

ULTRAPETROL BAHAMAS LTD
Form 6-K
August 11, 2011

FORM 6-K

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-16 OR 15D-16 UNDER THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the month of August 2011
Commission File Number: 001-33068

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED
(Translation of registrant's name into English)

Ocean Centre, Montagu Foreshore
East Bay St.
Nassau, Bahamas
P.O. Box SS-19084
(Address of principal executive office)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports
under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as
permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): ____

Note: Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1) only permits the submission in paper of a Form 6-K if submitted solely to
provide an attached annual report to security holders.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule
101(b)7: ____

Note: Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7) only permits the submission in paper of a Form 6-K if submitted to furnish a
report or other document that the registrant foreign private issuer must furnish and make public under the laws of the
jurisdiction in which the registrant is incorporated, domiciled or legally organized (the registrant's "home country"), or
under the rules of the home country exchange on which the registrant's securities are traded, as long as the report or
other document is not a press release, is not required to be and has not been distributed to the registrant's security
holders, and, if discussing a material event, has already been the subject of a Form 6-K submission or other
Commission filing on EDGAR.

INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FORM 6-K REPORT

Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 are a copy of the Company's report for the six months ended June 30, 2011, containing certain unaudited financial information and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited).

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS)
LIMITED
(registrant)

By: /s/ Leonard J. Hoskinson
Name: Leonard J. Hoskinson
Title: Chief Financial Officer

Dated: August 11, 2011

3

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Our disclosure and analysis in this report concerning our operations, cash flows and financial position, including, in particular, the likelihood of our success in developing and expanding our business, include forward-looking statements. Statements that are predictive in nature, that depend upon or refer to future events or conditions, or that include words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "estimates," "projects," "forecasts," "will," "may," "should," and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Although these statements are based upon assumptions we believe to be reasonable based upon available information, including projections of revenues, operating margins, earnings, cash flow, working capital, and capital expenditures, they are subject to risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this report and are not intended to give any assurance as to future results. As a result, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors, except as required by applicable securities laws. Factors that might cause future results to differ include, but are not limited to, the following:

- future operating or financial results;
- pending or recent acquisitions, business strategy and expected capital spending or operating expenses, including drydocking and insurance costs;
- general market conditions and trends, including charter rates, vessel values, and factors affecting vessels supply and demand;
- our ability to obtain additional financing;
- our financial condition and liquidity, including our ability to obtain financing in the future to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate activities;
- our expectations about the availability of vessels to purchase, the time that it may take to construct new vessels, or vessels' useful lives;
- our dependence upon the abilities and efforts of our management team;
- changes in governmental rules and regulations or actions taken by regulatory authorities;
- adverse weather conditions that can affect production of some of the goods we transport and navigability of the river system on which we transport them;
- the highly competitive nature of the ocean-going transportation industry;
- the loss of one or more key customers;
- fluctuations in foreign exchange rates;
- adverse movements in commodity prices or demand for commodities may cause our customers to scale back their contract needs; and

- potential liability from future litigation.
-

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010 (UNAUDITED)

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited (the "Company") and subsidiaries for the six months ended June 30, 2011, and 2010 included elsewhere in this report.

Our Company

We are an industrial shipping company serving the marine transportation needs of clients in the geographic markets on which we focus. We serve the shipping markets for grain, forest products, minerals, crude oil, petroleum and refined petroleum products, the general cargo and container trade, as well as the offshore oil platform supply market through our operations in the following three segments of the marine transportation industry.

Our River Business, with 621 barges and 33 pushboats as of June 30, 2011, is the largest owner and operator of river barges and pushboats that transport dry bulk and liquid cargoes through the Hidrovia Region of South America, a large area with growing agricultural, forest and mineral related exports. This region is crossed by navigable rivers that flow through Argentina, Brazil, Bolivia, Paraguay and Uruguay to ports serviced by ocean export vessels. These countries are estimated to account for approximately 51% of world soybean production in 2011, as compared to 30% in 1995. We have also initiated a barge building facility at Punta Alvear, Argentina, which is the most modern of its kind in South America.

Our Offshore Supply Business owns and operates vessels that provide critical logistical and transportation services for offshore petroleum exploration and production companies, in the coastal waters of Brazil and the North Sea. Our Offshore Supply Business fleet as of June 30, 2011, consists of eight Platform Supply Vessels, or PSVs, currently in operation and four under construction in a shipyard in India with deliveries commencing in the third quarter of 2011.

Our Ocean Business operates, as of June 30, 2011, eight ocean-going vessels which includes four Product Tankers that we employ in the South American coastal trade where we have preferential rights and customer relationships, one Oceangoing Pushboat, one inland tank barge and two container feeder vessels.

We are focused on growing our businesses with an efficient and versatile fleet that will allow us to provide an array of transportation services to customers in several different industries. Our business strategy is to leverage our expertise and strong customer relationships to grow the volume, efficiency, and market share in a targeted manner.

Developments in the three months ended June 30, 2011

On April 14, 2011, we voluntarily prepaid \$15.0 million outstanding under the Banco BICE revolving credit line together with accrued and unpaid interests to such date.

On May 31, 2011, we signed a Mandate Letter with International Finance Corporation ("IFC") to process a possible financing of our River Business capital expenditure program of up to \$25.0 million.

On June 14, 2011, we disbursed \$1.7 million on account of the total purchase price for office space in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Additionally, on June 14, 2011, we drew the second advance of \$20.0 million under the \$40.0 million DVB-Security facility in connection with the delivery of the eighth PSV in our Offshore Supply Business, UP Jasper,

from Wison (Nantong) Heavy Industry Co., Ltd. Concurrently with the drawdown, we entered into a swap derivative contract whereby we agreed to pay Banco Security a fixed interest rate of 3.12% in exchange for receiving the floating LIBOR (US Dollar, 3-month) for the \$5.0 million notional amount with the same repayment schedule as the first advance of \$20.0 million.

Recent Developments

On August 11, 2011, we drew down \$10.5 million under the Banco BICE revolving credit line. The credit line bears interest at 3.4% p.a. over 3-month LIBOR.

One of our subsidiaries in the River Business, UABL Paraguay S.A., is a claimant against Transbarga S.A. in an arbitration proceeding where we claim damages for non performance under a transportation contract. The arbitration process is now practically completed lacking only final allegations and the arbitration award which is expected prior to the end of the year. It has been agreed that both parties carry their legal costs regardless of arbitration results and the total amount claimed by us from Transbarga S.A. is \$19.3 million excluding interests and before deducting legal fees and taxes, if any. The final amount to be awarded, if any, is however uncertain.

Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

We organize our business and evaluate performance by the following business segments: the River Business, the Offshore Supply Business and the Ocean Business. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those for the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. We do not have significant inter-segment transactions.

Revenues

In our River Business, we currently contract for the carriage of cargoes, in the majority of cases, under contracts of affreightment, or COAs. Most of these COAs currently provide for adjustments to the freight rate based on changes in the price of fuel. When transporting containers or vehicles, we charge our clients on a per-trip per unit basis.

In our Offshore Supply Business, we contract substantially all of our capacity under time charters to charterers in Brazil. We may decide to employ our Chinese and Indian-built PSVs in the North Sea spot and/or term market.

In our Ocean Business, we currently contract our tanker vessels on a time charter basis. We sell space on our container feeder vessels on a per Twenty Foot-Equivalent Unit ("TEU") basis which is very similar to a COA basis as far as recording of revenues and voyage expenses. Some of the differences between time charters and COAs are summarized below.

Time Charter

- We derive revenue from a daily rate paid for the use of the vessel, and
- the charterer pays for all voyage expenses, including fuel and port charges.

Contract of Affreightment (COA)

- We derive revenue from a rate based on tonnage shipped expressed in dollars per metric ton of cargo or dollars per TEU, and
- we pay for all voyage expenses, including fuel and port charges.

Our ships on time charters generate both lower revenues and lower expenses for us than those under COAs. At comparable price levels a time charter and a COA result in approximately the same operating income, although the operating margin as a percentage of revenues may differ significantly.

Time charter revenues accounted for 34% of the total revenues from our businesses for the first six months of 2011, and COA revenues accounted for 66%. With respect to COA revenues, 86% were in respect of repetitive voyages for our regular customers and 14% were in respect of single voyages for occasional customers.

Our container vessels are paid on a rate based on each container shipped and expressed in dollars per TEU. By comparison, these vessels' results are expressed similar to those vessels operating under COA.

In our River Business, demand for our services is driven by agricultural, mining and petroleum related activities in the Hidrovia Region. Droughts and other adverse weather conditions, such as floods, could result in a decline in production of the agricultural products we transport, which would likely result in a reduction in demand for our services. Further, most of the operations in our River Business occur on the Paraná and Paraguay rivers, and any

changes adversely affecting navigability of either of these rivers, such as low water levels, could reduce or limit our ability to effectively transport cargo on the rivers.

In our Offshore Supply Business, we currently have seven of our PSVs operating under long-term contracts with Petrobras in Brazil while our recently delivered Chinese-built PSV, UP Jasper, is expected to commence its service in the North Sea market during the third quarter of 2011.

In our Ocean Business, we employed a significant part of our ocean fleet on time charter to different customers during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Expenses

Our operating expenses generally include the cost of all vessel operating expenses including crewing, spares and stores, insurance, lubricants, repairs and maintenance. Generally, the most significant of these expenses are wages paid to marine personnel, marine insurance costs and the cost of repairs and maintenance. However there are significant differences in the manner in which these expenses are recognized in the different segments in which we operate.

In addition to the vessel operating expenses, our other primary operating expenses include general and administrative expenses related to ship management and administrative functions.

In our River Business, our voyage expenses include port expenses and bunkers as well as charter hire paid to third parties, primarily for certain harbour tugs.

In our Offshore Supply Business, voyage expenses include offshore and brokerage commissions paid by us to third parties which provide brokerage services and bunker costs incurred when our vessels are repositioned between the North Sea and Brazil or from the yard where they have been built to their operating location. All these costs are fully covered by us.

In our Ocean Business, through our container feeder operation, our operating expenses include bunker costs which are fully covered by us, port expenses, Terminal Handling Costs ("THC") incurred in the regular operation of our container feeder service and agency fees paid by us to third parties. It also includes container leasing, storage and insurance expense.

Through our River Business, we own a repair facility for our river fleet at Pueblo Esther, Argentina, a new shipyard for building barges and other vessels in Punta Alvear, Argentina, land for the construction of two terminals in Argentina and 50% joint venture participations in two grain loading terminals in Paraguay. UABL also rents offices in Asunción, Paraguay and Buenos Aires, Argentina and a repair and shipbuilding facility in Ramallo, Argentina, where we operate two floating dry docks, one of which is owned and one is leased by us.

Through our Offshore Supply Business, we hold a lease for office space in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. In addition, through Ravenscroft, we own a building located at 3251 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Coral Gables, Florida, United States. We also hold a sublease to an office in Buenos Aires, Argentina, and rent an office in Aberdeen, Scotland.

Foreign Currency Transactions

During the first six months of 2011, 96% of our revenues were denominated in U.S. dollars. Also, for the six months ended June 30, 2011, 4% of our revenues were denominated and collected in Brazilian reais. However, 41% of our total revenues were denominated in U.S. dollars but collected in Argentine pesos, Brazilian reais and Paraguayan guaranies. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the majority of our expenses were denominated in U.S. dollars while 15% of our total out of pocket operating expenses were paid in Argentine pesos, Brazilian reais and Paraguayan guaranies.

Our operating results, which we report in U.S. dollars, may be affected by fluctuations in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and other currencies. For accounting purposes, we use U.S. dollars as our functional currency. Therefore, revenue and expense accounts are translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rate prevailing on the month of each transaction.

Inflation, Interest Rate, Rates of Exchange Variation and Fuel Price Increases

Inflationary pressures in the South American countries in which we operate may not be compensated by equivalent adjustments in the rate of exchange between the U.S. dollar and the local currencies. Additionally, revaluations of the local currencies against the U.S. dollar, even in the absence of inflation, have an incremental effect on the portion of our operating expenses incurred in those local currencies measured in U.S. dollars. Please see Foreign Currency Transactions.

If the London market for dollar loans between banks were to become volatile the spread between published LIBOR and the lending rates actually charged to banks in the London interbank market would widen. Interest in most loan agreements in our industry has been based on published LIBOR rates. After the financial crisis of the end of 2008, however, lenders have insisted on provisions that entitle them, in their discretion, to replace published LIBOR as the base for the interest calculation with their own cost-of-funds rate. If we are required to agree to such a provision in future loan agreements, our lending costs could increase significantly, which would have an adverse effect on our profitability, earnings and cash flow.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company had \$75.0 million of variable rate borrowings, based on LIBOR, under its credit facilities with IFC and OFID. During 2010, the Company entered into an interest rate collar agreement, designated as cash flow hedge, to fix within a certain range the interest rate on these borrowings, which is subject to a floor of 1.69% and a cap of 5.00%.

Additionally, as of June 30, 2011, the Company had other variable rate debt (due 2011 through 2019) totaling \$129.6 million. These debts call for the Company to pay interest based on LIBOR plus a 120-300 basis points margin. The interest rates reset either monthly or quarterly. As of June 30, 2011, the average interest rate on these borrowings was 2.4%.

A 1% increase in LIBOR would translate to a \$1.3 million increase in our interest expense per year, which would adversely affect our earnings.

We have negotiated fuel price adjustment clauses in most of our contracts in the River Business. However, we may experience temporary misalignments between the adjustment of fuel in our freight contracts and our fuel purchase agreements (positive or negative) because one may adjust prices on a monthly basis while the other adjusts prices weekly. Similarly, in some of our trades the adjustment formula may not be one hundred percent effective to reimburse us for fuel price fluctuations.

In the Offshore Supply Business, the risk of variation of fuel prices under the vessels' current employment is generally borne by the charterers, since the charterers are generally responsible for the supply and cost of fuel.

In our Ocean Business, inflationary pressures on bunker (fuel oil) costs are not expected to have a material effect on the results of those vessels which are time chartered to third parties since it is the charterers' responsibility to pay for fuel. When our ocean vessels are employed under COAs, however, freight rates for voyage charters are fixed on a per ton basis including bunker fuel for our account which is calculated for the voyage at an assumed cost. A rise or fall in bunker prices may have a temporary negative or positive effect on results as the case may be as the actual cost of fuel purchased for the performance of a particular voyage or COA may be higher or lower than the price considered when calculating the freight for that particular voyage. Generally, in the long term, freight rates in the market should be sensitive to variation in the price of fuel. However, a sharp rise in bunker prices may have a temporary negative effect on results since freights generally adjust only after prices have settled at a higher level. The operation of our two container feeder vessels, M.V. Asturiano and M.V. Argentino, involves some degree of fuel price fluctuation risk since we have to pay for the cost of bunkers and our tariffs may not properly adjust to reflect them.

Seasonality

Each of our businesses has seasonal aspects, which affect their revenues on a quarterly basis. The high season for our River Business is generally between the months of March and September, in connection with the South American harvest and higher river levels. However, growth in the soy pellet manufacturing, cheaper on-field storage technologies, minerals and forest industries may help offset some of this seasonality. The Offshore Supply Business operates year-round, particularly off the coast of Brazil, although weather conditions in the North Sea may reduce activity from December to February. In the Ocean Business, we employ our Product Tankers on long-term charters so there is no seasonality effect, while our container feeder service experiences a slower season during the first quarter.

Legal Proceedings

UABL – Ciudad del Este Customs Authority

On September 21, 2005, the local Customs Authority of Ciudad del Este, Paraguay issued a finding that certain UABL entities owe taxes to that authority in the amount of \$2.2 million, together with a fine for non-payment of the taxes in the same amount, in respect of certain operations of our River Business for the prior three-year period. This matter was referred to the Central Customs Authority of Paraguay, or the Paraguay Customs Authority. We believed that this finding was erroneous and UABL formally replied to the Paraguay Customs Authority contesting all of the allegations upon which the finding was based. After review of the entire operations for the claimed period, the Paraguayan Central Tax Authorities, asserting their jurisdiction over the matter, confirmed that the UABL entities did pay their taxes on the claimed period, but held a dissenting view on a third issue (the tax base used by the UABL entities to calculate the applicable withholding tax). The primary case was appealed by the UABL entities before the Tax and Administrative Court, and when summoned, the Paraguayan Tax Authorities filed an admission, upon which the Court on November 24, 2006, confirmed that the UABL entities were not liable for the first two issues. Nevertheless, the third issue continued, and through a resolution which was provided to UABL on October 13, 2006, the Paraguayan Undersecretary for Taxation confirmed that, in his opinion, UABL was liable for a total of approximately \$0.5 million and has applied a fine of 100% of this amount. UABL entered a plea with the respective court contending the interpretation on the third issue where it claims to be equally not liable. On October 19, 2007, we presented a report by an expert highly favorable for our position. On March 26, 2009, the Tax and Administrative Court decided that UABL was not liable for the third issue under discussion (the tax base used by UABL's entities to calculate the applicable withholding tax). On April 2, 2009, the Paraguayan Tax Authorities appealed the Tax and Administrative Courts decision to the Supreme Court. On September 22, 2010, the Paraguayan Supreme Court revoked the March 26, 2009, ruling of the Tax and Administrative Court and confirmed the decision of the Paraguayan undersecretary for taxation which condemned UABL Paraguay S.A. to pay approximately \$605,000 non-withheld taxes, \$685,000 in fines and \$1,251,000 in accrued due interest. We appealed the decision of the Supreme Court, seeking to clarify its ruling based on the Bona Fide basis of the UABL arguments recognized by the Court expressly in its ruling and on this appeal sought to eliminate fines and interest. Finally, in a signed agreement with the Tax Authorities on October 14, 2010, UABL paid the total amount of \$1,294,000 in full and final settlement of the claim and agreed to drop its appeal to the Supreme Court. In parallel with this ruling the Office of the Treasury Attorney has initiated an action in respect of the other two issues concerned in this litigation (which had been terminated on November 24, 2006, with the admission of Central Tax Authorities that no taxes were due for these two issues and the consequent dropping of the action by the plaintiffs) to review certain formal aspects of the case on the grounds that the Paraguay Customs Department did not represent the interests of Paraguay. UABL has submitted a defense in relation to the action commenced by the Office of the Treasury Attorney. Subsequently, the Office of the Treasury Attorney filed a response with regard to our defense. Aside from the mentioned procedures, on February 23, 2006, the Customs Authorities of Paraguay have reopened the proceedings against UABL S.A., UABL PARAGUAY S.A. and YATAITY S.A. in connection with the possible reopening of the case pending a decision of the reopening of the case in court. Counsel will notify the Customs to hold the proceedings pending a decision of the court and also contest any new investigation into the matter on the grounds that the action is time barred. We have been advised by UABL's counsel in the case that there is only a remote possibility that a judicial court would find UABL liable for any of these taxes or fines still in dispute or that the final outcome of these proceedings could have a material adverse effect on the company.

UABL International S.A. – Bolivian Tax Authority

On November 3, 2006, and April 25, 2007, the Bolivian Tax Authority ("Departamento de Inteligencia Fiscal de la Gerencia Nacional de Fiscalización") issued a notice in the Bolivian press advising that UABL International S.A. would owe taxes to that authority. On June 18, 2007, our legal counsel in Bolivia submitted points of defense to the

Bolivian tax authorities. On August 27, 2007 the Bolivian tax authorities gave notice of a resolution determining the taxes (value added tax, transaction tax and income tax) that UABL International S.A. would owe to them in the amount of approximately \$5.8 million (including interest and fines). On October 10, 2007, our legal counsel in Bolivia gave notice to the Bolivian tax authorities of the lawsuit commenced by UABL International S.A. to refute the resolution above mentioned. On August 1, 2008, UABL International S.A. was served with a notice informing that the Bolivian Tax Authorities had replied to the lawsuit started by us. On August 22, 2008 a hearing and judicial inspection took place at Puerto Quijano, Bolivia. On August 30, 2008, both parties submitted their arguments to the judge, completing this part of the case. On August 12, 2009, UABL International S.A. was served with a judgment of a Bolivian court ruling on certain taxes allegedly due by UABL International S.A. On August 22, 2009, UABL International S.A. submitted an appeal to the lower court judgment to which Bolivian tax authorities have contested. The parties now await the decision by the court of appeals. On the other hand, on June 26, 2008, the same Bolivian court ordered a preemptive embargo against all barges owned by UABL International S.A. that may be registered in the International Bolivian Registry of Ships, or RIBB. According to Company's local counsel this preemptive embargo under Bolivian law has no effect over the Company's right to use its assets nor does it have any implication over the final decision of the court, the substance of the matter and in this case it is ineffective since UABL International S.A. did not have any assets owned by it registered in the RIBB. Moreover, UABL International S.A. had challenged the judge's decision to place the embargo. On November 15, 2008, the lower court reconfirmed the embargo. UABL International S.A. appealed the decision of the lower court, which was later reconfirmed by a higher court. The shares of UABL International S.A. have ceased to belong to our Company and we have been advised by our local counsel that there is only a remote possibility that we would finally be found liable for any of these taxes or fines and / or that these proceedings will have financial material adverse impact on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

UABL Paraguay S.A. – Paraguayan Customs Asuncion

On April 7, 2009, the Paraguayan Customs in Asuncion commenced administrative proceedings against UABL Paraguay S.A. alleging infringement of Customs regulations due to lack of submission of import clearance documents in Paraguay for bunkers purchased between January 9, 2007, and December 23, 2008, from YPF-Repsol S.A. in Argentina. Since those bunkers were purchased for consumption onboard pushboats, UABL Paraguay S.A. submitted a defense on April 23, 2009, requesting the closing of those proceedings based on the non-infringement of Customs regulations, however the proceedings were not closed. On August 21, 2009, as part of the evidence to be rendered in the Customs proceedings UABL Paraguay S.A. submitted a technical report of the Paraguayan Coast Guard stating that all parcels of bunkers purchased by UABL Paraguay S.A. from YPF-Repsol S.A. were consumed onboard the push boats. We have been advised that the Paraguayan Customs in Ciudad del Este also commenced administrative proceedings against UABL Paraguay S.A. for the same reasons as the Customs in Asuncion, however those proceedings have been suspended. Customs Authorities have appraised the bunkers and determined the corresponding import tax and fine in the amount of \$2.0 million. On March 22, 2010, the Customs in Asuncion issued their ruling on the matter imposing a fine of Gs. 54,723,820 (approximately \$11,700), and UABL Paraguay S.A. was going to pay the fine with the aim to end these proceedings but the Director of Customs in Asunción decided to render null that ruling and ordered evidence to be filed in respect of years 2003 to 2006 before issuing the final ruling. In parallel with this ruling the denouncing parties in Ciudad del Este have submitted remedies against the decision of Customs in Asuncion arguing that such ruling was taken without bringing both dossiers together. In a similar manner, on September 20, 2010, the Paraguayan Customs in Asuncion received a complaint against UABL Paraguay S.A. alleging infringement of Customs regulations due to lack of submission of import clearance documents in Paraguay for bunkers purchased during 2009 and 2010, from YPF-Repsol S.A. in Argentina. UABL Paraguay S.A. has submitted its defense together with all documents related to the bunker purchases.

Our local counsel is of the opinion that remedies will be rejected and therefore that there is only a remote possibility that UABL Paraguay S.A. will finally be found liable for any such taxes or fines and / or that these proceedings will have financial material adverse impact on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

Oceanpar S.A. and UABL Paraguay S.A. - Customs investigation in connection with reimportation of barges subject to conversion

Oceanpar S.A. was notified of this investigation on June 17, 2011. The matter under investigation is whether UABL Paraguay S.A. paid all import taxes and duties corresponding to the reimportation of barges submitted to conversion in foreign yards. On June 24 2011 Oceanpar and UABL Paraguay submitted the evidence of all payments effected in 2008 corresponding to the reimportation of these barges. Our Counsel has advised that there is only a remote chance that these proceedings will have a material adverse impact on the financial results of the Company.

Various other legal proceedings involving us may arise from time to time in the ordinary course of business. However, we are not presently involved in any other legal proceedings that, if adversely determined, would have a material adverse effect on us.

Results of Operations

Six months and three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to six months and three months ended June 30, 2010.

Edgar Filing: ULTRAPETROL BAHAMAS LTD - Form 6-K

The following table sets forth certain unaudited historical statements of operations data for the periods indicated above derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income expressed in thousands of dollars (1):

(\$000's)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		Percent Change
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Revenues					
Attributable to River Business	\$ 38,535	\$ 34,259	\$ 69,811	\$ 58,534	19%
Attributable to Offshore Supply Business	14,994	13,438	27,667	25,648	8%
Attributable to Ocean Business	16,442	12,910	30,815	30,655	1%
Total revenues	69,971	60,607	128,293	114,837	12%
Voyage expenses					
Attributable to River Business	(18,839)	(12,399)	(32,117)	(21,628)	48%
Attributable to Offshore Supply Business	(807)	(706)	(1,691)	(1,780)	-5%
Attributable to Ocean Business	(5,185)	(2,083)	(9,476)	(4,823)	96%
Total voyage expenses	(24,831)	(15,188)	(43,284)	(28,231)	53%
Running costs					
Attributable to River Business	(11,498)	(8,410)	(19,806)	(14,830)	34%
Attributable to Offshore Supply Business	(7,459)	(5,751)	(15,036)	(12,212)	23%
Attributable to Ocean Business	(8,023)	(6,814)	(14,681)	(14,281)	3%
Total running costs	(26,980)	(20,975)	(49,523)	(41,323)	20%
Amortization of dry dock & intangible assets	(1,009)	(989)	(2,101)	(1,913)	10%
Depreciation of vessels and equipment	(8,368)	(7,232)	(16,476)	(15,031)	10%
Administrative and commercial expenses	(6,575)	(6,694)	(13,870)	(12,935)	7%
Other operating income, net	1,130	65	2,912	513	468%
Operating profit	3,338	9,594	5,951	15,917	-63%
Financial expense and other financial expenses					
Financial expense and other financial expenses	(8,205)	(7,516)	(16,763)	(14,968)	12%
Financial income	83	111	245	203	21%
(Loss) Gains on derivatives, net	(5)	266	(5)	9,369	
Investment in affiliates	(302)	(110)	(411)	(155)	165%
Other, net	(185)	(328)	(335)	(451)	-26%
Total other expenses	(8,614)	(7,577)	(17,269)	(6,002)	188%
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes	(5,276)	2,017	(11,318)	9,915	
Income taxes	(1,612)	(1,232)	(3,271)	(642)	410%
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	74	125	56	273	-79%
Net (loss) income from continuing operations attributable to Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Ltd.	\$ (6,962)	\$ 660	\$ (14,645)	\$ 9,000	

Loss from discontinued operation (1)	--	--	--	(515)
Net (loss) income attributable to Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Ltd.	\$ (6,962)	\$ 660	\$ (14,645)	\$ 8,485

(1) Operations of our Passenger Business are presented as discontinued operations on a net of tax basis.

Revenues. Total revenues from our River Business increased by 12% from \$34.3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010, to \$38.5 million in the same period of 2011. This \$4.2 million increase results mainly from a 7.6% increase in net tons transported, coupled with a \$4.3 million increase on account of increases in freight tariffs as a result of the fuel adjustment formula in our contracts of affreightments; partially offset by lower revenues from demurrages.

Total revenues from our River Business increased 19% from \$58.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, to \$69.8 million in the same period of 2011. This \$11.3 million increase results mainly from a 10.8% increase in net tons transported, coupled with an increase in freight revenues of \$6.5 million as a result of the fuel adjustment formula in our contracts of affreightments; partially offset by a \$1.6 million decrease in other river services.

Total revenues from our Offshore Supply Business increased by 12% from \$13.4 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010, to \$15.0 million in the same period of 2011. This \$1.6 million increase is primarily attributable to a \$2.7 million increase on account of the full second quarter operation of our UP Turquoise which entered into service with Petrobras on March 12, 2011, coupled with a \$0.5 million increase in revenues of our UP Diamante related to its full second quarter 2011 operation as opposed to the same period of 2010 when it underwent its scheduled drydock; partially offset by a \$1.3 million decrease in revenues of our UP Topazio and UP Safira which underwent their scheduled drydock during the second quarter of 2011, and a \$0.5 million decrease in revenues of our UP Agua-Marinha on account of a problem with one of its bow-thrusters which forced an unscheduled drydock.

Total revenues from our Offshore Supply Business increased by 8% from \$25.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, to \$27.7 million in the same period of 2011. This \$2.1 million increase is primarily attributable to the full second quarter operation of our UP Turquoise which entered into service with Petrobras on March 12, 2011, coupled with fewer operational days of our UP Esmeralda and UP Safira during the first quarter of 2010 due to their positioning from the North Sea to Brazil into addition to time lost for their registration in Brazil; partially offset by the decreases in revenues on account of offhire days of our UP Rubi on account of repairs during the first quarter of 2011 and by the drydocks of our UP Agua-Marinha and UP Topazio during the first quarter and second quarter of 2011, respectively.

Total revenues from our Ocean Business increased \$3.5 million, from \$12.9 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010, to \$16.4 million in the same period of 2011, or 27%. This increase is mainly attributable to a \$7.5 million increase in revenues of our M.V. Asturiano and M.V. Argentino which commenced operation on May 21, 2010, and January 10, 2011, respectively, coupled with a \$2.0 million increase in revenues of our Product Tankers on account of charter rate adjustments in accordance with manning expense increases; partially offset by a \$2.4 million decrease in revenues of our Princess Marisol and Princess Katherine which were sold and delivered on April 23, 2010, and September 15, 2010, respectively, and to a \$1.4 million decrease related to the re-delivery of the Mediator I, which was under bareboat charter to us, on October 6, 2010.

Total revenues from our Ocean Business increased \$0.1 million, from \$30.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, to \$30.8 million in the same period of 2011, or 1%. This increase is mainly attributable to a \$13.9 million increase in revenues of our M.V. Asturiano and M.V. Argentino which commenced operation on May 21, 2010, and January 10, 2011, respectively, and to \$2.7 million related to the adjustments of the charter rates of our Product Tankers in accordance with manning expense increases which resulted in higher average rates during the first half of 2011 when compared to the same period of 2010; partially offset by a \$8.2 million decrease on account of the sale of our Princess Nadia, Princess Marisol and Princess Katherine on January 28, 2010, April 23, 2010, and September 15, 2010, respectively, and a \$2.7 million decrease related to the re-delivery of the Mediator I, which was under bareboat charter to us, on October 6, 2010.

Voyage expenses. In the three months ended June 30, 2011, voyage expenses of our River Business were \$18.8 million, as compared to \$12.4 million for the same period of 2010, an increase of \$6.4 million, or 52%. This increase is mainly attributable to \$3.6 million in higher fuel cost per ton, \$0.4 million to more fuel consumed consistent with the volume of cargo carried, coupled with \$2.4 million in higher port expenses.

In the six months ended June 30, 2011, voyage expenses of our River Business were \$32.1 million, as compared to \$21.6 million for the same period of 2010, an increase of \$10.5 million, or 48%. This increase is mainly attributable to \$5.6 million in higher fuel cost, coupled with \$5.0 million in higher port expenses.

In the three months ended June 30, 2011, voyage expenses of our Offshore Supply Business were \$0.8 million, as compared to \$0.7 million in the same period of 2010. This increase of \$0.1 million, or 14%, is primarily attributable to our UP Turquoise which entered into service with Petrobras on March 12, 2011.

In the six months ended June 30, 2011, voyage expenses of our Offshore Supply Business were \$1.7 million, as compared to \$1.8 million in the same period of 2010. This decrease of \$0.1 million, or 5%, is primarily attributable to a \$0.5 million of positioning expenses of our UP Esmeralda and UP Safira from the North Sea to Brazil incurred during the first quarter of 2010 as opposed to the same period of 2011; partially offset by a \$0.3 million bunker and positioning cost incurred by our UP Turquoise in the first half of 2011.

In the three months ended June 30, 2011, voyage expenses of our Ocean Business were \$5.2 million, as compared to \$2.1 million for the same period of 2010, an increase of \$3.1 million, or 149%. This increase is primarily attributable to \$3.8 million increase in voyage expenses related to the operation of our M.V. Asturiano and M.V. Argentino whose bunker costs and port expenses are borne by us; partially offset by a \$0.5 million decrease related to the hire expenses of the Austral as a result of its bareboat contract renewal with her owners at a lower rate and by a \$0.4 million decrease related to the re-delivery of the Mediator I (under bareboat charter to us) on October 6, 2010.

In the six months ended June 30, 2011, voyage expenses of our Ocean Business were \$9.5 million, as compared to \$4.8 million for the same period of 2010, a \$4.7 million increase, or 96%. This increase is primarily attributable to a \$7.6 million increase in voyage expenses of our M.V. Asturiano and M.V. Argentino which commenced operation on May 21, 2010, and January 10, 2011, respectively, and whose bunker costs and port expenses are borne by us; partially offset by a \$1.1 million decrease on account of the sale of our Princess Nadia, Princess Marisol and Princess Katherine on January 28, 2010, April 23, 2010, and September 15, 2010, respectively, a \$1.0 million decrease on account of the re-delivery of the Mediator I to its owners (under bareboat charter to us) on October 6, 2010, and a \$0.9 million decrease on account of the hire expenses of the Austral as a result of its bareboat contract renewal with her owners at a lower rate .

Running costs. In the three months ended June 30, 2011, running costs of our River Business were \$11.5 million, as compared to \$8.4 million in the same period of 2010, an increase of \$3.1 million, or 37%. This increase in costs is mainly attributable to higher crew cost (\$1.0 million) and higher repairs costs of pushboats.

In the six months ended June 30, 2011, running costs of our River Business were \$19.8 million, as compared to \$14.8 million in the same period of 2010, an increase of \$5.0 million, or 34%. This increase in costs is mainly attributable to higher crew cost (\$1.5 million), and higher repair costs of pushboats (\$2.5 million).

In the three months ended June 30, 2011, running costs of our Offshore Supply Business were \$7.5 million, as compared to \$5.8 million in the same period of 2010, an increase of \$1.7 million, or 30%. This increase in running costs in the first half of 2011 is mainly attributable to the delivery of our UP Turquoise on December 20, 2010, coupled with a general increase in crew and maintenance costs of our PSV fleet.

In the six months ended June 30, 2011, running costs of our Offshore Supply Business were \$15.0 million, as compared to \$12.2 million for the same period of 2010, an increase of \$2.8 million, or 23%. This increase in running costs in the first quarter of 2011 is mainly attributable to the delivery of our UP Turquoise on December 20, 2010, coupled with a general increase in crew and maintenance costs of our PSV fleet.

In the three months ended June 30, 2011, running costs of our Ocean Business were \$8.0 million, as compared to \$6.8 million in the same period of 2010, an increase of \$1.2 million, or 18%. This variation results mainly from a \$1.7 million increase in running costs of our M.V. Asturiano and M.V. Argentino which were delivered to us on April 16, 2010 and December 14, 2010, respectively, coupled with a \$1.6 million increase in general expenses in our Product Tanker costs; partially offset by a \$1.6 million decrease in our Capesize vessels Princess Nadia, Princess Marisol and Princess Katherine which were sold and delivered on January 28, 2010, April 23, 2010, and September 15, 2010, respectively, and to a \$0.6 million decrease related to the re-delivery of the Mediator I to its owners, which was under bareboat charter to us, on October 6, 2010.

In the six months ended June 30, 2011, running costs of our Ocean Business were \$14.7 million, as compared to \$14.3 million in the same period of 2010, a \$0.4 million increase, or 3%. This variation results mainly from a \$3.7 million increase in running costs of our M.V. Asturiano and M.V. Argentino which were delivered to us on April 16, 2010, and December 14, 2010, respectively, coupled with a \$2.7 million increase in crew and maintenance costs of our Tanker vessels; partially offset by a \$4.4 million decrease in running costs of our Capesize vessels Princess Nadia, Princess Marisol and Princess Katherine which were sold and delivered on January 28, 2010, April 23, 2010, and September 15, 2010, respectively, and by a \$1.2 million decrease related to the re-delivery of the Mediator I, which was under bareboat charter to us, on October 6, 2010.

Amortization of drydocking and intangible assets. Amortization of drydocking and intangible assets in the three months ended June 30, 2011, were \$1.0 million, unchanged when compared to the same period of 2010. The compensating factors are primarily attributable to the elimination of the amortization of drydock of \$0.2 million on

our sold Capesize vessel Princess Katherine, coupled with a \$0.1 million decreased level of amortization of drydock of our dry barges; partially offset by the increased level of amortization of drydock of \$0.2 million for our PSV fleet and by a \$0.2 million increase in amortization of the drydock of our Amadeo Product Tanker.

Amortization of dry dock and intangible assets in the six months ended June 30, 2011, were \$2.1 million, as compared to \$1.9 million for the same period of 2010, an increase of \$0.2 million, or 10%. This increase is primarily attributable to an increased level of amortization of drydock of \$0.4 million of our Amadeo Product Tanker, coupled with increased level of amortization of drydock of \$0.4 million for our PSV fleet; partially offset by the elimination of the amortization of drydock of \$0.3 million on our sold Capesize vessel Princess Katherine, and a \$0.2 million decreased level of amortization of drydock of our dry barges.

Depreciation of vessels and equipment. Depreciation increased by \$1.1 million, or 16%, to \$8.4 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to \$7.2 million in the same period of 2010. This increase is primarily attributable to a \$0.7 million associated to the entry into operation of our jumbo barges built at Punta Alvear, Argentina, as well as by the steel replacement program on our River Business, and by a \$0.6 million increase in depreciation of our M.V. Argentino and UP Turquoise which were delivered to us on December 14, 2010, and December 20, 2010, respectively; partially offset by a \$0.2 million lower depreciation of our sold Capesize vessel Princess Katherine.

Depreciation of vessels and equipment increased by \$1.4 million, or 10%, to \$16.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to \$15.0 million in the same period of 2010. This increase is primarily attributable to a \$1.5 million associated to the entry into operation of our jumbo barges built at Punta Alvear, Argentina, as well as by the steel replacement program on our River Business, and by a \$1.3 million increase in depreciation of our M.V. Asturiano, M.V. Argentino and UP Turquoise which were delivered to us on April 16, 2010, December 14, 2010, and December 20, 2010, respectively; partially offset by a \$1.4 million lower depreciation of our sold Capesize vessels Princess Marisol and Princess Katherine.

Administrative and commercial expenses. Administrative and commercial expenses were \$6.6 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to \$6.7 million in the same period of 2010, resulting in a decrease of \$0.1 million or 2%. This decrease is mainly associated with a \$0.6 million decrease in wages in our Ocean Business; partially offset by a \$0.2 million increase in our Offshore Supply Business expenses related to the increased activity in Brazil, and by a \$0.2 million increase in wages and fees in our River Business.

Administrative and commercial expenses were \$13.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to \$12.9 million in the same period of 2010, resulting in an increase of \$1.0 million or 7%. This increase is mainly associated with \$0.6 million increase in wages and fees in our River Business, a \$0.3 million increase in our Offshore Supply Business expenses related to the increased activity in Brazil and by a \$0.2 million general increase Ocean Business expenses.

Other operating (expense) income. Other operating income was \$1.1 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to other operating income of \$0.1 million in the same period of 2010. This increase of \$1.0 million is mainly explained by a \$1.2 million income related to the net result of the sale of dry barges to a third party.

Other operating income was \$2.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to other operating income of \$0.5 million in the same period of 2010. This increase of \$2.4 million is mainly explained by \$1.5 million income related to the net result of the sale of dry barges to a third party and to a \$1.4 million loss of hire coverage insurance for the time lost by our UP Rubi during the first quarter of 2011; partially offset by \$0.6 million loss of hire insurance cover for time lost of our UP Esmeralda in the first quarter of 2010.

Operating profit. Operating profit for the three months ended June 30, 2011, was \$3.3 million, a decrease of \$6.3 million, or 65%, from \$9.6 million for the same period of 2010. This decrease is mainly attributable to a \$5.0 million decrease in our River Business operating profit from \$6.5 million in the second quarter of 2010 to \$1.5 million in the same period of 2011 mainly related to an unexpected blockage during the second quarter of 2011 in the High Paraná River which stalled our operation as well as higher operating costs, partially offset by the sale of six jumbo dry barges to a third party in our River Business, to a \$1.0 million decrease in our Offshore Supply Business operating profit from \$3.5 million in the second quarter of 2010 to \$2.5 million in the same period of 2011 which resulted mainly from the lost revenue days of our UP Topazio on account of its scheduled drydock and to lost days of our UP Agua-Marinha which was forced to drydock after having a problem with one of its bow-thrusters, and to a decrease of \$4.1 million in Ocean Business operating profit from a \$2.2 million operating income in the second quarter of 2010 to a \$1.9 million operating loss for the same period of 2011 mainly resulting from the sale of our Capesize vessels.

Operating profit for the six months ended June 30, 2011, was \$6.0 million, a decrease of \$9.9 million, or 63%, from \$15.9 million for the same period of 2010. This decrease is mainly attributable to a \$4.5 million decrease in our River Business operating profit from \$8.4 million in the first half of 2010 to \$3.9 million for the same period of 2011 mainly as a result of the unexpected blockage during the second quarter of 2011 in the High Paraná River which stalled our operation and higher operating costs, partially offset by the sale of six jumbo dry barges to a third party in our River Business, to a \$4.1 million decrease in our Ocean Business operating profit from \$2.2 million profit in the first half of 2010 to a \$1.9 million operating loss in the same period of 2011 driven mainly by the sale of our Capesize vessels and

to a \$1.2 million decrease in our Offshore Supply Business operating profit from \$5.2 million in the first half of 2010 to \$4.0 million in the same period of 2011 mostly explained by increases in the running costs of our PSVs as well as the positioning costs incurred by our UP Turquoise before its entry into operation.

Financial expense and other financial expenses. Financial expense and other financial expenses increased to \$8.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to \$7.5 million in the same period of 2010, a \$0.7 million increase. This increase is mainly attributable to a \$2.3 million increase in financial expenses due to the issuance of the 7.25% Convertible Notes due 2017 ("the Convertible Notes"), the drawdown under the DVB – Banco Security financing in connection with the delivery of the UP Turquoise in December 2010, the discontinuation of interest capitalization associated to the PSV construction program, and the increase in the interest rate as a result of an interest rate collar derivative entered into with IFC in May 2010; partially offset by a \$1.6 million decrease in other financial expenses related to exchange rate variance period on period.

Financial expense and other financial expenses increased to \$16.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to \$15.0 million in the same period of 2010, a \$1.8 million increase. This increase is mainly attributable to a \$4.7 million increase in financial expenses due to the issuance of the Convertible Notes, the drawdown under the DVB – Banco Security financing in connection with the delivery of the UP Turquoise in December 2010, the discontinuation of interest capitalization associated to the PSV construction program, and the increase in the interest rate as a result of an interest rate collar derivative entered into with IFC in May 2010; partially offset by a \$3.1 million decrease in other financial expenses related to exchange rate variance period on period.

Financial income. Financial income in the three months ended June 30, 2011, remained unchanged at \$0.1 million when compared to the same period of 2010.

Financial income in the six months ended June 30, 2011, remained unchanged at \$0.2 million when compared to the same period of 2010.

Gains on derivatives, net. Gain on derivative instruments decreased to zero in the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$0.3 million in the same period of 2010. This decrease is primarily attributable to the FFA positions outstanding during the second quarter of 2010 which were closed-out after the sale of our Capesize vessel, Princess Marisol, on April 23, 2010.

Gain on derivative instruments decreased to zero in the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$9.4 million in the same period of 2010. This decrease is primarily attributable to the FFA positions outstanding during the first half of 2010 which were closed-out after the sale of our Capesize vessel, Princess Marisol, on April 23, 2010.

Income taxes (expenses) benefit. The income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011, was \$1.6 million compared to \$1.2 million in the same period of 2010. This \$0.4 million increase is mainly attributable to the deferred tax provision for unrealized exchange differences in our Brazilian subsidiary due to the revaluation of the Brazilian real.

The income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011, was \$3.3 million, compared to an expense of \$0.6 million in the same period of 2010. This \$2.6 million increase is mainly attributable to a deferred tax provision for unrealized exchange differences in our Brazilian subsidiary due to the revaluation of the Brazilian real.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We are a holding company and operate in a capital intensive industry requiring substantial ongoing investments in revenue producing assets. Our subsidiaries have historically funded their vessel acquisitions through a combination of bank debt, shareholder loans, cash flow from operations and equity contributions.

The ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us may be subject to, among other things, restrictions under our credit facilities and applicable laws of the jurisdictions of their incorporation or organization.

As of June 30, 2011, we had aggregate indebtedness of \$501.6 million, consisting of \$180.0 million aggregate principal amount of our Senior Notes due 2014, \$80.0 million aggregate principal amount of our Convertible Notes, indebtedness of our subsidiary UP Offshore Apoio Maritimo Ltda. under a senior loan facility with DVB Bank AG ("DVB"), of \$8.2 million and \$17.5 million under a loan facility with BNDES guaranteed by DVB under a separate guarantee facility, indebtedness of our subsidiary UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd. of \$56.4 million under two senior loan facilities with DVB and \$39.2 million under an additional senior loan agreement with DVB and Banco Security as co-lenders, indebtedness of our subsidiary Ingatestone Holdings Inc. of \$27.6 million under a senior loan facility with DVB and Natixis as co-lenders, indebtedness of our subsidiary Stanyan Shipping Inc. of \$9.8 million under a senior loan facility with Natixis, indebtedness of our subsidiary Hallandale Commercial Corp. of \$8.0 million under a senior loan facility with Nordea Bank, indebtedness of our subsidiaries UABL Barges (Panama) Inc., Marine Financial Investment Corp., Eastham Barges Inc. and UABL Paraguay S.A. of \$60.0 million in the aggregate under two senior loan facilities with IFC, indebtedness of our subsidiary UABL Paraguay S.A. of \$15.0 million under a senior loan facility with the OPEC Fund for International Development.

As of June 30, 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents on hand of \$45.1 million.

Operating Activities

In the six months ended June 30, 2011, cash flow used in operations was \$5.5 million compared to \$12.8 million generated from operations in the same period of 2010. Net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2011, was \$14.6 million as compared to a net income of \$8.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of \$23.4 million.

Cash flow from operating activities decreased by \$18.3 million to a use of \$5.5 million in the first half of 2011 from a cash generation of \$12.8 million in that same period of 2010. This decrease in cash flow from operations is mainly attributable to a decline of \$4.9 million in our Ocean Business Gross Profit Contribution (defined as hire or freight revenues minus voyage expenses and running costs, or "GPC") from \$11.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, to \$6.7 million for the same period in 2011. Our River Business GPC decreased \$4.2 million to \$17.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011, down from \$22.1 million in the same period of 2010. The GPC of our Offshore Supply Business decreased by \$0.7 million to \$10.9 million in the first half of 2011, down from \$11.6 million in the same period of 2010. In addition, there was a decrease in cash of \$7.5 million caused by the net increase period on period of accounts receivable and operating supplies due to the increased level of activity. An offsetting factor to the decrease in cash flow from operations is a \$2.7 million decrease in dry docking expenditures.

Investing Activities

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we disbursed \$20.0 million in the construction of new barges at our Punta Alvear yard, Argentina, \$5.8 million in our barge re-bottoming program, \$4.9 million to fund the acquisition and transportation from U.S.A. to the Hidrovia of three pushboats, \$4.3 million in the re-engining and re-powering program, and \$2.0 million in the construction of our pushboat Pampero I, \$1.0 million in the construction of a port pushboat, in our River Business; we disbursed \$4.7 million to fund the last advance on our remaining PSV being built in China, \$4.4 million to fund the advances on one of our four PSVs being constructed in India, in our Offshore Supply Business; and we disbursed \$1.7 million for the acquisition of office space in Buenos Aires and \$0.8 million on upgrade works made on the M.V. Argentino after its delivery on December 14, 2010.

Financing Activities

Cash flow provided by Financing Activities increased \$7.7 million from a cash use of \$5.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, to cash provided of \$1.9 million in the same period of 2011. This increase is mainly attributable to a \$23.5 million increase in proceeds from long-term financial debt in our Offshore Supply Business, partially offset by a \$15.0 million decrease associated with an early repayment of our \$15.0 million revolving credit with Banco BICE in the second quarter of 2011 and a \$0.7 million increase in scheduled repayments.

Future Capital Requirements

Our near-term cash requirements are related primarily to funding operations, constructing new vessels, potentially acquiring other assets including second-hand ocean vessels, rebottoming some of our barges, funding the construction of barges in our new shipyard at Punta Alvear, Argentina and replacing the engines in our line pushboats with new engines that burn less expensive heavy fuel oil. We estimate that for the next twelve months the cost of rebottoming our old barges, repowering our line pushboats and producing jumbo barges in our Punta Alvear, Argentina shipyard will be around \$50.7 million. We currently estimate that the construction of new vessels that are currently on order in India will require additional funds of approximately \$35.2 million (provided no late delivery penalties are applied to the shipyard), which will be fully financed with the undrawn proceeds committed under the DVB / Natixis loan facility. We expect to disburse an aggregate amount of \$1.4 million in drydock expenses in the next twelve months.

We may order additional vessels and or incur other capital expenditures which are not discussed above or contemplated at this time. The funds will be disbursed at various times over the next few years and, accordingly, are subject to significant uncertainty. We may in the future incur indebtedness to fund some of our other initiatives, which we are currently funding through our cash flow from operations. We cannot provide assurance that our actual cash requirements will not be greater than we currently expect. If we cannot generate sufficient cash flow from operations, we may obtain additional sources of funding through capital market transactions, although it is possible these sources will not be available to us.

Supplemental Information

The following tables reconcile our EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and our Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA to our cash flow for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

\$ (000)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Total cash flows (used in) provided by operating activities	(5,535)	12,798
Total cash flows (used in) investing activities	(56,820)	(14,244)
Total cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	1,864	(5,787)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities from continuing operations	(5,535)	14,758
Net cash (used in) operating activities from discontinued operations	--	(1,960)
Total cash flows (used in) provided by operating activities	(5,535)	12,798
Plus		
Adjustments from continuing operations		
Increase / decrease in operating assets and liabilities	9,727	(129)
Expenditure for dry docking	1,559	4,222
Income taxes	3,271	642
Financial expenses	17,368	12,418
(Loss) on disposal of assets	--	(314)
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	(56)	(273)
Adjustment attributable to UP Offshore declassification (1)	--	(9,419)
Non-cash gain on FFAs	--	(7,883)
(Loss) gains on derivatives, net	(5)	9,369
Other adjustments	(1,758)	(1,689)
Adjustments from discontinued operations		
Increase / decrease in operating assets and liabilities	--	1,445
Financial expenses	--	5
Other adjustments	--	--
EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 from continuing operations	24,571	21,702
EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 from discontinued operations	--	(510)
Consolidated EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014	24,571	21,192
Plus		
Adjustment attributable to UP Offshore declassification	\$ --	9,419
Non-cash gain on FFAs	--	7,883
Unrealized non-cash gain on FFAs	--	(1,250)
Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA	\$ 24,571	\$ 37,244

(1) As of September 30, 2009, our Board declassified UP Offshore Bahamas as a restricted subsidiary under the terms of the Indenture. Subsequently, on December 3, 2010, UP Offshore Bahamas was reclassified as a restricted subsidiary under the terms of the Indenture.

Edgar Filing: ULTRAPETROL BAHAMAS LTD - Form 6-K

The following tables reconcile our Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA to our segment operating (loss) profit for the six months ended June 30, 2011, and 2010, on a consolidated and a per segment basis:

\$ (000)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011			
	River	Offshore Supply	Ocean	TOTAL
Segment operating profit (loss)	\$ 3,868	\$ 3,962	\$ (1,879)	\$ 5,951
Depreciation and amortization	9,549	4,383	4,645	18,577
Investment in affiliates / Net income attributable to non-controlling interest in subsidiaries	(405)	(56)	(6)	(467)
(Loss) gains on derivatives, net	--	(5)	--	(5)
Other net	(371)	3	33	(335)
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 12,641	\$ 8,287	\$ 2,793	\$ 23,721
Items not included in Segment Adjusted EBITDA				
Financial income				245
Other financial income				605
Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA from continuing operations				\$ 24,571
Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA from discontinued operations				\$ --
Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA				\$ 24,571

\$ (000)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010			
	River	Offshore Supply	Ocean	TOTAL
Segment operating profit	\$ 8,432	\$ 5,244	\$ 2,241	\$ 15,917
Depreciation and amortization	8,277	3,360	5,307	16,944
Investment in affiliates / Net income attributable to non-controlling interest in subsidiaries	(132)	(273)	(23)	(428)
Gains on derivatives, net	--	--	9,369	9,369
Other net	(445)	3	(9)	(451)
Unrealized non cash gains on FFAs	--	--	(1,250)	(1,250)
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 16,132	\$ 8,334	\$ 15,635	\$ 40,101

Items not included in Segment

Adjusted EBITDA	
Financial income	203
Other financial expenses	(2,550)
Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA from continuing operations	\$ 37,754
Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA from discontinued operations	\$ (510)
Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA	\$ 37,244

The use of the terms "EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014" and "Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA" in the current filing rather than EBITDA as has been used in previous filings, is responsive to the US Securities and Exchange Commission Release No. 34-47226 wherefrom if the measurement being used excludes "non-cash charges" or other similar concepts other than strictly interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or were otherwise to depart from the definition of EBITDA as included in the aforementioned release, it should be called "EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014" and "Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA" rather than EBITDA.

EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 consists of net income (loss) prior to deductions for interest expense and other financial gains and losses related to the financing of the Company, income taxes, depreciation of vessels and equipment and amortization of drydock expense, intangible assets, financial gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt, premium paid for redemption of preferred shares and certain non-cash charges (including for instance losses on write-downs of vessels). The calculation of EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 excludes from all items those amounts corresponding to unrestricted subsidiaries under the Indenture governing the Company's 9% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2014 (the "Indenture") from the time of designation as such. We have provided EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 in this report because we use it to, and believe it provides useful information to investors to evaluate our ability to incur and service indebtedness and it is a required disclosure to comply with a covenant contained in such Indenture. Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA in this filing represents EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 plus EBITDA corresponding to unrestricted subsidiaries designated as such under the terms of the Indenture and other adjustments related to our FFAs. We do not intend for EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 nor Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA to represent cash flows from operations, as defined by GAAP (on the date of calculation) and it should not be considered as an alternative to measure our liquidity. This definition of EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures disclosed by other companies. Generally, funds represented by EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA are available for management's discretionary use. Both EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA have limitations as analytical tools, and should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported. These limitations include, among others, the following:

- EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA do not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments,
- EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs,
- EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA do not include income taxes, which are a necessary and ongoing cost of our operations,
- EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA do not reflect the significant interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debts,
- EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA do not reflect the amortization of dry docking, or the cash requirements necessary to fund the required dry docks of our vessels,
- Although depreciation is a non-cash charge, the assets being depreciated will often have to be replaced in the future, and EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA do not, therefore, reflect any cash requirements for such replacements, and
-

EBITDA as defined in the Notes due 2014 and Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA can be affected by the lease rather than purchase of fixed assets.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND
SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
at June 30, 2011

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONTENTS	PAGE
Condensed consolidated financial statements	
–Condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010	- 1 -
–Condensed consolidated statements of operations for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)	- 2 -
–Condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)	- 3 -
–Condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)	- 4 -
–Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)	- 5 -

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Stated in thousands of U.S. dollars, except par value and share amounts)

	At June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	At December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$45,079	\$105,570
Restricted cash	1,661	1,661
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$305 and \$555 in 2011 and 2010, respectively	34,857	24,675
Operating supplies	5,549	3,176
Prepaid expenses	6,504	3,643
Other receivables	26,146	24,153
Other current assets	101	117
Total current assets	119,897	162,995
NONCURRENT ASSETS		
Other receivables	3,827	5,796
Restricted cash	1,183	1,183
Vessels and equipment, net	652,630	612,696
Dry dock	5,234	5,688
Investment in affiliates and receivables from 50% owned companies	7,065	6,824
Intangible assets	1,063	1,151
Goodwill	5,015	5,015
Other assets	12,432	13,145
Deferred income tax assets	9,100	9,304
Total noncurrent assets	697,549	660,802
Total assets	\$817,446	\$823,797
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$29,187	\$24,054
Accrued interest	5,004	2,278
Current portion of long-term financial debt	17,911	27,586
Other current liabilities	6,808	10,759
Total current liabilities	58,910	64,677
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		

Edgar Filing: ULTRAPETROL BAHAMAS LTD - Form 6-K

Long-term financial debt	483,691	471,793
Deferred income tax liabilities	19,337	16,142
Other liabilities	1,197	2,391
Total noncurrent liabilities	504,225	490,326
Total liabilities	563,135	555,003
EQUITY		
Common stock, \$.01 par value: 100,000,000 authorized shares; 29,943,653 shares outstanding		
	338	338
Additional paid-in capital	271,804	271,224
Treasury stock 3,923,094 shares at cost	(19,488)	(19,488)
Accumulated earnings (deficit)	(2,659)	11,986
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,071)	(597)
Total Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited stockholders equity	248,924	263,463
Noncontrolling interest	5,387	5,331
Total equity	254,311	268,794
Total liabilities and equity	\$817,446	\$823,797

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

(Stated in thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

	For the six-month periods ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
REVENUES	\$ 128,293	\$ 114,837
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Voyage expenses	(43,284)	(28,231)
Running costs	(49,523)	(41,323)
Amortization of dry docking	(2,013)	(1,695)
Depreciation of vessels and equipment	(16,476)	(15,031)
Amortization of intangible assets	(88)	(218)
Administrative and commercial expenses	(13,870)	(12,935)
Other operating income, net	2,912	513
	(122,342)	(98,920)
Operating profit	5,951	15,917
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES)		
Financial expense	(17,368)	(12,418)
Other financial income (expense)	605	(2,550)
Financial income	245	203
(Loss) Gains on derivatives, net	(5)	9,369
Investment in affiliates	(411)	(155)
Other, net	(335)	(451)
Total other (expenses)	(17,269)	(6,002)
(Loss) Income from continuing operations before income taxes	(11,318)	9,915
Income taxes	(3,271)	(642)
(Loss) Income from continuing operations	(14,589)	9,273
(Loss) from discontinued operations	-	(515)
Net (loss) income	(14,589)	8,758
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	56	273
Net (loss) income attributable to Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited	\$(14,645)	\$8,485
Amounts attributable to Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited:		
(Loss) Income from continuing operations	\$(14,645)	\$9,000

Edgar Filing: ULTRAPETROL BAHAMAS LTD - Form 6-K

(Loss) from discontinued operations	-	(515)
Net (loss) income attributable to Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited	\$(14,645)	\$8,485

**(LOSS) INCOME PER SHARE OF ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED -
BASIC AND DILUTED:**

From continuing operations	\$(0.50)	\$0.31
From discontinued operations	-	(0.02)
	\$(0.50)	\$0.29

Basic weighted average number of shares	29,545,576	29,519,936
Diluted weighted average number of shares	29,545,576	29,587,159

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(UNAUDITED)

(Stated in thousands of U.S. dollars, except share data)

Balance	Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited stockholders' equity							Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries	Total equity
	Shares amount	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Treasury stock	Accumulated earnings (deficit)	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)			
December 31, 2009	29,943,653	\$ 338	\$ 269,958	\$(19,488)	\$ 17,357	\$ 15,538	\$ 4,880	\$ 288,583	
Compensation related to options and restricted stock granted	-	-	700	-	-	-	-	700	
Comprehensive loss:									
-Net income	-	-	-	-	8,485	-	273	8,758	
-Effect of derivative financial instruments	-	-	-	-	-	(13,699)	-	(13,699)	
Total comprehensive loss								(4,941)	
June 30, 2010	29,943,653	\$ 338	\$ 270,658	\$(19,488)	\$ 25,842	\$ 1,839	\$ 5,153	\$ 284,342	
December 31, 2010	29,943,653	\$ 338	\$ 271,224	\$(19,488)	\$ 11,986	\$ (597)	\$ 5,331	\$ 268,794	
Compensation related to restricted stock granted	-	-	580	-	-	-	-	580	
Comprehensive loss:									
-Net loss	-	-	-	-	(14,645)	-	56	(14,589)	
	-	-	-	-	-	(474)	-	(474)	

-Effect of derivative financial instruments								
Total comprehensive loss	(15,063)							
June 30, 2011	29,943,653	\$ 338	\$ 271,804	\$(19,488)	\$ (2,659)	\$ (1,071)	\$ 5,387	\$ 254,311

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

(Stated in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	For the six-month periods ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net (loss) income	\$(14,589)	\$8,758
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Loss from discontinued operations	-	515
Depreciation of vessels and equipment	16,476	15,031
Amortization of dry docking	2,013	1,695
Expenditure for dry docking	(1,559)	(4,222)
(Loss) Gains on derivatives, net	5	(9,369)
Amortization of intangible assets	88	218
Loss on sale of vessels, net	-	314
Share-based compensation	580	700
Debt issuance expense amortization	988	750
Net loss from investment in affiliates	411	155
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(221)	84
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Decrease (increase) in assets:		
Accounts receivable	(9,961)	(4,592)
Other receivables, operating supplies and prepaid expenses	(5,364)	(3,247)
Other	(185)	2,226
Increase (decrease) in liabilities:		
Accounts payable	5,408	5,205
Accrued interest	2,726	54
Other	(2,351)	483
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities from continuing operations	(5,535)	14,758
Net cash (used in) operating activities from discontinued operations	-	(1,960)
Total cash flows (used in) provided by operating activities	(5,535)	12,798
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchase of vessels and equipment	(56,820)	(44,833)
Proceeds from disposal of assets, net	-	26,656
Other	-	1,983
Net cash (used in) investing activities from continuing operations	(56,820)	(16,194)

Net cash provided by investing activities from discontinued operations	-	1,950
Total cash flows (used in) investing activities	(56,820)	(14,244)

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Scheduled repayments of long-term financial debt	(6,227)	(5,507)
Early repayments of long-term financial debt	(15,000)	-
Proceeds from long-term financial debt	23,450	-
Other	(359)	(280)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities from continuing operations	1,864	(5,787)
Net (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(60,491)	(7,233)

Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of year (including \$304 and \$304 related to discontinued operations)	\$105,570	\$53,201
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of period (including \$304 and \$294 related to discontinued operations)	\$45,079	\$45,968

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Stated in thousands of U.S. dollars, except per share data and otherwise indicated)

(Information pertaining to the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited)

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND CORPORATE ORGANIZATION

Nature of operations

Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited ("Ultrapetrol Bahamas", "Ultrapetrol", "the Company", "us" or "we") is a company organized and registered as a Bahamas Corporation since December 1997.

We are a shipping transportation company serving the marine transportation needs of our clients in the markets on which we focus. We serve the shipping markets for containers, grain, soybean, forest products, minerals, crude oil, petroleum, and refined petroleum products, as well as the offshore oil platform supply market, through our operations in the following three segments of the marine transportation industry. In our River Business we are an owner and operator of river barges and pushboats in the Hidrovia region of South America, a region of navigable waters on the Parana, Paraguay and Uruguay Rivers and part of the River Plate, which flow through Brazil, Bolivia, Uruguay, Paraguay and Argentina. In our Offshore Supply Business we own and operate vessels that provide logistical and transportation services for offshore petroleum exploration and production companies, in the coastal waters of Brazil and the North Sea. In our Ocean Business, we are an owner and operator of oceangoing vessels that transport petroleum products and containers.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

a) Basis of presentation and principles of consolidation

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("US GAAP") for interim financial information. The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010, has been derived from the audited financial statement at that date. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by US GAAP for complete financial statements. All adjustments which, in the opinion of the management of the Company, are considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for the periods shown are of a normal, recurring nature and have been reflected in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The results of operations for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full fiscal year or for any future period.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, both majority and wholly owned. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in this consolidation. Investments in 50% or less owned affiliates, in which the Company exercises significant influence, are accounted for by the equity method.

The Company uses the US dollar as its functional currency. Receivables and payables denominated in foreign currencies are translated into US dollars at the rate of exchange at the balance sheet date, while revenues and expenses are translated using the average exchange rate for each month. Certain subsidiaries enter into transactions denominated in currencies other than their functional currency. Changes in currency exchange rates between the functional currency and the currency in which a transaction is denominated are included in the unaudited condensed consolidated statement of operations in the period in which the currency exchange rate changes.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

b) Earnings per share:

Basic net (loss) income per share is computed by dividing the net (loss) income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the relevant periods net of shares held in treasury. Diluted (loss) income per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common shares result in the issuance of such shares. In determining dilutive shares for this purpose the Company assumes, through the application of the treasury stock and if-converted methods, all restricted stock grants have vested, all common shares have been issued pursuant to the exercise of all outstanding stock options and all common shares have been issued pursuant to the conversion of all outstanding convertible notes.

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, the Company had a net loss from continuing operations and therefore the effect of potentially dilutive securities was antidilutive and is not included in shares outstanding for purposes of computing diluted net (loss) income per share of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited.

For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, the Company excluded from the computation of diluted net (loss) income per share of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited 13,051,000 shares for the potential conversion of all outstanding convertible notes, as the effect of their inclusion in the computation would have been antidilutive.

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, the Company excluded from the computation of diluted net (loss) income per share of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited 705,000 share awards, as the effect of their inclusion in the computation would have been antidilutive.

For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company excluded from the computation of diluted net (loss) income per share of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited options to purchase 348,750 common shares. These options were outstanding during these periods but were excluded because they were antidilutive, as the option exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common share.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net (loss) income per share of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited:

	For the six-month periods ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
(Loss) Income from continuing operations	\$(14,645)	\$9,000
(Loss) from discontinued operations	-	(515)
Net (loss) income attributable to Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited	\$(14,645)	\$8,485
Basic weighted average number of shares	29,545,576	29,519,936
Effect on dilutive shares of restricted stock	-	67,223
Diluted weighted average number of shares	29,545,576	29,587,159
Basic and diluted net (loss) income per share of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited:		

Edgar Filing: ULTRAPETROL BAHAMAS LTD - Form 6-K

From continuing operations	\$ (0.50)	\$ 0.31
From discontinued operations	-		(0.02)
	\$ (0.50)	\$ 0.29

- 6 -

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

c) Comprehensive income (loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity arising from non-owner sources. Comprehensive income (loss) is reflected in the unaudited condensed consolidated statement of changes in shareholders' equity.

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets were as follows:

	At June 30, 2011	At December 31, 2010
Unrealized net losses on interest rate swaps	\$(72)	\$(34)
Unrealized gains on EURO hedge	149	153
Unrealized loss on interest rate collar	(1,148)	(716)
Unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments	\$(1,071)	\$(597)

The components of the change in the accumulated unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments were as follows:

	For the six-months period ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Reclassification adjustments for amounts included in net (loss) income:		
-Revenues	\$-	\$(5,350)
-Depreciation of vessels and equipment	(4)	(5)
-Financial expense	529	-
-Gains on derivatives, net	-	(9,535)
Change in unrealized impact on:		
-FFA	-	1,589
-Interest rate swaps	(97)	-
-Interest rate collar	(902)	(398)
	\$(474)	\$(13,699)

3. VESSELS AND EQUIPMENT, NET

The capitalized cost of the vessels and equipment, and the related accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

	At June 30, 2011	At December 31, 2010
--	------------------------	-------------------------------

Ocean-going vessels	\$ 126,047	\$ 124,596
River barges and pushboats	373,800	331,801
PSVs	199,097	171,385
Advances for PSV construction	59,213	77,106
Furniture and equipment	9,415	8,861
Building, land, operating base and shipyard	51,663	49,179
Total original book value	819,235	762,928
Accumulated depreciation	(166,605)	(150,232)
Net book value	\$ 652,630	\$ 612,696

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

As of June 30, 2011, the net book value of the assets pledged as a guarantee of our long term financial debt was \$344,400.

– Offshore Supply Business

On December 21, 2007, UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd. (our holding company in the Offshore Supply Business) signed two contracts with a shipyard in China to construct two PSVs, with deliveries in 2010 and 2011. The price for each new PSV to be constructed in China was \$26,400 to be paid in five installments of 20% of the contract price each, prior to delivery. On December 20, 2010 we took delivery of the first Chinese PSV UP Turquoise and on June 10, 2011, we took delivery of the second one, named UP Jasper.

On February 21 and June 13, 2007, UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd. (our holding company in the Offshore Supply Business) signed shipbuilding contracts with a shipyard in India for construction of four PSVs with a combined cost of \$88,052 to be paid in five installments of 20%, with contracted deliveries in 2011 and 2012. As of June 30, 2011, UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd. had paid installments on these contracts totaling \$52,831, which are recorded as Advances for PSV construction.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company had remaining commitments of \$35,221 on non-cancelable contracts for the construction of four PSVs in India which are scheduled for delivery in 2011 and 2012.

– River Business

On February 4, 8 and 24, 2011, we purchased three pushboats, for a total aggregate purchase price of \$2,900, which were delivered to us in March 2011. The Company has also incurred \$2,000 in additional direct costs relating to these acquisitions.

On February 2, 2011, we entered into a contract for the sale of six dry cargo barges, which were constructed at our yard at Punta Alvear, Argentina and delivered to the buyer at June 30, 2011. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded a gain totaled \$1,546 in Other operating income, net.

4. LONG-TERM FINANCIAL DEBT

Balances of long-term financial debt at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Borrower	Financial institution / Other	Due-year	At June 30, 2011			At December 31, 2010
			Nominal value Current	Noncurrent	Total	Total
Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Ltd.	Private Investors (Notes)	2014	\$-	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000
Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Ltd.	Private Investors (Notes)	2017	-	80,000	80,000	80,000
Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Ltd.	BICE	-	-	-	-	15,000

Edgar Filing: ULTRAPETROL BAHAMAS LTD - Form 6-K

UP Offshore Apoio Maritimo Ltda.	DVB AG	Through 2016	900	7,300	8,200	8,650
UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd.	DVB AG	Through 2016	4,300	36,100	40,400	42,550
UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd.	DVB AG	Through 2017	2,000	14,000	16,000	17,000
UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd.	DVB SE + Banco Security	Through 2018	3,333	35,833	39,166	20,000
Ingatestone Holdings Inc.	DVB AG + Natixis	Through 2019	531	27,069	27,600	24,150
UP Offshore Apoio Maritimo Ltda.	BNDES	Through 2027	1,110	16,373	17,483	18,038
Stanyan Shipping Inc.	Natixis	Through 2017	908	8,849	9,757	10,211
Hallandale Commercial Corp.	Nordea	Through 2013	1,568	6,428	7,996	8,780
UABL Paraguay S.A.	IFC	Through 2020	1,087	23,913	25,000	25,000
UABL Paraguay S.A.	OFID	Through 2020	652	14,348	15,000	15,000
UABL Barges and others	IFC	Through 2020	1,522	33,478	35,000	35,000
At June 30, 2011			\$17,911	\$483,691	\$501,602	
At December 31, 2010			\$27,586	\$471,793		\$499,379

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

Revolving non-secured credit facility with Banco BICE

On April 14, 2011, we voluntarily prepaid \$15,000 outstanding under the Banco BICE revolving non-secured credit facility together with accrued and unpaid interest to such date. The line remains fully available for drawdown until its final maturity on October 12, 2011.

Subsequent event:

On August 11, 2011, we drew down \$10,500 under the revolving non-secured credit facility, which bears interest at LIBO plus a margin of 3.4% per annum.

Loan Agreement with DVB Bank SE (DVB SE) and Banco Security of up to \$40,000:

On December 9, 2010 UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd., as Borrower, and Glasgow Shipping Inc. and Zubia Shipping Inc. (all of these our subsidiaries in the Offshore Supply Business) and Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited and Corporación de Navegación Mundial S.A., as joint and several Guarantors, entered into a senior secured term loan facility of up to \$40,000 with DVB SE and Banco Security, as co-lenders, to partially finance the construction and delivery of our two PSVs being constructed in China.

The loan was drawn in two advances, each in the amount of \$20,000, on the delivery of each of the respective PSVs.

On June 14, 2011, we have drawn down the second advance of \$20,000 in connection with the delivery of UP Jasper.

This advance accrues interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 3.0% and shall be repaid by (i) 30 equal quarterly consecutive installments of \$417 each, beginning on September 16, 2011, together with a balloon payment of \$7,490 concurring with the last repayment installment on December 17, 2018.

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is subject to legal proceedings, claims and contingencies arising in the ordinary course of business. When such amounts can be estimated and the contingency is probable, management accrues the corresponding liability. While the ultimate outcome of lawsuits or other proceedings against the Company cannot be predicted with certainty, management does not believe the costs of such actions will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

a) UABL Paraguay S.A. - Paraguayan Customs Asuncion

On April 7, 2009, the Paraguayan Customs in Asunción commenced administrative proceedings against UABL Paraguay S.A. alleging infringement of Customs regulations (smuggling) due to lack of submission of import clearance documents in Paraguay for some bunkers purchased between January 9, 2007 and December 23, 2008 from YPF-Repsol S.A. in Argentina. Since those bunkers were purchased for consumption on board pushboats, UABL Paraguay S.A. submitted a defense on April 23, 2009, requesting the closing of those proceedings based on the non-infringement of Customs regulations; however the proceedings were not closed. On August 21, 2009, as part of the evidence to be rendered in the Customs proceedings UABL Paraguay S.A. submitted a technical report of the Paraguayan Coast Guard stating that all parcels of bunkers purchased by UABL Paraguay S.A. from YPF-Repsol S.A.

were consumed onboard the push boats. We have been advised that the Paraguayan Customs in Ciudad del Este also commenced administrative proceedings against UABL Paraguay S.A. for the same reasons as the Customs in Asuncion; however those proceedings have been suspended. Customs Authorities have appraised the bunkers and determined the corresponding import tax and fine to be \$2,000. On March 22, 2010 the Customs in Asuncion issued their ruling on the matter imposing a fine of Gs. 54.723.820 (approximately \$12), and UABL Paraguay S.A. will be paying the fine with the aim to end these proceedings. In parallel with this ruling the denouncing parties in Ciudad del Este have submitted remedies against the decision of Customs in Asuncion arguing that such ruling was taken without bringing both dossiers together.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

Our local counsel has recently advised that the Director of Customs in Asuncion decided to render null the ruling dated March 22, 2010 and ordered evidence to be filed in respect of years 2003 to 2006 before issuing the final ruling. In a similar manner, on September 20, 2010 the Paraguayan Customs in Asuncion received a complaint against UABL Paraguay S.A. alleging infringement of Customs regulations due to lack of submission of import clearance documents in Paraguay for bunkers purchased during 2009 and 2010, from YPF-Repsol S.A. in Argentina. UABL Paraguay S.A. has submitted its defense together with all documents related to the bunker purchases.

Our local counsel is of the opinion that remedies will be rejected and therefore that there is only a remote possibility that UABL Paraguay S.A. will finally be found liable for any such taxes or fines and / or that these proceedings will have financial material adverse impact on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

b) Tax claim in Bolivia

On November 3, 2006 and April 25, 2007, the Bolivian Tax Authority ("Departamento de Inteligencia Fiscal de la Gerencia Nacional de Fiscalización") issued a notice in the Bolivian press advising that UABL International S.A. (a Panamanian subsidiary of the Company in the River Business) would owe taxes to that authority. On June 18, 2007 our legal counsel in Bolivia submitted points of defense to the Bolivian tax authorities.

On August 27, 2007 the Bolivian tax authorities gave notice of a resolution determining the taxes (value added tax, transaction tax and income tax) that UABL International S.A. would owe to them in the amount of approximately \$5,800 (including interest and fines). On October 10, 2007, our legal counsel in Bolivia gave notice to the Bolivian tax authorities of the lawsuit commenced by UABL International S.A. to refute the resolution above mentioned.

On August 1, 2008, UABL International S.A. was served with a notice informing that the Bolivian Tax Authorities had replied to the lawsuit started by us. On August 22, 2008 a hearing and judicial inspection took place at Puerto Quijano, Bolivia. On August 30, 2008 both parties submitted their arguments to the judge, completing this part of the case. On August 12, 2009, UABL International S.A. was served with a judgment of a Bolivian court ruling on certain taxes allegedly due by UABL International S.A. On August 22, 2009, UABL International S.A. submitted an appeal to the lower court judgment to which Bolivian tax authorities have contested. The parties now await the decision by the court of appeals.

On the other hand, on June 26, 2008, the same Bolivian court ordered a preemptive embargo against all barges owned by UABL International S.A. that may be registered in the International Bolivian Registry of Ships, or RIBB. According to Company's local counsel this preemptive embargo under Bolivian law has no effect over the Company's right to use its assets nor does it have any implication over the final decision of the court, the substance of the matter and in this case it is ineffective since UABL International S.A. does not have any assets owned by it registered in the RIBB. Moreover, UABL International S.A. had challenged the judge's decision to place the embargo, which has been under revision by a higher court since the lower court reconfirmed the embargo on November 15, 2008. The shares of UABL International S.A. have ceased to belong to our Company and we have been advised by our local counsel that there is only a remote possibility that we would finally be found liable for any of these taxes or fines and / or that these proceedings will have financial material adverse impact on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

c) UABL – Ciudad del Este Customs Authority

On September 21, 2005 the local Customs Authority of Ciudad del Este, Paraguay issued a finding that certain UABL entities owe taxes to that authority in the amount of \$2,200, together with a fine for non-payment of the taxes in the same amount, in respect of certain operations of our River Business for the prior three-year period. This matter was referred to the Central Customs Authority of Paraguay.

- 10 -

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

After review of the entire case the Paraguayan Central Tax Authorities who have jurisdiction over the matter have confirmed the Company has no liability in respect of two of the three matters at issue, while they held a dissenting view on the third issue. Through a Resolution which was notified to UABL on October 13, 2006 the Paraguayan Undersecretary for Taxation has confirmed that, in his opinion, the Company is liable for a total of approximately \$500 and has applied a fine of 100% of this amount. On November 24, 2006, the court confirmed that UABL were not liable for the first two issues. The Company has entered a plea with the respective court contending the interpretation on the third issue under consideration where the Company claims to be equally non-labile.

On March 26, 2009, the Tax and Administrative Court decided that UABL was not liable for the third issue under discussion (the tax base used by UABL's entities to calculate the applicable withholding tax). On April 2, 2009, the Paraguayan Tax Authorities appealed the Tax and Administrative Court decision. On September 22, 2010 the Paraguayan Supreme Court revoked the March 26, 2009, ruling of the Tax and Administrative Court and confirmed the decision of the Paraguayan Undersecretary for Taxation.

For the year ended December 31, 2010 the Company recorded a charge totaling \$1,294 for the full and final settlement of this claim.

In parallel with this ruling the Office of the Treasury Attorney has initiated an action in respect of the other two issues concerned in this litigation (which had been terminated on November 24, 2006, with the admission of Central Tax Authorities that no taxes were due for these two issues and the consequent dropping of the action by the plaintiffs) to review certain formal aspects of the case on the grounds that the Paraguay Customs Department did not represent the interests of Paraguay. UABL has submitted a defense in relation to the action commenced by the Office of the Treasury Attorney. Subsequently, the Office of the Treasury Attorney filed a response with regard to Company's defense. Aside from the mentioned procedures, on February 23, 2006, the Customs Authorities of Paraguay have reopened the proceedings against UABL S.A., UABL PARAGUAY S.A. and YATAITY S.A. in connection with the possible reopening of the case pending a decision of the reopening of the case in court. Counsel will notify the Customs to hold the proceedings pending a decision of the court and also contest any new investigation into the matter on the grounds that the action is time barred. We have been advised by UABL's counsel in the case that there is only a remote possibility that a judicial court would find UABL liable for any of these taxes or fines still in dispute.

d) Oceanpar S.A. and UABL Paraguay S.A. - Customs investigation in connection with reimportation of barges subject to conversion

Oceanpar S.A. was notified of this investigation on June 17, 2011. The matter under investigation is whether UABL Paraguay S.A. paid all import taxes and duties corresponding to the reimportation of barges submitted to conversion in foreign yards. On June 24 2011 Oceanpar and UABL Paraguay submitted the evidence of all payments effected in 2008 corresponding to the reimportation of these barges. Our Counsel has advised that there is only a remote possibility that these proceedings will have a material adverse impact on the consolidated financial position on results of operations the Company.

6.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell an asset or transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market

participants on the measurement date. The Company utilizes a fair value hierarchy that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value and defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, or inputs derived from observable market data. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

The Company's assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2011 that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Noncurrent assets:			
-Interest rate collar (included in other receivables)	-	377	-
Current liabilities:			
-Interest rate collar (included in other liabilities)	-	889	-
-Interest rate swaps (included in other liabilities)	-	293	-
Noncurrent liabilities:			
-Interest rate collar (included in other liabilities)	-	679	-
-Interest rate swaps (included in other liabilities)	-	225	-

The estimated fair value of the Company's other financial assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2011 were as follows:

	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$45,079	\$45,079
Restricted cash (current and noncurrent portion)	2,844	2,844
LIABILITIES		
Long term financial debt (current and noncurrent portion – Note 4)	\$501,602	\$517,331

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash is fair value. The fair value of long-term financial debt was estimated based upon quoted market prices or by using discounted cash flow analyses based on estimated current rates for similar types of arrangements. Generally, the carrying value of variable interest rate debt, approximates fair value. Considerable judgment was required in developing certain of the estimates of fair value and accordingly the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange.

7. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING STRATEGIES

All of the Company's derivative positions are stated at fair value. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives not designated as hedges are reported in income as gains (losses) on derivatives, net. Unrealized gains and losses on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) to the extent they are effective, and reclassified into earnings on the same line item associated with the hedged transaction and in the same period the hedged transaction affects earnings. Any ineffective portion of cash flow hedges is recognized immediately in income (loss).

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

Assets and liabilities arising from outstanding derivative positions are reported as other receivables and other liabilities, as follows:

	At June 30, 2011		
	Noncurrent other receivables	Current other liabilities	Noncurrent other liabilities
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments			
Interest rate collar (cash flow hedge)	\$377	\$889	\$679
Interest rate swaps (cash flow hedge)	-	293	225
	\$377	\$1,182	\$904

	At December 31, 2010		
	Noncurrent other receivables	Current other liabilities	Noncurrent other liabilities
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments			
Interest rate collar (cash flow hedge)	\$642	\$791	\$567
Interest rate swap (cash flow hedge)	-	160	129
	\$642	\$951	\$696

The Company evaluates the risk of counterparty default by monitoring the financial condition of the financial institutions and counterparties involved, by primarily conducting business with large, well-established financial institutions and international traders, and diversifying its counterparties. The Company does not currently anticipate nonperformance by any of its counterparties.

CASH FLOW HEDGE

FFA

The Company enters into freight forward agreements (FFAs) either via a clearing house or over the counter with an objective to utilize them as hedging instruments that reduce its exposure to changes in the spot market rates earned by certain of its vessels in the normal course of its Ocean Business or to take advantage of short term fluctuations in the market. These FFAs involve a contract to provide a fixed number of theoretical days of voyages at fixed rates. These contracts are net settled each month.

At June 30, 2011 and at December 31, 2010 there are no outstanding positions on FFAs.

As result of the sale of Princess Marisol in February 2010, FFA positions maturing between May and December 2010 were no longer probable of occurring and thus no longer qualified as effective cash flow hedges. A non-cash gain related to these positions of \$9,535 which was reported in other comprehensive income was reclassified into gains on derivatives, net for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010.

During the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company received net cash settlements for its FFAs positions of \$0 and \$6,755, respectively.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

INTEREST RATE COLLAR AGREEMENT

On May 7, 2010, through UABL Limited, our holding subsidiary in the River Business, we entered into an interest rate collar transaction with International Finance Corporation (IFC) through which we expect to hedge our exposure to interest volatility under our financings with IFC and OFID from June 2010 to June 2016. The initial notional amount is \$75,000 (subsequently adjusted in accordance with the amortization schedule under these financings), with UABL Limited being the USD Floor Rate seller at a floor strike rate of 1.69%, and IFC being the USD Cap Rate seller at a cap strike rate of 5.00%. This contract qualifies for hedge accounting and as such changes in its fair values are included in other comprehensive income (loss) in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The fair value of this agreement equates to the amount that would be paid by the Company if the agreement were cancelled at the reporting date, taking into account current interest rates and creditworthiness of the Company.

As of June 30, 2011, the total notional amount of the interest rate collar is \$75,000.

INTEREST RATE SWAP AGREEMENTS

On December 16, 2010, through UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd., our holding subsidiary in the Offshore Supply Business, we entered into an interest rate swap transaction with Banco Security through which we expect to hedge our exposure to interest volatility under our financing with Banco Security and DVB Bank SE from December 2010 to December 2018. The initial notional amount is \$5,000 (subsequently adjusted pro rata in accordance with the amortization schedule under this financing) with UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd. paying a fixed interest rate of 3.67% and receiving a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the notional amount.

As of June 30, 2011, the total notional amount of the interest rate swap is \$4,792.

Additionally, on June 14, 2011, through UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd., our holding subsidiary in the Offshore Supply Business, we entered into a second interest rate swap transaction with Banco Security through which we expect to hedge our exposure to interest volatility under our financing with Banco Security and DVB Bank SE from June 2011 to December 2018. The initial notional amount is \$5,000 (subsequently adjusted pro rata in accordance with the amortization schedule under this financing) with UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd. paying a fixed interest rate of 3.122% and receiving a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the notional amount.

As of June 30, 2011, the total notional amount of the interest rate swap is \$5,000.

These contracts qualify for hedge accounting and as such changes in its fair value are included in other comprehensive income (loss) in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The fair value of these agreements equate to the amount that would be paid or received by the Company if the agreement were cancelled at the reporting date, taking into account current and prospective interest rates and creditworthiness of the Company.

8. INCOME TAXES

The Company operates through its subsidiaries, which are subject to several tax jurisdictions, as follows:

- a) Bahamas

The earnings from shipping operations were derived from sources outside the Bahamas and such earnings were not subject to Bahamian taxes.

- 14 -

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

b) Panama

The earnings from shipping operations were derived from sources outside Panama and such earnings were not subject to Panamanian taxes.

c) Paraguay

Our subsidiaries in Paraguay are subject to Paraguayan corporate income taxes.

d) Argentina

Our subsidiaries in Argentina are subject to Argentine corporate income taxes.

In Argentina, the tax on minimum presumed income ("TOMPI"), supplements income tax since it applies a minimum tax on the potential income from certain income generating-assets at a 1% tax rate. The Companies' tax obligation in any given year will be the higher of these two tax amounts. However, if in any given tax year TOMPI exceeds income tax, such excess may be computed as payment on account of any excess of income tax over TOMPI that may arise in any of the ten following years.

e) Brazil

Our subsidiaries in Brazil are subject to Brazilian corporate income taxes.

UP Offshore Apoio Maritimo Ltda., has foreign currency exchange gains recognized for tax purposes only in the period its debt (including intercompany transactions) is extinguished. A deferred income tax liability is recognized in the period the foreign currency exchange rate changes equal to the future taxable income at the applicable tax rate.

f) Chile

Our subsidiary Corporación de Navegación Mundial S.A. (Cor.Na.Mu.S.A.) is subject to Chilean corporate income taxes.

g) United Kingdom (UK)

Our subsidiary in the Offshore Supply Business, UP Offshore (UK) Limited, is not subject to corporate income tax in the United Kingdom, rather, it qualifies under UK tonnage tax rules and pays a flat rate based on the net tonnage of qualifying PSVs.

h) United States of America (US)

Under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, 50% of the gross shipping income of our vessel owning or chartering subsidiaries attributable to transportation that begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the U.S. is characterized as U.S. source shipping income. Such income is subject to 4% U.S. federal income tax without allowance for deduction, unless our subsidiaries qualify for exemption from tax under Section 883 of the Code and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 our subsidiaries did not derive any U.S. source shipping income. Therefore our subsidiaries are not subject to any U.S. federal income taxes, except the ship management services provided by Ravenscroft.

- 15 -

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

9. SHARE CAPITAL

Common shares and shareholders

On September 21, 2006, Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A., Hazels (Bahamas) Investments Inc. and Solimar Holdings Ltd. (collectively the "Original Shareholders") signed a second amended and restated shareholders agreement. The shares held directly by our Original Shareholders expressly are entitled to seven votes per share and all other holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote per share. The special voting rights of the Original Shareholders are not transferable, unless to another Original Shareholder.

At June 30, 2011 our shareholders Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A. and Hazels (Bahamas) Investments Inc. hold 4,735,517 and 3,128,568 shares, respectively, which represent 15.8% and 10.4% of the common stock, respectively, and jointly represents 71.1% of the total voting power.

On January 28, 2011 the shareholders of the Company at a Special General Meeting approved the issuance of up to 13,100,000 shares of common stock if and when holders of the Company's \$80,000 7.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2017 elect to convert their notes pursuant its term. The conversion rate of the Convertible Notes, which is subject to adjustment, shall not exceed 163.1321 shares of common stock per \$1 principal amount.

Share repurchase program

Ultrapetrol's Board of Directors has approved a share repurchase program, effective March 17, 2008, for up to a total of \$50,000 of the Company's common stock through December 31, 2008. The expiration date of the share repurchase program was extended by the Board of Directors until September 30, 2009, when it finally expired.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 the Company had repurchased a total of 3,923,094 common shares, at a total cost of \$19,488.

10. BUSINESS AND GEOGRAPHIC SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company organizes its business and evaluates performance by its operating segments, Ocean, River and Offshore Supply Business. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those for the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company does not have significant intersegment transactions. These segments and their respective operations are as follows:

River Business: In our River Business, we own and operate several dry and tanker barges, and push boats. In addition, we use one barge from our ocean fleet, the Alianza G2, as a transfer station. The dry barges transport basically agricultural and forestry products, iron ore and other cargoes, while the tanker barges carry petroleum products, vegetable oils and other liquids.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

We operate our pushboats and barges on the navigable waters of the Parana, Paraguay and Uruguay Rivers and part of the River Plate in South America, also known as the Hidrovia region.

Offshore Supply Business: We operate our Offshore Supply Business, using PSVs owned by UP Offshore (Bahamas), which are seven employed in the Brazilian market and one is expected to operate in the North Sea. PSVs are designed to transport supplies such as containerized equipment, drill casing, pipes and heavy loads on deck, along with fuel, water, drilling fluids and bulk cement in under deck tanks and a variety of other supplies to drilling rigs and platforms.

Ocean Business: In our Ocean Business, we operate eight oceangoing vessels: four product tankers (one of which is on lease to us), two container feeder vessel, one oceangoing tug and one tank under the trade name Ultrapetrol. Our Handy size/small product tanker vessels transport goods such as petroleum and petroleum derivatives on major trade routes around the globe.

All of the Company's operating revenues were derived from its foreign operations. The following represents the Company's revenues attributed by geographical region in which services are provided to customers.

	For the six-month periods ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Revenues (1)		
–South America	\$ 119,022	\$ 95,788
–Europe	8,165	13,576
–Asia	426	3,097
–Other	680	2,376
	\$ 128,293	\$ 114,837

(1) Classified by country of domicile of charterers.

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, 93% of the Company's revenues are concentrated in South America. As a result, the Company's financial condition and results of operations depend, to a significant extent, on macroeconomic and political conditions prevailing in the region.

The Company's vessels are highly mobile and regularly and routinely moved between countries within a geographical region of the world. In addition, these vessels may be redeployed among the geographical regions as changes in market conditions dictate. Because of this mobility, long-lived assets, primarily vessels and equipment cannot be allocated to any one country.

The following represents the Company's vessels and equipment based upon the assets physical location as of the end of each applicable period presented:

	At	
	At June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Vessels and equipment, net		

-South America	\$ 562,103	\$ 504,200
-Asia	86,290	104,225
-Other	4,237	4,271
	\$ 652,630	\$ 612,696

Revenue by segment consists only of services provided to external customers, as reported in the unaudited condensed consolidated statement of operations. Resources are allocated based on segment profit or loss from operation, before interest and taxes.

Identifiable assets represent those assets used in the operations of each segment.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following schedule presents segment information about the Company's operations for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011:

	River Business	Offshore Supply Business	Ocean Business	Total
Revenues	\$69,811	\$27,667	\$30,815	\$128,293
Running and voyage expenses	51,923	16,727	24,157	92,807
Depreciation and amortization	9,549	4,383	4,645	18,577
Segment operating profit (loss)	3,868	3,962	(1,879)	5,951
Segment assets	375,748	254,086	124,359	754,193
Investment in affiliates and receivables from 50% owned companies	6,786	-	279	7,065
Loss from investment in affiliates	(405)	-	(6)	(411)
Additions to long-lived assets (1)	43,466	9,821	1,782	55,069

(1) Excludes \$ 1,751 which corresponds to additions to corporate assets.

Reconciliation of total assets of the segments to amount included in the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as follow:

	At June 30, 2011
Total assets for reportable segments	\$754,193
Other assets	18,174
Corporate cash and cash equivalents	45,079
Consolidated total assets	\$817,446

The following schedule presents segment information about the Company's operations for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010:

	River Business	Offshore Supply Business	Ocean Business	Total
Revenues	\$58,534	\$25,648	\$30,655	\$114,837
Running and voyage expenses	36,458	13,992	19,104	69,554
Depreciation and amortization	8,277	3,360	5,307	16,944
Segment operating profit	8,432	5,244	2,241	15,917
Loss from investment in affiliates	(132)	-	(23)	(155)
Additions to long-lived assets	29,492	1,208	14,133	44,833

11. SUPPLEMENTAL GUARANTOR INFORMATION

On November 24, 2004, the Company issued \$180,000 9% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2014.

The 2014 Senior Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by the Company's subsidiaries directly involved in our Ocean and River Business.

The Indenture provides that the 2014 Senior Notes and each of the guarantees granted by Subsidiaries, other than the Mortgage, are governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the state of New York. Each of the mortgaged vessels is registered under either the Panamanian flag, or another jurisdiction with similar procedures. All of the Subsidiary Guarantors are outside of the United States.

Supplemental unaudited condensed consolidating financial information for the Guarantor Subsidiaries for the 2014 Senior Notes is presented below. This information is prepared in accordance with the Company's accounting policies. This supplemental financial disclosure should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

AT JUNE 30, 2011 (UNAUDITED)

(stated in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined subsidiary non guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
Current assets					
Receivables from related parties	\$270,673	\$111,455	\$48,433	\$ (430,483)	\$ 78
Other current assets	32,668	33,053	54,098	-	119,819
Total current assets	303,341	144,508	102,531	(430,483)	119,897
Noncurrent assets					
Vessels and equipment, net	-	208,608	444,996	(974)	652,630
Investment in affiliates	202,898	-	1,035	(202,898)	1,035
Other noncurrent assets	7,653	9,269	26,962	-	43,884
Total noncurrent assets	210,551	217,877	472,993	(203,872)	697,549
Total assets	\$513,892	\$362,385	\$575,524	\$ (634,355)	\$ 817,446
Current liabilities					
Payable to related parties	\$-	\$166,952	\$263,693	\$ (430,483)	\$ 162
Current portion of long-term financial debt	-	1,739	16,172	-	17,911
Other current liabilities	4,968	16,625	19,244	-	40,837
Total current liabilities	4,968	185,316	299,109	(430,483)	58,910
Noncurrent liabilities					
Long-term financial debt net of current portion	260,000	38,261	185,430	-	483,691
Other noncurrent liabilities	-	1,665	18,869	-	20,534
Total noncurrent liabilities	260,000	39,926	204,299	-	504,225
Total liabilities	264,968	225,242	503,408	(430,483)	563,135
Equity					
Equity	248,924	137,143	72,116	(209,259)	248,924
Noncontrolling interest	-	-	-	5,387	5,387
Total equity	248,924	137,143	72,116	(203,872)	254,311
Total liabilities and equity	\$513,892	\$362,385	\$575,524	\$ (634,355)	\$ 817,446

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES
SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

AT DECEMBER 31, 2010

(stated in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined subsidiary non guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
Current assets					
Receivables from related parties	\$279,377	\$81,452	\$16,887	\$ (377,566)	\$ 150
Other current assets	42,887	31,024	88,934	-	162,845
Total current assets	322,264	112,476	105,821	(377,566)	162,995
Noncurrent assets					
Vessels and equipment, net	-	189,590	424,109	(1,003)	612,696
Investment in affiliates	210,506	-	1,446	(210,506)	1,446
Other noncurrent assets	8,478	10,343	27,839	-	46,660
Total noncurrent assets	218,984	199,933	453,394	(211,509)	660,802
Total assets	\$541,248	\$312,409	\$559,215	\$ (589,075)	\$ 823,797
Current liabilities					
Payables to related parties	\$-	\$109,242	\$268,514	\$ (377,566)	\$ 190
Current portion of long-term financial debt	15,000	-	12,586	-	27,586
Other current liabilities	2,785	17,554	16,562	-	36,901
Total current liabilities	17,785	126,796	297,662	(377,566)	64,677
Noncurrent liabilities					
Long-term financial debt net of current portion	260,000	40,000	171,793	-	471,793
Other noncurrent liabilities	-	1,776	16,757	-	18,533
Total noncurrent liabilities	260,000	41,776	188,550	-	490,326
Total liabilities	277,785	168,572	486,212	(377,566)	555,003
Equity					
Equity	263,463	143,837	73,003	(216,840)	263,463
Noncontrolling interest	-	-	-	5,331	5,331
Total equity	263,463	143,837	73,003	(211,509)	268,794
Total liabilities and equity	\$541,248	\$312,409	\$559,215	\$ (589,075)	\$ 823,797

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES
SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 (UNAUDITED)

(stated in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined subsidiary non guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
Revenues	\$-	\$47,864	\$85,893	\$ (5,464)	\$ 128,293
Operating expenses	(4,241)	(43,686)	(79,850)	5,435	(122,342)
Operating (loss) profit	(4,241)	4,178	6,043	(29)	5,951
Investment in affiliates	(7,193)	-	(411)	7,193	(411)
Other expenses	(3,211)	(10,792)	(2,855)	-	(16,858)
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes	(14,645)	(6,614)	2,777	7,164	(11,318)
Income taxes	-	(81)	(3,190)	-	(3,271)
(Loss) income from continuing operations	(14,645)	(6,695)	(413)	7,164	(14,589)
Loss from discontinued operations	-	-	-	-	-
Net (loss) income	(14,645)	(6,695)	(413)	7,164	(14,589)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	-	-	-	(56)	(56)
Net (loss) income attributable to Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited	\$(14,645)	\$(6,695)	\$(413)	\$ 7,108	\$ (14,645)

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES
SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 (UNAUDITED)

(stated in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined subsidiary non guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
Revenues	\$-	\$61,096	\$57,141	\$ (3,400)	\$ 114,837
Operating expenses	(3,352)	(46,964)	(51,975)	3,371	(98,920)
Operating (loss) profit	(3,352)	14,132	5,166	(29)	15,917
Investment in affiliates	12,582 (1)	-	(155)	(12,582)	(155)
Other expenses	(745)	(1,788)	(3,314)	-	(5,847)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	8,485	12,344	1,697	(12,611)	9,915
Income taxes	-	538	(1,180)	-	(642)
Income from continuing operations	8,485	12,882	517	(12,611)	9,273
Loss from discontinued operations	-	-	(515)	-	(515)
Net income	8,485	12,882	2	(12,611)	8,758
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	(273)	(273)
Net income attributable to Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited	\$8,485	\$12,882	\$2	\$ (12,884)	\$ 8,485

(1) Includes a loss of \$515 related to discontinued operations.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES
SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW
FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 (UNAUDITED)
(stated in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined subsidiary non guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
Net (loss) income	\$(14,589)	\$(6,695)	\$(413)	\$ 7,108	\$ (14,589)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities	10,874	5,198	90	(7,108)	9,054
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations	(3,715)	(1,497)	(323)	-	(5,535)
Intercompany sources	-	-	-	-	-
Non-subsidiary sources	-	(27,091)	(29,729)	-	(56,820)
Net cash (used in) investing activities from continuing operations	-	(27,091)	(29,729)	-	(56,820)
Intercompany sources	8,704	27,707	(36,411)	-	-
Non-subsidiary sources	(14,975)	(48)	16,887	-	1,864
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities from continuing operations	(6,271)	27,659	(19,524)	-	1,864
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$(9,986)	\$(929)	\$(49,576)	\$ -	\$ (60,491)

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES
SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW
FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 (UNAUDITED)

(stated in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined subsidiary non guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
Net income	\$8,758	\$12,868	\$275	\$ (13,143)	\$ 8,758
Loss from discontinued operations	-	-	515	-	515
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities	2,151	12,837	(22,646)	13,143	5,485
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations	10,909	25,705	(21,856)	-	14,758
Net cash (used in) operating activities from discontinued operations	-	-	(1,960)	-	(1,960)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	10,909	25,705	(23,816)	-	12,798
Intercompany sources	(17,933)	(8,720)	-	26,653	-
Non-subsidiary sources	-	(18,565)	2,371	-	(16,194)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities from continuing operations	(17,933)	(27,285)	2,371	26,653	(16,194)
Net cash provided by investing activities from discontinued operations	-	-	1,950	-	1,950
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(17,933)	(27,285)	4,321	26,653	(14,244)
Intercompany sources	-	-	26,653	(26,653)	-
Non-subsidiary sources	(280)	-	(5,507)	-	(5,787)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities from continuing operations	(280)	-	21,146	(26,653)	(5,787)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$(7,304)	\$(1,580)	\$1,651	\$ -	\$ (7,233)

