

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC

Form 10-K

February 18, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
Form 10-K**

☐ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

OR

○ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-9028

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1150

Newport Beach, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

95-3997619

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

92660

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (949) 718-4400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.10 Par Value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ○

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2009, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$2,662,290,000 based on the closing sale price as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at February 16, 2010
Common Stock, \$0.10 par value per share	117,422,270 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document	Parts Into Which Incorporated
Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2010 (Proxy Statement)	Part III

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

Form 10-K

December 31, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

PART I

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	15
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	32
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	32
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	32
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	33

PART II

<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for the Company's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	33
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	35
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	37
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	55
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	57
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	120
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	120

PART III

<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	122
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	122
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	122
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	122
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	122
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	122

PART IV

<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	123
	<u>Signatures</u>	127

EX-10.24
EX-10.25
EX-12
EX-21
EX-23.1
EX-31

EX-32

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. *Business.*

General

Nationwide Health Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation incorporated on October 14, 1985, is a real estate investment trust (REIT) that invests primarily in senior housing, long-term care properties and medical office buildings. Whenever we refer herein to NHP or to us or use the terms we or our, we are referring to Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

Our operations are organized into two segments triple-net leases and multi-tenant leases. In the triple-net leases segment, we invest in healthcare related properties and lease the facilities to unaffiliated tenants under triple-net and generally master leases that transfer the obligation for all facility operating costs (including maintenance, repairs, taxes, insurance and capital expenditures) to the tenant. In the multi-tenant leases segment, we invest in healthcare related properties that have several tenants under separate leases in each building, thus requiring active management and responsibility for many of the associated operating expenses (although many of these are, or can effectively be, passed through to the tenants). As of December 31, 2009, the multi-tenant leases segment was comprised exclusively of medical office buildings. We did not invest in multi-tenant leases prior to 2006. In addition, but to a much lesser extent because we view the risks of this activity to be greater due to less favorable bankruptcy treatment and other factors, from time to time, we extend mortgage loans and other financing to tenants. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, approximately 93% of our revenues are derived from our leases, with the remaining 7% from our mortgage loans and other financing activities.

As of December 31, 2009, we had investments in 576 healthcare facilities and one land parcel located in 43 states, consisting of:

Consolidated facilities:

- 251 assisted and independent living facilities;
- 167 skilled nursing facilities;
- 10 continuing care retirement communities;
- 7 specialty hospitals;
- 19 triple-net medical office buildings, one of which is operated by a consolidated joint venture; and
- 60 multi-tenant medical office buildings, 15 of which are operated by consolidated joint ventures.

Unconsolidated facilities:

- 19 assisted and independent living facilities;
- 14 skilled nursing facilities;

2 medical office buildings; and

1 continuing care retirement community.

Mortgage loans secured by:

16 skilled nursing facilities;

9 assisted and independent living facilities;

1 medical office building; and

1 land parcel.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2009, our directly owned facilities, other than our multi-tenant medical office buildings, most of which are operated by our consolidated joint ventures, were operated by 83 different healthcare providers, including the following publicly traded companies:

	Number of Facilities Operated
Assisted Living Concepts, Inc.	4
Brookdale Senior Living, Inc.	96
Emeritus Corporation	6
Extendicare, Inc.	1
HEALTHSOUTH Corporation	2
Kindred Healthcare, Inc.	1
Sun Healthcare Group, Inc.	4

Two of our triple-net lease tenants, Brookdale Senior Living, Inc. (Brookdale) and Hearthstone Senior Services, L.P. (Hearthstone) each accounted for more than 10% of our revenues at December 31, 2009, and both may account for more than 10% of our revenues in 2010.

The following table summarizes our top five tenants, the number of facilities each operates and the percentage of our revenues received from each of these tenants as of the end of 2009, as adjusted for facilities acquired and disposed of during 2009:

Tenant	Number of Facilities Operated	Percentage of Revenue	Average Remaining Lease Term (Years)
Brookdale Senior Living, Inc.	96	15.2%	7.7
Hearthstone Senior Services, L.P.	32	10.8%	11.5
Wingate Healthcare, Inc.	18	6.1%	10.2
Beverly Enterprises	28	4.3%	4.7
Atria Senior Living Group	9	3.7%	10.0

Our leases have fixed initial rent amounts and generally contain annual escalators. Many of our leases contain non-contingent rent escalators for which we recognize income on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Certain leases contain escalators contingent on revenues or other factors, including increases based on changes in the Consumer Price Index. Such revenue increases are recognized over the lease term as the related contingencies are met. However, if the Consumer Price Index starts trending negatively again as it did for most of 2009, we are likely to see much less, if any, internal growth from these rent escalators as long as deflationary conditions continue. We assess the collectability of our rent receivables, and we reserve against the receivable balances for any amounts that may not be recovered.

Our triple-net leased facilities are generally leased under triple-net leases that transfer the obligation for all facility operating costs (including maintenance, repairs, taxes, insurance and capital expenditures) to the tenant.

Approximately 84% of these facilities are leased under master leases. In addition, the majority of these leases contain cross-collateralization and cross-default provisions tied to other leases with the same tenant, as well as grouped lease renewals and grouped purchase options. Leases covering 456 facilities are backed by security deposits consisting of irrevocable letters of credit or cash totaling \$71.3 million. Leases covering 340 facilities contain provisions for property tax impounds, and leases covering 207 facilities contain provisions for capital expenditure impounds. Our multi-tenant facilities generally have several tenants under separate leases in each building, thus requiring active management and responsibility for many of the associated operating expenses (although many of these are, or can effectively be, passed through to the tenants).

Table of Contents

2009 Highlights and Recent Developments

Investing Activities

On October 5, 2009, we reached an agreement in principle with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC to acquire three medical office buildings, the 55.05% interest that we do not already own in one of our unconsolidated joint ventures, which owns two medical office buildings, and majority ownership interests in two joint ventures that will each own one medical office building, including one of the two remaining development properties under our 2008 Contribution Agreement with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC and certain of its affiliates, as amended. The acquisitions are subject to customary due diligence and the negotiation and implementation of definitive agreements, as well as the receipt of a variety of third party approvals. We also agreed to modifications to our 2008 development agreement. As of February 1, 2010, we acquired the medical office building that served as collateral for our \$47.5 million mortgage loan to a related party. Additionally, we acquired a majority ownership interest in a joint venture which owns one medical office building, amended and restated our 2008 development agreement and amended our agreement with PMB Pomona LLC to provide for the future acquisition by NHP/PMB L.P. of a medical office building currently in development.

During 2009, we funded \$34.4 million in expansions, construction and capital improvements at certain facilities in accordance with existing lease provisions. Such expansions, construction and capital improvements generally result in an increase in the minimum rents earned by us on these facilities either at the time of funding or upon completion of the project. We also funded, directly and through our medical office building joint ventures, \$4.0 million in capital and tenant improvements at certain multi-tenant medical office buildings.

On August 21, 2009, we acquired the noncontrolling interests held by The Broe Companies (Broe) in two consolidated joint ventures we had with them for \$4.3 million, including a cash payment of \$3.9 million. As a result of this acquisition, we now have direct ownership of the 36 multi-tenant medical office buildings previously owned by the joint ventures.

In 2008, we agreed to extend to PMB LLC a \$10.0 million line of credit at an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 175 basis points to fund certain costs of PMB LLC with respect to the proposed development of multi-tenant medical office buildings. During 2009, we funded \$3.2 million under the line of credit.

In 2008, we entered into an agreement with PMB Pomona LLC to acquire a medical office building currently in development for \$37.5 million upon completion which was amended as of February 1, 2010 to provide for the future acquisition of the medical office building by NHP/PMB L.P. In April 2009, we entered into an agreement with PMB LLC, the manager of PMB Pomona LLC, to extend up to \$3.0 million of funding at an interest rate of 7.25%, which is secured by 100% of the membership interests in PMB Pomona LLC, and funded \$1.6 million during 2009.

In February 2009, we entered into an agreement with Brookdale under which we became a lender with an original commitment of \$8.8 million (\$2.9 million at December 31, 2009) under their original \$230.0 million revolving loan facility (\$75.0 million at December 31, 2009), which is scheduled to mature on August 31, 2010. During 2009, we funded \$7.5 million which was repaid prior to December 31, 2009.

During 2009, we also funded \$3.4 million on other existing mortgage and other loans, and we received payments of \$5.2 million, including the prepayment of one mortgage loan totaling \$3.7 million.

During 2009, we sold five skilled nursing facilities and one assisted living facility for net cash proceeds of \$43.5 million that resulted in a total gain of \$23.9 million which is included on our consolidated income

statements in gain on sale of facilities in discontinued operations.

Financing Activities

On March 12, 2009, our credit rating from Fitch Ratings was upgraded to BBB from BBB-, and on April 1, 2009, our credit rating from Moody's was upgraded to Baa2 from Baa3. As a result, the spread over LIBOR

Table of Contents

for our \$700.0 million revolving unsecured senior credit facility decreased from 0.85% to 0.70%. At December 31, 2009, there was no balance outstanding on the credit facility.

During 2009, we repaid at maturity \$32.0 million of senior notes with a weighted average interest rate of 7.76%, and \$2.6 million of senior notes with an interest rate of 6.90% and final maturity in 2037 were put to us for payment. Also during 2009, we retired \$30.0 million of senior notes with an interest rate of 6.25% due in February 2013 for \$25.4 million, resulting in a net gain of \$4.6 million which is reflected on our consolidated income statements as gain on debt extinguishment, net.

During 2009, prior to our acquisition of Broe's interests in two consolidated joint ventures we had with them, an additional \$6.9 million was funded on existing loans secured by a portion of the Broe medical office building joint venture portfolios, and one of the joint ventures exercised the first of two available 12-month extension options on a \$32.9 million loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2009 and refinanced one additional \$6.4 million loan that was scheduled to mature in February 2009, extending its maturity to February 2012.

During 2009, we prepaid \$2.7 million of fixed rate secured debt with an interest rate of 8.75%, and we made payments of \$7.9 million on other notes and bonds payable.

During 2009, we issued and sold approximately 9,537,000 shares of common stock under our at-the-market equity offering program at a weighted average price of \$30.34 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$286.3 million after sales agent fees. We entered into new sales agreements, each dated January 15, 2010, to sell up to an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of our common stock from time to time.

During 2009, we issued approximately 1,083,000 shares of common stock under our dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan at a weighted average price of \$28.27 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$30.6 million.

On January 18, 2010, we redeemed all outstanding shares of our 7.75% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (Series B Preferred Stock) at a redemption price per share of \$103.875 plus an amount equal to accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon to the redemption date (\$0.3875), for a total redemption price of \$104.2625 per share, payable only in cash. As a result of the redemption, each share of Series B Preferred Stock was convertible until January 14, 2010 into 4.5150 shares of common stock. During that time, 512,727 shares were converted into approximately 2,315,000 shares of common stock. On January 18, 2010, we redeemed the 917 shares that remained outstanding at a redemption price of \$104.2625 per share.

During 2009, we paid \$187.8 million, or \$1.76 per common share, in dividends to our common stockholders. On February 9, 2010, our board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.44 per share of common stock. This dividend will be paid on March 5, 2010 to stockholders of record on February 19, 2010.

On January 15, 2010, we filed a new shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under which we may issue securities including debt, convertible debt, common and preferred stock and warrants to purchase any of these securities. Our existing shelf registration statement was set to expire in May 2010.

Taxation

We believe we have operated in such a manner as to qualify for taxation as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and we intend to continue to operate in such a manner. If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we will generally not be subject to federal corporate income taxes on our net income that is

currently distributed to stockholders. This treatment substantially eliminates the double taxation, that is, at the corporate and stockholder levels, that usually results from investment in the stock of a corporation. Please see the risk factors found under the heading Risks Related to Our Taxation as a REIT under the caption Risk Factors for more information.

Table of Contents

Objectives and Policies

We are organized to invest in income-producing healthcare related facilities. At December 31, 2009, we had investments in 576 facilities located in 43 states, and we plan to invest in additional healthcare properties in the United States. Other than potentially utilizing joint ventures, we do not intend to invest in securities of, or interests in, persons engaged in real estate activities or to invest in securities of other issuers for the purpose of exercising control.

In evaluating potential investments, we consider such factors as:

- The geographic area, type of property and demographic profile;
- The location, construction quality, condition and design of the property;
- The expertise and reputation of the operator;
- The current and anticipated cash flow and its adequacy to meet operational needs and lease obligations;
- Whether the anticipated rent provides a competitive market return to NHP;
- The potential for capital appreciation;
- The tax laws related to real estate investment trusts;
- The regulatory and reimbursement environment in which the properties operate;
- Occupancy and demand for similar healthcare facilities in the same or nearby communities; and
- An appropriate mix between private and government sponsored patients.

There are no limitations on the percentage of our total assets that may be invested in any one property. The Investment Committee of the board of directors or the board of directors may establish limitations as it deems appropriate from time to time. No limits have been set on the number of properties in which we will seek to invest or on the concentration of investments in any one facility type or any geographic area. From time to time we may sell properties; however, we do not intend to engage in the purchase and sale, or turnover, of investments. We acquire our investments primarily for long-term income.

At December 31, 2009, we had one series of preferred stock with a liquidation preference totaling \$51.4 million and \$1.0 billion of indebtedness that is senior to our common stock. On January 18, 2010, we redeemed all outstanding shares of our preferred stock. We may, in the future, issue additional debt or equity securities that will be senior to our common stock.

In certain circumstances, we may make mortgage loans with respect to certain facilities secured by those facilities. At December 31, 2009, we held 14 mortgage loans secured by 16 skilled nursing facilities, nine assisted and independent living facilities, one medical office building and one land parcel. There are no limitations on the number or the amount of mortgages that may be placed on any one piece of property.

We may incur additional indebtedness when, in the opinion of our management and board of directors, it is advisable. For short-term purposes, we, from time to time, negotiate lines of credit or arrange for other short-term borrowings from banks or others. We arrange for long-term borrowings through public offerings or private placements to

institutional investors.

In addition, we may incur additional mortgage indebtedness on real estate which we have acquired through purchase, foreclosure or otherwise. We may invest in properties subject to existing loans or secured by mortgages, deeds of trust or similar liens on the properties. We also may obtain non-recourse or other mortgage financing on unleveraged properties in which we have invested or may refinance properties acquired on a leveraged basis.

We will not, without the approval of a majority of the disinterested directors, acquire from or sell to any director, officer or employee of NHP or any affiliate thereof, as the case may be, any of our assets or other property. We provide to our stockholders annual reports containing audited financial statements and quarterly reports containing unaudited information, which are available upon request.

Table of Contents

We do not have plans to underwrite securities of other issuers.

The policies set forth herein have been established by our board of directors and may be changed without stockholder approval.

Properties

Of the 576 facilities in which we have investments, as of December 31, 2009, we have direct ownership of:

251 assisted and independent living facilities;

167 skilled nursing facilities;

10 continuing care retirement communities;

7 specialty hospitals;

19 triple-net medical office buildings of which one is operated by a consolidated joint venture; and

60 multi-tenant medical office buildings, 15 of which are operated by consolidated joint ventures.

We also have indirect ownership of 36 facilities through our unconsolidated joint ventures and have mortgage loans secured by 26 facilities and one land parcel.

Our operations are organized into two segments—triple-net leases and multi-tenant leases. In the triple-net leases segment, we invest in healthcare related properties and lease the facilities to unaffiliated tenants under triple-net and generally master leases that transfer the obligation for all facility operating costs (including maintenance, repairs, taxes, insurance and capital expenditures) to the tenant. In the multi-tenant leases segment, we invest in healthcare related properties that have several tenants under separate leases in each building, thus requiring active management and responsibility for many of the associated operating expenses (although many of these are, or can effectively be, passed through to the tenants). As of December 31, 2009, the multi-tenant leases segment was comprised exclusively of medical office buildings. See Note 21 Segment Information of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information about our business segments.

Triple-net Leases

Our triple-net leases segment includes investments in the following types of facilities:

Senior Housing/Assisted and Independent Living Facilities

Assisted and independent living facilities offer studio, one bedroom and two bedroom apartments on a month-to-month basis primarily to elderly individuals, including those with Alzheimer's or related dementia, with various levels of assistance requirements. Assisted and independent living residents are provided meals and eat in a central dining area; assisted living residents may also be assisted with some daily living activities with programs and services that allow residents certain conveniences and make it possible for them to live as independently as possible; staff is also available when residents need assistance and for group activities. Services provided to residents who require more assistance with daily living activities, but who do not require the constant supervision skilled nursing facilities provide, include personal supervision and assistance with eating, bathing, grooming and administering medication. Charges for room, board and services are generally paid from private sources.

Long-Term Care/Skilled Nursing Facilities

Skilled nursing facilities provide rehabilitative, restorative, skilled nursing and medical treatment for patients and residents who do not require the high-technology, care-intensive, high-cost setting of an acute care or rehabilitative hospital. Treatment programs include physical, occupational, speech, respiratory and other therapeutic programs, including sub-acute clinical protocols such as wound care and intravenous drug treatment.

Table of Contents*Continuing Care Retirement Communities*

Continuing care retirement communities provide a broad continuum of care. At the most basic level, independent living residents might receive meal service, maid service or other services as part of their monthly rent. Services which aid in everyday living are provided to other residents, much like in an assisted living facility. At the far end of the spectrum, skilled nursing, rehabilitation and medical treatment are provided to residents who need those services. This type of facility consists of independent living units, dedicated assisted living units and licensed skilled nursing beds on one campus.

Specialty Hospitals

Specialty hospitals provide specialized medical services and treatment rather than the broad spectrum offered by regular hospitals. The specialty hospitals in which we have invested are focused on rehabilitation, long-term acute care or children's care.

Medical Office Buildings

Medical office buildings usually house several different unrelated medical practices, although they can be associated with a large single-specialty or multi-specialty group. Tenants include physicians, dentists, psychologists, therapists and other healthcare providers, with space devoted to patient examination and treatment, diagnostic imaging, outpatient surgery and other outpatient services. Medical office buildings are generally classified as being either on campus, meaning on or near an acute care hospital campus, or off campus.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our owned triple-net leased facilities as of December 31, 2009:

Facility Location	Number of Facilities	Number of Beds/Units(1)	Square Footage(1)	Gross	
				Real Estate Investment (Dollars in thousands)	2009 NOI(2)
<i>Senior Housing/Assisted and Independent Living Facilities:</i>					
Alabama	7	590		\$ 46,245	\$ 4,199
Arizona	3	277		28,172	2,635
Arkansas	1	32		2,151	233
California	17	2,079		140,302	19,528
Colorado	3	529		45,598	5,730
Connecticut	2	234		32,786	3,212
Florida	17	1,285		103,833	10,268
Georgia	3	343		21,835	1,923
Indiana	7	340		31,805	3,833
Kansas	6	277		16,418	1,736
Maryland	1	65		5,632	459
Massachusetts	1	98		18,903	1,092
Michigan	13	775		84,333	8,583
Minnesota	10	343		38,721	3,501

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Mississippi	1	52	4,682	413
Missouri	4	76	1,905	126
Nevada	2	154	13,616	1,393
New Jersey	2	104	7,616	1,057
New Mexico	1	116	23,427	2,038
New York	3	406	44,266	5,130
North Carolina	10	970	108,775	9,399

7

Table of Contents

Facility Location	Number of Facilities	Number of Beds/Units(1)	Square Footage(1)	Gross	
				Real Estate Investment (Dollars in thousands)	2009 NOI(2)
North Dakota	1	48		6,302	487
Ohio	12	869		89,301	8,851
Oklahoma	4	229		22,802	2,141
Oregon	6	409		30,118	3,345
Pennsylvania	8	618		27,738	2,706
Rhode Island	3	272		30,294	2,797
South Carolina	3	117		8,357	591
South Dakota	4	182		21,258	1,947
Tennessee	14	1,301		125,984	9,565
Texas	28	2,512		291,379	26,826
Virginia	1	74		11,210	1,110
Washington	10	927		71,041	7,837
West Virginia	1	65		6,480	545
Wisconsin	42	2,167		180,384	16,854
Subtotals	251	18,935		1,743,669	172,090
<i>Long-Term Care/Skilled Nursing Facilities:</i>					
Arkansas	9	945		38,582	4,217
California	3	340		10,444	2,226
Connecticut	3	351		17,318	1,813
Florida	4	530		15,321	1,718
Georgia	1	100		4,342	376
Idaho	1	64		792	238
Illinois	2	210		5,549	622
Indiana	21	1,938		91,057	8,006
Kansas	6	417		11,311	1,269
Maryland	3	445		17,802	2,599
Massachusetts	15	2,079		182,084	16,557
Minnesota	3	510		27,825	2,412
Mississippi	1	120		4,467	500
Missouri	12	1,089		51,237	5,425
Nevada	1	125		4,389	769
New York	3	440		58,471	5,020
North Carolina	1	150		2,360	363
Ohio	5	733		28,456	3,029
Oklahoma	5	235		9,121	817
Pennsylvania	3	240		14,032	1,798
South Carolina	4	602		36,696	3,325
Tennessee	5	519		22,003	2,553
Texas	29	3,353		107,819	13,055

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Utah	1	65	2,793	320
Virginia	6	779	31,732	3,916
		8		

Table of Contents

Facility Location	Number of Facilities	Number of Beds/Units(1)	Square Footage(1)	Gross	
				Real Estate Investment (Dollars in thousands)	2009 NOI(2)
Washington	7	680		44,605	5,186
West Virginia	4	326		15,144	2,075
Wisconsin	7	672		30,268	3,365
Wyoming	2	217		11,987	1,188
Subtotals	167	18,274		898,007	94,757
<i>Continuing Care Retirement Communities:</i>					
Arizona	1	228		12,887	1,589
Colorado	1	119		3,116	424
Florida	1	225		12,043	747
Maine	3	550		39,341	3,498
Massachusetts	1	171		14,655	1,556
Oklahoma	1	193		8,718	614
Tennessee	1	84		3,178	411
Texas	1	354		30,870	3,655
Subtotals	10	1,924		124,808	12,493
<i>Specialty Hospitals:</i>					
Arizona	2	110		17,071	2,874
California	2	75		39,307	3,781
Texas	3	119		19,820	1,994
Subtotals	7	304		76,198	8,649
<i>Medical Office Buildings:</i>					
Alabama	1		61,219	16,706	1,133
California	1		67,000	22,188	1,735
Florida	9		80,940	35,544	2,769
Indiana	4		55,814	15,725	1,198
Maryland	1		5,400	1,717	134
Michigan	2		17,190	5,655	440
Texas	1		149,450	22,952	359
Subtotals	19		437,013	120,487	7,768
Total Owned Triple-Net Leased Facilities	454	39,437	437,013	\$ 2,963,169	\$ 295,757

- (1) Assisted and independent living facilities are measured in units; continuing care retirement communities are measured in beds and units; skilled nursing facilities and specialty hospitals are measured by bed count; and medical office buildings are measured by square footage.
- (2) Net operating income (NOI) is a non-GAAP supplemental financial measure used to evaluate the operating performance of our facilities. We define NOI for our triple-net leases segment as rent revenues. For our multi-tenant leases segment, we define NOI as revenues minus medical office building operating expenses. In some cases, revenue for medical office buildings includes expense reimbursements for common area maintenance charges. NOI excludes interest expense and amortization of deferred financing costs, depreciation and amortization expense, general and administrative expense and discontinued operations. We present NOI as

Table of Contents

it effectively presents our portfolio on a net rent basis and provides relevant and useful information as it measures the operating performance at the facility level on an unleveraged basis. We use NOI to make decisions about resource allocations and to assess the property level performance of our properties. Furthermore, we believe that NOI provides investors relevant and useful information because it measures the operating performance of our real estate at the property level on an unleveraged basis. We believe that net income is the GAAP measure that is most directly comparable to NOI. However, NOI should not be considered as an alternative to net income as the primary indicator of operating performance as it excludes the items described above. Additionally, NOI as presented above may not be comparable to other REITs or companies as their definitions of NOI may differ from ours. See Note 21 to our consolidated financial statements for a reconciliation of net income to NOI.

In the triple-net leases segment, facilities are leased to single tenants. Revenues are received by us directly from the tenants in accordance with the lease terms which generally provide for annual rent escalators and transfer the obligation for all facility operating costs (including maintenance, repairs, taxes, insurance and capital expenditures) to the tenant. While occupancy information is relevant to the operations of the tenant, our revenues are not directly impacted by occupancy levels at the triple-net leased facilities. The following table sets forth certain information regarding average rents for triple-net leased facilities owned by us as of December 31, 2009:

	2009			2008		
	Average Annualized Rent per Bed/Unit	Average Annualized Rent per Square Foot	Occupancy Percentage(1)	Average Annualized Rent per Bed/Unit	Average Annualized Rent per Square Foot	Occupancy Percentage(1)
Senior Housing/Assisted and Independent Living Facilities	\$ 9,088	\$	83.0%	\$ 9,528	\$	84.3%
Long-Term Care/Skilled Nursing Facilities	5,185		81.0%	4,754		82.2%
Continuing Care Retirement Communities	6,493		89.0%	6,373		88.0%
Specialty Hospitals	28,451		69.7%	27,060		75.3%
Medical Office Buildings		17.78	100.0%		11.01	100.0%

(1) Represents occupancy as reported by the respective tenants.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding lease expirations for our owned triple-net leased facilities as of December 31, 2009:

Assisted & Independent		Skilled Nursing		Continuing Care		Other Triple-Net		Total Owned Triple-Net	
Minimum Rent	Number of Facilities	Minimum Rent	Number of Facilities	Minimum Rent	Number of Facilities	Minimum Rent	Number of Facilities	Minimum Rent	Number of Facilities
(Dollars in thousands)									

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

2010	\$	5,037	6	\$	5,843	11	\$	837	1	\$	11,717	18			
2011		147	1		7,819	21					7,966	22			
2012		8,518	8		5,232	8		1,602	1	1,845	1	17,197	18		
2013		12,112	11		6,383	13		411	1			18,906	25		
2014		10,003	16		4,576	6		5,868	3			20,447	25		
2015		1,936	4		5,708	8				3,311	1	10,955	13		
2016		12,013	10		14,615	26				5,156	6	31,784	42		
2017		2,580	9		5,356	15				1,976	1	9,912	25		
2018		1,510	2		3,085	8						4,595	10		
2019		551	1							1,133	1	1,684	2		
Thereafter		116,644	183		36,836	51		4,266	4	4,643	16	162,389	254		
	\$	171,051	251	\$	95,453	167	\$	12,984	10	\$	18,064	26	\$	297,552	454

Table of Contents**Multi-Tenant Leases**

As of December 31, 2009, our multi-tenant leases segment was comprised exclusively of medical office buildings.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our owned multi-tenant leased facilities as of December 31, 2009:

Facility Location	Number of Facilities	Square Footage	Occupancy Percentage	Gross Real Estate Investment (Dollars in thousands)	2009 NOI (1)
<i>Medical Office Buildings:</i>					
California	6	340,868	96.6%	\$ 113,424	\$ 8,845
Florida	1	37,266	61.7%	6,365	185
Georgia	3	123,294	88.9%	7,638	954
Illinois	12	383,058	86.8%	36,354	5,393
Louisiana	8	384,588	87.8%	24,384	2,837
Missouri	7	404,227	93.9%	45,360	4,922
Nevada	2	145,637	84.0%	39,023	3,101
Ohio	1	66,776	83.2%	11,463	679
Oregon	1	104,856	87.3%	31,105	2,140
South Carolina	2	109,704	76.7%	13,187	1,174
Tennessee	1	57,280	88.1%	3,982	603
Texas	6	144,702	66.0%	7,760	341
Virginia	3	65,315	80.4%	5,715	506
Washington	7	366,483	99.2%	97,711	7,733
Total Owned Multi-Tenant Leased Facilities	60	2,734,054	88.8%	\$ 443,471	\$ 39,413

(1) Net operating income (NOI) is a non-GAAP supplemental financial measure used to evaluate the operating performance of our facilities. We define NOI for our triple-net leases segment as rent revenues. For our multi-tenant leases segment, we define NOI as revenues minus medical office building operating expenses. In some cases, revenue for medical office buildings includes expense reimbursements for common area maintenance charges. NOI excludes interest expense and amortization of deferred financing costs, depreciation and amortization expense, general and administrative expense and discontinued operations. We present NOI as it effectively presents our portfolio on a net rent basis and provides relevant and useful information as it measures the operating performance at the facility level on an unleveraged basis. We use NOI to make decisions about resource allocations and to assess the property level performance of our properties. Furthermore, we believe that NOI provides investors relevant and useful information because it measures the operating performance of our real estate at the property level on an unleveraged basis. We believe that net income is the GAAP measure that is most directly comparable to NOI. However, NOI should not be considered as an alternative to net income as the primary indicator of operating performance as it excludes the items described above. Additionally, NOI as presented above may not be comparable to other REITs or companies as their definitions of NOI may differ from ours. See Note 21 to our consolidated financial statements for a reconciliation of net income to NOI.

Average occupancy for our owned multi-tenant medical office buildings was 88.8% and 90.2% at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Average annualized revenue per square foot for our multi-tenant leased medical office buildings owned as of December 31, 2009 was \$24.99 and \$22.02 for 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain information regarding lease expirations for our owned multi-tenant leased facilities as of December 31, 2009:

	Minimum Rent (In thousands)	Square Feet
2009	\$ 9,551	463,422
2010	5,679	281,798
2011	5,580	265,064
2012	2,905	135,090
2013	4,440	179,546
2014	2,442	113,993
2015	2,542	115,624
2016	7,345	378,710
2017	1,549	57,207
2018	3,527	140,185
Thereafter	6,864	296,613
	\$ 52,424	2,427,252

Competition

We generally compete with other REITs, including HCP, Inc., Health Care REIT, Inc., Healthcare Realty Trust Incorporated, Senior Housing Properties Trust and Ventas, Inc., real estate partnerships, healthcare providers and other investors, including, but not limited to, banks, insurance companies, pension funds, government sponsored entities, including the Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and opportunity funds, in the acquisition, leasing and financing of healthcare facilities. The tenants that operate our healthcare facilities compete on a local and regional basis with operators of facilities that provide comparable services. Operators compete for patients and residents based on quality of care, reputation, physical appearance of facilities, price, services offered, family preferences, physicians, staff and location. Our medical office buildings compete with other medical office buildings in their surrounding areas for tenants, including physicians, dentists, psychologists, therapists and other healthcare providers.

Regulation

Payments for healthcare services provided by the tenants of our facilities are received principally from four sources: private funds; Medicaid, a medical assistance program for the indigent, operated by individual states with the financial participation of the federal government; Medicare, a federal health insurance program for the aged, certain chronically disabled individuals, and persons with end-stage renal disease; and health and other insurance plans. While assisted and independent living facilities and medical office building tenants generally receive private funds, government revenue sources are the primary source of funding for most skilled nursing facilities and specialty hospitals and are subject to statutory and regulatory changes, administrative rulings, and government funding restrictions, all of which may materially increase or decrease the rates of payment to skilled nursing facilities and specialty hospitals and in some cases, the amount of additional rents payable to us under our leases. There is no assurance that payments under such programs will remain at levels comparable to the present levels or be sufficient to cover all the operating and fixed costs allocable to Medicaid and Medicare patients. Decreases in reimbursement levels could have an adverse

impact on the revenues of the tenants of our skilled nursing facilities and specialty hospitals, which could in turn adversely impact their ability to make their monthly lease or debt payments to us. Changes in reimbursement levels have very little impact on our assisted and independent living facilities because virtually all of their revenues are paid from private funds.

Table of Contents

During 2009, payments for healthcare services provided by the tenants of our facilities were received from the following sources:

	Percentage of Tenants Revenue
Medicare	10%
Medicaid	17%
Private sources health and other insurance plans	73%

There exist various federal and state laws and regulations prohibiting fraud and abuse by healthcare providers, including those governing reimbursements under Medicaid and Medicare as well as referrals and financial relationships. Federal and state governments are devoting increasing attention to anti-fraud initiatives. Our tenants may not comply with these current or future regulations, which could affect their ability to operate or to continue to make lease or mortgage payments.

Healthcare facilities in which we invest are also generally subject to federal, state and local licensure statutes and regulations and statutes which may require regulatory approval, in the form of a certificate of need (CON), prior to the addition or construction of new beds, the addition of services or certain capital expenditures. CON requirements generally apply to skilled nursing facilities and specialty hospitals. CON requirements are not uniform throughout the United States and are subject to change. In addition, some states have staffing and other regulatory requirements. We cannot predict the impact of regulatory changes with respect to licensure and CONs on the operations of our tenants.

Various federal, regional and state laws and regulations have been implemented or are under consideration to mitigate the effects of climate change caused by greenhouse gas emissions. Among other things, green building codes may seek to reduce emissions through the imposition of standards for design, construction materials, water and energy usage and efficiency, and waste management. We are not aware of any such existing requirements that we believe will have a material impact on our current operations. However, future requirements could increase the costs of maintaining or improving our existing properties or developing new properties.

Executive Officers of the Company

The table below sets forth the name, position and age of each executive officer of the Company. Each executive officer is appointed by the board of directors, serves at its pleasure and holds office until a successor is appointed, or until the earliest of death, resignation or removal. There is no family relationship among any of the named executive officers or with any director. All information is given as of February 17, 2010:

Name	Position	Age
Douglas M. Pasquale	Chairman of the Board and President and Chief Executive Officer	55
Donald D. Bradley	Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer	54
Abdo H. Khoury	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial and Portfolio Officer	60

Douglas M. Pasquale Chairman of the Board of Directors since May 2009 and President and Chief Executive Officer since April 2004. Mr. Pasquale was Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from November 2003 to April 2004 and a director since November 2003. Mr. Pasquale served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of ARV Assisted Living, Inc. (ARV), an operator of assisted living facilities, from December 1999 to September 2003.

From April 2003 to September 2003, Mr. Pasquale concurrently served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Atria Senior Living Group. From March 1999 to December 1999, Mr. Pasquale served as the President and Chief Executive Officer at ARV, and he served as the President and Chief Operating Officer at ARV from June 1998 to March 1999. Previously, Mr. Pasquale served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Richfield Hospitality Services, Inc. and Regal Hotels International-North America, a hotel ownership and hotel management company, from 1996 to 1998, and as its Chief Financial Officer from 1994 to 1996. Mr. Pasquale is a member of the Executive Board of the American Seniors Housing Association (ASHA) and is a director of Alexander & Baldwin, Inc. and Matson Navigation Company, Inc., a director of Terreno Realty Corporation and a member of the Board of Trustees of the Newport Harbor Nautical Museum.

Table of Contents

Donald D. Bradley Executive Vice President since March 2008 and Chief Investment Officer since July 2004. Mr. Bradley was a Senior Vice President from March 2001 to February 2008 and the General Counsel from March 2001 to June 2004. From January 2000 to February 2001, Mr. Bradley was engaged in various personal interests. Mr. Bradley was formerly the General Counsel of Furon Company, a NYSE-listed international, high performance polymer manufacturer from 1990 to December 1999. Previously, Mr. Bradley served as a Special Counsel of O Melveny & Myers LLP, an international law firm with which he had been associated since 1982. Mr. Bradley is a member of the Executive Board of ASHA.

Abdo H. Khoury Executive Vice President since March 2008 and Chief Financial and Portfolio Officer since July 2005. Mr. Khoury was a Senior Vice President from July 2005 to February 2008 and Chief Portfolio Officer from August 2004 to June 2005. Mr. Khoury served as the Executive Vice President of Operations of Atria Senior Living Group (formerly ARV Assisted Living, Inc.) from June 2003 to March 2004. From January 2001 to May 2003, Mr. Khoury served as President of ARV and he served as Chief Financial Officer at ARV from March 1999 to January 2001. From October 1997 to February 1999, Mr. Khoury served as President of the Apartment Division at ARV. From January 1991 to September 1997, Mr. Khoury ran Financial Performance Group, a business and financial consulting firm located in Newport Beach, California.

Employees

As of February 17, 2010, we had 36 employees.

Available Information

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to reports required by Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are electronically filed with the SEC. You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the Public Reference Room. The SEC maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. Our annual, quarterly and current reports and amendments to reports are also available, free of charge, on our website at www.nhp-reit.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after those reports are available on the SEC's website. These materials, together with our Governance Principles, Director Committee Charters and Business Code of Conduct & Ethics referenced below, are available in print to any stockholder who requests them in writing by contacting:

Nationwide Health Properties, Inc.
610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1150
Newport Beach, California 92660
Attention: Abdo H. Khoury

Availability of Governance Principles and Board of Director Committee Charters

Our board of directors has adopted charters for its Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and Investment Committee. Our board of directors has also adopted Governance Principles. The Governance Principles and each of the charters are available on our website at www.nhp-reit.com.

Business Code of Conduct & Ethics

Our board of directors has adopted a Business Code of Conduct & Ethics, which applies to all employees, including our chief executive officer, chief financial and portfolio officer, chief investment officer, vice presidents and directors. The Business Code of Conduct & Ethics is posted on our website at www.nhp-reit.com. Our Audit Committee must approve any waivers of the Business Code of Conduct & Ethics. We presently intend to disclose any amendments and waivers, if any, of the Business Code of Conduct & Ethics on our website; however, if we

Table of Contents

change our intention, we will file any amendments or waivers with a current report on Form 8-K. There have been no waivers of the Business Code of Conduct & Ethics.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Generally speaking, the risks facing our company fall into three categories: risks associated with the operations of our tenants, risks related to our operations and risks related to our taxation as a REIT. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below before making an investment decision in our company. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones facing us, and there may be additional matters that we are unaware of or that we currently consider immaterial. All of these could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and, thus, the value of an investment in our company.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR TENANTS

Our financial position could be weakened and our ability to make distributions could be limited if any of our major tenants were unable to meet their obligations to us or failed to renew or extend their relationship with us as their lease terms expire or their mortgages mature, or if we were unable to lease or re-lease our facilities or make mortgage loans on economically favorable terms. We have no operational control over our tenants. There may end up being more serious tenant financial problems that lead to more extensive restructurings or tenant disruptions than we currently expect. This could be unique to a particular tenant or it could be more industry wide, such as further federal or state governmental reimbursement reductions in the case of our skilled nursing facilities as governments work through their budget deficits, continuing reduced occupancies or slow lease-ups for our assisted and independent living facilities or medical office buildings due to general economic and other factors and increases in insurance premiums, labor and other expenses. These adverse developments could arise due to a number of factors, including those listed below.

The global financial crisis has adversely impacted the financial condition of our tenants, which could impair our tenants' ability to meet their obligations to us.

The U.S. recently experienced the longest recession since the Great Depression. While there are current signs of a strengthening and stabilizing economy, there are continued concerns about the uncertainty over whether our economy will again be adversely impacted by inflation, deflation or stagflation and the systemic impact of rising unemployment, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of capital, the U.S. mortgage market and a declining real estate market in the U.S., resulting in a return to increased market volatility and diminished expectations for the U.S. economy.

The specific impact this may have on each of our businesses is described below:

Senior Housing. The combination of a weak economy, sustained weak housing market and rising unemployment (the Economic Factors) has put downward pressure on occupancies and operating margins for senior housing, a trend that we expect to continue until these factors fully abate. Since the principal competitor for senior housing is the home, the Economic Factors have intensified this competition and in turn, challenged occupancies. In particular, the sustained weak housing markets have put particular pressure on independent living facility occupancies as more seniors delay or forego moving into such facilities, while the weak economy and rising unemployment have put particular pressure on occupancies at more need-based assisted living and Alzheimer facilities as costs become prohibitive, causing seniors to go without the necessary assistance and care or causing unemployed, or in some cases working, adults to become caregivers to their senior family members for a period of time. We also believe that our tenants already have implemented prudent cost reductions, and further rent increases will be incrementally more difficult on beleaguered consumers. Therefore, without stabilization or increases in occupancies, it will be difficult for our senior housing tenants to

prevent margin erosion over time which could adversely impact their operations and financial condition and their ability to continue to meet their obligations to us.

Long-Term Care/Skilled Nursing. Skilled nursing occupancies have been less impacted by the Economic Factors since the services provided are primarily driven by a significant need. However, the impact of

Table of Contents

increasing pressure on federal and state government reimbursement from the current economic turmoil and any potential healthcare reform legislation remains uncertain. The ultimate outcome of either of these factors could adversely affect the operations and financial condition of our skilled nursing tenants and their ability to continue to meet their obligations to us.

Medical Office. While the medical office sector currently remains generally healthy, the Economic Factors, particularly rising unemployment and cuts in corporate benefits, will likely have unfavorable implications. Consumers faced with limited financial resources and reduced or eliminated insurance coverage will likely choose to forego elective procedures and may defer or forego prescribed procedures. Over time, this could adversely affect the operations and financial condition of our medical office building tenants and their ability to continue to meet their obligations to us.

This difficult operating environment has adversely impacted the financial condition of our tenants. If these recent economic conditions continue or do not fully abate, our tenants may be unable to meet their obligations to us, and our business could be adversely affected.

The bankruptcy, insolvency or financial deterioration of our tenants could significantly delay our ability to collect unpaid rents or require us to find new operators for rejected facilities.

We are exposed to the risk that our tenants may not be able to meet their obligations, which may result in their bankruptcy or insolvency. This risk is more pronounced during weak economic conditions, such as those we are currently experiencing. Although our leases and loans provide us the right to evict a tenant, demand immediate repayment and other remedies, the bankruptcy laws afford certain rights to a party that has filed for bankruptcy or reorganization. A tenant in bankruptcy may be able to restrict our ability to collect unpaid rent and interest during the bankruptcy proceeding.

Leases. If one of our lessees seeks bankruptcy protection, the lessee can either assume or reject the lease. Generally, the lessee is required to make rent payments to us during its bankruptcy until it rejects the lease. If the lessee assumes the lease, the court cannot change the rental amount or any other lease provision that could financially impact us. However, if the lessee rejects the lease, the facility would be returned to us. In that event, if we were able to re-lease the facility to a new tenant only on unfavorable terms or after a significant delay, we could lose some or all of the associated revenue from that facility for an extended period of time.

Mortgage Loans. If a tenant defaults under one of our mortgage loans, we may have to foreclose on the mortgage or protect our interest by acquiring title to a property and thereafter make substantial improvements or repairs in order to maximize the facility's investment potential. Tenants may contest enforcement of foreclosure or other remedies, seek bankruptcy protection against an enforcement and/or bring claims for lender liability in response to actions to enforce mortgage obligations. If a tenant seeks bankruptcy protection, the automatic stay of the federal bankruptcy law would preclude us from enforcing foreclosure or other remedies against the tenant unless relief is obtained from the court. In addition, a tenant would not be required to make principal and interest payments while an automatic stay was in effect. High loan to value ratios or declines in the value of the facility may prevent us from realizing an amount equal to our mortgage loan upon foreclosure.

The receipt of liquidation proceeds or the replacement of a tenant that has defaulted on its lease or loan could be delayed by the approval process of any federal, state or local agency necessary for the replacement of the tenant licensed to manage the facility. In some instances, we may take possession of a property that exposes us to successor liabilities and operating risks. These events, if they were to occur, could reduce our revenue and operating cash flow.

In addition, many of our leases contain non-contingent rent escalators for which we recognize income on a straight-line basis over the lease term. This method results in rental income in the early years of a lease being higher than actual cash received, creating a straight-line rent receivable asset included in the caption Other assets on our consolidated balance sheets. At some point during the lease, depending on its terms, the cash rent payments eventually exceed the straight-line rent which results in the straight-line rent receivable asset decreasing to zero over the remainder of the lease term. We assess the collectability of the straight-line rent that is expected to be collected

Table of Contents

in a future period, and, depending on circumstances, we provide a reserve against the straight-line rent for a portion, up to its full value, that we estimate may not be recoverable. The balance of straight-line rent receivables at December 31, 2009, net of allowances was \$27.5 million. To the extent any of the tenants under these leases become unable to pay the contracted cash rent, we may be required to write down the straight-line rent receivable from those tenants, which would reduce our net income.

Our tenants may be affected by the financial deterioration, insolvency and/or bankruptcy of other significant operators in the healthcare industry.

Certain companies in the healthcare industry, including some key senior housing operators, none of which are currently our tenants, are experiencing considerable financial, legal and/or regulatory difficulties which have resulted or may result in financial deterioration and, in some cases, insolvency and/or bankruptcy. The adverse effects on these companies could have a significant impact on the industry as a whole, including but not limited to negative public perception by investors, lenders and consumers. As a result, our tenants could experience the damaging financial effects of a weakened industry driven by negative industry headlines, ultimately making them unable to meet their obligations to us, and our business could be adversely affected.

Operators that fail to comply with governmental reimbursement programs such as Medicare or Medicaid, licensing and certification requirements, fraud and abuse regulations or new legislative developments may be unable to meet their obligations to us.

Our tenants are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations that are subject to frequent and substantial changes (sometimes applied retroactively) resulting from legislation, adoption of rules and regulations, and administrative and judicial interpretations of existing law. The ultimate timing or effect of these changes cannot be predicted. These changes may have a dramatic effect on our tenants' costs of doing business and the amount of reimbursement by both government and other third-party payors. The failure of any of our tenants to comply with these laws, requirements and regulations could adversely affect their ability to meet their obligations to us. In particular:

Medicare, Medicaid and Private Payor Reimbursement. Our tenants who operate skilled nursing facilities and specialty hospitals derive a significant portion of their revenue from governmentally-funded reimbursement programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid. Failure to maintain certification and accreditation in these programs would result in a significant loss of funding from them. Moreover, federal and state governments have adopted and continue to consider various reform proposals to control and reduce healthcare costs. Governmental concern regarding healthcare costs and their budgetary impact may result in significant reductions in payment to healthcare facilities, and future reimbursement rates for either governmental or private payors may not be sufficient to cover cost increases in providing services to patients. In many instances, revenues from Medicaid programs are already insufficient to cover the actual costs incurred in providing care to those patients. Many of the states where our tenants reside report budget deficits that put future Medicaid funding at risk and may limit or decrease the number of Medicaid beds available to patients in the near future as well as in the long term. In addition, reimbursement from private payors has, in many cases, effectively been reduced to levels approaching those of government payors. Loss of certification or accreditation, or any changes in reimbursement policies that reduce reimbursement to levels that are insufficient to cover the cost of providing patient care, could adversely impact our tenants' operations and financial condition, potentially jeopardizing their ability to meet their obligations to us.

Licensing and Certification. Our tenants and facilities are generally subject to regulatory and licensing requirements of federal, state and local authorities and are periodically audited by such authorities to confirm compliance. Failure to obtain licensure or loss of licensure would prevent a facility, or in some cases,

potentially all of a tenant's facilities in a state, from operating. Our skilled nursing facilities and specialty hospitals generally require governmental approval, often in the form of a certificate of need that generally varies by state and is subject to change, prior to the addition or construction of new beds, the addition of services or certain capital expenditures. Some of our facilities may not be able to satisfy current and future regulatory requirements, and for this reason, may be unable to continue operating in the future. In such event, our revenues from those facilities could be reduced or eliminated for an extended period of time. State

Table of Contents

licensing, as well as Medicare and Medicaid laws require operators of nursing homes and assisted living facilities to comply with extensive standards governing operations, including federal conditions of participation and state operating regulations. Federal and state agencies administering those laws regularly inspect our facilities and investigate complaints. Our tenants and their managers receive notices of potential sanctions and remedies from time to time, and such sanctions have been imposed from time to time on facilities operated by them. If they are unable to cure deficiencies which have been identified or which are identified in the future, such sanctions may be imposed, and if imposed, may adversely affect our tenants' ability to operate, financial condition and ability to meet their obligations to us.

Fraud and Abuse Laws and Regulations. There are various extremely complex federal and state laws and regulations governing a wide array of business referrals, relationships and arrangements that prohibit fraud by healthcare providers. These laws include (i) civil and criminal laws that prohibit filing false claims or making false statements to receive payment or certification under Medicare and Medicaid, or failing to refund overpayments or improper payments, (ii) certain federal and state anti-remuneration and fee-splitting laws (including, in the case of certain states, laws that extend to arrangements that do not involve items or services reimbursable under Medicare or Medicaid), such as the federal healthcare Anti-Kickback Statute and federal self-referral law (also known as the Stark law), which govern various types of financial arrangements among healthcare providers and others who may be in a position to refer or recommend patients to these providers, (iii) the Civil Monetary Penalties law, which may be imposed by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) for certain fraudulent acts, (iv) federal and state patient privacy laws, such as the privacy and security provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and (v) certain state laws that prohibit the corporate practice of medicine. Many states have also adopted or are considering legislation to increase patient protections, such as criminal background checks on care providers and minimum staffing levels. Governments are devoting increasing attention and resources to anti-fraud initiatives against healthcare providers. In addition, certain laws, such as the Federal False Claims Act, allow for individuals to bring qui tam (or whistleblower) actions on behalf of the government for violations of fraud and abuse laws. These qui tam actions may be filed by present and former patients, nurses or other employees or other third parties. The HIPAA and the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 expand the penalties for healthcare fraud, including broader provisions for the exclusion of providers from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Further, under anti-fraud demonstration projects such as Operation Restore Trust, the Office of Inspector General of HHS, in cooperation with other federal and state agencies, has focused and may continue to focus on the activities of skilled nursing facilities in certain states in which we have properties. The violation of any of these regulations by a tenant may result in the imposition of criminal or civil fines or other penalties (including exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs) that could jeopardize that tenant's ability to meet their obligations to us or to continue operating its facility.

Legislative Developments. Each year, legislative proposals are introduced or proposed in Congress, and in some state legislatures, that would effect major changes in the healthcare system, nationally or at the state level. We cannot predict whether any proposals will be adopted or, if adopted, what effect, if any, these proposals would have on our tenants and, thus, our business.

Two of the operators of our facilities each account for more than 10% of our revenues. If these operators experience financial difficulties, or otherwise fail to make payments to us, our revenues may significantly decline.

At December 31, 2009, Brookdale Senior Living, Inc. (Brookdale) and Hearthstone Senior Services, L.P. (Hearthstone) accounted for 15.2% and 10.8%, respectively, of our revenues. We cannot assure you that Brookdale or Hearthstone will have sufficient assets, income or access to financing to enable it to satisfy its obligations to us. Any failure by Brookdale or Hearthstone to effectively conduct its operations could have a material adverse effect on its business reputation or on its ability to attract and retain patients and residents in its properties, which would affect

their ability to continue to meet their obligations to us.

Hearthstone agreed to pay over the initial 15-year term of its lease Supplemental Rent equal to a specified percentage of Hearthstone's annual gross revenue. In accordance with the lease, payment of Supplemental Rent of

Table of Contents

\$1.6 million for the first 24 months of the lease was deferred until June 2008, when it became payable in 12 monthly installments, and Supplemental Rent from June 2008 was to be paid quarterly starting in September 2008. Hearthstone has failed to pay the deferred Supplemental Rent of \$133,000 per month and the current Supplemental Rent of approximately \$373,000 due quarterly starting in September 2008.

In July 2009, the Hearthstone lease terms were modified to (i) convert the annual Base Rent escalator to a fixed 3%, (ii) defer payment of the Supplemental Rent through December 31, 2011, (iii) tighten restrictions on distributions until such time as Hearthstone achieves and sustains defined rent coverage levels, (iv) provide for transfer of ownership of Hearthstone to us in the event of certain major events of default and (v) put in place certain bankruptcy protections and enhanced oversight rights for us.

Although we have a \$6.0 million letter of credit that secures Hearthstone's current payment obligations to us (which we have not yet drawn on), it is possible that the letter of credit may not be sufficient to compensate us for any additional future payment obligations that may arise under the modified lease agreement.

The failure or inability of Brookdale and/or Hearthstone to pay their obligations to us could materially reduce our revenues and net income, which could in turn reduce the amount of dividends we pay and cause our stock price to decline.

We may be unable to find another tenant for our properties if we have to replace Brookdale, Hearthstone or any of our other tenants.

We may have to find another tenant for the properties covered by one or more of our master lease agreements with Brookdale or Hearthstone or any of our other tenants upon the expiration of the terms of the applicable lease or upon a default by any such tenants. During any period that we are attempting to locate one or more tenants, there could be a decrease or cessation of rental payments on those properties. We cannot assure you that Brookdale or Hearthstone or any of our other tenants will elect to renew their respective leases with us upon expiration of the terms thereof, nor can we assure you that we will be able to locate another suitable tenant or, if we are successful in locating such a tenant, that the rental payments from that new tenant would not be significantly less than the existing rental payments. Our ability to locate another suitable tenant may be significantly delayed or limited by various state licensing, receivership, certificate of need or other laws, as well as by Medicare and Medicaid change-of-ownership rules. We also may incur substantial additional expenses in connection with any such licensing, receivership or change-of-ownership proceedings. Any such delays, limitations and expenses could materially delay or impact our ability to collect rent, to obtain possession of leased properties or otherwise to exercise remedies for tenant default and could have an adverse effect on our business.

Because of the unique and specific improvements required for healthcare facilities, we may be required to incur substantial development and renovation costs to make certain of our properties suitable for other tenants, which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Healthcare facilities are typically highly customized and may not be easily adapted to non-healthcare-related uses. The improvements generally required to conform a property to healthcare use, such as upgrading electrical, gas and plumbing infrastructure, are costly and often times tenant-specific. A new or replacement tenant may require different features in a property, depending on that tenant's particular operations. If a current tenant is unable to pay rent and vacates a property, we may incur substantial expenditures to modify a property before we are able to re-lease the space to another tenant. Also, if the property needs to be renovated to accommodate multiple tenants, we may incur substantial expenditures before we are able to re-lease the space. Consequently, our properties may not be suitable for lease to traditional office or other healthcare tenants without significant expenditures or renovations, which costs may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

If our tenants are unable or unwilling to incur capital expenditures to maintain and improve our properties, our properties may cease to be competitive and our results of operations would be adversely impacted.

Capital expenditures to maintain and improve our properties are generally incurred by our tenants. If our tenants fail to pay for such expenditures, we may incur substantial costs to maintain or improve our properties, which could adversely affect our liquidity. If we fail to make such capital expenditures, our properties may become less attractive to tenants and our results of operations could be adversely impacted. Although some of our leases provide for impound accounts to reduce the risk of a tenant failing to make the requisite capital expenditures, many of our leases do not provide for such impound accounts and, for those that do, such accounts may not always be sufficient to protect us from loss.

Our tenants are faced with significant potential litigation and rising insurance costs that not only affect their ability to obtain and maintain adequate liability and other insurance, but also may affect their ability to pay their lease or mortgage payments and fulfill their insurance, indemnification and other obligations to us.

In some states, advocacy groups have been created to monitor the quality of care at skilled nursing facilities and assisted and independent living facilities, and these groups have brought litigation against operators. Also, in several instances, private litigation by skilled nursing facility patients or assisted and independent living facility covered residents or their families has resulted in very large damage awards for alleged abuses. The effect of this litigation and potential litigation has been to materially increase the costs of monitoring and reporting quality of care compliance incurred by our tenants. In addition, the cost of liability and medical malpractice insurance has increased and may continue to increase so long as the present litigation environment continues. This has affected the ability of some of our tenants to obtain and maintain adequate liability and other insurance and, thus, manage their related risk exposure. In addition to being unable to fulfill their insurance, indemnification and other obligations to us under their leases and mortgages and thereby potentially exposing us to those risks, this could cause our tenants to be unable to meet their obligations to us, potentially decreasing our revenues and increasing our collection and litigation costs. Moreover, to the extent we are required to foreclose on the affected facilities, our revenues from those facilities could be reduced or eliminated for an extended period of time.

In addition, we may in some circumstances be named as a defendant in litigation involving the actions of our tenants. In previous years, we have been named as a defendant in lawsuits for wrongful death. Although we have no involvement in the activities of our tenants and our standard leases generally require our tenants to indemnify and carry insurance to cover us, in certain cases, a significant judgment against us in such litigation could exceed our and our tenants' insurance coverage, which would require us to make payments to cover the judgment. We have purchased our own insurance as additional protection against such issues.

Increased competition has resulted in lower revenues for some operators and may affect their ability to meet their payment obligations to us.

The healthcare industry is highly competitive, and we expect that it may become more competitive in the future. Our tenants are competing with numerous other companies providing similar healthcare services or alternatives such as home health agencies, life care at home, community-based service programs, retirement communities and convalescent centers. In addition, past overbuilding in the assisted and independent living market caused a slow-down in the fill rate of newly constructed buildings and a reduction in the monthly rate many newly built and previously existing facilities were able to obtain for their services and adversely impacted the occupancy of mature properties. This in turn resulted in lower revenues for the operators of certain of our facilities and contributed to the financial difficulties of some operators. While we believe that overbuilt markets should reach stabilization in the next several years and are less of a problem today due to minimal development, we cannot be certain that the operators of all of our facilities will be able to achieve and maintain occupancy and rate levels that will enable them to meet all of their

obligations to us. Our tenants are expected to encounter increased competition in the future, including through industry consolidation, that could limit their ability to attract residents or expand their businesses and therefore affect their ability to meet their obligations to us.

Table of Contents

Adverse trends in the healthcare industry may negatively affect our revenues and the values of our investments.

The healthcare industry is currently experiencing:

- changing trends in the method of delivery of healthcare services;
- increased expense for uninsured patients and uncompensated care;
- increased competition among healthcare providers;
- continuing pressure by private and governmental payors to contain costs and reimbursements while increasing patients' access to healthcare services;
- lower operating profit margins in an uncertain economy;
- investment losses;
- constrained availability of capital;
- credit downgrades;
- increased liability insurance expense; and
- increased scrutiny and formal investigations by federal and state authorities.

These changes, among others, can adversely affect the operations and financial condition of our tenants, and our business could be adversely affected.

RISKS RELATING TO US AND OUR OPERATIONS

In addition to the tenant related risks discussed above, there are a number of risks directly associated with us and our operations.

We are subject to particular risks associated with real estate ownership, which could result in unanticipated losses or expenses.

Our business is subject to many risks that are associated with the ownership of real estate, including, among other things, the following:

- general liability, property and casualty losses, some of which may be uninsured;
- the inability to purchase or sell our assets rapidly to respond to changing economic conditions, due to the illiquid nature of real estate and the real estate market;
- leases which are not renewed or are renewed at lower rental amounts at expiration;
- the exercise of purchase options by operators resulting in a reduction of our rental revenue;

costs relating to maintenance and repair of our facilities and the need to make expenditures due to changes in governmental regulations, including the Americans with Disabilities Act;

environmental hazards created by prior owners or occupants, existing tenants, mortgagors or other persons for which we may be liable;

acts of God, earthquakes, wildfires, storms, floods and other natural disasters affecting our properties, some of which may be exacerbated in the future as a result of global climate changes; and

acts of terrorism affecting our properties.

Table of Contents

General economic conditions and other events or occurrences that affect areas in which our investments are geographically concentrated may impact our financial results.

At December 31, 2009, 42.3% of our triple-net lease rent was derived from facilities located in Texas (15.3%), California (9.7%), Wisconsin (6.7%), Massachusetts (6.5%) and Tennessee (4.1%). As a result of this geographic concentration, we are subject to increased exposure to adverse conditions affecting these markets, including general economic conditions, increased competition or decreased demand, changes in state-specific legislation, a downturn in the local healthcare industry, real estate conditions, terrorist attacks, earthquakes and wildfires and other natural disasters occurring in these regions, which could adversely affect our business.

Our ownership of properties through ground leases exposes us to certain restrictions and the loss of such properties upon breach or termination of the ground leases.

We have acquired an interest in certain of our facilities by acquiring a leasehold interest in the property on which the building is located, and we may acquire additional facilities in the future through the purchase of interests in ground leases. As the lessee under a ground lease, we are subject to restrictions imposed by the lease terms, including potential limitations on the replacement of tenants, which could result in a decrease or cessation of rental payments to us. Additionally, we are exposed to the possibility of losing the facility upon termination of the ground lease or an earlier breach of the ground lease by us.

We have now, and may have in the future, exposure to contingent rent escalators and floating interest rates, both of which can have the effect of reducing our profitability.

We receive revenue primarily by leasing our assets under operating leases in which the rental rate is generally fixed with annual rent escalations, subject to certain limitations. Certain leases contain escalators contingent on revenues or other factors, including increases based on changes in the Consumer Price Index. If our tenants' revenues do not increase as a result of the current weak economic conditions or other factors and/or the Consumer Price Index does not increase, our revenues may not increase.

Certain of our debt obligations are floating-rate obligations with interest rate and related payments that vary with the movement of LIBOR or other indexes. The generally fixed rate nature of our revenue and the variable rate nature of certain of our interest obligations create interest rate risk. If interest rates increase, it could have a negative effect on our profitability, and our lease and other revenue may become insufficient to meet our obligations.

We have now, and may have in the future, exposure related to our leases and loans secured by letters of credit, some of which are issued by banks that may be affected by the severely distressed housing and credit markets or other factors.

As of December 31, 2009, leases covering 456 facilities were secured by irrevocable letters of credit totaling \$71.3 million. In the event that any of the tenants or borrowers related to these facilities become unable to meet their obligations, we are entitled to draw down on the letters of credit an amount equal to the earned and unpaid obligations. Our access to funds under the letters of credit is dependent on the ability of the issuing banks to meet their funding commitments. These banks might have incurred losses or might have reduced capital reserves as a result of their prior lending to other borrowers, their holdings of certain mortgage or other securities or losses they have sustained in connection with any other financial relationships, each of which may be affected by the general weakening of the U.S. economy and the increased financial instability of many borrowers. As a result, these banks might be or become capital constrained and might tighten their lending standards, or become insolvent. If they experience shortages of capital and liquidity or if they experience excessive volumes of borrowing requests from other borrowers within a

short period of time, these banks might not be able to meet their funding commitments under our letters of credit. If an issuing bank has financial difficulties, we may be unable to draw down on a letter of credit, which could delay or reduce our ability to collect unpaid obligations and reduce our revenue and operating cash flow.

Table of Contents

Underinsured or uninsured losses and/or the failure of one or more of our insurance carriers could adversely impact our business.

We and our tenants insure against a wide range of risks through insurance with terms, conditions, limits and deductibles that we believe are adequate and appropriate given the relative risk and costs of such coverage. However, there is no assurance that this insurance will fully cover all potential losses, and there are certain exposures for which insurance is not purchased when it is deemed it is not economically feasible to do so. Underinsured or uninsured losses could decrease our anticipated revenues from a property and result in the loss of all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property.

Additionally, if the recent global financial crisis were to affect the solvency of any carrier providing insurance to us or any of our tenants, it could result in their inability to make payments on insurance claims, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition or that of our tenants. In addition, the failure of one or more insurance companies may increase the costs to renew existing insurance policies.

As owners of real estate, we are subject to environmental laws that expose us to the possibility of having to pay damages to the government and costs of remediation if there is contamination on our property.

Under various laws, owners of real estate may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous substances present at a property and may be held liable for property damage or personal injuries that result from environmental contamination. These laws also expose us to the possibility that we become liable to reimburse the government for damages and costs it incurs in connection with the contamination, regardless of whether we were aware of, or responsible for, the environmental contamination. We review environmental surveys of the facilities we own prior to their purchase. Based upon those surveys we do not believe that any of our properties are subject to material environmental contamination. However, environmental liabilities may be present in our properties and we may incur costs to remediate contamination that could have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition.

We may recognize impairment charges or losses on the sale of certain facilities.

We review our long-lived assets individually on a quarterly basis to determine if there are indicators of impairment. For operating assets, if indicators of impairment exist, we compare the undiscounted cash flows from the expected use of the property to its net book value to determine if impairment exists. If the sum of the future estimated undiscounted cash flows is higher than the current net book value, we would conclude no impairment exists. If the sum of the future estimated undiscounted cash flows is lower than its current net book value, we would recognize an impairment loss for the difference between the net book value of the asset and its estimated fair value which would reduce our net income. From time to time, we classify certain facilities, including unoccupied buildings and land parcels, as assets held for sale. To the extent we are unable to sell these properties for net book value, we may be required to take an impairment charge or loss on the sale, either of which would reduce our net income.

We evaluate our equity method investments for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of our investment in an unconsolidated joint venture may exceed the fair value. If it is determined that a decline in the fair value of our investment in an unconsolidated joint venture is other-than-temporary and is below its carrying value, an impairment would be recorded which would reduce our net income.

We may face competitive risks related to reinvestment of sale proceeds.

From time to time, we will have cash available from (i) the proceeds of sales of our securities, (ii) principal payments on our loans receivable and (iii) the sale of properties, including non-elective dispositions, under the terms of master leases or similar financial support arrangements. In order to maintain our current financial results, we must re-invest

these proceeds on a timely basis. We compete for real estate investments with a broad variety of potential investors. This competition for attractive investments may negatively affect our ability to make timely investments on terms acceptable to us. Delays in acquiring properties may negatively impact revenues and our ability to make distributions to stockholders.

Table of Contents

We rely on external sources of capital to fund future capital needs, and continued turbulence in financial markets could impair our ability to meet maturing commitments or make future investments necessary to grow our business.

In order to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, we are required, among other things, to distribute each year to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and by excluding net capital gain. Because of this distribution requirement, we will not be able to fund, from cash retained from operations, all future capital needs, including capital needs to satisfy or refinance maturing commitments and to make investments. As a result, we rely on external sources of capital. If we are unable to obtain needed capital at all or only on unfavorable terms from these sources, we might not be able to make the investments needed to grow our business, or to meet our obligations and commitments as they mature, which could negatively affect the ratings of our debt and even, in extreme circumstances, affect our ability to continue operations. Our access to capital depends upon a number of factors over which we have little or no control, including rising interest rates, inflation and other general market conditions and the market's perception of our potential for future increases in earnings and cash distributions, as well as the market price of the shares of our capital stock.

Recent market and economic conditions have been unprecedented and challenging with tighter credit conditions and slow growth. While there are current signs of a strengthening and stabilizing economy and more liquid and attractive capital markets, there are continued concerns about the uncertainty over whether our economy will again be adversely impacted by inflation, deflation or stagflation, and the systemic impact of rising unemployment, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of capital, the U.S. mortgage market and a declining real estate market in the U.S., resulting in a return to illiquid credit markets and widening credit spreads. We had \$700 million available under our credit facility at December 31, 2009, and we have no current reason to believe that we will be unable to access the facility in the future. However, continued concern about the stability of the markets generally and the strength of borrowers specifically has led many lenders and institutional investors to reduce and, in some cases, cease to provide, funding to borrowers. In addition, the banks that are parties to the credit facility might have incurred losses or might have reduced capital reserves as a result of their prior lending to other borrowers, their holdings of certain mortgage securities or their other financial relationships, in part because of the general weakening of the U.S. economy and the increased financial instability of many borrowers. As a result, these banks might be or become capital constrained and might tighten their lending standards, or become insolvent. If they experience shortages of capital and liquidity or if they experience excessive volumes of borrowing requests from other borrowers within a short period of time, these banks might not be able to meet their funding commitments under our credit facility. If we were unable to access our credit facility it could result in an adverse effect on our liquidity and financial condition.

At December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$101.8 million of indebtedness that matures in 2010 and \$378.5 million of indebtedness that matures in 2011. On February 9, 2010, we exercised a 12-month extension option on a \$32.4 million loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2010. Additionally, some of our senior notes can be put to us prior to the stated maturity date. There are no such senior notes that we may be required to repay in 2010 or 2011. If these recent market conditions continue or do not fully abate, they may limit our ability to timely refinance maturing liabilities and access the capital markets to meet liquidity needs, resulting in a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our plans for growth require regular access to the capital and credit markets. If capital is not available at an acceptable cost, it will significantly impair our ability to make future investments as acquisitions and development projects become difficult or impractical to pursue. Our potential capital sources include:

Equity Financing. As with other publicly-traded companies, the availability of equity capital will depend, in part, on the market price of our common stock which, in turn, will depend upon various market conditions that may change from time to time. Among the market conditions and other factors that may affect the market price

of our common stock are:

the extent of investor interest;

Table of Contents

the reputation of REITs in general and the healthcare sector in particular and the attractiveness of REIT equity securities in comparison to other equity securities, including securities issued by other real estate-based companies;

our financial performance and that of our tenants;

the contents of analyst reports about us and the REIT industry;

general stock and bond market conditions, including changes in interest rates on fixed income securities, which may lead prospective purchasers of our common stock to demand a higher annual yield from future distributions;

our failure to maintain or increase our dividend, which is dependent, to a large part, on growth of funds from operations which in turn depends upon increased revenues from existing investments, future investments and rental increases; and

other factors such as governmental regulatory action and changes in REIT tax laws.

The market value of the equity securities of a REIT is generally based upon the market's perception of the REIT's growth potential and its current and potential future earnings and cash distributions. Our failure to meet the market's expectation with regard to future earnings and cash distributions likely would adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Debt Financing/Leverage. Financing for our maturing commitments and future investments may be provided by borrowings under our bank line of credit, private or public offerings of debt, the assumption of secured indebtedness, mortgage financing on a portion of our owned portfolio or through joint ventures. We are subject to risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risks that our cash flow will be insufficient to service our debt or make distributions to our stockholders, that we will be unable to refinance existing indebtedness or that the terms of refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness or may include restrictive covenants that limit our flexibility in operating our business. If we are unable to refinance or extend principal payments due at maturity or pay them with proceeds from other capital transactions, our cash flow may not be sufficient in all years to pay distributions to our stockholders and to repay all maturing debt. Furthermore, if prevailing interest rates, changes in our debt ratings, or other factors at the time of refinancing, result in higher interest rates upon refinancing, the interest expense relating to that refinanced indebtedness would increase, which could reduce our profitability and the amount of dividends we are able to pay. Moreover, additional debt financing increases the amount of our leverage. The degree of leverage could have important consequences to stockholders, including affecting our investment grade ratings, our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, investments, development or other general corporate purposes and making us more vulnerable to a downturn in business or the economy generally.

Joint Ventures. We may develop or acquire properties in joint ventures with other persons or entities when circumstances warrant the use of these structures. Our participation in joint ventures is subject to the risks that:

our co-venturers or partners might at any time have economic or other business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our business interests or goals;

our co-venturers or partners may be in a position to take action contrary to our instructions or requests or contrary to our policies or objectives (including actions that may be inconsistent with our REIT status);

our co-venturers or partners may have different objectives from us regarding the appropriate timing and pricing of any sale or refinancing of properties; and

our co-venturers or partners might become bankrupt or insolvent.

Table of Contents

Joint ventures require us to share decision-making authority with our co-venturers or partners, which limits our ability to control the properties in the joint ventures. Even when we have a controlling interest, certain major decisions may require partner approval.

A downgrade of our credit rating could impair our ability to obtain additional debt financing on favorable terms, if at all, and significantly reduce the trading price of our common stock.

We currently have investment grade credit ratings of Baa2 from Moody's Investors Service, BBB- from Standard & Poor's Ratings Service and BBB from Fitch Ratings on our senior notes. If any of these rating agencies downgrade our credit rating, or place our rating under watch or review for possible downgrade, this could make it more difficult or expensive for us to obtain additional debt financing, and the trading price of our common stock will likely decline. Factors that may affect our credit rating include, among other things, our financial performance, our success in raising sufficient equity capital, adverse changes in our debt and fixed charge coverage ratios, our capital structure and level of indebtedness and pending or future changes in the regulatory framework applicable to our tenants and our industry. We cannot assure you that these credit agencies will not downgrade our credit rating in the future.

Our level of indebtedness may adversely affect our financial results.

As of December 31, 2009, we had total consolidated indebtedness of \$1.4 billion and total assets of \$3.6 billion. We expect to incur additional indebtedness in the future. The risks associated with financial leverage include:

increasing our sensitivity to general economic and industry conditions;

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing on favorable terms;

requiring a substantial portion of our cash flow to make interest and principal payments due on our indebtedness;

a possible downgrade of our credit rating; and

limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and industry.

Our debt instruments contain covenants that restrict our ability to engage in certain transactions and may impair our ability to respond to changing business and economic conditions.

Covenants under our credit facility and our senior notes may limit management's discretion by restricting our ability to, among other things, incur additional debt, redeem our capital stock, enter into certain transactions with affiliates, pay dividends and make other distributions, make investments and other restricted payments and create liens. Any additional financing we may obtain could contain similar or more restrictive covenants. Our desire to comply with these covenants may in the future prevent us from taking certain actions that we would otherwise deem appropriate.

If the holders of our senior notes exercise their rights to require us to repurchase their securities, we may have to make substantial payments, incur additional debt or issue equity securities to finance the repurchase.

Some of our senior notes grant the holders the right to require us, on specified dates, to repurchase their securities at a price equal to the principal amount of the notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest. If the holders of these securities elect to require us to repurchase their securities, we may be required to make significant payments, which would adversely affect our liquidity. Alternatively, we could finance the repurchase through the issuance of

additional debt securities, which may have terms that are not as favorable as the securities we are repurchasing, or equity securities, which would dilute the interests of our existing stockholders.

Table of Contents

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated and could fluctuate significantly.

Stock markets, in general, and stock prices of participants in the healthcare industry, in particular, have recently experienced significant levels of volatility. Continued market volatility may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. As with other publicly traded securities, the trading price of our common stock depends on several factors, many of which are beyond our control, including: general market and economic conditions; the effects of direct governmental action in financial markets; prevailing interest rates; the market for similar securities issued by other REITs; our credit rating; and our financial condition and results of operations.

A decision by any of our significant stockholders to sell a substantial amount of our common stock could depress our stock price. Based on filings with the SEC and shareholder reporting services, as of December 31, 2009, three of our stockholders owned at least five percent of our common stock and held an aggregate of approximately 23.5% of our common stock. A decision by any of these stockholders to sell a substantial amount of our common stock could depress the trading price of our common stock.

We may issue shares of preferred stock that will give holders of such shares rights that are senior to the rights of holders of our common stock or significant influence over our affairs, and their interests may differ from those of our other stockholders.

Our board of directors has the authority to designate and issue preferred stock that may have dividend, liquidation and other rights that are senior to those of our common stock. Holders of our preferred stock will be entitled to cumulative dividends before any dividends may be declared or set aside on our common stock. Upon our voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up, before any payment is made to holders of our common stock, holders of our preferred stock will be entitled to receive a liquidation preference, plus any accumulated and unpaid distributions. This will reduce the remaining amount of our assets, if any, available to distribute to holders of our common stock. In addition, holders of our preferred stock may have the right to elect two additional directors to our board of directors if six quarterly preferred dividends are in arrears.

There is no assurance that we will make distributions in the future.

We intend to continue to pay quarterly distributions to our stockholders consistent with our historical practice. However, our ability to pay distributions will be adversely affected if any of the risks described herein occur. Our payment of distributions is subject to compliance with restrictions contained in our credit facility and our senior notes. All distributions are made at the discretion of our board of directors, and our future distributions will depend upon our earnings, our cash flows, our anticipated cash flows, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT tax status and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. There are no assurances of our ability to pay distributions in the future. In addition, our distributions in the past have included, and may in the future include, a return of capital.

We face risks associated with short-term liquid investments.

At times we have significant cash balances that we invest in various short-term investments that are intended to preserve principal value and maintain a high degree of liquidity while providing current income. These investments may include (either directly or indirectly) obligations of the U.S. government or its agencies, obligations (including certificates of deposit) of banks, commercial paper, money market funds and other highly rated short-term securities. Investments in these securities and funds are not insured against loss of principal. Under certain circumstances, we may be required to redeem all or part of our investment, and our right to redeem some or all of our investment may be delayed or suspended. In addition, there is no guarantee that our investments in these securities or funds will be redeemable at par value. A decline in the value of our investment or a delay or suspension of our right to redeem may

have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

Our growth to date has been in part dependent on acquisitions which may not be available in the future, and we cannot make any assurances that any future growth strategies will be successful or not expose us to additional risks.

Any future growth through acquisitions will be partially dependent upon our ability to identify and complete favorable transactions and will be subject to risks associated with acquisitions, including delays or failures in obtaining third party consents or approvals, the failure to achieve perceived benefits, unexpected costs or liabilities and potential litigation. To the extent that acquisitions are made in geographic markets in which we have not previously had a presence, we would be exposed to additional risks, including those associated with an inability to accurately evaluate local market conditions, a lack of business relationships in the area and an unfamiliarity with local governmental and other regulations.

A key component of our growth strategy includes efficient access to the capital and credit markets. In certain situations where the future availability of capital is uncertain, we may secure equity and/or debt financing without the ability to immediately deploy the capital to income producing investments. As a result, dilution of earnings and other per share financial measures could occur as a result of the issuance of additional shares of stock and/or increased interest expense.

Although we believe that we have been successful in the past, we can give no assurance that we would be able to successfully identify and complete favorable transactions and/or execute new growth strategies in the future.

Unforeseen costs associated with investments in new properties could reduce our profitability.

Our business strategy contemplates future investments that may not prove to be successful. For example, we might encounter unanticipated difficulties and expenditures relating to any acquired properties, including contingent and/or unknown liabilities with limited or no recourse, and newly-acquired properties might require significant management attention that would otherwise be devoted to our ongoing business. If we issue equity securities or incur additional debt or both to finance future investments, it may reduce our per share financial results and/or increase our leverage. If we pursue new development projects, such projects would be subject to numerous risks, including risks of construction delays or cost overruns that may increase project costs, and new project commencement risks such as receipt of zoning, occupancy and other required governmental approvals and permits. Moreover, if we agree to provide funding to enable healthcare operators to build, expand or renovate facilities on our properties and the project is not completed, we could be forced to become involved in the development to ensure completion or we could lose the property. These costs may negatively affect our results of operations.

Increasing consolidation at the operator or REIT level could increase competition and reduce our profitability.

Our business is highly competitive and it may become more competitive in the future. We compete with a number of healthcare REITs and other financing sources, some of which are larger and have a lower cost of capital than we do. If consolidation occurs at the REIT or operator level, it could result in fewer investment opportunities for us and/or reduced profitability on our investments.

Failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and stock price.

Pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we are required to provide a report by management on our internal control over financial reporting, including management's assessment of the effectiveness of such control. Changes to our business will necessitate ongoing changes to our internal control systems and processes. Internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements due to inherent limitations, including the possibility of

human error, the circumvention or overriding of controls or fraud. Therefore, even effective internal controls can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, including any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or if we experience

Table of Contents

difficulties in their implementation, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected, we could fail to meet our reporting obligations and there could be a decline in our stock price.

Compliance with changing government regulations may result in additional expenses.

Changing laws, regulations and standards, including those relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, new SEC regulations and New York Stock Exchange rules, may create uncertainty for companies such as ours. These new or changed laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies, which could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to our business practices. Also, legislative or regulatory efforts that seek to reduce greenhouse gas emissions through green building codes could increase the costs of maintaining or improving our existing properties or developing new properties. We are committed to maintaining high standards of compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and standards. As a result, our efforts to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. If our efforts to comply with new or changed laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to practice, our reputation may be harmed.

Our success depends in part on our ability to retain key personnel, and if we are not successful in succession planning for our senior management team our business could be adversely impacted.

We depend on the efforts of our executive officers, particularly our President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Douglas M. Pasquale and our Executive Vice Presidents, Mr. Donald D. Bradley and Mr. Abdo H. Khoury. The loss of the services of these persons or the limitation of their availability could have an adverse impact on our operations. Although we have entered into employment and/or security agreements with certain of these executive officers, these agreements may not assure their continued service. In addition, if we are unsuccessful in our succession planning efforts, the continuity of our business and results of operations could be adversely impacted in the event that we are unable to retain one or more of these officers.

Some of our directors are involved in other real estate activities and investments and, therefore, may have potential conflicts of interest with us.

From time to time, certain of our directors may own interests in other real estate related businesses and investments, and this may give rise to potential conflicts of interests. All directors, officers and employees must avoid conflicts of interest as prescribed by our Business Code of Conduct & Ethics and are required, on an annual basis, to certify their compliance with the requirements of the Business Code of Conduct & Ethics. No director shall participate in any decision by the board of directors or Audit Committee that in any way relates to a matter that gives rise to a conflict of interest, other than to provide the board of directors of Audit Committee with all relevant information relating to the matter. Related party transactions are disclosed in our consolidated financial statements.

Our charter and bylaws and the laws of the state of our incorporation contain provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a change in control or other transactions that could provide stockholders with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price for our common stock.

In order to protect us against the risk of losing our REIT status for U.S. federal income tax purposes, our charter and bylaws prohibit (i) the beneficial ownership by any single person of more than 9.9% of the issued and outstanding shares of our stock, by value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, and (ii) any transfer that would result in beneficial ownership of our stock by fewer than 100 persons. We have the right to redeem shares acquired or held

in excess of the ownership limit. In addition, if any acquisition of our common or preferred stock violates the 9.9% ownership limit, the subject shares are automatically transferred to a trust temporarily for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary and, ultimately, are transferred to a person whose ownership of the shares will not violate the ownership limit. Furthermore, where such transfer in trust would not prevent a violation of the ownership limits, the prohibited transfer is treated as void ab initio. The ownership limit may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of our company and could adversely affect our stockholders' ability to

Table of Contents

realize a premium over the market price for the shares of our common stock. Our board of directors has increased the ownership limit to 20% with respect to one of our stockholders, Cohen & Steers, Inc. (Cohen & Steers). Cohen & Steers beneficially owned 4.8 million of our shares, or approximately 4.2% of our common stock, as of December 31, 2009.

Our charter authorizes us to issue additional shares of common stock and one or more series of preferred stock and to establish the preferences, rights and other terms of any series of preferred stock that we issue. Although our board of directors has no intention to do so at the present time, it could establish a series of preferred stock that could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change in control that might involve the payment of a premium over the market price for our common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders.

In addition, the laws of our state of incorporation and the following provisions of our charter may delay, defer or prevent a transaction that may be in the best interests of our stockholders:

business combinations must be approved by 90% of the outstanding shares unless the transaction receives a unanimous vote or consent of our board of directors or is a combination solely with a wholly owned subsidiary; and

the classification of our board of directors into three groups, with each group of directors being elected for successive three-year terms, may delay any attempt to replace our board.

As a Maryland corporation, we are subject to provisions of the Maryland Business Combination Act (MBCA) and the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act (MCSA). The MBCA may prohibit certain future acquirors of 10% or more of our stock (entitled to vote generally in the election of directors) and their affiliates from engaging in business combinations with us for a period of five years after such acquisition, and then only upon recommendation by the board of directors with (i) a stockholder vote of 80% of the votes entitled to be cast (including two-thirds of the stock not held by the acquiror and its affiliates) or (ii) if certain stringent fair price tests are met. The MCSA may cause acquirors of stock at levels in excess of 10%, 33% or 50% of the voting power of our stock to lose the voting rights of such stock unless voting rights are restored by vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding votes of stock held by the acquiring stockholder and our officers and employee directors.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR TAXATION AS A REIT

If we fail to remain qualified as a REIT, we will be subject to tax as a regular corporation and could face a substantial tax liability, which would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We intend to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Our continued qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals. Our compliance with the REIT income and quarterly asset requirements also depends upon our ability to successfully manage the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) will not contend that our interests in subsidiaries or other issuers will not cause a violation of the REIT requirements.

If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and dividends paid to our stockholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Any resulting corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders, which in turn

could have an adverse impact on the value of, and trading prices for, our common stock. Unless we were entitled to relief under certain Internal Revenue Code provisions, we also would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify as a REIT.

Table of Contents

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum tax rate applicable to income from qualified dividends payable to domestic stockholders that are individuals, trusts and estates has been reduced by legislation to 15% through the end of 2010. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates. Although this legislation does not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends payable by REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including our common stock.

Even if we remain qualified as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow.

Even if we remain qualified for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes. For example, we have in the past acquired, and may in the future acquire, appreciated assets from a corporation that is not a REIT (i.e., a corporation taxable under subchapter C of the Internal Revenue Code) in a transaction in which we receive carry-over tax basis. If we subsequently dispose of those assets and recognize gain during the ten-year period following their acquisition, we may be subject to tax on such appreciation at the highest corporate income tax rate then applicable. In addition, in order to meet the REIT qualification requirements, or to avert the imposition of a 100% tax that applies to certain gains derived by a REIT from dealer property or inventory, we may hold some of our non-healthcare assets through taxable REIT subsidiaries, or TRSs, or other subsidiary corporations that will be subject to corporate-level income tax at regular rates. We will be subject to a 100% penalty tax on certain amounts if the economic arrangements among our tenants, our TRS and us are not comparable to similar arrangements among unrelated parties. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Complying with REIT requirements with respect to our TRS limits our flexibility in operating or managing certain properties through our TRS.

A TRS may not directly or indirectly operate or manage a healthcare facility. For REIT qualification purposes, the definition of a healthcare facility means a hospital, nursing facility, assisted living facility, congregate care facility, qualified continuing care facility or other licensed facility which extends medical or nursing or ancillary services to patients and which, immediately before the termination, expiration, default, or breach of the lease of or mortgage secured by such facility, was operated by a provider of such services which was eligible for participation in the Medicare program under Title XVIII of the Social Security Act with respect to such facility. If the IRS were to treat a subsidiary corporation of ours as directly or indirectly operating or managing a healthcare facility, such subsidiary would not qualify as a TRS, which could jeopardize our REIT qualification under the REIT gross asset tests.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we continually must satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We may be unable to pursue investments that would be otherwise advantageous to us in order to satisfy the source-of-income, asset-diversification or distribution requirements for qualifying as a REIT. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to make certain attractive investments.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively.

The REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code substantially limit our ability to hedge our liabilities. Any income from a hedging transaction we enter into to manage risk of interest rate changes or currency fluctuations with respect to borrowings made or to be made to acquire or carry real estate assets does not constitute gross income for purposes of both the 75% and 95% gross income tests, if certain requirements are met. To the extent that we enter into other types of hedging transactions, the income from those transactions is likely to be treated as non-qualifying income for purposes of both of the gross income tests. As a result, we might have to limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement those hedges through one of our domestic TRSs. This could

Table of Contents

increase the cost of our hedging activities because our domestic TRSs would be subject to tax on gains or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to bear.

Qualifying as a REIT involves highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Internal Revenue Code provisions for which only limited judicial and administrative authorities exist. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Our continued qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. In addition, our ability to satisfy the requirements to qualify as a REIT depends in part on the actions of third parties over which we have no control or only limited influence, including in cases where we own an equity interest in an entity that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

New legislation or administrative or judicial action, in each instance potentially with retroactive effect, could make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT.

The present federal income tax treatment of REITs may be modified, possibly with retroactive effect, by legislative, judicial or administrative action at any time, which could affect the federal income tax treatment of an investment in us. The federal income tax rules that affect REITs are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process, the IRS and the U.S. Treasury Department, which results in statutory changes as well as frequent revisions to regulations and interpretations. Revisions in federal tax laws and interpretations thereof could cause us to change our investments and commitments and affect the tax considerations of an investment in us.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments.*

None.

Item 2. *Properties.*

See Item 1 for details.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings.*

From time to time, we are a party to various other legal proceedings, lawsuits and other claims (some of which may not be insured) that arise in the normal course of our business. Regardless of their merits, these matters may force us to expend significant financial resources. Except as described herein, we are not aware of any other legal proceedings or claims that we believe may have, individually or taken together, a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial position. However, we are unable to predict the ultimate outcome of pending litigation and claims, and if our assessment of our liability with respect to these actions and claims is incorrect, such actions and claims could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial position.

In late 2004 and early 2005, we were served with several lawsuits in connection with a fire at the Greenwood Healthcare Center in Hartford, Connecticut, that occurred on February 26, 2003. At the time of the fire, the Greenwood Healthcare Center was owned by us and leased to and operated by Lexington Healthcare Group. There were a total of 13 lawsuits arising from the fire. Those suits have been filed by representatives of patients who were either killed or injured in the fire. The lawsuits seek unspecified monetary damages. The complaints allege that the fire was set by a resident who had previously been diagnosed with depression. The complaints allege theories of negligent operation and premises liability against Lexington Healthcare, as operator, and us as owner. Lexington Healthcare has filed for bankruptcy. The matters have been consolidated into one action in the Connecticut Superior

Court Complex Litigation Docket at the Judicial District at Hartford and are in various stages of discovery and motion practice. We have filed a motion for summary judgment with regard to certain pending claims and will be filing additional summary judgment motions for any remaining claims. Mediation was commenced with respect to most of the claims, and a settlement has been reached in 10 of the 13 pending claims within the limits of our commercial general liability insurance. We obtained a judgment of nonsuit in one case whereby it is now dismissed, and the two remaining claims will be subject to summary judgment motions and ongoing efforts at resolution. Summary judgment rulings are not expected until late 2010.

Table of Contents

Lexington Insurance, which potentially owes insurance coverage for these claims to us, has filed a lawsuit against us which seeks no monetary damages, but which does seek a court order limiting its insurance coverage obligations to us. We have filed a counterclaim against Lexington Insurance demanding additional insurance coverage from Lexington in amounts up to \$10.0 million. The parties to that case, which is pending on the Complex Litigation Docket for the Judicial District of Hartford, filed cross-motions for summary judgment. Those motions were recently decided, resulting in a favorable outcome for us. The court's ruling indicates \$10.0 million in coverage is available from Lexington for the claims under the Professional Liability part of the Lexington policy. The court, however, declined to consider our counterclaim that there was an additional \$10.0 million in coverage available to us under the comprehensive general liability part of the policy, ruling such a claim was premature. Lexington has appealed and filed post-judgment motions with the trial court. We have cross-appealed and filed our own post-judgment motions with the trial court in order to pursue the additional \$10.0 million on the comprehensive general liability part of the policy. We do not expect the appeal to be resolved before the end of 2010.

We are being defended in the matter by our commercial general liability carrier. We believe that we have substantial defenses to the claims and that we have adequate insurance to cover the risks, should liability nonetheless be imposed. However, because the remaining claims are still in the process of discovery and motion practice, it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of these claims.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

PART II**Item 5. Market for the Company's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange. It has been our policy to declare quarterly dividends to holders of our common stock in order to comply with applicable sections of the Internal Revenue Code governing real estate investment trusts. Set forth below are the high and low sales prices of our common stock from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange and the cash dividends per share paid with respect to such periods. Future dividends will be declared and paid at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon cash generated by operating activities, our financial condition, relevant financing instruments, capital requirements, annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and such other factors as our board of directors deems relevant. However, we currently expect to pay cash dividends in the future, comparable in amount to dividends recently paid.

		High	Low	Dividend
2009				
	First quarter	\$ 28.81	\$ 18.16	\$ 0.44
	Second quarter	28.38	21.46	0.44
	Third quarter	33.79	24.23	0.44
	Fourth quarter	35.92	29.73	0.44
2008				
	First quarter	\$ 35.50	\$ 28.07	\$ 0.44
	Second quarter	37.67	30.62	0.44
	Third quarter	39.99	30.44	0.44

Fourth quarter	37.72	18.13	0.44
----------------	-------	-------	------

On February 9, 2010, our board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.44 per share of common stock. This dividend will be paid on March 5, 2010 to stockholders of record on February 19, 2010.

As of February 11, 2010 there were approximately 1,557 holders of record of our common stock.

We currently maintain two equity compensation plans: the 1989 Stock Option Plan (the 1989 Plan) and the 2005 Performance Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan). Each of these plans has been approved by our stockholders.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, for our equity compensation plans, the number of shares of common stock subject to outstanding options, warrants and rights (including restricted stock units and performance shares); the weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights; and the number of shares remaining available for future award grants under the plans as of December 31, 2009:

Equity Compensation Plans

	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in the First Column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,769,876(1)(2)	\$ 21.37(3)	1,217,893(4)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	1,769,876	\$ 21.37	1,217,893

- (1) Of these shares, 286,625 were subject to stock options then outstanding under the 1989 Plan. In addition, this number includes an aggregate of 1,483,251 shares that were subject to restricted stock units, performance shares, stock options and stock appreciation rights awards then outstanding under the 2005 Plan.
- (2) This number does not include an aggregate of 39,178 shares of unvested restricted stock then outstanding under the 2005 Plan.
- (3) This number reflects the weighted-average exercise price of outstanding stock options and has been calculated exclusive of restricted stock units, performance shares and stock appreciation rights outstanding under the 2005 Plan.
- (4) All of these shares were available for grant under the 2005 Plan. The shares available under the 2005 Plan are, subject to certain other limits under that plan, generally available for any type of award authorized under the 2005 Plan, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock bonuses and performance shares.

The following graph demonstrates the performance of the cumulative total return to the stockholders of our common stock during the previous five years in comparison to the cumulative total return on the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) Equity Index and the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock

Table of Contents

Index. The NAREIT Equity Index is comprised of all tax-qualified, equity oriented, real estate investment trusts listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the American Stock Exchange or the NASDAQ Global Market.

It should be noted that this graph represents historical stock performance and is not necessarily indicative of any future stock price performance.

Item 6. *Selected Financial Data.*

The following table presents our selected financial data. Certain of this financial data has been derived from our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and should be read in

Table of Contents

conjunction with those financial statements and accompanying notes and with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Operating Data:					
Revenues	\$ 390,512	\$ 368,319	\$ 303,222	\$ 220,814	\$ 155,830
Income from continuing operations	125,194	106,761	130,368	51,107	31,796
Discontinued operations	23,864	161,246	93,878	134,049	38,145
Net income	149,058	268,007	224,246	185,156	69,941
Preferred stock dividends	(5,350)	(7,637)	(13,434)	(15,163)	(15,622)
Preferred stock redemption charge					(795)
Net income attributable to NHP common stockholders	143,040	260,501	211,024	170,414	53,524
Dividends paid on common stock	187,799	171,496	150,819	120,406	100,179
Per Share Data:					
Diluted income from continuing operations attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.28	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.22
Diluted net income attributable to NHP common stockholders	1.31	2.63	2.31	2.19	0.78
Dividends paid on common stock	1.76	1.76	1.64	1.54	1.48
Balance Sheet Data:					
Investments in real estate, net	\$ 3,031,383	\$ 3,124,229	\$ 2,961,442	\$ 2,583,515	\$ 1,786,075
Total assets	3,647,075	3,458,125	3,144,353	2,704,814	1,867,220
Borrowings under unsecured senior credit facility			41,000	139,000	224,000
Senior notes	991,633	1,056,233	1,166,500	887,500	570,225
Notes and bonds payable	431,456	435,199	340,150	355,411	236,278
NHP stockholders' equity	2,033,099	1,760,667	1,482,693	1,243,809	781,032
Other Data:					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 247,414	\$ 243,838	\$ 220,886	\$ 171,932	\$ 148,313
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (2,447)	\$ (111,088)	\$ (375,364)	\$ (654,819)	\$ (139,552)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 55,061	\$ (69,907)	\$ 159,190	\$ 487,577	\$ (7,229)
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	108,547	98,763	90,987	77,566	67,389
Reconciliation of Funds from Operations(1):					
Net income	\$ 149,058	\$ 268,007	\$ 224,246	\$ 185,156	\$ 69,941
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(668)	131	212	421	
Preferred stock dividends	(5,350)	(7,637)	(13,434)	(15,163)	(15,622)
Preferred stock redemption charge					(795)
Real estate related depreciation	123,666	118,603	100,340	77,714	56,670

Depreciation in income from unconsolidated joint ventures	5,209	4,768	1,703		246
Gain on sale of facilities	(23,908)	(154,995)	(118,114)	(96,791)	(4,908)
Gain on sale of facilities from unconsolidated joint venture					(330)
Funds from operations available to common stockholders	\$ 248,007	\$ 228,877	\$ 194,953	\$ 151,337	\$ 105,202

(1) We believe that funds from operations is an important non-GAAP supplemental measure of operating performance because it excludes the effect of depreciation and gains (losses) from sales of facilities (both

Table of Contents

of which are based on historical costs which may be of limited relevance in evaluating current performance). Additionally, funds from operations is used by us and widely used by industry analysts as a measure of operating performance for equity REITs. We therefore disclose funds from operations, although it is a measurement that is not defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. We calculate funds from operations in accordance with the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts' definition. Funds from operations does not represent cash generated from operating activities as defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (funds from operations does not include changes in operating assets and liabilities) and, therefore, should not be considered as an alternative to net income as the primary indicator of operating performance or to cash flow as a measure of liquidity.

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.*

Overview

To facilitate your review and understanding of this section of our report and the financial statements that follow, we are providing an overview of what management believes are the most important considerations for understanding our company and its business: the key factors that drive our business and the principal associated risks.

Who We Are

We are an investment grade rated (since 1994), publicly traded equity REIT that invests in senior housing, long-term care facilities and medical office buildings throughout the United States. We strive to maximize total stockholder return by growing our asset base through a conservative, long-term approach to real estate investments. The healthcare sector is relatively recession resistant and presents unique growth potential as evidenced by favorable aging demographic trends and increasing market penetration of a rapidly growing senior population. Led by the aging "baby boomer" generation, the growth potential within the healthcare real estate sector will be driven by the increased use of healthcare services and, in each case, the recognized need for additional and improved healthcare facilities and services. Our management team has extensive operating backgrounds in senior housing and long-term care that we believe provides us a competitive advantage in these sectors. In 2008, we established a full service medical office building platform comprised of a Class A portfolio of facilities backed by well regarded property management services and development capabilities.

What We Invest In

We invest passively in the following types of geographically diversified healthcare properties:

Senior Housing/Assisted and Independent Living Facilities (ALFs, ILFs and ALZs). This primarily private pay-backed sector breaks down into three principal categories, each of which may be operated on a stand alone basis or combined with one or more of the others into a single facility or campus:

Assisted Living Facilities (ALFs) designed for frail seniors who can no longer live independently and instead need assistance with activities of daily living (such as feeding, dressing and bathing) but do not require round-the-clock skilled nursing care.

Independent Living Facilities (ILFs) designed for seniors who pay for some concierge-type services (e.g., meals, housekeeping, laundry, transportation, and social and recreational activities) but require little, if any, assistance with activities of daily living.

Alzheimer Facilities (ALZs) designed for those residents with significant cognitive impairment as a result of having Alzheimer's or related dementia.

Long-Term Care/Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNFs). This primarily government (Medicare and Medicaid) reimbursement backed sector consists of skilled nursing facilities designed for inpatient rehabilitative, restorative, skilled nursing and other medical treatment for residents who are medically stable and do not require the intensive care of an acute care or rehabilitative hospital.

Table of Contents

Continuing Care Retirement Communities (CCRCs). These communities are designed to provide a continuum of care for residents as they age and their health deteriorates and typically combine on a defined campus integrated senior housing and long-term care facilities.

Medical Office Buildings (MOBs). MOBs usually house several different unrelated medical practices, although they can be associated with a large single-specialty or multi-specialty group. MOB tenants include physicians, dentists, psychologists, therapists and other healthcare providers, with space devoted to patient examination and treatment, diagnostic imaging, outpatient surgery and other outpatient services. Since an MOB generally has several tenants under separate leases, they require day-to-day property management services that typically include rent collection from disparate tenants, re-marketing space as it becomes vacant and, for non-triple-net leases, responsibility for many of the MOB's associated operating expenses (although many of these are, or can effectively be, passed through to the tenants as well). MOBs are generally classified as being either on campus or off campus.

On Campus MOBs typically are located on or immediately adjacent to an acute care hospital campus and are generally subject to a hospital ground lease. Its tenants are primarily doctors whose patients have been or will be treated at the hospital. The relationship with a vibrant hospital tends to create stronger tenant demand, generate higher rental rates, provide higher tenant retention and discourage competitive new supply as compared to most off campus MOBs that are unaffiliated with a healthcare system.

Off Campus MOBs have become more and more prevalent as healthcare has increasingly shifted from the inpatient model to the typically less expensive outpatient model. Instead of typically being subject to a hospital ground lease with operating and use restrictions limiting the owner's control over the facility, including as a practical matter the ability to aggressively raise rents, owners of off campus MOBs typically have full ownership of the facility and control over all leasing and operating decisions. Further, those affiliated with a healthcare system may also enjoy many of the same advantages as an on campus facility.

How We Do It

Using a three-prong foundation that focuses on proactive capital management, active portfolio management and quality funds from operations (FFO) growth, we typically invest in senior housing facilities, long-term care facilities and medical office buildings as provided below.

Senior Housing and Long-Term Care Facilities (Including CCRCs). We primarily make our investments in these properties passively by acquiring an ownership interest in facilities and leasing them to unaffiliated tenants under triple-net master leases that transfer the obligation for all facility operating costs (insurance, property taxes, utilities, maintenance, capital improvements, etc.) to the tenants. In addition, but to a much lesser extent because we view the risks of this activity to be greater due to less favorable bankruptcy treatment and other factors, from time to time, we extend mortgage loans and other financing to tenants, generally at higher rates than we charge for rent on our owned facilities to compensate us for the additional risk.

Medical Office Buildings (MOBs). We generally lease medical office buildings to multiple tenants under separate non-triple-net leases, where we are responsible for many of the associated operating expenses (although many of these are, or can effectively be, passed through to the tenants), and to single tenants under triple-net master leases like those referred to above. Until 2008, we primarily made our multi-tenant MOB investments in MOBs through joint ventures with specialists in this sector that would manage the venture and provide property management services. Since 2008, we have expanded our capabilities by executing on our strategic initiative to establish a full service MOB platform through a multi-faceted transaction with Pacific

Medical Buildings LLC. We acquired from Pacific Medical Buildings LLC and certain of its affiliates interests in 12 Class A MOB's for \$250.2 million in 2008 and two Class A MOB's for \$89.1 million in February 2010. These MOB's comprise approximately 1 million square feet and are located in California (10), Nevada (2), Arizona (1) and Oregon (1). We also entered into an agreement pursuant to which we currently have the right, but not the obligation, to acquire up to approximately \$1.3 billion of MOB's to be developed by PMB LLC through April 2019. Finally, we acquired from PMB a 50% interest in PMB Real Estate Services LLC (PMBRES), a full service property management company. PMBRES

Table of Contents

provides property and asset management services for 34 MOBs (2,546,000 square feet), 26 of which we own or have an ownership interest in.

How We Measure Our Progress Funds from Operations and Total Stockholder Return

We believe that FFO is an important non-GAAP supplemental measure of operating performance because it excludes the effect of depreciation and gains (losses) from sales of facilities (both of which are based on historical costs which may be of limited relevance in evaluating current performance). Additionally, FFO is widely used by industry analysts as a measure of operating performance for equity REITs. We therefore discuss FFO, although it is a measurement that is not defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. We calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities as defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (it does not include changes in operating assets and liabilities) and, therefore, should not be considered as an alternative to net income as the primary indicator of operating performance or to cash flow as a measure of liquidity.

In addition to FFO, we also believe total stockholder return to be a significant measure of our progress. Total stockholder return means, with respect to the Company: (a) the change in the market price of its common stock (as quoted on the principal market on which it is traded) during the performance period plus reinvested dividends and other distributions paid with respect to the common stock during the performance period, divided by (b) the market price of the common stock at the beginning of the performance period.

What We Have Accomplished Over the Last Three Years

We have enjoyed numerous successes since the end of 2006, perhaps the most notable of which are as follows:

Investments. We invested, directly and through our consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures, \$1.7 billion in the last three years, growing our gross investments in real estate 22% from \$3.0 billion at the end of 2006 to \$3.6 billion at the end of 2009. During this period, we strategically diversified our asset base through investments in an MOB platform and facilities representing 20% of our investments at the end of 2009. Coupled with our capital and portfolio management initiatives, over the past three years this growing asset base enabled us to accomplish the following:

Quality, Recurring FFO Growth We increased our adjusted FFO per share over 15% from \$1.93 per share in 2006 to \$2.23 per share in 2009.

Growing Dividend We increased our cash dividend 14% from \$1.54 per share in 2006 to \$1.76 per share in 2009.

Total Stockholder Return According to Thomson Reuters, we provided 39% total stockholder return over the past three years compared to a 12% total stockholder return provided by the companies within the healthcare sector of the NAREIT Index, a negative 32% total stockholder return provided by the companies comprising the NAREIT Index and a negative 11% total stockholder return provided by the companies comprising the S&P 500 Index.

Capital More Flexible and Diverse Structure and Conservative Balance Sheet. Our overall capital goal has been to balance the debt and equity components of our capital structure, increase our sources of capital, enhance our credit statistics, preserve and strengthen our investment grade credit ratings (Moody's Investors Service: Baa2, Standard & Poor's Ratings Service: BBB- and Fitch Ratings: BBB) and continue to protect our dividend. In addition, in response to the crises in the capital and credit markets, in 2009, we improved our

liquidity. We believe we have accomplished all of these goals, with the following items being particularly noteworthy over the past three years:

Conservative Leverage We reduced our debt to equity ratio (on an undepreciated book basis) from 46.1% at the end of 2006 to 36.0% at the end of 2009, which ranked us at the top of all investment grade REITs (according to research provided by JP Morgan Securities and SNL Real Estate through November 2009).

Table of Contents

Multiple Capital Sources We added the following to our existing \$700 million credit facility and traditional marketed debt and equity capital sources:

At-the-Market Equity Offering We implemented a program in 2006 under which we periodically issue equity with a targeted price greater than the volume weighted average price, subject to fees of under 2%. Over the past three years, we have issued approximately 22 million shares of common stock under this program, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$687 million.

Institutional Joint Venture Capital We formed a joint venture in January 2007 with a state pension fund investor to provide an additional capital source. The joint venture has invested \$552 million in assisted and independent living facilities, skilled nursing facilities and continuing care retirement communities, including \$227 million in facilities acquired by the joint venture from us, and has an approved capacity to invest up to \$975 million in these property types.

Asset Management Capital In addition to the above sales to the institutional joint venture, in 2007, we sold 36 skilled nursing facilities primarily located in Texas with an average age of 35 years for \$128 million (an 8.5% capitalization rate on our rent, resulting in a gain on sale of \$60.1 million) and invested a total of \$362 million at an average starting rate of 8.3% in newer skilled nursing facilities located in multiple states. In 2008, we sold to Emeritus senior housing assets previously leased to them for \$305 million (a 6.1% capitalization rate on our rent, resulting in a gain on sale of \$135.0 million) and retained the net proceeds to bolster our liquidity.

Enhanced Credit Statistics We increased our adjusted fixed charge coverage from 2.51x at the end of 2006 to 3.45x at the end of 2009, which ranked us at the top of all investment grade REITs (according to research provided by JP Morgan Securities and SNL Real Estate through November 2009).

Enhanced Credit Ratings In 2009, both Moody's and Fitch upgraded us to Baa2 and BBB, respectively, and S&P (BBB-) changed our outlook from stable to positive.

Dividend Secure and Growing We maintained our dividend coverage ratio (dividends per share divided by recurring diluted FFO per share) at about 80%, while our dividend increased 14%.

Maximized Liquidity Retained \$382 million in cash and full availability on our \$700 million credit line at the end of 2009.

Portfolio Management Implemented Sophisticated Program. Since the end of 2006, we have continued to dramatically upgrade our portfolio management program by enhancing the proprietary software system we developed, adding four dedicated portfolio management personnel and proactively anticipating and responding to potential problem areas. We believe we now have one of the most sophisticated portfolio management programs in our industry.

Focus and Outlook for 2010

Consistent with the strengthening economy and capital markets, our strategic objective priority has shifted from liquidity preservation and balance sheet management to investment growth. Our financial strength allows flexibility to create and exploit growth opportunities related to our core acquisition business (assisted living, skilled nursing, medical office) as well as new development projects in healthcare real estate. With the cost and availability of credit becoming more attractive, coupled with our \$382 million in cash and the full availability of our \$700 million credit

facility at the end of 2009, we believe we have adequate liquidity to address our business commitments over the next two years while also growing our business at a measured pace. Our plans for growth require efficient access to the capital and credit markets. So long as capital continues to be available at an acceptable cost, we expect to be able to make further investments through quality acquisitions and development projects.

In 2009, given the unprecedented disruptions to our economy in general and the capital markets in particular, generating meaningful growth in net income and FFO proved difficult. In 2010, we anticipate the early stages of a protracted economic recovery with more liquid and less volatile capital markets. If the economic recovery holds, our primary focus for 2010 will return to continuing to improve our net income and FFO on an absolute and per share basis and further diversifying and upgrading our portfolio, while also continuing to explore alternative capital

Table of Contents

sources, investment structures, joint ventures and property types that would enable us to compete more effectively in the markets in which we invest. If deflationary pressures continue to abate, we expect the rent escalators (generally between 1% and 3%) contained in many of our leases will be a source of meaningful built in internal net income and FFO growth. However, since most of these escalators are tied to annual increases in the Consumer Price Index, if that Index starts trending negatively again as it did for most of 2009, we are likely to see much less, if any, internal growth from these rent escalators as long as deflationary conditions continue. Investment growth appears possible as the unprecedented adverse capital markets and economic conditions and the resulting tighter credit conditions and slower growth that evolved in 2008 and continued through much of 2009 appear to be abating. However, there still exists a wide range of directly conflicting outcomes possible for 2010. A small misstep in Federal monetary policy could mean the difference between higher inflation and deflation. We could slip back into a recession, if our economic recovery loses steam. If a double-dip recession occurs, we could return to the environment we saw in 2008 and much of 2009 where the systemic impact of energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market and a declining real estate market in the U.S. contributed to increased market volatility and diminished expectations for the U.S. economy any or all of which may make it more difficult for some or many of our tenants to pay their rent.

Our growth plans could be diminished, our financial position weakened and our ability to make distributions limited if we revert to an environment dominated by deteriorating general economic conditions or other factors leading to any of our major senior housing or other tenants being unable to meet their obligations to us. We have no operational control over our tenants. Serious tenant financial problems could lead to more extensive restructurings or tenant disruptions than we currently expect. This could be unique to a particular tenant or it could be industry related, such as continuing reduced occupancies for our assisted and independent living facilities due to severely distressed housing and credit markets, sustained unemployment or reduced federal or state governmental reimbursement levels in the case of our skilled nursing facilities with many states already facing severe budget deficits.

Notwithstanding the amplified uncertainty that exists in the economy and capital markets, our focus for 2010 will be to make quality, accretive investments in existing healthcare assets as well as new development projects when opportunities arise. Simultaneously, we intend to plan for a range of possible outcomes that exist for 2010 through conservative capital management, proactive portfolio management and relevant enterprise risk management. We will continue to closely monitor our liquidity, the capital and credit markets and the performance of our tenants, using our unique operating backgrounds to proactively identify and address potential problems that may develop.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. If our judgment or interpretation of the facts and circumstances relating to various transactions or other matters had been different, it is possible that different accounting would have been applied, resulting in different presentation of our financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions, including those that impact our most critical accounting policies. We base our estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates. We believe the following are our most critical accounting estimates.

Principles of Consolidation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of NHP, its wholly owned subsidiaries and its joint ventures that are controlled through voting rights or other means. We apply the provisions of Financial Accounting

Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 810, *Consolidation* (ASC 810), for arrangements with variable interest entities (VIEs) and would consolidate those VIEs where we are the primary beneficiary. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Table of Contents

Our judgment with respect to our level of influence or control of an entity and whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE involves the consideration of various factors including, but not limited to, the form of our ownership interest, our representation on the entity's governing body, the size of our investment, estimates of future cash flows, our ability to participate in policy-making decisions and the rights of the other investors to participate in the decision-making process and to replace us as manager and/or liquidate the venture, if applicable. Our ability to correctly assess our influence or control over an entity or determine the primary beneficiary of a VIE affects the presentation of these entities in our consolidated financial statements.

We apply the provisions of ASC Topic 323, *Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures*, to investments in joint ventures. Investments in entities that we do not consolidate but for which we have the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies are reported under the equity method. Under the equity method of accounting, our share of the entity's earnings or losses is included in our operating results.

Revenue Recognition

Rental income from operating leases is recognized in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 840, *Leases*, and ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*. Our leases generally contain annual escalators. Many of our leases contain non-contingent rent escalators for which we recognize income on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Recognizing income on a straight-line basis requires us to calculate the total non-contingent rent to be paid over the life of a lease and to recognize the revenue evenly over that life. This method results in rental income in the early years of a lease being higher than actual cash received, creating a straight-line rent receivable asset included in the caption *Other assets* on our consolidated balance sheets. At some point during the lease, depending on its terms, the cash rent payments eventually exceed the straight-line rent which results in the straight-line rent receivable asset decreasing to zero over the remainder of the lease term. We assess the collectability of straight-line rents in accordance with the applicable accounting standards and our reserve policy and defer recognition of straight-line rent if its collectability is not reasonably assured. Certain leases contain escalators contingent on revenues or other factors, including increases based on changes in the Consumer Price Index. Such revenue increases are recognized as the related contingencies are met.

Our assessment of the collectability of straight-line rents is based on several factors, including the financial strength of the tenant and any guarantors, the historical operations and operating trends of the facility, the historical payment pattern of the tenant, the type of facility and whether we intend to continue to lease the facility to the current tenant, among others. If our evaluation of these factors indicates we may not receive the rent payments due in the future, we defer recognition of the straight-line rental income and, depending on the circumstances, we will provide a reserve against the previously recognized straight-line rent receivable asset for a portion, up to its full value, that we estimate may not be recoverable. If we change our assumptions or estimates regarding the collectability of future rent payments required by a lease, we may adjust our reserve to increase or reduce the rental revenue recognized, and/or to increase or reduce the reserve against the existing straight-line rent receivable balance.

We evaluate the collectability of the straight-line rent receivable balances on an ongoing basis and provide reserves against receivables we believe may not be fully recoverable. The ultimate amount of straight-line rent we realize could be less than amounts currently recorded.

Land, Buildings and Improvements and Depreciation and Useful Lives of Assets

We record properties at cost and use the straight-line method of depreciation for buildings and improvements over their estimated remaining useful lives of up to 40 years, generally 20 to 40 years depending on factors including building type, age, quality and location. We review and adjust useful lives periodically.

We allocate purchase prices of properties in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 805, *Business Combinations* (ASC 805), which require that the acquisition method of accounting be used for all business combinations and for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. ASC 805 also establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. Certain transaction costs that have historically been capitalized as acquisition costs are expensed for business combinations completed on or after

Table of Contents

January 1, 2009, which may have a significant impact on our future results of operations and financial position based on historical acquisition costs and activity levels.

The allocation of the cost between land, building and, if applicable, equipment and intangible assets and liabilities, and the determination of the useful life of a property are based on management's estimates, which are based in part on independent appraisals or other consultants' reports. For our triple-net leased facilities, the allocation is made as if the property were vacant, and a significant portion of the cost of each property is allocated to buildings. This amount generally approximates 90% of the total property value. Historically, we have generally acquired properties and simultaneously entered into a new market rate lease for the entire property with one tenant. For our multi-tenant medical office buildings, the percentage allocated to buildings may be substantially lower as allocations are made to assets such as lease-up intangible assets, above market tenant and ground lease intangible assets and in-place lease intangible assets (collectively intangible assets) included on our consolidated balance sheets and/or below market tenant and ground lease intangible liabilities included in the caption Accounts payable and accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets.

We calculate depreciation and amortization on equipment and lease costs using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of up to five years or the lease term, whichever is appropriate. We amortize intangible assets and liabilities over the remaining lease terms of the respective leases to real estate amortization expense or medical office building operating rent, as appropriate. We review and adjust useful lives periodically. If we do not allocate appropriately between land and building or we incorrectly estimate the useful lives of our assets, our computation of depreciation and amortization will not appropriately reflect the usage of the assets over future periods. If we overestimate the useful life of an asset, the depreciation expense related to the asset will be understated, which could result in a loss if the asset is sold in the future.

Asset Impairment

We review our long-lived assets individually on a quarterly basis to determine if there are indicators of impairment in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment* (ASC 360). Indicators may include, among others, a tenant's inability to make rent payments, operating losses or negative operating trends at the facility level, notification by a tenant that it will not renew its lease, or a decision to dispose of an asset or adverse changes in the fair value of any of our properties. For operating assets, if indicators of impairment exist, we compare the undiscounted cash flows from the expected use of the property to its net book value to determine if impairment exists. The evaluation of the undiscounted cash flows from the expected use of the property is highly subjective and is based in part on various factors and assumptions, including, but not limited to, historical operating results, available market information and known trends and market/economic conditions that may affect the property, as well as, estimates of future operating income, occupancy, rental rates, leasing demand and competition. If the sum of the future estimated undiscounted cash flows is higher than the current net book value, we conclude no impairment exists. If the sum of the future estimated undiscounted cash flows is lower than its current net book value, we recognize an impairment loss for the difference between the net book value of the asset and its estimated fair value. To the extent we decide to sell an asset, we recognize an impairment loss if the current net book value of the asset exceeds its fair value less selling costs.

We evaluate our equity method investments for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of our investment in an unconsolidated joint venture may exceed the fair value. If it is determined that a decline in the fair value of our investment in an unconsolidated joint venture is other-than-temporary and is below its carrying value, an impairment is recorded. The determination of the fair value of investments in unconsolidated joint ventures involves significant judgment. Our estimates consider all available evidence including, as appropriate, the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at market rates, general economic conditions and trends and other relevant factors.

The above analyses require us to determine whether there are indicators of impairment for individual assets or investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, to estimate the most likely stream of cash flows from operating assets and to determine the fair value of assets that are impaired or held for sale. If our assumptions, projections or estimates regarding an asset change in the future, we may have to record an impairment charge to reduce or further reduce the net book value of such individual asset or investment in unconsolidated joint venture.

Table of Contents

Collectability of Receivables

We evaluate the collectability of our rent, mortgage loans and other receivables on a regular basis based on factors including, among others, payment history, the financial strength of the borrower and any guarantors, the value of the underlying collateral, the operations and operating trends of the underlying collateral, if any, the asset type and current economic conditions. If our evaluation of these factors indicates we may not recover the full value of the receivable, we provide a reserve against the portion of the receivable that we estimate may not be recovered. This analysis requires us to determine whether there are factors indicating a receivable may not be fully collectible and to estimate the amount of the receivable that may not be collected. If our assumptions or estimates regarding the collectability of a receivable change in the future, we may have to record a reserve to reduce or further reduce the carrying value of the receivable.

Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, significant management judgment is required to estimate our compliance with REIT requirements. Our determinations are based on interpretation of tax laws, and our conclusions may have an impact on the income tax expense recognized. Adjustments to income tax expense may be required as a result of i) audits conducted by federal and state tax authorities; ii) our ability to qualify as a REIT; iii) the potential for built-in-gain recognized related to prior-tax-free acquisitions of C corporations; and iv) changes in tax laws. Adjustments required in any given period are included in income, other than adjustments to income tax liabilities acquired in business combinations, which would be adjusted through goodwill.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB updated ASC 810 to require ongoing analyses to determine whether an entity's variable interest gives it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity (VIE), making it the primary beneficiary, based on whether the entity (i) has the power to direct activities of the VIE that most significantly impact its economic performance, including whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure the VIE operates as designed, and (ii) has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Enhanced disclosures regarding an entity's involvement with variable interest entities are also required under the provisions of ASC 810. These requirements are effective January 1, 2010. The adoption of these requirements is not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements* (ASU 2010-06). ASU 2010-06 adds new requirements for disclosures of significant transfers into and out of Levels 1, 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the reasons for the transfers and the policy for determining when transfers are recognized. ASU 2010-06 also adds new requirements for disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross rather than net basis relating to the reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of Level 3 recurring fair value measurements. It also clarifies the level of disaggregation to require disclosures by class rather than by major category of assets and liabilities and clarifies that a description of inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value is required for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements classified as Level 2 or 3. ASU 2010-06 is effective January 1, 2010 except for the requirements to provide the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis which are effective January 1, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2010-06 is not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

Table of Contents**Operating Results***Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2008*

	2009	2008	\$ Change	% Change
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Revenue:				
Triple-net lease rent	\$ 295,757	\$ 283,052	\$ 12,705	4%
Medical office building operating rent	68,319	60,287	8,032	13%
	364,076	343,339	20,737	6%
Interest and other income	26,436	24,980	1,456	6%
	390,512	368,319	22,193	6%
Expenses:				
Interest and amortization of deferred financing costs	93,630	101,045	(7,415)	(7)%
Depreciation and amortization	124,264	116,375	7,889	7%
General and administrative	27,353	26,051	1,302	5%
Acquisition costs	830		830	100%
Medical office building operating expenses	28,906	26,631	2,275	9%
	274,983	270,102	4,881	2%
Operating income	115,529	98,217	17,312	18%
Income from unconsolidated joint ventures	5,101	3,903	1,198	31%
Gain on debt extinguishment, net	4,564	4,641	(77)	(2)%
Income from continuing operations	125,194	106,761	18,433	17%
Discontinued operations:				
Gains on sale of facilities, net	23,908	154,995	(131,087)	(85)%
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(44)	6,251	(6,295)	(101)%
	23,864	161,246	(137,382)	(85)%
Net income	149,058	268,007	(118,949)	(44)%
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(668)	131	(799)	(610)%
Net income attributable to NHP	148,390	268,138	(119,748)	(45)%
Preferred stock dividends	(5,350)	(7,637)	2,287	(30)%
Net income attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 143,040	\$ 260,501	\$ (117,461)	(45)%

Triple-net lease rental income increased \$12.7 million, or 4%, in 2009 as compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to rental income from 42 facilities acquired during 2008 and rent increases at existing facilities, offset in part by reserves and decreased straight-line rental income.

Medical office building operating rent increased \$8.0 million, or 13%, in 2009 as compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to operating rent from 10 multi-tenant medical office buildings acquired during 2008, including nine medical office buildings acquired through consolidated joint ventures.

Interest and other income increased \$1.5 million, or 6%, in 2009 as compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to six loans funded during 2008 and four loans funded during 2009, offset in part by lower short-term investment interest income resulting from lower interest rates and by loan repayments.

Table of Contents

Interest and amortization of deferred financing costs decreased \$7.4 million, or 7%, in 2009 as compared to 2008. The decrease was primarily due to the repayment of \$110.3 million of senior notes during 2008 and \$64.6 million during 2009 and the repayment of the outstanding balance on our credit facility during 2008 using a portion of the net proceeds from the issuance of common stock and the sale of 23 assisted and independent living facilities to Emeritus, the tenant of the facilities, offset in part by the assumption of \$120.8 million of secured debt during 2008 and the addition of \$35.8 million and \$6.9 million of secured debt in 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$7.9 million, or 7%, in 2009 as compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to the acquisition of 52 facilities during 2008, including 10 multi-tenant medical office buildings.

General and administrative expenses increased \$1.3 million, or 5%, in 2009 as compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to increased expenses for employee related costs, offset in part by a decrease in tax expense.

Acquisition costs represent costs related to acquisition transactions. Prior to January 1, 2009, these costs were capitalized. Acquisition costs were \$0.8 million in 2009.

Medical office building operating expenses increased \$2.3 million, or 9%, in 2009 as compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to operating expenses from 10 multi-tenant medical office buildings acquired during 2008, including nine medical office buildings acquired through consolidated joint ventures.

Income from unconsolidated joint ventures increased \$1.2 million, or 31%, in 2009 as compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to increased income from our unconsolidated joint venture with a state pension fund investor, primarily resulting from a gain on debt extinguishment, and decreased losses from PMB Real Estate Services LLC (PMBRES), a full service property management company, in which we acquired a 50% interest in 2008 and income in 2009 as compared to a loss in 2008 from PMB SB 399-401 East Highland LLC (PMB SB), an entity that owns two multi-tenant medical office buildings, in which we acquired a 44.95% interest in 2008.

Gain on debt extinguishment represents the gains recognized in connection with the prepayment of \$30.0 million and \$49.7 million of senior notes in 2009 and 2008, respectively.

ASC 360 requires the operating results of any assets with their own identifiable cash flows that are disposed of or held for sale and in which we have no continuing interest be removed from income from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations. The operating results for any such assets for any prior periods presented must also be reclassified as discontinued operations. If we have a continuing investment, as in the sales to our unconsolidated joint venture with a state pension fund investor, the operating results remain in continuing operations. Discontinued operations income decreased \$137.4 million in 2009 as compared to 2008. Discontinued operations income of \$23.9 million for 2009 was comprised of gains on sale of \$23.9 million and rental income of \$0.8 million, offset in part by depreciation and amortization of \$0.9 million. Discontinued operations income of \$161.2 million for 2008 was comprised of gains on sale of \$155.0 million and rental income of \$10.0 million, offset in part by depreciation and amortization of \$2.7 million and interest expense of \$1.0 million. We expect to have future sales of facilities or reclassifications of facilities to assets held for sale, and the related income or loss would be included in discontinued operations unless the facilities were transferred to an entity in which we maintain an interest.

Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2007

2008	2007	\$ Change	% Change
(Dollars in thousands)			

Revenue:				
Triple-net lease rent	\$ 283,052	\$ 265,895	\$ 17,157	6%
Medical office building operating rent	60,287	16,061	44,226	275%
	343,339	281,956	61,383	22%
Interest and other income	24,980	21,266	3,714	17%
	368,319	303,222	65,097	21%

Table of Contents

	2008	2007	\$ Change	% Change
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Expenses:				
Interest and amortization of deferred financing costs	101,045	97,639	3,406	3%
Depreciation and amortization	116,375	89,986	26,389	29%
General and administrative	26,051	24,636	1,415	6%
Medical office building operating expenses	26,631	8,596	18,035	210%
	270,102	220,857	49,245	22%
Operating income	98,217	82,365	15,852	19%
Income from unconsolidated joint ventures	3,903	1,958	1,945	99%
Gain on debt extinguishment, net	4,641		4,641	100%
Gain on sale of facilities to unconsolidated joint venture, net		46,045	(46,045)	(100)%
Income from continuing operations	106,761	130,368	(23,607)	(18)%
Discontinued operations:				
Gains on sale of facilities, net	154,995	72,069	82,926	115%
Income from discontinued operations	6,251	21,809	(15,558)	(71)%
	161,246	93,878	67,368	72%
Net income	268,007	224,246	43,761	20%
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	131	212	(81)	(38)%
Net income attributable to NHP	268,138	224,458	43,680	19%
Preferred stock dividends	(7,637)	(13,434)	5,797	43%
Net income attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 260,501	\$ 211,024	\$ 49,477	23%

Triple-net lease rental income increased \$17.2 million, or 7%, in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase was primarily due to rental income from 81 facilities acquired in 2007, 42 facilities acquired during 2008, increased straight-line rental income recognized and rent increases at existing facilities, partially offset by decreased rental income related to 19 facilities that we sold to our unconsolidated joint venture with a state pension fund investor in 2007 and the recognition of \$2.4 million of triple-net lease rental income related to non-recurring settlements of delinquent tenant obligations in 2007.

Medical office building operating rent increased \$44.2 million, or 275%, in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase was primarily due to operating rent from 30 multi-tenant medical office buildings acquired in 2007, including 22 medical office buildings acquired through consolidated joint ventures, and 10 multi-tenant medical office buildings acquired in 2008, including nine acquired through consolidated joint ventures.

Interest and other income increased \$3.7 million, or 17%, in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase was primarily due to two loans funded and four mortgage loans and five other loans acquired during 2007, six loans funded during 2008 and increased interest income resulting from a higher cash balance primarily due to asset sales, partially offset by loan repayments and the recognition of \$1.3 million of other income related to non-recurring settlements of delinquent tenant obligations in 2007.

Interest and amortization of deferred financing costs increased \$3.4 million, or 3%, in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase was primarily due to borrowings to fund acquisitions in 2008 and 2007, including the issuance of \$300 million of notes in October 2007, the assumption of \$120.8 million of secured debt during 2008 and \$55.7 million during 2007 and the addition of \$35.8 million of secured debt in one of our consolidated joint ventures

Table of Contents

in 2008. These factors were partially offset by the repayment of the outstanding balance on our credit facility during 2008 using a portion of the net proceeds from the issuance of common stock and the sale of 23 assisted and independent living facilities to Emeritus, the tenant of the facilities, and interest savings from the repayment of \$110.3 million of senior notes during 2008, the prepayment of \$25.4 million of secured debt during 2007 and the transfer of \$4.7 million of secured debt during 2007. In addition, \$32.6 million of secured debt was transferred to the unconsolidated joint venture we have with a state pension fund investor in connection with our sale of the related facilities to the unconsolidated joint venture during 2007.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$26.4 million, or 29%, in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase was primarily due to the acquisition of 109 facilities in 2007, including 30 multi-tenant medical office buildings, and 52 facilities in 2008, including 10 multi-tenant medical office buildings, partially offset by decreased depreciation and amortization related to 19 facilities that we sold to our unconsolidated joint venture with a state pension fund investor in 2007.

General and administrative expenses increased \$1.4 million, or 6%, in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase was primarily due to increased expenses for third party advisors and employee related costs, offset by a decrease in insurance expense.

Medical office building operating expenses increased \$18.0 million, or 210%, in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase was primarily due to operating expenses from 30 multi-tenant medical office buildings acquired in 2007, including 22 medical office buildings acquired through consolidated joint ventures, and 10 multi-tenant medical office buildings acquired in 2008, including nine acquired through consolidated joint ventures.

Income from unconsolidated joint ventures increased \$1.9 million, or 99%, in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase was primarily due to the acquisition of 34 facilities in 2007 by our unconsolidated joint venture with a state pension fund investor, including 19 facilities acquired by the joint venture from us, partially offset by the acquisition in 2008 of a 50% interest in PMBRES and a 44.95% interest in PMB SB which both reported losses.

Gain on debt extinguishment represents the gain recognized in connection with the prepayment of \$49.7 million of senior notes in 2008.

Gain on sale of facilities to unconsolidated joint venture represents 75% of the total gain related to the sale of facilities by us to our unconsolidated joint venture with a state pension fund investor in 2007. The other 25% of the gain, equating to our ownership share of the joint venture, was deferred and is included in the caption Accounts payable and accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets.

ASC 360 requires the operating results of any assets with their own identifiable cash flows that are disposed of or held for sale and in which we have no continuing interest be removed from income from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations. The operating results for any such assets for any prior periods presented must also be reclassified as discontinued operations. If we have a continuing investment, as in the sales to our unconsolidated joint venture with a state pension fund investor, the operating results remain in continuing operations. Discontinued operations income increased \$67.4 million in 2008 as compared to 2007. Discontinued operations income of \$161.2 million for 2008 was comprised of gains on sale of \$155.0 million and rental income of \$10.0 million, offset in part by depreciation and amortization of \$2.7 million and interest expense of \$1.0 million. Discontinued operations income of \$93.9 million for 2007 was comprised of gains on sale of \$72.1 million, rental income of \$36.2 million and interest and other income of \$0.6 million, offset in part by depreciation and amortization expense of \$10.8 million and interest expense of \$4.3 million. We expect to have future sales of facilities or reclassifications of facilities to assets held for sale, and the related income or loss would be included in discontinued operations unless the facilities were transferred to an entity in which we maintain an interest.

Other Factors That Affect Our Business

Leases and Mortgage Loans

Our leases and mortgages generally contain provisions under which rents or interest income increase with increases in facility revenues and/or increases in the Consumer Price Index. If facility revenues and/or the Consumer Price Index do not increase, our revenues may not increase. Rent levels under renewed leases will also

Table of Contents

impact revenues. Excluding multi-tenant medical office buildings, as of December 31, 2009, we had leases on 18 facilities expiring in 2010. Tenant purchase option exercises would decrease rental income. We believe our tenants may exercise purchase options on assets with option prices totaling approximately \$38 million during 2010.

Acquisitions

We may make acquisitions during 2010, although we cannot predict the quantity or timing of any such acquisitions as we continue to be confronted with uncertainty surrounding the future of the capital markets and general economic conditions. If we make additional investments in facilities, depreciation and/or interest expense would also increase. We expect any such increases to be at least partially offset by associated rental or interest income. While additional investments in healthcare facilities would increase revenues, facility sales or mortgage repayments would serve to offset revenue increases and could reduce revenues.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities during 2009 increased \$3.3 million, or 1%, as compared to 2008. This was primarily due to revenue increases from our owned facilities and mortgage and other loans as a result of acquisitions, rent increases and funding of mortgage and other loans during 2008 and 2009 and increased intangible assets in 2008, offset in part by the payment of certain amounts included in the caption Accounts payable and accrued liabilities during 2009 and increased intangible lease liabilities related to our multi-tenant medical office buildings in 2008. There have been no significant changes in the underlying sources and uses of cash provided by operating activities.

Investing Activities

During 2009, we funded \$34.4 million in expansions, construction and capital improvements at certain facilities in accordance with existing lease provisions. Such expansions, construction and capital improvements generally result in an increase in the minimum rents earned by us on these facilities either at the time of funding or upon completion of the project. At December 31, 2009, we had committed to fund additional expansions, construction and capital improvements of \$111.3 million. Additionally, at December 31, 2009, we had committed to fund additional amounts under existing loan agreements of \$14.0 million, including our commitments under the PMB LLC line of credit, PMB Pomona LLC loan and Brookdale revolving loan facility described below. During 2009, we also funded \$0.5 million in capital and tenant improvements at certain multi-tenant medical office buildings.

On August 21, 2009, we acquired the noncontrolling interests held by The Broe Companies (Broe) in two consolidated joint ventures we had with them for \$4.3 million, including a cash payment of \$3.9 million, reducing the cost basis of the noncontrolling interests to zero at December 31, 2009. The purchase price exceeded the cost basis of the noncontrolling interests at the time of acquisition by \$1.4 million which was recorded through capital in excess of par value. The cost basis of the noncontrolling interests in these joint ventures was \$3.4 million at December 31, 2008. As a result of this acquisition, we now have direct ownership of the 36 multi-tenant medical office buildings previously owned by the joint ventures.

During 2009, prior to our acquisition of Broe s interests, the two Broe medical office building joint ventures funded \$1.9 million in capital and tenant improvements at certain facilities. During 2009, we funded \$1.4 million in capital and tenant improvements at certain facilities through our medical office building joint venture with McShane Medical Office Properties, Inc.

In February 2008, we entered into an agreement (the Contribution Agreement) with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC and certain of its affiliates to acquire up to 18 medical office buildings, including six in development, for \$747.6 million, including the assumption of approximately \$282.6 million of mortgage financing. During 2008, NHP/PMB L.P. (NHP/PMB), a limited partnership that we formed in February 2008 to acquire properties from entities affiliated with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC, acquired interests in nine of the 18 medical office buildings, including one property which is included in our triple-net leases segment and eight properties which are multi-tenant medical office buildings (one of which consisted of a 50% interest through a joint venture which is consolidated by NHP/PMB). During 2008, we also acquired one of the 18 medical office buildings directly

Table of Contents

(not through NHP/PMB). Pursuant to the Contribution Agreement, certain conditions must be met in order for us to be obligated to purchase the remaining medical office buildings. If all closing conditions are met with respect to any of the remaining medical office buildings, causing us to be obligated to purchase the same, we could choose to not complete such purchase by paying liquidated damages equal to 5% of such property's total value. During 2009, we elected to terminate the Contribution Agreement with respect to six properties after the conditions for us to close on such properties were not satisfied.

On June 1, 2009, we entered into an amendment to the Contribution Agreement that provides NHP/PMB with a right of first offer with respect to four of the six properties that were eliminated from the Contribution Agreement, as well as the two remaining development properties (if they are not acquired by NHP/PMB under the Contribution Agreement). In addition, as a result of the elimination of the six properties described above, under the Contribution Agreement, NHP/PMB became obligated to pay \$3.0 million (the Current Premium Adjustment), of which \$2.7 million was payable to Pacific Medical Buildings LLC, 50% in cash and 50% in shares of our common stock (46,077 shares valued at \$29.00 per share). The portion of the Current Premium Adjustment not payable to Pacific Medical Buildings LLC was paid in the form of \$0.2 million in cash and the issuance of 2,551 additional Class A limited partnership units in NHP/PMB (OP Units) with an aggregate cost basis of \$0.1 million. As a result of the cash and stock paid with respect to the Current Premium Adjustment, we received an additional 6,481 Class B limited partnership units in NHP/PMB. Under the Contribution Agreement, if the agreement is terminated with respect to the two remaining development properties, NHP/PMB will become obligated to pay approximately \$4.8 million (the Future Premium Adjustment), of which approximately \$4.3 million would be payable to Pacific Medical Buildings LLC, 50% in cash and 50% in shares of our common stock (valued at the then-market price, but not less than \$29.00 per share or greater than \$33.00 per share). As of December 31, 2008, we had accrued \$7.8 million with respect to the Current Premium Adjustment and the Future Premium Adjustment, and \$4.9 million remains accrued at December 31, 2009.

Additionally, we entered into another agreement with NHP/PMB, PMB LLC and PMBRES pursuant to which we or NHP/PMB currently have the right, but not the obligation, to acquire up to approximately \$1.3 billion (increased from \$1.0 billion) of multi-tenant medical office buildings developed by PMB LLC through April 2019 (extended from April 2016). The total value of this agreement was increased and the expiration date of this agreement was extended as a result of the termination of the Contribution Agreement described above with respect to six properties after the conditions for us to close on such properties were not satisfied.

On October 5, 2009, we reached an agreement in principle with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC to acquire three medical office buildings, the 55.05% interest that we do not already own in PMB SB, which owns two medical office buildings, and majority ownership interests in two joint ventures that will each own one medical office building, including one of the two remaining development properties under the Contribution Agreement. The acquisitions are subject to customary due diligence and the negotiation and implementation of definitive agreements, as well as the receipt of a variety of third party approvals. We also agreed to modifications to our development agreement with NHP/PMB, PMB LLC and PMBRES.

As of February 1, 2010, we entered into an amendment to the Contribution Agreement which reinstated one of the six properties that were previously eliminated from the Contribution Agreement and acquired such medical office building per the terms of the amendment. As a result of such acquisition, we retired our \$47.5 million mortgage loan to a related party. Additionally, we acquired a majority ownership interest in a joint venture which owns one medical office building, amended and restated our development agreement with NHP/PMB, PMB LLC and PMBRES and amended our agreement with PMB Pomona LLC to provide for the future acquisition by NHP/PMB of a medical office building currently in development. In connection with these transactions, NHP/PMB entered into a Third Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, which, among other things, authorized NHP/PMB to acquire properties affiliated with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC Pursuant to agreements other than the

Contribution Agreement.

During 2009, NHP/PMB funded \$0.2 million in capital and tenant improvements at certain facilities.

In 2008, under the terms of an agreement with PMB LLC, we agreed to extend to PMB LLC a \$10.0 million line of credit at an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 175 basis points to fund certain costs of PMB LLC with respect

Table of Contents

to the proposed development of multi-tenant medical office buildings. During 2009, we funded \$3.2 million under the line of credit.

In 2008, we entered into an agreement with PMB Pomona LLC to acquire a medical office building currently in development for \$37.5 million upon completion which was amended as of February 1, 2010 to provide for the future acquisition of the medical office building by NHP/PMB. In April 2009, we entered into an agreement with PMB LLC, the manager of PMB Pomona LLC, to extend up to \$3.0 million of funding at an interest rate of 7.25%, which is secured by 100% of the membership interests in PMB Pomona LLC, and funded \$1.6 million during 2009.

In February 2009, we entered into an agreement with one of our triple-net tenants, Brookdale Senior Living, Inc., under which we became a lender with an original commitment of \$8.8 million (\$2.9 million at December 31, 2009) under their original \$230.0 million revolving loan facility (\$75.0 million at December 31, 2009), which is scheduled to mature on August 31, 2010 (see Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements). During 2009, we funded \$7.5 million which was repaid prior to December 31, 2009.

During 2009, we also funded \$3.4 million on other existing mortgage and other loans.

During 2009, one mortgage loan totaling \$3.7 million (including \$0.7 million funded during 2009) was prepaid, and we received payments of \$1.5 million on other mortgage and other loans.

During 2009, we sold five skilled nursing facilities and one assisted living facility for net cash proceeds of \$43.5 million that resulted in a total gain of \$23.9 million which is included on our consolidated income statements in gains on sale of facilities in discontinued operations.

During 2009, we made contributions of \$2.1 million and \$0.1 million to our unconsolidated joint venture with a state pension fund investor and PMBRES, respectively. During 2009, we received distributions of \$2.3 million and \$0.3 million from our unconsolidated joint venture with a state pension fund investor and PMB SB, respectively.

Financing Activities

At December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had \$700.0 million available under our \$700.0 million revolving unsecured senior credit facility. At our option, borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at the prime rate (3.25% at December 31, 2009) or applicable LIBOR plus 0.70% (0.95% at December 31, 2009). On March 12, 2009, our credit rating from Fitch Ratings was upgraded to BBB from BBB-, and on April 1, 2009, our credit rating from Moody's was upgraded to Baa2 from Baa3. As a result, the spread over LIBOR decreased from 0.85% to 0.70%. We pay a facility fee of 0.15% per annum on the total commitment under the agreement. The credit facility expires on December 15, 2010. The maturity date may be extended by one additional year at our discretion.

Our credit facility requires us to maintain, among other things, the financial covenants detailed below:

Covenant	Requirement	Actual
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)	
Minimum net asset value	\$ 820,000	\$ 3,071,146
Maximum total indebtedness to capitalization value	60%	33%
Minimum fixed charge coverage ratio	1.75	3.15
Maximum secured indebtedness ratio	30%	11%
Maximum unencumbered asset value ratio	60%	30%

Minimum net asset value generally calculated by applying stated capitalization rates to EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) by asset type to determine capitalization value and subtracting total indebtedness from the capitalization value.

Maximum total indebtedness to capitalization value comparison of total indebtedness to capitalization value (see above).

Minimum fixed charge coverage ratio comparison of EBITDA (see above) to fixed charges which include interest expense, deferred finance cost amortization, debt principal payments and preferred dividends.

Table of Contents

Maximum secured indebtedness ratio comparison of total secured indebtedness to capitalization value (see above).

Maximum unencumbered asset value ratio comparison of total unsecured indebtedness to unencumbered asset capitalization value, generally calculated by applying stated capitalization rates to EBITDA (see above) from unencumbered assets by asset type.

Our credit facility allows us to exceed the 60% requirements, up to a maximum of 65%, on the maximum total indebtedness to capitalization value and maximum unencumbered asset value ratio for up to two consecutive fiscal quarters. As of December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all of the above covenants, and we expect to remain in compliance throughout 2010. We estimate that, as of December 31, 2009, we could have borrowed up to \$2.3 billion of additional debt, and incurred additional annual interest expense of up to \$90.0 million, and remained in compliance with our existing debt covenants.

During 2009, we repaid at maturity \$32.0 million of senior notes with a weighted average interest rate of 7.76%, and \$2.6 million of senior notes with an interest rate of 6.90% and final maturity in 2037 were put to us for payment. Also during 2009, we retired \$30.0 million of senior notes with an interest rate of 6.25% due in February 2013 for \$25.4 million, resulting in a net gain of \$4.6 million which is reflected on our consolidated income statements as gain on debt extinguishment, net. The payments were funded by cash on hand.

We anticipate repaying senior notes at or prior to maturity with a combination of proceeds from borrowings on our credit facility and cash on hand. Borrowings on our credit facility could be repaid by potential asset sales or the repayment of mortgage loans receivable, the potential issuance of debt or equity securities under the shelf registration statement discussed below or cash from operations. Our senior notes have been investment grade rated since 1994. Our credit ratings at December 31, 2009 were Baa2 from Moody's Investors Service, BBB- from Standard & Poor's Ratings Services and BBB from Fitch Ratings.

During 2009, prior to our acquisition of Broe's interests in two consolidated joint ventures we had with them, an additional \$6.9 million was funded on existing loans secured by a portion of the Broe medical office building joint venture portfolios, and one of the joint ventures exercised the first of two available 12-month extension options on a \$32.9 million loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2009 and refinanced one additional \$6.4 million loan that was scheduled to mature in February 2009, extending its maturity to February 2012.

During 2009, we prepaid \$2.7 million of fixed rate secured debt with an interest rate of 8.75%, and we made payments of \$7.9 million on other notes and bonds payable.

During 2009, prior to our acquisition of Broe's interests, cash distributions of \$0.5 million were made to the noncontrolling interests in the two Broe medical office building joint ventures. During 2009, cash distributions of \$0.1 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million were made to the noncontrolling interests in the medical office building joint venture we have with McShane, the medical office building joint venture consolidated by NHP/PMB and the noncontrolling interests in five partnerships that we consolidate, respectively. Also during 2009, cash distributions of \$3.1 million were made to NHP/PMB OP unitholders.

We enter into sales agreements from time to time with agents to sell shares of our common stock through an at-the-market equity offering program. During 2009, we issued and sold approximately 9,537,000 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$30.34 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$286.3 million after sales agent fees. At December 31, 2009, approximately 463,000 shares of common stock were available to be sold pursuant to our at-the-market equity offering program. From January 1, 2010 to February 16, 2010, we issued and sold

approximately 635,000 shares at a weighted average price of \$35.03 per share. We entered into new sales agreements, each dated January 15, 2010, to sell up to an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of our common stock from time to time.

We sponsor a dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan that enables existing stockholders to purchase additional shares of common stock by automatically reinvesting all or part of the cash dividends paid on their shares of common stock. Prior to November 27, 2009, the plan also allowed investors to acquire shares of our common stock for cash, subject to certain limitations, including a maximum monthly investment of \$10,000, at a discount ranging from 0% to 5%, determined by us from time to time in accordance with the plan. The discount during 2009 was 2%. During 2009, we issued approximately 1,083,000 shares of common stock at an average price of \$28.27 per

Table of Contents

share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$30.6 million. At December 31, 2009, we had approximately 495,000 shares of common stock available for issuance under our dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan.

We paid \$5.3 million, or \$7.75 per preferred share, in dividends to our 7.75% Series B Convertible preferred stockholders during 2009. On January 18, 2010, we redeemed all outstanding shares of our 7.75% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock. We paid \$187.8 million, or \$1.76 per common share, in dividends to our common stockholders during 2009. We expect that this common stock dividend policy will continue, but it is subject to regular review by our board of directors. Common stock dividends are paid at the discretion of our board of directors and are dependent upon various factors, including our future earnings, our financial condition and liquidity, our capital requirements and applicable legal and contractual restrictions. On February 9, 2010, our board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.44 per share of common stock. This dividend will be paid on March 5, 2010 to stockholders of record on February 19, 2010.

At December 31, 2009, we had a shelf registration statement on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under which we may issue securities including debt, convertible debt, common and preferred stock and warrants to purchase any of these securities. On January 15, 2010, we filed a new shelf registration statement with the SEC under which we may issue securities including debt, convertible debt, common and preferred stock and warrants to purchase any of these securities. Our existing shelf registration statement was set to expire in May 2010.

Assuming certain conditions are met under our Contribution Agreement with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC and certain of its affiliates and/or we close on the transactions contemplated by our agreement in principle with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC, we would expect to finance the acquisitions of the buildings subject to the Contribution Agreement with a combination of assumed debt, the issuance of OP Units, contributions from our joint venture partners, cash on hand and borrowings under our credit facility.

Financing for other future investments and for the repayment of the obligations and commitments noted above may be provided by cash on hand, borrowings under our credit facility discussed above, the sale of debt or equity securities in private placements or public offerings, which may be made under the shelf registration statement discussed above or under new registration statements, proceeds from asset sales or mortgage loan receivable payoffs, the assumption of secured indebtedness, or mortgage financing on a portion of our owned portfolio or through joint ventures.

We invest in various short-term investments that are intended to preserve principal value and maintain a high degree of liquidity while providing current income. These investments may include (either directly or indirectly) obligations of the U.S. government or its agencies, obligations (including certificates of deposit) of banks, commercial paper, money market funds and other highly rated short-term securities. We monitor our investments on a daily basis and do not believe our cash and cash equivalents are exposed to any material risk of loss. However, given the recent market volatility, there can be no assurances that future losses of principal will not occur.

Recent market and economic conditions have been unprecedented and challenging with tighter credit conditions and slow growth. While there are current signs of a strengthening and stabilizing economy and more liquid and attractive capital markets, there are continued concerns about the uncertainty over whether our economy will again be adversely impacted by inflation, deflation or stagflation, and the systemic impact of rising unemployment, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of capital, the U.S. mortgage market and a declining real estate market in the U.S., resulting in a return to illiquid credit markets and widening credit spreads. We had \$700 million available under our credit facility at December 31, 2009, and we have no current reason to believe that we will be unable to access the facility in the future. However, continued concern about the stability of the markets generally and the strength of borrowers specifically has led many lenders and institutional investors to reduce and, in some cases, cease to provide, funding to borrowers. If we were unable to access our credit facility, it could result in an adverse effect on our liquidity and financial condition. In addition, continued turbulence in market conditions may adversely affect the

liquidity and financial condition of our tenants.

At December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$101.8 million of indebtedness that matures in 2010. On February 9, 2010, we exercised a 12-month extension option on a \$32.4 million loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2010. Additionally, some of our senior notes can be put to us prior to the stated maturity date; however, there are no such senior notes that we may be required to repay in 2010 or 2011. If these recent market conditions continue or do not fully abate, they may limit our ability, and the ability of our tenants, to timely refinance maturing liabilities

Table of Contents

and access the capital markets to meet liquidity needs, resulting in a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, certain of our debt obligations are floating-rate obligations with interest rate and related payments that vary with the movement of LIBOR or other indexes. If the recent market turbulence continues, there could be a rise in interest rates which could reduce our profitability or adversely affect our ability to meet our obligations.

Our plans for growth require regular access to the capital and credit markets. If capital is not available at an acceptable cost, it will significantly impair our ability to make future investments as acquisitions and development projects become difficult or impractical to pursue.

We anticipate the possible sale of certain facilities, primarily due to purchase option exercises. In addition, mortgage loans receivable might be prepaid. In the event that there are facility sales or mortgage loan receivable repayments in excess of new investments, revenues may decrease. We anticipate using the proceeds from any facility sales or mortgage loans receivable repayments to provide capital for future investments, to reduce any outstanding balance on our credit facility or to repay other borrowings as they mature. Any such reduction in debt levels would result in reduced interest expense that we believe would partially offset any decrease in revenues. We believe the combination of cash on hand, the ability to draw on our \$700.0 million credit facility and the ability to sell securities under the shelf registration statement, as well as our unconsolidated joint venture with a state pension fund investor, provide sufficient liquidity and financing capability to finance anticipated future investments, maintain our current dividend level and repay borrowings at or prior to their maturity, for at least the next 12 months.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The only off-balance sheet financing arrangements that we currently utilize are the unconsolidated joint ventures discussed in Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements. Except in limited circumstances, our risk of loss is limited to our investment carrying amount.

Contractual Obligations and Cash Requirements

As of December 31, 2009, our contractual obligations are as follows:

	2010	2011 -2012	2013 -2014	Thereafter	Total
	(In thousands)				
Contractual Obligations:					
Long-term debt	\$ 107,962	\$ 505,558	\$ 336,115	\$ 473,454	\$ 1,423,089
Interest expense	\$ 84,645	\$ 117,850	\$ 61,629	\$ 200,983	\$ 465,107
Ground leases	\$ 1,211	\$ 2,469	\$ 2,571	\$ 77,994	\$ 84,245
Operating leases	\$ 556	\$ 643	\$	\$	\$ 1,199
Commitments:					
Capital expenditures	\$ 41,111	\$ 69,714	\$	\$ 472	\$ 111,297

The long-term debt amount shown above includes our senior notes and our notes and bonds payable. On February 9, 2010, we exercised a 12-month extension option on a \$32.4 million loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2010.

Interest expense shown above is estimated assuming the interest rates in effect at December 31, 2009 remain constant for the \$108.4 million of floating rate notes and bonds payable. Maturities of our senior notes range from 2011 to 2038 (although certain notes may be put back to us at their face amount at the option of the holder at earlier dates) and maturities of our notes and bonds payable range from 2010 to 2037.

Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Disclosure

Certain information contained in this report includes statements that may be deemed to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, intentions, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance and underlying assumptions and other statements which are not statements of

Table of Contents

historical facts. These statements may be identified, without limitation, by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, anticipates, expects, believes, intends, should or comparable terms or the negative thereof. Forward-looking statements included in this report are based on information available to us on the date hereof. These statements speak only as of the date hereof and we assume no obligation to update such forward-looking statements. These statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the statements. Risks and uncertainties associated with our business include (without limitation) the following:

deterioration in the operating results or financial condition, including bankruptcies, of our tenants;

non-payment or late payment of rent, interest or loan principal amounts by our tenants;

our reliance on two tenants for a significant percentage of our revenues;

occupancy levels at certain facilities;

our level of indebtedness;

changes in the ratings of our debt securities;

maintaining compliance with our debt covenants;

access to the capital markets and the cost and availability of capital;

the effect of proposed healthcare reform legislation or government regulations, including changes in the reimbursement levels under the Medicare and Medicaid programs;

the general distress of the healthcare industry;

increasing competition in our business sector;

the effect of economic and market conditions and changes in interest rates;

the amount and yield of any additional investments;

risks associated with acquisitions, including our ability to identify and complete favorable transactions, delays or failures in obtaining third party consents or approvals, the failure to achieve perceived benefits, unexpected costs or liabilities and potential litigation;

the ability of our tenants to pay contractual rent and/or interest escalations in future periods;

the ability of our tenants to obtain and maintain adequate liability and other insurance;

our ability to attract new tenants for certain facilities;

our ability to sell certain facilities for their book value;

our ability to retain key personnel;

potential liability under environmental laws;

the possibility that we could be required to repurchase some of our senior notes;

changes in or inadvertent violations of tax laws and regulations and other factors that can affect our status as a real estate investment trust; and

the risk factors set forth under the caption "Risk Factors" in Item 1A and other factors discussed from time to time in our news releases, public statements and/or filings with the SEC, including any subsequent quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.*

We are exposed to market risks related to fluctuations in interest rates on our mortgage loans receivable and debt. We may hold derivative instruments to manage our exposure to these risks, and all derivative instruments are matched against specific debt obligations. Readers are cautioned that many of the statements contained in these

Table of Contents

paragraphs are forward-looking and should be read in conjunction with our disclosures under the heading "Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Disclosure" set forth above.

We provide mortgage loans to tenants of healthcare facilities as part of our normal operations, which generally have fixed rates, and all mortgage loans receivable are treated as fixed rate notes in the table and analysis below.

We utilize debt financing primarily for the purpose of making additional investments in healthcare facilities. Historically, we have made short-term borrowings on our credit facility to fund our acquisitions until market conditions were appropriate, based on management's judgment, to issue stock or fixed rate debt to provide long-term financing.

At our option, borrowings under our credit facility bear interest at the prime rate (3.25% at December 31, 2009) or applicable LIBOR plus 0.70% (0.95% at December 31, 2009). On March 12, 2009, our credit rating from Fitch Ratings was upgraded to BBB from BBB-, and on April 1, 2009, our credit rating from Moody's was upgraded to Baa2 from Baa3. As a result, the spread over LIBOR decreased from 0.85% to 0.70%. At December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we did not have any borrowings under our credit facility. Additionally, a portion of our secured debt has variable rates.

For fixed rate debt, changes in interest rates generally affect the fair market value, but do not impact earnings or cash flows. Conversely, for variable rate debt, changes in interest rates generally do not impact fair market value, but do affect the future earnings and cash flows. We generally cannot prepay fixed rate debt prior to maturity. Therefore, interest rate risk and changes in fair market value should not have a significant impact on the fixed rate debt until we would be required to refinance such debt. Any future interest rate increases will increase the cost of borrowings on our credit facility and any borrowings to refinance long-term debt as it matures or to finance future acquisitions. Holding the variable rate debt balance at December 31, 2009 constant, each one percentage point increase in interest rates would result in an increase in interest expense for the coming year of approximately \$1.1 million.

The table below details the principal amounts and the average interest rates for the mortgage loans receivable and debt for each category based on the final maturity dates as of December 31, 2009. Certain of the mortgage loans receivable and certain items in the various categories of debt require periodic principal payments prior to the final maturity date. The fair value estimates for the mortgage loans receivable are based on the estimates of management and on rates currently prevailing for comparable loans. The fair market value estimates for debt securities are based on discounting future cash flows utilizing rates we would expect to pay for debt of a similar type and remaining maturity.

	Maturity Date						Total Book Value	Fair Value
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter		
Assets								
Mortgage loans receivable(1)	\$ 73,758	\$ 28,329	\$	\$ 16,352	\$	\$ 39,675	\$ 177,452	\$ 176,254
Average interest rate	9.22%	10.25%		9.00%		10.14%	9.61%	
Liabilities								
Debt								
Fixed rate	\$ 69,423	\$ 343,870	\$ 108,361	\$ 309,137	\$ 22,277	\$ 461,589	\$ 1,314,657	\$ 1,359,481

Average interest rate	5.92%	6.52%	7.97%	6.21%	5.96%	6.07%	6.37%	
Variable rate	\$ 32,371	\$ 34,600	\$ 15,991	\$	\$	\$ 25,470	\$ 108,432	\$ 108,431
Average interest rate	2.13%	4.75%	4.64%			1.45%	3.18%	
Unsecured senior credit facility	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Average interest rate								

(1) Total book value of mortgage loans excludes deferred gains and discounts of \$19.3 million.

Any future interest rate increases will increase the cost of borrowings on our credit facility and any borrowings to refinance long-term debt as it matures or to finance future acquisitions.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.*

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	58
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	59
<u>Consolidated Income Statements</u>	60
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	61
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	62
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	63
<u>Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u>	100

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Nationwide Health Properties, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in Item 15. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule referred to above, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Nationwide Health Properties, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 17, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Irvine, California
February 17, 2010

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except share information)	
ASSETS		
Investments in real estate		
Land	\$ 318,457	\$ 320,394
Buildings and improvements	3,088,183	3,079,819
	3,406,640	3,400,213
Less accumulated depreciation	(585,294)	(490,112)
	2,821,346	2,910,101
Mortgage loans receivable, net	110,613	112,399
Mortgage loan receivable from related party	47,500	47,500
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	51,924	54,299
	3,031,383	3,124,299
Cash and cash equivalents	382,278	82,250
Receivables, net	6,605	6,066
Asset held for sale		4,542
Intangible assets	93,657	109,434
Other assets	133,152	131,534
	\$ 3,647,075	\$ 3,458,125
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Unsecured senior credit facility	\$	\$
Senior notes	991,633	1,056,233
Notes and bonds payable	431,456	435,199
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	132,915	144,566
Total liabilities	1,556,004	1,635,998
Redeemable OP unitholder interests	57,335	56,778
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
NHP stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock \$1.00 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized;		
7.750% Series B Convertible, 513,644 and 749,184 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, stated at liquidation preference of	51,364	74,918

\$100 per share

Common stock \$0.10 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding: 114,320,786 and 102,279,940 as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively

Capital in excess of par value	11,432	10,228
Cumulative net income	2,128,843	1,786,193
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	1,705,279	1,556,889
Cumulative dividends	(823)	1,846
	(1,862,996)	(1,669,407)
Total NHP stockholders equity	2,033,099	1,760,667
Noncontrolling interests	637	4,682
Total equity	2,033,736	1,765,349
	\$ 3,647,075	\$ 3,458,125

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS**

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Revenue:			
Triple-net lease rent	\$ 295,757	\$ 283,052	\$ 265,895
Medical office building operating rent	68,319	60,287	16,061
	364,076	343,339	281,956
Interest and other income	26,436	24,980	21,266
	390,512	368,319	303,222
Expenses:			
Interest and amortization of deferred financing costs	93,630	101,045	97,639
Depreciation and amortization	124,264	116,375	89,986
General and administrative	27,353	26,051	24,636
Acquisition costs	830		
Medical office building operating expenses	28,906	26,631	8,596
	274,983	270,102	220,857
Operating income	115,529	98,217	82,365
Income from unconsolidated joint ventures	5,101	3,903	1,958
Gain on debt extinguishment, net	4,564	4,641	
Gain on sale of facilities to unconsolidated joint venture, net			46,045
Income from continuing operations	125,194	106,761	130,368
Discontinued operations:			
Gain on sale of facilities, net	23,908	154,995	72,069
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(44)	6,251	21,809
	23,864	161,246	93,878
Net income	149,058	268,007	224,246
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(668)	131	212
Net income attributable to NHP	148,390	268,138	224,458
Preferred stock dividends	(5,350)	(7,637)	(13,434)
Net income attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 143,040	\$ 260,501	\$ 211,024

Basic earnings per share amounts:

Income from continuing operations attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 1.11	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.28
Discontinued operations attributable to NHP common stockholders	0.23	1.66	1.04
Net income attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 1.34	\$ 2.67	\$ 2.32
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	106,329	97,246	90,625

Diluted earnings per share amounts:

Income from continuing operations attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.28
Discontinued operations attributable to NHP common stockholders	0.22	1.63	1.03
Net income attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 1.31	\$ 2.63	\$ 2.31
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	108,547	98,763	90,987

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

	Preferred Stock		Common stock		NHP Stockholders Equity		Accumulated Other Comprehensive		Cumulative Noncontrolling Dividends	Interests
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Capital in Excess of par Value	Cumulative Net Income	(Loss) Income			
2006	1,965	\$ 196,499	86,238	\$ 8,624	\$ 1,298,703	\$ 1,064,293	\$ 1,231	\$ (1,325,541)	\$ 1,265	
Income:						224,458			(212)	
Equity lock							1,557			
Gain on							(279)			
Pension							52			
Income										
Preferred	(901)	(90,049)								
Preferred		(5)		5						
Common			8,568	852	261,813					
						4,733				
Dividends								(13,434)		
Dividends								(150,819)		
From										
Interests									5,210	
Interests									(97)	
2007	1,064	106,445	94,806	9,481	1,565,249	1,288,751	2,561	(1,489,794)	6,166	
Income:						268,138			(131)	
							(511)			

gain on									
pension									
al gain							(204)		
income									
referred	(315)	(31,527)	1,406	140	31,387				
mon			6,068	607	183,757				
					5,800				
nds								(7,637)	
nds								(171,976)	
om									620
interests									(1,973)
interests									
008	749	74,918	102,280	10,228	1,786,193	1,556,889	1,846	(1,669,407)	4,682
income:						148,390			668
gain on									
							(610)		
f									
er									
loss from									
joint							(2,051)		
pension									
al loss							(8)		
income									
referred	(235)	(23,554)	1,061	106	23,448				
mon			10,980	1,098	323,124				
					7,007				
nds								(5,350)	
nds								(188,239)	
ble OP									
ests to									
ion value						(9,523)			
interests						(1,406)			(2,831)

nterests (1,882)

009 514 \$ 51,364 114,321 \$ 11,432 \$ 2,128,843 \$ 1,705,279 \$ (823) \$ (1,862,996) \$ 637

See accompanying notes.

61

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(In thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 149,058	\$ 268,007	\$ 224,246
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	125,129	119,107	100,794
Stock-based compensation	7,007	5,800	4,733
Gain on sale of facilities, net	(23,908)	(154,995)	(118,114)
Gain on debt extinguishment, net	(4,564)	(4,641)	
Amortization of deferred financing costs	2,515	2,662	2,523
Mortgage and other loan premium amortization	49	145	391
Straight-line rent	(6,355)	(10,263)	(2,886)
Equity in earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures	(974)	37	(440)
Distributions of income from unconsolidated joint ventures	987	236	440
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Receivables	(445)	(2,258)	3,761
Intangible and other assets	4,081	(5,872)	(2,943)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(5,435)	25,873	8,381
Net cash provided by operating activities	247,145	243,838	220,886
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisition of real estate and related assets and liabilities	(38,796)	(325,216)	(670,522)
Proceeds from sale of real estate facilities	43,533	288,639	314,066
Investment in mortgage and other loans receivable	(15,738)	(91,357)	(48,083)
Principal payments on mortgage and other loans receivable	12,691	18,781	36,480
Purchase of noncontrolling interests	(3,937)		
Contributions to unconsolidated joint ventures	(2,244)	(6,678)	(34,023)
Distributions from unconsolidated joint ventures	2,591	4,743	26,718
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,900)	(111,088)	(375,364)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings under unsecured senior credit facility		169,000	1,009,000
Repayment of borrowings under unsecured senior credit facility		(210,000)	(1,107,000)
Issuance of senior notes			297,323
Repayments of senior notes	(60,036)	(105,626)	(21,000)
Settlement of cash flow hedges			1,610

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Issuance of notes and bonds payable	6,862	36,461	911
Principal payments on notes and bonds payable	(10,605)	(18,522)	(34,542)
Issuance of common stock, net	316,729	183,819	261,756
Repurchase of preferred stock			(90,049)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests		620	5,210
Contributions from redeemable OP unitholders		58,435	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(1,777)	(1,973)	(97)
Distributions to redeemable OP unitholders	(3,102)	(1,506)	
Dividends paid	(193,149)	(179,133)	(163,482)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(139)	(1,482)	(450)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	54,783	(69,907)	159,190
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	300,028	62,843	4,712
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	82,250	19,407	14,695
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 382,278	\$ 82,250	\$ 19,407
Supplemental schedule of cash flow information:			
Non-cash investing activity foreclosure of facility securing mortgage loan receivable	\$	\$ 2,945	\$ 7,664
Non-cash financing activities:			
Adjust redeemable OP unitholder interests to current redemption value	\$ 9,523	\$	\$
Conversion of redeemable OP units to common stock	\$ 6,077	\$	\$
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	\$ 23,554	\$ 31,527	\$ 5
Interest paid	\$ 92,038	\$ 98,028	\$ 96,234

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2009

1. Organization

Nationwide Health Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation, is a real estate investment trust (REIT) that invests in healthcare related real estate, primarily senior housing, long-term care properties and medical office buildings. Whenever we refer herein to NHP or to us or use the terms we or our, we are referring to Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

We primarily make our investments by acquiring an ownership interest in senior housing and long-term care facilities and leasing them to unaffiliated tenants under triple-net master leases that transfer the obligation for all facility operating costs (including maintenance, repairs, taxes, insurance and capital expenditures) to the tenant. We also invest in medical office buildings which are not generally subject to triple-net leases and generally have several tenants under separate leases in each building, thus requiring active management and responsibility for many of the associated operating expenses (although many of these are, or can effectively be, passed through to the tenants). Some of the medical office buildings are subject to triple-net leases. In addition, but to a much lesser extent because we view the risks of this activity to be greater due to less favorable bankruptcy treatment and other factors, from time to time, we extend mortgage loans and other financing to operators. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, approximately 93% of our revenues were derived from leases, with the remaining 7% from mortgage loans, other financing activities and other miscellaneous income.

We believe we have operated in such a manner as to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). We intend to continue to qualify as such and therefore distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding capital gain) to our stockholders. If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, and we distribute 100% of our taxable income to our stockholders, we will generally not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes on our income that is distributed to stockholders. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal income taxes.

As of December 31, 2009, we had investments in 576 healthcare facilities and one land parcel located in 43 states, consisting of:

Consolidated facilities:

251 assisted and independent living facilities;

167 skilled nursing facilities;

10 continuing care retirement communities;

7 specialty hospitals;

19 triple-net medical office buildings, one of which is operated by a consolidated joint venture (see Note 5);
and

60 multi-tenant medical office buildings, 15 of which are operated by consolidated joint ventures (see Note 5).

Unconsolidated facilities:

19 assisted and independent living facilities;

14 skilled nursing facilities;

2 medical office buildings; and

1 continuing care retirement community.

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009**

Mortgage loans secured by:

- 16 skilled nursing facilities;
- 9 assisted and independent living facilities;
- 1 medical office building; and
- 1 land parcel.

As of December 31, 2009, our directly owned facilities, other than our multi-tenant medical office buildings, were operated by 83 different healthcare providers, including the following publicly traded companies:

	Number of Facilities Operated
Assisted Living Concepts, Inc.	4
Brookdale Senior Living, Inc.	96
Emeritus Corporation	6
Extendicare, Inc.	1
HEALTHSOUTH Corporation	2
Kindred Healthcare, Inc.	1
Sun Healthcare Group, Inc.	4

Two of our triple-net lease tenants each accounted for more than 10% of our revenues at December 31, 2009, as follows:

Brookdale Senior Living, Inc.	15.2%
Hearthstone Senior Services, L.P.	10.8%

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies***Basis of Presentation***

Certain items in prior period financial statements have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation, including those required by the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment* (ASC 360), which require the operating results of any assets with their own identifiable cash flows that are disposed of or held for sale and in which we have no continuing interest to be removed from income from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations for all periods

presented.

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of ASC Topic 810, *Consolidation* (ASC 810), which require noncontrolling interests to be reported within the equity section of the consolidated balance sheets, and amounts attributable to controlling and noncontrolling interests to be reported separately in the consolidated income statements and consolidated statement of equity. The adoption of these provisions did not impact earnings per share attributable to our common stockholders.

We have evaluated events subsequent to December 31, 2009 through February 17, 2010, the date we filed this Form 10-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission, for their impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts, the accounts of our wholly owned subsidiaries and the accounts of our joint ventures that are controlled through voting rights or other means. We apply the provisions of ASC 810 for arrangements with variable interest entities (VIEs) and would consolidate those VIEs where we are the primary beneficiary. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Our judgment with respect to our level of influence or control of an entity and whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE involves the consideration of various factors including, but not limited to, the form of our ownership interest, our representation on the entity's governing body, the size of our investment, estimates of future cash flows, our ability to participate in policy-making decisions and the rights of the other investors to participate in the decision-making process and to replace us as manager and/or liquidate the venture, if applicable. Our ability to correctly assess our influence or control over an entity or determine the primary beneficiary of a VIE affects the presentation of these entities in our consolidated financial statements.

We apply the provisions of ASC Topic 323, *Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures* (ASC 323), to investments in joint ventures. Investments in entities that we do not consolidate but for which we have the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies are reported under the equity method. Under the equity method of accounting, our share of the entity's earnings or losses is included in our operating results.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Rental income from operating leases is recognized in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 840, *Leases*, and ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*. Our leases generally contain annual escalators. Many of our leases contain non-contingent rent escalators for which we recognize income on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Recognizing income on a straight-line basis requires us to calculate the total non-contingent rent to be paid over the life of a lease and to recognize the revenue evenly over that life. This method results in rental income in the early years of a lease being higher than actual cash received, creating a straight-line rent receivable asset included in the caption Other assets on our consolidated balance sheets. At some point during the lease, depending on its terms, the cash rent payments eventually exceed the straight-line rent which results in the straight-line rent receivable asset decreasing to zero over the remainder of the lease term. Certain leases contain escalators contingent on revenues or other factors, including increases based on changes in the Consumer Price Index. Such revenue increases are recognized as the related contingencies are met.

We assess the collectability of straight-line rents in accordance with the applicable accounting standards and our reserve policy and defer recognition of straight-line rent if its collectability is not reasonably assured. Our assessment

of the collectability of straight-line rents is based on several factors, including the financial strength of the tenant and any guarantors, the historical operations and operating trends of the facility, the historical payment pattern of the tenant, the type of facility and whether we intend to continue to lease the facility to the current tenant, among others. If our evaluation of these factors indicates we may not receive the rent payments due in the future, we defer recognition of the straight-line rental income and, depending on the circumstances, we will provide a reserve against the previously recognized straight-line rent receivable asset for a portion, up to its full value, that we estimate may not be recoverable. If we change our assumptions or estimates regarding the collectability of future

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

rent payments required by a lease, we may adjust our reserve to increase or reduce the rental revenue recognized, and/or to increase or reduce the reserve against the existing straight-line rent receivable balance.

We recorded \$6.4 million of revenues in excess of cash received during 2009, \$10.3 million of revenues in excess of cash received during 2008 and \$2.9 million of revenues in excess of cash received during 2007. We had straight-line rent receivables recorded under the caption *Other assets* on our consolidated balance sheets of \$27.5 million at December 31, 2009 and \$21.2 million at December 31, 2008, net of reserves of \$108.3 million and \$90.7 million, respectively. We evaluate the collectability of the straight-line rent receivable balances on an ongoing basis and provide reserves against receivables we believe may not be fully recoverable. The ultimate amount of straight-line rent we realize could be less than amounts currently recorded.

Gain on Sale of Facilities

We recognize sales of facilities only upon closing. Payments received from purchasers prior to closing are recorded as deposits. Gains on facilities sold are recognized using the full accrual method upon closing when the requirements of gain recognition on sale of real estate under the provisions of ASC 360 are met, including: the collectability of the sales price is reasonably assured; we have received adequate initial investment from the buyer; we are not obligated to perform significant activities after the sale to earn the gain; and other profit recognition criteria have been satisfied. Gains may be deferred in whole or in part until the sales satisfy these requirements. Gains on facilities sold to unconsolidated joint ventures in which we maintain an ownership interest are included in income from continuing operations, and the portion of the gain representing our retained ownership interest in the joint venture is deferred and included in the caption *Accounts payable and accrued liabilities* on our consolidated balance sheets. We had \$15.3 million of such deferred gains at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008. All other gains are included in discontinued operations.

Asset Impairment

We review our long-lived assets individually on a quarterly basis to determine if there are indicators of impairment in accordance with the provisions of ASC 360. Indicators may include, among others, a tenant's inability to make rent payments, operating losses or negative operating trends at the facility level, notification by a tenant that it will not renew its lease, or a decision to dispose of an asset or adverse changes in the fair value of any of our properties. For operating assets, if indicators of impairment exist, we compare the undiscounted cash flows from the expected use of the property to its net book value to determine if impairment exists. The evaluation of the undiscounted cash flows from the expected use of the property is highly subjective and is based in part on various factors and assumptions, including, but not limited to, historical operating results, available market information and known trends and market/economic conditions that may affect the property, as well as, estimates of future operating income, occupancy, rental rates, leasing demand and competition. If the sum of the future estimated undiscounted cash flows is higher than the current net book value, we conclude no impairment exists. If the sum of the future estimated undiscounted cash flows is lower than its current net book value, we recognize an impairment loss for the difference between the net book value of the asset and its estimated fair value. To the extent we decide to sell an asset, we recognize an impairment loss if the current net book value of the asset exceeds its fair value less selling costs.

We evaluate our equity method investments for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of our investment in an unconsolidated joint venture may exceed the fair value. If it is determined that a decline in the fair value of our investment in an unconsolidated joint venture is other-than-temporary and is below its carrying value, an impairment is recorded. The determination of the fair value of investments in unconsolidated joint ventures involves significant judgment. Our estimates consider all available evidence including, as appropriate, the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at market rates, general economic conditions and trends and other relevant factors.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

The above analyses require us to determine whether there are indicators of impairment for individual assets or investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, to estimate the most likely stream of cash flows from operating assets and to determine the fair value of assets that are impaired or held for sale. If our assumptions, projections or estimates regarding an asset change in the future, we may have to record an impairment charge to reduce or further reduce the net book value of such individual asset or investment in unconsolidated joint venture.

No impairment charges were recorded during 2009, 2008 or 2007.

Collectability of Receivables

We evaluate the collectability of our rent, mortgage loans and other receivables on a regular basis based on factors including, among others, payment history, the financial strength of the borrower and any guarantors, the value of the underlying collateral, the operations and operating trends of the underlying collateral, if any, the asset type and current economic conditions. If our evaluation of these factors indicates we may not recover the full value of the receivable, we provide a reserve against the portion of the receivable that we estimate may not be recovered. This analysis requires us to determine whether there are factors indicating a receivable may not be fully collectible and to estimate the amount of the receivable that may not be collected. We had reserves included in the caption Receivables, net on our consolidated balance sheets of \$12.7 million at December 31, 2009 and \$5.4 million at December 31, 2008.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation* (ASC 718), which require stock-based compensation awards to be valued at the fair value on the date of grant and amortized as an expense over the vesting period and require any dividend equivalents earned to be treated as dividends for financial reporting purposes. Net income reflects stock-based compensation expense of \$7.0 million in 2009, \$5.8 million in 2008 and \$4.7 million in 2007.

Land, Buildings and Improvements and Depreciation and Useful Lives of Assets

We record properties at cost and use the straight-line method of depreciation for buildings and improvements over their estimated remaining useful lives of up to 40 years, generally 20 to 40 years depending on factors including building type, age, quality and location. We review and adjust useful lives periodically. Depreciation expense from continuing operations was \$108.9 million in 2009, \$103.9 million in 2008 and \$85.0 million in 2007.

We allocate purchase prices of properties in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 805, *Business Combinations* (ASC 805), which require that the acquisition method of accounting be used for all business combinations and for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. ASC 805 also establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. Certain transaction costs that have historically been capitalized as acquisition costs are expensed for business combinations completed on or after January 1, 2009, which may have a significant impact on our future results of operations and financial position based on historical acquisition costs and activity levels. No business combinations were completed during 2009. We incurred \$0.8 million of acquisition costs during 2009.

The allocation of the cost between land, building and, if applicable, equipment and intangible assets and liabilities, and the determination of the useful life of a property are based on management's estimates, which are based in part on independent appraisals or other consultants' reports. For our triple-net leased facilities, the allocation is made as if the property were vacant, and a significant portion of the cost of each property is allocated to buildings. This amount generally approximates 90% of the total property value. Historically, we have generally acquired properties and simultaneously entered into a new market rate lease for the entire property with one tenant.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

For our multi-tenant medical office buildings, the percentage allocated to buildings may be substantially lower as allocations are made to assets such as lease-up intangible assets, above market tenant and ground lease intangible assets and in-place lease intangible assets (collectively intangible assets) included on our consolidated balance sheets and/or below market tenant and ground lease intangible liabilities included in the caption Accounts payable and accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets.

We calculate depreciation and amortization on equipment and lease costs using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of up to five years or the lease term, whichever is appropriate. We amortize intangible assets and liabilities over the remaining lease terms of the respective leases to real estate amortization expense or medical office building operating rent, as appropriate. We review and adjust useful lives periodically.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased.

Derivatives

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to financial market risks, including interest rate risk on our interest-bearing liabilities. We endeavor to limit these risks by following established risk management policies, procedures and strategies, including, on occasion, the use of derivative instruments. We do not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Derivative instruments are recorded on our consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities based on each instrument's fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments are recognized currently in earnings, unless the derivative instrument meets the criteria for hedge accounting contained in ASC Topic 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* (ASC 815). If the derivative instruments meet the criteria for a cash flow hedge, the gains and losses recognized upon changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are recorded in other comprehensive income. Gains and losses on a cash flow hedge are reclassified into earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings. A contract that is designated as a hedge of an anticipated transaction which is no longer likely to occur is immediately recognized in earnings.

For investments in entities reported under the equity method of accounting, we record our pro rata share of the entity's derivative instruments' fair value, other comprehensive income or loss and gains and losses determined in accordance with ASC 323 and ASC 815 as applicable.

Segment Reporting

We report our consolidated financial statements in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting*. We operate in two segments based on our investment and leasing activities: triple-net leases and multi-tenant leases (see Note 21).

Redeemable Limited Partnership Unitholder Interests

NHP/PMB L.P. (NHP/PMB) is a limited partnership that we formed in February 2008 to acquire properties from entities affiliated with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC (see Note 5). We consolidate NHP/PMB consistent with the provisions of ASC 810, as our wholly owned subsidiary is the general partner and exercises control. As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, third party investors owned 1,629,752 and 1,829,562 Class A limited partnership units in NHP/PMB (OP Units), respectively, which represented 53.9% and 60.7% of the total units outstanding at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. After a one year holding period, the OP Units are exchangeable for cash or, at our option, shares of our common stock, initially on a one-for-one basis. We have entered into a registration rights agreement with the holders of the OP Units which, subject to the terms and

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

conditions set forth therein, obligates us to register the shares of common stock that we may issue in exchange for such OP Units. Since we are obligated to register the shares, the redeemable OP unitholder interests are classified outside of permanent equity on our consolidated balance sheets. During 2009, 202,361 OP Units were exchanged for 202,361 shares of our common stock. We applied the provisions of ASC Topic 480, *Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity*, to reflect the redeemable OP unitholder interests at the greater of cost or fair value. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of the OP Units exceeded the cost by \$9.5 million, and the adjustment was recorded through capital in excess of par value. The value of the redeemable OP unitholder interests was \$57.3 million and \$56.8 million at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Noncontrolling Interests

NHP/PMB has a 50% interest in one multi-tenant medical office building through a joint venture which is consolidated by NHP/PMB. The cost basis of the noncontrolling interest for this joint venture was \$1.4 million and \$0.5 million at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

On August 21, 2009, we acquired the noncontrolling interests held by The Broe Companies (Broe) in two consolidated joint ventures we had with them for \$4.3 million (see Note 5), reducing the cost basis of the noncontrolling interests to zero at December 31, 2009. The purchase price exceeded the cost basis of the noncontrolling interests at the time of acquisition by \$1.4 million which was recorded through capital in excess of par value. The cost basis of the noncontrolling interests in these joint ventures was \$3.4 million at December 31, 2008.

We have a consolidated joint venture with McShane Medical Office Properties, Inc. (McShane) that invests in multi-tenant medical office buildings (see Note 5). The cost basis of the noncontrolling interest for this joint venture was \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

We also have five partnerships in which we have equity interests, ranging from 51% to 81%, in three assisted and independent living facilities, one skilled nursing facility and one specialty hospital. We consolidate the partnerships in our consolidated financial statements. The noncontrolling interests in these partnerships had a negative cost basis totaling \$1.5 million at December 31, 2009.

Fair Value

We apply the provisions of ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820) to our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and to our nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are not required or permitted to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. ASC 820 also specifies a three-level hierarchy of valuation techniques based upon whether the inputs reflect assumptions other market participants would use based upon market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) or reflect our own assumptions of market participant valuation (unobservable inputs) and requires the use of observable inputs if such data is available without undue cost and effort. The hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 observable inputs other than Level 1 inputs, including quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and other derived valuations with significant inputs or value drivers that are observable or can be corroborated by observable inputs in active markets.

Level 3 unobservable inputs or derived valuations with significant inputs or value drivers that are unobservable.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

Fair value measurements at December 31, 2009 are as follow:

	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
		(In thousands)		
Financial assets	\$ 4,534	\$ 4,534	\$	\$
Financial liabilities	(4,534)	(4,534)		
Redeemable OP unitholder interests	57,335		57,335	
	\$ 57,335	\$	\$ 57,335	\$

The provisions of ASC Topic 825, *Financial Instruments*, which provide companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value and establish presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities became effective January 1, 2008. We have not elected to apply the fair value option to any specific financial assets or liabilities.

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value because of the short maturities of these instruments. The fair value of mortgage and other loans receivable are based upon discounting future cash flows utilizing rates based on management estimates and rates currently prevailing for comparable loans. The fair value of long-term debt is estimated based on discounting future cash flows utilizing current rates offered to us for debt of a similar type and remaining maturity.

The table below details the fair values and book values for mortgage and other loans receivable and the components of long-term debt at December 31, 2009. These fair value estimates are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that would be realized upon disposition of these financial instruments.

	Book Value	Fair Value
	(In thousands)	
Mortgage loans receivable	\$ 177,452	\$ 176,254
Other loans receivable	\$ 72,755	\$ 64,209
Unsecured senior credit facility	\$	\$
Senior notes	\$ 991,633	\$ 1,043,547
Notes and bonds payable	\$ 431,456	\$ 424,365

Earnings per Share (EPS)

Basic EPS is computed by dividing income from continuing operations available to common stockholders by the weighted average common shares outstanding. Income from continuing operations available to common stockholders is calculated by deducting amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests, amounts attributable to participating securities and dividends declared on preferred stock from income from continuing operations.

On January 1, 2009, we adopted certain provisions of ASC Topic 260, *Earnings per Share*, which require that the two-class method of computing basic earnings per share be applied when there are unvested share-based payment awards that contain rights to nonforfeitable dividends outstanding during a reporting period. These participating securities share in undistributed earnings with common shareholders for purposes of calculating basic earnings per share. Upon adoption, the presentation of all prior period EPS data was adjusted retrospectively with no material impact.

Diluted EPS includes the effect of any potential shares outstanding, which for us is comprised of dilutive stock options, other share-settled compensation plans and, if the effect is dilutive, 7.75% Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and/or limited partnership units in NHP/PMB. The dilutive effect of stock options and other share-settled compensation plans that do not contain rights to nonforfeitable dividends is calculated using the treasury stock

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

method with an offset from expected proceeds upon exercise of the stock options and unrecognized compensation expense.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB updated ASC 810 to require ongoing analyses to determine whether an entity's variable interest gives it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity (VIE), making it the primary beneficiary, based on whether the entity (i) has the power to direct activities of the VIE that most significantly impact its economic performance, including whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure the VIE operates as designed, and (ii) has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Enhanced disclosures regarding an entity's involvement with variable interest entities are also required under the provisions of ASC 810. These requirements are effective January 1, 2010. The adoption of these requirements is not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements* (ASU 2010-06). ASU 2010-06 adds new requirements for disclosures of significant transfers into and out of Levels 1, 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the reasons for the transfers and the policy for determining when transfers are recognized. ASU 2010-06 also adds new requirements for disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross rather than net basis relating to the reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of Level 3 recurring fair value measurements. It also clarifies the level of disaggregation to require disclosures by class rather than by major category of assets and liabilities and clarifies that a description of inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value is required for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements classified as Level 2 or 3. ASU 2010-06 is effective January 1, 2010 except for the requirements to provide the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis which are effective January 1, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2010-06 is not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

3. Real Estate Properties

At December 31, 2009, we had direct ownership of:

251 assisted and independent living facilities;

167 skilled nursing facilities;

10 continuing care retirement communities;

7 specialty hospitals;

19 triple-net medical office buildings, one of which is operated by a consolidated joint venture (see Note 5);
and

60 multi-tenant medical office buildings, 15 of which are operated by consolidated joint ventures (see Note 5).

We lease our owned senior housing and long-term care facilities and certain medical office buildings to single tenants under triple-net, and in most cases, master leases that are accounted for as operating leases. These leases generally have an initial term of up to 21 years and generally have two or more multiple-year renewal options. As of December 31, 2009, approximately 84% of these facilities were leased under master leases. In addition, the majority of these leases contain cross-collateralization and cross-default provisions tied to other leases with the same tenant, as well as grouped lease renewals and grouped purchase options. As of December 31, 2009, leases covering 456 facilities were backed by security deposits consisting of irrevocable letters of credit or cash totaling \$71.3 million.

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

Under terms of the leases, the tenant is responsible for all maintenance, repairs, taxes, insurance and capital expenditures on the leased properties. As of December 31, 2009, leases covering 340 facilities contained provisions for property tax impounds, and leases covering 207 facilities contained provisions for capital expenditure impounds. We generally lease medical office buildings to multiple tenants under separate non-triple-net leases, where we are responsible for many of the associated operating expenses (although many of these are, or can effectively be, passed through to the tenants). However, some of the medical office buildings are subject to triple-net leases, where the lessees are responsible for the associated operating expenses. No individual property owned by us is material to us as a whole.

The following table lists our owned real estate properties as of December 31, 2009:

Type	Number of Facilities	Land	Buildings and Improvements (Dollar amounts in thousands)	Total Real Estate		Notes and Bonds Payable
				Investment	Accumulated Depreciation	
Assisted and independent living facilities	251	\$ 159,668	\$ 1,584,001	\$ 1,743,669	\$ 274,932	\$ 186,366
Skilled nursing facilities	167	82,219	815,788	898,007	230,856	14,379
Continuing care retirement communities	10	8,612	116,196	124,808	29,219	
Specialty hospitals	7	6,114	70,084	76,198	17,235	
Medical office buildings triple-net	19	24,426	96,061	120,487	5,968	29,872
Medical office buildings multi-tenant	60	37,418	406,053	443,471	27,084	200,839
Total	514	\$ 318,457	\$ 3,088,183	\$ 3,406,640	\$ 585,294	\$ 431,456

Future minimum rentals on non-cancelable leases, including medical office building leases, as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

Year	Rentals (In thousands)
2010	\$ 339,446
2011	322,349
2012	304,447
2013	277,387

2014	257,287
Thereafter	1,511,794

On August 21, 2009, we acquired the remaining outside interests in the two consolidated joint ventures we had with Broe for \$4.3 million (see Note 5). As a result of this acquisition, we now have direct ownership of the 36 multi-tenant medical office buildings located in nine states previously owned by the joint ventures.

During 2009, we funded \$34.4 million in expansions, construction and capital improvements at certain facilities in our triple-net leases segment in accordance with existing lease provisions. Such expansions, construction and capital improvements generally result in an increase in the minimum rents earned by us on these facilities either at the time of funding or upon completion of the project. At December 31, 2009, we had committed to fund additional expansions, construction and capital improvements of \$111.3 million.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

During 2009, we sold five skilled nursing facilities, none of which had been previously transferred to assets held for sale, for a gross purchase price of \$23.3 million that resulted in a total gain of \$9.5 million which is included in gain on sale of facilities in discontinued operations.

During 2008, we acquired 18 assisted and independent living facilities, 11 skilled nursing facilities and 12 medical office buildings subject to triple-net master leases in 12 separate transactions for an aggregate investment of \$163.0 million. We also acquired, from entities affiliated with PMB, one multi-tenant medical office building for \$14.7 million and, through NHP/PMB, one triple-net medical office building and eight multi-tenant medical office buildings (one of which consisted of a 50% interest through a joint venture which is consolidated by NHP/PMB) for \$232.2 million (see Note 5). We also acquired, from an entity affiliated with PMB, a 44.95% investment in two multi-tenant medical office buildings for \$3.5 million through PMB SB 399-401 East Highland LLC (PMB SB), an unconsolidated joint venture (see Note 6). We acquired one multi-tenant medical office building through our consolidated joint venture with McShane for \$2.0 million (see Note 5).

During 2008, we acquired, out of bankruptcy, title to one skilled nursing facility securing a previously impaired mortgage loan with a net book value of \$2.9 million which approximated our estimate of fair value of the facility and was allocated to land and building (see Note 4). Subsequent to acquiring title to the facility, we entered into a lease for this facility with a third party who was one of our existing tenants.

During 2008, we also funded \$43.4 million in expansions, construction and capital improvements at certain facilities in accordance with existing lease provisions. Such expansions, construction and capital improvements generally result in an increase in the minimum rents earned by us on these facilities either at the time of funding or upon completion of the project.

During 2008, we transferred 24 assisted and independent living facilities and one skilled nursing facility to assets held for sale (see Note 7).

During 2008, we sold three assisted and independent living facilities and one skilled nursing facility, each not previously transferred to assets held for sale, for a gross purchase price of \$31.9 million. The sales resulted in a total gain of \$17.6 million that is included in gain on sale of facilities in discontinued operations. We provided financing of \$2.5 million for one of the sold properties which was subsequently paid off in September 2008.

No impairment charges were recorded on our real estate properties during 2009, 2008 or 2007.

4. Mortgage Loans Receivable

At December 31, 2009, we held 14 mortgage loans receivable secured by 16 skilled nursing facilities, nine assisted and independent living facilities, one medical office building and one land parcel. In addition, we held one mortgage loan receivable secured by the skilled nursing portion of a continuing care retirement community that for facility count purposes is accounted for in the real estate properties above as a continuing care retirement community and therefore is not counted as a separate facility here.

At December 31, 2009, the mortgage loans receivable had an aggregate principal balance of \$177.5 million and are reflected in our consolidated balance sheets net of aggregate deferred gains and discounts totaling \$19.3 million, with individual outstanding balances ranging from \$0.6 million to \$47.5 million and maturities

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

ranging from 2010 to 2024. The principal balances of mortgage loans receivable as of December 31, 2009 mature as follows:

Year	Maturities (In thousands)
2010	\$ 88,261
2011	33,550
2012	606
2013	17,166
2014	668
Thereafter	37,201
	177,452
Less: deferred gains and discounts	(19,339)
	158,113
Less: mortgage loan receivable from related party	(47,500)
	\$ 110,613

The following table lists our mortgage loans receivable at December 31, 2009:

Location of Facilities	Number of Facilities	Interest Rate	Final Maturity Date	Estimated Balloon Payment(1)	Original Face Amount of Mortgages	Carrying Amount of Mortgages
			(Dollar amounts in thousands)			
<i>Skilled Nursing Facilities:</i>						
California	4	13.00%	12/10	\$ 18,786	\$ 18,786	\$ 8,885
Florida	1	11.37%	05/17	4,996	5,409	5,334
Florida	1	9.75%	12/18	5,358	5,630	5,483
Illinois	1	9.00%	01/24		9,500	7,301
Indiana	1	10.20%	06/13	6,750	6,750	6,750
Kansas	2	11.58%	01/13	1,148	1,148	595
Louisiana	1	10.89%	04/15	2,453	3,850	3,153
Michigan	4	12.61%	06/10	6,646	6,671	6,647
Pennsylvania	1	10.82%	06/17	9,903	9,903	9,903

Subtotals	16	56,040	67,647	54,051
-----------	----	--------	--------	--------

74

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

Location of Facilities	Number of Facilities	Interest Rate	Final Maturity Date	Estimated Balloon Payment(1)	Original Face Amount of Mortgages	Carrying Amount of Mortgages
<i>Assisted and Independent Living Facilities:</i>						
Delaware	1	10.25%	06/11	5,280	5,280	4,533
Florida	1	9.00%	11/10	6,220	6,220	4,415
Louisiana	1	10.25%	06/11	7,260	7,260	6,232
Massachusetts	1	9.52%	06/23	8,500	8,500	8,500
Ohio	1	10.25%	06/11	6,270	6,270	5,382
Tennessee	1	9.00%	11/10	3,252	3,252	2,308
Tennessee	1	10.25%	06/11	5,280	5,280	4,533
Virginia	1	9.00%	11/10	4,665	4,665	3,311
Virginia	1	10.25%	06/11	8,910	8,910	7,649
Subtotals	9			55,637	55,637	46,863
<i>Continuing Care Retirement Community:</i>						
Florida		7.94%	11/13	8,739	9,200	9,007
Subtotals				8,739	9,200	9,007
<i>Medical Office Building:</i>						
California	1	7.75%	08/10	47,500	47,500	47,500
Subtotals	1			47,500	47,500	47,500
<i>Land Parcel:</i>						
Texas		9.00%	09/10	692	692	692
Subtotals				692	692	692
Total	26			\$ 168,608	\$ 180,676	\$ 158,113

(1)

Certain mortgage loans receivable require monthly principal and interest payments at level amounts over life to maturity and others require monthly interest only payments until maturity. Some mortgage loans receivable have interest rates which periodically adjust, but cannot decrease, which results in varying principal and interest payments over the life of the loan, in which case the balloon payments reflected are an estimate. Most mortgage loans receivable require a prepayment penalty based on a percentage of principal outstanding or a penalty based upon a calculation maintaining the yield we would have earned if prepayment had not occurred.

In February 2009, we entered into an agreement with one of our triple-net tenants, Brookdale Senior Living, Inc. (Brookdale), under which we became a lender with an initial commitment of \$8.8 million under their \$230.0 million revolving loan facility, which is scheduled to mature on August 31, 2010 (Brookdale Credit Facility). On June 1, 2009, the Brookdale Credit Facility was amended to, among other things, eliminate the requirement for certain mandatory prepayments and reduce the total revolving loan facility to \$75.0 million, thus reducing our commitment to \$2.9 million.

At Brookdale s option, borrowings generally bear interest at either applicable LIBOR (subject to a stated minimum rate) plus 7.0% or the greater of (i) the prime rate or (ii) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.5%, plus a margin of 7.0%. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Brookdale is required to pay certain fees. The revolving loan facility

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

is secured by, among other things, certain real property and related personal property owned by Brookdale and equity interests in certain of Brookdale's subsidiaries. During 2009, we funded \$7.5 million which was subsequently repaid. At December 31, 2009, there was no balance outstanding.

During 2009, we also funded an additional \$2.5 million on existing mortgage loans.

During 2009, one mortgage loan totaling \$3.7 million (including \$0.7 million funded during 2009) was prepaid.

During 2008, we funded one mortgage loan secured by one skilled nursing facility in the amount of \$6.8 million and one mortgage loan secured by one medical office building in the amount of \$47.5 million to a related party. We also funded an additional \$0.8 million on existing mortgage loans.

During 2008, two mortgage loans secured by two assisted and independent living facilities totaling \$8.9 million were repaid at maturity and one mortgage loan secured by two skilled nursing facilities was prepaid in the amount of \$4.2 million.

During 2008, we acquired, out of bankruptcy, title to one skilled nursing facility securing a previously impaired mortgage loan with a net book value of \$2.9 million which approximated our estimate of fair value of the facility and was allocated to land and building. Concurrent with acquiring title to the facility, we entered into a lease for this facility with a third party who was one of our existing tenants.

We recognize interest income on impaired loans to the extent our estimate of the fair value of the collateral is sufficient to support the balance of the loans, other receivables and all related accrued interest. Once the total of the loans, other receivables and all related accrued interest is equal to our estimate of the fair value of the collateral, we recognize interest income on a cash basis. We provide reserves against impaired loans to the extent our total investment exceeds our estimate of the fair value of the loan collateral.

The following table summarizes the changes in mortgage loans receivable, net during 2009 and 2008:

	2009	2008
	(In thousands)	
Balance at January 1	\$ 159,899	\$ 121,694
New mortgage loans	7,461	54,250
Additional fundings on existing mortgage loans	2,521	780
Amortization of premium	(58)	(111)
Collection of principal	(11,710)	(13,769)
Acquisition of title to facilities previously securing mortgage loans		(2,945)
Balance at December 31	\$ 158,113	\$ 159,899

As of February 1, 2010, we acquired as intended the medical office building which served as collateral for our \$47.5 million mortgage loan to a related party (see Notes 23 and 25).

5. Medical Office Building Joint Ventures

NHP/Broe, LLC and NHP/Broe II, LLC

In December 2005 and February 2007, we entered into two joint ventures with Broe called NHP/Broe, LLC (Broe I) and NHP/Broe II, LLC (Broe II), respectively, to invest in multi-tenant medical office buildings. On August 21, 2009, we acquired the noncontrolling interests in these joint ventures held by Broe for \$4.3 million. As a result of this acquisition, we now have direct ownership of the 36 multi-tenant medical office buildings located in

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

nine states previously owned by Broe I and Broe II. Activity subsequent to August 21, 2009 related to these facilities is included in our consolidated activity for wholly owned real estate properties (see Note 3).

Prior to our acquisition of Broe's interests, we held 90% and 95% equity interests in Broe I and Broe II, respectively, and Broe held 10% and 5% equity interests in Broe I and Broe II, respectively. Broe was the managing member of Broe I and Broe II, but we consolidated both joint ventures in our consolidated financial statements. The accounting policies of the joint ventures were consistent with our accounting policies. Cash distributions from Broe I and Broe II were made in accordance with the members' ownership interests until specified returns were achieved. As the specified returns were achieved, Broe received an increasing percentage of the cash distributions from the joint ventures.

During the period from January 1, 2009 through August 21, 2009, Broe I and Broe II funded \$1.5 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, in capital and tenant improvements at certain facilities.

During the period from January 1, 2009 through August 21, 2009, Broe I exercised the first of two available 12-month extension options on a \$32.9 million loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2009 and refinanced one additional \$6.4 million loan that was scheduled to mature in February 2009, extending its maturity to February 2012.

During the period from January 1, 2009 through August 21, 2009, an additional \$6.6 million was funded on an existing loan secured by a portion of the Broe II portfolio, resulting in distributions of \$6.3 million and \$0.3 million to us and to Broe, respectively.

During the period from January 1, 2009 through August 21, 2009, operating cash distributions from Broe I of \$0.9 million and \$0.1 million were made to us and to Broe, respectively, and operating cash distributions from Broe II of \$1.7 million and \$0.1 million were made to us and to Broe, respectively.

During 2008, Broe I and Broe II funded \$1.7 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, in capital and tenant improvements at certain facilities.

During 2008, the Broe II joint venture placed \$35.8 million of secured debt on a portion of its portfolio.

During 2008, the Broe I joint venture sold one multi-tenant medical office building for \$0.4 million. The sale resulted in a gain of \$0.1 million which is included in gain on sale of facilities in discontinued operations.

During 2008, operating cash distributions from Broe I of \$1.0 million were made to us, and operating cash distributions from Broe II of \$3.7 million and \$0.2 million were made to us and to Broe, respectively. No operating cash distributions from Broe I were made to Broe during 2008.

All intercompany balances with Broe I and Broe II have been eliminated for purposes of our consolidated financial statements.

McShane/NHP JV, LLC

In December 2007, we entered into a joint venture with McShane called McShane/NHP JV, LLC (McShane/NHP) to invest in multi-tenant medical office buildings. We hold a 95% equity interest in the venture and McShane holds a 5% equity interest. McShane is the managing member of McShane/NHP, but we consolidate the joint venture in our consolidated financial statements. The accounting policies of the joint venture are consistent with our accounting policies.

Cash distributions from McShane/NHP are made in accordance with the members' ownership interests until specified returns are achieved. As the specified returns are achieved, McShane will receive an increasing percentage of the cash distributions from the joint venture. During 2009, operating cash distributions from McShane/NHP of \$0.9 million and \$0.1 million were made to us and to McShane, respectively.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

At December 31, 2009, McShane/NHP owned seven multi-tenant medical office buildings located in one state.

During 2009, McShane/NHP funded \$1.4 million in capital and tenant improvements at certain facilities.

During 2008, McShane/NHP acquired the final multi-tenant medical office building of a seven building portfolio. The purchase price for the final building totaled \$2.0 million, of which \$1.8 million was allocated to real estate with the remaining \$0.2 million allocated to other assets and liabilities. The other six multi-tenant medical office buildings were acquired in December 2007 for a purchase price of \$46.5 million, of which \$42.6 million was allocated to real estate with the remaining \$3.9 million allocated to other assets and liabilities. The total portfolio acquisition was originally financed with a bridge loan from us of \$31.2 million and capital contributions of \$16.0 million and \$0.8 million from us and McShane, respectively.

During 2008, McShane/NHP funded \$0.2 million in capital and tenant improvements at certain facilities.

During 2008, operating cash distributions from McShane/NHP of \$0.9 million and \$48,000 were made to us and to McShane, respectively.

All intercompany balances with McShane/NHP have been eliminated for purposes of our consolidated financial statements.

NHP/PMB L.P.

In February 2008, we entered into an agreement (the *Contribution Agreement*) with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC and certain of its affiliates to acquire up to 18 medical office buildings, including six in development, for \$747.6 million, including the assumption of approximately \$282.6 million of mortgage financing. Under the *Contribution Agreement*, in 2008, NHP/PMB acquired interests in nine of the 18 medical office buildings, including one property which is included in our triple-net leases segment and eight properties which are multi-tenant medical office buildings (one of which consisted of a 50% interest through a joint venture which is consolidated by NHP/PMB). During 2008, we also acquired one of the 18 medical office buildings directly (not through NHP/PMB). Pursuant to the *Contribution Agreement*, certain conditions must be met in order for us to be obligated to purchase the remaining medical office buildings. If all closing conditions are met with respect to any of the remaining medical office buildings, causing us to be obligated to purchase the same, we could choose to not complete such purchase by paying liquidated damages equal to 5% of such property's total value. During 2009, we elected to terminate the *Contribution Agreement* with respect to six properties after the conditions for us to close on such properties were not satisfied.

On June 1, 2009, we entered into an amendment to the *Contribution Agreement* that provides NHP/PMB with a right of first offer with respect to four of the six properties that were eliminated from the *Contribution Agreement*, as well as the two remaining development properties (if they are not acquired by NHP/PMB under the *Contribution Agreement*). In addition, as a result of the elimination of the six properties described above, under the *Contribution Agreement*, NHP/PMB became obligated to pay \$3.0 million (the *Current Premium Adjustment*), of which \$2.7 million was payable to Pacific Medical Buildings LLC, 50% in cash and 50% in shares of our common stock (46,077 shares valued at \$29.00 per share). The portion of the *Current Premium Adjustment* not payable to Pacific

Medical Buildings LLC was paid in the form of \$0.2 million in cash and the issuance of 2,551 additional OP Units with an aggregate cost basis of \$0.1 million. As a result of the cash and stock paid with respect to the Current Premium Adjustment, we received an additional 6,481 Class B limited partnership units in NHP/PMB. Under the Contribution Agreement, if the agreement is terminated with respect to the two remaining development properties, NHP/PMB will become obligated to pay approximately \$4.8 million (the Future Premium Adjustment), of which approximately \$4.3 million would be payable to Pacific Medical Buildings LLC, 50% in cash and 50% in shares of our common stock (valued at the then-market price, but not less than \$29.00 per share or greater than \$33.00 per share). As of December 31, 2008, we had accrued \$7.8 million with respect to the Current Premium Adjustment and the Future Premium Adjustment, and \$4.9 million remains accrued at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

Under the terms of the Contribution Agreement, a portion of the consideration for the multi-tenant medical office buildings is to be paid in the form of OP Units. After a one-year holding period, the OP Units are exchangeable for cash or, at our option, shares of our common stock, initially on a one-for-one basis. During 2009, 202,361 OP Units were converted into 202,361 shares of our common stock. At December 31, 2009, 1,627,201 of the remaining OP Units had been outstanding for one year or longer and were exchangeable for cash of \$57.2 million. During 2009 and 2008, cash distributions from NHP/PMB of \$3.1 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, were made to OP unitholders.

Additionally, we entered into an agreement with NHP/PMB, PMB LLC and PMB Real Estate Services LLC (PMBRES) (see Note 6) pursuant to which we or NHP/PMB currently have the right, but not the obligation, to acquire up to approximately \$1.3 billion (increased from \$1.0 billion) of multi-tenant medical office buildings developed by PMB LLC through April 2019 (extended from April 2016). The total value of this agreement was increased and the expiration date of this agreement was extended as a result of the termination of the Contribution Agreement described above with respect to six properties after the conditions for us to close on such properties were not satisfied.

On October 5, 2009, we reached an agreement in principle with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC to acquire three medical office buildings, the 55.05% interest that we do not already own in PMB SB 399-401 East Highland LLC (PMB SB), which owns two medical office buildings (see Note 6), and majority ownership interests in two joint ventures that will each own one medical office building, including one of the two remaining development properties under the Contribution Agreement. The acquisitions are subject to customary due diligence and the negotiation and implementation of definitive agreements, as well as the receipt of a variety of third party approvals. We also agreed to modifications to our development agreement with NHP/PMB, PMB LLC and PMBRES.

As of February 1, 2010 (see Note 25), we entered into an amendment to the Contribution Agreement which reinstated one of the six properties that were previously eliminated from the Contribution Agreement and acquired such medical office building per the terms of the amendment. As a result of such acquisition, we retired our \$47.5 million mortgage loan to a related party to which such acquired medical office building had served as collateral (see Note 23). Additionally, we acquired a majority ownership interest in a joint venture which owns one medical office building, amended and restated our development agreement with NHP/PMB, PMB LLC and PMBRES and amended our agreement with PMB Pomona LLC to provide for the future acquisition by NHP/PMB of a medical office building currently in development. In connection with these transactions, NHP/PMB entered into a Third Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, which, among other things, authorized NHP/PMB to acquire properties affiliated with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC pursuant to agreements other than the Contribution Agreement.

During 2009, NHP/PMB funded \$0.2 million in capital and tenant improvements at certain facilities.

All intercompany balances with NHP/PMB have been eliminated for purposes of our consolidated financial statements.

6. Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

State Pension Fund Investor

In January 2007, we entered into a joint venture with a state pension fund investor. The purpose of the joint venture is to acquire and develop assisted living, independent living and skilled nursing facilities. We manage and own 25% of the joint venture, which will fund its investments with approximately 40% equity contributions and 60% debt. The original approved investment target was \$475.0 million, but we exceeded that amount in 2007, and the total potential investment amount has been increased to \$975.0 million. The financial statements of the joint venture are not consolidated in our financial statements as our joint venture partner has substantive participating rights, and accordingly our investment is accounted for using the equity method.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

At December 31, 2009, the joint venture owned 19 assisted and independent living facilities, 14 skilled nursing facilities and one continuing care retirement community located in nine states.

During 2009, the joint venture retired three loans totaling \$8.8 million with a weighted average rate of 6.37%, secured by six facilities, for \$7.5 million, resulting in a net gain of \$1.3 million which is reflected as gain on debt extinguishment, net on the joint venture's income statements. In connection with the debt retirement, we made contributions of \$1.9 million to the joint venture.

During 2008, the joint venture placed \$10.0 million of mortgage financing on one assisted and independent living facility resulting in cash distributions of \$7.5 million and \$2.5 million to our joint venture partner and us, respectively.

During 2008, the joint venture entered into an interest rate swap contract that is designated as hedging the variability of expected cash flows related to variable rate debt placed on a portion of its portfolio. The cash flow hedge has a fixed rate of 4.235%, a notional amount of \$126.1 million and expires on January 1, 2015. The fair value of this contract at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$8.2 million and \$14.4 million, respectively, which is included in accrued liabilities on the joint venture's balance sheet.

During 2008, the joint venture exercised a purchase option of \$21.8 million on one assisted and independent living facility and one skilled nursing facility which it previously had leasehold interests in. In connection with the purchase option exercise, the joint venture assumed \$19.5 million of mortgage financing.

During 2009 and 2008, we made additional contributions of \$0.2 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, to the joint venture. Cash distributions from the joint venture are made in accordance with the members' ownership interests until specified returns are achieved. As the specified returns are achieved, we will receive an increasing percentage of the cash distributions from the joint venture. During 2009 and 2008, we received additional distributions of \$2.3 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, from the joint venture. In addition to our share of the income, we receive a monthly management fee calculated as a percentage of the equity investment in the joint venture. This fee is included in our income from unconsolidated joint ventures and in the general and administrative expenses on the joint venture's income statement. During 2009, we earned management fees of \$4.1 million, and our share of the net income was \$1.0 million. During 2008, we earned management fees of \$3.9 million, and our share of the net income was \$0.2 million. During 2007, we earned management fees of \$1.5 million, and our share of the net income was \$0.4 million.

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

The unaudited condensed balance sheet and income statement for the joint venture below present its financial position as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and its results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

BALANCE SHEET

	2009	2008
	(In thousands)	
ASSETS		
Real estate properties:		
Land	\$ 38,892	\$ 38,892
Building and improvements	532,470	525,214
	571,362	564,106
Less accumulated depreciation	(42,878)	(24,138)
	528,484	539,968
Cash and cash equivalents	3,689	3,216
Other assets	6,823	6,009
	\$ 538,996	\$ 549,193
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Notes and bonds payable	\$ 334,066	\$ 343,842
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	13,524	19,623
Equity	191,406	185,728
	\$ 538,996	\$ 549,193

INCOME STATEMENT

	2009	2008	2007
	(In thousands)		
Revenues:			
Rental income	\$ 46,502	\$ 45,541	\$ 16,560
Interest and other income	135	101	110
	46,637	45,642	16,670

Expenses:			
Interest and amortization of deferred financing costs	20,665	19,939	6,379
Depreciation and amortization	18,740	18,359	6,811
General and administrative	4,667	6,345	1,719
	44,072	44,643	14,909
Gain on debt extinguishment, net	1,327		
Net income	3,892	999	1,761
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(13)		
Net income available to joint venture members	\$ 3,879	\$ 999	\$ 1,761

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

PMB Real Estate Services LLC

In February 2008, we entered into an agreement with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC to acquire a 50% interest in PMBRES, a full service property management company. The transaction closed on April 1, 2008. In consideration for the 50% interest, we paid \$1.0 million at closing, and we will make additional payments on or before March 31, 2010 and 2011 equal to six times the normalized net operating profit of PMBRES for 2009 and 2010, respectively (in each case, less the amount of all prior payments). PMBRES provides property management services for 26 multi-tenant medical office buildings that we own or have an ownership interest in. During 2009 and 2008, we made contributions of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, to PMBRES. During 2009 and 2008, our share of the net loss was \$13,000 and \$0.3 million, respectively.

PMB SB 399-401 East Highland LLC

In August 2008, we acquired from PMB SB 399-401 East Highland LLC (*PMB SB*), an entity affiliated with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC, a 44.95% interest in an entity that owns two multi-tenant medical office buildings for \$3.5 million. During 2009 and 2008, we received distributions of \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, from PMB SB. During 2009 our share of the net income was \$17,000, and during 2008, our share of the net loss was \$14,000.

On October 5, 2009, we reached an agreement in principle with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC (see Note 5) to, among other things, acquire the 55.05% interest that we do not already own in PMB SB.

7. Assets Held for Sale

During 2008, we transferred 24 assisted and independent living facilities and one skilled nursing facility to assets held for sale.

On April 2, 2008, 23 of the 24 assisted and independent living facilities were sold to Emeritus Corporation (*Emeritus*), the tenant of the facilities, for a gross purchase price of \$305.0 million. In connection with the sale, we retired \$55.8 million of secured debt and provided Emeritus with a loan in the amount of \$30.0 million (included in the caption *Other assets* on our consolidated balance sheets) at a rate of 7.25% per annum for a term of not more than four years. The sale resulted in a gain of \$135.0 million which is included in gain on sale of facilities in discontinued operations.

The skilled nursing facility was sold in July 2008 for net cash proceeds of \$4.9 million. The sale resulted in a gain of \$2.3 million which is included in gain on sale of facilities in discontinued operations.

At December 31, 2008, one assisted living facility was classified as an asset held for sale. This facility was sold during 2009 for a gross purchase price of \$19.0 million, resulting in a gain on sale of \$14.4 million which is included in gain on sale of facilities in discontinued operations.

8. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets include items such as lease-up intangible assets, above market tenant and ground lease intangible assets and in-place lease intangible assets. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the gross balance of intangible assets was \$130.0 million and \$130.1 million, respectively. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the accumulated amortization of intangible assets was \$36.3 million and \$20.7 million, respectively. Intangible liabilities include below market tenant and ground lease intangible liabilities. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had \$18.3 million and \$23.9 million, respectively, of gross intangible liabilities recorded under the caption Accounts payable and accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the accumulated amortization of intangible liabilities was \$3.9 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. As of

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

December 31, 2009, the weighted average amortization period of intangible assets and liabilities was approximately 23.4 years and 33.5 years, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, medical office building operating rent includes \$0.6 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million from the amortization of above/below market lease intangibles, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, expenses include \$14.7 million, \$11.9 million and \$4.8 million from the amortization of other intangible assets and liabilities, respectively.

The future estimated aggregate amortization related to intangible assets and liabilities is as follows:

Year	Intangible Assets	Intangible Liabilities	Net Intangible Amortization
		(In thousands)	
2010	\$ 12,440	\$ 1,521	\$ 10,919
2011	10,013	1,194	8,819
2012	8,511	1,066	7,445
2013	6,904	980	5,924
2014	6,233	897	5,336
Thereafter	49,556	8,720	40,836
	\$ 93,657	\$ 14,378	\$ 79,279

9. Other Assets

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, other assets consisted of:

	2009	2008
	(In thousands)	
Other receivables, net of reserves of \$4.2 million and \$5.0 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively	\$ 68,535	\$ 64,998
Straight-line rent receivables, net of reserves of \$108.3 million and \$90.7 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively	27,450	21,224
Deferred financing costs	11,366	15,377
Capitalized lease and loan origination costs	2,418	2,631
Investments and restricted funds	9,545	13,257
Prepaid ground leases	10,051	10,241
Other	3,787	3,806

\$ 133,152 \$ 131,534

Included in other receivables at both December 31, 2009 and 2008, are two unsecured loans to Emeritus in the amount of \$21.4 million and \$30.0 million due in March 2012 and April 2012, respectively.

Investments are recorded at fair value using quoted market prices.

10. Debt

Unsecured Senior Credit Facility

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had no balance outstanding on our \$700.0 million revolving unsecured senior credit facility. At our option, borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at the prime rate (3.25% at December 31, 2009) or applicable LIBOR plus 0.70% (0.95% at December 31, 2009). On March 12, 2009, our

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

credit rating from Fitch Ratings was upgraded to BBB from BBB-, and on April 1, 2009, our credit rating from Moody's was upgraded to Baa2 from Baa3. As a result, the spread over LIBOR decreased from 0.85% to 0.70%. We pay a facility fee of 0.15% per annum on the total commitment under the agreement. The credit facility matures on December 15, 2010. The maturity date may be extended by one additional year at our discretion.

Our credit facility requires us to maintain, among other things, the financial covenants detailed below. As of December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all of these covenants:

Covenant	Requirement	Actual
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)	
Minimum net asset value	\$ 820,000	\$ 3,071,146
Maximum total indebtedness to capitalization value	60%	33%
Minimum fixed charge coverage ratio	1.75	3.15
Maximum secured indebtedness ratio	30%	11%
Maximum unencumbered asset value ratio	60%	30%

Our credit facility allows us to exceed the 60% requirements, up to a maximum of 65%, on the maximum total indebtedness to capitalization value and maximum unencumbered asset value ratio for up to two consecutive fiscal quarters.

Senior Notes

During 2009, we repaid at maturity \$32.0 million of senior notes with a weighted average interest rate of 7.76%, and \$2.6 million of senior notes with an interest rate of 6.90% and final maturity in 2037 were put to us for payment.

During 2009, we retired \$30.0 million of senior notes with an interest rate of 6.25% due in February 2013 for \$25.4 million, resulting in a net gain of \$4.6 million which is reflected on our consolidated income statements as gain on debt extinguishment, net.

During 2008, we repaid \$60.5 million of senior notes with a weighted average rate of 7.17% at maturity and prepaid \$49.7 million of senior notes with a weighted average rate of 7.15%. The prepayments resulted in a net gain totaling \$4.6 million which is reflected as gain on debt extinguishment, net on our statements of operations.

The aggregate principal amount of notes outstanding at December 31, 2009 was \$1.0 billion. At December 31, 2009, the weighted average interest rate on the notes was 6.47% and the weighted average maturity was 5.0 years. The principal balances of the notes as of December 31, 2009 mature as follows:

Year	Maturities
	(In thousands)

2010	\$	
2011		339,040
2012		72,950
2013		269,850
2014		
Thereafter		309,793(1)
	\$	991,633

(1) There are \$52.4 million of notes due in 2037 which may be put back to us at their face amount at the option of the holder on October 1st of any of the following years: 2012, 2017, or 2027. There are \$23.0 million of notes

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009**

due in 2038 which may be put back to us at their face amount at the option of the holder on July 7th of any of the following years: 2013, 2018, 2023, or 2028.

Notes and Bonds Payable

During 2009, prior to our acquisition of Broe's interests in two consolidated joint ventures we had with them (see Note 5), an additional \$6.9 million was funded on existing loans secured by a portion of the Broe I and Broe II portfolios, and Broe I exercised the first of two available 12-month extension options on a \$32.9 million loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2009 and refinanced one additional \$6.4 million loan that was scheduled to mature in February 2009, extending its maturity to February 2012.

During 2009, we prepaid \$2.7 million of fixed rate secured debt with an interest rate of 8.75%.

During 2008, we assumed mortgages as part of various acquisitions totaling \$120.8 million, and Broe II placed \$35.8 million of secured debt on a portion of its portfolio (see Note 5). In connection with the sale of 23 assisted and independent living facilities to Emeritus, the tenant of the facilities, we prepaid \$55.8 million of fixed rate secured debt that bore interest at a weighted average rate of 7.04% (see Note 7).

The aggregate principal amount of notes and bonds payable at December 31, 2009 was \$431.5 million. Notes and bonds payable are due through the year 2037, at interest rates ranging from 1.04% to 8.63% and are secured by real estate properties with an aggregate net book value as of December 31, 2009 of \$658.8 million. At December 31, 2009, the weighted average interest rate on the notes and bonds payable was 5.34% and the weighted average maturity was 6.9 years.

The principal balances of the notes and bonds payable as of December 31, 2009 mature as follows:

Year	Maturities (In thousands)
2010	\$ 107,961
2011	45,212
2012	48,357
2013	40,551
2014	25,714
Thereafter	163,661
	\$ 431,456

On February 9, 2010, we exercised a 12-month extension option on a \$32.4 million loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2010 (see Note 25).

11. Preferred Stock

During 2004, we issued 1,064,500 shares of 7.75% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (Series B Preferred Stock) with a liquidation preference of \$100 per share. Dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock are cumulative from the date of original issue and are payable quarterly in arrears, commencing September 30, 2004.

There were 513,644 and 749,184 shares of Series B Preferred Stock outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Each share of Series B Preferred Stock was initially convertible into 4.3975 shares of our

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

common stock at the option of the holder (equivalent to a conversion price of \$22.74 per share). The Series B Preferred Stock was convertible upon the occurrence of any of the following events:

Our common stock reaching a price equal to 125% of the conversion price (initially \$28.43 per share, \$27.69 at December 31, 2009) for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding calendar quarter;

The price per share of the Series B Preferred Stock falls below 98% of the product of the Conversion Rate and the average closing sale prices of our common stock for five consecutive trading days;

The credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service or Standard & Poor's Ratings Services fall more than two levels below the initial ratings of Ba1 and BB+, respectively;

We are a party to a consolidation, merger, binding share exchange or sale of all or substantially all of our assets where our common stock would be converted into cash, securities or other property, or if a fundamental change occurs, as defined, a holder may convert the holder's shares of Series B Preferred Stock into common stock or the cash, securities or other property that the holder would have received if the holder had converted the holders' Series B Preferred Stock prior to the transaction or fundamental change; or

The Series B Preferred Stock is called for redemption by us.

During 2008, the Series B Preferred Stock was convertible from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008, and during that time, approximately 315,000 shares were converted into approximately 1,406,000 shares of common stock at a weighted average conversion price of \$22.43 per share (equivalent to 4.4589 shares of common stock per share of Series B Preferred Stock). During 2009, the Series B Preferred Stock was convertible from October 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009, and during that time, approximately 235,000 shares were converted into approximately 1,061,000 shares of common stock at a weighted average conversion price of \$22.20 per share (equivalent to 4.5054 shares of common stock per share of Series B Preferred Stock).

On January 18, 2010, we redeemed all outstanding shares of our Series B Preferred Stock at a redemption price per share of \$103.875 plus an amount equal to accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon to the redemption date (\$0.3875), for a total redemption price of \$104.2625 per share, payable only in cash (see Note 25). As a result of the redemption, each share of Series B Preferred Stock was convertible until January 14, 2010 into 4.5150 shares of common stock. During that time, 512,727 shares were converted into approximately 2,315,000 shares of common stock. On January 18, 2010, we redeemed 917 shares that remained outstanding at a redemption price of \$104.2625 per share. At December 31, 2009, if all of the Series B Preferred Stock were to have converted, it would have resulted in the issuance of approximately 2,319,000 common shares.

12. Common Stock

We enter into sales agreements from time to time with agents to sell shares of our common stock through an at-the-market equity offering program. During 2009, we issued and sold approximately 9,537,000 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$30.34 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$286.3 million after

sales agent fees. During 2008, we sold 4,955,000 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$32.24 per share, resulting in net proceeds of \$158.1 million after sales agent fees. From January 1, 2010 to February 16, 2010, we issued and sold approximately 635,000 shares at a weighted average price of \$35.03 per share (see Note 25). We entered into new sales agreements, each dated January 15, 2010, to sell up to an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of our common stock from time to time (see Note 25).

We sponsor a dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan that enables existing stockholders to purchase additional shares of common stock by automatically reinvesting all or part of the cash dividends paid on their shares of common stock. Prior to November 27, 2009, the plan also allowed investors to acquire shares of our common stock for cash, subject to certain limitations, including a maximum monthly investment of \$10,000, at a discount

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

ranging from 0% to 5%, determined by us from time to time in accordance with the plan. The discount during 2009 and 2008 was 2%. During 2009, we issued approximately 1,083,000 shares of common stock, at an average price of \$28.27 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$30.6 million. During 2008, we issued approximately 789,000 shares of common stock, at an average price of \$28.43 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$22.4 million.

During 2009 and 2008, approximately 235,000 and 315,000 shares, respectively, of Series B Preferred Stock were converted into approximately 1,061,000 and 1,406,000 shares, respectively, of common stock (see Note 11). On January 18, 2010, we redeemed all outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock, and as a result, 512,727 shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted into approximately 2,315,000 shares of common stock during the period from January 1, 2010 to January 14, 2010 (see Notes 11 and 25).

During 2009, 202,316 OP Units issued by NHP/PMB were exchanged for 202,361 shares of common stock (see Note 5).

13. Stock Incentive Plan

Under the terms of a stock incentive plan (the Plan), we reserved for issuance 3,000,000 shares of common stock. At December 31, 2009, approximately 1,218,000 shares of common stock remained available for issuance under the Plan. Under the Plan, as amended, we may issue stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, stock appreciation rights and dividend equivalents.

Summaries of the status of stock options granted to officers, restricted stock and restricted stock units granted to directors and restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares and stock appreciation rights granted to employees at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 and changes during the years then ended are as follow:

	2009		2008		2007	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
	(Dollar amounts in thousands except per share amounts)					
Officer Stock Options:						
Outstanding at beginning of year	387,972	\$ 17.82	569,749	\$ 18.80	592,427	\$ 18.86
Granted	242,900	25.40				
Exercised	(101,347)	17.25	(181,777)	20.91	(22,678)	20.51
Forfeited	(4,400)	25.40				
Expired						
Outstanding at end of year	525,125	21.37	387,972	17.82	569,749	18.80

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Exercisable at end of year	286,625	18.02	387,972	17.82	569,749	18.80
Intrinsic value of options outstanding	\$ 7,251					
Intrinsic value of options exercisable	\$ 4,918					
Intrinsic value of options exercised	\$ 1,438		\$ 2,472		\$ 274	
Director Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units:						
Outstanding at beginning of year	42,000	\$ 29.63	49,000	\$ 25.39	47,000	\$ 22.11
Awarded	27,000	27.60	21,000	33.28	21,000	33.63
Vested	(21,000)	29.56	(28,000)	24.96	(19,000)	21.88
Forfeited						
Outstanding at end of year	48,000	\$ 28.52	42,000	\$ 29.63	49,000	\$ 25.39
Fair value of shares vested	\$ 545		\$ 699		\$ 416	

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

	2009		2008		2007	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
(Dollar amounts in thousands except per share amounts)						
Employee Restricted Stock:						
Outstanding at beginning of year	52,037	\$ 30.59	64,610	\$ 23.43	97,675	\$ 22.38
Awarded	8,650	22.00	34,917	34.36	6,282	32.46
Vested	(27,734)	27.61	(42,996)	23.37	(39,347)	22.26
Forfeited	(775)	30.44	(4,494)	26.00		
Outstanding at end of year	32,178	\$ 30.85	52,037	\$ 30.59	64,610	\$ 23.43
Fair value of shares vested	\$ 744		\$ 1,005		\$ 876	
Employee Restricted Stock Units:						
Outstanding at beginning of year	313,007	\$ 28.48	288,542	\$ 28.49	406,182	\$ 28.60
Awarded	8,650	22.00	20,357	36.60	66,075	32.54
Dividend equivalents	55,377	26.96	51,167	29.47	39,797	30.47
Vested	(40,114)	26.90	(37,577)	33.13	(223,512)	30.24
Forfeited	(2,305)	30.64	(9,482)	33.05		
Outstanding at end of year	334,615	\$ 28.24	313,007	\$ 28.48	288,542	\$ 28.49
Fair value of units vested	\$ 1,079		\$ 1,121		\$ 6,403	
Employee Performance Shares:						
Outstanding at beginning of year	228,002	\$ 24.27	78,300	\$ 30.95		\$
Awarded	127,300	24.62	175,002	21.28	78,300	30.95
Forfeited	(8,100)	23.15	(25,300)	24.26		
Outstanding at end of year	347,202	\$ 24.42	228,002	\$ 24.27	78,300	\$ 30.95
Employee Stock Appreciation Rights:						
Outstanding at beginning of year	538,034	\$ 6.92	268,000	\$ 7.44		\$
Awarded			329,434	6.54	268,000	7.44
Vested(1)	(7,999)	6.39	(9,033)	7.47		
Forfeited	(8,101)	6.45	(50,367)	6.85		

Outstanding at end of year	521,934	\$	6.96	538,034	\$	6.92	268,000	\$	7.44
----------------------------	---------	----	------	---------	----	------	---------	----	------

(1) Some SARs were vested and settled in 2009 and 2008. At the time of settlement, the market price of the stock was below the exercise price of the SAR.

Stock options granted under the Plan become exercisable each year following the date of grant in annual increments of one-third, are exercisable at the market price of our common stock on the date of grant and have a 10 year life. The fair value per share of the options granted during the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$4.30 and was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option valuation model using the following assumptions: risk-free rate of rate of return of 2.42%, expected life of 6 years, expected volatility of 36.9% and expected dividends yield of 7.15%. The risk free rate of return was based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected volatility was based on historical volatility for a period equal to the expected life.

We received \$1.3 million, \$3.2 million and \$0.5 million for stock option exercises in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2009:

Exercise Prices		Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
Low	High	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 14.20	\$ 16.23	116,299	\$ 14.58	2.1 years	116,299	\$ 14.58
\$ 18.48	\$ 20.00	76,476	\$ 19.25	2.3 years	76,476	\$ 19.25
\$ 21.29	\$ 25.40	332,350	\$ 24.24	7.6 years	93,850	\$ 21.29

The director restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards are made to non-employee directors and granted at no cost. The awards historically vested at the third anniversary of the award date or upon the date they vacate their position. However, beginning in 2006, they vest in increments of one third per year for three years and will not fully vest if they vacate their position.

In 2006 and 2007, certain employees received annual awards of restricted stock or restricted stock units with dividend equivalents that are reinvested. These grants generally vest in increments of one third per year for three years and are accompanied by awards of dividend equivalents credited in the form of stock units. In December 2006, certain employees received a three-year grant of restricted stock units related to performance from January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2006. This three-year grant vested one year after the date of grant.

Starting in 2007, performance shares and stock appreciation rights were granted as long-term incentive compensation awards for the officers and certain employees in place of restricted stock or restricted stock units. The performance share grants generally have a three year vesting period. The stock appreciation right grants vest in increments of one third per year for three years and earn dividend equivalents credited in the form of stock units.

In addition, on August 15, 2006, the President and Chief Executive Officer received a grant of approximately 120,968 restricted stock units. This grant vests with respect to 50% of the units on the fifth anniversary of the date of grant and with respect to 10% of the units each year thereafter. On April 23, 2007, the Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer received a grant of approximately 30,807 restricted stock units. This grant vests with respect to 50% of the units on January 23, 2014, with the remaining 50% of the units vesting in seven substantially equal annual installments on each subsequent anniversary of such date. On April 23, 2007, the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial and Portfolio Officer received a grant of approximately 30,807 restricted stock units. This grant vests with respect to 50% of the units on July 23, 2012, with respect to an additional 20% of the units on each of January 23, 2013 and January 23, 2014 and with respect to the final 10% of the units on January 23, 2015. The restricted stock units earn dividend equivalents which are reinvested.

Compensation expense related to awards of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares and stock appreciation rights are measured at fair value on the date of grant and amortized over the relevant service

period. The fair value of restricted stock, restricted stock unit and performance share awards is based on the market price of our common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of stock appreciation right awards was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option valuation model. Compensation expense related to director restricted stock awards was \$0.7 million in 2009, \$0.6 million in 2008 and \$0.4 million in 2007. Compensation expense related to employee stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares and stock appreciation rights awards was \$6.3 million in 2009, \$5.2 million in 2008 and \$4.3 million in 2007. We expect to expense \$10.1 million related to director and employee stock options, restricted stock, and employee restricted stock units, performance shares and stock appreciation rights over the remainder of the respective one to ten year service periods.

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

Awards of dividend equivalents accompany the stock option grants beginning in 1996 on a one-for-one basis. For stock options granted prior to 2009, such dividend equivalents are payable in cash from the time the options are fully vested until such time as the corresponding stock option is exercised, based upon a formula approved by the Compensation Committee of the board of directors. For stock options granted in 2009, such dividend equivalents are payable in cash during the first three years after the date of grant, regardless of whether the stock options have been exercised, but dividend payments cease upon termination of employment. In addition, dividend equivalents are paid on restricted stock and restricted stock units prior to vesting. ASC 718 provides that payments related to the dividend equivalents are treated as dividends. If an employee were to leave before all restricted stock or restricted stock units had vested, any dividend equivalents previously paid on the unvested shares or units would be expensed.

14. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Certain of our share-based payment awards are considered participating securities which requires the use of the two-class method for the computation of basic and diluted EPS.

Diluted EPS also includes the effect of any potential shares outstanding, which for us is comprised of dilutive stock options, other share-settled compensation plans and, if the effect is dilutive, Series B Preferred Stock and/or OP Units. There were no stock options that would not be dilutive for any period presented. The calculation below excludes 7,000, 297,000 and 268,000 stock appreciation rights that would not be dilutive for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Series B Preferred Stock is not dilutive for any period presented. The table below details the components of the basic and diluted EPS calculations:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Numerator:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 125,194	\$ 106,761	\$ 130,368
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(668)	131	212
Net income attributable to participating securities	(816)	(221)	(251)
Undistributed earnings attributable to participating securities		(478)	(602)
Series B preferred stock dividends	(5,350)	(7,637)	(13,434)
Numerator for Basic and Diluted EPS from continuing operations	\$ 118,360	\$ 98,556	\$ 116,293
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 23,864	\$ 161,246	\$ 93,878
Numerator for Basic and Diluted EPS from discontinued operations	\$ 23,864	\$ 161,246	\$ 93,878
Denominator:			
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	106,329	97,246	90,625

Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options	75	100	79
Other share-settled compensation plans	349	335	283
OP Units	1,794	1,082	
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	108,547	98,763	90,987

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Basic earnings per share amounts:			
Income from continuing operations attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 1.11	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.28
Discontinued operations attributable to NHP common stockholders	0.23	1.66	1.04
Net income attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 1.34	\$ 2.67	\$ 2.32
Diluted earnings per share amounts:			
Income from continuing operations attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.28
Discontinued operations attributable to NHP common stockholders	0.22	1.63	1.03
Net income attributable to NHP common stockholders	\$ 1.31	\$ 2.63	\$ 2.31

15. Transactions with Significant Lessees

As of December 31, 2009, 96 triple-net leased facilities are leased to and operated by subsidiaries of Brookdale. Revenues from Brookdale were \$55.0 million, \$54.9 million and \$54.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. At December 31, 2009, Brookdale accounted for 15.2% of our revenues.

In February 2009, we entered into an agreement with Brookdale under which we became a lender with an initial commitment of \$8.8 million (\$2.9 million at December 31, 2009) under their original \$230.0 million revolving loan facility (\$75.0 million at December 31, 2009), which is scheduled to mature on August 31, 2010 (see Note 4). During 2009, we funded \$7.5 million which was subsequently repaid. At December 31, 2009, there was no balance outstanding.

As of December 31, 2009, 32 triple-net leased facilities are leased to and operated by Hearthstone. Revenues from Hearthstone were \$37.4 million, \$37.3 million and \$37.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. At December 31, 2009, Hearthstone accounted for 10.8% of our revenues.

16. Discontinued Operations

ASC 360 requires the operating results of any assets with their own identifiable cash flows that are disposed of or held for sale and in which we have no continuing interest be removed from income from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations. The operating results for any such assets for any prior periods presented must also be reclassified as discontinued operations. If we have a continuing involvement, as in the sales to our

unconsolidated joint venture, the operating results remain in continuing operations. See Note 3 and Note 7 for more

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

detail regarding the facilities sold and classified as held for sale during 2009. The following table details the operating results reclassified to discontinued operations for the periods presented:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(In thousands)		
Rental income	\$ 802	\$ 10,006	\$ 36,179
Interest and other income	19	5	625
	821	10,011	36,804
Interest and amortization of deferred financing costs		1,004	4,340
Depreciation and amortization	865	2,732	10,808
General and administrative		8	(179)
Medical office building operating expenses		16	26
	865	3,760	14,995
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(44)	6,251	21,809
Gain on sale of facilities, net	23,908	154,995	72,069
Discontinued operations	\$ 23,864	\$ 161,246	\$ 93,878

17. Derivatives

During January 2008, the unconsolidated joint venture we have with a state pension fund investor entered into an interest rate swap contract (see Notes 6 and 18).

During August and September 2007, we entered into four six-month Treasury lock agreements totaling \$250.0 million at a weighted average rate of 4.212%. We entered into these Treasury lock agreements in order to hedge the expected interest payments associated with a portion of our October 2007 issuance of \$300.0 million of notes which mature in 2013. These Treasury lock agreements were settled in cash on October 17, 2007 for an amount equal to the present value of the difference between the locked Treasury rates and the unwind rate (equal to the then-prevailing Treasury rate less the forward premium or 4.364%). We reassessed the effectiveness of these agreements at the settlement date and determined that they were highly effective cash flow hedges under ASC 815 for \$250.0 million of the \$300.0 million of notes as intended. The prevailing Treasury rate exceeded the rates in the Treasury lock agreements and, as a result, the counterparties to those agreements made payments to us of \$1.6 million, which was recorded as other comprehensive income. The settlement amounts are being amortized over the life of the debt as a yield reduction. During 2009, we retired \$30.0 million of the \$300.0 million of senior notes (see Note 10). In connection with the retirement, \$0.1 million of the settlement amounts was expensed and is included in the net gain of

\$4.6 million which is reflected on our consolidated income statements as gain on debt extinguishment, net. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded \$0.4 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million of amortization, respectively. We expect to record \$0.3 million of amortization during 2010.

In June 2006, we entered into two \$125.0 million, two-month Treasury lock agreements in order to hedge the expected interest payments associated with a portion of our July 2006 issuance of \$350.0 million of notes which mature in 2011. These Treasury lock agreements were settled in cash on July 11, 2006, concurrent with the pricing of the \$350 million of notes, for an amount equal to the present value of the difference between the locked Treasury rates and the unwind rate. We reassessed the effectiveness of these agreements at the settlement date and determined that they were highly effective cash flow hedges under ASC 815 for \$250.0 million of the \$350.0 million of notes as intended. The prevailing Treasury rate exceeded the rates in the Treasury lock agreements and, as a result, the counterparty to those agreements made payments to us of \$1.2 million, which was recorded as other comprehensive

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

income. The settlement amounts are being amortized over the life of the debt as a yield reduction. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded \$0.2 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million of amortization, respectively. We expect to record \$0.3 million of amortization during 2010.

18. Comprehensive Income

During January 2008, the unconsolidated joint venture we have with a state pension fund investor entered into an interest rate swap contract (see Note 6). As of December 31, 2009, we had recorded our pro rata share of the unconsolidated joint venture's accumulated other comprehensive loss related to this contract of \$2.1 million.

We recorded the August and September 2007 Treasury lock agreements on our consolidated balance sheets at their estimated fair value of \$0.1 million at September 30, 2007. In connection with the settlement of the August and September 2007 Treasury lock agreements on October 17, 2007, we recognized a gain of \$1.6 million. The gain was recognized through other comprehensive income and is being amortized over the life of the related \$300.0 million of notes which mature in 2013 as a yield reduction. During 2009, we retired \$30.0 million of the \$300.0 million of senior notes (see Note 10). In connection with the retirement, \$0.1 million of the settlement amounts was expensed and is included in the net gain of \$4.6 million which is reflected on our consolidated income statements as gain on debt extinguishment, net. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded \$0.4 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million of amortization, respectively. We expect to record \$0.3 million of amortization during 2010.

We recorded the June 2006 Treasury lock agreements on our consolidated balance sheets at their estimated fair value of \$1.6 million at June 30, 2006. In connection with the settlement of the June 2006 Treasury lock agreements on July 11, 2006, we recognized a gain of \$1.2 million. The gain was recognized through other comprehensive income and is being amortized over the life of the related \$350.0 million of notes which mature in 2011 as a yield reduction. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded \$0.2 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million of amortization, respectively. We expect to record \$0.3 million of amortization during 2010.

ASC Topic 715, *Compensation - Retirement Benefits*, requires changes in the funded status of a defined benefit pension plan to be recognized through comprehensive income in the year in which they occur. During 2009 and 2008, we recognized other comprehensive loss of \$8,000 and \$0.2 million, respectively, and during 2007, we recognized other comprehensive income of \$0.1 million, related to the change in the funded status of our defined benefit pension plan.

The following table sets forth the computation of comprehensive income for the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Net income	\$ 149,058	\$ 268,007	\$ 224,246
Other comprehensive income:			
Pro rata share of accumulated other comprehensive loss from unconsolidated joint venture	(2,051)		

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Gain on Treasury lock agreements			1,557
Amortization of gains on Treasury lock agreements	(610)	(511)	(279)
Defined benefit pension plan net actuarial (loss) gain	(8)	(204)	52
Comprehensive income	146,389	267,292	225,576
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(668)	131	212
Comprehensive income attributable to NHP	\$ 145,721	\$ 267,423	\$ 225,788

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009**19. Income Taxes**

The provisions of ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes*, which clarify the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in financial statements and prescribe a recognition threshold and measurement attribute of tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return became effective January 1, 2007. No amounts have been recorded for unrecognized tax benefits or related interest expense and penalties. The taxable periods ending December 31, 2005 through December 31, 2009 remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and the tax authorities of the significant jurisdictions in which we do business.

Hearthstone Acquisition

On June 1, 2006, we acquired the stock of Hearthstone Assisted Living, Inc. (HAL), causing HAL to become a qualified REIT subsidiary. As a result of the acquisition, we succeeded to HAL's tax attributes, including HAL's tax basis in its net assets. Prior to the acquisition, HAL was a corporation subject to federal and state income taxes. In connection with the acquisition of HAL, NHP acquired approximately \$82.5 million of federal net operating losses (NOLs) which we can carryforward to future periods and the use of which is subject to annual limitations imposed by IRC Section 382. While we believe that these NOLs are accurate, any adjustments to HAL's tax returns for periods prior to June 1, 2006 by the Internal Revenue Service could change the amount of the NOLs that we can utilize. We have used a portion of this amount in 2007 and 2008 and anticipate using additional amounts in future years. These NOLs are set to expire between 2017 and 2025. NOLs related to various states were also acquired and are set to expire based on the various laws of the specific states.

In addition, we may be subject to a corporate-level tax on any taxable disposition of HAL's pre-acquisition assets that occurs within ten years after the June 1, 2006 acquisition. The corporate-level tax would be assessed only to the extent of the built-in gain that existed on the date of acquisition, based on the fair market value of the asset on June 1, 2006. We do not expect to dispose of any asset included in the HAL acquisition if such a disposition would result in the imposition of a material tax liability, and no such sales have taken place through December 31, 2009. Accordingly, we have not recorded a deferred tax liability associated with this corporate-level tax. Gains from asset dispositions occurring more than 10 years after the acquisition will not be subject to this corporate-level tax. However, we may dispose of HAL assets before the 10-year period if we are able to complete a tax-deferred exchange.

20. Dividends

Dividend payments per share to the common stockholders were characterized in the following manner for tax purposes:

	2009	2008	2007
Ordinary income	\$ 1.60	\$ 0.59	\$ 1.40
Return of capital	0.09		
Capital gain	0.07	1.17	0.24

Total dividends paid	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.64
----------------------	---------	---------	---------

21. Segment Information

Our operations are organized into two segments triple-net leases and multi-tenant leases. In the triple-net leases segment, we invest in healthcare related properties and lease the facilities to unaffiliated tenants under triple-net and generally master leases that transfer the obligation for all facility operating costs (including maintenance, repairs, taxes, insurance and capital expenditures) to the tenant. In the multi-tenant leases segment, we invest in healthcare related properties that have several tenants under separate leases in each building, thus requiring

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

active management and responsibility for many of the associated operating expenses (although many of these are, or can effectively be, passed through to the tenants). During 2009, 2008 and 2007, the multi-tenant leases segment was comprised exclusively of medical office buildings.

Non-segment revenues primarily consist of interest income on mortgages and unsecured loans and other income. Interest expense, depreciation and amortization and other expenses not attributable to individual facilities are not allocated to individual segments for purposes of assessing segment performance. Non-segment assets primarily consist of corporate assets including mortgages and unsecured loans, investment in unconsolidated joint ventures, cash, deferred financing costs and other assets not attributable to individual facilities.

Certain items in prior period financial statements have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation, including those required by ASC 360 which require the operating results of any assets with their own identifiable cash flows that are disposed of or held for sale and in which we have no continuing interest to be removed from income from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations. Summary information related to our reportable segments is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(In thousands)		
Revenues:			
Triple-net leases	\$ 295,757	\$ 283,052	\$ 265,895
Multi-tenant leases	68,319	60,287	16,061
Non-segment	26,436	24,980	21,266
	\$ 390,512	\$ 368,319	\$ 303,222
Net operating income(1):			
Triple-net leases	\$ 295,757	\$ 283,052	\$ 265,895
Multi-tenant leases	39,413	33,656	7,465
	\$ 335,170	\$ 316,708	\$ 273,360

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(In thousands)	
Assets:		
Triple-net leases	\$ 2,440,158	\$ 2,503,849
Multi-tenant leases	572,410	588,660

Non-segment	634,507	365,616
	\$ 3,647,075	\$ 3,458,125

- (1) Net operating income (NOI) is a non-GAAP supplemental financial measure used to evaluate the operating performance of our facilities. We define NOI for our triple-net leases segment as rent revenues. For our multi-tenant leases segment, we define NOI as revenues minus medical office building operating expenses. In some cases, revenue for medical office buildings includes expense reimbursements for common area maintenance charges. NOI excludes interest expense and amortization of deferred financing costs, depreciation and amortization expense, general and administrative expense and discontinued operations. We present NOI as it effectively presents our portfolio on a net rent basis and provides relevant and useful information as it measures the operating performance at the facility level on an unleveraged basis. We use NOI to make decisions about resource allocations and to assess the property level performance of our properties. Furthermore, we

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

believe that NOI provides investors relevant and useful information because it measures the operating performance of our real estate at the property level on an unleveraged basis. We believe that net income is the GAAP measure that is most directly comparable to NOI. However, NOI should not be considered as an alternative to net income as the primary indicator of operating performance as it excludes the items described above. Additionally, NOI as presented above may not be comparable to other REITs or companies as their definitions of NOI may differ from ours.

A reconciliation of net income, a GAAP measure, to NOI, a non-conforming GAAP measure, is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(In thousands)		
Net income	\$ 149,058	\$ 268,007	\$ 224,246
Interest and other income	(26,436)	(24,980)	(21,266)
Interest expense and amortization of deferred financing costs	93,630	101,045	97,639
Depreciation and amortization expense	124,264	116,375	89,986
General and administrative expense	27,353	26,051	24,636
Acquisition costs	830		
Income from unconsolidated joint ventures	(5,101)	(3,903)	(1,958)
Gain on debt extinguishment	(4,564)	(4,641)	
Gain on sale of facilities to unconsolidated joint venture, net			(46,045)
Gains on sale of facilities, net	(23,908)	(154,995)	(72,069)
Loss (income) from discontinued operations	44	(6,251)	(21,809)
Net operating income from reportable segments	\$ 335,170	\$ 316,708	\$ 273,360

22. Commitments and Contingencies***Litigation***

From time to time, we are a party to various other legal proceedings, lawsuits and other claims (some of which may not be insured) that arise in the normal course of our business. Regardless of their merits, these matters may force us to expend significant financial resources. Except as described herein, we are not aware of any other legal proceedings or claims that we believe may have, individually or taken together, a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial position. However, we are unable to predict the ultimate outcome of pending litigation and claims, and if our assessment of our liability with respect to these actions and claims is incorrect, such actions and claims could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial position.

In late 2004 and early 2005, we were served with several lawsuits in connection with a fire at the Greenwood Healthcare Center in Hartford, Connecticut, that occurred on February 26, 2003. At the time of the fire,

the Greenwood Healthcare Center was owned by us and leased to and operated by Lexington Healthcare Group. There were a total of 13 lawsuits arising from the fire. Those suits have been filed by representatives of patients who were either killed or injured in the fire. The lawsuits seek unspecified monetary damages. The complaints allege that the fire was set by a resident who had previously been diagnosed with depression. The complaints allege theories of negligent operation and premises liability against Lexington Healthcare, as operator, and us as owner. Lexington Healthcare has filed for bankruptcy. The matters have been consolidated into one action in the Connecticut Superior Court Complex Litigation Docket at the Judicial District at Hartford and are in various stages of discovery and motion practice. We have filed a motion for summary judgment with regard to certain pending claims and will be filing additional summary judgment motions for any remaining claims. Mediation was

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

commenced with respect to most of the claims, and a settlement has been reached in 10 of the 13 pending claims within the limits of our commercial general liability insurance. We obtained a judgment of nonsuit in one case whereby it is now dismissed, and the two remaining claims will be subject to summary judgment motions and ongoing efforts at resolution. Summary judgment rulings are not expected until late 2010.

Lexington Insurance, which potentially owes insurance coverage for these claims to us, has filed a lawsuit against us which seeks no monetary damages, but which does seek a court order limiting its insurance coverage obligations to us. We have filed a counterclaim against Lexington Insurance demanding additional insurance coverage from Lexington in amounts up to \$10.0 million. The parties to that case, which is pending on the Complex Litigation Docket for the Judicial District of Hartford, filed cross-motions for summary judgment. Those motions were recently decided, resulting in a favorable outcome for us. The court's ruling indicates \$10.0 million in coverage is available from Lexington for the claims under the Professional Liability part of the Lexington policy. The court, however, declined to consider our counterclaim that there was an additional \$10.0 million in coverage available to us under the comprehensive general liability part of the policy, ruling such a claim was premature. Lexington has appealed and filed post-judgment motions with the trial court. We have cross-appealed and filed our own post-judgment motions with the trial court in order to pursue the additional \$10.0 million on the comprehensive general liability part of the policy. We do not expect the appeal to be resolved before the end of 2010.

We are being defended in the matter by our commercial general liability carrier. We believe that we have substantial defenses to the claims and that we have adequate insurance to cover the risks, should liability nonetheless be imposed. However, because the remaining claims are still in the process of discovery and motion practice, it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of these claims.

Revolving Loan Facility

In February 2009, we entered into an agreement with one of our triple-net tenants, Brookdale under which we became a lender with an initial commitment of \$8.8 million (\$2.9 million at December 31, 2009) under their original \$230.0 million revolving loan facility (\$75.0 million at December 31, 2009), which is scheduled to mature on August 31, 2010 (see Note 4). During 2009, we funded \$7.5 million which was subsequently repaid. At December 31, 2009, there was no balance outstanding.

Lines of Credit

Under the terms of an agreement with PMB LLC, we agreed to extend to PMB LLC a \$10.0 million line of credit at an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 175 basis points to fund certain costs of PMB LLC with respect to the proposed development of multi-tenant medical office buildings. During 2009, we funded \$3.2 million under the line of credit which remained outstanding at December 31, 2009 and is included in the caption "Other assets" on our consolidated balance sheet.

In April 2009, we entered into an agreement with PMB LLC, the manager of PMB Pomona LLC, to extend up to \$3.0 million of funding at an interest rate of 7.25%, which is secured by 100% of the membership interests in PMB Pomona LLC (see Note 23). During 2009, we funded \$1.6 million which remained outstanding at December 31, 2009 and is included in the caption "Other assets" on our consolidated balance sheet.

Indemnities

We have entered into indemnification agreements with those partners who contributed appreciated property into NHP/PMB. Under these indemnification agreements, if any of the appreciated real estate contributed by the partners is sold by NHP/PMB in a taxable transaction within a specified number of years after the property was contributed, we will reimburse the affected partners for the federal and state income taxes associated with the pre-

Table of Contents

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
December 31, 2009

contribution gain that is specially allocated to the affected partner under the Code. We have no current plans to sell any of these properties.

23. Related Party Transactions

In August 2008, Dr. Jeffrey Rush became a director of NHP. In August 2008, we acquired for \$3.5 million a 44.95% interest in PMB SB, an entity that owns two multi-tenant medical office buildings (see Note 6). Dr. Rush, through an unaffiliated entity, has an ownership interest in PMB SB.

In September 2008, we funded a mortgage loan secured by a medical office building in the amount of \$47.5 million which was outstanding at December 31, 2009 (see Note 4). Dr. Rush has an ownership interest in another unaffiliated entity that owns the medical office building that is security for this loan. As of February 1, 2010, we acquired as intended the medical office building that served as collateral for this mortgage loan (see Note 25).

In February 2008, we entered into an agreement with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC to acquire a 50% interest in PMBRES, a full service property management company (see Note 6). Dr. Rush, through an unaffiliated entity, has an ownership interest in PMB Partners LLC which owns 50% of PMBRES.

We have also entered into an agreement with PMB Pomona LLC to acquire a medical office building currently in development for \$37.5 million upon completion which was amended as of February 1, 2010 to provide for the future acquisition of the medical office building by NHP/PMB (see Note 25). Dr. Rush, through an unaffiliated entity, has an ownership interest in PMB Pomona LLC. In April 2009, we entered into an agreement with PMB LLC, the manager of PMB Pomona LLC, to extend up to \$3.0 million of funding at an interest rate of 7.25%, which is secured by 100% of the membership interests in PMB Pomona LLC (see Note 22).

During 2009, NHP/PMB became obligated to pay \$3.0 million under the Contribution Agreement, of which \$2.7 million was payable to Pacific Medical Buildings LLC, 50% in cash and 50% in shares of our common stock (see Note 6). Dr. Rush is the Chairman of and owns an interest in Pacific Medical Buildings LLC. In addition, Dr. Rush and certain of his family members own interests, directly and indirectly through partnerships and trusts, in the entities that own the properties currently in development that may be acquired in the future under the Contribution Agreement.

24. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Amounts in the tables below may not add across due to rounding differences, and certain items in prior period financial statements have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation, including those required by ASC 360 which require the operating results of any assets with their own identifiable cash flows that are disposed of or held for sale and in which we have no continuing interest to be removed from income from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations.

Table of Contents**NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**
December 31, 2009

	Three Months Ended,			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
	(In thousands except per share amounts)			
2009:				
Revenues	\$ 97,083	\$ 97,143	\$ 97,656	\$ 98,631
Net income attributable to NHP common stockholders	49,154	33,299	29,692	30,895
Diluted income available to common stockholders per share	0.47	0.31	0.27	0.27
Dividends per share	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2008:				
Revenues	\$ 85,105	\$ 92,906	\$ 94,413	\$ 95,894
Income available to common stockholders	35,393	165,951	27,192	31,964
Diluted income available to common stockholders per share	0.37	1.69	0.27	0.31
Dividends per share	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized a \$4.6 million gain on debt extinguishment. During the three months ended December 31, 2008, we recognized a \$4.6 million gain on debt extinguishment.

25. Subsequent Events

As of February 1, 2010, we entered into an amendment to the Contribution Agreement which reinstated one of the six properties that were previously eliminated from the Contribution Agreement and acquired such medical office building per the terms of the amendment. As a result of such acquisition, we retired our \$47.5 million mortgage loan to a related party (see Note 23). Additionally, we acquired a majority ownership interest in a joint venture which owns one medical office building, amended and restated our development agreement with NHP/PMB, PMB LLC and PMBRES (see Note 5) and amended our agreement with PMB Pomona LLC to provide for the future acquisition by NHP/PMB of a medical office building currently in development (see Note 23). In connection with these transactions, NHP/PMB entered into a Third Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, which, among other things, authorized NHP/PMB to acquire properties affiliated with Pacific Medical Buildings LLC pursuant to agreements other than the Contribution Agreement. (see Note 5).

On January 18, 2010, we redeemed all outstanding shares of our Series B Preferred Stock at a redemption price per share of \$103.875 plus an amount equal to accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon to the redemption date (\$0.3875), for a total redemption price of \$104.2625 per share, payable only in cash (see Note 11). As a result of the redemption, each share of Series B Preferred Stock was convertible until January 14, 2010 into 4.5150 shares of common stock. During that time, 512,727 shares were converted into approximately 2,315,000 shares of common stock. On January 18, 2010, we redeemed 917 shares that remained outstanding at a redemption price of \$104.2625 per share.

From January 1, 2010 to February 16, 2010, we issued and sold approximately 635,000 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$35.03 per share through our at-the-market equity offering program (see Note 12).

On January 15, 2010, we filed a new shelf registration statement with the SEC under which we may issue securities including debt, convertible debt, common and preferred stock and warrants to purchase any of these securities. Our existing shelf registration statement was set to expire in May 2010. We also entered into new sales agreements, each dated January 15, 2010, to sell up to an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of our common stock from time to time (see Note 12).

On February 9, 2010, we exercised a 12-month extension option on a \$32.4 million loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2010 (see Note 10).

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE III

**REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Gross Amount at which</i>							
	<i>Company</i>	<i>Capitalized</i>		<i>Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>			<i>Original</i>				
	<i>Building and</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Accumulated</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>				
	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land(2)</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Land Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>		
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)										
and dent Living											
am	AL	\$ 13,653	\$	\$ 1,050	\$	\$ 1,050	\$ 13,653	\$ 14,703	\$ (1,644)	2000	2006
	AL	1,824		1,484		1,484	1,824	3,308	(691)	1987	1996
le	AL	2,447		197		197	2,447	2,644	(816)	1996	1996
e	AL	7,092		260		260	7,092	7,352	(972)	1999	2006
	AL	9,124		90		90	9,124	9,214	(1,180)	2000	2006
hoals	AL	5,933		314		314	5,933	6,247	(452)	1999	2007
ro	AL	2,566		210		210	2,566	2,776	(102)	1998	2008
	AR	1,968		182		182	1,968	2,150	(652)	1990	1998
	AZ	2,753	16	505		505	2,769	3,274	(788)	1998	1998
	AZ	16,204		1,440		1,440	16,204	17,644	(1,905)	1999	2006
	AZ	6,694		560		560	6,694	7,254	(931)	1999	2006
	CA	12,976	975	375		375	13,951	14,326	(1,839)	2004	2003
ael	CA	7,929	1,194	1,500		1,500	9,123	10,623	(4,232)	1984	1995
sta	CA	6,281	493	950		950	6,774	7,724	(2,597)	1989	1995
(3)	CA	5,017	666	1,000		1,000	5,683	6,683	(2,356)	1984	1995
Viejo(4)	CA	3,544	262	900		900	3,806	4,706	(1,520)	1985	1995
)	CA	3,658	6,917	2,500		2,500	10,575	13,075	(2,297)	1978	1995
sert	CA	6,179	4,701	1,400		1,400	10,880	12,280	(3,062)	1989	1994
	CA	3,801	985	1,320		1,320	4,786	6,106	(1,972)	1982	1995
Cucamonga(3)	CA	4,156	539	610		610	4,695	5,305	(1,846)	1987	1995
Mirage	CA	13,391	290	1,630		1,630	13,681	15,311	(1,134)	1999	2007
as	CA	3,577	776	1,700		1,700	4,353	6,053	(1,814)	1975	1995
	CA	7,252		850		850	7,252	8,102	(2,130)	1998	1996

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Capistrano(3)	CA	3,834	830	1,225	1,225	4,664	5,889	(1,712)	1985	1995
Capistrano	CA	6,344	620	700	700	6,964	7,664	(2,645)	1985	1995
ria	CA	2,649	118	1,500	1,500	2,767	4,267	(1,304)	1967	1995
	CA	3,701	904	350	350	4,605	4,955	(1,829)	1980	1996
ster	CA	4,883		2,350	2,350	4,883	7,233	(712)	2001	2005
	CO	7,923	66	919	919	7,989	8,908	(3,717)	1983	1995
	CO	4,811	14	833	833	4,825	5,658	(1,687)	1985	1995

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Gross Amount at which</i>				<i>Accumulated</i>	<i>Original</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>
		<i>Cost to</i>		<i>Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Improvements</i>				
<i>Company Capitalized</i>	<i>Building and</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Acquisition Land(2)</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>	
	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition Land(2)</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>		
<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>											
Over(5)	CO	28,682		2,350	2,350	28,682	31,032	(6,161)	1987	2002	
xford	CT	6,709	2,645	2,000	2,000	9,354	11,354	(1,565)	1999	2005	
idison	CT	16,032	1,400	4,000	4,000	17,432	21,432	(2,835)	2002	2004	
al Springs	FL	6,985	427	915	915	7,412	8,327	(850)	1999	2006	
Myers(6)	FL	5,206	33	415	415	5,239	5,654	(787)	1996	2005	
Walton	FL	6,372		694	694	6,372	7,066	(485)	2000	2007	
lywood	FL	9,887		1,994	1,994	9,887	11,881	(879)	1972	2007	
xsonville	FL	2,770	20	226	226	2,790	3,016	(852)	1997	1997	
xsonville(6)	FL	2,473	47	256	256	2,520	2,776	(376)	1997	2005	
sburg(6)	FL	3,239		301	301	3,239	3,540	(462)	1999	2005	
mond Beach(6)	FL	1,649	51	480	480	1,700	2,180	(246)	1997	2005	
m Coast	FL	2,580	38	406	406	2,618	3,024	(782)	1997	1997	
asacola	FL	5,667	1,238	408	408	6,905	7,313	(1,543)	1999	1998	
unda West	FL	2,628	28	123	123	2,656	2,779	(794)	1997	1997	
ahassee	FL	9,218	45	696	696	9,263	9,959	(2,380)	1999	1998	
ahassee	FL	1,679	2,072	450	450	3,751	4,201	(264)	1999	2006	
arac	FL	6,921	450	967	967	7,371	8,338	(817)	2000	2006	
mpa	FL	12,343		2,360	2,360	12,343	14,703	(1,357)	2001	2006	
ares	FL	2,466	6	156	156	2,472	2,628	(782)	1997	1997	
ssville	FL	4,706		1,742	1,742	4,706	6,448	(1,277)	1987	2000	
gusta	GA	3,820		568	568	3,820	4,388	(340)	1997	2007	
esboro	GA	8,776		1,320	1,320	8,776	10,096	(1,144)	2000	2006	
rietta	GA	6,002		1,350	1,350	6,002	7,352	(860)	2000	2006	
mel	IN	3,861	84	805	805	3,945	4,750	(2,024)	1998	1997	
yds Knobs	IN	8,945		740	740	8,945	9,685	(292)	2009	2008	
ensburg	IN	1,249	1	120	120	1,250	1,370	(169)	1999	2007	
anapolis	IN	4,267		750	750	4,267	5,017	(607)	1998	2006	

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Michigan City(6)	IN	4,069		245	245	4,069	4,314	(582)	1998	2005
Michigan City(6)	IN	3,331		370	370	3,331	3,701	(474)	1999	2005
Anticello	IN	2,697		270	270	2,697	2,967	(269)	1999	2007
by(6)	KS	1,463	57	269	269	1,520	1,789	(223)	1994	2005

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>	<i>Cost</i>		<i>Gross Amount at which</i>					
		<i>Company Capitalized</i>			<i>Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>		<i>Original</i>			
		<i>Building and</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Accumulated Depreciation</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>	
		<i>Improvements (2)</i>	<i>Acquisition (2)</i>	<i>Land (2)</i>	<i>Improvements (2)</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>	
(Dollar amounts in thousands)										
	KS	3,822		932	932	3,822	4,754	(1,115)	1995	1998
	KS	1,921		200	200	1,921	2,121	(612)	1996	1997
	KS	2,887		329	329	2,887	3,216	(1,744)	1989	1998
	KS	2,955	87	424	424	3,042	3,466	(1,718)	1986	1998
ton(6)	KS	1,006	56	11	11	1,062	1,073	(160)	1994	2005
n(7)	MA	12,780	5,123	1,000	1,000	17,903	18,903	(2,543)	1996	2006
own	MD	4,664	435	533	533	5,099	5,632	(1,253)	1999	1998
own Township(8)	MI	20,513		660	660	20,513	21,173	(2,346)	2000	2006
n(6)	MI	1,754	26	154	154	1,780	1,934	(267)	1997	2005
	MI	4,812	10	181	181	4,822	5,003	(730)	1998	2005
	MI	1,743	16	155	155	1,759	1,914	(264)	1998	2005
gton Hills(6)	MI	1,863	86	84	84	1,949	2,033	(293)	1994	2005
gton Hills	MI	2,014		95	95	2,014	2,109	(304)	1994	2005
blanc(6)	MI	4,135	70	375	375	4,205	4,580	(630)	1998	2005
blanc(6)	MI	4,048	68	375	375	4,116	4,491	(616)	1998	2005
(6)	MI	4,231	35	847	847	4,266	5,113	(628)	1998	2005
od	MI	12,255		880	880	12,255	13,135	(1,349)	2001	2006
	MI	7,582	68	697	697	7,650	8,347	(1,147)	1998	2005
	MI	7,986	90	1,046	1,046	8,076	9,122	(1,203)	1998	2005
	MI	5,102	33	245	245	5,135	5,380	(775)	1995	2005
	MN	8,893		400	400	8,893	9,293	(889)	2002	2006
rth	MN	6,339		500	500	6,339	6,839	(664)	1999	2006
t(6)	MN	1,328	29	121	121	1,357	1,478	(203)	1997	2005
o(6)	MN	1,064	25	90	90	1,089	1,179	(163)	1996	2005
na(6)	MN	1,762		60	60	1,762	1,822	(262)	1996	2005
na(6)	MN	2,239		70	70	2,239	2,309	(321)	1999	2005
apids(6)	MN	748	49	67	67	797	864	(118)	1997	2005

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

s	MN	10,423		900		900	10,423	11,323	(1,077)	2003	2006
(6)	MN	1,977	43	57		57	2,020	2,077	(305)	1997	2005
(6)	MN	1,436	36	65		65	1,472	1,537	(222)	1997	2005

102

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>		<i>Gross Amount at which</i>			<i>Original</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>	<i>Year</i>	
		<i>Company Capitalized</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Accumulated Depreciation</i>					
		<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Year</i>		
<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>											
Butler	MO	200		103	103	200	303	(18)	1995	2007	30
Cammar	MO	899		113	113	899	1,012	(80)	1996	2007	30
Evada	MO		83	253	253	83	336	(8)	1993	2007	0
Evada	MO			253	253		253		1996	2007	0
Greenville	MS	4,411		271	271	4,411	4,682	(336)	1999	2007	30
Heboro	NC	7,054		200	200	7,054	7,254	(727)	1998	2006	30
Hamerton	NC	13,713		300	300	13,713	14,013	(1,367)	1999	2006	30
Harrisburg	NC	10,472		300	300	10,472	10,772	(1,081)	1997	2006	30
Hendersonville	NC	12,183		400	400	12,183	12,583	(1,285)	2005	2006	30
Hickory	NC	2,531	11	385	385	2,542	2,927	(746)	1997	1998	40
Hillsborough	NC	12,755		400	400	12,755	13,155	(1,335)	2005	2006	30
Newton	NC	11,707		400	400	11,707	12,107	(1,190)	2000	2006	30
Salisbury	NC	11,902	500	300	300	12,402	12,702	(1,251)	1999	2006	30
Salby	NC	10,377		300	300	10,377	10,677	(1,073)	2000	2006	30
Southport	NC	12,283		300	300	12,283	12,583	(1,294)	2005	2006	30
Warleigh	ND	5,902		400	400	5,902	6,302	(573)	1994	2006	30
Wick	NJ	2,428		1,102	1,102	2,428	3,530	(430)	1999	2002	40
Weymouth	NJ	3,430	1	655	655	3,431	4,086	(965)	1998	1998	40
Albuquerque	NM	22,987		440	440	22,987	23,427	(2,599)	1998	2006	30
Arks(9)	NV	5,119		505	505	5,119	5,624	(1,755)	1991	1997	30
Arks(10)	NV	7,278		714	714	7,278	7,992	(2,183)	1993	1997	40
Centereach	NY	15,204	1,291	6,000	6,000	16,495	22,495	(3,497)	1973	2002	30
Canlius(6)	NY	10,080	48	500	500	10,128	10,628	(1,528)	1994	2005	30
Canstal	NY	10,394		750	750	10,394	11,144	(1,997)	1994	2004	30
Carberton(6)	OH	3,125	20	263	263	3,145	3,408	(472)	1997	2005	30
Chamblee(6)	OH	2,277	25	260	260	2,302	2,562	(344)	1997	2005	30
Chamblee	OH	2,311	3,975	215	215	6,286	6,501	(866)	1997	1997	40

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

oveport	OH	10,516		1,080	1,080	10,516	11,596	(1,144)	1998	2006	3
ncaster	OH	2,084	17	350	350	2,101	2,451	(594)	1998	1998	4
rain	OH	9,280		620	620	9,280	9,900	(1,196)	2000	2006	3
arion(6)	OH	2,676	78	210	210	2,754	2,964	(412)	1998	2005	3

103

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Gross Amount at which</i>			<i>Original Accumulated Depreciation</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>	<i>Life in years which the depreciation statement is computed (in years)</i>	
				<i>Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>	<i>Buildings and Improvements</i>	<i>Land</i>					<i>Total</i>
	<i>Company</i>	<i>Capitalized Subsequent and to</i>	<i>Acquisition Date</i>	<i>Land Improvements (2)</i>	<i>Land Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>	<i>Year</i>	
(Dollar amounts in thousands)											
Medina	OH	10,199		500	500	10,199	10,699	(1,136)	1995	2006	33
Medina	OH	11,809		900	900	11,809	12,709	(1,218)	2000	2007	33
St. Vernon	OH	9,952		760	760	9,952	10,712	(1,147)	2001	2006	33
Springdale	OH	2,092	16	440	440	2,108	2,548	(643)	1997	1997	40
Wesleyville	OH	12,421		830	830	12,421	13,251	(1,204)	1996	2007	33
Wartlesville(6)	OK	2,337	83	183	183	2,420	2,603	(362)	1997	2005	33
Wethany(6)	OK	1,212	77	114	114	1,289	1,403	(191)	1994	2005	33
Broken Arrow	OK	1,445	19	178	178	1,464	1,642	(473)	1996	1997	40
Broken Arrow	OK	15,954		1,200	1,200	15,954	17,154	(1,879)	1999	2006	33
Claverton(6)	OR	5,695		721	721	5,695	6,416	(731)	2000	2005	40
Claverton(6)	OR	3,923		499	499	3,923	4,422	(504)	2001	2005	40
Forest Grove	OR	3,152		401	401	3,152	3,553	(1,261)	1994	1995	33
Forest Grove	OR	4,647				4,647	4,647	(1,859)	1988	1995	33
McMinnville(11)	OR	3,976		760	760	3,976	4,736	(1,392)	1989	1995	40
Northdale(6)	OR	5,470		874	874	5,470	6,344	(699)	2000	2005	40
Northampton(6)	PA	2,533		310	310	2,533	2,843	(360)	1998	2005	33
Northampton	PA	2,706		194	194	2,706	2,900	(619)	1997	2002	33
Northampton	PA	2,262		196	196	2,262	2,458	(201)	1992	2007	30
Northampton	PA	264		103	103	264	367	(23)	1990	2007	30
Northampton	PA	2,078		163	163	2,078	2,241	(185)	1989	2007	30
Northampton	PA	9,159	276	653	653	9,435	10,088	(2,492)	1999	1998	40
Northampton	PA	1,500		107	107	1,500	1,607	(133)	1993	2007	30
Northampton	PA	4,534	288	413	413	4,822	5,235	(1,273)	1999	1998	40
Northampton	RI	8,417	108	1,200	1,200	8,525	9,725	(2,126)	2000	1998	40
Northampton	RI	9,612	29	477	477	9,641	10,118	(2,618)	2000	1998	40
Northampton	RI	9,155	97	1,200	1,200	9,252	10,452	(2,365)	1999	1998	40

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

inton	SC	2,560	87	87	2,560	2,647	(1,153)	1997	1998	20
oose Creek	SC	2,336	619	619	2,336	2,955	(534)	1998	2002	33
reenwood	SC	2,648	107	107	2,648	2,755	(1,192)	1997	1998	20
own	SD	3,125	400	400	3,125	3,525	(328)	1991	2006	33
own	SD	2,584	300	300	2,584	2,884	(281)	2000	2006	33

104

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to Cost</i>		<i>Gross Amount at which Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>					<i>Original Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>	
		<i>Company Capitalized</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>			
	<i>State</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>
<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>											
Coln	SD	8,273		700	700	8,273	8,973	(887)	2002	2006	
nington	SD	5,575		300	300	5,575	5,875	(544)	1997	2006	
lett	TN	12,069		870	870	12,069	12,939	(1,481)	1999	2006	
tol	TN	5,000	2,686	406	406	7,686	8,092	(1,584)	1999	1998	
ttanooga	TN	6,159		310	310	6,159	6,469	(876)	1999	2006	
Longmeadow	TN	18,208	9,973	1,360	1,360	28,181	29,541	(1,925)	1964	2008	
son	TN	5,146		50	50	5,146	5,196	(268)	2000	2008	
son City	TN	5,000	533	404	404	5,533	5,937	(1,376)	1999	1998	
oxville	TN	6,279		790	790	6,279	7,069	(706)	2001	2005	
mpphis	TN	8,180	84	629	629	8,264	8,893	(1,244)	1989	2007	
mpphis	TN	8,558	92	726	726	8,650	9,376	(1,243)	1985	2007	
mpphis	TN	5,259	38	412	412	5,297	5,709	(773)	1989	2007	
nfreesboro	TN	5,131	479	499	499	5,610	6,109	(1,404)	1999	1998	
hville	TN	5,999		960	960	5,999	6,959	(860)	1998	2006	
hville	TN	6,156		1,000	1,000	6,156	7,156	(876)	1999	2006	
yport	TN	6,116		423	423	6,116	6,539	(466)	2000	2007	
ngton	TX	4,349		3,100	3,100	4,349	7,449	(691)	1998	2006	
tin	TX	22,558		1,360	1,360	22,558	23,918	(2,555)	2000	2006	
ford(12)	TX	18,138		780	780	18,138	18,918	(2,103)	1999	2006	
roe	TX	17,898		1,510	1,510	17,898	19,408	(2,078)	1997	2006	
las	TX	3,524	785	308	308	4,309	4,617	(3,230)	1981	1994	
ton	TX	1,425	33	185	185	1,458	1,643	(472)	1996	1996	
is	TX	1,409	26	119	119	1,435	1,554	(466)	1996	1996	
e Worth	TX	10,417		640	640	10,417	11,057	(1,172)	2001	2005	
land	TX	12,931		890	890	12,931	13,821	(1,570)	1999	2006	
aston	TX	7,892		493	493	7,892	8,385	(2,269)	1998	1997	
aston	TX	7,194		1,235	1,235	7,194	8,429	(2,068)	1998	1997	

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

iston	TX	8,945	985	985	8,945	9,930	(2,404)	1999	1997
iston	TX	7,052	1,089	1,089	7,052	8,141	(1,895)	1999	1997
iston	TX	22,361	870	870	22,361	23,231	(2,535)	1999	2006
iston	TX	17,872	850	850	17,872	18,722	(2,075)	1998	2006

105

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

nton	WI	1,126	80	80	1,126	1,206	(111)	1991	2007	3
lahy	WI	1,859	220	220	1,859	2,079	(129)	2001	2008	3
t Longmeadow	WI	1,147	150	150	1,147	1,297	(100)	1999	2008	3
t Longmeadow	WI	716	116	116	716	832	(55)	1994	2008	3

106

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>		<i>Gross Amount at which</i>			<i>Original</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>	
		<i>Company Capitalized</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Accumulated Depreciation</i>				
		<i>Building and</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Land Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>	
<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>										
Longmeadow	WI	1,959		120	120	1,959	2,079	(134)	1998	2008
Longmeadow	WI	2,235		190	190	2,235	2,425	(148)	1998	2008
Lale	WI	16,391		2,185	2,185	16,391	18,576	(5,737)	1988	1997
Lale	WI	1,732		190	190	1,732	1,922	(155)	1999	2007
Lale	WI	1,732		190	190	1,732	1,922	(155)	1999	2007
field(13)	WI	20,540		1,500	1,500	20,540	22,040	(2,653)	1999	2004
and	WI	1,651		180	180	1,651	1,831	(171)	1985	2007
on	WI	2,751		270	270	2,751	3,021	(255)	2002	2007
son	WI	2,036		130	130	2,036	2,166	(155)	1997	2008
sha	WI	2,996		170	170	2,996	3,166	(275)	1996	2007
sha(6)	WI	615	54	17	17	669	686	(100)	1997	2005
sha	WI	706		114	114	706	820	(55)	1994	2008
sha	WI	822		133	133	822	955	(64)	1993	2008
omonee Falls(14)	WI	13,190		4,161	4,161	13,190	17,351	(4,617)	1989	1997
leton(6)	WI	1,866	48	155	155	1,914	2,069	(286)	1997	2005
oe	WI	1,348		160	160	1,348	1,508	(146)	1990	2007
ah	WI	1,296		304	304	1,296	1,600	(95)	2006	2008
ah(6)	WI	1,422	77	73	73	1,499	1,572	(224)	1996	2005
Creek	WI	1,732		190	190	1,732	1,922	(198)	1997	2007
omowoc	WI	3,831		300	300	3,831	4,131	(799)	1992	2004
aska(6)	WI	2,303	65	62	62	2,368	2,430	(357)	1995	2005
osh(6)	WI	1,046	86	61	61	1,132	1,193	(168)	1996	2005
ukee	WI	4,766		360	360	4,766	5,126	(455)	2001	2007
ancis(15)	WI	9,645		403	403	9,645	10,048	(1,739)	2001	2004
ancis	WI	2,465		190	190	2,465	2,655	(234)	2000	2007
ancis	WI	2,465		190	190	2,465	2,655	(234)	2000	2007
hton	WI	2,183		230	230	2,183	2,413	(215)	1992	2007

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Prairie(6)	WI	436	89	85	85	525	610	(76)	1994	2005
esha	WI	5,790		2,272	2,272	5,790	8,062	(2,364)	1978	1997
esha(16)	WI	9,411	1,827	2,765	2,765	11,238	14,003	(3,901)	1985	1997
vatososa(17)	WI	11,483		1,541	1,541	11,483	13,024	(1,621)	2005	2006

107

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

FL	1,760	3,382	1,503	1,503	5,142	6,645	(701)	1997
FL	1,833		77	77	1,833	1,910	(1,031)	1962
GA	3,180	600	562	562	3,780	4,342	(1,344)	1970
ID	777		15	15	777	792	(742)	1913
IL	2,703		127	127	2,703	2,830	(2,061)	1975
IL	2,689		30	30	2,689	2,719	(1,982)	1974

108

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

	<i>Initial Cost to</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Gross Amount at which</i>		<i>Original</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>			
<i>Company Capitalized</i>	<i>Building and</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Accumulated Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>			
<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>and Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>			
<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>										
ne	IN	1,904	4	150	150	1,908	2,058	(403)	1986	2007
ton	IN	6,440	20	330	330	6,460	6,790	(1,495)	1971	2007
mbus	IN	3,147	11	200	200	3,158	3,358	(526)	1988	2007
Longmeadow	IN	4,340		390	390	4,340	4,730	(390)	1975	2008
Longmeadow	IN	5,116		620	620	5,116	5,736	(444)	1967	2008
ler	IN	3,223		300	300	3,223	3,523	(286)	1973	2008
City	IN	5,377	261	100	100	5,638	5,738	(1,250)	1974	2007
ford City	IN	1,848	89	130	130	1,937	2,067	(403)	1988	2007
tington	IN	3,263	62	160	160	3,325	3,485	(660)	1987	2007
anapolis	IN	4,829	535	1,700	1,700	5,364	7,064	(485)	1968	2006
x	IN	1,412		300	300	1,412	1,712	(136)	1984	2008
renceburg	IN	3,834		720	720	3,834	4,554	(296)	1966	2008
aticello	IN	827		180	180	827	1,007	(112)	1988	2008
acie	IN	4,344	5	220	220	4,349	4,569	(988)	1976	2007
acie	IN	7,295	125	160	160	7,420	7,580	(1,412)	2001	2007
rsburg	IN	2,352	4	33	33	2,356	2,389	(1,574)	1970	1986
land	IN	5,313	56	240	240	5,369	5,609	(1,485)	1964	2007
mond	IN	2,520		114	114	2,520	2,634	(1,686)	1975	1986
e Haute	IN	3,245	227	330	330	3,472	3,802	(820)	1965	2007
t Springfield	IN	9,673		420	420	9,673	10,093	(688)	1968	2008
chester	IN	2,430	51	80	80	2,481	2,561	(492)	1986	2007
eville	KS	1,887		213	213	1,887	2,100	(1,053)	1977	1993
watha	KS	788	35	150	150	823	973	(479)	1974	1998
na	KS	2,463	335	27	27	2,798	2,825	(1,397)	1981	1994
eka	KS	1,137	58	100	100	1,195	1,295	(380)	1973	1998
hita	KS	3,168	26	200	200	3,194	3,394	(471)	1965	2004
s Center	KS	705		18	18	705	723	(412)	1967	2002

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

over	MA	10,177	3,414	2,000	2,000	13,591	15,591	(2,154)	1992	2006
hton	MA	9,694	533	2,000	2,000	10,227	12,227	(1,783)	1995	2006
vers	MA	7,244	1,192	366	366	8,436	8,802	(1,856)	1998	1999
Longmeadow	MA	16,462		700	700	16,462	17,162	(1,946)	1985	2006

109

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>		<i>Gross Amount at which</i>			<i>Original</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>	<i>Year</i>	
		<i>Company Capitalized</i>	<i>Subsequent</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Improvements</i>					<i>Accumulated</i>
		<i>Building and</i>	<i>to</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Year</i>	
		<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Year</i>
(Dollar amounts in thousands)											
Overhill	MA	5,734	3,620	660	660	9,354	10,014	(4,119)	1973	1993	3
Angston(7)	MA	4,890	3,484	2,000	2,000	8,374	10,374	(1,363)	1992	2006	3
Well	MA	3,945	4,677	2,500	2,500	8,622	11,122	(1,080)	1966	2006	3
Edham	MA	13,416	647	2,000	2,000	14,063	16,063	(2,223)	1996	2006	3
ding	MA	8,184	396	1,000	1,000	8,580	9,580	(1,616)	1988	2006	3
th Hadley	MA	7,250	1,105	1,000	1,000	8,355	9,355	(1,580)	1988	2006	3
ingfield(19)	MA	8,250	2,869	2,000	2,000	11,119	13,119	(1,099)	1987	2007	3
bury	MA	10,006	902	4,000	4,000	10,908	14,908	(1,833)	1997	2006	3
st Springfield	MA	9,432	2,544	580	580	11,976	12,556	(1,432)	1960	2006	3
braham	MA	4,473	396	1,000	1,000	4,869	5,869	(1,197)	1988	2006	3
rcester	MA	12,182	2,662	500	500	14,844	15,344	(2,194)	1970	2006	3
umberland	MD	5,260	600	150	150	5,860	6,010	(3,608)	1968	1985	3
gerstown	MD	4,316	170	215	215	4,486	4,701	(3,044)	1971	1985	3
stminster	MD	6,795	216	80	80	7,011	7,091	(4,697)	1973	1985	3
uth	MN	7,377	4,245	1,014	1,014	11,622	12,636	(4,115)	1971	1997	3
okins	MN	4,184	2,273	436	436	6,457	6,893	(3,289)	1961	1985	2
neapolis	MN	5,935	2,028	333	333	7,963	8,296	(5,076)	1941	1985	2
land	MO	3,281		670	670	3,281	3,951	(630)	1993	2005	3
umbia	MO	5,182		430	430	5,182	5,612	(981)	1994	2005	3
on	MO	1,892		330	330	1,892	2,222	(434)	1989	2005	3
hiphan	MO	4,943		120	120	4,943	5,063	(1,032)	1991	2005	3
syth	MO	5,472		230	230	5,472	5,702	(1,106)	1993	2005	3
ryville	MO	2,689		51	51	2,689	2,740	(1,844)	1972	1985	3
mour	MO	3,120		200	200	3,120	3,320	(607)	1990	2005	3
ex	MO	1,536		870	870	1,536	2,406	(384)	1991	2005	3
Louis	MO	1,953		1,370	1,370	1,953	3,323	(443)	1988	2005	3
Louis	MO	7,924		683	683	7,924	8,607	(2,113)	1954	2007	1

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Afford	MO	4,441		530	530	4,441	4,971	(877)	1995	2005
Anders	MO	2,969		350	350	2,969	3,319	(586)	1996	2005
Columbus	MS	3,520	197	750	750	3,717	4,467	(1,190)	1976	1998
Andersonville	NC	2,244		116	116	2,244	2,360	(1,539)	1979	1985

110

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Gross Amount at which</i>					<i>Life on which Deprecia in the Lates Income is Compu (in</i>		
		<i>Company Capitalized</i>		<i>Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>			<i>Original</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>	<i>Years</i>	
		<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Accumulated</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Years</i>		
		<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>	<i>Years</i>	
		<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>									
Arden	NV	3,294	355	740	740	3,649	4,389	(1,630)	1988	1991	40
Beacon	NY	20,710	293	1,000	1,000	21,003	22,003	(2,927)	2002	2006	35
Berkshire	NY	18,399	300	2,000	2,000	18,699	20,699	(2,561)	1996	2006	35
Highland	NY	13,992	276	1,500	1,500	14,268	15,768	(2,100)	1998	2006	35
Columbus	OH	4,333		343	343	4,333	4,676	(2,473)	1984	1991	40
Galion	OH	3,420	93	24	24	3,513	3,537	(2,671)	1967	1997	2
Warren	OH	7,489	266	450	450	7,755	8,205	(6,025)	1967	1997	2
Washington											
Court House	OH	4,086	166	356	356	4,252	4,608	(2,404)	1984	1991	40
Youngstown	OH	7,046	326	60	60	7,372	7,432	(5,534)	1962	1997	2
Grandfield	OK								1965	2007	
Lawton	OK	201	75	130	130	276	406	(39)	1968	2007	20
Lawton	OK	4,946	282	196	196	5,228	5,424	(691)	1985	2007	20
Temple	OK	1,405		23	23	1,405	1,428	(375)	1971	2007	10
Huttle	OK	1,489	340	35	35	1,829	1,864	(460)	1960	2007	10
Greensburg	PA	9,129		769	769	9,129	9,898	(2,435)	1971	2007	10
Kingston	PA	2,507		209	209	2,507	2,716	(334)	1995	2007	20
Leckville	PA	1,302		116	116	1,302	1,418	(174)	1991	2007	20
Beaufort(20)	SC	10,399		923	923	10,399	11,322	(1,127)	1970	2007	20
Wennettsville	SC	6,555		674	674	6,555	7,229	(1,165)	1958	2007	15
Conway	SC	10,423		1,158	1,158	10,423	11,581	(782)	1975	2007	30
Mt. Pleasant	SC	5,916		648	648	5,916	6,564	(1,052)	1977	2007	15
Delina	TN	861		150	150	861	1,011	(465)	1975	1993	30
Decatur	TN	3,329	27	193	193	3,356	3,549	(1,100)	1981	1998	35
Carrogate	TN	6,058		664	664	6,058	6,722	(808)	1990	2007	20
Wonesborough	TN	2,562	58	65	65	2,620	2,685	(1,387)	1982	1993	30
Madison	TN	6,415	500	1,120	1,120	6,915	8,035	(2,139)	1967	1998	35

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Daytown	TX	2,010	80	61	61	2,090	2,151	(1,045)	1970	1990	40
Daytown	TX	2,496	224	90	90	2,720	2,810	(1,319)	1975	1990	40
Center	TX	1,532	213	22	22	1,745	1,767	(849)	1972	1990	40
Clarksville	TX	3,075	174	210	210	3,249	3,459	(660)	1989	2005	35
DeSoto	TX	4,662	1,046	610	610	5,708	6,318	(1,087)	1987	2005	35

111

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Gross Amount at which</i>				<i>Original</i>	<i>Life on which Deprecia in the Lates Income is Comput (in</i>		
		<i>Company Capitalized</i>		<i>Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>			<i>Accumulated</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>	<i>Years</i>	
		<i>Building</i>	<i>Subsequent and to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Years</i>	
		<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land(2)</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>	
		(Dollar amounts in thousands)									
Lowery Mound	TX	4,873	41	1,211	1,211	4,914	6,125	(993)	1995	2002	35
Garland	TX	1,727	212	238	238	1,939	2,177	(946)	1970	1990	40
Garland	TX	6,474		750	750	6,474	7,224	(392)	2008	2008	30
Gilmer	TX	4,818	88	248	248	4,906	5,154	(1,511)	1990	1998	35
Houston	TX	4,262	301	408	408	4,563	4,971	(2,527)	1982	1990	30
Humble	TX	1,929	400	140	140	2,329	2,469	(1,089)	1972	1990	40
Huntsville	TX	2,037	32	135	135	2,069	2,204	(1,045)	1968	1990	40
Kirbyville	TX	2,533	258	350	350	2,791	3,141	(457)	1987	2006	35
Kinden	TX	2,520	75	25	25	2,595	2,620	(1,421)	1968	1993	30
Marshall	TX	6,291		265	265	6,291	6,556	(438)	2008	2008	30
McKinney	TX	4,797		1,263	1,263	4,797	6,060	(1,572)	1967	2000	30
McKinney	TX	4,737	170	756	756	4,907	5,663	(524)	2006	2006	35
Mt. Pleasant	TX	2,505	158	40	40	2,663	2,703	(1,443)	1970	1993	30
Pacogdoches	TX	1,211	43	135	135	1,254	1,389	(650)	1973	1990	40
New Boston	TX	2,366	172	44	44	2,538	2,582	(1,353)	1966	1993	30
Omaha	TX	1,579	92	28	28	1,671	1,699	(907)	1970	1993	30
San Antonio	TX	4,536				4,536	4,536	(972)	1988	2002	35
San Antonio	TX	2,320	399	308	308	2,719	3,027	(858)	1986	2004	35
Sherman	TX	2,075	87	67	67	2,162	2,229	(1,177)	1971	1993	30
Texarkana	TX	1,244		87	87	1,244	1,331	(1,126)	1983	1986	5
Trinity	TX	2,466	237	510	510	2,703	3,213	(449)	1985	2006	35
Waxahachie	TX	3,493	406	319	319	3,899	4,218	(2,042)	1976	1987	40
West Springfield	TX	6,245		534	534	6,245	6,779	(493)	2008	2008	30
Wharton	TX	2,596	269	380	380	2,865	3,245	(405)	1988	2006	35
Walt Lake City	UT	2,479	34	280	280	2,513	2,793	(370)	1972	2004	35
Wannandale	VA	7,752	603	487	487	8,355	8,842	(5,419)	1963	1985	35
Charlottesville	VA	4,620	337	362	362	4,957	5,319	(3,226)	1964	1985	35

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

mporia	VA	6,960	320	473	473	7,280	7,753	(1,215)	1971	2007	15
etersburg	VA	2,215	1,486	93	93	3,701	3,794	(1,519)	1972	1985	35
etersburg	VA	2,945	1,474	94	94	4,419	4,513	(2,020)	1976	1985	35
outh Boston	VA	1,335		176	176	1,335	1,511	(661)	1966	2007	1

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE III

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Gross Amount at which</i>							
	<i>Company</i>	<i>Capitalized</i>		<i>Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>			<i>Original</i>				
	<i>Building and</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>		<i>Accumulate</i>	<i>Construction</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>		
	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land(2)</i>	<i>Improvement</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>	
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)										
am	WA	8,526		620		620	8,526	9,146	(212)	1999	2008
	WA	7,045		830		830	7,045	7,875	(1,073)	1995	2004
ake	WA	4,307	1,326	304		304	5,633	5,937	(2,395)	1972	1994
ake	WA	2,385		164		164	2,385	2,549	(1,219)	1988	1994
	WA	5,752	182	1,223		1,223	5,934	7,157	(2,288)	1993	1994
	WA	4,682		327		327	4,682	5,009	(1,514)	1998	1997
er	WA	6,254		680		680	6,254	6,934	(953)	1991	2004
	WI	2,423	116	55		55	2,539	2,594	(1,679)	1963	1986
	WI	1,529	5	15		15	1,534	1,549	(1,024)	1970	1986
ay	WI	2,255		300		300	2,255	2,555	(1,508)	1965	1986
an	WI	1,697	22	348		348	1,719	2,067	(1,132)	1967	1986
is	WI	535		80		80	535	615	(357)	1960	1986
a	WI	13,546	1,850	2,196		2,196	15,396	17,592	(6,106)	1973	1997
in Dells	WI	1,697	1,517	81		81	3,214	3,295	(1,377)	1972	1986
	WV	3,006		100		100	3,006	3,106	(776)	1987	2004
ood	WV	2,986		250		250	2,986	3,236	(756)	1987	2004
arleston	WV	4,907		750		750	4,907	5,657	(1,360)	1987	2004
lphur	WV	2,894		250		250	2,894	3,144	(766)	1987	2004
	WY	5,816		930		930	5,816	6,746	(1,328)	1994	2004
	WY	4,401		836		836	4,401	5,237	(991)	1989	2004
		746,909	68,879	82,219		82,219	815,788	898,007	(230,856)		
ing Care ent nities:											
	AZ	7,039	3,868	1,980		1,980	10,907	12,887	(2,255)	1992	2002

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

ough	CO	2,716		400	400	2,716	3,116	(1,426)	1979	1994
	FL	8,508	2,625	910	910	11,133	12,043	(6,593)	1972	2002
	MA	2,512	11,844	300	300	14,356	14,656	(3,799)	1968	1998
	ME	10,502		400	400	10,502	10,902	(1,297)	1982	2007
	ME	15,590		800	800	15,590	16,390	(1,602)	1990	2007
	ME	10,749		1,300	1,300	10,749	12,049	(1,033)	2000	2007

113

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

	<i>Initial Cost to</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Gross Amount at which</i>					<i>Accumulated Depreciation</i>	<i>Original Construction Date</i>	<i>Date Acquired</i>
			<i>Company Capitalized</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Total</i>			
	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land (D)</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>
<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>										
	OK	7,267	951	500	500	8,218	8,718	(1,120)	1981	2007
	TN	3,004		174	174	3,004	3,178	(701)	1974	2000
Christi	TX	15,430	13,591	1,848	1,848	29,021	30,869	(9,393)	1985	1997
		83,317	32,879	8,612	8,612	116,196	124,808	(29,219)		
City Hospitals:										
le	AZ	5,924	195	242	242	6,119	6,361	(3,278)	1986	1988
	AZ	9,435		1,275	1,275	9,435	10,710	(4,147)	1992	1992
	CA	3,715		700	700	3,715	4,415	(759)	2000	2004
	CA	33,092		1,800	1,800	33,092	34,892	(6,273)	1991	2004
	TX	3,772		900	900	3,772	4,672	(915)	1992	2004
	TX	3,272	8,207	1,097	1,097	11,479	12,576	(1,260)	1999	2004
odlands	TX	2,472		100	100	2,472	2,572	(603)	1995	2004
		61,682	8,402	6,114	6,114	70,084	76,198	(17,235)		
Net Medical Buildings:										
lle(21)	AL	11,061		5,645	5,645	11,061	16,706	(952)	1994	2007
ista(22)	CA	18,108		4,080	4,080	18,108	22,188	(619)	2005	2008
ngmeadow	FL	2,244		280	280	2,244	2,524	(94)	1993	2008
ngmeadow	FL	3,433		1,010	1,010	3,433	4,443	(143)	1984	2008
ngmeadow	FL	2,786		950	950	2,786	3,736	(116)	1987	2008
ood	FL	2,314		1,220	1,220	2,314	3,534	(96)	1992	2008
rs	FL	2,109		1,930	1,930	2,109	4,039	(88)	1989	2008
	FL	2,736		1,000	1,000	2,736	3,736	(98)	1999	2008

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

lotte	FL	2,541	1,700	1,700	2,541	4,241	(106)	1985	2008
	FL	2,948	2,000	2,000	2,948	4,948	(123)	1996	2008
	FL	2,642	1,700	1,700	2,642	4,342	(110)	1997	2008
(23)	IN	2,743	107	107	2,743	2,850	(198)	1994	2007
(23)	IN	1,676	93	93	1,676	1,769	(121)	1997	2007
aka(24)	IN	6,741	1,023	1,023	6,741	7,764	(487)	1993	2007
end(25)	IN	3,013	328	328	3,013	3,341	(218)	1996	2007

114

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>		<i>Gross Amount at which</i>				<i>Original</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>
		<i>Cost</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>			
<i>Company Capitalized</i>		<i>Building and</i>		<i>Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>				<i>Construction</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>
		<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land(2)</i>	<i>Improvement Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired</i>
<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>										
	MD	1,717				1,717	1,717	(72)	1994	2008
ngmeadow	MI	2,748		180	180	2,748	2,928	(115)	1997	2008
n Heights	MI	2,546		180	180	2,546	2,726	(91)	2002	2008
a	TX	21,955		1,000	1,000	21,955	22,955	(2,121)	2006	2007
		96,061		24,426	24,426	96,061	120,487	(5,968)		
Office										
Buildings:										
	CA	23,031	2,505			25,536	25,536	(1,035)	2004	2008
Valley(26)	CA	5,003	472			5,475	5,475	(241)	1998	2008
d(28)	CA	15,811	1,301			17,112	17,112	(958)	1993	2008
riel(29)	CA	16,135	1,288			17,423	17,423	(609)	2004	2008
larita(30)	CA	26,284	2,681	6,870	374	7,244	28,965	(1,070)	2005	2008
e	CA	7,198	1,318	2,980	173	3,153	8,516	(600)	1989	2008
c(31)	FL	4,704	169	1,492		1,492	4,873	(339)	1980	2007
(32)	GA	2,061	548		12	12	2,609	(397)	1972	2006
(32)	GA	2,359	621	587	324	911	2,980	(486)	1983	2006
2)	GA	891	36		198	198	927	(157)	1940	2006
Grove(31)	IL	1,383	39	1,031	30	1,061	1,422	(103)	1992	2007
ke(31)	IL	2,429	136	2,198		2,198	2,565	(185)	1996	2007
(31)	IL	1,436	3	126		126	1,439	(125)	2005	2007
(31)	IL	1,418	7	176		176	1,425	(106)	2002	2007
(31)	IL	821		72		72	821	(55)	2002	2007
(31)	IL	5,445	3	492		492	5,448	(366)	2001	2007
(31)	IL	1,489	10	147		147	1,499	(100)	1996	2007
ville(31)	IL	5,066	155	153	37	190	5,221	(317)	1990	2007

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

ville(31)	IL	2,598	25	10		10	2,623	2,633	(141)	1980	2007
ville(31)	IL	3,301		336		336	3,301	3,637	(219)	1988	2007
Lake(31)	IL	891	19	1,956		1,956	910	2,866	(86)	1984	2007
Hills(31)	IL	946	18	1,914	35	1,949	964	2,913	(106)	1986	2007
on(32)	LA	6,026	763	0	11	11	6,789	6,800	(846)	1994	2006
e(32)	LA	972	105	0	36	36	1,077	1,113	(151)	1984	2006

115

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

		<i>Initial Cost to</i>		<i>Cost</i>		<i>Gross Amount at which</i>		<i>Accumulated</i>	<i>Original</i>	<i>Construction Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>
		<i>Company Capitalized</i>	<i>Subsequent to</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings and</i>	<i>Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>				
<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Acquired Year</i>		
<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>											
ette(32)	LA	2,145	307	30	30	2,452	2,482	(387)	1984	2006	
ville(32)	LA	1,111	113	35	35	1,224	1,259	(181)	1987	2006	
rie(32)	LA	3,729	477	31	31	4,206	4,237	(544)	1986	2006	
rie(32)	LA	747	366	21	21	1,113	1,134	(230)	1980	2006	
l(32)	LA	1,720	580	1,421	1,421	2,300	3,721	(254)	1986	2007	
l(32)	LA	1,790	534	1,314	1,314	2,324	3,638	(246)	1990	2007	
d	MO	1,371	19	874	874	1,390	2,264	(82)	1999	2007	
n	MO	1,737	103			1,840	1,840	(106)	2003	2007	
ouis	MO	14,362	956			15,318	15,318	(925)	2003	2007	
ouis	MO	12,416	219			12,635	12,635	(857)	1993	2007	
ouis	MO	4,032	117			4,149	4,149	(292)	1975	2007	
ouis	MO	5,052	114			5,166	5,166	(344)	1980	2007	
ouis	MO	2,549	75	1,364	1,364	2,624	3,988	(268)	1983	2007	
erson(33)	NV	23,418	1,701			25,119	25,119	(920)	1999	2008	
(34)	NV	10,988	1,662	1,254	1,254	12,650	13,904	(2,089)	2004	2008	
mbus(31)	OH	10,738	27	698	698	10,765	11,463	(674)	1999	2007	
oro(35)	OR	28,480	2,625			31,105	31,105	(1,125)	2003	2008	
(36)	SC	8,754	13	2,177	2,177	8,767	10,944	(529)	2004	2007	
erboro(32)	SC	2,033	200	10	10	2,233	2,243	(321)	1998	2006	
r(32)	TN	3,862	113	7	7	3,975	3,982	(424)	1998	2006	
nsville(32)	TX	381	5	351	351	386	737	(73)	1989	2006	
o(32)	TX	885	68	210	210	953	1,163	(208)	1996	2006	
on(32)	TX	1,341	968	260	71	331	2,309	(445)	1982	2006	
on(32)	TX	858	512	5	5	1,370	1,375	(113)	1982	2006	
r(32)	TX	270	12	195	62	257	282	(51)	1995	2006	
field(32)	TX	1,038	115	152	152	1,153	1,305	(190)	1998	2006	
tiansburg(32)	VA	649	257	71	22	93	906	(94)	1997	2006	

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

othian(32)	VA	252	100	190	83	273	352	625	(84)	1985	2006
mond(32)	VA	3,038	1,051	4		4	4,089	4,093	(497)	1976	2006
ouver	WA	31,554	343				31,897	31,897	(1,990)	2001	2007
ouver	WA	6,379	13				6,392	6,392	(347)	1972	2007

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

<i>Initial Cost</i>	<i>to</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Gross Amount at which</i>					<i>Original</i>							
<i>Company</i>	<i>Capitalized</i>		<i>Carried at Close of Period(1)</i>			<i>Accumulated</i>	<i>Construction</i>								
<i>Building and</i>	<i>Subsequent</i>		<i>Land</i>	<i>Buildings</i>	<i>and</i>		<i>Date</i>								
<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Acquisition</i>	<i>Land(2)</i>	<i>Improvement</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Improvements</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Depreciation</i>	<i>Date</i>							
(Dollar amounts in thousands)															
WA	29,518	58			29,576	29,576	(2,076)	1980							
WA	11,615	28			11,643	11,643	(616)	1999							
WA	8,376		699	699	8,376	9,075	(405)	1994							
WA	4,223		2,969	2,969	4,223	7,192	(242)	1995							
WA	871		1,068	1,068	871	1,939	(57)	1997							
	379,980	26,073	35,833	1,585	37,418	406,053	443,471	(27,084)							
\$	2,888,036	\$	200,147	\$	316,872	\$	1,585	\$	318,457	\$	3,088,183	\$	3,406,640	\$	(585,294)

(1) Also represents the approximate cost for federal income tax purposes.

(2) Gross amount at which land is carried at close of period also represents initial costs to the Company.

(3) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$25,685,179 at December 31, 2009.

(4) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$6,379,516 at December 31, 2009.

(5) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$25,611,462 at December 31, 2009.

(6) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$53,889,137 at December 31, 2009.

(7) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$13,617,061 at December 31, 2009.

(8) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$9,868,018 at December 31, 2009.

- (9) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$2,582,517 at December 31, 2009.
- (10) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$2,247,075 at December 31, 2009.
- (11) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$2,626,882 at December 31, 2009.
- (12) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$8,157,458 at December 31, 2009.
- (13) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$8,785,735 at December 31, 2009.
- (14) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$8,370,547 at December 31, 2009.
- (15) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$6,000,000 at December 31, 2009.
- (16) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$5,289,249 at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

- (17) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$6,600,000 at December 31, 2009.
- (18) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$5,150,000 at December 31, 2009.
- (19) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$5,109,219 at December 31, 2009.
- (20) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$4,775,774 at December 31, 2009.
- (21) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$6,342,688 at December 31, 2009.
- (22) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$16,000,000 at December 31, 2009.
- (23) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$2,155,356 at December 31, 2009.
- (24) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$3,807,169 at December 31, 2009.
- (25) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$1,567,104 at December 31, 2009.
- (26) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$14,149,662 at December 31, 2009.
- (27) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$2,870,208 at December 31, 2009.
- (28) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$9,726,100 at December 31, 2009.
- (29) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$9,813,982 at December 31, 2009.
- (30) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$23,707,530 at December 31, 2009.
- (31) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$46,352,315 at December 31, 2009.
- (32) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$44,408,988 at December 31, 2009.
- (33) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$12,663,819 at December 31, 2009.
- (34) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$8,024,739 at December 31, 2009.
- (35) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$20,994,567 at December 31, 2009.
- (36) Real estate is security for notes payable in the aggregate of \$8,127,187 at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III**

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.
DECEMBER 31, 2009

	Real Estate Properties (Dollar amounts in thousands)	Accumulated Depreciation
Balances at December 31, 2006	\$ 2,848,787	\$ 372,201
Acquisitions	661,801	92,325
Improvements and Construction	17,719	3,497
Sales and Transfers to Assets Held for Sale	(330,331)	(57,158)
Balances at December 31, 2007	3,197,976	410,865
Acquisitions	375,724	103,221
Improvements and Construction	45,544	4,147
Sales and Transfers to Assets Held for Sale	(219,031)	(28,121)
Balances at December 31, 2008	3,400,213	490,112
Acquisitions		
Improvements and Construction	34,298	109,104
Sales and Transfers to Assets Held for Sale	(27,871)	(13,922)
Balances at December 31, 2009	\$ 3,406,640	\$ 585,294

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures.*

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial and Portfolio Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial and Portfolio Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the quarterly period covered by this report. No change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such item is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the company's management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial and Portfolio Officer, we assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. Based on our assessment we believe that, as of December 31, 2009, the company's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) occurred during the fourth quarter of 2009 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Nationwide Health Properties, Inc.

We have audited Nationwide Health Properties, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Nationwide Health Properties, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009 of Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. and our report dated February 17, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Irvine, California

February 17, 2010

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 9B. *Other Information.*

None.

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.*

The information required by this item is presented (i) under the captions Executive Officers of the Company and Business Code of Conduct & Ethics in Item 1 of this report, and (ii) in our definitive proxy statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2010, under the captions Directors Standing for Election, Directors Continuing in Office, Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, Stockholder Proposals for the 2011 Annual Meeting, Audit Committee and Board Composition, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation.*

The information required by this item is presented under the captions How are directors compensated?, Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, Compensation Committee Report and Executive Compensation in our definitive proxy statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2010, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.*

The information required by this item is presented under the caption Stock Ownership in our definitive proxy statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2010, and is incorporated herein by reference.

The information required by this item is presented under the caption Equity Compensation Plans in Item 5 of this report, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.*

The information required by this item is presented under the captions Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation and Board Composition in our definitive proxy statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2010, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services.*

The information required by this item is presented under the caption Audit Fees in our definitive proxy statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2010, and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.****(a)(1) Financial Statements.**

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	58
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	59
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u>	60
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u>	61
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u>	62
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	63
(2) Financial Statement Schedules	
<u>Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u>	119

All other schedules have been omitted because the required information is not significant or is included in the financial statements or notes thereto, or is not applicable.

(b) Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of February 25, 2008, by and among the Company, Pacific Medical Buildings LLC (PMB), and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.(1)
2.2	First Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of March 10, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.(2)
2.3	Due Diligence Waiver and Second Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of March 14, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.4	Third Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of March 26, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.4 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.5	Fourth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of March 28, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.5 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.6	

Fifth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of April 22, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.6 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.

- 2.7 Sixth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of May 12, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.7 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
2.8	Seventh Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of June 24, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.8 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.9	Eighth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of July 25, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.9 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.10	Ninth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of August 27, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.10 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.11	Tenth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of October 21, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.11 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.12	Eleventh Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of June 1, 2009, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 1, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.13	Twelfth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of February 1, 2010, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as 2.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated February 5, 2010, and incorporated herein by this reference.
3.1	Charter of the Company, filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated August 1, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
3.2	Bylaws of the Company, as amended and restated on February 10, 2009, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated February 17, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference.
4.1	Indenture dated as of August 19, 1997, between the Company and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-32135) dated July 25, 1997, and incorporated herein by this reference.
4.2	Indenture, dated July 14, 2006, between the Company and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated July 14, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
4.3	Form of 6.50% Note Due 2011, filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated July 14, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
4.4	Specimen Common Stock Certificate, filed as Exhibit 4.6 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-127366) dated August 9, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference.
4.5	Indenture, dated October 19, 2007, between the Company and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 19, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.1	1989 Stock Option Plan of the Company, as Amended and Restated April 20, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2001, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.2	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the 1989 Stock Option Plan of the Company, as Amended and Restated April 20, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.3(a)	

Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, filed as Appendix B to the Company's Proxy Statement filed with the Commission pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act on March 24, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference.*

- 10.3(b) First Amendment to the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, dated October 28, 2008, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.4(a)	Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. Retirement Plan for Directors, as Amended and Restated April 20, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.4(b)	Amendment to the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. Retirement Plan for Directors, as Amended and Restated April 20, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.5	Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan of the Company, dated October 28, 2008, filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.6	Form of Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Election and Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.7	Form of Deferred Compensation Election and Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.8(a)	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 20, 2005, among the Company, the Lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and 23 additional banks, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.8(b)	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2006, among the Company, the Lender party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and 20 additional banks, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 18, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.9	Form of Indemnity Agreement for certain officers and directors of the Company, filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.10	Executive Employment Security Policy, as Amended and Restated April 20, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2001, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.11	Form of Change in Control Agreement with certain officers of the Company, filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.12	Retirement and Severance Agreement, dated April 16, 2004, by and between the Company and R. Bruce Andrews, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.13	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2008, by and between the Company and Douglas M. Pasquale, filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.14	Separation Agreement, dated April 5, 2005, by and between the Company and Mark L. Desmond, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated April 5, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.15	Amended and Restated Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2008, by and between the Company and Douglas M. Pasquale, filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.16	Form of Stock Unit Award Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008,

- and incorporated herein by this reference.*
- 10.17 Form of Stock Appreciation Rights Award Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
- 10.18 Form of Performance Share Award Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
- 10.19 Amended and Restated Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2008, by and between the Company and Abdo H. Khoury, filed as Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.20	Amended and Restated Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2008, by and between the Company and Donald D. Bradley, filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.21	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.22(a)	Master Lease Agreement, dated May 31, 2006, by and among the Company and the other entities listed on Schedule I thereto, filed as Exhibit 2.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 6, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.22(b)	First Amendment to Master Lease and Letter of Credit Agreement and Consent of Guarantor, dated June 29, 2006 by and among the Company, the entities listed on the signature pages thereto as Tenant, and Hearthstone Senior Services, L.P., filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K/A dated June 30, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.23	Guaranty of Obligations, dated as of September 18, 2008, by and among Jeffrey L. Rush, Mark D. Toothacre, Elizabeth A. Powell, Kimberly B. Cochrane and Robert A. Rosenthal, as guarantors, and the Company, filed as Exhibit 10.28 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.24(a)	Form of Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NHP/PMB L.P., filed as Exhibit T to the Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.24(b)	First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NHP/PMB L.P., dated as of May 12, 2008, filed as Exhibit 10.29(b) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.24(c)	Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NHP/PMB L.P., dated as of February 9, 2009, filed as Exhibit 10.29(c) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.24(d)	Third Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NHP/PMB L.P., dated as of February 1, 2010.
10.25	Amended and Restated Pipeline Property Agreement, dated effective as of February 1, 2010, by and among, the Company, NHP/PMB L.P., PMB LLC and PMB Real Estate Services.
12	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
21	Subsidiaries of the Company.
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
31	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications of CEO and CFO.
32	Section 1350 Certifications of CEO and CFO.

(1) Exhibits D, E, P-2, V-1, V-2, W, X, Y and BB have been omitted but will be furnished supplementally to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

(2) Exhibit V-1 has been omitted but will be furnished supplementally to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this annual report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC.

By: */s/ Douglas M. Pasquale*
 Douglas M. Pasquale
*Chairman of the Board of Directors and
 President and Chief Executive Officer*

Dated: February 17, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<i>/s/ Douglas M. Pasquale</i> Douglas M. Pasquale	Chairman and President and Chief Executive Officer	February 17, 2010
<i>/s/ Abdo H. Khoury</i> Abdo H. Khoury	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial and Portfolio Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	February 17, 2010
<i>/s/ R. Bruce Andrews</i> R. Bruce Andrews	Director	February 17, 2010
<i>/s/ David R. Banks</i> David R. Banks	Director	February 17, 2010
<i>/s/ William K. Doyle</i> William K. Doyle	Director	February 17, 2010
<i>/s/ Richard I. Gilchrist</i> Richard I. Gilchrist	Director	February 17, 2010
<i>/s/ Charles D. Miller</i>	Director	February 17, 2010

Edgar Filing: NATIONWIDE HEALTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Charles D. Miller		
/s/ Robert D. Paulson	Director	February 17, 2010
Robert D. Paulson		
/s/ Jeffrey L. Rush	Director	February 17, 2010
Jeffrey L. Rush		
/s/ Keith P. Russell	Director	February 17, 2010
Keith P. Russell		
/s/ Jack D. Samuelson	Director	February 17, 2010
Jack D. Samuelson		

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of February 25, 2008, by and among the Company, Pacific Medical Buildings LLC (PMB), and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.(1)
2.2	First Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of March 10, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.2 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.(2)
2.3	Due Diligence Waiver and Second Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of March 14, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.3 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.4	Third Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of March 26, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.4 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.5	Fourth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of March 28, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.5 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.6	Fifth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of April 22, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.6 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.7	Sixth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of May 12, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.7 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.8	Seventh Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of June 24, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.8 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.9	Eighth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of July 25, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.9 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.10	Ninth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of August 27, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.10 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
2.11	Tenth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of October 21, 2008, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB s affiliates, filed as Exhibit 2.11 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.

- 2.12 Eleventh Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of June 1, 2009, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 1, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference.
 - 2.13 Twelfth Amendment to Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of February 1, 2010, by and among the Company, PMB, and certain of PMB's affiliates, filed as 2.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated February 5, 2010, and incorporated herein by this reference.
 - 3.1 Charter of the Company, filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated August 1, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
-

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
3.2	Bylaws of the Company, as amended and restated on February 10, 2009, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated February 17, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference.
4.1	Indenture dated as of August 19, 1997, between the Company and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-32135) dated July 25, 1997, and incorporated herein by this reference.
4.2	Indenture, dated July 14, 2006, between the Company and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated July 14, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
4.3	Form of 6.50% Note Due 2011, filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated July 14, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
4.4	Specimen Common Stock Certificate, filed as Exhibit 4.6 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-127366) dated August 9, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference.
4.5	Indenture, dated October 19, 2007, between the Company and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 19, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.1	1989 Stock Option Plan of the Company, as Amended and Restated April 20, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2001, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.2	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the 1989 Stock Option Plan of the Company, as Amended and Restated April 20, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.3(a)	Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, filed as Appendix B to the Company's Proxy Statement filed with the Commission pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act on March 24, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.3(b)	First Amendment to the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, dated October 28, 2008, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.4(a)	Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. Retirement Plan for Directors, as Amended and Restated April 20, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.4(b)	Amendment to the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. Retirement Plan for Directors, as Amended and Restated April 20, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.5	Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan of the Company, dated October 28, 2008, filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.6	Form of Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Election and Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.7	Form of Deferred Compensation Election and Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.8(a)	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 20, 2005, among the Company, the Lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and 23 additional banks, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, and

- incorporated herein by this reference.
- 10.8(b) First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2006, among the Company, the Lender party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and 20 additional banks, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 18, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 10.9 Form of Indemnity Agreement for certain officers and directors of the Company, filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
- 10.10 Executive Employment Security Policy, as Amended and Restated April 20, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2001, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
-

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.11	Form of Change in Control Agreement with certain officers of the Company, filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.12	Retirement and Severance Agreement, dated April 16, 2004, by and between the Company and R. Bruce Andrews, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.13	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2008, by and between the Company and Douglas M. Pasquale, filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.14	Separation Agreement, dated April 5, 2005, by and between the Company and Mark L. Desmond, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated April 5, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.15	Amended and Restated Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2008, by and between the Company and Douglas M. Pasquale, filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.16	Form of Stock Unit Award Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.17	Form of Stock Appreciation Rights Award Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.18	Form of Performance Share Award Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.19	Amended and Restated Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2008, by and between the Company and Abdo H. Khoury, filed as Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.20	Amended and Restated Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2008, by and between the Company and Donald D. Bradley, filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.21	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the Nationwide Health Properties, Inc. 2005 Performance Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference.*
10.22(a)	Master Lease Agreement, dated May 31, 2006, by and among the Company and the other entities listed on Schedule I thereto, filed as Exhibit 2.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 6, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.22(b)	First Amendment to Master Lease and Letter of Credit Agreement and Consent of Guarantor, dated June 29, 2006 by and among the Company, the entities listed on the signature pages thereto as Tenant, and Hearthstone Senior Services, L.P., filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K/A dated June 30, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.23	Guaranty of Obligations, dated as of September 18, 2008, by and among Jeffrey L. Rush, Mark D. Toothacre, Elizabeth A. Powell, Kimberly B. Cochrane and Robert A. Rosenthal, as guarantors, and the Company, filed as Exhibit 10.28 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
10.24(a)	Form of Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NHP/PMB L.P., filed as Exhibit T to the Formation and Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and incorporated

herein by this reference.

10.24(b) First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NHP/PMB L.P., dated as of May 12, 2008, filed as Exhibit 10.29(b) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.

10.24(c) Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NHP/PMB L.P., dated as of February 9, 2009, filed as Exhibit 10.29(c) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.24(d)	Third Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NHP/PMB L.P., dated as of February 1, 2010.
10.25	Amended and Restated Pipeline Property Agreement, dated effective as of February 1, 2010, by and among, the Company, NHP/PMB L.P., PMB LLC and PMB Real Estate Services.
12	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
21	Subsidiaries of the Company.
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
31	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications of CEO and CFO.
32	Section 1350 Certifications of CEO and CFO.

- (1) Exhibits D, E, P-2, V-1, V-2, W, X, Y and BB have been omitted but will be furnished supplementally to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.
- (2) Exhibit V-1 has been omitted but will be furnished supplementally to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.
- * Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.