, and vest ratably over five years starting from the first anniversary of the date of grant.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2010

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Olivier A. Filliol	20,000	\$ 1,886,805		
William P. Donnelly	6,250	591,402		
Thomas Caratsch			120	\$ 15,851
Marc de la Guéronnière	16,000	1,683,770	420	\$ 56,080
Urs Widmer	25,000	1,444,352		

Pension Benefits(1)

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years of Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)(2)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
------	-----------	---	--	---------------------------------------

Olivier A. Filliol	Mettler-Toledo Fonds	12	4,579,284	0
William P. Donnelly	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Thomas Caratsch	Mettler-Toledo Fonds	3	1,695,111	0
Marc de la Guéronnière	ARRCO/AGIRC	9	n.a.	0
Urs Widmer	Mettler-Toledo Fonds	26	4,947,633	0

- (1) The Swiss-based executive officers (Messrs. Filliol, Caratsch and Widmer) participate in a Swiss pension plan called Mettler-Toledo Fonds, which is a form of cash balance benefit (or pension) plan. Each year we contribute to the plan 22% of each participating named executive officer's covered salary. The covered salary for pension purposes is equal to 77.27% of the individual's target salary (consisting of the base salary plus the cash incentive earned at 100% target achievement) and was capped by Swiss law at a maximum of CHF 820,800 in 2010 and 2009 and CHF 795,600 in 2008. Mr. de la Guéronnière participates in the French pension system, which is a type of contributory pension plan under which pensions are calculated on the basis of points acquired according to contributions made by the employer and employee during the employment period.
- (2) Swiss franc amounts have been converted to U.S. dollars at a rate of CHF 0.9407 to \$1.00, and Euros at EUR 0.7546 to \$1.00, the respective exchange rate on December 31, 2010. Individual employees may also make their own direct contributions to the plan from their own funds. Of the amounts shown, the named officers have individually contributed the following amounts: Mr. Filliol \$2.8 million, Mr. Caratsch \$1.4 million and Mr. Widmer \$2.7 million.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS****Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control**

Pursuant to their employment agreements described above, each of the named executive officers may be terminated after giving the requisite notice. In the event of certain terminations, the executives are entitled to receive full compensation during the notice period.

The following table reflects payments that would have been made to the named executive officers if they had been terminated on various grounds, assuming that notice of termination was given on December 31, 2010. The actual amounts to be paid out can only be determined at the time of any such executive's termination of employment. This table does not include information about any contracts, agreements, plans or arrangements to the extent they do not discriminate in scope, terms or operation in favor of executive officers and that are available generally to all salaried employees.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control(1)

Name	For Cause/Death/ Disability/Retirement (2)	Not For Cause/For Good Reason/ All Other(3)
Olivier A. Filliol		
Base Salary	\$ 0	\$ 846,194
Cash Incentive	0	423,097
Pension	0	173,098
Benefits	0	22,431
Total	0	1,464,821
William P. Donnelly		
Base Salary	0	375,000
Cash Incentive	0	168,750
Pension	0	10,694
Benefits	0	10,000
Total	0	564,444
Thomas Caratsch		
Base Salary	0	293,328
Cash Incentive	0	131,998
Pension	0	72,305
Benefits	0	15,817
Total	0	513,448
Marc de la Guéronnière		
Base Salary	0	100,512
Cash Incentive	0	45,230

Pension	0	26,856
Benefits	0	49,154
Total	0	221,752
Urs Widmer		
Base Salary	0	161,331
Cash Incentive	0	72,599
Pension	0	39,768
Benefits	0	7,908
Total	0	281,606

(1) In all termination scenarios, the named executive officer retains vested amounts in the company's pension plans these amounts are described in the Present Value of Accumulated Benefit column of the Pension Benefits table above. In a change in control situation, unless

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

otherwise provided in an option agreement, all unvested outstanding options will accelerate and become fully exercisable. For purposes of the table below, we assume that all outstanding options accelerate and become fully exercisable as of December 31, 2010 (and that unvested restricted stock units vest in the case of Mr. Caratsch and Mr. de la Guéronnière). The expense associated with this acceleration is the same as absent a change in control, but would be incurred by the company earlier than over the normal course of the vesting period. The value of the named executive officers' unvested stock options (and unvested restricted stock units of Mr. Caratsch and Mr. de la Guéronnière) as of December 31, 2010 is as follows (calculated as the difference between the share price on that date of \$151.21 and the respective exercise price):

Name	Value of Accelerated Unvested Stock Options
Olivier A. Filliol	\$ 14,934,495
William P. Donnelly	6,500,330
Thomas Caratsch	2,370,345
Marc de la Guéronnière	2,623,364
Urs Widmer	3,314,387

- (2) The named executive officers are not entitled to any additional compensation from the company or any additional option vesting upon a termination for cause or termination relating to disability or upon death or retirement. In a termination for cause, each employee forfeits vested as well as unvested stock options. U.S.-based employees have company-provided life insurance paying one time their annual compensation (up to \$500,000) upon the employee's death during employment. In Mr. Donnelly's case, the insured amount is \$500,000.
- (3) In all other terminations (including not for cause or for good reason), the individual is entitled to base salary, the cash incentive and certain benefits for the contractual notice period in their respective employment agreement. Pursuant to the operation of our equity plans applicable to all employees, the individual is also entitled to additional option vesting during the notice period.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

==

The Compensation Committee assists the board in reviewing and monitoring the compensation of the company's executives. The Compensation Committee operates pursuant to a written charter, a copy of which can be found on the company's website at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Corporate Governance .

The Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing compensation arrangements that allow the company to retain, attract and motivate highly qualified employees. The Compensation Committee reviews the company's total compensation budget, and sets the annual compensation of the company's executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer. It also evaluates and sets the compensation of the directors. In carrying out its duties, the Compensation Committee receives input and recommendations from the Chairman, Head of Human Resources and the Chief Executive Officer regarding the amount and form of executive and director compensation.

The Compensation Committee also makes periodic use of compensation consultants. In 2010, Pearl Meyer & Partners provided US-based survey data using confidential surveys relating to CEO and senior executive compensation at technology companies in comparable industries, including scientific instruments firms, and of similar size firms to the company. They also provided data on peer company CEO compensation at Ametek, Beckman Coulter, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Millipore, Pall, PerkinElmer, Rockwell Automation, Roper Industries, Teledyne Technologies and Waters. The Compensation Committee also reviewed CEO compensation data from certain Swiss industrial public companies of a similar size and international set up as the company.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Proxy Statement. On the basis of such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the board approved, that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

Respectfully submitted by the members of the Compensation Committee:

Thomas P. Salice, *Chairman*
Michael A. Kelly
Hans Ulrich Maerki

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL THREE:
APPROVAL OF THE POBS PLUS INCENTIVE SYSTEM FOR GROUP MANAGEMENT**

==

You are being asked to re-approve the material terms of the company's POBS Plus Incentive System for Group Management (the Incentive Plan), which has been in operation in substantially the same form for over a decade.

Description of the Incentive Plan

The purpose of the Incentive Plan is to provide a cash incentive to certain key employees of the company to reward them for driving the financial success of the company as measured based on objective financial criteria. There are currently ten employees eligible to participate in the Incentive Plan. Criteria for participation in the Incentive Plan are: (i) the performance of key management functions which can significantly influence and contribute to the overall success of the company and (ii) leadership skills and high professional competence.

The Incentive Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. At the end of each year, the Compensation Committee establishes the performance targets on which each participant's incentive is based for the coming year. The financial targets used relate closely to our annual plan and budget, which are approved by the full Board of Directors each year, and may be based upon any one or more of the following financial criteria:

earnings per share;

cash flow;

operating profit of business areas;

sales of the company and/or its business areas;

inventory turnover of the company and/or its business areas; and

days sales outstanding of business areas.

In addition, each participant has individual objective performance targets relating to the company's annual business objectives, which make up between 10 and 20 percent of their total targets. After the conclusion of each year, the Compensation Committee reviews the audited results of the company's performance against each participant's performance targets and determines the incentive payment, if any, earned by each participant.

The Incentive Plan provides for payment of a cash incentive to participants calculated by reference to the performance targets. Below 90% target achievement no payment is made. For each participant, a cash incentive is payable following achievement of more than 90% of the target level. For each full percentage point of target achievement above 90% and up to a maximum of 120% for individual performance targets and 130% for the company performance targets, a cash incentive of from 2.5% to 7.5% of the base salary of the participant is payable, for a total maximum potential cash incentive of between 100% and 300% of base salary. Within the first 90 days of each year, the percentage of base salary between 2.5% and 7.5% to be used in calculating the cash incentive is established individually for each participant by the Compensation Committee.

For illustration purposes, here is how the Incentive Plan operated in 2010 for the named executive officers. At 100% target achievement, the incentive was 50% of base salary for Mr. Filliol, and 45% of base salary for the other executive officers. The maximum potential cash incentive possible was earned at 130% target achievement (120% for individual performance targets), and was 169% of base salary for Mr. Filliol, 158% of base salary for Mr. Donnelly, and 161% of base salary for the other executive officers. The maximum cash incentive that could have been paid to any participant under the Incentive Plan in 2010 was \$1.4 million, reflecting the maximum incentive achievable by Mr. Filliol. The actual cash incentives paid are set out above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis under the Annual Cash Incentive section.

In no event may an incentive greater than \$2.5 million be paid under the Incentive Plan. In case of termination of a participant during the first half of a fiscal year, the cash incentive is generally paid pro rata on the basis of 95% target achievement. In case of termination of employment of a participant during the second half of a fiscal year,

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL THREE:
APPROVAL OF THE POBS PLUS INCENTIVE SYSTEM FOR GROUP MANAGEMENT**

target achievement is measured at the end of the year and the cash incentive is paid on a pro rata basis. The Incentive Plan may be amended or terminated at any time by the Board of Directors of the Company.

The plan provides that targets for 100% achievement should be challenging and ambitious, but also realistic and attainable such that it is possible to achieve and exceed them. If targets are met total cash compensation is approximately equal to the levels of peer companies. The impact of over- or under-achieving targets on the annual incentive can be significant. The company and Board of Directors therefore approach the target setting process with care and consideration. We believe targets are set consistently with the philosophy of the POBS Plus plan that they be challenging and ambitious. In recent years the average target achievement for executive officers has ranged from 95% to approximately 125%, resulting in cash incentives of between 23% and 155% of base salary.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote *FOR* approval of the company's POBS Plus Incentive System for Group Management.

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL FOUR:
ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

==

Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act enacted in July 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act), the shareholders of the company are entitled to vote at the annual meeting to approve the compensation of the company's named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act.

As described more fully in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement and accompanying tables and narratives, our compensation program consists of three main elements: base salary, an annual cash incentive and long-term incentive compensation. Our goal is to ensure that the three main elements of compensation are carefully considered and fair, and that executives are motivated to further the interests of shareholders, both short and long-term. The company has in the past sought approval from shareholders regarding the incentive plans that we use to motivate, retain and reward our executives. Those incentive plans, including the POBS Plus Incentive System for Group Management and the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, make up a majority of the pay that the company provides to our executives.

We have a long track record of delivering superior results for our shareholders. In the ten year period ending December 31, 2010, the company's total return to shareholders has been 278%, compared with 115% for the S&P 500 and 123% for companies in SIC Code 3826 (Laboratory Analytical Instruments). Our executive compensation programs have played a material role in our ability to drive strong financial results and attract and retain a highly qualified team to run the company.

We believe our executive compensation programs are transparent, consistent with current best practices, appropriately benchmarked to peers and effective in supporting our company and our business objectives.

Our compensation programs are substantially tied to the achievement of key business objectives and to long-term shareholder returns.

Performance is objectively measured.

Targets are set at challenging levels.

Stock options granted to executives have a ten-year term and vest over five years, which helps management focus on sustainable and long-term value creation.

We carefully monitor the compensation of executives from companies of similar size and complexity to help us to ensure our programs are within the range of market practices.

The company seeks your advisory vote on our executive compensation programs. We ask that you support the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and the accompanying tables and narratives contained in this proxy statement. Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Board of Directors. However, the board will review the voting results and take such results into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation. Accordingly, we will ask our shareholders to vote FOR the following resolution at the annual meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote *FOR* the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to the compensation and disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL FIVE:
ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF THE ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE
COMPENSATION**

==

The Dodd-Frank Act also requires us, not less frequently than once every six years, to provide our shareholders a separate non-binding advisory vote on the frequency of submission to shareholders of a Say on Pay advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission's compensation and disclosure rules, such as Proposal Four. Accordingly, we are asking shareholders to vote on whether the advisory vote should occur every three years, every two years or every year. We ask that you vote for a frequency of every three years (a triennial period) for the future advisory vote on the compensation of our executive officers.

The advisory vote on executive compensation is important to the company. We appreciate the past shareholder approval of our incentive pay programs, which have historically occurred on a five year cycle. This has served the company and shareholders well, ensuring an alignment between compensation and our financial performance. Setting a three year period for the advisory vote will enhance shareholder communication by providing a way for the company to obtain information on investor views about our executive compensation philosophy. An advisory vote every three years is an effective timeframe to allow the company to engage with shareholders to understand the vote results and respond to shareholder feedback.

This vote is advisory, and will not be binding on the company, our Board of Directors or our Compensation Committee. Although the Board of Directors will consider the voting results, it may ultimately decide that it is in the best interests of our shareholders and the company to hold an advisory vote on executive compensation more or less frequently than the option approved by our shareholders. You may cast your vote for your preferred frequency by indicating your choice that future Say on Pay Proposals should be submitted to shareholders every three years, every two years or every year, or you may choose to abstain from voting on this issue, in response to the resolution set forth below:

RESOLVED, that whichever of the three frequency choices of: every three years, every two years or every year receives the most shareholder votes will be considered the shareholders' preferred frequency in regard to how often a Say on Pay Proposal should be submitted to the shareholders in future company proxy statements prepared in connection with our annual meeting.

If no voting specification (for every three years, every two years, every year or an abstention from voting) is made, your vote will count as a vote FOR a frequency of every three years for the future non-binding shareholder vote on the compensation of our named executive officers.

Table of Contents**SHARE OWNERSHIP**

==

This table shows how much of the company's common stock is owned by directors, executive officers and owners of more than 5% of the company's common stock as of the record date March 7, 2011 (December 31, 2010 in the case of 5% shareholders):

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned(1)		Total	Percent
	Number	Percent		
5% Shareholders:				
FMR LLC 82 Devonshire Street Boston, MA 02109	3,929,676			12.2%
BlackRock Inc. 40 East 52 nd Street New York, NY 10022	2,416,920			7.5%
Columbia Wanger Asset Management 227 West Monroe Street, Suite 3000 Chicago, IL 60606	2,240,500			6.9%
Baron Capital Group 767 Fifth Avenue, 49 th Floor New York, NY 10153	2,230,748			6.9%
	Direct	Indirect(2) Number	Total	Percent
Directors:				
Robert F. Spoerry(3)	370,191	238,691	608,882	1.9%
Wah-Hui Chu	982	6,926	7,908	*
Francis A. Contino	3,182	12,926	16,108	*
Olivier A. Filliol	16,279	366,700	382,979	1.2%
Michael A. Kelly	202	2,726	2,928	*
Martin D. Madaus	682	846	1,528	*
Hans Ulrich Maerki	682	18,926	19,608	*
George M. Milne	6,682	18,926	25,608	*
Thomas P. Salice	184,932	18,926	203,858	*
Named Executive Officers:				
William P. Donnelly(4)	54,507	219,938	274,445	*
Thomas Caratsch	530	11,444	11,974	*
Marc de la Guéronnière	10,641	16,425	27,066	*
Urs Widmer	8,913	134,754	143,667	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (16 persons):	662,187	1,151,451	1,813,638	5.4%

- * The percentage of shares of common stock beneficially owned does not exceed one percent of the outstanding shares.
- (1) Calculations of percentage of beneficial ownership are based on 32,243,125 shares of common stock outstanding on March 7, 2011. Information regarding 5% shareholders is based solely on Schedule 13Gs filed by the holders. For the directors and officers, the calculations assume the exercise by each individual of all options for the purchase of common stock held by such individual that are exercisable within 60 days of the date hereof.
 - (2) Represents shares subject to stock options that are exercisable within 60 days.
 - (3) Includes 17,778 shares held by Mr. Spoerry's spouse.
 - (4) Includes 3,230 shares held by Mr. Donnelly's children.

Table of Contents

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee is comprised of Messrs. Kelly, Maerki and Salice, none of whom were officers or employees of the company or its subsidiaries or had any relationship requiring disclosure by the company under Item 404 of the Securities and Exchange Commission's Regulation S-K during 2010. No interlocking relationship exists between the members of Mettler-Toledo's Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee and the board of directors or compensation committee of any other company, nor has any such interlocking relationship existed in the past.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the company's executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the company's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) and The New York Stock Exchange. Executive officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, or written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that in the last fiscal year, all filing requirements applicable to our executive officers and directors and greater than 10% shareholders were complied with.

Availability of Form 10-K and Annual Report to Shareholders

The company's Annual Report to shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, including financial statements, accompanies this proxy statement. The Annual Report is not to be regarded as proxy soliciting material or as a communication by means of which any solicitation is to be made.

The Annual Report will be available on the company's website at www.mt.com under About Us / Investor Relations / Annual Report . Upon written request, the company will furnish, without charge, to each person whose proxy is being solicited a copy of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, as filed with the SEC. Requests in writing for copies of any such materials should be directed to Investor Relations, Mettler-Toledo International Inc., 1900 Polaris Parkway, Columbus, Ohio 43240-2020, USA, telephone +1 614 438 4748.

Electronic Delivery of Annual Report and Proxy Statement

If you wish to receive future annual reports, proxy statements and other materials and shareholder communications electronically via the Internet, please follow the directions on your proxy card for requesting such electronic delivery. An election to receive materials electronically will continue until you revoke it. You will continue to have the option to vote your shares by mail or via the Internet.

How to Submit Shareholder Proposals

Shareholders may present proposals which may be proper subjects for inclusion in the proxy statement and for consideration at an annual meeting. To be considered, proposals must be submitted on a timely basis. We must receive proposals for next year's annual meeting no later than November 15, 2011. Proposals and questions related thereto should be submitted in writing to the Secretary of the company. Proposals may be included in the proxy statement for

next year's annual meeting if they comply with certain rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC and in connection with certain procedures described in our by-laws, a copy of which may be obtained from the Secretary of the company. Any proposal submitted outside the processes of these rules and regulations will be considered untimely for the purposes of Rule 14a-4 and Rule 14a-5.

Expenses of Solicitation

The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by the company. In addition to the solicitation of proxies by use of the mail, some of our officers, directors and regular employees, none of whom will receive additional compensation

Table of Contents

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

therefore, may solicit proxies in person or by Internet or other means. As is customary, we will, upon request, reimburse brokerage firms, banks, trustees, nominees and other persons for their out-of-pocket expenses in forwarding proxy materials to their principals.

Delivery of Documents to Shareholders Sharing an Address

If you are the beneficial owner, but not the record holder, of shares of METTLER TOLEDO stock, your broker, bank or other nominee may only deliver one copy of this proxy statement and our 2010 annual report to multiple shareholders who share an address unless that nominee has received contrary instructions from one or more of the shareholders. We will deliver promptly, upon written or oral request, a separate copy of this proxy statement and our 2010 annual report to a shareholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the documents was delivered. A shareholder who wishes to receive a separate copy of the proxy statement and annual report should submit this request by writing to Investor Relations, Mettler-Toledo International Inc., 1900 Polaris Parkway, Columbus, OH 43240, USA or by calling +1 614 438 4748. Shareholders sharing an address who are receiving multiple copies of proxy materials and annual reports and who wish to receive a single copy of such materials in the future should contact their broker, bank or other nominee to request that only a single copy of each document be mailed to all shareholders at the shared address in the future.

Other Matters

We know of no other matter to be brought before the annual meeting. If any other matter requiring a vote of the shareholders should come before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the proxy to vote the proxies with respect to any such matter in accordance with their reasonable judgment.

Table of Contents

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. PLEASE VOTE TODAY.
We encourage you to take advantage of Internet or telephone voting.
Both are available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

Internet and telephone voting is available through 11:59 PM Eastern Time the day prior to the shareholder meeting date.

Mettler-Toledo International Inc.

INTERNET

<http://www.proxyvoting.com/mtd>

Use the Internet to vote your proxy. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site.

OR

TELEPHONE

1-866-540-5760

Use any touch-tone telephone to vote your proxy. Have your proxy card in hand when you call.

If you vote your proxy by Internet or by telephone, you do NOT need to mail back your proxy card.

To vote by mail, mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

Your Internet or telephone vote authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card.

WO#
91508-1

FOLD AND DETACH HERE

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ITEMS 1, 2, 3 AND 4, AND A VOTE OF 3 YEARS FOR ITEM 5. Please mark your votes as x indicated in this example
 ITEM NO. 1. - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN			FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN			FOR AG			
y	o	o	o	1.6 Martin D. Madaus	o	o	o	ITEM NO. 2.	o
								APPROVAL OF	
								INDEPENDENT	
								REGISTERED	
								PUBLIC	
								ACCOUNTING	
								FIRM.	
	o	o	o	1.7 Hans Ulrich Maerki	o	o	o	ITEM NO. 3.	o
								APPROVAL OF	
								THE POBS PLUS	
								INCENTIVE	
								SYSTEM FOR	
								GROUP	
								MANAGEMENT.	
no	o	o	o	1.8 George M. Milne	o	o	o	ITEM NO. 4.	o
								ADVISORY VOTE	
								ON EXECUTIVE	

o o o 1.9 Thomas P. Salice o o o
o o o

COMPENSATION.

3 years 2 years 1

ITEM NO. 5. o o
ADVISORY VOTE
ON THE
FREQUENCY OF
THE ADVISORY
V O T E O N
EXECUTIVE
COMPENSATION.

**PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN
THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE**

**Receipt is hereby acknowledged of the
International Inc. Notice of Meeting and**

Mark Here o
for
Address
Change
or
Comments
SEE
REVERSE

Signature

Signature

Date

NOTE. Please sign exactly as name appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as an attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. Corporate and partnership proxies should be signed by an authorized person indicating the person's title.

Table of Contents

You can now access your Mettler-Toledo International Inc. account online.

Access your Mettler-Toledo International Inc. account online via Investor ServiceDirect® (ISD).

BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, the transfer agent for Mettler-Toledo International Inc., now makes it easy and convenient to get current information on your shareholder account.

View account status View payment history for dividends

View certificate history Make address changes

View book-entry information Obtain a duplicate 1099 tax form

Visit us on the web at <http://www.bnymellon.com/shareowner/equityaccess>

For Technical Assistance Call 1-877-978-7778 between 9am-7pm

Monday-Friday Eastern Time

Investor ServiceDirect®

Available 24 hours per day, 7 days per week

TOLL FREE NUMBER: 1-800-370-1163

Choose **MLinkSM** for fast, easy and secure 24/7 online access to your future proxy materials, investment plan statements, tax documents and more. Simply log on to **Investor ServiceDirect®** at www.bnymellon.com/shareowner/equityaccess where step-by-step instructions will prompt you through enrollment.

You can view the 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders and the 2011

Proxy Statement on the internet at <http://proxyonline.mt.com>

FOLD AND DETACH HERE

PROXY

METTLER-TOLEDO INTERNATIONAL INC.

Proxy for Annual Meeting of Shareholders

May 4, 2011

This proxy is solicited on behalf of Mettler-Toledo International Inc. s Board of Directors

The undersigned hereby appoints Robert F. Spoerry and William P. Donnelly, and each of them, proxies for the undersigned, with full power of substitution, to represent and to vote all shares of Mettler-Toledo International Inc. common stock which the undersigned may be entitled to vote at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Mettler-Toledo International Inc. to be held in New York, New York on Wednesday, May 4, 2011 at 8:00 a.m., or at any adjournment thereof, upon the matters set forth on the reverse side and described in the accompanying proxy statement and upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Please mark this proxy as indicated on the reverse side to vote on any item. If you wish to vote in accordance with the Board of Directors recommendations, please sign the reverse side; no boxes need to be checked. IF THIS PROXY IS SIGNED BUT NO SPECIFICATION IS MADE, THE PROXY SHALL BE VOTED FOR ITEMS 1, 2, 3 AND 4, AND VOTED 3 YEARS FOR ITEM 5 in their discretion, and the appointed proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Address Change/Comments
(Mark the corresponding box on the
reverse side)

BNY MELLON SHAREOWNER SERVICES
P.O. BOX 3550
SOUTH HACKENSACK, NJ 07606-9250

(continued and to be signed on other side)