

NACCO INDUSTRIES INC

Form 10-Q

August 04, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-9172

NACCO INDUSTRIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

34-1505819

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5875 LANDERBROOK DRIVE, CLEVELAND, OHIO

44124-4069

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip code)

(440) 449-9600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES **NO**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES **NO**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting
company

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES NO

Number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding at July 30, 2010: 6,735,232

Number of shares of Class B Common Stock outstanding at July 30, 2010: 1,596,396

NACCO INDUSTRIES, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page Number |
|---|--|
| <u>Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>Financial Statements</u> |
| | <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u> 2 |
| | <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> 3 |
| | <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> 4 |
| | <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> 5 |
| | <u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> 6 22 |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 23 50 |
| <u>Item 3</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 51 |
| <u>Item 4</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> 51 |
| <u>Part II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> 51 |
| <u>Item 1A</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> 51 |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 51 |
| <u>Item 3</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> 51 |
| <u>Item 4</u> | <u>Reserved</u> 51 |
| <u>Item 5</u> | <u>Other Information</u> 51 |
| <u>Item 6</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> 51 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 52 |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | 53 |

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32

Table of Contents

Part I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1. Financial Statements
NACCO INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | JUNE 30 | DECEMBER |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 31 |
| | | 2009 |
| | (In millions, except share data) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 270.0 | \$ 256.2 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 314.9 | 315.0 |
| Inventories, net | 335.9 | 336.7 |
| Deferred income taxes | 23.0 | 23.4 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 72.9 | 35.0 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | | 1.3 |
| Total Current Assets | 1,016.7 | 967.6 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, Net | 277.0 | 323.9 |
| Coal Supply Agreement and Other Intangibles, Net | 61.4 | 63.5 |
| Long-term Deferred Income Taxes | 12.6 | 11.8 |
| Other Non-current Assets | 114.5 | 121.9 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,482.2 | \$ 1,488.7 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 305.7 | \$ 271.7 |
| Revolving credit agreements not guaranteed by the parent company | 24.5 | 9.5 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt not guaranteed by the parent company | 22.7 | 22.4 |
| Accrued payroll | 43.9 | 44.3 |
| Accrued warranty | 24.7 | 27.9 |
| Deferred revenue | 10.2 | 12.5 |
| Other current liabilities | 91.1 | 89.1 |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | | 1.9 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 522.8 | 479.3 |
| Long-term Debt not guaranteed by the parent company | 367.7 | 377.6 |
| Pension and other Postretirement Obligations | 81.2 | 98.5 |
| Other Long-term Liabilities | 123.2 | 136.2 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,094.9 | 1,091.6 |
| Stockholders Equity | | |

Edgar Filing: NACCO INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock:

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Class A, par value \$1 per share, 6,735,232 shares outstanding (2009 - 6,694,380 shares outstanding) | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| Class B, par value \$1 per share, convertible into Class A on a one-for-one basis, 1,596,396 shares outstanding (2009 - 1,599,356 shares outstanding) | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 17.5 | 16.1 |
| Retained earnings | 432.2 | 413.3 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss): | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 8.8 | 34.8 |
| Deferred gain (loss) on cash flow hedging | (3.4) | 3.5 |
| Pension and postretirement plan adjustment | (76.6) | (79.4) |
| Total Stockholders Equity | 386.8 | 396.6 |
| Noncontrolling Interest | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Total Equity | 387.3 | 397.1 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 1,482.2 | \$ 1,488.7 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NACCO INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | | SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (In millions, except per share data) | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 598.8 | \$ 540.5 | \$ 1,156.4 | \$ 1,095.2 |
| Cost of sales | 475.7 | 447.7 | 927.6 | 916.5 |
| Gross Profit | 123.1 | 92.8 | 228.8 | 178.7 |
| Earnings of unconsolidated mines | 9.5 | 9.8 | 20.0 | 20.3 |
| Operating Expenses | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 104.8 | 88.3 | 198.8 | 186.3 |
| Restructuring charges (reversals) | | 1.5 | (1.9) | 2.2 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of assets | 0.5 | (0.5) | 0.4 | (2.2) |
| | 105.3 | 89.3 | 197.3 | 186.3 |
| Operating Profit | 27.3 | 13.3 | 51.5 | 12.7 |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest expense | (6.9) | (7.8) | (13.7) | (16.0) |
| Unsuccessful merger costs | (4.5) | (0.2) | (6.9) | (0.8) |
| Other | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| | (10.4) | (7.2) | (19.6) | (15.1) |
| Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes | 16.9 | 6.1 | 31.9 | (2.4) |
| Income tax provision | 0.9 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 5.6 |
| Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations | 16.0 | 1.1 | 27.6 | (8.0) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | | 0.3 | | 0.3 |
| Net Income (Loss) | 16.0 | 1.4 | 27.6 | (7.7) |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | (0.1) | 0.2 | | 0.2 |
| Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Stockholders | \$ 15.9 | \$ 1.6 | \$ 27.6 | \$ (7.5) |
| Amounts Attributable to Stockholders | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | \$ 15.9 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 27.6 | \$ (7.8) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | | 0.3 | | 0.3 |
| Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Stockholders | \$ 15.9 | \$ 1.6 | \$ 27.6 | \$ (7.5) |
| Comprehensive Income (Loss) | \$ 2.3 | \$ 35.6 | \$ (2.5) | \$ 23.7 |

Basic Earnings (Loss) per Share Attributable to Stockholders:

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.91 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 3.32 | \$ (0.94) |
| Discontinued operations | | 0.04 | | 0.04 |

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Basic Earnings (Loss) per Share | \$ 1.91 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 3.32 | \$ (0.90) |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|

Diluted Earnings (Loss) per Share Attributable to Stockholders:

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.91 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 3.31 | \$ (0.94) |
| Discontinued operations | | 0.04 | | 0.04 |

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Diluted Earnings (Loss) per Share | \$ 1.91 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 3.31 | \$ (0.90) |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Dividends per Share | \$ 0.5225 | \$ 0.5175 | \$ 1.0400 | \$ 1.0325 |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding | 8.331 | 8.289 | 8.325 | 8.288 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding | 8.338 | 8.294 | 8.334 | 8.288 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NACCO INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 27.6 | \$ (7.7) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 26.2 | 25.5 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Deferred income taxes | 3.7 | 11.4 |
| Restructuring charges (reversals) | (1.9) | 2.2 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of assets | 0.4 | (2.2) |
| Other non-current liabilities | (18.1) | (16.0) |
| Other | (3.2) | (10.5) |
| Working capital changes, excluding the effect of business dispositions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (14.3) | 155.6 |
| Inventories | (12.9) | 118.6 |
| Other current assets | (10.1) | (4.1) |
| Accounts payable | 49.8 | (164.2) |
| Other current liabilities | (8.9) | (68.5) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities continuing operations | 39.4 | 41.1 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities discontinued operations | | 0.8 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 39.4 | 41.9 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Expenditures for property, plant and equipment | (10.4) | (16.1) |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets | 4.2 | 12.6 |
| Other | (1.1) | (1.5) |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (7.3) | (5.0) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Additions to long-term debt | 4.6 | 5.8 |
| Reductions of long-term debt | (16.1) | (25.4) |
| Net additions to revolving credit agreements | 15.3 | 28.1 |
| Cash dividends paid | (8.7) | (8.6) |
| Financing fees paid | (2.9) | |
| Other | 0.1 | (0.2) |
| Net cash used for financing activities continuing operations | (7.7) | (0.3) |
| Net cash used for financing activities discontinued operations | | (0.1) |

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Net cash used for financing activities | (7.7) | (0.4) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | (10.6) | 3.9 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | | |
| Increase for the period | 13.8 | 40.4 |
| Balance at the beginning of the period | 256.2 | 138.3 |
| Balance at the end of the period | \$ 270.0 | \$ 178.7 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NACCO INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| | SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (In millions, except per share data) | |
| Stockholders Equity: | | |
| Class A Common Stock | \$ 6.7 | \$ 6.7 |
| Class B Common Stock | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Capital in Excess of Par Value | | |
| Beginning balance | 16.1 | 14.4 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| Shares issued under stock compensation plans | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Noncontrolling interest share of contributions to joint venture | | (0.4) |
| | 17.5 | 14.4 |
| Retained Earnings | | |
| Beginning balance | 413.3 | 399.3 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to stockholders | 27.6 | (7.5) |
| Cash dividends on Class A and Class B common stock: | | |
| 2010 \$1.0400 per share | (8.7) | |
| 2009 \$1.0325 per share | | (8.6) |
| | 432.2 | 383.2 |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | | |
| Beginning balance | (41.1) | (65.3) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (26.0) | 10.4 |
| Reclassification of hedging activity into earnings | (5.0) | 2.5 |
| Current period cash flow hedging activity | (1.9) | 16.1 |
| Current period pension and postretirement plan activity | (0.1) | |
| Reclassification of pension and postretirement activities into earnings | 2.9 | 2.2 |
| | (71.2) | (34.1) |
| Total Stockholders Equity | 386.8 | 371.8 |
| Noncontrolling Interest | | |
| Beginning balance | 0.5 | 0.2 |

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Net loss | | (0.2) |
| Noncontrolling interest share of contributions to joint venture | | 0.4 |
| Total Noncontrolling Interest | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Total Equity | \$ 387.3 | \$ 372.2 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NACCO INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2010

(Tabular Amounts in Millions, Except Per Share and Percentage Data)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of NACCO Industries, Inc. (the parent company or NACCO) and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively, NACCO Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries or the Company). Intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation. Also included is Shanghai Hyster Forklift Ltd., a 73% owned joint venture of NMHG Holding Co. (NMHG) in China. The Company s subsidiaries operate in the following principal industries: lift trucks, small appliances, specialty retail and mining. The Company manages its subsidiaries primarily by industry.

NMHG designs, engineers, manufactures, sells, services and leases a comprehensive line of lift trucks and aftermarket parts marketed globally under the Hyster® and Yale® brand names, primarily to independent and wholly owned Hyster® and Yale® retail dealerships. Lift trucks and component parts are manufactured in the United States, Brazil, Mexico, Northern Ireland, The Netherlands, Italy, China, Japan, the Philippines and Vietnam. Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc. (HBB) is a leading designer, marketer and distributor of small electric household appliances, as well as commercial products for restaurants, bars and hotels. The Kitchen Collection, Inc. (KC) is a national specialty retailer of kitchenware and gourmet foods operating under the Kitchen Collection® and Le Gourmet Chef® store names in outlet and traditional malls throughout the United States. The North American Coal Corporation and its affiliated coal companies (collectively, NACoal) mine and market coal primarily as fuel for power generation and provide selected value-added mining services for other natural resources companies.

During 2009, NACoal completed the sale of certain assets of the Red River Mining Company (Red River). The financial position, results of operations and cash flows of Red River are reflected as discontinued operations in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position of the Company as of June 30, 2010 and the results of its operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and the results of its cash flows and changes in equity for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 have been included. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The balance sheet at December 31, 2009 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information or notes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the remainder of the year ending December 31, 2010. Because the HBB and KC businesses are seasonal, a majority of revenues and operating profit typically occurs in the second half of the calendar year when sales of small electric household appliances to retailers and consumers increase significantly for the fall holiday-selling season. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Note 2 Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Accounting Standards Adopted in 2010:

On January 1, 2010, the Company adopted authoritative guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) for accounting for transfers of financial assets. The guidance requires more information about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and where entities have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. The guidance eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. The guidance also requires enhanced disclosures to provide

financial statement users with greater transparency about transfers of financial assets and a transferor's continuing involvement with transferred financial assets. The adoption of the guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, cash flows or related disclosures.

On January 1, 2010, the Company adopted authoritative guidance issued by the FASB on the consolidation of variable interest entities. The guidance changes how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The guidance requires an ongoing assessment of whether an entity is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity and eliminates the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. The guidance also requires additional disclosures regarding a company's involvement with variable interest entities, any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement and how the company's involvement with a variable interest entity affects the company's financial statements. The adoption of the

Table of Contents

guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, cash flows or related disclosures.

Accounting Standards Not Yet Adopted:

In October 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements, which is effective for the Company on January 1, 2011 for new revenue arrangements or material modifications to existing agreements. The guidance amends the criteria for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements. This guidance establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable, which is based on: (a) vendor-specific objective evidence; (b) third-party evidence; or (c) estimates. This guidance also eliminates the residual method of allocation and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. In addition, this guidance significantly expands required disclosures related to a vendor's multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. The Company is currently evaluating the effect the adoption of the guidance will have on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows and related disclosures.

In July 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses, which is effective for the Company on December 31, 2010. The guidance requires additional disclosures that facilitate financial statement users' evaluation of: (a) the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity's portfolio of financing receivables; (b) how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses; and (c) the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. In addition, the guidance amends current requirements to include additional disclosures about financing receivables, including: (a) credit quality indicators of financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables; (b) the aging of past due financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables; and (c) the nature and extent of troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the period by class of financing receivables and their effect on the allowance for credit losses. The Company is currently evaluating the effect the adoption of the guidance will have on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows and related disclosures.

Reclassifications: Certain amounts in the prior periods' unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

Note 3 Restructuring and Related Programs

During 2009, NMHG's management approved a plan to close its facility in Modena, Italy and consolidate its activities into NMHG's facility in Masate, Italy. These actions were taken to further reduce NMHG's manufacturing capacity to more appropriate levels. As a result, NMHG recognized a charge of approximately \$5.6 million during 2009. Of this amount, \$5.3 million related to severance and \$0.3 million related to lease impairment. During the first six months of 2010, \$1.9 million of the accrual was reversed as a result of a reduction in the expected amount to be paid to former employees due to the finalization of an agreement with the Italian government. Severance payments of \$0.6 million were made during the first six months of 2010. Payments related to this restructuring program are expected to continue through 2012. No further charges related to this plan are expected.

During 2008 and 2009, based on the decline in economic conditions, NMHG's management reduced its number of employees worldwide. As a result, NMHG recognized a charge of approximately \$6.3 million in 2008 and \$3.4 million in 2009 related to severance. In addition, \$1.1 million of the accrual was reversed during 2009 as a result of a reduction in the expected amount paid to employees. Severance payments of \$1.1 million were made during the first six months of 2010. Payments are expected to continue through the remainder of 2010. NMHG continues to evaluate the appropriate size of its workforce worldwide to align with current market demand for lift trucks in response to the decline in economic conditions.

During 2009, NMHG's management approved a plan for a reduction in the number of employees in Asia-Pacific due to the sale of certain assets of NMHG's fleet services business and wholly owned Hyster® retail dealerships in Australia. As a result, NMHG recognized a charge of approximately \$2.7 million during 2009. Of this amount, \$2.1 million related to severance, \$0.5 million related to lease termination costs and \$0.1 million related to other costs of the restructuring. In addition, \$0.8 million of the severance accrual was reversed during 2009 as a result of a reduction in the expected number of employees receiving severance payments. Payments of \$0.4 million and \$0.1 million were

made for lease termination and other costs, respectively, during the first six months of 2010. Payments related to this restructuring are expected to continue through the remainder of 2010. No further charges related to this plan are expected.

Table of Contents

Following is the detail of the cash charges related to the NMHG programs:

| | Total charges expected to be incurred | Total charges incurred through December 31, 2009 | Reversals in the six months ended June 30, 2010 |
|---------------------------|---|--|---|
| NMHG Americas | | | |
| Severance | \$ 3.3 | \$ 3.3 | \$ |
| | \$ 3.3 | \$ 3.3 | \$ |
| NMHG Europe | | | |
| Severance | \$ 7.6 | \$ 9.5 | \$ (1.9) |
| Lease termination | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| | \$ 7.9 | \$ 9.8 | \$ (1.9) |
| NMHG Other | | | |
| Severance | \$ 2.4 | \$ 2.4 | \$ |
| Lease termination | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| | \$ 3.0 | \$ 3.0 | \$ |
| Total charges (reversals) | \$ 14.2 | \$ 16.1 | \$ (1.9) |

Following is the activity related to the liability for the NMHG programs. Amounts for severance expected to be paid within one year are included on the line *Accrued Payroll* and amounts for severance expected to be paid after one year are included on the line *Other Long-term Liabilities* in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Amounts for lease impairment and other are included in *Other current liabilities* in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| | Severance | Lease Impairment | Other | Total |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | \$ 7.9 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 8.8 |
| Reversal | (1.9) | | | (1.9) |
| Payments | (1.7) | (0.4) | (0.1) | (2.2) |
| Foreign currency effect | (0.8) | (0.1) | | (0.9) |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | \$ 3.5 | \$ 0.3 | \$ | \$ 3.8 |

Table of Contents**Note 4 Inventories**

Inventories are summarized as follows:

| | JUNE 30 2010 | DECEMBER 31 2009 |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Manufactured inventories: | | |
| Finished goods and service parts - NMHG | \$ 107.8 | \$ 110.0 |
| Raw materials and work in process - NMHG | 124.5 | 116.1 |
| Total manufactured inventories | 232.3 | 226.1 |
| Sourced inventories: | | |
| HBB | 70.1 | 67.0 |
| Retail inventories: | | |
| NMHG | 7.5 | 15.9 |
| KC | 51.3 | 57.0 |
| Total retail inventories | 58.8 | 72.9 |
| Total inventories at FIFO | 361.2 | 366.0 |
| Coal NACoal | 8.1 | 5.0 |
| Mining supplies NACoal | 10.3 | 11.2 |
| Total inventories at weighted average | 18.4 | 16.2 |
| NMHG LIFO reserve | (43.7) | (45.5) |
| | \$ 335.9 | \$ 336.7 |

The cost of certain manufactured and retail inventories at NMHG, including service parts, has been determined using the last-in-first-out (LIFO) method. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, 32% and 35%, respectively, of total inventories were determined using the LIFO method. An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method can be made only at the end of the year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations must be based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory levels and costs. Because these estimates are subject to change and may be different than the actual inventory levels and costs at the end of the year, interim results are subject to the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, reductions in LIFO inventories at NMHG resulted in liquidations of LIFO inventory layers carried at lower costs compared with current year purchases. The income statement effect of such liquidations on Cost of sales during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was a benefit of \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. This compares with a benefit of \$3.9 million and \$6.5 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents**Note 5 Current and Long-Term Financing**

On June 30, 2010, NMHG entered into an amended and restated credit agreement for a \$150.0 million secured revolving line of credit (the NMHG Facility). The obligations under the NMHG Facility are secured by a first lien on the cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and inventory of NMHG. The approximate book value of NMHG's assets held as collateral under the NMHG Facility was \$455 million as of June 30, 2010.

Borrowings bear interest at a floating rate which can be a base rate or LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate) plus a margin. The applicable margins are based on the average quarterly availability, as defined in the NMHG Facility. The applicable margins, effective June 30, 2010, for domestic base rate loans and LIBOR loans were 2.25% and 3.25%, respectively. The applicable margin, effective June 30, 2010, for foreign overdraft loans was 3.50%. The NMHG Facility also requires the payment of a fee of 0.75% per annum on the unused commitment.

The maximum availability under the NMHG Facility is governed by a borrowing base derived from advance rates against the inventory and accounts receivable of the borrowers, as defined in the NMHG Facility. Adjustments to reserves booked against these assets, including inventory reserves, will change the eligible borrowing base and thereby impact the liquidity provided by the NMHG Facility. A portion of the availability can be denominated in British pounds or euros. At June 30, 2010, the excess availability under the NMHG Facility was \$58.3 million, which reflects underlying collateral availability of \$108.0 million reduced by a \$10.0 million excess availability requirement, \$20.8 million for a foreign credit facility commitment in Australia, \$10.7 million in Europe for a reserve for preferential claims and \$8.2 million for letters of credit. If commitments or availability under these facilities are increased, availability under the NMHG Facility will be reduced.

There were no borrowings outstanding under the NMHG Facility at June 30, 2010. The domestic and foreign floating rates of interest applicable to the NMHG Facility on June 30, 2010 were 5.50% and a range of 4.50% to 5.44%, respectively, including the applicable floating rate margin.

In addition, the NMHG Facility includes restrictive covenants, which, among other things, limit the payment of dividends to NACCO. Subject to achieving availability thresholds, dividends to NACCO are limited to the larger of \$5.0 million or 50% of the preceding year's net income for NMHG. The NMHG Facility also requires NMHG to maintain a minimum excess availability during the term of the agreement and achieve a maximum leverage ratio and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, in certain circumstances, as defined in the NMHG Facility. The NMHG Facility expires in June 2014.

NMHG incurred fees and expenses of \$3.0 million in the second quarter of 2010 related to the amended and restated NMHG Facility. These fees were deferred and are being amortized as interest expense over the term of the NMHG Facility.

On April 29, 2010, KC entered into a credit agreement for a three-year, \$25.0 million secured revolving line of credit (the KC Facility), which replaced the prior facility. The KC Facility will automatically increase to \$30.0 million within twelve months. The obligations under the KC Facility are secured by substantially all assets of KC. The approximate book value of KC's assets held as collateral under the KC Facility was \$70 million as of June 30, 2010. Borrowings bear interest at a floating rate, which can be either a base rate or LIBOR, plus a margin based on the excess availability under the agreement, as defined in the KC Facility. The applicable margins, effective June 30, 2010, for base rate and LIBOR loans were 1.75% and 2.75%, respectively. The floating rate of interest applicable to the KC Facility was 3.33% at June 30, 2010, including the floating rate margin. The KC Facility also requires a fee of 0.50% per annum on the unused commitment.

The availability is derived from a borrowing base formula using KC's eligible inventory and eligible credit card accounts receivable, as defined in the KC Facility. At June 30, 2010, the borrowing base was \$22.5 million.

Borrowings outstanding under the KC Facility were \$8.0 million at June 30, 2010. Therefore, at June 30, 2010, the excess availability under the KC Facility was \$14.5 million.

The KC Facility allows for the payment of dividends to NACCO, subject to certain restrictions based on availability and meeting a fixed charge coverage ratio, as described in the KC Facility. The KC Facility expires in April 2013 with an option to extend for an additional year, subject to the lender's consent.

KC incurred fees and expenses of \$0.4 million in the second quarter of 2010 related to the amended and restated KC Facility. These fees were deferred and are being amortized as interest expense over the term of the KC Facility.

Table of Contents**Note 6 Financial Instruments and Derivative Financial Instruments****Financial Instruments**

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturities of these instruments. The fair values of revolving credit agreements and long-term debt, excluding capital leases, were determined using current rates offered for similar obligations taking into account subsidiary credit risk. At June 30, 2010, the fair value of revolving credit agreements and long-term debt, excluding capital leases, was \$371.0 million compared with the book value of \$411.7 million. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of revolving credit agreements and long-term debt, excluding capital leases, was \$341.5 million compared with the book value of \$403.5 million.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses forward foreign currency exchange contracts to partially reduce risks related to transactions denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts hedge firm commitments and forecasted transactions relating to cash flows associated with sales and purchases denominated in currencies other than the subsidiaries' functional currencies. Changes in the fair value of forward foreign currency exchange contracts that are effective as hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI). Deferred gains or losses are reclassified from OCI to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations in the same period as the gains or losses from the underlying transactions are recorded and are generally recognized in cost of sales. The ineffective portion of derivatives that are classified as hedges is immediately recognized in earnings and generally recognized in cost of sales.

The Company uses interest rate swap agreements to partially reduce risks related to floating rate financing agreements that are subject to changes in the market rate of interest. Terms of the interest rate swap agreements require the Company to receive a variable interest rate and pay a fixed interest rate. The Company's interest rate swap agreements and its variable rate financings are predominately based upon the three-month and six-month LIBOR. Changes in the fair value of interest rate swap agreements that are effective as hedges are recorded in OCI. Deferred gains or losses are reclassified from OCI to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations in the same period as the gains or losses from the underlying transactions are recorded and are generally recognized in interest expense. The ineffective portion of derivatives that are classified as hedges is immediately recognized in earnings and included on the line "Other" in the "Other income (expense)" section of the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Interest rate swap agreements and forward foreign currency exchange contracts held by the Company have been designated as hedges of forecasted cash flows. The Company does not currently hold any nonderivative instruments designated as hedges or any derivatives designated as fair value hedges.

The Company periodically enters into foreign currency exchange contracts that do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting. These derivatives are used to reduce the Company's exposure to foreign currency risk related to forecasted purchase or sales transactions or forecasted intercompany cash payments or settlements. Gains and losses on these derivatives are included on the line "Cost of sales" or "Other" in the "Other income (expense)" section of the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Cash flows from hedging activities are reported in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in the same classification as the hedged item, generally as a component of cash flows from operations.

The Company measures its derivatives at fair value on a recurring basis using significant observable inputs, which is Level 2 as defined in the fair value hierarchy. The Company uses a present value technique that incorporates the LIBOR swap curve, foreign currency spot rates and foreign currency forward rates to value its derivatives, including its interest rate swap agreements and foreign currency exchange contracts, and also incorporates the effect of its subsidiary and counterparty credit risk into the valuation. The fair value of derivative assets was \$4.4 million and \$1.4 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The fair value of derivative liabilities was \$20.8 million and \$22.3 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Foreign Currency Derivatives: NMHG and HBB held forward foreign currency exchange contracts with total notional amounts of \$145.4 million and \$24.0 million, respectively, at June 30, 2010, primarily denominated in euros, Canadian dollars, British pounds, Japanese yen, Swedish kroner, Mexican pesos and Australian dollars. NMHG and

HBB held forward foreign currency exchange contracts with total notional amounts of \$173.0 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, at December 31, 2009, primarily denominated in British pounds, euros, Japanese yen, Australian dollars, Canadian dollars, Swedish kroner and Mexican pesos. The fair value of these contracts approximated a net asset of \$3.2 million and a net liability of \$0.1 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Forward foreign currency exchange contracts that qualify for hedge accounting are used to hedge transactions expected to occur within the next twelve months. The mark-to-market effect of forward foreign currency exchange contracts that are considered effective as hedges has been included in OCI. Based on market valuations at June 30, 2010, \$8.1 million of the amount included in OCI at June 30, 2010 is expected to be reclassified as income into the Consolidated Statement of Operations over the next twelve months, as the transactions occur.

Table of Contents

Interest Rate Derivatives: The following table summarizes the notional amounts, related rates and remaining terms of interest rate swap agreements active at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

| | Notional Amount | | Average Fixed Rate | | Remaining Term at June 30, 2010 |
|--------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | June 30 2010 | December 31 2009 | June 30 2010 | December 31 2009 | |
| NMHG | \$ 206.5 | \$ 206.5 | 4.5% | 4.3% | Various, extending to May 2012 |
| HBB | \$ 89.0 | \$ 84.0 | 4.8% | 4.7% | Various, extending to May 2012 |
| NACoal | \$ | \$ 15.0 | 0.0% | 5.7% | No active agreements |

In addition to the interest rate swap agreements reflected in the table, at June 30, 2010, NMHG holds certain contracts that begin on various dates starting in May 2011 and extend to various dates through February 2013. These contracts increase the notional amount to \$311.0 million at June 30, 2010, but the amount outstanding at any one time will not exceed the balance of the NMHG term loan agreement. In addition to the interest rate swap agreements reflected in the table, at June 30, 2010, HBB holds certain contracts that begin on various dates starting in June 2011 and extend to various dates through June 2013. These contracts increase the notional amount to \$139.0 million at June 30, 2010, but the amount outstanding at any one time will not exceed the balance of the HBB term loan agreement. The fair value of all interest rate swap agreements was a net liability of \$19.6 million and \$20.8 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The mark-to-market effect of interest rate swap agreements that are considered effective as hedges has been included in OCI. Based on market valuations at June 30, 2010, \$6.5 million of the amount included in OCI is expected to be reclassified as expense into the Consolidated Statement of Operations over the next twelve months, as cash flow payments are made in accordance with the interest rate swap agreements.

NMHG: NMHG has interest rate swap agreements that hedge interest payments on the NMHG term loan agreement. The interest rate swap agreements held by NMHG on June 30, 2010 are expected to continue to be effective as hedges.
HBB: HBB has interest rate swap agreements that hedge interest payments on the HBB term loan agreement. The interest rate swap agreements held by HBB on June 30, 2010 are expected to continue to be effective as hedges.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the fair value of derivative instruments reflected on a gross basis at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 as recorded in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

| | Asset Derivatives | | | Liability Derivatives | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Balance sheet location | June 30 2010 Fair value | December 31 2009 Fair value | Balance sheet location | June 30 2010 Fair value | December 31 2009 Fair value |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | | | | | | |
| Current | Other current liabilities | \$ | \$ | Other current liabilities | \$ 7.1 | \$ 4.8 |
| Long-term | Other long-term liabilities | | | Other long-term liabilities | 12.5 | 15.8 |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | | | | | | |
| Current | Prepaid expenses and other current liabilities | 6.1 | 1.9 | Prepaid expenses and other current liabilities | 1.8 | 0.2 |
| | Other current liabilities | 1.4 | 0.6 | Other current liabilities | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | \$ 7.5 | \$ 2.5 | | \$ 24.0 | \$ 23.0 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | | | | | | |
| Current | Other current liabilities | \$ | \$ | Other current liabilities | \$ | \$ 0.2 |

Foreign currency exchange contracts

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------|--------|----------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Current | Prepaid expenses and other | 0.2 | | Prepaid expenses and other | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| | Other current liabilities | | 0.2 | Other current liabilities | | 0.1 |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | \$ 0.2 | \$ 0.2 | | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.6 |
| Total derivatives | | \$ 7.7 | \$ 2.7 | | \$ 24.1 | \$ 23.6 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the pre-tax impact of derivative instruments for the three and six months ended June 30 as recorded in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations:

| | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on | | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from (Effectiveness) | | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | | Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | | Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from OCI into Income (Effectiveness Testing) | | Location of Gain or (Loss) Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|--|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| | THREE MONTHS 2010 | SIX MONTHS 2009 | THREE MONTHS 2010 | SIX MONTHS 2009 | THREE MONTHS 2010 | SIX MONTHS 2009 | THREE MONTHS 2010 | SIX MONTHS 2009 | THREE MONTHS 2010 | SIX MONTHS 2009 | THREE MONTHS 2010 | SIX MONTHS 2009 |
| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | \$ (2.8) | \$ 1.6 | \$ (5.0) | \$ 0.3 | \$ (3.0) | \$ (2.5) | \$ (5.9) | \$ (4.6) | N/A | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | 2.3 | 9.1 | 0.9 | 13.6 | 4.4 | | 8.6 | 0.7 | N/A | | | |
| Total gain (loss) | \$ (0.5) | \$ 10.7 | \$ (4.1) | \$ 13.9 | \$ 1.4 | \$ (2.5) | \$ 2.7 | \$ (3.9) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Location of Gain or

Edgar Filing: NACCO INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

| | (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative Other | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | | | |
|---|---|--|--------|---------------|--------|
| | | THREE MONTHS | | SIX MONTHS | |
| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest rate swap agreements | | \$ | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 0.3 |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | Cost of sales or Other | 0.1 | 0.8 | (0.1) | 0.2 |
| Total gain | | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.9 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.5 |

Table of Contents**Note 7 Unconsolidated Subsidiaries and Equity Investments**

Seven of NACoal's wholly owned subsidiaries, The Coteau Properties Company, The Falkirk Mining Company, The Sabine Mining Company (collectively, the project mining subsidiaries), Demery Resources Company, LLC (Demery), Caddo Creek Resources Company, LLC (Caddo Creek), Camino Real Fuels, LLC (Camino Real) and Liberty Fuels Company, LLC (Liberty) each meet the definition of a variable interest entity. The project mining subsidiaries were developed between 1974 and 1981 and operate lignite coal mines under long-term contracts with various utility customers. The contracts with the project mining subsidiaries' utility customers allow each mine to sell lignite coal at a price based on actual cost plus an agreed pre-tax profit per ton. These project mining subsidiaries are capitalized primarily with debt financing, which the utility customers have arranged and guaranteed. The obligations of the project mining subsidiaries are without recourse to NACCO and NACoal. Demery, Caddo Creek, Camino Real and Liberty were formed during 2008 and 2009 to develop, construct and operate surface mines under long-term contracts. The contracts with the customers of these mines allow for reimbursement of all costs plus a management fee. The taxes resulting from earnings of these seven entities are solely the responsibility of the Company. Although NACoal owns 100% of the stock and manages the daily operations of these entities, the Company has determined that the equity capital provided by NACoal is not sufficient to adequately finance the ongoing activities or absorb any expected losses without additional support from the customers. The customers have a controlling financial interest and have the power to direct the activities that most significantly affect the economic performance of the entities. As a result, NACoal is not the primary beneficiary and therefore does not consolidate these entities' financial position or results of operations. The pre-tax income from the unconsolidated mines is reported on the line Earnings of unconsolidated mines in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, with related taxes included in the provision for income taxes. The Company has included the pre-tax earnings of the unconsolidated mines above operating profit as they are an integral component of the Company's business and operating results. The investment in the unconsolidated mines and related tax asset was \$19.1 million and \$17.3 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, and is included on the line Other Non-current Assets in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company's maximum risk of loss relating to these entities is limited to its invested capital, which was \$3.6 million and \$3.5 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Summarized financial information for the unconsolidated mines is as follows:

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | | SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | \$ 109.3 | \$ 109.3 | \$ 221.1 | \$ 204.4 |
| Gross profit | \$ 16.4 | \$ 15.4 | \$ 34.3 | \$ 31.3 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 9.5 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 20.0 | \$ 20.3 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 7.1 | \$ 7.7 | \$ 15.2 | \$ 15.9 |
| Net income | \$ 7.1 | \$ 7.7 | \$ 15.2 | \$ 15.9 |

NMHG has a 20% ownership interest in NMHG Financial Services, Inc. (NFS), a joint venture with GE Capital Corporation (GECC), formed primarily for the purpose of providing financial services to independent Hyster® and Yale® lift truck dealers and National Account customers in the United States. NMHG's ownership in NFS is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. NFS is considered a variable interest entity; however, the Company has concluded that NMHG is not the primary beneficiary. NMHG does not consider its variable interest in NFS to be significant.

NMHG has a 50% ownership interest in Sumitomo NACCO Materials Handling Company, Ltd. (SN), a limited liability company which was formed primarily for the manufacture and distribution of Sumitomo-Yale- and Shinko-branded lift trucks in Japan and the export of Hyster®- and Yale®-branded lift trucks and related components and service parts outside of Japan. NMHG purchases products from SN under normal trade terms based on current market prices. NMHG's ownership in SN is also accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

The Company's percentage share of the net income or loss from its equity investments in NFS and SN are reported on the line "Other" in the "Other income (expense)" section of the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company's equity investments are included on the line "Other Non-current Assets" in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, NMHG's investment in NFS was \$11.3 million and \$13.9 million, respectively, and NMHG's investment in SN was \$26.0 million and \$25.8 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Summarized financial information for these two NMHG equity investments is as follows:

| | THREE MONTHS | | SIX MONTHS | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|------------|----------|
| | ENDED | | ENDED | |
| | JUNE 30 | | JUNE 30 | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | \$ 80.7 | \$ 71.5 | \$ 157.1 | \$ 142.5 |
| Gross profit | \$ 24.3 | \$ 21.1 | \$ 46.3 | \$ 41.7 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 2.3 | \$ 1.0 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.1 |
| Net income | \$ 2.3 | \$ 1.0 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.1 |

Note 8 Guarantees and Contingencies

Various legal and regulatory proceedings and claims have been or may be asserted against NACCO and certain subsidiaries relating to the conduct of their businesses, including product liability, environmental and other claims. These proceedings and claims are incidental to the ordinary course of business of the Company. Management believes that it has meritorious defenses and will vigorously defend the Company in these actions. Any costs that management estimates will be paid as a result of these claims are accrued when the liability is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Although the ultimate disposition of these proceedings is not presently determinable, management believes, after consultation with its legal counsel, that the likelihood is remote that material costs will be incurred in excess of accruals already recognized.

Under various financing arrangements for certain customers, including independently owned retail dealerships, NMHG provides guarantees of the residual values of lift trucks or recourse or repurchase obligations such that NMHG would be obligated in the event of default by the customer. Terms of the third-party financing arrangements for which NMHG is providing a guarantee generally range from one to five years. Total guarantees and amounts subject to standby recourse or repurchase obligations at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were \$189.2 million and \$140.2 million, respectively. The increase from December 31, 2009 is primarily related to the guarantees entered into in conjunction with the sale of certain Australian operations during the first six months of 2010. As of June 30, 2010, losses anticipated under the terms of the guarantees, standby recourse or repurchase obligations were \$5.2 million and reserves have been provided for such losses based on historical experience in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. In such circumstances, NMHG generally retains a security interest in the related assets financed such that, in the event NMHG would become obligated under the terms of the guarantees, standby recourse or repurchase obligations, NMHG would take title to the assets financed. The fair value of collateral held at June 30, 2010 was approximately \$214.9 million based on Company estimates. The Company estimates the fair value of the collateral using information regarding the original sales price, the current age of the equipment and general market conditions that influence the value of both new and used lift trucks. The Company also regularly monitors the external credit ratings of the entities in which it has provided guarantees, standby recourse or repurchase obligations. As of June 30, 2010, the Company does not believe there is a significant risk of non-payment or non-performance of the obligations by these entities; however, based upon the economic environment, there can be no assurance that the risk may not increase in the future. In addition, NMHG has an agreement with GECC to limit its exposure to losses at certain eligible dealers. Under this agreement, losses related to \$36.9 million of guarantees for these certain eligible dealers are limited to 7.5% of their original loan balance, or \$13.2 million as of June 30, 2010. The \$36.9 million is included in the \$189.2 million of total amounts subject to guarantees, standby recourse or repurchase obligations at June 30, 2010.

Generally, NMHG sells lift trucks through its independent dealer network or directly to customers. These dealers and customers may enter into a financing transaction with NFS or other unrelated third parties. NFS provides debt financing to dealers and lease financing to both dealers and customers. On occasion, the credit quality of a customer or credit concentration issues within GECC may necessitate providing guarantees, standby recourse or repurchase obligations of the lift trucks purchased by customers and financed through NFS. At June 30, 2010, approximately \$92.3 million of the Company's total guarantees, standby recourse or repurchase obligations of \$189.2 million related to transactions with NFS. In addition, in connection with the joint venture agreement, NMHG also provides a

guarantee to GECC for 20% of NFS debt with GECC, such that NMHG would become liable under the terms of NFS debt agreements with GECC in the case of default by NFS. At June 30, 2010, the amount of NFS debt guaranteed by NMHG was \$150.5 million. NFS has not defaulted under the terms of this debt financing in the past, and although there can be no assurances, NMHG is not aware of any circumstances that would cause NFS to default in future periods. However, NMHG is monitoring the effect of the economic environment on NFS and GECC.

NMHG provides a standard warranty on its lift trucks, generally for six to twelve months or 1,000 to 2,000 hours. For certain components in some series of lift trucks, NMHG provides an additional standard warranty of two to three years or 4,000 to 5,000 hours. HBB provides a standard warranty to consumers for all of its products. The specific terms and conditions of those warranties vary depending upon the product brand. In general, if a product is returned under warranty, a refund is provided to the consumer by HBB's customer, the retailer. Generally, the retailer returns those products to HBB for a credit. The Company estimates the costs which may be incurred under its standard warranty programs and records a liability for such costs at the time product revenue is recognized.

Table of Contents

In addition, NMHG sells extended warranty agreements which provide a warranty for an additional two to five years or up to 2,400 to 10,000 hours. The specific terms and conditions of those warranties vary depending upon the product sold and the country in which NMHG does business. Revenue received for the sale of extended warranty contracts is deferred and recognized in the same manner as the costs incurred to perform under the warranty contracts.

NMHG also maintains a quality enhancement program under which it provides for specifically identified field product improvements in its warranty obligation. Accruals under this program are determined based on estimates of the potential number of claims to be processed and the cost of processing those claims based on historical costs.

The Company periodically assesses the adequacy of its recorded warranty liabilities and adjusts the amounts as necessary. Factors that affect the Company's warranty liability include the number of units sold, historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims and the cost per claim.

Changes in the Company's current and long-term warranty obligations, including deferred revenue on extended warranty contracts, are as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| | 2010 |
| Balance at January 1 | \$ 38.5 |
| Warranties issued | 15.3 |
| Settlements made | (17.6) |
| Foreign currency effect | (1.4) |
| Balance at June 30 | \$ 34.8 |

Table of Contents**Note 9 Income Taxes**

The income tax provision includes U.S. federal, state and local, and foreign income taxes and is based on the application of a forecasted annual income tax rate applied to the current quarter's year-to-date pre-tax income or loss. In determining the estimated annual effective income tax rate, the Company analyzes various factors, including projections of the Company's annual earnings, taxing jurisdictions in which the earnings will be generated, the impact of state and local income taxes, the Company's ability to use tax credits and net operating loss carryforwards, and available tax planning alternatives. Discrete items, including the effect of changes in tax laws, tax rates and certain circumstances with respect to valuation allowances or other unusual or non-recurring tax adjustments are reflected in the period in which they occur as an addition to, or reduction from, the income tax provision, rather than included in the estimated effective annual income tax rate. Additionally, the Company's interim effective income tax rate is computed and applied without regard to pre-tax losses where such losses are not expected to generate a current-year tax benefit as reflected in the interim adjustment line in the table below.

A reconciliation of the Company's consolidated federal statutory and effective income tax is as follows:

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | | SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes: | \$ 16.9 | \$ 6.1 | \$ 31.9 | \$ (2.4) |
| Statutory taxes (benefit) at 35% | \$ 5.9 | \$ 2.1 | \$ 11.2 | \$ (0.8) |
| Interim adjustment | (0.7) | 1.8 | 0.1 | 6.3 |
| Discrete items: | | | | |
| NMHG sale of foreign stock | | | (2.0) | |
| NMHG change in tax law | (1.8) | | (1.8) | |
| Other | (0.2) | | 0.2 | |
| | (2.0) | | (3.6) | |
| Other permanent items: | | | | |
| NACoal percentage depletion | (1.0) | (2.3) | (2.3) | 0.4 |
| Foreign tax rate differential | (2.2) | (0.9) | (3.0) | 0.2 |
| Valuation allowance | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0.8 | (0.7) |
| Other | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| | (2.3) | 1.1 | (3.4) | 0.1 |
| Income tax provision | \$ 0.9 | \$ 5.0 | \$ 4.3 | \$ 5.6 |
| Effective income tax rate | 5.3% | (a) | 13.5% | (a) |

(a) The effective income tax rate is not meaningful.

The effective income tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was not meaningful primarily due to the interim accounting adjustment to exclude pre-tax losses not expected to generate a tax benefit for the full year

from the effective income tax rate.

During the first quarter of 2010, NMHG sold the stock of an entity in Australia for a pre-tax loss of \$0.2 million. Due to the difference between the book basis and tax basis of the stock, the Company recognized a tax benefit related to the sale in the first three months of 2010.

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company recognized a tax benefit for the reduction in a required reserve for uncertain tax positions related to certain foreign tax law changes which became effective and reduced the statute of limitations for certain items.

Table of Contents**Note 10 Retirement Benefit Plans**

The Company maintains various defined benefit pension plans that provide benefits based on years of service and average compensation during certain periods. The Company's policy is to make contributions to fund these plans within the range allowed by applicable regulations. Plan assets consist primarily of publicly traded stocks and government and corporate bonds.

Pension benefits for all parent company, NMHG, HBB and NACoal employees in the United States and Canada, excluding certain project mining subsidiary employees, have been frozen. Only certain grandfathered NMHG employees in the United Kingdom and the Netherlands still earn retirement benefits under defined benefit pension plans. All other eligible employees of the Company, including employees whose pension benefits are frozen, receive retirement benefits under defined contribution retirement plans.

The Company previously disclosed in its Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 that it expected to contribute approximately \$7.5 million to its U.S. pension plans in 2010. The Company now expects to contribute approximately \$13.4 million to its U.S. pension plans in 2010.

The Company also maintains health care and life insurance plans that provide benefits to eligible retired employees. These plans have no assets. Under the Company's current policy, benefits under these plans are funded at the time they are due to participants. Effective January 1, 2010, NMHG eliminated its retiree life insurance plan for non-union retirees. Effective June 30, 2010, the parent company eliminated its subsidized retiree medical plan. Effective September 1, 2010, HBB will eliminate its retiree life insurance plan. Accordingly, the Company recognized a gain of approximately \$0.9 million during the second quarter of 2010 due to the HBB and parent company plan terminations. The components of pension and postretirement (income) expense are set forth below:

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | | SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| U.S. Pension | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ | \$ 0.1 | \$ | \$ 0.2 |
| Interest cost | 1.9 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (2.1) | (2.3) | (4.3) | (4.5) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (0.1) | | (0.2) | |
| Total | \$ 0.9 | \$ 1.1 | \$ 1.9 | \$ 2.3 |
| Non-U.S. Pension | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 0.4 | \$ 0.3 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 0.6 |
| Interest cost | 1.7 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (2.1) | (2.0) | (4.3) | (3.9) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| Total | \$ 0.7 | \$ 0.4 | \$ 1.5 | \$ 0.8 |
| Postretirement | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 0.1 | \$ | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.1 |
| Interest cost | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (0.3) | | (0.3) | (0.1) |
| Plan amendments | (0.7) | | (0.7) | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----|-------|----|-----|----|-------|----|-----|
| Total | \$ | (0.8) | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | (0.7) | \$ | 0.3 |
|-------|----|-------|----|-----|----|-------|----|-----|

19

Table of Contents**Note 11 Business Segments**

NACCO is a holding company with the following principal subsidiaries: NMHG, HBB, KC and NACoal. See Note 1 for a discussion of the Company's industries and product lines. NACCO's non-operating segment, NACCO and Other, includes the accounts of the parent company and Bellaire Corporation.

Based on the sale of most of NMHG's retail dealer operations, the information submitted to the Company's chief operating decision maker was changed during the first quarter of 2010. Therefore, NACCO changed its reportable segments to include the following three management units: NMHG Americas, NMHG Europe and NMHG Other. NMHG Americas includes its operations in the United States, Canada, Mexico, Brazil and Latin America. NMHG Europe includes its operations in Europe, the Middle East and Africa. NMHG Other includes NMHG's corporate headquarters, its remaining wholly owned dealerships and its immaterial operating segments, which include operations in the Asia-Pacific region. Certain amounts are allocated to these geographic management units and are included in the segment results presented below, including product development costs, corporate headquarters expenses, information technology infrastructure costs, NACCO management fees, and debt and interest expense, which are determined by NMHG's corporate headquarters and not directly incurred by the geographic operations. In addition, other costs are incurred directly by these geographic management units based upon the location of the manufacturing plant or sales units, including manufacturing variances, product liability, warranty and sales discounts, which may not be associated with the geographic management unit of the ultimate end user sales location where revenues and margins are reported. Therefore, the reported results of each NMHG segment cannot be considered stand-alone entities as all NMHG reportable segments are inter-related and integrate into a single global NMHG business. Historical results have been revised to reflect the change in reportable segments within NMHG.

Financial information for each of NACCO's reportable segments is presented in the following table. The line "NMHG Eliminations" in the revenues section eliminates revenues from sales of lift trucks from manufacturing operations to wholly owned retail operations. These revenues are based on current market prices in similar third-party transactions. The line "Eliminations" in the revenues section eliminates revenues from HBB sales to KC. The amounts of these revenues are based on current market prices of similar third-party transactions. No other sales transactions occur among reportable segments. Other transactions among reportable segments are recognized based on current market prices of similar third-party transactions.

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | | SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue from external customers | | | | |
| NMHG | | | | |
| NMHG Americas | \$ 259.8 | \$ 186.3 | \$ 488.1 | \$ 415.2 |
| NMHG Europe | 112.9 | 108.6 | 203.9 | 208.9 |
| NMHG Other | 42.2 | 68.6 | 99.8 | 129.5 |
| NMHG Eliminations | (1.4) | (1.5) | (2.9) | (2.5) |
| | 413.5 | 362.0 | 788.9 | 751.1 |
| HBB | 103.3 | 107.2 | 205.9 | 201.4 |
| KC | 40.9 | 40.6 | 83.7 | 80.3 |
| NACoal | 42.3 | 31.5 | 79.9 | 64.1 |
| NACCO and Other Eliminations | (1.2) | (0.8) | (2.0) | (1.7) |
| Total | \$ 598.8 | \$ 540.5 | \$ 1,156.4 | \$ 1,095.2 |

Table of Contents

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | | SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating profit (loss) | | | | |
| NMHG | | | | |
| NMHG Americas | \$ 10.4 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 16.3 | \$ 5.3 |
| NMHG Europe | 4.1 | (9.1) | 2.7 | (20.4) |
| NMHG Other | (4.7) | (2.5) | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| NMHG Eliminations | | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | 9.8 | (1.7) | 20.1 | (14.3) |
| HBB | 7.8 | 9.8 | 15.2 | 14.2 |
| KC | (2.9) | (2.6) | (5.8) | (6.9) |
| NACoal | 15.7 | 9.2 | 26.7 | 22.2 |
| NACCO and Other Eliminations | (3.1) | (1.5) | (4.8) | (2.6) |
| | | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | \$ 27.3 | \$ 13.3 | \$ 51.5 | \$ 12.7 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to stockholders | | | | |
| NMHG | | | | |
| NMHG Americas | \$ 5.6 | \$ 5.4 | \$ 8.1 | \$ 1.7 |
| NMHG Europe | 2.7 | (8.3) | 0.9 | (18.2) |
| NMHG Other | (0.2) | 0.5 | 5.3 | (5.1) |
| NMHG Eliminations | (0.8) | (0.7) | 1.0 | |
| | 7.3 | (3.1) | 15.3 | (21.6) |
| HBB | 3.8 | 4.7 | 7.2 | 6.1 |
| KC | (1.8) | (1.7) | (3.6) | (4.5) |
| NACoal | 11.3 | 7.1 | 19.4 | 17.9 |
| NACCO and Other Eliminations | (5.3) | (1.4) | (8.3) | (2.9) |
| | 0.6 | (4.0) | (2.4) | (2.5) |
| Total | \$ 15.9 | \$ 1.6 | \$ 27.6 | \$ (7.5) |
| | | | | |
| | | | JUNE 30 2010 | DECEMBER 31 2009 |
| Total assets | | | | |
| NMHG | | | | |
| NMHG Americas | | \$ 524.3 | \$ | 438.5 |

Edgar Filing: NACCO INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------|
| NMHG Europe | 288.2 | 295.6 |
| NMHG Other | 195.9 | 271.1 |
| NMHG Eliminations | (86.8) | (91.1) |
| | 921.6 | 914.1 |
| | | |
| HBB | 219.5 | 217.8 |
| KC | 70.0 | 81.9 |
| NACoal | 275.8 | 266.6 |
| NACCO and Other | 70.6 | 105.1 |
| Eliminations | (75.3) | (96.8) |
| | | |
| Total | \$ 1,482.2 | \$ 1,488.7 |

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, NMHG Americas total assets included \$133.2 million and \$66.2 million, respectively, of cash. For the same periods, NMHG Europe had \$42.6 million and \$80.2 million, respectively, of cash.

Table of Contents

Note 12 Other Events and Transactions

During the second quarter of 2010, NACoal received and recorded \$7.6 million, which is included on the line Revenues in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations, related to the reimbursement from Mississippi Power Company for previously recognized costs for pre-development activities. NACoal also received \$3.5 million related to Mississippi Power Company's purchase of permit assets which approximated NACoal's book value.

In addition, NACoal expects to sell an additional \$23.1 million of assets, primarily a dragline, to Mississippi Power Company upon the resolution of certain contingencies. The sale of these assets is expected to occur in late 2010. As a result, these assets have been classified as held-for-sale and are included on the line Prepaid expenses and other in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2010.

NACoal's contract at San Miguel Lignite Mine (San Miguel) expires at the end of 2010. During the second quarter, NACoal responded to San Miguel Electric's Request for Proposal to operate the mine beyond 2010 but was not selected. During the third quarter of 2010, NACoal will begin planning for the transition of the mine operations to the new mining company. NACoal does not expect to incur significant costs or recognize any impairment of assets as part of the wind-down of this contract. Under the current contract, revenues generated by San Miguel were \$30 to \$50 million per year and were primarily for contractually reimbursable costs. Net income generated by San Miguel has been less than \$1.0 million per year under the current contract.

Table of Contents

Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

(Tabular Amounts in Millions, Except Per Share and Percentage Data)

NACCO Industries, Inc. (the parent company or NACCO) and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) operate in the following principal industries: lift trucks, small appliances, specialty retail and mining. Results of operations and financial condition are discussed separately by subsidiary, which corresponds with the industry groupings.

NMHG Holding Co. (NMHG) designs, engineers, manufactures, sells, services and leases a comprehensive line of lift trucks and aftermarket parts marketed globally under the Hyster® and Yale® brand names, primarily to independent and wholly owned Hyster® and Yale® retail dealerships. Lift trucks and component parts are manufactured in the United States, Brazil, Mexico, Northern Ireland, The Netherlands, Italy, China, Japan, the Philippines and Vietnam. Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc. (HBB) is a leading designer, marketer and distributor of small electric household appliances primarily in the United States, Canada, Mexico and Latin America, as well as commercial products for restaurants, bars and hotels. The Kitchen Collection, Inc. (KC) is a national specialty retailer of kitchenware and gourmet foods operating under the Kitchen Collection® and Le Gourmet Chef® store names in outlet and traditional malls throughout the United States. The North American Coal Corporation and its affiliated coal companies (collectively, NACoal) mine and market coal primarily as fuel for power generation and provide selected value-added mining services for other natural resources companies primarily in the United States. Coal is delivered from NACoal's developed mines in Mississippi, North Dakota and Texas to adjacent or nearby power plants. Dragline mining services are provided for independently owned limerock quarries in Florida.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Please refer to the discussion of the Company's Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates as disclosed on pages 38 through 40 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company's Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates have not materially changed from December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**NACCO MATERIALS HANDLING GROUP
FINANCIAL REVIEW**

The results of operations for NMHG were as follows for the three and six months ended June 30:

| | THREE MONTHS | | SIX MONTHS | |
|---|--------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 259.8 | \$ 186.3 | \$ 488.1 | \$ 415.2 |
| Europe | 112.9 | 108.6 | 203.9 | 208.9 |
| Other | 42.2 | 68.6 | 99.8 | 129.5 |
| Eliminations | (1.4) | (1.5) | (2.9) | (2.5) |
| | \$ 413.5 | \$ 362.0 | \$ 788.9 | \$ 751.1 |
| Operating profit (loss) | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 10.4 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 16.3 | \$ 5.3 |
| Europe | 4.1 | (9.1) | 2.7 | (20.4) |
| Other | (4.7) | (2.5) | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Eliminations | | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | \$ 9.8 | \$ (1.7) | \$ 20.1 | \$ (14.3) |
| Interest expense | \$ (4.1) | \$ (4.7) | \$ (8.2) | \$ (9.6) |
| Other income (expense) | \$ 1.5 | \$ 1.0 | \$ 1.6 | \$ 1.6 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to stockholders | \$ 7.3 | \$ (3.1) | \$ 15.3 | \$ (21.6) |
| Effective income tax rate | (a) | 38.9% | (a) | 2.2% |

(a) The effective income tax rate is not meaningful.

See discussion of the consolidated effective income tax rate in Note 9 of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Second Quarter of 2010 Compared with Second Quarter of 2009

The following table identifies the components of change in revenues for the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009:

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| 2009 | Revenues | \$ 362.0 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | | |
| Unit volume and product mix | | 55.5 |
| Parts | | 12.6 |
| Other | | 2.3 |
| Sale of certain Australian operations | | (18.9) |

2010

\$ 413.5

Revenues increased 14.2% to \$413.5 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared with \$362.0 million in the second quarter of 2009, primarily as a result of an increase in units and parts volume in the Americas and Europe. Worldwide new unit shipments increased in the second quarter of 2010 to 13,757 from shipments of 9,868 in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in unit volume was partially offset by lower sales of higher-priced trucks, primarily in Europe, and the sale of certain Australian operations and assets in the first quarter of 2010 and during 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies the components of change in operating profit (loss) for the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009:

| | Operating Profit (Loss) |
|--|----------------------------|
| 2009 | \$ (1.7) |
| Restructuring programs | 1.5 |
| | (0.2) |
| (Increase) decrease in 2010 from: | |
| Gross profit | 24.1 |
| Other | 1.1 |
| Other selling, general and administrative expenses | (15.2) |
| 2010 | \$ 9.8 |

NMHG recognized operating profit of \$9.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared with an operating loss of \$1.7 million in the second quarter of 2009. The increase was primarily due to improved gross profit mainly as a result of higher sales volumes and margins on units and parts and lower manufacturing variances due to higher production levels in 2010. The increase was partially offset by higher selling, general and administrative expenses, primarily as a result of higher employee-related expenses from the partial restoration of compensation and benefits, which were reduced in 2009, and a smaller favorable product liability adjustment in the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009.

NMHG recognized net income attributable to stockholders of \$7.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared with a net loss attributable to stockholders of \$3.1 million in the second quarter of 2009. The increase was primarily a result of the improvement in operating profit (loss) and a reduction in income taxes. NMHG recognized an income tax benefit on pre-tax income in the second quarter of 2010 primarily from a change in a foreign tax law that reduced the statute of limitations for certain items and a favorable shift in the mix of jurisdictions where taxable earnings and losses are projected for 2010 compared with 2009. These favorable changes were partially offset by an interim tax accounting adjustment recognized in 2009 to exclude the pre-tax losses not expected to generate a tax benefit for the full year from the effective income tax rate.

Backlog

NMHG's worldwide backlog level was approximately 21,700 units at June 30, 2010 compared with approximately 12,300 units at June 30, 2009 and approximately 16,900 units at March 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**First Six Months of 2010 Compared with First Six Months of 2009**

The following table identifies the components of change in revenues for the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009:

| | Revenues |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2009 | \$ 751.1 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | |
| Unit volume and product mix | 34.7 |
| Foreign currency | 15.1 |
| Parts | 14.4 |
| Other | 3.9 |
| Sale of certain Australian operations | (30.3) |
| 2010 | \$ 788.9 |

Revenues increased 5.0% to \$788.9 million in the first six months of 2010 compared with \$751.1 million in the first six months of 2009, primarily as a result of an increase in units and parts volume in the Americas and Europe and favorable foreign currency movements due to the strengthening of the Australian dollar and Brazilian Real against the U.S. dollar. The increase in unit volume was partially offset by lower sales of higher-priced trucks, primarily in Europe and the Americas, and the sale of certain Australian operations and assets during the first quarter of 2010 and in 2009.

The following table identifies the components of change in operating profit (loss) for the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009:

| | Operating Profit (Loss) |
|--|----------------------------|
| 2009 | \$ (14.3) |
| Restructuring programs | 2.2 |
| | (12.1) |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | |
| Gross profit | 25.4 |
| Foreign currency | 11.0 |
| Other | 2.0 |
| Other selling, general and administrative expenses | (8.1) |
| | 18.2 |
| Restructuring programs | 1.9 |
| 2010 | \$ 20.1 |

NMHG recognized operating profit of \$20.1 million in the first six months of 2010 compared with an operating loss of \$14.3 million in the first six months of 2009. The increase was primarily due to improved gross profit and favorable foreign currency movements, primarily in Europe. Gross profit improved mainly as a result of higher sales volumes

and margins on units and parts and lower manufacturing variances due to higher production levels in 2010. In addition, a reversal of a restructuring charge in Europe in the first six months of 2010 that had been previously recognized and the absence of a restructuring charge taken in the first six months of 2009 favorably affected operating profit. The increase was partially offset by higher selling, general and administrative expenses primarily as a result of higher employee-related expenses from the partial restoration of compensation and benefits, which were reduced in 2009.

NMHG recognized net income attributable to stockholders of \$15.3 million in the first six months of 2010 compared with a net loss attributable to stockholders of \$21.6 million in the first six months of 2009. The increase was primarily a result of the improvement in operating profit (loss). In addition, NMHG recognized an income tax benefit on pre-tax income as a result of an income tax benefit related to the sale of certain Australian operations in the first six months of 2010, a change in a foreign tax law that reduced the statute of limitations for certain items, and a favorable shift in the mix of jurisdictions where taxable earnings and losses are projected for 2010 compared with

Table of Contents

2009. These favorable changes were partially offset by an interim tax accounting adjustment recognized in 2009 to exclude the pre-tax losses not expected to generate a tax benefit for the full year from the effective income tax rate.

Restructuring Programs

During 2009, NMHG's management approved a plan to close its facility in Modena, Italy and consolidate its activities into NMHG's facility in Masate, Italy. These actions were taken to further reduce NMHG's manufacturing capacity to more appropriate levels. As a result, NMHG recognized a charge of approximately \$5.6 million during 2009. Of this amount, \$5.3 million related to severance and \$0.3 million related to lease impairment. During the first six months of 2010, \$1.9 million of the accrual was reversed as a result of a reduction in the expected amount to be paid to former employees due to the finalization of an agreement with the Italian government. Severance payments of \$0.6 million were made during the first six months of 2010. Payments related to this restructuring program are expected to continue through 2012. No further charges related to this plan are expected.

Benefits from this restructuring program are expected to be approximately \$1.3 million for the remainder of 2010, \$3.0 million in 2011 and \$2.6 million in 2012 and thereafter.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**Cash Flows**

The following tables detail the changes in cash flow for the six months ended June 30:

| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
|---|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Operating activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 15.3 | \$ (21.8) | \$ 37.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17.7 | 17.6 | 0.1 |
| Other | (16.7) | (0.7) | (16.0) |
| Working capital changes, excluding the effects of business dispositions | 28.5 | 49.4 | (20.9) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 44.8 | 44.5 | 0.3 |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Expenditures for property, plant and equipment | (3.2) | (2.9) | (0.3) |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets | 0.2 | 10.7 | (10.5) |
| Other | | 0.3 | (0.3) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities | (3.0) | 8.1 | (11.1) |
| Cash flow before financing activities | \$ 41.8 | \$ 52.6 | \$ (10.8) |

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$0.3 million in the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009 primarily as a result of the improvement in net income (loss), mostly offset by the change in working capital and other non-cash items. The change in working capital was mainly due to the downturn in the economy, which significantly affected 2009 working capital with large decreases in accounts receivable, inventory and accounts payable as sales and inventory purchases declined significantly. The reduction in other non-cash items was due primarily to changes in deferred taxes.

Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities decreased primarily as a result of the absence of proceeds from the sale of assets, mainly from the sale of certain assets in Asia-Pacific, the manufacturing facility in Irvine, Scotland and the intercompany sale of an airplane to NACCO during the first six months of 2009.

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
|--|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Financing activities: | | | |
| Net reductions of long-term debt and revolving credit agreements | \$ (5.9) | \$ (0.6) | \$ (5.3) |
| Cash dividends paid to NACCO | (5.0) | | (5.0) |
| Capital contribution from NACCO | | 35.0 | (35.0) |
| Intercompany loans | | (25.0) | 25.0 |
| Financing fees paid | (2.5) | | (2.5) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities | \$ (13.4) | \$ 9.4 | \$ (22.8) |

The change in net cash provided by (used for) financing activities during the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009 was primarily due to the absence of cash capital contributions from NACCO partially offset by the repayment of intercompany loans in the prior year. In addition, net cash provided by (used for) financing activities changed due to higher repayments of debt, a \$5.0 million dividend to NACCO, and the payment of financing fees during the first six months of 2010.

Financing Activities

NMHG's primary financing is provided by a \$150.0 million secured floating-rate revolving credit facility (the NMHG Facility) and a term loan facility (the NMHG Term Loan). The obligations under the NMHG Facility are secured by a first lien on the cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and inventory of NMHG. The approximate book value of NMHG's assets held as collateral under the NMHG Facility was \$455 million as of June 30, 2010.

The maximum availability under the NMHG Facility is governed by a borrowing base derived from advance rates against the inventory and accounts receivable of the borrowers, as defined in the NMHG Facility. Adjustments to reserves booked against these assets, including inventory reserves, will change the eligible borrowing base and thereby impact the liquidity provided by the NMHG Facility. A portion of the availability can be denominated in British pounds or euros. Borrowings bear interest at a floating rate which can be a base rate or LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate) plus a margin. The current applicable margins, effective June 30, 2010, for domestic base rate loans and LIBOR loans were 2.25% and 3.25%, respectively. The applicable margin, effective June 30, 2010, for foreign overdraft loans was 3.50%. The NMHG Facility also requires the payment of a fee of 0.75% per annum on the unused commitment.

At June 30, 2010, the excess availability under the NMHG Facility was \$58.3 million, which reflects underlying collateral availability of \$108.0 million reduced by a \$10.0 million excess availability requirement, \$20.8 million for a foreign credit facility commitment in Australia, \$10.7 million in Europe for a reserve for preferential claims and \$8.2 million for letters of credit. If commitments or availability under these facilities are increased, availability under the NMHG Facility will be reduced.

There were no borrowings outstanding under the NMHG Facility at June 30, 2010. The domestic and foreign floating rates of interest applicable to the NMHG Facility on June 30, 2010 were 5.50% and a range of 4.50% to 5.44%, respectively, including the applicable floating rate margin. The NMHG Facility expires in June 2014.

The NMHG Facility includes restrictive covenants, which, among other things, limit the payment of dividends to NACCO. Subject to achieving availability thresholds, dividends to NACCO are limited to the larger of \$5.0 million or 50% of the preceding year's net income for NMHG. The NMHG Facility also requires NMHG to maintain a minimum excess availability during the term of the agreement and achieve a maximum leverage ratio and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, in certain circumstances, as defined in the NMHG Facility. At June 30, 2010, NMHG was in compliance with the covenants in the NMHG Facility.

During 2006, NACCO Materials Handling Group, Inc. (NMHG Inc.), a wholly owned subsidiary of NMHG, entered into the NMHG Term Loan that provided for term loans up to an aggregate principal amount of \$225.0 million, which mature in 2013. The term loans require quarterly payments in an amount equal to 1% of the original principal per year

for the first six years, with the remaining balance to be paid in four equal installments in the seventh year. At June 30, 2010, there was \$216.0 million outstanding under the NMHG Term Loan.

Borrowings under the NMHG Term Loan are guaranteed by NMHG and substantially all of NMHG's domestic subsidiaries. The obligations of the guarantors under the NMHG Term Loan are secured by a first lien on all of the domestic machinery, equipment and real property owned by NMHG Inc. and each guarantor and a second lien on all of the collateral securing the obligations of NMHG under the NMHG Facility. The approximate book value of NMHG's assets held as collateral under the NMHG Term Loan was \$560 million as of June 30, 2010, which includes the book value of the assets securing the NMHG Facility.

Table of Contents

Outstanding borrowings under the NMHG Term Loan bear interest at a variable rate that, at NMHG Inc.'s option, will be either LIBOR or a floating rate, as defined in the NMHG Term Loan, plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin is subject to adjustment based on a leverage ratio. The weighted average interest rate on the amount outstanding under the NMHG Term Loan at June 30, 2010 was 2.28%.

In addition to the amount outstanding under the NMHG Term Loan and the NMHG Facility, NMHG had borrowings of approximately \$23.1 million at June 30, 2010 under various working capital facilities.

The NMHG Term Loan includes restrictive covenants, which, among other things, limit the payment of dividends to NACCO. Subject to achieving availability thresholds, dividends to NACCO are limited to the larger of \$5.0 million or 50% of the preceding year's net income for NMHG. The NMHG Term Loan also requires NMHG to meet certain financial tests, including, but not limited to, minimum excess availability, maximum leverage ratio and minimum fixed charge coverage ratio tests. At June 30, 2010, NMHG was in compliance with the covenants in the NMHG Term Loan.

NMHG believes funds available from cash on hand at NMHG and the Company, the NMHG Facility, other available lines of credit and operating cash flows will provide sufficient liquidity to meet its operating needs and commitments until the expiration of the NMHG Facility in June 2014.

Contractual Obligations, Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

Since December 31, 2009, except for an approximately \$65 million reduction in operating leases due to the sale of certain operations in Australia, there have been no other significant changes in the total amount of NMHG's contractual obligations or commercial commitments, or the timing of cash flows in accordance with those obligations, as reported on page 52 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Capital Expenditures

Expenditures by NMHG for property, plant and equipment were \$3.2 million during the first six months of 2010. Capital expenditures are estimated to be an additional \$16.1 million for the remainder of 2010. Planned expenditures for the remainder of 2010 are primarily for product development and improvements to NMHG's facilities and information technology infrastructure. The principal sources of financing for these capital expenditures will be internally generated funds and bank borrowings.

Capital Structure

NMHG's capital structure is presented below:

| | June 30 2010 | December 31 2009 | Change |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 181.0 | \$ 163.2 | \$ 17.8 |
| Other net tangible assets | 258.9 | 290.8 | (31.9) |
| Net assets | 439.9 | 454.0 | (14.1) |
| Total debt | (242.2) | (246.4) | 4.2 |
| Total equity | \$ 197.7 | \$ 207.6 | \$ (9.9) |

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-----|----|
| Debt to total capitalization | 55% | 54% | 1% |
|------------------------------|------------|-----|----|

The \$31.9 million decrease in other net tangible assets during the first six months of 2010 was primarily attributable to an increase in accounts payable from increased purchases of inventory as a result of higher demand, a decrease in net intercompany tax receivables and a decrease in property, plant and equipment primarily from depreciation in excess of additions. The decrease was partially offset by higher accounts receivable from increased revenue, a reduction in pension obligations primarily due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates and contributions made during 2010 and a reduction in product liability reserves during the first six months of 2010.

Total equity decreased \$9.9 million in the first six months of 2010, primarily as a result of a \$31.6 million decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss, mainly due to changes in the cumulative foreign currency translation and cash flow hedging amounts, and a \$5.0 million dividend to NACCO. These items were partially offset by \$15.3 million of net income attributable to stockholders and \$11.4 million of non-cash capital contributions from NACCO.

Table of Contents

OUTLOOK

NMHG expects global market levels for units and parts volumes to improve significantly in the second half of 2010 compared with the second half of 2009. The Chinese market, in which NMHG is not a significant participant, has recovered to pre-recession levels and is expected to continue to grow. In NMHG's largest market, the Americas, the Brazilian market also appears to be more robust than originally anticipated. Latin America and the critical North American markets appear to be improving, with moderate growth expected in these markets over the second half of 2010. Recovery is also anticipated to be stronger in Eastern Europe, the Middle East and Asia than in Western Europe, which is expected to be relatively flat in comparison with 2009. As a result, NMHG expects increases in bookings, unit shipment levels, backlog and parts sales in 2010 compared with 2009, with significant comparative increases each quarter over the prior year quarter.

NMHG took several actions in late 2008 and during 2009 to respond to depressed market conditions. These included reductions in force, a plant closure in Italy, capital expenditure restraints, planned plant shutdowns, restrictions on travel and spending, suspension of incentive compensation and profit-sharing programs, wage freezes and salary and benefit reductions. A portion of the salary reductions and incentive compensation programs have been reinstated during 2010. As the industry continues to improve and financial results permit, the remaining salary reductions and incentive and other compensation components are expected to continue to be restored gradually. NMHG will continue to monitor its operations and expenditures closely and will make additional expense and margin adjustments as appropriate.

NMHG anticipates further increases in material costs, particularly steel, in the second half of 2010. As a result, price increases were announced during the second quarter of 2010, which, in combination with additional increases if necessary, are expected over time to offset the effect of increased commodity costs.

NMHG's new electric-rider lift truck program and warehouse, internal combustion engine and big truck product development programs are progressing as planned. The new electric-rider lift truck program is bringing a full line of newly designed products to market. NMHG introduced the 2- to 3-ton four-wheel electric lift truck in Europe in early 2010 and the 1- to 2-ton three-wheel electric cushion-tire lift truck and 1- to 2-ton four-wheel electric pneumatic lift truck in the Americas during the second quarter of 2010. NMHG expects to launch three additional series of electric-rider lift trucks in 2011, two in the Americas and one in Europe. NMHG also introduced a new 5,000 pound base-model internal combustion engine lift truck aimed at the medium duty segment of the market in the Americas in July 2010. The remaining trucks in this series are expected to be completely rolled out by 2012. All of these new products are expected to help improve revenues and enhance operating margins. In the context of these new product introductions, NMHG will continue to focus on enhancing distribution effectiveness and capitalizing on its product cost position to gain additional market share.

Overall, market improvements anticipated in the remainder of 2010 are expected to generate increased profitability in the second half of 2010. However, the third quarter is expected to be significantly lower than the second quarter of 2010 due to scheduled plant shutdowns and the sale of NMHG's remaining European and Australian retail dealerships in July 2010. Cash flow before financing activities for 2010 is expected to be significant, but substantially lower than 2009 because the working capital reductions in 2009 related to lower business activity will not be repeated in 2010.

Table of Contents**HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC.**

HBB's business is seasonal, and a majority of revenues and operating profit typically occurs in the second half of the year when sales of small electric appliances to retailers and consumers increase significantly for the fall holiday-selling season.

FINANCIAL REVIEW

The results of operations for HBB were as follows for the three and six months ended June 30:

| | THREE MONTHS | | SIX MONTHS | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | \$ 103.3 | \$ 107.2 | \$ 205.9 | \$ 201.4 |
| Operating profit | \$ 7.8 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 15.2 | \$ 14.2 |
| Interest expense | \$ (1.8) | \$ (2.2) | \$ (3.6) | \$ (4.3) |
| Other income (expense) | \$ (0.2) | \$ | \$ (0.2) | \$ 0.1 |
| Net income | \$ 3.8 | \$ 4.7 | \$ 7.2 | \$ 6.1 |
| Effective income tax rate | 34.5% | 38.2% | 36.8% | 39.0% |

Second Quarter of 2010 Compared with Second Quarter of 2009

The following table identifies the components of change in revenues for the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 2009 | Revenues \$ 107.2 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | |
| Average sales price | (3.1) |
| Unit volume and mix | (2.3) |
| Foreign currency | 1.5 |
| 2010 | \$ 103.3 |

Revenues decreased 3.6% to \$103.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared with \$107.2 million in the second quarter of 2009 primarily due to lower average selling prices and lower unit sales volume in the U.S. and Canadian consumer retail markets. The decline was partially offset by increased unit sales volume in the Mexican and Latin American consumer retail markets, as well as commercial markets, and favorable foreign currency movements caused by a strengthening Canadian dollar and Mexican peso.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies the components of change in operating profit for the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009:

| | Operating Profit |
|--|---------------------|
| 2009 | \$ 9.8 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | |
| Other selling, general and administrative expenses | (3.3) |
| Foreign currency | (0.3) |
| Gross profit | 1.6 |
| 2010 | \$ 7.8 |

HBB's operating profit decreased to \$7.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared with \$9.8 million in the second quarter of 2009. Operating profit decreased as a result of an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses and unfavorable foreign currency movements, partially offset by an improvement in gross profit. Other selling, general and administrative expenses increased mainly due to higher employee-related expenses as a result of the full restoration of compensation and benefits that were suspended during the first six months of 2009. The increase in gross profit was mainly due to lower product costs and sales of higher margin products, partially offset by lower average selling prices.

HBB recognized net income of \$3.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared with \$4.7 million in the second quarter of 2009. The decrease in net income was primarily due to the decrease in operating profit in the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009.

First Six Months of 2010 Compared with First Six Months of 2009

The following table identifies the components of change in revenues for the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009:

| | Revenues |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2009 | \$ 201.4 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | |
| Unit volume and mix | 6.2 |
| Foreign currency | 3.7 |
| Average sales price | (5.4) |
| 2010 | \$ 205.9 |

Revenues increased 2.2% to \$205.9 million in the first six months of 2010 compared with \$201.4 million in the first six months of 2009 primarily due to an increase in unit volume in the Mexican and Latin American consumer retail markets reflecting higher demand than in the first six months of 2009, and favorable foreign currency movements caused by a strengthening Canadian dollar and Mexican peso. Revenues were unfavorably affected by lower average selling prices.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies the components of change in operating profit for the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009:

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| 2009 | Operating Profit \$ 14.2 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | |
| Gross profit | 7.9 |
| Other selling, general and administrative expenses | (6.3) |
| Foreign currency | (0.6) |
| 2010 | \$ 15.2 |

HBB's operating profit increased to \$15.2 million in the first six months of 2010 compared with \$14.2 million in the first six months of 2009. Operating profit increased as a result of higher gross profit partially offset by an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses and unfavorable foreign currency movements. Gross profit increased mainly due to lower product costs and higher unit volume, partially offset by lower average selling prices. Other selling, general and administrative expenses increased mainly due to higher employee-related expenses as a result of the full restoration of compensation and benefits that were suspended in the first six months of 2009.

HBB recognized net income of \$7.2 million in the first six months of 2010 compared with \$6.1 million in the first six months of 2009 primarily due to the increase in operating profit.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**Cash Flows**

The following tables detail the changes in cash flow for the six months ended June 30:

| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 7.2 | \$ 6.1 | \$ 1.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1.2 | 1.5 | (0.3) |
| Other | (2.7) | (1.8) | (0.9) |
| Working capital changes | 22.5 | 22.1 | 0.4 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 28.2 | 27.9 | 0.3 |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Expenditures for property, plant and equipment | (1.2) | (1.0) | (0.2) |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (1.2) | (1.0) | (0.2) |
| Cash flow before financing activities | \$ 27.0 | \$ 26.9 | \$ 0.1 |

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$0.3 million, primarily due to the increase in net income in the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009 partially offset by the change in other operating activities.

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Financing activities: | | | |
| Reductions to long-term debt and revolving credit agreements | \$ (0.6) | \$ (2.7) | \$ 2.1 |
| Net cash used for financing activities | \$ (0.6) | \$ (2.7) | \$ 2.1 |

Net cash used for financing activities decreased \$2.1 million due to lower payments made on borrowings during the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009.

Financing Activities

HBB has a \$115.0 million senior secured floating-rate revolving credit facility (the HBB Facility) that expires July 2012. The obligations under the HBB Facility are secured by a first lien on the accounts receivable and inventory of HBB and a second lien on all of the other assets of HBB. The approximate book value of HBB's assets held as collateral for the first and second lien under the HBB Facility was \$220 million as of June 30, 2010.

The HBB Facility is governed by a borrowing base derived from advance rates against the inventory and accounts receivable, as defined in the HBB Facility. Adjustments to reserves, including derivative reserves, will change the eligible borrowing base. A portion of the availability can be denominated in Canadian dollars to provide funding to HBB's Canadian subsidiary. Borrowings bear interest at a floating rate, which can be either a base rate, LIBOR or bankers' acceptance rate, as defined in the HBB Facility, plus an applicable margin. The applicable margins, effective June 30, 2010, for base rate loans and LIBOR loans denominated in U.S. dollars were 0.00% and 1.00%, respectively. The applicable margins, effective June 30, 2010, for base rate and bankers' acceptance loans denominated in Canadian dollars were 0.50% and 1.00%, respectively. The HBB Facility also requires a fee of 0.20% per annum on the unused commitment. The margins and unused commitment fee are subject to quarterly adjustment based on average excess availability.

At June 30, 2010, the borrowing base under the HBB Facility was \$65.1 million. There were no borrowings outstanding under the HBB Facility at June 30, 2010. The floating rate of interest applicable to the HBB Facility at June 30, 2010 was 1.54% including the floating rate margin.

The HBB Facility includes restrictive covenants that, among other things, set limitations on additional indebtedness (other than indebtedness under the HBB Facility and HBB Term Loan (defined below)), investments, asset sales and the payment of dividends to NACCO. Subject to achieving availability thresholds, annual dividends to NACCO are limited to \$5.0 million plus 50% of HBB's net income since the effective date of the amendment to the HBB Facility in 2007. The HBB Facility also requires HBB to meet minimum fixed charge ratio tests in certain circumstances. At June 30, 2010, HBB was in compliance with the covenants in the HBB Facility.

During 2007, HBB entered into a term loan agreement (the HBB Term Loan) that provided for term loans up to an aggregate principal amount of \$125.0 million. Borrowings outstanding under the HBB Term Loan were \$115.4 million at June 30, 2010. The term loans require quarterly principal payments in an amount equal to 1% of the original principal amount per year for the term of the loan, with the remaining balance to be paid at maturity in May 2013. Prior to maturity, the term loans are subject to mandatory prepayments from the proceeds of the issuance of certain indebtedness, certain asset sales and 50% of excess cash flow, as defined in the HBB Term Loan. The obligations of HBB under the HBB Term Loan are secured by a second lien on accounts receivable and inventory and a first lien on all of the other assets of HBB. The approximate book value of HBB's assets held as collateral for the first and second lien under the HBB Term Loan was \$220 million as of June 30, 2010.

The term loans bear interest at a floating rate that, at HBB's option, can be either a base rate or LIBOR, as defined in the HBB Term Loan, plus an applicable margin. The applicable margins, effective June 30, 2010, for base rate loans and LIBOR loans were 1.00% and 2.00%, respectively. The applicable margins are subject to quarterly adjustment based on a leverage ratio. The weighted average interest rate on the amount outstanding under the HBB Term Loan was 2.48% at June 30, 2010.

The HBB Term Loan contains restrictive covenants substantially similar to those in the HBB Facility that, among other things, limit the amount of dividends HBB may declare and pay and the incurrence of indebtedness (other than indebtedness under the HBB Facility). Dividends to NACCO are limited to \$5.0 million plus 50% of HBB's net income since the closing date of the HBB Term Loan in 2007. The HBB Term Loan also requires HBB to meet certain financial tests, including, but not limited to, maximum total leverage ratio and minimum fixed charge coverage ratio tests. At June 30, 2010, HBB was in compliance with the covenants in the HBB Term Loan.

HBB believes funds available from cash on hand at HBB and the Company, the HBB Facility and operating cash flows will provide sufficient liquidity to meet its operating needs and commitments arising during the next twelve months and until the HBB Facility expires in July 2012.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations, Contingent Liabilities and Commitments**

Since December 31, 2009, there have been no significant changes in the total amount of HBB's contractual obligations, contingent liabilities or commercial commitments, or the timing of cash flows in accordance with those obligations as reported on page 61 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Capital Expenditures

Expenditures for property, plant and equipment were \$1.2 million for the first six months of 2010 and are estimated to be an additional \$2.2 million for the remainder of 2010. These planned capital expenditures are primarily for tooling for new products. These expenditures are expected to be funded from internally generated funds.

Capital Structure

Working capital is significantly affected by the seasonality of HBB's business. The following is a discussion of the changes in HBB's capital structure at June 30, 2010 compared with both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2009.

June 30, 2010 Compared with June 30, 2009

| | June 30 2010 | June 30 2009 | Change |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 60.5 | \$ 31.0 | \$ 29.5 |
| Other net tangible assets | 50.9 | 53.9 | (3.0) |
| Net assets | 111.4 | 84.9 | 26.5 |
| Total debt | (115.6) | (116.9) | 1.3 |
| Total equity (deficit) | \$ (4.2) | \$ (32.0) | \$ 27.8 |
| Debt to total capitalization | (a) | (a) | (a) |

(a) Debt to total capitalization is not meaningful.

Other net tangible assets decreased \$3.0 million at June 30, 2010 compared with June 30, 2009, primarily due to a decrease in deferred tax assets and a decrease in inventory from higher levels of sales in the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009. These items were partially offset by a decrease in other long-term liabilities primarily due to pension contributions made in the first six months of 2010.

Total deficit decreased primarily due to HBB's net income of \$27.2 million during the twelve months ended June 30, 2010. Also contributing to the decrease was a \$3.6 million change in accumulated other comprehensive loss, partially offset by a \$3.0 million dividend paid to NACCO during the fourth quarter of 2009.

June 30, 2010 Compared with December 31, 2009

| | June 30 2010 | December 31 2009 | Change |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 60.5 | \$ 34.1 | \$ 26.4 |
| Other net tangible assets | 50.9 | 69.4 | (18.5) |
| Net assets | 111.4 | 103.5 | 7.9 |
| Total debt | (115.6) | (116.3) | 0.7 |

| | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|
| Total equity (deficit) | \$ (4.2) | \$ (12.8) | \$ 8.6 |
| Debt to total capitalization | (a) | (a) | (a) |

(a) Debt to total capitalization is not meaningful.

Other net tangible assets decreased \$18.5 million at June 30, 2010 compared with December 31, 2009, primarily from a decrease in accounts receivable due to the seasonality of the business and an increase in accounts payable due to a change in the timing of payments. The decrease was partially offset by a reduction in the pension obligation due to contributions made in the first six months of 2010, a decrease in other current liabilities, primarily from a reduction in accrued payroll from payments made for incentive compensation accrued at December 31, 2009 in the first six months of 2010, and a change in the fair market value of derivatives.

Table of Contents

Total deficit decreased mainly as a result of HBB's net income of \$7.2 million and a \$1.4 million decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss during the first six months of 2010.

OUTLOOK

The small kitchen appliance market in which HBB participates continues to recover and HBB is moderately optimistic that markets for its consumer goods will strengthen further in the second half of 2010 compared with 2009.

Accordingly, HBB's revenues for the second half of 2010 are expected to be higher than the second half of 2009, resulting in a moderate increase in revenues for the full year 2010 compared with 2009. However, while the market has shown improvement compared with 2009, the pace and sustainability of the upturn remains uncertain because consumers continue to struggle with financial concerns and high unemployment rates. If HBB's markets begin to deteriorate again, revenues could be adversely affected.

HBB continues to focus on strengthening its market position through product innovation, promotions and branding programs, together with appropriate levels of advertising for HBB's highly successful Brewstation® coffee maker and Stay-or-Go® slow cooker product lines. In the second half of 2010, HBB expects to continue to introduce innovative products in several small appliance categories. In addition, in late 2010, HBB expects to launch a line of Melitta-branded beverage appliances. As a result of these new products, as well as other new product introductions in the pipeline for 2010, HBB anticipates increased placements and distribution at some retailers during the second half of 2010.

Overall, full-year 2010 net income and cash flow before financing activities are currently expected to be strong but lower than 2009. Employee-related costs are expected to be higher in 2010 than in 2009 because compensation and benefit programs, which were partially suspended during 2009, have been fully restored. In addition, HBB continues to monitor commodity costs and relative foreign currency relationships closely. HBB expects increased transportation and product costs in the second half of 2010 and will work to mitigate these increased costs through optimizing product assortments and selective price increases when appropriate.

Longer term, HBB will continue to work to improve revenues and profitability by remaining focused on developing consumer-driven innovative products, improving efficiencies, reducing costs, gaining placements and pursuing additional strategic growth opportunities.

Table of Contents**THE KITCHEN COLLECTION, INC.**

KC's business is seasonal, and a majority of revenues and operating profit typically occurs in the second half of the year when sales of kitchenware to consumers increase significantly for the fall holiday-selling season.

FINANCIAL REVIEW

The results of operations for KC were as follows for the three and six months ended June 30:

| | THREE MONTHS | | SIX MONTHS | |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | \$ 40.9 | \$ 40.6 | \$ 83.7 | \$ 80.3 |
| Operating loss | \$ (2.9) | \$ (2.6) | \$ (5.8) | \$ (6.9) |
| Interest expense | \$ (0.1) | \$ (0.1) | \$ (0.2) | \$ (0.2) |
| Other income (expense) | \$ | \$ (0.1) | \$ | \$ |
| Net loss | \$ (1.8) | \$ (1.7) | \$ (3.6) | \$ (4.5) |
| Effective income tax rate | 40.0% | 39.3% | 40.0% | 36.6% |

Second Quarter of 2010 Compared with Second Quarter of 2009

The following table identifies the components of change in revenues for the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| 2009 | Revenues | \$ 40.6 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | | |
| New store sales | | 1.3 |
| LGC comparable store sales | | 0.9 |
| KC comparable store sales | | 0.3 |
| Closed stores | | (2.2) |
| 2010 | | \$ 40.9 |

Revenues were \$40.9 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared with \$40.6 million in the second quarter of 2009. An increase in comparable store sales and sales at new stores was almost completely offset by the effect of closing primarily unprofitable Le Gourmet Chef (LGC) stores since June 30, 2009. Comparable store sales increased primarily as a result of an increase in the average sales transaction value at both LGC and KC resulting from improved product assortments and more effective merchandising, particularly at LGC. At June 30, 2010, KC operated 224 stores compared with 211 stores at June 30, 2009 and 219 stores at December 31, 2009. LGC operated 66 stores at June 30, 2010, down from 78 stores at June 30, 2009 and 77 stores at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies the components of change in operating loss for the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009:

| | Operating Loss |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| 2009 | \$ (2.6) |
| (Increase) decrease in 2010 from: | |
| KC comparable stores | (0.7) |
| New stores | (0.1) |
| Other | (0.1) |
| LGC comparable stores | 0.3 |
| Closed stores | 0.3 |
| 2010 | \$ (2.9) |

KC recognized an operating loss of \$2.9 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared with \$2.6 million in the second quarter of 2009. Lower KC comparable store results in the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009 were partially offset by improved LGC results from an increase in sales at comparable stores and the closing of unprofitable stores. Total store results changed primarily due to higher product costs and higher employee-related expenses, partially offset by lower rent.

KC reported a net loss of \$1.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared with \$1.7 million in the second quarter of 2009 primarily due to the factors affecting operating loss.

First Six Months of 2010 Compared with First Six Months of 2009

The following table identifies the components of change in revenues for the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009:

| | Revenues |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| 2009 | \$ 80.3 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | |
| New store sales | 2.7 |
| KC comparable store sales | 2.2 |
| LGC comparable store sales | 1.9 |
| Closed stores | (3.4) |
| 2010 | \$ 83.7 |

Revenues increased 4.2% in the first six months of 2010 to \$83.7 million compared with \$80.3 million in the first six months of 2009, primarily as a result of the impact of opening new stores at KC during the past twelve months and an increase in comparable store sales at both KC and LGC. The increase in comparable store sales was mainly due to an increase in sales transactions and a higher average sales transaction value primarily due to improved product assortments and more effective merchandising, partially offset by fewer customer visits. The increase in revenues was partially offset by the effect of closing unprofitable stores, primarily at LGC.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies the components of change in operating loss for the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009:

| | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| 2009 | Operating Loss \$ (6.9) |
| (Increase) decrease in 2010 from: | |
| LGC comparable stores | 0.8 |
| Closed stores | 0.7 |
| KC comparable stores | 0.1 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | (0.3) |
| New stores | (0.2) |
| 2010 | \$ (5.8) |

KC recognized an operating loss of \$5.8 million in the first six months of 2010 compared with \$6.9 million in the first six months of 2009 primarily due to a decrease in store rental expense at LGC and KC, partially offset by higher product costs. In addition, the operating loss was favorably affected by the closing of unprofitable LGC stores during the past twelve months. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased during the first six months of 2010 primarily as a result of higher employee-related costs compared with 2009.

KC reported a net loss of \$3.6 million in the first six months of 2010 compared with \$4.5 million in the first six months of 2009 primarily due to the factors affecting operating loss.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**Cash Flows**

The following tables detail the changes in cash flow for the six months ended June 30:

| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Operating activities: | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (3.6) | \$ (4.5) | \$ 0.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1.6 | 1.7 | (0.1) |
| Other | | 0.2 | (0.2) |
| Working capital changes | (12.1) | (11.7) | (0.4) |
| Net cash used for operating activities | (14.1) | (14.3) | 0.2 |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Expenditures for property, plant and equipment | (1.1) | (0.4) | (0.7) |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (1.1) | (0.4) | (0.7) |
| Cash flow before financing activities | \$ (15.2) | \$ (14.7) | \$ (0.5) |

The increase in expenditures for property, plant and equipment was mainly from higher additions of fixtures and equipment at stores during the first six months of 2010.

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
|--|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Financing activities: | | | |
| Net additions to revolving credit agreement | \$ 8.0 | \$ 11.5 | \$ (3.5) |
| Financing fees paid | (0.4) | | (0.4) |
| Capital contribution from NACCO | | 3.0 | (3.0) |
| Other | 0.1 | | 0.1 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | \$ 7.7 | \$ 14.5 | \$ (6.8) |

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$6.8 million in the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009, primarily from lower levels of borrowings and the absence of capital contributions from NACCO.

Financing Activities

KC has a \$25.0 million secured revolving line of credit that expires in April 2013 (the KC Facility). The KC Facility can be extended for an additional year, subject to the lender's consent, and will automatically increase to \$30.0 million before April 29, 2011. The obligations under the KC Facility are secured by substantially all assets of KC. The approximate book value of KC's assets held as collateral under the KC Facility was \$70 million as of June 30, 2010. The availability is derived from a borrowing base formula using KC's eligible inventory and eligible credit card accounts receivable, as defined in the KC Facility. At June 30, 2010, the borrowing base was \$22.5 million. Borrowings outstanding under the KC Facility were \$8.0 million at June 30, 2010. Therefore, at June 30, 2010, the excess availability under the KC Facility was \$14.5 million.

Borrowings bear interest at a floating rate, which can be either a base rate or LIBOR, as defined in the KC Facility, plus an applicable margin. The applicable margins, effective June 30, 2010, for base rate and LIBOR loans were 1.75% and 2.75%, respectively. The floating rate of interest applicable to the KC Facility was 3.33% at June 30, 2010, including the floating rate margin. The KC Facility also requires a fee of 0.50% per annum on the unused commitment.

The KC Facility allows for the payment of dividends to NACCO, subject to certain restrictions based on availability and meeting a fixed charge coverage ratio as described in the KC Facility.

KC believes funds available from cash on hand at KC and the Company, the KC Facility and operating cash flows will provide sufficient liquidity to meet its operating needs and commitments arising until the KC Facility expires in April 2013.

Contractual Obligations, Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

Since December 31, 2009, there have been no significant changes in the total amount of KC's contractual obligations, contingent liabilities or commercial commitments, or the timing of cash flows in accordance with those obligations as reported on page 67 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Capital Expenditures

Expenditures for property, plant and equipment were \$1.1 million for the first six months of 2010 and are estimated to be an additional \$1.6 million for the remainder of 2010. These planned capital expenditures are primarily for fixtures and equipment at new and existing stores and improvements to KC's information technology infrastructure. These expenditures are expected to be funded from internally generated funds and bank borrowings.

Table of Contents**Capital Structure**

Working capital is significantly affected by the seasonality of KC's business. The following is a discussion of the changes in KC's capital structure at June 30, 2010 compared with both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2009.

June 30, 2010 Compared with June 30, 2009

| | June 30 2010 | June 30 2009 | Change |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1.0 | \$ 1.0 | \$ |
| Other net tangible assets | 48.0 | 46.7 | 1.3 |
| Net assets | 49.0 | 47.7 | 1.3 |
| Total debt | (8.0) | (11.5) | 3.5 |
| Total equity | \$ 41.0 | \$ 36.2 | \$ 4.8 |
| Debt to total capitalization | 16% | 24% | (8%) |

Total debt decreased primarily as a result of lower borrowing requirements during the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009. Total equity increased due to net income of \$4.8 million recognized during the twelve month period ended June 30, 2010.

June 30, 2010 Compared with December 31, 2009

| | June 30 2010 | December 31 2009 | Change |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1.0 | \$ 8.5 | \$ (7.5) |
| Other net tangible assets | 48.0 | 36.1 | 11.9 |
| Net assets | 49.0 | 44.6 | 4.4 |
| Total debt | (8.0) | | (8.0) |
| Total equity | \$ 41.0 | \$ 44.6 | \$ (3.6) |
| Debt to total capitalization | 16% | (a) | (a) |

(a) Debt to total capitalization is not meaningful.

Other net tangible assets increased \$11.9 million at June 30, 2010 compared with December 31, 2009, primarily from a decrease in accounts payable due to the seasonality of the business, a decrease in other liabilities mainly attributable to the payment of sales taxes accrued at December 31, 2009 during the first six months of 2010 and a decrease in intercompany tax accounts payable as a result of payments made in the first six months of 2010. These items were partially offset by a decrease in inventory due to an effort to reduce inventory to more appropriate levels based upon anticipated demand and from the reduction in the number of LGC stores.

Total debt increased as a result of the seasonality of the business and the required funding of operations during the first six months of 2010. Total equity decreased as a result of KC's net loss of \$3.6 million during the first six months

of 2010.

OUTLOOK

Consumer sentiment and spending levels continue to reflect financial concerns and high unemployment rates, resulting in a challenging retail environment. However, the outlet mall retail market remains less volatile than in early 2009. KC also expects to take advantage of opportunities to increase the number of temporary and seasonal stores in the latter half of 2010. As a result, KC expects full year revenue in 2010 to increase compared with 2009.

The favorable sales trends that occurred in the reformatted Le Gourmet Chef® stores in the second half of 2009 and early 2010 are expected to continue in the second half of the year. In addition, KC plans to continue to refine its promotional offers and merchandise mix in the Le Gourmet Chef® stores to improve sales and margins. The opening of new stores, the renegotiation of leases and KC's continuing program of closing underperforming stores are also expected to provide improved results in 2010. However, KC expects increased transportation costs in the second half of 2010 and will work to offset these increased costs through pricing and other actions as needed.

Table of Contents

Overall, KC anticipates a significant percentage increase in full-year net income for 2010 compared with 2009, primarily due to the results in the first half of 2010 and the current expected improvement in the market through the holiday season. Cash flow before financing activities is expected to be higher in 2010 than in 2009.

Longer term, KC plans to focus on enhancing sales volumes through continued enhancements of its Kitchen Collection® and Le Gourmet Chef® store formats in response to consumer preferences. By strengthening its merchandise mix, store displays and appearance and optimizing store selling space, KC expects to generate sales growth. KC also expects to achieve store growth in both the Kitchen Collection® and Le Gourmet Chef® outlet and traditional mall store formats over the longer term while maintaining disciplined cost control. However, KC expects to continue to evaluate and close underperforming stores as needed.

THE NORTH AMERICAN COAL CORPORATION

NACoal mines and markets coal primarily as fuel for power generation and provides selected value-added mining services for other natural resources companies. Coal is surface mined from NACoal's developed mines in North Dakota, Texas and Mississippi. Total coal reserves approximate 2.2 billion tons with approximately 1.2 billion tons committed to customers pursuant to long-term contracts. NACoal has two consolidated mining operations: San Miguel Lignite Mine (San Miguel) and Mississippi Lignite Mining Company (MLMC). NACoal has seven unconsolidated mining operations: The Coteau Properties Company (Coteau), The Falkirk Mining Company (Falkirk), The Sabine Mining Company (Sabine), (collectively, the project mining subsidiaries), Demery Resources Company, LLC (Demery), Caddo Creek Resources Company, LLC (Caddo Creek), Camino Real Fuels, LLC (Camino Real) and Liberty Fuels Company, LLC (Liberty). Demery, Caddo Creek, Camino Real and Liberty are in the development stage. Demery has started mining minimal tons of coal from a test pit. Caddo Creek, Camino Real and Liberty do not currently mine or deliver coal. NACoal also provides dragline mining services for independently owned limerock quarries in Florida. During the fourth quarter of 2009, NACoal completed the sale of certain assets of the Red River Mining Company (Red River). The results of operations of Red River are reflected as discontinued operations. The unconsolidated mines each meet the definition of a variable interest entity and are accounted for by the equity method. The pre-tax earnings of the unconsolidated mines are included on the line Earnings of unconsolidated mines in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company has included the pre-tax earnings of the unconsolidated mines as a component of operating profit because they are an integral part of the Company's business and operating results. The investment in the unconsolidated mines is included on the line Other Non-current Assets in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

FINANCIAL REVIEW

Tons of coal sold by NACoal's operating mines were as follows for the three and six months ended June 30:

| | THREE MONTHS | | SIX MONTHS | |
|----------------------|--------------|------|-------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Coteau | 3.3 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 7.5 |
| Falkirk | 1.4 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| Sabine | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| Unconsolidated mines | 5.9 | 6.4 | 12.9 | 13.2 |
| San Miguel | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| MLMC | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Consolidated mines | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| Total tons sold | 7.4 | 8.1 | 16.1 | 16.6 |

The limerock dragline mining operations delivered 5.1 million and 8.9 million cubic yards of limerock in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. This compares with 0.6 million and 2.0 million cubic yards of limerock in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The increase in limerock yards delivered during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was a result of new mining permits issued to NACoal's customers in 2010 at all of the limerock dragline mining operations where an unfavorable legal ruling set aside NACoal's customers previous mining permits.

Table of Contents

The results of operations for NACoal were as follows for the three and six months ended June 30:

| | THREE MONTHS | | SIX MONTHS | |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | \$ 42.3 | \$ 31.5 | \$ 79.9 | \$ 64.1 |
| Operating profit | \$ 15.7 | \$ 9.2 | \$ 26.7 | \$ 22.2 |
| Interest expense | \$ (0.9) | \$ (0.9) | \$ (1.7) | \$ (2.0) |
| Other income (expense) | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 0.3 | \$ 0.5 |
| Net income | \$ 11.3 | \$ 7.1 | \$ 19.4 | \$ 17.9 |
| Effective income tax rate | 24.2% | 20.0% | 23.3% | 15.0% |

See further discussion of the consolidated effective income tax rate in Note 9 of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Second Quarter of 2010 Compared with Second Quarter of 2009

The following table identifies the components of change in revenues for the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 2009 | Revenues \$ 31.5 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | |
| Pre-development revenue | 7.6 |
| Limerock dragline mining operations | 2.4 |
| Royalty income | 1.1 |
| Consolidated coal mining operations | (0.3) |
| 2010 | \$ 42.3 |

Revenues for the second quarter of 2010 increased 34.3% to \$42.3 million from \$31.5 million in the second quarter of 2009 mainly due to revenue recognized in the second quarter of 2010 related to the reimbursement from Mississippi Power Company for previously recognized costs for pre-development activities. In addition, revenues were favorably affected by increased deliveries at the limerock dragline mining operations and an increase in royalty income. The increase at the limerock dragline mining operations was primarily the result of new mining permits issued to NACoal's customers at all of the limerock dragline mining operations where an unfavorable legal ruling set aside NACoal's customers' previous mining permits.

The following table identifies the components of change in operating profit for the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009:

| | |
|--|----------------------------|
| 2009 | Operating Profit \$ 9.2 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | |
| Pre-development revenue | 7.4 |
| Consolidated coal and limerock mining operations | 0.2 |
| Other selling, general and administrative expenses | (0.6) |
| Earnings of unconsolidated mines | (0.3) |
| Other | (0.2) |

2010

\$ 15.7

Operating profit increased to \$15.7 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$9.2 million in the second quarter of 2009, primarily as a result of revenue recognized in the second quarter of 2010 related to the reimbursement from Mississippi Power Company. The increase in consolidated coal and limerock mining operating profit was mainly from improved results at the limerock mining operations and the absence of higher costs in the prior year from reducing

43

Table of Contents

inventory levels at MLMC, partially offset by reduced operating results at MLMC due to lower volume from more outage days and higher operating expenses. The increase in operating profit was partially offset by higher selling, general and administrative expenses primarily due to higher employee-related costs for incentive compensation in the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009.

Net income increased to \$11.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$7.1 million in the second quarter of 2009. The increase was primarily due to the factors affecting operating profit, partially offset by an increase in income tax expense primarily due to a higher 2010 effective income tax rate.

First Six Months of 2010 Compared with First Six Months of 2009

The following table identifies the components of change in revenues for the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 2009 | Revenues \$ 64.1 |
| Increase in 2010 from: | |
| Pre-development revenue | 7.6 |
| Limerock dragline mining operations | 3.7 |
| Consolidated coal mining operations | 2.6 |
| Royalty income | 1.7 |
| Other | 0.2 |
| 2010 | \$ 79.9 |

Revenues for the first six months of 2010 increased 24.6% to \$79.9 million from \$64.1 million in the first six months of 2009 mainly due to revenue recognized in the second quarter of 2010 related to the reimbursement from Mississippi Power Company for previously recognized costs for pre-development activities. In addition, revenues were favorably affected by increased deliveries at the limerock dragline mining operations, an increase in contractual pass-through costs at San Miguel and an increase in royalty income. The increase at the limerock dragline mining operations was primarily the result of new mining permits issued to NACoal's customers at all of the limerock dragline mining operations where an unfavorable legal ruling set aside NACoal's customers' previous mining permits. The following table identifies the components of change in operating profit for the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009:

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| 2009 | Operating Profit \$ 22.2 |
| Increase (decrease) in 2010 from: | |
| Pre-development revenue | 7.4 |
| Gain on the sale of assets | (1.6) |
| Consolidated coal and limerock mining operations | (0.4) |
| Earnings of unconsolidated mines | (0.3) |
| Other selling, general and administrative expenses | (0.3) |
| Other | (0.3) |
| 2010 | \$ 26.7 |

Operating profit increased to \$26.7 million in the first six months of 2010 from \$22.2 million in the first six months of 2009, primarily as a result of revenue recognized in the second quarter of 2010 related to the reimbursement from Mississippi Power Company. The increase was partially offset by the absence of a gain on the sale of assets recognized in the first six months of 2009 and by a decline in consolidated coal and limerock mining operating profit mainly from reduced operating results at MLMC due to lower volume and higher operating expenses, partially offset by the absence of higher costs in the prior year from reducing inventory levels at MLMC and improved results at the limerock mining operations. In addition, operating profit was unfavorably affected by lower earnings of unconsolidated mines from lower contractual prices as well as higher selling, general and administrative expenses mainly due to higher employee-related expenses for incentive compensation in the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009.

Table of Contents

Net income increased to \$19.4 million in the first six months of 2010 from \$17.9 million in the first six months of 2009. The increase was primarily due to the factors affecting operating profit, partially offset by an increase in income tax expense mainly as a result of a higher 2010 effective income tax rate.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**Cash Flows**

The following tables detail the changes in cash flow for the six months ended June 30:

| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
|--|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 19.4 | \$ 17.9 | \$ 1.5 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 5.2 | 4.2 | 1.0 |
| Other | (0.2) | (2.3) | 2.1 |
| Working capital changes | (9.5) | 9.0 | (18.5) |
| Discontinued operations | | 0.8 | (0.8) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 14.9 | 29.6 | (14.7) |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Expenditures for property, plant and equipment | (3.9) | (3.2) | (0.7) |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets | 4.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| Investments in other unconsolidated affiliates | (1.1) | (1.9) | 0.8 |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (1.0) | (3.2) | 2.2 |
| Cash flow before financing activities | \$ 13.9 | \$ 26.4 | \$ (12.5) |

The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily the result of changes in working capital for the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009. The change in working capital was mainly from the change in net intercompany tax amounts with NACCO due to payments made during the first six months of 2010 compared with benefits received in the first six months of 2009 and an increase in coal inventory at MLMC compared with a decrease in the prior year.

Net cash used for investing activities decreased primarily due to increased proceeds from the sales of assets in the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009.

| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
|---|-------------|--------|--------|
| Financing activities: | | | |
| Net additions of long-term debt and revolving credit agreements | \$ 2.3 | \$ 0.4 | \$ 1.9 |
| Cash dividends paid to NACCO | (6.0) | (6.5) | 0.5 |
| Intercompany loans | (5.0) | (19.5) | 14.5 |
| Other | | (0.2) | 0.2 |
| Discontinued operations | | (0.1) | 0.1 |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Net cash used for financing activities | \$ (8.7) | \$ (25.9) | \$ 17.2 |
|---|-----------------|------------------|----------------|

Net cash used for financing activities decreased during the first six months of 2010 compared with the first six months of 2009 primarily due to the repayment of intercompany loans in the prior year.

45

Table of Contents

Financing Activities

NACoal has an unsecured revolving line of credit of up to \$100.0 million (the NACoal Facility) that expires in October 2012. Borrowings outstanding under the NACoal Facility were \$10.0 million at June 30, 2010. At June 30, 2010, the excess availability under the NACoal Facility was \$84.4 million, which reflects a reduction for outstanding letters of credit of \$5.6 million.

The NACoal Facility has performance-based pricing, which sets interest rates based upon achieving various levels of debt to EBITDA ratios, as defined in the NACoal Facility. Borrowings bear interest at either a base rate plus a margin of 1.75% or LIBOR plus a margin of 2.75%. The NACoal Facility also has a commitment fee of 0.50% per year on the unused commitment. The floating rate of interest applicable to the NACoal Facility at June 30, 2010 was 3.36% including the floating rate margin.

The NACoal Facility also contains restrictive covenants that require, among other things, NACoal to maintain certain debt to EBITDA and interest coverage ratios and provides the ability to make loans, dividends and advances to NACCO, with some restrictions based on the debt to EBITDA ratio and achieving availability thresholds. At June 30, 2010, NACoal was in compliance with these covenants.

During 2004 and 2005, NACoal issued unsecured notes totaling \$45.0 million in a private placement (the NACoal Notes), which require annual principal payments of approximately \$6.4 million that began in October 2008 and will mature on October 4, 2014. These unsecured notes bear interest at a weighted-average fixed rate of 6.08%, payable semi-annually on April 4 and October 4. The NACoal Notes are redeemable at any time at the option of NACoal, in whole or in part, at an amount equal to par plus accrued and unpaid interest plus a make-whole premium, if applicable. NACoal had \$32.1 million of the private placement notes outstanding at June 30, 2010. The NACoal Notes contain certain covenants and restrictions that require, among other things, NACoal to maintain certain net worth, leverage and interest coverage ratios, and limit dividends to NACCO based upon NACoal s leverage ratio. At June 30, 2010, NACoal was in compliance with the covenants in the NACoal Notes.

NACoal has a demand note payable to Coteau which bears interest based on the applicable quarterly federal short-term interest rate as announced from time to time by the Internal Revenue Service. At June 30, 2010, the balance of the note was \$7.0 million and the interest rate was 0.67%.

NACoal believes funds available from the NACoal Facility and operating cash flows will provide sufficient liquidity to finance its operating needs and commitments arising during the next 12 months and until the expiration of the NACoal Facility in October 2012.

Contractual Obligations, Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

Since December 31, 2009, there have been no significant changes in the total amount of NACoal s contractual obligations, contingent liabilities or commercial commitments, or the timing of cash flows in accordance with those obligations as reported on page 73 in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Capital Expenditures

Expenditures for property, plant and equipment were \$3.9 million during the first six months of 2010. NACoal estimates that its capital expenditures for the remainder of 2010 will be an additional \$7.1 million, primarily for mining activities and coal reserves. These expenditures are expected to be funded from internally generated funds and bank borrowings.

Table of Contents**Capital Structure**

NACCoal's capital structure is presented below:

| | June 30 2010 | December 31 2009 | Change |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 6.8 | \$ 1.6 | \$ 5.2 |
| Other net tangible assets | 116.4 | 108.6 | 7.8 |
| Coal supply agreement and other intangibles, net | 61.4 | 63.5 | (2.1) |
| Net assets | 184.6 | 173.7 | 10.9 |
| Advances to NACCO | 5.0 | | 5.0 |
| Other debt | (49.1) | (46.8) | (2.3) |
| | | | |
| Total equity | \$ 140.5 | \$ 126.9 | \$ 13.6 |

Debt to total capitalization **24%** 27% (3%)

Other net tangible assets increased \$7.8 million at June 30, 2010 compared with December 31, 2009, primarily from a decrease in net intercompany amounts with NACCO due to tax payments made during the first six months of 2010 and a decrease in other current liabilities mainly from a decrease in accrued payroll resulting from the payment of amounts accrued at December 31, 2009.

Total equity increased primarily due to net income of \$19.4 million, partially offset by dividends to NACCO of \$6.0 million during the first six months of 2010.

OUTLOOK

NACCoal expects steady performance at its coal mining operations in 2010 provided that customers achieve currently planned power plant operating levels. Overall, tons delivered at the coal mines in 2010 are expected to be comparable to 2009.

Limerock deliveries are expected to be significantly higher in 2010 than in 2009. In early 2010, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers issued new mining permits for NACCoal's limerock customers in the Florida lake belt region where an unfavorable legal ruling set aside the customers' previous mining permits. Although these quarries are back in production, production levels are expected to continue at moderate rates throughout the remainder of 2010 because of the continued depressed levels of the southern Florida housing and construction markets. Delivery levels are not expected to achieve the previously high levels of 2008.

NACCoal also provides mining services to four new mines that are expected to generate modest income during the second half of 2010. These mines, which are not consolidated with NACCoal, are in the development stage and will not be in full production for several years. During the second quarter, NACCoal finalized an agreement with Mississippi Power Company to provide approximately 4.2 million tons of lignite coal annually from its new Liberty Mine to the new Kemper County lignite coal-fired Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) power plant currently being built in Mississippi. The building of the power plant is still contingent on satisfying legal challenges to recent and future regulatory approvals. Initial deliveries are expected to commence in late 2013. NACCoal also has new project opportunities for which it expects to continue to incur additional expenses in 2010. In particular, NACCoal continues to move forward to gain a permit for its Otter Creek reserve in North Dakota in preparation for the expected construction of a new mine. The permit is anticipated to be issued late in the second half of 2010.

Overall, NACCoal expects full year 2010 income from continuing operations to increase over 2009 income from continuing operations. Cash flow before financing activities in 2010 is expected to be significant, but down from 2009, when NACCoal sold Red River.

NACoal's contract at San Miguel expires at the end of 2010. During the second quarter, NACoal responded to San Miguel Electric's Request for Proposal to operate the mine beyond 2010 but was not selected. Beginning in the third quarter of 2010, NACoal will begin planning for the transition of the mine operations to the new mining company. NACoal does not expect to incur significant costs as part of the wind-down of this contract. Under the current contract, revenues generated by San Miguel were \$30 to \$50 million per year, and were primarily for contractually reimbursable costs. Net income generated by San Miguel has been less than \$1.0 million per year under the current contract.

Over the longer term, NACoal expects to continue its efforts to develop new mining projects. NACoal is actively pursuing domestic opportunities for new coal mining projects, which include prospects for power generation, coal-to-liquids, coal gasification and other clean coal technologies. Furthermore, NACoal is encouraged that new international value-added mining services projects for coal and other aggregates may become available in addition to NACoal's current agreement to provide mining services in India. NACoal also continues to pursue additional non-coal mining opportunities.

Table of Contents**NACCO AND OTHER**

NACCO and Other includes the parent company operations and Bellaire Corporation, a non-operating subsidiary of NACCO.

FINANCIAL REVIEW**Operating Results**

The results of operations at NACCO and Other were as follows for the three and six months ended June 30:

| | THREE MONTHS | | SIX MONTHS | |
|------------------------|--------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Operating loss | \$ (3.1) | \$ (1.5) | \$ (4.8) | \$ (2.6) |
| Other income (expense) | \$ (4.9) | \$ (0.4) | \$ (7.6) | \$ (1.2) |
| Net loss | \$ (5.3) | \$ (1.4) | \$ (8.3) | \$ (2.9) |

2010 Compared with 2009

NACCO and Other recognized a higher operating loss in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 compared with the second quarter and first six months of 2009. The change was primarily due to higher employee-related expenses in 2010 compared with 2009, partially offset by an increase in management fees charged to the subsidiaries. The increase in employee-related expenses and related increase in management fees during 2010 was primarily due to the partial restoration of compensation and benefits, which were reduced in 2009.

The change in other income (expense) in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 compared with the second quarter and first six months of 2009 was primarily due to an increase in expenses related to the failed Applica transaction, as discussed in the Applica Transaction section below. NACCO and Other recognized a higher net loss in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 compared with the second quarter and first six months of 2009 primarily due to the factors affecting the operating loss and other income (expense).

Applica Transaction

In 2006, the Company initiated litigation in the Delaware Chancery Court against Applica Incorporated (Applica) and individuals and entities affiliated with Applica s shareholder, Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd. The litigation is on-going and alleges a number of contract and tort claims against the defendants related to the failed transaction with Applica, which had been previously announced. In its claims, the Company seeks monetary damages and any appropriate equitable relief.

Transaction expenses related to this litigation were \$4.5 million and \$6.9 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. This compares with \$0.2 million and \$0.8 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The Company expects to continue to incur a higher level of litigation costs during the remainder of 2010 related to this matter.

Management Fees

The parent company charges management fees to its operating subsidiaries for services provided by the corporate headquarters. The management fees are based upon estimated parent company resources devoted to providing centralized services and stewardship activities and are allocated among all subsidiaries based upon the relative size and complexity of each subsidiary. In order to determine the allocation of management fees among the subsidiaries each year, the parent company reviews the time its employees devoted to each operating subsidiary during the prior year and the estimated costs for providing centralized services and stewardship activities in the next year to determine the amount of management fees to allocate to each operating subsidiary for that year. In addition, the parent company reviews the amount of management fees allocated to its operating subsidiaries each quarter to ensure the amount continues to be reasonable based on the actual costs incurred to date. The Company believes the allocation method is consistently applied and reasonable.

Table of Contents

Following are the parent company management fees included in each subsidiary's selling, general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30:

| | THREE MONTHS | | SIX MONTHS | |
|--------|--------------|--------|------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| NMHG | \$ 1.6 | \$ 1.5 | \$ 3.2 | \$ 3.1 |
| HBB | \$ 0.8 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 1.7 | \$ 1.0 |
| KC | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.1 |
| NACoal | \$ 0.9 | \$ 0.7 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 1.5 |

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Although NACCO's subsidiaries have entered into substantial borrowing agreements, NACCO has not guaranteed any borrowings of its subsidiaries. The borrowing agreements at NMHG, HBB, KC and NACoal allow for the payment to NACCO of dividends and advances under certain circumstances. Dividends (to the extent permitted by its subsidiaries borrowing agreements), advances and management fees from its subsidiaries are the primary sources of cash for NACCO.

The Company believes funds available from cash on hand, its subsidiaries' credit facilities and anticipated funds generated from operations are sufficient to finance all of its scheduled principal repayments, operating needs and commitments arising during the next twelve months and until the expiration of its subsidiaries' credit facilities.

Contractual Obligations, Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

Since December 31, 2009, there have been no significant changes in the total amount of NACCO and Other contractual obligations, contingent liabilities or commercial commitments, or the timing of cash flows in accordance with those obligations as reported on page 77 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Capital Structure

NACCO's consolidated capital structure is presented below:

| | June 30 | December | Change |
|--|----------|------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 31 2009 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 270.0 | \$ 256.2 | \$ 13.8 |
| Other net tangible assets | 485.0 | 501.4 | (16.4) |
| Coal supply agreement and other intangibles, net | 61.4 | 63.5 | (2.1) |
| Net assets | 816.4 | 821.1 | (4.7) |
| Total debt | (414.9) | (409.5) | (5.4) |
| Closed mine obligations, net of tax | (14.2) | (14.5) | 0.3 |
| Total equity | \$ 387.3 | \$ 397.1 | \$ (9.8) |
| Debt to total capitalization | 52% | 51% | 1% |

Table of Contents**EFFECTS OF FOREIGN CURRENCY**

NMHG and HBB operate internationally and enter into transactions denominated in foreign currencies. As a result, the Company is subject to the variability that arises from exchange rate movements. The effects of foreign currency fluctuations on revenues, operating profit and net income at NMHG and HBB are addressed in the previous discussions of operating results. See also Item 3, **Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**, in Part I of this Form 10-Q.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The statements contained in this Form 10-Q that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements are made subject to certain risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those presented in the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date hereof. Such risks and uncertainties with respect to each subsidiary's operations include, without limitation:

NMHG: (1) reduction in demand for lift trucks and related aftermarket parts and service on a global basis, including the ability of NMHG's dealers, suppliers and end-users to obtain financing at reasonable rates, or at all, as a result of current economic and market conditions, (2) customer acceptance of pricing, (3) delays in delivery or increases in costs, including transportation costs, of raw materials or sourced products and labor or changes in or unavailability of quality suppliers, (4) exchange rate fluctuations, changes in foreign import tariffs and monetary policies and other changes in the regulatory climate in the foreign countries in which NMHG operates and/or sells products, (5) delays in, increased costs from or reduced benefits from restructuring programs, (6) customer acceptance of, changes in the costs of, or delays in the development of new products, (7) introduction of new products by, or more favorable product pricing offered by, NMHG's competitors, (8) delays in manufacturing and delivery schedules, (9) bankruptcy of or loss of major dealers, retail customers or suppliers, (10) product liability or other litigation, warranty claims or returns of products, (11) the effectiveness of the cost reduction programs implemented globally, including the successful implementation of procurement and sourcing initiatives, (12) dispositions of dealerships by NMHG and (13) changes mandated by federal, state and other regulation, including health, safety or environmental legislation.

HBB: (1) changes in the sales prices, product mix or levels of consumer purchases of small electric appliances, (2) changes in consumer retail and credit markets, (3) bankruptcy of or loss of major retail customers or suppliers, (4) changes in costs, including transportation costs, of sourced products, (5) delays in delivery of sourced products, (6) changes in, or unavailability of quality or cost effective, suppliers, (7) exchange rate fluctuations, changes in the foreign import tariffs and monetary policies and other changes in the regulatory climate in the foreign countries in which HBB buys, operates and/or sells products, (8) product liability, regulatory actions or other litigation, warranty claims or returns of products, (9) customer acceptance of, changes in costs of, or delays in the development of new products, (10) increased competition, including consolidation within the industry, (11) changes mandated by federal, state and other regulation, including health, safety or environmental legislation and (12) the ability of HBB and its customers and suppliers to access credit in the current economic and market environment.

KC: (1) changes in gasoline prices, weather conditions, the level of consumer confidence and disposable income as a result of the uncertain economy or other events or conditions that may adversely affect the number of customers visiting Kitchen Collection® and Le Gourmet Chef® stores, (2) changes in the sales prices, product mix or levels of consumer purchases of kitchenware, small electric appliances and gourmet foods, (3) changes in costs, including transportation costs, of inventory, (4) delays in delivery or the unavailability of inventory, (5) customer acceptance of new products and (6) increased competition.

NACoal: (1) changes in tax laws or regulatory requirements, including changes in power plant emission regulations and health, safety or environmental legislation, (2) changes in costs related to geological conditions, repairs and maintenance, new equipment and replacement parts, fuel or other similar items, (3) changes in mining permit requirements that could affect deliveries to customers, (4) weather conditions, extended power plant outages or other events that would change the level of customers' coal or limerock requirements, (5) weather or equipment problems that could affect coal or limerock deliveries to customers, (6) changes in the power industry that would affect demand

for NACoal's reserves, (7) costs to pursue and develop new mining opportunities, including costs in connection with NACoal's joint ventures, (8) the outcome of legal challenges to the regulatory approvals necessary to construct the Liberty Mine and Kemper County IGCC Plant in Mississippi and (9) the ability to renew existing contracts on favorable terms or at all.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

See pages 80, F-13, F-26, F-27, F-28 and F-29 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 for a discussion of the Company's derivative hedging policies and use of financial instruments. There have been no material changes in the Company's market risk exposures since December 31, 2009.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures: An evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, these officers have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting: During the second quarter of 2010, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II
OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1 Legal Proceedings

None

Item 1A Risk Factors

No changes

Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4 Reserved

Item 5 Other Information

None

Item 6 Exhibits

Incorporated by reference to the Exhibit Index on page 53 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NACCO Industries, Inc.
(Registrant)

Date: August 4, 2010

/s/ Kenneth C. Schilling
Kenneth C. Schilling
Vice President and Controller (principal
financial and accounting officer and
authorized officer)

52

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit Number* | Description of Exhibits |
|--------------------|---|
| 10.1 | NACCO Industries, Inc. Executive Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (Amended and Restated Effective February 1, 2010) (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to NACCO's Definitive Proxy Statement, filed by the Company on March 26, 2010, Commission File Number 1-9172) |
| 10.2 | NACCO Industries, Inc. Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (Effective January 1, 2010) (incorporated by reference to Appendix D to NACCO's Definitive Proxy Statement, filed by the Company on March 26, 2010, Commission File Number 1-9172) |
| 10.3 | NACCO Materials Handling Group, Inc. Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (Effective January 1, 2010) (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to NACCO's Definitive Proxy Statement, filed by the Company on March 26, 2010, Commission File Number 1-9172) |
| 10.4 | The Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc. Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (Effective January 1, 2010) (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to NACCO's Definitive Proxy Statement, filed by the Company on March 26, 2010, Commission File Number 1-9172) |
| 10.5 | The North American Coal Corporation Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (Effective January 1, 2010) (incorporated by reference to Appendix E to NACCO's Definitive Proxy Statement, filed by the Company on March 26, 2010, Commission File Number 1-9172) |
| 10.6 | Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2010, by and among NMHG Holding Co., NACCO Materials Handling Group, Inc., NACCO Materials Handling Limited, NACCO Materials Handling B.V., NMH International B.V., N.M.H. Holding B.V., the financial institutions from time to time party hereto as Lenders, the financial institutions from time to time party hereto as Issuing Banks, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, Citicorp North America, Inc., as Administrative Agent, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC as Joint Lead Arrangers and as Joint Bookrunners, U.S. Bank National Association, as Senior Managing Agent and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc., as Documentation Agent, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit No. 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed by the Company on July 7, 2010, Commission File Number 1-9172) |
| 31(i)(1) | Certification of Alfred M. Rankin, Jr. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act |
| 31(i)(2) | Certification of Kenneth C. Schilling pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act |
| 32 | Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by Alfred M. Rankin, Jr. and Kenneth C. Schilling |

* Numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K.